ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY 1 2 **Regular Meeting** 3 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North 4 St. Augustine, Florida 5 on Wednesday, February 22, 2006 6 from 4:02 p.m. to 5:49 p.m. 7 8 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 9 BOB COX, Chairman WAYNE GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer 10 **RANDY BRUNSON** JOHN "JACK" GORMAN 11 **BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:** 12 SUZANNE GREEN 13 14 ALSO PRESENT: 15 DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, 16 FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority. 17 EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. 18 BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director. 19 20 21 JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR St. Augustine Court Reporters 22 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084 23 (904) 825-0570

2

4

5

4

1	I N D E X	
2	PAGE	
3 2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	4
4 3.	. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	
5 4	ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPO	RTS
6 5	APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA	
7 6	REPORTS:	
8 9 10 11	 A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commission B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Galaxy Aviation C. Mr. Daniel Nehring - Grumman St. A D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A. E. Mr. Bjorn Ottesen - F.A.C.T. F. Mr. Doug Burnett - Authority Attorney G. Mr. David Knight - ATCT Tower 	on 11 ugustine 11 12 13
12 7	. MEMBER COMMITTEE REPORTS	
13 14	A. MPO20B. EDC21C. Intergovernmental23D. Industrial Development Council	23
15 8. 16 9.	PROJECT UPDATES ACTION ITEMS	25
17 18 19	A. Resolution 2006-01 - Line of CreditB. Resolution 2006-02 - Corporate HangC. Air Show 55	45 gars 49
10 20). HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS	77
11 21 12	. PUBLIC COMMENT 2. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:	86
22	A. Mr. Randy Brunson 96	5

23

B. Ms. Suzanne Green

Absent

	C. Mr. John Gorman	96	
24	D. Mr. Wayne George, Se	cretary-Treasurer	96
	E. Mr. Bob Cox, Chairman	No report	

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Like to call this public
3	meeting of the St. Johns County Airport Authority
4	to order. And first order of business will be the
5	Pledge of Allegiance, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Next point of order will be
9	approval of the minutes for the regular meeting of
10	1/4 of '06.
11	MR. BRUNSON: I so-move.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Any exceptions or changes to
13	the minutes? Not hearing
14	MR. BRUNSON: Make a motion we approve those.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Not hearing any
16	exceptions, the approval of the minutes stand
17	approved. The approval stands approved. How do
18	you like that?
19	4 APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL REPORT
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Let's move on to the financial

- 21 report. Mr. Secretary?
- 22 MR. GEORGE: I've taken a look at the
- 23 December and January, and they seem to be right in
- 24 line with what we've planned, so they look fine.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. If no objections, the

1	financial report will be accepted as presented.
2	5 APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA
3	CHAIRMAN COX: And moving on to the meeting
4	agenda approval, I would like to add a one
5	agenda item, which is going to be some discussion
6	on the workshop meeting for February 27th. And we
7	can put that into let's go into housekeeping
8	items there, if they don't mind. Anybody have any
9	objections to that?
10	MR. GEORGE: I don't know. What is it?
11	CHAIRMAN COX: The meeting on the the
12	workshop on the 27th.
13	MR. GEORGE: You just have some comments to
14	make about that?
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes.
16	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
17	MR. BRUNSON: As do I.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. That will be added to

- 19 the agenda. Anything else to add for anybody?
- 20 MR. GORMAN: The agenda for today?
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes.
- 22 MR. GORMAN: Yes. Very short discussion of
- 23 public relations and -- and budgeting of small
- items.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Can we move that into

6

1	housekeeping	items?	Will that be	all right with

- 2 you?
- 3 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. And a big one.
- 4 It's not going to be today, you're not going to
- 5 have time, but -- but a contract review of the law
- 6 firm. When are we reviewing their annual --
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: The law firm contract review?
- 8 MR. GORMAN: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: You want that as an agenda

10 item on --

- 11 MR. GORMAN: On -- on either --
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, it's going to have to be
- 13 a full discussion item as an agenda item --
- 14 MR. GORMAN: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN COX: -- so, what's that --
- 16 MR. GORMAN: Just adding it --
- 17 CHAIRMAN COX: Add another meeting?

- 18 MR. GORMAN: -- for the public.
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Can we make sure that
- 20 that's added to next meeting's --
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: -- regular agenda? Thanks.
- And that's -- that will be discussion on contract
- review for the law firm.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: It'd be nice to know in advance

7

- 1 what you hope to accomplish out of that so we can
- 2 look at it in that light before we get here.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: A full and concise review of --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: When, where, what, and --
- 5 MR. GORMAN: -- of the performance of the law
- 6 firm, of the overall performance considering our

7 bottom line as far as our financial, you know,

8 condition --

9 MR. GEORGE: Uh-huh.

- 10 MR. GORMAN: -- and costs of litigation.
- 11 Total --
- 12 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 13 MR. GORMAN: -- costs.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Does that define it for you

15 good enough?

16 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

17	MR. BURNETT: Well, if if I may inquire
18	on on that subject, and I guess this is solely
19	because we have so many lawyers involved, one
20	aspect, Mark Arnold being the lawyer involved in
21	primarily leading up the eminent domain actions;
22	Gene Atwood being our construction litigator,
23	primarily; myself, obviously I'll be here. That's
24	an easy one. And and periodically, we have
25	other lawyers representing the airport.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	Is there any particular area in that I
2	should make sure that we're prepared for so that I
3	can make sure, for example, if it's condemnation
4	related, I'd I would like to make sure I
5	MR. GORMAN: All litigation costs, including
6	condemnation, including eminent domain. In other
7	words, a total summation of what those litigation
8	costs have been for this airport in the
9	acquisition of the property and in the
10	representation of this airport board in litigation
11	procedures against the of Phase II. I know
12	that's a separate issue. What are we paying here?
13	MR. BURNETT: I understand. And and I
14	guess the next thing that that leads me to, and

- 15 and I apologize for taking up so much of the
- 16 Authority's time on this, but I guess the -- the
- 17 next thing that that leads me to is
- 18 traditionally -- or typically, what the statutes
- 19 specifically provide in regards to what's commonly
- 20 referred to as shade meetings, is that is the
- 21 purpose of them, to discuss litigation
- 22 expenditures and strategy going forward related to
- those expenditures.
- And I'm wondering if the Authority wants that
- to be conducted in that type of setting.

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Can I make a suggestion?
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Sure.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Would it -- would it be
- 4 acceptable to you to -- to be one on one with Doug
- 5 to define exactly what you're looking for so that
- 6 he also understands what it -- what it is that you
- 7 want, and then we can come back and -- and address
- 8 that in public.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: You'd like me to talk to Doug
- 10 before we -- we talk in --
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
- 12 MR. GORMAN: -- at the meeting?

- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely.
- 14 MR. GORMAN: Oh, certainly. That could be

15 done.

- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Does that work for you?
- 17 MR. BURNETT: Sure.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: I have no problem with that.
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: I mean, to help -- help define
- 20 for you what you need to be prepared to do, and
- 21 then you can bring it to the meeting, and -- and
- 22 he can address the issue that he's trying to
- address.
- 24 MR. GORMAN: Again, the -- one of -- one of
- the Catch-22s is we pay all of these litigation

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 expenses by the time the litigation's all done,
- 2 whether we want to or not. In other words, that's
- 3 the Catch-22. And that's one of the things that
- 4 if you have to have a half-hour shade before the
- 5 regular meeting --
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 7 MR. GORMAN: -- well then so be it.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Why don't you -- why don't you
- 9 write that down, you know, what your concerns
- 10 are --
- 11 MR. GORMAN: That's fine.

- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: -- in a paragraph and then
- 13 present that to the board. But get together with
- 14 Doug at -- at, you know, your convenience.
- 15 MR. GORMAN: A letter would be good.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 17 MR. GORMAN: That would be good.
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Does that work?
- 19 MR. GORMAN: That's perfect.
- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Great.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Maybe Ed involved in that same
- 22 meeting?
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely. Yeah, I don't see
- anything wrong with that.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 MR. BURNETT: That's fine.
- 2 6. REPORTS
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Let's move on to reports. So,
- 4 we'll go with Mr. Bryant.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I don't see him.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Is he --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: I don't see him.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: -- not available?
- 9 Mr. Slingluff?

10	MR. SLINGLUFF:	Nothing to report th	is month

11 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thank you.

12 Mr. Nevadomski?

13 MR. NEHRING: I'm here instead. Nothing to

14 report.

15 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thank you very much.

16 Mr. Roderick? You better have something to

17 report.

18 MR. RODERICK: I get paid by the report,

19 right? St. Augustine Airports Pilots Association,

20 John Roderick. We have a new president, Billy

21 Pacetti. And the rest of the board of governors

22 pretty much stayed the same.

23 We're now incorporated, probably as of this

24 day, as a 501(c)(7), a not-for-profit corporation

in the State of Florida. And there's a lot of

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

12

- 1 history, that I'm not going to bore you with that
- 2 today.

3 Our membership will support the air show as

4 individuals vice as a club. And it doesn't mean

5 much. You know, we're still all going to be out

6 there parking planes or whatever. It's just a

7 technicality.

8 We continue to support the south expansion

- 9 with the tree conservation effort, and it's really
- 10 coming together nicely. You guys are making a
- 11 mark in history, and we appreciate it.
- 12 We applaud the Authority's successes in
- 13 balancing the needs of our airport community. For
- 14 example, on our general aviation part, you saved
- 15 the TVOR. You saved 02/20 as a 24/7 runway. And
- 16 on days like this, that's very important.
- 17 Provided economic self-serve fuel that's very
- 18 competitive in our region. And it went down this
- 19 week, incidentally.
- 20 We applaud you for the future hangar
- 21 expansion and room for a competitive FBO.
- 22 Not to go without notice is the airport
- 23 property improvements and landscape enhancements.
- 24 This promotes a sense of civic pride and will
- 25 continue to attract more responsible citizens.

- 1 And I can vouch that we have pilots who want to
- 2 come here because this is an attractive,
- 3 responsive airport. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, Mr. Roderick.
- 5 Bjorn? There we go.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: He's here.

7 MR	OTTESEN:	No report.
------	----------	------------

8	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. Doug?
9	MR. BURNETT: A couple couple of things.
10	One, we have been working on a updated lease
11	policy in one aspect, and that is to add some
12	language in there to require personal guarantees,
13	especially in a situation where folks have become
14	late in making rent payments. That I think will
15	come to you next month as an amendment, a proposed
16	amendment to the lease policy with a form personal
17	guarantee.
18	The other thing is, because the issue came up
19	at the last meeting on on incubator leases, or
20	a possible incubator program and I know that's
21	going to be talked about a little bit tonight and
~~	
22	probably talked about in meetings to come.
22 23	probably talked about in meetings to come. I've been doing some research on what

- 1 programs so that I have a better understanding on
- 2 sort of trends incubator leases and what's
- 3 expected of them or what's usually required. And
- 4 so, I've been getting educated in that regard.
- 5 One -- a couple of things that are on -- on

6	the agenda that we'll get to later, which is the
7	update on condemnation; I know Ed's going to talk
8	about that some. And then the capital improvement
9	note that is on your agenda today, as well, we'll
10	talk about, so but other than that, I have
11	nothing to report.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Very good. Thank you.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Did you mention the auditor?
14	MR. BURNETT: Oh, and I did hand out, prior
15	to the meeting, a memo related to auditor
16	selection procedures and what the the statute
17	is regarding auditor selection procedures.
18	Although there was a change in the statute
19	that expanded this section or this chapter of the
20	Florida Statutes. In essence, as I understand it,
21	in the past, you, the Airport Authority, has used
22	the procedure for selecting your professional
23	consultants in order to select auditors, which is
24	very much in line with what the statute now
25	provides, very, very similar in process.

- 1 The one thing that's in there that the
- 2 statute -- that -- that I point out in my memo,
- 3 the statute does provide that you can renew

4	existing contracts with auditors without going
5	through the auditor selection procedures.
6	You have renewed your contract with your
7	auditor previously, and you currently have a
8	contract with your auditor that I believe can be
9	renewed as well, if you chose to do that.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Thanks, Doug.
11	MR. GORMAN: The scope of the statute of the
12	audit has changed, though. The scope of the
13	audit. In other words, actually what has to be
14	addressed.
15	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's the same as it has
16	been for ten years.
17	MR. GORMAN: That statute I believe would
18	change the scope of the audit to be more of of
19	what we're pursuing now, which is comparative.
20	MR. WUELLNER: No.
21	MR. GORMAN: That's the way I'd read it and
22	that's the way it was read to me, so that's my
23	understanding of it.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Well
25	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, let's not belabor that
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006 16
	10

- 1 particular point right now. Let's go ahead and
- 2 finish the reports. I think we can talk about

3	that when we get up to that point.
4	MR. GORMAN: Sure.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: David Knight?
6	MR. KNIGHT: Dave Knight, the tower manager.
7	That particular chart is very difficult to see,
8	but for the month of January, if you look at the
9	numbers on the top left corner there, you see
10	9575. You'll notice that's lower than for the
11	years 2005 and 2004. Primarily, I guess that's
12	due to prices of fuel and perhaps the wind
13	conditions throughout the month.
14	I have a couple of more items. Do you have
15	any questions reference this?
16	The top line is the forecast, the 2023
17	forecast, basically. And the forecast for 2008
18	oh, I see. Wait a second.
19	Let's go to the other chart, if we can.
20	That's a goofy chart to look at. This is the one
21	we ought to be looking at here.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I note you don't have any
23	trouble giving me these charts when you're not
24	here for me to try to explain.
25	MR. KNIGHT: Okay. On this particular chart,

1	the dark blue line, or the black line, is the
2	forecast for 2008.
3	What I'm trying to represent here is, for the
4	year 2005 and 2004, we were actually above that
5	forecast line. So, we're a couple of years ahead
6	of the boat. However, for 2006 this year, we are
7	actually below the line with 9,575 operations for
8	the month of January. Now any questions?
9	Okay. I have a couple of other items I'd
10	like to mention that's important to pilots, if I
11	may. The AWOS, effective 1 February, the FAA
12	required that we turn off the telephone long-haul
13	circuit, as well as the dial-in telephone circuit
14	to the AWOS system.
15	So, if you're after the weather, you go to
16	call in that number, you'll find that it's out of
17	service. So, you either have to pick up the
18	weather via either the tower or via ATIS or via
19	Gainesville Flight Service Station or any other
20	way.
21	CHAIRMAN COX: What was the logic behind
22	that?
23	MR. KNIGHT: The bottom line is this: The
24	AWOS system provides a METAR report every 20
25	• /

25 minutes.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.

2	MR. KNIGHT: Well, METARs technically are
3	only supposed to be produced once an hour.
4	Now, since every time that goes in, it
5	counterdicts (sic) any other weather report that's
6	been submitted in the National Weather System or
7	any specials out there. So, that's the issue
8	there. Until they get it replaced, that's it.
9	I'd like to thank Mr. Wuellner for purchasing
10	the recording system for the control tower. Our
11	recorders have been on the blink for quite some
12	time, and we've been having some difficulty with
13	them. And we should have it actually physically
14	replaced within the next three or four weeks.
15	And the windows, we still have issues with
16	windows in terms of being able to look out the
17	windows, and that issue has come along and I guess
18	going to be solved here in the near future.
19	So, that's all I have if nobody has any
20	questions for me. Yes?
21	MR. GEORGE: I have a question for
22	Mr. Wuellner. Purchasing of new recording
23	equipment.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Replacement.
25	MR. GEORGE: How does that relate to the

1	budget? I mean or or is that the one
2	category where we had maintenance in there, that
3	that's what it comes out of?
4	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's it's
5	replacement of equipment that was
6	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. Oh, question.
8	MR. GORMAN: Have we got time?
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead.
10	MR. GORMAN: Just real quick. Just real
11	quick question. Just a clarification. And this
12	is an operational thing. But the clarification
13	is, the Taxiway Charlie is closed or not closed?
14	MR. KNIGHT: Taxiway Charlie is not closed;
15	however, it is inside the runway safety area.
16	Therefore, the control tower cannot have an
17	aircraft in that area while one is either landing
18	or taking off.
19	MR. GORMAN: Doesn't matter as to the class
20	of the airplane? It's just an aircraft, period?
21	MR. KNIGHT: An aircraft.
22	MR. GORMAN: An aircraft. Okay.
23	MR. KNIGHT: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Move on to member committee

20

1	7.A MPO
2	MR. BRUNSON: MPO met last month as usual,
3	and excellent meeting. And as everybody knows,
4	that MPO is the government agencies of the
5	counties and the and the cities and
6	authorities, and prioritize the Department of
7	Transportation monies and other federal monies and
8	so forth, the TRIP programs and a lot of different
9	things going on.
10	And I'm happy to say that I think because of
11	Bruce Maguire and the Airport Authority being
12	there and that we're making some good headway
13	in getting the priorities of things that we want
14	moved up, like 312 and I-95 and 210. And it's
15	it's a real positive group of people that seem
16	like they're coming together in all the counties,
17	Clay, Nassau, Duval.
18	And the only thing is that when there's
19	something heavy comes up, it seems like the mayor
20	of Jacksonville shows up and, you know, has got a
21	lot of clout with that board.
22	The other thing we're doing is, once a

23 quarter, the private industry meets there. And

- 24 this year, I'm chairman of the transportation
- 25 round table. And the last meeting we had, we had

1	all four railroads there. We had trucking lines.
	-
2	We had the DOT secretary there. We you know,
3	it was just a lot of good input of what they need.
4	This JAXPORT thing is doubling the the
5	bulk traffic coming into here, so the roads
6	leading to them are going to be a big issue. The
7	good thing about that, that economic impact of
8	JAXPORT is going to filter all the way through St.
9	Johns, Flagler, all around. It's just going to be
10	an unbelievable economic impact.
11	So, MPO is I think is well worth us to
12	continue to go.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Good show.
14	MR. BRUNSON: That's it.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: EDC?
16	7.B EDC
17	MR. GEORGE: Economic Development Committee
18	had some some comments at the breakfast for the
19	Chamber of Commerce the other day to have
20	George Congressman Mica
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.

- 22 MR. GEORGE: -- speak to us, and --
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: You attended that, right?
- 24 MR. GEORGE: -- you know, all of us to stay
- 25 on all of his good side and everything.

1	There was some interesting statistics that
2	they brought up about, you know, the number of
3	contacts that they are making. And they've got a
4	thrust to bring more business into the county.
5	And it looks like that they are well on that track
6	to, you know, to accomplishing that, because the
7	activity level is very much up. And all of them
8	want a nice airport, I might add.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: What just out of as a
10	curious curiosity, what are they doing to
11	increase traffic into the county? I mean, you're
12	talking like bottom line is increased dollars into
13	the county, right?
14	MR. GEORGE: The bottom line is to increase
15	the business
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
17	MR. GEORGE: into the county.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
19	MR. GEORGE: If you look at the percentages
20	of revenue that comes into the county, it's mostly

- 21 on the burden on the public, on the private --
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 23 MR. GEORGE: -- citizen. And so, they're
- 24 trying their best to get more businesses into the
- area, which will accommodate more people here, but

1	it also will pick up a you know, a bigger share
2	of economic impact to the to the
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Extrapolating that out, the
4	better and nicer the airport is, the more business
5	it will bring into the county.
6	MR. GEORGE: It has a positive effect, yes.
7	MR. BRUNSON: The the percentage is that
8	the residential homes contribute 88 percent of the
9	tax revenue, and the industry only contributes 12.
10	That's so out of balance.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Really, it is, yeah.
12	MR. BRUNSON: That's just
13	CHAIRMAN COX: That's surprising.
14	MR. BRUNSON: So, the EDC is really pushing
15	hard on it.
16	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Intergovernmental.
18	7.C INTERGOVERNMENTAL

- 19 MR. GEORGE: Intergovernmental has not met,
- 20 had a meeting since their last report. We have
- 21 one March 1st, so...
- 22 7.D. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: There's probably one other
- committee that we -- we attended, meaning myself,
- 25 was invited to attend the Industrial Development

24

- 1 Committee -- or Council, I guess it is,
- 2 technically.

3 They're meeting and holding a series of

4 meetings over the last several months analyzing

5 the -- the county's interest, being the county in

6 general's interest in developing public industrial

- 7 park facilities, that there's virtually no
- 8 publicly owned industrial parks in -- well, there
- 9 are none in St. Johns County. And that the IDC is
- 10 looking at where they may want to weigh in in
- 11 development of those kind of parks into the

12 future.

- 13 And they invited kind of a "Who's Who" from
- 14 various county agencies across the state that
- 15 develop industrial parks or have successfully
- 16 operated and developed parks to -- to provide them
- 17 some input. And we were invited to go over there

- 18 and -- and kind of add our two cents' worth into
- 19 the mix, because obviously some of those
- 20 industrial park projects can benefit -- can
- 21 benefit the airport and are also, as many of those
- 22 individuals, use the word testify, but certainly
- 23 made the statements during their meeting of the
- 24 importance of being near airport locations, not
- 25 necessarily on airports, but near, in allowing

25

- 1 their businesses -- their recruiting efforts into
- 2 those industrial parks to be successful.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Do you need to add that
- 5 committee as a committee report?
- 6 MR. BRUNSON: I sure would like to.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's -- it's -- they're

8 an interesting group. That's --

9 MR. GEORGE: They're significant enough,

10 yeah.

- 11 MR. BRUNSON: That's very significant.
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, let's add that on to
- 13 the -- from now on. Just add that in under the
- 14 member committee reports.
- 15 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

- 16 MR. GEORGE: Good.
- 17 CHAIRMAN COX: Project updates.
- 18 8. PROJECT UPDATES
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Today, we've got kind
- 20 of the usual laundry list of projects out there.
- 21 Land acquisition in Araquay Park; the south hangar
- apron development; hangars 8, 9 and 10; small
- 23 business development units, or -- or the incubator
- 24 project, as it's also being referred to; the
- 25 marketing and public relations; leasing

- 1 activities; and Master Plan's in there. And we
- 2 added an item, just as a reminder, for the
- 3 budget's comparative analysis.
- 4 First off the blocks, land acquisition,
- 5 Araquay Park, I'm pleased to report that we've
- 6 completed all the mediations as relates to Araquay
- 7 Park. We have met with each individual property
- 8 owner at this point. And equally pleased to
- 9 report that at this point, all but two properties
- 10 have settled, thereby negating the necessity to go
- 11 further in the court process with coming to
- 12 satisfactory settlements in the case with -- as
- 13 far as money goes on the properties.
- 14 There are two outstanding properties at this

15 point, one of which we feel very strongly will

16 settle very quickly. It's just simply a

17 communication issue with the attorney handling

18 that property and an owner that lives in Tampa.

19 It's not a significant -- it was a single vacant

20 lot that was back there, and off -- the feeling is

- 21 it will quickly resolve itself.
- 22 The other has an offer to settle that they

have about a 30-day period. It's about three and

- a half weeks left to execute or it moves into the
- 25 next phase. So, we'll -- we'll see how that one

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

27

1 falls out. But hopefully, the offers of judgment 2 will prove fruitful here and we'll avoid having to 3 go any further with -- with the process, meaning 4 it's completely done. 5 MR. BURNETT: And -- and if I could just 6 weigh in on one issue, and that is the -- the 7 phrase "offer of judgment" has a particular legal 8 significance, and so, if any of you are 9 interested, I can explain to you after the -- I'll 10 be happy to explain to you after the meeting related to what it -- what it means under the 11

12 Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and statutorily

- 13 as well, and the implications of it.
- 14 But as Ed said, they -- when you make an
- 15 offer of judgment, it's out there -- typically by
- 16 rule, it's out there for 30 days for them to
- 17 accept it. And if it's not accepted, it's
- 18 automatically terminated and then it moves on.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: And -- and it proved to be --
- 20 frankly, it proved to be a very worthwhile tool in
- 21 moving through the mediations, the -- the offer of
- 22 judgment, because it -- it certainly has some
- 23 implications with ongoing expenses related to
- 24 getting these things -- getting these things

accomplished.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 So, it -- and I'm sure, as Doug said, he can 2 go into details of the implications, but it 3 certainly has proved to be a relatively useful 4 tool in getting these things settled. 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you. 6 MR. WUELLNER: And again, we're still 7 anticipating an April date in the event any of 8 these actually end up at trial. So, hopefully 9 we'll avoid that whole thing here. 10 South apron developments, happy to report
- 11 that since our last meeting, Davey Tree has

12 basically been in and is out with very little work

13 left to be accomplished back there under that

- 14 contract. The tree relocations have been
- 15 completed. A total of 50 -- 51, I believe, is the
- 16 final count. Fifty-one trees were relocated as a
- 17 part of the project.
- 18 The site prep work, which included removal of
- all remaining vegetation in the apron project
- 20 area, that's been accomplished. The big tub
- 21 grinder came in, took care of all the debris.
- 22 That's been reworked. I think we're going to have
- a couple of very nice locations that were
- 24 reestablished with newly planted mature trees.
- 25 I'm really excited about the way it's -- it's

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 turning out there.
- 2 I think with a little augment on our part
- 3 with some -- some -- some ground-type plants,
- 4 not -- not trees, but, you know, things like
- 5 azaleas and hibiscus and things like that, that
- 6 can be, you know, worked into some of that
- 7 underbrush there, it's -- it's going to -- it'd be
- 8 attractive all year-round.
- 9 And there are presently -- I notice they were

10	in here in the last two days, that there's a
11	requirement within the bid specs to do some
12	seeding in areas that were disturbed that aren't
13	to be modified for pavement. So, they're doing
14	that right now. And they're also have been in
15	here almost daily doing tree care, watering and
16	and the like.
17	I I it's a very interesting process.
18	If I'll be happy to run a series of I've got
19	a little series of photos that we took during the
20	tree relocation process. I'll be happy to run
21	that as a slide show at the end of the meeting, if
22	anybody just wants to see how some of that was
23	done, but it was a very, very interesting process.
24	And I I thought it was kind of an
25	interesting I wish I'd had the camera with me

30

1 when w	vhen I w	hen I saw	it happen	ing, but
----------	----------	-----------	-----------	----------

2 literally, they were moving a very large oak tree,

3 which involves two cranes lifting this tree into a

4 new location once it's been -- been taken out of

5 its original location. And as the tree's still

6 swinging there in space, and they're getting ready

7 to lower it in a hole, and if you looked up in the

8 top of the tree, there's a woodpecker still

9	working away on the tree while it's hanging
10	suspended by by a crane.
11	So, it kind of illustrated a point. It was a
12	pretty pretty friendly relocation as it goes.
13	MR. GORMAN: Might just say that, I mean,
14	the the way that this whole development's being
15	done in an environmentally sensitive way should
16	be I mean, I feel it almost ought to be a
17	benchmark that should be provided or presented to
18	the county so that it can be something that has to
19	be produced by developers to have to be looked at
20	so that it could become a benchmark, rather than,
21	you know, because it is
22	MR. WUELLNER: As a developer, you're killing
23	him.
24	MR. GORMAN: Sorry, Randy, but
25	MR. WUELLNER: See him grab his heart?

31

- 1 MR. GEORGE: I heard there was going to be a
- 2 political cartoon, Jack, you standing, holding the
- 3 tree as it's swinging and the woodpecker's pecking
- 4 away.

- 5 MR. GORMAN: Works for me.
- MR. GEORGE: Works for you. 6

7	MR. WUELLNER: But I cannot compliment Davey
8	Tree enough through this. They have really
9	what a fantastic group. They they really
10	understand that business and and do a great job
11	of of how they move trees. That's what a
12	process.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Are you sure they didn't plant
14	the woodpecker?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I I don't even think they
16	knew it was there. I just happened to be standing
17	there with the gentleman from Florida DOT. He was
18	down here looking to see how their money was being
19	spent. And we he he was kind of in
20	disbelief with me when we're watching this thing
21	working away still at the top of the tree as it's
22	swinging from the crane.
23	MR. GORMAN: The point the point being the
24	development, instead of being a barren
25	wasteland-looking thing, is is

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: It's really nice.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Environmentally is --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: I'm --
- 4 MR. GORMAN: -- properly done.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I'm very pleased with how it's

6 going back there.

7	CHAIRMAN COX: Good job, Jack.
8	MR. GORMAN: No, it's a quest or whatever.
9	MR. WUELLNER: You have also fencing and
10	access changes, are are pretty close to
11	completion. Most of the fencing is up or work in
12	the the gate location down Estrella Avenue, and
13	hopefully they're telling me by the end of next
14	week, that should be operational. That will
15	replace the two gates that exist down there, which
16	has the spinoff benefit by its location of now
17	allowing on-airport access from the various
18	T-hangar areas to occur entirely within the fence,
19	also, without constructing any new pavement or new
20	roads there. So, it's a nice spinoff benefit
21	there.
22	And we're still waiting FAA grant for an
23	apron. Mr. George attended a quickie meeting with
24	Congressman Mica as of last week. I don't
25	remember exactly when it was. But didn't get any

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 real answers, but promised to continue to follow
- 2 up with FAA on that. Hopefully, that funding
- 3 decision will be made fairly soon here and we can

4	get off get off and rolling with the
5	construction part of it.
6	Eastside development area, hangars 8, 9, and
7	10. The bid, we sent to FDOT for their
8	concurrence in the low bid. I do need to make you
9	aware that we did reject the low bidder that y'all
10	approved last at the last meeting because of
11	some issues related to the contract.
12	They decided that a number of items within
13	that bid, they did not feel like they bid on and
14	that they were going to look to us for change
15	orders to add work back in, things such as
16	landscaping, and there was some some
17	site-related work, all of which which were in the
18	document. They just either chose to ignore or
19	or failed to address in their bid, and as such,
20	felt like they didn't bid it adequately.
21	We approached the number-two bidder. They
22	agreed to do it for the price that number one did.
23	So, it didn't cost you any more money, but we
24	moved to the second bidder in this case. And
25	that's still pending FDOT concurrence. So,

- 1 nothing's been signed, you know, but that's --
- 2 that's where it is right now. And absent any real

3	objection you guys have to that, we'll we'll
4	execute that contract when it does show up when
5	it's when it's time to sign it.
6	You seem to have a question?
7	MR. GORMAN: No. My concern was the overages
8	of the entire project, of the the percentage of
9	the entire project that, as it comes in now,
10	versus what it was initially presented at.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And this this keeps
12	it exactly where it was. In fact, the company,
13	the number-two bidder, which is Billco
14	Construction, has been actually actively we met
15	yesterday again actively working with our
16	engineer to continue to find value engineering
17	alternatives that within the project that
18	actually are going to continue to reduce the total
19	project costs instead of it escalating.
20	MR. GORMAN: But, Ed, you're talking about at
21	\$1.8, not at \$1-, right?
22	MR. GEORGE: I think we had \$1.3 in the
23	budget originally.
24	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, I understand. Right.
25	MR. GEORGE: You're talking

1	MR. WUELLNER: Still at \$1.9, I think it was,
2	or \$1.8, whatever the magic number was. I don't
3	remember what it was.
4	MR. GEORGE: To to carry on with
5	Mr. Gorman's comments
6	MR. WUELLNER: It has not exceeded that.
7	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
8	MR. GEORGE: That's going to make us have to
9	go back and relook at our 10-year plan if these
10	are the construction costs that we're looking
11	at
12	MR. WUELLNER: Right. And that
13	MR. GEORGE: and to make the viability of
14	that plan.
15	MR. GORMAN: Right.
16	MR. WUELLNER: That's a separate effort
17	that's going on still.
18	MR. GORMAN: Buzz's comment is my point, yes.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Passero is looking at those
20	projects now. And we're still hoping to have some
21	to you in at the March meeting that looks at
22	how it what the implications are with the
23	forecast plan.
24	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
25	MR. BRUNSON: That and insurance are our two

1	1 .	. 1 .
	hia	thinga
1	1112	things.
-	<u> </u>	

2	MR. WUELLNER: Right. And I think Doug's
3	got I don't he may have glossed over on your
4	thing, but we the self-insurance actually
5	MR. BURNETT: Oh, yeah.
6	MR. WUELLNER: he had a suggestion for us,
7	but I don't know whether you want to do that right
8	now or you want to
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah. Go ahead. Fine, yeah.
10	MR. BURNETT: I can if that's okay with
11	y'all.
12	On the issue of self-insurance, typically,
13	our firm, and in asking the folks in my firm who
14	deal more frequently with insurance company and
15	insurance-related issues, we have not, and
16	typically other law firms that we know of in the
17	area, don't provide the kind advice to their
18	clients related to whether or not you should
19	self-insure.
20	There are consulting firms out there that do
21	provide that kind of advice to, not just
22	government entities, but also businesses as well.
23	And there's a couple of them that we know of that
24	are out of Tallahassee. There's probably more.
25	It may be the kind of thing that you want us

37

1	to provide you some names to look at and and
2	maybe have them come and at least talk to you
3	about, or maybe it's the kind of thing you want to
4	advertise for. I I don't know.
5	But that's that's where that issue is from
6	our standpoint. It's not the kind of thing we can
7	really advise you on.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: What time
9	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not sure we even know the
10	questions to ask yet.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: This is one of them. What's
12	the time line that we would need to ask the
13	question?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Well, what I thought we'd do
15	is get one of the names of the companies and
16	and just set up a little discussion with them, at
17	least on a staff level, see what is involved,
18	what what's the data that needs to be gathered
19	to even begin a discussion.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: All right.
21	MR. WUELLNER: And a lot of those firms are
22	very good at helping you develop an RFQ or an RFP
23	or something that allows you to then look out and
24	see who does this work and pick somebody to do

file:///Sl/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2006%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20022206.txt[11/16/2010 2:18:03 PM]

1	you've talked to somebody that knows what they're
2	looking at.
3	And, you know, you're outside of my area, by
4	far, of expertise in that. We need to get good
5	professional advice on it.
6	MR. GORMAN: Don't we need to move ahead on
7	that? Because we don't really have a choice.
8	MR. WUELLNER: We'll do that in the we'll
9	do that before the March meeting and have some
10	idea what
11	MR. GORMAN: All right.
12	MR. WUELLNER: I'll have talked to somebody
13	by March and we'll see where what the advice is
14	to move forward from then. Because I frankly just
15	don't know.
16	MR. BURNETT: And I'll I'll follow up by
17	giving getting that information to Ed as far as
18	a couple of names, firms that he can talk to about
19	it.
20	MR. WUELLNER: And and as promised, going
21	back to 8, 9, and 10 here, I I did promise we'd
22	work with FDOT on the grant issues. And you've
23	got an agenda item a little bit later that deals

24 with the -- with the results of that -- those

25 meetings with FDOT.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	Small business development units. As Doug
2	mentioned earlier, he did has done some issues,
3	you know, research on legal issues and some
4	we've done some internal research and discussion.
5	As a part of actually, this came out of
6	the chairman of the IDC, Industrial Development
7	Council here locally, approached me after a
8	speaking engagement at Rotary back in December, I
9	believe it was, and said, Look, you know, our IDC
10	is involved in detail with this Enterprise North
11	Florida, which is a company out of Jacksonville,
12	set up as a not-for-profit corporation. They
13	operate an incubator in Jacksonville. It's a
14	technology incubator. They have a lot of
15	experience and great folks there; can we put you
16	in touch with them? Which they ultimately did.
17	We've had a number of meetings. We've gone
18	up there I've gone up there once. Bryan's been
19	up there a couple of times, and I think he's at
20	least one board member's gone along. And we'd
21	like to get all of you up there over the next

- 22 next month, just to see what they -- what they are
- all about.
- 24 But basically, they are involved -- they
- 25 operate an incubator in -- in Jacksonville. They

40

1 could assist us.

20

2 One of the first efforts we talked about was 3 looking at a -- a fairly small-scale, small-scope 4 feasibility study to see if this even makes sense 5 to -- from -- from putting the economics together 6 on it. Does it -- does it make sense? 7 Their first blush, just hearing what we had 8 to say and what we're talking about, it probably 9 does, but let -- let's be sure that we're going to 10 be spending the public's money, so to speak, 11 and -- and making sense out here with it. 12 But they're -- they're very good at aspects 13 that we would probably not be real good at, 14 primarily because of the experience they bring to 15 the table. With applicant screening, 16 administrative assistance, they know how they work 17 successfully. 18 They can monitor the program as we go along, 19 that is, dealing with the individual tenants that

might be in the -- in the thing. They can help

- 21 with the application process in screening those
- 22 candidates for that to assure they're good fits
- for the incubator itself.
- 24 They also have some very interesting ties to
- a couple of venture capital firms in Jacksonville,

1	which allows provide a funding mechanism for
2	businesses typically that are in between,
3	businesses that are startup, not mature enough to
4	normally qualify for decent commercial financing.
5	So, there are private investors out there
6	that that get involved in a venture capital
7	setting. And they facilitate a couple of those
8	venture capital firms with and it kind of
9	inside their organization. They're not they're
10	separate entities, but they're involved with them
11	in detail. So, it it may very well be an
12	interesting fit.
13	We provided you a folder, kind of a I
14	don't know what color that is, but it's kind of a
15	dark purple color folder. Had some basic
16	information about Enterprise North Florida.
17	I would very much like for them to come down
18	and give you guys kind of an overview and briefing

- 19 of what they do and the like at -- at the March
- 20 meeting. And I would ask them to be prepared to
- 21 discuss what the feasibility would be all about,
- the scope of work related to that, and what costs
- 23 would be involved in conducting that, at that
- 24 March meeting, so that if it's something you want
- 25 to pursue with this group that, you know, perhaps

1	we can fast-track it from a decision-making
2	standpoint over the next couple of months and get
3	started so that we can deal with, from a budget
4	issue, moving forward into next year.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Invite them down. Let's put
6	them on the agenda. Absolutely.
7	MR. WUELLNER: And I would again encourage
8	anybody that's not made the trip up I think
9	I know Mr. Gorman's been up, but anybody else
10	that's not been up there, I would really encourage
11	you to it's worth the couple-of-hour trip to
12	in total to go up there, see what they're all
13	about, you know, and understand the operation a
14	little bit and how it works, the mechanics and
15	stuff, and and bring that little bit of
16	understanding back.
17	I think it'd help understand what it's all

18 about.

- 19 MR. GORMAN: I was impressed with them, only
- because it's what they do. 20
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 22 MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's what they
- 23 do. They take people that have promise, that
- could make money, and they fill in the holes. 24
- They actually -- they actually provide a matrix 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	that that people with skills can fit into, and
2	then make a business plan that works.
3	And if the business plan doesn't work, they
4	don't approve it. So, it made sense to me.
5	MR. GEORGE: Ed, maybe you could call up
6	there and find out some acceptable dates to them
7	and then get back with us. There's no sense in us
8	all going up individually, unless we have a
9	sunshine law problem with all of us going to
10	review something at the same time without
11	advertising it in the Farmer's Almanac.
12	MR. WUELLNER: I I think you
13	potentially and I'm not the attorney, but I
14	think you potentially have a problem, because
15	you're not just information-gathering; you

- 16 potentially end up in a contract situation with
- 17 this company.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Then we need to go --
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: If you -- if you weren't,
- 20 there was no contract involved, you were just
- 21 simply going to look at the operation and that was
- it, you know, I don't see where you'd have an
- 23 issue. But this has the ability to be a conflict.
- 24 MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: That's what he said.

- 1 MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: And fine. That answered my --
- 3 MR. BURNETT: You could -- you could
- 4 advertise it and see how many people showed up for
- 5 the road trip, I guess, and if you got a big
- 6 enough vehicle to take everybody who shows up,
- 7 then it's a advertised public meeting and you
- 8 don't have a problem.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Marketing and public relations.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: All right. Excuse me.
- 11 Marketing and public relations. Air show, we've
- 12 had on this spot for a couple of weeks -- or a
- 13 couple of months. You have a request for
- 14 assistance. It's actually an agenda item a little

15 bit later, so we'll mention it, deal with it	15	bit later.	so we'll	mention	it.	deal	with	it
---	----	------------	----------	---------	-----	------	------	----

17	We had several of the people helping us
18	develop this decided to take a leisurely cruise in
19	the Caribbean for several weeks during the middle
20	of this, so we're a few weeks behind on this, so
21	expect it next month. And unfortunately it was
22	not me that was on the cruise.
23	Leasing activities, nothing new to report at
24	this point.

25 And still awaiting action on the FAA on

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 the -- on the Master Plan, I can tell you that
- 2 there were a couple of small iteration, just
- 3 depiction changes they wanted on the -- on the
- 4 Master Plan ALP, which is just how they were --
- 5 the line work, as it was being shown. It's not a
- 6 substantive change. It's more of a depiction
- 7 change. FAA is kind of picky on how it's -- how
- 8 certain things are depicted on the drawings, so --
- 9 still two weeks? Okay. Well, that's better than
- 10 it was.
- 11 All right. Competitive -- "Competitive."
- 12 Comparative analysis, your -- just remind that

- 13 your workshop is scheduled for the 27th at 3:00,
- 14 which is next Monday.
- 15 And that concludes project updates.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Okey-doke. Let's move on to
- 17 action items here.
- 18 9.A. RESOLUTION 2006-01 LINE OF CREDIT
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First item I've got is
- 20 pertaining to Resolution 2006-01. This
- 21 resolution, as promised, basically reestablishes
- the line of credit with Wachovia bank and features
- a three-year draw-down period and no prepayment
- 24 penalty after three years within the terms of the

agreement.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

46

1	You have a copy of the resolution we provided
2	to you before the meeting. I'm not going to read
3	that unless we we need to. But it's our
4	recommendation to go ahead and approve it.
5	It's the basically codifies the the
6	corporate resolution related to the borrowing
7	document itself. And that resolution authorizes
8	your the Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer to
9	authorize the to execute the note itself.
10	MR. BURNETT: And and just to add, you

11 you may recall that Wachovia was originally the --

12 the line of credit that was established with a

13 similar note as what's here, and you went out

14 for -- you requested proposals. And Wachovia came

- 15 back in with the, I guess the best proposal. And
- 16 this is essentially a renewal of what was already

17 in place.

- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: And I would -- I have it noted

20 later in housekeeping, but just for your

- 21 edification, we didn't -- we did go ahead and
- 22 close out the previous line of credit in -- toward
- the end of January. So, that has -- that is

totally repaid.

25 CHAIRMAN COX: You're looking for action on

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

47

- 1 this resolution, correct?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, you need to adopt

3 Resolution 2006-01.

- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Any discussion on it?
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: Any -- anybody have any

6 discussion?

- 7 MR. GORMAN: Just -- just one. Is there --
- 8 is there -- is there an interest rate or anything

9 that is different?

10 CHAIRMAN COX: Better	0	CHAIRMAN	COX:	Better ?
-------------------------	---	----------	------	----------

11 MR. GORMAN: Hopefully.

12 MR. WUELLNER: It's the same percentage of

13 the LIBOR, the London Interbank Rate. That's --

14 it's the same as it was in the original

15 solicitation, which is a function of whatever

16 current market conditions are when you actually

17 borrow the money.

18 MR. GORMAN: So, we're still -- it is a

19 current --

20 MR. WUELLNER: It's a fraction of the --

21 MR. GORMAN: It is a current -- it is a

22 current market contract, rather than --

23 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

24 MR. GORMAN: -- nothing -- there's nothing

fixed. That probably answers my question.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

48

1 MR. WUELLNER: So is your -- your old one,

2 though.

3 MR. BURNETT: You -- you do have the ability

4 to -- to convert it to a fixed rate.

5 MR. WUELLNER: It's at our option at the

6 point of conversion.

7 MR. GEORGE: Right. That was the same as it

8 was before, right.

9 MR. BRUNSON: Same.

10	MR. WUELLNER: The only difference is, if
11	you in this case you had a prepayment
12	penalty clause that was in the the original
13	loan document. This, if you elect not to prepay
14	the loan for a period of three years, after three
15	years, there is no prepayment. You you can
16	lump-sum it at any time from then. So, it it
17	is more to our advantage.
18	MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion we reestablish
19	the line of credit with Wachovia and approve
20	Resolution 2006-01.
21	MR. GEORGE: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: We have a motion and a second
23	on the floor. Any discussion?
24	(No discussion.)
25	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: The ayes have it. There's
- 6 just four people here. No reason to ask for nays.

7	Okay. Agenda item 2006-01 has been passed.
8	9.B RESOLUTION 2006-02 - CORPORATE HANGARS
9	MR. WUELLNER: All right. Next item I have
10	is Resolution 2006-02. This is a resolution that
11	creates a prequalified Joint Participation
12	Agreement with FDOT related to hangars 8 through
13	11. The this provides two separate two
14	years of funding in addition to an existing grant
15	of \$325,000. And this is FDOT share only, just
16	just for your edification.
17	This funds would begin to be available in
18	July of this year, with the \$750,000 being
19	available in July of next year. So, there's what
20	I would consider to be a potential of minor
21	cash-flow issue, but I don't even really see that
22	happening in the the length of time it would
23	take to do this project will be the end of this
24	current calendar year anyway and into next fiscal
25	year.

- CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson? 1
- MR. BRUNSON: Refresh my memory. What's the 2
- 3 percentage matching funds?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: These will be at 50 percent.
- So, you will have the entirety of hangars 8, 9, 5

6 and 10 at 50 percent.

7	CHAIRMAN COX: So, since nobody else has
8	commented on, you want to define hangar 11 for us?
9	MR. GEORGE: That was mine. That was one of
10	mine.
11	MR. WUELLNER: I'm really proud of you.
12	Hangar 11 is actually a new hangar of
13	undetermined location or size. But in order to
14	we are fairly certain at this point that you will
15	have about a total of about \$325,000, maybe even a
16	little more, that will be surplus funds within the
17	terms of the grant, which this will allow you to
18	apply that \$325,000 to a hangar that you develop
19	later on.
20	It's it's a way of moving the money, the
21	surplus money forward rather than risk using
22	losing the money to another airport project
23	somewhere else in the state. So, it allows you to
24	retain that \$325,000 of hard-fought FDOT money
25	MR. BRUNSON: And and

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

51

1 MR. WUELLNER: -- and keep it available for

- 2 our use.
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: And for them to fund this, they

4	don't want to know where it's going to be and
5	MR. WUELLNER: They're
6	MR. BRUNSON: how big it is and
7	MR. WUELLNER: They're satisfied it will be
8	on the airport
9	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
10	MR. WUELLNER: at this point. Later on,
11	we'll have to, as we do with every project, submit
12	the details of the of the project.
13	This is prequalified, meaning that another
14	reason we have not executed the contract with the
15	contractor on this job is that this agreement
16	needs to be executed and a date applied to it by
17	the state before any construction documents are
18	are executed in order to keep all of the costs
19	eligible for reimbursement by the state.
20	A little technicality, but it's not affecting
21	the the time of the project at all because
22	they the preliminary work going on, anyway.
23	CHAIRMAN COX: Discussion on '06-2?
24	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I have a clarification to
25	make. We had originally budgeted 8, 9, and 10 at
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 \$1.3 million, and now it's at \$1.9. Are you
- 2 telling me that this -- these funds are additional

3	to our budget, which will now make that entire
4	\$1.9 million project a 50/50 deal?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
6	MR. GEORGE: So, the difference in \$1.3 and
7	\$1.9, the airport only has to come up with
8	\$300,000 of it.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
10	MR. GEORGE: Through this new grant we've
11	taken the, you know, other \$300
12	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
13	MR. GEORGE: from the Department of
14	Transportation.
15	MR. WUELLNER: And and in fact, you
16	have you'll have surplus at the end you can
17	apply to a project next year. We'll have grant
18	money we can move into another hangar project next
19	year.
20	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I have \$1.9, the
21	government is going to pay half of it; we're going
22	to pay half of it; and when we get done, we're
23	going to have \$300,000 left over. I I don't
24	understand.
25	MR. WUELLNER: You missed

1	MR. BRUNSON: The grant
2	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you missed the first
3	part where we have an existing grant of \$325,000.
4	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
5	MR. WUELLNER: You have \$325- plus this
6	million dollars. So, you really have \$1.325
7	million
8	MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: of grant money available.
10	The project right now is at \$1.8, \$1.9, whatever
11	that number is. You you'll have everything
12	above that amount available to move forward to
13	hangar 11
14	MR. GEORGE: I gotcha.
15	MR. WUELLNER: at a
16	MR. GEORGE: Can we just use their money to
17	pay our portion of the \$300,000?
18	MR. WUELLNER: No.
19	MR. GEORGE: No. I like the way you said
20	that, "No."
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Does that is that specific
22	grant money, is it earmarked and it's only for
23	hangar development, or can we use it for some
24	other purpose?
25	MR. WUELLNER: Currently, we'd it will be

1	able to use for either 8, 9, 10, or 11.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
3	MR. GEORGE: No no more tree moving.
4	MR. WUELLNER: You have additional grants
5	coming starting next, I believe next year already,
6	for additional site development work. So, you
7	you have options moving forward for other types of
8	work.
9	So, you will need to adopt 2006-02.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: You're looking for action on
11	this agenda item.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Accept their million dollars.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Any further discussion on it?
14	(No further discussion.)
15	CHAIRMAN COX: I'll entertain a motion.
16	MR. BRUNSON: I think I understand it enough
17	to make a motion that we adopt 2006-02.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Do I hear a second?
19	MR. GEORGE: I will second that motion.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: We have a motion on the floor
21	that's been seconded. Any further discussion?
22	(No further discussion.)
23	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor?
24	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
25	MR. GEORGE: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
2	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: The ayes have it. Moving
4	forward?
5	9.C AIR SHOW
6	MR. WUELLNER: Last action item I have is
7	related to the air show, which is I put the
8	dates up there May 6th and 7th of this year.
9	You have a copy of a letter from the air show
10	requesting our assistance in putting this on
11	besides the obvious use of the airport to to
12	hold the show.
13	They're requesting direct financial
14	assistance through the donation of approximately
15	1,200 gallons of av. gas to the event to be used
16	for performer aircraft. That has a value, based
17	on wholesale price, of about \$3,000, round
18	numbers.
19	Additionally, they would like us to provide
20	about 10,000 feet of crowd-control fencing, which
21	includes posts and all the things to put it up,
22	which is an approximate expenditure right now of
23	about \$5,800 to do.
24	They would also like to use some equipment

25 that the Airport Authority owns through the event,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	which includes a couple of generators and some
2	radios. You need you needed to decide whether
3	those were appropriate
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Expenditures?
5	MR. WUELLNER: expenditures.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Or allocations? All right.
7	MR. WUELLNER: My suggestion is that the
8	fence could be purchased and retained by the
9	airport, rather than turned over to the air show,
10	so that it could be used year after year, so the
11	ownership would remain with the airport. So, it
12	would just be more of a routine-in-nature
13	expenditure on the airport, other than just the
14	sheer quantity of fence.
15	And the obviously the gas, you know, is
16	would be, once it's gone, it's gone in terms of
17	the expenditure. So, that that is more in the
18	order of a cash donation, although it's it's
19	actually in the product.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: I see Ms. Upchurch here.
21	Would you care care to comment on anything? Do
22	you have any anything you'd like to say to the
23	board concerning the air show?

24 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Well --

25 CHAIRMAN COX: If -- if you wouldn't mind,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	just if you if you want to say anything, you
2	need to stand up and tell them who you are and
3	MR. WUELLNER: We can bring it to you.
4	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: I wasn't prepared to say
5	anything.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I just I didn't know
7	if you wanted to. That's fine. You don't have
8	to.
9	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: No. I just hope that
10	you will see the importance
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
12	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: of this event to the
13	community. And it is a charity benefit.
14	MR. BRUNSON: Ed, I have some questions. Ed,
15	can you tell me on just the self-serve gas, how
16	much money we make a year?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Not off the top of my head.
18	MR. BRUNSON: Off the top of your head?
19	MR. GEORGE: Maybe I can. But what how
20	much do we make where?
21	MR. BRUNSON: On the self-serve, what what

```
file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\% 20 Mtg\% 20 Info/Minutes/2006\% 20 Minutes/Board\% 20 Meeting\% 20022206.txt [11/16/2010 2:18:03 PM]
```

- 22 our profit --
- 23 MR. GEORGE: About \$25,000 a year.
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: Twenty-five thousand?
- 25 MR. GEORGE: I think that's what it was last

1 year, Ed.

2	MR. WUELLNER: That's probably pretty close.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. I want to say that I
4	support the air show wholeheartedly, and I think
5	it's a great thing. But I'm having problems. And
6	I still haven't made a decision that we're giving
7	away \$3,000 of the taxpayers' money.
8	And this airport is not self-sufficient,
9	and and I'm but I know how valuable this is
10	to have an air show here. And I personally would
11	contribute financially, and I am going to
12	contribute my time.
13	And I strongly recommend anything we can do
14	at at in-kind, but I'm having a hard time
15	spending almost \$9,000 in the percentages that
16	that we make here and how much the \$3 million that
17	we bring in from taxpayers, to to put on an air
18	show.
19	And and I don't know the air show
20	business, but I I wonder if some airports

- 21 charge the air shows for -- for showing.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
- 23 MR. BRUNSON: And I don't think we're going
- to charge the air show. So, I hope we have some
- discussion on this, though, so I can make a good

59

1 decision.

2	But I want to tell Sandy, I applaud what
3	you've done, and I will support it personally all
4	I can. But I'm having a hard time with the
5	Airport Authority in kind of cosponsoring with
6	money and and things. And and I believe
7	there might even be a little liability issue
8	there, as we had for the Young Eagle Fly-In.
9	And these are some concerns I have. But I
10	hope we have some discussions that would clear my
11	mind a little bit about this.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, do you want to donate
13	\$3,000?
14	MR. GEORGE: I heard \$8,800. I wrote it
15	down. Thanks, Randy.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Jack, you have some
17	MR. GORMAN: Well, you constantly hear from
18	the public about this airport and why do I care

- about the airport? Well, this is a reason to care
- 20 about the airport. And this is another reason to
- 21 tie this into both public relations and to
- 22 carefully in a very -- using a very tiny budget --
- tiny. I say "tiny," but a small budget, tie this
- 24 into some type of a presentation that you can use
- 25 to promote this airport and its useful nature to

60

1	the public. It's a good thing.
2	I mean and so, this is where that public
3	relations need we need to work on it so that
4	the paper buys it as as being a good thing, so
5	that it's presented to the public, rather than
6	just shows up one day and disappears again.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?
8	MR. GEORGE: Which budget line item has
9	the
10	MR. GORMAN: Well, that's a good question.
11	MR. GEORGE: money for this? Now, I
12	I'm we have money in the budget for public
13	relations and for doing these event events and
14	everything. I just want to know if
15	MR. WUELLNER: And that is
16	MR. GEORGE: Is that where it's going to come

from?

18 MR. WUELLNER:	That is where these	would come
------------------	---------------------	------------

19 out of.

20 MR. GEORGE: Is there enough money there to

21 cover this and the other things that you wanted to

22 do that you feel are important to the airport?

23 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. If you -- if you

recall, when we were developing the budget,

this -- this was already on the table as an event.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

61

1 MR. GEORGE: Right.

2 MR. WUELLNER: And we did build -- build

3 money into that line item to be -- to be available

4 to expend. At that time, the -- the collective

5 feeling of the board was it was important, you

6 wanted to be involved, and we told you it

7 needed -- you know, we need some budget impact,

8 and we put it in there.

9 It's -- it's up to you now to decide whether

10 you want to appropriate it in this manner or some

11 other manner.

12 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. I tried to find it in

13 the line item.

14 MR. WUELLNER: It's strictly under

15 promotional.

- 16 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: It doesn't have its own line

18 item.

- 19 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. And I saw promotional,
- 20 but I didn't read air show into that line item.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, you bring up some very
- 22 good points, and I have just some bullet points
- that I think we need to cover and -- and that can
- absolutely be addressed. And I'm sure the
- 25 director will assist in -- in addressing them with

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

62

- 1 the air show coordinators.
- 2 And -- and you bring up a good point about
- 3 liability, but typically, there's -- the air show
- 4 would buy insurance on that, and the airport will
- 5 be basically held harmless for, if you will --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: And a named insured --
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: That's simplifying it, but, I

8 mean, yeah.

- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Additional insured.
- 10 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: But some issues I have with it
- 12 are going to be parking, which I think you're
- 13 going to address, and law enforcement
- 14 coordination, and also another issue, because

15	the the air show box, if you will, will be over
16	the water, so the Coast Guard's going to have to
17	sterilize that area. And and those are issues
18	that need to be addressed as far as the lateral
19	boundaries for the jet teams which will be flying
20	around.
21	And these these are things that we've
22	we're 60 days out so or somewhere around in
23	that time frame. But these are issues that are
24	going to have to be taken care of fairly quickly.

25 MR. GORMAN: Explain the "sterilize," Bob. I

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

63

- 1 don't quite -- yeah.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, just typically, if it's
- 3 over water --
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: -- the coast Guard or law
- 6 enforcement, if you will, does not allow any

7 boaters or boats into that area, because the

- 8 aircraft cannot fly over active -- actively --
- 9 MR. GORMAN: Just for hazard to the public.
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely. Yeah. I

11 understand.

12 MR. WUELLNER: Any area where the aerobatic

13	maneuver is being accomplished has to be devoid of
14	the public, so
15	MR. BRUNSON: Well, may I ask a question? In
16	the typical air show, is this 1200 gallons, as
17	as you know the scope of this air show is to be,
18	is that a number that
19	CHAIRMAN COX: It's different at every air
20	because you've got a tremendous number of
21	performers at some
22	MR. BRUNSON: In other words
23	CHAIRMAN COX: and different airplanes
24	and
25	MR. BRUNSON: What's-his-name's F-86 could

64

- 1 eat that up.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: We ain't going to be using
- 3 that kind of fuel anyway. That's a good point.
- 4 Who's going to provide the jet fuel for the --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Really, I don't know.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Anyway --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: This is just what we've been

8 asked.

- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Those are -- those are issues
- 10 that will be answered in the near future, I'm

11 sure.

12	MR. WUELLNER: And that's not us, because we
13	don't have any.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Right. I know. Well, that's
15	the point. So, yeah. That would eat up \$1,200
16	pretty quick.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Would it be would it be
18	proper to ask for some people that are in the
19	audience that have experience in air shows, if
20	they'd want to comment on this agenda item?
21	MR. GEORGE: I think that Sandy has been
22	putting this whole air show together, and I would
23	like to invite her to the mic to answer, you know,
24	or make a comment on Bob's questions.
25	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: David, maybe you could
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 help me with this.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Along with David.
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: And Mike.
- 4 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: We will attempt.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Because if we can get them
- 6 solved now, we can go on and not have to bring it
- 7 up --
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: And I don't think you're going
- 9 to be able to -- we need to get some answers to

10	the questions, but these are things that are
11	probably going to need to be taken care of with
12	the director, too, in coordination with him.
13	Okay.
14	MR. KNIGHT: Bob, in regards to your Coast
15	Guard, to my understanding, Chuck West is working
16	that particular issue
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
18	MR. KNIGHT: and will have the boats
19	posted out there
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
21	MR. KNIGHT: to stop boats going into the
22	little inlet portion where the seaplane ramp is.
23	And that should be enough to cover that aspect.
24	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Did you want to talk
25	about the

66

- 1 MR. GEORGE: So, the Sheriff's Department is
- 2 taking responsibility for that, right? Is that
- 3 what you said? The Sheriff's Department is taking

4 responsibility --

- 5 MR. KNIGHT: That is correct.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: -- for keeping that area clean?
- 7 MR. KNIGHT: He -- he's working that issue --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

9	MR. KNIGHT: okay? In regards to the
10	airspace issue, in terms of the
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Jet box?
12	MR. KNIGHT: Aerobatic box
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
14	MR. KNIGHT: jet box. There's two
15	different ones.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
17	MR. KNIGHT: They are working out those
18	issues in regards to, let's say the north
19	corporate or the North 40 area, whereby those
20	buildings are vacated so there's nobody in
21	there
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
23	MR. KNIGHT: basically for the jet box.
24	And they're still working out those issues. In
25	regards to the smaller aircraft, using the

- 1 aerobatic box, that will work out fine.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
- 3 MR. KNIGHT: We can do that.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
- 5 MR. KNIGHT: Traffic flow, Chuck West has
- 6 brought in some folks in regards to looking at the

- 7 traffic flow, both in and out of the airport.
- 8 Whether or not all that's been brought to
- 9 Mr. Wuellner yet or not, I'm not familiar with.
- 10 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: I think that he has a
- 11 diagram of the layout that we've done with
- 12 parking. We plan to park most of the vehicles on
- 13 the field and then use some space at the
- 14 racetrack. There's a field there. And we'll
- 15 shuttle --
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Shuttle people?
- 17 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: -- in there.
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Good.
- 19 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: And if there's any space
- 20 available in the Araquay Park that we can park
- 21 cars in, if the ground is suitable at that time.
- 22 MR. GEORGE: I think --
- 23 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Did you have some
- 24 questions about liability?
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: I -- I think --

- 1 MR. GEORGE: I did.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: -- one of the board members
- 3 had it.
- 4 MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Yeah. We have named
- 5 the -- the Authority as -- as an additional

6	insured on the policy.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. All right.
8	MR. BRUNSON: That's good news.
9	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: As well as the Pilots
10	Association, even though they are not acting as a
11	group, but we have named them, as well as the
12	principals of the air show. And, of course, all
13	volunteers and
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Right. Okay.
15	MR. BRUNSON: And you know why I bring that.
16	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Yes, I understand why
17	you bring that up.
18	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. And and you're
19	comfortable with that insurance, additionally
20	insured, for
21	MR. BURNETT: I haven't seen it. I don't
22	know the specifics of it. I'll follow up with
23	Mr. Wuellner to
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
25	MR. WUELLNER: We haven't seen it, either.

1 CHAIRMAN COX: The coordination's continual

2 on -- on this. It's -- it's rather fluid right

3 now because we're still moving forward.

4	MR. BURNETT: It's routine, though, to have			
5	additional insureds.			
6	MR. BRUNSON: This is such a small request,			
7	but I still feel strongly that			
8	MR. WUELLNER: I I can tell you that it			
9	would be fairly unusual for an airport to actually			
10	charge, other than for the purposes of			
11	establishing the that there's actually a			
12	contract. So, a nominal amount of, you know, \$10			
13	or a hundred dollars to use the airfield to			
14	establish it as a real contract.			
15	MR. BRUNSON: And and by making this			
16	in-kind contribution, is there anything legally			
17	that this money is all going to the Council on			
18	Aging?			
19	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Yes, it is.			
20	MR. BRUNSON: And so, we're saying that we			
21	support donating money to the Council on Aging.			
22	Is is that any			
23	MR. BURNETT: Well, I think the the			
24	proceeds that the air show generates, that those			
25	folks generate's going to the Council on Aging.			
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEB			

- MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Yes. 1
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Right.

3	MR. BURNETT: Your contribution up there				
4	that's on the screen, where part of it, I guess,				
5	is is just the use of, because the generators,				
6	the radios, and the and the transceivers is the				
7	use of them. The crowd control fence, I guess the				
8	airport will maintain will retain that and have				
9	it for future use.				
10	Really, I guess what your the main issue				
11	that sticks out there that you had brought up is				
12	the av. gas. And I guess it's although the				
13	word "donation" is up there, I guess the from a				
14	legal standpoint, and one that, if you take that				
15	action, what I would advise you is it's not a				
16	donation, but it is an expenditure you are making				
17	for marketing and public relations reasons that is				
18	a benefit to the public and a benefit to this				
19	airport and serves a public purpose, and not				
20	merely a donation.				
21	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I like that.				
22	MR. WUELLNER: That's a good point.				
23	MR. BRUNSON: That's the thing to do.				
24	CHAIRMAN COX: I I think, Sandy, that what				
25	we're coming around here is that the board fully				

1	supports the air show situation, and and I			
2	we can't speak for the absent member, but I know			
3	that she does, also. But we just need to nail			
4	down some of the loose ends on on other issues.			
5	And then we've got since we've already had			
6	public comment here yes, sir. Well,			
7	actually okay. Go ahead.			
8	MR. CAMERON: I just have a question. Does			
9	anybody know how much the City of St. Augustine			
10	spends on firecrackers for the 4th of July?			
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah. I don't.			
12	MR. CAMERON: It's a lot more than that.			
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah. Okay. Good point.			
14	Mr. Ciriello, you had a comment.			
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, Joe Ciriello, 5318 Shore			
16	Drive. I've been hearing right along about the			
17	air show, and of course I'm all for air shows, but			
18	I haven't heard until today anything actually			
19	about money. And I see this small amount up here.			
20	But and and I understand what			
21	Mr. Brunson said; this is going to benefit the			
22	Council on Aging, which is great with me, because			
23	I deliver Meals on Wheels for them.			
24	But I haven't heard anything of how this is			
25	going to cost the airport or whoever. Is there an			

1	admission fee, parking fees? Or where where is				
2	the money going to be generated from for the				
3	Council on Aging?				
4	And now you're talking about performers at				
5	this show. Are are they going to be paid? Are				
6	they paid performers or are they volunteering or				
7	what?				
8	CHAIRMAN COX: That's a very good question,				
9	and I think those are issues that you'd have to				
10	take up with				
11	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: I can answer some of				
12	those questions for you now.				
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Before you answer, I				
14	just want to say, years ago, Beaver County had its				
15	first airport air show, and they I don't know				
16	if they charge now, but the first few years it was				
17	there, the director went out and he got people to				
18	come in as, you know, performers.				
19	Some of the guys right on the airport had				
20	Pitts, and they did aerobatics, and he got guys				
21	with hang gliders. And the airport gave gasoline				
22	to these people who come in. And it was all				
23	voluntary. They didn't get paid a cent, except				
24	for their gas.				
25	Now, the airport, part of the parking lot, he				

1	leased out to a boat company to show boats, and			
2	then he had some of the airport was used for			
3	concessions. And people paid a price to put up			
4	their concession stand, you know, and then			
5	whatever profits they made was theirs. But that's			
6	how he generated money to pay for putting the air			
7	show on, because it was free, free to the public,			
8	parking was free.			
9	And I just wondered, you know, how you're			
10	going to generate your your income for this			
11	show. And and there's no other than this			
12	small number up there, there hasn't been anything			
13	said about the cost.			
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Just the performers, very			
15	quickly?			
16	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: First of all, the the			
17	performers are flying gratis, so no one is being			
18	paid.			
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.			
20	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: They're volunteering			
21	their time. All of the principals are			
22	volunteering their time. No one is being paid for			
23	any of the effort going into this to the exception			
24	of an advertising firm that is putting together			

25 printed material and advertising material for us.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1 But that is -	-
-----------------	---

2	CHAIRMAN COX: Basically to run it like					
3	Sun 'n Fun does.					
4	MS. SANDY UPCHURCH: Yeah, it's a very small					
5	piece. We have been seeking sponsorships. To					
6	date, our title sponsor is Northrop Grumman. And					
7	we have, you know, a very healthy list of other					
8	sponsors who are are getting money, in return					
9	will be either having space in the program or in					
10	the advertising that we are doing.					
11	But as far as and and what we hope to					
12	do is to have everything paid for when the gates					
13	open. We will be doing sales of tickets in					
14	advance. We will we will be selling the					
15	program at the event, but it will be 50 cents or a					
16	dollar.					
17	We are charging admission. The admission is					
18	\$10 for an adult, \$5 for a youth ticket. So, it's					
19	a very reasonable we we you know, this is					
20	a charity a charity event, but it's also					
21	something that we're trying to do as a positive					
22	for the community.					
23	MR. BRUNSON: I make make a motion that we					

- 24 accept the letter of requesting items 1 through 5
- 25 to the St. Augustine Air Show, Incorporated.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

CHAIRMAN COX: Say that again, now? Items 1

through 5?				
MR. BRUNSON: 1 through 5 (indicating).				
MR. WUELLNER: He's using the letter.				
MR. GEORGE: Is that what the agenda item is				
for?				
MR. BRUNSON: Yes. And my and my				
CHAIRMAN COX: Are you looking for action				
from the board on it at this meeting?				
MR. WUELLNER: We can do it pending no				
objection, we can				
CHAIRMAN COX: Right.				
MR. WUELLNER: We can do it without				
CHAIRMAN COX: Right.				
MR. WUELLNER: You don't you aren't				
required to make the motion.				
CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.				
MR. BRUNSON: I base that on that we do have				
money in promotion and we can reword this				
"donation" to "promotion."				
CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?				

- 22 MR. GEORGE: I'd like to make a point of
- 23 clarification for the public. This is not the
- 24 St. Augustine Airport Authority's air show. And I
- 25 think for us to sit back and ask how they're

1	making their money to go to the COA, other than it			
2	being for information to us, it is none of our			
3	business on how they have organized it.			
4	A lot of people have been working with Sandy			
5	to help this thing get organized, and I think our			
6	position is that we can support it with this			
7	money, because it's in the budget, provided			
8	Mr. Wuellner feels that the airport is protected			
9	in its liability that might be incurred from			
10	something happening here. And we wish it a			
11	successful show for the COA.			
12	CHAIRMAN COX: I would agree with that. Very			
13	good point.			
14	MR. BRUNSON: So-move. Any more direction?			
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Jack, any objection to it?			
16	MR. GORMAN: No. Just I mean, if you're			
17	just going to going to nitpick, I mean, is			
18	there any way we can lease any of this stuff,			
19	lease the fence, you know, cut the costs?			
20	MR. WUELLNER: It's that			

- 21 MR. GORMAN: And I just ask to ask.
- 22 MR. WUELLNER: That orange plastic stuff --
- 23 MR. GORMAN: Fine.
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: And -- and we can reuse that.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: -- can be used year after

77

1 year.

2 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Put the fence around trees we
- 4 didn't want cut down.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: We could have.

6 MR. GEORGE: Can't we borrow this fence from

7 another airport that has done it, you know,

8 before?

9 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know.

10 MR. GEORGE: I mean, I would -- I would like

11 to see that investigated, because they're --

12 they're trying to do something for the public,

13 just like we are. So, why should we make all the

- 14 fence companies, you know, rich?
- 15 CHAIRMAN COX: Bottom line, there's no

16 objection? We can --

17 MR. GEORGE: Bottom line, no objection as far

as I'm concerned.

- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: -- move forward on this. So,
- 20 we support the issue. Got it?
- 21 10. HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS
- 22 MR. WUELLNER: Housekeeping items, I already
- 23 mentioned that we -- we went ahead and -- and as
- 24 we told you we would, paid off the previous
- balance on the -- on the loan.

1	I did want we do an annual T-hangar
2	waiting list update, and I wanted to make you
3	aware of the results of that. We we contacted
4	136 people on the list, of which 12 names were
5	removed for either and I think in most cases
6	they asked to be removed. They had found other
7	arrangements. Leaving the list currently at 140
8	on the list.
9	The reason there's a disparity between how
10	many we contact and how many we don't, is if we
11	make if we talked with them within the last
12	year, we assume they're still on the list.
13	They they would be the last handful on the
14	list. So, that's where the difference comes in.
15	Did want to make you aware that we are going
16	to need to change our fuel supplier in April. And
17	we have requested letter of interest from various

- 18 suppliers and are recommending that in April time
- 19 line, that we -- we swap vendors from Chevron to
- 20 Phillips 66. Found them to be very competitive so
- 21 far on what we see on wholesale price, but another
- advantage to us is they actually, their credit
- card processing fees are about a quarter of a
- 24 point less than everybody else is charging. So ---
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Good.

1	MR. WUELLNER: actually, that's another
2	cent and a half a gallon, in round numbers, back
3	to the Airport Authority.
4	And then assuming no objections to that,
5	we'll we'll move forward with getting that
6	arranged on an October April time line.
7	MR. GEORGE: Sounds good to me.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Move forward with
9	MR. WUELLNER: I did want to make you aware
10	of it because we have done a security briefing in
11	the past, but I just wanted to make you aware of
12	we had told you at the last update that we were on
13	a time line to issue IDs and gate card
14	combinations over the next actually, about this
15	time. We are not satisfied with the actual

- 16 software that develops the badges themselves and
- 17 are going to defer that till later this spring.
- 18 We've got a chance in -- at the April AAAE
- 19 meeting to speak with a typically eight or ten
- 20 vendors there that do this type of work and see if
- 21 we can't find a better, more integrated solution
- to the -- to the badging card versus what we were
- doing.
- 24 It's -- it's an older software system that we
- 25 were looking at, and it is -- is a lot more

80

1	cumbersome th	han than	some of the	more modernly

2 written software programs. So --

3 CHAIRMAN COX: Eyeball scan works for a

4 while.

5 MR. WUELLNER: -- we're going to defer that.

6 We'll establish the new gate using the old system

7 for -- for a couple of months. That's no big deal

8 for us. So, just want to make you aware of that.

9 And also, we did mention, I think by e-mail,

10 that the AAAE meeting --

- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 12 MR. WUELLNER: -- is in April, April 23rd
- 13 through the 27th. If you have an interest in
- 14 going to that, I need to hear from you pretty

- 15 soon. We're already holding the rooms. As -- as
- 16 you know, those go very fast.
- 17 And I would like to, over the next week or
- 18 two, make the -- the balance of travel
- 19 requirements. So, if you're interested in doing
- 20 that, please let me know as soon as possible.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: I got -- there's a point that
- 22 we moved down in housekeeping concerning my agenda
- 23 item. And that's the -- the meeting workshop that
- 24 we're going to have on the 27th for the
- comparative analysis.

1	I received a letter from Mr. Martinelli
2	outlining his strong concerns about how he is
3	really overwhelmed. And I'm just going to read
4	you actually, I'll pass this out to you here
5	you go because he sent a letter to everybody.
6	He's overwhelmed by the magnitude of the
7	task, and that is getting the information from the
8	other airports, the airport that he was assigned,
9	and and the inherent difficulty in comparing
10	things that are generally not readily compared.
11	And his efforts in this have verified his original
12	concern with regard to finding real comparable

	13	facilities	and	organizations,	both	of	which
--	----	------------	-----	----------------	------	----	-------

14 directly affect whether any meaningful data can be

15 derived, let alone compared.

- 16 My own efforts toward this end have resulted
- 17 in almost zero percent return from my airport.
- 18 I've called numerous times, and -- and what I was
- 19 told is that the -- the persons involved with it
- are so busy, that they don't have time to -- well,
- 21 wait -- have time to get back to me with the
- 22 information, which made me think about something
- else.
- 24 If we had board members calling our airport
- 25 director asking -- asking for the same

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

82

- 1 information, Ed doesn't have enough time to -- to
- 2 supply that, I mean, to dig up that kind of stuff.
- 3 So, I'm left with -- with very little
- 4 information to move forward into the 27th meeting.
- 5 And that's -- that's why I wanted to bring this

6 up.

- 7 And -- and Vic brings up some very lucid
- 8 points in here, in talking about this, and I know
- 9 there's some concerns from other people.
- 10 My wish would be is to postpone this meeting
- 11 on the 27th, okay, because I just -- I don't have

12 any information, and I think there are other board

13 members that have had some difficulty getting

14 that.

15 MR. BRUNSON: May I address that, also?

16 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.

17 MR. BRUNSON: I have a similar letter from a

18 financial consultant that volunteered to help me.

19 And we had a meeting here with the Jacksonville

20 Airport Authority and Craig Field, Tony -- I don't

21 remember his last name. And he --

- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: The airport director for
- 23 Craig?
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: He -- he brought his -- called
- 2 his information in here.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 4 MR. BRUNSON: And they are -- they don't run
- 5 an airport. They develop completely different
- 6 than what we do. They -- they lease the land and
- 7 have people build buildings, and they keep
- 8 ownership. And it's just completely different.
- 9 So, you hate to use this expression over and

10	over and over, but we've we've got apples and
11	we've got oranges. We don't have anything to
12	compare. And it's just it's a real hard thing
13	to do.
14	I want to end this by saying, this young man
15	that has his that's very, very knowledgeable in
16	airports and and the last words he said, "Y'all
17	are kicking our butt. And whatever y'all are
18	doing, you know"
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Good.
20	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?
22	MR. BRUNSON: So, that was my comment.
23	MR. GEORGE: I I think both of the
24	comments are the exact comments that we expected
25	to find out on the February the 27th meeting.

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: So, we're in essence having the
- 3 meeting in advance. You know, the idea was that
- 4 everybody makes a good-faith effort to obtain as
- 5 much information as they can, and at the February
- 6 the 27th meeting, that's the time to discuss all
- 7 of this and come to a conclusion, in -- in my
- 8 opinion, and -- and come up with another direction

9	to	go

10	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, here's my point: Why
11	are we wasting board members' time to come and say
12	we don't know anything?
13	MR. GEORGE: Because somebody that might know
14	something might give you some information that
15	makes it more enlightening to you.
16	MR. BRUNSON: And I agree with Buzz, that
17	something good might come out of my meetings and
18	my information with Craig.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: No doubt.
20	MR. GEORGE: Just the idea of talking about,
21	you know, that they lease the land and have
22	private, you know, businesses build the hangars;
23	if we're looking at this deficit of \$1.9 million
24	for three hangars versus \$1.3, that might be
25	something we have to consider. Wouldn't it be

- 1 nice to know that there's somebody else doing that
- 2 and doing it for some reason?
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Buzz's concern -- Mr. George's
- 4 concerns is the reason to do the whole thing. In
- 5 other words, if it's so difficult, all the more
- 6 important to get this work done. Because you've

7	got to see what other people are doing, in other
8	words, to actually run this like a business. And
9	it is not easy. And I couldn't agree more with
10	with Mr. Cox; it's extremely difficult.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: But but the but the
12	issue was, that was in front of us was a
13	comparative analysis. It's fine to have all of
14	this information coming in and saying, yeah, well,
15	Craig's doing it one way and Jacksonville
16	International's and Sanford's doing it one way;
17	that's fine. But it's still not a comparative
18	analysis. It's just, okay, we've learned some new
19	knowledge.
20	MR. GEORGE: You're right. We're falling on
21	our bayonet as far as a comparative analysis,
22	you're right.
23	MR. BRUNSON: But that that but that
24	was the task, and I'm still working on it, and I'm
25	willing to waste that two hours at 3 o'clock on

- 1 the 27th.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: I intend to -- I intend to
- 4 attend, if the rest of us --
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Not an issue. I just want,

6	you know
7	MR. GORMAN: Yeah
8	MR. GEORGE: I can't be there. I'm just
9	kidding.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Speaking of bayonet.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, right.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Well, we'll we'll
13	continue with it, then, 27th.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Can tell you Ms. Green will
15	not be there, either. Just so you know.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: All right.
17	MR. GEORGE: But she will have her input, I
18	understand.
19	MR. WUELLNER: I've been told that. I have
20	yet to see it, but
21	MR. GEORGE: That's good.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: I'm going to open the floor up
23	to public comment now. Any public comment? Yes,
24	sir.
25	11 PUBLIC COMMENT
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 MR. JONES: Joe Jones, 4672 Fifth Avenue.
- 2 In several meetings before, when y'all talked
- 3 about the Master Plan, I've asked about if you was

4 going to have to take your plan in for review to

5 the county for review. And I was always told yes.

6 And before you started your clearing and

7 everything else. But obviously you haven't had to

8 do that? Y'all just began clearing without no

9 review?

10 CHAIRMAN COX: I don't think we've just begun

11 clearing.

12 MR. JONES: The only reason I'm asking that

13 is because also in the meetings, I've asked about

14 the common area that's between Jackson Park and

15 Araquay Park, with the marsh right there, which I

16 live right across from the marsh. I've always

17 been told there's going to be a stand of trees.

18 Well, obviously, that was the first thing

19 gone. And you -- you talk about environmental

20 things with the county. Well, the biggest harp is

21 the 50-foot buffer of uplands along the marsh.

22 Well, that's not environment friendly. A

- 23 different developer could never do that. Never.
- 24 So, like I said, I have looked at the -- you
- know, the thing that you say, you're supposed to

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 have an exemption. The only thing it really says
- 2 is you don't have to have a permit. It doesn't

3	say anything about planting or looking at anything
4	else.
5	And like I said, I've been to the meetings,
6	I've asked you point blank, and you said you're
7	going to review; you are going to leave a buffer.
8	Well, neither one took place.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: I'm going to so, do you
10	have a question that you're directing to the
11	board?
12	MR. JONES: No, it's a comment.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
14	MR. JONES: Because like I said, I've asked
15	the question. Obviously I was told wrong on
16	purpose.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: I don't think anybody misled
18	you on purpose.
19	MR. JONES: Well, it never went to the
20	county. I mean
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Was there some reason we
22	needed to take it to the county?
23	MR. BRUNSON: Doug?
24	MR. BURNETT: I guess there's several issues
25	there.

1	First, I don't know and, you know, terms
2	get many of us use terms and for different
3	land use and environmental words that have legal
4	meanings, and some of them don't have legal
5	meanings. I don't believe there's any common
6	space, if you will, in between Araquay Park and
7	Jackson Park.
8	Be that as it may, there's obviously a big
9	open area there. What it is exactly is, that's
10	that's another legal issue. But does the the
11	airport have to obtain permits for what's going on
12	at at this point in time?
13	I'm I don't believe that to be the case.
14	Ed and I have talked about this quite a bit. Is
15	the airport, and has the airport, for its
16	projects, gone through the county's DRC process,
17	the Development Review Committee process?
18	Absolutely.
19	The airport builds its buildings in
20	compliance with the Building Code and gets
21	building permits through the county. And the
22	county inspectors check those buildings and give
23	you Certificate of Occupancies when they're
24	constructed. So, I don't know that any of that
25	the airport's not at that stage, is my

1	understanding right now.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: So, we followed all of the
3	the permitting processes, and they've been
4	approved up to the point where we're at, which is
5	what you're saying.
6	MR. WUELLNER: The the county is still
7	evaluating
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: portions of our project.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
11	MR. BURNETT: Yes.
12	MR. WUELLNER: But we're not to that part of
13	the project.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: All right. All right. That's
15	fine.
16	MR. BURNETT: And and the issue of the
17	airport is, to a large extent, exempt from certain
18	provisions of the Land Development Code, and you
19	get into a whole issue of, and we've talked about
20	this some recently, of the Part 77 requirements
21	and the airport hazards and those kinds of things
22	and and sort of why some of the exemptions are
23	in the probably in the Land Development Code
24	when they when they came about.
25	And, of course, the 50-foot buffer, just to

1	address that very quickly, anyone, any
2	developer this has nothing to do with the
3	with the airport. The county's 50-foot buffer
4	requirements provide that they can be averaged,
5	and so any developer out there building a project,
6	and it's very, very routine at their option, can
7	average their buffers.
8	So oftentimes, where folks think there's a
9	50-foot buffer, it may be that it's much smaller
10	than that in sections and it's made up in other
11	areas.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: It's been averaged. Okay.
13	MR. JONES: Right. That'd be correct if you
14	submit something in a planning stage, so you could
15	show someone the average. Right now, if you're
16	planning on all developing all your land over
17	there, what are you saving? Where does the 50
18	foot come in it?
19	If you're going to have less than 50 foot,
20	like you said somewhere else, you're going to have
21	to have at least a hundred feet. Where are you
22	giving up the hundred foot?
23	I mean, somewhere down the line if you've got
24	an average, you're still going to have a bigger

spot somewhere else.

23

being worked on.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	I know I've asked the question point blank
2	about the review: "When you get your plan, is it
3	going to go into review before you start
4	clearing?"
5	The answer was yes from this board. They
6	said yes. That didn't happen.
7	MR. WUELLNER: We're not in construction yet.
8	MR. JONES: You are. When you start
9	clearing, that's construction.
10	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's not. It's land
11	clearing. We'll have to have permits in place to
12	build anything.
13	MR. JONES: Well, that's right. I know
14	Article 4, when you talked about when you get it
15	exempt, it says you're exempt from permitting. It
16	doesn't say you're exempt from going through
17	review or anything else. Just says permitting.
18	Still got to review. You're not exempt by
19	permitting.
20	MR. WUELLNER: We are working with the county
21	at all levels in in our project. I mean,
22	there's no issues that I'm aware of that aren't

- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
- 25 MR. JONES: It's after the fact, though.

1	MR. WUELLNER: No.
2	MR. GEORGE: I have a comment to make on
3	that. I I think that that one of the things
4	that that you might be putting your your hat
5	on was comments that were made in here about the
6	property on the south side of the marsh, all the
7	way up to North Boulevard. We have openly stated
8	that the reason that is included in the airport
9	plan is a buffer for noise, you know, from the
10	rest of the airport.
11	So, I don't know if that's what triggered you
12	to there's going to be a buffer on this side,
13	you know, of it or not.
14	MR. JONES: The buffer on the south side is
15	where everybody lives.
16	MR. GEORGE: That's right.
17	MR. JONES: So, you can't really say that's a
18	buffer. People are living there. You talked
19	about it was going to be a strip of land with
20	trees or something along the marsh separating the
21	south side from the people.

- 22 MR. GEORGE: I personally do not remember any
- 23 of those conversations about having that. I do
- remember a conversation that I had one afternoon
- 25 with your neighbor, Mr. Sundeman, and he had

- 1 suggested that right across the marsh would be a
- 2 good place for a park.
- 3 And I told him that the design on what was
- 4 going to happen, Araquay Park area, had not been
- 5 completed, and if they could get a park in, you
- 6 know, we would consider it.
- 7 Well, we're putting a park in a little bit
- 8 further up from that. But never did I mention to
- 9 him or anybody else at any of the meetings that we
- 10 were going to plant a 50-foot buffer area along
- 11 those trees. Now, we have minutes of the
- 12 meetings, so we can go back and --
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Let's -- let's not
- 14 debate the issue. If -- if you'd like to talk
- 15 with the Authority member about the issue after
- 16 the meeting, that's --
- 17 MR. JONES: That's fine. It was just --
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: I know. It -- it's all right.
- 19 I appreciate it.
- 20 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Was there any other public
- 22 comment from the floor? Yes, sir.
- 23 MR. SESONA: Al Sesona, North Boulevard.
- 24 With all the work that you're doing in Araquay
- 25 Park at this particular time, is there any

1	monitoring going on as far as archeological value
2	is concerned?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Before we were
4	before we were able to do anything, we had to do
5	an archeological survey. We had to hire a firm to
6	do that, as well as individual architectural
7	surveys of buildings that exceeded 50 years in
8	age.
9	All of those were evaluated. All of those
10	results were sent to the State of Florida for
11	their interpretation. Their release of the
12	projects come back to county, and the county
13	releases the individual buildings. So, all of
14	those studies have been approved by the state and
15	the county as appropriate, depending on the
16	permit.
17	MR. SESONA: But there is someone on-site
18	that that is doing the monitoring?

- 19 MR. WUELLNER: They do not do -- they do a
- 20 preliminary determination before construction
- 21 starts. So -- but in the event something is
- 22 discovered during construction, you know, the rule
- 23 of thumb is everything stops until it's determined
- what it is.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: So, it could be considered one

96

- 1 of those review criterias we go through before we
- 2 do anything.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Any other public
- 5 comment?

6 (No further public comment.)

- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Board comment? Mr. Brunson?
- 8 12.A. MR. BRUNSON
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: I have none.
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Really? Ms. Green's not here.
- 11 Mr. Gorman?
- 12 12.C. MR. JOHN GORMAN
- 13 MR. GORMAN: As far as finishing of the
- 14 meeting?
- 15 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, let's -- we're wrapping
- 16 up the meeting.
- 17 MR. GORMAN: I think my housekeeping concerns

- 18 of budgeting were addressed --
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 20 MR. GORMAN: -- already.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Very good. Mr. George?
- 22 12.D. MR. WAYNE GEORGE
- 23 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, if you will bear with me.
- 24 We've had some comments at other organizations
- about eminent domain and our use of it, and I've

- 1 got some comments I'd like to get in the record
- about that.
- 3 The -- the latest eminent domain rulings on
- 4 the federal level have most of us up in arms and
- 5 disgusted with what the government is allowing to
- 6 happen. I don't like it. You don't like it. No
- 7 one that I've talked to likes it. Additionally, I
- 8 don't think there's one member on this Authority
- 9 that likes it. So, understandably, eminent domain
- 10 has become a political football.
- 11 If you'll permit me, I'll -- I would like to
- 12 take some time to put this disagreeable subject
- 13 into historical perspective with regard to the
- 14 airport.
- 15 Expansion of public use infrastructure is a

- 16 fact of life in our country or any country that is
- 17 growing and not stagnating.
- 18 In our case, the expansion that is happening
- 19 now is a result of what was planned 41 years ago,
- 20 1965. It wasn't this board's idea. There isn't a
- single member of us on the board who is not deeply
- 22 pained that some of the most difficult aspects of
- 23 implementation occurred on our watch.
- 24 You elected us to take care of the aviation
- 25 needs of St. Johns County, and that's what we

1	believe we've been doing, with the least
2	disruption to our neighbors and to the county.
3	Recently, we've had several local groups that
4	have publicly discussed eminent domain problems
5	using the airport as an example. I believe
6	because of the previous lack of public interest
7	and involvement, the issue has gotten to the point
8	that is a that it is a destructive sideshow.
9	You know the old parlor game, tell something
10	to the person on the right, ask them to pass it
11	on, and the next person likewise. When you get to
12	the end, the original statement is unrecognizable.
13	As in the case with the airport, there have
14	been a lot of rhetoric with some of the facts not

15 reaching the public.

16	Most sadly, some of our residents believe the
17	airport board has only begun to use eminent
18	domain. This is far from the truth.
19	It's obvious we've got to do a better job of
20	keeping the public informed involved and
21	informed on not only what's happening, but also
22	what the potential long-range happenings are.
23	Actually, we've made a substantial effort
24	toward that goal, we thought. For example, we
25	tried to get everyone involved to help in the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 final decision on how to meet the airport's need.
- 2 We advertised publicly, inviting interested
- 3 parties to attend and participate in six
- 4 open-panel discussions on how to best handle the
- 5 infrastructure problems. Unfortunately,
- 6 attendance was minimal at those meetings.
- 7 Another item you may not know, displaced
- 8 families cannot take their favorable property tax
- 9 to their new residence. This is another kick in
- 10 the face to the people. I have asked Congressman
- 11 Bill Proctor to introduce a bill to allow
- 12 homesteaded property owners to carry forward their

13	favorable property tax to the new residence.
14	As a result, Congressman Proctor has
15	attempted to tie this to one of two other bills
16	that affect the tax transportability, and that
17	but they seem to be bogged down in subcommittees.
18	Bill tells me they're trying now to get a
19	joint House and Senate resolution to solve this
20	injustice, and he feels like it will happen fairly
21	quickly.
22	On another front, just a few weeks ago, I had
23	the opportunity to talk about a potential problem
24	involving the extension of Taxiway B with Al

Sesona, who owns the adjacent property to the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

100

- 1 airport, and Jerry Cameron of Ben Rich's office,
- 2 but who is also an active member in the St. Johns
- 3 County Civic Association Round Table and the
- 4 Democracy for American -- America groups.
- 5 We have identified a potential problem in the
- 6 next three- to five-year time period. It was a
- 7 problem that I didn't think existed.

- 8 Because we met openly and discussed the
- 9 options, we have some alternatives, and possibly
- 10 more importantly, we have an opened creative
- 11 dialogue with Mr. Sesona and Mr. Cameron that will

- 12 continue until the problem is resolved. 13 We need to have this open dialogue with every 14 property owner that could be affected by our 15 airport's expansion. Not only do we need to 16 solicit interested parties to come to our planning 17 sessions and round tables, but if the subject 18 could affect a property owner, they need to be 19 personally solicited to participate in this 20 meeting by mail or whatever method they choose. 21 No matter where an airport is going to be 22 located, because of the growth of this nation, it 23 is inevitable that there will be expansion in the 24 future years. We have to make sure that as much
- as humanly possible, the governments handle that

101

- 1 disposition with sensitivity and caring.
- 2 I urge this board to take the following
- 3 action: 1, direct the staff to develop a plan for
- 4 more involvement of our neighbors in these
- 5 matters, considering at a minimum the points I

6 have made.

- 7 Item 2, have a special meeting with our
- 8 neighbors and any interested group to present and
- 9 discuss where FAA sees the airport going in the

10 next few years.

11	And 3, assure the public that eminent domain
12	will eminent domain will not be used where
13	there is another alternative, regardless of the
14	cost.
15	I propose we have Staff implement these
16	procedures to see that the above happens. If any
17	of you disagree, I'd like to hear it now.
18	MR. BRUNSON: I Buzz, I think it's on
19	record, before I ran and during the board
20	meetings, that I don't believe in eminent domain,
21	but it's the law. And and I would be
22	hard-pressed to vote for eminent domain unless
23	it's national security or something like that.
24	MR. GEORGE: Well, do you agree that we need
25	to have some better com some better

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

102

- 1 communication things like I suggested?
- 2 MR. BRUNSON: I think the neighbors need to
- 3 communicate with us better.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: And we need to communicate with

5 them better.

- 6 MR. BRUNSON: Exactly.
- 7 MR. GORMAN: Mr. George, I recall, in a
- 8 previous meeting, that you forwarded the motion

- 9 and I seconded it, that we start to negotiate our
- 10 way out of this eminent domain initially, anyway,
- 11 to try to build around them, as I put it.
- 12 MR. GEORGE: That's right. That's why we
- 13 stopped at Casa Cola.
- 14 MR. GORMAN: Truly.
- 15 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 16 MR. GORMAN: Yes. And that's -- so, this is

17 a takeoff on that.

- 18 MR. GEORGE: Well, it -- it sounds like
- 19 there's no, you know, objection to it, so I'd like
- 20 to ask the staff to do all this. And hopefully,
- 21 this will take us a long way in treating our
- 22 neighbors as we'd like them to treat us.
- 23 MR. BURNETT: May I voice one comment?
- 24 MR. GEORGE: No. This is --
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 MR. BURNETT: My -- my only observation in
- 2 there, and the only thing that stuck out to me,
- 3 Mr. George, is the issue that there -- there would
- 4 be -- whatever other option there was, would be
- 5 pursued, something to this effect, that would be
- 6 pursued regardless of the cost.

7 CHAIRMAN COX: Of the cost, yeah.

8	MR. BURNETT: And and I guess that's the						
9	only thing that, I guess, for y'all to that						
10	stands out to me that y'all may want to discuss						
11	and think about, is there probably is a lot of						
12	options out there that you could avoid eminent						
13	domain and condemnation if cost isn't the issue.						
14	MR. GORMAN: We could have done it without						
15	lawyers. That would have been a lot cheaper.						
16	MR. BURNETT: You probably could have						
17	acquired you probably could have acquired if						
18	cost if money wasn't an option, you probably						
19	could have acquired that						
20	MR. GORMAN: Sorry, Doug. I'm sorry.						
21	MR. GEORGE: I think that as each situation						
22	comes up, then that's you're right, you've got						
23	to consider that. You know, as we do of the						
24	impact on the county for jobs and other stuff,						
25	too, but						

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Do you have any concerns on
- 2 the comments?
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Overall, no. You know, I --
- 4 historical perspective, I felt like we tried to do
- 5 that, even in the last --

6	CHAIRMAN COX: That's what I was going to ask
7	you. What what is it you feel that the staff
8	didn't do?
9	MR. GEORGE: I think if you if you look
10	back on any situation that generates a lot of
11	rhetic
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah, rhetoric.
13	MR. GEORGE: rhetoric in the newspaper,
14	you're going to find that there typically was an
15	opportunity, but the public did not got involved
16	when they could, and so therefore, it's potshot
17	Saturday morning quarterbacking that's going on.
18	We have the same thing, in my opinion, with
19	the Bridge of Lions being redone. I have a lot of
20	friends that object to it. Well, that's tough.
21	You had your chance.
22	And all I'm saying is that our neighbors here
23	are directly impacted by our decisions, and we
24	should go further than expecting them to just see
25	an ad on the 18th page of The Record

105

1 CHAIRMAN COX: And show up -- and show up at

- 2 the meeting.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Right. I think that every time

4	there's a meeting that is going to discuss a
5	future plan, that they need to get a personal
6	letter or something from the airport.
7	That way, it keeps it in front of them, that
8	we're all part of this, you know, this need, and
9	that it could have an impact on them.
10	MR. WUELLNER: And the point of communicating
11	that better, I fully agree with you, that in
12	retrospect, that's one thing we could have done a
13	lot better.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
15	MR. JONES: A lot of it, you know, you said
16	41 years ago, you was planning this expansion. It
17	was only like 2002 that the county made the
18	airport development or this area over here.
19	So, it couldn't be 41 years ago.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Let's let's wait. Hold
21	on. Let's not launch into open debate here.
22	MR. JONES: No, no, no.
23	CHAIRMAN COX: Please take the microphone if
24	you want to make a comment. Just go through the
25	chairman, Jack.

- 1 MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Anyway, you know,
- 2 with -- when the county did the airport -- turned

3	it into airport development, your neighbors are
4	not notified. Only the people inside the airport
5	development's notified. So, it really would be up
6	to somebody else to notify them.
7	When you get a thing in the paper saying all
8	of northeast St. Johns County is going to be
9	rezoned, but the only thing that transpired is the
10	airport, they said, no, it's too much to do the
11	whole county; let's just do the airport.
12	But nobody else was notified. Ain't like you
13	don't want to get involved. You don't think you
14	need to get involved. Because when you call the
15	county up, We're doing Ponte Vedra Beach. Then
16	they said, No, there's too much to do up there;
17	let's just do it here.
18	So, it ain't like people ain't trying to be
19	involved.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much. You had
21	some comment?
22	MR. BRUNSON: He's already commented.
23	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Well, we're going to
24	move on to adjournment of the meeting. If there's
25	no further comments no further public comment?

1	Yes, sir.
2	MR. CAMERON: Your your discussion
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Take take the microphone.
4	Okay.
5	MR. CAMERON: has generated a comment from
6	me.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead.
8	MR. CAMERON: Jerry Cameron, 518 Gentian
9	Road.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
11	MR. CAMERON: I guess the the in some
12	respects, my reputation for being an opponent of
13	eminent domain may precede me. And I have worked
14	with the Airport Authority on a number of
15	occasions, one way or another, privately or
16	publicly, expressing my concern about the use of
17	what I consider to be the capital punishment of
18	private property.
19	And just recently, through exactly what
20	Mr. George was talking about, one of these parlor
21	information things that that got crossed up
22	between what the county was saying was going to
23	happen and what the airport was saying was going
24	to happen, I was led pretty conclusively to the
25	opinion that the airport was now going to launch

eminent domain proceedings to build a park and a
 boat ramp.

3	Now, I have since found that that was totally					
4	unfounded. But if I, who work in government, came					
5	to that conclusion, how much more so people in					
6	neighborhoods would come to the same conclusion?					
7	And out of this, I've had an opportunity to					
8	work with a number of airport people and and					
9	talk with a number of members of this board to a					
10	very positive outcome.					
11	I believe that that even the issue that					
12	we're talking about right now, where there's one					
13	more piece of property that that could be					
14	affected, that has been very positively handled,					
15	and I think it will be positively resolved at the					
16	end of the day.					
17	I would like to go on on record as saying					
18	that I think the use of eminent domain, the way					
19	that it was used, was wrong. That's my opinion.					
20	I believe that it had terrible effects on people's					
21	lives.					
22	But on the other hand, in my efforts to work					
23	with this board, I have never worked with a public					
24	organization that was more forthcoming in					
25	providing information that I asked for, and more					

109

1	receptive to looking for alternatives. And the					
2	the lack of the usual bureaucratic responses					
3	that that you get when you work in government					
4	really gave me great heart. And I look forward					
5	to to working in a very positive way to to					
6	finish resolving the one issue that's left.					
7	And I wholeheartedly agree with Mr. George,					
8	that for the sake of your own image in your					
9	community, you ought to enthusiastically adopt					
10	what he just presented. Thank you.					
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. Further					
12	comment?					
13	(No further comment.)					
14	13 NEXT MEETING DATE					
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Seeing no further comment,					
16	we'll move toward adjournment here and make the					
17	next meeting date workshop scheduled for February					
18	27th. That's a public meeting, 3 p.m.					
19	MR. WUELLNER: You yes. And you					
20	originally, on your regular meeting, had scheduled					
21	the 20th.					
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Of March.					
23	MR. WUELLNER: Of March.					

24 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.

CHAIRMAN COX: Too bad.

problem.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

	MR. GEORGE: Make it the 19th.
	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
	MR. WUELLNER: If we if we get out of here
	by 6:00, I'm fine. But if it's after that, I
	it happens to be the night that the
	MR. GORMAN: It must be football.
	MR. WUELLNER: It is. It's the it's the
	night that the boys will be getting their their
	state championship rings and their
	CHAIRMAN COX: Any problem with the week
	before?
	MR. WUELLNER: Or day after, whatever.
	MR. BRUNSON: I would make a suggestion
	MR. WUELLNER: Moving it forward
	MR. BRUNSON: that we start at 3:30.
	MR. WUELLNER: That would be great.
1	Something like
I	CHAIRMAN COX: That's fine. Okay.
	MR. WUELLNER: Even if it's moving it
	earlier, would be fine.

23 CHAIRMAN COX: That's fine.

- 24 MR. WUELLNER: It's going to be a fairly full
- 25 meeting based on stuff we know is coming up.

111

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: If I can get off work.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Is there -- let me ask you
- 3 this: Is there -- is there a legal requirement
- 4 for 4 o'clock? Can we -- because of the public?
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: No. That's self-imposed. You
- 6 can meet anytime of the day you like.
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: All right.
- 8 MR. GORMAN: Can I make a short comment? Is
- 9 he really --
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely.
- 11 MR. GORMAN: -- going to get out of here on

12 time if it's just for 3:30?

- 13 MR. BRUNSON: He leaves when he wants to. He
- 14 doesn't have to be here. He's not a quorum.
- 15 MR. GEORGE: Bryan will take care of it.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Let the real boss take over.
- 17 MR. BRUNSON: Cindy?
- 18 MR. GEORGE: That's right. Let Cindy --
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Will 3:30 work for you? 3:30
- 20 works?
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: That's -- that's fine. Just a

- 22 little extra margin would be fine.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 3:30. Anybody have any
- 24 problem with that? Okay.
- 25 MR. BURNETT: That's for that March 20th

1	meeting?
---	----------

- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: March 20th, 3:30. And then
- 3 we've got our meeting coming up here in five days.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Thank you for the
- 5 accommodation.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Anybody? Okay. Meeting
- 7 adjourned.
- 8 (Thereupon, the meeting concluded.)
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20

21			
22			
23			
24			

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 22, 2006

1	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)				
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)				
5					
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify				
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically				
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the				
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic				
10	notes.				
11					
12	Dated this 11th day of March, 2006.				
13					
14	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR				
15	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224				
16	Expires: April 30, 2006				
17					
18					

19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			