I SI. AUGUSTIND - SI. JOHNS COUNTI AIREORT AUTHO		ST. AUGUSTINE -	ST. JOHNS	COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORI	TY
--	--	-----------------	-----------	------------------------	----

2	WORKSHOP
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, January 22, 2007
6	from 2:00 p.m. to 3:44 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE, Acting Chairman, Secretary-Treasurer RANDY BRUNSON
10	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
11	SUZANNE GREEN
11	KELLY BARRERA
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
15	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	********

19

20	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR
21	St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
21	St. Augustine, FL 32084
22	(904) 825-0570
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

1		INDEX		
2			PAGE	
3	1.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIAN	CE	3
4	2.	AIRPORT AUTHORITY		4
5	3.	EMINENT DOMAIN		22
6	4.	FINANCES	47	
7	5.	EXPANSION	8	5
8	6.	ADJOURNMENT		95
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

 $file: /\!/\!/ A |\!/ WSHOP 012207.txt$

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We'll call the workshop,
3	special meeting together, and we'll say the Pledge
4	of Allegiance to the Flag and get started.
5	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
6	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: This workshop was called
7	for the purpose of discussing issues, rumors,
8	problems, any concerns or misunderstandings that
9	might have arisen during the campaign trail last
10	year, because being at a lot of the meetings, I
11	was asked questions. It was obvious there was
12	misinformation that had been passed out or
13	misunderstanding. So, we we all were
14	challenged with writing down our concerns and
15	getting them to Mr. Wuellner so that he could put
16	together an agenda of discussion items for this
17	meeting.
18	And with that, I will turn it over to
19	Mr. Wuellner

20	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. What I tried to
21	do well, to start out with, we had two two
22	of you really respond to me
23	(Mr. Burnett enters the room.)
24	MR. WUELLNER: with information related to
25	the campaign trail or things that were on your

1	mind, e-mans you got or whatever whatever
2	sources there were.
3	And what I tried to do is distill that into
4	some major categories and then kind of break it
5	down a little bit more. Some of it's
6	quasi-informational. Some of it's going to be,
7	you know, rehashes of stuff we've we
8	collectively have seen over the last years, every
9	once in a while interjected a little, you know,
10	humor or sarcasm, depending on your point of view
11	as as some of the issues have come up.
12	And I'll just kind of bust through it, tell
13	you where we're going here real quick. You've got
14	really four major areas that came out of the
15	that I I kind of created out of the the
16	topics or the the items that were provided.
17	And they include the Airport Authority, and
18	they'll have any number of of smaller
19	classifications within it. The eminent domain

20	issue; finances of the Airport Authority, which
21	includes a lot of things; and then lastly, the
22	the topic of expansion, which were largely pick
23	up everything that was was thrown out.
24	2 AIRPORT AUTHORITY
25	MR. WUELLNER: And the first one that that

1	kind of comes up here is the idea of maybe a quick
2	review of the of the charter provisions
3	would would be in order, just just to kind
4	of set the stage for what it is you guys you
5	know, what you are, where you came from kind of
6	deal.
7	The charter was established by the the
8	legislature in 1963. And it was amended really
9	almost 12 times between 1963 and 2002. Now, some
10	of those were very, very minor kind of changes,
11	such as just the name of the Airport Authority was
12	on one occasion. But the board has changed in
13	size. It it originally was twelve member or
14	excuse me, twelve members it was seven members.
15	It was brought back to five members.
16	The original area of coverage of the
17	Authority was basically an expanded City of
18	St. Augustine when it first started, later on
19	expanding to the entirety of St. Johns County.

20	Originally, it had an ad valorem taxing capability
21	of one mill, but it was in a much smaller
22	geographic area.
23	When it was expanded, kind of the trade-off
24	was that the ad valorem max would come down to the
25	half mill, which by the way to my looking at the

1	records, I can't see where you've ever really
2	gotten meaningfully close to to the to the
3	half mill size. You've gotten up to about .3, but
4	you but that's about the extent of it.
5	Was codified by direction of the legislature
6	in 2002. That was the 12th amendment, if you
7	will, of the charter, which effectively negated
8	the previous 11 by requiring that the charter be
9	rewritten in a form that was then accepted by the
10	state legislature to the new standard. It it
11	required the Authority to bring into its charter
12	or make it compliant with all the statutes that
13	were passed since 1963, and clean up language
14	that, since that time, had been rendered
15	unconstitutional for one reason or another.
16	In 2002, came out the version of the charter
17	you have today. It has not been amended since
18	2002. And largely today it provides for a
19	five-member elected board. And you are what is

20	considered an independent special district.
21	Now, it's an important distinction, because
22	the independent portion of this means that you
23	operate autonomous from other governmental
24	entities. It's it's an extremely important
25	distinction in that you are really solely

1	beholding, if you will, to the will and discretion
2	of the Florida legislature with with the subset
3	being the electorate of St. Johns County in that
4	they place you directly into office.
5	It's it's an unusual distinction in many
6	respects, because most boards are dependent
7	special districts, meaning they are a subset of a
8	city or county government structure, and as such,
9	to an extent serve at the whim or will of those
10	political bodies. So, in this case, you guys
11	stand kind of autonomous to the existing
12	governmental structure in St. Johns County.
13	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: How many more airport
14	authorities are there in the State of Florida?
15	MR. WUELLNER: By definition, there are a lot
16	of airport authorities. Now, the range of powers
17	are dramatic, because many of the airport
18	authorities are in fact, most of them are
19	dependent special districts.

20	Some are actually advisory only. Even though
21	they say "airport authority," they have no real
22	authority, for lack of better times.
23	MR. BRUNSON: Do they have tax
24	MR. WUELLNER: Everything they do is subject
25	to someone else's ratification.

1	WIK. DKONSON. Do they have taxing authority?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, very few do. And
3	the few that do right now are not collecting ad
4	valorem tax. And and and the reason I say
5	that is they're actually large air carrier airport
6	authorities and have since long passed the the
7	need for ad valorem receipts to to back up
8	their operations. They generate that from air
9	carrier operations for most part.
10	However, that remains one of the one of
11	the reasons they don't give up that distinction in
12	their charters is is because as an independent
13	authority with the ability to do ad valorem, you
14	have the ability to get into the bond market with
15	a with a possibility of using that as the
16	backup for those bonds and achieving a much better
17	rating for those bonds, which of course affects
18	the interest rate. So, it's an important
19	distinction to know that you're an independent

20	special district.
21	And these these structures of of of
22	authorities, Buzz, extend all across the board in
23	their makeup. The most recent trend with most of
24	these authorities is to go to appointed airport
25	authority members.

I	One of the more recent ones that I recall is
2	Sarasota Bradenton. If you recall, the
3	actually, it was called that's the airport, but
4	the district was Sarasota Manatee County Airport
5	Authority, which runs Sarasota Bradenton Airport.
6	And they weren't elected.
7	Now, the unique feature down there was that
8	it really straddled two counties, the airport, as
9	well as the jurisdiction of the airport authority.
10	And it became really interesting and a very
11	political animal when electing members to the
12	airport authority to represent the interests of
13	two distinctly different counties and two and
14	in many cases distinctly different needs.
15	Eventually, those both of those counties,
16	about four or five years ago, elected, through a
17	referendum process, to make it a
18	governor-appointed board. And as such, the
19	governor appoints all of those all of the

20	members to that authority. Now it's taken the
21	local political hotbed out of it and allowed
22	the the authority to focus on the business
23	issues of the airport without fighting the
24	those local arguments.
25	The other unique feature here is it covers

1 the entirety of St. Johns County in this case. Your charter, your special district is, by 2 3 definition, St. Johns County. So, it's not -there's no part of St. Johns County that's been 4 5 carved out and is not subject to your decisions, 6 so to speak. They -- they all have a voice in it through the electorate process. They are also all 7 8 subject to ad valorem, should you -- you choose to continue to collect it. 9 Your charter provisions include several 10 items. And I -- I just summarized them up here. 11 But basically, you're -- you're empowered to 12 operate airports and multimodal facilities as your 13 14 charter exists today. The charter speaks to bonding, the capability 15 of the Authority and the process and the statutes 16 17 involved. It speaks to your taxation ability in that you can assess up to a half mill. Talks to 18

your -- the requirement of -- of you to have an

19

20	audit annually and to make those books reasonably
21	available to the public, which, of course, you
22	know, it would it would be our position you
23	always have and and continue to do.
24	It speaks to whether you have the authority
25	to do eminent domain, which it does you do have

1	that authority.
2	It it requires you to adopt a budget
3	annually and submit that. And it speaks to your
4	ability to enter contracts and get out of
5	contracts, be sue sue and be sued as an entity;
6	that you're allowed to lease, and what you can
7	that you can own and buy and do the things very
8	much like any business entity would be able to do
9	And that pretty well summarizes what the charter
10	contains.
11	Now, there are some, you know, additional
12	details in spots. It talks about the makeup of
13	the board being five members. And it talks about
14	the only officer mentioned as a part of your
15	charter, is the election of a chairman from
16	amongst yourselves. And it refers to the
17	staggered term of the Airport Authority members
18	themselves.
19	But other than that, you basically decide how

20	you're going to develop, run, and operate the
21	the Airport Authority. That it's the State
22	has not really mandated anything other than the
23	compliance with Florida Statutes in in the
24	conduct of your business.
25	Now, the the next piece of this under

- 1 Airport Authority, I entitled "Getting past the
- 2 perceptions." And it -- and it really talks --
- 3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Can we see if there's any
- 4 questions --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- that anybody's got?
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: Not I.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: About the charter itself?
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: No.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's -- it's a
- fairly straightforward charter, as they go.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
- 13 MR. BRUNSON: Nothing's changed.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Really, the
- 15 codification did nothing but clean up all of the
- amendments and make it one -- one -- one big
- document now, or one -- actually, it's way smaller
- because you don't have to track all of the changes
- throughout all the -- all the years.

20	All right. The comments that I gleaned off
21	of off of what was provided to me include
22	statements like, "The board always caters to
23	special interest groups."
24	"Too many members on the board of the private
25	Pilots Club."

1	why should chizens care about the airport?
2	"I think you would be hard-pressed to explain
3	the service that the citizens of St. Johns are
4	receiving for their investment. The service that
5	is being rendered is mainly to individuals leasing
6	hangar space, so in practical implication" and
7	they were careful to emphasize "not theory, the
8	cost of the taxpayers is in the cost of the fixed
9	assets which mainly serve X number of pilots or
10	individuals in the form of a hangar lease."
11	That's pretty much a sampling of what I think
12	those of you, especially that were on the campaign
13	trail last fall, got to hear in the various
14	forums, that there's a general lack of
15	understanding of what the airport is, more
16	particularly what the Airport Authority does
17	relative to the airport, and and why we have an
18	airport. And hopefully, through the through
19	this this afternoon, you'll you'll get a

20	better feel for that, if you don't already.
21	And I know a lot of this is, you know, again,
22	kind of preaching to the choir in in terms of
23	the importance of what it is you do and what it is
24	you oversee. But it doesn't get well
25	communicated, and and it's a good way as a

1	as a board to put it out there in a public forum,
2	as we're doing today.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Ed and I'm sure you'll
4	address these later on as you go, we've all had
5	these. But those aren't the major things that, on
6	the campaign trail, I'm sure that some of these
7	people it mainly gets into noise and traffic
8	and things like this. And we're going to discuss
9	that later?
10	MR. WUELLNER: We'll work it in.
11	MR. GORMAN: I have I have a question. As
12	I thought this was a workshop, I wasn't sure we
13	were going to follow an agenda. I don't
14	understand. We were going to be able to bring
15	workshop items up in, of course, addition to
16	agenda items, are we not?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I
18	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think that the purpose of
19	it was people were out there hearing things, and

20	please write them down
21	MR. GORMAN: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: and give us the basis
23	from which to have a workshop. As I would
24	suggest that, as we go through this, that if
25	there's something on a tangent that needs to be

- 1 interjected, just interject it at that point.
- We'll cover it then.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: It doesn't -- it may not be in
- 4 context of these agenda items. I just have a few
- 5 discrepancies I want to bring up.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Can you hold them
- 7 till the end? That way we might have already
- 8 covered them.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: Well, certainly, let's see what
- we discuss.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: And see if they address them.
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next section is,
- dedicated under Airport Authority, to serving the
- public. And this -- this kind of fills in the
- blanks of what it -- what the -- the airport is
- specifically.
- 18 And I speak to the economic engine that the
- airport is in the community, in that it's largely

20	responsible for about 1800-plus jobs, directly and
21	indirectly, and at least a \$200 million impact on
22	the on the local economy as a result of its
23	placement.
24	Now, it's important to note here that it's
25	not just airport things. It's it's also the

1	biggest piece of that. That economic puzzle rests
2	with Northrop Grumman's operation, and that
3	when if you were to see Grumman curtail or even
4	leave the community, that you could you could
5	expect significant reductions in the impact, as
6	well as the jobs that owe itself to the existence
7	of the airport.
8	It's no secret that if that if the airport
9	closed in this location, that Grumman would
10	have would have already gone, that they would
11	not wait for that business decision.
12	The airport serves as the community's
13	gateway. And it's important to point out that it
14	is the location and focal point for business
15	access to our community. One only has to stand
16	out there and look through the fence in the FBO
17	area to see the the level of activity that the
18	airport has has achieved over the last four or
19	five years in particular, the number of business

20	aircraft that are on the ramp at any one time,
21	almost any day. And these people are doing
22	business in the community.
23	While we do have people that flow through
24	here just to buy fuel, the reality is if you see
25	the airplane here for any period of time, there's

1	some business being transacted in St. Johns County
2	or even surrounding counties. A part of what we
3	get here in a business aviation climate does go
4	beyond St. Johns County. The capability of this
5	airport allows a much higher level of activity of
6	many of these aircraft.
7	It's also citizens' access point to air
8	transportation services in the U.S. That takes
9	many forms. Today, that would be the ability to
10	go out and charter an aircraft, the ability to
11	learn how to fly and own an airplane and and
12	learn how to to use that.
13	It also represents what we call the first
14	impression that that most people have when they
15	arrive by air, is in the community's airport. It
16	tells them a lot about whether the community's
17	involved in in the business community's
18	involved. Is it important to them how people
19	perceive their community? Is it a strong business

20	acumen?
21	And the last and and while more frequently
22	mentioned, community interest, is in the area of
23	emergency response. Not only do you have law
24	enforcement agencies based at the air basing
25	aircraft here, but it also and it's no secret

1	that that the most fundamental or lynchpin
2	activity in in full large-scale emergency
3	response is the ability to get air resources
4	applied to your community, to get in some of those
5	requisite supplies and materials and and
6	services back up and running. And that's usually
7	done by air.
8	And the airport, especially this airport with
9	its the length of runways and its load
10	capability and the like, serves as a very strong,
11	strong partner in emergency response activities
12	and and law enforcement and those things.
13	And we always bring up the the fires of a
14	few years back when a lot of aviation activity was
15	generated as air resources were applied to some of
16	those fire situations. Helicopters, fuel for
17	those aircraft. Even fixed-wing crews were based
18	all over Florida, especially North Florida at the
19	time, to to apply those firefighting resources.

20	We we are the only entity within St. Johns
21	County that's government that is even capable of
22	becoming self-sustaining. When you when you
23	look at all of the other governmental entities,
24	they are entirely revenue supported or or
25	majority revenue supported and and likely never

1	to be any other way by the tax dollars of of
2	St. Johns County citizens.
3	We are the only ones capable of eventually
4	weaning ourselves off of that. But that takes the
5	commitment that y'all have have really thrown
6	out there, especially in the last few years, but
7	really over the last ten years, with a solid
8	approach to generating the revenue necessary to
9	to get to that goal.
10	And when you look at it in the scheme of the
11	tax implications countywide, it's a relatively
12	minor tax implication that the Airport Authority
13	has.
14	Now, that perspective is always local and,
15	more importantly, individual. And, you know, some
16	people pay more than others based on property
17	valuations, and its relative importance is likely
18	a direct function of whether you perceive you
19	benefit from the airport or not. And that that

- varies by individual, by taxpayer.Yes, sir.
- MR. BRUNSON: I think Buzz did a slide on the
- 23 tax --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Uh-huh.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- percentages of increases

1	compared to other agencies. And thi sure you
2	don't have his slide in this slide.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, it's in here
4	somewhere, but it's not in this presentation.
5	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. But this is this is a
6	good slide, Ed, for the things that you have to do
7	and occasionally we all have to do, and that would
8	be a good slide to interject into this.
9	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, Ed had had
10	mentioned to me that you had indicated to him that
11	you thought that it would be appropriate if we do
12	have a slide kit for a show, because each one of
13	us as elected officials get to different groups,
14	and if somebody calls in and says, "Would you mind
15	giving a presentation on the airport?" if we if
16	we had a common set of PowerPoint, you know, that
17	we're all talking from the same hymnal, then we
18	can take that and modify it if there's any special
19	interest that you want

20	MR. BRUNSON: I think this is good, and I
21	think that's what we need to do.
22	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
23	MR. WUELLNER: I agree.
24	MS. BARRERA: I would also like to bring up a
25	point that Bryan, when I met with Bryan over the

1	campaign process and talked to him, and it
2	directly goes under the business accesses that
3	several companies, as they were making decisions
4	about where they wanted to locate, first had to
5	check out the proximity of the airport to where
6	they want to locate. And I think that needs to be
7	emphasized to the community, because that's
8	that's a huge statement.
9	And Bryan was able to quickly give me
10	examples of different companies, and I think
11	people need to realize that those companies
12	actually took the airport and its location into
13	consideration.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Very good point. Very good
15	point.
16	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think Bryan also brought
17	up the point about I think you had a statement
18	in in something that you were quoted in the
19	paper about, you know, the county's growing,

1	the aerospace academy and how it's serving the
2	public school system with the aerospace academy
3	and working in conjunction with that, because when
4	I was out on the campaign trail, there's been a
5	lot of at this time, there's been a lot of
6	publicity about it. When I was out, very few
7	people were really aware that that program was in
8	existence. And it it needs to be emphasized
9	how much that ties to this airport at this
10	location.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Very good. Awesome.
12	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
13	3 EMINENT DOMAIN
14	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next major topic deals
15	with eminent domain or or what I refer to it
16	as, the misinformation campaign, which has you
17	know, that that element of what the Authority
18	has done over the last few years is probably the
19	singlemost mismanaged and, you know, I have to

20	take that responsibility. But the the reality
21	is the the public's perception of what was
22	accomplished and what we did was was was
23	done very poorly.
24	And while I believe we, in every aspect,
25	acted properly and aboveboard with the individuals

1	we were dealing with and and there was
2	absolutely nothing we could have done differently
3	on a detail, the the inability to manage what
4	the public got as information related to the to
5	that made it extremely difficult to to overcome
6	that as a as a negative.
7	We didn't get any help when the when the
8	Supreme Court, in the middle of that effort we
9	were doing, you know, came out and managed to
10	light a fire over the whole concept of eminent
11	domain with its very broad interpretation of that.
12	And that really, you know, made it even more
13	difficult to overcome the local politics, if you
14	will, but the local perception of what what was
15	accomplished. I see you're dying to weigh in.
16	MR. BURNETT: Well, I'll just add a couple of
17	things to that, which is the Supreme Court case
18	that Ed's referring to has basically come down and
19	said that a local government could take property

20	for a private developer and sell the property to
21	the private developer to a private developer to
22	develop the property for something, for example,
23	the local government might find attractive.
24	And where this happened was up in the
25	northeast where someone wanted to build a marina,

1	and the city said, Hey, that's a great idea; we'll
2	condemn this property that's there, because it's
3	kind of blighted, and develop or you're going
4	to develop it into a marina, and we'll sell it to
5	you once we take it.
6	And that was the big hot button issue that's
7	gotten a lot of reaction in in Florida, not
8	just on the state level, but by local governments
9	themselves, as as something that no one really
10	wants to see have happen wholesale across the
11	state.
12	Anyways, the other part of it is, I just
13	wanted to add for and and I know y'all, part
14	of you were watching, part of you were on the
15	board at the time. We put a seasoned lawyer from
16	my firm on the job to do the actual eminent domain
17	actions, Mark Arnold.
18	And, you know, the good thing about Mark is
19	Mark's most often on the side of representing the

20	property owners against DOT, and so he could he
21	has great sympathy for what the folks are going
22	through when that was happening. And so we took a
23	very and really at the direction of of the
24	Authority, and at the direction of Ed, took a very
25	reasonable approach to how we dealt with the folks

during the litigation and -- and through making 1 the settlements. So, I just wanted to throw that 2 3 out there. One thing that's -- we have heard, and I see 4 5 Ed's got some more points; I'll let him cover them. But, you know, it's -- sometimes the -- the 6 word gets out there that all the airport did was 7 8 take all of that property over there. In reality, it was a limited number of the lots. Not that it 9 10 didn't have the same significant impact on the individual property owners, but the -- the reality 11 was it just wasn't the majority of the property 12 over there. The majority of the property had 13 14 already been acquired by the airport. So -- and Ed, I know you've got other bullet 15 points on your presentation. I don't want to jump 16 17 in and sidetrack you, but I wanted to add those 18 comments.

MR. WUELLNER: I was trying to -- something

19

20	you said triggered my remembrance of something
21	that was in your charter, and I was trying to find
22	the exact the exact phrasing in here. But
23	basically, what what I was trying to well,
24	just save that for later.
25	But when you put it in context of our use of

1	eminent domain, the Authority's charter in itself
2	limits your ability to use eminent domain, because
3	it requires you to use it for airport-related
4	purposes. You don't have the ability, in a sense,
5	to abuse it like a more general purpose
6	governmental entity could do in in turning
7	property over to developers or something that's
8	that's absolutely autonomous or independent from
9	the airport operation. You don't have that
10	ability. It it could only be done for an
11	airport-related purpose. And you do have limiting
12	language in your charter to that effect.
13	So, it's got to meet that test. And, of
14	course, that's not decided by the Airport
15	Authority. You may decide you wish to go that
16	direction, but ultimately it's coming before a
17	judge and and they're going to make the
18	determination of whether the purpose that you'd
19	ever want to do this is indeed meeting the test

20	and and the standard of of public use and
21	public benefit. And and when applied in that
22	context, the Airport Authority, it wasn't even
23	really a discussion point with the judge.
24	As presented, what we intended to do with the
25	project and the like, it was not a source of

1	debate. Even the attorneys representing the
2	parties who were being directly affected by this
3	didn't contest, at the end of the day, whether it
4	was for a public purpose or not.
5	Now, they may have made some grandstanding in
6	the newspaper, but when when it came to putting
7	a solid defense, or even making the statement in
8	that defense, it didn't happen. They basically
9	agreed that, you know, by definition, it was a
10	public purpose. And to to fight that cause was
11	dead before it started.
12	MR. BURNETT: Which is why the Airport
13	Authority was able to obtain the order of taking
14	so quickly and easily. Quite frankly, the
15	hearings went very very quick and easy on the
16	issue of whether or not the airport could take the
17	property.
18	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I I think that all of
19	those comments are fine, you know, as far as what

- we have authority to do, what we -- how we did it,
- in the best fashion that we could. Unfortunately,
- the -- the impression that's left with the public
- is, you did it.
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: So, that's what we have to

1 overcome. And I -- I look at the Supreme Court's 2 decision as taking a little heat off of us. Gave 3 us a "we were not doing that." So, that's good. 4 MR. GORMAN: I believe we made some type of a 5 policy statement before in the past, or everybody has acquiesced to that, I can't remember that, 6 that we weren't going to do that again? I mean, 7 8 is that -- can we make that clear, or is that not clear? 9 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I think you -- you folks 10 left it as -- which, you know, really was the way 11 you did it the first time, that it was an action 12 13 of last resort. It was -- you did not say you would not do it. What you said was it was the 14 absolute last -- you know, everything else --15 16 MR. GORMAN: That's not what I --17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think what we -- what we said is that we would make every available avenue 18

of doing something before having to do that again.

19

- MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I can -- you should have a
- copy of the statement that we read that's in the
- 23 notes.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's in there. It's in the
- 25 minutes.

CHAIRMAN GEORGE: If you want another copy. 1 2 But it basically was saying we're not doing that 3 anymore because we've gotten to this point, and 4 before we go any further with any plans 20 years down the road, we're going to make sure that that 5 doesn't happen again. 6 MR. GORMAN: When the public asks me, "Are 7 8 you going to do it again?" they want a yes or no answer. So, that's -- that's been my problem. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: The answer is no. MR. BRUNSON: We gave them one. We were 11 asked to -- to give a resolution that we would not 12 do it. 13 MR. GORMAN: I under -- I realize. And 14 that's resolution, is what I'm talking about. 15 16 MR. BRUNSON: And we said we would not do 17 that. 18 MR. GORMAN: Good. MR. BRUNSON: We did say that we would, to 19

20	the best of our ability, not do it again, but
21	we but if we have to in the future, so
22	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, that would be the
23	decision
24	MR. BRUNSON: So, as a board
25	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: of the board that is

1	seated at that time.
2	MR. BRUNSON: As a board, you cannot say
3	that, "No, we won't do it."
4	I personally can say that I don't want to do
5	it and but I can't say as a board.
6	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think the one of the
7	keys here is we can commit ourselves to that, but
8	we're not going to be on the board 20 years from
9	now. And so they have the ability to do it
10	through the charter. But hopefully we'll do our
11	work well in the planning, that we will make sure
12	that we already have the rights for everything we
13	want to do in that area so that they do not get to
14	that point and have to use it.
15	MR. BRUNSON: Now, where are you going in 20
16	years?
17	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I'm going to be on my boat
18	watching airplanes land in the marsh.
19	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.

20	MR. WUELLNER: All right. The next section
21	under this was, "Why was it done?" And I'm only
22	making these points because we you know, it
23	seemed to be a genuine point of confusion out
24	there as to what the issue was based on some of
25	the smaller or the questions we were getting.

1	And one was, look, all you have to do is
2	really look at the available land envelope that
3	the airport had available to us, and it and
4	it's not hard to understand that at some point,
5	the airport was likely to have needs that that
6	expanded beyond the the original border of the
7	airport.
8	And certainly the community has grown
9	exponentially since the airport in its early days
10	came into being in 1938. No doubt that those
11	those folks in 1938 didn't conceive of the
12	population expansion of St. Johns County.
13	It is in our case, it was absolutely the
14	direct result of of prudent planning that had
15	gone on through many cycles of airport master
16	planning dating back into the 1980s. It was not
17	something that was done at the spur spur of the
18	moment and without thought, consideration and
19	and an evaluation of alternatives throughout the

20	years.
21	And the timing was such that the real issue
22	came down to demand, demand, demand for facilities
23	on the airport and the inability of the airport to
24	satisfy those demands on or within its existing
25	nronerty.

1	And lastly, it came as a result of what I
2	describe as painful deliberation and
3	decision-making process. It wasn't something you
4	guys took lightly. It wasn't something that was
5	foregone in its conclusion. The previous looks at
6	eminent domain, at least the most previous one,
7	resulted in the Authority backing away from that,
8	back three or four years before that.
9	It became the decision that that that
10	had to be made and unfortunately fell on the watch
11	of some of the people, or most of you, that were
12	in this room.
13	And I wanted to point out that it definitely
14	was a last-resort decision. And I also wanted to
15	point out what I consider two two very
16	different definitions of of taking, because
17	that that gets out there in the public, too.
18	And certainly "taking" is a legal term and
19	involves a due process that's defined by Florida

20	Statutes. And the term has an implication that is
21	almost always and and generally is considered a
22	very negative, negative process.
23	However, it is one of the more protected
24	things within the State's statute system and the
25	court system in its treatment of the people who

are affected by eminent domain. And it's --1 it's -- it's a very detailed process that affords 2 a lot of opportunity for give-and-take in the 3 4 process. The last is that "taking" is a financial 5 term. And in that concept, we're talking about 6 the compensation that's due to parties that were 7 8 affected. And I -- and I can honestly sit here and assure you that anyone who was affected 9 directly by eminent domain and its action from the 10 Airport Authority was fairly compensated. And --11 and by that, I mean, they -- they did well when 12 compared to the value of the property, or 13 perceived value of the property. No one walked 14 out of here having their property stolen in the 15 16 sense of value. 17 They -- they may disagree in that it was their property and you -- you ultimately ended up 18 with it. But in terms of value, there's no way 19

20	you could make the the statement that they
21	weren't fairly compensated as a part of the
22	process.
23	MR. BURNETT: Plus, they were entitled to the
24	payment of their attorney's fees for the attorneys
25	that they hired to represent them.

MR. WUELLNER: And they all received it. 1 MR. COOPER: Ed --2 3 MR. GORMAN: The public --MR. COOPER: Or George --4 5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah, go ahead. MR. COOPER: -- can I say something about --6 7 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Fine. 8 MR. COOPER: -- eminent domain? MR. WUELLNER: We need to get you a mic, 9 Bryan. Sorry. 10 MR. COOPER: To the mic? 11 MR. BRUNSON: For us old people who can't 12 13 hear. MR. COOPER: When you guys get as old as I 14 am, then you'll know what old is. 15 16 Something that I've been doing lately related 17 to a project that we're working on has required me

to do a little research on some of the past

historical events at this airport. And something

18

19

20	came to my mind in doing that.
21	When I found that the southern extension of
22	runway 13/31 from 6/24 south was taken by eminent
23	domain to create that runway. The land where
24	hangar row J, K, L, and M is on, and the control
25	tower's on, the self-service fuel on farm is

1	on, was taken by eminent domain to create those
2	hangars.
3	And as I've said in the past, which we
4	mentioned earlier, that as the airport as the
5	community grows, it's important that the airport
6	that provides service to the community grows with
7	it.
8	And historically, if we look back, if
9	previous boards had not taken that property to
10	create that runway extension, Northrop Grumman
11	would not be here because we wouldn't be able to
12	serve them. And had they not taken that property
13	to build those hangars, we wouldn't have that
14	control tower here because we wouldn't have had
15	land to put it on. And we wouldn't have had Jack
16	Gorman or Wayne George here because we wouldn't
17	have had property to build hangars for them.
18	So, I think that past boards have made that
19	painful decision to take that property by eminent

20	domain. But it has resulted, when we look back
21	historically, at an airport that is able to
22	provide the service to the community that it
23	couldn't if it hadn't done that.
24	And I think that to say that this eminent
25	domain process that we just went for isn't also

1	going to, many years from now, we look back, not
2	be able to say that same thing, would be wrong.
3	I think that when you look back and say, if
4	you hadn't done that, then you wouldn't be able to
5	do this, this, and this, and these are
6	important things. Now, that's supposition on my
7	part. I admit that. But this community's growing
8	and this airport needs to grow and meet with it.
9	Sometimes that's the only way that you can do it.
10	And again, if you look at what we've done in
11	the past, what would this community what would
12	this airport, the value of this airport, this
13	community, if we hadn't done those things? If we
14	didn't have a control tower? If we didn't have
15	those hangars where you guys are at? If we didn't
16	have Northrop Grumman here?
17	And those are all things that are direct
18	result of eminent domain in the past.
19	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Thank you. Didn't

20	didn't you have a comment also?
21	MR. GORMAN: The the public perception
22	still is is that.
23	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We took it.
24	MR. GORMAN: Yes. And that they doubt

25 whether that was absolutely necessary. That

1	perception exists regardless of the small tank of
2	people here and their own conceptions, which is
3	this board. The larger conception is, is that was
4	that really necessary?
5	So and I think that what Bryan said is
6	well said, because in the end of things, I mean,
7	well, does that serve the greater good? But
8	whether or not it serves the greater good is the
9	perception that the public angst is about. It
10	just it's it doesn't go away.
11	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, that's one of the
12	reasons that we went over the charter, so that you
13	knew where we were. I think another piece of it,
14	when you when you mention that the previous
15	board from eight years ago or whatever it was,
16	said, no, we're not going to deal with the
17	situation, four years ago they left us with a
18	situation where we had one buildable lot left, and
19	that lot is now occupying hangar 8, 9, and 10,

20	because that was the only thing we had left. So,
21	we were forced into it.
22	Now, why why do we need hangars and and
23	stuff? We have a Master Plan and you'll get to
24	that in a minute. And the Master Plan is approved
25	by FAA. And FAA says, yes, based on what you're

- 1 telling me, that is going to be your need. So,
- 2 they are helping to validate, you know, the needs
- 3 that we see in the future and to take care of our
- 4 direction.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know if you want to --
- 6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes.
- 7 MR. MARTINELLI: Public comment?
- 8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Please. Mr. Martinelli.
- 9 MR. MARTINELLI: Yes. I believe that I was
- on the board that did the taking of this property
- down here where the new hangars are and where the
- maintenance facility present -- the concrete block
- building and the house that was there and so on.
- And we did that and didn't seem to have all the
- backlash that you have now.
- Of course, we didn't take that much. And I'm
- using the term "take" as a legal term --
- 18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I understand.
- MR. MARTINELLI: -- not grab --

20	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I understand.
21	MR. MARTINELLI: okay? However, a couple
22	of points I'd like to make. One, when you say
23	"the perception out there," the perception in the
24	northern part of the county where I live is no
25	perception whatsoever on eminent domain here. Am

1	right, Keny?
2	MS. BARRERA: There's
3	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. And and that part
4	of the county is the major contributor taxwise to
5	the county coffers, including ours, because of the
6	population up there.
7	And so I just would like to caution the board
8	on what is the universe here that you're talking
9	about. And the world doesn't revolve around
10	St. Augustine anymore as it did many, many years
11	ago. It revolves around the county as a whole.
12	And I believe that there's enough intelligence in
13	the county to understand eminent domain.
14	I would also like to make a suggestion.
15	Since the charter actually prohibits any use of
16	eminent domain other than for a public use, and
17	since you all understand that and it's in the
18	charter, and with all of the publicity that the
19	Supreme Court decision has just received, and some

20	of the abuses that some of the local governments
21	are are making of that where they're taking
22	property, giving it to developers, developers are
23	putting people out of their homes, they've been
24	to build shopping centers, I think it would be a
25	good PR move for you to iterate publicly, and

1	maybe even by resolution of this board, that you
2	are certainly aware of what your charter says and
3	that you certainly support it and you have no
4	intention of changing that whatsoever.
5	So, that's where I'm coming from on it. I
6	I guess I'm saying that maybe this is a little
7	tempest in a teapot right now in all this time
8	worrying about eminent domain. It's passed. It's
9	done. And and I think the the folks that
10	have been agitating, certain accountant down here
11	and so on, will go away.
12	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I think the purpose
13	of discussing it here was to get one last
14	collection of thoughts that would be put into a
15	document. The minutes are here if anybody ever
16	wanted to refer back to it, you know, to make
17	slides, they could. Was not planning on making
18	this a new issue with to receive brickbats from
19	the certain newspaper magazines and everything

20	like that. But it was just kind of to bring us
21	all in and share how we've been answering that
22	question.
23	And and we can give all the justification
24	in the world, but there is some soul that he
25	didn't want to move, and to him and all of his

1	friends, you know, we're the bad guy.
2	And I think that just in my impression of
3	what I would do is just talk about the envelope
4	and how we had to expand and how we put a
5	resolution in that we're going to put more effort
6	on the front end when looking at our expansion
7	plans to make sure that we have the land wrapped
8	up so that we do not ever have to go through
9	eminent domain again. Jack?
10	MR. GORMAN: And could I say here that just
11	from an old farmer's viewpoint, we're out of land
12	right now, and I don't understand why we don't go
13	west and use that issue, which would not require
14	any eminent domain, rather than look at this
15	microcosm of land we have left around this area
16	which is so heavily developed. It will be in the
17	future.
18	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
10	MR BRUNSON: As a board member that

20	that's a good comment. But I might say that in
21	the last two years, I have answered daily
22	questions about eminent domain. But in the last
23	few months, that has gone away
24	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Right.
25	MR. BRUNSON: and it's only asked by some

- of my closest friends and just a way to kind of
- 2 pick humor at me instead of being serious.
- 3 So, I -- I think it's -- it's going away.
- 4 And -- and to tell you the truth, Victor, I think
- 5 that's an excellent idea, but I have mixed
- 6 emotions about --
- 7 MR. MARTINELLI: Lighting the fire.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Resurrecting.
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah, bringing it up again,
- because really, I think it's -- we've done it,
- it's over. We don't need to --
- 12 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, it was good to bring
- it up now, because we heard what everybody else
- has been saying about it, each one of us
- individual. So, we collectively have it down in
- black and white. We can go on to other subjects.
- MS. BARRERA: And it was brought up -- on the
- news articles that was -- that was done here by
- 19 The Sun. It was brought up recently.

20	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
21	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. And I don't remember
22	which one that one was.
23	MS. BARRERA: That was the St. Johns Sun that
24	brought it up, that did the spread on the airport.
25	And it was also a question that was posed to each

1 of the candidates during the campaign process. 2 MR. BRUNSON: And that's when it should have 3 been addressed immediately. 4 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think, rather than us 5 being pro -- it's my opinion that rather than us being proactive to bring it up again, let us all 6 7 have the ammunition that we can take care of it. 8 If does come up again, we will follow that party line, so to speak. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 10 MS. BARRERA: And have it as a talking point 11 with -- with how to approach it. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Uh-huh. Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The -- the next kind of 14 question that came out of the eminent domain was, 15 was it done prematurely? Because there was a 16 17 perception out there that the Airport Authority was -- was doing all of this with no definitive 18

plans on what they were going to do with the

19

20	property.
21	And I I point out here for the purposes,
22	again, of just making reminding the public that
23	it was a result of Master Plans over the last 20
24	years. It is not was not again a
25	spur-of-the-moment decision by the Authority or a

1	knee-jerk reaction to needs on the airport, but
2	methodically evaluated over the three or four
3	Master Plan periods and the inevitable result of
4	the expansion of the airport and the expansion of
5	St. Johns County, and was 20 years in the making.
6	But again, demand drove the the ultimate
7	decision to do that untenable waiting list. You
8	know, we all know those numbers. They were, you
9	know, 30-plus for corporate space, in the vicinity
10	of 180 names waiting on T-hangar space. It
11	presented opportunities and challenges for the
12	Airport Authority in making the decisions.
13	And our interest in property in Araquay Park
14	at the time exceeded 85 percent of the property in
15	that development. It was not done with a 5
16	percent ownership interest in a in a case of
17	vacating an entire neighborhood.
18	The Authority, through voluntary purchases
19	and sales, had acquired an interest of about 85

20	percent of the property that was in that. So, it
21	was the easiest place and and the most
22	reasonable place to look at expansion.
23	And the other question that came out of this
24	was, you know, we again, "Was it done
25	prematurely? We weren't waiting to do anything."

1	And I point out with the last point on the
2	last slide, that we were literally in construction
3	about a year after taking possession of the last
4	piece of property. And that included finalizing
5	the engineering drawings, waiting on federal grant
6	money for, what, six or eight months, and bidding
7	a project and actually starting to turn dirt. And
8	we did that in less than a year from taking
9	possession of the last home there.
10	So it, you know, was not a case of it sat
11	there vacant for years waiting on the Authority to
12	catch up to what it had done.
13	MR. BRUNSON: I think that's important.
14	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Did you have something you
16	wanted to do?
17	MR. BURNETT: I was just going to throw in,
18	in fact, one of the eminent domain actions, as you
19	all will probably recall, was a voluntary one

20	where there was a title defect. We had a willing
21	seller. Of course, the airport was willing to
22	buy. But they had a title defect and couldn't
23	convey clean title. And so, rather than do a
24	quiet title action, we put it in with the eminent
25	domain actions for the ease of ease of

1	economic ease of ours and and ease of the Court
2	to deal with it that way.
3	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
4	MR. BURNETT: So, one of the actions wasn't
5	actually an adverse-type eminent domain action.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Another misconception go to
7	the next slide is is that we were using
8	these homes we took and turning around and renting
9	them out to the general public, so we effectively
10	evicted the residents and then used those homes
11	to to generate revenue or find other tenants.
12	In other words, we just didn't want them in the
13	neighborhood, I guess would be the supposition.
14	And and obviously the short answer to that
15	is, no, that did not happen. And I'm happy to
16	report that, did we ever do that? No, we never
17	did. Any of the eminent domain properties were
18	never again occupied. They they were
19	eliminated from the neighborhood. They were in

20	most cases razed and that was it.
21	And did we even consider it? No. The board
22	never even talked about it, nor did Staff ever
23	propose that we look at using properties, you
24	know, taken by eminent domain, and and
25	rerenting that to anyone in the public.

1	Then the last question that came out of
2	eminent domain was another one that came out
3	out of the campaign trail, which was someone
4	had had spread that they believed the executive
5	director was indeed living on property taken by
6	eminent domain, which makes great conversation and
7	great discussion, but the sad truth is that never
8	happened.
9	I do not live on you know, where I live,
10	it was not taken by eminent domain. In fact, that
11	owner approached us about acquiring his property
12	before I got to town. And in fact, that ownership
13	of that house preexists my existence in the
14	community. So, I am in no way tied to that, other
15	than I currently park my car there.
16	MR. BURNETT: But that was and and that
17	was part of the misinformation, because there was
18	a publication out there that did publish that
19	those allegations

20	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
21	MR. BURNETT: were were circulating out
22	there.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Next major topic is finances
24	(Mr. Burnett leaves the room.)
25	4 FINANCES

1	MR. WUELLNER: And I titled the subsection "A
2	desire to balance," in that obviously we have
3	we have both the charter requirement that we
4	present a balanced budget annually, but at the
5	same point, we've we've interjected, and from a
6	number of fronts.
7	It's not just this Authority asking us to
8	become self self-sustained as an entity. It's
9	literally a requirement that came out of the most
10	early grant requirements of the airport in that
11	it they they gave us the amount of property
12	they did from from the federal government with
13	the understanding that we'd make ourselves as
14	self-supporting as possible.
15	Now, that wasn't entirely easy to do in 1940,
16	whatever it was when we got the airport back. But
17	the reality is that we're on a good good path
18	now to get there.
19	And I I put a quote up there from, again,

20	out on the campaign trail, says, "The airport is
21	using ad valorem taxes to supplement, in other
22	words, keeping hangar rates below market pricing."
23	And the first general area I want to talk
24	about is rates and charges and how we how we
25	determine rates and charges around here and and

1	how you have directed us to look at rates and
2	charges.
3	And it comes from a couple of places.
4	Obviously, we're not charging below-market rent.
5	And I use this statement wrong, obviously. And to
6	assure that we do have market pricing, we do
7	several things. And one is to require, as a
8	direct policy of this board, that an adequate ROI
9	be developed for Airport Authority investments
10	that are revenue-producing. And we do that by
11	presenting, at the time of lease or at the time of
12	construction, where appropriate, when we're
13	considering the investment, is you get to look at
14	what we believe the revenue-generating potential
15	is of that piece of property.
16	And in most cases, it it really never goes
17	down from that estimate. It would go up.
18	Turnovers in the building and the lease and the
19	like generally result in a a increase in the

20	rent opportunity for that particular property
21	since we divest ourselves of most most
22	requirements related to maintenance in in those
23	lease agreements.
24	And we also really change the character of
25	the property from municipally owned or Authority

1	owned and in a nontax producing mode to that of
2	tax producing, it it directly benefits, and
3	that's, in that aspect, the general public,
4	because it becomes a taxable property when
5	somebody else occupies it other than the Airport
6	Authority. So, it goes toward the general good of
7	the taxpayers, if you will, as a result of our
8	developing property.
9	Second way we assure that we're getting
10	market value is to to do appraisals and surveys
11	periodically relating to those facilities. You
12	may or may not be aware that we just recently l
13	think the results of it are are a December
14	publication I'm not going into the you're
15	welcome to a copy of that if you wish to review
16	it. But we went and had a professional appraising
17	firm evaluate our market rents for for hangars
18	on the facility. And they came back and basically
10	validated where we were on on rent

20	So, we're not we're not standing out
21	there, you know, at below market value, expecting
22	something that's a good business decision. We're
23	insisting it's a good business decision before we
24	get involved in it. And that's a direct result
25	of of you five, the the Airport Authority,

1	making those policy decisions and directing Staff
2	to go that direction.
3	So, we don't you know, we know we've got
4	to present you with an ROI that's acceptable in
5	order to to move a lease forward. So, it saves
6	us a lot of time to start that as the base point
7	in negotiations with future tenants.
8	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: That that appraisal, by
9	the way, did make a comment that in comparing our
10	rates with other airports, that we were above the
11	average in all those cases. And I would like to
12	request from you that I want to see the detail
13	behind that from various
14	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
15	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: And it it stated in
16	there that they were going to keep the detail in
17	their office.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We'll ask for it.
19	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: And we paid for it.

20	MR. WUELLNER: We'll ask for it.
21	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: A copy of it.
22	MR. WUELLNER: One of the things we we're
23	actually faced with right now, and it's something
24	that that you, in particular, Mr. George, have
25	been have been bringing up as a part of the

1	mancial forecasting, is that that we ve
2	we've recently experienced the real increase, a
3	dramatic increase in the cost to construct
4	facilities. And, you know, the the rent
5	structure we're experiencing is lagging that to
6	some degree.
7	And and one of the things we're doing is,
8	you'll you'll see this really over the next
9	month or two as we bring the leases for 8, 9, and
10	10 forward, is that it may be market appraisal at
11	\$3.50 and \$4.50 a square foot for aviation hangar
12	kind of space, depending on the use, that the
13	reality is, we can't make ROIs that make any sense
14	for the airport with those rates based on what it
15	costs us to build facilities.
16	So, we are literally jumping that
17	cost-per-square-foot number dramatically. And I'm
18	not getting any grief from the future tenants,
19	which tells me that it's still reasonable, even

21

20	though it's a dramatic increase over where we

for 8, 9, and 10 in the \$6-a-square-foot range as

were. Because we're going to be looking at leases

- 22 for 0, 9, and 10 in the \$6 a square root range of
- 23 the going rate.
- And when -- when you start leasing 8,
- 9, and 10 at \$6 a square foot, you -- you can be

1	assured that your new newer facilities will
2	generate at least that kind of dollars per square
3	foot. But any older facility we have because
4	most of those are relatively new. If if leases
5	are required or new leases are required to be
6	generated, you're looking at those kind of numbers
7	for those facilities in the future. So, you've
8	automatically, in a sense, added 80 percent to the
9	rental rate base of of existing leases for
10	moving into the future.
11	So, you know, the revenue stream is solid and
12	it's getting better.
13	MR. GORMAN: So, are you saying that our
14	original projected ROIs will be met?
15	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. Absolutely. And
16	I'm also saying that I've got to get to those
17	rental rates in order to get the ROIs based on
18	what it's costing me to build. That's kind of a
19	converse statement, too.

20	Next under that is that deals with rates
21	and charges. Again, that's another comment that
22	came out, is, "Why not charge landing fees to
23	generate revenue?"
24	And I and I know most of you guys are GA
25	guys and understand what is involved in that. But

1	I I want the public or a matter of public
2	record to reflect it's just you know, it's a
3	great question, but it's just not that simple.
4	And it and it really only works in an airline
5	environment where they're required to report their
6	activity.
7	And most of those landing fees are based on a
8	weight calculation, what the airplane weighs when
9	it either lands or takes off, depending on their
10	particular agreement with that airport.
11	In general aviation, they're not only
12	perceived; they're used directly to discourage
13	general aviation activity, not to encourage or to
14	develop as a revenue source.
15	And the reason is that the collection is just
16	so ridiculously expensive. How do we, as an
17	airport, identify everyone who touches this
18	facility, and in a sense, generates some kind of
19	invoice or some mechanism of collecting some son

20	of a fee?
21	And for that fee to be meaningful keep in
22	mind if you charged a dollar a takeoff and
23	landing, just based on tower hours, it's only
24	\$120,000 worth of revenue. Now, I don't mean
25	"only" in a bad way, but it would easily require

\$120,000 worth of effort to identify and collect 1 that \$120,000. And it -- it's a phenomenally 2 3 difficult chore. (Mr. Burnett enters the room.) 4 MR. WUELLNER: Secondly, collecting landing 5 fees requires you to uniformly apply that -- that 6 direction, which means that while air carrier 7 8 airports collect landing fees almost exclusively on air carriers, with the general aviation fleet 9 going uncollected, in other words, they -- they 10 forego trying to collect GA for the exact same 11 reasons; there's no easy way to do it. 12 Where you do see landing fees being assessed 13 at GA -- GA activity at air carrier airports, it's 14 designed to discourage heavily general aviation's 15 use of that airport. And it's primarily at 16 17 high -- super high density airports where it's literally saturated with airline activity and 18 working the 172 into the mix at Massport, at 19

20	Boston Airport, is is a ridiculous supposition.
21	And if you really insist on bringing your 172 into
22	that airspace and landing it there, it will cost
23	you about half the price of your 172.
24	The idea is you'll pick an area airport
25	that's not that airport. And that keeps them able

1	to keep the flow moving of of commercial
2	service. You guys understand that.
3	The other side that the public needs to
4	understand, that that implementing landing fees
5	effectively dooms the Airport Authority's future
6	financial picture, especially as it relates to
7	getting off the tax rolls. Because as you
8	immediately as you assess landing fees, you
9	immediately run off all the traffic by which most
10	of your other fees and activities are generated.
11	And you not only don't collect the fees you
12	think because it costs you so much, you lose all
13	of the other things, such as fuel flowage fees,
14	leases for hangars. Any other type of lease
15	activity heads south, too, as I describe it. And
16	as a result, you're you're really now placing
17	the burden of operating the airport on the
18	taxpayers because someone's got to pick up the
19	tab.

20	And and, of course, the obvious question
21	is, "We'll just shut the airport, then. We've
22	accomplished what we were after."
23	Well, it's not so simple, Skippy. The
24	reality is you've agreed with the federal
25	government, as recently as four or five months

1	ago, to operate this as a public use, public
2	available airport for the next 20 years, which
3	means even if you want to welsh on your
4	obligations to keep the airport open, the feds
5	aren't going to allow you unless you're prepared
6	to pay back all of those monies.
7	And at this point, I don't have an estimate
8	of what that number is, but I I think I can
9	conservatively say it's tens of millions of
10	dollars right now that that could be required
11	to be repaid to the federal government alone, let
12	alone what the state has invested in it. So so
13	it really doesn't make much sense. Makes a
14	great great discussion, but it's it's not
15	really practical.
16	MR. BRUNSON: I think we all can attest to
17	that those statements, especially Wayne. In
18	Atlanta, even
19	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Oh, that's right, yeah.

25

MR. BRUNSON: -- even PDK, high volume, big traffic does not charge landing fees. The only one that would and that we'd want -- to keep us away is Hartsville. CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.

MR. BRUNSON: And I've landed at

1 Ft. Lauderdale and some of the bigger ones. 2 Charlotte, never been charged a landing fee. 3 MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Miami, you get charged 36 4 bucks landing. 5 6 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And a lot of places are 7 8 getting that in a -- in a more convoluted way in the way of ramp fees. They're coming through the 9 10 FBO, not coming through the -- so you've got -sometimes you're paying and don't even know it. 11 Now, the next area was cap -- you know, there 12 was a discussion about capital projects. "We keep 13 doing capital projects, and as a result, we can't 14 off tax rolls." 15 16 (Mr. Brunson leaves the room.) 17 MR. WUELLNER: And real briefly, the public

really needs to know we have two different types

of capital projects for the most part, and they

18

19

are subdivided into nonrevenue and revenue. And
our nonrevenue projects, which I won't spend any
time on, are typically subdivided further into
safety kind of projects, and the other is capacity
projects. And safety projects also include some
compliance projects, some some some things

1	that are mandated, typically, by either the
2	federal or state government as something we need
3	to do.
4	And capacity is obviously trying to get the
5	facility to match the needs of the community.
6	Now, capacity gets further divided into some
7	revenue projects, because while we might need
8	another piece of taxiway to deal with the
9	increased activity, that's not a revenue project.
10	However, the same taxiway might generate the
11	need for an additional 30 or 40 or 50 T-hangars,
12	which would be a revenue-related project. It's
13	still a capacity issue or a capacity-type project.
14	And, of course, revenue projects are designed
15	by nature to generate some sort of revenue to the
16	airport. And when you put the fiscal policy we've
17	got in place, it is going to generate some
18	meaningful rate of return back to us.
19	(Mr. Brunson enters the room.)

20	MR. WUELLNER: And the trick that the
21	Authority's wrestled with, and Staff's wrestled
22	with for the last ten years, is finding that
23	balance. Because it's it's easy you know,
24	it's easy to say, "Well, we'll only develop in
25	support of the public part of this, and we don't

1	worry about the revenue side."
2	Well, that's great, but at at the end of
3	the day, you've still got to be able to match many
4	of those grants. And you're going to have the
5	demand on the facility whether you prepare for it
6	or not. The difference is, do you do you do
7	you organize the chaos in a way, or do you allow
8	it to happen and and what happens happens? Not
9	a real great idea in in the scheme of planning.
10	And the trick here is to find revenue projects
11	that help sustain and satisfy the needs of of a
12	growing community.
13	And I use this up here saying that "Waiting
14	lists are not always a bad word" in that the
15	waiting lists can be used to do several things.
16	One is document the demand that the facility has,
17	but the other is, it provides a basis for
18	developing revenue projects.
19	Second, later on, in a more mature phase of

20	the airport, when demand is closer, waiting lists
21	serve to facilitate the increase in revenue that
22	you get out of those projects by creating a
23	small when there's a smaller demand market
24	here, the ability to get a hangar may just cost
25	more money.

1	(Ms. Green enters the room.)
2	MR. WUELLNER: And those those can be good
3	things when looking at the bottom line.
4	The the next piece of this was what I call
5	the crystal ball forecasting. And I'm not going
6	to detail our our financial forecast. What I
7	do want to reemphasize is that we use all of the
8	tools in the toolbox in trying to look into the
9	future and see what it is that what is likely
10	to go on and how we might want to address it
11	and and get our arms around that that
12	troubling picture, because I think there's some
13	level of belief out there that the Authority just
14	simply can't get off the tax rolls, that
15	that that it's just you're just blowing
16	smoke and it's just just too darn difficult.
17	And the reality is, we wouldn't we
18	wouldn't, from a Staff perspective, have even
19	allowed you in in fairness, to really get

20	that get many legs under it if it was not
21	doable. It it just simply can't you know,
22	it would be very imprudent of our part to allow
23	that to go.
24	So, when we in a sense allow and I don't
25	mean that in an authority kind of sense but

1	when we when we get comfortable enough to allow
2	that to really get its legs under it, it's because
3	we believe it, too. We believe we can get there.
4	And we we've used the Master Plan forecast
5	to look at what's likely to happen. It's a
6	it's a statistically pretty reliable guess of
7	what's going to go on in the future.
8	We've looked at the activity reports of the
9	tower, as an example, to validate what the
10	forecast showed in the Master Plan. And I think
11	we're we're all pretty pleased that even though
12	this year is less operations than perhaps the year
13	before, the reality is they're all still above
14	what the forecast levels were for the facility.
15	So, it it may not be as exponential growth in
16	the short term, but it's still above where we
17	thought it would be.
18	We look at fuel sales as a measure of today's
19	use, as well as what's gone on in the past. And

24

20	the fuel sales, despite a drop in activity, have
21	actually remained very positive. It's it's
22	above what it was in the previous year. So,
23	it's it's it's a good thing. We're actually

handling fewer airplanes but doing more business

with them in a real sense.

1	And we look at the historical data of the
2	airport. You know, where did it come from? What
3	did we allow? What did the improvements allow us
4	to to accomplish and attract to the airport,
5	all of which goes into that model.
6	And then the last piece of of this is
7	on on the forecasting part of it is we we
8	used all of that information to kind of distill it
9	into the financial forecast model. We used the
10	budgets from the past. How well did we stay
11	within those budgets? How likely are we to remain
12	in those budgets as we move forward? We used the
13	state and federal work program.
14	As you you recall in the budgeting
15	process, that the capital program is the single
16	largest piece of the puzzle, and it represents at
17	this point about 80 percent of the total Airport
18	Authority budget. So, it's the part that's most
19	easily manipulated in the big picture to make sure

20	that everything adds up. And if its program is
21	sound and the funding sources for that program are
22	sound, the the future plan of the Authority
23	should be sound also.
24	We've tried to build into that model a very
25	conservative approach in that we always accelerate

1	the the expenditure side at a greater rate than
2	we estimate the revenue increases so that it's
3	never never too optimistic.
4	And, as I like to say, we try to watch out
5	for the variables. You know, it takes me three
6	typewritten sheets of paper to communicate to you
7	annually the variables that are in play.
8	And I'm only scratching the surface; I hate
9	to say it. But to explain every nuance of what
10	I've got in there not only would bore you to
11	tears, but the reality is we've tried the
12	variables in that model are incredibly important
13	that we watch. And if if we see things that
14	aren't going as forecast or don't make sense, we
15	need to really revisit the model.
16	And that's probably the the single biggest
17	point, is that we've got to we we benchmark
18	that annually at your request. I mean, we we
19	bring it forward and go, "Where is it? Does it

20	is it meeting our expectations? Are we exceeding
21	our expectations?"
22	We've actually been able to kind of forecast
23	that we'd accomplish that goal a little sooner
24	than we thought, when we when we first got
25	involved with it, anyway. And and the key to

sustainability, you know, as -- as always, is --1 is paying attention. 2 And the next section I refer to is "Waiter, 3 the check please," because, you know, we had 4 5 public statements that -- that involve things like, you know, "The budget predictions are off \$2 6 million." You know, it's -- it's literally 7 8 impossible for me to know what they mean by "The budget predictions are off \$2 million." 9 10 Follows up with comments like, you know, our budget -- "Your budget assessments are not closely 11 12 monitored." You know, I -- I -- you know, I'm at a loss 13 to explain what they mean by that statement. But 14 if -- if they're trying to say that nobody's 15 paying attention to how money's spent or how 16 17 it's -- or how it's generated, I don't think you 18 could be further from the truth in an

organization. And not only are we monitoring at

19

20	multiple levels of Staff, you have CPAs watching
21	your money and you conduct a financial audit
22	annually that validates what everybody's assumed.
23	That information's readily shared with the
24	public in financial reports on a monthly basis,
25	and it's reviewed by individual Airport Authority

1	members as you deem fit.
2	To say that nobody's paying attention to the
3	henhouse, so to speak, is is an irresponsible
4	statement, in my opinion. And if there is
5	something going on, I would hope that at least one
6	of the five or six groups that are looking at
7	something will find it and would be pointing it
8	out to someone.
9	I don't know what budget projections are off
10	\$2 million. I don't I really don't know what
11	that means. If anybody does, I'd be happy to try
12	and get you an answer.
13	The next statement was made out there is,
14	"The Authority says it will operate on \$1.6
15	million profit in 11 years. They can't run on
16	that with that amount now. "How" you know,
17	"How are they going to do that?"
18	I think we're confusing apples and oranges
19	in in the mix here, in that the Airport

20	Authority runs now from an operations standpoint
21	within its means. What we're working on for the
22	last, since two years ago, is the big picture of
23	how to sustain a viable capital development
24	program using airport-generated revenues.
25	We're we're past and I don't envision,

1	barring some catastrophic event, us going
2	backwards into the land of having to subsidize
3	operations. We're we're past that. \$1.6
4	million profit is what is identified in 11 years
5	as revenue that could be brought forward into the
6	next year.
7	There's really no such thing as profit in
8	that it's available to the Airport Authority the
9	next year. It's surplus revenues. And at that
10	point, in 11 years, certainly the Airport
11	Authority's off ad valorem taxes. It's not like
12	you can just eliminate taxes. You've already done
13	that.
14	What the Airport Authority could do then is
15	just prudently deal with the money resources they
16	have. And
17	MR. BRUNSON: Ed?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
19	MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to comment on this. I

20	would like to say that I don't believe I've heard
21	any board member in a board meeting say anything
22	of this nature.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Well, this is definitely not
24	something the Authority is saying.
25	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Then I just want it to

1	be clear that
2	MR. WUELLNER: These are comments from the
3	public.
4	MR. BRUNSON: Right.
5	MR. WUELLNER: And what I call the, you know,
6	the land of misinformation.
7	MR. BRUNSON: Right.
8	MR. WUELLNER: You you get people out
9	there that are you know, their whole purpose is
10	to befuddle it all, and and and it makes
11	them look like they know what they're talking
12	about, when in reality, you know, it's frequently
13	the opposite.
14	The last statement that that I tried to
15	deal with is, "The Authority needs to admit it
16	made statements they can't live up to and continue
17	to receive tax dollars, but cut in half."
18	Well, if the proof's going to be in the
19	pudding here. Obviously, the Authority's goal and

25

20	Staff's goal is to be financially independent from
21	the tax portion in four years.
22	If you want to truly be off in four years,
23	you can't take the position that we're going to
24	stop making the investments that have that have

got us where we are today now.

1	Now, you you're now then telling
2	telling everyone that it's going to be a longer
3	period of time till you get off the tax rolls.
4	You know, I'd rather be done with it. And I
5	and I think that's how you feel.
6	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I think that what we
7	need to do is and I can talk to you about this
8	off line, is like the chart we put together on the
9	taxes, you know, where we've been since 1999, the
10	things that you're saying, that we are
11	self-sufficient now, that we do meet the budget, I
12	think it just needs to be put in a graph form.
13	And, you know, therefore, anybody that wants to
14	bring it up, here it is, and and put the
15	forecast.
16	We give everybody the financial plan, which
17	is lots of legal size papers and lots of detail.
18	We need to start summarizing some of that so it
19	would be better for us to track it, you know, but

20	also anybody from the public can see what we're
21	talking about.
22	Yes, Mr. Martinelli?
23	MR. MARTINELLI: I I think simply put,
24	that if you don't continue, as Ed said, to make
25	the investments now, you're not going to be able

1	to self-sustain down the road.
2	And something that wasn't said here, which I
3	believe is true, is that these investments are
4	from 50 percent down to say 5 percent or 10
5	percent on the part of the Authority, and grant
6	monies come in for the other 50 to 85 or 90
7	percent.
8	And so who in their right mind would not want
9	to invest in a facility that's going to give them
10	a return down the road if somebody else is going
11	to pay 90 percent of that investment?
12	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Exactly. Yeah.
13	MR. MARTINELLI: I mean
14	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Which somebody else paying
15	90 percent, to me, is a little validation that
16	we're planning right and that that's one of the
17	needs, you know, that are there. Anyway
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Last last statement
19	under there is, again, the best way to assure

20	success of that financial plan is to continue to
21	review it and validate it and and review the
22	assumptions and the performance of the budget as
23	we as we go into each year. And that that's
24	by far the best way to keep us keep everything
25	on track, is keep everybody accountable for the

1	business decisions we make all year long.
2	And the last thing that came out is, "Why
3	aren't you off the tax rolls?"
4	And I want to to just kind of explain
5	where we were and where we are today. And I think
6	it happens illustrate the position the Airport
7	Authority was in in 1996. This is the '95-'96
8	budget, which is effectively when I jumped in the
9	picture. I got here in January of '96, and we
10	were about a quarter into the fiscal year for
11	that.
12	And one of the first meetings I had was with
13	the accountant who was attempting to put back the
14	financial picture of the Airport Authority for the
15	previous year, because no financial records were
16	able to be audited. A pretty grim state to be in.
17	And one of the first conversations I had with
18	that CPA was, when he said, "Ed, you know that if
19	you do everything in this budget, at the end of

20	the year, you have no money in the bank, that the
21	budget literally uses all the all the budgeted
22	cash reserves of the Airport Authority, and there
23	will be no money in the bank at all come September
24	30."
25	Well, that came as quite a surprise. And we

1	took steps immediately working with that existing
2	Airport Authority to go out, had some stopgap
3	financing in place to to stem that and allow us
4	to go into the budgeting year because you can
5	only deal with the ad valorem tax issue once a
6	year.
7	You had no other revenue source short of
8	going out and borrowing money. And it just
9	happened the Authority was incredibly lucky at
10	that period of time in that the interest rates on
11	SBA, where our money was being held, what little
12	reserves we had, was producing more in an interest
13	rate than it would cost us to go borrow the same
14	amount of money. So, we actually could create a
15	cash flow positive out of this. And we went and
16	borrowed the money and paid it back over I
17	think we had a three-year debt service on that
18	particular half million dollar debt.
19	All right. But when you look at it, the

20	Airport Authority was in a in a period where
21	they were systematically reducing the ad valorem
22	tax roll, or tax rate, in most cases, by at least
23	the rolled-back rate, and in fact had the millage
24	right down to .138 mills, which was generating
25	about \$616,000 of revenue. And there was about a

\$3 million capital budget in place at the time. 1 The total budget was \$4.04 million. 2 3 But look at the revenues. There's your -there's your telltale. In -- in 1996, the Airport 4 Authority's expected revenues from leases was a 5 mere \$158,000. That's it. There was no plan in 6 place to generate a dollar more the next year. 7 8 And in fact, at that point, the Airport Authority wasn't really even discussing even the likelihood 9 of increasing T-hangar rates. That's where the 10 11 Authority was. Move forward. Systematically, the Airport 12 Authority has made difficult decisions. About two 13 years later, in '98-'99 time line, the Airport 14 Authority, recognizing there's no way they're 15 going to improve their position unless they begin 16 17 to make the revenue-producing investments, took

the bold step, politically, more than anything,

and doubled the ad valorem millage, taking the ad

18

19

20	valorem rate to .28 mills, a point we have not
21	really exceeded since then.
22	We began to make the investments in corporate
23	hangars, in fuel farms, in T-hangars, in revenue
24	sources on the airport. And ten years later,
25	while we're assessing more ad valorem, we're

1	bolstering each year the total revenue development
2	projects on the airport and while we now use a \$13
3	1/2 million budget to bolster \$1.43 million in
4	revenues on the airport.
5	And the typical year, just to give you an
6	average, is between \$2- and \$300,000 a year new
7	revenue per year. That's pretty much the pace
8	we've been on. And you guys, since that time, or
9	through this process, have continually tasked
10	Staff with making it happen. You know, let's put
11	the plan down, we'll all agree, and let's move it
12	forward.
13	That's where we are today, and this point,
14	about four years from being off ad valorem,
15	keeping the same tack. It it would really seem
16	shortsighted to to throw the plan out right now
17	and go, "Well, let's just systematically reduce
18	the tax revenue."
19	And it would also be shortsighted, in my

20	opinion, to do that without any basis of reserves
21	that allow the Airport Authority to to to
22	help itself.
23	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Any other comments on
24	finances?
25	MS. GREEN: I think what Ed's saying is

1 right, because I've been on this board longer, and 2 I remember Ed (sic) Rose, our chairman at the 3 time, who was one of those people that took that 4 step over --5 MR. WUELLNER: Bill Rose. 6 MS. GREEN: Bill Rose. I'm sorry, Mr. Rose. 7 And all of us who were on the board at that time said we hope that boards following us will keep on 8 the track. And -- and I think we've been trying 9 to do that, but it's so important, you know, six 10 years down the road now from when Bill Rose was on 11 12 there, I mean, we have come so far. 13 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I think the 14 statistics of the amount of revenue that's been 15 16 generated in a 10-year time period, that would 17 make a nice chart, you know, also, to show that we

haven't -- we've been making investments, but it's

been coming back.

18

19

20	MR. BRUNSON: And I think the comment that is
21	so critical to me is that any entity, the
22	financial reserves are very important, that you
23	mentioned that we need to stay on that focus and
24	track.
25	MR. WUELLNER: There are lots of places you

1	can validate the the process you've been
2	through. And another great place to look at it is
3	you've you've not incurred any debt service.
4	You know, at the end of the day, it's not like
5	this has been done, strapping the Airport
6	Authority with \$5 million worth of debt we've got
7	to pay off and deal with over the next, you know,
8	however however long period of time. It's
9	it's debt free in that we've used the ad valorem
10	in lieu of borrowing money. You know, arguably
11	MR. GORMAN: Unfortunately, I'll have to hold
12	to the opinion that if you really wanted to
13	impress the public, you would incrementally lower
14	the ad valorem tax yearly. That would impress
15	them.
16	MR. BRUNSON: My comment is that,
17	unfortunately, I won't be on the board, but in
18	four years from now is when you need to impress.
19	MR. GORMAN: Isn't that true?

20	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I think that that
21	all of the board members, Jack, would be tickled
22	to death to see an analysis on your part of how we
23	can do that wait a minute because a lot of
24	times, and one of the reasons for this is, we hear
25	bullets being thrown out that, you know, we need
	AIDDODT AIITHODITY WODKSHOD IANIIADY 22 200

1	to do this. Well, tell me now we're going to
2	achieve the objective. So, put the pencil and
3	piece of paper down and make a plan.
4	MR. GORMAN: One of the things that I thought
5	we were going to do at this workshop was discuss
6	discrepancies. And one of the and we can
7	we'll have to put that off at this point because
8	we're out of time.
9	But one of the things that we could do to do
10	that is spend less and make and make an active
11	effort to cut our operating costs. And if they
12	can't be cut, then they can't be cut. But
13	certainly make a real
14	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
15	MR. GORMAN: effort to cut operating
16	costs.
17	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, the purpose of this
18	meeting was to go over the questions that were
19	given to the staff ten days ago. So, that's what

20	we all did. And I guess you got a couple of three
21	responses to that. So, that was what this
22	workshop was for.
23	MR. GORMAN: And so you're saying that
24	this this being a workshop, that that's the end
25	of it? And then

1	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: No. That's why you're at
2	the end, after what everybody else did, gets
3	theirs done first. Mr. Martinelli?
4	MR. MARTINELLI: I would just like to say
5	that in the past, the objective was, Jack, to
6	reduce the millage, with disastrous effects.
7	And and it was actually beyond our control.
8	Back then, we didn't have financial statements.
9	We had nothing. It was pitiful. And that's why
10	Ed's here.
11	So, if we made one gigantic improvement in
12	the management of this airport, it was to get Ed
13	and to get on the footing that we're on now and
14	the plan that we're on now.
15	So, to to to just desire to continue to
16	reduce the millage without looking at all of the
17	other aspects and how the investments that you
18	make today are really going to sustain you down
19	the road, I think it would be a mistake.

20	MR. GORMAN: I understand, Mr. Martinelli,
21	that we are meeting day by day the greater needs
22	of the public. We have larger and larger
23	facilities and we're servicing more and more
24	airplanes. And that is costs more and more,
25	and the ad valorem is part of that usage, and I do

1	understand that.
2	However, bottom line is, the taxes haven't
3	decreased. And that's the public perception. And
4	that remains the public perception. That's all.
5	I mean, we are we have more airplanes.
6	We're servicing a larger public need. That's
7	true. And that's the answer for what you're doing
8	with the public taxes. But the perception still
9	exists.
10	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: You are correct; the
11	perception does exist. And
12	MR. MARTINELLI: Understand.
13	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: the only thing I
14	personally have to fall back on is four years ago,
15	we developed a plan, and the plan said we're not
16	going to cut it in half, we're not going to cut by
17	17 percent, 14 percent; we're going to continue
18	and build that reserve and build that basis that's
19	there. So

20	MS. BARRERA: I'd just like to piggyback onto
21	what both of you said. And I think Jack brings up
22	a good point. The airport staff here has done a
23	good job in trying to reduce many of their costs,
24	but unfortunately, the public doesn't realize
25	that. They don't realize that you haven't filled

1	a position in order to keep costs low. They don't
2	realize the steps that you've taken with
3	with in reference to buying fuel and and the
4	strategic decisions that you've made.
5	And maybe, as Jack said, if the public was
6	more aware of that, they would realize that there
7	is an effort being made to be responsible with the
8	money in the budget. And I think that that would
9	go underneath what we're talking about now, that
10	those points could be brought out.
11	MR. BRUNSON: But, you know, we all
12	personally know when we have meetings with Ed and
13	talk about this subject and each one of you
14	know his commitment to cut costs. And I feel
15	comfortable with that.
16	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, we just need to
17	publicize. We've said that. I think Jack started
18	with the PR and everything, you know, two or three
19	years ago, that that was a need, that if we're

20	going to change the public's perception, we're
21	going to have to be active, not reactive, you
22	know, to it.
23	So, maybe as a result of this meeting, we can
24	come up with something that would start that ball
25	rolling as a new board is getting together.

1	MR. BRUNSON: I think you're exactly right,
2	Kelly. And but I also think each board member
3	needs to go ahead. These are serious times, and
4	we need to be serious about our objective and go
5	ahead and take the heat and do what's right and
6	and and look at look at it hard.
7	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Agreed. Suzanne?
8	MS. GREEN: Can we can we do and I
9	don't want to overburden everybody. But can we do
10	maybe once a quarter or twice a quarter, whatever,
11	a newsletter, or a letter I won't want to say a
12	letter to the editor, because I don't want it to
13	be opinionated. I'd rather or some type of a
14	news announcement that we can put out and
15	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Press release
16	MS. GREEN: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: type of thing.
18	MS. GREEN: But make it I'm not sure once
19	a month is do we need it that often? Because

20	with the capital expenditures, they might want to
21	think that, where you are yet?
22	But if we do it twice a quarter or something
23	like that, just to do the releases.
24	MR. BRUNSON: I think so. What I would
25	suggest is that the chairman, whoever that is, do

- 1 the guest perspective or whatever -- in The
- 2 Record, and other ones would publish that. That
- 3 we have a consensus, maybe, of what's been
- 4 presented, but the chairman should do that?
- 5 MS. GREEN: We need it north and south
- 6 county.
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 8 MS. GREEN: I mean, definitely. Not just
- 9 St. Augustine Record.
- MS. BARRERA: Another idea might be a PR
- 11 committee.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: A what?
- MS. BARRERA: PR committee, public relations
- committee. Just to throw it out there.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes, sir?
- MR. THOMPSON: Do you want me to go down
- here? Do you think everybody can hear me?
- MR. WUELLNER: It's for the --
- MR. THOMPSON: I'm a bashful little fellow.

20	MR. WUELLNER: It's for the reporter.
21	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Go ahead and state name and
22	address for Janet. She likes to know what's going
23	on.
24	MR. THOMPSON: Mike Thompson, 895 Roberts
25	Road in St. Johns, Florida. Thank you. I was

1	sitting there wondering, listening to what was
2	being talked about and I subscribed to the
3	St. Augustine Record, back at the air show,
4	because they had a special deal. And I subscribed
5	because I had heard the talk that that they're
6	very anti-airport.
7	I don't read everything in every paper. I
8	haven't seen that particularly, nothing that
9	really got me. Except in the election, there was
10	some really ridiculous things said. But maybe
11	that kind of effort, to have a PR committee, is
12	indicated. Have a you know, Warren RauHofer
13	does a wonderful job of getting information about
14	S.A.P.A. out. And he's got some spare time.
15	Maybe talk to him. Oh, thank you, Warren (sic).
16	But the thing that that bothers me about
17	the tax discussion is if we're going to say,
18	"Well, we ought to taper our reliance on taxes

down," ad valorem taxes -- and I wasn't here when

19

20	those agreements were made and and the plan was
21	made.
22	But I think the the root of that problem
23	is that the citizens of this county, north
24	central, south, east central, west, don't know
25	what this airport does for them. They don't know

1	why the airport's here. And therefore, you get
2	some of those really silly statements that were in
3	the letters to the editor of the newspaper before
4	the election.
5	And one of the charts that you ought to make
6	up is how much the Police Department, Fire
7	Department, Sheriff's Department, how much their
8	reliance on taxes has been reduced through the
9	years and how much the investment that they make
10	in physical plant that brought in Northrop Grumman
11	and keeps them here and the change that it would
12	like to make so they can bid on additional
13	contracts, how much more direct value that is to
14	the county.
15	Because the citizens of this county, the ones
16	that know the airport's here, don't know why it's
17	valuable to the county.
18	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah. I think that's
19	good

20	MR. THOMPSON: If you can educate the public
21	on that, then a lot of these questions would go
22	away. But you've got to make the comparison with
23	other public services.
24	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: That's one approach, and I
25	think the PR committee, if we decide to do that,

1	that would be a good way of doing it. So
2	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We've got four minutes.
4	MR. WUELLNER: I don't have a lot more here.
5	So, I'll slam through it.
6	5 EXPANSION
7	MR. WUELLNER: You know, why why were we
8	developing this airport and not you know, I've
9	heard the argument about picking it up and taking
10	it somewhere else, even. You know, obviously,
11	this is a landlocked location, and there's a huge
12	public investment already made in the facility.
13	And to to just abandon this entirely is
14	probably not is not probably good business
15	decision.
16	But some of the other things that come out is
17	maybe there maybe there are other ways, using
18	alternate facilities, to take the heat off of this
19	facility in a in a location that may be better,

20	or augment that we've got going here. And
21	certainly, you know, I think a fair amount of
22	looking at at other sites to do that and decide
23	what it is you want to take out of here, if
24	anything, would make some sense.
25	What's next on here? Question came up during

1	this, why aren't we a regional airport?
2	And I'm always amused by the term "regional
3	airport" because it has absolutely no defined
4	meaning. It is not an FAA term. It is not an
5	FDOT term. It is really a term of art. And it's
6	a term that many communities have adopted for
7	their airport. And certainly tomorrow you could
8	decide, or today, at the next meeting, decide we
9	are now St. Augustine Regional Airport or some -
10	and we can add that to the title and will have
11	absolutely no change in the meaning of what it is.
12	What I I suspect is meant is, why don't we
13	have commercial service? I mean, that that's
14	what I think most people affiliate with the term
15	"regional airport."
16	And and unfortunately, you know, I possess
17	the same crystal ball you have and, you know, I
18	I think there's reason to be encouraged that that
19	will happen sooner rather than later, but the

20	reality is we don't control that.
21	We'll we'll certainly make the facility
22	available and work to to bring those services
23	to the community when they're available. But
24	simply changing the name or or in the case of a
25	few things I've heard, going out to another part

1	of the county or an adjoining county and building
2	a regional airport, with with somehow that
3	magic term being applied to it, will instantly
4	generate airline service, does nothing more than
5	befuddle an otherwise difficult issue and and
6	illustrate a genuine lack of understanding of how
7	airports develop and and where service comes
8	from.
9	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: If I can shed some light
10	on I think I went to the source of some of
11	those comments and had a nice conversation with
12	them, and I agree with you; they're talking
13	commercial service.
14	And and what they're doing is they're
15	looking at the growth of St. Johns County being in
16	the northwest and then moving down through the
17	Hastings area. And they're also looking at Clay
18	and Putnam and some of the other counties. And
19	they're also looking at some land that's out there

20	that's in a strip mining thing, and the strip
21	mining is over and done with and the and the
22	land is cheap right now.
23	So, they're talking, you know, about building
24	a regional airport for commercial service that
25	will support all those units. And I think the

1	example they're using is Southwest Regional down
2	in between Fort Myers and Naples. They had so
3	much going on down there, they moved out where
4	they could buy land cheap and said, okay, we're
5	going to put commercial service in here, and then
6	the rest of it will continue to follow.
7	I think that's where the term is coming from.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And it
9	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I just think it's unusual
10	that we're in the airport business and nobody's
11	bothered to come and ask us to say, "Well, what
12	does that really entail?" You know?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well and I can assure you,
14	having been involved in Florida airports for over
15	20 years, that the decision-making process that
16	went into South Florida's airport, or Southwest
17	Florida Regional Airport, is had a lot more to
18	do with the location of what was Ft. Myers Page
19	Field and the inability to expand that facility

20	and the and the location of of, again,
21	residential community.
22	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I.e., St. Augustine.
23	MR. WUELLNER: And and the same kinds of
24	conditions. But you also had a much more mature
25	population base down there in that there are just

1	many mousands more people involved in the mix -
2	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Understand. Yeah.
3	MR. WUELLNER: in the decision. And the
4	next statement that came out is, "St. Augustine is
5	just a little airport."
6	You know, and I and I guess my reaction to
7	that is don't don't judge a book by its cover,
8	as you can imagine. But based on community size
9	St. Augustine Airport, by any definition, would be
10	a large airport. And you're looking at an
11	operation per residential or per individual living
12	in the community. That's a huge ratio of
13	population to operation compared to many, many
14	communities.
15	I've I've been involved with airports that
16	have are in 150,000 population bases that can't
17	generate 20-, 30,000 operations in a community.
18	To to come out and say in 130,000 population,
10	you can you're generating 130,000 operations

- is -- is a huge relationship to the population.
- And it's -- and it's -- and as a result, it's a
- huge economic engine here.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I thought it was
- interesting to note, in the hangar analysis, that
- we have more ops than Craig does.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And
2	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I don't think a lot of
3	people understand that.
4	MR. WUELLNER: And you have more jobs on your
5	airport than I mean, communities would kill for
6	1,800 jobs at a GA airport facility. That just
7	that just doesn't happen.
8	And industrial park, just a couple of points.
9	It was like, "Why don't we have an industrial
10	park?" or, "Why haven't we done that?"
11	And just from from a history perspective,
12	we brought that topic up many, many years ago,
13	about nine, almost ten years ago, and there was a
14	bit of a community outcry from the business
15	community who had some very strong opinions as to
16	what the Airport Authority was doing at the time.
17	And it effectively just got dropped by the Airport
18	Authority as a as a focus, instead choosing to
19	develop aviation-related projects.

20	We are, as you recall, involved in that
21	partnership with other entities, including St.
22	Johns County, to study the the public use,
23	industrial park idea within the community. And
24	they are literally out there beginning that work
25	now. And, you know, I think the results will be

1	very influential in you guys making a decision on
2	whether it's something you want to be involved in
3	or not over time.
4	And last, on airport development, was was
5	the last item I had. And, you know, it why
6	"Why don't we develop more on the airport?" those
7	kind of things. And obviously, the Master Plan,
8	community input, there there are a ton of
9	variables that affect decisions on how we develop
10	the airport, such as where is the demand? What
11	what sector of of aviation is the demand coming
12	through?
13	And lastly, I I would just point out that
14	the best way to deal with airport development,
15	especially on airport development, is to follow
16	the plan.
17	You you developed a plan. You spent a lot
18	of time investing in the Airport Master Plan.
19	And and to go out and decide to build hangars

20	someplace not shown on the Master Plan or to do
21	this or do that, that's inconsistent with your
22	plan, not only requires you to go revisit the plan
23	to get it fund to get those projects funded,
24	but also kind of fly in the face of of the
25	thought process that was developed collectively in

1	deciding where the development should be in the
2	first place.
3	So, follow the plan for for your own
4	airport development. If you don't like the plan,
5	then I then my suggestion is, you spend the
6	time reworking the plan than making bad decisions
7	because you need to make one today. And that's
8	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Thank you, sir.
9	MR. WUELLNER: That's it.
10	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: As far as my submitting
11	questions, it was a good you covered them all.
12	Nice summary. Jack, you had some additional items
13	you wanted to add?
14	MR. GORMAN: We are out of time to do those.
15	And as it was, this was a good public relations
16	retort, and it really would be useful to use some
17	of this information that Ed has given us for
18	something like Kelly's suggestion of a public
10	relations committee. In other words, that's

20	really a good idea.
21	As far as my conception of what this meeting
22	was for, we did not use the time for that. So, my
23	suggestion is that we just add a discrepancy item
24	to each as a single item to each board meeting,
25	and then I will peck away at my list while we do

1	that.
2	And I'll just add one item right now, in
3	other words, and that is insurance.
4	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay.
5	MR. GORMAN: And there's a bunch of others.
6	But let's just add that one right now. But and
7	I'd like to suggest that we add a discrepancy item
8	to each so that you know, I have several. I'd
9	like to bring them up one by one so we don't have
10	to thrash them all at once. And we'll bring them
11	at up at each meeting and then we'll run
12	through it.
13	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Is that a more effective
14	way than setting another workshop just for your
15	concerns and one hour long?
16	MR. GORMAN: I mean, how many this
17	workshop, my conception of this workshop was not
18	met, but that's fine. I mean, that would work,
19	setting just as an agenda item.

25

20 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.

21 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. And then if it

22 requires a workshop, then we can set a workshop.

23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. We can't make

24 decisions here.

MR. BRUNSON: I think --

1	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We can bring it up at the 4
2	o'clock meeting.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Jack, I think that if you've
4	got some of those things, we need to get them to
5	him immediately. So, don't peck at them over the
6	next four and five months. Let's do a workshop.
7	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
8	MR. BRUNSON: At the at the board meeting,
9	let's decide what we're going to do about it,
10	because you might have something that's extremely
11	important.
12	MS. GREEN: Plus, I think whatever the items
13	are I know he mentioned insurance the public
14	should know. So, if we're adding them to a board
15	meeting at the last minute, and the public doesn't
16	know because we just published our agenda
17	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.
18	MS. GREEN: So, maybe the workshop is a
19	better idea so we can get to all of them with

20	notice.
21	MR. GORMAN: All right. I'll just I'll
22	add a list, and then maybe we can discuss when we
23	want to workshop each one. And I'll submit a
24	list. This this was a good brief. It wasn't
25	my conception of what it is, but it certainly

1	would be useful for public relations.
2	MS. BARRERA: And for all of us.
3	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah. Any other comments?
4	(No further comments.)
5	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. We'll close the
6	workshop. And thank you very much, Ed, for your
7	efforts here.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
9	(Workshop concluded at 3:44 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	

file:///A /WSHOP012207.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 8th day of January, 2007.
13	
14	IANET M DEASON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /WSHOP012207.txt					
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				