1 ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY 2 **Regular Meeting** 3 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North 4 St. Augustine, Florida 5 on Monday, August 16, 2004 6 from 4:00 p.m. to 6:01 p.m. 7 8 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 9 SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer 10 JOSEPH CIRIELLO **BOB COX** 11 12 **BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:** 13 JOHN "JACK" GORMAN 14 15 ALSO PRESENT: 16 DOUGLAS BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, 17 Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority. 18 EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. 19 BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director. 20 21 22 JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard 23 St. Augustine, FL 32084 24 (904) 825-0570

```
file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2004%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20081604.txt[11/16/2010 2:14:20 PM]
```

2

1 INDEX 2 PAGE 3 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3 3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 4 3 5 4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS 3 6 5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 4 7 6. REPORTS: 8 A. Mr. Bruce Maguire - County Commissioner 4 B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc. 7 C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 9 7 D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A. 7 E. Mr. Bjorn Ottesen - F.A.C.T. 10 7.22 F. Mr. David Knight - ATCT Tower - Absent 7 11 G. Mr. Doug Burnett - Authority Attorney 8 12 7. ACTION ITEMS 13 A. PROJECT UPDATES 8 B. AIRPORT MASTER PLAN - PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE 22 14 **SELECTION** C. EMINENT DOMAIN UPDATE & RESOLUTION 15 D. FBO LEASE 87 E. MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING - AERO GROUP 16 8. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS: 17 A. Ms. Green, Chairman 105 B. Mr. Joseph Ciriello 107 18 C. Mr. Wayne George, Secretary/Treasurer 107 D. Mr. Bob Cox 19 109 20 9. PUBLIC COMMENT 110 21 10. NEXT BOARD MEETING 113 22 11. ADJOURNMENT 115

73

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Call the meeting
3	of the Airport Authority board to order. Please
4	stand for the pledge to the flag.
5	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
6	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have before us the
8	minutes from our July 12 meeting. Are there any
9	exceptions to those minutes?
10	MR. GEORGE: I'd like to make a quick comment
11	that my absence of that meeting was not the reason
12	the meeting was so short.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Says you.
14	MR. GEORGE: Says me, right.
15	MR. COX: Can we argue that point?
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: He's going to make a comment
17	on it later, he says, so, yeah, reserve it.
18	Any comments or exceptions further to the
19	minutes of July 12?
20	(No further comments or exceptions.)
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no exceptions to the

- 22 minutes, they'll be accepted as reported.
- 23 4. APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have our financial
- 25 report from June. Mr. Treasurer, do you have any

- 1 comments with regards to the financial statement
- 2 for June?
- 3 MR. GEORGE: No. It looks like it's all in
- 4 order, and I move that we accept them.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other comments or
- 6 exceptions to the financial report ending June
- 7 30th, 2004?
- 8 (No comments or exceptions.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no exceptions or
- 10 comments, we'll accept the financial reports as
- 11 presented.
- 12 Is that -- does that include the fiscal
- 13 overview?
- 14 MR. GEORGE: Yes, uh-huh.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 16 5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our meeting agenda, everyone
- 18 has before you. Any comments with regards to the
- 19 meeting agenda?
- 20 (No comments.)

- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no comments or
- changes to the meeting agenda, we'll accept the
- agenda as reported.
- 24 6.A. COUNTY COMMISSIONER
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And now we have our reports.

5

- 1 Mr. Maguire?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Yeah. Just a real
- 3 quick request. When the -- when the final plan is
- 4 put together for the airport expansion Master
- 5 Plan, could you put yourself on the agenda to the
- 6 BCC so y'all can make a presentation --
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
- 8 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: -- on doing that? I
- 9 want the rest of the BCC to see what y'all put

10 together.

- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you know when, about,
- 12 that is? When do they meet?
- 13 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: When do they meet?
- 14 Starting last Wednesday, it's every other
- 15 Wednesday.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Every other Wednesday.
- 17 Okay.
- 18 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Every other Wednesday.

- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any -- Board, is that okay?
- 20 MR. WUELLNER: We -- we actually need to do
- that, anyway.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Thanks, Bruce.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Is that a requirement?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're going to we're
2	going to want to work with the County to amend the
3	Comprehensive Plan
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
5	MR. WUELLNER: to show the new airport
6	boundary and future boundary later on, so
7	6.B AERO SPORT
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aero Sport? Michael?
9	MR. SLINGLUFF: No report.
10	6.C NORTHROP GRUMMAN
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Northrop Grumman? John?
12	MR. LESLIE: No report. I snuck in on you.
13	6.D S.A.P.A.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Pilots?
15	MR. RODERICK: No report, Madam Chair.
16	6.E FLORIDA AVIATION CAREER TRAINING
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Florida Aviation, Bjorn?

- 18 (Absent.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody from Florida
- 20 Aviation?
- 21 6.F. ATCT (Absent)
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, David?
- 23 Traffic control tower?
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, I've got his
- 25 report --

7

- 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: -- as a part of mine. He's
- 3 not here this month.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We'll just wait for
- 5 you, then, on updates. And Mr. Burnett?
- 6 6.G. AIRPORT AUTHORITY ATTORNEY
- 7 MR. BURNETT: Very briefly. As far as the
- 8 construction litigation and -- related to Phase I,
- 9 that's still progressing. If you have any
- 10 specific questions or you'd like more information,
- 11 please see me after the meeting. I'll be happy to
- 12 explain it in more detail, what's going on

13 currently.

- 14 We've been working with Staff this past month
- 15 to finalize or -- or get this draft prepared for

- 16 you, which is on the agenda for the FBO lease.
- 17 Additionally, I don't want to steal
- 18 Mr. Wuellner's thunder, but the CO has been issued
- 19 for Phase I. And we were -- helped -- worked with
- 20 your staff related to that issue.
- 21 And we've been analyzing some quiet title
- 22 issues related to properties that we have willing
- 23 sellers, but have some title problems related to
- their deeds and their ownership of the property,
- and we've been working to clear -- to try and

8

- 1 clear those up. So, that's all I have to report. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have a question on the 3 clearing the title. Is that a cost to the airport 4 or a cost to the seller? 5 MR. BURNETT: Potentially a cost to -- I 6 guess it's open for negotiation. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 8 MR. BURNETT: One of the properties which 9 you'll hear about this evening is within the 10 properties which you want to obtain presently
- 11 in -- in the quick fashion, that is within the
- 12 zone of properties that is subject to your eminent
- 13 domain action that foreseeably you'll be going

14 forward with.

- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess my question was not
- 16 those that pertain to the -- anything that deals
- 17 with eminent domain, but in negotiation -- that's
- 18 a negotiating point, whether who pays for the --
- 19 MR. BURNETT: Sure.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- title defects? Okay.
- 21 Action items, Mr. Wuellner?
- 22 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 23 MR. GEORGE: You mean project updates?
- 24 7.A. PROJECT UPDATES
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is David's

- 1 traffic count report. See we're up another almost
- 2 4,000 operations for the month of -- for the month
- 3 of July, bringing the total net over last year's
- 4 numbers at just under 20,000 operations for the
- 5 year. So, we're up almost 20,000 takeoffs and
- 6 landings this year over last year. And staying
- 7 pretty healthy out there, flying-wise. So...
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Which one of the lines is the
- 9 projection that we're using with the Master Plan?
- 10 MR. COX: Doesn't look like there is one.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: There is no answer for that.
- 12 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

- 13 MR. WUELLNER: But thank you. Thank you for
- 14 pointing that out. Never miss one, do you?
- 15 MR. GEORGE: Try not to.
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. That -- that's, in a
- 17 nutshell, what -- what his report was to tell you,
- 18 that you had another 4,000 gain on...
- 19 Outstanding projects this month, the terminal
- 20 project -- let me put the glasses on or we may
- 21 have any project come up here. Terminal project,
- 22 airport maintenance facility, Taxiway Bravo, and
- the hardstand, land acquisition Araquay Park,
- 24 terminal parking lot. I added that one this year,
- figuring -- not this year. This month. That'll

10

- 1 probably take a year, but...
- 2 Terminal parking lot, update on the home
- 3 demolitions, old Phase II hangar structure,
- 4 marketing and public relations, airport leasing
- 5 activities, as well as the Airport Master Plan.
- 6 And in order of occurrence...
- 7 (Mr. Wuellner showing slide.)
- 8 MR. GEORGE: You never miss an opportunity,
- 9 do you? Congratulations.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. Enough being said

11 on that...

12	The airport maintenance facility's
----	------------------------------------

13	progressing here nicely. And completion's
14	anticipated for fourth quarter this year. Still
15	it's actually looking like it will be two to three
16	weeks ahead of schedule or ahead of the original
17	contract time. Moving along quite nicely at this
18	point.
19	Taxiway Bravo, all of the paving is complete
20	at this point. Will hopefully wrap up striping
21	this week on one small section out there. Still
22	awaiting arrival of some signs. However, that's
23	not going to hold up opening it all up. We should
24	be able to open it up in the next day or so.
25	I had tomorrow, because originally they were

1	painting tomorrow,	the last	little	piece,	but tl	nat

- 2 looks like it's possibly deferred a day or two
- 3 because of the last week's weather has put the
- 4 schedule a little behind for the painting-type
- 5 folks. As such, we should be fully operational
- 6 and that in the next few days, and we'll get the
- 7 balance of the signs installed as they begin to
- 8 trickle in here.
- 9 Araquay Park land acquisition. Those that

- 10 were in the eminent domain area or potential
- 11 eminent domain area, those offers have been sent
- 12 by the attorney's office to the -- if they have
- 13 not received it, it would be in the next day or
- so. They went out late last week. And I
- 15 understand we had some mail delivery issues over
- 16 the weekend. In fact, they didn't deliver
- 17 anywhere, I understand, on Saturday. So, if you
- 18 were due to get one on Saturday, it may have shown
- 19 up today, or worst case, hopefully on Monday,
- 20 but...
- 21 MR. GEORGE: So, we have received the formal
- appraisals of the property.
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. They are in the
- 24 attorneys' hands enough for them to go ahead and
- 25 offer. And those offers, as you set up last

- 1 month, at 40 percent over appraised value, have
- 2 been communicated to the -- well, are in the
- 3 process, if they haven't received them already.
- 4 And there's -- the condemnation resolution is on
- 5 the agenda for further consideration and -- and
- 6 action as you see fit a little bit later on the
- 7 agenda.
- 8 Terminal parking lot, this is an add-on. I

9 just wanted to let you know that the old

10 electrical vault had been demolished, that work

11 being done by the Airport Authority staff.

12 Engineering documents are being finalized enough

13 to allow construction activity to begin in that --

14 in that area.

15 They're also quoting at this point -- Passero

16 is quoting on our behalf the products of PolyCon

17 and -- and Grip-Flex at this point, and they'll

18 present us with a bit of a technical evaluation,

as well as some cost information that we received

20 from them, and then we'll figure out exactly what

21 product and -- and how we want to proceed, that

all -- all subject to you guys reviewing that

23 portion of it again. But, hopefully we'll have

that stuff for you by the September meeting.

25 Home demolition update. Second batch of

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 vacant homes, that bidding is ongoing right now.
- 2 There are eleven homes out currently being --
- 3 soliciting anybody that wants to bid on one and
- 4 relocate it out of the Araquay Park neighborhood.
- 5 That -- that effort's ongoing now and we'll wrap
- 6 up here in a -- couple of weeks?

7	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: September 15th.
8	MR. WUELLNER: September 15th? At which
9	point if no bids are received, we'll move on to
10	consideration for demolition. Mr. George made a
11	point that perhaps I don't know that they'd be
12	interested, but Habitat for Humanity might want to
13	relocate and/or just salvage pieces or parts out
14	of there, whatever they might be interested in,
15	and we'll certainly extend that offer here for
16	them, too.
17	Demolition is ongoing for the previously
18	advertised homes. I think two of them are
19	underway, if not completed already, and the
20	balance will be done over the next three to four
21	weeks.
22	Archaeology study's ongoing for that
23	property, all property east of Araquay Park.
24	They've done their sampling on that and will be
25	finalizing the report within the next ten days,

- 1 and then that gets sent off to the State of
- 2 Florida's off -- I forget what the office is, but
- 3 it's -- they'll -- they'll evaluate the report and
- 4 make a recommendation back to the County. The
- 5 County will accept those results and issue

6	demolition permits for the balance of homes that
7	we have currently in for permitting on that.
8	That will also free up that whole
9	neighborhood that section of the neighborhood,
10	I should say, from an ongoing building permit
11	issue, where you have to submit the results of
12	that study. So, we'll do one study that covers
13	all of that property instead of on a piece by
14	piece by piece. And that's at the request of the
15	State.
16	The same for the historical, what do they
17	historical archives evaluation that they do.
18	They've been doing that, too, for homes we own in
19	Araquay Park. So that we don't run into that
20	roadblock, the State of the State of Florida
21	has asked that we do them all at one time. Those
22	that are over 50 years old, that we currently own,
23	are being evaluated now.
24	And per our discussion at previous meetings,
25	we did want to make sure you knew that the

- 1 additional advertising that was discussed has been
- 2 accomplished throughout that.
- 3 Hangar structure rehab, we're approximately

4	70 percent with that. I think the only thing left
5	to do are the doors out there at this point.
6	Marketing and public relations, the only item
7	we had was to just make you aware that two more
8	homes that were otherwise scheduled for demolition
9	were donated for a training exercise by the to
10	the St. Johns County Fire Rescue District, where
11	they did second-floor collapse training in one and
12	also did smoke training again in one of the other
13	homes. And there were two that were on the list
14	to be demolished, I think one of which they've
15	moved ahead on at this point now. They've
16	completed those exercises and, as usual, have
17	thanked us greatly for the opportunity to be able
18	to do some realtime training in real homes.
19	Airport leasing activities, the second floor
20	terminal office space is on the agenda at a
21	different point and as well as the Aero Sport
22	lease is also an agenda item a little bit later.
23	I did want to make you aware of design/build
24	solicitation. When we were trying to get the
25	second-floor terminal buildout off dead center, we

- 1 discovered that we did not have a current
- 2 design/build contract or basis to go out and do

3	design/build for second floor, as well as some
4	other projects that are coming up in the near
5	future.
6	We have advertised for another design/build
7	solicitation. We talked to Florida DOT. They
8	gave us a concurrence to be able to basically hire
9	a firm or select a firm, professional
10	qualifications based, not cost based, to allow us
11	to use them for projects in the DOT work program
12	over the next five years.
13	So, you'll be able to select a firm, be able
14	to directly award to that firm if you desire to do
15	it that method. They'll be on board to do that
16	and you won't have to go through any elaborate
17	process to do that. So, you'll have another
18	option on the table in addition to going
19	traditional design and then bidding method. So,
20	you'll you'll have other opportunities
21	available to you that aren't limited to a couple
22	of specific projects, which is how we did it last
23	time.
24	As I said, they'll let us do that for five
25	years. And those solicitations are due to us by

1	September the 3rd. Staff's planning on evaluating
2	those for you, and rank those preliminarily, and
3	we'll give you that information at the next
4	meeting, and you can concur in that ranking or you
5	can select your own ranking at that point.
6	We'll give you the proposals when we get them
7	shortly after the 3rd, so you'll have a couple of
8	weeks with them, in fact, upwards of three weeks.
9	So, if you want to look through those in detail
10	and come to your own conclusions, you're certainly
11	welcome to do that, or you can rely on us to have
12	done some of the homework for you. It's up to
13	you.
14	And the Authority would I just would make
15	a clarification here; you have the ability to
16	award for up to five years within this. There's
17	no guarantee that within that, that you have to
18	award to the design/build firm for any of that
19	work, but it allows you the option to be able to
20	do that. And you would award those contracts
21	individually as you go forward. You just go
22	through the selection one time.
23	And I wanted to bring you up to speed on the
24	results of Hurricane Charley around here relative
25	to the airport. And I wanted to compliment both

1	the tower, as well as the Aero Sport staff and
2	even the Airport Authority maintenance staff, in
3	particular, for the outstanding effort they did in
4	preparing for that. These guys did a fantastic
5	job. By just kind of a quirk around here, we
6	ended up with two good-size corporate hangars
7	available. They were able to stash just about
8	everything that was on the ground into hangar
9	space, getting it out of the direct weather.
10	And as we just to let you know, we closed
11	the airport at 6 o'clock on Friday and anticipated
12	reopening at 8 a.m. on Saturday. We were able to
13	open that just slightly before that. And we had
14	literally no discernible damage or problems
15	reported or observed relative to the airport
16	complex. So, thanks to all of the efforts that
17	everybody put in on the airport, basically we had
18	no problems at all and came through it unscathed.
19	Airport Master Plan update. Phil is here and
20	Gloria is here to discuss hopefully adopting some
21	version of a preferred alternative, which will
22	allow them to complete the technical analysis
23	moving forward and prepare the other portions of
24	the Master Plan. And they're going to discuss
25	that in detail with you here, and as a regular

19

1	agenda item in just a second, so
2	I did need to do one thing, though.
3	Mr. Ciriello was correct in pointing out an
4	anomaly in the minutes last month
5	MR. GEORGE: That's good.
6	MR. WUELLNER: wherein I incorrectly
7	answered that we did not take the rolled-back
8	rate or that we did take the rolled-back rate
9	last year, and in fact we did not take the
10	rolled-back rate last year. You held the ad
11	valorem millage. And I did want to make that
12	that correction for the record, though. So, he
13	was entirely correct. We the last year was not
14	a case where we took the rolled-back rate.
15	And with that, I will let Phil and Gloria
16	walk you through the
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And we have a couple of
18	MR. WUELLNER: alternatives.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: board comments real
20	quick
21	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: on the project updates.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Ciriello?

--

25

MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I just want a

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

1	clarification. Back on that Taxiway B, you say
2	"awaiting airfield signs." Correct me if I'm
3	wrong. Didn't a number of years back and I
4	think the number \$60,000 sticks in my head that
5	the Authority bought a machine or material or
6	to make its own signs for certain things?
7	MR. WUELLNER: It was about
8	MR. CIRIELLO: What's the difference between
9	that and the signs that we're waiting on, or are
10	we making our own?
11	MR. WUELLNER: The the types of signs we
12	make are more like parking and roadway-type signs.
13	The type of signs we're talking about the airfield
14	are have to be FAA approved-type signs that
15	have to come from an approved manufacturer. So,
16	they're not the type of signs that we ever made.
17	I mean, it was about a \$5,000 investment back
18	then, not a not a \$50,000.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: I don't know where I got that
20	\$60,000. That's what I thought.
21	MR. WUELLNER: It was basically a software
22	purchase.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just had a question on the
- 25 marketing. Can we identify maybe some other

1	groups or maybe of other board members? We've had
2	some requests for Mr. Wuellner did a really
3	nice slide presentation to a group in Duval County
4	who accepted it tremendously, and then there was
5	another presentation down in St. Augustine, and
6	the people came up afterwards and said, "Please,
7	we'd love to hear what's going on. This is the
8	kind of information we need."
9	I'm just wondering if the board maybe had
10	some input to Ed doesn't have to be right
11	now but somewhere when you come by or talk to
12	other organizations in St. Johns County, that you
13	could think of, Chamber of Commerce people or
14	Rotary Clubs or what have you, that we can
15	disseminate. It's a very nice slide presentation
16	with updates as to what we're doing and how we
17	operate and what have you. But I think that's a
18	good marketing tool. It's not very long. I mean,
19	it's about a 10-minute, 15-minute presentation.
20	So, if you have any ideas, can we just give
21	you a call, Ed?

- 22 MR. WUELLNER: Happy to do it.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. More board comments?
- 24 Master Plan? Excuse me just one second. Bjorn,
- did you have any?

1	MR. OTTESEN: Report? No. No report.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. Sorry.
3	7.B AIRPORT MASTER PLAN - PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE
4	SELECTION
5	MR. JUFKO: That's quite all right. Get our
6	computer up here. Hear me now? All right.
7	Great.
8	Well, I've been looking forward to this day;
9	we all have. We want to go through what the
10	latest and greatest is from our alternatives
11	analysis and the findings both from our analysis
12	and comments from this group here, the Authority,
13	as well as the last meeting session of the
14	Technical Advisory Committee.
15	And also, since the last time we've met and
16	briefed you, we've be able to squeeze in a
17	public meeting. And both both those last two
18	meetings happened on August 2nd. And we had a
19	great turnout here at the public meeting, had an
20	open house style forum. Many people went to

- 21 different stations, asked questions of particular
- 22 importance to them. And did our best to answer
- 23 questions.
- 24 What we have here today is our
- 25 recommendations as a -- as it refers to the

1	airfield, our general aviation facilities, and the
2	overall development plan. What we're looking from
3	you today, hopefully, or in the near future, is to
4	adopt our recommendation. And basically, I'll go
5	through that right now. Gloria.
6	Briefly, you have a package in in front of
7	you. These are the latest and greatest
8	Alternatives A through E, five alternatives on the
9	airfield side. There's slight tweaking, if you
10	will, of the airfield alternatives based on
11	comments received from the Authority and from the
12	Technical Advisory Committee. And as we get into
13	some of the general aviation alternatives, as well
14	we had some comments from the public meeting that
15	we've also taken into consideration.
16	The bottom line here is all five of these
17	alternatives do not meet the need any one of
18	the five alternatives meet the need of the airport

- 19 in the future by itself. What we're looking --
- 20 what we ended up looking at is a combination of
- 21 some of these alternatives to get what we feel is
- the best course of development for the next 20
- 23 years in the Master Plan.
- 24 This was just basically -- Alternative A,
- 25 real quick, was to extend the runway to the south,

1	to to maximize our existing facilities. There
2	was some work also on runway 6/24. There were
3	some issues that came early on in our process.
4	You know, we started out with three
5	alternatives. We ended up with five, just to give
6	you an idea. And that we had no problem doing
7	that. We wanted to make sure that we address some
8	of the concerns that came out of this process.
9	And one of the things that came out of that is,
10	heck, no, we don't want to see a relocation of
11	U.S. 1 or the railroad. So, we move on to the
12	next alternative.
13	No relocation of the railroad or the road.
14	Maximizing the facility. However, one key
15	drawback, or weakness, if you want to associate it
16	with this process this particular alternative,
17	is the fact that it doesn't meet our future

- 18 capacity needs that we have anticipated over the
- 19 20-year planning period.
- 20 And as Mr. Wuellner had referred to in his
- 21 report, the numbers continue to increase, aren't
- they, Mr. George? So, we want to be able to make
- sure -- forecasting is an inexact science, but we
- 24 know that through our alternatives and our concept
- 25 development, we're not going to leave you stranded

- 1 here.
- 2 There's some good things about this
- 3 alternative. And we're going to be borrowing some
- 4 of these strengths out of this alternative to come
- 5 up with our development concept.
- 6 And the next one? Charlie. This is
- 7 basically the recommendation from the previous
- 8 Master Plan. We were asked to take a look at
- 9 this, and once again review it for its strengths
- 10 and its weaknesses. And we came up with some
- 11 issues, namely the relocation of U.S. 1 and the
- 12 railroad are huge issues for this group. And
- 13 that's one of the things that drives the
- 14 phenomenal cost of this alternative as well.
- 15 Next one. Delta. During some point of this

16	process it was asked, well, how could we look at
17	addressing some of the capacity considerations?
18	Well, as I've said all along, the capacity is
19	best met if we're able to come up with some sort
20	of parallel runway configuration. This one just
21	happens to look at worst-case scenario. We wanted
22	to show you what would happen if we really went
23	out there to the west. Of course, we're not going
24	to end up doing this. There's there's a lot of
25	environmental considerations out there far far

1	out to the west, and as well as relocation of
2	U.S. 1 and the railroad. There are a number of
3	considerations here.
4	Also, we wanted to show you just how you
5	might connect the two sides of the airport if
6	if you so desired. And just look at the distance
7	you have to go from the north end of the airfield,
8	across to the west because of some of our design
9	criteria in trying to get some of the larger
10	aircraft across. It's quite a an undertaking.
11	The next one?
12	Alternative E came out directly from our last
13	meeting with the Authority, and it basically,
14	we came to a decision that we would at least take

- 15 a look and show something to the west. And we
- 16 have been promoting to show some sort of
- 17 development to the west to protect and preserve
- 18 this area for the future, not that we would
- 19 necessarily meet it within the 20-year period, but
- 20 if we wanted to be prudent in our planning, we
- 21 would want to be able to look at options for the
- 22 west side of U.S. 1, which we did. This is
- 23 particular -- particularly one look at it.
- 24 Now, we showed up on the 2nd at our TAC
- 25 meeting, and we got an interesting group of

27

1 surprises.

2	Now, there are great strengths to all of
3	these, but there are a number of weaknesses as
4	well. And one of the things that had come up
5	during our that process was that this
6	particular one had runways going and directing
7	traffic right over some of the existing
8	facilities, namely the Northrop Grumman complex.
9	Now, when we came out of the last Authority
10	meeting, it was, "Show us what you can fit between
11	the two roads, between U.S. 1 and between the

12 state road improvements out there on the west."

llel
]

that minimum 6,000 that we were looking for. It's

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 6,200 feet there. And it'll still give us that
- 2 added capacity improvement that we're looking for.
- 3 It allows us to protect and preserve the area
- 4 to the west of the airport for future development,
- 5 and in ten years when the next Master Plan update
- 6 is done and ten years after that as we move closer
- 7 and closer to reaching some of these demand
- 8 triggers, be able to take a look again at this
- 9 concept and -- and revisit it and look at specific
- 10 facility improvements, look at perhaps tweaking it
- 11 and -- and providing changes. But we wanted to

12	provide something that was realistic, one that met
13	some of the considerations we had in terms of
14	capacity, in terms of wind coverage, in allowing
15	the airport some options for the future to grow
16	and not just looking at the next 5, 10, 15, 20
17	years.
18	And if you'll notice, there's room for a
19	runway. There's a connection south of the runway,
20	taking the state road to the other side of U.S. 1
21	there, with potential connections to an area that
22	we've designated for multimodal terminal facility
23	area. Notice that location is fairly large,
24	because as you go through and plan more detail in
25	the future, you're you're going to want to do

29

- 1 it somewhere in that area, have access to the rail
- 2 and to the road. But this also gives us some
- 3 access from the west where you don't have to have
- 4 an at-grade crossing across U.S. 1 to get to that

5 facility.

- 6 And also, as in most of those alternatives
- 7 that we've shown, we're showing improvements to
- 8 the existing runway in order to maximize it in the
- 9 next 20-year planning period.

10	Notice we also mention that 2/20 would become
11	a taxiway as needed. And if not needed, we would
12	continue to use that as a runway as we do today
13	until the point we started development and
14	significant development across the street.
15	And that pretty much is the recommended
16	airfield concept. Now, I can continue through or
17	we can discuss this one at a time. It's kind of
18	up to you.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Does the board want to wait
20	for all of the alternatives or
21	MR. JUFKO: That's fine. Then we'll go on to
22	the next. Look at the general aviation
23	alternatives. We divided this into the north and
24	south areas of the airfield. And on the north
25	area, as you recall, this hasn't changed a heck of

- 1 a lot from what we initially proposed to you
- 2 folks.
- 3 There's Alternative A and Alternative B. One
- 4 maximizes the improvements in a -- in a relatively
- 5 small area of the airport. The other one kind
- 6 of -- kind of keeps it limited to more or less the
- 7 existing footprint that we have out there in terms
- 8 of boundary, for the most part. There is some

9 acquisition there.

10	What our recommendation is, as we move
11	forward in the planning process, because we know a
12	number of things can change during design and
13	environmental study and so on, is that you go
14	forward and maximize use of this area. It will
15	give you a lot of flexibility in the future
16	because we know that hangar development is is
17	primarily driven by the market. And if you limit
18	yourself up front to something along the lines of
19	Alternative A, you aren't going to have that
20	flexibility, whereas if you go with Alternative B,
21	if you so desire not to develop to that degree,
22	you still have that option.
23	So, we're looking at as a recommendation
24	to the Authority to maximize development in that

area. And, of course, there are a number of

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 considerations as -- as we have to step forward in
- 2 terms of phasing this type of development, the
- 3 actual cost of it.
- 4 But, in terms of environmental, if you're
- 5 going to go forward and -- and look at
- 6 environmental concerns with one alternative, it's

7	pretty much the same types of hoops that we have
8	to go through in both.
9	And the next slide? We have three
10	alternatives, which we indeed have gone back and
11	tweaked as a result of the workshop with the
12	Authority, numerous comments that have come up in
13	our last Technical Advisory Committee on the 2nd,
14	as well as pretty much a resounding comment from
15	the public during our meeting and I'll get to
16	that in terms of noise, proximity to
17	facilities, and what we propose to do about that,
18	and what our recommendations are.
19	The south general aviation area, Alternative
20	A, basically gives us well, let me back up
21	one one second here. All three alternatives
22	have certain strengths. Some have better airside
23	access strengths. Some have better landside
24	access strengths. Some are kind of developed in a
25	more open fashion, give us that parklike

- 1 atmosphere. We've heard that this meeting several
- 2 times.
- 3 So, no one -- as like the airside
- 4 alternatives, the airfield alternatives, no one of
- 5 these alternatives is going to really cut it for

6	us.	So,	we needed	to	look	and	find	the	strengths
-		~ - ,							~

7 of all three.

8	Alternative A essentially is the direction
9	we're going here. It provides the airside access
10	that we're really looking for. In in our
11	business, most of the facilities that we have down
12	here are for design group II aircraft, as the size
13	of aircraft that we see here currently, and that
14	we expect in the future at the airport that's
15	general aviation aircraft, that is.
16	Now, there are some aircraft a little larger
17	that fall into the next design group category, and
18	we would like the opportunity at least to be able
19	to accommodate them at times in the future. And
20	we know when we have special events here, this
21	place gets full.
22	And to have access to new apron facilities,
23	which is a current requirement, a need that we've
24	identified, we would want to be able to maintain
25	this group III taxi lane access through the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

33

1 northeast portion of this area.

- 2 And the rest of the facilities fall out here.
- 3 There's -- there's a location in this particular

4	alternative where we place the community center.
5	Notice that there's land to the south here.
6	That is in direct response to the comments that
7	came out of the public meeting to reserve those
8	lands for land use compatibility as a buffer
9	from from the noise associated with the
10	aircraft activity.
11	And also, the other alternatives will have
12	the same thing in common, this reserve for public
13	use, some sort of park or public use areas there
14	off to the east.
15	The next slide? And Alternative B now gives
16	us that open atmosphere, compared to A, which
17	maximized the area. You've got the most hangar
18	development. What we like about this particular
19	alternative is that it allows us to not only
20	develop and and plan for, you know, X number of
21	T-hangars, 134 as it says up here, and 41 box
22	hangars and 9 corporate hangars. That's all fine
23	and dandy. However, if we decided, the Airport
24	Authority decided in the future that you would
25	like to kind of slow down the density reduce

- 1 the density of hangar development in this area,
- 2 you can go every other row if you so desired.

3	Let's say that there are trees out there that
4	we wanted to protect. You could forego developing
5	a row of T-hangars to protect different stands of
6	trees and and give it that atmosphere you're
7	looking for. And then when you really need it, if
8	you really need it down the road, you still have
9	the opportunity to develop the area in accordance
10	with the plan.
11	What this does is it also gives us that area
12	reserved for land use compatibility. And notice
13	we moved the community center this time over into
14	the area reserved for public use. There is a
15	in this particular alternative, we did show an
16	area for the group III taxi lane.
17	The one thing I'd like to point out, though,
18	is it's really tight there. Probably not the very
19	best way to go about designing it. And, of
20	course, during design process, you know, if we
21	could take a much closer look. But we found that
22	the access shown in A provides a lot easier, more
23	straight-through access to the apron area, which
24	is essentially the same in both alternatives.

1 you.

2	MS. LOUNGEWAY: Sorry.
3	MR. JUFKO: Alternative C actually is just a
4	totally different look at this, coming from the
5	west side. It's got good access. A little
6	different way of going about the development.
7	However, there are there are some issues in how
8	we actually get to the FBO and what how it's
9	how visible it is from the runway. And just
10	overall layout of this is a little different.
11	And actually, we had some good comments about
12	this particular alternative as well. The problem
13	that we see right now is it doesn't allow us to
14	immediately go into development without taking out
15	some existing hangars immediately in order to go
16	forward with it; whereas the other alternative,
17	and as you'll see in in the proposed concept,
18	we've talked all along about phasing the
19	development of this area to help us through the
20	financing of the facilities, any of the issues
21	related to acquisition of property and so on.
22	So, although this is a great concept, it
23	measures right up there with the rest of them,
24	there are some drawbacks.
25	And then we go to the next slide. There's

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

1	just kind of a comparison of the three. But this
2	is basically what we're going for and recommending
3	to the Authority this evening. It's a it's
4	basically your Alternative A. I like to point out
5	that that portion on the left, or the west, can be
6	configured and developed much like it is shown in
7	Bravo, but as we do in in the Master Plan, we
8	do want to show you the max maximum or the best
9	way to use this area, and that's that's how
10	it's shown right now.
11	If you choose to develop this in kind of a
12	scaled-back fashion in terms of the T-hangars, you
13	can you can do that as you're going through
14	that process. This allows you to do that.
15	There is the area reserved for land use
16	compatibility, the area reserved for public use.
17	We're recommending that the community center be
18	placed in that area as well. It's got excellent
19	accessibility. I think that's North Ave.; is that
20	correct?
21	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's Boulevard.
22	MR. JUFKO: Boulevard? Excuse me. And also,
23	it's right there at the at the approach to
24	the to the south end of the runway. Great
25	visibility.

37

1	And there's other hangar development up in an
2	existing hangar area there for those hangars that
3	are, you know, reaching the end of their useful
4	service life, and we have some recommended
5	improvements there as well.
6	And this siting for the FBO is also what we
7	consider optimum location for a new FBO and its
8	associated facilities. So, this is the
9	recommended improvement of the south GA area that
10	we present for your consideration this evening.
11	Here's kind of a in a nutshell, the entire
12	development plan, looking at reserving the west
13	area across the street of across U.S. 1 for
14	future aviation development, with access to to
15	State Road 312. There's an area that we would
16	recommend as a potential site for a future
17	multimodal terminal facility. There's the type of
18	development, industrial development in the area
19	where noted. And we've incorporated our
20	recommendations for the north and the south
21	general aviation areas. And taxiway improvements
22	and so on.
23	That's where we're at today. And what we're

asking you to do is consider our recommendations.

1	forward with these recommended development plan,
2	we will go forth with the changes to the airport
3	layout plan, addressing the capital improvement
4	program and financial plan associated with the
5	study, phasing of projects, estimated project
6	costs, squeeze another TAC meeting in there at
7	that point. Pretty much we're on the downside of
8	this project. Unless you like to see me here more
9	often to explain myself. Be happy to answer any
10	questions.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to open up to
12	public comment. Any questions or comments from
13	the public?
14	(No public comments or questions.)
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Board comment? Bob?
16	MR. COX: Don't sit down. Can you bring that
17	iteration back up with just very quickly, the
18	recommended development plan right there?
19	MR. JUFKO: Yes, sir.
20	MR. COX: I don't I don't see on here
21	where you is U.S. 1 moved in this iteration?
22	MR. JUFKO: No, sir.
23	MR. COX: How do you propose to get the

- 24 airplanes back and forth across both sides of the
- 25 field there?

39

1	MR. JUFKO: Initially, when we went forth
2	with in discussion of coming up with the
3	alternative here, it was request well, first,
4	we had a discussion: Is it possible to operate
5	the airport without the connection? And
6	technically, it is.
7	Now, remember that the purpose of putting
8	something across the street here is to reserve for
9	future development that makes sense, okay? It is
10	possible to connect here, but remember, we're not
11	designing the west side of the airport for you at
12	this time. We're trying to give you what's
13	reasonable based on the forecast activity and what
14	the needs that we identified earlier on in the
15	study, and be able to come up with a footprint of
16	runway facilities and support facilities that
17	would at least reserve that space so you wouldn't
18	have to keep going through this every ten years.
19	So, yes, you can connect. It's a it's a
20	design effort. And we did show in some of our
21	earlier alternatives how you could go about

- 22 connecting, but once again, we are dealing with a
- 23 little different category of runways and sizes and
- 24 so on.
- 25 MR. COX: Okay. I guess your logic is, is

40

- 1 just reserving the space, and then in the future
- 2 we're going to be able to do with what we've --
- 3 MR. COOPER: Absolutely.
- 4 MR. COX: -- whatever works out.
- 5 MR. JUFKO: That's correct.
- 6 MR. COX: To get that --
- 7 MR. JUFKO: Because as you get closer to that
- 8 period ten years down the road and we start
- 9 realizing some of these demand triggers, you're
- 10 going to want to take a closer look, anyway.

11 Guarantee you.

- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
- 13 MR. CIRIELLO: Could you put up Alternative
- 14 C? Bob told you not to sit down. I'm going to
- 15 keep you here half the night.
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: This one or the other

17 Alternative C?

- 18 MR. JUFKO: You're talking about airfield
- 19 alternative?
- 20 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this one here.

- 21 MR. JUFKO: That's the Master Plan,
- 22 recommendation from the previous Master Plan.
- 23 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, C.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the old Master Plan.
- 25 MR. JUFKO: That's correct.

41

- 1 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, before I start, I
- 2 want you people from LPA to know that any of my
- 3 negativity is not directed at you as a group or

4 people; you're just doing a job you're trained to

5 do.

6 MR. JUFKO: Understood.

7 MR. CIRIELLO: Overall with this Master Plan,

8 I'm a little unhappy with it, not because of you

9 people. For one thing, I don't want to extend

10 that runway out here into the environmental land.

11 I don't want to extend 6/24 into it.

12 I don't like the idea of get -- doing away

- 13 with those -- that retention pond on the other
- 14 side of the North 40 to build a bunch of corporate
- 15 hangars, when we have a bunch of acreage right
- 16 next to the North 40, that in the few years we're
- 17 going to get from Grumman, because it's ours
- 18 anyhow, that we could build those corporate

- 19 hangars and everything in there.
- 20 But I just have some questions, not as
- 21 criticism, but all this area in here that we'll
- 22 need to do this, how many -- how much acreage is
- 23 in there? Approximately.
- 24 MS. LOUNGEWAY: It was about 1,100, but
- 25 the --

42

1 MR. GEORGE:	One thousand, seventy-eight
---------------	-----------------------------

2 acres.

3 MS	S. LOUNGEWAY:	But according	to what the
------	---------------	---------------	-------------

4 prior consultant suggested, it was about 1,100.

5 MR. GEORGE: It says it there.

6 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Well, rather than go

7 and extend this runway here and this one here

8 (indicating), I would be more inclined to go with

9 putting this extra runway over here (indicating).

- 10 But my -- my question about this land is, is all
- 11 this land buildable, or is any of it swampland
- 12 with trees and everything in it we might have
- 13 permitting troubles with?
- 14 Who owns it? Can we get it? And if we can,
- 15 should we start getting it now? Because some
- 16 developer -- you know the way this county's
- 17 developing, in a few years, some developer might

- 18 come in there and buy that up and then shoot us
- right out of the saddle.
- 20 Now, my other thought about this runway --
- 21 and I think I talked to you guys last week --
- 22 rather than extend this runway anymore -- and the
- 23 main reason would be for Grumman, and I'm not
- 24 against Grumman; I worked there for six years.
- 25 But I don't want to get into this environmental

43

- 1 stuff. I can't see building a runway over here
- 2 shorter than this one (indicating). So, I would

3 rather see this runway over here (indicating) out

4 to 9- or 10,000 feet, which would help Grumman --

5 why?

- 6 MR. GEORGE: How are they going to get the
- 7 airplane over to their work facility?

8 MR. CIRIELLO: All right. I discussed this

9 with Ed, okay? I don't know if it's a good idea

10 or not. But I've seen it at other airports.

11 Grumman's not going to bring in 20 or 30 airplanes

12 a day.

13 MR. GEORGE: Right.

- 14 MR. CIRIELLO: And they're not going to be
- 15 747s or anything. They're going to be Tomcats and

16 that.	Why	could	we'd have	a road	for	ground
----------	-----	-------	-----------	--------	-----	--------

17 traffic connecting this airport to the main

18 airport.

19 At 1:00 or 2 o'clock in the evening, when

- 20 there's hardly any traffic out here, and if
- 21 Grumman brought in a Tomcat or a Hawkeye or
- something that was going to do -- why couldn't
- they just bring a truck or a tug or something and
- 24 build a towbar, come over here and take a few
- 25 minutes that would -- well, you know, they would

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

1	have no traffic problems between here and U.S. 1.
2	It would only take a couple of minutes across U.S.
3	1 to get on our main airport. There'd be no
4	problem with them getting the airplanes from here
5	over to there, as far as that goes.
6	But they would have that 9- or 10,000-foot
7	runway. We wouldn't have to ruin the ecology over
8	here on this one (indicating). And they could
9	very easily do that.
10	MR. GEORGE: We might ruin the ecology over
11	here. Putting a
12	MR. CIRIELLO: I already asked that.
13	MR. GEORGE: I know.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Isn't this land
file:///S /Users/ckl	h/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2004%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20081604.txt[11/16/2010 2:14:20 PM]

15 MR. GEORGE: I heard -- I heard that it was

16 that, yeah.

17	MR. CIRIELLO: Besides, who owns it? And can
18	we get it? And is some developer going to come in
19	and shoot us out of the saddle and get it before
20	we do? So, if we're going to initiate this plan
21	to alleviate traffic down the road 20 years from
22	now, why can't we start immediately on acquiring
23	the land and planning on building this runway so
24	that we don't get shot out of the saddle?

25 But I have no problems with doing this. As

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

45

1	long as	we	build	this	runway	bigger	than	this

- 2 one -- well, I'm on public record in saying
- 3 initially this airport doesn't even need to grow.
- 4 I don't see why we're taking Araquay Park. I
- 5 don't see why we're doing anything. If we get
- 6 saturated to where we can't build anything else on
- 7 the airport, that's tough, that's it. You don't

8 go anywhere else.

- 9 Well, of course, I made the suggestion to go
- 10 down south to 206 and build a second airport. But
- 11 this is quicker and easier. It would be like a
- 12 second airport. But it would still be part of

- 13 this one. And to answer Mr. Cox's idea -- well,
- 14 you even mentioned one thing; if a guy wants to go
- 15 from here to there bad enough, fly over.
- 16 But if you put this runway here, it would
- 17 only make sense to put in some hangars, a
- 18 self-fuel facility, a maintenance facility, maybe
- an FBO, and make this a concentrated general
- 20 aviation facility. And then down the road, if you
- 21 can get in commercial aviation, since they have to
- be separated and security and everything is
- altogether different, it would be easier to do,
- 24 keeping the commercial over here and the general
- aviation over here (indicating).

- 1 But if we don't make this runway bigger than
- 2 this one, I can't see doing it. And if we're
- 3 going to be thinking doing it, we shouldn't wait
- 4 till 10 or 15 years to do it because the ground
- 5 might not be available. We need to think about it
- 6 immediately. Those are my thoughts on that.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, I have a comment. Just
- 8 I remember Mr. Marsh -- is it Mike Marsh?
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Mark, uh-huh.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mark? Who was pretty
- 11 involved in that industrial area, and I know he

12	gave us a presentation a couple of years ago.
13	That area, meaning the west side up top, some is
14	designated as lands that we have to set aside for
15	development in other places. We don't own all of
16	it, like Joe said. But we own some of it, and if
17	we are to develop over there there are some
18	homes over there, although not as many as we've
19	had over at Araquay aren't we in the same type
20	of condemnation, eminent domain situation?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Well well, the property
22	Mr. Marsh was referring to is the old industrial
23	park plat, which is forget we have this nice
24	little ditty. But that's this area here
25	(indicating).

47

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

- 2 MR. WUELLNER: That's currently zoned
- 3 industrial and has -- I think one home somehow
- 4 managed to get approved back in there, but it's
- 5 the only home. It's basically unimproved roads.
- 6 It's -- and the Authority owns 70 to 80 percent of
- 7 the property back there.
- 8 About a 12-acre chunk of that property was
- 9 dedicated by the Authority as a conservation

10	easement. That property is in this area here
11	(indicating), and will likely, as a way of working
12	with the Water Management District I mean,
13	we we internally kind of envision this section
14	here (indicating) ultimately becoming conservation
15	area as it underlies a direct approach to the
16	runway, anyway.
17	The property down in this area (indicating)
18	is two subdivisions that were platted. One is
19	north St. Augustine North, I believe it's
20	referred to. The other is Oak Grove.
21	St. Augustine North is confined to right along
22	here (indicating) along the run or along the
23	edge of the railroad track along Avenue A.
24	The balance of the property up here is in

25 what's called the Oak Grove subdivision for the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 most part, and that's -- it's owned -- it involves
- 2 Avenue B, C, D, and Big Oak. And there's Decoy
- 3 Alley and some other names back in there that that
- 4 neighborhood involves. And we own a very small
- 5 percentage of that, probably less than 5 percent
- 6 in total.
- 7 It has not been -- in the -- in the Oak
- 8 Grove, we own less than 5 percent. We own 70 or

9 80 percent of the St. Augustine North subdivision,

the part that's closest to the airport, and that
was primarily acquired for noise and protection of
approaches.

13	Now, you've got a couple of issues with this
14	(indicating). Primarily is the approach brings it
15	over among the more populated areas of the of
16	the city. When when you get out just a mile or
17	two, you're starting to get into the St. Augustine
18	North area. It is fairly well-developed. It is
19	certainly well-established. And
20	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Maguire wouldn't mind us
21	going over the courthouse, either, would you?
22	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure.
23	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Not at all.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Our long our long-standing

25 objection to this layout was -- was primarily

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 that. The -- the regulatory entity you've got to
- 2 seek approval would then be under final approach.
- 3 So, I don't see a lot of mileage from the County
- 4 in -- in proposing that.
- 5 It -- it -- the other, probably more basic
- 6 difficulty, is that it perpetuates among the least

7	desirable wind directions and in fact duplicates a
8	94, 95 percent I don't remember the number, and
9	Gloria probably has it off the top of her head
10	percentage of wind coverage.
11	So, it's a lower percentage of wind coverage
12	in that orientation, then would be achieved by
13	bringing it over to something closer to a 6/24 or
14	5/23 kind of configuration, bring it more to an
15	east/west, northeast/southwest kind of
16	configuration.
17	So that it is workable. I mean, it
18	certainly, I think deals with the capacity issues,
19	but it brings a number of issues that are I think
20	more problematic than capacity, and that would be
21	the environmental consideration, particularly to
22	the south.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I can't understand
24	when you're talking about the pattern going
25	directly over the City, this airport on this side

- 1 is, my idea is mainly for small stuff. And you
- 2 don't go out one mile finals or crossovers or
- 3 anything on that on your patterns. But gee whiz,
- 4 this main runway out here right now, you're
- 5 wanting to extend it and everything. With the

6	Ponce being built here with all of these
7	millionaires coming in, you don't think there's
8	going to be complaints from them?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I I think you've got
10	to look at what the land the land does in that
11	area. The land is this area (indicating), and is
12	pulling away a little bit.
13	Up here, this approach is entirely over marsh
14	and water out to a distance of about three miles
15	(indicating).
16	MR. COX: He's primarily talking about
17	instrument approaches, Joe. Isn't that what
18	you're talking about?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, primarily. And and
20	even your pattern approaches. This this area
21	here, from from the Ponce as well as south
22	(indicating), puts a lot of overflight traffic
23	in in those subdivisions.
24	Down around, I don't know what that
25	development's called, but just north in the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 area of the old Harley-Davidson shop. I don't
- 2 remember what that neighborhood's called, but
- 3 that -- that area is fairly densely developed, in

4	addition to coming up coming up the other side
5	of yeah, Lewis Speedway, when you cross over
6	U.S. 1 there, parallel.
7	You've just got you've got certainly more
8	residential considerations in this configuration,
9	using this runway orientation (indicating). This
10	is all residential that we at this point don't
11	intend to acquire (indicating). I mean, it's not
12	property that's on the on the drawing boards
13	for any other reason. And you would you would
14	be looking at significant impacts in this area
15	(indicating). And that - that's that's
16	pretty I mean, when you look at the aerial,
17	that's pretty densely developed at this point.
18	All I'm saying is, while it would work, I
19	think your your significant issue in further
20	analysis will be the environmental impacts. I
21	don't mean "environmental" from wetland
22	perspective, but "environmental" from terms of
23	noise impact.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Versus the recommended,
25	which has a loss

which has a less --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: It's been purposely oriented
- 2 to where long final approaches right now are in

3	relatively undeveloped properties.
4	MR. JUFKO: There's another consideration
5	here. In this particular alternative, the
6	reason well, the road being and the rail
7	being moved out as far to the west as it is here,
8	it was done so, so that you could get this
9	separation that you have here (indicating).
10	If you don't if you tried to do this
11	without relocating the road and the rail, you
12	wouldn't be able to get these as close as they're
13	shown.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't realize you had
15	that relocation of the road
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: and the railroad. Because
18	in anything that I would think of, that would
19	never work. That road and the railroad is never
20	got going to be moved.
21	I didn't when I was talking about putting
22	that runway over there even if you had to tilt
23	it a little bit, you know, it doesn't have to be
24	exactly parallel with 13 and 31, but anything we
25	do on this side has to be done without moving that

1 road or the railroad.

2	MR. JUFKO: Pretty much.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: But if we can't get put a
4	bigger runway in than what we have over here,
5	there's no sense even thinking about even going
6	over there; we might as well ruin the ecology,
7	which I wouldn't vote for anyhow, and make that
8	runway bigger. But I'm not so sure it really
9	needs to be made bigger, to tell you the truth.
10	MR. JUFKO: Some of the strengths that you
11	saw in this alternative, Mr. Ciriello, actually
12	are supportive of the our recommended
13	alternative, when we talk about use for general
14	aviation in in accordance with the prevailing
15	winds. The possibility of keeping it separate
16	from the other part of the airport, that's
17	that's still a possibility, with the option to
18	connect it at some point in the future.
19	So, we we've tried to take in into
20	consideration as many of these concerns. No one
21	alternative is perfect, as we well know. But we
22	definitely wanted to step in the right direction.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
24	MR. GEORGE: Yes. Can I go back to south
25	general aviation developments, the recommended

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

1	your preferred?
2	I like this for several reasons. One, it
3	gives us the ability to expand as the need arises
4	to west of Casa Cola, you know, at at a later
5	date when that need does arise there. And I I
6	like the compatibility of it, as far as the FBO
7	being close to the front. I also like the
8	community center idea being out there where the
9	traffic can land and everything.
10	You'll notice in here, you've got five
11	corporate hangars. I just want to make a point of
12	that.
13	Now, if we go over to the north Alternative
14	B, yeah, your north GA area, Alternative B. Okay.
15	You are recommending B. I don't agree with B. I
16	think it ought to be A. And I think it ought to
17	be A for the following reasons: I've got five
18	more box hangars that I'm putting in down at the
19	end. This is going to be very expensive land to
20	acquire, and we already know that there's a creek
21	back there and it's going to be heavy cost of
22	getting the permits and everything to go into
23	there.
24	I think with having 12 of Alternative A,

25 gives us 12 corporate hangars, plus the 5 more,

1	that's 17. And we probably need to be pushing in
2	at some point to start doing something on the west
3	side of the street. So, I can support Alternative
4	A better than B.
5	And if you go with the total recommended
6	development plan, your last one I'm sorry to
7	keep bouncing around.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's okay.
9	MR. GEORGE: Your 6,200-foot runway on my
10	printout says 5,900 feet. I am concerned that you
11	are putting us in a situation where we presently
12	have with 13/31; you are limiting us on how much
13	we can grow that runway. And if we start looking
14	at commercial activity coming in, the multimodal
15	and terminal area being on that side, this is
16	going to be our primary runway for that activity.
17	For that reason, I would like to see that
18	runway either brought further south or twisted to
19	a different, you know, angle that would be
20	compatible. But we can do that ten years from
21	now.
22	But to get ready for that, you've got to
23	change that road coming in from 312 to make it
24	straight across here. Instead of having this bend

1	here to give us that flexibility of twisting that
2	runway.
3	MS. LOUNGEWAY: The reason why it's there, is
4	if you come down further, it's in a curve on 312,
5	and it's probably not good to have the the
6	intersection right in the curve.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Right right here
8	(indicating). This starts a curve in 312?
9	MS. LOUNGEWAY: I mean, it would be
10	MR. WUELLNER: It can be done.
11	MS. LOUNGEWAY: better for line-of-sight
12	reasons to have it on the straighter part of 312.
13	The other option we can do is to reserve more
14	area to the north.
15	MR. WUELLNER: This way (indicating)?
16	MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yeah. Think we could
17	that that would be an option, to expand the
18	airport district area to the north so that you
19	could you could get a longer length.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tilt it more north?
21	MR. WUELLNER: I think you need to, anyway.
22	You need to include what we own
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.

24 MR. WUELLNER: -- as a minimum. I mean,

25 just -- just from a drawing perspective.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 MR. CIRIELLO: Could I ask the commissioner a
- 2 question? Bruce, is he here?
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: That 312 they're showing is
- 5 going right past that racetrack. Do you guys have
- 6 plans on buying that racetrack?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: They don't need to.
- 8 MR. CIRIELLO: Or -- I know it was up for
- 9 sale a few years ago.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: They don't need to. It's
- 11 outside of the racetrack.
- 12 MR. CIRIELLO: It is?
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 14 MR. CIRIELLO: It would be nice --
- 15 MR. WUELLNER: It's actually --
- 16 MR. CIRIELLO: -- if they could acquire it
- 17 and get it out of the way.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Actually --
- 19 MR. CIRIELLO: -- so we could go there.
- 20 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, the property it sits
- 21 on is ours, the road sits on.

- 22 MR. CIRIELLO: Is ours?
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Not the racetrack. The piece
- the road sits on.
- 25 MR. COX: What's the best wind overlay

58

- 1 direction-wise?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: It was -- that property was
- 3 acquired before -- shortly before I got here, and

4 it was in concert with the 312 layout. It was

5 purchased as a way of preserving the -- the

- 6 corridor for 312 at the time.
- 7 MR. CIRIELLO: I just think that racetrack is
- 8 a little bit of a hindrance for us on putting a

9 runway in over here, whether to -- like up and

10 down this way (indicating) or up and down this way

11 (indicating).

12 MR. WUELLNER: I understand it's for sale.

13 MR. CIRIELLO: We -- you need to get rid of

14 that racetrack, but the guy wants too much money

15 for it, but...

16 MR. COX: We could turn it into an RV

17 radio-controlled air park.

18 MR. CIRIELLO: We have an airport out here we

19 can use for that.

20 MR. GEORGE: Okay, guys.

- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And according to your --
- 22 Bob's comments, and that's the concern I have. I
- 23 mean, it's feasible to, if we had to, link the two
- 24 together at some point to transport airplanes.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: It is feasible. There's no

59

1 ideal way --

- 2 MR. GEORGE: The 21st year.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: -- to link these two sides.

4 21st year to --

- 5 MR. GEORGE: The 21st year, right.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: And -- and for the very

7 reasons -- I'm going to turn this right around on

8 him. But for the very reasons that he can't

9 support it are the very reasons, in my opinion, it

- 10 makes most sense to go with your Alternative B.
- 11 You're -- you are already impacting the
- 12 property that would have to be acquired. You're
- 13 already having to do environmental permitting in
- 14 that area to be able to do either A or B, the
- 15 extent of which is just simply a mitigation effort
- 16 across over to this side (indicating), which you
- 17 already own property for.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Which we already own the

19 property?

- 20 MR. WUELLNER: If you don't elect Bravo's,
- 21 the B option there, you have constrained yourself
- to where you will not be able to develop further,
- and unless that's the goal. You can select B and
- choose only to develop to A.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right. I see what

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

60

1 you're --

2	MR. WUELLNER: Allowing for infrastructure
3	extension back there.
4	MR. GEORGE: You're saying the cost of A or
5	B, as far as permitting, timewise and everything,
6	is the same.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Essentially. I mean, you've
8	got obviously a little more property impacted, but
9	it's property that is impacted in the identical
10	way.
11	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
12	MR. WUELLNER: So, in terms of mitigation,
13	the effort to mitigate is is nearly identical.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: That's why we leaned back.
16	Because you guys had kind of leaned to A
17	originally. By the time the TAC was through with

- 18 it, the Technical Advisory Committee was through
- 19 with it, we were back to B for the reasons of not
- 20 shortchanging the development.
- 21 You could simply choose not to develop that
- 22 far back, if you chose to.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: This gives us more options
- at the minimum cost.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: But the option's on the table.

61

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay. All right.
- 2 MR. JUFKO: Mr. George?
- 3 MR. GEORGE: But back to the road that we're
- 4 talking about -- that I'm talking about, extending
- 5 it over, I've got a better map here than this goes
- 6 down, and I don't see this curve you're talking

7 about, Gloria.

8 MR. COX: It's down in here somewhere

9 (indicating).

10 MR. GEORGE: Well, I'm just talking about

11 going straight across.

- 12 MR. COX: She's saying it curves right here
- 13 (indicating), where you're talking about it curves
- 14 right there (indicating), and they don't want to
- 15 have an intersection where it's curved, I guess.

- 16 Is that driver safety consideration?
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: During the curve. Yeah. It's
- 18 line of sight, and 312 is about -- 312 in that
- 19 area, and correct me if I'm wrong, Bruce, because
- 20 you're probably more up to speed than --
- 21 MR. GEORGE: This is not a 90-degree turn,
- 22 guys.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: She didn't 90; she said it
- curved.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: No, it's not a 90-degree

62

- 1 curve, but the road -- the -- here I go again. I
- 2 just moved -- 312 here (indicating) is designed as
- 3 a limited access that I believe is going to have a
- 4 65-mile-an-hour design speed through it.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: So, it has line-of-sight
- 7 requirements in its design in order to accomplish
- 8 any entry or exit onto the road. That gets
- 9 infinitely more difficult during curved sections

10 of roads.

- 11 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, what you're saying is
- 12 the way it was placed here is because of the --
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: It's the courtesy of 312

14 design versus --

15 MR. GEORGE: -- Department of

16 Transportation's requirement for a curve there and

- 17 having an intersection.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. It has to do with the
- 19 312 section, not the road off of it. That's not
- 20 to say it couldn't be accomplished. It's just --
- 21 MR. GEORGE: I think you're cutting our noses
- 22 off to spite somebody's face here. To come across
- here, now I've got the ability to twist this
- 24 and --
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: I mean, being totally --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 MR. GEORGE: -- and being limited at 5,900. 2 MS. LOUNGEWAY: As you lift it more to like a 3 2/20 orientation, you're getting away from the 4 preferred wind direction for your smaller 5 aircraft, which no matter if you get commercial 6 air service or not, the predominant operations are 7 still going to be by your single -- your small 8 single, small twin-engine guys, which are most 9 susceptible to the winds. 10 And so, in laying this out, we really tried
- 11 to get it so you could get a nearly parallel with
- 12 6/24, and have the predominating wind, which is

13	somewhere between a 4 and a 5 orientation.
14	And so, that I mean, you're kind of
15	balancing a couple things. I mean, if between
16	the runway length being able to increase your
17	capacity, and knowing that most of your operations
18	are almost always going to be your your smaller
19	GA guys, which are more susceptible to the wind.
20	MR. GEORGE: What I'm saying is, for
21	flexibility, if I can continue this out and pick
22	up this area here (indicating), that gives me the
23	ability to slide all of that down and increase
24	this from 6,200
25	MS. LOUNGEWAY: But not really. Not really,

- 1 because the RPZ, which is an area that the FAA
- 2 requires, the runway protection zone, which is
- 3 kind of the triangular portion at the end --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 5 MS. LOUNGEWAY: -- they like that or -- and
- 6 almost require that to be free of areas of
- 7 congregations of people. So, we have to avoid the
- 8 Northrop Grumman facilities where there are
- 9 congregations of people working. So really, your
- 10 RPZ would then have to be west of U.S. 1.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: And when --

- 12 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 13 MS. LOUNGEWAY: So, you cut it short.
- 14 MR. WUELLNER: When you do that, you bring
- 15 it -- to bring it entirely west to avoid the RPZ
- 16 conflict, you've significantly shortened the
- 17 runway by 800 to a thousand feet.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Question: What does it
- 19 cost us to leave that extension to 312 as shown,
- as opposed to moving it?
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Nothing. I mean --
- 22 MR. GEORGE: Then I suggest you move it. It
- 23 just gives us the flexibility in the future.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: Sure. What -- I think that
- where you've got to weigh in is -- is there's a

- 1 short-term need -- I don't -- I don't have a
- 2 problem with moving it conceptually at all. But
- 3 by -- you need to -- we need to begin the effort,
- 4 and I mean almost immediately, because the 312
- 5 corridor is currently in its PD&E study. It is in
- 6 latter stages of that.
- 7 And if the Authority wishes to provide -- to
- 8 find an entrance or create this road access on
- 9 there, and it is currently not in there, the only

10 entrances are a	t U.S. 1 and	at 16, currently.
--------------------	--------------	-------------------

11	MR.	GEORGE:	Right.
----	-----	---------	--------

- 12 MR. WUELLNER: So, you need to -- we need to
- 13 weigh in with a location that you will at this

14 point forever be locked into.

- 15 MR. GEORGE: Which is exactly what we're
- 16 doing here. If we pass this as proposed --
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: This will get communicated --
- 18 MR. GEORGE: -- it will lock it in.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: -- to them and that's where
- 20 it's going to be. That's right.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: If we move it, though, to make
- it a straight line, we're also locked in there.

23 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

- 24 MR. CIRIELLO: Did you guys give a reason why
- this -- like Mr. George is saying that -- that

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

66

- 1 little bend is in there. Is there any reason why
- 2 it couldn't be made straight from here to here
- 3 (indicating)? Why is that little bend in there?
- 4 MS. LOUNGEWAY: I think it avoids a

5 severely --

- 6 MR. JUFKO: -- sort of impacts.
- 7 MS. LOUNGEWAY: -- a really environmentally
- 8 sensitive area. But...

9	MR. JUFKO: That's the alignment that they're
10	considering
11	MS. LOUNGEWAY: Right.
12	MR. JUFKO: that we were given to start
13	with.
14	MR. WUELLNER: This is not a function of the
15	Master Plan. The layout of 312 is what the State
16	has done and the County has done.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know. But I'm just
18	saying why it's easier to build a road straight
19	than it is to put a curve in it. Why didn't they
20	just measure it straight without that little curve
21	in there, I don't understand that.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I'm guessing there's some
23	environmental impact reason.
24	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Mr. George, I have
25	a

- 1 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr -- do you know?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Yeah. The actual
- 3 footprint for the road hasn't been decided yet.
- 4 And what they're really doing is looking at the
- 5 corridor. They're doing an environmental
- 6 assessment right now to look at a corridor. And

7	traditionally it's about 2- to 400, maybe even in
8	some cases 500 feet wide. And when they do the
9	environmental assessment, then they will pick the
10	best place to put the road within that corridor.
11	So, what you're seeing now is really just an
12	approximation of where it's going to go. We don't
13	know exactly where it's going to go yet, and we
14	won't know probably for another two or three years
15	exactly where it's going to go.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, if we did our Master
17	Plan and suggested that the road go straight,
18	that's giving a message to DOT or whoever's
19	putting it together, listen, we need an access
20	right here so
21	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Exactly.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: in your best judgment,
23	don't give us a curve there.
24	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Exactly.
25	MR. WUELLNER: Or, you could have a straight

- 1 section here.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So we can do that.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: And you need to start
- 4 working on it right away.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

6		

MR. JUFKO: Because if it's not doable,

7	you're going to hear about it anyway.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
9	MR. JUFKO: Absolutely. Mr. George, I'd like
10	to make a point. On the runway length, and I know
11	you have a little slightly earlier version before
12	you, which is the reason there's a difference.
13	But the goal that we were seeking here was to find
14	out what the longest runway we could get between
15	the two roadways would be. And we were had a
16	goal for like 6,000 feet. We exceeded that goal.
17	Also, that length would would be capable
18	of handling just about any of the aircraft that we
19	were envisioning in the future fleet mix, even if
20	you were to secure some sort of commercial
21	service. So, this is sort of the maximum you're
22	looking, at least in this current orientation.
23	And it would handle the fleet that we're
24	envisioning in the future. I just wanted to
25	let make that point.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 MR. GEORGE: And my counterpoint is 15 years
- 2 from now, either the wind changes or a change in
- 3 the fleet, we then have the flexibility or we can

4	still	do it	the	way	you	propose.
---	-------	-------	-----	-----	-----	----------

- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 6 MR. JUFKO: Yes.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: That's down the road. You're
- 8 right. I think the important thing is to -- is to
- 9 deal with your 312 connection --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Exactly. Right.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- so as not to shut the door.
- 12 MR. JUFKO: We are definitely in the ballpark
- 13 here in -- in terms of length, in terms of
- 14 orientation, looking at key considerations that
- 15 you have to worry about. We're definitely in
- 16 striking range here.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain any motions -- oh,
- 19 Bruce?
- 20 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Just -- just a general
- 21 question. Only because of homeland security
- 22 issues and stuff like that, is there anything on
- the horizon for distances for final approach,
- 24 having these crossing of the highways? Because
- are you going to box yourself in with something

- 1 like this with 312?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: I'm not aware of anything on

3	the horizon. That all comes under Part 77
4	currently, and I don't see anything I'm not
5	hearing anything that's even worrying about that
6	stuff. Are you, Bob? I mean, you're coming from
7	a different angle, but
8	MR. COX: I haven't heard anything, either.
9	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not aware of any
10	discussion in amending Part 77, either.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain board motions.
12	MR. COX: Are you looking for board action on
13	it?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. It knocks these guys
15	loose and gets on to the next phase.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
17	MR. GEORGE: I would like to recommend that
18	we accept Staff's recommended development plan,
19	figure 614 with the modification of extending the
20	extension to 612 I mean, 312 as a straight
21	line.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any second?
23	MR. WUELLNER: The the the only other
24	modification I would suggest is to amend the
25	property line in particular on the north side to

1	include property the Authority already owns
2	MR. GEORGE: Good point.
3	MR. WUELLNER: and would logically
4	connect. I don't know how else to describe that,
5	but I think that
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Would you like to restate
7	your motion?
8	MR. GEORGE: Yes. I recommend that we accept
9	the recommended development plan from our
10	consultant as presented in 614, with two
11	exceptions: Extend the property line to the north
12	to include the property that we already are in the
13	process of acquiring or have acquired; and two,
14	take the proposed extension to 312 and make that a
15	straight line over to 312.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any seconds?
17	MR. COX: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
19	Joe?
20	MR. CIRIELLO: What what we're saying
21	here, if we vote for this, that everything that's
22	in here is okay, like extending the runway out
23	into the water for 13 and 31, and 6 and 24, and
24	disturbing the environment over on the north side.
25	If we say yes to this motion, are we saying that

1	everything they've got in here so far is okay with
2	us? Is that what we're saying?
3	MR. WUELLNER: No, not entirely. What
4	what you are saying is that this is what they will
5	do more detailed planning analysis, including
6	environmental impacts, capital development,
7	financial feasibility, development of plan
8	drawings to detail that level that work, that
9	alternative. That's what you're saying now.
10	It's not a commitment to build; it's nothing
11	else. Those all get approved as individual
12	projects, no matter what's in there. You can
13	still object to the runway extension
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: at the point where you get
16	ready to move it as a project.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's our footprint to go
19	forward.
20	MR. WUELLNER: It's it's kind of
21	exactly. That's saying, this is how we see it
22	ultimately developing. It doesn't mean we build
23	every piece of this.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. All right.
25	MR. WUELLNER: It's not marching orders for

me to go build it all; let's put it that way.

MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
(No further discussion.)
CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion,
say aye.
MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
MR. GEORGE: Aye.
MR. COX: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
(No opposition.)
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the
motion carries.
7.C EMINENT DOMAIN UPDATE & RESOLUTION
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Next item is C,
Eminent Domain Update & Resolution.
MR. WUELLNER: All right. Next item is the
update and resolution. I do need to I think I
already mentioned in project updates that we
that we have sent the attorneys have sent the
first letter relative to an offer on the
properties based on the long-form appraisals

conducted by Veasey.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

74

1	discuss and make sure the Authority's aware of.
2	There's a there's a small, which I believe to
3	have been probably a scrivener's error in the
4	in the drawing of the of the airport layout
5	plan some time ago. But along and if you'll
6	bear with me just a second. Let me pull up that.
7	I selected one here.
8	All right. Orienting yourself, the dark
9	line's the plat line. The airport layout plan,
10	just for reference purposes, and we just need
11	we want you to be aware of this because it is
12	it is not the future airport layout boundary,
13	as done in 1995, shows the property line proposed,
14	future property line to be Casa Cola to Indian
15	Bend and down and intersects at a point somewhere
16	in here and comes across (indicating).
17	The description in the text of the Master
18	Plan specifically says despite how this is
19	drawn, it specifically says "the entirety of
20	Araquay Park subdivision to be acquired."
21	There's a specific textual reference within
22	the Master Plan document itself. We believe that
23	the drawing, when it was when the airport

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2004\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20081604.txt [11/16/2010\ 2:14:20\ PM]$

- 24 layout plan was done for some reason thought
- 25 Indian Bend was the Araquay plat line, when indeed

1	it follows the creek line along here (indicating).
2	And we want to make just make you aware of
3	the discrepancy there, because it's likely at some
4	point to be, you know, a discussion item moving
5	forward, and we just want to make sure you know
6	that that the that the textual version
7	supports the entirety of Araquay Park, not just to
8	Indian Bend Road.
9	And with that, I'm going to let Mark Arnold
10	with Rogers Towers review the resolution and
11	answer specific questions to that. We did omit,
12	and I think he provided you, if he wasn't going to
13	touch on it, a Exhibit A-2.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: 2?
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's a property de he's got
16	them here. He didn't actually give them out to
17	you. A-2, it's provided there's an Exhibit A-1
18	through 13 in the resolution. Not all of those
19	are included in in your packet. There were
20	several omitted because of the numbering scheme
21	that Mr. Arnold used included the original five

- 22 way back in the numbering, and as a result, some
- 23 of those had been acquired. So, they were not
- shown as exhibits.
- 25 Now, we had one that we have under contract

1	with the owner. However, it's got a title defect.
2	And the cleanest way to clean the title defect is
3	to go ahead and do the eminent domain action on
4	it, even though they're aware of it and aren't
5	contesting it. But by going through that motion,
6	it cleans any defects on the on the property
7	itself, if I'm saying that correctly.
8	As a result, A-2, which originally was not in
9	your exhibits, would be included and it's really
10	there just to clear the title defects. It's not
11	an owner has a problem with the sale issue.
12	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. To answer Ms. Green's
13	question earlier today, which would be least
14	costly, maybe the quiet title, if you work if
15	you win and filed it. However, from a time
16	standpoint, a quiet title action may take a lot
17	longer than including it in a condemnation action.
18	It's a friendly condemnation.
19	What you do is you publish if you're
20	unsure about the ownership, the Court can appoint

- 21 a guardian ad litem as far representation of any
- 22 unknown owners. It would allow the Authority to
- 23 go ahead and acquire title to the property through
- 24 a quick-take. You have title. You need to go
- 25 forward with your project. You can do so.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, it's an ownership title
2	defect, not a survey problem or anything.
3	MR. ARNOLD: No, it's an ownership. It goes
4	back into the '20s. And I've had this occur
5	before on similar condemnation cases, and it
6	really quite frankly, I always look at a
7	condemnation case, if there is any question about
8	title, I always recommend to the condemnors when I
9	represent them, go ahead and condemn the property,
10	do your publication, follow the statutory steps.
11	Because then you have clear title and you know you
12	have it. Because you've got an order of taking
13	where you've put the world on notice that you're
14	acquiring the property.
15	The resolution, as Mr. Wuellner said, adds
16	A-2 to it. The other parcels that are included
17	therein are the ones that we have sent out offers
18	on through certified mail, complying with Section

- 19 73.015, Florida Statutes. Those offers were
- 20 pursuant to your authority at the last board
- 21 meeting.
- 22 The resolution further provides that no
- 23 condemnation suit can be filed for at least eight
- 24 weeks after the effective date of this resolution.
- 25 That is in keeping with the board's direction, I

1	believe, to try to negotiate these sales out to
2	the extent possible, but it also allows
3	Mr. Wuellner and us, if we are not successful in
4	negotiating out the sales, to proceed in acquiring
5	the property.
6	I would anticipate you would not even be
7	filing a suit we're in August now probably
8	until November or December, in all likelihood.
9	I believe the rest of the resolution is
10	self-explanatory, including the and taking into
11	consideration the explanation that Mr. Wuellner
12	gave you as to the discrepancy between your
13	written 1995 update and some of the maps that were
14	therein. The 1995 update does definitely provide
15	that you are to acquire, for almost I think all
16	alternatives, the Araquay Park subdivision. Are
17	there any questions?

- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. Open up to public. Any
- 19 public comment or questions?
- 20 (No public comment.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, board comment
- 22 or questions of Mr. Arnold?
- 23 MR. GEORGE: Ms. Chairman?
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Is there a -- anywhere in here,

- 1 or have we heard a definition of what kind of
- 2 attempts you guys are going to go through in this
- 3 eight weeks?
- 4 I don't just want a letter sent out, you
- 5 know, to, you know, a person and his attorney, and
- 6 we sit back and don't do anything, and eight weeks
- 7 later we -- you know, we move on to the next
- 8 stage. So, what steps are you guys going to take
- 9 over the next eight weeks?
- 10 MR. ARNOLD: We've made an offer -- through
- 11 the Chair. We've made an offer, Mr. George.
- 12 After that, now once we get the return receipts
- 13 back, the ball in negotiations is really in the
- 14 other side's court to come back with a
- 15 counteroffer and more importantly a basis for that

16 counteroffer.

- 17 Our offer is based upon a valid market
- 18 appraisal of the property, plus 40 percent. I
- 19 don't usually recommend to a client that they bid
- 20 against themselves in these type negotiations.
- 21 If an owner comes to us and says, okay, we've
- 22 got comparable sales of property that this sale,
- that sale, those three sales, gives it to us, then
- 24 we will come to Mr. Wuellner and say, yes, we have
- 25 these sales; they look like they're valid sales,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

80

1 give them to our appraiser.

2	And then if we feel warranted, come back to
3	the board and we'll say we would like to extend
4	another offer to them, or here is their
5	counteroffer, and we think it's a good
6	counteroffer or it does not have a basis. But
7	yes, we would be making recommendations.
8	MR. GEORGE: That's good. I don't I don't
9	have a problem with that. What my concern is, is
10	that we send out this registered letter and we get
11	the return receipt, and then eight weeks later, it
12	just goes to court. I would like to have some
13	requirement on you that at three weeks before the
14	eight weeks is up and open, another registered

15 letter is sent to them, to inform them that the

16 board gave you direction, at eight weeks, if you

17 haven't gotten this resolved, that it must move

- 18 on.
- 19 So, if we don't want it to move on to the

20 legal matters, you know, just one more letter to

21 let the public -- or the people involved know

what's going on.

- 23 MR. ARNOLD: And that is within your
- 24 discretion. If that's what you wish for us to do,
- three weeks prior to filing any suit or prior to

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

81

- 1 preparing the suit packages for filing, we should
- 2 send a second letter. If we haven't heard from
- 3 anybody, or a particular property owner, that's
- 4 fine. You can put those requirements on us.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: With a notice of intent that
- 6 we are going to file.
- 7 MR. ARNOLD: Notice of intent that we are

8 going forward to file.

- 9 MR. GEORGE: In essence, you know --
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Following the resolution.
- 11 MR. GEORGE: Right. Following the
- 12 resolution, but notifying them of what the

- 13 resolution said.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
- 15 MR. CIRIELLO: I've got a question and a
- 16 statement. This assessed property value that you
- 17 feel that we're offering the people as fair, where
- 18 does that figure come from? Is that from the
- 19 taxing, or how does that -- is derived?
- 20 MR. ARNOLD: We engaged the -- we engaged the
- 21 services of Weigel-Veasey, a firm out of
- 22 Middleburg, to provide us an appraisal on each of
- the properties. Those values, we came to y'all in
- the June meeting with -- I believe it was the June
- 25 meeting -- came to you, said this is what the

- 1 values are, and you authorized to go 40 percent
- 2 over and above that amount as our initial offer to
- 3 these property owners.
- 4 So, it's not based upon the Property
- 5 Appraiser's assessed values of the property, but
- 6 rather an independent appraiser who I've worked
- 7 with, representing property owners, and has been
- 8 across the table with me representing condemning
- 9 authorities. So, they are a fee appraisal service
- 10 that we've engaged.
- 11 MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, what you're saying

12 is the appraised value, and then we're

13 authorized -- or we've authorized Mr. Wuellner to

14 offer 40 percent more than the appraised value so

15 we don't have to go into eminent domain and

16 everything. So, you're in effect saying that the

17 40 percent over and above the value is what we

18 think that these people's lives are worth living

19 in their homes for all these years.

20 That -- that doesn't make sense to me. I

21 think a person's life and value in their home is

22 worth more than 40 percent to them. And it's not

a matter of money to them, either; it's a matter

of decency.

25 So, I -- I know 40 percent over the appraised

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 value seems like a lot, but when you compare that
- 2 to a person's life, that's nothing.
- 3 MR. ARNOLD: Mr. Ciriello, my only comment is
- 4 when I represent property owners, I'd love you on
- 5 my jury. The law is such that you are -- you are
- 6 obligated under Article 10, Section 6 of the
- 7 Florida Constitution to pay them full
- 8 compensation. The factors that you are talking
- 9 about, a judge will specifically instruct a jury

10	to disregard in reaching what's full compensation.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I've said it before and
12	I'll say it from now till the day I die, that it's
13	a law, and that's what you go by. You're a
14	lawyer; the law is the law. It's a lousy law.
15	It's got so many loopholes in it. It's being
16	misused. It's not for what it was really
17	intended. And so, I'll never accept the idea
18	that, oh, it's a law; we're doing what the law
19	allows us to do. We're we're fine.
20	I'm sorry. I just can't feel any sympathy
21	for you in your job.
22	MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
24	MR. ARNOLD: You won't be the first nor the
25	last.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Mark, one more question.
- 2 MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: What I'm attempting to do is --
- 4 is to be as open with everything that we're doing
- 5 with the property owners as possible. I have a
- 6 question. Do we provide them a copy of that
- 7 appraisal?
- 8 MR. ARNOLD: Yes, sir.

9	MR. GEORGE:	Okay.
---	-------------	-------

- 10 MR. ARNOLD: If they request it, they are
- 11 entitled to a copy of that appraisal.
- 12 MR. GEORGE: If they request it.
- 13 MR. ARNOLD: Yeah.
- 14 MR. GEORGE: Do we tell them in the letter
- 15 that --
- 16 MR. ARNOLD: Yes, sir, we do.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine.
- 18 MR. ARNOLD: That letter outlines the
- 19 requirements of Section 73.015, and in addition,
- 20 provides them a copy of that statute.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And all of these are
- 23 predicates to filing the suit.
- 24 MR. ARNOLD: That is correct.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: They're all required by the

- 1 statute. Any further board discussion?
- 2 (No further board discussion.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain a board motion
- 4 with regards to the resolution.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Yes. I opened my mouth first,
- 6 so I make a motion that we approve this draft

7	resolution as written, with the added instructions
8	to Staff that three weeks prior to the expiration
9	of the eight weeks, which is identified in Section
10	1, that at that three-week time period, we do
11	another registered letter identifying what happens
12	at the eight weeks excuse me. Scratch that
13	last part.
14	Notifying them that the board had approved
15	eight weeks after this resolution as the time,
16	that if negotiations have not been cleared, it
17	will be taken to the next level, which it will be,
18	just to keep them informed.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: In other words, at the eight
20	week, we will we have recommended that the
21	board's recommended that the public assess the
22	we will go through acquiring condemnation.
23	MR. GEORGE: Exactly. Right. As specified
24	in Section 2, right.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: And -- and you don't mean --
- 2 by just clarifying. In lieu of registered -- you
- 3 keep using that term -- you're all right with
- 4 certified return receipt?
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Whatever we are using to

6	communicate with them, but it has to be
7	registered, certified, or something to get
8	receipts back.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Just
10	MR. GEORGE: Just trying to be as open as I
11	can.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second on th
13	motion? Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Burnett?
14	MR. BURNETT: If I might, very quickly.
15	And and I hate to make things worse.
16	MR. GEORGE: No. Go ahead.
17	MR. BURNETT: Your your motion is to
18	approve the resolution as drafted; however, you
19	want a direction you want to direct Staff that
20	three weeks prior to filing any suit for for an
21	action, pursuant to the resolution, that an
22	additional notice be sent to the property owner
23	informing them that in three weeks, a lawsuit will

- be filed. 24
- MR. GEORGE: Exactly. 25

on the

- 1 MR. COX: Second.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
- 3 discussion?

4 (No	further	board	discussion.)
+ (UPIL,	Turtuici	obaru	uiscussion.

5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion,

6 say aye.

- 7 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- 9 MR. COX: Aye.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- 11 MR. CIRIELLO: No.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion carries 3 to 1.
- 13 7.D. FBO LEASE
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next agenda item is the FBO

15 lease.

- 16 MR. WUELLNER: Thought it might be quicker to
- 17 go this route, but I can see it's -- then I'll
- 18 blow right through it; watch me.
- 19 All right. Next item is the long-awaited FBO
- 20 lease codification. That included all the
- 21 provisions in the 2000 Memo of Understanding that
- 22 was executed by the Authority with Aero Sport.
- 23 You have -- we provided you a copy of that
- 24 codified lease agreement, which brings all of the
- 25 old lease agreements and amendments that have been

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 placed against it, too, as well as all the new
- 2 facilities, into a single lease form.

3	The only missing piece of this, just to
4	inform you, is something that neither party's
5	really contesting, but we're waiting the arrival
6	of the actual metes and bounds survey that
7	describes the ground lease portion of this. And
8	the it really doesn't change anything other
9	than it adds an exhibit or the guts of an exhibit
10	that's provided for already in the in the lease
11	agreement.
12	The lease agreement, as per the 2000 MOU,
13	extends the lease term from its execution out 20
14	years. So, the lease term begins anew at 20 years
15	from the execution of the lease amendment, or
16	approval by the Authority, which is effectively
17	the same thing.
18	Otherwise, it it has rolled in all of the
19	old lease agreements combined with the new, and
20	as as you can tell, generates at its conclusion
21	approximately \$94-, almost \$95,000 in leasehold
22	revenue, which is in round numbers approximately
23	between \$90- and \$100,000 of new revenue by adding
24	the facilities over there annually. So when
25	you factor in what they were paying on the

1	existing FBO for today's facility versus adding
2	the new facilities in there.
3	MR. GEORGE: Say that again?
4	MR. WUELLNER: The original lease provided,
5	approximately, I want to say it was \$6 no, it
6	was more than that. It was about \$65-, \$70,000,
7	I'd have to resurrect the number.
8	MR. GEORGE: That's fine. Okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: But generically, \$65,000
10	revenue annually is what the FBO generated under
11	the old lease agreements.
12	By adding the new facilities, that's the
13	offices, the hangars and the like, it now will
14	generate a total of \$190-, almost \$195,000 a year.
15	So, the construction of that facility improved the
16	overall lease position with the FBO relative to
17	the Authority.
18	We've provided for the first time actual
19	exhibits showing property that's specifically
20	leased by the FBO, which was something that had
21	been lacking as as actual exhibits, just
22	depicting what was going on in the FBO leasehold.
23	So, those are now described much more fully in a
24	series of exhibits, A through actually L, with M,
25	Exhibit M being the rent calculation.

1	And now with this, also one of the key goals
2	we had entering into the lease negotiations was a
3	desire by the Authority to ascribe some values per
4	square foot to the old lease portions, which
5	Exhibit M does, in agreement with with Aero
6	Sport. That way, in the future, when we have to
7	modify the lease, which is an eventuality someday,
8	when you have to subtract facilities and/or add
9	new facilities, there's a basis for that
10	subtraction of old facilities, which was something
11	we did not have in place when we had to remove the
12	old T-hangars that were in the FBO area back four
13	years ago now.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public discussion?
15	Public comment?
16	(No public comment.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board comment?
18	MR. COX: Question.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, Mr. Cox?
20	MR. COX: Ed, just define, as a question,
21	what's the auxiliary aircraft parking area, is
22	that
23	MR. WUELLNER: The paver apron?
24	MR. COX: Okay.
25	MR. WUELLNER: It's referred to as paver

91

1

apron.

	-
2	MR. COX: Thanks.
3	MR. WUELLNER: There's a side what I
4	didn't mention was there there will be a couple
5	of side agreements, one of which, and probably
6	most importantly, relates to the fuel facility.
7	That will be a stand-alone as it is now, a
8	stand-alone agreement. And it's we've had some
9	tentative discussions on how to do that.
10	Mr. Burnett has developed a base agreement from
11	which we'll negotiate further and refine that and
12	bring that back. It will be its own stand-alone
13	agreement and require ratification by you folks.
14	But we need to get through how we're going to
15	move to the next level relative to fueling on the
16	airport and where to place that and the
17	appropriate sizing of fuel facilities and the
18	like.
19	And Aero Sport and and the Authority need
20	to work through that before we present something.
21	And it was frankly going to slow down this
22	agreement so much that it that it made sense to
23	continue as a stand-alone agreement.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you asking for board

1	MR. WUELLNER: Also, the Hertz agreement, the
2	counter agreement, all those auxiliary spaces in
3	the terminal remain stand-alone agreements so that
4	there's maximum flexibility beyond the base FBO
5	lease. I'm sorry.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine. I just what
7	kind of action are you asking from the board?
8	MR. WUELLNER: It's ready for approval. As I
9	said, it's consistent with the MOU, as well as the
10	old original lease. It serves more as a
11	ratification or a codification of the old
12	agreements and incorporation of the new
13	facilities.
14	Once it's approved by you, it will go to
15	signatures and will likely become effective the
16	first of September.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
18	MR. GEORGE: Referring to Exhibit M, we've
19	got the last three items, "FBO Administrative
20	Office, Attached Maintenance Shop." There's
21	"Hangar Space," 9600 square feet, "Corporate
22	Hangar Space."
23	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.

- 24 MR. GEORGE: So, this is stuff that Aero
- 25 Sport constructed at their cost.

93

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. What are we charging them
- 3 for the land that it's sitting on?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: It's included in the land,
- 5 "Ground Lease Space," the origin -- it's up a

6 little further where it says "Original Leasehold

7 Area." In fact, two lines above it.

8 MR. GEORGE: "Aircraft Storage Hangar Space."

9 MR. WUELLNER: The ground value is four cents

10 a square foot.

- 11 MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Okay. What kind of increases,

14 CPI or what?

- 15 MR. WUELLNER: CPI.
- 16 MR. BURNETT: Additionally, every five years,
- 17 you're able to review it for a market adjustment
- 18 if for some reason the CPI wasn't consistent with

19 where the actual market price is.

- 20 MR. GEORGE: Is that defined --
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's up --

- 22 MR. GEORGE: -- how that will be done five
- 23 years from now?
- 24 MR. BURNETT: That is -- it's in -- I just
- had my finger on it.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Begins on page 11.
2	MR. GEORGE: That's okay. But there is a
3	there is a definition of how we define the market
4	adjustment.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
6	MR. GEORGE: So, it's not going to be left to
7	the for us to talk about it for four years
8	and
9	MR. BURNETT: That's true. It's on page 12,
10	the second full paragraph.
11	MR. GEORGE: All right.
12	MR. WUELLNER: "percentile change in the
13	Consumer Price Index - All Urban Consumers"
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay. What has been done
15	this we're now getting to the lease. What has
16	been done about the use of the facilities that
17	they've had up to this point?
18	MR. WUELLNER: They're included now.
19	MR. GEORGE: That's rolled into there.
20	MR. WUELLNER: If you notice, go back to your

21 Exhibit M.

- 22 MR. GEORGE: I'm here.
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Space is called -- under
- 24 "Leasehold A" --
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: -- areas referred to as "FBO
- 2 Restrooms/Kitchen Area; Pilot Briefing/Planning
- 3 Room; Pilot Lounge & Restroom; Conference Room,"
- 4 are all space that heretofore has not been
- 5 included in their leasehold.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 7 MR. BURNETT: And -- and much of that space
- 8 is space that had been maintained by -- by the
- 9 Authority, which now that responsibility is
- 10 transferring to the FBO.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: It becomes their leasehold.
- 12 MR. GEORGE: Okay. This includes the shade
- 13 hangar that's there now?
- 14 MR. WUELLNER: The shade hangar?
- 15 MR. GEORGE: Have they been using it?
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: The shade hangar is this -- in
- 17 the "Leasehold E," is referred to as a
- 18 Shade/Canopy Hangar" --

- 19 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 20 MR. WUELLNER: -- and is at the rate that was
- 21 provided in the MOU.

1

- 22 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, that rate would then
- 23 go back to the time that they started using it and
- 24 would be applied to a rent, or would it start now?

of tentatively agreed to not begin that till

25 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, we've -- we've kind

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

2	September
3	MR. GEORGE: Fine. That's fine. No problem.
4	MR. WUELLNER: as part of the
5	inconvenience that they've endured for many years
6	here.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay. When we took the hangar,
8	the adjustments that we made to their rent when we
9	took their hangar that they were leasing out,
10	that's mute that's an old moot point cause this
11	is all
12	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
13	MR. GEORGE: brand new and
14	MR. WUELLNER: It all washes that out.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right. Who's taking
16	care of the fuel farm? That's been a complaint,
17	isn't that

- 18 MR. WUELLNER: That's the subject of the fuel
- 19 farm lease. That will be stand-alone.
- 20 MR. GEORGE: Stand-alone. Okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That and the Hertz.
- 22 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Well, Hertz actually
- has an agreement that runs a couple of more years,
- I believe. Well, Aero Sport's lease on behalf of
- 25 Hertz runs a couple of more years.

97

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Have you done -- if I look at
- 2 the original cost -- I keep asking questions. But
- 3 the original cost of \$70,000 per year, original
- 4 revenue to us, and with the new facilities, it
- 5 goes to \$195-. That's an increase of \$125-. Have
- 6 you applied that \$125- to the cost of those new

7 facilities to come up with an ROI?

- 8 MR. WUELLNER: I think we did that about five
- 9 or six months ago and presented it to you and
- 10 there was an ROI of about 6 and -- 6.3 percent,

11 something like that.

- 12 MR. GEORGE: Right. Right.
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: I don't recall it exactly, but
- 14 we did it. I want to say it was April or May.
- 15 MR. GEORGE: Well, with the interest rates

- 16 being 2 percent or something like that, 6 is good.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox, any comments?
- 18 MR. COX: No comments.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
- 20 MR. CIRIELLO: (Shakes head.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain a motion with
- regards to the lease agreement. Joe?
- 23 MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make the motion.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the motion is to
- 25 accept --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

MR. CIRIELLO: To accept Staff's	
recommendation for the FBO lease.	
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any seconds?	
MR. GEORGE: I second that.	
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?	
Mr. Burnett, discussion?	
MR. BURNETT: I know we've opened it up for	
public comment, but I guess since Mr. Slingluff's	
here, he's or any other representative that	
might be here on behalf of the FBO, I have I	
want to make sure that there's no objection to the	
way this is being done or anything that's left out	
in the fact that the fuel farm is going to be	
subject to another lease.	

15 MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah. I think the fuel f	arm,
--	------

16 we'll need to review the provisions in the fuel

17 farm and how the retained money is disbursed

18 that's been collected over the years for either

19 rehab of the fuel farm or relocation of the fuel

20 farm.

21 And that system can stand alone, as long as

22 it's consistent with the MOU and there's no

23 further economic impact.

24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion from

the board?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

99

- 1 (No further board discussion.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion,

3 say aye.

- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.

7 MR. COX: Aye.

- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- 9 (No opposition.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the

11 motion carries.

12 7.E. - MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING - AERO GROUP

- 13 MR. WUELLNER: The last item I have is
- 14 memo -- proposed Memorandum of Understanding with
- 15 Aero Group, Inc. This would provide for two
- 16 specific leaseholds or development of two specific
- 17 leaseholds, one of which is the buildout of the
- 18 second-floor terminal that -- that y'all approved
- 19 us to kind of move forward with last month. And I
- 20 mentioned that we'd bring back some, you know,
- 21 agreement, thinking, anyway, to you this month.
- I would approximate 2,800 square foot, that
- to be specifically determined with the engineer
- drawings, but it's approximately 2,800 square
- foot. Would rent that at a rate of \$12 per square

- 1 foot. It's basically a one-year rental agreement
- 2 with renewables up to a total of five years.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Annual renewals?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Annual renewals. This -- just
- 5 so you know, this -- this group intends or would
- 6 like to lease long-term. That's why it's
- 7 structured short-term. Would like to lease
- 8 long-term in its own facility to be constructed by
- 9 the Authority.
- 10 However, we need to all get comfortable with
- 11 the scope of what they're -- what they're

12	proposing and and their financial ability to
13	perform. And in a way to test that water, for
14	lack of better terms, is to bring them in a
15	short-term very structured agreement and
16	arrangement with the Authority and let's evaluate
17	that. And, in fact, this agreement in later
18	sections provides for a continual evaluation of
19	that performance within the context of those
20	leases.
21	And and if it doesn't if it is not
22	going to work out or is not working out, that, you
23	know, we we simply elect not to enter into a
24	long-term lease and negotiations for a new
25	facility. And those would be subject of further

101

1 agreements.

- 2 The second piece of property that we're
- 3 talking about leasing or proposing to lease -- the

4 rental rate on that, I think I mentioned, was \$12

5 a square foot.

- 6 The second piece of property is 425 Hawkeye
- 7 View, which is also known as Hangar 4, which is

8 also known by most of you as the original SK

9 Logistics leasehold over by -- it's in the

10	eastside corporate area. It's about an 8,200
11	square foot facility and would be leased to them
12	for their use again on the same kind of terms at a
13	\$5 per year fee. So, it's leased at a commercial
14	rate versus a corporate rate out of the blocks.
15	They also have some space that they would be
16	at this point agreeing to lease from Aero Sport,
17	and including the fueling, would be accomplished
18	at this point by Aero Sport. They would lease
19	part of the paver apron, if not all of the paver
20	apron that that you mentioned earlier, Bob, as
21	well as some additional hangar space in the FBO
22	area.
23	And at and as I said, they would we
24	would agree with them at a staff level on an
25	operating profile in and out of here, and would

- 1 also -- they would also agree to six-month reviews
- 2 pertaining to their operation and -- and
- 3 performance of the lease provisions and to their
- 4 financial health. And they'll need to establish a
- 5 track record with us before we'd enter into
- 6 substantive negotiations for a permanent facility
- 7 here.
- 8 And it would be our recommendation that you

9	approve the MOU, and which, just for the record,
10	would authorize us executing a one-year lease with
11	them with options for both the office space when
12	it becomes available, as well as the SK hangar.
13	So, you won't get a separate lease action later
14	on.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? I'm sorry.
16	Oh, yeah. Sorry.
17	MR. RODERICK: Who are they and what do they
18	do?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Aero Aero Group is a
20	does flight training.
21	MR. RODERICK: Flight training?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
23	MR. HICKOX: Through the Chair, Mr. Wuellner,
24	how does this differ from what Embry-Riddle wanted
25	to do?

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: This is very low operations.
- 2 It amounts to, at least in its initial phase, a
- 3 total of eight operations maximum per day, and all
- 4 flight activity is actually conducted over
- 5 restricted air space controlled by the military in
- 6 the central part of the state, as well as off the

7	coast.	It's a	very	low-level	activity.
---	--------	--------	------	-----------	-----------

/	coast. It's a very low-level activity.
8	MR. BURNETT: If I could add one one
9	follow-up to that. This also am I correct that
10	this also requires very little buildout at its
11	initial stage for the airport?
12	MR. WUELLNER: Simply the office space on the
13	second floor, which
14	MR. BURNETT: Was going to be built out
15	anyways.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That was my question.
17	There's nothing with the hangar. It's
18	MR. WUELLNER: No, sir no, ma'am.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's okay. Any other
20	public comment?
21	(No further public comment.)
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Board comment? Mr. George?
23	MR. GEORGE: Five dollar a foot based on our
24	most recent hangar construction, what's the ROI on
25	it?

- MR. WUELLNER: Actually, it performed at 4 at
- about 8 1/2 percent when we did the most recent
- lease of that space. So, it's, I'm going to guess
- over 10?
- MR. GEORGE: Well, that's my key thing, is

- 6 getting the proper return.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: I didn't do it again because

8 we had just done it three months ago for a tenant

9 who --

- 10 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- ultimately did not occupy.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's as-is.
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that's as-is.
- 14 MR. GEORGE: Yes. I already got that.
- 15 MR. WUELLNER: That's as-is.
- 16 MR. GEORGE: Sorry, guys; I'm just watching
- 17 the -- the money. What kind of employees are they
- 18 bringing into the community?
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Initially, about 25.
- 20 Potential is over 200.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
- 22 (No further board comment.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'll entertain a motion with
- regards to the MOI (sic).
- 25 MR. COX: Recommend that we approve Staff's

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 proposal for the MO --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: -- U.
- 3 MR. COX: -- U.

4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or U. Excuse me.					
5	MR. COX: For this lease.					
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?					
7	MR. CIRIELLO: (Indicating.)					
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe Ciriello seconds. Any					
9	further board discussion for the MOU and the					
10	motion?					
11	(No further discussion.)					
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, all of those					
13	in favor of the motion to accept the MOU as					
14	presented by Staff, say aye.					
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.					
16	MR. GEORGE: Aye.					
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.					
18	MR. COX: Aye.					
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?					
20	(No opposition.)					
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the					
22	motion carries.					
23	8.A MS. SUZANNE GREEN					
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Next agenda item					
25	would be comments from the Authority members. I					
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST					

NG - AUGUST 16, 2004 U GU

- have a brief one. 1
- 2 I had asked Staff to prepare a little packet

3	for the board members to take home. It's that
4	time of year where we have to do an evaluation of
5	our Executive Director's performance and salary
6	review. Actually, it's a little past that time.
7	So, I'd like the board members to take it
8	home and fill it out. And we've gone through and
9	kind of separated out performances, quality,
10	productivity, job knowledge, et cetera. So, I'll
11	pass these out. And if you could return them
12	Ed, return them to me?
13	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry?
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The job review, do they go
15	back to me?
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, to you.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, to me. You can send
18	them to my office, if you want, or you can put
19	them in a sealed envelope. I can pick them up
20	here on my way to and from court.
21	MR. WUELLNER: They do eventually need to
22	make it down here for record purposes.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Joe? (Tendering.)
24	Christine, can I have you send Mr. Gorman
25	his the evaluation? Thank you.

1	And that's great. That's all I have. Thank
2	you for helping out with the review. Joe, any
3	comments?
4	8.B MR. JOSEPH CIRIELLO
5	MR. CIRIELLO: No report.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
7	8.C MR. WAYNE GEORGE
8	MR. GEORGE: Yes. Give me the microphone and
9	I go, right? I'm sorry I missed last last
10	month's meeting. I spent a lot of time going
11	through the the transcript of it. And I think
12	you guys got a lot accomplished. A couple of
13	things that I would like to just comment on just
14	for the record.
15	The decision we made on the T-hangar rates to
16	postpone decision for a year, I don't think
17	we're we're fulfilling our fiduciary
18	relationship or responsibility to the taxpayers
19	when you consider that we were higher than Palatka
20	and Ormond, but less than Craig and the rest of
21	them. I think that any little token increase
22	would have shown or put a better foot forward to
23	the public that we are trying to maximize the
24	revenue coming in, even if it's \$10,000 a year.
25	If we were all running our own business and

1	we had that, I think we would have done something
2	like that. That's water over the damn.
3	Item number 2. Ed, I'd like to compliment
4	you publicly on your "off the tax rolls," you
5	know, "analysis." I think it was outstanding. I
6	thought I like the way you documented all of
7	the assumptions and everything.
8	And a couple of comments to individual board
9	members. Joe, I think we will get off the tax
10	rolls if we continue with the positive attitude
11	that we have. And and I would hope that Jack
12	would not tie our hands by, once we come up with,
13	you know, a plan that says we can do it seven,
14	then to come back and say, "How can we do it at
15	five?"
16	And and I think that that also goes into
17	looking at the rolled-back rate that we do, you
18	know, with our taxes this this year. I would
19	hate to cut it back a little bit each year and
20	then extend it out to 10 you know, 10 years or
21	12 years. If we can have a plan, you know, that
22	does it in seven, that's great.
23	To ensure that we have that plan, I would
24	like to be on the agenda for the next board
25	meeting to discuss the possibility of making it a

1	requirement on the Staff that every budget cycle				
2	has an update to this plan so that we, the				
3	public you know, we and the public have a				
4	continuing feeling of what that target date is and				
5	we don't lose visibility to it.				
6	And my last note was that the 2003-04 millage				
7	was not the rolled-back, as I recalled it.				
8	MR. WUELLNER: Right.				
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?				
10	8.D MR. BOB COX				
11	MR. COX: I want to commend Ed and the staff,				
12	the rest of the staff and folks at Aero Sport and				
13	Southeast Aero, everybody at the training				
14	facilities on this field for the tremendous job we				
15	did in in not allowing any of the aircraft or				
16	anything else be compromised during this bad storm				
17	we just had. Because I think everybody really				
18	pitched in and did a good job getting all the				
19	airplanes off the field in the hangars. So,				
20	applause to you guys. That's all I have.				
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think they were tired of				
22	hearing from me three times Friday.				
23	MR. COX: Pardon me?				
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think I called the office				

three times Friday --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

MR. COX: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: "Are we okay?" Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: We hadn't thought about it
until you called.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I'm sure.
MR. COX: Oh.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's a back-handed
compliment somewhere.
MR. COX: Oops, we better get some airplanes
in.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any last public comment?
Yes, ma'am.
9 PUBLIC COMMENT
MS. McELROY: Do I have to go over there?
CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, if you're loud enough,
you can stay here.
MS. McELROY: You can hear me? Okay. As a
member of Araquay Park, I would like to let you
know that we did receive our offers. And when we
finished laughing, we got very angry. These
offers are a slap in the face and an insult to all
our intelligence.
A study was undertaken of people who had sued

- 24 doctors or medical facilities for damages. They
- 25 discovered a high number of these people would not

1	have sued had they been treated with dignity,					
2	respect, and understanding. I think that same					
3	principle applies in this situation.					
4	So, in an offer of cooperation, this board					
5	might like a little project for this next coming					
6	month. Go out and find one acre of property with					
7	at least 200 feet on the marsh, with a creek					
8	running that runs along the edge. This					
9	property also needs a very large concrete					
10	reinforced house and a large garage area. All					
11	this for \$567,000. Good luck.					
12	When you find this property, we can					
13	negotiate. In the meantime, consider this: Our					
14	research into land shows that property has and					
15	materials have skyrocketed. To save time, on					
16	property, it the property values begin at a					
17	high hundred thousand to a million for marsh					
18	property.					
19	Realty, business rumors are that Mr. Stokes,					
20	over on the other side, will be selling his					
21	property on the marsh for \$600,000 and up for a					

- third of an acre. And you remember, I have an
- acre. And on these will be million dollars homes.
- 24 And what is a million dollars home these
- 25 days? A million dollar home, I went up and I've

112

1	been looking around at all of these houses that						
2	are going to be million dollar houses. You know						
3	what they are? They would have been crushed in						
4	this last hurricane. That's what they are. They						
5	are studs and particle board and siding. And						
6	maybe the people put in marble or whatever on the						
7	inside, I don't know, but that's what your million						
8	dollar home is. Mine is a concrete block house,						
9	and so what is it? I unless things change and						
10	we get some more realistic values for our						
11	property, we're going to be going to court.						
12	And I'll have to tell you that my husband						
13	tells me that our lawyer is like a dog who's had a						
14	bone floated in front of his face. When you						
15	mention court, his eyes light up, and his tail						
16	wags and his body moves. And now, I haven't seen						
17	this, but he's a very honest man, and so I think						
18	that this man likes to go to court.						
19	The prices that you that you say are						

realistic are ridiculous. You cannot go out and

21 build a concrete house for \$500,000 the size of my

22 house. You want to drive by and see the marsh on

23 your way out, go by my house. But you won't find

- 24 one anyplace else.
- 25 We're getting to be like the pink diamond or

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 something. There is no marsh-front property where
- 2 you can walk out and put your foot in a boat, like
- 3 you can at my house. So, don't tell me
- 4 500-and-whatever, 67,000. The person who
- 5 appraised the property must live inland, is all I
- 6 have to say.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
- 8 (No further public comment.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just have one comment. I
- 10 encourage everybody, as we said and Mr. George
- 11 rightly brought up with that, have been sent the
- 12 letters in Araquay Park please respond accordingly
- 13 to the attorneys and who sent the letter so we
- 14 have the feedback. I appreciate you bringing it
- 15 before the board, but I don't want you to miss out
- 16 on the right venue, which I'm sure you're doing.
- 17 MS. McELROY: I'm not.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just to make sure that it

- 19 all gets communicated to the right channels.
- 20 10. NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay, our next agenda item
- is our board meeting next month. It is September
- 23 20. The board meeting's at 4:00. We will -- if
- 24 we have not finished Authority business, we will
- stop and commence our first budget public hearing.

1	If there's any board meeting, Authority
2	meeting business after that, we'll recommence it
3	after the budget hearing. So, I'm sure the board
4	understands that. But for the public, we'll start
5	at 4:00. We'll stop at 5:00 basically for the
6	budget hearing. All right?
7	MR. WUELLNER: You need to be aware of the
8	second public hearing. The date being held for
9	you is the following Monday, the 27th, beginning
10	at 5:01. It's a week later. Everything's a week
11	skewed because of delay in certification of the
12	tax rolls.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, we're trying to do
14	that that will be at 5:00 also, correct?
15	MR. WUELLNER: You can't have it before 5:00.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
17	MR. WUELLNER: And you already have on your

18	schedules	for the	13th	of September	You can
----	-----------	---------	------	--------------	---------

19 remove that.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: That was originally going to
- 22 be your first public hearing.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, we are the 20th and the
- 24 27th.
- 25 MR. CIRIELLO: Cancel the 13th.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Cancel the 13th, but add the
- 2 27th.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. So, our next
- 4 date is the 20th. I just want to wish anybody --
- 5 I know we have public people who are running in
- 6 the primaries. Best of luck to everybody. And
- 7 we'll be back in September.
- 8 (Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 6:01 p.m.)
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 1.0
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	16			
19 20 21 22 23	17			
20 21 22 23	18			
21 22 23	19			
22 23	20			
23	21			
	22			
24	23			
	24			

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - AUGUST 16, 2004

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	
12	Dated this 31st day of August, 2004.
13	
14	

15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224
16	Expires: April 30, 2006
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	