ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY 1 2 **Regular Meeting** 3 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North 4 St. Augustine, Florida 5 on Monday, November 15, 2010 6 from 4:04 p.m. to 6:23 p.m. 7 8 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 9 WAYNE GEORGE JOHN "JACK" GORMAN 10 **KELLY BARRERA**, Chairman CARL YOUMAN 11 JAMES WERTER, Secretary-Treasurer 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, St. Johns Law Group, 509 Anastasia Boulevard, St. Augustine, FL, 32080, Attorney 15 for Airport Authority. 16 EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. 17 BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director. 18 19 20 21 JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR St. Augustine Court Reporters 22 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084 23 (904) 825-0570

24

1	I N D E X	
2	PAGE	
3	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
4	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	3
5	FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANC	CE 3
6	AGENDA APPROVAL	3
7	MEMBER COMMITTEE REPORTS	5
8	REPORTS	17
9	PROJECT UPDATES	40
10	ACTION ITEMS	
	A. Bird Island Park Presentation B. Discussion of Park Developmen	
	OLD BUSINESS	104
	HOUSEKEEPING	112
	PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL	119
	AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS	119
	NEXT BOARD MEETING	125
17 18	ADJOURNMENT	125
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

n	5
4	J

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you all for coming.
3	We'd like to call the meeting of the
4	St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority
5	into session. If we could have everybody rise for
6	the Pledge of Allegiance.
7	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
8	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. Have a have
10	all the board members had the opportunity to review
11	the minutes of our last board meeting? Do we have
12	any additions, deletions to the minutes?
13	(None.)
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Hearing none, the minutes
15	will stand as approved.
16	FINANCIAL REPORT
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Financial report
18	acceptance, Jim?
19	MR. WERTER: I've reviewed it over the past
20	couple of times. Everything's in order and seems
21	okay. Checks are signed and in the mail.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Our financial report
23	will stand as approved.
24	AGENDA APPROVAL

25 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Meeting agenda approval.

1	Do we have any additions, deletions, or revisions
2	to the meeting agenda? Carl?
3	MR. YOUMAN: Is Congressman Mica's letter
4	that came out, I believe it came out to everybody
5	about the hello, hello. Am I on the air?
6	MR. GEORGE: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: You are.
8	MR. GEORGE: Turn it off.
9	MR. YOUMAN: Congressman Mica sent out a
10	letter, at least it came from me as a board member,
11	about the TSA from whenever we require the TSA
12	to be here, there's a privatized program, and he
13	would like to have the airport and board look at it
14	when the time comes.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we want to add that to
16	the housekeeping items then for today to discuss
17	that?
18	MR. YOUMAN: Whatever's appropriate.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think that would be the
20	best spot. So let's add that to the housekeeping
21	items for discussion. Do we have any further
22	additions or deletions to the agenda?
23	(None.)
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Then the agenda will stand

1	COMMITTEE REPORTS
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: On to committee reports.
3	TPO, Carl?
4	MR. YOUMAN: TPO, I'm on the legislative
5	support committee, I guess you'd call it, and I
6	made some copies of their recommendations for
7	everybody just to look at. It's not been approved
8	by the TPO. I didn't bring enough copies.
9	MR. GEORGE: All right. I'll pass.
10	MR. YOUMAN: You can show it around. I
11	thought I had a few more. Here's a couple more.
12	These have not been approved by the TPO, but
13	they're recommended for consideration for the TPO's
14	requested legislative gifts from the Tallahassee
15	people, what it amounts to.
16	There was a selection of a vendor for a
17	commuter services program. There was discussion on
18	that because there's no local vendors. It's one of
19	those types of things that it's so specialized,
20	that there's only about four or five in the country
21	that do this, and it's matching riders to the
22	commuter system.
23	There was FDOT request amending the four-year
24	2011 through 2015 Transportation TIP Program that

25 was approved. I won't go into the detail unless

1	somebody wants to have me go into it.
2	And there was a resolution passed supporting
3	the strategic multimodal system designation of
4	Cecil Field's Spaceport. And this is just to
5	enable them to proceed with whatever they need to
6	do to establish the Spaceport out there. And the
7	North Florida Freight, Logistics, and Intermodal
8	Plan Kickoff. And everything else was fairly basic
9	after that.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Very interesting. Thank
11	you. Jim?
12	MR. WERTER: Can I ask one? What do they mean
13	by Spaceport?
14	MR. YOUMAN: It's going to be a designated
15	landing and takeoff for vertical, not horizontal
16	spacecraft for private concerns going out in space
17	and research.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Go ahead, Jack. Do you
19	have a
20	MR. GORMAN: Actually it's horizontal, not
21	vertical. The plan, I find it amusing sorry.
22	It is that they have to take this these
23	spacecraft off, they have to fly them horizontally,
24	and then when they get to a certain area over the

1	MR. WERTER: Oh. Kind of like the Virgin
2	Atlantic
3	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
4	MR. WERTER: Oh, okay.
5	MR. YOUMAN: That's what it's
6	MR. WERTER: Oh.
7	MR. YOUMAN: part of.
8	MR. GORMAN: It just seems a bit different.
9	Not sure how practical it is.
10	MR. YOUMAN: But it's private money, not
11	government.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Before we go any further,
13	let me take a moment to welcome both Joe Ciriello
14	and Bob Cox to the board and to the table with us.
15	And both of you please feel free for any
16	discussion. Of course voting will be limited to
17	the current board that's seated, but your
18	participation is welcome.
19	MR. COX: Thank you.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Thanks.
21	MR. YOUMAN: I have one more thing I'd like to
22	mention.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly.
24	MR. YOUMAN: There was a memorandum that the

25 planning director gave to Jeff Sheffield, the

8

1	executive director. And one of the things of
2	interest, it said if the Republicans win since
3	they won, this would be of interest Congressman
4	Mica will chair the transportation and
5	infrastructure committee. He plans to draft a
6	transportation bill that is built from the ground
7	up and based on a national strategic plan similar
8	to Florida's SIS.
9	Congressman Mica also wants to streamline
10	project delivery, stabilize the Highway Trust Fund,
11	and adequately fund a national infrastructure bank.
12	He doesn't believe it is sufficiently funded the
13	way it has been proposed. He invites stakeholder
14	input. And if there's no transportation bill by
15	August, it's unlikely to have a bill until 2013
16	after the 2012 presidential election. I just
17	thought that would be of interest.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly. Okay. Hearing
19	nothing else on the TPO subject, EDC, Jim?
20	MR. WERTER: EDC, the last meeting a few weeks
21	ago I missed because of a legal emergency in the
22	office, but caught up with Nick Sacia and really it
23	was a discussion about Amendment 4 regarding master
24	plan approval going to the general public. But

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

1	that's all for EDC.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Great.
3	Intergovernmental?
4	MR. GEORGE: There was a meeting last week and
5	I didn't make it for Jack, so sorry about that.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Did we have anybody
7	from the airport attend?
8	(No reply.)
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: What about from staff?
10	MR. GEORGE: There was a big mixup on where
11	the meeting was going to be, which meeting room,
12	and I'm not sure that many people if any attended.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: What can we do from a staff
14	perspective to try to get that so that we don't
15	have those gaps?
16	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we need we need to
17	hear from you if you're not going to the meetings.
18	I mean, that's first and foremost. We can't help
19	solve it till then.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: With our could we send
21	out a confirmation e-mail to both the board member
22	and also to the different group of the date, the
23	time, and the locations so that we can send a staff
24	person in a board member's absence?

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

1	MR. GEORGE: Kelly, this was a matter of Jack
2	followed protocol and got with Cindy or Ed and they
3	went out, you know, "Can anybody attend?" And I
4	said I could attend, but I just didn't make it,
5	so
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Right. Well, if if a
7	board member's not able to make it, though, we want
8	to be able to get a staff person in their place so
9	that there is somebody at least who can report
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: from the Airport's
12	standpoint so that we'll know what's been
13	discussed.
13 14	discussed. It's not a matter of you know, we all have
14	It's not a matter of you know, we all have
14 15	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this
14 15 16	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this board will understand that, as Jim just spoke about
14 15 16 17	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this board will understand that, as Jim just spoke about with the EDC. But we want to be able to to try
14 15 16 17 18	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this board will understand that, as Jim just spoke about with the EDC. But we want to be able to to try to get representation if at all possible. And if
14 15 16 17 18 19	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this board will understand that, as Jim just spoke about with the EDC. But we want to be able to to try to get representation if at all possible. And if it's not from a board standpoint, from a staff
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this board will understand that, as Jim just spoke about with the EDC. But we want to be able to to try to get representation if at all possible. And if it's not from a board standpoint, from a staff standpoint. Jack?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's not a matter of you know, we all have emergencies, and certainly I think anybody on this board will understand that, as Jim just spoke about with the EDC. But we want to be able to to try to get representation if at all possible. And if it's not from a board standpoint, from a staff standpoint. Jack? MR. GORMAN: I'm going to take the liberty to

25 I mean, it's not an excuse for the airport

1	here, because but it's it is we are not
2	the only entity that does not attend these things.
3	And I just find that disconcerting, because I've
4	been to several where I was the representative from
5	the airport and there were there were at least a
6	third or more of the people missing. And it's just
7	these people in government, they get in fiefdoms
8	and they just don't care. They're not interested
9	in really interacting; they just do their own
10	thing.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That is concerning.
12	MR. GORMAN: That's my own editorial. Sorry.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That's concerning. Any
14	further discussion on this?
15	(None.)
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Let's move on to the AMTRAK
17	item.
18	MR. YOUMAN: Let me see. Okay. I'm back on.
19	AMTRAK is a moot issue at this point, as it
20	was not funded and just the high speed rail was
21	funded, as I understand. So everything's on a hold
22	status right now. And Ed is recommending that we
23	push forward a a commuter side, and I tend to
24	agree with him.

1	that was at JTA, and it's nothing do with the
2	board, but I mentioned it while I was there, that
3	we are still pursuing the commuter side of the
4	issue, and they were very receptive to that.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Wonderful. Jack?
6	MR. GORMAN: I also think that this board, and
7	I won't be on here, should try to pursue the other
8	segments of the intermodal capability. In other
9	words, of course my background and interest would
10	be in the in the intracoastal and the dredging
11	of the area to allow access for barges to be able
12	to bring in fuel for aircraft.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think this board's
14	already decided that intermodal is a priority, and
15	I think everybody has communicated that, both from
16	a staff perspective and from a board perspective.
17	Buzz, do you have any information as far I
18	know from the AMTRAK's aspect we're not pursuing
19	the legislative, but do you have any thoughts on
20	that from intermodal?
21	MR. GEORGE: At the last meeting, we talked
22	about setting up a support group so that we could
23	start bombarding this, that, and the other. And
24	with the latest tran correspondence that came

hold.

2	So to set up a support group now and not have
3	it appear again for four years, I think it's a
4	little ridiculous. I talked to Ed about trying to
5	crisply summarize some of the PR, you know,
6	handouts that we had so that if and when it comes
7	up, we'll have some good talking points there. But
8	that's what we've done.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: But that probably won't
10	affect the commuter rail aspect of it and the
11	intermodal from from being able to bring in
12	ships to occasionally come in. And obviously it
13	wouldn't be highly frequent. Would that be worth
14	asking Buzz to pursue from legislative contacts?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I I'm not what sure what
16	legislatively there is to be done. I it would
17	seem to me that we probably just need to focus on
18	not only building our own plan relative to the
19	intermodal, but probably more importantly we can go
20	ahead and continue outreach to DOT, to JTA, to the
21	FIND district, to all of the entities that have
22	some jurisdiction or even funding and and
23	continue to pursue opportunities there.
24	Because I I think one of the next things

that would be helpful for us is to revisit the

1	intermodal plan that was done ten years ago, update
2	that. As I said, put the new data in it, look at
3	developing options that are on the table.
4	A lot has changed just at the airport, let
5	alone the other modes and how those interact. A
6	lot's changed in ten years. And a fresh look at
7	that would revitalize the ammunition, if you will,
8	in support of intermodal.
9	It would also get perhaps get a better
10	community buy-in or a larger community scale buy-in
11	because you'd have time to do that education
12	without the pressure of upcoming funding of a
13	particular mode. You can be doing that ahead of
14	those decisions.
15	So I think it it's not a time to let down
16	the guard or go to sleep on the topic completely,
17	but now it but now we have some we have a
18	little bit of breathing room to really get the
19	proverbial ducks in a row and have a well
20	thought-out well-developed plan complete, not only
21	how it would develop, but the funding, the elements
22	that would be served, all of those kinds of things.
23	How it would be managed. How it would be
24	you know, where the costs were and the revenues,

25 those kinds of things. It would give us the

1	opportunity to do that without the pressure of this
2	has you know, this has got to be running in 13
3	months. We don't we've got that time right now.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we think we could do
5	that at the December 13th meeting
6	MR. WUELLNER: Well
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: or is that too soon?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I mean, we could talk
9	through the elements we want to look at, but I
10	think the next in a in a financial step would be
11	to pursue some intermodal planning money, which I
12	think would be fairly easy to get from DOT, in
13	support of having that looked at.
14	And then of course that plan would be
15	developed interactively, somewhat similar to the
16	master plan not nearly that scope but
17	somewhat interactively with board members and the
18	public so that, you know, the opportunity to kind
19	of get get us all on the same page of what
20	what we mean when we say intermodal intermodal
21	center, get those kind of things vetted now.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Great. So we'll take a
23	first a first look at that in December on the
24	13th, and then look at ways to pursue it further.

25 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: December 13th. Carl?
2	MR. YOUMAN: It would appear to me at this
3	point in time, too, that a lot of things would be
4	on hold or standstill till they select another
5	Secretary of Transportation, because that's
6	that's up now, too.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. She has announced she
8	will not continue.
9	MR. YOUMAN: Oh, she did?
10	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, she did just last week, I
11	believe.
12	MR. YOUMAN: Oh, I didn't hear that.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I was surprised, too.
14	But that that's somewhat typical. It doesn't
15	mean she won't. What it does mean is that as is
16	always the case when a new governor comes in,
17	senior staff submits resignations and it's up to
18	his discretion whether he continues with those
19	individuals or fills it with other people. So
20	that's kind of classic. So I you know, she said
21	that. Doesn't necessarily mean anything yet. And
22	it's usually serve till replaced in those offices.
23	MR. YOUMAN: Uh-huh.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Let's move on to the

25 Aerospace Academy. Do we have a report on that?

1	MR. YOUMAN: Nothing to report. There hasn't
2	been any meetings.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Thank you.
4	REPORTS
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: On to reports.
6	Mr. Sanchez?
7	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	I would first like to congratulate the two two
9	new members that will be joining you I guess after
10	the first of the year.
11	There's a lot of things going on, but it's not
12	anything really major at this point with the
13	county. We we're moving along with our
14	business. And the delegation meetings, state
15	delegation will be December 10th in our auditorium
16	at 9 o'clock. And that that would be you
17	know, anyone who wants to come in and state their
18	request or whatever, I think you need to get on the
19	agenda.
20	But we will have the same list, mostly
21	transportation items that are there. Except we
22	have added the asking the legislators to abolish
23	the Mosquito Control District and turn it over to
24	the county.

25 That is a local bill, and that's something

1	they can decide themselves, and then it goes to
2	the the entire legislature in Tallahassee. But
3	someone said that's very seldom ever of any
4	importance to the rest of the people because it's
5	just a local bill. So usually it's it's
6	approved if the level delegation approves it.
7	So nothing will change with the Mosquito
8	Control if we do take it over. It will stay exact
9	same way. You will see the patches on the sleeves
10	change from Mosquito Control to St. Johns County.
11	We can save an additional up to half a million
12	dollars or better.
13	And that is a county-wide service. It's not
14	like the airport in any way, shape or form. And
15	you know, the airport is has already become
16	self-sustaining, so you have other ways of income.
17	They have none. They have to charge the taxpayers
18	for their service.
19	And that's why with all the questions asked
20	about that, we really felt like that should be
21	under county control, because we I don't know
22	about the rest of you, I got tired of hearing about
23	the helicopter purchase and back and forth about
24	ten times. And then they did the same thing with

1	talked about. The in reality, the helicopters
2	are still being talked about. So, maybe we can put
3	an end to all that.
4	And just for your own sake information-wise,
5	there are plenty of companies one of them has
6	mailed me information. We have no intention of
7	changing anything with Mosquito Control right now,
8	but there are companies that are actually doing
9	seven or eight different states running mosquito
10	control in different areas. And I've gotten their
11	folder and I'll tell you what, I am very impressed,
12	because right on the front says "You can't do it
13	cheaper than we can." So keep that in mind.
14	Anyway, that's about it, unless anyone has any
15	questions.
16	By the way, there is a lot of information out
17	there on the administrator's contract. Believe me,
18	99.9 percent of it is not true what is out there.
19	One person kind of got that started with a letter
20	and then someone else picked up on it and now
21	everyone in the county is, you know, wanting to
22	complain and raise cane about it. And what they're
23	wanting to complain about never happened. So I
24	don't know, but anyway, we'll take care of that,

too. Any questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Ron, from from the
2	legislative delegation that's going to leave on
3	December 10th, but if our board would like to
4	pursue transportation, intermodal transportation,
5	what would we need to get to you or to that
6	legislation?
7	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Well, you would need to
8	send a representative. You could come yourself and
9	present it on behalf of the airport. I I don't
10	know exactly.
11	I think you would need to call into you
12	could call into any one of the offices and ask them
13	how you can get on the agenda, but I think you need
14	to do that so they'll have you listed. And then
15	they will allow public comment also. So you could
16	even come in under that. But it's best if someone
17	from your board or yourselves can make a
18	presentation, and then that would be a lot better
19	for them to hear that.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Is that something that the
21	rest of the board members would like to pursue,
22	trying to get in on the agenda for the legislative
23	delegation to Tallahassee? Carl?
24	MR. YOUMAN: Will you be making the

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think that's open for
2	discussion, whether it would be myself, Ed, or
3	another board member.
4	MR. GEORGE: Well, I think the chairman of the
5	board would carry a little influence. I think it
6	would be a good idea that you did do that.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I certainly certainly
8	would if requested.
9	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: If she does it as well
10	as she did at the one meeting, I'll be standing up
11	cheering for her.
12	MR. YOUMAN: I'm sorry.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you.
14	MR. YOUMAN: We were talking over each other.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. We can
16	certainly do that. If the board thinks that that
17	would be a priority, to ask the legislation the
18	legislative delegation to carry forth that to
19	Tallahassee, I'd be glad to present and have Ed
20	there with me.
21	MR. YOUMAN: Yeah. I was not picked up, so
22	I'll say what I want to say again. Is that you did
23	such a fine job with Mr. Mica's group, that I think
24	you well represented us. That's my opinion.

25 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Carl.

1	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I agree with that
2	totally.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. Thank you both.
4	Then we'll I'll have Ed follow up with the
5	county to try to get that ball rolling.
6	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay. And I do have to
7	slip out of here in just a little while. I'm
8	sorry, but it's kind of my last day as chairman
9	and, you know, I have there's a lot of things I
10	have to the get done and signed today because after
11	today, I'm not chairman anymore. So anyway, thank
12	you very much.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Ron.
14	MR. COX: Thanks, Ron.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Mr. Slingluff?
16	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Mr. Nehring?
18	MR. NEHRING: We have nothing.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Harry?
20	MR. RUHSAM: I'm going to be is this on?
21	Is it working? Okay. Not too much to report. We
22	did have our World War II dinner dance October
23	30th. Had a great turnout. Somewhere over 120
24	people.

25 Next up for us, we have the slate for the 2011

1	officers. We'll be voting on them in December to
2	take over first of the year. And we have a
3	Christmas party, I think it's December 3rd, the
4	first Friday, right next door here. Thanks. Any
5	questions? Yes, sir.
6	MR. YOUMAN: What time does it start?
7	MR. RUHSAM: Reba, do you know?
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: 7:30.
9	MS. LUDLOW: Do you think it's 7:30?
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I know it is.
11	MS. LUDLOW: Oh, 7:30.
12	MR. RUHSAM: 7:30. The Chairman knows.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Harry, also could you
14	mention the air show that's being planned?
15	MR. RUHSAM: Okay. I wish we had Craig in
16	here, but Memorial weekend, we're planning an air
17	show. A lot of the SAAPA members are helping Craig
18	Fordham put that together. There's a number of
19	sponsors that are involved, and hopefully with the
20	major involvement with everybody in the community,
21	aviation community, we'll have a pretty good impact
22	on our image to the public with that show. And
23	there'll be more information on that. In fact, we
24	could have Craig come speak before the board at

some point if you'd like.

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly. I think that
2	would be great.
3	MR. RUHSAM: Thanks.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Harry.
5	Mr. Burnett?
6	MR. BURNETT: Two quick things to report on.
7	One is we filed a couple of now hangar eviction
8	cases. That's a routine thing. I'm just bringing
9	it to your attention. Considering the market,
10	we've tried to work with folks, your staff has as
11	much as possible.
12	Sometimes they're pledging assets in order to
13	get a little more time to bring their themselves
14	current, and if they don't bring themselves
15	current, then they pay with the asset. To make a
16	long story short, we've had to file a couple of
17	evictions. It's something that goes on from time
18	to time.
19	If anybody has any questions specifically
20	about what's going on, feel free to ask. You can
21	always call me. But it's what I would consider
22	pretty routine stuff. When you've got as many
23	hangars as you have, you're always going to have
24	someone that doesn't pay and someone that you wind

1	of doing	business.
---	----------	-----------

2	The added thing that I'd have to report on is
3	Hangar 10, you recall this is the one with the
4	HydroSwing door that had its issue and to date is
5	still not occupied. We've gotten we had what I
6	would call pretty big meeting of all the players,
7	and they left to talk to their engineers.
8	And you've got a function of the the
9	builder, the company that manufactures the
10	building, and the company that manufactures the
11	door all had representatives there. They all had
12	independently their engineers look at the
13	situation. We've gotten some response back from
14	the door manufacturer on Friday. I can tell you
15	I I don't like the response, but I don't know
16	how much I don't like the response yet because in
17	part we rely on Andrew Holesko and his team to tell
18	us what the significance of it is.
19	So, to make a long story short, I may have
20	some some requests from you next month as far as
21	direction if we cannot get it wrapped up. We've
22	been trying to wrap this up. The Hangar 10's been
23	sitting there.
24	We finally now have an idea of what the

1	we're going to do our best to persuade the players
2	to fix it. And if not, then I'll have to come back
3	and seek your direction to file suit against them
4	to force them to give the taxpayers what it is the
5	Airport Authority paid for.
6	So that's that's where it's at right now.
7	And I we've gotten good progress, but we're
8	still not quite there, is the best I can tell you.
9	And it's been a few months since I reported on
10	this, so I figured I'd bring it up. Just so you
11	know it's still out there, we're pushing as hard as
12	we can.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Doug, we haven't received
14	income off of that as this has been going on. Is
15	there any opportunity to make us whole as far as
15 16	there any opportunity to make us whole as far as that's concerned?
16	that's concerned?
16 17	that's concerned? MR. BURNETT: Well, I think certainly if we
16 17 18	that's concerned? MR. BURNETT: Well, I think certainly if we wind up in a situation where they don't fix the
16 17 18 19	that's concerned? MR. BURNETT: Well, I think certainly if we wind up in a situation where they don't fix the hangar and get it working, that would certainly be
16 17 18 19 20	that's concerned? MR. BURNETT: Well, I think certainly if we wind up in a situation where they don't fix the hangar and get it working, that would certainly be something the Airport adds as a damage factor in a
16 17 18 19 20 21	that's concerned? MR. BURNETT: Well, I think certainly if we wind up in a situation where they don't fix the hangar and get it working, that would certainly be something the Airport adds as a damage factor in a lawsuit. Without question, I think that is

1 Ed, just guess	1	Ed,	just	guess
------------------	---	-----	------	-------

2	MR. WUELLNER: It's about a year or so. It's
3	got to about \$30,000.
4	MR. GORMAN: About \$30,000. That's
5	considerable. Now, what would it cost us to fix
6	it, getting all of these people that are pointing
7	at one another out of the loop? Just, you know,
8	get an engineer in there to fix it. You know, just
9	there's another guess on Ed. I mean, I'm now
10	I'm putting you in a you know, in a pickle, you
11	know, but, you know, guess.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I I kind of hesitate
13	to answer that because part of the issue has been
14	trying to come up with exactly what is the problem.
15	It's a structural-related issue when they matched
16	the door to the building. They're two different
17	manufacturers. And there there's a weakness and
18	some bending of the structural door frame material
19	when it gets that weight of the whole door up on
20	the hydraulic pistons.
21	So, I mean, yeah, you can throw enough metal
22	at it and certainly make it stiff, but the issue
23	for us has been not only finding a repair that's
24	satisfactory, but also getting the warranty to

1	MR. GORMAN: Right. Warranty and the lack of
2	liability.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. Because if that door
4	collapses, it is it would launch you through the
5	back wall.
6	MR. GORMAN: So this could go on forever,
7	though, at this rate.
8	MR. WUELLNER: No. I I think we're really
9	close to either being, you know, drawing the
10	line's pretty much in the sand at this point. It's
11	either fixed or we just move ahead with getting our
12	money in other ways.
13	MR. GORMAN: All right. Thank you.
14	MR. GEORGE: Is there a door out there that
15	will work or a company that says they have a door
16	that will work?
17	MR. WUELLNER: It well, yeah, there are
18	solutions out there.
19	MR. BURNETT: And the add one point to
20	that. The added thing is at the end of the day,
21	someone else needs to sign that structurally the
22	structure is sound
23	MR. GORMAN: Integrity.
24	MR. BURNETT: and it will work and those

25 things. So that's -- until then, you don't have

1	the Airport and you don't have your staff
2	recommending to you to accept the completion of
3	the of what's there.
4	MS. LUDLOW: We cannot hear Doug.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: If I could get everybody to
6	move their mics a little bit closer to where
7	they're talking so that the recordings can get
8	picked up. Carl?
9	MR. YOUMAN: I know this is hindsight, but are
10	there lessons to be learned from this so that this
11	type of incident won't occur in the future, or is
12	it something that
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah, it's been going on
14	long enough that it's already been the
15	methodology's been fixed in the next two projects,
16	one being the T-hangars and the other is this
17	this building immediately next to us.
18	We had a feeling that the issue is knowing
19	that they're two different manufacturers, that it
20	requires a lot of oversight by engineers and the
21	contractor to make sure that the two integrate
22	flawlessly in the building. And there's plenty of
23	places for it to fall down. And I don't mean
24	literally, but I mean the process.

1	and are you know, follow through now completely
2	on making sure that we have something that
3	indicates the door manufacturer and the building
4	manufacturer and the contractor all understand that
5	those those systems have to be integrated and
6	acceptable to us at the end of the day.
7	It's easy to buy the parts, but it's like
8	buying a door off a Ford and a car off a Chevy and
9	hoping the two match when you shut it. You know,
10	somewhere along the line, you had to make sure the
11	opening fit the door or the door fit the opening,
12	your choice.
13	And a lot of it to be fair, a lot of the
14	door manufacturers don't make hangars. In fact,
15	most of them don't. And most of the hangar
16	manufacturers don't make doors, other than the
17	classic rolling-type T-hangar door, which is not a
18	desirable product down here.
19	MR. BURNETT: With that, that's all I have to
20	report. I just wanted to make you aware that it
21	was still out there as an issue.
22	MR. WERTER: Doug, how close are we to
23	settling that with the two manufacturers? Just how
24	

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

5 MR. BURNETT: You know, I think the Airport

31

1	Authority has a lot of leverage in the situation.
2	And I'll tell you, and this will go back to
3	answering why why I think we'll get there, is
4	it's no secret we can the Airport Authority in
5	its bid documents can specify a product or not
6	specify a product.
7	And if the door manufacturer has a very very
8	unique door system that's proprietary intellectual
9	property to that door manufacturer, then if you
10	specify that that door can't be used on this
11	airport in your bid documents, then that
12	manufacturer's going to have a problem in the
13	future.
14	(Mr. Gorman leaves the room.)
15	MR. BURNETT: It's going to lose a lot of
16	revenue. And unlike some other areas, this
17	airport's building things. So, there's a lot of
18	leverage the airport has in trying to bring this to
19	a resolution beyond just the basic usual type
20	construction completion
21	MR. WERTER: In other words, future marketing
22	is what you're using as leverage.
23	MR. BURNETT: That, and the current present

issues that it has, yes.

 $file:///S/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2010\%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt [11/29/2010 \ 9:19:57 \ AM]$

25 MR. WERTER: And the -- the possibilities of a

32

1	third-party repair?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, it it exists.
3	MR. WERTER: Because if we're losing how
4	much a month are we losing?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Well
6	MR. YOUMAN: \$30,000.
7	MR. WUELLNER: It's \$30,000 a year. Whatever
8	that
9	MR. WERTER: And if they're dragging their
10	feet an unreasonable amount of time, couldn't we
11	go we're mitigating damages by having the third
12	party repair it and we go after them for the repair
13	and the lost income from them dragging their feet.
14	MR. BURNETT: Unless the repair is something
15	such as removing the door system
16	(Mr. Gorman enters the room.)
17	MR. BURNETT: and replacing the door system
18	with a door system that a door manufacturer can
19	certify will work from an engineering standpoint.
20	And then you get into a hundred thousand dollars.
21	Is that rough ballpark number? It's a huge number.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's probably not that
23	high, but it it's a big number. It's in the
24	50,000 range, every bit of it.

file:///SI/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 MR. BURNETT: Then I don't want the -- well,

1	anyways.
2	MR. WERTER: Go for it, say it.
3	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, there as every story,
4	there's more to it than that, so
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Thank you, Doug.
6	Like to invite Mr. Zimmerman up to talk about the
7	IDC.
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. Good afternoon. The
9	last Industrial Development Authority meeting, we
10	discussed the train station in particular and
11	after Ed came and gave his presentation to us. And
12	for obvious reasons, we are taking a neutral
13	position.
14	But, however, it was quite a lot of discussion
15	about the intermodal concept. And we hope that
16	sometime we'd be able to do something to help it
17	along, either with bonds or some other way, because
18	it is very very it's very interesting to a lot
19	of people.
20	An aside, I was at the last intergovernmental
21	meeting. One of the five, I think, six people who
22	were there, and it was it was downstairs, in the
23	conference room downstairs. Something else was
24	going on up here, I think. And it's it was a

25 very short meeting and most of it was taken up by a

1	city commissioner who was reporting on things that
2	were going on in the city. And some of it was a
3	rehash of other things, like the DOT person who was
4	there.
5	Which brings me to think this has nothing
6	do with the IDA now; this is me. The IDA person
7	has a list of road projects that I'm sure you've
8	seen at the IDA the TPC (sic) meetings, several
9	pages long about road jobs in the county. And it's
10	what's happening now, plus what's going to be next,
11	and what's after that, and what's
12	And at the very end of the last page, which is
13	something that's in the next millennium, is the
14	extension of 312, which is becomes 313, comes
15	out here. And I this is just me, now. Wouldn't
16	that be a big advantage to the airport to have that
17	done sooner rather than later? And it's
18	literally, it's not even it's not even in the
19	plan yet. It's not even talked about. It's not
20	even so, that's something I thought about.
21	MR. YOUMAN: May
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN: But you're absolutely right
23	about I'm with what are there? There are 19
24	members, I think, on the on the

1	MR. GORMAN: It's the
2	MR. GEORGE: Something like that.
3	MR. GORMAN: The attendance is very poor
4	MR. ZIMMERMAN: It is.
5	MR. GORMAN: which is poignant to me. It
6	seems it should be very important, and yet they're
7	not coming.
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Anyway.
9	MR. YOUMAN: May I?
10	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
12	MR. YOUMAN: May I comment on the 313?
13	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah.
14	MR. YOUMAN: The way I understand it, 313 is
15	not on the board because there's a lot of private
16	funds that are going to be involved in making 313 a
17	reality through this section.
18	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes.
19	MR. YOUMAN: 312/313 itself between U.S. 1 and
20	16, that is in the stages of there's monies
21	allocated over the next few years to buy roadway.
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah. Again, I'm
23	MR. YOUMAN: There's no there's no federal
24	funds or even inklings of it till this till it's

25 determined how much the private is going to be.

1	MR. ZIMMERMAN: That's right. I'm just
2	thinking in terms of, at least it pops into my mind
3	because of intermodal, another form of
4	transportation directly to the airport. That's all
5	I'm thinking of.
6	MR. YOUMAN: I would agree that we should
7	probably contact the
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Start lobbying for it, I would
9	think.
10	MR. YOUMAN: What is it, Palencia?
11	MR. WUELLNER: No. It's Cordova.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Cordova.
13	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Cordova, yeah.
14	MR. YOUMAN: And find out what the status of
15	everything is, because just for curiosity sake, is
16	this going to drag out for another ten years?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I don't think I don't
18	think they're planning to do anything with it for
19	another couple of years. They rushed through the
20	land changes ahead of the risk of hometown
21	democracy.
22	MR. YOUMAN: Right.
23	MR. WUELLNER: And then I think their intent
24	was to sit on it for a while till it becomes
25 financially viable.

1	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?
3	MR. GORMAN: The truth is, is that the
4	location and design and engineering of 312/313 is
5	critical to the airport's use of the property that
6	the airport owns to the west.
7	We can't do a 10-year plan that's proper until
8	we get the exact location of 312 or 313. Until we
9	get that location, then we can't do our own land
10	planning as an airport to be able to then actually
11	flesh out where you put the intermodal, where you
12	put any kind of industrial park, where you put
13	anything. And so that whole thing holds everything
14	up.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
16	MR. GORMAN: It holds the 10-year plan, it
17	holds everything up.
18	MR. WUELLNER: You're exactly right. And I'm
19	hoping that by the time we hit the next master plan
20	cycle, which is, you know, about a two-year time
21	frame from here, that the the crystal ball if
22	you will gets a little clearer.
23	MR. YOUMAN: Can we actually, though, contact
24	Cordova, just ask them what their current

25 MR. WUELLNER: Sure.

1	MR. YOUMAN: status is?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. I mean, they just
3	wrapped up the what do they call it?
4	MR. BURNETT: Development order.
5	MR. WUELLNER: DRI RDI.
6	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. They have a development
7	order for their DRI which will tell them how long
8	they have. It sets time frames for how long they
9	have to do something.
10	The key is, is before they can develop the
11	land, they're going to have to obviously get all of
12	the permitting. But what you'll see come up at the
13	county level is the PUD rezoning. And there hasn't
14	been any PUD rezoning that I and I'm looking to
15	see if Ron Sanchez is still here, but he's gone
16	the PUD rezoning, until that happens, then really
17	know that they're sitting not doing anything. If
18	they haven't made application for it, then it's
19	really sitting there.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. All they've done so far
21	is comprehensive plan adjustments for it, which
22	will make zoning easy, relatively easy.
23	MR. GORMAN: In your best guess or anybody's
24	best guess, how long do you think you've actually

1 Take a wild gue	ss.
-------------------	-----

2	MR. WUELLNER: My guess is approximately two
3	years after the economy starts recovering
4	MR. GEORGE: The next millennium.
5	MR. WUELLNER: is when it will start.
6	MR. YOUMAN: After the next millennium.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. When you look at the
8	surplus of residential units and all of the pieces
9	and parts and industrial property and all that goes
10	with the development, until you see some kind of
11	recovery, they're not going to go spend the
12	millions, tens of millions on infrastructure
13	development and the like ahead of having some idea
14	of when to get money back.
15	MR. GORMAN: So unless you could push this
16	road through on a federal level, it's not going to
17	get done for several years.
18	MR. WUELLNER: State or federal, yeah.
19	MR. GORMAN: Well
20	MR. WUELLNER: And it can it's still the
21	numbers right now, it's going to be pretty tough
22	to get. And, you know, as you guys that have sat
23	on the TPO over time know, I mean, to get it state
24	

25 project. Probably ten.

1	MR. GORMAN: I was actually told kind of
2	taken aside and told that unless we federalize this
3	thing, you couldn't fast-track it. You really need
4	to federalize it. It would have to
5	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you and it's going to
6	have to be a local priority even to get off the
7	off the center because there's limited funding.
8	And both those those setups, federal or state,
9	it's going to have to be among the top priority
10	projects to even get advanced. It's just going to
11	keep getting carried forward at this point.
12	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We'll move on to the
14	air traffic count.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I'm happy to report
16	another strong month of air traffic-related
17	activity characterized by the red red bar on the
18	bar chart there. The last two bars are an
19	extension of the October data. So I think you get
20	a feel for a strong year at this point.
21	I even with runway closures at night and
22	all, the numbers were extremely strong through
23	October. We're actually up about 20 what is it,

25 29 percent month over the same month last year.

41

1	And I think collectively we're still over 20
2	percent increase over the previous year.
3	If you look back, two bars back in that graph
4	is the two-year-ago data, and you can see that the
5	growth or at least the traffic operations data
6	looks like it will probably exceed all the way back
7	to at least 2007 kind of data. So we're having an
8	extremely strong year in terms of takeoffs and
9	landings.
10	That's not nothing about that's bad, but
11	the when you look at what kind of traffic is
12	showing up at the airport, you know, it's not a
13	high dollar generator kind of numbers in the
14	operations. It's flight training kind of activity
15	that will skew skew the total very high, but
16	it's not been a recovery that we've seen of
17	substance related to jet-related traffic at this
18	point. It's still very, very, very slow and very
19	deliberate at this point. But light GA actually
20	has stayed reasonably reasonably good the last
21	few years.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay.
23	MR. GEORGE: Is that 29 percent? Have you

24 would you double-check that?

1	MR. GEORGE: The bar just does not look like
2	it's 29 percent. That's close to a third.
3	MR. WUELLNER: My guess is the it's 29 I
4	don't know.
5	MR. GEORGE: Whatever.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we will look at it.
7	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
8	MR. WUELLNER: It does seem quite high.
9	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I noticed Vic looking at
10	it and he was getting his pen out over there.
11	MR. WUELLNER: He's probably got the right
12	number already.
13	MR. MARTINELLI: It looks like 6 or 8 percent,
14	looking at the graph.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
16	MR. GEORGE: We've got a different chart over
17	here.
18	MR. WUELLNER: I can't pull it up at this
19	point, but I will
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: If you want to go ahead and
21	go on to the runway rehab.
22	MR. WUELLNER: The what? Runway. 13/31
23	project continues full steam ahead. The last few
24	days starting Thursday last week, the overnight

1	mill what they can repave each night, which is
2	effectively one almost length of the runway.
3	They've done the southern about 1800 feet
4	actually to just north of the intersection of 6/24.
5	And they've also done the northern 1,000 feet plus
6	the blast pad area. So the area between this is
7	a pretty round estimate here, but between Alpha
8	or, excuse me, Bravo 1 and 6/24 or Bravo 4, if you
9	prefer, that area is done pretty much one pull per
10	night of paving activity.
11	The runway closes at 9 p.m. They begin the
12	milling operations. They follow right behind it
13	putting down two inches right after they mill it.
14	So by morning at 7 o'clock when the runway is
15	reopened, the that section of pavement has been
16	replaced and whatever marketings were essential
17	during that period have been replaced.
18	So it's we estimate at this point schedule
19	holds, they should be completed with nearly all
20	paving efforts by Tuesday of next week. They'll
21	have a bunch of marking to do, and they'll begin
22	the efforts of toward installing the centerline,
23	actually putting the light fixtures in.
24	Keep in mind all of the underground work for

1	come back and core the actual location and place
2	the fixture and epoxy that in. That will happen
3	afterwards as will the grooving effort that will go
4	down on the runway after that.
5	So you're looking still about mid December is
6	the best guess right now for completion of that
7	project and being and they'll do a final
8	pavement marking again at after the runway's
9	been grooved so that we have everything left
10	just just the way it ought to be at that point.
11	But runway edge lighting has been replaced.
12	It's now fully operational. So nights when they do
13	not pave, runway lights are available. They're
14	also available up till 9 o'clock at night, or we
15	don't really need them this time of year after 7
16	a.m., but as of ten days ago, you did. So anyway,
17	the lighting's back up and functional. And shortly
18	we'll add add centerline lighting to that mix,
19	too.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Bob?
21	MR. COX: Just a Ed, just a question, more
22	of a technical side. How is this supposed to
23	affect the ILS parameters and all as part of
24	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, none.

1	it would improve our ability to come in.
2	MR. WUELLNER: The net effect is we reclaim
3	the four foot lost in the surveying change.
4	MR. COX: Okay.
5	MR. WUELLNER: So at the end of the day, the
6	airport reestablishes its 8,000 feet of pavement.
7	In addition to that, there is the safety not
8	safety area. Blast pad extensions on both end,
9	which I believe is about a 200 x 500 foot paved
10	area.
11	You probably recall we've had a long history
12	of erosion issues on the off the edge of the
13	runways from people just from engine blast and
14	the like. So that's now been stabilized. That's
15	not usable for takeoffs or landings. It's simply
16	there to keep dirt from leaving. Those all of
17	those things are in place now. So that the net
18	effect is you're going to have it won't affect
19	the runway length for purposes of the ILS or
20	MR. COX: I won't lower the minimums or
21	anything? We won't be able to realize
22	MR. WUELLNER: The runway work will not. At
23	the completion of approach lighting
24	MR. COX: The lights, yeah.

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 MR. WUELLNER: -- which is a next-year

1	project, that will likely be tied funding-wise to
2	Taxiway Bravo's last piece down there.
3	MR. COX: Okay.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Once that's in place, you will
5	see the ultimate reduction down to 200 feet from
6	250.
7	MR. COX: Oh, good. Very good. Great.
8	MR. WUELLNER: That's about all we can do with
9	it.
10	Okay. ARFF facility, that should will
11	begin construction Monday following Thanksgiving.
12	So you're two weeks from today. That will begin
13	construction out there. And that's approximately a
14	six-month contract period, so that puts it out
15	right about the end of May. So it should be
16	occupied about then.
17	As a reminder, that was a 97 1/2 percent FAA
18	project, and it was also paid for by the last of
19	the \$1 million entitlement grants from Skybus
20	service. So, just keep your keep your eye on
21	that bouncing ball. That's the last of the Skybus
22	money if you will from a federal grant standpoint
23	for at least a year or two.
24	Safety area project. 13/31, I'm pleased to

1	now of final pieces to the puzzle to allow that
2	construction to begin. I think we're down to
3	receipt of an easement document from the State and
4	we're also waiting on the letter authorization from
5	Corps of Engineers, which I understand is already
6	in process. So it's not an issue of whether we'll
7	get it; it's just a question of when it shows up.
8	That's it.
9	All other permits are in place for that work.
10	So, beginning in I expect construction to start
11	in December on that project. And that's a I
12	believe a it's at least a six-month contract
13	period in the job.
14	Sustainability, Mariben's here. Would you
15	like to
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I would like to have
17	Mariben come up to the microphone and talk to us
18	about the sustainability plan. I think we may have
19	a few questions for you, as well.
20	MS. ANDERSEN: We had a meeting with staff
21	regarding sustainability initiatives. So we ran
22	through with Bryan, Kevin, and Cindy regarding
23	initiatives pretty much, you know, going through
24	the process. Our next meeting with them is

25 December the 16th.

1	The sustainability presentation was done at
2	the FAC environmental conference the first week of
3	November. And of course Bryan did a wonderful job.
4	He was up there with Patrick Magnotta from FAA, who
5	is the program manager for the sustainability.
6	Other than that, we're just proceeding and going
7	through the metrics. Do you have any questions?
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Mariben, as a part of the
9	sustainability project, I know that you're looking
10	at revenue generation. And I wanted to find out if
11	you were looking at some of the properties that we
12	have and the revenue-generation possibilities with
13	some of those?
14	MS. ANDERSEN: That's an excellent question.
15	Yes, we are. As a matter of fact, one of the
16	what we call, we have current initiatives. Then we
17	have what we call future initiatives.
18	One of them is actually looking at the
19	property that you have on the other side of U.S. 19
20	(sic) for revenue generation, possibly silviculture
21	or agricultural uses that would not be a wildlife
22	issue, and but we have to coordinate that with
23	staff and of course coincide it with master
24	planning because there's a five-year window term

associated with those activities as part of

49

1 revenue.

2	Economics is the number one priority of the
3	sustainability management plan. So other than
4	that, we're looking at you know, you did a
5	wonderful job with your conference center,
6	multipurpose, multiuse building. So we're looking
7	at other combinations like that for revenue.
8	Other than that, the other things that we're
9	looking at is how to save money. One of the white
10	paper that we're requesting JU to do is actually
11	ways of rehabilitating existing buildings to
12	further minimize energy consumption.
13	A good example is Tallahassee airport needed
14	to replace their roof at that terminal, and they
15	found out that actually putting solar panels on the
16	landward side of their airport would cost just a
17	little bit more, but the savings and the energy
18	generated by that was significant for the energy
19	use of the building itself.
20	So we're looking at those types of initiatives
21	as an example. So the answer to your question is
22	yes, and those are the examples of what we're
23	looking at.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Now, Mariben, I know that

1	a contract from I think it was Trane to on
2	energy efficiency. Are you also looking at those
3	not only just ability to reduce, but also the
4	equipment and inside buildings that help function
5	to reduce the energy and reduce cost of those as
6	well?
7	MS. ANDERSEN: Yes, ma'am. S.A.G.A.,
8	Sustainable Alliance Guidance for sorry.
9	Sustainable Aviation Guidance Alliance actually is
10	continuing to update their data as it relates to
11	airports.
12	One of the initiatives that were objectives
13	that Bryan and I attended the Sustainable
14	Florida Conference, and there are things that they
15	do that are applicable to airports and things that
16	we do that are applicable to counties and cities.
17	So right now, we are exchanging information to
18	keep
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Great.
20	MS. ANDERSEN: up the initiative section.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Great. Do we have any
22	further board questions on this?
23	(None.)
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Mariben.

MS. ANDERSEN: Thank you.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Issues reporting. We had
2	one one notification of a low-flying aircraft
3	during the daytime. We were unable to track it to
4	the airport. It appears to be of non-airport
5	origin based on air traffic comptroller feedback on
6	that. So, that's really it. I the drawing we
7	provided or the sketch we provided shows the
8	location. It is more or less off the edge of the
9	airport, but it is it doesn't appear to have
10	been airport generated.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
12	MR. YOUMAN: I'd like to make a comment on
13	that. The military have been flying these
14	heavy-duty helicopters, and they've been coming
15	across very low and they've been very noisy in the
16	last 30 days. It's been I've just been curious
17	what they were doing. But it's military aircraft.
18	It has to be.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's a new fiscal year,
20	so there's money for training.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All right. Benchmarking.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Benchmarking. T-hangars are at
23	a hundred percent a hundred percent occupancy.
24	Corporate's at 80. I can tell you we have begun to

advertise for occupancy of those. We now have a

1	banner ad placed on our web site as and it's
2	also been distributed out through Facebook as of
3	today, trying to scare up some interest in those
4	2500s and 3000 square footers.
5	We have had a few people we did recontact
6	our list and we have also let them know about the
7	change in policy after last meeting about sharing
8	and the like. So, hopefully we'll see that
9	occupancy go up. Corporate hangars, 90 percent
10	again. The only missing piece of that is the
11	Hangar 10 that we discussed earlier. That
12	that's why that remains at 90.
13	You see jet fuel is still off, but you can see
14	self-service in terms of avgas is up substantially,
15	almost by a third, which kind of reflects the
16	scenario I was telling you relative to ops.
17	It's we're just not seeing the recovery of the
18	jet side at this point or this yet.
19	The customers the corporate side is still
20	flying very cautiously relative to the number of
21	trips and their use of aircraft at this point. And
22	a significant portion of of course the self-serve
23	is related to light GA or certainly avgas and
24	flight school-related activity, so

25 Which brings you to your first agenda item.

1	BIRD ISLAND PARK PRESENTATION
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Our first agenda
3	item, could we invite Ginny Simpson and Bryan
4	Cooper to come up? Like to talk about the Bird
5	Island Park presentation and discuss what was done
6	there and if it's something that we would be
7	interested in having at our airport. Bryan, Ginny?
8	MS. SIMPSON: Hi. I'm Ginny Simpson and I'm
9	glad to be here.
10	I was asked to come and take a look at your
11	property, I guess that's to the south, and the
12	property that you have been using that you-all
13	have reserved for for ecological purposes and
14	buffering purposes. And I must admit I have to
15	tell you I've been quite impressed with the
16	property. It it's really pretty and I applaud
17	the efforts of your organization to keep it as a
18	natural buffer.
19	The reason I was asked to come to take a look
20	at the property is that I'm the past chairman and
21	founder of Bird Island Park which is up in Ponte
22	Vedra. It's a piece of property behind the Ponte
23	Vedra Library.
24	And our park basically is was built as an

25 eco friendly multigenerational park. We had a

54

1	small piece of property that we wanted to use to
2	as a park, but we also wanted to use it as
3	economic we wanted to use it to encourage people
4	to look at ecology.
5	And after walking and your park back in
6	October I'm sorry, in August, I put together an
7	assessment of the property. And looking at the
8	uses, I notice that you-all want to keep a natural
9	buffer between residents to the south and a
10	conservation and pre preservation area and also
11	use it as educational and fitness trail.
12	And with that in mind, I wanted to pose a
13	proposition to you-all so that you could possibly
14	not take money out of your operating budget at the
15	airport, but find alternative revenue sources in
16	order to fund building the park. And basically, it
17	mimics what we did with Bird Island Park.
18	What we did is we formed a $501(c)(3)$
19	corporation, and we took the different aspects of
20	the park and we did we did an RFP to see how
21	much it was going to cost us to build the different
22	aspects of the park.
23	And I think you can mimic that here in taking
24	your different aspects, for example, your

file:///SI/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 observation deck, your ponds, your playgrounds,

1	your educational signage, your boardwalks, and
2	different trails, and you could use those areas and
3	market them to your your clients that you have
4	in your hangars and the local community and
5	basically offer those areas as naming naming
6	opportunities for these different individuals,
7	personal and corporate individuals. And you could
8	fundraise and sell the park to the community for
9	naming rights.
10	That was basically the gist of the project. I
11	could go into further depth with you-all, but I
12	didn't even know if you wanted to discuss this as a
13	possible option before getting into more depth.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Before we open it up for
15	public comment, Bryan, did you have anything you
16	wanted to add to what Ginny said before we open it?
17	MR. COOPER: Well, I did visit Bird Island
18	Park and took a lot of pictures up there, and we
19	spent a couple of hours reviewing that park. There
20	are some similarities between what they were trying
21	to accomplish and what we're trying to accomplish.
22	And this whole aspect of of getting
23	selling naming rights is something different than
24	what we have done in the past, but I think since

1	everything, every option.
2	And this is a different type of financial
3	option. If the I mean, it's a basic discussion
4	that the board has to have. Do we want to fund it
5	ourselves or do we want to fund it by collecting
6	money from the public? I think that gets down to
7	the basic thing we're talking about.
8	There are a lot of opportunities. When I
9	looked at what they had sold up there, they sold
10	naming rights for benches, for gazebos, for trails.
11	A lot of the same things could be done here. I was
12	impressed with what she has done up there or what
13	the group did up there.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Open it up for
15	public comment, and that would be Reba Ludlow.
16	MS. LUDLOW: No comment, thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Vic Martinelli?
18	MR. MARTINELLI: I think that this is a good
19	sequitur from what Bryan just said. And what I'm
20	really talking about is a concept, so kind of open
21	your minds up and think think broad here because
22	since you've got off the tax rolls, you've become
23	I'll say a quasi-corporate for-profit corporation.
24	And and that's a lot different than strictly a

1 the government laws and -- and policies. 2 I know that because of FAA funding and FDOT 3 funding, that we still have to comply with their 4 requirements. But what has been lacking I think is 5 a true broad long-range look and plan of what you 6 want to do. That to be contrasted from the normal 7 five-year plan that you -- planning process that 8 you're going to get into in a couple of years. 9 I think before you get into that, it would be 10 very wise to put together a group of business-type 11 people and say, okay, we have a -- an airport here 12 which is a commercial enterprise, let's look at it 13 as a commercial enterprise and let's see where we 14 want to go with it. And after that direction is 15 taken and looked at carefully and planned, then 16 meld that with the requirements for a 17 governmental-sponsored long-range plan, which 18 you're going to get into in a couple of years. 19 So the timing really is now to get started 20 with this kind of, I'll just say brainstorming kind 21 of look at the long term and where you want to go 22 to make this a self -- continue to make it a 23 self-sustaining airport and also comply with and 24 get the government grants that you need for the

25 future.

1	I don't know if I made myself clear, but
2	you've got to just think big. Think beyond
3	beyond the fence and think about it that way.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Vic. We'll open
5	it up for some board questions, and I'll start.
6	Ginny, can you tell the board how long it took from
7	the time that you came up with the idea that that
8	area of barren land needed a park and the time it
9	was the first phase of it was completed?
10	MS. SIMPSON: Well, the it took a long
11	time. To be honest with you, it took about seven
12	years from the initial concept. But you have to
13	understand we we started with a grass root
14	effort.
15	Found the property and the property was St.
16	Johns County property. And so we had to go through
17	the political motions of asking them to take that
18	piece of property and put it into the parks and
19	recreation department inventory. And then after
20	that, did we get to go in and do an assessment of
21	the property and do a plan and then fundraise.
22	So what you-all have here, you've you've
23	jumpstarted a lot of that time, because you already
24	have a plan in place. And so what you would have

it out into segments and then, you know, sell those
different different pieces and parts. And you
could make them very small or very large, depending
on what you want to do. Like I said, you you
have jumpstarted a lot of the process.
The fundraising aspect of it is going out
basically what we did was we looked at who would
benefit from having the park the most and some of
our close business ties that we had, as
Mr. Martinelli had said. And it was amazing. Once
we went out and showed people the property and told
them what we were trying to do, how many people
were willing to jump on board.
It's it's amazing it's a great business
opportunity advertising for different
organizations, law firms and and different
corporations. And, you know, people also have an
emotional side of of liking to put naming
opportunities for loved ones or people who have
helped get things done in the community.
And I from a marketing standpoint, I think
you-all have a good base to work on just in your
hangars, personally. And then of course
St. Augustine has a great business community, I

think, that you could market to them.

1	And you know, it honestly, other than time
2	and energy and going out and doing presentations, a
3	lot of the cost that you have into the park plan
4	has already been done. It's really just marketing
5	and promotion at this point, in my opinion.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?
7	MR. GORMAN: I don't know how to put this, but
8	I have when you get a business I'm not sure
9	and so I don't want to criticize something I
10	haven't seen because I haven't been to Bird Park.
11	But I've been to places like interpretive centers
12	where they build these big buildings and they build
13	dioramas and they put stuffed birds in
14	air-conditioned buildings. I don't really see that
15	as being a good idea. And
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: No, it's not that type of
17	park.
18	MR. GORMAN: that's where corporate people
19	will go with this. And that's my my sum of all
20	fears.
21	MS. SIMPSON: Actually, Mr. Gorman, we have
22	some of our corporate sponsors are The Players and
23	MDI, were some of our larger corporate sponsors.
24	And we have our park is is boardwalks,

1	so so we sold areas, not not buildings. And
2	we the only thing that was small was that we
3	sold were benches and things like that. But we
4	mostly sold areas and concepts. So we have an
5	upland
6	MR. GORMAN: So you're assuring me that the
7	that there were still this a still a very
8	natural setting with a minimum of buildings. It's
9	just allowing some access and some shade for the
10	public. And other than that, we're
11	MS. SIMPSON: Yes.
12	MR. GORMAN: we're not looking at stuffed
13	birds. We're looking at real birds.
14	MS. SIMPSON: No.
15	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
16	MR. WERTER: Jack, if I may, you've been to
17	these places like like up in maybe even Jax
18	Beach, I don't remember, where you look down and
19	you look at a brick and it's got a couple
20	couples' names
21	MR. GORMAN: Right.
22	MR. WERTER: donated by so on and so forth.
23	I believe that's what she and I've been I
24	l'ar 'n Dante Madur. Bas haar te the arashe and

24 live in Ponte Vedra. I've been to the gazebo and

1	about.
2	MR. GORMAN: I'm pushing the limit of this
3	thing to make my point.
4	MR. WERTER: Are you needling? Is that what
5	you're doing?
6	MR. GORMAN: I like the brick idea.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think Bryan Jack, I
8	think Bryan has some pictures of Bird Island Park
9	that he took that he could share with you that
10	might be able to give you more of a visual on on
11	landscape and the surroundings.
12	Now, Ginny, I had a question for you. Can you
13	tell me how many different donors you had to Bird
14	Island Park?
15	MS. SIMPSON: Oh, we you know, we had
16	people who gave us a hundred thousand dollars and
17	then we've had people who donated 25. We had a
18	wide variety of different donors for the park. So
19	it we had a lot of different opportunities for
20	people to get involved.
21	And one of the reasons we thought that this
22	would be a great way to to sell Bird Island
23	Park, St. Johns County owns and maintains Bird
24	Island Park. That it is a St. Johns County

separate from the county to fundraise.
And what we wanted to do is give everybody in

2	And what we wanted to do is give everybody in
3	the community an opportunity to buy into the park,
4	and for a number of different reasons; one, it
5	helped us fund what we wanted to do. But number
6	two is that it was giving the community an
7	opportunity to buy into the park for the longevity
8	sake of it. And we saw that a lot of people
9	responded positively to that in a lot of variety of
10	different donation amounts.
11	There are a couple of slides that I saw on
12	your presentation, and if you wouldn't mind
13	switching, your number 17 and 18 slide. Okay.
14	That's your site overall site plan. If you
15	could go to the next one.
16	For example, here, you've got a a walkway
17	over the pond. That could be an item that you
18	would sell to the community for naming rights. And
19	the next one, if you don't mind. Of course your
20	dock and your your kayak launch and your pier
21	would probably appeal to to, you know, a couple
22	of businesses. And your of course the next one,
23	the observation area and and the dock and the
24	pier. But also have a boardwalk and you also have

1	(Mr. Burnett leaves the room.)
2	MS. SIMPSON: and of course the play area
3	and benches that you could sell the bigger ticket
4	items. And then of course if you wanted to do
5	pathway systems and sell bricks and things like
6	that, that's another opportunity.
7	Again, I you know, I think it's doable from
8	the standpoint of what we did for Bird Island Park.
9	I but it's of course up to your board as to how
10	you want to pursue and go forward with this. I
11	just was offering you an alternative so that you
12	had another way to fund the project and instead
13	of going through your operating budget.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Ginny, can you let are
15	you are you here to try to sell the airport
16	on on your services?
17	MS. SIMPSON: No. No, I'm not here to sell
18	the airport on my services. I'm here only because
19	you asked me to help you out, Kelly. So
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: But do you I just want
21	to clarify, you don't have a business. You were
22	strictly a volunteer with Bird Island Park. You
23	received no finances from Bird Island Park.
24	MS. SIMPSON: None whatsoever. Just a lot of

blood, sweat, and tears.

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And it was a volunteer
2	committee that pulled this all off.
3	MS. SIMPSON: Yes, completely. Yes, we didn't
4	accept any funds.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Buzz?
6	MR. GEORGE: Are we under board discussion
7	right now?
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We can certainly, because
9	we
10	MR. GEORGE: I mean, is that where we are?
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We were on board question
12	and answer. We were going to move on to the
13	MR. GEORGE: I don't have any questions of
14	her, no.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I have a question.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly.
17	MR. WUELLNER: My question is more related to
18	the interplay of that $501(c)(3)$ to other
19	governmental agencies.
20	Was can you describe the relationship you
21	guys had with I understand the relationship with
22	the county, with them owning the property and then
23	ultimately taking over the operational phase from
24	that point forward, but did you were you guys

1	granting, for anything else, or just strictly the
2	local funding or local
3	MS. SIMPSON: Well, we would have ultimately
4	liked to have written for grants and done things
5	like that. We we, the $501(c)(3)$ corporation did
6	not write for grants. We certainly had the
7	opportunities, but we were a volunteer force and
8	really honestly, I didn't have time to get into
9	that aspect. But there's still opportunities
10	available, because the $501(c)(3)$ corporation is
11	still in existence. I'm past chairperson. I'm not
12	involved in it directly at this point.
13	But our relationship with St. Johns County,
14	specifically with the parks and recreation
15	department, was a very good one. We they gave
16	us the seed money and then it was up to us to raise
17	the additional funds, and we we raised several
18	hundred thousand dollars to build Bird Island Park.
19	But we had a contract in place with St. Johns
20	County, and we were a separate separate group.
21	And once we raised the funds, we turned over the
22	funds that we raised to St. Johns County. They
23	initially then they went out and they contracted
24	with the the company to build the park. And

25 once we turned over our funds, that was St. Johns

1	County's money to build the park. And then they
2	also are in charge of maintaining and insuring the
3	property. So, you know, once we fundraised, we did
4	turn directly over to St. Johns County the we
5	just were strictly a fundraising aspect.
6	MR. WUELLNER: So so the county did all of
7	the contracting or facilitating of contracts
8	MS. SIMPSON: Yes.
9	MR. WUELLNER: and purchases of those
10	dedicated benches and things that you you-all
11	did up there.
12	MS. SIMPSON: Of course they consulted us as
13	to what our vision was of certain things, and we
14	worked with them on some special items that are in
15	the park, but yes, they they were in control of
16	the contract.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Could you see it working the
18	I don't mean the other way around, but could you
19	see it working where the $501(c)(3)$ itself did that
20	effort? Or was it just
21	MS. SIMPSON: Not not no. I'm a big
22	proponent of not reinventing the wheel. And St.
23	Johns County is in the business of building parks
24	and I wasn't. I'm, you know, a mom with three kids

1	fundraised.	

2	I think that you do have an ownership and an
3	insurance and maintenance standpoint with regards
4	to anything, and I would if it were me, I would
5	clearly say that it should be in your control since
6	it's your property. I think
7	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
8	MS. SIMPSON: personally.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Thanks.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any other board
11	questions, Carl?
12	MR. YOUMAN: Would there be a difficulty in
13	setting up a 501(3)(c) (sic) as the Airport
14	Authority or would that property, for example, have
15	to be
16	MR. WUELLNER: There are no benefits to us
17	doing a 501(c)(3). We're already tax exempt.
18	MR. YOUMAN: Oh.
19	MR. WUELLNER: That's the 99 percent reason
20	why you'd do that. The
21	MR. YOUMAN: Right.
22	MR. WUELLNER: What we don't do is solicit
23	funds. I mean, we're you know, in the classic
24	sense of fundraising. So, that's

1	MR. WUELLNER: how you would use I think
2	you run into an interesting issue. So we you
3	know, I think if you do elect to move forward, that
4	the 50 $501(c)(3)$ mechanism is probably the best
5	way to go about
6	MR. WERTER: And insulate.
7	MR. WUELLNER: the solicitation of it and
8	the like in insulating the Authority from the traps
9	that come with $501(c)(3)$ in terms of charitable
10	donation.
11	The advantage you know, I there were
12	some there are some advantages and disadvantages
13	with with this approach as far as we're
14	concerned. But but I think you've got to
15	watch you've got to be able to completely
16	isolate.
17	For instance, in her in her scenario, the
18	county, in this case the Authority, would do the
19	the contracting or whatever that entails, or
20	purchasing related to it, whether that's physically
21	building something or purchasing materials for it.
22	We've got to be able to completely keep that
23	isolated and accountable outside of Authority
24	MR. YOUMAN: Funds.

1	nature. And and the reason is, the Airport
2	Authority's you've got a charter issue.
3	Park/recreation is not a formal charter item. I
4	mean, it could be made there if we wanted to go
5	through that effort, but it's currently not in
6	there. So you've got to be careful it doesn't
7	transcend that.
8	The other piece is when you look at the FAA
9	perspective of this, all money derived by the
10	Airport Authority is considered revenue, and you
11	get into that possibility of having not being
12	able to expend money until all your aviation needs
13	are met in a nonaviation area.
14	Now, there are ways to to make that mesh in
15	creating aviation theme pieces of this and the
16	like. You know, allow that that mixing to occur
17	to some degree. And clearly the Authority owns the
18	property involved and the like. But I think we've
19	got to be very very careful how we we blend
20	private donated money into the into the
21	Authority's standard finances, if you will.
22	And and that could be done. It's just
23	I'm just saying in a cautionary manner it needs to
24	be you know, we need to be all clear on the

25 rules up front and how that -- how those two things

1	play in order to keep us all out of trouble.
2	MR. YOUMAN: Madam Chairman?
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think Jack was before
4	you, Carl.
5	MR. GORMAN: I'm way above way above my
6	head as far as legally here, but could you start a
7	trust? In other words, a not-for-profit trust that
8	would manage the money for of course we've got
9	plenty of lawyers around here, including Jim, that
10	would then and then I'll let Jim he sounds
11	like he knows way more than I do about it and
12	they would hold the money and that money would be
13	administered and then they would help in the
14	administration of the park?
15	MR. WUELLNER: It would seem possible in
16	some there's some legal vehicle I'm sure to
17	to to facilitate that.
18	(Mr. Burnett enters the room.)
19	MR. WUELLNER: My my concern is you've got
20	those two components and, you know, until and
21	unless the Authority's charter included the vanilla
22	statement of park or something similar, it
23	you've got to really watch how it passes through
24	the Authority's books.

25 That's -- that's kind of why I was asking the

1	question about is there an advantage or even a
2	way and I know they didn't explore it in detail
3	on their end because the County owned it and they
4	can deal with that kind of stuff. But I want to
5	make sure, you know, you might want to set a
6	50(c)(3)(sic) up if it outside of the Authority.
7	What I mean is it's not under any control or
8	custody of Airport Authority. And then they can
9	contract as they see fit for some of those
10	purchases or some of those developments to keep it
11	outside of the Airport Authority, even and we
12	just have a an agreement if you will between
13	that that entity and the Authority as to control
14	of the park; that is, you know, the placement of
15	things and the development plan and those kind of
16	things that you can reserve unto yourself, but
17	they're not financially tied to
18	MR. GORMAN: In other words, the Airport just
19	cannot handle the corporate donations, period.
20	MR. WUELLNER: I I would advise against it.
21	You know, I'd be willing to get some of those
22	questions answered, but I think my first blush of
23	it is it's going to be extremely complicated and it
24	subjects you to a lot of scrutiny that you don't
1	MR. WERTER: If I may, you've got a
----	---
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think Carl was next.
3	MR. YOUMAN: Chairman Barrera, would you think
4	that it would be in order to request the staff to
5	present a create a study of the pluses and
6	minuses of whether we should proceed in this
7	area
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think
9	MR. YOUMAN: and whether it would be a
10	benefit to the airport or not in actuality as to
11	our image and whether it's even possible legally?
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think as we go through
13	the board discussions, before we get off the
14	question and answers, we can definitely discuss
15	that. Does does did you have a question?
16	MR. WERTER: No, it's not a question.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Do we have any
18	further questions? Because then we can let Ginny
19	sit down and move on to the board discussion aspect
20	of it.
21	(None.)
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We're going to go to the
23	board discussion, and then the public comment will
24	come right afterwards. Mary, we'll go to the it

1	Okay. Board discussion. Carl, you bought up
2	a good point and I think that that's definitely an
3	idea. Jim?
4	MR. WERTER: It can be overly complicated, but
5	it doesn't have to be. If you look at Volusia
6	County School Board, they have a suborganization
7	charity organization called Futures, Incorporated
8	which is a charity raising corporation for
9	scholarships for students
10	(Mr. Youman leaves the room.)
11	MR. WERTER: and it acts as part of the
12	school board so you can look to there for probably
13	the legal basis and the model for setting up a
14	50(3)(c)(sic) here.
15	And now I don't remember if the staff of
16	it, but we don't need a staff of this, are employed
17	directly by the school board or by the by the
18	suborganization itself. But the fact of the matter
19	is, the the it's not called Bright Futures;
20	it's just called Futures is a charity
21	organization operating separate books from the
22	Volusia County School Board.
23	So that's what when we're talking about a
24	50(3)(c)(sic), that's what you're talking about or

25 what you're asking about. So I think it might be

1	easier than some people may think. Okay. Just,
2	you know, incorporating and initiating a 50(3)(c)
3	(sic) and just seeing what allowances for people
4	within our own staff or even in the board working
5	it, you know, and managing the funds through
6	separate books of that suborganization.
7	MR. WUELLNER: There's certainly more
8	questions than answers at this point, I agree.
9	MR. WERTER: Yeah. Well, yeah. But maybe not
10	as many questions as one may think. I work on the
11	KISS principle.
12	MS. SIMPSON: May I?
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Ginny?
14	MS. SIMPSON: Just to be clear. Our $501(c)(3)$
15	corporation was completely separate from the St.
16	Johns County recreation and parks program. Once we
17	raised the funds to build the park, then we we
18	officially turned over the money to the county with
19	the specific purpose of building that park.
20	So that money went into a separate account
21	into St. Johns County. I mean, they accepted our
22	money as a donation to build a park. They were not
23	allowed to take that money and use it for any other
24	purpose.

And so I think if you -- in my opinion, if you

1	set up a different entity, a subentity underneath
2	your group, then that entity just raises the money
3	for the park and then once the money is completed,
4	then they the agreement is that money's to only
5	be used for the park. It and we didn't seem to
6	have any problems with once the county had that
7	money, that that money was going to be spent
8	anywhere else. If that helps any.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any other board
10	discussion? Buzz?
11	MR. GEORGE: I think that we all ought to
12	remember that the original purpose of having a park
13	was aviation oriented. It was to be able to see
14	the airplanes see the airplanes, an airport in
15	operation, and to get St. Johns County residents to
16	come in and have a better appreciation.
17	(Mr. Youman enters the room.)
18	MR. GEORGE: Because we're all creative, we
19	have tried to add on add into this, let's do an
20	ecological thing. Let's do a this, let's do a
21	that. And what's happening, guys, is we're bogging
22	ourselves down.
23	I think that everything we mentioned here,
24	501(c) for enhancing a park that we already have is

25 a great idea. And Vic's idea of having a committee

1	to look at the different alternatives and have the
2	501(c) as funding is fine. But let's get back to
3	the mainstream, and that is finish it. We we
4	talked about a walk path so people could walk and a
5	viewing stand at the end. We need to get that
6	going, and we can talk about this later on.
7	I would recommend and feel very comfortable
8	that at this meeting or at the next meeting, that
9	the board give the staff direction: I want that
10	park open by April the 1st, the walking path and
11	the viewing station. And then you can continue
12	with this, but let's get it open.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any further
13 14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any further board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't
	· · · · · ·
14	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't
14 15	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for
14 15 16	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for next year. And so, if we don't have it in the
14 15 16 17	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for next year. And so, if we don't have it in the budget for next year, the budget that we all
14 15 16 17 18	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for next year. And so, if we don't have it in the budget for next year, the budget that we all approved, then I'm not sure how that viewing
14 15 16 17 18 19	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for next year. And so, if we don't have it in the budget for next year, the budget that we all approved, then I'm not sure how that viewing station's going to open.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for next year. And so, if we don't have it in the budget for next year, the budget that we all approved, then I'm not sure how that viewing station's going to open. And so seeing the plans that the board
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	board discussion? Right now, I believe I don't know that the viewing station is in our budget for next year. And so, if we don't have it in the budget for next year, the budget that we all approved, then I'm not sure how that viewing station's going to open. And so seeing the plans that the board approved for the park, that have already been laid

78

1	that we got in bids that were in our opinion
2	outrageously high, we asked Kevin and his group to
3	dive in. On this project, we asked the school kids
4	to come in and help us put the paths down. So
5	we're talking about the viewing stand. How much
6	does the viewing stand do you think it's going
7	to cost?
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Bryan, I think that was one
9	of the things that you were looking at.
10	MR. COOPER: The least expensive verbal quote
11	that I got from contractors and I talked to a
12	number of contractors. The least expensive was in
13	excess of \$50,000. The most expensive that I got
14	was \$180,000.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. At one time, we were
16	talking about getting a some funding through
17	some outside sources, and if I'm not mistaken,
18	there were yeah, there's a lot of small ones.
19	There's a lot of 50,000 you can do for this, 20
20	let's just get the design done and get started on
21	it. Are all of those still out there and
22	available, Bryan?
23	MR. COOPER: There's grants that come up in

24 cycles. We were looking initially at -- at grants

25 outside of what we typically understand.

1	We understand aviation grants from DOT and
2	FAA, but these are outside of that. EPA grants,
3	DEP grants, FIND grants, a couple of others that
4	had strictly to do with public parks and other
5	entities like that outside of our expertise, which
6	is aviation.
7	I haven't looked at those sources in more than
8	five or six months, but they're still there. Some
9	of them, the cycle is comes up in March. Some
10	comes up in June. There's some that come up in
11	January. And they receive the grants and then
12	decide who or the applications and who they're
13	going to give the grants to.
14	MR. GEORGE: If you go without the viewing
15	stand, looking for a grant for it, and just make
16	out of the same material as the pathway a big area
17	at the end so that people can stand there, is that
18	cost prohibitive?
19	MR. COOPER: No, I don't think that's cost
20	prohibitive. But I think if you look at the
21	conceptual plan that we have and that we've
22	approved, it's almost going to require some kind of
23	a boardwalk type of stand because of where it's
24	going. Now, we could change that plan and put that

1	but
2	MR. GEORGE: I'm not trying to find a change
3	to an existing
4	MR. COOPER: If we don't change
5	MR. GEORGE: theoretical plan. I'm trying
6	to find a put a place out there that we can walk
7	to and look at airplanes and open it.
8	MR. GORMAN: Thank you.
9	MR. COOPER: That's a change in the plan. We
10	can put a boardwalk or we can put a clearing. The
11	boardwalk area will accomplish what is planned.
12	When we build the ARFF station and we cut down
13	some more of or clear some of that area between
14	where the ARFF station's going to be and the
15	Taxiway Bravo extension, there will probably be an
16	area for a clearing where people can go and stand
17	or sit on the grass or whatever and watch airplanes
18	take off and land, which would be far better than
19	what occurs today.
20	And that gets back to the original concept or
21	the original purpose or one of them. And it
22	wasn't the observation deck wasn't the only one,
23	but it was the main purpose initially. That and
24	the buffer.

1	MR. GEORGE: Do we have any efforts I'm
2	sorry, I'm not through. Do we have any efforts
3	going on now where the staff, if we do become
4	knowledgeable of some grant that's out there, that
5	we're actually putting in a you know, our name
6	in the hat?
7	MR. WUELLNER: We as you recall, we we
8	briefed you last year. The only source we found to
9	build the kind of structures that we were that
10	everybody was envisioning was through the FIND
11	district. The FIND district issues are
12	park-related items are the lowest funding priority
13	for FIND district.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: And you you may recall the
16	discussion about a year not quite a year ago,
17	but almost a year ago when we elected to pursue
18	FIND money for the seaplane the basin-related
19	improvements, because that was an extreme high
20	priority within the FIND district, so it was a
21	chance to identify funds for that immediately
22	because it could be supported.
23	We can we can and will continue to pursue
24	FIND district grants for the structural parts of

25 the park, but keep in mind there -- you know, there

82

1	are way more needs for those kind or way more
2	projects in our community for those kind of things
3	than there are
4	MR. GEORGE: Than the funds
5	MR. WUELLNER: for commercial related,
6	which is FIND's priority.
7	So, you know, I hear what you're saying and
8	our our goal you know, a lot of that park
9	development you may or may not know, but a lot
10	of that park development certainly was done with
11	high school students' assistance, but a lot of it
12	was also done using staff
13	MR. GEORGE: I know.
14	MR. WUELLNER: you know. So we're prepared
15	to keep plugging the trail all the way down on the
16	road there. I mean, I think it's just been a
17	little slow starter with the school at the
18	beginning of their year. But I look forward to
19	having, you know, at least a once a month
20	MR. HARVEY: This Saturday.
21	MR. WUELLNER: It's this Saturday? Kevin's
22	saying the first group's out here this Saturday
23	MR. HARVEY: Come join us.

24 MR. WUELLNER: -- to begin the next phase of

trail development out there. So the intent is to

1	get the trail moved down there. It's just going as
2	fast as I'll call it free labor can can take it
3	at this point.
4	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Yeah.
5	MR. WUELLNER: And at some point, you're
6	right, there's a structure you know, there are
7	several structures that are owed in the mix, and we
8	either we've got to figure out whether we budget
9	them, raise the funds for them, or wait on grants
10	or whatever the whatever you collectively decide
11	to give us direction on.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?
13	MR. COOPER: You know, I I'd like to point
14	out, the only structures in this park that we're
15	talking about is the observation deck, the dock,
16	the kayak launch, which is part of the dock, and
17	some boardwalk.
18	The rest of it is natural trails or whatever
19	you want to put down for trail material, whether
20	it's pavement or gravel or dirt whatever. But the
21	only structures are just a boardwalk, an
22	observation deck, and rebuilding the dock.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack.
24	MR. GORMAN: I agree I agree with Buzz.

25 Let's keep it simple. Let's just keep it simple.

84

1	If it takes us 40 years to build the park,
2	that's fine. And we can by keeping it simple,
3	we can establish a trust or whatever, like
4	Mr. Werter says, or and get it done by
5	donations. Just get a path down there, put a bike
6	rack at the end of it, and call it good. There's
7	stage one.
8	We've got to have a little paradigm shift
9	here. We don't have to build this like we've been
10	building this airport. We built this airport like
11	the Seabees. I mean, this stuff dis appears
12	like mushrooms out of the ground solid steel. We
13	just don't need to think that way anymore. We can
15	Just don't need to think that way anymore. We can
14	take our time.
14	take our time.
14 15	take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a
14 15 16	take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a path to the end, get a bike rack at the end, and go
14 15 16 17	take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a path to the end, get a bike rack at the end, and go look at airplanes. And then later on when we find
14 15 16 17 18	take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a path to the end, get a bike rack at the end, and go look at airplanes. And then later on when we find some donations, we'll build this deck.
14 15 16 17 18 19	take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a path to the end, get a bike rack at the end, and go look at airplanes. And then later on when we find some donations, we'll build this deck. MR. WERTER: Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	 take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a path to the end, get a bike rack at the end, and go look at airplanes. And then later on when we find some donations, we'll build this deck. MR. WERTER: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. MR. GORMAN: Let's take our time.
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 take our time. I agree with Buzz totally. Let's just get a path to the end, get a bike rack at the end, and go look at airplanes. And then later on when we find some donations, we'll build this deck. MR. WERTER: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. MR. GORMAN: Let's take our time. MR. WERTER: After all of these after all

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

this moment.

1	MR. GEORGE: That's not true. Two years ago,
2	we agreed to disagree with you.
3	MR. WERTER: Oh, okay.
4	MR. YOUMAN: Yeah. As I remember the previous
5	discussions about the park, particularly Jack's
6	position, and I think the board followed along, was
7	that this was to be done without any real expenses
8	directly to the airport.
9	MR. GORMAN: Right.
10	MR. YOUMAN: It was supposed to be done in
11	pieces, done in a framework where volunteerism was
12	prominent, where it could be fit into the Airport's
13	projects, Kevin's time, if it could be allotted, or
14	whatever reason.
15	And again, I I go back to my original
16	request to the chairman for the staff to take a
17	hard look at this this donation process to see
18	if it's even feasible. If it's not feasible, why
19	keep chasing after it? We have we have to get
20	the facts first.
21	MR. BURNETT: Can I
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And we have to be exposed
23	to possibilities first. Doug?
24	MR. BURNETT: Let me share with you my

1	501(c)(3)s. And it's that part, just for what
2	it's worth, is a painful process. It's very easy
3	to become incorporated, very easy to become
4	incorporated as a nonprofit corporation, but
5	actually getting a 501(c)(3) status from the IRS is
6	a little more complex and a little more painful.
7	But with that said, I can tell you that the
8	Airport Authority's charter says that the airport
9	can own property for purposes not relevant to
10	aviation. So and clearly the airport has with
11	the rental homes and all of those, although they
12	obviously had a long-term and have had a long-term
13	vision site for what that property would be used
14	for.
15	The issue related to donations, here's where
16	you get into the inevitable sticky thing. And I
17	can tell you that I was just looking at Attorney
18	General Opinions related to it. You can't
19	condition the donation. In almost all cases, you
20	can't condition the donation when you give a
21	donation to the government. You can by way of a
22	deed.
23	So, sometimes you'll see historically this
24	land is given to the county. You'll recall the ag

25 center at the county where the Usinas did a

1	conditional deed; this land is donated to the
2	county upon the condition that it's always used for
3	a public purpose. So therefore the county couldn't
4	sell it.
5	But when it comes to the actual hard money
6	being donated to government entities, almost always
7	it can't be conditioned. Because of that, people
8	like to have 501(c)s related to government because,
9	for example, your school board example is a great
10	one, a lot of schools, a lot of high schools have
11	boosters and those boosters raise money.
12	And a lot of those high school booster
13	organizations are 501(c)(3)s. And they want to
14	have the money donated that they pool together and
15	that they keep separate from the school district
16	because at any moment that you have a funding
17	problem at the school district, the school
18	district's going to reallocate the funds to where
19	it's needed. So that program that did have the
20	funds there that were donated for a particular
21	purpose, whether it's the basketball program or
22	whatever, the arts program, whatever, all of a
23	sudden that money the school board could pull
24	somewhere else.

1	to know and have some assurances related to their
2	donation, which is what drives I think those
3	501(c)(3)s being formed. They and a function of
4	the government not being able to take the money.
5	Folks can donate money to the government, but
6	the government can't condition what it's going to
7	use the money on. So if you had a fiscal reason
8	that or a budgetary deficit that you needed the
9	money for or some shortfall that you needed the
10	money for, then you could presumably divert it for
11	that purpose. So you just can't condition the
12	donation.
13	MR. WERTER: You know, basically part of our
13 14	MR. WERTER: You know, basically part of our job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you.
14	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you.
14 15	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've
14 15 16	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've seen this before with projects as this where it's a
14 15 16 17	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've seen this before with projects as this where it's a scope of direction. It's it's improving on what
14 15 16 17 18	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've seen this before with projects as this where it's a scope of direction. It's it's improving on what you have.
14 15 16 17 18 19	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've seen this before with projects as this where it's a scope of direction. It's it's improving on what you have. And if there's a way of making the observation
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've seen this before with projects as this where it's a scope of direction. It's it's improving on what you have. And if there's a way of making the observation area, be it dirt, be it boardwalk, start from
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	job is prioritizing. I agree with both of you. That puts three on the team now, okay? And we've seen this before with projects as this where it's a scope of direction. It's it's improving on what you have. And if there's a way of making the observation area, be it dirt, be it boardwalk, start from simple to complex, let's look at that first doing

25 What are we donating? Actually I already made

1	notes for the James S. Werter, Attorney at Law
2	Memorial Latrine, because lawyers are full of it.
3	MR. GORMAN: Would we name it?
4	MR. WERTER: Sorry. I'm tired today.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: He is just teasing with
6	those comments.
7	MR. WERTER: Let the record reflect
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It was in jest.
9	MR. WERTER: But at any rate
10	MR. WUELLNER: Unless there's
11	MR. WERTER: But let's do it this way. Let's
12	do it as you suggested. Start from simple, but in
13	parallel, working on the side projects in due
14	course of time.
15	The first thing is getting the park open with
16	some satisfactory observation area, be it dirt or
17	otherwise. Simple. Simple. Gravel, whatever.
18	And while we're doing this, since it's going to
19	take time, we investigate the 501(c) and then what
20	it is we're asking donations for. Buy a brick.
21	Buy a plaque, whatever. I mean, it's KISS, Keep It
22	Simple, Stupid.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Go ahead, Carl.
24	MR. YOUMAN: I agree with everybody.

1	for public comment, then. Reba?
2	MS. LUDLOW: No comment, thank you. My head
3	hurts.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Mariben?
5	MS. ANDERSEN: I know you guys call it the
6	park, but a park has certain connotations. As far
7	as environmental documentation, a park is what we
8	call a 4(f) property, for public use, and whenever
9	there are changes associated with it, there's
10	actually FAA, NEPA requirements if you ever want to
11	change a portion of your park. So, at other
12	airports that I have worked at, they call those
13	viewing areas. So I just wanted to share that with
14	you.
15	And then the other thing is we would greatly
16	appreciate that the structures have wildlife hazard
17	management considerations, because that park is on
18	the other side of your runway and your taxiway.
19	That's it.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Mariben. Our
21	next public comment is from Mary Willis, Mary
22	Tarver Willis.
23	MS. WILLIS: Hi. Mary Willis, 180 Indian Bend
24	Road. Actually, we dedicated this whole area on

25 September 17th, not quite two months ago.

91

1	I have one question. About three years ago, I
2	was asked to serve on the park committee, and I
3	have a packet here received on January 9th of '08.
4	Has that committee been dissolved? Now, apparently
5	most of the people were already hired by or
6	employed by some agency. For instance, you had
7	appointed to this committee someone from the Marine
8	Fisheries, one from Water Management, one from
9	Passero Associates, Andrew. Birkitt Environmental.
10	And the other three were associated with the
11	Airport Authority; Jack, Bryan and Kevin. So, I
12	guess I was the only volunteer.
13	If indeed you have dissolved your park
14	committee, which was labeled development team, then
15	I'm happy to resign or to see it terminated. But I
16	knew nothing about any of this. Are we or are we
17	not still the committee? And we're all still
18	available, I'm sure, for the planning and the
19	further development of the park. So that was my
20	question.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Mary. I know
22	the idea would be is that those same people and
23	anybody else would be involved on the park going
24	forward with with whatever direction the board

--

1	been dissolved.
2	MS. WILLIS: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We'll bring it back to the
4	board for discussion. Carl
5	MR. MARTINELLI: You have another public
6	comment. Me.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Oh, I'm so sorry. Vic.
8	You checked the wrong box.
9	MR. MARTINELLI: Did I?
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Yes. That's all right.
11	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I'm from the green
12	eyeshade discipline, okay, so I'm going to try to
13	be more explicit in what I said earlier and how it
14	relates to exactly what you're discussing now.
15	The output from the kind of thing that I
16	talked about earlier is generally termed a business
17	plan. And when you put together a business plan,
18	it deals with all of the variables that you're
19	talking about in your business and where you want
20	to take your business and how you should get there.
21	You have a microcosm of that in the park right
22	now and you're all struggling with how to do this
23	or how to do that, but there's no vehicle putting
24	the whole thing together as a business, if you want

25 it to be a business. And you're looking at it,

93

1	some of you are saying, yes, we should get revenue
2	from it. Some of you are saying, well, maybe no.
3	Maybe we should do it through a 501(c)(4) or
4	(c)(3), or whatever.
5	But really, the discipline of putting together
6	a business plan for a project like this and in the
7	total picture a business plan for this airport and
8	for where you're going as an entity off the tax
9	rolls in the next two or three, four, five years,
10	that's really what you should be doing. And that's
11	what I if you do that, everything else will
12	fit into place.
13	But right now, you're taking little pieces,
14	which are actually going to impede your progress or
15	your ability to make a long-range plan, because
16	you're already going to saddle yourself with things
17	you decided in this little microcosm that are going
18	to affect the future. So again, try to think
19	broadly. Try to use the vehicle and the thought
20	processes that would go into a business plan and
21	put it together for each of these projects and for
22	the total.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Vic. Now back
24	

to the board.

25 MR. WERTER: Well, what Mr. Martinelli said is

1	kind of a focal point of my saying the word
2	prioritizing.
3	Just get the park open with the space and we
4	drag not drag our feet, but take our times
5	planning out the rest. Because he's right, the
6	primary purpose of this airport is the business of
7	commercial aviation and commercialism in general.
8	Okay. And this is a nicety that we're doing as a
9	public service, but you have to prioritize
10	properly.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl? Just go down the
12	line. It will be easier.
13	MR. YOUMAN: I'm sorry.
14	MR. GEORGE: Go right ahead.
15	MR. YOUMAN: The airport's long-range plan,
16	which I should take another look at, is that deal
17	as a business plan or is it a vision plan? Because
18	I think Vic's got a point.
19	We are now a commercial entity so to speak
20	where we have to look at it as a business,
21	business, business, where our revenues are coming
22	from, where our expenses you know, we do that in
23	the budget, but a business plan takes it to a
24	higher level where you framework a plan for a

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 business. And that's what we are now.

1	We we're not under the tutelage of
2	taxpayers' funds. That's where our money came from
3	before and it was a lot easier. You could just
4	vote your millage and there's a ton of money and
5	you can make your vision and away you go.
6	Now it's a different story. We have our
7	vision, but how do we put it into a business plan?
8	And how do we afford to keep continuing to grow the
9	airport and make this a commercial really a
10	commercially viable entity? I think Vic's got a
11	good point.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Carl. Buzz?
13	MR. GEORGE: I think that Vic has a very good
14	point. I I can visualize Moses with all of his
15	number of people that needed to get on the other
16	side of the water talking to people to build ships,
17	talking to people to get people to donate money to
18	get oars for the ships, and then finally he said,
19	"Let's just get it done. Let's open the waters and
20	let's go across." That's what I'm ready to do.
21	Let's just open the water and go across. Then we
22	can talk about building ships and building a nation
23	and stuff like that.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?

MR. GORMAN: Again, I agree with Buzz, twice

1	in the day, because the only problem with Vic
2	has a mindset and I really understand it. But when
3	you do a business plan, then you've got to have
4	the you've got to fit fulfill the paradigm of
5	a business plan.
6	You've got to have those really expensive
7	business engineers. Sorry, Mariben. You've got to
8	have the in the environmental aspects. You've
9	got to just you know, you've got to tooth and
10	nail put this thing together.
11	And if you do a business plan and you do it as
12	a the public entity like we are, as a board,
13	then the complexity's involved. And so let's
14	dispense with the complexity initially and get this
15	thing bush-hogged to the end and get a bike rack up
16	and get it open by April. And that and then
17	slowly but surely, the year after, as long as this
18	board passes along to the next board, passes along
19	to the next board that this isn't commercial
20	property, this is a park.
21	You know, that's my the sum of all my fears
22	now, is that's the only thing. This is a park.
23	It's not commercial property. And take your time
24	doing it. Because when you make a business plan,

1	consultants and you also need the the you
2	need to nail down everything. You need to button
3	it up.
4	Ed's our expert at that. You've got to have
5	it buttoned up to be able to build something. So
6	if you take your time and build one thing at a time
7	and just open it up as a park right away for the
8	public.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
10	MR. YOUMAN: I agree with Jack. And my
11	terminology about the business plan is for the
12	airport over all, since this has all been mixed
13	together. But about the park, I I believe we
14	should just open it and then just piecemeal as
15	as the plan was. Just to get it going.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Buzz?
17	MR. GEORGE: I'd like to hear your comments.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: These are my comments. We
19	had a grand opening of the park. Phase 1 was
20	already done. How we get to Phase 2 becomes the
21	question.
22	Right now, last year we paid overtime for our
23	maintenance people to be here on Saturdays to
24	supervise those volunteers, to work for those

25 with those volunteers. We don't have that luxury

1	in the budget as we go forward. So the question
2	becomes, how do we get forward without that luxury
3	in the budget?
4	And that's where I think that we needed to
5	look at a separate park committee that's separate
6	from the board, that can take the park, whether it
7	take eight years like it took Bird Island Park or
8	whether it take three years or whatever, to
9	accomplish the goals that have already been set for
10	the park.
11	Not the goals that I'm looking at building.
12	Not the goals that we we can daydream about, but
13	the goals that have already been set for the park
14	so that the public can have greater use of it. The
15	park's open.
16	So that's exactly how I feel about it. And
17	and at this point, I would probably make a motion
18	that we ask the staff to go back and review the
19	different strategies that could be used and to see
20	what will fit best in accomplishing the goals that
21	have already been set. That would be my motion.
22	MR. GEORGE: You bring up a very valid point
23	about funding. We really don't have a good handle
24	on how much funding that we do need. But I think

1	the in the budget for the last five or six
2	years, we've had a budget of X, but the actual has
3	come out X plus. So we found some money to do some
4	of the other things. I think we can find the money
5	to do this, to get it more open, let me put it that
6	way, as opposed to being open prior.
7	But another option to that is I'm sure that
8	SAAPA would take on the responsibility of of
9	doing a hundred yards of the path, you know and
10	where did Mike go, you know? So there's other
11	people that are in this aviation community that
12	can can do that.
13	To that end, I would like to make a motion
14	that we have a reopening of the park with all of
15	the pathways done and a viewing area at the end by
16	April the 1st.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: My question to you is, how
18	are you going to finance that? Because if we don't
19	sit down and put down when you're looking at
20	\$50,000 as a verbal on the low end of an
21	observation deck, how do you finance that April 1st
22	deadline? I don't want to give staff a deadline
23	that's going to end up costing them more money than
24	what we've already budgeted.

1	it.
2	MR. GEORGE: Right. Yeah.
3	MR. GORMAN: We bush-hog it to the end, and
4	make a path and use the path. All natural.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So we look for strict
6	volunteers without staff's time in order in
7	order to and that crushed gravel, I'm not sure
8	about that Kevin, can you tell us about what
9	we're looking at, what type of budget without
10	staff's time?
11	MR. HARVEY: I don't know how you do it
12	without staff time.
13	MR. GEORGE: You bring up some good points
14	again about the budget and I commend you for that.
15	But you keep I keep making a motion about having
16	a viewing area and you keep bringing up \$50,000
17	worth of construction.
18	In my opinion, this board has been on the hook
19	for five years, six years, that we're going to have
20	a park that people can walk on and see aviation.
21	We have a \$5 million reserve. Take 50 I'm
22	sorry. How much is it now?
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It's \$4 million.
24	MR. GEORGE: Oh, I'm sorry. \$4 million. Take

25 \$50,000 out of it and build it. When a project for

1	hangars has an overrun, they bring it back to this
2	board and we approve it. So bring it back to this
3	board so we can approve it. But in the meantime,
4	get the path finished by April the 1st using
5	volunteer labor or whatever.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?
7	MR. GORMAN: Will Kevin let me run the bush
8	hog? I'm just going right there. I mean, I just
9	want the path to the end.
10	MR. HARVEY: You can walk there now.
11	MS. WILLIS: All the way?
12	MR. HARVEY: Absolutely. There's nothing
13	stopping you. Nothing impeding you.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It is fenced out. I mean, it
15	is it is able to be accessed at this point. It
16	is not identified in the context I mean, you're
17	kind of both correct. I mean, it is not identified
18	in the context of an identifiable trail or path.
19	MR. GORMAN: Then can I ask, what would it
20	what would it cost in staff time to do an absolute
21	minimum to provide a marked path to the end for an
22	observation? What would that cost? With minimum
23	gravel, minimum grading, minimum bushing-hogging.
24	So

5 MR. WUELLNER: In the context of if it's

102

1	accomplished within normal work hours, work days,
2	it doesn't cost anything. You're already you're
3	covering those costs for a myriad of everything
4	that's being done on property. If it exceeds that,
5	meaning or it goes outside of those time
6	parameters, then, you know, yeah, there's an
7	expense tied, albeit minimum.
8	MR. GORMAN: Can I suggest that or can I
9	make a motion that we ask staff how to make this
10	this minimum path accessible by April and what that
11	would cost without any construction or minimization
12	of fills and markings and everything else? Just so
13	it's a usable path in its most primitive state.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And we're coming into a
15	time of year where in terms of utilization of
16	making the path, this is the time of year to try
17	and get those kind of things done. It's not
18	grass-growing you know, cutting time. It's not,
19	you know
20	MR. GORMAN: So can I make that motion, that
21	we ask staff how to make the costs and the time
22	parameter for the most primitive path?
23	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's already on the
24	radar screen, but you

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I'll second that motion.

1	MR. WUELLNER: if you feel better about
2	it
3	MR. GORMAN: Okay. She's going to second it.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any further
5	board discussion on Jack's motion and a second?
6	(None.)
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor, aye.
8	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
9	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
10	MR. WERTER: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye.
12	MR. GEORGE: I thought we had a motion that
13	had been made
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We had two motions, but
15	they died.
16	MR. GEORGE: I was wondering how this one
17	MR. GORMAN: Let's just cement this damn
18	thing, you know, pardon my French.
19	MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry. We didn't say amend.
20	MR. GORMAN: Just get it done.
21	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
22	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
23	MR. WERTER: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Motion passes unanimously.

1	MR. YOUMAN: Yes. Thank you, very much.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: and volunteering your
3	time again.
4	MS. SIMPSON: Thank you.
5	MR. WUELLNER: You're a professional
6	volunteer, aren't you?
7	MS. SIMPSON: I am.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: On to old business.
9	OLD BUSINESS
10	MR. WERTER: This is going to take a while,
11	this one.
12	MR. BURNETT: I think there's a reason they
13	call it old business, but
14	MR. WUELLNER: Watch it.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We had the annual
16	evaluation of the executive director. Evaluations
17	were compiled, they were turned in, and it was
18	administered. The evaluation scoring was a 94.
19	Out of 250 possible points, he had 238. He scored
20	a perfect score on organization and operation and
21	on fiscal responsibility.
22	The comments were all compiled. They were
23	gone over with him. There was one question in
24	regards that I'd like to just get some

25 clarification -- in regards to personnel and career

1	development, and if that was for staff or for the
2	executive director.
3	But other than that, he took the areas for
4	improvement, he acknowledged those and committed to
5	work on those, and he also appreciated the areas
6	that were highlighted in strengths. So, I would
7	ask the board if they could clarify the area that
8	had to do with personnel and career goals.
9	MR. GEORGE: Well, I think it's the executive
10	director's responsibility to have people willing to
11	step into slots as they become available to keep
12	the airport moving. And that's
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So you were talking
14	about
15	MR. GEORGE: So therefore I think it is his
16	responsibility.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: from a personnel
18	standpoint.
19	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
20	MR. WERTER: Staff development.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?
22	MR. GORMAN: Ed has really bailed the boat
23	because he got us off the tax rolls, so I went way
24	up there and checked lots of 4s and 5s.

25 The only thing I'm going to say. I have for

1	eight years tried to get a just an open
2	discussion about the pros and cons of Mr. Wuellner.
3	He obviously knows what he's doing. He obviously
4	knows the business.
5	The only thing, the only caveat I've ever had
6	for eight years here is that Ed will make a
7	decision on something I mean, he's a smart
8	enough man he'll do the analysis, he'll make a
9	decision, and then he'll build the case almost like
10	a lawyer would as to why you should go with this
11	decision.
12	In other words, you don't actually get from Ed
13	all of your choices. You'll get the choice and the
14	great reasons why you should pick it. And he's
15	smiling right now; he's not even going to deny it.
16	And that's what that's the only caveat I ever
17	had, is that you've got to be able to the board
18	needs to be able and to his credit, I mean, it's
19	going to take three months sometimes to come to a
20	decision if you give the board all the choices.
21	But sometimes we don't have all of those choices;
22	in other words, where he's made the decision and
23	then, again, comes up with all of the reasons to
24	pick it.

1	areas that we reviewed on there.
2	Okay. I'm not sure why this is has public
3	comment and board question and action. It's
4	already been accomplished. It's already been
5	compiled and it's already been administered. So I
6	don't think it's a it's something that needs to
7	be voted on from that standpoint. There Doug,
8	can you
9	MR. BURNETT: No, it's an annual evaluation.
10	Under his contract, it was something the Authority
11	wanted to make sure that it did every year, was
12	to is to annually evaluate him.
13	There was a and there has historically been
14	a significant amount of discussion related to the
15	executive director's compensation being having a
16	performance-based component. So it's something you
17	always talk about related
18	MR. GEORGE: To my dollars, it does have it,
19	doesn't it?
20	MR. BURNETT: Oh, yes.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: He has an automatic an
23	automatic cost of living that's built in
24	MR. BURNETT: Uh-huh.

1	MR. GEORGE: CPI, something like that.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I want to say CPI, but it's
3	not CPI. What is it?
4	MR. YOUMAN: It's not based on the same one as
5	Social Security, is it?
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: There are a couple of
7	things that as we go forward, that when Ed and I
8	sat down and went over the the evaluation, he
9	would like for the new board to come up with a list
10	of goals that they would like to see him accomplish
11	during the year. So that's something we want to
12	look at.
13	MR. GEORGE: Get the park open.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I'm sorry?
15	MR. GEORGE: Get the park open.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That's something that we'd
17	like to look at in January, and I would I would
18	like the board members that are currently here who
19	will not be a part of that to still submit their
20	ideas to the current board in January when we
21	undertake that.
22	He'd also like us to look at reviewing some of
23	our policies on a monthly basis to make sure that
24	the language in all of our policies are up to date.
1	something that's come up frequently tonight, and
----	---
2	coming up with some strategies and spending time at
3	our meetings on long-term planning. And I think
4	that would go hand in hand with the business plan
5	and for the overall for efficiency and
6	operation of the airport. So that's some of his
7	feedback that I want to share with you as we go
8	forward.
9	MR. GEORGE: Madam Chairman, what are we going
10	to do about awarding or not awarding the bonus? It
11	is in his contract
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: His contract provides that
13	if the board so feels that he has done an
14	exceptional job, that that could be awarded.
15	MR. GEORGE: When do we discuss it?
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I've discussed it with Ed
17	and he's declined that based on the current
18	economic situation that our country is facing and
19	also based on right now that he would to do
20	something like that would he'd rather pass on.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I have to make a point,
22	and I'm leaving the board. That is not your
23	decision between you and Ed to make.
24	This board established the bonus and for

this board, in my opinion, needs to be included in

1	the discussion of waiving the bonus or not giving
2	it. I think that you're overstepping, in my
3	opinion, the bounds of what the chairman is there
4	for.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Well, then we'll put it to
6	a vote. How many people here would like to award a
7	bonus?
8	MR. WERTER: Well, it would require more
9	discussion than that
10	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
12	MR. WERTER: just throwing it out there.
13	MR. GORMAN: It would require, yes.
14	MR. GEORGE: I think that it
15	MR. WERTER: At this time, the motion I think
16	would be untimely. Not motion, but a vote on a
17	motion would be untimely. And we should review
18	we should review those factors. And, you know, if
19	anything, I mean, he's done an outstanding job. If
20	there's room for some sort of bonus, even if it's a
21	coupon to Ruth's Chris or something, you know, he's
22	earned it. He's earned it.
23	And I commend him for his his social
24	responsibility and stuff, but we still need to

1	credit where credit's due. But that should be put
2	on the agenda as a proper as a proper item for
3	discussion.
4	MR. GEORGE: I think that the contract says,
5	and correct me if I'm wrong, a reduction in the
6	overall budgeted expenses of running the place or
7	an increase in revenue. I haven't seen those
8	statistics. So before you could really come up and
9	say he's due a bonus, we need to see what those
10	numbers are. And as a point of clarification, it's
11	two years, not one. We didn't do anything last
12	year.
13	MR. WERTER: Did we reserve on last year?
14	MR. GEORGE: Last year, we just passed.
15	MR. WERTER: Oh, passed. Okay.
16	MR. GEORGE: Excuse me. Last year, it was not
17	discussed. That's the reason it was got on
18	the the reminder item this this year.
19	So I would I would like to suggest I'd
20	like to give a motion that we ask the executive
21	director and staff to give us the statistics as
22	presented in his contract of what, you know, the
23	revenue budget was, what the revenue increase or
24	the was, and expense, if that's what it actually

1	MR. YOUMAN: I'll second that.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Any further board
3	discussion?
4	(None.)
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor, say aye.
6	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
7	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
8	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
9	MR. WERTER: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Opposed? Aye. Motion
11	passes.
12	HOUSEKEEPING
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Housekeeping items, we
14	already welcomed Bob Cox and Joe Ciriello. Like to
15	welcome them again.
16	We had talked at our last meeting about the
17	annual financial audit, and we had hoped it would
18	be a December budget item. Is that still on
19	MR. WUELLNER: It's still on track. All the
20	field's work completed. So it's just putting
21	the they're putting the report together now. So
22	I don't expect any issues. When and if we get a
23	draft, typically, ideally it will be about a week
24	ahead of time, we'll get that circulated so you

1	presentation by the auditor in December. Meeting
2	dates
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Yeah. The proposed meeting
4	dates are listed. If there's going to be any
5	conflict with those, if you'll please advise staff
6	so that we can look at that.
7	MR. WUELLNER: I would point out that fully
8	half the meetings aren't on the first Monday
9	anymore. So that
10	MR. YOUMAN: I noticed that.
11	MR. WUELLNER: For various reasons, many of
12	which are completely out of everyone's control, and
13	including two of which are federal holidays.
14	You've got several potential conflicts with like
15	AAAE and FAC, just from a timing standpoint.
16	MR. GORMAN: For clarification, the next
17	meeting of this board where we change the reigns
18	and everybody is the 24th, or in other words, do
19	I come the 24th or what goes on then?
20	MR. WUELLNER: January 24th? Yes.
21	MR. GORMAN: And that is it.
22	MR. WUELLNER: At this point.
23	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Unless you elect to do, which

25 is an opportunity for you, but if you want to try

1	and do an organizational meeting before the the
2	week ahead of that I'm not sure, because minus 7
3	is the 17th, a week. So it'd have to be prior to
4	the 17th to make it work on a Monday, which the
5	17th would be the 10th. The 10th would probably be
6	the only opportunity to try and do an
7	organizational meeting before the 24th, if you want
8	to do that.
9	MR. GORMAN: Is the 24th the only date
10	available for that one? It's way deep into
11	January, because that's I may have a problem
12	with that.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, Monday the 17th is the
14	federal holiday
15	MR. GORMAN: Right.
16	MR. WUELLNER: which is always a conflict,
17	you know, in January and February. So that we've
18	tentatively got it the 24th, the week after. It
19	could be moved a week ahead. So, I mean
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That's that's a holiday
21	week.
22	MR. WUELLNER: The 10th comes up pretty darn
23	quick.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That's a holiday week.

1	ahead of the 17th, so that would bring it to the
2	10th. But your you've got between the holidays
3	and the like, there's not a lot of
4	MR. GORMAN: Room there.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's not a lot of
6	detail that comes out that, you know, kind of forms
7	an agenda during about two weeks there at the end
8	of the December. So it really works better for us
9	in terms of being able to have enough stuff on the
10	agenda to make it worth having a meeting.
11	MR. GEORGE: Legally we can't do it in the
12	December meeting? We have to do it in January?
13	MR. WUELLNER: The organizational meeting
14	technically can't occur till the Monday following
15	the first Tuesday in January.
16	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
17	MR. WUELLNER: That's the earliest date. I
18	believe that's how it's worded.
19	MR. GEORGE: All the old board members just
20	will be seated in essence then until we get to that
21	meeting. So it's
22	MR. WUELLNER: Till they're sworn in. You
23	know, it's just sit down for a minute and get up
24	and leave.

MR. GEORGE: Why don't we do it in July? That

116

1	way, we could stay longer.
2	MR. GORMAN: I don't think so.
3	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's formally, there
4	I believe the way it's written is they assume their
5	positions in January. But we we kind of more
6	formally hand it over. It's
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe?
8	MR. CIRIELLO: When is this swearing-in date
9	you guys are discussing? According to according
10	to the charter, I just read it two or three times
11	recently, it states that the swearing in of the new
12	elected members is the first Tuesday after the
13	first Monday in January.
14	The first Monday is I think the 3rd. And the
15	first Tuesday I think is the 4th or else it's the
16	4th and 5th. So if you're going to wait until
17	January 24th, the end of the month to swear us in,
18	it's almost a whole month we won't be able to speak
19	our peace.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, well no. You can.
21	You officially take that position as you stated in
22	January, the 4th or 5th, whatever that whatever
23	that date is. What we're what we're talking
24	about is the formality of just handing it over.

You are formally in that position as of the

1	early January. But right now, the next the only
2	meeting date is January 24th. So you're you're
3	in that position for three weeks out of the first
4	meeting.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: So you are going to have the
6	swearing-in on the 4th?
7	MR. WUELLNER: No, we don't we're not
8	required to do your your signature on your
9	oath of office to the Secretary of State is all
10	that's required to assume the position. We do it
11	just formally as a way of just allowing the public
12	to be a part of you assuming that role.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: I don't under
14	MR. WUELLNER: We don't
15	MR. CIRIELLO: I don't understand, because
16	it's written black and white right in the charter,
17	and the charter is the governing rules of the
18	board.
19	MR. WUELLNER: And we we are using the
20	charter. Maybe I'm not being as clear as I need to
21	be with
22	MR. WERTER: Let me put it this way. You do
23	take your position January 4th.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Whatever it is 4th or 5th.

25 MR. WERTER: Okay. The party's on the 24th.

118

1	How's that? Does that make more sense?
2	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Your birthday is the 4th, but
4	we're not celebrating it until the 24th.
5	MR. WERTER: That's what it is.
6	MR. WUELLNER: You're still older on the 4th.
7	MR. CIRIELLO: I quit having birthdays.
8	MR. WUELLNER: You're still older on the 4th.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So with that, we'll leave
11	the January meeting to January the 24th?
12	MR. WUELLNER: That's up to you guys.
13	MR. GEORGE: That's when it is.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That's when it was set for,
15	but there was some discussion about having a
16	precursor or earlier meeting on the January
17	the
18	MR. GEORGE: What's most convenient for your
19	schedule?
20	MR. GORMAN: I'm going to have to I'll have
21	to move my employment up a day, but I'll just leave
22	it there.
23	MR. YOUMAN: The 10th?
24	MR. WUELLNER: 24th.

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 MR. GORMAN: 24th. All right.

1	MR. WUELLNER: You don't formally have to be
2	here. That's really up to you.
3	MR. GORMAN: I know it. I'm just there for
4	the beer.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just letting you know.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: The annual conferences and
7	dates are listed there so that you can start
8	looking at your calendar.
9	PUBLIC COMMENT
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And we have public comment
11	for the meeting. And there is no nobody left
12	for public comment.
13	AUTHORITY MEMBERS
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So we can open it up for
15	Authority Members. Mr. Werter?
16	MR. WERTER: No, I just hope that the park can
17	move along at a ready pace. Other than that
18	let's see. We've got partings of the water. Buzz
19	and Jack agreeing. What's next?
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack?
21	MR. GORMAN: As usual, we accomplished things.
22	Sometimes without with a bit of flailing, but it
23	gets done.
24	I'm I'm pleased that the decision's been

25 made to open the park up on a primitive basis,

1	let's call that let's coin this new word, on a
2	primitive basis as quickly as possible to provide
3	something for the public. It's I'll save all
4	the waxing philosophic for the 24th, but it's been
5	a privilege to serve on this board.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And we still have a meeting
7	on December 13th.
8	MR. GORMAN: And that is
9	MR. GEORGE: You've got one more meeting.
10	MR. WUELLNER: One more regular meeting.
11	MR. GEORGE: Hopefully you'll still enjoy
12	serving.
13	MR. GORMAN: I'll be here. I'll make it.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Hopefully you'll still enjoy
15	serving. I like that.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Buzz?
17	MR. GEORGE: No comment.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
19	MR. YOUMAN: I brought up Congressman Mica's
20	letter.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly. We did forget
22	to address that.
23	MR. YOUMAN: Did each individual member of the
24	board get a copy of this letter?

25 MR. WERTER: I did.

1	MR. YOUMAN: Should we answer it somehow, at
2	least acknowledge that we received it, or has that
3	been done?
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I don't think that that has
5	been done.
6	MR. WERTER: If we could formally put it on
7	the agenda for next meeting.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Yes, we should probably put
9	it on the agenda for the next meeting. But
10	MR. YOUMAN: But I believe I believe it
11	should be acknowledged before next meeting's agenda
12	just to say we received it and we're going to bring
13	it up in a board meeting for review at
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: For further discussion.
15	Agreed.
16	MR. YOUMAN: Can we ask the staff to do that?
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly. In our behalf.
18	MR. YOUMAN: Under Chair if the board
19	agrees, under Chairman Barrera's signature here.
20	MR. GEORGE: Sorry.
21	MR. WUELLNER: I haven't seen this, so
22	MR. YOUMAN: Right.
23	MR. WUELLNER: I need to get a copy from
24	somebody.

25 MR. YOUMAN: Take it.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. What you're looking for
2	is just a response back to
3	MR. YOUMAN: Yeah. A response to say, yes,
4	we as a courtesy so that they that we all
5	received it and it's being reviewed and we're going
6	to bring it up for board discussion on the next
7	meeting.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: December 13th.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. And what are we
10	discussing?
11	MR. YOUMAN: It's
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Privatization.
13	MR. YOUMAN: TSA, privatization of TSA
14	under federal guidelines, which is one of Mica's
15	priorities.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Okay. Yeah. We'll talk
17	about it in December? Is that
18	MR. YOUMAN: Yes.
19	MR. WUELLNER: You may not want to support
20	that, by the way, at least for our use. I don't
21	mind it as an option, but it's probably not the way
22	you want to go for cost reasons.
23	MR. WERTER: Uh-huh.
24	MR. YOUMAN: Well, read read the letter.

you're ahead of me.

2	MR. YOUMAN: Right. Now, do I now is it my
3	turn to comment?
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Yes.
5	MR. YOUMAN: I have no comments.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you.
7	MR. YOUMAN: May I make a motion we adjourn
8	the meeting?
9	MR. GEORGE: Not till we hear Kelly's
10	comments.
11	MR. YOUMAN: Oh.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think I'm going to hold
13	my comments for the next meeting.
14	MR. BURNETT: Madam Chairman?
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Yes, Doug.
16	MR. BURNETT: I know it's out of order, but
17	could I could I just make one comment?
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly.
19	MR. BURNETT: Brief comment, which is this to
20	give you something to think about.
21	The Airport the Airport Authority's
22	executive director's contract, when it talks about
23	this issue of performance, the specific language is
24	that if he performed in an excellent outstanding

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

25 manner that has resulted in improvement to the

124

1	fiscal performance of the Authority, the executive
2	salary may be increased beyond CPI and may even be
3	increased to reflect the market rate for similarly
4	situated airport executives as as determined by
5	the Authority, and/or the Authority may award the
6	executive a merit performance bonus for such
7	excellent outstanding performance.
8	MR. GEORGE: That's or?
9	MR. BURNETT: Or and/or.
10	MR. GEORGE: Oh, and/or, okay.
11	MR. BURNETT: So it the salary can be
12	adjusted to market or up to market
13	MR. GEORGE: Is there a definition of what
14	bonus
15	MR. BURNETT: It in this the other thing
16	is, or and/or you can award a bonus, but there
17	is no criteria to go with it.
18	That was one of the things that there had been
19	some discussion years in years past about
20	putting some sort of criteria to it. But there's
21	no criteria in here. So that's that's where
22	you're left with one way or the other, whatever
23	your decision is related to
24	MR. GEORGE: I'll check the minutes.

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2010%20Minutes/Mtg111510.txt[11/29/2010 9:19:57 AM]

NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Our next meeting
2	will be December 13th and meeting is adjourned.
3	(Meeting adjourned at 6:23 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2
3 STATE OF FLORIDA)
4 COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5
6 I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR, certify
7 that I was authorized to and did stenographically report
8 the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a
9 true record of my stenographic notes.
10
11 Dated this 22nd day of November, 2010.
12
13 JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23