1	ST. AUGUSTINE -	ST.	JOHNS	COUNTY	AIRPORT	AUTHORITY

2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, October 20, 2008
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 6:48 p.m.
7	********
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE
10	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN, Secretary-Treasurer SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
11	KELLY BARRERA
	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
12	RANDY BRUNSON
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	ALSO I RESERT.
16	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
17	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
18	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
19	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
- /	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

file:///A//MTG102008.txt				
20				
21				
22	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard			
23	St. Augustine, FL 32084			
24	(904) 825-0570			

1		INDEX		
2		PAGE		
3	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		3
4	3.	APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUT	ES	3
5	4.	FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTAN	CE	3
6	5.	AGENDA APPROVAL	2	1
7	6.	COMMITTEE REPORTS		7
8	7.	REPORTS 1	10	
9	8.	PROJECT UPDATES	18	
10	9.	ACTION ITEMS		
11		A. Matrix Employee Leasing - Hanga B. Wind Dancer - Lease Old Top Gun		33 39
12		C. Engineering & Planning Consultar D. Property Acquisition	_	74
13		E. Environmental Assessment Contra F. Runway 13/31 Analysis & Prelimin	ict	109 on 116
14		G. South Development - Site Plan Di	scussion	
15		H. West Side Property Discussion	147	
16	9.	HOUSEKEEPING	158	
	10.	PUBLIC COMMENT GENERAL		159
17	11.	AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS	}	160
18	10.	NEXT BOARD MEETING		171
19	11.	ADJOURNMENT	175	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the
3	St. Augustine Airport Authority meeting for
4	October 20th. If we could stand and have the
5	pledge to the flag, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I want to thank
8	everyone for being here. We have a lot on the
9	agenda today.
10	APPROVAL OF MINUTES
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: First of all, I'm going to
12	ask for approval of the minutes. Has everyone
13	obtained their copy and read through it? Are
14	there any exceptions or objections to the minutes
15	as presented?
16	(No exceptions or objections.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none from the board
18	we'll approve the minutes as presented.
19	FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Financial report for August
21	and September.
22	MR. GORMAN: Well, after a cursory
23	examination, they look to be in order and I would
24	certainly approve them.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other comments on the

1	financial reports? Exceptions, objections?
2	(No exceptions or objections.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And hearing none, the
4	financial reports will be accepted.
5	AGENDA APPROVAL
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And last, as a for
7	approval is the meeting agenda as presented. Like
8	I said, we have a lot on our plate today. Any
9	corrections or exceptions to the agenda?
10	(No corrections or exceptions.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Hearing none,
12	the agenda's going to stand as presented.
13	Just a couple of business matters. One, I
14	want to thank I see all of the four candidates
15	here that are running for the board. You all have
16	been here before, so now you know what you're
17	getting into. But good luck with everybody coming
18	up on the election. It's very nice. Joe, Jim,
19	Herb, and Carl. Very good. Thank you.

20	And, Diane, did you want to address the
21	board? Thank you so much.
22	MS. POWERS: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is Diane Powers. Her
24	husband, Gene Powers, had passed recently. He was
25	a very good member of our community and attended a

1	lot of our board meetings.
2	MS. POWERS: I wanted to take a moment to
3	speak with you-all completely aside from the
4	business of the day. Eighty-one days ago, our
5	family got the news that no pilot's family wants
6	to receive.
7	At that time, we were literally crushed under
8	the weight of this. And it was at that time that
9	the aviation community and the St. Johns County
10	Airport community came forward and gave us
11	strength and shoulders to help lift this
12	unbearable burden with what I hope was some amount
13	of dignity and in a way that would have made Gene
14	proud. And I wanted to take this time to say I
15	now know why he wanted to be here everyday.
16	This is more than people realize. And this
17	has helped not just me, but his children, his
18	family, his friends, and everybody associated with
19	him move forward from this tragedy in a way that I

20	think brings	us all	closer	together.

- So, I just wanted to take this moment to
- simply and inadequately say thank you all, the
- Authority, SAAPA, the businesses, the pilots, just
- private individuals that came forward. Thank you.
- Thank you, very much.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Diane. I know we
2	will sorely miss him and he was a great addition.
3	And that was a lovely ceremony, too, with the
4	flyover and everything. It was absolutely
5	wonderful.
6	MS. POWERS: It was all because of you.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Buzz, you had a
8	comment real quickly?
9	MR. GEORGE: Yes. We were all devastated,
10	what happened to Gene, and it has a big impact on
11	our airport and our friendships and so forth.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz, and nothing against,
13	but we're being filmed by Nease High School, so I
14	really need your big booming voice.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I just want to make a
16	comment that, in addition to Gene Powers, the
17	airport has lost another friend and big supporter
18	of the airport, and that's Gus Craig.
19	I don't know how many of you know or knew Gus

20	and his involvement in the airport, but he took
21	over the airport at one time, being one of the
22	airport board members and they were in desperate
23	need of a of a director, and he came in and
24	took that job over for several years.

And he has always been a supporter here, not

1	only in his role in Tallahassee where I think he
2	was there 15 years, you know, as a representative
3	of this county, but he's going to be definitely
4	will be missed just like Gene is going to be
5	missed. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Buzz.
7	COMMITTEE REPORTS
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Committee reports.
9	MPO?
10	MR. GORMAN: Well, the Metropolitan Planning
11	Organization has been renamed and aptly renamed to
12	the Transportation Planning Organization, because
13	actually that is what they do.
14	And I've been in touch with Denise
15	Bunneworth Bunnewith, who is the director of
16	that organization, at length all last week and the
17	week before and has been a lot more effective
18	actually than the meetings themselves, which kind
19	of run on. And we will use some of that

20	information later when we discuss the disposition
21	of the lands west and other things that have to do
22	with planning.
23	So, it the that organization's value to
24	St. Johns County and to this board is really

it's important only because, well, for instance,

1	the two things we need to discuss is is layouts
2	and the usage of land versus where major highways
3	are going to go and their interest in intermodal
4	facilities. And things like that directly affect
5	the airport. So that's why to be on that. So
6	we'll get into that discussion later.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: When's their next one?
8	MR. GORMAN: You know, I don't know off the
9	top of my head.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: EDC? I could not attend
11	that. I was in court that morning. I know, Doug,
12	did that was a health issue. They had
13	physicians at the last EDC meeting.
14	MR. BURNETT: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It didn't address the
16	airport per se, but
17	MR. BURNETT: No, not really. And mostly,
18	the guest speaker was Dr. Machado, who is the
19	the head physician at Flagler Hospital for lack of

20	a better term. I'm not sure his exact chief
21	operating officer.

- MR. WUELLNER: Is he?
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. BURNETT: And it was interesting. The
- 25 tie-in was that physicians are becoming more and

1	more they re physicians that are separate
2	from the hospital obviously are small business
3	owners, but they're increasingly going that model
4	even when they're somehow or another tied to the
5	hospital. It was very informative. They've got a
6	lot of insurance-related issues that are
7	hot-button items these days, obviously.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or no more insurance.
9	MR. BURNETT: Uh-huh.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thanks.
11	Intergovernmental?
12	MR. GORMAN: Of course the last
13	intergovernmental meeting, I went on and on as to
14	what happened and as soon as we convene another
15	one, I'll have another report.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thanks. And
17	aerospace?
18	MS. BARRERA: The Aerospace Academy had an
19	open house on October 2nd at St. Augustine High

20	School. It was very well done and very
21	well-received.
22	They had several dignitaries there from
23	different aspects of the county and the regional
24	area, including Mike Weinstein and several state
25	representatives who were there.

1	It was very well attended. They had the FCCJ
2	air traffic control facilities up. They're very
3	impressive and people were very impressed with
4	what we were doing. They're very impressed with
5	what was going on with Grumman and the partnership
6	there.
7	And we had two people from other school
8	boards want to talk to the academy representatives
9	in order to find out how they could duplicate a
10	partnership like the one that is right now in
11	place with the Aerospace Academy and the airport
12	and the business community. So it's very good.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Excellent.
14	REPORTS
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ron, did you have anything
16	with the commission? Hi.
17	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'm sorry I'm late
18	today.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, you're right on time.

 things going on. So, I did get here in time to talk to you. I don't really have anything. I mean, business at the county will go on as usual 	20	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I had a few few
I don't really have anything. I mean,	21	things going on. So, I did get here in time to
	22	talk to you.
business at the county will go on as usual	23	I don't really have anything. I mean,
	24	business at the county will go on as usual

despite, you know, the activity that's happened.

1	And, you know, I don't see any interruptions of
2	business or anything else. So it will continue
3	right on.
4	And we Commissioner Stevenson, as vice
5	chair, will sit in as acting chair, and then come
6	November 18th, we will have a reorganizational
7	meeting anyway where we will have two new
8	commissioners and two old ones, old not
9	representing age, of course like myself. But it
10	will be interesting.
11	There'll be some educational times, I'm sure,
12	to go through, like with the new commissioners.
13	And when you have the two of them being new, you
14	know, they'll they've have to learn pretty
15	quick, and I have no doubt that they'll be able to
16	handle that. So, anyway, if anyone's got any
17	questions, I'll be glad to try to answer them
18	MR. BURNETT: When's Madam Chair?
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was just the

20	reorganizational meeting, does that mean they
21	choose a new liaison?
22	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: The no. The new
23	chairperson would designate the the committees
24	that the commissioners would represent.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Because you've done

1	such a good job and been here, I was just
2	wondering.
3	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Well, thanks. I've
4	enjoyed it. If I have anything to say about it,
5	I'd like to stick with it.
6	You know, I changing every year doesn't
7	make a lot of sense to me, because it takes a
8	while to learn the board and it takes a while to
9	understand the board, as we all know. We've been
10	through some of that. And I think by the time you
11	get where you you really understand things and
12	you really could be useful, they put you on other
13	boards. So that doesn't make a bit of sense to me
14	at all, but we'll have to see what happens.
15	We do have the right to request that, and we
16	also have the right to ask a board decision if
17	we're put on something we don't like to to go
18	on or we would rather not go on or we don't feel
19	like we have the knowledge to be on or and I

20	think I've learned enough about about this to
21	be a little knowledgeable, anyway. Any other
22	questions? I will be glad to answer them.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug had some.
24	MR. BURNETT: Y'all have got an open house

coming up. When is that?

1	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: October 28th. We have
2	a a regular meeting on the 28th. Before that,
3	we're going to have an open house to the public to
4	come and look at the new administration building,
5	the new auditorium.
6	And contrary to the word you'll probably hear
7	in different letters and stuff, but it it's not
8	a Taj Mahal. It's a really nice-built building
9	that was built very cheap. And being a contractor
10	myself, I don't know how the guy did it for that.
11	But we've got a really nice building and we're set
12	to do business and we're set for expansion into
13	quite a few years without having to worry about
14	space. So, that makes it pretty nice.
15	The the new auditorium is very nice. It
16	will be screen display voting now instead of roll
17	call voting. We have the buttons, "Yes" "No." I
18	asked them to add "Maybe," or "Whatever the other
10	guys think " but they they didn't like to go

20	along with that.
21	And it it's interesting. But there is a
22	button there that's got "ABS" on it. And that's
23	to abstain from voting. Of course it's illegal to
24	do that in the State of Florida. So I told Jerry
25	Cameron, I said, "Well, to me, that's going to be

I

1	my absolutely button, yes, no or absolutely," you
2	know. So anyway, I don't know.
3	The charter thing's coming up for a vote
4	again. There's been a lot of disagreement and
5	argument about some of that. However, you know,
6	can't allow a handful of people to to mislead
7	the public, any part of the public, is the reason
8	I decided to put it back on.
9	It was not as I think was stated in the
10	editorial, and I know Peter doesn't like the
11	editorial, but it was stated that we did it so we
12	could get it to pass. We've we've talked about
13	this so much at our meetings and there's
14	absolutely no truth to that whatsoever. We did it
15	because our voters got lied to drastically. And
16	if nothing else, I want to show the people that
17	did that that we're not going to stand for it.
18	We can't do anything about the election laws
19	with commissioners and different elected officers.

- You know, people seem to be able to lie all they
- 21 want to about that and we can't do a thing about
- it. But this one, we could do something about and
- that's -- that's the message I wanted to send. So
- that's the reason I supported it.
- 25 Amphitheatre is doing absolutely fantastic.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
2	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: If any of you are old
3	enough to remember Steve Miller Band, they're
4	going to be there. I think it's already a
5	sellout, but that's going to be an exciting show.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm old enough to remember
7	Boston and they got hurricaned out. Are they
8	coming back?
9	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I don't know. I'm
10	pretty sure they will at one time or another.
11	It's hard to believe some of these acts coming in
12	and to look at some of the name acts and to look
13	at my association with the entertainment business
14	for so many years.
15	And when I was in entertainment agency
16	booking lounges in the State of Florida, I
17	actually took a buyer to see Backstreet Boys, who
18	were out of Orlando at the time, and we turned
10	them down because they were not heavy enough in

- 20 the top 40. They had a beautiful band and
- 21 excellent, but look at where are they now.
- Anyway, that's about it, you know.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Any questions? I
- would be glad to answer them.

1	(No questions.)
2	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Ron. Okay.
4	Reports, I guess we had Ron. SAAPA. Reba?
5	MS. LUDLOW: Not much to report on SAAPA. We
6	had a wonderful dinner dance on Saturday night.
7	We had over a hundred people there. 20's band,
8	jazz World War II band was there. Just just
9	a fabulous job. Millie and the whole board just
10	did a fabulous job on it. Those who missed it
11	really missed a nice time. We're just gaining
12	members right and left and cannot wait on our new
13	public access building.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael?
15	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report this month.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Nothing? Northrop? Nobody
17	here?
18	(No one present.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay, And Biorn, I didn't

20	see. Nobody from there? Okay. Doug.
21	MR. BURNETT: Just very quickly, I'll keep it
22	short and sweet to this. You may recall there was
23	a dispute that arose related to a very small
24	dollar amount a while back and an issue at the
25	airport and I'll say this, that we've resolved it

1	amicably. And so, it's not really much of a
2	report other than the one dispute that we had that
3	came up, again, for a small dollar amount that's
4	been resolved.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Project updates?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, we've got the
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, Mark. I'm sorry, Mark.
8	The chart.
9	MR. WUELLNER: I didn't see Mark.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I didn't, either. It's your
11	chart then, Ed.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. As you can tell by
13	the looks orange on my screen, looks a little
14	greener on that screen, but we're about 840
15	takeoffs and landings over the same nine-month
16	period or eight-month period for the year.
17	So actually, you know what? I drew the
18	arrow in the wrong space. It should be over under
19	September. It is for the nine months. That is

20	correct numbers on it, but I think I drew the
21	arrow in the wrong location. So we're about 840
22	takeoffs and landings over what the same
23	nine-month period last year. So, still staying
24	ahead of what we were, anyway.

MR. GEORGE: Did -- excuse me. Did that 840

1 occur in one or two months? Because I know this 2 is a cumulative chart. 3 MR. WUELLNER: If -- it looked to be --4 MR. GEORGE: I don't remember. It looks 5 like, you know, that we've had an overage, you know, all the way back from February. 6 MR. WUELLNER: Honestly, I don't know without 7 looking it up on the --8 MR. GEORGE: No problem. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Looked like it peaked, if my 10 memory's correct, it looks like in July and then 11 it -- August was weather month, as I recall, and 12 we were down and lost some of the edge. I think 13 we were a little over a thousand at July, and back 14 down to about 840. I think we gained a little 15 16 last month, too. Not much. It was about 40 17 operations or something. MR. GEORGE: Okay. 18

MR. WUELLNER: But I -- we can get you more

19

20	details, if you want. I just don't have them in
21	front of me. Okay. Project updates.
22	PROJECT UPDATES
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right.
24	MR. WUELLNER: South T-hangar area. Pleased
25	to report that all the T-hangars have been leased.

While the contractor is still running around doing

a handful of very small punch list items, 2 3 virtually all of the hangars are now occupied, even though they are all leased. 4 It ended up with an uncontacted waiting list. 5 You recall we started out about 180 names, 185 6 7 names when it all started. We're down to about 90 8 names on the list that have not been contacted. When you add those who wish to go to the end of 9 the list, you've got a total list now of about 110 10 names. So, very pleased with the outcome of it. 11 It looks real good back there. If you 12 haven't had a chance to go back and look at that 13 area, it's -- it's exceptional. We had people 14 over from -- they asked to come over and see this 15 project from Gainesville week before last and were 16 17 just kind of blown away would with how -- they're really excited about maybe trying to find a way to 18 do some of this at their place, too, where you 19

20	work the hangars in and, you know, keep old growth
21	and, you know, don't take that that clear-cut
22	mentality.
23	So, it it's obviously gained people are
24	starting to accept the concept. So, that's

it's nice to have been on the cutting edge of that

for a change. 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz? 2 3 MR. GEORGE: If we've gone from 180 to 90 and we had roughly 45 new hangars, then it looks 4 like -- it just sounds like that it's a 50 percent 5 fallout on the list? 6 MR. WUELLNER: Approximately. 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right. 8 MR. WUELLNER: That's a net. You know, 9 obviously --10 11 MR. GEORGE: I understand. MR. WUELLNER: -- some of those -- some of 12

those who were on the list are in -- actually in

port-a-ports now, not in -- you know, as a

result -- the vacancies occurred all over as a

16 result.

13

14

- 17 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: I've got one. I -- my last --
- 19 now, this may not be fresh information. My last

20	information is all our hangars, we have just five
21	that are not rented and that's because the
22	airplanes are just physically too big to fit in
23	the old port-a-ports?

- MR. WUELLNER: Actually, I think -- three.
- MR. GORMAN: Is it down to three?

1	MR. WUELLNER: I think there's three that
2	remain on the
3	MR. GORMAN: Right. I'm just saying this
4	MR. WUELLNER: Waiting an appropriate size
5	hangar.
6	MR. GORMAN: I'm just saying this to seed a
7	discussion with the port-a-ports and their
8	disposition, you know, because most airplanes are
9	too big to actually physically fit in one.
10	MR. WUELLNER: We still have some bigger
11	hangars, but unfortunately between the rental rate
12	and it's way overkill for these particular
13	hangars
14	MR. GORMAN: Right.
15	MR. WUELLNER: or these particular
16	aircraft request.
17	They're looking for something that's maybe a
18	45-foot door and an extra foot tall, one or the
19	other. And when you put that into a 50 x 50 kind

20	of unit, suddenly it's quite expensive compared to
21	a T-hangar. So, they're waiting we do have
22	some units that will work; they just weren't
23	available. Typically falls to light twin.
24	MR. GORMAN: These are the ones we're going
25	to have the pricing discussion on?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, we'll mention it and
2	we'll see whether you want to talk about the rate
3	structure or not on those.
4	MR. GORMAN: All right.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Box hangars, these are the
7	50 x 50s and 50 x 60s. At this point, we have two
8	of the new 50 x 50s still available and one of the
9	50 x 60s. And we have gone through the waiting
10	list. We had 33 names looking for that space.
11	Primary reason for not taking space was the
12	price. I mean, we have comparable product on the
13	airport that wasn't isn't at that rate. It's
14	in the \$900 range, I believe. And as a result,
15	you're you're about 60 percent more than what
16	they were paying or could pay at a different unit
17	if they want to wait for it.
18	So this is where we probably need to talk
19	about the pricing itself and whether you want to

20	look at some adjustment. We can try to throw out
21	a few ideas for you. It's not necessarily a bad
22	thing to have a few units available for people
23	who, you know, just show up, also. That it's
24	really up to you at this point. But certainly
25	from a from a money standpoint, we're better

off having them occupied. 1 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack? 3 MR. GORMAN: And one more question on these. 4 These that are not occupied right now, we had some 5 short discussion as to the conversion of regular 6 hangars into commercial hangars with the addition 7 of a fire wall. And is that --MR. WUELLNER: T-hangars, yes. 8 MR. GORMAN: T -- well, can these hangars 9 being converted? Let's just keep it simple. 10 11 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. GORMAN: Can these hangars be converted 12 to commercial, and is it -- is it price -- is it 13 cost prohibitive? 14 MR. WUELLNER: They could be converted to 15 16 corporate storage and we could add -- in order to 17 really complete that, you would need to add a little bit of office space with it. They probably 18

would not pass muster with the county in upgrading

20	to a full commercial standard. Primarily because
21	the commercial runs the gamut in types of uses.
22	MR. GORMAN: I'm just talking about
23	maintenance. In other words, hangars that can be
24	leased to do

MR. WUELLNER: Maintenance would be

problematic primarily because you'd have to create 1 2 a -- at least a one- and maybe a two- or 3 three-hour fire wall between adjoining units in order to comply with fire codes. 4 So you'd be looking at creating a -- I don't 5 even know that you can get there with Drywall or 6 it's a several inches thick of Drywall in order to 7 8 get that kind of a dry -- a fire wall protection between adjoining units or non -- or different 9 types of use. 10 MR. GORMAN: Is there a -- a way that could 11 be priced out to see if it is possible? 12 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. GORMAN: I mean, I'm just -- because 14 there is a -- I know there's a --15 16 MR. WUELLNER: They can be priced. 17 MR. GORMAN: I mean, you can get -- if you can do maintenance in a hangar, you certainly 18

19

command a higher price.

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: That's -- that's my only
- thought.
- MR. WUELLNER: It could be made -- could be
- 24 made to work.
- MR. GORMAN: And what it would cost to do so.

12

- 1 Just curious. MR. WUELLNER: We've even looked at some 2 3 drop-in, I want to say, skid-mounted kind of office units that can be placed in there. They 4 run \$12-, \$13,000 a unit to put in there. But 5 6 they're premade, slide-in there. You in a sense plug them in and they're ready to go. 7 8 MR. GORMAN: And that would -- then that of 9 course --MR. WUELLNER: That would not make it a 10
- 13 MR. GORMAN: Ah, okay.

hangar at that point.

MR. WUELLNER: But you'd need to do that as

commercial hangar. That would make it a corporate

- well as add a significant amount of Drywall.
- 16 Significant fire wall-related work. It's not --
- there are other methods of doing it than Drywall.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: I'm just curious if you -- if
- 19 you could, you know, supply the need --

20	MR. WUELLNER: We can do a little
21	MR. GORMAN: of maintenance hangars by -
22	MR. WUELLNER: research this month and
23	find out what we'd be looking at to try and make
24	that conversion. If that's something you want to
25	explore in lieu of really looking at rent

structure, which is --1 MR. GORMAN: Just my own curiosity is what it 2 3 would cost. Just curious. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly had a question, too. 4 5 MS. BARRERA: Have we done any advertising in the local publications? 6 MR. WUELLNER: Local publications meaning? 7 MS. BARRERA: The local -- within the flying 8 community. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Not that I'm aware of, no, not 10 11 yet. MS. BARRERA: I'm wondering if we could do 12 that before we make the decision on this --13 14 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MS. BARRERA: -- because I'd like to kind of 15 16 see what else if -- if people are aware that we 17 have the space available. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Some of the Craig people or 18

19

something that might want to --

- MS. BARRERA: And some of the people in Palatka. There's several --
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MS. BARRERA: There's several people who have
- been interested.
- MR. WUELLNER: We had several people who were

1	interested in using it, but frankly, they were
2	trying to place some sort of a jet in that type of
3	a hangar, and we we told them that that wasn't
4	the area that that activity one had an old
5	Warbird kind of fighter aircraft that we knew
6	would be a noise problem if we placed it down
7	there as well as our corporate any kind of
8	corporate jet's probably just not a good mix down
9	in that area.
10	We've we've developed that space and I
11	think everybody's in agreement that that area
12	needs to stay prop. Might get away with a King
13	Air back there, but I don't think you want to go a
14	true jet back in there.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
16	MR. GEORGE: I was going to say the same
17	thing Kelly did and that was kind of advertising
18	it, because our market excuse me, our
19	marketplace that we've been going after is the

20	people on the waiting list.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
22	MR. BURNETT: And you would think that just
23	the fact that we've got construction going on over
24	here would make anybody that wants to consider
25	moving, put their name on the waiting list, but

- 1 that's not necessarily true. So I think it's a
- 2 good idea.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's -- we'll do the --
- 4 we'll do the research. We'll get some advertising
- 5 going into the cost side of it, too, and come back
- 6 and we'll see what we want to do in November.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. U.S. Customs facility,
- 11 construction is well underway. Those of you that
- haven't been down the street there, it's a -- the
- slab work's complete. Block work's complete as of
- 14 yesterday -- or as of Saturday. Rough-in trades
- have been in there, so you've got, you know,
- baseline electrical going in. They expect to have
- it -- the roof delivered, I believe it's Thursday
- of this week. So it's -- the thing should be
- 19 under roof sometime next week.

20	We're still on track for an end-of-the-year
21	finish, and we've sat down with Customs since the
22	last time this board's met and we're targeting
23	February 2nd as the actual open date. They figure
24	construction will be done the end of the year.
25	Gives them a month to get in here and get their IT

1	sturi straightened out and get their people
2	trained. So it should be should be functional
3	as of about February 1st, which is the first month
4	in February. Yes, sir?
5	MR. GORMAN: It amazes me this thing gone -
6	went this fast. I mean, this thing's popped out
7	of the ground. I wish everything went this fast.
8	MR. WUELLNER: You and me both.
9	MR. GORMAN: I mean, it just pops out of the
10	ground and people move in and that's it.
11	MR. WUELLNER: In fact, the picture down
12	there, if you can see it, that's as of a couple of
13	hours ago. So you can see the structure's
14	already already in place for the most part and
15	they're doing the final prep to begin the rolling
16	to start putting lime rock in for the the
17	adjacent apron to it. So, it's it's slamming
18	along pretty quick here. Very pleased with the
19	progress. Very easy contractor to work with, too.

20	They've blown me away in a few areas. I think
21	that's
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I'd like to make
23	a note. You know, I'm sure we have a file
24	somewhere of whom we've worked with, but I'd like
25	to make a note of that one

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yean.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: for future reference.
3	MR. WUELLNER: And we had never heard of them
4	before this job, so they've made quite an
5	impression down here already.
6	And public park, I they're they're out
7	there finishing the survey. I understand they
8	were back here today again with a survey. So they
9	are they were down there when I in fact, I
10	saw the crew standing on the edge of the taxiway
11	down there when I came in.
12	They're Bryan, in concert with Passero,
13	are developing a single-sheet drawing with real
14	park details on it so that we can get in there and
15	get the items built or little bridges bought or
16	whatever we're going to do back in there and come
17	up with that methodology.
18	And I would hope that we'll be just a couple
19	of more weeks on that drawing. With the survey

20	drawing completed, it will be we'll be in good
21	shape moving into the next couple of months
22	getting it getting the park actually finished,
23	getting it built, get in construction.
24	MR. GORMAN: That's my question. At the next

meeting, we can look at a graphic and --

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, absolutely.
2	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
3	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think there'll be any
4	problem with that. And brings up we understand
5	the public relations or whatever the the
6	airport citizens group met last week. I didn't
7	find out about it until afterwards
8	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
9	MR. WUELLNER: but I think Buzz was at
10	that.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I was there and it was
12	kind of a small turnout, but it was overwhelmingly
13	positive about the previous meetings that we had
14	had with, you know, the group, where we took them
15	around the airport and gave them a tour of the
16	facilities and met Mark in the tower, and they had
17	a better appreciation for it.
18	We do have one area that we need to real
19	follow-up, because we had specified things were

20	going to happen as far as keeping people informed
21	and entering information in the database. Well,
22	we we had a situation that I haven't had a
23	chance to talk to to Bryan yet, but we need to
24	make sure that it did get in the database and get
25	a more a smoother operating way of getting in.

getting information in. 1 Now, there is a way on the web site, a link 2 3 that if someone sees an airplane or an operation that they're concerned about, they can go in and 4 5 log a complaint there. And if -- if that's the primary method that Bryan wants to do, we need to 6 7 disseminate that information and also have a 8 backup plan for people that don't have a computer, how they can get in. 9 10 And then the other piece of it is develop a formal reporting procedure back, be it at this 11 meeting with a copy to them, we had so many 12 complaints. So many, you know, were resolved in 13 14 the following manner or not. But at least it's the next step, you know, to -- to make sure that 15 16 we do what we said we're going to do. But overall 17 it was a very positive meeting. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Excellent. And did you 18 discuss ways to get that out so people will know? 19

20	I mean, it's on the web site, I know, but to get
21	that information out, that go to the web site.
22	MR. GEORGE: Yes. That's what I have to do
23	the follow-up on and then I'll publish something
24	to have Bryan do it and we'll both get it back on
25	track. The next meeting is I think it's the

third Thursday of next month. 1 2 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: Marketing and public relations 4 5 committee did not meet this month, so there's no 6 report there. Airport leasing activities, I have a couple of leases to go over with you and they 7 8 follow immediately after this slide, so... CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 9 MR. WUELLNER: With that, if you're ready to 10 go to the first agenda item. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. Agenda item. 12 MATRIX EMPLOYEE LEASING - LEASE HANGAR 4 13 MR. WUELLNER: First agenda item is for 14 Matrix -- Matrix Employee Leasing is the company 15 16 name. This is the hangar that Spring Bay 17 Aviation, which you approved a lease agreement with last month, declined to lease it at the -- at 18

the last minute. So this is the old PGA hangar,

20	which was corporate hangar number 4. So it's over
21	on the east side next to Grumman's leasehold.
22	You may know it as the old SK hangar, the
23	original SK hangar, if that helps you orient
24	yourself. But it's an 8,200 square foot hangar.
25	It's the use proposed for it by Matrix Employee

1	Leasing is that of corporate storage and perhaps
2	at the future to develop a Part 135 charter
3	operation out of it.
4	Rate is set at \$6 a square foot, which
5	equates to \$49,200 a year, which is exactly the
6	same terms that Spring Bay had. It's a five-year
7	lease with three five-year options in it.
8	Provides for annual CPI adjustment and uses our
9	standard form lease and would be effective
10	November 1st, 2008 if you approve it.
11	And Staff recommends concurrence with desire
12	to lease corporate hangar number 4 to Matrix
13	Employee Leasing.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reba, I have you for
15	a public comment.
16	MS. LUDLOW: Yes. Thank you. Maybe I didn't
17	do my homework. I'm not sure. I know what Spring
18	Bay was. But on Employee Leasing, what are they

going to store there? Are they corporate storage?

MR. WUELLNER: They have a corporate jet.

MS. LUDLOW: Oh, a jet. Okay. So that's not going to be -- are they going to have office personnel?

MR. WUELLNER: I don't know if they're

permanently basing anybody here or not.

1 MS. LUDLOW: Okay. 2 MR. WUELLNER: But their flight department will work out of here. 3 MS. LUDLOW: And what kind of jet is it? 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: It's a Citation of some sort. 6 I don't recall. MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Thanks. 7 MR. GEORGE: If this is the same Matrix that 8 operates out of Houston --9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, they're nationwide. 10 MR. GEORGE: -- and Atlanta. Okay. When you 11 say employee leasing, they are really a personnel 12 placement agency that got into the leasing of 13 people at various locations. 14 They were very heavy into computers. We ran 15 16 across them all the time when we were in Atlanta. 17 You know, they're three or four ex-IBMers that started and built it up and sold it out I think to 18

a company in --

- MS. LUDLOW: New Orleans.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's huge. Okay. I don't
- have any other public comment. Board comment?
- Yes, Buzz.
- MR. GEORGE: I'd like to know where Matrix
- stood on the waiting list for corporate hangars.

1 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, they were about 2 fifth, by the time we got to them. 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So we -- we had four of 4 them that went back to the bottom of the list or 5 four --MR. WUELLNER: Actually, we had several, I 6 7 think four out of the five were -- one we had contacted, that was Spring Bay originally. The 8 other four were looking for above 16- or 18,000 9 square feet. 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 11 MR. WUELLNER: They didn't fit the -- fit the 12 profile --13 MR. GEORGE: All right. 14 MR. WUELLNER: -- or wanted to do heavy 15 aircraft maintenance in it, which is not going 16 17 to -- not going to work in that location. 18 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Some of the other

hangars, you know, of the 8,000 square foot

- facility that we rented two or three years ago at
- \$6 a foot, I would assume that because of annual
- increases, they're up to presently more than \$6 a
- 23 foot.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- MR. GEORGE: How much are they compared to

1	what we're giving these guys
2	MR. WUELLNER: You have
3	MR. GEORGE: at \$6?
4	MR. WUELLNER: They've only been in there a
5	year. There's one CPI adjustment that's either
6	just about to be made or was just made. I'm
7	sorry, I don't know what the it was about a 5
8	percent adjustment, would have been for the year,
9	going up, based on CPI.
10	MR. GEORGE: Then I guess the question is,
11	when we have a CPI adjustment to an existing
12	hangar and then a similar or that hangar becomes
13	available four years from now, is it Staff's
14	internal policy that whatever that CPI adjustments
15	are, that's what the asking rate is, or are we
16	back to asking starting all over at \$6?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you this particular
18	hangar started out being leased at about \$3 a
19	square foot. That's where the ROI numbers were

20	calculated about ten years ago?
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Slightly more than that, it
23	seemed. Every time the hangar's turned over, this
24	is the third time the hangar's turned over, we
25	adjust the beginning market rate back to the

- 1 then-current rental value.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: So we -- we've made huge --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: I was just trying to avoid
- 5 someone that already had one that says, hey,
- 6 you're giving it to them --
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: -- at \$6, but you're charging me
- 9 \$6.75.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's -- it's nowhere out
- of that kind of whack.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: But it actually has a higher
- per -- this hangar has a higher percentage of
- 15 finished office space when compared to 8, 9, 10
- right next to it.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
- 19 Entertain a motion.

20	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we accept
21	Staff's recommendation.
22	MR. GORMAN: I second it.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion and a
24	second. Any further board discussion?
25	(No further discussion)

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, all in favor
2	of the motion to accept Staff's recommendation,
3	all in favor?
4	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
5	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
6	MR. GEORGE: Absolutely.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's that ABS.
8	MR. GEORGE: ABS. That's the
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
10	(No opposition.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, we
12	accept Staff's recommendation.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
14	WIND DANCER - LEASE OLD TOP GUN HANGAR
15	MR. WUELLNER: Next is for Wind Dancer
16	Aviation. This this one's a little more
17	complicated.
18	You may or may not be aware of that North
19	American Top-Gun vacated their facility since the

20	last Authority meeting, which was a 9,000 square
21	foot, three-bay unit located in H row, hangar H
22	row. It includes a small amount of office space.
23	I think there's 6- or 700 square foot of office
24	space affiliated with it, too, where they ran
25	their business. We moved through the commercial

1	hangar waiting list and had contacted Wind Dancer
2	about that facility. It's about three times the
3	amount of space that they currently occupy.
4	As a as of Friday, they had indicated they
5	were going to decline because we didn't staff
6	doesn't didn't have the latitude to adjust the
7	rental rate downward. They were making a request
8	to do that. You now have a copy of a letter sent
9	today making that request.
10	As of Friday, we went ahead and talked to
11	someone else who was interested in leasing it,
12	just just so you know that, I think having been
13	told they weren't going to do it. And I'm just
14	throwing that out, that they the second tenant
15	is is or was willing to lease it at the going
16	rate of \$6 a square foot. So, you have you
17	have another tenant.
18	But not that with withstanding, you have
19	the the letter requesting that that rental rate

20	be rolled back or temporarily rolled back to what
21	we were charging North American Top-Gun, at least
22	for the year, and then move it up to the market
23	value after that period of time.
24	To refresh your memories, North American
25	Top-Gun at the termination of the lease because

1	of the again, because of the age of the lease,
2	was paying \$4.11 a square foot, which is about
3	round numbers, about \$3,100 a month. Market is
4	about at \$6 a square foot, which is about \$4,500 a
5	month. So you're talking about a \$1,500-a-month
6	difference in the space.
7	They really your as the policy-making
8	board, it's within your abilities to charge
9	whatever you'd like for this. I just as staff
10	can't adjust that rental rate down below market.
11	So, it's there for you to decide whether you want
12	to make that, counter with something, do you
13	know, or move on to the next guy, whatever your
14	whatever your pleasure is.
15	I think we have representatives from both
16	companies that were interested in attendance. So,
17	maybe they maybe they would like to speak to
18	it, if you wish them to comment on something.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have public

20	discussion, then. Reba, you handed in?
21	MR. GEORGE: Can we just get Reba a chair up
22	here at the table?
23	MS. LUDLOW: Well, you know, it's hard to
24	separate business and emotion, you know, when we
25	come to something like Top-Gun or when we come to

1	Wind Dancer or something like that. I personally
2	would like to see Wind Dancer get the reduction.
3	That's my personal opinion.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
5	MR. LOPINTO: With respect to and not
6	hearing the discussion and just hearing Ed's
7	the Executive Director's comments, the questions I
8	would like to put out I'm not asking for an
9	answer now, but considerations as you discuss
10	this.
11	If you roll back the rate to a previous
12	tenant's rate, is that not either some form of
13	subsidy for a period of time, and more
14	importantly, does that set the precedent for
15	somebody that may want to come in at a market
16	rate, but may look for some other concessions in
17	that rate?
18	And and I just would like for you to
19	consider that as you go forward in this

20	discussion, because you're really getting into a
21	slippery slope here. Thank you.

- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have no other
- public comment in front of me. Board comment.
- 24 Jack?
- MR. GORMAN: The last speaker's right. It is

1	a slippery slope. Then again, you've got to
2	consider the fact that you have you're serving
3	the needs of the aviation public with an in-place
4	means to get all of these piston aircraft
5	serviced.
6	So, I mean, you're damned if you do and
7	damned if you don't. I like the word compromise.
8	I mean, can't we come to to some kind of a
9	of a middle ground where you're not actually
10	compromising the that's my own thought. You're
11	not actually compromising the the ability of
12	a in other words, you're not subsidizing
13	somebody, but you are allowing small business to
14	grow.
15	I really would like to see this field have a
16	lot of small business on it. I think that makes a
17	field grow and makes it a very viable situation.
18	That's just my own thought, is meet in the middle
19	somewhere price-wise.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, is is the second
21	proposed tenant in the same vein as Wind Dancer?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any other board
24	comment?
25	MR. GEORGE: How long are they asking for the

1	rollback in the rate?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Well, they're they're not
3	overly specific here, but they make make
4	reference in the letter to our revenue
5	expectations for the at that amount that is
6	currently in your budget and I believe the former
7	occupant's lease for multiple years.
8	I I'm assuming it's a one-year, maybe I
9	don't know. It's a one-year. Thank you.
10	One-year reduction, which is which is the
11	equivalent of about, if I'm doing the math right
12	here, it's about \$18,000.
13	Now, just so you know, I mean, normally we'd
14	look at we try to do something in what what
15	FAA calls their pioneer period. I'm using this
16	only as some guidance. FAA and if it's a
17	business you're trying to attract to the airport
18	but it's not a business that exists on the
19	airport and unfortunately they don't truly

20	qualify as a as a pioneer period kind of
21	business because there are other maintenance
22	facilities here.
23	But if you use the methodology here, FAA
24	allow would allow you to reduce the rent for
25	some fixed period of time. Sometimes up to

- 1 multiple years. The -- the requirement,
- 2 though, is that you recover that difference
- 3 throughout the life of the lease.
- 4 So, while you can grant a short-term
- 5 reduction in the rent, you -- you in a sense
- 6 adjust the rate for the balance of the lease term
- 7 to recover what you didn't get in the first year.
- 8 They do allow you to do that under the pioneer
- 9 period. I don't see where you'd have anybody --
- any big problem with that if you took that method.
- But you could also just wait.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack? I'm sorry, Buzz, you
- were still talking.
- MR. GEORGE: I'm not through yet. In
- Top-Gun's lease, did we get the normal amount of
- 16 notification, or are there any can --
- MR. WUELLNER: We got the 30 --
- MR. GEORGE: -- are there any cancellation
- clauses in the lease that brings in money that

20	could be applied to the rent?
21	MR. WUELLNER: No. There it's a case
22	where there's nothing there to get and they
23	they only had a 30-day requirement to notify us
24	MR. GEORGE: So they were operating on a
25	month-to-month basis.

MR. WUELLNER: No, there -- the lease has a 1 2 30-day notification that they can get out. 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So they met the obligations --4 5 MR. WUELLNER: They met the obligations. 6 MR. GEORGE: -- of the lease at that particular time. Okay. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: They weren't in default or anything. 9 MR. GEORGE: Okay. They had a nice airplane, 10 though. 11 12 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack, you had something. 13 MR. GORMAN: You get into -- and I don't even 14 want to bring it up. I'm in love with small 15 16 business and I think we need maintenance on the 17 field. I've already stated that. But you get into these minimum qualifications and the fact 18

that how big do you allow a mom and pop to get

20	versus, you know, keeping the playing field fairly
21	level with an FBO. I mean, that really
22	complicates the issue, but that's where you go
23	very quickly.
24	And I I can see Mr. Wuellner nodding his
25	head, you know, not deeply, because it's an issue

1	of how do you solve it.
2	In other words, where if you've got the
3	cost an FBO has and they have to endure those
4	costs and they do maintenance, and then you're
5	trying to get it on this field, you're trying to
6	grow a mop-and pop operation, which is my first
7	love, let these operations grow, but then you get
8	into this bit of angst between a large FBO, how
9	many how many employees they have and how many
10	employees you allow, you know, for a certain
11	mom-and-pop operation. I just don't know how to
12	solve that.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I don't I don't know
14	that we regulate that, but we do make a big a
15	distinction in our minimum operating standards as
16	to whether you're an FBO or whether you're a
17	maintenance facility.
18	And we certainly have an example on the other
19	side of the field of a maintenance facility that

20	is strictly a maintenance operation, not an FBO,
21	and has, I don't know, probably close to 30
22	employees. Actually, Joe could probably tell me a
23	better number, but it's in the 20, 30 range, I
24	would guess.

1	as big as you want it here, in theory. It's can
2	it can it be accommodated within existing
3	facilities or are we looking at different you
4	know, they're making do at Southeast also in terms
5	of multiple buildings with filling the needs.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I I missed one public
7	comment. Is that okay, Buzz?
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jim?
10	MR. WERTER: Hi. Jim Werter, Ponte Vedra
11	Beach. A couple of questions and a couple of
12	points.
13	First of all, the second party that's
14	interested in the property, is this a startup
15	business or is it a transferring? Are some of the
16	other factors in considering who you give this to,
17	is it strictly by the lease or is there some other
18	background information such as what I just
19	mentioned?

20	For instance, if it's a startup operation and
21	that's a factor, you have Wind Dancer which went
22	through a period of rough time, and you know, most
23	of you know that I'm quite familiar with Wind
24	Dancer and Gene. But they have gotten back to a
25	level where they have a flow of business, and

1	they've always been hurting for space. So they're
2	not a startup business and they're a secure item.
3	So that would be a vote for bringing them over.
4	You know, I don't know if a year is too long
5	on the rollback or or not. I can't answer that
6	question right now. I would need to think it
7	through more. But there must be other substantive
8	factors, not the emotional factors, but
9	substantive factors like that. And I think you
10	need to look at the other factors in deciding to
11	choose who you were to sign this lease to.
12	Yeah, you may give them a six-month or a year
13	rollback to some moderate rate, but are they going
14	to be there five years from now?
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. I'll go back to
16	board comment. Buzz, I interrupted you.
17	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Ed, this is Top-Gun
18	presently occupies three bays at 9,000 square
19	feet.

20	MR. WUELLNER: Cumulative, yes.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So that's 3,000 square
22	feet apiece, which is the discussion we just had
23	about the demand for 3,000 square foot spaces.
24	So, I think breaking it up into three individual
25	ones, there's no demand that's there. And if you

- 1 take the \$6 a foot, divide it into three, it comes
- 2 up to \$15 a foot, which is what we were having
- 3 trouble renting the brand new, you know, space
- 4 that's there.
- 5 How much was Top -- was Wind Dancer paying
- 6 per square foot for the two bays that they have?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: They only have a single bay
- 8 and --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry. How much are they
- paying --
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: They're paying \$6 --
- MR. GEORGE: -- for the single bay?
- MR. WUELLNER: They're paying \$6 a square
- 14 foot.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's not that old of a lease.
- 17 It's about 18 months or something like that.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And we have people to come

20	in there, the one they're vacating?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's not an issue.

MR. WUELLNER: The adjacent tenant would like

the space --

25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: -- in addition to theirs. 2 MR. GEORGE: Would that rule out the new 3 business? 4 MR. WUELLNER: It --5 MR. GEORGE: You said you had another option. 6 That was --MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. They were looking for 7 8 more square footage. We -- we're going to talk about the south project here. The -- they're one 9 10 of the ones that would like a maintenance facility later on, too. So you'll -- you are working 11
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
- MR. WUELLNER: It will take us a year to get

toward a need or a resolution of the need.

there, obviously.

- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Jack, just briefly,
- 18 board comment.
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah. In other words, the need

20	is there. Is there is there a way to
21	accommodate both tenants? In other words, I
22	personally would see if there's already somebody
23	on the field, let's try to accommodate them, but
24	if there's somebody else that needs space, can we
25	accommodate them, too, and maybe give them a

1	carrot where they move into a different space?
2	You know
3	MR. WUELLNER: Referring to the second
4	tenant?
5	MR. GORMAN: Obviously more maintenance on
6	the
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second tenant, right.
8	MR. GORMAN: Second tenant, yes. I I'm
9	saying, is the second tenant ap you know,
10	available to possibly be interested in something
11	else?
12	MR. WUELLNER: Well, again, you've got the
13	square footage issue.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
15	MR. WUELLNER: But
16	MR. GORMAN: That's why I was talking about
17	converting things.
18	MR. WUELLNER: somebody putting in two is

just -- is make that available. There are two

20	units available on the the side that the I
21	row, which is where Wind Dancer is currently
22	located. There's a I think their current is
23	about 3,250, I think is the square footage there.
24	There's another unit on the end. There's a
25	unit between that's leased to somebody else who

1	has no intention of moving at this point. The end
2	unit's available. That's a 3,000 square foot unit
3	also.
4	So, you know, if they didn't mind trying to
5	work between between somebody for a period of
6	time, later in the year, that could be made to
7	work as one unit, as facilities came on line down
8	the street
9	MR. GORMAN: That was my my
10	MR. WUELLNER: should you
11	MR. GORMAN: discussion
12	MR. WUELLNER: move forward with that.
13	So, yes, I mean, you could make 6,000 available
14	with the idea that 6, maybe 7 is available next
15	year at the end at the end of the year next
16	year. If that's if that would be acceptable to
17	them.
18	I mean, you don't have to offer them. I'm

just saying, you know, I don't disagree that, but

20	it may have an impact on you'd be adding
21	another yet another maintenance operation. You
22	know, whether or not they're ultimately successful
23	or not, and what impact they have on other
24	maintenance facilities on the airport is yours
25	you know, is your decision.

1	MR. GORMAN: That's why my discussion of
2	conversion and cost to convert and things like
3	that.
4	MR. WUELLNER: But if you don't want to do
5	that, the tenant next door to vacated Wind Dancer
6	building or unit would would lease that. But
7	they're not going to be in the maintenance
8	business. So, it's that would be more a
9	corporate storage use. It could certainly be made
10	to be a commercial use and let this other, the
11	second tenant, if you will, lease that space.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I I tend to take heedance
13	(sic) with Joe Lopinto's comment about setting a
14	precedent and the slippery slope. I have concerns
15	over that. But we also are a community-serving
16	airport and Wind Dancer's been a tenant and Gene's
17	given a lot I mean, a lot to this community.
18	So I I'm more inclined to accommodate, maybe
19	not for the year, like Jim Werter said

20	MR. WUELLNER: It's an unusual circumstance
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It is. It's unusual, and I
22	think we as a board have to look out for our
23	community and the people that are on the airport.
24	So, I tend to want to give them some type of
25	a reduction for a period of time. Maybe not the

1	whole year, because they are an established
2	business and I think they're going to kick up and
3	do fine. But I'm more inclined to serve the
4	community a little bit with this and an existing
5	tenant.
6	MR. WUELLNER: You you could always pick a
7	period of time somewhat shorter and agree to
8	reevaluate it, you know, as you come out of that
9	period.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I'm more into maybe
11	the six-month mode. Kelly, I'm sorry.
12	MS. BARRERA: I I have a concern, and I
13	know that, you know, you have to separate the
14	heart and the mind on this. But to go from 3,000
15	square foot to 9,000 square foot is a very
16	aggressive jump, especially when you're you're
17	trying to regroup.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think they were pretty

much, I mean, stifled from what I understand.

20	Jack?
21	MR. GORMAN: Having I've been I've
22	actually been in the in the aviation
23	maintenance business. I worked with a family over
24	in Herlong Field doing that. And when you are
25	busy, you've got to have the space, because you've

1 got to have everybody covered. And so, if you do get like you said stifled 2 3 to the point where -- then your business cannot go 4 grow, because you've got to -- once you've got an 5 airplane apart, it's got to be under cover. MS. BARRERA: Well, I'm not interested in 6 restricting their ability to grow. 7 8 MR. GORMAN: No. 9 MS. BARRERA: But -- at the -- and I think 10 that we have to take care of our tenants on the field first. But I definitely agree that -- with 11 Joe Lopinto that this is a very slippery slope. 12 I think a year is a long time and that we 13 need to be very cautious and thought -- thoughtful 14 as we go forward on -- on trying to work with an 15 existing business and existing tenants and fill 16 17 their needs and making sure that we are taking care of our revenue needs for that space. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?

20	MR. GEORGE: One of the options and maybe
21	you already discussed it and I missed it, but the
22	adjacent hanger, that's Dale Snodgrass, right?
23	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
24	MR. GEORGE: Okay. What's the possibility of
25	Dale taking the unit on the other end of the

- 1 building and now Wind Dancer has the ability to
- 2 expand, not to 9,000 feet that they wanted, but
- 3 they have the ability to expand to 6,000 feet?
- 4 That way, we're satisfying them and we're
- 5 satisfying the new venture that's coming in that
- 6 wanted 9,000 feet.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Well, I -- it's -- it's a
- 8 possibility. I think the issue Dale has is, the
- 9 type of aircraft he has in there, is -- he's got
- the fighter stuff --
- 11 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- you know, in there, and I
- think he's had concerns of bringing -- having to
- bring that through that -- that area because
- that's closer to the street, is bringing that
- through the area.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: We have not spoken directly
- about would you be willing to move or anything

- 20 like that. We don't ordinarily approach tenants
- 21 about --
- MR. GEORGE: Is his space --
- MR. WUELLNER: But we could.
- MR. GEORGE: -- a 3,000 square foot space?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

1	MR. GEORGE: Similar to the one that we have
2	available in the new area that's not being leased?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
4	MR. GEORGE: So another option would be to
5	let Dale move to one of the new spaces, you know,
6	keeping his rental rate that he's got and now I've
7	got 9,000 feet on both sides. I think that needs
8	to be explored. Oh, no. You have 6,000
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Six and nine.
10	MR. GEORGE: on one side and 9- on the
11	other side.
12	MR. WUELLNER: But that that brings up
13	again the issue, is that the kind of aircraft you
14	want down in the south area? He he's not a
15	piston guy.
16	MR. GEORGE: You've got a point there.
17	MR. GORMAN: That Saber jet has tremendous
18	thrust and just
19	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I know.

- MR. WUELLNER: The idea works.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, we would not want it
- facing Vilano Beach.
- MS. BARRERA: Or any of the other neighbors.
- Or any of the other neighbors.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, or any other direction.

1	MS. BARRERA: Yean, any of the other
2	neighbors.
3	MR. GEORGE: The the part about giving
4	them a reduced, you know, rent for the six months,
5	I I mean a year, I think that some limit should
6	be done to that.
7	You know, if you go out and rent, sign a
8	five-year lease on corporate space, they're going
9	to give you a break on the front end sometime and
10	put it on the back end. But it's a precedent that
11	we are setting, you know, that we need to stick to
12	it.
13	So, if we if we said something like, you
14	know, if you want two bays' worth, you know, then
15	it's a, we'll give you a break in the in the
16	rent for six months, a gradual for six months, and
17	then personally tying it or tying it to personal
18	guarantees, you know, that has to be caught up at
19	the fourth year or fifth year with interest.

20	I think that that's a technique that a lot of
21	corporate, you know, office space uses. You know,
22	it's got to be in the whole lease and if you
23	leave, it's got to be paid off. Doug, is that
24	typical that you would see and say yes.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't see it that often,

- either. I see the incentive up front, but I don't
- 2 see it tacked onto the back.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Okay. What's the incentive up
- 5 front typically, then?
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: One month free, you know, a
- 7 reduced for a few months and then you kick up to
- 8 your normal square footage.
- 9 MR. BURNETT: I would agree with that. And I
- don't want to --
- 11 MR. GEORGE: Don't, then.
- MR. BURNETT: We'll talk about it afterwards.
- But, you know, it's that -- similar to what
- Ms. Green is saying is what I'm seeing right now
- in the marketplace.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Just briefly, Jack, and
- then I'm going to ask for a motion.
- MR. GORMAN: Can we split the difference?
- 19 And I make a motion that we --

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
21	MR. GORMAN: split the difference. We
22	give them six months and allow them to have a
23	six-month discount and then go up to the full
24	to the full \$6 after six months and you're
25	splitting the difference.

1	MS. BARRERA: For what space?
2	MR. GORMAN: For the Top-Gun space.
3	MS. BARRERA: For the whole 9,000 square feet
4	and not the 6,000 that you were talking about?
5	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, because I think that
6	you're actually dictating their business to them.
7	Because I know how a situation is when you need
8	space and you need to take airplanes apart,
9	they've got to cover.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
11	MR. GORMAN: So they're sticking their own
12	necks out business-wise saying that they're going
13	to cover after six months this full amount. I
14	mean, if they'll agree to that.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So your motion is for the
16	9,000 square foot at
17	MR. GORMAN: At a six-month discounted rate.
18	After that, full.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: What's the discounted rate

- 20 Do we have -- we have to come up with a figure,
- 21 right?
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be the
- equivalent of \$4 and --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: \$4.11.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- 11 cents a square foot.

1	MR. GORMAN: Top-Gun's original rate.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: For six months.
3	MR. GORMAN: Right. That's just to put
4	something on the floor.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the motion. Is there
6	a second?
7	(No second to the motion.)
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I don't hear a
9	second. Any other
10	MS. BARRERA: I I'd like to discuss it
11	some more. What about in light of what both
12	you and Doug are talking about with the rental
13	structure, what about a three-month time?
14	I think that would be much more amicable and
15	then going forward as a precedence. Because that
16	is one of my concerns, is setting that precedence,
17	that that puts the board or the Airport Authority
18	at a much at a much lower significance of loss
19	of income.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you want to make that as
21	a motion, three months at \$4.11?
22	MS. BARRERA: Well, I'd like to discuss it
23	some more and get some of the board feedback.
24	MR. GEORGE: I think that that's a you
25	know, a more compatible idea in my mind of what,

13

14

15

16

you know, I had in mind. 1 But I don't want to lose the visibility of 2 3 giving them an option of the space adjacent to 4 them so that they could go to 6,000. You know, growing from 3,000 to 9,000 is a big jump for a 5 business. And while I'm sitting back saying, I 6 know I'm going to need the space, you know, it's 7 8 impacting somebody else not coming down. So, if we -- if we made the policy that we're 9 going to give half rate, you know, or whatever 10 this reduction from six to four is for three 11 months, with new tenants coming in to help them 12

17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think we could

get -- you know, get two people coming in.

defray costs and to get started, but also give

them the 6,000 square feet, the two-bay area

option, then we -- then we're a win-win. We can

present it to staff saying, all right, first thing

is talk to Dale. Find out if the 6,000 square

25

20	foot is an option. Step one. If it is, then we
21	offer that. If it's not, then go to step two,
22	which would be three months or whatever it is for
23	the 9,000. We could make it a two-fold.
24	MR. GEORGE: I think the three-month ought to

be done with the new space -- if they go to

1	6,000
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, it's the regular rate.
3	Yeah.
4	MR. GEORGE: I'm thinking I'm thinking
5	that to get somebody to come in for a five-year
6	lease, we probably ought to give them up front
7	some concessions to help them get over the move in
8	and stuff like that.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?
10	MR. GORMAN: Real quick. To to spin up a
11	business like that, two, three times the amount,
12	you've got to have a little bit of cash flow time,
13	and that's why the six months. That's why I would
14	propose six months. That allows them to use
15	that that space and get billables out there and
16	spin up their cash flow. I mean, I know I'm
17	sticking up for them, but I I understand how
18	the business works.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?

20	MR. GORMAN: That's why
21	MR. BURNETT: I will just I will just
22	comment on this. I don't think you're setting
23	precedent for anything you do in the future,
24	especially given the current economic conditions
25	out there in the world, that anything you do right

1	now is not going to be something that comes back
2	later to haunt you. You could easily legitimize,
3	I'm sure.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And this is unique, very
5	unique.
6	Okay. We have a lot on the agenda, so I'd
7	like to give staff some direction as to what the
8	board's going to recommend. Buzz, do you have any
9	motion?
10	MR. WUELLNER: I did some quick math.
11	Another alternative would be to find a rate
12	between the two
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
14	MR. WUELLNER: for the first year, would
15	be to use say a \$5 a square foot number for the
16	first year and then bring it up.
17	It's the net same dollars, that discounting
18	or giving three months or however you however

you want to slice it there. It ends up the exact

25

20	same dollars. I don't know if that would be
21	palatable there. But we've had leases in the past
22	where we specified a rental rate for a year or two
23	years or three years and then it ramps up to
24	whatever market value is.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'd still like to see the

6,000 square foot option at least explored before 1 2 we go to the --3 MR. GEORGE: Right. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because then we're taking 4 care of Wind Dancer, we're taking care of the 5 other tenant that's interested in the space, too. 6 So I would suggest we do a hybrid. 7 MR. WUELLNER: Did you -- if you don't mind. 8 Did you want to speak to the space part of this? 9 10 Because I --11 MS. POWERS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: It's not really my role to 12 invite you up, but I --13 14 MS. POWERS: We do have --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead, Diane, up at the 15 microphone. 16 17 MS. POWERS: Right now, we are juggling 18 airplanes. We are spending hours, manhours that

we can't bill, moving airplanes in and out and

20	trying to schedule in a very small space.
21	We have a waiting list. We have a waiting
22	list for annuals and then we have people that do
23	come by on a regular basis with things that come
24	up, then the 50 hours. And we are over we are
25	filled to capacity and over and just stepping all

- 1 over each other. As far as filling 9,000 square
- 2 feet, I do not foresee any problem with that.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Thanks, Diane.
- 4 Okay, board?
- 5 MS. BARRERA: I make the motion that we go
- 6 with the hybrid approach for both clients.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Which means?
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Which means the --
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- talk to Dale, see if the
- 11 6,000 square foot's an option.
- MR. WUELLNER: Where -- where is this 6,000
- square feet?
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Dale --
- MR. GEORGE: The 3- they have plus Dale's
- space, which is adjacent, gives them 6,000.
- 17 MR. GORMAN: But that means moving Snodgrass.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Next to?
- MR. GEORGE: We -- we ask if Dale -- what was

- 20 the possibility of Dale moving to the space on the
- southern end --
- MR. WUELLNER: All right.
- MR. GEORGE: -- of I. That's an option.
- 24 Then we said what about Dale taking -- no, we
- didn't want to go to the other side because of the

- 1 noise and everything. So see if Dale will take,
- 2 you know, the section down on the south end of I.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And if he says no, you know,
- 5 it --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Then the alternative would be
- 7 to present --
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The 9,000 square feet to
- 9 Wind Dancer at -- and I didn't get into what --
- 10 MS. BARRERA: The five.
- MR. WUELLNER: And then do the -- the two
- 12 3,000 square foot units the other business? Is
- that the -- is that what I'm hearing? I just want
- 14 to --
- MR. GEORGE: I haven't heard the
- 16 particulars --
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I don't think that
- 18 was --
- MR. GEORGE: -- of the other business right

20	now.
21	MS. BARRERA: You can go ahead and clarify
22	the motion, Suzanne.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, you're saying the
24	hybrid, which means offer it to Dale. If he does,
25	then the 6.000 square foot at regular market rate

would go offered to Wind Dancer and you could 1 offer the 9,000 to the other tenant that's 2 3 interested. If Dale says no, which is perfectly fine, the 9,000 offer to Wind Dancer and you said 4 5 at \$5 ---6 MS. BARRERA: For the year. CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- square foot for the year 7 8 and then the other two 3,000 would stay separated wherever they are. 9 10 MR. GEORGE: I understand. In -- in a market that we don't have any demand for, but yes, you're 11 right, it would. Can we -- can we state the \$5 in 12 terms of some percentage? 13 I'm trying to get back to what is done in the 14 industry for precedence setting. In other words, 15 16 is that -- that's not 20 percent, that's a 15 17 percent discount for the first year? MR. WUELLNER: It's 15, 18 percent, somewhere 18

in there. I didn't bring a calculator, so I'm not

20	sure.
21	MR. GEORGE: Well, I would like to see the
22	motion modified to a percentage discount that we
23	can then in turn take to anybody that's operating
24	a commercial space, that's starting a new
25	commercial space, offer them that percentage for

1 the first year, if it's a five-year contract. 2 MS. BARRERA: As an incentive. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So you just want it as a 4 percentage rather than \$5. 5 MR. GEORGE: I -- rather than just pure 6 dollars, make it a percentage reduction. CHAIRMAN GREEN: And -- okay. That's your 7 motion. Is there a second to the motion? 8 9 MR. GEORGE: I second it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Now, board 10 discussion. 11 The only -- and I kind of agree with Doug on 12 13 this, I don't think we're setting a precedent per se, because this is a very unique situation where 14 you've had a tenant. You've had someone passing 15 16 and someone that's business is here. I think 17 that's going to be hard, hopefully, for someone to follow in those footsteps. So I don't think 18

that's going to affect a brand new tenant for five

- years having to do this. So, whatever you think.
 MR. GEORGE: You don't think what? It's
- going to set a precedent.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because I don't think

1	they'll fit the same mold, the same fact scenario
2	as this person, as Wind Dancer has.
3	MR. GEORGE: And by the way, that's 16.67
4	percent reduction for the first year.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any other board
6	discussion? Jack?
7	MR. GORMAN: Well, whatever we do, we need to
8	give staff direction so that he can just go
9	negotiate these things without any kind of further
10	adieu.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what the motion
12	MR. GORMAN: We don't need to bring it we
13	don't need to bring it back again next month.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, that's the motion.
15	That's why we're giving an off that's you did
16	the hybrid, I'm assuming. Anything else?
17	MS. BARRERA: Just trying to work towards a
18	win-win solution.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Trying to accommodate both

20	tenants if we can, and still trying to accommodate
21	Wind Dancer.
22	MS. BARRERA: Take care of our tenant that we
23	have here first as much as we can and also try to
24	accommodate the opportunity for someone else to
25	come in and utilize space if they can.

I	MR. GORMAN: Do you need a second to that
2	motion?
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's been seconded. Buzz
4	seconded it, so actually I need a vote. All in
5	favor of the hybrid motion?
6	MR. GEORGE: We had some discussion, so would
7	you mind restating it?
8	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, thank you.
9	MR. GEORGE: Sorry about that.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The hybrid is to
11	offer to Dale to move if he wants. Therefore it
12	would open up the 6,000 square foot. Give if
13	Wind Dancer wants that 6,000, it would be at
14	market rate. If Dale says no, then the 9,000
15	square foot would be offered to Wind Dancer at a
16	16.666 percent reduction for one year. Is that
17	it?
18	MS. BARRERA: Uh-huh.
19	MR. GEORGE: And the third thing, anybody

20	coming in, commercial space, that will that is
21	a five-year lease, they also get the incentive of
22	a 16 percent for the first year.

- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't know if that was
- part of Kelly's motion.
- MS. BARRERA: That was not part of my motion.

- 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That third prong was not in
- 2 there.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- 4 MS. BARRERA: I don't like to give away.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's the motion.
- 6 All in favor, say aye.
- 7 MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- 8 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's a no?
- MR. GEORGE: That's a no. Right. Yeah.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Motion passed. Ed,
- do you have that direction?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I'm good.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That gives you some play
- work with it.
- MR. WUELLNER: As long as you don't restate
- it again, I've got --

- MR. GORMAN: Is that clear enough to you, Ed?

 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let's have Janet read it

 back, because I'm not -
 MR. WUELLNER: I'm going to wait a week and

 read it.
- 25 MR. GORMAN: Exactly.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it's a good we're
2	trying to work with everybody we can.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Then we're going to
4	work with this other business once the dust
5	settles here and we'll being back in November with
6	that and see if they're still interested in either
7	9- or 6-, depending on what's whatever's left.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
9	ENGINEERING & PLANNING CONSULTANT RFQ
10	MR. WUELLNER: All right. All right. Next
11	item I have is the engineering and planning
12	consultant.
13	As you remember, we put out an RFP to which
14	12 firms contacted us, looking for the information
15	and the information guide. We ended up with seven
16	statements of qualifications and experience being
17	received. Those statements were bound for you and
18	given to each of you individually for you to look
19	over, if you wished.

20	In addition to that, as proposed, we created
21	a selection committee that consisted of seven
22	members. That group met after evaluating those
23	proposals and is made making a recommendation
24	to short-list these three firms. They are Passero
25	& Associates, Prosser Hallock, and the LPA Group.

1	They are further recommending that we place
2	all three firms under contract, allowing us the
3	greatest mix of uses and services that would
4	complement each other.
5	Since putting them under contract, these
6	master contracts in and of itself do not commit us
7	to expend any money. It those are awarded by
8	task orders or supplemental agreements on a
9	case-by-case basis. So it allows us the most
10	flexibility. It doesn't cost us anything
11	additional to place three firms under contract.
12	The committee felt that it represented the
13	best mix of firms for the likely work task that we
14	see out there for the next five years. Allows
15	easy comparatives of pricings, as these firms are
16	already under contract, and allows tax tasking
17	of the of what we're going to do to these firms
18	based for maximum benefit to the to the public.
19	And as such, we are recommending those three

20	those three firms for entering into a contract.
21	This is unless you want us to to delay
22	it a month, the contract form is identical, other
23	than the date changes, than the contract that was
24	approved with Passero the previous time. So it
25	would be simply reexecuting those agreements with

- 1 the proper dates in place, which allow -- again,
- allows us to append the work to them.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I do have public
- 4 comment. Herb Rippe? Where'd you go? There you
- 5 are.
- 6 MR. RIPPE: Pass.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you okay?
- 8 MR. RIPPE: Yeah.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reba?
- 10 MS. LUDLOW: I pass.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's all the public
- comment I had. Board comment?
- MR. GORMAN: Let's go with Ed's
- recommendation. By doing that, you don't have to
- reinvent the wheel. You've still got the LPA
- 16 Group in there. It keeps all of the firms on
- point. They're all going to compete a bit, which
- 18 I've often thought was a good idea. And do you
- 19 need a motion?

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: I'd like to make a motion that
- we accept Staff's recommendation to hire all
- three.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?
- MS. BARRERA: I'll second it.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
2	discussion?
3	MR. WUELLNER: For clarification, does that
4	including going ahead and entering into contracts
5	with them based on their
6	MR. GORMAN: Yes. For clarification, that
7	does enter in the contract.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did I I spent a good
10	bit of time going through all the proposals and
11	everything, and I mean, by far, these three really
12	stuck out. No question. Buzz?
13	MR. GEORGE: A couple of points I want
14	everybody to be aware of is, what is the magnitude
15	of the dollars that we spend in these three areas
16	every year? You know, isn't it
17	MR. WUELLNER: It hundreds of thousands.
18	MR. GEORGE: Hundreds of thousands of
19	dollars. So it's not cheap.

20	We we've had situations where we just
21	overwhelmed Passero and couldn't get the you
22	know, the drawings and things, and I'll reference
23	the park and things like this. I think that this
24	gives us some much more flexibility. I just hope
25	that we can get the same good rates, you know, if

I	we're trying to divide the whole pie up.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So amend that motion if it's
3	the same contract, that all that's changing is the
4	dates, right?
5	MR. GEORGE: That's right, yeah.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Expect more hours.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
8	discussion?
9	(No further discussion.)
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
11	Staff's recommendation, say aye.
12	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
13	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
14	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
16	(No opposition.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed,
18	Staff's recommendation is accepted.
19	PROPERTY ACOUISITION

20	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have is we
21	have we have two properties that are still out
22	there in the Araquay Park that have continued to
23	express interest in acquisition.
24	These two properties were appraised
25	approximately a year ago at the 131 Indian Bend

was at \$240,000. Hundred -- 170 Indian Bend was 1 appraised at \$237,500. Those, as I mentioned, 2 were 2007 appraised values. 3 This -- the acquisition could be funded with 4 50 percent FDOT dollars, also. There is -- we've 5 had discussions in the past about relative to the 6 appraised value versus what we had done in earlier 7 8 phases of acquisition in Araquay Park and the 9 like. 10 Given the economic climate that's out there today, using the appraised values from last year 11 is -- is effectively, you know, and arguably an 12 increase in value compared to what it would likely 13 appraise at today. So, I think, in -- if your 14 intent is that we can offer appraised value, it's 15 16 essentially a -- a pretty fair deal at that point. 17 We would recommend you going ahead and doing it. This starts to complete that patchwork quilt 18

that's -- you know, has been used around this

20	board a few times.
21	The next slide, I I try to illustrate real
22	briefly here. The green the purple area is
23	what was acquired over the last 20 years, which is
24	now in the development of T-hangars and apron and
25	all of that. That is, you know, for the most

1	part, committed at this point.
2	The gray areas to the immediate left of the
3	purple, so this area over in here, are properties
4	that were acquired by the Airport Authority. The
5	shaded properties have been acquired by the
6	Authority over a similar period as that of the
7	purple area. The properties we're talking about
8	today are the two green blocks there, the two
9	green areas that are shaded on the drawing. So,
10	to give you an idea of where the orientation is.
11	So we never really were overly interested in
12	the U.S. 1 frontage properties here, as they are
13	significantly expense more expensive than
14	residential units and we really don't have U.S.
15	frontage needs U.S. 1 frontage needs for most
16	anything we do. So they're not overly interesting
17	to us.
18	But the balance of this property in this area
19	certainly will go a long way over the next

20	five-plus years to completing the checkerboard
21	and and allowing a smooth transition into
22	the into the last 100 block. So it would be
23	our recommendation you you make an offer at the
24	appraised values.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment?

- 1 Herb? Where'd you go again? You're back there.
- 2 You keep hiding.
- 3 MR. RIPPE: I'm hiding behind that there.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know.
- 5 MR. RIPPE: Herb Rippe, Village Drive. I --
- 6 just a couple of questions pop -- are you talking
- 7 about, Ed, the current appraised value or the --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: These were --
- 9 MR. RIPPE: -- or the 2007 appraised value?
- MR. WUELLNER: The 2007 appraised value. So
- they arguably may be 15 percent above what today's
- market would be. But we also don't have to spend
- the money to reappraise yet again.
- MR. RIPPE: The money to reappraise is
- insignificant --
- MR. WUELLNER: In theory.
- MR. RIPPE: -- compared to the potential
- savings. I mean, if we want to buy the property,
- that's one thing, but I think we ought to be

20	buying them at the best price that we can in these

- 21 economic conditions here.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Herb. Reba stepped
- out, I thought. And Bruce? Oh, Bruce was here.
- I guess he left. Mr. Canaday?
- 25 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: He left.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. He had something on
2	that. And Joe?
3	MR. LOPINTO: I'd like to second Herb's
4	comments, and I'd like to add one additional one.
5	Ed, you had put down that the FDOT would be
6	approximately 50 percent
7	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
8	MR. LOPINTO: of funds, of whatever the
9	value is. It would be beneficial to have the
10	community understand that those dollars that are
11	coming from the FDOT, the majority or the vast
12	majority of those dollars come from aviation users
13	and not the general public tax revenue that the
14	state receives.
15	MR. WUELLNER: That's always a good point to
16	make. Yes.
17	MR. LOPINTO: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm glad you reiterate that.

We try it all the time. It just seems to get --

20	MR. GEORGE: Well, maybe Ed could leave a
21	comment like that in his press release.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Vic?
23	MR. MARTINELLI: I want to compliment you
24	folks on your decision regarding Wind Dancer,
25	because I think you had a situation where you

1	almost had to cut a baby in half, and and I
2	think you did that very successfully.
3	Wind Dancer, I think is going to take good
4	advantage of whichever way that goes. I don't
5	frankly, I don't know that Dale would want to
6	move.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
8	MR. MARTINELLI: And so, I think you're
9	really looking at the 9,000 at \$5, and that's, you
10	know, probably the way it's going to go.
11	But I do disagree with you on one thing,
12	Suzanne. I think you are going to be setting a
13	precedent. I think it's going to be arguable.
14	But that's okay, because I think I think you're
15	going to have a situation where folks are going to
16	want that same kind of a concession. And you're
17	not talking dollars here, you're talking 16.67
18	percent, which is a good point to make. So,
19	anyway, congratulations. I think you handled it

- very well.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did you have a comment on
- the property? That's what we're on, the property.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Oh, I'm sorry, no.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's okay.
- MR. MARTINELLI: General comment.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, no, we're not there. 1 2 That's at the end of the meeting. 3 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reba, did you 4 anything on the property acquisition? 5 MS. LUDLOW: No, I pass. I'm waiting on --6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's all I had for 7 8 public comment. Board comment? Jack. MR. GORMAN: Here we go again. I recall that 9 this board had said that they were not going to 10 exercise eminent domain on any more properties. 11 The fact is, is that here we go again. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think --14 MR. GORMAN: I think this --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Eminent domain is not before 15 16 the board, unless I missed something right now. 17 MR. GORMAN: Well, just -- I have the floor, please. So let me talk for just a --18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just want to make sure 19

20	we're discussing the same thing.
21	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, but what you're going to
22	discuss, and I have the floor, please, is the fact
23	that you've got a patchwork quilt of properties
24	over here. And although I'd like to develop those
25	properties and I think it would be a great idea

1	and it would certainly make money, the long-range
2	plan, you're going to reiterate the same situation
3	that you had in Araquay Park before. Because
4	you've got a patchwork quilt there.
5	It's no doubt that that land is worth the
6	amount of money that they're probably giving for.
7	And it's no doubt that that land can be developed.
8	But what do you do with the rest of the quilt of
9	patchwork that you need?
10	You're going to have that situation come up
11	now just like it came up in Araquay Park before.
12	And when we discuss this 10-year plan that I'm
13	going to have to keep up in front of this board,
14	we're going to have that problem again. I'd just
15	like to know what the solution is for that before
16	we go buying more property.
17	If you're going to complete a patchwork
18	quilt, you're going to have to do so by everybody
19	saying, hey, I'll sell my property, or you're

20	going to have people that are either going to be,
21	from sentiment or from pure dollars, motivated to
22	push the issue and get the eminent domain to be
23	the only solution to be able to complete the land
24	purchases, to make the whole block of land
25	available for development to this airport. And

1	this board has previously stated that it would not
2	exercise eminent domain again. That's why I used
3	that term.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed?
5	MR. WUELLNER: I I don't think there's
6	anybody trying to trying to bring eminent
7	domain into this picture.
8	But as you recall, we acquired 85, 90 percent
9	of the balance of that neighborhood doing just
10	this approach, buying it individually. And had we
11	waited and decided at the last minute to do it all
12	eminent domain, it would have been
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Astronomical.
14	MR. WUELLNER: exponentially more
15	expensive to take that approach than buying it as
16	they're available and offered to us.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
18	MR. GEORGE: I have have repeatedly said
19	that we need to have a land acquisition plan that

20	is bigger than just right around the corner.
21	We have funds that we can receive for land
22	purchase, and I think putting our head in the sand
23	and saying we're not going to buy any because of
24	patchwork is or we're not going to buy
25	anything, we're just letting that go somewhere

1

2	agree with the board's statement, you know, that
3	we will not use eminent domain.
4	Consequently, I would say that the direction
5	that I would like to give the staff is, you will
6	go after properties that are adjacent to where we
7	have big chunks of land first. In this particular
8	case, I would suggest that we contact these three
9	people these three landholders here.
10	MR. WUELLNER: It's one landholder.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's one.
12	MR. GEORGE: All right. One. You know, the
13	owners of these three pieces of property. This
14	right here does give us some space that could be
15	used, you know, in the event that that these
16	people don't sell.
17	But we want to keep away from the eminent
18	domain, so this one right here, even though it's
19	contiguous, I don't know what our how we would

else. I do, though, agree with Jack and strongly

25

20	use it. I don't know how it would fall into a use
21	of business development, office development, you
22	know, or whatnot. So, I'd like to have some
23	discussion by the members and the staff on what
24	could we use this for.

Now, I realize that in here, we've got enough

1	space to keep us busy for, and I'm pulling this
2	out of the air, guys, five to ten years' worth of
3	our growth needs. But if we don't start looking
4	for what happens 10 years, 20 years down the road,
5	we'll be in that patchwork area.
6	So, I'm saying that I somewhat agree with
7	Jack, but we need to do the land acquisition, but
8	let's do it in a more organized, you know,
9	approach. So, Ed, can you tell me what if
10	if this was the only thing that we purchased and
11	we still had this right here that someone
12	MR. WUELLNER: That's one owner.
13	MR. GEORGE: What could we use that for?
14	MR. WUELLNER: In and of itself? It could
15	if you had all of that, you could acquire
16	MR. GEORGE: No, we're trying I'm sorry.
17	MR. WUELLNER: you could reconstruct your
18	park entrance. It's also shown on your master
19	plan as the relocated access to the south edge of

- that development.
- MR. GEORGE: But that's if we had all of
- this, also.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't know whether you could
- snake it through what we do have there or not.
- MR. GEORGE: Well, a good point. In the

1	event that you wanted to go out to this, then you
2	should go out to this at the same time. So that
3	gives us one contiguous area that could be used.
4	MR. WUELLNER: You've got a your
5	fundamental issue is your limitation on the amount
6	of funds you have
7	MR. GEORGE: Agreed.
8	MR. WUELLNER: right off the bat. You
9	know, the again, you properly state that you're
10	probably five or ten more years till at least,
11	until we're even talking about that area in terms
12	of trying to develop it.
13	I I'm not sure I understand the
14	distinction between why why those are
15	fundamentally needed now versus letting that
16	that plan mature for five or ten more years and
17	maybe some of those other properties become
18	available during that five- or ten-year period.

MR. GEORGE: But you made the comment that

20	over 25, 30, 40 years, we had acquired
21	MR. WUELLNER: Twenty plus or whatever it is.
22	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, we had acquired most of
23	it. And the term is most. And when it got to the
24	point that we had to have it, we were forced into
25	eminent domain, which everybody is adamantly

1 opposed to getting stuck into that again. 2 So I'm saying that one way you avoid that is, 3 as you are buying, you buy contiguous areas to what we already have that makes that area more 4 usable for something five, ten years down the 5 road. 6 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I -- I hear you 7 8 completely. My concern is, it just doesn't become available that way. And property that's available 9 10 today at today's price may not ever be available again. I mean, we don't -- we don't control when 11 properties become available. 12 13 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I know you understand 14 that. 15 16 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. 17 MR. WUELLNER: But those -- those other four

owners in that -- on the Casa Cola block there,

you know, I can't speak to when or if they'd ever

18

20	be willing to say to sell.
21	But at the end of the day, these are the ones
22	that are available. That's the same that were
23	available in the 2- and 300 block down there over
24	the last 20 years. When they became available,
25	the board acted to fill in the checkerboard, so to

1	speak.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack, you had something?
3	MR. GORMAN: Well, Ed's basically addressed
4	the issue. I mean, but and Buzz has addressed
5	the actual crux of the issue here. Let's just
6	point it out again.
7	MR. WUELLNER: You want the pointer?
8	MR. GORMAN: No. I'm loud. Before you buy
9	this, you've got to have some kind of a commitment
10	that you can buy that in the future. Otherwise,
11	you're going to buy this and then you're going to
12	be forced into the usability of the entire tract
13	being abridged by the fact that one of these
14	owners, whoever they are, by sentiment or money,
15	is going to hold out for the eminent domain issue,
16	which this board has said they will not do.
17	We're going to be talking about this a lot.
18	This is one of those issues that I came before,
19	like at county commission. I was all full of angst

20	how do you solve it. I don't know the I don't
21	know how to solve it. All I know is we're doing
22	the same thing again.
23	MR. WUELLNER: There's certainly nothing to
24	prevent us from continuing to pursue FDOT money
25	and approaching owners as you know, as the

1	money becomes available and see if they're
2	interested at that time.
3	But I don't know anything other than we
4	you know, we have taken advantage of, you know, as
5	they become available. Thankfully they did that
6	20 years ago, because none of this development
7	would be down here, because there's no way you
8	would have you would have spent the amount of
9	money necessary to acquire that whole
10	neighborhood.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: What's the cost maybe
12	Doug, hundreds a couple of hundred dollars
13	apiece or \$400 apiece for a reappraisal?
14	MR. WUELLNER: It's probably less than \$2- or
15	\$300.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: \$2- or \$300?
17	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. \$2- to \$300 would be the
18	normal range.

MR. WUELLNER: For the guts of it. Updates

19

- the comps.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. We're not talking about
- an eminent domain type of appraisal, just a
- standard appraisal that any homeowner would have.
- 25 Yeah, \$2- to \$300.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Buzz?
2	MR. GEORGE: I would like to consider the
3	following motion, that the \$500,000 that we have
4	in transportation funds for land acquisition be
5	used on a bid for appraised value for the two
6	pieces of property on Casa Cola and this piece.
7	In the event that these people do not want to
8	do anything, I think you have to come back to the
9	board to discuss where we're going to go from
10	there.
11	MR. BURNETT: That's Mary Tarver Willis.
12	MR. GEORGE: I see this area here as a
13	possibility of big enough of doing something with.
14	So, if these people don't sell
15	MR. GORMAN: That's a point.
16	MR. GEORGE: that we if five years from
17	now, ten years from now, we could get some
18	office some aviation-related activity into the
19	size of this land. Are these 50-foot lots or

20	hundred?
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Fifty.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I think they're all 50.
23	MR. GEORGE: Fifty? So you're talking
24	150 x 250. Plus the front piece right here. So

that could be used for something.

1	So my motion is, go on it on the appraised
2	value do another appraisal, by the way and
3	go here and here. And if they take that, you
4	know, then we authorize the staff to continue with
5	it and purchase the land. If not, they've got to
6	come back to the board.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's a motion. Do I have
8	a second?
9	(No second to the motion.)
10	MR. GEORGE: Well, that died.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have no second.
12	Any other motions? We have to give staff
13	direction.
14	MR. BURNETT: Are the three top lots Ms.
15	Tarver Willis?
16	MR. WUELLNER: No.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Who owns the top three? Is
18	that Ms. Willis?
19	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know the last no.

- 20 Mary's property's right here.
- MR. GEORGE: Where is Mary?
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Down there.
- MR. WUELLNER: She's in the back row hoping
- you aren't telling her she has to move.
- MS. WILLIS: No, no, no, no, no. Otherwise

it will never sell. I will go on record again and 1 2 again. 3 MR. WUELLNER: I was kidding, Mary. I know 4 very well where you stand. 5 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Would you like to donate it? 6 7 MS. WILLIS: We're going to have you committed. 8 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Just practicing. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any motions, guys? 10 MR. GEORGE: Oh, come on, Kelly. 11 MS. BARRERA: We have two homeowners who have 12 been -- who are interested in selling their 13 property and they're not interested in selling it 14 to a developer or real estate investor who could 15 16 hold us ransom in the future should -- should they 17 want to. They're willing to sell it to the airport. They've come to us. 18

I think that we should consider their offer,

19

20	if we get another appraised value on it, so that
21	we can have the up-to-date appraisal and be
22	looking at real numbers. We've got the FDOT who
23	is going the match the funds for this. And even
24	if we use that as an area to park cars, we've got
25	the ability to do that with the land space.

1	We can get rental income on it until we're
2	ready to use it fully because they both have
3	houses on them. And also, from the noise
4	sensitivity standpoint, we'll own those. That
5	will be two less problems as far as noise that
6	we'll have in trying to be compatible with our
7	neighbors.
8	I don't see where we really lose on this. I
9	do see where we could lose if we continue to put
10	these people off, where they could just go to an
11	investor. Any anybody in here could go run
12	out, make a deal with them and then we'll be held
13	to that higher amount of money in a different real
14	estate market and for a later board.
15	I'm not interested in eminent domain. You
16	will not see me going for that. I think that this
17	board has taken a strong stance on it. And I
18	think that whoever the future board members will
19	be like-minded on that.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So
21	MS. BARRERA: So my my motion would be to
22	go and get new appraisals on the property,
23	up-to-date appraisals, and then to move to move
24	towards purchasing those properties for the
25	airport for the future.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? Can I
2	second? Yeah. I second that motion. Any further
3	board discussion?
4	(No further discussion.)
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Hearing none. The
6	motion's been made and seconded. All in favor of
7	the motion as Kelly stated it, say aye.
8	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
10	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
11	MR. GORMAN: Nay.
12	MR. BURNETT: Two to two, the motion fails.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
14	MR. GORMAN: Short.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I mean, the only thing I
16	guess we can do is either try and come up with if
17	you have another way to approach it, another
18	motion, or we have to table it.
19	MR. GEORGE: I'll make another motion.

21 MR. GEORGE: Mary is not going anywhere,

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

- okay? But maybe the three next to her --
- MR. WUELLNER: It's two and two.
- MR. GEORGE: Two next to her, okay, you know,
- along with this property, gives us a larger

- 1 contiguous area. So maybe that's option one.
- 2 MR. NEFF: There's two 20 x 100 foot right
- 3 there. You might play hell getting them. Excuse
- 4 my profanity.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: That's all right. Which ones?
- 6 MR. NEFF: The two, there's two small ones
- 7 right behind it.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Oh, right here?
- 9 MR. NEFF: Yes. You'll play hell --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. That's --
- MS. WILLIS: I'll give -- I'll give that to
- 12 you.
- MR. NEFF: I know who the guy is. You know,
- we tried -- we tried to buy it.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. I'm just trying to get
- 16 contiguous areas so that we don't have problems
- down the road. And, you know, this one, you know,
- is most -- most beneficial to us because of the
- traffic that we have coming down this whole area

20	right in here. But I also understand how these
21	people here are, you know, at a point. So maybe
22	the other option is to go here and try here. That
23	gives us a contiguous area.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for your
25	edication edification, these two are vacant

- lots still. Just so -- just so you know how that
- 2 fits in the puzzle.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: What's the status of those?
- 4 Have those people been approached?
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: We've had contact a couple of
- 6 times with the -- it was a -- this lot here,
- 7 then -- I can't see the number, Lot 14 there, the
- 8 lady who lived there, there's an untenable mobile
- 9 unit that's on that property and --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Which one is this? I'm sorry.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 14.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's 14, Lot 14, which is the
- 13 northern one of these two.
- 14 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: I would -- it was sold to
- someone she knew who passed away also, and it's
- 17 now with that gentleman's daughter, who I believe
- still lives in the general area. But we've not
- been able to get her pinned down, so to speak, on

20	any interest in selling or whatever.
21	I would think the County's going to be
22	interested very shortly, as soon as someone from
23	code enforcement figures out that what's on
24	that property, that they're going to be forced to
25	clean it up, which will probably probably move

1	it forward a little bit. But I mean, we're not
2	going to call them, but that I can't imagine
3	that that's going to stand there that much longer
4	without somebody
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then the one below it?
6	MR. WUELLNER: The one below, I don't know
7	that we've had contact with. At least not
8	recently. Do you recall?
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that answers Buzz's
10	question. If 14 is somewhat workable and then we
11	take that property to Indian the top one
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: that gives you a big
14	chunk there.
15	MR. GEORGE: Absolutely it does, yeah.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then you still with the
17	bottom property get the big chunk down there, with
18	the two properties that are proposed.
19	MR. GEORGE: I would hate to do that without

20	at least exercising some communication with the
21	people on the northern block up there.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You mean 22, 23?
23	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, 22, 23, right. 21, 22.
24	MR. WUELLNER: We'd be happy to contact them

and see -- see what they're thinking. I mean, I

1	don't know definitively what they'd want to do or
2	not do.
3	MR. GEORGE: What does it do to us if we did
4	a mass mail to all of the property owners of
5	record for everybody in there and say, we have
6	some Department of Transportation funds available
7	for acquisition of property. We are interested in
8	acquiring property that is adjacent to property
9	that we already own. If you are interested, the
10	board is considering
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Purchasing.
12	MR. GEORGE: purchasing it, you know, at
13	appraised
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just say purchasing.
15	MR. GEORGE: Purchasing, period. And see
16	what reaction you get back. Sanchez wants you.
17	MR. GORMAN: Certainly you've got to have
18	some kind of a plan and some type of research
19	before you go purchasing more patchwork. And

- 20 he -- he's trying to make a plan. That's some
- 21 type of plan.
- MR. WUELLNER: This -- I don't know how to
- 23 explain it any better. This isn't creating
- patchwork. This is cleaning it up.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.

1	MR. WUELLNER: And if you don't you know,
2	if you don't fill in the blanks, you've got all
3	these blanks to fill, or you're forced to this
4	either the decision of eminent domain, which I
5	understand no one wants to do, including myself,
6	or never being able to develop the property, or
7	waiting that time line out for however many years.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, what does it hurt if
9	we do what Buzz says and send something out this
10	week and it's on the agenda for November and you
11	come back and say, 22, 23, 24 said take a hike,
12	you know, 14 possible
13	MR. GEORGE: No response from 14 or whatever.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. And then we'll have a
15	better idea of what we're up against. Because I
16	think I agree with you. I mean, I've been on
17	this board long enough.
18	We need to purchase these when we can,
19	especially when we have the funds. And these

- people want to sell their properties. But that
 would put peace of mind, saying these properties
 possible, not possible. We could reallocate some
 of the money if those -MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
- MR. GORMAN: At least you have a plan. You'd

1 have some status available. 2 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We'll do that. We'll 3 do that. MS. BARRERA: Along with getting an updated 4 5 appraisal on these two properties so that we have the latest appraisal so that if -- if we decide to 6 go ahead with these two properties, we have the 7 8 numbers. MR. GEORGE: That's a good idea, Kelly. 9 10 MR. WUELLNER: We can do that. 11 MR. GEORGE: Save another step. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ron? 13 MS. BARRERA: So that a decision can be made. 14 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Would it be all right if I say something? 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, it's okay. Pop up 17 quick.

COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: The best time to buy

the property is when you don't seem to need it.

18

19

20	You send letters out to all of these people, you
21	raise the price like crazy. All of them will say
22	the airport wants this, so it's worth more money.
23	I I think the intention is good, but I
24	think the result will be devastating. Even the
25	people that have agreed to sell their property.

1	their price will go up. Especially if you send
2	out a letter saying we've got money and want to
3	spend it.
4	MS. BARRERA: I agree with Ron.
5	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I mean, we had a
6	problem in St. Augustine Beach. They asked for
7	our help on a piece of land out there. As soon as
8	the county got involved, the price went up \$2
9	million. I mean, just like that.
10	So, you're going to you know, you're in a
11	time when some of these people might want to sell
12	property. You have people offering the property.
13	If you buy the property that's being offered and
14	any of those other people are interested, they're
15	going to come to you and say I'm interested, too,
16	because they know you're buying. But if you
17	commit to the fact that you want the property,
18	then you've raised the price and you made it a lot
19	more difficult for yourself. I mean, can you buy

20	all of it at one time?
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
22	MR. GEORGE: No.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We don't have the funds.
24	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I think you're asking
25	for problems, then. I really do. And the more

1	you buy, the more the rest of it goes up in value.
2	I mean, I'm just, you know, trying to look at
3	it as an outsider, a logical sense. And I don't
4	normally offer this, but it's just some things you
5	probably need to think about. Any time someone
6	thinks you want the property, you're going to pay
7	more for it.
8	So, you need to consider these the people
9	that are willing to sell. If you buy it, anyone
10	else that wants to sell, you don't need to send
11	them a letter; if they want to sell, they're going
12	to be contacting you, too. Be sure you keep the
13	appraised value factor in there at all times.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Current.
15	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: That would and keep
16	in mind appraised values right now are down
17	considerably, and are going further.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I said current.
19	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: About 20 percent this

20	year.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly? Thanks, Ron.
22	MS. BARRERA: And and just to add to what
23	Ron said, we had also we're also sending a
24	message that we're in an aggressive buying period,
25	which we're not. We're taking we're looking at

1	taking advantage of an opportunity that's been
2	presented to us. That's different than
3	aggressively going out and soliciting.
4	With with the limited funds that we have
5	for this and the limited funds that we have to
6	match this, I think that we'd be wise to try to
7	move forward with the two properties that are
8	here. That's that's my suggestion to
9	contemplate.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you want to try a motion
11	again? Since we've had this discussion.
12	MS. BARRERA: Is there any other discussion?
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz, does that bring up
14	anything else?
15	MR. GEORGE: I think that Mr. Sanchez brings
16	up a very valid point. And when you tie that with
17	the we're not really interested in going out
18	and wholesaling, you know, or purchasing a lot of
19	property, then we get the bad name of, well, you

20	yanked my chain and then you didn't come through
21	and so what the devil is going on?
22	I still believe that the land that we
23	purchase should be contiguous to the area that we

already have.

1	MR. GEORGE: Contacting the owners of 21, 22
2	and 23 is not the same as contacting all of the
3	other property owners. And that gives us one
4	block that's in there. I I can only vote on
5	something that says that that's what we're going
6	to try first.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, if you want to make a
8	motion, that's fine. I think we're all in
9	agreement we want current appraisals, though.
10	MR. GEORGE: Yes. Right. I make a motion
11	that we go out to Lot 21, 22, 23, along with the
12	lot that's behind Mary what is that lot number,
13	Mary? I can't read it.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The top one?
15	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, the top green one.
16	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's
17	MR. GEORGE: With the idea that if if that
18	is not a doable project, then we come back to the
19	board and we talk we develop the plan for the

20	next use of that money. Because one of the other
21	plans, you know, is 12 to 15 to 20 years from now
22	is property that's way on the other side of U.S. 1
23	for another airport.
24	If we have the \$500,000 in DOT funds
25	available and we can't find something that fits

1	with our plan for the west of Casa Cola, then we
2	take those funds and go somewhere else for the
3	other plan, as long as that makes sense.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay, Buzz.
5	MR. GEORGE: To restate it
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
7	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion that we
8	authorize staff to proceed with the appraisal of
9	the two green pieces of property and the
10	contacting of the owners or owners of Lot 21, 22
11	and 23 and see if they would be interested in
12	selling. If so, getting an appraisal on that and
13	bringing that back to this board.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
15	MR. GEORGE: And then we'll decide the
16	direction we want to go. But that keeps it all
17	contiguous.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And it's not a mass mailing.
10	MR_GEORGE: It's what?

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Not a mass mailing. You're
- 21 just --
- MR. GEORGE: No. Exactly, right.
- MR. WUELLNER: Contacting the one owner.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do they -- one owner?
- MR. WUELLNER: It's one owner.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's one owner? Okay.
2	Well, that even limits it.
3	MR. GEORGE: But that could get the message
4	out that the Airport's interested in acquiring
5	land, but we're going to acquire land that is
6	contiguous to the area that we already have. That
7	it's got to fit in with our overall plan.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Is there a second?
9	MS. BARRERA: I'll second it.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
11	discussion?
12	MR. GORMAN: I'll vote for anything that
13	sounds like a plan.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All in favor of the
15	motion, say aye.
16	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
17	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
18	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Opposed? I'm opposed, bu

20	most passes three to one.
21	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT CONTRACT
22	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item I have is
23	the contract award for the EA, the environmental
24	assessment for 13/31 safety areas.
25	The FAA this is a required study. It's

1	required to be done before we do repairs to the
2	safety area along the eastern edge of 13/31.
3	That's the yellow area. I'm going to try not to
4	put that this in your eye here.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's all right.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Right right in this area.
7	It also includes the environmental assessment
8	required to prior to building Taxiway Bravo.
9	It has a rather large consulting team
10	involved. Passero being the lead agency, however,
11	they have significant contract work with LPA and
12	several other firms in this job.
13	It is an FAA-funded project that will likely
14	take about a year to complete and will result in
15	hopefully a determination either of no significant
16	impact or will result either way in a permittable
17	project with the various alphabet agencies.
18	Again, it covers both the safety area and
19	Taxiway B. It's funded by FAA already. You have

20	executed the grant for that. It's a 95 percent
21	of the \$740,000. So FAA's picking up \$703,000 of
22	the study.
23	It is ready to go now. The study design has
24	been approved by FAA. And we're recommending that
25	you go ahead and award that contract to Passero

- 1 for the EA for runway 13/31.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? I have
- 3 Herb.
- 4 MR. RIPPE: No. Pass.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reba?
- 6 MS. LUDLOW: Yes. Yeah, Reba Ludlow. I
- 7 wanted to ask, this is just on the deciding how
- 8 you're going to resurface it? Is that the end of
- 9 that? This isn't it?
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: No.
- 11 MS. LUDLOW: Okay. This is on the -- the
- wetlands.
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MS. LUDLOW: Extending taxiway Bravo.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- MS. LUDLOW: But that doesn't have anything
- to do with the other end of 13.
- MR. WUELLNER: No, it does not.
- MS. LUDLOW: This is something --

- MR. WUELLNER: This one does not.
- 21 MS. LUDLOW: -- totally separate.
- MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MS. LUDLOW: Okay. I'm clarified.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have no other public
- comment. Oh, Joe?

1	MR. LOPINTO: Is there and I think we've
2	talked about this before, but will there be
3	specific language with respect to the possibility
4	of additional lighting facilities at the approach
5	end of runway 31?
6	MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. Yes
7	I'm being told by the experts yes.
8	MR. LOPINTO: So that we're not precluding
9	ourselves, if needed, to go for funds for
10	additional lighting facilities.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
12	MR. LOPINTO: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael?
14	MR. SLINGLUFF: I one, I'm glad to see
15	that we're looking at some some of our primary
16	infrastructure here. The environmental assessment
17	is critical to maintaining that runway. And
18	without it, we will lose the runway, which is much
19	like losing Route 1.

20	But I do hope that the scope is
21	all-encompassing for the expansion of the taxiway
22	runway lighting system and any of the other things
23	that we can get out of these guys while they're
24	here. So we can get the runway back up to top
25	notch.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hear that, Andrew? We're
2	going to get every penny out of you. Okay. No
3	more board public discussion. Board? Jack?
4	MR. GORMAN: We've got to we've got to
5	finish Taxiway B. I mean, it's hilarious. I
6	watch all day long outside of the tower people
7	that that can't back-taxi down Taxiway B.
8	They're they're told to take off at the
9	intersection, and so two-thirds of the runway is
10	not accessible to them. So anything that fixes
11	taxiway B, we've got to do. So
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a motion?
13	MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion that we approve
14	that.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Approve?
16	MR. GORMAN: Approve the environmental
17	assessment.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Staff recommendation.
19	MR. GORMAN: As staff as staff's

- 20 recommendation.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
- MS. BARRERA: Did you have any comment?
- MR. GEORGE: I have some comments.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 25 MR. GORMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.

1	WIR. OLOKOL. Whatever we do in that area has
2	to have a personal letter to Mr. Al Sesona,
3	telling him what we are doing and telling him of
4	the potential outcome.
5	We promised that to him, you and I did, and I
6	think it needs to be there, and I think Mr. Jerry
7	Cameron was part of that meeting. I don't know if
8	he's still involved or not. But I think that he
9	and myself should be copied on that meeting,
10	because we we've got to keep Al involved in it.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
12	MR. GEORGE: My only other comment is, we're
13	looking at an environmental assessment to the
14	east, you know, and to the west of the runway area
15	there.
16	Why not add the south so that we can get
17	ready for an 800-foot runway extension and not
18	have to mess around in the event Grumman comes
19	up with a project that requires a longer runway,

20	not have to mess around with the extension, you
21	know, going to the north to get rid of move
22	U.S. 1 and the railroad tracks?
23	MR. WUELLNER: Because there's essentially no
24	likelihood of FAA funding the additional study
25	area. FAA at this time does not see and we do not

- 1 have adequate justification to add that much
- 2 length to the end -- any length to the runway.
- 3 Our critical aircraft, our forecast critical
- 4 aircraft all require under the amount of pavement
- 5 we already have. So --
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- there's no way to get
- 8 there.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: But in anticipation of Grumman's
- growth, which is good for the county, you know,
- 11 for everybody, the airport hired a consultant out
- of Orlando to help us with the environmental
- assessment that we were going to do. I think we
- 14 need to invite her back into understanding what
- we're doing, just in case there are some nuances
- that could be added.
- MR. WUELLNER: She's a part of the team.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. That's -- that
- answered that question. Okay.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Jack still has a
21	motion on the floor to accept Staff's
22	recommendation. Do you want to amend part of it
23	to include Mr. Sesona and the
24	MR. WUELLNER: We'll take care of that.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You'll take care of that?

I	MR. GORMAN: I certainly I don't know if
2	we need to amend it, but that certainly needs to
3	be done as part of
4	MR. GEORGE: I second Mr. Gorman's motion.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
6	discussion?
7	(No further discussion.)
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
9	Staff's recommendation and direction, say aye.
10	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
11	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
12	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
14	(No opposition.)
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: None opposed. Motion
16	passes.
17	RUNWAY 13/31 ANALYSIS & PRELIMINARY DESIGN
18	MR. WUELLNER: The next item I have is for
19	the 13/13 pavement analysis, which is what Ms.

20	Reba	wanted	to	speak	to.

- This provides for a detailed review of the
- 22 methodologies that might be appropriate for
- essentially coming up with a rehabilitation of
- that runway.
- 25 The pavement -- the pavement age is now in

1	excess of 20 years. We are noticing significant
2	reflective and/or alligator cracking on the north
3	end. While some of that is in the short period
4	repairable, long term it points to issues that
5	need to be resolved.
6	And this purpose of this study is to come up
7	with the best best methodology, evaluate the
8	costs and alternatives of those methods, and to
9	make a recommendation, including the cost analysis
10	and the grant programming related to getting
11	that that work done in the future. And that
12	will include things like looking at overlays
13	milling and overlays, looking at white topping,
14	which is a concrete overlay of sections of this.
15	Those things will be evaluated as a part of it.
16	They'll do preliminary design as necessary to
17	make sure that it can be that the project as
18	recommended could be accomplished there. But it
19	will fall short of actually doing it or doing

20	the engineering, or actually facilitating the
21	construction work.
22	This is an FDOT project. We are we get 50
23	percent of the contract value up to \$200,000 on
24	this project. And we are recommending award to
25	Passero at \$185-, \$185,000, to which we get 50

1	percent from FDO1 at this point.
2	Later on, the next phase of this would end up
3	with an FAA project, which would facilitate the
4	design, the final design, and the construction
5	of once the values are determined and the like,
6	and we'll be programming that with FAA so that the
7	work can be accomplished after we decide what it
8	is that needs to be done.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment, Reba?
10	MS. LUDLOW: I am all for this.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Joe, I have you, too.
12	MR. LOPINTO: At what point, Ed, would there
13	be inputs from the users of the airport here
14	reflecting their needs for the usage of the runway
15	and any potential plans?
16	Obviously this is not something that does
17	that's overnight. It's going to be over a long
18	period of time. So, at what point would you be
19	seeking or Passero be seeking their input?

25

MR. WUELLNER: I -- I'm not exactly sure what
kind of input you're -- what you're thinking. If
it's related to methodologies as it would relate
to closure -MR. LOPINTO: Yes.

MR. WUELLNER: -- then it would be after the

file:///A|/MTG102008.txt (236 of 352)11/10/2008 3:22:14 PM

1	method of determination is made or method of
2	approaching this project is made. So, toward the
3	end of this.
4	It would be the coordination effort would
5	actually go into the next phase. After we've done
6	or are now going into final design of the project,
7	at that point, coordination needs to be it
8	needs to be undertaken because especially if we
9	get a target date for grant funding for the
10	construction, because it's all hinged on a
11	construction date.
12	And we don't know what that is because we
13	can't pro it's still probably conservatively a
14	year away from even sitting down with users,
15	having some idea of when we're expecting the FAA
16	money and when it would when we'd have to work
17	out a schedule with the users, whether it's
18	MR. LOPINTO: Would that
19	MR. WUELLNER: nighttime hours only or

- 20 whatever.
 21 MR. LOPINTO: Would that make -- I know you
 22 have your quarterly operational meeting.
 23 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- MR. LOPINTO: That maybe start to lay that
- idea in front of them, saying we're going to be --

1	you'll be coming back to them in the year's time
2	or less so that they are aware that it's not a
3	sudden askance for input.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I think we made them
5	aware of the of the likelihood of a project
6	with an unknown date about, not this last meeting,
7	but the meeting before. But it is certainly
8	something that we can update every quarter or
9	every two months as we meet with that group.
10	MR. LOPINTO: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Joe. Michael?
12	MR. SLINGLUFF: Again, this is really
13	critical infrastructure for us, and I don't think
14	the numbers are very big considering what the
15	runway does for us.
16	We are getting more and more complaints,
17	almost on a weekly basis, on how rough the runway
18	is getting. The larger airplanes are very high
19	pressure in the tires. It can be a very I

- 20 mean, people come in and say it's like a bumpy
- road out there. Little airplanes, you don't feel
- it, but you taxi down there in a jet and you
- definitely feel it.
- MR. WUELLNER: North end particular.
- MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah.

1	MR. WUELLNER: It's it's gotten pretty
2	bad.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have no more public
4	comment. Board comment?
5	MR. GORMAN: With a comment like
6	Mr. Slingluff's, again, we haven't got any choice.
7	We need to do it.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz, anything?
9	MR. GEORGE: I hate to bring everybody back
10	to budgets, but you're asking you're asking the
11	board to approve the expenditure of \$92,500.
12	That's our 50 percent of that. Is that over and
13	above what we had in the budget?
14	MR. WUELLNER: No. It it's a budgeted
15	project.
16	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
17	MR. GORMAN: That's a good question. Thank
18	you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I want to make sure it

19

- was \$92-, because it says FDOT --
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's shown in the budget
- 22 at \$250,000, I believe.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's what I thought
- it was.
- MR. WUELLNER: What, \$200-?

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. Okay. Motion?
2	MR. GEORGE: Make a motion we accept staff
3	recommendation and proceed.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?
5	MS. BARRERA: I'll second it.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further discussion? Buzz?
7	MR. GEORGE: There's one thing that I heard
8	of an airline when they came in and it was bouncy,
9	the stewardesses I shouldn't say that? Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'm glad you didn't
11	say it.
12	MR. GEORGE: Oh, come on. It's a nice story.
13	The flight attendant. How about that? All right.
14	Forget it.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All the Nease High School
16	students are going to see this, Buzz.
17	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know that I know this
18	one, but I'm sure I don't want to hear it.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. It's not going

- anywhere? All right. Then you can tell it.
- 21 MS. BARRERA: No.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I think we got it,
- Buzz.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, good. Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. I have a first

1	and a second. Any other pertinent board
2	discussion?
3	MR. GORMAN: Hopefully not.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion,
5	say aye.
6	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
7	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
8	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
10	(No opposition.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion passes. I'm just
12	picking on you, Buzz.
13	SOUTH DEVELOPMENT - SITE PLAN DISCUSSION
14	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. As as we mentioned
15	at the last meeting, we've sort of further refined
16	a little bit. And to refresh your memory, the
17	south development area, potential project
18	discussion here.
19	One's finished office space at about 6,000

20	square foot. There's about 10,000 square foot of
21	space that's going to be further refined. It's
22	just that's what we're throwing out there. And
23	about a 4,000 square foot public use building down
24	there that would we would request that if you
25	approve this direction, that we roll into it for

1	purposes of taking advantage of it in the in
2	the construction side.
3	It is not grant eligible in itself, but it
4	is it would make a good addition in terms of
5	taking advantage of cost. Project budget for the
6	hangars and office space is about \$2.5 million
7	with \$800,000 additional for a public building at
8	this point estimated.
9	Projected tenant, 20-year return to refresh
10	your memory, is about a little over 7 percent.
11	Projected cash flow is about \$4.4 million, in
12	round numbers, over the next 20 years. Rental
13	rates are at \$15 for the office space.
14	We do have, as we mentioned, some people
15	interested in the office space. We have spoken to
16	them about the rate. They do not have a problem
17	with that rental rate. And at \$7 for commercial
18	hangar space for the hangars themselves.
19	This and again, this could be completed

20	if we move forward tonight or within the next few
21	months, we could get this thing constructed by the
22	end of this next calendar year. So, toward this
23	time next year, we would be close to finished.
24	This is a revised drawing. This one's
25	been been colorized but it kind of illustrates

1	what we were thinking in terms of the layout.
2	The commercial hangar space is in this area,
3	which are a common office area with common
4	restrooms, common lobby area, and adjacent to
5	the to this to the to the hangar areas
6	there. This would be strictly office space,
7	primarily used for flight instruction, in terms of
8	office space, class space, things like that.
9	Again, two two or three units could be
10	constructed in this in terms of leasable units.
11	And will include common restrooms, very similar to
12	most office modern office buildings, common
13	restrooms and lobby areas. Then to the immediate
14	right would be the public use building. All of
15	these these two buildings are adjacent to the
16	apron area that's constructed down there.
17	Access would be off of Indian Bend. Parking
18	along the road edges. Additional parking down
19	here. You start picking up the park on the south

20	end here. Fence line for the park would run
21	along likely run along the edge of the road
22	here. At this point, this would be the access
23	road, eventually tying into the ARFF facility
24	later on. It would not be constructed that far in
25	this phase.

1	This is the general concept layout down
2	there. We're showing 80 x 80 size hangar
3	buildings, which are 6,400 square foot, with a
4	little bit of office, putting you in about a 7- to
5	8,000 square foot kind of rental range when you
6	when you put those together. Those could be
7	configured differently. This is just to show you
8	where we were thinking and how we'd like to lay it
9	out.
10	So we'd love to have your input on what
11	what's the there's not a lot of leverage in
12	moving it dramatically, but some tweaking can be
13	made. And this also preserves again there's a
14	nice stand of trees that sits down there adjacent
15	to the apron. It preserves that area. Work
16	around a number of smaller, you know, less
17	substantial stands, but they're there.
18	You can see by the footprint here, because
19	this is overlaid on the tree survey, that we don't

20	impact a lot of trees that are in the location as
21	it is. There's only a couple of trees in this
22	building area that absolutely would have to come

- down, and they're smaller elms. So, input away.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment?
- Reba.

1	MS. LUDLOW: No comment.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Herb?
3	MR. RIPPE: Yeah. Herb Rippe. I'm curious,
4	Ed. What is the cost of the public use building,
5	and what would the revenue stream be?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Public use building would not
7	have a revenue stream with it. This would
8	there's some discussion about whether the Airport
9	Authority might want to use that space for future
10	meetings and keep us from having to build
11	additional office space at the airport here.
12	So we'd convert space in this room in this
13	building back into our or into office space in
14	lieu of building additional buildings. It would
15	also let us get out of the second floor of the
16	get Bryan's office from across the across the
17	way and get that over here, also.
18	But it also houses in a small office,
19	there would only be permanent locations for, we're

20	talking an office size space, maybe	e 12	X	12

something like that, leased to the groups like

Pilots Association. They currently lease space

from us. This would be space they would lease in

lieu of where they are now.

There's Coast Guard Auxiliary. There's Civil

1	Air Patrol. These groups would have a small
2	office unit as a part of this building.
3	Otherwise the facility's available for the
4	tours we give, the you know, the other public
5	meetings that are continually in this building and
6	using this office space. So provide a new home
7	for all of those things.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Joe?
9	MR. LOPINTO: With reference to the public
10	facility, I I can think of two functions that
11	would come to the St. Augustine Airport
12	periodically, and Matt could probably help me out
13	on this as well.
14	There are many pilot organizations that
15	always look for facilities to have local training
16	events, sometimes involving as much as two to
17	three days and 20 to 40 airplanes. And
18	St. Augustine has always had constraints with
19	respect to ramp space so that they don't conflict

20	with many of the corporate large and turbine
21	airplanes. And more importantly, public use space
22	for classrooms.
23	So, when you think about in the aggregate
24	over the course of the year between the Cirrus
25	family of aircraft and the Cessna and the Bonanza

1	family of aircraft, you can provide a I don't
2	know exactly what the numbers are, but it wouldn't
3	be surprising to see about six figures in the
4	course of the year. Not mentioning also the added
5	activity of the airplanes utilizing hotels while
6	these pilots are here. Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Michael?
8	MR. SLINGLUFF: No comment.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Okay. No more public
10	comment. Board comment? Jack, he got his hand
11	up.
12	MR. GEORGE: All right. I strongly object to
13	the method that this whole project has taken. We
14	as board members need to understand what the needs
15	of different groups are. And when we go off and
16	have an operational committee that doesn't include
17	the entire board, I'm going to sit here and try to
18	defend something we're doing that I didn't have
19	anything to do with the development of it.

20	MR. WUELLNER: What's what operational
21	committee are we speaking?
22	MR. GEORGE: Well, the southern development
23	area discussion. Discussion with who?
24	MR. WUELLNER: This board. That's why we're
25	here today There's no other

1	MR. GEORGE: This board has had I missed
2	one meeting.
3	MR. WUELLNER: This is at least
4	MR. GEORGE: This board has had a big
5	discussion on how that whole southern area
6	development is going to be laid out?
7	MR. GORMAN: Not much.
8	MR. GEORGE: I don't think they have, either.
9	MR. WUELLNER: You asked us to come up with
10	suggestions on how to develop it. That's why
11	we're here. That's what this last three months
12	have been about. We've had
13	MR. GEORGE: Go ahead. You've had who?
14	MS. BARRERA: He's we've had several
15	slides on this.
16	MR. WUELLNER: We we've done this as an
17	agenda item for the last three months. This isn't
18	the first time this has been brought up and it
19	this is the first time to this level of detail.

20	We keep adding detail each time we've brought it
21	back to keep talking about it.
22	MR. GEORGE: What is the operational
23	committee that I've heard you talk about that you
24	get together once a month?

MR. WUELLNER: It's a -- it's the user

1	group users on the airport. We meet and
2	discuss day-to-day operational issues, such as
3	pavement closures, maintenance work that needs to
4	be done. General issues.
5	We need to bring them up to speed on
6	emergency planning and environmental issues and
7	the like where they have obligations under their
8	leaseholds. We meet with them every two months
9	and sit around
10	MR. GEORGE: I got the I got the
11	impression that there were a lot of discussions
12	also going on about public use facilities, about
13	how the park was going to be laid out, which side
14	of the road the fence is going to be on.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
16	MR. GEORGE: These are things that this board
17	needs to be involved.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. I agree. And the
19	quest we had two direct questions at the

20	operational meeting, which came from Pilots
21	Association, and they were related to, was the
22	was the Airport Authority continuing to move
23	forward with discussing and developing this south
24	plan to include this public use building?
25	And then the question was asked in placing

1	this building, will there be public access to this
2	building? Meaning could you drive up in a car as
3	well as be able to get there to with an
4	airplane to the area. And my answer to them was,
5	yes, there that the Authority continues to talk
6	about the project. The Airport Authority, as we
7	talk about the building, would include access by
8	both methods, automobile as well as aircraft
9	access. It's still not defined because
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, I guess what I
11	my concern, Ed, is that we're being asked to
12	review the conclusion of someone else's work where
13	we did not get involved in the discussion of how
14	this is going to be and how that's going to be.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I you know, I respectfully
16	disagree with you. We have had some level of
17	discussion for the last two months, including
18	graphics about the general areas and the like.
19	And after last month's direction, we moved

- forward with bringing this back to you to talk
- about a con -- more detailed conceptual plan of
- the area.
- MR. GORMAN: Let me say something real quick.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?

1	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, let me say something
2	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, this was presented
3	last month and the month before, giving you an
4	idea of what the development would look like down
5	there.
6	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. Let me say something.
7	Let me reassure Mr. George that if he's not
8	comfortable with the discussion and we have to
9	make a decision now, that we do not have to be
10	building
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, no. This is just
12	discussion.
13	MR. WUELLNER: It's only discussion.
14	MR. GORMAN: We don't have to be building
15	something every second, and I would like him to be
16	comfortable with the decision.
17	MR. WUELLNER: There is no hurry in it.
18	MR. GORMAN: Right. Thank you, Mr okay.
19	The second thing is, is that just in

20	discussion of this layout, is this this is just
21	one didn't I remember requesting a
22	two-building versus three-hangar layout, you know,
23	would be apropos for the you know, three 3000s
24	versus two, you know, 5000s, and is this going to
25	be part of what you're going to present here?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Actual actually, this is
2	the only iteration. These buildings that you're
3	referring to, the two and three, I lost my
4	these up here, these can be configured any way you
5	want, whether they're in small
6	MR. GORMAN: Whether they're the big three
7	3,000
8	MR. WUELLNER: you know, 3,000 units or
9	again, these are not engineered. This is laid out
10	to
11	MR. GORMAN: Right.
12	MR. WUELLNER: with consideration to the
13	trees and the like. These are the available areas
14	to make something work.
15	MR. GORMAN: Okay. My comment number two
16	would be if in fact you built a 6,400 square foot
17	hangar, what type of business would that try to
18	would that attract? Because that is general
19	aviation. Those are rather large for general

- aviation, I think.
- MR. WUELLNER: Actually not.
- MR. GORMAN: Really?
- MR. WUELLNER: You're -- you just talked to a
- small aircraft entity today needing 9,000 square
- 25 foot.

1 MS. BARRERA: Two. 2 MR. WUELLNER: Two of them, actually. 3 MR. GORMAN: So you're saying --MR. WUELLNER: Airport maintenance --4 MR. GORMAN: -- this would be for -- you're 5 saying these would be maintenance facilities? 6 7 MR. WUELLNER: These were -- these were designed -- or the concept originally was we have 8 people looking for light aircraft -- we had 9 several businesses looking for --10 MR. GORMAN: Okay. That's fine. 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- light aircraft maintenance 12 13 businesses. This is sized about that --MR. GORMAN: Okay. So -- so, to clarify, 14 these two buildings here with office space between 15 the 6400s could be configured like they are or 16 17 they could be configured, reconfigured into two 3,000s. My --18 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. 19

MR. GORMAN: My own thought -
MR. WUELLNER: You can build one not the

other. You can -
MR. GORMAN: -- and I'll be done with it -
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Guys, I'm sorry.

Janet's having a hard time here trying to keep

1 everybody straight. MR. GORMAN: I'll say. Right. In other 2 3 words, my own thought, and I'll talk a little slower, is that small business -- many small 4 5 businesses, and that's -- that's first on my agenda, is growing small businesses start just 6 7 like Mr. Gene Powers' business did. In other 8 words, they start small. They start with a 3,000. Then after a while, they need something bigger. 9 10 So I would like to see some -- you know, 11 smaller areas available for maintenance that -and I remember talking to you about this, that it 12 could be grown later. 13 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. Largely, that's what 14 H and I row do --15 16 MR. GORMAN: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: -- is -- normally is the 3,000 17 foot entrance step if you will. Then we're 18

looking at 6's and, you know, maybe a -- maybe as

19

20	ultimately as big as a 10,000 in some locations
21	for some types of businesses.
22	But the 6,000 kind of number is a good a
23	good I don't see how you do aircraft
24	maintenance on any less than 5-, 6,000 square
25	foot. It's just not enough floor space to make

1 enough ---MR. GORMAN: But from a business sense, my --2 I -- I would disagree with you in the fact that 3 when you start a small business, in other words, 4 different types of small business, cash flow-wise, 5 6 you could rent 3,000 and you rent --MR. WUELLNER: No argument there. 7 8 MR. GORMAN: That's just a thought. MR. WUELLNER: All I'm saying is you know 9 that a business of that type is going -- is going 10 to very quickly, if they're doing -- doing a 11 decent job, going to quickly go through a 3,000 12 square foot requirement. 13 MR. GORMAN: And you would --14 MR. WUELLNER: -- whether it's next step 6-15 or 5- or 9- --16 17 MR. GORMAN: Right. And I remember we did have a discussion where you could have a building 18 designed where if you did have a 3,000 square foot 19

20	building, that it would not stop you from just
21	doing a very quick addition.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Well, and it's perfect time
23	for discussion because the other side of this, you
24	can build we could we could create
25	methodologies of building to where you only build

1	say the front edge
2	(Noise interruption.)
3	MR. GORMAN: Maybe that's a nuclear alert.
4	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know. But anyway,
5	where you could add on to the building on the back
6	end.
7	The issue to us is to create a layout that
8	lets it be expanded. You don't have to build
9	6,000 twice here. You could build two 3,000s with
10	the ability to get that to 6,000 square foot
11	without having to lose the product investment that
12	we have.
13	MR. GORMAN: I'll be done. For discussion
14	purposes, I'd like to see different layouts,
15	myself.
16	MR. WUELLNER: There's not a lot
17	property-wise you can do. Now, we could swap
18	MR. GORMAN: Oh, no. As far as your
19	MR. WUELLNER: the locations.

- MR. GORMAN: As far as your locations,
- 21 they're fine. I'd just like to see building
- layouts, then, if we're going to go, like -- like
- Mr. George says, be comfortable with what we're
- doing here.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that's -- I have no --

1 we have absolutely no problem --2 MR. GEORGE: Well, the way it's drawn, you 3 couldn't put hangar doors for two 3,000s. You'd 4 have to --5 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 6 MR. GEORGE: -- move it around to the side. 7 MR. WUELLNER: You'd either have to put them side by side --8 MR. GEORGE: You'd have to do it some other 9 10 way. 11 MR. WUELLNER: Right. 12 MR. GEORGE: Can I? 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. MR. GEORGE: At one time, we were discussing 14 the fire facility --15 16 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 17 MR. GEORGE: -- and having a public use area 18 next to it that would have the possibility of

using the second floor as an observation tower.

19

20	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
21	MR. GEORGE: During all of those discussions
22	I think the direction of this board is, we want to
23	see what you're going to do on the whole southern
24	area to make sure that everything is is in
25	agreement that the board is in agreement with

1	what's happening there. I see one piece of it.
2	What's what's happening with the park and
3	everything? Because that goes with it.
4	MR. WUELLNER: I would say the balance of the
5	property here is park down to the large drainage
6	retention area at the end of Estrella here. At
7	the other side of the drainage retention area, the
8	eastern north side is the ARFF facility itself.
9	There's still no reason that we can't
10	facilitate in the in the ARFF facility design,
11	the ob additional observation area that is a
12	part of the building design. The the trail and
13	all that related to the park all leads back to
14	that area. It's a it's also a good place to
15	work in restrooms or whatever.
16	MR. GEORGE: Well, I I would like to
17	reiterate that I would like to see the design of
18	the whole thing as opposed to a piece here.

Because the whole southern area around, you know,

19

20	the water was kind of critical of how we're going
21	to develop that in there. Even to the point of
22	where's the fence go? Does it go on the inside of
23	the road, the outside of the road? Somebody
24	since we're doing surveys, somebody's obviously

made that decision.

I	One of the other things is, what is the
2	demand right now waiting list for 6,000 square
3	foot hangars?
4	MR. WUELLNER: You have at least two
5	maintenance shops that were interested in that
6	kind of space on our list.
7	MR. GEORGE: In addition to
8	MR. WUELLNER: At least one's in addition.
9	MR. GEORGE: Wind Dancer and the other
10	one?
11	MR. WUELLNER: At least one's in addition to.
12	MR. GEORGE: So that would give us a total of
13	four.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. Again, I'm not saying
15	we build both at the same time.
16	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I understand that. But
17	we have one 3,000 square foot on
18	(Noise interruption.)
19	MR. GEORGE: We have one 3,000 square foot

hangar that we can't rent. And so we're going in
for some other ones for two. Is there any way of
getting commitments from them with deposits prior
to doing -
MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

MR. GEORGE: -- building something like that?

file:///A|/MTG102008.txt (282 of 352)11/10/2008 3:22:14 PM

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
2	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. That should be
3	considered.
4	Office space. How long did we sit with
5	office space in the terminal, you know, based on
6	the, yeah, we've got all sorts of people that want
7	office space? And it sat and it sat. And
8	Mr. Gorman every month was, well, what's
9	happening? Where's the PR? How are we going out?
10	So I'd like to see or hear something about the
11	demand for an office building back there.
12	MR. WUELLNER: These are in a sense already
13	committed. You've got two two light GA flight
14	schools that would like the space tomorrow.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I guess that was told to
16	everybody on the board?
17	MS. BARRERA: At the last meeting.
18	MR. GEORGE: Or was it told in private
19	session or what?

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, last meeting.
- MS. BARRERA: No, it was at the last meeting.
- MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. The last meeting.
- All right.
- MR. GORMAN: Let -- let's just say that I
- agree with Wayne. I'd like to see it -- you know,

1	let put this to bed a little bit. You know,
2	this layout, that layout, the possibilities of a
3	three-building maintenance, a possibility of a
4	two-building maintenance, and and so that he
5	feels and that would make me feel a little more
6	complete as to the total way this will work out
7	before we rush to spend any more money.
8	MR. WUELLNER: We can do we can do more
9	iterations
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: A big picture?
11	MR. GORMAN: Yes, thanks, a big picture.
12	MR. WUELLNER: and combine it on the
13	large the large drawings so you see how it all
14	fits in. No problem at all.
15	MR. GEORGE: In my discussions with the
16	flight school operations, they like to have their
17	airplanes right in front of them. Was there
18	consideration given to having that office building
19	down right across from the open parking area that

we have there?
MR. WUELLNER: It is.
MR. GEORGE: And he couldn't do it?
MR. WUELLNER: It is there. This is all
the -- despite the way it looks, this is all the

apron area here.

file:///A|/MTG102008.txt (286 of 352)11/10/2008 3:22:14 PM

1	MR. GEORGE: Okay. See, I thought these
2	hangars right here and then we've got a row of
3	trees and this is where the ramp space goes
4	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
5	MR. GEORGE: back to here.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Right. And all the way up,
7	uh-huh. This is all all apron up in this area.
8	MR. GEORGE: So would the office building
9	that the flight schools are going to use not be
10	more appropriate down here as opposed to here?
11	MR. WUELLNER: It can be made to work there,
12	sure. It's you'll have you're talking a
13	walking distance of a hundred feet.
14	MR. GEORGE: I'm talking what?
15	MR. WUELLNER: A walking distance difference
16	here of a hundred feet.
17	MR. GORMAN: It's it's a poor drawing,
18	honestly. I think that you take a look at
19	another drawing. I don't like the drawing.

- either, because it doesn't really show where the
- apron is in conjunction with the building.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: That's really true.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, we'll present -- we'll
- clean up all the extra stuff that's on it.

1	There's stuff on there that is just lending to
2	confusion.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I think they're
4	wanting a big
5	MR. GEORGE: What are you asking out of us?
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: big picture. Nothing.
7	Just discussion. But you want a big picture
8	visual so they can put the apron where it is
9	and
10	MR. WUELLNER: That's exactly what we want to
11	find out, if you want different iterations,
12	different locations.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
14	MR. GEORGE: I'd like to do it in the form of
15	a workshop, also
16	MR. WUELLNER: We can do that.
17	MR. GEORGE: so that we can invite the
18	other members of, you know, the community and the

flight schools that say they want it --

- MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MR. GEORGE: -- and come up with a plan.
- MR. WUELLNER: Get a calendar and try to do
- 23 it before the --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. We can set one at the
- end of the meeting.

1	MR. WUELLNER: That's just I just don't
2	for me, I just I hate to see you lose a lot of
3	development time.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
5	MR. WUELLNER: You need to spend as much time
6	as you need to, but at the end of the day, we'd
7	like to get something built by the end of next
8	year so it's producing revenue quicker. That's
9	all.
10	MS. BARRERA: People aren't going to wait
11	forever.
12	MR. GEORGE: Say that again.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: People aren't going to wait
14	forever, she said.
15	MS. BARRERA: For the space.
16	MR. GEORGE: It's not going to wait forever;
17	is that what you said?
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: People won't.

MR. GEORGE: Oh.

20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The demand.
21 MS. BARRERA: So I think if we schedule a
22 workshop, we should probably do it sooner than
23 later.
24 MR. WUELLNER: Try and do it before --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Before the next meeting.

1	MR. WUELLNER: maybe earlier before the
2	next meeting.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Okay. All right.
4	Housekeeping?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Actually you've got one more
6	agenda item.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Oh, west
8	side, I'm sorry.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Again, it's just discussion.
10	WEST SIDE PROPERTY DISCUSSION
11	MR. GORMAN: I'm going to keep I had
12	called for this. I'm going to keep it brief
13	because I want to move it up to the next board
14	meeting because it's the end of this board meeting
15	and there's so many issues that we're not going to
16	discuss at all. Just let me stir the pot for just
17	a moment with that where is that one drawing we
18	had of the 10-year plan?
19	MR. WUELLNER: The

- MR. GORMAN: The one white drawing. I'm just
- going to hold it up. There, that's --
- MR. WUELLNER: Here. The front button.
- MR. GORMAN: Here -- here's the 10-year plan.
- 24 This is -- building right here, this -- there's
- been a lot of different push to move this road

I	over here.
2	I just got with Bunnewith, the director of
3	the TPO, the Transportation Planning Organization,
4	and as the latest iteration is this does stay
5	here, which would allow this runway still to be
6	built.
7	I personally think that this whole 10-year
8	plan has tremendous holes in it. That's just my
9	own personal opinion. It is not clear on this
10	drawing, but that you can see in this whole area
11	here that we have, if you want to use my
12	terminology patchwork quilt, it is a tremendous
13	patchwork quilt.
14	All of this area here is not owned by the
15	airport. It is there is a lot here. There's
16	another lot here. There'll be spaces in between.
17	And I think the board members in total need to
18	need to actually look at a very clear picture of
19	how this land is used.

20	There are some also, I
21	had a question with the TPO, the Transportation
22	Planning Organization. They wanted to know about
23	the intermodal facility. And there's half of
24	them just my own opinion, half of me says,
25	well, it's way too premature.

1	I mean, AMTRAK is not really a viable thing.
2	I rode it from San Francisco to Chicago, and it
3	was the craziest ride I ever had. However, it
4	we've got but then the other half says I
5	mean, says, well, you've got to start somewhere.
6	I mean, we do need you know, we're going to
7	need rail. We're going to need bus.
8	So even though the bus and the rail don't
9	exist right in here, according to Mr. Wuellner
10	is that is that right, Ed? Is where you had
11	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
12	MR. GORMAN: the basic idea for an
13	intermodal transport facility?
14	MR. WUELLNER: It's shaded, but it does
15	yeah, it's in that area where you are right now.
16	MR. GORMAN: But the key there's two key
17	issues. The TPO wants some kind of a feedback
18	from this board about are you thinking about
19	intermodal or no.

20	This road here allows this runway still to be
21	built, but again, you've got to remember that
22	and I want each of you board members to take a
23	look and see how many lots we are missing in this
24	area, because it is really a it looks like a
25	quilt. That that's it.

1	The other thing I want to discuss and I'm
2	just stirring the pot now. I've got lots of
3	people here that are vying for board positions and
4	they need to know this. Here's here's a major
5	highway and a major rail railway.
6	You know, I cannot personally conceive of the
7	idea of expanding another runway in this area and
8	putting I'm told the tower moving the tower
9	from here to here so it can encompass both areas
10	and actually having a major highway and a rail run
11	through the airport.
12	My own conception doesn't include that. Now,
13	maybe I'm wrong, but I would like the other board
14	members to reflect that this 10-year plan as
15	stated does cover that and does include this
16	airport having a rail a choo choo train and a
17	highway through the middle of it. And I would
18	really like to just, especially these people are
19	that are going to be coming onto the board reflect

20	on that.
21	Again, patchwork quilt, the railway and the
22	highway and the this board's recommendation
23	that we not do eminent domain, what does happen to
24	these? Of course there's much less housing in
25	here. These are all just issues I wanted to bring

1	up. And it's kind of the end of the board
2	meeting, so we can come back to those later,
3	but
4	MR. GEORGE: Are you suggesting we do a
5	workshop?
6	MR. GORMAN: Well, I'm telling you what, I
7	don't think the 10-year plan as we have it will
8	work. And if you wanted to have a workshop on
9	that, certainly would probably be apropos to be
10	able to discuss what to really do.
11	And I think that it would if the workshop
12	can include these board members that are running
13	for the position of board, they need to know these
14	things. They really do. I just think that's
15	that's some issues that are going to come up that
16	they need they don't need to be slapped with
17	later.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It can include, you know,
19	public, whatever. And I have since you put it

20	on cal there's a number of people that were
21	interested in the discussion, too. So I don't see
22	why you couldn't do that. Because you're right
23	there a lot of issues. I'm not sure we could
24	tackle those today.

MR. GORMAN: I just -- this is just what I

1	wanted to do. In other words, let's just stir the
2	pot once, get you know.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
4	MR. GORMAN: But that is those are issues
5	that, with this 10-year plan, I just don't see
6	going forward, in other words, this is almost
7	this 10-year plan is almost a place marker as
8	as designed. But to me, it's not a doable plan.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Would you be interested
10	then you want to set a date for our workshop at
11	the end of the meeting when we do our other one?
12	MR. GORMAN: Well, we're going to be here
13	for yes, we're going to have to do something
14	about it. I it's not this isn't something
15	that we need to decide right away
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
17	MR. GORMAN: but it's something that we
18	certainly need to work on continuously.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it doesn't mean we

19

20	have to set it next week. We could set it in
21	December or whatever.
22	MR. GORMAN: Certainly.

- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Okay. We can do
- that. Especially I know the people that had
- comments on it are -- well, Reba left, but they're

1	all here. So we can do that at the end if you
2	want. Is that all right?
3	MR. GEORGE: If you want to hear their
4	comments.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, that's what I'm
6	saying.
7	MR. GORMAN: I would love to.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Michael, did you wan
9	to comment on it?
10	MR. SLINGLUFF: No comment.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jim?
12	MR. WERTER: I understand that that side I
13	was always of the opinion that U.S. 1 was never
14	going to move.
15	(Noise interruption.)
16	MR. WERTER: This is a test of the National
17	Broadcasting.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Time's up.
19	MR. WERTER: At any rate, the tri-modal idea

20	has been going over very big in people I've been
21	talking to.
22	The other idea of the air the industrial
23	park, which doesn't require runway, you know,
24	aircraft engine servicing, things we talked about
25	in the past, those are the more pragmatic

- practical uses of that property. Not a second --1 2 not a bifurcated airport. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Joe, did you have? 3 MR. LOPINTO: I'll just wait for the 4 workshop. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Think it's a good 6 idea? 7 8 MR. LOPINTO: Yes. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reba. Yeah, just a 9 second. Herb, did you want to? 10 MR. RIPPE: I -- I'll wait for the workshop. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Go ahead. 12 13 MR. YOUMAN: Carl Youman, 132 Turtle Cove 14 Court. I just thought I had to make a comment,
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Thank you.

16

me.

18 MR. YOUMAN: That U.S. 1 and the rail,

because I have to stand up so everybody can see

that's -- if you spend a lot of money, that's not

20	insurmountable. There there's roads and trains
21	going through airports right now around the United
22	States, except they're underground or they're
23	funneled in deep weld. There's a lot of
24	obstacles. That's all I have to say. And I can
25	bring it up at the workshop. Thank you.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Carl. Okay. Any
2	other board comment? We'll just get your
3	calendar
4	MR. GEORGE: One other comment. Ed has
5	contacted Nick Sacia, you know, and his latest
6	letter says that the final report will be in the
7	December meeting of the county to let us know what
8	they've decided on the outcome of the industrial
9	park. Okay.
10	Our industrial site is included in that
11	analysis, and I don't know whether that's going to
12	contain you know, that this is the one we're
13	going to go with or we're going to go with another
14	one.
15	MR. GORMAN: It's certainly something that
16	to have at the workshop.
17	MR. GEORGE: But that information, before we
18	decide what we're going to do with that area over
19	there, that information

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Probably set it sometime
21	after the December.
22	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. How how much
23	difficulty, Ed, is it in selling land that we have
24	used federal funds or anybody's funds to augment
25	the purchase of those over the years?

1	Because I know that one of the things was
2	that an industrial park, people wanted to buy like
3	nine acres, ten acres, eight acres to build their
4	facilities. And I was under the impression or
5	still am under the impression that if we purchased
6	that land with federal funds, we'd have to pay the
7	federal funds back to be able to sell it. Is that
8	correct?
9	MR. WUELLNER: The answer is, it depends.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: I know. You expect that kind
12	of answer out of me. But it depends on what
13	for what purpose the land was originally acquired.
14	In almost I think in all of this case, all of
15	this property was matched with Florida DOT money,
16	so there there is no federal money involved.
17	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
18	MR. WUELLNER: So that does greatly simplify
19	the process. We would have to gain FDOT

20	concurrence in your desire to dispose of the
21	property. In other words, you're going to have to
22	make some declaration it is surplus to the
23	airport's needs.
24	FDOT would then make some determination as to
25	what the consequences were of it and whether we

1	repay the grant funds in their entirety back to
2	DOT, whether DOT accepts that you can build
3	something in replacement of that in other
4	words, they kind of convert the grant to a
5	different project. You don't get any more money,
6	but you the grant conditions go to something
7	else.
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
9	MR. WUELLNER: So that's a possibility.
10	MR. GEORGE: But there's a possibility. It's
11	just trying to get into it
12	MR. WUELLNER: There's always the
13	possibility. But it's keep in mind, it
14	it's you'd be sending an interesting message to
15	DOT when you're approaching them for additional
16	funds for land acquisition if you were to need
17	that later on somewhere else. You're certainly
18	not going to get their participation in
19	repurchasing property, if that were an area you

20	wanted to buy later again.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
23	MR. WUELLNER: But it could be explored.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then we'll set that after

the December Nick Sacia's thing, would be a good

1	idea.
2	MR. GEORGE: Right.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's our last
4	agenda item. Now we're at housekeeping.
5	HOUSEKEEPING
6	MR. WUELLNER: If I can get through the
7	slides. There you go. Just wanted to make you
8	aware that Craig Air moved back up to two flights
9	a week starting this coming week. They had been
10	in October once a week. And
11	(Noise interruption.)
12	MR. WUELLNER: We're going to figure it out.
13	We'll figure it out, but we don't know what it is.
14	I wanted to welcome a couple of students here
15	from Nease High School who part of their I
16	don't know that I have the name of the curriculum
17	quite right here, but video production.
18	NEASE STUDENT: TV production.
19	MR. WUELLNER: TV production.

20	NEASE STUDENT: Same thing.
21	MR. WUELLNER: But they're going to begin
22	videotaping our our meetings for us and will
23	reduce that as part of their class to to a
24	broadcast that can eventually be taken over and
25	used on the Government TV, if that's your desire.

1	But we thank for them being here tonight and
2	taking care of that for us, and look forward to a
3	long relationship with our group. That's one of
4	the career academies at the high schools. Very
5	similar to the Aerospace Academy, those of you
6	that are that's it.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have public
8	comment. Andrew?
9	PUBLIC COMMENT
10	MR. HOLESKO: I just wanted to say thank you
11	for reselecting us.
12	It's been an unbelievably fast five years.
13	It's absolutely our pleasure to be working with
14	staff and the Authority members and frankly the
15	aviation community here in St. Augustine. I
16	know I don't live far across the river, but I
17	get to do a lot of input here with you and just
18	want to say thanks again. It is our pleasure
19	working with you. Thanks.

20	(Noise interruption.)
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Herb, did you want any?
22	He's gone. Joe, did you have any public comment?
23	MR. LOPINTO: I'd like to address my comment
24	to the Chair.
25	In the October 14th edition of the

1	St. Augustine Record, there was an editorial which
2	included a statement that implied favoring or
3	endorsing of candidates by the Chair of the
4	Airport Authority.
5	I would like to publicly thank the Chair for
6	sending a letter to The Record clarifying her
7	position that she has not, quote, publicly
8	endorsed either candidate for this office, end
9	quote. And for the record, to this date, this
10	letter has not been published.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And as you saw when Peter
12	was here, I questioned him on that and he gave me
13	his card and I will forward the my editorial to
14	Peter directly at The Record and he will take care
15	of it.
16	MR. LOPINTO: I'm sorry. Peter?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Guinta.
18	MR. GEORGE: Peter Guinta.
10	MR WIJELLNER: From The Record

20	MR. LOPINTO: Oh, okay. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jim's not here. Vic?
22	MR. WUELLNER: He's gone, too.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's all the public
24	comment I have.

AUTHORITY MEMBER COMMENTS

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Authority members. Kelly,
2	anything?
3	MS. BARRERA: I'm excited about the
4	progression that the Airport Authority is taking
5	working with the local high schools, with the
6	Nease video team, the Aerospace Academy and
7	possibly working with the Ponte Vedra High School
8	marketing academy.
9	I like to see these win-win situations occur,
10	and I think they're good for the airport, they're
11	good for the community, and they're good for the
12	schools. So I'm very excited about that.
13	I think that when we're looking at scheduling
14	these workshops, I think that it would be a good
15	idea to schedule them at the same time, because
16	you're both talking about land use and I think the
17	subject's the same. I think for everybody's time
18	commitment, to try to really nail it down in one
19	day would be my preference.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The only problem with that
21	is you wanted to wait till December for that to
22	come out with from Nick, and I know the south
23	development, you wanted to move as soon as
24	possible.

MS. BARRERA: True.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the only question I
2	have.
3	MS. BARRERA: Good point.
4	MR. GEORGE: Well, I think that we can get an
5	update from what the final report is going to say,
6	what they're going to recommend, and we can have
7	that earlier.
8	It's just we're getting into a whole
9	discussion of of this land use, which is going
10	to lead to a discussion of whether that runway
11	that we depicted in the master plan is actually
12	even worth going after, which is giving us a a
13	plan direction that, you know, everybody has an
14	input. I don't see a problem in doing it before
15	December. Do you?
16	MR. GORMAN: It would be nice if we could
17	have that information. That would be fine.
18	MS. BARRERA: No decision's going to be made
19	at the workshop.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, no.
- 21 MS. BARRERA: It's just discussion.
- MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MS. BARRERA: Ed, do you -- would you have
- 24 any -- any concerns about trying to combine the
- 25 two of them? From your standpoint, would staff be

1	comfortable with that?
2	MR. WUELLNER: That's fine. Fine by us.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right. We'll set
4	it after our comments. Is that it, Kelly?
5	MS. BARRERA: That's my comments, yes.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Jack?
7	MR. GORMAN: No. I think we're moving in the
8	right direction here in planning. I agree with
9	Mr. George that I would like to see kind of a big
10	picture and take our time and discuss things. We
11	don't need to be building something every second.
12	I mean, that's my take on things.
13	I also need to throw this in real quickly.
14	We really need to think about replacing some of
15	those old hangars when we're talking about
16	building new ones.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The ones that are
18	uninsurable?
19	MR. GORMAN: The ones that are junk. They

20	are pure junk.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thanks. Buzz?
22	MR. GEORGE: I apologize to the board if I
23	misinterpreted the comments of the last five
24	meetings or four meetings, that we were going to
25	have an input on this southern area.

1	But I feel so strongly about it and I thought
2	that I had mentioned it so much, that I I'm
3	very pleased with the thought that we're doing a
4	workshop so we can all get all the options on the
5	table.
6	I'm also very pleased about the effort that
7	the staff has done in PR and working with our
8	neighbors. We've got a good rapport established
9	with it, and we will continue to pursue it. I
10	think the the staff ought to be commended on
11	their efforts of what's happened there.
12	Ed brought up the second flight to the
13	Bahamas by Craig Air, and I just want to
14	reiterate, because you guys look to me to be the
15	financial watchdog, that we approved the use of
16	that hangar for 90 days for us to continue to try
17	to find someone. We're past that 90 days.
18	I don't think that there's a board member
19	here that wouldn't agree that if we had commercial

20	service, it would be a plus to the county, a plus
21	to the residents of the county and we need to
22	to pursue it.
23	We've got a lot of things happening with the
24	economy now, and I just think that all the board
25	members ought to be in agreement to extend that 90

1	days, you know, maybe up until the budget period
2	next year, because it's going to be a while for
3	this economy to flip out.
4	But if oil keeps staying at \$70, then maybe
5	the airlines won't reduce their prices they've
6	already upped them for, and it will become viable
7	for us to do something. But and I don't know
8	if we need to put that as an action item for the
9	next time or we can discuss it now.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think we need an
11	action item. I know I've discussed it with Ed
12	about still searching potential commercial service
13	and it's it's out there. I mean, there are
14	discussions, no question.
15	MR. GEORGE: Right. Uh-huh.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think there's any
17	reason
18	MR. GEORGE: But we had given him 90 days,
19	and I just wanted to give him that extension

- 21 MR. GEORGE: -- you know, to the next budget
- 22 period --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack, Kelly --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.

- MR. GEORGE: -- and readdress it then.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- any problem with that?

I	MS. BARRERA: I don't have any problem with
2	it. I think that we should probably extend the
3	use of it right now to those groups that
4	Mr. Lopinto brought up that would like to come to
5	our airport and need a place to meet, if that's
6	possible to utilize it.
7	MR. WUELLNER: It's available, yeah.
8	MS. BARRERA: Because there is needs for a
9	public use facility. And also extend it to the
10	Coast Guard Auxiliary. So that we can be getting
11	dual use out of it even further, holding it for
12	use for commercial service and utilizing it for
13	the public
14	MR. GEORGE: That's a good idea.
15	MS. BARRERA: different activities.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Excellent. Anything else,
17	Buzz?
18	MR. GEORGE: No, that's all.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I do have on my

20	discussions, we had at our two board meetings
21	ago, I think when we did the evaluation of our
22	executive director, we had discussed that we were
23	going to put in place or discuss some type of
24	incentive in lieu of just bonus I mean, not
25	bonuses, but in lieu of raises all the time. And

1	wir. Lopinto very incery sent me some information,
2	two pages of different ideas, different criteria
3	to look at, some web sites to look at.
4	I think it's going to be I'd like to spend
5	some time on it. This has been a lengthy meeting.
6	But I want to ask the board members for homework.
7	I will forward, Joe, with your approval this
8	e-mail to the board members.
9	I'd like the board members to look at this,
10	do the homework and next meeting, come in with,
11	these are the criteria we want. We make a
12	decision and we get the incentives done the
13	November meeting. So and not come in here and
14	say, well, let's discuss it for an hour or two.
15	There's some good information in here and web
16	links and what have you. And also what other
17	airports are doing as far as design for the
18	incentives. Because I don't want to waste any
10	time. I think we all as a hoard discussed we

20	wanted to do this before the end of the year.
21	Does that sound all right?
22	MR. GEORGE: I think in my opinion, I

- think this came about because in his contract, we
- talked about, you know, the potential of bonus.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

1	MR. GEORGE: And it all started about
2	defining what the criteria was for that. And if
3	you want to put it into another plan that
4	Mr. Lopinto's come up with, that's that's fine.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's not really a plan. He
6	came up with different ideas of how to approach
7	it.
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. I'd love to see
9	them.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And different web links and
11	very good information. I've gone on a couple of
12	them, and it gives you a good design as to, all
13	right, how do we structure this bonus. Because
14	like like Joe was saying at some of these, do
15	we make widgets? No, we don't. Are we a service
16	entity? Kind of. I mean, so you can you can
17	gauge your bonus structure to
18	MR. GEORGE: But one of the things that we
19	had talked about originally that we want to keep

- in mind there when you're doing your homework is
- if we can increase the rent because a project was
- done 30 days earlier, that's revenue. That's
- 23 going right to the bottom line.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
- MR. GEORGE: You know, if we can -- rather

1	than having the costs that have been just
2	admittedly just gradually going up, if we can
3	contain those costs, you know, that's where you're
4	going to get the 5 percent money for the
5	environmental study, you know, the 50 percent for
6	pavement analysis and something like that. And we
7	need to start thinking that in the year 2010, we
8	don't have \$4 million coming in here to handle
9	these projects.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that's what it deals
11	with a lot or the bonus is financially only.
12	Maybe not nonfinancial. Of course cost
13	cutting. How about, well, how are we doing on
14	public relations?
15	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Economic development. So I
17	think all of those are really good points in here.
18	MR. GEORGE: Well, it also emphasizes, from
19	the board's standpoint to the executive director

20	and staff these are the things that are important
21	to us.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: What we're interested in.
23	And that will give the executive director
24	direction, okay, this is what the board wants me

to focus on. Is that okay with everybody? I

don't want it to languish. I want to finish this 1 2 at the next meeting, all right? And I will send 3 this all -- I will send it to --MR. GEORGE: Do you have my input? 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to send it to you by e-mail. 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay. That's fine. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I'll have Cindy --I'll send it to Cindy in the morning. I just have 9 10 a hard copy here. I'll send it over. MR. GEORGE: No, I had given you input two 11 months ago about it. I just want to make sure you 12 had those, because those were specific targets 13 14 of --15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I don't. 16 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you still have it? 18 MR. GEORGE: I will get it to you by e-mail.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anyway, that's -- I just

- want to put that in the next meeting.
- MS. BARRERA: You mean get it to Ed or Cindy.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- 23 MR. GEORGE: I did.
- MS. BARRERA: Okay. Then they can forward
- it, re-forward it.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, if you can send it
2	again. Cindy said she didn't have it.
3	MR. GEORGE: Fine.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Oh, and I want to
5	wish all the candidates best of luck. Carl, best
6	of luck. Herb, Joe, and Jim left. It's a great
7	board, as you know, you've been in these meetings
8	for a while. And that's one of the things I said
9	in that editorial.
10	It's really been a pleasure serving for eight
11	years and I commend people for wanting to come in
12	and give their time and service to the community.
13	So I wish y'all luck because it will be over when
14	we come back.
15	MR. GEORGE: When somebody wins, don't
16	complain about you didn't know it was like this.
17	NEXT MEETING
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Our next meeting,
19	which will be November 17th at 4:00, but we do

- 20 need to set the workshop. We have -- did we
- decide we want to do both at the same time?
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah --
- MR. WUELLNER: Fine with me.
- MR. GEORGE: -- I -- I vote for both at the
- same time.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. When do you want
2	that, guys?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Make a longer day out of it on
4	the 17th, or do you want to do something
5	different?
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kind of like the 17th is
7	when I have
8	MR. WUELLNER: Oh
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, you can, but that's
10	when I have city council. They moved that one
11	day, remember, Ed?
12	MR. WUELLNER: Week before at 10:00?
13	MS. BARRERA: No.
14	MR. GEORGE: November 3rd?
15	MS. BARRERA: 3rd's good.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's a possibility. Jack,
17	are you in town?
18	MR. GORMAN: I've got to take a look. Let me
19	get my little

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, it's your input.
- MR. GORMAN: I wish I had a regular calendar
- without this little --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I do.
- MR. GEORGE: Be able to see his calendar.
- 25 Monday, November 3rd is not good for me.

1	MR. WUELLNER: No.
2	(Noise interruption.)
3	MR. GEORGE: Do we want to stick to a Monday?
4	MR. WUELLNER: I don't care. We don't care.
5	MS. BARRERA: I'm fine.
6	MR. GORMAN: I would certainly prefer not to
7	have a Monday because Mondays I hate to do
8	that, but it's not
9	MS. BARRERA: How about a Tuesday?
10	MR. WUELLNER: 11th is a holiday.
11	MS. BARRERA: Yeah, not the 11th.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The election day is the one
13	before that.
14	MR. WUELLNER: The 4th is
15	MS. BARRERA: The 4th is election day.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the problem. They
17	moved my city council meeting to a Monday.
18	MR. GEORGE: That's what? The 4th, you can't
19	do it?

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, that's a lot of
21	meetings are canceled because it's election day
22	and then
23	MR. GEORGE: Oh, that's right. Okay.
24	MR. GORMAN: November 4th. I hate to do it
25	to you, but Mondays are

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm open. Pick another day.
2	MR. GORMAN: Pick another one. Someone else
3	pick.
4	MS. BARRERA: How about the 5th?
5	MR. WUELLNER: We've got intergovernmental
6	here at 3:00, if you can schedule around it.
7	MS. BARRERA: Yeah, you'll already be here.
8	MR. GORMAN: 5th is fine for me, I mean.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: How long do they usually
10	last?
11	MR. GORMAN: About 45 minutes.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay. So you could do
13	it at 4:00.
14	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Sounds good.
15	MS. BARRERA: I would be good for that.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can I see my
17	MR. GORMAN: No.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Wednesday the 5th.

MR. WUELLNER: Starting at 4:00 and we'll --

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did everyone get that? I
21	know Carl and Herb and Joe, so it's the 5th of
22	November at 4:00, anyone who wants to attend.
23	That will be a
24	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'll be here anyway

for intergovernmental.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, that's right. So it
2	will be on the south side development where we
3	we'll get a big picture to see everything and then
4	the west side discussion.
5	Okay. All right. Okay, guys. Thank you so
6	much for your patience. We got a lot done. I
7	appreciate it. Have a nice evening. Meeting's
8	adjourned.
9	(Meeting adjourned at 6:48 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 10th day of November, 2008.
13	
14	TANETM DEAGON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	