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        P R O C E E D I N G S
        CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. Call to order.
    Start off with the Pledge of Allegiance.
        Justin, could you lead us?
        (Pledge of Allegiance.)
        CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. It's not on the
    agenda. We will forego the -- what's the word?
    Invocation.
    MR. MIRGEAUX: Invocation.
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Invocation. Thank you.
        MEETING MINUTES
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. Meeting
    minutes, anybody have any comments on the meeting
    minutes? Did you -- from the last meeting?
        MR. MIRGEAUX: No comments.
        CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: No comments?
        MR. OLSON: This is from the regular meeting
    of August.
        CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Yes.
        MR. OLSON: Yes, no comments.
        CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: No comments? Okay.
    They'll stand as presented.
    JULY & AUGUST 2021 FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE
        CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. July and August
        2021 financial report acceptance. Okay. Don't get
```

into the budget because we'll be doing that I guess at 5:00; is that correct?

MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 5:01.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: So we're not going to talk about the budget before then unless it relates to the financial reports. Questions?

MS. LUDLOW: Yes. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. MS. LUDLOW: Mr. Chairman, I have a question for staff regarding the financials. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. MS. LUDLOW: Robert's Rules. I would like to know the difference. June, our expenses ended were $\$ 559,180.64$ and July our expenses were $\$ 780,771.16$, a difference of $\$ 225,000$-- $\$ 221,000$. So I'd like to know what the difference was.

MR. WUELLNER: I don't have the financials in front of me, so I don't know. I'm sorry, I don't have the financials in front of me. I don't know what you're specifically talking about. MS. LUDLOW: Do you want to see mine? MR. WUELLNER: I'd be happy to look at them. MS. LUDLOW: I thought you knew these by heart Ed.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
MR. OLSON: Are those total expenses or are -they're aggregated, right? They're all expenses, or is there a line item on it?

MS. LUDLOW: It doesn't say.
MR. OLSON: Oh, okay.
MS. LUDLOW: That's not the budget, though.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
MR. WUELLNER: I feel like I'm studying for a test.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
MR. OLSON: I don't have it in front of me, but I know we had the Volaire meeting in August. It would be an unusual one-time expense, the participation and sponsorship of that. I don't know if that makes the difference or not.

MS. LUDLOW: This is June and July.
MR. OLSON: Oh.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Would you like to come back to this, Ed?

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, if you don't mind.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Is that okay with you --

MR. WUELLNER: I'll keep looking at them.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- to let him look at that
while we continue on?
MS. LUDLOW: Okay.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Is that okay?
MS. LUDLOW: Yeah. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Any other questions on the financials?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. We're going to postpone the approval of that. AGENDA APPROVAL

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Let's talk about the agenda real quickly. Any comments on the agenda or changes?

MR. OLSON: No.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. At 5:01, we have our budget meeting, so at 5:00, we will take a pause of this meeting and go into that one, and then after that meeting's over, we'll come back and finish this meeting, all right?

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
STAFF REPORTS
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Going into the staff
reports. Do you want to talk about that real
quickly?
MR. WUELLNER: Sure. I'm happy to let you
know that Taxiway Delta completed today. So, as of today, the operational areas are all open. Project closeout will occur over the next 30 to 45 days.

Terminal entrance road, just design continues at this point. We're looking for some updated information related to the Grumman component and the like during the month of October. So design at this point just continues.

Did want you to know that we will possibly Thursday or early Tue- -- could be Tuesday of next week, at this point it looks like we will announce the air service coming up. That will be a public announcement with -- as a media opportunity.

I do want to let you know that $T S A$ did give us a notice of intent to re-federalize next -- gave it to us last week. So at this point we're moving ahead with TSA's remobilization into the airline terminal to begin passenger screening again.

Also want to make you aware of a really kind of anomaly in the construction; but, you know, we approved collectively an agreement last month with FDOT to do what they're calling the apron and taxiway project. It's a 50/50 grant.

I did want to inform you that the contractor that we were pending the work to got a hole in the
schedule with Duval Asphalt and they will be here as of Thursday of this week and they will knock that out in three days.

So all of that milling and overlay in the -between $K, L, M$, the apron area around the self-fuel, a little portion of Estrella Avenue, the access road to $K, L$ and $M$, the taxiway between $H$ and I, and the little bit of a connector that used to be a remnant of Echo, all of those will be paved over the -- starting Thursday for a period of three days.

So they will conclude that -- if everything stays on track, they'll conclude that the end of the day Saturday and those areas will return to operational status. I think painting will occur about 30 days later. So just some centerline between the taxiways that wouldn't be -- wouldn't be marked at this point; shouldn't cause anyone any trouble.

Unfortunately, we didn't have any more time -we got -- we got notice over the weekend that the hole developed and they're able to mobilize and get out of here. Otherwise, it could be several months till the contractor could get out here and get the -- get the work done. So we'll take advantage of that hole in their schedule and get the work done. So that's actually pretty good news for that.

And with that, I -- I'd dump it to Carol for the legislative and operational update.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Carol?
MS. SAVIAK: Good afternoon. I have a brief update today. We'll start with our federal legislation.

Congressional bate -- debate continues on the proposed trillion dollar-plus infrastructure bill, but current estimates reference $\$ 19$ billion in potential allocations for the state of Florida. I know you've seen that in the federal news.

On August 24 th, the USDOT/FAA announced another round of airport improvement program funding. This round was $\$ 766$ million, and Florida airports received $\$ 56$ million total in funding.

At the state level, there's some good news from the state revenue estimating conference that updated its forecast to re -- to reflect a \$2.6 billion increase in state general revenue taxes over the current and upcoming fiscal year. So that's good news for our lawmakers and taxpayers.

Fall 2021 interim committee weeks have been scheduled starting this week, October 11th through 15th, October 18th through 22nd, November 1st through 5th, November 15th through 19th, and November 29 through December 3rd.

Our local state representative, Paul Renner, was officially selected by his peers to serve as speaker of the Florida House, and his term will follow speaker Chris Sprowls who will leave this upcoming session.

Florida Airports Council has developed a prospective outline of its key legislative issues for 2022. I provided with you a copy of those legislative items for your review.

If they look similar to last year, it's because they are, which include full support for the state aviation work program. PFAS monitoring. Again, they're going to take a look at peer-to-peer vehicle transactions. Opposing any changes to the aviation fuel taxes. Continuing to look at ad valorem tax exemptions for airport leases. And then strong support for Enterprise Florida and Visit Florida. And with that, I will conclude my remarks other than --

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. OLSON: Yes. The AIP funding for Florida, 56 million, are those projects that have already been selected or was this a new amount that airports will be competing for, or is it by formula or how --

MS. SAVIAK: No, those are specific grants awarded. So just like when we receive a grant and I report to you that we received it through the AIP program, this was just a round of funding. We like to keep you updated.

MR. OLSON: Okay. So how much -- what's our piece in the 56?

MS. SAVIAK: So we didn't receive any funding this round, but we --

MR. OLSON: Oh, okay.
MS. SAVIAK: -- received it in one of the previous rounds this year.

MR. OLSON: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: We've already gotten it.

MR. OLSON: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SAVIAK: And they usually will announce again -- sometimes in October they'll announce another round. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Any other comments?
Reba?

MS. LUDLOW: No --

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Justin?

MS. LUDLOW: No comment, thank you.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: No comments?
MR. MIRGEAUX: Nothing for me.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Are you ready to go back on the financials?

MR. WUELLNER: I am.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right.
MR. WUELLNER: The comment I -- I'd make is
it's not an increase in expense at all.
Reading the line that is net income and what
you saw as an increase of $\$ 221,000$ in our net income was just effectively our profit. We realize a hundred to 120,000 typically per month of excess revenue which becomes profit, which ultimately is our grant match for projects during the year.

So it -- it's not an expense-related item at all; it's simply a benchmark as the -- what would be referred to on your sheets as net income. So it's the net of income over expenses and that's what's left. So it's actually increasing, which it should be doing, every month.

MS. LUDLOW: But you use up the grant.
MR. WUELLNER: The grants are not in this
exercise.
MS. LUDLOW: Oh, okay.
MR. WUELLNER: This is strictly operating
revenues and expenses.
MS. LUDLOW: It's just revenues.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay?
MS. LUDLOW: I got it. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Any other questions on the financials?
(None.)
MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. Like a motion
to approve them.
MR. MIRGEAUX: Motion to approve the
financials.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Second?
MR. OLSON: Second. There's a second.
Further discussion?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All in favor?
MR. MIRGEAUX: Aye.
MS. LUDLOW: Aye.
MR. OLSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Aye. Opposed
unanimously -- supported. I said opposed.

Supported unanimously.

> BUSINESS PARTNER UPDATES

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. Let's go into the partners update. Okay. And got an airplane flying off. Okay. Henry Dean, is he here?

COMMISSIONER DEAN: I am.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: You were hiding in the background.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: I was. You have such a large audience this afternoon, I was hiding in the back. It was hard to see me.

Henry Dean, District 5, County Commission. Three quick points and then see if there are any questions or any issues that y'all would like to discuss.

Tomorrow we have our normal regular county meeting and then at 5:01, as you do, we have our final budget hearing under the TRIM bill. The interesting thing about this year's budget, for those who follow county budgets, for the first time, we are actually talking a billion dollars. That's kind of hard for me to absorb. I don't know, Bruce, maybe you, too, from your previous county commission days.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: Adopting a $\$ 1.2$ billion budget is sort of frightening in a way. But that's what it is. No increase in the millage rate. At least I'm certainly not promoting any increase and I don't think there will be.

In addition to the budget item, the county budget, the annual budget, under the American Rescue Plan, for those who may have followed that, Congress passed an additional COVID bill called the American Rescue Plan providing more -- more money for states and counties, and we were the recipient of approximately $\$ 51$ million in additional funds to be used for, quote, recovery from COVID.

And we earmarked about $\$ 7$ million at our last meeting for things that are we think essential like fire and rescue, one -- a one-time, I don't know if you want to call it a bonus or whatnot, but putting up with COVID and all the things they did.

We've got about $\$ 43$ million remaining in that grant that we're going to have several community hearings, town hall meetings to take input. So if anyone has any ideas or suggestions in the coming several months, keep an eye out for when we're going to have those community meetings, and we welcome suggestions.

And then lastly, for those who follow the sort of -- the makeup of the commission seats/districts, we had our second meeting on redistricting. We share the same redistricting process and boundaries with the school board. And as all of you know, just as you have five members, we have five members of the commission, five on the school board. And not -- not at all surprisingly to anyone who has followed growth in this county in the last 10 years, we have the second largest increase in the state as a percentage basis.

We went from about a 44 percent increase over the last 10 years. And that's a little misleading in the way that $I$ think we're -- we have more numbers now than what that number reflects.

The census suggested we now that 275,000 in this county, but that information was collected in 2019, published for the 2020 census, and now it's 2021 actually and about to enter the last quarter starting in October of the calendar year. So I will tell you we probably have realistically about 300,000 in the county, Bruce, if you can believe that. Remember --

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: -- 25 or 35 years ago when
it was a sleepy little borough, right? So it's interesting.

And you can imagine where all the growth is.
Not all. Most -- the vast majority is in
District 1, the northwest quadrant, in Julington Creek and all the spinoff development in the northwest. And then of course Ponte Vedra and Nocatee.

So you're going to see those boundaries, those two districts, 1 and 4, will -- will shrink in size because of the increase in population. And 2, 3, and 5 will expand in size one way or another because they're all trying to shoot -- the sweet spot is for each district to have about 54,000 population. And right now, District 1 and 4, northwest and Ponte Vedra, are at about 66,000, give or take a few votes. So it's going to be -you'll see their -- the boundaries will adjust accordingly.

The consultant comes in with their final report $I$ think in December, and we have to pull the trigger pretty early because it's -- it's a little bit surprising to me that the primary elections, the state and local primary elections, are next August, less than a year away. So there'll be
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    people out campaigning, you know, in January and
    February, if they're not already.
    So that's kind of the highlights I wanted to
    hit today. If there are any questions, I'll
    certainly try to respond.
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Questions from the board?
    I have one question.
    COMMISSIONER DEAN: Yeah.
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Have you received any
    indication of the immigration activity flowing into
    this county or any of the surrounding counties?
    COMMISSIONER DEAN: Bruce, I have not heard of
    any. That's not to say there hasn't been any. I
    just -- no one has talked to me about that or given
    me any information. I could probably, you know,
    ask the staff, if you wanted me to check. I'll be
    glad to, but I'm not aware of any.
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Okay. No questions?
        (None.)
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, Henry.
    COMMISSIONER DEAN: All right. I would
    normally stay, but I've got another appointment
    I've got to go to, and so I'm -- if you don't mind,
    I'll step out.
    CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, very much.
```

COMMISSIONER DEAN: Thanks a lot. Good to see everybody.

MS. LUDLOW: Nice to see you.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Mr. Beyers? Anybody from Atlantic Aviation?
(None.)

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. Len Tucker from SAAPA. This I assume is not your request card.

MR. TUCKER: No.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay.

MR. TUCKER: All right. Len Tucker representing SAAPA.

I wanted to make sure to remind everybody that on October 2nd, which is a Saturday, there will be a celebration of life for Dale Snodgrass, who was quite a well-known figure here on the airport for 20-plus years. In any event, everybody is invited to Serenata on 5:00 on Saturday, October 2nd.

There is a website that we would like you to go to and you can respond to let us get a good head count on that so we make sure there's adequate supplies and food and everything else. I've distributed that among the e-mail list and I gave a copy to Cindy. I'll make sure that I also e-mail a copy to all of the board members so that they can
have that address should they choose to come to the event. In any event, $I$ think it will be a nice -nice turnout, a nice sendoff for him. He was quite a personable fella and somebody who is sorely missed here at the airport.

Getting on to the other issues, and I'll
address more specifics later, I have had numerous people $I$ have talked to over the weekend concerning the two items to come up on the agenda today, and I've gone through it and made some comments that I want to let you -- I won't waste the time here; we'll do it when we're in session before that.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay.
MR. TUCKER: I just want to let you know that there are a lot of people with a lot of interest in it.

The concern of course is that this was issued at 4:00 on Friday afternoon for a Monday meeting. That's about as last-minute hurried, kind of like a catastrophe is going to occur if we don't ram this through right now. And it just seems to me that the urgency for both these items wasn't enough to make sure that we did it that quickly.

They're both very complicated items, and everybody's relayed that to me thus far, that they
want more time to assess the impact it's going to have on some of their personal issues. And I think we owe it to our tenants as well as the constituency out there in the area to have that reviewed. Anyway, I'll have the specifics when we go through it later on. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Mr. McKendrick? MR. McKENDRICK: Yes, I'm here today, but nothing to pass.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Thank you.
Ms. Albin?

MS. ALBIN: Tammy Albin, St. Augustine Air Traffic Control Tower.

Traffic count is starting to waver a little bit again. We've had less flight school activity as of late. Last month, we had 9,739 operations. That was for August as opposed to August of 2020 with 7,746. So far for September, as of today at about 3:00, we had 5,073 operations, and September of last year was 7,103 operations.

We're behind this time last year of about 3,000 operations. Like I said, we were starting to head up in the numbers and now we're starting to see a little bit of a slowdown again with the flight schools just a little bit. Partially

> there's been a lot of weather off and on. So I'm not sure if that -- that is also part of the issue or not.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Questions for Ms. Albin?
(None.)

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, very much.
All right. Mr. Burnett?

MR. BURNETT: Nothing to report for this month.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Then let's proceed on with the business items. Ed?

POLICY AMENDMENT - LEASE POLICY
MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. In response to a number of items, but we have been approached as to whether some of the things we've been attempting to administer out of my office have been reduced to policy for the context of enforcement as well as for the context of inclus- -- including language as it relates to different things into leases as we go forward.

And sort of in response to that, while we at a staff level believe we've had adequate direction over the years, when you look at how -- how those things have gone forward, primarily since the year

2005, I believe it is, the two master plan processes ago, we were -- we utilized -- in development of that master plan, we utilized committees, as is typically a part of the master plan process, as well as public meetings and eventually the adoption of the master plan by the Airport Authority and the adoption of the master plan by Florida DOT and the approval or the acceptance of the ALP by FAA in that process, which is their -- their role.

A lot of the -- the development of that 2005 plan centered around creating -- I should say more like coalescing the development that had occurred from say 1996 through 2005 into sort of natural development areas on the property to include -you're all familiar with the northeast area has become largely corporate and commercial in nature and commercial GA kinds of operations. The south area has largely developed over time as a GA, a light GA area. Of course you have the FBO area along U.S. 1, and you have the airline terminal that's developed since -- since the 2005, actually, but was reviewed again as a part of the master plan in the last year or two years ago.

Those areas, we have always understood and had
the direction from the -- generally the direction from the board to preserve the integrity of those areas for those types of users to assure compatibility long term of those -- those areas of the airport.

And in fact, everything from design standards to compatibility with adjacent property and other airport users has been -- was considered and discussed in that sort of general direction that we've had.

Well, through the negotiation or discussions over a potential future lease, when we attempted to communicate that it would be inappropriate to have certain aircraft, primarily jet-powered aircraft, in the South G area -- GA area here, and in fact at the furthest corner of that development, pushback was immediate that we lacked authority to do that, that $I$ was legislating on the fly, that kind of thing.

So the lease policy amendment is largely trying to codify or solidify the language into our existing lease policy that frankly we've been operating for 12 years or 13 years on now -- I'm sorry, more than that, almost 16 years since the 2005 master plan.

So the language shouldn't really come as a surprise to anyone, but basically it creates four areas of the airport, basically just what I explained to you: The FBO, the airline terminal area, the east development area, as well as the light GA area in the south. And it attempts to include that language into our airport lease policy so that it's effectively declared as policy by the Airport Authority.

So we -- we have submitted that language for your consideration. It's -- it's really up to you. Your airport master plan regardless, at this point at least, is consistent with that general direction and that general philosophy for how the airport would develop.

And with that, I'm happy to try to address questions or provide answers with relation to the compliance with the airport master plan language that's in the lease policy.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Questions from the board first?

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Go ahead.
MS. LUDLOW: Mr. Chairman, may I ask staff a question?

MR. WUELLNER: Of course.

MS. LUDLOW: Yes. I do have some questions about that.

Number one, $I$ feel like we had insufficient time to review that when it comes on Friday and it's done Monday -- and we're supposed to vote on it on Monday. And it looks like it was created so quickly that there are even typographical errors in there. So I think we need further review and more discussion on it.

And my question is, is this being done to try and defend the airport position in negotiations with a particular tenant? It is our impression that these were handled in good faith through the attorneys -- it was our impression. Is this a gesture of good faith?

What is the urgency? I feel that we have failed in our fiduciary responsibility to provide aviation services to the community if we hastily pass this measure. I've been contacted by several individuals, I've met with the SAAPA members, and the different effect this might have.

I cannot find the term "light general
aircraft" in the master plan. Can you show -- show me and provide me with that reference? I cannot
find any reference to the area being restricted to single or light twin piston aircraft. Will you show me where that is? I cannot find any reference to banning turboprop or jet aircraft in this area, either. Where is that referenced? I've obviously gone over the master plan. MR. WUELLNER: First -- first I was remiss, I meant to start my comments by saying that you are absolutely correct that the suspense involved with the agenda item itself would not lend itself to being fully adopted at this meeting regardless. So the lateness of getting it out is a combination of the communication going back and forth between Doug and I getting adequate language, wordsmith and the like, getting in there. So it was very late getting to the board. We fully agree with that. In fact, I don't think from a Florida Statute standpoint that we could adopt it in a full measure today, given the suspense that we have given you.

That being said, we are highly desirous of clarification as to exactly what the airport's policy with regard to these development areas and the like will be going forward.

The airport master plan does not have specific
reference to the -- many of the terms you're using. The master plan primarily comes down to the Airport Layout Plan as the document that is accepted sort of -- sort of the de facto contract between the airport, Florida DOT, as well as the FAA as to the general method or the general way the airport will develop into the future.

If you look at that Airport Layout Plan, you will see that the development proposed in the areas I'm speaking of are solely depicted for the types of aircraft that I'm -- I'm referencing here and we're attempting to insert into the lease policy. So, if you look at the building -- the kinds of building development, the considerations for airfield geometry, the like, those are -- are squarely compatible with the specific geographic areas on the airport.

Following that first thought, in order to adopt something, we need clarification in order to move forward with the policy negot- -- or, I'm sorry, with the lease negotiations as they stand today.

Absent -- we don't need that urgently in the sense. We fully understand that even with some action today generally agreeing or disagreeing,
that that action would be subject to a ratification, discussion, and agenda item at your next meeting in October no matter what. So this is not a one-and-done on this topic no matter what. It's going to move out to October and have further conversation or opportunities for public input as well as board input again before finally ratifying or changing it again. Those are certainly your options.

If you look at the airport master plan, Airport Layout Plan, you'll see that the buildings depicted, the taxiway geometries, the geographic -I call it airfield geometry, I believe is the term that's used now in planning, but basically the -everything from the way the aircraft or the size of aircraft maneuver around on the ground via taxiway and the like, those are all very specific to size and type of aircraft on the airport. Or on any airport, for that matter.

Those have been incorporated into the Airport Layout Plan for the specific GA areas and have been that way since 2005, with slight -- slight amendments, because FAA from time to time amends those geometry standards and some slight things happen.

And a very absurd example of some of the stuff that has changed, if you can recall what Taxiway Delta looked like before we began construction work, you'll -- you can kind of remember kind of tight little radiuses where all the taxiways intersected with each other going back to T-hangar areas. And if you look at that now when you drive out there, it looks like an absurd amount of pavement that's been added to make turns into $T$-hangar areas and things of that nature. That is because now FAA's design standards reflect a much different geometry requirement in order to meet federal airport planning standards. So that's an example of how things do evolve thanks to FAA's changes in the geometry in this case.

But the specific terms, many of the specific terms, I don't recall all of them since you went through them quickly, but they're not -- they're not in this -- they're in the master plan per se in those words.

MS. LUDLOW: Right.
MR. WUELLNER: They describe -- we typically in the master plan are talking within the context of design group of aircraft, and the design group of aircraft typically are going to be reflective of
the pavement designs and hangar separations and things of that nature.

MS. LUDLOW: So it is -- that should be noted, that the master plan is a suggestion of what -what can be done, not the rule. It is not a rule. The master plan is not the rule. They -- I mean, otherwise we have places for hangars back here and all kinds of things. So the master plan $--I$ was at every meeting. The master plan is not the rule.

MR. WUELLNER: Right.
MS. LUDLOW: It is a suggestion that has to be in there before you can get any kind of approval.

MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
MS. LUDLOW: So you're saying that those are your suggestions, but you're not saying this is in compliance with. You are changing the master plan, and I think

MR. WUELLNER: No, that isn't what I'm saying, but go ahead.

MS. LUDLOW: I -- I would like to see the board have more time to -- to look into this.

MR. WUELLNER: Understood.
MS. LUDLOW: I'd like to see it tabled.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. I'm not going to comment yet. Any further comments?

MS. LUDLOW: No comments.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Comments?

MR. OLSON: Just a little bit about the master plan.

I think it should be characterized as more or stronger than a suggestion. Having served also on the master plan committee or advisory board for this latest master plan, it's really a guide and a -- a reference for a lot of decisions that we make, and we have -- I think it's important to view it as something that has a strong recognition not only with this authority, but with our partners, including our funding partners.

So I would just place -- just say I place a lot more importance on the plan and on a plan for -- especially for something as complex as our airport is. We need it as a guide and a reference to make decisions, and that's all I'll just add. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. Justin, comment?

MR. MIRGEAUX: I agree with Reba. I think we should table it. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Well, yeah, I'm not going to disagree with that, either. The -- I agree with him. The -- suggestion to
me is a little bit mild. You have to have something that leads the group and the partners on the same path so you don't have divergent activities willy-nilly based purely upon personalities or whatever. We all follow the same path.

It's not that it's a bible, but it's a direction. And if you want to change direction, you can bring it to the board and change direction. No problem with changing that at all.

But when people come in and ask for something, to me, it's like what comes first, the cart or the horse? We have a plan. If somebody wants to change it, let's discuss it in terms of changing the -- the master plan before we get into any specific details about third-party interests, okay?

MR. WUELLNER: And if I could just add
emphasis to it's more than just suggestions because your two funding agencies, two primary partner funding agencies, you know, literally require the projects that they fund to be included in the master plan. So it's taken quite seriously at the FAA level and the FDOT level in order to even be eligible for financial assistance on projects.

MS. LUDLOW: Can I defend myself?

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Yeah.
MS. LUDLOW: Well, this has already been noted in our meetings, that of all the things $I$ just said, all you cared about was the word "suggestion"? I'm sorry about that.

So, if I use the wrong word as suggestion, then I certainly -- I was there. I know they are guidelines for us and they're more than just suggestions, but we -- but they're not sealed in stone.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: I think we all agree with that.

MS. LUDLOW: Well, do you agree these are not suggestions?

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. All right. Any other questions or comments on this one? (None.)

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Now we have people that want to speak. This is talking about the lease policy. It's not talking about anything specific --

MR. WUELLNER: Master plan language.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Pardon?
MR. WUELLNER: The master plan language.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Yeah. So, the speakers
when you come up, keep it related to the policy that we're trying to address, all right?

And we'll start off just the way $I$ have them here. Jim Lawson?

MR. LAWSON: Good afternoon, chairman and board. Thank you again for allowing me to speak. Wow, a full house today. I -- it's very rarely I see everybody here.

I represent and am speaking for --
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Excuse me. One more thing. I apologize.

MR. LAWSON: Sure.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: We are -- I'm a strong believer in letting you speak whatever you want to speak, but we are going to say three minutes, okay?

MR. LAWSON: Three minutes.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: And that to me, it's not to
limit you, it's to prevent redundancy and wasting
time. So --
MR. LAWSON: Absolutely, chairman --
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- say what you want to say.

MR. LAWSON: I -- I'll be less than three minutes. Thank you.

So the only part that $I$ want to talk about is

I want to, as I said before, educate the board on this particular issue for the airport master plan. So again, like I spoke last month, the history of the very first tenants in the South 40 at the Casa Cola hangar consisted of turboprop and jet aircraft, a Citation 500, a King Air 200, and a Pilatus PC-12 which was the one I managed. It's there in history. It's undisputable.

Other turboprop aircraft that have operated out of the South 40 area, the largest and loudest is Joe Duke's Albatross. As you know, it's very big and very loud, a big rotary engine aircraft. So, another thing I wanted to educate the board on what is the FAA guidance on areas of an airport and what you should do for safety. And the answer is very simple, and the director actually talked about it: Bearing strength of the taxiways and runways based on the wheel design -- single tandem, et cetera -- and wingspan. Nothing regarding power of the plane. You can do noise abatement procedures for loud aircraft for certain areas and certain times. There are many examples of that. But you will not find an example on the propulsion of the aircraft being restricted.

You can say, hey, this area is for smaller wingspan aircraft with lower weightbearing strength because of the way the taxiways were designed, et cetera, but you won't find anything regarding propulsion. And that's all $I$ had to educate your board about.

And I've -- I highly recommend before you make a decision, to seek advice and do some research on making sure that you don't make a decision that would be in violation of any FAA regulatory compliance, specifically the 39 grant assurances of an airport that takes AIP money. And that's all I have to say. Thank you very much for you time. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lawson. Len Tucker?

MR. TUCKER: Len Tucker. Okay. So, of course we're not on (unintelligible).

The problem I have is there's no way I could cover all the flaws on this one document in three minutes. So I've got an issue right here with being able to tell the board what all the problems are with it because it is flawed from one end to the other.
It starts off with compliance with
master plan. That's a misleading statement. It's
not compliance with master plan. All this fluff up here is just diversion until you get to the main paragraph down here, which is the most noncompliant with the master plan of any and all of them.

There's not a term in there that's in the master plan. Light aircraft are not in there, and that's a well-defined item. The fact that there's a ban on turbine or turboprops over here in this area is not in the master plan.

The fact that over there in my hangar row is the only one authorized to have jets and turboprops is not in the master plan, either. That has nothing to do with it. And the fact that I'm not even sure that the author of this knows what a light general aviation aircraft is. I'll educate you, because there are some people here I'm sure that don't.

FAA defines it as an aircraft with 12,500 pounds maximum gross takeoff weight or less. That's a light aircraft. That aircraft could be an E-200 King Air with 19 -- with 11 seats in it. It could be a Twin Otter that $I$ used to fly back and forth when $I$ was buying tickets up -- over in Oklahoma.
Those are -- those are not your concepts I
think that you've got in mind here because you're thinking a light aircraft is something like a little Piper Cub or something. That's not what it is. And it does not have anything to do with the propulsion of the aircraft at all. Look it up in the FAA. That's their definition.

So this whole concept of that entire paragraph is wrong. Unless you want to name this noncompliance with master plan, then that paragraph could be usable. Otherwise it needs to be stricken because it's all incorrect.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, very much. Next would be Mr. Ludwig.

MR. LUDWIG: Thank you. And thank you, board, for your service as elected officials to do this sometime thankless job, I'm sure. I'm a little disappointed about being here. I represent an entity that's trying to negotiate a lease. And we've come to your staff in good faith, negotiated. They sent us a lease. In fact, it even included jet aircraft in the lease.

And then we do, as you gentlemen that are in business, we sent back our comments in the form of a redline. This was before Labor Day. We didn't hear back. We didn't hear back. We didn't hear
back. I pushed it, you know, please give me your comments. Then all of a sudden, we find this emergency change in the airport master plan being suggested.

Let me tell you, I am a board certified aviation attorney, have been for many years here in Florida. This is a significant change to the master plan layout and to the language.

The fact that you have less than really 24 hours of normal time to consider it -- and I think they're going to try to pass it today, I don't know, but it just really really I'm telling you needs to be studied very carefully, because some of the far-reaching effects of these changes are -are critical.

And I'd like to certainly endorse what this gentleman says about we keep hearing light general aviation aircraft. It's not a Piper Cub. It is clearly defined in Part 1 of the Federal Aviation Regulations what that is. That is an aircraft under a takeoff weight of 12,500 pounds. That means with people and bags and full fuel cannot be more than 12,500 pounds. It's clear my client's planes that we're trying to negotiate, or my client is, their takeoff
wait is under -- it's about 10,000 pounds. 10,200 pounds. So well under the weight limit and certainly within the variable of your taxiway limits. There's no question about it. We've looked.

So I would suggest to you that don't hurry up and rush this through like it -- it needs to have some sort of action today. I would think you need public comment. I think you need some people -and you have very good counsel here who's very able to guide you through what is I think legitimate, appropriate, and should be included.

And just as a sideline, the gentleman was talking here about he has a $\$ 1$ billion budget now in St. Johns County to deal with. This morning in the Times-Union there was an article by a writer down here that you had 40 -- 40 homes sell for over \$1 million down here just last month. One house sold for $\$ 4.3$ million.

Your -- your county is growing. And the fact that we're sitting here trying to shrink the airport availability is just to me just almost outrageous and ludicrous what is trying to be done. So I'd suggest to you, your -- to your constituency, to the people who look, you know, for
your guidance, study this, be careful about what you do, and get good guidance from your counselor.

He's able. I'm glad to give my input and I'm sure other people are, too. But please look at this very carefully before you jump for it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, very much. Okay. Mr. McLaughlin? Did I say that right?

MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you.

I -- my name's Matt McLaughlin. I represent Modern Aero and its own Matt Liotta. And I sent a letter this morning to the director and each of you. I don't know if each of you had a chance to take a look at it. It does outline our position in great detail.

I don't like to have to send potential lawsuits to folks, but, you know, the short notice and the fact that this is going to be voted on within, you know, 24 hours of a notice gets people to stand up and take notice. And so we're here.

You know, I am a litigator. He's a certified aviation lawyer. I think it makes sense to put on the record sort of the facts of what happened here. Mr. Liotta purchased Premier Aviation, which does maintenance primarily, back at the end of

March. And in April through June, he approached the Authority and was up front and open about what he wanted to do out here. He wanted to do some flight training and he wanted to have some private jet charters. And he wanted to use some very quiet planes -- you guys have seen them; they're beautiful --

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Mr. McLaughlin? MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Is this related to the master plan or the other issue?

MR. McLAUGHLIN: The leasing?
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: The leasing. MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes, sir. Beautiful planes, very quiet. Talked at length on numerous occasions with -- with the director in his office. At no time between April and June did anyone raise this policy that there could be no jets on the south side where Mr. Liotta plans to put his business. So at no time was that ever raised.

In fact, as Mr. Ludwig pointed out, the Authority drafted a lease and gave it to Modern,
and the lease says you can use HondaJets and Cirrus jets and do flight training. It's all in the lease that -- that was drafted and presented to him. And for three months, nobody said anything about this policy.

Then, for reasons unknown, in late July, a 180-degree turn is made and an e-mail gets sent by the director to Modern and announces for the first time this unwritten policy that we're hearing so much about today that there can't be any jets in this area.

Well, of course if you're negotiating a lease that you've discussed for months that says we're going to put HondaJets there that we're going to fly, we're going to do flight training, et cetera, and all of a sudden the director says you can't have jets, you get concerned. And so, Modern objected, sent a letter saying please withdraw that statements. The statement was not withdrawn.

In fact, last month, the -- the Authority put this on the agenda to codify this unwritten policy that is sort of nebulously described as being consistent with the master plan, but nobody's quoted for anyone page, line, paragraph, language that dictates this. In fact, I think the director
told us here today it doesn't exist; that there's no requirement that says you can't have jets over here.

So -- so then this is taken off the agenda because, you know, pressure was put on the Authority. Mr. Ludwig talked to Mr. Burnett. They had good discussions. There was some discussion about, hey, maybe you can lease at some point up on the north side, but for now, let's deal with getting you a lease for, you know, a term or two here on the south side.

And redlines were sent to the Authority. The Authority didn't get back to us until last Thursday we were told the Authority was still reviewing the lease. It's been weeks. Then one of the board members tells us, oh, no, they're -- they're going to vote on this policy today, the policy that would essentially gut the Authority's ability to enter into the lease that we thought we were negotiating in good faith.

And so, we're scratching our heads going, what's going on here? On the one hand, you say you want to let us do our business out here and on the other hand, you're trying to take it away with an unwritten policy that all of a sudden needs to
happen.

What's the rush here? The only thing that's changed is my client wants to do his business out here, that was led down the path of, yes, you can do it and then has had the rug swept out from underneath him. And we want to find out what's going on.

I think that the board should look into this and figure out what is going on. And -- and, I mean, if it comes down to a noise thing, I mean, we can show that these are quiet jets.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Mr. McLaughlin, you've gone five minutes now.

MR. McLAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate your time and, I'm sorry, I wanted to put that on the record. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Mr. Liotta.
MR. LIOTTA: Hello. I'm Matt Liotta. I'm
here again after last board meeting again in the center of disputes going on here.

You know, what I've heard today, you guys have heard a lot, certainly like Chairman Maguire suggested, we don't want to be redundant here.

I listened to what the director said, and I
would like to summarize it probably in a different way than he would summarize it, but what I hear from him is that he's unclear about the policy and wants the board's help to clarify some policy.

And I believe that it is not the policy of the airport board, to allow the director to change policy or edict policy with an e-mail on the fly by himself; that there's a proper process to do it. And I think you have the opportunity as a board to explain to the director that that is not okay and that there's a correct process to do it.

And as you can see from the community, they're all very interested in a proper process where we discuss potential policy changes, they provide input, and then ultimately the board gets to take that import -- input under consideration and make policy.

That's what I would ask you to do, is make sure that we're all following the rules here and that if anybody, including the director, doesn't follow the rules, they're held accountable. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you. Okay. Any other comments from the public that I don't have a card for?
(None.)

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Bring it back to the board. Ed, do you have anything?

MR. WUELLNER: No.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Doug?
MR. BURNETT: I guess I'll -- one, if for so reason the language isn't clear, the language that's drafted in part -- I think this was raised by Mr. Tucker -- the language that was drafted is not to prevent piston or propeller-driven aircraft in the south area at all.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Doug, can I get you to hold because --

MR. BURNETT: Yeah, that's fine.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- we have to go on a pause
here in one minute with the meeting coming up.
MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Is that okay?

MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. All right. We have two minutes, so we're going take -- do we have to do it exactly at 5:01?

MR. BURNETT: We -- we recess this meeting.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: We're going to recess for two minutes and at 5:01, we're going to open up
the --
MR. WUELLNER: Separate meeting.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- separate meeting, and then we'll come back and finish this one and Doug will have the floor, okay?
(Recess had and meeting resumed as follows:)
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Now we can go back to the other meeting and turn it over to Doug so he can get back into his sync.

MR. BURNETT: I guess where I would --
MR. WUELLNER: Sync with a "y".
MR. BURNETT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Yes.
MR. BURNETT: I guess where I'd started, and I think my comments are going to be kind of brief, but to state the obvious; one, to the extent this is perceived as against one user or for one user at the airport or the like, $I$ think it's probably pretty clear over the years that staff is pretty excited when there's a new user that's coming to the airport. Economic development, those kinds of things, are something you-all talk about quite often. You want to see economic development. You want to see the airport grow.

What we're confronted with right now, though,
is where the airport staff -- and I was here in those days when the south area, these were homes where the building was and this area was homes -and when we went through that process, the Authority announced certain desires related to the south area.

And so, while it isn't a written policy, what you do when you don't have something and you identify that needs to be changed or addressed, is we bring it to you and you guys set the policy and we carry out what you set as the policy here at the Authority board level.

And so, we needed to bring this to you. Obviously needed to bring this to you before we went through much more of the lease discussions in general, and so here we are.

I will be very candid and tell you that the notice and the time period for getting this agenda item and the next agenda item to you is not what we would like. This should come back next month for a final review and approval in whatever form it may be -- or further discussion, maybe come back for another meeting. But at least we've gotten it to you, we've gotten it out there, and a comment can start rather than taking further additional time. Obviously, yeah, we could put it on next month. You'd be a month further down the road before you got comments. So here we are. It gets the balling rolling and you can analyze it and give us direction in the interim so we know what revisions to start considering, evaluating, and how we should conduct ourselves going forward. So I that's -- that's my short part of the overview. If we're talking solely at the language on the compliance with the airport master plan, there are certain areas identified on the airport. We sort of have understood what those areas were and how they should be used, and so we're here with -we're here with this item for you to give us that direction.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. If you want to question him on the detail, feel free to do so. But if not, $I$ think we're all going to be in consensus, I believe, that we need to postpone voting on affirming or denying. But $I$ also believe that we all need to dig further into this, whether we do it right now or we do it in the next 30 days. If you don't do it right now, I'm going to challenge each one of you to talk to Doug personally one-on-one, not together, and find out
the legal position representing the board.

I would also encourage you, if any of the members out here call you up, talk to them and listen to their position in addition to what they've already said here so you can make a valued decision. So, if you have a specific detail you want to get into, feel free to do so.

MR. MIRGEAUX: No specific details, but I think in general, in terms of defining sections of the airport, we need to be aligned with Florida DOT and especially with FAA.

So if the restrictions are -- have to do with aircraft weight, then we need to stick with that, you know, type of landing gear, wheelbase. In terms of designating, you know, rotorcraft operations versus fixed-wing operations, obviously, you know, we've got draft and wind issues and things like that. That doesn't seem to me like that's something that we would be dealing with here.

Noise abatement, this is the closest that we get to actual residential, except for maybe the approach end or the departure end of the north end, which is not the issue before us today. So I'll just -- I would say future iterations would be as
aligned as possible with other -- other authoritative guidance, FAA, Florida DOT.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay.
MR. OLSON: I think Mr. Mirgeaux has made a really good point in what he just said.

I'm not sure whether our master plan has terminology that conforms with widely understood terminology, so in F -- by FAA and the aviation industry. So I think that would be something that we would really want to look at in -- before we bring this back or in -- in preparation for bringing it back.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Reba?
MS. LUDLOW: Yes. Well, I think I probably said everything.

There's definitely a difference in language in the master plan. I think that should be addressed. I think it should also be addressed that for any policy change, that we get at least a 30 -day notice so that we can go over it.

I -- I think we're all in agreement that it needs more time.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. My comments -- you know, I'm going to digress a little bit.

When I was in the Air Force, I was second in
charge of the airbase in Germany and in England. And one of the things I learned very quickly was having a layout that was logical, rational, was necessary for the efficient operations of the airport.

The number one thing I look at is, we have a history -- and I'm not downgrading or supporting. We have a history of the board designing an airport. It goes back before he even got here, okay?

> If the design was incorrect, we need to change it. If the design was correct, we may not have established written criteria to satisfy all the legal issues, and that's what we have to look at. Is our intent correct or not correct? Is it legally correct or not correct? And if it's not, then we can go ahead and change it to something else. If it is correct, then we need to confirm that it is correct.
> So as you talk to both sides, look at the efficient operation, even though it may or may not be written, as compared to putting all your eggs on one side, the legal side or the -- or the word of mouth side, if you want to call it that, okay? Look at the whole picture before you make up your
mind.

Having said that, $I$ believe the consensus is -- do we have to make a motion to carry this forward or is the consensus --

MR. WUELLNER: No, I think automatically you couldn't do anything, anyways.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Yeah. Okay. This will go forward to the next month and we can move on to the next agenda item, all right?

POLICY AMENDMENT - MINIMUM COMMERCIAL OPERATING STANDARDS

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think -- I think reading the tea leaves here, we're going to be doing the same thing anyway.

It's again policy-related language, this time applying to minimum commercial aviation operating standards. And there are a number of sections here we're -- we're suggesting or proposing amendments.

In the interest of time, we could certainly concede to let you have the month with it and we can spend more time, you know, going into next month with it and -- and go from there.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Why don't we do this.
The -- $I$ want to give the people in the public a chance to make their comments --

MR. WUELLNER: Sure.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- if it's all right with the board. Then we'll let the comments go before we talk.

MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Having that said that, Mr. McLaughlin again, would you like to talk on this minimum commercial aviation operations?

MR. McLAUGHLIN: I'll cede it to Mr. Ludwig.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Pardon?
MR. McLAUGHLIN: I'll cede my comments to Mr. Ludwig.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Mr. Ludwig, would you like to say something about the minimum standards?

MR. LUDWIG: Certainly less than three minutes.

I would say that this again is a substantial factor that needs to be looked at and closely looked at before you make your decision one way or another to leave it alone, to change it, to improve it.

I mean, I think that really both of these topics, we're looking for improvement to guide the people that are here and the people that come after us. So the things that you do here deserve time
and study.
And I would just ask that we, the public, have an opportunity to review this stuff before we have Friday afternoon notice and everybody's lathered up and coming in here.

This can be done in a much more collegiate and studied atmosphere and I think with a good result for not only the public welfare, but for your staff. Your staff might like the input. I don't know. They seem -- my impression is it's kind of a walled-off group and you can't get in there.

So I would hope that the board's direction would be to the staff to open their ears and eyes and listen to us a little bit as they maybe reformulate some of this language.

More than happy to help and give our suggestion so that y'all that are charged with the obligation of doing the right thing for the public have a full fleshing-out of the subject.

So I offer my help. I'm sure the other people here in the room that are educated in this kind of stuff will be more than happy to do it. But just give us a little time. This -- this less than 24 -hour stuff just doesn't result in a good result.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you. And I think the
board has pretty well shown that they tend to agree that decisions cannot be made hastily or quickly. And if I'm not ready to make a decision in 30 days, I will ask to go another 30 days, okay? Mr. Tucker --

MR. TUCKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- would you like to have another comment?

MR. TUCKER: Yes. Len Tucker.

Again, $I$ had a lot of comments from members of SAAPA concerning this process, and I think the attorney kind of alluded to it a little bit.

I would like to emphasize that, I mean, what we're looking for is better service, particularly when it comes to taking over the FBO. That's a major concern among the people here at the airport because that's where we buy fuel. And if we don't buy fuel there, we buy it from self-serve. If you now combine those two entities into the same entity, you know, what's going to happen? If you're going to jack up the fuel prices, it's going to make everybody unhappy. What we want is better service at a very efficient and cost-affordable price, and so you've got to sell us on the fact that that's going to
make a positive impact on the way the airport's going to operate before you just say, well, we're going to take it over and operate it.

There's a lot of concern out there. I mean, I -- my own personal experience is that it's never good to have a government entity actually try to operate a business. I have never seen them do it efficiently. They have lots of constraints around human resources of what they can do with hiring and firing people. They have all kinds of issues that you can't even -- that are completely out of the question and when you get to the private sector, that aren't even issues anymore. So I think trying to mix those and pull that in is something that we have to contemplate very very carefully.

Where is -- where is the proposed business plan? You don't want to enter into a business like that, particularly that big a business, without a business plan. Where is that?

I mean, that ought to be the first thing you get, is the business plan, before you even start the rumor out there that we're going to take over the FBO. Let's see what the benefits are for the public. So that's basically my comments on that.
It's very concerning because there's a lot of
unknowns there. I mean, we're already concerned because we know the FBO's changed hands from Atlantic over to Signature. And so, every time a new owner comes in, there's always some sort of turmoil, and we just have to work through that. But it's that kind of issue that's concerning everybody.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you. Okay.
Mr. Lawson?
MR. LAWSON: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you, board members.

I am just going to offer my help. I -- when it comes to minimum commercial aviation operating standards, Mr. Burnett and Ed, I have 30 years of experience. I've been operating at several airports as director of operations, as an owner, as an operator. I've been hired by the FAA as a professional witness to testify for $F A A$ investigations. I am free of charge. This is my home. And I want St. Augustine Airport to succeed. So I'm offering my services for any advice you need on any of these things. I am -- that is all I want to wanted to offer you. I have very extensive resources and that $I$ can draw from aviation attorneys that will help Mr. Burnett, and we will
provide you all the data you need to make an informed decision. It can be here, it can be at any time, and I'm at your disposal. That's it for me. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, very much.
Okay. Mr. Liotta, you're next.
MR. LIOTTA: I think there's already been some good comments in regard to the change that would affect the $F B O$ and Atlantic Aviation here.

I'll say in general my concern is always the same when it comes to a government kicking out private enterprise and taking it over themselves. That's generally something that $I$ wouldn't want to see, and $I$ know most of the people that $I$ know in the community are very much against the government taking over private enterprise.

With that being said, that's not the only change in the minimum operating standards that's there. There's also a change related to the FBO without fuel status and the flight training.

And it was represented to me by the Airport Authority's attorney that the FBO without fuel status lease, for all of the tenants at the airport including myself that have such a lease, that lease was created to deal with a tax issue and

> that if that lease was not in place, the tenants would have -- be subject to taxes. I can't tell you whether that's correct or not; I'm just saying that was what was represented to me.
> And it appears that the changes are intended to limit the number of tenants who can obtain the FBo without fuel, including our current operation where we would no longer qualify under these new minimum operating standards, and I believe other tenants are affected.

And so, it concerns me greatly that there would be effectively no change in actual practical operations at the airport; there would only be an impact of the greater taxes on certain tenants. And I don't know about you, but I always pay attention when someone wants to tax me more, and I'm against that.

I think the minimum operating standards are there to make sure that the airport operates safely and efficient and they shouldn't be changed for the purpose of increasing the taxes on certain tenants. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. I have no further sheets. Is there anyone else from the public who would like to come up and make a comment?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Seeing none, bring it back to the board. So open up to the board discussion. If someone wants to make a comment or make a motion or direction or --

MS. LUDLOW: I'd --

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- to speak what they believe we should do.

MS. LUDLOW: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make another comment, and $I$ think it's very important that we remember as board members that we were elected, you know, by the county to safeguard the airport, safeguard the revenues, safe -- support and look out for the buildings that's done, our -we have that fiduciary responsibility and I take it very seriously and $I$ hope everybody on our board does.

Len mentioned the -- I was going to mention that, too -- about the business plan. How can somebody come up and offer to take over a business with no business plan whatsoever, you know?

Plus, everything we talk about at this board is return of revenue, return on revenue, return on revenue. Well, we've already taken down two hangars that is producing revenue, so there's no
return on revenue.
This -- the air -- the FBO gives us -- we get at least $\$ 600,000$ a year revenue from the $F B O$, and I think that should be taken into consideration. Nobody's told us about how much it's going to cost for the airport to run that, even if it were legal, which I don't think it is.

So, again, insufficient time to read it and -and I have my whole 45 pages printed and marked up, I might say. And I do think it's inconsistent with the master plan since it makes no provision for the FBO being operated by the Airport Authority. There is a provision in the master plan for a second $F B O$, but -- which would be voided if the Airport Authority takes it over.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: That's my comments.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Further comment?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: All right. I would like to focus on pushing this forward another 30 days, unless the board disagrees, and just like before, to challenge the board to talk with Ed and Doug to find out more information and listen to any comments if the public calls them and talk to,
okay? Any disagreement with that?
(None.)

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. We will move that forward to the next month. PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Now having said that, public comment, I have two. I took -- I know Len tucker has a couple of comments. MR. TUCKER: I'm going to pass that. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Anyone else have public comment for public comment? Come on up. MR. LIOTTA: Len, did you want to go first? MR. TUCKER: No, I'll pass. MR. LIOTTA: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: And while you're walking, do we need addresses and names? MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: I don't need addresses. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: We don't need that? Okay. Go ahead.

MR. LIOTTA: So now that we're in the general comments, one of the things that I brought up previously was the need for accountability and process.

And Doug, as the Airport Authority, has -excuse me, the airport attorney, mentioned that
they needed to comment to you as the board to enact policy. That's what they had to do first before they did anything else. Yet the director sent an e-mail enacting policy and sought to enforce it. So again, I'm asking the board, where's the accountability?

That's not the process. That's not the process that Doug even said it is the process. What are we going to do to hold the director accountable to the actual process and make sure that it's only the elected board that makes policy here? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Thank you, very much. Any further comment from the public?
(None.)

MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Bring it back to the board for member comments and we'll start off with Reba. General comments?

MS. LUDLOW: No general comments.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Are you sure? Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: Wait. Okay. Well, you gave me an opportunity.

I think it's been a very very productive board meeting. I really appreciate the support of, you
know, the audience. This is what it's all about. We're here to take care of the airport and that's what we should do and that's what $I$ stand on all the time.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Mr. Olson?
MR. OLSON: Yeah. I -- I guess one comment I'll make is that it would have probably been, well, certainly easier -- or better to prepare for this meeting if we had materials further in advance, obviously.

And I'm hoping that for items that -- like what we've been discussing in this meeting, that there's some sort of accompany -- accompanying cover memorandum for these materials, because I think just distributing documents without any kind of a cover memorandum is very -- makes it much more challenging to grasp all the issues that might underlie what we're looking at. So that's my other comment.

The only other thing I'll say is that $I$ see that the next EDC meeting is not until December. So there wasn't a -- to my knowledge, an EDC meeting this quarter.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. All right. Justin?
MR. MIRGEAUX: I'm interested in fleshing out
more details with the changes to the minimum commercial operating aviation standards -specifically FBOs with fuel sales and how that impacts our FBOs with fuel sales, and then FBOs without fuel sales -- just getting a handle on who that affects, how it's going to affect them, and what we envision the airport looking like 10 years from now, 15 years from now, 30 years from now. So more of a long-term, over-the-horizon thinking and not so much with just the people at this table here now, but, you know, our kids, our grandkids, you know, the future stakeholders of -of the airport.

CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Okay. Very good comments.
I appreciate those. I have no comments. Ed, wrap-up from you.

MR. WUELLNER: No.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: Doug?
MR. BURNETT: No, I'll just say that spite of what -- what may seem like an adversarial process, we're trying to bring it to you to set the policy like we think should be done.

So I understand some of the public comment that was made today, but I'll just comment and say that when we believe that something would go on and
always go on and has gone on a certain way at the airport and someone says it's not in the policy, well, we'll bring it to you and, you know, let you guys dictate that and go from there. So, I guess that -- that's the one part that $I$ have to comment. And, Mr. Mirgeaux, going back to one thing you said on the FBO, it doesn't say this in there, but I think our current FBO lease goes to 2036, so it's like a 15-year horizon to -- to address that -- to be addressed in that issue.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Good to know.
CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: And I'll wrap up with --
piggyback on Justin's comment.
We should not be making decisions based upon
tomorrow. We make it based on the long term because that's when the effect really comes into play, okay? Having said that -MS. LUDLOW: Meeting adjourned. CHAIRMAN MAGUIRE: -- we'll adjourn the meeting.
(Meeting concluded at 5:36 p.m.)
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|  | \$1 million [1] 41/18 | $29 \text { [1] } 10 / 5$ | 6/11 6/23 8/16 14/19 | advantage [1] $8 / 25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHAIRMAN |  | 2nd [2] 19/1 |  |  |
| MAGUIRE: [121] | \$2.6 [1] 9/22 | 3 | 17/16 18/14 20/19 | $\text { 1] } 32 / 7$ |
| COMMISSIONER | \$221,000 [2] 4/16 | 3,000 [1] 21/22 | 21/19 21/21 26/3 32/3 | Aero [1] 42/11 |
| $15 / 116 / 25 \quad 18 / 818 / 12$ | 12/13 | 30 [8] 7/3 8/16 51/22 | 33/16 34/4 34/5 34/19 | affect [2] 61/9 68/6 |
| $18 / 21 \quad 19 / 1$ | \$225,000 [1] 4/16 | 58/3 58/4 60/14 64/21 | 34/20 35/25 36/17 37/6 | affected [1] 62/10 |
| MR. BURNETT: [10] | \$4.3 [1] 41/19 | 68/8 | 39/17 40/17 41/1 41/4 | affects [1] 68/6 |
| 22/9 48/6 48/14 48/17 | \$43 [1] 15/19 | 30-day [1] 53/19 | 41/14 42/1 43/2 44/4 | affirming [1] 51/20 |
| 48/19 48/23 49/10 | \$51 [1] 15/12 | 300,000 [1] 16/22 | 44/10 45/8 47/3 49/22 | affordable [1] 58/2 |
| 49/12 49/14 68/19 | \$559,180.64 [1] 4/14 | 30th [1] 70/10 | 56/13 62/15 63/1 | after [3] 6/17 46/20 |
| MR. LAWSON: [6] | \$56 [1] 9/18 | 32080 [1] 1/17 | 3/22 64/5 67/1 | 56/24 |
| 35/5 35/12 35/16 35/20 | \$600,000 [1] 64/3 | 32084 [1] 1/23 | ABSENT [2] 1/12 28/23 | afternoon [5] 9/7 14/ |
| 35/23 60/10 | \$7 [1] 15/14 | 35 [1] 16/25 | absolutely [2] 27/9 | 20/18 35/5 57 |
| MR. LIOTTA: [5] 46/19 | \$766 [1] 9/17 |  |  | ain [18] 7/18 |
| 61/7 65/12 65/14 65/20 | \$780,771.16 [1] 4/15 | 3:00 [1] 21/19 | absorb [1] | 11/22 21/15 21/24 |
| MR. LUDWIG: [2] | 0 |  | absurd [2] 30/130 | 23/23 29/7 29/8 35 |
| 39/14 56/15 | 0570 [1] 1/24 | 4 | 3/25 23/9 | 56/6 56/17 58/10 64/8 |
| MR. McKENDRICK: [1] 21/8 | 1 | 40 [4] 36/4 36/10 41/17 | accepted [1] 2 | 66/5 |
| MR. McLAUGHLIN: [8] |  |  | access [1] 8/7 | against [3] 49/17 61/ |
| 42/9 43/9 43/12 43/14 | 10 [3] 16/9 16/13 | 44 [1] | accompany [1] 67/13 | 62/1 |
| 43/16 46/15 56/8 56/10 | 10,000 [1] 41/1 | 45 [2] 7/3 64/9 | mpanying [1] | encies [2] 33/ |
| MR. MIRGEAUX: [9] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10,200 [1] } 41 / 1 \\ & 104 \text { [1] 1/17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4730 \text { [1] } 1 / 4 \\ & 4: 00 \text { [2] } 1 / 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 3/9 3/15 12/5 13/14 | 11 [1] 38/21 |  |  |  |
| 13/21 32/21 52/8 67/25 | 11th [1] 10/2 | 5 |  |  |
| LSON: [17] 3/17 | 12 [3] 2/4 24/23 36/7 | 5,073 [1] 21/19 | accountable [2] 47/21 | 50/18 50/19 5 |
| MR. OLSON: [17] 3/17 | 12,500 [3] 38/18 40/21 | 50 [1] 7/23 | $66 / 10$ | ggregated [1] 5/3 |
| 3/20 5/2 5/6 5/12 5/18 | 40/23 | 50/50 [1] 7/23 | action [3] 28/25 29/1 | $\text { ago [3] } 16 / 2523 / 2$ |
| 6/14 11/1 11/11 11/15 | 120,000 [1] 12/15 | 500 [1] 36/6 | $41 / 8$ | $23 / 24$ |
| 11/18 11/20 13/17 | 13 [1] 24/23 | 54,000 [1] 1 | activities [1] | agree [6] 27/16 32/21 |
| R. [8] | 14 [1] 2/7 | 55 [1] 2/9 | activity [2] 18/10 21/15 | 32/25 34/11 34/13 58/1 |
| TUCKER: [8] 19/9 | 15 [1] 68/8 | 56 [2] 11/2 11/12 | actual [3] 52/22 62/12 | agreeing [1] 28/2 |
| 58/9 65/9 65/13 | 15-year [1] 69/9 | 5:00 [3] 4/2 6/16 19/18 | 66/10 | agreement [2] 7/21 |
| MR. WUELLNER: [33] | 15th [2] 10/3 10/4 | 5:01 [5] 4/3 6/15 14/17 | actually [7] 9/2 12/22 | 53/21 |
| M/3. 4/18 4/23 5/1 5/9 | 16 [1] 24/24 | 48/22 48/25 | 14/21 16/19 23/22 | ahead [6] 7/17 10/2 |
| 5/21 5/24 6/25 11/19 | 17 [1] | 5:36 [2] 1/7 69 | 36/16 59/6 | 25/23 31/19 54/17 |
| 12/8 12/10 12/25 13/3 | 18 |  | ad [1] | 65/19 |
| 13/11 22/14 26/1 27/7 |  | 6 | alorem | AIP [3] 11/1 11/8 3 |
| 30/22 31/10 31/13 |  |  |  | r [6] |
| 31/18 31/22 33/17 | 19th [1] 10/4 | 66 [1] |  |  |
| 34/22 34/24 48/4 49/2 | $\text { 1st [1] } 10 / 3$ | 66,000 [1] 17/16 | onal [3] 15/9 | orce [1] 53/25 |
| 55/11 55/25 |  | 69 [1] 2/12 | /12 50/25 | aircraft [29] 24/1 |
|  |  | 7 | address [5] 20/1 20/7 | 24/14 26/24 27/2 27 |
| [1] | 20 [1] |  | 25/16 35/2 69/9 | 8/11 29/15 29/16 |
|  | 20-plus [1] 19/17 | 7,103 [1] | addressed [4] 50/9 | 29/18 30/24 30/25 36/6 |
|  | 200 [2] 36/6 38/21 | $\text { 7,746[1] } 21$ | 53/17 53/18 69/10 | 36/9 36/12 36/22 36/24 |
|  | 2005 [6] 23/1 23/11 | 70 | addresses [2] 65/16 | 37/2 38/6 38/15 38/1 |
| 4/7 4/9 4/12 4/22 4/24 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 1423 / 4 \\ & 29 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 65/17 | 8/20 38/20 39/2 39/5 |
| 5/5 5/7 5/17 6/2 6/4 | [1] | 825-0570 [1] 1/24 | ate [3] 19/21 | 40/20 |
| 6/20 12/1 12/3 12/24 | 2020 [2] 16/18 21/17 |  | adjacent [1] 24 | d [2] 28/15 29 |
| 13/2 13/5 13/7 13/22 | 2021 [6] 1/6 3/23 3/25 |  | adjourn [1] 69/19 | rline [3] 7/17 23/21 |
| $19 / 3$ 25/22 25/24 26/2 $30 / 2131 / 3 ~ 31 / 11 ~ 31 / 14 ~$ | 10/1 16/19 70/10 | $9,739[1] 21 / 1$ | adjourned [1] 69/18 | $25 / 4$ |
| 30/21 31/3 31/11 31/14 | 2022 [1] 10/13 | $904 \text { [1] 1/24 }$ | ADJOURNMENT [1] | airplane [1] |
| $31 / 2031 / 2332 / 133 / 25$ | 2036 [1] 69/8 | A | 2/12 | airport [60] 1/1 1/17 |
| 63/9 64/17 66/20 66/22 | 22 |  | adjust [1] 17/18 | 9/16 10/21 19/16 20 |
| 69/18 |  | abatement [2] 36 | administer [1] 22/1 | 3/7 24/5 24/8 25/3 |
| MS. SAVIAK: [5] 9/7 | 24-hour [1] 57/24 | 52/21 | adopt [2] 27/18 28/19 | 5/7 25/9 25/12 25/1 |
| 11/6 11/13 11/16 11/21 | 24-hour [1] 57/24 | ability [1] | adopted [1] 27/11 | 5/18 26/12 27/25 28/2 |
| \$ | 25 [1] 16/25 |  | doption [2] 23/6 23/7 | 29/10 29/11 29/18 |
| \$1 [2] 41/14 41/18 | 275,000 [1] 16/16 | about [45] 4/5 4/21 | advance [1] 67/10 | 29/19 29/20 30/13 |

## A <br> airport... [32] 32/17 <br> 36/2 36/15 37/12 40/3 <br> 41/22 47/6 49/18 49/21 <br> 49/24 50/1 51/10 51/11 <br> 52/10 54/5 54/9 58/16 <br> 60/20 61/22 61/24
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23/7 25/9 64/12 64/15
Airport Authority's [1] 61/22
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Albatross [1] 36/11
Albin [3] 21/11 21/12 22/5
aligned [2] 52/10 53/1
all [74]
all right [16] $3 / 23 / 6$
3/12 3/24 12/9 18/21
19/7 19/11 22/8 32/19 34/15 48/20 55/9 56/1 64/20 67/24
ALLEGIANCE [3] 2/3 3/3 3/5
allocations [1] 9/13
allow [1] 47/6
allowing [1] 35/6
alluded [1] 58/12
almost [2] 24/24 41/22
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approach [1] 52/23
approached [2] 22/15 43/1
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bill [3] 9/11 14/18 15/9
billion [5] 9/12 9/22
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| doing [4] $4 / 1 \quad 12 / 23$ |
| $55 / 1357 / 18$ |
| dollar [1] $9 / 11$ |
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| $4 / 194 / 194 / 205 / 12$ |
| $5 / 155 / 2114 / 2215 / 5$ |
| $15 / 1618 / 2320 / 20$ | 27/17 28/23 30/17 33/3 37/9 38/17 40/11 41/6 42/13 42/16 46/24 47/24 50/8 51/23 55/22 57/9 58/17 59/17 62/15 64/7 65/17 65/18 done [10] 8/25 9/2 26/6 26/11 29/4 31/5 41/23 57/6 63/14 68/22

DOT [4] 23/8 28/5
52/10 53/2
Doug [10] 27/14 48/5 48/12 49/4 49/8 51/24 64/23 65/24 66/8 68/18
DOUGLAS [1] 1/16 down [8] 28/2 38/3 41/17 41/18 46/5 46/11 51/2 63/24
downgrading [1] 54/7 draft [1] 52/17
drafted [4] 43/25 44/3 48/8 48/9
draw [1] 60/24
drive [1] 30/8
driven [1] 48/10
Duke's [1] 36/11
dump [1] 9/4
during [2] 7/7 12/17
Duval [1] 8/1
Duval Asphalt [1] 8/1

E-200 [1] 38/21
e-mail [5] 19/23 19/24 44/7 47/7 66/4
each [5] 17/14 30/6 42/12 42/13 51/24 early [2] 7/10 17/22 earmarked [1] 15/14 ears [1] 57/13
easier [1] 67/8
east [1] 25/5
Echo [1] 8/9
economic [2] 49/21 49/23
Ed [7] 4/25 5/20 22/12 48/3 60/14 64/23 68/15 EDC [2] 67/21 67/22 edict [1] 47/7
educate [4] 36/1 36/13 37/5 38/15
educated [1] 57/21

EDWARD [1] 1/18 $\quad$ event [3] 19/17 20/2 effect [2] 26/22 69/16 effectively [3] 12/14 25/8 62/12
effects [1] 40/14
efficient [4] 54/4 54/21 58/24 62/20
efficiently [1] 59/8
eggs [1] 54/22
either [3] 27/5 32/24 38/12
elected [3] 39/15 63/12
66/11
elections [2] 17/23 17/24
eligible [1] 33/24
else [5] 19/22 54/18 62/24 65/10 66/3
emergency [1] 40/3
emphasis [1] 33/18
emphasize [1] 58/13
enact [1] 66/1
enacting [1] 66/4
encourage [1] 52/2
end [6] 8/13 37/22
42/25 52/23 52/23
52/23
ended [1] 4/14
endorse [1] 40/16
enforce [1] 66/4
enforcement [1] 22/18
engine [1] 36/12
England [1] 54/1
enough [1] 20/22
enter [3] 16/19 45/18
59/17
enterprise [3] 10/22 61/12 61/16
Enterprise Florida [1] 10/22
entire [1] 39/7
entities [1] $58 / 19$
entity [3] 39/18 58/20
59/6
entrance [1] 7/4
envision [1] 68/7
errors [1] 26/8
especially [2] 32/16
52/11
Esquire [1] 1/16
essential [1] 15/15
essentially [1] 45/18
established [1] 54/13
estimates [1] 9/12
estimating [1] 9/20
Estrella [1] 8/6
Estrella Avenue [1] 8/6
et [3] 36/19 37/4 44/15
et cetera [3] 36/19 37/4
44/15
evaluating [1] 51/6
even [12] 26/8 28/24
33/23 38/14 39/21 54/9
54/21 59/11 59/13
59/21 64/6 66/8

20/2
eventually [1] 23/6
ever [1] 43/23
every [3] 12/23 31/9
60/3
everybody [7] 19/2
19/13 19/17 35/8 58/22 60/7 63/16
everybody's [2] 20/25 57/4
everything [6] 8/12
19/22 24/6 29/15 53/15
63/22
evolve [1] 30/14
exactly [2] 27/22 48/22
example [3] 30/1 30/14
36/24
examples [1] 36/23
except [1] 52/22
excess [1] 12/15
excited [1] 49/20
excuse [2] 35/10 65/25
Executive [1] 1/18
exemptions [1] 10/21
exercise [1] 13/1
exist [1] 45/1
existing [1] 24/22
expand [1] 17/12
expense [3] 5/14 12/11
12/18
expense-related [1]
12/18
expenses [6] 4/14 4/15
5/2 5/3 12/21 13/4
experience [2] 59/5
60/15
explain [1] 47/10
explained [1] 25/4
extensive [1] 60/23
extent [1] 49/16
eye [1] 15/23
eyes [1] 57/13

## F

FAA [14] 9/15 23/9
28/5 29/23 33/23 36/14 37/10 38/18 39/6 52/11
53/2 53/8 60/17 60/18
FAA's [2] 30/11 30/14
fact [14] 24/6 24/15
27/17 38/7 38/10 38/13 39/20 40/9 41/20 42/18 43/24 44/20 44/25 58/25
facto [1] 28/4
factor [1] 56/18
facts [1] 42/23
failed [1] 26/18
faith [4] 26/14 26/16
39/19 45/20
Fall [1] 10/1
familiar [1] 23/16
far [3] 20/25 21/18
40/14
far-reaching [1] 40/14 favor [1] 13/20
FBO [14] 23/20 25/4
58/15 59/23 61/9 61/19 61/22 62/7 64/2 64/3
64/12 64/13 69/7 69/8
FBO's [1] 60/2
FBOs [3] 68/3 68/4 68/4
FDOT [2] 7/22 33/23
February [1] 18/2
federal [4] 9/8 9/14
30/13 40/19
federalize [1] 7/15
feel [5] 5/9 26/4 26/17
51/17 52/7
fella [1] 20/4
few [1] 17/17
fiduciary [2] 26/18 63/15
figure [2] 19/16 46/10
final [3] 14/18 17/20
50/21
finally [1] 29/8
financial [5] 2/4 3/23
3/25 4/6 33/24
financials [7] 4/10 4/18
4/20 6/6 12/7 13/9
13/15
find [9] 26/23 27/1 27/3
36/24 37/4 40/2 46/7
51/25 64/24
fine [1] 48/14
finish [2] 6/18 49/4
fire [1] 15/16
firing [1] 59/10
first [11] 14/20 25/21
27/7 27/7 28/18 33/12
36/4 44/8 59/20 65/12
66/2
fiscal [1] $9 / 23$
five [4] 16/6 16/6 16/7
46/14
fixed [1] 52/16
fixed-wing [1] 52/16
FL [2] 1/17 1/23
flawed [1] 37/22
flaws [1] 37/19
fleshing [2] 57/19
67/25
fleshing-out [1] 57/19
flight [6] 21/15 21/25
43/4 44/2 44/15 61/20
floor [1] 49/5
Florida [15] 1/5 9/13
9/17 10/8 10/11 10/22
10/23 11/1 23/8 27/18
28/5 40/7 52/10 53/2
70/3
Florida DOT [4] 23/8
28/5 52/10 53/2
Florida Statute [1]
27/18
flowing [1] 18/10
fluff [1] 38/1

| F | $28$ | gotten [3] 1 | $55$ | hour [1] 57/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fly [4] 24/18 38/22 | gentleman [2] 40/17 |  | 4 | hours [2] 40/10 42/19 |
| 44/15 47/7 |  |  |  |  |
| flying [1] 1 | gentlemen [1] 39/22 <br> geographic [2] 28/16 |  | $43 / 547 / 249 / 853 / 5$ 54/9 | 41/18 |
| focus [1] 64/21 | geographic [2] 28/16 29/12 | grandkids [1] 68/12 grant [6] 7/23 11/7 | he's [3] 42/3 42/21 | how [12] $11 / 511 / 11$ 22/24 22/24 25/14 |
| folks [1] 42/17 | geome | $12 / 17 \quad 12 / 2415 / 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { he's [3] } 42 / 342 / 21 \\ & 47 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 2422 / 2425 / 14 \\ & 30 / 1451 / 651 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $16 / 133 / 547 / 21$ | geometry [5] 28/15 | 37/11 | ad [2] 19/20 21/2 | 64/5 68/3 |
| followed [2] 15/8 16/9 | 20 | g | heads [ | h [2] 14 |
| following [2] 28/18 |  |  | hear [4] | man [1] |
| 47/19 | G | great [1] | 39/25 47/2 | undred [1] 1 |
| follows [1] 49/6 | gesture [1] |  | heard [3] | ied [1] 20 |
| food [1] 19/22 |  |  |  | hurry [1] 41/6 |
| Force [1] 53/25 |  | /19 |  |  |
|  | 44/17 45/13 48/12 49/9 | ground [1] 29/1 | hearings [1] 15/2 | I'd [10] 4/16 4/ |
|  | 52/7 52/22 53/19 57/1 | group [5] 1/16 30/24 | heart [1] 4/24 | 12/10 31/23 40 |
| I23 | 59/12 59/21 64/2 | 30/24 33/2 57/11 | held [2] 1/3 47 | 41/24 49/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a [1] } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ | ge | G | Hello [1] | [21] 5/24 |
| forth [2] 27/14 38/2 | 47/15 |  | help [5] | 19/ |
| forward [9] 22/21 | getting [8] |  | 6 | 8 35/23 |
| 22/25 27/24 28/20 51/7 | 27/14 27/15 27/16 | growth [2] 16/9 17/3 | Henry [3] 14/5 14/12 | 2/24 56/8 56 |
| 55/4 55/8 64/21 65/4 | 45/10 50/18 68/5 | Grumman [1] 7/6 | 8/20 | 65/13 67/7 67/20 68/19 |
| four [1] 25/2 | [ |  | here [63] |  |
| frankly [1] 24 | /1 42/3 51/4 5 | 49/10 49/14 67/6 69/4 | 14/5 19/16 20/5 20/11 | [43] 4/19 |
| free [3] 51/17 52/7 | /23 | guidance [4] 36/1 | 21/8 24/15 28/ | 15/4 18/17 18/23 21/8 22/1 24/23 25/16 |
| 60/19 | given [3] |  |  | 28/11 28/11 |
| Friday [3] 20/18 26/5 |  | 11 56/23 | 39/17 40/6 41/10 41/14 | $31 / 1831 / 243$ |
|  | glad [2] 18/17 42/3 | guidelines [1] 34/8 | 41/1 | 35/13 38/13 38/16 |
| frightening [1] | go [23] 6/17 10/25 12/6 | gut [1] 45/18 | 42/20 42/23 43/3 45 | 39/16 39/17 40/12 42 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { front [4] 4/19 4/20 5/ } \\ & 43 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | 14/3 18/23 19/20 21/6 | guys [4] 43/6 4 | 45/3 45/11 45/22 45/23 | 42/3 46/16 46/ |
|  | 22/20 25/23 31/19 | 50/10 | 46/3 46/5 46/20 46/21 | 51/23 53/6 53/24 54/7 |
| 40/22 58/17 58/18 | 53/20 |  | 46/24 47/19 48/16 50 | 57/20 58/3 60/21 61/3 |
| 58/21 61/20 61/23 62/7 | /21 56/2 58/4 |  | 50/11 50/16 51/3 51/13 | 62/3 62/17 65/9 66/5 |
| 3 68/4 68/5 | 65/12 65/19 68/25 69/1 | had [20] 5/13 16/3 | 51/14 52/3 52/5 52/20 | 1 67/25 |
| full [5] 10/16 27/19 | 69/4 | 21/15 21/16 21/19 | 54/9 55/12 55/16 56/24 | I've [12] 18/22 18/23 |
| $35 / 740 / 2257 / 19$ | goes [2] 54/9 69/8 | 2/23 23/13 23/25 | 56/25 57/5 57/21 58/16 | $20 / 102$ |
| fully [3] 27/11 27 | going [53] 4/4 6/8 6/2 | 24/10 26/4 37/5 | 1/2 61/9 66/12 67/2 | /21 27/5 37/7 37/20 |
| 28/24 | 10/18 15/20 15/24 17/9 | 42/13 45/7 46/6 49/6 | 68/11 | 6/22 60/15 60/17 |
| fund [1] 33/21 | 17/17 20/20 21/1 27/13 | 58/10 66/2 67/9 | [2] | 15/2 |
| funding [8] 9/17 | 27/24 29/5 30/6 30/25 | ha | hiding [2] 14/7 14/10 | ed [1] |
| 11/1 11/9 11/13 32/13 | 31/24 32/23 35/15 | hand [2] 45/22 45/2 | highlights [1] 18/3 | entify [1] 50/9 |
|  | 40/11 42/18 44/14 | handle [1] 68/5 | highly [2] 27/21 37/7 | imagine [1] 17/3 |
| $15$ | 44/14 44/15 45/16 | handled [1] 26/1 | him [7] 5/25 20/3 32/25 | immediate [1] 24 |
| further [12] 13/18 | 45/21 45/22 46/8 46/10 | hands [1] 60/2 | 44/3 46/7 47/3 51/17 | immigration [1] 18/10 |
| 29/6 31/25 50/22 50/25 | 46/21 48/21 48/24 | hangar [5] 30/7 30/10 | elf [1] $47 / 8$ | act [3] 21/1 59/1 |
| 50/22 | 48/25 49/15 51/7 51/18 | 31/1 36/5 38/10 | hired [1] 60/17 | 62/14 |
| 66/14 67/9 | 51/23 53/24 55/12 | hangars [2] 31/7 63/25 | hiring [1] 59/9 | acts [1] 68/4 |
|  | 55/20 58/20 58/21 | happen [3] 29/25 46/1 | his [6] 10/7 10/8 43/19 | port [1] 47/16 |
|  | 58/21 58/25 59/2 59/3 | 58/20 | 43/22 46/4 49/9 | portance [1] 32/1 |
| $52 / 2568 / 12$ | 59/22 60/12 63/18 64/5 | happe | ry [4] 36/3 36/8 | important [2] 32/10 |
|  |  |  | 54/7 54/8 |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |
| GA [6] | 2 | [2] | Id [2] | 26/15 57/10 |
| 23/20 24/15 25/6 29/21 | good [21] 9/2 9/7 | has [20] 10/1 | hole [3] 7/25 8/22 9/1 | rove [1] |
| gave [4] 7/15 19/23 | 9/24 19/1 19/20 26/14 | 16/8 18/14 23/16 23/19 | home [1] 60/20 | provement [2] 9/16 |
| 43/25 66/22 | 26/16 35/5 39/19 41/10 | 24/8 30/2 31/11 32/1 | homes [3] 41/17 50/2 | 56/23 |
| ear [1] 52/14 | 42/2 45/7 45/20 53/5 | 34/2 38/12 41/14 46/6 | 50/3 | nappropriate [1] |
| general [17] 2/10 9/22 | 57/7 57/24 59/6 61/8 | 3/6 58/1 65/8 | daJets [2] 44/ | 4/13 |
| 24/9 25/13 25/14 26/23 | 68/14 69/1 | ] | 44/14 | lude |
| 28/6 28/6 38/15 40/17 | got [14] 7/25 8/21 8/21 | hasn't [1] 18/13 | pe [2] 57/12 63/1 | 25/7 |
| 50/16 52/9 61/10 65/5 | 13/7 14/4 15/19 18/22 | hastily [2] 26/19 5 | hoping [1] 67/11 | cluded [3] 33/ |
| 65/20 66/19 66/20 generally [3] 24/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 / 2337 / 2039 / 151 / 3 \\ & 52 / 1754 / 958 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | have [111] <br> having [6] 32/6 54/3 | horizon [2] 68/9 69/9 horse [1] 33/13 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 / 2141 / 12 \\ & \text { including [5] } 22 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |

## 1

including... [4] 32/13 47/20 61/24 62/7
inclus [1] 22/19 income [4] 12/12 12/14 12/20 12/21
inconsistent [1] 64/10 incorporated [1] 29/20 incorrect [2] 39/11 54/11
increase [8] 9/22 12/11 12/13 15/3 15/4 16/10 16/12 17/11
increasing [2] 12/22 62/21
indication [1] 18/10 individuals [1] 26/21 industry [1] 53/9 inform [1] 7/24 information [4] 7/6 16/17 18/15 64/24
informed [1] 61/2 infrastructure [1] 9/11 input [7] 15/21 29/7 29/7 42/3 47/15 47/16 57/9
insert [1] 28/12
insufficient [2] 26/4 64/8
integrity [1] 24/2
intended [1] 62/5
intent [2] 7/15 54/15 interest [2] 20/15 55/18
interested [2] 47/13 67/25
interesting [2] 14/19 17/2
interests [1] 33/16
interim [2] 10/1 51/5
intersected [1] 30/6
investigations [1] 60/19
invited [1] 19/17 Invocation [3] 3/8 3/9 3/10
involved [1] 27/9
is [131]
isn't [3] 31/18 48/7 50/7
issue [8] 22/2 36/2 37/20 43/11 52/24 60/6 61/25 69/10
issued [1] 20/17
issues [9] 10/12 14/14 20/6 21/2 52/17 54/14 59/10 59/13 67/17
it [157]
it's [60] 3/6 7/23 10/15 12/11 12/18 12/19 12/21 12/22 13/5 16/18 17/1 17/17 17/22 17/22 21/1 25/8 25/11 25/11 26/6 29/5 32/8 32/10 33/7 33/7 33/7 33/12

33/18 33/22 34/20 35/7 35/17 35/18 36/7 36/8 36/11 37/25 39/11 40/18 40/24 41/1 44/2 45/15 49/18 54/16 55/14 56/1 57/10 58/21 59/5 59/25 60/6 63/10 64/5 64/10 66/11 66/24 67/1 68/6 69/2 69/8 item [10] 5/4 12/18 15/6 27/10 29/2 38/7 50/19 50/19 51/14 55/9 items [8] 2/8 10/14 20/9 20/22 20/24 22/12 22/15 67/11
iterations [1] 52/25 its [3] 9/21 10/12 42/11 itself [2] 27/10 27/10
jack [1] 58/21
JANET [3] 1/22 70/6 70/13
January [1] 18/1
jet [5] 24/14 27/4 36/5 39/21 43/5
jet-powered [1] 24/14
jets [7] 38/11 43/21 44/2 44/10 44/17 45/2 46/12
Jim [1] 35/4
job [1] 39/16
Joe [1] 36/11
JOHNS [4] 1/1 1/16
41/15 70/4
Julington [1] 17/6 Julington Creek [1] 17/6
JULY [5] 3/23 3/24
4/14 5/17 44/6
jump [1] 42/5
June [4] 4/13 5/17 43/1 43/20
just [43] 7/4 7/8 8/16 11/7 11/9 12/14 13/5 16/6 18/14 20/14 20/21 21/25 25/3 32/3 32/14 32/14 32/18 33/17 33/18 34/3 34/8 35/3 38/2 40/12 41/13 41/18 41/22 41/22 52/25 53/5 57/2 57/22 57/24 59/2 60/5 60/12 62/3 64/22 67/15 68/5 68/10 68/19 68/24
JUSTIN [5] 1/10 3/4 12/2 32/19 67/24 Justin's [1] 69/13

## K

keep [5] 5/24 11/10
15/23 35/1 40/17
key [1] 10/12
kicking [1] 61/11
kids [1] 68/11


## L

Labor [1] 39/24
Labor Day [1] 39/24
lacked [1] 24/17
landing [1] 52/14
language [18] 22/19
24/21 25/1 25/7 25/10
25/18 27/14 34/22
34/24 40/8 44/24 48/7
48/7 48/9 51/9 53/16
55/14 57/15
large [1] 14/10
largely [3] 23/17 23/19

## 24/20

largest [2] 16/10 36/10
last [18] 3/14 7/16 7/21
10/15 15/14 16/9 16/13
16/19 20/19 21/16
21/20 21/21 23/24 36/3
41/18 44/20 45/13
46/20
last-minute [1] 20/19
lastly [1] 16/1
late [3] 21/16 27/16
44/6
lateness [1] 27/12
later [3] 8/16 20/7 21/6
latest [1] 32/8
lathered [1] 57/4
Law [1] 1/16
lawmakers [1] 9/24
Lawson [3] 35/4 37/15
60/9
lawsuits [1] 42/17
lawyer [1] 42/22
layout [6] 28/3 28/8
29/11 29/21 40/8 54/3

Len [8] 19/7 19/11
37/15 37/16 58/9 63/18
65/8 65/12
lend [1] 27/10
length [1] 43/18
less [7] 17/25 21/15
35/23 38/19 40/9 56/15
57/23
let [11] 5/25 6/25 7/14
19/20 20/11 20/14 40/5
45/23 55/19 56/2 69/3
let's [6] 6/11 14/3
22/11 33/14 45/9 59/23
letter [2] 42/12 44/18
letting [1] 35/14
level [5] 9/19 22/23
33/23 33/23 50/12
life [1] 19/15
light [9] 23/20 25/6
26/23 27/2 38/6 38/15
38/20 39/2 40/17
like [52] 4/13 4/16 5/9
5/19 7/7 7/11 11/7 11/9
13/12 14/14 15/15
19/19 20/19 21/22
23/13 26/4 26/7 27/15
27/24 28/15 29/17 30/3
30/8 31/20 31/23 33/12
36/3 39/2 40/16 41/7
42/16 46/23 47/1 49/18
50/20 52/18 52/18 56/6
56/13 57/9 58/7 58/13
59/17 62/25 63/9 64/20
64/22 67/11 68/7 68/20
68/22 69/9
limit [3] 35/18 41/2
62/6
limits [1] 41/4
line [3] 5/4 12/12 44/24
Liotta [6] 42/11 42/24
43/22 46/18 46/19 61/6
list [1] 19/23
listen [3] 52/4 57/14 64/24
listened [1] 46/25
literally [1] 33/20
litigator [1] 42/21
little [17] $8 / 6$ 8/8 16/13
17/1 17/22 21/14 21/24
21/25 30/5 32/3 33/1
39/3 39/17 53/24 57/14
57/23 58/12
local [2] 10/6 17/24
logical [1] 54/3
long [3] 24/4 68/9
69/15
long-term [1] 68/9
longer [1] 62/8
look [22] 4/23 5/25
10/15 10/18 10/20
22/24 28/8 28/13 29/10
30/7 31/21 39/5 41/25 42/4 42/14 46/9 53/10
54/6 54/14 54/20 54/25 63/14
looked [4] 30/3 41/5
56/18 56/19
looking [6] 5/24 7/5
56/23 58/14 67/18 68/7
looks [3] 7/11 26/7
30/8
lot [11] 19/1 20/15
20/15 22/1 23/11 32/9
32/15 46/23 58/10 59/4 59/25
lots [1] 59/8
loud [2] 36/12 36/21
loudest [1] 36/10 lower [1] 37/2
ludicrous [1] 41/23
LUDLOW [1] 1/11
Ludwig [6] 39/13 43/24
45/6 56/8 56/11 56/12

## M

made [5] 20/10 44/7
53/4 58/2 68/24
MAGUIRE [2] 1/10
46/23
mail [5] 19/23 19/24
44/7 47/7 66/4
main [2] $1 / 1738 / 2$
maintenance [1] 42/25
major [1] 58/16
majority [1] 17/4
make [31] 7/19 12/10
19/13 19/21 19/24
20/23 30/9 32/10 32/18
37/7 37/9 46/2 47/16
47/18 52/5 54/25 55/3
55/24 56/19 58/3 58/22
59/1 61/1 62/19 62/25
63/4 63/5 63/9 66/10

## M

make... [2] 67/7 69/15
makes [5] 5/16 42/22
64/11 66/11 67/16
makeup [1] 16/2
making [2] 37/9 69/14
managed [1] 36/7
maneuver [1] 29/16
many [4] 28/1 30/16
36/23 40/6
March [1] 43/1
marked [2] 8/18 64/9
master [45] 23/1 23/3
23/5 23/6 23/8 23/23
24/25 25/12 25/18
26/24 27/6 27/25 28/2
29/10 30/19 30/23 31/4
31/6 31/8 31/9 31/16
32/4 32/7 32/8 33/15
33/22 34/22 34/24 36/2
37/25 38/1 38/4 38/6
38/9 38/12 39/9 40/3
40/8 43/11 44/23 51/10
53/6 53/17 64/11 64/13
master plan [39] 23/1
23/3 23/5 23/6 23/8 23/23 24/25 25/12 25/18 26/24 27/6 27/25 28/2 29/10 30/19 30/23 31/4 31/6 31/8 31/9 31/16 32/4 32/8 33/15 33/22 34/22 34/24 36/2 37/25 38/1 38/4 38/6 38/9 38/12 39/9 40/3 40/8 43/11 44/23
match [1] 12/17
materials [2] 67/9
67/14
Matt [3] 42/10 42/11 46/19
matter [3] 29/3 29/5 29/19
maximum [1] 38/19
may [7] 15/8 25/24
50/21 54/12 54/21
54/21 68/20
maybe [5] 14/23 45/8
50/22 52/22 57/14
McKendrick [1] 21/7
McLaughlin [5] 42/8 42/10 43/8 46/13 56/6 me [31] $4 / 194 / 205 / 12$ 12/5 14/11 14/22 17/23 18/14 18/15 18/16 20/21 20/25 26/25 26/25 27/3 33/1 33/12 35/6 35/10 35/17 40/1 40/5 41/22 52/18 61/4 61/21 62/4 62/11 62/16 65/25 66/22
mean [8] 31/6 46/11 46/11 56/22 58/13 59/4 59/20 60/1
means [1] 40/22 meant [1] 27/8
measure [2] 26/20 27/19
media [1] 7/13
meet [1] 30/13 meeting [35] $1 / 21 / 3$ 2/4 3/11 3/12 3/13 3/14 3/17 5/13 6/16 6/17 6/19 14/17 15/15 16/3 20/18 27/11 29/3 31/9 46/20 48/16 48/23 49/2 49/3 49/6 49/8 50/23 66/25 67/9 67/12 67/21 67/23 69/18 69/20 69/21
meeting's [1] 6/18 meetings [4] 15/21 15/24 23/5 34/3
member [3] 2/11 66/16 66/18
members [11] 1/9 1/12
16/6 16/6 19/25 26/21 45/16 52/3 58/10 60/11 63/11
memorandum [2] 67/14 67/16
mention [1] 63/18 mentioned [2] 63/18 65/25
met [1] 26/21
method [1] 28/6
might [4] 26/22 57/9
64/10 67/17
mild [1] 33/1
millage [1] $15 / 3$
milling [1] $8 / 4$
million [8] 9/17 9/18
11/2 15/12 15/14 15/19 41/18 41/19
mind [4] 5/21 18/23
39/1 55/1
mine [1] $4 / 22$
minimum [10] $2 / 9$
55/10 55/15 56/7 56/13
60/13 61/18 62/9 62/18
68/1
minute [2] 20/19 48/16
minutes [12] 2/4 3/11
3/13 3/14 35/15 35/16
35/24 37/20 46/14
48/21 48/25 56/16
MIRGEAUX [3] 1/10
53/4 69/6
misleading [2] 16/13 37/25
missed [1] 20/5
mix [1] 59/14
mobilize [1] $8 / 22$
Modern [4] 42/11
43/25 44/8 44/17
Modern Aero [1] 42/11
Monday [4] 1/6 20/18 26/6 26/7
money [2] 15/10 37/12
monitoring [1] 10/17
month [16] 7/7 7/21

12/15 12/23 21/16
22/10 36/3 41/18 44/20
50/20 51/2 51/2 55/8
55/19 55/21 65/4
months [4] 8/23 15/23
44/4 44/13
more [27] 8/20 15/10
15/10 16/14 20/7 21/1
23/12 24/24 26/9 31/21
32/5 32/15 33/18 34/8
35/10 40/23 50/15
53/22 55/20 57/6 57/16
57/22 62/16 64/24
67/16 68/1 68/9
morning [2] 41/15 42/12
most [3] 17/4 38/3
61/14
motion [4] 13/12 13/14
55/3 63/5
mouth [1] 54/24
move [4] 28/20 29/5
55/8 65/3
moving [1] 7/16
Mr [1] 63/9
Mr. [29] 4/9 19/4 21/7
22/8 25/24 37/15 39/13
42/8 42/24 43/8 43/22
43/24 45/6 45/6 46/13
46/18 48/9 53/4 56/6
56/8 56/11 56/12 58/5
60/9 60/14 60/25 61/6
67/5 69/6
Mr. Beyers [1] 19/4
Mr. Burnett [4] 22/8
45/6 60/14 60/25
Mr. Chairman [2] 4/9 25/24
Mr. Lawson [2] 37/15 60/9
Mr. Liotta [4] 42/24
43/22 46/18 61/6
Mr. Ludwig [6] 39/13 43/24 45/6 56/8 56/11 56/12
Mr. McKendrick [1]
21/7
Mr. McLaughlin [4]
42/8 43/8 46/13 56/6
Mr. Mirgeaux [2] 53/4
69/6
Mr. Olson [1] 67/5
Mr. Tucker [2] 48/9
58/5
Ms. [2] 21/11 22/5
Ms. Albin [2] 21/11 22/5
much [16] 11/11 18/25
22/7 30/12 37/13 39/12
42/7 44/10 50/15 57/6
61/5 61/15 64/5 66/13
67/16 68/10
my [27] 10/23 22/17
26/11 27/8 38/10 40/24
40/25 42/3 42/10 46/4

49/15 51/8 53/23 56/10 Nocatee [1] 17/8
57/10 57/20 59/5 59/24 noise [3] 36/21 46/11 60/12 60/19 60/21 52/21
61/10 64/9 64/17 67/18 67/22 70/9
myself [2] 33/25 61/24
N
name [1] 39/8
name's [1] 42/10
names [1] 65/16
natural [1] 23/14
nature [3] 23/17 30/10 31/2
nebulously [1] 44/22
necessary [1] 54/4
need [18] 26/9 28/19
28/23 32/17 41/8 41/9
51/19 51/21 52/10
52/13 54/11 54/18
60/22 61/1 65/16 65/17 65/18 65/22
needed [3] 50/13 50/14 66/1
needs [7] 39/10 40/13
41/7 45/25 50/9 53/22
56/18
negot [1] 28/20 negotiate [2] 39/18 40/25
negotiated [1] 39/20
negotiating [2] 44/12 45/19
negotiation [1] 24/11
negotiations [2] 26/12 28/21
net [4] 12/12 12/13
12/20 12/21
never [2] 59/5 59/7
new [4] 11/3 49/20
60/4 62/8
news [4] 9/2 9/14 9/19 9/24
next [16] 7/3 7/10 7/15 17/24 29/3 39/12 50/19 50/20 51/1 51/22 55/8 55/9 55/20 61/6 65/4 67/21
nice [4] 19/3 20/2 20/3 20/3
nilly [1] 33/4
no [39] 3/15 3/16 3/20 3/21 6/14 11/6 12/1
12/3 12/4 15/3 18/14
18/18 19/9 29/3 29/4
31/18 32/1 33/10 37/18
41/4 43/20 43/21 43/23
45/2 45/16 48/4 52/8
55/5 62/8 62/12 62/23
63/21 63/25 64/11
65/13 66/20 68/15
68/17 68/19
nobody [1] 44/4
nobody's [2] 44/23
64/5
noncompliance [1] 39/9
noncompliant [1] 38/3
none [13] 6/7 13/10
13/19 18/19 19/6 22/6
34/17 48/1 63/1 63/2
64/19 65/2 66/15
normal [2] 14/16 40/10
normally [1] 18/22
north [2] 45/9 52/23
northeast [1] 23/16 northwest [3] 17/5
17/7 17/16
not [74]
noted [2] 31/3 34/2
notes [1] 70/9
nothing [5] 12/5 21/9
22/9 36/19 38/13
notice [8] 7/15 8/21
42/17 42/19 42/20
50/18 53/19 57/4
November [3] 10/3 10/4 10/5

## November 15th [1]

10/4
November 1st [1] 10/3
November 29 [1] 10/5
now [25] 16/15 16/16
16/18 17/15 20/21
21/23 24/23 29/14 30/7
30/11 34/18 41/14 45/9
46/14 49/7 49/25 51/22
51/23 58/19 65/6 65/20
68/8 68/8 68/8 68/11
number [6] 16/15
22/14 26/4 54/6 55/16
62/6
numbers [2] 16/15 21/23
numerous [2] 20/7
43/18
(bjected [1] 44/18
obligation [1] 57/18
obtain [1] 62/6
obvious [1] 49/16
obviously [5] 27/5
50/14 51/1 52/16 67/10
occasions [1] 43/18
occur [3] 7/3 8/15
20/20
occurred [1] 23/13
October [9] 7/7 10/2
10/3 11/22 16/20 19/14 19/18 29/3 29/5
October 11th [1] 10/2
October 18th [1] 10/3
October 2nd [2] 19/14
19/18
off [8] 3/3 14/5 22/1
35/3 37/24 45/4 57/11

| 0 |
| :---: |
| off... [1] 66/18 |
| offer [4] 57/20 60/12 |
| 60/23 63/20 |
| offering [1] 60/21 |
| office [2] 22/17 43/19 |
| officially [1] 10/7 |
| officials [1] 39/15 |
| often [1] 49/23 |
| oh [5] 5/6 5/18 11/15 |
| 13/2 45/16 |
| okay [80] |
| Oklahoma [1] 38/24 |
| OLSON [2] 1/11 67/5 |
| one [36] 5/14 6/17 |
| 11/16 15/16 15/16 |
| 17/12 18/7 18/14 26/4 |
| 29/4 34/16 35/10 36/7 |
| 37/19 37/22 38/11 |
| 41/18 45/15 45/22 48/6 |
| 48/16 49/4 49/16 49/17 |
| 49/17 51/24 51/25 |
| 51/25 54/2 54/6 54/23 |
| 56/19 65/21 67/6 69/5 |
| 69/6 |

one-time [2] 5/14 15/16
only [9] 32/12 35/25 38/11 46/3 57/8 61/17 62/13 66/11 67/20 open [5] 7/2 43/2 48/25 57/13 63/3
operate [3] 59/2 59/3 59/7
operated [2] 36/9 64/12
operates [1] 62/19 operating [11] 2/9 13/3 24/23 55/10 55/15 60/13 60/15 61/18 62/9 62/18 68/2
operation [2] 54/21 62/7
operational [3] 7/2 8/15 9/5
operations [11] 21/16 21/19 21/20 21/22 23/18 52/16 52/16 54/4 56/7 60/16 62/13 operator [1] 60/17 opportunities [1] 29/6 opportunity [4] 7/13 47/9 57/3 66/23
opposed [3] 13/24 13/25 21/17
Opposing [1] 10/19 options [1] 29/9 order [5] 3/2 28/18 28/19 30/12 33/23 other [21] 6/5 10/24 11/24 13/8 20/6 24/7 30/6 34/16 36/9 37/23 42/4 43/11 45/24 47/24 49/8 53/1 53/1 57/20 62/9 67/18 67/20
otherwise [3] 8/23 31/7 39/10
Otter [1] 38/22
ought [1] 59/20
our [38] 4/14 4/14 6/15 9/8 9/24 10/6 11/11 12/13 12/14 12/17 14/16 14/17 15/14 16/3 21/3 24/21 25/7 26/13 26/15 26/18 32/12 32/13 32/16 34/3 39/23 42/14 45/21 45/23 53/6 54/15 57/16 62/7 63/14 63/16 68/4 68/11 68/11 69/8
ourselves [1] 51/7 out [31] $8 / 38 / 238 / 24$ 15/23 18/1 18/24 21/4 22/17 27/12 29/5 30/8 36/10 43/3 43/24 45/23 46/4 46/6 46/7 46/10 50/11 50/24 51/25 52/3 57/19 59/4 59/11 59/22 61/11 63/14 64/24 67/25
outline [2] 10/12 42/14 outrageous [1] 41/23 over [29] 6/18 7/3 8/10 8/21 9/23 12/21 16/12 20/8 22/24 23/19 24/12 27/6 38/8 38/10 38/23 41/17 45/2 49/8 49/19 53/20 58/15 59/3 59/22 60/3 61/12 61/16 63/20 64/15 68/9
overlay [1] 8/4 overview [1] 51/8 owe [1] 21/3 own [2] 42/11 59/5 owner [2] 60/4 60/16
p.m [3] 1/7 1/7 69/21

Pacific [1] 1/23
page [2] 2/2 44/24
pages [1] 64/9
painting [1] 8/15
paragraph [4] 38/3 39/7 39/9 44/24
Pardon [2] 34/23 56/9
part [8] 22/2 23/4 23/23
35/25 40/19 48/8 51/8 69/5
Partially [1] 21/25
participation [1] 5/15 particular [2] 26/13 36/2
particularly [2] 58/14 59/18
partner [3] 2/7 14/2 33/19
partners [4] 14/4 32/12
32/13 33/2
party [1] 33/16
pass [5] 21/9 26/20
40/11 65/9 65/13
passed [1] 15/9
passenger [1] 7/18
path [3] 33/3 33/6 46/5
Paul [1] 10/6
Paul Renner [1] 10/6
pause [4] 5/8 5/11 6/16 48/15
paved [1] 8/9
pavement [2] 30/9 31/1 pay [1] 62/15
PC [1] 36/7
PC-12 [1] 36/7
peer [2] 10/18 10/18 peers [1] 10/7 pending [1] 7/25 people [19] 18/1 20/8 20/15 33/11 34/18 38/16 40/22 41/9 41/25 42/4 42/19 55/23 56/24 56/24 57/20 58/16 59/10 61/14 68/10 per [2] 12/15 30/19 perceived [1] 49/17 percent [1] 16/12 percentage [1] 16/11 period [2] 8/10 50/18 personable [1] 20/4 personal [2] 21/2 59/5 personalities [1] 33/5 personally [1] 51/25 PFAS [1] 10/17 philosophy [1] 25/14 picture [1] 54/25 piece [1] 11/12 piggyback [1] 69/13 Pilatus [1] 36/7 Piper [2] 39/3 40/18 Piper Cub [2] 39/3 40/18
piston [2] 27/2 48/10 place [3] 32/14 32/14 62/1
places [1] 31/7
plan [60] 15/8 15/10 23/1 23/3 23/5 23/6 23/8 23/12 23/23 24/25 25/12 25/18 26/24 27/6 27/25 28/2 28/3 28/8 29/10 29/11 29/21 30/19 30/23 31/4 31/6 31/8 31/9 31/16 32/4 32/7 32/8 32/15 32/15 33/13 33/15 33/22 34/22 34/24 36/2 37/25 38/1 38/4 38/6 38/9 38/12 39/9 40/3 40/8 43/11 44/23 51/10 53/6 53/17 59/17 59/19 59/21 63/19 63/21 64/11 64/13
plane [1] 36/20
planes [3] 40/24 43/6 43/17
planning [2] 29/14

30/13
plans [1] 43/22
play [1] 69/17
please [3] 40/1 42/4 44/18
PLEDGE [3] 2/3 3/3
3/5
plus [3] 9/11 19/17 63/22
point [8] 7/5 7/8 7/11
7/16 8/18 25/12 45/8
53/5
pointed [1] 43/24
points [1] 14/13
policy [41] 2/9 2/9 2/9
22/13 22/13 22/18
24/20 24/22 25/7 25/8 25/19 27/23 28/12
28/20 34/20 35/1 43/21
44/5 44/9 44/21 45/17 45/17 45/25 47/3 47/4 47/5 47/7 47/7 47/14 47/17 50/7 50/10 50/11 53/19 55/10 55/14 66/2 66/4 66/11 68/21 69/2 policy-related [1]

## 55/14

Ponte [2] 17/7 17/16
Ponte Vedra [2] 17/7 17/16
population [2] 17/11
17/15
portion [1] $8 / 6$
position [4] 26/12
42/14 52/1 52/4
positive [1] 59/1
possible [1] 53/1
possibly [1] 7/9
postpone [2] 6/9 51/19
potential [4] 9/13
24/12 42/16 47/14
pounds [5] 38/19
40/21 40/23 41/1 41/2
power [1] 36/20
powered [1] 24/14
practical [1] 62/12
Premier [1] 42/24
preparation [1] 53/11
prepare [1] 67/8
PRESENT [2] 1/9 1/15
presented [2] 3/22
44/3
preserve [1] 24/2
pressure [1] 45/5
pretty [5] 9/2 17/22
49/19 49/19 58/1
prevent [2] 35/18
48/10
previous [2] 11/17 14/23
previously [1] 65/22
price [1] 58/24
prices [1] 58/21
primarily [4] 22/25
24/14 28/2 42/25
primary [3] 17/23
17/24 33/19
printed [1] 64/9
private [4] 43/4 59/12
61/12 61/16
probably [6] 16/21
18/15 47/1 49/18 53/14 67/7
problem [2] 33/10 37/18
problems [1] 37/21
procedures [1] 36/21
proceed [1] 22/11
proceedings [3] 5/8 5/11 70/8
process [14] 16/4 23/5
23/9 47/8 47/11 47/13 50/4 58/11 65/23 66/7 66/8 66/8 66/10 68/20
processes [1] 23/2
producing [1] 63/25
productive [1] 66/24
professional [1] 60/18
profit [2] 12/14 12/16
program [3] 9/16 10/17 11/9
project [2] 7/2 7/23
projects [4] 11/2 12/17
33/21 33/24
promoting [1] 15/4
propeller [1] 48/10
propeller-driven [1]
48/10
proper [2] 47/8 47/13
property [2] 23/15 24/7
proposed [3] 9/11 28/9
59/16
proposing [1] 55/17
propulsion [3] 36/24
37/5 39/5
prospective [1] 10/12 provide [5] 25/17
26/18 26/25 47/14 61/1
provided [1] 10/13
providing [1] 15/10
provision [2] 64/11 64/13
public [19] 2/10 7/12
23/5 29/7 41/9 47/24
55/23 57/2 57/8 57/18
59/24 62/24 64/25 65/5
65/7 65/10 65/11 66/14
68/23
published [1] 16/18
pull [2] 17/21 59/14
purchased [1] 42/24
purely [1] 33/4
purpose [1] 62/21
pushback [1] 24/16
pushed [1] 40/1
pushing [1] 64/21
put [7] 42/22 43/22
44/14 44/20 45/5 46/17
51/1
putting [2] 15/17 54/22

| Q |
| :---: |
| quadrant [1] 17/5 |
| qualify [1] 62/8 |
| quarter [2] 16/19 67/2 |
| $25 / 25 \text { 26/11 } 41 / 451 / 1$ |
| 59/12 |
| questions [12] 4/6 6/ |
| 13/8 14/14 18/4 18/6 |
| 18/18 22/4 25/17 25/20 |
| 26/2 34/16 |
| quick [1] 14/13 |
| quickly [7] 6/12 6/24 |
| 20/23 26/8 30/18 54/2 |
| 58/2 |
| quiet [3] 43/5 43/17 |
| 46/12 |
| quite [4] 19/16 20/3 |
| 33/22 49/22 |
| quote [1] 15/13 |
| quoted [1] 44/24 |

## R

radiuses [1] 30/5
raise [1] 43/21
raised [2] 43/23 48/8
ram [1] 20/20
rarely [1] 35/7
rate [1] 15/3
rather [1] 50/25
ratification [1] 29/2
ratifying [1] 29/8
rational [1] 54/3
re [2] 7/15 9/21
re-federalize [1] 7/15
reaching [1] 40/14
read [1] 64/8
reading [2] 12/12 55/12
ready [2] 12/6 58/3
real [2] 6/12 6/23
realistically [1] 16/21
realize [1] 12/14
really [12] $7 / 19$ 25/1
25/11 32/8 40/9 40/12
40/12 53/5 53/10 56/22
66/25 69/16
reason [1] 48/7
reasons [1] 44/6
REBA [5] 1/11 11/25
32/21 53/13 66/19
recall [2] 30/2 30/17
receive [2] 11/7 11/13
received [4] 9/18 11/8 11/16 18/9
recess [3] 48/23 48/24 49/6
recipient [1] 15/11
recognition [1] 32/11 recommend [1] 37/7 record [3] 42/23 46/17 70/9
recovery [1] 15/13 redistricting [2] 16/3 16/4
redline [1] 39/24 redlines [1] $45 / 12$ reduced [1] 22/17 redundancy [1] 35/18 redundant [1] 46/24 reference [7] 9/12 26/25 27/1 27/3 28/1 32/9 32/17
referenced [1] 27/5 referencing [1] 28/11 referred [1] 12/20 reflect [2] 9/21 30/11 reflective [1] 30/25 reflects [1] 16/15 reformulate [1] 57/15 regard [2] 27/23 61/8 regarding [3] 4/10 36/20 37/4
regardless [2] 25/12 27/11 regular [3] 1/2 3/17 14/16
Regulations [1] 40/20 regulatory [1] 37/10 related [7] 7/6 12/18 35/1 43/10 50/5 55/14 61/19
relates [2] 4/5 22/20
relation [1] 25/17 relayed [1] 20/25 remaining [1] 15/19
remarks [1] 10/24
remember [3] 16/23
30/5 63/11
remind [1] 19/13
remiss [1] 27/7
remnant [1] 8/9 remobilization [1] 7/17 Renner [1] 10/6 report [7] 2/4 3/23 3/25 11/8 17/21 22/9 70/7
REPORTER'S [2] 2/13 69/23
Reporters [1] 1/22
reports [6] 2/6 2/11 4/6 6/21 6/23 66/16
represent [3] 35/9 39/18 42/10
representative [1] 10/6
represented [2] 61/21
62/4
representing [2] 19/12 52/1
request [1] 19/8
require [1] 33/20
requirement [2] 30/12 45/2
rescue [3] 15/8 15/10 15/16
research [1] 37/8
residential [1] 52/22 resources [2] 59/9 60/24 respond [2] 18/5 19/20 response [2] 22/14

22/22
responsibility [2]
26/18 63/15
restricted [2] 27/1 36/25
restrictions [1] 52/12 result [3] 57/7 57/24 57/24
resumed [1] 49/6
return [5] 8/14 63/23
63/23 63/23 64/1
revenue [9] 9/20 9/22
12/16 63/23 63/23
63/24 63/25 64/1 64/3
revenues [3] 13/4 13/5
63/13
review [5] 10/14 26/5
26/9 50/21 57/3
reviewed [2] 21/5
23/23
reviewing [1] 45/14
revisions [1] 51/6
right [32] $3 / 23 / 63 / 12$ 3/24 5/3 6/19 12/9 13/12 14/3 17/1 17/15 18/21 19/7 19/11 20/21 22/8 30/21 31/10 32/19 34/15 35/2 37/20 42/8 48/20 49/25 51/22 51/23 55/9 56/1 57/18 64/20 67/24
RMR [3] 1/22 70/6 70/13
road [3] 7/4 8/7 51/2
ROBERT [1] $1 / 11$
Robert's [1] 4/12
role [1] 23/10
rolling [1] 51/4
room [2] 1/3 57/21
rotary [1] 36/12
rotorcraft [1] 52/15
round [5] 9/16 9/17
11/9 11/14 11/23
rounds [1] 11/17
row [1] 38/10
RPR [3] 1/22 70/6
70/13
RPR-CP [2] 70/6 70/13
rug [1] 46/6
rule [4] 31/5 31/5 31/6
31/9
rules [3] 4/12 47/19 47/21
rumor [1] 59/22
run [1] 64/6
runways [1] 36/18
rush [2] 41/7 46/3
S
SAAPA [4] 19/8 19/12
26/21 58/11
safe [1] 63/13
safeguard [2] 63/12
63/13
safely [1] 62/19
safety [1] 36/15 said [17] 13/25 21/22 27/21 34/4 36/1 44/4 46/25 52/5 53/5 53/15 55/2 56/5 61/17 65/6 66/8 69/7 69/17
sales [3] 68/3 68/4 68/5
same [6] 16/4 33/3 33/5 55/13 58/19 61/11 satisfy [1] 54/13
Saturday [3] 8/14 19/14 19/18
saw [1] 12/13
say [22] 5/5 18/13
23/12 23/14 32/14
35/15 35/21 35/22 37/1
37/13 42/8 45/22 52/25
56/13 56/17 59/2 61/10
64/10 67/20 68/19
68/24 69/7
saying [6] 27/8 31/14 31/15 31/18 44/18 62/3 says [6] 40/17 44/1 44/13 44/16 45/2 69/2 schedule [2] $8 / 19 / 1$ scheduled [1] 10/2 school [3] 16/5 16/7 21/15
schools [1] 21/25
scratching [1] 45/21
screening [1] 7/18
se [1] 30/19
Sea [1] 1/17
sealed [1] 34/9
seats [2] 16/2 38/21
seats/districts [1] 16/2
second [7] 13/16 13/17
13/17 16/3 16/10 53/25 64/13
sections [2] 52/9 55/16 sector [1] 59/12
see [19] 4/22 14/11
14/13 17/9 17/18 19/1 19/3 21/24 28/9 29/11
31/20 31/23 35/8 47/12
49/23 49/24 59/23
61/14 67/20
Seeing [1] 63/2
seek [1] 37/8
seem [3] 52/18 57/10 68/20
seems [1] 20/21
seen [3] 9/14 43/6 59/7
selected [2] 10/7 11/3
self [2] $8 / 658 / 18$
self-fuel [1] 8/6
self-serve [1] 58/18
sell [2] $41 / 1758 / 25$
send [1] 42/16
sendoff [1] 20/3
sense [3] 28/24 42/22
46/2
sent [7] 39/20 39/23
42/11 44/7 44/18 45/12

66/3
separate [2] 49/2 49/3
separations [1] 31/1
September [4] 1/6
21/18 21/19 70/10
Serenata [1] 19/18
seriously [2] 33/22 63/16
serve [2] 10/7 58/18 served [1] 32/6
service [4] 7/12 39/15 58/14 58/23
services [2] 26/19 60/21
session [2] 10/10 20/12
set [3] 50/10 50/11 68/21
several [5] 8/23 15/20
15/23 26/20 60/15
share [1] 16/4
sheets [2] 12/20 62/24
shoot [1] 17/13
short [2] 42/17 51/8
should [19] 12/23 20/1 23/12 31/3 32/5 32/22 36/15 41/12 46/9 50/20
51/7 51/13 53/17 53/18 63/8 64/4 67/3 68/22 69/14
shouldn't [3] 8/18 25/1 62/20
show [4] 26/24 26/24 27/3 46/12
shown [1] 58/1
shrink [2] 17/10 41/21
side [6] 43/22 45/9
45/11 54/23 54/23
54/24
sideline [1] 41/13
sides [1] 54/20
Signature [1] 60/3
significant [1] 40/7
similar [1] 10/15
simple [1] 36/16
simply [1] $12 / 19$
since [7] 22/25 23/22
23/22 24/24 29/22
30/17 64/11
single [2] 27/2 36/18 sir [4] 43/14 43/16
48/17 48/19
sitting [1] 41/21
size [4] 17/10 17/12
29/15 29/17
sleepy [1] 17/1
slight [3] 29/22 29/22 29/24
slowdown [1] 21/24
smaller [1] 37/1
Snodgrass [1] 19/15
so [109]
sold [1] 41/19
solely [2] 28/10 51/9
solidify [1] 24/21

\section*{| S |
| :--- |
| some [26] $7 / 58 / 16$ |
| $9 / 1920 / 10 ~ 21 / 2 ~$ | 9/19 20/10 21/2 22/16 26/2 28/24 29/24 30/1 37/8 38/16 40/13 41/8 41/9 43/3 43/4 43/5 45/7 45/8 47/4 57/15 60/4 61/7 67/13 68/23 <br> somebody [3] 20/4 33/13 63/20 <br> someone [3] 62/16 63/4 69/2 <br> something [17] 28/19} 32/11 32/16 33/2 33/11 39/2 39/3 46/2 49/22

50/8 52/19 53/9 54/17 56/13 59/14 61/13 68/25
sometime [1] 39/16 sometimes [1] 11/22 sorely [1] 20/4
sorry [5] 4/19 24/24
28/21 34/5 46/16
sort [13] 15/2 16/1 22/22 23/14 24/9 28/3 28/4 41/8 42/23 44/22 51/11 60/4 67/13
sought [1] 66/4 south [10] 23/18 24/15 25/6 36/4 36/10 43/22 45/11 48/11 50/2 50/6 speak [5] 34/19 35/6 35/14 35/15 63/7
speaker [2] 10/8 10/9
speakers [1] 34/25
speaking [2] 28/10 35/9
specific [11] 11/6 27/25 28/16 29/17 29/21 30/16 30/16 33/16 34/21 52/6 52/8
specifically [3] 4/21 37/11 68/3
specifics [2] 20/7 21/5
spend [1] 55/20
spinoff [1] 17/6
spite [1] 68/19
spoke [1] 36/3
sponsorship [1] 5/15
spot [1] 17/14
Sprowls [1] 10/9
squarely [1] 28/16
ST [8] $1 / 1$ 1/1 $1 / 5$ 1/16
1/17 1/22 1/23 70/4
St. [3] 21/12 41/15
60/20
St. Augustine [2]
21/12 60/20
St. Johns County [1] 41/15
staff [13] 2/6 4/10 6/21 6/22 18/16 22/23 25/24 39/19 49/19 50/1 57/9 57/9 57/13
stakeholders [1] 68/12
stand [4] 3/22 28/21 42/20 67/3
standards [12] 24/6 29/24 30/11 30/13 55/10 55/16 56/14 60/14 61/18 62/9 62/18 68/2
standpoint [1] 27/18
start [8] 3/3 9/8 27/8 35/3 50/25 51/6 59/21 66/18
started [1] 49/14 starting [6] 8/10 10/2 16/20 21/14 21/22 21/23
starts [1] 37/24
state [10] 9/13 9/19 9/20 9/22 10/6 10/17 16/11 17/24 49/16 70/3
statement [2] 37/25 44/19
statements [1] 44/19
states [1] 15/11
status [3] 8/15 61/20 61/23
Statute [1] 27/18
stay [1] 18/22
stays [1] 8/13
Stds [1] 2/9
stenographic [1] 70/9 stenographically [1] 70/7
step [1] 18/24
stick [1] 52/13
still [1] 45/14
stone [1] 34/10
Street [2] 1/17 1/23
strength [2] 36/17 37/2
stricken [1] 39/10
strictly [1] 13/3
strong [3] 10/22 32/11 35/13
stronger [1] 32/6
studied [2] 40/13 57/7
study [2] 42/1 57/1
studying [1] 5/9
stuff [4] 30/1 57/3
57/22 57/24
subject [3] 29/1 57/19 62/2
submitted [1] 25/10
substantial [1] 56/17
succeed [1] 60/20
such [2] 14/9 61/24
sudden [3] 40/2 44/16
45/25
suggest [2] 41/6 41/24
suggested [3] 16/16
40/4 46/24
suggesting [1] 55/17
suggestion [7] 31/4
31/11 32/6 32/25 34/5 34/6 57/17
suggestions [6] 15/22
15/25 31/15 33/18 34/9

34/14
Suite [1] 1/23
summarize [2] 47/1 47/2
supplies [1] 19/22 support [4] 10/16 10/22 63/13 66/25
supported [2] 13/25 14/1
supporting [1] 54/7
supposed [1] 26/6
sure [21] 6/25 19/13
19/21 19/24 20/23 22/2
31/13 35/12 37/9 38/14
38/16 39/16 42/4 47/19
53/6 55/25 56/4 57/20
62/19 66/10 66/21
surprise [1] $25 / 2$
surprising [1] 17/23
surprisingly [1] 16/8
surrounding [1] 18/11
suspense [2] 27/9 27/19
SUZANNE [1] 1/13 sweet [1] 17/13
swept [1] 46/6
sync [2] 49/9 49/11

## T

T-hangar [2] 30/7
30/10
table [2] 32/22 68/11
tabled [1] 31/23
take [15] 6/16 8/25
10/18 15/21 17/17
42/14 42/20 45/24
47/15 48/21 59/3 59/22
63/15 63/20 67/2
taken [4] 33/22 45/4
63/24 64/4
takeoff [3] 38/19 40/21 40/25
takes [2] 37/12 64/15
taking [4] 50/25 58/15 61/12 61/16
talk [13] 4/4 6/11 6/23
35/25 49/22 51/24 52/3
54/20 56/3 56/6 63/22
64/23 64/25
talked [5] 18/14 20/8
36/17 43/17 45/6
talking [7] 4/21 14/21
30/23 34/19 34/20
41/14 51/9
Tammy [1] 21/12
tandem [1] 36/19
tax [3] 10/21 61/25
62/16
taxes [5] 9/23 10/20
62/2 62/14 62/21
taxiway [7] 7/1 7/23
8/7 29/12 29/16 30/3
41/3
Taxiway Delta [1] 30/3
taxiways [4] 8/17 30/6

36/17 37/3
taxpayers [1] 9/25
tea [1] 55/12
tell [5] 16/21 37/21
40/5 50/17 62/2
telling [1] 40/12
tells [1] 45/16
tenant [1] 26/13
tenants [8] 21/3 36/4 61/23 62/1 62/6 62/10 62/14 62/21
tend [1] 58/1
term [8] 10/8 24/4
26/23 29/13 38/5 45/10 68/9 69/15
terminal [4] 7/4 7/18 23/21 25/4
terminology [2] 53/7 53/8
terms [6] 28/1 30/16
30/17 33/14 52/9 52/15
test [1] 5/10
testify [1] 60/18
than [16] 10/24 16/15
17/25 24/24 32/6 33/18
34/8 35/23 40/9 40/23
47/2 50/25 56/15 57/16
57/22 57/23
thank [35] 3/10 6/4
11/20 11/23 12/3 13/7
13/11 18/20 18/25 21/6
21/10 22/7 35/6 35/24
37/13 37/14 39/12
39/14 39/14 42/5 42/7
42/9 46/15 46/17 47/21
47/23 57/25 60/8 60/10
60/10 61/4 61/5 65/14
66/12 66/13
thankless [1] 39/16
thanks [3] 19/1 30/14 62/22
that [309]
that's [54] 5/7 9/2 9/24
12/21 14/22 15/2 16/13 18/3 18/13 20/19 23/22 25/19 29/14 30/9 30/13 32/18 37/5 37/12 37/25 38/5 38/7 38/20 39/3
39/6 39/18 46/3 47/18
48/8 48/14 49/20 51/8
51/8 52/19 54/14 58/15
58/17 58/25 59/24 60/6
61/3 61/13 61/17 61/18
62/3 63/14 64/17 66/2
66/7 66/7 67/2 67/3
67/18 69/5 69/16
their [11] 9/1 17/18
17/20 21/2 23/10 23/10
39/6 40/25 52/4 55/24
57/13
them [12] 4/23 5/24
13/13 30/17 30/18 35/3
38/4 43/6 52/3 59/7
64/25 68/6
themselves [1] 61/12
then [23] 4/5 6/17
10/22 14/13 14/17 16/1
17/7 22/11 34/7 39/9
39/22 40/2 44/6 45/4
45/15 46/6 47/15 49/4 52/13 54/17 54/18 56/2 68/4
there [44] 5/4 14/13
15/5 18/4 18/13 19/14 19/19 20/15 21/4 26/8 26/9 27/15 30/8 31/12 34/7 36/8 36/23 38/5 38/6 38/10 38/16 41/16 43/21 44/10 44/14 45/7 50/24 51/10 55/16 55/21 57/11 58/18 59/4 59/22 60/1 61/19 62/11 62/13 62/19 62/24 64/12 67/22 69/4 69/7
there'll [1] 17/25
there's [21] 9/19 13/17 19/21 22/1 37/18 38/5 38/7 41/4 45/1 46/2 47/8 47/11 49/20 53/16 59/4 59/25 60/4 61/7 61/19 63/25 67/13
these [12] 4/24 20/22
26/14 27/23 34/13
40/14 46/12 50/2 56/22
60/22 62/8 67/14
they [30] 8/1 8/2 8/12
10/15 10/16 11/21
15/18 19/25 20/1 20/25
28/21 30/22 31/6 33/21
34/7 39/20 45/6 47/14
51/13 57/10 57/14 58/1 59/8 59/9 59/10 62/20
63/7 66/1 66/2 66/3
they'Il [3] 3/22 8/13 11/22
they're [19] 5/3 5/3
7/22 8/22 10/18 17/13 18/2 20/24 30/18 30/18 30/19 34/8 34/9 40/11 43/6 45/16 45/16 47/12 47/21
they've [1] 52/5
thing [12] 14/19 24/19 35/10 36/13 46/3 46/11 54/6 55/13 57/18 59/20 67/20 69/6
things [17] 15/15 15/18 22/16 22/20 22/25
29/24 30/10 30/14 31/2 31/8 34/3 49/22 52/18 54/2 56/25 60/22 65/21 think [52] 8/15 15/5 15/15 16/14 17/21 20/2 21/2 26/9 27/17 31/17 32/5 32/10 32/21 34/11 39/1 40/10 41/8 41/9 41/11 42/22 44/25 46/9 47/9 48/8 49/15 49/18 51/18 52/9 53/4 53/9 53/14 53/17 53/18

| T | topics [1] 56/23 | 68/23 | 35/7 36/4 36/11 36/1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /5 | total [2] 5/2 9/18 | understood [4] 23/2 | 36/16 37/13 39/12 |  |
| 55/11 55/11 56/22 57/7 | Tower [1] 21/13 | 31/22 51/12 53/7 | 40/13 41/10 41/10 42/5 | 56/2 66/18 69 |
| 57/25 58/11 59/13 61/7 | town [1] 15/21 | undisputable [1] 3 | 42/7 43/5 43/17 47/ | we're [44] 4/4 |
| 62/18 63/10 64/4 64/7 | track [1] 8/13 | Unfortunately [1] 8/20 | 50/17 54/2 58/23 59/15 | 7/16 15/20 15 |
| 64/10 66/24 67/15 | Traffic [2] 21/13 | unhappy [1] 58/22 | 59/15 59/25 60/23 61/5 | 20/12 21/21 21/23 26/6 |
|  | training [4] 43/4 44/2 | unintelligible [1] 37/1 | 61/15 63/10 63/16 | 28/12 35/2 37/17 40/24 |
| thinking [2] 39/2 68/10 | 44/15 61/20 | Union [1] 41/16 | 66/13 66/24 66/24 | 41/21 42/20 44/9 44/13 |
| third [1] 33/16 | transactions [1] 10/19 | unknown [1] 44/6 | 67/16 68/1 | 4/14 44/15 45 |
| third-party [1] | transcript [1] 70/8 | unknowns [1] 60/ | via [1] 29/ | 948 |
| this [115] | trigger [1] | unless [3] 4/5 39 | [ | 9/25 51/9 51/13 |
| those [33] 5/2 8/9 8/14 | trillion [1] 9/11 |  | violation [1] 37/ | 1/14 51/18 5 |
| 10/13 11/2 11/6 14/20 | TRIM [1] 14/18 | until [3] 38/2 45/1 | Visit [1] 10/23 | 5/12 55/17 5 |
| 15/8 15/24 16/1 17/9 | trouble [1] |  | voided [1] |  |
| 17/9 22/24 23/25 24/2 | true [1] 70/8 | unusual [1] | Volaire [1] 5/13 | 0/1 65/20 67/2 67/18 |
| 24/3 24/4 24/4 28/15 | try [5] 18/5 25/16 26 | unwritten [3] 44/9 | vote [2] 26/6 45/1 | 68/21 |
| 29/8 29/17 29/20 29/24 | 40/11 59/6 |  |  |  |
| 30/20 31/14 38/25 | trying [10] 17 | up [28] 7/12 | votes [1] 17/17 | 21/15 22/16 |
| 38/25 49/21 50/2 51/1 | 35/2 39/18 40/25 41 | 15/18 20/9 2 | voting [1] 51/20 | 24/10 24/22 39/19 41/4 |
| 58/19 59/14 68/15 |  |  | W |  |
| though [3] 5/7 49/25 54/21 | TS | 48/16 48/25 52/3 54/25 | w | weather [1] |
| thought [3] 4/24 | TSA's [1] 7/ | 57/4 58/21 62/25 63 |  | website [1] 19/19 |
| thought [3] 4/24 | tucker [8] 19/7 | 63/20 64/9 65/11 65/21 | walled [1] | week [4] 7/11 7/16 |
| th | 37/15 37/16 48/9 58/5 | 68/16 69/12 | walled-off [1] 57/11 | 10/2 |
| 35/15 35/16 35/23 | 58/9 65/8 | upcoming [2] 9/23 | want [33] 4/22 6/23 7/9 | weekend [2] 8/21 20/8 |
| 37/19 44/4 56/15 | Tue [1] 7 |  | 19 | weeks [2] 10/1 45/15 |
| through [19] 10/2 10/3 | Tuesday [1] 7/10 | update [3] | 20/11 20/14 21/1 33 | weight [4] 38/19 40/21 |
| 10/4 10/4 10/5 11/8 | turbine [1] 38/8 | updated [3] 7/5 9/ | 34/19 35/14 35/21 <br> 35/25 36/1 39/8 45/23 | 41/2 52 |
| 20/10 20/21 21/6 23/14 | turboprop [3] 27/4 | $11$ | 35/25 36/1 39/8 45/23 46/7 46/24 49/23 49/24 | 37 |
| 24/11 26/14 30/18 41/7 | 36 |  |  | welcome [1] $15 / 25$ |
| 41/11 43/1 50/4 50/15 |  |  | $55 / 2358 / 2359 / 1$ | well [21] 19/16 21/3 |
| 0/5 |  |  | 60/20 60/23 61/13 | 22/18 23/5 24/11 25/5 |
| Thursday [4] 7/10 8/2 | turn [2] 44/7 49/8 | ur | 65/12 | 8/5 29/7 32/23 34/2 |
|  | turnout [1] 20/3 | us [19] 3/4 7/14 | wanted [10] 18/3 18/16 | 34/13 38/7 41/2 44/12 |
|  | turns [1] 30/9 | 19/20 34/8 39/20 45/1 | 19/13 36/13 43/3 43/3 | 3/14 58/1 59/2 63/2 |
|  | twin [2] 27/2 38/ | 45/13 45/16 45/23 51/5 | 43/4 43/5 46/16 60/23 | 66/22 67/8 69/3 |
| tight [1] 30/5 <br> till [1] 8/24 | Twin Otter [1] 38/2 | 51/14 52/24 56/25 | wants [5] 33/13 46/4 | well-defined [1] 38/7 |
|  | two [12] 17/10 20/9 | 57/14 57/23 58/25 64/2 | 47/4 62/16 63/4 | well-known [1] 19/16 |
| $14 / 21 \quad 15 / 16 \quad 20 / 1121$ | 23/1 23/24 33/19 33/19 | 64/5 | was [61] 4/17 9/17 | went [4] 16/12 30/17 |
| $21 / 21 \text { 23/19 26/5 }$ | 45/10 48/21 48/25 | usable [1] | 10/7 11/3 11/9 12/14 | 50/4 50/15 |
| $29 / 2331 / 2$ | 58/19 63/24 65/7 | USDOT [1] 9/15 | 14/9 14/10 14/11 16/17 | were [16] 4/14 4/1 |
| 37/13 40/10 43/20 | type [2] 29/18 52/14 | USDOT/FAA [1] 9/15 | 17/1 19/15 20/3 20/17 | 7/25 14/7 15/11 21/22 |
| $43 / 4$ | types [2] 24/3 28/10 | use [4] 12/24 34/6 43/5 | 21/17 21/20 23/23 24/8 | 23/2 26/14 37/3 45/12 |
| 50/25 53/22 55/14 | typically [4] 12/15 23/4 | 44/1 | 24/17 24/18 26/7 26/15 | 45/14 45/19 50/2 51/12 |
| 55/18 55/20 56/25 | 30/22 30/25 | used [5] 8/8 15/13 | 27/7 27/16 31/8 34/4 | 63/11 64/6 |
| $57 / 2360 / 361 /$ | typographical [1] 26/8 | 29/14 38/22 51/13 | 34 | what [60] 4/16 |
| 67/4 |  | user [3] 49/17 49/1 |  | /12 12/19 |
| s [2] |  |  | 44/3 44/19 45/5 45/7 | 16/15 25/3 26/17 27/22 |
|  | U.S | users [2] | 46/5 48/8 48/9 | 9/3 29/5 30/2 31/4 |
|  | U.S. 1 [1] 23/21 | using [1] | 50/1 50/3 50/3 53/25 | 31/18 33/12 35/2 |
|  | Uh [2] 14/25 16/24 | usually [1] 1 | 53/25 54/2 54/3 54/3 | 6/14 36/15 37 |
| 27/19 28/22 28/25 35/7 | Uh-huh [2] 14/25 16/24 | utilized [2] 23/2 23/3 | 54/11 54/12 61/21 | 38/14 39/3 40/16 40/20 |
| 40/11 41/8 44/10 45/1 | ultimately [2] 12/16 | V | 61/25 62/1 62/4 62/4 | 41/11 41/23 42/1 42/23 |
| 45/17 46/22 |  |  | 63/18 65/22 68/24 70/7 | 43/2 46/10 46/22 46/25 |
| 45 | unanimously [2] 13/25 |  | wasn't [2] 20/22 67/22 | 7/2 47/18 49/25 50/7 |
|  |  | valued [1] 52/5 | waste [1] 20/11 | 0/11 50/19 51/5 51/12 |
|  | unclear [1] 47/3 | variable [1] 41/3 | wasting [1] 35/1 | 2/4 53/5 54/14 58/13 |
|  | under [7] 14/18 15/7 | vast [1] 17/4 | waver [1] 21/14 | 8/23 59/9 59/23 62/4 |
| orrow [2] | 40/21 41/1 41/2 47/16 | Vedra [2] 17/7 17/1 | way [14] 1/4 15/2 | 3/7 66/2 66/9 6 |
|  | 62/8 | vehicle [1] 10/19 | 16/14 17/12 28/6 29/15 | 67/3 67/3 67/12 67/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[3] 14 / 2342 / 4} \\ & / 19 \end{aligned}$ | underlie [1] 67/18 | versus [1] 52/16 | 32 35/3 37/3 37/18 | 68/7 68/20 68/2 |
| took [1] 65/7 <br> topic [1] 29/4 | underneath [1] 46/7 understand [2] 28/24 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { very [37] } & 18 / 25 & 20 / 24 \\ 22 / 7 & 27 / 16 & 29 / 17 \\ 30 / 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47/2 56/19 59/1 69/1 } \\ & \text { we [165] } \end{aligned}$ | what's [7] 3/7 11/11 $12 / 2245 / 2246 / 346 / 7$ |



