		- Jan. 24, 2000
	Page 1	Page 2
·	[ 1] ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1] INDEX
	[2] Regular Meeting	[2] PAGE
	[ 3] held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[ 3] 2. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 3
	[ 4] St. Augustine, Florida	[ 4] 3. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS 4
	[ 5] on Monday, January 24, 2000	[ 5] 4. REPORTS:
	[6] from 4:00 p.m. to 5:05 p.m.	[6] B. Mr. Richard Weaver - Aero Sport, Inc. 5
	[7] ****************	C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 5 [7] D. Mr. Robert Fleming - S.A.P.A. 5
	[8] BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	E. Ms. Cindy Bartin - Attorney 5
	[9] JAMES CARL DAVIS, SR., Chairman	5. ACTION ITEMS [9]
	CHARLES LASSITER [10] CHARLES WATTS	A. Project Update 5 [10] B. Presentation by Mark Arnold regarding 15
	[11] *************	eminent domain cases [11]
	[12] BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:	6. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:
	[13] JOSEPH S. TAYLOR	A. Mr. James "Carl" Davis No report [13] B. Mr. Charles Lassiter No report
	BILL ROSE [14] JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison	C, Mr, Dennis R, Watts No report
	[15] ********	7. PUBLIC COMMENT 48
	[16] ALSO PRESENT:	8. NEXT BOARD MEETING 47
	[17] CINDY BARTIN, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,	9. ADJOURNMENT 60
	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, [18] FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18]
	[19] EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E, Executive Director.	[19]
	[20] BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[20]
	•	
	[21] ************************************	[21]
	[22] St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blyd, Suite A	[22]
	[23] St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570	[23]
•	[24]	[24]
4	[25]	[25]
	Page 3	Page 4
	[1] PROCEEDINGS	[ 1] MR WATTS: Ave.
	[1] PROCEEDINGS  [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and	[ 1] MR. WATTS: Aye. [ 2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed?
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St.	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [3] (No opposition.)
	<ul> <li>[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and</li> <li>[3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St.</li> <li>[4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for</li> </ul>	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
	<ul> <li>[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and</li> <li>[3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St.</li> <li>[4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for</li> <li>[5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting</li> </ul>	[ 2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [ 3] (No opposition.) [ 4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [ 5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the
	<ul> <li>[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and</li> <li>[3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St.</li> <li>[4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for</li> <li>[5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting</li> <li>[6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad</li> </ul>	[ 2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [ 3] (No opposition.) [ 4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [ 5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [ 6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4,
	<ul> <li>[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and</li> <li>[3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St.</li> <li>[4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for</li> <li>[5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting</li> <li>[6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad</li> <li>[7] that we could get to 2000.</li> </ul>	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen?
And Andrewson	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.)
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions?	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.)	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999.	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve.	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve.	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [20] (No opposition.)
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
· wi	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [20] And a second?	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [20] (No opposition.)
**************************************	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [20] And a second? [21] MR. WATTS: Second.	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [20] (No opposition.) [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have approved your
· · · · · ·	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [20] And a second? [21] MR. WATTS: Second. [22] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye, And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [20] (No opposition.) [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have approved your [22] minutes for December 20th and January 4.
	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] January 24th of 2000. This is our first meeting [6] of the year, and we welcome everyone. We're glad [7] that we could get to 2000. [8] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Calling the meeting to [10] order, our first order of business is the [11] approval of the regular minutes from December [12] 20th, 1999. Gentlemen, are there any questions? [13] (No questions.) [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [15] motion to approve the meeting minutes from [16] December 20th, 1999. [17] MR. LASSITER: I'll make a motion to [18] approve. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [20] And a second? [21] MR. WATTS: Second. [22] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [23] in favor of accepting the minutes for the meeting	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have [5] accepted these minutes. Also the approval of the [6] minutes from the special meeting held January 4, [7] 2000, which was the election of officers. Any [8] questions, gentlemen? [9] (No questions.) [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a [11] motion to accept those minutes. [12] MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion. [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. [14] MR. LASSITER: Second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] All in favor, signify by aye. [17] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [18] MR. WATTS: Aye. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? [20] (No opposition.) [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have approved your [22] minutes for December 20th and January 4. [23] APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL REPORTS

P	Page 5		Page 6	
[	1] is not here. Pat, do we have	e copies?	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. As is our custom
] [	2] AIRPORT SECRETAR	Y: We don't have them yet.	[2]	on an end or first meeting of the year, try to
[	3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: 6	Gentlemen, we will forego	[ 3]	bring you up to date on ongoing projects on the
[	4] the acceptance of these thi	is financial report	[ 4]	airport.
1	5] until our February 24th (sic)	meeting, which we	[5]	And in the spirit of that, I'll give you a
[	6] will approve both. Any ques	stions on that?	[6]	brief brief update of the ongoing projects and
1	7] (No questions.)		[7]	let you know that over the next two months or so,
[ ]	8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: 1	Reports, Mr. Bryant is not	[8]	we will begin the process of building the capital
[ ]	9] here. Mr. Weaver?		[ 9]	improvement work plan with Florida DOT, and as
[1	0] 4.B MR. WEA	VER	[10]	promised last year at budget time, that we'll
[1	1] MR. WEAVER: We ha	ive nothing.	[11]	begin involving this board with discussion of
[1	2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: N	Mr. Leslie?	[12]	those projects as well as the not only
[1:	3] 4.C MR. LESL	.IE	[13]	funding, but the timing of the projects in
[1-	4] MR. LESLIE: No, sir.		[14]	getting them in there, and so we'll be coming
[1:		Okay. St. Augustine	[15]	back to you in the next month or two with that
[10		•	[16]	information,
[1			[17]	And if you so-desire, we can even invite the
[18	-		[18]	representative from Florida DOT to be here also
[19		,	[19]	and provide input, if you'd like. They've
[20		, ,	[20]	indicated a willingness to certainly get involved
[2:		TN	[21]	in it or be there for informational purposes.
[22			[22]	By way of ongoing projects, the Taxiway A
[23		- Ms. Bartin? Then we'll	[23]	project, which was the FAA project that kicked
[24			[24]	off in August of this year when we were awarded
[25			[25]	the grant from the Federal Aviation
	-1 2 1.1.00201 0		[23]	the grant from the reducat Aviation
			<del> </del>	
Pa	age 7		Page 8	
1_		npletion.	Page 8	both the engineering details, especially on the
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con	•	[ 1]	both the engineering details, especially on the south end of this project, as it has implications
1_	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro	ocess of awarding	[ 1] [ 2]	south end of this project, as it has implications
[ 1 [ 2	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of	ocess of awarding this project that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental
[ 1 [ 2 [ 3 [ 4	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida	ocess of awarding this project that DOT, that the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate
[ 1 [ 2 [ 3 [ 4 [ 5	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther	ocess of awarding this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest
[ 1 [ 2 [ 3 [ 4 [ 5	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the stren	ocess of awarding  f this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the  ngthening and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.
[ 1 [ 2 [ 3 [ 4 [ 5 [ 6	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the stren widening and paved shoulders	occess of awarding this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the ngthening and portion of the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design
[ 1 [ 2 [ 3 [ 4 [ 5 [ 6 [ 7	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin	occess of awarding  this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the  ngthening and  portion of the  g the taxiway	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro	ocess of awarding  f this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the  ngthening and  portion of the  g the taxiway  roject, is near	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete a	ocess of awarding  f this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the  ngthening and  portion of the  g the taxiway  roject, is near	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have — are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out thei basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete a at this point.	occess of awarding  this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the ngthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State	ocess of awarding  If this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the ingthening and  portion of the ig the taxiway  roject, is near  and waiting paving  polization's	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. Stab	occess of awarding if this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving oilization's ing has occurred.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have — are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete a at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on	occess of awarding  It this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  politization's ing has occurred. paving, which I	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the — the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics	ocess of awarding  It this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the  ngthening and  portion of the  g the taxiway  roject, is near  and waiting paving  bilization's  ng has occurred.  paving, which I  involved, we're	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one	ocess of awarding  If this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the  ngthening and  portion of the  g the taxiway  roject, is near  and waiting paving  oilization's  ng has occurred.  paving, which I  involved, we're  time or one	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have — are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out thei basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pr is for the most part complete a at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor	occess of awarding It this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's ing has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one or.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the — the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con  We have — are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete a at this point.  Limerock's in place. State coccurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra	ocess of awarding It this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's ing has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one or. in up really not to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the — the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway which would allow
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con  We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra exceed another 30 days total to	occess of awarding  If this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's ing has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one  or.  up up really not to ime. So, hopefully	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway which would allow full use of the runway, a full 8,000 feet for
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con We have — are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pr is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lightin Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra exceed another 30 days total t this time next month, we'll be	occess of awarding  It this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's ing has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one or.  up up really not to ime. So, hopefully have a	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway which would allow full use of the runway, a full 8,000 feet for both landing and takeoffs to the north. We're
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con  We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out their basic project; that is, the stren widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete a at this point.  Limerock's in place. State coccurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra exceed another 30 days total t this time next month, we'll be completed project that everybo	occess of awarding  It this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's ing has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one or.  up up really not to ime. So, hopefully have a	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the — the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway which would allow full use of the runway, a full 8,000 feet for both landing and takeoffs to the north. We're looking at what the environmental impacts and see
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con  We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State occurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra exceed another 30 days total to this time next month, we'll be completed project that everybot there.	occess of awarding It this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the ingthening and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's ing has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one or.  up up really not to ime. So, hopefully have a ody's using out	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the — the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway, a full 8,000 feet for both landing and takeoffs to the north. We're looking at what the environmental impacts and see if we can't put that together as an FAA project
[ 1	Administration, is nearing com  We have are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out ther basic project; that is, the strer widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that project is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State cocurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra exceed another 30 days total to this time next month, we'll be completed project that everybothere.  Taxiway B, which is the in	occess of awarding  It this project that  DOT, that the  re, majority of the regthening and portion of the g the taxiway  roject, is near and waiting paving  oilization's  ng has occurred.  paving, which I  involved, we're  time or one  or.  rep up really not to  ime. So, hopefully  have a  ody's using out	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway which would allow full use of the runway, a full 8,000 feet for both landing and takeoffs to the north. We're looking at what the environmental impacts and see if we can't put that together as an FAA project in the future.
[ 1	Administration, is nearing con  We have — are in the pro the last few contract pieces of are funded largely by Florida additional work that's out their basic project; that is, the strey widening and paved shoulders project, which included redoin lighting associated with that pro is for the most part complete at this point.  Limerock's in place. State coccurred. Relocation of lighting Really just kind of waiting on believe, due to the economics going to try and do all at one mobilization with the contractor So, we expect that to wra exceed another 30 days total the this time next month, we'll be completed project that everybot there.  Taxiway B, which is the in of the parallel taxiway, is curre	cess of awarding this project that DOT, that the re, majority of the regthering and portion of the g the taxiway roject, is near and waiting paving  collization's reg has occurred. paving, which I involved, we're time or one cor. rep up really not to ime. So, hopefully have a cody's using out	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	south end of this project, as it has implications related to wetlands, and in the environmental mitigation that would be necessary to facilitate the parallel taxiway in the extreme southwest portion.  We are still discussing the — the design parameters in that area as well as the feasibility of including in the mitigation package we would be submitting to the various environmental agencies, both state and federal, a method of perhaps recovering the 800 feet off the south end of the runway that is currently displaced as a result of the safety area requirements.  In other words, we'd be looking to reestablish a nonpaved, stabilized safety area on the south end of the runway, a full 8,000 feet for both landing and takeoffs to the north. We're looking at what the environmental impacts and see if we can't put that together as an FAA project

,	1 m port 1 kuliority -		, 2000
Page 9		Page 10	
[1]	13/31, particularly on the south where it	[1]	parallel taxiway, and as such, until we're
[2]	affronts the scaplane area and those areas	[2]	established with a replacement nav. aid, that
[ 3]	associated with the marsh, trying to stabilize	[3]	being the ILS or whatever ultimately comes out,
[4]	and provide the necessary safety area width along	[4]	until that's established and working, it didn't
[ 5]	the east side of the runway.	[5]	make any sense to go through and plow down the
[6]	So we're going to try and package that	[6]	only all other nav. aid on the facility. So, in
[7]	together for environmental purposes; that is, to	[7]	terms of phasing there, it's not been in a huge
[8]	establish the mitigation requirements and all	[8]	hurry pending the outcome of all the design and
[9]	that go with it, and then, if necessary, separate	[ 9]	bidding and construction of the ILS.
[10]	it into some projects based on their likelihood	[10]	The ILS itself is nearing 30 percent design.
[11]	of funding and the various funding sources	[11]	Spoke with them this afternoon, and it's their
[12]	available to us. And I'm sure you'll see more of	[12]	intention to have that 30 percent design package
[13]	this as it matures over the next several months	[13]	to us next week. That will facilitate submittal
[14]	in design,	[14]	to FAA of the 7460s, which are the mechanism by
	We're in just to remind you, we're not	[15]	which FAA kicks off formal review of the ILS, its
[15]			,
[16]	have not been in any particular panic to rush	[16]	proposed location, the parameters associated with
[17]	design through on this project, as we are not in  a position to go to construction from two fronts.	[17]	it. It also formally kicks off the request for frequencies from FCC for the purposes of using
[18]		[18]	
[19]	One, not all of the grant funds have been	[19]	the nav. aid.
[20]	identified. We're hoping to also fund a portion	[20]	So, that process in itself, once submitted,
[21]	of this at least with FAA funds.	[21]	can take six months or more to finally fall back
[22]	But, more importantly, it's also tied to the	[22]	out of the Federal Government side from a
[23]	ILS project, and moving into construction would	[23]	permitting and a licensing standpoint.
[24]	necessitate the removal or relocation of the VOR	[24]	The T-hangar project is 99 percent complete.
[25]	on the facility because of the location of the	[25]	Our maintenance folks, we have a few remaining
Page 11		Page 12	
Page 11	punch list items on the project, and there are	Page 12	That should be here, we're told, the 14th of
[ 1]	punch list items on the project, and there are only a handful of those.	[1]	That should be here, we're told, the 14th of February, that week. And then they move on from
[ 1] [ 2]	only a handful of those.	[ 1] [ 2]	February, that week. And then they move on from
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]		[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that to the tenants next week, allowing most of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state with Aero Sport in the terminal area and look
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that to the tenants next week, allowing most of February for the transition of existing gate card	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state with Aero Sport in the terminal area and look very optimistically in the next week or so we'll
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that to the tenants next week, allowing most of February for the transition of existing gate card users and tenants to the new gate cards over the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state with Aero Sport in the terminal area and look
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that to the tenants next week, allowing most of February for the transition of existing gate card users and tenants to the new gate cards over the month of February.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state with Aero Sport in the terminal area and look very optimistically in the next week or so we'll have that part of it wrapped up and we can begin the process of moving it into design and
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that to the tenants next week, allowing most of February for the transition of existing gate card users and tenants to the new gate cards over the month of February.  Corporate hangars 4 and 5 on the east side,	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state with Aero Sport in the terminal area and look very optimistically in the next week or so we'll have that part of it wrapped up and we can begin
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	only a handful of those.  The other item, we neglected in the specifications to require painting of the drywall that's associated with the fire walls in the hangars, so our maintenance folks are in the process of painting that, and we should be in and out of here we've already begun contacting existing tenants who requested to move and will shortly move into the folks from the Aero Sport leasehold that were going to be relocated over here, and then we'll begin the T-hangar waiting list shortly thereafter. So, I expect we're looking to be able to place the first people in that area approximately February 1st. So, we're going to move through that.  The gate cards, the process has more or less been established. We will be communicating that to the tenants next week, allowing most of February for the transition of existing gate card users and tenants to the new gate cards over the month of February.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	February, that week. And then they move on from there with the erection of the building and finishing it out. So, we're looking at probably an early May, perhaps as early as late April occupancy date. That's the SK helicopter or SK Logistics hangar facility.  Hangar 5, we've requested the preparation of a JPA from Florida DOT, so I would suspect as early as the February meeting, we'll have the grant agreement before you folks for resolution.  That will facilitate the construction design and construction of hangar number 5. We have a tenant already for that. So we'll move it on through when it's you'll get the resolution once the final executed grant is back in our hands.  We are in the in the negotiating state with Aero Sport in the terminal area and look very optimistically in the next week or so we'll have that part of it wrapped up and we can begin the process of moving it into design and engineering documents be prepared for that.

	.,	Airport Authority -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Page 13		Page 14	
	[ 1]	build the first phase in its entirety, that being	[ 1]	apron rehab money that's out there, it still is
- [	[ 2]	the 10,000 square foot hangar, and the right at	[ 2]	again waiting on the construction project to
	[ 3]	8,000 square foot of office building in the	[ 3]	finish to identify the specific areas we want to
Ì	[ 4]	terminal area being phase one. In addition to	[ 4]	do that apron work in with the Florida DOT grant.
	[ 5]	that, the removal of the two existing T-hangars	[ 5]	The tower, we're still waiting a formal
	[ 6]	that are in that area and the infrastructure	[ 6]	letter from FAA and are putting us into the
	[7]	required for the entirety of the project.	[7]	Contract Tower Program. All signs, all
İ	[ 8]	The four corporate hangars that were	[ 8]	indications are good. It's my understanding that
	[ 9]	identified in that project would be funded by	[ 9]	it has been approved and sent on from the Atlanta
- 1	[10]	Florida DOT at a later date. Could be as early	[10]	office up to Washington for any final reviews.
	[11]	as July of this year, but it would it would	[11]	So, hopefully it's kind of imminent now.
	[12]	not currently be able to be moved through	[12]	But that approval will come back which will
	[13]	construction or into that type of a forum.	[13]	then allow us to move into the process of
1	[14]	I think that brings you up to date for the	[14]	acquiring establishing contracts with a
	[15]	most part on what's going on construction-wise.	[15]	design/build firm. I think that will be the
- 1	[16]	At least at this point, we've agreed with the	[16]	recommended approach back to the board for the
	[17]	folks at Aero Sport to hold off on any	[17]	tower facility itself. But that will come back
	[18]	replacement of the skin on the large hangar until	[18]	to the board before it goes to construction so
	[19]	which time as they have the other 10,000 square	[19]	you know that that's coming up, too.
	[20]	foot hangar to be constructed in place to allow	[20]	And tied to that project is also the
	[21]	them some ability to move those aircraft into	[21]	airfield electrical vault, which would be
	[22]	storage while that work's being accomplished,	[22]	included in that construction effort and tie-in.
	[23]	So, it's from the terms of construction, has	[23]	And I think that's pretty much it as far as
	[24]	been delayed a little bit.	[24]	project updates go.
ı	[25]	But by agreement, and same way with the	[25]	The second item we have for you today, at
_	Ziora con la			
-				
- 1	Page 15		Page 16	
	Page 15 [ 1]	your request or at this board's request, we have	Page 16 [ 1]	Eminent domain is the power to acquire
	_	your request or at this board's request, we have brought down from the Jacksonville office of	-	Eminent domain is the power to acquire private property for a public purpose.
	[ 1]		[ 1]	
	[ 1] [ 2]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of	[ 1] [ 2]	private property for a public purpose.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that. Mr. Arnold?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN)	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 17]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN)  MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN)  MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is quick.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the expansion of the airport facilities, which would
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is quick.  I'm not going to try to make y'all an expert	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the expansion of the airport facilities, which would include hangars, terminals, runways or any gas
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 1	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is quick.  I'm not going to try to make y'all an expert or anything else, but just give you a road map,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the expansion of the airport facilities, which would include hangars, terminals, runways or any gas tank farms, things of that nature are all public
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 22]	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN)  MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is quick.  I'm not going to try to make y'all an expert or anything else, but just give you a road map, if you will, of what you have to look forward to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the expansion of the airport facilities, which would include hangars, terminals, runways or any gas tank farms, things of that nature are all public purposes, which would be appurtenant to the
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 1	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is quick.  I'm not going to try to make y'all an expert or anything else, but just give you a road map, if you will, of what you have to look forward to should you see fit to exercise the power that you	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the expansion of the airport facilities, which would include hangars, terminals, runways or any gas tank farms, things of that nature are all public purposes, which would be appurtenant to the facility.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 1	brought down from the Jacksonville office of Rogers, Towers Mr. Mark Allen or Mark Arnold, and he is the, lack of better terms, expert or authority on eminent domain proceedings and process, and he's agreed to kind of brief this board on the process and attempt to address any questions you might have about what you can and can't do and how and procedurally and the like, and try to get us all up to speed on that.  Mr. Arnold?  5.B MARK ARNOLD (EMINENT DOMAIN) MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. As you were told, my name is Mark Arnold. I've been called worse, believe me. I appreciate the opportunity to come down here this afternoon and give you a quick primer, and that's what it is going to be, is quick.  I'm not going to try to make y'all an expert or anything else, but just give you a road map, if you will, of what you have to look forward to should you see fit to exercise the power that you are granted in the Florida law that was enacted	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	private property for a public purpose.  Condemnation is the process whereby you exercise that power.  You oftentimes will hear people, "Well, my property was condemned." Don't think of it as being a dilapidated house. That is not condemnation.  Condemnation is when a governing body, such as yourself, authorizes through a resolution the acquisition of private property for a public purpose. In order to enact or in order to exercise that power, it must be for a public purpose.  An airport is a transportation facility, and under Florida law and court cases, it has been held as such that that is a public purpose, the expansion of the airport facilities, which would include hangars, terminals, runways or any gas tank farms, things of that nature are all public purposes, which would be appurtenant to the facility.  When you decide that you may have to acquire

····	Airport Authority		
Page 17		Page 18	
[ 1]	legislative session, which will go in effect on	[ 1]	me the disparity between qualified appraisers and
[ 2]	July 1st, 2000.	[ 2]	what they value property at. As you know, even
[ 3]	It used to be that DOT was one of the few	[ 3]	if you own property, you probably think it's
[ 4]	acquiring authorities, or condemning authorities	[ 4]	worth more than sometimes the market says it is,
[ 5]	as they're called, that would actually have to	[ 5]	and vice versa.
[ 6]	provide a written offer to a property owner.	[ 6]	But once you obtain an appraisal and you
[7]	That law has been changed. It was done so at the	[7]	attempt to negotiate a purchase, if you're unable
[8]	request of many property owners.	[8]	to do so, generally speaking, it will come back
[ 9]	I'll give you a little history on myself. I	[ 9]	to a board for the enactment of a resolution
[10]	represent both property owners and condemning	[10]	authorizing the acquisition of the property
[11]	authorities and I think it was a fair change,	[11]	through eminent domain and a decision at that
[12]	because now the condemning authority up front	[12]	time needs to be made by the condemning authority
[13]	must make a written offer before they can	[13]	as to whether or not they want to do a quick
[14]	exercise the power of eminent domain. That offer	[14]	taking or a slow taking.
[15]	is generally based upon the appraised value of	[15]	A slow taking is a court procedure whereby
[16]	the property.	[16]	you file a petition in court, you go before the
[17]	I discussed this afternoon with the	[17]	judge and a jury once discovery is completed on
[18]	Executive Director generally the appraisers y'all	[18]	the case and both sides have got a chance to look
[19]	have been using and also suggested some other	[19]	at each other's sides positions, and a jury will
[20]	firms that do this type work that I've worked	[20]	determine what compensation should be for that
[21]	with throughout the State of Florida. And just	[21]	property.
[22]	because our appraiser or your appraiser comes up	[22]	Once the jury determines what compensation
[23]	with a number doesn't mean that's going to be	[23]	should be, then the condemning authority has 20
[24]	ultimately what you end up purchasing the	[24]	days to deposit what the jury says the value of
[25]	property for. It sometimes never ceases to amaze	[25]	the property is into the court's registry, and
Page 19		Page 20	
[ 1]	that operates as a closing, if you will, on title	[1]	pretty well given. Secondly, that the property
[ 1] [ 2]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of	[ 1] [ 2]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary"
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're acquiring.	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who has followed acceptable appraisal methodologies
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're acquiring.  You then go before the judge and you show	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who has followed acceptable appraisal methodologies in arriving at his value or her value
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're acquiring.  You then go before the judge and you show the judge through your engineering testimony,	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who has followed acceptable appraisal methodologies in arriving at his value or her value conclusions.
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're acquiring.  You then go before the judge and you show the judge through your engineering testimony, your appraisal testimony, and what other	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who has followed acceptable appraisal methodologies in arriving at his value or her value conclusions.  At that point, the condemning authority has
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're acquiring.  You then go before the judge and you show the judge through your engineering testimony, your appraisal testimony, and what other testimony might be necessary that; A, the	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who has followed acceptable appraisal methodologies in arriving at his value or her value conclusions.  At that point, the condemning authority has made a prima facia showing to acquire the
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	of the property. It transfers upon deposit of the funds in the court's registry.  The procedure — a slow-take procedure is very seldom used nowadays. Most condemning authorities have projects on line, their funding set up, they want to move forward with it. So they employ what is called a quick-taking procedure.  The difference in a quick-taking procedure is, you do the same things; you file your petition, you enact a resolution beforehand, but once you have filed your petition, you file with it what's called a Declaration of Taking.  That Declaration of Taking states what your appraiser has placed as a value on the property to be acquired, whether it's one parcel, two parcels, three parcels, however many you're acquiring.  You then go before the judge and you show the judge through your engineering testimony, your appraisal testimony, and what other	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	is reasonably necessary for a public purpose.  And I emphasize "reasonably necessary" because the cases have held that you do not have to have a then current use or project ready to get off the ground to acquire the property.  Future long-range planning, the courts have said, is sufficient to show necessity, reasonable necessity. So, it's not an absolute necessity.  It's a reasonable necessity in your discretion.  In other words, the judge does not substitute his discretion or her discretion for your discretion. It's in the discretion of that board. And then that you have done a valid appraisal of the subject property.  A valid appraisal doesn't mean that that number is the right number, but rather it has been done by certified real estate appraiser who has followed acceptable appraisal methodologies in arriving at his value or her value conclusions.  At that point, the condemning authority has

	An port Authority		
Page 21		Page 22	
[1]	are the only defenses to the property being	[1]	Now, you can abandon an order of taking or
[2]	acquired the Court will grant an order of	[2]	set it aside if both parties agree to it. In
[ 3]	taking.	[ 3]	other words, if the property owner and condemning
[ 4]	When they grant the order of taking, the	[ 4]	authority came back and said, "All right, we've
[ 5]	Court will put in there the amount of money that	[5]	decided we don't need this property," or, "We've
[6]	you are required to deposit into the court's	[ 6]	worked out a better deal," such as Mr. Davis did
[7]	registry within 20 days of the enactment of that	[7]	several years ago on the landfill north of here,
[8]	order. At that time, once that money's	[ 8]	then you can abandon it. But it must take the
[ 9]	deposited, the condemning authority is married to	[ 9]	agreement of both sides.
[10]	that property. By that I mean, you go to jury	[10]	How long does the process take? From the
[11]	trial on down the road,	[11]	time that you enact your resolution, if you avail
[12]	And there's one way to keep things straight.	[12]	yourself of a quick taking, you're usually
[13]	A jury is always going to determine how much the	[13]	looking at somewhere between 30 to 90 days after
[14]	property is worth. A judge is going to determine	[14]	the filing of your suit before title transfers.
[15]	if you have the right to acquire the property.	[15]	Generally speaking, the trial on compensation
[16]	So, there are two separate and distinct functions	[16]	will will occur within a year to 18 months
[17]	that are being performed.	[17]	after you have filed your suit,
[18]	When the jury determines compensation, and	[18]	The fees and costs associated with this
[19]	if you've employed a quick taking, no matter what	[19]	process, of course the Airport Authority has to
[20]	that compensation is, you must pay it. If you've	[20]	pay for their own attorney's fees, appraisal
[21]	availed yourself of a slow take, it's kind of	[21]	fees, if engineering fees are necessary or
[22]	like you've looked at all the cards that are on	[22]	engineering testimony is necessary, exhibits,
[23]	the table at that point and say, okay, I want to	[23]	depositions, things of that nature.
[24]	write a check for that, or I don't. With a quick	[24]	Under Florida law, you must also pay
[25]	taking, that's not the case.	[25]	reasonable fees and costs for the property owner.
I D 00			
Page 23	A second second for a distance of the second	Page 24	
[ 1]	A property owner's fees are determined by the	[ 1]	this piece of pie from the whole," or, "I'm
[ 1] [ 2]	difference between and I like to use the	[ 1] [ 2]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.  What is apportionment? If you will think of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank check for a property owner's
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.  What is apportionment? If you will think of a piece of property as a pie, that is the whole	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank check for a property owner or a property owner's attorney to go out and just hire willy-nilly
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.  What is apportionment? If you will think of a piece of property as a pie, that is the whole pie is valued first by the jury. Then there may	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank check for a property owner or a property owner's attorney to go out and just hire willy-nilly everybody they want to to work on the case.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.  What is apportionment? If you will think of a piece of property as a pie, that is the whole pie is valued first by the jury. Then there may be other people, such as lessees, people that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost — they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank check for a property owner or a property owner's attorney to go out and just hire willy-nilly everybody they want to to work on the case.  That is a real brief overview, and I'm sure
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.  What is apportionment? If you will think of a piece of property as a pie, that is the whole pie is valued first by the jury. Then there may be other people, such as lessees, people that have liens, tax certificates, mortgages, all that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank check for a property owner or a property owner's attorney to go out and just hire willy-nilly everybody they want to to work on the case.  That is a real brief overview, and I'm sure y'all might have questions. So, if you do,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	difference between and I like to use the example of say you offered the property owner a hundred dollars for their property and subsequently you settled for a thousand.  If the property owner has engaged an attorney, the attorney's fees are based upon the benefit derived for that property owner through the course of representation; in other words, there's a \$900 benefit there, the Court will look at that benefit and apply a statutory fig calculation to that number to arrive at the attorney's fee.  There are also ancillary-type proceedings that may be associated with the eminent or the condemnation process, such as apportionment, which you would have to pay the attorneys fees on apportionment.  What is apportionment? If you will think of a piece of property as a pie, that is the whole pie is valued first by the jury. Then there may be other people, such as lessees, people that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	entitled to it." And that goes through what's called an apportionment hearing. And the judge makes that decision.  So, that's the last phase. The costs, the appraiser's cost they are also, the property owner's entitled to have their own appraiser and those costs are paid for by the condemning authority.  All of those costs, however, are subject to reasonableness. In other words, if you have a taking that's a \$200,000 piece of property and all of a sudden the Court's looking at bills of \$200,000 for appraisers, engineers, aerial photos, everything else in the world, the judge makes the determination as to whether or not that was reasonable.  So, there are limitations. It's not a blank check for a property owner or a property owner's attorney to go out and just hire willy-nilly everybody they want to to work on the case.  That is a real brief overview, and I'm sure

	Airport Authority		
Page 25		Page 26	
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, are there any	[1]	\$500,000. So, you get that range.
[2]	questions? Mr. Lassiter?	[2]	Now, a jury can come back on your number or
[ 3]	MR. LASSITER: I have three. The quick or	[ 3]	on the property owner's number or anywhere in
[4]	slow, I guess is just to is decided by the	[4]	between. Those are the that's the ceiling
[5]	condemning authority just to whatever profile the	[5]	(indicating), that's the floor (indicating), and
[6]	project is or requires as far as time line.	[6]	I've seen them come in at the floor, at the
[7]	MR. ARNOLD: That is correct, yeah. That's	[7]	ceiling and everywhere in between, and don't ever
[8]	generally your decision, and hopefully assisted	[8]	ask me to predict.
[ 9]	by input from legal staff and your appraisers and	[ 9]	MR. LASSITER: Okay. Historically, again
[10]	your Executive Director.	[10]	this is a broad-brush question, the actual fees
[11]	MR. LASSITER: In your experience in doing	[11]	that are the final figure versus the appraised
[12]	these condemnations, what what is historically	[12]	value of the land, is that usually percentage?
[13]	kind of the range from the appraisal to the final	[13]	Let's say if the land like you said, the
[14]	figures? Are those pretty generally is it	[14]	\$200,000, does that generally fall in like a 50
[15]	done case-by-case? I know that's kind of a	[15]	percent plus on that for the costs associated?
1		[16]	In other words, our costs, the lawyer fees and
[16]	broad-brush question.  MR. ARNOLD: It that is a real broad	[17]	all like that, how does that usually run in
[17]	brush, and I've seen them at both ends of the	[18]	relationship or ratio to the land costs?
[18]		[19]	MR, ARNOLD: You know, it's it is a broad
[19]	spectrum. I mean, I there is I've seen	[20]	question and the the luxury you may have in
[20]	appraisals where well, I can give you an	[20]	this project that DOT doesn't have oftentimes
[21]	example right now.	[21]	and I represent a lot of property owners against
[22]	I'm representing the transportation	[23]	DOT, and invariably they ask me, well, why are
[23]	authority up in Jacksonville on their Wonderwood		they so low? I mean, they have they've missed
[24]	Connector and there's an appraisal that we	[24]	this, that and the other. And I say, well, think
[25]	obtained of \$50,000 and the property owner said	[25]	this, that and the other. And I say, wen, think
Page 27		Page 28	
Page 27	of it and I've used this with a jury. I say	Page 28	there's an apportionment issue.
[ 1]	of it and I've used this with a jury. I say think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They		there's an apportionment issue.  Can I say what percentage of the land value
[ 1] [ 2]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They	[ 1] [ 2]	••
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	Can I say what percentage of the land value
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid	[ 1] [ 2]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going to limit the amount of fees you're going to pay,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a conviction, he should make sure justice is done.  Well, your job in condemning property, if
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going to limit the amount of fees you're going to pay, because there's not going to be a lot of benefit	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a conviction, he should make sure justice is done.  Well, your job in condemning property, if it's looked at in that manner, and the condemning
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going to limit the amount of fees you're going to pay, because there's not going to be a lot of benefit that can be obtained with another appraisal. In	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a conviction, he should make sure justice is done.  Well, your job in condemning property, if
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going to limit the amount of fees you're going to pay, because there's not going to be a lot of benefit that can be obtained with another appraisal. In other words, the closer the two appraisers are	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a conviction, he should make sure justice is done.  Well, your job in condemning property, if it's looked at in that manner, and the condemning authorities that I work against look at it in
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going to limit the amount of fees you're going to pay, because there's not going to be a lot of benefit that can be obtained with another appraisal. In other words, the closer the two appraisers are together, the less fees are going to be incurred	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a conviction, he should make sure justice is done.  Well, your job in condemning property, if it's looked at in that manner, and the condemning authorities that I work against look at it in that manner, those are always the best cases to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	think of it as a cooker cutter approach. They have an appraiser going in there that may be appraising 75 or 80 parcels of land on a bid basis. When you do that, it's very easy to overlook things, to make mistakes, and not on purpose, but your numbers may end up not being what they should be.  In your particular instance, and I'm trying to roundabout get you an answer to your question, from what my general understanding is, you don't have a lot of parcels that you're going to be acquiring. So, therefore, the appraisals that are conducted or performed for those parcels should be in sufficient detail and the appraiser has sufficient time to get pretty close to market value.  If your appraisal is real good, that's going to limit the amount of fees you're going to pay, because there's not going to be a lot of benefit that can be obtained with another appraisal. In other words, the closer the two appraisers are	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	Can I say what percentage of the land value is attributable afterwards to fees and costs? It ranges all over. I think that the more thorough job you do at the beginning, though, the better chance you have of holding those down.  And I — I've represented condemning authorities and I've represented property owners, and it's like I tell a lot of my condemning authorities and I will tell y'all, I look on my job when I'm representing a condemning authority very much like when I was a prosecutor: I'm there to make sure that we put a good product on the table as far as an appraisal is concerned and everything else, because the people are entitled to full compensation for their land. And just as a prosecutor shouldn't just try to get a conviction, he should make sure justice is done.  Well, your job in condemning property, if it's looked at in that manner, and the condemning authorities that I work against look at it in that manner, those are always the best cases to work on. Are there any other questions?

ſ	Page 29		Page 30	
	[ 1]	on the you said that a judge would make the	[1]	there's only going to be \$200,000 there to divide
	[2]	final decision on, say, liens or mortgages,	[ 2]	up. So, somebody's still going to be waiting in
	[ 3]	second mortgages.	[ 3]	line. And that is the judge's decision as to who
	[4]	MR. ARNOLD: Yes, sir.	[4]	has the priority as to the proceeds.
	[5]	MR. WATTS: Could you I mean, would you	[5]	It's just like if you sold a house, you
	[6]	mind just explaining a little bit more into	[6]	always prorate your taxes. You always have to
	[7]	detail on that? Say, for example, you know, a	[7]	have your mortgage paid off before you get the
	[8]	piece of property worth \$200,000 and there's like	[8]	proceeds of the sale.
	[ 9]	\$250,000 owed on the property, I mean, now the	[ 9]	It's the same way with a condemnation case.
	[10]	jury would not make that determination, it would	[10]	This is a forced sale, but nevertheless the
	[11]	be the judge's final decision.	[11]	proceeds are subject to whatever liens and
	[12]	MR. ARNOLD: That is the judge's	[12]	encumbrances may be out there.
	[13]	determination based upon the priority of the	[13]	MR. WATTS: Thank you,
	[14]	liens.	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Arnold, I have one
- 1	[15]	In other words, if you go out and sue	[15]	question for the record,
	[16]	someone and get a judgment against them and you	[16]	MR. ARNOLD: Yes, sir.
1	[17]	record that lien, well, if there was someone else	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Would you explain Florida
- 1	[18]	that had recorded a judgment before you, they	[18]	State Statute 74.011, please?
- 1	[19]	would, generally speaking, be ahead of you as far	[19]	MR. ARNOLD: Explain it? That is the
- 1	[20]	as the priority of that lien.	[20]	enabling statute for a quick taking under Florida
	[21]	You can only cut that pie up a certain	[21]	law, and it specifically confers on airport
	[22]	amount of ways. So if there's somebody out there	[22]	authorities the right to do the quick taking.
	[23]	that's lost as far as their priority is concerned	[23]	Not all Florida governmental entities have
	[24]	and you've got \$250,000 worth of various liens	[24]	that authority and must be specifically
١	[25]	and judgments and taxes on a \$200,000 award,	[25]	granted and I did double-check and make sure
ľ	Page 31		Page 32	
	Page 31	y'all were included, and you are. Does that	Page 32 [ 1]	There's none in this area that will replace my
- 1	_	y'all were included, and you are. Does that answer your question?		There's none in this area that will replace my piece of property.
- 1	[ 1]		[ 1]	
	[ 1] [ 2]	answer your question?	[ 1] [ 2]	piece of property.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I
**************************************	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.
Heldinit	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean,
Hardwall II.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market
and the second s	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out
n) capacitation	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.
The probability is a second of the second of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction
(indicate)	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones  you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones  you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just  like to ask you a question.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the
Tipper and the second	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning
Tipper and the second	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition of a piece of property.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning authority has gained, but what has the property
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition of a piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Can I answer in the mike?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning authority has gained, but what has the property owner lost.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition of a piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Can I answer in the mike?  MR. HARVEY: Yes.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning authority has gained, but what has the property owner lost.  So, you know, now if you're talking about
Halfable in the second	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition of a piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Can I answer in the mike?  MR. HARVEY: Yes.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Please.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning authority has gained, but what has the property owner lost.  So, you know, now if you're talking about special use properties, there are certain ways
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 22]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition of a piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Can I answer in the mike?  MR. HARVEY: Yes.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Please.  MR. ARNOLD: I don't know if you're asking	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning authority has gained, but what has the property owner lost.  So, you know, now if you're talking about special use properties, there are certain ways you can appraise that. But each property
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	answer your question?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That answers my question.  Thank you. Would you remain there for a minute?  Any public comment, please, would you come to the podium?  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I'd like to ask.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir, Please  MR. HARVEY: My name is Mel Harvey.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sir, please come up.  MR. HARVEY: Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend  Road. I'm probably going to be one of the ones you're fighting with, or my attorney. I'd just like to ask you a question.  When a piece of property comes up that cannot be replaced in the area, what is taken in effect with that? I mean, the physical condition of a piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Can I answer in the mike?  MR. HARVEY: Yes.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Please.  MR. ARNOLD: I don't know if you're asking me is it a special use property? Is it a	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	piece of property.  MR. ARNOLD: Well and I understand it and, believe me, I represent enough property owners where I  MR. HARVEY: Does that come up in it? I mean, I don't know.  MR. ARNOLD: No, sir, it does not. I mean, the value of the property is based upon market research as to, are there comparable sales out there.  It is not and there is a jury instruction to this effect. The appraised value of the property is merely a tool to assist the trier of fact in determining what full compensation should be. And a property owner, it is the the determination should be not what the condemning authority has gained, but what has the property owner lost.  So, you know, now if you're talking about special use properties, there are certain ways you can appraise that. But each property owner and I feel the same way. I mean, my

ı			
Page 33	3	Page 34	
[1]	try to replace it. But that is a common issue	[1]	instructed Cindy to try to find out just exactly
[ 2]	that's brought up by many property owners when	[ 2]	what the statutes were.
[ 3]	their homes are being acquired.	[ 3]	I read a couple of them myself, but this is
[ 4]	MR. HARVEY: Thank you. Now, I just have	[ 4]	what we came up with. And Rogers and Towers has
[ 5]	one other comment. I know all we are to you	[ 5]	given us a synopsis of what it means and what the
[ 6]	on if you come up with this eminent domain, is	[ 6]	statute is.
[7]	a plot on a map. And I would like to invite each	[7]	It goes in great detail, and I know
[8]	one of you down to see what you're displacing if	[8]	Mr. Arnold couldn't go into it because we'd be
[ 9]	you vote to go eminent domain. And that, you	[ 9]	here three hours for him to go through the full
[10]	know I think I deserve that. You know what	[10]	thing, but at least you have a general idea as to
[11]	you're doing before you do it.	[11]	what eminent domain meant.
[12]	If you want the airport to be	[12]	And I think the board members wanted to know
[13]	self-sufficient, you cannot continue to take	[13]	also where our hands were and if our hands were
[14]	property off the tax rolls and expect this place	[14]	tied for any reason so that we can be fair. We
[15]	to ever be self-sufficient. I mean, you want to	[15]	on this board want to be fair to you, also. And
[16]	dig a holding pond to build hangars to rent to	[16]	that's why we had him come up.
[17]	people with planes. To me, that is not public	[17]	MR. MUSSELLS: Does that mean you people are
[18]	need. Thank you,	[18]	coming down to look at our property? We haven't
[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.	[19]	gotten an answer on that.
[20]	Anyone else, please?	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We all will come and look
[21]	MR. MUSSELLS: He pretty well covered that.	[21]	at your property if you would like to.
[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We asked Mr. Arnold to come	[22]	MR. MUSSELLS: I think we deserve that.
[23]	up because we wanted to get everyone to know	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We will look at it. But
[24]	exactly what eminent domain was. There was a	[24]	understand, and I don't want to give you false
[25]	question that came up at our last meeting, so I	[25]	hopes of anything, looking at your property would
Page 35		Page 36	
[1]			
1	probably be the same as looking at mine, and if	[ 1]	what the gentleman was asking us to do, and I
[2]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it,	[ 1]	what the gentleman was asking us to do, and I have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're
1			
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it,	[ 2]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.	[ 2] [ 3]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property,	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think — it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think — it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.  MR. MUSSELLS: My name is Roger Mussells.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year and a half from now before this comes up on the
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.  MR. MUSSELLS: My name is Roger Mussells. I'm at 395 Indian Bend Road.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year and a half from now before this comes up on the agenda, then that's not a timely review. So
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.  MR. MUSSELLS: My name is Roger Mussells.  I'm at 395 Indian Bend Road,  MR. WUELLNER: Thank you, sir.  MR. MUSSELLS: Right next to Mr. Harvey here.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year and a half from now before this comes up on the agenda, then that's not a timely review. So  MR. MUSSELLS: Makes sense.  MR. LASSITER: that was my would be my only comment.
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think — it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record — unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.  MR. MUSSELLS: My name is Roger Mussells. I'm at 395 Indian Bend Road.  MR. WUELLNER: Thank you, sir.  MR. MUSSELLS: Right next to Mr. Harvey	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year and a half from now before this comes up on the agenda, then that's not a timely review. So  MR. MUSSELLS: Makes sense.  MR. LASSITER: that was my would be my only comment.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.  MR. MUSSELLS: My name is Roger Mussells.  I'm at 395 Indian Bend Road,  MR. WUELLNER: Thank you, sir.  MR. MUSSELLS: Right next to Mr. Harvey here.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23] [ 24]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year and a half from now before this comes up on the agenda, then that's not a timely review. So  MR. MUSSELLS: Makes sense.  MR. LASSITER: that was my would be my only comment.
[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	that's what you desire for us to just look at it, that's fine.  MR. MUSSELLS: Because I don't think it's not the same as most people's property, particularly in this neighborhood. It's not the same at all.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.  MR. MUSSELLS: That's why we deserve to have you look at it, all of you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any comments about going to look at the property?  Mr. Wuellner?  MR. WUELLNER: I was just going to state I think we need for the record unless that all picked up, we need the gentleman's name and address just for the public record on it.  MR. MUSSELLS: My name is Roger Mussells.  I'm at 395 Indian Bend Road.  MR. WUELLNER: Thank you, sir.  MR. MUSSELLS: Right next to Mr. Harvey here.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Mussells.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	have no problem at all, and by all rights, we're a public body and we should respond to the public.  MR. MUSSELLS: I really think you should.  MR. LASSITER: Yes. My question would be just the timing, and I don't even know when this is coming up. We were pretty much given a heads-up by the Executive Director as to this is coming down the pike.  I think that in the course of this moving through the normal agenda, that when this is to come up, and if it's in the future, if it's in distant future or the intermediate future or the immediate future, at that time is when I think we should probably get with you and look at this thing. If we go look at it now and it's a year and a half from now before this comes up on the agenda, then that's not a timely review. So  MR. MUSSELLS: Makes sense.  MR. LASSITER: that was my would be my only comment.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?

	Amport Authority		
Page 37	T. C.	Page 38	
[1]	responsibility of this board to make that	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
[2]	decision at the time that it comes up on the	[ 2]	Mr. Wuellner?
[ 3]	agenda, to come and look at your property. I	[ 3]	MR. WUELLNER: All I can provide is my best
[ 4]	know I will.	[ 4]	guess on the time line. The grants associated
[ 5]	MR. MUSSELLS: Thank you.	[ 5]	with construction become eligible for
[ 6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: So, sir, you understand	[ 6]	formalization in a grant beginning July of this
[7]	that coming coming now and if we do it if	[7]	year. That's the earliest possible date to begin
[8]	it comes up on an agenda two years from now	[ 8]	the grant part of the project.
[ 9]	MR. MUSSELLS: Quite understand that,	[ 9]	The project details have yet to be
[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: there wouldn't be any	[10]	engineered or any other you know, other than
[11]	sense	[11]	conceptually for purposes of establishing the
[12]	MR. MUSSELLS: Right.	[12]	Water Management-related permits and
[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right.	[13]	wetland-related permits, because we did this as a
[14]	MR. MUSSELLS: Thank you.	[14]	25-acre parcel originally with those districts.
[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Mr. Harvey?	[15]	It is not on your current current year
[16]	MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. We	[16]	capital improvements budget, which places the
[17]	were told by your Executive Director here that it	[17]	project, from a construction standpoint,
[18]	would probably come up in March. You know, I	[18]	regardless of what you do with the grant, until
[19]	think we need to know something. When are you	[19]	at least after October 1st of this year.
[20]	planning on doing it?	[20]	That would be the absolute earliest time
[21]	You can't just leave us hanging out there.	[21]	from a budget standpoint, unless you chose to
[22]	We don't know where in the heck we're going. I	[22]	amend your budget, that it would move forward.
[23]	mean, you know, we're humans, also, and we need	[23]	And at this point, we wouldn't envision doing
[24]	to have an answer so we can all get on with the	[24]	that, since the funds are not available until
[25]	game. Thank you.	[25]	very late in our fiscal year, also.
1			
***************************************			
Page 39		Page 40	
[ 1]	The reality is, we would probably not press	[1]	long?
[ 1] [ 2]	this forward in any manner until at least	_	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	• • •	[1]	
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	this forward in any manner until at least	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	this forward in any manner until at least October. However, after October, it would really	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in October or something that for economic reasons	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.  So, it could be two years to develop if you
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in October or something that for economic reasons you wish to delay or for any other reason wish to	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.  So, it could be two years to develop if you took the long route. It could be, you know, a
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in October or something that for economic reasons you wish to delay or for any other reason wish to delay or not move forward. But, in terms of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.  So, it could be two years to develop if you took the long route. It could be, you know, a year to 18 months from the startup between
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in October or something that for economic reasons you wish to delay or for any other reason wish to delay or not move forward. But, in terms of ability to develop, that's the most likely place	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.  So, it could be two years to develop if you took the long route. It could be, you know, a year to 18 months from the startup between acquisition, engineering and construction to use.
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation  Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in October or something that for economic reasons you wish to delay or for any other reason wish to delay or not move forward. But, in terms of ability to develop, that's the most likely place to start.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.  So, it could be two years to develop if you took the long route. It could be, you know, a year to 18 months from the startup between acquisition, engineering and construction to use.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what I wanted to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	this forward in any manner until at least  October. However, after October, it would really be your call as a board as to the speed at which you wish to move ahead with this project.  At that point, all you've committed is with DOT is entered into a Joint Participation Agreement with Florida DOT for purposes of establishing the money to develop. So, you know, it largely is in your hands how quickly.  We have interest and projects as well as the money to move the infrastructure portion ahead, even today. So, there's not a shortage of projects to move into the first the first block there.  But again, it's your call as to the phasing of that project and whether it makes, you know, fiscal sense to move it through beginning in October or something that for economic reasons you wish to delay or for any other reason wish to delay or not move forward. But, in terms of ability to develop, that's the most likely place	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically, from launching the project in a from a design standpoint for construction, you are probably looking at least a year till it would be completed from a start date, whenever that would be.  But you're probably looking at 90 days to as long as 180 days to of a window to acquire, that kind of a lead time. And then also factor in whichever method you might be chosen to do that.  He indicated 30 to 90 days on the quick method, and you have whatever I don't know if I ever heard an answer on the other, but I assume based on how long it took to get to a jury, it was probably as much as a year after or lead time for that.  So, it could be two years to develop if you took the long route. It could be, you know, a year to 18 months from the startup between acquisition, engineering and construction to use.

Page 41		Page 42	
[ 1]	outside for that project.	[1]	is at least it won't be for at least another
[2]	MR. WUELLNER: I think that's I think	[ 2]	six months, then, before we really start getting
[ 3]	that's fairly well grounded.	[ 3]	a solid date such and I it's unfortunate
[ 4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But they will be duly	[ 4]	that we can't say July the 15th is when we're
[ 5]	notified within time enough to know when this is	[ 5]	going to come to you, but it would appear that
[ 6]	coming up; is that correct?	[ 6]	this thing is still kind of in a haze a little
[7]	MR. WUELLNER: As I understand the process,	[ 7]	bit as far as the clarity of the time line.
[ 8]	we need to place a written offer on the table	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I would think the
[ 9]	before you move a resolution forward for eminent	[ 9]	earliest that the board, this board would be
[10]	domain, which means obviously there's got to be	[10]	willing to commit to a project that would involve
[11]	some communication that goes on before the	[11]	absolutely needing to take the property would be
[12]	process itself starts.	[12]	after you have an executed grant agreement that
[13]	It will be up to them whether or not to	[13]	would pay for the facilities to be constructed.
[14]	accept that offer based on an appraisal at that	[14]	So, you know, that puts it on at least a
[15]	time or move through the you know, it throws	[15]	July 1st time line to consider the process. You
[16]	it back at this board again as to whether to	[16]	know, at that point, you may want to say, well,
[17]	decide to move through the process at that point,	[17]	we'll defer even beginning it until your new
[18]	and then which method to take.	[18]	budget year; that is, even moving through an
[19]	MR. LASSITER: Ed	[19]	eminent domain process or whatever, until
[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter, go ahead.	[20]	October, or you may wish to begin that process as
[21]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, we were talking about	[21]	early as July when you have the money, you know,
[22]	moving into the planning stages for next year,	[22]	essentially tied up with Florida DOT at that
[23]	and I think that this obviously is one of those	[23]	point. Or you may wish to defer it even longer.
[24]	pieces in that planning stage.	[24]	MR. LASSITER: Well, I think if we were
[25]	I think what we're saying is the gentleman	[25]	going to write the proposal and go for the grant,
Page 43		B 44	
[ 1]	at that time is when we need to take a look at	Page 44	MD HADNEY, A. L. J. C.
[2]	the project and take a look at the property, as	[1]	MR. HARVEY: Am I correct?
[3]	well.	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I don't
[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, when that grant is	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: We said as early as March.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: As early as March.
[5]	prepared and given to us for execution after	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: And in reviewing the project
[6]	July, there's probably the best time to have the	[6]	itself, the available funds with Florida DOT, the
[7]	discussion about when you want to move the	[7]	grant time lines, you know, our best guess for
[8]	project forward	[8]	not only on the funding side, you know, was after
[ 9]	MR. LASSITER: That would also	[ 9]	July.
[10]	MR. WUELLNER: or whether you	[10]	MR. HARVEY: Well, just for as you know,
[11]	MR. LASSITER: give us an idea of the	[11]	the permitting process on building a new
[12]	scope and the size and the impacts and all the	[12]	anything new, it's probably going to take me at
[13]	- 4	1''	
	other things.	[13]	least a year, year and a half to build another
[14]	other things.  MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be	[13] [14]	least a year, year and a half to build another house. I built that one and I'm going to build
[14]			
	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be	[14]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build
[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some	[14] [15]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.
[15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular	[14] [15] [16]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when
[15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.	[14] [15] [16] [17]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain
[15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. Well,	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property. So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain proceedings so we know what we're doing, too. I
[15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. Well, why were we told March? I mean, who's running	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain proceedings so we know what we're doing, too. I mean, we just can't be dangled out there and
[15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. Well, why were we told March? I mean, who's running the show here?	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain proceedings so we know what we're doing, too. I mean, we just can't be dangled out there and we're going to do it in March and now we're going
[15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. Well, why were we told March? I mean, who's running the show here?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Why were you told what,	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain proceedings so we know what we're doing, too. I mean, we just can't be dangled out there and we're going to do it in March and now we're going to do it in July and now we're going to do it in
[15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. Well, why were we told March? I mean, who's running the show here?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Why were you told what, Mr. Harvey?	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain proceedings so we know what we're doing, too. I mean, we just can't be dangled out there and we're going to do it in March and now we're going to do it in July and now we're going to do it in October. We don't want to live like that. Thank
[15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, realize it would not be engineered at that point, but you'd have some idea of what's envisioned for that particular area.  MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey again. Well, why were we told March? I mean, who's running the show here?  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Why were you told what, Mr. Harvey?  MR. HARVEY: We were told that you would	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	house. I built that one and I'm going to build another one, if I can find a piece of property.  So, you know, we need to know about when you're going to start your eminent domain proceedings so we know what we're doing, too. I mean, we just can't be dangled out there and we're going to do it in March and now we're going to do it in July and now we're going to do it in October. We don't want to live like that. Thank you.

		···	
Page 45	·	Page 46	
[ 1]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chair?	[1]	meeting.
[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts,	[ 2]	If you have anything that's if we have
[ 3]	MR. WATTS: I agree with the gentleman. I	[ 3]	anything concrete for the next meeting, yes, go
[ 4]	think we can't leave these people hanging. This	[ 4]	ahead and agenda it. If not, then we can do it
[5]	is something that we've got to make a decision	[ 5]	on the next meeting coming up. Because that
[ 6]	on,	[ 6]	meeting is going to be on the regular day of the
[7]	I think we need to work with Ed to	[7]	third Monday in March; is that correct?
[8]	determine, you know, exactly I know there's	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: That's correct.
[ 9]	grants and things of that nature, but I know as a	[ 9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. If we have
[10]	property owner myself, I wouldn't want to be left	[10]	anything concrete on it for the next one, yes,
[11]	hanging on this. So, I think as soon as we	[11]	let's agenda that item for the next meeting.
[12]	can you know, as soon as the board can reach	[12]	And, Mr. Harvey, we will let you know and
[13]	that decision, I think it needs to be made.	[13]	everyone else, because it will be published and
[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree with you. As soon	[14]	you'll see the agenda and then you'll know.
[15]	as we get everything in line to know exactly what	[15]	MR. MUSSELLS: Excuse me?
[16]	it is, Ed, we can bring it up. Ed, if we need to	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir.
[17]	have a special meeting, let us know, and we will	[17]	MR. MUSSELLS: I just want to know, do you
[18]	come in so that we can let them know so they	[18]	people realize you're dealing with waterfront
[19]	won't be hanging and they'll know how long they	[19]	property? Do you know that?
[20]	have to	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir, we are fully
[21]	MR. WUELLNER: If you'd like, I mean, we	[21]	aware of the property.
[22]	could agenda an item to discuss it at your next	[22]	MR. MUSSELLS: You are aware it is
[23]	meeting.	[23]	waterfront property.
[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I would rather take a	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir.
[25]	little bit more time than that, than that next	[25]	MR. MUSSELLS: Which is very difficult to
***************************************			
Page 47		D 40	
Page 47	ret again	Page 48	(No questions )
[ 1]	get again.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Lunderstand	[ 1]	(No questions.)
[ 1] [ 2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.	[ 1] [ 2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand,  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand, MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand, MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the commissioners so that some of their concerns and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it. Mr. Ciriello, you have a comment, come up,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the commissioners so that some of their concerns and some of ours with the commission, we need to get	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it. Mr. Ciriello, you have a comment, come up, please.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the commissioners so that some of their concerns and some of ours with the commission, we need to get to them, but understand we are a separate	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it. Mr. Ciriello, you have a comment, come up, please. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. I apologize for
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the commissioners so that some of their concerns and some of ours with the commission, we need to get to them, but understand we are a separate authority from the commissioners.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it. Mr. Ciriello, you have a comment, come up, please. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. I apologize for missing it, the procedure.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the commissioners so that some of their concerns and some of ours with the commission, we need to get to them, but understand we are a separate authority from the commissioners.  This meeting is a joint venture between us	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it. Mr. Ciriello, you have a comment, come up, please. MR. CIRIELLO: All right, I apologize for missing it, the procedure. PUBLIC COMMENT
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I understand.  MR. MUSSELLS: I hope you do understand, because you might be in the same position yourself some day.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I agree, sir. Anything else, sir?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any more comment?  (No further comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next board meeting is going to be Monday, the 28th of February at 2 p.m., a joint meeting with the St. Johns County Commissioners in the Conference Room B at 4020 Lewis Speedway, which is down the street.  This is a combined meeting with the commissioners so that some of their concerns and some of ours with the commission, we need to get to them, but understand we are a separate authority from the commissioners.  This meeting is a joint venture between us to try to make things better for the county	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, this MR. CIRIELLO: Whoa. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Public comment, you missed public comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's what's been going on, Mr. Ciriello. All of them, Mr. Harvey and everyone has been having a public comment. MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that was all under 5.B CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No. MR. CIRIELLO: talking about eminent domain and I was waiting for you guys to give your reports. MR. HARVEY: He skipped that, didn't he? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I went through it. Mr. Ciriello, you have a comment, come up, please. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. I apologize for missing it, the procedure. PUBLIC COMMENT MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I want to

Page 49		Page 50	
[1]	all the time they wanted to talk. I think that's	[1]	Augustine Beach.
[2]	good of your board.	[ 2]	St. Johns County, I've been hearing for
[ 3]	I've been to places where they give you	[ 3]	years is such a great place for tourism, and this
[ 4]	three minutes to talk and if you're not done,	[ 4]	expo, while it is aviation-related, would be
[ 5]	they chase you right out, and I think you people	[5]	quite an impact on the county with money.
[ 6]	should be complimented.	[6]	Motels, restaurants and all of that would all
[7]	The reason I'm coming up before you guys is	[7]	benefit.
[8]	I've had an idea in my mind for quite a few	[8]	Now, if you invited all these different
[ 9]	years, it's not just something that's come up	[ 9]	entities to a workshop to get their input on
[10]	recently, about St. Augustine Airport being a	[10]	whether we could do it or not, it would be a good
[11]	host for the AOPA expo.	[11]	start.
[12]	And I always thought that all you did was	[12]	Now, as I read this original paragraph when
[13]	ask for an application and they'd send you one	[13]	I saw this, I said, oh, that's impossible. But
[14]	and you send it in, but after I bugged them a	[14]	yet the airports that they picked for this are
[15]	couple of times, they sent me an e-mail, which	[15]	general aviation airports.
[16]	I'm sure you people have a copy of, and I wanted	[16]	And I don't understand how they say they
[17]	to present it to you with the hopes that the	[17]	want room for 1,200 aircraft at one time. That
[18]	board would consider doing this or, you know,	[18]	seems you know, there must be some loopholes
[19]	trying to, and investigate the possibilities.	[19]	in here or something that isn't explained in
[20]	This isn't just a project for the board. In	[20]	quite details, but I think this airport could
[21]	my opinion, what you would have to do is call for	[21]	possibly pull it off.
[22]	some workshops, get the golf world village	[22]	And if you included all these other entities
[23]	involved, the fixed base operator, the flight	[23]	like the, you know, World Golf Village and Better
[24]	school, Chamber of Commerce, the County	[24]	Business Bureau and Committee of 100 and business
[25]	Commissioners, maybe City of St. Augustine or St.	[25]	people and get all their input, you might be able
Section 11 and the section of the se			
Page 51		Page 52	
Page 51	to somehow qualify for this. And I think it	Page 52	on.
1 -	to somehow qualify for this. And I think it would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the	_	on. You've got a lot to offer. You've got your
[ 1]		[ 1]	
[ 1] [ 2]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the	[ 1] [ 2]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell  (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by — to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Mr. Watts?
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to frutation (sic), and if I'm still around in a few	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell  (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.  Mr. Watts?  MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Bryan, I don't
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to frutation (sic), and if I'm still around in a few years, it would make me real happy to say that our airport has become a stopping way for the expo AOPA expo.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Mr. Watts?  MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Bryan, I don't mean to put you on the spot, but I guess you're a
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to frutation (sic), and if I'm still around in a few years, it would make me real happy to say that our airport has become a stopping way for the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell  (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.  Mr. Watts?  MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Bryan, I don't mean to put you on the spot, but I guess you're a member of the organization, and would you
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to frutation (sic), and if I'm still around in a few years, it would make me real happy to say that our airport has become a stopping way for the expo AOPA expo.  It is a pretty big deal and it's a big organization and you get a lot of publicity. If	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If  Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell  (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.  Mr. Watts?  MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Bryan, I don't mean to put you on the spot, but I guess you're a member of the organization, and would you would you comment on some of these things?
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to frutation (sic), and if I'm still around in a few years, it would make me real happy to say that our airport has become a stopping way for the expo AOPA expo.  It is a pretty big deal and it's a big organization and you get a lot of publicity. If Donna gave you the copy of this last year's	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Mr. Watts?  MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Bryan, I don't mean to put you on the spot, but I guess you're a member of the organization, and would youwould you comment on some of these things?  MR. COOPER: Well, first of all, I'd like to say that Mr. Showalter in Orlando, at Orlando Executive Airport, has been instrumental a number
[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	would be a boom for the St. Johns County plus the airport itself.  Now, this isn't a quickie project. As you can see, they say they hope by to see me in the year 2001 down in Fort Lauderdale. I don't recall where the 2000 thing's going to be, and I don't know if 2002, 3 or 4 is already spoken for.  So this isn't something that you would have to, you know, dig right in and start working on in a matter of minutes. You have a couple of years to work at this particular problem to see if you could qualify.  And as a long-range plan, if you start making inquiries to see if it is possible and invite the proper people and get all their input, it just might be something that could come to frutation (sic), and if I'm still around in a few years, it would make me real happy to say that our airport has become a stopping way for the expo AOPA expo.  It is a pretty big deal and it's a big organization and you get a lot of publicity. If	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	You've got a lot to offer. You've got your beaches. You've got tons of golfing. If Mr. Taylor was here, there would be maybe some ways of lining up some fishing tours, you know, from his Comachee Cove and from Conch Shell (sic). There's all kinds of things to do here.  And the people that come to this might not just come for the two or three days that the expo's here, they may just stay for a whole week and make a big vacation out of it.  So I would really like to see the Authority take the additional step and do something with this project and something serious with it. And that's what I had in mind. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Mr. Watts?  MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Bryan, I don't mean to put you on the spot, but I guess you're a member of the organization, and would youwould you comment on some of these things?  MR. COOPER: Well, first of all, I'd like to say that Mr. Showalter in Orlando, at Orlando

Page 53	3	Page 54	
[ 1]	think he has a relationship with Aero Sport.	[ 1]	convention aspect of it, the need for meeting
[ 2]	They might be interested in discussing that	[ 2]	rooms and hotel rooms and the like.
[ 3]	possibility with him.	[ 3]	And having, you know, our entire staff just
[ 4]	But I remember an article that I read	[ 4]	gone through a similar exercise with the Florida
[ 5]	shortly after the last AOPA expo at Orlando, and	[ 5]	Airport Managers Association to a much smaller
[ 6]	he mentioned in the article, if I remember right,	[ 6]	scale, but the same general exercise that goes
[7]	there were only seven airports in the United	[7]	on, and to be honest, we were probably the
[8]	States that were large enough, had the correct	[ 8]	largest one if we aren't still the largest event
[ 9]	facilities to accommodate that.	[ 9]	that was held, that has been held at World Golf
[10]	I'd be surprised, Joe, if we're one of	[10]	to date. And it's a represents a fraction of
[11]	those. But I think probably before you spend a	[11]	what space they're asking for.
[12]	whole lot of time, if you talk to Mr. Showalter,	[12]	Frankly, I don't unless somebody you
[13]	you'd get a much clearer picture of whether or	[13]	know, unless Joe has some other ideas, I'm not
[14]	not it is something that we could tackle.	[14]	sure where we could handle the convention side of
[15]	I've been to a number of those conventions.	[15]	the event,
[16]	I try to go to them as often as I can. Joe, the	[16]	I mean, my understanding of World Golf is,
[17]	next one this year is in New Orleans. And the	[17]	you know, they have five, six you know, a
[18]	following year will be Fort Lauderdale.	[18]	fraction of the space here. They've got I
[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay.	[19]	know 304 rooms for a fact is all that's available
[20]	MR. WUELLNER: The comments I would add, it	[20]	at World Golf. If you added in even Vistana, you
[21]	appears to be two distinct things. One, there's	[21]	might pick up another hundred rooms, and that's
[22]	an airport side to this meeting, if I understand	[22]	on the high side.
[23]	it correctly, that is a place to park all these	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: I understand there's some
[24]	airplanes and some static displays. And you have	[24]	more motels being built out around the golf
[25]	a distinctly different one that deals with the	[25]	village or golf area.
Page 55		D 56	
Page 55	MR WHELLNER. The only stuff I'm aware of	Page 56	MP_CIDIELLO: Von wordt know that pulses
[ 1]	MR. WUELLNER: The only stuff I'm aware of	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: You won't know that unless
[ 1] [ 2]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or	[ 1] [ 2]	you contact them.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or  MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites.  MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.  And in this industry, I can tell you, there would
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.  And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms. MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.  And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this — in this community in the tourist business
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.  And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this — in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of —
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to hit their threshold rooms.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.  And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of  MR. CIRIELLO: Are you actually saying yes
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to hit their threshold rooms.  Your problem is your meeting space. We've	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here. And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of  MR. CIRIELLO: Are you actually saying yes or no? I mean, are you saying, yes, you'll look;
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to hit their threshold rooms.  Your problem is your meeting space. We've got less than half of what their requirements	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here.  And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of  MR. CIRIELLO: Are you actually saying yes or no? I mean, are you saying, yes, you'll look; or, no, you can't?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to hit their threshold rooms.  Your problem is your meeting space. We've got less than half of what their requirements are. Now, depending on how fixed and hard they	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here. And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of  MR. CIRIELLO: Are you actually saying yes or no? I mean, are you saying, yes, you'll look; or, no, you can't?  MR. LASSITER: I'm saying yes, if they would
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to hit their threshold rooms.  Your problem is your meeting space. We've got less than half of what their requirements are. Now, depending on how fixed and hard they are on these requirements, you know, if they	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here. And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of  MR. CIRIELLO: Are you actually saying yes or no? I mean, are you saying, yes, you'll look; or, no, you can't?  MR. LASSITER: I'm saying yes, if they would allow us the again, I'm saying that what needs
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	was what was built there. Was it Sleep Inn or MR. LASSITER: Comfort Suites. MR. WUELLNER: Comfort Suites there at the intersection, and that's just another hundred or 200 rooms.  MR. LASSITER: If I remember it, I think the convention center has about 54,000 square feet. The Comfort Suites has the around 150 units. And of course the hotel at World Golf Village has the 304. There are to be three other hotels built on that corner, but they total no more I think than around 450 rooms, something in that neighborhood.  Now, the next exit down at 16, there's about another 450, so if you could lump the interchange with Vistana with the 16, you might be able to hit their threshold rooms.  Your problem is your meeting space. We've got less than half of what their requirements are. Now, depending on how fixed and hard they are on these requirements, you know, if they if there is wiggle room in this 120,000, there'd	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	you contact them.  MR. LASSITER: Yeah, absolutely. And I think that's the best thing to do, as Bryan said, contact the guy who's put this thing on and say, how firm are these people?  We would have extreme difficulties meeting their lodging and meeting space before we moved on to even whether the airport could support the number of aircraft that they say are going to come in here.  And I'm like you; I would love this. This would be just great, if we could get it here. And in this industry, I can tell you, there would be 130 percent support from every member in this in this community in the tourist business to bring this type of  MR. CIRIELLO: Are you actually saying yes or no? I mean, are you saying, yes, you'll look; or, no, you can't?  MR. LASSITER: I'm saying yes, if they would allow us the again, I'm saying that what needs to be done is Bryan needs to contact this

	***************************************	Air port Audiority -	·y was	,,,,,,,,,,	
ſ	Page 57		Page 58		
- 1	[ 1]	54,000 square feet to put up, would they even	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I know.	
	[2]	consider us? If the answer is no, there's no	[2]	MR. COOPER: it's not for the airport.	
	[ 3]	need to go any further because we don't have the	[3]	The static display's a total different thing,	
- 1	[ 4]	square footage anywhere else.	[4]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah,	
	[ 5]	The next largest square footage available I	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: Do you happen to know when	
- 1	[ 6]	believe is at the Marriott, and they're maybe	[6]	the next available date is?	
	[7]	another 25 I'm not real sure, but I think it's	[7]	MR. CIRIELLO: No, they didn't tell me.	
- 1	[ 8]	no more than 25,000 square feet, and that's a	[8]	That's what I say, you guys would have to contact	
	[ 9]	good 20 miles down the road.	[9]	AOPA, you have the address, and ask them	
	[10]	So, that's that's the main problem. If	[10]	questions on your own.	
	[11]	you if that's a hurdle you can get by, then,	[11]	You know, I mean, you might talk to the guy	
- 1	[12]	you know, through bussing and whatever, we can	[12]	in Orlando and he might say, no, you can't	
- 1	[13]	pull the 1,900 rooms, but they will not be within	[13]	qualify and you just drop it there, and you go	
_ [ ]	[14]	walking distance. Even if we're out six years	[14]	and talk to AOPA and negotiate with them and tell	
- 1	[15]	from now. I think there's a total room count in	[15]	them how much there is down here.	
_ I	[16]	the county of about 5,000 rooms. But that's	[16]	I mean, I'm always hearing about how great	
_ [ ]	[17]	north to south, east to west.	[17]	this place is. Get in there and fight a little	
- 1	[18]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, that kind of concerned	[18]	bit and they may bend a little bit. You can't	
1 -	[19]	me, but I don't think the other places had that,	[19]	talk to Orlando. You've got to talk directly to	
- 1	[20]	either. I don't know why that's they have it	[20]	AOPA. They're the ones that give the okay.	
- 1	[21]	in there. But you don't usually have that kind	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Joe, thanks for bringing	
- 1	[22]	of room around an airport that they have that	[22]	this to our attention and I guarantee you we will	
	23]	much, you know, within walking distance.	[23]	look into it and see if it's feasible for us and	
i	24]	MR. COOPER: Joe, the walking distance is	[24]		
	25]	for the convention activities	[25]	for the area that we have. If they are hard fast on the square footage, then we'll bring that back	
'	<b>-</b> ,	Total Convention activities	[22]	on the square rootage, then we'll bring that back	
- 1					
	Page 59	100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100	Page	60	
1	Page 59	to you and let you know that, too, you and the	_	60 REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE	
[	_	to you and let you know that, too, you and the public to know.	Page [ 1] [ 2]		
[	1]		[ 1]		
]	1]	public to know.	[ 1] [ 2]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )	
[ [ [	1] 2] 3]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.	[1] [2] [3]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE	
] ] ] ]	1] 2] 3] 4]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )	
] ] ] ] ]	1] 2] 3] 4]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )	
] [ ] [ ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I	
] ] ] ] ] ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
] ] ] ] ] ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true	
] ] ] ] ] ] ] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANETI M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 111] 122]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 111] 122] 133] 144] 155]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 111] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
11	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 111] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18]	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this meeting is adjourned.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1] 2] 3] 4  5  6  7] 8  9  100  111  112  113  114  115  116  117  118  119  (V	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this meeting is adjourned.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
11	1] 2] 3] 4  5] 6  7] 8  9] 10] 111] 122] 133] 144] 155] 166] 177] 188] 199] (V	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] (V	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	
10	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 112] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] (V	public to know.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: But we will look into it.  It's not a dead issue and it's not going to just drop off the desk, all right? I promise you that.  MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other public comment, please?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, anything?  (No comment.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then we'll be at our next meeting at the conference room at St. Johns  Commission on the 28th of February. And this meeting is adjourned.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE  STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 27th day of January, 2000.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710	

Airport Authority - Jan. 24, 2000								
\$	6	apportionment 23/16, 23/18, 23/19, 24/3, 28/1 appraisal 18/6, 19/22, 20/15, 20/16, 20/19, 22/20,						
\$200,000 24/12, 24/14, 26/14, 29/8, 29/25, 30/1 \$250,000 29/9, 29/24 \$50,000 25/25	6 2/11 60 2/16	25/13, 25/24, 27/18, 27/21, 28/14, 41/14 appraisals 25/20, 27/13 appraise 32/22						
\$500,000 26/1 \$900 23/10	7	appraised 17/15, 26/11, 32/13 appraiser 17/22, 19/16, 20/18, 24/7, 27/3, 27/15 appraiser's 24/6						
& 1/17	7 2/14 74.011 30/18 7460s 10/14	appraisers 17/18, 18/1, 24/14, 25/9, 27/22 appraising 27/4 appreciate 15/15						
Q III	75 27/4	approach 14/16, 27/2 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/8, 3/11, 4/5, 4/23, 14/12						
* 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21	8 2/15	approve 3/15, 3/18, 5/6 approved 4/21, 14/9 appurtenant 16/21						
1		April 12/5 apron 14/1, 14/4						
1 1/3 1,200 50/17	825-0570 1/23	area 8/8, 8/14, 8/17, 9/2, 9/4, 11/15, 12/19, 13/4, 13/6, 31/16, 32/1, 43/17, 54/25, 58/24 areas 9/2, 14/3						
1,900 57/13 10,000 13/2, 13/19 100 50/24	9 2/16	Arnold 2/10, 15/3, 15/12, 15/14 arrive 23/12 arriving 20/20						
120,000 55/23 13/31 9/1	90 22/13, 40/8, 40/13 904 1/23	article 53/4, 53/6 aspect 54/1						
130 56/15 14th 12/1 15 2/10	99 10/24	assist 32/14 Assistant 1/20 assisted 25/8						
150 55/9 1510 1/22	A.A.E 1/19	associated 7/9, 9/3, 10/16, 11/5, 22/18, 23/15, 26/15, 38/4						
15th 42/4 16 55/15, 55/17 170 1/17	abandon 22/1, 22/8 ability 13/21, 39/22	Association 5/16, 54/5 Atlanta 14/9 attempt 15/7, 18/7						
18 22/16, 40/21, 40/25 180 40/9	ABSENT 1/12, 20/24 absolute 20/9, 38/20 abuse 20/25	attention 58/22   Attorney 1/18, 2/7, 23/7, 24/20, 31/13						
1999 3/12, 3/16, 3/24 1st 11/15, 17/2, 38/19, 42/15	accept 4/11, 41/14 acceptable 20/19	attorney's 22/20, 23/7, 23/13 attorneys 23/17 attributable 28/3						
2	ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 4/25, 5/4 accepted 4/5 accepting 3/23	August 6/24 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23, 2/6,						
2 2/3, 40/25, 47/13 20 18/23, 21/7, 57/9	accommodate 53/9 accomplished 13/22	5/15, 49/10, 49/25, 50/1 Augustine-St 3/3 authorities 17/4, 17/11, 19/6, 28/8, 28/10, 28/21,						
200 55/6 2000 1/5, 3/5, 3/7, 4/7, 17/2, 51/7, 60/11 2001 51/6	acquire 16/1, 16/23, 20/6, 20/23, 21/15, 40/9 acquired 19/17, 19/24, 21/2, 33/3 acquiring 14/14, 17/4, 19/19, 27/13	30/22   AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 2/11, 3/4, 5/16, 15/5,						
2002 51/8 20th 3/12, 3/16, 3/24, 4/22	acquisition 16/11, 18/10, 40/22 ACTION 2/8, 5/24	15/24, 17/12, 18/12, 18/23, 19/25, 20/22, 21/9, 22/4, 22/19, 24/9, 25/5, 25/23, 28/11, 30/24, 32/18, 47/21, 47/24, 52/12						
24 1/5 24th 3/5, 5/5 25 57/7	activities 57/25   add 53/20   added 54/20	authorized 60/7 authorizes 16/10						
25,000 57/8 25-acre 38/14	address 15/7, 35/17, 58/9 adjourned 59/18, 59/19	authorizing 18/10 available 9/12, 38/24, 44/6, 54/19, 57/5, 58/6 availed 21/21						
27th 60/11 28th 47/13, 59/17	ADJOURNMENT 2/16   Administration 7/1   aerial 24/14	Aviation 6/25, 50/15 aviation-related 50/4						
3	Aero 2/6, 11/10, 12/19, 13/17, 53/1, 56/24 affronts 9/2	awaiting 11/25 award 29/25 awarded 6/24						
3 2/3, 2/4, 51/8 30 7/19, 10/10, 10/12, 22/13, 40/13	afternoon 3/2, 10/11, 15/16, 17/17   afterwards 28/3   agencies 8/11	awarding 7/2 awarding 7/2 aye 3/24, 3/25, 4/1, 4/2, 4/16, 4/17, 4/18, 4/19						
304 54/19, 55/11 32084 1/18, 1/23 395 35/19	agenda 36/12, 36/19, 37/3, 37/8, 45/22, 46/4, 46/11, 46/14	В						
4	agree 22/2, 36/24, 45/3, 45/14, 47/6   agreed 13/16, 15/6   agreement 12/11, 13/25, 22/9, 39/8, 42/12	bad 20/25 Bailey 1/17						
4 2/4, 2/5, 4/6, 4/22, 11/23, 11/24, 51/8 4.B 5/10	aid 10/2, 10/6, 10/19 aircraft 13/21, 50/17, 56/10	BARTIN 2/7 base 49/23 based 9/10, 17/15, 23/7, 29/13, 32/9, 40/16, 41/14						
4.C 5/13 4.D 5/17	airfield	Basis 27/5 Beach 50/1						
4.E 5/21 4020 47/15 417 31/11	16/18, 19/25, 22/19, 30/21, 33/12, 49/10, 50/20, 51/3, 51/20, 52/24, 52/25, 53/22, 54/5, 56/9, 57/22,	beaches 52/3 BEASON 60/6 Bend 31/11 35/10 59/19						
450 55/13, 55/16 47 2/15	58/2   airports 50/14, 50/15, 53/7   Allen 15/3	Bend 31/11, 35/19, 58/18 benefit 23/8, 23/10, 23/11, 27/20, 50/7 bid 27/4						
4796 1/3 48 2/14 4:00 1/6	allow 8/18, 13/20, 14/13, 56/22 allowing 11/19	bidding 10/9 big 51/22, 52/11						
5	amaze 17/25   amend 38/22   amount 21/5, 27/19, 29/22	BILL 1/13 bills 24/13 bit 13/24, 29/6, 42/7, 45/25, 58/18						
5 2/6, 2/7, 2/8, 2/9, 11/23, 12/8, 12/13 5,000 57/16	ancillary-type 23/14 answer 24/25, 27/10, 31/2, 31/19, 34/19, 37/24,	blank 24/18 block 39/15 Blvd 1/22						
5.A 5/25 5.B 15/12, 48/11	40/15, 57/2 answers 31/3 AOPA 49/11, 51/21, 53/5, 58/9, 58/14, 58/20	BOARD 1/8, 1/12, 2/15, 6/11, 14/16, 14/18, 15/7, 18/9, 20/14, 34/12, 34/15, 37/1, 39/4, 41/16, 42/9,						
50 26/14 54,000 55/8, 57/1 5:05 1/6, 59/19	apologize 48/20 application 49/13	45/12, 47/12, 49/2, 49/18, 49/20 board's 15/1 body 16/9, 36/3						
DIGG ANG STAT	apply 23/11	مالان والان المالان						

boom 51/2
brief 6/6, 15/6, 24/22
bring 6/3, 45/16, 56/17, 58/25
bringing 58/21
brings 13/14
broad 25/17, 26/19
broad-brush 25/16, 26/10
brought 15/2, 33/2
brush 25/18
BRYAN 1/20, 52/18, 56/4, 56/23
BRYANT 1/14
budget 6/10, 38/16, 38/21, 38/22, 42/18
bugged 49/14
build 13/1, 33/16, 44/13, 44/14
building 6/8, 11/25, 12/3, 13/3, 44/11
built 44/14, 54/24, 55/2, 55/12
Bureau 50/24
business 3/10, 4/24, 50/24, 56/16
bussings 57/12

calculation 23/12

capital 6/8, 38/16 card 11/20

call 39/4, 39/16, 49/21

came 22/4, 33/25, 34/4

#### C

cards 11/17, 11/21, 21/22 CARL 1/9, 2/12 case 18/18, 21/25, 24/21, 27/24, 30/9 case-by-case 25/15 cases 2/10, 16/16, 20/4, 28/22 ceases 17/25 ceiling 26/4, 26/7 center 55/8 CERTIFICATE 60/1 certificates 23/23 certified 20/18 certify 60/6 Chair 24/24 Chairman 1/9, 3/2, 3/9, 3/14, 3/19, 3/22, 4/2, 4/4, 4/10, 4/13, 4/15, 4/19, 4/21, 4/24, 5/3, 5/8, 5/12, 5/15, 5/19, 5/23, 25/1, 28/24, 30/14, 30/17 31/3, 31/8, 31/10, 31/21, 33/19, 33/22, 34/20, 34/23, 35/8, 35/11, 35/23, 36/23, 37/6, 37/10, 37/13, 37/15, 38/1, 39/24, 40/23, 41/4, 41/20, 43/21, 44/2, 44/4, 44/24, 45/2, 45/14, 45/24, 46/9, 46/16, 46/20, 46/24, 47/2, 47/6, 47/9, 47/12, 48/2, 48/4, 48/7, 48/12, 48/17, 52/16, 58/21, 59/4, 59/9, 59/12, 59/15 Chamber 49/24 chance 18/18, 28/6 change 17/11 changed 16/25, 17/7 changing 16/25 CHARLES 1/9, 1/10 chase 49/5 check 21/24, 24/19 chose 38/21 chosen 40/11 CINDY 1/17, 34/1 Ciriello 48/23 City 49/25 clarity 42/7 clearer 53/13 close 27/16 closer 27/22 closing 19/1 Comachee 52/6 combined 47/17 COMMENT 2/14, 31/5, 33/5, 36/22, 44/25, 47/8, 47/10, 47/11, 48/5, 48/6, 48/9, 48/18, 48/22, 52/21, 59/9, 59/11, 59/14 comments 35/12, 35/24, 53/20 Commerce 49/24 commission 47/19, 59/17 Commissioner/Airport 1/14 Commissioners 47/15, 47/18, 47/21, 49/25 commit 42/10 committed 39/6 Committee 50/24 common 33/1 communicating 11/18 communication 41/11 community 56/16 comparable 32/10 compensation 18/20, 18/22, 21/18, 21/20, 22/15, 28/16, 32/15 complete 7/10, 10/24

completed 7/21, 18/17, 40/6 completion 7/1, 7/23 complimented 49/6 concerned 28/14, 29/23, 57/18 concerns 47/18 Conch 52/6 conclusions 20/21 concrete 46/3, 46/10 Condemnation 16/3, 16/8, 16/9, 23/16, 30/9 condemnations 25/12 condemned 16/6 condemning 17/4, 17/10, 17/12, 18/12, 18/23, 19/5, 20/22, 21/9, 22/3, 24/8, 25/5, 28/7, 28/9, 28/11, 28/19, 28/20, 32/17 condition 31/17 conducted 27/14 Conference 47/15, 59/16 confers 30/21 Connector 25/24 constructed 13/20, 42/13 construction 9/18, 9/23, 10/9, 11/24, 12/12, 12/13, 13/13, 13/23, 14/2, 14/18, 14/22, 38/5, 38/17, 40/4, 40/22 construction-wise 13/15 contact 56/2, 56/5, 56/23, 58/8 contacting 11/8 continue 33/13 continues 7/25 contract 7/3, 14/7 contractor 7/17 contracts 14/14 convention 54/1, 54/14, 55/8, 57/25 conventions 53/15 conviction 28/18 cooker 27/2 COOPER 1/20 copies 5/1 copy 49/16, 51/24 corner 55/12 Corporate 11/23, 13/8 correct 25/7, 41/6, 44/1, 46/7, 46/8, 53/8 correctly 53/23 cost 24/6 costs 22/18, 22/25, 24/5, 24/8, 24/10, 26/15, 26/16, 26/18, 28/3 count 57/15 COUNTY 1/1, 1/14, 3/4, 47/14, 47/23, 49/24, 50/2, 50/5, 51/2, 57/16, 60/4 couple 34/3, 49/15, 51/11 course 22/19, 23/9, 36/11, 55/10 Court 1/22, 16/16, 18/15, 18/16, 21/2, 21/5, 23/10, 60/1 court's 18/25, 19/3, 21/6, 24/13 courts 20/7 Cove 52/6 covered 33/21 creating 15/24 CRR 60/6 currently 7/24, 8/13, 13/12 custom 6/1 cut 29/21 cutter 27/2

## D

dangled 44/19
date 6/3, 12/6, 13/10, 13/14, 38/7, 40/6, 42/3, 54/10, 58/6
Dated 60/11
DAVIS 1/9, 2/12, 3/2, 3/9, 3/14, 3/19, 3/22, 4/2, 4/4, 4/10, 4/13, 4/15, 4/19, 4/21, 4/24, 5/3, 5/8, 5/12, 5/15, 5/19, 5/23, 25/1, 28/24, 30/14, 30/17, 31/3, 31/8, 31/10, 31/21, 33/19, 33/22, 34/20, 34/23, 35/8, 35/11, 35/23, 36/23, 37/6, 37/10, 37/13, 37/15, 38/1, 39/24, 40/23, 41/4, 41/20, 43/21, 44/2, 44/2, 45/2, 45/14, 45/24, 46/9, 46/16, 46/20, 46/24, 47/2, 47/6, 47/9, 47/12, 48/2, 48/4, 48/7, 48/12, 48/17, 52/16, 58/21, 59/4, 59/9, 59/12, 59/15 day 46/6, 47/5, 60/11 days 7/19, 18/24, 21/7, 22/13, 40/8, 40/9, 40/13, 52/9 de 1/22 dead 59/5 deal 22/6, 51/22 dealing 46/18 deals 53/25
December 3/11, 3/16, 3/24, 4/22 decide 16/23, 41/17 decided 22/5, 25/4

decision 18/11, 24/4, 25/8, 29/2, 29/11, 30/3, 37/2, 45/5, 45/13 Declaration 19/14, 19/15 defenses 21/1 defer 42/17, 42/23 delay 39/20, 39/21 delayed 13/24 delivery 11/25 deposit 18/24, 19/2, 21/6 deposited 21/9 depositions 22/23 derived 23/8 deserve 33/10, 34/22, 35/9 design 7/24, 7/25, 8/7, 9/14, 9/17, 10/8, 10/10, 10/12, 12/12, 12/22, 40/3 design/build 14/15 desire 35/2 desk 59/6 detail 27/15, 29/7, 34/7 details 8/1, 38/9, 50/20 determination 24/16, 29/10, 29/13, 32/17 determine 18/20, 21/13, 21/14, 45/8 determined 23/1 determines 18/22, 21/18 determining 32/15 develop 39/9, 39/22, 40/19 difference 19/10, 23/2 difficult 46/25 difficulties 56/7 dig 33/16, 51/10 dilapidated 16/7 Director 1/19, 1/20, 17/18, 25/10, 36/9, 37/17 discovery 18/17 discretion 20/10, 20/12, 20/13, 20/25 discuss 45/22 discussed 17/17 discussing 8/7, 53/2 discussion 6/11, 43/7 discussions 7/25 disparity 18/1 displaced 8/14 displacing 33/8 display's 58/3 displays 53/24 distance 57/14, 57/23, 57/24 distant 36/14 districts 38/14 divide 30/1 documents 12/23 doesn't 17/23, 20/16, 26/21 dollars 23/4 domain 2/10, 15/5, 15/12, 15/25, 16/1, 17/14, 18/11, 33/6, 33/9, 33/24, 34/11, 41/10, 42/19, 43/24, 44/17, 48/14 Donna 51/24 DOT 6/9, 6/18, 7/4, 12/9, 12/24, 13/10, 14/4, 17/3, 26/21, 26/23, 39/7, 39/8, 42/22, 44/6 double-check 30/25 drop 58/13, 59/6 drywall 11/4

# E

e-mail 49/15 east 9/5, 11/23, 57/17 eastern 8/25 easy 27/5 economic 39/19 economics 7/15 Ed 41/19, 41/21, 45/7, 45/16 edge 8/25 EDWARD 1/19 effect 17/1, 31/17, 32/13 effort 14/22 election 4/7 electrical 14/21 eligible 38/5 eminent 2/10, 15/5, 15/12, 15/25, 16/1, 17/14, 18/11, 23/15, 33/6, 33/9, 33/24, 34/11, 41/9, 42/19, 43/24, 44/17, 48/13 emphasize 20/3 employ 19/8 employed 21/19 enact 16/12, 19/12, 22/11 enacted 15/23 enactment 18/9, 21/7 encumbrances 30/12 end 6/2, 8/2, 8/13, 8/18, 17/24, 27/7 ends 25/18

engaged 23/6 engineered 38/10, 43/15 engineering 8/1, 12/23, 19/21, 22/21, 22/22, 40/22 engineers 24/14 entered 39/7 entertain 3/14, 4/10 entities 30/23, 50/9, 50/22 entitled 24/2, 24/7, 28/15 environmental 8/3, 8/11, 8/21, 9/7 envision 38/23 envisioned 43/16 erection 12/3 Esquire 1/17 establish 9/8 established 10/2, 10/4, 11/18 establishing 14/14, 38/11, 39/9 estate 20/18 event 54/8, 54/15 exceed 7/19 Excuse 46/15 executed 12/16, 42/12 execution 43/5 Executive 1/19, 17/18, 25/10, 36/9, 37/17, 52/24 exercise 15/22, 16/3, 16/13, 17/14, 54/4, 54/6 exhibits 22/22 existing 11/9, 11/20, 13/5 exit 55/15 expansion 16/18 expect 7/18, 11/13, 33/14 experience 25/11 expert 15/4, 15/19 expo 49/11, 50/4, 51/21, 53/5 expo's 52/10 extreme 8/5, 56/7

### F

FAA 6/23, 8/22, 9/21, 10/14, 10/15, 14/6 facia 20/23 facilitate 8/4, 10/13, 12/12 facilities 16/18, 42/13, 53/9 facility 9/25, 10/6, 12/7, 14/17, 16/15, 16/22 fact 32/15, 54/19 factor 40/10 fair 17/11, 34/14, 34/15 faith 20/25 fall 10/21, 26/14 false 34/24 farms 16/20 fast 58/24 favor 3/23, 4/16 FCC 10/18 feasibility 8/9 feasible 58/23 February 5/5, 11/15, 11/20, 11/22, 12/2, 12/10, 47/13, 59/17 Federal 6/25, 8/11, 10/22 fee 23/13, 27/25 fees 22/18, 22/20, 22/21, 22/25, 23/1, 23/7, 23/17, 26/10, 26/16, 27/19, 27/23, 28/3 feet 8/12, 8/19, 55/8, 57/1, 57/8 fig 23/11 fight 58/17 fighting 31/13 figure 26/11 figures 25/14, 40/24 file 18/16, 19/11, 19/13 filed 22/17 filing 22/14 FINANCIAL 2/4, 4/23, 4/25, 5/4 find 34/1, 44/15 fine 35/3 finish 14/3 finishing 12/4 fire 11/5 firm 14/15, 56/6 firms 17/20 fiscal 38/25, 39/18 fishing 52/5 fit 15/22 five 54/17 fixed 49/23, 55/21 FL 1/18, 1/23 Fleming 2/7 flight 49/23 floor 26/5, 26/6 Florida 1/4, 6/9, 6/18, 7/4, 12/9, 12/24, 13/10, 14/4, 15/23, 16/16, 17/21, 22/24, 30/17, 30/20, 30/23, 39/8, 42/22, 44/6, 54/4, 60/3

folks 10/25, 11/6, 11/10, 12/11, 13/17 followed 20/19 foot 13/2, 13/3, 13/20 footage 57/4, 57/5, 58/25 forced 30/10 forego 5/3 formal 10/15, 14/5 formalization 38/6 formally 10/17 Fort 51/6, 53/18 forum 13/13 found 12/24 four 13/8 fraction 54/10, 54/18 fraud 20/25 frequencies 10/18 front 17/12 fronts 9/18 frutation 51/18 functions 21/16 fund 9/20 funded 7/4, 13/9 funding 6/13, 9/11, 19/6, 44/8 funds 9/19, 9/21, 19/3, 38/24, 44/6 future 8/23, 20/7, 36/13, 36/14, 36/15

# G

gained 32/18

game 37/25 gas 16/19 gate 11/17, 11/20, 11/21 Ğay 1/17 gentleman 36/1, 41/25, 45/3, 56/24 gentleman's 35/16 gentlemen 3/3, 3/12, 4/8, 5/3, 25/1, 35/11, 35/24, 47/9, 59/12 glad 3/6 golf 49/22, 50/23, 54/9, 54/16, 54/20, 54/24, 54/25, 55/10 golfing 52/3 governing 16/9 Government 10/22 governmental 30/23 grant 6/25, 9/19, 12/11, 12/16, 14/4, 21/2, 21/4, 38/6, 38/8, 38/18, 42/12, 42/25, 43/4, 44/7 granted 15/23, 30/25 grants 38/4, 45/9 ground 20/6 grounded 41/3 Grumman 2/6 guarantee 58/22 guess 25/4, 38/4, 44/7, 52/19 guy 56/5, 58/11 guys 48/14, 49/7, 58/8

### H

half 36/18, 44/13, 55/20 handful 11/2 handle 54/14 hands 12/17, 34/13, 39/10 hangar 11/24, 12/7, 12/8, 12/13, 13/2, 13/18, 13/20 hangars 11/6, 11/23, 13/8, 16/19, 33/16 hanging 37/21, 45/4, 45/11, 45/19 happy 24/24, 51/19 hard 55/21, 58/24 Harvey 31/9, 31/11, 37/16, 43/18 haze 42/6 head 5/18 heads-up 36/9 held 1/3, 4/6, 16/17, 20/4, 54/9 helicopter 12/6 high 54/22 hire 24/20 historically 25/12, 26/9 history 17/9 hit 55/18 hold 13/17 holding 28/6, 33/16 homes 33/3 honest 54/7 hope 47/3, 51/5 hopes 34/25, 49/17 hoping 9/20 host 49/11 hotel 54/2, 55/10 hotels 55/11

hours 34/9 house 16/7, 30/5, 44/14 huge 10/7 humans 37/23 hundred 23/4, 54/21, 55/5 hurdle 57/11 hurry 10/8

### Ι

idea 34/10, 43/11, 43/16, 49/8 ideas 54/13 identified 9/20, 13/9 identify 12/25, 14/3 ILS 9/23, 10/3, 10/9, 10/10, 10/15 immediate 36/15 imminent 14/11 impact 50/5 impacts 8/21, 43/12 implications 8/2 impossible 50/13 improvement 6/9 improvements 38/16 incurred 27/23 Indian 31/11, 35/19 indicated 6/20, 40/13 indicating 26/5 indications 14/8 industry 56/14 information 6/16 informational 6/21 infrastructure 13/6, 39/12 Inn 55/2 input 6/19, 25/9, 50/9, 50/25, 51/16 inquiries 51/15 instructed 34/1 instruction 32/12 instrumental 52/24 intention 10/12 interchange 55/16 interest 39/11 intermediate 36/14 internal 7/23 intersection 55/5 investigate 49/19 invite 6/17, 33/7, 51/16 invited 50/8 issue 28/1, 33/1, 59/5 item 11/3, 14/25, 45/22, 46/11 ITEMS 2/8, 5/24, 11/1 itinerary 51/25

### T

Jacksonville 15/2, 25/23 JAMES 1/9 JANET 60/6 January 1/5, 3/5, 4/6, 4/22, 60/11 JIM 1/14 job 28/5, 28/11, 28/19 Joe 48/23, 53/10, 53/16, 54/13, 57/24, 58/21 JOHNS 1/1, 3/4, 47/14, 50/2, 51/2, 59/16, 60/4 Joint 39/7, 47/14, 47/22 Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/13 JPA 12/9 judge 18/17, 19/20, 19/21, 20/11, 21/14, 23/25, 24/3, 24/15, 29/1 judge's 29/11, 29/12, 30/3 judgment 29/16, 29/18 judgments 29/25 July 13/11, 17/2, 38/6, 42/4, 42/15, 42/21, 43/6, 44/9, 44/21 jury 18/17, 18/19, 18/22, 18/24, 21/10, 21/13, 21/18, 23/21, 26/2, 27/1, 29/10, 32/12, 40/16 justice 28/18

# K

kicked 6/23 kicks 10/15, 10/17

# L

lack 15/4 ladies 3/2 land 26/12, 26/13, 26/18, 27/4, 28/2, 28/16 landfill 22/7

landing 8/20 large 13/18, 53/8 largest 54/8, 57/5 LASSITER 1/9, 2/13 later 13/10 Lauderdale 51/6, 53/18 launching 40/3 law 15/23, 16/16, 16/24, 17/7, 22/24, 30/21 lawyer 26/16 lead 40/10, 40/17 leasehold 11/11 leave 37/21, 45/4 left 45/10 legal 25/9 legislative 17/1 Leon 1/22 Leslie 2/6 lessees 23/22 letter 14/6 Lewis 47/16 Liaison 1/14 licensing 10/23 lien 29/17, 29/20 liens 23/23, 29/2, 29/14, 29/24, 30/11 lighting 7/9, 7/13 likelihood 9/10 Limerock's 7/12 limit 27/19 limitations 24/18 line 19/6, 23/24, 25/6, 30/3, 38/4, 39/24, 42/7, 42/15, 45/15 lines 44/7 lining 52/5 list 11/1, 11/13 little 13/24, 17/9, 29/6, 42/6, 45/25, 58/17, 58/18 live 44/22 location 9/25, 10/16 lodging 56/8 Logistics 12/7 long-range 20/7, 51/14 loopholes 50/18 lost 29/23, 32/19 love 56/12 low 26/24 lump 55/16 luxury 26/20

### M

main 57/10 maintenance 10/25, 11/6 majority 7/5 Malaga 1/17 Management-related 38/12 Managers 54/5 manner 28/20, 28/22, 39/2 map 15/20, 33/7 March 37/18, 43/19, 43/24, 44/3, 44/4, 44/20, 46/7 Mark 2/10, 15/3, 15/12, 15/14 market 18/4, 27/16, 32/9 married 21/9 Marriott 57/6 marsh 9/3 matter 21/19, 51/11 matures 9/13 mechanism 10/14 Meeting 1/2, 2/3, 2/15, 3/4, 3/5, 3/8, 3/9, 3/15, 3/23, 4/6, 5/5, 6/2, 12/10, 33/25, 45/17, 45/23, 46/1, 46/3, 46/5, 46/6, 46/11, 47/12, 47/14, 47/17, 47/22, 53/22, 54/1, 55/19, 56/7, 56/8, 59/16, 59/18, 59/19 Mel 31/9, 31/11, 37/16, 43/18 MEMBER 2/11, 52/20, 56/15 MEMBERS 1/8, 1/12, 34/12 mentioned 53/6 method 8/12, 40/11, 40/14, 41/18 methodologies 20/19 mike 31/19 miles 57/9 mind 29/6, 49/8, 52/15 minute 31/4 MINUTES 2/3, 3/8, 3/11, 3/15, 3/23, 4/5, 4/6, 4/11, 4/22, 49/4, 51/11 missed 26/24, 48/5 missing 48/21 mistakes 27/6 mitigation 8/4, 8/9, 9/8 mobilization 7/17 Monday 1/5, 46/7, 47/13

money 12/25, 14/1, 21/5, 39/9, 39/12, 42/21, 50/5

money's 21/8 month 6/15, 7/20, 11/22 months 6/7, 9/13, 10/21, 22/16, 40/21, 40/25, 42/2 mortgage 30/7 mortgages 23/23, 29/2, 29/3 Motels 50/6, 54/24 motion 3/15, 3/17, 4/11, 4/12 move 11/9, 11/10, 11/16, 12/2, 12/14, 13/21, 14/13, 19/7, 38/22, 39/5, 39/12, 39/14, 39/18, 39/21, 41/9, 41/15, 41/17, 43/7 moved 13/12, 56/8 moving 9/23, 12/22, 36/11, 41/22, 42/18 Mr. Arnold 15/11, 15/13, 25/7, 25/17, 26/19, 29/4, 29/12, 30/14, 30/16, 30/19, 31/19, 31/22, 32/3, 32/8, 33/22, 34/8 Mr. Bryant 5/8 Mr. Chair 45/1 Mr. Chairman 35/25, 36/24, 52/18 Mr. Charles 2/13 MR. CIRIELLO 48/3, 48/4, 48/5, 48/8, 48/10, 48/13, 48/18, 48/20, 48/23, 52/16, 53/19, 54/23, 56/1, 56/18, 57/18, 58/1, 58/4, 58/7, 59/3, 59/8 MR. COOPER 52/22, 57/24, 58/2 Mr. Davis 22/6 Mr. Dennis 2/13 MR. FLEMING 5/17, 5/18, 5/19 MR. HARVEY 31/7, 31/9, 31/11, 31/20, 31/25, 32/6, 33/4, 33/19, 35/21, 37/15, 37/16, 38/1, 43/18, 43/22, 43/23, 44/1, 44/10, 44/24, 46/12, 48/8, 48/16 Mr. James 2/12 Mr. John 2/6 MR. LASSITER 3/17, 3/19, 3/25, 4/14, 4/15, 4/17, 25/2, 25/3, 25/11, 26/9, 35/25, 36/6, 36/21, 36/25, 41/19, 41/20, 41/21, 42/24, 43/9, 43/11, 55/3, 55/7, 56/3, 56/21 Mr. Leslie 5/12, 5/13, 5/14 Mr. Mark 15/3 MR. MUSSELLS 33/21, 34/17, 34/22, 35/4, 35/9, 35/18, 35/21, 35/23, 36/5, 36/20, 37/5, 37/9, 37/12, 37/14, 46/15, 46/17, 46/22, 46/25, 47/3 Mr. Richard 2/6 Mr. Robert 2/7 Mr. Rose 4/25 Mr. Showalter 52/23, 53/12 Mr. Taylor 52/4 MR. WATTS 3/21, 3/22, 4/1, 4/12, 4/13, 4/18, 28/24, 28/25, 29/5, 30/13, 36/23, 36/24, 45/1, 45/2, 45/3, 52/17, 52/18 Mr. Weaver 5/9, 5/10, 5/11 Mr. Wuellner 5/24, 6/1, 35/13, 35/14, 35/20, 38/2, 38/3, 40/2, 41/2, 41/7, 42/8, 43/4, 43/10, 43/14, 43/25, 44/3, 44/5, 45/21, 46/8, 53/20, 55/1, 55/4, 58/5 MS. BARTIN 5/21, 5/22, 5/23

### N

Ms. Cindy 2/7

Mussells 35/18

name 15/14, 31/9, 35/16, 35/18 nature 16/20, 22/23, 45/9 nav 10/2, 10/6, 10/19 nearing 7/1, 10/10 necessary 8/4, 9/4, 9/9, 19/23, 20/2, 20/3, 22/21, 22/22 necessitate 9/24 necessity 20/8, 20/9, 20/10 need 22/5, 23/25, 33/18, 35/15, 35/16, 37/19, 37/23, 41/8, 43/1, 44/16, 45/7, 45/16, 47/19, 54/1, needing 42/11 needs 18/12, 45/13, 56/22, 56/23 neglected 11/3 negotiate 18/7, 58/14 negotiating 12/18 neighborhood 35/6, 55/14 new 11/21, 42/17, 44/11, 44/12, 53/17 nonpaved 8/17 normal 36/12 North 1/3, 8/20, 22/7, 57/17 notes 60/9 notified 41/5 number 12/13, 17/23, 20/17, 23/12, 26/2, 26/3, 52/24, 53/15, 56/10 numbers 27/7

### 0

obtain 18/6 obtained 25/25, 27/21 occupancy 12/6 October 38/19, 39/3, 39/19, 42/20, 44/22 offer 17/6, 17/13, 17/14, 41/8, 41/14, 52/2 offered 23/3 office 13/3, 14/10, 15/2 officers 4/7 operates 19/1 operator 49/23 opinion 49/21 opportunity 15/15 opposed 4/2, 4/19 opposition 4/3, 4/20 optimistically 12/20 order 3/10, 4/24, 16/12, 21/2, 21/4, 21/8, 22/1 organization 51/23, 52/20 original 50/12 originally 38/14, 43/25 Orlando 52/23, 52/25, 53/5, 56/24, 58/12, 58/19 Orleans 53/17 outcome 10/8 overlook 27/6 overview 24/22 owed 29/9 owner 17/6, 20/24, 22/3, 22/25, 23/3, 23/6, 23/8, 24/19, 25/25, 32/16, 32/19, 32/23, 45/10 owner's 23/1, 24/7, 24/19, 26/3 owners 17/8, 17/10, 26/22, 28/8, 32/5, 33/2

#### P

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 47/14, 59/19 package 8/10, 9/6, 10/12 packaged 8/24 paid 24/8, 30/7 painting 11/4, 11/7 panic 9/16 paragraph 50/12 parallel 7/24, 8/5, 10/1 parameters 8/8, 10/16 parcel 19/17, 38/14 parcels 19/18, 27/4, 27/12, 27/14 park 53/23 part 7/10, 12/21, 13/15, 38/8 Participation 39/7 parties 22/2 Pat 5/1 paved 7/7 paving 7/10, 7/14 pay 21/20, 22/20, 22/24, 23/17, 27/19, 42/13 pending 10/8 percentage 26/12, 27/25, 28/2 performed 21/17, 27/14 permits 38/12, 38/13 permitting 10/23, 44/11 petition 18/16, 19/12, 19/13 phase 13/1, 13/4, 24/5 phasing 10/7, 39/16 photos 24/15 physical 31/17 pick 54/21 picked 35/16, 50/14 picture 53/13 pie 23/20, 23/21, 24/1, 29/21 piece 23/20, 24/1, 24/12, 29/8, 31/15, 31/18, 32/2, 32/24, 44/15 pieces 7/3, 41/24 pike 36/10 Pilots 5/16 place 7/12, 11/14, 13/20, 33/14, 39/22, 41/8, 50/3, 53/23, 58/17 placed 19/16 places 38/16, 49/3, 57/19 plan 6/9, 51/14 planes 33/17 planning 20/7, 37/20, 41/22, 41/24 plot 33/ plow 10/5 plus 26/15, 51/2 podium 31/6 point 7/11, 13/16, 20/22, 21/23, 38/23, 39/6, 41/17, 42/16, 42/23, 43/15 Ponce 1/22 pond 33/16 portion 7/7, 8/6, 9/20, 39/12

position 9/18, 47/4 positions 18/19 possibilities 49/19 possibility 53/3 possible 38/7, 51/15 poured 11/25 power 15/22, 15/24, 16/1, 16/4, 16/13, 17/14 predict 26/8 preparation 12/8 prepared 12/23, 43/5 Presentation 2/10 press 39/1 pretty 14/23, 20/1, 25/14, 27/16, 33/21, 36/8, prima 20/23 primer 15/17 priority 29/13, 29/20, 29/23, 30/4 private 16/2, 16/11 problem 36/2, 51/12, 55/19, 57/10 procedurally 15/9 procedure 18/15, 19/4, 19/9, 19/10, 48/21 proceedings 15/5, 23/14, 44/18, 60/8 proceeds 30/4, 30/8, 30/11 process 6/8, 7/2, 10/20, 11/7, 11/17, 12/22, 14/13, 15/6, 15/7, 16/3, 22/10, 22/19, 23/16, 41/7, 41/12, 41/17, 42/15, 42/19, 42/20, 44/11 product 28/13 profile 25/5 Program 14/7 Project 2/9, 5/25, 6/23, 7/3, 7/6, 7/8, 7/9, 7/21, 8/2, 8/22, 9/17, 9/23, 10/24, 11/1, 13/7, 13/9, 14/2, 14/20, 14/24, 20/5, 25/6, 26/21, 38/8, 38/9, 38/17, 39/5, 39/17, 40/3, 41/1, 42/10, 43/2, 43/8, 44/5, 49/20, 51/4, 52/14 projects 6/3, 6/6, 6/12, 6/13, 6/22, 9/10, 19/6, 39/11, 39/14 promise 59/6 promised 6/10 properties 32/21 property 16/2, 16/6, 16/11, 16/24, 17/6, 17/8 17/10, 17/16, 17/25, 18/2, 18/3, 18/10, 18/21, 18/25, 19/2, 19/16, 19/24, 20/1, 20/6, 20/15, 20/24, 21/1, 21/10, 21/14, 21/15, 22/3, 22/5, 22/25, 23/1, 23/3, 23/4, 23/6, 23/8, 23/20, 23/24, 24/6, 24/12, 24/19, 25/25, 26/3, 26/22, 28/8, 28/19, 29/8, 29/9, 31/15 31/18, 31/23, 32/2, 32/4, 32/9, 32/14, 32/16, 32/18, 32/22, 32/24, 33/2, 33/14, 34/18, 34/21, 34/25, 35/5, 35/12, 37/3, 42/11, 43/2, 44/15, 45/10, 46/19, 46/21, 46/23 proposal 42/25 proposed 10/16 prorate 30/6 prosecutor 28/12, 28/17 provide 6/19, 9/4, 17/6, 38/3 PUBLIC 2/14, 16/2, 16/11, 16/13, 16/17, 16/20, 16/24, 19/24, 20/2, 31/5, 33/17, 35/17, 36/3, 36/4, 48/5, 48/6, 48/9, 48/22, 59/2, 59/9, 59/11 publicity 51/23 published 46/13 pull 50/21, 57/13 punch 11/1 purchase 18/7 purchasing 17/24 purpose 16/2, 16/12, 16/14, 16/17, 16/24, 19/25, 20/2, 27/7 purposes 6/21, 9/7, 10/18, 16/21, 38/11, 39/8 put 8/22, 21/5, 28/13, 52/19, 56/5, 57/1 puts 42/14 putting 14/6

# Q

qualified 18/1
qualify 51/1, 51/13, 58/13
question 25/16, 26/10, 26/20, 27/10, 30/15, 31/2,
31/3, 31/14, 33/25, 36/6, 56/25
questions 3/12, 3/13, 4/8, 4/9, 5/6, 5/7, 15/8,
24/23, 25/2, 28/23, 28/25, 35/24, 47/25, 48/1, 58/10
quick 15/16, 15/18, 18/13, 21/19, 21/24, 22/12,
25/3, 30/20, 30/22, 40/13
quick-taking 19/8, 19/10
quickie 51/4

# R

range 25/13, 26/1 ranges 28/4 ratio 26/18 reach 45/12

read 34/3, 50/12, 53/4 reality 39/1 reason 34/14, 39/20, 49/7 reasonable 20/8, 20/10, 22/25, 24/17 reasonableness 24/11 reasons 39/19 recall 51/7 recommended 14/16 record 29/17, 30/15, 35/15, 35/17, 60/9 recorded 29/18 recovering 8/12 redoing 7/8 reestablish 8/17 registry 18/25, 19/3, 21/7 Regular 1/2, 3/11, 46/6 rehab 14/1 relate 23/24 related 8/3 relationship 26/18, 53/1 relocated 11/11 Relocation 7/13, 9/24 remain 31/4 remaining 10/25 remember 53/4, 53/6, 55/7 remind 9/15 removal 9/24, 13/5 rent 33/16 replace 32/1, 32/25, 33/1 replaced 31/16, 31/25 replacement 10/2, 13/18 report 2/12, 2/13, 4/25, 5/4, 5/22, 60/7 RÉPORTER'S 60/1 Reporters 1/22 REPORTS 2/4, 2/5, 2/11, 4/23, 5/8, 48/15 represent 17/10, 26/22, 32/4 representation 23/9 representative 6/18 represented 28/7, 28/8 representing 25/22, 28/11 represents 54/10 request 10/17, 15/1, 17/8 requested 11/9, 12/8 require 11/4 required 13/7, 21/6 requirements 8/15, 9/8, 55/20, 55/22 requires 25/6 research 32/10 residential 31/24 resolution 12/11, 12/15, 16/10, 18/9, 19/12, 22/11, 41/9 respond 36/3 responsibility 37/1 restaurants 50/6 result 8/14 review 10/15, 36/19 reviewing 44/5 reviews 14/10 rights 36/2 RMR 60/6 road 15/20, 21/11, 31/12, 35/19, 57/9 Roger 35/18 Rogers 1/17, 15/3, 34/4 rolls 33/14 Room 47/15, 50/17, 55/23, 55/24, 57/15, 57/22, 59/16 rooms 54/2, 54/19, 54/21, 55/6, 55/13, 55/18, 57/13, 57/16 ROSE 1/13 route 40/20 RPR-CP 60/6 run 26/17 running 43/19 runway 8/13, 8/18, 8/19, 8/25, 9/5 runways 16/19 rush 9/16

# S

S.A.P.A 2/7
safety 8/14, 8/17, 9/4
sale 30/8, 30/10
saw 50/13
scale 54/6
school 49/24
scope 43/12
scatched 40/24
seaplane 9/2
second 3/20, 3/21, 4/14, 14/25, 29/3

SECRETARY 5/2 self-sufficient 33/13, 33/15 send 49/13, 49/14 sense 10/5, 36/20, 37/11, 39/18 sent 14/9, 49/15 separate 9/9, 21/16, 47/20, 47/24 serious 52/14 session 17/1 set 19/7, 22/2 settled 23/5 seven 53/7 Shakes 5/18 Shell 52/6 shortage 39/13 shoulders 7/7 show 19/20, 20/8, 43/20 side 9/5, 10/22, 11/23, 44/8, 53/22, 54/14, 54/22 sides 18/18, 18/19, 22/9 signify 3/24, 4/16 signs 14/7 simple 56/25 sitting 48/24 six 10/21, 42/2, 54/17, 57/14 size 43/12 SK 12/6, 12/7 skin 13/18 skipped 48/16 slab 11/24 Sleep 55/2 slow 18/14, 18/15, 21/21, 25/4 slow-take 19/4 smaller 54/5 so-desire 6/17 sold 30/5 solid 42/3 sought 19/24 sources 9/11 south 8/2, 8/13, 8/18, 9/1, 57/17 southwest 8/5 space 54/11, 54/18, 55/19, 56/8 specifications 11/4 spectrum 25/19 speed 15/10, 39/4 Speedway 47/16 spend 53/11 spirit 6/5 Sport 2/6, 11/10, 12/19, 13/17, 53/1, 56/24 spot 52/19 square 13/2, 13/3, 13/19, 55/8, 57/1, 57/4, 57/5, 57/8, 58/25 Stabilization's 7/12 stabilize 9/3 stabilized 8/17 stabilizing 8/24 staff 25/9, 54/3 stage 41/24 stages 41/22 standpoint 10/23, 38/17, 38/21, 39/25, 40/4 start 39/23, 40/6, 42/2, 43/24, 44/17, 50/11, 51/10, 51/14 starts 41/12 startup 40/21 state 8/11, 12/18, 17/21, 30/18, 35/14, 60/3 states 19/15, 53/8 static 53/24, 58/3 Statute 30/18, 30/20, 34/6 statutes 34/2 statutory 23/11 stay 52/10 stenographic 60/9 stenographically 60/7 step 52/13 stopping 51/20 storage 13/22 straight 21/12 Street 1/17, 47/16 strengthening 7/6 stuff 55/1 subject 20/15, 24/10, 30/11 submittal 10/13 submitted 10/20 submitting 8/10 substitute 20/12 sue 29/15 sufficient 20/8, 27/15, 27/16 suit 22/14, 22/17 Suite 1/22 Suites 55/3, 55/4, 55/9 support 56/9, 56/15

suspect 12/9 synopsis 34/5

### T

T-hangar 10/24, 11/12 T-hangars 13/5 table 21/23, 28/14, 41/8 tackle 53/14 takeoffs 8/20 talk 49/1, 49/4, 53/12, 58/11, 58/14, 58/19 talking 32/20, 41/21, 48/13 tank 16/20 tax 23/23, 33/14 taxes 29/25, 30/6 Taxiway 6/22, 7/8, 7/23, 7/24, 8/5, 10/1 TAYLOR 1/13 tenant 12/14 tenants 11/9, 11/19, 11/21 terminal 12/19, 13/4 terminals 16/19 terms 10/7, 13/23, 15/4, 39/21 testimony 19/21, 19/22, 19/23, 22/22 Thank 3/19, 3/22, 4/13, 4/15, 5/19, 15/13, 30/13, 31/4, 33/4, 33/18, 33/19, 35/20, 35/23, 37/5, 37/14, 37/15, 37/25, 38/1, 44/22, 44/24, 48/24, 52/15, 52/16, 59/3, 59/8 thanks 58/21 They've 6/19, 26/24, 54/18 third 46/7 thousand 23/5 three 19/18, 25/3, 34/9, 49/4, 52/9, 55/11 threshold 55/18 throws 41/15 tie-in 14/22 tied 9/22, 14/20, 34/14, 42/22 till 40/5 time 6/10, 7/16, 7/19, 7/20, 13/19, 18/12, 21/8, 22/11, 25/6, 27/16, 36/15, 37/2, 38/4, 38/20, 39/24, 40/10, 40/17, 41/5, 41/15, 42/7, 42/15, 43/1, 43/6, 44/7, 45/25, 49/1, 50/17, 53/12 timely 36/19 times 49/15, 52/25 timing 6/13, 36/7 title 19/1, 22/14 tons 52/3 tool 32/14 tourism 50/3 tourist 56/16 tours 52/5 tower 14/5, 14/7, 14/17 Towers 1/17, 15/3, 34/4 transcript 60/8 transfers 19/2, 22/14 transition 11/20 transportation 16/15, 25/22 trial 21/11, 22/15 trier 32/14 true 60/8 two 6/7, 6/15, 9/18, 13/5, 19/17, 21/16, 27/22, 37/8, 40/19, 52/9, 53/21 type 13/13, 17/20, 27/24, 56/17

### U

U.S 1/3 unfortunate 42/3 United 53/7 units 55/9 Update 2/9, 5/25, 6/6 updates 14/24 users 11/21

# V

vacation 52/11
valid 20/14, 20/16
value 17/15, 18/2, 18/24, 19/16, 20/20, 26/12, 27/17, 28/2, 32/9, 32/13
valued 23/21
vault 14/21
venture 47/22
versa 18/5
vice
village 49/22, 50/23, 54/25, 55/10
Vistana 54/20, 55/17
VOR 9/24
vote 4/4, 33/9

## W

waiting 7/10, 7/14, 11/12, 14/2, 14/5, 30/2, 48/14 walking 57/14, 57/23, 57/24 walls 11/5 Washington 14/10 Water 38/12 waterfront 46/18, 46/23 WATTS 1/10, 2/13 Weaver 2/6 week 10/13, 11/19, 12/2, 12/20, 52/10 Welcome 3/3, 3/6 west 57/17 wetland-related 38/13 wetlands 8/3 Whoa 48/3 widening 7/7 width 9/4 wife 32/25 wiggle 55/23, 55/24 willing 42/10 willingness 6/20 window 40/9 wish 39/5, 39/20, 42/20, 42/23 Wonderwood 25/23 words 8/16, 20/11, 22/3, 23/9, 24/11, 26/16, 27/22, 29/15 work 6/9, 7/5, 14/4, 17/20, 24/21, 28/21, 28/23, 45/7, 51/12 work's 13/22 worked 17/20, 22/6 working 10/4, 51/10 works 27/25 workshop 50/9 workshops 49/22 world 24/15, 49/22, 50/23, 54/9, 54/16, 54/20, 55/10 worth 18/4, 21/14, 29/8, 29/24 wrap 7/18 wrapped 12/21 write 21/24, 42/25 written 17/6, 17/13, 41/8 WUELLNER 1/19

### X

# X 2/1

### Y

year 3/6, 6/2, 6/10, 6/24, 13/11, 22/16, 36/17, 38/7, 38/15, 38/19, 38/25, 40/5, 40/17, 40/21, 41/22, 42/18, 44/13, 51/6, 53/17, 53/18 year's 51/24 years 22/7, 37/8, 40/19, 40/25, 49/9, 50/3, 51/12, 51/19, 57/14