1	ST. AUGUSTINE -	ST.	JOHNS	COUNTY	AIRPORT	AUTHORITY

2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Tuesday, November 13, 2007
6	from 4:09 p.m. to 6:56 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE
10	RANDY BRUNSON JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
10	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
11	KELLY BARRERA, Secretary-Treasurer
12	*******
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
15	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	*******
19	

20	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR St. Augustine Court Reporters
21	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084
22	(904) 825-0570
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX		
2		PAGE		
3	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3	
4	3.	APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUT	TES	3
5	4.	FINANCIAL REPORT	4	
6	5.	AGENDA APPROVAL	4	
7	6.	COMMITTEE REPORTS	7	
8	7.	REPORTS	13	
9	8.	PROJECT UPDATES	17	
10	9.	ACTION ITEMS		
11 12		A. ARAQUAY PARK PROPERTIE B. ENGINEERING AGREEMENT F FACILITY C PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PI	OR U.S. CUS	25 STOMS 41
]	D. SWPP/SPCC PROGRAM UPDA E. CAR RENTAL AGREEMENTS	TES 6	60
1415		F. 2ND FBO DISCUSSION G. MEETINGS VIA TELECONFERI H. SALARY FOR EXECUTIVE DI		108 115
16	10	. HOUSEKEEPING	150	
17	11	. PUBLIC COMMENT GENERAL		155
18	12	. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORT	S:	
19]	A. Ms. Kelly Barrera, Secretary-Trea B. Mr. John Gorman	asurer 167 168	

C. Mr. Wayne George	169
D. Ms. Suzanne Green, Chairman	172
21	
13. NEXT BOARD MEETING	173
22	
14. ADJOURNMENT	173
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're going to bring the
3	meeting to order. Okay. It's a little after
4	4:00, but we're going to bring the meeting to
5	order. Stand for the pledge to the flag, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. First item's approval
9	of the minutes. Any additions, deletions, or
10	exceptions to the minutes of the last meeting?
11	MR. GEORGE: Executive compensation needs to
12	be added, doesn't it?
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is minutes from the
14	last meeting.
15	MR. GEORGE: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
17	MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry. I'm thinking of the
18	agenda.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there any exceptions or

- additions to the minutes from the last meeting?
 MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion we approve.
 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We don't really need
- a motion. If there's no exceptions, we'll
- 24 accept --
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: the minutes as accepted.
2	4 FINANCIAL REPORT
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Financial report. Kelly?
4	MS. BARRERA: We're going to need to defer
5	that to the next meeting. I received the
6	financial report but haven't had the time
7	available to review it.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, we'll have two
9	next time?
10	MS. BARRERA: Uh-huh.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Agenda. Now.
12	5 AGENDA APPROVAL
13	MR. GEORGE: No, no, no.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The agenda
15	MR. GEORGE: We need to add something about
16	the executive compensation.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's under my comments under
18	Authority members.
19	MR. GORMAN: I'd like to add something.

- 20 MR. GEORGE: Point of order. You can't vote
- on anything that comes up in comments. It's got
- to be an agenda item if you're going to vote on
- it. But we'll do it whatever way you want to.
- MR. WUELLNER: You can move it out.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hmm?

1	MR. WUELLNER: You can move it out. You do
2	whatever you please.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.
4	MR. WUELLNER: That's why you do this.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I tried to put it on a
6	couple of times and had to delay it because I
7	didn't get responses, which I still have not
8	complete responses, but I'll get that when I get
9	there.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I just thought
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If it's on the we can
12	line item it out, but yes, it's going to be
13	discussed today.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other comments or
16	approvals of the agenda?
17	MR. GORMAN: One more little addition, just a
18	quick discussion that won't take long of a
19	telephone conference for for participation by

20	board members for workshops.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There is
22	MR. GORMAN: Is that on there?
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, but in your packet of
24	things, there is an opinion that was given as
25	to

I	MR. GORMAN: Right.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: what the requirements
3	are. Did you get to read that yet?
4	MR. GORMAN: I've kind of discussed it
5	already with our attorney, Doug, and so I kind of
6	know what's but what I want to do is get kind
7	of a board opinion as to whether we're going to be
8	able to do that and expedite it, you know, like
9	right away.
10	MR. BURNETT: And it may not be a bad idea to
11	have a little bit of discussion about it.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'll have some. I
13	just I mean, I didn't know anything about it
14	until when I walked in and saw this, so
15	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.
17	MR. GEORGE: Add it on the bottom?
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. Any other agenda
19	items?

20	MR. WUELLNER: Essentially, a deduction
21	I'll cover it during project updates, but the

- south development park discussion will be deferred
- till next month as an item.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay.
- MR. GORMAN: Are we going to have a short

1	discussion, anyway, Ed, or are we just going
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I'll mention it in
3	project updates
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Updates.
5	MR. WUELLNER: and you can
6	MR. GORMAN: Okay. That's fine.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Whatever you want to
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, we've added the
9	two well, moved the two items. Can the agenda
10	be approved then with those two changes?
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. With those two
13	changes, the agenda is approved. Committee
14	reports. MPO?
15	6 COMMITTEE REPORTS
16	MR. BRUNSON: MPO, we met Tuesday, November
17	6th, and it was a good meeting. And we had James
18	Boyle from the JTA who gave us two extensive
19	reports on the study, the regional commuter rail

25

20	study. And I think next meeting, I will have a
21	web site to where you can go to this web site and
22	see where the proposed rail will come from
23	Jacksonville to here and to different places
24	throughout the northeast.

And also, he gave a presentation on the

1	waterborne study, which is the air boats that
2	possibly would be ferries could go across the St.
3	Johns River and different things. And it's really
4	exciting and really forward thinking. And instead
5	of tying up the board with the presentation on it,
6	I will have the web site for you.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. EDC?
8	MR. GEORGE: Ed, can you get that one that
9	you put on the on your
10	MR. WUELLNER: Give me a half second.
11	MR. GEORGE: server? I met with Nick
12	Sacia today and kind of went over where do we
13	stand with our industrial park and everything.
14	And I just want to make sure that you guys were up
15	to spec on what they're looking at. They're
16	looking at four different sites, and I
17	MR. WUELLNER: I'll have it here in just a
18	second for you.
19	MR. GEORGE: I'll just keep talking while

- you're doing that, slowly.
- MR. WUELLNER: I'll leave that alone.
- MR. GEORGE: Basically, the -- the consulting
- company that's putting the analysis together, it's
- kind of dragging out and dragging out. Hopefully,
- it will be done by the first quarter. And this

1	report that will come back, it will analyze four
2	different locations, and it will try to give you
3	the pros and cons of each of the locations and
4	also give you the business analysis of what you
5	could expect to to receive, you know, from
6	this, you know, type of an arrangement.
7	When you're talking about the our
8	particular property on the other side of of
9	U.S. 1, which there's our airport and this
10	particular site that's over here, they're looking
11	at this one up here to put in offices, if
12	possible. So the the Racetrack Road up there.
13	And then one of the things that they think
14	they have an advantage of is concurrency. There's
15	no concurrency floating around for most of these
16	things, and they're hoping they'll be able to
17	influence it.
18	The airport site, they are anticipating
19	and I need to ask Ed, your opinion, or Doug's

20	anticipating a problem with since it is property
21	that has been purchased with FAA dollars, that we
22	cannot sell that land as an industrial park. We
23	have to do a land lease type of arrangement with
24	that.
25	Now, they're also looking at some of the

1	Florida East Coast Railroad's property to the west
2	of that, which I would we were discussing at
3	lunch, that that would be a golden opportunity for
4	land that you can sell, because we're not under
5	the FAA to come, you know, back in for that.
6	One of the other sites down here, you know,
7	one of our sites is is 206 and I-95. And, you
8	know, this is all getting down to the south end of
9	the county. And the population and the business
10	is not down there yet, so in my opinion, you're
11	looking at a 20-year plan, you know, before that
12	actually gets populated.
13	But they're looking at this as probably
14	heavily industrial, you know, in this area.
15	They're looking at Hastings as a let's reserve the
16	land in case, you know, we need it. And as you
17	come off of 206 and 207 into Hastings, where it
18	makes a turn, it's the land just south of that
19	turn, is what they're looking at.

20	I was concerned that they might be looking at
21	the airport and Mr. Smith's property to the to
22	the north of the airport. But but they're
23	looking at some time in the first quarter to have
24	some answers on, you know, these types of things.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Intergovernmental?

1 MR. GEORGE: I couldn't make the 2 Intergovernmental meeting, and I think that Ed was 3 there for a period, and Gene--MR. WUELLNER: Actually, Bryan was there. 4 5 MR. GEORGE: And Bryan was there? 6 MR. GORMAN: Am I not the alternate for the Intergovernmental? 7 8 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. GEORGE: I think you are. 9 10 MR. WUELLNER: You were there? MR. GORMAN: I was not informed that you 11 could not make it. 12 MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry. That was my fault 13 for not telling Cindy I couldn't make it. I just 14 went straight to Intergovernmental, you know, the 15 lady there. I apologize for that. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, is there anything from what little bit you were there? 18 MR. WUELLNER: No. I wasn't there. 19

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh.
- MR. WUELLNER: Bryan sat in it, and
- 22 unfortunately, he's not here right now.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aerospace Academy?
- Kelly, Ed and I went to the last meeting,

1	which was very informative. I mean, this is an
2	exciting program. I think it's exciting. But a
3	lot of opportunity for our kids. They're going to
4	be doing some stuff here on the airport. We're
5	going to have some special like I don't want to
6	call it mentoring or whatever with the kids.
7	MS. BARRERA: Internships.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Internships coming out
9	They're still looking for a director to teach.
10	The ideal would be, obviously, a physician, a
11	flight surgeon or something along those lines, to
12	run the program. Although they will take they
13	need some teachers, too. Master's, master's. You
14	have to have a master's, because it's college
15	credit courses.
16	So, if anyone knows of anybody that would fit
17	those qualifications, just let Kelly, Ed, or I
18	know, because we're looking for some qualified
19	teachers there. But it's it's very exciting.

20	We're doing isn't it the 30th of January,
21	there's a function? And May 1st, they're giving
22	out awards. And there's a number of things. And
23	the airport's pretty involved with all of it. And
24	we'll have our graduating kids and getting all
25	their credits for college.

1	I think our next meeting is February.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: PR, Kelly?
4	MS. BARRERA: I'm going to piggyback onto
5	that and note that we're going to have the Chamber
6	mixer here this Thursday at the air terminal that
7	Rogers and Towers and Galaxy is sponsoring
8	together. And with that, we're the Aerospace
9	Academy is going to set up a display booth with
10	the video running talking about the Aerospace
11	Academy.
12	I also asked Nick Sacia with the EDC to
13	provide us with a PowerPoint presentation that he
14	has that talks about the airport and the corporate
15	sponsors here and what they give to the community
16	And lastly, I'd just like to have I know
17	Ed's got it in the agenda to talk about Sacha's
18	press release. And I'd like to ask Mike Thompson
19	to be sure to mention the AOPA visit that's

20	coming. And we are going to be setting up a link
21	on our web site for the Aerospace Academy.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, good.
23	MS. BARRERA: So that's
24	7 REPORTS
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reports?

1	Mr. Sanchez, he's got a conflicting meeting so he
2	can't be here right now. Northrop? Anything from
3	Northrop?
4	(Representative absent.)
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, SAAPA?
6	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. I'm Mike Thompson
7	from SAAPA. As Mrs. Barrera just mentioned, it is
8	official that on the 12th of January of next
9	year that's 2008 for somebody that aren't
10	keeping count Phil Boyer, the president of the
11	almost 450,000 member strong Aircraft Owners and
12	Pilots Association is going to pay us a visit.
13	Mr. Slingluff has graciously agreed to
14	provide the venue for his talk. It will be at
15	noon. He'll be arriving he has a function at
16	Embry-Riddle that morning, and he'll be arriving
17	sometime after that and before 12 o'clock. And
18	one of his folks will be here probably in the
19	neighborhood of 9:00 to 10 o'clock to set up their

20	sound system and projection system. They they
21	bring all that with them. They're self-contained.
22	It's pretty exciting. Had a chance to
23	mention it last night at an Aviation Safety
24	Foundation meeting over in Jacksonville. We're

25 hoping to have a big turnout.

1	Hey, on the theory that you can't say
2	anything but no, we'd like to ask the airport to
3	participate with us, maybe with some chair rentals
4	and maybe refreshments or something. We're hoping
5	to drive a couple of hundred, 300 people in for
6	this. And any help we could get from the from
7	the board, from the airport would be muchly
8	appreciated.
9	Other than that, I don't have don't have
10	anything to complain about. I haven't had a
11	single whine from anybody over the last three
12	months or so. Thank you very much.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael? Galaxy?
14	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?
16	MR. BURNETT: Not much to report, other than
17	we've been working a lot behind the scenes on a
18	lot of issues related to the airport, inquiries
19	from airport the Airport Authority members and

tenants and issues related to commercial service.
For what it's worth personally, it's been a
very interesting and exciting ride since
commercial service come has come in. And
there's been a lot of work that's gone on behind
the scenes that we've been involved in and it's

1	been fun.
2	Ed Ed has commented to wonder why I am in
3	his office at times, because I'll be in there on a
4	telephone conference with folks, and Ed's
5	wondering, you know, what are you doing here?
6	So anyways, it's a fun ride right now.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I didn't see Bjorn.
8	Anybody, flight? And tower. Dave Knight's chart?
9	MR. WUELLNER: I've got it somewhere. Due in
10	large part to fuel prices and nice nor'easter that
11	sat off the coast for quite a while grounding a
12	lot of light general aviation we've had this
13	several times already in the last few months
14	total operations are down for the year. Other
15	than the fuel aspect of it, which who knows where
16	that's going? So, it looks like it's going to
17	remain high for a while.
18	We did have to raise self-fuel prices
19	dramatically this morning. It is now at \$4.15 a

20	gallon.	Wholesale's	gone up	nearly 40	cents a

- gallon, a little over 40 cents a gallon in just
- the last couple of weeks. So, can't sell it for
- less than we pay for it. I think you guys don't
- like that. Maintaining a margin on it, so...
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Project updates.

1	8 PROJECT UPDATES
2	MR. WUELLNER: All right. We'll cover today
3	T-hangar development; the rental car facility
4	development; terminal operations; marketing and
5	public relations; and airport leasing activities.
6	First up is T-hangars. T-hangar project's
7	underway. If you haven't been down the street to
8	take a look at that, it looks a lot different than
9	it did just a few weeks ago. They're telling me
10	that we should have some slabs in place beginning
11	in mid-December already. So, things are going
12	ahead very fast. And every effort's being made to
13	stay within the confines of the plan as as last
14	adopted, the trees and everything else. So
15	MR. GORMAN: As far as slabs go, has any
16	communication been made to the contractor about
17	condition of finish of the slabs, should tenants
18	want to apply epoxy or smooth finishes? Shouldn's
19	be any more additional expense to the Authority to

20	get them to do that.
21	MR. WUELLNER: I believe that was left with
22	Passero to communicate during the construction
23	meeting. So, do you have comments?
24	MR. GEORGE: I thought we also ruled that ou
25	because of

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If they wanted to do it,
2	they could.
3	MR. GEORGE: We don't know who's we don't
4	know who's going to get which hangar.
5	MR. GORMAN: We can't do it retrospect.
6	MR. GEORGE: I know, but you don't know who's
7	going to get which hangar. So therefore, I don't
8	want to pay for a hangar that I'm not going to get
9	to have the finish
10	MR. GORMAN: Well, the expense is minimal to
11	actually float the finish, fine enough to take a
12	finish.
13	MR. GEORGE: Right.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It doesn't include any
15	coating.
16	MR. GORMAN: Right.
17	MR. HOLESKO: The current foundations are
18	standard concrete foundations. But we did have
19	some discussions with Ed about it. And if we need

20	to have another discussion, then we can bring that
21	to you and still bring that up as an option.
22	Right now, it it it's not something you
23	need to prepare for today or even before the next
24	Authority meeting. That is something that you
25	really want to move on in the next 30 days, is the

1	time you move on it. But right now, the finishes
2	on the T-hangar floors are standard concrete
3	finishes with no no sealing, nothing like that,
4	which is same same as those pretty much in all
5	T-hangars in the state of Florida unless someone
6	wants to do an upgraded finish on their exact
7	unit.
8	MR. GORMAN: The point being you can't
9	upgrade the finish unless you start with the
10	basics of floating the finish to a relatively fine
11	degree. And the cost
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the 8, 9, and 10.
13	MR. GORMAN: is minimal to do that at
14	first rather than build a whole set of hangars
15	with broom finishes that will require tremendous
16	expense to upgrade. That's the point of the
17	matter.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the 8, 9, and 10
19	problem.

- MR. GEORGE: What is minimal? Do you have
- any earthly idea?
- MR. GORMAN: That's a good question.
- MR. GEORGE: Here's what I'm thinking: If
- it's minimal, then maybe we do them all.
- MR. GORMAN: And that's my --

- 1 MR. GEORGE: And then the rate goes up \$7.13.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: -- second that I'd like to have
- an answer to the question.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Can you get us an answer to the
- 7 question --
- 8 MR. HOLESKO: Absolutely.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: -- and get it to each one of the
- board members?
- MR. HOLESKO: For your next -- for your next
- meeting, absolutely.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's enough time if they
- wanted to float the finish.
- MR. HOLESKO: Yes.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It won't be done until
- after the next meeting, so you've got a little

- time to react here.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: It doesn't change how they
- form it or where they place it or anything else.
- It's just the level of time they spend on it at
- 25 the end. And still on target in early '08 to be

- 1 occupying these. I'm comfortable now we're -- so
- 2 now we actually have done something.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: You know what early '08 means?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Like in months?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Next year.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Early next year.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Early next year.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Is that up to June the 30th?
- MR. WUELLNER: No, it is not. It is still
- 11 technically March.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. LAMPP: Ed, I have a comment about that
- finish.
- MR. WUELLNER: This is Gene Lampp with
- 16 Florida DOT.
- MR. LAMPP: I'm DOT aviation. Those fine
- finishes that you were talking about on floors is
- not an eligible cost for DOT reimbursement. So,

- 20 that will be on all y'all to -- for. Okay. All
- 21 we do is just the -- just a rough grade of the --
- of the concrete.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Good.
- MR. GORMAN: "Rough grade," meaning do you
- 25 have any specifics to your -- your -- your concept

of "rough grade"? 1 MR. LAMPP: Just the normal finish, what they 2 3 are, or the specs are right now, the way the specs are drew, just a concrete floor. But the --4 the -- the finish upgrade, which you're talking 5 about the vinyl, you know, the nice shiny floors? 6 MR. GORMAN: No, I'm not talking about 7 8 anything. I'm talking about whether you're doing a broom finish or whether you're floating this 9 10 thing so you've got a relatively fine finish that does not require grinding. And I can tell you 11 that it is a minimal difference in actual labor. 12 MR. GEORGE: And what he's saying is then --13 14 MR. GORMAN: I'm not talking about coatings. MR. GEORGE: Then the hangar tenant then has 15 the option of putting the coating on at his 16 17 expense or her expense. MR. GORMAN: Exactly. 18 MR. LAMPP: The broom finish is what they 19

- specified it, Ed? Is that -- the broom finish is
- as far as we'll go with the finish for the --
- MR. GEORGE: I understand.
- MR. LAMPP: Okay?
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Thanks.
- MR. GORMAN: And that -- and that is

- 1 specifically written in -- in, as far as your
- 2 grant workmanship?
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: They -- they have a
- 4 specification they issue on the concrete --
- 5 MR. GORMAN: I'd like to see that spec, if I
- 6 could, please, and put this to bed.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Terminal operations,
- 8 October traffic inbound, 3,384 passengers.
- 9 Outbound, 3,385 passengers. You don't get
- 10 particularly -- I mean, you could be exact, I
- guess, but that's --
- MR. BRUNSON: Well, we --
- MR. WUELLNER: -- awful close to matching in
- 14 and out.
- MR. BRUNSON: We lost one person somewhere.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, something like that. Or
- they went out the next month or something.
- As I announced the other day in the workshop,
- as you're looking at a little information there,

- is that you do have a new daily round trip that
- was announced to Greensboro, South Carolina (sic),
- beginning in October with tickets on sale now.
- MR. BRUNSON: North Carolina.
- MR. WUELLNER: What did I say? Did I say
- South? Yeah, it is North Carolina, unless you're

- 1 navigating with me.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: You just want to go -- you just
- 3 want to go to Furman.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. That's exactly it. I
- 5 keep confusing it with Greenville, South Carolina.
- 6 And Green -- Greenland? Green? Anyway.
- 7 Greenwood? What is it? Green -- anyway. Anyway,
- 8 that's on sale now.
- 9 I did want to mention -- I didn't put the --
- I don't think I put the percentage on the slide,
- but that's right at 80 percent, again, in and out,
- is the monthly average on -- on the aircraft load
- factors. So, that route seems to be remaining
- 14 quite strong.
- You have the details of the total operations,
- cargo and -- and the like, in your summary that --
- that we get once a month for that.
- Go ahead. I see you're dying to say
- something.

- MR. GORMAN: No, I just wondered if you ever
- found the extra person.
- MR. WUELLNER: They may have waited and left
- in November. I don't think it's anything to worry
- about.
- MR. GORMAN: I know. I'm just kidding.

1	MR. GEORGE: They came back in November. It
2	would be the opposite.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item, we had press
4	releases that Sacha got out for us related to the
5	B-17 and B-24 that was here a few weeks ago.
6	Would mention Career Day, we attended and
7	participated as the airport and represented most
8	of the businesses on the airport back in October
9	over at the high school. And will be some future
10	things going on there.
11	And got a press release on the is there an
12	actual press release out on the well, it's a
13	mixer. It's actually controlled by the Chamber,
14	so related to Thursday.
15	And we've got rental car leases on the agenda
16	a little bit later. And that's the extent of
17	leasing activity currently.
18	Okay. Ready for first business items?
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.

20	9.A ARAQUAY PARK PROPERTIES
21	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Back in March, we came
22	to the Airport Authority seeking some guidance
23	relative to acquisition of some properties back in
24	Araquay Park that would be west of Casa Cola.
25	These properties are we received two of them

1	and have talked with two of the owners back there.
2	There are several others still in development.
3	Now, these, I need to point out, were, you
4	know, basically at their request. We didn't go
5	out soliciting beyond what we had done two or
6	three years ago.
7	The appraisals for 131 Indian Bend came in at
8	\$240,000. In conversations with the homeowner,
9	they're asking for \$375-, which is a difference of
10	\$135,000. I did throw up here, just as an FYI,
11	that your previous deal for east of Casa Cola is
12	where you had enhanced it by 40 percent, would add
13	\$96,000 to the \$240-, so it would give a total of
14	\$336,000, just as a point of reference.
15	The other property, 170 Indian Bend, was
16	appraised at \$237,500. Asking price by the owner
17	is \$400,000. It's a difference of \$162,500. The
18	previous 40 percent deal, if you looked at that,

19

would bring that total up to \$332,500.

20	And the next slide I have is just a quick
21	exhibit of where the two properties it's the
22	two green lands. Gray properties on that drawing
23	are already owned by the Airport Authority, to
24	include the streets back on the east side. I
25	didn't color them in for you, but it includes

- 1 those. Yes.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: I might point out to the board
- 3 that although we do need land very badly, when you
- 4 do -- again, the gray is owned by us, and those
- 5 are green, are -- we're thinking about purchasing.
- 6 Previously, I do think we made some kind of a
- 7 promise, but maybe I'm not sure how it was worded,
- 8 to not expand past Casa Cola to the west of it.
- 9 I'm not sure of the status of that, the minutes.
- The other thing would be, we are by doing
- this creating a patchwork quilt. And again, that
- patchwork quilt, the only solution to that is more
- eminent domain. So, I wonder to the wisdom of
- patchwork quilt buying of property. Just open for
- discussion there.
- MR. WUELLNER: I would -- I would point out
- that in the previous, when we brought it up in
- March -- and I'm sorry I don't have that slide.
- But this parcel here, the parcel here with the

20	exclusion of the corner two lots which belong to
21	Mrs. Willis, and this property here, as well as
22	these two, were at were among were the ones
23	that were originally contact we were contacted
24	by about acquiring. So, it would certainly fill
25	in all but one one homesite, for lack of better

1	terms, in the event you eventually acquired them.
2	We have not had contact with with this
3	is the property behind us and some adjacent
4	lots nor have we the U.S. 1 frontage lots over
5	on the extreme west side.
6	But it would indeed you know, it could
7	lead to eventually filling in the blanks or
8	filling in the balance of the property back there
9	and allowing for expansion in the future. There
10	are no short-term plans in there.
11	But we bring it before you today because,
12	one, when we brought it back last time, we were
13	hadn't come up with hadn't determined values or
14	interest of the Authority.
15	You still haven't decided whether that's
16	something you want to move on. You were concerned
17	about what the cost would be and the like.
18	You can see what the first two, you know,
19	properties relative values basis appraisals

25

20	versus what what the owners would like. I
21	guess at the core, you have several questions.
22	One is, are you indeed interested? If so, at what
23	level are you interested?
24	Are you interested if you are interested,

you know, is there some sort of counter you want

- 1 to do? Is there some -- you know, it's really
- 2 back in your court. We haven't -- it isn't
- 3 something we directly budgeted for. You know,
- 4 you'll have -- you'd have to deal with it out of
- 5 reserves or look at it in terms of using line of
- 6 credit or something to that -- to do that.
- We have no current open grants with FDOT at
- 8 this moment, either. So --
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
- MR. GEORGE: Speaking of the grants from
- 11 FDOT, we had purchased some of this property and
- allocated future grants to helping us pay that
- back. Have we --
- MR. WUELLNER: You expended it all.
- MR. GEORGE: We have already eliminated or
- expended that? So, what does the land acquisition
- grants look for --
- MR. WUELLNER: You have some grants.
- MR. GEORGE: -- I mean, look at for FDOT? Do

20	they have are they at the same level that they
21	were before; in other words, we can get one?
22	MR. WUELLNER: We can ask to to get a
23	grant. We have some programmed that will be at a
24	few years out still. But we have nothing current
25	or open current related to grants. All of that

previous grant activity is closed and reimbursed. 1 2 So --3 MR. GEORGE: So, we're done with all of that. MR. WUELLNER: We're done with that. So, 4 it's -- it's -- the -- the safest approach is to 5 pursue the FDOT funds from a total dollar 6 standpoint. 7 8 I'm not -- I'd have to get with Gene; I don't recall off the top of my head what the -- if any 9 of the land acquisition grants in the future are 10 in the adopted work program as they are today. If 11 they're in the adopted work program, we can ask to 12 try and get a prequalified grant on it, which 13 would be similar to how we did a lot of the -- the 14 east side stuff, wherein you could acquire it and 15 then when that budget year comes up for Florida 16 17 DOT, that you could be reimbursed for the -their -- their portion of it. But you'd be 18

carrying FDOT into which time as it became their

19

- 20 current fiscal year.
- MR. GEORGE: Are you going to get public
- comment on this?
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, after -- I was waiting.
- 24 Ed was still presenting --
- 25 MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry.

1	MR. WUELLNER: And for your edification, I
2	believe, representatives of both owners are the
3	owners are here. So, if you wish to query them in
4	any way, I'm sure they're they're anxious for a
5	decision, just in general.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then I will open it
7	to public comment. I have just to remind
8	people, we have the comment sheets. If you wish
9	to speak on a topic, just go ahead and fill one
10	out. They're up front on the desk up there.
11	So, on I didn't have anyone on this topic.
12	So, seeing no public comment, board comment?
13	Yeah, Buzz.
14	MR. GEORGE: I don't get shocked now, but
15	I agree with Mr. Gorman that coming up with
16	this patchwork. I think you know, we keep
17	pushing off that we've made a commitment to the
18	other side, you know, of U.S. 1, you know, for a
19	second runway that's, you know, 10, 12 years out,

20	something like that. But we've got some
21	acquisition that we need to do over there.
22	I would think that we would let Ed start
23	getting in the loop with FAA for some or FDOT
24	for land acquisition grants. And if I'm not
25	mistaken, they had it, you know, staggered; you

1	could do it so much per year.
2	But I would like to keep a limit on the
3	acquisition that we do to the east of U.S. 1
4	I'll talk loud to to be the continuation
5	I mean, the the acquisition of these two
6	sectors here, with this one being the first.
7	There is a for sale sign that's right here.
8	I don't know if we, you know, contacted them. But
9	there is our expansion of this facility and the
10	parking, you know, if it's ever needed.
11	But we already own all of this other, except
12	for this one piece right here. And this is one of
13	the ones that the gentleman actually came to us
14	and said, "Are you interested in more
15	acquisition?"
16	This area down here, later on in today's
17	pitch, I'm going to I'm going to make a pitch
18	for, let's go ahead and get, you know, commercial
19	hangars or corporate hangars, you know, as the

25

20	original plan was, you know, in this area here,
21	because we already own it. We don't have to do
22	anything else.
23	But basically, let's keep our "east of Casa
24	Cola" line drawn in the sand, with the exception

of, you know, this one first and then this one

1	would be second.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, Randy?
3	MR. BRUNSON: My comments are that just now
4	I'm not in the acquisition mode. And using our
5	lines of credit that I think are things that are
6	coming up that we might need it for, and I think
7	we need to see what FDOT grants might be available
8	to look at the whole acquisition. And I just, in
9	this unsteady real estate market, and the
10	patchwork, I agree with that, that I just am not
11	in the acquisition mode.
12	I believe that we should be looking at
13	property and things that are income producing.
14	And we've made a commitment to get off the to
15	be self-sufficient, not to keep buying more real
16	estate.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm not inclined to go in
18	any further debt or anything right now, being
19	lines of credit. I'd want to see what grants are

20	out there before we acquire anything else.
21	Any other board discussion? Kelly?
22	MS. BARRERA: I think it's prudent to keep an
23	open mind about purchasing property. I agree, I
24	don't want to do a line of credit. So, saying
25	that, I'm saying I'm saying not at not at

1	going out and having to get credit to do it. But
2	I think from a noise mitigation standpoint, I
3	think from being able to utilize that space for
4	maintenance, on airport maintenance, we may have
5	to relocate some of that at some point down east
6	of Casa Cola. I think for other uses other than
7	airfield uses.
8	MR. GORMAN: And I'd like to make a point
9	again, not to be to countermand what you've
10	said, but if you do do that and obviously we
11	need land. But if you do create the patchwork
12	quilt, this board made a promise to the public to
13	not exercise eminent domain, and it was a
14	tremendously onerous situation we went through.
15	And then you get the the holdup parcels,
16	and that's what then you create the situation
17	again. And just to clarify.
18	MS. BARRERA: I'm not interested in eminent
19	domain, but I think that there are uses for

20	property owned by the airport that can be done
21	in in a patchwork quilt fashion.
22	For instance, off-site parking. I think that
23	long-term parking can be done, and that can be
24	done in a way that is in a patchwork fashion.
25	I think also you can utilize it for pet I

was approached by someone in the community who was 1 2 interested in having a pet cemetery or -- or a pet 3 holding area. There's -- there's other 4 opportunities there that can be used --5 MR. GORMAN: Yeah, not to say --6 MS. BARRERA: -- in a patchwork fashion. MR. GORMAN: I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say 7 8 that you're absolutely wrong on that. I'm not going to, you know, hammer that. But, I mean, 9 you've got the setback problems and you really --10 and, you know, then you've got -- Doug has to dig 11 into the situations with how close can you get to 12 a private piece of property and still use it for 13 14 municipal purposes. That's the point now, and it's really complicated. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: But I really -- really see 17 this as filling in the patchwork quilt, not -- you

know, it's completing the -- rather than creating

it, because you -- you already have that.

18

19

20	MR. GORMAN: We did it before and it didn't
21	work well.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?
23	MR. BURNETT: I just want to and and
24	trust me, I understand where this is going. I
25	just want to make sure that y'all are aware of a

couple of things. 1 And again, I'm going by memory, but I think 2 this part of it's accurate. And I was involved a 3 lot with the eminent domain proceedings that went 4 5 on. It wasn't just one that I sat back and watched. I was actually involved in those 6 proceedings. And -- and I was -- but obviously 7 8 attended all these Airport Authority meetings. 9 What I recall as a statement was -- related to eminent domain is the -- the largest concern 10 was taking people's houses. And what you may or 11 may not be aware of -- and I know you're aware of 12 this, but it may not be coming to mind quickly, 13 14 based on what you're looking at from a map. But most of what's along U.S. 1 is commercial. 15 Exercising a power of eminent domain is different, 16 17 I think, emotionally, philosophically for commercial parcels than it would be for 18

residential.

19

20	And again, I'm I'm not here to sway your
21	vote. I'm just saying from what my own
22	observations are.
23	And one thing that I will tell you is I think
24	that County Commission, which has had very, very
25	vocal members of it that are against eminent

11

12

13

14

domain, I -- I recall that recently in a meeting, 1 I think two weeks ago, they actually authorized 2 the County Attorney to exercise the power of 3 eminent domain to take a commercial parcel for 4 5 some other work. MS. BARRERA: For the utility? 6 MR. BURNETT: Yeah, for the utility. So, 7 that's the only thing I wanted to add related to 8 this, is -- and -- and the other thing that I 9 10 recall from those hearings is that one corner

right at Casa Cola and Indian Bend, that

Ms. Tarver Willis's home is on two lots, she's

sell. So, you know, you -- you will probably

made it abundantly clear that she's never going to

- always have that as the holdout on -- in that 15 16
- MR. WUELLNER: The balance of those 17
- properties, you know, we are -- they're in 18
- different stages than -- than the current two 19

area.

20	we we brought today. But they are actively
21	moving forward relative to coming up with a
22	valuation and the like to see you know, at that
23	point, you've you've essentially completed
24	with the exception of Ms. Willis's property,
25	obviously, but you've kind of created a new, you

I	know, western demarkation, for lack of better
2	terms, that could be included in airport
3	development projects in the future, T-hangars,
4	commercial hangars, whatever you desire, as
5	consistent with the Master Plan. I'm just out
6	there.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let's get a little more
8	board discussion and then
9	MR. GEORGE: Okay. On Mr. Burnett's
10	comments, thank you. But I think what we need to
11	do is give direction to Staff. And the direction
12	to Staff is that we are not interested in
13	perpetuating the patchwork.
14	And the top two blocks that are up there, we
15	will consider those, the top one being we'll
16	consider any offers and things there.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, also, I think I hear
18	from the board
19	MR. GEORGE: Oh, I'm sorry. And also we need

20	to get Staff to get with the State to find out
21	what the potential for us to get grants over the
22	next five years for property acquisition, or eight
23	years, whatever it goes out to.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That would be my concern, is
25	where is our funding and how far off is it?

1	Any other directions for Staff from the
2	board?
3	MS. BARRERA: And how do those properties
4	affect noise mitigation?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Having them or not?
6	MS. BARRERA: Having them or not.
7	MR. WUELLNER: I don't see it being a
8	significant difference one way or the other.
9	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
10	MR. WUELLNER: I see it more as future
11	development. You have you know, you've
12	acquired the property and now you can do what you
13	want with it when you want with it. You know,
14	the the obstacle that was such a lead item
15	originally in the T-hangar development was
16	acquisition of property. That took more than two
17	years to finish.
18	And, you know, you're in a market. You know,
19	in fairness, you're in a market right now that's.

- you know, a buyer's market, in a sense. You have,
- you know, opportunities that may not exist in a
- few years, who knows, relative to that. So...
- MR. GEORGE: Buyer doesn't have any money.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's the story with
- everybody.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I don't see any problem
2	with bringing it back up or informing us what is
3	out there and how long it's going to take to get
4	any grant money, and then we could probably
5	revisit it at that point in time. If we find out
6	there's no grant money for ten years, we might
7	want to rethink some things.
8	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I think we need those
9	numbers.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that all right, Ed?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We can have that back
14	to you December, at least tell you where they are,
15	what the grant situation's like.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
17	MR. GEORGE: If if it's not too much
18	trouble, if you could also have an idea of
19	property to the east of to the west of U.S. 1.

- what that property is going for in that area,
- because there's some big chunks of land over there
- that we're going to have to acquire.
- MR. WUELLNER: Open -- I mean, large parcels?
- Is that what you're asking?
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Engineering agreement? 2 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 3 MR. GORMAN: Did we make direction to Staff? 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 5 MR. GORMAN: And that was to? Ask for 6 clarification, the direction to Staff? CHAIRMAN GREEN: To get us figures on what 7 grant money's out there and how long it would be 8 until we could get it, and that we really are not 9 interested in patchworking at this point in time. 10 MR. GORMAN: Okay. That's fine. That's for 11 clarification --12 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. And our priority would go 13 in those top two blocks. 14 9.B. - ENGINEERING AGREEMENT FOR U.S. CUSTOMS FACILITY 16 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First -- first (sic) 17 item I have is the engineering agreement for U.S. 18 Customs. This is for -- would be supplemental 07-03. It's a design services only and would have 19

20	a 50 percent FDOT match. Currently would have a
21	total project budget of \$575,000, of which half is
22	eligible for FDOT.
23	The site we're looking at currently would be
24	at the base of the tower. Approximately a 3,000
25	square foot facility. Again, located at the base

1	of tower. And would be the user fee, development
2	of user fee program with with U.S. Customs.
3	A couple of tweaks probably to the site is
4	more there just to show you exactly where we're
5	talking and and the like. But this would
6	support the the Customs service grant that
7	you-all approved last month.
8	MR. GEORGE: What would this be used for?
9	MR. WUELLNER: This is the Customs presence
10	on the airport. So, our
11	MR. GEORGE: So, people would come in, taxi
12	up to that building?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Where they would clear Customs
16	on the facility. It's strictly related to the
17	aircraft the aircraft use on the airport,

18

19

private access to Customs.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public discussion? I

22

20	didn't have any cards on it, I don't think, none.
21	Board discussion? Ed, is this the one we

maneuvered some funding around?

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Yeah. We were able to
- change this off the fire service -- or fire
- facility, I should say.

1	You have some tenants that are pressing the
2	matter. That's why I it's Ring Power, SK.
3	Even the Sheriff's got an interest in getting this
4	one off, getting this going and getting it done.
5	You'd be looking at about a year from now until
6	it's usable.
7	MR. BRUNSON: I I support this a hundred
8	percent. And and we need to move forward as
9	fast as possible.
10	MR. GEORGE: I don't support it.
11	MR. GORMAN: Pardon me?
12	MR. GEORGE: I don't support it.
13	MR. GORMAN: The Customs facility there?
14	MR. GEORGE: I don't support the Customs
15	facility in that location. We're talking about a
16	second FBO possibly coming in, and you're taking
17	prime space at the bottom of the terminal (sic) to
18	put a Customs facility. Let's investigate other
19	places to put a Customs facility.

- MR. WUELLNER: Base of the tower.
- MR. GEORGE: Hmm?
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Base of the tower.
- MR. WUELLNER: Base of the tower.
- MR. GEORGE: Right. And that's what we were
- 25 talking about an FBO --

1 MR. WUELLNER: The FBO's behind it. 2 MR. GEORGE: -- having the base of the tower 3 and wrapping around to the back area. 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The FBO -- FBO is 5 down -- is down in this area. It's -- it's actually below us. It's way down in this area 6 leading back into F. 7 8 That -- that's -- that's really not usable, other than that. I mean, in terms of -- you see 9 10 these -- these lines here are obstacle-free areas for taxiways. You can't do anything other than 11 aircraft parking in that, anyway. It's -- it's 12 incongruent. This is self-service area. 13 14 You -- you'd follow this taxiway down as it 15 clears what was Estrella Avenue, is when it 16 becomes the FBO parcel down there. It's whatever 17 that is, 15 acres or something down there. MR. GEORGE: I was under the impression 18 from -- from past discussions, the second FBO 19

- would be on the west -- on the east end of
- basically this street that's out here.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: That's at the tower.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's south of there. No,
- it's south. That's down here. That's down there

1	and and below. I don't know. I've got an
2	aerial.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Have you got another map you
4	could see?
5	MR. GORMAN: Could we point out where
6	where the existing hangars are? Maybe that will
7	be more clear or something.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Give me a second. I'll get a
9	different graphic here.
10	MR. BURNETT: You could go back to the aerial
11	for the hangars. Remember the aerials you had up
12	at the very, very beginning?
13	MR. GEORGE: Now, the road's right here.
14	Here's our tower. That roads comes right there
15	and comes and dead-ends into here. Because the
16	discussion we had was we can take that road, and
17	that makes another second FBO more noticeable to
18	the traffic that's coming in, as opposed to

tucking them around the corner.

19

- MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Got a way to get it up.
- MR. BURNETT: That was it. There it is.
- MR. WUELLNER: All right. This area --
- the -- the U.S. Customs is up here. The second
- FBO site or block is all this down here. Estrella
- 25 Avenue runs right here. I'm sorry. Yeah,

1	Estrella Avenue runs right here. You're up here
2	with Customs.
3	MR. GEORGE: Oh, that's where I thought we
4	were going to wrap the FBO around so that they
5	would have some frontage presence to our main
6	runway.
7	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know how you'd do
8	that. With the setbacks off the taxiway, you've
9	got, you know, an area you can't put anything in,
10	which kind of follows the pavement with their
11	that's where the I don't know what else to
12	what else to show you to
13	MR. GEORGE: Personal comment. Ed, I think
14	this is another example of where you or somebody
15	wants it, and we call the discussion of the whole
16	thing. You know, this idea about the the
17	rental car company going on the other side of
18	where we've got that plan. That interferes with
19	our 15-year plan of putting a second runway over

- there.
- MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be there if I --
- if it interfered. That's why it's shown on your
- 23 ALP that you have a copy there. That's what --
- that's what's in front of you.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: And we wouldn't place it in 1 2 the middle of a future runway. I mean, that --3 MR. GORMAN: How tall is this facility? MR. WUELLNER: Eighteen feet, maybe, 15, 18 4 5 feet. MR. GORMAN: In other words, just for 6 clarification --7 8 MR. WUELLNER: Just roughly the -- roughly the height of the vault. 9 10 MR. GORMAN: It's basically right in front of your hangar. 11 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, right. 12 13 MR. GORMAN: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah, sort of. It's at 14 the other -- other side of the tower. 15 16 MR. GORMAN: Just for, yeah, thinking of 17 where it is, it's right by the fuel farm. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's in the vicinity of 18

the fuel farm.

19

20	MR. GORMAN: How busy do you feel that that
21	facility would be?
22	MR. WUELLNER: It could have one or two
23	airplanes. That's why that little piece of
24	apron if you want to call it the taxiway
25	section there, that would be serves a place to

1 park them, park aircraft while they're clearing 2 Customs. Because they have to be isolated. You 3 can't just stick them in the middle of the ramp until they clear Customs. 4 5 MR. GEORGE: I just think it's prime real 6 estate that we're pushing into a Customs facility that could be put somewhere else. Where else 7 could it be put? I don't know. I haven't looked 8 at it. I don't know what -- is there space 9 available in front of hangar row A? 10 11 MR. WUELLNER: No. See, all of that sits in the approach area of one of the two runways. The 12 only thing --13 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Yeah. 14 MR. WUELLNER: -- we were able to get in 15 16 there was that little drainage retention pond. We 17 did -- we did look, you know, at the existing

sites, and short of developing huge infrastructure

costs to develop a new site, and the only sites we

18

19

20	saw were on the east side of the field up between
21	east corporate and National Guard, in that
22	general or the future of corporate hangar
23	development shown on the Master Plan, which comes
24	with huge site development costs that weren't, you
25	know, obviously planned for in the \$575,000

1	budget.
2	We looked in the FBO area. We've looked
3	adjacent to the terminal parking lots up there.
4	And it's you've got big obstacles in all of
5	those cases. One primary along most of the area
6	around Bravo 2 is infrastructure is utility
7	access up there. There's nothing up there and
8	there's no easy way to get utilities up there.
9	It just you know, Grumman isolates that
10	whole block up there by virtue of their
11	their the property they own, not even the stuff
12	they lease from us. And we have no utility
13	infrastructure up there because there's never been
14	any buildings. So, you're looking at huge
15	underground nonrevenue-producing kind of expense
16	just to get get something built up there.
17	MR. GEORGE: Is there any room on the park
18	side of the new apron up there?
19	MR. WUELLNER: On along F or G down

20	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. That just helps us get
21	the access road back to the park.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I suppose. The the idea at
23	the base of the tower was that it was obvious and
24	visible to the because it's not necessarily
25	just based customers was just the ease of

finding it, ease of reference --1 2 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. 3 MR. WUELLNER: -- when trying to find Customs on the field, is base of the tower. But, I mean, 4 I'm sure something could be -- we've shown some 5 hangars over there, I believe, not necessarily 6 exact spot, but there's some hangars over there 7 8 that could be made to be Customs. 9 MS. BARRERA: No. 10 MR. GEORGE: No. MR. GORMAN: Just for clarification, when we 11 originally put this into where we actually voted 12 for this, my assumption -- I mean, it's just an 13 14 assumption from the previous minutes, that it was going to be by the fuel farm. But that was the 15 16 other fuel farm, in other words, the one that's 17 more adjacent to the existing FBO, Galaxy's FBO. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We -- we looked at --

MR. GORMAN: That was, when I voted on it, my

19

20	preconceived notion of where it was going to go.
21	MR. WUELLNER: We looked at that general
22	area. And the problem is you you have no spot
23	that that can be constructed that has the meets
24	the requirements that Customs has for those kind
25	of facilities, which is immediately adjacent to

- 1 apron. It's got to meet all of their development 2 standards in order for them to -- to -- to accept 3 it, basically, for -- for their use. We looked at even using as temporary the 4 5 lean-to on the old maintenance hangar over there. It's -- it's not even a thousand square foot, and 6 it's -- it's got to -- even a temporary facility 7 8 has to meet their requirements. I mean, we -- we looked all over the place to try and find a way to 9 make, you know, a solid site without getting into 10 11 the FBO site. MR. GORMAN: Are we in a hurry to make this 12
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, other than you've got a
- grant that will expire next year. I mean, you
- don't have to make it today. I'm just saying
- 17 you -- you know, you're going to be cutting into
- time. You've got about a year to completion.
- 19 MR. LAMPP: Ed?

decision?

13

20	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
21	MR. BRUNSON: The last thing I'll say is that
22	we've been talking about this a long time, and
23	this is where I thought it was going. And we have
24	people that and you're talking about traffic
25	coming in here. This will generate a lot of

- 1 traffic. And we have some corporations here that
- 2 desperately need it.
- 3 So, I don't say we have to make this decision
- 4 today, but we need to move forward as fast as
- 5 possible.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. LAMPP: The grant Ed's talking about that
- 8 I changed over from the fire station, that grant's
- 9 a five-year-old grant. It will not be extended.
- I had to beg and plead to get that for y'all. So,
- 11 y'all need to make sure June 30th of -- or
- 12 December of '08 that --
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: It's spent.
- MR. LAMPP: -- it's going to be gone.
- MR. GEORGE: In the ground and done, right?
- MR. LAMPP: Yeah.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I mean, I'll
- entertain a motion if any board member wants to
- make one.

20	MR. WUELLNER: This is for design only at
21	this point. I mean, obviously, anything related
22	to construction is coming back. But you're not
23	looking at being able to get into construction at
24	least till
25	MR. BRUNSON: I will make a motion that we

1	authorize Staff to go forward with the design
2	services in the amount of \$63,000.
3	MS. BARRERA: I'll second it.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
5	discussion?
6	MR. GEORGE: Looking at this map that I'm
7	looking at, right across from the tower, which is
8	your retention pond that's there now, there's a
9	lot of potential land there to put Customs off to
10	themselves by the side, no way of integrating an
11	airplane coming in, you know, into our hangar
12	structure that goes into it. I would like for the
13	staff to consider that
14	MR. WUELLNER: We did.
15	MR. GEORGE: while they're getting into
16	the design.
17	MR. WUELLNER: We did, and you've got a
18	you've got an access issue, public access, vehicle
19	access where there's no way to get to that site

20	currently without crossing aviation.
21	MR. GEORGE: Well, I'm looking at a dotted
22	line which I'm thinking is our access to the park.
23	MR. WUELLNER: From the back side, but none
24	of that infrastructure is in there. That's my
25	point, is that the facility could be put you'd

1	have a few more options if you were if this
2	were three years from now, in that you might have
3	some access through the park. You might have
4	access you may be doing infrastructure on the
5	other side of the field. But none of those things
6	exist today. And you you will eat all of the
7	\$575,000 getting access and/or utilities back to
8	the site. There will be no money to build
9	anything.
10	MR. GEORGE: When we build a park and I
11	think that's on the agenda to do aren't we
12	going to spend the same amount of money? So, now
13	we're just talking about who's spending money.
14	MR. WUELLNER: That's that's to be
15	determined. I don't think we're necessarily
16	providing paved roads all along the marsh front
17	down there initially, anyway. You know, it's
18	going to be walking path, kind of. It wouldn't
10	being vehicle access at least initially

20	MR. GORMAN: Can I make a suggestion?
21	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
22	MR. GORMAN: to although I agree with
23	Randy, but I don't think it's as pressing as maybe
24	Randy thinks, Mr. Brunson thinks. Could we just
25	see a few alternate ideas, just sketch ideas at

the next meeting? 1 2 MR. WUELLNER: Sure. 3 MR. GORMAN: And then -- then put it to bed right then? 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: Sure. 6 MR. GORMAN: I mean --MR. GEORGE: I -- I don't have a problem with 7 8 the going ahead with the basic design, because that's going to be walls, where the power is 9 10 needed and stuff like that, and we decide to pick that building up and move it to another 11 location --12 13 MR. BRUNSON: I'll amend the motion --MR. GEORGE: -- to do that. 14 MR. GORMAN: Okay. 15 MR. BRUNSON: And my motion was to Staff to 16 17 go forward with the design service, and additionally to bring back --18

MR. WUELLNER: Alternative sites.

19

- 20 MR. BRUNSON: -- locations.
- MR. WUELLNER: We'll do that.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The design won't have any
- huge significance if it's changed to a
- 24 different --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, they can be moving on

- 1 the building now. That part wouldn't -- wouldn't
- 2 change, its adaptation to site at that point.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: I would second that.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
- 7 discussion?
- 8 MS. BARRERA: But I wouldn't be interested in
- 9 any design changes that would preclude us from
- building any T-hangars in the future in any areas.
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Well, that's --
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All in favor of the
- motion, say aye.
- 14 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 17 MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?

20	(No opposition.)	
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: None opposed.	
22	MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chairman, as discussed, I	
23	have to go to another meeting.	
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir. I'm trying to	
25	work it through. Thank you.	

1	MR. BRUNSON: Thank you.
2	(Mr. Brunson leaves the meeting.)
3	9.C PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
4	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item we have is
5	pavement management program. We have a proposal,
6	as the lowest quote, between Passero and LPA for
7	\$48,000 to do the pavement management program.
8	The pavement management program is required
9	by FAA and FDOT and needs to be in place before we
10	can program or receive grants related to pavement
11	rehab projects, overlays and the like. And you
12	will have those kind of projects and some are
13	indeed programmed right now of getting in under
14	the wire of the of the requirement.
15	It's a condition of grants we already have.
16	It requires an evaluation of existing pavements
17	out there, and and a determination be made on
18	when when it's appropriate to schedule
19	maintenance items such as overlays and the like in

20	the future projects.
21	Biggest item probably coming up over the few
22	years will be looking seriously at sections of
23	13/31, particularly on the extreme north end where
24	just seeing some some asphalt erosion over the
25	years, probably more from lack of use because it

1	was displaced than anything else.
2	But you'll have also evaluations of the older
3	taxiways and older runways such as 2/20 and 6/24,
4	who haven't really had any work.
5	In order to get grants, you have to have one
6	of these plans in place. And we're asking to, you
7	know, take the lowest quote of \$48,000 to develop
8	that. And we're saying that at this point, it
9	would come out of the terminal area improvements
10	line item since we didn't specifically know the
11	numbers to put in there for another planning-type
12	study. And it's updated periodically. But the
13	first time out is the expense of when to do.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have Victor,
15	Mr. Martinelli?
16	MR. MARTINELLI: I'll pass.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's the only
18	public comment I had on this.
19	Board discussion?

20	(No further discussion.)
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'll entertain a motion,
22	then.
23	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we allow the
24	staff to go ahead and continue with these two
25	items as long as they come under already in the

1	budget for terminal area improvement. And this
2	terminal area improvement, does that mean that we
3	get PFC dollars on it?
4	MR. WUELLNER: No.
5	MR. GEORGE: Then it's not under terminal
6	area improvements.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
8	MS. BARRERA: I'll second it.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further board discussion? I
10	just have one question. Was there a significant
11	difference in the quotes?
12	MR. WUELLNER: One was \$54- and the other is
13	\$48-, so there's about \$6,000 difference.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I love what our
15	engineering companies have done. They've done
16	Passero's done a wonderful job. I didn't know if
17	there was any way, because we have LPA also, and
18	I'd like to
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I was going to say your

- 20 next -- your next one, it goes the other
- 21 direction.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Your next agenda item, the two
- quotes, LPA is the low -- low bidder.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Just informative.

1	MR. GORMAN: Last question real quick. I
2	mean, they've already deeply involved in the
3	all of these other site plannings, so these would
4	be the best choice anyway.
5	MR. WUELLNER: They would be the most
6	familiar with the the conditions today, that's
7	true.
8	MR. GORMAN: That's probably my answer.
9	Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
11	(No further discussion.)
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of Staff's
13	recommendation and the motion, say aye.
14	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
16	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
17	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
19	(No opposition.)

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed,
21	passes.
22	9.D SWPP/SPCC PROGRAM UPDATES
23	MR. WUELLNER: The next item is the updates
24	of the SWPP, the Stormwater Pollution Prevention
25	Plan, and the SPL (sic), Stormwater Pollution

1	Control and Containment Plan.
2	And as I mentioned, LPA provided the lowest
3	quote of \$33,144. Some new requirements from
4	FDOT excuse me, FDEP and EPA, have come into
5	place in the last year, so you need to have a
6	complete and updated plan in order to avoid fines
7	should they come out and do a site visit at any
8	time.
9	The program has to be current. You have
10	to you know, part of the program is training
11	tenants on spill prevention and cleanup after the
12	fact and notification and instills best management
13	practices. And LPA provided the lowest quote of
14	\$33,144, and again comes out of that same line
15	item.
16	(Mr. Burnett leaves the room.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I didn't have any public
18	comment. Board comment?
19	MS. BARRERA: Think it's pretty

20	straightforward.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Any motions?
22	MS. BARRERA: I'll make a motion that we
23	accept the LPA's quote and that we direct Staff to
24	move forward with it.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?

1	MR. GEORGE: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further board discussion?
3	(No further discussion.)
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor?
5	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
7	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
8	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
10	(No opposition.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, accept
12	Staff's recommendation.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we've deferred the park
14	discussion till next month where when Bryan
15	will make a presentation relative to project
16	approach and scope and the like, and you guys can
17	weigh in at that time about what it is.
18	MR. GORMAN: I'd just like to make one
19	comment on that.

- MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
- MR. GORMAN: Is that I'd really like to see
- this park as natural as possible. We don't need
- 23 to spend a lot of money. We just need to look at
- birds and airplanes.
- MR. GEORGE: And hopefully not in the same

1	airspace.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to say
3	MR. GORMAN: Hopefully well, you're not
4	going to get any I probably shouldn't have said
5	birds, because that's a hazard. But whatever. In
6	other words, so you're going to actually be able
7	to have a nature walk, not an overengineered,
8	overcemented, you know, situation. Just keep it
9	simple.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Rental car?
11	9. E CAR RENTAL AGREEMENTS
12	MR. WUELLNER: The next item I have is the
13	rental car rental car lease agreements that
14	involve three lease agreements with one with
15	Enterprise, one with Avis, and one with Hertz. Be
16	for five-year terms. Would require the
17	assessed would provide the assessment of a
18	concession fee on all rental contracts written out
19	of the airport facility at 15 percent. Splits all

20	of the operating cost of the facility with the
21	users, the three users, with a 20 percent markup
22	on those.
23	Revenue estimates based on four flights a
24	day, which is the number of flights currently on
25	sale, is approximately \$606,000 annually in

1	concession fees alone, and another \$36,000 in
2	facility uses.
3	We did not attempt to to identify the
4	costs of the operating facilities, as they would
5	be a wash as a result of the way the agreement's
6	structured.
7	(Mr. Burnett returns to room.)
8	MR. WUELLNER: And would limit the addition
9	of rental cars rental car companies until the
10	expiration of the five-year term as a as a
11	trade-off for getting them out of the main
12	terminal. And this would eliminate those counters
13	in the general aviation terminal in favor of the
14	new facility on the other side.
15	And it would be our recommendation you accept
16	those lease agreements and we'll move forward to
17	getting them executed.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Michael? You had a
19	comment on this, Slingluff?

20	MR. SLINGLUFF: Again microphone.
21	I think with the increased utilization of the
22	rental car system now, it's really putting a
23	squeeze on the main parking lot. Having a
24	separate location will save the airport
25	considerable expense in planning, plus a

1 considerable increase in -- in revenue. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No other public comment. 3 Board comment? MR. GEORGE: Oh, what the hell. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, Buzz. MR. GEORGE: Madam Chairman. Can you tell me 6 what this rental car facility, what the total 7 cost, what you estimate it to be? 8 MR. WUELLNER: I believe we put it out there 9 last time at \$625- or -- I'm trying to remember 10 11 what the total was, but it was in that \$550- to \$625- range, is what the original was last month. 12 13 MR. GEORGE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: That hasn't -- the total 14 hasn't changed. In fact, these guys have actually 15 been able to identify some ways we think we can 16 17 reduce the development cost. MR. GEORGE: Are there -- is there any grant 18

money that can be used to reduce, or is this all

19

20	of ours?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. You looked at all of
22	that last month. We have some site development
23	money we can apply to parts of this.
24	MR. GEORGE: So, what's it going to wind up
25	costing us? What are you asking this board to

1	approve out of the budget to be spent?
2	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not asking you to spend
3	anything. I'm asking you to agree to the rental
4	car agreements that produce the revenue off of it.
5	These are just leases. We don't we will be
6	bringing you probably next month a design and
7	and estimates of cost and it will be out for bid
8	shortly thereafter.
9	We don't know what the final cost is going to
10	be because we haven't we haven't bid it yet.
11	This is just the revenue side of it.
12	MR. GORMAN: Simply one question. Have you
13	had any resistance with the rental car companies?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Two out of three indicated
15	they were expecting it, that it's just it's
16	more normal for commercial airports.
17	MR. GORMAN: If the third didn't sign, do you
18	have a replacement rental car company?
19	MR. WUELLNER: We have several that have

- 20 contacted us.
- MR. GORMAN: Sure. All right. Thank you.
- That's my answer.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?
- MR. BURNETT: And if I might, so that you're
- fully aware, and Ed doesn't know this, I was

1	contacted by an attorney today who you know how
2	that is, they may or may not be representing one
3	of them; they're not sure they've been engaged yet
4	or not. But the comment that I heard back was a
5	concern that the five-year related to the
6	five-year plan, if there was potentially no longer
7	a commercial service. That's the only comment
8	I've heard back related to the agreement.
9	Ed and I have talked about the agreements.
10	We reviewed them. The form that Ed is using is
11	one that's already being used throughout the
12	state, from what I understand. So, we've made
13	some tweaks to it, but other than that, it's a
14	standard agreement. But there is that one issue
15	that I've heard concern about.
16	MR. GEORGE: That would be a logical concern
17	I think. That's a concern of the board members
18	here, as to whether it's going to be there and we
19	go spending \$600,000 on a on a rental car

25

sense.

20	facility that, you know, gets cobwebs later on,
21	while at the same time we take our friends that on
22	the waiting list for hangars, corporate hangars,
23	T-hangars, and we ask them to sit back while we
24	build a rental car facility. It don't make good

1	MS. BARRERA: Maybe I don't understand. But
2	aren't we building T-hangars? And would we be
3	able
4	MR. GEORGE: Yes, you're building T-hangars
5	for one-third of the people on the waiting list.
6	MS. BARRERA: But would we be building
7	T-hangars on that side of U.S. 1?
8	MR. GEORGE: I don't have a problem with
9	building this as long as we allocate funds, be it
10	borrowed on the open market or what, to complete
11	the plan for hangars in the Araquay Park, which
12	includes two corporate hangars and two or three
13	other ones. I don't have a problem with that.
14	But I'm afraid that we're going to say, oh,
15	we need this reserve, and we just spent \$600,000
16	over here, which by the way is not eligible for
17	PFC, even though we're doing it because we have an
18	operation that's here. So, we can't use PFC money
19	for this. This is coming out of your pocket.

20	And my concern is that we start doing all of
21	these okay, take \$53,000 to design this, take
22	\$38,000 to design this, take \$78- to design this,
23	and now we've got \$600,000 in construction costs,
24	and we're going to be sitting back taking a lot of
25	cash. I'd rather spend that cash for the people

that have been sitting around waiting. 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: What -- but what's before us 2 3 right now is not dealing with all of that. That's going to come up in a later meeting. All we're 4 looking at now is, if I understand correctly, if 5 6 we build it, if we have this, these are the rental lease agreements that are most beneficial to the 7 8 airport. So, that's really all that's --MR. GEORGE: As long as that lease that 9 you're going to get signed doesn't imply that 10 you're going to build it. 11 If I do a lease with somebody that says 12 there's going to be a facility and we don't wind 13 (sic) it, we're going to be exposed. So, make 14 sure that there's a clause in the contract that we 15 16 may or may not build it. 17 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Technically, there's no lease with anybody. It's a concession agreement. 18 So, I probably have the wrong term in there with 19

20	existing the three existing lessees are tenants

- of ours in the terminal.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: But this type of agreement
- is -- is not a lease agreement. They are not
- leasing facility. They are using a facility in

exchange for concession fees. 1 MR. GEORGE: Only trying to avoid a problem. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that it? Doug, can you answer that question? 4 MR. BURNETT: Well, let me say this: It may 5 be that, based on what you said, since it's 6 already going to come back for actual cost next 7 8 month, it may be that in principle this is okay with you if -- if the Authority moves forward with 9 the construction, and -- and bring both of them 10 11 back next month. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just do both of them at one 12 13 time. MR. BURNETT: Yeah. But -- but -- but at 14 least if this is the framework that would be okay 15 with you, then at least we can continue to move on 16 17 with getting that part of it --18 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I like the numbers.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, the revenue stream is

19

20	not contingent upon the facility being we'd be
21	collecting revenue starting in December for use,
22	for what's currently going out of here at no at
23	no advantage to the airport.
24	Later on, that those operations would be
25	relocated to new facility in the event you you

- 1 approve the build of it later.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I just want didn't
- anything to be implied that later on, we come back
- 4 and we have a lawsuit because, you know, I signed
- 5 that agreement and gave you \$47,000 last year in
- 6 rental cars because you told me you were going to
- build a nice rental car facility, and then Skybus
- 8 has a problem and we don't build it.
- 9 MR. BURNETT: Gotcha. We -- we've actually
- 10 contemplated not -- I apologize. I should have
- said this earlier. We've -- in talking with Ed
- and Staff, we've contemplated the situation where
- you're going to have basically two different types
- of rental car concession agreements, one for three
- operators that would actually be at this facility
- if it were to get built, and then one for the
- other operators who may come along that want to
- gain access to the airport and be able to serve
- 19 airport users with rental cars. And so, it

- really -- the second agreement works whether you
- build it or not.
- MR. GEORGE: All right.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. But the question is,
- do you want to table this until you see -- see
- some numbers and everything for next week?

1	MR. GEORGE: If we
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next month.
3	MR. GEORGE: I don't see that we need to
4	table it. You I think that they can continue
5	to work on it, you know, now.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
7	MR. GEORGE: And as long as you know, we
8	get to the point where we're not exposed.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we're not signing
10	anything yet. Nobody is.
11	MR. BURNETT: Well and I guess that
12	that's a good point, is approve the concession
13	agreement as it relates to the this formula
14	for for users without facility. And then if
15	they have facility, you'd have the added language
16	in there if you go forward and build the facility.
17	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
18	MR. BURNETT: Because we need to address
19	users on the airport property.

20	MR. GEORGE: That way it gets us where we can
21	start collecting those revenues in December, yeah.

- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. And that's why I
- think it's important we need to get that. Okay.
- 24 I'll entertain a motion.
- MR. GORMAN: I make a motion that we accept

1	the lease agreement as stated by Staff, and adopt
2	it.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?
4	MR. GEORGE: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion with
6	the distinction between users and facility?
7	No? Okay.
8	MR. GEORGE: I do have a comment to make
9	that's not we got the lease thing out of the
10	way.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we're not. There's a
12	motion pending, so
13	MR. GEORGE: We have the lease thing out of
14	the way. I'm just saying that while they're
15	designing this rental car facility, to please go
16	back and look at the multimodal, where we're going
17	to put that, because that was a good plan at one
18	time also.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

- MS. BARRERA: We could have put it over on
- those people's properties.
- MR. GEORGE: Which people's properties?
- MS. BARRERA: That we were looking at
- 24 earlier.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. So now it's not \$600,000;

1 it's now \$300,000 for this. 2 MS. BARRERA: That was just a comment. 3 MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. That was just a 4 rebuttal. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. There's a motion and it's been seconded to accept Staff's 6 recommendation to go forward with this framework 7 8 for the leases. All in favor? MR. GEORGE: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 10 MS. BARRERA: Aye. 11 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed? 13 (No opposition.) 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the 15 motion will carry. 16 17 9.F. - 2ND FBO DISCUSSION MR. WUELLNER: All right. The last item I've 18 got is related to second FBO. And we felt after 19

20	last discussion, that perhaps we can make a
21	recommendation to try and get all of this, at
22	least in some some way moving forward or or
23	stopped, one or the other.
24	It occurred to us we're talking about two
25	distinct effort or two distinct interest areas

1	as it relates to the second FBO discussion up to
2	date. One is the general aviation service needs.
3	We heard from tenants and users, particularly
4	light general aviation users relative to the lack
5	of services and providers of things that typically
6	cater to light general aviation.
7	Secondarily, we're trying to frame that
8	within the context of a second FBO, perhaps at
9	least difficult in terms of constructing that, but
10	more importantly, perhaps overly constraining a
11	second FBO operation relative to what its
12	potential would be on the airport.
13	So, as staff, we're we're asking that we
14	in a sense divorce the two issues in moving
15	forward. And one is create the general aviation
16	service needs and go ahead and get that off dead
17	center and perhaps prioritize that in terms of the
18	project.
19	You have some grant money to the tune of

20	about a total of a half million dollars of project
21	that's available that we could move forward with
22	the six 3,000 square foot units that are not
23	currently being built as a part of the T-hangar
24	project. You recall you had three, or three units
25	along Casa Cola Avenue, and there were I think

three units along Estrella Avenue also that were 1 depicted in the overall layout plan a while back. 2 These included small amounts of office with them, 3 but basically they're 3,000 square foot units. In 4 other words, they meet your minimum operating 5 standards threshold value, 3,000. 6 As we move that forward, solicit light 7 general aviation businesses, maintenance shops, 8 avionics, whatever, that fit in the 3,000 square 9 foot minimum requirements. Get as many of those 10 built in as short a time as possible, and get 11 those revenue streams done and those needs met 12 13 within GA. Secondary to that is to continue the input 14 and development of the second FBO independent of 15 16 that light GA constriction. And the thought here 17 was to perhaps sit down at the -- at the December meeting here, is develop a schedule, a working 18 backwards from a time line you'd like to have that 19

20	facility operational, which is probably several
21	years out when you look realistically. Is back
22	that up from construction to design to when you
23	would have to solicit proposals to do that.
24	And and map that out and get get some sort
25	of blueprint, for lack of better terms, of how we

1	go forward.
2	Secondarily is is continue discussions on
3	developing the scope of services, because the
4	scope of services with being free of the light GA
5	specific components, is very similar to what
6	any any FBO operation would expect to be able
7	to do as an FBO. Is go ahead and talk through
8	that that list of things you're asking them to
9	provide, which shouldn't be particularly onerous
10	or atypical of what would be out there. Make the
11	determination once and for all who's going to
12	capitalize the facility in development of the RFP.
13	Is it something the Authority wants to get
14	programmed with FDOT for development funds, in
15	which case the schedule may reflect FDOT funds,
16	you know, as they become available? Is it
17	something you intend to go out, borrow money on,
18	and just recover as a part of the rent
19	calculation?

20	But we make that decision once and for all
21	over the next couple of months, then begin to
22	develop the RFP document with the scope of
23	services behind us and who's paying for it. We
24	could relatively quickly put together the schedule
25	or the I'm sorry, the document for RFPs, and

1	beginning the begin the open and and and
2	fair process of selecting who we would like to
3	partner with in developing the second FBO along
4	the time line you've established.
5	And I think that would get both elements
6	satisfied, get both projects off dead center and
7	on course and on track. Take some of the I
8	would use "hostility" out of trying to force an
9	agenda on a second FBO operation that arguably is
10	not going to be profitable long term for them.
11	So, this allows a second FBO to be a second
12	FBO and allows us to address a myriad of other
13	light GA business needs on the airport.
14	And that's how I would recommend you take it
15	from here based on especially the input we got
16	as the last workshop, because I think it it
17	clearly indicated there were two very very
18	separate agendas going on relative to what what
19	should go on in the future here.

25

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment
21	Mr. Martinelli?
22	MR. MARTINELLI: Do I have to go up there?
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
24	MR. MARTINELLI: I think that the splitting

out of the two objectives is the prudent way to

1	go.
2	I also think that based upon the last
3	workshop on this matter and some of the numbers
4	that were brought up as far as fuel flowage, as
5	far as traffic, as far as projected growth on the
6	airport, I think it would be unfair to ask a
7	second FBO to come here and to compete with the
8	existing FBO when statistics, at least to me,
9	anyway, don't indicate enough business to support
10	two FBOs of the general nature that you're talking
11	about.
12	So, I think but yet there is a need on the
13	airport. And that need, I think, has been
14	influencing all of us as to, well, how do you fill
15	that need? And I think the approach that Ed just
16	outlined enables you to do both, and and also
17	do it prudently. So, you know, that's where I'm
18	coming from.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mike? Thompson,

- 20 I'm sorry.
- MR. THOMPSON: She always calls the pretty
- 22 one first.
- 23 MR. MICKEL: No question.
- MR. THOMPSON: Mike Thompson, 895 Roberts
- Road in St. Johns. This is the first I've heard

1	about about the new approach. I certainly
2	can't find anything there to object to.
3	I sent Ed an e-mail yesterday with a link to
4	an article in the AOPA web site where one of my
5	counterparts at Van Nuys, California had a
6	propeller park, as they were calling it, under
7	development at Van Nuys. There was a very real
8	danger that light general aviation owner-flown,
9	however you want to you want to approach it,
10	was going to be pushed off the airport at Van
11	Nuys.
12	And they apparently came up with some very
13	innovative things to do. And the earliest I could
14	arrange a conference call with the principals was
15	tomorrow. So, I don't have anything to report to
16	you on that. But I did want to tell you that
17	we're not alone, I guess, in this in this
18	quandary that we find ourselves, is how do you
19	cope with with competing needs. So, hopefully

20	they'll have some insight that will be useful to
21	the board members when they're making a decision.
22	The other thing that I wanted to tell you, I
23	have suggested before that I think that the board
24	members, in an undercover role on the sly, just
25	quietly get into a little airplane and fly into

1	businesses operated by the contenders.
2	I had occasion to visit an FBO operated by
3	one of the contenders this past week and realized
4	while I was there I needed a sectional chart. And
5	when I asked for the sectional chart, it was, "Oh,
6	we don't do that; the flight school is down
7	well, half a mile down the road, they have a
8	flight school where they have a pilot shop that
9	sells sectional charts and that kind of thing."
10	Somebody that doesn't sell sectional charts is not
11	really interested in light general aviation
12	business.
13	And I think the board members, and I'm sure
14	it can be arranged with SAAPA, for those of you
15	who don't have an airplane handy, just to go visit
16	these people, taxi up on the ramp, unannounced.
17	"I need 10 gallons of fuel." See how they treat
18	you.
19	You have to walk a quarter of a mile to where

20	they push their airplane to fuel it, they take
21	your money and wave goodbye, then I think you know
22	what you need to know about their attitude about
23	general aviation. Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Mike Mickel?
25	MR. MICKEL: Good afternoon. My name is Mike

1 Mickel from Richmond, Virginia, and South Ponte 2 Vedra Beach here. 3 I would -- just short comments today for me. I'd like to commend the staff for pushing this 4 forward and coming up with an innovative idea so 5 6 we can move forward. 7 However, I feel that I would like -- I would hope that we would put out an RFP to see what kind 8 of responses we get from FBOs, because you may 9 have a proposal or a business plan that would 10 encompass all the other services that you're 11 missing. Maybe there be one or two that weren't 12 there, and then after you find the FBO that you 13 want and they have all the services, then maybe it 14 would be the time to go after the specific 15 16 services. 17 You may have certain companies that want to come in, and a part of their business plan to make 18

their business go would be something that you've

19

20	already given out with a company for less
21	overhead.
22	And as always, I think that the decision,
23	whether a company can be viable is that business
24	owner and it's I would like to think that
25	anyone that comes is going to know they're going

1	to eventually make money here and not for the
2	board to decide that it's profitable or not.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If no other public comment
5	board comment?
6	MS. BARRERA: I think this was a great
7	approach. I had asked at the workshop for some
8	creative problem solving, and I think that the
9	staff certainly did that with this approach.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?
11	MR. GORMAN: I have one comment. In other
12	words, I was as far as if you do call it an
13	FBO, then can you limit the scope of their
14	business? In other words or is that and is
15	that a legal contention? And that is a question
16	for Mr. Burnett.
17	MR. BURNETT: I've been doing a lot of
18	research on this, and and I want to talk to you

about it more in depth, I guess, Mr. Gorman. I've

19

20	somewhat made the rounds. I haven't completed
21	making the rounds, to be honest, related to this.
22	But one of the things that, you know, I have
23	figured out, and it's an interesting thing,
24	representing the Airport Authority, because I'll
25	share with you one lawyer thing, which is normally

1	lawyers go to Westlaw or Lexus. They look for
2	legal opinions and they find all the answers they
3	need to be able to argue one way or the other.
4	Representing the airport, it's interesting
5	because sometimes my my role takes me beyond
6	just that, the regular Google-type searches on the
7	internet to learn what's going on around the
8	country and what the FAA has put out, and also get
9	input from folks who are users on the airport such
10	as your Pilots Association, which is good folks
11	that give me input from time to time.
12	So, with that said, let me let me say
13	this: There is already and I talked to Ed
14	about this today in fact. There's already some
15	language in your minimum operating standards
16	covering this.
17	One thing the FAA has talked about is there's
18	some language in some circulars from the FAA, and
19	I know around Florida this has been done, where

20	you have, for what I would call in lay terms, a
21	mini FBO, or a limited FBO. There's a a
22	buzzword for it, a SASO, a Special Special
23	Aviation Service Operation, where you limit what
24	that user would do and it fits for somewhat of
25	what you've been talking about of encouraging some

general aviation-type services. 1 The FAA circular that I've gotten related to 2 3 this subject that someone provided to me speaks to in the way, I think Hillsborough, for example, 4 5 dealt with it, was to amend their minimum operating standards to include that definition 6 within there. 7 8 Ed and I have talked to -- I haven't seen --I didn't see this when I looked through our 9 minimum operating standards. There's some 10 language in there, apparently, Ed's told me. I'm 11 going to go look at that, obviously, to see what's 12 in there already. But it may be that we need to 13 tweak some of the language there if -- may be that 14 it's already handled or covered. I'm not sure, to 15 be honest with you. But that is something I've 16 17 got to go look at. MR. GORMAN: All right. Thank you. In other 18 words, you're working on it. 19

20	MR. BURNETT: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
22	MR. GEORGE: GA service needs prioritize and
23	move forward on the proposed 3,000 square foot
24	buildings. I would propose that we expand that to
25	be cover all of our waiting list, which would

1 be corporate, because I really need to know how 2 we're going to satisfy them. And do not eliminate 3 the possibility of us borrowing money to go ahead and build it. We've got people standing there. 4 They're going to go somewhere else. 5 So, I would take that GA service needs and 6 7 expand that to be hangars across all of our 8 waiting lists, not just the six 3,000 square foot buildings. 9 10 Second, continue with the -- with the second FBO development. At some point in time, there was 11 a discussion -- and I don't know if it was just 12 you and I, Doug -- about going out with an RFP, 13 and in that RFP, we say here are the things that 14 are a primary concern to us. So, part of the RFP 15 16 is you have to define to me how you're going to 17 satisfy that and how we're going to be guaranteed 18 that you satisfy that. So, put the onus on their

back.

19

20	Second thing, how can we decide who's going
21	to pay, capitalize the buildings when we don't
22	know the buildings? But in the RFP, make them
23	give us a sketch or a definition of what kind of
24	buildings they think they should have. From that,
25	we can then see how much money that we're going to

- 1 be putting out. Because there are people out
- 2 there that are willing to -- to take a land lease.
- 3 You know, let them build the building. And if
- 4 they go belly up and don't make it, the building's
- 5 ours. And there's no skin off of their nose.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The bank's.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: But in 20 years, you know, the
- 8 building's ours, anyway.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kind of along Buzz's
- 10 comments, and -- I'm all for with going forward
- with an RFP. We need to get this going, because
- 12 like Ed said, we're talking a year -- two years
- 13 out.
- MR. GEORGE: Easily two years.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. So, we need to get
- moving. And I think the only way to do this -- I
- don't want to push it off till December. I don't
- want to set up more schedules. I'd like to get
- the RFP out there with exactly those comments.

20	Put it on their the people
21	MR. GEORGE: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: you know, asking for the
23	FBOs, put the burden on them to show us, all
24	right, this is what we want. You tell us how
25	you're going to make it happen and guarantee what

- 1 we're going to get.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Exactly, right.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. But I'm all for going
- 4 forward and let's get this moving one way or the
- 5 other.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: But these RFPs are going to be
- 7 with Staff's recommendation of the possible
- 8 limitation of scope and to include general, you
- 9 know --
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I don't think it has to
- 11 be.
- MR. GORMAN: This is my question.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
- MR. GEORGE: I don't think it has to be.
- MR. GORMAN: Okay.
- MR. GEORGE: Just define what our concerns
- are, and say you've got to address these to our
- satisfaction.
- MR. GORMAN: And see what they say.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: See what they say. We want
21	general aviation services. We want you to tell us
22	how you can guarantee that you're going to give it
23	to us, make it available.
24	MR. GEORGE: Five years down the road,
25	whatever the lease agreement is, ten years down

- 1 the road, those GA services have got to be
- 2 offered. And politely, courteously, and
- 3 officially.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: That's why I said the limitation
- of scope in the onset of it because I don't want
- 6 anybody then -- any accusatorial thing, what, oh,
- you can't limit scope, you know, because you're
- 8 going to sort through RFPs and find out specific
- 9 what services you -- you know, at the level of
- service you didn't -- didn't do. That's why
- 11 the -- you know.
- MR. GEORGE: I wasn't looking at limiting. I
- was just --
- MR. GORMAN: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: -- merely defining that this is
- 16 a priority.
- 17 MR. GORMAN: Right. I agree.
- MR. GEORGE: And this is one of the selection
- criteria for who we go with.

- MR. GORMAN: I agree with you. But that's
- 21 why the legal issue at first.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: Before the RFP --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, but I don't think
- 25 that's where we are.

1	MR. GEORGE: It probably also needs to be
2	defined that this does not necessarily mean that
3	we're going to go forward with it.
4	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, I would think based on
5	what you've said in the past, unless you change
6	and you want to go to some sort of let me just
7	say I don't want to even offer it as a
8	suggestion. You you would not go out for a bid
9	on something like this, because it's too uncertain
10	as to what you will get back.
11	This is the kind of thing that you go out for
12	request for proposals, very similar to the way the
13	City of St. Augustine sold the San Sebastian
14	Marina property, where you ask for proposals.
15	It's nonbinding. Whatever you get back, you can
16	accept one or you can reject them all.
17	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, okay. Fine.
18	MR. BURNETT: And it's absolutely not binding
19	legally.

25

20	MR. GEORGE: Which is your RFP.
21	MR. BURNETT: Because you'll look at them and
22	you figure out whether there's something there
23	that you like or not.
24	In fact, a very brief history on the City of

St. Augustine, the first bids, they picked the

1	high bidder. Or they picked a successful
2	proposal. And after ranking them, they liked that
3	one. They entered a contract entered into a
4	contract with it. It was Vestcor. That contract
5	fell fell apart, because it was entered right
6	before September 11th, 2001. It fell apart. The
7	City then went out for proposals a second time.
8	So, it may be that you wind up and they
9	didn't go to the next, the next highest ranked one
10	that they liked. They went out for proposals all
11	again. It may be that you wind up going through
12	this more or once. I don't know. I can't
13	foretell the future, but you at least make it to
14	where it's nonbinding and you haven't made a
15	commitment.
16	MR. GEORGE: I think the Chairman's point is
17	we need to fish or cut bait.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. I want to make a
19	decision on this. Let's get the information.

20	Let's send	out the RFPs.	We know	what our	kind o	of

- 21 priorities are, I mean, from the surveys we had.
- GA, you know, aviation needs, mechanical stuff.
- MR. GORMAN: So, sending out RFPs to FBOs and
- 24 we're sending out RFPs for facilities to meet
- general aviation needs at the same time?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I -- I was just doing it for 1 2 the FBO, because FBO may come back and say, I will 3 guarantee you general aviation services to this capacity. We won't need the 3,000 square foot. 4 We can use it for the commercial hangars and 5 6 corporate hangars that we need. 7 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: I mean, if they come back --8 MR. GORMAN: This doesn't preclude the idea 9 of an incubator. This doesn't preclude the idea 10 of --11 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. MR. GORMAN: -- small business development --13 14 MR. GEORGE: No. CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. 15 16 MR. GORMAN: -- with this RFP for FBO. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. 18 MR. GORMAN: These -- these are still on the

board --

19

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: If it comes back and we --
- MR. GORMAN: -- still on the table.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- don't -- and nobody comes
- 23 up with something that fits our GA needs, then
- we're going, okay, well, then that's not going to
- work.

1	MR. GEORGE: Right.
2	MR. BURNETT: Let me just throw one thing out
3	there, as well, which is I understand we've got a
4	lot of information from the workshop as to what
5	priorities would be.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
7	MR. BURNETT: Is it a priority also, or is it
8	something that would look favorably on that we
9	want to include within an RFP whether or not the
10	proposer would capitalize the construction?
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, yeah. I mean, it's
12	either you want us to build it or do you want to
13	build it? Tell us what your proposal is.
14	MR. GEORGE: Right. And if you want us to
15	build it, what kind of facility do you want so we
16	can come up with some costs.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Absolutely. Okay?
18	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion that we change
19	the GA service needs to include corporate and

25

20	anything else that we have on our Master Plan to
21	satisfy our waiting list, customers, tenants. And
22	the second one is not to wait to develop an input,
23	but go out with the F the RFP right now.
24	MR. WUELLNER: I still don't understand wha

you're doing with the GA service needs amendment.

1 Can you just clarify that for me? 2 MR. GEORGE: Well, the Pandora's box that got 3 opened was prioritize and move forward on proposed 3,000 square foot buildings, six of them in the 4 5 vicinity of the Ts. So, I'm just going to take the first piece of that and say prioritize and 6 move forward on all hangars to meet the waiting 7 8 list needs of St. Johns County residents. That would include corporate. 9 MR. GORMAN: And my question would be why --10 why stop the separation? Why not allow the staff 11 to do what he's designed here, to, you know, do an 12 RFP, but not specify --13 MR. GEORGE: We're not talking about the RFP. 14 We're talking about the GA service needs. Look at 15 the second bullet. That's the only --16 17 MR. GORMAN: Right. 18 MR. GEORGE: We -- we divide this into two.

So, the second bullet says let me satisfy the GA

19

20	service needs by resurrecting the six proposed
21	3,000 square foot hangars. And I'm saying don't
22	stop there. Resurrect all of the hangars to
23	optimize the space that we've already paid.
24	MR. GORMAN: My point is and I'm a bit
25	confused is I like Staff's idea, is to separate

- 1 the two and then -- and then discuss two as
- 2 separate issues.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: That was my -- why my question
- of limitation of scope. So, what would we lose by
- 6 allowing him to continue to separate them? Even
- 7 if you did both RFPs and FBOs.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: No, no, no. Let him separate.
- 9 I'm not objecting.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- 11 MR. GORMAN: That's my question.
- MR. GEORGE: I'm saying that on this agenda
- item, there is an A and there's a B. And A is the
- service needs, and B is the RFP. And I was trying
- to give direction for both of them.
- 16 MR. GORMAN: I see. All right.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you understand what he's
- saying with the amendment? Just don't limit
- 19 yourself to the six buildings. See what else --

20	when you're looking at space and utilization, what
21	else where can we also put corporate or other
22	hangars?
23	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Those those wouldn't
24	be a GA service. That's why I'm that's why I'm
25	a little confused. Because we're talking about

- six commercial type use hangars, right? I mean,
- 2 that's -- that's what I'm talking about, anyway.
- 3 Not six just storage hangars someplace. Six
- 4 business hangars.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: He's talking about offices.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: For businesses. And I mean to
- 7 open up a shop and, you know, do something.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Oh, you're talking about his
- 9 incubator, then.
- MR. WUELLNER: Not necessarily. They could
- be six stand-alone small businesses that come in.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, a small business being --
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: -- getting in our incubator
- maybe as a specialized service.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, what I'm not getting is
- are -- are you wrapping in corporate storage? I
- mean, how -- how broad are you -- what -- what
- 19 constitutes GA service needs for you? I mean,

- what does that mean?
- MR. GEORGE: Anything other than Skybus to
- you.
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: What -- what do you want to do,
- Ed? How do you propose to do it? Because I like

1	your idea or separating them. And what do you
2	what do you think is the because you're going
3	to have to do this. What is the most clear way
4	you would like to try to do this?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you know, my concern is
6	we're we're cutting off an entire revenue
7	stream trying to prioritize GA, light GA in
8	general, that is inherently less revenue producing
9	when you can be using one to do the other.
10	See, by by developing the Skybus revenue
11	sources, you're putting on the table depending
12	on the number of flights in any one year, you're
13	potentially putting on the table hundreds of
14	thousands of dollars that can be used for
15	GA-related projects free and clear.
16	If you take that off and don't prioritize,
17	you don't spend the money on rental car facility,
18	you don't develop those market, you don't satisfy
19	the needs related to commercial service, not only

25

20	do you virtually guarantee that the service will
21	eventually go away because you can't take it, but
22	you cut off the revenue potential in the future
23	related to it. And that's fine.
24	I mean, that that's a commitment that is,

you know, forever a GA facility, and nothing wrong

1	with that. But you're forever a GA facility and
2	you're forever with the limitations that come with
3	GA relative to revenue and revenue development.
4	I think you can do both. I think you can
5	always do both. And I think you need to do both,
6	you know, within the I don't see any reason you
7	can't.
8	But, you know, you're potentially just a
9	rental car facility only. You looked at your net
10	revenues presented last week. I mean, three,
11	four, five, \$600,000 of revenue that could be
12	allocated to GA over time. And it doesn't have to
13	go back to commercial service.
14	MR. GEORGE: I don't know the answer to this
15	question, but what's the failure rate of new
16	airlines starting up?
17	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know it's a rate. I
18	have no idea. All indications are I mean,
19	they're taking deliveries, a lot of money coming

- 20 on, a lot of service coming on line.
- MR. GEORGE: And I -- and I think we ought to
- move on it because it is a nice revenue stream.
- But as Mr. Gorman mentioned at the -- one of the
- workshops, we need to move forward cautiously.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't disagree at all. I

1	units we have confectively gone cautiously at
2	every step at this point. It's just we're now at
3	a critical mass relative to infrastructure on the
4	facility.
5	We now no longer have a place to put rental
6	cars in a matter of a couple of months, period.
7	Do you tell tell your customers just there will
8	be no rental cars available on the airport? And,
9	you know, I'm just throwing this out. You've
10	we've all got needs related to all of these
11	different things and we've got to sort it out.
12	MR. GORMAN: Excuse me. Let me try to
13	clarify it, because I think in other words,
14	back to this RFP proposal for FBO, and back to, in
15	other words, if we ask for RFP proposal for FBO,
16	we do not preclude the development of, for
17	instance, an incubator or a six. We don't do
18	that. So, we could do that right now. We don't
19	have to exercise all this.

20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think that's

- 21 question. I think --
- MR. GORMAN: But this is kind of where the --
- where I think the Chairman is trying to go, too.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. GORMAN: She wants to do this or don't.

1	Okay. So, now would you word it?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Well, if I'm understanding
3	what what you're throwing out right now is,
4	that you go out, you solicit proposals, for lack
5	of better terms, that are as broad in scope as
6	possible, with emphasis points within it of things
7	you would like to get accomplished within the
8	second FBO operation, whatever
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And how they could propose
10	to do it and how they propose to finance it.
11	MR. WUELLNER: With whatever they you
12	know, we can even make statements that, you know,
13	the Authority, you know, is not disinterested,
14	however we phrase it ultimately, in participating
15	in the development costs, because obviously
16	there's enhanced revenue from somewhat being
17	involved to somewhat when capitalizing the
18	facility.
19	We throw that out, we see what we get back.

25

20	There may or may not be as Mr. Mickels (sic)
21	pointed out, there may or may not be a response
22	that fits generally everything you want to do.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
24	MR. WUELLNER: You want to move forward to

them, we move forward with that. You know, you

1	don t, you don t.
2	In the event you get proposals back that
3	don't emphasize GA, don't emphasize the things
4	that were important to you, you can decide to
5	to accept some of those proposals and develop GA
6	independent, or you can go back and start over.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I think we're a
8	little premature. I don't mind prioritizing, but
9	moving forward on dealing with the building, we
10	don't know what the RFPs are going to get that.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Well, when I say prioritizing
12	it's not like we're, you know, going to be moving
13	into construction in a matter of a couple of
14	months here. It nothing moves that fast. And
15	I certainly have no problem at all, if we're going
16	to wrap it into into the second FBO mentality
17	and keep those things in there and see what see
18	what comes out of it, I have no problem with that
19	at all.

20	In the event it just doesn't satisfy what
21	everybody wants to do, and we resurrect the GA
22	service hangars, the six hangars, maybe deal with
23	that independently and go back out and look at
24	FBOs in a different
25	MR. GORMAN: Then can I make a motion?

1	MR. GEORGE: I was going to do them both at
2	the same time. Don't
3	MR. GORMAN: Let's do that.
4	MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry.
5	MR. GORMAN: Let me make a motion that we
6	accept Staff's recommendation that we do put out
7	an RFP for an FBO and then we continue to to
8	actually
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Prioritize and move forward
10	on the general services, aviation services.
11	MR. GORMAN: On the general aviation and to
12	continue that discussion. So, then we will have
13	RFP data. So, I make a motion that we allow
14	Staff's recommendation that we do put out an RFP
15	for FBOs and that we can you know, while
16	continuing to move forward on the general aviation
17	issues.
18	MR. GEORGE: Sounds good.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?

- MR. GEORGE: Second.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: I --
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Now, there's more board
- discussion. I mean, there's a first and second.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, my -- I have no -- no
- problem with that. I just want to be perfectly

1	clear on what prioritize means, because when I m
2	using "prioritize" in this context, it was ahead
3	of second FBO development in terms of the more
4	pressing need on the airport is for these light GA
5	services versus the other. I have no problem with
6	the approach you're taking.
7	My concern is that we when we word the
8	"prioritize," because when you go back and read
9	minutes, it's not necessarily clear the intent of
10	the word "prioritize," you know, that we don't get
11	caught in the in the nuance that everything,
12	every project we're going to consider is going to
13	have a preference toward satisfying light GA needs
14	when you may have significant revenue sources
15	you're overlooking on the commercial side. So, I
16	just want to make sure everybody's just kind of
17	staying open to it, you know.
18	MR. GEORGE: So, what you're saying is let's
19	get out of the "prioritize" and move forward

- MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- MR. GEORGE: -- on the proposed, but say
- reevaluate the hangar needs of the airport and
- 23 make recommendations to go forward.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. They're all --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Put it in a motion.

1	MR. WUELLNER: in our funding and, you
2	know, you may be able to enhance revenues towards
3	GA development by
4	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
5	MR. GORMAN: So, can we use the motion as
6	stated, in other words, by allowing for FBO while
7	continuing to discuss and develop GA needs?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I mean, we can
9	certainly
10	MR. GORMAN: We can we can leave that
11	motion as as stated.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You want to amend your
13	motion then to include that?
14	MR. WUELLNER: To some extent, you want it in
15	the FBO proposals. I mean, you want to wrap some
16	of that
17	MR. GEORGE: No, no. I don't.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
19	MR. GEORGE: Why don't you restate your

20	MR. GORMAN: That we accept Staff's
21	recommendation to go out for RF for RFP
22	proposals for FBO while continue you know, but
23	we are going to continue with the
24	clarification, we are going to continue to
25	research and look at general aviation needs and

1	development at the same time. In other words, if
2	the period.
3	And then so that so that doesn't
4	preclude that doesn't remove general aviation,
5	and that allows in that in that motion that we
6	are not taking general aviation out of the picture
7	at all. That that is part of the motion. That
8	is part of a clarification of the board's needs,
9	that we're trying to see what an FBO can offer and
10	continue to pursue general aviation needs. If in
11	fact the one does the other, so be it.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. One may take care of
13	the other, but we don't know that yet.
14	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. That's not impossible.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. I think that's
16	general enough to just that would mean the six
17	buildings, that could mean hangars, that could
18	mean
19	MR. GEORGE: See if you could could buy

- into this, that whole GA service needs, the
- direction we're giving them is to redevelop all
- revenue sources from hangars with particular
- emphasis on servicing GA needs.
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think Staff has direction.

1	MR. WUELLNER: I think that's you're
2	saying the same thing.
3	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, I think we are saying the
4	same thing.
5	MR. GEORGE: Fine. Saying the same thing, as
6	long as you understand.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
8	MR. GORMAN: So, I made
9	MR. GEORGE: Are you interpreting that to
10	mean corporate hangars?
11	MR. GORMAN: Is that clear enough for you,
12	Ed, that motion?
13	MR. WUELLNER: I'm interpreting it to mean
14	commercial development hangars. We'll add
15	components for corporate-related use, while
16	simultaneously developing the second FBO RFP.
17	MR. GORMAN: General aviation needs, as I see
18	it, means servicing piston-powered aircraft as
19	well as turbine. That is general aviation, in my

- definition.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And I understand that.
- MR. GEORGE: Well, that's where my difference
- in you comes along, because I don't want to limit
- it. I want to maximize the revenues we've got
- coming in from planned hangar facilities,

1 corporate business and T-hangars. 2 MR. GORMAN: And I want to make sure that we 3 do not eliminate the base of -- the historical 4 base of this airport as being piston-powered. In 5 other words, I want to see the whole spectrum of aviation serviced. 6 7 MR. GEORGE: Fine. 8 MR. GORMAN: That's --MR. GEORGE: We'll leave out the other one, 9 then. Because the other one might come up with we 10 need \$900,000 to build a corporate hangar over on 11 12 the northeast corner. Look at the revenue it's going to bring in. 13 MR. GORMAN: Do you feel that motion leaves 14 out anybody? It's pretty --15 16 MS. BARRERA: Maybe Suzanne can restate it. MR. GORMAN: Yeah. You're --17 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's your motion.

MR. GORMAN: Well, go ahead.

19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think Ed has the
21	direction. So, point blank, we are asking for a
22	motion to go forward with the RFPs
23	MR. GORMAN: Right.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: without priorities. Two,
25	that Staff move forward to develop our GA service

1	needs. And I'm going to make it generic because
2	that can hit corporate to piston
3	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: to whatever, for revenue
5	sources that we can move forward to service those
6	needs.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
8	MR. GEORGE: I can second that.
9	MR. GORMAN: Fine. It's the same motion.
10	Fine. Perfect.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor?
13	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
15	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
16	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
18	(No opposition.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Motion carries.

20	9.G. MEETING BY TELECONFERENCE
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have two well, one
22	quick one. Your telephone conference?
23	MR. GORMAN: Yes. I'd just like, real
24	quickly I'm doing a lot of 300-mile driving.
25	Is there any board opposition to, especially

1	during workshops, to setting not in the middle
2	of nowhere, but in the center of the table here or
3	whatever, for a telephone conferencing so that
4	board members can actually participate in input
5	and, you know, verbal input?
6	They won't be able to vote, as our attorney
7	has said, but the verbal input will be there. In
8	other words, we are going to tele
9	teleconference workshops or meetings so that there
10	can be some board input, even if you wouldn't have
11	a voting. I'd like because I'd like to
12	implement that almost immediately, if possible.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: In front of you, there's
14	a Doug gave us
15	MR. GORMAN: Right.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: an Attorney General
17	Opinion.
18	MR. GORMAN: Familiar with it.
19	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And and this is

20	following a	series	of Attorney	General	Opinions.

- There's been a number of them. This one's a new
- one that's there. It's a 2007. It's a pretty
- 23 current Attorney General Opinion.
- And basically what the Attorney General has
- opined -- and this is again a series of Attorney

1	General Opinions that basically upheld the same
2	thing, which is you can participate through these
3	electronic means, but we can't all of a sudden
4	have the authority, all five members decide to do
5	that. We've got to have a quorum physically
6	present here, and it's that quorum physically
7	present here that's votes actually count, if you
8	will.
9	(Mr. Wuellner leaves room.)
10	MR. BURNETT: An Authority member, such as
11	Mr. Gorman, who attends could certainly
12	participate. I think, as I read one of the
13	opinions, you you actually could vote, but the
14	vote won't really count.
15	MR. GORMAN: That would be a matter of
16	minutes, but it wouldn't be a matter of decision.
17	MR. BURNETT: But I think it's a you're
18	you're able to to participate and and voice
19	whether you agree with an issue or don't agree

- with an issue. And -- and so that -- thatdocuments your interest in the --
- MR. GORMAN: It's especially tailored for
- workshops, that's all. Just I'd like that
- ability. It's especially -- not that it's going
- to be exercised often.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't see any problem with
2	it as long as it's not abused.
3	MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And that's part of my
5	concern.
6	MR. GORMAN: How would you abuse it?
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Use it every time we have a
8	workshop.
9	MR. GORMAN: Because every time we start to
10	talk, you're you go off and interrupt what else
11	we're saying in there.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I'm talking about
13	abusing the ability to do that. So, I just don't
14	attend workshops anymore; I'll just attend them by
15	phone.
16	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: In other words, you're
18	limited to maybe two a year or three a year or
19	whatever, something like that.

- MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MS. BARRERA: That's a good point.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or you just go on a
- 23 gentlemen's agreement for now, and if we find out
- it's being overused, stop it.
- MS. BARRERA: That's a good point. I

wouldn't have thought of that. 1 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. That's -- you may want 2 to develop ultimately a policy related to it. You 3 know, it could be right now, you just go and see 4 how it works and it's not a problem. 5 6 MS. BARRERA: And reevaluate it. 7 MR. BURNETT: If there's an issue that 8 arises, then you develop an actual board policy and pass it, and that would then be the policy 9 10 related to it. But, for example, when this is going to come 11 up, I know Mr. Gorman's going to do this; he's 12 going to give Ed plenty of notice and Staff plenty 13 of notice that they need to coordinate having a 14 phone here. That is simple -- something as simple 15 as that, if you want to develop a policy, it -- it 16 17 would be covered, but --MR. GORMAN: Here -- here -- here's our 18

signal. If I want to talk, that's it. Then later

19

20	on, when everyone's done, they can let me talk so
21	I'm not interrupting. Would that address that
22	issue? I'd just like to have that ability.
23	MR. GEORGE: How would you feel about us
24	limiting it to workshops to begin with until we
25	see because you can't vote none of us votes

1	on a workshop.
2	MR. GORMAN: I don't think limiting to
3	workshops would be that good. I don't see
4	because the voting issue isn't there. I would not
5	like to limit it to workshops myself. I don't
6	intend myself to abuse it. I understand.
7	MR. GEORGE: I know, but you can't vote.
8	MR. GORMAN: I understand that.
9	MS. BARRERA: What if we try it for a period
10	of six months, see how it goes, and then make
11	the make a decision about whether or not we
12	want to have a policy on it?
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
14	MS. BARRERA: A formal policy.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we can do a
16	gentleman's agreement and let's just see how it
17	goes.
18	(Mr. Wuellner returns to room.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Give plenty of notice. I

- 20 mean, if we get three calls in that say, "I can't
- be there; I'm going to appear by phone," obviously
- that's not going to work.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And that's a thing that
- 24 Staff has --
- MR. GEORGE: Are you going to -- are you

1	going to have to write something up that we're
2	going to try this for six months?
3	MR. BURNETT: I don't know that we we need
4	to. I guess
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
6	MR. BURNETT: Y'all can make a motion,
7	something like that, even if it was by resolution,
8	to next next meeting you could, by majority
9	vote, overrule the resolution or vacate the
10	resolution and and change the rule yet again.
11	MR. GEORGE: I was only making reference to
12	what you had said earlier about, you know, you
13	guys want to put that as an option into your
14	system, and we're just going to do it on a trial
15	basis. I didn't know if you needed to put
16	something in there.
17	MR. BURNETT: I think your Staff at the
18	Authority will will be
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Monitoring

- MR. BURNETT: -- keeping count of, okay, I
- just got a call from Mr. Gorman, I just got a call
- from Mr. Brunson, and now I've gotten a call from
- Ms. Barrera, and Ms. Barrera, we're not going to
- of a quorum, so...
- MR. GEORGE: I think -- I think your answer

- 1 to my question is, no, no changes in your
- 2 procedures are required to be written up to allow
- 3 you to do this on a trial.
- 4 MR. BURNETT: That -- that's true.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: Thank you.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: If you elect to do it
- 7 permanent, we would like to amend the meeting
- 8 policy --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- to reflect whatever you
- 11 decide.
- MR. BURNETT: And it may be good to do that
- just as a practical matter for future boards. You
- 14 guys all get along.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I know. We do enough
- of those teleconference hearings in the courtroom.
- 17 Don't work that well.
- 18 9.H. SALARY FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The next thing I have

20	is the as we know, the annual review has been
21	out there, and I sent out assessments for
22	everybody to fill out.
23	And I'm going to complain a little bit. It
24	took tooth and nail to get responses. And I just

feel if we're going to be a board and we're going

1	to be evaluating things, we need your input
2	goes in or else just don't put any input. I mean,
3	don't have a voice in this.
4	So, I had incomplete responses. I had pages
5	left off. And I had someone, you know, I'm not
6	doing one at all. So, it's it's very difficult
7	when I went through and I tried to go and
8	average the responses on the regular performance
9	sheets we have, to find out, you know, what our
10	average score was on each of the questions.
11	But, we do need to discuss this. And I guess
12	Ed gets these, right, afterwards? Ed, do you get
13	these to review? Because there's all kinds of
14	comments on them.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I'll put them in the file.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
17	MR. GEORGE: I think you need to read them
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I do. But, I mean
19	MR. GEORGE: Okay.

20	MR. WUELLNER: we do keep them.
21	MR. GORMAN: Can I get a new sheet to make
22	mine legible?
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I'm not going to bring
24	it up again and go through it again and

recalculate everything. I'm going to leave them

1	the way they are. If you want to
2	MR. GORMAN: There's no calculations
3	involved.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, yeah, there are.
5	Because I took every one of the point systems,
6	which is what Bill Rose did and a bunch of others,
7	and we one person had a 90 and one person had
8	an 80, so I did an average so we all know what the
9	average was with everybody's well, what
10	responses I did have.
11	We do need to discuss it. One thing I do
12	want to bring up and I've spoken with Doug
13	quite a bit about this, and I've been doing some
14	work on it myself. We have it hasn't happened
15	when I've been on the board. We have Ed's
16	contract that's due, the 10-year contract?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Five.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Five-year contract? That's
19	up in June. So

20	MR. GEORGE: Next year, right?
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct.
22	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But we need to keep l
24	think we need to keep that in consideration when
25	we're doing this, because I mean, I think we've

1	all noticed that the scope of work has changed on
2	this airport. I mean, we are now cat. 3, we're
3	commercial. We've got a lot of different areas
4	that are out there.
5	I have gone, and Doug went and found some
6	comparables of other airports that have some
7	commercial side to it. Bradenton was the one that
8	Doug found that was most as much as you compare
9	apples and oranges, is most compatible to what we
10	have.
11	MR. GEORGE: Who is that?
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Bradenton.
13	MR. BURNETT: And and the caveat on that
14	is it's now that you have commercial service,
15	because I don't know that it necessarily would
16	have aligned previously.
17	MR. GEORGE: Understand.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct. And also, I'm also
19	working on with Doug and I'm going to have

20	it for the board a contract with some red-lined
21	comments on some things I thought need to be
22	included, changed, added, and then the board can
23	look at it. This is not tonight have to do it,
24	but this is for July Jan June, that you may
25	say, okay, but what about this? And you can mark

1	on it, and maybe we can keep what contract we have
2	without redoing it, or tweak it a bit to fit what
3	are the needs now. You know, maybe some fiscal
4	responsibility things, some COLIs, some I don't
5	know. Whatever you can think of over the next few
6	months to put on there.
7	So, as soon as I get that from Doug, because
8	he and I went through some things, and we'll just,
9	like I said, red-line it or somehow what wasn't in
10	the original contract. Yeah?
11	MR. GORMAN: Waiting for board comment.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I just wanted to go
13	through all that and kind
14	MR. GEORGE: Have a conclusion there.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The the average scores
16	where's my one that's written on? Overall, ranged
17	from, I think the lowest was an 83 on an average
18	in planning and organization, up to 100 in other
19	areas, too. So, nothing lower than that was even

20	cited by anybody.
21	A lot of good comments on the changes in the
22	airport and how well things were done quickly with
23	regards to terminal and all of that. So, there's
24	a lot of good comments in here.
25	So, I'm open to some board comments, but we

1	do need to go through the evaluation process,
2	since it was October, I think. That was it. So,
3	board comments.
4	MR. GORMAN: I disagree with the format. I
5	think Ed overall does an excellent job. And I
6	think I'd give him a 110 percent on planning and
7	organization.
8	However, there are other things that I think
9	he's not perfect at. He's a human being. He's
10	not perfect at them, and I think that in open
11	discussion, we could discuss those issues and
12	and bring them to task, and then he could get a
13	paragraph or a summary from the board as to those
14	issues that we felt that there aren't many, but
15	that he is weaker on.
16	But I feel that requires an open discussion,
17	and not this "check off the blank" format. I feel
18	strongly about it.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, this is open, so I'm

you.

- MR. GORMAN: No, open -- open discussion. An
- open discussion, without Mr. Wuellner, of his
- attributes, of what he's done right, what he's
- done wrong, historically, how we felt about
- things.

1	I feel that's it's an onerous thing, and I
2	really believe me, I'm not going to be
3	negative. I'm I know I'm the bad guy here
4	sometimes, but I'm not going to be real negative.
5	But I don't feel it can be in depth with
6	Mr. Wuellner here. I'm just embarrassed,
7	because and I think that that open discussion
8	needs to be changed in format.
9	I refused to fill one out because I couldn't
10	feel that that that one by one, that by
11	checking off the blanks, that we could do an
12	adequate job of discussing things. I really
13	didn't.
14	But I'm not terribly negative about Ed
15	Wuellner at all. But I just think that format
16	needs to be changed, because we could get more out
17	of it. We could produce more in the way of
18	reaction for him to study, rather than a "check
19	off a blank" issue.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well
21	MR. GORMAN: Simple as that.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: it's not just a "check
23	off the blank," just so we know. There are many,
24	many areas for lots and lots of comments that
25	could have been put on your performance

1	evaluation.
2	MR. GORMAN: But the dynamics the dynamic
3	of what we do here influences what is put down.
4	And that dynamic makes the product different.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, just as a different
6	aside, I'm going to ask Doug his legal opinion on
7	what we can do and can't do as far as limiting the
8	participation. But it would have been nice to
9	have the written comments that would create a
10	discussion. Right now
11	MR. GEORGE: Right.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You know so, Doug, the
13	legal
14	MR. BURNETT: Let me just very briefly say
15	that I researched this pretty extensively in the
16	past. The law has not changed. And I researched
17	this with prior Airport Authority members in large
18	part. Mr. Ciriello had requested information
19	related to this at one time in an open meeting.

20	The issue basically is we aren't unionized.
21	Our our employees are not unionized. We
22	we the only exception that would relate to this
23	would be collective bargaining-type negotiations.
24	The the sunshine law in Florida is very
25	specific. Basically everything y'all do has to be

- open to the public. Mr. Wuellner's actually
- 2 included within the public for this purpose. It's
- got to be open to the public. There are very
- 4 limited exceptions to it, as you know. And -- and
- 5 there's not an exception related to this.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: But -- but I would state, to
- 7 clarify, it's certainly open to the public, and
- 8 it's certainly open to Mr. Wuellner's scrutiny in
- 9 the matter of minutes afterwards. So, there is
- no -- there is no -- no covert operation here.
- MR. GEORGE: But you're excluding a member of
- the public from your meeting.
- MR. GORMAN: You're excluding -- you're
- excluding him only because the discussion is
- specific to him.
- MR. GEORGE: Do you have an appraisal in
- 17 your -- as a captain? Do you get --
- 18 MR. GORMAN: Yes.
- MR. GEORGE: -- a written appraisal? What is

your objection to a written appraisal? Eve	ry
--	----

- 21 corporation I've ever been in has an appraisal
- form. And it is meant to document your concerns,
- thoughts, and your pats on the back.
- MR. GORMAN: In the last five years, sir,
- 25 when have we discussed in depth any of the

1	comments that were given by about him? we have
2	never discussed the comments that were given.
3	MS. BARRERA: But do you have to
4	MR. GORMAN: I think it would
5	MS. BARRERA: in order for it to be a fair
6	appraisal?
7	MR. GORMAN: It would provide a more in-depth
8	discussion.
9	MS. BARRERA: It might provide a more
10	in-depth discussion, but would it change the fact
11	that each person individually did a fair
12	appraisal?
13	MR. GORMAN: I would think that the dynamic
14	of the discussion creates conclusions that may be
15	valuable.
16	MS. BARRERA: But when when you go off and
17	do a written evaluation of someone, your
18	your and this comes from years of giving
19	performance appraisals. When you're doing that.

20	you're not doing it as a collective group. You're
21	doing it as an individual.
22	When I go and fill out that form, I'm not
23	doing it as the Airport Authority as a whole. I'm
24	doing it as Kelly Barrera, board member for the

Airport Authority.

1	MR. GORMAN: But, Kelly, when we have board
2	discussions, we we draw upon each other's
3	resources as to our as to our mental
4	accommodations as to the situation and come to
5	conclusions from that. And so I'd like that same
6	dynamic applied. And I I really feel like the
7	bad guy here. I don't want to be. I really think
8	it would be
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I don't think
10	MR. GORMAN: It would be something that at
11	least if we discussed in depth, we never have,
12	the
13	MS. BARRERA: If we were talking about the
14	terms of his contract
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Which is what's coming up in
16	June.
17	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
18	MS. BARRERA: which is coming up, I would
19	agree with you.

20	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
21	MS. BARRERA: But if we're talking about
22	whether somebody meets their performance
23	expectations, whether whether or not they meet
24	the expectations that have already because all
25	you're really deciding is whether or not they get

1	a cost-of-living increase or, on top of that, a
2	merit increase. That's all you're really talking
3	about. You're not redefining their contract
4	each each year.
5	MR. GORMAN: Well, I'm sorry you don't agree
6	with me. That's all right.
7	MS. BARRERA: I I just think that that
8	I don't agree with that approach.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And
10	MR. GEORGE: I think that Suzanne's approach,
11	us all doing it, and then for each category, she
12	takes what the scores were, and if the scores in
13	one category are 75, that's the one that needs to
14	be discussed with him.
15	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
16	MR. GEORGE: That's her job.
17	MR. GORMAN: In other words, the lowest
18	scores are discussed? The lowest three scores are

discussed in an open -- open quorum with the

19

- MR. GEORGE: That's not the way it has been
- in the past.

board?

- MR. GORMAN: Well, I know, but we don't --
- 24 history doesn't always have to be --
- MR. GEORGE: You're right.

1	MR. GORMAN: the proper precursor of the
2	right thing to do.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But we're getting off base
4	here.
5	MR. GORMAN: I'm done. I'm done. Whatever.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And and I'd be glad for
7	people to I'll give these back to them right
8	now and you can refresh your memory, or if you
9	know your ideas of where you found strengths and
10	where you didn't, but we do need to do the
11	evaluation. It is not rehashing the entire
12	contract, which we will be doing at a much
13	lengthier discussion, because the contract's
14	fairly old.
15	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. It's it's about ten
16	years old. It was amended, and it's a new
17	contract. The current contract has a date on it
18	as though it's 2003, and that was when it began.
19	Reality is it was five years prior to that. So

20	and it wasn't changed in in large degree in
21	2003. So, it's effectively a 10-year-old
22	contract.
23	There there are some modern things about

- 24 it that it doesn't cover that we probably should
- address in the -- in the new contract.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I think it will help,
2	when we redo the contract later on, because we can
3	put in COLIs, so we don't have to come back and
4	address that, are we going to do a cost-of-living
5	increase, whatever. We can automatically say no,
6	I'm not whatever. Those terms can be in there.
7	But for tonight, we need to do is discuss any
8	concerns you have or praises. Ed's salary right
9	now is \$103-?
10	MR. BURNETT: \$103,5
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: \$-5? With the benefits on
12	top of it, with the the car and the house. But
13	that's the base salary, \$103,5
14	I want to discuss it in line with what Doug
15	found out with Bradenton, and in light of the new
16	scope of work that all staff, not just Ed, but
17	everybody's had to do. I think his performance
18	merits an increase, whatever it is. I'm not
19	that's what we need to discuss. That's my

20	opinion. I put it in my things here.
21	I had some issues, too, about staying on top
22	of projects, that we don't get lost, and maybe
23	that's because of scope of the work has gotten so
24	big. But we've got to stay more on top of
25	projects like the 8, 9, and 10, which is a

- 1 flooring issue, if we had had somebody in there.
- 2 So, that was one down side.
- The way he does fiscal responsibility and
- 4 gets money, outstanding. I mean, how he finds our
- 5 grants and everything, that's invaluable.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: What's the executive director of
- 7 Bradenton? What is he paid, or she paid?
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: One-fifty.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: One-fifty, plus?
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: With a car.
- 11 MR. GEORGE: With a car?
- MR. GORMAN: Not a house, right?
- MR. BURNETT: The contract --
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't know about that one.
- MR. BURNETT: The contract is as near -- near
- to identical as you could get between the two
- 17 contracts.
- MR. GEORGE: The scope of service, I mean, do
- 19 they do --

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: They have commercial.
- MR. GEORGE: They do have commercial. Okay.
- MR. BURNETT: And -- and the contract is
- very, very similar to Ed's, as far as the perks
- 24 and --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The current one.

1	MR. BURNETT: Even the format of it. I mean,
2	it's very similar.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did it have the house? Jack
4	had
5	MR. GEORGE: Is it of any interest to anybody
6	what the County Administrator gets?
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. I've got that one,
8	too.
9	MR. GORMAN: It's apples and oranges.
10	MS. BARRERA: What is it?
11	MR. GEORGE: I'm not saying it should be at
12	the same level
13	MR. GORMAN: It's apples and oranges but
14	MR. GEORGE: because there's a lot more
15	people that are there. But he's taking care of
16	the, you know, the whole budget thing.
17	MR. BURNETT: The County Administrator's
18	contract, it it was interesting. It's I'll
19	go from memory.

- MR. GEORGE: Oh, you on the disk, the Excel
- 21 spreadsheet --
- MR. BURNETT: While he's doing that, I'll
- tell you I think the County Administrator's
- contract is a \$170,000 a year base.
- MR. GEORGE: Plus, plus, plus, plus.

- 1 MR. BURNETT: Plus a vehicle. Plus, he got
- 2 \$20,000 in moving expense. He got \$20,000 --
- 3 \$20,000 related to moving, relocation, some other
- 4 things. And --
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Twenty thousand to sell his
- 6 house up there.
- 7 MR. BURNETT: And \$20,000 to sell his house.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Twenty thousand to buy another
- 9 house --
- 10 MR. BURNETT: Yes.
- 11 MR. GEORGE: -- plus the moving. So, that's
- more like \$50- to \$60,000.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. It's -- it's a big
- 14 number.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: From a taxpayer standpoint,
- and all those plus, pluses, I wasn't real
- thrilled with.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: No.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, okay.

- MR. BURNETT: You wonder what --
- MR. GEORGE: Well, see the one that's on the
- bottom, I think -- oh, may -- I don't know. It
- says 207 (sic) analysis.
- MR. BURNETT: It says 207 salary comparison?
- That one?

1	MR. GEORGE: 207?
2	MR. BURNETT: 2007.
3	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Comparison, it might be.
4	MR. BURNETT: Is it that one?
5	MR. GEORGE: Yes. This is this is what
6	the administrator gets: Base salary of \$170
7	Full use of a county vehicle to take it home and
8	whatever. Or if they don't provide, he gets \$700
9	a month. Professional development, eight to ten
10	days with county paid membership in up to four of
11	his organizations.
12	Health. Notice it's the administrator, plus
13	his immediate family. Accidental death insurance
14	policy. They pay the premium for a half a million
15	dollar policy.
16	Retirement, he's entered into the Florida
17	State Senior Management Retirement, whatever that
18	is.
19	Moving expenses. Twenty thousand for the

20	sale of his personal residence. Cost associated
21	with buying up or building a new one up to
22	\$20,000. Plus, all of the packing, moving
23	expenses. And there's also a deferred comp plan
24	which costs the County \$7500 a year.
25	He also has three administrators working for

1	him that all make a hundred thousand, plus.
2	MR. GORMAN: Yes, he's in a responsible
3	position. So is Ed.
4	MR. GEORGE: That's right.
5	MR. GORMAN: I do think it's apples and
6	oranges, though. I'm much more interested in the
7	Bradenton thing and some others, if you ever
8	brought them
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, and that's good
10	information for our revision of the contract.
11	MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: For purposes right now, I'd
13	like to hear from the board about your
14	evaluations, what your thoughts are, and we need
15	to reevaluate the salary for a review
16	MR. GEORGE: My
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: merit review or
18	MR. GEORGE: My appraisal was very high, on
19	the high side. I think everybody knows how well I

20	think that Ed does a good job. But there are also
21	some some zingers in there, public relations,
22	you know, things of that nature that I feel if he
23	reads it, he'll recognize that we've talked about
24	it before, and and there's some requirements in
25	there that need to be fulfilled with the executive

1	director. I probably was the knave. You know, I
2	was the one that didn't complete it in full.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm not pointing fingers.
4	MR. GEORGE: I didn't add everything up. So,
5	okay, you you can zap me. I think that what
6	we've done this year as far as bringing in the
7	revenue source, he has you know, of Skybus, he
8	has listened to what we said we wanted to do, and
9	that is to get off the tax rolls. And in getting
10	off the tax rolls, he's brought us some projects
11	that are bringing in revenue, significant revenue,
12	if they make it. You know, it's going to make it
13	easier, much easier for us to go into the years
14	after 2010 and go forward.
15	I would like to suggest a rate increase of 15
16	percent. Thought I'd throw that out. And I just
17	developed that as I was saying "I would like to
18	suggest."

MS. BARRERA: Somehow I knew that.

19

20	MR. GEORGE: Right.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: One thing I want to bring up
22	for some board members that maybe weren't here at
23	the last evaluation, I went through the minutes of
24	the last time we did this. And there are
25	Mr. Cox made comments about let's of what the

1	performance, Ed had done such a wonderful job
2	and we made a significant increase last
3	evaluation, just so the board knows. And
4	Mr. Cox's comments were we're doing this so we
5	won't have to do it again for a little bit. Let's
6	do a big bump now.
7	But what was not taken into consideration was
8	Skybus
9	MR. GEORGE: That's right.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: and all the work. So, I
11	know people wanted to look at the minutes. I did
12	go back and look at it, and I considered Mr. Cox's
13	comments and the board's comments. But the whole
14	complexion's changed.
15	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So
17	MR. GEORGE: Anyway, those are my comments I
18	made on the appraisal and my suggestions. I'd
19	like to hear from the other two three members.

- MR. GORMAN: If Skybus is a success, let's
- give him a big bonus.
- MS. BARRERA: We already discussed at the
- last appraisal process that you can't give the
- bonus on the government employees.
- MR. GORMAN: Oh, too bad. Because I'm all

1	for that.
2	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, we were talking about
3	giving a bonus incentive
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
5	MR. GEORGE: you know, in.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It would be a salary
7	increase. And like I said, we're going to revisit
8	this in less than six months
9	MR. GEORGE: Right.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: to big extent.
11	MS. BARRERA: Suzanne, you you compiled
12	the different evaluations and Randy isn't here
13	right now. When you compiled them, and you saw
14	what the what the different comments were and
15	what the different ratings were, what was your
16	recommendation? After reading them all, what
17	would be your recommendation?
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: My recommendation was a
19	salary increase of 10 to 15 percent. I was and

- I wrote that down before you said anything.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because of the scope of the
- work and also knowing what we're -- what we're
- 24 going to do in six months -- or before six months.
- 25 Because my recommendation for -- or some of them

1	for the new contract is cost-of-living increases
2	put in there. I don't think that's necessarily
3	wrong. Some requirements of fiscal
4	responsibility, maybe, you know, about certain
5	budget things have to be done or met, which would
6	put more significant when you say maybe problem
7	areas or whatever, we'll write it into the
8	contract. Doug actually came up with that.
9	Community contact, must have so much
10	community contact or press releases or whatever.
11	So, that would help tighten up what we're talking
12	about here, give Ed direction so he knows what
13	we're looking at. But because of what's gone on
14	here, and he's been doing three-man job for one
15	man for the last, you know, at least since June,
16	54 days before June or July, that was my
17	recommendation. I want him to stay, and I think
18	he's earned every penny.
19	MS. BARRERA: I think your normal

20	cost-of-living increase is usually around 4 to 6
21	percent, right in there. And then if you put a
22	merit on top of that, you're talking about
23	another, you know, 4 to however percent. So, I
24	definitely think that 10 percent is a reasonable
25	amount. And I would be open to somewhat more than

1	that. I wouldn't want to be open to too much more
2	than that, but I would be open to somewhat more
3	than that.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, in light of what
5	Mr. Gorman said, too, that's why I kind of want to
6	look at it now, reward him for what he's done and
7	the great job. But when we do June, we'll have
8	six months eight months more behind us of what
9	Skybus has done. So, you'll we'll see some
10	more numbers about how profitable it is or not.
11	MR. GORMAN: As a municipal employee, he's
12	certainly due cost of living.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, yeah.
14	MR. GEORGE: He's certainly due cost of
15	living. He has increased his workload. And
16	that's true. I would stick with but I guess
17	I'm not generous. I would stick with about 7
18	percent. But let's discuss a bonus, because the
19	way this has been structured, Skybus, is clever,

25

20	and it's a lot of work, and when it works let's
21	put when it works, it's certainly time for him to
22	reap the reward.
23	So, cost of living, a little extra for the
24	fact that he's working now, and then a let's

discuss bonuses when the fruit becomes ripe.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?
2	MR. BURNETT: Let me I guess talk about a few
3	things. We could restructure his contract to have
4	incentives in it.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
6	MR. WUELLNER: We can't do it after the fact.
7	MS. BARRERA: We can't do it right now.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct.
9	MR. BURNETT: Because if we do it
10	MR. GORMAN: It's coming up in June.
11	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, but if we do it if we
12	say, okay, we're going to reward you additionally
13	for last year and give you a bonus now for what
14	you did this past year, we can't necessarily do
15	that.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
17	MR. BURNETT: We can we can put things
18	that incentivize him in the in the revised
19	contract in ways to highlight the things that are

25

20	important to you. You have to, I will say, be
21	careful about it.
22	I can tell you, for example, in Bradenton, I
23	think there's incentives for the executive
24	director there to have additional commercial

service. That could be a good thing. You've got

1	to think it through, though, because it could be a
2	bad thing because it may incentivize or send the
3	message that all we care about is growing
4	commercial service; we don't care about the other
5	basket of of goods. So, you know, you've got
6	to think about these things, I guess.
7	What's a couple of observations that I
8	think it's good from a PR standpoint to look out
9	and say what's the county administrator being paid
10	now? What are other executives being paid?
11	Because, yeah, we're giving yeah, you may give
12	Ed a raise, but it's perhaps in line with other
13	executive directors and and far less than what
14	some others are being paid.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I I understand. And
16	I wanted to take that into consideration, but
17	that's why I brought up the contract restructure
18	first
10	MR RURNETT: Sure

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: because that's I think
21	where we're going to do it, because we can do the
22	\$150-, which is a huge increase, but I'd rather do
23	that to make him in line with other executive
24	directors, whatever I'm just picking a
25	figure with the incentives and things in the

1	contract so we know what we're doing with the
2	parameters in there of, yes, we need more more
3	PR work, we want the executive director to make
4	sure this, this, and this is done, and if it is,
5	that justifies the increase in salary.
6	For today's purposes, I was along with Kelly
7	and Buzz, around the 10 to 15 percent.
8	MR. BURNETT: And and one last thing that
9	I'll comment on is in the past, when we looked
10	at or when y'all have looked at Ed's salary,
11	you looked at, okay, what are other people making
12	dollarwise, and the focus has been largely on
13	dollar dollar issues.
14	The spreadsheet that Mr. George just did
15	takes in other factors into consideration of what
16	you would be looking at going towards that June
17	date of let's look at these other things and see
18	what is or isn't
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Like health insurance.

20	MR. BURNETT: in the executive director's
21	contract.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Health insurance coverage

- for the family, all kinds of -- they're not
- 24 necessarily salary out-of-pocket dollars but in
- kind benefits.

I	Okay. Any more board discussion? I'll
2	entertain
3	MR. GEORGE: I have one one more
4	discussion, and I'll answer your entertain.
5	The point I'd like for you all to ponder, the
6	executive director of Bradenton makes \$150,000 a
7	year. Our executive director makes \$108,000 a
8	year. I would like to make a motion that we give
9	Ed a 15 percent increase.
10	MR. GORMAN: \$103- does not \$108- does not
11	include the house, which is worth probably about
12	\$20,000 a year, at least.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm pretty sure, Doug
14	MR. WUELLNER: \$103,5
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, Doug, didn't the
16	Bradenton have use of a house, too?
17	MR. BRUNSON: Which is \$128- total.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. But just to make
19	to compare that apples to apples, that contract is

- almost identical to Ed's.
- MS. BARRERA: There's a house.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And there's a house and
- there's a car. So, it's almost identical.
- MR. GORMAN: In Bradenton?
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
2	MR. BURNETT: They have more commercial
3	service than we have at this stage.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. That's why I'm
5	saying
6	MR. WUELLNER: And part of the reason they
7	have included a house is that he, prior to that,
8	lived in or was at St. Pete/Clearwater, and
9	they are requiring him to live in the county.
10	They they want even the first five years or
11	eight years he was there.
12	MR. GORMAN: Just for accessibility?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, they want him in the
14	county.
15	MS. BARRERA: Just like we do.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But I know that there -
17	there are different job descriptions down there,
18	more commercial service, more stuff. But that's
19	why I want to not rush into that with the new

- 20 contract stuff right now. Give the increase. So,
- 21 I'll go back to my I'll entertain a motion.
- MR. GEORGE: I would like to make a motion
- that we give Mr. Wuellner an increase of 15
- percent of his base salary of \$108-.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: \$103,5-.

1 MR. GEORGE: What? 2 MR. BURNETT: \$103,5-. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: \$103,5- is the base. MR. GEORGE: I thought it was \$108-. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, \$103,5- is the base. MR. GEORGE: Okay. I calculated on \$108-, 6 but 15 percent of \$103,5- is what? Somebody say 7 it quick. 8 MS. BARRERA: Ten percent is \$113,5-. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And add another. 11 MR. GEORGE: You want to raise it to what? 12 MS. BARRERA: I have \$115-. CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's somewhere around \$115-, 13 right. 14 MS. BARRERA: Is what I have, which would be 15 16 a little bit more than 10 percent. 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, at 15 percent, it's a \$15,600 raise, you know, which would take it up 18

to --

19

- MR. BURNETT: Fifteen percent --
- 21 MR. GEORGE: -- \$118-.
- 22 MR. BURNETT: -- takes it to \$119,025.
- Fifteen percent of your \$108- number, although
- that's not where he's at --
- MR. GEORGE: No, forget the \$108-.

- 1 MR. BURNETT: -- is \$124-. So, it's
- 2 \$119,025.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Give him a raise to take him to
- 4 \$119,025.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there --
- 6 MR. GEORGE: That's my --
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion?
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Motion.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? I don't
- hear a second. Therefore, the motion will fail.
- 11 I'll entertain another motion.
- MS. BARRERA: What about if we brought him to
- a little over 10 percent, which would be \$115-?
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
- MR. GEORGE: \$115- versus what --
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: One-nineteen.
- MR. GEORGE: -- Bradenton's getting at \$150-.
- MS. BARRERA: But we're going to -- look at
- renegotiating his contract in six months.

20	MR. GEORGE: That would be fine if it was
21	three months, but you're talking six months, and
22	the way we do business around here, it's going to
23	take us forever and a day to get the thing going.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. I'm bringing it up on
25	the it's going to be on the agenda. We're

1	going to get it done. We have to. I don't want
2	the contract to be we don't need to be out of
3	contract. We can't let it expire.
4	MR. BURNETT: Two two things related to
5	that from just a pure legal.
6	One, you may not want the contract to go out,
7	like she mentioned. The second point is, is
8	there's a notice provision in there related to
9	ending the contract or or otherwise, it
10	continues on. And and it's not exactly clear.
11	I need to go back and look at it. But I I
12	recall that it's not exactly clear on on the
13	time period. But it's either 180-day time periods
14	or 30-day time periods.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I'm I
16	contacted Doug and I'm doing work on it now.
17	MR. GEORGE: Meet you halfway at \$117 He
18	busted his tail.
19	MS. BARRERA: He is busting his tail, and

- 20 he's done a wonderful job with the air service.
- And he's had to take in a lot more knowledge and
- he's had to follow a lot more steps. And I would
- be comfortable with that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that a motion?
- MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we take his

1 salary, his base salary to \$117,000. MR. GORMAN: What percentage would that be? 2 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's between 10 and 15. 4 MR. GEORGE: Wait a minute. 5 MR. BURNETT: The engineers -- the engineers 6 always --7 MR. GEORGE: 13.2 percent. MR. BURNETT: -- disappear when you need 8 arithmetic done. 9 MS. BARRERA: It should be about 14 percent, 10 maybe 13, 13 1/2, which would be a compromise 11 12 between the 10 and 15. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have a first and a second. Any more board discussion? 14 MR. GEORGE: Say again? 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Said I have a first and a 17 second. Any more board discussion? MR. GEORGE: We're waiting for Mr. Gorman's 18 question to be answered, right? 19

- MR. GORMAN: It doesn't matter. You've
- already -- you've already stated the amount.
- MR. BURNETT: \$117- divided by --
- MR. GORMAN: I can do the math later.
- MR. GEORGE: Don't worry about it. He can do
- 25 the math later.

1 MR. BURNETT: Yeah, it's 13 percent. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's what I 3 thought. MR. BURNETT: And change. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the 13 6 percent raise to \$117-, say aye. MR. GEORGE: Aye. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. MS. BARRERA: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed? 10 MR. GORMAN: I give him 10. Nay. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 12 MR. GEORGE: Did you oppose? 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have one opposed, 14 three -- three ayes. So, the motion will carry. 15 Mr. Brunson had to leave. So, we still have a 16 17 quorum. I'm going to give these to put in your file, 18 whatever, Ed. And I'm going to work hard on 19

20	getting that contract revised, looked at with all
21	the board, individual board input. I'll just send

it to you. You can do what you want. I'm going

to try and work with Doug and get you as much

information as early as possible.

MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Thank you.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't want to wait. Can
2	you let me know, Doug, what our notice provisions
3	are?
4	MR. BURNETT: Yes, I will. It's 180-day
5	notice. But the question is if it's not given at
6	180 days, whether it's 30-day increments
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Gotcha.
8	MR. BURNETT: or 180-day increments.
9	MR. GEORGE: I would love to see the contract
10	coincide with our fiscal year.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We can make it retroactive
12	if we had to, I'm sure.
13	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. If you're going to write
14	a new contract, you can write it for that. Extend
15	the old one two months. Anyway, that's another
16	subject.
17	MR. GORMAN: So
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we kind of we
19	could do it fiscal year, but that's when we do

20	budgets	and	every	thing.	and I	think	that's	wav
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		,					

- back when, when I was on the board with Mr. Rose,
- I think that's why -- one of the reasons they
- tried to keep that separate from all of the other
- extras, setting the TRIM and all that other stuff.
- 25 It happens --

I	MR. GEORGE: We had the same problem
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: in the fall.
3	MR. GEORGE: We had the same problem with
4	insurance premium.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Had to move that
6	puppy, too.
7	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Let's move on,
9	because we've had a long meeting. Got a lot of
10	stuff done, but a long meeting. Housekeeping, Ed?
11	10 HOUSEKEEPING
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, just couple of
13	sounded really strong. It's probably me. Just a
14	couple of things to point out.
15	We want you to the feature that was
16	already with the phone system to do an auto
17	attendance, so if you've tried calling the office
18	recently, you get a choice right off the bat
19	whether to get to us or answer the, you know,

20	redundant questions all day long about air or
21	Skybus-type service. So, we just want to make
22	sure you knew that.

- The audit is complete, as I understand it.
- We're awaiting final draft of report. We're still
- 25 expecting them to make a presentation to you on --

1	at the December meeting. So, that should be an
2	agenda item, just so you know. We'll get draft
3	copies out as soon as we get them so that you have
4	a chance to look at them in advance of the
5	meeting.
6	Last item call your attention to is the
7	request, previous meetings, is to do something
8	related to plaque. I think Cindy circulated some
9	information related to just some preliminary
10	looks. I think we had some input back from at
11	least Mr. George. I don't know if we got anything
12	back from okay.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Years. I had asked if if
14	we wanted to put years on.
15	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: I
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, that's what I I
17	didn't have any problems forwarding stuff. But
18	that was my only suggestion. I don't know if it
19	took up too much space or what have you. Because

20	I know Mr. Rose was on for a while. A lot of
21	people did a lot of public service.
22	MR. GEORGE: My thought was that 24 x 18 is
23	too small. Second thought was I go with this type
24	of a \$995 plaque, that's cheap. I think we need
25	to go with the bronze plague. And then you can

1	have bronze things added to people with screws to
2	add them onto the bottom.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, you don't have to
4	reengrave it all the time?
5	MR. GEORGE: Right. You don't have to
6	reengrave it.
7	MR. WUELLNER: We could also have it
8	placed if if we're not trying to get it
9	indoors, which is difficult on that build just
10	because of the way the building's made
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
12	MR. WUELLNER: But if you want to do
13	something outside, say in that garden area,
14	landscaped area between baggage claim and the edge
15	of the building, we could have it put something
16	like we did with the Moser thing
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
18	MR. WUELLNER: up where it could be put on
19	a piece of marble or something like that.

- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: And that can be moved in the
- event some day you -- you know, it could be
- relocated to wherever you want it if it needed to
- be later.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Good point.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Do you want so, am I
3	hearing you want something a little larger? You
4	want to explore whether you can get the date
5	service dates on there also and we'll see what
6	see what the numbers go to?
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. See if it
8	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: We can add the dates. If
9	we did not want the size
10	MR. GEORGE: You get people who have it
11	twice
12	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: we can and that's
13	one factor. We can add dates. That particular
14	plaque was an add-on plaque where you could
15	continually add on, and you not have to redo the
16	whole plaque. You simply just add on the next
17	board member's name and years.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Or we could do it, you know,
19	where it's essentially frozen in time. You

20	know, this is this whoever's serving now is
21	the cutoff of who's on that plaque and then leave
22	it for future boards to whether they extend, you
23	know, a different plaque or do whatever they want
24	in the future. That way is cleaner and you've got
25	a final product. But, you know, either way works.

1	MR. GEORGE: I've seen it so that you have a
2	bronze plaque and everybody's name is in a bronze
3	little strip.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
5	MR. GEORGE: It gets two bronze screws
6	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
7	MR. GEORGE: that screw it in, and you
8	leave 13 spaces at the end.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Right. And that's fine. I
10	mean, that's
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just don't know how bronze
12	is going to do out in the elements.
13	MR. GEORGE: Don't know.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Remember, we did the Moser one
15	and it's holding up very well. I don't know I
16	don't we'd have to look and see what it was.
17	But whatever that material was is not discoloring,
18	unlike the terminal dedication one that's on the
19	GA building which has turned green and dripping

- down the paint over time, which is more what
- 21 bronze does.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. That's what I was
- thinking.
- MR. WUELLNER: Real bronze, anyway.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

1	MR. WUELLNER: I think that's it.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's it? Okay. Public
3	comment? I know Alice.
4	11 PUBLIC COMMENT
5	MR. GEORGE: We sufficiently ran the public
6	off.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sacha?
8	MR. WUELLNER: We wore them out.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Alice, did you want
10	Alice, do you have public comment?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. I like this much
12	better. Everybody's gone. Sorry. We can sort of
13	have a chat now.
14	Alice Sutherland, 15 Davis Street. And I
15	just wanted to address a couple of things that
16	you've been talking about in the evening. Did I
17	turn that off?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know. It doesn't
19	sound we have a dead battery.

- 20 MS. SUTHERLAND: I can -- I can speak --
- MR. GEORGE: I hate it when that happens.
- MR. WUELLNER: I'll stand here and you can
- talk.
- MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. It's intimidating.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, the tape has to pick

1	it up, but that's why.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Just hold that and talk.
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. Test. That works.
4	Okay. So, just a couple of things I wanted to
5	address from what I heard in the evening. And one
6	thing was, you know, public perception being so
7	incredibly sensitive out there with Skybus and
8	things like that, I wanted to address a couple of
9	the comments Mr. George had.
10	And I can understand your caution about any
11	new commercial service. I can understand your
12	caution about Skybus lasting, you know, through
13	the duration. But if I remember correctly, I
14	think several of the like the repayments, the
15	amortizations of the new car rental facility was I
16	think six months, the new terminal was one year,
17	and certainly I think Skybus is going to be around
18	for even long after that.
19	(Chairman Green leaves the room.)

20	MS. SUTHERLAND: And one thing that made me
21	sort of warm up to the idea of Skybus and
22	believe me, before Skybus, I was sort of really
23	anticommercial coming into St. Augustine. Having
24	worked in the commercial aviation industry, I
25	wasn't real thrilled. I thought I had left it

behind long ago. 1 But one thing I can say about Skybus is that 2 the capital that they raised going into, you know, 3 their operations and everything was like mega 4 times more than like Jet Blue, a very successful 5 carrier that's been operational for, you know, 6 four or five years now. And, you know, several 7 8 other things. I like their business model. They're not 9 dependent on selling air tickets to make their 10 money. Their business model is unique in that 11 they capitalize on the advertising dollars, which 12 is apparently phenomenally more than, you know, 13 passenger ticket sales, you know, in a cheap 14 market that has that volatile fuel issue and 15

I like the fact that they're a nonconnection

things like that. So, their business model is

very different from any commercial carrier out

there.

16

17

18

20	carrier. That's where a lot of expense comes in
21	with regular commercial services.
22	(Chairman Green returns to the room.)
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: They don't have those
24	issues. So, I think if there's going to be any
25	commercial carrier out there with with sort of

1	a projected success rate in in the coming
2	future, I think Ed secured the the right
3	carrier for us here at St. Augustine.
4	So, I don't really think we have to worry
5	about anything in the coming years, unless, you
6	know, something totally unforeseen happens. But I
7	think it's wise to sort of look at those sort of
8	things. But I think we got a winner with Skybus.
9	As far as the public perception of these
10	comments, I think we've got to be really careful
11	about saying, you know, if they're still around
12	and, you know, that kind of thing, because I'm
13	going to tell you, the public just latches onto
14	that kind of thing and they run with it. And the
15	next thing you know, a week later, we're going to
16	be hearing that, you know, the airport board said
17	that Skybus was failing, you know, things like
18	that. Because that's how people you know, the
19	telephone game, they twist things around. They

25

20	can read it in black and white in front of them,
21	but they don't get it a lot of times.
22	So, with that said, you know, and the, you
23	know, recouping of all the the payout on the
24	new facilities, the car rental facility, the new

terminal, I'm just -- just well done, everybody.

1	I'm so thrilled.
2	I have a huge interest in St. Johns
3	County-St. Augustine Airport in terms of tourism.
4	I live here. I pay taxes. And I just couldn't be
5	more pleased. And I really look forward to sort
6	of hearing that, you know, permeate throughout the
7	community, because they're going to get it.
8	They're going to see what an incredible thing that
9	you all have done.
10	And it's oftentimes hard to see the the
1011	And it's oftentimes hard to see the the monumental thing that you're doing when you're
11	monumental thing that you're doing when you're
11 12	monumental thing that you're doing when you're right smack in the middle of it. But I think
11 12 13	monumental thing that you're doing when you're right smack in the middle of it. But I think history's going to show this incredible year that
11 12 13 14	monumental thing that you're doing when you're right smack in the middle of it. But I think history's going to show this incredible year that the board and the airport executive staff has
1112131415	monumental thing that you're doing when you're right smack in the middle of it. But I think history's going to show this incredible year that the board and the airport executive staff has created for all of the residents here. It's

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Al. Sacha?

19

20	MS. MARTIN: Oh, that's not working.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, it is now. it is.
22	MS. MARTIN: It is working. Okay. Sacha
23	Martin, 133 Coastal Hollow Circle. And I live on
24	the barrier island where there's a lot of negative
25	comments about the airport. And so I'm always

I	right up on top of that.
2	But I really wanted to say that since I've
3	been involved, since Kelly and Reba asked me to be
4	involved with helping the airport with a very
5	positive public relations image, I can't tell you
6	how impressed I've been in just the short time
7	I've been involved with the quality of the staff
8	here and with the quality of the board and and
9	work they're doing. And I just wish the rest of
10	the county could understand and realize that as
11	well, because there are so many negative feelings.
12	And as Alice, you know, put it very nicely, the
13	public conception is so easily damaged with
14	with rumors.
15	And I go through this all the time with
16	Vilano Beach. Well, Publix is not coming, are
17	they? And we say we've been working on this for
18	10 years. They're coming. It's just been delayed
19	a little bit because of everything. You know. We

couldn't get the right permit from the DEP	for the
--	---------

- 21 coastal -- you know, east of the coastal
- 22 construction line.
- But anyway, I just wanted to appreciate --
- tell you I appreciate what you're doing very much.
- And I would like to help you in any way I can to

1	make the rest of the county feel that way.
2	There's so much negative.
3	Now, one of the things that I guess Alice and
4	Kelly and I are working on is to try to get a
5	handle on what the negative images are out there
6	and to answer them. And, of course, one of the
7	things is the whole financial aspect.
8	People say, "I don't care, I don't want to be
9	taxed, and if I am taxed, I want to decide whether
10	Skybus comes here or not. It has to go out for a
11	general vote." But they don't understand all of
12	the planning that has to go into something like
13	this. And they don't understand the revenues, you
14	know. They say, "Skybus isn't paying anything to
15	come in here."
16	But what I I came to appreciate is the
17	executive director, Mr. Wuellner, is he's a
18	genius. And in coming up with
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Boy, I'm glad she wasn't

- voting.
- MS. MARTIN: -- with -- with ways of creating
- income that are -- that are above and beyond the
- box. I mean, that -- that are -- are -- are
- really great ideas, that in the long -- in the
- short term, they're less; but in the long term,

1	they're more. And that takes a lot of of good
2	intelligence and not willing to just, you know, go
3	and do what he can and that's it. And there are
4	so many people in this world like that.
5	This airport, too, I I don't have the
6	advantage of most of you, of flying around in
7	in your plane and going to cookouts in Key West or
8	someplace. But I will say that I have this
9	feeling and this airport has a wonderful sense
10	of place. And it also has an economic engine
11	that's only going to get better in the future.
12	And I think that people in this county don't value
13	that economic engine.
14	And and that's the point that Kelly
15	brought up, the big banner said, you know,
16	gateway economic gateway to St. Johns County.
17	It is. And it's an incentive for businesses to
18	come here.
19	And I'm involved with the Junkanoo well,

20	I've involved in everything. But anyway, the
21	Junkanoo festival, last year, we had three Vintage
22	Props & Jets aircraft chartered to bring in 70
23	people from Abaco. And unfortunately they had to
24	stop off in Daytona to clear Customs.
25	And I really think that Randy's, you know,

1	right, that once there's a Customs facility here,
2	you're going to see an incredible jump in landings
3	and takeoffs.
4	In fact, I was just speaking to the manager
5	of Vintage Props & Jets yesterday, and I I
6	wrote him a whole message on how, you know, there
7	was a connection with the airport. And they are
8	actually expanding. They're they're now flying
9	out of Tallahassee, Naples. He said, well, we
10	just, you know, increased our business. Because I
11	was suggesting maybe he could fly out of
12	St. Augustine, you know, since he was already
13	flying into St. Augustine at least once a year
14	on on a charter.
15	But having that ability to be able to come
16	and clear Customs here is going to be an enormous
17	injection to the airport. Anyway, keep up what
18	you're doing.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you

20	MS. MARTIN: I think actually I did a press
21	release that none of you got because Bryan called
22	me at 3 o'clock in the afternoon and said, We have
23	this PR opportunity called "Jump For A Cause"
24	where they're trying to jump out of 12 at 12
25	airports do skydiving

1	Well, I call them parachutists, since they're
2	trying to get down as fast as they can. Skydivers
3	are if they're trying to stay up. And so I had to
4	try to call TV stations, and the TV stations
5	wanted a press release. So, I made the press
6	release. And I think I just sent it to Bryan, and
7	I guess maybe he didn't forward it to you.
8	But anyway, the whole thing I actually
9	never found out what the whole story was. I
10	stayed here until they were supposed to arrive
11	at 5:30, and I did get one TV station out here.
12	And I also want to let you know that, you
13	know, The Record is another another thing.
14	Somebody, I think it was Mr. Martinelli, he said,
15	When are you going to write a big story on the
16	airport for the newspaper?
17	Well, it's not that easy dealing with editors
18	who decide what goes in the paper. And I want to
19	tell you this little story, because on the day

20	that they were going to do Jump For A Cause, I
21	found out that Skybus had been hit by lightning.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
23	MS. MARTIN: So, I said, as a hooker to
24	the you always think about hookers, how to get
25	them involved into writing a story. And I said

1	I didn't call the editor because he would have
2	just blown me away. I called Doug Jordan, who's
3	our favorite reporter. And he's wonderful. You
4	know, he's actually freelance for the airport.
5	He's I mean, for the newspaper. He doesn't
6	work for them. He's freelance. So, any any
7	chance you get to pat Doug Jordan on the back to
8	the editor or the publisher, please do it.
9	And I said, "Oh, by the way, Skybus is also
10	going to be delayed coming in today because it was
11	hit by lightning." Of course, I didn't say you
12	have this picture, you know, the plane flying
13	through the air just, you know, like these horror
14	stories, and the lightning bolt comes and
15	everybody, you know no. It was on the ground,
16	I think, when it happened.
17	And then I think Skybus that night didn't
18	come in until 8:30. I left at 7:00. The
19	parachutists got hung up in DeLand. And I just

20	had to leave. And I think they finally arrived
21	about 8:30, and they did do the jump. But they

Meanwhile, they had this plane. I mean,

arrived by car.

- there's so many fascinating stories. The Pilots
- Association had -- when the -- when the two World

1	War II planes came in and that's another case
2	where the paper
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Didn't do a story.
4	MS. MARTIN: The next day, the paper said
5	murder guy, you know, two murders and dead fish
6	and all this stuff.
7	But I think that, you know, if we can somehow
8	figure out how to have the newspapers do more
9	positive press, it's very, very, very difficult.
10	You're at their whim.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. And I appreciate all
12	your comments, Sacha. And I think that's what
13	we're so glad with the PR committee that's doing
14	such a great job now.
15	I'm going to try and wrap it up
16	MS. MARTIN: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: because we've been here
18	for four hours.
19	MS. MARTIN: Yeah. We need to work on all

- 20 the -- so, any negative stories you hear about the
- 21 airport, we need to know it so we can counteract
- 22 it.
- MS. SUTHERLAND: We may not start them.
- MS. MARTIN: And make some --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.

I	MS. MARTIN: sort of presentation.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
3	MS. MARTIN: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have board
5	comments, board members. Kelly.
6	12.A MS. KELLY BARRERA
7	MS. BARRERA: Sacha and Alice are such great
8	assets for the airport, and we're we're so very
9	fortunate to have them and to have them
10	communicate the facts out in the public instead of
11	the misinformation.
12	And we're fortunate to have you, Ed, as our
13	executive director, and thank you for being our
14	executive director. And I hope you enjoy your
15	well-deserved raise.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
17	MS. BARRERA: And I'm looking forward to I
18	think we've we've all been very conservative in
19	our financial approach to this airport. I think

20	we are all very aware of being conservative.
21	And I felt very strongly about all the
22	decisions that we've made that have been to move
23	the airport forward and in a conservative manner.
24	And I think we've done a good job with that.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?

I	12.B MR. JOHN GORMAN
2	MR. GORMAN: Well, here goes.
3	I was disappointed by the board's reaction to
4	an open discussion of Mr. Wuellner's performance.
5	I thought and felt that we could have positive
6	concepts and a positive critic, in other words,
7	that we could actually come to some conclusions
8	that that may put a good spin that he could use
9	positive in a positive nature.
10	I keep wondering as to the FBO resurrection.
11	I call it the FBO resurrection, just from context.
12	I don't talk to the other board members. I don't
13	know another board member who keeps putting it on
14	the agenda. It won't hurt us to get an RFP,
15	though, that's true.
16	But I do not want to lose focus for the GA
17	GA needs. In other words, the little guy always
18	gets pushed aside, and I really want to continue
19	to stress that. The RFP, like I said, isn't going

20	to hurt anything. But this FBO, FBO, I didn't put
21	it on the agenda, never have.
22	And as far as salary structure with
23	Mr. Wuellner, he does do a good job. He's very
24	bright. I'd like to structure it with an

incentive. My own job, hey, I don't get big

1	raises. You know, I get I get dumped if I
2	don't do a great job.
3	And so but the the incentive to me is
4	everything, is I'd like to see his salary
5	structured on incentive. And like I said, if
6	if this Skybus things works well, and it should,
7	big bonus.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
9	12.C MR. WAYNE GEORGE
10	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Gorman, one of the problems
11	I have with the open discussion is a similar
12	problem that I've had before, and that is, this
13	board decided how they were going to go, and you
14	waited until the day we were making the decision
15	to voice your concern. That's not a team player.
16	MR. GORMAN: That's there's nothing wrong
17	with with open discussion, sir. And I'm
18	not
19	MR. GEORGE: I don't mind an open discussion.

20	We have had this on the agenda for three months.
21	And you waited until the last day, when we were
22	going to make a decision, to bring up your
23	concerns. Now we'll do it maybe we'll do it
24	another way. But my point here, it's

MR. GORMAN: Did you want it to put on --

1	MR. GEORGE: a slap in our face
2	MR. GORMAN: Do you want me to put it on as
3	an agenda item to discuss how the format for the
4	discussion is?
5	MR. GEORGE: If you feel strongly enough,
6	don't wait till we're getting ready to make a
7	decision. I think it's a slap in our face that we
8	go and do our work, but because you don't like it,
9	you decide you don't want to do yours.
10	MR. GORMAN: I had no other choice but to
11	wait for the discussion of the
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, we didn't have it,
13	so
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is this is comment
15	time. So, go ahead.
16	MR. GEORGE: Okay. As far as the financials
17	go, we have grant money, that when we get approved
18	for the grant, we put that on the capital you
19	know, we identify it on the capital because

MR. GORMAN: I have to go.

MR. GEORGE: -- we're approved for it. Well,
we're approved for the PFC money every time that
airplane leaves.

(Mr. Gorman leaves the room.)

MR. GEORGE: So, I would like to see that

1	money actually put into our financial statement
2	somehow or another so that we have an idea of how
3	much have we not spent, how much have we earned,
4	as opposed to handling it like the present grant
5	money. And so
6	MR. WUELLNER: It will be. It will be a
7	requirement of FAA when they approve the PFC.
8	We're not currently collecting anything yet, so it
9	isn't an issue yet.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: But it will have to be
12	accounted for through the financial statements and
13	be part of the audit. And we have reporting back
14	to FAA quarterly on it.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I would like to make this
16	suggestion, that since land use because we all
17	went through eminent domain and we took the licks
18	on it and everything, but land use and dollars
19	that we have budgeted that goes into our financial

20	plan, because that has been such an integral part,
21	that that is a high emotional item. It is for me,
22	and I know that a lot of you guys get involved in
23	it, too.
24	I would like to suggest to Ed that whenever

we have something with land use or dollar budget

1	that's got to be increased, that the board members						
2	be invited to your office to discuss the options						
3	before we sit here in front of the public and just						
4	go through it, because that is a very high						
5	emotional item with all of us. Thank you, Madam						
6	Chairman.						
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.						
8	MR. WUELLNER: That's a good idea.						
9	12.D CHAIRMAN SUZANNE GREEN						
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just wanted to thank the						
11	board. I know it's been a long meeting. We put						
12	the shade meeting on top of it. So, everybody's						
13	input and I didn't mean Mr. Gorman's left,						
14	and I didn't mean to point fingers. But it I'm						
15	just telling, I appreciate what the board has done						
16	with input with the salary review. It's kind of						
17	hard to do stuff when you don't have information.						
18	And I didn't mean to pick on Mr. Gorman, but I						
19	did.						

20	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just because I wanted input
22	from everybody. Everyone should have a say-so in
23	how this airport and Staff runs and how they're
24	compensated, and that shows us doing our fiscal
25	job. So, I appreciate all that.

1	I will try and get as much information out
2	early on the revision or the new contract so
3	that there's plenty of time for people to have
4	their input. So, I have nothing further.
5	13 NEXT MEETING DATE
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our next meeting is December
7	10th?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: December 10th at 4:00. And
10	that should be the last one for the year, I think.
11	Okay.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Should be.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Meeting adjourned. Thank
14	you.
15	(Meeting adjourned at 6:56 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG111307.txt						
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 2nd day of December, 2007.
13	
14	TANETM DEACON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG111307.txt						
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					