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CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Good afternoon, folks. Welcome to the St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting. We'll begin the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Thank you and welcome. I'd like to remind -- remind everyone this meeting is -- excuse me. I'm having problems with this.

This meeting is scheduled to go from 4:00 p.m. till 5:00 p.m., then we're going to adjourn and have a budget hearing, and then if we need to resume this meeting, we will do so at the conclusion of the budget hearing.

Are there -- I suppose we should have the agenda approval first.

MS. LIOTTA: I have --
MR. OLSON: The minutes? Well --
MEETING MINUTES
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Are there any -- any
comments on the minutes from August 28 th or the September 11th meetings?

MR. OLSON: We don't have September 11th.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We haven't -- we haven't received them?

MR. OLSON: I haven't received them yet.
MR. TOPP: They went out. MS. LUDLOW: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Did they go out?
MR. TOPP: They were e-mailed.
MS. LUDLOW: I saw the whole video.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. I haven't reviewed
September 11th myself because --
MR. OLSON: Well, the video aren't the
minutes.
So the minutes went out -- for 11 th, went out?
MR. TOPP: Yeah.
MR. OLSON: Because we -- I got the 28th. Did
they go out at the same time as --
MR. TOPP: No. They went out -- they brought
them in, I think, last week, and we mailed them
right out --
MR. OLSON: Okay.
MR. TOPP: -- the soft copies. We even
printed -- printed one, didn't we, Reba, for you?
MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh. MR. TOPP: Yeah. MS. LIOTTA: Or maybe we can just move the -those minutes to the next meeting then -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah.

MR. TOPP: All right.
MS. LIOTTA: -- since not everybody's had a chance to look at them for whatever reason.

MS. LUDLOW: Oh. Who didn't get them? Because I got them. I always go by the office and ask for a hard copy because I'm a --

MR. OLSON: Whew, that's a lot of pages.
MS. LUDLOW: -- I'm a yellow-highlighter. So
I know I got mine. So they came out.
MR. TOPP: Yeah, they put them out.
MS. LUDLOW: Did you get yours, Michelle?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Honestly, I don't know, but
I think I didn't get them.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, I think that since no one is -- we haven't collectively reviewed both minutes, $I$ think that's a good suggestion, that we'll defer it to the next meeting.

MR. TOPP: Okay.
AGENDA APPROVAL
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Now, are there any
modifications to the agenda?
MS. LIOTTA: I would have one minor suggestion. Maybe we can do business partner updates first, especially in light of the budget hearing, in case anyone can't stay for the second
half, if there is a second half.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Okay. I agree.

Any other changes?

MS. LUDLOW: No, but I think that's a good idea.

MR. OLSON: I think -- well, I don't know how we want to handle the budget, but in the past when we've gone to budget hearings, we've had an approved budget to have a hearing on, and I'm not sure we're quite there yet because we've had discussion but not final action on the proposed budget.

So that's just a question. Do we want to have an equivalent of a work session, plus hearing from the public at 5:00, or do we want to have discussion of the budget now to -- and have the board approve it going on to public hearing?

MS. LUDLOW: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to say something. I guess we have to be recognized to -you need to recognize us to talk.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: And you are?

MS. LUDLOW: Thank you. Board Chairman Ludlow. And I suggest that budget is all in one time and we take care of the other business for one hour and then we can take care of the entire budget
and when -- nothing but budget.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Focus on that? All right. Anyone have an objection to that, any other members?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: (Shakes head.) MS. LIOTTA: (Shakes head.)

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. That's how we'll proceed.

## BUSINESS PARTNER UPDATES

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: There being no further changes to the agenda, we'll hear from our business partners.

Commissioner Dean, welcome.
MR. DEAN: Glad to be here. First of all, I just wanted to report to you that Mr. Topp and I had a really good meeting a week and a half or so ago --

MR. TOPP: Correct.
MR. DEAN: -- had some good discussions, and hopefully we are going to be working together on several issues involving financial plans and bonds, et cetera. And he's going to be meeting with our budget director, Jesse Dunn, who is the world's expert on county budgets -MR. OLSON: Yes.

MR. DEAN: -- and, I think, can share some good information. So -- also, I wanted to mention our county administrator position, which is open, we've received -- and we've been advertising it. We're doing a search. And we have -- we closed the application period a week ago, and we have 105 applications.

We're going to be meeting -- the search
committee, there are four of us on the search committee, and we're going to be meeting on October 6th to go through the first cut. And we have a very good search company helping us. And after that, we're going to narrow -- we're going to winnow the field down a little bit and probably present about four or five candidates -- in no particular ranking, just there are four or five really good candidates, we think -- to the full commission. And the full commission will be interviewing those candidates, in all likelihood, on October 30th and 31st.

And then at the end of the meeting -- if we finish on the 30 th, we won't need to meet on the 31st, but if we go to the -- the final voting either on the 30 th or the 31 st for the best
candidate. And so that's the process we're going through with the county administrator if anyone asks -- asks you about that.

I also wanted to mention too, all of you, I think, are aware of the fact that the Hall of Fame has moved to North Carolina, and the two -- three buildings that become the county's upon their exit are the actual Hall of Fame building with the tall steeple and the IMAX Theater and audio/visual building that they were using -- the PGA Tour is using. And so those properties at the end of the month -- actually, they actually transferred it to us on the 1st of September. We're looking at what we can do with those facilities that would be the most -- for the most benefit of the residents in that surrounding area. There are now about 20,000 residents within probably a 5 -mile radius that weren't there 25 years ago when the Hall of Fame opened in '98. So with that said, I wanted you to know, and you may have friends that may live in that area or people you may know, we're having two community meetings inviting the public to come Wednesday evening at 5:30 at the county auditorium, so the first meeting is Wednesday evening at 5:30 in the
county auditorium to hear from residents, citizens, anyone who would like to give -- give suggestions for the use of those properties, and then also the next morning at 9:00. So we've got Wednesday evening at 5:30 and Thursday morning at 9:00 to take input from -- from the public because we will be deciding what to do.

It's kind of interesting, there's about 35 acres, a large area, of parking that I'm sure most of you've driven the circle and seen that huge parking area. They -- they retain -- under the original agreement in '98, they actually retain ownership, that is, the PGA Tour, of that 35-plus acres -- somewhere between 30 and 35 acres. And that's probably going to be a subject of negotiation for acquisition by the county, we think, and then what we could do with that or they may -- they may just put it out for bid themselves. So it's going to be sort of exciting to follow that and see what happens.

Also, I think the City of St. Augustine and the -- and St. Johns County Cultural Affairs had one of the, I think, best-put-together and best-received Sing Out Loud events downtown Friday and Saturday. I think over 25,000 showed up. And
it was really a -- really a big lift for the city and the county with respect to economic impact because this is the slowest month of the year for the tourist industry. For us, it's -- is the, you know, restaurants, hotels, et cetera. All the restaurants were packed, all the hotels were full. The hotels on the beach were full, the Marriott and the Embassy Suite. So that and, I think, adding to the economy. It was a great concert for those who missed it. Mumford \& Sons were better than ever. They -- they knocked it out of the park. I was fortunate enough to attend.

So with that said, if there are any questions,
I'm happy to respond. If not -- now, Reba, you always ask something.

MS. LUDLOW: Well, yes, because I read all the questions. And the questions -- the biggest questions were: What about the county garage? Everybody said, "Why did they close it off? I have my monthly, you know, pass, and I couldn't get in it and -- "

MR. DEAN: Well, it was closed basically to the public so that concertgoers would -- would be able to use it. They paid, but, I mean, it was -we -- you know, downtown St. Augustine has such
little parking alternatives, that the City made the decision -- it wasn't the county. It's their parking lot. So the City made the decision to close it in order for concertgoers to use it. MS. LUDLOW: Right. Well, it was a great -MR. DEAN: I heard about that, too, by the way.

MS. LUDLOW: I'm sure.
It was a great success overall. And -- and I heard that, you know, Friday night, you know, they had a few glitches, and by Saturday, it was running like a well-oiled machine.

MR. DEAN: Yeah. We actually got e-mails from people who first said how bad Friday was, but they congratulated the staff because what took over an hour to basically go through the system to get in on Friday night only took five minutes on Saturday night. They moved a bunch of gates and more -brought in more people. So it was a good -MS. LUDLOW: It was great. MR. DEAN: -- a good reaction, fortunately, the staff was able to make and worked well. MS. LUDLOW: No, it -- it was a great success. So what -- what would you estimate monetarily that meant to the City?

MR. DEAN: At least 700,000.
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Thanks. That's what I wanted to know.

MR. TOPP: That's outstanding. Excellent.
MR. DEAN: And next week, this coming -- well, we are in the next week. Friday and Saturday is the Songwriters Festival.

MS. LUDLOW: Yeah.
MR. DEAN: And about 50 or so of the top songwriters from Nashville come and perform. And you can go online and find that, but like the -the Colonial Oak facility on St. George Street -MS. LUDLOW: Right. MR. DEAN: -- Prohibition Kitchen, a couple others. Anyways, they have tremendous songwriter -- they've written songs for -- huge number one songs for all the -- you know, Kenny Chesney and Garth Brooks. So that's something that $I$ enjoy. I'm from west Texas, so I enjoy country music.

MS. LUDLOW: I can't wait. Yes.
MR. DEAN: So I find it thrilling, but you all may -- that may not be your cup of tea.

All right?
MS. LUDLOW: That's perfect --

MR. DEAN: All right.
MS. LUDLOW: -- again.
MR. TOPP: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Thank you, Commissioner. MR. DEAN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Next, Mr. Beyers from
Atlantic Aviation.
MR. BEYERS: No comment.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No comment?
Mr. Riera?
MR. RIERA: No comment.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No comment?

MR. RIERA: No comment.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. McKendrick,
Northrup Grumman.
MR. McKENDRICK: Nothing to add. Thanks.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Nothing.
Mr. Pittman? Mr. Pittman is not here.
OLD BUSINESS ITEMS
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. The next order of business is to review the interim executive director's contract. We've all had several weeks to look at the contract. And what I would ask you to do -- well, we'll go in order -- alphabetical order, and if you have any concerns or would like
to make recommendations for the board to consider, I would suggest going in the order of the 12 paragraphs and, you know, make your recommendation.

I presume, Mr. Blocker, we would have to vote on each change to the contract; is that correct?

MR. BLOCKER: Mr. Chairman, you could or the -- or the board could give direction as far as the substantive changes just by --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. BLOCKER: -- a consensus.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Yeah, I just like to keep it orderly so -- and brief so that we can work our way through it.

So start with Ms. Cash-Chapman.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I think it's fine as is.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Fine as is.
Ms. Liotta?
MS. LIOTTA: I've reviewed the contract. I
find it to be in good form.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Good form. Okay.
Ms. Ludlow?
MS. LUDLOW: I -- I think it's wonderful. I -- I did have a question, but it's really kind of a matter of, you know, where the sentence ends or
something like that. So I would approve it as is. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: As is.

MS. LUDLOW: Like Number 4, you know, "The term of this agreement shall be from the effective date, February 28th, for a period not to exceed six months, unless terminated earlier by either party in accordance with the provisions set forth in paragraph 8." And then it says "Additional six-month extensions may be negotiated if there's an agreement by both parties."

And then I kind of thought instead of "Additional," it might say "up to six months." Is that just a matter of semantics?

There was nothing. Okay.
The 20 weeks after termination -- no, no. The severance pay --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Which item are you referring to?

MS. LUDLOW: This is C, the termination employment.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Which paragraph?
MS. LUDLOW: C. C. Right there under Terminate --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, but there's a -there's a numerical number. Oh, 7(c)?

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Or 8 -- $8(c) ?$
MS. LUDLOW: 8 (c).
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: 8(c).
MS. LUDLOW: 8 (с).
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: And it says that "payment equal to 20 weeks aggregate salary in accordance -- " it does say "Florida Statutes" now, so I wasn't sure -- "as applicable and the Authority agrees to pay the employee the following...." And I thought it should be "or remained -- or the remaining term of the contract."

But, like I said, that's semantics. I mean, and if it's -- if it's what the statute says, then just ignore me.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Maybe, Mr. Blocker, can you confirm that it's a statutory requirement for the contract?

MR. BLOCKER: That's -- that's correct, Ms. Ludlow, it does reference the statute in there. And this is, you know, if there's, you know, severance without cause, the Airport Authority moves on, there's a Florida Statute that covers that. Generally, it's 20 weeks. And,
again, we did have -- Mr. Topp did have counsel that reviewed this as well. So that's what we as attorneys came up with for board approval or for the direction.

MS. LUDLOW: No, I -- I wouldn't argue with anything for Jaime, but it does say "if employee is terminated as executive director," and then should it not say "as interim executive director"?

MR. BLOCKER: We can add that.
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Because, until we twist his arm, if that happens -- no. And I'm fine. I'm very happy. And I would love to get this settled so we -- he can't walk out the door.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All right. Is that all?

Mr. Olson, any comments to this?
MR. OLSON: Yeah, I have a few questions. And
I -- I first want to say I think we're extremely
fortunate -- we're extremely fortunate that
Mr. Topp was immediately available --
MR. TOPP: Thank you.
MR. OLSON: -- at a very precarious time for our airport, stepped forward and be our interim. MR. TOPP: My pleasure. MR. OLSON: And very fortuitous and we're
lucky that he was available.
I guess I have questions. What is the impetus for changing -- I understand we have an agreement now, it's a letter agreement, and we have a current salary. What is -- what is the emphasis -- impetus for redoing this into this rather more detailed contract?

MS. LUDLOW: I thought Jeremiah answered that for you before because you asked the same question and you said "Why are we doing a contract instead of just an engagement?" and they explained that was the best way to do it.

MR. OLSON: Well, he -- his explanation, as I recall, is that he -- I believe he said -- I believe you said you always think it's preferable --

MS. LUDLOW: He said contracts are better.
MR. OLSON: -- to have a full agreement. But we -- we haven't had that for -- well, we haven't -- this board hasn't -- has chosen to not do that previously. But, I mean, what is the impetus to do it now? I guess I'm interested in knowing that.

Is there no impetus other than it's a recommendation from counsel? Is that -- is that
correct? Counsel recommends that -- is that -- was that the impetus -- impetus for this?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I believe so.
Mr. Blocker?
MR. BLOCKER: Thank you. Through the Chair, I appreciate your resent, Mr. Olson. So that would be my recommendation. The former executive direct -- full-time executive director did have a contract. As a general practice, and especially dealing with government and public funding and money, generally contracts for these type of positions are preferable.

MR. OLSON: But it was not recommended necessarily for our offer to -- it was an employment letter to our offer to a permanent -selection for permanent executive director. I'm just -- it's a point. I know we don't have to belabor it.

MS. LIOTTA: My best recollection of this offer to Mr. Brienza was that we get him at will so that we could negotiate a contract, because typically if there -- like the prior executive director had certain KPIs for bonus pay, and this board had not decided on any additional bonus pay --

MR. OLSON: That's right. Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: -- so there was no -- there was nothing to put in a contract as far as additional compensation. So we were going to start with at will. I don't think the board ever --

MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: -- had an idea that we should never do a contract. It was like at that time, in that context. We are at a different time and a different context with a very defined interim contract that $I$ think we're all comfortable with. MR. OLSON: Okay. Okay. Okay. Good. Okay. Thank you.

Then I guess my other thing is compensation. What is the current compensation under our current agreement?

MS. LUDLOW: You mean when we were paying double?

MR. OLSON: No, no. What is our, I guess -someone has this. What's our current compensation? Does this increase the compensation? I just want to know.

MS. LUDLOW: What do you mean?
MS. LIOTTA: It's my understanding that the compensation in this agreement is the same as the
base salary for the prior executive director. No change. In fact, it would be slightly less because there's no car -- car payment.

MR. OLSON: I'm not so sure because I believe I heard 200 mentioned and I heard 185 mentioned.

So does anyone -- Mr. Topp --
MS. LUDLOW: Are you going to argue over 26 --
MR. OLSON: -- you know what your current
compensation is. What --
MR. TOPP: Yeah. It's the -- basically what it works out to is about 225, and that is because I'm not taking any health insurance and I am making up for -- like you said, the car payment, we're not getting paid for a car. I don't want that.

MS. LUDLOW: Or house.
MR. OLSON: Okay.
MR. TOPP: Or house.
MR. OLSON: Okay. I got it.
MR. TOPP: And also the -- the fact that $I$
have my own medical with the Veterans Association, thank God, and also the retirement fund --

MR. OLSON: Okay. Okay.
MR. TOPP: -- that we don't have to fund that, and it's a whole lot more than what -- what this comes out to if I would take it.

MR. OLSON: Okay. I believe I'm correct on this, that's considerably more than our -- than Mr. Wuellner was --

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I don't know what the total -- I don't know what the total cost to the airport was for the former airport executive if you count all the benefits and the health insurance -MR. OLSON: Okay.

MS. LIOTTA: -- and the base pay and the, and the and the's. I don't -- I don't -- I don't know the exact difference, but it does sound that there's --

MR. OLSON: Okay. And none of any of my questioning, $I$ want to reiterate, is intended to reflect on Mr. Topp. It's questions and discussion I think we should have because the compensation we're -- we're doing are -- that's reflected here is really equal to what the county pays for a county administrator.

That's -- we're saying that we can rationalize compensation at the same level -- and we've never discussed this before as a board -- as a county administrator that administers a budget of 1 billion or maybe a little bit more -- county budget? More? More? More?

MR. DEAN: (Indicating.) 1.5.
MR. OLSON: What? 5 billion?
MR. DEAN: We just adopted our budget last
week for the coming year, October 1. 1.5.
MR. OLSON: 1.5. And what's the work --
what's roughly the workforce of the county?
MR. DEAN: Say -- the workforce?
MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MR. DEAN: Approximately 1400 .
MR. OLSON: Okay. Okay. So, I mean, we should just reflect on that a moment and we should tie it with like two other things. One is the provision of five months' termination, because if we're now in the middle of a search for a permanent director and we hire one and need to notice to our interim that we don't -- this contract is terminated, we are obligating ourselves to at least $\$ 96,000$, plus maybe associated benefits, I don't know. And that is -- just for perspective, that is more than half of what revenue we hope to get or was proposed from 10 acres of hotel frontage for land lease, 180,000. So --

MS. LUDLOW: Thank you for your comments. You can make a motion.

MR. OLSON: The whole thing I'm doing is
trying to make sure we all have a perspective on how we're spending our money, how we're -- how we're making decisions about funding and looking at our budget because we are big spenders, but we have a dwindling capital reserve. And that's my only --

MS. LUDLOW: Excuse me, but that's --
MR. OLSON: I'm just throwing that out for discussion.

MS. LUDLOW: Yeah, you don't need to correct us. We've read all of this and we've made our decision.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MS . LUDLOW: So --
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. I'd -- I'd like to suggest --

MS. LIOTTA: I think --
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Go ahead, Ms. Liotta. Thank you.

MS. LIOTTA: -- Mr. Olson makes some good points. I do think the -- the bringing up the termination is -- could potentially use a little bit of additional verbiage.

In my mind, I don't -- we are looking for a new executive director. That might happen -- it might take a couple of months. Whoever we hire is
probably going to have 30 -plus days of notice on their side. There could be -- there could be some time. It's unknown how long that's going to take.

I don't think that we would want Mr. Topp to leave the first day that we get a new person in, that we would want some overlap. I -- and the six -- this contract is -- starts in August, so we're already like over a month into it. So it's not six months from when we approve it. It's six months total term, which I think is probably appropriate for that new person to come in and have a transition.

I do, however, think that we may need to fine-tune the language so that if there's a handover where there's a change in title, that that's not considered a termination under this that would trigger 20 -- 20 weeks of salary. I don't think that's what the parties intend. But I think the language may be a little too rigid for that scenario. So that might need a little bit of tweaking.

MR. OLSON: Yes, I read it twice and I couldn't be assured that that -- we were protected on that point. It looked as though it was five months --

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah.
MR. OLSON: -- it could be interpreted as five months.

MS. LIOTTA: So I would think that we would want Mr. Topp to stay for that length of time in
some capacity --
MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: -- but I think the title
shouldn't drive it --
MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: -- so when we do get the new executive director in, we can plan that appropriately and not worry about it. And that's not a compensation change. It would be a title change. But that we should have the flexibility to do that.

MR. OLSON: I think also -- and we need to understand that -- and this is anecdotal. I have not done a detailed survey, but I have understood that other airports like us, the director is funded at considerably less than the amounts we're talking about. And I have one that I heard that -understand that an GA airport near us hired someone that was one of our finalists for a tad over 100,000. I was told by the search firm that one of
our candidates that we were looking at in a final way was funded at 85,000 a year. Now, that seems awfully low --

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
MR. OLSON: -- but I just think --
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I'd like to address --
MS. LUDLOW: Why are we having this
conversation?
MR. OLSON: -- we need to have perspective on this --

MS. LUDLOW: Why are we listening to this?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Are you -- Mr. Olson, are you able to provide any detail, or should we undertake to perform a compensation survey, which I personally would -- would prefer to see us do.

MR. OLSON: I would -- I think we should. I really do.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. OLSON: And I'm sorry to have dragged these thoughts into this discussion, but --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, I think it would be appropriate for the -- for the new permanent director that we're looking for, but as to, you know, revising this contract for another four months, I don't know that we want to dive into
that in -- in detail.
MR. OLSON: Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: I think that your point is well taken. We should not just go blindly into the next executive administration hire based on not knowing what the market is and if our previous professionals were giving us -- like Mr. Brienza's offer, that -- that range of salary was based on a conversation $I$ had with the recruiter. But if that's off base for the Florida area, then we should -- I think it's well worth us taking another look at that.

However, for this contract, it is short term. Do note that we are not down just executive director, we're also down a number two. That position won't get filled until we get a new executive director. So this is really Mr. Topp running -- you know, having two jobs in a lot of ways. So I'm --

MR. OLSON: Yeah. And --
MS. LIOTTA: -- comfortable with the higher salary for a short-term contract like this, but I don't think it should be the default. For our permanent hire, we should do some more homework. MR. OLSON: I agree with both of you. And I
think the other rationale for this is that it -there is a risk premium associated with it or a -the short-term range and the unknowing as to when -- how soon -- long we will need Mr. Topp. So I think all of those can justify a premium also.

MR. BLOCKER: Okay. And if I could,
Mr. Chair, just, if I may --
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yes. Go ahead.
MR. BLOCKER: -- I think we've addressed just
from -- from drafting this, one of the things $I$ think is important is I believe Mr. Wuellner's salary when he left, he was getting approximately 2 percent and-a-quarter raises each year that were automatic. So he was leaving approximately about -- I think his base salary was about 185, is the information that I had.

When I was negotiating with Mr. Topp's attorney, I think a couple of things that were important, and I'll share this with the board in the Sunshine, is, one, Mr. Topp stepped up to do this; we wanted to make sure that he was adequately compensated because it is an interim position. He's coming out of retirement to do this, but he has other ventures as well. So one of the reasons we wanted to build in here for -- to protect the

Airport Authority, because I represent you all, was to make sure that in fairness, that if the board decided to go in a different direction, if they were unhappy -- you know, there's for-cause termination. There's just at-will, "Hey, we're moving a different direction." So his attorney was -- asked for that. That's consistent with Florida Statute. You can modify it if need be. But -- and Mr. Topp was in a difficult position when he came in. He was taking over a very, you know, difficult set of circumstances, I can personally attest. And there was some things that have been asked. And other -- I looked at other different interim-specific contracts throughout the country. Many of them were asking for additional revenue, additional compensation Mr. Topp specifically declined.

So we felt that was what we negotiated and came to. Obviously, the board has final approval, but Mr. Topp did turn down -- you know, there were housing allowances, car allowances. There was all sorts of additional compensation. So, you know, again, for what it's worth, that's how we arrived at that. It seemed like a fair, based on the circumstances in him stepping
into, you know, I think we all agree, a difficult situation, managing it, with the understanding it's an interim position.

You know, a full-time position, I think we would negotiate differently, come to a different -different circumstances, candidly. So...

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All right.
MS. LUDLOW: Can I make a motion?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Mr. Topp, any
comments or --
MR. TOPP: Nope.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- before we entertain -- or hear from the audience? There's at least one commenter spotted.

MR. TOPP: No. I just want to lead the airport out of the drama.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. TOPP: That's my job too. And to take care of all the things with the FAA and all the -just -- and the FDOT. And working with you all is a pleasure. And we have a great staff, and I will tell you that that staff is a team now since I took over. They're really good. And we'll talk about that when we get to my staff reports. I want to give them some kudos. We had some serious
lightning strikes the last part of last week, but we'll talk about it later.

I appreciate you all, the discussion, and hope you pass this as we can -- so we can move on and move forward. I'm ready.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All right. I believe there are a couple minor modifications we can -- we can make, but if anyone would like to make a motion to accept the contract as written and --

MR. BLOCKER: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yes, sir.
MR. BLOCKER: We have some public comment, if
you want to make --
MS. LUDLOW: Before we make a motion.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep. Okay.
Yeah, Mr. Riera?
MR. RIERA: Jose Riera, 133 Paranza Trace.
Based on the comments I've heard from the board and legal counsel, it looks like our former executive director was way over compensated, so let's not fall in the trap of having the new director be compensated again over. Mr. Topp's done a very good job on the compensation based on what we have, but I think I heard counsel -Ms. Liotta saying that, based on some of the
numbers, it looks like if we take the former executive director compensation and try to apply that to the new permanent, there's a big disparity between what the market pay is and what it was. And so make sure we take that into account so we don't really spend a lot more money than we should. That's my comment. MS. LUDLOW: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Thank you. Anyone else from the audience, even though you haven't -MR. TOPP: One other thing, if you don't mind. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, sure. MR. TOPP: You know, we -- we are working with some really good people in the office. And Dana has really stepped up to help on the financial side. So we, at this time, have put off until the new executive director comes on board to pick his own -- his or her, sorry, own CFO. And, also, myself and James are working -wearing the hat of the director of operations as well, which we haven't replaced. And that would be another position if the new executive director chooses to have a director of operations. James is fantastic. And between the two of us, we've been
able to handle it and put NOTAMs out and do all the things.

So to Ms. Liotta's point, I am kind of doing -- not kind of, I am doing two jobs, and -and I'm more than happy to do that. So let's just move forward.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Any other -yes, sir, Commissioner Dean?

MR. DEAN: Well, I'm certainly not knowledgeable enough to comment on internal operations and -- and I'm not going to address -- I don't think it's my role to address compensation. What I do want to address is that in the last several months -- I alluded to this earlier -- in the last several months, Mr. Topp has reached out to me, communicated with me, asked me several ways that we could help each other, and that's very refreshing, working in government, to have someone that -- that available and reaching out. And I just have to say that -- that I'm very impressed by him reaching out to the county as he has. And I'll leave it at that.

MR. TOPP: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Thank you. Very good comment.

MS. LUDLOW: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: After all, we're all owned
by the same taxpayers.
MR. TOPP: That's right. MS. LUDLOW: And I would like to -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Ms. Ludlow. MS. LUDLOW: I would like to make a motion that we accept the contract as is and that -- and to stop there. I mean, we can renegotiate when we get an executive -- full-time executive director, but we don't want Jaime to walk out the door. And I -- I make a motion that we accept his contract as is, gratefully.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Are there any seconds?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Second? MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Yep. All in favor? MS. LUDLOW: Aye. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Aye. Opposed -MR. OLSON: Aye. Aye, as long as it means that our attorney can further look at the termination language.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, there's that issue when we have an overlap and we may have a title change -we want Mr. Topp to stay, but if there's a handoff of -- you know, this new person becomes executive director on, you know, February 2nd or whatever, Mr. Topp's going to continue with the six months' same pay, but if we have a title change, it may trigger the -- how -- the strict interpretation of the language, and we don't -- I don't believe the airport should be subject to 20 weeks of severance pay because of a handoff like that.

MR. BLOCKER: If I can -- If I can just respond, I understand that, but I just -- out of fairness, we want to -- the understanding was not -- was not that. I understand the contract could -- we want to write these in a way that makes sense. That was not the discussion I had with Mr. Topp's attorney. He was fully aware of what the intent of the board was.

I would just say if we're going to change language, I want to -- he may sit here and say, yes, he's fine with that, but we do need to give him a chance, just for other reasons, to have his attorney look at it since he does have counsel.

So if we want to change some of the language,

I would ask the board to -- to give us time to do that so I can talk to his attorney and go from there. If the concern was that that could be misinterpreted, I have less concern about that interpretation, only because of the understanding that -- my direct communication with Mr. Topp's attorney.

Now, your attorney's not present today, but, you know, if you want to -MR. TOPP: Right. Well, I can talk to Michael.

One thing that, you know -- why would we start this on August 28 th when I started the 24 th of July?

MS. LUDLOW: Right.
MR. TOPP: So that moves it back another month, right? So, essentially, it's not February but January or whatever the numbers are. That kind of solves the problem.

MS. LUDLOW: I think the contract as is is exactly where we are today. And anything can be modified down the line when the circumstances change.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, we can only modify it if all the parties agree to it. So what we sign does
matter, you know, today. We'll have -- we'll have less flexibility once we have a binding agreement, if there's any --

MS. LUDLOW: Our binding agreement said for six months.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, executed. So if there's any issues that are -- now's the time to address it. I think 20 weeks of severance is worth looking into and making sure we're all on the same page.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Do we need to defer this to the next meeting or can we --

MS. LUDLOW: Yeah, but we have a one and a second and we've all voted.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We have.
MS. LIOTTA: That's true. Did --
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: But we're going to clean up the -- clean up the language?

MR. BLOCKER: Through the chair, I -- we can -- I can work with Mr. Topp so we can make sure this gets clarified and go from there. And if --

MR. TOPP: Yeah, I think changing the date to the 24 th --

MR. BLOCKER: Sure.
MR. TOPP: -- of July when I started kind of solves the problem.

MR. BLOCKER: Yeah. Sure. And we can -- and we can address -- I mean, obviously, Mr. Topp is here on the record in a public meeting agreeing and waiving his right for his attorney to be present here advising him.

MR. TOPP: Yes.
MR. BLOCKER: So I think we have -- I think we have enough on the record where we can make the modifications that would satisfy the board.

MS. LIOTTA: And I -- I apologize for interrupting the vote. That was not professional of me. Sorry about that.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No problem.
MS. LIOTTA: So I think -- my best recollection was we had three members vote and then I interrupted. Again, apologies. So Mr. Olson and I now need to -- to finish up the vote.

MR. OLSON: Okay. And I -- I was going to -I'm voting yes, but $I$ want to make sure that we're not exposing the Authority to an additional \$96,000 of -- plus termination payment under any -- under any scenario where we have a new executive director and he start -- and we have a start date and we're -- I don't know. You know what I'm talking about.

I think it can be further -- I think some language additions can be -- can address this. So I'm a yes.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: So your vote?
MS. LIOTTA: I'm a yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: You're a yes. Okay.
All right. That's -- looks like it's unanimous. And we can move on to the next item, staff reports. And let's see. Looks like we have 20 minutes until we adjourn for the budget hearing. MR. TOPP: Oh, my God. Good job, everybody. MS. LUDLOW: Gosh, that's -MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Not a good job. We have a lot to do. Get going. MR. TOPP: All right. STAFF REPORT CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. The first staff report, we have Mr. Blocker, but we've discussed the employment contract, so we'll move on. MR. BLOCKER: Mr. Chairman, just one alibi -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. MR. BLOCKER: -- I do have -- I did get some more information on the residence that the -- the board had voted. So I need to review some of the information that was gathered, but I will -- I will
be providing a more detailed report after I've had an opportunity to review the documents from the tax attorney.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All right.
MS. LUDLOW: Good. But not today.
MR. TOPP: Are you talking about the residence of --

MR. BLOCKER: Correct. Yes, sir.
MR. TOPP: Yeah, so you understand, I didn't put it in there because I didn't know how much time we would have. The two bids to tear the entire thing down, get rid of everything ecologically and all the things that we have to worry about there -thereof is right at around 30,000. And I can send a memo out with the two different bids that we have, but we're prepared to move forward with that. And I don't know if we have to do that here since it's just $\$ 30,000$ to just turn that into a piece of dirt essentially.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
MR. TOPP: So we're ready to pull the trigger if you all -- you know, I don't think I really need to bring that in anyway because it's below my decision factor.

MR. BLOCKER: No, and -- and through the

Chair, so the issue with the -- that the tax attorney reviewed is completely independent of this. This would purely be the liability to the Authority. So the -- whatever as far as -MR. TOPP: Okay. I misunderstood. MR. BLOCKER: Yeah, this is completely the past contract where the executive director was given a residence that there was no tax assessed. That's a separate issue. So we can -MR. TOPP: Okay. Sorry about that. MR. BLOCKER: -- however the board wants to move forward with the real estate. MR. OLSON: Would that actually be a liability to the Authority? I thought it was studied to be determined whether it's a taxable benefit to the beneficiary of the house.

MR. BLOCKER: So, Mr. Olson, I have the -- the document. I haven't had a chance to review it. And I'll be forwarding it to each of you all for your review and then comments, my comments on it, but I have not even had an opportunity to delve into the opinion yet. MR. OLSON: Okay. Because -MR. BLOCKER: So -MR. OLSON: So that's still a question as
to --
MR. BLOCKER: Yes, sir. I have it and I haven't even had a -- we've had so much other stuff going on with the Authority, I haven't had a chance to delve into that before the meeting today.

MR. OLSON: Yeah, I -- I really didn't even think that it might be a liability of the Authority. Okay.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Topp, you can move -you have the rest of your report?

MR. TOPP: Yeah, the -- what we have in here -- and I apologize, Dana didn't come in today -- as of this morning -- I'm going to just spend three minutes. On Thursday, we got hit by lightning. It took out 45 of our taxiway lights on Bravo 1 and a bunch of transformers. Each light -or groups of light have these little transformers. They were just fried. Fortunately, we had plenty of spares, I want to keep saying in the hangar, but in the warehouse, if you will, and spares to repair all those lights.

And then also the office building or the administration building got whacked by lightning as well. And if you're not aware of it, those fences, you know, when you scan your card, it comes all the
way through the ether to the -- to the administration building, goes to a master board, which is about $\$ 1,300$, and then goes down to sub-boards for each fence. It took out five sub-boards that are 700 bucks apiece and the master board, \$1,200. And it also took out the -- the port in the office that gets all the Internet. Okay?

Then the next day, we got hit somewhere near the -- we haven't determined exactly where, but we're pretty sure it was either the top of the tower or along the side of the tower, which there's a box that sends control out to the end lights for 31 that turn on at night. And not only did it wipe out the surge protector there, but it went along the Cat 5 cable and wiped -- it wiped out the surge protector at the Nimbus hangar and wiped out most of the internals of the Nimbus hangar as well. As well as on the first floor of the tower, our 48 Port and 24 Port connectivity fried. I mean, you walked into that -- that room and it was smell. Okay?

Also, and I haven't gotten a report at the end of the day today, but unless it's changed, James, myself, and one of the other staff will be taking
turns watching the tower tonight. My turn is from 2:00 to 6:00 in the morning, then I'm going to go get a shot in my back after that, because the machine that senses a fire in the tower also got wiped out. So we have no suppression there. Okay? So we've got to watch for fire coming out of the tower. We doubt anything -- because it's a concrete building, there shouldn't be a problem. But the Internet is back up. We've got that handled. I did -- I know I said three minutes. It's going to be another minute.

I talked to some people over the weekend. I don't know if you all are aware of it, but my dad was -- worked for the Army and invented the autopilot for helicopters and the main battle tank and all that happy stuff. So I still have contacts in that regime. And I've been put in touch with the electrical engineer, that would make Elon Musk smile, who is in charge for the -- what he does is that he mitigates lightning for a bunch of contractors to refineries throughout the United States and Latin America. So hopefully -he's supposed to call me either Tuesday or Wednesday, because my concern is, is that what we have here is probably 10 to 20 years old and it's
not working. Okay? And then, you know, we're looking at just this past two days 30 or $\$ 40,000$ in expenses in just the equipment that got wiped out. Fortunately, we had spares, but we've got to replace those spares.

But, anyway, James and his team did a really, really good job. And we're tethering our phones to make the Internet work. It's been fine.

The next thing, I'm going to skip over this, the 21.1 acres, because I'm going to ask Mr. Roberts to address that.

The iVenture Security assessment, in the interest of time, I'm going to put that off until the next time because I want to include in that the electrical thing -- the electrical mitigation.

Somebody mentioned something about the need of a vertiport. We don't need a vertiport. We're an airport, so we would make space for a vertiport somewhere on the airport. But it would be something that we may want to help the county with downtown, you know, as that grows. Maybe that property out here, you know, I don't know.

One last thing, which I'm pretty excited about just because I'm a flying junkie, is October 20th through November 6th, we're going to have VT-86.

There will be five to six $T-45$ Navy trainers based here. They're going to be on the airport terminal ramp. And I've already worked with TSA. They've really helped out us to be able to badge those people. There will be 14 -- there will be 18 -no, 30 maintenance personnel, 15 in the morning and 15 in the afternoon; 14 pilots; and 10 flight instructors and their managers. And they're going to be flying two, maybe three, sorties a day for us. And they're going to be buying their fuel from our friends at Atlantic, and that kind of bleeds on to us. And those things do burn a lot of gas. So it's going to be fun to watch. And I'm going to put out a little note about that so maybe some of the folks can watch that.

But if Mr. Roberts can take seven or eight minutes and talk about the 21.1 acres?

MR. ROBERTS: I'll do better than that. Just to circle back, just if we could -- I'm sorry -through the Chairman, if it's all right --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: It's all right.
MR. ROBERTS: -- to -- to -- let's talk about whether there's an insurable claim potentially, if we have coverage for these adverse lightning strikes --

MR. TOPP: Yes. I'm going to be talking -yes, absolutely. I've been talking to the insurance company.

MR. ROBERTS: -- before we pay -- right.
So --
MR. TOPP: Yeah, because we -- Chad and I, and I think you and Jeremiah talked about it with me this morning, I just hope it doesn't come under an act of God, you know.

MR. ROBERTS: That's typically why you pay
your insurance premiums --
MR. TOPP: That's right.
MR. ROBERTS: -- whatever.
Okay. So Part 16 is framed. It's ripe for the FAA to make a decision potentially at any time, any day. That schedule is up to them. But all the pleadings are framed.

The claimant did have some motion practice last week, which basically agitating for a more aggressive intervention by the FAA. And we'll have a chance to file our response, but I don't think there's anything right now that we would suggest we need a shade meeting for or anything like that. So that -- that's there.

The 21.1-acre parcel, first of all, Grumman
declined to exercise their right of first refuse -right of first refusal, so that's off the table. So the -- on -- on September 15 th, we had -- we had issued a notice that -- that let people know that that 21 -acre parcel was going to come off the table for anyone to consider, and we thought providing notice was the right thing to do in fairness to all the stakeholders of the airport. So the last day of that notice, September 15th, the Authority did receive a -- another unsolicited proposal from the private sector to also propose an FBO facility on the same 21.1 acres.

So to answer your question, Mr. Olson, from probably two board meetings ago, if -- and let me just, before $I$ get to that, staff is still doing due diligence about this proposal: Understanding who the folks are and what they're about and just doing some homework about it. So by next board meeting, potentially in a position to say whether the staff believes this is a conforming application such that the board could exercise that threshold question of does it meet a public purpose or not, public use or not. So that's down the road, not for today.

And then at that point, then it would be ripe,

I think, Mr. Olson, to -- now that the table is set in terms of knowing what potential concurrent proposals are, then for staff to establish a template for how the board should consider next steps.

The Authority would love to accommodate everyone's business interests that have been expressed. So that will be our first attempt, is to try not to make this a zero-sum game, you know, winner take all and -- and potentially have it that way if -- if there is a way to accommodate everyone's business interest. So I think that's where things are with the 21 -acre proposal.

MR. OLSON: The reason -- I'm not sure if
everyone understands why you singled me out --
MR. ROBERTS: Oh --
MR. OLSON: -- but -- but -- just now, but it was because I asked you the question at the time, I can't recall which meeting it was --

MR. ROBERTS: Of course.
MR. OLSON: -- "What do we do if we get more than one proposal? Don't we need some method of evaluating which is the best for the airport?"

MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Yes.
MR. OLSON: Okay.

MR. ROBERTS: So -- I'm sorry. So that's the context of that.

So that's where the 21 -- yes.
(Mr. Blocker conferred with Mr. Roberts sotto voce.)

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, please.
MR. BLOCKER: So just -- just -- just for the board's knowledge because this goes to your question, Mr. Olson. So there is some -- as -- as Mr. Roberts alluded to, there's some staff work that we need to put into to this proposal.

Mr. Topp and I have spoke briefly with -doing our due diligence, with some of the parties that were included in this proposal, and we reached out to -- I think with Mr. Green?

MR. TOPP: Yes.
MR. BLOCKER: -- to get some -- there was some confusion and some --

MR. TOPP: Well, no, we reached out to John, who -- John -- I can't remember his -- he's from the old country. Stand by one --

MR. OLSON: You -- you reached out to who?
MR. TOPP: John Galderi -- Galdieri, who is the person --

MR. OLSON: What entity -- one of the
proposers? One of two companies proposing?
MR. TOPP: It's just the one proposal, Martinair.

MR. OLSON: Okay. Okay.
MR. TOPP: Right. He was listed on page 5 as who would be running the FBO if they took it over. And then shortly there -- after our call, and you can give them the recap of that, I got a call from Steve Green, who is listed as the point of contact on this proposal, and he clarified some things for us. I sent you a copy of the e-mail he sent us. And, you know, that's why we need to evaluate this a little further, but if you want to add to that, that's fine.

MR. BLOCKER: No, not at all. I think that's a good summary. There was just some -- questions were raised that we need to do some additional due diligence, and we'll make sure we report back to the board.

MR. ROBERTS: And any further -- did I leave anything -MR. TOPP: What's that? MR. ROBERTS: Anything further to add to the status of the 21 acres? MR. TOPP: No, I don't think so. I think on
the 21.1 acres, $I$ think that you said it perfectly. MR. ROBERTS: Okay. MR. TOPP: It would be great if we could get it that everybody would -- could just play together.

MR. ROBERTS: Awesome.
And then, finally, the Modern Aero lease has been tendered to Modern Aero for execution. We had previously received some markups, and we, I think, accommodated most of those. It had some language adjustments. But, basically, in the form that the Authority would at least have staff's recommendation to execute has been tendered to Modern Aero and is awaiting for their execution of it.

MR. TOPP: Right. They did -- they did respond back originally to the first one that we sent them, and they -- they made some good points, I thought. And Mr. Roberts did a good job of including that. And we sent it back to them last week, and we're just waiting for that to be signed and move forward. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Thank you. MR. ROBERTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Is that -- that
concludes staff reports?
MR. TOPP: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I believe we -- we need to adjourn. We can adjourn for four minutes, and then we'll re- -- we will open the budget hearing, and we'll resume this meeting at the conclusion of the budget hearing.
(Meeting adjourned at 4:56 p.m. and resumed at
6:04 p.m.)
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All right. I believe we -- we made it through the staff report; is that correct?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: We did, yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We did. So we're on new
business items.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: That's correct.
MS. LUDLOW: All good here.
NEW BUSINESS ITEMS
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Send a 30-day, quote, last call notice to Titan for land lease proposals. MR. TOPP: I think Mr. Roberts has some comments about that, about the naming of it, et cetera.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: So I don't want to, like, tie
everybody up at this hour about this, but I wish you could see what I am looking at for -MS. LUDLOW: We're not redecorating, Chad. MR. ROBERTS: So this little -- what Titan proposes to develop is what we're calling the corner -- this is Taxiway A2. This is really a taxi lane, as a term of art. It's not a taxiway. It's just a place where aircraft maneuver to and from a facility.

They're proposing to -- to take down these 5 acres bounded by the road that, under our master plan, is going to go away. And they're just going to build hangars -- on speculation, they're just going to build hangars and rent them and relieve some of the congestion for hangar capacity. MS. MARTIN: How many? MR. ROBERTS: I'm sorry. That was so rude of me.

MS. MARTIN: No, that's okay. How many hangars?

MR. ROBERTS: That was very -- I didn't mean to be so rude to stand in front of you that way. I'm sorry.

About three -- as many as will fit in 5 acres, probably three buildings, as I recall, something
like this.
MR. TOPP: Yeah.
MR. ROBERTS: Three large buildings.
MR. TOPP: Large buildings.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: How many aircrafts? You know, a fixed-wing aircraft.

MR. TOPP: One building would be just big enough for a G-650, a hundred by a hundred, you know, unless you have something other than -- I know that they have, you know, Citations, different airplanes. They've got people banging on our door. They want space.

MR. ROBERTS: So this is just -- this is my concern, right? I would like us to always have a second FBO option for the future, a real -- what I call a public -- an Atlantic, right?

Right now, the 21 acres is -- is shaping up to be apportioned for that. We've told Titan that anything they do there, we would also ask them to configure it or make it configurable that it could be an FBO if it ever needed to be, right? In other words, what we -- what we vertically build, we would want it to be at least consistent with if they ever wanted to sell the lease to somebody else, it wouldn't be an FBO.

So I just have a little bit of concern for us collectively about taking down this. To Ms. Liotta's point, I think the airport historically contemplated, you know -- in addition to spending all those environmental studies and all that, I think the airport contemplated that it was going to itself build out all the hangars that are going to come available once the Gun Club property becomes acquired and the -- and the road is reconfigured.

So if -- if we do this, which is fine, we'll get hangars out of it, we'll relieve some hangar congestion, we will also need to issue a right of first refusal to Atlantic because Atlantic currently has a contractual right of first refusal to build two hangars here, right? So that puts that into the mix. If we're --

MR. OLSON: Excuse me.
MR. ROBERTS: Yes, sir.
MR. OLSON: Right now, a question, is that right of first refusal or is Atlantic's ability to act on it limited by its overall FBO agreement with the Authority, which only has, what, ten years left effectively? And wouldn't that preclude the actual practical interest of -- of Atlantic to build a new
hangar complex?
MR. ROBERTS: I would say, in the abstract, no, and here's why: Atlantic -- if Atlantic wanted today to build two hangars there, it could ask us to come do it, and it would get for itself a long-term lease independent of its existing ground lease agreement.

MR. OLSON: Okay. So this all separate? It would be a separate --

MR. ROBERTS: Right.
MR. OLSON: Okay. Got it. Okay. Understand.
MR. ROBERTS: But now, as a -- to your second point, as a practical matter, does Atlantic think to itself: We don't want to be an absent landlord at some airport renting five hangars. That's not what we do. We want to be there with the main $F B O$ and these hangars over here on the other side.

So as a practical matter, it may factor into the equation that they have never to date elected to add hangar capacity, right? And so without speaking for their economics, you know, when you can control capacity, you can control the rates that you charge. So it may not be in their perceived best interests to build more hangar capacity and therefore dilute, potentially, the
rates.
I don't know how the economics of that work out, right? That's for them to decide. But, at any rate, we would need to challenge them contractually for their right of first refusal and for them to make the call on that. So that may trigger --

MS. LIOTTA: I have a question.
MR. ROBERTS: Yes, ma'am.
MS. LIOTTA: Technical question.
MR. ROBERTS: I'm sorry. I'm doing it to you too. I apologize.

MR. TOPP: Here you go. I got it up.
MR. ROBERTS: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: Assuming we get the -- I don't know how detailed the current proposal is. Is it detailed enough right now to tender a ROFR, or is that something that you think is going to need further negotiation with -- who is it? Tri- --Tri- --

MR. ROBERTS: I don't know that there's --
MR. TOPP: Titan is the --
MS. LIOTTA: Titan. Sorry. Knew $T$ something.
MR. TOPP: -- Titan something, LLC.
MS. LIOTTA: -- Titan to get it to -- to the
point to where you can even trigger the ROFR?
MR. ROBERTS: I don't believe -- I think the ROFR would need to be triggered -- safely triggered with some kind of financial number, right?

MS. LIOTTA: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: So a 35 cents a square foot kind of a number. And I don't think that it's there yet. Do you agree?

MR. TOPP: No, it's not.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Question. I guess I'm concerned of how -- are they -- the Titan, that is -- are they locked into that specific parcel?

MR. ROBERTS: They -- they've submitted a -and it was at the last board meeting. It's a little square area, and it -- it goes up against --

MR. TOPP: It's right here. It goes right up against Southeast Aero.

MR. ROBERTS: Right.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Because -- well, I mean,
here's what my concern is: I mean, we have -- we have to look at the overall -- the global -MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- footprint --
MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- of the airport and how we
can squeeze as many square feet of hangar space into that new parcel of land that we're going to acquire from the Gun Club.

MR. ROBERTS: Right.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: And if we say, "All right. Yeah, go ahead and build hangars," is that going to reduce the potential that we can -- the airport can spend? I don't mind that -- you know, if we say, "Okay. We can fit 30 more hangars up there. You guys want to buy three of them, go ahead. You know, we'll make a deal with you." MR. ROBERTS: Right. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: But we don't -- I don't think we've ought to lock ourselves into that specific parcel until we know what the big picture's going to look like, the build -- the, quote, build-out. MR. OLSON: We took some action on Titan at the last meeting. What was that? MR. ROBERTS: We -- we -- we -MR. OLSON: I made the motion, but I can't remember what it was. MR. ROBERTS: We took their -MR. OLSON: What was it? MR. ROBERTS: You -- you considered that a
third party came to the Authority and said, "We'd like to build some hangars out on these 5 acres." And you made the initial determination, if that were to occur, it would be a public use; therefore, we're going to release the proposal to staff for staff to evaluate and make a recommendation. MR. OLSON: Okay. MR. ROBERTS: Recommendation may be that we not do it, but it's -- it's in the house, as -MR. OLSON: Okay. MR. TOPP: And where -- where it's at just at this moment is that he has met -- he met with Passero, and the same as -- same as the meeting with Tom Solano with Passero, Passero recommended some folks that build hangars and some architectural work, especially in the case of Tom Solano, to get the frontage to look good with the street -MR. ROBERTS: Right. MR. TOPP: -- and stuff like that, which he fully intends to, but, also, these 5 acres, same thing. And so -- I have not seen a final proposal yet, but I know that they're working -- they've met with engineering and they've met with other folks. MR. OLSON: Well, that's good because storm
drainage is going to be huge for that 5 acres.
MR. TOPP: Oh, yeah. Oh, yeah.
MR. OLSON: They're going to need to do
retention ponds and things like that.
MR. TOPP: They're not going to need boots;
they're going to need waders, is what they're going to need.

MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MR. ROBERTS: It really goes to the point of we need to get in front of the train on this -MR. TOPP: Roger that. MR. ROBERTS: -- in a hurry, because if we just continue to be sort of reactive and then have public sector people walk up and say, "I'd like to develop something in the airport," presumptively, we're going to say, "Sure. You're offering your own capital. We're struggling how to come up with capital to meet these capital improvements and here's the public -- public sector stepping up and saying, 'We'll use the capital.'"

So my concern is, if we want the Airport Authority itself to -- I wish we had a laser pointer, but we don't. But --

MR. TOPP: Where do you want to point to? MR. ROBERTS: This -- to the left. To the
left. Right -- right to the -- to the -- nope. I'm sorry. To the hangars that would be to the right. Right there. Right -- right there. Those hangars right there.

Those are the hangars I'm talking about where -- that is a lot of hangar capacity that's proposed for the Gun Club Road. And it may be that -- it may be in the Authority's best interests to -- to get into the hangar business and make more money than we would for a land lease to let some third party build those hangars and take the profit out of that and -- and we just become a ground tenant. And that's an important choice for you all to make.

And if we let people keep nibbling away at this dirt out there, those options are going to be diminished and constrained and -- and we're going to lose options. So that's the conclusion, yeah.

MS. LUDLOW: And so, Chad -- I mean, Mr. -Mr. Roberts, on Titan, this is the side property next to Southeast Aero?

MR. TOPP: Correct.
MR. ROBERTS: This is the --
MS. LUDLOW: Aren't they still planning on acquiring south -- not called Southeast --

MR. TOPP: One doesn't have anything to do with the other. It's two different functions. MR. ROBERTS: They've asked. Yes, ma'am. They've asked to bifurcate that issue. If it happens, it happens. If it doesn't, it doesn't. MR. TOPP: Yeah. Right. MS. LUDLOW: Okay. MR. ROBERTS: If it does happen, they would have a wonderful contiguous parcel for their benefit --

MS. LUDLOW: Gotcha. MR. ROBERTS: -- that would -- would have some advantage, but -MS. LUDLOW: What he wants to do anyway. MR. TOPP: By the way, I apologize for the heat in here, because $I$ forgot to mention, that's another problem.

MR. OLSON: Another lightning strike?
MS. LUDLOW: Casualty or --
MR. TOPP: Lightning strike wiped out the air handler.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'm comfortable for the first time ever in here.

MR. OLSON: This -- what's being outlined right now sounds like an ideal thing for the master
plan committee to get their hands around and maybe spend time with the issue.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We'll schedule another meeting.

MS. LIOTTA: I don't know that that's going to be fast enough. This sounds more like it potentially needs Authority action to look at a feasibility study for the airport to develop that: What's it going to take? What kind of financing are we going to need? Where would we get such financing? Do we -- are we going to put together an actual action plan so that if someone says -- to Mr. Roberts' point, we get a developer that comes to us the day after we buy the Gun Club land and says, "We'd like to develop it and we have no plan in place -- "

MS. LUDLOW: Don't we have to square the ROFR first?

MR. OLSON: Are you saying we out source -MR. TOPP: No, ROFR doesn't have to do with the Gun Club. Right? MR. OLSON: -- the issue to a consultant -(Simultaneous speaking.) COURT REPORTER: I can't hear. I'm sorry. MR. ROBERTS: I'm sorry. The court reporter
is going to need us to all exercise some discipline.

MR. OLSON: Yep. Yep. Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: I don't know exactly what the best practice is or what is normally done. That might be something where, once we get our engineer contracts done, we can have -- we can check in with a couple of them and maybe get their -- like Passero and one or both of the other ones, to get their initial thoughts on how that might be done, but also, I mean, the engineers aren't going to tell us how to finance our -- our -- our facilities.

MR. TOPP: No.
MS. LIOTTA: So I think it's broad -- it's bigger than that. And I think if we send it to the master plan committee, it's going to take forever to get back to the board to do anything. And I think this is -- it sounds like it's more urgent than that.

MR. ROBERTS: And to the credit, Mr. Olson's spoken of the planning activities in the past, I -I -- it's -- it's a big read. It's two volumes, 800 pages, but there's a lot to learn from our master plan. Someone spent a lot of effort
memorializing the debates, the policy choices. Most of what we think of was thought of back then and debated and evaluated.

So there's a -- so to your point, that -- the way airports are to work is that you generate -you conduct this on a cycle and you come up with a master plan and decide on it and publish it. And that's what we've done. We have an airport layout plan, which you're looking at, and a master plan, which is the 800 pages in Volumes 1 and 2, that accompany it. And so we've made -- we've made these choices. We've made these decisions. We -we have reserved that area off for a second fixed-based operator and -- and potentially for just a bunch of new hangars.

So it is within your discretion to say, "We're going to take this property off. We're going to do this property." It's within your discretion to do that. But -- but we should do it before someone shows up and says, "You're changing your mind now that I showed up and said, 'I would like to develop this.'"

MS. LUDLOW: Then they're going to want a -through a RPF.

MR. ROBERTS: Right.

MS. LUDLOW: Or RFP.
MR. ROBERTS: So, anyway, that's -- these are our last -- these are our last pieces of dirt and they're just golden.

MR. TOPP: To -- to Mr. Roberts' point, there is some -- there is, on the horizon, someone interested on that piece of property.

MS. LIOTTA: So I think it behooves the Authority then to understand what it would look like for the Authority to do that development instead --

MR. TOPP: I agree.
MS. LIOTTA: -- and decide are we -- is that the best thing to do for ROI and get the bonds or the long-term debt, the whatever to do it or -- and start working on that --

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: -- or do we turn it over to open bid and --

MR. ROBERTS: The private sector.
MS. LIOTTA: -- with the private sector to do development that would, of course, be consistent with the master plan? It would have to be that.

MR. ROBERTS: And -- and -- I suspect that the annual revenue we would get from the land lease
revenue would be not as impressive as if we did ourselves what these third-party private sector folks are contemplating, which is "I'd like to get into the hangar business and I'll -- I'll do a good job of making a profit renting hangars."

MS. LIOTTA: Well, sure. But a land lease also means that we don't -- the Authority is not responsible for the maintenance.

MR. ROBERTS: We don't have any debt.
MS. LIOTTA: If it gets a lightning strike and blows every fuse in the building, then the lease --

MS. LUDLOW: We'll all die.
MS. LIOTTA: -- the lessee has to do all
the -- you know, so, yes --
MR. TOPP: Stupid lightning.
MS. LIOTTA: -- the land lease is less lucrative.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: It sounds to me like this is something that is going to require a good amount of us sitting down and really working through some of these things. And as much as I hate to suggest this, do you think that this perhaps is something that we should schedule a workshop for that we can really sit down and talk about? Because this is going to happen faster than we want it or faster,
you know, than we expect it to. And I don't want to see us move month after month and then suddenly we've got all these other people that want to do this and we're saying "we should really, we should really," but we haven't done it.

So do you think perhaps we should schedule a workshop within the next month or so so that we can sit down and really spend the time it deserves because --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I'd like to add that I think it's a good idea for a workshop, but I think it has to be initiated by staff and counsel and the engineers and, you know --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right. And I don't just mean us. I mean like a whole, whoever needs to be there.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No, I know. They need to queue up -- they need to queue up options so that we're not -- the board does not dive into the weeds on this --

MR. OLSON: Yeah, we need a lot of technical support, you're right.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, this is very highly technical --

MR. OLSON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- and we need their advice. So...

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right.
MR. TOPP: I'm sorry. I didn't hear what Mr. Olson said.

MR. OLSON: Technical support. We need technical support.

MR. TOPP: Yes.
MS. LUDLOW: Jeremiah wants to say something.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. I'm sorry, Mr. Blocker.

MR. BLOCKER: No, just -- just through the Chairman, $I$ think in response to -- I think it's -you know, a workshop is great and a great starting point, but if the board wishes, it could direct staff to work on a feasibility option to bring back and -- and, you know, we could schedule a workshop. That way we have numbers to work with, options, kind of some legal analysis, and, you know, we can plan and work with Mr. Topp and come up with that and really kind of present. That way you all can -- can make a policy decision.

And this land's, as Mr. Roberts pointed out, very valuable. This is, you know, treasure, if you will, and there's some feasibility options that we
can kind of map out and some legal -- you know, if we go one course of action, that creates this legal challenge. If we go through a different course of action -- and also the funding related. Because, really, at this point, the two -- the two issues you're looking at are really the funding, the revenue that's going to come in, and really the legal constraints.

MR. TOPP: Right.
MR. BLOCKER: So, really, the legal and the finance are the two things that we have to evaluate.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I'd say put a hold on engineering until we -- sounds like, we have some of those threshold issues dealt with. And I don't --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I just want to make sure that we don't just do it in a meeting and say like, "All right. Well, we all want to -- " like I want to make sure that we're really giving it the time that it deserves.

MR. TOPP: Right.
MS. LIOTTA: Yeah --
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: So I think that if we get some homework done -- sorry, Jamie -- if we get
some homework done and we come back and we can really focus on it for a while, I think we'll present a lot better for future --

MS. LIOTTA: So my -- I think that is really -- I like all of that. My concern for the agenda today is we are supposed to look at these 5 acres --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right.
MS. LIOTTA: -- and there is a proposal out for these 5 acres, and what do we do with this last call. There's an agenda item. Do we issue that? Do we not issue that?

MR. TOPP: I agree. We need to finish that. MS. LIOTTA: I mean, it is -- we do have a lease policy and, you know, we're -- you know, my personal opinion is we -- if we don't like our policies, we should work to change them, not change them on the fly every time we get a new issue in front of us.

So I think we should -- you know, I'd like to deal with that -- with the issue that's in front of us today while making plans for the larger Gun Club.

MR. ROBERTS: So what's on the table today is basically this -- this is sort of like the 21 acres
without the Part 16 in the background of it. We're kind of going through the same thing. And what the notice we did on the 21 acres provided for was to say: There's a proposal being looked at, and there is a date which we're going to establish to say, if anyone else is making a proposal, at that date, we're not going to entertain any more until this decision cycle. And I think we used the word "this decision cycle." And --

MR. TOPP: I have it up here, the notice. MR. ROBERTS: Right. The last paragraph of -the last paragraph of Section 1: "The purpose of this notice to establish a time and date, after which other proposals from the private sector develop and build a commercial aeronautical service facility on this parcel will no longer be considered during this evaluation cycle."

So we're not saying it's -- it's gone forever, because the -- your -- your decision may be no. Your decision may be carving this up in little 5-acre snips is going to ruin it as a contiguous parcel for some more important use. And the answer is "Thank you, Titan, but no."

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Roberts, if we -- if we decide to take this upon ourselves as the

Authority, would that make the issuance of this notice -- this so-called last-call notice a moot point? I mean, do we even have to do it?

MR. ROBERTS: If you -- I'm sorry. If you
decide to develop yourself?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, if -- you know, it sounds like the consensus is that the Authority really ought to take the lead on this and pursue a build-out -- a theoretical build-out of that area on the property and it -- in which makes the -their proposal almost a moot point unless we can squeeze them into it somehow.

MR. ROBERTS: Here's the bookend of that discretion --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: -- is you can't use that attitude to just indefinitely suspend development --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Defer them, yeah, I agree.
MR. ROBERTS: -- of the airport, right?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah. Yeah.
MR. ROBERTS: So the FAA's policy is "We're giving you grant money now."

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah. I agree.
MR. ROBERTS: "You already got our grant
money. What are you going to do with it now?" CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah. MR. ROBERTS: Here's someone from the private sector saying, "I'm ready to relieve your hangar congestion."

MR. TOPP: Right on.
MR. ROBERTS: And if we say no to that, it better be because we're darn near shovel ready ourselves --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: -- to your point, Ms. Chapman.
So that's -- that's what we balance, really.
If we were close, it's going to be more defensible. If we're not close, it's less defensible.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. TOPP: May I?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yes, sir.
MR. TOPP: Yeah, I'm not an attorney and -but to Ms. Liotta's point, and we've heard it many times from the crowd, and speaking as a -- as a citizen of this community, if you will, we do have a lease term. And these folks came out. They presented to us. I'm just talking about the 5 acres. And this notice is not a surprise to them. Okay? They know that's coming.

I think -- I don't want us to get in trouble again, right? And 5 acres is just 5 acres and it's contiguous to Southeast Aero. I don't think it's that big of a -- in the scope. I a thousand percent agree with the rest of what we said about the rest of the property on the airport, but I need -- as I said earlier, I think we should go from G to O on this. Get this notice out. They've already started. They're spending money for that. And I'm not -- you know, I'm just making a recommendation.

MR. OLSON: So the notice and the ROFR would go out -- would be done at the same time?

MS. LIOTTA: Nope.
MR. OLSON: They wouldn't?
MS. LIOTTA: Nope. Based on what I'm hearing, the ROFR is not ripe yet because the terms are not negotiated enough yet -- a right of first refusal means you need to know what you're refusing.

MR. OLSON: Okay. Yeah. Right.
MS. LIOTTA: Things are not far enough along with -- to even trigger it. So --

MR. OLSON: Okay. Understand. Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: That is part of my concern --
MR. BLOCKER: That's correct.

MS. LIOTTA: -- is that by doing this last call when you have a ROFR in the mix on these 5 acres is that you're in -- we've got someone who's -- who's asking under our current lease policy. Our current lease policy does not have anything about a last call or putting in a competitive process after someone's put in a proposal. Our lease policy does have in it that the Authority does a negotiation and there is an outcome where the Authority says no and is within its discretion.

So I think if there's a deal to be made --
this is my personal opinion, if there's a deal to be made that's accretive to the airport, that, you know, we don't need to do the last call and -MR. TOPP: You're here. MS. LIOTTA: -- and just go forward and see if there is something there with the party that's here, and if it -- if it looks like they want something that's incompatible with the long-term development of the Gun Club property, plans, I -- I would imagine we're in our discretion to say that that's not a deal that we want to do. But there might be something where it works for everybody. And I'm hesitant -- I don't like
the idea of doing this last call when it's not part of our current policy.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: So -- so if we recall two meetings ago when I brought up we should do a last call, and then we all discussed and we heard from the public for like 45 minutes about why we shouldn't do it, and I kept saying I wanted to do it to be consistent and then everyone else agreed that we didn't need to do that, so in efforts to remain consistent with that, it doesn't make sense that we would pick you to do last call, you don't get one, you get one, you don't get one.

I understand we did it with the 21 acres because of everything else that was going on, but since then, we have not done it. And until we change the policy, perhaps we don't do it. And if we're that adamant that we need to start doing it, then we need to figure it out and we need to get it in our policy so that we're not having this discussion every time someone comes up with something.

MR. TOPP: Right. Mr. Blocker?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Blocker, yes.
MR. BLOCKER: And just through the Chair, if I can just echo what two of the board members have
said, and this is not to weigh on you all's discussion as a board, but the board has a mechanism to change these policies. It's -- it's you all's discretion if we -- if we want to, you know, make some changes, we certainly can bring some recommended changes to the board. I think, you know, just to kind of -- not to reiterate what was said, but that was a -- that strategy was a specific strategy to a litigation issue that was in front of it. I don't think that myself or Mr. Roberts, you know, would counsel the board -- I don't want to speak for him. He can speak for himself. But I don't think that we would counsel the board to make that a repetitive practice at this point because it is not consistent with your current policies.

Now, there's good reasons to maybe change those policies, and that's for you all as a board to decide. That is for you all collectively to guide counsel on that. But I do -- I do want to caution, $I$ think we have to be careful making that a practice because that's not -- if you have someone on the street that wants to do business here with the Authority, they're going to go to the governing documents and the guiding rules. They're
going to look at that and they're going to make decisions based on that. If we're going to operate beyond that, then we need to -- you know, my recommendation as your counsel would be to look at any -- you know, amend those -- those rules, make -- make changes. When they were first written, circumstances were maybe different and it may make sense to do that.

But, again, $I$ want to caution that was a specific legal strategy to a specific issue that is not replicated here. And, again --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I'd like to ask Ms. Liotta, is the policy committee prepared to --

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah. So here's -- here's -here's --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- deal with this?
MS. LIOTTA: I alluded to this at the last meeting, and I didn't bring it up earlier before the last meeting because, well, frankly, I was hoping that the Part 16 would get resolved faster than it has and it would become a moot point. But right now, because of the Part 16, which involves Volato, which I have an ownership interest in and am employed by, I am conflicted. So I think even me working on these policies, which could then
become part of an act -- could reflect in any way upon that act of the Part 16 would not be appropriate. So the board, as a whole, certainly can act on the lease policy, I would encourage the board to do so, but I think the mechanism of the -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The policy committee? MS. LIOTTA: -- you know, the policy committee is probably not very viable right now. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. MS. LIOTTA: And the whole board can just do it as well.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: What about an ad hoc
committee --
MS. LIOTTA: So my recommendation would be to ask counsel to draft a policy update, if they think that's appropriate, that would -- my understanding is that the current policy, I'll go out on a limb here, is not really satisfying anybody and -- and that it may need some updates with current FAA guidance and law. So I think there might be some low-hanging fruit there just to -- even if it's addressing some of the more glaring issues that have already been identified, we can proceed with that immediately in parallel with any of these ongoing, like for -- issues that we've identified,
like the Gun Club property terms, compliance.
MR. TOPP: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Roberts, is -- let me ask the counsel, you know, would you -- you think that's a good idea, but personally I think it is. Would you be prepared to do that along with Mr. Topp and perhaps some other resources from other -- I know you've spoken to other authorities, that have --

MR. TOPP: Well, I think -- go ahead. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Just go ahead, Mr. Roberts. MR. ROBERTS: Here's -- here's the other end of the bookend, we can do the notice to the public or we can kind of do the notice to ourselves.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep.
MR. ROBERTS: And the notice to ourselves is that if we're going to want to solicit competitive bids on this 5 acres, we should not do it at the eleventh hour. We should do it now. We should do it now.

Where people get upset is -- is having gone down the road, and then maybe further down in the process, we -- we think it would be appropriate to do some kind of widening of opportunity for people to do it.

So I could see foregoing this notice, but we should ourselves then be mindful that if we are going to want at some point in time to do some kind of competitive solicitation for other proposals or -- or to encourage or to make available opportunities for others to make proposals, we should do that today or -- not today literally, but we should do it on the early side of that and not let this third-party public sector person go way down the road and negotiate with lawyers and do terms and all these things. We do a ROFR to Atlantic.

MS. LUDLOW: Then it goes to --
MR. ROBERTS: And then at the very end, we say, "Let's -- let's make sure there's nobody else that wants to develop those 5 acres."

So the purpose of the notice was to cut that off one way or another, either to cut it off for the private sector to know that there is a last date at which a proposal would be accepted for this cycle, or we should ourselves reflect that if we're going to take this approach of we've got a proposal now, we don't need to do this, let's just go for it, then we're -- we need to respect the reliance that this private sector person makes on us going
forward that way.
So that's the -- that's the policy choice, I think, you all should reflect on.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Topp?
MR. TOPP: Yeah, just real quick, is -- I know
that they've expended -- I'm just speaking from trying to avoid more work, right?

MR. ROBERTS: Sure.
MR. TOPP: They've expended quite a bit of
time already and money. And if we look up here, the Gun Club is this red part right here. 5 acres, see right here? That's the Gun Club property and these hangars here. This is the 5 acres we're talking about right now.

MR. ROBERTS: Right.
MR. TOPP: So, anyway, I guess the board should decide should we let them move forward. MS. LIOTTA: Well, I think -- I think what I see here is something that -- and what -- the process that makes sense to me here is that it goes to staff to negotiate with the -MR. TOPP: Titan. MS. LIOTTA: -- Titan -- thank you -- to see if there's a deal to be had there that doesn't -that they're happy and it's -- has that second FBO
capability that we'd be look -- that the Authority, I've heard, is looking for. They may not be willing to do that. There may not be a deal there, but there might be. And if it -- and it's not impinging on other long-term plans that I guess we need to be starting like now --

MR. TOPP: Correct. MS. LIOTTA: -- that there's no reason to -you know, if it's a -- if it's a viable deal, there's no reason to do a last call. If it's not a viable deal, there's no reason to insert that uncertainty and extra complication, a potential extra liability into what's -- you know, what we already have a process for.

And -- and I do think there's -- it would not be fair to Titan to go do a process with them where they spend money with engineers and to the lawyers and all of this and that. And, by the way, it's all public record, so if they send in a proposal, someone else can call up and get it. And then we do a last call where someone's like, "Oh, well, now I have access to their proposals and all the work they've done. I can take that, tweak a couple of things, and there you go."

MR. TOPP: Can't undo that.

MS. LIOTTA: That, I think, is a bad process. Either we, you know -- and I -- in my point of view, we don't -- you know, we have a process. Let's follow it. But I think we should also acknowledge that it keeps coming up over and over and over again. Nobody -- the process is flawed, and so we could start working on addressing some of those issues as well. And the sooner, the better.

MR. TOPP: I agree, but it's not up to me to agree -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Is there a -- have we reached a consensus on this issue? MR. TOPP: Well, we've already been told to bring it in -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Right. MR. TOPP: -- to bring them in for the proposal, and I'll continue to do that until I hear otherwise.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I -MS. LIOTTA: Okay. MR. OLSON: Do we need to have a consensus? CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Oh, I think we -- we should. I think, you know, Ms. Liotta was very articulate in explaining our position here and I -- I agree with her. I think --

MR. OLSON: I hear her also, although, again, I just don't feel that as a matter of principle I can -- I can participate in a consensus of no bid awards of land at the airport, but -- we don't -maybe we don't need to have a consensus right now.

I think my view is that, as we're updating the policy, we look at ways that we can do the RFPs, which is a clean thing. It doesn't end up with situations that $I$ think are serious, as you've described, Ms. Liotta, where one party has submitted their proposal and then we're calling for other proposals that have the benefit of that information.

So, for all those reasons, I can see why most -- many good airports are doing the RFP process as a matter of making land available.

MR. ROBERTS: That may wind up -- it may -- it is within the can of outcomes that staff recommends to say no to Titan and -- and, alternatively, to say if there's going to be an RFP -- and this is our plan. You're looking at it. That's our plan. If there's -- if we can do an RFP, that would be, for lack of a better word, kind of a long-term -you know, a really long-term RFP that would tell folks there's a long horizon on this of Gun Club
acquisition, environmental remediation, a lot of pieces that would have to fall in place that are really measured in a couple of years, at least. And so the -- we can do that, but it would be kind of a long-term -- if we did an RFP for the parcel as it looks now, which includes some portion of the Gun Club Road property that we don't even own yet --

MR. OLSON: Yeah, premature is what you're saying.

MR. ROBERTS: Well, maybe not premature.
MR. OLSON: Well, it's got a lot of unknowns in it.

MR. ROBERTS: It has -- it has its --
MR. OLSON: It's got time unknowns.
Certainly, time unknowns, cost unknowns --
MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Right.
MR. OLSON: -- and maybe even engineering unknowns.

MR. ROBERTS: Right.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, I guess what I'm asking, does the board need to take any action for the staff to proceed in -- in --

MR. TOPP: Well, you did the last time we had a meeting. You told me to bring them on board. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We did. So we don't have to -- we don't have to do anything formal tonight? MR. TOPP: No. MR. ROBERTS: We don't need to do anything. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, if we're not going to do the last call now, we just don't act on it, I guess.

MR. TOPP: Right.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. We've beat this horse to death, I think. Is there any -- any other -MS. LIOTTA: I think the other thing that was discussed was referring to, I guess, having counsel recommend some -- some changes to the leasing policy.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Absolutely, yeah. Yep. MS. LIOTTA: This could go through several iterations in my mind, but there might be some -- I would think --

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: -- counsel might have some initial recommendations that could be acted upon quickly.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep.

MS. LIOTTA: So I'll -- I'll make that motion. MR. OLSON: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All in favor? MS. LUDLOW: Aye. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Aye. MS. LIOTTA: Public comment? MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Anybody? CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Public comment? MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Great.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. All right. Good. We can move on, I guess, to the next item on the agenda.

Any comments, Ms. Martin? MR. BLOCKER: Just, Mr. Chair, I believe there was a motion and second and we asked for public comment.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Oh. We didn't -MR. BLOCKER: Did we have a vote on that? I
just want to make sure we -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Aye. MS. LUDLOW: Aye. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Aye. MS. LIOTTA: Aye. MR. OLSON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We agree.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Ms. Martin, do you have any public comments? MS. MARTIN: No. MR. ROBERTS: Otherwise known as the public today.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: You're the public,
Ms. Martin.
MS. LIOTTA: She represents the public very well.

MR. TOPP: We have somebody else over here that you skipped.

MS. LUDLOW: John. Whoo-hoo.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: John's -- okay.
All right. We're at member comments and reports.

MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'm going to be super quick.

We had our first -- I was hoping there would be more people to hear this, but now I'm directing it to you guys. We had our first screening of candidates for the executive director position. There were 65 candidates by the time we got through
them. And what we did is we assigned each candidate a number. And I removed all of their contact information, so while the five of us are looking at them, we don't know who that person is. And that helps us -- you know, because these are public meetings, nobody wants their resumé to be critiqued for the whole world to hear, number one; and, number two, it also eliminates any nepotism or known or unknown biases that we may have for a candidate.

And so we have now narrowed it down to ten. Again, we do not -- well, I have their names somewhere, but I don't have the memory to know who any of them are. So we're down to ten candidates right now. I think we've got some really solid options. And I am looking forward to our next meeting, which will be noticed if it has not been already. But that is rapidly approaching in October -- anybody? Anybody? MS. LUDLOW: What's that? MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Is it the 6th? October 6th at 9:30 in the morning back here. And we're really excited. In that meeting, we're going to go through it and we're going to kind of put them in an order of how we want to go down the
list as far as interviewing and who's still
interested and who isn't, and then we can go from there.

And that's all I have. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: I have one follow-up to that.
One of the items that came out of that was a couple of follow-ups. I had spoken with a candidate -not a candidate, sorry, someone who had expressed interest in being considered as a candidate before we even had posted it. This was months ago. And, yeah, I've -- I can confirm that that person -that person didn't apply. So I was going to, like, follow up because I might have -- I might have misled them into not thinking -- thinking we were going to contact him to apply and to be fair. And that person will not be applying. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: Your --
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: So that's probably nine for us now instead of ten.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Ms. Ludlow, do you have any comments? MS. LUDLOW: I have nothing to report.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Nothing.
Okay. Mr. Olson?
MR. OLSON: Nothing.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I'll just comment, first of all, I'd like to thank Ms. Cash-Chapman for her work on the executive director search.

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: It was very well organized and I thought very well done. So thank you.

I will be -- we had a master plan committee meeting on September 19th, and it was pretty well attended. And I'll be getting minutes of that out to -- I've asked several people to review my notes so that we can properly represent, you know, what went on at the meeting. But it was -- it was very -- very good. My -- the impressions that I got from -- I was most interested in hearing from Mr. Pittman, the tower operator. And when we reached the point where we discussed the parallel runway to the west side of US-1 and wanted his impression on that, and he was actually enthusiastically endorsing the idea because it would -- it would relieve -- considerably relieve the burden on the controllers from separating incoming and departing aircraft from training
aircraft.
We have an 8,000-foot runway, and for students who traverse that -- make a complete circuit, it is way more than double what it would be on the parallel runway of 3200 feet. So he was quite excited about the prospect. And, you know, we'll -- we'll continue to look into, you know, what may be involved in pursuing that option.

MR. OLSON: That's good. His testimony could be really useful in getting grants to support that. MR. TOPP: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Without a doubt. Without a doubt. Yeah, I -- I mean, just the relief from, you know, some of the burden of conflicting traffic was -- it was rather interesting to hear his comments. Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Would it be contemplated that it would be a controlled runway or an uncontrolled runway?

MR. TOPP: No, it's part of the control tower.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, it would be -- yeah.
MR. TOPP: And you can see it from the tower.
I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No, no, it would -- it would, yeah.

MS. LIOTTA: So -- okay. So it would be controlled, but it would just be easier to manage because it's off in a separate --

MR. TOPP: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: He even -- he even -- he even suggested that we may be able -- if we can position it, probably put a crosswind runway over there, which would -- I thought would be conflict -- you know, create traffic conflicts with the existing 13-31, but apparently he wasn't too concerned about that.

MR. TOPP: Yeah, to expand on that just real quickly, and $I$ have one other thing that will just take two minutes -- or not even, he said that -that we're in an unique situation because we're -we have the ocean to the east. So, essentially, we just have three sides. And he goes, "And a lot of -- " we have a lot of student traffic. A lot of it. And, you know, Reba, myself, and Denny, and other pilots, we don't mind going a thousand feet over the ocean because we know we can glide it, right? But the students, "Oh, my God, I don't want to go over there," right? So they're always -they're always having to move them around. So having that runway would be fantastic.

The other thing I wanted to add and -- I'm meeting with Scott Maynard on the 27 th, who's in the economic development thing about land use, and he has somebody across US-1 that he would be interested in talking to us about and some other things. So I'll report back to you how that -that goes.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: One of the participants said -- at the meeting was -- owned a parcel of property. It's about 8 acres and it's surrounded by airport property. And --

MR. TOPP: Yeah. Kyle is his name. A different Kyle.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, yeah. He -- he figures that we'll be approaching him at some point to acquire his property. But he was a very nice guy.

But -- okay. That's it? Any -- no other comments?

MR. OLSON: There's your gavel.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. I'll declare the meeting adjourned.
(The meeting adjourned at 6:51 p.m.)
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approach [1] 86/22
approaching [2]
95/18 100/15
appropriate [5] 26/11 28/22 84/3 84/16 85/23
appropriately [1] 27/13
approval [5] 2/4 3/16 5/19 18/3 31/19
approve [4] $2 / 76 / 17$ 16/1 26/9
approved [1] 6/9 approximately [3] 24/9 30/12 30/14
architectural [1] 63/16
are [67] 3/15 3/20 5/20 6/21 7/20 8/10 8/17 9/5 9/8 9/16 11/13 13/6 16/17 19/10 19/17 20/12 21/9 22/7 23/17 24/17 25/4 25/23 28/7 28/11 28/12 28/12 29/14 33/7 34/14 34/20 36/14 38/18 38/21 39/7 42/6 45/5 46/13 49/17 50/17 51/3 51/13 58/7 61/11 61/12 65/5 65/16 67/10 67/11 67/19 69/5 70/2 70/3 70/13 71/3 74/6 74/11 75/6 78/1 79/17 79/21 86/2 90/9 90/15 91/2 95/3 95/5 95/14
area [8] 9/16 9/21 10/9 10/11 29/10 61/15 69/13 77/9 aren't [3] 4/9 65/24 68/11
argue [2] 18/5 22/7
arm [1] 18/11
Army [1] 46/14
around [3] 42/14 67/1 99/24
arrived [1] 31/24
art [1] 56/7
articulate [1] 89/23
ask [10] 5/6 11/15
14/23 38/1 47/10 57/19 59/4 83/12 84/15 85/4
asked [9] 19/9 31/7 31/13 35/16 51/18 66/3 66/4 93/15 97/13 asking [3] 31/15 80/4 91/22
asks [2] $9 / 39 / 3$
assessed [1] 43/8
assessment [1] 47/12
assigned [1] 95/1
associated [2] 24/18
30/2
Association [1] 22/20
Assuming [1] 60/15
assured [1] 26/23
at-will [1] 31/5
Atlantic [10] 14/7
48/11 57/16 58/14
58/14 58/25 59/3 59/3
59/13 86/12
Atlantic's [1] 58/21
attempt [1] 51/8
attend [1] 11/12
attended [1] 97/12
attest [1] 31/12
attitude [1] 77/17 attorney [13] 30/18 31/6 36/24 37/18 37/24 38/2 38/7 40/4 42/3 43/2 78/18 101/12 101/14
attorney's [1] 38/8
attorneys [1] 18/3
audience [2] 32/13 34/10
audio [1] 9/9
audio/visual [1] 9/9 auditorium [2] 9/24
10/1
August [4] 2/3 3/21 26/7 38/13
Augustine [6] 1/6 1/17 1/23 1/24 10/21 11/25
authorities [1] 85/8 AUTHORITY [28] 1/2
1/18 1/20 3/2 17/10
17/24 31/1 40/20 43/4 43/14 44/4 44/8 50/9
51/6 54/12 58/23 63/1
64/22 67/7 70/9 70/10
71/7 77/1 77/7 80/9 80/10 82/24 88/1
Authority moves [1] 17/24
Authority's [1] 65/8
authorized [1] 101/7
automatic [1] 30/14
autopilot [1] 46/15
available [6] 18/20
19/1 35/19 58/8 86/5
90/16
Avenue [1] 1/20
Aviation [2] 1/20 14/7
avoid [1] 87/7
awaiting [1] 54/14
awards [1] 90/4
aware [4] 9/5 37/18
44/24 46/13
away [2] 56/12 65/15
Awesome [1] 54/6
awfully [1] 28/3
Aye [12] 36/19 36/20
36/21 36/23 36/23
93/4 93/5 93/21 93/22
93/23 93/24 93/25

## B

back [13] 38/16 46/3
46/9 48/19 53/18
54/17 54/20 68/18
69/2 73/16 75/1 95/22
100/6
background [1] 76/1

| B | 10/23 | 12/19 81/4 | 90/3 90/3 90/7 90/14 | 81/16 82/3 82/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/1 | eceived [1] | bucks [1] 45/5 |  | ] |
| [1] 48/4 |  | budget [19] 3/1 | 93/11 96/2 96 | changes [7] $6 / 37 / 1$ |
| ance [1] 78/12 |  |  |  | 15/9 82/5 82/6 83/6 |
| nging [1] 57/11 | 90/23 | 7/23 23/23 23/25 24/3 | can't [9] 5/25 13/2 | 19/3 |
|  | between [3] 10/14 | 25/4 41/10 55/5 55/7 | 18/13 51/19 52/20 | 39/21 69/20 |
| 0] 29/5 29/8 |  | budgets [1] | 62/21 67/24 | APMAN [4] |
| 31/25 33/18 33/23 |  | build [18] 30/25 5 |  |  |
| 33/25 48/1 69/14 | beyond [1] 83/3 | 56/14 57/22 58/7 | candidat | arge [2] 46 |
| 16 83/2 | biases [1] | 58/16 58/25 59/4 | 95/10 96/8 96/9 96/10 | 9/23 |
| ly [6] | bid [3] 10/18 70 | /24 62/6 62/ | candidates [7] | check [1] |
| /16 22/10 | 90/3 | 62/17 63/2 63 | 8/18 8/20 28/1 94/24 | Chesney [1] |
| /11 75/25 | bids [3] 42/11 4 | 65/11 76/15 77/9 77/9 |  | oice [2] 65/13 87 |
| tle [1] 46/15 | 85/18 | build-out [3] 62/ $77 / 977 / 9$ | candidly [1] 32 | oices [2] |
| be [141] | big [7] 11/1 25/4 34/3 | building [8] 9/8 9/10 | capacity [6] 27/6 | $\mathbf{s} \text { [1] }$ |
| ach [1] 11/7 | 57/7 62/15 68/23 79/4 | 44/22 44/23 45/2 46/8 | 56/15 59/20 59/22 | [1] |
| at [1] 92/11 | bigger [1] 68/16 | 57/7 71/11 | 59/25 65/6 | cle [2] 10/10 48/ |
| 5/5 5/6 6/10 10/6 11/3 | biggest [1] 11/17 | buildings [4] | capital [4] | rcuit [1] 98/3 |
| 1/16 12/15 18/10 | billion [2] 23/24 2 | 57/3 57/4 | 8 64/18 | rcumsta |
| 9/9 20/21 22/2 22/4 | binding [2] 39/2 39/4 | bunch [4] 12/18 | capital.' [1] 64 | 31/25 32/ |
| 22/11 23/16 24/13 | bit [6] 8/15 23/24 | 46/20 69/15 | car [5] 22/3 22/3 | 38/22 83/7 |
| 25/4 30/22 31/1 37/11 | 25/22 26/20 58/1 87/9 | burden [2] 97/24 | 22/13 22/14 31/21 | tations [1] |
| 38/5 42/10 42/23 | bl |  | card [1] 44/25 | citizen [1] 78/21 |
| 43/23 46/3 46/7 46/24 | blindly [1] 29/4 | burn [1] | care [3] 6/24 6/25 | tizens [1] |
| 47/10 47/14 47/24 | BLOCKER [9] 1 | business [16] | 32/ | y [5] 10/2 |
| 9/6 51/18 52/8 58/14 | 15/5 17/17 20/4 41/18 | 2/9 5/23 6/24 7/9 7/11 | ca | $12 / 3$ 12/25 |
| 61/19 63/25 64/12 | 52/4 73/11 81/22 | 14/19 14/21 51/7 | Carolina [1] 9/6 | aim [1] 48/23 |
| 66/16 71/24 72/9 7 | 81/23 | 55/15 5 | [1] 76/2 | t [1] |
| 76/19 78/8 79/17 |  | 65/9 71/4 82/23 |  | ed [2] |
| 81/14 82/15 82/22 | board [44] 1/11 |  | case [2] 5/25 | 53 |
| 3/19 83/22 95/5 | 6/22 15/1 15/8 18/3 | buy | H [3] 1/13 15/ | CLARKE [1] 1/12 |
| 96/14 97/22 99/3 |  |  |  | lean [3] |
| 99/15 99/21 | $31$ | C | $\text { 15/15 } 97 /$ | se [4] 11/1 |
| become [4] 9/7 65/12 83/21 84/1 | 37/19 38/1 40/9 41/24 | cab | Casualty [1] 66/1 | 78/13 78/14 |
| $\text { [2] } 3$ | 43/11 45/2 45/6 50/14 | call [19] 2/10 46/23 | Cat [1] 45/16 | closed [2] 8/6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { becol } \\ 58 / 9 \end{gathered}$ | 50/18 50/21 51/4 | 53/7 53/8 55/20 57/16 | cause [2] 17/23 | Club [12] 58/8 62 |
|  | 53/19 61/14 68/18 | 60/6 75/11 77/2 8 | caution [2] 82/21 83/ | 65/7 67/14 67/2 |
| 4/25 46/17 47/8 49/2 | 72/19 73/15 81/25 | 80/6 80/15 81/1 81/5 | Center [1] 1/4 | 75/23 80/21 85/1 |
|  | 82/2 82/2 82/6 82/11 | 81/11 88/10 88/20 | cents [1] 61/6 | 87/11 87/12 90/25 |
| 13 95/17 | 82/14 82/18 84/3 84/5 | 88/21 92/7 | certain [1] 20/23 | 91/7 |
| ore [10] 1 | 84/10 87/16 91/22 | called [2] | certainly [4] 35/9 82/5 | Cola [1] 1/5 |
| $23 / 2232 / 1233 / 14$ | 91 | calling [2] 56/5 90/11 | 84/3 91/16 | collectively [3] 5/15 |
| 44/5 49/4 50/15 69/19 | board's [1] | came [7] | IFICATE [ | 812 82/19 |
| 83/18 96/10 | boards [2] 45/4 45/5 | 31/10 31/19 63/1 | 00/24 | olonial [1] |
| 1] $3 / 3$ | bonds [2] 7/21 70/14 | 78/22 96/7 | tify [2] 101 | me [14] 9/23 13/10 |
|  | bonus [2] 20/23 20/24 | can [87] |  | /11 32/5 44/12 |
| eing [4] 7/10 66/24 | bookend [2] 77/13 | 6/25 8/1 9/14 13/11 | cetera [3] 7/22 11/5 | 0/5 58/8 59/5 64/ |
| 76/4 96/10 | 8 | 15/13 17/17 18/9 | 55/23 | 69/6 73/20 74/7 75 |
|  |  | 23/20 24/24 $27 / 1$ | O | comes [5] 22/25 |
| believe [12] 19/14 | both [4] 5/15 16/10 | 30/5 31/8 31/12 32 | CHAD [4] 1/19 49 | 34/18 44/25 67/1 |
| 19/15 20/3 22/4 23/1 | 29/25 68/9 | 33/4 33/4 33/7 33/8 | /3 65/19 | 81/20 |
| 30/11 33/6 37/9 55/3 | Boulevard [1] |  | chair [6] 20/5 30/7 | comfortable [3] |
| $5 / 10$ 61/2 93/14 | bounded [1] 56/11 box [1] 45/13 | $37 / 1238 / 238 / 10$ $38 / 2138 / 243911$ | $39 / 1843 / 181 / 24$ $93 / 14$ | 29/21 66/22 |
| eves [1] 50/20 | box [1] 45/13 Bravo [1] 44/16 | $\begin{array}{ll} 38 / 21 ~ 38 / 24 ~ 39 / 11 ~ \\ 39 / 19 & 39 / 19 \end{array}$ | $93$ | coming [6] 13/5 24/4 30/23 46/6 78/25 89/5 |
| w [1] 42/23 | brief [1] 15/13 | 40/1 40/2 40/8 41/ | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { Chairman [8] } & 1 / 12 \\ 6 / 18 & 6 / 22 \\ \hline 15 / 7 \end{array}$ | comment [15] 2/12 |
| ficiary [1] 43 | briefly [1] 52/12 | 41/2 41/2 41/8 42 | 41/20 48/20 73/13 | 14/8 14/9 14/11 14/12 |
| $12^{9 / 15}$ | Brienza [1] 20/20 | 43/9 44/9 48/15 48/16 | Challen [1] 1/20 | $33 / 12$ 34/7 |
| efits [2] | Brienza's [1] 29/7 | 53/8 55/4 59/22 59/22 | challenge [2] 60/4 | 35/10 35/25 93/6 93/ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { benetit: } \\ & 24 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | bring [7] 42/23 73/16 | 61/1 | 74/3 | 93/16 94/2 97/4 |
|  | 82/5 83/18 89/14 | 62/9 68/7 68/7 71/23 | chance [5] 5/3 37 | commenter [1] 32/14 |
| 0/24 19/12 20/19 | 89/16 91/25 | /19 | 43/18 44/4 49/21 | comments [16] 2/13 |
| 14 51/23 59/24 | bringing [1] 25 | 73/22 74/1 75/1 77/11 | change [15] 15/6 22 | 3/21 18/16 24/23 |
|  | broad [1] 68/15 | 81/25 82/5 82/12 84 | 4 27/15 | 32/10 33/18 43/20 |
| est-put-together [1] | Brooks [1] 13/18 | 84/10 84/23 85/13 | 37/2 37/7 37/20 37/2 | 43/20 55/22 93/13 |
| est-put-together [1] | brought [3] 4/15 | 85/14 88/20 88/23 | 38/23 75/17 75/17 | 94/4 94/16 94/18 |

comments... [3] 96/24 98/16 100/19 commercial [1] 76/15 commission [2] 8/19 8/19
Commissioner [3] 7/13 14/4 35/8
committee [9] 8/10 8/11 67/1 68/17 83/13 84/6 84/7 84/13 97/10 communicated [1] 35/16
communication [1] 38/6
community [2] 9/22 78/21
companies [1] 53/1
company [2] 8/13 49/3
compensated [3]
30/22 33/20 33/22
compensation [16]
21/4 21/14 21/15
21/20 21/21 21/25 22/9 23/16 23/21
27/14 28/14 31/16 31/22 33/23 34/2 35/12
competitive [3] 80/7 85/17 86/4
complete [2] 98/3 101/9
completely [2] 43/2 43/6
complex [1] 59/1 compliance [1] 85/1 complication [1] 88/12
concern [9] 38/3 38/4
46/24 57/14 58/1
61/20 64/21 75/5 79/24
concerned [2] 61/11 99/11
concerns [1] 14/25
concert [1] 11/9
concertgoers [2]
11/23 12/4
concludes [1] 55/1
conclusion [3] 3/14 55/6 65/18
concrete [1] 46/8
concurrent [1] 51/2
conduct [1] 69/6
Conference [1] 1/4
conferred [1] 52/4
configurable [1]
57/20
configure [1] 57/20
confirm [2] 17/18
96/12
conflict [1] 99/9
conflicted [1] 83/24
conflicting [1] 98/14
conflicts [1] 99/9
conforming [1] 50/20
confusion [1] 52/18
congestion [3] 56/15 58/13 78/5 congratulated [1] 12/15
connected [1] 101/14 connectivity [1] 45/20 consensus [6] 15/11
77/7 89/12 89/21 90/3 90/5
consider [3] 15/1
50/6 51/4
considerably [3] 23/2 27/21 97/23
considered [4] 26/16
62/25 76/17 96/10 consistent [6] 31/7 57/23 70/22 81/8 81/10 82/15
constrained [1] 65/17 constraints [1] 74/8 consultant [1] 67/22 contact [3] 53/10 95/3 96/16
contacts [1] 46/16 contemplated [3] 58/4 58/6 98/17 contemplating [1] 71/3
context [3] 21/9 21/10 52/2
contiguous [3] 66/9 76/21 79/3
continue [4] 37/6
64/13 89/17 98/7
contract [26] 2/7
14/22 14/23 15/6
15/19 17/13 17/19
19/7 19/10 20/9 20/21 21/3 21/8 21/11 24/16 26/7 28/24 29/13
29/22 33/9 36/8 36/12 37/15 38/20 41/19 43/7 contractors [1] 46/21 contracts [4] 19/17 20/11 31/14 68/7
contractual [1] 58/15
contractually [1] 60/5 control [4] 45/13 59/22 59/22 98/20 controlled [2] 98/18 99/2
controllers [1] 97/24
conversation [2] 28/8 29/9
copies [1] $4 / 19$
copy [2] 5/6 53/11
corner [1] 56/6
correct [12] 7/18 15/6
17/20 20/1 23/1 25/9
42/8 55/12 55/16
65/22 79/25 88/7
cost [2] 23/5 91/16
could [28] 10/17 15/7
15/8 20/21 25/21 26/2 26/2 27/2 30/6 35/17 37/16 38/3 48/19 50/21 54/3 54/4 56/2 57/20 59/4 73/15

73/17 83/25 84/1 86/1 death [1] 92/12 89/7 92/18 92/23 98/9 debated [1] 69/3 couldn't [2] 11/20 26/23
counsel [19] 1/18 1/20 18/1 19/25 20/1 33/19 33/24 37/24 72/12 82/11 82/13 82/20 83/4 84/15 85/4 92/14 92/22 101/12 101/14
count [1] 23/7
country [3] 13/20 31/15 52/21
county [20] 3/2 7/24 8/3 9/2 9/24 10/1 10/16 10/22 11/2 11/18 12/2 23/18 23/19 23/22 23/24 24/6 35/21 47/20 101/4 101/17 county's [1] 9/7 couple [8] 13/14 25/25 30/18 33/7 68/8 88/23 91/3 96/7 course [4] 51/20 70/22 74/2 74/3 court [2] 1/23 67/25
coverage [1] 48/24
covers [1] 17/25 create [1] 99/9 creates [1] 74/2 credit [1] 68/21 critiqued [1] 95/7 crosswind [1] 99/7 crowd [1] 78/20 Cultural [1] 10/22 cup [1] 13/23 current [12] 19/4 21/15 21/15 21/20 22/8 60/16 80/4 80/5 81/2 82/16 84/17 84/19
currently [1] 58/15 cut [4] 8/12 86/17 86/18 98/23
cycle [5] 69/6 76/8 76/9 76/17 86/21

## D

dad [1] 46/13
Dana [2] 34/15 44/12
darn [1] 78/8
date [8] 16/5 39/21
40/23 59/19 76/5 76/6 76/13 86/20
DATED [1] 101/16
day [10] $2 / 1026 / 5$
45/9 45/24 48/9 49/16 50/8 55/19 67/14 101/16
days [2] 26/1 47/2
deal [10] 62/11 75/21
80/12 80/13 80/23
83/16 87/24 88/3 88/9
88/11
dealing [1] 20/10
dealt [1] 74/15
Dean [2] 7/13 35/8
debates [1] 69/1
debt [2] 70/15 71/9
decide [7] 60/3 69/7
70/13 76/25 77/5
82/19 87/17
decided [2] 20/24
31/3
deciding [1] 10/7
decision [10] 12/2
12/3 25/11 42/24
49/15 73/22 76/8 76/9
76/19 76/20
decisions [3] 25/3
69/12 83/2
declare [1] 100/21
declined [2] 31/17
50/1
default [1] 29/23
defensible [2] 78/13
78/14
defer [3] 5/17 39/10 77/19
defined [1] 21/10
delve [2] 43/21 44/5
DENNIS [1] $1 / 12$
Denny [1] 99/19
departing [1] 97/25
described [1] 90/10
deserves [2] 72/8 74/21
detail [2] 28/13 29/1
detailed [5] 19/6 27/19 42/1 60/16 60/17
determination [1] 63/3
determined [2] 43/15 45/10
develop [8] 56/5
64/15 67/8 67/15
69/21 76/15 77/5
86/16
developer [1] 67/13
development [5]
70/10 70/22 77/18 80/21 100/3
did [31] $4 / 44 / 135 / 11$ 11/19 15/24 18/1 18/1 20/8 31/20 39/15 41/22 45/14 46/10 47/6 49/18 50/9 53/20 54/16 54/16 54/19 55/13 55/14 71/1 76/3 81/13 91/5 91/24 92/1 93/18 95/1 101/7 didn't [14] 4/20 5/4 5/13 42/9 42/10 44/6 44/12 56/21 73/4 81/9 83/18 93/17 96/13 98/23
die [1] 71/12
difference [1] 23/11
different [13] 21/9
21/10 31/3 31/6 31/14
32/5 32/6 42/15 57/10
66/2 74/3 83/7 100/13
differently [1] 32/5
difficult [3] 31/9
31/11 32/1
diligence [3] 50/16
52/13 53/18
dilute [1] 59/25
diminished [1] 65/17
direct [3] 20/8 38/6
73/15
directing [1] 94/22 direction [4] 15/8
18/4 31/3 31/6
director [29] 1/21 2/7
7/23 18/7 18/8 20/8
20/16 20/23 22/1
24/15 25/24 27/12
27/20 28/23 29/15
29/17 33/20 33/22
34/2 34/18 34/21
34/23 34/24 36/10
37/5 40/22 43/7 94/24
97/6
director's [1] 14/22
dirt [3] 42/19 65/16 70/3
discipline [1] 68/2 discretion [6] 69/16 69/18 77/14 80/11 80/22 82/4
discussed [5] 23/22 41/18 81/5 92/14 97/19
discussion [9] 6/11
6/16 23/15 25/8 28/20
33/3 37/17 81/20 82/2
discussions [1] 7/19
disparity [1] 34/3
dive [2] 28/25 72/19
do [118]
document [1] 43/18
documents [2] 42/2 82/25
does [17] 17/9 17/21
18/6 21/21 22/6 23/11
37/24 38/25 46/19
50/22 59/13 66/8
72/19 80/5 80/8 80/9 91/22
doesn't [8] 49/8 66/1
66/5 66/5 67/20 81/10 87/24 90/8
doing [14] 8/6 19/10
23/17 24/25 35/4 35/4 50/15 50/18 52/13 60/11 80/1 81/1 81/17 90/15
don't [80] 3/23 5/12
6/6 20/17 21/5 22/14
22/23 23/4 23/5 23/10
23/10 23/10 24/16 24/18 25/9 25/23 26/4
26/17 28/25 29/23
34/6 34/12 35/12
36/11 37/9 37/9 40/24
42/17 42/22 46/13
47/17 47/22 49/21
51/22 53/25 55/25
59/14 60/2 60/15
60/21 61/2 61/7 62/8
62/13 62/13 64/23
don't... [34] 67/5
67/17 68/4 71/7 71/9
72/1 72/14 74/16
74/18 75/16 79/1 79/3
80/15 80/25 81/11
81/12 81/16 82/10
82/12 82/13 86/23
89/3 90/2 90/4 90/5
91/7 92/1 92/2 92/4
92/7 95/4 95/13 99/20 99/22
done [13] 27/19 33/23
68/5 68/7 68/10 69/8
72/5 74/25 75/1 79/13 81/15 88/23 97/9
door [3] 18/13 36/11 57/11
double [2] 21/18 98/4 doubt [3] 46/7 98/12 98/13
Douglas [1] $1 / 17$
down [19] 8/15 29/14 29/15 31/20 38/22
42/12 45/3 50/23
56/10 58/2 71/20
71/24 72/8 85/22
85/22 86/10 95/11
95/14 95/25
downtown [3] 10/24
11/25 47/21
draft [1] 84/15
drafting [1] 30/10
dragged [1] 28/19
drainage [1] 64/1
drama [1] 32/16
drive [1] 27/9
driven [1] 10/10
due [3] 50/16 52/13 53/17
Dunn [1] 7/23
during [1] 76/17
dwindling [1] 25/5

## E

e-mail [1] 53/11
e-mailed [1] $4 / 5$
e-mails [1] 12/13
each [7] 15/6 30/13
35/17 43/19 44/16 45/4 95/1
earlier [4] 16/6 35/14 79/7 83/18
early [1] 86/8
easier [1] 99/2
east [1] 99/16
echo [1] 81/25
ecologically [1] 42/12
economic [2] 11/2 100/3
economics [2] 59/21
60/2
economy [1] 11/9
effective [1] 16/4
effectively [1] 58/24
effort [1] 68/25
efforts [1] 81/9
eight [1] 48/17
either [6] 8/25 16/6 45/11 46/23 86/18 89/2
elected [1] 59/19 electrical [3] 46/18 47/15 47/15
eleventh [1] 85/19
eliminates [1] 95/8
Elon [1] 46/18
else [8] 34/10 57/25
76/6 81/8 81/14 86/15
88/20 94/12
Embassy [1] 11/8
emphasis [1] 19/5
employed [1] 83/24
employee [4] 17/11
18/6 101/12 101/13
employment [3]
16/20 20/15 41/19 encourage [2] 84/4 86/5
end [7] 8/22 9/11 45/13 45/23 85/12 86/14 90/8
endorsing [1] 97/22 ends [1] 15/25 engagement [1] 19/11
engineer [2] 46/18 68/6
engineering [3] 63/24 74/14 91/18
engineers [3] 68/11 72/13 88/17
enjoy [2] 13/19 13/20 enough [8] 11/12 35/10 40/8 57/8 60/17 67/6 79/18 79/21 entertain [2] 32/12 76/7
enthusiastically [1] 97/22
entire [2] 6/25 42/11
entity [1] 52/25 environmental [2] 58/5 91/1
equal [2] 17/7 23/18 equation [1] 59/19 equipment [1] 47/3 equivalent [1] 6/14 especially [3] 5/24 20/9 63/16
ESQUIRE [2] 1/17 1/19
essentially [3] 38/17 42/19 99/16
establish [3] 51/3 76/5 76/13
estate [1] 43/12
estimate [1] 12/24
et [3] 7/22 11/5 55/23
ether [1] 45/1
evaluate [3] 53/13
63/6 74/12
evaluated [1] 69/3
evaluating [1] 51/23
evaluation [1] 76/17
even [17] 4/19 34/10
43/21 44/3 44/6 61/1

77/3 79/22 83/24
84/21 91/7 91/18
96/11 99/5 99/5 99/6
99/14
evening [3] 9/24 9/25 10/5
events [1] 10/24
ever [5] 11/10 21/5
57/21 57/24 66/23
every [3] 71/11 75/18
81/20
everybody [5] 11/19
41/11 54/4 56/1 80/25
everybody's [1] 5/2
everyone [3] 3/7
51/15 81/8
everyone's [2] 51/7
51/12
everything [2] 42/12
81/14
exact [1] 23/11
exactly [3] 38/21
45/10 68/4
exceed [1] 16/5
Excellent [1] 13/4
excited [3] 47/23
95/23 98/6
exciting [1] 10/19
excuse [3] 3/8 25/6 58/18
execute [1] 54/13
executed [1] 39/6
execution [2] 54/8
54/14
executive [27] 1/21 2/7 14/21 18/7 18/8 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/22 22/1 23/6 25/24 27/12 29/5 29/14 29/17 33/20 34/2 34/18 34/23 36/10 36/10 37/4 40/22 43/7 94/24 97/6
exercise [3] 50/1 50/21 68/1
existing [2] 59/6 99/10
exit [1] 9/7
expand [1] 99/12
expect [1] 72/1
expended [2] 87/6 87/9
expenses [1] 47/3
expert [1] 7/24
explained [1] 19/11
explaining [1] 89/24
explanation [1] 19/13
exposing [1] 40/20
expressed [2] 51/8 96/9
extensions [1] 16/9
extra [2] 88/12 88/13
extremely [2] 18/18
18/19

## F

FAA [4] 32/19 49/15 49/20 84/19
FAA's [1] 77/22
(1] $77 / 22$
facilities [2] 9/14 68/13
facility [4] 13/12
50/11 56/9 76/16
fact [3] $9 / 5$ 22/2 22/19
factor [2] 42/24 59/18
fair [3] 31/25 88/16
96/16
fairness [3] 31/2
37/14 50/7
fall [2] 33/21 91/2
Fame [3] 9/5 9/8 9/19 fantastic [2] 34/25 99/25
far [5] 15/8 21/3 43/4
79/21 96/1
fast [1] 67/6
faster [3] 71/25 71/25 83/20
favor [2] 36/18 93/3
FBO [8] 50/11 53/6
57/15 57/21 57/25
58/22 59/16 87/25
FDOT [1] 32/20
feasibility [3] 67/8
73/16 73/25
February [3] 16/5
37/5 38/17
feel [1] 90/2
feet [3] 62/1 98/5
99/20
felt [1] 31/18
fence [1] 45/4
fences [1] 44/24
Festival [1] 13/7
few [2] 12/11 18/17
field [1] $8 / 15$
figure [1] 81/18
figures [1] 100/15
file [1] 49/21
filled [1] 29/16
final [5] 6/11 8/24
28/1 31/19 63/22
finalists [1] 27/24
finally [1] 54/7
finance [2] 68/12 74/11
financial [3] 7/21
34/16 61/4
financially [1] 101/15
financing [2] 67/9 67/11
find [3] 13/11 13/22
15/20
fine [8] 15/16 15/17
18/11 26/14 37/22
47/8 53/14 58/11
fine-tune [1] 26/14
finish [3] 8/23 40/17
75/13
fire [2] 46/4 46/6
firm [3] 1/17 1/19
27/25
first [26] 3/16 5/24
7/14 8/12 9/25 12/14 18/18 26/5 41/17
45/19 49/25 50/1 50/2
51/8 54/17 58/14
58/15 58/21 60/5

66/23 67/18 79/18 83/6 94/21 94/23 97/4
fit [2] 56/24 62/9
five [10] $8 / 168 / 17$
12/17 24/13 26/25
27/3 45/4 48/1 59/15 95/3
fixed [2] 57/6 69/14
fixed-based [1] 69/14
fixed-wing [1] 57/6
FL [1] 1/24
flawed [1] 89/6
flexibility [2] 27/15
39/2
flight [1] 48/7
floor [1] 45/19
Florida [9] 1/6 1/18
1/20 17/9 17/24 29/10
31/8 101/3 101/17
fly [1] 75/18
flying [2] 47/24 48/9
focus [2] 7/2 75/2
folks [8] 3/1 48/15
50/17 63/15 63/24
71/3 78/22 90/25
follow [5] 10/19 89/4
96/6 96/8 96/14
follow-up [1] 96/6
follow-ups [1] 96/8
following [1] 17/11
foot [2] 61/6 98/2
footprint [1] 61/23
foregoing [2] 86/1 101/8
forever [2] 68/17
76/18
forgot [1] 66/16
form [3] 15/20 15/21 54/11
formal [1] 92/2
former [4] 20/7 23/6
33/19 34/1
forth [1] 16/7
fortuitous [1] 18/25
fortunate [3] 11/12
18/19 18/19
fortunately [3] 12/21
44/18 47/4
forward [10] 18/23
33/5 35/6 42/16 43/12
54/22 80/17 87/1
87/17 95/16
forwarding [1] 43/19
four [5] 8/10 8/16
8/17 28/25 55/4
framed [2] 49/14 49/17
frankly [1] 83/19
Friday [5] 10/24 12/10
12/14 12/17 13/6
fried [2] 44/18 45/20
friends [2] 9/21 48/11
front [5] 56/22 64/10
75/19 75/21 82/10
frontage [2] 24/21
63/17
fruit [1] 84/21
fuel [1] $48 / 10$
full [8] 8/18 8/19 11/6
$\qquad$ FAA' [1] 77122
$\qquad$
_

5

| F | 86/ | 49/ |  | hesitant [1] 80/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/18 | 100/7 | guess [12] 6/19 19/2 |  | y [1] |
| 20/8 32/4 36/10 | going [91] 3/11 6/17 | 19/22 21/14 | hate [1] 71/ | gher [1] 29/2 |
| full-time [3] 20/8 32/4 | 7/20 7/22 8/9 8/11 | 61/10 87/16 88/5 | have [157] | highlighter [1] 5/8 |
|  | 8/14 8/14 9/1 10/15 | 91/21 92/8 92/14 | haven't [15] 3/24 3/24 | highly [1] 72/23 |
| 21 | 10/19 15/2 21/4 22/7 | 93/1 | 4/1 4/7 5/15 19/19 | him [8] 20/20 31/2 |
| fun [1] 48/13 | 26/1 26/3 35/11 37/6 | guidance [1] 84/20 | 19/20 34/11 34/22 | 35/21 37/23 40/5 |
| functions [1] 66/2 | 37/20 39/16 40/18 | guide [1] 82/20 | 43/18 44/3 44/4 45/1 | 82/12 96/16 |
| fund [2] 22/21 22/23 | 41/14 44/4 44/13 46 | guiding [1] |  | himself [1] 82/13 |
| funded [2] 27/20 28/2 |  | Gun [12] 58/8 62/3 | having [10] 3/8 9/22 | 15 25/25 |
| funding [4] 20/10 | 47/13 47/25 48/2 48 | 65/7 67/14 67/21 | 28/7 29/18 33 | 29/5 29/24 |
| 25/3 74/4 74/6 |  | 75/23 80/2 | 81 | hired [1] 27/23 |
| further [9] 7/10 36/24 | 49/1 50/5 56/12 56/12 | 87/11 87/12 90/25 | 99/24 99/25 | his [18] 18/11 19/13 |
| 41/1 53/13 53/20 | 56 | 91 | he [41] 18/13 | 30/15 31/6 34/18 |
| 53/23 60/19 85/22 |  | guy [1] |  | 34/19 36/12 37/23 |
| 101/11 | 64/1 64/3 64/5 64/6 | guys [2] 62/10 94/23 | 19/17 30/12 30/12 | 38/2 40/4 40/4 47/6 |
| fuse [1] 7 |  | H | 30 | 52/20 97/20 |
| future [2] 57/15 75/3 |  | had [39] | 37/18 37/21 37/24 |  |
| G | 68 | 7/16 7/19 10/22 12/11 | 40/23 46/19 46/20 | it [2] 44/14 45/9 |
| G-650 [1] 5 | 69/23 71/19 71/25 | 14/22 19/19 20/2 | 53/5 53/10 53/1 | hoc [1] 84/12 |
| G-650 [1] | 74/7 76/2 76/5 76/7 | 20 | 63/12 63/12 63/20 | hold [1] 74/13 |
| Galderi [1] 52/23 | 76/21 78/1 78/13 | 32/25 37/17 40/15 | 66/14 82/12 97/21 | homework [4] 29/24 |
| Galdieri [1] 52/23 | 81/14 82/24 83/1 8 | 41/24 42/1 43/18 | 98/5 99/5 99/5 99/5 | 50/18 74/25 75/1 |
| game [1] 51/9 | 83 | 43/21 44/3 44/3 4 | 99/10 99/14 99/17 | Honestly [1] 5/12 |
| garage [1] 11/1 | 86/25 90/20 92/6 | 44/18 47/4 50/3 50/3 | 100/4 100/4 100/1 | hoo [1] 94/14 |
| Garth [1] 13/18 | 94/19 95/24 | 54 | 100/14 100 | hope [3] 24/20 33/3 |
| gas [1] 4 | 96 |  | he |  |
| s [1] | golden [1] | 94/23 96/8 96/9 9 | 46/23 52/20 | hopefully [2] 7/20 |
| gathered [1] 4 | go |  | head [2] 7/5 7/6 | 46/ |
| gavel [1] 100/20 |  |  | health [2] 22/12 23/7 | ing |
| general [4] 1/182 | good [37] | 24/20 | hear [10] 7/11 10 | 94/21 |
| 20/9 94/2 | 6/4 7/16 7/19 8/2 8/13 | H | 67/24 73/4 | horizon [2] 70/6 |
| erally [2] | 8/18 12/19 12/21 | handle [2] 6/7 35/1 | 89/17 90/1 94/22 95/7 | 90/25 |
|  | 15/20 15/21 21/12 | handled [1] | 98 | orse [1] 92/11 |
| generate [1] 69/5 | 25/19 32/23 33/23 | handler [1] 66/21 | heard [10] 12/6 12/10 | hotel [1] 24/21 |
| George [1] 13/12 | 34/15 35/24 41/11 | handoff [2] 37/3 | 22/5 22/5 27/22 33/18 | hotels [3] 11/5 11/6 |
| gets [3] 39/20 | 41/13 42/5 47/7 53 |  | 33/24 78/19 81/5 88/2 | 11/ |
| 71/10 | 54/18 54/19 55/17 | h | hearing [11] $3 / 12$ | our [4] 6/25 12/16 |
| getting [4] | 63/17 63/25 | h | 5 | 56/1 85/19 |
| 30/12 97/12 98/10 | 71 | hangar [13] | /10 55/5 | use [4] 22 |
| give [7] 10/2 10/2 | 85/5 90/15 93/10 | 45/17 45/18 56/15 | 79/16 97/17 | 3/16 63/9 |
| $15 / 832 / 2537 / 223$ | 97/16 98/9 | 58/12 59/1 59/20 | hearings [1] 6/8 | housing [1] 31/21 |
| 53/8 | Gosh [1] 4 | 59/24 62/1 65/6 65/9 | heat [1] 66/16 | ow [24] 6/6 7/7 |
|  | got [27] 4/13 5/5 | 71/4 78/4 | held [1] 1/4 | 12/14 25/2 25/2 25 |
| [3] 29/7 7 | 10/4 12/13 22/18 | hangars [20] 56/ | helicopters [1] 46/15 | 26/3 30/4 31/24 37/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { giving } \\ & 77 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 44/14 44/23 45/9 46/4 | 56/14 56/20 58/7 | help [3] 34/16 35/17 | 42/10 51/4 56/16 |
|  | 46/6 46/9 47/3 47/4 | 58/12 58/16 59/4 | 47/20 | 56/19 57/5 60/2 60/16 |
|  | 53/8 57/11 59/11 | 59/15 59/17 62/6 62/9 | helped | 61/11 61/25 64/17 |
|  | 60/13 72/3 77/25 80/3 | 63/2 63/15 65/2 65/4 | helping [1] $8 / 13$ | 68/10 68/12 95/25 |
|  | 86/22 91/12 91/15 | 65/5 65/11 69/15 71/5 | helps [1] 95/5 | 100/6 |
| \| [1] | 94/25 95/15 97/17 |  | her [4] 34/19 89/2 | however [3] 26/13 |
| go [38] | Gotcha [1] 66/11 | hanging [1] 84/2 | 90/1 97/5 | 43 |
| 5/5 8/12 8/24 12/16 | gotten [1] 45/23 | happen [3] 25/24 6 | here [34] | ge [3] 10/10 13/16 |
| 13/11 14/24 25/17 | governing [1] 82/25 | 71/25 | 30/25 37/21 |  |
| 29/4 30/8 31/3 38/2 | government [2] 20/10 | happens [4] | 40/3 40/5 42/17 44/1 | hh [2] 4/2 |
| 39/20 46/2 56/12 | 3 | 6/5 66/5 | 46/25 47/22 48/2 | ndred [2] 57/8 57 |
| 60/13 62/6 62/10 74/2 | gr |  | 55/17 58/16 59/17 | hurry [1] 64/12 |
| 74/3 79/7 79/13 80/17 | gran |  |  |  |
| 82/24 84/17 85/10 | gratefully [1] 36/13 | hard [1] 5/6 | 66/23 76/10 80/16 |  |
| 85/11 86/9 86/23 | great [10] 11/9 12/5 | has [25] 9/6 11/25 | 80/19 82/24 83/11 | d [12] 3/7 618 |
| 88/16 88/24 92/18 | 12/9 12/20 12/23 | 19/20 21/20 30/24 | 84/18 87/10 87/11 | 25/14 28/6 64/14 71 |
| 95/24 95/25 96/2 | 32/21 54/3 73/14 | 31/19 34/16 35/15 | 87/12 87/13 87/19 | 72/10 74/13 75/20 |
| 99/23 | 73/14 93/9 | 35 | 87/20 89/24 94/12 | 83/12 97/5 |
| G | Green [2] 52/15 53/9 | 55/21 58/15 58/23 | 95/22 | I'Il [16] 30/19 35/21 |
| 49/9 99/22 | ground [2] 59/6 65/12 | 63/12 71/13 72/11 | here's [10] 59/3 61/20 | 36/16 43/19 48/18 |
|  | groups [1] 44/17 | 82 | 64/19 77/13 78/3 | 71/4 71/4 84/17 89/17 |
| 52/8 61/15 61/16 64/9 | grows [1] 47/21 | 90/10 91/14 91/14 | 83/14 83/14 83/15 | 93/1 93/1 93/2 97/4 |
|  | Grumman [2] 14/15 | 95/17 100/4 | 85/12 85/12 | 97/12 100/6 100/21 |

I'm [66] 3/8 5/6 5/8 6/9 10/9 11/14 12/8 13/19 18/11 18/11 19/22 20/16 22/4 22/12 23/1 24/25 25/7 28/19 29/19 33/5 35/5 35/9 35/11 35/20 40/19 40/24 41/3 41/5 44/13 46/2 47/9 47/10 47/13 47/23 47/24 48/13 48/19 49/1 51/14 52/1 56/17 56/23 60/11 60/11 61/10 65/2 65/5 66/22 67/24 67/25 73/4 73/10 77/4 78/4 78/18 78/23 79/10 79/10 79/16 80/25 87/6 91/21 94/19 94/22 98/23 100/1 I've [9] 15/19 33/18 42/1 46/17 48/3 49/2 88/2 96/12 97/13 idea [6] 6/5 21/7 72/11 81/1 85/5 97/22 ideal [1] 66/25 identified [2] 84/23 84/25
if [127]
ignore [1] 17/16 imagine [1] 80/22 IMAX [1] $9 / 9$
immediately [2] 18/20 84/24
impact [1] 11/2
impetus [6] 19/2 19/5
19/22 19/24 20/2 20/2 impinging [1] 88/5 important [4] 30/11 30/19 65/13 76/22 impressed [1] 35/20
impression [1] 97/21 impressions [1] 97/16
impressive [1] 71/1 improvements [1] 64/18
include [1] 47/14
included [1] 52/14
includes [1] 91/6
including [1] 54/20
incoming [1] 97/25
incompatible [1] 80/20
increase [1] 21/21
indefinitely [1] 77/17
independent [2] 43/2 59/6
Indicating [1] 24/1 industry [1] 11/4
information [6] 8/2
30/16 41/23 41/25 90/13 95/3
initial [3] 63/3 68/10 92/23
initiated [1] 72/12 input [1] 10/6
insert [1] 88/11 instead [4] 16/11 19/10 70/11 96/22 instructors [1] 48/8 insurable [1] 48/23 insurance [4] 22/12 23/7 49/3 49/11 intend [1] 26/18 intended [1] 23/14 intends [1] 63/21 intent [1] 37/19 interest [5] 47/13 51/12 58/25 83/23 96/10
interested [6] 19/22 70/7 96/2 97/17 100/5 101/15
interesting [2] 10/8 98/15
interests [3] 51/7 59/24 65/8
interim [10] 1/21 2/7
14/21 18/8 18/23
21/10 24/16 30/22
31/14 32/3
interim-specific [1]
31/14
internal [1] 35/10 internals [1] 45/18
Internet [3] 45/7 46/9 47/8
interpretation [2]
37/8 38/5
interpreted [1] 27/2 interrupted [1] 40/16 interrupting [1] 40/11 intervention [1] 49/20 interviewing [2] 8/20 96/1
into [25] 19/6 26/8
28/20 28/25 29/4 32/1
34/5 39/9 42/18 43/22
44/5 45/21 52/11
58/17 59/18 61/12
62/2 62/14 65/9 71/4
72/19 77/12 88/13
96/15 98/7
invented [1] 46/14
inviting [1] 9/23
involved [1] 98/8
involves [1] 83/22
involving [1] 7/21 isn't [1] 96/2
issuance [1] 77/1 issue [14] 37/1 43/1 43/9 58/13 66/4 67/2 67/22 75/11 75/12 75/18 75/21 82/9 83/10 89/12
issued [1] 50/4
issues [7] 7/21 39/7 74/5 74/15 84/22 84/25 89/8
it [272]
it's [84] 10/8 10/19
11/4 12/2 15/16 15/23 15/24 17/15 17/15 17/18 17/25 19/4 19/15 19/24 20/17

21/24 22/10 22/24 23/15 26/3 26/8 26/9 29/11 31/23 32/2 35/12 38/17 41/7 42/18 42/23 43/15 45/24 46/7 46/11 46/25 47/8 48/13 48/20 48/21 49/14 53/2 56/7 56/8 61/7 61/9 61/14 61/16 63/9 63/9 63/11 66/2 68/15 68/15 68/17 68/19 68/23 68/23 68/23 69/18 72/11 73/13 76/18 76/18 78/13 78/14 79/2 79/3 81/1 82/3 82/3 84/21 87/25 88/4 88/9 88/9 88/10 88/18 89/9 91/12 91/15 98/20 99/3 100/10 100/10 item [4] 16/17 41/8 75/11 93/11 items [6] 2/6 2/9 14/19 55/15 55/18 96/7
iterations [1] 92/19 its [4] 58/22 59/6 80/11 91/14 itself [4] 58/7 59/5 59/14 64/22 iVenture [1] 47/12

Jacksonville [1] 1/20 JAIME [3] 1/21 18/6 36/11
James [4] 34/20
34/24 45/24 47/6
Jamie [1] 74/25
January [1] 38/18
JENNIFER [1] 1/13 JEREMIAH [4] 1/17
19/8 49/7 73/9
Jesse [1] 7/23
job [7] 32/18 33/23
41/11 41/13 47/7 54/19 71/5
jobs [2] 29/18 35/4
John [4] 52/19 52/20 52/23 94/14
John's [1] 94/15
JOHNS [5] 1/2 3/2
10/22 101/4 101/17
Jose [1] 33/17
July [2] 38/14 39/24
junkie [1] 47/24
just [91] 4/23 6/13
7/15 8/17 10/18 15/9 15/12 16/13 17/16 19/11 20/17 21/21 24/3 24/11 24/19 25/7 28/5 29/4 29/14 30/7 30/9 31/5 32/15 32/20 35/5 35/20 37/12 37/13 37/20 37/23 41/20 42/18 42/18 44/13 44/18 47/2 47/3 47/24 48/18 48/19

49/8 50/15 50/17 51/17 52/7 52/7 52/7 53/2 53/16 54/4 54/21 56/8 56/12 56/13 57/7 57/13 58/1 63/11 64/13 65/12 69/15 70/4 72/14 73/12 73/12 74/17 74/18 77/17 78/23 79/2 79/10 80/17 81/24 81/25 82/7 84/10 84/21 85/11 86/23 87/5 87/6 90/2 92/7 93/14 93/19 97/4 98/13 99/2 99/12 99/13 99/17
justify [1] 30/5

## K

keep [3] 15/13 44/19 65/15
keeps [1] 89/5
Kenny [1] 13/18
kept [1] 81/7
kind [22] 10/8 15/24
16/11 35/3 35/4 38/18 39/24 48/11 61/4 61/6 67/9 73/19 73/21 74/1
76/2 82/7 85/14 85/24
86/3 90/23 91/4 95/24
Kitchen [1] 13/14
Knew [1] 60/23
knocked [1] 11/11
know [113]
knowing [3] 19/23
29/5 51/2
knowledge [1] 52/8
knowledgeable [1]
35/10
known [2] 94/6 95/9
KPIs [1] 20/23
kudos [1] 32/25
Kyle [2] 100/12 100/13
lack [1] 90/23
land [12] 2/10 24/22
55/20 62/2 65/10 67/14 70/25 71/6 71/16 90/4 90/16 100/3
land's [1] 73/23
landlord [1] 59/14
lane [1] 56/7
language [9] 26/14
26/19 36/25 37/9
37/21 37/25 39/17 41/2 54/10
large [3] 10/9 57/3 57/4
larger [1] 75/22
laser [1] 64/22
last [33] 2/10 4/16
24/3 33/1 33/1 35/13 35/15 47/23 49/19 50/8 54/20 55/19 61/14 62/19 70/3 70/3
75/10 76/11 76/12

77/2 80/1 80/6 80/15
81/1 81/4 81/11 83/17
83/19 86/19 88/10
88/21 91/24 92/7
last-call [1] 77/2
later [1] 33/2
Latin [1] 46/22
law [2] 1/17 84/20
lawyers [2] 86/10 88/17
layout [1] 69/8
lead [2] 32/15 77/8 learn [1] 68/24
lease [18] 2/11 24/22
54/7 55/20 57/24 59/6
59/7 65/10 70/25 71/6
71/11 71/16 75/15
78/22 80/4 80/5 80/8
84/4
leasing [1] 92/15
least [6] 13/1 24/17
32/13 54/12 57/23 91/3
leave [3] 26/5 35/22 53/20
leaving [1] 30/14
left [4] 30/12 58/23
64/25 65/1
legal [7] 33/19 73/19
74/1 74/2 74/8 74/10
83/10
length [1] 27/5
less [6] 22/2 27/21
38/4 39/2 71/16 78/14
lessee [1] 71/13
let [7] 50/4 50/14
65/10 65/15 85/3 86/9
87/17
let's [8] 33/21 35/5
41/9 48/22 86/15
86/15 86/23 89/4
letter [2] 19/4 20/15
level [1] 23/21
liability [4] 43/3 43/13 44/7 88/13
lift [1] 11/1
light [3] 5/24 44/16 44/17
lightning [9] 33/1
44/15 44/23 46/20
48/24 66/18 66/20 71/10 71/15
lights [3] 44/15 44/21 45/13
like [67] $3 / 7$ 6/18 10/2
12/12 13/11 14/25
15/12 16/1 16/3 17/14
20/22 21/8 22/13
24/12 25/14 26/8
27/20 28/6 29/7 29/22
31/24 33/8 33/19 34/1
36/5 36/7 37/11 41/7
41/9 49/23 55/25 57/1
57/14 62/16 63/2
63/20 64/4 64/14
66/25 67/6 67/15 68/8
68/19 69/21 70/10
71/3 71/18 72/10
72/15 74/14 74/18

| L | M | 47 | mind [6] 25/23 34/12 | $54$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| like... [16] 74/19 75/5 | ma'am [2] 60/9 66/3 | 68/8 82/17 83/7 85/22 |  | 93/11 99/24 |
| 75/16 75/20 75/25 | machine [2] 12/12 |  |  | ] |
| 77/7 80/19 80/25 81/6 | 46/4 |  |  | moves [2] 17/24 |
| 83/12 84/25 85/1 88/6 | made [12] 12/1 12/3 | Kendrick [1] 14 |  |  |
| 88/21 96/13 97/5 | 25/10 54/18 55/11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { me [19] } 3 / 8 \text { 17/16 } \\ 25 / 635 / 1635 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | minor [2] 5/2 minute [1] 46 | $\text { 1] } 6 / 187 / 15 \quad 14 / 6$ |
| likelihood [1] 8/20 | 62/21 63/3 69/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 / 635 / 1635 / 16 \\ & 35 / 1640 / 1246 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1] 46} \\ ;[17] \end{gathered}$ | Mr [81] 6/18 7/15 14/6 $14 / 1014 / 1414 / 18$ |
| limb [1] 84/17 | 69/11 69/12 80/12 | 49/7 50/14 51/15 | $3 / 193 / 214 / 10$ | 14/18 15/5 |
| limited [1] 58/22 | 80/1 | 56/18 58/18 71/18 | 4/24 5/16 12/17 41/1 | 18/1 18/16 18/20 |
| line [1] 38/22 | mail [1] 53/11 | 83/25 85/3 87/20 89/9 | 44/14 46/10 48/17 | 20/6 20/20 22/6 23/3 |
| LIOTTA [7] 1/13 15/18 | mailed [2] 4/5 4/16 | 91/25 | 55/4 81/6 97/12 99/14 | 23/15 25/19 26/4 27/5 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 25 / 17 \text { 33/25 83/12 } \\ 89 / 2390 / 10 \end{array}$ | mails [1] 12/13 | mean [20] | misinterpreted [1] | 28/12 29/7 29/17 30/4 |
| Liotta's [3] 35/3 58/3 |  | 17 | 38 | 30 |
| 78/19 |  | 21/23 24/10 36/9 40/2 | misle | 30/20 31/9 31/17 |
| list [1] 9 | make [47] 12/22 15/1 | 45/20 56/21 61/19 | missed [1] 11/10 | 31/20 32/9 33/10 |
| listed [2] 53/5 53/9 | 15/3 24/24 25/1 30/21 | 61 | misunderstood [1] | 33/16 33/22 35/15 |
| listening [1] 28/11 | 31/2 32/8 33/8 33/8 | 15 |  | 37/3 37/6 37/18 38 |
| literally [1] 86/7 | 33/13 33/14 34/5 36/7 | 77/3 98/13 98/23 | mitiga | 39/19 40/2 40/16 |
| litigation [1] 82/9 | 36/12 39/19 40/8 | means [3] |  | 41/18 41/20 43/17 |
| little [13] 8/15 12/1 | 40/19 46/18 47/8 |  | [2] 58/17 80/2 | 44/9 47/11 48/16 |
| 23/24 25/21 26/19 | 47/18 49/15 51/9 | me | Modern [3] 54/7 54/8 | 50/13 51/1 52/4 5 |
| 26/20 44/17 48/14 | 53/18 57/20 60/6 |  |  | 52/9 52/10 52/12 |
| 53/13 56/4 58/1 61/1 | 62/11 63/6 65/9 65/14 | mechanism [2] 82/3 | modifications [3] | 52/15 54/19 55 |
| 76/20 | 73/22 74/17 74/20 | 84 | 5/21 33/7 40/9 | 65/19 |
| live [1] | 77/1 81/10 82/5 82/ |  |  |  |
| LL | 83/1 83/6 83/6 83/8 | [3] 8/23 50/22 | modify [2] 31/8 38/24 | 73/20 73/23 |
| lock [1] | 86/5 86/6 86/15 93/ |  | ent [2] 24/11 | 81/22 81/23 82/11 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |
| long [11] | m |  |  |  |
| 36/23 59/6 70/15 | 37/16 77/10 86/25 | 7/16 7/22 8/9 8/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ly [1] } 12 / 24 \\ & \text { ] 20/11 } 25 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | Ms [19] 15/15 15/18 $15 / 22 \text { 17/21 25/17 }$ |
| 80/20 88/5 90/23 | 87/20 | 8/22 9/25 39/11 40/3 | 34/6 65/10 77/23 78/1 | $33 / 25 \text { 35/3 36/6 58/3 }$ |
| 90/24 90/25 91/5 | making [9] 22/12 25/3 | 44/5 49/23 50/19 | 79/9 87/10 88/17 | 78/11 78/19 83/12 |
| long-term [7] 59/6 | 39/9 71/5 75/22 76/6 | 51/19 55/6 55/8 61/14 |  | 89/23 90/10 93/13 |
| 70/15 80/20 88/5 | 79/10 82/21 90/16 | 62/19 63/13 67/4 | 16/9 26/8 38/17 72/2 | 94/3 94/9 96/23 97/5 |
| 90/23 90/24 91/5 | manage [1] 99/2 | 74/18 83/18 83/19 | 72/2 72/7 | much [3] 42/10 44 |
| longer [1] 76/16 | managers [1] 48/8 | 91/25 95/17 95/23 | monthly [1] 1 | 71/ |
| look [17] 5/3 14/23 | managing [1] 32/2 | 97/11 97/15 100/2 | months [12] 16/6 | umford [1] |
| 29/12 36/24 37/24 | maneuver [1] 56/8 | 100/9 100/22 100/23 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 / 12 \text { 25/25 26/9 } \end{aligned}$ | ic [1] 13/20 |
| 61/21 62/16 63/17 | many [8] 31/15 56/16 |  | $26 / 1026 / 2527 / 3$ | $\mathrm{k} \text { [1] } 46 / 18$ |
| 67/7 70/9 75/6 83/1 | 56/19 56/24 57/5 62/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { meetings [b] } 3 / 22 \\ & 9 / 2350 / 1481 / 495 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | 28/25 35/14 35/15 | my [41] 11/20 18/24 |
| 83/4 87/10 88/1 90/7 | 90/1 | MELISSA [3] 1/23 | 39/5 96/1 | 20/7 20/19 21/14 |
|  |  | 101/6 101/19 | months' [2] 24/ | 1/24 22/20 23/13 |
| 31/13 76/4 | markups [1] 54/9 | member [3] 2 |  | 25/5 25/23 32/18 |
| looking [14] 9/13 25/3 | Marriott [1] 11/7 | 94 | moot [3] 77/2 77/ | 32/24 34/7 35/12 38 |
| 25/23 28/1 28/23 39/8 | Martin [3] 93/13 | members [4] |  | 40/14 41/11 42/23 |
| 47/2 56/2 69/9 74/6 | 94/9 |  | more [29] 12/18 12/19 | 43/20 46/1 46/3 46/13 |
| 88/2 90/21 95/4 95/16 | Martinair [1] 53/3 | m | 19/6 22/24 23/2 23/24 | 46/24 57/13 61 |
| looks [6] 33/19 34/1 | master [10] 45/2 45/5 | memorializing [1] | 23/25 23/25 23/25 | 64/21 75/4 75/5 75/15 |
| 41/7 41/9 80/19 91/6 | 56/11 66/25 68/17 |  | 24/20 29/24 34/6 35/5 | 79/24 80/13 83/3 |
| lose [1] 65/18 | 68/25 69/7 69/9 70/23 | me | 41/23 42/1 49/19 | 84/14 84/16 89/2 90/6 |
| lot [17] 5/7 12/3 22/24 | 97/ | mention [3] 8/3 9/4 | 59/24 62/9 | 3 97 |
| 29/18 34/6 41/14 | ma |  | 9 76/7 76/2 | 99/22 101/9 |
| 48/12 65/6 68/ | 16/13 39/1 59/13 | mentioned [3] 22/5 | 22 87/7 | 20 |
| 72/21 75/3 9 | 59/18 90/2 90/16 |  |  | 45/25 82/10 99/19 |
| 91/12 99/17 99/18 | may [33] 9/21 9/2 | 4] 63/1 | morning [7] 10/4 10/5 44/13 46/2 48/6 49/8 | N |
| 99/18 | 9/22 10/18 10/18 |  | 95/22 | 100 |
| Loud [1] 10/24 | 13/23 13/23 16/9 | Michael <br> [1] 38/1 | 95/22 | es [1] 95/12 |
| love [2] 18/12 | 26/13 26/19 30/7 37/2 | MICHELLE [2] 1/13 | 10 45/17 54/10 | ming [1] 55/22 |
| low [2] 28/3 84/21 | 37/7 37/21 47/20 |  | 9/2 90/15 97/17 | arrow [1] 8/14 |
| low-hanging [1] | 59/18 59/23 60/6 63/8 | $m$ | motion [10] 24/2 | narrowed [1] 95/11 |
| 84/21 | 65/7 65/8 76/19 76/20 | might [14] 16/12 | 32/8 33/8 33/14 36/7 | Nashville [1] 13/10 |
| lucky [1] 19/1 | 78/16 83/8 84/19 88/ | 25/24 25/25 26/20 | 36/12 49/18 62/2 | Navy [1] 48/1 |
| lucrative [1] 71/17 | 88/3 90/17 90/17 95/9 |  |  | ar [3] 27/23 45 |
| LUDLOW [6] 1/14 | 98/8 99/6 | 84/20 88/4 |  | $78 / 8$ |
| 6/23 15/22 17/21 36/6 | maybe [16] 4/23 5/23 | 92/22 96/14 96/14 | move [14] $41 / 23$ 31/1 $33 / 535 / 641 / 841$ | necessarily [1] |
| 3 | /24 24/18 | mile [1] 9/17 | 42/16 43/12 44/9 | need [57] 3/12 6/20 |


| N | no | 61/7 95/2 95/7 95/8 | 75/16 80/13 | 65/16 66/20 67/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| need... [55] 8/23 |  | numbers [3] | es [1] | 73/23 74/1 75/9 77/9 |
| 24/15 25/9 26/13 | 79/14 79/16 |  |  | 77/9 78/22 79/8 79/13 |
| 26/20 27/17 28/9 30/4 | nor [2] 101/13 101/14 | numerical [1] 16/25 | opportunity [3] 42/2 | 1/18 84/17 96/7 |
| 31/8 37/22 39/10 |  | O |  | $97 / 12$ |
| $40 / 17$ 41/24 42/22 $47 / 1647 / 1749 / 23$ | Northrup [1] 14/15 | Oa | option [3] 57/15 | utcomes [1] 90/18 |
| 51/22 52/11 53/12 | not [100] 5/2 6/9 6/11 |  |  | outlined [1] |
| 53/17 55/3 58/13 60/4 | 11/14 13/23 14/ | obligating [1] | [ | [21] |
| 60/18 61/3 64/3 64/5 | 16/5 18/8 19/20 20/13 | obviously [2] 31/19 | 65/18 72/18 73/18 | over [21] 10/25 12/15 |
| 64/6 64/7 64/10 67/10 | 20/24 22/4 22/12 | 40/2 | 73/25 95/16 | 22/7 26/8 27/24 31/10 |
| 68/1 72/17 72/18 | 22/13 26/9 26/16 | occur [1] 63 | order [6] 12/4 14/21 | 32/23 33/20 33/22 |
| 72/21 73/1 73/6 7 | 27/13 27/14 27/19 | ocean [2] 99/16 99/21 | 14/24 14/25 15/2 | 46/12 47/9 53/6 59/17 |
| 79/7 79/19 80/15 81/9 | 29/4 29/5 29/14 33/21 | October [7] 8/12 8/21 | 95/25 | 70/18 89/5 89/5 89/6 |
| 81/17 81/18 81/18 | 35/4 35/9 35/11 37/15 | 24/4 47/24 95/19 | orderly [1] 15/13 | 94/12 99/7 99/21 |
| 83/3 84/19 86/23 | 37/15 37/17 38/8 | 95/22 101/1 | organized [1] 97/8 | 99/23 |
| 86/24 88/6 89/21 90/5 |  |  |  | rall [3] 12/9 58/2 |
| 91/22 92/4 | /13 42/5 43/21 | 34/17 47/13 50/2 50/5 | originally [1] 54/17 |  |
| needed [1] 57/21 | 44/24 45/ | 69/13 69/17 86/18 | other [39] 6/3 6/2 | overlap [2] 26/6 37/2 |
| needs [2] 67/7 72 | 50/22 50/23 50/23 | 86/18 98/23 99/3 | 7/3 19/24 21/14 24/1 | own [5] 22/20 34/19 |
| negotiate [4] 20/21 | 51/9 51/14 53/15 56 | offer [4] 20/14 20/15 | 27/20 30/1 30/24 | 34/19 64/1 |
| 87/21 | 56/7 59/15 59/23 61/9 | 29/8 | 31/14 34/1 | owned [2] 36/2 100/9 |
| ed [3] 16/9 | 63/9 63/22 64/5 65/25 | offering [1] | 35/7 35/17 37/23 44/3 | ownership [2] 10/13 |
| 31/18 79/18 | 71/1 71/7 72/19 72/19 | office [4] 5/5 34/15 | 57/9 | 83/23 |
| negotiating [1] 30/17 | 7 |  | 9/17 63/24 66/2 68/9 | P |
| negotiation [3] 10/16 | 78/24 79/10 79/17 | 41/11 51/16 64/2 64/2 |  |  |
| 60/19 80/9 |  | 88/21 89/22 93/ |  | $3 / 103 / 1155 / 855 / 9$ |
| nepotism [1] 95/8 | 80/23 81/1 81/15 | 99/22 | 3/20 | 00/23 |
| never [3] 21/8 23/21 | 81/19 82/1 82/7 82 | oil | 00/1 | ic |
|  | 82/22 83/11 84/2 84/8 | old [4] 2/6 14/19 | others [2] 13/15 86/6 | packed [1] |
| new [19] | 84/18 85/18 86/7 86/8 | 46/25 52/21 | otherwise [2] 89/18 | age [2] 3 |
|  | 88/2 88/3 88/4 88/10 | OLSON [12] | 94 | pages [3] 5/7 68/ |
|  | 88/15 89/9 91/11 92/6 | 18/16 20/6 25/19 | ought [2] | 69 |
| 40/22 55/14 55/18 | 95/12 95/17 96/9 | 28/12 40/16 43/17 | our [55] 7/11 7/22 8/3 | paid [2] 11/24 22/14 |
|  | 96/15 96/17 99/14 | 50/13 51/1 52/9 73/5 | 15/14 18/23 18/23 | paragraph [4] 16/8 |
|  | 101 | 97/2 | 20/14 20/15 | 6/21 76/11 76/12 |
|  |  | Olson's [1] | 21/19 21/20 23/2 24/3 | paragraphs [1] 15/3 |
| next [19] | note [2] 29/14 48/ | on | 24/15 25/2 25/4 25/10 | parallel [3] 84/24 |
|  | notes [2] 97/13 | once [3] 39/2 58/8 | 27/24 28/1 29/6 29/23 | 7/19 98/5 |
| 47/9 47/14 50/18 | 101/10 | 68/6 | 33/19 36/24 39/4 | [1] |
| 51/4 65/21 72/7 93/11 | nothing [8] 7/1 14/16 | one [42] | 44/15 45/19 47/7 | parcel [10] 49/25 50 |
|  | 14/17 16/14 21/3 | 5/22 6/23 6/24 10/23 | 48/11 49/21 51/8 | 61/12 62/2 62/15 66/0 |
|  | 96/25 97/1 97/3 | 13/17 24/12 24/15 | 52/13 53/7 56/1 | 6/16 76/22 91/5 |
|  | notice [20] | 27/22 27/24 27/25 | 7/11 68/6 68/12 | 00/9 |
|  | 26/1 50/4 50/7 50/9 | 30/10 30/20 30/24 | 68/12 68/12 68/24 | park [1] 11/11 |
|  | 55/20 76/3 76/10 | 32/13 34/12 38/12 | 70/3 70/3 75/16 77/25 | parking [4] 10/9 |
|  | 76/13 77/2 77/2 78 | 39/12 41/20 45/25 | 80/4 80/5 80/8 80/ | 10/11 12/1 12/3 |
| Nimbus [2] 45/17 | 79/8 79/12 85/13 | 47 | 81/2 81/19 89/24 | part [11] 33/1 |
|  | 85/14 85/16 86/1 | 52/25 53/1 53/2 54/17 | 90/21 90/21 94/21 | 76/1 79/24 81/1 83/2 |
|  | 86/17 | 57/7 66/1 68/9 74/2 | 94/23 95/16 | 83/22 84/1 84/2 87/11 |
|  | noticed | 81/12 81/12 81/1 | ourselves [9] 24/17 | 98/20 |
| $12 / 2314$ | November [1] 47/25 | 86/18 90/10 95/7 96/6 | 62/14 71/2 76/25 78/9 | [ |
| $14 / 13 \text { 16/15 16/15 }$ | now [39] 5/20 6/16 | 96/7 99/13 100/8 | 8/14 85/16 | articipate [1] 90/3 |
| 18/5 18/11 19/24 21/2 | 9/16 11/14 17/9 19/4 | ones [1] 68/9 |  | cular [1] 8/17 |
| 21/19 21/19 22/1 22/3 | 19/22 24/14 28/2 | ongoing [1] 8 | out [63] 4/2 4/4 4/11 | ies [5] 16/10 |
| 32/15 40/13 42/25 | 32/22 38/8 40/17 | online [1] 13/11 | 4/11 4/14 4/15 4/17 | 6/18 38/25 52/13 |
| 43/8 | 49/22 51/1 51/17 | only [6] 12/17 25 | 0/2 | 12 |
|  | 57/17 58/20 59/12 | 38/24 45/14 | 11/11 18/13 22/11 | [1] |
|  | 60/17 66/25 69/20 | 58/23 | 22/ | partner [3] 2/5 5/23 |
|  | 77/23 78/1 82/17 | open [3] 8/4 55/5 | 32/16 35/1 | 79 |
|  | 83/22 84/8 85 | 70/18 |  | partners [1] 7/12 |
| 78/7 80/10 88/8 88/10 | 85/20 86/23 87/14 | opened [1] 9/19 | 37/13 42/15 44/15 | party [7] 16/7 63 |
| 88/11 90/3 90/19 92/3 | 88/6 88/21 90/5 91/6 | operate [1] 83/2 | 45/4 45/6 45/13 45/15 | 5/11 71/2 80/18 86 |
| 94/5 98/20 98/24 | 92/7 94/22 95/11 | operations [3] 34/21 | 45/16 45/17 46/5 46/6 | 90/10 |
| 100/18 | 95/15 96/22 |  | 47/3 47/22 48/4 48/14 | ass [2] 11/20 33/4 |
| nobody [3] 86/15 | no | operator [2] 69/1 | 51/15 52/15 52/19 | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { novoay } \\ 89 / 6 \text { 95/6 } \end{gathered}$ | number [9] 13/17 <br> 16/3 16/25 29/15 6 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 97 / 18 \\ \text { opinion [3] } 43 / 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 / 2258 / 7 \text { 58/12 60/3 } \\ & 62 / 17 \text { 63/2 65/12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63/14 63/14 68/9 } \\ & \text { past [4] 6/7 43/7 47/2 } \end{aligned}$ |
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questioning [1] 23/14 questions [8] 11/13 11/17 11/17 11/18 18/17 19/2 23/15 53/16
queue [2] 72/18 72/18
quick [2] 87/5 94/20
quickly [2] 92/24 99/13
quite [3] 6/10 87/9 98/5
quote [2] 55/19 62/17
R
radius [1] 9/17
raised [1] 53/17
raises [1] 30/13
ramp [1] 48/3
range [2] 29/8 30/3
ranking [1] 8/17
rapidly [1] 95/18
rate [1] 60/4
rates [2] 59/22 60/1
rather [2] 19/6 98/15
rationale [1] 30/1
rationalize [1] 23/20
re [1] 55/5
reached [6] 35/15
52/14 52/19 52/22
89/12 97/19
reaching [2] 35/19
35/21
reaction [1] 12/21
reactive [1] 64/13
read [4] 11/16 25/10
26/22 68/23
ready [4] 33/5 42/21
78/4 78/8
real [4] 43/12 57/15 87/5 99/12
really [40] 7/16 8/18
11/1 11/1 15/24 23/18
28/17 29/17 32/23
$34 / 634 / 1534 / 16$
42/22 44/6 47/6 47/7

$$
7
$$ 48/4 56/6 64/9 71/20 71/24 72/4 72/5 72/8 73/21 74/5 74/6 74/7

74/10 74/20 75/2 75/5
77/8 78/12 84/18
90/24 91/3 95/15
95/23 98/10
reason [5] 5/3 51/14
88/8 88/10 88/11
reasons [4] 30/24
37/23 82/17 90/14
REBA [4] 1/14 4/20 11/14 99/19
recall [4] 19/14 51/19
56/25 81/3
recap [1] 53/8
receive [1] 50/10
received [5] 3/25 4/1
8/5 10/24 54/9
recognize [1] 6/20
recognized [1] 6/19
recollection [2] 20/19 40/15
recommend [1] 92/15
recommendation [9]
15/4 19/25 20/7 54/13
63/6 63/8 79/11 83/4
84/14
recommendations [2]
15/1 92/23
recommended [3]
20/13 63/14 82/6
recommends [2] 20/1
90/18
reconfigured [1]
58/10
record [4] 40/3 40/8
88/19 101/9
recruiter [1] 29/9
red [1] 87/11
redecorating [1] 56/3
redoing [1] 19/6
reduce [1] 62/7
reference [1] 17/21
referring [2] 16/17

## 92/14

refineries [1] 46/21
reflect [5] 23/15 24/11
84/1 86/21 87/3
reflected [1] 23/17
refreshing [1] 35/18
refusal [6] 50/2 58/14
58/15 58/21 60/5

## 79/18

refuse [1] 50/1
refusing [1] 79/19
regime [1] 46/17
Registered [2] 101/6 101/20
Regular [1] 1/3
reiterate [2] 23/14 82/7
related [1] 74/4
relative [2] 101/11
101/13
release [1] 63/5
reliance [1] 86/24

[^0]$$
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$\square$
$\square$
$\square$

| R | 43/20 | sa | session [1] 6/14 | smell [1] 45/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| relief [1] 98/13 | 5] $4 / 75$ | $17 / 918 / 618 / 818 / 18$ $24 / 7$ 35/20 37/20 | set [3] | $19$ |
| relieve [5] 56/14 | revising [1] 28/24 | 37/21 50/19 59/2 62/5 | settled [1] 18/12 | so [188] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58 / 12 \\ & 97 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | RFP [6] 70/1 90/15 | 62/8 64/14 64/16 | seven [1] $48 / 16$ | so-called [1] 77/2 |
| remain [1] 81/10 | 90/20 90/22 90/24 | 69/16 73/9 74/13 | several [7] 7/21 14/2 | soft [1] 4/19 |
| remained [1] 17/12 | 91/5 | 74/18 76/4 76/5 78 | 35/14 35/15 35/16 | Solano [2] 63/14 |
| remaining [1] 17/12 |  | 80/22 86/15 90/ |  |  |
| mediation [1] 91/1 |  |  | se | solicit [1] 85/17 |
| member [2] 52/20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | rig | 72/4 76/18 78/4 | Shakes [2] | ves [2] 38/19 |
|  | rigid [1] | 81/7 91/10 | sh | 39/25 |
| renegotiate [1] 36/9 | ripe [3] 49/14 50/25 | says [7] 16 | shaping [1] | some [74] 7/19 8/1 |
| t1] 56/14 | 79/17 | 15 67/12 67/1 | share [2] 8/1 30/ | 25/19 26/2 26/6 27/6 |
| ting [2] 59 | risk [1] | 69/20 80/10 | She [1] 94/10 | 4 |
| air [1] $44 / 20$ | road [7] |  | [3] 29/13 | 32/25 33/12 33/25 |
| ve [1] 82 | 58/9 65/7 85/22 86/10 | scenario [2] 26/20 | 30/3 | 34/15 37/25 |
| repetitive [1] | 91/7 | /2 | short-term [2] | 41/22 41/24 46/1 |
| replaced [1] 34/22 | ROBERT [1] | schedule [5] 49/1 | 30/3 | 48/14 49/18 50/18 |
|  | ROBERTS [14] | 67/3 71/23 72/6 73/17 | shortl | 51/22 52/9 52/10 |
|  | 1/19 47/11 48/16 52/4 | scheduled [1] 3 | shot | 2/17 52/ |
|  | 52/10 54/19 55/21 | SCHROEDER [3] | should [38] 3/15 | 52/18 53/10 53/16 |
| 44/10 45/23 53/18 | 65/20 73/23 76/24 | 1/23 101/6 101/19 | 17/12 18/7 21/7 23/ | 53/17 54/9 54/10 |
|  | 82/11 85/3 85/11 | scope [1] 79/4 | 24/11 24/11 27/15 | 54/18 55/21 56/15 |
|  | Roberts' [2] 67/13 | Scott [1] 100/2 | 28/13 28/16 29/4 | 58/12 59/15 61/4 |
|  | 70/5 | screening [1] 9 | 29/11 29/23 29/24 | 62/18 63/2 63/15 |
| $101 / 1 \text { 101/7 101/20 }$ | ROFR [9] 60/17 6 | search [7] 8/6 8/9 | 34/6 37/10 51/4 69/1 | 63/15 65/10 66/12 |
|  | 61/3 67/17 67/20 | 8/10 8/13 24/14 27/25 | 71/23 72/4 72/4 72/6 | 68/1 70/6 71/20 73/19 |
|  | 79/12 79/17 80/2 | 97/6 | 75/17 75/20 79/7 81/4 | 73/25 74/1 74/14 |
|  | 86/11 | second [11] | 85/18 85/19 85/19 | 74/25 75/1 76/22 82/5 |
| Reporters [1] 1/23 reports [6] 2/13 32/24 | Roger [1] | 36/16 36/17 39/13 | 86/2 86/7 86/8 86/2 | 82/6 84/19 84/20 |
|  | ROI [1] 70/14 | 57/15 59/12 69/13 | 87/3 87/17 87/17 89/4 | 84/22 85/7 85/24 86/3 |
|  | role [1] 3 | 87/25 93/2 93/15 | 89/22 | 86/3 89/7 91/6 92/ |
| represent [2] | room [2] 1/4 4 | seconds [1] 36/15 | shouldn't [3] 27/9 | 92/15 92/19 92/22 |
| represents [1] 94/10 | roughly [1] 24/6 | Section [1] 76/12 | 46/8 81/7 | 95/15 98/14 100/5 |
| require [1] 71/19 | RPF [1] 69 | sector [11] | [1] | 100/15 |
| requirement [1] 17/18 | RPR [1] | 64/14 64/19 70/20 | d [2] | boody [4] 47/16 |
| ent [1] 20/6 | rude [2] 56/17 5 | 1/2 76/14 |  | 00/4 |
| ve [1] 25/5 | ruin [1] |  | shows [1] 69/20 | mehow [1] 77/12 |
| ved [1] 69/13 | rules [2] 82/25 | Security [1] 47/1 | [7] 26/2 31717 |  |
| residence [3] 41/23 | running [3] 12/11 | see [12] 10/20 28/1 | /12 59/17 65/20 | 27/23 35/18 67/12 |
| 42/6 43/8 | 29/18 53/6 | 41/9 56/2 72/2 80/1 | 8 97/20 | /19 70/6 78 |
| dents [3] 9/15 | runway [7] 97/20 98/2 | 86/1 87/12 87/19 | sides [1] 99/17 | 80/3 81/20 82/23 |
| 9/17 10/1 | 98/5 98/18 98/19 99/7 | 87/23 90/14 98/22 | sign [1] 38/25 | 88/20 96/9 |
|  | 99 | 1] | d | eone's [2] 80/7 |
| urces [1] 85/7 | S | [2] 10/10 | Simultaneous [1] 67/23 | ething [21] |
| t[2] 11/2 86/24 | safely | selection [1] 20/1 | ce [6] 5/2 5/14 | 5 13/19 16/1 |
| [3] | said [22] 9/20 11/13 | sell [1] 57/24 | 32/22 37/24 42/17 | 7/16 47/20 56/25 |
|  | 11/19 12/14 17/14 | semantics [2] 16/1 | 81/15 | 7/9 60/18 60/23 |
| $\text { e [2] } 49$ | 19/10 19/14 19/15 | 17/14 | Sing [1] | 0/24 64/15 68/6 |
|  | 19/17 22/13 39/4 | send [5] 2/10 42/14 | singled [1] 51/15 | 71/19 71/22 73/9 |
|  | 46/10 54/1 63/1 69/21 | 55/19 68/16 88/19 | sir [6] 33/11 35/8 42 | 80/18 80/20 80/24 |
| [3] 44/10 79/5 | 73/5 79/5 79/7 82/ | sends [1] $45 / 13$ | 44/2 58/19 78/17 | 81/21 87/19 |
|  | 82/8 99/14 100/9 | sense [4] 37/17 81/10 | sit [3] 37/21 71/2 | mewhere [4] |
| restaurants [2] | salary [8] 17/8 19/5 | 83/8 87/20 | 72/8 | 45/9 47/19 95/13 |
|  | 22/1 26/17 29/8 29/22 | senses [1] 46/4 | sitting [1] 71/20 | ngs [2] 13/16 13/17 |
| [1] | 30/12 30/15 | sent [4] 53/11 53/1 | situation [2] 32/2 | ngwriter [1] 13/ |
|  | same [13] 4/14 19/9 | 54/18 54/20 | 99/15 | songwriters [2] 13 |
| resume [1] 95/6 | 21/25 23/21 36/3 37/7 | sentence [1] 15/2 | situations [1] 90/ | 13/10 |
|  | 39/9 50/12 63/13 | separate [4] 43/9 59/8 | six [9] 16/6 16/9 | Sons [1] 11/10 |
|  | 63/13 63/21 76/2 | 59/9 99/3 | 16/12 26/7 26/9 26 | soon [1] 30/4 |
| ent [2] | 79/13 | separating [1] 97/24 | 37/6 39/5 | oner [1] 89/8 |
| $\text { revenue 「51 } 2$ | satisfy [1] 40/9 | September [9] 1/7 2/3 | six-month [1] 16/9 | rry [19] 28/19 |
|  | satisfying [1] 84/18 | 3/22 3/23 4/8 9/13 | skip [1] 47/9 | 34/19 40/12 43/10 |
| $\text { ] } 14$ | Saturday [4] 10/25 | 50/3 50/9 97/11 | skipped [1] 94/13 | 8/19 52/1 56/17 |
| $41 / 2442 / 243 / 18$ | 12/11 12/17 13/6 | serious [2] 32/25 90/9 | slightly [1] 22/2 | 6/23 60/11 60/2 |
| 41/24 42/2 43/18 | saw [1] 4/6 | service [1] 76/15 | slowest [1] 11/3 | 65/2 67/24 67/25 73/4 |

sorry... [5] 73/10
74/25 77/4 96/9 98/23
sort [3] 10/19 64/13
75/25
sorties [1] 48/9
sorts [1] 31/22
sotto [1] 52/4
sound [1] 23/11
sounds [6] 66/25 67/6
68/19 71/18 74/14 77/7
source [1] 67/19
south [1] 65/25
Southeast [4] 61/17 65/21 65/25 79/3
Southpark [1] 1/17
space [3] 47/18 57/12 62/1
spares [4] 44/19 44/20 47/4 47/5
speak [2] 82/12 82/12
speaking [4] 59/21
67/23 78/20 87/6
specific [6] 31/14
61/12 62/15 82/9 83/10 83/10
specifically [1] 31/17 speculation [1] 56/13 spend [6] 34/6 44/14 62/8 67/2 72/8 88/17
spenders [1] 25/4
spending [3] 25/2
58/5 79/9
spent [1] 68/25
spoke [1] 52/12
spoken [3] 68/22 85/8
96/8
spotted [1] 32/14 square [4] 61/6 61/15 62/1 67/17
squeeze [2] 62/1 77/12
ST [12] $1 / 2$ 1/6 $1 / 17$ 1/23 1/24 3/2 10/21 10/22 11/25 13/12 101/4 101/17
staff [23] 2/8 12/15
12/22 32/21 32/22
32/24 41/9 41/16 41/17 45/25 50/15 50/20 51/3 52/10 55/1 55/11 63/5 63/6 72/12 73/16 87/21 90/18 91/23
staff's [1] 54/12 stakeholders [1] 50/8 stand [2] 52/21 56/22 start [8] 15/15 21/4 38/12 40/23 40/23 70/16 81/17 89/7 started [3] 38/13 39/24 79/9
starting [2] 73/14 88/6
starts [1] 26/7
STATE [1] 101/3
States [1] 46/22
status [1] 53/24 statute [4] 17/15 17/21 17/24 31/8 Statutes [1] 17/9 statutory [1] 17/18 stay [3] 5/25 27/5 37/3
steeple [1] 9/9
stenographic [1] 101/10
stenographically [1] 101/8
stepped [3] 18/23 30/20 34/16
stepping [2] 31/25 64/19
steps [1] 51/5
Steve [1] 53/9
still [5] 43/25 46/16 50/15 65/24 96/1
stop [1] 36/9
storm [1] 63/25
strategy [3] 82/8 82/9 83/10
street [4] 1/24 13/12 63/18 82/23
strict [1] 37/8
strike [3] 66/18 66/20 71/10
strikes [2] 33/1 48/25 struggling [1] 64/17 student [1] 99/18 students [2] 98/2 99/22
studied [1] 43/14 studies [1] 58/5 study [1] 67/8 stuff [3] 44/3 46/16 63/20
Stupid [1] 71/15 sub [2] 45/4 45/5 sub-boards [2] 45/4 45/5
subject [2] 10/15 37/10
submitted [2] 61/13 90/11
substantive [1] 15/9 success [2] 12/9 12/23
such [3] 11/25 50/21 67/10
suddenly [1] 72/2 suggest [5] 6/23 15/2 25/15 49/22 71/21
suggested [1] 99/6 suggestion [2] 5/16 5/23
suggestions [1] 10/2 Suite [3] 1/17 1/24 11/8
sum [1] 51/9 summary [1] 53/16 Sunshine [1] 30/20 super [1] 94/19 support [4] 72/22 73/6 73/7 98/10 suppose [1] $3 / 15$ supposed [2] 46/23

75/6 suppression [1] 46/5 sure [25] 6/10 10/9 12/8 17/10 22/4 25/1 30/21 31/2 34/5 34/13 39/9 39/19 39/23 40/1 40/19 45/11 51/14 53/18 64/16 71/6 74/17 74/20 86/15 87/8 93/19
surge [2] 45/15 45/16 surprise [1] 78/24 surrounded [1] 100/10
surrounding [1] 9/16 survey [2] 27/19 28/14
suspect [1] 70/24 suspend [1] 77/17 system [1] 12/16 T
T-45 [1] 48/1
table [4] 50/2 50/5 51/1 75/24
tad [1] 27/24
take [22] 6/24 6/25 10/6 22/25 25/25 26/3 32/18 34/1 34/5 48/16 51/10 56/10 65/11 67/9 68/17 69/17 76/25 77/8 86/22 88/23 91/22 99/14
taken [1] 29/4
taking [5] 22/12 29/11 31/10 45/25 58/2
talk [8] 6/20 32/23
33/2 38/2 38/10 48/17
48/22 71/24
talked [2] 46/12 49/7
talking [9] 27/21
40/24 42/6 49/1 49/2
65/5 78/23 87/14
100/5
tall [1] 9/8
tank [1] 46/15
tax [3] 42/2 43/1 43/8
taxable [1] 43/15
taxi [1] 56/7
taxiway [3] 44/15 56/6 56/7
taxpayers [1] 36/3
tea [1] 13/23
team [2] 32/22 47/6
tear [1] 42/11
technical [5] 60/10
72/21 72/24 73/6 73/7
tell [3] 32/22 68/12 90/24
template [1] 51/4
ten [4] 58/23 95/11
95/14 96/22
tenant [1] 65/13
tender [1] 60/17
tendered [2] 54/8 54/13
term [15] 16/4 17/12 26/10 29/13 29/22 30/3 56/7 59/6 70/15

78/22 80/20 88/5 90/23 90/24 91/5
terminal [1] 48/2
Terminate [1] 16/23
terminated [3] 16/6 18/7 24/17
termination [8] 16/15
16/19 24/13 25/21
26/16 31/5 36/25 40/21
terms [4] 51/2 79/17
85/1 86/11
testimony [1] 98/9
tethering [1] 47/7
Texas [1] 13/19
than [19] 11/10 19/24 22/24 23/2 23/2 24/20 27/21 34/6 35/5 48/18 51/22 57/9 65/10 68/16 68/20 71/25 72/1 83/21 98/4 thank [22] 3/6 6/22
14/3 14/4 18/21 20/5 21/13 22/21 24/23 25/17 34/8 34/9 35/23 35/24 36/1 54/23 54/24 76/23 87/23 96/4 97/5 97/9 Thanks [2] 13/2 14/16 that [467]
that's [82] 5/7 5/16
6/4 6/13 7/7 9/1 10/15 13/2 13/4 13/18 13/25 17/14 17/20 17/20 18/2 21/1 23/2 23/17 23/20 25/5 25/6 26/3 26/16 26/18 27/13 29/10 31/7 31/24 32/18 34/7 35/17 36/4 39/15 41/7 41/12 43/9 43/25 49/10 49/12 49/24 50/2 50/23 51/12 52/1 52/3 53/12 53/14 53/15 55/16 56/19 59/15 60/3 63/25 65/6 65/13 65/18 66/16 67/5 69/8 70/2 74/7 75/21 78/12 78/12 78/25 79/25 80/14 80/18 80/20 80/23 82/18 82/22 84/16 85/5 87/2 87/2 87/12 90/21 96/4 96/21 98/9 100/18 the's [1] 23/10 Theater [1] $9 / 9$ them [37] 3/25 4/1 4/16 4/16 5/3 5/4 5/5
5/10 5/13 31/15 32/25 49/16 53/8 54/18 54/20 56/14 57/19 60/3 60/4 60/6 62/10 68/8 75/17 75/18 77/12 77/19 78/25 87/17 88/16 89/16 91/25 95/1 95/4 95/14 95/25 96/15 99/24
themselves [1] 10/18
theoretical [1] 77/9
there's [41] 10/8 16/9 16/24 16/25 17/22 17/24 22/3 23/12 26/14 26/15 31/4 31/5 32/13 34/3 37/1 37/3 39/3 39/6 45/12 48/23 49/22 52/10 60/21 68/24 69/4 73/25 75/11 76/4 80/12 80/13 82/17 86/15 87/24 88/8 88/10 88/11 88/15 90/20 90/22 90/25 100/20 therefore [2] 59/25 63/4
thereof [1] 42/14 these [27] 20/11 28/20 37/16 44/17 48/24 56/10 59/17 63/2 63/21 64/18 69/12 69/12 70/2 70/3 71/2 71/21 72/3 75/6 75/10 78/22 80/2 82/3 83/25 84/24 86/11 87/13 95/5
they [52] $4 / 24 / 44 / 5$ 4/14 4/15 4/15 5/9 5/10 9/10 9/12 10/11 10/11 10/12 10/17 10/18 11/11 11/11 11/19 11/24 12/10 12/14 12/18 13/15 19/11 31/3 44/18 53/6 54/16 54/16 54/18 54/18 57/10 57/12 57/19 57/24 59/19 61/11 61/12 61/13 65/24 66/8 72/17 72/18 78/22 78/25 79/15 80/19 83/6 84/15 88/2 88/17 88/19
they're [22] 32/23 48/2 48/8 48/10 50/17 56/10 56/12 56/13 63/23 64/3 64/5 64/6 64/6 69/23 70/4 79/9 82/24 82/25 83/1 87/25 99/23 99/24 they've [12] 13/16 48/3 57/11 61/13 63/23 63/24 66/3 66/4 79/8 87/6 87/9 88/23 thing [18] 21/14 24/25 34/12 38/12 42/12 47/9 47/15 47/23 50/7 63/22 66/25 70/14 76/2 90/8 92/13 99/13 100/1 100/3
things [17] 24/12
30/10 30/18 31/12 32/19 35/2 42/13 48/12 51/13 53/11 64/4 71/21 74/11 79/21 86/11 88/24 100/6
think [122]
thinking [2] 96/15 96/15

| T | together [4] 7/20 | $81$ | $48$ | $64$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| third [4] 63/1 65/11 |  | type [1] 20/ | 53/12 57/14 58/1 59/4 | 1/25 72/1 72/3 |
|  | told [4] 27/25 57/18 | typically [2] 20/2 | 67/14 68/1 68/12 | 9 74/19 79/1 |
| [2] 71/2 | 89/13 91/25 | 49/10 | 71/20 72/2 72/15 | 80/19 80/23 82/4 |
|  | Tom [2] 63/14 63/17 | U | 75/19 75/22 78/23 | 82/12 82/20 83/9 |
| [143] | tonight [2] | U | 79/1 86/25 95/3 95/5 | 85/17 86/3 93/19 |
| s.' [1] 69/22 | too [6] 9/4 12/6 26 | Uh | 96/22 97/20 100/4 | 95/25 99/22 |
| those [25] 4/24 8/20 | 8 60/12 99/10 | Uh | 100/5 | wanted [12] 7/ |
| 9/11 9/14 10/3 11/9 | took [9] 12/15 12/17 | unanimous | US-1 [2] 97/20 100 | 9/4 9/20 13/3 30 |
| 30/5 44/21 44/24 47/5 | 32/22 44/15 45/4 45/6 | uncertainty [1] 88/12 | use [10] 10/3 11/24 | 30/25 57/24 59/3 81 |
| 48/4 48/12 54/10 58/5 | 53/6 62/18 62/23 | uncontrolled [1] | 12/4 25/21 50/23 63/4 | 97/20 100/1 |
| 65/3 65/5 65/11 65/16 | top [2] 13/9 45/11 |  | 64/20 76/22 77/1 | wants [6] 43/11 66/14 |
| 74/15 82/18 83/5 83/5 | TOPP [24] 1/21 7/15 | under [9] | 00/3 | 3/9 82/23 86/16 95/6 |
| 86/16 89/8 90/14 | 18/1 18/20 22/6 23/15 | 21/15 26/16 40/21 | used [1] | ehouse [1] 44/20 |
| though [2] 26/24 | 26/4 27/5 29/17 30/4 | /21 49/8 56/11 80/ | useful [1] 98/10 | 106] |
| 34/10 | 30/20 31/9 31/17 | understand [10] 19/3 | 9/ | 2/2 17/9 |
| thought [9] | 219 |  | V |  |
| 17/11 19/8 43/14 50/6 | 73/20 85/7 87/4 | 79/23 81/13 |  | $\text { 46/6 } 4$ |
| 54/19 69/2 97/9 99/8 | Topp's [5] 30/17 | understanding [6] | ventures [1] 30/24 |  |
| thoughts [2] 28/20 | $33 / 2237 / 637 / 18$ | 21/24 32/2 37/14 38/5 | verbiage [1] 25/22 | $\text { wav }\left[\begin{array}{lll} 21] & 1 / 5 & 12 / 7 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 10 | total [3] 23/5 23/5 | 50/16 84/16 | /22 | $15 / 14 \text { 19/12 28/2 }$ |
| thousand [2] 79/4 |  | understands | vertiport [3] 47/17 | 33/20 37/16 45/ |
|  | touch [1] |  | 47/17 47/18 | 51/11 51/11 56/2 |
|  | Tour [2] 9 | understood [1] 27/19 | very [22] 8/13 18/ | 66/15 69/5 73/18 |
| $56 / 2456 / 2557 / 3$ | tourist [1] 11/4 | undertake [1] 28/14 | 18/22 18/25 21/10 | 73/21 84/1 86/9 8 |
| 62/10 99/17 | tower [9] 45/12 | undo [1] 88/25 | 31/11 33/23 35/1 | 87/1 88/18 9 |
| threshold [2] 5 | 45/19 46/1 46/4 | unhappy [1] | 35/20 35/24 56/21 | ys [3] 29/19 35 |
| 74/15 | 8 98/20 98/22 | ue [1] 99/15 | 72/23 73/24 8 |  |
| thrilling | Trace [1] 3 | United [1] 46/22 | 89/23 94/10 | we [415] |
| rough [20] 8/12 | traffic [3] 98/14 99/9 | un | 97/8 97/9 97/16 97/16 | we'd [3] 63/1 67/ |
| 12/16 15/14 20/5 | 99/18 | unknown [2] 26/3 | 10 | 88/1 |
| /18 42/ |  |  | Veterans [1] 22/20 | II [23] |
| 47/25 48/20 55/11 | trainers |  | viable [3] 84/8 88/9 | 7/11 14/24 32/23 33/2 |
| 69/24 71/20 73/12 | training [1] 97/25 | 91/16 91/1 |  | 39/1 39/1 41/19 49 |
| 4/3 76/2 81/24 92/18 | transcript [1] 101/9 |  | Video [2] | 3/18 55/5 55/6 58 |
| 95/24 | transferred [1] 9/12 | unless [4] 16/6 45/24 | view [2] 89/3 90/6 | 58/12 62/11 67/3 |
| throughout [2] 31/15 | transformers [2] |  | [ | 71/12 75/2 98/7 98 |
|  | 44/16 44/17 |  |  | 100/15 |
| throwing [1] 25/7 | 1] | until [10] 18/10 29/16 | Volato [1] 83/2 | we're [81] 3/11 6/ |
| Thursday [2] 10/5 | 3/21 | 34/17 41/10 47/13 | nes [2] 68/23 | (5 8/9 8/11 8/14 8/18 |
| 44/14 | traverse [1] 98/3 |  |  | 9/1 9/13 9/22 18/18 |
| tie [2] 24/12 55/25 | treasure [1] 73/24 | 81 | te [7] 2/7 15/5 | 8/19 18/25 21/11 |
| till [1] 3/11 | tremendous [1] 13/15 | up [40] 10/25 16/ | 40/15 40/1 | 2/13 23/17 23/17 |
| time [32] 4/14 6/24 | Tri [2] 60/19 60/20 | 18/3 22/13 25/20 | 41/4 93/18 | 23/20 24/14 25/2 25/2 |
| time [32] 4/14 6/24 | trigger [6] 26/17 37/8 | 30/20 34/16 39/16 | voted [2] 39/13 41/24 | 25/3 26/8 27/21 28/23 |
| 26/3 27/5 32/4 34/17 | 42/21 60/7 61/1 79/22 | 39/17 40/17 46/9 | voting [2] 8/24 40/19 | 29/15 31/5 36/2 37/20 |
| 36/10 38/1 39/7 42/10 | triggered [2] 61/3 | 49/16 56/1 57/17 | VT [1] 47/25 | 39/9 39/16 40/19 |
| 47/ | 61 | 6 | VT-86 [1] 47/25 | 0/24 42/16 42/21 |
| 51/18 66/23 67/2 7 |  |  | W | 45/11 47/1 47/7 47/17 |
| 74/20 75/18 76/13 |  |  |  |  |
| 79/13 81/20 86/3 | trying [2] 2 |  | 13/ | 64/1 |
| 87/10 91/15 91/16 | trying [2] 25 TSA [1] 48/3 | 81/4 81/20 83/18 | waiting [1] 54/21 | 5/17 69/16 69/17 |
| 91/24 94/25 | Tuesday [1] 4 | 87/10 88/20 89/5 89/9 | waiving [1] 40/4 | 2/4 72/19 74/20 |
| times [1] 78/20 | tune [1] 26/14 | 90/8 90/17 96/6 96/1 | walk [3] 18/13 36/1 |  |
| Titan [16] 2/10 55/20 | $\text { turn [5] } 31 / 20$ | update [1] 84/15 | $64 / 14$ | $6 / 1877 / 2278 / 8$ |
| 56/4 57/18 60/22 | $45 / 1446 / 170 / 18$ | updates [4] 2/5 5/24 | walked [1] 45/21 | 8/14 80/22 81/17 |
| 60/23 60/24 60/25 | turns [1] 46/1 | 7/9 84/19 | want [54] 6/7 6/13 | 81/19 83/2 85/17 |
| 87/22 87/23 | tweak [1] 88/23 | updating [1] | 15 18/18 21/21 | 6/21 86/24 87/13 |
| 87/22 87/23 | tweaking [1] 26/21 | upon [4] 9/7 76/25 | /14 23/14 26/4 26/6 | 0/6 90/11 92/6 94/1 |
|  | twice [1] 26/22 | 84/2 92/23 | 27/5 28/25 32/15 | 5/14 95/23 95/23 |
|  | twist [1] 18/10 | ups [1] 96/8 | 32/24 33/13 35/13 | 95/24 99/15 99/15 |
|  | two [24] 9/6 9/22 | upset [1] 85/21 | 14 | e've [32] 6/8 6/8 |
| to | 24/12 29/15 29/18 | urgent [1] 68/19 | 37/16 37/21 37/25 | 6/10 8/4 8/5 10/4 |
|  | 34/25 35/4 42/11 | us [38] $6 / 208 / 108 / 13$ | 38/9 40/19 44/19 | 14/22 23/21 25/10 |
| 24 50/24 59/4 75/6 | 42/15 47/2 48/9 50/14 | 9/13 11/4 25/10 27/20 | 47/14 47/20 53/13 | 5/10 30/9 34/25 |
| 94/7 | 53/1 58/16 59/4 66/2 | 27/23 28/15 29/7 | 55/25 57/12 57/23 | 39/13 41/18 44/3 46/6 |
| 94/7 | 68/23 74/5 74/5 74/11 | 29/11 34/25 38/1 48/4 | 59/14 59/16 62/10 | 46/9 47/4 57/18 62/14 |
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