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A. Master Plan Update


PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Let's start the
meeting. Please stand for the pledge.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
MEETING MINUTES \& FINANCIAL REPORT
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Our first agenda is the minutes and financial report acceptance. Has everybody had a chance to peruse the minutes -MR. MAGUIRE: I have -CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- that were -MR. MAGUIRE: -- no additions or deletion -deletions.

MR. KIRA: No corrections.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So they'll be accepted as presented?

MR. MAGUIRE: Correct. AGENDA APPROVAL

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then the agenda approval, everyone's had a chance to look at what's on the agenda and that's -- has stayed, so everyone's okay with the agenda as presented?

MR. MAGUIRE: No complaint there.
MR. KIRA: Fine.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then, Mr. Wuellner, your report?

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

MR. WUELLNER: I'll skip -- since I saw Tammy walk in, $I$ will skip air traffic volume.

Fuel volume's at 20 -- almost 21,000 in
self-service. FBO reports 110,000 of Jet A and another 8,000 of avgas hundred low lead. And if $I$ turn the mic on, we'll probably hear better.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, you would.
MR. WUELLNER: There we go. A little hot.
All right. Do I need to repeat that? I do need to repeat it. All right.

Self-serve, 20,751 gallons of avgas, FBO reported 109,443 of Jet $A$ and another 8,084 gallons of hundred low lead.

A couple of items to point out. One is we received a letter from County Administrator Mike Wanchick related to the legislative action program for the coming year soliciting any agenda items for the coming legislative year, which it appears based on the schedule is probably a January start this year? I'm thinking it might be. Just based on this really early -- or it feels very early. So, if there are any items we -- we as an Airport Authority want to request local delegation support in moving through the next legislative
year, we need to get those kind of on the table and
reported, or let them know anyway at the county
that we have those kind of items.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are we going to try and do
the stipend again?
MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's entirely your call
as a board. Last time we ended up pulling that
item actually based on the fact the Governor was
going to veto it no matter what. It seemed to have
support, but -- at the legislative level in fact
having passed a number of committees before it was
yanked.

The other item that was out there from last time as a holdover was related to the designation of the Airport Authority as an economic development agency under Florida Statutes. I think that's -continues to be worth pursuing. But that's got a longer road to hoe just based on what it -- what it involves.

But if you want to move those forward, kind of let me know here and we'll get the -- the letter drafted back to his office, to Mike's office, and get it in the cue for things on the agenda when that comes up.
Tip -- in the January ones, I want to say it's

September, somewhere in there is when they do the legislative delegation if it's a January or an early year on the legislative side. If it's not, it will be later, probably November, till it happens.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, you can ask the other board members. I'd like to include both of those. I know that we had some strong support before, but also if we have -- probably shouldn't publicize this, but if we have an outgoing elected official, sometimes they're more inclined to -MR. WUELLNER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- push some things through for our local government.

MR. WUELLNER: Of course the only invariable is you don't know who's incoming, but that -- you never -- you know, that -- considering the open hostility towards special districts the current governor had will -- you know, I think your chances are pretty good.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
MR. MAGUIRE: I support that, those two items.
MR. KIRA: Me, too.
MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Fair enough. Then we'll
get -- the staff will get the letter drafted and
just get it in the cue and we'll keep you up to date as developments come up.

MR. KIRA: When you draft the letter, is there -- can we put some for instances in, like examples? The fact that we have plans we have to put into economic development, like 1200 acres of prime real estate? And basically that should be able to get it forward, rather than just saying, you know, we want to be developed -- we want to be assigned an EDC or something.

MR. WUELLNER: Right. Right.
MR. KIRA: With examples of why we should be there and then at the same time let's put a road in between here and 95.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I think the commission was pretty good with that. It's -- where we ran into some roadblocks was over in Tallahassee.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It does not require endorsement of the county commission. It's -- it's helpful if they're not opposed to it, but it's -typically it is not required.

The economic development issue was one of I'll call it statute -- the way it had to go through the legislature, it's not considered a local bill

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { because of the implications of economic } \\
& \text { development. That was -- that's what bogged it } \\
& \text { down last time and there was not enough time to } \\
& \text { re -- react to it from a legislative year. } \\
& \text { So I think we're in a good place, we just need } \\
& \text { to get it -- get it in the cue and get it going, } \\
& \text { and we'll get this guy talking to -- getting the } \\
& \text { meetings together again with our local delegation. } \\
& \text { Considering it's exactly the same delegation at } \\
& \text { this moment -- } \\
& \text { (Mr. Brunson enters the room.) } \\
& \text { MR. WUELLNER: -- it may act -- it should be } \\
& \text { easier to get through that process with them. } \\
& \text { MR. KIRA: I think the two governor -- the two } \\
& \text { candidate governors that we have -- governors for } \\
& \text { have -- was blasting through and would have been } \\
& \text { approved, but house leadership wanted to pull it } \\
& \text { measures last time. And as we said, the one -- the } \\
& \text { our area as being a driver for economic } \\
& \text { development. So, I -- whatever happens in January, } \\
& \text { we should be looking pretty. } \\
& \text { MR. wUELLNER: Yeah, I would think so, too. } \\
& \text { They were supportive of both -- of both }
\end{aligned}
$$

because they had already gotten feedback the Governor would veto it just on a matter of principle.

MR. KIRA: Right.
MR. WUELLNER: Okay. And then the last item I have for you is I wanted to make you aware of a program that's come up that doesn't really require a ton of action today.

But it appears that the federal government has allocated an additional $\$ 1$ billion over the next couple of years for FAA-eligible projects that fit some criteria of certain type airports, and we are one of the ones that have made the list for consideration.

We are act -- in order to meet the timelines as always, this is an extremely short suspense for this current fiscal year, we're moving ahead some quick engineering kind of effort for some additional concrete apron and some fence replacement along U.S. 1.

They need to be bid and available for grant September 1st. That doesn't mean we'll get -- but I think any -- from what we're hearing is it's not a lot of airports that are in a position to move it quick enough. So, we are --
(Audio feedback.)
MR. BRUNSON: See if that's me. Excuse me just a moment.

MR. WUELLNER: I just didn't want to blow your ear out there if it was me.

MR. BRUNSON: I've got one more secret weapon in here maybe.

MR. WUELLNER: Oh.
MR. BRUNSON: Now you're ready.
MR. WUELLNER: All right. Let's go with that.
Anyway, we're moving those two ahead so that they'd be good candidates for typical FAA funding criteria at 90 percent. State has indicated an interest in funding the other 5 percent or another 5 percent.

So, we're going to move them forward. We'll see what happens. Obviously we don't commit any big bucks till we know something from the grant. But if we don't have an application in place, there's no chance, so we're moving that forward.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Good.
MR. WUELLNER: And that's my update for today.
MR. MAGUIRE: Ed?
MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
MR. MAGUIRE: Are those the only two projects

```
you've come up with?
    MR. WUELLNER: I -- yeah, on this suspense.
    The program's going to be available again next
year in addition to our normal entitlement kinds of
projects, so we're hoping to identify a couple of
other projects that we can throw out there, too.
    There is a little bit of criteria difference
    in -- in the standard airport improvement program
    projects. These have to be -- improve the
    airport's economic viability, which is an unusual
    criteria for FAA grants. That's typical for state
    in a certain --
    MR. MAGUIRE: Would navaids be -- qualify?
    MR. WUELLNER: Potentially, if you can link
    the dollar value to it, yes. But -- but that would
    be more -- that would be more -- too complicated to
    get done by September. But it's certainly a good
    project to consider going into next year's.
    MR. MAGUIRE: Okay.
    MR. WUELLNER: That's going to come up quick,
    too. Andrew and I were talking about it earlier.
    It appears that next year's things need to be
in place by the end of October already to be
considered for next year's funding. I don't think
the funding will come as quick, but the -- the
```

projects would have to be moved a little quicker.
A lot of -- from what we're hearing, Andrew shared that many other airports are just not even trying because the suspense is too -- too short for most projects.

So I don't know whether this was sort of a -an experiment the FAA threw out there to see if we could really -- it's not FAA, but Congress threw at them to see if you really have a need, if so, you should be able to spend it really fast.

MR. MAGUIRE: Uh-huh.
MR. WUELLNER: I don't know.
MR. BRUNSON: Ed, what do you think about the criteria for -- maybe to enhance so the public can see the airport and a park atmosphere type thing? Do you think that would fall in --

MR. WUELLNER: That's not an FAA-eligible project.

MR. BRUNSON: I would think not, but...
MR. WUELLNER: No.

MR. MAGUIRE: The two projects were fencing and what?

MR. WUELLNER: Some add -- replacement apron and concrete.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: That's it.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Mr. Dean. MR. WUELLNER: If you would -CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I'm sorry, Bruce. MR. WUELLNER: -- finish his question. MR. MAGUIRE: One other question. I like the numbers up there. But numbers don't mean a whole lot to me because I'm not good enough to remember relativity how long it fits with last year or last month.

MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

MR. MAGUIRE: How does this --
MR. WUELLNER: You want us to annualize?

MR. MAGUIRE: Well, in some way give me
something relative --
MR. WUELLNER: On fuel or air traffic?
MR. MAGUIRE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tammy's going to give us air traffic, so fuel.

MR. MAGUIRE: If Tammy can do air traffic -MR. WUELLNER: She's got air traffic for you. MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. You can give me fuel FBO. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

MR. KIRA: I just have one question quickly.

It's --
MR. WUELLNER: I do not have it off the top of my head, so...

MR. KIRA: It has to do with, when you
identified self-serve and hundred low lead, isn't
that the same fuel?
MR. WUELLNER: It's the same product, but one
is sold by the FBO --
MR. KIRA: By the FBO and --
MR. WUELLNER: -- one is --
MR. KIRA: -- the other one is self-serve.
MR. WUELLNER: -- self-serve.
MR. KIRA: Okay. That's all. So the prices
are different and a --
MR. WUELLNER: Significantly, yes.
MR. KIRA: -- return to us is different.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
MR. WUELLNER: Yes, significantly.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, did you want to attempt
Bruce's or just --
MR. WUELLNER: I don't have --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: I would tell you to multiply this by six and you're close, at this moment if you need an answer that fast.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Dean?
BUSINESS PARTNERS UPDATE
COMMISSIONER DEAN: Well, good afternoon. I hope everybody had an enjoyable 4th last week. A couple of things I wanted to mention. This comes under the heading of probably both government and economic development.

If you've driven on Palm Valley Road at the -as it approaches the A1A intersection recently, you may have noticed a lot of dirt moving, a lot of ground clearing. That is the new PGA Tour world headquarters. They're consolidating all of their operations here in St. Johns County. We had a sort of a special little groundbreaking earlier today before lunch, and I just wanted to highlight the fact that when they consolidate all of their PGA Tour operations under literally one roof, it's going to be a 200,000 square foot beautiful office building, and it's going to bring an additional 300 jobs -- they already have 800. It's going to bring an additional 300 jobs to St. Johns County averaging about $\$ 80,000$ a year. So it's going to be a tremendous economic boost.

I told Jay Monahan, the current commissioner,
at lunch that for me it's sort of -- the PGA Tour
for St. Johns County is almost like Disney is to
Orange county. It's a real driver.
And so we're really very pleased. I'm very
pleased with the -- I wasn't involved so I can sort
of give the previous commissions, and maybe Bruce
you were involved, too, the partnership with the
PGA Tour, we've had a long history of a -- of a
partnership that's worked really well for the
PGA Tour and for our county and our economic
development and tax base.
I -- also, I checked Friday, last Friday. We
still don't have any updated requests from Oak Tree
to be re-agendaed. I don't know, Doug, if you've
had over -- I think over 500 units, again single
heard anything, but I talked to Suzanne and nary a
word from the applicant. You may remember that was
withdrawn several months ago from the agenda.
where we adopt the millage rate for the coming
fiscal year. Certainly no increase in ad valorem
taxes is being proposed. Certainly nothing I would
we will be having our budget hearing July $24 t h$
family residential units permitted in June. So there still seems to be a tremendous push for residential development. Some of -- some of that brings good things. Some of it may not necessarily be so good with respect to traffic congestion. We have a pretty substantial backlog in our infrastructure needs in this county, particularly with roads, and to some extent in certain area schools, different areas.

I'll be happy to answer any questions, but that was a quick report from what's currently happening in the county commission world. Yeah, Steve?

MR. KIRA: When will 9B be opened?
COMMISSIONER DEAN: We hope it should have been already opened. I mean, I'm thinking within the -- probably by the end of the month.

Because you know there's quite a bit of construction now on the new town center that's underway I believe with a Walmart and Home Depot and all of that's coming together, but we need to get that -- that artery is desperately needed to relieve traffic congestion as a lot of the workers commute to and from Jax.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER DEAN: All right?
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Dean.

Atlantic?

MR. BEYERS: I'm good.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: And, Galin, SAAPA?
MR. HERNANDEZ: Not yet.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Northrop?
MR. NEHRING: Nothing to report.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, there you are. And tower, Tammy?

MS. ALBIN: Okay. To put the numbers in perspective for you.

So far in June, 15,237 operations for June.
That was our second month so far this year to peak 15,000. So far year-to-date the 79,725 operations, that is well above our record year, which was our high year ever for the tower, was year 2016, which we had over 141,000 operations that year. That year for the first six months we totaled 74,601. So we're above it by over 5,000 already just for the first six months. So if traffic continues, 150-plus possibly. So this is definitely a record year. So far, so good.

2017 was 132,000, we were down just a bit, but still if you remember, we still ranked Number 7 in

15,000, and that's not normal either.
MR. MAGUIRE: Is -- in the commercial side of St. Augustine, the first two weeks in June historically are relative to the rest of the year slow periods. I don't mean they're negative or down, but when you look at everything, they're a little bit slower. Does the tower have any periods of time that traditionally every year it happens?

MS. ALBIN: We used to. Usually it would be like every three or four months would be like a slow period while the flight schools got back into their next, I guess you would say, semester type of training. But we're finding that there's not that kind of trend this year at all. It's just -- it seems to be just continual.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay.
MS. ALBIN: So no really down periods just yet.

MR. MAGUIRE: Good. Thank you.
MR. BRUNSON: Excuse me just a minute. So, you're saying that you attribute that the flight schools and everything are steady and don't contribute more than they used to or --

MS. ALbIN: Well, one of the flight schools had tried twice up until just last year to do a
satellite airport.
The first try was out in California. They tried east and west coast, and that didn't fare as well as they had hoped. Then they tried Sarasota area, Venice, as opposed to -- you know, as their satellite, and that didn't go as well as they'd hoped. So now they brought all of their planes back home and now they're all working out of here. That -- and that's what we're attributing the majority of the uptick in traffic.

But then again, we're also on -- for a while we had gotten out of the rounds for some of the transient flights schools, where they would pass us by and go to Craig or wherever for their cross-countries. Now they're coming up here again. Embry-Riddle's been spending a lot of time. They're usually here by 7:15 in the morning doing touch-and-goes right after we open. So -- and one of the flight schools has changed their business day to open earlier to try to spread out so we don't have both flight schools with their first push at the same time. So everybody's trying to work together a little bit more and spread it out, but there's still a lot of traffic.
One thing to keep in mind is, I can't
remember, I think it's Phoenix Air, but one of them
is going to Flagler. They bought a big hangar, a
big ramp they're rehabbing that used to be someone
else's, and so they're moving closer.
So I'm sure that we're -- since they're going
to be closer to us, they're going to be up here
more on cross-countries and pattern work. So that
could also -- we could still see more traffic, an
increase in traffic.
MR. BRUNSON: Thank you.
MS. ALBIN: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mr. Burnett?
MR. BURNETT: Nothing to report this month.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right.
Mr. Holesko, our master plan update?
MASTER PLAN UPDATE
MR. HOLESKO: Too far away? I just for the
board didn't know which screen might be best.
We're going to be looking at a lot of graphics. So
let me know if you want me to move a screen, change
screen. You're can't -- you're not hearing me?
MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: You did not give me
anything for graphics.
MR. WUELLNER: It's me. Give us -- give us a
couple of minutes.

MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Can you throw it on the server?

MR. WUELLNER: No, I can't. (Pause in the proceedings.)

MS. LUDLOW: You could dance.
MR. HOLESKO: That would be bad.
MR. BURNETT: Well, in case no one caught the news of what the big news is today, I think we're all going to see tonight on the nightly news never before seen Cold War footage of nuclear bomb testing. That was released today. So if you wonder what you might see on CNN, FOX News, or World News Tonight --

MR. BRUNSON: Yeah, who's doing that?
MR. BURNETT: The government's declassified it. The United States has declassified old war videos.

MR. KIRA: Really?
MR. BURNETT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Really?
MR. BURNETT: Of nuclear bomb testing.
MR. KIRA: We've seen that a lot on old
science fiction movies.
MR. BURNETT: Uh-huh. New video. Apparently much clearer.

MR. KIRA: There it is.
MR. WUELLNER: Sorry about that.
MR. HOLESKO: Okay. So, we gave you a little of an intro at your meeting last month.

We had another meeting of the master plan advisory committee last Friday. We wanted to do a preview of each of the major components of the preferred development alternative with the advisory committee. We did that last Friday. And so now we're going to show you a project-by-project concept-by-concept review of the different functional areas of the airport.

One thing I just want to note before I start is that both Ed and in guidance from you and the advisory committee, you know, update of the master plan, basically our challenge and your challenge is to make sure that we do everything we can to take care of the aviation needs of the airport, the economic needs of the airport, and -and all the different pieces of that.

And that's basically the corporate aviation world, general aviation, air service, the fixed-base operator, safety areas and design standards for the FAA, the MRO, future expansion, and all of that together.

And when -- when you think about all of those things, I think we actually have prepared some -some good alternatives with a lot of input from a lot of interested people to make sure that almost anything that you could want to do in the future, anything demand that comes to the airport, whether it comes from the river, the air, the ground, the highway, anything that surrounds you, that you're going to see alternatives that you have the ability to accommodate that level of business aviation demand in some way.

So that's where we are, and -- and we're going to talk about all of those different layouts. We're going to talk about a review of the preferred alternative. When we get that input from you today from there, we're still going to go create a series of technical drawings, the airport layout plans, and the financial plan and project schedule.

So you're still going to see that all in the future, how much is this going to cost and what year might it occur? That's all going to come back to you again in a few months to look at the capital improvement program, financing and schedule. So next.

You have the different functional areas of the
airport. You have the -- the east corporate area in red, center of the airfield in pink, the main terminal area in blue, south GA in purple, and then the west area of NFRB on the other side of U.S. 1. So we're going to talk about all of those functional areas and what's proposed in each.

The first is the airfield operations area. We're actually starting off west of U.S. 1. Hearing Tammy and Ed talk about operations and the practical capacity of the airport, the previous master plans have always looked at different ways, whether they were good ideas or bad ideas, it doesn't matter anymore.

The master plan today has to have some way to accommodate more aircraft operations in the future. We looked at some alternate airport sites. You've seen other -- other graphics in the past about other runways.

What we are proposing is a small parallel
runway west of U.S. 1, very similar in nature to the general aviation training runways in Daytona Beach for Embry-Riddle and down in Melbourne for FIT. A 3200 -foot runway that will allow training operations, flight training operations and small aircraft to get off of 13/31,
the main runway, and get them west of U.S. 1. That's what you see in this alternative. Next.

Actually you see one of the sites. We looked at another site down between 207 and 206 . Just literally taking out all of the stops: Could we actually consider building a new airport? That was one of the alternatives, but it is not recommended. But that's the level of detail we looked at. Next. Looking at the runway system, the primary most significant alternatives are that $6 / 24$ becomes the crosswind runway. It actually shows a proposed extension to the east towards the marsh into the river. And we don't know if that's ever going to happen.

But again, we talked about this before, if a project isn't shown inside the master plan and the airport layout plan in some way, that means you can -- you cannot get any planning or design or funding from the state or federal government to even do anything with it. So there are some projects that are shown you may not do them until the day comes where you absolutely have to, but we show them.

And this shows Runway $6 / 24$ as the preferred crosswind runway. Again, full parallel taxiway
extension out to the south as well as a re -relocation of Taxiway Delta. We're going to move Taxiway Delta a little bit further to the south in a project that's going to be happening in the next year or two.

Next slide is the conversion of Runway $2 / 20$
into Taxiway Charlie. Probably the -- the most questioned recommendation from the members of the flying public, especially the general aviation public -- and I know there's people here that will speak about the conversion of $2 / 20$ to a taxiway.

The primary reasons for the conversion of -of $2 / 20$ to a taxiway are actually shown on the graphic. There's an impact to the FBO apron. The runway object-free area and the FBO apron, they occupy the same area.

As a third runway, it is not eligible for state and federal funding. Also involves the hot -- hot spot area at Taxiway Bravo to -- at proposed -- or at $2 / 20$. So you've got design standard issues, we have a lease -- a lease area issue, and it is by far the least used runway out of the three. Next. Go ahead, Cindy. Next. We're going to the main terminal area. The main terminal area, the biggest things we're
showing are additional ability to have additional automobile parking around the -- the new terminal and improved access all the way out to U.S. 1, all the way to the intersection of U.S. 1.

So the way that you would drive in and out of the commercial air service terminal today would look very different in the future to help cars in and out, visibility, efficiency and capacity. Next.

Two different ways to actually expand automobile parking and the terminal building itself. So the -- if the need arises in the future to expand the terminal to the north or the south, you can. Next. Next.

Going into south GA. I think we've seen this graphic quite a few times over the past six months or so. It's actually the same basic graphic that we used to get the existing $T$-hangar project moving right now.

So you have the ability to build decades of additional T-hangars. You also have a modified access road in the center. On U.S. 1 you have aviation and nonaviation-related develop. You have additional development in the area where we are right now in the conference center, as well as
doing a new -- a new multiuse building and a flight school expansion adjacent to where we're setting today. So you have all of those needs taken care of in the South GA area. Next.

Over in east corporate -- next. In east corporate, there's a lot of things that could happen. The first is we have an area of land that we just want to say is available for future aviation development coming from the hush house and heading to the east. Actually nothing proposed on it today. If something came along, you'd have to ability to do something there aviation-related.

But there is the ability to take what Northrop Grumman has on the east side of the runway and duplicate it. There's also the ability to take Atlantic Aviation or a similar $F B O$ and duplicate it on the east side of the runway and build multiple corporate hangars to double the amount of corporate hangar capacity on the east side of the runway. So you could have an MRO operation, you could have a fixed base operation, and corporate hangars somewhat even interchangeable over there. But you have three large tracts of land all to support aviation on the east side.

Next now we're going west. You've seen some
of these graphics in the past. The first is a roadway improvement between U.S. 1 and proposed 313, and that's number one. That's a -- the Big Oak corridor. Next.

Next is the extension of Big Oak all the way out to I-95. That's road segment 2. And the third is the connector from I-95 over to State Route 16 west of I-95. Next is the -- oh, try to go back Cindy. Go back one.

Okay. Next is the aviation use on the northern section of airport land west of U.S. 1. A very limited crossing of taxiway to be used very seldom to get an additional large MRO or any other type of aviation-related facility on the west side of U.S. 1 with a limited crossing at ground level to get aircraft from the east side of the airport to the west side of the airport.

Again, the magnitude of what you see here is again similar to what Northrop Grumman has today. It's a very large operation. If the demand ever occurred, you have the ability to get to the west side of U.S. 1.

Now we're looking at the large tracts of land. The airport owns everything that you see in yellow and they also own everything that's in the small
red boxes. The red $A$, the red $B$, and the red $C$, those are the large tracts of land that have recently become available from the Water Management District. We discussed those a little bit last month.

So, if the Airport Authority chose to, they would own everything in red, everything in yellow, and all of the small boxes that you see outlined in red. So the vast majority of land west of U.S. 1, between U.S. 1 and the future 313 corridor, would be airport land.

And it's important to note that there are proposed uses in multiple areas. You can see the one in blue right now. That is the additional MRO or similar aviation industrial use on the west side of U.S. 1. And down here it's hard to see, but this is that small parallel runway that we had shown on the earlier graphic. That's the 3200 -foot runway also shown south of Big Oak.

What I'd miss, Ed? Anything I -- I didn't
highlight? C.J., anything I missed? Okay. Just checking. Didn't know if there was anything -anything major in there that $I$ missed had.

So, with that, just wide open for questions and input -- input from you be -- on anything
you've seen. Again, it's quite a followup from
what we discussed a little bit last month. Bruce
or Randy?
MR. MAGUIRE: Take -- take Randy first.
MR. BRUNSON: Andrew, is Grumman -- Grumman
privy to any of this master plan?
MR. HOLESKO: Yes, they're a member of the
advisory committee, Randy, and --
MR. BRUNSON: That's all you need.
MR. HOLESKO: -- the answer is yes. And not
only that, but if you -- if you look on the --
because the question has come up inside the
committee.
We want to make sure that both Grumman or
someone else similar to them that could be an
offshoot, they have the ability to develop
significant projects in multiple areas of the
airport. Bruce?
MR. MAGUIRE: I know all over the world there
are highways that go right through the middle of
airports, so we would not be the first, but I am
concerned because you talk about a ground level
crossover and stuff like that.
Can you give us in the future some examples of
a dislocated secondary strip like you're talking

> about as 3200 and the crossing over so we can get a visual, some type of indication of what we're going to be talking about in the future. MR. HOLESKO: The -- the crossing one is easy. It actually came up last week in the advisory meeting and it came up very quickly and -- because somebody would ask the question: Is it really feasible to think that you can take an airplane and get it on a local or state highway in Florida? And the answer is that it happens every single year at NBAA in Orlando where numerous airplanes are flown into Orlando Executive Airport and every single year they take them and tug them, they don't taxi them, but they tug them right down the highway to the convention center back and forth. I have not watched that yet. But it just came up Iast Friday that it actually happens right in Orlando every single year as a permitted use on a state highway. So, I'm going to look at that, I haven't seen that yet. MR. MAGUIRE: -- the parallel strip, the 3200. MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. Now -- In terms of the remote strip, I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { can't tell you that I know of that anywhere off the } \\
& \text { top of my head. } \\
& \text { I do know of remote strips that exist in } \\
& \text { multiple areas. There's actually a remote strip } \\
& \text { down in Dade County and there are remote military } \\
& \text { training fields throughout Northeast Florida. But } \\
& \text { in terms of one where the strip is separate from } \\
& \text { the airport, I don't know that off the top of my } \\
& \text { head. But we can do a little research and see if } \\
& \text { we can't find something. } \\
& \text { MR. MAGUIRE: Would it be limited to training } \\
& \text { only? }
\end{aligned}
$$

MR. HOLESKO: It would be -- the parameters that we've discussed so far, and this will come out in the description in the next phase, is that for now, it would be a VFR-only runway. So it's only going to be used in good weather.

It will not have lights. It will only be used in -- during the daytime. And that we actually would not even relocate -- relocate the control tower, even though the control tower would handle the operations in and out of the runway, but it would -- it would be done using remote cameras and remote sensing.

The technology exists today that you don't

> have to have the control tower staring right at you, you can use very sophisticated cameras, the technology that exists today, so the tower can remain where it is but still handle the aircraft on the parallel runway. That -- that technology does exist today.

MR. MAGUIRE: Well, I'm familiar with the military remote locations, and they had a remote little unit there they'd send people over.

This may be in line for Tammy, but would they use a different frequency to operate out of that, radio frequency, or would you be on the same one?

MS. ALBIN: No idea yet.
MR. HOLESKO: Well, what we've seen -- again, it's not that active in the U.S. yet, it's actually done international, is that it is the same frequency. And the truth is it's -- it's handled so that you really wouldn't know any different who you're speaking with, whether it would be on the short runway or the main runway. No different to you.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board questions?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I had a couple for
public. Reba?
MR. TUCKER: I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Yeah, you didn't circle what you wanted, Len. Did you want all of them?

MR. TUCKER: No, just this one.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: This one? Okay.
MR. TUCKER: Len Tucker. I'm here on behalf also of the Pilots Association.

The question of Runway $2 / 20$ of course has come up, I can remember addressing the board 20 -plus years ago on this same issue of trying to close 2/20, and it's the same issue. It's one of safety.

There are a lot of studies out there, and I can appreciate, you know, the effort they put forth showing these wind rose studies. But the problem with the wind rose study is it doesn't show how many times the airport would be unusable because of the wind velocity out of the wrong angles. And that's really my concern.

So, I thought, well, heck, I'll just do a
little research. So I went on Google today, and I went back to March 4th this year. March 4th, 1:00 p.m., 0150 winds gusting to 24 miles an hour almost right down Runway 2. I own five airplanes. I could not have landed on anything but Runway 2 here for one hour.

So, it's not a matter of what are we doing, guys? I mean, this is really part of the safety issue. The 29 years or so I've been at the airport, I've seen a lot of little runway problems where somebody loses control, and I can tell you every one of them that $I$ know that was a friend I've looked at, if they'd have been landing on the runway that was more properly situated for their aircraft at that point in time, they probably would not have had that problem. Crosswinds are an issue when you're landing an airplane.

This 24-mile-an-hour gusting as I calculate it ends up being a 17-mile crosswind component for Runway 6. It's like a 22 -mile-an-hour crosswind component for Runway 31. Now that equates in knots to about 15 knots for Runway 6 .

Every one of my aircraft has a maximum
demonstrated crosswind component of 15 knots or less. Well, you say, well, 15 knots, shoot, somebody showed they can do it. Yeah, the test pilot that was running the aircraft for the manufacturer proved that he could land it in a 15-knot crosswind. I'm not a test pilot.
So, I don't know -- it's about the same as
saying a Corvette can stop in 90 feet from 60 miles
an hour. I'm not going to go out there and try
that just because I know there's going to be an
occasion that maybe the manufacturer mixed it or my
reflexes just didn't make it quite that good. So
it still -- it's down to a safety issue. We need a
margin of safety. Runway 2 is it.
Now, I understand we can't finance it. Well,
somehow for the 25 years when this subject came up
a long time ago, we've managed to keep it open. I
realize it can't get additional funding unless
maybe you designated it as the secondary runway.
But even at that, there ought to be some way with
the limited maintenance you can provide that it
could stay open.
Addressing the lease issue. I'm empathetic
with the guy that's leasing the property. I lease
property. I'm also a tenant on property. If
there's new federal or state regulation that comes
into play and I have to adhere to it, yeah, it's an
inconvenience. I don't like it. And it may have
altered my business plan. I can't use the property
same way I intended. But that's just a fact of
life. It's not going to change.
I'm sure that the lease that was written for
the tenant probably has a clause in it that they
have to abide by all the federal, state, and local
regulations. So be it. Sorry. If you feel like
you need to compensate for -- him for that, go
ahead. But at any rate, I don't think we need to
close a runway that we desperately need on those
certain occasions when the winds kick up like that.
God, no. have northeasters all the time? Thank
the rest of this runway to those ly, ooo aircraft.
They can't make it on that day. So, say go
somewhere elsewhere where they've got a better
runway because you're putting yourself at risk
landing here at this airport. That's all I've got.
Thanks.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mr. Tucker. Reba?
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Hi. Reba Ludlow, Serenata Beach, pilot.

So -- well, this is the same thing we always say. We pilots do not want Runway $2 / 20$ closed. Administration does, board of directors does, but you're not really the ones -- can you still hear me -- not really the one that counts.

If our board of directors is not interested in
representing the airport, then get off the board and let someone that will represent the airport. That's what it's called, Airport Authority board. I -- it is about the airport. It's not about commercial property over there. It's not about blah, blah, blah. It is about the airport.

Yes, it's nice if we're a good neighbor and we provide a place for fire trucks and things like that once a year, twice a year. We need to take care of the airport first.

I say again $I$ don't know what -- why, what, when, where -- I don't know what we need to do except if you -- maybe if we did a -- a tally or a vote of everybody on the airport -- why don't you board members ask everybody on the airport that flies everyday what they think? I mean, you're voting on a piece of paper. We're voting on our lives. That's important.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Galin?
MR. HERNANDEZ: Galin Hernandez with the
St. Augustine Airport Pilots Association.
Before I add on to what Mr. Tucker and
Ms. Ludlow added on on Runway 2, I'd like to ask one question about the small 13/32 -- yeah, 13/31 runway proposed. Is that going to be just a runway
or is there going to be any kind of ground support? Or is it just like to do touch-and-goes and landings and -- what is the concept there?

MR. HOLESKO: Just aviation. Not -- no ground support.

MR. HERNANDEZ: No ground support whatever, just a piece of land where people can land, take off, and just do the normal training.

MR. HOLESKO: The answer is there will be some very very basic area where a plane can actually stop, turn around and maneuver. But the intent is not to have any buildings, parallel taxiways, or other support on the other side. It literally is for training operations.

MR. HERNANDEZ: What -- and what happens when an airplane breaks down there? Is there access? Because eventually an airplane will break down there. Okay. That was my question on Runway 31 -on that small 13/32-- 31.

Runway 2/20. Again, we have significant issues with closing that runway. Not just for the small airplanes. Because when there is northeasterns (sic) and we have 20-, $25-\mathrm{knot}$ winds coming down there, even aircraft the size of King Airs, Pilatus, Meridians cannot land on 6 or
13. They won't be able to. So you're closing them out, too.

Don't think that this is just about small
little guys that don't matter. You're also talking about some of your corporate aircraft that are going to be coming in. They won't be able to land. The other thing is, on your master plan, you show a proposal of moving the $F B O$ to the other side of the runway, to the other side of the airport. So that makes this whole apron issue irrelevant. There will be no FBO apron there. So, if you're planning on moving the $F B O$ to the other side of the airport, what matter does it make that Runway 2/20, which is the important runway here, stays?

The other thing is that by not adding anything into the master plan for Runway 2/20, you're basically letting it die on the vine till we get to the point where it is no longer feasible to maintain it. It's like a back end of closing it without having to confront the situation that you want to close it, which we are adamantly against. Runway $2 / 20$ is the preferred crosswind. Not 6/24. It's $2 / 20$ because of the winds. Because of the physical location of this airport and where it is to the rest of the -- the -- the winds.

Now, you're saying that you can't maintain it because it's not a designated crosswind. Then stop cross -- designating 6 and designate 2. That way your funding can go to 2, okay?

The other thing you said it's the least used runway. Well, yes, of course it's the least used because it's specifically being avoided by air traffic control. If you specifically avoid something, it won't be used.

Like Ms. Ludlow and Mr. Tucker have said, ask the pilots and they will tell you a lot of times they would prefer to come in on 2 than 6 or 131 because you're sitting in a chair, the worst that can happen is you fall off the chair and hit the ground. We're in the airplane. We hit that runway wrong and we're killed. It is a significant airport.

And by the way, as far as I understand, in my studies, runways are designed for a maximum crosswind of 10 knots, not 15. 15 is the aircraft. 10 is the -- and I may be wrong, correct me if I'm not -- if I am -- but runways are designed for a maximum crosswind of 10 knots. And the -- and the example that Mr. Tucker gave was way beyond what that runway -- and we're talking 31/13, 6 and 24
was designed to do.
Again, as SAAPA, we adamantly oppose anything to take out Runway 2/20. That is our lifeline. Designated as the crosswind runway because that is the actual crosswind runway. You'll get funding for it, you'll be able to do upgrades, put it in the master plan and don't let it die on the vine.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Hernandez, I had one question. When you said add something into the master plan about 2/20, what exactly are you saying?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Upgrade, painting the ground, something -- if you don't have -- like Mr. Holesko said, if you don't have it in the master plan, it doesn't exist; you can't get grants for it.

So, in other words, what you're doing is it's not -- nothing is in the master plan for $2 / 20$. You can't get grants for it. So if you can't get grants for it, then the maintenance goes -- falls by the wayside. Now, all of a sudden it becomes economically unfeasible to maintain that runway and you've killed the runway using a back door.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: To get grants, wouldn't it have to be designated one of the first two primary? MR. HERNANDEZ: Exactly. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. I don't have any other public. Any more board? I guess we're going to get some minutes whenever --

MR. RAYMOS: Hello?
MR. WUELLNER: Go ahead, Vic. Victor.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, hi, Vic.
MR. RAYMOS: Hi. I was -- I'm listening to all of this discussion, but it's hard to hear correctly or completely. But what I've been -- I missed my opportunity as a board member to say something about the discussion that's been ongoing about Runway $2 / 20$ and Runway 6/24.

My basic question is to Andrew, and that is there -- the -- the information that's in the master plan for Runway $2 / 20$, the majority of the information is as using it as a taxiway.

But there was an Item Number 13 of the minutes from the Meeting 4 that talked about keeping Runway $2 / 20$ open and -- and doing something with the -- let me see if I can find that here -- doing something with the demolition of pavement adjacent to Taxiway $D-2$, and that would eliminate the encroachment issue.

But -- so -- so, the question $I$ have is that are you -- is there going to be an action item on
today's agenda that will adopt the -- the meeting minutes from the last Friday's meeting as being what the Airport Authority wants to move forward on?

Because if it is, I -- you know, I think we need to wait and get more input from the public and from the -- the people who use the airport, the pilots, as to what their thoughts are about keeping Runway $2 / 20$ as the crosswind runway as opposed to Runway 6/24.

And so, I guess my question is: Is there going to be an action item or is this going to have further discussions, which I think we need to have additional conversation and input on the future and design of Runway $2 / 20$, keeping it as a -- as a runway or does it go the way of taxiway?

MR. HOLESKO: Victor, $I$ think that what you're referring to is that the alternatives actually showed four different crosswind runways.

There was a 2/20, a 4/22, a 5/23, and a 6/24. So basically we covered the entire gamut of geometry between $2 / 20$ and 6/24, and each of those basically was showing an independent crosswind runway getting to the -- to the basic layout of the airport.

Runway $13 / 31$ is the primary runway where the Airport Authority has already, you know, signed grant assurances and obviously invested a lot of grant funding into the -- into the base of 13/31. That has also happened now with Runway 6/24. And again, you're allowed to have two runways for the FAA and DOT to fund.

So the graphic you're referring to was if $2 / 20$ became your crosswind -- and you said it's not 6/24 anymore, it's $2 / 20$-- what would you do to -- what would you do to $2 / 20$ and how would you fix these other -- these other encroachments? How would you fix the design standard, the hot spot, the overlay in the FBO area? And those things can occur. They could occur if you decided they would occur. But if you did that, then you would not have 6/24 as your crosswind and then the improvements and lighting and pavement on 6/24, they wouldn't be eligible.

You know, Galin had mentioned having 6 and 2 . That's not possible. You get both ends of the runway or neither. So you can't do Runway 6 and 2 . It has to be 6/24 and 2/20.

I hope I answered your question, but, yes, there was an alternative that showed what you would
do to $2 / 20$ to make it work and fit if it was the crosswind runway.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think he also asked about an agenda item to be discussed, but I don't think we have minutes. Is that correct? Mr. Raymos, you asked if there was an agenda item for a -- for the board to vote on?

MR. RAYMOS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. I think that was the anticipation, but $I$ don't think we had minutes to have read, unless I'm wrong and I missed them.

MR. JOHNSON: They're not prepared.
MR. WUELLNER: No, they're not.
MR. RAYMOS: Yeah, I just wanted to make -- I just wanted to make sure that we were going to have further discussion and input from the flying public, specifically the pilots would be able to have the information that -- that they feel is desperately needed to keep the -- the discussion going about which one is going to be the crosswind runway, $2 / 20$ or 6/24. You know, I just -- I wouldn't want to see it be voted on today to take a final action on -- on that item of the master plan.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Andrew, are we -- when is the next, $I$ don't know if you know, master plan
meeting? I know they're hard to --

MR. HOLESKO: Well, there won't -- there won't be another master plan meeting until you give us guidance on the selected alternatives so we can create the CIP and the funding plan. So we basically need that thumbs-up from you so we can go do that, and that won't be for several months.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Once we give you the thumbs-up, how much time is there delay between that and when a meeting could occur?

MR. HOLESKO: Approx -- approximately three months.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: I've got a couple of comments.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, Mr. Wuellner.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I just want to --
we've -- we've gotten wrapped around the axle of two different things, two very different things.

One is the designation of a crosswind -- of
what the crosswind runway is here. And $I$ want to
remind everybody that at least for the last
probably close to 20 years, $I$ know through at least
full one full master plan cycle, that the designated crosswind runway is 6/24. That's what the master plan determined last time as the
designated.
We're -- we're confusing the designation of a crosswind runway with the need to close the runway. And those are very different topics. No one has really proposed to close a runway at this point. Its designation really is for the purposes of funding as it relates to the airport master plan with the state and federal government as it stands.

What has been done in this study is point out the deficiencies of $2 / 20$ as to why perhaps long term 2/20 may not be the best choice for a crosswind runway designation, and the board may want to consider that some time way into the future.

But considering that designation occurred at least 10 years ago, there's been no effort to close 2/20 up to this point. No -- no -- no one's proposing that even today, to close $2 / 20$ at any time in the future. It's simply a matter for planning.

The master plan FAA policy, FDOT policy only allows the designation of one crosswind runway, the combination of -- combination of which with the primary runway simply must exceed 95 percent wind coverage.

If you recall, in the last five maybe slightly more than that years, both runways have been effectively completely repaved and redone. So there are ways to keep the lifespan of $2 / 20$ continually in front.

If you recall, we did the west section of 2/20 -- I'm sorry, east section of $2 / 20$ as a part of the taxiway connector for Taxiway Alpha when that was rehabbed a few years back. We've done -I couldn't get clarification, I can't remember whether we did the entire length of $2 / 20$, but we did significant portions of it over the last five or so years.

The entirety of $6 / 24$ was accomplished and repaved really with some extra funding that was in place with the $13 / 31$ project. The Authority did it jointly with some FDOT surplus funds and got it paved back whenever that was. That's probably getting close to eight or -- eight or nine years ago. Let's say '05, '06, somewhere in there. I -no, it's later than that. Was it '05?

MR. HOLESKO: No, no.
MR. WUELLNER: No. 15?
MR. HOLESKO: No, five years ago.
MR. WUELLNER: About five years ago. Okay.

So '13, '14. All right.
My point being is we're -- no one is -- the master plan is not saying close the runway. There's no date to close the runway. There's no one pitching the idea of closing the runway in the short term. And short term I mean five, ten-plus years out. Not even that.

There's no reason to do that. The runway functions fine when it's needed. There are -- as we pointed out in the master plan, there are inherent issues with it that keep it from being an optimum choice for a designated crosswind for the purposes of funding.

So, I wanted to try and divorce the two issues again. We've all gotten wrapped around with some concept that with passage of anything in the near term, the runway immediately closes and becomes a taxiway. That's never been on the table.

MR. MAGUIRE: Do we know how many times 20's been -- or $2 / 20$ 's been used in the last -- since the last master plan update?

MR. WUELLNER: I do not. That's an air traffic -- I'm sure we have the records of it -- or to the extent we can, but --

MR. MAGUIRE: Mr. Tucker --

MR. WUELLNER: -- I do not know it off --
MR. MAGUIRE: -- in the -- since the last master plan, have you had any problems with 2/20 being used?

MR. TUCKER: No. We've been able to use it. But the -- I'm sorry.

MR. MAGUIRE: So the question is we hadn't had a problem in the past. If we continue operations the same, we should not have any problems; is that correct?

MR. TUCKER: I would assume that there's ways to keep that open and available that --

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay.
MR. TUCKER: -- would allow that to occur.

MR. MAGUIRE: My -- my next one. I like your explanation to separate the two, because people do get --

MR. WUELLNER: We do.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- excited that the follow-on
may be a closure or something like that. Is there
any reason why in the master plan we cannot
expressly state what you just said --
MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- about 20, that it will stay
open --

MR. TUCKER: That would be an excellent idea --

MR. MAGUIRE: -- as planned?
MR. TUCKER: -- because $I$ can tell you if the language creeps in about closing it --

MR. MAGUIRE: Well --
MR. TUCKER: -- then somebody later on reading this says, oh, that's on the schedule to close it.

MR. MAGUIRE: Well, I want -- my suggestion is go just the opposite. Put some language in there that there is no intent to close 20 --

MR. TUCKER: Uh-huh.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- it can remain as a tertiary or an emergency runway --

MR. TUCKER: Right.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- as necessary, but everybody has to understand that it will not be funded through traditional FAA proceeds.

But I don't -- I suggest putting something in the master plan that expresses exactly that. Because I tend to agree. For people who fly airplanes, even though it's only one hour, if you're in a little -- if you're in a little Cessna and you don't have one hour of fuel, you've got to go someplace else. It's a big hardship.

But I think we ought to just designate and put in there expressly what the concerns are and say it's not -- there is no intention to close 2/20. MR. BRUNSON: Ed, may I ask this? I think also --

MR. RAYMOS: I agree with that.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.

MR. BRUNSON: Also, some concerns are that because it's not the designated runway for crosswinds, that we're not able to get grants. Are we still going to be able to maintain $2 / 20$ ?

MR. WUELLNER: The Authority is always able to maintain it on their own.

MR. MAGUIRE: We've done it for the last 10 years. We can continue doing it.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And the -- the overall condition, strength, viability of that runway remains solid. It's -- there's no huge fundamental structural issues of that runway or anything else. You're looking at kind of mill and overlay kinds of approaches for years and years to come. The length doesn't even support heavy aircraft on it in the sense of landings and -- and takeoffs. MR. MAGUIRE: And not to say you have to get up and make a speech, but thumbs-up, do y'all agree
with something like that, Len?
MR. TUCKER: (Nods head.)
MR. RAYMOS: I agree with that, Bruce. I think your recommendation is right on target.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. And that brings up -then I suggest we do that, Andrew, put it in there. And you can work out with them the wording on how to do that.

But it raises another question. Why are we putting a training runway parallel to the existing runway if it's going to be shut down the same way that 13/31 is?

MR. HOLESKO: That is to -- just to maintain the -- the aircraft operational flow with the primary runway.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. Just -- okay. But if we did have crosswind issues, that would be shut down, also --

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- yes?
MR. HOLESKO: It wouldn't be used.
MR. MAGUIRE: So for a training strip, it
would lose its functionality under extreme crosswinds.

MR. WUELLNER: Correct.

MR. HOLESKO: Yes.
MR. MAGUIRE: And hopefully that only happens once a year.

MR. WUELLNER: It's more than once a year, but it's not --

MR. MAGUIRE: All right.
MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. I think we've had public comment. And, Mr. Raymos, anything else? Oh, Reba?

MR. RAYMOS: That's it. Thank you, very much.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thanks.
MS. LUDLOW: I just wanted to say one more thing.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reba, you're going to have to go to the mic.

MS. LUDLOW: I just wanted to say one -- whoa, y'all awake? I just wanted to say one more thing. He -- Andrew just said specifically that in the master plan it says they -- you can close down $2 / 20$ and make it a taxiway. Right?

MR. HOLESKO: Yes, that was the preferred option.

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
MR. WUELLNER: That's correct in the context
of how you would pay to maintain the pavement.
In the $F D$-- in the $F A A$ and $F D O T$ world, we're only going to get two runways, period. The only exceptions are certain parallel runway configurations of the large airports where those are absolutely required for operational reasons. MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Well, you know, when we did the --

MR. WUELLNER: We're not that.
MS. LUDLOW: -- did the master plan before, there were a lot of wild cowboys out here, so they didn't care what you put in it because they were going to fly whenever they -- when and however they wanted to fly, but --

MR. WUELLNER: Well -- okay.
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. So, what does it take -who designated $6 / 24$ as the secondary runway? And why could we not change the designation to $2 / 20$ and get funding?

MR. WUELLNER: I -- I feel reasonably
confident that that determination of 6/24 was done in the 2000 -- I'm sorry, the 1995 master plan -MS. LUDLOW: Right. MR. WUELLNER: -- if not prior to that.

MS. LUDLOW: Right.

MR. WUELLNER: So even -MS. LUDLOW: We can change it. MR. WUELLNER: -- before $I$ ever got it here. MS. LUDLOW: Right. We can change it, is what you're saying.

MR. WUELLNER: You can -MS. LUDLOW: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: -- but that takes 6/24 completely off the map for funding, too. MS. LUDLOW: Well, so what? MR. WUELLNER: It's one or the other. MS. LUDLOW: It's in better shape than $2 / 20$ and we need $2 / 20$ in good shape. MR. WUELLNER: I -MS. LUDLOW: We need that. MR. WUELLNER: I agree. But what we're saying is both of them are currently in good shape with absolutely no onus to close either one. There's -it's been going on for 10 , at least 10 years, probably closer to maybe 20 or 30 years, the master plan.

MS. LUDLOW: I think we should look into
redesignating. And, yes, I mean, you're saying they're both in the same good condition. Then why are you worried about 6/24 not being utilized?

MR. WUELLNER: We --
MS. LUDLOW: Why don't you worry about $2 / 20$ not being utilized?

MR. WUELLNER: I -- I think the airport as a whole would need to be able to respond to FAA why the decision was made and how that runway is going to overcome the structural issues -- by that, I mean the airspace obstruct -- what is it I'm trying to say? Part 77 surfaces. That's that I'm trying to get out.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: The Part 77 surface problems that exist with it even today. Because they're going to say, "You've got a perfectly good runway here, that meets the 95 percent criteria --"

MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh.
MR. WUELLNER: "-- why as FAA would I pay for you to change your mind --"

MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh.
MR. WUELLNER: "-- go to this runway, and then overcome all of the issues related to that?"

MS. LUDLOW: I understand.
MR. WUELLNER: That's the question.
MS. LUDLOW: And those people from 1990 aren't still at FAA anyway.

MR. WUELLNER: No.
MS. LUDLOW: Besides that, don't we have a
lawyer on board?
MR. WUELLNER: It's not a --
MS. LUDLOW: Now, does he represent the
airport or only the Airport Authority board?
MR. WUELLNER: It's not a legal matter, first of all.

MS. LUDLOW: Pardon me?
MR. WUELLNER: It's not a legal matter, first
of all --

MS. LUDLOW: Oh.
MR. WUELLNER: -- so it's -- you're not suing
or going after FAA in that kind of a challenge.
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. So you are the one that --
MR. WUELLNER: The rule book is identical.
It's been the same since --
MS. LUDLOW: So you are the one that would file the papers to change it.

MR. WUELLNER: It's not really a -- it's not a paperwork change; it's an adoption within the master plan. It would -- it would require the master plan to designate it.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Master plan.
MR. WUELLNER: If it changes, I'm just saying
the pushback from FAA for changing it would be "Why are we going to pay to come --" you know, "to fix all of these problems when you have a perfectly good runway that meets standards today?" MS. LUDLOW: It's perfectly good -MR. WUELLNER: That's just who they are. MS. LUDLOW: The other one is perfectly good, also. 2/20 is perfectly good. 6/24 is perfectly good.

MR. WUELLNER: Agreed.
MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: But 6/24 meets standards -CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doesn't have impediments. MR. WUELLNER: -- $2 / 20$ does not currently. So FAA -- that's the obvious question FAA would ask. MS. LUDLOW: That's because you designated it the other way.

MR. WUELLNER: No. What I'm saying is, even if I -- we reversed it, the Airport Authority today or whenever they adopt the master plan reversed the order, we're going to get pushback from FAA. They have to sign off on this. MS. LUDLOW: Right. MR. WUELLNER: They're going to push back and go, "Why would we accept a runway that does not
meet standards and the funding criteria -- the funding burden," for lack of better words, "that would develop over time to fix those deficiencies in design?"

MS. LUDLOW: I -- I understand. What you're saying is that we need a lobbyist for FAA to tell them why we want to change it. Well, that can be done.

MR. WUELLNER: No, you don't. What -- I'm
just saying we've got to -- we would have to make the case successfully with FAA to overcome that pushback that was covered.

MS. LUDLOW: I understand.
MR. WUELLNER: That's all.
MS. LUDLOW: So we need a way to convince
them.
MR. WUELLNER: I -- yes. Okay. MS. LUDLOW: Right.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think through the board's discussion, I think Mr. Raymos and -MR. RAYMOS: Pardon me? CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. I said for the board's discussion, if we could consider some language that it's not the intent to close $2 / 20$, kind of -MR. RAYMOS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- what Mr. Wuellner was saying, these are two specifically different issues.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. May I ask -- Andrew's a little more current on the -- I don't see any issues including that kind of language in there, correct?

MR. HOLESKO: No. I was going to summarize and just say what I'm hearing is that we're going to keep $13 / 31$ as the primary. We're going to list 6/24 as the crosswind with full grant eligibility, and $2 / 20$ will remain as the third runway --

MR. WUELLNER: Right.
MR. HOLESKO: -- not eligible for grant funding until such time as it can't be.

MR. WUELLNER: Right. Because FAA typically has no problem -- you can fund and keep a runway open as long as you want on your own dollars.

MR. MAGUIRE: But I want to add in there specific language that it is the intent to not close --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- 20 -- $2 / 20$ in this master plan project. That gives some assurance -it's not a guarantee, but it gives some assurances
to them that $2 / 20$ will remain open.
MR. HOLESKO: Understood.
MR. WUELLNER: And that -- and that kicks it out at least till the next master planning cycle -MR. MAGUIRE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: -- at least 10 years when it's looked at yet again. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. True. MR. WUELLNER: It's a requirement that it's looked at. This isn't something we invented internally to do. It's a part of the normal master planning cycle and process.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Wuellner, then do we need to take some motion and discussion? I mean, we've had discussion.

MR. WUELLNER: If -- if the consensus is that direction, I think he's got all he needs.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
MR. HOLESKO: And I just need clarification of that one item. The rest we're going to proceed with what we had presented to you this month and last month and with -- we'll put into some type of verbiage. I'm sure we're going to talk about how that reads at a future meeting.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. When our next meeting
comes, $I$ know it will be before another master plan, could you just bring us that language so we can just hear it? I know we want you to include it --

MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- just so we can hear
what it --
MR. MAGUIRE: Can I ask that you send that via e-mail out to us after you and Doug and Ed put it together?

MR. HOLESKO: It -- it may not be the next meeting. I think it might be the meeting after --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.
MR. HOLESKO: -- but again, I think --
MR. MAGUIRE: Whenever you get it done.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Whenever you --
MR. HOLESKO: I think what -- what Ed has shared with you, that really airport planning does not need to be -- it's not a legal issue. It's not a lobbyist issue. You as the board have the input, and I'm pretty sure we can come through with some solid language that will make you happy and make everybody happy.

MR. MAGUIRE: I know you can.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you, very much.

> MR. KIRA: May I? We -- we're looking at issues that are really non-issues. $2 / 20$ is right now the least used airport -- or runway we've got. It's the --
> MS. LUDLOW: Because they won't give it to us. MR. KIRA: It's the least used -- it's the least used one and it's the shortest one. We're getting funding from the government for the long -second longest runway for full maintenance. we're not going to get rid of any of them. Actually, I was -- I was more than willing to -- when originally we were talking about putting a -- on our property on the other side, a grass strip. Well, froma grass strip, now we're looking at a -- as a different runway, which is better, but it was something. I'malways looking to assist the airport community, the fliers -- the fliers and the pilots and everything else, not take away from them.
> fewer. on them and give you more options rather than trying to do, we're not trying to decrease your capabilities or your needs, but basically improve So when you are talking about what we're
> missertation going on here was
going over my head for some reason that there was no reason for it.

MS. LUDLOW: That's okay.
MR. BRUNSON: May I say one thing?
CHAIRMAN GREEN: One more, because we've got a lot more items.

MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I -- I know your concerns, and I will say that many years ago when I used to come here late at night and the wind was howling off the ocean, $I$ would pick 13 because that was the longest and safest. I didn't trust to take the short runway. So, I know your feelings and I'm glad we're putting this language in there.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I think we all have the direction I think Mr. Holesko understands.

All right. Next agenda item is the administration policy amendment. ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY AMENDMENT

MR. WUELLNER: Okay. After going through the minutes and the conversations and the sidebars that occurred individually with me after the last board meeting related to the idea of providing educational assistance to in particular Aerospace Academy, I want to kind of -- the lightbulb went off eventually about how perhaps to
move this as a -- as a concept board.
And I'll remind you you have a policy document entitled the airport administrative policy, which if you go back far enough on the board, you recall that we took, $I$ don't know, it was six or eight individual policies that were out there, codified them, and -- and put them into a single policy document that's now the airport administrative policy. That includes things like personnel, purchasing, things along that -- investment policy, other kinds of things.

The approach that I thought made the most sense is one of the -- let me go back. One of the items that was -- one of several items that was giving -- causing heartburn, for lack of better words, was the association of assistance with fuel flowage. And after thinking about it, the two do not in any way need to be tied together in any -that was not really what we were trying to do, although that was a easy way to identify a funding source for that kind of support.

As a result, the -- the proposal you have in front of you today is very narrow in scope. It does really a couple of things. One is establish a budget line item that you guys during your
budgeting process could elect to or elect not to provide some level of funding to -- to the -- to aviation programs.

The second piece of the policy basically creates the who it -- who, meaning the agency that is eligible to receive funding should you desire to provide it, and establish some basic criteria under which you could re -- you could grant funds.

And that includes designating basically a single entity in St. Johns County, that being the St. Johns County School Board, the aviation academy at St. Augustine High School as the -- right now the sole recipient of any money should you wish to. They're the only eligible agency to do that.

It does mention another agency referred to locally as INK!, which is an invest in kids, which is the -- the 501(c)(3) component that does nothing but raise money for schools in -- in the school district.

But within the INK! structure, you can designate funds if necessary. You can designate funds to a specific program or even project and the money will only be provided for that -- that purpose. So it does identify that. It is a 501(c)(3), INK! is.


So there's no proposed fuel increase. There's no other source of revenue that's been identified. If you wish to allocate or appropriate funds in your budget process to this line item should you adopt the policy, you are free to do that during the process. Or don't adopt it, don't have to worry about it. It's your choice.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Board discussion?
Randy?
MR. BRUNSON: Ed, do you feel comfortable -with all of these stipulations and being able to audit, do you feel comfortable that you could administrate it?

MR. WUELLNER: I do. I think the framework's adequate. I think as the individual requests come through, there's absolutely nothing that prohibits you from attaching other conditions onto something, too.

So if you find something objectionable or this particular project causes you concern, we can -- we can put something else on it at the point we -- we allow it to happen.

MR. KIRA: I have a problem with "c". I would just strip that item itself because sometimes, you know, you want to -- you know, you assist them to
get $a$ room here or something and all of a sudden they're not eligible for any other follow-up assistance.

MR. WUELLNER: I think all I was -- all we were trying to say is if there's an ongoing existing agreement out there that's doing that, and I'm not really aware of it, that if it's done within the context of this policy, you allow them to use space or whatever as a part of this, so be it. That's all cool.

MR. KIRA: I'm looking at it from the standpoint if we're doing this, then this could be --

MR. WUELLNER: It could be pulled.
MR. KIRA: -- this is part of the donation, part of the monies given rather than -- if they're already getting -- we're supplying them with water. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. MAGUIRE: Talking about in addition to.

MR. KIRA: Yeah. I'd rather -- I'd rather strip "c" because it -- I don't think it needs it because we get the application, we look at the application --

MR. WUELLNER: On its merits.

MR. KIRA: -- on its merits and --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: And we could bring up you're already getting some free stuff, therefore it's not --

MR. KIRA: We could bring it up and basically decrease or whatever. It's our call, not theirs.

MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
MR. BRUNSON: I'd -- I'd like to say again, I like this policy and I'm glad the statements you made. It doesn't tie it to the fuel cost or anything because that was my concern. If and when we do that, I'd like to make that as low as possible. Let's start out slow, see how this works, on and on.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, what this does is gives the -- the Authority options. So it opens up a line item. We don't have to fund it. It doesn't have to be there at all. And if something -emergency comes up or needs it, now we have the authority to reconsider it. So I kind of like it. MR. WUELLNER: And a place for it to come out of the budget.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Out of the budget, right.
MR. MAGUIRE: I'd like to see something put in there that it does not have to be funded.

The implication when $I$ read it is it's a line

```
item, which may imply to third-party people that
it's automatically going to be funded every year,
and it's not.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
    MR. MAGUIRE: It's purely going to be --
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: A line item.
    MR. MAGUIRE: -- a line item subject to our
    whim or whoever --
    MR. KIRA: The discretion of the board.
    MR. MAGUIRE: -- the board is. Okay. So
it's -- it's not a mandatory. I don't want
somebody to come in and say "It's there, I want the
money" --
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
    MR. MAGUIRE: -- okay?
    MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, it's not earmarked
money, that's for sure.
    MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. Number "d," I'm getting
picky now, applicant may not use any financial for
the purposes of salary, salary-offset, "or"
stipend, because I stumbled --
    MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
    MR. MAGUIRE: I had to read that three times
the figure out what it meant.
```

MR. WUELLNER: You've got it.
MR. MAGUIRE: And now I'm going to talk legalese, because I've always had problems with attorneys at government levels.

Make sure that there's -- the difference between "may" and "shall" is correct, because I've got different opinions from different government attorneys as to what means what. And if Doug is -is okay with the "may," that's okay with me. I just always had problems with that.
"f", Material or Professional Service
purchases. Doesn't it say up top that applicants must be a component of the district? So that would -- wouldn't that be contradictory to "a"? A professional service --

MR. WUELLNER: Oh.
MR. MAGUIRE: -- cannot be given money unless it's a component of the school district.

MR. WUELLNER: No, that's not what we're
trying to say.
We're trying to say that the provision of professional services as a part of a request or the purchase of materials by us as a part of their request to be given to them is made in compliance with our purchasing policy.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the "shall". Sorry, that's the "shall".

MR. MAGUIRE: That's the "shall". Okay. I like the program and I admit that it advances what our objective is.

MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.

MR. MAGUIRE: I like it. I just don't want to be mandated every year, so I like this.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reba, you're the only one that had comment.

MS. LUDLOW: No comment.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And, Galin, you did, too.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Just very quick.
As SAAPA, we very much support the aviation aerospace education program, very much behind it. And when $I$ briefed this at our last meeting, it came out -- one of the persons came out with a rather novel way of funding it which I want to let you guys know.

MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
MR. HERNANDEZ: What he -- what he mentioned was we've got a restaurant area that's been closed for going on what, two years, that we're not making
a certain amount of money.
So if they would lower the -- the cost of the rent for that to market value, whatever the market will hold, and use that money to fund the -- the help fund the aerospace education program, that might be an option.

And that was just something that they brought up as a -- as a possible funding source. Because like I said, SAAPA really would like to -- to help out and were trying to figure out how can -- how can the board -- the Airport Authority fund it, and that was just an option that came up. I thought that was pretty interesting.

MR. MAGUIRE: And, Galin, I appreciate the opportunity. I don't want to have it tied to any funding source because that -- that implies money's there, I want it. So I don't want it tied to any funding source at all.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Completely agree.
What I'm saying is that an option of getting some kind of funding that you can then earmark as needed. But it cannot be earmarked saying "You will have X amount of money." That -- that should not be. I completely agree with you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. No more public
comment. We need I guess a motion from the board. Bruce, since you came up with a couple of the -MR. WUELLNER: We can adopt as written with the changes -CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: -- if you're all good with that. If you want something else -MR. MAGUIRE: I make a motion to approve it with the changes recommended -MR. BRUNSON: I second that. MR. KIRA: Which changes? CHAIRMAN GREEN: That would be to strike -MR. KIRA: Are we going to strip "c"? CHAIRMAN GREEN: Strike "c". MR. MAGUIRE: Strip "c". MR. WUELLNER: Strike "c". MR. KIRA: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: "No obligation to fund" statement included in there, and Doug's review for "mays" and "shalls".

MR. MAGUIRE: Just to make sure. MR. KIRA: Now we've got them all. CHAIRMAN GREEN: So there's a -MR. WUELLNER: And I -- I made mention in here, but just to remind you that this -- the
format you're seeing it in today will not be the format it eventually is. If you weren't going to adopt it, it's a massive task to make this format fit that in that bigger, so we didn't go there until it's ready. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: So, but it will -- exact same. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We've had a motion and discussion. MR. KIRA: Second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in -- and we've got a second. All in favor? MR. BRUNSON: Aye. MR. MAGUIRE: Aye. MR. KIRA: Aye. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed? (None.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. It's adopted with the changes. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Rehab. TRANSIENT AIRCRAFT APRON REHAB BID AWARD MR. WUELLNER: All right. Next one is kind of a -- I would refer to it as a good news story. We -- I know I briefed you in the past, but

Passero and -- and myself went down to FAA last January, I believe it was, may have been February, and lobbied for the rehab of the FBO area apron and kind of walked out of there surprising ourselves, but walked out of there with their commitment to fund basically a $\$ 4.4$ million project to rehab that, to include a section you probably remember me saying of concrete for -- for larger aircraft in the FBO area.

After the engineering was completed and we bid this job, it now -- it came in, we had a surprising, a nice low bid of $\$ 3,058,327$ submitted by Halifax Paving, which is a company we've used before -- or has been the low bidder, I should say, on jobs here on the airport before. That's a cool $\$ 900,000$ below what FAA originally thought. So, we're -- we're excited to recommend that Halifax be awarded the apron rehab job in the amount of $\$ 3,058,327$.

Of course that's subject to FAA's concurrence in the bid tab and DOT's concurrence in the bid tab and -- and of course contingent upon receipt of the grant to ultimately pay for all that. But everything looks to be on track for that.

Likely won't fund till very close to

September 1st, but I don't -- we don't have an exact date. They don't -- they don't tell you that; they just surprise you a few days -- with a few days' notice. MR. BRUNSON: Wow. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Board discussion? I just -there were five bids, and I guess they were all -oh. MR. BURNETT: Apologize. CHAIRMAN GREEN: No problem. They were all like kind. Nothing really stood out. MR. WUELLNER: The bids were -- what was it, about -- what'd we decide? About -MR. HOLESKO: 3.7. CHAIRMAN GREEN: About $\$ 3$ million to 3.7, would you say? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: But as far as materials and all that, they were all like kind. MR. WUELLNER: Well, those are determined by the engineer, so they're bidding the exact same quantities, so...

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I -- that's what I wanted to
make sure. Okay. Reba or --
MS. LUDLOW: No comment, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- Galin? Okay. MR. MAGUIRE: I make a motion we approve. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? MR. KIRA: Second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board discussion? (None.)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor, aye? MR. BRUNSON: Aye. MR. MAGUIRE: Aye. MR. KIRA: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. Opposed?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Passes.
MR. BURNETT: And I will just add as a footnote to all this, there was a lease amendment with the FBO back in 2015 and part of that was to take care of this part of the work within five years, so we're well in advance of that 2020 timeline.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, if they're giving us the money -MR. WUELLNER: We'll take it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- we'll take it. MR. MAGUIRE: Uh-huh.

## PRELIMINARY BUDGET PRESENTATION

MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item, and I think it's the last --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Preliminary budget and then we have TRIM.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the last --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's all together, I guess.
MR. WUELLNER: -- this kind of leads to that.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
MR. WUELLNER: I'll hit the highlights and give you a chance to absorb it a little bit and then we'll move on.

All right. Key budget development. The capital -- I wanted to make you aware of one of the sort of interesting sort of cash flowing items that we had to look at this year was, you know, we committed to doing the $T$-hangar project, that was a huge match.

And given that the Airport Authority only
typically budgets round numbers, \$1.3 to \$1.4
million a year of our operating dollars get
allocated to capital. So doing a project the size
and scope of this where it's a 50/50 funding required us to be a little creative in putting it together. The time that we had in the current year
allowed us to prepare partially for that cash flow.
We're going to hit -- you know, we're going to
talk through it here, but we're going to hit
reserves kind of hard next year. I'll -- I'll walk
you through that in a second. But by the next
year, by next year's budget, we see that
replenished and back moving forward. So it's
just -- it's just kind of a temporary. It does not
kill us from a cash flow purpose, but it does
require us to pay attention as -- as typically we
would anyway.
Cap -- I'll walk through it. We had -- you
know, we're still trying to get our arms around
items like the -- exactly where air service levels
establish as we get closer to September. We're
hearing good things about -- about some service,
but it's not committed, so $I$ can't really jump it
into a budget right now and -- and make sense of
it.
Another item that's out there is -- you know,
I mentioned earlier in the meeting about the FAA
additional money that could be available very
quickly. That's not dealt with in here. But we
should know by the time we get to the public
hearing phases in September. So it can be
accommodated and adjusted as needed.
Of course insurances as a whole, not only just everything from health insurance all the way down to workers' comp, including property and liabilities and all of those things, are a variable until the numbers come in in -- typically in August. So we'll be -- you know, this is not a final number. It will still have some -- some adjustments to be made as those numbers come out.

So with that being said, in an effort to gain internal comfort, meaning for myself, and to also show you what $I$ was talking about, we did also prepare -- in addition to the one-year budget, we also proposed a five-year sort of projection budget that gives you -- you know, is easier to understand perhaps how the cash flow side of a project like the $T$-hangar project kind of comes in and then ebbs again.

So let me walk through the single year. And as necessary we'll refer to the five-year.

MR. BRUNSON: Ed, while I've got it on my mind, let me ask you one quick question.

MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.

MR. BRUNSON: You said we're going to be hitting reserves next year.

MR. WUELLNER: This -- yes, this coming year, yes.

MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Does this violate any
credit line --
MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
MR. BRUNSON: -- agreements that we have?
MR. WUELLNER: We have -- we have no open
loans --
MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: -- per se. We have no money
borrowed against anyone.
MR. BRUNSON: Right. I just mean credit
lines.
MR. WUELLNER: No.
MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Walking through -(Mr. Maguire exits the room.)

MR. WUELLNER: -- and I like to start with the second page as -- kind of traditionally because it helps build a picture to what the summary is on the first page. Maybe I ought to just change the order of the sheets some day.

But in any case, walking through revenues, fuel service. Net self-service for us is about $\$ 112,000$ this year. It's a -- most of these are in
the 2 percent kind of ranges, 2 percent increase there. Net FBO is at $\$ 124,548$. For a total of $\$ 236,748$ projected under fuel sales.

Leases. Commercial leases projected to generate $\$ 455,390$. Corporate leases $\$ 303,101$. Major leases $\$ 1,707,815$. Other, which is where our -- is $\$ 323,009$. And rental is at $\$ 733,470$. The rental category, just to remind you is, where the $T$-hangar numbers show up.

Airline operations and revenue will be $\$ 227,325$. Operating agreements, another $\$ 4,634$ in revenue. And miscellaneous, this is use fees all over, at $\$ 80,000$. So another $\$ 311,959$ under the agreements.

For a total hundred percent revenue projection of $\$ 4,071,491$. By statute, we're required to reduce that to 95 percent level. That leaves us $\$ 3,867,917$ in projected revenues next year. (Mr. Maguire re-enters the room.)

MR. WUELLNER: Expense side. Personnel and benefits, the same number of staff. A few adjustments relative to projected airline hours. It's still about a half a percent, is the only major difference. Airline operations, this is the expense side, typically this is airport rescue and
firefighting and law enforcement-related services at $\$ 30,000$.

Professional services has a myriad of things. Anything that's -- would be by the chart of accounts that listed under professional services. These are non-grant type projects. So this is not normal fees.

Travel and per diem, looking at how we expended money this year, we can -- we can live with about $\$ 12,000$ this year. Technology at $\$ 60,000$. Utilities at $\$ 150-$. That's slightly down slightly down. We didn't expend what we had budgeted this year. Repairs and maintenance holding steady at $\$ 275,000$.

Outside communications, this is still at $\$ 200,000$. This is where marketing and those kinds of things play into. There's -- it's a broad list of consultant services for airline -- airline marketing, general marketing, promotionals, those kinds of $P R$, all that gets lumped together under that line. It's the same as last year.

Government obligations, this is almost entirely made up -- this is the cost to -- to cover U.S. Customs, since we don't really have any property taxes remaining. Operating expenditures,
identical to this year at $\$ 132,000$. Publications
and memberships at $\$ 15-$. And professional
development, again, flat at $\$ 8,000$.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: You skipped office expense.
MR. WUELLNER: Did I?
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
MR. WUELLNER: I --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: \$20-.
MR. WUELLNER: \$20-, yes. Office expenditures
of $\$ 20-$. Thank you. I walked right over it.
So total projected expenditures of $\$ 2,515,947$.
When you subtract that from projected revenues,
that leaves you $\$ 1,351,970$. That could go toward
capital or other kinds of expenditures on the next
page.

You can see we carry that forward. Normally that's where debt service and the like would come out. Since we don't have any, there's none to pull out. Remains after adjusted from operations is still the same.

So capital, walking -- I'll walk through the projects here in a second. Let me deal with the totals first. Projected FAA funding of $\$ 3,780,000$ next year. FDOT funding of $\$ 3,103,500$. No FIND district grants that are open or projected within
the next fiscal year. Leaving an Airport Authority
match for next year of $\$ 3,103,000$ even. I'm sorry,
$\$ 3,103,500$ estimated for next year.
If you look at the next line, you'll see the
expenditures related to construction and planning
total $\$ 9,987,000$. That is a 69 percent increase in
capital expenditure next year. Equipment at
$\$ 50,000$. There's no PFC projects or cap -- or
revenue source for that matter this year. So a
total expenditure on the capital side projected at
$\$ 10,037,000$.
When you do the math there, you'll see we have
a shortfall. Even after eating up the -- the
entirety of the $\$ 1.3$ million we carry forward, we
have a shortfall of $\$ 1,801,530$. Sorry.
$\$ 1,801,530$. All right. That would be funded out
of reserves.
I do want to point out that in preparation for
that, this current budget has put in an additional
$\$ 1,000,000$ this year into that number. So if you
look at it in a -- in a very simple matter,
\$1 million of the $\$ 1,800,000$ was this year's money
moved forward for next year. So it's from
planning. Leaving a total revenue and expenditure
budgets of $\$ 11,525,470$.

Let me quick walk through the capital projects for you. That's the next page. That is a balanced budget at this point. It -- it would be required to be statutorily.

Again, equipment and nongrant-related improvements, this includes I believe a fresh coat of paint in the GA terminal area next year, is covered in equipment and nongrant since there's no FAA or $F D O T$ source of grant funds.

Apron project estimated at $\$ 4$ million. That is probably going to come down a little once we have our full grant application package submitted. That should free up a little bit and improve the reserve side at even a little bit more.

Airline terminal area improvements, this is -at $\$ 700,000$. This is a grant we have open with Florida DOT from a couple of years back. You have until June of next year to expend the state share of that at a 50/50. So there's budgeted match of \$350- each.

T-hangars $B$ and $C$, these are the rehab hangars. These would start typically right after January of this year -- or in January, depending on how it plays. That is at $\$ 1,750,000$. This has not been bid, so I don't have an exact-exact number and
won't have till we're in next year, but that's the budgeted amount and the engineer's estimate of it.

T-hangars rows $D$ and $F$, which are the new hangars, these are 12 -unit $T$-hangars, $\$ 3,157,000$, of which the Airport Authority splits that with Florida DOT.

Next is the airport master plan. There's about $\$ 200,000$ estimated to be left in the project at the point we enter the next fiscal year.

And last is the expand corporate hangar
Number 6. This is again an open grant with Florida DOT that we've had for a while. You've got until I believe it's March of next year to expend this money. This adds I think it's 20 -- I think I mentioned it a couple of meetings ago, but about 20 -- roughly 20,25 feet of depth to an existing tenant's hangar out there, and of course there's a revenue source that will be tied to that, too.

Again, recaps -- recapturing the percentage of funding, that's about 38 percent federal funds in the total capital projects, 31 percent in state funds. Again, no FIND district money. And the Airport Authority has about a 31 percent share of the total capital expenditures projected for next year.

Next page talks quickly -- or just shows you how the personnel expenditures lines up. It's pretty much 1 -- it starts out at 1.8 percent, but I believe we are expecting to recapture -- we had overestimated this last year on what the health insurance costs and contributions would be, and those have come down over last year. So that's -brings the total increase related to personnel side of the budget to one-half of 1 percent. Same number of people employed, 12 full time, 4 part time.

And now, looking at the summary, which would be when you fold that sheet back, you're staring at it again. At 95 percent revenues, the total revenues would be $\$ 3,867,917$ versus non-operating revenues, which is grant -- includes grant revenues. And reserves forward is at $\$ 10,223,500$. For a total revenue picture of $\$ 14,091,417$. Personnel on the expense side of $\$ 1,062,447$. Operating expenses of $\$ 1,453,500$. Leaving a reserves of $\$ 1,538,470$ for next year. And a non-operating -- or, I'm sorry, capital of $\$ 10,037,000$. For a balanced budget on expenses of $\$ 14,091,417$.

So all that to be said is that this budget as
you expect and we expect includes no ad valorem revenues. So there's no tax money, no local tax money going into the budget or --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: And no borrowing.
MR. WUELLNER: -- proposed, and no borrowing.
So, with that, we are happy -- this is not something to be adopted today. You're welcome to hit me with questions over the next few weeks or whatever, but we'll generally head toward the budgeting public hearings in September.

Those are identified in the back of your regular agenda for the -- at least the first date is on there. And the proposed second date, but that's -- we have to include with the TRIM notification the date of the first public hearing because that gets published with it. TRIM ACTION

MR. WUELLNER: With that, we are recommending that the TRIM millage be set at zero again this coming year. And the Authority would need to adopt a millage rate as a not to exceed, which is what your TRIM notice is.

And the TRIM -- then that's communicated to the property appraiser and tax collector's office and to the state. And that would be your not to
exceed. So, in the event you wanted to do taxes next year, you've got all kinds of issues if you adopt that.

MR. BURNETT: And --
MR. WUELLNER: It kind of locks the door.
MR. BURNETT: -- Mr. Wuellner, is it staff's
recommendation that the TRIM be at 6.5?
MR. WUELLNER: No.
MR. BURNETT: No.
MR. WUELLNER: No, it is not.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what the calculator says on there.

MR. BURNETT: I couldn't resist.
MR. WUELLNER: That's even worse because that exceeds your charter ability on top of it, so...

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just had one question.
MR. WUELLNER: That's the total proceeds. I'm just kidding.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We didn't talk about the five-year budget projection. That's fine.

MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just had one quick question on reserves. I understand because we have some big capital outlays coming up with the hangars and the capital projects we have, and I understand where

```
it's going down from three-plus to one-five, and
    then I see building.
    MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: It goes down again in '21 to
    '22. Is that another phase of --
        MR. WUELLNER: There's -- it's hangars.
    There's -- the way the current capital improvement
    program's set up with the state and FAA, we expect
    that there'll be a couple of projects that hit in
    that year.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
    MR. WUELLNER: It's part of what's programmed.
    It doesn't mean it will kind of flow out that way.
    We can make adjustments and start -- start dates
    and completion dates. It will -- it will look
    different than that when you get out there.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: And again, this doesn't
include anything commercial service that may --
    MR. WUELLNER: No.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- or --
    MR. WUELLNER: No. And I did -- I made a note
I wanted to make mention to you.
    One of the things that we can do something
later -- there's a little time. But one of the
things that we had -- it has taken us a while to
```

find somebody who was -- who knew how to do this, but we have gotten a preliminary report related to property -- the property we own west related to putting together a forest management program over there on that vacant land, and we have finally gotten a preliminary report. I'll be happy to send that to you in an e-mail attachment. But basically they're making recommendations for some cuttings on tracts that we've purchased in the last few years and then some replanting things and some future cutting. So you can look through that and see what -- the recommendations. There aren't dollar figures associated with this. I was involved in this kind of a program when I managed Hernando County on the west coast of Florida. It's a huge potential revenue source to the airport long time as well as providing a good ecological resource within the -- within the community.

The cutting and planting and the forestry management piece of this is -- could be very good for the Airport Authority over time. It allows you complete flexibility as you go.

The beauty of these plans, too, are that the consultant does not get paid until you market
timber. So there's no --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: That was my question.
MR. WUELLNER: There's no money out on the table, no paying annual fees, no hopes trees grow. You know, until it hits the -- hits the mill, whether it be poles, pulp, chip and saw, veneer, whatever the size tree, you pay nothing till it hits that and everybody gets paid.

And it's -- I recall we put a hundred acres or thereabouts, 120 acres, at Hernando County, this is $20-\mathrm{plus}$ years ago, and that was about $\$ 300,000$ in revenue to just hit the door all of a sudden. So I think it's worth exploring.

We'll get you the report. We can -- the earliest recommendation cutting was $I$ think late this fall or early next year. So there's nothing going to happen till those kind of timelines anyway.

Typically those consultants, if they're doing
your -- doing the work for you, they are very much paying attention to what's going on in the overall market and relative to rainfall and some weird things so that they can try to time it to where you get maximum dollar at the -- at the mill when it hits there versus just shoving it in line with a

```
    million other people trying to sell trees.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Board I guess
    discussion. The only thing we need to -- is the
    not to exceed.
```

    MR. WUELLNER: Is your -- is your TRIM notice.
    Yeah, your TRIM millage.
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any board discussion?
        MR. MAGUIRE: No.
        MR. KIRA: No.
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: Galin? Okay.
        MR. HERNANDEZ: One question. On the -- one
        of the slides, you said known changes was a -- it
        was one of the first slides. That this
        incorporates known changes. What do you mean by --
        MR. WUELLNER: Let me --
        MR. HERNANDEZ: -- known changes? It was one
    of the first couple of slides.
        MR. WUELLNER: Related to the budget?
        MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah.
        MR. BURNETT: Reflects no assumptions.
        MR. HERNANDEZ: Reflects known changes. What
    do you mean by that?
        MR. WUELLNER: Oh, known. All the variables
        we know today that we can --
        MR. HERNANDEZ: From --
    MR. WUELLNER: -- we can materially put in there.

MR. HERNANDEZ: What would -- what would a couple be? Like?

MR. WUELLNER: Adjustments to leases from -that have like CPI adjustments or the like. Based on whatever we know today, that's what we've built the budget on.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. Because we were talking about this at SAAPA. The concern is that -- with members is that the expenses are being adjusted to justify revenues. That's why we said known changes, what's that? MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah. MR. HERNANDEZ: That was just a concern of ours. Thanks. MR. WUELLNER: Understood. CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the only other person was Reba with any public comment. I don't -- okay. So, back to the board. Do I have a motion and a second to adopt the --

MR. MAGUIRE: I make a motion to adopt the TRIM at zero, not to exceed. MR. KIRA: Second. MR. BRUNSON: I second.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board discussion? So it's adopting staff's recommendation, correct? MR. MAGUIRE: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right. No further
discussion. All in favor?

MR. BRUNSON: Aye.

MR. MAGUIRE: Aye.
MR. KIRA: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN GREEN: None. Okay.

MR. BURNETT: And the technical notice may say 0.0000 .

CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I said staff's recommendation.

Okay. Public comment. Tracy? Or Tammy. I said Tracy. Tower.

PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL
MR. BRUNSON: She's not public, she's tower.

MS. ALBIN: I just wanted to clarify something with the runway situation.

Runway $2 / 20$, Runway $6 / 24$, Runway $13 / 31$, it doesn't matter, all runways are available at St. Augustine should they be requested. You may not get it as quickly as you'd like based on
traffic load and complexity, aerobatic box, whatever, but all runways are available if they are asked for.

There have been times that we have even offered the shorter runways based on the winds and have been turned down for the longer runway. So all runways are available to the flying public at St. Augustine regardless.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mr. Hernandez, any public comment?

MR. HERNANDEZ: No.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reba, any further public
comment?
MS. LUDLOW: No comment.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then that's all I have for our public. Then our authority members, Mr. Maguire?

AUTHORITY MEMBERS COMMENTS \& REPORTS
MR. MAGUIRE: No, ma'am.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Kira?
MR. KIRA: At the last transportation planning meeting, $I$ presented the fact that we're on -we're basically over 140 -- we're actually shooting to break the takeoffs and landings operations at the airport and we're going to beat out St. Aug --

Jacksonville easily on takeoffs and landings. And -- and announced that Elite Airways is going to be one of our customers. That's -- so they all cheered.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hopefully they'll keep cheering.

MR. KIRA: They'll keep cheering.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Brunson?
MR. BRUNSON: No.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Raymos, on --
telephonically, do you have any comment?
MR. RAYMOS: The only thing I have is on the
EDC, I was just elected to another three-year term on the EDC to begin 1 October of this year.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Congratulations.
MR. BRUNSON: Congratulations.
MR. RAYMOS: Thank you. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And I actually don't have any comments.

I was going to con -- just make note that
Mr. Raymos most was appearing telephonically, but I think that slid -- slid that in there.

I know it's campaign season. I wish everybody good luck with everything, including all our commissioners and all of the people that are

> running and what have you. It's always a fun but interesting time. I hope everyone gets informed. All right. Our proposed next meeting, which is not necessarily needed, if needed, would be August l3th at $4: 00$. So it depends on -- just pencil it in if needed. I'm sure we'll get notice from Cindy or the Authority as to whether it's required or not.
> So after that would be September 10, which also has our proposed first public hearing, which we start at 4:00, close at $5: 01$, have the public hearing, and then recommence if necessary. And then the final public hearing would be one week later, September lith. So the loth and $17 t h$ are significant dates, okay? Nothing else being said, we are adjourned. (Meeting adjourned at $5: 56$ p.m.)
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| MR. BRUNSON: [32] 10/1 10/5 10/8 | \$1,453,500 [1] 95/20 | 103 [1] 2/12 |
| 12/12 12/18 20/19 22/9 23/13 33/4 | \$1,538,470 [1] 95/21 | 104 [2] 1/17 2/13 |
| 33/8 56/3 56/7 69/3 69/6 73/9 75/6 | \$1,707,815 [1] 89/6 | 106 [1] 2/14 |
| 80/9 81/12 83/4 84/7 87/20 87/23 88/2 | \$1,750,000 [1] 93/24 | 107 [1] 2/15 |
| 88/5 88/8 88/11 88/14 102/24 103/5 | \$1,800,000 [1] 92/22 | 109,443 [1] 4/13 |
| 103/18 105/8 105/15 | \$1,801,530 [2] 92/15 92/16 | 10th [1] 106/14 |
| MR. BURNETT: [14] 22/12 23/6 23/14 | \$1.3 [2] 85/20 92/14 | 110,000 [1] 4/5 |
| 23/18 23/20 23/23 83/8 84/13 97/3 | \$1.4[1] 85/20 | 12 [1] 95/10 |
| 97/5 97/8 97/12 101/19 103/11 | \$10,037,000 [2] 92/11 95/23 | 12-unit [1] 94/4 |
| MR. HERNANDEZ: [18] 18/5 41/19 | \$10,223,500 [1] 95/17 | 120 [1] 100/10 |
| 42/5 42/14 45/11 45/24 78/14 78/22 | \$11,525,470 [1] 92/25 | 1200 [1] 7/6 |
| 79/18 101/10 101/15 101/18 101/20 | \$112,000 [1] 88/25 | 13 [4] 43/1 44/25 46/17 69/10 |
| 101/24 102/2 102/8 102/14 104/10 | \$12,000 [1] 90/10 | 13/31 [7] 26/25 41/24 48/1 48/4 52/16 |
| MR. HOLESKO: [29] 22/16 23/5 24/2 | \$124,548 [1] 89/2 | 57/12 65/10 |
| 33/6 33/9 34/3 34/22 34/24 35/12 | \$132,000 [1] 91/1 | 13/32 [2] 41/24 42/19 |
| 36/13 42/3 42/8 47/16 50/1 50/10 | \$14,091,417 [2] 95/18 95/24 | 131 [1] 44/12 |
| 52/21 52/23 57/12 57/20 57/25 58/21 | \$15 [1] 91/2 | 132,000 [1] 18/24 |
| 65/7 65/13 66/1 66/18 67/10 67/13 | \$150 [1] 90/11 | 13th [1] 106/5 |
| 67/16 83/13 | \$2,515,947 [1] 91/11 | 140 [1] 104/23 |
| MR. JOHNSON: [1] 49/11 | \$20 [3] 91/8 91/9 91/10 | 141,000 [1] 18/18 |
| MR. KIRA: [39] 3/12 3/22 6/22 7/2 | \$200,000 [2] 90/16 94/8 | 15 [7] 2/7 38/18 38/20 38/21 44/20 |
| 7/11 8/13 9/3 13/24 14/3 14/8 14/10 | \$227,325 [1] 89/11 | 44/20 52/23 |
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| 74/24 75/3 76/8 80/10 80/12 80/16 | \$3 [1] 83/15 | 15-knot [1] 38/25 |
| 80/21 81/9 81/14 84/3 84/9 101/8 | \$3,058,327 [2] 82/12 82/19 | 150-plus [1] 18/22 |
| 102/23 103/7 104/20 105/6 | \$3,103,000 [1] 92/2 | 1510 [1] 1/21 |
| MR. MAGUIRE: [84] 3/8 3/10 3/15 | \$3,103,500 [2] 91/24 92/3 | 16 [1] 31/7 |
| 3/21 6/21 10/22 10/24 11/12 11/18 | \$3,157,000 [1] 94/4 | 17-mile [1] 38/15 |
| 12/10 12/20 12/24 13/6 13/12 13/14 | \$3,780,000 [1] 91/23 | 17th [3] 106/14 106/14 107/10 |
| 13/17 13/20 13/22 19/9 19/11 19/19 | \$3,867,917 [2] 89/18 95/15 | 1990 [1] 61/24 |
| 20/1 20/15 20/18 33/3 33/18 34/21 | \$30,000 [1] 90/2 | 1995 [1] 59/22 |
| 34/23 35/10 36/6 36/21 53/18 53/24 | \$300,000 [1] 100/11 | 1:00 [1] 37/23 |
| 54/1 54/6 54/12 54/14 54/18 54/23 | \$303,101 [1] 89/5 | 1st [2] 9/22 83/1 |
| 55/2 55/5 55/8 55/12 55/15 56/13 | \$311,959 [1] 89/13 | 2 |
| 56/23 57/4 57/15 57/19 57/21 58/1 | \$323,009 [1] 89/7 | 2 |
| 58/5 65/18 65/22 66/4 67/7 67/14 | \$350 [1] 93/20 | 2/20 [38] 28/11 28/13 28/20 37/13 |
| 67/23 74/18 75/22 76/4 76/6 76/9 | \$4 [1] 93/10 | 43/23 45/10 45/17 47/20 47/22 48/8 |
| 76/14 76/18 76/23 77/1 77/16 77/25 | \$4,071,491 [1] 89/16 | 48/10 48/11 48/23 49/1 49/21 51/10 |
| 78/3 78/7 79/13 80/7 80/14 80/20 | \$4,634 [1] 89/11 | 51/11 51/17 51/18 52/4 52/7 52/7 |
| 81/13 84/1 84/8 84/23 101/7 102/21 | \$4.4 [1] 82/6 | 52/11 54/3 56/3 56/11 58/20 59/18 |
| 103/2 103/6 104/18 | \$455,390 [1] 89/5 | 60/12 60/13 61/2 63/8 63/14 64/24 |
| MR. NEHRING: [1] 18/7 | \$50,000 [1] 92/8 | 65/12 65/23 66/1 68/2 |
| MR. RAYMOS: [11] 46/3 46/6 49/7 | \$60,000 [1] 90/11 | 2/20's [1] 53/20 |
| 49/13 56/5 57/2 58/10 64/20 64/24 | \$700,000 [1] 93/16 | 20 [62] 4/4 28/6 28/11 28/13 28/20 |
| 105/11 105/16 | \$733,470 [1] 89/7 | 37/10 37/13 40/21 42/20 42/23 43/13 |
| MR. TUCKER: [12] 37/1 37/5 37/7 | \$8,000 [1] 91/3 | 43/16 43/22 43/23 45/3 45/10 45/17 |
| 54/4 54/10 54/13 54/25 55/3 55/6 | \$80,000 [2] 15/23 89/13 | 46/12 46/15 46/19 47/9 47/15 47/20 |
| 55/11 55/14 57/1 | \$9,987,000 [1] 92/6 | 47/22 48/8 48/10 48/11 48/23 49/1 |
| MR. WUELLNER: [166] | \$900,000 [1] 82/16 | 49/21 50/22 51/10 51/11 51/17 51/18 |
| MS. ALBIN: [12] 18/10 19/6 19/8 19/10 19/15 19/20 20/8 20/16 20/23 |  | 52/4 52/7 52/7 52/11 54/3 54/24 55/11 <br> 56/3 56/11 58/20 59/18 60/12 60/13 |
| 22/10 36/12 103/19 | '05 [2] 52/20 52/21 | 60/20 61/2 63/8 63/14 64/24 65/12 |
| MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: [2] 22/21 | '06 [1] 52/20 | 65/23 65/23 66/1 68/2 94/14 94/16 |
| 22/25 | '13 [1] 53/1 | 94/16 103/22 |
| MS. LUDLOW: [45] 23/4 40/17 57/18 | '14 [1] 53/1 | 20's [2] 53/19 53/20 |
| 58/12 58/16 58/23 59/6 59/9 59/15 | '21 [1] 98/4 | 20,751 [1] 4/12 |
| 59/22 59/24 60/1 60/3 60/6 60/9 60/11 | '22 [1] 98/5 | 20-plus [2] 37/11 100/11 |
| 60/14 60/21 61/1 61/10 61/15 61/18 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 200,000[1] 15 / 18 \\ & 2000[1] 59 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 62/14 62/17 62/23 63/4 63/6 63/10 | 0.0000 [1] 103/13 | 2015 [1] 84/16 |
| 63/15 63/22 64/4 64/12 64/14 64/17 | 0150 [1] 37/24 | 2016 [1] 18/17 |
| 68/4 69/2 78/11 83/24 104/13 | 0570 [1] 1/22 | 2017 [1] 18/24 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 2018 & 107 / 10 & 1 / 6 \\ & 107 \end{array}$ |


| 2 | $825-0570[1] \quad 1 / 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { adjourned [2] 106/16 106/17 } \\ & \text { ADJOURNMENT [1] 2/14 } \\ & \text { adjusted [3] 87/1 91/19 102/11 } \\ & \text { adjustments [5] 87/9 89/22 98/14 102/5 } \\ & 102 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 [1] 27/4 |  |  |  |
| 207 [1] 27/4 | 9 |  |  |
| 21,000 [1] 4/4 | 9,000 [1] $19 / 23$90 [2] $10 / 1339 / 2$904 [1] $1 / 22$95 [8] $7 / 1431 / 631 / 731 / 851 / 24 \quad 61 / 15$$89 / 1795 / 14$96 [1] $2 / 11$$9 B[1] 17 / 14$ |  |  |
| 2 |  | administrate [1] 73/13 <br> administration [2] 40/22 69/17 <br> administrative [4] 2/9 69/18 70/3 70/8 <br> Administrator [1] 4/16 <br> admit [1] 78/5 <br> adopt [11] 16/19 47/1 63/20 73/5 73/6 <br> 80/3 81/3 96/20 97/3 102/21 102/22 |  |
| 23 [1] 47/20 |  |  |  |
| 24 [25] 27/10 27/24 37/24 43/23 44/25 |  |  |  |
| 46/12 47/10 47/20 47/22 48/5 48/9 |  |  |  |
| 48/16 48/18 48/23 49/21 50/24 52/14 |  |  |  |
| 59/17 59/21 60/8 60/25 63/8 63/12 |  |  |  |
| 5/11 103/22 | A $\|$adopted [2] 81/18 96/7 <br> adopting [1] 103/2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24th [1] 16/18 | A1A [1] $15 / 9$ adoption [1] $62 / 21$  <br> abide [1] $40 / 3$ advance [1] 84/18  <br> ability [9] $25 / 9$ <br> advances [1] $28 / 5$ |  |  |
| 25 [2] 39/10 94/16 25-knot [1] 42/23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 25-k n o t ~[1] ~ 42 / 23 ~ \\ 29 \text { [1] 38/5 } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \quad$ able [12] 7/8 12/10 43/1 43/6 45/6 $\quad$ aerobatic [1] 104/1 |  |  |  |
| 3.7 [2] 83/14 83/15 | able [12] $7 / 812 / 1043 / 143 / 645 / 6$  <br> $49 / 1754 / 556 / 1056 / 1156 / 1261 / 5$ aerobatic [1] 104/1 <br> $73 / 11$ aerospace [3] 69/24 78/17 79/5 <br> Aerospace Academy [1] 69/24  |  |  |
| 30 [1] 60/20 | about [62] $11 / 21$ $12 / 1315 / 2319 / 12$ after [12] 21/18 62/14 67/9 67/12 69/19  <br> $24 / 2$ $25 / 1$ $25 / 13$ $25 / 14$ $26 / 5$ <br> $26 / 9$ $26 / 17$ $69 / 21$ $70 / 17$ $82 / 10$ <br> $1 / 19$ $92 / 13$ $93 / 22$   |  |  |
| 300 [2] 15/20 15/22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 [13] 26/25 38/17 41/24 42/18 42/19 | $24 / 2$ $25 / 1$ $25 / 13$ $25 / 14$ $26 / 5$ $26 / 9$ $26 / 17$ $69 / 21$ $70 / 17$ $82 / 10$ <br> $91 / 19$ $92 / 13$ $93 / 22$        <br> $27 / 15$ $28 / 1133 / 22$ $34 / 1$ $34 / 3$ $38 / 18$ $106 / 9$     |  |  |
| 48/1 48/4 | 39/1 41/4 41/4 41/5 41/6 41/24 43/3 afternoon [1] 15/3 |  |  |
| 94/23 103/22 | 43/5 45/10 46/11 46/12 46/18 47/8 $\quad$ again [31] 5/5 8/8 11/3 16/25 21/11 |  |  |
| 31/13 [1] 44/25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 313 [2] 31/3 32/10 | ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| 32 [2] 41/24 42/19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3200 [2] 34/1 34/24 |  |  |  |
| 3200-foot [2] 26/23 32/18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32080 [1] 1/17 | $100 / 11102 / 10$ against [2] $43 / 2188 / 11$ <br> above [3] 18/16 18/20 19/25 agency [4] 5/16 71/5 71/14 71/15 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32084 \text { [1] } 1 / 22 \\ & 38[1] 94 / 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 4/22 [1] 47/20 | academy [3] 69/24 71/11 72/23 |  |  |
| 4730 [1] 1/4 | accept [1] 63/25 agendaed [1] 16/14 <br> acceptance [1] 3/7 ago [10] 16/17 37/12 39/11 51/16 <br> accepted [1] 3/14 $52 / 2052 / 2452 / 2569 / 894 / 15100 / 11$ |  |  |
| 4:00 [3] 1/7 106/5 106/11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4th [3] 15/4 37/23 37/23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | accommodate [2] 25/10 26/15 79/19 79/24 <br> accommodated [1] 87/1 Agreed [1] 63/10 |  |  |
| 5,000 [1] 18/20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/23 [1] 47/20 | accomplished [1] 52/14 agreement [1] 74/6 <br> accounts [1] 90/5 agreements [3] 88/6 89/11 89/14 |  |  |
| 50 [2] 85/23 93/19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50/50 [2] 85/23 93/19 | acres [3] 7/6 100/9 100/10 ahead [5] 9/17 10/11 28/23 40/6 46/5 |  |  |
| 500 [1] 16/25 |  |  |  |
| 501 [2] 71/17 71/25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:01 [1] 106/11 | 49/23 96/17 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( aircraft [16] 2/10 26/15 26/25 31/16 |  |  |
| 5:56 [2] 1/7 106/17 |  |  |  |
| actually [21] 5/8 25/2 26/8 27/3 27/6 airfield [2] 26/2 26/7 |  |  |  |
| 6.5 [1] 97/7 | 27/11 28/13 29/10 29/17 30/10 34/5 airline [6] 89/10 89/22 89/24 90/18 |  |  |
| 6/24 [21] 27/10 27/24 43/23 46/12 | 34/16 34/18 35/4 35/19 36/15 42/10 90/18 93/15 |  |  |
| 47/10 47/20 47/22 48/9 48/16 48/18 | 47/18 68/11 104/23 105/18 airplane [5] 34/8 38/13 42/16 42/17 <br> ad [2] 16/20 96/1 $44 / 15$ |  |  |
| 48/23 49/21 50/24 52/14 59/17 59/21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60/8 60/25 63/8 63/12 65/11 | ad valorem [2] 16/20 96/1 airplanes [4] 34/11 37/25 42/22 55/22 <br> adamantly [2] $43 / 2145 / 2$ airport [69] 1/1 1/17 4/24 5/15 11/8 |  |  |
| 60 [1] 39/2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 [2] 2/9 92/6 | add [5] 12/23 41/22 45/9 65/19 84/14 $12 / 15$ 21/1 24/12 24/19 24/19 25/6 |  |  |
| 7 | added [1] 41/23 25/17 26/1 26/10 26/16 27/6 27/17 <br> adding [1] 43/15 $31 / 1131 / 1631 / 17$ 31/24 32/6 32/11 |  |  |
|  | adding  <br> addition [3] 11/4 74/19 87/13 $33 / 18 ~ 34 / 12 ~ 35 / 8 ~ 37 / 18 ~ 38 / 6 ~ 40 / 15 ~$ |  |  |
| 77 [2] 61/9 61/12 | additional [14] 9/10 9/19 15/20 15/22 $41 / 141 / 241 / 3$ 41/4 41/6 41/10 41/14 |  |  |
| 79,725 [1] 18/15 | 29/1 29/1 29/21 29/24 31/13 32/14 $41 / 1541 / 21$ 43/9 43/13 43/24 44/17 |  |  |
| 7:15 [1] 21/17 | 39/12 47/14 86/22 92/19 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 8,000 [2] 4/6 19/23 | adds [1] 94/14 92/1 94/5 94/7 94/23 99/17 99/22 |  |  |
| 8,084 [1] 4/13 | adequate [1] 73/15 104/25 |  |  |
| 800 [1] 15/21 | adhere [1] 39/21 Airport Authority [16] 4/24 5/15 32/6 <br> adjacent [2] 30/2 46/21 $41 / 347 / 348 / 262 / 663 / 1972 / 272 / 18$ |  |  |
| 81 [1] 2/10 |  |  |  |  |  |

A
$\overline{\text { Airport Authority... [6] 79/11 85/19 92/1 }}$ 94/5 94/23 99/22
airport's [1] 11/10
airports [5] 9/12 9/24 12/3 33/21 59/5
Airs [1] 42/25
airspace [1] 61/8
Airways [1] 105/2
all [91] 3/2 4/10 4/11 10/10 13/3 14/13 15/12 15/17 17/21 18/1 20/14 21/7 21/8 22/14 23/9 24/20 24/25 25/1 25/13 25/19 25/21 26/5 27/5 29/3 29/3 30/3 30/23 31/5 32/8 33/9 33/19 37/4 40/3 40/9 40/15 45/20 46/8 53/1 53/15 58/6 61/21 62/8 62/11 63/3 64/14 66/17 69/14 69/16 72/14 73/11 74/1 74/4 74/4 74/10 75/17 79/18 80/6 80/22 81/11 81/12 81/16 81/23 82/23 83/7 83/10 83/19 83/19 84/7 84/15 85/7 85/13 87/3 87/5 89/12 90/20
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104/15 105/3 105/24 105/25 106/3
ALLEGIANCE [2] 2/3 3/4
allocate [1] 73/3
allocated [2] 9/10 85/22
allow [5] 26/24 54/14 72/16 73/22 74/8
allowed [2] 48/6 86/1
allows [2] 51/22 99/22
almost [5] 4/4 16/2 25/4 37/24 90/22
along [3] 9/20 30/11 70/10
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already [9] 9/1 11/23 15/21 17/16 18/20
19/25 48/2 74/17 75/2
also [24] 1/15 6/9 16/12 21/11 22/8
28/18 29/21 30/15 31/25 32/19 37/9
39/19 43/4 48/5 49/3 56/5 56/8 57/18
63/8 72/17 87/11 87/12 87/14 106/10
altered [1] 39/23
alternate [1] 26/16
alternative [4] 24/8 25/15 27/2 48/25
alternatives [6] 25/3 25/9 27/7 27/10
47/18 50/4
although [1] 70/20
always [8] 9/16 26/11 40/20 56/12
68/16 77/3 77/10 106/1
am [2] 33/21 44/22
amendment [4] 2/9 69/17 69/18 84/15 amount [5] 30/18 79/1 79/23 82/18 94/2
Andrew [7] 11/21 12/2 33/5 46/13
49/24 57/6 58/19
Andrew's [1] 65/4
angles [1] 37/19
announced [1] 105/2
annual [1] 100/4
annualize [1] 13/14
another [14] 4/6 4/13 10/14 24/5 27/4
50/3 57/9 67/1 71/15 86/20 89/11
89/13 98/5 105/13
answer [5] 14/25 17/10 33/10 34/10 42/9
answered [1] 48/24
anticipation [1] 49/10
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91/18 101/7 102/19 103/1 104/10 104/12 105/11 105/19 anymore [2] 26/13 48/10 anyone [1] 88/11 anything [21] 16/15 22/23 25/5 25/6 25/8 27/20 32/20 32/21 32/22 32/23 32/25 38/1 43/15 45/2 53/16 56/19 58/10 72/10 75/10 90/4 98/18 anyway [5] 5/2 10/11 61/25 86/11 100/18
anywhere [1] 35/1
Apologize [1] 83/9
Apparently [1] 23/24
appearing [2] 1/12 105/21
appears [4] 4/19 9/9 11/22 16/24
applicant [2] 16/16 76/20
applicants [1] 77/12
application [5] 10/19 72/12 74/22 74/23 93/12
appraiser [1] 96/24
appreciate [2] 37/15 79/14
approach [1] 70/12
approaches [2] 15/9 56/21
appropriate [1] 73/3
appropriated [1] 72/19
approval [3] 2/5 3/17 3/18
approve [2] 80/8 84/2
approved [1] 8/25
Approx [1] 50/11
approximately [1] 50/11
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43/10 43/11 81/22 82/3 82/18 93/10
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27/20 27/21 28/13 29/1 29/24 32/2
32/12 33/20 34/12 35/5 37/14 38/3
38/12 43/5 43/21 44/19 44/22 45/10
46/25 47/8 49/24 51/4 52/4 53/9 53/10
56/2 56/8 56/10 57/9 59/4 59/6 60/17
60/25 62/15 62/18 63/2 63/6 65/2 68/2
68/20 73/5 80/13 83/20 87/5 88/25
90/6 91/25 93/21 94/3 94/4 95/4 96/6
96/11 96/18 99/24 100/20 102/11
103/23 104/2 104/2 104/7 105/25
106/14 106/16
area [23] 8/17 17/8 21/5 26/1 26/3 26/4
26/7 28/15 28/16 28/19 28/21 28/24
28/25 29/24 30/4 30/7 42/10 48/14 78/24 82/3 82/9 93/7 93/15
areas [8] 17/9 24/12 24/23 25/25 26/6 32/13 33/17 35/4
aren't [2] 61/24 99/13
arises [1] 29/12
arms [1] 86/13
around [5] 29/2 42/11 50/17 53/15 86/13
artery [1] 17/22
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75/11 77/8 77/22 77/23 78/16 79/8 79/8 79/21 80/3 81/24 83/18 83/18 84/14 86/10 86/10 86/15 87/1 87/2 87/9 87/20 88/19 90/21 95/25 96/21 99/17 99/17 99/23 103/25 103/25 106/7
ask [10] 6/6 34/7 41/15 41/23 44/10
56/4 63/15 65/4 67/8 87/22
asked [3] 49/3 49/6 104/3
aspect [1] 8/23
assigned [1] 7/10
assist [2] 68/16 73/25
assistance [7] 69/23 70/16 72/2 72/5
72/6 72/20 74/3
associated [1] 99/13
association [3] 37/9 41/21 70/16
assume [1] 54/11
assumptions [1] 101/20
assurance [1] 65/24
assurances [2] 48/3 65/25
Atlantic [2] 18/3 30/16
Atlantic Aviation [1] 30/16
atmosphere [1] 12/15
attaching [1] 73/17
attachment [1] 99/7
attempt [1] 14/19
attention [2] 86/10 100/21
Attorney [1] 1/17
attorneys [2] 77/4 77/8
attribute [1] 20/21
attributing [1] 21/9
Audio [1] 10/1
audit [2] 72/17 73/12
Aug [1] 104/25
August [2] 87/7 106/5
AUGUSTINE [9] $1 / 1$ 1/5 1/17 1/22 20/3
41/21 71/12 103/24 104/8
authority [27] 1/1 1/17 2/13 4/24 5/15
32/6 41/3 47/3 48/2 52/16 56/12 62/6
63/19 72/2 72/18 75/15 75/19 79/11
85/19 92/1 94/5 94/23 96/20 99/22
104/16 104/18 106/7
authorized [1] 107/7
automatically [1] 76/2
automobile [2] 29/2 29/11
available [9] 9/21 11/3 30/8 32/3 54/12
86/22 103/23 104/2 104/7
averaging [1] 15/22
avgas [2] 4/6 4/12
aviation [18] 24/18 24/21 24/22 25/10
26/21 28/9 29/23 30/9 30/12 30/16
30/24 31/10 31/14 32/15 42/4 71/3
71/11 78/16
aviation-related [2] 30/12 31/14
avoid [1] 44/8
avoided [1] 44/7
awake [1] 58/18
Award [2] 2/10 81/22
awarded [1] 82/18
aware [3] 9/6 74/7 85/14
away [2] 22/17 68/18
axle [1] 50/17
aye [13] 81/13 81/14 81/15 81/16 84/7
84/8 84/9 84/10 84/11 103/6 103/7
103/8 103/9
B
back [22] 5/22 19/17 20/11 21/8 25/21
31/8 31/9 34/15 37/23 43/19 45/22 52/9 52/18 63/24 70/4 70/13 84/16
back... [5] 86/7 93/17 95/13 96/11 102/20
backlog [1] 17/6
bad [2] 23/6 26/12
balanced [2] 93/2 95/23
base [4] 16/11 24/23 30/21 48/4
based [7] 4/19 4/21 5/8 5/18 102/6 103/25 104/5
basic [5] 29/17 42/10 46/13 47/24 71/7
basically [15] 7/7 24/16 24/21 43/17
47/21 47/23 50/6 68/22 71/4 71/9 72/1
75/4 82/6 99/8 104/23
be [151]
Beach [2] 26/22 40/19
BEASON [3] 1/21 107/6 107/13
beat [1] 104/25
beautiful [1] 15/19
beauty [1] 99/24
became [1] 48/9
because[46] 8/1 9/1 12/4 13/9 17/18
19/15 33/12 33/22 34/6 37/18 39/4
40/14 42/17 42/22 43/23 43/23 44/2
44/7 44/13 45/4 47/5 54/16 55/4 55/21
56/9 59/12 61/13 63/16 65/16 68/5
69/5 69/10 73/24 74/21 74/22 75/10
76/22 77/3 77/6 79/8 79/16 88/19
96/16 97/14 97/23 102/9
become [1] 32/3
becomes [3] 27/10 45/20 53/17
been [25] 8/24 17/16 19/18 21/16 38/5
38/9 46/9 46/11 51/9 51/16 52/2 53/18
53/20 53/20 54/5 60/19 62/17 72/18
73/2 78/24 82/2 82/14 93/25 104/4 104/6
been able [1] 54/5
before [12] 5/11 6/8 15/15 23/10 24/13 27/15 41/22 59/10 60/3 67/1 82/14 82/15
begin [1] 105/14
behalf [1] 37/8
behind [1] 78/17
being [16] 8/17 16/21 38/15 44/7 47/2
53/2 53/11 54/4 60/25 61/3 71/10
72/25 73/11 87/10 102/11 106/16
believe [5] 17/20 82/2 93/6 94/13 95/4
below [3] 19/22 19/24 82/16
benefit [1] 72/11
benefits [1] 89/21
Besides [1] 62/2
best [2] 22/18 51/11
better [6] 4/7 40/13 60/12 64/2 68/15
70/15
between [7] 7/14 27/4 31/2 32/10 47/22
50/9 77/6
beyond [1] 44/24
bid [8] 2/10 9/21 81/22 82/10 82/12
82/21 82/21 93/25
bidder [1] 82/14
bidding [1] 83/21
bids [2] 83/7 83/12
big [9] 10/18 22/2 22/3 23/8 31/3 31/5
32/19 55/25 97/23
bigger [1] 81/4
biggest [1] 28/25
bill [2] 7/25 8/23
billion [1] $9 / 10$
bit [11] 11/7 17/18 18/24 20/7 21/23
28/3 32/4 33/2 85/11 93/13 93/14
blah [3] 41/6 41/6 41/6
blasting [1] 8/24
blow [1] 10/4
blue [2] 26/3 32/14
board [34] 1/9 5/7 6/7 22/18 36/23
37/11 40/22 40/25 41/1 41/3 41/15
46/2 46/10 49/7 51/12 62/3 62/6 67/20
69/21 70/1 70/4 71/11 72/19 73/8 76/9
76/10 79/11 80/1 83/6 84/5 101/2
101/7 102/20 103/1
board's [2] 64/19 64/22
bogged [1] 8/2
bomb [2] 23/10 23/21
book [1] 62/16
boost [1] 15/24
borrowed [1] 88/11
borrowing [2] 96/4 96/5
both [11] 6/7 8/21 8/21 15/6 21/21
24/14 33/14 48/21 52/2 60/17 60/24
bought [1] 22/2
Boulevard [1] 1/21
box [1] 104/1
boxes [2] 32/1 32/8
Bravo [1] 28/19
break [2] 42/17 104/24
breaks [1] 42/16
briefed [2] 78/18 81/25
bring [5] 15/20 15/21 67/2 75/1 75/4
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broad [1] 90/17
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budget [22] 2/10 16/18 70/25 73/4
75/21 75/22 85/1 85/4 85/13 86/6 86/18 87/13 87/14 92/19 93/3 95/9 95/23 95/25 96/3 97/20 101/18 102/8
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buildings [1] 42/12
built [1] 102/7
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BURNETT [2] 1/16 22/12
business [6] 2/7 2/8 15/2 21/19 25/10 39/23

## C

C.J [1] 32/21
calculate [1] 38/14
calculator [1] 97/11
California [1] 21/2
call [3] 5/6 7/24 75/5
called [1] 41/3
came [10] 30/11 34/5 34/6 34/17 39/10
78/19 78/19 79/12 80/2 82/11
cameras [2] 35/23 36/2
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can [69] 6/6 7/4 11/6 11/14 12/14
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46/20 48/14 50/4 50/6 53/24 55/4
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capital [14] 25/22 85/14 85/22 91/14
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caught [1] 23/7
causes [1] 73/20
causing [1] 70/15
center [6] 1/3 17/19 26/2 29/22 29/25 34/15
certain [6] 9/12 11/12 17/8 40/8 59/4
79/1
certainly [3] 11/17 16/20 16/21
CERTIFICATE [2] 2/15 107/1
certify [1] 107/6
Cessna [1] 55/23
chair [2] 44/13 44/14
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challenge [3] 24/16 24/17 62/14
chance[4] 3/8 3/19 10/20 85/11
chances [1] 6/19
change [10] 22/20 39/25 59/18 60/2
60/4 61/18 62/19 62/21 64/7 88/21
changed [1] 21/19
changes [10] 62/25 80/4 80/9 80/11
81/19 101/12 101/14 101/16 101/21
102/13
changing [1] 63/1
Charlie [1] 28/7
chart [1] 90/4
charter [1] 97/15
checked [1] 16/12
checking [1] 32/22
cheered [1] 105/4
cheering [2] 105/6 105/7
chip [1] 100/6
choice [3] 51/11 53/12 73/7
chose [1] 32/6
Cindy [3] 28/23 31/9 106/7
CIP [1] 50/5
circle [1] 37/4
clarification [2] 52/10 66/19
clarify [1] 103/20
clause [1] 40/2
clearer [1] 23/25
clearing [1] 15/11
close [22] 14/24 37/12 40/7 40/10
43/21 50/22 51/3 51/5 51/16 51/18
52/19 53/3 53/4 55/8 55/11 56/3 58/20
60/18 64/24 65/21 82/25 106/11
closed [2] 40/21 78/24


| D | 40/22 43/13 47/16 59/16 62/5/14 | $\text { EDC [3] } 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| description [1] 35/15 | 63/25 67/18 70/24 71/15 71/17 71/24 | 79/5 |
| design [6] 24/23 27/18 28/20 47/15 | $9 / 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1] \quad 69 /} \\ & 1] \quad 1 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| designate [5] 44/3 56/1 62/23 71/21 | does this [1] 13/13 | effectively [1] 52/3 |
| $71 / 21$ | doesn't [13] 9/7 9/22 26/13 37/17 45/15 | efficiency [1] 29/8 |
| designated [10] 39/13 44/2 45/4 45/24 | 56/22 63/13 75/9 75/16 77/12 98/13 | effort [4] 9/18 37/15 51/16 87/10 |
| 50/24 51/1 53/12 56/9 59/17 63/16 | 98/17 103/2 | eight [3] 5 |
| designating [2] 44/3 71/9 | doing [14] 21/17 23/14 30/1 38/3 45/16 | either [2] 20/1 60/18 |
| designation [8] 5/14 50/19 51/2 51/6 | 46/19 46/20 56/15 74/6 74/12 85/17 | elect [2] 71/1 71/1 |
| 51/12 51/15 51/22 59/18 | 85/22 100/19 100/20 | elected [2] 6/10 105/13 |
| designed [3] 44/19 44/22 45/1 | dollar [3] 11/15 99/13 100 | eligibility [1] |
| desire [1] 71/6 | dollars [2] 65/18 85/21 | eligible [9] 9/11 12/17 28/17 48/19 |
| desired [1] 72/7 | don't [56] 6/16 10/17 10/19 |  |
| desperately [3] 17/22 40/7 49/19 | $12 / 12 ~ 13 / 8 ~ 14 / 21 ~ 16 / 13 ~ 16 / 14 ~ 20 / 5 ~$ | eliminate [1] 46/22 |
| detail [1] 27/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20/22 21/21 27/13 34/13 35/8 35/25 } \\ & 39 / 1 \text { 39/22 40/6 41/11 41/12 41/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elite [1] } 105 / 2 \\ & \text { else [8] } 33 / 1555 / 2556 / 1958 / 1068 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| determination [1] 59/21 | 43/3 43/4 45/7 45/13 45/14 46/1 49/4 | 73/21 80/7 106/16 |
| determined [2] 50/25 83/20 | 49/10 49/25 55/19 55/24 61/2 62/2 | else's [1] 22/4 |
| develop [3] 29/23 33/16 64/3 | 64/9 65/5 70/5 73/6 73/6 74/21 75/16 | elsewhere [1] 40/13 |
|  | 76/11 78/8 79/15 79/17 83/1 83/1 83/2 | Embry [2] 21/16 26/22 |
|  | 83/2 90/24 91/18 93/25 102/19 105/18 | Embry-Riddle [1] 26/22 |
| 29/24 30/9 85/13 91/3 | donation [1] 74/15 | Embry-Riddle's [1] 21/16 |
| developments [1] 7/2 | done [10] 11/17 35/23 36/16 51/9 52/9 | emergency [2] 55/14 75/18 |
| did [18] 14/19 22/22 24 | 56/14 59/21 64/8 67/15 74/7 | empathetic [1] 39/17 |
| did [18] 14/19 22/22 24/9 37/4 41/13 | door [3] 45/22 97/5 100/12 | employed [1] 95/10 |
| 48/16 52/6 52/11 52/12 52/16 57/17 | DOT [4] 48/7 93/17 94/6 94/12 | encroachment [1] 46 |
| 59/8 59/10 78/13 87/12 91/5 98/21 | DOT's [1] 82/21 | encroachments [1] 48/12 |
| 107/7 | double [1] 30/18 | end [3] 11/23 17/17 43/19 |
| didn't [14] 10/4 19/13 21/3 21/6 22/18 |  |  |
| 32/20 32/22 37/3 39/6 59/12 69/11 | D | ended [1] 5/7 [1] $7 / 20$ |
| 81/4 90/12 97/19 | Doug's [1] 80/19 | endorsement [1] 7/20 |
| die [2] 43/17 45/7 | DOUGLAS [1] 1/16 | ends [2] 38/15 48/21 |
| diem [1] 90/8 | down [29] 8/3 18/24 19/14 19/16 19/2 | enforcement [1] 90/1 |
| difference [3] | 20/6 20/17 26/22 27/4 32/16 34/14 | enforcement-related [1] 90/1 |
| different [22] 14/14 14/16 17/9 24/11 | 35/5 37/25 39/7 42/16 42/17 42/24 | engineer [1] 83/21 |
| 24/20 25/13 25/25 26/11 29/7/10 | 57/11 57/17 58/20 82/1 87/3 90/11 | engineer's [1] 94/2 |
| 36/11 36/18 36/20 47/19 | 90/12 93/11 95/7 98/1 98/4 104/6 | engineering [2] 9/18 82/10 |
| 51/4 65/2 68/15 77/7 77/7 98/16 | draft [1] 7/3 | enhance [1] 12/14 |
|  | drafted [2] 5/22 6/25 | enjoyable [1] 15/4 |
| directly [1] 72 | drawings [1] 25/17 | enough [5] 6/24 8/3 9/25 13/9 70/4 |
|  | drive [1] 29/5 | enter [1] 94/9 |
|  | driven [1] 15/8 | enters [2] 8/11 89/19 |
| directors [2] 40/22 | driver [2] 8/17 16/3 | entire [2] 47/21 52/11 |
| dirt [1] 15/10 | duplicate [2] 30/15 30/16 | entirely [2] 5/6 90/23 |
|  | during [3] 35/19 70/25 73/5 | entirety [2] 52/14 92/14 |
| discussed [4] 32/4 33/2 35/14 49/4 | E | entitled [1] 70/3 |
| discussion [16] 46/8 46/11 49/16 49/19 | e-mail [2] 67/9 99/7 |  |
| 64/20 64/23 66/14 66/15 73/8 81/9 | each [4] 24/7 26/6 47/22 93/20 | equates [1] 38/17 |
| 83/6 84/5 101/3 101/7 103/1 103/5 | ear [1] 10/5 | equipment [3] 92/7 93 |
| discussions [1] 47/13 |  |  |
| dislocated [1] 33/25 | earlier [5] 11/21 15/15 21/20 |  |
| Disney [1] 16/2 | 86/21 | Esquire [1] 1/16 |
| dissertation [1] 68/25 | earliest [1] 100/15 | lish [3] 70/24 71/7 86/15 |
| district [6] 32/4 71/19 77/13 77/18 | early [4] 4/22 4/22 6/3 100/16 | estate [1] 7/7 |
| 91/25 94/22 | earmark [1] 79/21 | estimate [1] 94/2 |
| districts [1] 6/18 | earmarked [2] 76/17 79/2 | estimated [3] 92/3 93/10 94/8 |
| divorce [1] 53/14 | easier [2] 8/13 87/15 | even [21] 12/3 27/20 30/22 35/20 35/21 |
| do [62] 4/10 | easily [1] 105/1 | 39/14 42/24 51/18 53/7 55/22 56/22 |
| 13/21 14/2 14/4 20/25 24/6 24/17 25/5 | east [12] 21/3 26/1 27/12 30/5 30/5 | 60/1 61/13 63/18 71/22 72/7 92/2 |
| 13/21 14/2 14/4 20/25 24/6 24/17 25/5 | 30/10 30/14 30/17 30/19 30/24 31/16 | 92/13 93/14 97/14 104 |
| 27/20 27/21 30/12 35/3 35/9 37/21 | $52 / 7$ |  |
| 38/22 40/9 40/10 40/21 41/12 42/2 |  | event [1] 97/1 |
| 42/8 45/1 45/6 48/10 48/11 48/22 49/1 |  | eventually [3] 42/17 69/25 81/2 |
| 50/7 53/8 53/19 53/22 54/1 54/16 |  | ever [4] 18/17 27/13 31/20 60/3 |
| 54/18 56/25 57/6 57/8 66/11 66/13 | ebbs [1] 87/17 | every [10] 20/8 20/10 34/10 34/12 |
| 68/21 70/17 70/19 71/14 73/5 73/10 |  | 38 |
| 73/12 73/14 75/11 92/12 92/18 97/1 | economic [11] 5/15 7/6 7/23 8/1 8/17 | everybody [9] 3/8 15/4 41/14 41/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98/23 99/1 101/14 101/22 102/20 } \\ & 105 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | 11/10 15/7 15/24 16/10 16/23 24/19 economically [1] 45/21 | 50/21 55/16 67/23 100/8 105/23 everybody's [1] 21/22 |
| document [2] 70/2 70/8 <br> does [24] 7/19 13/13 20/7 36/5 40/22 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { Ed [11] } 10 / 2312 / 1314 / 1924 / 14 ~ 26 / 9 \\ 32 / 20 ~ 56 / 4 ~ 67 / 9 ~ 67 / 17 ~ 73 / 10 ~ 87 / 21 ~ \end{array}$ | everyday [1] 41/16 everyone [1] 106/2 |


| $E$ | FD [1] 59 | follow-on [1] 54/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| everyone's [2] 3/19 3/20 | FDOT [5] 51/21 52/17 59/2 91/24 93 | follow-up [1] 74 |
| everything [12] 19/8 20/6 20/22 24/17 31/24 31/25 32/7 32/7 68/18 82/24 | February [2] 19/21 82/2 | foot [3] 15/19 26/23 32/18 |
| 87/3 105/24 | federal [7] 9/9 27/19 28/18 39/20 40/3 | footage [1] 23/10 |
| everything's [1] 19/6 | 51/8 94/20 | footnote [1] 84/15 |
| exact [5] 81/7 83/2 83/21 93/25 93/25 | feedback [2] 9/1 10/1 feel [5] 40/4 49/18 59/20 73/10 73/12 | forest [1] 99/4 |
| exact-exact [1] 93/25 <br> exactly [5] 8/9 45/10 45/25 55/20 86/14 | feelings [1] 69/12 | forestry [1] 99/20 |
| exactly [5] 8/9 45/10 45/25 55/20 86/14 | feels [1] 4/22 | format [3] 81/1 81/2 81/3 |
| examples [3] 7 | fees [3] 89/12 90/7 100/4 | forth [2] 34/15 37/15 |
|  | feet [2] 39/2 94/16 | forward [10] 5/20 7/8 10/16 10/20 47 |
| exceed [5] 51/24 96/21 97/1 101/4 | fence [1] 9/19 | 86/7 91/16 92/14 92/23 95/17 |
| exceeds [1] 97/15 | fencing [1] 12/21 | four [2] 20/10 47/19 |
| excellent [1] 55/1 | few [8] 25/22 29/16 52/9 83/3 83/4 | FOX [1] 23/12 |
| except [1] 41/13 | 89/21 96/8 99/10 | frame [1] 19/22 |
| exceptions [1] 59 | fewer [1] 68/24 | framework [1] 72/21 |
| excited [2] 54/19 82/17 | fiction [1] 23/23 | framework's [1] 73/14 |
| Excuse [2] 10/2 20/20 | fields [1] 35/6 | free [5] 28/15 72/4 73/5 75/2 93/13 |
| Executive [4] 1/18 2/6 4/1 34/12 | figure [2] | quency [3] |
| exist [4] 35/3 36/6 45/15 61/13 | figures [1] 99/13 | fresh [1] 93/6 |
| existing [4] 29/18 57/10 74/6 94/16 | file [1] 62/19 | Friday [5] 16/12 16/12 24/6 24/9 34/18 |
| exists [2] 35/25 36/3 | final [3] 49/23 87/8 106/13 | Friday's [1] 47/2 |
| exits [1] 88/17 | finally [1] 99/5 | friend [1] 38/8 |
| expand [3] 29/10 29/13 | finance [1] 39/9 | front [2] 52/5 70/23 |
| expansion [2] 24/24 30/2 | financial [7] 2/4 3/5 3/7 25/18 72/5 | fuel [11] 4/4 13/17 13/20 13/23 14/6 |
| expect [3] 96/1 96/1 98/8 | 72/20 76/20 | 55/24 70/16 73/1 75/9 88/24 89/3 |
| expecting [1] 95/4 | find [6] 35/10 46/20 73/19 91/24 94/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { full [7] 27/25 50/23 50/23 65/11 } 68 \\ & 93 / 12 \text { 95/10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| expend [4] 72/15 90/12 93/18 94/13 | $99 / 1$ | fully [2] 19/5 19/7 |
| expended [2] 72/16 90/9 | finding | fun [1] 106/1 |
| expenditure [3] 92/7 92/10 92/24 | fine [4] 3/23 53/9 67/13 97/20 | functional [3] 24/12 25/25 26/6 |
| expenditures [7] 90/25 91/9 91/11 <br> 91/14 92/5 94/24 95/2 | finish [1] 13/6 | functionality [1] 57/23 |
| 91/14 92/5 94/24 95/2 | fire [1] 41/8 | functions [1] 53/9 |
| expenses [3] 95/20 95/23 102/11 | firefighting [1] 90/1 | fund [10] 48/7 65/17 72/21 75/16 79/4 |
| experiment [1] 12/7 | first [22] 3/6 18/19 18/21 20/3 21/2 | 79/5 79/11 80/18 82/6 82/25 |
| explanation [1] 54/16 | 21/21 26/7 30/7 31/1 33/4 33/21 41/10 | fundamental [1] 56/18 |
| exploring [1] 100/13 | 45/24 62/7 62/10 88/21 91/23 96/12 | funded [4] 55/17 75/24 |
| expresses [1] 55/20 | 96/15 101/13 101/17 106/10 | funding [32] 10/12 10/14 11/24 11/25 |
| expressly [2] 54/22 | fiscal [4] 9/17 16/20 92/1 94/9 | 27/19 28/18 39/12 44/4 45/5 48/4 50/5 |
| extension [3] | fit [4] 9/11 26/23 49/1 81/4 | 51/7 52/15 53/13 59/19 60/9 64/1 64/2 |
|  | fits [1] 13/10 | 65/15 68/8 70/20 71/2 71/6 78/20 79/8 |
| extent [2] 17/8 53/24 | five [12] 37/25 52/1 52/12 52/24 52/25 | 79/16 79/18 79/21 85/23 91/23 91/24 |
| extra [1] 52/15 | 53/6 83/7 84/17 87/14 87/20 97/20 | 94/20 |
| extreme [1] 57/23 | 98/1 | funds [10] 52/17 71/8 71/21 71/22 |
| extremely [1] 9/16 | five-year [3] 87/14 87/20 97/20 | $\text { 72/15 } 72 / 16 \text { 73/3 93/9 94/20 94/22 }$ |
| F | fix [4] 48/11 48/13 63/2 64/3 | further [6] 28/3 47/13 49/16 84/5 103/4 |
| FAA [28] 9/11 10/12 11/11 12/7 12/8 | fixed [2] 24/23 30/21 | 104/12 |
| 12/17 24/24 48/7 51/21 55/18 59/2 | fixed-base [1] 24/23 | future [15] 24/24 25/5 25/20 26/15 29/7 |
| 61/17 61/25 62/14 63/1 63/15 | FL[2] 1/17 1/22 | 29/12 30/8 32/10 33/24 34/3 47/14 |
| 63/15 63/21 64/6 64/11 65/16 82/1 | Flagler [1] 22/2 | 51/14 51/19 66/24 99/11 |
| 82/16 86/21 91/23 93/9 98/8 | flat [1] 91/3 <br> flexibility [1] | G |
| FAA's [1] 82/20 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { flexibility [1] 99/23 } \\ \text { fliers [2] } 68 / 1768 / 17 \end{array}$ | GA [4] 26/3 29/15 30/4 93/7 |
| FAA-eligible [2] 9/11 12/17 | fliers [2] 68/17 68/17 flies [1] 41/16 | GA [4] 26/3 29/15 30/4 93/7 gain [1] 87/10 |
| facility [1] 31/14 | flight [7] 20/11 20/21 20/24 21/19 21/21 | gaining [1] 72/2 |
| fact [6] 5/8 5/10 7/5 15/16 39/24 104/22 | 26/24 30/1 | Galin [8] 18/5 41/19 41/20 48/20 78/13 |
|  | flights [1] 21/13 | 79/14 84/1 101/10 |
| fall [3] 12/16 44/14 100/16 | Florida [9] 1/5 5/16 34/9 35/6 93/17 | gallons [2] 4/12 4/13 |
| falls [1] 45/19 | 94/6 94/12 99/16 107/3 | gamut [1] 47/21 |
|  | Florida DOT [3] 93/17 94/6 94/12 | gave [2] 24/3 44/24 |
| family [1] 17/1 | Florida Statutes [1] 5/16 | general [6] 2/12 24/22 26/21 28/9 |
| far [11] 18/13 18/14 18/15 18/23 | flow [5] 57/14 86/1 86/9 87/16 98/13 | 90/19 103/18 |
| $22 / 17 \text { 28/22 35/14 44/18 70/4 } 83$ | flowage [1] 70/17 | generally [1] 96/9 |
| fare [1] 21/3 | flowing [1] 85/15 | generate [1] 89/5 |
|  | flown [1] 34/12 | geometry [1] 47/22 |
|  | fly [3] 55/21 59/13 59/14 | get [59] 5/1 5/21 5/23 6/25 6/25 7/1 7/8 |
| favor [3] 81/12 84/7 103/5 FBO [16] 4/5 4/12 13/23 14/8 14/9 | flying [3] 28/9 49/16 104/7 | 8/6 8/6 8/6 8/7 8/13 9/22 11/17 17/22 |
| FBO [16] 4/5 4/12 13/23 14/8 14/9 | fold [1] 95/13 | 25/15 26/25 27/1 27/18 29/18 31/13 |
| 28/14 28/15 30/16 43/8 43/11 43/12 <br> 48/14 82/3 82/9 84/16 89/2 | follow [2] 54/19 74/2 | 31/16 31/21 34/1 34/9 39/12 41/1 |


| G | groundbreaking [1] 15/15 | here [29] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| get... [32] 43/17 45/5 45/15 45/18 | Group [1] 1/16 | 1/17 22/6 28/10 31/18 32/16 |
| 45/18 45/23 46/3 47/6 48/21 52/10 | Grove [1] 1/17 | 37/8 38/1 40/15 43/14 46/20 50/20 |
| 54/17 56/10 56/24 59/3 59/19 61/10 | grow [1] 100/4 | 59/11 60/3 61/15 68/25 69/9 72/8 74 |
| 63/21 67/15 68/10 72/6 74/1 74/22 | Grumman [5] 30/1 | 80/25 82/15 86/3 86/23 91/22 |
| 85/21 86/13 86/15 86/24 98/16 99/25 | guarantee [1] 65/25 | Hernando [2] 99/15 100/10 |
| 100/14 100/24 103/25 106/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guarantee [1] 65/25 } \\ & \text { guess [7] 20/12 46/2 47/11 80/1 83/7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hernando [2] 99/15 100/10 } \\ & \text { Hernando County [2] 99/15 100/10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| gets [4] 90/20 96/16 100/8 106/2 | $85 / 7101 / 2$ | hi [3] 40/18 46/6 46/7 |
| $75 / 276 / 1979 / 20$ | guidance [2] 24/14 50/4 | high [2] 18/17 71/12 |
| give [13] 13/15 13/19 13/23 16/6 22/22 | gusting [2] 37/24 38/14 | highlight [2] 15/16 32/21 |
| 22/24 22/24 33/24 50/3 50/8 68/5 | guy [2] 8/7 39/18 | highlights [1] 85/10 |
| 68/23 85/11 | guys [4] 38/4 43/4 70/25 78/21 | highway [4] 25/8 34/9 34/14 34/20 |
| given [4] 74/16 77/17 77/24 85/19 | H | 33/ |
| gives [4] 65/24 65/25 75/14 87/15 | had [48] 3/8 3/19 6/8 6/19 7/24 9/1 | his [2] $5 / 2213 / 6$ |
| giving [2] 70/15 84/20 | 15/4 15/14 16/8 16/25 18/18 19/14 | historically [1] 20/4 |
| glad [2] 69/13 75/8 | 19/16 19/18 19/24 19/25 20/25 21/4 | history [1] 16/8 |
| go [27] 4/9 7/24 10/10 21/6 21/14 | 21/12 24/5 32/17 32/23 36/8 36/25 | hit [8] 44/14 44/15 85/10 86/2 86/3 |
| 25/16 28/23 31/8 31/9 33/20 39/3 40/5 | 38/12 45/8 48/20 49/10 54/3 54/7 58/9 | 96/8 98/9 100/12 |
| 47/1 | 66/15 66/21 76/24 77/3 77/10 78/11 | hits [4] 100/5 100/5 100/8 100/25 |
| 55/25 58/16 61/20 63/25 70/4 70/13 | 81/8 82/11 85/16 85/25 86/12 90/12 | hitting [1] 87/25 |
| 81/4 91/13 | 94/12 95/4 97/16 97/22 98/25 | hoe [1] 5/18 |
| God [1] 40/10 | hadn't [1] 54/7 | hold [1] 79/4 |
| goes [4] 21/18 42/2 45/19 98/4 | half [2] 89/23 95/9 | holding [1] 90/14 |
| going [89] 5/4 5/9 8/6 10/16 11/3 11/18 | Halifax [2] 82/13 82/17 |  |
| 11/20 13/19 15/18 15/20 15/21 15/23 | handle [2] 35/21 36/4 | olesko [3] 22/15 |
| 19/6 22/2 22/5 22/6 22/19 23/9 24/10 | handled [1] 36/17 |  |
| 25/9 25/12 25/14 25/16 25/19 25/20 | handled [1] 36/17 | home [2] 17/20 21/8 |
| 25/21 26/5 27/13 28/2 28/4 28/24 | hangar [8] 22/2 29/18 30/19 85/17 87/17 89/9 94/10 94/17 | Home Depot [1] 17/20 |
| 29/15 30/25 34/2 34/20 35/17 39/3 | 87/17 89/9 94/10 | hope [4] 15/4 17/15 48/24 106 |
| 39/4 39/25 41/25 42/1 43/6 46/2 46/25 | 93/22 94/3 94/4 94/4 97/24 98/6 |  |
| 47/12 47/12 49/15 49/20 49/20 56/11 | 93/22 94/3 94/4 94/4 97/24 98/6 | hopefully [2] 58/2 105/5 |
| 57/11 58/15 59/3 59/13 60/19 61/6 | happen [5] 27/14 30/7 44/14 73/22 | hopes [1] 100/4 |
| 61/14 62/14 63/2 63/21 63/24 |  | hoping [1] 11/5 |
| 65/9 65/10 66/20 66/23 68/10 68/25 | happened [1] 48/5 | hostility [1] 6/18 |
| 69/1 69/19 72/11 76/2 76/5 77/2 78/25 | happening [2] 17/12 28/4 | hot [4] 4/9 28/19 28/19 48/13 |
| 80/13 81/2 86/2 86/2 86/3 87/24 93/11 | happens [8] 6/5 8/18 10/17 20/8 34/10 | hour [7] 37/24 38/2 38/14 38/16 39/3 |
| 96/3 98/1 100/17 100/21 104/25 105/2 | 34/18 42/15 58/2 | 55/22 55/24 |
| 105/20 | happy [5] 17/10 67/22 67/23 96/6 99/6 | hours [1] 89/ |
|  | hard [4] 32/16 46/8 50/1 86/4 | house [2] 8/25 30/9 |
|  | hardship [1] 55/25 | how [24] 13/10 13/13 25/20 37/1 |
| 11/17 19/9 15/317/4 17/5 18/4 18/23 | has [30] 3/7 3/20 9/9 10/13 14/4 21/19 | 48/11 48/12 50/9 53/19 57/7 59/1 61/6 |
| 19/8 19/20 20/19 25/3 26/12 35/17 | 23/16 26/14 30/14 31/19 33/12 37/10 | 66/23 69/25 72/13 72/15 72/15 75/1 |
| 39/6 41/7 60/13 60/17 60/ | 38/19 40/2 48/2 48/5 48/23 51/4 51/9 | 79/10 79 |
| 63/4 63/5 63/7 63/8 63/9 80/6 81/24 | 55/17 65/17 67/17 72/13 82/14 90/3 | $99 / 1$ |
| 86/16 99/17 99/21 105/24 | 92/19 93/24 94/23 98/25 106/10 |  |
| Google [1] 37/22 | have [137] | [1] 69/10 |
| got [25] 5/17 10/6 13/22 20/11 28/20 | have [137] | howling [1] 69/10 |
| 40/13 40/15 50/14 52/17 55/24 60/3 | haven't [2] 19/18 34/2 | huge [3] 56/18 85/18 99/16 |
| 61/14 64/10 66/17 68/3 69/5 72/14 | having [4] 5/11 16/18 43/20 48/20 | huh [11] 12/11 17/25 23/24 5 |
| 77/1 77/7 78/24 80/22 81/11 87/21 | he [7] 38/24 49/3 58/19 62/5 66/17 | 61/16 61/19 66/8 76/14 78/7 84/2 |
| 94/12 97/2 | 78/23 78/23 | 98/3 |
|  | he's [1] 66/17 | hundred [5] 4/6 4/14 14/5 89/15 100/9 |
| $99 / 6$ | head [6] 14/3 35/2 35/9 57/2 69/1 96/9 | hush [1] 30/9 |
| government [9] 6/14 9/9 15/6 27/19 | g |  |
| 51/8 68/8 77/4 77/7 90/22 | health [2] |  |
| government's [1] 23/15 | hear [5] 4/7 40/23 46/8 67/3 67/6 | 75/7 75/11 75/23 |
| governor [4] 5/8 6/19 8/14 9/2 | heard [1] 16/15 | I'll [11] 4/2 7/23 17/10 37/21 70/2 85/10 |
| governors [2] 8/15 8/15 | hearing [12] 9/23 12/2 16/18 22/21 | ( 86/4 86/4 86/12 91/21 99/6 |
| grant [15] 9/21 10/18 48/3 48/4 65/11 | 26/9 65/9 86/16 86/25 96/15 106/10 | I'm [44] $4 / 21$ 13/5 13/9 16/4 17/16 18/4 |
| 65/14 71/8 82/23 90/6 93/9 93/12 | 106/12 106/13 | 22/5 34/20 36/7 37/2 37/8 38/25 39/3 |
| 93/16 94/11 95/16 95/16 | hearings [1] 96/10 | 9/17 39/19 40/1 44/21 46/7 |
| grants [7] 11/11 45/15 45/18 45/19 | heartburn [1] 70/15 | 52/7 53/23 54/6 59/22 61/8 61/9 62/25 |
| 45/23 56/10 91/25 | heavy [1] 56/22 | 63/18 64/9 65/9 66/23 67/21 68/16 |
| graphic [5] 28/14 29/16 29/17 32/18 | heck [1] 37/21 | 69/12 74/7 74/11 75/8 76/19 77/2 |
| 48/8 | held [1] 1/3 | 79/20 92/2 95/22 97/17 97/21 106/6 |
| graphics [4] 22/19 22/23 26/17 31/1 | Hello [1] 46/4 | I've [10] 10/6 38/5 38/6 38/9 40/15 46/9 |
| grass [2] 68/13 68/14 | help [3] 29/7 79/5 79/9 | 50/14 77/3 77/6 87/21 |
| GREEN [1] 1/10 | helpful [1] $7 / 21$ | I-95 [3] 31/6 31/7 31/8 |
| ground [9] 15/11 25/7 31/15 33/22 42/1 <br> 42/4 42/6 44/15 45/12 | helps [1] 88/20 | idea[4] 36/13 53/5 55/2 69/22 |


|  | issues [13] | knew [1] 99/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ideas [2] 26/12 26/12 | 56/19 57/17 61/7 61/21 65/3 65/6 68/2 | knot [2] 38/25 42/23 |
| identical [2] 62/16 91/1 | 68/2 97/2 | ts [6] 38/17 38/18 38/20 38/2 |
| identified [3] 14/5 73/2 96/11 | it's [135] |  |
| identify [3] 11/5 70/20 71/24 | item [20] 5/8 5/13 9/5 46/17 46/25 | 7/9 10/18 12/6 12/12 16/14 17/18 21/5 |
| immediately [1] 53/17 | 47/12 49/4 49/6 49/23 66/20 69/16 | 22/18 22/20 24/15 27/13 28/10 32/22 |
| impact [1] 28/14 | 70/25 73/4 73/24 75/16 76/1 76/6 76/7 | 33/19 35/1 35/3 35/8 36/18 37/15 38/8 |
| impediments [1] 63/13 | 85/2 86/20 | 39/1 39/4 41/11 41/12 47/5 48/2 48/20 |
| implication [1] 75/25 | items [11] 2/8 4/15 4/18 4/23 5/3 6/22 | 49/21 49/25 49/25 50/1 50/22 53/19 |
| implications [1] 8/1 | 69/6 70/14 70/14 85/15 86/14 | 54/1 59/7 63/2 67/1 67/3 67/24 69/7 |
| implies [1] 79/16 | its [4] 51/6 57/23 74/24 74/25 | 69/12 70/5 72/14 73/25 73/25 78/21 |
| imply [1] 76/1 | itself [2] 29/12 73/24 | 81/25 85/16 86/2 86/13 86/20 86/24 |
| improve [3] 11/9 68/22 93/13 | J | 87/7 87/15 100/5 101/24 102/7 105/23 |
|  | Jacksonville [1] | 101/21 101/23 102/12 |
| improvements [3] 48/17 93/6 93/15 | JANET [3] 1/21 107/6 107/13 | L |
| in a [1] 11/12 | 93/23 93/23 | lack [2] 64/2 70/15 |
| inclined [1] 6/11 | Jax [1] 17/24 | land [13] 30/7 30/23 31/11 31/23 32/2 |
| include [5] 6/7 67/4 82/7 96/14 98/18 | Jay [1] 15/25 | 32/9 32/11 38/24 42/7 42/7 42/25 43/6 |
|  | Jet [2] 4/5 4/13 | 99/5 |
| $\text { udes [5] } 70$ | Jet A [2] 4/5 4/13 | landed [1] 38/1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { cluding [3] } 65 / 6 \\ \text { coming [1] } \\ \hline 1 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | job [2] 82/11 82/18 | landing [3] 38/9 38/13 40/15 |
| ming [1] 6/16 | jobs [3] 15/20 15/22 82/15 | landings [4] 42/3 56/23 104/24 105/1 |
| incorporates [1] | JOHNS [8] 1/1 1/16 15/13 15/22 16/2 | language [8] 55/5 55/10 64/23 65/6 |
| increase [8] 16/20 19/13 19/14 22/9 | 71/10 71/11 107/4 | 65/20 67/2 67/22 69/13 |
| 73/1 89/1 92/6 95/8 | jointly [1] 52/17 | large [6] 30/23 31/13 31/20 31/23 3 |
| independent [1] 47/23 | July [3] 1/6 16/18 107/10 | 59/5 |
| indicated [1] 10/13 | July 24th [1] 16/18 | larger [1] 82/8 <br> last [38] 5/7 5/13 8/3 8/22 9/5 13/10 |
| indication [1] 34/2 | June [5] 17/1 18/13 18/13 20/3 93/18 | $13 / 10 \text { 15/4 16/12 20/25 24/4 24/6 } 24 / 9$ |
| individual [4] 70/6 72/11 72/22 73/15 | $\text { just [89] } 4 / 215 / 187 / 17 / 88 / 59 / 210 / 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 1015 / 416 / 1220 / 2524 / 424 / 624 / 9 \\ & 32 / 433 / 234 / 534 / 1847 / 250 / 2150 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| individually [1] 69/21 | 10/4 12/3 13/25 14/20 15/16 18/20 | 52/1 52/12 53/20 53/21 54/2 56/14 |
| industrial [1] 32/15 | 18/24 19/1 20/14 20/15 20/17 20/20 | 66/22 69/21 78/18 82/1 85/3 85/6 |
| information [3] 46/14 46/16 49/18 | 20/25 22/17 24/13 27/4 30/8 32/21 | 90/21 94/10 95/5 95/7 99/10 104/21 |
| informed [1] 106/2 | 32/24 34/17 37/6 37/21 39/4 39/6 | late [2] 69/9 100/15 |
| infrastructure [1] 17/7 | 39/24 41/25 42/2 42/4 42/7 42/8 42/21 | later [5] 6/4 52/21 55/7 98/24 106/14 |
| inherent [1] 53/11 | 43/3 49/14 49/15 49/21 50/16 54/22 | law [2] 1/16 90/1 |
| INK [3] 71/16 71/20 71/25 | 55/10 56/1 57/13 57/16 58/13 58/17 | lawyer [1] 62/3 |
| input [8] 25/3 25/15 32/25 32/25 47/6 | 58/18 58/19 62/25 63/6 64/10 65/9 | layout [3] 25/17 27/17 47/24 |
| 47/14 49/16 67/20 | 66/19 67/2 67/3 67/6 72/21 73/24 | layouts [1] 25/13 |
| inside [2] 27/16 33/12 | 77/10 78/8 78/15 79/7 79/12 80/21 | $\text { lead [3] } 4 / 64 / 1414 / 5$ |
| instances [1] 7/4 | 80/25 83/3 83/6 84/14 86/8 86/8 87/2 | leadership [1] 8/25 |
| insurance [2] 87/3 95/6 | 88/12 88/21 89/8 95/1 97/16 97/18 | leads [1] 85/8 |
| insurances [1] 87/2 | 97/22 100/12 100/25 102/15 103/20 | lease [6] 28/21 28/21 39/17 39/18 40/1 |
| intended [1] 39/24 | $105 / 13105 / 20106 / 5$ | $84 / 15$ |
| intent [4] 42/11 55/11 64/24 65/20 | justify [1] 102/12 | leases [5] 89/4 89/4 89/5 89/6 102/5 |
| interchangeable [1] 30/22 | K |  |
| interest [1] 10/14 | keep [11] 7/1 21/25 39/11 49/19 52/4 | 51/16 60/19 66/4 66/6 68/3 68/6 68/7 |
| interested [2] 25/4 40/25 | 53/11 54/12 65/10 65/17 105/5 105/7 | $96 / 12$ |
| interesting [3] 79/13 85/15 106/2 | keeping [3] 46/18 47/8 47/15 | leaves [2] 89/17 91/13 |
| internal [1] 87/11 | Key [1] 85/13 | Leaving [3] 92/1 92/24 95/20 |
| internally [1] 66/11 | kick [1] 40/8 | left [1] 94/8 |
| international [1] 36/16 | kicks [1] 66/3 | legal [3] 62/7 62/10 67/19 |
| intersection [2] 15/9 29/4 | kidding [1] 97/18 | legalese [1] 77/3 |
| intro [1] 24/4 | kids [1] 71/16 | legislative [7] 4/17 4/19 4/25 5/10 6/2 |
| invariable [1] 6/15 | kill [1] 86/9 | legislative $[7]$ 4/17 4/19 4/25 5/10 6/2 6/3 |
| invented [1] 66/10 | killed [2] 44/16 45/22 | legislature [1] 7/25 |
| invest [1] 71/16 | kind [29] 5/1 5/3 5/20 9/18 19/2 20/14 | Len [3] 37/4 37/8 57/1 |
| invested [1] 48/3 | 42/1 56/20 62/14 64/24 65/6 69/24 | length [2] 52/11 56/22 |
| investment [1] 70/10 | 70/21 75/19 79/21 81/23 82/4 83/11 | Leon [1] 1/21 |
| involved [3] 16/5 16/7 99/14 | 83/19 85/8 86/4 86/8 87/17 88/19 89/1 | $\text { less [1] } 38 / 21$ |
| involves [2] 5/19 28/18 | 97/5 98/13 99/14 100/17 | let [13] 5/2 5/21 22/20 41/2 45/7 46/20 |
| irrelevant [1] 43/10 <br> is [218] | kinds [7] 11/4 56/21 70/11 90/16 90/20 91/14 97/2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 70/13 78/20 87/19 87/22 91/22 93/1 } \\ & 101 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| isn't [3] 14/5 27/16 66/10 <br> issue [12] 7/23 28/22 37/12 37/13 38/5 | King [1] 42/25 | let's [5] 3/2 7/13 10/10 52/20 75/12 |
| issue [12] 7/23 28/22 37/12 37/13 38/5 $38 / 1239 / 739 / 1743 / 1046 / 2367 / 19$ | King Airs [1] 42/25 | letter [4] 4/16 5/21 6/25 7/3 |
| 67/20 39/7 39/17 43/10 46/23 67/19 | KIRA [2] 1/11 104/20 | letting [1] 43/17 |


| L | M | me [30] 5/21 6/23 10/2 10/2 10/5 13/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| level [7] 5/10 25/10 27/8 31/15 33/22 | ma'am [1] 104/19 | 13/15 13/23 16/1 20/20 22/20 22/20 22/21 22/22 22/24 40/24 44/21 46/20 |
| 71/2 89/17 | made [12] 9/13 61/6 70/12 72/22 72/22 | 22/21 22/22 22/24 40/24 44/21 46/20 |
| levels [2] 77/4 86/14 | 72/25 75/9 77/24 80/24 87/9 90/23 | 87/19 87/22 91/22 93/1 96/8 101/15 |
| liabilities [1] 87/5 | 98/21 | mean [14] 9/22 13/8 17/16 20/5 38/4 |
| life [1] 39/25 | magnitude [1] 31/18 | 41/16 53/6 60/23 61/8 66/15 88/12 |
| lifeline [1] 45/3 | MAGUIRE [4] 1/11 88/17 89/19 104/17 | $98 / 13101 / 14101 / 22$ |
| lifespan [1] 52/4 | mail [2] 67/9 99/7 | meaning [3] 71/5 72/3 87/11 |
| lightbulb [1] 69/25 | main [6] 1/17 26/2 27/1 28/24 28/25 | means [2] 27/17 77/8 |
| lighting [1] 48/18 | 36/20 | nt [1] 76/25 |
| lights [1] 35/18 | maintain [7] 43/19 44/1 45/21 56/11 | meant [1] 76/25 |
| like [44] 6/7 7/4 7/6 13/7 16/2 19/15 | 56/13 57/13 59/1 | sures [1] 8/22 |
| 20/10 20/10 33/23 33/25 38/16 39/22 | maintenance [4] 39/15 45/19 68/9 | 9/15 64/1 |
| 40/4 40/8 41/8 41/23 42/2 43/19 44/10 | 90/13 | 24/5 34/6 46/18 47/1 47/2 50/1 50/3 |
| 45/13 54/15 54/20 57/1 70/9 75/7 75/8 | major [4] 24/7 32/23 89/6 89/24 |  |
| 75/11 75/19 75/23 78/5 78/8 78/9 79/9 | majority [3] 21/10 32/9 46/15 | 78/18 86/21 104/22 106/3 106/17 |
| 79/9 83/11 83/19 86/14 87/16 88/18 | make [29] 9/6 24/17 25/4 33/14 39/6 | meetings [2] $8 / 894 / 15$ |
| 91/17 102/4 102/6 102/6 103/25 | 40/12 43/13 49/1 49/14 49/15 56/25 | meets [3] 61/15 63/4 63/12 |
| Likely [1] 82/25 | 58/21 64/10 67/22 67/22 72/8 75/11 | Melbourne [1] 26/23 |
| limited [4] 31/12 31/15 35/11 39/15 | 77/5 80/8 80/21 81/3 83/24 84/2 85/14 | Melbourne [1] 26/23 |
| line [11] 36/10 70/25 73/4 75/16 75/25 | 86/18 98/14 98/22 102/22 105/20 | $\text { members [7] } 1 / 96 / 7 \quad 28 / 841 / 15 \text { 102/11 }$ |
| 76/6 76/7 88/4 90/21 92/4 100/25 | makes [1] 43/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { members [/] } 1 / 96 / / 28 / 841 / 15102 / 11 \\ & 104 / 16 \text { 104/18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| lines [2] 88/13 95/2 | making [3] 72/12 78/25 99/8 | memberships [1] 91/2 |
| link [1] 11/14 | managed [2] 39/11 99/15 | mention [4] 15/5 71/15 80/24 98/22 |
| list [3] 9/13 65/10 90/17 | management [3] 32/3 99/4 99/21 | mentioned [4] 48/20 78/23 86/21 94/15 |
| listed [1] 90/5 | mandated [1] 78/9 | mentions [1] 72/4 |
| listening [1] 46/7 | mandatory [1] 76/11 | Meridians [1] 42/25 |
| literally [3] 15/18 27/5 42/13 | maneuver [1] 42/11 | $\text { merits [2] } 74 / 2474 / 25$ |
| little [24] 4/9 11/7 12/1 15/14 20/7 | manufacturer [2] 38/24 39/5 | mic [2] 4/7 58/16 |
| 21/23 24/3 28/3 32/4 33/2 35/9 36/9 | many [4] 12/3 37/18 53/19 69/8 | middle [1] 33/20 |
| 37/22 38/6 43/4 55/23 55/23 65/5 | map [1] 60/9 |  |
| 85/11 85/24 93/11 93/13 93/14 98/24 | March [3] 37/23 37/23 94/13 | 4/21 22/18 23/12 25/21 40/10 |
| live [1] 90/9 | margin [1] 39/8 |  |
| lives [1] 41/18 | market [4] 79/3 79/3 99/25 100/22 | 79/6 <br> 1] $4 / 16$ |
| load [1] 104/1 | marketing [3] 90/16 90/19 90/19 |  |
| loans [1] 88/8 | marsh [1] 27/12 |  |
| lobbied [1] 82/3 | massive [1] 81/3 | mile [3] 38/14 38/15 38/16 |
| lobbyist [2] 64/6 | master [42] 2/9 22/15 22/16 24/5 24/16 | miles [2] 37/24 39/2 |
| local [8] 4/24 6/14 7/25 8/8 8/23 34/9 | 26/11 26/14 27/16 33/6 43/7 43/16 | military [2] 35/5 36/8 |
| 40/3 96/2 | 45/7 45/10 45/14 45/17 46/15 49/23 | mill [3] 56/20 100/5 100/24 |
| locally [1] 71/16 | 49/25 50/3 50/23 50/25 51/7 51/21 | millage [4] 16/19 96/19 96/21 101/6 |
| location [1] 43/24 | 53/3 53/10 53/21 54/3 54/21 55/20 | ion [7] 82/6 83/15 85/21 92/14 |
| locations [1] 36/8 | 58/20 59/10 59/22 60/21 62/22 62/23 | 92/22 93/10 101/1 |
| locks [1] 97/5 | 62/24 63/20 65/24 66/4 66/12 67/2 | mind [3] 21/25 61/18 87/22 |
| long [7] 13/10 16/8 39/11 51/10 65/18 | 94/7 | minute [1] 20/20 |
| 68/8 99/17 | master plan [36] 22/15 24/5 24/16 | minutes [11] 2/4 3/5 3/7 3/8 22/25 46/3 |
| longer [3] 5/18 43/18 104/6 | 26/14 27/16 43/7 43/16 45/7 45/10 | 46/17 47/2 49/5 49/10 69/20 |
| longest [2] 68/9 69/11 | 45/14 45/17 46/15 49/23 49/25 50/3 | $32$ |
| look[13] 3/19 20/6 25/22 29/7 33/11 | 50/23 50/25 51/7 51/21 53/3 53/10 | miss [1] 32/20 |
| 34/20 60/22 74/22 85/16 92/4 92/21 | 53/21 54/3 54/21 55/20 58/20 59/10 | missed [4] 32/21 32/23 46/ |
| 98/15 99/11 | 59/22 60/21 62/22 62/23 62/24 63/20 | mixed [1] 39/5 |
| looked [8] 19/17 26/11 26/16 27/3 27/8 | 65/24 67/2 94/7 | dified [1] 29/21 |
| 38/9 66/7 66/10 | master planning [2] 66/4 66/12 | moment [3] 8/10 10/3 14/24 |
| looking [13] 8/16 8/19 19/4 22/19 27/9 | master plans [1] 26/11 | Monahan [1] 15/25 |
| 31/23 56/20 68/1 68/14 68/16 74/11 | match [3] 85/18 92/2 93/19 | Monday [1] 1/6 |
| 90/8 95/12 | Material [1] 77/11 | money [20] 71/13 71/18 71/23 72/6 |
| looks [1] 82/24 | materially [1] 102/1 | 76/13 76/18 77/17 79/1 79/4 79/ |
| lose [1] 57/23 | materials [2] 77/23 83/18 | 84/21 86/22 88/10 90/9 92/22 94/14 |
| loses [1] 38/7 | math [1] 92/12 | 94/22 96/2 96/3 100/3 |
| lot [19] 9/24 12/2 13/9 15/10 15/10 | matter [12] 5/9 9/2 | money's [1] 79/16 |
| 17/23 21/16 21/24 22/19 23/22 25/3 | 43/13 51/19 62/7 6 | monies [1] 74/16 |
| 25/4 30/6 37/14 38/6 44/11 48/3 59/11 | 103/23 | month [10] 13/11 17/17 18/14 19/18 |
| 69/6 | maximum [4] 38/19 44/19 44/23 100/24 | 22/13 24/4 32/5 33/2 66/21 66/22 |
| low [6] 4/6 4/14 14/5 75/11 82/12 82/14 | may[24] 8/12 15/10 16/16 17/4 27/21 | months [11] 16/17 18/19 18/21 19/14 |
| lower [1] 79/2 | 36/10 39/22 44/21 51/11 51/12 54/20 | 19/16 19/24 20/10 25/22 29/16 50/7 |
| luck [1] 105/24 | 56/4 65/4 67/11 68/1 69/4 76/1 76/20 | 50/12 |
| Ludlow [3] 40/18 41/23 44/10 | 77/6 77/9 82/2 98/18 103/12 103/24 | more [23] 6/11 10/6 11/16 11/16 20/23 21/23 22/7 22/8 26/15 38/10 46/2 47/6 |
| lumped [1] 90/20 | maybe [9] 10/7 12/14 16/6 39/5 39/13 | 21/23 22/7 22/8 26/15 38/10 46/2 47/6 <br> 52/2 58/4 58/13 58/18 65/5 68/11 |
| lunch [2] 15/15 16/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 / 1352 / 160 / 2088 / 21 \\ & \text { mays [1] 80/20 } \end{aligned}$ | 68/23 69/5 69/6 79/25 93/14 |
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36/20 37/6 40/10 42/4 42/6 43/11 43/18 49/13 51/4 51/16 51/17 51/17
51/17 52/21 52/22 52/22 52/23 52/24
53/2 53/4 53/4 53/8 54/5 55/11 56/3
56/18 60/18 62/1 63/18 64/9 64/22
65/8 65/17 69/2 73/1 73/2 77/19 78/12
79/25 80/18 83/10 83/25 88/5 88/7
88/10 88/14 91/24 92/8 93/8 94/22
96/1 96/2 96/2 96/4 96/5 97/8 97/9 97/10 98/19 98/21 100/1 100/3 100/4 100/4 101/8 101/9 101/20 103/4
104/11 104/14 104/19 105/9
Nods [1] 57/2
non [4] 68/2 90/6 95/15 95/22
non-grant [1] 90/6
non-issues [1] 68/2
non-operating [2] 95/15 95/22
nonaviation [1] 29/23
nonaviation-related [1] 29/23
none [7] 36/24 81/17 84/6 84/12 91/18 103/10 103/11
nongrant [2] 93/5 93/8
nongrant-related [1] 93/5
normal [5] 11/4 20/1 42/8 66/11 90/7
normally [2] 19/21 91/16
north [1] 29/13
Northeast [1] 35/6
Northeast Florida [1] 35/6
northeasterns [1] 42/23
northeasters [1] 40/9
northern [1] 31/11
Northrop [3] 18/7 30/14 31/19
Northrop Grumman [2] 30/14 31/19 not [128]
note [4] 24/13 32/12 98/21 105/20 notes [1] 107/9
nothing [11] 16/21 18/8 22/13 30/10
45/17 71/17 73/16 83/11 100/7 100/16 106/16
notice [5] 83/4 96/22 101/5 103/12
106/6
noticed [1] 15/10
notification [1] 96/15
novel [1] 78/20
November [1] 6/4
now [32] 10/9 17/19 19/7 19/23 21/7
21/8 21/15 24/9 29/19 29/25 30/25
31/23 32/14 34/22 35/16 38/17 39/9

44/1 45/20 48/5 62/5 68/3 68/14 70/8 71/12 75/18 76/20 77/2 80/22 82/11 86/18 95/12
nuclear [2] 23/10 23/21
number [11] 5/11 18/25 31/3 46/17
76/19 87/8 89/21 92/20 93/25 94/11 95/10
Number 13 [1] 46/17
Number 6 [1] 94/11
Number 7 [1] 18/25
numbers [8] 13/8 13/8 18/11 19/13 85/20 87/6 87/9 89/9
numerous [1] 34/11
O
Oak [4] 16/13 31/4 31/5 32/19
object [1] 28/15
object-free [1] 28/15
objectionable [1] 73/19
objective [1] 78/6
obligation [1] 80/18
obligations [1] 90/22
obstruct [1] 61/8
obvious [1] 63/15
obviously [2] 10/17 48/3
occasion [1] 39/5
occasions [1] 40/8
occupy [1] 28/16
occur [6] 25/21 48/14 48/15 48/15 50/10 54/14
occurred [3] 31/21 51/15 69/21
ocean [1] 69/10
October [2] 11/23 105/14
off [13] 14/2 26/8 26/25 35/1 35/8 41/1 42/8 44/14 54/1 60/9 63/22 69/10 69/25
offered [1] 104/5
office [6] 5/22 5/22 15/19 91/4 91/9 96/24
official [1] 6/10
offset [2] 72/10 76/21
offshoot [1] 33/16
oh [14] 10/8 13/5 18/9 19/20 31/8 46/6 55/8 58/10 62/12 76/16 77/16 83/8 101/23 102/14
okay [90] 3/6 3/14 3/20 6/24 9/5 11/19
12/25 13/1 13/12 13/23 14/13 14/22
15/1 18/7 18/11 20/16 22/14 24/3
31/10 32/21 34/22 36/22 36/25 37/3
37/7 40/18 42/18 44/4 50/8 50/13
52/25 54/13 57/5 57/16 57/16 58/8
58/12 59/7 59/15 59/16 61/11 62/15
62/24 63/11 64/17 66/13 66/18 66/25
67/25 69/3 69/7 69/14 69/19 73/8 76/4
76/10 76/15 76/19 76/23 77/9 77/9
78/1 78/4 78/10 78/13 78/22 79/25
80/5 80/17 81/8 81/18 81/21 83/24
84/1 85/2 88/3 88/9 88/15 88/16 98/11
101/2 101/10 102/9 102/19 103/4 103/11 103/16 104/15 105/18 106/15
old [2] 23/16 23/22
once [5] 41/9 50/8 58/3 58/4 93/11
one [80] 4/15 7/23 8/22 9/13 10/6 13/7
13/25 14/7 14/10 14/11 15/18 20/24
21/18 21/25 22/1 23/7 24/13 27/3 27/7
31/3 31/9 32/14 34/4 35/7 36/12 37/6
37/7 37/13 38/2 38/8 38/19 40/24
41/24 45/8 45/24 49/20 50/19 50/23
51/4 51/22 53/2 53/5 54/15 55/22
55/24 58/13 58/17 58/18 60/11 60/18

| O | 53/7 53/10 57/7 59/11 61/10 66/4 67/9 | per se [1] 88/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one... [30] 62/15 62/18 63/7 66/20 68/7 | 70/6 72/24 74/6 75/12 75/20 75/22 | percent [18] 10/13 10/14 10/15 |
| 68/7 69/4 69/5 70/13 70/13 70/14 | 76/25 78/19 78/19 79/10 79/10 82/4 | 51/24 61/15 89/1 89/1 89/15 89/17 |
| 70/24 78/11 78/19 81/23 85/14 87/13 | 82/5 83/11 86/20 87/9 91/18 91/19 | 89/23 92/6 94/20 94/21 94/23 95/3 |
| 87/22 95/9 97/16 97/22 98/1 98/23 | 95/3 98/13 98/16 | 95/9 95/14 |
| 98/24 101/11 101/11 101/13 101/16 | 100/3 104/25 | percentage [1] 94/19 |
| 105/3 106/13 |  |  |
| one that [1] 62/15 | outlays [1] 97/24 outlined [1] 32/8 | 63/8 |
| one's [1] 51/17 | outside [2] 72/3 90/15 | period [2] 20/11 59/3 |
| one-five [1] 98/1 one-half [1] 95/9 | over [25] 7/18 9/10 16/25 16/25 18/18 | periods [3] 20/5 20/7 20/17 |
| one-year | 18/20 19/13 29/16 30/5 30/22 31/7 | permitted [2] 17/1 34/19 |
| ones [3] 5/25 9/13 | 33/19 34/1 36/9 41/5 52/12 64/3 69/1 | person [1] 102/18 |
| ongoing [2] 46/11 74/5 | 89/13 91/10 95/7 96/8 99/4 99/ | personnel [5] 70/9 89/20 95/2 95/8 |
| only [22] 6/15 10/25 33/11 35/12 35/16 | overall [2] 56/16 100/21 | persons [1] 78/19 |
| 35/16 35/18 51/21 55/22 58/2 59/3 | overcome [3] 61/7 61/21 64/11 | perspective [2] 18/12 19/2 |
| 59/3 62/6 71/14 71/23 78/10 85/19 $87 / 2$ 89/23 101/3 102/18 105/12 | overestimated [1] 95/5 | peruse [1] 3/8 |
| $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | overlay [2] 48/13 56/20 | PFC [1] 92/8 |
| open [15] 6/17 21/18 21/20 32/24 | own [6] 31/25 32/7 37/25 56/13 65/18 | PGA [5] 15/11 15/17 16/1 16/8 16/10 |
| 39/11 39/16 46/19 54/12 54/25 65/18 | 99/3 | PGA Tour [1] 16/10 |
| 66/1 88/7 91/25 93/16 94/11 | owns [1] 31/24 | phase [2] 35/15 98/5 |
| opened [2] 17/14 17/16 | P |  |
| opens [1] 75/15 | p.m [4] 1/7 1/7 37/24 106/17 | physical [1] 43/24 |
| operate [1] 36/11 | package [1] 93/12 | pick [1] 69/10 |
| $95 / 2095 / 22$ | page [6] 2/2 88/19 88/21 91/15 93/2 | picky [1] 76/20 |
| operation [3] 30/20 30/21 31/20 | 95/1 | picture [2] 88/20 95/18 |
| operational [2] 57/14 59/6 | paid [2] 99/25 100/8 | piece [4] 41/17 42/7 71/4 99/21 |
| operations [17] 15/13 15/17 18/13 | [1] 93/7 | pieces [2] 24/20 72/15 |
| 18/15 18/18 26/7 26/9 26/15 26/24 | painting [1] 45/12 | Pilatus [1] 42/25 |
| 26/25 35/22 42/14 54/8 89/10 89/2 | Palm [1] 15/8 | pilot [3] 38/23 38/25 40/19 |
| 91/19 104/24 | paper [1] 41/17 | pilots [7] 37/9 40/21 41/21 44/11 47/8 |
| operator [1] 24/23 | papers [1] 62/19 | 49/17 68/18 |
| opinions [1] 77/7 | paperwork [1] 62/21 | pink [1] 26/2 |
| opportunity [2] 46/10 79/15 | parallel [8] 26/19 27/25 32/17 34/24 | pitching [1] 53/5 |
| oppose [1] 45/2 | 36/5 42/12 57/10 59 | place [6] 8/5 10/19 11/23 41/8 52/16 |
| opposed [6] 7/21 21/5 47/9 81/16 84/11 | parameters [1] 35/13 | 75/20 |
| $103 / 9$ | Pardon [2] 62/9 64/21 | plan [43] 2/9 22/15 22/16 24/5 24/16 |
| opp | park [1] | 25/18 26/14 27/16 27/17 33/6 39/23 |
| optimum [1] 53/12 | parking [2] 29/2 29/11 | 43/7 43/16 45/7 45/10 45/14 45/17 |
| optimum [1] 53/12 | part [14] 38/4 52/7 61/9 61/12 66/11 | 46/15 49/23 49/25 50/3 50/5 50/23 |
| options [2] 68/23 | 74/9 74/15 74/16 77/22 77/23 84/16 | 50/25 51/7 51/21 53/3 53/10 53/21 |
| or just [1] 14/20 | 84/17 95/10 98/12 | 54/3 54/21 55/20 58/20 59/10 59/22 |
| or just [1] 14/20 <br> Orange [1] 16/3 | partially [1] 86/1 | 60/21 62/22 62/23 62/24 63/20 65/24 |
| Orange [1] 16/3 | particular [2] 69/23 73/20 | 67/2 94/7 |
| Orange County [1] 16/3 | particularly [1] 17/7 | plane [1] 42/10 |
| order [3] 9/15 63/21 88/21 | PARTNERS [2] 2/7 15/2 | planes [1] 21/7 |
| originally [2] 68/12 82/16 | partnership [2] 16/7 16/9 | planned [1] 55/3 |
| Orlando [3] 34/11 34/12 34/19 | party [1] 76/1 | planning [9] 27/18 43/12 51/20 66/4 |
| other [42] 5/13 6/6 10/14 11/6 12/3 | pass [1] 21/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { planning } \\ & 66 / 1267 / 1892 / 592 / 24104 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13/7 14/11 26/4 26/17 26/17 26/18 |  |  |
| 31/13 36/23 42/13 42/13 43/7 43/8 | passage [1] 53/16 <br> passed [1] 5/11 | plans [4] 7/5 25/17 26/11 99/24 <br> planting [1] 99/20 |
| 43/9 43/12 43/15 44/5 45/16 46/2 | Passero [1] 82/1 | play [2] 39/21 90/17 |
| 48/12 48/12 60/11 63/7 63/17 68/13 | Passes [1] 84/13 | $\text { plays [1] } 93 / 24$ |
| 70/11 72/2 72/5 72/8 72/13 73/2 73/17 | past [5] 26/17 29/16 31/1 54/8 81/25 | Please [1] 3/3 |
| 74/2 89/6 91/14 101/1 102/18 103/1 | pattern [1] 22/7 | pleased [2] 16/4 16/5 |
| others [1] 8/23 | Pause [1] 23/4 | pledge [3] 2/3 3/3 3/4 |
| ought [3] 39/14 56/1 88/21 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Pause [1] } 23 / 4 \\ \text { paved [1] } & 52 / 18\end{array}$ |  |
| our [34] 3/6 6/14 8/8 8/17 11/4 16/10 | pavement [3] 46/21 48/18 59/1 | point [11] $4 / 1538 / 1143 / 1851 / 5$ 51/9 |
| 16/10 16/18 17/6 18/14 18/16 18/16 | Paving [1] 82/13 | 51/17 53/2 73/21 92/18 93/3 94/9 |
| 22/15 24/16 40/25 41/17 45/3 66/25 | pay [6] 59/1 61/17 63/2 82/23 86/10 | pointed [1] 53/10 |
| 68/13 75/5 76/7 77/25 78/6 78/18 | $100 / 7$ | les [1] 100/6 |
| 85/21 86/13 89/7 93/12 104/16 104/16 | paying [2] 100/4 100/21 | policies [1] 70/6 |
| 105/3 105/24 106/3 106/10 | paying [2] 100/4 100/21 <br> peak [1] 18/14 | policies [1] 70/6 policy [15] 2/9 51/21 51/2 |
| ours [1] 102/16 | pencil [1] 106/6 | $70 / 270 / 370 / 770 / 970 / 1071 / 473 / 5$ |
| ourselves [1] 82/4 | people [12] 25/4 | 74/8 75/8 77/25 |
| out [59] 4/15 5/13 10/5 11/6 12/7 21/2 |  |  |
| 21/8 21/12 21/20 21/23 27/5 28/1 | 54/16 55/21 61/24 76/1 95/10 101/1 | Ponce [1] 1/21 |
| 28/22 29/3 29/5 29/8 31/6 35/14 35/22 | 105/25 | portions [1] 52/12 |
| 36/11 37/14 37/19 39/3 43/2 45/3 51/9 | per [2] 88/10 90/8 | position [1] 9/24 |


| $P$ | provide [4] 39/15 41/8 71/2 71/7 | [1] 66/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| positively [1] 8/16 | provided [1] 71/23 | ready [2] 10/9 81/5 |
| possible [3] 48/21 75/12 79/8 | providing [3] 69/22 72/4 99/17 | real [2] 7/7 16/3 |
| possibly [1] 18/22 | provision [2] 72/8 77/21 | realize [1] 39/12 |
| potential [1] 99/16 | pu |  |
| Potentially [1] 11/14 | 46/2 47/6 49/17 58/9 72/15 79/25 | 16/4 16/9 20/17 23/18 23/20 34/7 |
| PR [1] 90/20 | 103/18 103/19 104/7 104/10 104/12 | /20 38/4 40/23 40/24 51/5 |
| practical [1] 26/10 | 103/18 103/19 104/7 104/10 104/12 | 51/6 52/15 62/20 67/18 68/2 70/19 70/24 74/7 79/9 83/11 86/17 90/24 |
| prefer [1] 44/12 | Publications [1] 91/1 |  |
| preferred 58/22 | publicize [1] 6/9 | reasonably [1] 59/20 |
| preliminary [5] 2/10 85/1 85/4 99/2 99/6 | published [1] 96/16 | reasons [2] 28/12 59/6 |
| preparation [1] 92/18 | pull [2] 8/25 91/18 | Reba [9] 37/1 40/17 40/18 58/10 58/15 |
| prepare [2] 86/1 87/13 | pulled [1] | 83/ |
| prepared [2] 25/2 49/12 | pulling [1] 5/7 | recall [4] 52/1 52/6 70/4 100/9 |
| PRESENT [2] 1/9 1/15 | pulp [1] 100/6 | recaps [1] 94/19 |
| Presentation [2] 2/10 85 | purchase [2] 72/14 77/23 | recapture [1] 95/4 |
| presented [4] 3/15 3/21 66/21 104/22 | purchased [1] 99/9 | recapturing [1] 94 |
| pretty [7] 6/20 7/17 8/19 17/6 67/21 | purchases [1] 77/12 | receipt [1] 82/22 |
| 79/13 95/3 | purchasing [2] 70/10 | receive [1] 71/6 |
| preview [1] 24/7 | purely [1] 76/5 | received [1] 4/16 |
| previous [2] 16/6 26/10 | purple [1] 26/3 | cently [2] 15/9 32/3 |
| prices [1] 14/13 | purpose [2] 71/24 8 | recipient [1] 71/13 |
| primary [7] 27/9 28/12 45/24 48/1 | purposes [3] 51/6 | recommence [1] 106/1 |
| 51/24 57/15 65/10 | pursuing [1] 5/17 | recommend [1] 82/17 |
| prime [1] 7/7 | push [4] 6/13 17 | commendation [6] 28/8 57/4 97 |
| principle [1] 9/3 | put [18] 7/4 7/6 7/13 18/11 37/15 45/6 | recommendations [2] 99/8 99/12 |
| prior [1] 59/24 | 55/10 56/1 57/6 59/12 66/22 67/9 70/7 | recommended [2] 27/7 80/9 |
| privy [1] 33/6 | 73/21 75/23 92/19 100/9 102/1 | recommending [1] 96/18 |
| 17/17 28/7 38/11 40/2 50/22 52/18 | putting [8] 19/2 40/14 55/19 57/10 | reconsider [1] 75/19 |
| 60/20 82/7 93/11 | 68/12 69/13 85/24 99/4 | record [4] 18/16 18/22 19/4 107/9 |
| problem [6] 37/16 38/12 54/8 65/17 | Q | records [1] 53/23 |
| 73/23 83/10 | qualify [1] 11/13 | 32/9 |
| problems [7] 38/6 54/3 54/9 61/12 63/3 | quantities [1] 83/22 |  |
| 77/3 77/10 | quantities [1] 83/22 | redesignating [1] 60/23 |
| proceed [1] 66/20 |  | redone [1] 52/3 |
| proceedings [2] 23/4 107/8 |  | reduce [1] 89/17 |
| proceeds [2] 55/18 97/17 |  | refer [2] 81/24 87/20 |
| process [5] 8/13 66/12 71/1 73/4 73/6 | questioned [1] 28/8 | ring [2] 47/18 48/8 |
| product [1] 14/7 | questions [4] 17/10 32/24 36/23 96/8 | Reflects [2] 101/20 101/21 |
| professional [6] 77/11 77/15 77/22 90/3 | quick [9] 9/18 9/25 11/20 11/25 17/11 | reflexes [1] 39/6 |
|  | 78/15 87/22 93/1 97/22 | regardless [1] 104/8 |
|  | quicker [1] 12/1 | regular [2] 1/2 96/12 |
| program's [2] 11/3 98/8 | quickly [5] 13/25 34/6 86/23 95/1 | regulation [1] 39/20 |
| program's [2] 11/3 98/8 | 103/25 | regulations [1] 40/4 |
| programmed [1] 98/12 | quite [4] 17/18 29/16 33/1 39/6 | rehab [7] 2/10 81/21 81/22 82/3 82/6 |
|  |  | 2/18 93/21 |
| prohibits [1] 73/16 | R |  |
| project [19] 11/18 12/18 24/10 24/10 | radio [1] 36/12 | rehabbing [1] 22/3 |
| 25/18 27/16 28/4 29/18 52/16 65/24 | rainfall [1] 100/22 | ated [15] 4/17 5/1 |
| 71/22 73/20 82/6 85/17 85/22 87/16 | raise [1] 71/18 | 31/14 61/21 69/22 90/1 92/5 93/5 95 |
| 87/17 93/10 94/8 | raises [1] 57/9 | 99/2 99/3 101/18 |
| project-by-project [1] 24/10 | ramp [1] 22/3 |  |
| projected [10] 89/3 89/4 89/18 89/22 | ran [1] 7/17 | relative [4] 13/16 20/4 89/22 100/22 |
| 91/11 91/12 91/23 91/25 92/10 94/24 | RANDY [5] 1/10 33/3 33/4 33/8 73/9 | relativity [1] 13/10 |
| projection [3] 87/14 89/15 97/20 | ranges [1] 89/1 | released [1] 23/11 |
| projects [17] 9/11 10/25 11/5 11/6 11/9 | ranked [1] 18/25 | relieve [1] 17/23 |
| 12/1 12/5 12/21 27/21 33/17 90/6 | rate [3] 16/19 40/6 96/21 | locate [2] 35/20 35/20 |
| 91/22 92/8 93/1 94/21 97/25 98/9 | rather [6] 7/8 68/23 74/16 74/20 74/20 | relocation [1] 28/2 |
| promotionals [1] 90/19 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { ratner } \\ & 78 / 20 \end{aligned}\right.$ | remain [4] 36/4 55/13 65/12 66/1 |
| properly [1] 38/10 | RAYMOS [6] 1/13 49/5 58/9 64/20 | remaining [1] 90/25 |
| property [11] 39/18 39/19 39/19 39/23 | $105 / 10 \quad 105 / 21$ | remains [2] 56/18 91/19 |
| 41/5 68/13 87/4 90/25 96/24 99/3 99/3 | re [5] 8/4 16/14 28/1 71/8 89/19 |  |
| proposal [2] 43/8 70/22 | re-agendaed [1] 16/14 | $37 / 1152 / 1082 / 7$ |
| proposed [15] 16/21 26/6 27/11 28/20 | re-enters [1] 89/19 | remind [4] 50/21 70/2 80/25 89/8 |
| 30/10 31/2 32/13 41/25 51/5 73/1 | react [1] 8/4 | remote [8] 34/25 35/3 35/4 35/5 35/23 |
| 87/14 96/5 96/13 106/3 106/10 | read [3] 49/11 75/25 76/24 | remote [8] 34/25 35/3 35/4 35/5 35/23 $35 / 24$ 36/8 36/8 |
| proposing [2] 26/19 51/18 | reading [1] 55/7 | rent [3] 72/4 72/5 79/3 |


| R | RPR-CP [2] 107/6 107/13 | seemed [2] 5/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rental [2] 89/7 89/8 | rule [1] 62/16 running [2] 38/ | seems [2] 17/2 20/15 <br> seen [9] 23/10 23/22 26/17 29/15 30/25 |
| Repairs [1] 90/13 | runway [109] | $\begin{gathered} \text { seen } \\ 33 / 134 / 2136 / 1438 / 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\text { repeat [2] } 4 / 104 / 11$ | Runway 13/31 [1] 103/22 | segment [1] 31/6 |
| replacement [2] 9/2 | Runway 2 [3] 37/25 38/1 39/8 | seldom [1] 31/13 |
| replanting [1] 99/10 | Runway 2/20 [14] 28/6 37/10 40/2 | selected [1] 50/4 |
| replenished [1] 86/7 | 46/15 46/19 47/9 47/15 103/22 | self [6] 4/5 4/12 14/5 14/11 14/12 88/24 self-serve [4] 4/12 14/5 14/11 14/12 |
| report [13] 2/4 2/6 3/5 3/7 3/25 4/1 <br> 17/11 18/8 22/13 99/2 99/6 100/14 | Runway 31 [1] 38/17 | self-service [2] 4/5 88/24 |
| 17/11 18/8 22/13 99/2 | Runway 6 [3] 38/16 38/18 48/22 | sell [1] 101/1 |
| reported [2] 4/13 5/2 | Runway 6/24 [2] 48/5 103/22 | semester [1] 20/1 |
| REPORTER'S [2] 2/15 107/1 | runways [12] 26/18 26/21 44/19 44/2 | send [3] 36/9 67/8 99/6 |
| reports [3] 2/13 4/5 104/18 | 104/5 104/7 | sense [3] 56/23 70/13 86/18 sensing [1] 35/24 |
| representing [1] 41/1 | S | 2] 35/7 |
| request [3] 4/24 77/22 77/24 |  |  |
| requested [1] 103/24 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { SAAPA } \\ & 102 / 10 \end{aligned}\right.$ | September 10 [1] 106/9 |
| requests [3] require [5] $7 / 1$ | safest [1] 69/11 | September 1st [1] 83/1 |
| required [6] 7/22 59/6 85/24 89/16 93/3 | safety [5] 24/23 37/13 38/4 39/7 39/8 | Serenata [1] 40/19 |
| $106 / 8$ | said [20] 8/22 19/5 19/13 44/5 44/10 | Serenata Beach [1] 40/19 |
| requirement [1] 6 | 45/9 45/14 48/9 54/22 58/19 64/22 | series [1] 25/16 |
| rescue [1] 89/25 | 79/9 87/10 87/24 95/25 101/12 102/12 | serve [4] 4/12 14/5 14/11 14/12 |
| research [2] 35/9 37/22 | 103/14 103/17 106/16 | server [1] 23/2 |
| reserve [1] 93/14 | salaries [2] 72/9 72/10 | service [11] 4/5 24/22 29/6 77/11 77/15 |
| reserves [6] 86/4 87/25 92/17 95/17 | salary [2] 76/21 76/21 salary-offset [1] 76/21 | 86/14 86/16 88/24 88/24 91/17 98/18 <br> services [5] 77/22 90/1 90/3 90/5 90/18 |
| 95/21 97/23 | salary-offset [1] 76/21 <br> sales [1] 89/3 | services [5] 77/22 <br> set [2] 96/19 98/8 |
| residential [3] 16/24 17/1 17/3 resist [1] 97/13 | same [24] 7/13 8/9 14/6 14/7 21/22 | setting [1] 30/2 |
| resource [1] 99/ | 28/16 29/17 36/12 36/16 37/12 37/13 | several [3] 16/17 50/7 70/14 |
| respect [1] 17/5 | 39/1 39/24 40/20 54/9 57/11 60/24 | shall [4] 77/6 78/2 78/3 78/4 |
| respond [1] 61/5 | 62/17 81/7 83/21 89/21 90/21 91/20 | shalls [1] 80/20 |
| rest [4] 20/4 40/11 | 95/9 | shape [3] 60/12 60/13 60/1 |
| restaurant [1] 78/24 | Sarasota [1] 21/4 | share [2] 93/18 94/23 |
| result [2] 70/22 72/12 | satellite [2] 21/1 21/6 | shared [2] 12/3 67/18 |
| return [1] 14/16 | saw [2] 4/2 100/6 | she's [3] 13/22 103/19 103/19 |
| revenue[10] 73/2 89/10 89/12 89/15 | say [28] 5/25 20/12 30/8 38/21 40/12 | sheet [1] 95/13 |
| 92/9 92/24 94/18 95/18 99/16 100/12 | 40/21 41/11 46/10 52/20 56/2 56/24 | sheets [1] 88/22 |
| revenues [9] 88/23 89/18 91/12 95/14 | 58/13 58/17 58/18 61/9 61/14 65/9 | shoot [1] 38/21 |
| 95/15 95/16 95/17 96/2 102/12 | 69/4 69/8 74/5 75/7 76/12 77/12 77/20 | shooting [1] 104/23 |
| reversed [2] 63/19 63/20 | 77/21 82/14 83/16 103/12 | short [6] 9/16 12/4 36/20 53/6 53/6 |
| review [4] 24/11 25/14 72 | saying [18] 7/8 20/21 39/2 44/1 45/11 | 69/12 |
| rid [1] 68/10 | 53/3 60/5 60/16 60/23 62/25 63/18 | shorter [1] 104/5 |
| Riddle [1] 26/2 | 64/6 64/10 65/2 72/1 79/20 79/22 82/8 | shortest [1] 68/7 |
|  | says [3] 55/8 58/20 97/12 | shortfall [2] 92/13 92 |
| Riddle's [1] 21/16 right [48] 3/2 4/10 4 | schedule [4] 4/20 25/18 25/23 55/8 | should [16] 7/7 7/12 8/12 8/19 12/10 |
| 9/4 10/10 13/3 18/1 19/7 21/18 22/14 | school [5] 30/2 71/11 71/12 71/18 | 17/15 54/9 60/22 71/6 71/13 73/4 |
| 29/19 29/25 32/14 33/20 34/14 34/18 | 77/18 | 79/23 82/14 86/24 93/13 103/24 |
| 36/1 37/25 49/9 53/1 55/15 57/4 58/6 | schools [8] 17/9 20/11 20/22 20/24 | shouldn't [1] 6/9 |
| 58/21 59/23 59/25 60/4 63/23 64/18 | 21/13 21/19 21/21 71/18 | shoving [1] 100/25 |
| 65/13 65/16 65/22 68/2 69/16 71/12 | science [1] 23/23 | show [6] 24/10 27/23 37/17 43/8 87/12 |
| 75/22 81/23 85/13 86/18 88/12 91/10 | scope [2] 70/23 85/23 | 89/9 |
| 92/16 93/22 103/4 106/3 | screen [3] 22/18 22/20 22/21 | showed [3] 38/22 47/19 48/25 |
|  | se [1] 88/10 | showing [3] 29/1 37/16 47/23 |
| river [2] 25/7 27/13 | Sea [1] 1/17 | shown [5] 27/16 27/21 28/13 32/18 |
| RMR [3] 1/21 107/6 | season [1] 105/23 | 32/19 |
| road [5] 5/18 7/13 15/8 29/22 31/6 | second [15] 18/14 68/9 71/4 80/10 | shows [3] 27/11 27/24 95/1 |
| roadblocks [1] 7/18 | 81/10 81/12 84/3 84/4 86/5 88/19 | shut [2] 57/11 57/17 |
| $\text { roads [1] } 17 / 8$ | 91/22 96/13 102/21 102/24 102/25 | sic [1] 42/23 |
| roadway [1] 31/2 | second to [1] 102/21 | side [24] 6/3 20/2 26/4 30/14 30/17 |
| $\text { roof [1] } 15 / 18$ | secondary [3] 33/25 39/13 59/17 | 30/19 30/24 31/14 31/16 31/17 31/2 |
| room [5] 1/3 8/11 74/1 88/17 89/19 | secret [1] 10/6 | 32/15 42/13 43/8 43/9 43/12 68/13 |
| rose [2] 37/16 37/17 | section [4] 31/11 52/6 52/7 82/7 | 87/16 89/20 89/25 92/10 93/14 95/8 |
| roughly [1] 94/16 | see [29] 10/2 10/17 12/7 12/9 12/1 | 95/19 |
| round [1] 85/20 | 22/8 23/9 23/12 25/9 25/19 27/2 27/3 | sidebars [1] 69/20 |
| rounds [1] 21/12 | 31/18 31/24 32/8 32/13 32/16 35/9 | sign [1] 63/22 |
| Route [1] 31/7 | 46/20 49/22 65/5 75/12 75/23 86/6 | signed [1] 48/2 |
| rows [1] 94/3 | 91/16 92/4 92/12 98/2 99/12 seeing [1] 81/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { significant [6] 27/10 33/17 42/20 44/16 } \\ & 52 / 12106 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |

significantly [2] 14/15 14/18
similar [5] 26/20 30/16 31/19 32/15
33/15
simple [1] 92/21
simply [2] 51/19 51/24
since [9] 4/2 22/5 53/20 54/2 62/17
80/2 90/24 91/18 93/8
single [7] 16/25 34/10 34/13 34/19 70/7
71/10 87/19
sir [4] 10/24 19/11 87/23 88/5
site [1] 27/4
sites [2] 26/16 27/3
sitting [1] 44/13
situated [1] 38/10
situation [2] 43/20 103/21
six [5] 14/24 18/19 18/21 29/16 70/5
size [3] 42/24 85/22 100/7
skip [2] 4/2 4/3
skipped [1] 91/4
slid [2] 105/22 105/22
slide [1] 28/6
slides [3] 101/12 101/13 101/17
slightly [3] 52/1 90/11 90/12
slow [3] 20/5 20/11 75/12
slower [1] 20/7
small [9] 26/19 26/25 31/25 32/8 32/17
41/24 42/19 42/22 43/3
so [169]
sold [1] 14/8
sole [1] 71/13
soliciting [1] 4/18
solid [2] 56/18 67/22
some [57] 6/8 6/13 7/4 7/18 9/12 9/17
9/18 9/19 12/23 13/15 17/3 17/3 17/4
17/8 21/12 25/2 25/3 25/11 26/14
26/16 27/17 27/20 30/25 33/24 34/2
39/14 42/9 43/5 46/3 51/13 52/15
52/17 53/15 55/10 56/8 64/23 65/24
65/25 66/14 66/22 67/21 69/1 71/2
71/7 72/3 72/5 75/2 79/21 86/16 87/8
87/8 88/22 97/23 99/9 99/10 99/11 100/22
somebody [6] 34/7 38/7 38/22 55/7
76/12 99/1
somehow [1] 39/10
someone [3] 22/3 33/15 41/2
someplace [1] 55/25
something [30] 7/10 10/18 13/16 19/15
19/23 30/11 30/12 35/10 44/9 45/9
45/13 46/11 46/19 46/21 54/20 55/19
57/1 66/10 68/16 73/17 73/19 73/21
74/1 75/17 75/23 79/7 80/7 96/7 98/23
103/20
sometimes [2] 6/11 73/24
somewhat [1] 30/22
somewhere [3] 6/1 40/13 52/20
sophisticated [1] 36/2
sorry [12] 13/5 24/2 37/2 40/4 52/7
54/6 59/22 78/2 92/2 92/15 95/22 97/21
sort [7] 12/6 15/14 16/1 16/5 85/15 85/15 87/14
source [9] 70/21 73/2 79/8 79/16 79/18 92/9 93/9 94/18 99/16
south [7] 26/3 28/1 28/3 29/13 29/15
30/4 32/19
space [1] 74/9
speak [1] 28/11
speaking [1] 36/19
special [2] 6/18 15/14
specific [2] 65/20 71/22
specifically [6] 44/7 44/8 49/17 58/19
65/2 72/4
speech [1] 56/25
spend [1] 12/10
spending [1] 21/16
splits [1] $94 / 5$
spot [2] 28/19 48/13
spread [2] 21/20 21/23
square [1] 15/19
ST [8] $1 / 1$ 1/1 $1 / 5$ 1/16 $1 / 171 / 22$ 104/25 107/4
St. [10] 15/13 15/22 16/2 20/3 41/21
71/10 71/11 71/12 103/24 104/8
St. Augustine [4] 20/3 41/21 103/24

## 104/8

St. Augustine High School [1] 71/12
St. Johns [1] 71/11
St. Johns County [4] 15/13 15/22 16/2

## 71/10

staff [2] 6/25 89/21
staff's [3] 97/6 103/2 103/14
staffed [2] 19/6 19/7
stand [1] 3/3
standard [3] 11/8 28/21 48/13
standards [4] 24/24 63/4 63/12 64/1
standing [1] 19/3
standpoint [1] 74/12
stands [1] 51/8
staring [2] 36/1 95/13
start [9] 3/2 4/20 24/13 75/12 88/18
93/22 98/14 98/14 106/11
starting [1] 26/8
starts [1] 95/3
state [16] 10/13 11/11 27/19 28/18
31/7 34/9 34/20 39/20 40/3 51/8 54/22
93/18 94/21 96/25 98/8 107/3
statement [1] 80/19
statements [1] 75/8
States [2] 19/1 23/16
statute [2] 7/24 89/16
Statutes [1] 5/16
statutorily [1] 93/4
stay [2] 39/16 54/24
stayed [1] 3/20
stays [1] 43/14
steady [2] 20/22 90/14
stenographic [1] 107/9
stenographically [1] 107/7
STEVE [2] 1/11 17/13
still [19] 16/13 16/24 17/2 18/25 18/25
21/24 22/8 25/16 25/19 36/4 39/7
40/23 56/11 61/25 86/13 87/8 89/23
90/15 91/20
stipend [3] 5/5 8/23 76/22
stipends [1] 72/10
stipulations [1] 73/11
stood [1] 83/11
stop [3] 39/2 42/11 44/2
stops [1] 27/5
story [1] 81/24
Street [1] 1/17
strength [1] 56/17
strike [3] 80/12 80/14 80/16
strip [12] 33/25 34/24 34/25 35/4 35/7
57/22 68/14 68/14 73/24 74/21 80/13

## 80/15

strips [1] 35/3
strong [2] 6/8 16/24
structural [2] 56/19 61/7
structure [1] 71/20
studies [3] 37/14 37/16 44/19
study [2] 37/17 51/9
stuff [2] 33/23 75/2
stumbled [1] 76/22
subject [4] 39/10 72/17 76/7 82/20
submitted [2] 82/12 93/12
substantial [1] 17/6
subtract [1] 91/12
successfully [1] 64/11
such [1] 65/15
sudden [3] 45/20 74/1 100/12
suggest [2] 55/19 57/6
suggestion [1] 55/9
suing [1] 62/13
summarize [1] 65/8
summary [2] 88/20 95/12
supplying [1] 74/17
support [13] 4/25 5/10 6/8 6/22 16/22
30/23 42/1 42/5 42/6 42/13 56/22

## 70/21 78/16

supportive [1] 8/21
sure [16] 22/5 24/17 25/4 33/14 40/1
49/15 53/23 54/23 66/23 67/5 67/21
76/18 77/5 80/21 83/24 106/6
surface [1] 61/12
surfaces [1] 61/9
surplus [1] 52/17
surprise [1] 83/3
surprising [2] 82/4 82/12
surrounds [1] 25/8
suspense [3] 9/16 11/2 12/4
SUZANNE [2] 1/10 16/15
system [1] 27/9

## T

T-hangar [4] 29/18 85/17 87/17 89/9
T-hangars [4] 29/21 93/21 94/3 94/4
tab [2] 82/21 82/21
table [3] 5/1 53/18 100/4
take [18] 24/18 30/13 30/15 33/4 33/4
34/8 34/13 41/9 42/7 45/3 49/22 59/16
66/14 68/18 69/11 84/17 84/22 84/23
taken [2] 30/3 98/25
takeoffs [3] 56/23 104/24 105/1
takes [1] 60/8
taking [1] 27/5
talk [9] 25/13 25/14 26/5 26/9 33/22
66/23 77/2 86/3 97/19
talked [3] 16/15 27/15 46/18
talking [11] 8/7 11/21 33/25 34/3 43/4
44/25 68/12 68/20 74/19 87/12 102/9
talks [1] 95/1
Tallahassee [1] 7/18
tally [1] 41/13
Tammy [7] 4/2 13/21 18/10 19/10 26/9
36/10 103/16
Tammy's [1] 13/19
target [1] 57/4
task [1] 81/3
$\operatorname{tax}[4]$ 16/11 96/2 96/2 96/24
taxes [3] 16/21 90/25 97/1
taxi [1] 34/14
taxiway [15] 27/25 28/2 28/3 28/7
28/11 28/13 28/19 31/12 46/16 46/22
47/16 52/8 52/8 53/18 58/21
Taxiway Bravo [1] 28/19
Taxiway Charlie [1] 28/7
Taxiway D-2 [1] 46/22

T
Taxiway Delta [2] 28/2 28/3
taxiways [1] $42 / 12$
technical [2] 25/17 103/12
technology [4] 35/25 36/3 36/5 90/10
telephonically [3] 1/12 105/11 105/21
tell [7] 14/23 35/1 38/7 44/11 55/4 64/6
83/2
temporary [1] 86/8
ten [1] 53/6
ten-plus [1] 53/6
tenant [2] 39/19 40/2
tenant's [1] 94/17
tend [1] 55/21
term [6] 34/23 51/11 53/6 53/6 53/17
105/13
terminal [9] 26/3 28/24 28/25 29/2 29/6
29/11 29/13 93/7 93/15
terms [2] 34/25 35/7
tertiary [1] 55/13
test [2] 38/22 38/25
testing [2] 23/11 23/21
than [11] 7/8 20/23 44/12 52/2 52/21
58/4 60/12 68/11 68/23 74/16 98/16
thank [17] 18/2 20/19 22/10 22/12 40/9 41/19 45/25 46/1 58/8 58/11 67/25 81/20 83/25 91/10 104/9 105/17 105/17
Thanks [4] 40/16 40/17 58/12 102/16 that [432]
that's [91] 3/20 5/6 5/16 5/17 8/2 9/7 10/2 10/22 11/11 11/20 12/17 13/2 14/13 16/9 16/23 17/19 17/21 19/12 20/1 21/9 24/2 25/12 25/21 27/2 27/8 27/13 28/4 31/3 31/3 31/6 31/25 32/18 33/9 37/20 39/18 39/24 40/15 41/3 41/18 46/11 46/14 48/21 50/24 52/18 53/18 53/22 55/8 58/11 58/25 61/9 61/23 63/6 63/15 63/16 64/14 67/13 69/3 70/8 73/2 74/6 74/10 76/18 77/9 77/19 78/2 78/3 78/4 78/24 82/15 82/20 83/23 86/20 86/23 90/4 90/11 91/17 93/2 94/1 94/20 95/7 96/14 96/23 97/11 97/14 97/17 97/20 102/7 102/12 103/14 104/15 105/3
the -- the [1] 79/4
their [13] 15/12 15/17 20/12 21/5 21/7 21/14 21/19 21/21 38/10 47/8 56/13 77/23 82/5
theirs [1] 75/5
them [32] 5/2 8/13 10/16 12/9 22/1 27/1 27/21 27/23 33/15 34/13 34/13 34/14 34/14 37/5 38/8 43/1 49/11 57/7 60/17 64/7 64/16 66/1 68/10 68/19 68/23 70/7 70/7 73/25 74/8 74/17 77/24 80/22
then [31] 3/18 3/24 6/24 7/13 9/5 21/4 21/11 26/3 41/1 44/2 45/19 48/16 48/17 55/7 57/6 60/24 61/20 66/13 72/6 74/12 79/21 85/4 85/12 87/17 96/23 98/2 99/10 104/15 104/16 106/12 106/13
there [93] 3/22 4/9 4/23 5/13 6/1 7/4 7/13 8/3 10/5 11/6 11/7 12/7 13/8 17/2 18/9 24/1 25/16 27/20 30/12 30/13 30/22 32/12 32/22 32/23 33/19 35/5 36/9 37/14 37/14 39/3 39/14 41/5 42/1 42/3 42/9 42/16 42/16 42/18 42/22 42/24 43/11 43/11 46/14 46/17 46/25 47/11 47/20 48/25 49/6 50/2 50/2 50/9

52/4 52/20 53/9 53/10 54/20 55/10 55/11 56/2 56/3 57/6 59/11 65/6 65/19 69/1 69/13 70/6 74/6 75/17 75/24 76/12 79/17 80/19 81/4 82/4 82/5 83/7 84/3 84/15 86/20 89/2 92/12 94/17 96/13 97/12 98/16 99/5 99/12 100/25 102/2 104/4 105/22
there'll [1] 98/9
there's [39] 10/20 17/18 20/13 21/24 28/10 28/14 30/6 30/15 34/16 35/4 39/4 39/20 51/16 53/4 53/4 53/8 54/11 56/18 60/18 73/1 73/1 73/16 74/5 77/5 80/23 90/17 91/18 92/8 93/8 93/19
94/7 94/17 96/2 98/6 98/7 98/24 100/1 100/3 100/16
thereabouts [1] 100/10
therefore [1] 75/2
therefore it's [1] 75/2
these [14] 11/9 31/1 37/16 48/11 48/12 63/3 65/2 73/11 88/25 90/6 93/21 93/22 94/4 99/24
they [59] 6/1 8/21 9/1 9/21 15/16 15/20 20/23 21/2 21/4 21/4 21/7 21/13 22/2 26/12 28/15 31/25 32/6 33/16 34/13 34/13 34/14 36/8 36/10 37/15 38/11 38/22 40/2 40/12 41/16 43/1 43/6 44/11 44/12 48/14 48/15 48/18 49/18 58/20 59/11 59/12 59/13 59/13 63/6 63/20 63/21 68/5 79/2 79/7 83/2 83/2 83/3 83/7 83/10 83/19 100/20 100/23 103/24 104/2 105/3
they'd [4] 10/12 21/6 36/9 38/9
they'll [3] 3/14 105/5 105/7
they're [26] 6/11 7/21 15/12 20/5 20/6 21/8 21/15 21/17 22/3 22/4 22/5 22/6 33/7 49/12 49/13 50/1 60/24 61/13 63/24 71/14 74/2 74/16 83/21 84/20 99/8 100/19 they've [1] 40/13
thing [12] 12/15 21/25 24/13 40/20 43/7 43/15 44/5 58/14 58/18 69/4 101/3 105/12
things [25] 5/23 6/13 11/22 15/5 17/4 19/3 25/2 28/25 30/6 41/8 48/14 50/18 50/18 70/9 70/10 70/11 70/24 86/16 87/5 90/3 90/17 98/23 98/25 99/10 100/23
think[53] 5/16 6/19 7/16 8/5 8/14 8/20 9/23 11/24 12/13 12/16 12/19 16/25 22/1 23/8 25/1 25/2 29/15 34/8 40/6 41/16 43/3 47/5 47/13 47/17 49/3 49/4 49/9 49/10 56/1 56/4 57/4 58/8 60/22 61/4 64/19 64/20 66/17 67/12 67/14 67/17 69/14 69/15 72/23 73/14 73/15 74/4 74/21 85/2 94/14 94/14 100/13 100/15 105/22
think that [1] 12/16
thinking [3] 4/21 17/16 70/17 third [4] 28/17 31/6 65/12 76/1 third-party [1] 76/1
this [124]
those [30] 5/1 5/3 5/20 6/7 6/22 10/11
10/25 25/1 25/13 26/5 30/3 32/2 32/4
40/7 40/11 47/22 48/14 51/4 59/5
61/24 64/3 83/20 87/5 87/9 90/16 90/19 95/7 96/11 100/17 100/19 though [2] 35/21 55/22
thought [4] 37/21 70/12 79/12 82/16 thoughts [1] 47/8
three [7] 20/10 28/23 30/23 50/11

76/24 98/1 105/13 three-plus [1] 98/1 three-year [1] 105/13 threw [2] 12/7 12/8 through [21] 4/25 6/13 7/24 8/13 8/24 33/20 50/22 55/18 64/19 67/21 69/19 73/16 86/3 86/5 86/12 87/19 88/16 88/23 91/21 93/1 99/11
throughout [1] 35/6
throw [2] 11/6 23/1
thumbs [3] 50/6 50/9 56/25
thumbs-up [3] 50/6 50/9 56/25
tie [1] 75/9
tied [4] 70/18 79/15 79/17 94/18 till [8] 6/4 10/18 43/17 66/4 82/25 94/1 100/7 100/17
timber [1] 100/1
time [28] 5/7 5/14 7/13 8/3 8/3 8/22
19/21 20/8 21/16 21/22 38/11 39/11 40/9 50/9 50/25 51/13 51/19 64/3 65/15 85/25 86/24 95/10 95/11 98/24 99/17 99/22 100/23 106/2
timeline [1] 84/19
timelines [2] 9/15 100/17
times [6] 29/16 37/18 44/11 53/19
76/24 104/4
Tip [1] 5/25
today [25] 9/8 10/22 15/15 23/8 23/11 25/15 26/14 29/6 30/3 30/11 31/19 35/25 36/3 36/6 37/22 49/22 51/18 61/13 63/4 63/19 70/23 81/1 96/7 101/24 102/7
today's [1] 47/1
together [10] 8/8 17/21 21/23 24/25 67/10 70/18 85/7 85/25 90/20 99/4 told [1] 15/25
ton [1] 9/8
tonight [2] 23/9 23/13
too [15] 6/23 8/20 11/6 11/16 11/21
12/4 12/4 16/7 22/17 43/2 60/9 73/18 78/14 94/18 99/24
took [1] 70/5
top [5] 14/2 35/2 35/8 77/12 97/15 topics [1] 51/4
total [12] 89/2 89/15 91/11 92/6 92/10 92/24 94/21 94/24 95/8 95/14 95/18 97/17
totaled [1] 18/19
totals [1] 91/23
touch [2] 21/18 42/2
touch-and-goes [2] 21/18 42/2
Tour [5] 15/11 15/17 16/1 16/8 16/10 toward [2] 91/13 96/9
towards [2] 6/18 27/12
tower [9] 18/10 18/17 20/7 35/21 35/21
36/1 36/3 103/17 103/19
towers [1] 19/1
town [1] 17/19
track [1] 82/24
tracts [4] 30/23 31/23 32/2 99/9
Tracy [2] 103/16 103/17
traditional [1] 55/18
traditionally [2] 20/8 88/19
traffic [15] $4 / 3$ 13/17 13/20 13/21 13/22
17/5 17/23 18/21 21/10 21/24 22/8 22/9 44/8 53/23 104/1
training [10] 20/13 26/21 26/24 26/24
35/6 35/11 42/8 42/14 57/10 57/22 transcript [1] 107/8
transient [3] 2/10 21/13 81/22

|  | 50/9 51/17 56/25 56/25 57/5 74/2 75/1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| transportation [1] 104/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80/2 89/9 90/2 } \\ & 97 / 2498 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | Wanchick [1] 4/17 <br> want [36] 4/24 5/20 5/25 7/9 7 /9 |
| tree [2] 16/13 100 | colate [8] $2 / 7$ 2/9 10/22 15/2 22/15 | 3/14 14/19 22/20 24/13 25/5 30/8 |
| trees [2] 100/4 101/1 <br> tremendous [2] 15/24 17/2 | 22/16 24/15 53/21 | 33/14 37/4 40/21 43/21 49/22 |
| tremendous [2] 15/24 17/2 | updated [1] 16/13 | 50/20 51/13 55/9 64/7 65/18 65/19 |
|  | Upgrade [1] 45/12 | 67/3 69/24 73/25 76/11 76/12 78/8 |
| tried [3] 20/25 21/3 21/4 TRIM [11] 2/11 85/5 96/14 96/17 96/19 | upgrades [1] 45/6 | 78/20 79/15 79/17 79/17 80/7 92/18 |
| 96/22 96/23 97/7 101/5 101/6 102/23 | upon [1] 82/22 | wanted [18] 8/25 9/6 15/5 15/16 2 |
| trucks [1] 41/8 | uptick [1] 21/10 | 37/4 49/14 49/15 53/14 58/13 58/17 |
| true [2] 66/8 107 | us [22] 13/14 13/19 14/16 21/13 22/6 | 59/14 83/23 85/14 97/1 98 |
| [2] [1] 69/11 | 22/24 22/24 33/24 50/3 67/2 67/9 68/5 | 103/20 |
| truth [1] 36/17 |  | war [2] 23/10 23/16 |
| try [7] 5/4 21/2 21/20 31/8 39/3 53/14$100 / 23$ | use [14] $31 / 10$ | was [93] 5/8 5/11 5/13 |
|  | 39/23 47/7 54/5 72/9 72/9 74/9 76 | (23 8/2 8/3 8/24 10/5 12/6 16/1 |
| trying [14] 12/4 21/22 37/12 61/8 61/9 | 4 89/12 | 17/11 18/14 18/16 18/17 18/24 2 |
| 68/21 68/21 70/19 74/5 77/20 77/21 79/10 86/13 101/1 | used [20] 20/9 20/23 22/3 28/22 29/18 | 27/6 32/22 38/8 38/10 38/23 |
| Tucker [6] 37/8 40/17 41/22 44/10 44/24 53/25 |  |  |
|  | 54/4 57/21 68/3 68/6 68/7 | 52/14 52/85 $52 / 25$ 52/21 |
| tug [2] 34/13 34/14 | 72/18 82/13 | 52/9 52/14 52/15 52/18 52/21 58/22 |
|  | using [3] $35 / 23$ |  |
| turned [1] 104/6 | usually [4] 19/21 19/23 20/9 | 70/5 70/14 70/14 70/16 70/19 70/27 |
| twice [2] 20/25 41/9 | Utilities [1] 90/11 | 72/18 72/19 74/4 75/10 78/24 79/7 |
| 12/21 19/24 19/25 20/3 28/5 29/10 | utilized [2] 60/25 6 | 79/12 79/13 82/2 82/10 83/12 84/15 |
| 45/24 48/6 50/18 50/18 53/14 54/16 59/3 65/2 70/17 78/25 | V |  |
|  | vacant | 101/16 |
| type [7] 9/12 12/15 20/12 31/14 34/2 | Val | 105/21 107 |
|  | valorem [2] | sn't [1] |
| typical [2] 10/12 11/11 <br> typically [8] 7/22 65/16 85/20 86/10 | value [2] 11/15 79 | watched [1] 34/17 |
|  | variable [1] $87 / 5$ | water [2] 32/3 $74 /$ |
| U |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| U.S [2] 264 |  |  |
| U.S. [15] 9/20 26/8 26/20 27/1 29/3 |  | 63/17 64/15 70/18 70/20 72/1 721 <br> 78/20 87/3 98/7 98/13 |
| 29/4 29/22 31/2 31/11 31/15 31/22 32/9 32/10 32/16 90/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Venice [1] 21/5 } \\ & \text { verbiage [1] } 66 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | ways [4] 26/11 29/10 52/4 54/11 |
|  | versus [2] 95/15 | wayside [1] 45/20 |
| U.S. 1 [14] 9/20 26/8 26/20 27/1 29/3 | very [25] 4/22 16/4 16/4 2 |  |
| 29/4 29/22 31/2 31/11 31/15 31/22 32/9 32/10 32/16 | 31/12 31/12 31/20 34/6 36/2 42/10 | we'll [18] 47 |
| U.S. Customs [1] 90/24 | 42/10 50/18 51/4 58/1 | 10/16 19/22 19 |
| Uh [11] 12/11 17/25 23/24 55/12 61/16 61/19 66/8 76/14 78/7 84/24 98/3 | 78/15 78/16 | 85/12 $87 / 787 / 20$ 96/9 100/14 106/6 |
|  |  |  |
| Uh-huh [11] 12/11 17/25 23/24 55/12 | VFR [1] 35/16 | $\text { /13 } 21$ |
| 61/16 61/19 66/8 76/14 78/7 84/24 98/3 | VFR-only [1] 35/1 | 0 25/12 25/14 25/16 26 |
| ultimately [1] 82/23 <br> under [10] 5/16 15/6 15/17 19/18 57/23 | via [1] 67/8 | 28/2 28/2 |
|  | viability [2] 11/10 | 34/2 41/7 |
| 71/7 89/3 89/13 90/5 90/20 | Vic [2] 46/5 46/6 | 44/25 46/2 51/2 51/2 53/2 56/10 59/2 |
| understand [9] 39/9 44/18 55/17 61/22 64/5 64/13 87/15 97/23 97/25 | VICTOR [3] 1/13 46/5 | 0/16 63/21 65/9 65/10 66/20 |
|  | video [2] 23/24 34/16 | 88/1 68/7 68/9 68/14 68/20 |
| understands [1] 69/2 102/17 |  | 73 72/1 72/3 |
|  |  | 77/19 77/21 78/25 82/17 82/17 84/18 |
| Understood [2] 66/2 102/17 |  |  |
| unfeasible [1] $45 / 2$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { VIsibility [1] } 229 \\ & \text { visual }[1] 34 / 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $10$ |
| unit [2] 36/9 94/4 |  | we've [29] 16/8 19/16 19/18 19/23 |
| United [2] 19/1 23/16 | volume's [1] 4/4 | 23/22 29/15 35/14 36/14 |
| United States [2] 19/1 units [2] 16/25 17/1 |  | 50/17 52/9 53/15 54/5 |
| unless [3] 39/12 49/11 77/17until [10] 20/25 27/21 50/36 | [ | 64/10 66/15 68/3 69 |
|  | voting [2] 41/17 41/17 | 8 81/11 82/13 94/12 99 |
| 87/6 93/18 94/13 99/25 100/5unusable [1] 37/18 | W |  |
|  | wait [1] 47/6 | her [2] 19/15 35/17 |
| unusual [1] 11/10 <br> up [41] 5/7 5/24 7/1 7/2 9/7 11/1 11/20 | walk[6] 4/3 86/4 86/12 87/19 91/2 | k [3] 15/4 34/5 106/13 |
| up [41] 5/7 5/24 7/1 7/2 9/7 11/1 11/20 |  | [2] 20/3 96/8 |
| 371 | walked [3] 82/4 82/5 91/10 | [1] $100 / 2$ |
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