1	S1. AUGUSTINE - S1. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORIT
2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, August 25, 2003
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 7:17 p.m.
7	********
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9 10	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer JOSEPH CIRIELLO
11	BOB COX JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14 15	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
19	
20	St. Augustina Court Papartors
21	St. Augustine Court Reporters  1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A
22	St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570
23	
24	

1	INDEX	
	PAGE	
2		
_	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3	
3		
4	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 3	
4	4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS 3	
5	4. ACCEL TANCE OF FINANCIAL RELOKTS 5	
J	5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 6	
6	3. THING THE OF MEETING HOLD OF	
	6. REPORTS:	
7		
	A. Mr. Bruce Maguire - County Commissioner 7	
8	B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc. 8	
	C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 8	
9	D. Mr. Jim Asselta - S.A.P.A. 8	
1.0	E. Mr. Bjorn Otteson - F.A.C.T. 8	
10	F. Mr. Douglas Burnett - Attorney 8	
11	7. ACTION ITEMS	
11	7. ACTION HEIVIS	
12	A. Project Update 9	
	B. Authority Action Follow-Up 59	
13	C. Advertising for Meetings 64	
	D. Avigation Easements/Noise Disclaimers/ 88	
14	Property Deeds	
	E. Appointment of Mediation Representative 96	
15	F. Capital Budget Development 104	
	G. Taxiway "B" Bid Award and Grant Action 146	
16	H. Home Demolition 166	
17	I. Master Plan TAC Appointments 176	
17	J. FDOT JPA Resolutions 184	
18	K. TVOR 193	
10	8. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:	
19	o. ACTIONITI MEMBER REFORTS.	
	A. Ms. Green, Chairman 199	
20	B. Mr. Joseph Ciriello 199	
	C. Mr. Wayne George, Secretary/Treasurer 200	
21	D. Mr. Bob Cox 201	
	E. Mr. Jack Gorman 201	
22		
	9. PUBLIC COMMENT 201	
23	10 NEWEDECH AD DOADS AND SUBMICA COMMISSION	200
24	10. NEXT REGULAR BOARD AND PUBLIC MEETINGS	203

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the August
3	25th regular agenda meeting of the
4	St. Augustine - St. Johns County Airport
5	Authority. Stand for the pledge, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our first item would be to
9	approve the minutes of the special meeting, which
10	was held August 11th. Are there any objections
11	or exceptions to the minutes?
12	(No objections or exceptions.)
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Those were the short ones,
14	I think.
15	MR. GEORGE: I'd like to know that's the
16	time note that that was the time that I was in
17	charge and you see how short it was.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You have control with the
19	powers that be with the electrical power.
20	No exceptions or objections?
21	(No exceptions or objections.)
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, the minutes
23	will be approved as distributed

24			REPORTS

25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next is the acceptance of

- 1 the financial reports. In my packet, I have the
- 2 financial overview year-to-date, which is your
- 3 compilations.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I think that that
- 5 year-to-date should say July, shouldn't it,
- 6 Donna?
- 7 MS. GLASSER: If you're referring to the
- 8 package I put together for you --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 10 MS. GLASSER: -- it should say July.
- 11 MR. GEORGE: This is July, sorry.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right. So if
- there's an objection or exception to the
- financial reports, they should be read as July
- 15 2003?
- MR. GEORGE: Uh-huh. Madam Chair, I'd like
- to make a note that this overview is really
- supposed to be in addition to the -- and I didn't
- 19 get a copy of the July ones. Haven't come back
- 20 from the --
- MS. GLASSER: The financials were just
- dropped off this morning.

23 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, we can't approve them yet because they're not submitted for us to 24 25 look at. 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, these financial 2 overviews for July are based upon --3 MR. GEORGE: Exactly. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, hearing that, then, I 5 guess there'll be a correction to the financial 6 reports and we'll hold them off until the next 7 meeting so that we can weigh the compilation with 8 the actual financial reports. 9 MR. GEORGE: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's going to be --11 we'll need a motion then to move that to the next 12 meeting. MR. GEORGE: I so-move. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second? 15 MR. COX: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor? 17 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 18 MR. GEORGE: Aye. 19 MR. COX: Aye. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 21 MR. GORMAN: Aye.

22	CHAIRMAN OREEN. All opposed:
23	Motion carries. They will be moved to the
24	next meeting, since there's no object there's
25	no acceptance or rejection of the minutes, since
1	we can't do that.
2	5 APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next we have approval of
4	the meeting agenda.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Madam Chairman
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there any objections to
7	the
8	MR. WUELLNER: I have an item to add. And
9	it's it's related to the TVOR.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you want to put that
11	with the project update or
12	MR. WUELLNER: That needs to be a
13	stand-alone agenda item.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I was proposing it'd be
16	letter "K."
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: "K"? So, that will be a
18	modification to the agenda to add that item?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. Mr. Gorman?
20	MR. GORMAN: I had assumed before that we

6

CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?

21	were going to discuss the attorney contract at
22	this meeting. Maybe that was an assumption on my
23	part. But I don't
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If I recall
25	MR. GORMAN: see it on the agenda.
1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it was because we
2	have to put out the bids and get information
3	back.
4	MR. WUELLNER: I think it was done
5	actually your discussion part of it was at
6	last meeting, and we we moved ahead with the
7	direction we were given in February on it. And
8	as a matter of fact, it's one of my items here to
9	bring you up to date on. But it the ads
10	appear for the RFQ beginning Wednesday of this
11	week, and it will culminate in something, some
12	meeting and discussion in October.
13	MR. GORMAN: That's fine. That clarifies
14	it. Thanks.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any other
16	objections?
17	(No objections.)
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If there's no objection,
19	then and there's no objection to the proposed

20	change to add the TVOR, then the agenda will be
21	approved as amended.
22	6.A COUNTY COMMISSIONER
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reports. Mr. Maguire? He
24	promised us he'd be here by 4 o'clock.
25	MR. GEORGE: That, he did.
1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just trying to pick on him.
2	MR. WUELLNER: He actually he actually
3	called and said he was going to be if he got
4	here, it was only going to be for a few minutes,
5	also, because he has to be up in Fernandina Beach
6	by 5:00 or 5:30, which I thought was pretty
7	ambitious from here.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. We'll defer
9	that to see if he can get here. Mr. Slingluff?
10	6.B AERO SPORT
11	MS. ANDERSON: Nothing at this time.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Northrop Grumman?
13	6.C NORTHROP GRUMMAN
14	MR. LESLIE: No report.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Pilots Association?
16	6.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION
17	MR. HOLIDAY: No report.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Florida Aviation?

19	6.E FLORIDA AVIATION
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't see Bjorn. Okay.
21	And Mr. Burnett.
22	6.F AIRPORT AUTHORITY ATTORNEY
23	MR. BURNETT: Very briefly. One of the
24	agenda items on today, obviously, is the
25	mediation, so we'll obviously talk a little bit
1	about the litigation at that time. Other than
2	that, the media excuse me, the mediation is
3	still on schedule and on track.
4	I don't expect anticipate or expect that
5	there'll be a change on that date. That's
6	that's about it. We we have given Asset,
7	Liberty Mutual, and Continental Casualty notice
8	and have not heard a response back from them.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right.
10	Mr. Wuellner? You have action items?
11	7.A PROJECT UPDATE
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. First item I
13	have is the project update. Walk through that
14	quickly with you.
15	You'll probably notice we added an
16	additional agenda item here for what we've called
17	Authority Action Follow-Up, but it were items

18	that were kind of left hanging where we were
19	going to do something, and it's not necessarily a
20	project, but a good example of it was your
21	question related to attorney services, where
22	perhaps you need to have some idea what the
23	schedule is to resolve that issue. It's not
24	necessarily a next-meeting item, but it but it
25	moves on through for it. We have a number of
1	those kind of items.
2	The first project update included in the
3	update today is the TVOR, the north the
4	northeast development area, the terminal project,
5	the original terminal project, and Phase II, and
6	the airport maintenance facility, and Taxiway
7	Bravo, and the aircraft parking hardstand, some
8	of which will require action later on in the
9	meeting. Some will not.
10	I do need to add that will this will
11	probably be the appropriate place to get a brief
12	master plan update also from your consultant,
13	just bringing you up to speed on what their
14	initial initialization efforts are. And I
15	think they've got some project schedule

16

information, to give you an idea of what they see

17	the target dates for the for the moving
18	through the process.
19	With that TVOR relocation, to date, we've
20	received the engineering drawings from Thales,
21	and we have reviewed those in-house. What
22	permitting that needs to be done is ongoing right
23	now. Primarily that's licensing with FAA and FCC
24	and that's it shouldn't be as cumbersome as it
25	was with the ILS, primarily because those
1	frequencies already exist; you're simply changing
2	locations. With the FAA side, you've got to do
3	a essentially have to redevelop an approach
4	procedure for that, for the relocated runway
5	or relocated navaid.
6	It's kind of everyday stuff with FAA, but it
7	tends to be a lot of them that show up at FAA and
8	you kind of wait your turn unless we can you
9	know, we get desperate. We'll we'll use
10	Congressman Mica's office to get it off middle of
11	the stack and back to the top, if necessary, to
12	keep our time lines going.
13	To date, the site's been cleared. That's
14	been done entirely by airport staff people.

15

It's -- survey work was done, and geotechnical

16	work, that is, the subsurface exploration done to
17	make sure the the site itself would support
18	support any kind of development out there. All
19	that was done satisfactorily and was used to
20	develop the engineering documents with Thales.
21	So, that information's been included in the plan
22	development, so we we were comfortable that
23	that data's good.
24	Electrical work is underway. The equipment
25	showed up today, and the contractor will start

1

14

2 conduit locations out to the site. 3 So, that -- that work will go on and it will 4 likely sit for a little bit as we wait delivery 5 of the field transformers that essentially 6 convert the 2400-volt primary supply lines out 7 through to that site back down to 120-volt usable 8 at the sites. But the conduit will be in place. 9 We had a number of airfield locations where 10 we needed conduits punched back under pavement 11 areas. It's the -- the most expedient and -- and 12 easiest way to do it. So, we took advantage of 13 the fact we were going to have somebody out to do

that, to punch it through. And it's just --

tomorrow punching the directed borings for the

- 13
- 1 maintenance monitored. Then a phone line can be
- 2 established out there at that site at that time,
- and then we'll have one extra as a spare to the
- 4 site. So, if there's some other conduit or
- 5 electrical need out there, there's one in place;
- 6 we don't have to bring them back out and then try
- 7 to avoid the original conduit in place.
- 8 This also provides a way to entirely avoid
- 9 wetland impacts in getting electrical out there,
- because you literally go under all of those
- impacts or all those locations. You go in over
- about where the runway edge is, not quite, go
- underground from that point, and -- and literally

- guaranteed us that they can get the equipment
- 2 in -- that includes the shelter and the like, and
- 3 it be in a flight check -- a position to do
- 4 flight check by the end of December, which is a
- 5 pretty expedited time frame, from what they were
- 6 telling us as recently as ten days ago when they
- 7 were believing the shelter counterpoise system
- 8 delivery to be out at least six months. So,
- 9 this -- this is good news to us.
- So, if you -- if you'll take advantage of
- that, and when it comes to that item, we can meet
- 12 a schedule where we hopefully will be in a

13	flight-check mode in December. And if all the
13	ingit-check mode in December. And if an the
14	the dates work out perfectly, you'll be in a
15	position to get the publication date right after
16	the first of the year, whenever that is.
17	I'm not sure what that date is, so we'll
18	we'll do our best to adjust the schedule to get
19	there to that date. Worst case is it would slip
20	to the next publication date, but it would at
21	least be used up and useful from that point
22	forward.
23	Next project, northeast development area,
24	you some of you probably drive by this
25	virtually every day, but they should pour the
1	slab on hangar 7 tomorrow morning. That's
2	scheduled to start, I think about 5:00 a.m.
3	Hangars 5 and 6 are already dried in, and they're
4	beginning the in some cases, the interior
5	drywall work.

slab on hangar 7 tomorrow morning. That's

scheduled to start, I think about 5:00 a.m.

Hangars 5 and 6 are already dried in, and they're

beginning the -- in some cases, the interior

drywall work.

In the case of hangar 5, you may or may not

be aware, but the whole interior of those two

hangar bays have to be dry-walled because that

building -- that series of buildings has to be

what's called a rated building, which has some

fire -- it's a -- some fire code implications

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 was completed, and the taxi lane layouts have 25 been accomplished, so that's starting to come 1 away -- come together, including the part of the 2 pavement there that had to be removed to make 3 that connection. So, that -- that's -- that's 4 going -- going along pretty nicely, and we're 5 still looking at October right now to -- to get 6 it all done. 7 It's our understanding the doors may be all 8 ready -- at least part of them, ready to go, 9 because they, as I understand, contacted the contractor in the last few days, wanting to come 10

when you get buildings of certain sizes. So,

basically, the inside of that building has to be

dry-walled. And that effort, one of the two

13,000-square-foot hangar bays has been done.

The other one has got a lot of the framing up for

it, and I think they've made some progress in it,

development -- I should say the preparation to

site. Most of the initial gradings started -- or

pave. Lime rock started showing up today on the

They've also started doing the site

hangar bays, the 13,000 hangar bay --

but it's -- it's not completely.

11	down and already install hangar 5, and frankly,
12	we're not ready for them yet. So, that's a good
13	sign in being able to meet the schedule, is that
14	the door system which we thought might be the
15	delay item, if there was going to be one.
16	Any questions on the northeast area?
17	(No questions.)
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Terminal project,
19	Phase I, the flight school move-in is I'm
20	using the term "underway," but basically the
21	short-term agreement with the FBO has been
22	executed, which lets the flight school lease be
23	executed with the FBO, allows occupancy of the
24	first floor.
25	They're doing final preparation for the
1	move-in, things like relocating their phone lines
2	and getting service, electric service converted
3	over to their name, those kind of things.
4	I have not gotten a detailed schedule yet as
5	to when they expect to move in, but my my best
6	guess is you're you're looking at a matter of

days here before the flight school is actually

We have the contracts in hand with the

out of the trailers.

17

7

8

9

file: ///S | Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board % 20 Mtg % 20 Info/Minutes/2003 % 20 Minutes/Board % 20 Meeting % 2008 2503.txt [11/16/2010~2:06:27~PM]

10	mobile office that they've been using as the
11	flight school. So, we just need to fill in the
12	date on then to get the proposed date for them
13	to remove the units off the site.
14	So, as soon as we know for sure which day,
15	the flight school will be out the following day.
16	With any luck, they'll be on-site to begin
17	removal of those three units, which then frees up
18	the balance of the site work related to the phase
19	I efforts.
20	We're we're saying here early September,
21	within within the next ten days, I would hope
22	that they'll be in their their new digs and
23	we'll be getting rid of the old place. There's
24	not a lot left to do, but it's kind of several
25	things backed up against those dates.
1	MR. COX: Did we reconcile all the problems
•	

ns 2 with the elevator? 3 MR. WUELLNER: Elevator's virtually done. They've got -- I think they've got one more trip 4 down from the elevator company for some -- for 5 some tweaking, and they've got -- which has to be 6 7 done right after the final adjustments on the

8

	9	There's a fairly elaborate smoke and fire
	10	system that goes in elevator shafts, and that's
	11	not uncommon to have a couple of trips back and
	12	forth with each of those vendors to wrap up the
	13	details. The electrician's done in that part.
	14	The elevator itself is not critical or is even
	15	required for a move-in on the first floor, but
	16	it's to the point where they can do the elevator
	17	inspection with the state.
	18	MR. COX: Okay.
	19	MR. GEORGE: When are we going to get the
	20	fence back up to secure that area over there?
	21	MR. WUELLNER: The fence where?
	22	MR. GEORGE: Well, if you if you if
	23	you drive around to the other side of the
	24	terminal, you can drive back to Bjorn's place,
	25	and there's no fence up. The fence is down. You
19		
	1	can walk right out there on the on the runway
	2	and get in any airplane, you know, you wanted to.
	3	MR. WUELLNER: I'll have
	4	MR. GEORGE: Also, between the new
	5	terminal

MR. WUELLNER: That was taken care of.

MR. GEORGE: Okay.

6

- 8 MR. WUELLNER: That was taken care of. I 9 think we put the fence back up. The 10 contractor's --11 MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. 12 MR. WUELLNER: -- had a bad habit --13 MR. GEORGE: I was there --14 MR. WUELLNER: -- of leaving that thing 15 down. 16 MR. GEORGE: -- I guess last Monday. 17 MR. WUELLNER: They've had a bad habit of 18 leaving the thing down. They'll get in there and 19 be working on the electrical panels there at the 20 corner and then, next thing you know, it's still 21 laying down when they --22 MR. GEORGE: Right. 23 MR. WUELLNER: -- go home in the evening. I think -- I think we've got most of those done. 24 25 And as you -- as we move on to Phase II, we kind
- 20
- 1 of expect them to kick off the Phase II
- 2 construction part. I'm sure they're anticipating
- 3 the actual permits in hand within the next week
- 4 or two. I can't imagine it taking longer than
- 5 that.
- 6 It's been -- the County takes their usual

- 7 time issuing those, and you can't begin till
- 8 then. So, I think you're just talking a week or
- 9 two till --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- construction starts and
- that all becomes --
- 13 MR. GEORGE: If you wouldn't mind talking to
- the phase II construction group to tell them that
- we expect them to maintain the integrity of the
- security over there as far as --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Well, they know that.
- MR. GEORGE: -- putting the gate up and
- 19 closing it and all that sort of stuff.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We had absolutely --
- 21 the same company that did the tower --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- we had absolutely no
- security issues with them. They do a fantastic
- job of that. And eventually that becomes a wall
- 21
- of some form or fashion all the way down there.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: And the fence idea
- 4 disappears, anyway.
- 5 And that looks -- that is a six-month, if my

6	memory's correct, a six-month duration on the
7	contract from issuance of the building permit.
8	So, as soon as that they can get started here,
9	you'll be you'll be looking at probably
10	February. I don't think it's going to take them
11	the six months. And I know he doesn't intend to
12	be here that long. But that's the contract
13	duration.
14	Airport maintenance facility design's
15	been was completed, obviously. We executed
16	the contract with design/build. They're
17	concurrently doing permitting on that with our
18	rezoning efforts on that lot. So, it's
19	it'll it'll pop out again.
20	It's got, I think, a five- or six-month
21	construction duration from permit issuance again.
22	So, you're probably looking at March, maybe April
23	till occupancy at the rate things are going over
24	there. And we're going to be at the mercy of the

25

1 you're not going to be able to -- even if you get

zoning department, getting the rezoning, because

- 2 the building permit ready to go, you're not going
- 3 to be able to do it till the zoning's in place.
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: Ed?

- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
- 6 MR. CIRIELLO: Madam Chair. What's this
- 7 airport maintenance facility going to look like
- 8 and how is it going to work? And I -- I know you
- 9 people think you're going to end up owning
- everything in there and tear everything done.
- But if that happens to take a little while and
- this thing is up, how is it going to fit in with
- the existing houses and that around it?
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's -- it's on the
- exist -- the site that the existing facility was
- located. All we did was remove some months ago
- 17 the --
- MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but they were houses,
- weren't they, or --
- 20 MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
- MR. COX: -- mobile homes?
- MR. WUELLNER: It was a mobile that the --
- that the Authority owned.
- MR. CIRIELLO: But this is going to be a big
- steel structure like a corporate hangar, isn't

1 i

it?

- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Not as tall, but --
- 3 MR. CIRIELLO: Not as tall?

1	MD	WHIELL NED.	of the size	It's above
4	IVIK.	. WUELLNER:	or the size.	ar a a bou

- 5 5,000 square foot. It's on the small side of a
- 6 corporate.
- 7 MR. CIRIELLO: There'll be no problems with
- 8 access to and from it that would interfere with
- 9 anybody around it.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
- 11 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's using the same entrances
- and exits that -- that the old one was. So,
- that's -- that's on track first quarter at this
- point.
- Taxiway Bravo, the bids were completed. I
- think you've got some information, and we'll
- be -- we'll be talking about the contracting
- grant as a part of another agenda item.
- 20 Grant documents are anticipated from FAA
- 21 probably Tuesday of next week, I expect, which
- will be ready for execution. And once those are
- back in FAA's hands, we're really now at the
- point of scheduling what's called preconstruction
- conference, which will be done kind of

- 1 concurrently with getting the contract documents
- 2 formally signed by the contractor and getting the

- 3 bid documents in our hands before notice to
- 4 proceed's issued.
- 5 I think that's a five- -- four- or
- 6 five-month construction period that's identified
- 7 for that project, also. And we kind of hope to
- 8 be underway by the end of September at this
- 9 point, by the time all the -- all the contracts
- are executed and we're ready to go.
- And hopefully early next year, we'll be
- wrapped up on Taxiway Bravo. And that -- that
- project now includes, those of you who have
- trouble following the bouncing ball on some of
- this, but it did wrap in what is being called,
- when you look at your bid schedules, a connector
- 17 taxiway. That is the hardstand development.
- 18 FAA was looking for -- they don't have a
- 19 term in their vast matrix of projects called a
- 20 hardstand. So, it became described as a -- a
- 21 taxiway, connector taxiway, even though its whole
- 22 purpose will be to park an aircraft versus
- 23 typical taxiway use.

- It won't be open, other than for parking.
- And it's not going to be lighted, and it won't

be -- you know, that little piece of connection

- 2 is about 200 feet there, 200 linear feet, I think
- 3 it is. Did you -- looked like you had a
- 4 question.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: Well, I do. Is any of this
- 6 paving going to tie in with the -- the necessary
- 7 paving we need for the apron, as far as --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Two different -- well, it
- 9 will be two different vendors. There's a small
- section right at the intersection of Bravo 2 and
- Bravo at that -- I'm sorry -- Bravo 2 and Runway
- 12 220, which is Bravo 3 in that area. That has to
- be rebuilt.
- MR. GORMAN: I just say this because I know
- there's a recent incident where a corporate jet
- actually pierced through a -- what am I trying to
- say -- a storm sewer drain, actually broke
- through the drain cover --
- MR. WUELLNER: On the apron. Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: -- as I understand it and --
- 21 which draws my attention at least to the fact
- that that whole apron needs, you know, firming,
- studying, and fixing.
- MR. WUELLNER: You've got a larger-scale
- apron project that's a part of your budget

- 1 consideration --
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: -- for capital projects
- 4 moving into October.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: But that's not --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: They are different projects,
- 7 yes.
- 8 MR. GORMAN: They're completely different.
- 9 That's what -- probably answered my question.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes. I think that's all I
- had. I wanted to invite Doug DiCarlo, if you
- don't mind, up to give you a real quickie on the
- master plan here.
- MR. DiCARLO: Thank you. Good afternoon,
- again. What's being passed around is the master
- plan schedule that we put together. This is
- actually the same schedule that was in the scope
- of work you had about a month ago.
- And as you can see, we -- we started this
- about the beginning of the month and -- as part
- of the project initiation that was really kind of
- 22 hinged upon the notice to proceed, which we did
- receive I think about the 7th or 8th of August.
- But nonetheless, we've gotten the project
- underway. We were actually out a couple of weeks

1 ago meeting with Staff. 2 Today and tomorrow, we'll be meeting with some of the FBO -- some of the tenants. And next 3 4 week, you'll start to see some of the aerial 5 targets go down, so a lot of things are going to 6 start happening real quick to get this project 7 underway, which, as you see in the -- the 8 schedule, puts us at completing this about 9 November 15th, 2004. 10 Now, I know we had talked about that there 11 had been desire to do this within 12-month. 12 Well, we will try to fast-track as many of these 13 elements as we can. Unfortunately, I'd like to 14 bring your attention to notes 1 and 2, and that's 15 simply that there's some unknowns, and that's 16 basically the FAA and FDOT review, primarily to 17 pick on them, the FAA review. 18 So, what we're hoping to do is get the 19 forecast submitted to the FAA a lot -- as soon as possible, because that could be one of the 20 21 biggest holes when it comes to not knowing where 22 the schedule's going to compress or -- or expand. 23 And then, of course later on with the ALP set, 24 we'll have the same sort of issue.

25

But we worked a lot with Richard Owen and --

25

1 and Roland in the district for the state, so at 2 this point don't -- certainly don't propose any 3 problems, but just want to make you aware that 4 those are the two unknowns on the agency review. 5 But that's about it. We've got the thing I 6 think already a little bit ahead of schedule and 7 hopefully that will be the case throughout. Any 8 questions? 9 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. How do you increase the 10 schedule to get it done by June of next year? 11 MR. DiCARLO: That would be rather 12 difficult, given the fact that we --13 MR. GEORGE: That's why we hired you, the difficult --14 15 MR. DiCARLO: Well, if we eliminate all the 16 review processes, we can certainly have it done 17 by then. But I don't think that would give you 18 the product you're looking for. 19 MR. GEORGE: There are a couple of key 20 things that I'd like to pin down. One is when do 21 you start having meetings with some of the other 22 people on the -- on the airport, you know, having 23 meetings with them?

You said you've already met with some of the

tenants. Isn't there supposed to be a big

24

1 general meeting where you sit down and talk to 2 everybody? 3 MR. DiCARLO: Well, no. What we like to do 4 is tomorrow, we have a full day of meeting with 5 the flight school, the FBO, Grumman, and other 6 corporate tenants, et cetera. And we like to do 7 that one on one, with just between the consultant 8 and -- and those tenants, to get their input, 9 what they see the airport is needing or not 10 needing, where it's going, et cetera. 11 But also another agenda item that Ed's going 12 to talk about a little later is the Technical 13 Advisory Committee, which incorporates some of 14 those, as well as people, leaders in the 15 community, public --16 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I guess --17 MR. DiCARLO: -- private sector, those sort 18 of things. That's what Ed's going to present a 19 little later. And then, of course, there will be 20 every work phase or every element of the project 21 will be presented also to -- to you, the 22 Authority.

So, it's a very formalized process involving

all those different people and different steps

23

1 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Item 5 is where the 2 alternatives will be presented here before we 3 finalize them. So, really, it -- it is several 4 months before the -- the final approval of the 5 master plan through FAA and everything. 6 MR. DiCARLO: Exactly. 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 8 MR. DiCARLO: Unfortunately, these are not a 9 quick study. They do entail a lot of detail. 10 But having said that, as soon as we get the 11 photogrammetry done, it's been noted that we want 12 to look ahead to some of the hangar issues that 13 we've talked about. And, again, those targets 14 will be going down next week, so hopefully we'll 15 get the updated data or digital files, if you 16 will, of the topography and the runway pavements 17 and all that --18 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 19 MR. DiCARLO: -- that's associated with the 20 airfield. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman? 22 MR. GORMAN: I was just -- I was just going

to ask when you were going to allow for board

- input and other input as far as the actual design
- of the construction of the new hangars.

- 1 MR. DiCARLO: At any point. That thing --
- 2 that particular element, I would like to think
- 3 that we could start a little bit outside of this
- 4 formal process, starting to say here's some of
- 5 the things we're thinking about. And whether we
- 6 do that through an agenda item or if you would
- 7 just like to look at them informally, however you
- 8 prefer to pursue that.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: In other words, you would
- 10 entertain actual board member contact, discussing
- 11 that at this point?
- MR. DiCARLO: Yes, absolutely.
- 13 MR. GORMAN: All right.
- MR. DiCARLO: I mean, because I know that's
- an issue that you guys are concerned about.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Ciriello?
- MR. DiCARLO: Long before the element 5, as
- Wayne pointed out.
- 19 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I -- I guess I'm under
- a misunderstanding here. I can remember when the
- 21 last master plan was being done, I attended one
- or two meetings with the board. And the public,

- 23 like out here, all had input, saying we -- why
- can't we do this and all that?
- 25 This is something what Mr. Gorman was

- 1 saying. Where are the open meetings in the
- 2 sunshine with the board and the public all giving
- 3 input? It sounds like this is all on your part,
- 4 and the tenants and everything, and everybody
- 5 else is left out of the loop until you decide
- 6 everything that you want to do.
- 7 MR. DiCARLO: No, sir.
- 8 MR. CIRIELLO: And I -- and I'm not happy
- 9 with that.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's -- that's not the
- 11 case.
- MR. DiCARLO: No, I -- yeah, I would agree.
- 13 If -- if you want to walk through the -- where
- the public will have input, we can certainly do
- that real quickly.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I thought they would be
- in on it right from the very get-go, not just --
- MR. WUELLNER: They are, but it's not a
- daily input, which, you know, none -- a process
- 20 like this can't -- can't support a daily input
- 21 from the public or -- or even Staff, for that

22	mattei
44	manci

- What you've got, you've got some -- you've
- 24 got two levels of -- actually three levels of
- input that will occur into the master plan

- 1 itself, one of which is through the Technical
- 2 Advisory Committee, which is primarily users and
- does entail at least a few open -- you know, more
- 4 public kind of positions.
- 5 Their -- their purpose is to kind of act as
- 6 a sounding board in general relative to the
- development of the plan. You have also at every
- 8 monthly meeting from this point forward through
- 9 the conclusion of the project, you will have an
- 10 update and an opportunity for input from the
- public, as well as the board, into the process
- 12 formally in a meeting scenario.
- Then there are at least two inputs that are
- mandated through the FAA process that require
- specific public hearings be done, where the only
- agenda item on those days, if you will, is not
- even a convening of this board as such, but an
- advertised meeting specifically for a consultant
- presentation of master plan results at that
- 20 point, and the solicitation of public input at

21	those times. So, you have three places where
22	input will be done.
23	Plus, all of the information will be posted
24	on our web site and will be available as the
25	working papers are produced and brought forward.
1	They'll be available for public inspection, as
2	well as public comment. They're always available
3	for you to do those same things through this
4	office. And I think we've made them available
5	at I thought we had one other location. Was
6	it the library or
7	MR. DiCARLO: Well, the public information
8	brochure kind of summarizes exactly what you said
9	so people will be made aware of
10	MR. WUELLNER: Plus, we're at
11	MR. DiCARLO: when these opportunities
12	will arise.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Is it Econotrends that's
14	coming up in the next couple of days? And
15	there's a a small brochure that will be
16	available and handed out at that location talking
17	about the master plan, the process, and how they
18	get input into the into the process itself.
19	And that will be handed out. And I would suspect

20	we'll hand out close to 3- or 400 of those over
21	that couple of days, is typically what
22	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, the way you're
23	describing this, I feel that whenever we have our
24	meetings once a month, and if anybody from the
25	public happens to be sitting out there, and even
1	these the board members, and you're given a
2	thumbnail sketch of what's going on, that you
3	don't have enough time to digest it, the public,
4	or to even get in on anything, then it's almost
5	like a done deal and it's just going to be a
6	rubber-stamp effort.
7	I thought that the meetings would be not
8	every one, understand, but there'd be a number of
9	them to where the public would be it would
10	be you know, publicized, and the public could
11	come if they wanted and everybody sit here like
12	in a workshop, giving their input. But the way
13	you're describing it, it's
14	MR. WUELLNER: Well, those are the those
15	are the public hearing opportunities for what
16	you're describing.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I don't see
18	anything here that says how many of those there

19 are going to be or when. 20 MR. WUELLNER: Well, there are a minimum of 21 two mandated. 22 MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm concerned 23 about. 24 MR. GEORGE: It would be very beneficial to 25 the board if you'd give us the dates on when the 36 1 board's going to get involved and when other 2 people are going to get involved, and then we can 3 decide if we want to have it done here as an open 4 meeting. 5 MR. WUELLNER: Well, they're -- I was going 6 to say they're always open meetings. If you're 7 doing it collect -- collectively as a board, you 8 can solicit input at any time -- any of those 9 monthly meetings as well. 10 MR. GEORGE: I'd like to solicit input every 11 month. 12 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 13 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. 14 MR. WUELLNER: That's -- that's why we're 15 using that forum, rather than interject --16 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: -- another meeting for that 17

	10	purpose.
	19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?
	20	MR. COX: The on element number 3, you're
	21	doing your aviation activity forecast. Are you
	22	developing the forecast from October 16th through
	23	December 31st, and you're doing traffic analysis
	24	at that point, or how are you developing the
	25	forecast there? And and secondly, what
37		
	1	typically do you find as a window of time for the
	2	FAA to review for with your analysis? What's
	3	it usually take
	4	MR. DiCARLO: Actually, at this point, we
	5	are starting to collect the data.
	6	MR. COX: Right now. Now?
	7	MR. DiCARLO: The historical, if you will,
	8	right
	9	MR. COX: Okay. Right.
	10	MR. DiCARLO: for the activity forecast,
	11	as well as there was an air service study
	12	element
	13	MR. COX: Right.
	14	MR. DiCARLO: that we'll need to look at.
	15	We've started collecting that, and that will
	16	continue over the next month.

purpose.

17	Once we gather that by October 1 at the
18	or within a month, we should be able to start
19	compiling that data, doing the different
20	analyses, whether it be regression analysis,
21	market-share analysis, or what have you,
22	different methods that are used in the industry.
23	And we'll formulate some of our basically
24	our forecasts, our projections for the activity,
25	whether it be the number of based aircraft here,
1	potential charter flights, you name it, military
2	operations, the total operations in general,
3	instrument approach-type operations. And once
4	those are all formalized, I don't believe and
5	in fact, the way this is written, there's a
6	footnote down here for number 1, like I said, it
7	says 45 days, that little black bar, if you
8	will
9	MR. COX: Yeah.
10	MR. DiCARLO: are the FAA's review. So,
11	we would have these submitted about mid-November,
12	I'd like to think
13	MR. COX: Okay.
14	MR. DiCARLO: before the holidays start.
15	MR. COX: So, your feeling is that the FAA

16 will review it pretty quickly and --17 MR. DiCARLO: That's a hard -- that's a hard 18 question to answer. Basically, they have -- and 19 I'll try to simplify this. There's the terminal 20 area forecast that the FAA put out. If you're 21 within 10 percent of that, they can turn them 22 around in two weeks' time. 23 MR. COX: Right. 24 MR. DiCARLO: If you're 11 percent of the

25

39

1 under, then they want to -- they want to make

terminal area forecast, to 20 percent, over -- or

- 2 sure that they agree with your justification and
- 3 your methodology. So, it could take, who knows
- 4 how long at that point. Because it goes to NASA
- 5 headquarters --
- 6 MR. COX: That's my point. I'm trying to
- 7 see -- so, we -- the possibility exists that it
- 8 could really be drawn out --
- 9 MR. DiCARLO: Exactly.
- MR. COX: -- by the FAA.
- MR. DiCARLO: Depending on what we --
- MR. COX: Right.
- MR. DiCARLO: -- what we come up with on the
- projections.

because up to the facility requirements, to some

As we move through the alternatives, then

degree, it's an open -- it's an open field as to

MR. COX: Thank you.

15

40

10

11

12

13

where things go.

	14	yes, we're going to have to start nailing these
	15	things down. And then once we get to the airport
	16	layout plan set, which is right after the
	17	alternatives, it's it really becomes difficult
	18	to move things around at that point because
	19	there's a number of drawing sheets, whether they
	20	be the entire airport or just parts of the
	21	airport in greater detail, that we start
	22	finalizing for FAA review and based on FAA
	23	criteria. And at that point, it'd be very
	24	difficult to change something. But prior to
	25	that, it's
41		
	1	MR. CIRIELLO: That's why I thought maybe
	2	MR. DiCARLO: it's very open.
	3	MR. CIRIELLO: that the public and the
	4	board would be in on some of these meetings or
	5	whatever to put these ideas out so that you would
	6	have them before you committed something and then
	7	be hard to change, you know. Well, I'll just
	8	tell you what it is, just in case. This little

area right over here, this gas station that we

thought about buying one time -- not the gas

MR. DiCARLO: Right off the corner of the

station. This junky --

9

10

11

12

file: ///S | Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board % 20 Mtg % 20 Info/Minutes/2003 % 20 Minutes/Board % 20 Meeting % 2008 2503. txt [11/16/2010~2:06:27~PM]

13 parking lot. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Yeah. I thought in 14 15 that area, without destroying any people's homes 16 and that, to put in a motel, because we have a 17 number of corporate planes that come here, and 18 sometimes they don't just come and leave; they 19 stay two or three days. The pilots run down the 20 road to a motel or something like that, you know, 21 and stay. Or, there's some property right north 22 of the airport that Mr. Wuellner, I think, told 23 me would be available to put in a motel unit. 24 And maybe we would contact some companies 25 and see if they'd be interested in building it,

- 1 and we'd just have the land for them rather than
- 2 us build it ourselves, because I think in the
- future, that if this traffic and whatnot comes to
- 4 this airport, we could make some use of an agenda
- 5 like that.
- And so, if you go and commit these areas to
- 7 something else, and then I come to a meeting and
- 8 I suggest it, that's why I asked how hard would
- 9 it be for you, "Oh, well, we can take that out
- and put your idea in."
- MR. DiCARLO: Again, as -- as all the way up

- 43
- 2 of going about planning in anything of this
- 3 scope.
- 4 You're going through -- you're going to go
- 5 through this first several sections of the -- of
- 6 the master plan. And the -- and the idea here is
- 7 to develop the factual database relative to the
- 8 airport and to develop a forecast of what the
- 9 airport's activity model might look like moving
- into the future.

11	Once that's adopted in that, meaning the
12	Authority's agreed to it, the State of Florida's
13	agreed to it, and FAA's in general agreement with
14	that data, is when you finally begin the effort
15	of of divvying up, if you will, the land
16	resources of the airport and looking toward
17	expanding the airport's current limits or
18	boundaries to accommodate what has been
19	identified as future needs.
20	Be careful that you don't start thinking,
21	use a term, "in the box," about specific
22	locations for specific activities until you have
23	the factual data, because your master plan should
24	be able to address whatever needs come out of it.
25	And you'll have very specific data items
1	that come out, such as the forecasts indicate a
2	need for a hundred T-hangar units over the

9

that come out, such as the forecasts indicate a
need for a hundred T-hangar units over the
10-year -- a 10-year period, or something, you
know, data pieces that -- that help you identify
how to approach your capital development program
moving forward.

And you just simply don't want to, you know,
decide that -- from the beginning, direct your

planners where buildings ought to be and where

10	this ought to be and where that ought to be
11	and and tie your hands from getting a plan
12	that otherwise might actually be better if if
13	you're open to it.
14	It's it's really I mean, one of the
15	first conversations I've had with these guys was,
16	we're not going to be telling them where things
17	go. It's it's not you know, in order to do
18	true planning for the airport, it has to come
19	from outside of this organization; otherwise, it
20	becomes my plan or your individual plans versus
21	what's best for the airport and what's best for
22	the community.
23	It's a lot makes more sense to implement
24	something like that that's had the benefit of
25	everybody's input than to direct the outcome of
1	it.
2	It's easy to say, "Today we want to be
3	commercial service," and figure out a plan how to
4	get there. But that's not planning. That's not
5	look to the factual data that supports where the
6	airport ought to go. It may indeed support

commercial service at some point, or many of the

other things we're going to be looking at. But

45

7

9 you -- but you don't want to predetermine the 10 outcome of the -- of the planning effort. That's 11 just a word of caution in -- in moving through 12 it. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So --14 MR. WUELLNER: Your input's needed, but --15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You suggest maybe to the 16 board members, we can make our own notes for 17 ideas we have --18 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- and then when it comes 20 back to these are the factors and this is the 21 direction --22 MR. WUELLNER: And -- and ultimately, y'all 23 can change that around. I'm not trying to say 24 you can't do that. What I'm saying is let them -- let them tell you what those things are. 25 1 They've -- they've had the experience of 2 many, many airports and how those lay out to --3 to the benefit. And -- and use that in -- in 4 your thinking. Just -- I'm saying just don't 5 come in with a predetermined "This is where this

46

6

7

building ought to go." It's not a way to do it.

MR. GEORGE: At what point on this schedule

8	will that data be available for us to look at?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it essentially it's
10	at the forecast point here, which is just a few
11	months down the road here.
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
13	MR. WUELLNER: That's that's the nuts and
14	bolts of where everything everything else
15	beyond that becomes the better choices, you know,
16	what makes more sense.
17	MR. COX: Right.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
19	MR. GORMAN: Again, I just think that
20	everyone wants to be very clear about when the
21	input can be in, when the when the board's
22	input and when the public's input, because the
23	board is the public, in other words, to be very
24	clear that we're not we don't get cast out of
25	the loop. I think that's Joe's, that's probably

1 yours. I mean, it looks like --

- 2 MR. WUELLNER: And certainly some elements
- 3 of the master plan lend itself to -- to the level
- 4 of input y'all are talking about versus others.
- 5 The first couple of months in developing is
- 6 literally forecast-related stuff. There's not a

7	lot of input in it.
8	It's looking at factual data and saying
9	and analyzing where does that data suggest the
10	airport's operating parameters, if you will, the
11	number of takeoffs and landings and based
12	aircraft and all those and instrument
13	approaches and and the like; where are those
14	numbers likely to be in the five-, ten-, and
15	twenty-year planning forecast?
16	With those numbers in hand, now you can go,
17	all right; let's that goes into a model and
18	says, all right, well, with twice the number of
19	operations expected in 10 years, we're going to
20	need this many more T-hangars, this much more
21	runway and taxiway capacity, maybe none.
22	All of those things get plugged in there and
23	they can make decisions on. Well, they literally
24	generate a laundry list of things that the
25	forecast suggests need to be done. And it's

- 1 basically called the requirements section. And
- 2 it's -- it's going to tell you, you need a
- 3 hundred T-hangar units, you know, 25 of which in
- 4 the first five years, or whatever those numbers
- 5 are; 20 more corporate units, based on the -- the

6	history of the airport. You may need another
7	navaid or another runway or more taxiways or
8	it's amazing the stuff that actually but it's
9	hard data. It's not located on the airport at
10	that point.
11	That's when the input points are really for
12	the Authority, because you now we know what
13	the data's going to suggest, but where do we put
14	the facilities to meet that? And can all of
15	those objectives be met on the airport? It may
16	be that you decide we simply can't support any
17	more corporate activity. We have no property, we
18	have no interest in it, or whatever. You can
19	and you can make those determinations as you go.
20	But find out what the raw data says is going to
21	happen before you make the determinations of
22	where things go.
23	It's easy to reserve property at that point,
24	but it's real hard to change your mind when

25

property. And -- and plan around it. It -- it

you've decided the T-hangars go in this block of

- 2 makes it really -- really a difficult job on the
- 3 planning side.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Ciriello?

5	MD	GEORGE:	Λ	data's	പ11	11/0	wonf
5	WIK.	GEORGE:	Α	date s	an	we	wani

- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And I'm sure he's
- 7 going to give it to you here, you know, in the
- 8 next -- by the --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Good.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- September meeting, he
- should have that hammered out.
- MR. CIRIELLO: I have one more --
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: We'll put --
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- probably a dumb thought or
- a silly thought. I can see in the future where
- this airport's going to be really locked in and
- 17 not be able to do much of anything. And it could
- be getting congested. Is there anything in your
- 19 consulting and everything that you could possibly
- 20 look for another site for a second airport in the
- 21 county?
- I have ideas of below, south of 206. When I
- 23 go down driving to Flagler or Daytona, you go
- down there and there's wooded areas all over the
- place, a lot of wide-open spaces. This is not

- 1 the only county in the world that only has one
- 2 airport. There's a lot of counties that have
- 3 more than one airport.

4	So, if we had a smaller airport that was
5	part of our, you know, our airport under our
6	control and everything, but in another location
7	to take all of the smaller airplanes, a lot of
8	them, and help alleviate congestion here for the
9	bigger corporate planes that we're anticipating,
10	could your consulting firm even look into a
11	possibility such as that while you're doing all
12	of this consulting?
13	MR. DiCARLO: Well, that's certainly
14	something we're capable of. Whether it's
15	applicable here, it's that's really premature
16	to say at this time. There's going to be a lot
17	of other alternatives to consider, I would think.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think that comes with our
19	forecast. If we see
20	MR. DiCARLO: Yeah.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: that there's a multitude
22	of corporate planes coming, we need to have an

23

24

25

1 the direction we find the forecasts are pointing

MR. DiCARLO: But, yeah, we are capable of

doing that, if that's where it's going, if that's

additional plan or not.

2 us, and --

- 3 MR. WUELLNER: And it's direction --
- 4 MR. DiCARLO: -- capacity assessment.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: It's actually direction you
- 6 can provide to them when you -- when you look at
- 7 your forecast information and you go, all right,
- 8 we're going to need all of these things. Can
- 9 they be reasonably or rationally accommodated on
- this facility? And if the determination is no,
- then you look at it and go, all right, let's
- include development of a new facility of some
- sort as an alternative. Let's explore it as a
- part of alternatives development, which is the
- 15 next section after requirements.
- But you start looking at different scenarios
- to address those requirements. And it may be
- that developing -- continuing to develop this
- property, even across U.S. 1 or other scenarios,
- 20 may still make the most sense, but at least then
- you've looked at alternatives, such as splitting
- out elements of general aviation that perhaps
- become less compatible as congestion comes in.
- And -- and it's a very viable alternative.
- 25 But determining where the site is and -- and

things of that nature, is its own even

- 2 larger-scale master plan, believe it or not, when
- 3 you start dealing with FAA.
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: Putting another runway across
- 5 U.S. 1 doesn't --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: I'm not --
- 7 MR. CIRIELLO: -- alleviate congestion. I
- 8 know you're not saying that's what we're going to
- 9 do. I know it's been thought of. Because all of
- the airplanes, whether you're using a runway over
- there or over here, are congested in the traffic
- 12 area.
- But if you had another smaller airport, say
- with only a 5,000-foot runway down along 206,
- south of 206, between there and Flagler, that
- would take -- I mean, that's not that bad of a
- drive for anybody around here that has a Piper or
- a Cessna or something, to go down there and keep
- their airplane instead of mixing up here with all
- of these bigger boys. And you would separate the
- 21 two and -- and alleviate the congestion. That's
- 22 my idea.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's -- it's not a bad
- 24 alternative to -- to actually look at. But
- 25 it's -- it's --

- 1 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I just want to know if
- 2 they're capable in their master plan --
- 3 MR. DiCARLO: Absolutely. We've done that
- 4 before. It's just the first thing we'll have to
- 5 do is identify what the demand is, what the
- 6 triggers may be for that, and then of course,
- 7 cost will come into play. But that will all
- 8 be --
- 9 MR. CIRIELLO: It was just a thought.
- MR. DiCARLO: -- elements that will --
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The bottom line for now is,
- though, you'll give us that date so we can get --
- 13 MR. DiCARLO: Absolutely.
- MR. WUELLNER: You need to develop your
- public hearing dates, your tentative dates, and
- where board input comes from.
- MR. DiCARLO: The only thing I'm concerned
- about with the public hearing dates is typically,
- they're not till after the forecast process --
- MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- MR. DiCARLO: -- and as we've been saying,
- that's kind of a moving target --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.
- MR. DiCARLO: -- at this point.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: As long as you can give us

- 1 some guesstimation, that would be a start. 2 MR. DiCARLO: Absolutely. We can do that. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. 4 MR. DiCARLO: Any other questions? 5 (No questions.) 6 MR. DiCARLO: Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Is that all for 7 8 the project updates, Mr. Wuellner? 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain brief public 11 comment on the project updates. But I notice 12 Mr. Maguire is here, and I think the board had 13 made a comment -- and correct me if I'm wrong --14 at our last meeting, that there was one agenda 15 item we wanted Mr. Maguire to be here for.
- MR. GORMAN: That was avigation easements.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. And I was going to
- 18 entertain the public comment. But then if you
- 19 want -- if the board would like to move that up,
- because I understand Mr. Maguire has to run.
- Yes, sir?
- 22 COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Well, I'm late
- because we did a telephone conference, and now I
- can stay as late as I want to.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, okay. Never mind.

1 MR. COX: Shouldn't have said that. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then it's public 3 comment on the project updates. Mr. Martinelli? 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Two -- two -- is this thing 5 working? Yeah. Two questions. One, you had 6 mentioned getting together with tenants on the 7 airport. You mentioned Aero Sport and you 8 mentioned Grumman and so on. Do you also plan to 9 get together with some representation of the 10 pilots, like the Pilots Association? 11 MR. DiCARLO: Yes, we do. In fact, one of 12 the Technical Advisory Committee members we're 13 suggesting for approval was a member of your 14 association. We have not said any names yet 15 because there's been no contact made with them 16 until such time as the Authority says this. 17 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. That's on the 18 technical committee. But I'm talking about the 19 meeting that you say you had scheduled for a full 20 day, meeting with Aero Sport, meeting with -- did 21 I hear incorrectly or what? 22 MR. DiCARLO: Yes, we're meeting with a 23 number of the tenants, yes. 24 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.

MR. DiCARLO: But not -- we can't physically

- 1 meet with every tenant.
- 2 MR. MARTINELLI: No, I understand. But you
- 3 can meet with the Pilots Association.
- 4 MR. DiCARLO: That's correct.
- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. And do you plan to
- 6 do that on this same day or on a different day,
- 7 or is it scheduled? That's my question.
- 8 MR. DiCARLO: I'm not sure if they're on a
- 9 schedule or not, there's been so many set up. I
- apologize if it wasn't set up. But a number of
- calls were made in the last couple of weeks,
- 12 and --
- MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah, I would suggest that
- that be set up.
- MR. DiCARLO: -- we do the ones that
- responded to us.
- MR. MARTINELLI: I'd suggest that that be
- set up.
- 19 MR. DiCARLO: Oh, absolutely. I just --
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.
- MR. DiCARLO: I can't say at this time
- whether or not we got a call back.
- MR. COX: Is your meeting with the other two
- tenants that we mentioned, is that at the same

- 1 MR. DiCARLO: Yeah, they're all -- they're
- 2 all individual meetings.
- 3 MR. COX: -- all different, singular
- 4 meetings?
- 5 MR. DiCARLO: Prefer to do them one on
- 6 one --
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- 8 MR. DiCARLO: -- where's there no --
- 9 MR. COX: All right.
- MR. DiCARLO: -- outside influences, if you
- will.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Well, I appreciate
- knowing what they did, okay?
- MR. DiCARLO: Okay. Well, we didn't get a
- call back, but I'll -- I'll see that we get
- something set up; there's no doubt about that.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. And the second point
- that I want to make is further to what Ed has
- 19 said.
- 20 I've lived through two master plan
- 21 iterations, and a big word of caution: You
- can -- if you interfere with the planning process
- too soon, you can steer it and influence it to be

25 I mean, we have had runways on the other 1 side of U.S. 1; we've had bridges over U.S. 1; 2 we've had all kinds of -- I'll call them 3 fantasies -- that have been incorporated in 4 master plans in the past. And that has come from 5 pressure, not from the consultants, but from 6 outside pressure prematurely. 7 So, I would suggest you let them do their 8 job. And then after you get all of the facts, 9 then you sit down and weigh out alternatives. 10 But don't steer the plan. 11 MR. GORMAN: Was the pressure from 12 individuals in the public or the pressure from 13 developers? 14 MR. MARTINELLI: I'll say the pressure was 15 from factions; I'll put it that way. Factions on 16 or off the airport having interest in the airport 17 in the past. And I -- I think that you have to 18 avoid that if you're going to get an 19 honest-to-goodness good master plan. 20 By the way, these plans are updated every

24

21

22

five years; is that correct?

58

a pie in the sky.

MR. WUELLNER: Usually no sooner than five.

23	MR. MARTINELLI: No sooner than five? But
24	certainly not more than ten. And so, the
25	planning horizon, even though the planners have
1	to look way beyond in terms of what may happen to
2	this airport and whether or not we need another
3	airport in another part of the county, I think
4	that the planning horizon after these facts are
5	generated, that really you really have to look
6	at is between five and ten years out and no more
7	than that, because otherwise, you get lost in a
8	la-la land. Thank you.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's real
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
11	MR. WUELLNER: easy to focus on the 20
12	and beyond instead of the nuts and bolts you
13	actually implement.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further public comment?
15	(No further public comment.)
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. We'll close
17	public comment.
18	7.B AUTHORITY ACTION FOLLOW-UP
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then item B., the
20	follow-up. I don't think there was any action to
21	take on any of that.

22	MR. WUELLNER: No, ma am. No. You've got a
23	couple of agenda items that will follow up with
24	the specifics.
25	I just wanted to make mention of a couple of
1	follow-up items. One was Rogers, Towers
2	generated letters, both to Asset in accordance
3	with your directions relative to completion of
4	the terminal. I think we've provided you a copy
5	of that. If not, we will certainly do that. And
6	also, relative to addressing comments of
7	Mr. George has written inquiry to us relative to
8	the FBO. The attorneys I think we provided
9	you with a letter on the which one which
10	one was in your packet? I'm sorry. The delay
11	damages letter?
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Liquidated damages.
13	MR. GEORGE: Liquidated damages, new
14	terminal building.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Right. And then the one we
16	also or was also generated was the response to
17	the inquiry on the the hundred-day schedule.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
19	MR. WUELLNER: There's also a letter
20	letter related to that, too, that

21	MR. GEORGE: Yean.
22	MR. WUELLNER: attempts to explain the
23	allegations of disparity in the comments relative
24	to the hundred-day period and whether damages
25	were, you know, appended to which contract.
1	It it was real easy to get befuddled as to
2	which contract. I frequently do, too, as to
3	whether it's the follow-on agreement between the
4	contractor and the surety or our contract with
5	the surety, which are entirely separate.
6	And that attempts I think you did a great
7	job of trying to distinguish between the two
8	periods and to distinguish the nature of the
9	response that was given in the media.
10	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Thanks, Doug. I your
11	comment about trying to put it in lay terms, I
12	think I much better understand what the what
13	the problems were.
14	MR. WUELLNER: I've determined that there's
15	no way I want to be an attorney based on just
16	responses to some of these.
17	Next item I wanted to talk was the RFQ for
18	the attorney services, and those those ads
19	appear beginning Wednesday of this week in

both -- they're different days, but each is
advertised twice in the St. Augustine Record, as
well as the Florida Times-Union, which would be
our expected sphere of responses from attorneys.
And they'll -- that'll -- I think they have
till the first week of October. There's a date

- certain to respond to our RFQ, which gives you
- time, depending on the number of responses
- 3 received. Last time, we had just a few. Gives
- 4 you an opportunity to form a committee to shorten
- 5 that list, if you wish, or just simply schedule
- 6 them into the 20th meeting for brief interviews
- 7 and selection of whatever you intend to do.
- 8 Next item is zoning. We have a meeting.
- 9 I'm not sure of the date, but I know it's coming
- up here probably this week with the county zoning
- department with -- with Mr. McClure to see if
- there's a way to get the maintenance facility
- built under the current zoning without going
- 14 through that exercise, allowing us then to do
- 15 what acquisition y'all decide to do in advance --
- and get that done before going through zoning and
- having to do that multiple times over the next
- couple of years.

19	So, we'll know more in the next hopefully
20	week as to whether they're going to buy some of
21	our arguments on to doing it in the existing
22	location without zoning changes.
23	The other was there was a we left
24	hanging we were going to I'm not sure how I
25	agreed to this, but I did, that we were going to
1	look at lots purchasing Lots 5 and 7 and have
2	that information back to you by today. But,
3	frankly, with preparing this agenda and the like,
4	there was no way we were going to get to that as
5	a focus item by today. So, we'll give you some
6	information, some feedback on availability of
7	property monetarily in the target area, hopefully
8	in the September meeting.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have a few meetings
10	coming
11	MR. WUELLNER: That gives us a few weeks to
12	get generate some some answers and
13	responses to that. So and that's kind of
14	where I had it laid out on follow-ups. If there
15	are any others we missed or I may just not have
16	answers to yet, if you can think of any.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any others from the board?

18	(No further comment.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment on
20	follow-up stuff from the public?
21	(No public comment.)
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, all right.
23	Thank you for that, Mr. Wuellner. I think that
24	keeps us all informed, from our memories not
25	keeping everything together sometimes.
1	MR. WUELLNER: And I do think it was you
2	know, it was a good idea it was actually Mr.
3	George's, that relative to follow-up of the
4	actions at the beginning, it kind of helps set
5	the stage for what the balance of actual action
6	items are. So, it's a good idea there.
7	7.C ADVERTISING FOR MEETINGS
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The one that we had set
9	over from the last special meeting, the
10	advertising for meetings. Mr. Gorman?
11	MR. GORMAN: Since yeah, since I brought
12	that up, it's just short and sweet.
13	I just I really feel strongly that the
14	public needs to know any agenda to be discussed
15	and that the public needs to know that through
16	the media available to it, which is the

17	newspaper, and a short line published on items, I
18	think, on whether it's regular or special. I
19	just I feel strongly about that.
20	To allow only special meetings that have to
21	be published as far as the agenda items,
22	invites it could philosophically invite
23	manipulation of pushing less contentious issues
24	to special meetings and the more contentious ones
25	to the regular meetings where they don't have to
1	be published. You get less of a crowd.
2	I can remember a few instances already
3	that I'll save those for a rainy day, though.
4	But I would like it's no more expense. It
5	doesn't cost us any more.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
7	MR. GORMAN: And and to level the playing
8	field to just publish all agendas.
9	MR. WUELLNER: The only concern we have with
10	that and generally I agree with you is that
11	you have items an example is even like the
12	TVOR that we're going to talk about later is
13	that when you advertise by item, you've you've
14	essentially tied your hands to any late-breaking
15	items that you'd like to get accomplished or have

16	been waiting on and just simply don't have the
17	information when you put it, unless you create an
18	all-inclusive agenda and simply then, you know,
19	pare it all back at the beginning of the meeting,
20	because you can delete the items, but you can't
21	add items if you've advertised specifically for
22	them.
23	That's why your board elected to keep the
24	regular meeting advertisement generic, was to
25	allow flexibility of adding you know, adding
1	items to the list. I don't object fundamentally.
2	I understand what you're saying.
3	MR. GORMAN: During the beginning of the
4	meeting, you can always you can always, as
5	director, elect so to delete meetings (sic) on
6	agenda because you don't have the information
7	necessary.
8	MR. WUELLNER: That's what I'm saying, is
9	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
10	MR. WUELLNER: unless you advertised
	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

and then you have people -- the other side is

you're -- you're balancing that same public. If

you advertise that more generic list of things

you'll be talking about, then you have people

11

12

13

15	that show up for those items and, you know, there
16	really was nothing there for it, but you're just
17	kind of covering the agenda, if you will, so that
18	you can talk about it.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: What if we had someone that
20	was
21	MR. WUELLNER: It's really a fine line. And
22	I don't disagree with what you're trying to
23	accomplish. I'm just not sure that
24	MR. GORMAN: It's just it's to me, the
25	public needs to know, and and rather than be
1	
1	on a need-to-know basis. And so, I would like to
2	on a need-to-know basis. And so, I would like to see that with a simple motion, I would like to
2	see that with a simple motion, I would like to
2	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.
2 3 4	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them
2 3 4 5	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them publish the agenda on the web site, and then in
2 3 4 5 6	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them publish the agenda on the web site, and then in the advertising, say, "Go to the web site to see
2 3 4 5 6 7	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them publish the agenda on the web site, and then in the advertising, say, "Go to the web site to see what the agenda is"? Sometimes the agenda's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them publish the agenda on the web site, and then in the advertising, say, "Go to the web site to see what the agenda is"? Sometimes the agenda's not sets for two days before the meeting and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them publish the agenda on the web site, and then in the advertising, say, "Go to the web site to see what the agenda is"? Sometimes the agenda's not sets for two days before the meeting and the advertising's been gone a week.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	see that with a simple motion, I would like to see that cleaned up so you just advertise them.  MR. GEORGE: Can we compromise and have them publish the agenda on the web site, and then in the advertising, say, "Go to the web site to see what the agenda is"? Sometimes the agenda's not sets for two days before the meeting and the advertising's been gone a week.  MR. WUELLNER: The other alternative is they

14	That's that's perfectly acceptable, too.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: suggestion, "Updated
16	agenda; please call."
17	MR. WUELLNER: We fax out lots of copies,
18	and people do also come by and get them. That
19	works fine. You you I think you've seen,
20	but you do have a meeting policy document that
21	kind of guides what collectively the Authority's
22	directed in the past. I don't again, I
23	don't you know, we'll do whatever you ask us
24	to do.
25	MR. COX: On the web site, Ed, couldn't we
1	put something like "Meeting agenda probable

12

2 meeting agenda items will be..." and we don't 3 have to advertise that it's absolutely going to have to be certain things because it's on the web 4 site. If you advertise in the newspaper, then 5 6 you put it down, the agenda items, then it's 7 written in stone; is that correct? MR. WUELLNER: Can you -- can you do that 8 generic? 9 10 MR. GEORGE: You can also put a bullet that 11 says "other to be determined."

MR. COX: Well, I understand what he's

1 MR. BURNETT: I'll just -- if I could jump 2 in real quick, and that is you could have, for 3 example, the agenda on the web site and then 4 cover items that aren't on this agenda. 5 MR. COX: Okay. 6 MR. BURNETT: Your advertising the specific 7 items is just more encompassing than what you're 8 required to do. You'd still need to have some --9 you'd still want to have some caveat in there 10 that says that "Items not on this agenda may be 11 discussed and raised --"

- 12 MR. COX: There you go. Right. 13 MR. GEORGE: Exactly. Yeah. It's called "other." 14 15 MR. COX: We don't want to tie our hands on 16 having to do or not having -- not doing 17 something. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Well, if he gets comfortable 19 with the language there so we don't end up 20 crosswise on the --21 MR. COX: Absolutely. 22 MR. WUELLNER: -- public notice side of 23 it --24 MR. COX: Would that gratify what you're 25 trying to -- to do, is to get -- if we -- if we
- 1 were to say in the advertisement in the 2 newspaper, "For updates, you can go to our web 3 site"? MR. BURNETT: Or you could have the --4 5 MR. GEORGE: Oh, come on, Mr. Gorman. 6 MR. GORMAN: I would prefer you just 7 published it and then deleted it if you had to, 8 you didn't have the information, only because 9 it's --

MR. COX: I don't think we can do that.

70

11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then we can't add. MR. GORMAN: What? I'm discouraged. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: What if I'm interested in 14 the TVOR, an added action item today? It wasn't 15 on the agenda; I had no idea; and now you're 16 voting on something? 17 MR. GORMAN: That's happened before. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But what we're saying is to 19 put notice out to the public that, "If you want 20 to see an agenda item," or, "What's agendaed 21 there, proposed, or updated, go to our web site." 22 That way, it could be current. If you publish in 23 the paper, it's set in black and white, you can't 24 change it. 25 MR. GORMAN: So, you're saying then every 1 week, tell people to go to the web site for a 2 complete agenda item --3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Complete updated agenda. 4 MR. GORMAN: It's better than what we have 5 now. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. That's what Mr. Cox 7 was asking, if that would suffice to what you

71

8

9

were looking for.

MR. GORMAN: That's not what I wanted, but

it sounds like I'm going to get outvoted if I 11 make a motion. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we'll entertain a 13 motion. And if we --14 MR. COX: I'm just saying --15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I mean, we actually have to 16 entertain a motion, so --17 MR. COX: I'm just trying to make it a 18 compromise where -- where both the public can be 19 satisfied -- and I agree with you. I totally 20 agree. And it's one of the -- you know, I want 21 to see the public be aware of what's going on at 22 the airport and have input when they are 23 concerned about an issue. But if we -- if -- I 24 don't want to have our hands tied to, you know --25 MR. GORMAN: You don't want it tied by the 72 1 advertising. 2 MR. COX: Exactly. 3 MR. GORMAN: Right. MR. COX: We need to -- we need to remain 4 5 versatile and be able to add or take away from 6 the agenda at, you know, the Chairman's 7 discretion.

10

8

MR. WUELLNER: You always -- yeah. You

9	always have the ability to. I mean, if there's
10	an item that's you know, we're asking at a
11	meeting to be added, and and you consider it
12	controversial, or any one of you consider it, you
13	can either elect not to add it to the agenda at
14	that point and and deal with it as a special
15	meeting or, you know, a next-month's meeting or
16	whatever you you choose to do.
17	You can also, when the item is presented,
18	simply say, "Look, you know, we've had not enough
19	time to deal with it or digest the information."
20	Because, you know, you're up against the same
21	wall that everybody else is in terms of time to
22	deal with the specifics of what we might be
23	asking.
24	But at the same time, you've got an

25

1 week, is the TVOR one. If we don't get some

example, I mean, it just happens to be here this

- 2 positive response back to the -- to the vendor
- 3 this week, that schedule will slip.
- 4 I mean, if you deferred it as an add-on
- 5 because it wasn't advertised, meaning you're not
- 6 going to talk about it till September, you know,
- 7 we guarantee you're going to be into January.

- 8 And -- and now you've got -- you know, now --
- 9 which may or may not be important in the scheme
- of things. But, you know you're losing a month,
- or upwards of a month.
- MR. GORMAN: So, an amended motion.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Clarification.
- MR. GORMAN: Yes.
- MR. GEORGE: Clarification.
- MR. GORMAN: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: Can't -- when we have a -- like
- the next regular meeting, we know five days
- 19 prior, you know some -- some of the items that
- are going to be on there. It would seem to me
- 21 that -- that Doug could give you the catchall
- legal verbiage to say "Other agenda items may be
- added. Please check the web site for those
- details." So, you're giving the major bullets
- 25 first --

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: We can do that.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: -- and then all the rest of
- 3 them --
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Or call the office for --
- 5 they can also call.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: And also, they may be added at

7 the meeting. 8 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there an additional cost 10 for additional lines for advertising? 11 MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure there is, but it --12 it can't be that much to do it. 13 MR. GEORGE: Well, for the good it'll do, I 14 think it, you know, is worth it. So -- so, what 15 was that motion you said then? 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We can't entertain a 17 motion. I need to open it for public comment 18 first. 19 MR. GEORGE: Oh. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We kind of jumped through 21 that. Yes, sir? 22 MR. COX: Do you qualify as public? 23 MR. HOLIDAY: I just -- I just don't get it. 24 You know that, Ed. One of the things that's 25 interesting is -- is the manipulation. And I

- 1 know that's what John's talking about. It's kind
- 2 of a manipulation in all government bodies of
- 3 trying to get their agendas through. It's very
- 4 simple. It's something we all accept. It's a
- 5 game.

mit, let's say 24
mit, let's say

- 7 hours or 48 hours, where it's -- that's it? So
- 8 if -- if -- let's say the Pilots Association,
- 9 something came up, and we had -- we'd have to
- 10 have time to collect our -- our wits and -- and
- go forward with -- with either for something or
- against something. You can add -- the mop that
- goes both ways.
- But in my mind, something -- point being put
- on the agenda at the last minute doesn't give
- really much chance for there to be any honest
- comment, pro or con. And maybe 24 hours or 48
- hours would be just something that -- that I
- would appreciate if we're going to get somebody
- 20 up here to support you maybe.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, right.
- MR. HOLIDAY: Who knows? We may support you
- some day, Ed. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Any further
- public comment? Yes.

- 1 Just remind everybody, I know we know a lot
- of you. Just repeat your name and address for
- 3 the record.
- 4 MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road.

5	I have, as some of you know, some background in
6	this that might be of help to you.
7	I'm sure Mr. Maguire could attest to this
8	fact, that in radio and of course in the
9	St. Augustine Record, there has been a history of
10	going over items appearing before them on their
11	agenda. I'm not going to tell you it was always
12	every item. In our case, for example, we used to
13	get County Commission agendas and also the
14	agendas for the School Board several days before
15	the actual meeting. We would run them down in
16	some detail, and where we had information, ran
17	down details of what that would mean to the
18	public.
19	I never saw anytime where it restricted them
20	from adding something to their agenda and making
21	it possible for that particular board to discuss
22	it, that it could be brought up by a member under
23	whatever procedure your charter allows.
24	I will say that at least one of your
25	members, I have told in the past, we would

- 1 receive notification of your meeting but no copy
- of an airport agenda. As a member of the media
- 3 who covered the Airport Authority for a number of

4	years, extensively, we always hoped for that.
5	I understood why it wasn't done, but the

- 5 I understood why it wasn't done, but the
- 6 fact of the matter is, it was always available to
- you. I would suggest that maybe the board should
- 8 contact the available media in town and find out
- 9 if they will help you at a no-cast basis in their
- 10 news operations.
- MR. GEORGE: Good idea.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Hickox. Any
- 13 further comment?
- 14 (No further public comment.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Close public comment.
- 16 Mr. Gorman, did you want to make your motion?
- MR. GORMAN: I'm going to hold to my motion
- to publish agenda items and -- with the caveat
- that they can be stricken by Mr. Wuellner as not
- being complete enough to discuss at any time.
- And I'd like to make that so that the agenda
- items, both special meeting and regular meetings,
- are very short, one line, advertised in the
- 24 paper.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry. I misunderstood

- 1 you. You said you want to hold the motion?
- 2 There is no motion then?

- 3 MR. GORMAN: No. That would be a motion,
- 4 to -- I would like to hold to my original idea of
- 5 publishing them, allowing Mr. Wuellner to use the
- 6 common-sense idea, well, we don't have enough
- 7 information to do that. And, of course, he can
- 8 strike them from discussion if he needs to.
- 9 It's the opposite. In other words, we're
- publishing more and discussing less if we have
- to, only because we may not have that -- enough
- information, so -- but I would -- I don't know if
- the web site is inclusive enough -- of enough of
- the public.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Well, I need a
- specific wording.
- MR. GORMAN: I make a motion to -- to
- publish in the newspaper, very short, all agenda
- 19 items.
- 20 MR. COX: Question. What --
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. There's a motion on
- the floor. Is there a second?
- MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second so he can make a
- 24 question.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a second. Now we

1 can have discussion.

- 2 MR. COX: What's different than that, than
- 3 what we do now?
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Right now, we just publish the
- 5 fact that we have regular meetings and there are
- 6 no agenda items that are -- that are actually
- 7 punch-listed down, none at all. So, it doesn't
- 8 really attract public discussion.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
- MR. WUELLNER: We have another --
- MR. GEORGE: I'd like to modify it or
- clarify it. I guess we have to deal with this
- one first, right? We -- I was just going to give
- a little bit more specific direction that -- you
- know, that at the time that the advertisements
- are placed, any known agenda items should be
- published, and by 24 hours prior to the meeting,
- the web site will be updated with a complete
- 19 agenda.
- MR. GORMAN: In other words, publish what
- you have and then update on the web site.
- MR. GEORGE: And --
- MR. WUELLNER: Which all would be great if
- 24 we did our own web updates. But we don't do that
- in-house.

- 1 Let me offer another suggestion. You can
- 2 take your action. But what we were thinking was
- 3 if you left the legal -- the legal advertisement,
- 4 the one we're absolutely required to publish,
- 5 left that generic. Associated with it is publish
- 6 the agenda as a separate advertisement designed,
- 7 you know, with the language -- it would have all
- 8 items expected to be on there, and the caveat
- 9 that, you know, other items --
- MR. GEORGE: Others may be added.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- may be added, you know,
- and it's -- you know, with the disclaimer that
- it's not intended to represent the entirety -- or
- it's the -- it is the intent to be the -- the
- agenda where possible but, you know, you've
- got -- but we'll come up with some language that
- gives you the ability to adjust it.
- But leave the -- the legal advertisement
- part, because that's where you're going to get --
- in my opinion, is where you're going to get in
- 21 trouble with adding and subtracting agenda items
- from it.
- 23 If you kept the other, it -- it's -- it's,
- lack of better terms, it's a commercial ad at
- 25 that point, instead of a legal advertisement.

1 MR. CIRIELLO: So, you're saying we'd have 2 two advertisements in the newspaper instead of 3 one. 4 MR. WUELLNER: And they can appear in the 5 same issue. They can -- you know, we can -- you 6 can assure that with The Record. 7 MR. CIRIELLO: Is his motion allow --8 MR. WUELLNER: You guys have to --9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, we have a --10 MR. CIRIELLO: Or do we have to amend that 11 or --12 MR. BURNETT: If I could -- if I could have 13 one part insight into that, is that many cities 14 and counties advertise their meeting. 15 For example, the County Commission's going 16 to have a meeting to discuss matters of business 17 on X day. And then they have separate ads for 18 the zoning issues that are required by statute to 19 come before them. And every now and then, they 20 will advertise something additionally that's of 21 particular public interest. 22 But they keep the nuts and bolts of their 23 meeting to allow them the flexibility to add 24 things. For example, if you cut -- make the

24-hour, 48-hour cutoff, there may be something

- 1 that comes in the morning of your meeting that
- 2 you actually feel is important to discuss that
- 3 you're not going to have the opportunity to
- 4 because you have a 24-hour cutoff. You may not
- 5 want to tie your hands in that regard, and I
- 6 don't think you're going there, Mr. Gorman. I
- 7 think you're -- you're trying to accomplish
- 8 getting --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Come on, Mr. Burnett. Let's be
- a pioneer. Let's go forward. Put it in one ad
- and get it over with. You can put all the
- legalese to it to protect us. Why have two? Why
- have to go look in two different parts of the
- 14 thing?
- MR. GORMAN: I agree with you, Buzz. I'm
- trying to simplify.
- MR. BURNETT: And that's fine, so long as
- you leave the flexibility in there to make sure
- that we get the language correct.
- MR. GEORGE: Absolutely. Right. Can I
- 21 restate your motion just to make sure --
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we have a first and
- second. So, let's take a vote on the motion as
- seconded. And if that -- if that dies, we can --
- 25 MR. GEORGE: All right. Repeat it.

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think the motion from 2 Mr. Gorman was to publish a complete agenda in 3 the paper and the web site, every item. That was 4 it. 5 MR. GORMAN: Correct. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's been first and 7 seconded. 8 No further discussion? All in favor of the 9 motion? 10 (Mr. Gorman indicates.) 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed? 12 MR. GEORGE: Aye. MR. COX: Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 14 15 Motion will fail. Mr. Ciriello, I didn't 16 hear you vote. I think --17 MR. CIRIELLO: I'm starting to think of 18 which way I want to go with it. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we need a vote. 20 MR. CIRIELLO: I'll go aye. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that for opposition or 22 for favor? 23 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye is for.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

- 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Two for, three against.
- 2 Then the motion will fail. Entertain -- the
- 3 board will entertain an additional motion.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: I'd like to make a motion that
- 5 we give Staff direction on the advertisement for
- 6 meetings that appears in the paper, that they
- 7 also include a list of the known agenda items,
- 8 along with a disclaimer that other agenda items
- 9 may be added, and check our web site for the
- final agenda 24 hours prior to the meeting.
- 11 That's my -- that's my motion.
- MR. GORMAN: Make sure it says "all known
- agenda items."
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, all -- right.
- MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
- MR. COX: I'll second that.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Discussion? I would not
- like that 24-hour. I would say they could check
- it two hours before. No time frame. Just,
- "Check our web site for updated agenda items."
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The reality is --
- MR. GEORGE: I meant it to be that --
- MR. WUELLNER: -- it's not going to change

- for a Monday meeting --
- MR. GEORGE: I meant it that he had 24 hours

- 1 prior to the -- to the meeting to --
- 2 MR. COX: Yeah. I understand what you're
- 3 saying.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: A clarification on what you
- said about the web site, that we have somebody
- 6 that does it. The web site can put a bridge to a
- 7 document, and all you have to do is create a
- 8 document and rename it that, and every week it
- 9 goes to that. So...
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox, did you have
- 12 further comment?
- MR. WUELLNER: Twenty-four hours doesn't
- work with a Monday meeting. Just -- I mean --
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- the reality is --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- they'll see whatever it is
- or whatever.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. I'll -- I'll change the
- 21 motion then to drop --
- MR. WUELLNER: I understand what your intent

23 is, but --24 MR. GEORGE: -- the time -- the time limit 25 on the --1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Amend it to delete the time 2 frame? 3 MR. GEORGE: Yes. Amend it to delete the 4 time limit on getting it into the web site all 5 together. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion on 6 7 that? Is there a second on the amendment? 8 MR. COX: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? 10 (No further discussion.) 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Would you like to 12 make sure to clarify your motion as amended, 13 Mr. George? 14 MR. GEORGE: Yes, I would. That Staff be 15 given the direction to include in the advertisement for all meetings of the airport 16 17 board the -- all known agenda items at the time 18 the advertisement is placed, appropriate words to 19 be placed in the ad indicating that the agenda 20 may have additional items added to, and to check 21 the web site for the final agenda prior to the

22 meeting. 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or call. 24 MR. COX: Or call. MR. GEORGE: Huh? 25 1 MR. COX: Or call. 2 MR. GEORGE: Or call, yes. Check the web 3 site or call. 4 MR. BURNETT: May I make one comment? For 5 the -- for the most updated agenda --6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 7 MR. BURNETT: -- the final agenda. 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Not just additions. 10 MR. BURNETT: Good. 11 MR. GEORGE: I was going to give the -- our 12 legal counsel and the staff some leeway in the 13 actual choice of words, but the spirit of the 14 motion was what I was trying to get across. 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The motion's been restated. All in favor? 16 17 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.

87

19

20

MR. GEORGE: Aye.

MR. COX: Aye.

21	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
23	(No opposition.)
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The motion will carry.
25	7.D AVIGATION EASEMENTS/NOISE DISCLAIMERS
1	PROPERTY DEEDS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next agenda item is
3	avigation easements - property deeds.
4	Mr. Gorman, was that an item from you or
5	MR. GORMAN: That was originally generated
6	from me
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
8	MR. GORMAN: and but at this point, I
9	will actually stop talking and leave that in the
10	hands of the lawyers, because I do not know
11	anything about an avigation easement except that
12	we need one.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So basically we put
14	this on the calendar just to let Staff know we're
15	concerned about the developments around the area.
16	MR. GORMAN: I also think that we need to be
17	discussing that directly with the County
18	Commissioners and the fact that they're going to
19	be approving this, for instance, large

20	development right next to us, and that they need
21	to be possibly well-informed as to the possible
22	necessity of of having those on the deeds to
23	the property so we're not sued.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, I understand
25	that you're saying the board's best direction is
1	just discuss that with our legal counsel.
2	MR. GORMAN: But I'd like to see some actual
3	action interaction directed with the County
4	Commissioners. That's why I wanted
5	MR. WUELLNER: Well
6	MR. GORMAN: City Commissioners. I'm sorry.
7	Oops.
8	MR. WUELLNER: We we had requested, which
9	was direction we had gotten in the meeting before
10	last, to generate the letter to the County and
11	the City, requesting that we get together and
12	hammer it out.
13	We haven't to date gotten a response from
14	either place, but the letter was indeed
15	generated, requesting that that meeting be set up
16	at a at the Staff level and get that
17	interaction going and hopefully hammer out some
18	direction to to get those kind of things in

19	place with both the City and the County.
20	We were in pretty good shape with the
21	County, frankly. We had worked very, very hard
22	with them when they redid the LDRs, the Land
23	B Development Regulations, a few few years back.
24	But the City kind of took everyone by surprise
25	with the annexation of the of what became the
)	
1	Ponce property and ultimately pulled it out of
2	what we were expecting to be county jurisdiction
3	originally. I don't think anyone ever expected
4	that to go become what was proposed.
5	MR. GORMAN: The point being to make sure
6	that we're well represented, that that that
7	idea is well represented before it passes
8	through.
9	MR. WUELLNER: You're probably more familiar
10	with that final language because you attended
11	those.
12	MR. BURNETT: Right.
13	MR. WUELLNER: I guess I think both of you
14	did. But there was some some final language
15	in the development order, was there not?
16	MR. GEORGE: Yes, there was, but exactly
17	what the language was, I'm not sure.

	18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
	19	MR. GEORGE: I would like to make a motion
	20	that we ask our attorney to look into the
	21	definition of avigation versus whatever else you
	22	came up with, as far as what action would you
	23	recommend we take to protect us as much as
	24	possible with new developments coming in and with
	25	the fact that there is an airport here, and
91		
	1	report back to us next month.
	2	MR. GORMAN: And
	3	MR. GEORGE: With Mr. Gorman's additions.
	4	MR. GORMAN: And I would have them
	5	correspond with, for instance, someone, the
	6	Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association, which
	7	seems to have a huge file on these issues. Huge
	8	file.
	9	MR. GEORGE: Of what?
	10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Wait a minute.
	11	MR. GORMAN: Of litigation.
	12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have a motion made on
	13	the floor. Is there a second for that motion?
	14	MR. COX: I'll second.
	15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Now we can have the
	16	discussion. And the discussion is you would like

17	some interaction with our attorney to the airport
18	Pilots Association?
19	MR. GORMAN: They seem to have the biggest
20	database. And they seem to have kept track.
21	They are advocates of airports throughout the
22	country and they seem to have the the most
23	expertise in that area.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well
25	MR. WUELLNER: It's a different Pilots
1	Association than what you're thinking. It's not
2	this Pilots Association.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, because I don't want
4	to mix apples and oranges. We have counsel, and
5	I want a legal opinion, not some, no offense,
6	pilots' opinion. I need legal opinion as to what
7	can be done.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Is the goal to place an
9	avigation easement over some or all of that
10	property?
11	MR. GORMAN: The goal would be to make
12	sure and I don't think this hurts Mr. Stokes,
13	I really don't. I think it's, you know, one of
14	these to use one of these silly buzz words,
15	win-win.

16	I think that it keeps anybody from suing
17	anybody, to actually however it's done, to
18	advise and describe and make in legal terms the
19	fact that anybody that buys a piece of property
20	over there understands noise, understands it may
21	increase, understands the airport's already
22	there, and understands that they won't sue. I
23	don't know. That's laymen's terms.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that's definitely an
25	avigation easement.
1	MR. GORMAN: And the reason between Aircraft
2	Owners and Pilots Association, I might clarify
3	that, is because they have a large legal staff
4	that works on these issues all the time. That's
5	why, to use them as a resource. Not because
6	Mr. Burnett doesn't have any resources, but I
7	would just I just know that's a large
8	resource.
9	MR. BURNETT: We we can do that. Part
10	of part of what you're asking for, though,
11	also is is sort of a lobbying issue and a
12	public relations issue or an intergovernmental
13	issue between
14	MR. GORMAN: That's true.

- 94
- 1 interested in is -- is looking at things such as
- 2 having the developer disclose in its sales
- 3 materials to future buyers that noise impacts
- 4 that they could suffer as a part of the airport
- 5 being its neighbor, which creates something
- 6 called an estoppel argument later when that
- 7 person buys, although it wouldn't be an avigation
- 8 easement, as per -- as -- as what you're
- 9 thinking. It could create an estoppel argument
- that they are prevented from arguing because they
- bought knowing that -- and with full disclosure
- that they were going to be subject to noise.
- MR. GORMAN: I have had some previous legal

95

7 MR. GEORGE: But this motion is just to get

most permanent solution, if you can -- if you can

- 8 our legal to come back and give us the legal
- 9 direction that he recommends that we should be
- 10 taking.

get that.

- MR. WUELLNER: I'm just trying to make you
- 12 aware there are possible financial circumstances

13	up front that prevents a long-term problem.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. There's been a
15	motion, and it's been seconded. Not hearing any
16	further discussion, all in favor of the motion to
17	have counsel look into avigation easements or
18	MR. GEORGE: Right.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: whatever, marketing
20	ideas with regards to giving notice that people
21	are coming to the nuisance or by an airport, near
22	the airport.
23	MR. GEORGE: Right.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor?
25	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
1 2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.  MR. GEORGE: Aye.
	·
2	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
2	MR. GEORGE: Aye. MR. COX: Aye.
2 3 4	MR. GEORGE: Aye.  MR. COX: Aye.  MR. GORMAN: Aye.
2 3 4 5	MR. GEORGE: Aye.  MR. COX: Aye.  MR. GORMAN: Aye.  CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GEORGE: Aye.  MR. COX: Aye.  MR. GORMAN: Aye.  CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?  (No opposition.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GEORGE: Aye.  MR. COX: Aye.  MR. GORMAN: Aye.  CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion will carry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GEORGE: Aye.  MR. COX: Aye.  MR. GORMAN: Aye.  CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion will carry.  7.E APPOINTMENT OF MEDIATION REPRESENTATIVE

12	discussed over the last several meetings, you
13	need to appoint a board member to serve as a
14	mediation representative in the matter with Earth
15	Tech. And the date has been set; it's October
16	1st. It will be here at the local Rogers,
17	Towers' office here here in St. Augustine.
18	I'm not sure of the time. You might know that.
19	But it plan on a full day. Whoever whoever
20	gets hooked into this, plan on a full day.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment?
22	(No public comment.)
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment,
24	any board discussion?
25	MR. COX: What day was it again, Ed?
1	MR. GEORGE: October the 1st, a Thursday, I
2	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's
	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's MR. BURNETT: It's October the 1st. I don't
2	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's
2	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's MR. BURNETT: It's October the 1st. I don't
2 3 4	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's  MR. BURNETT: It's October the 1st. I don't  know which day of the week it is.
2 3 4 5	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's  MR. BURNETT: It's October the 1st. I don't  know which day of the week it is.  MR. GEORGE: Whatever it is. Madam
2 3 4 5 6	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's  MR. BURNETT: It's October the 1st. I don't  know which day of the week it is.  MR. GEORGE: Whatever it is. Madam  Chairman, I think that some of my thoughts.
2 3 4 5 6 7	think, isn't it? Or Wednesday? It's  MR. BURNETT: It's October the 1st. I don't know which day of the week it is.  MR. GEORGE: Whatever it is. Madam Chairman, I think that some of my thoughts.  We've already got a legal staff at this meeting,

11	Im just kidding.			
12	MR. GEORGE: That's why you're hiding.			
13	Might be overkill, so to speak. I would like to			
14	recommend that Mr. Gorman consider it. And			
15	this not I'm not throwing things your way			
16	again, but			
17	MR. WUELLNER: That's why			
18	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Gorman is very tenacious,			
19	you know, and to get his point across, he will			
20	keep going and going until he beats down the			
21	traffic, if you will. So, I would like to			
22	recommend that Mr. Gorman, you know, be our			
23	representative.			
24	And prior to this meeting, I would also like			
25	to have an executive session where we discuss our			
1	strategy for that and so he has a better feel			
2	for what the other members of the board are			
3	expecting out of this negotiation this			
4	mediation.			
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have a comment to that,			
6	also. Having attended many, many			
7	mediations and being a mediator myself, Mr.			
8	Gorman is very good at getting his point across			

9

and has done a lot of work bringing things up to

10	this board, but tenacity is not something you may
11	want at a mediation.
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It is not a place where you
14	try and prove your case. A lot of times, that's
15	destructive, rather than constructive in a
16	mediation. And I would suggest somebody who
17	and my recommendation would be Mr. Cox, somebody
18	that has the understanding of where we're looking
19	at they have discussed with the board and I
20	think the executive committee's a good idea.
21	But mediation is really a matter of people's
22	personalities, trying to get across what you
23	want, but suddenly, and get the deal you want.
24	So, it's really quite deal-making or business
25	decisions that have to be made.
1	And I've just seen so many that, when people
2	are really involved in an issue and I do a lot
3	of divorce mediations that it just doesn't
4	work that way. You have to be able to step back
5	and look at it objectively. So, that would be my
6	recommendation.

7

8

MR. GORMAN: That's good, because I'll be in

San Francisco, thank gosh. I already have the

10 thought and I appreciate your -- your confidence 11 in me. 12 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. 13 MR. GORMAN: Okay. 14 MR. BURNETT: May I offer one comment? 15 And -- and that is, the person we select, 16 typically when it's a governmental entity 17 selecting someone to attend the mediation -- and 18 I'm sure -- I believe Mr. McClure mentioned this 19 previously. The person selected is not there to 20 be the end-all be-all there. They are there 21 because they're representing the entity to 22 negotiate and get -- reach the best deal that 23 they possibly can to settle the case. 24 And they bring that back in a subsequent, 25 often a shade meeting, for the -- or -- or an 100 1 executive meeting, if you will, to discuss that 2 with the full Authority so that then the -- the 3 government entity, as the body, can make a

tickets. Sorry, Buzz. It was a -- it was a good

9

4

5

6

not.

7 MR. CIRIELLO: Are you saying that the

determination whether or not it thinks that's the

settlement agreement that it wants to enter or

	8	appointee is more of an observer than a
	9	participant?
	10	MR. BURNETT: An observer and a negotiator.
	11	MR. CIRIELLO: Rather than a participant.
	12	MR. GEORGE: No, he said negotiator and
	13	participant.
	14	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, they part
	15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. You're all
	16	participating, but
	17	MR. WUELLNER: You just don't have
	18	settlement authority. Because it's governmental,
	19	it requires the board make that.
,	20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I mean, ostensibly, you all
,	21	start out together in a room and I'm not
,	22	saying this is what Mr. White's going to do, but
,	23	that's kind of how Terry's operated. You all
,	24	start out together, put all the issues on.
,	25	Sometimes it's a little heated, sometimes it's
101		
	1	not.
	2	Then you break up. And the Airport
	3	Authority will be with their counsels in one
	4	room, engineers in another. People that may not
	5	even be a suit a party to the suit can pertain

to if there's liability there or if it's agreed

7 upon between the parties. I don't think we have 8 that. I think these are named parties in this 9 suit. 10 But you'll be in different rooms so you can 11 have private discussions with your counsel. The 12 mediator will go from room to room to try to 13 facilitate an agreement. So, you're really not 14 in the same room pointing fingers or trying to 15 present points. 16 Any other discussion? 17 MR. WUELLNER: You still need a person. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other recommendations? Pardon? 19 20 MR. WUELLNER: I said you still need a 21 person. 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know. I said any other 23 recommendations? Entertain a motion? 24 MR. BURNETT: Public comment? CHAIRMAN GREEN: No comment? Sure. Okay. 25 1 Are we opening this to public comment? Well, I 2 did. There was no comment. Yes. 3 MR. BURNETT: Okay. Apologize. 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I make a motion that we 5 ask Mr. Cox to represent us, but let's have an

6 executive session prior to the October the 1st 7 meeting. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second? 9 MR. GORMAN: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? 11 (No further discussion.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor? 12 13 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 15 MR. GEORGE: Aye. 16 MR. COX: Aye. 17 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed? 19 (No opposition.) 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox will be our 21 representative. 22 MR. WUELLNER: In scheduling that, you want 23 to try and do that before -- on the 15th, do that 24 right before the regular Authority meeting? Is 25 everyone going to be here? 103 1 MR. COX: What day is the next meeting? 2 MR. WUELLNER: Well, the next regular 3 meeting is the 15th. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's at 4:00.

- 5 MR. WUELLNER: You have a meeting before 6 that, but it's a public hearing. You could do 7 that --8 MR. GEORGE: Sounds good to me, yeah. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We -- the other thing 10 is you want to make -- we want to make sure Greg 11 is available, Mr. Lunny is available, the person 12 handling the actual litigation. 13 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Well, would -- why don't 14 we just set it for then pending, and if not, then 15 Christine will get in touch with us and tell it 16 didn't work. 17 MR. WUELLNER: Is there -- would there be a problem either before the 8th, also? I mean, if 18 19 we try to keep it on one of the two meeting dates 20 and then did it right before, would either one of 21 them work? 22 MR. GEORGE: I didn't have a meeting date 23 for the 8th. 24 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, you do. Public hearing. 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's a public hearing on

104

2 MR. GEORGE: Oh.

our budget.

3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine, too. Doesn't

4	matter.

- 5 MR. WUELLNER: So, we'll get it one of the
- 6 two --
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: -- and get it right before.
- 9 7.F. CAPITAL BUDGET DEVELOPMENT
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Next agenda item,
- capital budget development.
- MR. WUELLNER: All right. When we made the
- staff budget presentation, I don't know, 45 days
- ago, 30 days ago, one of the things that got
- 15 glossed over by everyone was a discussion -- or a
- meaningful discussion relative to capital
- projects on the airport. And since that is the
- lion's share of the capital budget, or is the
- budget for the most part, we are basically back
- 20 here looking for input and direction.
- Now, we have made adjustments and have
- provided you with two versions of the capital
- budget and a few other worksheets of the budget
- that allow you to accomplish either goal, or you
- can go yet in any other direction you want.

- 1 One is -- assumes holding the ad valorem
- 2 rate, in other words, not taking the rollback

3	rate.	There's	one	version	like that.	Then	voi

- 4 have another version in there that lets you do
- 5 the same capital program, however, takes it --
- 6 takes the ad valorem, the rollback rate, so
- 7 would -- would facilitate either goal.
- Now, the difference in the two is 100
- 9 percent in the reserve at -- at this point,
- 10 keeping the capital program the same in both
- cases, but how much is held in reserve funds.
- Now, something you also need to -- to know
- about, that any settlement you might get to with
- Earth Tech is not included in this budget. So,
- in the event you were to settle with them for any
- amount of money, that would be money that would
- be not -- would be outside of the budget and
- would just simply go into reserve until
- appropriated by this board.
- So, that's not -- that's an item perhaps if
- you're successful in that mediation, or
- litigation at a future date, could go to bolster
- reserves in -- in support of taking a rollback
- rate, if that's the desire of the board later on.
- But just -- just trying to get that clarified and

on the table to you. The -- the difference right

- 2 now, as you -- these two updated versions were
- 3 given, is in the actual amount held in reserves.
- 4 Now, we did -- we made some suggestions
- 5 relative to the capital program that are the same
- 6 in both versions. And I'll go over those in just
- 7 a second.
- 8 The big one -- the big change is also in the
- 9 area of debt service, where we made adjustments
- in this budget to accommodate a debt service, if
- 11 you will, a borrow, to expedite land acquisition
- or any other -- anything else you wanted to use
- it for, for that matter. But it's -- it was kind
- of earmarked in this as -- as land acquisition
- money.
- Now, another piece of the puzzle, if you
- 17 need -- you know, if you need another thing to
- think about, is that you have an additional
- 19 \$475,000 that was projects that -- from Florida
- 20 DOT that can be matched -- can be matched 50/50,
- could in some cases be matched up to 80/20,
- although that may not be the biggest bang for the
- buck, that can be appropriated to capital
- projects in this current year.
- Now, this is money that came from expired

- 1 JPAs, and they were able to hold the money for us
- and are waiting for us to tell them where to put
- 3 this money. They -- they've agreed not to just
- 4 roll it into their general fund and it all
- 5 disappears and goes to somebody else's airport.
- 6 But they're holding it and allow -- going to
- 7 allow us to tell them where we want this \$475,000
- 8 of state portion allocated to our capital
- 9 projects. So, we've dealt with that in this,
- too, and I'll talk in a minute -- keep that \$475-
- in your head, and I'll explain where it went or
- where we're suggesting you might want to make
- those adjustments.
- Now, the expenditure budget reflects -- or
- let me walk through the sheets of it -- of one of
- them here. Let's go with the -- taking the
- 17 rolled-back rate version. And it will be that 99
- percent of these changes are identical for both
- versions, okay? So, I won't go through both of
- them in this same detail.
- Walking through the summary sheet, it's just
- there to tell you what the ad valorem rate would
- be, which is the rolled-back rate, which would be
- 24 .2144 mills, if you took the ad valorem, the
- 25 rolled-back rate at ad valorem time.

1	Now, looking over here, following through to
2	the the pie chart for just the quick and
3	quick and dirty summary, loan proceeds, you could
4	see is a category that's added over the
5	preliminary budget, represents about a \$5 million
6	income item, which is loan proceeds. All right?
7	You can see that ad valorem still remains at the
8	\$2,688,000 number, but the percentage is
9	different, obviously, because you have a whole
10	new piece of revenue in the mix.
11	Running through the revenue details here,
12	you'll see that there is a specific line item
13	called "Loan Proceeds" wherein \$5 million shows
14	up. Okay?
15	Moving back to expenditure summary, you see
16	that prior, you had debt service and reserves
17	that amounted to \$1.6 or it was actually a
18	\$1.4 million prior to that. The difference now
19	is that your reserve number is slightly smaller,
20	but you've added a debt service component to
21	that.
22	The debt service on a \$5 million borrow,
23	constructed over a 10-year period, at what we
24	believe to be an interest rate that will be very
25	close to what would come in, based on soliciting

- 1 some input from some -- some lending
- 2 institutions, would indicate you've got a monthly
- 3 payment, if you will, for that money over 10
- 4 years, if you were -- at \$51,000 a month, which
- 5 doesn't take you too hard to find out that's
- 6 about \$300,000 a year.
- 7 You've got a detail here. I can read it off
- 8 to you. You've got \$420,000 in principal and
- 9 \$183,000 in -- in interest for the first
- period -- first year.
- 11 All right. That's the -- that's the extent
- of the changes in the expenditure budget. It's
- iust simply to deal with debt reserve and a
- reduction of reserves to make it all work back to
- that -- that number.
- Now, you notice that reserve number drops
- down to right at a million dollars from its
- current of \$1.4 million. So, just keep that --
- MR. GEORGE: I'm not with you.
- MR. WUELLNER: All right. It's page 2.
- Well, it's labeled page 2. It's not really going
- 22 to follow.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Page 2 of the
- 24 expenditure budget. Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And there's a line

- there says, "Cash Reserves." And it's shown at
- 2 \$1,042,975.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: That's if you take the
- 5 rollback rate. That's the number that will
- 6 change when you go to the other versions.
- 7 Because the difference between the rollback rate
- 8 and the nonrollback rate is in the \$260-,
- 9 \$280,000 variable. So, you'll see that number go
- up if you don't take the rollback rate. You'll
- have a reserve of \$1.2 something instead of \$1-.
- 12 All right. Now, walk through the capital
- budget line -- or sheet, because this is where
- kind of the rubber hits the road with the total
- dollars. This is the lion's share of your
- budget, and it -- it attempts to show you where
- these things are going.
- 18 The -- the magenta-colored items, which is a
- change in colors from the previous version,
- 20 indicates projects that we're trying to
- 21 accomplish or suggesting that you try to
- accomplish with both either/or the additional --
- 23 the \$475,000 match that you need to -- to kind of
- 24 divvy up here. Plus, we're -- we're trying to

- 111
- 1 which would be primarily F -- FAA money. So,
- 2 it's 90 -- it would be paid for 95 percent by
- 3 others.
- 4 This is a project that's been submitted
- 5 years ago and is -- and is in this year's budget
- 6 with Florida DOT, but as yet has not been
- 7 identified as funded by FAA. But keep in mind
- 8 FAA's funding decisions for its fiscal year have
- 9 yet to be made. And likely, this is where you'd
- use the Congressman's assistance in leaning on
- 11 FAA to fund our project over perhaps something
- else within southern region. That's the
- advantage of having the congressman we do in this
- 14 area.
- MR. GEORGE: We could tie the loan of 5 --
- 16 \$5 million to the FAA involvement of the, you
- 17 know, apron project.
- MR. WUELLNER: In -- in this respect, you
- 19 could. You could -- if you own -- if you own
- 20 the -- you need to own the property in order to
- 21 do this project as you're envisioning it --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. I got you.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- in Araquay Park.

- MR. GEORGE: All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: Now, the -- the upside to

- 1 this apron is it provides also the paving link,
- 2 if you will, to connecting Araquay Park to future
- 3 T-hangar development --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: -- which short of just
- 6 building a standard taxi lane or taxiway, you use
- 7 the apron as the link to future T-hangar
- 8 development. It's a -- it would be a very good
- 9 way of doing that, in fact.
- The blue projects and the like are the same
- projects that were in last time. The next
- magenta project is a -- we've identified a
- potential project. And it's something to be
- discussed at future date, all we're trying to do
- is kind of a placeholder here, is looking at the
- potential of self-fuel jet.
- 17 Another project is the relocation of the
- 18 TVOR. We believe we could use some of the DOT
- money that's been allocated or is in this
- \$475,000, match 50/50 with the Authority, cutting
- 21 the Authority's investment in the balance of the
- project, basically splitting it with FDOT.

23	So, both of us would have just, if you
24	approve what's going on today, slightly more than
25	a hundred thousand dollars each in that. And we
113	
1	would make the numbers I think we've we've
2	shown \$250,000 here. That's round numbers where
3	it would all fall out. But it would be 50/50
4	funded instead of a hundred percent funded by the
5	Authority. And we we can get there if you
6	want to allocate the money to DOT from DOT
7	that way.
8	The other and the lion's share of all
9	this is Araquay Park. This would put the \$5
10	million proceeds essentially in the bank for the
11	purchase of property in Araquay Park. You would
12	use \$250,000 of the \$475,000, put it in there.
13	It would help offset debt service for that year
14	or part of the borrow, but still leave you
15	roughly a \$5 million nut or I should say "nest
16	egg" to which to acquire property in Araquay
17	Park.
18	MR. GEORGE: But the \$5 million could be
19	done in draws as we need it, so we could get
20	around to to the end
21	MR. WUELLNER: You could actually

22 MR. GEORGE: -- of the fiscal year and still 23 have --24 MR. WUELLNER: True. MR. GEORGE: -- a lot of money we haven't 25 1 even drawn on, so we wouldn't have the debt 2 service. 3 MR. WUELLNER: True. You could either do it 4 that -- I mean, there are multiple ways of 5 approaching the same animal here. And one is you 6 could also simply not do it all in one year. 7 You can take the schedule that DOT's been 8 able to match with funding over the next four or 9 five years and -- and take that kind of a 10 response to it, but you're then four or five 11 years out from being able to do -- be responsive 12 to T-hangars and the apron project and -- and 13 things of that nature. 14 The reality is, you're going to -- while 15 we've structured the debt service for budget 16 purposes as a straight line, 10-year loan --17 MR. GEORGE: Right. 18 MR. WUELLNER: -- at -- at X interest and --19 and this is what it works out to, the reality is 20 that's not how it's going to play out. You would

	21	structure your request, when you went out to
	22	solicit banks to for purposes of borrowing the
	23	money and we'll go over that process if you
	24	want to. But you would you would structure it
	25	such that there's no penalty for making these
115		
	1	kind of balloon payments, if you will, as DOT
	2	their budget year supports whatever they've got
	3	in there.
	4	So, you know, in one year, you might make a
	5	lump sum in addition to your monthly payments of
	6	another half million dollars against debt
	7	service. You might do that in another a
	8	million dollars the following year against the
	9	the nut. So, the reality is, you you'd still
	10	make, for the most part, \$50,000-a-month
	11	payments, but instead of it being a 10-year nut,
	12	you'd pay it off in five. And you'd determine
	13	MR. GEORGE: What is your best guess, Ed,
	14	on on the amount of FDOT money that we do have
	15	allocated over the next three or four years, what
	16	is your best guess as far as when we can pay this
	17	off?
	18	MR. WUELLNER: If you if you allocate
	19	\$250,000 here

- MR. GEORGE: Uh-huh.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- your total program funds
- over the next five years, I think the number is,
- is you would have a total purchasing power of
- 24 \$4.5 million. So, half of that. So, \$2.25
- 25 million --

- 1 MR. GEORGE: \$2.25?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: -- is what's allocated by
- 3 FDOT over the next five years.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Over the next five years.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: So, if we took --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: And that's not to say we
- 8 can't, in -- in year five or six continually work
- 9 with DOT to -- to augment that funding level.
- But that's currently what's identified and
- programmed and in their adopted work program.
- MR. GEORGE: I understand. But if we
- took -- there's other funds out there, other than
- just property acquisition, correct?
- MR. WUELLNER: Other FDOT funds?
- MR. GEORGE: Yes. Over the next five years.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. But my -- my line of

17	uniking is that if we can get out of this deet
20	service in five years
21	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
22	MR. GEORGE: and if the if the
23	facility for Grumman comes back to us in 2007 and
24	we can start renting, and if you take a look at
25	\$300,000 that we get every year for renting
117	
1	T-hangars alone, not including corporate hangars,
2	then we should be able to get off the tax rolls
3	in seven or eight years.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. And you've got
5	you've got a number just by putting the apron
6	in alone, you've opened the door to the ability
7	to develop more revenue-producing projects
8	that that center the activity on that apron.
9	You know, we haven't even begun. That's
10	part of what the master plan is going to try and
11	get its its hands around, is what what
12	types of commercial development and hangar
13	development and corporate hangar development,
14	and and just what are those needs and how
15	quickly can we get them in there.
16	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
17	MR. WUELLNER: We've made projections on

thinking is that if we can get out of this debt

18	need just based on history here, the time we've
19	been here from the staff side, and have begun
20	programming things like hangars and and the
21	like, are are showing up in FDOT's budget.
22	So, you've got I know we have at least four,
23	12 12-unit T-hangars programmed over the next
24	two to three years with FDOT.
25	MR. GEORGE: Right.
1	MR. WUELLNER: So, those those are in
2	addition to what you
3	MR. GEORGE: So, that's in addition to
4	the to the two and a half million.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. Plus, you've got
6	other infrastructure money programmed. You've
7	still got the possibility of FAA money in there.
8	There's a great chance that you're going to end
9	up being able to do more with both FAA and FDOT
10	over the next few years, too, and get some
11	newer new projects in there, too.
12	MR. GEORGE: Do you remember off the top of
13	your head what the other last 48 T-hangars cost
14	us?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd do that to me.
16	MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry.

17 MR. WUELLNER: I want to tell you that they 18 were right at a million dollars. They were --19 MR. GEORGE: A million? 20 MR. WUELLNER: For the -- for the 36 units. MR. GEORGE: Okay. I would have thought 21 22 they'd have been much more than that. 23 MR. WUELLNER: I want to say --24 MR. GEORGE: I guess what I -- my line of 25 thinking is, is --1 MR. WUELLNER: -- about \$300- --2 MR. GEORGE: -- if we're getting \$2.6, you 3 know, every year from ad valorem taxes, and we've 4 got --5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's got to be a little 6 more than that. 7 MR. GEORGE: Right. We've got -- in five 8 years, we've got FDOT that's paying us another 9 two and a half million to put in -- you know, 10 into this project. 11 MR. WUELLNER: I think absent the 12 infrastructure, you were looking at about \$3---13 between \$350- and \$375- per 12 units. 14 MR. GEORGE: Per building? Okay. MR. WUELLNER: On-site. And that was absent 15

16	some of the infrastructure stuff. I don't have
17	that. I could do that for you, but
18	MR. GEORGE: My concern was I didn't want to
19	take all of the ad valorem tax to be, you know,
20	locked into crunching this \$51,000-a-month
21	nugget.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's the other thing
23	we need to I need to make perfectly clear. A
24	borrow of this size is not what you would
25	consider a project where you'd go bond the work.
120	
1	It's not enough money to normally go out and
2	issue debt service kind of bonds on.
3	As a result, your what you would be
4	pledging relative to the loan repayment is
5	your is revenue of the airport; that is, lease
6	payments that are being made by others on the
7	property.
8	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
9	MR. WUELLNER: So, you're using true
10	revenues, not ad valorem proceeds to pledge
11	against.
12	And there's an important distinction there,
13	not only in full faith and credit of the Airport
14	Authority, but also implications as to whether

15	I mean, there are certain types of borrows
16	related to bonding and pledging your full faith
17	and credit, and your ad valorem ability requires
18	referendum. It requires the voters of the county
19	to endorse this.
20	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, but you don't see that
21	with this.
22	MR. WUELLNER: But if you do it entirely
23	within your revenue structure, you you have
24	that latitude as as the body.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I want to get back to Mr.
1	Wuellner's presentation. And I need to open it
2	up for public comment. We're kind of getting out
3	of hand here with board discussion.
4	MR. GEORGE: Sorry.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, let Mr. Wuellner
6	finish.
7	MR. WUELLNER: But you get bottom line
8	here is if you look at the total capital budget,
9	it jumped dramatically. It's now up to about a
10	\$14 million capital improvement program over the
11	
	previous.
12	previous.  So, there's a lot I mean, it's fairly

1	4	is squarely centered around acquisition of
1	5	property and and then doing something with
1	6	what we acquired. And and I assume that would
1	7	be land acquisition would be focused in
1	8	Araquay Park. And literally, as a governmental
1	9	entity, I mean, you can you can make those
2	0.0	ovations and attempt to do as much of this
2	1	voluntarily as possible.
2	22	At some point, you're going to need to make
2	23	a decision as to what development schedule you
2	4	want to proceed on. Is it you know, is it
2	2.5	something you want to have apron in place by
122		
_	1	Super Bowl, as an example, I mean, just leading
2	2	out there in 18 months?
3	3	Is it something you don't really care about,
2	4	the Super the Super Bowl schedule and being in
4	5	place by then and you want to take a course
(	6	that's maybe two or three years out, to where a
7	7	lot of that comes into play in pursuing grant
8	8	funds with particularly with FAA. They
Ģ	9	don't they want you to be ready to build the
1	0	project when you get money from them, and DOT is
1	1	much more flexible.
1	2	We can we can move through the

13	voluntaries, you know, relatively quick. We'll
14	know whether they're interested or not. Then you
15	make the decisions relative to whether you pursue
16	eminent domain. And I don't know how many of you
17	were on the board when we had that briefing
18	maybe two of you. But, you know, there are two
19	different and distinct methodologies of doing
20	that. One allows you to have the property in as
21	little as 30 days from the time of making that,
22	but you don't know how much it's going to cost
23	till it all settles out.
24	The other is, you go through a more
25	traditional eminent domain procedure, which takes
123	
1	more time, but you have control of many of the
2	variables all the way up to the point of
3	finishing your your hearing.
4	So and we can talk about those methods
5	later, but I'm just trying to say you've got to
6	be thinking about how you want to pursue these
7	things.
8	Back out assuming the date were Super
9	Bowl, as an example, for a ramp, whether that's
10	the date you want to do it or not, but you back
11	out, you know, six to nine months, and you need

- 124
- 1 understands. This is discussion on the capital
- budget development. We're not discussing how
- 3 property is going to be purchased or for what the
- 4 capital money's going to be used. So, I just
- 5 want to keep us focused. We've got the budget
- 6 hearings to go through, a lot of that.
- 7 Mr. Martinelli?
- 8 MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. A couple of
- 9 questions. This is monumental work, let me tell
- 10 you. And I haven't seen it, but just knowing

	11	what's involved bening all of it, there's an
	12	awful lot there.
	13	Some questions. This is just the capital
	14	budget, the assumption being that the operating
	15	budget is kind of a self-sustaining kind of a
	16	thing, and that the impact on ad valorem of
	17	the of the operating budget is relatively
	18	small, if any; is that correct?
	19	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
	20	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. So and the reason
	21	I'm saying that is that I have heard comments
	22	that a way to meet this rollback rate is to
	23	reduce the operating budget. And I want to
	24	dispel that that notion, because I don't think
	25	it's valid. And and I think Ed has just borne
125		
	1	that out. I don't know whether you, as the
	2	treasurer, would bear that out as well. So,
	3	that's put to bed.
	4	Second item is that old adage, "You pays me
	5	now or you pays me later" kind of thing. Is it
	6	wise to do the rollback rate when in fact it's
	7	going to cost the taxpayers ultimately who have
	8	to foot the bill for the debt service more money

in the long run?

10	As a taxpayer in the county, and knowing
11	that if you don't go with the rollback rate, I
12	I see really no change in my assessment, my tax
13	assessment, I would prefer that I don't pay a
14	bank or some lending institution \$50,000 a month
15	for debt service.
16	I'd rather see that money stay here. I'd
17	rather see the projects go forward and have the
18	development of the revenue ultimate
19	revenue-producing projects, which as the
20	treasurer has said in maybe eight years gets you
21	off the tax rolls if that is an objective.
22	So, I guess what I'm saying is, I'm not in
23	favor of a rollback rate. And number two, I
24	wholeheartedly support the budget program as it's
25	put forth.
126	
1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Any further
2	public comment?
3	(No further public comment.)
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board
5	discussion? I just want to make sure this was
6	just to present information. There's no action
7	needed on this, correct, Mr. Wuellner?
8	MR. WUELLNER: There's no action, but I do

9	need I need some input on this, because you're
10	expecting and I'm expecting to give you some sort
11	of budget that you're going to consider formally
12	at the first public hearing here in three two
13	or three weeks.
14	This is, as I said earlier, is the lion's
15	share of that. You know, if this is not the
16	direction collectively you want to go, you want
17	to go back toward what the original Staff budget
18	was or somewhere in between, then we need to get
19	the numbers to work so that you have an adoptable
20	budget.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
22	MR. WUELLNER: So, I I yeah, I need
23	some input, even even if it's still play with
24	it a little more, but tell me what tell me
25	what you want want me to do here.

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Ciriello?
2 MR. CIRIELLO: Madam Chair, I think you've
3 got me muzzled, because I've got a lot to say on
4 two issues on this thing. And you're saying
5 we're not to discuss or bring up points on these
6 issues. So, I don't know what it is I'm allowed
7 to say or for what I'm wanting to say, but...

8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think the direction was
9	this was a discussion of the capital budget and
10	what direction we want to give Staff to have us
11	discuss at our budget meeting, which way we're
12	going to go.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I I could tell what
14	I you know, say what I want direction to
15	Staff. It's up to you guys.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I think you can speak.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: You know, but still, I've got
18	some things to say. And and I'm really upset
19	with this thing. And if I can't just say what it
20	is that's upsetting me and I just say, oh, I'll
21	accept this or I don't accept it, without any
22	explanation, doesn't make sense.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I think he's looking
24	for direction. So if you want to tell Mr.
25	Wuellner what direction

128

off, and then if you -- jump on me if you want.

I've got a big speech I've been going over for a

week on this, but something that -- I wasn't

expecting, I'll get out of the way real quick.

MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Well, let me start

6 This self-fuel jet facility, I have on here

7 a question. Who came up with this? When did the 8 board discuss this in --9 MR. WUELLNER: We haven't. 10 MR. CIRIELLO: -- detail and give Staff 11 approval for it? 12 MR. WUELLNER: You haven't. All we're doing 13 is using it as a placeholder item. 14 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, let me -- let me put it 15 this way to you, Mr. Wuellner. I don't think 16 that this board's job is to be competitive with 17 anybody on this airport to make things cheaper 18 for the users. Now, if we had no self -- not no self -- no 19 20 jet fuel to be sold on this airport with jets 21 coming in here, I could understand it. But we 22 have a provider, and if people coming in here 23 don't like their prices, it's too high, that's 24 tough. It's not up to us to say, "Well, we're 25 going to make a way so you can get it cheaper." 1 That's not our job. And I -- I'm definitely 2 upset from seeing this --3 MR. WUELLNER: And that would be an 4 entirely --

129

5

MR. CIRIELLO: -- \$200,000 unit in here. I

	6	don't	think it	should	be there.	We never	ever
--	---	-------	----------	--------	-----------	----------	------

- 7 discussed it. Now out of the clear blue sky,
- 8 it's there.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MR. CIRIELLO: And how am I going to
- 11 convince anybody here that we ought to take that
- out of there? It's -- like I say, it's not this
- board's job to get in competition with any of our
- tenants whenever the provisions are there.
- Like I say, if there was no jet fuel on the
- field, I could understand it. But there is. And
- if people don't like the prices, then they have
- to go somewhere else. I've done that with my
- 19 little airplane, and -- but that -- that --
- that's one thing.
- Now, this Araquay Park apron project. Now
- before I get into this and you start throwing
- your brickbats -- I know you will -- let me tell
- you that I am not anti-airport. I've loved
- aviation all my life. I've owned three

- 1 airplanes. I know what it's all about.
- But to me, for this Authority, for anybody,
- 3 if they're the FBO or whatever, for the staff,
- 4 for the pilots out there who are so conceited and

5	selfish to say we're going to disrupt all of
6	these people's lives in Araquay Park; we're going
7	to buy their homes if they want to sell it; if
8	they don't, we're going to take it off of you
9	because we want to put in a hardstand for the
10	Super Bowl.
11	I never heard that word mentioned about
12	putting that hardstand in specifically for the
13	Super Bowl two years down the road until I saw
14	the lady on TV interviewing Mr. Ed. And that
15	upset me highly, because this board never even
16	talked about that.
17	And now you want to spend \$1,500,000 because
18	you might have a couple hundred dozen jets coming
19	in here for two or three days at the most to see
20	a football game. And that's oh, I know how I
21	was going to say that.
22	The very first thing, when it comes to
23	objects and stuff and love of, aviation is number
24	one with me. And the second is the sport of
25	football. So, I'm not against football. I'm not

- 1 against the airport. But I'm damn sure against
- 2 disrupting all of these people's lives, buying
- 3 their homes and threatening them to take it with

4	eminent domain just so we pilots can have a
5	selfish ego to satisfy.
6	And I know a lot of guys out there is going
7	to get mad at me, and that's just tough. I'm a
8	pilot or was a pilot. I've owned airplanes.
9	I know where you're coming from. But it's
10	selfish.
11	Now, these two attorneys will probably set
12	me right if I'm wrong, but where is there a law
13	anywhere in this country that says when an
14	airport, say such as ours, is surrounded by
15	everybody, is under a mandate that when it gets
16	to capacity and cannot go anywhere and it has to
17	grow, that you're obligated to make it grow by
18	taking whatever?
19	In my opinion, if an airport gets to a
20	stagnate point not stagnate, but a point to
21	where it's at capacity and can go no further,
22	that's just tough. You live with it. You do the
23	best you can. You don't go and force people to

24

25

needs.

1 I think that the eminent domain laws, I hate

do things against their will to satisfy your own

2 them. I think they're wrong. And I think that to

3	whenever	there's	а	law	like	that	that v	VOII	can
J	WHEHEVEL	uncics	а	ıaw	HIVE	mai,	mat '	you	Can

- 4 force somebody who owns something which is theirs
- 5 and you can take it off of them, is wrong. And I
- 6 would like to see this board get some backbone.
- 7 I'm not pointing any fingers at anybody on
- 8 this board yet. As a matter of fact, I asked
- 9 Mr. Ed if he could find out when the very first
- property was bought in Araquay Park, the very --
- 11 you know, way back.
- 12 And I'd like to know who was on the board at
- the time, not to accuse them of anything. I'd
- just like to talk to them to find out why. And I
- can imagine the answer is going to be vision. We
- envisioned down the road to some day this airport
- was going to have to grow and getting this
- property was the way to go.
- Well, I don't look at it that way. I think
- disrupting peoples' lives is wrong, and somebody
- should have had the backbone back then to say no,
- we're not going to do it. So, I -- that's some
- of the things I'd like to know.
- But I'm really disturbed with this
- \$1,500,000 to put in a apron because you're

1 anticipating a lot of jets for the stupid Super

- Bowl. The Steelers aren't even going to be in
- 3 it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr --
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: That's a joke, but...
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Ciriello, I just want
- 7 to make sure what we're trying -- we're trying to
- 8 find out -- now, what I'm surmising is that you
- 9 do not -- you would rather do the rollback rate
- and cut some of these --
- MR. CIRIELLO: Yes, that's it. I want to
- see that Araquay Park apron taken out of here and
- I want to see the self-fuel facility taken out of
- here.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I think that's the
- direction Mr. Wuellner's looking for from each of
- us, and I think he's made that --
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, looking for collective
- 19 direction.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, absolutely, you want
- direction collectively.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't want to develop five
- separate budgets and y'all pick.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. But they want to

- speak right now, so I think that we've gotten the
- 2 point that's what you would like.
- 3 MR. CIRIELLO: I damn near demand it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman, do you have any
- 5 direction?
- 6 MR. GORMAN: I think Mr. Wuellner's had some
- 7 direction from the board before. I don't think
- 8 the rest of the board agrees with Joe in the fact
- 9 that I've already voted in the Araquay Park
- 10 project.
- I think that there's a very -- a very vocal,
- but somewhat of a minority of people that are
- actually -- the -- I know that out of the five
- homes, just for discussion, there's only two that
- are really having any dispute at all, correct?
- MR. WUELLNER: Most likely.
- MR. GORMAN: Right. Most likely is probably
- the right answer. So, but just -- and I'll move
- on from there. So, what besides the two homes do
- you envision as being any problems with this
- budget where you'll need the direction?
- MR. WUELLNER: The two, when I had responded
- 23 to your question earlier on how many, is in the
- original five that the Authority was -- was
- looking at two or three years ago. Now, your --

- you've broadened the scope of the area you're trying to cover now.
- 3 So, I mean, there may be additional, you
- 4 know, families or parties that, you know, have --
- 5 obviously have a problem with it and, you know,
- 6 are not going to willingly -- willingly sell and
- 7 move on, and frankly, don't have any way of
- 8 knowing because we've not tested that water with
- 9 some of those -- some of those people, other than
- their observations that we've been -- you know,
- relative to how much we've acquired in the
- 12 neighborhood.
- So, no way to totally tell what that number
- of people are going to be, you know. Obviously,
- they're going to be upset with us.
- MR. GORMAN: To keep with the budget
- discussion, does that require some direction from
- us as far as budgetwise --
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think it's more --
- MR. GORMAN: -- trying to get this
- accomplished, this budget?
- MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say one of the
- 23 things -- I think that's one of the reasons that
- 24 we were -- we were giving you a number that --
- 25 that obviously reflects twice what the tax

25

1	value tax rate value is of the property, is
2	that frankly, these matters get settled with
3	money, and in or out of eminent domain, but
4	that's how how they ultimately settle out.
5	And that's why we picked the number that
6	essentially started with the doubling of of
7	that.
8	And you can further impact the the bang
9	for the buck in the scenario also by beginning
10	with some some target areas, which I think you
11	guys gave me generic direction last week relative
12	to east of Casa Cola being, you know, perhaps a
13	more primary focus, and you and you go through
14	those. Once those are wrapped up, you look at
15	what remaining assets are there and and look
16	to the balance of the neighborhood and the last
17	block, the 100 block, and and see what's
18	available there.
19	MR. GORMAN: We aren't trying to acquire
20	anything east anything west of Casa Cola.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the yeah, we would.
22	West would be whatever's left. You know, in
23	order to facilitate the first few rounds of

T-hangars, apron, and open the area for

generalized development, you need to get at least

- 1 to Casa Cola, Casa Cola outward, which is also,
- 2 coincidently, where the least amount of property
- 3 is owned by the Authority, which is that 100
- 4 block, if you will, of Indian Bend, Araquay, and
- 5 Estrella, is -- is where most of the money is
- 6 going to -- is going to go long term.
- 7 But it's also the least critical. You have
- 8 some time to react to that, that last -- the
- 9 westernmost block of the neighborhood. You do
- what you can with what you're -- what you're
- structuring here. And it may accomplish it all.
- 12 I have no way of knowing, but...
- MR. GORMAN: Because the truth is we own
- most of that now, that whole area.
- MR. WUELLNER: We own -- the majority of our
- interests are -- I mean, we own some in the
- hundred block, yes. But the majority of the
- property, big pieces, cumulative pieces, is -- is
- east of Casa Cola. So -- and that's the logical
- place to start, anyway.
- MR. GORMAN: So, what do you need to help
- with your budget as far as direction? I keep
- 23 going there.
- MR. WUELLNER: Actually, all -- if \$5

- 1 here, and -- and I think that's a reasonable
- start. I say "start," in that it would probably
- 3 take you 90-plus percent into this, including the
- 4 hundred block.
- 5 That's my best guess, but it -- but it may
- 6 not be all that's necessary. But it's also, if
- 7 we focused east, make sure that's all wrapped up,
- 8 east of Casa Cola. That way, that -- that opens
- 9 the development for you.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, you've been here, you
- say, approximately seven years.
- MR. WUELLNER: Seven and a half.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Has there been any years that
- 14 you didn't, in your budget, have something full
- like this with capital improvements?
- MR. WUELLNER: Any year? No.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Okay. I've told
- people many a times, publicly, privately, that
- this airport will never be self-sustaining, get
- off the tax rolls, unless we eliminate a lot of
- 21 capital projects and such. It's prove -- it's a
- 22 fact.
- And every year we come up with all of these

- 1 I've heard that for 15 years, and we haven't even
- done it yet. And I hear now next eight years.
- 3 And I hear Mr. Martinelli saying, "I don't
- 4 mind paying taxes if we're going to get off the
- 5 tax rolls," but you come in with two, three,
- 6 four, five million-dollar projects on capital
- 7 projects, you're never going to get off the tax
- 8 rolls. You've got to get rid of some of this
- 9 stuff, something that's not really necessary.
- This self-fuel jet, that's not necessary.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, I just
- want to keep this direction and --
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, okay. I'll sit here
- and shut up. I won't --
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I want Mr. Cox --
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- say anything more.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- Mr. Cox to have his
- input and Mr. George to have his input so that we
- 19 give some direction. The board has actually, as
- 20 Mr. Gorman rightly said, already given direction
- 21 to Staff, and this is just a confirmation or make
- sure Staff has where we're going.

- 23 So, Mr. Cox, do you have any comment? 24 MR. COX: My direction to Staff would be to 25 go with the -- take the \$5 million number as a 140 1 target and start with that. I agree with 2 Mr. Martinelli's synopsis of the situation with 3 the rollback rate at this point. So... 4 MR. WUELLNER: Your preference would be to 5 hold the millage rate steady. 6 MR. COX: At this point --7 MR. WUELLNER: Not take the ad --8 MR. COX: -- yeah, right. 9 MR. WUELLNER: -- the rollback rate at this 10 point. 11 MR. COX: Right. 12 MR. CIRIELLO: Does that mean that later on 13 than the 8th and the 15th, we can't go to the 14 rollback rate? 15 MR. WUELLNER: You can do whatever you want
  - than the 8th and the 15th, we can't go to the
    rollback rate?

    MR. WUELLNER: You can do whatever you
    on the 8th and 15th.

    MR. CIRIELLO: I mean, but -- but what
    they're saying now is to stay with the present
    rate.

    MR. WUELLNER: Correct.

    MR. CIRIELLO: Does that mean we can't in

23 MR. WUELLNER: You can go down, yes, you 24 can. You can take the rollback rate. 25 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, let me ask you one 1 question. 2 MR. WUELLNER: In fact, you can do that all 3 the way to the last meeting. 4 MR. CIRIELLO: If we stay with the current 5 rate and don't go with the rollback rate, is it 6 or is it not a law, a rule or something that you 7 have to have two additional public hearings over 8 and above the two we have? 9 MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. 10 MR. CIRIELLO: No? 11 MR. WUELLNER: The two public hearings 12 you're having suffice for that purpose. 13 MR. CIRIELLO: If we don't -- if we don't go 14 to the rollback rate. 15 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's -- it's simply 16 noticed as a tax rate -- proposed tax rate 17 increase. Because not taking the rollback rate 18 is the same as a tax increase for purposes of --19 of advertising. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George, do you have --

22

141

the next two meetings go to the rollback?

4	MR. GEORGE: Yes. I disagree with
2	Mr. Ciriello that that we can get off the
2	tax that we can't get off the tax rolls. But
2	I think as any businessman says, you've got to
2	invest a dime to make a nickel, and if we don't
142	
	1 invest in other assets that will bring in the
	revenue, then we'll be on the tax rolls for the
	3 next 20, 25 years.
	A rough assessment of mine is if you look at
	the financial overview for July on a cash basis,
	it says we're \$446,000 a year short of paying our
	own way in day-to-day.
	8 In the year 2007, the Grumman facility comes
	off of their present lease, and I think that we
1	0 have the option there to they have the option
1	1 to to maintain it, to keep it, but at what is
1	2 a reasonable rate.
1	And if you consider that at around, you
1	4 know, \$500,000 and I'm not saying that that's
1	5 reasonable if you consider another \$300,000
1	6 just from T-hangars, it could be we have a
1	7 waiting list of people ready, willing, and able
1	8 to spend that money.
1	9 And you throw another \$200,000 in for

20	corporate and commercial, you know, hangars,
21	you're looking at a million dollars more of cash
22	annual revenue, you know, to offset the
23	day-to-day operations of this facility and give
24	us something for future capital projects. And I
25	think that that we need that.

1 I will say, Mr. Ciriello, I agree with you 2 on the new airport south of 206. That's a great 3 idea. As far as the Araquay project goes, I think 4 5 that we need to be very aware of what we're 6 disrupting people, you know, from their lives and 7 their homesteads and this, that, and the other. 8 And we need to -- to -- to definitely take that 9 into consideration, even in consideration of 10 going to Casa Cola and stopping. 11 The -- we don't know what our master plan is 12 going to say as far as the needs are, but Casa 13 Cola gives us the -- the option of putting in I'm 14 sure the same number of T-hangars we've already 15 put in, plus a lot more corporate and everything. 16 So, I would be in favor of continuing 17 forward with the \$5 million as you have presented 18 it, with the idea that we go east of Casa Cola

19	first, and then we see what the temperature of
20	the water is to the west of Casa Cola.
21	And if we have two individuals or three
22	individuals that don't want to be moved right
23	now, fine; then go ahead and buy the rest of the
24	property, and sometime over the next five to
25	seven years, if we need to do an an extension,
144	
1	then we can look at them and not disrupt them
2	from their homes right now.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I agree, too. I would like
4	the staff to take our recommendations previously
5	given with regards to keeping those budget items,
6	and they're purely budget items for right now,
7	which we may or may not allocate at some point in
8	time; not have the debt service for the community
9	of \$51,000. Take it as we we see fit.
10	MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the the purpose of
12	this is to have the funds available in the least
13	obtrusive and expensive way for the county, for
14	the people.
15	And I think the way to keep the rate that we
16	had recommended with those line items is the way
17	for us to have manipulation. I don't want to say

18	"manipulation" as the use of
19	MR. COX: No.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: but wiggle room to keep
21	our budget down and to do the projects we need
22	with the least amount of outlay. So, that would
23	be my recommendation for our future budget
24	discussions coming up. Do you have enough?
25	MR. WUELLNER: So, if I understand what I'm
145	
1	being told, is you're looking at holding the ad
2	valorem rate, keeping the \$5 million in there,
3	and you'll make your consider budget
4	discussions based around that as the starting
5	point. I just want to make sure I'm getting you
6	the right information beginning to start out
7	the discussions on the 8th.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct. That's as a
9	beginning point.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then we'll have
12	additional, obviously, and have their public
13	input
14	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: and further panel
16	discussion.

17 MR. GEORGE: And in the event we don't spend 18 all the \$5 million, we won't borrow it. 19 MR. WUELLNER: Well, obviously, yeah. 20 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the wiggle room I 22 was talking about. Control. 23 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 24 MR. WUELLNER: There's a direct expenditure 25 item related to the loan proceeds when you look 146 1 at it. 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because we are going long again and we have several items left, we need to 4 5 take a five-minute break for our staff. So, 6 we'll start back at 6:22. It's now 6:18. 7 (Whereupon, a recess was had.) 8 7.G. - TAXIWAY "B" BID AWARD AND GRANT ACTION 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Our next item is 10 Taxiway "B" bid. Bid, b-i-d, sorry. 11 MR. WUELLNER: Which explains why 12 everybody's looking at me. 13 MR. COX: You're up, Ed. You're on stage. 14 MR. WUELLNER: Stage fright. This is -- you 15 have the letter. We got -- got out in the agenda

16	package the information from our engineers
17	relative to the results of the bidding for
18	Taxiway Bravo.
19	The apparent low bidder was Cragg's
20	Construction. And there were a few, what I would
21	characterize as relatively minor discrepancies
22	within the bid as it was returned to us. They're
23	items that are terribly not unusual to have
24	occur, little math errors, some documentation
25	errors, things like that.
147	
1	It's entirely within your purview as to
2	whether you accept that those those
3	anomalies, if you will, or don't, most of which,
4	if I'm not mistaken, have been followed up with
5	the engineering firm and the contractor, and the
6	additional documentation's been put in place.
7	Now, the difference here is how much money
8	is ultimately at stake in doing the work. Total
9	low bid or low bid was 2.116 let me let me
10	read it. \$2,116,231.14. This would be offset by
11	90 percent funding by Florida or by the
12	Federal Aviation Administration, and another 5
13	percent offset by Florida DOT.
14	It is a project that is current is

15	currently budgeted, and would be a carry-forward
16	project within the next year's budget, too. It's
17	also identified in your proposed budget for next
18	year. And the reality is, most of the
19	expenditures would be a next-year item anyway.
20	You're not going to get into construction till
21	late September, and you're not going to get
22	billed till October. So, it's a an October
23	bid item in terms of an October fiscal-year
24	item.
25	Brian, do you do you need to address any
148	
1	of the specific irregularities on the in the
1 2	of the specific irregularities on the in the bid?
2	bid?
2	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only
2 3 4	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved
2 3 4 5	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved prior to actually signing the contract.
2 3 4 5 6	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved prior to actually signing the contract.  MR. BURNETT: If I could address that
2 3 4 5 6 7	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved prior to actually signing the contract.  MR. BURNETT: If I could address that licensing issue very briefly. Part of Staff's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved prior to actually signing the contract.  MR. BURNETT: If I could address that licensing issue very briefly. Part of Staff's the staff report on your cover sheet says that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved prior to actually signing the contract.  MR. BURNETT: If I could address that licensing issue very briefly. Part of Staff's the staff report on your cover sheet says that the approval is contingent or the contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bid?  MR. THOMPSON: Not directly. There was only one possible licensing issue that can be resolved prior to actually signing the contract.  MR. BURNETT: If I could address that licensing issue very briefly. Part of Staff's the staff report on your cover sheet says that the approval is contingent or the contract would not be signed if they do not resolve the

14	Earth Tech, it deals with them having changed
15	their corporate name. So, it shouldn't be
16	something that's too difficult for them to
17	overcome. The application, from Earth Tech's
18	information, appears is is pending.
19	So but that issue is a significant one.
20	If it's not corrected at the time of executing
21	the contract, what Mr. Wuellner has requested is
22	that you not execute the contract if that's not
23	corrected. Am I am I correct?
24	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. That is correct.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public discussion?
149	
149	(No public discussion.)
	(No public discussion.)  CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment,
1	
1 2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment,
1 2 3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.
1 2 3 4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.  MR. BURNETT: If if I could add one more
1 2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.  MR. BURNETT: If if I could add one more thing, and I apologize. The only thing that I
1 2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.  MR. BURNETT: If if I could add one more thing, and I apologize. The only thing that I didn't see in the staff report is if that if
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.  MR. BURNETT: If if I could add one more thing, and I apologize. The only thing that I didn't see in the staff report is if that if that licensing issue is not resolved, you may
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.  MR. BURNETT: If if I could add one more thing, and I apologize. The only thing that I didn't see in the staff report is if that if that licensing issue is not resolved, you may want to contemplate authorizing Mr. Wuellner to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no public comment, board discussion.  MR. BURNETT: If if I could add one more thing, and I apologize. The only thing that I didn't see in the staff report is if that if that licensing issue is not resolved, you may want to contemplate authorizing Mr. Wuellner to enter a contract with the second low-place

13	at the end.
14	MR. GORMAN: Go ahead, Joe.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. When I first went over
16	this stuff, the first thing I did, you know, when
17	I after I read the letter and everything, the
18	first thing that came to my mind is that Cragg
19	initially didn't report doing any aircraft any
20	airport work, and then later on, they did. And
21	they haven't been in business that long.
22	And the first thing that I got the gut
23	feeling that we could step into another
24	contracting company that we ended up with that
25	terminal deal and get in some trouble. So,
150	
150	personally I'm not too happy with this low
	personally I'm not too happy with this low bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have,
1	
1 2	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have,
1 2 3	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have, and some some of the reasons.
1 2 3 4	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have, and some some of the reasons.  But I would think that if they were a
1 2 3 4 5	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have, and some some of the reasons.  But I would think that if they were a company used to doing things, that since they
1 2 3 4 5	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have, and some some of the reasons.  But I would think that if they were a company used to doing things, that since they were bidding on airport work, they wouldn't have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have, and some some of the reasons.  But I would think that if they were a company used to doing things, that since they were bidding on airport work, they wouldn't have failed to mention they've done that on other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bidder. And that's just a gut feeling I have, and some some of the reasons.  But I would think that if they were a company used to doing things, that since they were bidding on airport work, they wouldn't have failed to mention they've done that on other airports. So, I think that was a big admission

12	original company name, Asphalt Paving, that they
13	were a frequent bidder on projects when I managed
14	Hernando County Airport. They that was a very
15	close-in I mean, the company was relatively
16	close to Hernando County and Brooksville at the
17	time.
18	MR. COX: Frequent bidder on airport
19	projects?
20	MR. WUELLNER: Airport projects.
21	MR. COX: And they did airport projects.
22	MR. WUELLNER: They you know, I I
23	can't I don't recall them doing a project for
24	us, but they were always in the bid mix. They
25	always responded to these projects.

151

But we were in a kind of a unique situation in that the -- one of the primary contractors of 2 this type was located about a mile from the 3 4 airport, including their asphalt plant and the 5 like and were just simply not going to be outbid, being that close. 6 So, they were more routinely the low-bid 7 8 award. But these people were in the mix all the 9 time. And that goes back, you know, as long as 10 15 years ago. So, the company's not a startup in

11	itself, other than the name issue.
12	Again, I don't know anything about the work
13	itself, but I do know the company was a frequent
14	bidder on any paving kind of work that was going
15	on.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
17	MR. GORMAN: I've read the explanation of
18	why we only have two bids, but to be honest with
19	you, do you feel
20	MR. WUELLNER: You have you have four.
21	MR. GORMAN: Well, all all together. In
22	other words, we have wouldn't that be I'm
23	sorry, four bids. Is that do you feel good
24	about that number of bids? Do you feel that they
25	are and the other question is the project
152	
1	exceeds the funding?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Slightly, it does.
3	MR. GORMAN: So, how "slightly" means
4	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the application would
5	reflect what the project cost is, and it would be
6	ultimately up to FAA to determine whether they'll
7	participate in the rest of it. I expect they

9

will.

MR. GORMAN: But you're talking about just

11	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah
12	MR. GORMAN: difference.
13	MR. WUELLNER: you're looking about a
14	total of what, 200 I think \$200,000 in total
15	project.
16	MR. GEORGE: But we could be out the
17	\$200,000, is what he's saying.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it that would
19	we'd we'd be back to you if that were the
20	case. That we're not going to run out
21	tomorrow and sign contracts. We don't even
22	have we've got to go through FAA and FDOT for
23	concurrency in your desire to award a contract.
24	You've got to go through the actual grant
25	execution with FAA, which would then you'd
1	know at that point whether you got the extra
2	money or didn't. So, there wouldn't be any
3	surprises before a contract were signed.
4	You'd you'd know all of those details. Or
5	they'd all be available; let's put it that way.
6	MR. GORMAN: I suppose that my last concern

would be, in our haste to get this, of course the

federal funds, I want to -- of course -- and

10

153

7

8

an incremental --

9	you're certainly on the line again for this. You
10	certainly want to be very confident in the
11	bonding
12	MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.
13	MR. GORMAN: and very confident in the
14	contractor.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
16	MR. GORMAN: And that's, of course, our
17	MR. WUELLNER: That's why we're that's
18	why we are with this project, is the previous two
19	contractors from the previous bidding of this
20	project could not sustain the bonds and were
21	not were subsequently contracts went
22	unexecuted, and as a result, we're here over a
23	year later, but we're here.
24	MR. GORMAN: And the contentious issue, of
25	course, I always bring up, but I have to, of the
154	
1	fact that the Taxiway Bravo is supposed to really
2	encompass Taxiway Charlie, but we have no
3	environmental permits and all that.
4	And does this amount of funding is going
5	to when does this actually, down the road
6	we've got this budgeted. Do we have it forecast?

When do we actually complete this thing down the

- 8 whole length of 13/31? Because right now, I 9 don't understand that that's in the plan. 10 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I don't have a straight 11 answer for you on it because it has a huge level 12 of variable at the front end of the project, and 13 that's the environmental work that goes with it. 14 We've submitted a preliminary request for a 15 determination from FAA, called an environmental 16 assessment. That may be the end of it and get a 17 determination that's favorable to move into 18 environmental permitting. If we don't get a 19 favorable reply from FAA, it may result in an 20 additional study item being required, called an 21 environment -- EIS. 22 MR. GORMAN: So, this actually -- this whole 23 funding issue, this whole project, actually, is 24 encompassing three-quarters of the taxiway. 25 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's all the
- 1 infield portion. Takes it to Taxiway Delta.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: To D1 --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: What we call D1. That's in --
- 5 I know the public doesn't know what we're talking
- 6 about, but just --

7 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. 8 MR. GORMAN: Right. But just doesn't 9 encompass the end of where the --10 MR. WUELLNER: It basically --11 MR. GORMAN: -- southernmost portion. 12 MR. WUELLNER: -- takes you to the self-fuel 13 facility --14 MR. GORMAN: Right. 15 MR. WUELLNER: -- or just -- just the other 16 side of it. 17 MR. GORMAN: Right. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Your -- your answer to the 19 number of bidders, it's -- when you consider the 20 amount of construction, road kind of construction 21 work, which airport -- this type of work is very 22 similar to that's going on in Northeast Florida, 23 many of these contractors are up to their elbows

156

24

25

- 1 five. I think the last time we bid it, there
- were 10 or 11 bidders. The numbers were not

trying to meet 295, 9A, widening of 95.

I mean, I'm not surprised there are only

- 3 terribly dissimilar. In fact, the numbers
- 4 represent pretty close to what -- what we would
- 5 have expected a year ago when there were 11

6	contractors waiting for something to do.
7	So, four is not terribly unusual. I'd be
8	concerned if there were one or two.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
10	MR. COX: I'd like to make a couple of
11	comments, Ed. I also am not very I'm
12	nonplussed with the Cragg's Construction Company
13	debrief here that we got about it. And have you
14	talked with the JIA folks over there about the
15	runway or the I guess probably an overrun or
16	something that they did at JIA? Do we know
17	anything? Was JIA happy with their experience
18	with
19	MR. THOMPSON: I did not talk to JIA
20	specifically. I talked to another project
21	representative on that. JIA came that
22	reference came immediately before that letter
23	came in.
24	MR. COX: For the Jacksonville Eighteen
25	Construction?

- 1 MR. THOMPSON: I did not talk with them
- 2 about that.
- 3 MR. COX: Yeah. Okay.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Jacksonville Eighteen, as

- 5 with all of those bidders, have been routinely
- 6 bidding on --
- 7 MR. COX: Have you worked with them before?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: No.
- 9 MR. COX: No? Haven't worked with either
- one of them before.
- MR. WUELLNER: They were toward the middle
- of the pack, to my recollection, on the last
- Taxiway B. Eight, was it?
- MR. THOMPSON: They were number eight in the
- last one, eight out of the eleven.
- MR. COX: Well --
- MR. WUELLNER: I -- you know, y'all have to
- make whatever decision, but the -- we wouldn't
- 19 have recommended if we didn't think -- if we plug
- all the holes, it's not -- it would be fine. The
- company itself I think's got a history or a track
- record of good projects throughout the state.
- MR. COX: Who?
- MR. WUELLNER: Cragg's.
- MR. COX: Cragg's. Okay.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Under the former name.
- 2 MR. COX: Yeah.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: You know, with their bonding

4	capability	and	the	like.	thev	shouldn't	have	any

- 5 issues relative to obtaining the bonds and
- 6 ultimately protecting the Authority contractually
- 7 if we get that far. It's an FAA job, short burn.
- 8 You know, this is relatively simple construction
- 9 work compared with the terminal --
- MR. COX: Okay. So, you're -- you're
- comfortable with the fact that they've never done
- any airport work, because that's what it's saying
- here. And I'll -- and I'll trust your judgment
- on that. But if you're comfortable with it, then
- that's fine, and we can plug all the other holes
- that we see.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Frankly, any good road
- 18 contractor can do taxiway jobs.
- MR. COX: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: There are some -- some
- learning items on the job, and they're -- they
- do -- primarily to do with specifications. And
- that's where we rely on the engineer to make sure
- they're reminded at those preconstruction
- 25 meetings and project meetings of the differences

- in the requirements. And they're strictly
- 2 related to like compaction. You can get away

- 3 with three or four percent less compaction on
- 4 road jobs, and FAA specifications require it to
- 5 be 100 percent.
- 6 And all of that's validated by testing. So,
- 7 it's not just somebody saying it meet -- it met
- 8 it. It's -- if you've done independently and all
- 9 those results are required to -- frankly, FAA
- reviews all of those test results as you go
- through, and if they're not happy, we're not
- happy, is what it comes down to. They've got
- most of the money in it, and frankly, they hold
- most of the playing cards on FAA jobs.
- MR. COX: So, you're looking for action from
- us today on these issue -- these two --
- MR. WUELLNER: Two things, yeah.
- 18 MR. COX: Okay. I'll -- I'll stay with
- 19 Staff's recommendation as the number one, for the
- 20 Cragg's Construction, based on what Ed just said.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
- MR. GEORGE: Who was the second bidder?
- MR. THOMPSON: Jacksonville Eighteen.
- MR. WUELLNER: Was it Jacksonville Eighteen?
- MR. GEORGE: Who?

1 MR. THOMPSON: Jacksonville Eighteen out of

- 2 St. Augustine.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the one he said was
- 4 eight out of eleven on one of our --
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Okay. And the difference of
- 6 the bid?
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thirteen point seven
- 8 percent, something like that?
- 9 MR. THOMPSON: \$26- --
- 10 MR. COX: -- -7,000.
- MR. WUELLNER: That would -- that would
- increase the FAA requirement another \$200,000.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: I -- I know that sometimes in
- the construction industry, it's a -- you know, a
- way to get rid of problems is to dissolve one
- 16 company and then start another one, you know, be
- it a -- however. Is this the case of the name
- change of Cragg? Is it -- is there any tie,
- legal tie to the old company?
- MR. WUELLNER: I'm going to have to defer
- 21 that to --
- MR. THOMPSON: The legal transfer documents
- are included in the packet that you were
- forwarded, the name change, the hows and whys.
- MR. GEORGE: I need your --

- 1 MR. THOMPSON: It was their --
- 2 MR. GEORGE: -- assessment of it, though.
- 3 MR. THOMPSON: -- previous name. The
- 4 direction they gave to me, or what they had told
- 5 to me, is that they changed their name from
- 6 Asphalt Paving -- or Asphalt Pavers, Inc. back to
- 7 Cragg's Construction because they stopped doing
- 8 asphalt work themselves.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, it is a simple --
- MR. THOMPSON: They did not do paving and
- 11 asphalt --
- MR. GEORGE: -- name change as opposed to
- purchasing the assets of Asphalt to start
- something brand new.
- MR. THOMPSON: That's what they told me.
- MR. COX: It may be something for our
- 17 legal --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. COX: -- representation.
- 20 MR. GEORGE: Usually --
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a piece of paper
- from the Department of State that says
- 23 articles -- articles of amendment to the articles
- of incorporation, Asphalt, which changed its name
- to Cragg's Construction.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. Then it is just a
- 2 simple name change.
- 3 MR. COX: Right.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: What I was going to suggest, if
- 5 you really were concerned about it, is any new
- 6 business that gets started, any new owner is
- 7 typically plagued with the idea that on any
- 8 contract, they want him to sign personally. And
- 9 I don't know if this is done in these big
- 10 contracts like this, but -- and I don't know
- if -- if the owner of Cragg's Construction would
- sign personally.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, Cragg's -- Cragg's also
- represents that they've done airport work. I
- mean, they're not --
- MR. GEORGE: Well, yes. If it's a simple
- 17 name change, they --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: -- they seem to me they could
- legally do that. So, I can go along with Staff's
- recommendation on it, since you're the guy we're
- 22 going to hold responsible for it not being
- another terminal.
- MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say, you know,
- we -- if we don't get comfortable real quick with

21

22

23

24

Florida.

1 the documentation, then this part can be a 2 no-brainer. If we had this up front on the 3 terminal, we wouldn't have had the problem. 4 MR. GEORGE: Right. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did you ask them up front 6 if they've had any complaints -- well, when 7 you're negotiating the contract --8 MR. THOMPSON: There's no complaints 9 listed --10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No complaints? 11 MR. THOMPSON: -- and we did ask in the 12 forms. And there's nothing recorded. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. No suits filed, nothing? 14 MR. GEORGE: No litigation? 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No complaints against the license? 17 18 MR. THOMPSON: No complaints have been 19 listed against the license with the State of

25 reputation would you say for their work compared

MR. CIRIELLO: Well, the second company, the

second bidder that's here for recommendation, it

is local, right? I thought the paperwork said it

was from St. Augustine. And how strong of a

24

back into the economy.

to Cragg? 2 MR. THOMPSON: With Cragg, I did get some 3 good recommendations from a previous engineer 4 that had worked for them, and I got a 5 recommendation from two other paving contractors 6 that did say that they would do a good job. 7 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what about the one 8 that's local? 9 MR. THOMPSON: Jacksonville Eighteen has 10 been -- has been bidding the local jobs here for 11 a number of years. And that's what they do. 12 They are a roadway and site work contractor, just 13 like Cragg's. MR. CIRIELLO: But you haven't heard 14 15 anything, whether they're a good outfitter or --16 MR. THOMPSON: They're not doing a lot of 17 the huge, huge stuff on I-95, but they are, as 18 far as we know, a competent contractor. We have 19 not had objections to them. 20 MR. CIRIELLO: All right. 21 MR. GEORGE: Well, Joe brings up a good 22 point, that we're St. Johns County. Would be 23 nice to have something local. I mean, to put

- 1 low bidder.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Or do they need to be --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: No.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: -- the low bidder?
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: However, they need to be the
- 6 low bidder.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I understand.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: You've got to have --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: I understand where you're
- 10 going.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- real good reasons to --
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'll entertain a
- 13 motion.
- MR. COX: I'll forward -- or proffer a
- motion that we accept Staff's recommendation to
- take Cragg's Construction for the Taxiway B
- 17 project.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
- MR. GEORGE: Pending receipt of all the --
- MR. COX: Yeah, well --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I said recommendation --
- MR. COX: -- with all the background that
- we're looking for.

- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I second that.

  CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? I
- 166
- didn't know if you wanted to give a further
- 2 instruction if that fell down the wayside.
- 3 MR. COX: Why I think -- self-explanatory.
- 4 But barring any --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say it's
- 6 pretty unlikely we'll know we've got a problem
- 7 until right before the next Authority meeting,
- 8 and we'll just agenda if it's -- I mean, if that
- 9 keeps everybody comfortable. If we've got a
- problem, I'd rather you know it, too.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further comment?
- 12 (No further comment.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All those in favor of the
- motion for Staff's recommendation?
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- 17 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 18 MR. COX: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All those opposed?
- MR. CIRIELLO: No.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The motion carries
- 22 Staff recommendation.

23	7.H HOME DEMOLITION
24	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have is
25	recommendation by Staff to do some home
1	demolition.
2	It's primarily in the Araquay Park
3	neighborhood, homes that the Airport Authority
4	heretofore in some cases have been leasing. Some
5	of it hasn't been leased for quite a while
6	because of the state of repairs in them.
7	We've been collecting, basically putting a
8	list together of these homes since early this
9	spring actually, late winter and are now at
10	a point where we'd like to take advantage of
11	having a number of them and getting the best
12	possible price to do the demolition.
13	We're looking at about \$50- to \$60,000 in
14	total in total cost to demolish. You've got,
15	was it eight ten ten homes in total,
16	several of which are, when you look at the cost
17	of repairs, are extensive in terms of the cost to
18	do it.
19	And I would point out the reasoning behind
20	that is that they are, in both cases, just
21	heavily infested with mold and mildew throughout

22	the structure and all of that, and you're
23	you're literally looking at removing the entirety
24	of the interiors of these homes and treating
25	where possible and removing where possible.
168	
1	And with what you're the direction you
2	seem to be going with Araquay Park, I'm not sure
3	that these make any sense to make investments
4	back into these homes to even if they could be
5	rerented for just a few months afterwards, it
6	just it just doesn't seem to make much sense.
7	So, we've got these ten out here for your
8	consideration, and we'd like to be able to go
9	ahead and move ahead. We probably won't move
10	demolishment. We'll start the permitting and the
11	like that has to be done, and probably wouldn't
12	do anything but impact next year's budget, which
13	it would be easily accommodated at this point.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment?
15	MR. PACETTI: Do you have photos on the
16	houses?
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry, sir. We need
18	your name and address.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We we have in file
20	inspection reports for those that some include

21 photographs. 22 MR. PACETTI: Tom Pacetti, 78 Magnolia 23 Drive. Just wondered if you had photos. And did 24 you have somebody go in and --25 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. 169 1 MR. PACETTI: -- check the houses? 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we had home inspections 3 done prior to our recommendations. 4 MR. PACETTI: Just seems like that would be 5 a waste, if you had to demolish them where you 6 couldn't rent them out and put money back to the 7 Authority. That's all. Sorry. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Thank you, 9 Mr. Pacetti. Any further public comment? 10 (No further public comment.) 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board 12 discussion. Mr. George? 13 MR. GEORGE: If I look at the July numbers, 14 it says we had \$217,000 in income from rental 15 properties, and a grand total of \$4,900 in 16 profit, gross profit, which does not include 17 payroll of our staff to go over there and 18 maintain it. I am rapidly approaching 19 Mr. Gorman's idea of let's get out of the rental

2	business. And I have a question of of legal.
2	People that are presently renting from us,
2	2 can we allow them to stay there on an as-is, they
2	are responsible for everything, no insurance, and
2	4 we get out of paying property taxes on it, on
2	5 the on the property that's left? I don't want
170	
2	to displace anybody from a home.
2	MR. BURNETT: I think based on the rentals
3	that you're charging, that may not be something
2	that's feasible, aside from a from a legal
	standpoint, from a practical standpoint.
(	MR. GEORGE: It looks like, you know, if we
,	spend \$50,000 to demolish these houses, we just
8	went in the hole \$45,000 just for one year.
Ģ	MR. WUELLNER: No. These are not currently
1	0 in the rental income, because they've been out of
1	service. So, they're not you're not getting
1	2 revenue off them even now.
1	3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: They're not occupied.
1	4 MR. WUELLNER: They're unoccupied.
1	5 MR. GORMAN: Is there a liability to their
1	6 unoccupation?
1	7 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
1	8 MR. GORMAN: I would think there was.

19	MR. BURNETT: And and I don't know the
20	the condition of them, but if the condition is
2	such that I mean
22	MR. WUELLNER: They're untenable right now.
23	MR. BURNETT: Based on yeah. And if
24	they're untenable, then you'd have to bring them
2:	5 up to some sort of standard before you rented
171	
1	them. And that's the other cost that's in here.
2	You certainly couldn't rent the properties
3	if they have code violations or other code issues
4	that you wouldn't want to because of the
5	liability that would be involved.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And with demolition,
7	we can go back to the Property Appraiser's Office
8	and have them removed from the ad valorem roll
9	for purposes of the only reason they're taxed
10	is because they're homes and they're being
1	rerented. As soon as you take the structures off
12	of them, we can free them back up and remove
13	they become back to the public purpose of the
14	property instead of the home.
1:	5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
10	MR. GORMAN: Is it possible I see you've
1′	got a good and a fair and a fair here. So, in

18	other words, when we look at a good home, is it
19	possible that that I don't know, and of course
20	I don't like to slow things up, but we're trying
21	to get as much back to the public as you possibly
22	can. Is it possible that home could be put up
23	for bid for relocation?
24	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, absolutely.
25	MR. GORMAN: In other words, you can auction
172	
1	this home off.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And we're
3	MR. GORMAN: And get the money.
4	MR. WUELLNER: not that far with
5	MR. GORMAN: Right.
6	MR. WUELLNER: with what y'all can do.
7	These are these are homes that we think are
8	beyond economic repair. You know, they make
9	sense to to get rid of.
10	You're going to have many other homes in
11	that neighborhood that you're going to have to
12	make decisions on, whether they go to auction,
13	whether they're demolished, whether you'll
14	have to make those decisions later.
15	MR. GORMAN: In other words, you feel you
16	might be able to auction the structure on the

-	17	good home.
-	18	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
-	19	MR. GORMAN: The one good.
2	20	MR. WUELLNER: It may very well be
2	21	MR. GORMAN: Put it up for auction.
2	22	MR. WUELLNER: They'll
2	23	MR. GORMAN: Someone else will have to
2	24	MR. WUELLNER: have to incur the cost of
4	25	moving it. I mean, you may only get a \$10 bid,
173		
	1	but it's their problem to move it within so many
	2	days. I mean, those are the kind of
	3	MR. GORMAN: Not sure there'd be a net
	4	savings to the
	5	MR. WUELLNER: Right. There are a few
	6	mobiles back there. Some of those may be able to
	7	be moved off the property. You've got a lot of
	8	scenarios that are that are back there. This
	9	just simply deals with ten of them we think need
-	10	to just let's get them out of get them out of
-	11	inventory and and out of the risk side of the
	12	equation.
-	13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain a motion?
-	14	MR. COX: I'd like to proffer a motion
-	15	again.

16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
17	MR. COX: Okay. That we follow Staff's
18	recommendation and authorize them to facilitate
19	the demolition. And I'm going to add "or
20	auction" of the identified properties.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?
22	MR. GEORGE: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
24	MR. GEORGE: Yes. Where is the \$50,000
25	coming from, what budget item? Does it come out
174	
1	of capital or does it come out of your operating
2	expenses?
3	MR. WUELLNER: It will come out of
4	operating. It's it's not a capital
5	expenditure in and of itself.
6	MR. GEORGE: So, how is it classified? I'd
7	like to know where the rest of the
8	MR. WUELLNER: If we didn't if we didn't
9	have feel like we needed to get these out of
10	here I mean, the more I almost said the
11	more better course. The the better course
12	would be to wrap it into the construction costs
13	of whatever you're replacing it with ultimately.
14	If you didn't have the the hazard side of

and we take great concern over hazards and safety

14	hazards with children wandering around there. I
15	would not want to see this airport in liability.
16	MR. GEORGE: Absolutely.
17	MR. WUELLNER: And they're constantly broken
18	into. We're constantly back securing the homes,
19	and it's it is, it's a ridiculous level of
20	involvement for an empty house.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion on the
22	floor.
23	MR. WUELLNER: They cost you more than the
24	occupied ones, frankly.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
176	
1	(No further discussion.)
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All of those in favor?
3	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
5	MR. COX: Aye.
6	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All those opposed?
8	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Carries four to one. The
10	next agenda item is the TAC appointment.
11	7.I MASTER PLAN TAC APPOINTMENTS
12	MR. WUELLNER: This is a list of suggestions

13	of of entities to participate in the as
14	on the Technical Advisory Committee related to
15	the master plan. They include someone from the
16	Airport Authority that is one of the one of
17	you, the elected
18	MR. COX: I decline.
19	MR. WUELLNER: That was quicker than even I
20	expected.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: One appointment a night's
22	too much.
23	MR. WUELLNER: The an Airport Authority
24	staff member, somebody from the air traffic
25	control tower, someone from Aero Sport, Chamber
1	
1	
1	of Commerce, Council on Aging, Florida Aviation
1 2	of Commerce, Council on Aging, Florida Aviation  Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast
2	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast
2 3	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast Aero, Pilots Association. Someone from the
2 3 4	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast  Aero, Pilots Association. Someone from the  County Planning Department, and a yet to be named
2 3 4 5	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast  Aero, Pilots Association. Someone from the  County Planning Department, and a yet to be named  private-sector appointment. And then have two
2 3 4 5 6	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast  Aero, Pilots Association. Someone from the  County Planning Department, and a yet to be named  private-sector appointment. And then have two  ex-officio members, and they would be the two
2 3 4 5 6 7	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast  Aero, Pilots Association. Someone from the  County Planning Department, and a yet to be named  private-sector appointment. And then have two  ex-officio members, and they would be the two  other entities paying for the study, which would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Career Training, Northrop Grumman, Southeast Aero, Pilots Association. Someone from the County Planning Department, and a yet to be named private-sector appointment. And then have two ex-officio members, and they would be the two other entities paying for the study, which would be FDOT and FAA. That's our recommendation

12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, for us, do you need our
13	recommendation from our board?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Well, if this these are
15	the entities that you're you're comfortable
16	with having on the TAC, then we'll fill in the
17	names as we can we can identify them. We'll
18	contact we have not contacted these entities
19	to find out who would who would be the
20	representative, if they will do it.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public discussion?
22	(No public discussion.)
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board
24	discussion? Any further people who might want
25	to
1	MR. GEORGE: What's the purpose of the
2	Council on Aging?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Council on Aging is that they
4	historically have been a very interested partner
5	in developing the multimodal facility
6	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
7	MR. WUELLNER: and were going to be
8	originally were going to be one of the
9	first-phase users of that facility and have
10	always have continued to express interest in an

- 11 airport location to -- to operate Sunshine Bus 12 and -- in their efforts. So, it seemed like a 13 nice marriage. 14 She's Cathy Brown. Hopefully, she'll do 15 the -- do the committee assignment in herself. 16 And if you know Cathy, you know the level of 17 involvement you get. 18 MR. GEORGE: That's right. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Ciriello? 20 MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. 21 MR. GEORGE: I'm not through. 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I'm sorry. 23 MR. GEORGE: Excuse me. What about the 24 Tourist Development Committee? I mean, they 25 might have an input for what they're seeing down
  - 1 the road as tourist. And also there is a St.

- 2 Johns County Economic Development team that's got
- 3 a -- some pretty heavy ideas about industrial
- 4 parks and -- and getting people, you know, out
- 5 toward the World Golf Village and everything.
- 6 I would like to extend a personal invitation
- 7 to Bruce Maguire. If he's going to be our
- 8 representative, he ought to be there.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. That's up -- that's up

10	to you guys. I'm not sure that he'd want to be,
11	because you also need to take the master plan to
12	the Board of County Commissioners at some point
13	because you need to modify your Land Development
14	Regulations.
15	MR. GEORGE: What better way to grease it?
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think you might conflict
17	out on that one. Mr. Ciriello, you had some
18	comments?
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. I was looking at this
20	list. And I'm wondering, why couldn't the St.
21	Augustine City Commission have a member on there?
22	You know, they're they're concerned with this
23	Ponce and everything coming right next to us, and
24	they may have some input about growth.
25	And then down on the private sector, I just
180	
1	wondered if maybe whoever gets appointed could
2	maybe be somebody from Jim Sutton's Vision
3	committee. You know, they put a lot of work into
4	the vision of the county for the future. And
5	maybe one of their of Vision committee members
6	would be a good person for the private sector.
7	MR. GEORGE: Aren't they the Economic

Development Committee, also? Isn't that Sutton's

9 operation? 10 MR. CIRIELLO: I don't know, Mr. George. 11 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, I think it's being 12 fostered by the Chamber, the study is. 13 MR. BURNETT: There is a second Economic 14 Development Council that's underneath the Chamber 15 of Commerce. 16 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Bryan, which one is the 17 one we flew airplanes and flew people around the 18 county for? 19 MR. COOPER: That was the one under the 20 Chamber. 21 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Right. 22 MR. WUELLNER: Is that the one you're 23 talking about? 24 MR. GEORGE: That's the one I was talking about. 25 181 1 MR. WUELLNER: So, you'd have two --2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, the Chamber has it. 3 MR. WUELLNER: The Chamber's already on 4 there. 5 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. --

MR. WUELLNER: Do you want the other one or

6

8 you want to leave --9 MR. GEORGE: I just think that somebody 10 specifically oriented with -- like Jim Sutton, 11 you know, with the Economic Development, you 12 know, as opposed to, you know, someone from the 13 Chamber of Commerce that might be more concerned 14 with having a monthly social, you know, that 15 might be better for the vision down the road. 16 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. So, which entity are 17 we -- do you want two Chamber positions, one --18 MR. GEORGE: No. 19 MR. WUELLNER: So, you want the other 20 committee, the other Economic Development 21 Council. 22 MR. GEORGE: I want the Economic Development 23 Council that is under the Chamber of Commerce. But I don't -- in other words, it has to be from 24 25 that committee. It doesn't -- not from the 182 1 Chamber. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: He's making it specific 3 that the Chamber member be a member of the 4 Economic Development Council. 5 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Mr. Gorman?

MR. GORMAN: I assume, having spoken to

7 someone that's been on that Vision committee, 8 that it's not the same as the Economic 9 Development, and why couldn't we include both? 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, no. I don't think 11 that's the question. 12 MR. GORMAN: I'm just confused. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There -- there may be a 14 separate Vision committee. 15 MR. GORMAN: Right. 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But there are definitely 17 two St. Johns County Economic Developments. 18 MR. GORMAN: I see. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Rather than having two 20 people in the Economic Development area, I think 21 Mr. George wants that person to be from the 22 Chamber. 23 MR. GEORGE: Right. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? 25 MR. WUELLNER: And Tourist Development 1 board, are we talking the TDC group? 2 MR. GEORGE: Hastings? 3 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

MR. WUELLNER: That group? Okay.

183

6 MR. GEORGE: That's who I was thinking 7 about. 8 MR. WUELLNER: And City Planning, I mean, 9 typically this is better conduct -- to be 10 perfectly honest with you, you'd get better input 11 from the staff. Is City Planning -- somebody 12 from the City Planning Office all right with you? 13 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, just as long as the 14 City's involved because of the Ponce. 15 MR. WUELLNER: Since they're more involved 16 with the details of the planning effort and are 17 going to be more --18 MR. CIRIELLO: That's fine. 19 MR. WUELLNER: -- productive at the 20 meetings. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? Is 22 that enough recommendation then? 23 MR. WUELLNER: So, we've taken it up to 16 24 members, as I see it -- as I see it, plus the two 25 ex-officios. 184 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct. All right. Next 2 item is the FDOT. 3 7.J. - FDOT JPA RESOLUTIONS

MR. WUELLNER: All right. I ordinarily

5	would have put these as single agenda items, but
6	rather than go to a third page, we'll we'll
7	walk you through these quick.
8	These are these are resolutions that
9	authorize the execution of their related grant
10	counterpart. It's required by FDOT that you
11	initiate joint participation agreements vis-a-vis
12	resolution. So, that's why it's this process.
13	It is not just to be additionally redundant, but
14	it is required this way.
15	You have four, five yeah, four projects
16	listed here, including and we can do these
17	together. You can do them all in one adoption,
18	as far as I'm concerned, or you can do them
19	individually as you prefer.
20	Resolution 2003-05 pertains to the purchase
21	of a airport rescue and firefighting vehicle.
22	It's not necessarily something you have to build
23	this year. You have a three-year window to move
24	the project forward.

25

1 my book backwards, just for -- that's why I'm

The next grant is '04, 2003-04. They're in

- 2 going that way. It's for the fire station
- 3 itself. Location is likely going to come out of

4	the master	nlan	study.	The scope	of it would
_	uic master	pian	study.	THE SCOPE	or it would

- 5 come later. We're not proposing to build it in
- 6 the next budget year, but you would have the
- 7 funds available to match it at -- at your
- 8 pleasure, moving forward.
- 9 In the worst case, you get to the end of the
- grant time and decide it's still not a project
- 11 you want to pursue, we can release the money back
- to DOT. But if you turn it down now, it's --
- it's likely gone.
- So, think of it this way: It's something
- that was programmed four or five years ago.
- 16 There was considerable discussion at earlier
- airport authorities, with the Board of County
- 18 Commissioners, as to how to facilitate
- fire/rescue on the airport. And we've had
- several incidents, in fact in the last two weeks,
- 21 that illustrate the need for something to come
- about that's more definitive in the way of
- response on airport.
- So, just be keeping in mind. And you don't
- 25 have to build it this year, but if you don't have

- 1 it, you won't have it.
- 2 2003-03 is design and reconstruction of

2	elements of	tha	annlana	romn	A goin	tha	coopo
3	elements of	. uie s	seapiane	ramp.	Agam,	uie	SCOPE

- 4 of this, we did a seaplane valuation study a
- 5 couple of years back that resulted in some
- 6 project recommendations.
- 7 The specific scope of this is yet to be
- 8 determined, but if you get out there on that
- 9 seaplane ramp and look, you'll see there's
- significant erosion underneath the concrete part
- of it. There's significant work on the ramp that
- needs to be done. It's just seen a lot of use --
- or not so much use, but elemental abuse --
- MR. GEORGE: Can we tie this into the Bridge
- of Lions?
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let's finish so we can open
- it to public discussion, and then we'll have
- 18 board.
- MR. WUELLNER: All right. And the last --
- last of the ones I have is resolution 2003-02,
- which would authorize a set of T-hangars.
- Based on this, it looks like it would
- probably build a 12-unit T-hangar at some point.
- Obviously, you need a place for this, but at
- least you'd have money ready to go for a 12-unit

1 T-hangar.

2	You've got by my count, I counted a total
3	of six 12-unit T-hangars, including this one,
4	available over the next five years that you have
5	funding programmed for. So, it's not
6	something you know, I keep saying it's not
7	something we've been ignoring. It's just we
8	lacked a place to put them. But they've been
9	programmed. Okay?
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment?
11	(No public comment.)
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No public comment? Board
13	discussion? Mr. Ciriello?
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. When I went through
15	this originally, I thought all it was, was fire
16	truck, and then now I hear Ed saying about the
17	seaplane ramp and hangars. So, I'm not concerned
18	about that part well, the hangars, if you're
19	going to destroy all of these homes.
20	But the fire truck idea, I understand the
21	safety factor and everything, but me and my dumb
22	ideas, the first thing I thought there is
23	somebody's trying to get their foot in the door
24	to convert this airport from a general aviation

airport to a commercial airport, because of my

- 1 understanding, a general aviation airport's not
- 2 required to have specialized fire equipment
- 3 specifically for airports. And -- so, as soon as
- 4 I saw that, well, there went my gut reaction
- 5 again. Nah, nah, nah, nah, somebody's going to
- 6 try to convert this airport down the road into a
- 7 commercial airport, and this is the first step in
- 8 doing it.
- 9 So, rather than be against the whole
- package, because I'm not against the ramp and I'm
- 11 not necessarily against hangars, if we have
- somewhere to put them other than tearing people's
- homes down, but I don't want to see this fire
- truck item in here if it's going to mean that
- somebody's going to use that for a foot in the
- door to make this a commercial airport. That's
- the only thing I've got to say.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman, you had asked
- 19 for something? Or Mr. Cox, I'm sorry.
- MR. GORMAN: I just had one quick one, I'm
- sorry. Bob --
- MR. COX: Go ahead.
- MR. GORMAN: I know that I had talked to
- 24 the -- is it the Ports and Waterways? And they
- had dredge funds available for that seaplane

- 1 ramp, I understand. There was some dredge funds
- 2 available. Can these two be tied in, is my only
- 3 question?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Perhaps. Perhaps.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: That's my only question.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: There's also an
- 7 environmental --
- 8 MR. GORMAN: In other words, you have to get
- 9 one or the other? I mean, I just don't know.
- MR. WUELLNER: There's also an environmental
- project out there that -- that reestablishes that
- eastern shoreline of the safety area.
- MR. GORMAN: Is that a Ports and -- is that
- 14 a Waterways?
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, they -- that's the kind
- of material that would be ideal for creating that
- 17 project.
- MR. GORMAN: I know there was a dredge fund
- 19 available for this seaplane area.
- MR. WUELLNER: Bryan, are you --
- MR. GORMAN: And I assume -- yeah, Bryan
- probably knows.
- MR. COOPER: I'm not aware of that project.
- I had talked to Mr. Davis once -- about that once
- before, and he -- he said he would look into it,

- but I -- I haven't identified any funds that they
- 2 had for dredging that particular project.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Talk to Jerry Dixon, who is on
- 4 that board. Yeah, that's where I -- that's the
- 5 information -- and I think that's accurate on my
- 6 part, although I'm not, you know, going to vouch
- 7 for it.
- 8 MR. COOPER: I'll certainly check into it.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: Okay. Thanks.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?
- 11 MR. GORMAN: I'm not sure.
- MR. COX: I'm in strong concurrence with the
- fire engine. It's not the first step towards
- making a commercial airport; it's the first step
- towards saving somebody's life, Joe. I just
- can't believe your -- your mind-set on this deal.
- Would you entertain a motion on that yet?
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: My --
- MR. COX: Are we done with discussion?
- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: My position is let's get
- our grants and funding, whether we use it or not.
- MR. GEORGE: Absolutely. Right.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let's get the money. Yes,
- 24 entertain a motion.
- MR. COX: I move that we accept Staff's

- 1 recommendation on -- on these JPA resolutions and
- 2 move forward.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
- 5 Mr. Ciriello?
- 6 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in answer to Mr. Cox, I
- 7 know he dislikes me intensely and that's too bad.
- 8 But let me ask Mr. Ed a question. On these
- 9 couple of occasions that we had these jets have a
- mishap, did Grumman offer any of their fire
- 11 trucks to the --
- MR. WUELLNER: Actually, to illustrate the
- point, Grumman's response was the primary
- response in both cases. The county showed up,
- but it was -- it was well after the fact. I
- mean, it takes them a while to get here from
- 17 their location.
- MR. CIRIELLO: In -- in support of what
- Mr. Cox is saying, if it's the county only and
- they're across the railroad tracks and there
- 21 happens to be a train going by, we're up the
- creek.
- MR. WUELLNER: Exactly right.
- MR. CIRIELLO: But I thought Grumman would

1	MR. WUELLNER: Well, they they have
2	MR. CIRIELLO: to bring their fire truck
3	out there. And I was going to say, as long as
4	they have a fire truck for the few occasions
5	we're going to need one, that safety and life of
6	limb that Mr. Cox is saying that I have no regard
7	for, I think is a moot question.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Well, keep in mind that as
9	long as the incident occurs during Grumman
10	operating hours, you have a response possibility
11	from Grumman. Beyond that, which is business
12	hours, if you're not courteous enough to crash
13	during business hours
14	MR. CIRIELLO: I'm not so hard case against
15	limb of life that and everything like that
16	MR. WUELLNER: I know you're not.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: that he makes it sound
18	makes it out to sound. But I that's my
19	feelings, that I don't think we need the fire
20	truck, but
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion on the
22	floor. No further discussion, all in favor of

the motion to accept Staff's recommendation?

24 MR. GEORGE: Aye. 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 193 1 MR. COX: Aye. 2 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 3 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Opposed? 5 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I'll go along with it. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Five ayes. Okay. The 7 added agenda item. The TVOR? 8 7.K. - TVOR 9 MR. WUELLNER: Right. A while back, we --10 we had brought you a request to the tune of about 11 \$408,000 relative to the TVOR, which the board I 12 think collectively felt was a little excessive. 13 And you took a direction to go back, authorize 14 them to get the engineering done and perhaps go 15 back and rebid this thing. 16 So, that documentation's in a sense been put 17 in place, the engineering portion, that is. We 18 have that information now. 19 We looked at what came out and found that 20 the majority of expensive items relative to

21

22

this -- and I say the "majority," but

approximately half the project value, we can

	23	accomplish in-house and largely have already
	24	accomplished in-house at very little cost, using
	25	airport staff people and a few minor specialized
194		
	1	subs, such as the directional boring individual
	2	or electricians to hook up final connections on
	3	high-voltage stuff and some things of that
	4	nature. But minor cost items, and can be
	5	absorbed in the routine budget of the Authority.
	6	In order to meet a schedule, we would need
	7	approval to Thales on the balance of the 8
	8	the you have a design/build determination to
	9	use them. So, you have the the selection of
	10	them already in place.
	11	But what you'd need to do is authorize it
	12	amounts to \$217,295. But it would be the
	13	installation of the shelter and all of the
	14	equipment and the flight check of the navaid, the
	15	non-FAA flight check, but the contractor's
	16	verification that it's on and working
	17	appropriately before you call FAA.
	18	You'd need to authorize those items from
	19	that proposal, which is the quotation sheet you
	20	kind of have in front of you here. There's an

item there -- note -- NOTEM'd -- item noted as

22	complete, and that was the engineering work.
23	The item below it where it talks about
24	Thales' site civil construction work is what the
25	Airport Authority staff has been able to do on
195	
1	on behalf of this contract and you can directly
2	eliminate from the total cost of the project.
3	So, at this point, if you want to meet a
4	December schedule, we need to get in the cue with
5	Thales ATM relative to getting the shelter,
6	counterpoise, and DME equipment ordered and the
7	interface to connect that to our VOR equipment
8	and get it ready to go for flight check in
9	December.
10	And as such, it would be Staff's
11	recommendation that the board authorize us
12	entering into the contract with Thales ATM in the
13	amount of \$217,295 to facilitate what would be
14	items 1, 4, and 5 on that list, which total
15	\$217,295.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment? Yes,
17	sir.
18	MR. WUELLNER: That number, again, would be
19	split by DOT. We'll get the grants in place
20	before we

2	21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
2	22	MR. RODERICK: John Roderick, Ponte Vedra
2	23	Beach. I think they're to be commended on the
2	24	work they've done, from my observations, working
2	25	with Staff. They've been very open and cut a
196		
	1	tremendous amount of cost. They did some very
	2	clever things that were legal. And I recommend
	3	to you that you go forward. It will enhance our
	4	airport, usability for the small people. Thank
:	5	you.
,	6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Roderick.
,	7	Any further public discussion?
	8	(No further public discussion.)
	9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing no, board
1	10	discussion? Mr. Gorman?
1	1	MR. GORMAN: I know you're looking at me
1	12	because you know I want to say something.
1	13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, you've been diligent
1	14	in this TVOR.
1	15	MR. GORMAN: I have I was originally
1	16	asked to be last on about this whole project, and
1	17	I think this you sharpened the pencil and you
1	18	have the cost. And I really like to see that.
1	9	That's hats off

20 You have the cost on this, and it's going to 21 add safety and it adds utility and it adds an 22 approach to the airport. It also adds marketing, 23 you know, in the fact that, on low -- on -- on 24 low -- anyway, it adds marketing in the fact that 25 all the publications by the FAA will now have the 197 1 large compass rose on them that attracts the 2 small plane pilots. 3 So, thanks for the good work. I would 4 encourage the rest of the board to vote for it. 5 MR. GEORGE: I'm -- I'm having a little 6 trouble with the money. If I see \$53,800 times 7 two has been complete. That says I've already 8 spent about \$106,000. 9 MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no. Not times two. 10 It's item number 2. 11 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Item number 2 -- oh, I'm 12 sorry. I see where it is. Okay. We've already 13 spent \$53-. 14 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. 15 MR. GEORGE: And you're saying we authorize 16 them to spend \$217-. 17 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 18 MR. GEORGE: So, that takes it to \$270-.

19 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 20 MR. GEORGE: And you haven't covered item 21 number 3, which is the actual construction. 22 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, it's largely done 23 already. That was what was done in -- in-house. 24 MR. GEORGE: So, you're saying that item 25 number 3 is no longer required because of 1 in-house. 2 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 3 MR. GEORGE: Now I understand. 4 MR. GORMAN: So, the total cost would be 5 \$217-. 6 MR. GEORGE: No. 7 MR. WUELLNER: Two-seventeen from this point 8 forward. You do -- you do --9 MR. GEORGE: Fifty-three. 10 MR. WUELLNER: You did expend \$53-. 11 MR. GORMAN: Right. From this point 12 forward. I understand. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain a motion? 13 14 MR. GORMAN: I would make a motion that we 15 accept this.

MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?

198

16

18	(No further discussion.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion to accept Staff's
20	recommendation to go forward, all in favor?
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
23	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
24	MR. COX: Aye.
25	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
199	
1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
2	(No opposition.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Staff recommendation
4	carries.
5	8.A MS. SUZANNE GREEN
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We are down to
7	Authority member comments. I just briefly wanted
8	to say as a result of our last special meeting, I
9	have received several phone calls from people in
10	the audience or in the public who had commended
11	Staff on that leasing guidelines for corporate
12	and T-hangars or hangar leasing, and I just want
13	to pass that on. I'm not sure that that was
14	passed on to Staff, but I've had several, two or
15	three phone calls commending all of the work that
16	was done. So, I just want to thank everybody.

18	8.B MR. JOSEPH CIRIELLO
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. Today, I got a letter
20	from the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Chamber
21	of Commerce, and I assume that the rest of you
22	guys got the same thing.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I did, but it came in this
24	afternoon's mail. It was read to me while I was
25	in court, so I just it was read to me.
200	
1	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, in any case, it's
2	the Chamber of Commerce is strongly pushing to
3	help Embry-Riddle get here yet. And as far as I
4	know, I'm the only board member that's in favor
5	of that. But in lieu of this letter I know
6	Mr. Gorman has it. I just wondered if it might
7	be what word am I looking for? Generous. Not
8	generous, but
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Prudent?
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Some kindhearted gesture on
11	the rest of the board's part to maybe well,
12	has Embry-Riddle contacted you at all?
13	MR. WUELLNER: (Shakes head.)
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Then maybe we could contact
15	them and ask them to come and present a new

Mr. Ciriello?

16	proposal to us to see how things stand, rather
17	than sit by idly and do nothing.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. George?
19	8.C MR. WAYNE GEORGE
20	MR. GEORGE: This is our first time through
21	not only a budget cycle, but also the first time
22	through when we're talking about such a large,
23	you know, acquisition of property. I'm pleased
24	with the activity that's going on and the
25	approach that we're taking to it.
201	
1	In response to Mr. Ciriello, I did meet with
2	Embry-Riddle, and Embry-Riddle wanted the leasing
3	document put together, our procedure, before they
4	came back and did anything. So, we are
5	proceeding with that. And when we get the thing,
6	I'm sure that they'll be glad to come back.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?
8	8.D MR. BOB COX
9	MR. COX: No, no comment.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
11	8.E MR. JOHN GORMAN
12	MR. GORMAN: No comment.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment to
14	close the meeting? Mr. Maguire.

	15	9 PUBLIC COMMENT
	16	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Just one comment
	17	going back to item I., the TAC appointment. IDA
	18	should probably looked at to be a member of it,
	19	the Industrial Development Authority. I think
	20	that's the one that Wayne was talking about.
	21	The county the county used to have an
	22	Economic Development Committee which was
	23	disbanded back in January. But with the idea
	24	that, Steve
	25	MR. WUELLNER: Considering that, is that in
202		
	1	lieu of the other one, in addition to?
	2	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: In addition. Two
	3	different functions.
	4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Any other
	5	public comment? Yes.
	6	MR. PACETTI: Do I have to go up there or
	7	can I just speak?
	8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're okay. She can hear
	9	you.
	10	MR. PACETTI: Cool. The only thing that
	11	worries me about all of this is what y'all call
	12	eminent domain. My family has lived here in the
	13	neighborhood probably since I think the late

14	'60s, early '70s. They're very concerned that
15	you're just going to go in and take their
16	property.
17	Some property, you might say, is only worth,
18	you know, X dollars. But to a family that's
19	lived there and and never planned to move,
20	it's worth more than just a dollar amount. And I
21	hope that when you talk about the five families
22	or the two families, that you take that into
23	consideration.
24	My father's very upset, and I'd hate to see
25	him have a heart attack over something like this.
1	He's in his 70s.
2	I know the airport is probably good for a
3	large amount of people, maybe. But I think
4	sometimes you need to look at the smaller people,
5	too, and what they want. They didn't move out
6	here to move. They didn't move out here to
7	invest in property. They moved out here to
8	retire and then leave a piece of property to my
9	brother and for him to carry on the business.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. And thanks for
12	coming to the meetings. I'm not sure your

1	3 fath	ner doesn't sound like he could make it,
1	4 but	we could get information back to him through
1	5 you	so you can tell him what's going on, because
1	6 we	do have concerns over this.
1	7	MR. PACETTI: Thank you.
1	8 10	0 - NEXT REGULAR AND PUBLIC MEETINGS
1	9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our next somewhat scheduled
2	0 mee	eting may be the executive session, either the
2	1 8th	or the 15th, depending on Staff. But we have
2	sch	eduled September 8th at 5:01, our first public
2	3 bud	lget meeting, which is published.
2	4	We have our next regular board meeting
2	5 Sep	otember 15th, commencing at 4 p.m., and the
204		
	1 seco	and published budget meeting will either
1		ond published budget meeting will either rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would
1	2 inter	
1 2	2 inter 3 be a	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would
1 2 3	2 inter 3 be a 4 M	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would at 5:01 of the regular board meeting.
1 2 3	inter be a be a time	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would at 5:01 of the regular board meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And please note the
1 2 3 4	inter	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would at 5:01 of the regular board meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And please note the e on the 8th meeting. You can't have it
1 2 3 4	inter be a be a time befor poss	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would at 5:01 of the regular board meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And please note the e on the 8th meeting. You can't have it ore 5:01, so we've got it at the earliest
1 2 3 4 5	2 inter 3 be a 4 M 5 time 6 befor 7 poss 8 C	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would at 5:01 of the regular board meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And please note the e on the 8th meeting. You can't have it ore 5:01, so we've got it at the earliest sible time.
1 2 3 4 5 6	inter	rrupt it or be at the conclusion, which would at 5:01 of the regular board meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And please note the e on the 8th meeting. You can't have it ore 5:01, so we've got it at the earliest sible time.  CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, I have one more minute

```
12
         CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Thank you very much.
13
      Meeting adjourned.
      (Thereupon the meeting adjourned at 7:17 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
1
           REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2
3 STATE OF FLORIDA )
4 COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )
5
     I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I
6
   was authorized to and did stenographically report the
   foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
9 record of my stenographic notes.
10
```

11	Dated this 9th day of September, 2003.
12	
13	TANET M. DEACON, DDD, CD, DMD, CDD
14	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida
15	My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	