1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	First Public Meeting
3	FY 2007-08 Annual Budget
4	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
5	St. Augustine, Florida
6	on Monday, September 10, 2007
7	from 5:01 p.m. to 6:02 p.m.
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
10	WAYNE GEORGE
11	RANDY BRUNSON SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman KELLY BARRERA, Secretary-Treasurer
12	BOARD MEMBER ABSENT:
13	DOTING WILLIAMSER TIBSELVI.
14	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	ALSO I RESERVI.
17	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
18	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
19	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.

20	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
21	
22	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR St. Augustine Court Reporters
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	(904) 825-0570
25	

2

1		INDEX		
2		PAGE		
3	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3		
4	3.	OPENING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN	3	
5	4.	PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET	4	
6	5.	DISCUSSION OF BUDGET BY AUTHORITY	23	
7	6.	PUBLIC COMMENTS ON BUDGET	37	
8	7.	DISCUSSION OF MILLAGE RATE BY AUTHO	PRITY 46	
9	8.	PUBLIC COMMENT ON MILLAGE RATE	56	
10	9.	TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF BUDGET & MILL	LAGE RATE	57
11	10	. FINAL COMMENTS 64		
12	11	. FINAL BUDGET HEARING - SEPTEMBER 19	66	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				

file:///A /MTG091007.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's 5:01. We are
3	recording, so we're going to call the meeting to
4	order of our published budget meeting.
5	Mr. Burnett, do we call it to order or we
6	just call, stand for the Pledge?
7	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, we can run it just like a
8	normal meeting.
9	(Pledge of Allegiance).
10	3 OPENING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Our meeting here
12	today is our published budget meeting to discuss
13	the airport budget for our next fiscal year to go
14	over facts and figures and to discuss again our
15	millage rate that we intend to set.
16	So, I think Staff is going to have some
17	presentation for us. And there should be
18	publications for the public to look through, as
19	far as our proposed budget summary.

25

20	MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chairman
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.
22	MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to apologize to the
23	board. My glasses are in the shop. So, if I put
24	these on occasionally to read

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're not in a shade

1	meeting, Mr. Brunson.
2	MR. BRUNSON: I know that.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's okay. I threatened
4	to take mine out because I can't see.
5	MS. BARRERA: We're all having eye issues.
6	MR. GEORGE: Don't worry, Kelly and I are
7	here.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm watching the screen.
9	MR. GEORGE: Oh, there
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right, Mr. Wuellner,
11	we're ready.
12	4 PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET BY STAFF
13	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The budget that's on
14	the projector, as well as what you have hard
15	copies in front of you for making your own notes,
16	has, as directed at the workshop, for lack of
17	better terms, I think it was trying to remember
18	if it was a workshop or a regular meeting. But in
10	any case, we were asked to look at reducing can

20	you turn that down a little, Cindy? Asked to
21	reduce the ad valorem component of the budget and
22	look at an overall 10 percent reduction in that
23	within the confines of the budget.
24	What you have in front of you, and again
25	what's up on screen, reflects that. So, we did

1	find out that the actual reduction required, as a
2	result of the legislators' actions last spring,
3	would have been in the area of 3 percent reduction
4	overall. So, there was a mandatory 3 percent
5	reduction that would have been accommodated in
6	here either way. I think we told you at the time
7	we didn't know what that number was going to be,
8	and that came out shortly after.
9	So, this reflects a 10 percent reduction and
10	a change in the millage from current year at .2055
11	down to .1739, I believe it is, which is the 10
12	percent number, which is a total ad valorem
13	reduction that we could have expected this year,
14	even with the rolled-back rate, of approximately
15	\$450,000. So, it is a ad valorem reduction across
16	the board of about, round numbers now, \$450,000
17	As I'll otherwise just kind of walk
18	through the first page here, the summary. If you
19	have I'm not going to go through all the detail

20	sheets unless you want me to. You have a question
21	that requires it, we'll we'll do that. But in
22	general, the summary kind of hits the hits the
23	highlights as we move down.
24	Looking at under operating revenues, at
25	home rentals of about I'm going to have to

1	change sheets here \$43,605. T-hangars of
2	\$313,000. Conventional hangar rentals of
3	\$409,000. Commercial leases of \$705,000. And
4	other lease revenue of about \$149,000. And fees
5	structure, which is the lion's share of this
6	number, is in fuel flowage fees of \$412,134.
7	Bringing your total operating revenues to a little
8	over \$2 million, at \$2,033,222, is the projection
9	going into next year.
10	On the nonoperating revenue side, which
11	includes a lot of miscellaneous things, and the
12	primary is the cash forward number, which we're
13	anticipating a little over a million dollar cash
14	forward number going into next year. I do need to
15	point out that that number does not currently
16	reflect the about \$400,000 carry forward related
17	to it's a little over \$400,000. It's \$430-
18	something. And we'll know an exact number.
10	We've got one more check cycle. That's why

- that number's always elusive in the budget cycle.
- But includes the money from the settlement with --
- with Earth Tech. So, that -- that check was
- received. It was deposited in the operating
- account. It is not reflected in this number. So,
- 25 there's -- there's that money out there, too.

1	At at the we'll either make an
2	adjustment going into the final budget hearing
3	next week, if you desire to do that, or it will
4	simply wash out during the audit process and we'll
5	change the you know, the budgeted numbers so it
6	will reflect the the actual number. It doesn't
7	get lost. It's just a matter of how we treat it.
8	If you if we do choose to carry it show
9	it as the cash forward number, what we would then
10	do is have to augment it on the expense side and
11	the reserve side, an increase reserve number by a
12	like amount since you're not appropriating and
13	we're not recommending appropriating it, so to
14	speak. So, it becomes a wash, other than the
15	total both totals come up to \$400,000.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. Why are you not
17	recommending to to put this in reserve?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I am. I am saying put it in
19	reserves.

- 20 MR. BRUNSON: Oh, I thought -- I
- 21 misunderstood you.
- MR. WUELLNER: What I'm saying is you -- you
- wouldn't -- we're not recommending you allocate it
- to a project at this time --
- MR. BRUNSON: Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: -- or something like that. 1 2 It's simply going into reserves. If I misspoke, I apologize --3 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: -- if I was not clear on that. 6 You have interest, which is interest received 7 here. It's a revenue item. PFC collections 8 estimated at \$500,000. I do want to point out now that if you look 9 down further, and we'll get to the -- I'll try to 10 remember to say it again. But on the reserve line 11 item under expenses, there's that -- that \$500,000 12 makes up a portion of the \$2 million reserve 13 that's shown there. So, you -- you have an 14 offset. You collect the money, you're holding the 15 16 money until you make a decision to spend it later. 17 Actually, it would be consistent with the PFC plan once it's adopted. And we haven't done that yet. 18 So, it's just a placeholder on the expense side 19

20	also.
21	We're anticipating no use of loan money. So
22	we're there's no no loans at this point
23	forecast in here. Grant funds of state grant
24	funds of \$2,792,000. We currently have no
25	projected FAA project for the fiscal year. That

1	could change, but it probably won't. I would
2	suspect it will be in that pretty good the
3	following year as some projects become eligible.
4	And keep in mind you will also be changing
5	classification next year, not this year, but next
6	year, and will be will be eligible for the
7	entitlement program for commercial service
8	airports. So, you will have some level of minimum
9	entitlement that will be out there.
10	That's that whole authorization process is
11	ongoing in Washington at this point. I do not
12	know what the new number will be. It's it's
13	not yet been acted on by Congress. I believe it's
14	in conference between the two houses.
15	Last time, it was in the \$800,000 range, was
16	the minimum entitlement in there that can go to a
17	capital capital project that would be eligible.
18	So, my gut feeling is it will be at least that
19	kind of a number. And that's minimum entitlement.

- And then there's a formula based on how many
- 21 enplanements you do that escalates that number.
- So, depending on how many enplanements we have,
- that number could change.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We don't fight with the big,
- big boys. It's just our designation, correct?

So, likewise --1 MR. WUELLNER: You don't fight. There's --2 3 there's a formula based on enplanements, 4 basically, and also a minimum amount. If you're a commercial service and you only enplane 10,000 5 6 people, you get this \$800,000 number. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's what I was 7 wondering. 8 MR. WUELLNER: If you put 2 million 9 passengers through, that number goes up as a 10 function of 2 million times something, becomes the 11 entitlement, minimum entitlement. So, you get --12 you get your guaranteed slice of the commercial 13 pie under the Airport Improvement Program, 14 something you -- you kind of get on the GA side, 15 but the threshold's at \$150,000. That's all you 16 17 get. It's not based on activity after that. And then you compete with all other airports in the 18 country. That's why capital's so difficult to do 19

- on the FAA side as a GA airport. You just don't
- get a priority. It gets -- so, you're -- you're
- getting in with the big boys in the sense that the
- 23 minimum expectation will get better and better as
- 24 we go forward as long as enplanements stay up.
- All good stuff there.

1	Now, ad valorem, the numbers shown for the
2	'07-'08 year is \$4,206,000. That number
3	represents the 10 percent reduction, and that
4	number then at 98 percent. So, if you want to
5	backwards do the math, it would be \$4,292,654, and
6	you're taking 2 percent out of that, and that's
7	the number you're seeing here. Leaving you a
8	nonoperating revenue number of \$8,671,627 or a
9	total revenue expectation next year at this point
10	of \$10,704,849.
11	All right. Moving down
12	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make
13	an editorial comment for the public.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
15	MR. GEORGE: This 10 percent that we're
16	working on is not because we all of a sudden said,
17	hey, let's just take 10 percent off the budget.
18	What it was, was we had the revenue that we're
19	forecasting from the new hangars coming on line

20	and the revenue that the Skybus operation was
21	going to be bringing in to us. So, we said why -
22	why not let the public, you know, enjoy some or
23	that also. So, that's where the board came up
24	with the 10 percent, you know, as a target, let's
25	see how it is.

1	And you can see here that the operating
2	revenue, you know, is up \$600,000. And it's
3	basically in those categories that are there.
4	And then you get down into the nonoperating
5	revenue, and that's take the PFC collections;
6	there's some of that money that we were letting
7	the offset the 10 percent reduction. Thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And, Ed, that \$313- under
10	T-hangars, that's anticipating goes on line in
11	that
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: budgeted year?
14	MR. WUELLNER: But not until I have to
15	check real quick, but I don't I can check here,
16	I think. But I think they're not on line until
17	February or March for purposes of the budget
18	planning.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, that's appropriated

- amount.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, absolutely, first year.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. That was based on
- last-known information. We just -- we usually add
- a month to that and -- and kind of start.

1	All right. Looking at the expenses now,
2	personnel expenses, probably the major change here
3	is we're looking to if first let's compare
4	real quick the the budgeted amount for '06-'07,
5	which was \$505,000 versus the estimated year-end,
6	which is only \$426,000. And that reflects the
7	fact that we did not fill a full-time position
8	here throughout the fiscal year. So, we actually
9	were working on 11 1/2 staff positions instead of
10	the 12 1/2 originally budgeted. So, that's a good
11	chunk of that money.
12	Moving it across to '07-'08, you see it goes
13	to \$702,000. Well, that's not a lot of increase.
14	It's only about an \$8,000 increase, but you had a
15	big disparity in what you expend what you did
16	expend versus what you planned to expend last
17	year.
18	So, we are going to look at adding a
19	full-time staff position in there and perhaps

20	looking at the organizational structure a little
21	bit, too, within that context and and get back
22	to 12 1/2 and and see where it goes.
23	Operating expenditures, all of those items
24	combined and as and as I mentioned, there's
25	a detail sheet if you want to look at it is

1	\$1,115,000. That's actually about, what is it,
2	about 6 1/2 6, almost 7 percent reduction from
3	last year.
4	Now, the vast majority of it comes in
5	projected legal fees again. It also, when you
6	compare this, you know, what we actually expended
7	this year, which was significantly higher, but
8	there's two real good explanations of why it's
9	higher. One was the the decision y'all made on
10	our recommendation to, in a sense, prepay
11	insurance. If you remember, by changing the
12	the time frame we do that, it did have a and
13	you pay that lump sum. So, a lot of it's there.
14	The other was obviously in legal fees. And with
15	those two items kind of self-correcting into next
16	year, I think this is probably still a real
17	good real good number here at \$1.1
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. That insurance that
19	we moved up crunched us early, but it elongates

- what it's allocated over.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it did. It moved it till
- 22 May -- technically May 1st next year is your
- renewal date.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Instead of November.
- MR. WUELLNER: No, instead of October 1st.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: October.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hurricane season
4	MR. WUELLNER: Right in the middle of
5	hurricane season.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: as I recall.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. So, you you kind of
8	got a, what was it, a 18-month, round numbers,
9	18-, 19-month
10	MS. BARRERA: Policy.
11	MR. WUELLNER: policy you paid for all in
12	one year. So, you got hammered pretty hard on
13	insurance this year as a total, but you also got
14	more time after that, which will self-correct in
15	the next year, if that is in any way clear.
16	Nonoperating expenses, you have no debt
17	service at this point. We're projecting a
18	\$2 million reserve. Again, point out about
19	\$500,000 of that is the PFC carry forward. And

25

20	that will be what it is. We won't you know,
21	it's not a hard number.
22	Whatever we collect in PFCs from the point
23	we're able to collect PFCs will be in that line
24	item as a matching expense. So, \$500-'s, in a

sense, a placeholder, but it does -- it does point

1	out that there's an absolute offset.
2	Capital here as \$66,000 in equipment and
3	about \$6 million, \$5.926 million for construction.
4	Now, it's not hard to see that we're looking at a
5	significant reduction in the capital side of this
6	next year. And you had a huge is it off the
7	screen? I'm sorry.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's just me.
9	MR. WUELLNER: It is? It is? Yeah.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I need to see the screen
11	please.
12	MR. WUELLNER: And that's the only part I
13	can't see. It's all one big number to me. If it
14	looked like a number, it would be good.
15	But those, you can see there's about a \$4
16	million reduction in the capital development
17	program from last year over this year. And that,
18	you can summarize in just one grant. That was the
19	Taxiway Foxtrot apron project grant that was last

- year, was the federal grant. And you do not have,
- as I mentioned earlier, a federal grant programmed
- for this current year, so that's -- that's largely
- where it is.
- And it's -- it's a shame, but when you --
- 25 when you look at how it -- it's really hard to

1	explain the Airport Authority's budget in the
2	context of percentage change over one year to the
3	other, because when 80, round numbers, about 80
4	percent of your budget is in the capital, it's
5	such a function of whether you are doing a lot of
6	capital one year or not.
7	You can see huge swings in the total. It
8	doesn't necessarily affect the the revenue
9	side. So, it it just gets a little squirrely
10	when you try to measure it in that context. So,
11	with that, that's kind of the I mean, that's
12	that's generally the summary.
13	Now, this is where you are with the total
14	budget. You have if you'll notice the
15	difference between total revenues and total
16	expenditures, there's about \$894,000 of
17	difference. And that number that number's that
18	real small number under the under the budget
19	column line there. That represents the current

20	revenue over the expenditures expected. So, you
21	have about \$894,000 of of uncommitted revenue
22	right now that my suggestion would be to go ahead
23	and place into the reserve line item for next
24	year, thereby balancing the budget at the
25	\$10,704,000 number.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Plus our \$4
2	MR. WUELLNER: Plus the \$4
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. So, the reality is it's
5	even it's even bigger.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
7	MR. WUELLNER: The the reason is, we have
8	a number of projects that I'm that I'm sorry,
9	we're just not ready to in the sense I don't
10	have an order of magnitude to give you, but there
11	are some things related to the commercial air
12	service that are good problems to have, but we've
13	got to begin to address on a financial basis. And
14	one of those larger capital items we're going to
15	need to talk about in the coming month or two
16	is is how we deal with the rental car and
17	long-term parking issues on the airport as it
18	supports commercial aviation. All of those are
19	revenue-producing items, so it's not like it's

20	just money we've got to spend.
21	But we've got to go, in a sense, off property
22	for some of that. And by "off property," I mean
23	off the main airport. And we have some some
24	areas we're going to look at, and we're going to
25	make some recommendations back to you. We're

1	going to do some planning layout with with the
2	help of of Passero and come back within the
3	next month or so, throw the sketch up on the
4	board, help you understand what we're talking
5	about, and give you an idea what the money is.
6	So so, be prepared to talk about expending
7	some of that money into capital items when we come
8	forward over the next couple of months. So, don't
9	just get all excited it's all in reserves and, you
10	know, nobody wants to talk about opening that
11	coffer again.
12	I think you've got to be realistic on some of
13	these projects that I just can't give you a number
14	for. But you've got some paving to do. We may be
15	able to do some of it with some temporary
16	buildings out there.
17	But looking forward on the air service side,
18	things are just getting bigger and just getting
19	better in terms of financial contribution to the

- airport, but the downside is we're going to
- outgrow some of the existing facilities already,
- so we need to -- we're going to have to
- continually talk about how -- how we address those
- 24 needs.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We, realistically, if we

- 1 even -- or Skybus even scheduled one more flight,
- 2 we're outgrowing our parking area.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Without spilling all the
- 4 beans --
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, no. I'm just thinking
- 6 if it happened, yeah.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- because it's not out yet,
- 8 but you're -- you're absolutely looking at at
- 9 least one more flight over the next year.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to say even if
- 11 that happened.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And -- and, yeah
- unfortunate -- fortunately and unfortunately, you
- know, it's probably more dramatic than that.
- MR. GEORGE: Well, over three days, there was
- an average of 62 cars parked in our parking lot at
- 17 8 o'clock at night.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Taking up your spot, Buzz?
- MR. GEORGE: No, this was out of 150. So,

- what I'm saying is that there -- there's some
- growth. Now, I realize there's going to be a peak
- parking problem when the airplane arrives.
- MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: People are dropping people
- off, picking other people up and stuff like that.

- 1 But if we're looking at it for revenue at 5 bucks
- a day, the three days that I checked averaged out
- 3 to 62 cars in the lot.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And that's -- and
- 5 that's actually gotten better in -- in the sense
- 6 there's more vehicles there than the first even 30
- days. It was probably closer average to 30. So,
- 8 we're seeing the number come up on people leaving
- 9 vehicles, which is good for us --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- on a revenue side.
- MR. GEORGE: When is the automated equipment
- getting in?
- MR. WUELLNER: They're installing it now.
- We're probably within two weeks charging.
- 16 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: So, it's just a matter of --
- some -- I think they've got some of the gates --
- whatever they call them, ticket spitters and all

- that are being installed now. So, it's just a few
- 21 weeks being -- being in the revenue side of this.
- MR. BRUNSON: Ed, I'm -- if you don't mind,
- 23 I'm going to let you finish the presentation, and
- I've -- just simple questions I want to ask
- instead of --

I	MR. WUELLNER: I'm I'm done, unless you
2	want to all I'm going to say is we're going to
3	make an adjustment. Today, for purposes of
4	adopting something, I would I would suggest we
5	adopt, in terms of a total budget, the \$10,704,000
6	number, and we'll allocate the \$894 \$894,000
7	into reserves. That that would be my
8	recommendation.
9	You can do it other ways. I mean, you you
10	could find other line items you want to place more
11	money in with you could put it in a capital
12	program and and describe a project and
13	placehold it that way. You know, it's it all
14	accomplishes the same purpose in that it gets
15	properly placed in the budget.
16	But, you know, this is going to no matter
17	what, we're going to come forward and and have
18	to present those projects and what the
19	expenditures are, and you'll you'll get to make

20	the decision of whether we're doing the project or
21	not doing the project.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Does it make any difference
23	when we're applying for grants and what have you
24	if we have X amount in reserves, and they look at
25	that and say, whoa, whoa, you have X amount in

1	reserves, if we had a line item then somewhere
2	else?
3	MR. WUELLNER: No. No. Your your
4	financial position is not relevant, other than the
5	fact that you're committing to fund a part of a
6	project. That's it.
7	5 DISCUSSION OF BUDGET BY AUTHORITY
8	MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chair?
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.
10	MR. BRUNSON: Here's since you're
11	finished, here is my number one. I think we've
12	made some good decisions on reserves and and
13	and money to go into capital improvements that
14	return. And is there anything, except for what
15	you just mentioned, that would that on the
16	horizon to come up that would be good
17	income-producing projects that we could use
18	reserve for? Is there anything in the back of
19	your mind that that except for the terminal?

20	MR. WUELLNER: Everything we're looking at is
21	entirely related to commercial service
22	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Call it enhancements, things
24	that we're either not generating revenue on
25	currently or

1	MR. BRUNSON: But that would definitely be
2	income-producing things that
3	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
4	MR. BRUNSON: Now, is there any litigation
5	going on, now that Earth Tech is gone, that would
6	impact the budget on the legal side?
7	MR. WUELLNER: On either side, we're not
8	we're currently involved with no litigation. It's
9	the first time in about five years that something
10	hasn't been out there, although the Authority
11	rarely has had a financial exposure in it, but
12	this is the first time in a long time there's
13	nothing that we're pursuing and nothing being
14	pursued against us. So, in that context, we're in
15	good shape.
16	We did reflect a reduction already,
17	anticipating the trial would be over and all that,
18	in the expenditure budget related to attorney's
19	fees, legal fees, whatever the line item here is.

20	professional services, legal. And we we
21	adjusted it down from last year's estimate of
22	\$110-, which clearly everybody blew through. But
23	it was adjusted downward in this proposed budget
24	down to \$90 That's still probably very fat,
25	realistically, because it did indeed settle; we

1 didn't have that originally. And I think our retainer value is something 2 3 in the vicinity of \$50,000. So, it's probably close to \$40,000 larger than I -- I really expect 4 5 us to be spending. CHAIRMAN GREEN: And that retainer is good 6 for everything we do? It's --7 8 MR. WUELLNER: Most of it is. CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- just by the hour. After 9 that, if we're outside --10 MR. WUELLNER: Most things. Yeah, it doesn't 11 obviously cover litigations. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 13 MR. WUELLNER: And there's some small little 14 pieces of real estate transactions that will 15 16 sometimes generated that type of fee. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But all of our opinions --MR. WUELLNER: But other than that, it's 18

19

pretty well everything.

- CHAIRMAN GREEN: All the opinions we ask for
 and Staff stuff --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that -- that's all --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- that's all part of our
- 24 retainer.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And if I could speak to

that a little bit. One -- one thing that Ed was 1 2 commenting, the real estate transactions, it's 3 typical for sellers to pay closing costs and so, 4 you know, most of the time, you're acquiring 5 property, so it's really on the sellers and not necessarily the airport. 6 But the other thing I'd add to that is 7 there's a lot of things that come up during the 8 year that y'all never see that it may be some -- a 9 personnel question. More likely, it's a personal 10 injury type event where you're represented by 11 defense counsel but we still are involved and --12 and will attend depositions and the like that we 13 still, for the most part, keep those within the 14 retainer so we don't hit you for additional fees. 15 I mean, it's -- it's very rare that we come 16 17 up with things. We try and keep them within our 18 standard representation for you.

So, I'm with Ed, if we -- knock on wood, if

19

20	everything goes as planned for next year, should
21	be a big cushion in there for the budget.
22	MR. WUELLNER: And and, I mean, you're
23	you're familiar with, in the litigation arena, you
24	know, as you're dealing with another governmental
25	entity, I mean, there's that six-month

- 1 notification requirement to even begin that pro --
- or even file, I guess it is, technically. So, you
- 3 know, we usually have a pretty good idea if
- 4 something's coming down the pike.
- 5 So, if we make it to March, in a sense,
- 6 you've -- you've cleared a fiscal year in terms of
- 7 a financial commitment, anyway. And most of
- 8 that's almost always covered by some sort of
- 9 insurance counsel, and it's just these guys
- 10 backing it up.
- MR. BRUNSON: That's all the comments I had.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz, do you have anymore?
- Do you have any, Buzz?
- MR. GEORGE: Yes. I always have comments,
- 15 you know.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Pertaining to the budget,
- 17 Buzz.
- MR. GEORGE: You take a look at the --
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Always.

20	MR. GEORGE: You take a look at at our
21	reserves of \$2 million, as is presented here, I
22	can wholeheartedly support taking the \$894- and
23	the \$4- and putting them back in, even though we
24	might spend some. But we've got another three
25	years to go to get to \$6 million in that reserve.

- 1 And so if you just take the two the way it is,
- 2 that's \$1.3 per year. So, this would definitely
- 3 help that and get it down to, you know, \$900,000 a
- 4 year. I think that's a doable, you know, type of
- 5 thing. So...
- 6 MS. BARRERA: Agreed. But I -- I do think
- 7 that we might be more prudent to just hold the
- 8 \$894,000 as a placeholder in the capital, since we
- 9 know that we have these things that are going to
- be coming up. I think it just gives a more
- 11 accurate reflection.
- MR. GEORGE: Picture?
- MS. BARRERA: I think so. I like keeping it,
- the \$2 million there, and I a hundred percent
- agree with you about building it with -- with that
- amount each year.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the \$400-, too, throw
- that in there.
- MS. BARRERA: Yeah. I just think that it

20	might be more realistic to anybody else who wanted
21	to take a look at it, less confusing.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Do you have a line item?
23	MR. GEORGE: My only concern with that would
24	be that because we have it in the capital, then
25	there's a tendency that, oh, it's there, let's

1	spend it.
2	MS. BARRERA: No, we don't want to do that.
3	MR. GEORGE: I know. But if I
4	MS. BARRERA: I agree.
5	MR. GEORGE: If I left it in the in the
6	reserve, there would be a tendency for wait a
7	minute, let's think this out a little bit harder.
8	MR. BRUNSON: I would feel more comfortable
9	holding it in reserve and vote on it as we if
10	we
11	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it doesn't really
12	other than for the purposes of of the public
13	notice, which is really only dealing with the
14	the component part of this, the total doesn't
15	change, whether you put it in reserves, you put it
16	in capital. That part doesn't change in the big
17	picture. So
18	MR. GEORGE: But some of our well-respected
19	friends of the budget that are located here now

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think since -- I think
- you could -- you could easily argue it either way.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our well-respected friends
- in the public understand that, that are here.

11

12

13

That's the thing. 1 2 MR. GEORGE: Exactly. You're correct. 3 Right. CHAIRMAN GREEN: They know. But I kind of 4 agree with what Kelly's saying. We're out there, 5 and our budget's being looked at, the public, 6 rightly so, they should. If they see X amount in 7 8 reserves, oh, my goodness, they're sitting flush in money, not knowing that realistically the one 9 10 thing, we're going to have to deal with parking.

- put something as a line item --
- MS. BARRERA: Maybe not the whole item.

They love the Skybus. They love we have

commercial service. But if we're going to keep

that -- so, I'm not in a disagreement if we should

- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Something in there to cover
- maybe the parking that we know is coming. It's
- there, that we can put an amount in reserves, too.

20	MR. BRUNSON: I'll agree with that, but I
21	think that most people, the criticism I heard a
22	few years ago is where our reserves were. And now
23	they're in good shape, and so I'd support some of
24	this going into capital.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, if we have at least

- 1 two four -- two more -- you know, two plus.
- 2 MS. BARRERA: What would be a realistic
- 3 number, Ed?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Well, if you're looking for a
- 5 place -- I mean, I really don't know, so...
- 6 MS. BARRERA: Four hundred thousand?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say \$4- or
- 8 \$500,000 as a -- as a placeholder number. I
- 9 haven't -- I just don't know what the number would
- be. But I -- you know, it's largely a paving
- project, at the end of the day --
- MS. BARRERA: Because I also agree with --
- MR. WUELLNER: Putting in drainage, you know,
- which it comes together anymore.
- MS. BARRERA: -- with Wayne where I don't
- want to -- I don't want to have the money in there
- and have the leftover cookie crumbs.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Right. Exactly.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Yeah. We need a

20	good chunk of those.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the reality is those -
22	those kind of projects, with the exception of the
23	low-dollar-value ones that don't necessarily come
24	back to you for explicit approval, all of the
25	other ones are projects that have got to be bid

- 1 that are -- and or -- and/or require contract
- 2 approval by the board. So, no matter what,
- 3 they're coming back to this board. It's just a
- 4 matter of how the request is made, whether it's
- 5 made to simultaneously reduce the reserve and fund
- 6 that capital project or simply approve the capital
- 7 project that's already budgeted. So --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Why don't we do this?
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: -- the net is the same.
- MR. GEORGE: Why don't we take \$494- and put
- it into capital? And that's a round number of
- 12 \$800-, and put it in reserve.
- 13 MS. BARRERA: I like that.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I agree, because then if we
- all -- put it all into reserves, and then the
- public said, oh, my goodness, you took a big chunk
- out of your reserves; I thought you were trying
- 18 to --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

25

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: build it up, which we
21	are.
22	MR. GEORGE: You're right.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, we show them now we have
24	a good \$2 million-so and change, and we do a line

item for we know what's out there. We're

looking --1 MR. WUELLNER: All right. So \$494 --2 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- to balance everything. MR. WUELLNER: And I'm going to -- I'll call 4 the line item long-term parking and rental car 5 improvements? 6 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. MR. BRUNSON: I'd support that. 8 MR. WUELLNER: Are you all right with that? 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 10 MR. GEORGE: Long-term. Can you make it a 11 little bit more generic? Because I wouldn't want 12 it tied to parking if we don't have to have the 13 14 parking until --MR. WUELLNER: Terminal area improvements. 15 16 MR. BRUNSON: That's good. 17 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Airport improvements? 18

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

19

- MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: We'll just call it that.
- MR. GEORGE: Call some of it the park.
- MR. WUELLNER: Or terminal infrastructure
- improvements.
- MR. GEORGE: Because that's something I think

- 1 we're going to have to address.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, absolutely.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Twenty-five thousand in capital
- 4 for the park for the whole year is just not going
- 5 to hack it.
- 6 MS. BARRERA: And we want to see that park.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And -- and we get --
- 9 this was strictly to do some planning. It's not
- 10 construction, so...
- 11 MR. GEORGE: I understand.
- MR. WUELLNER: All right. And you want
- 13 \$494-, something like that?
- MR. BRUNSON: It was.
- MR. GEORGE: \$494,112. I'm just looking at
- the total number there.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.
- MR. GEORGE: Just put an even number around
- 19 \$800,000 into the reserves.

- MR. WUELLNER: So, we need to actually
 increase -- \$10 million...

 By doing that and including that litigation
 amount or that settlement amount in the budget,
 you're now looking at a revenue and an expenditure
- 25 budget of \$11,104,849.

1 MR. GEORGE: Still \$2 mil. less than last 2 year. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And 2-point whatever is sitting in reserves. 4 5 MR. GEORGE: Right. MR. WUELLNER: That would put 2.8, wasn't it? 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Added another \$4-, 7 8 right. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Into the reserve. MR. BRUNSON: Ed, do you need a motion for 10 that? 11 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, you'll --12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We'll I think we have to do public --14 MR. WUELLNER: You'll do it when you adopt 15 16 it. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Kelly, did you have

MS. BARRERA: No. I think that the amount

anything else?

18

19

24

a good ---

- for the PFCs for next year is -- I think that
 that's a conservative figure that we'll -- we'll
 recoup. I think that the picture will actually
 look a little brighter on that. And I think it's
- MR. WUELLNER: There -- there's quite --

- 1 there are a number of -- of commercial terminal
- 2 area revenues that I believe, based on what we
- 3 know now that we didn't know when we started down
- 4 this road, are, you know, significantly
- 5 underestimated in terms of the revenue side of it.
- 6 And most of those come with very little
- 7 expenditure, beside. It's just by increasing the
- 8 frequency or the number of flights, most of those
- 9 variables become -- you know, are multiplied by
- flight, to a large extent, and all -- all of which
- is good.
- MS. BARRERA: And for the whole county --
- MR. BRUNSON: That's good.
- MR. WUELLNER: But it's not new capital.
- MR. BRUNSON: We also have to -- have to
- realize the economy, and we can't see in the
- 17 future. So, I like your conservative estimate
- here.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The best we're going to

20	do is just sit here next year and go, wow, you
21	know, a handful of these revenue items are you
22	know, were way better than we thought, and we can
23	keep a handle on it. Of course, we could be
24	standing here going what are we going to do to
25	deal with the next year's problems, too? So,

- 1 unfortunately, we only get a snapshot.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: We still have to look at who our
- 3 clients are. You know, the air service in here I
- 4 think has been good. But we also have our waiting
- 5 list for hangars. So, I notice --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: -- that it looks like roughly
- 8 the estimate -- looks like you've included six
- 9 months' worth of revenue.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, that's what he said.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: That sounds right.
- MR. GEORGE: If we could -- if we could beat
- that by a couple of three months, that's just
- 14 added -- I know it's little nickel and dime, but
- we're doing a big satisfaction to our other
- 16 customers.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I asked him that
- 19 \$313-, where -- where does it come from.

All right. Public comment on the budget?
Vic.
6 PUBLIC COMMENTS ON BUDGET

- 23 MR. MARTINELLI: Victor Martinelli, 24
- 24 Carriage Lane, Ponte Vedra Beach. Two questions.
- One, do you need to designate that reserve as some

1	kind of a reserve, or can you just call it
2	reserve?
3	MR. WUELLNER: It can be just general
4	reserve.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: General. Okay. That was
6	easy.
7	The next question, I do recall in previous
8	discussions that the Grumman lease had a
9	tremendous impact on going forward on what the
10	millage rate would be, you know, vis-a-vis what
11	the public participation is. Has that how has
12	that been taken into account in this budget?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, it's the more
14	dramatic effect is about three years from now, as
15	we begin to we we bring hangar 29 I
16	always get the number wrong. 27.
17	MR. BURNETT: 27.
18	MR_GEORGE: Maybe we should number them

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that's what's confusing

20	me because they're all over. They're not even
21	alphabetical. All right. The hangar 27 comes
22	on line at market value, followed two years later
23	by the E North 40 coming on line at market
24	value. You have an incremental increase this year
25	of just trying to find it here real quick.

- 1 MR. MARTINELLI: A hundred and fifty thousand
- 2 on the land lease.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 4 MR. MARTINELLI: I saw that. And I know that
- 5 that was part of the deal. And I was just
- 6 wondering whether or not that was crystallized
- 7 with Grumman.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. In fact, they're --
- 9 they're looking at the documents right now. It's
- been reduced to writing, and I fully expect to
- 11 have it all signed this week, honestly.
- MR. MARTINELLI: That's my question. Thank
- you.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's that close. Nobody's
- arguing terms anymore. It's the, "Is that what it
- really says" language.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Welcome back.
- MR. HICKOX: Thank you. Wayne Hickox, 881
- 19 Queen Road.

20	Actually just a question, and it's almost
21	hypothetical, I'm sure, for everybody at the
22	table. By the way, the budget looks excellent.
23	And the improvements in the airport just in the
24	time I've been away, I think are fantastic. So,
25	congratulations to all of you.

1	Are you getting any kind of feedback Ed,
2	or any members of the board, are you getting any
3	kind of feedback from the property assessor and
4	legislators and so forth on the expected overall
5	effect of the voters that are what the voters
6	might do to the budget situation and the taxing?
7	I know it's not a major portion now of your
8	revenue, but still it's a factor. Are you getting
9	any kind of feeling?
10	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I'm not hearing
11	anything. You know, it's it's now basically in
12	the voters' hands relative to what goes next.
13	MR. HICKOX: Well, one of the things that I
14	have heard, and it doesn't affect you yet
15	directly, but of course there's an awful lot of
16	appeals of property assessments this year because,
17	as many of you know, some of the real estate
18	estimates on the value of homes and so forth has
19	gone down. And, of course, most people saw an

20	increase again in their assessments. And I'm just
21	wondering if there's just any feedback from the
22	property assessor as to whether or not it's going
23	to affect you guys.
24	MR. WUELLNER: No. In fact, the only thing
25	we usually hear is after the Value Adjustment

1 Board meets in October, early November. 2 MR. HICKOX: Otherwise, everything looks 3 great. MR. BRUNSON: Wayne, I might say that one of 4 my friends owns a condo at Colony Reef, I had 5 dinner with Saturday night. And -- and he was 6 just so happy that his appraisal came down a 7 8 little bit. And that's --MR. HICKOX: A lot of them didn't, though. 9 10 That's the problem. MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. And that's what you're 11 hearing most. I haven't heard the other way too 12 much yet. 13 MR. HICKOX: Well, I know I was sort of 14 surprised, looking at our assessment in Volusia 15 16 County, on our property down there, and there was 17 still a pretty substantial increase. And so I started checking around here, and some people I 18

know, even in St. Augustine South where I live

- 20 here, have seen increases, too. And I guess
- 21 it's -- it's probably a surprise, you know.
- Public information sometimes is misconstrued by
- 23 the public so, you know...
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah. And the -- and
- 25 the original action by the legislature had the net

- 1 effect of -- of really dealing with the budget
- 2 side of the equation.
- 3 MR. HICKOX: That was -- that threw a bomb in
- 4 the picture.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: But it was not the tax side of
- 6 it yet. And they're leaving -- they -- they
- 7 really dumped that back on the electorate to solve
- 8 or decide which way they want to go. And they
- 9 haven't spoken.
- MR. HICKOX: And again, congratulations to
- all of you. You've done a great job.
- MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
- MR. JONES: Joe Jones, St. Augustine. I just
- had a question about the parking you're talking
- about. And you said how you're outgrowing on the
- 17 field. So, are you -- are you talking about
- leaving this part of the airport, maybe going
- across the street with parking or --

25

acquisition.

20	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, that's one of the areas
21	we're exploring.
22	MR. JONES: Because I had noticed on your
23	thing, you didn't have nothing in it for land
24	acquisition, or you had zero dollars for land

1 MR. WUELLNER: That's correct. 2 MR. JONES: I didn't know, you know, if 3 you're talking about shifting money around, if 4 that's what -- money needs to be. 5 MR. WUELLNER: No, there's -- and what we're 6 talking about does not involve land acquisition right now. Now, there's some land acquisition 7 8 discussions going on that would have to come back for budget amendments or -- or reallocation out of 9 reserves or something later, should they want --10 the Authority want to deal with it. 11 MR. JONES: So, you're looking at land you 12 already own or something? 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Exactly. Exactly. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael? 15 16 MR. SLINGLUFF: Earlier this year, we had 17 talked about Customs, and I don't really see -- I don't see that in there anywhere. 18

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

- MR. SLINGLUFF: I do think it's -- it can be
- a net return on -- for first-year expenses.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We'll let Ed explain that
- 23 one.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's actually in here,
- but not in the way you think.

1	MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Primarily because it's not
3	been brought to the Airport Authority yet what
4	that's one of the items I really need to talk to
5	you about at the regular meeting.
6	But you're carrying a line item for an ARFF
7	facility in the budget. And I I have just
8	just briefly, because it does have, you know,
9	budget impacts, basically what we're looking at is
10	pursuing FAA dollars to build the ARFF facility
11	instead of state dollars. I mean, there will be a
12	small 2 1/2 percent state chunk in that. And
13	looking to ask you to reallocate this ARFF money
14	into development of the Customs facility.
15	Since we now we have what the standards
16	from Customs of what the facility needs and the
17	like, looking at maybe transferring that FDOT
18	dollar that was currently was allocated for
19	ARFF into Customs, and I obviously don't have all

20	of the numbers in front of me yet, but we were
21	we were hoping to do that even at this next
22	meeting, is get that in front of you and let you
23	decide whether that's the direction you want to go
24	or we just, you know, build what we can with wha
25	we have.

I	The State had no issues with converting the
2	grant from ARFF to Customs, and if that's what you
3	want to do, we we just make those changes. It
4	still has to be expended by I believe it's the end
5	of July next year or the end of August next year.
6	So, it's going to be a quick-burn project,
7	whether it's quick-burning meaning it completes
8	within the year whether we do it as a Customs
9	or do it as an ARFF facility. But it's that or
10	lose the money. Not reallocate the money, lose
11	the money. So, it's obviously something we want
12	to keep keep alive in one form.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael, you had something
14	else?
15	MR. SLINGLUFF: That's good to hear. I think
16	if we can get the board to agree to that, that
17	changeover, then we can then get Customs in here
18	at least on an interim basis or on an on-demand
19	basis, which would recoup some

- MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- MR. SLINGLUFF: It would create some revenue
- for the airport until the -- the asset is built.
- MR. BRUNSON: Michael, remind us of that on a
- regular board meeting, would you?
- 25 MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're going to -- we're 1 2 going to --3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're going to --4 MR. WUELLNER: -- put it as an agenda item 5 anyway. I've got to -- I've got to get this off 6 dead center. CHAIRMAN GREEN: We were just waiting for 7 8 some more significant figures that --MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- Staff had. Okay. No 10 other public comment, we'll go to discussion of 11 the millage rate by the Authority. I'll entertain 12 13 some discussion. 14 7. - DISCUSSION OF MILLAGE RATE BY AUTHORITY MR. WUELLNER: The -- just -- just for 15 16 reference --17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure, Staff. 18 MR. WUELLNER: -- the order -- the order of 19 occurrence in this is more a function of the final

- budget hearing. The -- the budget -- or the
- 21 millage rate we're proposing is the -- or
- currently discussing or is the .1739, which is 10
- percent less than last year's ad valorem.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the rollback rate is --
- MR. WUELLNER: At -- I have it right here.

1	MR. GEORGE: .1936.
2	MR. WUELLNER:32, yes.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN:32?
4	MR. WUELLNER: .1932.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Is there any board
6	discussion? I'll start it.
7	For purposes of what Staff was talking about
8	for discussion today, I think our prior board
9	request of looking at it at 10 percent to see
10	where we are, to help with what the legislature
11	did, putting us back 3 percent, I would prefer to
12	keep us at the rollback rate for today.
13	We've we've changed some numbers. We've
14	moved it around. I think that's great. We're
15	working in that that backwards rate, and we're
16	trying to get to that \$6 million reserves. So,
17	just budgetwise and businesswise, I would prefer
18	to keep us at the rollback rate, which we kind of
19	discussed before. That would give us it's not

20	necessarily a cushion, but just give us some
21	working room so we can go forward with getting us
22	where we are with our capital expenses, getting
23	the T-hangars going, getting that income coming in
24	for a full 12 months, not just six or seven next
25	year. So, any other comments?

1	MR. GEORGE: I like the idea of seeing the
2	10 percent, you know, as an entry. So, if if
3	you want to change it to the rollback for the
4	discussion of the next meeting, I have no problem
5	with that, but I would like to show somewhere on
6	the form, Ed, you know, what the difference is at
7	the 1 the .179 the .1739, the 10 percent,
8	just so I've got the visibility.
9	Like, for instance, you didn't have the
10	rollback number here. If you take that rollback
11	and you run it against the property values, that
12	would say that we're going to get something like
13	\$4.7 million?
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
15	MR. GEORGE: So, we're talking about
16	\$400,000, \$500,000 difference in doing that sort
17	of thing. I just want to see both of those
18	numbers.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Four-fifty. And I kind of

20	also agree with what Mr. Hickox brought up, that
21	we're not sure what's going to happen with the
22	elevated, or not, or whatever, with the property
23	appraisers. And that would just, for now, if we
24	took the rollback rate and worked with that to
25	see, give us a little leeway with, you know, all

1	of a sudden we get hit with all of these appeals
2	and we're not going to do that with our property
3	and we're going to want them down, we get hit with
4	some revenues.
5	But we're still rolling back
6	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: which is one of the first
8	times we've done this in several years to try and
9	get where we are.
10	MR. GEORGE: It also gives us a chance to see
11	how the PFC money is coming in.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. But that's kind of
13	what you know, we're bringing it in and we're
14	putting it out, so
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm not sure that's as
17	important right now.
18	MR. GEORGE: But if it doesn't come in at the

rate we're anticipating --

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: If we're even under what
- we're looking at.
- MR. GEORGE: That's right. Yeah.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But the number's still going
- to wash. Whatever it is, it is.
- MR. WUELLNER: And there -- there may be a

- 1 technical nuance here that the rolled-back rate,
- which is strictly a mathematical, you know,
- 3 function, does not consider the mandated 3 percent
- 4 reduction. So, you know, the net -- creating a
- 5 term here.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: All right. You better show us
- 7 all three of those numbers, then.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: It's 3 -- it's 3 percent --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- less than the 1.932,
- actually, is what the maximum allowed rate --
- MR. GEORGE: Well, if you can somewhere, as a
- footnote on the front sheet, if you tell us what
- those different options are and what the dollars
- 15 for the budget--
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's those three bottom
- lines, I think.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. So, you -- you want

20	the so, what are we doing today? So I know
21	where to
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we're discussing
23	MR. WUELLNER: Or you haven't made that
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: a tentative adoption of
25	our budget and the millage rate for today, a

1	tentative adoption.
2	MR. BRUNSON: And and the only comment I
3	would make, I like the idea, but let me remind you
4	that last year, by voting on the millage rate, we
5	took advantage of the increased property values
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
7	MR. BRUNSON: that gave us a half a
8	million dollars. This year, we're leveling out.
9	We don't know what's going to happen.
10	But I certainly do like the 10 percent
11	reduction, and so I'd but I'd be glad to look
12	at it in the final
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think we still
14	then we need to discuss it, because if we accept a
15	tentative at the rollback rate, that's a
16	not-to-exceed rate, correct?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you could no, you can
18	adjust
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We can still go

20	MR. WUELLNER: You're going to advertise -
21	what you're going to today, by tentatively
22	adopting it's hard to make changes after today
23	without it you having advertising issues. But
24	whatever your decision today is, I mean, two of
25	these circumstances, I believe, would not require

1 you to advertise this as a tax increase, one being 2 taking the recommended 1.7 mils. The other would 3 be the -- taking the rolled-back rate. Anything 4 above that -- and what -- what I'm not sure --5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I understand. 6 MR. WUELLNER: -- and I don't have a direct 7 answer for you on that, but we'd have to find out 8 very quickly, is if you -- if it was the 3 percent 9 less than the rolled-back rate number, is that the point where it's not a tax increase for purposes 10 of advertising? 11 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Don't know. 13 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know that. 14 MR. BRUNSON: But we have to know today, then. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I think you need to have

a strong understanding of where you're going next

week in order -- in order to advertise it proper.

MR. BRUNSON: Okay.

file:///A/MTG091007.txt (103 of 136)10/3/2007 9:05:27 AM

17

18

20	MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind that will also
21	affect the number of people that show up, you
22	know, how you you know, you throw it out as a
23	tax increase.
24	MR. GEORGE: How was this one advertised?
25	MR. WUELLNER: It's actually a function of

1	the TRIM notice. It's not even a you don't go
2	out and advertise per se. But the next one is, is
3	we have to literally buy ads in the newspaper
4	to to meet the requirements. So, this gets a
5	lot in terms of publication, this gets a lot
6	more attention than the first public hearings
7	method.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I understand what you're
9	saying, but I I'm still of the belief that we
10	need that rollback rate because of all the flux
11	flux in the property appraisers and what we're
12	doing and where we're headed, which is in a good
13	position. We may I mean, if it does say a
14	minimal tax increase, you know, I'm hoping it is
15	minimal. I hope it's none, because we're taking
16	the rollback rate, you know, minus 3 percent.
17	But we are headed in the complete direction
18	we want to be on getting these
19	MR. GEORGE: Right.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: these revenues. And we
21	just have to explain to the public, you know,
22	we've got all of these reserves now and and
23	with commercial airlines. And I just I want to
24	feel the cushion in getting the right revenues in
25	there.

1	MR. WUELLNER: What I'm not sure is the
2	technical nuance issue because of what the
3	legislature did as to whether the rolled-back rate
4	for purposes of tax increase went with the
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Total revenues have to be.
6	MR. WUELLNER: went with the 3 percent
7	reduction or not.
8	MR. BURNETT: I apologize. We were yeah,
9	we were
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's okay.
11	MR. BURNETT: The three of us were already
12	on on this before y'all got into it. What we
13	were trying to make I guess were curious, was
14	to figure out if you wanted to make sure the
15	advertising did not announce this as a tax
16	increase.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Part of part of what
18	happened is because the legislature acts so late
19	in the year relative to the tax cycle, it it

20	didn't even get out, the basic information, so
21	they're they're adapting last year's forms,
22	added a worksheet, and and tell you this is how
23	you come up with the new magic number relative to
24	ad valorem proceeds.
25	What I don't know is it doesn't appear

1	they've integrated it into the requirement section
2	for advertising and the like to get clear guidance
3	to any of them as to how it goes.
4	MR. BURNETT: On its face, when I read the
5	statute, it would appear to me that if you accept
6	the rollback rate, then you do not have to use and
7	follow the advertising guideline for this being a
8	tax increase, and it will not count as a tax
9	increase. The additional nuance we're talking
10	about is this change.
11	And I can recall, going back, it may not have
12	been last year, may have been the year before, but
13	I remember the the Authority struggling with
14	the issue of, we've gone from whatever the number
15	was, .23 mils down to .2, but it's not you
16	know, that necessarily wasn't a tax decrease; it
17	was still counted as an increase under the
18	statute, even though it was a big reduction in the
19	amount of income that y'all were bringing in from

20	tax revenue. And so that's the one thing that we
21	were looking at.
22	But on its face, the statute says that if you
23	accept the rollback rate, you don't have to follow
24	the advertising guidelines for announcing this as
25	a tax increase.

1 MR. GEORGE: Well, we can also accept the 2 rollback rate now, and then at the next meeting, 3 we put it to what we want as long as it's under 4 the rollback rate. 5 MR. BURNETT: Yes. 6 MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say last 7 year -- last year, it had to be advertised as a 8 tax increase because you held the -- your millage. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. We did not take the 9 10 rollback rate. MR. WUELLNER: Because we didn't take the 11 rollback rate last year. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct. That's what I was thinking. 14 MR. BRUNSON: And year before last, we took 15 the rollback. 16 17 MR. WUELLNER: Is it group two -- the numbers off the front of the right-hand one. Is it group 18

two, what? Is it numbers --

19

- MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: One-three.
- MR. WUELLNER: One-three? Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to open up to
- public comment.
- MR. WUELLNER: That should do it.
- MR. GEORGE: Well, did Kelly have any

1	comments to make?
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did you have any more board
3	comments, Kelly?
4	MS. BARRERA: No. I I I think I'm
5	ready to
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Well, I'm going to
7	open up to public comment on the millage rate.
8	Victor?
9	8 PUBLIC COMMENT ON MILLAGE RATE
10	MR. MARTINELLI: I agree with you; I think
11	that you should take the rollback rate. And if
12	the legislature finally gets itself straightened
13	out on this thing, and they decide that there's an
14	additional 3 percent, that can be the action at
15	your next meeting. So and if you don't have to
16	advertise it, as Doug says, as an increase, then
17	by all means, the rollback rate. That's what I
18	would say.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Other public

20	comment?
21	(No further public comment.)
22	9 TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF BUDGET & MILLAGE RATE
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Seeing none, next on
24	our agenda is a tentative adoption of our budget
25	and the millage rate. Do I hear a motion?

1 MR. GEORGE: So moved. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: To accept? 3 MR. GEORGE: To accept the budget with the 4 changes that we have, you know, identified to be 5 made. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The reserve amount --MR. GEORGE: Right. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- and the line item? MR. GEORGE: Yes. And also putting the 9 \$400,000 in there. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. The reserve --11 MR. GEORGE: The Earth Tech, right. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Earth Tech? 14 MR. GEORGE: And then we keep it at the rollback rate until the next meeting. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: You -- you can't really do 17 that the way you're thinking, because you -you've got to make the roll -- you've got to make 18 the millage adjustment into the budget. 19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Into the budget, which we -
21	MR. WUELLNER: So, I you can't
22	tentatively unless we kind of adjourn here,
23	give us a few minutes to redo some calculations
24	here, your your ad valorem proceeds as are
25	shown in the budget need to match what the ad

1	valorem proceeds expected by whatever millage
2	rate. That's why I'm saying it gets a little
3	complicated from really this point forward. The
4	two need to agree.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because we've done this at
6	the 10 percent.
7	MR. WUELLNER: That's exactly right. This
8	this ad valorem line item in your budget is the
9	based on the .1739 millage rate.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can we then
11	MR. WUELLNER: It can be escalated. We can
12	change it.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I'm saying.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It's just that's how it's
15	shown.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can we ask you to change the
17	budget as is, but to put it to the rollback rate
18	with the changes with the revenue with the line

19

item in the revenues?

- MR. BURNETT: And the additional revenue that
- would be projected because of this higher millage
- 22 rate --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: In reserves.
- MR. BURNETT: -- goes into reserves.
- MR. GEORGE: Just goes in that line item,

1	right.
2	MR. WUELLNER: And as long as you also
3	understand that it may not be the .19 number; it
4	may be something less than that with the 3 percent
5	rollback on the tax base.
6	MR. BRUNSON: That's what I was going to say.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Which we don't know yet.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Whatever that number
9	whatever effectively becomes a rolled-back rate
10	MR. BRUNSON: Well, what would it do to our
11	revenue if we took went ahead and calculated
12	the 3 percent in addition?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, 10 percent was \$450,000.
14	You're looking about a \$120,000 net difference.
15	MR. BRUNSON: Why don't we just do the
16	millage rate at doing the 7 percent instead of
17	the 10?
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it depends on what the
10	legislature does because that's a not-to-exceed

- 20 number; is that correct?
- MR. BRUNSON: But, right now, we're saying
- the millage is .19 --
- 23 MR. GEORGE: -36.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The rollback rate, .1932.
- MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. And we're saying that we

1 want to do a .1739. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, no. We're not. 3 MR. BRUNSON: I mean, this budget reflects the millage rate of what? 4 5 MR. BURNETT: .17-. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: .1739. MR. BRUNSON: Right. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And what we're suggesting is that we go ahead and change the budget --9 MR. BRUNSON: Right. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- to account for the 11 rollback rate, not the 10 percent reduction, which 12 we're looking at, which looks very good. 13 14 MR. BRUNSON: But we're not sure if this rolled-back rate takes in the 3 percent. 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But by next week, we will 16 17 know what those figures are. 18 MR. BRUNSON: Can we -- can we adopt that at

that time, the -- the rollback rate, and then we

19

- 20 can adjust it because of the 3 percent?
- 21 MR. GEORGE: All this is preliminary.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You will have to come to
- 24 a final --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

1	MR. WUELLNER: determination next week.
2	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So I'm saying what
4	what they're saying, if we what I would like to
5	do is readjust my suggestion is to readjust
6	this budget to the millage the rollback rate,
7	and any extra capital that's in there, to go into
8	the reserves. We already have that line item that
9	we've discussed with that change. And then next
10	week, we will have some figures on what that 3
11	percent may do, and then we can make a choice at
12	that point in time.
13	MS. BARRERA: And make a better analytical
14	decision.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Instead of guessing
16	as to what I'm sure it's a good guess, but
17	instead of guessing as to what that 3 percent is.
18	MR. BRUNSON: And if we find that's the case,
19	we have no problem changing it.

- MR. BURNETT: That's correct.
- 21 MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. BRUNSON: Did your motion include that?
- MR. GEORGE: Yes. Absolutely, it did.
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: I second it.

1	MR. GEORGE: Your second include it?
2	MR. BRUNSON: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
4	discussion?
5	(No further board discussion.)
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, to restate the
7	motion, we're asking Staff to take this budget,
8	adjust just it to the rollback rate. Any extra
9	capital that's found, to put it into reserves,
10	with the adjustments we asked for to have a line
11	item figure, the reserves at least \$800- plus any
12	extra
13	MR. GEORGE: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: that's there. Also, to
15	give us the figures with the 3 percent reduction,
16	so we have those two to compare for next bottom
17	line. We don't need all the breakouts, correct?
18	The bottom line difference?
10	MR GEORGE: Veah Ves that was what I

20	meant.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. For purposes of
22	clarification, under the statute, the rolled-back
23	rate this year is not 100 percent of the
24	rolled-back rate that you've had in previous
25	years. It represents 97 percent of the

1 rolled-back rate. So -- just so that we're all talking about 2 the same rolled-back rate when I'm -- when I'm 3 plugging it in, as well as bringing it back next 4 week for you. So, it's -- it's actually 3 percent 5 less than what the normally calculated rollback 6 7 rate would be. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I -- I understand that. And I think that's good that we looked at the 10 9 percent, because we're looking at this to this, so 10 we have our parameters. 11 MR. WUELLNER: But no matter what, you would 12 not have gotten, as a part of the rolled-back 13 14 rate, that 3 percent this year. MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: That was what the 17 legislator -- legislature did. MR. GEORGE: Well, you're just going to have 18

19

to collect more than 98 percent.

25

20	MR. WUELLNER: Check that. Got to make it up
21	somewhere. Out of reserves.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We have a motion
23	that's been seconded. Any further board
24	discussion.

(No further board discussion.)

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All those in favor of the
2	motion presented, say aye.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
4	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
6	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
8	(No opposition.)
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All are in favor. The
10	motion will pass to Staff.
11	10 - FINAL COMMENTS
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I really don't have any
13	final comments. I think I've spoken enough
14	tonight. So, any other final comments?
15	MR. BRUNSON: The only comment, this is a
16	long time, in my opinion, coming to the meetings
17	and being in the board, that I don't see a cloud
18	over our head as we have in the past with
19	litigation and eminent domain and Earth Tech. And

- I am so pleased. And good job, Ed.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Thanks.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it's hard work by
- 23 Staff and the board over the past six years or
- whatever. And seeing the light at the end of the
- 25 tunnel.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: There you go.
- 2 MR. BRUNSON: Here, here.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: It's not a train.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: My final comment is I would like
- 5 to -- I would like to request updated charts when
- 6 you do get it done prior to the meeting next time.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Updated spreadsheets, this?
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Right.
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: How many landings?
- 10 MR. GEORGE: That's fine.
- MR. WUELLNER: We'll try to -- we've got to
- place the ads tomorrow, so my -- my expectation is
- sometime tomorrow we'll dump those. Everybody can
- open the Excel spreadsheets; is that right?
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- 16 MR. GEORGE: Everybody what?
- MR. WUELLNER: I get you the Excel
- spreadsheets?
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Open it up on your e-mail.

- MR. BRUNSON: I'll come by and pick mine up.
- 21 Mine's in the shop.
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We'll have some prints
- for you, too, so if you --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I'd rather have the
- prints.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Whatever you want to						
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I want to thank						
3	public for coming. This is important stuff. And						
4	hopefully we'll get as many or more people out						
5	next week for our budget hearing, because this is						
6	exciting, I think the discussion of what we're						
7	doing.						
8	11 FINAL BUDGET HEARING						
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Our next budget						
10	hearing, we're going to have our regular meeting						
11	at 4:00. We will adjourn that meeting for a 5:01						
12	budget hearing to finally set the millage rate and						
13	the budget, and then if necessary, reconvene the						
14	regular meeting. So, that's September 19th. All						
15	right? That's it.						
16	MR. WUELLNER: Next Wednesday.						
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're adjourned. Next						
18	Wednesday. It's an off it's not a Monday.						
19	It's a Wednesday. So, in case we're thinking						

20	that.
21	MR. HICKOX: By the way, the cloud is over in
22	Tallahassee, Randy.
23	(Meeting adjourned at 6:02 p.m.)
24	

 $file: /\!//A|/MTG091007.txt$

25

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 17th day of September, 2007.
13	
14	TANETM DEACON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG091007.txt							
	20						
	21						
	22						
	23						
	24						
	25						