1 ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY

| 2 | Regular Meeting |
|----|---|
| 3 | held at 4796 U.S. 1 North |
| 4 | St. Augustine, Florida |
| 5 | on Monday, July 12, 2004 |
| 6 | from 4:00 p.m. to 6:04 p.m. |
| 7 | ********* |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: |
| 9 | SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman |
| 10 | JOSEPH CIRIELLO BOB COX JOHN "JACK" GORMAN |
| 11 | JOHN JACK GORMAN |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: |
| 13 | WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer |
| | * |
| 14 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 15 | DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, |
| 16 | Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. |
| 19 | BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director. |
| 20 | ********* |
| 21 | JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR |
| 22 | St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard |
| 23 | St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570 |
| 24 | |

| 1 | INDEX | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|
| 2 | PAGE | |
| 3 2. | PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE | 3 |
| 4 3. | . APPROVAL OF MEETING MINU | ΓES |
| 5 | Workshop Meeting May 27, 2004 Regular Meeting June 14, 2004 | 3 |
| 6 4. | ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL RI | EPORTS 3 |
| 7 5. 8 | APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENI | DA 4 |
| 6. 9 | REPORTS: | |
| 10 11 12 | A. Mr. Bruce Maguire - County Com B. Ms. Tracine Anderson - Aero Sp C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. A D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A. E. Mr. Bjorn Otteson - F.A.C.T. F. Mr. David Knight - ATCT Towe G. Mr. Doug Burnett - Authority Atte | ort, Inc. 8 ugustine 6 6 8 r 7 |
| | ACTION ITEMS | |
| 1415 | B. T.R.I.M. Rate Adoption | 9 17 |
| 16 | C. Eminent Domain Appraisals & Re D. Terminal 2nd Floor Office Space | 2 44 |
| 17 | F. Terminal Parking Plan & Policy | 52 60 96 |
| 18 8. | AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS | S: |
| 192021 | A. Ms. Green, ChairmanB. Mr. Joseph CirielloC. Mr. Wayne "Buzz" GeorgeD. Mr. Bob CoxE. Mr. Jack Gorman | 118 120 absent 120 120 |
| 22 9. 23 | PUBLIC COMMENT | 121 |

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the |
| 3 | St. Augustine-St. Johns Airport Authority meeting. |
| 4 | Please all stand for a pledge of allegiance. |
| 5 | (Pledge of Allegiance.) |
| 6 | APPROVAL OF MINUTES |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: First, we have the approval |
| 8 | of our minutes, and these include the workshop |
| 9 | minutes from May 27. Are there any exceptions or |
| 10 | changes to the minutes? |
| 11 | (No exceptions or changes.) |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, then we'll |
| 13 | accept the minutes as recorded. |
| 14 | Next are the minutes from our last meeting, |
| 15 | Monday, June 14. Are there any exceptions or |
| 16 | changes to those minutes? |
| 17 | (No exceptions or changes.) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, those minutes |
| 19 | will be approved as transcribed. |
| 20 | ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have our financial |

| 23 | 2004. Are there any comments, exceptions to the |
|----|--|
| 24 | financial statements? |
| 25 | (No comments or exceptions.) |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 4 |
| | |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I notice that our Executive |
| 2 | Director's gone over them and Buzz is not here. |
| 3 | But hearing no exceptions, then we will accept |
| 4 | these financial statements as presented. |
| 5 | APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have our agenda |
| 7 | today, which goes through G, as far as agenda |
| 8 | items. Any changes to the agenda? Mr. Gorman? |
| 9 | MR. GORMAN: I have one. I'm not sure how |
| 10 | long the discussion will go on about the TRIM rate |
| 11 | adaption. And could we move that up, since some |
| 12 | of the rest of them are a bit different? I |
| 13 | don't know. Do you think that's necessary or not? |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Meaning that you want, |
| 15 | because it's shorter to do it, so people could |
| 16 | leave I mean, if we have public concern about |
| 17 | it, or what? Or you think it's going to be |
| 18 | longer? That's what I didn't understand. |
| 19 | MR. GORMAN: It might go long, was my |
| | |

22

20

thought.

statement for the eight months ending May 31,

- MR. WUELLNER: Historically, it's about a
- one-minute agenda item.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: Or less.
- 25 MR. GORMAN: Historically.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Good point.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have no problem moving it
- 3 up. Does -- Bob, do you have any?
- 4 MR. COX: No problem.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Sure. We can move it
- 6 up to --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Why don't you put it before
- 8 the eminent domain.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: After project -- yeah.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
- MR. CIRIELLO: It would be B, then.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir. F will be our new
- B, or we can just move F after A.
- MR. WUELLNER: Apparently, Mark had a -- Mark
- 17 Arnold with Rogers Towers had a court hearing that
- had to -- that they sprung on him this morning, at

| 19 | 3:30. So, he'll be here shortly. I mean, he |
|----|--|
| 20 | should be it was less than a half-hour item |
| 21 | with Judge Traynor, so he should be and he's |
| 22 | handling the |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: B? |
| 24 | MR. WUELLNER: lion's share of what was B. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's fine. Then |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 6 |
| | |
| 1 | we'll do the TRIM first. All right. Any other |
| 2 | comments on the agenda? |
| 3 | (No further comments.) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Hearing none, the |
| 5 | agenda is approved then for the July 12th meeting. |
| 6 | I did not see Mr. Maguire. Is anybody from the |
| 7 | County Commissioners here? |
| 8 | (No representative present.) |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Michael Slingluff, |
| 10 | Aero Sport? |
| 11 | (Not present.) |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Nobody from Aero Sport? |
| 13 | Northrop. |
| 14 | 6.C NORTHROP GRUMMAN |
| 15 | MR. LESLIE: No report. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: John, Pilots Association? |
| 17 | 6.D S.A.P.A. |
| | |

| 18 | MR. RODERICK: We have one item for you |
|----|--|
| 19 | today. S.A.P.A. supports the southern expansion |
| 20 | of the airport. We just want to go on record |
| 21 | about that. |
| 22 | We believe the new facilities will bring |
| 23 | increased revenue to our county in three main |
| 24 | areas: Directly to the airport, to our airport |
| 25 | businesses, and to the many communities around the |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 7 |
| | |
| 1 | airport. To not go forward, we feel would be a |
| 2 | waste of time, money and material. You've been at |
| 3 | it a long time. We feel this is not the time to |
| 4 | hesitate. We hope the last few properties that |
| 5 | are that stand in the way of completion can be |
| 6 | successfully negotiated. If not, we stand behind |
| 7 | you morally to do the right thing. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Bjorn, Florida |
| 9 | Aviation? I did not see him. |
| 10 | (Not present.) |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: David, Tower? |
| 12 | MR. WUELLNER: Doug had did you have |
| 13 | anything? |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: He's next; is that right? |
| 15 | MR. WUELLNER: Oh. |

| 16 | MR. COX: He's next. |
|----|--|
| 17 | MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I do. But I have it |
| 18 | after, that's all. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry. |
| 20 | 6.F ATCT |
| 21 | MR. KNIGHT: You'll notice on the chart |
| 22 | Dave Knight, manager of St. Augustine tower. |
| 23 | You'll notice on the chart we are plus 16,274 |
| 24 | ops over last year. For the first six months of |
| 25 | year 2004, we have cleared over 71,000 operations, |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 8 |
| | |
| 1 | so we're on track for about 140,000 for this year. |
| 2 | You'll notice the lines peaked up a little bit and |
| 3 | we'll probably see the same thing again in July. |
| 4 | Other than that, all other projects are on track, |
| 5 | no changes. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Doug, with your |
| 7 | approval, I just saw Bjorn come in. Bjorn, was |
| 8 | there any comment from Florida Aviation? |
| 9 | 6.E F.A.C.T. |
| 10 | MR. OTTESEN: No report. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And for Aero Sport? |
| 12 | 6.B AERO SPORT |
| 13 | MS. ANDERSON: No. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug? |

| 15 | 6.G AIRPORT AUTHORITY ATTORNEY |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 16 | MR. BURNETT: Very briefly. Litigation's |
| 17 | moving forward. We've been working on some lease |
| 18 | issues with your staff, and the other obvious |
| 19 | thing is the matter that Mark Arnold's going to be |
| 20 | here for this evening. So, that's all I have to |
| 21 | report. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Next is action items. |
| 23 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, actually, it's project |
| 24 | updates. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry, A, project |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 9 |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | updates |
| 1 2 | |
| | updates |
| 2 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. |
| 2 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. |
| 2 3 4 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. 7.A PROJECT UPDATES |
| 2 3 4 5 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. 7.A PROJECT UPDATES MR. WUELLNER: And projects to talk about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. 7.A PROJECT UPDATES MR. WUELLNER: And projects to talk about today briefly are the terminal project, airport |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. 7.A PROJECT UPDATES MR. WUELLNER: And projects to talk about today briefly are the terminal project, airport maintenance facility, Taxiway Bravo, land |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. 7.A PROJECT UPDATES MR. WUELLNER: And projects to talk about today briefly are the terminal project, airport maintenance facility, Taxiway Bravo, land acquisition in Araquay Park, the home demolition, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | updates MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: under action items. 7.A PROJECT UPDATES MR. WUELLNER: And projects to talk about today briefly are the terminal project, airport maintenance facility, Taxiway Bravo, land acquisition in Araquay Park, the home demolition, Phase II of the hangar structure, park parking, |

| 13 | Leading the list is the terminal project. |
|----------------------------|--|
| 14 | Phase I, minor punch list stuff still going on. I |
| 15 | can report that the as-built survey has been |
| 16 | submitted to St. Johns County, which is one of the |
| 17 | items that's been dragging its feet. |
| 18 | Underground work related to the depression |
| 19 | that was identified in the access road has been |
| 20 | excavated and restored with nothing else other |
| 21 | than it appears that it was improperly backfilled |
| 22 | when it was excavated and a drainage structure |
| 23 | removed way back a couple of years ago when the |
| 24 | project first started. |
| 25 | So, the project at this point is moving on, |
| | |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 1 2 | 10 |
| | and we would expect that once the they get a |
| 2 | and we would expect that once the they get a little further into the C the review of the |
| 2 | and we would expect that once the they get a little further into the C the review of the as-builts, that a C.O. should be on the way out |
| 2 3 4 | and we would expect that once the they get a little further into the C the review of the as-builts, that a C.O. should be on the way out the door. We're not aware of any other |
| 2 3 4 5 | and we would expect that once the they get a little further into the C the review of the as-builts, that a C.O. should be on the way out the door. We're not aware of any other substantive items holding it up. There are a few |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | and we would expect that once the they get a little further into the C the review of the as-builts, that a C.O. should be on the way out the door. We're not aware of any other substantive items holding it up. There are a few warranty items to be discussed with the bonding |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | and we would expect that once the they get a little further into the C the review of the as-builts, that a C.O. should be on the way out the door. We're not aware of any other substantive items holding it up. There are a few warranty items to be discussed with the bonding company to get that wrapped up, but they're not |

on their as-built survey review, which -- before a

| 12 | final C.O. can technically be issued by the |
|----|---|
| 13 | County, but it's ready to be occupied as of |
| 14 | actually as of tomorrow morning. So And |
| 15 | otherwise, the project's finished and this is the |
| 16 | last time this will show up on project updates. |
| 17 | For a change, some good news on a project, and I |
| 18 | win the bet, it got finished before the terminal. |
| 19 | Not that there was anybody betting against me. |
| 20 | Airport maintenance facility, those of you |
| 21 | that have driven by there in the last couple of |
| 22 | weeks, it's finally off and running. Structural |
| 23 | steel is for the most part up and completed at |
| 24 | this point and it's leaping ahead here pretty |
| 25 | quickly. |

11

| 1 | The entire building structure should be up |
|---|---|
| 2 | within the next three weeks and they'll be doing |
| 3 | the trade work and the like on the inside. So, |
| 4 | it's it's going to going to happen pretty |
| 5 | quickly here. Still anticipated for the end of |
| 6 | the year is occupancy, as the contract had a |
| 7 | six-month time length from building permit issue. |
| 8 | So, it's moving. |
| | |

Taxiway Bravo. For the most part,

| 10 | everything's complete out there with the exception |
|----|--|
| 11 | of the little bit on the north end of the FBO |
| 12 | apron where it intersects Taxiway Bravo 2. That |
| 13 | is finalizing the lime rock this week. Should be |
| 14 | paved next week at the latest. And we're still |
| 15 | just awaiting signage out there to be able to open |
| 16 | the majority of places on Taxiway B. It's |
| 17 | they're awaiting delivery from the manufacturer. |
| 18 | So, as soon as it's here, we're looking at a few |
| 19 | days to install the signs. |
| 20 | The work to install them is just simply |
| 21 | bolting them in place and connecting the wires. |
| 22 | The main part of that is already done. And the |
| 23 | access to the self-fuel is now open, also. So, |
| 24 | now you can pull through on the on the |
| 25 | self-fuel av. gas. |
| | |

| l | Araquay Park land acquisition. That's the |
|---|--|
| 2 | current status (indicating). The stuff on the |
| 3 | right-hand side of Casa Cola Way, which is get |
| 4 | it here. Casa Cola runs right here (indicating). |
| 5 | Those properties in here (indicating) are the |
| 6 | ones, the subject of the long-form eminent domain |
| 7 | appraisals, and those will be reviewed here in |
| 8 | just a few minutes as part of another agenda item. |

| 9 | Home demolition update. All of those that |
|----|--|
| 10 | were previously constructed have been removed |
| 11 | or, excuse me, contracted for demolish, have been |
| 12 | done. Received no bids at all on the vacant |
| 13 | homes, including the couple of mobiles that were |
| 14 | back in there after the advertising. |
| 15 | Per your direction at the last meeting, we |
| 16 | have pursued the demolition contract. It's been |
| 17 | quoted, awaiting any hiccough that would develop |
| 18 | today before we would execute that and get started |
| 19 | on those. There are at least 14 additional homes |
| 20 | we anticipate being offered for bid and relocation |
| 21 | by the beginning of by the end of August, |
| 22 | excuse me. |
| 23 | And as we kind of discussed at the last |
| 24 | meeting, we were certainly open to the idea of |
| 25 | adding additional advertising and different |
| | |

- 1 methods of advertising and trying to solicit some
- 2 interest, although there are only a handful of
- 3 mobiles in that next batch, also. But we
- 4 certainly were going to pursue some additional
- 5 advertising to see if we can't, again, try to stir
- 6 up some interest in relocating some -- what's left

| 7 | of the homes back there. That kind of brings you |
|----|--|
| 8 | up to speed on where it is now. |
| 9 | There are actually, I'm sorry, we have 14 |
| 10 | homes available by the end for demolition by |
| 11 | the end of July, first of August. So we've got |
| 12 | actually eight, nine vacant eight vacant homes |
| 13 | currently back there, and there'll be six more |
| 14 | that the leases run out. And they're not |
| 15 | extending the lease terms beyond July 31st, so |
| 16 | you'll have 14 total by then. And you add another |
| 17 | five by the end of August, which leaves only three |
| 18 | other homes back there, other than what's yet to |
| 19 | have been acquired still in that eminent domain |
| 20 | process. |
| 21 | So, basically everything east of Casa Cola |
| 22 | will be in a position to be be removed over the |
| 23 | last next 90 to 120 days. With the exception |
| 24 | of those properties we've yet to acquire, |
| 25 | obviously. |
| | |

14

| 1 | Structure rehab, a lot of that steel's been |
|---|--|
| 2 | relocated and has work has begun a little more |
| 3 | in earnest on getting that that steel treated, |
| 1 | covered and reworked there. So |

5 This month, presentations are or have

| 6 | occurred at Jacksonville Beach's Exchange Club, |
|----|--|
| 7 | and I think tomorrow night another one at the |
| 8 | St. Augustine Republic Club will will occur. |
| 9 | Basically updates on the airport and projects and |
| 10 | just kind of general information kind of |
| 11 | presentations. |
| 12 | Airport leasing activities. Second floor, |
| 13 | that is an agenda item a little later. We have |
| 14 | been able to confirm a number of tenant interests |
| 15 | up there including a single tenant to occupy all |
| 16 | of it for a period of between one to two years, |
| 17 | which case they would hopefully be moving into |
| 18 | facilities that support their aviation operation |
| 19 | on another part of the airport so they would no |
| 20 | longer need it. |
| 21 | But it would would allow for that to get, |
| 22 | not only built out, but occupied with a single |
| 23 | tenant for the for the short term, anyway, the |
| 24 | first couple of years. That's a little later. |
| 25 | We've got another brief presentation on that as an |

- 1 agenda item.
- 2 Last throw is the Aero Sport thing at --
- 3 we've presented them with a final review document.

| 4 | They are in the process of looking that over, and |
|----|---|
| 5 | I suspect it will be through everything and ready |
| 6 | to finish this thing in the at the August |
| 7 | meeting here on the 16th. So, at this point, |
| 8 | though, they're minor items and scheduling of a |
| 9 | survey to be done on the metes and bounds of |
| 10 | this of the FBO leasehold is really all that's |
| 11 | left to do there. |
| 12 | Financial planning. Just make a note that |
| 13 | there's an item on this to go over the financial |
| 14 | planning, and that that will be a little later |
| 15 | on the agenda item, a more detailed presentation |
| 16 | on that. So, we we do have your first blush |
| 17 | ready for you here to look at. |
| 18 | Airport Master Plan. Gloria is here with LPA |
| 19 | to kind of walk through a very very brief |
| 20 | presentation. |
| 21 | MS. LOUNGEWAY: Over the last month, we have |
| 22 | been completing the write-up and kind of analysis |
| 23 | of like preliminary cost estimates on the various |
| 24 | alternatives and finalizing an assessment of the |

environmental impacts. We will hopefully get that

16

- 1 to you -- get a kind of draft write-up to Staff
- 2 sometime next week.

| 3 | We would like to schedule a public meeting |
|----|--|
| 4 | the first week of August to take the alternatives |
| 5 | to the public, which is part of which is part |
| 6 | of our scope. And we were hoping to do it either |
| 7 | the first Monday or Tuesday of the month, if that |
| 8 | meets with the board's approval. And we would |
| 9 | generally do it in the early evening hours, and I |
| 10 | think our thought is is to do kind of a |
| 11 | workshop kind of board presentation where people |
| 12 | can walk around and ask questions more one-on-one. |
| 13 | MR. WUELLNER: Does anybody have a conflict |
| 14 | with that date schedule and |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: You said Monday or Tuesday |
| 16 | right? |
| 17 | MR. WUELLNER: It's Monday or Tuesday. |
| 18 | MS. LOUNGEWAY: Monday or Tuesday. |
| 19 | MR. WUELLNER: It's a fairly informal thing. |
| 20 | It's not a meeting-meeting, like you're custom |
| 21 | here. It's basically open-to-the-public displays |
| 22 | there. They can walk through, ask questions of |
| 23 | consultant and staff. It's a wouldn't be a bad |
| 24 | idea to be here if you |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine, Monday or |

- 1 Tuesday. Joe? Monday or Tuesday?
- 2 MR. CIRIELLO: Anything's good for me.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.
- 4 MS. LOUNGEWAY: Okay. Since that works out,
- 5 we will probably come back to the board at the
- 6 August meeting with our final recommendations,
- 7 taking into account the public input and also
- 8 around that -- that same date, hopefully we'll
- 9 have the last Technical Advisory Committee meeting
- on the alternatives.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Saying like -- our usual,
- like around 4 o'clock, 5 o'clock? You said later.
- MS. LOUNGEWAY: 5:00. I mean, we want to try
- and be accommodating to people's work schedules.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Work people? Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: We can do something like 5:00
- to 7:00, something like that, window of time.
- MS. LOUNGEWAY: And that's all I have this
- month.
- MR. COX: And you guys are bringing hors
- d'oeuvres and soft drinks, right?
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Soft drinks.
- MS. LOUNGEWAY: Soft drinks.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I just said.
- 7.B. T.R.I.M. RATE ADOPTION

| 1 | MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Per your rearranged |
|----|--|
| 2 | agenda item, this meeting, we need to consider |
| 3 | adopting the TRIM you're good? |
| 4 | MR. BURNETT: Yeah. |
| 5 | MR. WUELLNER: The TRIM rate, which is the |
| 6 | lack of better terms, the not-to-exceed millage |
| 7 | that the Authority would consider as it moves |
| 8 | through its final budget discussions as well as |
| 9 | the two public hearings required by Florida |
| 10 | Statutes to formally adopt your budget, including |
| 11 | the ad valorem assessment. |
| 12 | Last year's adopted millage rate was .2356, |
| 13 | and it would be our recommendation that the |
| 14 | Authority consider adopting the millage rate at |
| 15 | that level this year, as we do not anticipate when |
| 16 | you when you factor in increase likely |
| 17 | increase of the tax base that's occurred in the |
| 18 | last year, that that would allow the Authority |
| 19 | adequate flexibility to still maintain the same |
| 20 | total of ad valorem dollars, but that would |
| 21 | also that would generate a lesser requirement |
| 22 | for a millage rate. |
| 23 | So, the rate for individuals would go down. |
| 24 | The total dollars could remain the same. This |
| | |

just affords the luxury of holding last year's

| 1 | millage rate and whatever tax increase would be |
|----|--|
| 2 | associated with it. It's not a recommendation to |
| 3 | take the tax increase; it's just to simply hold |
| 4 | the worst-case scenario at last year's rate. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? Yes. |
| 6 | MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road. |
| 7 | If I may to the Chair, to the Executive Director, |
| 8 | Ed, does this reflect the board's step several |
| 9 | months ago in which they plan to begin a process |
| 10 | over a few years of reducing the dependence on the |
| 11 | ad valorem tax? |
| 12 | MR. WUELLNER: I think it's consistent to |
| 13 | this point. This preserves last year's rate as |
| 14 | the absolute worst-case scenario. The the |
| 15 | Authority, as far as the budget presentation we |
| 16 | made, we are presenting that it would be |
| 17 | reduced that the millage rate would be reduced |
| 18 | to reflect the same total ad valorem dollars to |
| 19 | the Airport Authority. |
| 20 | So we would take what's called the |
| 21 | rolled-back rate into next year, or at least |
| 22 | that's the Staff presentation regarding budget |
| 23 | that was made last last month, I believe it |
| 24 | was. It will be up to the board to decide |

| 1 | scenario would be is the rate you had this year is |
|----|--|
| 2 | the rate you would have next year, if you adopt |
| 3 | this. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Martinelli? Thank you |
| 5 | Mr. Hickox. |
| 6 | MR. MARTINELLI: I think that it bears |
| 7 | repeating that this is a preliminary and that you |
| 8 | can go down from here, not up, okay? |
| 9 | And so, basically, what this is saying is, if |
| 10 | the new assessments for the coming year remain |
| 11 | what they were last year, which is cannot be |
| 12 | because there have been increases during the year, |
| 13 | anyway. But statistically if they remain the |
| 14 | same, then this rate would return the same ad |
| 15 | valorem revenue to the Airport Authority as last |
| 16 | year. |
| 17 | If the tax base goes up, which in fact it has |
| 18 | all during this year, to return the same dollars |
| 19 | to this airport as last year, the rate would go |
| 20 | down. And so, all you're doing now is saying, |
| 21 | this is the maximum rate that we can charge. When |
| 22 | we finally complete our budget, it can go down, |
| 23 | and it probably will. |

24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?

25 (No further public comment.)

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

21

| MR. GORMAN: This of all days is probably one of the most important days financially if you're going to be a board member. If I just to keep things simple, this is the day the rubber meets the road. If you're going to actually prove to the public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none. Board comment, |
|---|----|--|
| of the most important days financially if you're going to be a board member. If I just to keep things simple, this is the day the rubber meets the road. If you're going to actually prove to the public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 2 | Mr. Gorman? |
| going to be a board member. If I just to keep things simple, this is the day the rubber meets the road. If you're going to actually prove to the public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 3 | MR. GORMAN: This of all days is probably one |
| things simple, this is the day the rubber meets the road. If you're going to actually prove to the public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 4 | of the most important days financially if you're |
| the road. If you're going to actually prove to the public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 5 | going to be a board member. If I just to keep |
| If you're going to actually prove to the public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 6 | things simple, this is the day the rubber meets |
| public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 7 | the road. |
| been told that we could get off the tax rolls in five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 8 | If you're going to actually prove to the |
| five years, then to me, this would be the day to reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 9 | public that you're going to reduce taxes, and I've |
| reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 10 | been told that we could get off the tax rolls in |
| percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little calculator works18848. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 11 | five years, then to me, this would be the day to |
| 14 calculator works18848. 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 12 | reduce it 20 percent. If you reduce that 20 |
| 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. | 13 | percent, you'd come to .8848, if my little |
| · | 14 | calculator works18848. |
| 16 MD CODMAN I 1 19 5 19 1 1 1 | 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. |
| MK. GUKMAN: Looks like it didn't work. | 16 | MR. GORMAN: Looks like it didn't work. |
| MR. WUELLNER: Is a a 20 percent | 17 | MR. WUELLNER: Is a a 20 percent |
| reduction? | 18 | reduction? |
| MR. GORMAN: It's as simple as that. In | 19 | MR. GORMAN: It's as simple as that. In |
| other words, you've got when does it happen? | 20 | other words, you've got when does it happen? |

When do we actually roll the taxes back? When do

- 22 we actually stop? 23 MR. WUELLNER: What -- what is 18848? 24 MR. GORMAN: It's 20 percent less. Just 20 25 percent less. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 22 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 20 percent less. 2 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 3 MR. GORMAN: It's a 20 percent reduction in the overall rate. You know, I -- I tried to read 4 5 it, my writing, and it didn't work. It's as 6 simple as that. I mean, when do we do it? 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe? 8 MR. CIRIELLO: Ed gave the last year's
 - 9 millage rate and everything, but what would the 10 rollback rate this year be? 11 MR. WUELLNER: I don't have those numbers 12 yet. The County Property Appraiser's Office 13 requested a two-week extension of that schedule 14 and they will not be -- that information will not 15 be able to us until after the 15th. 16 MR. CIRIELLO: Oh. 17 MR. WUELLNER: So I don't -- I can't 18 calculate the rollback rate at this point for you. 19 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I -- I just wondered,

because the first two years on the board, I will

- say that it was my insistence with the board
- members then to go with the rollback rate, rather
- than maintain the present rate, because then for
- four years, it was at .28, and we went down from
- 25 .28 to .25 to this. And then last year, I tried

- 1 to get the board to go with the rolled-back rate,
- 2 and they didn't do it, they stayed here. But --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: No. We -- you did take the
- 4 rolled-back rate last year.
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: We did?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, you did.
- 7 MR. CIRIELLO: I thought that last year, when
- 8 I wanted to go to rollback rate --
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: You've taken a couple --
- 10 MR. CIRIELLO: -- I didn't make it.
- MR. WUELLNER: No. Where you didn't make it
- was -- for this purpose, was for the TRIM. The
- board -- the Authority preserved its options until
- which time as they finished their budget
- deliberations and then took the rolled-back rate.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have no problems with
- this .235 for right now because we can lower it.
- 18 I mean --

- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- there's no sense in
- 21 lowering it now.
- MR. WUELLNER: And intend to, frankly.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Or even set it higher,
- 24 who cares, because you know you can always bring
- 25 it down. But I -- I have a problem with hearing

- 1 us getting off the tax rolls, you know. And, I'm
- 2 sorry, it just won't happen --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Well --
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: -- no matter what you try to
- 5 do. A few years before the board went with the
- 6 .28, because of around election time, a couple of
- 7 years, the board started reducing the tax rates --
- 8 and I think you can remember, Victor.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: I remember.
- MR. CIRIELLO: You lowered so far, that then
- 11 you come in with a catastrophe of needing a lot of
- maintenance work done and then you had to raise it
- way up to get the money to do it. You remember
- that, Victor?
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah.
- MR. MARTINELLI: (Nods head.)
- MR. CIRIELLO: So if you keep lowering it

18 just for the sake of lowering, you've got to take 19 into consideration you could run yourself into a 20 corner. 21 So, I -- I'm not too concerned with how low 22 we can go. I'd like to see it reduced every year 23 a little bit, if we can make sense of it. But I 24 have no problems with this, because I know we can 25 lower it. But I'm -- I'm interested in the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

25

1 rollback rate. And, gee whiz, it's only a matter 2 of a few pennies when you go to the rollback 3 rate --4 MR. WUELLNER: Right. 5 MR. CIRIELLO: -- so you're not really 6 cutting a lot. Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment? I 8 just want to make one comment like Mr. Ciriello, 9 who's been on the board longer than I, that we 10 have historically set the TRIM and then taken the 11 rollback rate, because our budgeting has gotten so 12 much more defined as to where we're looking. 13 So... All right. No more public com -- or no 14 more comment from the board. Is there a motion?

MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make the motion to accept

| 16 | Staff's recommendation for the TRIM at .2356. |
|----|--|
| 17 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? |
| 18 | MR. COX: Second. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? |
| 20 | (No further discussion.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor? |
| 22 | MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. COX: Aye. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed? |
| 25 | MR. GORMAN: Nay. |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 26 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: One opposed. Three |
| 2 | approved. Staff recommendation will be accepted. |
| 3 | MR. WUELLNER: We're still waiting on Mark to |
| 4 | show in fact, that might be him there. It is. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. |
| 6 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, you're right on cue. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you ready, Mr. Arnold? |
| 8 | MR. WUELLNER: All we needed was a |
| 9 | MR. ARNOLD: They say timing's everything in |
| 10 | life. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I had to play judge for a |
| 12 | minute, you know, "Are you ready, Mr. Arnold?" |
| 13 | MR. COX: We need to turn that microphone on. |
| 14 | It hasn't been on for the last three speakers. If |

15 he's going to use that. 16 MR. WUELLNER: You get no break. You're on. 17 7.C. - EMINENT DOMAIN APPRAISALS & RESOLUTION 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Arnold, we are at 19 action -- or action item B, eminent domain 20 appraisals, resolution. 21 MR. ARNOLD: Pardon me? 22 MR. COX: We're at your point. 23 MR. ARNOLD: Let me just read what it is. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Up there (indicating). 25 MR. WUELLNER: Basically --AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 27 1 MR. ARNOLD: All right. 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Basically, they have 3 not -- they are for the first time -- Christine, 4 I'll let you toss those around the table, if you 5 will. But the next slide basically shows the 6 values based on the address as preliminary 7 determination. I don't think you've received

8

9

10

11

12

final reports yet, either.

MR. ARNOLD: No, I have not.

reports issued by the appraiser, the valuations

that will be on the screen are what has -- has

MR. WUELLNER: But based on the preliminary

file: ///S | Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board % 20 Mtg % 20 Info/Minutes/2004 % 20 Minutes/Board % 20 Meeting % 2007 1204.txt [11/16/2010 2:13:53 PM]

| 13 | been preliminarily determined. |
|----|--|
| 14 | You have a little more detail than what will |
| 15 | be on the screen. It's not particularly |
| 16 | informational other than we we have elected, at |
| 17 | least from a from a presentation standpoint, to |
| 18 | omit the names of the parcel owners. It's just |
| 19 | simply identified by address. You have that |
| 20 | information in front of you, if you want to make |
| 21 | the correlation, but we felt like it really wasn't |
| 22 | in general needed to be needed to be handled |
| 23 | that way, although there's no reason it can't be. |
| 24 | What we are looking for, what will Mark |
| 25 | will review those properties and the values that |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| 1 | have been determined. The amounts you see in |

- 2 parenthesis when it comes up is -- is the amount
- 3 where, in the couple of cases you had appraisals
- 4 done two or three years ago, and that's the
- 5 previous year's appraised value or the previous
- 6 time's appraised value, just so you have some idea
- 7 of what that correlation is.
- 8 What we're looking to do today is dis -- have
- 9 any discussion you'd like to have relative to
- those values as they were determined, and we would
- like some direction from a Staff side as to what

| 12 | you would like us to offer, preferably expressed |
|----|--|
| 13 | as a percentage or something along that line, |
| 14 | relative to those values as a way of getting the |
| 15 | ball off dead center in the in the process. |
| 16 | Mark, I'm sure, will detail to some extent, but at |
| 17 | some point not today, but at some point, a |
| 18 | resolution will be required. |
| 19 | Our suggestion is you consider that at your |
| 20 | August meeting, which would move into the process |
| 21 | of eminent domain and make that formal declaration |
| 22 | of of need and move it forward, and from that |
| 23 | point, largely, your your involvement in it is |
| 24 | pretty much done. It's handled by Staff and |
| 25 | attorneys from that point on, and we'll we'll |

29

| 1 | let you keep you informed of the schedules, but |
|---|---|
| 2 | basically it will it will just run its course |
| 3 | through the through the legal system. |
| 4 | With that, Mark's here to answer questions |
| 5 | about not only the appraisals to some degree, not |
| 6 | the details of how it was appraised, but the |
| 7 | values and how they were determined and what that |
| 8 | means to you. So, these are the the |

9

appraisals.

| 10 | MR. ARNOLD: Let me mention one thing. One |
|----|--|
| 11 | of the reasons why these are preliminary values is |
| 12 | the appraiser, for whatever reason, has had |
| 13 | difficulty getting into some of the homes; it's |
| 14 | been inconvenient for some of the owners, whether |
| 15 | it be because of the summer, timing or whatever |
| 16 | the case may be. |
| 17 | And we've also contacted the owners' |
| 18 | attorneys to try to facilitate the entry into the |
| 19 | home, just to make sure when we're talking |
| 20 | about improved properties, just to make sure the |
| 21 | value conclusions that they render once they |
| 22 | finalize their report are as close to being market |
| 23 | value as possible. |
| 24 | So, if you had questions as to why we only |
| 25 | have a preliminary number right now, that is the |
| | |

| 1 | main reason. And they we to the extent they |
|---|--|
| 2 | can, they've verified what their value conclusions |
| 3 | are through the Property Appraiser's records as to |
| 4 | those particular properties that are improved. |
| 5 | MR. WUELLNER: And you can see, at least in |
| 6 | one case, 312 Araquay, the valuation is |
| 7 | substantially less in the current appraisal. If |
| 8 | you recall, that's the home that experienced the |

| 9 | fire last it was late winter, early spring, and |
|----|---|
| 10 | now, instead of talking a structure on the |
| 11 | property, it's simply a land value. |
| 12 | In the other cases, the other two I think are |
| 13 | 387 and 395 Indian Bend, were the only two |
| 14 | occurrences where an appraisal of this level was |
| 15 | accomplished, what, three or four years ago, I |
| 16 | don't remember exactly, when the Authority |
| 17 | considered eminent domain back then. You can see |
| 18 | that they've experienced a fairly significant |
| 19 | increase since that time. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to open up to |
| 21 | public comment, Mark, if that's |
| 22 | MR. ARNOLD: That's fine, unless the board |
| 23 | members have any questions. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I I take them second. |
| 25 | MR. ARNOLD: Okay. |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 31 |
| | 31 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment? |
| 2 | (No public comment.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing no public comment, |
| 4 | board comment? |
| 5 | MR. GORMAN: Which one of these are vacant |
| 6 | and vacant property or vacant homes, and which |

- 7 are occupied homes that are resisting?
- 8 MR. ARNOLD: Vacant property. Of course, 312
- 9 Araquay. I believe Lot 20.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes. 308.
- MR. ARNOLD: And is 317? No, that's
- improved.
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: 308.
- MR. ARNOLD: 308? Correct.
- MR. GORMAN: Let me rephrase that. Which of
- these are occupied, then?
- 17 MR. ARNOLD: I -- off the top of my head, I
- cannot tell you which ones are occupied.
- MR. WUELLNER: Owner occupied?
- MR. GORMAN: Occupied with people that are
- 21 resisting the -- the acclamation (sic) of their
- home by eminent domain? Which ones of these
- properties are they?
- MR. WUELLNER: Occupieds would be 211 Indian
- Bend. 250, we've had discussions with, however,

- that's not coming to fruition quickly, 250. 308
- 2 is a vacant lot. 312 is the -- where the -- where
- 3 the fire was. So it's basically a lot now. Wiley
- 4 is -- has a structure on it.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: That's not occupied?

| 6 | MR. WUELLNER: To be honest, I'm not sure. |
|----|--|
| 7 | It looks like a garage, but it may be it may be |
| 8 | a home. 344 is occupied. 353 is occupied. Lot |
| 9 | 20 is is a vacant lot that the re it's |
| 10 | basically got a well that serves a number of the |
| 11 | homes there. 387 is occupied. 395 is occupied. |
| 12 | As is 4730. So, all but three are occupied. |
| 13 | MR. GORMAN: I understand in a previous |
| 14 | briefing you gave us about the the taking of |
| 15 | eminent domain, that many times these cases of |
| 16 | eminent domain go to a jury? |
| 17 | MR. ARNOLD: That is correct. Sometimes. |
| 18 | About 5 percent of the time. |
| 19 | MR. GORMAN: Last time we discussed that map, |
| 20 | it looked like, to me, that there was an entire |
| 21 | case or an area of that almost was encompassed |
| 22 | where the ones in white that were not actually |
| 23 | airport property now, we'd have to go back to that |
| 24 | map to look at this, were vacant lots. |

33

1 the point where you could, with some common sense,

What I'm trying to do is just come back to

- 2 acquire vacant lots, and I -- I'm certain that a
- 3 judge would have much less sympathy for someone

- 4 that owned a vacant lot than someone who was in
- 5 the house, as far as a large award.
- 6 MR. ARNOLD: Is -- well, first of all, keep
- 7 in mind --
- 8 MR. GORMAN: Just from a purely business
- 9 practical standpoint --
- MR. ARNOLD: Keep in mind the judge
- determines not the value. The -- the judicial
- determination that a court will make is whether or
- not you have a public purpose, that there's
- reasonable necessity to acquire the property for
- that public purpose, and if you've done a valid
- 16 appraisal.
- 17 After that, it is a jury determination as to
- what the value of the property is. And your -- I
- 19 think your question or point is that jurors tend
- 20 to sympathize with property owners.
- MR. GORMAN: Yes, that would be my point.
- MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: And that would be -- that -- and
- then, just from a purely business standpoint, I
- would -- I cannot -- it seems we'd be engaged in

- 1 litigation against a homeowner for quite a while.
- 2 MR. ARNOLD: It's -- well, is -- that has

- 3 nothing to do with the amount of time, except the
- 4 judge will determine when the owner has to vacate
- 5 the property. The judge makes that determination.
- 6 But as far as when you go to trial, whether or not
- 7 it's occupied or not, generally the trials of
- 8 these cases take anywhere from 6 months to 18
- 9 months to get before a jury, if that's where they
- 10 end up.
- But as far as the sympathy factor goes, ten
- years ago, I would have told you unequivocally,
- yes, that jurors tend to sympathize with
- 14 homeowners and property owners significantly more
- than they ever do with condemning authorities.
- 16 Unfortunately, and I represent mostly property
- owners, I've seen that cutting both ways in the
- last few years. There's been a lot of publicity
- 19 about condemning authorities paying too much for
- 20 properties.
- MR. GORMAN: I'd hate to take an 84-year-old
- lady in front of a jury, if I were you.
- MR. ARNOLD: Well, I would, too. Or someone
- in a wheelchair. I've represented them both.
- MR. GORMAN: The point of the discussion

| 1 | being my discussion being, that there is an |
|----|--|
| 2 | area we could acquire that would be vacant lots |
| 3 | and then move ahead with construction without |
| 4 | trying to displace people. And that's probably |
| 5 | the end of my discussion. |
| 6 | MR. ARNOLD: And and I don't know that. I |
| 7 | don't know the answer to that question. I think |
| 8 | your consultants could better tell you that. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe? |
| 10 | MR. CIRIELLO: Yes, Madam Chair. Have you or |
| 11 | anybody in authority on this talked to any one of |
| 12 | these people before us? Actually talked to any |
| 13 | one of them in negotiations to buy their property? |
| 14 | MR. ARNOLD: It would be improper for me to |
| 15 | discuss it directly with them, since most of them |
| 16 | are represented by attorneys. |
| 17 | I have discussed with Mr. Yerkes their |
| 18 | situation on the owners that he represents and |
| 19 | have tried to contact the other attorney who |
| 20 | represents I believe some other owners, asking |
| 21 | them to contact me regarding getting the appraiser |
| 22 | into the facility. |
| 23 | MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, let me put it this |
| 24 | way, then. Is there any movement on our behalf |
| 25 | that any of these people have been talked to by |

- 1 whoever's allowed to talk to them to see if
- they're willing to sell? I mean, in other words,
- 3 I want to know which one of these --
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: -- is it reasonable for us to
- 6 assume we're going to get their property without
- 7 any fight, and which ones we won't.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have -- no one on
- 9 this list who has -- otherwise, you'd have it as a
- sales contract already. But we have had
- discussions with 308, 250 Indian Bend, as well as
- 12 4730 in Casa Cola. Conversations as recent as
- today with some of them.
- So, they are talking to us. They are --
- where it is right now is we're at this point
- 16 negotiating or having discussions with them
- 17 relative to their properties, while simultaneously
- 18 keeping this part of it on track so that in the
- 19 event they do not wish to do that voluntarily as
- 20 they move ahead, you have not restricted yourself
- and have to start this process over.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Are you getting any feeling
- from any of them that we're going to be able to,
- oh, deal with them in good faith, that --
- MR. WUELLNER: I think we always deal with

1 them in good faith. 2 MR. CIRIELLO: I know. 3 MR. WUELLNER: I think there's always a --4 MR. CIRIELLO: I mean, that they're -- that 5 they're, you know, thinking, well, yeah, we're 6 going to compromise or we're going to do something 7 here without a big fight. 8 MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah. I mean, I don't 9 think there's a person out there that we've talked 10 to that, if we don't mind spending what they ask 11 for it, they're -- they're willing to take it. 12 MR. CIRIELLO: I was under the impression 13 there was a few people out that said --MR. WUELLNER: It's not grounded in reality. 14 15 MR. CIRIELLO: -- no matter what you offer 16 them, they don't want to sell. 17 MR. WUELLNER: The requests we've had so far 18 are not grounded or backed up by any type of 19 reality or appraisal value. 20 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I --21 MR. WUELLNER: What we're trying to do is 22 find solutions to the problem that allow us -- us 23 to work within -- within reason.

MR. CIRIELLO: I must have been --

| 1 | be achieved. It may you know, that's why this |
|----|--|
| 2 | process is in place, to assure that they are |
| 3 | treated fairly. |
| 4 | MR. CIRIELLO: I thought there was some |
| 5 | people that money mattered nothing, that it's they |
| 6 | didn't want to move no matter what the money was, |
| 7 | and that |
| 8 | MR. WUELLNER: The three we're talking to, |
| 9 | it's or actually, a fourth one in there, it at |
| 10 | this point is literally a money issue and a |
| 11 | function of value; you know, what we're willing to |
| 12 | write a check for versus what they're willing to |
| 13 | leave for. And and we're trying to make sense |
| 14 | of it in terms of valuation. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Bob? |
| 16 | MR. COX: With your experience in these |
| 17 | cases you said you've represented on both |
| 18 | sides what's your feeling about the within |
| 19 | the parameters that you mentioned going forward, |
| 20 | for the Airport to go toward with eminent domain? |
| 21 | Could you I think you had three points the |
| 22 | judge will look at, several different |
| 23 | MR ARNOLD: Purpose reasonable necessity |

- and that you've done a valid appraisal.
- MR. COX: Okay. And from your experience,

39

| 1 | does the Airport sit in a pretty strong position |
|----|--|
| 2 | from that? |
| 3 | MR. ARNOLD: From what I've seen of the |
| 4 | Airport's Master Plan, there is a public purpose |
| 5 | and a reasonable necessity to acquire this |
| 6 | property to fulfill that purpose. |
| 7 | MR. COX: Right. |
| 8 | MR. ARNOLD: When the Court looks at the |
| 9 | appraisal, the Court's not looking at it to say |
| 10 | you're right or you're wrong as to the value that |
| 11 | the appraisers come up with; he's looking at it to |
| 12 | see if the appraiser has fulfilled the USPAP |
| 13 | standards, which is the Uniform Standards of |
| 14 | Professional Appraisal Practice. |
| 15 | I will say one thing, and I'm quite candid |
| 16 | when I say this, is I think, from what I've seen |
| 17 | thus far of the Authority and their efforts, they |
| 18 | have made significant offers for these properties |
| 19 | over and above possibly in many cases what the |

appraisal says the property is worth, which I

think is very prudent on their part.

20

| 23 | And when an offer is made, it will be made based |
|----|--|
| 24 | upon the calculation that when you make an offer |
| 25 | that's significantly more than the appraisal, it |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 40 |
| | |
| 1 | puts the attorney for the property owner at risk, |
| 2 | because his fees are determined by the difference |
| 3 | between the original offer and whatever the final |
| 4 | judgment is. |
| 5 | I mean, I wish that we had something to work |
| 6 | with as far as appraisals from the owners, because |
| 7 | I could give you a little bit more information, |
| 8 | but right now, you're basically bidding against |
| 9 | yourself. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, from us, do you need |
| 11 | some direction as to, please go ahead and |
| 12 | negotiate in good faith? Do you need a ceiling? |
| 13 | Do you |
| 14 | MR. WUELLNER: We we would prefer some |
| 15 | sort of a ceiling be established, even if it's a |
| 16 | percentage of the of what the appraised value |
| 17 | is established here. |
| 18 | Mark and I have discussed it and would like |
| 19 | to be in the 40 percent range, as being the |
| 20 | latitude when you when you factor in all of the |

22

I think they're doing what they need to do.

| 21 | other things that the Authority could cost, the |
|----|---|
| 22 | exposure that the Authority could have in going |
| 23 | through the full process later on, that that |
| 24 | certainly represents a good first solid approach |
| 25 | to the to the owners. |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 41 |
| | |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mark, you had verbal |
| 2 | conversations with our appraiser, as far as |
| 3 | getting these prelims? |
| 4 | MR. ARNOLD: Yes, I did. He has not rendered |
| 5 | a report yet, but he was able to give me a, you |
| 6 | know, "This is about mid-range where I'm coming |
| 7 | in. I feel pretty comfortable with these |
| 8 | numbers." |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment? |
| 10 | (No further board comment.) |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess then we have a |
| 12 | motion that would be needed to allow Staff to set |
| 13 | a ceiling or some type of percentage that they're |
| 14 | allowed to negotiate. |
| 15 | MR. COX: I'll move to accept the Staff |
| 16 | recommendation of 40 percent to allow them the |
| 17 | leeway to negotiate and move forward with that. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? |

- MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second it just so we can
 discuss it. I wanted to ask Bob what he meant by
 that last couple of words in there, to go ahead
- MR. COX: To move forward with what Ed was
- talking about. To move forward with the leeway,
- 25 to allow them the leeway to --

with it. I have no problems --

22

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

- 1 MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, oh, okay.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Extending an offer for it.
- 3 MR. COX: Exactly.
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: I have no problems with that.
- 5 MR. COX: That's all.
- 6 MR. CIRIELLO: But I would have a problem if
- 7 anything in that motion said that once the
- 8 negotiation part was done, even with 40 percent,
- 9 if somebody said, "No, I don't want that; I want
- more money," or, "I don't want any," then to move
- into eminent domain. I -- I don't want that. But
- if -- if that's not in your motion, then I'm okay
- with it.
- MR. WUELLNER: Earliest you would formally
- move down that road is at your August meeting.
- 16 That's the earliest that --
- MR. CIRIELLO: Right now, we're just letting

18 him go ahead and negotiate and see what we can do 19 in good faith. 20 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 21 MR. CIRIELLO: Eminent domain is not a part 22 of this. 23 MR. WUELLNER: Based on the new appraisals 24 you've got. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. But eminent domain is 25 AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 43 1 not a part. Then I can go with that. 2 MR. ARNOLD: Just -- just for clarification, 3 until we bring a resolution before you, we can't 4 go through eminent domain. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 6 MR. ARNOLD: You have that say and nobody 7 else. 8 MR. CIRIELLO: I'm good with that. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a first and a 10 second. Any further discussion? 11 (No further discussion.) 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion 13 to accept Staff's recommendation for negotiations, 14 say aye.

15

MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

| 16 | MR. COX: Aye. |
|----|--|
| 17 | MR. GORMAN: Aye. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. Any opposed? |
| 19 | (No opposition.) |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the |
| 21 | motion passes. |
| 22 | MR. CIRIELLO: What did you say? I didn't |
| 23 | hear. |
| 24 | MR. GORMAN: I said aye. We can negotiate |
| 25 | it. If they'll just sell it, fine. |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 44 |
| | |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next agenda item is the |
| 2 | terminal second floor office space. |
| 3 | MR. WUELLNER: Talk to you tomorrow. I'm |
| 4 | feeling lucky today. |
| 5 | MR. ARNOLD: You should be. |
| 6 | 7.D TERMINAL 2ND FLOOR OFFICE SPACE |
| 7 | MR. WUELLNER: Second floor buildout. As I |
| 8 | mentioned in the project updates, we have |
| 9 | identified actually, identified three or four |
| 10 | folks interested in leasing the facility, only one |
| 11 | of which is currently still interested in leasing |
| 12 | all of it. It would require the Authority to |
| 13 | build that out. |
| 14 | It is conditioned upon I say conditioned, |

| 15 | but the time that they are interested in leasing |
|----|--|
| 16 | it for is tied to the Authority's being able to be |
| 17 | responsive to building a hangar facility that |
| 18 | includes the office facility over the next one- to |
| 19 | two-year time line. |
| 20 | So, it's it's somebody that's on our |
| 21 | waiting list, somebody who's expressed an interest |
| 22 | as a commercial entity, is interested in leasing |
| 23 | that as a way of getting up and running on the |
| 24 | airport and in conjunction with another hangar on |
| 25 | the airport that we would bring to you later as |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 45 |
| | |
| 1 | part of lease-related in request. |
| 2 | But basically, they've committed to leasing |

3 that, if you commit to going ahead and doing the 4 buildout. And they would remain there what time 5 is necessary to occupy a new facility. That would 6 leave all of that -- once built out, would allow 7 then you could consider or lease it to smaller, 8 you know, folks looking for one office or 9 something along that line. But initially, it would -- our suggestion is 10 it would go to the single tenant. That person 11

would -- that company would occupy it for the

| 13 | eighteen months to two years or whatever time it |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 14 | takes to build, at which time they vacate into new |
| 15 | facilities, that was those offices become |
| 16 | re-rentable to smaller or other single tenants, |
| 17 | whatever we can identify in eighteen months to two |
| 18 | years. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? |
| 20 | (No public comment.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: No public comment. |
| 22 | Mr. Gorman? |
| 23 | MR. GORMAN: Is it an aviation? |
| 24 | MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. |
| 25 | MR. GORMAN: And they we're not doing |
| | |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 46 |
| | , and the second se |
| 1 | , and the second se |
| 1 2 | 46 |
| - | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a |
| 2 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. |
| 2 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's not |
| 2 3 4 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's not we're not trading. |
| 2 3 4 5 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's not we're not trading. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's not we're not trading. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. GORMAN: It's not someone we're actually |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's not we're not trading. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. GORMAN: It's not someone we're actually doing business with as a contractor, so it's just |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | business with them? It isn't a trade? It's a MR. WUELLNER: No, it is a new tenant. MR. GORMAN: In other words, it's not we're not trading. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. GORMAN: It's not someone we're actually doing business with as a contractor, so it's just cash in our pocket. |

| 12 | the entire |
|----|--|
| 13 | MR. WUELLNER: Entirety of the second floor. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: So that if we wanted to put |
| 15 | up new nonstructural walls down the road two years |
| 16 | when they vacate, it's not |
| 17 | MR. WUELLNER: You could do whatever you |
| 18 | wanted to. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are they possible future |
| 20 | tenants for smaller office space, too, up there? |
| 21 | MR. WUELLNER: Are they? |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. |
| 23 | MR. WUELLNER: I think they would hope that |
| 24 | what's built for them to occupy would meet their |
| 25 | needs. |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: But it would get us |
|---|--|
| 2 | MR. WUELLNER: I don't think they'd prefer to |
| 3 | do separate operations, however |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: It would get us a tenant at |
| 5 | least for 18 months or so, which we don't |
| 6 | MR. COX: Immediately. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: have right now. |
| 8 | MR. COX: Right. |
| 9 | MR. WUELLNER: Right. |

| 10 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: And what's been this is |
|----|--|
| 11 | what we've been advertising, right? |
| 12 | MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Uh-huh. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I just signed a check |
| 14 | today. It's been an expense to advertise in the |
| 15 | paper, correct, monthly? |
| 16 | MR. WUELLNER: Monthly. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Substantial. |
| 18 | MR. GORMAN: Question. Is this tenant that |
| 19 | is then going to reoccupy different spaces, are |
| 20 | they going to be a future tenant; they're going to |
| 21 | reoccupy yet another space they were negotiating |
| 22 | with |
| 23 | MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Permanently, though. |
| 24 | MR. GORMAN: In other words, they want to |
| 25 | actually |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | AINTONT AUTHORITT REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 48 |
| | |
| 1 | MR. WUELLNER: Be a long-term tenant on the |
| 2 | airport. |
| 3 | MR. GORMAN: And they're going to build out |
| 4 | at their expense or they're going to build out at |
| 5 | county expense on this |
| 6 | MR. WUELLNER: On the second floor? |

MR. GORMAN: No. I mean when they move.

MR. WUELLNER: When they move, we're still

7

9 negotiating with them on how that would proceed. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe? 11 MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, do they have plans or do 12 we have plans, knowing how big this facility's 13 going to be and do we have the space to put it on 14 right now? 15 MR. WUELLNER: We have identified space that 16 works with their operation. 17 MR. CIRIELLO: Are we talking another 18 corporate hangar for this company, more or less? 19 MR. WUELLNER: Substantially larger than a 20 corporate hangar, yes. 21 MR. CIRIELLO: But we have the space --22 MR. WUELLNER: It would -- it would -- in 23 order of magnitude, it would be in the order of 24 40,000 square foot of hangar with approximately 25 10,000 square foot of office-related facilities.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

49

- 1 MR. CIRIELLO: But it is doable, we can do
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

2

it.

- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: And those of you worried about
- 6 it, it is not an FBO operation.

- 7 MR. COX: Now, just to go back to the point
- 8 about the buildout that we're talking about on
- 9 that second floor is that we would design it or we
- would take input from their design?
- MR. WUELLNER: I think they would like a
- 12 little input at -- they've seen the sketches that
- were done.
- MR. COX: The sketches that we went over the
- 15 other --
- MR. WUELLNER: They have no issues with
- 17 the --
- MR. COX: -- last month?
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: -- B and C option.
- MR. COX: Yeah, right.
- MR. WUELLNER: They can make either one of
- those work.
- MR. COX: That's good.
- MR. WUELLNER: So --
- MR. COX: All right.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: And I -- and I went ahead and
- 2 stuck those back on there just so everybody had
- 3 exhibit-wise.
- 4 MR. COX: So, it basically is a -- like a
- 5 turnkey deal for new tenants to go in after they

| 6 | vacate. |
|----|--|
| 7 | MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. |
| 8 | MR. COX: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. |
| 10 | MR. COX: Great. |
| 11 | MR. WUELLNER: The sizes may get tweaked a |
| 12 | little bit and, you know, and with a little |
| 13 | input from them, but basically it would be |
| 14 | these one of these two layouts or something, a |
| 15 | hybrid of the two. |
| 16 | And then refreshing the cost, you know, I |
| 17 | threw the slide up again for you, but I my |
| 18 | guess is you're going to be between \$140- and |
| 19 | \$147,000, is where the buildout would be. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board |
| 21 | discussion? |
| 22 | (No further board discussion.) |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hear a motion? |
| 24 | MR. COX: I'll move to accept Staff |
| 25 | recommendation to move into a lease, you know, |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUL |

- agreement with this group and get this built out
- 2 so that we can --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Well, more importantly than

| 4 | the lease agreement is we need to get |
|----|--|
| 5 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Start the building |
| 6 | MR. WUELLNER: this part going, because |
| 7 | this has got some lead time with it. |
| 8 | MR. COX: I just assumed that was |
| 9 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, I would like to wrap the |
| 10 | entirety of the project together and bring it to |
| 11 | you, because it likely will include some temporary |
| 12 | hangar space on the airport if that matures and |
| 13 | we'll have that dis you know, I'll detail that |
| 14 | to you probably in August. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Do you want to reword |
| 16 | your motion, or just going to accept Staff's |
| 17 | recommendation? |
| 18 | MR. COX: Yeah, just accept Staff's |
| 19 | recommendation because I'm not I don't know how |
| 20 | to reword it to make it so that it works to |
| 21 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, I the only reason |
| 22 | I'm I'm trying to avoid you committing to the |
| 23 | tenant is that ordin you know, your policy says |
| | |

52

1 identifying the tenant and the commitment, but I'm

we're going to -- we're going to present that

information. I am not doing that today. I'm

2 not -- you know, we're not at a negotiated lease

24

- 3 agreement to hand you today.
- 4 MR. COX: You're looking for --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: What I am trying to do is get
- 6 the --
- 7 MR. COX: -- direction for the buildout.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: -- construction on -- correct.
- 9 MR. COX: I got you.
- MR. WUELLNER: That was -- that was --
- MR. COX: Revise the motion to accept Staff's
- recommendation to move forward with the buildout
- 13 of the --
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
- MR. COX: -- second floor.
- MR. CIRIELLO: (Indicates.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe -- Mr. Ciriello seconds
- the motion. Any further discussion?
- 19 (No further discussion.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of Staff's
- 21 recommendation in the motion, say aye.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
- MR. COX: Aye.
- 24 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?

| 1 | (No opposition.) |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, motion |
| 3 | for Staff's recommendation is accepted. |
| 4 | MR. WUELLNER: Okay. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next is T-hangar rates. |
| 6 | 7.E T-HANGAR RATES |
| 7 | MR. WUELLNER: Right. I've we we |
| 8 | provided, I believe it was a part of your package |
| 9 | or the ROI calculation y'all requested at the |
| 10 | last meeting, but basically the when you |
| 11 | when you do the ROIs on it, strictly on ROI using |
| 12 | the 7 percent identified in your lease policy, in |
| 13 | order to get there, you would need to identify the |
| 14 | next five years for rate increases, |
| 15 | approximating you know, we used a model that |
| 16 | just put it in at \$10 a month for the next five |
| 17 | years and then reverting to kind of a CPI |
| 18 | approach, but I would really like to refocus the |
| 19 | board's attention. |
| 20 | What our original question was, was not |
| 21 | whether we were increasing hangar rates. It was |
| 22 | whether you wished us to develop a policy |
| 23 | pertaining to hangar rates in the future. |
| 24 | So, putting that back together, we've |
| 25 | we've talked a lot about the disparity or where |

- 1 market is and the like in ROI calculations. That
- 2 really wasn't what we were asking to do. It was:
- What do you -- what if anything do you want us to
- 4 do relative to handling hangar -- T-hangar rental
- 5 increases moving forward?
- 6 Do you wish to see that -- and there's
- 7 nothing -- I have no problems with doing this, see
- 8 it annually in the time line as required to react
- 9 to the lease expirations as they happen annually?
- Do you want to establish something that we just
- simply implement each year without additional
- input from the Authority? We don't care. That --
- that was really all the question was originally.
- We've kind of gotten left of center on the
- discussion, but...
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any -- yeah, public
- discussion. Mr. Martinelli? Uh-oh, they moved.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Boy, my arthritis is getting
- to me.
- I first have to say that I am a hangar
- 21 renter, a T-hangar renter, so just to put that on
- 22 the table. But I think what -- if I may, Ed, just
- to kind of paraphrase what you're saying, I think
- 24 what Ed is saying is, do you want to do something
- 25 now which precludes further options, or do you

| 1 | want to keep your options open down the road |
|----|--|
| 2 | and and react at that time to the conditions |
| 3 | that exist at that time, rather than doing |
| 4 | something now predicated upon assumptions of |
| 5 | what's going to be down the road? |
| 6 | And so, you know, if that's not clear, I'll |
| 7 | be happy to explain what's in my mind here. But |
| 8 | basically I think what Ed has proposed is that |
| 9 | choice. And from where I sit, just as a |
| 10 | businessman, forget that I'm a hangar renter, I |
| 11 | think I'd like to keep my options open and take a |
| 12 | look at it at the time that the lease expires and |
| 13 | at that time see what the economic conditions and |
| 14 | everything else are at that time. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Any other public |
| 16 | comment? |
| 17 | (No further public comment.) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board comment. |
| 19 | Mr. Cox? |
| 20 | MR. COX: I I'd like to just put a motion |
| 21 | on the table and then we can discuss it if we want |
| 22 | to. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Have to be seconded to |
| 24 | discuss it. |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Why don't you just discuss |
|----|--|
| 2 | what your motion is. |
| 3 | MR. COX: I think we ought to just sit back |
| 4 | for a while, let the airport stabilize with all |
| 5 | the new construction and any expansion that we |
| 6 | have moving forward, let's stabilize for a little |
| 7 | while, then come back and revisit this issue my |
| 8 | proposal would be for a year. |
| 9 | Table it for a year or or just hold off |
| 10 | for a year, bring this discussion up in a year and |
| 11 | look at it again. We'll have a better valuation |
| 12 | of what the whole area's going to be worth |
| 13 | value-wise and be able to better look look at |
| 14 | what hangar rentals are going to be. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe? |
| 16 | MR. CIRIELLO: I'm not sure if I understand |
| 17 | what you've Mr. Ed is thinking or saying, but I |
| 18 | don't think it's such a big issue that every year, |
| 19 | the board sits down and discuss it and say, let's |
| 20 | keep the rates where they are, let's raise them or |
| 21 | let's lower them. |
| 22 | But if you go and make a commitment for a |
| 23 | block time in years to keep raising them, and I |

- don't know why or how it would ever happen -- it
- doesn't seem to be in this day and age, every

| 1 | year, everything goes up. But if for some reason |
|----|--|
| 2 | or other, maybe like Mr. Vic said, that the |
| 3 | economy goes down and then you maybe have a hassle |
| 4 | trying to lower the rates. |
| 5 | So, I don't think it's any big deal to just |
| 6 | do it on a year-to-year basis and every time it |
| 7 | comes up, that the board can sit down, take a few |
| 8 | minutes and say, well, we'll raise the rates this |
| 9 | year for the next year, you know, or we'll lower |
| 10 | them or we'll keep them where they're at. I don't |
| 11 | particularly like the idea of getting a block of |
| 12 | years done today, not knowing what tomorrow will |
| 13 | bring. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, I have a question. Are |
| 15 | most of our T-hangar leases for one year? |
| 16 | MR. WUELLNER: They are automatically |
| 17 | renewing one-year lease agreements, yes. All on |
| 18 | the same date. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: My thought is similar to the |
| 20 | board in the sense that let's wait a year, let's |
| 21 | have our leases go through, but then when we get |

22 all this construction and buildout done, do we 23 have the option of doing, like we do on 24 commercial, three-year lease, but each year it's a 25 small incremental increase, but then we have a AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 58 1 three-year commitment on a T-hangar? But that's 2 for down the future when things are more --3 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And your automatic 6 provision allows you to adjust the rate at the --7 MR. COX: At the lease end. 8 MR. WUELLNER: -- at the beginning of the 9 next term. 10 MR. COX: At the beginning of the next term. 11 MR. WUELLNER: Or effective the beginning of 12 next term, which always puts it and it kind of 13 squares it right with the budget process that the 14 timing of the lease expiration and -- is exactly 15 with our fiscal year. It allows discussion about 16 hangar rates this time every year, so that it's 17 communicated, you know, promptly so that it's 18 effective October 1st, when rates are usually --19 or are increased.

I don't -- I don't see any problem with

| 21 | reviewing it annually. We we threw it out |
|----|--|
| 22 | because it is one of the areas that, you know, is |
| 23 | always, well, what do we do? We begin this |
| 24 | discussion or start staff development of budget in |
| 25 | April and May. Really, it's earlier than you |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 59 |
| 1 | normally react to it or want to talk about rate |
| 2 | increases. That normally happens after we've |
| | • • • |
| 3 | built a staff budget. |
| 4 | I mean, there's you know, it just saves |
| 5 | iteration of the budget if you decide to do |
| 6 | something in July or August, if you want to |
| 7 | account for the revenue into the next year. Not a |
| 8 | big deal. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then a motion from |
| 10 | the board? |
| 11 | MR. CIRIELLO: Has there not been one made? |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. Well |
| 13 | MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion that |
| 14 | we continue with our hangar policy for one more |
| 15 | year as it is, and if by next year Staff feels |
| 16 | that it should be brought up for a block |
| 17 | contingency, we can do it then. So the motion is |
| 18 | to go for one more year in our present |
| | |

19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then revisit it. 20 MR. CIRIELLO: -- policy. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then revisit it. 22 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. 23 MR. COX: Revisit the issue. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Is there a second? 25 MR. COX: Second. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 60 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion from 2 the board? 3 (No further discussion.) 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor, say aye. 5 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 6 MR. COX: Aye. 7 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed? 9 (No opposition.) 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the 11 motion passes. 12 Next is terminal parking. 7.E. - TERMINAL PARKING PLAN & POLICY 13 14 MR. WUELLNER: A lot of good it did to me to 15 put these in order today. 27? Thank you. 16 Okay. We -- we communicated a first draft of 17 the parking policy. That came in your agendas,

| 18 | but it was absent the proposed, if you will, |
|----|--|
| 19 | designation of the parking, is the Exhibit (sic) 1 |
| 20 | that's referred to throughout it, and due to some |
| 21 | snafus we had with e-mail, we we just didn't |
| 22 | get the exhibit. Passero was kind enough to help |
| 23 | us out with putting the exhibit together, as they |
| 24 | were doing the other drawings for us. |
| 25 | This is basically the exhibit that would |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 61 |
| | |
| 1 | exist in the policy and be referred to as Addendum |
| 2 | (sic) 1, I believe it is, as it's referred |
| 3 | throughout the agreement or throughout the |
| 4 | proposed policy (indicating). |
| 5 | The policy itself, if I can find my copy |
| 6 | here the policy itself's fairly |
| 7 | straightforward. We tried to address all of the |
| 8 | issues we've been hearing over the last last |
| 9 | number of months, anyway, as we've been |
| 10 | considering what to do and how to how to |
| 11 | maximize the number of spots in the terminal area. |
| 12 | Primarily, we start off with a brief |
| 13 | discussion of the purpose, scope and, you know, |
| 14 | definitions that might be applicable in the |

policy; kind of standard discussion there. Would

| 16 | call your attention to delegation. It basically, |
|----|--|
| 17 | like most in fact every other policy you have |
| 18 | delegates the Executive Director's position to |
| 19 | implementation of that policy. |
| 20 | Moving on down, we establish some parking |
| 21 | areas such as regular parking, which as you look |
| 22 | at the exhibit up there, is all of the all of |
| 23 | the areas in brown, for the most part, as they're |
| 24 | shown up here are just what we would consider |
| 25 | regular parking. We've in the past discussed |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 62 |
| 1 | these as long-term parking. We've just for |
| 2 | purposes of clarification, have no longer call |
| 3 | anything long term; it's just simply regular |
| 4 | parking. We've identified short term. |
| 5 | Special designation areas are declared within |
| 6 | the policy, and those include disabled parking, |
| 7 | which are the light-blue spaces. There are a |
| 8 | number of those around the terminal and the new |
| 9 | office building along the side there. |
| 10 | office building along the side there. |
| 10 | There's a designated delivery area, which is |
| 11 | |

half of that apron length, comes around the curve

in front of the terminal, allows for easy access

for deliveries to the restaurant and the terminal

12

13

| 15 | area and even the office building there associated |
|----|--|
| 16 | with it. |
| 17 | Fire lanes would be established. They're the |
| 18 | red areas. Be immediately in front of the |
| 19 | terminal entrance. Would be preserved. And |
| 20 | parking unattended parking would be prohibited |
| 21 | in that area completely. Delivery areas have a |
| 22 | time line associated with how long a vehicle could |
| 23 | be there. For the most part, it's limited to bona |
| 24 | fide delivery services, food service materials, |
| 25 | you name it, FedEx, UPS, those kind of things, |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 63 |
| | |
| 1 | actual deliveries. |
| 2 | The gray area to the right-hand side of the |
| 3 | slide down there would be what we're calling |
| 4 | employee parking. Now, employee parking is |
| 5 | largely the spaces that were originally identified |
| 6 | in the Aero Sport FBO lease. There were, if my |
| 7 | memory's correct, ten spaces that were identified |
| 8 | as employee, which was the original old lot |
| 9 | layout. |
| 10 | We have expanded the number of spaces there |
| 11 | to call it that with the hopes that, in our |
| | to can it that with the hopes that, in our |

| 13 | to broaden the number of employee vehicles that |
|----|--|
| 14 | use that lot in deference to the regular parking |
| 15 | and short-term lots out there. In other words, |
| 16 | move a number of vehicles over to that side of the |
| 17 | terminal building into short term or into the |
| 18 | excuse me, employee lot versus stand the |
| 19 | regular parking area. |
| 20 | Then we've created a section called permit |
| 21 | only, and it's really not it's a it's a |
| 22 | little more loosely identified. It's the green |
| 23 | area that's in the middle of the large brown area |
| 24 | on the left. There are I don't know what. |
| 25 | There are 15, 18 spots there that we're calling |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JU |

ULY 12, 2004

64

| 1 | permit parking, and basically those are spots that |
|----|--|
| 2 | would be utilized by the businesses in the |
| 3 | terminal area who have identified customers who |
| 4 | need more than 72 hours' worth of parking. A |
| 5 | customer that's here, you know, weekly, in case of |
| 6 | rental car customers, we do have some that, you |
| 7 | know, want to leave their vehicle, they rent a |
| 8 | car, come back and pick up their vehicle. |
| 9 | While they are legitimate customers of the |
| 10 | airport, the problem we've had in the past is |

identifying them as customers of the airport.

| 12 | By we would be allowing them to use that by |
|----|---|
| 13 | arrangement, basically. |
| 14 | Those tenants would be given ability to issue |
| 15 | permits, if you will, or periods of time where |
| 16 | they're allowed to allow customers to park in |
| 17 | those areas. However, we would reserve the right |
| 18 | to assess a fee beyond 72 hours. If your |
| 19 | vehicle's parked there continuously for more than |
| 20 | 72 hours, then you'd be allowed to assess a \$3 per |
| 21 | day fee to park there. |
| 22 | And the last I think the last issue in the |
| 23 | terminal area is the rental car pro or rental |
| 24 | car parking. And the thinking here is we've |
| 25 | identified some spots. I'm trying to the color |

- is a little -- fades up there, but the -- in the large brown parking lot area, the upper right-hand green -- I keep forgetting I have this thing; I
- 4 can point.
- 5 This area here (indicating), there are some
- 6 spaces identified as rental car ready spaces,
- 7 basically where cars who -- that are ready to
- 8 rent, ready to be picked up for delivery are
- 9 located. They have some space -- there are spaces

| 10 | identified in the Aero Sport original lease that |
|----|--|
| 11 | require us to provide those spaces. That is the |
| 12 | number of spaces that is required by the lease. |
| 13 | That's where those vehicles would be required to |
| 14 | be placed. |
| 15 | Now, in addition to that, there are some |
| 16 | rental car return spaces that we negotiated in the |
| 17 | orig I knew I'd do that. If I can just figure |
| 18 | out how to do it from here. There are some |
| 19 | spaces, right in here (indicating), that were in |
| 20 | the original terminal rental car lease that would |
| 21 | be return spaces. They would be marked and |
| 22 | identified for spaces for people returning |
| 23 | vehicles to the terminal area. |
| 24 | Overflow from those spaces, should it be |
| 25 | necessary, would be accomplished in short term |
| | |

66

| 1 | with the restriction that any vehicle remaining, |
|---|--|
| 2 | whether it's from a rental car or whatever, that |
| 3 | remains there for more than the three hours, are |
| 4 | subject to the enforcement provision, including us |
| 5 | towing a rental car, and it's the rental car's |
| 6 | company's problem to retrieve their vehicle. The |
| 7 | lot the three spaces there would basically |
| | |

allow them to park there as -- you know, it's

| 9 | basically a short-term parking space. I think |
|----|--|
| 10 | there are three spaces in total identified there. |
| 11 | It's a pretty straightforward policy |
| 12 | development. Basically, the fines and |
| 13 | implementation, where appropriate, are warnings |
| 14 | and notices initially, with the exception of like |
| 15 | handicap, tow-away and fire zone parking, wherein |
| 16 | vehicles are ticketed by, in some cases, local law |
| 17 | enforcement and the vehicles removed. |
| 18 | But that's consistent with Florida Statutes |
| 19 | for those types of spaces. It's not something we |
| 20 | can generically waive. It's really just up to us |
| 21 | to or would be basically us letting the Sheriff |
| 22 | know that those vehicles are parked illegally and |
| 23 | they would take care of it from there. Otherwise, |
| 24 | we'd start with an escalating scale of notices, |
| 25 | fines, and eventually vehicle tow, and in in |
| | |

- 1 extreme cases, revocation of parking privileges in
- 2 the terminal area for frequent violators.
- 3 Pretty -- that would be on the extreme side. I
- 4 would hope that, you know, most people after
- 5 retrieving their vehicle from someplace other than
- 6 the airport would probably have their attention.

| 7 | And and I really don't expect we'll be in a |
|----|--|
| 8 | position to do that. |
| 9 | Three-hour parking, the same deal, it will be |
| 10 | posted as per Florida Statutes with the tow-away |
| 11 | provision and language as appropriate in that area |
| 12 | so that anyone parking there will be without |
| 13 | excuse as to where their vehicle is and the |
| 14 | information. |
| 15 | That's in a nutshell the policy. There's a |
| 16 | matrix that we did that just kind of deals with |
| 17 | the enforcement, tries to give you a quick and |
| 18 | dirty as to how we were thinking in terms of fines |
| 19 | and towing and warnings and and the like. We |
| 20 | could we could review that, if you want to. |
| 21 | Again, it's pretty straightforward. Or provide us |
| 22 | input. |
| 23 | And that again would basically be the |
| 24 | exhibit or Appendix 1, as referred to in the |
| 25 | policy. So that was a good good place to start |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 68 |
| | |
| 1 | and let's see what y'all think and where we need |
| 2 | to change it or adopt it or whatever you see fit. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? |
| | |

MR. MARTINELLI: This is a question that

Mr. Martinelli?

4

| 6 | perhaps you've already answered, Ed. Is any of |
|----|---|
| 7 | this property a part of existing leases? |
| 8 | MR. WUELLNER: The technically, yes. |
| 9 | However, by discussion with Aero Sport, we are |
| 10 | essentially trading them one for one locations or |
| 11 | the number of spots that were originally carved |
| 12 | out as it predates me. Actually, it goes back |
| 13 | to your tenure on the Authority, in fact. |
| 14 | But there were some some areas that were |
| 15 | specifically carved out of the parking area or, |
| 16 | probably more appropriately stated, were left in |
| 17 | the original FBO lease that included a number of |
| 18 | parking places, including the rental car return |
| 19 | and the employee parking. |
| 20 | MR. MARTINELLI: But as of this point, if the |
| 21 | Airport Authority should move ahead with this |
| 22 | plan, every part of that space is now not or will |
| 23 | not be encumbered by any existing lease; is that |
| 24 | correct? |
| 25 | MR. WUELLNER: Actually, that hadn't been |
| | |

- decided, the formality of whether it -- the lease
- 2 gets adjusted or they come out of the lease and
- 3 just become subject to the policy. I think that's

4 something we need to, from a Staff level, talk to 5 Aero Sport about --6 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- and see which -- which way 8 they're more comfortable. Either way, the 9 worst-case scenario is the same intention that was 10 in the lease document; the same number of places 11 and spaces that were identified in the original 12 FBO lease would be preserved. 13 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I understand --14 MR. WUELLNER: We're not enhancing those 15 numbers and nor would we include more in their 16 lease. 17 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I just would think 18 that before the Airport Authority spent any money 19 to improve the parking situation, that whatever 20 monies were spent, were spent on airport-owned and 21 operated property, not on a lessor's -- or, I'm 22 sorry, a lessee's property, unless the lessee 23 was -- somehow or other it was incorporated into

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

their lease that they would pay a certain amount

70

1 MR. WUELLNER: Well --

of whatever.

24

25

2 MR. MARTINELLI: It just would make good

- 3 business sense.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: -- they effectively are
- 5 already. I mean, it's included in their exist --
- 6 you know, if -- the places the original spots
- 7 were, that does not exist any longer. They --
- 8 they were demolished as a part of the construction
- 9 project over in the terminal. So, we -- we owe
- them some replacement spaces as a part of the
- existing lease, if nothing else happened.
- 12 As a part of our discussions with the FBO, we
- will make every attempt to negate the need to have
- lease-identified places in the terminal area.
- Rather, gain -- hopefully get their support in
- just identifying the areas that meet their --
- MR. MARTINELLI: And reserving them.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah, I understand that.
- MR. WUELLNER: Versus leasing.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. And if you move ahead
- with -- with that kind of a situation, I'd have no
- problem.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mike?
- MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, Aero Sport is not

| 1 | reany interested in confecting parking spaces. |
|----|--|
| 2 | We really want the parking spaces out there for |
| 3 | the public use. We're trying to increase the |
| 4 | total number of parking spaces out there. I think |
| 5 | we're in full agreement with the Staff Staff's |
| 6 | recommendations here. |
| 7 | I have been for several months asking the |
| 8 | question, though, what can be done with the safety |
| 9 | area off of Runway 6/24, because this this is |
| 10 | going to solve a current problem, but if we're |
| 11 | going to rent out the second floor 10,000 they |
| 12 | need 10,000 square feet of office space, you can |
| 13 | imagine the parking impact that's going to create, |
| 14 | at least for the next 18 months in that parking |
| 15 | lot there. |
| 16 | Is there any way of having either parallel |
| 17 | parking or diagonal parking down the service road, |
| 18 | which would probably pick up another 20 spaces |
| 19 | down there, which would give us some growth |
| 20 | factor? |
| 21 | Plus, then again, on the ramp side, we can |
| 22 | alleviate a lot of the pressure on the main |
| 23 | parking lot by ramp side being able to access some |
| 24 | of the safety area, the very outside safety area |
| 25 | where Runway 6/24, the grass area, which we could |

- 1 position rental cars in.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'd be extremely
- 3 hesitant to in any way open the door for
- 4 discussion inside the fence in the safety area
- 5 because it -- the fence itself is already in the
- 6 RPZ there. We have a waiver with FAA to even have
- 7 the fence at that location, because it technically
- 8 is in the clear -- the old clear zone designation.
- 9 Outside the fence, we could bounce it off FAA
- and see what they think, but they're -- you've got
- two runways there that broaden that length,
- unfortunately. The way 2/20 crosses and 6/24,
- you -- most of the length of that road is in the
- old safety area, the RPZ area. In fact, it
- extends across U.S. 1, to be technical about it.
- I don't know how they -- if they don't have a
- problem with it, I sure don't have a problem with
- 18 it.
- MR. SLINGLUFF: You just -- you go to a lot
- of airports, I mean, if you fly commercially on
- short approach --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 23 MR. SLINGLUFF: -- you go over seas of cars
- on the end of the runways.
- MR. WUELLNER: Right. But they're out a

| 1 | little further, unfortunately. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. SLINGLUFF: They are out a little bit |
| 3 | further, but I think the safety zone is a wedge |
| 4 | shape, and I think maybe there's some encroachment |
| 5 | on the side. Again, if we can pick up five |
| 6 | spaces, it's it's a tremendous boom for |
| 7 | everyone and it eliminates a problem further down |
| 8 | the road here. |
| 9 | We've you know, we've got new tenants |
| 10 | going into the restaurant. We've got new tenants |
| 11 | going into the office space there. This this |
| 12 | is only going to bring us up to where we should be |
| 13 | now. And we don't really have anything for next |
| 14 | year or the year after, and I think we really need |
| 15 | to be addressing that now. And again, we're not |
| 16 | trying to get parking spaces, we just want to make |
| 17 | it convenient for everyone who uses the terminal |
| 18 | and the ramp area. Thank you. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mike. Any further |
| 20 | public comment? |
| 21 | (No further public comment.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board |
| 23 | discussion. Joe? |
| 24 | MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. I think this is a pretty |

| 1 | with it, didn't you, drawing this up? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. COOPER: Mr. Wuellner did it. |
| 3 | MR. CIRIELLO: Hmm? |
| 4 | MR. COOPER: Mr. Wuellner drew that. |
| 5 | MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah? Well, whoever I |
| 6 | think did a nice job, but I only have one |
| 7 | question. With this nice document, who is going |
| 8 | to be the designated parking lot enforcer? |
| 9 | I mean, if we don't have somebody monitoring |
| 10 | whether people are parking three hours or over 72 |
| 11 | or watching what's going on over there, this thing |
| 12 | is useless. So I I want to know how we're |
| 13 | going to implement these good good |
| 14 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, he didn't |
| 15 | MR. CIRIELLO: plan. |
| 16 | MR. WUELLNER: He didn't draw it, but he's |
| 17 | going to enforce it. |
| 18 | MR. CIRIELLO: What size gun is he going to |
| 19 | have? |
| 20 | MR. COOPER: It's my understanding one of the |
| 21 | board members was going to. |
| 22 | MR. CIRIELLO: I've got a I've got a .357 |
| 23 | at home. I can do it |

- MR. WUELLNER: Done.
- MR. COX: You just signed on the dotted line,

- 1 Joe.
- 2 MR. CIRIELLO: I'm just concerned with how
- 3 it's going to be enforced. I like the document.
- 4 I just want to be sure that it will be enforced,
- 5 that's all.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
- 7 MR. GORMAN: This drawing here (indicating),
- 8 what is this going to cost?
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think we know that
- 10 yet.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's next.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. That's not -- we
- don't know that yet. That's in our budget.
- MR. WUELLNER: You're going to know in a
- minute.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: We're going to discuss what it's
- 18 going to --
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. Because I think last
- time, we gave Passero different scenarios to look
- 21 at what would this cost. Remember, A, B, C?

22 MR. CIRIELLO: So what we're looking for, 23 then, is a motion to accept this policy. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: A policy for direction to go 25 by, I think. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 76 1 MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make that motion. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion to accept 3 the policy for direction. Is there a second? 4 MR. COX: Second. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board discussion? 6 7 (No further board discussion.) 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor, say aye. 9 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 10 MR. COX: Aye. 11 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed? 13 (No opposition.) 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the 15 motion passes. 16 MR. WUELLNER: All right. The preceding 17 drawing reflects this, which Jonathan with Passero 18 is going to review with you, kind of give you an 19 idea of what -- we kind of left last meeting with

a lot of -- well, head-spinning. And we sat down

| 21 | with him. |
|----|--|
| 22 | One of the issues at the core of this, if you |
| 23 | recall, and was what could be done in-house |
| 24 | versus what was contracted could be contracted |
| 25 | or necessarily needs to be contracted, versus |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 77 |
| | |
| 1 | preservation of existing parking lot and what |
| 2 | amount of money was involved in that, when |
| 3 | compared to what does it cost actually to put new |
| 4 | spots in. |
| 5 | And the statement was made that, well, we |
| 6 | were over \$10,000 per parking place, which is not |
| 7 | correct. That reflected the total of the new |
| 8 | spaces divided into the total cost, which also |
| 9 | included a significant amount of preservation |
| 10 | work. Jonathan's going to demystify all of that |
| 11 | for you right now, right, Jonathan? |
| 12 | MR. PAGE: Sure. By the way, I'm Jonathan |
| 13 | Page with Passero Associates. Nice to see you |
| 14 | guys this afternoon. |
| 15 | As we as Ed said, we tried to separate |
| 16 | things between preservation and expansion, because |
| 17 | what you have out there is a facility that's |
| 18 | getting older and it needs some preservation |

| 19 | dollars spent and, you know, a lot of it was a | |
|----|--|--|
| 20 | lot of the emphasis was placed on what is you | |
| 21 | know, we're only getting 43 spaces or whatever for | |
| 22 | \$400,000. That's really not a correct way of | |
| 23 | looking at it, from our perspective. | |
| 24 | As you go through Area A, we also did we | |
| 25 | asked the Authority members what could they do | |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 | |
| | 78 | |
| | 78 | |
| 1 | in-house. What what can your staff do that we | |
| 2 | could remove and not have a contractor do? And | |
| 3 | basically, that's everything except for laying | |
| 4 | down asphalt or your overlays. | |
| 5 | As you go through Area A, you'll see the | |
| | | |
| 6 | Authority can spend \$11,900 and will contract out | |
| 7 | \$10,200 for preservation. When you get into | |
| 8 | expansion dollars and in Area A, that includes | |
| 9 | new roadway, gate relocation, new drop lane | |
| 10 | that's \$81,300 and contract out \$36,100, for a | |
| 11 | total of \$139,500 and in Area A. | |
| 12 | In Area B, just going across there, you've | |
| 13 | got \$10,700 under preservation the Authority | |
| 14 | members, the staff will complete. You can | |
| 15 | contract out \$10,050. Expansion in Area B is | |
| 16 | obviously very cheap. You're only going to get | |

three more spaces, so the Authority's \$7,200.

| 18 | Contracted out \$225. And that total is \$28,175. |
|----|---|
| 19 | Area C, which used to be Areas C, D and E, is |
| 20 | now one large area we're calling Area C, and the |
| 21 | Authority under preservation will do \$20,820 worth |
| 22 | of the work. Will need to contract out \$27,825 of |
| 23 | the work. Under the expansion category, that's |
| 24 | \$51,720 worth of work that your staff can do. |
| 25 | That's dollars you are spending through your |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 79 |
| | |
| 1 | staff, and contracted out only \$5,000 there. |
| 2 | Total for that is \$105,365, bringing you up, if |
| 3 | you go all the way across, to \$273,040. |
| 4 | If you look at the difference between |
| 5 | preservation and expansion, it doesn't really I |
| 6 | thought I hoped the slide would jump more out |
| 7 | at you, but you're looking at basically a 60/40 |
| 8 | split between expansion and preservation. |
| 9 | So, plugging those numbers in, when you put |
| 10 | in the total that your Authority will spend, the |
| 11 | staff items to be completed, you're up to |
| 12 | \$183,640, and the contractor will the |

contracted amount would be \$89,400. Adding a

services including inspection, any types of

contingency of 10 percent, \$27,304. Miscellaneous

13

14

| 16 | permits that might be required, what have you, |
|----|---|
| 17 | brings you to a grand total of \$341,300. |
| 18 | And I would like to point out that that |
| 19 | represents preservative would be Gripflex |
| 20 | technology. So you say, hmm, well, what would the |
| 21 | rest of them be? Well, the rest of them would be, |
| 22 | if you went with a Polycon and Polycon's |
| 23 | interesting because they won't let any |
| 24 | subcontract they won't sell you Polycon. They |
| 25 | have to come down here and do it themselves, so |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 80 |
| | |

| 1 | they're a | little | bit more | expensive. | And the | ney're |
|---|-----------|--------|----------|------------|---------|--------|
| | | | | | | |

- 2 right at \$380,821.90.
- And asphalt, if you guys have gone to the gas
- 4 pumps lately, you know that the asphalt overlay
- 5 option, as you can see from the -- the little down
- 6 there, the total, once it was contracted out and
- 7 the contingencies were applied, would be
- 8 \$404,439.50.
- 9 And that is your cost. That's the cost to
- make the pretty picture up here. And I'm -- I've
- got detailed numbers. If you want to know what
- any of these -- how I came to these numbers or
- whatever, please feel free to ask me any
- 14 questions.

| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment? |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 16 | Mr. Martinelli? |
| 17 | MR. MARTINELLI: Sorry I have to keep |
| 18 | The Authority total of \$183,000, that's not |
| 19 | an incremental cost, is it? That is part of your |
| 20 | salary structure; is that correct, Ed? |
| 21 | MR. WUELLNER: Correct. That |
| 22 | MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. So so |
| 23 | MR. WUELLNER: That's not real money. |
| 24 | MR. MARTINELLI: Right. So that shouldn't |
| 25 | even be considered in this proposal. In other |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | |
| | 81 |
| | 81 |
| 1 | words, you're paying that money whether you do |
| 1 2 | |
| | words, you're paying that money whether you do |
| 2 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. |
| 2 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we |
| 2 3 4 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we call that a sunk cost, and the Authority is |
| 2 3 4 5 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we call that a sunk cost, and the Authority is sinking that cost regardless of whether or not you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we call that a sunk cost, and the Authority is sinking that cost regardless of whether or not you could do this project. So, remove that, and it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we call that a sunk cost, and the Authority is sinking that cost regardless of whether or not you could do this project. So, remove that, and it just leaves you with a contracted total, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we call that a sunk cost, and the Authority is sinking that cost regardless of whether or not you could do this project. So, remove that, and it just leaves you with a contracted total, contingencies and so on, and and that's really |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | words, you're paying that money whether you do that or not. So, as far as you know, in our lingo, we call that a sunk cost, and the Authority is sinking that cost regardless of whether or not you could do this project. So, remove that, and it just leaves you with a contracted total, contingencies and so on, and and that's really what the cost of this project is to the Airport |

Regarding the three different surfaces that you're

13 going to put down, have you or can you give us any 14 idea of the time value of that? For example, the 15 very first one, Gripflex --16 MR. PAGE: Gripflex? 17 MR. MARTINELLI: -- how long will that last 18 versus how long the second one will last and the 19 third and on up per-hour, per-day, per-year basis, 20 what's the equivalent cost or the comparative 21 cost? 22 MR. PAGE: I -- we would think that all three 23 of the asphalt overlay at one inch, the Gripflex 24 and the Polycon, all three of those products would 25 all give you around a 15-year lifespan.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

82

1 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. So then --2 MR. PAGE: You're talking -- you're talking 3 apples and or -- they're about the same. 4 MR. MARTINELLI: So then what would be the 5 desirability of spending more money --6 MR. PAGE: Well, a lot of people --7 MR. MARTINELLI: -- looks or what? 8 MR. PAGE: Well, for asphalt, probably would 9 have been the same price as, say a Gripflex or a 10 Polycon, say two or three years ago. And maybe an

asphalt would be easier for someone to put down.

| 12 | MR. MARTINELLI: My question isn't that. My |
|----|---|
| 13 | question really is: Given the facts that you've |
| 14 | just stated, what's the reason for going to a more |
| 15 | expensive one? |
| 16 | MR. PAGE: I don't see any, and that's why I |
| 17 | didn't put that in my my overall estimate. |
| 18 | MR. MARTINELLI: So, assume for argument, |
| 19 | then, pull out the \$183,000 and go with the lowest |
| 20 | Gripflex cost and that's really what the cost of |
| 21 | the project would be; is that correct? |
| 22 | MR. PAGE: That's correct. But you still |
| 23 | have \$183,640 worth of items of work that the |
| 24 | Authority members will do. |
| 25 | MR. MARTINELLI: But we're going to spend |
| | |

83

1 that money, anyway. 2 MR. PAGE: And they'll still need to get paid 3 their salaries, too. 4 MR. MARTINELLI: We're going to spend that 5 money, anyway. 6 MR. PAGE: So, I don't -- I think -- I don't 7 think that's quite factual, to say that. They 8 still have to get paid their salaries, but they

still have \$180,000 -- \$184,000 worth of work

10 items to complete, also. So it's not like they're 11 going to get concrete bumpers for free or make 12 these signs for free. 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, there are material costs 14 involved. 15 MR. PAGE: Right. 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. That's what --17 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Material costs, I 18 agree. 19 MR. PAGE: Right. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mike? 21 MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, I -- I understand

Mr. Martinelli's thesis on being able to say that

the Authority cost is going to be spent anyway,

but what's the physical impact if you're going to

take our guys? They're busy all year long. Now

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

84

- 1 we're going to give them a parking lot to do.
- What else is going to suffer?

22

23

24

- 3 So there is some -- there are some real
- 4 material costs and there's some real time costs.
- 5 Whether you do it at time and a half or whatever,
- 6 it's going to cost money. And so I would look at
- 7 the \$183- as a budget number and do you -- do you
- 8 do it internally or do you hire it out? And what

| 9 | is the cost of hiring it out to allow the other |
|----|--|
| 10 | projects on the airport to continue at a steady |
| 11 | pace? |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment? |
| 13 | (No further public comment.) |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board comment? |
| 15 | Mr. Gorman? |
| 16 | MR. GORMAN: Real briefly. I remember last |
| 17 | time we were presented with this, the numbers were |
| 18 | all in different columns and then Mr. Cox ran |
| 19 | through and picked out the cheapest ones and said, |
| 20 | I want the cheap ones because this is the most |
| 21 | spaces, and the most expensive one was |
| 22 | straightening the road out. And so, can't we |
| 23 | still cherry-pick like that? I mean, |
| 24 | straightening the road out was \$160,000, I |
| 25 | remember. |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 85 |
| | |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't know |
| 2 | MR. GORMAN: And how and the second |
| 3 | question is, how many total spaces do we |
| 4 | acquire |
| 5 | MR. PAGE: You get |
| 6 | MR. GORMAN: for this \$300,000? |

- 7 MR. PAGE: You're getting -- you're getting
- 8 43 new spaces. Not straightening the road out, I
- 9 doubt you would be able to get any extra spaces in
- 10 Area A.
- MR. GORMAN: How many would we get without
- straightening the road out? Again, back to my
- original.
- MR. PAGE: Forty-three minus sixteen.
- Where's my abacus? Twenty-seven.
- MR. GORMAN: Twenty-seven without
- straightening the road. We also have don't have
- the congestion of straightening the road out.
- MR. PAGE: You just have the congestion of
- 20 not straightening the road out.
- MR. COX: I would agree with that comment.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
- MR. COX: Yeah.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Bob?
- MR. COX: Going to ask Mr. Martinelli, are

- 1 you sure you don't want to run for board? No.
- 2 MR. MARTINELLI: No way.
- 3 MR. COX: Ed, Mr. Slingluff brought up a very
- 4 good point. How -- I mean, is there any plan
- 5 for --

- 6 MR. WUELLNER: We -- let me put it this way.
- We would not have even had a number on that if we
- 8 didn't feel it could be done within the -- within
- 9 what we have on staff and expertise we have and
- 10 the time.
- 11 MR. COX: Okay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: How much of that, if you
- know, just roughly, is -- how much of that \$183-
- is materials versus --
- MR. WUELLNER: I -- I don't have that. He
- might -- he might have some idea.
- 17 MR. PAGE: A lot of it's labor.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay.
- 19 MR. PAGE: You're talking concrete -- oh,
- yeah. Pouring curb. Majority of it is labor --
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. PAGE: -- intensive.
- MR. WUELLNER: And you -- you own -- I mean,
- there's some rental equipment in this, but
- largely, it's material cost, is all it is. You're

- 1 already paying these people, whether they work
- 2 here or --
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, he said a lot -- most

- 4 of it's labor. So, actually material would be
- 5 much less.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah -- oh, the total
- 7 number will be, yes. This is at market -- at
- 8 value established by the engineer.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board discussion?
- 10 What direction are you looking for from us, or is
- 11 this informative for now, or what?
- MR. WUELLNER: We'd like to get it done. And
- it's our belief we can be totally done with this
- thing by November of this year.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. COX: You don't think we need to hire
- more help?
- MR. WUELLNER: You will -- we will use
- 19 contractual -- if we need some -- some rough labor
- assistance, we would do just standard day labor
- 21 type stuff. But that's -- we're not even seeing
- 22 that need.
- MR. COX: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: This is not as big a project
- as the numbers suggest.

- 1 MR. COX: Right.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: How does it fit within our

3 budget, now that it's broken out as to what's 4 in-house and what's out? 5 MR. WUELLNER: You currently have, I want to 6 say it's \$150,000 in this year's budget that's 7 already identified but not spent for this work. 8 We had augmented into next year's budget using the 9 total -- if you recall, there was another \$200,000 10 that was identified in what we were proposing for 11 next year. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So the \$150- we've 13 identified, just using rough numbers, could cover 14 the contracted, the contingency and 15 miscellaneous --16 MR. WUELLNER: Right. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- this year. 18 MR. WUELLNER: And we're -- we're actually, 19 when we get down to needing to do the contract 20 labor part, the Gripflex, Polycon or whatever 21 you -- not necessarily the asphalt in this case, 22 but we're actually trying to expand the scope of 23 the work to be done to include the apron overlay

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

and including looking at Hawkeye View Lane over

there and picking up the miscellaneous parking

24

- lots that -- you know, this lot, for instance, and
- 2 the access road over to the terminal that are not
- 3 currently in the...
- 4 But, you'd be simply looking at the material
- 5 cost in those cases, not engineering, not any
- 6 additional rework costs that are -- that are
- 7 involved in the terminal area. But in order to
- get, perhaps the unit cost down even more on those
- 9 products, we can expand the areas to be covered.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Bob?
- 11 MR. COX: Am I understanding you right that
- 12 your suggestion is Gripflex is what we want to
- use? It's -- it's going to be the same quality
- timewise, et cetera?
- MR. PAGE: From what I've seen, Gripflex and
- Polycon are about --
- MR. COX: Three dollars a square yard
- 18 cheaper.
- 19 MR. PAGE: Yeah.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that right, Ed? From
- 21 what -- I know you spent some time looking at
- that.
- MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I have a preference
- product-wise from what I've seen of the Polycon,
- but I'm not sure economically it makes any sense

- 1 to jump, you know, \$3 a square.
- 2 If, by getting enough quantity, Polycon can
- 3 bring the price down to something closer to
- 4 Gripflex, then I think it's something we want to
- 5 look very closely at. But with \$3 a yard
- 6 disparity as it sits now, it'd be really hard to
- 7 find a -- find a reason to spend \$3 more.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
- 9 MR. CIRIELLO: I can see where it makes a lot
- of sense to just, yeah, let's jump in there and
- just do whole thing and like Ed said, in a few
- months, it'd be -- because it's not really that
- big of a job, be done with it and be over with.
- But when you asked about the budget, 150
- grand is available right now, and I just wondered
- if it would be a hardship, Ed, if we split this
- into two years and take the part of the plan that
- would straighten the road out and everything -- I
- think that's the most critical part of this, is to
- do that, say if it's A-B or B-C or whatever, with
- 21 the \$150,000 and then -- since it's covered in the
- budget, and then in next year's budget --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- cover the rest of it. And
- 25 then actually, it would be a two-year project

| 1 | instead of a few months, but |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, two years from a budget |
| 3 | year standpoint, it wouldn't extend any more than |
| 4 | November, and then and no matter how we do |
| 5 | this, it will extend across two budget years. |
| 6 | Because unless it's done by October 1st, it's |
| 7 | going to some of the work's going to extend |
| 8 | into next next fiscal year. So it's you can |
| 9 | hold the same time line and accomplish what |
| 10 | you're you're saying. |
| 11 | MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Wouldn't that also increase |
| 13 | the cost because we have to bring people back |
| 14 | down, equipment back down? |
| 15 | MR. WUELLNER: If we phase the over you |
| 16 | know, the contracted portion, yeah, it's likely to |
| 17 | cost more. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further board discussion? |
| 19 | MR. WUELLNER: We were going to look at I |
| 20 | mean, those two products have been used elsewhere |
| 21 | in the state, and in some cases, there's some |
| 22 | ongoing projects. And the Authority may be able |
| 23 | to do what they call piggybacking on the contracts |
| 24 | that have been, you know, bid publicly by other |

| 1 | here, and assuming there's sufficient quantity, |
|----|--|
| 2 | can take take advantage of somebody else's |
| 3 | legwork and bid work and simply use the product or |
| 4 | have the product to use. |
| 5 | So, that may may result in even a better |
| 6 | price on a larger job. And I they have not |
| 7 | been asked, nor have they calculated what the |
| 8 | additional square yardage would be to include the |
| 9 | apron area, the balance of the access road, this |
| 10 | parking lot, and the what Hawkeye View Lane |
| 11 | over there, which all of which need some |
| 12 | attention over the next couple of years. |
| 13 | MR. COX: So the volume could drive the price |
| 14 | down. |
| 15 | MR. WUELLNER: It could very well. I can't |
| 16 | make that guarantee, but you're starting to get |
| 17 | into significant quantities at that point. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe? |
| 19 | MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. If we go ahead with |
| 20 | this, it's going to be some disruption. So what |
| 21 | plans do we have to help the tenants on the field, |
| 22 | Aero Sport and the flight school and everybody, |
| 23 | with traffic control or parking problems while |

24 this is going on?

21

MR. WUELLNER: That's -- that's a significant

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

93

| 1 | concern we have, also. And we're looking at it, |
|----|--|
| 2 | attacking this in a phased approach, not tearing |
| 3 | the whole thing up at one time. And that's why we |
| 4 | want it accomplished by the November time line, is |
| 5 | so that there's minimal impact on the busiest time |
| 6 | around the airport. |
| 7 | MR. COX: Let's just get off top dead center |
| 8 | and start moving. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a motion from the |
| 10 | board? |
| 11 | MR. COX: Move to accept Staff |
| 12 | recommendation well, once again, I mean, what |
| 13 | kind of what kind of direction exactly are you |
| 14 | looking for that you want from the board, Ed? |
| 15 | MR. WUELLNER: Basically, Staff would |
| 16 | accomplish the project with the exception of |
| 17 | pavement surface treatment costs. And those, |
| 18 | we'll determine the best method to do that |
| 19 | dollar-wise and product-wise and bring that back |
| 20 | to you. |

There's no pressing urgency that we can't do

| 22 | that research and come back in August or |
|----|--|
| 23 | September, because at no place over there will be |
| 24 | ready for the product application till then. So |
| 25 | it lets these guys do the initial the |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | |
| | 94 |
| 1 | additional research necessary and investigation |
| | , c |
| 2 | into other contracts that have been let by |
| 3 | municipalities and see if we can't take advantage |
| 4 | of them. |
| 5 | MR. GORMAN: So, at this point, you are going |
| 6 | to contract it out or not contract it out or |
| 7 | MR. WUELLNER: Not contract out anything but |
| 8 | the actual application of either Gripflex, Polycon |
| 9 | or asphalt. |
| 10 | MR. COX: Because they would have to do that. |
| 11 | MR. WUELLNER: If we if we run into a |
| 12 | any unknown out there that would require a |
| 13 | contractor, we would certainly you know, would |
| 14 | consider that, but it would be of extremely minor |
| 15 | nature. |
| 16 | MR. GORMAN: Silly question you've got to |
| 17 | ask. Is are any of these contractors going to |
| 18 | be bound by penalty for completion clauses? |
| 19 | MR. WUELLNER: I think the scope of this |
| 20 | this is a whole different scope of type of work |

21 here. 22 MR. GORMAN: So that answer is --23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sure that's going to be 24 in whatever construction agreement. 25 MR. WUELLNER: These are -- application of AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 95 1 any of these products is very very short burn. I 2 mean, Gripflex or Polycon is matter of two days on 3 the facility. They're not here --4 MR. GORMAN: Just trying to avoid huge 5 delays. 6 MR. WUELLNER: -- for weeks and weeks and 7 weeks. Yeah. 8 MR. GORMAN: Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Bob, did you want to make 10 your motion? 11 MR. COX: Motion to move forward and accept 12 Staff recommendation on the pavement service 13 treatment cost presentation and -- and parking lot 14 construction. 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? 16 MR. CIRIELLO: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? 18 (No further discussion.)

19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor, say aye. 20 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. 21 MR. COX: Aye. 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed? 23 MR. GORMAN: (Indicates.) 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: One opposed by Mr. Gorman. 25 So we move forward. You'll bring us back numbers AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 96 1 if it drives it down or whatever for the Gripflex? 2 MR. WUELLNER: On the actual part you'd 3 contract, yes. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next is budget forecast. 5 7.G. - BUDGET FORECAST MR. WUELLNER: I'm a little unsure what's 6 7 next. Budget forecast, yeah, right. 8 MR. COX: I thought that was it. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. 10 MR. CIRIELLO: One question before we start. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir. 12 MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, would you rather we wait 13 until you complete your discussion before we ask 14 any questions, or would you want us to ask 15 questions page by page as we go? 16 MR. WUELLNER: I'm going to do a very 17 preliminary overview, and if you have questions

| 18 | after that |
|----|--|
| 19 | MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. |
| 20 | MR. WUELLNER: let's let's just take |
| 21 | them as we go. I'll just kind of give you the |
| 22 | synopsis and talk about the assumptions that were |
| 23 | made and the like and we'll go from there. |
| 24 | All right. This is the forecasting that |
| 25 | we've been kind of talking about and we agreed to |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 97 |
| | |
| 1 | accomplish on on your behalf going back a |
| 2 | little while. |
| 3 | We just for clarification, Year 1 in this |
| 4 | example is the proposed Staff budget for next |
| 5 | year. It builds ten years beyond that or through |
| 6 | fiscal year 2014-2015. It takes us we've used |
| 7 | the same format that we have presented the budget |
| 8 | in this year, so it should look very familiar in |
| 9 | terms of layout and format. The only difference |
| 10 | is there are many more columns involved. |
| 11 | Revenues and expenses, we have developed |
| 12 | other, what do you want to call it, documentation, |
| 13 | the other support sheets that we used in the |
| 14 | budget, so we have how we got to the summarized |
| 15 | numbers, if you will, as they run across in the |

| 16 | columns. |
|----|---|
| 17 | I will try to highlight how we got there, but |
| 18 | suffice it to say, if you follow the bottom line |
| 19 | of this in the profit and loss items and let me |
| 20 | summarize this part, too, because I think it's |
| 21 | important you need to know, that this model, as |
| 22 | it's shown today, assumes that we continue to |
| 23 | assess ad valorem tax at the current total amount |
| 24 | of tax. So, by taking the rolled-back rate each |
| 25 | year, so the rate of taxation continues to |

98

| 1 | decrease, and would consider in this model through |
|----|--|
| 2 | Year 7, at which no further ad valorem taxes would |
| 3 | be proposed. |
| 4 | It could be accomplished in as early as Year |
| 5 | 5. However, the concern that I have is in the |
| 6 | the long-term fiscal health of the Authority and |
| 7 | adequate reserves to avoid really ever having to |
| 8 | consider going back on ad valorem, with the |
| 9 | possible exception of dealing with a bond issue |
| 10 | should a large something in character change, and |
| 11 | that would be voter approved kind of an approach |
| 12 | to the project, anyway. And that's not even |
| 13 | considered in any of this. |

But using this, after Year 7 and going out to

| 15 | Year 2 well, to Year 11, which is fiscal year |
|----|--|
| 16 | 14-15, the Airport Authority would have net cash |
| 17 | reserves amounting to \$9.9 million. |
| 18 | Now, majority of or I should say \$6 |
| 19 | million of that number is effectively the last two |
| 20 | years of ad valorem, the difference between Year 5 |
| 21 | and Year 7, thereby assuring a \$6 million, if you |
| 22 | will, financial cushion, in addition to the normal |
| 23 | reserve. And the reason we stopped at that point |
| 24 | is that's the last forecast year we have related |
| 25 | to capital projects as they're currently |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JU |
| | 99 |
| | |

JULY 12, 2004

| 1 | programmed with FAA and FDOT. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Now, with that said, you've also got to |
| 3 | understand that the capital side, which is |
| 4 | heretofore about 80 percent of your previous |
| 5 | budgets, is what's programmed currently. And the |
| 6 | Master Plan, when you adopt it and start looking |
| 7 | at the capital projects that need to be |
| 8 | accomplished out of that, could have a significant |
| 9 | effect on the overall bottom-line health as you |
| 10 | move down. We don't see huge projects that have |
| 11 | not already been programmed, at least at this |
| 12 | point through this window. So we think it's |

| 13 | relatively safe. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 14 | What you might do is reapportion monies that |
| 15 | are currently identified for one project under a |
| 16 | different project, do some some swapping of |
| 17 | project identifications. But largely, the dollar |
| 18 | side of it should be remain relatively |
| 19 | consistent through here. |
| 20 | Home rentals, just following that line I |
| 21 | know the numbers get real small on the screen. I |
| 22 | apologize; I just don't know how to get them |
| 23 | larger without and still be able to see the |
| 24 | whole picture. |
| 25 | But basically, starting next year, the home |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | AIRFORT AUTHORITT REGULAR MEETING - JUL 1 12, 2004 |
| | 100 |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 1 2 | 100 |
| | revenues, which we already talked about in basic |
| 2 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over |
| 2 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over \$120,000, if my memory's correct, because we're |
| 2 3 4 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over \$120,000, if my memory's correct, because we're eliminating a significant number of homes in the |
| 2 3 4 5 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over \$120,000, if my memory's correct, because we're eliminating a significant number of homes in the Araquay Park subdivision. So, therefore, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over \$120,000, if my memory's correct, because we're eliminating a significant number of homes in the Araquay Park subdivision. So, therefore, the revenue associated with it disappears, as does the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over \$120,000, if my memory's correct, because we're eliminating a significant number of homes in the Araquay Park subdivision. So, therefore, the revenue associated with it disappears, as does the expense side of it, too, and the ad valorem |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | revenues, which we already talked about in basic budget, reduces dramatically. It reduces by over \$120,000, if my memory's correct, because we're eliminating a significant number of homes in the Araquay Park subdivision. So, therefore, the revenue associated with it disappears, as does the expense side of it, too, and the ad valorem taxation side of it, too, that we that we pay, |

| Park, which is the lion's share of home activity, |
|---|
| ontinues to decrease. It gets down to about a |
| 70-, \$80,000 number. That represents property |
| nat is across U.S. 1 that the Authority owns and |
| ecomes you know, is still there beyond that |
| 0- or 11-year horizon. So it doesn't disappear |
| ompletely, but it gets down to an insignificant |
| evenue item, if you'll allow me that that |
| tle. |
| Now, T-hangar line items. When you walk it |
| cross, you'll see that beginning in Year 2 and |
| m going to kind of refer to my notes here as I |
| valk through it, assuming I can find it. Okay. |
| |
| |

| 1 | Teal 11, 30 new 1-hangais each period are assume |
|---|--|
| 2 | to come on line. And that's based on currently |
| 3 | programmed funds. Again, all of the capital side |
| 4 | of this is what's currently programmed with FDOT |
| 5 | and FAA. |
| 6 | So there's a bunch of new T-hangars that are |
| 7 | programmed out there over 11 years. For revenue |
| 8 | purposes, we budget the revenue in the year |
| 9 | following the capital development project. So we |

| 10 | don't immediately add it in the year we show it as |
|----|--|
| 11 | a capital project, so it it helps to follow |
| 12 | that through. |
| 13 | We're assuming in the model that port-a-ports |
| 14 | will no longer be economically feasible, and that |
| 15 | is the maintenance of them, beginning in Year 2 |
| 16 | I'm sorry, Year 5, and would be demolished. Now, |
| 17 | that also assumes that port-a-ports coming on line |
| 18 | are replacement port-a-ports replacements |
| 19 | excuse me. New T-hangars are replacements to old |
| 20 | port-a-ports. It's not people just get dumped on |
| 21 | the street, it's they're accommodated. But the |
| 22 | units themselves go away. |
| 23 | We show additional small corporate space, |
| 24 | which is basically the old Phase II of the |
| 25 | terminal, those buildings, beginning to appear on |
| | |

102

| 1 | the scene in Year 2. New corporate space is added |
|---|---|
| 2 | in Years 4 through 11. And new commercial hangar |
| 3 | space is identified from Years 2 through 11. |
| 4 | So and that's in various areas. |
| 5 | We didn't attempt to identify it as being in |
| 6 | Araquay Park or on the east side or wherever. |
| 7 | These are these are based on just where the |

the capital projects exist, not necessarily where

| 9 | on property we would stick it stick the |
|----|--|
| 10 | individual building, that is. It also looks at |
| 11 | fuel flowage revenues as having an annual increase |
| 12 | of about 1 1/2 percent, which we feel is somewhat |
| 13 | conservative. |
| 14 | Going down the expense side, we we add |
| 15 | employees, increasing employment from 12 1/2, as |
| 16 | currently budgeted or budgeted proposed for next |
| 17 | year, up to a total of 15 in 11 years. And we |
| 18 | use, just for an assumption purposes, an average |
| 19 | employee base salary of \$25,000. That may be |
| 20 | high, it may be low, depending on the position. |
| 21 | But just using a number for modeling. And then |
| 22 | used the current workers' comp, retirement and tax |
| 23 | ratios as they exist today in modeling those |
| 24 | numbers forward. |
| 25 | The only number that varies from current |
| | |

- 1 rates is how we treated health insurance coverage,
- 2 and that was calculated at a 12 percent per year
- 3 increase. Some years, we've experienced more than
- 4 that. It's rarely below 10 anymore.
- 5 Next is operating expenses. The five repair
- 6 and maintenance line items -- and again, I can

| 7 | show you the detail sheets, we've got all of those |
|----|--|
| 8 | available for you to look at we used an annual |
| 9 | increase of 5 excuse me, 5 percent per year in |
| 10 | those accounts. All other assumptions were at |
| 11 | one-half of a percent higher than what we used on |
| 12 | rental property increases. |
| 13 | We used a CPI average of 2 percent across all |
| 14 | of the revenue line items, rental revenue items, |
| 15 | and used a 2 1/2 percent increase annually on |
| 16 | expense line items with the exception of repair |
| 17 | and maintenance items, which we increased by |
| 18 | another one-half of 1 percent, so they're at 3 |
| 19 | percent. |
| 20 | The fuel farm repair and replacement line |
| 21 | which you see up there, actually it's a function |
| 22 | of the reserves line item, you'll notice that that |
| 23 | increases dramatically for three years, then |
| 24 | levels off to a very a relatively small number |
| 25 | increase after that. |
| | |

| 1 | The first three years, we're proposing to |
|---|---|
| 2 | establish, as we mention in a regular budget, a |
| 3 | repair and replacement account for infrastructure |
| 4 | or for buildings. That fully funds that at one |
| 5 | and a half million dollars, that part of it, |

| 6 | meaning the total aggregate reserves are |
|----|---|
| 7 | approximately \$3.1 million. |
| 8 | That includes carrying forward, for Michael's |
| 9 | benefit, is fully funding what we believe to be a |
| 10 | pretty good guesstimate of what has previously |
| 11 | been paid into the repair and maintenance on the |
| 12 | fuel farm. And then use that number to |
| 13 | continue we continue to augment that by the |
| 14 | amount actually collected, and we'd forecast that |
| 15 | across the board from that point on. |
| 16 | So, it includes all the reserves and the like |
| 17 | that we've identified in the past that we need to |
| 18 | fund and have available. That number stays |
| 19 | consistent through all the budgeting. So, when we |
| 20 | talk out in Year 11 where we've got \$10 million or |
| 21 | approximately \$10 million in reserves, it is |
| 22 | inclusive of that \$3.1 million number. |
| 23 | And that annual increase, just for your |
| 24 | information on fuel farm, is about a |
| 25 | \$30,000-a-year repair and replacement contribution |
| | |

- 1 as it's collected currently. Other than that, the
- 2 capital development sheets are verbatim off of
- 3 what is currently programmed with FDOT and FAA and

| 4 | represents our best guess and best likelihood as |
|----|--|
| 5 | to fundability and the like. |
| 6 | Now, there are project descriptions within |
| 7 | that 10-year work program that we'll be free to |
| 8 | play with in terms of the identification of |
| 9 | specific projects, one of which is we have |
| 10 | industrial park infrastructure out there that's |
| 11 | programmed. That can be converted to any other |
| 12 | title. |
| 13 | It could be go to corporate hangars, could |
| 14 | go to commercial hangars or something like that. |
| 15 | The money could be used anywhere, but we assume it |
| 16 | goes to a revenue-producing project. So you're |
| 17 | not tied by definition to that capital project, |
| 18 | however, it does serve as a placeholder for grant |
| 19 | money. You can change the title from about two |
| 20 | years out. |
| 21 | Now, if you if you would like, we can walk |
| 22 | through or try to walk through any of the |
| 23 | subcategories or discussions, but we've tried to |
| 24 | be incredibly conservative. |
| 25 | And another item I want to point out is |
| | |

- 1 was the debt service line item. That assumed the
- 2 Authority borrowed the full \$5 million, paid it

| 3 | back according to the terms of the loan, which |
|----|--|
| 4 | takes the debt service out the 13 years, I believe |
| 5 | it was, that was that was approved by the |
| 6 | borrow. We have to date used none of that money. |
| 7 | There is no debt service currently owed to |
| 8 | anybody. So it serves as a as a you know, |
| 9 | it's, again, a negative placeholder in terms of |
| 10 | cash flow. |
| 11 | The other thing you need need to know is |
| 12 | that the capital side, there are some land |
| 13 | acquisition revenues identified to the Authority |
| 14 | which were the matching share we've talked about |
| 15 | for the last couple of years. |
| 16 | So, for three or four years, you'll see FDOT |
| 17 | contributions in the cap coming through the |
| 18 | capital side that have no expenditure with it |
| 19 | because it's assumed we spent all of that money |
| 20 | this year and last year, and as a result, don't |
| 21 | have you know, don't have an expenditure to go |
| 22 | with the revenue. So we're just getting our money |
| 23 | back, basically. |

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to open up to

public comment first, if that's okay. Public

24

| 1 | comment? Wif. Hickox? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. HICKOX: I'd like to hope that the board |
| 3 | would certainly, if they adopt this, substantially |
| 4 | do one thing as a media representative, I think |
| 5 | the work that's done on this is so exciting and |
| 6 | the step that you're following through on to |
| 7 | reduce the ad valorem taxing, which quite frankly |
| 8 | I've always thought was a red herring, but since |
| 9 | you're doing it for public relations purposes as |
| 10 | well as effective lessening dependence, I would |
| 11 | hope that the Authority would put out a news |
| 12 | release effectively if you adopt it this way and |
| 13 | tell your story. I think it would go a long way |
| 14 | toward resting an awful lot of argument that's |
| 15 | revolved around this board. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Hickox |
| 17 | Mr. Martinelli? |
| 18 | MR. MARTINELLI: I agree with that, but I |
| 19 | also would like to caution you're looking out |
| 20 | here 15, 10 years, 12 years. Boy, there's no |
| 21 | crystal ball in the world that's going to give you |
| 22 | those kind of answers. And so, I would just |
| 23 | caution the Authority on adoption of this. |
| 24 | My suggestion would be that you don't adopt |
| 25 | it as policy, you simply adopt it as a work well |

- done. And very very conservative, everything that
- I have seen and heard in this, the assumptions are
- 3 very conservative, they attempt to take into
- 4 consideration everything that you can foresee as
- of now. But we can't foresee everything down the
- 6 road.
- And so, again, you know, along your lines, I
- 8 think it --
- 9 MR. HICKOX: Oh, sure --
- 10 MR. MARTINELLI: -- I think it bears
- publicity, but just be careful you don't say,
- okay, from now on, this is the way we're going to
- operate, because you just can't say that.
- MR. HICKOX: You don't have to put it that
- 15 way -- not to interrupt you, sir. You don't have
- to put it that way. You can say that the steps
- are beginning and that if the economy holds, if
- everything goes, this is our plan and we have
- started it effectively. That's a positive.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
- 21 (No further public comment.)
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board comment?
- 23 Mr. Gorman?
- MR. GORMAN: I'd like to ask Mr. Wuellner
- 25 what percentage of the total labor that you expend

| 1 | in a month goes for the maintenance of the of |
|----|--|
| 2 | the leased homes, because |
| 3 | MR. WUELLNER: Of our labor? |
| 4 | MR. GORMAN: Yes. |
| 5 | MR. WUELLNER: Direct labor is relatively |
| 6 | small because most of that, we do contractually. |
| 7 | MR. GORMAN: You're contracting out for the |
| 8 | actual |
| 9 | MR. WUELLNER: We have we have several |
| 10 | local small contractors that handle just about |
| 11 | everything out there on the homes. With the |
| 12 | exception of some well, actually, if it's |
| 13 | rented, it's probably done by a contractor. |
| 14 | MR. GORMAN: That's news to me. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, yeah. |
| 16 | MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we've done that for four |
| 17 | or five years. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. |
| 19 | MR. GORMAN: Then you're saying all of the |
| 20 | staff that you're employing now are directly |
| 21 | engaged in |
| 22 | MR. WUELLNER: Primarily engaged in airfield |
| 23 | and balance of the airport. |
| 24 | MR. GORMAN: Then I'll bring that up later |

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

| 1 | the actual cost of personnel is going up rather |
|----|--|
| 2 | than stabilizing while the houses are going away. |
| 3 | That was probably my counter. In other words, |
| 4 | you're getting less and less houses to maintain, |
| 5 | and yet your personnel costs are rising, you know, |
| 6 | steadily. That was my question. |
| 7 | MR. WUELLNER: Well, personnel costs are |
| 8 | just we've programmed, you know, CPI and the |
| 9 | standard in I say standard increase, but the |
| 10 | standard budgeting increase that we've done for |
| 11 | years. |
| 12 | So, again, it's somewhat pessimistic. It |
| 13 | doesn't mean you have to hire those additional |
| 14 | positions. It could be evaluated annually. But |
| 15 | we just, we said, well, let's assume there's |
| 16 | additional maintenance obligations or requirements |
| 17 | come out of it. |
| 18 | Most of our newer stuff, our actually |
| 19 | airfield stuff's been very steady. We have not |
| 20 | hired new maintenance staff for, I don't know, |
| 21 | three or four years, anyway. Primarily because we |
| 22 | divest ourselves of most of the maintenance in |
| 23 | in our lease agreements. New lease agreements, |

- 24 we -- those obligations are the tenants'. The
- only time we expend labor in buildings is

| 1 | typically at the move-out of a tenant now. And |
|----|--|
| 2 | it's, you know, whatever needs to be done to bring |
| 3 | it up to snuff to re-lease it. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: From what I understand from |
| 5 | your forecast, we're at the crux right now where |
| 6 | we're in the middle or hopefully towards the |
| 7 | latter middle of all our capital expenditure |
| 8 | projects. So, we should be, as you're saying down |
| 9 | Year 3, 4, 5 reaping some of those benefits |
| 10 | from |
| 11 | MR. WUELLNER: Sure. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: those expansions. |
| 13 | MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Where we retain you |
| 14 | know, one of the things that we when we were |
| 15 | doing the ROI calculation on the T-hangars that |
| 16 | struck us was, it's one of the few investments the |
| 17 | Authority makes where we retain the maintenance |
| 18 | obligations nowadays. |
| 19 | And when you looked at you couldn't just |
| 20 | apply the revenues derived from T-hangars, for an |
| 21 | example, directly to the quote unquote repayment |

| 23 | borrowed that money because you've got an ongoing |
|----|--|
| 24 | operating expense that goes with it, not only in |
| 25 | the physical structure, but you pay the electric |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | |
| | 112 |
| 1 | bill, you pay, you know, insurance on those |
| 2 | buildings, you pay a lot of things that doesn't |
| 3 | allow a hundred percent reduction of debt |
| 4 | immediately on T-hangars or those kinds of |
| 5 | buildings. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know you and I have |
| 7 | discussed this, but I would like and I'm sure |
| 8 | you're exploring it as a municipal airport, |
| 9 | quasi-governmental, can we somehow try to see if |
| 10 | the health insurance could be put on a state plan? |
| 11 | MR. WUELLNER: We have been we have |
| 12 | actually been working the best deal that's out |
| 13 | there is to get tied in with the County's system. |
| 14 | We have been working with them for over two years |
| 15 | to do that. |
| 16 | Unfortunately, we were never contacted when |
| 17 | they formed the self-insurance group that does |
| 18 | that or covers all of the balance of the county |
| 19 | and constitutional officers, and there's |
| 20 | they've been really really slow to react and |

22

of the debt if you -- if you were to borrow, have

| 21 | express interest in including special districts |
|----|--|
| 22 | and other governmental, including the City and |
| 23 | Mosquito District and everybody else that has |
| 24 | employees that are suffering from the health |
| 25 | insurance costs that and include that in the |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 113 |
| | 113 |
| 1 | larger group picture and allow us to participate. |
| 2 | Now, we've made some progress, but it's |
| 3 | not you know, they're not off dead center in |
| 4 | allowing us to do that yet. We would really like |
| 5 | to do that, because particularly in the area of |
| 6 | dependent cost, it's significantly less. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment? |
| 8 | (No further board comment.) |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, do you need a |
| 10 | motion from us to use this as a guide or to |
| 11 | publish it? I I agree with our public comment. |
| 12 | I think it should be disseminated out there as to |
| 13 | what our projections or what our guide is, as to |
| 14 | where we would like to be. |
| 15 | MR. WUELLNER: I think it's exciting. I mean |
| 16 | it's the direction is positive, continues to be |
| 17 | positive. You know, whether it you know, it |
| 18 | happens in five years or seven years or even eight |

| 19 | years, you know, the direction's the direction |
|----|--|
| 20 | we've been all trying to go and have been and |
| 21 | have been working hard at for the last seven or |
| 22 | eight years, so |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe? |
| 24 | MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. This this is a |
| 25 | pretty pretty good budget, but on this page |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 114 |
| | |
| 1 | here where you got \$45,710 in the black, which |
| 2 | means we've made that much money more than we've |
| 3 | spent on a daily basis and that's not |
| 4 | considered taxes and capital projects and stuff. |
| 5 | So then, one would say, well, if that's the case, |
| 6 | you're paying your own way, we shouldn't be paying |
| 7 | any taxes. |
| 8 | But then if you go you made the statement, |
| 9 | Madam Chair, I believe, that ask you a quick |
| 10 | question we're about halfway to getting on our |
| 11 | own, like you say, four or five years. Is that |
| 12 | what you meant when you made that statement? |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: What I meant was the capital |
| 14 | expenditures we had out there, where you have all |
| 15 | of this outlay to start out your capital |
| 16 | expenditures and the construction, we're getting |
| 17 | over the hump now, we'll get them completed and |

| 18 | get revenues from them. |
|----|--|
| 19 | MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. But that may be. |
| 20 | But if you look at this master plan we're working |
| 21 | on, and if it gets implemented, for 20 years, that |
| 22 | master plan is just spend, spend, spend, spend. |
| 23 | Whether we extend a runway or buy property |
| 24 | over here to put another runway in, you know, or |
| 25 | whatever, to acquire all of that property is going |
| | A IDDODE A VENODIEN DECLU A DIMETERNO. IN LA 2004 |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 115 |

- 1 to take a gang of money. So we're never going to
- 2 get over the hump on laying -- capital outlay to
- get off the tax rolls or anything. So...
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: What I was saying is the
- 5 capital expenditures through Year 11 are pretty
- 6 well set. You're talking about something --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: You would be current --
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- 15 to 20?
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, you'd be currently --
- you'd have to expend three times what you
- currently spend in capital to even approach those
- 12 numbers.
- I mean, it's -- it's a very healthy -- you
- 14 know, especially if you elect to stay on -- you
- know, if future boards elect to stay on the ad

16 valorem number at the current number, until 17 year -- the Year 7 picture, you know, and assuming 18 all of the other variables played out that it's 19 pretty accurate, I mean, you're in an incredibly 20 healthy position ---21 MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm not saying we're not 22 in a healthy position --23 MR. WUELLNER: -- I mean, to where --24 MR. CIRIELLO: -- but this, we're not -- if 25 this master plan comes about, we're not waiting AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 116 1 till Year 15 to start doing any of that. There's 2 some items in that master plan we could start 3 doing right now. 4 MR. WUELLNER: That's correct. 5 MR. CIRIELLO: And that means money. 6 MR. WUELLNER: And some of those -- that's 7 why I was talking earlier about being able to

be -- the capital program as it exists is flexible

MR. WUELLNER: -- modify project

descriptions, and while the dollars are being

held, you changed -- change gears as to exactly

what you're funding with the dollars. That --

to the point where you can --

MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2004%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20071204.txt[11/16/2010 2:13:53 PM]

15 that option's still on the table. 16 As the master plan comes to fruition here and 17 you decide what the specific priorities are, in 18 the first years in particular, you make those 19 description changes here. You may not have any 20 new capital dollars -- excuse me, any new grant 21 dollars coming in, but you can certainly better 22 allocate dollars that are identified now to meet 23 whatever you -- whatever the perceived need is. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board comment? 25 MR. GORMAN: Just one. Can you compress it

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004

117

1 any, from 7 to 5? I mean --2 MR. WUELLNER: Well, frankly, Year 5 is --3 is -- you're solvent from that point forward. I 4 mean, you're in good shape. You've got \$4 million 5 in the bank. 6 MR. GORMAN: As far as not needing to bank ad valorem in reserves. 7 8 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Correct. 9 MR. GORMAN: Year 5 --10 MR. WUELLNER: Simply -- the last two years 11 are simply banking ad valorem.

MR. GORMAN: So --

| 13 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: A cushion. |
|---|---|
| 14 | MR. GORMAN: Okay. |
| 15 | MR. WUELLNER: This is developing a larger |
| 16 | reserve scenario. |
| 17 | MR. GORMAN: That's a good answer. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: To make sure we stay off and |
| 19 | don't have to |
| 20 | MR. WUELLNER: That's my concern. When |
| 21 | you when you put that capital program on the |
| 22 | on the paper here, you've got some years at like |
| 23 | Year 8 and 9, somewhere around there, where in |
| 24 | theory, you're back into reserves for half a |
| 25 | million dollars here and there, and it gets made |
| | |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 118 |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 1 2 | 118 |
| | up the following year. |
| 2 | up the following year. You know, and of course you can always adjust |
| 2 | up the following year. You know, and of course you can always adjust the capital program and the like to make sure that |
| 2 3 4 | up the following year. You know, and of course you can always adjust the capital program and the like to make sure that none of that actually occurs. It just you |
| 2 3 4 5 | up the following year. You know, and of course you can always adjust the capital program and the like to make sure that none of that actually occurs. It just you become you have way more flexibility, let's put |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | up the following year. You know, and of course you can always adjust the capital program and the like to make sure that none of that actually occurs. It just you become you have way more flexibility, let's put it that way, by putting more reserves in the bank. |
| 234567 | up the following year. You know, and of course you can always adjust the capital program and the like to make sure that none of that actually occurs. It just you become you have way more flexibility, let's put it that way, by putting more reserves in the bank. But you certainly can get off in five. |

11

Authority Members.

| 12 | 8.A CHAIRMAN GREEN |
|----|--|
| 13 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just wanted to make a |
| 14 | short comment. I had the pleasure and the |
| 15 | distinction of being able to go out with |
| 16 | Mr. Wuellner and Mr. Cooper to Las Vegas to the |
| 17 | AAAE seminar a couple of weeks ago, and if any |
| 18 | future board members have an opportunity to do |
| 19 | that, I suggest it highly. I learned a great |
| 20 | deal, especially from a nonpilot's perspective. |
| 21 | There was a huge exhibition hall there, which |
| 22 | I'm sure a lot of you know, but I didn't. But we |
| 23 | spent a lot of time with Passero Associates and |
| 24 | our other consulting group, learning about the |
| 25 | Polycon, the Gripflex, all things that were really |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 119 |
| | |
| 1 | important to this board. |
| 2 | And also, I attended a small seminar dealing |
| 3 | with community relations, brought up a lot of |
| 4 | questions about eminent domain and smaller |

questions about eminent domain and smaller airports, and it was highly informative. And one thing I do have to say is, I think there were about 3- or 4,000 total people at this seminar, and everywhere I turned, and I was close to Mr. Cooper and Mr. Wuellner's side for most of

| 10 | it, they were highly complimentary of Mr. Wuellner |
|----|--|
| 11 | and Mr. Cooper, but it was from people that have |
| 12 | now taken your old spots in other airports that |
| 13 | had said what a great job he had done at other |
| 14 | airports. |
| 15 | So, I just want to let the board know that |
| 16 | being out there and seeing other people comment on |
| 17 | our director's capabilities, it made you kind of |
| 18 | go stick your chest out and say, "See, now I've |
| 19 | got him now. Thank you." So it was very very |
| 20 | wonderful. |
| 21 | And on top of all that, I got to see the |
| 22 | airport from the air today, and that was good for |
| 23 | the nonpilot standpoint, too, to see where all of |
| 24 | our projects are, what's progressing, because I |
| 25 | only see it from a car. Fortunately, these guys |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 120 |
| | |
| 1 | get to see it much more. So, I just commend you. |
| 2 | It was really really a good thing to do and I hope |
| 3 | other board members get the opportunity. Joe? |
| 4 | Any comment? |
| 5 | 8.B MR. CIRIELLO |
| 6 | MR. CIRIELLO: No report. No report. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox? |
| 8 | 8.D MR. COX |

| 9 | MR. COX: Yeah, I want to a tremendous |
|----|---|
| 10 | amount of praise for Ed and the staff in this |
| 11 | in this budget summary. It just it's a |
| 12 | tremendous amount of work and it really it |
| 13 | kind of a light at the end of the tunnel, and |
| 14 | it's I mean, we've been talking about this and |
| 15 | talking about it. If you guys could make some |
| 16 | more successful trips out to Las Vegas, we could |
| 17 | get off in one year. That's all I have. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Those three quarters didn't |
| 19 | help. |
| 20 | MR. WUELLNER: You could, but I'd be in jail. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman? |
| 22 | 8.E MR. GORMAN |
| 23 | MR. GORMAN: No. That's I'm fine. |
| 24 | Thanks. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any last minute public |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 121 |
| | |
| 1 | comment? |
| 2 | PUBLIC COMMENT |
| 3 | MR. HICKOX: Very promising. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Hearing none. Our |
| 5 | next regular oh, I'm sorry. Yes, Mr. Cooper? |
| 6 | MR. COOPER: One thing I would like to do |

- I haven't had a chance to talk to Ed about this,but before we adjourn the meeting, I'd like to
- 9 introduce the people we are negotiating with to
- take over and operate the restaurant.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, yeah.
- MR. COOPER: This is their first meeting that
- they've sat through. They told me outside a
- little while ago they enjoyed it very much.
- 15 That's Allison and Mike, and we're -- hope we're
- 16 coming to the end of our negotiations.
- MR. GORMAN: That's good, because we're
- hungry.
- MR. COX: Very nice meeting y'all.
- MR. MARTINELLI: We look forward to seeing
- 21 you up there.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Good. In some
- of our marketing things now, I can say we have a
- restaurant here.
- MR. OTTESEN: Make sure they give you

- 1 parking.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's right.
- 3 MR. COX: We're working on it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Our next board
- 5 meeting is August 16th at 4:00. And our meeting's

| 6 | adjourned. |
|----|---|
| 7 | (Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 6:04 p.m.) |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JULY 12, 2004 |
| | 123 |
| | |
| 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA) |

| 4 | COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) |
|----|--|
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify |
| 7 | that I was authorized to and did stenographically |
| 8 | report the foregoing proceedings and that the |
| 9 | transcript is a true record of my stenographic |
| 10 | notes. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Dated this 19th day of July, 2004. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR |
| 15 | Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 |
| 16 | Expires: April 30, 2006 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |