	1 ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
--	--

2	General Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, September 18, 2006
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 4:55 p.m.
7	and 5:29 to 5:48 p.m.
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
10	BOB COX, Chairman RANDY BRUNSON
11	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
_	SUZANNE GREEN
12	
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
IJ	BOARD MEMBER ABSENT:
14	DOTALD MILMBER TUBBLIST.
	WAYNE GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer
15	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
16	
ıo	ALSO PRESENT:
17	ALSO I RESERT.
. /	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
18	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
- 0	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
19	
	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.

20	
	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
21	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
22	
	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
	St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	(904) 825-0570
25	

1	INDEX	
2	PAGE	
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3	
4	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	3
5	4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORT	3
6	5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA	3
7	6. REPORTS:	
8	 A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commissioner B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Galaxy Aviation 6 	
9	C. Mr. John Nehring - Grumman St. Augustine 6 D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A. 6	
10	E. Mr. Bjorn Ottesen - F.A.C.T.	
11	F. Mr. Doug Burnett - Authority Attorney 7 G. Mr. David Knight - ATCT Tower 8	
12	7. MEMBER COMMITTEE REPORTS	
13	A. MPO 8	
14	B. EDC 10 C. Intergovernmental 11	
	_	
15	8. PROJECT UPDATES 12	
16	9. ACTION ITEMS	
17	1	
18	B. Min. Commercial Aviation Operations Policy - tableC. ARFF Vehicle 46	d
19	10. PUBLIC COMMENT 64	

20 11. AUTHORITY MEMBERS:

21	A. Mr. Randy Brunson	65	
	B. Ms. Suzanne Green	66	
22	C. Mr. John Gorman	66	
	D. Mr. Wayne George, Secre-	tary-Treasurer - absent	
23	E. Mr. Bob Cox, Chairman	67	
24	12. NEXT MEETING DATES	& ADJOURNMENT	68
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Good afternoon. We'd like to
3	call to order the September 18th meeting, revised
4	meeting agenda for the regular meeting for
5	September 18th.
6	First order of business, please, the Pledge
7	of Allegiance.
8	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you.
10	3 APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Approval of minutes for
12	July's or, I'm sorry, August meeting. Any
13	exceptions or changes?
14	MR. BRUNSON: So moved.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Hearing none, they will stand
16	approved.
17	4 FINANCIAL REPORT
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Financial report for August,
19	our secretary/treasurer is not here today. I did

20	chat with him during the last meeting. We all had
21	a chat, and he had indicated that he would update
22	us on that at the next meeting.
23	5 APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Moving on to the agenda
25	approval, is there any actually, there is a

1	change. You want to discuss that?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I've been asked by a
3	number of the commercial tenants to ask that we
4	delay the agenda item pertaining to minimum
5	commercial aviation operations policy until the
6	next meeting.
7	Many of them didn't have a chance to look at
8	it until the last couple of days and are are
9	asking for a little more time with Staff to go
10	through those, whatever their concerns are. So,
11	if you don't have objection, we'd ask to pull that
12	off today.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Any objections?
14	MR. BRUNSON: The only thing I might say, if
15	it's off the agenda, then then we'll have to
16	wait until it's back on the agenda to hear any
17	comments from
18	CHAIRMAN COX: We will table it till next
19	meeting.

20	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. That's fine.
21	CHAIRMAN COX: And you'll have a chance at
22	that point I guess there has been some
23	interaction from you know, with the director
24	and some of the tenants, and they'd like to take a
25	little more time to look it over so they'll have a

chance to speak to any of the Authority members 1 2 during that time period. 3 MR. BRUNSON: I was going to make that 4 motion. 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. MR. BURNETT: Mr. Brunson, the only 6 clarification there is folks, I guess during the 7 8 general public comment session, could --9 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. MR. BURNETT: -- speak about it if they want. 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely. Yeah. But, any 11 other discussion on it? We can just go ahead and 12 table it until the next meeting. 13 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We'll do that. 14 Other than that, we're -- we're fine with the 15 16 agenda from Staff. 17 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Is everything else on the agenda? No other additions from anybody? 18

MS. GREEN: No.

19

25

20	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The agenda is approved
21	as revised.
22	Reports? And we'll talk about the reports.
23	6.A COUNTY COMMISSION
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Bryant, please?

COMMISSIONER BRYANT: No report, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir.
2	6.B GALAXY AVIATION
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Slingluff?
4	MR. SLINGLUFF: No report.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you.
6	6.C GRUMMAN ST. AUGUSTINE
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Nehring?
8	MR. NEHRING: No report.
9	6.D S.A.P.A.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you. Mr. Roderick
11	Don't you tell me "no report."
12	MR. RODERICK: I don't dare. You wouldn't
13	give me my money back. I have three items: The
14	new for those of you who use the self-fuel,
15	there's a new kiosk out there. It obviously looks
16	better, but it it had a safety item to it, too.
17	It's much safer to use.
18	Fuel prices, they're dropping. And the main
19	point about that is, is that news was was

20	aggressively put out to everybody in two main
21	manners. One, St. Augustine Airport Pilots
22	Association has a list server that generates
23	e-mail. And the other way is nationally through
24	the internet. I know of at least through AirNav
25	that it appeared. And that was confirmed through

1	an anecdotal an anecdotal encounter with a
2	cross-country pilot who pays close attention to
3	it. So, the word of this is getting out all over
4	the east coast for sure.
5	The 21 August board decisions were extremely
6	well received by the Pilots Club. The seaplane
7	ramp and the south expansion, adding T-hangars and
8	still conserving old-growth trees, that is very
9	near and dear and will continue to be. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir.
11	6.E F.A.C.T.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Ottesen?
13	MR. OTTESEN: No report.
14	6.F AIRPORT ATTORNEY
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you. Mr. Burnett?
16	MR. BURNETT: Nothing in particular to
17	report, other than we've been working on drafting
18	a lease concerning or an agreement with Grumman
19	for the airport fire services.

- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: All right.
- 21 MR. BURNETT: I -- I should have a draft out
- to Staff this week for them to review.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- MR. BURNETT: And presumably that would go on
- to Grumman as well.

I	CHAIRMAN COX: Great. Thank you very much.
2	6.G ATCT
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Knight? Not here. And
4	you do have some
5	MR. WUELLNER: He's not here, but I'm pretty
6	sure I have the world famous table. You can see,
7	2006, at 9,009 operations for the month of August,
8	which is above the 2004-2003 numbers and about 650
9	ops below that of of the year 2005. Appears to
10	be consistent with where things are. So
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Is that it?
12	MR. WUELLNER: That's it.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. We'll move on to member
14	committee reports then. The MPO?
15	7.A MPO
16	MR. BRUNSON: The First Coast Metropolitan
17	Planning Organization met September the 14th and
18	with a lot of good things. Mainly, that on
19	October the 13th, we're having a Global Trade and

20	Transportation Transportation symposium at the
21	Hyatt Regency, and we're having the heads of the
22	Jacksonville Port Authority, the Jacksonville
23	Airport Aviation.
24	Senator Congressman Mica is going to be
25	the keynote speaker. And it's really looking

forward to the future for this airport, 1 Jacksonville, the ports, and the competition we 2 3 have in the other areas, and a lot of good things will come out of that. So, this is October the 4 5 13th. If you'd like to attend, get in touch with me. For the elected officials, it's \$25, and it's 6 well worth it; slightly higher for the private 7 8 business person to go. Another exciting thing is that here in about 9 a month, as most of you know, in South Florida, 10 you can dial 511 in the roadways and hear about 11 traffic problems down the road. Starting in about 12 13 a month in North Florida, the -- the 511 will be available in this area. Simply call it up. This 14 is not going to happen five years from now. This 15 will happen immediately, that you can call 511, 16 17 ask questions. Be great in hurricane evacuation. So, the MPO is up and doing well and -- and 18 it's even worth a trip to Jacksonville to sit down 19

- with the County Commissioners, the City
- 21 Commissioners for the four county areas and the
- people on authorities, and a lot of good things
- are happening there. That's all the report on
- 24 that.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Then we'll move into EDC.

1	7.B EDC
2	MR. BRUNSON: EDC. We met last Monday
3	morning at 7 o'clock at Serenata Beach Club, and
4	the presenter was the it's Nocatee. And it's
5	just a fascinating town in itself. The address
6	for Nocatee can be Ponte Vedra. No Beach. Just
7	Ponte Vedra. They'll have their own zip code.
8	And it's amazing how many thousands of acres
9	are greenways, three miles out on the intracoastal
10	that are greenways and and town center in the
11	middle, to have their own bike trails and golf
12	carts to interconnect with each other. And condos
13	and residences and commercial buildings and and
14	also Nocatee, with a subject that's dear to my
15	heart, they're donating and help me with this,
16	Jim; I think they're donating 10 acres every five
17	years for affordable housing. And so, that's a
18	that's a good thing.
19	So, a lot of positive things are going on

- and -- with the EDC and with the MPO. That's all
- I have on these.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. And our
- 23 Intergovernmental representative is not here
- today. Do you know anything about it?
- MR. WUELLNER: He's here. He is, that

1	representative.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: I thought I thought it was
3	Buzz. Sorry. Go ahead.
4	7.C INTERGOVERNMENTAL
5	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, it's it's fine. We
6	talked about the the status of the expansion
7	and as part of a plan for tax freedom for the
8	public from the airport. And we talked about some
9	of the costs involved, including litigation. We
10	talked about insurance dilemma. Everybody was
11	very interested in the insurance dilemma, and
12	we're going to address that today at length,
13	correct?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
15	MR. GORMAN: That's part of our agenda item.
16	And the School Board has the same angst as the
17	you know, the old school system having large
18	buildings has the same angst that we have, and
19	they talk about the consortiums of in other

20	words, self-insurance consortiums having
21	acceptance problems because people had different
22	actual exposure, depending on, you know, actually
23	the amount of capital that they had involved in
24	the situation.
25	And then I talked briefly about the the

1	possible tenants that would come on the field,
2	including Customs. It was, you know, fairly well
3	received. I think that they understand we're
4	making, you know, good progress on most fronts.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Great. Thank you very much.
6	Project updates.
7	8 PROJECT UPDATES
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Have a number of
9	projects to talk about today, south hangar
10	development; the T-hangar development project;
11	hangars 8, 9, and 10 over on the eastside; first
12	update on the seaplane ramp project; the Airport
13	Master Plan; marketing and public relations; and
14	airport leasing activities will be covered in the
15	updates.
16	First project is Taxiway Foxtrot and the
17	associated apron. Pleased to let you know that we
18	did get notification the day after the last
19	Authority meeting that, indeed, the entire project

- 20 has been funded by FAA. So, we no longer have to
- 21 piece that together. A total of about \$4.3
- 22 million in federal funds will be appropriated and
- under grant very likely by the end of this week.
- I understand that we should have it by Wednesday,
- should have the grant documents.

1	So, that will move that project forward.
2	We've already had the preconstruction meeting
3	related to this project with the contractor. He's
4	engaged, and likely all the paperwork will be in
5	place for him to start very early October. So,
6	I'm looking forward to that. It's exciting. And
7	I know everyone shares the
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Good job getting that through.
9	MR. WUELLNER: relief in getting all of
10	the funding for this one.
11	MR. BRUNSON: Good news.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The hangar project
13	related to this, engineering's underway. Continue
14	to do basically have had the last discussions
15	at this point over the layout, and we're moving
16	forward with design of facilities as the funding
17	permits, and we expect right now last quarter of
18	this year, so hopefully by the end of the year,
19	we'll be in a position to get that out on the

20	street and bid the building the building effort
21	on this project.
22	As you recall, the taxiway portion of this
23	project will will likely be appended to the
24	Taxiway F project contract, and and we'll be
25	preparing bids for that and going out on the

1	street for for that. Should save us a few
2	dollars, at least on the front end.
3	Eastside development area hangars 8, 9, and
4	10, it is underway. The slabs, building slabs
5	have been poured. They were poured over the
6	weekend, Friday and Saturday of this week.
7	Building's due on the site within the next ten
8	days. And the FPL transformer that's been the
9	stick-in-the-mud holding this project up for the
10	last month has finally been relocated by FPL. So,
11	it looks like everything's going to proceed at a
12	normal construction pace from this point forward
13	barring some unforeseen circumstances at this
14	point.
15	Seaplane ramp, I feel like I needed to let
16	you know that after we reviewed, after the
17	discussion last month, that my understanding, as I
18	related to you at the last meeting, was not the
19	the contents of what was being awarded, and as

20	such, more or less made the executive decision we
21	were going to not award the bid as we as we
22	mentioned to you in the last meeting.
23	We are in the process of reworking bid
24	documents on that. And I expect that you'll have
25	another bid opening, or, you know, you'll see a

1	bid award request at your October meeting related
2	to this.
3	We've scaled back the project. It should
4	come in substantially below the number we approved
5	last month. And again, it doesn't affect the
6	completion date. We did indeed get confirmation
7	finally from FDOT that the project time line had
8	been extended to March, the end of March of next
9	year. So, the timing time frame's still good.
10	It's a very short-burn project once it's awarded.
11	We feel very good about the repackage of this.
12	So
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Good.
14	MR. WUELLNER: I just wanted to bring you
15	up to speed on that, even though there's no real
16	construction activity yet. But you'll see this
17	again in October.
18	Airport Master Plan, I am pleased to announce
19	that they have finally approved the the Master

20	Plan at FAA. They are in the process of signing
21	the ALP copies that are required as a part of that
22	approval. I fully expect to have those copies in
23	my hand not later than the end of the week. But
24	that's merely a formality now in the on the
25	approval process. So, we will begin working with

1	the Board of County Commissioners to get that in
2	their cycle to get the amendments necessary to
3	accommodate the Airport Master Plan in the
4	County's Comprehensive Plan.
5	And we have a few transmittals we need to
6	make once that's approved. So, we'll we'll get
7	those accomplished. And hopefully we'll be in
8	we'll be able to move forward with the public
9	notification process that we have planned with
10	with the Master Plan, and we should have more of
11	that for you by the October meeting again.
12	And marketing and public relations, most of
13	you are aware that the MS 150 Bike Tour began and
14	ended here at St. Augustine Airport over the
15	weekend. A couple of photographs from the from
16	the event, courtesy of of Andres over here at
17	Old City Helicopter Tours. Some some great
18	photos.
19	By all contents or all measurements, it

20	appears a highly successful event. They were
21	thrilled with the venue. Allowed them room to
22	expand and and do what they do. And I I
23	think they got up got over 1500 riders this
24	year to do that that bike trip down to to
25	Daytona Beach and back this year.

1	See now give you a feel of now it was faid
2	out a little bit there, but they kind of
3	incorporated the runway 6 into the departure
4	point, enclosed kind of the eastern half excuse
5	me, western half of some of the facilities over
6	here and allowed them to take over and sprawl out.
7	But a great time.
8	Everything went, I think for a first-year
9	event, went very, very well. We were very
10	pleased. A few minor hiccups here and there,
11	nothing that couldn't be handled on-site during
12	the time. I'm sure we'll be doing a debrief with
13	them over the next few weeks. And at this point,
14	I don't see any reason why we wouldn't be
15	recommending that you entertain a the concept
16	of hosting this on a longer term basis. So, we'll
17	talk about that over the next few weeks. There's
18	no urgency in those kind of decisions anyway.
19	And airport leasing activities, nothing new

20	to report right now. So
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Very good. Thanks for those
22	reports. And move into agenda items.
22	

- 9.A. INSURANCE DISCUSSION RALPH KLEIN
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: The first one up is the
- insurance discussion. We have Mr. Klein that's --

1 MR. WUELLNER: I think we do. Yeah. 2 Didn't -- didn't actually spot him before the 3 meeting. Looks like it's on. Yeah. CHAIRMAN COX: Introduction there, Ed? 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: Sure will. 6 Mr. Ralph Klein is an agent with Herbie Wiles Insurance in town, and -- and he's been involved 7 with -- I don't know how long he's been involved 8 with the airport, but I can tell you he's been 9 here at least as long as I have. He's one of the 10 first people I met when I got into town and has, 11 in my opinion, done an excellent job for us over 12 the years in trying to address the needs of the 13 14 Airport Authority relative to insurance. I wanted to just kind of -- do you want to 15 16 walk through kind of where -- where our existing 17 policy is --18 MR. KLEIN: Sure. MR. WUELLNER: -- as it wraps up? 19

20	MR. KLEIN: When we started this discussion
21	actually a few months ago, we we approached
22	markets to find out where we were going to be able
23	to obtain quotes. We started that actually back
24	in June. And which is not out of the ordinary
25	for us to do, but given circumstances in the

1	property market that we ve been in, most of the
2	companies are are not really willing to even
3	entertain a quote.
4	You, as probably even as homeowners,
5	anybody here in in the business market knows,
6	it's very difficult to obtain property insurance
7	right now.
8	On top of that, with the few companies that
9	are willing to offer a quote on insurance, they
10	have been inundated with applications. And with
11	our policy here at the airport coming up at the
12	end of this month, they're either un not
13	willing to entertain a quote or they're just not
14	able to get to it at this point because of the
15	amount of work that they have to do.
16	Currently, current policy coverage, we have a
17	little bit over \$2 million or \$20 million in
18	property values. And we have basically that's
10	broken down by in three deductible categories

20	One is	just a	\$10,000	all other	peril	deductible,
----	--------	--------	----------	-----------	-------	-------------

- which would be fire, lightning, things of that
- 22 nature; a 5 percent deductible for named
- hurricanes, named storms; and a \$50,000 deductible
- for all other windstorm coverage.
- 25 What we are trying to do is -- from our

1	companies, is we have requested to try and obtain
2	quotes in a number of manners. First one,
3	obviously, is trying to continue with with just
4	what we have.
5	We have requested the companies to actually
6	offer us deductible alternatives, the first one
7	being a quote that actually is a two and a half
8	million dollar deductible. That that was one
9	of the first ones that we requested. And that
10	would be a flat deductible for all occurrences,
11	windstorm, fire, lightning, anything. And that
12	we have a company that GenStar, who is on the
13	policy right now, is on is on the airport,
14	they're they're looking at that, entertaining
15	that.
16	Just as a note there, we had a company,
17	Lexington, who actually has been in years past,
18	has been a player in in offering coverage for
19	the airport. In Florida right now, their premium

20	for all Florida property is \$100,000 per million
21	dollars of coverage.
22	So, what we are we are looking at is, if
23	we were to maintain current coverage the way that
24	we have it, trying to come up with we're
25	guessing premium could be anywhere around \$500,000

1	from the current premium of \$238- for for the
2	main property coverage.
3	The second area that we were looking at was
4	to try and eliminate coverage for named storms,
5	for hurricanes only, and just to see what we could
6	do, what the companies will do from that
7	standpoint. And if we were to try and do that,
8	they would continue on the other fire and wind
9	coverage. But in doing that, we also were looking
10	at obtaining coverage for loss of revenues.
11	The airport's currently, from from
12	rentals, is getting approximately a million
13	dollars annually from revenues, and we want to
14	if a major occurrence were to happen here, we
15	would like to try and continue that revenue stream
16	for the airport.
17	So, we are looking at trying to obtain
18	possibly something separately just for the
19	loss-of-rents coverage. Because in an insurance

20	policy, if we eliminate the named storm coverage
21	the coverage for the loss of rents would also be
22	due to a covered cause of lost, and if we
23	eliminate that named storage coverage, we would
24	also eliminate that as a cause of loss for the
25	loss of rents.

1	The third option that we have is to actually
2	reduce values and to have the companies insure the
3	property for what we would call an actual cash
4	value, which would be the replacement cost minus
5	depreciation. In doing that, we would come up
6	with some sort of a schedule that we could reduce
7	the from the initial year that the property was
8	built, reduce the values over possibly a 20-year
9	period of time so at the end of 20 years, the
10	property would would have no insurable value.
11	That would reduce the overall amount of insurance
12	by possibly up to a third, and that would allow
13	the airport to to reduce premiums that way.
14	And last but not least is coming up with an
15	option that that may be a combination of
16	eliminating coverage for storms and and coming
17	up with a reduced value through the actual cash
18	value with depreciation.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Very good. I'll open the

- 20 floor up to discussion. I take it you'd like to
- 21 discuss --
- MR. GORMAN: Might as well, just --
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Might as well stay there.
- MR. KLEIN: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: If you don't mind.

1	MR. GORMAN: It it's patently obvious that
2	the insurance companies don't really want to
3	provide coastal coverage anymore. And with a
4	premium of \$500,000, we would actually be running
5	this airport with a tremendous amount of the
6	revenues directed towards just insuring for
7	property.
8	Catastrophic coverage just seems no longer
9	for direct catastrophic loss of all the buildings,
10	seems no longer plausible. Again, we'd just be
11	working for the insurance fees. We're going to
12	have to not insure for catastrophic loss, because
13	in my way of thinking, if you have a full
14	catastrophic loss like this, FEMA is going to step
15	in. Now, it's not going to step in rapidly
16	this is just my own thoughts. They're not going
17	to step in rapidly, but they are going to step in.
18	And it was Ed's idea to insure for loss of
19	revenue, which I thought was a very good idea.

20	And I think you're going to have to run the
21	property value insurance down to either ACV on the
22	old buildings or almost nil and eliminate the
23	catastrophic loss from named peril storms and then
24	take the rest of the coverage; otherwise, you
25	haven't got any money left at the end of the day.

- 1 You're just not going to be able to do it.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Let me ask a question tailed
- 3 on to what you were saying.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Sure.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Klein, what do you -- your
- 6 position on his statement about FEMA stepping in,
- 7 I'm -- I'm a little bit curious there, what --
- 8 what you think being in the --
- 9 MR. KLEIN: Well, we've seen it happen
- 10 elsewhere.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Right. I understand. I mean,
- is that plausible, Ed?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. To a degree. It's --
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's an unknown quantity --
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- at the end of the day.
- We've also seen within the airport business
- additional grant funds being made available by

20	both Florida DOT and FAA, so the overall loss risk
21	to the Airport Authority is minimized to some
22	degree with with almost any plan.
23	The reality is, your your insurance
24	carrier is the last guy to pay, based on what the
25	actual loss is after being able to recover from

1 recover funds or --2 CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Mr. Gorman, go 3 ahead. I wanted to interrupt you --4 MR. GORMAN: No, that's --5 CHAIRMAN COX: -- for having the floor. MR. GORMAN: No, that was well said by Ed. 6 7 That's -- that's it. And they want -- and they, of course, want to be the last to pay. It's not 8 doable anymore only because of the tremendous 9 losses of actually taking the insurance company, 10 and their actuarial statistics no longer work. 11 They no longer are valid in coastal areas. Either 12 a number 3 or a hybrid idea. But I can see that 13 you're not going to be able to afford to 14 completely insure for replacement value for all of 15 16 these buildings and do business the way we do now. 17 CHAIRMAN COX: Further discussion from the board? 18 MS. GREEN: That was my question when I was 19

20	speaking with Mr. Burnett, was other grants coming
21	from other, you know, aviation areas.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, obviously they're not
23	something you program. You're you're somewhat
24	at the mercy of of the size of impact that the
25	State experiences with that same storm and as

and to how much funding would be ultimately made 1 available for -- for us to recover, speaking 2 3 solely from the airport. But historically, they've done fairly well 4 5 when you consider the size of the loss that was 6 out there. MS. GREEN: What happened with --7 MR. WUELLNER: But it's slow. 8 9 MS. GREEN: Who was it on the west coast that was hit by a hurricane a couple of years ago? 10 MR. WUELLNER: Charlotte County? 11 12 MR. GORMAN: Charlotte. 13 MS. GREEN: How did that --MR. WUELLNER: They're -- they're just now 14 beginning construction on many of the --15 MS. GREEN: I mean, what are their -- was it 16 17 fully insured? Did they have to have grant money?

MR. WUELLNER: No. They -- they ended up

having augmented grant funds from Florida DOT, got

18

19

25

20	some additional FAA money, as most airports that
21	were impacted did. You know, I don't know to
22	to the level of what the actual final dollar
23	impacts were, but they were significant to the
24	local community, also.

One of the things we were -- we were kicking

1	around, too, was I believe it's one of the
2	remember exact reduced value exposure. In
3	thinking through that and and just throwing a
4	few ideas out on the table, one was to look at
5	taking the the value of the property, the
6	replacement value of the property to a point or
7	even the actual cash value of the property, you
8	know, out to a fixed term, for instance, ten
9	years, and then beginning a almost almost a
10	straight-line depreciation, you know, of about 10
11	percent a year for the next ten years, and then
12	pulling that particular building off the insured
13	building list in any capacity after a 20-year
14	period. So, once a building's been here for about
15	20 years, it would no longer be insured for any
16	portion of a value.
17	There there's certainly some merit into
18	that. You know, usually when while they have
19	longer lifespans, you can do things to make a

20	building last longer than 20 years in almost every
21	case. At that point, you're starting to incur
22	significant maintenance obligations in a building,
23	too. And it probably stops making sense to,
24	unless you're going to, you know, make those
25	improvements to buildings, to to extend the

12

13

14

1 lifespan to, you know, seriously start watching what the bottom line is on buildings that are 20 2 3 years old. CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson, you had some 4 5 comments? MR. BRUNSON: One thing I'm curious about --6 do you know the answer to this? When -- when they 7 8 name one of these little storms out -- out there, and it's a named storm, and we get the back end of 9 it, and if it -- if it knocks down one of our 10 hangars, is that considered a hurricane? 11 MR. KLEIN: That's -- it's a named storm.

CHAIRMAN COX: NOAA. 15

we're at the mercy of the --

16 MR. BRUNSON: NOAA. Federal -- okay. You --

MR. BRUNSON: It's a named storm. And so

- 17 you mentioned loss of income. Wouldn't this
- premium kind of parallel property premiums? 18
- MR. KLEIN: Well, actually, I mean, you're 19

20	really talking about a lot less. If you're
21	talking about the value of the hangars themselves
22	and you reduce that, you take you eliminate
23	those values, insuring those, and adding a million
24	dollars per year with with a possibility of a
25	three-year payout, \$3 million total. That lessens

1 the -- the exposure to the insurance company. 2 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Then the -- the other 3 thing of becoming more self-insured, the reserves 4 that we keep talking about in our -- our millage rate and our budget would -- would play a role. 5 (Whereupon, Mr. Wuellner leaves the room.) 6 MR. BRUNSON: But I mainly wanted that 7 reserve for rising cost of construction, but also 8 was in mind of rising cost of insurance. But 9 there's no way that option two, that we can spend 10 half a million dollars on premiums. We've got to 11 figure out another way. So, you and Doug and 12 Herbie go ahead and figure all that out. 13 MR. KLEIN: We're trying to come up with as 14 15 many --16 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 17 MR. KLEIN: -- options as possible. We will start seeing the companies -- we'll probably start 18

19

seeing the quotes come in --

25

MR. BRUNSON: Good.

MR. KLEIN: -- a week from today.

CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Mr. Gorman?

MR. GORMAN: I wanted to make one thing clear

to the board. When do -- when does our option

expire on that? In other words, our insurance is

1 up what date? 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 3 MR. KLEIN: Premium's -- I mean, September 4 30th --5 MR. GORMAN: September 30th. MR. KLEIN: -- is -- you know, we've got two 6 7 weeks. And we -- and we won't -- as I said, we 8 probably won't see majority of the quotes come in until the first part of next week. 9 10 MR. BRUNSON: Well, we need to think about an 11 emergency ---12 MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. BRUNSON: -- board meeting to make a 13 decision. 14 MR. GORMAN: As long as you get your 15 16 liability. We've got to have a liability cover. 17 That's got to be a separate issue. I'm just 18 bringing that up.

MR. BRUNSON: We need to address it.

19

- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Where is our -- any further
- board discussion? I'm going to open it up to
- public comment here.
- MR. BRUNSON: Please.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Public comment on
- 25 this particular agenda item? Any --

1 Mr. Slingluff? MR. SLINGLUFF: The problem is statewide. 2 And I think it will sooner or later -- it should 3 4 become addressed in Tallahassee. 5 (Whereupon, Mr. Wuellner enters the room.) MR. SLINGLUFF: I know I represent the 6 7 Florida Aviation Trades Association. We're -we're --8 9 MR. BURNETT: Thanks, Michael. MR. SLINGLUFF: Through the Florida Aviation 10 Trades Association, we're looking at alternatives 11 on this. I mean, it -- it is a statewide program. 12 And it's really not just a coastal issue. The 13 National Hangar Insurance Program was canceled for 14 the entire State of Florida. They've just taken 15 us right out of the marketplace. 16 17 Mr. Burnett, a question for you, as a -- as a tenant here on the airport, what are the legal 18

ramifications for the airport if it does not have

19

20	property insurance? Is there a mandate under the
21	grants that we're given or a fiduciary
22	responsibility for the public facilities?
23	MR. BURNETT: I under the grants, I don't
24	think you run into a problem. If there was some
25	sort of debt service out there for the

construction of a building, that may be an issue. 1 But we're not currently in that position. 2 3 The only thing, though, that goes along those lines is the -- or I guess not really goes along 4 those lines, but that would be available to the 5 6 airport -- and I don't know if this answers your question. Probably goes beyond your question. 7 8 But they -- the airport has the line of credit and has had a line of credit from time to time, and I 9 guess it could increase that line of credit amount 10 in order to construct buildings in case -- in the 11 event there was some sort of storm-type 12 13 catastrophe. 14 A lot of -- I think all of the leases would provide -- even if they don't provide on their 15 face, most of the agreements the airport would 16 17 have, have what's routinely called force majeure, acts of war, acts of God, nature, those kinds of 18

things. Most of the time, we validate contracts

19

20	and sort of reset the playing field, although
21	there's times when that's not the case. But
22	generally that's that's the situation.
23	I probably gave you more than what your
24	question was, but anyways
25	MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, my my concern is

- 1 whether our grants that Ed is so good at getting
- 2 become in jeopardy if we're not currently insuring
- 3 property values. Because you're taking public
- 4 money and building buildings and they're then at
- 5 risk.
- 6 MR. BURNETT: It -- but it's -- the only
- 7 difference there for the most part is that it's
- 8 not a payback. It's not something where you're
- 9 paying the government back for them. They're --
- they're grants. So, they're -- they're gifts, if
- 11 you will, for the most part.
- MR. SLINGLUFF: But they're gifts to the
- public.
- MR. BURNETT: Sure.
- MR. BRUNSON: May I, Mr. Chairman? Having
- said that, Ed, are there any leases we have on
- property that are triple net? Does Galaxy, in
- their lease, does it say that they should provide
- insurance?

20	MR. WUELLNER: They they provide certain
21	coverages within their lease. And likewise, we
22	pre provide certain coverages based on our
23	owned facilities. Facilities for the for
24	the majority of property owned by the Airport
25	Authority, we provide whatever coverage is out

- there on the building structure itself, as we are 1 2 the owner of the property. There are -- there are many different types 3 of insurance product that we require, for 4 instance, Michael and them to cover, most of which 5 are related to liability, not the -- the physical 6 property there. 7 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Hold on. We're still in public comment, and we're not in board discussion 9 here. Are you -- is that it? 10 11 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN COX: Any further public comment on 12
- MR. HICKOX: Excuse me. Wayne Hickox, 881
- 15 Queen Road. I'll sign a slip in a moment. I
- didn't intend to speak. But I think you'll

this agenda item? Yes, sir.

13

- find -- and, Doug, you can correct me if I'm
- wrong, that the only thing that you're required to
- insure, if you're building with public money, is

- those things that are bonded. To my knowledge,
- 21 most of everything you have built, you have built
- with your own resources through grants. So, I
- 23 think that -- that clears you there.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: That's a very good point.
- MR. HICKOX: And also, you might find, too,

- 1 that everybody's going to the same -- same nest
- 2 for their money these days, FEMA and the federal
- 3 government, and I think there's an indication out
- 4 there that there's not as much as we think there
- 5 is there. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Just a quick comment.
- 7 MR. GORMAN: Quick comment. I'd like to know
- 8 our liability issue, one of our buildings fall on
- 9 one -- on one of the jets that Mr. Slingluff
- services. I've got to know that. That is part of
- our liability, and we've really got to know
- whether the building and, you know, that whole
- issue is going to be addressed.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Would you say, Michael,
- anywhere from \$4 million to \$25-?
- 16 MR. SLINGLUFF: (Nods head.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN COX: About right?
- 18 MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah.
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: That would be about it.

- 20 MR. SLINGLUFF: But liability-wise, if you
- want to answer that...
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: That's what they cost, anyway,
- 23 so...
- MR. WUELLNER: That's not a --
- MR. KLEIN: That really, again, that's --

1	you're talking about nature. In most cases, he's
2	going to carry the coverage on those planes, and
3	that's going to that's going to be first
4	coverage.
5	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And and they're
6	required all of your tenants, to some degree or
7	another, are required to have property damage
8	insurance as part of their leases.
9	MR. GORMAN: So, you would so,
10	Mr. Burnett, you would feel our exposure, even if
11	we did not insure for property or if we had a
12	greatly abridged property value, insurance would
13	not directly
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Our exposure would be minimal?
15	MR. GORMAN: Our exposure would not be, would
16	be what I'm asking.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Would be minimal?
18	MR. BURNETT: I guess that's one analysis we
19	could go through, is look at the insurance

20	policies that are there, the leases that are
21	there, and the insurance requirements to verify
22	one, to verify and I know your Staff's doing
23	this, but to verify those policies are there and
24	to see the extent that overall they provide
25	coverage, and whether they do cover for

- catastrophes, because you could have property 1 2 damage that doesn't necessarily provide for 3 catastrophic storm-type damage. CHAIRMAN COX: Ed, you're not -- are you 4 5 looking for action from the --MR. WUELLNER: Well, I need -- we need 6 7 some --8 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: -- general direction from 9 10 you --CHAIRMAN COX: Right. 11 12 MR. WUELLNER: -- because the nature of this
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Time frame it --

kind of coverage --

- MR. WUELLNER: -- we run out of -- our
- insurance expires at the end of this month. So,
- we've got a -- you know, a two-week -- two-week
- envelope here to get the carriers to commit and us
- 19 to -- to get in a situation where we can bind

- 20 coverage.
 21 MS. GREEN: I just think monetarily, we need
 22 to look at option three, whatever, or not doubling
 23 our premium. I mean, that's --
- MR. WUELLNER: Agreed.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: And I -- it seems to be --

1 MR. BRUNSON: I agree with that. 2 CHAIRMAN COX: -- we're in agreement on that 3 option three, either the hybrid -- or the hybrid 4 option three looks to be like the one we'd like to 5 explore, so... MR. WUELLNER: Okay. So -- you -- you have a 6 budget number, if my memory's correct, proposed at 7 8 about \$310,000 for -- now, that includes some 9 liability insurance and some other coverages that aren't property. But my guess is you're probably 10 in the \$275,000 range, is what your -- your -- the 11 amount that would normally go toward property 12 13 coverage this year. That's probably the number 14 we're working with. You know, what -- I guess more importantly to 15 16 me is, what are you -- is that a number you want to treat as a not to exceed and we come up with 17 the best possible package for that number and kind 18 of go from there? Are there things you've -- you 19

20	know, you definitely want to see in there? Do you
21	want to look at reducing coverage on older
22	buildings or even eliminating in some cases?
23	For existence (sic), what for example,
24	we you know, we carry coverage on some
25	buildings that may probably don't make sense to

1 cover. The port-a-port rows. There's some older 2 T-hangar units that, you know, are -- are 20-plus 3 years old. May or may not be worth carrying 4 coverage on. 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead, Mr. Gorman. 6 MR. GORMAN: Can I just make a summary that 7 we probably should run down our coverage on 8 buildings to an actual cash value for -- do -one, run our coverage on old buildings down to 9 10 their actual cash value, or not cover them; and 11 two, not exceed -- at least to not exceed what we spent last year. I thought what we spent last 12 13 year was too much. So, we can't possibly exceed 14 that, because there's -- there's no way to escalate this and ever get off the tax rolls, if 15 16 you want a bottom line to it. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Okay. So, you're 17 18 looking at \$238- as a -- as a cap on the property side? That's approximately what this year's 19

- 20 number is.
- MR. BRUNSON: I think that would be
- 22 unrealistic.
- MS. GREEN: That's --
- MR. BRUNSON: That -- based on the people not
- even wanting to write this insurance. So, based

- on that, I think the \$275- from \$238- would not
- 2 be -- if we can get a quote for that, I think
- 3 you'd be lucky.
- 4 But I guess we're kind of behind the curve on
- 5 this to analyze our property to see how we can
- 6 lower the -- so, I'm going to suggest that we do
- 7 that immediately and -- and analyze what we can
- 8 take off the insurance rolls to lower this, \$275-,
- 9 but not to exceed that.
- MR. WUELLNER: Do you have any -- any age of
- property guidance you want to apply to it or want
- us to apply to it?
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: Any what type?
- MR. WUELLNER: Age of property.
- 15 CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Is 20 years a number you want
- to use? Is 10, you want to start reducing the
- value, or you want to go out a longer period of
- 19 time?

20	CHAIRMAN COX: Twenty works for me. I don't
21	know.
22	MS. GREEN: What number of buildings do we
23	have that are approximately 20 years old? I mean,

- 24 what percentage are we talking about?
- MR. WUELLNER: I did just a quick thing after

1	our meeting this morning on on this same kind
2	of topic and, you know, if you took the 10-year
3	the 10-year full value, or I should say value of
4	the constructed improvement, and then beginning in
5	year 10, reduced it by 10 percent over the next 10
6	years to a no value after 20 years, that it
7	actually works out to right at 20 percent less
8	insured value as we stand today. And that would
9	reduce continue to reduce over, you know, a
10	little bit next year.
11	But keep in mind you're adding property next
12	year. You're potentially here adding 3 or 4 or 5
13	more million dollars worth of property to the
14	insured risk side.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
16	MR. WUELLNER: One of the things I really
17	think where you could could we could make
18	some improvements is in reducing or eliminating
19	the, quote, unquote, named storm coverage. My

20	while everybody perceives that as the biggest
21	risk, it's also where the most help is available.
22	Well, we we can't expect grant help or
23	FEMA help or or or even additional local
24	assistance for the normal insured risks of the
25	buildings, such as a fire to a hangar or or

1	or who knows what else can crop up.
2	So, I'm wondering if continuing normal
3	coverage, which should be competitive with
4	anywhere else in the country, if you eliminate the
5	hurricane or the wind component of coverage, it
6	should be fairly similar to what the the
7	experiences are anywhere else in the country.
8	Those risks are virtually the same anywhere, I
9	would think.
10	So, I would like to see us continue with the
11	normal coverages of the building, look seriously
12	at perhaps reducing or eliminating completely
13	the the high wind component and and kind of
14	just take our chances with the assistance out
15	there on the on the public.
16	The Authority has the ability to bond. I'd
17	really like to protect the revenue stream, so if
18	there is a way to protect the revenue stream which
19	allows us to bond, which allows us to be

20	responsive to whatever happens I'm not sure
21	there's a lot of bang in the buck for the
22	catastrophic event side, the named storm side of
23	this. I think there's there's a lot of help
24	out there, arguably to some level. I don't know
25	what that is, but you're going to come back slowly

1 no matter what. 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir. 3 MR. BRUNSON: Ed, or Doug, in your opinion, if we do that, and we're trying to get stronger 4 financially by having a line of credit, do you 5 6 think because we have this line of credit and we're trying to get more sound financially, that 7 8 that would hinder us on receiving FEMA and help? MR. BURNETT: The only issue there, and I 9 think all local governments are able to -- barring 10 extraordinary circumstances, are able to bond, and 11 typically they're all able -- they're all on equal 12 footing as far as their ability, and lenders like 13 14 them, obviously, because they're government entities and they can tax, unlike average 15 16 citizens. 17 MR. BRUNSON: Would be like --MR. BURNETT: So, yeah, they're -- typically 18

the government entities are able to borrow funds.

20	(Whereupon, Chairman Cox leaves the room.)
21	MR. BURNETT: The one thing that I like about
22	what Ed said is having the income stream, if you
23	protect the income, it shows that you're going to
24	have the ability beyond just tax income to be able
25	to pay any kind of debt service that came about.

1	So, that is one thing that I hear that I think
2	makes good sense from a from a practical
3	standpoint.
4	MR. BRUNSON: Well, when the Chairman gets
5	back, I'd like to make a recommendation of what
6	we do you think he's coming back?
7	(Whereupon, Chairman Cox enters the room.)
8	MR. BRUNSON: Mr. Chairman?
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Sir.
10	MR. BRUNSON: What I'd like to recommend, and
11	I think we have the guidance of but I would
12	like for Ed to meet with Herbie Wiles and
13	Associates and and look at the different
14	options of the the income insurance, and also
15	taking these hangars and deducting the prices, and
16	e-mail us. And if we have any comments, we'll get
17	back with you immediately and on a consensus.
18	But I think the direction is that we would
19	like to keep it to the \$238-, but I think we've

- 20 pretty well authorized the \$275- --
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- in my -- in my opinion.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Works as a cap for me. I
- don't know. What do you -- everyone --
- MS. GREEN: Yeah.

1 MR. BRUNSON: In my opinion. CHAIRMAN COX: -- in concert with that? 2 3 MS. GREEN: Yes. CHAIRMAN COX: Does that work for you, Ed? 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: That's fine. I just -- you 6 know, this is one of those points where you need -- we need some guidance some way --7 CHAIRMAN COX: So, you've got some direction 8 from the board. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Burnett? 11 12 MR. BURNETT: I know this is not the intent of Mr. Brunson's comment. I just have to be a 13 stickler for one minute and be the attorney. And 14 I know this is not the intent of it. But we can't 15 16 take a consensus through e-mail. What -- what 17 Mr. Wuellner can do is e-mail y'all and get you the information. If you've got questions, you can 18 follow up with Mr. Wuellner. But I just wanted to 19

20	make sure
21	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah, I wasn't going
22	MR. BURNETT: the message you know,
23	sometimes folks hear one thing and and
24	interpret it another. So, I want to make sure
25	that no one in the public thinks that

1 MR. BRUNSON: No, I won't --MR. BURNETT: -- anybody will be taking a 2 3 vote through the e-mail system. You know those things happen. 4 MR. BRUNSON: Right. I won't reply back to 5 them. I'll reply to Ed. 6 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. 7 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. If that's the direction you need, we're going to recess this portion of 9 10 the meeting right now. Meeting will be in recess for -- until 5:01. If anybody needs to take a 11 break. 12 MR. WUELLNER: He's stopping for the public 13 meeting. 14 (Whereupon, the meeting was suspended at 4:55 and 15 16 resumed at 5:29 p.m. as follows:) 17 CHAIRMAN COX: We'd like to readjourn (sic) 18 the general public meeting, please. And we're

going to move into the next agenda item, which is

20	the ARFF vehicle station.
21	9.C ARFF VEHICLE
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The next item is the
23	where Staff I did it again.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: I don't think your gizmo is
25	on.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Try that again. Next item I
2	have for you is the staff request to go ahead and
3	do a sole sole source purchase of the ARFF
4	vehicle that's been carried in the budget actually
5	for three separate years.
6	This is a matching grant with Florida DOT, a
7	50/50 grant. We have spent collectively between
8	us and Grumman, have spent a great deal of time
9	discussing the the right sizing, if you will,
10	of a vehicle to meet the needs on the airport
11	moving forward.
12	What we were looking at a vehicle that
13	complements the response capability that Grumman
14	has at this point. This vehicle is on the low
15	end, believe it or not, of what's out there on the
16	market at all for airport rescue and firefighting
17	vehicles. In fact, it it also represents the
18	latest in the agent technologies that are out
19	there. It meets the current FAA advisory

20	circulars related to fire vehicles for airports.
21	And as such, really because of its kind of
22	state-of-the-art capability on the vehicle, makes

- 23 it a sole source purchase because no other
- 24 manufacturer of vehicles yet has come up to speed
- with the FAA revision in the specifications.

I	It has an eight-week delivery time. It would
2	augment Grumman's equipment. We are we have
3	certifications from both our engineer, as well as
4	the manufacturer, as to the sole source nature of
5	the vehicle. And it would be our
6	recommendation it includes the vehicle delivery
7	and about a threefold supply of the actual
8	extinguishing agent and includes training on the
9	vehicle.
10	And it would be a total sole source purchase
11	of \$305,948.20, of which \$150,000, or
12	approximately 50 percent, is reimbursable from
13	Florida DOT.
14	And it would be our recommendation that the
15	Authority approve the sole source purchase, and
16	we'll
17	CHAIRMAN COX: So, you're looking for action
18	from the Authority
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

25

housing it?

20	CHAIRMAN COX: For action from the Authority?
21	MR. WUELLNER: To approve the sole source
22	purchase.
23	CHAIRMAN COX: And this this vehicle,
24	Ed's, going to be over at Grumman or are we

1 MR. WUELLNER: Initially it would be here, 2 but as the station's built in the next six to nine 3 months, it would be housed at that location. CHAIRMAN COX: Be here? Where, over at the 4 5 maintenance facility? MR. WUELLNER: We'll probably store it in --6 7 indoors at our maintenance shop facility. 8 CHAIRMAN COX: All right. MR. WUELLNER: Unless Grumman has room for it 9 inside, but considering most of their vehicles are 10 outside at this point, I --11 CHAIRMAN COX: Right. 12 13 MR. WUELLNER: -- we would do it inside. CHAIRMAN COX: Authority discussion? Yes, 14 15 sir. 16 MR. GORMAN: Yeah. Can I ask? I went to the 17 Florida Aviation Convention and got a good briefing from the people there about the ARFF and 18

about the ability. But that vehicle looks -- I

20	wish that we had discussed this before. I have to
21	do it at a board meeting. It looks radically
22	different from the ones I have seen. And the
23	personnel protection looks radically different.
24	The ones I have seen are much wider, they
25	have a much taller stance, much larger tires, and

1	the personnel protection is from a front area that
2	is bevelled and with very thick window glass.
3	Why is it so much different?
4	MR. WUELLNER: Keeping in mind the type of
5	vehicle. It has to do with the index of response
6	the vehicle's designed to handle. This is what's
7	called an Index A vehicle, which is basic level
8	response, a first response kind of vehicle.
9	We have capability through Northrop Grumman
10	for the Index B size vehicle. As the aircraft
11	size increases and/or the number of operations
12	increases, the the number the letter
13	associated with the index goes higher. So a B
14	vehicle, obviously, has more capability than an A.
15	A combination of A and B vehicle on here
16	effectively gives the airport a net of a C C
17	index, assuming both vehicles are operational at
18	the time of an aircraft operation, which provides
19	into the larger air carrier aircraft capabilities

20	on the airport.
21	The beauty of this vehicle is that it would
22	allow really just this vehicle to be the
23	responding unit all the way up through Index A
24	which the airport right now believes it would be
25	its initial entry level fire requirement for

1 for ARFF. 2 MR. GORMAN: And not to labor or waste our 3 time, a quick question, too. Does this have one of those -- the hull piercing components? 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe this one does. 6 MR. GORMAN: Doesn't look like a 7 design that would be capable. 8 MR. WUELLNER: Does not. Primarily because the -- as you correctly observed, the height of 9 the vehicle --10 MR. GORMAN: Right. 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- wouldn't lend itself, other 12 than small aircraft, to -- to that technology. 13 The Index B vehicles, like you're -- you're kind 14 of alluding to in size, the other Grumman vehicle, 15 that's the kind of vehicle normally that, what's 16 called a snozzel, odd name, would normally be a 17 part of that kind of a vehicle. 18

MR. GORMAN: Can I -- can I ask Mr. Cox, what

25

20	is your experience with those? Your experience
21	should be pretty good with these. Would you do
22	you
23	CHAIRMAN COX: Nothing with the smaller
24	vehicle. Actually, I trained on the bigger fire

and rescue vehicle.

1	MR. GORMAN: You think this would be an
2	effective one?
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely.
4	MR. GORMAN: Because I know, costwise, I've
5	seen about that amount of money
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I just
7	MR. GORMAN: used in the large.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: I don't think we have the
9	incidence of arrivals of large aircraft that's
10	going to require the bigger vehicle.
11	I mean, certainly we have a 75 or a 73 BBJ
12	come in here very infrequently, and so I think,
13	you know, this is absolutely
14	MR. WUELLNER: This
15	CHAIRMAN COX: pertinent for our
16	operation.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Almost everything, the Index B
18	and above vehicles are all in excess of \$500,000.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.

20	MR. WUELLNER: This is in terms of value,
21	for what it is, this is this is probably as
22	good as it gets.
23	And the beauty is with complying with the
24	new advisory circular allows a different
25	technology to be applied to some of the older

1 agents that are out there, such as Purple-K. This 2 allows that -- that Purple-K to be put on a fire 3 at a much greater distance than earlier generations, which allows it to comply with the 4 5 new standards. It's -- it's kind of an amazing vehicle. The 6 technology is -- is way out there, compared to 7 what, just a few years ago, was available. In 8 fact, less than a year ago. 9 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Burnett? MR. BURNETT: Just -- just one thing, very 11 briefly. I want to note for the record, 12 consistent with your purchasing policy, that we do 13 14 have a verification from the vendor that this is a new technology. And that was also confirmed by 15 Passero & Associates in their letter of August 16 17 31st, 2006, that it's a new technology. CHAIRMAN COX: We are in receipt of that 18

letter.

- MR. BURNETT: And that therefore, it's a sole
- source exception to your regular purchasing
- 22 policy.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thank you very much.
- Any other discussion? Mr. Brunson?
- MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. Just for the record, why

1 do we need this? 2 MR. WUELLNER: Number one, you have -- you 3 have grant funds you've been moving forward. 4 You're about to lose these funds. Second of 5 all --MR. BRUNSON: Okay. That was my second 6 question. 7 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Well, that's -- that's 8 the -- the funding side of it. 9 10 The second is, in the event we were to experience any kind of commercial-related 11 services, even charter, we are required to meet a 12 minimum Index A requirement, depending on the 13 frequency and size of aircraft that would --14 would -- would be accommodated at the airport. 15 16 We're increasing a frequent -- a more 17 frequent number of those aircraft even now, as -as you're aware of. In another month, we'll have 18

Delta Airlines in here again with charters. We

21

20	need to be capable in order to retain our

- MR. BRUNSON: Well, does this index, is it
- sufficient? If some miracle would happen and we
- would get commercial flights --

certification with FAA.

25 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

MR. BRUNSON: -- would that handle that? 1 2 MR. WUELLNER: This meets basically a DC-9, 3 737 Airbus, 319, 320 size aircraft minimum requirements. 4 5 CHAIRMAN COX: It meets it. 6 MR. WUELLNER: If you get above that size --CHAIRMAN COX: Meets the frequency standard 7 8 that we have for those --9 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN COX: -- aircraft. MR. BRUNSON: And -- and the price, it said 11 it includes delivery? 12 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. BRUNSON: Extra agent, what does that 14 mean? 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: That is the extinguishing 17 agent. 18 MR. BRUNSON: How about the personnel to

drive it?

19

- MR. WUELLNER: It does not include people, if
- 21 that's what you're asking.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: That's you.
- MR. BRUNSON: Would that be -- is it air
- 24 conditioned?
- MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure it is.

CHAIRMAN COX: Training for Mr. Brunson is 1 2 included in --3 MR. BRUNSON: And -- and what -- what are your thoughts there? Would we take one of our 4 personnel and train them? 5 MR. WUELLNER: Actually, at this point, we're 6 contemplating it being operated by the trained 7 firefighters at Northrop Grumman initially. 8 MR. BRUNSON: Okay, great. 9 MR. WUELLNER: And then moving forward, 10 there's -- still remains optimism in the 11 discussions of actually bringing this under the 12 County fire. 13 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN COX: And if you'll recall --15 16 MR. WUELLNER: It's a specialized vehicle. 17 It's not the kind of vehicle that you'd go out and fight a structural fire. It's not for that. 18 19 MR. BRUNSON: I think -- I think that's a

20	good asset to have, to bring St. Johns County into
21	the picture.
22	And last question does this vehicle require

- high price insurance, or can it -- can it fall in 23
- 24 line with our --
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: It's a good question.

1 MR. BRUNSON: -- regular vehicles? 2 Mr. Klein, you don't know, do you? 3 MR. WUELLNER: We haven't priced insurance on 4 the vehicle itself. 5 MR. KLEIN: Can't tell you right now. 6 MR. GORMAN: We're scared of insurance. MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure he's willing to get 7 us a quote, though. 8 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 9 10 CHAIRMAN COX: I don't know what could hurt it. 11 MR. BRUNSON: And I didn't hear the answer to 12 you. Is it air conditioned? 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, I believe it is. 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Ms. Green, any comment? 15 16 MS. GREEN: The only question I have, is not 17 having a Consumer Guide Index as to what comparable fire trucks go to, is this pretty 18

comparable as far as price or better than what

19

20	you've seen or shopping around?
21	CHAIRMAN COX: I think I think that's part
22	of what you were talking about is, is that it's
23	new technology, sole source. I don't know if
24	you'd be able to find a
25	MR. WUELLNER: We actually found because

1 Index A is Index A. 2 MS. GREEN: Right. MR. WUELLNER: The difference is the type of 3 technology that's being employed on it and meeting 4 the new advisory circular. And under the old 5 technology, am I correct, Bryan, they -- they 6 start at about 400-plus thousand dollars for Index 7 8 A vehicles, under the old technology. MS. GREEN: Even the old one. Okay. 9 MR. WUELLNER: So, it --10 CHAIRMAN COX: It's a very good question. 11 MR. WUELLNER: We just happened to kind of, 12 in a sense, luck into this particular technology, 13 14 and manufacturer happened to have one around. MR. BRUNSON: And, Bryan, you did check eBay, 15 didn't you? 16 17 CHAIRMAN COX: He happened to have one

around, but it's an eight-week delivery?

MS. GREEN: It's normally months.

18

19

- MR. WUELLNER: They have -- yeah, normally
 it's almost a year.

 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.

 MR. WUELLNER: Most of the -- the delivery
 time on the eight weeks is they are going to
- install the radio equipment to make sure we can

- obviously talk to tower, County fire rescue, and 1 2 Grumman's fire rescue. 3 MR. BRUNSON: This is certainly more useful 4 than the seaplane ramp. 5 MR. WUELLNER: I agree. 6 MR. GORMAN: Can I make one more comment? Seems like a heck of a price for a truck. 7 8 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I agree. It's just --CHAIRMAN COX: Well, everybody I think is 9 saying the same thing. And we're raising our 10 eyebrows. 11
- MR. WUELLNER: Scarier, the bigger ones.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: But apparently it's -- if we
- move to the older technology, it's more expensive.
- 15 Any further comment from the board? I'm
- going to open it up to the public. Public
- 17 comment? Mr. Ciriello?
- MR. WUELLNER: You just want to use it, don't
- 19 you?

- MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, 5318 Shore
- 21 Drive. I would imagine buying something like this
- is almost like buying insurance. You hope you
- 23 never need it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- MR. GORMAN: That's true.

1	MR. CIRIELLO: I either heard or read
2	somewhere that fire departments on airports are
3	altogether different than regular fire
4	departments. The training is all different,
5	specialized and what all.
6	And this, you'll probably use it more for
7	small stuff, because that's basically what comes
8	here. But if you don't have a steady group of
9	people, not volunteers, but regular firemen like a
10	regular fire department, you have service here at
11	night. If I'm not mistaken, people are coming in
12	here all the time, anytime, morning, noon, or
13	night.
14	So, what happens if say at 9:00 or 10:00 or
15	11 o'clock, some little guy comes in here with a
16	Mooney or a Bonanza or something like that, and
17	they bust it up and it catches on fire, who's
18	going to be around to do anything about it? I
19	mean, what good is this thing going to be then? I

25

20 mean, you know -21 CHAIRMAN COX: You live pretty close, don't
22 you?
23 MR. CIRIELLO: No, eight miles.
24 CHAIRMAN COX: No, I'm just teasing.

MR. CIRIELLO: I can't even light a match.

1	CHAIRMAN COX: I was hoping, Joe, you'd put
2	it out.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: You know, it's something you
4	hope you never need, but I've just got some you
5	know, some concern about if something happens and
6	there's nobody around to really do anything about
7	it. I don't know about Grumman's fire department,
8	if they have them around 24 hours around the clock
9	like they do their workers. So, you might have
10	something sitting here that's won't be of any
11	use to anybody if something happens.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I think the operational
13	policy of of that's going to be something
14	that's fluid and we're going to be working on how
15	that's going to be utilized. It's a very good
16	question.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Unless you get somebody who is
18	say assigned, like a worker, like one guy each
19	shift or something like that, then you've got to

- 20 consider then the cost of paying personnel to be
- with this.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: If they're launched. Correct.
- Thank you very much. Other public comment?
- 24 Mr. Slingluff?
- MR. SLINGLUFF: To put the need in

1	perspective, we ve we ve done several driffs
2	with the County fire department, and we maintain
3	some large dry chemical fire extinguishers on
4	on our ramp, and they're trailer mounted. First
5	thing the fire guys say when they come is that our
6	fire extinguishers are the best piece of equipment
7	they have currently for an aircraft.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: That they have. That they
9	have access to.
10	MR. SLINGLUFF: That they have access to.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Your fire extinguishers.
12	MR. SLINGLUFF: Our fire extinguishers. Not
13	the County's equipment. They want to press our
14	equipment into use. So, it is it's sorely
15	needed. It's time for it.
16	I would also like to offer that while we're
17	getting the ARFF building built, we would be glad
18	to have that that truck housed in the shade
19	hangar in in dispatch-ready condition.

20	MR. WUELLNER: Awesome. Thank you.
21	MR. HICKOX: Could I add one thing just to
22	your information. Make sure that you're staying
23	current with the County's radio system upgrades,
24	so that if you install new equipment, it's got to
25	have the right channels.

1 CHAIRMAN COX: Very good point. Ms. Barrera? 2 MS. BARRERA: Hi. Kelly Barrera, Ponte Vedra 3 Beach, Florida. I would like to know if there's 4 not any insurance discount that you would get by having this vehicle on property like you would a 5 6 home fire extinguisher, smoke alarm, those type of things. Would that not come into play as you're 7 looking, sir? 8 MR. KLEIN: It's possible it would. 9 MS. BARRERA: When -- when you -- when you do 10 your research and you're looking for those bids, 11 could you not include that as an -- as an item 12 13 that would be an asset on the airport property, just so that the board would have an opportunity 14 to compare? Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN COX: I think a percentage decrease 17 from the \$275- cap down to \$238- would be quite appropriate. Thank you very much, Kelly. 18 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Is this going to take a 19

20	motion?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Any further public comment?
23	(No further public comment.)
24	CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Not hearing any
25	further public comment, looking for action the

1	director's looking for action on this particular
2	agenda item, and I'll entertain a motion.
3	MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion that we
4	authorize the purchase of this vehicle for the
5	\$305,948, and direct Staff to get it in here as
6	soon as possible.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Motion on the table. Hear a
8	second?
9	MS. GREEN: I second with the FDOT grant 50
10	percent coming in.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Correct. Yeah. I think the
12	total cost will be \$305- and change, and then FDOT
13	grant of \$150 So, a motion on the table with a
14	second. Any discussion?
15	(No discussion.)
16	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor?
17	MS. GREEN: Aye.
18	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.

20	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN COX: The ayes have it unanimously.
22	I don't think you'll find any disagreement from
23	anybody on that.
24	10 PUBLIC COMMENT
25	CHAIRMAN COX: Moving to general public

1	comments, please. Any general public comments?
2	(No public comment.)
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Seeing none, we'll move into
4	Authority members' comments. Mr. Brunson?
5	11.A MR. BRUNSON
6	MR. BRUNSON: I have no comments.
7	I'm I'm glad we're through the millage
8	rate and the budgeting. And I won't be on the
9	board in two years, but I certainly hope that the
10	previous the board members that come before us
11	will know how hard we've worked on this.
12	And you guys that get elected in the next
13	four years, I hope you will, at this what we've
14	done today will pay off for you, and I think it
15	will. Those are my comments.
16	And I know personally that Mr. Wuellner, even
17	though this budget is approved, that he's looking
18	daily how to reduce expenses. And I think we've
19	got a good airport director, and I feel real good

- about this board.
- We make some hard decisions that we don't
- want to make sometime that have to be made. Thank
- you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, Mr. Brunson.
- Ms. Green?

1	10.B MS. GREEN
2	MS. GREEN: I mirror that. I think I'm
3	hoping and praying that the board before us
4	started us in this direction, we're following, and
5	that subsequent boards will work as hard to work
6	fiscally as we have to try and do that for the
7	airport.
8	One thing I did want to mention, I think all
9	of the board members, I'm assuming, received
10	information from St. Augustine High. They're
11	starting an aviation program there, and they asked
12	if someone from our board would be a liaison
13	member, and that's me. So, I went ahead and
14	MR. BRUNSON: Who's
15	MS. GREEN: volunteered.
16	MR. BRUNSON: talking about that.
17	MS. GREEN: So, that will be four or five
18	meetings a year, and then some speaking things
19	with the kids. So, it's to further and the

25

20 marketing and public relations area, further the
21 airport.
22 CHAIRMAN COX: Very good. Thank you.
23 Mr. Gorman?
24 10.C. - MR. GORMAN

MR. GORMAN: I'm glad we're doing more

1	marketing and public relations work. It's
2	certainly good to be positive rather than negative
3	as much as possible.
4	I think this board does do some good work. I
5	think we get some glaring holes that get thrown in
6	front of us. One is like insurance. That
7	certainly got us right up on the right up the
8	side of the head, as they say. And those things
9	need to be probably anticipated somehow.
10	As far as budget goes, I don't agree with all
11	of the line items. And it's been an approved. It
12	is what it is. There's I would like to see
13	certain reductions in the future in the budget.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thank you very much
15	Mr. George is not here.
16	10.E MR. COX
17	CHAIRMAN COX: I'd like to thank the public
18	for coming out and going through this, all of the
19	budget meeting hearings with us and stuff and

20	adding very valid points of concern. Thanks for
21	coming out and looking at that.
22	Also, the tenants that are here tonight,
23	please look over your minimum operating standards
24	for the next meeting and be ready to have or if
25	you want to contact any of the Authority members

- and -- and give your input concerning that packet,
- 2 please feel free to call or get ahold of the
- 3 Authority members and do that.
- 4 12. NEXT MEETING DATES AND ADJOURNMENT
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: With that, we'll move on to
- 6 the next meeting date, which tentatively is set
- 7 for October 16th at 4 p.m. And a workshop date,
- 8 we need to work on. So, does anybody have any
- 9 issues with October 16? No?
- 10 MR. BRUNSON: None.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. We'll make it October
- 12 16th, then. Workshop date for airport development
- issues? Ed?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Terminal area
- improvements.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Improvements. So, that will
- 17 be at 3 p.m.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's your choice, but you
- 19 could do it --

- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Or October 18th at 4:00, or
- 21 Thursday at 4:00.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't expect it to be more
- than an hour.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: I'd prefer to just have it at
- 25 3 p.m. You don't want to do that?

1	MR. GORMAN: October 18th is
2	CHAIRMAN COX: No, no, no, October 16th.
3	MR. WUELLNER: The day of, right before the
4	meeting.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: I was just giving you options.
6	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: They listed some options there
8	for us, but October 16th is the regular meeting,
9	and then proposed having a workshop at 3 p.m.
10	on does that work for everybody? Good. That's
11	the way it will be.
12	Meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much.
13	(Thereupon the meeting adjourned at 5:48 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG091806.txt					
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	
12	Dated this 26th day of September, 2006.
13	
14	LANIET M. DEACON DDD CD DMD. CDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No. 1 DD521200
16	My Commission No.: DD531390 Expires: April 30, 2010
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG091806.txt				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
2	01234	01234	 0 1 2 3 4 	