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PROCEED N GS
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Calling to order a special meeting of the Airport Authority. Please stand for the Pledge.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR POSITION
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. This is a -- one-item agenda special meeting. The matter of the executive director position for our authority.

I've thought about how we might discuss
this -- looking for other ideas, also -- but I feel we should first visit about the candidates, compare and contrast whatever attributes that we think match better with where we're going.

And we got a best idea of the group -- where we as a board would like our priorities to be in our session yesterday, and that was a good thing for me to reflect on and -- and then go back and look at my notes from the meeting. So who wants to go first and share their thoughts?

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I think maybe just to start, is the group leaning towards choosing one of the two candidates or are we seriously considering performing a new search? I -- I personally think we should pick between one of the two candidates.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I agree.

MS. LIOTTA: I see it's on the list as an -as an option, so if we know if we're dealing with that at all as an initial matter, that might be -might be helpful.

MR. CLARKE: I'm in favor of picking between the two.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I am hesitant to want to sign up to doing that at this point because $I$ feel that one of the two candidates are -- is one that $I$ feel we can be confident about.

I feel there's another candidate that I'm not sure -- I have doubts matches up with what I believe is -- are the priorities and the needs of this authority. So, I mean, I -- at this point, I can't. I mean, maybe after discussion, but other thoughts about that?

MS. LUDLOW: I -- I think we have two very good candidates. And, Jennifer, I didn't hear everything you said. So did you say you want to start a new search?

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I'm just wondering if anybody here is -- thinks that that's a serious option or if we are really here to talk about these two candidates as -- as the discussion is -- is
between the two candidates, not opening up to a new search.

MS. LUDLOW: I see. And I understand, and I wondered the same thing and I thought I'll have to wait until we get there to see.

Of the two candidates, $I$ think probably each of us has a choice. They're not always the same. But I -- I think, you know, one of them has such good credentials; I just don't know that we could get better. And I think we need something immediate and not just another six months down the road.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'm comfortable choosing between one of the two candidates that we have right now.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. I -- my -- my one thought is that the best way for an executive director to come and take the helm would be that they have the hearty and enthusiastic support of our entire board. I hope that might be possible in some way. But who -- who might want to go first and discuss their feelings about each of these candidates?

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I'm -- maybe it would be -might be helpful for me to go first because I'm
still a little bit on the fence and I think other people may not be so much on the fence.

So how I view it is we have two strong candidates. With Sam Carver, he's got a good track record here in a Florida airport, so he understands the Florida FDOT process, which I think would be very helpful to hit the ground running to keep our grants going and understand that process. I think Jerry would have -- would be able to get there, but it -- there would be a learning curve.

And by all accounts, you know, Sam runs an airport in a way that, you know, I think we would -- we -- we want -- in an admirable way and that it's stable, it's financially secure, it's just, you know, run smoothly. And, you know, the finances there, from what $I$ understand, are -- you know, it's a well-run ship and that's -- speaks well to his operational abilities.

On the downside, I don't think he interviewed as well. I think, you know, when he's out in the community, he may not be as -- as -- come across as a -- he doesn't have maybe as much charisma as Jerry. And for some people, that might be important, so I do recognize that.

For Jerry, I think he's got a fantastic
record. He's got something that Sam doesn't, which is experience working care -- with 121 service and carriers, and I know that's something that the board wants to see happen here.

I actually spoke with Jerry this morning, and the scheduled service issue did come up and, you know, I kind of filled him in a little bit about where we're at with Avelo and, you know, he -- he actually agreed that, you know, it was a good idea for the board to know about these things ahead of time and that, you know, the -- that he was a little -- I don't want to characterize his emotions, but what he told me was -- you know, aligns with how $I$ felt about the situation, which is engaging the board ahead of time and, you know, getting a -- getting an agreement that's not uncapped subsidies for airports -- for airlines because, you know, that's -- it's got to be sustainable. So nothing too surprising there. And, yeah, so I think -- and I think Jerry interviewed better.

So I don't think that either of them is bad.

I like Sam's Florida experience and I like Jerry's -- Jerry's airline experience and kind of the overall package of his -- of his experience.

I did also speak with Sam, and both of them told me they'd be -- their soonest availability would be somewhere April 1st to May 1st depending on notice periods with their current employers. But they're both willing potentially to start earlier.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Good. Okay. Who wants to --
MS. LUDLOW: Michelle. Ladies first.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Are you --
MR. CLARKE: Go ahead, Reba.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Reba, did you want to go next?

MS. LUDLOW: No.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Okay.
MR. CLARKE: All right. I would have to echo Jennifer's sentiments about -- about both candidates.

Quite honestly, I would feel quite comfortable with either one. I had very good discussions, although not in person, with Sam Carver because I was out of town that day, but I did have a good phone conversation with him and I had a good meeting with Jerry.

I -- I think I feel more comfortable with Jerry for the reasons Jennifer stated with his 121
experience. He seemed to have a very solid grasp of the financial administration of -- of an authority. That's going to become increasingly more important as we embark on the capital improvements. We have an ambitious list of capital improvements.

Sam's experience with -- current experience with Florida DOT is very valuable and it's current. He's known on a first-name basis to them, although Jerry has worked in two Florida airports and I don't think it would take him long to come up to speed on -- on that issue.

Sam would like to give a 30-day notice to his employer, which I find very admirable, but Jerry is available more -- sooner, and I think the sooner, the better. And so, I -- while it's very difficult, I favor Jerry.

MS. LIOTTA: If timing's important, when I spoke with Jerry this morning, he said that he might be closer to 45 days out. That's a 30 -day plus maybe another couple of weeks to move -- to actually physically get here.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Did he indicate the possibility of doing some type of transition work prior to that?

MS. LIOTTA: I didn't actually speak to either candidate about tran- --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: To the extent we talked about transition, it would be, you know, a start date, you know, and handover. But what I -- my conversations with them was not any -- not asking them to show up and shadow or do anything like that, but as soon as they started, they would -they would be the executive director --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes, okay.
MS. LIOTTA: -- because it can be awkward to show up and...

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. So you're saying he could be here in five days?

MS. LIOTTA: 40 -- 45 days. He's got a 30 -month [sic] notice for his employer.

MS. LUDLOW: Jerry?
MS. LIOTTA: Jerry. They both do. They both wanted 30 days with their current employer. And Jerry asked for a couple of weeks to -- to move here, since he's further away.

MS. LUDLOW: I'm glad you told me because I thought he was able to move immediately. So, I
just picked that up somewhere.
MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, but based on my conversation with him this morning --

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: -- that's what he told me.
MS. LUDLOW: And like he said, can he come any
time in between?
MS. LIOTTA: I imagine that's possible. I
mean, he -- he would still be with his current employer, but as far as, you know, coming by to -I imagine both of them probably could --

MS. LUDLOW: If that was --
MS. LIOTTA: -- before they started.
MS. LUDLOW: Yes. Okay. Thank you. I didn't hear good.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Who wants to go next?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I do, apparently.
So, I -- I agree. I mean, I think we have two really good candidates. They both present a lot of potential for our airport, which is always exciting.

At this point, I'm probably leaning more towards Sam, and I think because when we had a conversation, we talked a lot about what businesses we could bring here aviation-related and things
like that.
And I know that he kind of mentioned I think in the interview about these places that are reaching out to him because they want to be in a Florida airport and that he's willing to bring those relationships here. And I think that can be really important for our airport and for our community. He does seem really community oriented. We all know that I am pro community here. So he does bring a lot of that with him.

And I think that -- you know, I agree, Jennifer, that I think that Jerry interviewed a little bit better. He is a little bit more charismatic.

I do think that both of them going out into the community will be just fine. They both seem really proactive about things. They want to, you know, get out and meet with other groups that are in the county and see what we can do to work together and kind of build similarly solid bridges between different organizations within the community and the airport.

So I think we're -- we have a really tough decision ahead of us. I'm comfortable with either decision, but I'm definitely open to more

```
discussion to see what else other people are
feeling.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Good. Are you ready
or -- or should I go?
    MS. LUDLOW: I'm ready.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Good.
    MS. LUDLOW: You can be last.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Oh, yeah, I'm always last,
so --
    MS. LUDLOW: You're the chairman. Okay.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Is that a rule, by the way,
    that the -- I'm --
    MS. LUDLOW: I know. Yeah, it's unwritten
    rule.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LUDLOW: Yeah.
    I agree. I enjoyed Sam immensely on a social
    level. I did ask about -- I asked both about their
    home life. That is important to me. So, Sam has a
    25-year-old daughter that works in Hawaii right
    now, but -- so -- and -- and his mother is down
    there, I guess, because he's keeping his mother's
    dog.
    But the two things that bothered me about Sam
    was I couldn't get any good grant information from
```

him, and -- and his credentials don't nearly add up to the other one and -- and -- oh. And so when we asked about community activity, this is not nice, I mean, one of the things he -- the only thing he said was that they presented a dog show. When we asked about airport appearance, we think that's important. I think I've heard from several people that the appearance of his airport is not that great. So, I had some differences with him. So -- sociable, you know, very very nice. Not nearly the credentials that Jerry has. I mean, this is -- this speaks a lot, that the newspaper will say, This is a good guy and we're losing him, you know. It's a little after the fact because but they lost him, but his credentials are really good.

When I asked him about grants -- the thing that bothered me about each of them is that they both are county oriented, you know. I said, How are you going to like working for a board? That's fine.

All -- all they do -- all Jerry does is go before the county and relay his intentions and they say yes or no. But they do not write the grants for him. And that's one thing I wanted to know,
how much the county did, you know, for -- of his job did the county do. Well, apparently the county does not do anything for the job except approve. But one of his grant things, they went before FAA five times and the sixth time, they won. Now that speaks a lot for me because $I$ have dealt with FAA and -- as we are now, and I just think his credentials, if you look at nothing but black and white, the credentials outweigh it.

I think he has more experience. I just
think -- as much as $I$ would like Sam because I know, you know, you guys -- some of you guys are really for him, as much as I like him, he'd be a great friend. As far as running the airport, I don't think he compares to what Jerry knows about running an airport.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Thank you, Reba.
Here's my take. Excuse me if I'm a little bit
long. I spent actually two one-on-one times with each candidate. One was before their tour and visit here and one was after.

My visit with Sam was he gave me a tour of the Stuart airport and it wasn't -- it wasn't -- we -I made it clear when -- it was an arranged visit in January and I -- it was not at the time that we
were engaging these candidates for an executive director search yet. So that was not on the -- in the discussion.

But it was a very helpful tour of the airport. He drove me around the entire perimeter because they had just gotten a grant to install a new perimeter fence and then we had some other discussion. At the same time, on a separate tour, I toured the FBOs there, which are impressive. And I'll get to that.

I -- and then the other candidate, Mr. Brienza, I had a lengthy dinner with him the night before his tour here, which was a very nice visit, and we covered a huge much -- amount of things. He -- and then $I$ had a follow-up fairly long phone conversation with him after he had left and visited here.

I found both candidates to be very personable, very likeable, both candidates, and very open. I, like as others may have seen -- a few others have mentioned here, $I$ found that -- and just sort of burrowing into everything that $I$ could glean from the visits and from the going online, which now of course is a very good way to see more information about candidates. And then, as I said, I reflected
back last night after I left our workshop on what this board had thought -- had listed as its priorities that it needs -- wants to and needs to pursue.

Jerry Brienza comes off as stronger in these areas to me. He has directed three airports. So three airports, five years, nine years, six years, 20 years total as directing airports.

He didn't even put this in his resume. I found it online and -- and had brought it up in our follow-up phone call. He was named Oregon airport director of the year in 2022 and 2019. And I pressed him on a follow-up, How -- How do you get to be airport director of the year?

And it's -- apparently there in the airport community in that state, they have a number of smaller airports as well as a couple of large ones -- the airport directors are encouraged to make nominations around and then there is a separate review by the airport organization and others.

He described it to me, but anyway, that's how you get to be airport dir- -- and it was a big deal. His last time he got that award, it was he had a special -- a special item at the -- his
county commission that had read and the chairman spoke about how delighted they were with his work, what he had done with the airport, and at that point he had already announced his -- his departure and they were -- ended up in their remarks begging him to change his mind.

So he is -- as another distinction -- and, you know, you can ask again how -- one can ask how these are made, but Aviation Pros magazine has featured the current issue or one of the most current issues his -- his -- a project he oversaw at the airport there in Medford as airport project of the year.

And it was one of those probably projects that don't make -- aren't -- receive a lot of public attention but extremely necessary. It was a new ambitious stormwater treatment system, and it became project of the year by this Aviation Pros magazine. And it had a photo of it and all of that.

He has -- one of the things that we have -this board has continued to note as a priority is construction of $T$-hangars. In Stuart, the airport sponsor -- the airport does not build or operate T-hangars. The Stuart airport is totally and the
people that use it are totally dependent on the FBOs to build and provide $T$-hangar and other hangar space.

They do a -- I mean, their hangars are impressive but there are not -- and I can read you direct quotes from a -- several that appeared in the press about very current lamenting by people that are individual aircraft owners that the FBOs have not been aggressive in constructing and providing $T$-hangar space. They've in fact been demoing $T$-hangars, there's -- and building and facilities and parking lots to serve -- a lot of their clientele quite frankly appears to be hyper-wealthy people living on Jupiter Island that have the means to work with the FBO to get their own hangar space.

Let's see. Oh, and Medford authority or the organization -- $I$ can't refer to it as an authority, it's an entity under the county -- has a total 160 T-hangars. And during Jerry's time, they added a new phase. It was a half dozen $T$-hangars, not a lot, but he -- he has direct experience with an organization doing that most recent.

He does have, as has been noted, his Florida experience four years. This was prior to him being
an airport director. It was with Palm Beach and Fort Lauderdale airport.

He reports not only to the county commission, he reports to a very formal board that they've set up here that I understand used to be referred to as an authority. It's a -- it's an appointed board. They formally meet regularly. They meet like with all the formality that this board does and receive reports and updates and make recommendations to the county commission. Agendas of that meeting are posted online, as are other business of that.

Grants. Mr. Brienza, I pressed him on grants, and I thought it would be a good way to compare, because we received I believe $\$ 29,000$ from the CARES grant program. That was the first federal program that sent special money through the federal agencies, and FAA got some and dispensed it to the airports.

This airport got $\$ 29,000$. We're a different airport than probably Medford for other factors, but Medford got $\$ 16$ million in their first conduit of COVID CARES grant, and then there was a follow-on COVID award and the total became 26 million. So 26 million, that's pretty impressive as far as matching -- receiving and
managing grants.
Interestingly enough and this didn't come up -- it may have been referenced in some of his material, but I'm not sure. But in visiting with him, he had mentioned that he had just -- I was describing some of the things this airport is looking to do. He had actual -- he has -- during his time, he has -- and it's very recent because he was just -- he's -- he -- the airport there under his direction had a piece of land that they wanted to put into commercial development with a hotel.

He managed the whole process of creating an RFP and seeking proposals for the development of a hotel. They -- they framed their proposal based on return on whatever lease rates -- ground lease rates plus any other terms that would advantage the authority and make it a partner in the success of the project.

There were three proposals received. The one -- the one selected, and I don't know where this -- I don't know how high exposure this chunk of land was for this hotel, but they ended up having a proposal for a hotel that's -- that is being flagged as a Hilton.

And the terms that Jerry shared to me was
there's an annual minimum rent and the stream starts the day the deal is done before the hotel opens, and then the -- the other part is 3 percent of gross out of the hotel. So that income stream to the -- to the airport.

Let's see. I'm sorry to take up any -- let me see if $I$ can save time with my other notes. Oh, okay.

One comparison that $I$ think others have a little bit referred to here, and I think it's important in addition to what I've perceived as higher energy, is some people call it executive presence. Others might refer to it as, you know, gravitas, which sometimes is an overused word.

But we need -- our airport director can be most successful to be -- if we think about having these traits, having these traits in a friendly approachable way, but having these traits when they're going into meetings with agencies and -and industry partners.

And I just think yesterday one of the things we mentioned is having a director that is comfortable going and sitting down with Northrop Grumman, one of the four largest defense contractors in the world, and talking to them

```
about -- and keeping in communication about their
plans and their connection to our airport.
    So, I do also -- he also did, you know,
generally mention his interest in ec- -- and work
in economic development. And then last, and but
not least, is the recent experience with Avelo.
Depending on where we are and how fast we move
and -- or can move with Avelo, he could be very
value -- useful right now to help input into that.
    So, anyway, I guess I made my point. That's
    why I'm seeing Mr. Brienza as being the one. I
    feel like our other candidate is -- is limited in a
    couple of areas that are important to this --
    where -- to the priorities that we have been
    working through for -- such as in the workshop
    yesterday. That's my feeling.
    MS. LUDLOW: So your vote goes to?
    MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for that
detailed history of Mr. Brienza. You reinforced my
decision to also back Mr. Brienza.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Let's see. Any other
discussion before we act more formally on this?
    And this would be -- I mean, you know, I guess
our hope, if we -- if that's where we go, is that
Mr. -- that a -- an agreement can be worked
```

through.
And so, I -- let's go and I guess vote and then I do have -- do want to report some things that I've looked into about hiring -- proceeding with a hiring, if -- if we are ready for that.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I think I'd like to discuss process a little bit more.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: I think it's, you know, fairly clear the direction the board is leaning. I'm happy to support Mr. Brienza as well. I don't think we can really lose when choosing between those two candidates. So, you know, we've had the discussion and I'm happy to support Mr. Brienza. As to process, I think I'd like to have a little bit more discussion about what that looks like. I've talked with Blake -- I cannot remember his last name.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Astran.
MS. LIOTTA: Astran, yeah. And asked him kind of what was market, you know, as far as hiring. Would he help with that? He said that they do as part of their services. I'm sure Mr. Olson's aware of that, but, you know, I called to confirm that myself and got some interesting feedback from --
from Blake. One, that it's really not market to do employment contracts. Those are unusual.

So I strongly feel that we should -- we can and should offer an at-will employment to Mr. Brienza if we go forward with that -- or any other candidate, for that matter. I think it would greatly simplify the process and speed things up if we don't have to negotiate an employment contract.

Also, I think as far as, you know, bonuses and things like that, we're a new board, we're trying to figure out, you know, a lot of basic things, you know, trying to figure out what -- KPIs and how to measure and how to tie compensation to that. We're not ready to do and I don't want -- in my view, I wouldn't want to slow down the process by trying to stop and figure out what that might look like.

So I think a very simple compensation package would be the best way to move forward, at-will, market rate, and then, you know, discuss a signing bonus and potentially some amount of money for moving expenses.

That's where my viewpoint's at and I think you ask -- you know, working with Blake to figure out what -- what market is and what sort of bonuses might be appropriate for signing and those -- those
startup costs, is what would benefit us the most to move forward with.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah. I -- I think, you know, obviously you have made a suggestion that we -- we should spend more time looking or thinking about that, and $I$ was unaware that that is -- is common in the airport director world.

There are -- there are reasons that really are -- advantage an authority to have an airport -a employment contract, also. I -- I'm hoping that we don't have to make a decision on either/or at this point.

I would like -- what I would like to do is a -- I continue to see the Boca Raton airport as being a storehouse of best practices. I would like -- I have accessed last week the employment contract for their airport director. I would like to share it with this board just to look at to get an idea of the kinds of protections that even are granted to -- are reinforced with the Authority in these employment contracts.

In -- in addition, we have on our -- as our general counsel team now, we have Jeremiah Blocker, who has recently been through that in his experience on the board of the county commission
with the hiring of a new county manager, with the contracting.

And so, I -- I -- I just hope we -- I mean, my thought is that we -- we should take, Jennifer, your suggestion as a branch we should go, but we should still take time to look at which of these two options might be best for both the candidate and the airport.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I -- right now, I'm very strongly against a idea of an employment contract. Sorry about that.

I really don't think that we have time to go back and forth in negotiations. We just earlier talked today about the need to get someone in here. We're, you know, wondering, you know, in a matter of a couple of weeks being important as far as getting someone hired.

Negotiations for especially employment contracts, that's going to take time. And on -- I think we don't necessarily have to do -- make a final decision immediately. If this Authority decides in six months' time that they want to offer an employment contract to add additional protections for the Authority perhaps, and also there'll have to be some benefit to the director at
the time in that as well, I would assume, I don't see a reason why you can't start with at-will and move to a contract. But $I$ do not want this process to be slowed down. That's -- that's my viewpoint.

MS. LUDLOW: You don't want what?

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I'm sorry. Are there any -MS. LIOTTA: The hiring process, I don't want it slowed down.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: I just want to add that we could be -- we could be -- I'm just speculating now, but we could be adding an element of discouragement to the candidate that has apparently gotten all the favorable review here because we are a board that has just terminated -- not terminated, decided to not renew an employment contract and, you know, that puts a question in people's mind about -- about that.

MS. LIOTTA: I think I would respectfully
disagree. It is market that directors don't get employment contracts, so it was actually unusual that there was one previously.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: So I think the board deciding to move to a market position and get the board -- and
get this Authority updated to current practices is not in any way a -- a problem in my mind.

And it certainly is not a negative against Mr. Brienza. I don't think any incoming executive director, we should be thinking that we have to do for future directors just because we did it in the past.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Did --
MS. LIOTTA: I think that's the wrong way to look at things.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Could you go into a little bit more detail about Mr. Astran's discussion of that? I'm very interested in hearing that.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, basically just what I said. I asked him about employment contracts, what those generally look like, and he said that airport directors normally don't get those. This is an unusual --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Really?
MS. LIOTTA: Yes --
MS. LUDLOW: Because he's county --
MS. LIOTTA: -- that executive directors are normally at-will positions. And I don't want us to do something that's not market just because we're
being reactive and thinking we have to do something we've done in the past.

MR. CLARKE: Let me ask you a question. Does he have or has had he had an employment contract with Rogue Valley, Tri-State, and/or Pueblo, his most recent?

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I don't know. I just -- it's like I -- this is sort of a world that I've worked in. I know you-all have -- some of you have been in the business world. I really admire that.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, as --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: I --
MS. LIOTTA: And sorry to interrupt. Yeah, but to that point, as an employer, we try very hard not to enter into employment contracts -CHAIRMAN OLSON: Well, I'll just say that -MS. LIOTTA: -- in general. CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- I -- until now, I've assumed that -- I mean, it's like the state of the art in special purpose governmental authorities.

I -- I've worked for -- I was executive director for two special purpose governmental authorities that had -- anyway, I was always under an employment contract. That was the -- actually a 501(c) (3) also that involved search firms, and I'm
just surprised.
I'm -- I -- I want to hear -- if -- you know, if we can tolerate not making a final decision on that, I'd like to hear a little bit more detail in the industry.

MS. LIOTTA: I also spoke with -- with Mr. Astran about, you know, our airport specifically and if he thought we would need to offer an employment contract to get a good candidate. He said no. He said that this is a -you know, an airport --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: Florida airports, in general, my recollection of what he said is that they're highly sought-after positions. We don't -- as an authority, we don't need to be offering extra incentive --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: -- to get good candidates here.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Well, I mean, that's new
information, but thank you for bringing that up. I had not --

MS. LUDLOW: Do you mind if $I$ give Charles a copy of Ed's employment contract?

CHAIRMAN OLSON: No. I mean, go ahead.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.
MR. CLARKE: I can share with you my experience dealing with employment contracts. Not personally, but administering contracts that were provided to certain executives.

If -- if they're -- with a bonus particularly, when you focus on a bonus structure -- which is pretty much the point of having a contract where they -- you want the -- both -- both parties want to be assured that there's continuity, but -- but then when it comes to bonuses, if there's not a hard and fast formula for calculating, using KPIs or whatever -- whatever metric there is, it becomes somewhat subjective toward -- you know, in -- in fulfilling that -- that part of the contract.

I think, hearing -- hearing Jennifer, you know, starting with an at-will contract, after six months or some period of time, we will -- we could -- if we want to go the contract route, we can develop KPIs that are -- are measurable and tangible, and if we are to award a bonus, then we could -- it just becomes a formula and it makes it very easy to administer. Because having been there where it's a little bit nebulous, then it's not so -- it's not so easy to administer, particularly
a bonus.
So I -- I think that is wise counsel to, you know, go with an at-will and see what happens in six months. It does not preclude us from having it ever in the future, but if we don't need it and the candidate is satisfied, they're going to do a good job for us because their employment is at-will. They -- they're not going to be -- you know, if they leave for greener pastures, then it's going to be up to us to, you know, retain them --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Well --
MS. LIOTTA: And with a --
MR. CLARKE: -- higher incentives.
MS. LIOTTA: And when trying to figure out what a bonus structure looks like, that gets into deep discussions of what the KPIs are. You know, the whys and the wherefores, you know, that's going to require some interaction with the -- the candidate and some input from them.

It is a -- and we I think as an authority are not quite sure what that would even look like right now, let alone be negotiating such a bonus structure. In -- in a year's time, that might be very different.

We're just now working on this strategic
initiative and identifying and categorizing and
trying to triage things that we want that -- as
priorities. And, you know, those may very well be
the seeds of, you know, bonus structures and
measurable KPIs. But, you know, that's not ready
to act upon today. And so, I'm not --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: No.
MS. LIOTTA: -- against bonuses and KPIs and
all of those things. We don't -- we're not ready
to identify or define those things in a way
sufficient today to put them into writing even to
present to Mr. Brienza, let alone negotiate them.
MS. LUDLOW: So do you think that one these
people would leave their job and come to work for
us with no future stability?
MS. LIOTTA: Well, the answer I think is yes
based on, you know, the enthusiasm from both of the
candidates. And the input $I$ got from Blake was
that, you know, these are -- it's odd to get a --
to get a -- for employment contracts, anyway.
They're the exception, they're not the rule. So it
would not be shocking for it to be an at-will
offer.
And if we want to have a discussion about
potentially defining for a future contract and
engaging with the director to be -- what that would look like, because we definitely would want that buy-in on what that bonus structure is from the director.

MS. LUDLOW: So, would -- or does this happen that the employee, if we hire him, would work with our law firm, our legal firm, and work out like their -- what their future wants or --

MS. LIOTTA: We would -- it would look like being hired -- a normal hiring process. It would simplify it.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay.

MS. LIOTTA: It would be an offer letter with a salary and any other potential things that might be in there as regular pay. Like if there's going to be an automobile allowance, if there's a signing bonuses bonus, those things can just go in the offer letter; they don't need to be turned into -MS. LUDLOW: Right.

MS. LIOTTA: -- a whole new contract.

MS. LUDLOW: So does -- do our -- does our legal counsel do that, write that up for us, and -to send the him or --

MS. LIOTTA: I would imagine that it would be a combination of us figuring out the numbers --

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: -- and then potentially the firm helping us with the employment offer.

MS. LUDLOW: I totally -- I'm sorry, that's all I'll say. He's looking that way so he doesn't know --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Other --
MS. LUDLOW: -- I'm still talking.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Other -- other comments right now.

I would like, if that is a -- something that is being proposed, I mean, the -- an at-will, that you can just send someone on their way if you don't like something of the moment that this person has said or did, I would like to have us have two votes then, one on ranking --

MS. LIOTTA: Actually, I think, you know, it's -- the process of -- I think at-will doesn't mean that the new executive director would be somehow at whim of being, you know, out of hand -like there'd be any more protections with an employment contract necessarily.

If there's cause, there's cause. And, you
know, things have to come to -- so actually I think this is a good time to ask, since it seems to be
raised, what is the process if -- for terminating an executive director for a board such as ours?

MR. DOUGLAS: The board is in control. And in Florida, employment is at-will and you may terminate an at-will situation or environment for any reason.

MS. LUDLOW: I would be comfortable sending them a -- an agreement letter, a employment letter saying, yes, we would like to hire you. Yes, we want to pay this much. You can get like insurance, car allowance, blah, blah, blah, and set down the like three or four basic things, you know, that would be an ordinary compensation package, insurance and things like that. And then -- and to be reviewed in six months or something like that. You know, we don't have to come down to -- to every penny and everything offered now. You know, we can wait until -- we can offer them an employment contract and then after six months, we can add to it or not. Is that unreasonable? MS. LIOTTA: Well, that's -- I'm advocating for a simple at-will employment arrangement. I actually --

MS. LUDLOW: Isn't what I mean?
MS. LIOTTA: -- have had a lot of reservations

> about what the -- having an employment contract for the executive director may have actually been a -in my view a problem for this airport.
> And -- and in fact, you know, it's really -this is difficult to talk about, but I think the, you know, current executive director has been, you know, with -- withholding information from us as a board, even though in January we specifically inquired about our -- at least $I$ personally did -about concerns about scheduled service discussions and specifically not wanting it to just be -- and I think I used the word pop up on us and that we should be told about these things ahead of time so that we can do our jobs, and that's in fact exactly what happened.

I spoke -- and it -- it's very very concerning when, you know, the executive director did not listen to at least me as a board member about discussing scheduled service and what that might look like, and -- but in -- but in fact was talking to plenty of other people about it.

I spoke with Susan Phillips and, you know, she knew about this six months ago. So that --

MS. LUDLOW: About the --
MS. LIOTTA: -- it's not a matter of the board

```
    needing -- you know, the executive director needing
    to keep this confidential for discussion purposes
    with a carrier. If -- if the local tourism board
    knows about it and this board doesn't, there's a
    problem.
    MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
    MS. LIOTTA: And that's not the only
situation -- that's not the only time that there's
been something like this where the executive
director does not -- is seemingly, you know, not
wanting to get -- keep the board --
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- educated. You know, not
wanting to give information to the attorneys for
the airport, that's very concerning. In fact,
I've -- I've lost confidence --
    MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- in our current executive
director --
    MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- and I want to know what, you
know, the process looks like for -- you know, if
the board feels it needs to -- removing him
before -- before the end of his contract.
    MS. LUDLOW: Okay. So an at-will, are you
```

```
saying an at-will contract is what I said, just --
just send them a employment agreement saying we'll
pay you this much?
    MS. LIOTTA: Well, at-will means --
    MR. CLARKE: Just offer them --
    MS. LIOTTA: -- there's no employment
agreement, it's just here's your --
    MS. LUDLOW: Right.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- here's your salary.
    MS. LUDLOW: Right. Okay. Okay. So
    that's --
    MS. LIOTTA: Yes.
    MS. LUDLOW: I am talking about the same
    thing.
    MS. LIOTTA: Yes.
    MS. LUDLOW: Okay. So, yes, I think we should
settle that first and then settle the other part.
    MS. LIOTTA: Well, I think it might -- it
    might dovetail into what that offer looks like if
    there's a timing issue.
    MS. LUDLOW: Right.
    MS. LIOTTA: So we'll need to know what the
process looks like.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: What about a board member
that can vote on the -- on the -- between the two
```

```
candidates, you know, can vote but cannot -- cannot
support the at-will? And again, I mean, so I'm --
I'm hoping we can have two different -- two votes
so that those feelings can be at least registered.
    And again, on the at-will, there's -- you
know, Susan Phillips, the Convention and Visitors
Bureau is more than just an interested party in the
matter that you were referring to. I think -- I
think you do have a -- a basis for --
    MS. LIOTTA: I don't think --
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- concern --
    MS. LIOTTA: -- it's inappropriate that she
knew. I think it's inappropriate that the board
didn't know.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LUDLOW: And I don't know why everybody
    doesn't understand that. I don't know why the
    whole audience -- you know, why we got, you know,
    comments like, oh, well -- I mean, how could
    anybody expect us to approve something so major
    with getting it at 4:30 on Friday afternoon for a
    2:00 meeting on month Monday? I mean, you cannot
    do that. You just cannot look into it and take
    care of your due diligence in that amount of time.
        But the main thing is I think if we offer one
```

    of these people employment, then -- or if we choose
    one of the -- we need to choose a person first.
    MS. LIOTTA: Okay. I --
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah, let's do that.
    MS. LIOTTA: And I suggest let's do maybe
    three quick decisions, then.
    One, a motion on the candidate. Two, a motion
    on the nature of the offer. And I think that may
    need to be broken down into appointing one of us to
    work with our counsel and maybe Mr. Astran on
    the -- the metes and bounds of that. And I forgot
    what the third one was. We can start with those
    two.
    MR. CLARKE: In terms of the --
    MS. LUDLOW: Well, I definitely agree, you
    know, that deciding on one of them is the first
    major thing.
    MS. LIOTTA: I guess --
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Yes. Okay. So, we
    have now the floor open for a motion to act on a
selection --
MR. CLARKE: Public comment?
CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- to negotiate a --
MR. CLARKE: Public comment?
MS. LIOTTA: So I'll make a motion for the --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Oh, yeah, but the motion has to be made first.

MS. LIOTTA: -- the board to make an employment offer to Jerry -- Jerry Brienza to be the new executive director of the airport. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Second to the motion? MS. LUDLOW: I second it. MR. DOUGLAS: I'm sorry. We do need to make an official open for the public comment before the motion.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Typically, we -- I mean, I -you guide us, but I just want to say I believe the typical thing is to have the motion on the table, give the board a chance to discuss the motion as presented, and then receive public comments on that motion. I think that's the way -MR. DOUGLAS: I've seen boards do it different ways. So you're right, after the motion and then a second and then it opens up for discussion and public comment, the public comment can be made in that time period as well.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Right. And then after the second, before the --

MR. DOUGLAS: The vote.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- the public comment, an
additional opportunity for any board members to comment on the precise nature of the -- the motion or any other thing and then public comment.

MR. DOUGLAS: That's acceptable before the vote.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Thank you.
So, Ms. -- you gave the second, so --
MS. LUDLOW: Yes, I did.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Ms. Ludlow has done the second. So we have a motion and a second. Any other comments from the board on the motion as framed?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Public comments on the motion? Any public comments on the motion? (None.)

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Call for a vote. Do we need a voice vote or how -- or should we have individual vote on this; do you think?

MR. CLARKE: Roll call vote?
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah, I think so because I
think we need one on the next one. So roll call
vote. Let's see. Go ahead. Vote?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'm in favor of Jerry.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Reba?
MS. LUDLOW: I vote for Jerry Brienza.
MR. CLARKE: Aye.
MS. LIOTTA: Yes for Jerry Brienza.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes for Jerry Brienza. Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: And yes for you?
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.
MS. LUDLOW: Is that unanimous?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. The next -- do you
want to -- oh, we need a motion for -- there was another vote to be taken. So motion on the next matter that we discussed.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, $I$ think we may need a little more discussion about what that might look like because if we're going to appoint a board member to work with Blake and counsel to put together an offer letter, we'll need to know who that is I think before we put in a motion. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. MS. LIOTTA: So, I think Reba or Michelle would be good choices or Bob -- I think any of you would do -- would be fine. I'm happy to do it. I don't know what anyone -- if anyone has strong feelings about that.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I do not have strong
feelings about anybody.
MS. LIOTTA: Actually I think any -- any one of us could do it. Let me clarify.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LUDLOW: And this is negotiating the terms or what?

MS. LIOTTA: Well --
MS. LUDLOW: And be explicit.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: We'd be doing the offer letter with counsel and with --

MS. LUDLOW: Doing the offer letter.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah, but there --
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: We'd be coming with up
with --
MS. LIOTTA: Oh --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: You would want -- you would some level of confirmation that the desired candidate is -- finds those acceptable. There is a negotiation that may need to be done.

MS. LIOTTA: Yes. Generally the -- the delegated board member would be speaking with Jerry --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: With -- with the assistance of the --

MS. LIOTTA: -- with the assistance --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- search firm --

MS. LIOTTA: -- like to try to figure out what the -- because normally writing the offer letter is the last step in the interview process. You do talk to the candidate, get them comfortable. The offer letter should not contain surprises. I've been head of $H R$ before.

MS. LUDLOW: I think that since we have Dennis on one of those other committees from yesterday, I think you would be the most qualified to work with counsel because of your background.

I mean, $I$ can be social and all that, but I don't have the knowledge that you have. And Bob has his hands full. Michelle has her hands full. So I think you would be the logical one to work with counsel.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Is that something you'd want to do?

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I can definitely take that on. Very comfortable --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: So anything else that needs to go into that motion other than -- it needs to be framed now. Someone needs to state --

MS. LUDLOW: So what -- I need to make a motion that we appoint Jennifer Liotta to work with

```
legal counsel on the employment services of Jerry
Brienza; is that right?
    MS. LIOTTA: I -- I think that's pretty clear.
Potentially clarify that as a motion for me to work
with coun- -- airport counsel and --
    MR. CLARKE: The search firm.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- and the search firm to come up
    with the terms for an at-will offer letter to Jerry
    Brienza.
    MS. LUDLOW: That's what I said.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LUDLOW: Is that a motion?
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah, the way it was just
stated, okay. Okay. Okay.
    MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I second.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: You second that motion? Made
    and seconded. Okay.
    MR. CLARKE: Question for our -- a question
for our counsel before you know we move there. Not
    that I -- I don't want to slow the process down.
    But after you work with ADK and Jennifer, will you
    have to come back to another formal board meeting?
    MR. DOUGLAS: The board will have to affirm
    the offer letter before it is sent.
    MR. CLARKE: Okay. At a public meeting?
```

MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir.
MR. CLARKE: Okay. So I'm just looking for --
MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I think another action item today is going to be -- is going to be to set --

MR. CLARKE: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: -- sorry, guys -- another meeting so that we can get that -- that can get done. And there may be other things to put in that meeting that have been touched on earlier, so -- but, yeah, I think that will be another part of it.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Public comment? (None.)

CHAIRMAN OLSON: No public comment, I'll try to clean -- I'll try to call for a vote more cleanly.

So, I'm trying -- I've been trying to think of the alphabetical way to -- because that's -- if you're going to do a voice vote, I think that -okay. So, Ms. Cash Chapman, you're -- you're next. You're first alphabetically and for other reasons, I'm sure, too.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I -- yes, I vote to support the motion that was stated.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Mr. Clarke?
MR. CLARKE: Aye.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Mr. Clarke is a yes. Ms. Liotta?

MS. LIOTTA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Ms. Ludlow?

MS. LUDLOW: Yes.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. And I vote no on the motion as stated because $I$ still have great doubts about this proceeding as an at-will both for the interest of the Authority and for I think a good start for the candidate -- or the ap- -- the selected applicant.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, definitely appreciate the
concern. Mr. Brienza's a great candidate. We don't want to alienate him right out of the gate. If it does turn out that he's absolutely opposed to doing at-will, you know, I will -- I can, you know, definitely report that back to the board, and I might have had -- I -- you know, at the meeting that will be noticed for this and potentially be able to give further color as to where -- where he's at and what it might take with an employment contract. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Would you allow me to do one other -- additional -- just a sharing of information? And I'm not sure how I can do this.

Maybe I can't do this.
I would like to see you have an opportunity -I'd like you to have an opportunity, for what it's worth, just because it was a suggestion, to look through the employment contract for the executive director of the Boca airport that's in force, and just note that -- I mean, there are a bunch of provisions that are -- are -- protect the interests of the airport in the relationship with its executive director.

MS. LIOTTA: Those are -- those are good
considerations. I will definitely look at that. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Okay. MS. LIOTTA: And if we do move -CHAIRMAN OLSON: How -- how could I get that to you? Through our counsel? MS. LIOTTA: Well, I think that's public record. Was it off the Boca website or -CHAIRMAN OLSON: I have it. So I -- I've already -- I've shared it -- I bounced it to Blake cause I thought that was what we were going to be working on, was that as one -- one example to rely on. So Blake Astran has it. I have it. I can e-mail it to -- I could e-mail it to our counsel. MS. LIOTTA: Well, I think -- let's just
check. I think this was all on the record, so I'm not sure it's a problem for me to get it from Blake.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Yeah, Blake --
MR. DOUGLAS: That's what $I$ was going to recommend since Blake has it already --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.
MR. DOUGLAS: -- and Jennifer would be able to --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Good.
Okay. So I guess we need to say a motion carried four to one. Okay. Good. Have we accomplished everything with this meeting at this point?

MS. LIOTTA: I -- I --
MS. LUDLOW: What was your third one?
MS. LIOTTA: I'm -- this was not so much about
Mr. Brienza. This is going back to I have very grave concerns about the current director, and again, $I$ have lost confidence in him.

And I don't know how the other board feels, but if -- I -- this is obviously a very uncomfortable conversation, but it does seem to me that he's unwilling to work with this board.

And if we feel that we need to remove him,
then I think $I$ want to know what that process looks like and if -- if needed, set a -- a meeting to hear what the other board members have to say about that -- or we can talk about that now, obviously we can't act on it, but if there's going to be a meeting set. I feel strongly about it. I don't know how other -- how the other board members feel about it.

MS. LUDLOW: I feel strongly about it, also, and I think as soon as -- we don't want to -- we're on a brand new path and we want our path to continue to keep going in a different way than it has in the past. And I think it would be detrimental to carry the executive director on with a new director.

I think the new director should and will -- we have confidence in him, and will look into this -will be able to be pick this up on his own certainly.

And the other thing is -- and so, winking at Dennis, if it's better to -- like when our new director comes in, then our old director should be out of the picture. So there are several ways to do that. And it's like Dennis can tell us personal time off or whatever, but $I$ think he should start
in his own office without carryover.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: I -- I'd like to just get -to clarify the -- are you -- are we -- were you or are you thinking about a termination action -MS. LIOTTA: No, no.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- of the contract?
MS. LIOTTA: Let me clarify. I don't think that that is something that $I$ would consider. I think that's un -- unnecessary.

What I do think may be appropriate and even necessary is the board removing Mr. Wuellner from his duties, placing him on administrative leave, getting a new interim executive director in place for the month or so it may take to get Mr. Brienza on board.

I know that may appear drastic, but I -- I have very grave concerns about an executive director who's concealing things from this board, not -- not cooperating with its counsel. It's -it's -- it's -- frankly it's been shocking since I've joined this board. MS. LUDLOW: So you can make a motion that -CHAIRMAN OLSON: We can't -MS. LIOTTA: No, we can't act on it -CHAIRMAN OLSON: We cannot act on it.

MS. LIOTTA: -- and I --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: This is a special --

MS. LIOTTA: And this is -- these are only my -- this is only my viewpoint. I don't know if the rest of the board has any appetite for that, where -- where your viewpoint is on any such action and what that would look like.

I would imagine it would take a -- a separate meeting to be noticed and acted upon. You know, that would involve finding someone else to be the new interim executive director. That's obviously an obligation. And if the other board members have confidence that Mr. Wuellner can effectively do his job in a way that enables us to do our job, which $I$ haven't seen strong evidence of recently.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Let me just -- I'm
listening to this and thinking.
I -- I voted also for the nonrenewal. I have to say that $I$ have been and -- I have been very impressed about the way it was accepted with great professionalism and continued dedication to our -Mr. Wuellner's work with the Authority.

He remained on the job. I believe that after you have had 26 years of career dedication to this airport, that $I$ believe and I've seen -- I would,
if $I$ were in his situation, want to leave as a class act.

I don't see any -- anything that he has done that raises to the level of -- of ungracious treatment in the light of $25-\mathrm{plus}$ years dedicating his attention to this airport and the change. You can see the changes that have happened in this airport in the photographs around us, and -- and I believe that he is still working in the best interest.

I have to say that the culture of -- the culture of director and board has changed radically recently. It probably takes a big adjustment to adjust to this board which is more activist, and I think that's good for our airport going forward, but it's an adjustment between the relationship of the executive director and the board.

We have evolved, and Reba has -- was there with me. We had a -- Reba and I both had I'm sure at times great frustration that we were serving on a board that was more of a ratifying board than asking more detail questions or noting things that were -- that needed to happen as our airport is -is called upon to do more things and be more there with dealing with certain issues.

But I -- I am very uncomfortable with supporting any kind of action that suggests that we have someone that is not -- is being harmful to our airport. I don't believe that's happening.

I believe we should just for the sake of I would say even what we're talking about, the idea that we would provide -- we would take such action at this point given that we've already made provisions for a transition, I think gives -- it disadvantages us in bringing in talent here to work with us.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I respectfully and emphatically disagree with your viewpoint. Unfortunately I think maybe you're not really seeing some of the things that are happening.

We have a director who, for lack of a better word, ambushed this board with a major contract with no opportunity to do its own due diligence. I mean, $I$ think it's unfortunate that, you know, after serving, you know, for two decades as the director, this is how it's -- you know, the last six months is starting to shape up. Not -- not in a good way.

And Mr. Wuellner is the one who's made those choices, and that's unfortunate, but sometime --


```
of the essence, Avelo needs this agreement, we want
to start working on it right away.
    Mr. Wuellner's response was something along
the lines of, I'm not going to give this to you.
No conversations are going to hap- -- are going to
happen without me in the room. That's not his
purview.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Oh, okay.
    MS. LIOTTA: And that is completely
unacceptable.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LIOTTA: So maybe you understand why I'm a
little upset here.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LIOTTA: And if this is his behavior, I've
lost confidence in him being able to serve as an
executive director.
    The board made it very clear yesterday that
Mr. Clarke was going to work with our counsel to
    try to get this deal done so that it wouldn't --
    that we would have a chance to get it done. We
    want Avelo in here --
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- and he is now --
    Mr. Wuellner's being obstructionist.
```

MS. LUDLOW: I was the one that actually brought it up in January that $I$ thought he should more or less cease and desist. Of course at that time I thought his signing power was a hundred thousand, and I found out it was like 35.

But with someone in the position that he's in, knowing that he's going to go away, then once they know that, you need to cut it now because you -you don't need to -- we don't know what we don't know. We don't know if he's getting a signing bonus from Avelo, why he's pushing that. We don't know all these things. So we don't know what we don't know.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, and that --

MS. LUDLOW: So I think he should --

MS. LIOTTA: I'm not going to say anything,
but my concern is we don't know what we don't know. We didn't know about Avelo.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: We don't know what else he's --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.

MS. LIOTTA: -- what other decisions he's
making --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Yes.
MS. LIOTTA: -- for this airport without

```
involving the board, which we've asked --
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: This is --
    MS. LIOTTA: -- to be involved with and
he's --
```

    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
    MS. LIOTTA: -- refusing to follow the
    requests of our counsel.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Ms. Liotta, what specifically
are you wanting us to do?
MS. LIOTTA: I'm not asking the board to do
anything right now. If the board does not agree
with me that there is a clear need to move forward
on -- if the board is comfortable leaving
Mr. Wuellner as executive director at least for
now, then there's nothing that needs to be done.
If the board has grave concerns like I do,
then $I$ think we would need to set a special meeting
to have a vote taken on -- to -- to remove him.
MS. LUDLOW: I think --
MR. CLARKE: Can $I$ chime in?
MS. LUDLOW: -- we should ask Dennis --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Mr. Clarke has -- did --
MS. LUDLOW: You're right. I think we should
ask Dennis --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Sure.

MS. LUDLOW: -- whether the best way to do that $P T O$, personal time off, all that.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Let's -- let's find out what Mr. Clarke -- were you ahead of -- did you want to --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Go ahead, Dennis.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. I'm sorry. I keep -MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: No, you're fine.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I don't have the peripheral vision. So I need to make sure I'm --

MR. CLARKE: I've asked on three separate occasions for the contact information for the CPA who's conducting our audit and I have yet to receive that. That goes back at least two months. Now, what I'm concerned, you may recall in -in the meetings that we've been in attendance, we have not reviewed the financial statements. The financial statements contain errors that are -that date back multiple years, which suggests to me that -- that the -- if the auditors are doing their job, they would reconcile those certain accounts -collectively they amount to about $\$ 60,000$ and some of it's to the benefit of the Authority and some of it is not. They would have to balance -- or restate the September 31st [sic], 2022 financial
statements.

That being said, the -- the books, the internal books would be adjusted and then the balance sheet carrying forward for October, November, December '22, January '23, February '23 would therefore be adjust -- brought up to date.

I haven't seen them yet. And my concern is are we going to be the recipient of another material weakness in our financial statements? And I -- I won't have that answer until I sit down with -- with the -- with the accountant and the -the CPA that's performing that audit.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah.
MR. CLARKE: And so -- and the lack of response is -- you know, I haven't made an issue out of it because, you know, I know that we're -you know, we're getting a new executive director, and as you well know, I advocated for appointing a chief financial officer, somebody with executive-level knowledge, experience in managing a multimillion dollar -- an $\$ 80$ million authority. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. MR. CLARKE: So that -- that's my -- that's my concern.

Otherwise, I mean, you know, the airport's

```
running fine as far as -- you know, we're -- know
that it's -- it's in a good cash position. So it's
just a matter of digging into finding out why, you
know, we're not getting timely financial
statements. And going forward, my objective is to
make sure they're -- they are timely.
    MS. LUDLOW: So, Dennis, what is your
suggestion on the most graceful way to take care of
that?
```

MR. CLARKE: Well, I think, you know, we have a contract with Mr. Wuellner. I would ask him -you know, we would pay him up. Ask him to, you know, work from home. Be available on a consultive -- a consulting basis if he's needed, but clearly indicate that he -- you know, the new -- Mr. Brienza is the executive director from the day he steps in -- you know, on the property. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Would we -- if we -- this board can make directions, too, obviously. Could we just make this a direction rather than a formal action?

> If what Mr. Clarke just outlined and what

Ms. Liotta -- about having a -- a leave while not doing -- not dealing with a contract but basically directing that we have a -- I guess, an employee of
the airport that need not -- or we wish them not to be involved in the certain matters at the airport and we don't need to have any kind of a vote, but given that we have made decisions about the transition and have a new director perhaps in the -- you know, ready to step in, maybe even assist now during -- on a -- some kind of a nonfull-time basis, but on a consultation basis until that person can take -- we can have an agreement with them or make it part of the agreement.

My question is a little bit long, but I'm wondering if we need to have a special meeting to accomplish what Mr. Clarke just outlined. Because we can direct employees, right? MR. DOUGLAS: From a legal perspective, I agree in part and I disagree in part. CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. MR. DOUGLAS: And I agree with you that the board has the authority to make a decision and the decision can be made now.

The Florida Sunshine manual is clear, I just pulled it up on Page 39, that the Sunshine Law does not require boards to consider only those matters on a published agenda.

So you are here today in a public forum, and the -- the issue about a particular -- a particular employee, the executive director, can be brought up and dealt with at today's meeting if that is the will of the board. You're -- the board is in control.

Where I disagree with you is that a vote does need to be taken.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Okay.
MR. DOUGLAS: The board -- it needs to be on
record of this board --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MR. DOUGLAS: -- whether a majority of the board feels that way.

And also, I will add to that that I think the prudent approach is to go the route of administrative leave, because $I$ just was handed Mr. Wuellner's contract and there are provisions in here about termination for cause and not for cause.

And if an action is taken today, I would urge the board not to make a decision on cause versus not cause and termination, but that any action today about Mr. Wuellner would be only for time away, a time -- administrative leave, and let that be the extent of any decision for the board today.

Now, later the board may have another meeting, and if you -- if it's the will of the board to terminate his contract, your general counsel would appreciate the opportunity to dive into this contract, research more, and to be able to give a formal opinion of whether the termination could be for cause or not for cause.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah. Okay. Okay. Thank you.

MS. LUDLOW: So can we vote on administrative leave?

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Is there any action someone wants to propose based on our general counsel guidance that we've just received? MS. LUDLOW: I hate to be the one talking again, but I don't think we should carry forward -that we've lost faith in our executive director. I don't think we should carry forward.

I think we should let him get on with his life and move or whatever he needs to do. I mean, he still will be -- we should ask him to be available for consultation. I mean, he is still in our lodging on the airport. So, I would vote for immediate administrative leave.

MR. DOUGLAS: Mr. Chairman, if I can add one
more thing.
If it is the will of the board today to take action on administrative leave, I would also encourage that whoever makes a motion, include in that motion the appointment of an interim executive director.

In your policies and procedures, there are a lot of duties that fall to the executive director position, and it would not be prudent legally for the Airport Authority to be without someone in that position immediately.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MS. LUDLOW: That means we need to have a meeting for that.

We have a good suggestion -- good idea on someone who could run the airport, you know, in the interim, someone who's run other airports and is here, and very knowledgeable. So, I think that's a very good suggestion, that we should appoint an interim director meeting.

MS. LIOTTA: So I don't -- I think from where I can sit, if that is the collective viewpoint of the board, that then the choice is going to be if we were to act today, I think the only logical choice would be Kevin.

If there -- if there's thoughts to potentially look outside of current staff, then the prudent thing to do would be to notice another meeting to -- for -- so that there -- a different person could be -- could be appointed.

MS. LUDLOW: I think we should have another meeting and -- and come up with, you know, several names of people that we think who could be the interim director and decide at that time.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I feel like -- given the path we've started down right now, I feel like we have to take -- we have to take the leave action, and I also support Kev- -- Mr. Kevin Harvey for interim executive director.

I really don't think we have any other way to have a level of continuity from a staffing standpoint, and -- and anything else. So I support the -- that suggestion and that's -- I want to register that.

So, do we have a motion on the leave with, as counsel advised, the naming of an interim for the very brief, we hope very brief period of time with being able to have the involvement very early on -if everything goes well with the arrangements, very early on an initiation of an interim attention and
leadership coming in of Mr. Brienza?
We have to -- we have to keep -- let the world
know that we are operating with continuity and we
have an airport to run every day.
MS. LUDLOW: In that case, I agree with Kevin
for a while.
MS. LIOTTA: So, Reba, you're amending your
motion for the board to place Mr. Wuellner on
immediate administrative leave and appoint Kevin as
interim executive director?
MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Is there a second to
that motion?
MR. CLARKE: Does -- does Kevin -- before we
take that -- pull that trigger, does Kevin have to
agree that he --
MS. LUDLOW: I know he wants to stay a couple
of years. He said that.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: I have confidentially told
him that we might be in that situation. I just
sensed that we might be there. I asked him if he
thought he might be willing and -- to -- and ready
to have that interim role briefly, and he indicated
to me at that point that he had. I don't know how
he feels today, but that was what he had shared
with me informally because $I$ thought we needed to
have some idea how we'd --
MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I think if he decides to
decline that, we'd have to just do another
immediate, as fast as possible, meeting to look at
somebody else.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LUDLOW: Are you doing a second?
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes. Second -- are you
making a second?
MS. LUDLOW: No, you are.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: No, I -- I'm the chair. I
can't -- I can't second motions. I -- I moderate
and you-all make the motion. You do the tough
work. You make the motions.
MS. LUDLOW: Michelle, make a motion --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Is there a second to the --
this --
MS. LUDLOW: -- a second to the motion.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- the -- the placing on
leave and having Mr. Harvey be designated interim
acting executive director of the Authority?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I second the motion.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. We have a -- we have a
motion and a second.

MS. LUDLOW: Thank you.

MR. DOUGLAS: I would open it up for public comment, also.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes. Thank you for reminding me.

MS. LUDLOW: Vinny?

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Public comment?

MR. BEYERS: No comment.
(None.)

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Ms. Cash Chapman?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Aye. Yes.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Mr. Clarke?

MR. CLARKE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Ms. Ludlow?

MS. LUDLOW: Aye.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Ms. Liotta?

MS. LIOTTA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: I also vote.
MS. LUDLOW: I would like to say one thing.
Putting Kevin in this position is fine. We all know that nobody knows more about running the airport than Cindy. So I just -- kudos to Cindy. And only because Kevin's title is above yours would we take Kevin.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I think that's a very
well-stated remark. Thank you for saying that. We are especially dependent on our key staff right now that know everything about the day-to-day operations of our airport.

MS. LUDLOW: Thank you.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Whose responsibility is it to inform our executive director that this is effective immediately? I'm just --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I feel --
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: -- trying to figure that out.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: I'll take on that
responsibility.
MS. LUDLOW: Should the attorney?
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Well -- oh, yeah, could the attorney --

MR. DOUGLAS: The board chair may or the board can --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Meet together --
MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: No, it might be good so that you can -- if there are technical questions, it may be best for you to do it, in hindsight.

MS. LUDLOW: Yes, I think so, too.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes. Yeah.

MS. LUDLOW: And that separates -- okay.
Okay.
MS. LIOTTA: Oh, I think we need to set a meeting if -- unless -- sorry. For Mr. Brienza for the approval of the offer letter, we have a choice of trying to set another meeting or waiting until March 13th so I can report back to the board.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Well, March 13th, if we wait three -- like give it the three days to get the notice to get to the seven days, we're at -MS. LIOTTA: Oh, so if it's only -MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: So it's right -MS. LIOTTA: -- a matter of a couple of days, then --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: It's almost like right there at the 13th, so --

MS. LIOTTA: Okay. So we just add it to the March 13th agenda, then?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'm comfortable with that.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Let's see. It's
already posted, but we can because it's not a special meeting. So if we could do that, add that item to our agenda for the 13th.

MS. LUDLOW: And I think that should be number one item and get that done and go on with our
business.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah. And, Mr. Douglas, if you would stay on and we can -- also I'd like you to join me, if Mr. Harvey has any questions about his role and what -- how that is handled. So -- or if you could speak to him, also.

MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: It's up to you. We can talk after the meeting about that.

MR. CLARKE: Let me pose an administrative matter. I think we should consider, you know, adjusting, Mr. Harvey's salary during his interim period.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MR. CLARKE: You know that would only be fair --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.
MR. CLARKE: -- because he's going to be taking on more responsibility. So I don't want to put a number to that, but let's, you know, make sure that that happens.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. So just give me some discretion on what that might be in the interim.

MR. CLARKE: Without a doubt.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Do we need to vote on
that?
MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Thanks.
MR. DOUGLAS: I would prefer to have that in a vote for -- for the board to appoint you, Mr. Olson, to work with counsel in the immediate transition to administrative leave with the executive director, that be a motion.

And then also a second motion about an immediate upward adjustment for the -- who is now the assistant executive director but is moving up to the --

MS. LUDLOW: So you --
MR. DOUGLAS: -- executive director position. I don't know what Mr. Wuellner's -- I don't know what the board's pay is for the executive director position, but you may certainly call one out. And it is the board's decision.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah.
MR. DOUGLAS: I would -- I would not advise
that the chairman alone make that decision.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MR. DOUGLAS: This is a board decision on what the new salary should be.

MS. LIOTTA: So --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Oh, okay.

MS. LIOTTA: Do we think maybe making it just the same as the base salary of Mr. Wuellner?

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Prorated? Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: Just -- yeah, yeah.
MS. LUDLOW: Because that's what he gets. He gets his annual salary, 1 think 180, prorated per month.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah. So it would be the base salary -- not bonus or car allowance amounts, but the base -- base salary.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yeah, that'd be an easy way to do it. And so, do we need a specific amount in that? Because I have that number, but I don't have it -- I might even have it in here, but --

MS. LIOTTA: So is describing it in words sufficient, you think, or do we need a number?

MR. DOUGLAS: As long as that it is quantifiable precisely. That --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: It is.
MR. DOUGLAS: I don't know too much about the internal salaries --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay.
MR. DOUGLAS: -- but as long as every -- as long as that is a known --

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. DOUGLAS: -- to the penny --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.
MR. DOUGLAS: -- quantifiable number --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Yes.
MR. DOUGLAS: -- when you say base salary, then I'm comfortable with you making a motion in terms of base salary.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. But we don't need that
amount in motion --
MR. DOUGLAS: Correct.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: -- it just -- if it exists. MR. DOUGLAS: Right.

CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Okay. Good. So there
were two -- counsel advised two separate motions to accomplish what we've just talked about. Does someone want to make those motions?

MS. LIOTTA: I'd like to make a motion for the Authority to appoint Robert Olson to work with Authority counsel on the immediate transition of Mr. Wuellner's employment to administrative leave.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I second.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Motion --
MS. LUDLOW: She seconded.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Motion made and seconded.
Ms. Cash Chapman, how do you -- oh, discussion?

Discussion?

```
                            (None.)
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. No discussion?
    MR. DOUGLAS: And public comment.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Public comment. Public
    comment, yeah.
    MR. BEYERS: No comment.
        (None.)
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: No comment.
Ms. Cash-Chapman?
    MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Mr. Clarke?
    MR. CLARKE: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Ms. Ludlow --
    MS. LUDLOW: Liotta. Aye.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Ms. Liotta?
    MS. LIOTTA: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Did I go wrong
    alphabetically?
    MS. LIOTTA: Alphabetically, yeah.
    CHAIRMAN OLSON: Oh, gee. And I vote yes on
    that. Okay. Motion carried.
    Okay. Second motion?
    MS. LIOTTA: I can't remember the -- I make a
motion that the Authority raise Kevin Harvey's
```

salary to be equal to Mr. Ed Wuellner's current base salary.

MS. LUDLOW: I second --
CHAIRMAN OLSON: During his period of time --
MS. LIOTTA: While he's serving as int- --
interim executive director at the airport.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Good. Second?
MS. LUDLOW: I second the motion.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Motion made and seconded. No more board discussion. Public comment?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Ms. Cash-Chapman?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Mr. Clarke?
MR. CLARKE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: And Ms. Liotta?
MS. LIOTTA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: And Ms. Ludlow?
MS. LUDLOW: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OLSON: I also vote for that. Motion carried. Okay. Is there any other business this board needs to do today?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN OLSON: Okay. Meeting adjourned. (Meeting adjourned at 11:38 a.m.)
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| 13th [5] 74/7 74/8 | accountant [1] 63/11 accounts [2] 6/11 | 41/5 52/2 | 7 | 69/5 |
| 74/16 74/18 74/23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { against [3] 27/10 29/3 } \\ & 34 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | along [1] 59/3 | appointing [2] 42/9$63 / 18$ |
| 160 [1] 19/20 | accounts [2] 6/11 |  | alphabetical [1] 49/17 |  |
| 17 [1] 1/23 |  | agencies [2] 20/17 | phabetically [3] | pointment [1] |
| 180 [1] 77/6 | $\text { act [8] } 23 / 223$ |  |  | preciate [2] 50/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19th [1] } 81 / 10 \\ & \text { 1st [2] } 8 / 38 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | 42/20 53/5 54/24 54/25 | agenda [4] |  | a7/4 approach [1] 66/16 |
| 2 |  | 1] | 23/3 23/3 23/20 25/9 | 22/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20[1] \quad 17 / 8 \\ & 2019[1] \quad 17 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | action [11] 49/3 54/4 55/6 57/2 57/7 64/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ago [1] 38/23 } \\ & \text { agree [10] } 4 / 111 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 / 10 \quad 27 / 24 \text { 30/25 31/6 } \\ & 53 / 955 / 1858 / 966 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appropriate [2] } 25 / 25 \\ & 54 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |

## A <br> approval [1] 74/5 <br> approve [2] 15/3 41/20 <br> April [1] 8/3 <br> April 1st [1] 8/3 <br> are [70] $3 / 234 / 104 / 14$ 4/24 6/16 8/9 12/3 12/18 13/1 13/3 14/15 14/19 14/20 15/7 15/12 16/9 17/18 18/9 19/1 19/4 19/5 19/8 20/10 20/11 23/7 23/13 24/5 25/2 26/8 26/8 26/9 26/19 26/20 28/6 28/14 29/23 32/20 32/20 32/21 33/16 33/20 34/19 39/25 51/7 51/8 51/8 51/11 51/11 53/23 54/3 54/3 54/4 55/3 57/15 59/5 59/5 61/9 62/18 62/20 63/8 64/6 66/1 66/18 68/7 70/3 71/8 71/9 71/11 73/2 73/22

areas [2] 17/6 23/13
aren't [1] 18/15
around [3] 16/5 17/19 56/8
arranged [1] 15/24 arrangement [1] 37/22 arrangements [1] 69/24
art [1] 30/20
as [97]
ask [11] 13/18 18/8 18/8 25/23 30/3 36/25 61/21 61/24 64/11 64/12 67/21
asked [10] 10/22 13/18 14/3 14/6 14/17 24/20 29/16 61/1 62/11 70/21
asking [7] 10/7 56/22
58/4 58/5 58/11 58/22 61/10
assist [1] 65/7
assistance [2] 46/23 46/25
assistant [1] 76/10 assume [1] 28/1 assumed [1] 30/19 assured [1] 32/10 Astran [5] 24/19 24/20 31/7 42/10 51/23
Astran's [1] 29/12 attendance [1] 62/16 attention [3] 18/16 56/6 69/25
attorney [4] 58/10 58/12 73/14 73/16 attorneys [2] 39/14 58/15
attributes [1] 3/13 audience [1] 41/18 audit [2] 62/13 63/12 auditors [1] 62/20

Augustine [4] 1/5 1/16 1/22 1/23 authorities [2] 30/20 30/23 authority [27] 1/1 $1 / 16$ 3/3 3/9 4/15 9/3 19/17 19/19 20/6 21/17 26/9 26/20 27/21 27/24 29/1 31/16 33/20 50/9 55/22 62/23 63/21 65/20 68/10 71/22 78/18 78/19 79/25
authorized [1] 81/7 automobile [1] 35/16 availability [1] $8 / 2$ available [3] 9/15 64/13 67/21
Avelo [8] 7/8 23/6 23/8 58/23 59/1 59/22 60/11 60/18
aviation [3] 11/25 18/9 18/18
aviation-related [1] 11/25
award [3] 17/24 20/23 32/21
aware [1] 24/23
away [4] 10/23 59/2
60/7 66/24
awkward [1] 10/12
Aye [14] 45/2 49/25
72/11 72/13 72/15
72/17 79/11 79/13
79/15 79/17 80/13
80/15 80/17 80/19

## B

back [10] 3/18 17/1
23/20 27/13 48/22
50/17 52/18 62/14 62/19 74/7
background [1] 47/11
bad [1] 7/22
balance [2] 62/24 63/4
base [7] 77/2 77/8
77/10 77/10 78/5 78/7
80/2
based [4] 11/2 21/14 34/17 67/13
basic [2] 25/11 37/12 basically [2] 29/15 64/24
basis [5] 9/9 41/9 64/14 65/8 65/8 be [150]
Beach [1] 20/1
BEASON [3] 1/22 81/6 81/13
became [2] 18/18 20/23
because [39] 4/9 5/25 7/18 8/20 10/12 10/24 11/23 12/4 13/22 14/15 15/6 15/11 16/5 20/14 21/8 28/14 29/6 29/22

29/25 32/23 33/7 35/2 44/21 45/15 47/3 47/11 49/17 50/7 51/4 60/8 63/16 65/14 66/17 71/1 72/23 74/21 75/18 77/5 77/13
become [1] 9/3 becomes [2] 32/13 32/22
been [20] 19/9 19/10 19/24 21/3 23/14 26/24 30/9 32/23 38/2 38/6 39/9 47/7 49/9 49/16 54/20 55/19 55/19 58/4 58/23 62/16
before [17] 11/13
14/23 15/4 15/20 16/13 22/2 23/22 39/24 39/24 43/9 43/23 44/4 45/18 47/7 48/19 48/24 70/14 begging [1] 18/5 behavior [1] 59/15 behaviors [1] 58/1
being [14] 19/25 21/24 23/11 26/15 27/16 30/1 35/10 36/12 36/20 57/3 59/16 59/25 63/2 69/23 believe [8] 4/14 20/14 43/12 55/23 55/25 56/9 57/4 57/5
benefit [3] 26/1 27/25 62/23
best [8] 3/15 5/17
25/18 26/15 27/7 56/9 62/1 73/23
better [7] 3/14 5/10 7/21 9/16 12/13 53/21 57/16
between [9] 3/25 4/6
5/1 5/14 11/7 12/21 24/12 40/25 56/16
big [2] 17/23 56/13 bit [12] 6/1 7/7 12/13
12/13 15/18 22/10 24/7 24/16 29/12 31/4 32/24 65/12
black [1] 15/8
blah [3] 37/11 37/11 37/11
Blake [10] 24/17 25/1 25/23 34/18 45/16
51/20 51/23 52/3 52/4 52/6
Blocker [1] 26/23
board [87]
board's [2] 76/15
76/17
boards [3] 43/17 58/3 65/24
Bob [2] 45/21 47/13
Boca [3] 26/14 51/6 51/18
Boca Raton [1] 26/14 bonus [12] 25/20 32/6 32/7 32/21 33/1 33/15

33/22 34/4 35/3 35/17 60/11 77/9
bonuses [5] 25/9 25/24 32/11 34/8 35/17 books [2] 63/2 63/3 both [19] 8/1 8/5 8/16 10/20 10/20 11/11 11/19 12/15 12/16 13/18 14/19 16/18
16/19 27/7 32/9 32/9 34/17 50/8 56/19 bothered [2] 13/24 14/18
Boulevard [1] 1/16 bounced [1] 51/20 bounds [1] 42/11
branch [1] 27/5
brand [1] 53/11
bridges [1] 12/20 brief [2] 69/22 69/22 briefly [1] 70/23
Brienza [22] 16/12
17/5 20/12 23/11 23/19 23/20 24/11 24/14 25/5 29/4 34/12 43/4 45/1 45/3 45/4 48/2 48/9 52/18 54/14 64/16 70/1 74/4
Brienza's [1] 50/13 bring [3] 11/25 12/5 12/10
bringing [2] 31/21 57/10
broken [1] 42/9
brought [4] 17/10 60/2 63/6 66/3
build [3] 12/20 18/24 19/2
building [1] 19/11 bunch [1] 51/7 Bureau [1] 41/7
burrowing [1] 16/22
business [4] 20/11 30/10 75/1 80/21 businesses [1] 11/24
buy [1] 35/3
buy-in [1] 35/3

## C

calculating [1] 32/12
call [7] 17/11 22/12
44/17 44/20 44/22 49/14 76/16
called [2] 24/24 56/24
Calling [1] 3/2
can [60] 4/11 10/12 11/6 12/6 12/19 13/7 18/8 18/8 19/5 22/7 22/15 23/8 23/25 24/12 25/3 31/3 32/2 32/20 35/17 36/13 37/10 37/18 37/18 37/20 38/14 40/25 41/1 41/3 41/4 42/12 43/20 47/12 47/19 49/7 49/7 50/17

50/25 51/23 53/4 53/24 54/22 55/13 56/7 58/15 61/20 64/19 65/9 65/9 65/15 65/21 66/3 67/10 67/25 68/22 73/18 73/22 74/7 74/21 75/3 75/8
can't [10] 4/16 19/18 28/2 51/1 53/5 54/23 54/24 71/13 71/13 79/24
candidate [16] 4/12
10/2 15/20 16/11 23/12 25/6 27/7 28/13 31/10 33/6 33/19 42/7 46/18 47/5 50/10 50/13 candidates [21] 3/12 3/23 3/25 4/10 4/19 4/25 5/1 5/6 5/14 5/23 6/4 8/17 11/19 16/1 16/18 16/19 16/25 24/13 31/19 34/18 41/1 cannot [7] 24/17 41/1 41/1 41/22 41/23 54/25 58/15
capital [2] 9/4 9/5 car [2] 37/11 77/9 care [3] 7/2 41/24 64/8 career [1] 55/24
CARES [2] 20/15 20/22 carried [3] 52/12 79/22 80/21
carrier [1] 39/3
carriers [1] $7 / 3$
carry [3] 53/14 67/16
67/18
carrying [1] 63/4
carryover [1] 54/1
Carver [2] 6/4 8/20
Casa [1] 1/4
case [2] 58/2 70/5
cash [7] 1/11 49/19
64/2 72/10 78/25 79/10
80/12

## CASH-CHAPMAN [1]

 1/11categorizing [1] 34/1
cause [9] 36/23 36/23
51/21 66/19 66/19
66/21 66/22 67/7 67/7
cc'd [1] 58/22
cease [1] 60/3
Center [1] 1/3
certain [4] 32/5 56/25
62/21 65/2
certainly [3] 29/3 53/19 76/16
CERTIFICATE [2] 2/7 81/1
certify [1] 81/6
Chad [1] 58/21
chair [2] 71/12 73/17
chairman [5] 13/10
18/1 23/18 67/25 76/20
chance [3] $43 / 1458 / 19$

| C |
| :--- |
| chance... [1] 59/21 |
| change [2] 18/6 56/6 |
| changed [1] 56/12 |
| changes [1] 56/7 |
| CHAPMAN [6] 1/11 |
| 49/19 72/10 78/25 |
| $79 / 1080 / 12$ |

characterize [1] 7/12 charisma [1] 6/22 charismatic [1] 12/14
CHARLES [2] $1 / 15$ 31/23
check [1] 52/1
chief [1] 63/19
chime [1] 61/20
choice [4] 5/7 68/23
68/25 74/5
choices [2] 45/21 57/25
choose [2] 42/1 42/2 choosing [3] 3/22 5/13 24/12
chunk [1] 21/21
Cindy [2] 72/22 72/22
clarify [4] 46/3 48/4
54/3 54/7
CLARKE [12] $1 / 111 / 12$ 49/24 50/1 59/19 61/22 62/4 64/22 65/14 72/12 79/12 80/14
class [1] 56/2
clean [1] 49/14
cleanly [1] 49/15
clear [7] 15/24 24/10
48/3 58/25 59/18 61/12
65/22
clearly [1] 64/15
clientele [1] 19/13
closer [1] 9/20
Cola [1] 1/4
collective [1] 68/22
collectively [1] 62/22
color [1] 50/20
combination [1] 35/25
come [12] 5/18 6/21
7/6 9/11 11/6 21/2
34/14 36/24 37/16 48/7 48/22 69/7
comes [3] 17/5 32/11 53/22
comfortable [11] 5/13 8/18 8/24 12/24 22/23 37/7 47/5 47/20 61/13 74/19 78/6
coming [3] 11/10 46/13 70/1
comment [20] 2/5
42/22 42/24 43/9 43/20 43/20 43/25 44/2 44/3 49/11 49/13 72/3 72/7 72/8 79/4 79/5 79/6 79/7 79/9 80/10
comments [6] 36/9 41/19 43/15 44/11

44/14 44/15
commercial [1] 21/11 commission [4] 18/1 20/3 20/10 26/25 committees [1] 47/9 common [1] 26/7 communication [1] 23/1 community [8] 6/21 12/8 12/8 12/9 12/16 12/22 14/3 17/16 compare [2] 3/12 20/13
compares [1] 15/15 comparison [1] 22/9 compensation [3] 25/13 25/17 37/13 completely [1] 59/9 concealing [1] 54/18 concern [5] 41/11 50/13 60/17 63/7 63/24 concerned [1] 62/15 concerning [2] 38/16 39/15
concerns [4] 38/10 52/19 54/17 61/16 conducting [1] 62/13 conduit [1] 20/21
Conference [1] $1 / 3$ confidence [5] 39/16 52/20 53/17 55/13 59/16
confident [1] 4/11 confidential [1] 39/2 confidentially [1] 70/19
confirm [1] 24/24 confirmation [1] 46/17 connection [1] 23/2 consequences [1] 58/1
consider [3] 54/8 65/24 75/11
considerations [1] 51/12
considering [1] 3/23 constructing [1] 19/9 construction [1] 18/23 consultation [2] 65/8 67/22
consulting [1] 64/14 consultive [1] 64/14 contact [2] 58/22 62/12 contacted [1] 58/21 contain [2] 47/6 62/18 continue [2] 26/14 53/12
continued [2] 18/22 55/21
continuity [3] 32/10
69/16 70/3
contract [31] 25/8
26/10 26/17 27/10 27/23 28/3 28/16 30/4 30/24 31/9 31/24 32/8

32/15 32/17 32/19
34/25 35/20 36/22
37/19 38/1 39/24 40/1
50/22 51/5 54/6 57/17
64/11 64/24 66/18 67/3 67/5
contracting [1] 27/2 contractors [1] 22/25 contracts [9] 25/2 26/21 27/19 28/21 29/16 30/15 32/3 32/4 34/20
contrast [1] 3/13
control [2] 37/3 66/6 Convention [1] 41/6 conversation [5] 8/22 11/3 11/24 16/16 52/23 conversations [2] 10/7 59/5
cooperating [1] 54/19
copied [1] 58/18 copy [1] 31/24 Correct [1] 78/10 costs [1] 26/1 could [25] 5/9 10/15
11/11 11/25 16/22 23/8 28/11 28/11 28/12 29/11 32/19 32/22 41/19 46/3 51/15 51/24 64/19 67/6 68/16 69/5 69/5 69/8 73/15 74/22 75/6
couldn't [1] 13/25 coun [1] 48/5 counsel [25] 1/16
26/23 33/2 35/22 42/10 45/16 46/10 47/11 47/16 48/1 48/5 48/19 51/16 51/24 54/19 58/13 58/14 59/19 61/7 67/3 67/13 69/21 76/5 78/14 78/19
county [14] 12/19
14/19 14/23 15/1 15/2 15/2 18/1 19/19 20/3
20/10 26/25 27/1 29/22 81/4
couple [7] 9/21 10/22 17/17 23/13 27/16 70/17 74/13
course [2] 16/24 60/3
Court [1] 1/22
covered [1] 16/14
COVID [2] 20/22 20/23 CP [2] 81/6 81/13 CPA [2] 62/12 63/12 creating [1] 21/12 credentials [6] 5/9
14/1 14/11 14/15 15/8 15/9
critical [1] 58/24
CRR [3] 1/22 81/6 81/13
culture [2] 56/11 56/12 current [14] 8/4 9/7 9/8

10/21 11/9 18/10 18/11 19/7 29/1 38/6 39/18 52/19 69/2 80/1
curve [1] 6/10
cut [1] 60/8
D
date [3] 10/5 62/19 63/6
Dated [1] 81/10 daughter [1] 13/20 day [9] 8/21 9/13 9/20 22/2 64/17 70/4 73/3 73/3 81/10
days [7] 9/20 10/16
10/17 10/21 74/9 74/10 74/13
deal [3] 17/24 22/2 59/20
dealing [4] 4/3 32/3 56/25 64/24
dealt [2] 15/6 66/4
decades [1] 57/20
December [1] 63/5
decide [1] 69/9
decided [1] 28/16
decides [2] 27/22 71/3
deciding [2] 28/24 42/16
decision [13] 12/24
12/25 23/20 26/11
27/21 31/3 65/20 65/21
66/21 66/25 76/17
76/20 76/22
decisions [4] 42/6 58/7
60/22 65/4
decline [1] 71/4
dedicating [1] 56/5
dedication [2] 55/21
55/24
deep [1] 33/16
defense [1] 22/24
define [1] 34/10
defining [1] 34/25
definitely [7] 12/25
35/2 42/15 47/19 50/12
50/17 51/12
delegated [1] 46/21
delighted [1] 18/2
demoing [1] 19/11
DENNIS [9] 1/11 1/12
47/8 53/21 53/24 61/21
61/24 62/6 64/7
departure [1] 18/4
dependent [2] 19/1
73/2
depending [2] 8/3 23/7
described [1] 17/22
describing [2] 21/6
77/15
designated [1] 71/21
desired [1] 46/17
desist [1] 60/3
detail [3] 29/12 31/4
56/22
detailed [1] 23/19
detrimental [1] 53/14
develop [1] 32/20
development [3] 21/11 21/13 23/5
did [20] 4/20 7/6 8/1
8/11 8/21 9/23 13/18
15/1 15/2 23/3 29/6 29/8 36/15 38/9 38/17 44/8 61/22 62/4 79/18 81/7
didn't [8] 4/19 10/1 11/14 17/9 21/2 41/14 58/19 60/18
differences [1] 14/9
different [7] 12/21
20/19 33/24 41/3 43/17 53/12 69/4
difficult [2] 9/17 38/5
digging [1] 64/3
diligence [3] 41/24
57/18 58/5
dinner [1] 16/12
dir [1] 17/23
direct [3] 19/6 19/22 65/15
directed [1] 17/6
directing [2] 17/8 64/25
direction [3] 21/10 24/10 64/20
directions [1] 64/19 director [62] 2/4 3/6 3/9 5/18 10/10 16/2 17/12 17/14 20/1 22/15
22/22 26/7 26/17 27/25
29/5 30/22 35/1 35/4
36/19 37/2 38/2 38/6
38/17 39/1 39/10 39/19
43/5 51/6 51/10 52/19
53/14 53/15 53/16
53/22 53/22 54/13
54/18 55/11 56/12
56/17 57/16 57/21
59/17 61/14 63/17
64/16 65/5 66/3 67/17
68/6 68/8 68/20 69/9
69/14 70/10 71/22 73/7
76/7 76/10 76/13 76/15 80/6
directors [5] 17/18
28/20 29/6 29/18 29/23
disadvantages [1] 57/10
disagree [4] 28/20
57/13 65/17 66/7
discouragement [1]
28/13
discretion [1] 75/23
discuss [5] 3/10 5/22
24/6 25/19 43/14
discussed [1] 45/12
discussing [1] 38/19
discussion [17] 4/16
4/25 13/1 16/3 16/8

## D

discussion... [12]
23/22 24/14 24/16 29/13 34/24 39/2 43/19 45/14 78/25 79/1 79/3 80/10
discussions [3] 8/19 33/16 38/10
dispensed [1] 20/17 distinction [1] 18/7 dive [1] 67/4
do [75] 6/24 10/8 10/20 11/17 12/15 12/19 14/22 14/24 15/2 15/3 17/13 19/4 21/7 23/3 24/3 24/3 24/22 25/1 25/14 26/13 27/20 28/3 29/5 29/25 30/1 31/23 33/6 34/13 35/21 35/22 38/14 41/9 41/23 42/4 42/5 43/8 43/17 44/17 44/19 45/9 45/22 45/22 45/25 46/3 47/4 47/18 49/18 50/24 50/25 51/1 51/14 53/24 54/10 55/13 55/14 56/24 57/18 61/9 61/10 61/16 62/1 67/20 69/3 69/20 71/4 71/14 73/23 74/22 75/25 77/1 77/12 77/12 77/16 78/25 80/22 does [23] 12/8 12/10 14/22 15/3 18/24 19/24 20/8 30/3 33/4 35/5 35/21 35/21 39/10 50/15 52/23 58/14 61/11 65/23 66/7 70/14 70/14 70/15 78/15 doesn't [6] 6/22 7/1 36/5 36/18 39/4 41/17 dog [2] 13/23 14/5 doing [10] 4/9 9/24 19/23 46/9 46/11 50/16 58/5 62/20 64/24 71/8 dollar [1] 63/21 don't [70] 5/9 6/19 7/12 7/22 9/11 14/1 15/15 18/15 21/20 21/21
24/11 25/8 25/14 26/11 27/12 27/20 28/1 28/5 28/7 28/20 29/4 29/18 29/24 30/7 31/15 31/16 33/5 34/9 35/18 36/13 37/16 41/10 41/16 41/17 45/23 47/13 48/20 50/14 52/21 53/6 53/10 54/7 55/4 56/3 57/4 58/16 58/16 60/9 60/9 60/9 60/10 60/11 60/12 60/13 60/17 60/17 60/20 62/9 65/3 67/16 67/18 68/21 69/15 70/24 75/19 76/14 76/14 77/13 77/20 78/8
done [11] 18/3 22/2 30/2 44/9 46/19 49/7 56/3 59/20 59/21 61/15 74/25
DOT [1] $9 / 8$ doubt [1] 75/24 doubts [2] 4/13 50/7 DOUGLAS [3] 1/15 1/15 75/2
dovetail [1] 40/19 down [12] 5/11 13/21 22/23 25/15 28/4 28/8 37/11 37/16 42/9 48/20 63/10 69/11
downside [1] 6/19 dozen [1] 19/21 drastic [1] 54/16 drove [1] 16/5 due [3] 41/24 57/18 58/5
during [5] 19/20 21/7 65/7 75/12 80/4
duties [2] 54/12 68/8
E
e-mail [2] 51/24 51/24 e-mails [1] 58/18 each [4] 5/6 5/22 14/18 15/20
earlier [3] 8/6 27/13 49/9
early [2] 69/23 69/25
easy [3] 32/23 32/25 77/11
ec [1] 23/4
echo [1] 8/15
economic [1] 23/5
Ed [1] 80/1
Ed's [1] 31/24
educated [2] 39/13 58/6
effective [1] 73/8
effectively [1] 55/13
either [5] 7/22 8/19 10/1 12/24 26/11 either/or [1] 26/11 element [1] 28/12 else [6] 13/1 47/21 55/10 60/20 69/17 71/6 embark [1] 9/4 emotions [1] 7/13 emphatically [1] 57/13 employee [3] 35/6 64/25 66/3
employees [1] 65/15 employer [5] 9/14 10/18 10/21 11/10 30/14
employers [1] 8/4 employment [35] 25/2 25/4 25/8 26/10 26/16 26/21 27/10 27/18 27/23 28/16 28/21 29/16 30/4 30/15 30/24 31/9 31/24 32/3 33/7

34/20 36/3 36/22 37/4 37/8 37/19 37/22 38/1 40/2 40/6 42/1 43/4
48/1 50/22 51/5 78/20 enables [1] 55/14 encourage [1] 68/4 encouraged [1] 17/18 end [1] 39/24
ended [2] 18/5 21/22 energy [1] 22/12 engaging [3] 7/15 16/1 35/1
enjoyed [1] 13/17 enough [1] 21/2 enter [1] 30/15 enthusiasm [1] 34/17 enthusiastic [1] 5/19 entire [2] 5/20 16/5 entity [1] 19/19 environment [1] 37/5 equal [1] 80/1
errors [1] 62/18 especially [2] 27/18 73/2
Esquire [1] 1/15 essence [1] 59/1 even [9] 17/9 26/19 33/21 34/11 38/8 54/10 57/6 65/6 77/14
ever [1] 33/5
every [3] 37/17 70/4 77/23
everybody [1] 41/16 everything [6] 4/20 16/22 37/17 52/13 69/24 73/3
evidence [1] 55/15 evolved [1] 56/18 exactly [1] 38/14 example [1] 51/22 except [1] 15/3 exception [1] 34/21 exciting [1] 11/21 Excuse [1] 15/18 executive [44] 2/4 $3 / 6$ 3/9 5/17 10/10 16/1 22/12 29/4 29/23 30/21 36/19 37/2 38/2 38/6 38/17 39/1 39/9 39/18 43/5 51/5 51/10 53/14 54/13 54/17 55/11 56/17 59/17 61/14 63/17 63/20 64/16 66/3 67/17 68/5 68/8 69/14 70/10 71/22 73/7 76/7 76/10 76/13 76/15 80/6 executive-level [1] 63/20
executives [1] 32/5
exists [1] 78/11
expect [1] 41/20
expenses [1] 25/21 experience [14] 7/2
7/23 7/24 7/25 9/1 9/7 9/7 15/10 19/22 19/25

23/6 26/25 32/3 63/20 explicit [1] $46 / 8$ exposure [1] 21/21 extent [2] 10/4 66/25 extra [1] 31/16 extremely [1] 18/16
F

FAA [3] 15/5 15/7 20/17
facilities [1] 19/12
fact [6] 14/14 19/10 38/4 38/14 38/20 39/15
factors [1] 20/20
fair [1] 75/16
fairly [2] 16/15 24/9
faith [1] 67/17
fall [1] 68/8
fantastic [1] 6/25
far [7] 11/10 15/14
20/25 24/21 25/9 27/16 64/1
fast [3] 23/7 32/12 71/5
favor [3] 4/6 9/17 44/24
favorable [1] 28/14
FBO [1] 19/15
FBOs [3] 16/9 19/2 19/8
FDOT [1] 6/6
featured [1] 18/10
February [2] 1/6 63/5
federal [2] 20/15 20/16 feedback [1] 24/25
feel [15] 3/11 4/9 4/10 4/12 8/18 8/24 23/12 25/3 52/25 53/6 53/7 53/9 69/10 69/11 73/9 feeling [2] 13/2 23/16
feelings [4] 5/22 41/4 45/24 46/1
feels [4] 39/23 52/21
66/14 70/25
felt [1] 7/14
fence[3] 6/1 6/2 16/7
few [1] 16/20
figure [7] 25/11 25/12
25/16 25/23 33/14 47/2 73/10
figuring [1] 35/25
filled [1] $7 / 7$
final [2] 27/21 31/3
finances [1] 6/16
financial [7] 9/2 62/17 62/18 62/25 63/9 63/19 64/4
financially [1] 6/14
find [4] 9/14 58/8 58/11 62/3
finding [2] 55/10 64/3
finds [1] $46 / 18$
fine [6] 12/16 14/21
45/22 62/8 64/1 72/20
firm [7] 1/15 35/7 35/7
36/2 47/1 48/6 48/7
firms [1] 30/25
first [13] 3/12 3/20 5/21 5/25 8/8 9/9 20/15 20/21 40/17 42/2 42/16 43/2 49/20
first-name [1] 9/9
five [3] 10/16 15/5 17/7
FL [2] 1/16 1/23
flagged [1] 21/24
floor [1] 42/20
Florida [12] 1/5 6/5 6/6
7/23 9/8 9/10 12/5
19/24 31/13 37/4 65/22
81/3
Florida DOT [1] 9/8
focus [1] 32/7
follow [5] 16/15 17/11
17/13 20/23 61/6
follow-on [1] 20/23
follow-up [3] 16/15
17/11 17/13
force [1] 51/6
foregoing [1] 81/8
forgot [1] 42/11
formal [4] 20/4 48/22
64/20 67/6
formality [1] 20/8
formally [2] 20/7 23/22
formula [2] 32/12
32/22
Fort [1] 20/2
Fort Lauderdale [1]
20/2
forth [1] 27/13
forum [1] 66/1
forward [9] 25/5 25/18
26/2 56/15 61/12 63/4
64/5 67/16 67/18
found [4] 16/18 16/21
17/10 60/5
four [4] 19/25 22/24
37/12 52/12
framed [3] 21/14 44/12 47/23
frankly [2] 19/13 54/20
Friday [1] 41/21
friend [1] 15/14
friendly [1] 22/17
frustration [1] 56/20
fulfilling [1] 32/15
full [2] 47/14 47/14
further [2] 10/23 50/20
future [5] 29/6 33/5
34/15 34/25 35/8

G
gate [1] 50/14
gave [2] 15/22 44/7
gee [1] 79/21
general [7] 1/16 2/5
26/23 30/17 31/13 67/3 67/13
generally [3] 23/4
29/17 46/20
get [36] $5 / 5$ 5/10 6/9
9/22 12/18 13/25 16/10

| $\mathbf{G}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| get... [29] 17/13 17/23 | g |
| g |  | 19/15 26/18 27/14 28/20 28/25 29/1 29/18 31/9 31/19 34/19 34/20 37/10 39/11 47/5 49/7 49/7 51/15 52/2 54/2 54/14 58/14 59/20 59/21 67/19 74/9 74/10 74/25

gets [3] 33/15 77/5 77/6
getting [8] 7/16 7/16 27/17 41/21 54/13 60/10 63/17 64/4
give [10] 9/13 31/23 39/14 43/14 50/20 58/10 59/4 67/5 74/9 75/22
given [3] 57/8 65/4 69/10
gives [1] 57/9
glad [1] 10/24
glean [1] 16/22
go [26] 3/18 3/20 5/21
5/25 8/10 8/11 11/16
13/4 14/22 23/24 24/2 25/5 27/5 27/12 29/11 31/25 32/19 33/3 35/17 44/23 47/22 60/7 62/6 66/16 74/25 79/18
goes [3] 23/17 62/14 69/24
going [34] 3/14 6/8 9/3 12/15 14/20 16/23 22/19 22/23 27/19 33/6 33/8 33/9 33/17 35/15 45/15 49/4 49/4 49/18 51/21 52/5 52/18 53/5 53/12 56/15 59/4 59/5 59/5 59/19 60/7 60/16 63/8 64/5 68/23 75/18 good [36] 3/17 4/19 5/9 6/4 7/9 8/7 8/19 8/21 8/22 11/15 11/19 13/3 13/6 13/25 14/13 14/16 16/24 20/13 31/9 31/19 33/6 36/25 45/21 50/9 51/11 52/10 52/12 56/15 57/23 64/2 68/15 68/15 68/19 73/21 78/13 80/7
got [13] 3/15 6/4 6/25 7/1 7/18 10/17 17/24 20/17 20/19 20/21 24/25 34/18 41/18
gotten [2] 16/6 28/14 governmental [2] 30/20 30/22
graceful [1] 64/8 grant [5] 13/25 15/4 16/6 20/15 20/22 granted [1] 26/20 grants [6] 6/8 14/17 14/24 20/12 20/12 21/1
grasp [1] 9/1
grave [3] 52/19 54/17 61/16
gravitas [1] 22/14
great [6] 14/9 15/14
50/7 50/13 55/20 56/20
greatly [1] 25/7
greener [1] 33/9
gross [1] 22/4
ground [2] 6/7 21/15
group [2] 3/15 3/22
groups [1] 12/18
Grumman [1] 22/24
guess [7] 13/22 23/10 23/23 24/2 42/18 52/11
64/25
guidance [1] 67/14 guide [1] 43/12
guy [1] 14/13
guys [3] 15/12 15/12
49/6

## H

had [33] 8/19 8/22 11/23 14/9 16/6 16/7 16/12 16/15 16/16 17/2 17/2 17/10 17/25 18/1 18/3 18/4 18/19 21/5 21/5 21/7 21/10 24/13 30/4 30/4 30/23 31/22 37/25 50/18 55/24 56/19 56/19 70/24 70/25
half [1] 19/21
hand [1] 36/20
handed [1] 66/17
handled [1] 75/5
handover [1] 10/6
hands [2] 47/14 47/14
hangar [4] 19/2 19/2 19/10 19/16
hangars [6] 18/23
18/25 19/4 19/11 19/20 19/21
hap [1] 59/5
happen [4] 7/4 35/5
56/23 59/6
happened [2] 38/15 56/7
happening [2] 57/4 57/15
happens [2] 33/3 75/21 happy [3] 24/11 24/14 45/22
hard [2] 30/14 32/12
harmful [1] 57/3
Harvey [3] 69/13 71/21
75/4
Harvey's [2] 75/12 79/25
has [38] 5/7 5/8 9/10 13/19 14/11 15/10 17/6 18/9 18/21 18/22 19/19 19/22 19/24 21/7 21/8 26/24 28/13 28/15 30/4

36/14 38/6 43/1 44/9 45/23 47/14 47/14 51/23 52/6 53/13 55/5 56/3 56/12 56/18 58/25 61/16 61/22 65/20 75/4 hate [1] 67/15
have [127]
haven't [3] 55/15 63/7 63/15
having [11] 21/23
22/16 22/17 22/18
22/22 32/8 32/23 33/4
38/1 64/23 71/21
Hawaii [1] 13/20
he [93]
he'd [1] 15/13
he's [26] 6/4 6/20 6/25
7/1 9/9 10/17 10/23
12/5 13/22 21/9 29/22
36/5 50/15 50/21 52/24
58/9 60/6 60/7 60/10
60/11 60/20 60/22 61/4
64/14 75/18 80/5
head [1] 47/7
hear [5] $4 / 19$ 11/15
$31 / 231 / 453 / 3$
heard [1] 14/7
hearing [3] 29/13
32/16 32/16
hearty [1] 5/19
held [1] $1 / 3$
helm [1] $5 / 18$
help [2] 23/9 24/22
helpful [4] 4/5 5/25 6/7 16/4
helping [1] 36/3
her [1] 47/14
here [26] 4/23 4/24 6/5
7/4 9/22 10/16 10/23
11/25 12/6 12/9 15/21
16/13 16/17 16/21 20/5
22/10 27/14 28/14
31/19 57/10 59/13
59/22 66/1 66/19 68/18
77/14
here's [3] 15/18 40/7
40/9
hesitant [1] $4 / 8$
high [1] 21/21
higher [2] 22/12 33/13
highly [1] 31/14
Hilton [1] 21/24
him [42] $7 / 7$ 8/22 9/11 11/3 12/4 12/10 14/1 14/10 14/14 14/15 14/17 14/25 15/13 15/13 16/12 16/16 17/13 18/6 19/25 20/12 21/5 24/20 29/16 35/6 35/23 39/23 50/14 52/20 52/25 53/17 54/12 58/11 59/16 61/18 64/11 64/12 64/12 67/19 67/21 70/20 70/21 75/6
hindsight [1] 73/23 hire [2] 35/6 37/9 hired [2] 27/17 35/10 hiring [6] 24/4 24/5
24/21 27/1 28/7 35/10
his [53] 6/18 7/12 7/25 7/25 8/25 9/13 10/18 11/9 13/21 13/22 14/1 14/8 14/15 14/23 15/1 15/4 15/7 16/13 17/9 17/24 17/25 18/2 18/4 18/4 18/6 18/11 18/11 19/24 21/3 21/8 21/10 23/4 24/18 26/24 30/5 39/24 47/14 53/18 54/1 54/12 55/13 56/1 56/6 58/14 59/6 59/15 60/4 67/3 67/19 75/5 75/12 77/6 80/4
history [1] 23/19
hit [1] 6/7
home [2] 13/19 64/13
honestly [1] 8/18
hope [4] 5/20 23/24 27/3 69/22
hoping [2] 26/10 41/3 hotel [6] 21/11 21/14
21/22 21/23 22/2 22/4
how [28] 3/10 6/3 7/14 14/19 15/1 17/13 17/13 17/22 18/2 18/8 18/8 21/21 23/7 25/12 25/13 41/19 44/18 50/25 51/15 51/15 52/21 53/7 53/7 57/21 70/24 71/2 75/5 78/25
HR [1] 47/7
huge [1] 16/14
huh [1] 45/8
hundred [1] 60/4
hyper [1] 19/14
hyper-wealthy [1] 19/14

## I

I'd [7] 24/6 24/15 31/4
51/3 54/2 75/3 78/17
I'II [8] 5/4 16/10 30/16
36/5 42/25 49/13 49/14

## 73/12

I'm [56] 4/6 4/12 4/22
5/13 5/24 5/25 10/24
11/22 12/24 12/25 13/5 13/8 13/12 15/18 21/4 22/6 23/11 24/10 24/14 24/23 26/10 27/9 28/6 28/11 29/13 30/25 31/2 34/6 36/4 36/8 37/21 41/2 41/3 43/8 44/24 45/22 49/2 49/16 49/21 50/25 52/1 52/17 55/16 56/19 59/4 59/12 60/16 61/10 62/7 62/10 62/15 65/12 71/12 73/8 74/19 78/6

I've [20] 3/10 14/7
22/11 24/4 24/17 30/8
30/18 30/21 39/16
39/16 43/17 47/6 49/16
51/19 51/20 54/21
55/25 58/9 59/15 62/11
idea [7] 3/15 7/9 26/19 27/10 57/6 68/15 71/2
ideas [1] $3 / 11$
identify [1] 34/10
identifying [1] 34/1
ignored [1] 58/7
imagine [4] 11/8 11/11
35/24 55/8
immediate [7] 5/11
67/24 70/9 71/5 76/5
76/9 78/19
immediately [4] 10/25 27/21 68/11 73/8
immensely [1] 13/17
important [9] 6/24 9/4
9/18 12/7 13/19 14/7
22/11 23/13 27/16
impressed [1] 55/20
impressive [3] 16/9
19/5 20/25
improvements [2] 9/5
9/6
inappropriate [4]
41/12 41/13 58/8 58/12
incentive [1] 31/17
incentives [1] 33/13
include [1] 68/4
income [1] 22/4
incoming [1] 29/4 increasingly [1] 9/3
indicate [2] 9/23 64/15
indicated [1] 70/23
individual [2] 19/8 44/18
industry [2] 22/20 31/5
inform [1] 73/7
informally [1] 71/1
information [9] 13/25
16/24 31/21 38/7 39/14
50/25 58/10 58/23 62/12
initial [1] $4 / 4$
initiation [1] 69/25
initiative [1] 34/1
input [3] 23/9 33/19
34/18
inquired [1] 38/9
install [1] 16/6
insubordinate [1]
58/11
insurance [2] 37/10
37/14
int [1] 80/5
intentions [1] 14/23
interaction [1] 33/18
interest [3] 23/4 50/9
56/10
interested [2] 29/13
41/7
last [9] 13/7 13/8 17/1 17/24 23/5 24/18 26/16 47/4 57/21
later [1] 67/1
Lauderdale [1] 20/2
law [3] 1/15 35/7 65/23
leadership [1] 70/1
leaning [3] 3/22 11/22 24/10
learning [1] 6/10
lease [2] 21/15 21/15
least [6] 23/6 38/9
38/18 41/4 61/14 62/14
leave [16] 33/9 34/14
54/12 56/1 64/23 66/17
66/24 67/11 67/24 68/3
69/12 69/20 70/9 71/21
76/6 78/20
leaving [1] 61/13
left [2] 16/16 17/1
legal [4] 35/7 35/22
48/1 65/16
legally [1] 68/9
lengthy [1] 16/12
less [1] 60/3
let [11] 22/6 30/3 33/22
34/12 46/3 54/7 55/16
66/24 67/19 70/2 75/10
let's [12] 19/17 22/6
23/21 24/2 42/4 42/5
44/23 51/25 62/3 62/3
74/20 75/20
letter [12] 35/13 35/18
37/8 37/8 45/17 46/10
46/11 47/3 47/6 48/8
48/24 74/5
level [5] 13/18 46/17
56/4 63/20 69/16
life [2] 13/19 67/19
light [1] 56/5
like [65] 3/16 7/23 7/23 9/13 10/8 11/6 12/1
14/20 15/11 15/13
16/20 20/7 23/12 24/6
24/15 24/17 25/10
25/16 26/13 26/13
26/16 26/17 29/17 30/8
30/19 31/4 33/15 33/21
35/2 35/7 35/9 35/15
36/11 36/14 36/15
36/21 37/9 37/10 37/12
37/14 37/15 38/20 39/9
39/22 40/19 40/23
41/19 45/15 47/2 51/2
51/3 53/2 53/21 53/24
54/2 55/7 60/5 61/16
69/10 69/11 72/19 74/9
74/15 75/3 78/17
likeable [1] 16/19
limited [1] 23/12
lines [1] 59/4
LIOTTA [9] 1/12 47/25
50/2 61/8 64/23 72/16
79/15 79/16 80/16
list [2] 4/2 9/5
listed [1] 17/2
listen [1] 38/18
listening [1] 55/17
little [16] 6/1 7/7 7/12
12/13 12/13 14/14
15/18 22/10 24/7 24/16
29/12 31/4 32/24 45/14
59/13 65/12
living [1] 19/14
local [1] 39/3
lodging [1] 67/23
logical [2] 47/15 68/24
long [7] 9/11 15/19
16/16 65/12 77/17
77/23 77/24
look [19] 3/19 15/8
25/16 26/18 27/6 29/10 29/17 33/21 35/2 35/9
38/20 41/23 45/14 51/4
51/12 53/17 55/7 69/2
71/5
looked [1] 24/4
looking [5] 3/11 21/7
26/5 36/5 49/2
looks [6] 24/16 33/15
39/22 40/19 40/23 53/1
lose [1] 24/12
losing [1] 14/14
lost [5] 14/15 39/16
52/20 59/16 67/17
lot [11] 11/19 11/24 12/10 14/12 15/6 18/15
19/12 19/22 25/11
37/25 68/8
lots [1] 19/12
LUDLOW [6] 1/10 44/9
50/4 72/14 79/14 80/18

## M

made [16] 15/24 18/9
23/10 26/4 43/2 43/20
48/16 57/8 57/24 58/25
59/18 63/15 65/4 65/21
78/24 80/9
magazine [2] 18/9 18/19
mail [2] 51/24 51/24
mails [1] 58/18
main [1] 41/25
major [4] 41/20 42/17
57/17 58/6
majority [1] 66/13 make [26] 17/19 18/15 20/9 21/17 26/11 27/20 42/25 43/3 43/8 47/24 54/22 62/10 64/6 64/19 64/20 65/10 65/20 66/21 71/14 71/15 71/16 75/20 76/20 78/16 78/17 79/24 makes [2] 32/22 68/4 making [5] 31/3 60/23
71/10 77/1 78/6
managed [1] 21/12 manager [1] 27/1
managing [2] 21/1 63/20
manual [1] 65/22
March [4] 74/7 74/8 74/18 81/10
March 13th [3] 74/7 74/8 74/18
market [7] 24/21 25/1 25/19 25/24 28/20 28/25 29/25
match [1] 3/14
matches [1] 4/13
matching [1] 20/25
material [2] 21/4 63/9 matter [10] 3/8 4/4
25/6 27/15 38/25 41/8
45/12 64/3 74/13 75/11
matters [2] 65/2 65/24
may [22] 6/2 6/21 8/3
16/20 21/3 34/3 37/4
38/2 42/8 45/13 46/19
49/8 54/10 54/14 54/16 58/3 58/3 62/15 67/1
73/17 73/22 76/16
May 1st [1] $8 / 3$
maybe [13] $3 / 214 / 16$
5/24 6/22 9/21 42/5
42/10 51/1 57/14 58/19 59/12 65/6 77/1
me [36] 3/18 5/25 7/13 8/2 10/24 11/5 13/19 13/24 14/18 15/6 15/18 15/22 16/5 17/6 17/22 21/25 22/6 30/3 38/18 46/3 48/4 50/23 52/2
52/23 54/7 55/16 56/19 59/6 61/12 62/19 70/24 71/1 72/5 75/4 75/10 75/22
mean [25] 4/15 4/16 11/9 11/18 14/4 14/12 19/4 23/23 27/3 30/19 31/20 31/25 36/12 36/19 37/24 41/2 41/19 41/22 43/11 47/12 51/7 57/19 63/25 67/20 67/22
means [3] 19/15 40/4 68/13
measurable [2] 32/20 34/5
measure [1] 25/13 Medford [4] 18/12 19/17 20/20 20/21
meet [4] 12/18 20/7 20/7 73/19
meeting [32] $1 / 21 / 3$
3/3 3/8 3/19 8/23 20/10 41/22 48/22 48/25 49/6 49/8 50/19 52/13 53/2 53/6 55/9 61/17 65/13 66/4 67/1 68/14 68/20 69/3 69/7 71/5 74/4 74/6 74/22 75/9 80/24 80/25

## M

meetings [2] 22/19 62/16
member [4] 38/18 40/24 45/16 46/21 members [6] 1/9 44/1 53/3 53/7 55/12 58/22 mention [1] 23/4 mentioned [4] 12/2 16/21 21/5 22/22 metes [1] 42/11
metric [1] $32 / 13$
MICHELLE [5] 1/11 8/8 45/20 47/14 71/16 might [26] 3/10 4/4 4/5 5/20 5/21 5/25 6/23 9/20 22/13 25/16 25/25 27/7 33/23 35/14 38/19 40/18 40/19 45/14 50/18 50/21 70/20 70/21 70/22 73/21 75/23 77/14
million [4] 20/21 20/24 20/24 63/21
mind [4] 18/6 28/17 29/2 31/23
minimum [1] 22/1
moderate [1] 71/13
moment [1] 36/14
Monday [1] 41/22
money [2] 20/16 25/20
month [4] 10/18 41/22 54/14 77/7
months [8] 5/11 32/18 33/4 37/15 37/19 38/23 57/22 62/14
months' [1] 27/22
more [29] 8/24 9/4 9/15
11/22 12/13 12/25
15/10 16/24 23/22 24/7 24/16 26/5 29/12 31/4
36/21 41/7 45/14 49/14 56/14 56/21 56/22 56/24 56/24 60/3 67/5 68/1 72/21 75/19 80/10 morning [4] 7/5 9/19 11/3 58/19
most [7] 18/10 19/23 22/16 26/1 30/6 47/10 64/8
mother [1] 13/21
mother's [1] 13/22 motion [51] 42/7 42/7 42/20 42/25 43/1 43/6 43/10 43/13 43/14 43/16 43/18 44/2 44/10 44/11 44/15 44/15 45/10 45/11 45/18 47/22 47/25 48/4 48/12 48/16 49/23 50/7 52/11 54/22 68/4 68/5 69/20 70/8 70/13 71/14 71/16 71/19 71/23 71/25 76/7 76/8 78/6 78/9 78/17 78/22 78/24 79/22

79/23 79/25 80/8 80/9 80/20
motions [4] 71/13 71/15 78/14 78/16 move [13] 9/21 10/22 10/25 23/7 23/8 25/18 26/2 28/3 28/25 48/19 51/14 61/12 67/20 moving [2] 25/21 76/10 Mr [2] 23/25 50/1 Mr. [54] 16/12 20/12 23/11 23/18 23/19 23/20 24/11 24/14 24/23 25/5 29/4 29/12 31/7 34/12 42/10 49/24 50/13 52/18 54/11 54/14 55/13 55/22 57/24 58/13 58/21 59/3 59/19 59/25 61/14 61/22 62/4 64/11 64/16 64/22 65/14 66/18 66/23 67/25 69/13 70/1 70/8 71/21 72/12 74/4 75/2 75/4 75/12 76/5 76/14 77/2 78/20 79/12 80/1 80/14
Mr. Astran [2] 31/7 42/10
Mr. Astran's [1] 29/12 Mr. Brienza [15] 16/12 20/12 23/11 23/19 23/20 24/11 24/14 25/5 29/4 34/12 52/18 54/14 64/16 70/1 74/4 Mr. Brienza's [1] 50/13 Mr. Chairman [2] 23/18 67/25
Mr. Clarke [9] 49/24 59/19 61/22 62/4 64/22 65/14 72/12 79/12 80/14
Mr. Douglas [1] 75/2 Mr. Ed [1] 80/1 Mr. Harvey [2] 71/21 75/4
Mr. Harvey's [1] 75/12 Mr. Kevin [1] 69/13 Mr. Olson [1] 76/5 Mr. Olson's [1] 24/23 Mr. Wuellner [10] 54/11 55/13 57/24 58/13 58/21 61/14 64/11 66/23 70/8 77/2 Mr. Wuellner's [6] 55/22 59/3 59/25 66/18 76/14 78/20
Ms [1] 44/7
Ms. [16] 44/9 49/19 50/2 50/4 61/8 64/23 72/10 72/14 72/16 78/25 79/10 79/14 79/16 80/12 80/16 80/18
Ms. Cash Chapman [3] 49/19 72/10 78/25

Ms. Cash-Chapman [2] 79/10 80/12
Ms. Liotta [6] 50/2 61/8 64/23 72/16 79/16 80/16
Ms. Ludlow [5] 44/9
50/4 72/14 79/14 80/18 much [11] 6/2 6/22
15/1 15/11 15/13 16/14 32/8 37/10 40/3 52/17 77/20
multimillion [1] 63/21 multiple [1] 62/19
my [28] $3 / 195 / 165 / 16$ 10/6 11/2 15/18 15/22 22/7 23/10 23/16 23/19 25/14 25/22 27/3 28/4
29/2 31/13 32/2 38/3
55/4 55/4 60/17 63/7
63/23 63/23 64/5 65/12
81/9
myself [1] 24/25
N
name [2] 9/9 24/18
named [1] 17/11
names [1] 69/8
naming [1] 69/21
nature [2] 42/8 44/2
nearly [2] 14/1 14/11
nebulous [1] 32/24
necessarily [2] 27/20 36/22
necessary [2] 18/16 54/11
need [35] 5/10 22/15
27/14 31/8 31/16 33/5
35/18 40/22 42/2 42/9
43/8 44/17 44/22 45/10 45/13 45/17 46/19 47/24 52/11 52/25 60/8 60/9 61/12 61/17 62/10 65/1 65/3 65/13 66/8 68/13 74/3 75/25 77/12 77/16 78/8
needed [4] 53/2 56/23 64/14 71/1
needing [2] 39/1 39/1 needs [12] 4/14 17/3
17/3 39/23 47/21 47/22 47/23 59/1 61/15 66/10 67/20 80/22
negative [1] 29/3
negotiate [3] 25/8
34/12 42/23
negotiating [2] 33/22 46/5
negotiation [1] 46/19
negotiations [2] 27/13 27/18
new [22] 3/24 4/215/1 16/6 18/16 19/21 25/10 27/1 31/20 35/20 36/19 43/5 53/11 53/15 53/16 53/21 54/13 55/11

63/17 64/16 65/5 76/23 newspaper [1] 14/13 next [6] 8/12 11/16 44/22 45/9 45/11 49/19 nice [3] 14/3 14/10 16/13
night [2] 16/13 17/1
nine [1] 17/7
no [23] 8/13 14/24 31/10 31/25 34/7 34/15 40/6 49/13 50/6 54/5 54/5 54/24 57/18 59/5 62/8 71/11 71/12 72/8 73/21 79/3 79/7 79/9 80/9
nobody [1] 72/21
nominations [1] 17/19
none [9] 2/5 44/13
44/16 49/12 72/9 79/2
79/8 80/11 80/23
nonfull [1] 65/8
nonfull-time [1] 65/8
nonrenewal [1] 55/18
normal [1] 35/10
normally [3] 29/18
29/24 47/3
Northrop [1] 22/24
Northrop Grumman [1] 22/24
not [94]
note [2] 18/22 51/7
noted [1] 19/24
notes [3] 3/19 22/7 81/9
nothing [3] 7/19 15/8 61/15
notice [5] 8/4 9/13
10/18 69/3 74/10
noticed [2] 50/19 55/9
noting [1] 56/22
November [1] 63/5
now [29] 5/15 13/21
15/5 15/7 16/23 23/9 26/23 27/9 28/12 30/18 33/22 33/25 36/10 37/17 42/20 47/23 53/4 58/9 59/24 60/8 61/11 61/15 62/15 65/7 65/21 67/1 69/11 73/2 76/9
number [6] 17/16
74/24 75/20 77/13 77/16 78/3
numbers [1] 35/25

## 0

objective [1] 64/5 obligation [1] 55/12 obstructionist [1] 59/25
obviously [5] 26/4
52/22 53/4 55/11 64/19
occasions [1] 62/12
October [1] 63/4
odd [1] 34/19
off [4] 17/5 51/18 53/25

62/2
offer [21] 25/4 27/22
31/9 34/23 35/13 35/18
36/3 37/18 40/5 40/19 41/25 42/8 43/4 45/17 46/9 46/11 47/3 47/6 48/8 48/24 74/5
offered [1] 37/17
offering [1] 31/16
office [1] 54/1
officer [1] 63/19
official [1] 43/9
oh [15] 13/8 14/2 19/17 22/7 41/19 43/1 45/10 46/15 59/8 73/15 74/3 74/11 76/25 78/25 79/21
okay [103]
old [2] 13/20 53/22 OLSON [3] 1/10 76/5 78/18
Olson's [1] 24/23
once [1] 60/7
one [54] 3/7 3/22 3/25
4/10 4/10 5/8 5/14 5/16 8/19 14/2 14/4 14/25 15/4 15/19 15/19 15/20 15/21 18/8 18/10 18/14 18/21 21/20 21/20 22/9 22/21 22/24 23/11 25/1 28/22 34/13 36/16 41/25 42/2 42/7 42/9 42/12 42/16 44/22 44/22 46/2 47/9 47/15 50/24 51/22 51/22 52/12 52/16 57/24 60/1 67/15 67/25 72/19 74/25 76/16 one-item [1] 3/7 ones [1] 17/18 online [3] 16/23 17/10 20/11
only [12] 14/4 20/3
39/7 39/8 55/3 55/4 65/24 66/23 68/24 72/23 74/11 75/15
open [5] 12/25 16/19
42/20 43/9 72/2
opening [1] $5 / 1$
opens [2] 22/3 43/19
operate [1] 18/24
operating [1] 70/3
operational [1] 6/18
operations [1] 73/4
opinion [1] 67/6
opportunity [5] 44/1
51/2 51/3 57/18 67/4
opposed [1] 50/16
option [2] 4/3 4/24
options [1] 27/7
order [1] 3/2
ordinary [1] 37/13
Oregon [1] 17/11
organization [3] 17/20
19/18 19/23

| 0 | particularly [2] 32/6 | pop | 21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| organizations [1] |  | position [11] 2/4 3/6 | 21/1 | ] $18 / 119 / 5$ |
|  | partner [1] | 3/9 28/25 60/6 64/2 | propose [1] 67/ | 58/ |
| $\text { other [40] } 3 / 114 / 16$ | partners [1] 22/20 | 68/9 68/11 72/20 76/13 | proposed [1] 36/12 | ready [8] 13/3 13/5 |
| $6 / 1$ 12/18 13/1 14/2 | party [1] 4 |  | prorated [2] 77/3 77 | $1 / 5$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}16 / 7 & 16 / 11 \\ 19 / 2 & 20 / 11\end{array}$ | past [3] 29/7 30/2 | positions [2] 29/2 | Pros [2] 18/9 18/1 | 65/6 70/22 |
| 20/20 21/16 22/3 22/7 |  |  | [1] | really [17] 4/24 11/19 |
| 23/12 23/21 25/6 35/14 |  |  | ns [3] |  |
| 36/7 36/9 36/9 38/21 | path [3] 53/11 53/ | possible [3] 5/20 11/ | 2 | 16 15/ |
| 40/17 44/3 44/11 47/9 | 69/10 |  | 2 19 | 27/12 29/20 30/1 |
| 47/22 49/8 49/20 50/24 | p |  |  |  |
| 52/21 53/3 53/7 53/7 | 40/3 64 | potential [2] | g [1] | ason [2] 28/2 37 |
| 53/20 55/12 60/22 | [12] |  | provisions [3] | sons [3] 8/25 26/8 |
| 68/17 69/15 80/21 | people [12] | potentially [7] | 57/9 66/18 | 49/20 |
| others [5] 16/20 16/20 | 13/1 14/8 19/1 19/7 | 25/20 34/25 36/2 48 | prudent [3] 66/16 68/9 | REBA [9] |
| 17/21 22/9 22/13 | 19/14 22/12 34/14 |  |  | 8/11 15/17 44/25 45/20 |
| Otherwise [1] 63/25 | 38/21 42/1 69/8 | power [1] | PTO [1] | 6/18 56/19 70 |
| our [53] 3/9 3/16 3/17 | people's [1] 28/1 | practices [2] | 3] | all [1] 62 |
| 5/20 6/7 11/20 12/7 |  |  |  | receive [4] 18 |
| 12/7 17/1 17/10 22/15 | perceived [1] 22 <br> percent [1] 22/3 | precise [1] 44/2 | $43 / 20$ 43/20 43/25 44/3 $44 / 1444 / 1548 / 25$ | $43 / 1562 / 14$ |
| 23/2 23/12 23/24 26/22 | percent [1] 22/3 <br> performing [2] 3/24 | precisely [1] 77/1 preclude [1] 33/4 | 44/14 44/15 48/25 <br> 49/11 49/13 51/17 66/1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { received [3] 20/14 } \\ 21 / 1967 / 14 \end{array}$ |
| 26/22 31/7 35/7 35/7 | $63 / 12$ | prefer [1] 76/3 | 72/2 72/7 79/4 79/5 | receiving [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 / 2135 / 2138 / 938 / 14 \\ & 39 / 1842 / 1048 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | perhaps [2] | presence [1] 2 | 79/5 80/10 | recent [4] 19/23 21/8 |
| 48/19 51/16 51/2 | perimeter [2] 16/5 16/7 | present [4] 1/9 1/1 | published [1] 65/2 | 23/6 30/6 |
| 53/11 53/21 53/22 | period [5] 32/18 43/2 | 11/19 34/12 | Pueblo [1] 30/5 | ently [3] 26/2 |
| 55/14 55/21 56/15 | 69/22 75/13 80/4 | presented [2] | pull [1] 70/15 | 55/15 56/13 |
| 56/23 57/3 58/10 58/10 | periods [1] | 4 | pulled [1] 65 | cipient [1] 63 |
| 58/14 58/15 59/19 61/7 | peripheral [1] | pr | pose [2] 30/20 | ognize [1] |
| 62/13 63/9 67/13 67/17 | on [5] 8/20 36/1 | pressed [2] | 30/22 | ion [1] |
| 67/22 73/2 73/4 73/7 | 42/2 65/9 6 | 20/12 | rposes [1] 39 | commend [1] 52/6 |
| 74/23 74/25 | personable [1] | p | [1] | ommendations [1] |
| ours [1] 37/2 | personal [2] 53/24 | 48/3 | purview [1] | 20/9 |
| out [25] 6/20 8/21 9/20 | 6 | previously [1] 28/22 | shing [1] | oncile [1] 62/2 |
| 12/4 12/15 12/18 22/4 | personaliy | prior [3] | [1 15 | record [6] 6/5 7/1 |
| 25/11 25/12 25/16 | 32/4 38/9 | 58/3 | 34/11 45/16 45/18 49/8 | 51/18 52/1 66/11 81/ |
| 25/23 33/14 35/7 35/25 | perspective [1] 65/16 | priorities [5] 3/16 4/14 | 75/20 | fer [2] 19/18 22/13 |
| 36/20 47/2 50/14 50/15 | phase [1] 19/21 | 17/3 23/14 34/3 | puts [1] 28 | ferenced [1] 21/3 |
| 53/23 60/5 62/3 63/16 | Phillips [2] 38/22 41/6 | priority [1] 18/2 | Putting [1] 72/20 | ferred [2] 20/5 22/10 |
| 64/3 73/11 76/16 |  |  | Q |  |
| outlined [2] 64/22 65/14 | oto [1] 18/19 | probably [6] 5/6 11/11 | qualified [1] 47/10 | flected [1] 16/25 |
|  | photographs [1] 56/8 | 11/22 18/14 20/20 | quantifiable [2] 77/ | fusing [2] 58/9 61/ |
|  | physically [1] 9/22 | /13 | 78/3 | gister [1] 69/19 |
|  | pick [2] 3/25 53/18 | problem [4] 29/2 38/3 | question [5] 28/17 | gistered [1] 41/4 |
| rsaw [1] 1 | ked [1] 11/1 | 39/5 52/2 | 30/3 48/18 48/18 65/12 | gular [1] 35/15 |
|  | picking [1] 4/6 | procedures [1] 68/7 | questions [4] 56/22 | gularly [1] 20/7 |
|  | picture [1] 53/2 | proceeding [2] 24/4 | 8/4 73/22 75/4 | inforced [2] 23/1 |
| $54 / 15$ | piece [1] 2 |  | quick | /20 |
| owners [1] 19/8 | [2] 54/13 | proceedings [1] 81/8 | quite [4] 8/18 8/1 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| P |  |  | 1] | 6/16 [1] |
| Pacific [1] | plans [1] 23/2 <br> Please [1] $3 / 3$ | 25/15 28/3 28/7 35/10 <br> 36/18 37/1 39/22 40/23 | R | ionships [1] |
| $37 / 13$ | PLEDGE [3] 2 | 48/20 53 | ally [1] 56 | ly [1] 51/22 |
| $\text { PAGE [2] } 2 / 265 / 23$ |  | professionalism [1] | ise [1] 79/25 | mained [1] 55/2 |
| Page 39 [1] 65/23 |  | 55/21 | sed [1] 37/ | mark [1] 73/1 |
| Palm [1] 20/1 | plus [3] 9/21 21/1 | [2] 20/1 | 1] | marks [1] 18/5 |
| Palm Beach [1] |  |  |  | member [2] 24/1 |
| parking [1] 19/12 |  |  |  | 9/24 |
| part [8] 22/3 24/23 |  |  |  | minding [1] $72 / 4$ |
| 32/15 40/17 49/10 | 52/14 57/8 | [1] 64/17 |  | move [2] 52/25 61/18 |
| $65 / 1065 / 1765 / 17$ | 70/24 <br> policies [1] 68/7 | property [1] 64/17 <br> proposal [2] 21/14 | ratifying [1] 56/21 Raton [1] 26/14 |  |

(8) organizations - removing

| R | 36/15 40/1 48/10 63/2 | September [1] 62 | sociable [1] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| renew [1] 28/16 |  | serious [1] 4/23 | social [2] 13/17 47/ | [1] |
| rent [1] 22/1 | s | seriously [1] 3/2 | solid [2] 9 | 9] 3/22 4/21 8/5 |
| report [4] 24/3 |  |  | some [25] 5/21 6/23 |  |
| 4/7 81/7 |  |  | 9/24 14/9 15/12 16 | 53/25 59/2 |
| REPORTER'S [2] $2 / 7$ | 75/12 76/23 77 | service [4] 7/2 7/6 | 20/17 21/3 | ed [3] 10/9 |
| 81/1 |  |  |  |  |
| Report | 80/1 80/2 | services [2] | 30/9 32/18 33 | arting [ |
| reports [3] 20 | Sam [12] 6 | serving [3] 56/20 57/20 |  |  |
| $20 / 9$ | 8/1 8/20 9/13 11/23 | 80/5 | 62/23 65/7 71/2 75/22 | tarts |
| representative | 13/19 | s | somebody [2] 63 | [ |
| 58/23 |  |  |  | [ |
| requests [2] 5 | Sam's [2] | 2 53/6 61/17 74 | someh | 0/19 47 |
| require [2] 33/18 65/24 | same [5] | , | someone [12] 27/14 | stated [5] 8/25 48/14 |
| research [1] 67/5 |  |  |  |  |
| reservations [1] 37/25 | sa | seven [1] | 10 57/3 | atements |
| respectfully [2] 28/19 | save [1] 22/7 | several [4] 14/8 19 | 0 68/16 | 2/18 63/1 |
| $57 / 12$ | say [14] 4/20 | 69 | 78/16 | ay [2] 70/17 7 |
| response [2] 59/3 | 30/16 | sh | th | enograp |
| 63/15 | 52/11 53/3 55 | shape [1] | 7/1 7/3 29/25 30 | nographically |
| responsibility [3] 73/6 | 57/6 60/16 72/19 78/5 | share [3] 3/20 26/18 | 36/11 36/14 37/15 | 81/7 |
| 73/13 75/19 | saying [5] 10/15 37/9 | 32/ | 41/20 47/17 54/8 59/3 | step [2] |
| rest [1] 55/5 | 40/1 40/2 73/1 | S | so | S |
| restate [1] 6 | scheduled [3] 7/6 | 70/25 | sometimes [2] 22/1 | [8] 6/1 11/9 |
| resume [1] | 38/10 38/19 | sharing [1] 50/ | 58/1 | 6/8 50/7 56/9 6 |
| retain [1] | search [8] 3 |  | 龶 | 67/22 |
| return [1] 21/15 | 5/2 16/2 30/25 47/1 | 78/23 | somewhere [2] | op [1] |
| review [2] 17/20 | 48/6 48/7 | S |  | -hou |
| reviewed [2] 37/15 | second [24] | ship [1] | [2] | stormwater [1] 18/17 |
| 62/17 | 43/19 43/23 44/7 44/10 | shocking [2] 34/2 | sooner [2] 9/15 9/1 | rategic [1] |
| RFP [1] | 44/10 48/15 48/16 | 54/20 | soonest [1] 8/2 | ream [2] 22/1 22/4 |
| right [25] | 70/12 71/8 71/9 71/1 | should [32 | sorry [9] 22/6 2 | Street [1] 1/23 |
| 13/20 23/9 27/9 33/2 | 71/13 71/17 71/19 | /3 | 13 | trong [4] 6/3 |
|  | 71/23 71/25 76/8 78/21 | 27/4 27/5 27/6 29/5 | 49/6 62/7 74/4 | 45/25 55/15 |
| $40$ | 79/23 80/3 80/7 80/8 | 38/13 40/16 44/18 47/6 | sort [3] 16/21 25/24 | tronger [1] 17 |
| 50/14 58/9 59/2 61/11 | seconded [4] 48/17 | 53/16 53/22 53/25 57/ | 30/8 | strongly [4] 25/3 2 |
| 61/23 65/15 69/11 73/2 | 78/23 78/24 80/9 | 60/2 60/15 61/21 61/23 | sought [1] 31/ | 53/6 53/9 |
| $74 / 1274 / 1$ | secure [1] 6/14 | 67/16 67/18 6 | sought-after [1] 31/15 | structure [4] 32/7 |
| RMR [3] | see [19] 4/2 5/3 5 | 67/21 68/19 69/6 73/14 | Southpark [1] 1/16 | 33/15 33/23 35/3 |
|  | 12/19 13/1 16/24 19/17 | 74/24 75/11 76/23 | space [3] 19/3 19/10 | tructures [1] 34 |
|  | 22/6 22/7 23/21 26/ | show [3] 10/8 10/1 | 19/16 | Stuart [3] 15/23 18/ |
|  | 28/2 33/3 44/23 51/2 | 14/5 | speak [3] 8/1 10/1 75/6 | 18/25 |
|  | 56/3 56/7 74/20 | [2] 10/18 62/2 | speaking [1] | ubjectiv |
|  | seeds [1] 34/4 | sign [1] 4/8 | speaks [3] 6/17 14/1 | subsidies [1] 7/17 |
| Rogue [1] | seeing [2] 23/11 57/15 | signing [5] 25/19 | 15/6 | success [1] 21/17 |
| role [2] | seeking [1] 21/13 | 25/25 35/16 60/4 60/1 | special [12] 1/2 3/3 3/8 | successful [1] 22/ |
| roll [2] 44 | seem [3] 12/8 12/1 | similarly [1] 12/20 | 17/25 17/25 20/16 | such [6] 5/8 23/15 |
| room [2] | 3 | simple [2] 25/17 37/ | /20 30/22 55/2 61/17 | 33/22 37/2 55/6 |
| route [2] 32/19 66/ | s | simplify [2] 25/7 35/11 | 13 74/2 | cient [2] 34/1 |
| RPR [3] 1/22 81/6 | seemingly | [5] | specific [1] 77/12 |  |
| $81 / 13$ | seems [1] 36/25 | /6 | specifically [4] 31 | suggest [1] 42/ |
|  | seen [5] 16/20 43 | sir [2] 49/1 75/7 | /8 38/11 61/8 | ggestion [7] 26 |
| rule [3] 13/11 13/1 | 55/15 55/25 63/7 | sit [2] 63/10 68/2 | speculating [1] 28 | /5 51/4 64/8 68/ |
|  | selected [2] 21/20 | sitting [1] 22/23 | speed [2] 9/12 25/ | /19 69/1 |
|  | 50/11 | situation [6] 7/14 37/3 | spend [1] 26/5 | suggests [2] 57/2 |
|  | selection [1] | 39/8 56/1 58/17 | spent [1] 15/19 | 62/19 |
|  | send [3] 35/23 36/1 | six [9] 5/11 17/7 27/22 | spoke [6] 7/5 9/19 18/2 | Suite [2] 1/16 1/23 |
| $15 / 1664 / 172 / 21$ | 40/2 | 32/17 33/4 37/15 37/19 | 31/6 38/16 38/22 | Sunshine [2] 65/22 |
| runs [1] 6/11 | s | 38/23 57/2 | sponsor [1] | 65 |
| S | $48$ | slow [2] 25/15 48/20 | 1/23 81/4 | \| 65/2! |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { said [17] } 4 / 209 / 19 \\ & 11 / 614 / 514 / 1916 / 25 \\ & 24 / 2229 / 1529 / 17 \\ & 31 / 1031 / 1031 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | ```sentiments [1] 8/16 separate [5] 16/8 17/20 55/8 62/11 78/14 separates [1] 74/1``` | slowed [2] 28/4 28 <br> smaller [1] 17/17 <br> smoothly [1] 6/15 <br> so [121] | stability [1] 34/15 <br> stable [1] 6/14 <br> staff [2] 69/2 73/2 <br> staffing [1] 69/16 |  |


| S | 33/17 34/5 36/4 37/21 | 21/22 24/22 27/22 32/9 | today [12] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 38/14 39/7 39/8 39/15 | 33/8 33/9 35/18 58/15 | 34/11 49/4 66/1 66/20 | d [1] 7/1 |
| 24/23 33/21 49/21 | 40/11 43/16 44/4 48/3 | 60/7 62/21 62/22 62/24 | 66/23 66/25 68/2 68/24 | ortabl |
| 50/25 52/2 56/19 61/25 | 48/10 49/17 51/6 51/17 | 64/6 | 70/25 80/22 | 57/1 |
| 62/10 64/6 75/21 | 52/5 54/9 55/11 56/15 | they'd [1] 8/2 | today's [1] 66/4 | der [3] 19/19 |
| surprised [1] 31/1 | 57/25 58/2 59 | they're [10] 5/7 | er |  |
| surprises [1] 47/6 | 63/12 63/23 63/23 | 22/19 31/14 32/6 33/6 | 73 | understand [6] 5/3 6/8 |
| surprising [1] 7/19 | 68/18 69/18 72/25 | 33/8 34/21 34/21 6 | $\text { told [6] 7/13 8/2 } 10$ | 6/16 20/5 41/1 |
| Susan [2] 38/22 41/6 | their [23] <br> $8 / 2 / 205 / 22 ~ 8 / 2$ <br> $10 / 21$ <br> $18 / 18$ <br> $15 / 20$ | they've [2] 19/10 20 thing [14] $3 / 175 / 4$ | $11 / 538 / 1370 / 19$ tolerate [1] $31 / 3$ | unfortunate [2] 57/19 |
| sustainable [1] 7/19 system [1] 18/17 | 18/5 19/4 19/13 19/15 | 14/4 14/17 14/25 40/14 | too [5] 7/19 49/21 | 57/25 |
|  | 20/21 21/14 23/1 23/2 | 41/25 42/17 43/13 44/3 | 64/19 73/24 77/20 | Unfortunately |
| T | 14 | 53/20 68/1 69/3 72/19 | total [3] 17/8 19/20 |  |
| T-hangar [2] 19/2 | 36/13 62/20 | things [30] 7/10 11/25 |  | racious |
| 19/10 | th | 12/17 13/24 |  |  |
| T-hangars [5] 18/23 | 9/9 10/7 10/8 11/11 | 16/15 18/21 21/6 22/21 |  | ecessary |
| 18/25 19/11 19/20 | 12/15 14/18 |  | touched | unreasonable [1] |
| 19/21 | 33/10 33/19 34/1 | 29/10 34/2 34/9 34/10 | tough [2] 12/23 7 | 37/20 |
| table [1] 43/13 | 34/12 37/8 37/18 40 | 35/14 35/17 36/2 | tour [5] 15/20 15/ | until [6] |
| take [21] 5/18 9/11 | 40/5 42/16 47/5 58/20 | 37/12 37/14 38/13 49/8 | 16/4 16/8 16/13 | 37/18 63/10 65 |
| 15/18 22/6 27/4 27/6 | 63/7 65/1 65/10 | 54/18 56/22 56/24 | toured [1] 16 | unusual [3] 25/2 2 |
| 27/19 41/23 47/19 | then [39] | 57/15 60/ | tourism [1] 39 |  |
| 50/21 54/14 55/8 57/7 | 16/11 16/15 16/25 | think [128] | toward [1] 32/14 | unwilling [1] |
| 64/8 65/9 68/2 69/12 | 17/19 20/22 22/3 23/5 | thinking [5] 26/5 29/5 | towards [2] 3/22 11/23 | unwritten [1] |
| 69/12 70/15 72/24 | 24/3 25/19 32/11 32/2 | 30/1 54/4 55/17 | 8/21 | 37] 4/9 4 |
| 73/12 | 32/24 33/9 36/2 36/16 | thinks [1] 4/23 | track [1] | 110 |
| taken [4] 45 | 37/14 37/19 40/17 42/1 | third [2] 42/12 5 | traits [3] 22/17 22/ | /1 16/15 17/10 1 |
| 66/8 66/20 | 42/6 43/15 43/18 43/19 | this [96] | 22/18 | 7/13 18/5 20/5 21 |
| takes [1] 5 | 43/22 44/3 53/1 53/22 | those [23] | tran [1] | 21/22 22/6 25/7 31/ |
| taking [1] 75/19 | 60/7 61/15 61/17 63/3 | 24/13 25/2 25/25 25/25 | transcript [1] | 5/22 |
| talent [1] 57/10 | 68/23 69/2 74/14 74/18 | 29/16 29/18 34/3 34/9 | transition [6] 9/24 10/5 | 43/19 46/13 48/7 53 |
| talk [5] 4/24 38/5 | 76/8 78/6 | 34/10 35/17 41/4 42/12 | 57/9 65/5 76/6 78 | 57/22 60/2 63/6 64/ |
| 53/4 75/8 | there [43] 5/5 6/9 6/10 | 46/18 47/9 51/11 51/11 | treatment [2] 18/17 | 65/23 66/3 69/7 72/2 |
| talked [5] 10/4 11/24 | 6/16 7/19 13/22 16/9 | 57/24 58/7 62/21 65/24 | 56/5 | 75/8 76/10 |
| 24/17 27/14 78/15 | 17/15 17/19 18/12 19/5 | 78/16 | Tri [1] | ated [1] 29/1 |
| talking [6] 22/25 36/8 | 20/22 21/9 21/19 26/8 | though [1] 38/8 | Tri-State [1] 30/ | updates [1] 20/9 |
| 38/20 40/13 57/6 67/15 | 26/8 28/6 28/22 32/13 | thought [13] 3/10 5/4 | triage [1] 34/2 | upon [3] 34/6 55/9 |
| tangible [1] 32/21 | 32/23 35/15 45/10 | 5/17 10/25 17/2 20/13 | trigger [1] 70/1 | 56 |
|  | 46/12 46/18 48/19 49/8 | 27/4 31/8 51/21 60/2 | true [1] 81/8 | upset [1] 59/1 |
| ca | 51/7 53/23 56/18 56/24 | 60/4 70/22 71/1 | try [5] 30/14 47/2 49/13 | upward [1] 76/9 |
| tell [2] 53/24 58/14 | 61/12 66/18 67/12 68/7 | thoughts [3] 3/20 4/17 | 49/14 59/20 | urge [1] 66/20 |
| terminate [2] 37/5 67/3 | 69/1 69/4 70/12 70/21 | 69/1 | trying [9] 25/10 25/ | us [24] 5/7 12/24 26/1 |
| terminated [2] 28/15 | 71/17 73/22 74/16 | thousand [1] 60/5 | /15 33/14 34/2 49/16 | 29/24 33/4 33/7 33/ |
| 8/15 | 78/13 80/21 | three [8] 17/6 17/7 | 49/16 73/10 74/6 | 34/15 35/22 35/25 36/3 |
| terminating [1] 37/1 | there'd [1] 3 | 21/19 37/12 42/6 62/ | Tuesday [1] 1/6 | 36/15 38/7 38/12 41/20 |
| termination [4] 54/4 | there'll [1] 27/25 | 74 | turn [1] 50/15 | /12 |
| 66/19 66/22 67/6 | there's [17] 4/12 19/11 | through [6] 20/16 | turned [1] 35/18 | 55/14 56/8 57/10 57/1 |
| terms [6] 21/16 21/25 | 22/1 32/10 32/11 35/15 | 23/15 24/1 26/24 51/5 | two [27] 3/23 3/25 4/7 | 61/ |
| 42/14 46/5 48/8 78/7 | 35/16 36/23 36/23 39/4 | 51/16 | /10 4/18 4/25 5/1 5/6 | use [1] |
| than [7] 20/20 41/7 | 39/8 40/6 40/20 41/5 | tie [1] 25/13 | 5/14 6/3 9/10 11/18 | [2] 20/5 38/ |
| 47/22 53/12 56/21 | 53/5 61/15 69/1 | time [33] 7/11 | 13/24 15/19 24/13 27/7 | [1] |
| 64/20 72/22 | therefore [1] 63/6 |  | 30/22 36/15 40/25 41/3 | using [1] 32/12 |
| thank [10] 11/14 15/17 | these [18] 4/24 5/22 | 17/24 19/20 21/8 22/7 26/5 27/6 27/12 27/19 | 42/7 42/13 57/2 $78 / 1478 / 14$ | V |
| 23/18 31/21 44/6 67/8 | 7/10 12/3 16/1 17/5 18/9 22/17 22/17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26/5 27/6 27/12 27/19 } 28 / 132 / 1833 / 23 \\ & 27 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | type [1] 9/24 |  |
| 72/1 72/4 73/1 73/5 | 26/21 27/6 34/13 | $36 / 25 \text { 38/13 39/8 41/24 }$ | typical [1] 43/13 | luable [1] 9/8 |
| Thanks [1] 76/2 that [390] | 38/13 42/1 55/3 60/12 | $43 / 2153 / 2558 / 2560 / 4$ | Typically [1] 43/11 | $\text { Iue [1] } 23 / 9$ |
| that'd [1] 77/11 | $10 / 910 / 1$ |  | U | [1] $66 / 21$ |
| that's [56] 4/23 6/17 | 11/13 11/19 12/4 | timely [2] 64/4 64/6 |  | /1 9/8 9/14 9/16 14/10 |
| 7/3 7/16 7/18 9/3 9/20 |  |  | Uh-huh [1] 45/8 | /10 16/4 16/13 16/18 |
| 11/5 11/8 14/7 14/20 | $\begin{array}{llll} 17 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 15 & 14 / 18 \\ 2 & 14 / 23 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 4 \end{array}$ | times [3] 15/5 15/19 | un [1] 54/9 | $\begin{array}{llll} 4 / 10 & 16 / 4 & 16 / 13 & 16 / 18 \\ 6 / 19 & 16 / 19 & 16 / 24 & 19 / 7 \end{array}$ |
| 14/25 17/22 20/24 | 15/5 16/6 17/16 18/2 |  | tabl | $0 / 4 \text { 21/8 23/8 25/17 }$ |
| 21/23 23/10 23/16 23/24 25/22 27/19 28/4 | 20/7 19/20/4 19/4 | timing's [1] 9/18 | $59 / 10$ | 27/9 29/13 30/14 32/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23/24 25/22 27/19 28/4 } \\ & 28 / 4 \text { 29/9 29/25 } 1 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 20/7 21/10 21/14 21/14 | title [1] 72/23 | unanimous [1] 45/7 | 33/24 34/3 38/16 38/16 |


| V | 51/18 51/21 51/22 52/1 | 40/24 42/12 45/14 | within [1] 12/21 | $45 / 345 / 445 / 545 / 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39/15 47/20 | 52/5 52/16 52/17 55/20 | 45/23 46/6 47/2 47/24 | without [5] 54/1 59/6 | 46/20 49/1 49/22 50/1 |
| $52 / 1852 / 2254 / 17$ | 56/18 56/21 58/5 58/10 | 48/10 50/21 51/3 51/21 | 60/25 68/10 75/24 | 50/3 50/5 52/7 60/24 |
| 55/19 57/1 58/4 58/8 | 59/3 59/19 60/1 60/4 | 52/5 52/16 53/1 53/3 | won [1] 15/5 | 70/11 71/9 72/4 72/11 |
| 58/24 59/18 68/18 | 60/5 66/17 70/25 81/7 | 54/10 55/7 57/6 58/15 | won't [1] 63/10 | 73/20 73/24 73/25 75/7 |
| 68/19 69/22 69/22 | wasn't [2] 15/23 15/23 | 60/9 60/12 60/17 60/20 | wondered [1] 5/4 | 75/17 77/25 78/2 78/4 |
| 69/23 69/24 72/25 | way [27] 1/4 5/17 5/21 | 60/22 61/8 62/3 62/15 | wondering [3] 4/22 | 79/21 |
| view [3] $6 / 3$ 25/14 38/3 | 6/12 6/13 13/11 16/24 | 64/7 64/22 64/22 65/14 | 27/15 65/13 | yesterday [6] 3/17 |
| viewpoint [5] 28/4 55/4 | 20/13 22/18 25/18 29/2 | 70/25 75/5 75/23 76/14 | word [3] 22/14 38/12 | 22/21 23/16 47/9 58/25 |
| 55/6 57/13 68/22 | 29/9 34/10 36/5 36/13 | 76/15 76/22 77/5 78/15 | 57/17 | 59/18 |
| viewpoint's [1] 25/22 | $43 / 16 ~ 48 / 13 ~ 49 / 17$ $53 / 12$ 55/14 55/20 | whatever [6] 3/13 | words [1] 77/15 | yet [3] 16/2 62/13 63/7 |
| Vinny [1] 72/6 | 53/12 55/14 55/20 $57 / 23$ 62/1 64/8 66/ | 21/15 32/13 32/13 | [23] 9/24 12/ 19/15 23/4 34 | you [216] |
| vision [1] 62/10 | $69 / 1577 / 11$ | when [15] 6/20 9/18 | $18 / 2$ 19/15 23/4 34/14 $35 / 6$ 35/7 42/10 45/16 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 17 \\ & 10 / 1513 /- \end{aligned}$ |
| visit [5] 3/12 15/21 | ways [2] 43/18 53/23 | 11/23 14/2 14/6 14/17 | 47/10 47/15 47/25 48/4 | 43/18 49/18 49/19 |
| 15/22 15/24 | we [223] | 15/24 22/18 24/12 32/7 | 48/21 52/24 55/22 | 49/19 49/20 57/14 |
| visiting [1] 21/4 | we'd [4] 46/9 46/13 | 32/11 33/14 38/17 | 57/10 59/19 64/13 | 61/23 62/8 66/5 70/7 |
| Visitors [1] 41/6 | 71/2 71/4 | 53/21 78/5 | 71/15 76/5 78/18 | you-all [2] 30/9 71/14 |
| visits [1] 16/23 | we'll [3] 40/2 40/22 | where [17] 3/14 3/15 | worked [4] 9/10 23/2 | your [13] 23/17 27/5 |
| voice [2] 44/18 49/18 | 45/17 | 7/8 21/20 23/7 23/14 | 30/8 30/21 | 0/7 40/9 41/24 47/11 |
| vote [28] 23/17 24/2 | we're [21] 3/14 4/3 7/8 | 23/24 25/22 32/8 32/24 | working [8] 7/2 14/20 | 52/16 55/6 57/13 64/7 |
| 40/25 41/1 43/24 44/5 | 12/23 14/13 20/19 | 39/9 50/21 50/21 55/6 | 23/15 25/23 33/25 | 67/3 68/7 70/7 |
| 44/17 44/18 44/19 | 25/10 25/10 25/13 | 55/6 66/7 68/21 | 51/22 56/9 59/2 | yours [1] 72/23 |
| 44/20 44/23 44/23 45/1 | 27/15 29/25 33/25 34/9 | wherefores [1] 33/17 | works [1] 13/20 |  |
| 45/11 49/14 49/18 | 45/15 53/10 57/6 63/16 | whether [3] 62/1 66/13 | workshop [2] 17/1 |  |
| 49/22 50/6 61/18 65/3 | 7 64/1 64/4 74/10 |  |  |  |
| 66/7 67/10 67/23 72/18 | we've [9] 24/13 30/2 | which [15] 6/6 7/1 7/14 | world [5] 22/25 26/7 |  |
| 75/25 76/4 79/21 80/20 | 57/8 61/1 62/16 67/14 | 9/14 11/20 16/9 16/13 | 30/8 30/10 70/2 |  |
| voted [1] 55/18 | 67/17 69/11 78/15 | 16/23 22/14 27/6 32/7 | worth [1] 51/4 |  |
| votes [2] 36/15 41/3 | weakness [1] 63/9 | 55/14 56/14 61/1 62/19 | would [98] |  |
| W | website [1] 51/18 | 70/6 80/5 | 59/20 |  |
| wait [3] 5/5 37/18 74/9 | week [1] 26/16 | whim [1] 36/20 | write [2] 14/24 35/22 |  |
| waiting [1] 74/6 | weeks [3] 9/21 10/22 | white [1] 15/9 | writing [2] 34/11 47/3 |  |
| want [42] 4/8 4/20 5/21 | 27/16 | who [11] 3/19 5/21 | wrong [2] 29/9 79/18 |  |
| 6/13 7/12 8/11 12/4 | well [38] 3/21 4/22 | 5/21 8/7 11/16 26/24 | Wuellner [10] 54/11 |  |
| 12/17 24/3 25/14 25/15 | 5/24 6/17 6/18 6/20 | 45/17 57/16 68/16 69/8 | 55/13 57/24 58/13 |  |
| 27/22 28/3 28/5 28/7 | 15/2 17/17 24/6 24/11 | 76/9 | 58/21 61/14 64/11 |  |
| 28/10 29/24 31/2 32/9 | 27/9 28/1 29/15 30/16 | who's [5] 54/18 57/24 | 66/23 70/8 77/2 |  |
| 32/9 32/19 34/2 34/24 | 31/20 33/11 34/3 34/16 | 58/23 62/13 68/17 | Wuellner's [7] 55/22 |  |
| 35/2 37/10 39/21 43/12 | 37/21 40/4 40/18 41/19 | whoever [1] 68/4 | 59/3 59/25 66/18 76/1 |  |
| 45/10 46/16 47/18 | 42/15 43/21 45/13 46/7 | whole [3] 21/12 35/20 | /1 |  |
| 48/20 50/14 53/1 53/10 | 50/12 51/17 51/25 |  | Y |  |
| 53/11 56/1 59/1 59/22 |  |  |  |  |
| 62/4 69/18 75/19 78/16 | 64/10 69/24 73/1 73/ $74 / 8$ | why [8] 23/11 28/2 41/16 41/17 41/18 | $13 / 8 \text { 13/13 13/16 24/20 }$ |  |
| wanted [3] 10/21 14/25 |  |  |  |  |
| 21/10 | well-run [1] 6/17 | 59/12 60/11 64/3 |  |  |
| wanting [4] 38/11 | well-stated [1] 73/1 | whys [1] | 42/4 43/1 44/21 46/4 |  |
| 39/11 39/14 61/9 | went [1] 15/4 | will [38] 12/16 14/13 | 46/12 47/19 48/13 4 |  |
| wants [8] 3/19 7/4 8/7 | were [16] 16/1 18/2 | 25/4 25/18 28/2 29/24 | 49/9 52/4 63/13 67/8 |  |
| 11/16 17/3 35/8 67/13 | 18/5 21/19 32/4 41/8 | 32/17 32/18 33/3 33/7 | 71/3 71/7 73/15 73/25 |  |
| 70/17 | 51/21 54/3 56/1 56/20 | 34/22 36/12 36/18 37/4 | 75/2 76/18 77/3 77/4 |  |
| was [66] 3/17 7/9 7/11 | 56/23 58/18 58/22 62/4 | 37/5 37/22 39/25 40/1 | 77/4 77/8 77/11 79/6 |  |
| 7/13 8/21 10/7 10/25 | 68/24 78/14 | 40/4 41/2 41/5 48/8 | 79/20 |  |
| 11/12 13/25 14/5 15/20 | what [80] 4/13 6/16 | 48/21 48/23 49/10 50/8 | year [5] 13/20 17/12 |  |
| 15/21 15/22 15/24 | 7/13 10/6 11/5 11/24 | 50/16 50/16 50/19 | 17/14 18/13 18/18 |  |
| 15/25 16/2 16/4 16/13 | 12/19 13/1 15/15 17/1 | 51/12 53/16 53/17 | year's [1] 33/23 |  |
| 17/11 17/23 17/24 | 18/3 22/11 24/16 24/21 | 53/18 66/5 66/15 67/2 | years [9] 17/7 17/7 |  |
| 18/14 18/16 19/21 | 25/12 25/16 25/24 | 67/21 68/2 | 17/7 17/8 19/25 55/24 |  |
| 19/25 20/1 20/15 20/22 | 25/24 25/24 26/1 26/13 | willing [3] 8/5 12/5 | 56/5 62/19 70/18 |  |
| 21/5 21/9 21/22 21/25 | 28/5 29/15 29/16 31/14 | 70/22 | yes [42] 10/11 11/14 |  |
| 24/21 26/6 28/21 28/22 | 33/3 33/15 33/16 33/21 | winking [1] 53/20 | 14/24 24/8 29/21 34/16 |  |
| 30/21 30/23 30/24 | 35/1 35/3 35/8 37/1 | wise [1] 33/2 | 36/1 37/9 37/9 39/6 |  |
| 34/18 38/20 42/12 | 37/24 38/1 38/15 38/19 | wish [1] 65/1 | 39/17 39/20 40/12 |  |
| 45/10 48/13 49/23 51/4 | 39/21 40/1 40/19 40/22 | withholding [1] 38/7 | 40/15 40/16 42/19 44/8 |  |

