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CHAIRMAN CLARKE: It's 4:00. Call the meeting to order. Should we say the Pledge of Allegiance? MR. TOPP: Yep. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Absolutely. (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Thank you for coming. For the record, this is a workshop meeting of the St. Johns County Airport Authority Board.

Should we do a roll call for the record? MR. TOPP: Sure. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Ms. Cash-Chapman? MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Here. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Clarke? I'm here, present. Ms. Liotta? MS. LIOTTA: Here. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Ms. Ludlow? MS. LUDLOW: Here. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mr. Olson? MR. OLSON: Here. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: And with us from management, Mr. Topp, Executive Director; Mr. Pittman, Director of Operations; Mr. Blocker, General Counsel; Mr. Roberts, Aviation Attorney; Court Reporter -COURT REPORTER: Melissa Schroeder.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- Melissa, is here.
All right. Thank you. I assume everyone has the agenda. And, Mr. Topp, the room is yours.

## COMPASS POINT PROGRESS

MR. TOPP: All right. Well, the Compass Point progress, I guess, have we gotten any further with going over a lease with them? Did they bring you anything?

MR. ROBERTS: They have -- they have put us in touch with their legal team, and they're still doing a lot of work on their own. And on a parallel track, they've opened a dialogue with the airport's legal team. And it's moving along.

MR. TOPP: Great. And then for Mr. Gorman, who's not here, they're doing a -- and I didn't know this, but I guess most developers do a tree overlay. You probably have heard that before, right? Just where the trees are right now and where we're going to put the -- the site plan for the trees and blah, blah, blah. So we'll have that here sometime this week. And they're getting that done.

MS. LIOTTA: I guess I have a question. Like, you know, sounds like they're doing development work, like, you know, engineering, tree overlays,
things like that. Does that mean that the business terms have already been decided, like, you know, what --

MR. TOPP: No.
MS. LIOTTA: So they're spending all this
money on engineering things when --
MR. TOPP: The tree overlay is -- the only thing they're doing engineering-wise, Ms. Liotta, is the -- Mr. Gorman has been pounding on me about the trees. So they're doing me a favor of doing a tree overlay. MS. LUDLOW: Gorman. MR. TOPP: Gorman, Mr. Gorman. MS. LUDLOW: He's a tree hugger. MR. TOPP: Yes. MR. OLSON: I have, also, a couple of questions. Why isn't the airport initiating the lease?

MR. ROBERTS: The lease itself?
MR. OLSON: Yes.
MR. ROBERTS: They're -- we will drive the lease. We will drive the lease. MR. OLSON: Okay. And I guess the other thing is -- two things: I think this board should be able to see and talk with counsel about business

```
    terms --
```

MR. ROBERTS: Absolutely.
MR. OLSON: -- before we see a full agreement and -- and that be done in a way that we can discuss the business terms with you.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
MR. OLSON: And, you know, you could get concurrence about the Authority's position on the business terms.

MR. BLOCKER: Absolutely. And if I could just answer that, Mr. Olson. I think you're 100 percent right. One of things that we're going to do kind of going forward is -- you know, the board has a very -- y'all have a very important role as the trustees in overseeing this process, so -- and y'all have a finite resource here, which is land. So we're going to make sure as we go through -- so this deal's not finalized. You know, the developer can do what they want to do, but -- you know, whatever expenditures they want to make, but this has not received a final approval from y'all of the board. The only people that can approve this are y'all.

So we're going to continue to work. And I'll let -- Mrs. Liotta -- Board Member Liotta is going
to address this later, but we're going to look at our policies of how we're doing this and making sure that we're protecting the airport's resources, so we're not just agreeing to everything.

So we have a lot of work to do on this project. We appreciate that there are developers that are interested and working with us and partnering with us, but this is far from a done deal. We need a lot of input from y'all as board members in going through this. So we're going to make sure that kind of going forward we have a fully developed and really have outlined the roles and responsibilities for the staff as well and to make sure -- you know, this is a board-driven responsibility. Y'all are the elected officials elected by the public.

So we'll absolutely work on that and -- and I only mention this because, you know, again, Mrs. Liotta and I were talking from the policy standpoint, we're going to bring -- you know, and y'all have directed us to kind of look at our land lease policy and develop a better policy and really kind of outline what role and responsibility the staff has.

You know, as you think about it, y'all really,
as we stand now, do not have, you know, clear guidance for Mr. Topp as executive director. You know, there's no clear job description, outline of what his roles and responsibilities are. And he's doing a great job, he's stepping into a difficult role, but we're going to define that a little bit better.

And, you know, in the interest of trying to move things forward, you know, we've kind of jumped at opportunities, but we're going to slow the role a little bit. We're going to make sure we go through the process correctly. We want the board members involved. We want y'all asking questions. There's no rush here. When you have a developer telling you they need to rush, they're -- they're not looking out for your interest. So I -- I assure you of that.

So we're going to work -- Mr. Topp and I have talked about this. We're going to slow this down. We're going to make sure we have legal counsel that are present. We do need Mr . Roberts involved, and I've asked him to be involved, because there's always an aviation component to all of this, you know, because y'all are the airport. If this was any other government entity, it would be a pure
real estate discussion. But because it's -- FAA, you know, has oversight. So I only say this and take the time to say this, and I'm glad this is the first thing on the agenda, because we do need to vet this more and we need to go through it. And I think it's great they're doing a tree survey, but we're not paying for that. They want to spend the money to do that, that's on them. But we do need y'all's input as board members. And you all are going to be involved in this process to a point. So I want to assure you of that.

Are there any questions on that from anybody? CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No. MR. OLSON: No. I just have one more information thing. Board members received a -- I don't know if you've had a chance to -- anyone else had a chance to look at it, but there's a group interested in adopting the two historic buildings on the site. It's the nonprofit that has -- is implementing a main street program, which is a statewide program from main street revivals of small towns and in Hastings. And so they're very interested in adopting and preserving the two historic railway buildings that sit on the

10 acres.
So they've submitted -- they sent a letter to -- I suggested -- I was approached, because I also sit on the Cultural Resources Review Board for the county. I suggested they send a letter just expressing their interest to the board -- to the full board. And so that's another thing that could be an opportunity because we wouldn't know where that stuff would go. Presumably, it would be the responsibility of the developer to make those arrangements with them. But, anyway, I just point that out.

MR. BLOCKER: Mr. Olson, if you would -Mr. Chair, if we could, since this is more of a workshop and things are a little bit more informal, I appreciate y'all being willing to kind of go with this process so we can -- you know, part of the goal is, in this workshop, to bring up things that y'all are interested in discussing in February.

So, Mr. Olson, what we could do is, if there -- Mr. Chair, if you'll allow me to kind of take some leeway here, if this is something the board believes is a worthy idea, if we could get some consensus, this is something we could put on the agenda in February to get board approval to
direct staff to look into further and see if -- is this something that you'd be interested in having on the agenda, Mr . Olson?

MR. OLSON: Well, I mean, it's a need that we'll have to -- where it's facing us --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I just have one question.
Can I ask it?
MR. OLSON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Will they -- will they
remove the building to -- and relocate it to
Hastings, or do they develop it --
MR. OLSON: They want to have discussions with the Authority on the arrangements.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Oh.
MR. OLSON: So that's unclear at this point.
MR. TOPP: Can $I$ say one thing? In talking to the development company, they had mentioned that that was something they were aware of and that they're definitely interested in helping any way they can.

Originally, they were thinking of making that railway station --

MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MR. TOPP: -- or the part that goes east and west into a restaurant, right? But -- and do they
want both buildings, or do they want the railway station?

MR. OLSON: That's my understanding. They
want the freight and the passenger -- both -neither building -- I mean, the two buildings are about 20 years apart in their built time.

MR. TOPP: Yeah.
MR. OLSON: The one is not a Flagler or FEC building. It was built in downtown. I don't think it was a Flagler building with the house -MR. TOPP: The one with the chimney and the safe?

MR. OLSON: There's a passenger thing for the train that went across the bay to Anastasia from downtown. And it operated for only about ten years until the Bridge of Lions was built. So it was built around 1920.

MR. TOPP: Interesting.
MR. OLSON: But the other one is earlier. But I guess I assume -- does the airport own these two buildings?

MR. TOPP: Yeah, we own the property. MR. ROBERTS: Where are they? MR. OLSON: On US-1. You can't miss them. You go by them every day.

MS. LUDLOW: The blue roof. The one that has the blue tarpaulin on it coming this way.

MR. TOPP: The one that leaks like a sieve so it's got a blue tarp on it.

MS. LUDLOW: It's a big, long building.
MR. TOPP: It's where the Civil Air Patrol is right now.

MR. OLSON: Yeah, one of them is occupied by Civil Air Patrol.

MS. LUDLOW: Yeah, they do have a sign there. MR. OLSON: I mean, I don't know if there's an obligation or a lease that has to be -MS. LIOTTA: I think so. MS. LUDLOW: Well, I -- we talked about that when Bruce Maguire was chairman, and he was very interested in trying to put that up for sale. And he was hoping that the -- not the trailway, the -what's the big -- the thing that's going to run down -- the railway and they -- you know, that they --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Commuter rail?
MS. LUDLOW: Right.
MR. TOPP: Brightline? Brightline?
MS. LUDLOW: No. No, just the --
MR. OLSON: Commuter rail.

MS. LUDLOW: -- the rail thing. That -- you know, that $S t$. Augustine might want it so that they could restore it and use it, you know. So I really don't think that big building is worth moving, you know, right now.

MR. TOPP: The one that CAP is in?
MS. LUDLOW: CAP is in it, and they're begging for a roof. That's why they have so many -- that blue tarpaulin on the top, because it leaks.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Can we find an alternative space for them?

MS. LUDLOW: Pardon me?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: How much space does CAP
need?
MS. LUDLOW: In the -- I don't know.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Why don't we find that out and try to find an alternative for them so we can move those buildings --

MS. LUDLOW: Right.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- before they disintegrate more?

MS. LUDLOW: Surely, Bob -- can I say Bob? -they wouldn't us to gift this to them.

MR. OLSON: They want to have discussions about how that might be. I think if they were --
if it were possible to -- for them to adopt the buildings, they would incorporate -- my understanding is they would incorporate them into the downtown Hastings. There's some vacant land along the main street between some of the buildings that are being renovated now.

MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh.
MR. OLSON: So -- and they also think there's opportunity for state historic preservation grants to help with the stabilization and leave some buildings. So that's -- that's about all I know. It was -- pretty much everything I know was put in that letter to the board that the board has seen.

MS. LUDLOW: Right. Right.
MR. BLOCKER: So just so we can kind of guide this, so when these things come up, if this is a potential item that we want discussed -- because we would need more approval to basically direct staff to go any further. So is this something there's consensus from the board to put on the agenda in February? Is there a consensus?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: (Nods head.)
MS. LIOTTA: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I think so.
MR. BLOCKER: So, Mr. Chair, we would just
direct you -- so we can develop some muscle memory here, we would just direct -- you would just direct Mr. Topp to go ahead and put this on the agenda, there's consensus -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. MR. BLOCKER: -- for the agenda in February. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Maybe we could hear from a representative. Could they attend the meeting and give us a -MR. OLSON: I'm sure they would be happy to have a representative here at the meeting. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. MR. TOPP: Did they copy me on that letter? MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Yeah, did you get that e-mail, or do you want one of us to send it to you? MS. LUDLOW: It's right here. I was passing it around. MR. TOPP: See if I was copied on it, would you? MR. OLSON: Their executive director is Sallie O'Hara from that group. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I can forward it to you right now. MR. TOPP: Thank you. MS. LIOTTA: It's not that hard.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, let's put it on the agenda. The sooner we can decide what to do with it, the better, I think.

MR. TOPP: All right. And then the other -the only last thing I have on that is -- or do you think that there's something that the board will need to address as far as the business terms and things like that with Compass Point by then -- by February?

MR. BLOCKER: So let's -- so we can -- we can -- what we could do is put it on the agenda if the board -- we can put it as just a -- just an update to the board of what our status is. We can do that --

MR. TOPP: Okay.
MR. BLOCKER: -- since this is a large project.

What I would recommend is just putting it as an update. We can circle up with them, see their -- this is going -- if the board moves forward long -- this is going to be a long-term project, so we'll need probably monthly updates. So I would recommend, if the Chairman agrees and the board, is just putting this as just a status update where you, as executive director, would
update. And obviously we'll get together with you beforehand.

MR. TOPP: Sure.
MR. BLOCKER: But I think we're a while -- I don't want to say way off. I think we're a little bit off from a finalized agreement. I think they still have to do some -- they're in a due diligence period with investors as well. So we have some time. But we can build in an update as well.

Does that work, Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah. I believe so, yep. MR. OLSON: Do we have an appraisal on the site? That's something that we need to do, right? Do we have an appraisal on the 10 acres?

MR. BLOCKER: I have not seen an appraisal.
MR. TOPP: I have not seen an appraisal since I've been here. I can get back and talk to Pacetti.

MR. OLSON: I mean, I believe an appraisal is necessary for us to be able to be confident that we're at least, at the minimum, going into the deal at fair market value.

MS. LUDLOW: I think --
MR. BLOCKER: Well, we can ask them for -- we can --

MS. LUDLOW: They're supposed --
MR. BLOCKER: We can ask them to do an appraisal or, you know -- in other words, we can -you know, we want them to pay for it as opposed to -- you know, I'm -- it's up to the board, but my recommendation would be to put some of these requirements on them so they are --

MR. OLSON: Well, the requirements -- I mean, it could be part of the transaction costs, but I'm uncomfortable with the proponent going out and hiring an appraiser.

MR. BLOCKER: Well, we can hire the -- we can identify the appraiser, then have them pay for it, essentially.

MR. OLSON: Okay. And it would be an MAI appraiser?

MR. BLOCKER: Correct. Yes, sir. So they would pay for the cost of the basic appraisal.

MR. OLSON: Sounds good. Okay.
MR. BLOCKER: So we can coordinate that and work that out with them. That's a reasonable due diligence, because we're still doing our due diligence as well.

MS. LIOTTA: Now, typically with these sorts of deals -- I'm just, you know -- if we do, you
know -- I'm very supportive of having the proposed tenant take on these initial costs and if we end up not coming to terms because they -- they won't agree to something that's market, they just -- you know, they're out that.

If they -- we are able to come to terms, typically is the expectation going to be that those forward paid costs then get sort of -- they get rent abatement or something like so that if we -if they do a deal? Or is it just like a sunk cost?

MR. BLOCKER: I would frame it as that these are costs that they just -- is a part of doing business -- you know, this is part of cost of doing business.

We can -- if the board is interested in
framing it a certain way where we incentivize them, but, you know, my thoughts on this as your counsel -- and Mr. Roberts may disagree, but I think we're in agreement on this -- they're coming to the Airport Authority seeking to develop this.

MS. LIOTTA: Right.
MR. BLOCKER: They're going to be -- if it's a successful plan, they're going to make a lot of money off this. That's great. We want them to be successful. We want our tenants to be successful.

But they're not constrained by the same restraints that we are with government. So some of this -you know, these are reasonable due diligences. They're doing their due diligence; we're doing our due diligence. So I think these are reasonable costs that I would hope they would not expect any type of whatever.

But we can approach them. I'll get with
Mr. Topp and we could ask them as part of it to do an appraisal. And that's a fairly reasonable -it's not expensive. And we can select -- there are a number of appraisers that we can select that work in government that we can recommend and just ask them to pay for that service, so -Jaime, does that make sense? MR. TOPP: Huh?

MR. BLOCKER: Chad, do you agree?
MS. LUDLOW: And that will be our time
guideline too.
MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. You're talking about
Compass Point or this --
MR. BLOCKER: Talking about Compass Point. MR. TOPP: Yeah, I agree.

MR. BLOCKER: Okay. Perfect. So we'll work on that. So maybe that can be the update in

February, Jaime, is just kind of where we are with the appraisal.

MR. TOPP: Yep.
MR. BLOCKER: And also kind of maybe get -- we can get a list from them of kind of what their -what their due diligence -- where they're at in their due diligence process and where we are in our due diligence process. So...

MS. LIOTTA: Is there -- another question, I guess. In previous meetings, there's been a lot of mention from -- from Jaime, you mentioned like they -- the Florida Airport Association --

MR. TOPP: Florida Airport Council?
MS. LIOTTA: Right.
MR. TOPP: Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: I was just wondering if there's a resource such as that, if they do any kind of benchmarking. Because we want to make sure that we're getting market rates on -- I don't know if "concession" is the right word --

MR. TOPP: Good point. Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: -- but whatever the actual
commercial terms are -- you know, we don't do this every day.

MR. BLOCKER: Absolutely.

MS. LIOTTA: So like what is the market right now for these kind of deals.

MR. TOPP: I know that the Jax Airport has built a bunch of hotels and things like that around it.

MR. BLOCKER: For sure.
MR. TOPP: So we could probably get an --
MS. LIOTTA: Get some comps.
MR. BLOCKER: For sure.
MR. TOPP: I mean, I've talked with the -- my counterpart at Jax. So...

MR. BLOCKER: And we can kind of vet. And I think Compass -- the developers from Compass Point have done some stuff at some other -- so we can reach out and make sure of the terms. But there's -- you know, this -- the good thing about this project is this is not outside the norm. This is fairly, you know -- so we can make sure that we're following the right terms and everything. And, you know, one of the good things about this industry is we're able to look at other examples and to offer them that. So we'll work -- and I know Jaime's been leaning forward on that and has already reached out to them on that. So we'll keep doing that. So...

MR. OLSON: Jaime, Boca Airport gets most of its revenue from strip commercial land also along a busy road.

MR. TOPP: Jax, Boca.
MR. ROBERTS: Boca Raton?
MR. OLSON: Yeah. They live off of that.
MR. BLOCKER: And I would encourage y'all, too, as board members to reach out to y'all's counterparts, if you think it's worth it, you know, on some of these other -- and maybe ask -- is y'all do your own -- y'all as a board vet individually, you know, reach out to counterparts on some of these different boards and ask them some of their experiences.

MS. LIOTTA: Can we get a list of the -- as you said, the Compass -- that this group has done?

MR. BLOCKER: Absolutely. They mentioned in their presentation they had done some other aviation. We can ask them for that. MR. TOPP: Sure. MR. BLOCKER: I don't know where -- I don't -MS. LIOTTA: Because it might be good to just call up the --

MR. BLOCKER: Sure. Absolutely.
MS. LIOTTA: -- the airport -- those airports,
see how it's gone with those relationships.
MR. TOPP: Yeah, it's Joyce -- Compass is the name of this project. So it's Joyce Development. MS. LIOTTA: Joyce. Right, right. MR. OLSON: Yeah, I couldn't -- I went online and I couldn't find any airport-related. They're opening a -- sort of a boutique-looking hotel in -somewhere in the Jacksonville area. That's about to open, I think.

MS. LUDLOW: So I --
MR. TOPP: I'll find out.
MR. BLOCKER: Even if it's not aviation, maybe we could get a list of the regional impacts, and it will give the board members -MR. TOPP: Absolutely. MR. BLOCKER: -- an opportunity -MS. LUDLOW: So you are tasked with getting in touch with them and having them do a presentation or whatever that they want to do first.

MR. TOPP: Having Joyce do a presentation again?

MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh. MR. TOPP: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Just one comment on the historic building. Make sure that we approach

Civil Air Patrol and get their requirements -MR. TOPP: Yeah. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- so that we can find an alternative for them. MS. LUDLOW: They are looking. I mean, they have gone to everybody looking for a place. They wanted us to have our board meeting over there just so the board members could see what shape the building was in, you know, because it's going to fall down and they don't have a place to have their meetings.

MR. OLSON: Is it in really bad shape? I was
in it two years ago. It seemed to be fine.
MR. TOPP: It's bad. It's leaking.
MS. LUDLOW: Bad shape.
MR. OLSON: Oh, the roof? Well, yeah, roofs always need to have repairs. MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh. MR. TOPP: But, you know, when it leaks, then you've got some -- a breakdown. It's a wood structure, so eventually it's going to rot out. Maybe the termites are just holding hands and it's holding it together. MS. LUDLOW: Right. Uh-huh. MR. OLSON: It's held up pretty well, because

I think it dates back to around 1900 .
MR. TOPP: Wow.
MS. LUDLOW: I didn't know that was part of --
I knew the depot was, but I didn't know the long building was part of it.

MR. OLSON: The depot is newer -MS. LUDLOW: Yes.

MR. OLSON: -- and had a different purpose and a different -- than the freight building. The freight building is standard FEC detailing that they put on all their train depots up and down the East Coast of Florida. There's one virtually identical to it that's not standing anymore that was at New Smyrna Beach. And I'm not sure where this one came from.

MS. LUDLOW: I agree. Then we'll find out. MR. TOPP: That's right. MS. LUDLOW: Uh-huh. SOLANO HANGAR PROJECT CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Solano Hangar Project. MR. TOPP: Solano is really not anything further than we're following up on that. We -Courtney and I met with some of the folks -- the engineering group that have been talking to us. A funny side note, that the engineering
company -- I took it out of my pocket -- is -- it's on my desk -- Matthews. I met the gentleman that is Matthews last Monday morning --

MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MR. TOPP: -- or last Wednesday morning, a nice gentleman, and just as a by-product when $I$ was flying out of here Wednesday. But basically we're just making sure that we get an elevation from them so that we can see what the front's going to look like and make sure that it meets our requirements to match what's there. And then as -- I mentioned the last time I was here when we talked about this was we're still telling them "Before we can approve anything, you've got to go and take it to the St. Johns County Permit Department and make sure that they're okay with it. And the fire and all the things that they have to do, they're good with it."

The one thing I was told the other day was they did figure out that it wasn't a good idea to have the hangar open on to Taxiway Foxtrot because then what's going to happen when the Cirrus jets are coming out or Cirruses come out or, you know, fliers, you know, that kind of thing? So I guess there's going to be a side, the way it's going to be, or a U-shaped thing. We'll see shortly. So
that's -- that's really the only update there.
MR. OLSON: Jaime, do we know how much of that hangar space is going to be needed to be -- is going to be taken up by Solano and how much would be -- you know, we're hangar deficient --

MR. TOPP: Uh-huh.
MR. OLSON: -- would be able to be promoted as available to, I don't know, people that generally --

MS. LIOTTA: If it's a land lease, it will be his hangar. He'll get to decide who's in there.

MR. OLSON: Yes, but --
MR. TOPP: His jet is going to take up a good bit of it and then I would imagine a couple of his --

MS. LUDLOW: All of his planes.
MR. OLSON: So he's not going to be offering --

MR. TOPP: No.
MR. OLSON: -- hangar space to anyone other than --

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I think it's going to be private corporate, right?

MR. TOPP: Yeah, it's corporate. But I think what's going to end up happening, and I need to get
a reading from him on this, is he going to vacate some of his other --

MS. LIOTTA: I thought we were making that a requirement until we --

MR. TOPP: Right.
MS. LIOTTA: Yeah.
MR. TOPP: So he's vacating where some of his other --

MR. OLSON: So it's got that secondary benefit, but there's nothing that we can -MR. TOPP: No. MR. OLSON: -- say is increased? MR. TOPP: He's going to fill it up with his toys.

MR. OLSON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Maybe we can move the CAP into one of his vacated hangars. MR. TOPP: Or maybe that office that he's in. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep.

MR. ROBERTS: And the only thing I would add to that is that on a parallel track, while they're working all these plan details, his counsel essentially is going to get the Volato contract minus a lot of terms that were unique to the Volato thing. So we were going to make that -- that a
template -- we're going to try to make the template for land lease development agreements going forward. So that's --

MR. OLSON: Not the Volato lease.
MR. ROBERTS: Not specifically the Volato
lease, because there are a lot of things in that lease that were unique to that.

MR. OLSON: Yes, very much so.
MR. ROBERTS: But most of the boilerplate general relationship language --

MR. OLSON: Well, we have a lease with Solano, don't we?

MR. ROBERTS: We do not. Well, he might have T-hangar lease or things like that, but --

MR. OLSON: So we have not -- this has not been put into a lease either; is that right?

MR. ROBERTS: That has not been put into a lease --

MR. TOPP: No.
MR. ROBERTS: -- because this is a -- that's a -- that's a development lease. So it's -- right now it's dirt. So there's an agreement about how they're going to transition from dirt to structure and then the rest of the term on that as well. MR. OLSON: So we don't have business -- we
don't have all of the business terms solidified?
MR. TOPP: No. He's agreed to the -remember, we did an appraisal on it, and he's agreed to the cost per square foot for the dirt.

MR. OLSON: Yeah, but -- given that you -- the Volato lease was mentioned, there's a lot in that that is beyond --

MR. TOPP: Yeah, I don't think the -- some of the terms that are in the Volato lease will have anything to do with this.

MR. ROBERTS: My point is I want you to see it in plenty of time for you to comment about it.

MR. BLOCKER: Well, and just -- if I can just weigh in here as well, so we're going to be bringing a new lease and a new policy -- a land lease policy to the board for approval. So Mrs. Liotta will be talking about that, but we're going to be providing y'all with kind of some updated language and approval. That way y'all as the custodians and the trustees can have, you know, more input and all that.

So I don't want to steal your -- I don't know if you want to go ahead and address that now or -MS. LIOTTA: Well, yeah, I mean, there's not like a lot of detail to talk about, but I think the
idea when I was talking with Mr. Blocker was just start fresh essentially, not, like, try to worry about redline what's there, but -- and we'll reference some other -- look at some other airports, you know, kind of -- but the idea basically being the -- I think the system that we have now -- and it's not bad in that conceptionally the idea being for a lease to first come to the board for like, hey, does this meet a threshold? And then if it does, it goes to staff.

Because what I don't want to see happen, like I -- I feel that as a board member I want to zealously protect and guard the time of our staff. I don't -- you know, they've got to worry about operations and safety and dealing with the tenants that we have. You know, having them be inundated with developers who want to talk about, Well, what about this 5 acres or what about that 1,000 acres or whatever it may be is a massive distraction. I think that the way to do it is basically what we have now but make it much more clearer, you know: Bring your proposals for board consideration, have a little bit more policy statement in that policy about what those thresholds should take into account, you know, at a
high enough level, like just give some guidance, like, you know, we care about is it consistent with our master plan? Is it -- you know, so when someone wants to bring a proposal, they've got some guidance when they look at that, that if you're going to -- if you want to come to the board and have something looked at, you know, here's the things that you're going to get graded on high level. And then if you meet that, then, you know, you can have some of staff's time.

So that's kind of the approach. And then, you know, the -- that, you know, is thinking about, and then of course the actual language of that would come to this board for further review, discussion, and ultimate approval.

MR. OLSON: Okay. So you're taking that task
up as part of -- as the -MS. LIOTTA: Yeah.

MR. OLSON: -- the policy -MS. LIOTTA: Yeah.

MR. OLSON: Great. I just want to make sure you give attention to Priority 3 under "Strategic Action." In our approved strategic plan, it call -- the plan is to competitively bid new leases.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, that may be something where we -- it's going to be a little bit different. We've got -- a lease is not like something that's going to be necessarily an apples-to-apples thing. You might have -- and that might be something where we want to discuss, Hey, do we only review these on like, say, a quarterly basis? So you can get -like, you know, if you've got like -- it's hard to compete when you get one person who has an idea, right? So -- and then if he's like, Oh, well, you came up with an idea, now we're going to have to stop you and then -- then go bid it out. And maybe -- maybe what --

MR. OLSON: But doesn't it start with land that's declared by the airport to be available? Doesn't it start with that? I mean, a site is identified such as --

MS. LIOTTA: Well, we may run into FAA problems if we say that our land is just not available.

MR. OLSON: No, no. No, we -- we need to.
MS. LIOTTA: So isn't it, in some sense, all available all the time? We just have to have a policy --

MR. OLSON: Not necessarily run into problems
with FAA. We don't know that. Because some land that we have made available is clearly not development ready yet. And FAA, they understand that. They provide grants to help with that.

I don't want to belabor this. I respect the board's decision. I mean, I understand the board decided at our last meeting to not competitively bid the commercial frontage on the airport. I just say that this plan, two components of it emphasized going forward for financial strength of the airport to competitively bid leases. That's all I'm going to say.

MR. BLOCKER: If I could just briefly, and I appreciate you bringing that up, Mr. Olson, just to kind of highlight something. So the current policy, I think everyone agrees, has to be updated. We have to update it. We have to make some changes. And some of the things that we went over -- because the current, you know, really -really to identify a broad -- and this is just a discussion right now. We don't have a final product to bring. And y'all are going to have to approve it. And we need y'all's input. This is important. But really have a broad policy statement that can talk about, A, what y'all are
looking for as the trustees of the airport that the voters have entrusted y'all with, with the mission of guarding the resources is, you know, identify, you know, is there a highest and best use? Is it in the public interest? Does it reconcile with the strategic plan, you know, this proposal? Is it consistent with the development plan? Is it a hodgepodge development or is it -- that way, there will be different measures that will be in a broad kind of policy statement. So when y'all sit back when these leases or these opportunities come, y'all will evaluate as a board through those lens, you know: Is it the highest and best use for the particular parcel? Is it consistent with the strategic plan? Is it -- you know, is the development -- you know, are we just developing all the frontage and then, you know, the land -MS. LIOTTA: All the interior is wasted. MR. BLOCKER: -- interior is wasted? So we can craft something that's easier for y'all to work with. And this is where we need Mister, you know, Roberts' guidance. Because you all have the extra layer of the FAA. You know, this is not a normal government entity where we can just, you know, enter into these agreements. We've
got a federal entity that oversees, you know, what we do and can overrule it to a point. So I think -- I think we can really craft something that's good.

Also, you know, if -- Ms. Liotta, is it okay
if I mention some of the other, you know -MS. LIOTTA: Yeah. Go ahead. MR. BLOCKER: One of the other things, too,

Mr. Chair, you know, we want to identify -- you know, kind of streamline the process a little bit so the board has a clear understanding of your role as to what's coming here. And, you know, this can -- this can bleed into other resources as well, you know, what we're putting into this -- we're 90 days before the expiration of these leases or agreements that, you know, a trigger or something where it comes before the board where the board can look at it and review it.

What I've seen is the best practice that works the best is you have one person on staff that reviews these, these potential, that vets them, then it goes to the legal team to kind of do some vetting, then it goes to the executive director. That way his time is not being tied up with every time there's an opportunity, let me call the
executive director, run ideas by him. That way there's a little bit of a vetting process that goes in with these before it even reaches the board. That way, when it comes to y'all, you know, we've kind of already done, you know, is it the highest and best use? Does it meet with our strategic plan?

Some of this is subjective. I mean, at the end of the day, it's going to come to y'all as a board. But, again, we can craft something that works with y'all and you all can adopt it and really kind of put your own flavor on it.

Does that make sense?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Perfect.
MR. TOPP: Love it.
MS. LIOTTA: And I don't think that has to be exclusionary of competitive bidding. So, I mean, I don't think it's an either/or.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Is there any --
any more discussion on the Solano hangar project?
MR. TOPP: No.
T-HANGAR UPDATE
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: How about the T-hangar -MR. TOPP: T-hangar update, there's really nothing that's changed. I just wanted to let you
know that it's in -- you know, it's in process, that the engineering, you know, the final -80 percent finished right now and the balance should be done in March as far as the -- what you always talk about, the engineering --

MR. OLSON: The bidding date is in March. How long will the bids be out?

MR. TOPP: How long -- I'm sorry?
MR. OLSON: We're calling for bids in March. How long --

MR. TOPP: That's my understanding, sometime in March.

MR. OLSON: When will we -- when will the opening be?

MR. TOPP: In March.
MR. OLSON: In March also?
MR. TOPP: They should be in March. Unless something weird happens, that should be --

MR. OLSON: Oh.
MR. TOPP: Because they're at 80 percent right now and they'll finish it up here --

MR. OLSON: Usually there's a period of time -- there's an official bid announcement. There's a pre-bid conference where all the bidders come in.

MR. TOPP: What I understand is that we're at 80 percent complete to where they need to be to get to the point of starting to put together whatever the next step is.

MR. ROBERTS: It's steps, I think.
MR. TOPP: Yeah, it's steps.
MR. ROBERTS: But I think you're right. I think the target they're marching towards is the publication of the bid specs that triggers that --

MR. OLSON: Okay. And then when the bids come in, this board has to make some decisions because of the alternates --

MR. TOPP: It's going to be a lot of work.
And we've got the money. And I'm getting pounding on by the FAA "When are you going to start building?"

In fact, by the way, I didn't put this in here, but --

MR. OLSON: The decisions about alternates have financial implications to the Authority.

MR. TOPP: Right. The FAA will be here tomorrow.

What time was it? 10:00?
MR. PITTMAN: 10:00.
MR. TOPP: 10:00. It's just -- I've never met

Kyle in person. So he's coming down from Jacksonville. And he's the fellow that sends us all the grants. And he's just going to go look at the fence project and whatever else, just "Hi, how's everything going?" And that's it. MR. ROBERTS: Is he DOT or FAA? MR. TOPP: Say again? MR. ROBERTS: Is he DOT or FAA? MR. TOPP: No, he's FAA. MR. ROBERTS: FAA. Okay. MR. BLOCKER: And just to clarify, Jaime, so Mr. Pittman's going to be the compliance officer with FAA going -- is that correct? MR. TOPP: Yes. MR. BLOCKER: Is that your -MR. TOPP: Yes. MR. BLOCKER: Okay. Good. Not to spring that on you. MR. PITTMAN: No, you're good. MR. BLOCKER: But, again, it's important to get some of this, so you can focus on the executive director issues. MR. TOPP: Yep. MR. PITTMAN: Yes, sir. MR. TOPP: He'll be driving around with him.

MR. BLOCKER: Okay. Good deal. Good deal. Thank you.

MR. TOPP: And -- so I can -- by February, I should have a better update for you, but I just wanted to give you that. I just thought about this off the top of my head, so if anything came up for February, we would have talked about it.

The next thing I have in there, unless somebody has anything else on the T-hangars --

MS. LIOTTA: No. I mean, I have thoughts on the T-hangar wait list policy, but it's -- you know, I think that because these new-coming hangars is going to spur more interest in the wait list policy and $I$ think right now it's got some problems, but $I$ don't want to drive us off course if we've got other things to talk about.

MR. TOPP: Okay. Well, we can talk -- Dennis and I have worked on that quite a bit, so we can talk about that offline of this if you'd like.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I'd be curious about the thoughts that, you know, other members have as well.

MR. OLSON: Yeah, I would too. So could you put than on the agenda --

MR. TOPP: Sure.

MR. OLSON: -- for February?
MS. LUDLOW: Also, I thought --
MR. TOPP: The waiting list policy?
MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MR. TOPP: Sure. Absolutely.
MS. LUDLOW: Also, I thought we were
getting -- we had set up to get a wait list at every meeting.

MR. OLSON: You mean an updated wait list?
MS. LUDLOW: An updated wait list.
MR. OLSON: I assume the office just keeps that updated all the time. If someone gets on --

MS. LUDLOW: But it could be supplied at our meeting, yes.

MR. OLSON: Oh.
MS. LUDLOW: Yes. Like along --
MR. TOPP: So you want us to start supplying
it? We can do that.
MS. LIOTTA: I think the bigger problem is that there's probably a lot of junk in that list and it's a matter of cleaning it up.

MR. TOPP: Can we talk about one little quick thing about that? In a conversation, I'm trying to remember where I heard it from -- was it you, Dennis, or --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: About taking deposits?
MR. TOPP: About the lady over in Lakeland that you talked to and that when they went out and said -- yeah, about taking deposits. They had like 250 people on the waiting list. And they said, "All right. Well, we want one month's deposit." And they ended up with ten.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, exactly.
MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: I think that that's the exact issue that $I$ have with the wait list, is that it's zero skin in the game for someone to just put their name on there and walk away. Maybe they've moved to Minnesota. I don't know. I mean, who knows what's on that list.

MR. TOPP: Maybe they don't even have an airplane yet, which $I$ get it. They can't fly an airplane until they -MS. LIOTTA: I mean, if they -- as long as they show up on their date, I mean, I don't think they -- that requirement, I'm not too interested -you know, don't care so much about. But the fact that people can just put their name on the list and then forget it, I think it sends -- it's less useful for the airport and it discourages people
who might actually be real bona fide users of the airport --

MR. TOPP: I agree.
MS. LIOTTA: -- that they're ready, willing, and able to take that hangar because they're five years away and there -- who knows if any of that's real.

MR. TOPP: And how many other airports have they put their name on the list on. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Or how many other lists at our airport have they put their name on. Because remember last year, I was going through and meeting with a lot of people that were on our corporate hangar list, and they were all -- they were on all of our lists because they said, "I want anything. I'll take anything," whatever that means. MR. TOPP: We got that cleaned up because actually Mr. Clarke actually called everybody on the list and got almost everybody. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right, but that's what I'm saying. So there -- there's a lot of things that have kind of been stagnant for so long. MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, but someone shouldn't be on the corporate list if they're not a bona fide corporate user.

MR. TOPP: All right. I think we might want to work on getting a little policy about a deposit. Is a month right or is it 50 percent?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We're not ready for that. MS. LIOTTA: I just think there needs to be some -- something.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Let me tell you what some of the others -- I guess we might as well talk about some of the other things that we found having worked. First thing we need to do is get the T-hangar leases standardized. You know, that is a problem right now. We have different versions of them. They start at different times. The CPI adjustment is -- I don't believe it's being properly administered. And so we need to get that fixed first.

The idea about collecting a deposit, I agree with that 100 percent, but until we fix the front end, what happens when we collect a deposit? That becomes a liability of the airport. It's going to earn interest. It's going to sit in a bank account.

MS. LIOTTA: You don't have to give people interest. You can say no interest bearing. We do it all the time.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. Well, then that would be another research. But I was under the impression it would have to be -- it would have to be providing interest. And it gets deposited into a bank, and then the bank becomes the trustee of the -- every individual that has $\$ 200$ on deposit is a beneficiary.

MS. LIOTTA: If you just collect it as a fee, I don't know that you have to put it -- if you call it a fee to get on the waiting list and then just have another policy that says you'll get a credit equal to the fee when you sign a lease --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: There you go.
MS. LIOTTA: -- right, you don't have to -you don't have to keep it as deposit money. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: So then it becomes revenue? So it doesn't -MR. ROBERTS: It becomes revenue. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. So it becomes revenue instead of a liability. That's another research that we would have to clarify. MS. LIOTTA: Right. And then they just have a contract, right, that if they do take the hangar, then they get it back in the form of a -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.

MS. LIOTTA: -- of a credit.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, that makes sense. MR. OLSON: Sounds good. And these are -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: But we still -- we still need to fix the $T$-hangar leases we're talking -MR. OLSON: These are all one-year leases, correct?

MR. ROBERTS: Generally, yes.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah, generally. Yeah, generally. MR. OLSON: So it ought to be easy to cycle into a standardized lease. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, you would think so and we're working on that, but, you know, it's going to take another couple of months to do that because of there -- there's some underlying administrative problems with the way it's handled in the bookkeeping system, so -- it's nothing that's fatal, it can be fixed, and -- but it's just going to take, you know, a few months. MR. ROBERTS: It might take one annual cycle to get them all triggered, right? MS. LIOTTA: Right. Bring them all up to the current terms.

MS. LUDLOW: Anyway, I would like to have a
list at each meeting so that we at least can see -well, we're not going to bleed blood because we have three on corporate and four on this. We just need a "keep it honest" list to be distributed. MR. BLOCKER: Ms. Ludlow, may I make a suggestion with that? What may be helpful is, unless y'all are taking action on these lists, I think what you're discussing is kind of more of a review so the board has oversights. What may be helpful is I'm going to work with Jaime on coming up -- we need to have a policy on this. Most boards have consent agendas where there's simply a review. So we can -- that's usually ministerial things y'all are not really discussing, you're not really talking about. It's just review. It's a consent agenda. There may be 10, 15 items on there. Generally, the idea is that these things have been vetted.

So what we can do is we can maybe add that to a consent agenda that y'all approve where really all y'all are approving is you're just verifying, you know, that list every month at every -MS. LUDLOW: That we received the information. MR. BLOCKER: Right, received the information. MS. LUDLOW: It doesn't mean anything, right.

MR. BLOCKER: How does that sound to the board? Does that make sense?

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Yeah, I think I just want to know are we 250 this month or are we at 167? MS. LUDLOW: Exactly. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Has something changed? It'd be nice -MS. LUDLOW: Just information for the board. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: -- so we don't sound stupid.

MR. BLOCKER: Or we could make a requirement for -- you know, Jaime, that could be part of your reporting, is to report each month --

MS. LUDLOW: We thought it was.
MR. BLOCKER: -- at each voting board meeting, "Hey, this is where we are." Just make that a part of your reporting requirement.

MR. TOPP: Okay.
MR. BLOCKER: Whatever the board's pleasure is. How would y'all like the information? Because --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I mean, I don't need to know every person's name on the list all the time. I'm sure we could request it if we need to. But I would be happy just saying like "We have X amount
of people on each of these lists right now."
MS. LUDLOW: I think that's harder for them to print out than just to print the list.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Oh, I didn't -- I didn't need it printed. I just meant if they could just report like --

MS. LUDLOW: For them to give us something at our board meetings?

MR. BLOCKER: So, Ms. Ludlow, why don't we have -- Jaime, why don't you print the list for all the board members that want it and then also you just add that as part of your briefing. We'll start that in February.

MR. TOPP: 223 on the hangar list --
MS. LUDLOW: Right.
MR. TOPP: -- and 40 on the commercial list?
MS. LUDLOW: Just the same as our operations.
MR. BLOCKER: Just add that into your operations briefing or have -- I'll have Mr. Pittman brief it, and then just have it as a handout -- as part of the board's handout.

MS. LIOTTA: Right.
MR. BLOCKER: That way the board has oversight.

MS. LIOTTA: And I think that our wait list
policy definitely needs some updating because my understanding is we have lists that aren't even disclosed in the policy. Like, what are these different lists? Who's supposed to go on which one? Like, what is that? Like, you know -- right? And someone who's got a private use should not be swapping for something that's for commercial because that's limiting public use of the airport. We do not want that. We could have a bona fide user who's going to offer services on the field. That's what the commercial space is for. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right. MS. LUDLOW: But we knew for a long time that the hangar policy needed to be updated. MS. LIOTTA: Right. I mean, just like that -I think that when -- my personal preference would be, you know, focus on fixing the policy and cleaning up the list because I think that the current list is not as helpful right now because, you know, there's probably people on there that shouldn't be on some of those lists. And once we get the policy cleaned up, we can say, "Well, you're on the commercial wait list. Are you planning on having a public use of that space?" "No?"
"Okay. Well, we're going to be taking you off this list now."

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right. "Here's the list you actually belong on."

MS. LIOTTA: But, you know, it's like -- I'm not blaming the people on the list if they had -there was no policy, no guidance, and they could just put their name on it. Why not, you know? So...

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: That's true.
MR. TOPP: Mr. Chairman, would you like to tell them how you divided it up?

Because he kind of took a side thing and did that about three months ago.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The analysis I --
MR. TOPP: The lists. The two lists.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, I did it for -- I pulled all the lists and $I$ divided it into T-hangar lists and commercial and corporate together. And I contacted them -- almost every one. I was able to get probably ahold of 90 percent of the applicants. And they were -- for the large part, they were interested in staying on that list. And I tried to determine whether or not they were -- there were
some that really wanted $T$-hangars. So we identified them. And, as I recall, we moved them off of that list to the $T$-hangar list based on the date.

That was another thing, that they weren't -there was problems with people who had applied for a hangar at a certain period in the -- you know, in the past and they weren't ready to take it, so they were moved to the bottom. Fortunately for us, they retained the original date that they applied for the hangar. So that's something we have to consider, if you -- do you move down one or do you move to the bottom?

MS. LUDLOW: Right.
MS. LIOTTA: Well, I --
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: It's not something for us to decide right now, but --

MS. LIOTTA: Right. I think there's --
MS. LUDLOW: A lot needs to be decided.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah.
MS. LUDLOW: We'd just like to have a list for the board.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: When $I$ was done with the corporate list, there were 60 -- 223, I believe, and 60, 223 on the T-hangar list and 60 on the
corporate and commercial list. And I didn't really distinguish between corporate and commercial. You know, that -- I didn't touch that at this point. So there's a lot of problems.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah. I think there's -- yeah. Personally, I think we should be prioritizing the commercial use because that's actually going to be providing public services on the field as opposed to, you know, private hangar.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Right.
MS. LUDLOW: Well, I certainly don't think that.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Right. Well, I'd like to see us accommodate everybody that's on the list. I would like, you know, to reach the point where we don't even have a waiting list, but that's a, you know, future -- somewhere in the future.

MS. LIOTTA: I don't think that will ever happen.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: No, probably not, but, you know, it's a noble goal anyway, but --

MS. LUDLOW: But so far, we know it needs looked at and changed and everything, right?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The policy needs to be updated, the terms.

MS. LUDLOW: Right. But we could still have a list at the meeting and you can give a synopsis report?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah.
MR. BLOCKER: Absolutely.
Jaime, are you going to have the -- are we going to have an operational briefing like every -as part of --

MR. TOPP: Most likely, yes.
MR. BLOCKER: Okay. So why don't -- again, why don't we just have those available to the board members who want them.

Mr. Pittman, you can brief that.
MR. PITTMAN: Okay.
MR. BLOCKER: And then the board will direct in the workshops if we want more information, we want refined ideas as we get to the actual board meeting where we're voting where there's more -it's more formal. It's more point forward.

And just to reiterate, every policy that y'all have needs to be updated. You know, most of these have been, you know, recycled.

Mr. Topp and $I$ were looking at a policy that -- that was labeled a policy, said it was a policy, but went on to say, well, this is not
really a policy or a guide; it's just kind of a helpful suggestion.

MR. TOPP: Right.
MR. BLOCKER: And then you're alternating between -- this is -- so, you know, we've seen a lot of that. So we'll work on -- we're going to have a lot of work and invest a lot of capital and energy into updating policies, but we want to get these briefings just right.

So, Mr. Pittman, if you've got some feedback here -- I mean, obviously, Mr. Topp will direct, but let's -- let's get some good talking points together so the board can feel like they have a good grasp for this.

MR. PITTMAN: Understood.
MR. BLOCKER: Thank you, sir.
MR. TOPP: All right. Are we good?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep. Northeast.
NORTHEAST AIRPORT AREA VISION AND PROGRESS
MR. TOPP: The next one, Northeast Airport Area Vision and Progress. We already did the Volato lease. What I see, and this is kind of one of my projects that I'd like to leave before I leave down the road, and I'm in no hurry to leave, is we've started -- I mentioned this last week,
that Passero was nice enough to start working on what's it going to take dollar-wise to finish out the whole northeast to get it ready to be -somebody to just walk in and build a building or for us to build a building and lease it out and that kind of thing, including -- you know, we have the money to buy the Gun Club. We're working on that. Mr. Roberts and Mr. Blocker can give us an update on that.

We have the money to build the road all the way over to the Hush House, if you will, but we don't have the money to go underneath the road. So how much is that going to be, more or less? MR. OLSON: Underneath? You mean the utilities that go with the road? MR. TOPP: Yeah, the utilities and sewer. Right.

And then how much for any kind of mitigation? We did find something that was interesting. I'm not going to get into it now, but I'll share that document with you and why it didn't happen. So that -- I just want to get that done. And then as a second phase -- well, that's the northeast. So we'll talk about the west at another time. I don't want to tie up a lot of time on
that. I think, you know, to everybody's who's been talking about we have X amount of land on the north -- east side of the airport. So we need to use it wisely. So, anyway, that's -- that's just kind of my big project.

MS. LIOTTA: I've got to say that I think that staff's time spent on the undeveloped land on the other side of the highway should not be priority. I mean, we've got lots of stuff happening right here --

MR. TOPP: Yeah. MR. OLSON: Yeah. MS. LIOTTA: -- active projects here. And, you know, that's going to -- I really feel strongly that that should be the priority. I mean, from meeting with counsel today, there's stuff that, you know, urgently needs to be addressed, and, you know, worrying about the undeveloped land on the other side of the highway is not the top priority. MR. TOPP: Okay.

MR. OLSON: I agree. And the land holding costs for what was just referred to on the other side of the highway is very low, as I understand. MR. TOPP: Very what? MR. OLSON: Very low. We don't have big land
holding costs --
MR. TOPP: No.
MR. OLSON: -- which would, you know, put it in a higher priority necessarily. So I agree that there are, you know -- we've got more than we can probably manage on our plate on this side of US-1. MR. TOPP: Yeah. MR. OLSON: I was going to ask about, in the northeast, a couple of things. One is, since I'm asking about these lease agreements, do we have an executed agreement with Volato at this point?

MR. ROBERTS: We do.
MR. OLSON: A fully executed --
MR. ROBERTS: With Volato, yes.
MR. OLSON: Okay. The proposal from Titan that came in, and the reason I'm interested in the Titan proposal or I'm asking about it is that they're the proposal that was going to build a huge amount of commercial hangar space for people that might want to house their business or aircraft here at this airport. I understand there's pent-up demand --

MR. TOPP: Huge demand, yes.
MR. OLSON: -- for that.
So where we are with the Titan list?

MR. TOPP: Titan?
MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. Can I speak to that?
MR. TOPP: Yeah. Go ahead.
MR. OLSON: Mr. Bach.
MS. LUDLOW: Allen Bach.
MR. OLSON: Right, Mr. Bach.
MR. TOPP: Oh, Allen Bach. Okay.
MR. OLSON: Because he is -- you know, he seemed very interested in wanting to, you know, maximize hangars on that property.

MR. TOPP: Yes, we can -- yeah. I've been talking to him quite a bit, yeah.

MR. ROBERTS: So the bottom line is his side of that project is not aggressively moving forward, at least in terms of us, because he has some -- I believe some related dealings that he's trying to put together such that his development makes sense for him. So that would include his relationship with the existing business over there. So it's a little pause right now.

MR. OLSON: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: But -- but -- but while I've interrupted this part of the conversation, if I could throw in another way of looking at this whole northeast area, and it's related to what Mr. Bach
was proposing, which is we clearly have this plan for the things we're doing over there. We're making the land acquisition. We're preloading the permitting. We're getting an idea of the scope of what it would be to be shovel-ready. If you think of that as a spectrum of getting the land from its present state to some operating facility, at any point, someone can walk up to the Airport Authority and say, "I'm good with what you -- I'm good. I'll take it over from here. I'll write a check. All these things you're worried about, I'll write a check. I'll just do it."

That can happen. We make, I believe, more money when we are the landlord of facilities as opposed to being the landlord of just dirt. So I think we would have more cash flow every month, the same way we have a lot of great cash flow with T-hangars because we -- we built the $T$-hangars and now we lease $T$-hangars and we don't lease dirt. So I would just throw out there that now's kind of the time to have a vision -- an area vision of the northeast where if we build -- if we commit to building the facilities, it's probably going to go a little slower because we've got to get the capital, and we get it -- grants, bonds, whatever.

Goes a little slower than a developer coming in and just writing a check. But we wind up owning the facilities and, I believe, make more money as -- as renting out big hangars than we -- than we're just leasing the dirt to a developer. And so I think that would be desirable for the airport in the big scheme of things.

If we sort of commit to that, we can tell
those people that come up to us and say "Stop what you're doing. I'm ready to develop the whole parcel," we can say, "No. We have a policy. We're going to do that." And the FAA will support that.

The FAA will support us saying "We're going to be the facility developer. We're not going to necessarily let a third party come in and develop it because we're committed to doing it."

So that is kind of a thing that would be a good policy to nail down. We've got a master layout plan, but it doesn't speak to whether we're the facility developer or we're just -- we're just going to allow someone else to develop the facility. So I would just add that to the list of things to maybe announce a public commitment to as to which way you want to go.

Do we want to just continue to move it along
on the spectrum to where it hits the tipping point for a developer to come in and say, "I'll take it from here"? Or do we want to say, when that day comes, "We want Jaime to be able say, 'Thanks for your interest, but the board has made a decision. We're going to develop that ourselves.'"

I think that's -- the earlier we can pick that fork in the road, less misunderstandings or unexpected -- you know, or expectations will be consistent with all the people that knock on our door.

So I just throw that out for -- am I making sense?

MR. OLSON: I'm hearing you, but my thought or my hearing you is that $I$-- we don't have the capacity to act in that way now. I mean, I don't think we have administrative capacity.

MR. ROBERTS: To...
MR. OLSON: And perhaps not the financial. But that's just, you know -- that's worthy of discussion.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I would think if we were going to commit to developing that whole section with all the water and the sewer and the roads and all the stuff that that needs, it's way more than
just the buildings, we'd also have to be committing to taking down some pretty significant debt.

MR. ROBERTS: Indeed.
MS. LUDLOW: I thought we decided to wait on that anyway.

MR. ROBERTS: But there's higher return, right? There's much higher return. That's the choice.

MS. LIOTTA: No, I'm not saying it's a bad thing or the wrong decision. I'm just saying I don't see how you commit to doing a massive development like that unless you've got the funding --

MR. ROBERTS: The only thing that people -MS. LIOTTA: -- unless -- people don't want to get taxed by the airport.

MR. ROBERTS: I get it.
MS. LIOTTA: So I don't think that that's
viable --
MR. ROBERTS: I get it. The only thing -- the only wild card people have suggested is for us to think bigger with Tallahassee. That's one option. You know, some folks have suggested we need to just think bigger and talk bigger with Tallahassee and say, "We've got a big, clean need out there. It's
going to get leased. It's going to provide economic benefit. We're going to get a good return on it. We know that. We're just kind of jammed up for these little nickel-and-dime grants you guys are feeding us out of Tallahassee. If you can just move us -- " you know, every year somebody kind of wins the lottery on the Florida grant list. You know, Miami gets a new parking garage, a big-ticket item, right? Orlando gets something, big-ticket item. If we could just make that big-ticket item one year, we could get a grant to turn that into shovel-ready.

MR. BLOCKER: Well -- and I'll tell you, if
I -- not to interrupt you, I'll tell you -- I'll tell you how you do that, and this is going to take the board, you know, coming together, but having a legislative day here where you invite the legislative delegation where y'all roll out, you know, a catered event, have a reception at the airport, invite the legislative delegation, invite the house speaker -- we can't do it when they're in session -- and, you know, showcase the great airport we have here.

I love the idea and I love the vision. And that is where we're going to have to lean on it.

So, you know, we can certainly work on that. I mean, we have a board here that's vested in highlighting the Airport Authority. And, I mean, I -- I do -- I do think that that's something that, if y'all want, it can -- I mean, you all got a great staff here that can plan it, but, you know, a lot of airports do that. You know, we have not done that here, and part of it is because you've had the first order, second order, third order. You know, y'all have been dealing with those first-order problems for a long time where you had staff walk out, so you had to jump in and do it. But now that we have some stability, maybe we pick a date in the summer and invite our legislative delegation to come here, and y'all as board members, you know, give them a presentation on just the airport, you know, and vision and turn it into a reception. And there's some opportunities there. MR. TOPP: And I didn't get a -- yeah, I agree with you a thousand percent, because I didn't get a chance to finish that, is that that's exactly my vision. And I've had people telling me that if I have that number, whatever it takes, 25 million, \$30 million, to get it ready before the buildings are built, that they'll take us with a small

PowerPoint to the governor because -- what's the number on their excess budget?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The Department of Transportation's budget is over $\$ 2$ billion. That's everything. But the aeronautical capital budget is 364 million. That's for the next fiscal year that begins in July.

MS. LIOTTA: The State of Florida spends a lot of money on its airports.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yes, they do. And, you know, we're in a position where we have a remarkable resource. We have an 8,000-foot runway for a general aviation airport. That has to be the envy of most general aviation airports. And we have an opportunity to -- we have raw land. We can develop it and maximize the use and get the most, best use out of it, but -- and we can lead the way, you know, in the state. It's a matter of --

MR. TOPP: A lot of corporate aviation wants to come in here and put airplanes here.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yeah.
MR. OLSON: If we do such a thing, we have to make sure that -- I would say Tallahassee would be very interested in the relationship of Northrop Grumman here with the State of Florida and
the fact that we're housing this big industry here. I mean, that's -- that's probably a strong --

MR. TOPP: Absolutely.
MR. OLSON: -- strong hook for -- and, you know, I don't know, maybe -- Jaime, you say you're having direct regular communication with Northrop Grumman. Are they in any way intimating that they're looking at adding other missions to our site? Because Northrop Grumman is growing rapidly.

MR. TOPP: Yes.
MR. OLSON: I mean, the defense business is very strong right now. Let me just say it that way.

MR. TOPP: The answer to that is yes. That's why they're rebuilding the North 40 hangar, because the new contract that they have. They won't tell me what it is. I kind of know what it is, but winky, winky, we don't know what it is.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. OLSON: Yeah. So --
MR. ROBERTS: -- which is largely high and dry.

MR. OLSON: Yeah. Any way we -- any way such a concept can be -- or idea or event can be tied in
with making sure we're -- we're emphasizing -because that, again, if they're looking at a way -you know, we're probably not going to be the only airport that's out there, you know, with a hand out. So that distinguishes us because they can say this airport is housing one of the most important industries in our state, noncyclical, high wage. MR. BLOCKER: Absolutely. MR. ROBERTS: I'm sorry. Can I just have one little -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Sure. Yeah. MR. ROBERTS: -- punctuation point on it? If you look at having like three legs of a stool of stability, like we've got Northrop Grumman. They're an anchor tenant. We've got $T$-hangars and we've got fuel sales, right? If you look at our strategic sources of revenue -there was a time when this airport was literally the national aerobatic center of the universe. Every aerobatic barnstorming pilot in pretty much -- you know, Patty Wagstaff is about the last of them, but they all lived here. The Moser family made this renowned nationally for that reason. What I think we're becoming, if you have your ear to the street, is we're the place where
corporate aviation departments want to come because they don't have to taxi with 737s at Atlanta or someplace. They have an 8,000-foot runway. They have an enormous tax incentive to be just over the Georgia border. So you have all the folks of Florida, all the folks in Atlanta. In the southeast region, the tax incentives alone almost will tip the balance of deadheading your Gulfstream 650 or whatever it is up to your mission, right, you know, whatever the mission is. And so if you talk to the folks here, they're -- there's a waiting list not only of our little waiting list, but there's corporate aviation department waiting list, the Coca-Colas of the world, the -- who did we have? Bed Bath \& Beyond? Who are the folks that we have here? MS. LIOTTA: Rooms To Go. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Rooms To Go. MR. ROBERTS: Rooms To Go. MR. OLSON: Rooms To Go. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The PGA Tour. MR. ROBERTS: Yes. So we could -- I think we have an open lane to being the corporate aviation department airport of choice. And I could see that whole northeast corner catering to -- gosh, if we
had eight Coca-Colas with their corporate jets parked here, the fuel sales would be enormous. It would be another great leg of the stool for us to rely on for future growth. MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, those high-end corporate flight departments want high-end hangars. MR. ROBERTS: Yes. MR. TOPP: Yes. MS. LIOTTA: So that's going to be a bigger spend to put up. MR. ROBERTS: It would. And one of the things from Mr. Solano's experience is the sticker shock of how much a big corporate hangar costs. It's mind-boggling. I don't know why. They're -MS. LIOTTA: They're not AC. MR. TOPP: Right. MR. ROBERTS: They're largely empty buildings, but I've come to believe that they are indeed enormously expensive. But I just -- that -- you know, I just throw that out there as the vision thing. MR. TOPP: Yeah. MR. ROBERTS: We've got -- Northrop Grumman is a good anchor tenant. We could also become the regional corporate aviation department of choice.

MR. TOPP: Kind of like PDK --
MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
MR. TOPP: -- yeah, in Atlanta.
MR. ROBERTS: But they're out of dirt up there.

MR. TOPP: Totally.
MS. LIOTTA: Oh, yeah.
MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. So, anyway, I just throw that out there.

All right. That's part of the pitch to the legislature --

MR. TOPP: Yes, sir.
MR. ROBERTS: -- is to say we have an opportunity here we want to capture before somebody else does.

MR. BLOCKER: So just before we move on, is the board interested in having the executive director maybe planning something for the summer? This would not be for just the northeast. This would be more just to educate them on the airport in general. Is there interest in doing that?

MR. OLSON: How many are involved in typically those kinds of events? Is it the delegation that represents this area?

MR. BLOCKER: Yes, sir. MR. OLSON: So that would be what? Four? Five?

MR. BLOCKER: It would be four. And you have three state representatives, one state senator. You could -- the house speaker has the southern end. So I would invite him as well -- well, actually, I guess he's in Flagler as well, Paul Renner. So I would invite the speaker, the senate president. They're not all going to come, but I think the local delegation will come and support it. So...

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The governor has more time on his hands now. We could invite him.

MR. OLSON: Yeah. MR. BLOCKER: That's true. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: He lives in Ponte Vedra, right? We could invite him. MR. TOPP: He's got more time now. MS. LIOTTA: He lives in St. Johns. Is it Ponte Vedra? MR. BLOCKER: He lives in St. Johns. But is that something that the board's interested in? MR. OLSON: It is -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Absolutely.

MR. OLSON: It's a briefing and I've seen -MR. BLOCKER: We can do it -- well, I've seen it done two ways: One where there's like a reception and then there will be some food and alcohol, and then the board -- there could be a mingling and a short presentation or it could be more of a formal. I think you'd probably get more people if it's a reception than just a formal briefing.

MR. OLSON: Right. And then we would engage some of the local leadership, county commissioners here and --

MR. BLOCKER: I would encourage you to. Yes, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: That sounds like a great plan.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I think it would be foolish not to try to put that together.

MR. BLOCKER: Absolutely.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I mean, go big or go home, right?

MR. BLOCKER: And we can find out with the executive director how his party planning skills are. So I guess we can --

MR. TOPP: Yeah, party down.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: My party planning skills have been good, so --

MR. TOPP: I'll be calling my wife. She's the party planner.

MR. BLOCKER: Are you?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: (Nods head.)
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Mrs. Topp will assist in
that, correct, Mr. Executive Director?
MR. OLSON: For such a thing, we might want to have someone outside assisting, putting --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: You know how I feel about outside things. But I will tell you I have planned many galas in this county and elsewhere that have raised over $\$ 100,000$ for the county. So we can figure it out.

MR. OLSON: Okay. Good.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Put some zeros in there.
MR. BLOCKER: So are we fine with putting this on the agenda for February and have some initial -then at that point, we could --

MR. TOPP: Right.
MR. BLOCKER: -- the board could vote to maybe delegate one of the board members as liaison to help coordinate and go from there? So -- does that make sense?

MR. OLSON: Yeah.
MS. LIOTTA: (Nods head.)
MR. OLSON: And what month would be --
MR. BLOCKER: It would be up to the board. My suggestion -- session runs through, I believe, April. So I would do it after session when they're not in Tallahassee.

MR. OLSON: Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: And then another traditional relationship of boards is their relationships with other rich people, so -- as connections. And so one of that function is you sort of map relationships, like, you know, you go, I need to get to this person, and you pool your resources. There are a lot of folks that have airplanes here that have a lot of relationships.

MR. TOPP: Oh, yeah.
MR. ROBERTS: And you sort of map your
5 degrees of relationship between you and the person you're trying to get to. And that would be a good undertaking as well, to know who we -- to know who we know, right? Who do we know -MR. TOPP: That's right.

MR. ROBERTS: -- that could help us get an audience.

MR. TOPP: Right. That would be great. The list is long and distinguished.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay.
MR. TOPP: Anything else on that?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I don't think so.
MR. TOPP: That was interesting. That was great. I'm excited.

DISCUSSION ON ITEMS IN THE AIRPORT BUSINESS PLAN
MR. TOPP: I don't know if we want to go through the next item on this particular time. I know there are some of us that want to, but it's going to be a long discussion and I haven't finished the report that -- what I've been working on is a report on taking this business plan and where are we today, where are we going to be tomorrow, and how are we going to get to accomplish this.

We can talk about it a little bit, but I'm not ready to give you my side of it.

MR. BLOCKER: Mr. Chair, can I make a suggestion?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yes.
MR. BLOCKER: So, Mr. Topp, one of the things with these workshops is to kind of really for the board to decide -- for y'all to decide what y'all
want to hear in the future at a future meeting. So this could be an opportunity, if Mr. Topp is preparing a presentation -- sounds like you're not ready yet. If this is something that y'all want to say "We'd like to hear that in February" or maybe push that to a later workshop to -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Personally, I'd like to see this in conjunction with the work product coming from Passero for the northeast -MR. TOPP: Okay. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- because they're -MR. TOPP: I think that will be good. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: -- they're tied together, without a doubt. So... MS. LIOTTA: I guess I have a question. When we're talking about developing this whole northeast side, was any work done to get more than one proposal idea from any of our other engineering firms?

MR. TOPP: The way I approached that was I want to get just very simple how much is the overall, and then we will list -- then we will take that to the engineering -- all the engineering firms, say, "What are you good at?" MS. LIOTTA: Well, just for an example, Volato
is, like, doing --
MR. TOPP: We're not paying for this.
MS. LIOTTA: -- you know, has their 21 acres.
MR. TOPP: With Haskell.
MS. LIOTTA: They're still picking their engineering firm. No, they're still picking their engineering firm. And they're getting proposals from multiple at the same time. And sometimes the engineering firms have different approaches to things. So that was the whole reason why I wanted us to have more than one engineering firm, was so -- because you -- you know, you bid out what something's going to cost, you're making assumptions on what you're doing. So already, you're sort of, you know -MR. TOPP: Can $I$ stop you for a second? I understand your desire and I took that into consideration. This was a voluntary thing from Passero, not charging us a dime. MS. LIOTTA: Well, they should all be voluntary. Volato is not paying any of those engineering firms to do that. MR. TOPP: I asked all of them, and this is the first one that came in and said this. And this is a very high level. Very, very high. It's like
seven items. What does it cost to -- what is your estimate on what it's going to cost to do it, then to take it to the engineering firms to give us the real numbers.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, I guess I don't understand because it seems like if it's something so high level, why couldn't all three of our engineering firms have done something so high level?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: You'll have to ask them why they didn't propose, because Jaime asked them to. MR. TOPP: I've talked to them. MR. OLSON: You asked all three to give ideas and only one came forward?

MR. TOPP: Well, I asked Passero. And I've asked the other two, and I'm still waiting to hear back from them.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: When did you ask them?
MR. TOPP: About a month and a half ago.
MR. OLSON: Because it's common in many of these situations for a firm to, when up front, just sharing ideas because it's a foot in the door, a strong foot in the door for contract work. So, I mean, I can imagine that a number -- I mean, any firm that --

MR. TOPP: I'll revisit them, if that's the
way you want to do it. I just wanted to get -- I wanted a back-of-the-napkin type of an idea. That's all I was looking for. There was nothing formal. When we get ready to do something formal, of course we're going to follow the three people that we have.

MR. OLSON: And it's always easy to -- I mean, I can understand that it's always more convenient and easy and less orientation time to be talking to a firm that's, you know, intimately familiar with the ins and outs of our airport already. I mean, I guess you probably sense that, too, in your interviews, is that some know the airport better than others that you're talking to. MS. LIOTTA: Well, yes, but the northeast section is fresh dirt, right? MR. OLSON: Yeah. MS. LIOTTA: There's nothing -- there's no incumbency there. MR. OLSON: Yeah. Yeah. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I would definitely like to see us reach out to them again --

MR. TOPP: Okay.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: -- and see because I think Jennifer's right that someone else might come in
with something that none of us have ever thought of because we are too close to it.

MR. TOPP: Yeah.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: And so to kind of see what else that would take would be the purpose of us having --

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, when my husband and I built a house a while back, like years and years ago, you know, we went to three different architecture firms like to give them the -- like, here's the dirt. You know, here's what the plat looks like. Here's the number of bedrooms we need to have. What's your idea? And they came back with completely different homes. And then we picked the one that worked the best. So I'm a big proponent of letting -- letting different visions come through. MR. OLSON: Sounds good. MR. TOPP: Sure. We will do that. MR. BLOCKER: Jaime, did you receive any responses from the other two? MR. TOPP: No, but I'll go back to them. MR. BLOCKER: And it might be something worth, you know, letting them understand that, hey, you know, we're interested and, you know, it's not a foregone conclusion.

MR. TOPP: Well, what's interesting is that I got a response from a different one of the -- not of the three, for the idea of having a developer do the west side that they're working on and they said they'd do it for nothing. So I'll revisit the other two. Sir? MR. OLSON: I really do hope that this board will have an opportunity to have a detailed review and discussion of how we're proceeding with the strategic business plan. I don't think -- you know, I -- the -- probably the biggest theme in it is the airport's financial picture and its capacity -- financial capacity. So that's a big thing. That's a big picture thing. And I believe that deserves a full workshop, which I have been urging for months here. And so I just make that observation.

But one of the top priorities that we have not discussed to my knowledge since we actually put this to bed is Item 6 on the priority list, and that's air service for the community. And, you know, we should be talking about that. It's -it's a -- it's in here because the community wants it.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, $I$ think that the -- this business plan was seriously flawed on that item because --

MR. OLSON: Well --
MS. LIOTTA: Let me finish. -- because I think the question is not detailed enough. So the community wants service, but what does that mean? Does that mean that they want to be able to drive here to go places themselves or do they want service here to bring in tourism? Those are completely -- what that looks like for scheduled service is completely different. And I -- you know, we've got a very uphill battle if it's -- you know, if it's that first category of can someone drive here instead of Jax to, you know, go to Detroit? I don't see that happening, frankly, because, you know, all the carriers, if they're going to Detroit, they already have that route set up out of Jacksonville, Orlando, or Daytona. They're not going to come here for that. MR. OLSON: Their -- the carrier that -MR. TOPP: I agree. MR. OLSON: -- this board rejected last, I guess, February or March -MS. LIOTTA: Did not have hub service.

MR. OLSON: -- they are in eight cities in Florida now. They've just initiated service in Lakeland and they're expanding rapidly.

MS. LUDLOW: They rejected us. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: And I'm very happy for them that they're succeeding so well, but as I recall when we read that with the, what, 20 hours' notice we had to read that before voting on it, I recall seeing things like "We're not paying for anything, but you guys can and whoever purchases a ticket can." And I'm pretty sure that was a big red flag for me, because when $I$ was running for this position, what I heard the public tell me they wanted was flights to a hub that they could go somewhere else or flights to places that are too far to drive to but still here: Key West, Tampa, places like that, the Bahamas, that it makes sense for us to go to.

And I'm pretty sure none of us are wholeheartedly against commercial. I think what the issue was with them specifically, and probably most of the ones before us, is that they didn't make sense or work for us or for our community, the people that put us here. They were flying to obscure places that one person, maybe two, booked a
flight for and then no one else did, so they cancelled it, and then they had to book a flight somewhere else anyway.

But I do recall, I know a few of us have brought it up, was talking to someone like a Cape Air, Jet Blue, things like that, and I'm pretty sure Jaime had reached out to them.

MR. TOPP: I talked to Cape Air. And I have a proposal from them on what they would do. It's interesting. They looked up and found out that I was -- I had flown for them. And they said, "Well, why don't we just send you some airplanes, you get the pilots, decide on something within 250 miles, and we'll set it up."

MS. LIOTTA: For us to run an airline?
MR. TOPP: Yeah. Or for us to find the pilots. You know, we've got people -- that's their proposal. They can't find pilots. There's no pilots.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I don't think anyone can
find a pilot right now.
MS. LIOTTA: Well, that is why like the American's of the world are actually reducing tertiary market routes.

MR. OLSON: Exactly.

MS. LIOTTA: They're not opening them up because they don't have the pilots to staff them anyway.

MR. TOPP: Yeah. I still get letters from airlines --

MS. LIOTTA: So that's another reason why good luck getting service here.

MR. TOPP: -- "Hey, you want to come to work for me?"

I said, "Well, get Congress to change it from 65 to 85, and I'm there."

MR. OLSON: I'm not sure that we have paid enough attention to this because, you know, Avelo wanted to be -- they are in the same business as Breeze. It's not everybody's cup of tea. I mean, it's a low-frill's carrier. But they wanted to establish service here to --

MS. LIOTTA: That was subsidized.
MR. OLSON: -- to serve -- to serve the northeast Florida/metro area, Jacksonville.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: But they wanted us to pay for it.

MS. LIOTTA: Yes.
MR. OLSON: And they wanted us to provide the ground support and which --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: And cover all their fees.
MS. LIOTTA: Unlimited.
MR. OLSON: But there were great opportunities for car rental and parking, plus a FAA grant that goes to a million dollars if we have 10,000 boardings, which is about the first -- for nine flights going to three different cities a week, you can get to that -- calculates out within a year. But I hear a lot of sort of immediate skepticism here.

MS. LIOTTA: Well, because this issue and that particular deal was discussed ad nauseam -MS. LUDLOW: Thank you. MS. LIOTTA: -- at prior meetings. MS. LUDLOW: Thank you. MS. LIOTTA: And I think we all came to the conclusion that it was not something that was signable at the time. And when we tried -- when our attorneys tried to engage them to talk about it further, they did not respond, and they ended up going to Daytona where they got a million-dollar guarantee. This airport is not going to give a carrier a million-dollar guarantee. MS. LUDLOW: Taxpayers -- they charge the taxpayers.

MR. OLSON: We were not asked to give a million-dollar guarantee.

MS. LIOTTA: No, I'm saying that was their
alternative --
MR. OLSON: That was not --
MS. LIOTTA: -- was they did not discuss with us, and the next thing we heard was that they did a deal in Daytona, and Daytona gave them a million-dollar guarantee. MR. OLSON: Okay. MS. LIOTTA: So they were looking for subsidies, and they got one. And good for them. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I'm very happy for them. I just don't think they were the right airline for us. And, again, I don't think anyone on this board is against commercial airline. MR. OLSON: Good, because it's in our strategic plan. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: And what we want -fantastic. And what we want is an airline that works for the people that put us in this seat. I don't care about that airline. I don't care that they wanted us to pay for it. I care about the people that would have to pay for it.

```
        MR. OLSON: Yes. So --
```

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: They put me here. And I'm not going to say, "You know what? Thanks for putting me here. Now we're going to charge you more if you want to use our services." Absolutely not.

MR. OLSON: So we have this -- a priority -one of our top priorities in our plan is air service.

MS. LUDLOW: Is this an agenda item?
MR. OLSON: So that goes beyond what we're talking about now.

MS. LIOTTA: This board cannot change the realities of the market. They are what they are. There's a pilot shortage. There are no airlines like the American's that are going to come here to open a hub service route. All of them are -- that are in these region already have hub service routes.

MR. OLSON: There's a lot of communities our size that are doing flights into hubs. And I agree that that would have been -- that would be --

MS. LIOTTA: Communities our size -- like, look, apples to apples, we are surrounded by three international airports that have services that already have established routes to other hubs.

American's not going to come here when they've got a hub in Orlando or Jacksonville or Daytona or all three.

MR. OLSON: I know you're in the private flight business, but there's a whole segment of the population, the largest segment, that is not at the economic level to do private flying, and they're the ones that have to look at I-95 and --

MS. LIOTTA: I'm not talking about private flights. I'm talking about the realities you can't get an airline to come here and --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: They can't staff it.
MS. LIOTTA: They can't staff it and they
would be cannibalizing their own existing business, which they will not do.

MR. OLSON: You think that we're that unique --

MR. TOPP: Can I say something?
All right. I mean, I've been in this business for a long, long, long, long time, the airline business. Okay? We've done -- and I'll try to get ahold of that individual and bring you the study so that you can look at it. The only way an airline with a 737, maybe, you know, RJ whatever that carries 30 seats or 18 seats or 20 seats could make
it, but anybody that has a real airliner jet from a 737 up, even if we paid for their gas up -- you know, they just paid the basic cost of fuel -you're turning your head, Mr. Roberts, but I'm just telling you this is the reality. Okay?

MR. OLSON: Mr. Roberts?
MR. TOPP: I'm sorry. Mr. Olson.
MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Olson.
MR. OLSON: That's Mr. Roberts.
MR. TOPP: Right. I'm sorry. Bob. All right.
-- turning your head, the fuel -- we weren't allowed to charge them a fuel surcharge. So we're going to give them the fuel for our costs, one. Two, we were going to supply our own baggage handlers for them. We were going to do what's called the over and under wing, which means we're going to pump out the toilets and do those things. We're going to supply our own ticket agent. We're going to give them the terminal for their use and all those expenses. And even with that, with a 737, unless you filled every seat every day, unless somebody gave them the airplane for free, they can't make money.

MR. OLSON: We get the million dollars from

FAA --
MR. TOPP: I don't care what you get, you
can't get --
MR. ROBERTS: Hold on. Let him finish. I'm
sorry. Let him finish.
MR. OLSON: -- a million dollars from the FAA
and the calculated revenues from parking. When we had Frontier here for 18 months, look at the revenue from the rental cars and the parking. MR. TOPP: Mr. Clarke, you want to speak to that?

MR. OLSON: Well --
MR. TOPP: Did we make money?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I never got the updated information, what was it. MR. OLSON: I agree we spent ad nauseam hearing the costs of how much our paper towels will cost and the terminal if we have people using it and all of that, I remember that. Okay. Okay. MR. TOPP: It doesn't work. MR. OLSON: We can go on -- we can go on to the next topic. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Just real quick, though, just so we can like wrap this up, none of us are against it. We're open to it. It doesn't exist
right now. So if something comes up and it can exist, we'll revisit it.

Can we agree on that and move on?
MR. OLSON: Well, I'm not sure if we can go --
I mean, my --
MS. LIOTTA: I'm not supportive of chasing
airlines --
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Absolutely not.
MS. LIOTTA: -- and who I don't believe have the pilots to even come here if they wanted to. MR. TOPP: Or the mechanics to work on the airplanes.

MR. BLOCKER: So just so we can make sure, do we want to make sure going -- so I think that -MS. LIOTTA: If they come here and they don't ask for a ton of subsidies, I'm all for it. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Let's take a look. MR. BLOCKER: So do we want to ask Mr. Topp to schedule a time to discuss sort of the big picture business plan, you know, like at a future meeting? Is that something the board would want to hear from Mr. Topp at a future meeting? Do we want to do that in February or --

MR. TOPP: Well, I guess my question further on that is, is that $I$ get it that you spent a lot
of money on this business plan.
MR. OLSON: $\$ 240,000$.
MR. TOPP: Yeah. And you're going to spend a whole lot more money --

MR. OLSON: Half of it was FDOT. Half of it was FDOT.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Just watched my brain explode.

MR. TOPP: And you're going to spend a whole lot more money with Item 6. So I don't know that it really belongs on here right now for this particular facility.

MS. LIOTTA: And I don't think that Item 6 gives us very good guidance because, again, I don't believe that it tells us what kind of service the community is even looking for.

MR. TOPP: Right.
MR. OLSON: I hear the way the wind's blowing here, so we don't need to have to talk about this.

MR. TOPP: Okay. MR. OLSON: I get more today -MS. LUDLOW: I know. Could we move on from this?

MR. BLOCKER: Well, and just to clarify, do we
want to -- is there board consensus on -- not maybe Item 6, but in general the airport business plan, hearing this from Mr. Topp?

MR. TOPP: On what we've accomplished on it or what we're trying to accomplish on it outside of the airlines.

MR. OLSON: The best way to deal with this plan now is to focus on the financial. That's the number one priority.

MR. TOPP: I like the idea of putting together a financial seminar, you know.

MR. OLSON: I'm concerned about the financial health of our airport going forward.

MR. TOPP: Okay. All right.
MR. OLSON: We probably can squeak through this year, but we're going to get to a point where we don't have the ability to pull down grants because we don't have the matching money.

MS. LUDLOW: I don't think so.
MR. TOPP: It's possible. One thing to keep in mind, too, which is an advantage of not having the airlines, is with the FDOT we go from 50/50 to 80/20.

MR. OLSON: That's FDOT. That's not FAA.
MR. TOPP: Right. FAA's 10 percent.

MR. OLSON: We don't get the million dollars
from FAA. Okay. So -- I guess --
MR. TOPP: You got to get 10,000 butts in the seats first.

MR. OLSON: I mean, do the math. You can -MS. LIOTTA: I'm not sure we've ever had that. MR. OLSON: You can get it with a reasonable load factor with -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We've flown this plane to the site of the crash. MR. TOPP: That's right. BANYAN MRO CHAIRMAN CLARKE: So Banyan tomorrow? Banyan. MR. TOPP: As we all know that the -- Volato has a lot of jets already that they own. Banyan approached us to -- they are a sublease, and then I think that I need Mr. Roberts --

MR. ROBERTS: To be precise in the language?
MR. TOPP: -- to be precise in the language, not -- yes.

MR. ROBERTS: You want me to be precise in the language?

MR. TOPP: Yes, be precise on the language. Thank you.

MR. ROBERTS: All right. I'll do it.

Modern Aero assigned, as they may do and we want to encourage the assignability of leases, assigned their lease to Volato so that Volato could jump start the HondaJet overhaul maintenance activity and not wait for all the dirt and the yellow gear and the shovels and all that stuff. So Banyan Air is a very strong MRO down in Fort Lauderdale at Fort Lauderdale Executive. They have the maintenance wherewithal to do what we call A Checks and B Checks, which are milestone checks on jet engines that we don't presently have here at the airport. So we now -- we'll have a vendor that will probably stay busy with some HondaJets, but also the corporate -- the corporate jet departments now know they don't have to ferry their aircraft to Arkansas to get an A Check or a B Check done to meet their 100-hour checks or whatever. And so it's a major -- a major step-up of repair level sophistication that is here. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: They have the capacity to handle non-Volato planes? MR. TOPP: Well, the biggest thing, Mr. Chairman, is that the -- right now there's really not anybody on the field. I think we all know about power by the hour. Mostly when you buy a big jet, you buy the jet, but the engines can be leased from the engine manufacturer and they commit to replacing it if something happens, blows a fan, you suck a bird into it or something like that. MS. LIOTTA: Everybody's on programs. MR. TOPP: I'm sorry? MS. LIOTTA: Everybody's on the programs. You pay for every hour, and then if something happens --

MR. TOPP: So it's power by the hour. You're renting the engine, basically, you know. And so if that engine sucks a bird as they're coming into -it does make a mess. I've seen it. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: That's what you had to pick?

MR. TOPP: Sucks a rock. Okay?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Thank you.
MR. TOPP: And it comes up and it blows out the back of the airplane and all the fittings come out with it, not the air -- not the bird's fittings, the rocks. Okay? They'll fly in an engine and have to -- and change it, but they need the cranes and all the things to get it in and out of the airplane. If you've got an MRO that can do that today, it's just that much more attractive.

And it's a great -- and these folks are spot-on. They've done everything. They came up here on the weekend and they put in their Wi-Fi. I've got a letter -- Courtney and I are getting a letter to be able to do some other stuff so Comcast can come in and finish it up. But they're up -they're going to be up and running by the 15 th.

So, anyway, $I$ just want to give y'all an update on that.

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I mean, Banyan is -- has the territory of the southeast for HondaJets. So they -- any HondaJets, not just like a Volato thing. It's like they -- you know, they're the approved -- you know, so they could be getting other HondaJets from non-Volato sources coming here to do the 300,600 hours as well as just general jet services --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Be 300 miles closer for someone coming from, say, Savannah than to go to Fort Lauderdale.

MR. OLSON: Is this something coming to the February meeting then and that we have to act on approving the sublease to --

MR. ROBERTS: This is pretty much
informational update.

MR. OLSON: Okay. So we don't have -- there's no action needed?

MR. ROBERTS: No action necessary.
MR. OLSON: For a sublease for Modern Aero?
MR. ROBERTS: They're leasing part of their
premises for this commercial activity, which their
lease contemplated the ability to do.
MR. OLSON: Under the Modern Aero lease, they
have the ability, without board authorization, to sublease to the -- to Banyan?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Yes.
MR. OLSON: Okay. Okay.
MR. ROBERTS: Most of -- like our FBO are similar, right.

MR. OLSON: Okay. Okay.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: All right. Moving on, we have ground lease revamp project.

MR. ROBERTS: Ground leases?
MR. TOPP: There's one -- discuss policy and other committees' implementation and also board procedure guidelines. Do we want to go over that today?

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Oh, I'm sorry. I skipped over that. I'm sorry. I apologize.

MR. ROBERTS: I think we pretty much -- we
pretty much handled that -CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Yep. MR. ROBERTS: -- that aspirational thing. MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, I think we've jumped around a bit and covered that.

MR. ROBERTS: I think so too.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Jennifer, our last meeting, you said that you would agree to review some of -can you give us an update on --

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, then I moved and -MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: She still has a few more weeks till the next meeting, so...

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah. I still owe that.
MR. TOPP: Did you move into the house -- the new house?

MS. LIOTTA: (Nods head.)
MR. TOPP: Congratulations. That's awesome.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Well, good. Well, just keep us informed.

MR. TOPP: All right. Keep going.
Ground lease revamp project again would be Jeremiah.

MR. ROBERTS: Right. That's what I was saying, I think we kind of hashed around that already.

MR. TOPP: We hashed through that? Okay.
That's it, unless y'all have something you
wanted to add. Oh, one last thing. I've gotten two of -- where are they? -- these. I need the other four -- other three.

MS. LIOTTA: Didn't I give that --
MR. OLSON: Yeah. Yeah.
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: We didn't -- are there any --

MR. OLSON: I was going to ask, if I could, and things that aren't on here, Michelle, we were trying to schedule a work session on the executive director recruitment next steps or what's -- what the -- discussion of possible path forward, how we proceed --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Yes.
MR. OLSON: -- and that -- it seems that -that's a meeting that never gets scheduled.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Exactly.
MR. OLSON: So...
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: If we have calendars now -does anyone have a calendar ready to have a date now?

MS. LIOTTA: Yes. Just do it.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: So the first meeting that
we need to have is -- so remember when we -MS. LUDLOW: Aren't we doing this prematurely? MS. LIOTTA: No.

MS. LUDLOW: I think so.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: No, no. So here's what we're looking to do: So what we're looking to do is when we posted the last time, we agreed as a board to take whatever was posted previously and use that. So that's what we're trying to meet -MS. LUDLOW: And that, I remember. MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: -- about, is to come up with a job description, because it's going to take time. So that's not premature, that we're going to try to narrow down a job description and job posting because that's -- clearly to get all of us in the room together is --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Why don't you circulate your proposed job descriptions to everybody so we'll have a chance to take a look --

MS. LIOTTA: Well, it's Sunshine.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I can't do that.
MS. LIOTTA: That's why we have to do a meeting.

MR. BLOCKER: But they, you know -- but -- I could not say that any better.

MS. LUDLOW: Blup, blup, blup, blup, blup.
MR. BLOCKER: So we just -- you know, and that's one of the weird things about Sunshine, is we can't -- we can't do that.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Half of our meetings could be --

MR. BLOCKER: Well, what I was going to suggest, Mr. Chairman, is -- if the board would be open to this, is why don't we use our February workshop to, you know, do that. So, again, we'll talk about future agenda items for March but also go right into a period of -- you know, do y'all like this format, kind of this workshop format? Then we'll go right into --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: I think that's a good idea to use the February --

MS. LIOTTA: Okay.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Sure.
MR. BLOCKER: And just to update the board on Mr. Topp -- obviously, he's sitting right here -so I have drafted some language based on y'all's recommendation to extend him for six months and then go to a month-to-month. His attorney is reviewing --

MR. TOPP: Yeah. I should know by tomorrow.

MR. BLOCKER: I'm going to ask that this -this will be an update that we'll have in February. And I'll have -- obviously, you know, the update will be it's been signed and agreed to, and we'll go from there with y'all's, you know, approval. So --

MR. OLSON: So what's the --

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: So we're going to have -our next -- what would be our normal second meeting for February is going to be a workshop, and that's where we're going to start to get into the nitty-gritty of what we want this job description to look like --

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The 26th.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: -- and what we want the posting to look like.

MR. OLSON: It's more than the job description. Wouldn't it be the big picture as to how we proceed and effectively manage a recruitment?

MS. LIOTTA: Yeah, we could talk about that too.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Yeah, so the meeting itself will be about the executive director position. And I'm happy to put that together prior to then.

MR. OLSON: Is that the 19th?
CHAIRMAN CLARKE: 26 th .
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: 26th.
MR. OLSON: 26th.
MR. BLOCKER: And also, Mr. Chair, it may also be about what's going to be -- so it will be two parts. It will be setting the agenda for March --

MR. TOPP: Like we did here.
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right.
MR. BLOCKER: -- like we did here, but then also -- but that should -- hopefully, it will be fairly straightforward and --

MR. TOPP: Yes. And if I could speak to that a little bit, I've talked to Florida Aviation Council and the AAAE, and they'll help us in getting the jobs out to the right people when we get out there.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: Right. So I know we talked about this before that things did not go as planned because there was some turnover with things and some passwords were missing and we were not able to access some of the areas we should have been able to access. And so now that we've worked all that out --

MR. TOPP: Right.

MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: -- we should see more of
what were looking for.
MR. TOPP: The one thing I would like to say
is I am willing to stay here for two years and
finish this vision. So just keep that in mind. MR. OLSON: And our regular meeting in

February is the 12th?
MS. CASH-CHAPMAN: I think so. MR. TOPP: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CLARKE: The second Monday. Any members of the public like to make any comments or ask any questions or -- Ms. Martin? Nothing?

MS. MARTIN: No.
MS. LUDLOW: Thank you for the cookies, Ms. Martin. MS. LIOTTA: Delicious. MR. TOPP: Yes. CHAIRMAN CLARKE: Okay. No comments from the public. All right. We'll -- we stand adjourned. It is 5:43.
(Workshop was concluded.)
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| 42/15 42/17 42/20 | 2 | 27 [1] 2/5 | $16 \text { 43/19 43/2 }$ | aerobatic [2] 7 |
| 43/1 50/5 50/24 51/1 | 26/18 26/24 27/3 27/7 | 3 | 44/22 44/23 45/1 45/2 | $71$ |
| 52/9 52/18 52/23 57/5 | 27/16 27/18 29/16 | 30 [1] 93/25 | /2 | aeronautical [1] 69 |
| 57/10 57/15 58/4 | 44/2 44/6 44/10 44/13 | 300 [2] 102/16 102/18 | 60/18 61/8 | after [1] 78/6 |
| 58/16 67/13 71/8 | 44/16 49/25 50/23 | 32084 [1] 1/24 | $61 / 1061 / 1763 / 11$ | again [12] 7/18 25/2 |
| 74/16 74/25 75/3 | (1/25 51/5 51/8 51/14 | 32086 [1] 1/16 | $71 / 2177 / 1179 / 18$ | 39/10 42/7 42/20 |
| 75/15 75/21 76/2 | $52 / 252 / 752 / 15 ~ 52 / 17$ $53 / 13$ 55/14 55/19 | 32205 [1] 1/18 | 80/16 82/18 85/23 |  |
| 76/13 76/19 76/22 | 55/21 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | 90/6 90/19 91/22 | 107/10 |
| 77/5 77/18 77/22 78/4 | 57/1 62/5 66/4 87/4 | 39 | 2/11 93/9 | against [3] 87/ |
| 79/20 79/23 84/19 | 90/13 90/15 90/24 | 4 | 10 97/19 98/12 | 91/16 95/25 |
| 97/25 106/24 107/2 | 92/9 97/23 98/19 |  | /0/25 106/11 107 | agenda [16] 4/3 |
| 107/7 107/19 108/1 | 106/2 106/4 106/10 | 414 [1] 1/1 |  | 10/25 11/3 15/20 16/3 |
| 109/5 109/10 | 107/1 110/15 | 4730 [1] 1 | absolutely [18] 3/4 | 6/6 17/2 17/11 43/24 |
| MR. OLSON: [168] | MS. MARTIN $110 / 14$ | 4:00 [2] | 6/2 6/10 7/17 22/25 | 92/9 107/11 109/7 |
| MR. PITTMAN: [5] |  | 5 | 4/17 24/24 25/15 | agendas [1] 50/12 |
| 41/24 42/19 42/24 | \$ |  | 54/10 57/5 70/3 | agent [1] 94/19 |
| MR. ROBERTS: [65] 4/9 5/19 5/21 6/2 6/6 12/23 21/20 24/5 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { [1] } 77 / 14 \\ & \$ 2 \text { [1] 69/4 } \\ & \$ 200 \text { [1] } 48 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 / 50 \text { [1] } 98 / 22 \\ & 58 \text { [1] } 2 / 7 \\ & 5: 43 \text { [2] } 1 / 7 \quad 110 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 / 875 / 2576 / 14 \\ & 76 / 1992 / 496 / 8 \\ & \text { AC [1] } 73 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aggressively [1] } \\ & 62 / 14 \\ & \text { ago [4] } 26 / 1354 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30/20 31/5 31/9 31/13 | \$240,000 [1] 97/2 \$30 [1] 68/24 | 6 | 109/2 | gree [14] 20/4 21/ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31 / 1731 / 2032 / 11 \\ & 41 / 541 / 742 / 642 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \text { [3] 55/24 55/25 } \\ & 55 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { accommodate [1] } \\ 56 / 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 2327 / 1646 / 3 \\ & 47 / 1760 / 2161 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |

A
agree... [6] 68/19
86/22 92/20 95/16 96/3 104/8
agreed [4] 32/2 32/4 106/7 108/4
agreeing [1] 7/4 agreement [5] 6/3 18/6 20/19 31/22 61/11
agreements [4] 31/2 37/25 38/16 61/10
agrees [2] 17/23 36/16
ahead [4] 16/3 32/23 38/7 62/3
ahold [2] 54/22 93/22
air [9] 13/6 13/9 26/1
85/22 88/6 88/8 92/7
100/7 101/20
aircraft [2] 61/20 100/15
airline [8] 88/15 91/14 91/16 91/20 91/22 93/11 93/20 93/23
airliner [1] 94/1
airlines [5] 89/5 92/14 96/7 98/6 98/22
airplane [5] 45/17 45/18 94/23 101/19
101/24
airplanes [4] 69/20 78/15 88/12 96/12 airport [49] 1/1 1/16 1/19 2/7 2/8 3/8 5/17 8/24 12/20 20/20 22/12 22/13 23/3 24/1 24/25 25/6 35/15 36/8 36/10 37/1 45/25 46/2 46/11 47/20 53/8
58/19 58/20 60/3
61/21 63/8 64/6 66/16 67/20 67/23 68/3 68/17 69/13 71/4 71/6 71/18 72/24 74/20
79/8 83/11 83/13
90/22 98/2 98/13
100/12
airport's [3] 4/13 7/3 85/13
airport-related [1] 25/6
airports [7] 24/25
33/5 46/8 68/7 69/9
69/14 92/24
alcohol [1] 76/5
all [77] 4/2 4/5 5/5
8/23 9/10 15/11 17/4 27/11 28/16 29/16
30/22 32/1 32/21
35/22 35/23 36/11
37/16 37/18 37/23
39/11 39/19 40/24
42/3 44/12 45/6 46/14 46/14 47/1 47/25 49/6 49/22 49/23 50/21
51/23 52/10 54/19
58/17 59/10 63/10

65/10 65/24 65/25 68/5 71/22 72/5 72/6 74/10 75/9 80/23 81/20 81/23 82/7 82/12 83/3 86/17 90/1 90/16 92/16 93/2 93/19 94/10 94/21 95/19 96/16 98/14 99/14 99/25 100/5 100/6 100/24 101/19 101/23 103/16 104/20 106/15 109/23 110/20 ALLEGIANCE [3] $2 / 2$ 3/2 3/5
Allen [2] 62/5 62/7 allow [2] 10/21 64/21 allowed [1] 94/13 almost [3] 46/19 54/21 72/7
alone [1] 72/7
along [5] 4/13 15/5 24/2 44/16 64/25 already [11] 5/2 23/24 39/5 58/21 81/14 83/11 86/18 92/17 92/25 99/15 104/25 also [19] 1/14 5/16 10/4 15/8 22/4 24/2 38/5 40/16 44/2 44/6 52/11 66/1 73/24 100/14 103/20 107/11 109/5 109/5 109/11 alternates [2] 41/12 41/19
alternating [1] 58/4 alternative [4] 14/10 14/17 26/4 91/4
always [5] 8/23 26/17 40/5 83/7 83/8
American's [3] 88/23 92/15 93/1
amount [3] 51/25 60/2 61/19
analysis [1] 54/16 Anastasia [1] 12/14 anchor [2] 71/15 73/24
announce [1] 64/23 announcement [1] 40/23
annual [1] 49/21 another [12] 10/7 22/9 48/2 48/11 48/20 49/15 55/5 59/24 62/24 73/3 78/9 89/6 answer [2] 6/11 70/15 any [29] $4 / 68 / 259 / 13$ 11/19 15/19 21/6 22/17 25/6 39/19 39/20 46/6 59/18 63/7 70/7 70/24 70/24 80/17 80/18 81/21 82/23 84/19 102/12 105/9 106/25 110/11 110/11 110/12 111/12 111/13
anybody [3] 9/13 94/1 100/24
anymore [1] 27/13
anyone [5] 9/17 29/20 areas [1] 109/22 88/20 91/15 105/22 aren't [3] 53/2 105/11 anything [11] 4/8 27/21 28/14 32/10 43/6 43/9 46/15 46/16 50/25 79/4 87/9
anyway [9] 10/11 49/25 56/21 60/4 66/5 74/8 88/3 89/3 102/8 apart [1] 12/6 apologize [1] 103/24 apples [4] 35/4 35/4 92/23 92/23
applicants [1] 54/22
applied [2] 55/6 55/10
appraisal [10] 18/12 18/14 18/15 18/16 18/19 19/3 19/18 21/10 22/2 32/3
appraiser [3] 19/11 19/13 19/16
appraisers [1] 21/12 appreciate [3] 7/6 10/16 36/14
approach [3] 21/8 25/25 34/11
approached [3] 10/3 80/20 99/16
approaches [1] 81/9 approval [7] 6/21 10/25 15/18 32/16 32/19 34/15 108/5
approve [4] 6/22 28/13 36/23 50/20 approved [2] 34/23 102/14
approving [2] 50/21 102/23
April [1] 78/6
architecture [1] 84/9 are [89] $4 / 186 / 227 / 6$ 7/7 7/15 8/4 8/21 8/24 9/10 9/13 10/15 10/19 12/5 12/23 15/6 19/7 20/6 20/12 21/2 21/3 21/5 21/11 22/1 22/7 22/23 25/17 26/5 26/22 28/22 31/6 32/9 36/22 36/25 37/16 41/15 49/3 49/6 50/7 50/14 50/21 51/4 51/4 51/16 53/3 53/23 57/6 57/6 58/17 61/5 61/25 63/14 67/5 68/25 70/7 72/15 73/18 74/22 76/24 77/5 77/18 78/15 79/11 79/15 79/15 79/16 80/24 84/2 86/10 87/1 87/15 87/19 88/23 89/14 92/13 92/13 92/14 92/15 92/16 92/17 92/20 92/23 95/24 99/16 100/10 102/1 102/4 103/13 105/4 105/8
area [8] 2/7 25/8 58/19 58/21 62/25 63/21 74/24 89/20

106/2
Arkansas [1] 100/16 around [7] 12/17 16/17 23/4 27/1 42/25 104/4 104/24
arrangements [2] 10/11 11/13 ask [16] 11/7 18/24 19/2 21/9 21/13 24/10 24/13 24/19 61/8 82/9 82/17 96/16 96/18 105/10 108/1 110/12
asked [7] 8/22 81/23 82/10 82/12 82/14 82/15 91/1
asking [3] 8/13 61/10 61/17
aspirational [1] 104/3 assignability [1] 100/2
assigned [2] 100/1 100/3
assist [1] 77/7
assisting [1] 77/10
Association [1] 22/12
assume [3] 4/2 12/20 44/11
assumptions [1] 81/14
assure [2] 8/17 9/11 Atlanta [3] 72/2 72/6 74/3
attend [1] 16/8
attention [2] 34/22 89/13
attorney [4] 3/24
107/23 111/12 111/14
attorneys [1] 90/19
attractive [1] 101/25
audience [1] 78/25
Augustine [5] 1/5
1/16 1/23 1/24 14/2
AUTHORITY [9] 1/1
1/17 1/19 3/8 11/13
20/20 41/20 63/8 68/3
Authority's [1] 6/8
authorization [1] 103/9
authorized [1] 111/7
available [6] 29/8
35/15 35/20 35/23
36/2 57/11
Avelo [1] 89/13
Avenue [1] $1 / 18$
aviation [13] $1 / 19$
3/24 8/23 24/19 25/12
69/13 69/14 69/19
72/1 72/13 72/23 73/25 109/14
aware [1] 11/18
away [2] 45/13 46/6
awesome [1] 104/17

## B

Bach [5] 62/4 62/5
62/6 62/7 62/25
back [10] 18/17 27/1

37/10 48/24 82/16 83/2 84/8 84/13 84/21 101/19
bad [5] 26/12 26/14 26/15 33/7 66/9
baggage [1] 94/15
Bahamas [1] 87/17
balance [2] 40/3 72/8 bank [3] 47/21 48/5 48/5
Banyan [8] 2/9 99/12
99/13 99/13 99/15 100/7 102/10 103/10 barnstorming [1] 71/20
based [2] 55/3 107/21
basic [2] 19/18 94/3
basically [5] 15/18
28/7 33/6 33/20
101/11
basis [1] 35/7
Bath [1] 72/15
battle [1] 86/13
bay [1] 12/14
be [158]
Beach [1] 27/14
bearing [1] 47/24
because [73] 7/18
8/22 8/24 9/1 9/4 10/3
10/8 14/9 15/17 19/22
20/3 22/18 24/22 26/9
26/25 28/20 31/6
31/20 33/11 36/1
36/19 37/22 40/20
41/11 43/12 46/5 46/11 46/15 46/17
49/15 50/2 51/21 53/1
53/8 53/18 53/19
54/14 56/7 62/8 62/15 63/18 63/24 64/16 68/8 68/20 69/1 70/9 70/16 71/2 71/5 72/1
80/11 81/12 82/6
82/10 82/19 82/21
83/24 84/2 85/24 86/3
86/5 86/17 87/12 89/2
89/13 90/11 91/17 97/14 98/18 106/12 106/15 109/20
become [1] 73/24 becomes [5] 47/20 48/5 48/16 48/18 48/19
becoming [1] 71/24 bed [2] 72/15 85/21 bedrooms [1] 84/12 been [21] 5/2 5/9 18/17 22/10 23/23 27/24 31/16 31/17 46/22 50/18 57/22 60/1 62/11 68/10 77/2 79/13 85/16 92/21 93/19 108/4 109/22 before [14] 4/17 6/3 14/20 28/13 38/15 38/17 39/3 58/23 68/24 74/14 74/16 87/8 87/22 109/19 beforehand [1] 18/2

| B | 10 | $36$ |  | clean [1] 66/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| begging [1] 14/7 | $13 / 4 \quad 14 / 9$ | bringing [2] 32/15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cleaned [2] } 46 / 17 \\ & 53 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| begins [1] 69/7 | blup [5] 107/1 107/1 | $36 / 14$ | capture [1] 74/1 | cleaning [2] 44/21 |
|  | 107/1 107/1 107/1 | broad [3] 36/20 36/24 | car [1] 90/4 |  |
| 63/15 72/23 | board [78] 1/9 3/8 | 37/9 | card [1] 66/2 | clear [3] |
| belabor [1] 36/5 | 5/24 6/13 6/22 6/25 | brough | care [6] 34 | clearer [ |
| believe [12] 18/11 | 7/14 8/1 | Bruce [1] | 91 | clearly |
| 18/19 47/14 55/24 |  |  |  |  |
| 62/16 63/13 64/3 | 15/13 | 69/5 | carrier | [1] |
| 73/18 78/5 85/15 96/9 | 15/20 17/6 | build [6] 18/9 59 |  | [ |
| 97/15 | 17/13 17/20 17/24 |  |  |  |
| believes [1] | 19/5 20/15 24/8 | 63/22 | 1] | ast [1] |
| belong [1] 54/4 |  | b |  | ca [2] |
| belongs [1] 97/11 | 33/12 33/22 | 12/5 12/9 12/10 |  | Co |
| benchmarking [1] |  |  |  |  |
| 22/18 | 39/3 39/10 41/11 50/9 | 59/5 63/23 | CASH-CHAPMAN [2] |  |
| benefici | 51/2 51/8 51/15 52 | buildings [12] | 3 |  |
| best [8] 37/4 37/13 | 52/11 52/23 55/22 | 9/25 12/1 12/ | category [1] | collecting [1] 47/17 |
| 38/19 38/20 39/6 | 57/11 57/15 57/17 | 14/18 15/2 15/5 15/11 | catered [1] | Comcast [1] 102/5 |
| 69/17 84/15 98/7 | 58/13 65/5 67/16 | 68/24 | catering [1] | me [31] 1 |
| better [6] 7/22 8 | 68/15 74/17 76/5 | built [8] 12/6 12 | center [2] 1/3 71/19 | 28/22 33/8 34/6 |
| 17/3 43/4 83/13 | 77/22 77/23 78/4 | 12/16 12/17 23/4 | certain [2] 20/16 55/ | 37/11 39/9 40/25 |
| $106 / 25$ | 79/25 85/8 86/23 | 63/18 68/25 84/7 | certainly [2] 56/11 | 41/10 64/9 64/15 65/2 |
| between [4] 15 | 91/15 92/12 96/2 | bunch [1] 23/4 | 68/1 | 5 |
| 5815 78/19] | 98/1 103/9 103/20 | business [25] 2 | CERTIFICAT | 3/18 75/9 75/10 |
| ] 32 | 106/8 107/8 107/19 | 5/25 6/5 6/9 17/7 | 2/11 110/23 | 83/25 84/16 86/20 |
| ] | board's [4] | 20/13 20/14 31/25 | certify [2] 11 | 9/8 92/15 93/1 |
|  | 51/19 52/21 75/22 | 32/1 61/20 62/19 | 111/11 | 6/10 96/15 101/19 |
| 36/8 36/11 40/23 | board-driven [1] | 70/12 79/8 79/14 | CHAD [2] | 102/6 106/11 |
| 40/24 41/9 81/12 | boardings [1] 90/6 | 85/11 86/2 89/1 | Chair [6] 10/14 10/2 | es [5] 38/17 39/4 |
| bidders [1] 40/24 | b | /19 93 | 38/9 7 | 65/4 96/1 101/18 |
| bidding [2] 39/17 |  | 96/20 97/1 98/2 |  | $\text { ming [16] } 3 / 6 \text { 13/2 }$ |
| 40/6 |  |  | $15 \text { 17/23 } 18$ | $8 / 1242 / 143 / 1$ |
| bids [3] 40/7 40/9 | Boca [3] 24/1 2 |  | 54/12 100/23 107 | 0/10 64/1 67/ |
| 1/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boca } \\ & \text { 24/5 } \end{aligned}$ | buy [3] 59/7 100/25 | Challen [1] 1/18 | 101/12 102/15 102/ |
| big [21] 13/5 13/18 14/4 60/5 60/25 64/4 | bogg | $101 / 1$ | chance [4] 9/17 9/18 | 02/21 |
| 64/6 66/25 67/8 67 | b | C |  | mment [2] 25/2 |
| 67/10 70/1 73/13 | b |  |  |  |
| 76/20 84/15 85/14 |  |  | 01 | comments [2] 110/ |
| 85/15 87/11 96/19 | bonds [1] | calculates [1] 90/8 calendar [1] 105/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nged [3] } \\ & 656 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 110/19 |
| 101/1 108/18 | book [1] 88/2 booked [1] 87/25 | calendar [1] 105/22 calendars [1] 105/21 | changes [1] 36/ | commercial [15] <br> 22/23 24/2 36/8 52/ |
| big-ticket [3] 67/8 67/9 67/10 | bookkeeping [1] | $\text { call [7] } 3 / 13 / 924 / 23$ | $\text { CHAPMAN [2] } 1 / 12$ | 53/7 53/11 53/23 |
|  | 49/18 | 34/24 38/25 48/9 | 1 | 4/20 56/1 56/2 56/7 |
| $66 / 2$ | border | 100/ | charge [3] 90/24 92/3 | 1/19 87/20 91/1 |
|  | both [2] 12/1 12 | called [2] 46/18 94/17 | 94/13 | 103/6 |
| biggest [2] 8 | bottom [3] 55/9 55/13 | c |  | mmissioners |
| $100 / 22$ |  | came [9] 27/15 35/1 | chasing [1] | 76/11 |
|  | Boulevard [2] 1/16 | 61/16 81/24 | check [5] 63/10 63/12 | commit |
| [2 | 1/24 | /13 84/13 90/16 | 4/2 100/16 100/16 | 5/23 66/11 101/2 |
| bird's [1] 101/20 |  |  |  | itment [ |
| bit [14] 8/6 8/11 10/15 | boutique-look |  |  |  |
| 18/6 29/14 33/23 35/2 | $25 / 7$ | can't [12] 12/24 45/17 | imney [1] 12/11 | ommitted [1] 64/16 |
| 38/10 39/2 43/18 | brain [1] 97/7 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline 67 / 21 & 88 / 18 & 93 / 10 \\ 93 / 12 & 93 / 13 & 94 / 24 \end{array}$ | oice [3] 66/8 72/24 | committees' [1] |
| 62/12 79/18 104/5 | breakdo <br> Breeze | $95 / 3 \text { 106/21 107/4 }$ |  |  |
| 109/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Breeze [1] 89/15 } \\ & \text { Rrida r1] } 12 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | $107$ | culate [1] 106/17 | common [1] 82/19 |
| blah [3] 4/20 4/20 | Bridge [1] 12/16 brief [2] 52/20 57/13 | cancelled [1] 88/2 | culate [1] 106/ rrus [1] 28/21 | common [1] 82/19 communication [1] |
| 4/20 | brief [2] 52/20 57/13 briefing [5] 52/12 | cannibalizing [1] | rrus [1] 28/21 <br> rruses [1] 28/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { communication [1] } \\ & 70 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $52 / 1957 / 776 / 176 / 9$ | $93 / 14$ | $\text { ties [2] } 87 / 190 / 7$ | communities [2] |
|  | briefings [1] 58/9 | cannot [1] 92/12 | Civil [3] 13/6 13/9 | 92/19 92/22 |
| BLOCKER [4] | briefly [1] 36/13 | CAP [4] 14/6 14/7 | /1 | community [5] 85/22 |
|  | Brightline [2] 13/23 | 14/13 30/16 | arify [3] 42/11 48/21 | 7 87/23 |
| $\text { [1] } 9$ |  | capacity [5] 65/16 | 97/25 | 97/16 |
| blows [2] 101/3 | bring [9] 4/7 7/20 10/18 33/22 34/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 / 1785 / 1485 / 14 \\ & 100 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | CLARKE [4] 1/10 3/13 46/18 95/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Commuter [2] } 13 / 21 \\ & 13 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |

company [2] 11/17 28/1
Compass [10] 2/4 4/4 4/5 17/8 21/21 21/22 23/13 23/13 24/16 25/2
compete [1] 35/9 competitive [1] 39/17 competitively [3] 34/24 36/7 36/11 complete [2] 41/2 111/9
completely [3] 84/13 86/11 86/12
compliance [1] 42/12 component [1] $8 / 23$
components [1] 36/9
comps [1] 23/8
concept [1] 70/25
conceptionally [1] 33/7
concerned [1] 98/12
concession [1] 22/20
concluded [1] 110/22
conclusion [2] 84/25
90/17
concurrence [1] 6/8
conference [2] $1 / 3$
40/24
confident [1] 18/20
Congratulations [1]
104/17
Congress [1] 89/10
conjunction [1] 80/8
connected [1] 111/14
connections [1]
78/11
consensus [5] 10/24 15/20 15/21 16/4 98/1
consent [3] 50/12
50/16 50/20
consider [1] 55/12
consideration [2]
33/23 81/18
consistent [4] 34/2
37/7 37/14 65/10
constrained [1] 21/1
contacted [1] 54/21
contemplated [1] 103/7
continue [2] 6/24 64/25
contract [4] 30/23
48/23 70/17 82/22
convenient [1] 83/8
conversation [2]
44/23 62/23
cookies [1] 110/15
coordinate [2] 19/20 77/24
copied [1] 16/18
copy [1] 16/13
corner [1] 72/25
corporate [20] 29/23
29/24 46/13 46/24 46/25 50/3 54/20
55/24 56/1 56/2 69/19
$72 / 172 / 1372 / 2373 / 1$ 73/5 73/13 73/25
100/14 100/14 correct [4] 19/17 42/13 49/7 77/8 correctly [1] 8/12 cost [9] 19/18 20/10 20/13 32/4 81/13 82/1 82/2 94/3 95/18 costs [10] 19/9 20/2 20/8 20/12 21/6 60/22 61/1 73/13 94/14 95/17
could [51] 6/7 6/10 10/7 10/14 10/20 10/23 10/24 14/3 16/7 16/8 17/11 19/9 21/9 23/7 25/13 26/8 36/13 43/23 44/13 51/11 51/12 51/24 52/5 53/9 54/7 57/1 62/24 67/10 67/11 72/22 72/24 73/24 75/5 75/13 75/17 76/5 76/6 77/20 77/22 78/24 80/2 87/14 93/25 97/23 100/3 102/14 105/10 106/25 107/5 108/21 109/13
couldn't [3] 25/5 25/6 82/7
Council [2] 22/13 109/15
counsel [10] 1/16
1/19 3/23 5/25 8/20 20/18 30/22 60/16 111/12 111/14
counterpart [1] 23/11 counterparts [2] 24/9 24/12
county [8] 3/8 10/5
28/15 76/11 77/13
77/14 111/4 111/17
couple [4] 5/16 29/14 49/15 61/9
course [3] 34/13 43/15 83/5
Court [2] 1/23 3/24 COURTNEY [3] 1/21 27/23 102/4 cover [1] 90/1 covered [1] 104/5 CPI [1] 47/13 craft [3] 37/20 38/3 39/10
cranes [1] 101/23 crash [1] 99/10 credit [2] 48/11 49/1 Cultural [1] 10/4 cup [1] 89/15 curious [1] 43/20 current [4] 36/15 36/19 49/24 53/19 custodians [1] 32/20 cycle [2] 49/11 49/21

## D

date [6] 40/6 45/20 55/4 55/10 68/14

105/22
DATED [1] 111/16
dates [1] 27/1
day [8] 12/25 22/24
28/18 39/9 65/3 67/17
94/22 111/16
days [1] 38/15
Daytona [5] 86/19
90/21 91/8 91/8 93/2
de [1] 1/24
deadheading [1] 72/8 deal [8] 7/9 18/21
20/10 43/1 43/1 90/12 91/8 98/7
deal's [1] 6/18
dealing [2] 33/15 68/10
dealings [1] 62/16
deals [2] 19/25 23/2
debt [1] 66/2
decide [6] 17/2 29/11
55/17 79/25 79/25 88/13
decided [4] 5/2 36/7 55/19 66/4
decision [3] 36/6 65/5 66/10
decisions [2] 41/11 41/19
declared [1] 35/15
defense [1] 70/12
deficient [1] 29/5
define [1] 8/6
definitely [3] 11/19
53/1 83/21
degrees [1] 78/19
delegate [1] 77/23
delegation [5] 67/18
67/20 68/15 74/23 75/10
Delicious [1] 110/17 demand [2] 61/22 61/23
DENNIS [3] 1/10 43/17 44/25
department [5] 28/15
69/3 72/13 72/24 73/25
departments [3] 72/1 73/6 100/14
deposit [6] 45/6 47/2 47/17 47/19 48/6 48/15
deposited [1] 48/4
deposits [2] 45/1 45/4
depot [2] 27/4 27/6
depots [1] 27/11
description [5] 8/3
106/12 106/14 108/12 108/18
descriptions [1] 106/18
deserves [1] 85/16
desirable [1] 64/6
desire [1] 81/17
desk [1] 28/2
detail [1] 32/25
detailed [2] 85/9 86/6
detailing [1] 27/10
details [1] 30/22
determine [1] 54/25
Detroit [2] 86/16
86/18
develop [9] 7/22
11/11 16/1 20/20
64/10 64/15 64/21
65/6 69/16
developed [1] 7/12
developer [9] 6/18
8/14 10/10 64/1 64/5
64/14 64/20 65/2 85/3
developers [4] 4/16
7/6 23/13 33/17
developing [3] 37/16
65/23 80/16
development [11]
4/24 11/17 25/3 31/2 31/21 36/3 37/7 37/8 37/16 62/17 66/12
dialogue [1] 4/12
did [23] 4/7 16/13 16/14 28/19 32/3 54/14 54/18 58/21 59/19 72/14 82/17 84/19 86/25 88/1 90/20 91/6 91/7 95/13 104/14 109/8 109/10 109/19 111/7
didn't [15] 4/15 27/3
27/4 41/17 52/4 52/4 56/1 56/3 59/21 68/19 68/20 82/10 87/22 105/6 105/8
different [15] 24/13
27/8 27/9 35/2 37/9
47/12 47/13 53/4 81/9
84/9 84/14 84/16 85/2
86/12 90/7
difficult [1] $8 / 5$
diligence [8] 18/7
19/22 19/23 21/4 21/5
22/6 22/7 22/8
diligences [1] 21/3
dime [2] 67/4 81/19
direct [8] 11/1 15/18
16/1 16/2 16/2 57/15
58/11 70/6
directed [1] 7/21
director [15] 1/20
1/21 3/22 3/22 8/2
16/20 17/25 38/23
39/1 42/22 74/18
76/23 77/8 105/13
108/24
dirt [10] 31/22 31/23
32/4 63/15 63/19 64/5
74/4 83/16 84/10
100/5
disagree [1] 20/18
disclosed [1] 53/3
discourages [1] 45/25
discuss [5] 6/5 35/6
91/6 96/19 103/19
discussed [3] 15/17
85/20 90/12
discussing [3] 10/19
50/8 50/14
discussion [10] 2/8
9/1 34/15 36/21 39/20 65/21 79/8 79/12 85/10 105/14
discussions [2] 11/12 14/24
disintegrate [1] 14/20 distinguish [1] 56/2 distinguished [1] 79/2
distinguishes [1] 71/5
distraction [1] 33/19 distributed [1] 50/4 divided [2] 54/13 54/19
do [107] 3/9 4/16 6/12 6/19 6/19 7/5 8/1 8/21 9/4 9/8 9/9 10/20
11/11 11/25 12/1
13/10 16/15 17/2 17/5
17/11 17/14 18/7
18/12 18/13 18/14
19/2 19/25 20/10 21/9
21/17 22/17 22/23
24/11 25/18 25/19
25/20 28/17 29/2
31/13 32/10 33/20
35/6 38/2 38/22 44/18
47/10 47/24 48/23
49/15 50/19 53/9
55/12 55/12 61/10 61/12 63/12 64/12 64/25 65/3 67/15 67/21 68/4 68/4 68/7 68/12 69/10 69/22
76/2 78/6 78/22 81/22
82/2 83/1 83/4 84/18 85/3 85/5 85/8 86/9 88/4 88/9 93/7 93/15 94/16 94/18 96/13 96/18 96/22 96/22 97/25 99/5 99/25
100/1 100/9 101/24
102/5 102/16 103/7
103/21 105/24 106/6
106/6 106/21 106/22
107/4 107/10 107/12
document [1] 59/21
does [19] 5/1 12/20
14/13 18/10 21/15
33/9 33/10 37/5 39/6
39/13 51/1 51/2 74/15
77/24 82/1 86/7 86/8
101/13 105/22
doesn't [7] 35/14 35/16 48/17 50/25 64/19 95/20 95/25
doing [24] 4/11 4/15 4/24 5/8 5/10 5/10 7/2 8/5 9/6 19/22 20/12 20/13 21/4 21/4 23/25
63/2 64/10 64/16 66/11 74/21 81/1 81/14 92/20 106/2 dollar [5] 59/2 90/21 90/23 91/2 91/9 dollar-wise [1] 59/2 dollars [4] 90/5 94/25
dollars... [2] 95/6 99/1 don't [86] 9/17 12/9
13/11 14/4 14/15
14/16 18/5 22/19
22/23 24/21 24/21
26/10 29/8 31/12
31/25 32/1 32/8 32/22 32/22 33/11 33/14
36/1 36/5 36/21 39/16
39/18 43/15 45/14
45/16 45/20 45/22
47/14 47/23 48/9
48/14 48/15 51/9
51/22 52/9 52/10
56/11 56/16 56/18
57/10 57/11 59/12
59/25 60/25 63/19
65/15 65/16 66/11 66/15 66/18 70/5
70/19 72/2 73/14 79/5
79/9 82/5 85/11 86/16
88/12 88/20 89/2
91/14 91/15 91/22 91/22 95/2 96/9 96/15 97/10 97/13 97/14 97/19 98/17 98/18 98/19 99/1 100/11 100/15 103/1 106/17 107/9
done [17] 4/22 6/4 7/8 23/14 24/16 24/18 39/5 40/4 55/23 59/22 68/8 76/3 80/17 82/8 93/21 100/16 102/2 door [3] 65/11 82/21 82/22
DOT [2] 42/6 42/8 doubt [1] 80/14 Douglas [1] $1 / 15$ down [13] 8/19 13/19 26/10 27/11 42/1 55/12 58/24 64/18 66/2 76/25 98/17 100/7 106/14
downtown [3] 12/9
12/15 15/4
drafted [1] 107/21
drive [6] 5/21 5/22
43/15 86/8 86/15 87/16
driven [1] 7/14
driving [1] 42/25
dry [1] 70/23
due [9] 18/7 19/21
19/22 21/3 21/4 21/5 22/6 22/7 22/8

## E

e-mail [1] 16/15
each [4] 50/1 51/13
51/15 52/1
ear [1] $71 / 25$
earlier [2] 12/19 65/7
earn [1] 47/21
easier [1] 37/20
east [3] 11/24 27/12 60/3
easy [3] 49/11 83/7 83/9
economic [2] 67/2 93/7
educate [1] 74/20 effectively [1] 108/19 eight [2] 73/1 87/1 either [2] 31/16 39/18 either/or [1] 39/18 elected [2] 7/15 7/16 elevation [1] 28/8 else [11] 9/17 42/4 43/9 64/21 74/15 79/4 83/25 84/5 87/15 88/1 88/3
elsewhere [1] 77/13 emphasized [1] 36/9 emphasizing [1] 71/1 employee [2] 111/12 111/13
empty [1] 73/17
encourage [3] 24/7
76/13 100/2
end [7] 20/2 29/25
39/9 47/19 73/5 73/6 75/6
ended [2] 45/7 90/20
energy [1] 58/8
engage [2] 76/10 90/19
engine [4] 101/2 101/11 101/12 101/22 engineering [17] 4/25 5/6 5/8 27/24 27/25 40/2 40/5 80/18 80/23 80/23 81/6 81/7 81/9 81/11 81/22 82/3 82/7
engineering-wise [1] 5/8
engines [2] 100/11 101/1
enormous [2] 72/4 73/2
enormously [1] 73/19 enough [4] 34/1 59/1 86/6 89/13
enter [1] 37/25
entity [3] 8/25 37/24 38/1
entrusted [1] 37/2
envy [1] 69/14
equal [1] 48/12
ESQUIRE [2] 1/15 1/18
essentially [3] 19/14 30/23 33/2
establish [1] 89/17 established [1] 92/25 estate [1] 9/1
estimate [1] 82/2
evaluate [1] $37 / 12$
even [9] 25/12 39/3
45/16 53/2 56/16 94/2 94/21 96/10 97/16
event [2] 67/19 70/25
events [1] 74/23
eventually [1] 26/21
ever [3] 56/18 84/1 99/6
every [17] 12/25
109/12
fall [1] 26/10
familiar [1] 83/10
family [1] 71/22
fan [1] 101/3
fantastic [1] 91/20
far [5] 7/8 17/7 40/4
56/22 87/16
fatal [1] 49/19
favor [1] 5/10
FBO [1] 103/13
FDOT [4] 97/5 97/6 98/22 98/24 February [21] 10/19 10/25 15/21 16/6 17/9 22/1 43/3 43/7 44/1 52/13 77/19 80/5 86/24 96/23 102/22 107/9 107/16 108/2 108/10 110/7 111/16
FEC [2] 12/8 27/10
federal [1] 38/1
fee [3] 48/8 48/10 48/12
feedback [1] 58/10
feeding [1] 67/5
feel [4] 33/12 58/13
60/14 77/11
fees [1] 90/1
fellow [1] 42/2
fence [1] 42/4
ferry [1] 100/15
few [3] 49/20 88/4
104/11
Fi [1] 102/3
fide [3] 46/1 46/24 53/9
field [3] 53/10 56/8
100/24
figure [2] 28/19 77/15
expenditures [1] 6/20
expenses [1] 94/21
expensive [2] 21/11
73/19
experience [1] 73/12
experiences [1] 24/1
expiration [1] 38/15
explode [1] 97/8
expressing [1] 10/6
extend [1] 107/22
extra [1] 37/23

## F

FAA [19] 9/1 35/18
36/1 36/3 37/23 41/15
41/21 42/6 42/8 42/9 42/10 42/13 64/12
64/13 90/4 95/1 95/6
98/24 99/2
FAA's [1] 98/25
facilities [3] 63/14 63/23 64/3
facility [5] 63/7 64/14
64/20 64/22 97/12
facing [1] 11/5
fact [3] 41/17 45/22
70/1
factor [1] 99/8
fair [1] 18/22
fairly [3] 21/10 23/18

33/8 47/10 47/16 68/9
68/11 81/24 86/14
90/6 99/4 105/25
first-order [1] 68/11
fiscal [1] 69/6
fittings [2] 101/19
101/21
five [2] $46 / 675 / 2$
fix [2] $47 / 1849 / 5$
fixed [2] 47/16 49/19
fixing [1] 53/17
FL [1] 1/24
flag [1] $87 / 11$
Flagler [3] 12/8 12/10 75/7
flavor [1] 39/12
flawed [1] 86/2
fliers [1] 28/23
flight [4] 73/6 88/1
88/2 93/5
flights [5] 87/14 87/15
90/7 92/20 93/10
Florida [15] 1/5 1/16
1/18 22/12 22/13
27/12 67/7 69/8 69/25
72/6 87/2 89/20
109/14 111/3 111/17
Florida/metro [1] 89/20
flow [2] 63/16 63/17
flown [2] 88/11 99/9
fly [2] 45/17 101/21
flying [3] 28/7 87/24 93/7
focus [3] 42/21 53/17 98/8
folks [8] 27/23 66/23
72/5 72/6 72/11 72/15
78/15 102/1
follow [1] 83/5
following [2] 23/19
27/22
food [1] 76/4
foolish [1] 76/17
foot [5] 32/4 69/12
72/3 82/21 82/22
foregoing [1] 111/8
foregone [1] 84/25
forget [1] 45/24
fork [1] 65/8
form [1] 48/24
formal [5] 57/19 76/7
76/8 83/4 83/4
format [2] 107/13
107/13
Fort [3] 100/8 100/8 102/20
Fortunately [1] 55/9
forward [14] 6/13
7/11 8/9 16/22 17/21
20/8 23/23 31/3 36/10
57/19 62/14 82/13
98/13 105/14
found [2] 47/9 88/10
four [4] 50/3 75/1
75/3 105/5
Foxtrot [1] 28/20
frame [1] 20/11
framing [1] 20/16

| F | 76/20 77/24 78/13 | 28/23 47/8 75/7 76/2 | Hastings [3] 9/23 | 81/25 81/25 82/6 82/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frankly [1] 86/16 | 79/9 84/21 86/9 86/15 | 80/15 82/5 83/12 |  | high-end [2] |
| free [1] 94/23 | 95/21 96/4 98/22 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { freight [3] } 12 / 427 / 9 \\ & 27 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | 102/19 103/21 107/12 | 34/5 37/22 54/7 97/14 | having [14] 11/2 20/1 | $66 / 7$ |
| fresh [2] 33/2 83/16 | 107/14 107/23 108/5 | guide [2] 15/15 58/1 | 25/18 25/20 33/16 | highest [3] 37/4 37/13 |
| frill's [1] 89/16 | 10 | guideline [1] 21/19 | 47/9 53/24 67/16 70/6 | 39/5 |
| front [2] 47/18 82/ | goal [2] | guidelines [1] 103/21 | 71/13 74/17 84/6 85/3 | highligh |
| s [1] 28/9 | goes [8] 11/24 33/10 | Gulfstream [1] 72/8 | 98/21 | highlighting [1] 68/3 |
| ontage [2] 36/8 | 38/22 38/23 39/2 64/1 | Gun [1] 59/7 | he [13] | highway [3] 60/8 |
| 7/17 |  | guys [2] 67/4 | 30/ | 60/19 60/23 |
| F |  | H |  | hir |
|  | good [34] 19/19 22/21 | had | He'll [2] 29/11 42/2 | 62/18 75/6 75 |
| 94/3 94/12 94/13 | 23/16 23/20 24/22 | 11/17 24/18 27/8 44/7 | he's [18] 5/14 8/4 8/5 | 75/17 |
| 94/14 | 28/17 28/19 29/13 | 45/4 54/6 55/6 68/9 | 29/17 30/7 30/13 | 107/22 |
| $\text { 3] } 6$ | 38/4 42/17 42/19 43/1 | 68/11 68/12 68/22 | 30/18 32/2 32/3 35/10 | hire [1] 19/12 |
|  | 43/1 49/3 58/12 58/14 | 73/1 87/8 88/2 | 42/1 42/2 42/3 42/9 | hiring [1] 19/1 |
|  | 58/17 63/9 63/9 64/18 | 88/11 95/8 99/6 | 62/16 75/7 75/18 | his [17] 8/4 29/11 |
|  | 67/2 73/24 77/2 77/16 | 10 | 107/20 | 29/13 29/15 29/16 |
|  | 78 | half [4] | head [7] 15/22 43/6 | 30/2 30/7 30/13 3 |
|  | 84/17 89/6 91 | 97/5 107/5 | 77/6 78/2 94/4 94/12 | 30/22 38/24 62/13 |
| 90/20 96/24 111/11 | 91/17 97/14 104/1 | ha | 104/16 | 2/17 62/18 75/13 |
| future [8] | 107 | handle [1] | he | 6/23 107/23 |
| 73/4 80/1 80/1 96/20 | Go | handled [2] 49/17 | hear [7] 16/7 80/1 | c [4] |
| 96/22 107/11 | 5/ |  | 80/5 82/15 90/9 96 | 15/9 25/ |
|  | 1] | 1] | 97/18 | hits [1] 65 |
| G |  | handout [2] | heard [4] 4/17 44/24 | - |
| ga |  |  |  | 2lding [4] 26/22 |
| game [1] 45/12 | 35/3 35/8 38/1 41/14 43/14 43/16 46/17 | hands [2] 26/22 75/13 hangar [31] 2/5 2/6 | hearing [4] 65 65/15 95/17 98 | olding [4] 26/22 6/23 60/21 61/1 |
| age [1] | $46 / 1953 / 658 / 1060$ | $27 / 1927 / 2028 / 20$ | 2] $1 / 326$ | ome [1] 76/20 |
|  | 60/9 61/5 63/24 64/18 | 29/3 29/5 29/11 29/20 | help [5] 15/10 36/4 | homes [1] 84/14 |
|  | 66/12 66/25 68/5 | 31/14 39/20 39/22 | 77/24 78/24 109/15 | HondaJet [1] 100 |
|  | 71/14 71/16 71/16 | 39/23 39/24 43/11 | helpful [4] 50/6 50/10 | HondaJets [4] 100/1 |
| 31/10 69/13 | 73/23 75/18 85/2 | 46/5 46/14 47/1 | 53/19 58/2 | 102/11 102/12 102/15 |
| 74/21 98/2 102/16 | 86/13 88/17 90/21 | 48/23 49/5 | helping [1] 11/1 | honest [1] 50/4 |
| generally [5] 29/9 | 91/12 93/1 95/14 99/3 | 53/14 54/19 55/3 55/7 | here [75] 3/12 3/13 | hook [1] 70/4 |
| 9/8 49/9 49/10 50 | 101/24 102/4 | 55/11 55/25 56/9 | 3/16 3/18 3/20 4/1 | hope [2] 21/6 85/8 |
| tleman [2] 2 | gotten [2] 4/6 | 61/19 70/16 73/13 | 4/15 4/21 6/16 8/14 | hopefully [1] 109/11 |
| 28/6 | government [4] 8/25 | hangars [11] 30/17 | 10/22 16/2 16/11 | hoping [1] 13/17 |
|  | 21/2 21/13 37/24 | 43/9 43/12 55/1 62/ | 16/16 18/17 28/7 | hotel [1] 25/7 |
| gets [6] 24/1 44/12 | governor [2] | 18 | /12 32/14 38/1 | hotels [1] 23/4 |
| 48/4 67/8 67/9 105/18 | 75/12 | 64/4 71/16 73/6 | 40/21 41/18 41/21 | hour [4] 100/17 |
| getting [13] 4/21 | gra | ha | 58/11 60/10 60/13 | 100/25 101/8 101 |
| 22/19 25/17 41/14 | grant [3] 67/7 6 | 33/11 56/19 59/2 | 61/20 63/10 65/3 | hours [1] 102/16 |
| 47/2 6 | 90 | 63/13 | 67/17 67/23 68/2 68/6 | hours' [1] 87/7 |
| 81/7 89/7 102/4 | grant | happening [3] | 68/8 68/15 69/20 | house [8] 12/10 5 |
| 102/14 109/16 | 42/3 63/25 67/4 98/17 | 60/9 86/16 | /25 70/1 | 61/20 67/21 75/5 8 |
| gift [1] 14/23 | grasp [1] | happens [4] | 71/22 72/11 72/16 | 104/14 104/15 |
| give [21] 16/9 | great [14] 4/14 8/5 9/6 | 47/19 101/3 101/9 | 73/2 74/14 76/12 | housing [2] 70/1 71 |
| 34/1 34/22 43/5 47/23 | 20/24 34/21 63/17 | happy [5] 16/10 51/25 | 78/15 85/17 85/24 | how [30] 7/2 14/13 |
| 52/7 57/2 59/8 68/16 | 67/22 68/6 73/3 76/15 | 87/5 91/13 108/25 | 86/9 86/10 86/15 | 14/25 25/1 29/2 29/4 |
| 79/19 82/3 82/12 | 79/1 79/7 90/3 102/1 | hard [2] 16/25 35/8 | 86/20 87/16 87/24 | 31/22 39/23 40/6 40/8 |
| 84/10 90/22 91/1 | gritty [1] 108/12 | harder [1] 52/2 | 89/7 89/17 90/10 92/1 | 40/10 46/8 46/10 51/1 |
| 94/14 94/20 102/8 | ground [4] 89/25 | has [35] 4/2 5/9 6/ | 92/3 92/15 93/1 93/1 | 51/20 54/13 59/13 |
| 104/9 105/6 | 103/17 103/18 104/21 | 6/21 7/24 9/2 9/20 | 95/8 96/10 96/15 | 59/18 66/11 67/15 |
|  | group [4] 9/18 16/21 | 13/1 13/12 15/13 | 97/11 97/19 100/11 | 73/13 74/22 76/23 |
| ] 97/ | 24/16 27/24 | 31/1 | 100/19 102/3 102/15 | 77/11 79/16 80/21 |
| glad [1] 9/3 | growing [1] 7 | 31 | 105/11 107/20 109/8 | 5/10 95/17 105/14 |
| go [45] 6/17 8/11 9/5 | growth [1] 73/4 | 38/11 39/16 | 109/10 110/4 | 108/19 |
| 10/9 10/16 12/25 | Grumman [5] 69/25 | 43/9 48/6 50/9 51/6 | here's [6] 34/7 54/3 | 's [1] 42/5 |
| 15/19 16/3 28/14 | 70/7 70/9 71/15 73/23 | 52/23 62/15 65/5 | 84/10 84/11 84/11 | hub [5] 86/25 87/1 |
| 32/23 35/12 38/7 42 | guarantee [4] 90/22 | 69/13 75/5 75/12 | 106/5 | 92/16 92/17 93/2 |
| 48/13 53/4 59/12 | 90/23 91/2 91/9 | 94/1 99/15 102/10 | hey [5] 33/9 35/6 | hubs [2] 92/20 92/2 |
| 59/15 62/3 | gu | 104/11 | 51/16 84/23 89/8 | huge [2] 61/18 61/23 |
| 64/24 72/17 72/18 | guarding [1] 37/3 | hashed [2] 104 | Hi [1] 42/4 | hugger [1] 5/14 |
| 72/19 72/20 76/20 | guess [16] 4/6 4/1 <br> 4/23 5/23 12/20 | 105/1 | high [10] 34 | huh [7] 15/7 21/16 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 48/9 48/23 51/24 52/5 |  |  |  |
| hurry [1] 58/24 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 / 655 / 1257 / 16 \\ & 58 / 1059 / 1162 / 23 \\ & 63 / 563 / 2263 / 2264 / \\ & 65 / 2267 / 567 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { I'd [4] } & 43 / 20 & 56 / 1! \\ 58 / 23 & 80 / 7 \end{array}$ | 69/22 71/2 71/13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | items [5] 2/8 50/16 | knows [2] 45/14 46/6 <br> Kyle [1] 42/1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| I'Il [21] 6/24 21/8 | 72/25 76/8 79/9 80/2 80/4 82/6 82/25 86/13 | $\begin{aligned} & 92 / 24 \\ & \text { interrupt [1] } 67 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | ts [4] 24/2 63/6 69/9 85/13 |  |
|  | 86/14 86/17 90/5 92/4 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | interrupted [1] 62/23 | itself [2] 5/19 108/23 |  |
|  | /2 95/18 96/1 9 | interviews [1] 83/13 |  | akeland |
|  |  | intimately [1] 83/10 |  |  |
| 77/3 82/25 84/21 85/5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| I'm [58] 3/13 9/3 | imagine [ | 30/17 31/16 31/17 | JAIME [16] 1/20 21/15 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 33/25 35/18 35/25 |  | 36/1 37/17 60/2 60/7 |
|  | immedia | 37/25 38/13 38/14 | 1 50/10 5 | 0/18 60/21 60/25 |
|  | impacts [1] 25/13 | 48/4 49/12 52/ | 52/10 57/6 65/4 70/5 | 63/3 63/6 69/15 |
|  | implementation [1] 103/20 | 54/19 58/8 59/20 | 2/10 84/19 88/7 |  |
| 61/16 61/17 63/9 |  | 67/11 68/17 81/17 | Jaime's [1] 23/23 | landlord [2] 63/14 $63 / 15$ |
|  | implemen | $\begin{aligned} & 92 / 20 \text { 101/4 101/12 } \\ & 104 / 14 \text { 107/12 107/14 } \end{aligned}$ |  | lane [1] 72/23 |
| 1 |  |  |  | language [8] 31/10 |
|  | implic | 108/11 | January [1] 1/6 | 32/19 34/13 99/18 |
|  |  | inundated [1] 33/16 | 24/4 86/15 |  |
|  | important | invest [1] 58/7 <br> investors [1] 18/8 | JENNIFER [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99/19 99/22 99/23 } \\ & \text { 107/21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 36/24 42/20 71/6 |  |  | large [2] 17/16 54/23 |
|  | impression [1] 48/3 | invite [8] 67/17 67/20 | Jennifer's [1] 83/25 | largely [2] 70/22$73 / 17$ |
| 96/4 96/6 96/16 98/12 | incentive [1] 72/4 incentives [1] 72/7 | 67/20 68/14 75/6 75/8 | JEREMIAH [2] 1/15 |  |
| 99/6 101/6 103/23 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 75 / 1375 / 17 \\ \text { involved [5] } 8 / 138 / 21 \end{array}$ | 104/22 | 73/17 <br> largest [1] 93/6 |
| /24 108/1 | incentives [1] 72/7 incentivize [1] 20/16 |  | jet [8] 29/13 88/6 94/1 | largest [1] 93/6 <br> last [12] 17/5 28/3 |
| - | include [1] 62/18 including [1] 59/6 incorporate [2] 15/2 | 8/22 9/10 74/22 |  | $28 / 5^{\circ} 28 / 1236 / 746 / 12$ |
|  |  | issue [3] 45/11 87/21 | jets [3] 28/21 73/1 | 58/25 71/21 86/23104/7 105/3 106/7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incorporate [2] } 15 / 2 \\ & 15 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | later [2] 71180 |
|  | increased [1] 30/1 | issues [1] 42/22 | job [8] 8/3 8/5 106/12 | Lauderdale [3] 100/8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incumbency [1] } \\ & 83 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | it [260] <br> It'd [1] 51/7 <br> it's [106] 2/13 4/13 | $\begin{aligned} & 106 / 14 \text { 106/14 106/18 } \\ & 108 / 12 \text { 108/17 } \end{aligned}$ | Law [1] 1/15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | indeed [2] 66/3 73/ |  | JOHNS [7] 1/1 3/8 | layout [1] 64/19 <br> lead [1] 69/17 |
| [8] | individual [2] 48/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { it's [106] } 2 / 13 \text { 4/13 } \\ & 9 / 19 / 69 / 2011 / 411 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $33 / 1$ 33/5 33/8 35/9 | 93/22 | $13 / 413 / 513 / 616 / 16$$16 / 2519 / 5$ 20/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28/15 75/19 75/21 } \\ & 111 / 4 \text { 111/17 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | individually [1] 24/1 industries [1] 71/7 |  |  | leadership [1] 76/11 |
|  |  | $21 / 11 \text { 24/9 25/1 25/2 }$ | Joyce [4] 25/2 25/3 | aking [1] 26/14 <br> aks [3] 13/3 14/9 |
| 80/18 83/2 84/13 | industry [2] 23/21 | 25/3 25/12 26/9 26/14 26/14 26/20 26/21 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 25 / 4 \text { 25/20 } \\ \text { July [1] 69/7 } \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | leaks [3] 13/3 14/9 $26 / 19$ |
| id | informal [1] 10/15 information [7] 9/16 | 26/22 26/25 28/1 | jump [2] 68/12 100/4 <br> jumped [2] 8/9 104/4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lean [1] 67/25 } \\ & \text { leaning [1] } 23 / 23 \\ & \text { lease [35] } 4 / 75 / 18 \\ & 5 / 195 / 225 / 227 / 22 \\ & 13 / 12 \quad 29 / 1031 / 231 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 28/24 29/10 29/22 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 / 2350 / 2451 / 8 \\ & 51 / 2057 / 1695 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 29/24 30/9 31/21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { junk [1] 44/20 } \\ & \text { just [135] } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 31/22 33/7 35/2 35 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { informational [1] } \\ & 102 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 39/9 39/18 40/1 40/1 | K |  |
|  |  |  |  | $1 / 631 / 731 / 1131 / 14$ <br> 1/16 31/18 31/21 |
|  | informed [1] 104/19 | 42/20 43/11 43/14 | keep [7] 23/24 48/15 |  |
|  | initial [2] 20/2 77/19 | 44/21 45/11 45/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50/4 98/20 104/18 } \\ & 104 / 20110 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $32 / 632 / 932 / 1532 / 16381243 / 12$ |
|  | initiated [1] 87/2 | 47/14 47/20 47/21 |  |  |
|  | initiating [1] 5/17 input [4] 7/9 9/9 32/21 | 49/14 49/17 49/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104/20 110/5 } \\ & \text { keeps [1] } 44 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | 33/8 35/3 48/12 49/12 58/22 59/5 61/10 |
|  |  |  | Key [1] 87/16 |  |
|  | 36/23 | 54/5 55/16 56/21 | kind [43] 6/12 7/11 <br> 7/21 7/23 8/9 10/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103/7 103/8 103/17 } \\ & 104 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ins [1] 83/11 <br> instead [2] 48/20 | 57/19 57/19 58/1 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62/19 62/25 63/23 } \\ & 65 / 2566 / 966 / 2567 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 217 / 238 / 9 \text { 10/16 } \\ & 10 / 2115 / 1522 / 122 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | leased [2] 67/1 101/2 |
|  | 86/15 |  | $\text { 22/5 22/17 23/2 } 23 / 12$ | leases [9] 34/25 |
|  | interest [11] 8/8 8/16 | 65/25 66/9 66/25 67/1 69/18 73/13 76/1 76/8 | 28/23 32/18 33/5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1137 / 1138 / 15 \\ & 1149 / 549 / 6100 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 13 | 79/11 81/25 82/2 82/6$82 / 19 ~ 82 / 21 ~ 83 / 7 ~ 83 / 8 ~$ |  |  |
|  | 47/24 47/24 48/4 65/5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34 / 1136 / 1537 / 10383 / 5 \\ & 38 / 1038 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | $03 / 18$ |
| 35 | $\begin{gathered} \text { interested [17] 7/7 } \\ 9 / 199 / 2410 / 1911 / 2 \\ 11 / 1913 / 1620 / 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 / 2485 / 2385 / 24 \\ & 85 / 2486 / 1386 / 14 \\ & 88 / 989 / 1589 / 16 \\ & 91 / 1798 / 20 \quad 100 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 39/12 46/22 50/8 <br> 54/14 58/1 58/22 59/6 <br> 59/18 60/5 63/21 <br> 64/17 67/3 67/6 70/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leasing [2] } 64 / 5103 / 5 \\ & \text { least [3] } 18 / 2150 / 1 \\ & 62 / 15 \\ & \text { leave [4] } 15 / 1058 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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8/20 38/22
legislative [4] 67/17 67/18 67/20 68/14
legislature [1] 74/11
legs [1] 71/13
lens [1] 37/12
Leon [1] 1/24
less [4] 45/24 59/13
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81/1 81/25 82/6 83/21 84/8 84/10 84/10 84/11 86/11 87/9 87/17 88/5 88/6 88/22 92/15 92/22 95/24 96/20 98/10 101/4
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| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LUDLOW [4] } 1 / 12 \\ 3 / 1750 / 552 / 9 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| M |  |
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|  | Maguire [1] 13/15 |
|  | MAI [1] 19/15 |
|  | mail [1] 16/15 |
|  | main [3] 9/21 9/22 |
|  | 15/5 |
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|  | 100/4 100/9 |
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|  | 100/18 |
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63/14 64/3 69/9 94/24
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month [9] 47/3 50/22
51/4 51/13 63/16 78/3
82/18 107/23 107/23
month's [1] 45/6
monthly [1] 17/22
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49/20 54/15 85/17 95/8 107/22
more [38] 9/5 9/15
10/14 10/15 14/21
15/18 32/21 33/21
33/23 39/20 43/13
50/8 57/16 57/18
57/19 57/19 59/13
61/5 63/13 63/16 64/3
65/25 74/20 75/12
75/18 76/7 76/7 80/17 81/11 83/8 92/4 97/4
97/10 97/22 101/25
104/11 108/17 110/1
morning [2] 28/3 28/5
Moser [1] 71/22
most [11] 4/16 24/1
31/9 50/11 57/9 57/21
69/14 69/16 71/6
87/22 103/13

| M | ne | 89/ | 80/17 81/11 81/24 | 92/19 92/22 94/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mostly [1] 100/25 | 8/21 9/4 9/5 9/9 11/4 | Northrop [5] 69/25 | 82/13 84/14 85/2 | 94/15 94/19 95/17 |
| move [11] 8/9 14/18 | 14/14 15/18 17/7 | 70/7 70/9 71/15 73/23 | 85/19 87/25 88/ | 98/13 103/13 104/7 |
| 30/16 55/12 55/13 | 17/22 18/13 26/17 | not [115] | 91/12 92/7 94/14 98/9 | 107/9 108/9 |
| 64/25 67/6 74/16 96/3 | 29/25 35/21 36/23 | note [1] 27/25 | 98/20 103/19 105/3 | 9 110/6 |
| 7/23 104/14 | 371 | notes [1] |  | rselves.' [1] 65/6 |
| moved [4] 45/13 55/2 | 47/15 49/5 50/4 50/11 | nothing [7] 30/10 | one-year [1] 49/6 | out [52] 8/16 10/12 |
| 55/9 104/10 | 51/22 51/24 52/5 6 | 39/25 49/18 83/3 | ones [2] 87/22 93/8 | 14/16 19/10 19/21 |
| moves [1] 17/20 | 66/ |  | On | 20/5 23/15 23/24 24/8 |
| moving [4] 4/13 14/4 | 84/12 97/19 99/17 | notice [1] 87/7 | only [16] 5/7 6/2 | 24/12 25/11 26/21 |
| 62/14 103/16 | 101/22 105/4 106/1 | now [43] 4/18 8 | 7/18 9/2 12/15 17/5 | 27/16 28/1 28/7 |
| Mr [59] 3/13 3/19 3/22 | needed [3] |  |  | 28/22 28/22 35/12 |
| 3/22 3/23 3/24 4/3 | 103/2 | 19/24 23/2 31/22 | 66/20 66/21 71/3 | 40/7 45/3 52/3 59/2 |
| 4/14 5/9 5/13 6/11 8/2 | needs [8] | 33/7 33/21 | 72/12 82/13 93/23 | 59/5 63/20 64/4 65/12 |
| 8/18 8/21 10/13 10/14 | 55/19 56/22 56/24 | 35/11 36/21 40/3 | open [6] 25/9 28/20 | 66/25 67/5 67/18 |
| 10/20 10/21 11/3 | 57/21 60/17 65/25 | 40/21 43/14 47/12 | 72/23 92/16 95/25 | 68/12 69/17 71/4 71/5 |
| 15/25 16/3 18/10 | neither [1] 12/5 | 52/1 53/19 54/2 55/17 |  | 73/20 74/4 74/9 76/22 |
| 20/18 21/9 33/1 3 | never [3] 41/25 95/14 | 59/20 62/20 63/19 | opened [1] 4/12 | 77/15 81/12 83/22 |
| 38/9 42/12 46/18 | 105/18 | 65/16 68/13 70/13 | opening [3] 25/7 | 86/19 88/7 88/10 90/8 |
| 52/20 54/12 57/13 | new [8] | /13 75/18 87 |  | 94/18 101/18 101/20 |
| 7/23 58/10 58/11 | 32/15 34/24 43/12 | 88/21 92/3 92/11 96/1 | operated [1] | 101/23 109/16 109/17 |
| 59/8 59/8 62/4 62/6 | 67/8 70/17 104/ | 97/11 98/8 100 | operating [1 | 109/24 |
| 62/25 73/12 77/8 | new-coming [1] | /15 100/23 105/21 | operational [1] | outline [2] 7/23 8/3 |
| 79/20 79/23 80/2 94/4 | 43/12 | 105/23 109/23 | operations [5] 1/21 | outlined [1] 7/12 |
| 94/6 94/7 94/8 94/9 | n | , | 3/23 33/15 52/17 | [1] 83/ |
| 95/10 96/18 96/22 | next [10] 41/4 43/8 | number [6] 21/12 | 52 | outside [4] 23 |
| 98/3 99/17 100/23 | 58/20 69/6 79/10 91/7 | 68/23 69/2 82/23 | opportunities [4] | 77/10 77/12 98/5 |
| 107/8 107/20 109/5 | 95/22 104/12 105/13 | 84/12 98/9 | 18 | over [14] 4/7 26/7 |
| MRO [4] 2 | 108/9 | numbers [1] 82/4 | opportunity [8] 10/8 | 194 |
| 100/7 101/24 |  | 0 |  | (19 69/4 |
| Mrs [4] 6/25 7/19 |  |  |  |  |
| 32/17 77/7 |  |  | opposed [3] 19/4 | 103/21 103/24 |
| Ms [9] 3/11 3/15 3/17 |  |  |  | 30 |
| 5/8 38/5 50/5 52/9 | nitty [1] 108/12 | obscure [1] 87/ | option [1] | verhaul [1] |
| 110/12 110/16 | nitty-gritty [1] | observation [1] 85 | order [5] 3/2 68 | rlay [3] 4/17 5/7 |
| uch [18] 14/13 |  | obviously [4] | 8/9 68/11 |  |
| 15/12 29/2 29/4 31/8 | 9/15 13/24 | 0 | orientation [1] 83 | overlays [1] 4/25 |
| 33/21 45/22 59/13 | 29/19 30/11 31/19 | occupied [1] 13/8 | original [1] 55/10 | /2 |
| 59/18 66/7 71/21 | 32/2 35/21 35/21 | off [8] 18/5 18/6 20/2 | Originally [1] 11/21 | eeing |
| 73/13 80/21 95/17 | 35/21 39/21 42/9 | 24/6 43/6 43/15 54/1 | Orlando [3] 67/9 | versees [1] 38/1 |
| 101/25 102/24 103/25 | 42/19 43/10 47/24 | 55/3 | /2 | rsight [2] 9/2 |
|  | 53/25 54/7 54/7 56/20 | offer [2] 23/22 53/10 | other [37] 5/23 8/25 | 52/24 |
|  | 58/24 61/2 64/11 66/9 | offering [1] 29/18 | 12/19 17/4 19/3 23/14 | oversights [1] 50/9 |
| $\text { 1] } 16$ | 81/6 83/18 84/21 88/1 | office [2] 30/18 44/11 | 23/21 24/10 24/18 | owe [1] 104/13 |
|  | 88/18 91/3 92/14 | officer [1] 42/12 | 28/18 29/20 30/2 30/8 | own [9] 4/11 12/20 |
|  | 103/2 103/3 106/3 | official [1] 40/23 | 33/4 33/4 38/8 38/13 | 12/22 24/11 39/12 |
|  | 106/5 106/5 110/14 | officials [1] 7/15 | 43/16 43/21 46/8 | 93/14 94/15 94/19 |
|  | 110/19 | offline [1] 43/19 | 46/10 47/9 60/8 60/19 | 99/15 |
| 65/14 65/15 68/21 | noble [1] 5 | Oh [11] 11/14 26/1 | 60/22 70/8 78/11 | owning [1] 64/2 |
| 77/1 77/3 78/4 79/19 | Nods [4] 15/22 77/6 | 40/19 44/15 | 82/15 84/20 | P |
| 84/7 85/20 96/5 96/24 | 78/2 104/16 | 52/4 62/7 74/7 78/17 103/23 105/3 | 103/20 105 |  |
| 97/7 111/9 | non [2] 100/21 102/15 | OLSON [9] 1/1 | 102/15 103/20 105/5 |  |
| N |  | 1/13 10/20 11/3 | ot | [4] 20/8 89/12 |
| [1] | noncyclical [1] | 94/7 94/8 | other, you [1] 38 | 94/2 9 |
| name [7] 25/3 45/13 | none [3] 84/1 87 |  | rs [2] 47/8 8 | paper [1] 95/17 |
| 45/23 46/9 46/11 | 95/24 | once [1] | ought [1] 49/11 | rallel [2] |
| /23 54/8 | nonpro | one [59] 6/12 9/1 | our [53] 7/2 7/21 | arcel [2] 37/ |
| napkin [1] 8 | nor [2] 111/13 111/1 | 11/16 12/8 1 | 3 19/22 20/25 | Pardon [1] 14/12 |
| rrow [1] 106/14 | norm [1] 23/17 | 12/19 13/1 13/3 13 | 21/4 21/18 22/7 26/7 | parked [1] 73/2 |
|  | normal [2] 37/24 | 16/15 23/20 | 28/10 33/13 3 | parking [4] 67/8 |
| onally [1] 71/23 | 108/9 | 25/24 27/12 27/15 | 34/23 35/19 36/7 39/6 | 95/7 95/9 |
| nauseam [2] 90/12 | north [3] 1/24 60/3 | 28/18 30/17 35/9 38/8 | 44/13 46/11 46/13 | part [19] 10/17 |
| 95/16 [2] 90/12 | 70 | 38/20 44/22 45/6 49/6 | 46/15 52/8 52/17 | 9/9 20/12 20/13 21/9 |
| cessarily [4] 35/4 | northeast [15] | 49/21 53/5 54/21 | 52/25 61/6 65/10 | 27/3 27/5 34/17 51/ |
| $35 / 2561 / 464 / 15$ | 58/18 58/19 58/20 | 55/12 58/20 58/22 | 68/14 70/9 71/7 71/ | 6 52/12 52 |
| cessary [2] 18/20 | 59/3 59/24 61/9 62/25 | 61/9 66/22 67/11 71/6 | 72/12 80/18 82/7 | 54/23 57/8 62/23 68/8 |
| 103/3 | 63/22 72/25 74/19 | 71/9 73/11 75/4 76/3 | 83/11 87/23 90/19 | 74/10 103/5 |
|  | 80/9 80/16 83/15 | 77/23 78/12 79/23 | 91/17 92/4 92/7 92/7 | particular [4] 37/14 |

particular... [3] 79/10 90/12 97/12
parties [1] 111/12
parties' [1] 111/13
partnering [1] 7/8
parts [1] 109/7
party [5] 64/15 76/23
76/25 77/1 77/4
passenger [2] 12/4
12/13
Passero [4] 59/1 80/9 81/19 82/14
passing [1] 16/16
passwords [1] 109/21
past [1] 55/8
path [1] 105/14
Patrol [3] 13/6 13/9 26/1
Patty [1] 71/21
Paul [1] 75/7
pause [1] 62/20
pay [8] 19/4 19/13
19/18 21/14 89/21
91/23 91/24 101/8
paying [4] 9/7 81/2
81/21 87/9
PDK [1] 74/1
pent [1] 61/21
pent-up [1] 61/21
people [27] 6/22 29/8
45/5 45/23 45/25
46/13 47/23 52/1
53/20 54/6 55/6 61/19
64/9 65/10 66/14 66/15 66/21 68/22
76/8 78/11 83/5 87/24 88/17 91/21 91/24 95/18 109/16
per [1] 32/4
percent [9] 6/11 40/3 40/20 41/2 47/3 47/18 54/22 68/20 98/25
Perfect [2] 21/24 39/14
perhaps [1] 65/19 period [4] 18/8 40/22 55/7 107/12
Permit [1] 28/15
permitting [1] 63/4
person [6] 35/9 38/20 42/1 78/14 78/20 87/25
person's [1] 51/23
personal [1] 53/16
Personally [2] 56/6 80/7
PGA [1] 72/21
phase [1] 59/23
pick [3] 65/7 68/13 101/15
picked [1] 84/14
picking[2] 81/5 81/6
picture [4] 85/13
85/15 96/19 108/18
pilot [3] 71/20 88/21 92/14
pilots [6] 88/13 88/17 88/18 88/19 89/2 96/10
pitch [1] 74/10
PITTMAN [5] 1/21
3/22 52/20 57/13
58/10
Pittman's [1] 42/12
place [3] 26/6 26/10
71/25
places [4] 86/9 87/15
87/17 87/25
plan [26] 2/8 4/19
20/23 30/22 34/3
34/23 34/24 36/9 37/6
37/7 37/15 39/7 63/1
64/19 68/6 76/16 79/8
79/14 85/11 86/2
91/18 92/7 96/20 97/1
98/2 98/8
plane [1] 99/9
planes [2] 29/16 100/21
planned [2] 77/12
109/19
planner [1] 77/4
planning [4] 53/24
74/18 76/23 77/1
plat [1] $84 / 11$
plate [1] 61/6
pleasure [1] 51/19
PLEDGE [3] 2/2 3/2 3/5
plenty [1] 32/12
PLLC [1] $1 / 18$
plus [1] 90/4
pocket [1] 28/1
point [23] 2/4 4/4 4/5
9/11 10/11 11/15 17/8 21/21 21/22 22/21
23/13 32/11 38/2 41/3 56/3 56/15 57/19
61/11 63/8 65/1 71/12 77/20 98/16
points [1] 58/12
policies [2] 7/2 58/8
policy [33] 7/19 7/22
7/22 32/15 32/16
33/23 33/24 34/19
35/24 36/16 36/24
37/10 43/11 43/14
44/3 47/2 48/11 50/11
53/1 53/3 53/14 53/17
53/22 54/7 56/24
57/20 57/23 57/24
57/25 58/1 64/11
64/18 103/19
Ponce [1] 1/24
Ponte [2] 75/16 75/20 pool [1] 78/14 population [1] 93/6
position [4] 6/8 69/11
87/13 108/24
possible [3] 15/1 98/20 105/14
posted [2] 106/7 106/8
posting [2] 106/15 108/16
potential [2] 15/17 38/21
pounding [2] 5/9 41/14
power [2] 100/25 101/10
PowerPoint [1] 69/1 practice [1] 38/19 pre [1] 40/24
pre-bid [1] 40/24
precise [4] 99/18
99/19 99/21 99/23
preference [1] 53/16
preloading [1] 63/3
premature [1] 106/13
prematurely [1] 106/2
premises [1] 103/6
preparing [1] 80/3
present [5] 1/9 1/14
3/14 8/21 63/7
presentation [6]
24/18 25/18 25/20
68/16 76/6 80/3
presently [1] 100/11
preservation [1] 15/9
preserving [1] 9/24
president [1] 75/9
Presumably [1] 10/9
pretty [10] 15/12
26/25 66/2 71/20
87/11 87/19 88/7
102/24 103/25 104/1
previous [1] 22/10
previously [1] 106/8
print [3] 52/3 52/3 52/10
printed [1] 52/5
prior [2] 90/14 108/25 priorities [2] 85/19 92/7
prioritizing [1] 56/6
priority [8] 34/22 60/8
60/15 60/19 61/4 85/21 92/6 98/9
private [6] 29/23 53/6
56/9 93/4 93/7 93/9
probably [17] 4/17
17/22 23/7 44/20
53/20 54/22 56/20 61/6 63/23 70/2 71/3 76/7 83/12 85/12 87/21 98/15 100/13
problem [2] 44/19 47/12
problems [7] 35/19 35/25 43/15 49/17 55/6 56/4 68/11
procedure [1] 103/21
proceed [2] 105/15 108/19
proceeding [1] 85/10
proceedings [1]
111/8
process [9] 6/15 8/12 9/11 10/17 22/7 22/8 38/10 39/2 40/1
product [3] 28/6 36/22 80/8
Professional [2]

## 111/6 111/20

questions [4] 5/17
program [2] 9/21 9/22 8/13 9/13 110/12 programs [2] 101/5 101/7
progress [7] 2/3 2/4
2/7 4/4 4/6 58/19

## 58/21

project [14] 2/5 7/6 17/17 17/22 23/17 25/3 27/19 27/20
39/20 42/4 60/5 62/14
103/17 104/21
projects [2] 58/23
60/13
promoted [1] 29/7
properly [1] 47/15
property [2] 12/22
62/10
proponent [2] 19/10
84/15
proposal [8] 34/4
37/6 61/15 61/17
61/18 80/18 88/9
88/18
proposals [2] 33/22
81/7
propose [1] 82/10
proposed [2] 20/1
106/18
proposing [1] 63/1
protect [1] 33/13
protecting [1] $7 / 3$
provide [3] 36/4 67/1
89/24
providing [3] 32/18
48/4 56/8
public [9] 7/16 37/5
53/8 53/24 56/8 64/23
87/13 110/11 110/20
publication [1] 41/9
pull [1] 98/17
pulled [1] 54/19
pump [1] 94/18
punctuation [1] 71/12
purchases [1] 87/10
pure [1] 8/25
purpose [2] 27/8 84/5
push [1] 80/6
put [36] 4/9 4/19
10/24 13/16 15/12
15/20 16/3 17/1 17/11
17/12 19/6 27/11
31/16 31/17 39/12
41/3 41/17 43/24
45/12 45/23 46/9
46/11 48/9 54/8 61/3
62/17 69/20 73/10
76/18 77/17 85/20
87/24 91/21 92/1
102/3 108/25
putting [7] 17/18
17/24 38/14 77/10 77/18 92/3 98/10
quarterly [1] 35/7
question [6] 4/23
11/6 22/9 80/15 86/6
96/24
quick [2] 44/22 95/23
quite [2] 43/18 62/12
R
rail [3] 13/21 13/25 14/1
railway [4] 9/25 11/22
12/1 13/19
raised [1] 77/14
rapidly [2] 70/10 87/3
rates [1] 22/19
Raton [1] 24/5
raw [1] 69/15
reach [5] 23/15 24/8
24/12 56/15 83/22
reached [2] 23/24
88/7
reaches [1] 39/3
read [2] 87/7 87/8
reading [1] 30/1
ready [13] 36/3 46/4
47/4 55/8 59/3 63/5
64/10 67/12 68/24
79/19 80/4 83/4
105/22
real [6] 9/1 46/1 46/7
82/4 94/1 95/23
realities [2] 92/13 93/10
reality [1] 94/5
really [24] 7/12 7/22
7/25 14/3 26/12 27/21
29/1 36/19 36/20
36/24 38/3 39/12
39/24 50/14 50/15
50/20 55/1 56/1 58/1
60/14 79/24 85/8 97/11 100/24
reason [4] 61/16
71/23 81/10 89/6
reasonable [5] 19/21
21/3 21/5 21/10 99/7
REBA [1] 1/12
rebuilding [1] 70/16
recall [4] 55/2 87/6 87/8 88/4
receive [1] 84/19
received [4] 6/21 9/16
50/23 50/24
reception [4] 67/19
68/18 76/4 76/8
recommend [3] 17/18
17/23 21/13
recommendation [2] 19/6 107/22
reconcile [1] 37/5
record [3] 3/7 3/9 111/9
recruitment [2]
105/13 108/20
recycled [1] 57/22
red [1] 87/11
redline [1] 33/3
reducing [1] 88/23
reference [1] 33/4
referred [1] 60/22
refined [1] 57/17
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| R |  | 89/10 104 | service [13] 21/14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { region [2] } 72 / 792 / 17 \\ & \text { regional [2] } 25 / 13 \\ & 73 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | sale [1] 13/16 |  |  |
|  |  | sales [2] 71/16 73/2 | 86/12 86/25 87/2 89/7 | skipped [1] 103/23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Registered [2] 111/6 } \\ & 111 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | [1] | same [5] 21/1 63/17 81/8 89/1 | 53 | small [2] 9/23 68/25 |
|  | retained [1] 5 | S | 56/8 92/4 92/2 | myrna [1] |
| regular [2] 70/6 110/6 <br> reiterate [1] 57/20 | return [3] 66/6 66/7 | say [35] 3/2 9/2 9/3 |  | o [239] |
| rejected [2] $86 / 23$$87 / 4$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | vamp [2] 103 | 30/12 35/7 35/19 36/9 | 78/5 78/6 105 | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { related [3] 25/6 62/16 } \\ & 62 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3] 44/7 |  |
|  |  | /6 |  | [1] |
| relationship [5] 31/ | 16 48/18 | 64/9 64/11 65/2 65/3 | setting [1] | d [1] |
| 62/18 69/24 78/1078/19 | 79 | 6/25 69/2 |  | 8] |
|  | revenues [ | /13 71/5 74/13 80/5 | sewer [2] | 10/24 15/4 15 |
| relationships [4] 25/1 78/10 78/13 78/16 | review [10] | 92/2 93/18 | shape [3] 26/8 26 | 8/7 |
|  | 34/14 35/6 38/18 50/9 | 102/19 106/25 110 | 26/15 | 21/2 23/8 23/14 |
| relative [2] 111/11 | /15 |  | ed [1] 28/25 | 24/10 24/12 |
| 111/13 |  | 51/25 64/13 66/9 | e [1] 5 | 24/18 26/20 27 |
|  |  | 91/3 104/2 | haring [1] | 0/2 30/7 32/8 3 |
| relocate [1] 11/10 rely [1] 73/4 <br> remarkable [1] 69/12 |  | says [1] 48/11 | 104 | $3 / 4$ 33/4 34/1 34 |
|  | [3] 8 | [2] | ] | 34/10 35/22 36/1 |
|  |  |  | ock [1] | 6/17 36/18 |
| 44/24 46/12 95/19 | revivals [1] 9/22 | led [2] | [1] 7 | 8/22 39/8 41/11 |
| $106 / 1 \text { 106/10 }$ |  |  | shortage [1] | 42/21 43/14 47/6 47/7 |
| remove [1] 11/10 | [112 | [1] | [1] | 77/9 49/16 53/1 53/2 |
| Renner [1] 75/8 renovated [1] 15/6 renowned [1] 71/23 | R |  | should [18] 3/2 3/9 | $5 / 1$ |
|  | road [6] 24/3 | 25 111/6 | 33/25 40/4 | 2/15 62/16 63/7 |
|  | 10 59/12 59/ |  | 43/4 53/6 | 6/23 68/13 68/ |
| rent [1] 20/9 <br> rental [2] 90/4 95/9 |  |  | 60/8 60/15 81/20 | 76/4 76/11 77/17 |
|  | ro | seat [2] 91/21 | /23 107/25 10 | /19 79/11 83/1 |
| rental [2] 90/4 95/9 <br> renting [2] 64/4 | RT [1] | seats [4] 93/25 | 109/22 110/1 | 00 |
| $101 / 11$ | d |  | dn't [2] | 104/8 107/21 109/20 |
|  | 3/24 8/21 20/1 | second [5] 5 | 53 | 09/21 109/22 |
| repair [1] 100/18 repairs [1] 26/17 replacing [1] 101/3 report [6] 51/13 52/6 | 94/6 | 81/16 108/9 110/10 | [2] | s |
|  |  | secondary [1] 30 | ready [2] 63/5 | 59/4 67/6 74/14 94/23 |
|  |  | section [2] 65 |  | one [12] 34 |
| 57/3 79/13 79/14 | rock [1] |  | [1] | 44/12 45/12 46/23 |
|  | rocks [1] 101/2 | see [22] 5/25 6 | show [1] 45/20 | /6 63/8 64/21 77/ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Reporter [4] } 3 / 24 \\ 111 / 1 & 111 / 7 \\ 111 / 20 \end{array}$ | role [5] 6/14 7/23 | 17/19 25/1 26/8 | showcase [1] 67 | 86/14 88 |
|  |  | 5 | side [12] 27/25 28/24 |  |
| REPORTER'S [1] | roles [2] | 50/1 56/14 | 4/14 60/3 60/8 60/19 | eplac |
|  | roll [2] 3/9 67/18 | /11 72/2 | 60/23 61/6 62/1 | mething [46] 10/2 |
| Reporters [1] 1/23 | roof [3] 13/1 14/8 | 22 83/24 8 | 79/19 80/17 85/4 | /24 11/2 11/18 |
|  |  | 86/16 110/1 | ve [1] 13/3 | /19 17/6 18/13 |
| reporting [2] 5 | roofs [1] | [1] 87 | n [2] 13/10 48 | 20/9 34/7 35/1 35/3 |
| representative | room [3] 1/3 4/3 | seeking [1] 20/ | nnable [1] 90/1 | /5 36/15 37/20 |
|  | 106/16 | seemed [2] 26/1 | [1] 108/4 | /16 39/10 40/1 |
| $16 / 816 / 11$ |  |  | ificant [1] 66/2 | 51/6 52/7 |
| representatives [1] | 72/18 72/19 72/20 | seems [2] 82/6 | lar [1] 103/14 | /11 55/16 59/ |
| represents [1] 74/2 |  | 105/17 | simple [1] 80/21 | /9 68/4 74/18 75/ |
|  | route [2] | seen [8] | simply [1] 50/12 | 82/6 |
| request [1] 51/24 | routes [3] 88/24 | 38/19 58/5 76/1 | Simultaneous [1] | /1 84/22 88/ |
| requirement [4] 30/4 45/21 51/11 51/17 | 92/18 92/25 | 76/2 101/13 | 70/20 | 0/17 93/18 96/ |
| requirements [4] 19/7 | RPR [1] | segment [2] | since [5] | 96/21 101/3 101/4 |
|  | run [5] 13/ | t [2] 21/11 21/12 | 18/16 61/ | /21 105/2 |
| research [2] 48/2 | 35/25 39/1 88/1 |  | sir [6] 19/17 42/ | s [1] |
|  | run |  | 6 74/12 74/ |  |
| resource [3] 6/16 |  | [1] | 85/7 | time |
| 22/17 69/12 | runs [1] [2] | send [3] 10/5 16 | [4] |  |
| resources [5] 7/3 10/4 37/3 38/13 78/14 | runway [2] 69/12 <br> rush [2] 8/14 8/15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 88 / 12 \\ \text { sends [2] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { sit } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { 4] } 25 / 8$ |
|  |  |  | $18 / 1335 / 1670 / 9$ | /17 87/15 88/3 |
| $\text { respect [1] } 36 / 5$ | S |  |  |  |
| respond [1] 90/20 response [1] 85/2 responses [1] 84/20 responsibilities [2] 7/13 8/4 |  | 62/17 65/13 77/2 | [ | histication [1] |
|  |  | 87/ | situations [1] 82 | 100/ |
|  | said [10] 24/16 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 46/15 57/24 | ly [1] 86 | size [2] 92/20 | 4/7 94/10 95/5 101 |
|  | 81/24 85/4 88/11 | serve [2] 89/19 89/1 | skepticism [1] 90/9 | 03/23 103/24 |

sort [8] 20/8 25/7 64/8 78/12 78/18 81/15 90/9 96/19
sorts [1] 19/24
sound [2] 51/1 51/9
sounds [6] 4/24 19/19 49/3 76/15 80/3 84/17
sources [2] 71/17 102/15
southeast [2] 72/7 102/11
southern [1] 75/5
Southpark [1] 1/16
space [7] 14/11 14/13 29/3 29/20 53/11 53/24 61/19
speak [4] 62/2 64/19 95/10 109/13
speaker [3] 67/21 75/5 75/8
speaking [1] 70/20
specifically [2] 31/5 87/21
specs [1] 41/9 spectrum [2] 63/6 65/1
spend [4] 9/7 73/10 97/3 97/9
spending [1] $5 / 5$
spends [1] 69/8
spent [3] 60/7 95/16 96/25
spot [1] 102/2
spot-on [1] 102/2
spring [1] 42/18
spur [1] 43/13
square [1] 32/4
squeak [1] $98 / 15$
ST [12] $1 / 1$ 1/5 1/16
1/23 1/24 3/8 14/2 28/14 75/19 75/21 111/4 111/17
stability [2] 68/13 71/14
stabilization [1] 15/10
staff [12] 7/13 7/24
11/1 15/18 33/10
33/13 38/20 68/6
68/12 89/2 93/12 93/13
staff's [2] 34/10 60/7
stagnant [1] 46/22
stand [2] 8/1 110/20
standard [1] 27/10
standardized [2]
47/11 49/12
standing [1] 27/13
standpoint [1] 7/20
start [10] 33/2 35/14
35/16 41/15 44/17
47/13 52/13 59/1 100/4 108/11
started [1] 58/25
starting [1] 41/3
state [9] 15/9 63/7
69/8 69/18 69/25 71/7 75/4 75/4 111/3
statement [3] 33/24
36/25 37/10
statewide [1] 9/22
station [2] 11/22 12/2
status [2] 17/13 17/24
stay [2] 100/13 110/4
staying [1] 54/24 steal [1] 32/22
stenographic [1] 111/10
stenographically [1] 111/8
step [2] 41/4 100/18
step-up [1] 100/18
stepping [1] $8 / 5$ steps [3] 41/5 41/6 105/13
sticker [1] 73/12 still [14] 4/10 18/7 19/22 28/13 49/4 49/4 57/1 81/5 81/6 82/15 87/16 89/4 104/11 104/13
stool [2] 71/14 73/3
stop [3] 35/12 64/9 81/16
straightforward [1] 109/12
strategic [8] 34/22
34/23 37/6 37/15 39/6
71/17 85/11 91/18 streamline [1] 38/10 street [4] 9/21 9/22 15/5 71/25
strength [1] 36/10
strip [1] 24/2
strong [5] 70/2 70/4 70/13 82/22 100/7 strongly [1] 60/14 structure [2] 26/21 31/23
study [1] 93/22 stuff [7] 10/9 23/14 60/9 60/16 65/25 100/6 102/5
stupid [1] 51/10 subjective [1] 39/8 sublease [4] 99/16 102/23 103/4 103/10 submitted [1] 10/2 subsidies [2] 91/12 96/16
subsidized [1] 89/18
succeeding [1] 87/6
successful [3] 20/23
20/25 20/25
such [6] 22/17 35/17 62/17 69/22 70/24 77/9
suck [1] 101/4 sucks [2] 101/12 101/16
suggest [1] 107/8 suggested [4] 10/3 10/5 66/21 66/23 suggestion [4] 50/6 58/2 78/5 79/21
Suite [2] 1/16 1/24 summer [2] 68/14

74/18
sunk [1] 20/10
Sunshine [2] 106/20
107/3
supplied [1] 44/13 supply [2] 94/15 94/19
supplying [1] 44/17 support [4] 64/12 64/13 75/10 89/25 supportive [2] 20/1 96/6
supposed [2] 19/1 53/4
surcharge [1] 94/13 sure [38] 3/10 6/17 7/3 7/11 7/14 8/11 8/20 16/10 18/3 22/18 23/6 23/9 23/15 23/18 24/20 24/24 25/25 27/14 28/8 28/10 28/15 34/21 43/25 44/5 51/24 69/23 71/1 71/11 84/18 87/11 87/19 88/7 89/12 96/4 96/13 96/14 99/6 107/18
Surely [1] 14/22 surrounded [1] 92/23 survey [1] 9/6 swapping [1] 53/7 synopsis [1] 57/2 system [2] 33/6 49/18

## $T$

T-Hangar [11] 2/6 31/14 39/22 39/23 39/24 43/11 47/11 49/5 54/19 55/3 55/25
T-hangars [6] 43/9
55/1 63/18 63/18 63/19 71/16
take [25] 9/3 10/22
20/2 28/14 29/13 33/25 46/5 46/16 48/23 49/15 49/20 49/21 55/8 59/2 63/10 65/2 67/15 68/25 80/22 82/3 84/5 96/17 106/8 106/12 106/19
taken [1] 29/4
takes [1] 68/23
taking [7] 34/16 45/1
45/4 50/7 54/1 66/2 79/14
talk [19] 5/25 18/17 32/25 33/17 36/25 40/5 43/16 43/17 43/19 44/22 47/8 59/24 66/24 72/11 79/18 90/19 97/19 107/11 108/21
talked [10] 8/19 13/14 23/10 28/12 43/7 45/3 82/11 88/8 109/14 109/18
talking [20] 7/19
11/16 21/20 21/22 27/24 32/17 33/1 49/5

50/15 58/12 60/2
62/12 80/16 83/9
83/14 85/23 88/5
92/11 93/9 93/10
Tallahassee [5] 66/22
66/24 67/5 69/23 78/7
Tampa [1] 87/16 target [1] 41/8
tarp [1] 13/4
tarpaulin [2] 13/2 14/9
task [1] 34/16
tasked [1] 25/17
tax [2] 72/4 72/7
taxed [1] 66/16
taxi [1] $72 / 2$
Taxiway [1] 28/20
taxpayers [2] 90/24
90/25
tea [1] 89/15
team [3] 4/10 4/13
38/22
tell [9] 47/7 54/13
64/8 67/13 67/14
67/15 70/17 77/12
87/13
telling [4] 8/15 28/13
68/22 94/5
tells [1] 97/15
template [2] 31/1 31/1
ten [2] 12/15 45/7
tenant [3] 20/2 71/15 73/24
tenants [2] 20/25 33/15
term [2] 17/21 31/24
terminal [2] 94/20
95/18
termites [1] 26/22
terms [16] 5/2 6/1 6/5
6/9 17/7 20/3 20/6
22/23 23/15 23/19
30/24 32/1 32/9 49/24
56/25 62/15
territory [1] 102/11
tertiary [1] 88/24
than [16] 27/9 27/22
29/21 43/24 52/3 61/5
64/1 64/4 64/4 65/25
76/8 80/17 81/11
83/14 102/19 108/17
Thank [10] 3/6 4/2
16/24 43/2 58/16
90/13 90/15 99/24
101/17 110/15
Thanks [1] 92/2
that [537]
that's [93] 9/8 10/7
11/15 12/3 13/18 14/8 15/11 15/11 18/13
19/21 20/4 20/24
21/10 25/8 27/13
27/17 29/1 29/1 31/3
31/20 31/21 34/11
35/3 35/15 36/11
37/20 38/4 39/25
40/11 42/5 45/10 46/7
46/20 48/20 49/18
50/13 52/2 53/7 53/8

53/11 54/11 55/11 56/7 56/14 56/16 59/23 60/4 60/4 60/14 65/7 65/20 65/20 66/7 66/18 66/22 68/2 68/4 68/21 69/4 69/6 70/2 70/2 70/15 71/4 73/9 74/10 75/15 78/23 82/25 83/3 83/10 85/14 85/15 85/22 88/17 89/6 94/9 98/8 98/24 98/24 99/11 101/14 104/17 104/23 105/2 105/18 106/9 106/13 106/15 106/22 107/3 107/15 108/10
them [76] 4/7 9/8 10/11 12/24 12/25 13/8 14/11 14/17 14/23 15/1 15/3 17/19 18/24 19/2 19/4 19/7 19/13 19/21 20/16 20/24 21/8 21/9 21/14 22/5 23/22 23/24 24/13 24/19 25/18 25/18 26/4 28/8 28/13 33/16 38/21 47/13 49/22 49/23 52/2 52/7 54/13 54/21 55/2 55/2 57/12 68/16 71/22 74/20 81/23 82/9 82/10 82/11 82/16 82/17 82/25 83/22 84/10 84/21 84/23 87/5 87/21 88/7 88/9 88/11 89/1 89/2 90/19 91/8 91/12 91/13 92/16 94/13 94/14 94/16 94/20 94/23 theme [1] 85/12 themselves [1] 86/9 there's [52] 8/3 8/14
8/22 9/18 12/13 13/11 15/4 15/8 15/19 16/4 17/6 22/10 22/16 23/16 27/12 28/24 30/10 31/22 32/6 32/24 38/25 39/2 39/24 40/22 40/23 40/24 44/20 46/21 49/16 50/12 53/20 55/18 56/4 56/5 57/18 60/16 61/21 66/6 66/7 68/18 72/11 72/13 76/3 83/18 83/18 88/18 92/14 92/19 93/5 100/23 103/1 103/19
these [37] 12/20 15/16 19/6 19/24 20/2 20/11 21/3 21/5 23/2 24/10 24/13 30/22 35/6 37/11 37/11 37/25 38/15 38/21 38/21 39/3 43/12 49/3 49/6 50/7 50/17 52/1 53/3 57/21 58/9 61/10 63/11 67/4 79/24 82/20 92/17 102/1

| T | $65$ | $106 / 16108 / 25$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | uphill [1] 86/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| these... [1] 105/4 |  |  | U-shaped [1] 28/25 |  |
| they [168] |  |  | Uh [6] 15/7 25/22 | $\text { us [63] } 3 / 214 / 97 / 7$ |
| they'd [1] 85/5 | 76/7 76/17 79/5 80/12 | 79/16 99/13 107/25 | 26/18 26/24 27/18 | /21 11/5 12/24 |
| they'll [4] 40/21 68/25 101/21 109/15 | 83/24 85/11 86/1 86/6 | ton [1] 96/16 | 29/6 ${ }^{\text {Uh-huh [6] 15/7 25/22 }}$ | 14/23 16/9 1 |
| they're [63] 4/10 | 87/20 88/20 90/16 | too [11] 21/19 24/8 |  | 18/20 26/7 27/24 42/2 |
| 4/21 4/24 5/5 5/8 5/10 | 91/14 91/15 93/16 | 38/8 43/23 45/21 |  | 43/15 44/17 52/7 55/9 |
| 5/21 8/15 8/15 9/6 | 96/14 97/13 98/19 | 83/12 84 |  | 55/16 56/14 59/5 59/8 |
| 9/23 11/19 14/7 18/7 | 100/24 103/25 | /21 104/6 108/22 | ear [1] 11/ | 1/6 62/15 64/9 6 |
| 19/1 20/5 20/19 20/22 | 104/4 104/6 104/24 | took [3] 28/1 54/14 |  | 66/21 67/5 67/6 68/25 |
| 20/23 21/1 21/4 22/6 | 10 |  |  | 71/5 73/3 |
| 25/6 28/16 28/17 | thinking |  | under [4] 34/ | 81/11 81/19 82 |
| 30/21 31/23 40/20 | 3 | 60/19 85/19 | 94/17 103/8 | $83 / 2284 / 184 / 587 / 4$ $87 / 18$ 87/19 87/22 |
| 41/8 46/4 46/5 46/24 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { third [2] } 6 \\ \text { this [159] } \end{array}$ | topic [1] 95/22 <br> TOPP [16] 1/20 3/22 | underlying [1] 49/1 | 87/18 87/19 87/2 87/23 87/24 88/4 |
| 61/18 67/21 70/8 | those [17] 10/10 | $4 / 38 / 28 / 1816 / 321 / 9$ | underneath [2] 59/1 | 88/15 88/16 89/21 |
| 70/16 71/2 71/15 | 14/18 20/7 24/25 25/1 | 57/23 58/11 77/7 |  | 89/24 91/7 91/15 |
| $72 / 1173 / 1473 / 15$ $73 / 1774 / 475 / 978 / 6$ | 33/24 37/12 53/21 | 79/23 80/2 96/18 | understa | 91/21 91/23 95/24 |
| 73/17 74/4 75/9 78/6 | 57/11 64/9 68/10 73/5 | 96/22 98/3 107/20 | 36/6 41/1 | 97/14 97/15 99/16 |
| 81/7 85/4 86/17 | 74/23 81/21 86/10 | Totally [1] 74/6 |  | 104/9 104/19 106/15 |
| 87/3 87/6 89/1 93/7 | 94/18 94/21 | touch [3] 4/10 25/18 | 15/3 38/11 40/11 | 09/15 |
| 101/12 102/6 102/7 | th |  | 53/2 | US-1 [2] 12/24 61/6 |
| 102/13 103/5 | th |  | 15 | - |
| they've [8] 4/12 10/2 |  |  | undertaking [1] 78/21 | 39/6 53/6 53 |
| 33/14 34/4 45/13 87/2 |  | to | undeveloped [2] 60/7 | 53/24 56/7 60/4 69/16 |
| 93/1 102/2 | thoughts [3] |  | 60/ |  |
| thing [40] 5/8 5/23 |  |  | ] | useful [1] 45/25 |
| 9/4 9/16 10/7 11/16 | three [13] 50/3 54/15 | $\text { track [2] } 4 / 1230 / 21$ | unique [3] 30/24 31/7 | $\text { user [2] } 46 / 2553 / 10$ |
| 12/13 13/18 14/1 17/5 | 71/13 75/4 82/7 82/12 | traditional [1] 78/9 | 93/17 | [1] 46/1 |
| 23/16 28/18 28/23 | 83/5 84/9 85/3 90/7 | trailway [1] 13/17 | universe [1] 71/19 | using [1] 95/18 |
| 35/4 43/8 44/23 47/10 | 92/23 93/3 105/5 | train [2] 12/14 27/ | /12 6 | usually [2] 40/22 |
| 54/14 55/5 59/6 64/17 | threshold [1] 33/9 | transaction [1] 19/9 | 94/22 94/22 105/2 | 50/13 |
| 66/10 66/14 66/20 | thresholds [1] 33/25 | transcript [1] 111/9 | Unlimited [1] 90/2 | utilities [2] 59/15 |
| 69/22 73/21 77/9 | through [11] 6 | tr | until [4] 12/16 30/4 |  |
| 81/18 85/15 85/15 |  | ortatio | 8 47/18 | V |
| 91/7 98/20 100/22 |  | tree [6] $4 / 164 / 255$ | up [58] 10/18 13/16 |  |
| 102/13 104/3 105/3 |  | $\text { tree [6] 4/16 4/25 } 5$ $5 / 115 / 149 / 6$ | 15/16 17/19 19/5 20/2 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { cant [1] } & 15 / 4 \\ \text { cate [1] } & 30 / 1 \end{array}$ |
| thing [39] 5/1 5/6 | $65 / 1273 / 2074 / 8$ | $\text { trees [3] } 4 / 184 / 2$ | 26/25 27 | vacated [1] 30/17 |
| things [39] $5 / 15 / 6$ $5 / 246 / 128 / 910 / 15$ | ticket [5] 67/8 67/9 | $5 / 10$ | 27/22 29/4 29/13 29/25 30/13 34/17 | vacating [1] 30/7 |
| 5/24 6/12 8/9 10/15 10/18 15/16 17/8 23/4 | 67/10 87/10 94/19 | tried [3] 54/24 90/18 | 29/25 30/13 34/17 | value [1] 18/22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10/18 15/16 17/8 23/ } \\ & 23 / 20 \text { 28/17 31/6 } \end{aligned}$ | tie [1] 59/25 | 90/19 | 35/11 36/14 38/24 $40 / 2143 / 644 / 744 / 21$ | Vedra [2] 75/16 75/20 |
| $31 / 1434 / 8 \text { 36/18 38/8 }$ | tied [3] 38/24 70/25 | trigger [1] 38/16 | 5/7 45/20 46/² | vendor [1] 100/12 |
| 43/16 46/21 47/9 | 80/13 | triggered [1] 49/2 | 45/20 | rifying [1] 50/ |
| 50/14 50/17 61/9 63/2 | till [1] 104/12 | [1] | 5/22 54/13 59/2 | 1] |
| 63/11 64/7 64/23 | time [33] 9/3 12/6 | true [3] | 1/21 63/8 64/2 64/9 | /14 6/14 |
| 73/11 77/12 79/23 | 18/9 21/18 28/12 | 111/9 | 67/3 72/9 73/10 74/4 | /23 13/15 20/ |
| 81/10 87/9 88/6 94/18 | 32/12 33/13 34 | ee [1] | 88/4 82/20 86/19 88/5 | 60/23 60/24 60/25 |
| 101/23 105/11 107/3 | 4 | trustees [3] | 88/10 88/14 89/1 | 9/24 70/13 |
| 109/19 109/20 | 40/23 41/23 44/12 | 32/20 37/1 | 90/20 94/2 94/2 95/24 | 0/21 81/25 |
| think [97] 5/24 6/11 | 4 | tr | 96/1 100/18 101/18 | 81/25 86/13 87/5 <br> 91/13 97/14 100/7 |
| 7/25 9/6 12/9 13/13 | $8 / 1171 / 18$ | trying [8] 8/8 13/16 | 102/2 102/6 102/6 | sted [1] 68/2 |
| 14/4 14/25 15/8 15/24 | 63/21 68/11 71/18 75/12 75/18 79/10 | trying [8] 8/8 13/16 | 102/7 106/11 | vested [1] 68/2 vet [3] 9/5 23/12 |
| 17/3 17/6 18/4 18/5 | 81/8 83/9 90/18 93/20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44/23 62/16 78/20 } \\ & 98 / 5105 / 12106 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | update [19] 2/6 17/13 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { vet [3] } \\ 24 / 11 \end{array}$ |
| 18/6 18/23 20/19 21/5 | 96/19 106/7 106/13 | turn [2] 67/11 68/1 | 17/19 17/25 18/1 18/9 | vets [1] 38/2 |
| 23/13 24/9 25/9 27/1 | times [1] 47/13 | turning [2] 94/4 94/12 | 21/25 29/1 36/17 | vetted [1] 50/18 |
| 29/22 29/24 32/8 <br> 32/25 33/6 33/20 | tip [1] $72 / 8$ | turnover [1] 109/20 | 39/22 39/24 43/4 59 102/9 102/25 104/9 | vetting [2] 38/23 39/2 |
| 36/16 38/3 38/3 39/16 | tipping [1] 65/1 | two [17] 5/24 9/19 | 107/19 108/2 108/3 | viable [1] 66/19 |
| 39/18 41/5 41/7 41/8 | Titan [4] 61/15 61/17 | 9/24 12/5 12/20 26/13 | 108/2 | rtually [1] 27/ |
| 43/12 43/14 44/19 | 61/25 62/1 | 36/9 54/17 76/3 82/15 | $944 / 1$ | sion [10] $2 / 7$ |
| 45/10 45/20 45/24 | today [5] 60/16 79/15 | 84/20 85/6 87/25 | $44 / 1253 / 1456 / 25$ | 58/21 63/21 63/ |
| 47/1 47/5 49/13 50/8 | 97/22 101/25 103/22 | 94/15 105/4 109/6 |  | 67/24 68/17 68/2 |
| 51/3 52/2 52/25 53/16 |  |  |  | 110/5 |
| 53/18 55/18 56/5 56/6 |  |  | updating [2] 53/1 | ns [1] 8 |
| 56/11 56/18 60/1 60/6 | $76 / 18 \text { 80/13 98/10 }$ | typically [3] 19/24 $20 / 774 / 22$ | 58/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volato [17] 30/23 } \\ & 30 / 24 \text { 31/4 31/5 32/6 } \end{aligned}$ |

Volato... [12] 32/9
58/22 61/11 61/14
80/25 81/21 99/14 100/3 100/3 100/21 102/12 102/15 voluntary [2] 81/18 81/21
vote [1] 77/22
voters [1] 37/2
voting [3] 51/15 57/18 87/8

## W

wage [1] 71/7
Wagstaff [1] 71/21
wait [10] 43/11 43/13
44/7 44/9 44/10 45/11
52/25 53/23 66/4 100/5
waiting [8] 44/3 45/5
48/10 56/16 72/12
72/12 72/13 82/15
walk [4] 45/13 59/4 63/8 68/12
want [80] 6/19 6/20 8/12 8/13 9/7 9/11
11/12 12/1 12/1 12/4 14/2 14/24 15/17
16/15 18/5 19/4 20/24
20/25 22/18 25/19
32/11 32/22 32/23
33/11 33/12 33/17
34/6 34/21 35/6 36/5
38/9 43/15 44/17 45/6 46/15 47/1 51/3 52/11
53/9 57/12 57/16
57/17 58/8 59/22
59/25 61/20 64/24
64/25 65/3 65/4 66/15
68/5 72/1 73/6 74/14
77/9 79/9 79/11 80/1
80/4 80/21 83/1 86/8
86/9 89/8 91/19 91/20
92/4 95/10 96/14
96/18 96/21 96/22
98/1 99/21 100/2
102/8 103/21 108/12 108/15
wanted [15] 26/7
39/25 43/5 55/1 81/10 83/1 83/2 87/14 89/14 89/16 89/21 89/24
91/23 96/10 105/3
wanting [1] 62/9
wants [4] 34/4 69/19
85/24 86/7
was [83] 8/24 10/3
11/18 12/9 12/10
12/16 12/16 13/15
13/15 13/17 15/12
15/12 16/16 16/18
22/16 26/9 26/12 27/3
27/4 27/5 27/14 28/6
28/12 28/13 28/18
28/18 32/6 33/1 33/1
41/23 44/24 46/12
48/2 51/14 54/7 54/21

55/5 55/6 55/23 57/24
57/24 59/1 59/19
60/22 61/8 61/18 63/1 71/18 71/18 79/6 79/6 80/17 80/20 81/10 81/11 81/18 83/3 83/3
86/2 87/11 87/12
87/14 87/21 88/5 88/11 89/18 90/12 90/17 90/17 91/3 91/5 91/6 91/7 95/15 97/5 97/6 104/23 105/10 106/8 107/7 109/20 110/22 111/7
wasn't [1] 28/19 wasted [2] 37/18 37/19
watched [1] 97/7 water [1] 65/24 way [33] $1 / 46 / 411 / 19$ 13/2 18/5 20/16 28/24 32/19 33/20 37/8
38/24 39/1 39/4 41/17 49/17 52/23 59/11 62/24 63/17 64/24 65/16 65/25 69/17 70/7 70/14 70/24 70/24 71/2 80/20 83/1 93/23 97/18 98/7
ways [1] 76/3
we [370]
we'd [3] 55/21 66/1 80/5
we'll [23] 4/20 7/17
11/5 17/22 18/1 21/24 23/22 23/24 27/16
28/25 33/3 52/12 58/6 59/24 88/14 96/2
100/12 106/18 107/10 107/14 108/2 108/4 110/20
we're [91] 4/19 6/12 6/17 6/24 7/1 7/2 7/3 7/4 7/10 7/20 8/6 8/10 8/11 8/18 8/19 8/20 9/7 18/4 18/5 18/21 19/22 20/19 21/4 22/19 23/19 23/21 27/22 28/7 28/13 29/5 31/1 32/14 32/17 35/11 38/14 38/14 40/9 41/1 47/4 49/5 49/14 50/2 54/1 57/18 58/6 59/7 63/2 63/2 63/3 63/4 64/4 64/11 64/13 64/14 64/16 64/19 64/20 64/20 65/6 67/2 67/3 67/25 69/11 70/1 71/1 71/1 71/3 71/24 71/25
80/16 81/2 83/5 84/24
85/10 87/9 92/3 92/10 93/16 94/13 94/17 94/19 94/19 95/25 98/5 98/16 106/6 106/6 106/9 106/13 108/8 108/11 we've [25] 8/9 35/3 37/25 39/4 41/14

43/16 58/5 58/25 60/9 61/5 63/24 64/18 66/25 71/14 71/15 71/16 73/23 86/13 88/17 93/21 98/4 99/6 99/9 104/4 109/23 Wednesday [2] 28/5 28/7
week [3] 4/21 58/25 90/7
weekend [1] 102/3 weeks [1] 104/12 weigh [1] 32/14 weird [2] 40/18 107/3 well [70] 4/5 7/13 11/4 13/14 18/8 18/9 18/24 19/8 19/12 19/23 26/16 26/25 31/11 31/13 31/24 $32 / 13$ 32/14 32/24 33/17 35/1 35/10 35/18 38/13 43/17 43/20 43/22 45/6 45/8 47/8 48/1 49/2 49/13 50/2 53/22 54/1 54/18 55/15 56/11 56/13 57/25 59/23 67/13 75/6 75/6 75/7 76/2 78/21 80/25 81/20 82/5 82/14 83/15 85/1 86/1 86/4 87/6 88/11 88/22 89/10 90/11 95/12 96/4 96/24 97/25 100/22 102/16 104/18 104/18 106/20 107/7
went [6] 12/14 25/5
36/18 45/3 57/25 84/9
were [32] 7/19 11/18 11/21 14/25 15/1 30/3 30/24 30/25 31/7 44/6 46/13 46/14 46/14 54/23 54/23 54/25 54/25 55/9 55/24 57/23 65/22 87/24 90/3 91/1 91/11 91/14 94/15 94/16 105/11 109/21 109/21 110/2 weren't [3] 55/5 55/8 94/12
west [4] 11/25 59/24 85/4 87/16
what's [15] 13/18 28/11 28/21 29/25 33/3 38/12 45/15 59/2 69/1 84/12 85/1 94/16 105/13 108/7 109/6
whatever [16] 6/20 21/7 22/22 25/19 33/19 41/3 42/4 46/16 51/19 63/25 68/23 72/9 72/10 93/24 100/17 106/8
when [44] $5 / 68 / 14$ 13/15 15/16 26/19 28/6 28/12 28/21 33/1 34/3 34/5 35/9 37/10 37/11 39/4 40/13 40/13 41/10 41/15

45/3 47/19 48/12
53/16 55/23 63/14 65/3 67/21 71/18 78/6 80/15 82/17 82/20 83/4 84/7 87/7 87/12 90/18 90/18 93/1 95/7 100/25 106/1 106/7 109/16

## wherewithal [1]

 100/9whether [2] 54/25 64/19
which [16] 6/16 9/21 45/17 53/4 61/3 63/1
64/24 70/22 85/16 89/25 90/6 93/15 94/17 98/21 100/10 103/6
while [4] 18/4 30/21 62/22 84/8
who's [6] 4/15 29/11 53/4 53/6 53/10 60/1 whoever [1] 87/10 whole [10] 59/3 62/24 64/10 65/23 72/25 80/16 81/10 93/5 97/4 97/9
wholeheartedly [1] 87/20
Wi [1] 102/3
Wi-Fi [1] 102/3
wife [1] 77/3
wild [1] 66/21
will [43] $5 / 215 / 22$
11/9 11/9 17/6 21/18 25/14 29/10 32/9
32/17 37/9 37/9 37/12
40/7 40/13 40/13
41/21 56/18 57/15 58/11 59/11 64/12 64/13 65/9 72/8 75/10 76/4 77/7 77/12 80/12 80/22 80/22 84/18 85/9 93/15 95/17 100/13 108/2 108/4 108/24 109/6 109/7 109/11
willing [3] 10/16 46/4 110/4
wind [1] 64/2
wind's [1] 97/18
wing [1] 94/17 winky [2] 70/19 70/19 wins [1] 67/7
wise [2] 5/8 59/2 wisely [1] 60/4 within [2] 88/13 90/8 without [2] 80/14 103/9
won't [2] 20/3 70/17
wondering [1] 22/16
wood [1] 26/20
word [1] 22/20
words [1] 19/3
work [26] 4/11 4/25
6/24 7/5 7/17 8/18
18/10 19/21 21/12
21/24 23/22 37/21
41/13 47/2 50/10 58/6

58/7 68/1 80/8 80/17
82/22 87/23 89/8
95/20 96/11 105/12 worked [4] 43/18 47/10 84/15 109/23 working [7] 7/7 30/22 49/14 59/1 59/7 79/13 85/4
works [3] 38/19 39/11 91/21
workshop [10] 1/2
3/7 10/15 10/18 80/6 85/16 107/10 107/13 108/10 110/22
workshops [2] 57/16 79/24
world [2] 72/14 88/23
worried [1] 63/11
worry [2] 33/2 33/14
worrying [1] 60/18 worth [3] 14/4 24/9 84/22
worthy [2] 10/23
65/20
would [82] 8/25 10/9
10/9 10/13 15/2 15/3
15/18 15/25 16/2 16/2 16/10 16/18 17/18
17/23 17/25 19/6
19/15 19/18 20/11
21/6 21/6 24/7 29/4 29/7 29/14 30/20 34/14 43/7 43/23 48/1 48/3 48/3 48/21 49/13 49/25 51/20 51/25 53/16 54/12 56/15 61/3 62/18 63/5 63/16 63/20 64/6 64/17 64/22 65/22 69/23 69/23 73/2 73/3 73/11 74/19 74/20 75/1 75/3 75/6 75/8 76/10 76/13 76/17 78/3 78/4 78/6 78/20 79/1 83/21 84/5 84/5 88/9 91/24 92/21 92/21 93/14 96/21 104/8 104/21 107/8 108/9 110/3
wouldn't [3] 10/8 14/23 108/18
Wow [1] 27/2
wrap [1] 95/24 write [2] 63/10 63/11
writing [1] 64/2
wrong [1] 66/10
Y
y'all [42] 6/14 6/16
6/21 6/23 7/9 7/15 7/21 7/25 8/13 8/24 10/16 10/19 24/7 24/10 24/11 32/18 32/19 36/22 36/25 37/2 37/10 37/12 37/21 39/4 39/9 39/11 50/7 50/14 50/20 50/21 51/20 57/20 67/18 68/5 68/10 68/15 79/25 79/25



[^0]:    $\qquad$

