1	T2	AUGUSTINE -	T2	IOHNS	COUNTY	AIRPORT	AUTHORITY
1	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$.	TOOUSILLE -	OI.	JOILING	COUNTI	лич окт	лония

2	General Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, March 10, 2008
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 5:01 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	RANDY BRUNSON
10	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
11	KELLY BARRERA, Secretary-Treasurer
12	BOARD MEMBER ABSENT:
13	WAYNE GEORGE
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	ALSO PRESENT:
15	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
16	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
17	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
18	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
19	M. LYNN PAPPAS, Esquire Pappas, Metcalf, Jenks & Miller, P.A. 245 Piverside Ave. Ste. 400

20	Jacksonville, Florida 32202-4926
21	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
22	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
23	St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	
25	

2

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3
4	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 3
5	4. FINANCIAL REPORT 3
6	5. AGENDA APPROVAL 4
7	6. COMMITTEE REPORTS 4
8	7. REPORTS 5
9	8. PROJECT UPDATES 7
10	9. ACTION ITEMS
11	A. T-Hangar Leasing Policy Review 22 B. Cordova Palms Update 26
12	r
13	10. HOUSEKEEPING 50
14	11. PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL 52
15	12. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:
16	A. Ms. Kelly Barrera, Secretary-Treasurer 54
17	B. Mr. Randy Brunson 54 C. Mr. John Gorman 56
18	13. NEXT BOARD MEETING & ADJOURNMENT 57
19	

file:///A /MTG031008.txt			
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'll call to order the
3	meeting of the St. Johns County-St. Augustine
4	Airport Authority meeting. Will you stand for the
5	Pledge, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Okay. We have
9	approval of the minutes. That's better.
10	Do I hear any exceptions or additions or
11	deletions to the minutes as presented?
12	MS. BARRERA: None.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, the minutes
14	will be approved as presented.
15	Financial reports. Jack?
16	4 FINANCIAL REPORT
17	MR. GORMAN: I've done a brief review of the
18	financials with Ed Wuellner and the director
19	here, and they're in order. Historically, they

20	are always in order; however, at this time, I will
21	say that I would like to add, as treasurer, to
22	agenda for next month a kind of an in-depth
23	discussion of whether or not increased revenues
24	from Skybus are going to allow us to retire the ad
25	valorem taxes a hit earlier than we expected

1	And we can discuss that at the next meeting.
2	Should be interesting discussion.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine. Ed, you can
4	add that, just to have some numbers?
5	Okay. Any other comments on the financial
6	report? Exceptions, deletions?
7	(None.)
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, we'll accept
9	the financial report as presented.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Cindy, still bring that down a
11	little bit.
12	5 AGENDA APPROVAL
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have the agenda that we
14	have before us. Any changes to the agenda?
15	MS. BARRERA: I move that we accept the
16	agenda as presented.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?
18	MR. GORMAN: I'll second it.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Hearing no exceptions

20	to it, we'll accept the agenda as proposed.
21	6 COMMITTEE REPORTS
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And committee reports. MPO,
23	we're not don't have a representative here.
24	EDC, our meeting is Wednesday morning, 7:30.
25	I will be there. So, I'll have something to

1	present next time.
2	(Mr. Brunson enters the room.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Intergovernmental?
4	MR. BRUNSON: Not guilty.
5	MR. GORMAN: Not quite yet. We'll wait for
6	the meeting and then we'll be doing it.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And aerospace.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Aerospace hasn't met this
9	month.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Okay.
11	7 REPORTS
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reports? Mr. Sanchez,
13	anything from the County?
14	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Not really. If anyone
15	has any questions, I'll be glad to attempt an
16	answer, but there's nothing really new going on,
17	except the
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. There might be
19	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: budget slashes and

20	all all of that kind of
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael, Galaxy?
22	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing new to report.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Northrop?
24	(Representative not present.)
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't see anybody from

Northrop. SAAPA, Reba? Or Vic? 1 2 MR. MARTINELLI: Reba's not able to attend 3 the meeting, and she asked me to just fill you in. We have, at our last meeting, discussed the 4 Cordova Palms proposal. And the membership of 5 SAAPA is investigating it and looking into it very 6 carefully. 7 8 One of the things that we do not want is to have any restrictions on this airport as we move 9 10 forward and, of course, on the pilots that fly 11 here. That's about it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. I didn't see 12 Bjorn. Anyone from FACT? 13 Doug couldn't make it, or is he running late? 14 MR. WUELLNER: I haven't heard from him 15 today, so I don't know. 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We'll just reserve 17 18 that until he --MR. WUELLNER: He should be here. 19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And flight ops.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Pleased to report that the
22	operations for the month of February were up
23	significantly over the last two years and, in
24	fact, came above the 10,000 takeoffs and landings
25	mark again for 2008. In fact, it's about, what,

I	13-, 1400 takeoffs and landings up for this for
2	the month of February over the last the better
3	of the last two years. So, that's good news.
4	Hopefully, that is a harbinger of good things to
5	come for the balance of the year, but certainly
6	certainly good news.
7	Of course, fuel's jumped dramatically since
8	that time, too, so comes around.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Project updates?
10	8 PROJECT UPDATES
11	MR. WUELLNER: Project updates. I have a
12	number of items for you today. T-hangar
13	development, car rental facility, U.S. Customs,
14	terminal operations. We have park development, is
15	a new item we're add you know, as I mentioned
16	last month, we'll add into updates routinely now.
17	Marketing and public relations, and, of course,
18	airport leasing.
19	First item I have is T-hangars, a new

20	T-hangar area. A couple of slides on the
21	right-hand side there shows some of the work going
22	on. As of lunchtime today, the last trip I made
23	outside, it looks like most of the heavy iron,
24	anyway, for the first T-hangar building is in
25	place. The slab has been poured through the

- second T-hangar. The third is being formed and 1 2 likely will be poured this week, I would suspect. 3 Second T-hangars, steel should be on site by the end of the week. Should get a building end of 4 next week or very early the following week. So, 5 looks like concrete's staying ahead of the -- the 6 buildings and -- and the erectors are on -- on 7 8 site now, starting to put them up. So, it's progressing very rapidly over there. I think I 9 just said all that without getting --10 MR. BRUNSON: Ed -- Ed, just curious, and I'm 11 sure that you know the answer to this. But I 12 13 notice this is a monolithic slab here. And so -but the -- the site work drainage and all that has 14 15 been --16 MR. WUELLNER: All that's done.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's part of one large site

MR. BRUNSON: Okay.

development master plan.

17

20	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Or drainage plan.
22	Car rental facility, contracts are being
23	finalized right now. I would expect that to be
24	under contract by the end of the week. It's at
25	DRC this week. I believe it's Wednesday. DRC

1	will finalize their review of of the plans
2	submitted. I would hope that we'll be in a
3	position to get started here very shortly once
4	that's done. So, we're anxious, too. Have to run
5	its run its course.
6	U.S. Customs facility, design is is
7	continues. It's underway at this point. We
8	received the comments back from U.S. Customs.
9	They're incorporating those in there, and I would
10	suspect that we'll be wrapping up development of
11	the plans and specifications within the next two
12	weeks, 30 days, tops, and be out on the street for
13	bids for that facility shortly.
14	It's a very simple site relative to the
15	building, so it should be a fairly fairly easy
16	project to get completed. Yes.
17	MR. GORMAN: Considering, you know, the bids
18	will probably come in and you'll accept them. You
19	know, it's not a huge project. What do you

20	expect, you know, construction to start, just
21	guessing?
22	MR. WUELLNER: From a time line?
23	MR. GORMAN: Guessing construction, guessing
24	completion.
25	(Mr. Burnett enters the room.)

1	MR. WUELLNER: I would hope that we're in
2	construction not later than May 1st for occupancy
3	by December. So
4	MR. GORMAN: That's
5	MR. WUELLNER: that's kind of where I am
6	with it. It it could occur a little sooner,
7	but that's
8	MR. GORMAN: Sketchy, but okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Wanted to point out, too, that
10	U.S. Customs is doing a joint training.
11	Anticipating operating this facility in December,
12	they've begun doing a joint training effort with
13	the Sheriff's Office and to get them up to
14	speed on what they'll need to do to assist them
15	in in response.
16	As such, they are dealing with two tenants on
17	the airport who fly routinely to the Bahamas, in
18	particular, and the Caribbean. They've begun to
19	clear aircraft on St. Augustine Airport as a part

20	of that training, but only for those two
21	companies. And it's, again, just a joint
22	training, and it will bring everybody up to speed,
23	so hopefully it will be a real quick, real smooth
24	transition in December when it opens the doors for
25	the general public's use. All good stuff.

1	And I would thank Sheriff Shoar for
2	spearheading that. He he really has been
3	leading the charge to get U.S. Customs on those
4	facilities. He's keenly interested in that
5	facility being here. And as I mentioned,
6	completion date's December of this year.
7	MR. BRUNSON: A lot of people excited about
8	that.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
10	MR. BRUNSON: It's unreal.
11	MR. WUELLNER: It's good stuff. January,
12	Skybus traffic, this is the first month that we
13	exceeded 10,000 enplanements for the month of
14	January. Come up over 10,136 inbound.
15	I've got those reversed on the screen. My
16	apologies. Inbound is in the outbound number.
17	But you can see there are about 250 difference in
18	terms of inbound versus outbound. Slightly more
19	inbound than outbound, despite what the slide

20	says.
21	Load factor is 62 percent, which isn't bad
22	considering the month of January and February
23	Those start, I believe next week, ramping up
24	rapidly to sold out, many sold-out dates for the
25	month of toward the middle to late of this

month. So, I would expect the load factor to be 1 2 significantly higher in March and even higher 3 going into April. 4 And we've -- are in discussions. I should 5 say they're -- they're indicating to us their desire to place more flights in here beginning in 6 June. And we'll give you more details of that 7 8 when they become available to the public here, which I would think would be in the next couple of 9 10 weeks, so... And from what I hear, there'll be new 11 destinations. So, just a little teaser there for 12 everybody. 13 14 MR. BRUNSON: Wow. MR. WUELLNER: Next item up is the public 15 park development. I have to kind of report to you 16 17 that -- to explain why Andrew with Passero is going to make this presentation. 18

Bryan originally was going to make this

19

20	presentation, but Bryan's sister passed away over
21	the weekend, so Bryan is up in North Carolina with
22	his family. So, rather than pull it completely
23	off the agenda, because we've delayed it a couple
24	of times now, that Andrew's kind of been the
25	reluctant new lead on this for for at least

today's meeting. So if -- Andrew, if you want to 1 come up and just kind of talk them through it, 2 3 I've got your slides in here for you. MR. HOLESKO: Okay. 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. All right. 6 MR. HOLESKO: I wouldn't even kid for a moment that I can fill Bryan Cooper's shoes, but I 7 will -- I will go through some of the slides that 8 he and I have been working on over the past few 9 10 weeks. He and I sat in his office, using the same 11 graphic that -- that Ed had shared with some of 12 the different general aviation operators, looking 13 14 at the south general aviation area. We also did a walk through the woods. Bryan 15 has quite a level of energy out there when he's 16 17 walking through the woods and beneath the trees, and I think he likes to walk me through the 18 spiders since I'm so much taller than he is. But 19

20	we took about about a two-and-a-half-hour walk
21	through the proposed park components. And what
22	we've just done done is drawn up some of the
23	different components to share with you here today.
24	The first is neighborhood buffer. And again,
25	there's going to be a green area preserved all the

1	way to the edge of the marsh between any of the
2	the active airport areas and and the residences
3	there to the south. So, there's about a three
4	three-acre neighborhood buffer between the park,
5	the airport, and the residences to the south.
6	First active park component is what Bryan and
7	I have titled the "pond trail." He's proposing to
8	have a central small parking lot right here, which
9	will be very nonlinear and tucked away in the
10	woods.
11	And the first area that he liked, even though
12	it really wasn't developed as such or planned as
13	such, was that the fact that the the Airport
14	Authority has developed such a very nice nonlinear
15	pond here, we've left some tree islands out there,
16	he thought the first leg of the park development
17	should actually go to the west and go around the
18	large retention pond.
19	Next component is what we've called a wooded

20	trail.	Again,	starting	from	the	same	central
----	--------	--------	----------	------	-----	------	---------

- area, there's some very active wooded areas here.
- I think he was proposing some seeding areas
- throughout the wooded area. It's very, very
- heavy. There's some very nice stands of bamboo
- back there, and again, heavily wooded, not -- not

1	open like the rest of the airport.
2	Next piece is is the marsh trail, starting
3	off from the wooded trail, is bringing a marsh
4	trail here, splitting at the other pond. One
5	segment here would go on the north side of the
6	pond, another right along the edge of the marsh.
7	They'd rejoin back here and then have some
8	splitting areas here as we head further to the
9	east and further toward the runway system. But
10	again, something walked on, looking at the open
11	marsh and wetland areas to the south.
12	Last segment of the walking trail is an
13	observation trail. This is actually the large
14	retention pond and a heavily wooded area here.
15	Again, whether it's a walking area just here on
16	the south side or whether or not we are able to do
17	a walking area all the way around the pond is
18	still to be seen, but it is a very nice walk
19	and and something that provides some excellent

20	views of the airport and the area.
21	Access and parking, very conceptual at this
22	point, as I mentioned. This we know the
23	parking is not going to look like this. All we
24	did was simply put in some parking areas here.
25	But this is probably going to be, again, very

nonlinear, where we are parking cars in between 1 2 trees and using the open areas there in the 3 central core. 4 And then -- next one, Ed. Other observation 5 areas. Bryan is proposing the rehabilitation of a very nice deck and -- and an old boat dock here 6 7 and also another deck here which can overlook the 8 runway system. So, those two are planned. I think there's one more, Ed, one more. And 9 10 structures. There are some areas here, each of these little horseshoes or half horseshoes. And 11 up here where he is actually proposing that the 12 13 walk -- the -- the observation areas and the different walking areas are going to -- are going 14 to go over drainage features and -- and -- and 15 again, obviously not fill them in, but he wants 16 17 there to be some -- some trails, some very, very small bridges. Whether they're timber, whether 18 they're metal, he is trying to make that -- the 19

- walkway as interesting as he possibly can.
- I think that's most of them.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that's it.
- MR. HOLESKO: So again, he -- this is -- this
- is his first concept. I know that he, I believe,
- is planning on meeting with the other members of

the committee and reviewing through some of this, 1 but he asked us to put together all of these 2 different components. 3 4 I think the first time he had thrown a number up there was a -- a walking distance, I believe 5 less than a mile. And this concept, or these 6 concepts, I think have at least doubled the length 7 of what he had originally proposed. 8 MR. MARTINELLI: How many acres? 9 MR. HOLESKO: Vic, you know, it's not 10 necessarily acres. It's more along the line of I 11 guess linear footage. The acres, just looking at 12 what's up there again, you know, maybe it's five-13 to ten-acre park, but there's definitely, you 14 know, a mile or two miles of walking trails, if 15 16 all of the four different components are -- are 17 chosen by the Authority. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. Do you 18

19

have some questions?

20	MR. GORMAN: Not much questions, just
21	reflection. I want to state that this all this
22	land area that he's proposing to use that we're
23	that, of course, I would actually agree with
24	everything that Andrew said I've walked the
25	same walk with Bryan is wetland. It really has

1	no other use. And it's pretty. And it has direct
2	access to the water to the to the water,
3	because it is wetland, and it's the best use of
4	the property.
5	And something he hadn't mentioned that I
6	might mention now is that, you know, it's going to
7	provide something for the public, I think. It
8	allows the public access to an airport to where
9	normally airports are really inaccessible.
10	And my own concept of it is very state
11	parklike. Just minimal expense. We don't need to
12	get really expensive. That's my own personal
13	concept.
14	There is some space, should we keep the fence
15	line as close to the airport movement area as we
16	can, some space that could be used for a
17	multipurpose building later on. We had discussed
18	that. For instance, this multipurpose building
19	could be used for, for instance, the local flying

20	club, SAAPA. It could be used for the U.S. Coast
21	Guard Auxiliary. It could be used for the Civil
22	Air Patrol and and could be booked by any other
23	public entity that would qualify for it. And that
24	doesn't have to be, again, a huge facility, but
25	it it's that the space is there, too,

1	without, you know, infringing on the environment.
2	So, it's kind of a, I don't know, my own
3	feeling, a good thing.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Next item I have is PR
6	committee report. Just to let everybody know
7	we're making a presentation tonight on the
8	aerobatic box over on the North Shore Improvement
9	Association at 7:00 tonight. So, once this
10	meeting's over, I'll be heading over there to do
11	that tonight, so
12	Same slide twice, maybe? Oh, seaplane fly-in
13	is another component. Am I doing that? I'm doing
14	that. Okay. I didn't put this together, but I'm
15	apparently giving it to you.
16	Brief slide show on the seaplane fly-in. As
17	a reminder, it was a joint effort by SAAPA and the
18	PR committee to have the fly-in, and it was
19	supported by Fly-By, Old City Helicopter, Galaxy,

20	Coast Guard Auxiliary, and other local businesses
21	and individuals in the supporting of it in door
22	prizes and just having people out there available
23	to help us. And okay.
24	Apparently, you get a good shot of my better
25	side from the seaplane ramp looking generally

1	south on that lovely day. Shot of Coast Guard
2	Auxiliary put a boat in here during that time to
3	assist keeping the the lagoon there open, and
4	without other boat traffic in there, fishing boat
5	traffic during the time of the seaplane fly-in to
6	assure maximum level of safety.
7	View from across the runway. You're sitting
8	there on Taxiway Bravo looking toward the
9	toward the east, looking at the various seaplanes
10	parked there. You can see there's a pretty good
11	number of seaplanes parked there as well as quite
12	a bit of vehicle activities supporting the effort.
13	This is Jim McManus and his and his wife,
14	who are director of the Seaplane Pilots
15	Association and director of the Florida Air
16	Museum. They came out and joined us on that day,
17	and I believe walked away quite impressed with not
18	only the facility, but the hospitality they
19	received when they got here. Nothing but good

20	things, I've heard.
21	View of some of the seaplanes that showed up
22	that day. You can see Galaxy came across the
23	field and provided some fuel support for at least
24	one of the aircraft over there.
25	A little different view from the air of how

1	the event was set up with the food and all that
2	being located on this this side. Seaplanes
3	parked on both sides of the entrance. You can see
4	we stayed isolated. You can even see we still
5	managed to conduct airline operations with the
6	seaplane area, kept everything behind the the
7	safety area line there.
8	Another view of the same thing. See a number
9	of airplanes on the ground at the time this was
10	shot. And we did get several more in there after
11	that. Not sure who he is, but it looks like he's
12	having a good time. And Alice apparently giving
13	away door prizes two at a time just to keep
14	everybody happy. Does that mean you gave two away
15	to everybody?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, we had so many
17	donations
18	MR. WUELLNER: That's awesome.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: we really could have

20	given everybody three of something.
21	MR. WUELLNER: That's one of the seaplanes
22	leaving the area, taxiing down the ramp back into
23	the water. Getting up a little head of steam as
24	he's heading out of here. And you can see three
25	of them took off pretty much one right after each

1	other and gave us a little fly-by on their way out
2	of town. And then apparently I have given up.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Lost again.
4	MR. WUELLNER: As if it were ever under my
5	control. A lot of good-looking airplanes there
6	during that. I think that's the last of the
7	slides.
8	And there's nothing new to report under
9	leasing.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Thank you. For
11	those of us that couldn't attend, I'm glad to see
12	the slides.
13	9.A T-HANGAR LEASING POLICY REVIEW
14	MR. WUELLNER: At the last meeting just
15	going on to regular business items, at the last
16	meeting, Mr. George asked that I review quickly
17	with y'all the airport leasing policy as it
18	pertained to T-hangars. So, I just have a simple

slide here to go through it. And, of course, if

19

20	you have any questions about what's contained,
21	this is in your lease policy, so it's just a few
22	quick sentences on it.
23	But your primary leasing document is your
24	airport leasing policy. And that's where the
25	provision for T-hangar waiting lists I think

1	the questioning was more around how are those
2	hangars going to, you know, get out there and get
3	leased when we get to that point in a few months.
4	We maintained, as we reported last month and
5	validated the T-hangar list, 168 names on that
6	list as of February. We do maintain what's called
7	a lateral transfer list. This is consistent with
8	your policy. This is for anyone who is a current
9	T-hangar tenant and who has requested to change
10	hangars.
11	In many cases, people are getting in
12	T-hangars that are either less than desirable for
13	the aircraft they have or for whatever reason want
14	to upgrade the hangar as something becomes
15	available. They'll take that first aircraft or
16	the most cases, it's a port-a-port hangar
17	lease, get on the airport and get on that list,
18	and when something else is available and they're
19	the next guy on the list, they can in a sense

20	upgrade while they're here.
21	I want to point out that right now, we have
22	40 names on that list desiring to laterally
23	transfer for whatever reason or another. And it's
24	for a variety of hangar products. I mean, some
25	want a little larger hangar because it doesn't fit

1	their aircraft. Some have just an issue with a
2	particular location, want to be by friends. I
3	mean, it's it's all kinds of reasons out there.
4	Being on the lateral transfer list only
5	allows you to switch a unit. It does not allow
6	you to rent an additional unit. So, I want to
7	make that clear. If you're on that list, it's
8	essentially you're giving up the leasehold you
9	have in favor of a new leasehold when it's offered
10	to you.
11	And last point under a lateral transfer is
12	that we do give priority to those contacted
13	previously. We've had, I think there are only
14	three on the list currently, but when they were
15	contacted, made it to the top of the list of the
16	T-hangars, we the hangar that was available did
17	not fit the aircraft they had. So they've, in a
18	sense, remained sort of in a in a virtual top
19	of the list. We move on beyond them, and as soon

20	as something's available, they'll be the first put
21	in there once that those three are off the
22	list. Then we move on to the 40 laterals, which
23	should in theory free up 40 other hangars that
24	will go to the hangar waiting list from that point
25	on.

1	And we do need to make some policy decisions
2	related to hangar rental rates, as we bring this
3	new product on in in May or June. My
4	suggestion is we agenda that as a discussion item
5	for the April meeting and just decide how you're
6	going to approach this. There are a couple of
7	couple of directions you can you can go with
8	this, and we'll just get them out on the table and
9	see what y'all want to do with it.
10	That that's really it as it applies to the
11	lease policy. So, with that, we'll bring it back
12	in April, if everybody's okay with that, and talk
13	about just the rate policy as it especially as
14	it interacts with the new hangars. We've got an
15	ROI expectation on those hangars, and you've got
16	to decide whether you're going to do the get
17	the ROI based on just the new hangars or are you
18	going to spread that over across all the units.
19	And that's a policy decision we'll present, what

- we know about it, in April.
- Okay. That concludes my first item.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Sorry.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to open it to
- public comment.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Victor, you're the only one
3	that gave me
4	MR. MARTINELLI: I'm okay.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any board comment?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Shouldn't be any
7	surprises about it. That's that's been the
8	standing policy for a while. That's how we run
9	through the waiting list and lateral transfers all
10	day.
11	9.B CORDOVA PALMS UPDATE
12	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I put Flagler
13	Development back on. I just wanted to bring you
14	up to date real quick on our meeting with Florida
15	DOT Aviation office people.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
17	MR. WUELLNER: We met and went over the
18	options that were on the table with regard to
19	Florida DOT. And the basic point where we are now

20	is we are have been asked by Florida DOT's
21	Aviation office to get them a letter or a small
22	white paper or something discussing the issues
23	related to Cordova, how they affect the airport,
24	what our concerns are, any comments we have,
25	anything generic and or specific for that

1	matter, that can be that FDOT Aviation office
2	will request to have transmitted with Florida
3	DOT's comments to DCA while DCA is reviewing the
4	submittal from the County and the developer.
5	So, we'll have an opportunity to get those
6	comments in front of DCA through the DOT. We can
7	also send those separately to DCA. We don't have
8	to do that through DOT, but they're also, you
9	know, in a sense a business partner of ours and an
10	investment partner certainly in the infrastructure
11	on the airport.
12	So, our intent is to get that to DOT this
13	week so that we don't miss any kind of deadlines
14	or submittal issues with them and and kind of
15	go from there with with them and and make
16	our points and our cases on there.
17	If you have input you want to give me
18	individually or collectively as a board relative
19	to things you want to make sure are in that in

20	that discussion, you're certainly welcome to do
21	that. But as I said, I will I will be trying
22	to get this out within the next couple of days to
23	DOT. So, if there's something you feel strongly
24	about or needs to be included in there as a
25	comment, then you need to get it to me pretty

1 quick here. Otherwise, we'll be talking about everything from overflight to inconsistency with 2 3 our Master Plan, things of that nature, and overflight noise issues, long-term development. 4 All of those kinds of issues will be things I'll 5 be bringing up anyway. Yes, sir. 6 MR. GORMAN: The last previous meeting, I 7 recall asking, I had some -- a lot of the board 8 members, I believe it would be true, to actually 9 get these graphics to include the land that we 10 own, that the airport owns, in other words, and 11 provide a graphic with -- so you superimposed 12 Cordova Palms with an actual, you know, graphic 13 14 that can show what we own --MR. WUELLNER: Right. 15 MR. GORMAN: -- as an airport authority. And 16 17 I don't see that in any of these handouts. MR. WUELLNER: I am working on that for you. 18

It is not that simple to get it over the top of

19

- 20 it --
- 21 MR. GORMAN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- but we are working on that
- drawing for you.
- MR. GORMAN: The other thing is I just --
- 25 it's kind of an amusing thing that -- that the

- 1 cloud bank kind of moved in. Is there -- is there
- 2 another graphic that shows the airport a little
- 3 more clearly than that?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, that's from the developer.
- 5 That wasn't from us.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: Right. There must be something
- 7 a little more clearer than that --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: It's -- yeah, I don't know.
- 9 Because I think they've --
- MR. GORMAN: -- because the airport is
- actually right there, and you can actually see it
- without this --
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think they
- 14 artistically --
- MR. GORMAN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- are cutting it off.
- MR. GORMAN: I'd like to see less artistry
- and more actual graphic.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's their graphic, not

- ours.
- 21 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: All I did was steal it, so to
- speak.
- MR. GORMAN: It's for discussion.
- MR. BURNETT: Just, let me -- yeah, let me,

1	real quick, if I could, I just wanted to snare
2	with you what it is that I handed out. And I know
3	you've I know y'all are all familiar with this
4	project, but I wanted to make sure that you had
5	some of these draw these graphics that have
6	been prepared by the developer.
7	You know, one's a general air aerial that
8	shows the layout and where the project is. And
9	and these maps, interestingly enough, in the DRI
10	will have specific things. They are aerials of
11	map D. The map A is a general location map so you
12	can get some idea, and that's the other slide that
13	Ed had up there earlier. Very similar.
14	The figure 10 10-1 is interesting because
15	it shows the Airport Overlay District and it shows
16	the noise contour. So, that's useful for you
17	folks.
18	And then the map H is their plan of
19	development, the one that Ed's been showing up

20	there. Did you not get a map picture?
21	MS. BARRERA: No, I didn't get that.
22	MR. BURNETT: Okay. Not sure. Must have

- done a bad job sorting those.
- The other thing that I've given you is what
- is the question 10, which is a general description

1	of the project so you've got an idea of of what
2	they're planning in the project. And you'll see
3	that it's dated April of 2006 because it really
4	hasn't changed since then. Again, the holdup,
5	from what we understand from the project, was
6	related to DOT and roadway-related issues.
7	The actual transmittal has been to the
8	Planning and Zoning Agency, as you know, but at
9	our last meeting, subsequent to that, we had
10	anticipated that it would go to the Board of
11	County Commissioners and be transmitted. It was
12	actually pulled from the Board of County
13	Commissioners as an agenda item. I'm not entirely
14	sure as to why that's happened. But it's a DRI
15	and it takes a lot of negotiation and problem
16	solving, typically, and it's not unusual for
17	something like that to happen with a project of
18	this size. So, I guess we'll wait and see and
19	monitor what's going on with the Board of County

25

20	Commissioners so we've got an idea of what's going
21	on there.
22	But other than that, that's where it's at.
23	And I figured I'd give you this material so you'd
24	have something to take home and read tangible and

help you to better understand what's going on

1	related to that project.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
3	MR. BURNETT: And and one other thing I'll
4	comment on, and so that you know who she is in the
5	audience you obviously know.
6	Lynn Pappas is here, and she's an attorney,
7	the the primary partner, I would say, based on
8	the name being first of the Pappas Metcalf law
9	firm. Lynn is a I will go one step further to
10	say that she's also the preeminent land use
11	attorney in our area, and she is representing this
12	project. And so, I'm sure she is well aware
13	fully knowledgeable about the project, if you had
14	any questions. So, she's here.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment. Victor, do
16	you have any?
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Nothing further than the
18	comment that I made on behalf of the Pilots
19	Association. But we believe that this is an

20	extremely serious situation. And going down the
21	road, we would certainly not want to have
22	anything, even with so-called avigation easements
23	in any way impede the progress of this airport.
24	And certainly the flight patterns, putting the
25	school, for example, right off the end of one of

1	our main runways, doesn't really sound like a good
2	idea.
3	So, as I said before, we're looking into it,
4	and we'll be back to you with more detail.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Michael?
6	MR. SLINGLUFF: Echoing what Mr. Martinelli
7	said, I think the impact of this DRI is very, very
8	important, and we need to take a very careful look
9	at it. It's far, far reaching on not just today's
10	operations, but down down the road, five years
11	down the road, how how this airport will
12	expand. I mean, it's it will be a major
13	impact.
14	I think I'd like to suggest that the board
15	put together a committee to investigate the impact
16	that the not just on an avigation easement, but
17	on day-to-day use of the airport as it is today,
18	the impacts that we see in five years. If there's
19	another instrument approach, how how is that

20	going to be affected?
21	Our Master Plan, obviously, is affected and
22	will have to be changed. This whole thing should
23	be given top priority. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Michael. Okay.
25	Board comment, Jack?

1	MR. GORMAN: I certainly agree with
2	Mr. Slingluff on on all his all his points.
3	And I would like to see personally, I would
4	like to ask for like figure 10-1 is fine. But
5	to get a graphic overlay of of our lands and
6	provide that to the the board, you know, for
7	study at least a week ahead of the next board
8	meeting where we're going to discuss it, because
9	I've got some other people I need to discuss it
10	with besides board members.
11	So, in other words, it's got to be so I'd
12	like to have that. Just a picture's worth a
13	thousand words. So, if we can actually see what
14	we own, it would be very, very helpful. 10
15	10-1 is a good start, but it doesn't show anything
16	we have.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
18	Randy?
19	MR. BRUNSON: Susan (sic), the only thing

20	I'll say it's kind of like I guess what eventually
21	the board maybe is going to promote this or or
22	go against it in some way, but this is kind of
23	backwards from what and when we have this
24	meeting, I would like some way, Doug, for the
25	people that are affected by this, not that we are

not -- we're not in the Planning and Zoning and 1 we're not the County Commissioners, but we sure 2 3 need those same kind of inputs from the community 4 and around the airport so we can get a full disclosure of everything. And how best you do 5 6 that, that would be up to you. But there's a lot of things to look at. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You mean a public like 8 workshop or something? 9 MR. BRUNSON: Well, you asked for that 10 anyway, you know, with any public comment. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 12 MR. BRUNSON: And so -- but they need to know 13 that -- and I'm sure the Planning and Zoning has 14 published that. But they need to know they can 15 16 voice their opinion here, too. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comments? 18 MR. BRUNSON: Comments on that? MR. BURNETT: No. The only -- the only 19

20	notice really that goes out on this kind of matter
21	is the usual public advertisement that goes in the
22	newspaper. Because it's a Comprehensive Plan
23	change, it's advertised through through the
24	paper.
25	I don't believe it even gets the 300-foot

1	neighboring property owner notice sent out. That
2	would certainly happen later when the property was
3	rezoned to a PUD, because even though it may get a
4	DRI designation, it will still have to go through
5	the PUD notice. So, at that point in time, they'd
6	get the 300-foot property owner notice. But
7	otherwise, it goes out through the paper.
8	And one thing that the County has done is
9	included Mr. Wuellner in the notice. And, in
10	fact, the Applicant even sent copies of their
11	application materials, their original application
12	materials to Mr. Wuellner on your on your
13	behalf. So, that's the the best of the notice
14	that I know of that's going on.
15	I've I've struggled with with the
16	concept of what you're talking about as to how you
17	stay in the loop and how best to keep the Airport
18	Authority in the loop. And I'm not sure, short
19	of it's not an issue that goes before you, so

- I'm not sure how we get the ad out to -- to say
- something --
- MR. BRUNSON: Right.
- MR. BURNETT: -- along those lines.
- MR. BRUNSON: And I understand that. But --
- so I guess it's up to each individual board member

- 1 to -- to do the research to see what's -- the
- 2 feeling is.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'll put it on our agenda,
- 4 too, that goes out so the public knows.
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I've got a quick logistic
- question. Doug, you gave us these photographs.
- 8 And I know Lynn. Is Rogers Towers representing or
- 9 partly representing the developer?
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. Thanks for bringing that
- 11 to my attention. That's interesting when I see
- that there. You'll note that some of these maps
- are -- are not dated. And it's my
- understanding -- although I could be wrong, but
- it's my understanding that -- well, what I do know
- to be true is George McClure and Susan Bloodworth
- were no longer attorneys at Rogers Towers in April
- 18 of 2006.
- When I look at the materials I've given you,

20	the application for development approval, it's
21	actually dated April 2006. So, my assumption
22	related to that is it's a carryover from George
23	and Susan were attorneys in our firm. They were
24	leaving during this time. And since the materials
25	haven't changed, they were never updated to

- 1 reflect that it's George McClure and Susan -- or
- 2 McClure, Bloodworth, P.A., and not Rogers Towers,
- 3 P.A. So, it's a lingering thing from that time.
- 4 I don't know that there's a conflict there. If
- 5 there is, I'm sure they'll bring it to my
- 6 attention. And maybe that's something I'll follow
- 7 up with them and make sure there's no --
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I would ask you to follow
- 9 up, because if we're concerned about this and have
- to give an opinion paper or whatever, we're going
- to have a conflict if we're represented by Rogers
- 12 Towers. Maybe Lynn knows. I don't know how much
- involved you know that Rogers Towers is or is not.
- 14 MS. PAPPAS: Thank you. Lynn Pappas. I'm
- not aware of any current representation on Rogers
- 16 Towers part. I think Doug accurately
- 17 characterized it. I think it's just a holdover
- 18 from the earlier application.
- MS. BARRERA: Be nice to get a clarification

- on that, though.
- MS. PAPPAS: We'll certainly confirm it as
- well, but I don't think there's any issue for you.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: If you could just let us
- know.

1	MR. BURNETT: And my knowledge of it was
2	that and it would have been George McClure.
3	Now, Mr. McClure at that stage would have had
4	somewhat limited involvement. It's my
5	understanding that his representation was really
6	rated related to matters to come in the future
7	in the present time period related to the hearings
8	and those sorts of things. So but I'll follow
9	up and make sure there's not a problem there.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Anymore board
11	questions, Kelly?
12	MS. BARRERA: I have. I just want a
13	clarification. When I'm looking at this Airport
14	Overlay District and the runway, can and I know
15	you don't probably have a slide on it, but where
16	exactly in this is the school? Because my
17	under I want to make sure I'm clear on that.
18	MR. BURNETT: If you
19	MR. WUELLNER: It's up here, is my

- 20 understanding.
- MS. BARRERA: That was my understanding. So,
- it's not in this noise contour; is that correct?
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MR. BURNETT: That's correct, yes.
- MS. BARRERA: So, it's in the northernmost

1	part.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
3	MR. WUELLNER: I don't know if that other
4	drawing shows it.
5	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, the other drawing.
6	MR. WUELLNER: There you are.
7	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, it's the purple portion.
8	MS. PAPPAS: Yes, it's very, very I'm
9	sorry.
10	MR. WUELLNER: It's better drawing of it.
11	MS. PAPPAS: It's way up on the north.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Lynn, do you know how firm
13	they are on the alternate 312, 313?
14	MS. PAPPAS: I know that that's something
15	that's been considered, certainly as the project
16	was being renegotiated and discussed with with
17	DOT.
18	I apologize, because I'm not as up to speed
19	as I need to be to answer those questions, but

20	I what I did want to explain to you is that the
21	timing in the deferral is, as Doug suggested,
22	there is a little more time for us to try to have
23	these discussions.
24	And, you know, no applicant goes into this
25	process without a recognition that in proximity to

1	an airport, that the relationship with the airport
2	is going to be a critical factor. We're very
3	respectful of your needs, and hopefully there is a
4	circumstance and a universe in which the project's
5	opportunity can coexist with the airport's
6	objectives.
7	Our goal, at this point, if you all have
8	concerns, is to make sure we understand what they
9	are. And if we if we are able to reach
10	resolution that meets both objectives, that's
11	great, and that's something we would put as a
12	as a high priority.
13	I would suggest to Mr. Wuellner, if if
14	he's comfortable, either sharing his DOT document
15	with us before he sends it or certainly when he
16	sends it
17	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
18	MS. PAPPAS: so that we have an
19	opportunity to sit down and and go over what

20	the issues are. Because I think what's happening
21	at this stage is you all are revisiting how this
22	might affect you.
23	We haven't had this dialogue in this fashion,
24	and to the extent that it needs to be had, I'd
25	just like to make sure that you all understand

1	we're certainly willing to be there and have that
2	discussion. And again, if we if we're able to
3	reach resolution, that's great. It's not our
4	objective to try to do this in a fashion that
5	doesn't give the airport a full opportunity
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we actually, we
7	were lucky to have some discussion last meeting
8	with the representatives here. But I'd like
9	can we get a copy of that before it goes out?
10	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Yeah.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just want because
12	obviously, our major concern that I hear from the
13	board is the noise, obviously. That's going to be
14	a problem. We don't want to field all those
15	calls. And two, our growth. And it greatly
16	affects when that 312 is changed, our Master Plan.
17	And that's huge.
18	So and I know that the people that
19	represented Cordova, who were here last week, did

20	listen to that. I mean, they just to fight the
21	questions about it. But those are one of the few
22	things I think or two of the few things that
23	would be addressed in that paper.
24	MR. WUELLNER: The character of the airport
25	has changed dramatically since this first

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Came out?
2	MR. WUELLNER: was discussed. I don't
3	know that we've had discussions since getting
4	commercial service. So
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just last month.
6	MS. BARRERA: And that was a surprise at that
7	point.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Because it just
9	resurfaced.
10	Any other board comment?
11	(None.)
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thanks, Lynn.
13	MS. PAPPAS: Thank you.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Is that it for that item?
15	Everybody good?
16	9.C FBO CONSULTANT TASK
17	MR. WUELLNER: Last business item I have is
18	related to I was we were directed at last
19	Authority meeting to see if we couldn't get a

20	scope of work prepared to look at the FBO-related
21	issues. I provided you via e-mail, with your
22	agenda package, the letter from Airport Business
23	Solutions, their proposal, as well as the
24	qualifications and experience statement.
25	Reminder, you've used this firm on a couple

1	of occasions, primarily toward preparation of
2	fairly high-end, high-detail aviation appraisals,
3	and even some market evaluation that was done
4	dating back over ten years now. So, we we do
5	have a history with the firm. It's not a new firm
6	to us.
7	Their current proposal would provide for a
8	60- to 90-day contract suspension to do to do
9	the scope of work that's presented, which is
10	essentially some some on-site visits, some
11	discussions with with some other airports
12	relative to second FBO development, looking at
13	volumes and forecast data and the like,
14	demographic tends, trends in fuel, pricing,
15	aircraft manufacture and delivery, and combine -
16	compiling for your use a list of qualified FBO
17	candidates that would be out there, and analyze
18	the prospective respondents to develop business
19	onnortunities and at the airport here also as

20	well as prepare a report to that related.
21	One of the items that I did hear from Ms.
22	Kelly on was that we were looking for some
23	recommendation of site on the airport to come out
24	of this. And the other concern she had was that
25	this included some travel, the proposal did. And

1	the suggestion was made that we perhaps make it a
2	not-to-exceed number and look at ways to maybe
3	curb the number of trips involved in this and
4	and see if we can't do it for under that amount
5	either way.
6	But given the time line of the comments, I
7	have not had a chance to get back with the with
8	the consultant. And I don't think there's any
9	issue adding that as an item to the scope of work
10	with no change in the in the value. And I
11	don't think they'll have an issue with doing
12	anything on a time and materials kind of mentality
13	so that it's with a not-to-exceed number of this
14	\$19,5-, so that if indeed more can be done for
15	for less work effort, that we can take advantage
16	of the cost reduction.
17	But if you want to get it going, you know,
18	you decide this month, or we can go through that
19	effort with them and bring it back to you next

20	month. Your choice.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment, Victor?
22	MR. MARTINELLI: I'm glad to see that you're
23	taking this approach. I think that if I could
24	offer a suggestion, the two slides that Ed had
25	prepared several meetings ago asking for

1	publication, actually, to the public of certain
2	statistics, do you recall those slides?
3	I think that it the consultant who does
4	this should include that information in his
5	report, because I think that it's very, very
6	important that everyone who will look into this as
7	a prospective FBO coming on on the field have
8	all that information and that be circulated with
9	all the other reports. So, if I could, Ed, I'd
10	like to see maybe that included as part of the
11	specification.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Patty?
14	MS. WAGSTAFF: I'm not going to add anything.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If no other public comment
16	board discussion?
17	Did we get any I mean, of one proposal
18	number, is this norm for the industry, the \$19,5-?
19	MR. WUELLNER: It's yeah. And it's very

25

This is a --

20	specialized kind of look-sees. You can you can
21	ask other individuals, if you want. But the
22	the relative value is that it's below the
23	requirement to do for professional services
24	contracts to go out and achieve multiple quotes.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I just wondered if it
2	was norm in the industry, this amount.
3	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's fair, considering
4	the work effort that's involved in this. There's
5	a fair amount of back investigation that's got to
6	go on to support it to the direction they come up
7	with.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We can
9	MR. WUELLNER: It's actually less than what
10	was proposed, if you recall, a year and a half
11	ago. Now, the scope of work is slightly
12	different. That was more toward developing a
13	scope of work and and and what the airport's
14	needs were in that context and working closely
15	with the Authority directly to to develop that
16	proposal. So, the scope is slightly different,
17	but it is less money, too.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are they still going to use
19	that in their proposal, what they feel the needs

20	are, the scope?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. That's that's what
22	the what they're trying to come up with, is
23	what what is our baseline business and where
24	does that look like it can expand? And I don't
25	mean physically, but I mean in terms of

1	development of business.
2	MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chairman?
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Randy?
4	MR. BRUNSON: My only comment I have is I
5	hate to spend money, but I think this would be
6	money well spent. And hearing no objections from
7	the professionals of the airport and seeing some
8	of the people that I know at Peachtree DeKalb and
9	Greenville-Spartanburg, I think he's made a wise
10	choice of presenting this.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
12	No?
13	MR. GORMAN: I'll make a short one. I hate
14	to spend the money, too, but since we can't come
15	to any decisions, and we just keep discussing it
16	round and round, I don't know, I think
17	maybe that would be some way to come to a
18	conclusion.
19	MS. BARRERA: I think it's the only prudent

25

20	way to come to a conclusion. And I would like to
21	see the tour of the other airports. And and
22	you're working on mitigating those costs.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
24	MS. BARRERA: That's my only

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - MARCH 10, 2008

CHAIRMAN GREEN: They have a Jacksonville

1 office. 2 MS. BARRERA: Yeah. They -- they definitely 3 have a strong expertise in North Florida, given the projects that they've done in the past. And 4 5 so I think that they'll be able to mitigate that. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. BRUNSON: Do you need a motion? 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think so. Ed, you're not asking for any action, are you? We've 9 10 got a --MR. WUELLNER: I think you'd need to 11 approve -- well, technically, I -- with your 12 direction, I can approve it. But I just want to 13 14 make sure you're all right. That's it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think --15 16 MR. WUELLNER: It falls below the threshold. CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- we're all on the same 17 18 page, not the exceed, include the travel, and try

19

to mitigate that down.

- MR. WUELLNER: Right. Otherwise --
- MR. BRUNSON: Good luck.
- MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't want to put it off
- any longer. We've got to make a decision on this.
- MS. BARRERA: Right. It's not fair to the

1	people who are involved. And and we need it
2	for growth of the airport so that we're doing it
3	the smart way.
4	10 HOUSEKEEPING
5	MR. WUELLNER: A couple of housekeeping
6	items. That's it for for me for business
7	items. But just to let you know, Aerospace
8	Academy internships started on the airport. It
9	involves six different entities on the airport.
10	We've placed seven students on the airport.
11	Exciting time. They all seem to be starting to
12	show up now to to their individual employers
13	and and the energy's been exceptional at this
14	point. So, everything seems to be very good.
15	I wanted to let you know that runway 2/20
16	repairs, last I heard are on track to begin this
17	Thursday. And we looks like the engineers have
18	been able to get the cost down to about \$48,000
19	within the scope. So and and that will be

20	split with Florida DOT.
21	We did want to point out that the AAAE
22	conference is in New Orleans this year.
23	(Suzanne Green leaves the meeting.)
24	MR. WUELLNER: And you have some information
25	as part of your packet, and we need to get

1	information back from you if you intend to to
2	go to that in June. I believe it's, yeah, June
3	8th through the 11th this year.
4	Also, just a quick meeting preview for April.
5	We will have a detailed discussion and a need to
6	make a decision relative to property and liability
7	insurance for the next calendar year, beginning
8	May 1st, is our renewal date. We will have some
9	alternatives for you this year. We'll have some
10	additional companies involved in those
11	alternatives. And we'll give you, you know, a
12	pretty broad selection of things to to consider
13	moving into May 1st. And we'll also, as I
14	mentioned earlier, have that T-hangar rate
15	discussion as a part of the April meeting. So,
16	for sure, those items will be on there on the
17	April this meeting.
18	And at the request of Mr. Gorman I didn't
10	get it added here, since the slide was already

20	done but we'll also add the inferences of
21	airline revenues and the relative to tax
22	implications moving forward. So, we'll see how
23	we'll get some factual data together for that.
24	And that will will provide a good
25	discussion leading into the budget season here

- 1 kicking off in late May and June. So, it's a good
- 2 timely -- to ask the question.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: You're going to talk about cash
- 4 flows --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: -- and possibilities. Well,
- 7 let's see. We have, since it's relatively
- 8 apparent that the chairman has left, that leaves
- 9 this to me.
- And not being an expert at Roberts Rules of
- Order, we are going to carry on with --
- MR. WUELLNER: Public comments.
- 13 MR. GORMAN: -- public comment.
- 14 Mr. Martinelli?
- 15 11. PUBLIC COMMENT GENERAL
- MR. MARTINELLI: Runway 2/20, runway 6/24,
- 17 13/31 are three runways. The -- as I understand
- it, the FAA will support two runways, and
- currently they are supporting 13/31 and 6/24 as

20	far as funding is concerned.
21	We have had some discussion as to the
22	desirability of changing the second or crosswind
23	runway funding from 6/24 to 2/20. The advantages,
24	we believe, are first of all, 2/20 is a wider
25	runway. It's not quite as long as 6/24, but it

1	also has a subbase which we believe will carry
2	heavier traffic than 6/24.
3	Runway 2/20 presently is suffering from lack
4	of repair evidenced by the recent problem that
5	we're now going to spend, what, \$48,000 or so to
6	fix.
7	I believe, Ed, you were going to do some core
8	samples of both runways to verify the subbase and
9	the load factors that these runways could carry.
10	Hopefully, the advantage will be toward 2/20.
11	If there are any other technical requirements
12	necessary for submission to the FAA in order to
13	get the designation of 2/20 as the second runway,
14	we should look at those.
15	Also, I would like to suggest that the
16	Airport Authority begin thinking about it, because
17	if it boils down to your decision and your request
18	of the FAA to get that funding, that it be done
19	so. Because without it, you can't afford to keep

20 runway 2/20 as ar	operating runway	down the road,
----------------------	------------------	----------------

- because it's just -- it just needs to be
- resurfaced. It costs a lot of money to do that.
- And without participation from FAA and FDOT, it
- would be virtually impossible for you to do.
- On the other hand, 6/24 has recently been

1	resurfaced. And the fact that 2/20 becomes the
2	second runway funded by the FAA and FDOT doesn't
3	necessarily mean that 6/24 goes away.
4	The 2/20 maintenance has not really occurred
5	in any to any extent for, Ed, I don't know, 20
6	years or thereabouts? And so, if 6/24 goes by
7	that same pattern, you can have three good usable
8	runways going on into the future if you take this
9	action. So, I would suggest that the board think
10	about this and really address it.
11	MR. GORMAN: Any additional public comment?
12	(None.)
13	MR. GORMAN: Any additional board comment?
14	12.A MS. KELLY BARRERA
15	MS. BARRERA: No. I'm looking forward to
16	we have a presentation tonight. I'm not sure if
17	I'm going to be able to make it, but I think it's
18	very proactive on the airport to go out and to
19	help try to educate people in the aerobatic box

20	and get that information out and to the public
21	about the the what it encompasses and the
22	time of year it falls under and those type of
23	things. That'd be my only board comment.
24	12.B MR. RANDY BRUNSON
25	MR. BRUNSON: The only only comments I

have, I'm real encouraged to see the flights are 1 up, and I'm real encouraged to see the PR 2 3 committee doing such a good job. I hope it keeps going and the positive things keep coming out. 4 And I will report that the MPO board meets 5 this Thursday, so next board meeting, I hope I can 6 7 come back with some information on 312 that --8 that we need to know about the Master Plan and -and what's going on. 9 It goes without saying, when -- the great 10 presentation you did for Bryan, that the safety 11 and security will be the utmost of importance. 12 And -- and we certainly feel for Bryan at this 13 time. And we acknowledge that. 14 And that's the only thing I have. Let's see. 15 There'll be a lot of people flying in here 16 17 Sunday -- I can get away with this comment -- to attend the Blessing of the Fleet, which -- which 18 is going on next Sunday at the city marina, and 19

- that's always a very nice affair.
- 21 And I have to thank Victor Martinelli for
- his -- always his good comments. And 92 percent
- of the time, I agree with him. So, thank you,
- 24 Victor.
- 25 And that's all I have, Mr. Vice Chair.

1	12.C MR. JOHN GORMAN
2	MR. GORMAN: I'll make a couple of quick
3	ones. And one is, we have a lot of taildragger
4	airplanes, and it might be prudent to keep, you
5	know, runway 2/20 open for them. That refers to
6	the aerobatic box.
7	And as far as my graphic request, I'll make
8	it real clear to superimpose the easement proposed
9	by the Cordova Palms, superimpose it the same time
10	on the same graphic, the airport land owned by us,
11	by the airport board and the Airport Authority,
12	and then the school proposed area, and on one
13	graphic sheet so that we can actually see these
14	relationships. I'd like to see all that at once
15	for discussion.
16	MR. BURNETT: And if I might, Mr. Gorman, I
17	will work with Ed to see if we can't get the
18	applicant to have its engineers at England, Thims
19	& Miller to put that together so it comes as no

- cost to you. If it doesn't, then we'll --
- 21 MR. GORMAN: Without the clouds.
- MR. BURNETT: -- the airports --
- MR. GORMAN: Without clouds. All right.
- 24 Thank you. We are done, except for -- looks like
- we're done.

1	13 NEXT BOARD MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT
2	MR. GORMAN: The next regular meeting is
3	April if I put on my glasses I could read this.
4	MR. WUELLNER: 21st.
5	MR. GORMAN: The 21st at 4 p.m. And if we
6	have nothing additional, we'll adjourn.
7	(Meeting adjourned at 5:01 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG031008.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 24th day of March, 2008.
13	
14	TANETM DEAGON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG031008.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			