1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, June 14, 2004
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.
7	********
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
10	WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer JOSEPH CIRIELLO
11	BOB COX JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
15	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	St. Augustine Court Reporters
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3
4	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 3
5	4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS 3
6	5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 4
7	6. REPORTS:
8	A. Mr. Bruce Maguire - County Commissioner 5 B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc. 5 C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 5
10	D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A. 5 E. Mr. Bjorn Ottesen - F.A.C.T. 8 F. Mr. David Knight, ATCT Manager 8
11 12	G. Mr. Douglas Burnett - Attorney7. ACTION ITEMS
13 14 15 16	A. Project Updates B. Retainage Release C. Airport Lease Approval D. Terminal Area - 2nd Floor & Parking E. T-Hangar Rate Survey F. Development Alternatives for Araquay Park G. Initial Staff Budget Presentation 13 42 105 105 105 106
17	8. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:
18 19 20	A. Ms. Suzanne Green, Chairman B. Mr. Joseph Ciriello C. Mr. Wayne "Buzz" George, Secretary/Treasurer 192 D. Mr. Bob Cox E. Mr. Jack Gorman 195
21	9. PUBLIC COMMENT 195
22	10. NEXT BOARD MEETING - July 12, 2004, 4:00 P.M. 199
23	11. ADJOURNMENT 202

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the meeting of
3	the Airport Authority board. Stand for the pledge
4	to the flag, please.
5	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
6	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. We have before us the
8	minutes from April 19. Do I have any exceptions
9	or comments on the minutes?
10	(No exceptions or comments.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, then the
12	minutes will be approved as transcribed.
13	4 ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have our financial
15	report. Any comments, additions, or exceptions to
16	the financial report? This is for the six months
17	ending March 31.
18	(No additions or exceptions.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no comments, we'll
20	accept the minutes as presented. The financial
21	reports?

22 MR. GEORGE: Two. 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mine were stapled together. 24 Sorry. Oh, no, I do. Okay. Then I have the 25 April 30, seven months ended April 30 financial AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 4 1 reports. Any additions, exceptions, or comments 2 on that financial report? 3 (No additions or exceptions.) 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, those will be 5 accepted as presented. 6 5. - APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have our agenda, which 8 lists items through 7.G. Any comments on the 9 agenda? 10 (No comments.) 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do I have a motion for the 12 approval of the agenda? 13 MR. COX: I'll move. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second? 15 MR. GEORGE: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No more comments? 17 (No further comments.) 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the agenda 19 as printed? 20 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
22	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
23	MR. COX: Aye.
24	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	5
1	(No opposition.)
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, the agenda's
3	approved.
4	6.A COUNTY COMMISSIONER
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have our reports.
6	I'll skip Mr. Maguire.
7	Aero Sport? Mike? Oh, not Mike.
8	6.B AERO SPORT, INC.
9	MS. MOSER: No report.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No report from Aero Sport?
11	Northrop Grumman?
12	6.C NORTHROP GRUMMAN
13	MR. LESLIE: No report.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Pilots Association?
15	MR. WUELLNER: Not sure that's on, John.
16	6.D S.A.P.A.
17	MR. RODERICK: We have a two-part report. We
18	share this duty, Mr. Martinelli and I.

19	First and I have one item. I would like
20	to bring praise once again to this group,
21	Mr. Gorman for his advocacy, and support from the
22	rest of the board for the TVOR. It's now
23	successfully on the air, and it's a significant
24	safety issue to small airplanes.
25	Mr. Wuellner supported it, and I know Bryan
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	6
1	Cooper learned how to navigate a lot of tough
2	environmental situations and other significant
3	issues to get this done by using the help of
4	in-house people, led by Mr. Harvey and his crew of
5	talented hard-working people. And we thank you
6	very much. Vic?
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Do I need the mic? Okay.
8	Further to what John is is saying, we also
9	MR. WUELLNER: Pull straight out.
10	MR. MARTINELLI: in the Pilots Association
11	are very grateful to the airport, the Airport
12	Authority, for thank you for what is
13	happening, what's happened so far, and what is
14	down the road in terms of the development of the
15	airport.
16	And most of you folks have read the article
17	in the Folio Weekly, which I really don't think

18	did very much justice to the airport here and to
19	us on the Pilots Association. But let me just
20	tell you a couple of things that we have in the
21	works in in the Pilots Association.
22	As you all know, we have been working with
23	the Young Eagles group, and we have done a lot of
24	work in that area to help young folks. In
25	addition to that, we have a thing going right now,
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	7
1	which is putting together packages for our
2	servicemen over in Iraq, and the progress that
3	that program has made is really very significant.
4	As a matter of fact, this Saturday, we're
5	going to have a work session in one of the hangars
6	where we'll be putting together these packages
7	together with personal letters that will go to our
8	servicemen over there and help them hopefully in
9	their morale.
10	All of that comes back to one basic point.
11	As this airport is developing and as the the
12	Master Plan is evolving, there are certain
13	provisions in that Master Plan for the community,
14	a place where where young folks can come and

observe airplanes, a place that -- that never

16	existed before on this airport. And again, our
17	hat's off to the Airport Authority and to the
18	staff for making that happen.
19	But there is one thing that is very, very
20	important, and that is to have the PR that we're
21	embarking on and we plan to embark upon a PR
22	campaign with the Folio and with other newspapers
23	to get the right message across to the public
24	about our airport.
25	And concurrent with that, I think it's very,
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	8
1	very important that the airport move ahead with
2	its plans and that we don't find ourselves in a
3	position down the road where all of a sudden our
4	plan has changed or has come to a dead stop
5	because some of the details that need to be taken
6	care of in a timely manner were not taken care of
7	in a timely manner.
8	So, I would urge the Airport Authority to
9	continue to move forward to implement that plan so
10	that we can coincide with our PR campaign and
11	hopefully get the right message out about our
12	airport. Okay. Thanks.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mr. Martinelli.
14	Bjorn?

15	6.E F.A.C.T.
16	MR. OTTESEN: No report.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Knight?
18	6.F A.T.C.T. MANAGER
19	MR. KNIGHT: I'm Dave Knight, the manager at
20	St. Augustine control tower. On the chart, you'll
21	show during the month of April, we were actually
22	down a little, about 500 ops from a year ago. And
23	in May, we're actually up 1,500 ops. So, over the
24	last two months, we've actually increased a
25	thousand over last year. That puts us around
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	14,000 I see the chart is lacking one detail
2	item. But we're up about 14,000 ops over last
3	year this same time period.
4	I have two other elements I'd like to
5	mention, if I may. The control tower received
6	a basically a retrofit in all of its software
7	that runs our communications system up in the
8	tower, whereby we had some difficulty whereby
9	frequencies were dropping off line automatically.
10	The software's been replaced and upgraded, and
11	that is no errors now. So, that's working great.

And tomorrow, we have the site survey team in

13	here for STARS. They're the ones that's bringing
14	in the radar system. They will also be doing the
15	site survey for the FDIO, as well as the DBRITE.
16	And it's estimated that the DBRITE will be up and
17	operational by September of this year. It's
18	actually receiving a radar feed from Mayport,
19	which allows for the area coverage down to 400
20	feet over St. Augustine. It will be piped
21	directly into Jax approach.
22	MR. COX: Can you can you define, I mean,
23	for those that won't know what DBRITE means?
24	MR. KNIGHT: Basically, DBRITE is a
25	nothing but a camera, the radar presentation that

- 1 Jax approach has that will cover our airspace.
- 2 Jax approach radar is being mosaic or combined
- 3 together with other radar systems. Particularly,
- 4 Mayport is going to be piped into their system
- 5 that will allow them better coverage over
- 6 St. Augustine, as well as south of St. Augustine.
- 7 So, those individuals flying in on ILS
- 8 approaches or vectors into runway 31 will no
- 9 longer have to be held up high at 3,000 feet.
- They should be able to go all the way down to
- 11 2,000 feet, or whatever the MVA is in that area.

12 Yes, John? 13 MR. GORMAN: Dave, again, but that radar is 14 actually going to be usable down to 400 feet, I 15 understand? Was that it? 16 MR. KNIGHT: Four hundred feet is the bottom 17 portion of that radar, you betcha. 18 MR. GORMAN: That's great. 19 MR. KNIGHT: That's the baseline. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Dave. 21 MR. CIRIELLO: Madam Chair? 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir. 23 MR. CIRIELLO: I'd like to ask Mr. Knight if 24 he would give a little report on the so-called

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

near miss that happened a few weeks ago to get it

11

1 officially on the record.

- 2 MR. KNIGHT: We actually call it a pilot
- deviation rather than a near miss.
- 4 The bottom line is, is Jax approach handed
- 5 off a King Air aircraft that's IFR on a visual
- 6 approach into St. Augustine runway 31. At the
- 7 same time, they provided St. Augustine tower with
- 8 a heads-up that there was a Baron inbound to
- 9 St. Augustine.

10	The Baron turned out to be a Cessna 172 that
11	had radio difficulties. As the King Air was
12	approaching on basically a straight-in to runway
13	31, it crossed the beach area and asked for a wind
14	check.
15	The controller looked at the winds, issued
16	the winds, looked back up at the aircraft, and as
17	he did such, he saw a Cessna 172 doing a diving
18	left-hand turn, cutting the King Air off.
19	Controller immediately came back to the King Air
20	pilot and said, "Go-round right inside. I observe
21	traffic a Cessna turning in front of you."
22	Very well done on the controller's part. And
23	neither pilot saw each other. And another safe
24	day. Good deal.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mr. Burnett?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	6.G AIRPORT AUTHORITY ATTORNEY
2	MR. BURNETT: Mr. Ciriello, if you don't

- MR. BURNETT: Mr. Ciriello, if you don't
 mind, I'll borrow -- share your microphone. A few
 things. We've been working on some FBO lease
 revisions. I think the -- the lease has come into
 more of a final draft stage. We've sent that to
 the FBO.
- / unc i bo
- 8 We've been working with Mr. Wuellner with

9	that to get the various lease properties defined
10	and get the terms to where how they're going to
11	regulate each one of those different lease
12	properties.
13	Additionally, we had a small personal injury
14	matter come up in the last month that Chris
15	Hazelip, who is a board-certified trial lawyer in
16	our Jacksonville office, helped work on with the
17	airport. And we've been dealing with some kind of
18	construction issues as well. That's sort of a
19	brief summary of what we've been doing.
20	I'll be happy to meet with any of the board
21	members after the meeting if you've got any
22	specific questions.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
24	MR. GEORGE: When do we anticipate the FBO
25	lease to be signed, sealed, delivered, and
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	complete so we don't have to talk about it
2	anymore?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Final we expect
4	final to you in July, at July's meeting.
5	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? Thank

7	you, Doug.
8	7.A PROJECT UPDATES
9	MR. WUELLNER: Project update. It's the
10	first item I have. Outstanding projects this
11	month, TVOR; north development area; terminal
12	project; terminal project Phase II; the airport
13	maintenance facility; Taxiway Bravo and the
14	hardstand; land acquisition in Araquay Park; home
15	demolition update; Phase II hangar structure
16	rehab; marketing and public relations; airport
17	leasing and financial planning; as well as the
18	normal update on the Airport Master Plan.
19	In order, the TVOR, I'm pleased to report the
20	project is complete at this time. FAA ground and
21	flight checks proved satisfactory. It was
22	commissioned for VFR use as of last Thursday.
23	Will be released for IFR instrument approaches
24	beginning August 5th, which is the publication
25	and publication date for the IFR approach
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	procedure. So, at this point, the project is
2	complete, accepted, and otherwise ready to use,
3	and hopefully the last update you'll have on that
4	one.

Northeast development area, same basic thing;

6 job is now complete, all hangars are occupied, and 7 that's the last report that we intend to give you 8 on the northeast development area. 9 Phase I of the terminal, I wish it were the 10 last report on this one. Punch list work still 11 ongoing, and we're still awaiting revised survey 12 and still awaiting the CO. 13 Is Brian here? Yeah. Any word on any 14 last-minute developments over there? 15 MR. THOMPSON: No last-minute developments. 16 I called the bonding company. 17 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. And, unfortunately, 18 that's where it sits. 19 Phase II, canopy project's arguably 99 20 percent done. We expect final punch list work to 21 wrap up this week. And the CO, actually I'm being 22 told, will be issued, should be by the end of this

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

15

1 it's basically complete.

23

24

25

2 MR. GORMAN: That's includes the fire issue?

week. So, it's -- Phase II not only caught it,

occupiable before the balance of Phase I. So,

but passed it, and we'll have a CO and be

3 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. They have relocated

4	the panels. The fire marshal signed off on it
5	MR. GORMAN: Great.
6	MR. WUELLNER: and it's just now
7	paperwork.
8	Airport maintenance facility. Still
9	anticipated for third for the end of fourth
10	quarter this year, thereabouts. Site work's
11	ongoing. Steel has been delivered on-site, and
12	construction should be released this week to go,
13	so
14	Taxiway Bravo, Phase I opened, which is the
15	northernmost section. Phase II, paving has been
16	completed. Lighting and striping are undergoing
17	the install lighting's been ongoing. Striping
18	will be completed, we're being told very likely by
19	the end of this week.
20	And there the holdup item on Phase II
21	right now, and even part of Phase I, in terms of
22	finalized final finaling it, is a manufacture
23	delay in delivering the actual physical signs to
24	the site, the the updated legends and physical

16

1 As soon as they arrive on-site, they'll --

25

signs out there.

2 it's a fairly quick effort to get them installed.

3	They've done all of the hard part, the
4	installation underground, pouring of the pads,
5	doing all of that. So it's a pretty quick deal
6	once they get here on-site. That's still, my
7	understanding, several weeks away.
8	Phase III, which is self-fuel access, that's
9	been paved. We expect that will be striped by the
10	end of the week. That will be opened immediately
11	upon striping. It doesn't really have any
12	signage, and it what little lighting is
13	involved can be done concurrently. It's pretty
14	well-lighted over in that particular spot.
15	Phase IV, which is the hardstand in the area
16	immediately adjacent to PGA in the north apron
17	entrance ramp, that's ongoing right now. I
18	understand they're beginning to put the lime rock
19	back in place. Survey and grades, engineering
20	information's in their hands as of Friday. Really
21	still expect to be done by the end of the month
22	with that. That's just some striping. They're
23	very minor the signs are all tied together in
24	terms of the same order. So, timing of this
25	should should get wrapped up here pretty

1	shortly.
2	Land acquisition, Araquay Park. All
3	properties that we had under contract have closed.
4	So, there are no pending sales at this point we're
5	working. Long-form appraisals, I understand
6	they're looking to wrap those up. They've been
7	scheduling last week and this week, and I don't
8	know whether that extends into the following week,
9	the actual site visits to wrap up the information
10	they need to accumulate in order to generate the
11	appraisal.
12	We see no indications it will be delayed
13	beyond the July meeting. So, you should have
14	everything you need to make decisions relative to
15	the to the proposed eminent domain properties
16	by the July meeting.
17	And let's see. Home demolition update.
18	Really, there's nothing significant to do. All of
19	the homes that were in there in the first batch
20	have been either were removed. The second
21	batch or the first batch of vacant homes that
22	we're offering for bid is ongoing. You'll have
23	that information at your July meeting. We've
24	that bidding is still ongoing right now.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?

1 MR. GORMAN: I understand that the form of 2 advertising that we've had for those -- those 3 vacant homes and these salvage homes is just in 4 two manner. One is the actual legal description, 5 in other words, the actual legal notice. 6 MR. WUELLNER: That's correct. 7 MR. GORMAN: And the other one is in a 8 database that's just available to contractors? 9 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 10 MR. GORMAN: Okay. That, to my own way of 11 thinking, is probably not adequate, the 12 advertising. That's my only thing I'm going to 13 say. 14 The market for homes like that would probably 15 be local. It may be local contractors and an ad 16 in the paper or some local ad may be able to get 17 that salvage money out of it, because just a 18 statewide database and a legal notice is not --19 you might not have the customers for that kind of 20 home read there. 21 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I don't know that we've 22 had any --

23

24

25

salvage, is all.

file: ///S / Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board % 20 Mtg % 20 Info/Minutes/2004 % 20 Minutes/Board % 20 Meeting % 2006 1404.txt [11/16/2010 2:13:24 PM]

MR. GORMAN: I'm only trying to maximize the

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We've had a number of

1	people inquire; however, nobody's at this point
2	making any determination that it's worth their
3	trouble to try and relocate structure homes. The
4	only that anyone's showing interest in that I'm
5	aware of are mobile units, which
6	MR. GORMAN: Right.
7	MR. WUELLNER: have some salvage removal
8	value to them. And I think we are expecting a few
9	bids or some information.
10	MR. GORMAN: My point being there may be some
11	market for the structure homes if you expanded the
12	advertising a bit. I mean, I know and the slab
13	homes, no. But I mean the structure home is
14	possible.
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's it's the expense of
16	it. It's it's so cost prohibitive to move
17	that. And County requirements require the home,
18	as well as the location it's going to, to be all
19	brought up to code in order to do. And it's
20	it's very cost prohibitive. These the value of
21	these homes is not
22	MR. GORMAN: If you don't ask, you won't
23	receive.

MR. WUELLNER: Well -- well, we have through

1	MR. GORMAN: The advertisements where?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Legal notices, as well as
3	the it's on our
4	MR. GORMAN: A database
5	MR. WUELLNER: on our web site web
6	site, also.
7	MR. GORMAN: To me, that's not a sufficient
8	advertising campaign.
9	MR. COX: You may negate your profit, Jack,
10	by the cost of the advertising.
11	MR. GORMAN: Well, I if you sold one home
12	Bob, you would pay for 25 ads. I hate to
13	disagree, but I don't agree.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?
15	MR. BURNETT: For what it's worth, I'll just
16	throw out there in the in the newspaper, if
17	you're a private homeowner advertising a home for
18	sale, the little small ads that you see in the
19	paper that run for say a month at a time period,
20	typically, they're in the \$3- to \$350 range for a
21	one one-month ad. So, that's the dollar
22	amount. Whatever however that relates. I'll
23	just throw that out.

- MR. GORMAN: Thank you. That's good. That
- gives you a good idea. Doesn't seem expensive.

I	MR. GEORGE: But there's no reason that one
2	ad cannot be run for multiple
3	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah. It would be a
4	it's a single ad
5	MR. GEORGE: Just an ad saying that the
6	airport has homes, you know.
7	MR. GORMAN: My thought exactly.
8	MR. WUELLNER: And I think we made you aware
9	of this at the last regular meeting, but typically
10	the leases east of Casa Cola for homes that were
11	already owned and leased by the Airport Authority
12	expire between the months of July and October. I
13	think we do have one or two that may extend to
14	January at this point, and at which point
15	they'll go to basically month-to-month leases.
16	Steel rehab, we've made some progress in the
17	last couple of weeks now. The a significant
18	portion of that steel has now been relocated.
19	That that which was done has been relocated,
20	and the banding's been done on that. And it's my
21	understanding it's now been covered.

23	other steel that hasn't had the rust treatment and
24	the the primer reapplied to it, and they'll be
25	continuing that. The guys are starting to have a
23	continuing that. The guys are starting to have a
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	22
1	little time to do that now; the VOR and signs and
2	the like being behind us.
3	Marketing and public relations, we don't have
4	much to report this month. Again, we'll issue
5	press releases relative to the north or
6	northeast area and the Taxiway Bravo.
7	MR. GORMAN: Is it not possible that from
8	time to time we should issue a press release to
9	the paper, kind of clarifying what our goals are
10	and what rather than letting things just take
11	their normal course, which has not been
12	MR. WUELLNER: If y'all want to direct
13	something that we prepare and put out, we'll be
14	happy to do that.
15	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Airport leasing activities,
17	we've got a discussion item a little bit later in
18	the agenda relative to the second floor.
19	Primarily all of the activity just by way
20	of making you aware, all of the activity we've had

We've -- they've begun moving some of the

21	to this point is folks seeking smaller office
22	space than what is being offered in a single
23	parcel. And all of them or none of them,
24	probably a better way to say it, have expressed
25	any interest in trying to finish that space on
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	23
1	their own to try and lease it.
2	Restaurant lease is also on the agenda,
3	just and we already mentioned that the Aero
4	Sport lease will be on your July's agenda. It's
5	virtually done at this point. It's just some back
6	and forth. Their legal counsel is reviewing the
7	document at this point.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, I have a question on the
9	second floor.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is it within, fiscally, for
12	us, and budgetwise, if we offered an incentive,
13	like you won't pay for your buildout but we'll
14	give you X amount of money towards it if you lease
15	it?
16	I don't know what they're looking for, a
17	buildout or putting up a nonstructural wall just
18	to divide two offices up, and we'd supply the

	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the build
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Drywall or
21	MR. WUELLNER: the level of buildout right
22	now is is basically zero. It's a concrete
23	floor and and totally unfinished. It's I
24	mean, it's
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I don't mean to build it
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	24
	24
1	out for them. I meant to give them a buildout
2	allowance, basically, is what
3	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the what we were
4	doing was looking at reducing the rental rate
5	dramatically for those who wanted to do it. We're
6	taking that into account based on the life of the
7	lease.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?
9	MR. GORMAN: Again, not to labor the issue,
10	so I'll be short, but the advertising for that,
11	are we reaching all avenues of the industry or
12	MR. WUELLNER: We have had we have been
13	with a paid ad for three months now, over three
14	months now in the both the Jacksonville and the
14	
15	St. Augustine paper on a daily
	St. Augustine paper on a daily MR. GORMAN: A one-inch column ad?

is it? 18 19 MR. GORMAN: So, we're talking about a 20 one-inch small column. 21 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's to the tune of 3-, 22 400 bucks a month. That's what the ad costs have 23 been on it. 24 MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: A thousand. 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: A thousand what?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

25

1 MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: A thousand dollars. 2 MR. WUELLNER: For both publications. And 3 the Jacksonville paper. 4 MR. GORMAN: Has there been any -- have you 5 considered using some other media? 6 MR. WUELLNER: Like I don't know what we'd 7 use. 8 MR. GORMAN: Trade magazine? 9 MR. WUELLNER: No. I mean, it's -- this is 10 not a high value item. I mean, it's not a huge --11 we've got plenty of interest. They just don't 12 want 3,000 square foot. 13 MR. GORMAN: That would be my reason to go a

larger company, which may be in a trade magazine.

14

15

Just a thought.

16	MR. WUELLNER: We don't have CO anyway at
17	this point. It's not entirely wasted time.
18	And we will have this baseline forecast
19	information I've got it probably about 80
20	percent done at this point. We'll have this in a
21	better format to have meaningful discussions at
22	your July meeting.
23	And Airport Master Plan update. Where is
24	MR. MARTINELLI: He's behind you.
25	MR. WUELLNER: I know where he is.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	26
1	NG LOVINGEWAY AL
•	MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's on your laptop, Ed.
2	MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's on your laptop, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: In the this one
2	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one
2	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)?
2 3 4	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes.
2 3 4 5	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in. MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's no, it's on the hard
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in. MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's no, it's on the hard drive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in. MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's no, it's on the hard drive MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in. MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's no, it's on the hard drive MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MS. LOUNGEWAY: the presentation of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in. MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's no, it's on the hard drive MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MS. LOUNGEWAY: the presentation of it. It should be that one right there (indicating).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WUELLNER: In the this one (indicating)? MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: This CD? Plugged in. MS. LOUNGEWAY: It's no, it's on the hard drive MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MS. LOUNGEWAY: the presentation of it. It should be that one right there (indicating). MR. JUFKO: Hello, everyone. I'd like to

those of you that participated in our Master Plan

15	workshop with the Authority.
16	I feel we were quite successful in coming to
17	some some agreements on some very difficult
18	issues, at least allowing us to move forward with
19	our alternatives process, and have us be able to
20	narrow down some very difficult issues for us,
21	both from airfield planning and as well as the
22	general aviation facility considerations. I'm
23	going to are you switching? Okay. Thank you.
24	Real briefly, I'd like to take this
25	opportunity to just go through some of the things
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	27
1	that we've ended up with from from comments
2	that were received during the workshop. And what
3	this is going to allow us to do is to narrow the
4	field down to three or four alternatives,
5	depending on whether we're talking about airfield
6	alternatives or general aviation facilities.

And -- and now we'll be able to kind of compare

impacts, benefits that we have from the different

apples and apples, look at these facilities and

look at the financial impacts, environmental

alternatives, and we'll go forth and make a

recommendation.

7

8

9

10

11

13	Of course, as always, if there are any other
14	concerns from either what you see here today in
15	in my brief summary presentation, we'll be happy
16	to answer any questions, and you can forward those
17	to us through Mr. Wuellner.
18	Briefly, what I want to talk about right here
19	is that we looked at some alternatives that deal
20	with key facility requirements that we identified
21	during the process. You've kind of heard this
22	before.
23	We're looking at maintaining 8,000 feet of
24	runway at this and precision approach
25	capability to handle some of the larger aircraft
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JU
	28

JNE 14, 2004

1	that we see here at the airport, as well as work
2	on some deficiencies in our crosswind coverage and
3	in terms of length, of course, taking into
4	account, as we come up with different
5	alternatives, some of the changes in and how we
6	address wind coverage, how we the wind coverage
7	actually applies to some of the runways, or any
8	new concept that we introduce into the process.
9	Next slide. The next. I'm going to go
10	through Alternatives A through E here very quickly

to kind of give you an idea. One of the key

12	things that had come out of the TAC, Technical
13	Advisory Committee, as well as the Authority
14	workshop, because there was a lot of sensitivity
15	to any relocation of U.S. 1 and the railroad. And
16	in order to do due diligence, so to speak, we want
17	to be able to look at, for the sake of looking at,
18	certain issues related to these different types of
19	development.
20	In this case here (indicating), we're showing
21	what happens when you go on both the north and to
22	the south on runway 13/31, and what impact that
23	has in order to get that pavement back. It
24	involves some minor relocation not minor
25	some relocation of the road and the rail. And

29

- 1 that's shown there, and we will compare that --2 next slide -- to another alternative here 3 (indicating), where we actually do not relocate 4 any of the road or the rail, and we go to the 5 south in order to gain some of that runway back, 6 and also address -- in all of these situations, we address the runway safety area deficiencies that 7 we have currently. 8
- You'll notice there's a red triangle on some

10	of these alternatives. And what that means is for
11	the long term every one of these alternatives
12	deal with the long-term, even though some of them
13	may be short-term projects we want to be able
14	to reserve portions of the airfield for for
15	some sort of aviation-related development, and
16	that's what that triangle there is in the middle.
17	And in all of these alternatives we would
18	also look at enhancing the connectivity to Taxiway
19	Bravo. By adding some of these connectors, we're
20	able to deal with some deficiencies that come out
21	of the capacity analysis.
22	And you'll also notice that runway 6/24 is
23	going out to the east. That effort there is to
24	also address some deficiencies in in runway
25	length for the crosswind runway component.

1	And next one. This is just a right out of
2	the previous Master Plan (indicating). We agreed
3	to revisit this and and compare it against our
4	alternatives. Nothing changed here. This is the
5	relocation of the rail and roadway out to the west
6	(indicating), and a parallel runway configuration.
7	Just a point I'd like to add and we
8	explained this to both the Authority workshop and

9	the TAC. The way that we get the capacity that we
10	need in the future there's only a couple of
11	ways. And one way that's pretty much foolproof is
12	to go into some sort of parallel runway
13	configuration. This is what came out of the last
14	Master Plan. And we'll show you another one in
15	the next slide where there's another take of a
16	parallel configuration.
17	Now, we don't want you to get too worked up
18	over this, as we mentioned to the three members
19	that were there at the workshop. The reason that
20	we throw this alternative in there is to let you
21	know, and the reader know of the report, that we
22	indeed looked at some issues associated with, what
23	is it because the questions keep coming up.
24	What happens if you move a runway across the west
25	to handle our capacity deficiency in the long
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 200

)4

- 1 term? What about connectivity to the other 2 airfield -- to the existing airfield?
- 3 We wanted to show what it actually would take
- 4 to do that. It's quite an involved process,
- 5 super-long taxi times for some of the smaller
- aircraft. And we've shown not only a -- see if I 6

7	got my button here working not only a
8	connection here in the north (indicating), but we
9	also showed a connection here on the south end
10	(indicating) as sort of a supplemental connection
11	to let you know that we've looked indeed looked
12	at these issues. And I feel pretty confident, as
13	we go through the comparison of these
14	alternatives, that this one is going to have a
15	number of issues associated with it.
16	And you'll notice we even look at orientation
17	of this runway here (indicating) in order to get
18	that full parallel configuration we're talking
19	about. And you'll also notice some of the similar
20	improvements that were shown in the other
21	alternatives.
22	Gloria? And then another Alternative E here
23	(indicating). And this came directly out of our
24	discussion at the last at the workshop. And it
25	was pretty much consensus that we didn't want to

- 1 relocate the road. We wanted to avoid that at all
- 2 costs. And in order to do that and still try to
- get what we can get out of runway 13/31, there's
- 4 still some action to the south, as you see there
- 5 (indicating), and also potential for development

6	in the long term in this area here (indicating).
7	But notice that not that we're saying that
8	this is going to get built, but what we're doing
9	is we're preserving a configuration and a concept
10	that would indeed address your issues. And if you
11	were to move down the road and have to address it
12	at least you've taken steps now, while you have
13	the opportunity, to preserve land and land uses
14	for this type of development. That's key, because
15	otherwise, if you decide that you want to just
16	stay within the, you know, four walls of your
17	boundaries, or three in this case, right, you can
18	decide that as a group.
19	But what we're doing is we're we're being
20	asked for our professional opinion, and what we're
21	saying to you is you have some options available
22	to you to kind of protect some some land, some
23	key land here to the west for future use.
24	This doesn't mean it's going to get designed
25	this way. It doesn't mean it's going to exactly

- 1 happen this way. We want to show you that the
- 2 potential is there and this is roughly the
- 3 footprint of what it would take to do it.

4	This didn't exactly go over as we we
5	took you through D first, and then after you found
6	all of the, oh my gosh, look at look at D, when
7	we started actually discussing some of the
8	elements of what Alternative E might look like,
9	there were some things that actually started to
10	make some sense. So, at the request of the
11	Authority members during the workshop, we took a
12	look at this and introduced this as an
13	alternative, and indeed, there is no relocation of
14	any of the road or rail, and it takes into account
15	the other part here, State Road 312.
16	These were our two givens (indicating). This
17	road stays (indicating), this road stays
18	(indicating). What can we do, LPA? That's
19	what that's what was asked of us. So, we will
20	also compare that to some of the other
21	alternatives.
22	Next slide, please. What we'd like to do in
23	terms of the general aviation alternatives, since
24	we have an agenda item for the south, if you don't
25	mind, we'd like to reserve this discussion for

- 1 when we get to that point.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any questions?

- 3 MR. GEORGE: Hey, Phil? Did you -- when you
- 4 were looking at Alternative E, everything to the
- 5 west of the railroad, did you look at duplicating
- 6 another 31, 13/31? I notice you've got two
- 7 parallel runways --
- 8 MR. JUFKO: Right.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: -- to replace 6 and --
- MR. JUFKO: And the reason -- the reason, if
- 11 I recall our discussion, if you look at Delta, the
- 12 Alternative D, the reason that -- part of that
- Echo came out as a hybrid of some of the positive
- things that we saw in -- in -- in letter D.
- One, we've taken our runway and put it in
- 16 favor with the wind conditions. And -- and that
- seemed to go over very well with the group. It
- made sense to try to favor the wind conditions.
- 19 That's why we presented a parallel configuration
- 20 facing -- is it a 4/22 or a 5/23?
- 21 MS. LOUNGEWAY: 5/23.
- MR. JUFKO: 5/23 configuration. And we
- wanted to see what -- exactly what we could fit
- between those two roads, which is what you see
- 25 there.

- 1 The lengths that we involve there are enough
- 2 to handle not only your GA, but actually, if it
- 3 ever came down the road that you did have some
- 4 potential for commercial service here; i.e., RJ
- 5 service, you actually could accommodate it on the
- 6 one runway.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, that was the reason
- 8 I thought you were going to show crossing runways,
- 9 you know, west of -- of the railroad, so that we
- 10 could, you know, start developing that area over
- there, and then Grumman winds up with this area
- over here (indicating) with big contracts and
- things like that. But you're saying that that --
- one of those runways is -- is big enough for
- commercial service? If I --
- MR. JUFKO: Right. But you would not get rid
- 17 of 13/31.
- MR. GEORGE: No, no. But there's no 13/31 to
- the west of the road.
- 20 MR. JUFKO: No, sir. No, sir.
- MR. GEORGE: But you're saying that the 6,000
- feet, I think is what you --
- MR. JUFKO: Sixty-five, I believe.
- MR. WUELLNER: Round numbers, yeah.
- MR. JUFKO: In round numbers. We're able to

- 1 fit 65- there, and -- and it was our desire to
- 2 accommodate the prevailing wind conditions and
- also meet the runway length requirement.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: The runway length requirement
- 5 for what?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: RJs.
- 7 MR. JUFKO: The RJs, the regional jet
- 8 service.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. JUFKO: Because you already have the
- capability of handling some of the larger
- 12 aircraft, Northrop Grumman, on the 13/31 already.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. My only concern with that
- philosophy, Phil, is if I do wind up getting
- bigger service in here because the county does
- grow, then I've got commercial service that has to
- go on 31, 13, and I can't get across the street to
- get to the terminal where you're bringing the RJs
- in. But if I put parallel 13/31 runways, and then
- one that crosses over there, I've got all the
- 21 flexibility in the world that way.
- MR. JUFKO: Well, if you look back at --
- which alternative is that, C, the old Master Plan
- 24 alternative? That -- that's an attempt to at
- least duplicate the 13/31 configuration. We do

1 address it. And as I mentioned during --2 MR. GEORGE: It also requires moving some 3 roads. 4 MR. JUFKO: Well, it does and it doesn't. 5 Remember during our workshop -- and I don't think 6 I mentioned it today -- even though we have looked 7 at A, B, C, D, five alternatives, most cases, we 8 end up with a hybrid. And what I mean by that is 9 there's some aspects that you care for out of one 10 alternative; there's some other aspects you care 11 for and prefer out of a different alternative. 12 And maybe the preferred concept might be something 13 like something from E and something from B. 14 That's just to throw something out there. 15 There's a reason why we went with what we did 16 in -- in the Alternative E, and it is important to 17 us, one, to meet the capacity issue. But also, we 18 felt that if you go with this orientation, you 19 also meet the crosswind requirement. And that's a 20 double benefit for us. 21 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox? 23 MR. COX: Quick question, Phil.

24

MR. JUFKO: Yes, sir.

23

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

38

1	slide was airfield capacity, basically 80 percent
2	of the calculated ASV. What is that number? I
3	mean, you don't have to do you have it offhand?
4	MR. JUFKO: It's actually sitting in the back
5	of this, right? Gloria? That's why I keep Gloria
6	here.
7	MR. COX: That's a projected
8	MR. JUFKO: She keeps me straight.
9	MR. COX: number of operations that we'll
10	have in 2023; is that
11	MR. JUFKO: Right. If you look at our
12	forecasts
13	MR. WUELLNER: ASV is a theoretical capacity
14	of the airport, annual service volume.
15	MR. COX: Not necessarily what our
16	projected
17	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
18	MR. COX: That's what we're aiming for.
19	Okay.
20	MR. WUELLNER: The 80 percent number is
21	projected
22	MR. JUFKO: Normally, when we go through this

planning process, when we're at the 60 percent of

- our theoretical capacity, we start making plans to
- address that.

- 1 MR. COX: Okay.
- 2 MR. JUFKO: And when we're at 80 percent, we
- 3 should be at least considering design or
- 4 constructing that. And we said during earlier
- 5 phases of the study that in the 20-year time frame
- of this plan, we would be looking at, be reaching
- 7 the 80 percent mark. So, that's why we're
- 8 planning for it now as part of this study, methods
- 9 and ways of meeting the capacity --
- MR. COX: That theoretical capacity is a
- 11 number of -- a number of operation?
- MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MR. COX: What is that, just off -- do we
- 14 have that?
- MS. LOUNGEWAY: At 2023, the -- the ASV is
- 16 188,930.
- MR. COX: So 190,000, round numbers.
- MS. LOUNGEWAY: A hundred and ninety
- 19 thousand.
- MR. COX: Okay. All right. Thank you very
- 21 much. Appreciate it.

22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Phil. Ed?
23	7.B RETAINAGE RELEASE
24	MR. WUELLNER: First item on the regular
25	agenda I have is release of retainage. This is
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	40
	40
1	for the Phase II, or canopy hangar, if you prefer.
2	And we're looking per policy for the Authority's
3	concurrence in the release of the retainage.
4	The original contract was with Danis
5	Construction, and the retainage amount at this
6	point's approximately \$51,000. And, of course,
7	it's always dependent on pending receipt of all
8	closeout documents. And as I mentioned earlier,
9	the CO is expected this week. So, we'd like your
10	concurrence to go ahead and release retainage to
11	Danis.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Comment by the board?
13	(No Comments.)
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment?
15	(No comments.)
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing no public comment, is
17	there a motion?
18	MR. GEORGE: I move that we approve Staff's
19	recommendation.
20	MR. COX: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? 22 Joe? 23 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Are you going to pay 24 this immediately, or -- or do they get paid after 25 we get our CO? AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 41 1 MR. WUELLNER: After. All you're doing --2 MR. GEORGE: Once the last --3 MR. WUELLNER: All you're doing is approving 4 it. 5 MR. GEORGE: Once the punch list work has 6 been complete and final confirmation that 7 everybody's been paid, then we'll pay them. 8 MR. CIRIELLO: We're not going to be in one 9 of these --10 MR. WUELLNER: No. 11 MR. CIRIELLO: -- items where we had before 12 where we've paid people and got stuck holding the 13 bag. 14 MR. WUELLNER: That's the whole purpose of 15 retainage --16 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. 17 MR. WUELLNER: -- is to make sure all of 18 that's cleaned up.

19	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
21	(No further discussion.)
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion
23	to accept Staff's recommendation, say aye
24	(indicating).
25	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	42
	.2
1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
2	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
3	MR. COX: Aye.
4	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any opposed?
6	(No opposition.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed,
8	Staff's recommendation is passed.
9	7.C AIRPORT LEASE APPROVAL
10	MR. WUELLNER: Next item I have is the
11	proposed airport lease with Fly-By Cafe, which is
12	a limited liability partnership. We provided you
13	some information in your agenda item. It's a
14	second-floor restaurant space that was vacated
15	voluntarily, if you will, about 45 days ago.
16	The proposal's for a 10-year lease with a
17	10-year renewal option. First-year revenue

18	estimate's expected to be at least \$18,000, which
19	is the minimum baseline rental on the on the
20	agreement as we're negotiating at this point.
21	It's also subject to a a 5 percent of gross
22	sales, whichever accumulates to a higher number,
23	will effectively be the rent that month.
24	The company is a new tenant to the airport.
25	The lease would be drafted per Authority policy,
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	43
1	basically with the assistance of of our
2	attorney, using the our our base agreement
3	as the as a starting point for it. It does
4	have some anomalies related to a restaurant
5	operation that aren't typical for like a corporate
6	hangar or the like. But we had a restaurant lease
7	form that was generally pretty good, but it will
8	get get a once-over by the attorneys before we
9	present it to them for consideration.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to open to public
11	discussion first. Any public comment?
12	(No public comment.)
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board comment?
14	Mr. George?
15	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Wuellner, what was the lease

16	cost that we had charged the last tenant?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Works out to the current
18	or the rent at the time of the vacation of the
19	lease is approximately the \$18,000-a-year number.
20	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, it's basically the
21	same as we did, so there's no showing that
22	MR. WUELLNER: Within a couple of dollars,
23	but it's right there.
24	MR. GEORGE: That's fine. Do we have any
25	costs other than just, you know, cleaning and
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	44
1	stuff like that that may come in, or what?
2	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, we're still
3	negotiating if any additional leasehold
4	improvements we'd do. The only thing we're even
5	considering is a one-time placement of carpet
6	and/or tile up there, and that would be the extent
7	of any investment we make up there.
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: All equipment and tables,
10	chairs, all of that stuff would be up to the
11	lessee.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?
13	MR. GORMAN: Do you have more than one lessee
14	interested in this, or is this the only one?

15	MR. WUELLNER: We have talked to I guess
16	we've probably had a half a dozen or more. Eight
17	of them was it eight, originally that have
18	inquired, and and for various reasons made the
19	determination it was not something they were
20	interested in.
21	We were down to the last two. The second of
22	the two has basically stopped responding,
23	stopped stopped answering the questions. So,
24	we're down to these people operate a restaurant in
25	town at this point. So, it is ongoing viable
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	45
1	concern at another location.
1 2	
-	concern at another location.
2	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town?
2	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town? MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's Allison's right
2 3 4	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town? MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's Allison's right over by you, actually.
2 3 4 5	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town? MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's Allison's right over by you, actually. MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town? MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's Allison's right over by you, actually. MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further comment?
2 3 4 5 6 7	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town? MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's Allison's right over by you, actually. MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further comment? (No further comments.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	concern at another location. MR. GEORGE: Which restaurant in town? MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's Allison's right over by you, actually. MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further comment? (No further comments.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Entertain a motion. Joe?

MR. CIRIELLO: -- get with these people.

13	MR. GEORGE: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion by
15	the board?
16	(No further discussion.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion
18	to accept Staff's recommendation, say aye.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
21	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
22	MR. COX: Aye.
23	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
25	(No opposition.)
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 46
1	
1 2	46
	46 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed,
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, Staff's recommendation is passed.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, Staff's recommendation is passed. 7.D TERMINAL AREA - 2ND FLOOR and PARKING
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, Staff's recommendation is passed. 7.D TERMINAL AREA - 2ND FLOOR and PARKING MR. WUELLNER: All right. We have two items
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, Staff's recommendation is passed. 7.D TERMINAL AREA - 2ND FLOOR and PARKING MR. WUELLNER: All right. We have two items that the concepts and the like will be presented
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, Staff's recommendation is passed. 7.D TERMINAL AREA - 2ND FLOOR and PARKING MR. WUELLNER: All right. We have two items that the concepts and the like will be presented by Passero & Associates, Andrew Holesko. Let me
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, Staff's recommendation is passed. 7.D TERMINAL AREA - 2ND FLOOR and PARKING MR. WUELLNER: All right. We have two items that the concepts and the like will be presented by Passero & Associates, Andrew Holesko. Let me change graphics here for them. Actually, I think

MR. HOLESKO: Yeah, I'm here, Ed.

12	MR. WUELLNER: Gotcha.
13	MR. HOLESKO: Over the past few months
14	I've just been listening to some of the discussion
15	about the buildout of the second floor of the new
16	building and approached Ed a few weeks ago just to
17	talk to him about it, about that we would be
18	interested in just, you know, laying out some
19	options and perhaps making it more attractive to
20	some smaller businesses.
21	Other places where we work, it just seems
22	like anytime there is nice office space available
23	on the airport in smaller increments, sometimes
24	that's much easier to fill.
25	Just to orient you a little bit of what you

47

1	see here for the concepts. This is Option A
2	(indicating). It is what we call the large-suite
3	concept. This would be the area looking to the
4	east, obviously toward the river (indicating).
5	This would be the view looking towards the west
6	(indicating). And this would be the area looking
7	towards the south (indicating).
8	You have three suites, Suite 1, Suite 2,

Suite 3, ranging in size from 400 feet up to

10	almost a thousand. You have a common restroom
11	area, possibly a common reception area. And the
12	area you see here shaded would be just common
13	walkway area (indicating). Out of the 3,200
14	square feet on the second floor, in this
15	particular option, leasable area, would be 2,400
16	square feet and about 800 of common.
17	The next option go ahead, Jonathan.
18	Option B, we looked at some midsize suites. We
19	still kept a large Suite 3. That that corner
20	area, you know, frankly, it's a very irregular
21	shape and it's not real easy to break up into
22	small triangles and really keep it desirable.
23	Actually, the the entire floor does have
24	quite a few irregular shapes. We added a common
25	conference room here looking to the east

- (indicating). That's perhaps the most desirable
 area. It does not have to be a conference room.
 It could also be a very nice office suite and
 obviously have the best view.
- 5 These are smaller in nature. You see either
- 6 four or five suites here in -- in option B
- 7 (indicating), once again, ranging in size down to
- 8 as low as almost 200 square feet and ranging up to

10	Next. Option C is the smaller suites all the
11	way around. I think we have eight suites here, or
12	seven if you include the common conference room
13	here (indicating). As you begin to look at this
14	type of buildout, you can see you have a lot more
15	of the common area, which is the walkways and
16	hallways going from office to office (indicating).
17	You have a lot more walls and certainly have a lot
18	more cost.
19	This is the most expensive buildout, which
20	we'll talk about in a minute. It may end up being
21	the most attractive, but frankly, this any of
22	the three options would allow you to meet whatever
23	the specific needs are of people that are really
24	interested in space.
25	You might have somebody who wants 200 square
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	49
1	feet or somebody that wants 750 square feet, or
2	somewhere near that. So, wherever that ends up,
3	in terms of being firm interest, any of the three
4	options could be feasible.
5	Next. We put together some very preliminary

9

6

almost a thousand.

costs. First, we have common area costs, which is

7	upper left (indicating). Approximately \$120,000
8	just to fill out everything you need to make that
9	office space functionable. Now, consider that
10	that \$120,000 is going into an area of over 3,000
11	square feet. In terms of building out the inside
12	of a large home, you know, that's not you know,
13	the cost is reasonable.
14	Scheme A, which is the large office suites,
15	we're looking at approximately a \$15,000 buildout
16	on top of the \$120,000 for the common areas. So,
17	you're looking at approximately \$135,000 to
18	buildout.
19	The medium office suites, you're talking
20	about adding that \$19,000 to that \$120,000, so
21	you're looking at \$140,000 total for the
22	medium-size suites. And then once for scheme
23	C, the small office suites, you're taking that
24	\$120,000 plus that \$30,000, and it's approximately
25	\$150,000 for buildout.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 20

004

50

1	We haven't done any architectural design and
2	detailed costs on this. You know, these are very
3	much ballpark figures for average furnishings and
4	finishes inside the area. It's not you know,

it's not exquisite wood furnishings and things

- 6 like that. It's -- it's a regular office space
- 7 with regular carpet, regular walls, and regular
- 8 windows. That's it for the second-floor concepts.
- 9 The next --
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hold on.
- MR. GEORGE: Let's handle that a little bit.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. What was in the original
- design of the building for the second floor?
- MR. WUELLNER: Nothing.
- MR. GEORGE: There was \$120,000 for basic
- 17 common areas, you know.
- MR. WUELLNER: Nothing. It was never to be
- 19 built out.
- MR. GEORGE: But when we did the original
- building, we had some federal funds to help pay
- for it. And so it was not added in there?
- MR. WUELLNER: No. It was -- it was not in
- 24 the original plan. There -- there were no
- 25 identified tenants and no identified funds to

- 1 build out the second floor.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: So, we've never had the money,

4	so to speak, to do that.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
6	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Are there any rules in
7	place forgetting the square footage I'm
8	going with a number of offices. Like your Option
9	A, B, and C, when you get down to C, you have
10	quite a few different offices. But all of them
11	show two facilities for restrooms.
12	Is there any rules or anything that the more
13	number of offices you add, you have to add
14	restroom facilities, or is it by square footage or
15	no matter how you divide it?
16	MR. HOLESKO: I would believe that it is by
17	the square footage and the number of people that
18	would be expected in that area, and that's why we
19	put in two instead of one unisex. That that
20	size square footage requires two, a men's and a
21	woman's restroom.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I was just thinking if
23	you went with Option A, forgetting the footage,
24	but the number of offices, you would have X number
25	of people, if the offices are busy per day, but

- 1 where if you get down to C and you have all of
- these extra offices, figuring that each office

- 3 will have a certain amount of people per day, that
- 4 maybe just two restrooms wouldn't be sufficient.
- 5 MR. HOLESKO: I think -- actually, we looked
- 6 at it from the other end of the spectrum in terms
- 7 of it being oversufficient. We actually had the
- 8 question internally of whether or not we could get
- 9 by with one, just to try and save that cost, and a
- 10 recommendation from our own architects was to keep
- it at two.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
- MR. GEORGE: Ed, Mr. Wuellner, how much
- square footage is in Fly-By Cafe?
- MR. WUELLNER: Approximately -- including the
- 17 common areas that are in that lease, it's right at
- 18 1,800 square foot.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, this would be an 80
- 20 percent -- if we took the second floor of the
- 21 terminal building and said that's more conducive
- to a restaurant --
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MR. GEORGE: -- because the Fly-By has always
- been too small, then you'd wind up getting some

- 1 additional space, quite a bit of space there.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: But the cost would go up
- 3 dramatically.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: I understand that, okay? But do
- 5 you think that the space that would be freed up in
- 6 the old terminal building would be more leasable
- 7 than the stuff that's in the new lease?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: For purposes of a restaurant?
- 9 Is that what you're asking?
- MR. COX: Office space.
- MR. GEORGE: If I free up the restaurant, the
- 12 Fly-By now, and turn that into offices, you know,
- having it so close to Aero Sport and -- and the
- main terminal area, does that make it more
- 15 conducive to renting? Your guess.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't -- I don't think the
- location or proximity to the FBO makes any
- difference in --
- 19 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 20 MR. WUELLNER: -- suitability for office.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: All right.
- MR. GORMAN: Isn't this -- I mean, that's one
- of the reasons I was trying to discuss the -- the
- 24 marketing of it. In other words, because isn't it
- 25 going to be marketing driven?

- I mean, if you're going to -- like he says,
- 2 you've more inquiry from smaller clients, well
- 3 then it's obviously the last to fill it, or maybe
- 4 if we do touch on trade magazines and possibly get
- 5 larger clients, then it's Option A, where they
- 6 want the large offices. I mean, don't we have to
- 7 kind of go there and really pretty quickly before
- 8 we decide on a scheme? I -- you know, I'm asking.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: My gut feel is we wait till we
- get a tenant that likes A, B, C, or D, and then we
- make a decision of whether we're going to put the
- 12 \$140,000 in there.
- MR. GORMAN: That's the point; you've got to
- market it before you build it.
- MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MR. GORMAN: There you go.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: I -- yeah. I think you'd find
- your experience to be exactly the opposite.
- 19 You're not going to lease this with somebody
- staring at \$140,000 worth of investment.
- MR. GEORGE: Oh, no. That would be our
- investment.
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Well, I --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, maybe not all of it.
- 25 That's why I was saying, you give building

1	allowances for some of the buildout, and then the
2	tenant eats the rest or supplies the rest.
3	MR. GEORGE: Well, is Staff recommending that
4	we take one of the options and go ahead and do
5	that that \$120,000 buildout, or what is Staff
6	saying?
7	MR. WUELLNER: My review of it, I didn't
8	I'd make the investment in either scheme B or C,
9	get the space constructed. And I think it's
10	it's basically leased at the time you do it.
11	I think you're you're just treading water
12	and and nothing productive is on on the
13	horizon. And I don't I'm not not to not
14	to discourage your approach to it; I just I
15	don't see that a lot of of interest in 3,000
16	feet that's that's really small space for a
17	large company, but it's large space for a guy just
18	looking for an office space. And we do; we have
19	three or four that we've we've been talking
20	with, that I think we could move in tomorrow if
21	the space were available, but they're not going to
22	absorb \$140,000, which today has been the the
23	scheme.
24	It's been they they build it out or we

1	commit, let's build it out, and now we can and
2	then we can just lease final product.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
4	MR. CIRIELLO: It almost sounds as though
5	it's a "If we build it, they'll come" attitude.
6	We've heard that before here with that terminal.
7	We build it and we'll get a commuter. But I kind
8	of
9	MR. WUELLNER: I'd argue you've already built
10	it.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I know that. But when
12	we first talked about building that Phase II, we
13	was almost assured that when we extended Phase II
14	with office space, we had people ready to move in,
15	and here we are now having a hard time even trying
16	to rent it out to anybody. And you're asking
17	for well, I don't mean you know, we're
18	talking about investing more money to try to
19	entice somebody to come.
20	And I I kind of like the idea, let's get
21	somebody committed and then spend the money. I
22	mean, we're spending money with
23	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, if if the board's

- 24 more comfortable, we can certainly share it
- individually or bring it back as another item, I

57

1	mean, if you want to wait longer. But we've got
2	people that I mean, we're not making up we have
3	three or four people that that really would
4	lease pieces and parts of this thing.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, would they sign a
6	lease
7	MR. WUELLNER: I think they
8	MR. CIRIELLO: ahead of time so we'd know
9	we've got somebody stuck and go ahead and then
10	invest the money?
11	MR. WUELLNER: I think they would. I mean,
12	if that if that was the difference between
13	leasing and, you know, having the space and
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, why couldn't we do that?
15	At least we'd know we have a few people and we
16	could put the money into the one little area.
17	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, obviously, you don't
18	collect rent till they occupy.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I know that. But if
20	they've got if they've signed a contract ahead

of time, you've got them committed.

- 22 MR. WUELLNER: If that's the comfort you 23 need, I'm sure something could be worked out with 24 the -- with the prospective tenants. 25 MR. GORMAN: So, you're saying that you can AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 58 easily market it locally --1 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 3 MR. GORMAN: -- using smaller offices. 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Yes. 5 MR. GORMAN: And that with the corporate 6 concept, you've got a longer lead time to be able 7 to get someone to commit and that there's 8 certainly a hesitation for the buildout costs. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 10 MR. GORMAN: Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, we could do something 12 with --13 MR. GORMAN: Still nothing resolved, but --14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we could do something 15 with a contract that has contingencies on it, 16 obviously contingent upon a CO, which we don't 17 have yet, and contingent upon a certain amount of
- buildout. So, at least you -- there's a comfort
 that we have contracts, and basically the
 contingencies are controllable by the airport.

 sers/ckb/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2004%20M

21 MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah. Yeah. That could 22 be done, too. I mean, since -- since we actually 23 have, you know, tenants in mind, assuming they're 24 willing to commit to that, we could certainly get 25 the input, you know, to -- to make sure that the AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 59 1 product's matching the customer, too. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I'm saying, 3 instead of deciding on -- we're just talking 4 smaller offices, B or C right now. If we have a 5 contingency contract out there that says, all 6 right, we can probably get three or four, five 7 smaller tenants out of there, then it comes back 8 to the board that, here I've got five of them 9 right now. All right. Well, we're at C, you 10 know. 11 MR. GORMAN: Is it -- is it possible you 12 could ask these smaller tenants for a earnest 13 money? In other words --14 MR. WUELLNER: Sure. 15 MR. GORMAN: -- hey, we may build this out; 16 we need earnest money. 17 MR. WUELLNER: Sure.

MR. GORMAN: And then if they put up earnest

19	money, well just as a concept?
20	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
21	MR. GORMAN: They're committed?
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment.
23	MR. GORMAN: I mean, I'm just trying to, you
24	know, solve your and our problem.
25	MR. WUELLNER: It doesn't make much sense to
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	60
1	me to just continue to sit on it hoping something
2	happens.
3	MR. GORMAN: Which we don't want to do.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We didn't have public
5	comment yet. We kind of reversed it. So, I need
6	to open this to public comment. Mr. Martinelli?
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, two two thoughts.
8	One, hopefully if you get a tenant in there, you
9	want a tenant who whose business will grow, and
10	as their business grows, give them an opportunity
11	to expand.
12	And in your planning for how you lay those
13	walls out and so on, assuming that one or two or
14	three of the tenants that come in under plan B or
15	plan C grows and would like to remain in that
16	location, what's the possibility of tearing down
17	walls or increasing space? Has that thought been

18 put into --19 MR. HOLESKO: Jonathan, go back to -- go back 20 to B. 21 MR. WUELLNER: I think when you really look 22 at it, Vic, the -- the incremental cost of the 23 number of offices is the smaller of the numbers 24 you're talking about. The -- the significant 25 costs there are in developing the restrooms and 61

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

- 1 the core -- the core items that amounted to the
- 2 first \$120,000 involved there. Getting the AC
- 3 ducted in and -- and that type of stuff.
- 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. I guess what I'm
- 5 going forward thinking about, going forward with
- 6 these prospects that you have, invariably these
- 7 prospects say, well, you know, we've got our
- 8 business going, we plan to expand. And you can
- 9 say, okay, fine; if you expand, we can accommodate
- 10 you. That's all I'm saying --
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 12 MR. MARTINELLI: -- as an added enticement
- 13 for that.
- 14 MR. HOLESKO: A few things that --
- 15 MR. MARTINELLI: That then could also dictate

16	perhaps whether you go with plan B or plan C.
17	MR. HOLESKO: A few things we looked at
18	first of all Jonathan, go back to A, actually.
19	We could actually lay out the inside of the
20	building so that the smaller units could easily
21	turn into the larger units with the removal of one
22	wall, and it would end up, whether it was option C
23	with six or eight smaller units, or option A, it
24	could almost be the same footprint, and if
25	somebody ended up with three larger suites, that
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	02
1	you could have the same footprint by removing a
2	wall.
3	MR. MARTINELLI: You answered my question. I
4	just want to know whether you considered it.
5	MR. HOLESKO: Yes. And the other thing
6	that that we would probably do on a short-term
7	basis Jonathan, go to B. Just by going in some
8	of the areas and putting in actually, go to C.
9	Looking at the areas on C, just with simple
10	connectivity of interior doors, it could be
11	somebody that wants 200 square feet and then needs
12	400, and just by opening up a door, they could
13	double their space.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, let's play a "what if"

15	game.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, can I I still have
17	public comment, Joe.
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, okay.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's still open. Yes, sir.
20	MR. HICKOX: Almost a hypothetical question,
21	because it seems to me like we're asking the
22	Airport Authority to pay for something that, in
23	private business, generally the tenant pays for.
24	If you'll remember, you had the same debate
25	when you were talking about the aeronautical
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	63
1	school coming here. And I guess it's a
1 2	school coming here. And I guess it's a hypothetical question to the board or maybe to
2	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to
2	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the
2 3 4	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the cost of developing this?
2 3 4 5	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the cost of developing this? I'm presently working on a project to place a
2 3 4 5 6	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the cost of developing this? I'm presently working on a project to place a business somewhere, and we already with the
2 3 4 5 6 7	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the cost of developing this? I'm presently working on a project to place a business somewhere, and we already with the people we're dealing with, already know that we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the cost of developing this? I'm presently working on a project to place a business somewhere, and we already with the people we're dealing with, already know that we're going to have to build this to suit our purposes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hypothetical question to the board or maybe to Mr. Wuellner. Why is the airport suffering the cost of developing this? I'm presently working on a project to place a business somewhere, and we already with the people we're dealing with, already know that we're going to have to build this to suit our purposes at our expense. I don't understand why somebody

MR. GORMAN: I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just want to keep public
comment, and then
MR. GORMAN: All right. I was going to
respond. I was going to stick up for Ed for a
moment.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we can discuss it,
yeah.
MR. HICKOX: I'm not knocking anybody. I was
just asking
MR. GORMAN: Well, I mean, it's just, you
know, his thought. Go ahead.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
And old he model i Reserve week to the it, 2001
61
64
(No further public comment.)
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry.
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry. MS. McELROY: No, I'm sorry.
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry.
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry. MS. McELROY: No, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's all right.
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry. MS. McELROY: No, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's all right. MR. GORMAN: Just my my thought is, is if
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry. MS. McELROY: No, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's all right. MR. GORMAN: Just my my thought is, is if Ed is correct, and that he's, of course, in the
(No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then we can go, I mean, back to the board. Did you I'm sorry. MS. McELROY: No, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's all right. MR. GORMAN: Just my my thought is, is if Ed is correct, and that he's, of course, in the in the inquiry business here, and his inquiry is

12 from a large corporation, and the idea being we 13 need to get this thing rented. And if his 14 inquiries are more common with the smaller 15 offices, then you've got to weigh or not whether 16 you're going to get the percentage rented quickly. 17 MR. HICKOX: I think you were missing my 18 point. I was not criticizing Mr. Wuellner or the 19 Authority. 20 MR. GORMAN: Oh, no criticism. It's just --21 I'm just discussing it. 22 MR. HICKOX: I question, why does the airport 23 have to consider paying the cost of this, when in 24 private business, most of the time the tenant pays 25 it?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

65

1 MR. GORMAN: That's why. Because it becomes 2 a more -- it's more lucrative for the small 3 business because he doesn't have the up-front 4 costs. I understand -- I understand what you're 5 saying, though. 6 MR. MARTINELLI: And the cost ultimately is 7 going to be recovered ---8 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. 9 MR. MARTINELLI: -- over the period of the

10	lease, so
11	MR. GORMAN: You've got to. Yeah.
12	MR. MARTINELLI: it's whether you they
13	pay now up front or they pay later, but the cost
14	plus the return of that cost, I'm sure, is figured
15	into the lease cost.
16	MR. WUELLNER: You also control the results.
17	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, that's true.
18	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. So it's
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Playing this "what if" game on
21	something that Victor said, let's suppose that we
22	had plan C and all of these offices were occupied,
23	and then as Victor said, some business comes in
24	there and find out they're doing very well and
25	they need to expand. Well, how can you expand if
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14,

2004

66

1 they're all small offices are occupied? Are you 2 going to boot somebody out so you can make an 3 office bigger for somebody else? I mean --4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: From a landlord's 5 prospective, you either wait till the lease 6 expires, you up their rent so they can't afford it 7 anymore, which I have seen done. Monkey's Uncle,

which is an establishment down in Jax Beach, which

9 has been there forever, the landlord, they did 10 \$50,000 worth of buildout in February of this 11 year. And the landlord just tripled their rent at 12 the expiration of their lease. They're out. 13 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, but if the lease has got 14 two or three years to go --15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 16 MR. CIRIELLO: -- you know, somebody might 17 not want to wait that long. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. 19 MR. CIRIELLO: I just wondered what his 20 thinking was that, you know --21 MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind, too, that the --22 regardless of the buildout scheme, you know, 23 you're dealing with multiple entities leasing that 24 floor. Some of the advantages, the stability 25 of -- of revenue through there, because they're

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

- 1 multiple tenants, you don't have one person
- 2 vacating and you have a problem. It's both a plus
- 3 and a minus.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I would like the
- 5 board -- or I think, because we were asking, I
- 6 think Mr. George was, about what Staff was asking

- 7 from us. But I think what you're asking for, and 8 I would like us to do, is at least give you the 9 authority to get some contingency contracts. How 10 many are we talking about? 11 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because we can even do 13 minimal buildout. We can put in, you know, either 14 the HVAC or something and then let them put in 15 their walls. I mean, there's all kinds of ways to 16 work it. But if we knew we had three tenants 17 versus five tenants, we'd kind of know what our 18 budget was. 19 That's not a motion. I can't do it. 20 MR. COX: I'll move that we accept Staff 21 recommendation for a minimal buildout, like a 22 contingent-upon lease, promissory lease activity, 23 and let's go with say Option C or something and --24 and it might not be this one, but --25 MR. WUELLNER: I think you can revise AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 68
- downward, you know, from C to B to --
- 2 MR. COX: Exactly.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: You know, as -- as we firm up
- 4 the lease arrangements. I mean, it wouldn't make
- 5 sense to build to C if you had only three tenants,

- 6 but those same three tenants were taking all of
- 7 the space. I mean, adding the additional office
- 8 space wouldn't take make sense.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But before we -- well,
- that's the motion.
- 11 MR. COX: That's the motion.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
- MR. GORMAN: Second.
- MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't see anything in here
- where Staff even recommended anything. Like all
- of these other items, it says Staff
- 17 recommendation.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why we asked.
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah. That's why we're
- 20 clarifying it.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a first and a
- second. Now discussion. But am I -- I want to
- 23 make sure with your motion, we're not necessarily
- 24 going ahead and say do option C. We want to make
- sure that Mr. Wuellner or Staff comes back to us

- and says listen, "I've got five contracts right
- 2 here --"
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Exactly.

- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: "-- and they're ready to
- 5 go." We don't even have to wait. I mean, we
- 6 could --
- 7 MR. GEORGE: That was not his motion, though.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, that's --
- 9 MR. COX: That was in my motion.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: He's saying it was.
- MR. COX: Promissory lease language, however
- 12 you want to say that.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But there's no criteria
- right now to say go do B or C.
- MR. COX: I think we just --
- MR. GEORGE: Let me -- let me restate what
- 17 I --
- MR. COX: -- give Staff the authority to do
- 19 what -- you know, to do what he -- just what he
- said, which is to -- and, you know, combined with
- 21 what you said is a basic buildout, do what we
- want, and then tailor it to what our lease
- 23 options --
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, perhaps the first
- logical step here is if you want to authorize

- 1 something to get off dead center is two-fold.
- One, let's get the design started on putting the

3	information together so that it we have
4	something to begin seriously looking at building
5	it.
6	The second prong of that is to firm up the
7	promissory, whatever language you want to use
8	there, lease commitments and the like, so that we
9	have a real firm grasp on exactly how many tenants
10	we're dealing with at this point anyway, and make
11	a decision whether we build it exactly to that
12	number or with a few offices to spare. And
13	that some of that will come to light when we
14	talk, all right, yes, we're in a position now to
15	talk to you about 200 or 300 square foot, where we
16	weren't really in a position to talk to you other
17	than for 2,900 feet.
18	MR. GORMAN: So, in clarification, it would
19	be to, yes, install HVAC and bring back to the
20	board a number of tenants and the options that
21	they want. Is this what we're doing?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, no. What what I
23	was suggesting we do is is move into design,
24	which includes creating the documents to support
25	HVAC permitting toilets all of the basic

- 1 infrastructure at this point while we put together
- 2 the -- the lease commitments.
- We'll kind of meet back here next month with
- 4 information on all -- on both of those items and
- 5 make a firm decision whether it's A, B, C, or some
- 6 new alternative that's --
- 7 MR. GORMAN: Fine. That's very clear. I'd
- 8 hold -- I'd hold with my second.
- 9 MR. CIRIELLO: You're saying there would be
- 10 actually nothing built until --
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- later.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. CIRIELLO: But these figures, \$120,000,
- \$140- and so forth, is this money that goes into
- the designing of it before we actually do any
- 17 construction?
- MR. WUELLNER: No. That's the -- that's the
- final as-built numbers.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what numbers are we
- 21 looking at moneywise?
- MR. WUELLNER: You're going to have that in
- July. That's what you're going to have in July.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The motion doesn't --
- doesn't expend any money right now, other than

- going out there, Ed's time and design time, and
- 2 going out there and --
- 3 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, that's what I -- that's
- 4 what I want to know. How much money are we
- 5 talking about for the design and all, before we
- 6 spend any building money?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you've got the number
- 8 right there. It's \$15,000.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Fifteen thousand dollars.
- 10 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: It's on your -- it's right up
- there (indicating).
- 13 MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. COX: Now that that motion's real
- 16 clear --
- MR. WUELLNER: I know what I think I'm
- supposed to be doing.
- MR. GEORGE: I think somebody needs to
- 20 restate it so we all know.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox, would you like to
- restate your motion?
- MR. COX: I'm not sure I can. You think you
- 24 can restate it in a --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think the motion, from the

1	way you've stated it and Mr. Wuellner explained
2	it, was to allow Staff or give recommendation to
3	Staff to go ahead and start the design concept,
4	simultaneously looking at finding and signing
5	contingency contracts.
6	MR. COX: There you go.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Present it to us next
8	meeting so we know what design we're going with.
9	MR. COX: I'll second that motion.
10	MR. GORMAN: It's already been seconded.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
12	It's been first and seconded.
13	(No further discussion.)
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of that
15	recommendation and motion.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
18	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
19	MR. COX: Aye.
20	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
22	(No opposition.)
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That carries. Stil
24	have parking, I think.

1	was supposed to be the big one.
2	MR. WUELLNER: It may still be.
3	MR. HOLESKO: That's right.
4	Several months ago actually, it may be six
5	months ago, we brought you some recommendations
6	and results regarding automobile access and
7	parking where we went back and looked at old
8	studies and old concepts, looked at the concept of
9	a parking garage. We looked at the idea of going
10	on a bridge across U.S. 1 and things like that,
11	and took your direction and really went back to
12	focus on the existing terminal area, existing
13	parking lots, the acquisition of the Grumman lot
14	back into the Authority, and then did some
15	preliminary design work and survey to find out
16	exactly what's out there.
17	Jonathan, who is running the presentation,
18	actually is the engineer who did the layouts, and
19	we want to bring you some details here so you can
20	look at the existing lots, what we're calling them
21	today, not necessarily what they'll end up, but
22	we've broken it down into smaller pieces.
23	So, what we're basically referring to is the

- existing road relocation area here (indicating)
- from the administration building to the terminal

1	area, what we're calling Parking Lot A
2	(indicating), Parking Lot B (indicating), Parking
3	Lot C (indicating), Parking Lot D (indicating),
4	and the Grumman lot is Parking Lot E. Bye John.
5	This is existing road relocation and Parking
6	Lot A (indicating), everything we're looking at
7	here. We have approximately ten spaces here
8	(indicating). We do have some other parking
9	throughout the area here (indicating). Really,
10	our task in this area was to get this road
11	straightened out through this area and make these
12	gates a little more accessible in and out and get
13	every automobile parking spot we can in this area
14	right here (indicating).
15	Next. And here we have proposed
16	(indicating). What we've done is we've
17	straightened out the road here (indicating) to
18	flow right through the parking lot. We've taken
19	the access here (indicating) through the gate to
20	the airfield for truck access, brought it down to
21	one lane. And we have increased this lot by that

22	spot, that spot, that spot there (indicating), the
23	entire row here of new, the entire row here of new
24	(indicating).
25	We went from 10 existing spaces to 26 spaces
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	76
1	and actually gained 16 spaces right here,
2	(indicating) just by straightening out that road
3	and putting everything we can here (indicating),
4	and very importantly, taking the gate access here
5	to one single truck lane (indicating).
6	Next. Parking Lot B is already pretty much,
7	I'll tell you, as full as it can be. There's not
8	a lot of benefit to be gained, other than taking
9	it, cleaning up a little bit of the islands and
10	things like that, and doing some other basic
11	improvements to make it look a little bit nicer.
12	Go ahead, Jonathan, to proposed. What we've
13	done is we've taken the area in front of the
14	terminal and made it a no parking in front of the
15	dropoff area here (indicating), but provided for a
16	loading zone here (indicating). So, you can
17	actually load and unload here (indicating), but
18	here is for vehicle movements in and out of the
19	parking lot (indicating).
20	We do have a new spot there (indicating), two

21	new spots there (indicating), and obviously with
22	what we called Parking Lot E, which used to be the
23	Grumman lot, these are all new (indicating), these
24	are all new (indicating), new. There's are all
25	new (indicating). There's a few new ones here
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	77
1	(indicating). But there will be flow all the way
2	through that lot as you head to the north.
3	Parking Lot C and D (indicating). Right now,
4	you have two-way traffic here along C
5	(indicating), a central access in the middle, and
6	then you have a series of concrete curbs, your
7	dumpster back here (indicating). We actually went
8	in there and cleaned this up, but there wasn't a
9	lot that we could really gain back here in Lot C,
10	or actually Lot D. But go ahead, Jonathan.
11	We're proposing two-way access through all
12	three lanes (indicating), a double-sided curb here
13	(indicating), and double lanes here (indicating).
14	In terms of new Jonathan, is that new, or is
15	that handicapped?
16	MR. PAGE: It's new.
17	MR. HOLESKO: New there (indicating).
18	There's some new there (indicating). There's some

19	handicap relocation down here (indicating), a new
20	one there (indicating), a new one there
21	(indicating), and basically just the cleaning up
22	and and really fitting every spot we possibly
23	can inside that area.
24	Go ahead, Jonathan. Summarizing. Area A had
25	10; we took it out to 26. Area B had 32; we took
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	78
1	it to 35. Area C had 27; we took it out to 35.
2	Area D had 58; we got it up to 60. Area E had 7;
3	it's up to 22, which is a total existing of 134
4	out to 178. So, that takes us for an improvement
5	of 44 spaces.
6	It also, inside the study, straightens out
7	this road, which makes this flow much better
8	through this area (indicating). It improves the
9	parking area here (indicating) and movements in
10	front of the terminal building (indicating), and
11	also includes a overlay of the entire automobile

and access area so that when the project was

area, or at least a brand-new parking area.

Next one, Jonathan. The cost? Area A,

access road realignment, a little over a hundred

thousand dollars. Parking area A, \$39,000.

complete, it would look like a brand-new terminal

12

13

14

15

16

18	Parking area B, \$36 Parking area C, \$49
19	Parking area D, \$31 Parking area E, which is
20	the Grumman area, \$56 And then you have
21	miscellaneous, the MOT, is the phasing of the
22	entire project.
23	There's no doubt about it that the phasing of
24	this project to keep the roads open and the

parking areas accessible is going to be tough.

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

1	It's going to be a challenge while it's going on,
2	and everybody's going to have to cooperate quite a
3	bit to make this work. Erosion control and
4	services and things like that. Ed and I have also
5	talked about many items inside this cost that the
6	Authority staff may just choose to do themselves.
7	When you tell us exactly what you want to
8	have in the final design, we're going to bring a
9	much more detailed budget to Ed, and he's going to
10	look at these line items, whether it's before bid
11	or after bid, and try and look at what some of
12	those costs are and what some of the manpower
13	abilities are here at the Authority and decide
14	which ones that the Authority will do themselves
15	so that those would not go to the bidders.

16	So, that's where we are right now. And you
17	asked us to come back and show you the details in
18	what we proposed and and that's where we are
19	today.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Public comment?
21	Yes, Mr. Martinelli?
22	MR. MARTINELLI: The area south of A, between
23	Estrella, where Estrella is now
24	MR. HOLESKO: Yes.
25	MR. MARTINELLI: and where you start
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	80
1	your the last time you were here, didn't you
2	mention that there was some possibility of getting
3	some space in there?
4	MR. HOLESKO: We did, and we changed the
5	focus from anything outside of the administration
6	building area here and along the access road and
7	focused everything on the terminal area, not
8	MR. MARTINELLI: Can I ask why?
9	MR. PAGE: One problem you do have that we
10	didn't know it until we got a detailed survey, is
11	the right-of-way takes a nice little jog right
12	here at Estrella (indicating), the right-of-way
13	through U.S. 1.
14	The right-of-way line takes a nice little

15	jog, which if I had to guess, is because we didn't
16	peruse it that as far, like you're saying. I
17	would have to guess that half of that parking area
18	is out in that DOT right-of-way, and you would
19	wind up with one long strip for parking.
20	MR. MARTINELLI: Gotcha. Okay.
21	MR. WUELLNER: You also have the the added
22	problem there that technically that area still
23	sits in the RPZ.
24	MR. MARTINELLI: Oh, okay.
25	MR. HOLESKO: I was going to mention that the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	81
1	other constraint along the access road between
1 2	
	other constraint along the access road between
2	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas
2	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway
2 3 4	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway production zones.
2 3 4 5	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway production zones. MR. MARTINELLI: That answers that question.
2 3 4 5 6	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway production zones. MR. MARTINELLI: That answers that question. I had known that, but I forgot it.
2 3 4 5 6 7	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway production zones. MR. MARTINELLI: That answers that question. I had known that, but I forgot it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway production zones. MR. MARTINELLI: That answers that question. I had known that, but I forgot it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment? (No further public comment.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other constraint along the access road between here and the terminal area is that in some areas it's not only in one, but sometimes two runway production zones. MR. MARTINELLI: That answers that question. I had known that, but I forgot it. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment? (No further public comment.) CHAIRMAN GREEN: Board comment? Mr. George?

13 footage that we have and the businesses that were 14 operating, we need a total of umptyump (phonetic), 15 and I thought there was --16 MR. PAGE: A hundred and seventy-four, I 17 think. 18 MR. GEORGE: How much? 19 MR. HOLESKO: Jonathan, I think it was 20 between 174 and 180. So, we're at --21 MR. GEORGE: Wasn't that 24 more than we had? 22 How many did we need to go? 23 MR. HOLESKO: Hold on a second and we'll --24 MR. PAGE: Where is that report? 25 MR. COX: Forty-four is exactly what we get. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 82 1 MR. GEORGE: You're talking \$10,000 a parking 2 spot. MR. PAGE: Yeah, about \$9-. 3 4 MR. WUELLNER: I'm very comfortable telling 5 you it will -- it will net way less than that, 6 because we already -- I already know of at -- some 7 of the significant cost items that were in the 8 original number crunch these guys did are items 9 that can be done with us --10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: By us? 11 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I mean --

- MR. PAGE: From -- it's the trip
- transportation manual, the trip generation manual.
- 14 You're required up to 172 spaces, is what you'll
- probably get.
- MR. COX: And we --
- MR. PAGE: We were going to immediately, in
- our -- in our -- in our first proposal to you,
- when we wrote the report, before we got a detailed
- survey and whatnot, we proposed that we'd be able
- 21 to get 175 spaces out of it.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. How many do we have?
- MR. HOLESKO: One seventy-eight.
- MR. PAGE: That's with your --
- MR. GEORGE: No, how many do I have -- how

- 1 many am I short?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: One thirty-four.
- 3 MR. PAGE: Right now, you have 134, and if
- 4 you were to come up here and apply St. Johns code
- 5 to it, you'd have 118.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Why would I want to apply St.
- 7 Johns code?
- 8 MR. PAGE: I wouldn't want you to do that.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: I'm grandfathered in, you know?

10	MR. PAGE: That's right.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there some way we could
12	split out budgetwise so we know what
13	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Passero & Associates
15	would be you know, or whomever, when we bid it
16	out to whomever's going to be building it
17	versus
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We can make that
19	call
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or what the airport can pay
21	for, so we know hard dollars?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We can we can pull
23	out, you know, with with the detail that they
24	can do at the next step. If if you want to
25	approach the thing as as a total project, they

84

- can move through it, we can then pull out all of
 those items -- before you go to bid, we can pull
 out all those items that can be done in-house and
 come up with a revised engineering number before
 we bid anything that gives you a real good warm
 and fuzzy as to what we expect the construction

number to be.

7

8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: What's the hecticness, if

9 we -- let's say we did A, B, and left C, D, E for 10 later -- about coming back in and, you know, 11 messing up the traffic flow and everything else? 12 MR. HOLESKO: That's, in essence, I guess the 13 guidance that we're looking for from you, and that 14 is the overall concept, the different pieces, and 15 looking at, you know, what you can do yourselves. 16 Where we stand right now is we cannot go further 17 until we know that you agree what's out there --18 MR. GEORGE: I'll give you the same guidance 19 I give my wife ---20 MR. HOLESKO: -- so that we can -- so that we 21 can break it --22 MR. GEORGE: -- you are spending too much 23 money. 24 MR. COX: He'll be saying it can be cut

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

85

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. That's -- Mr. Cox?
2 MR. GEORGE: Nine thousand dollars, you know,
3 per spot I think is just way out of it.
4 MR. HOLESKO: And I understand. We've heard
5 that all the way from the start. And the figure

has been in the vicinity of \$10,000 a spot from

down --

25

7	the from the very first time that we brought it
8	to you. And that figure hasn't changed because of
9	the other types of improvements, the things you
10	have.
11	You have a road relocation that not only
12	doesn't get you spots; you lose spots. I mean,
13	the road relocation gets you some on one side but
14	takes out parking on the other. I mean, you have
15	things that aren't just straight-on parking.
16	MR. GEORGE: Right.
17	MR. HOLESKO: I mean, that's just where we
18	are right now.
19	We want to be able to go, if you tell us you
20	like A and B, or whatever the case is, so we can
21	bring you the exact cost of what it is we we
22	just we can't go into the engineering design
23	options of four different designs and bring that
24	to you.
25	If you tell us what you like, what you don't
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING -
	UL

JUNE 14, 2004

- 1 like, then we're going to bring that back to you
- 2 and show you what the costs are for each of them
- 3 and what's in and what's out. You know, as Ed
- 4 mentioned, you know, we would rather bring you
- \$390- and have it end up being \$240-. But if we 5

- 6 tell you \$240- right now and it ends up being
- 7 \$390-, that's -- you know, we're working in the
- 8 opposite direction.
- 9 This is clean board; everything is bid, goes
- on the street for a contractor. That's what
- 11 you're looking at right now. That's not what we
- ever expected to do, though. But that's the
- number we're bringing to you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?
- MR. COX: I like Ms. Green's suggestion about
- taking one or two spots. What would net us the
- most -- the highest number of parking spots,
- say -- let's go with two different options
- 19 there --
- 20 MR. HOLESKO: I was hope -- was hoping --
- MR. COX: -- to net us the most number of
- parking spots and also the most flow-through of
- traffic ease-wise.
- MR. HOLESKO: I was hoping you'd say A, B,
- and E, give us three.

- 1 MR. COX: Three works for me. It doesn't --
- 2 MR. HOLESKO: Well, A and E are the -- A and
- 3 E are the big ones. A -- A is the relocation of

- 4 the road and -- and the single lane of the gate.
- 5 MR. COX: Okay. That work.
- 6 MR. HOLESKO: And then E, which is the
- 7 Grumman area, taking that and getting that fixed
- 8 up and getting that tied in. Those are the two
- 9 that gets you --
- MR. COX: I think that would be a perfect
- option to start out with. So, that would be my --
- MR. WUELLNER: Is what?
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Is which one?
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Forth-eight spaces, A and E.
- MR. HOLESKO: A, B, and E.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: A, B, and E.
- MR. COX: That will net us the most number of
- parking spots initially, and give them the chance
- 19 to develop flow-through with the -- with the
- 20 traffic.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, keep in mind that a
- significant amount of the money involved here is
- in the preservation of the existing parking lot
- areas. The -- these things are in excess of ten
- years. They've had no asphalt-related maintenance

- 1 done on them at all.
- 2 You -- you've got to look at an additional

- 3 benefit in the -- in the enhancement or the
- 4 preservation of the assets you already have. It's
- 5 work that needs -- some of this stuff needs to be
- 6 done anyway, aside from looking at expanding the
- 7 area.
- 8 MR. COX: Say again?
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: I don't have any -- I don't
- 10 have any problem with this.
- MR. HOLESKO: This is area A, this is area B,
- and this is area E (indicating). And --
- MR. COX: A and E?
- MR. HOLESKO: And basically --
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't think there's enough
- work in B to make it worth the trouble.
- MR. HOLESKO: I think what -- I think what Ed
- iust mentioned is the fact that if we went in and
- did A and made it look new, and we went over here
- to E and made it look new (indicating), then you'd
- 21 have something that looked new here (indicating),
- something that looked new here, and this would be
- old (indicating) and you'd be going back and forth
- with no preservation --
- MR. COX: Yeah, I see what you're saying.

- 1 MR. HOLESKO: -- of the existing lots.
- 2 That's why I proposed A, B, and E at a minimum;
- 3 then at least this stretch would -- would have
- 4 good flow-through (indicating), it would all look
- 5 the same, and you would gain a lot of spots here,
- 6 you'd gain a lot of spots here (indicating).
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just -- just for a moment,
- 8 Mr. Martinelli, he reserved his question.
- 9 MR. MARTINELLI: Oh. Well, I just -- is
- there any possibility of joint funding by --
- 11 MR. COX: FDOT and --
- MR. MARTINELLI: -- FDOT or whatever on this
- 13 project?
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's an Ed question.
- MR. COX: Is there any possibility of joint
- 16 funding through FDOT on any of it?
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't know. And if there
- was, you're -- you know, from a programming
- standpoint, you'd be minimum of two years out,
- yeah. The work program's set. The next input
- 21 point would be this fall, and that would be for
- 22 the following. Yeah, so you're approximately 30
- 23 months.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Can you apply posthumously?
- In other words, do it posthumously?

1 MR. WUELLNER: Not -- not without a line item 2 already there for it. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Another time. 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Once it's in the 5 adopted work program, you can advance-fund -- not 6 advance-fund it, but advance the work, but... 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Ciriello? 8 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I've been on record 9 before as saying that I'm not comfortable with 10 putting any money into this parking situation 11 until we get something in motion in how we're 12 going to maintain it. And I understand Mr. Cooper 13 was supposed to be working on this, and I thought 14 he would be presenting something like that before 15 we did anything. 16 MR. WUELLNER: I'm working on it. 17 MR. CIRIELLO: But I can't see spending --18 you know, as far as I know, this parking isn't 19 going to bring any money to the Authority. 20 MR. WUELLNER: No. 21 MR. CIRIELLO: Now, it might bring it to Aero 22 Sport and the flight school and everybody else, 23 but it's not bringing anything to us. And all of

24

25

you board members except me are always saying how

we're going to get off the tax rolls, and you're

1	spending money that will bring no income in.
2	And and I'm not against doing the parking
3	now; don't get me wrong. But I want to see the
4	plans in place how we're going to police it.
5	There's been these people have said about
6	MR. GEORGE: Parking meters?
7	MR. CIRIELLO: Not parking meters. I'm not
8	talking about income now. I'm talking about
9	maintaining it
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tolls.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: about stickers, you know,
12	so cars with certain stickers park in certain
13	areas. Like, you know, I've been complaining, and
14	Mr. Cooper's taken care of it pretty well, about a
15	dozen cars, and Mr. Wuellner, parked over here for
16	years with outdated license. They're just using
17	it for a storage area for cars that wouldn't even
18	run. And now they're I see they're all out of
19	there.
20	So, I wanted to make sure that we had a plan
21	to maintain our parking, to keep it so it was open
22	to the public so people wouldn't use it for a
23	storage area, and I wanted to see all of that down
24	hefore I spend any money on a nonrevenue-making

1	just want to know how we're going to do it before
2	we spend the money.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I suggest we ask Passero to
4	go ahead and give us the figures for A, B, and E,
5	because we can section out E if we want to or
6	whatever. But separate out what are hard costs,
7	what the airport could fund themselves, whether
8	it's paving I'm not sure what exactly you're
9	talking about so that we know out of pocket
10	which side we're on, and at the same time, have
11	Mr. Wuellner, can you have something for us on the
12	maintenance
13	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: and revenue of it?
15	MR. WUELLNER: And we're also working on the
16	policy development that that Mr. Ciriello is
17	talking about. That's that's with all things.
18	MR. COX: Actually, Ed told me that all 44 of
19	those cars that are parking will be renting
20	hangars from the Airport Authority and buying gas
21	at the self-serve fuel pump.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: Why would they need to do that
23	when they keep their cars in their hangars right

- 24 now? No. What you're proposing, Madam Chair,
- you're not saying to give them money to go ahead

93

1	and start doing something before I get what I
2	want.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We want a budget analysis of
4	saying okay. So, it says for A, B, and E A
5	is \$39-, B is \$36-, and E is \$56 Tell me out of
6	those, between Staff and Passero, what can we as
7	airport do our ourselves? So, it really wouldn't
8	be \$39 So we know exactly what's going out to
9	bid for a contractor and what we can keep in-house
10	per se.
11	Let them come back with us to us with
12	that. At the same time, come back with the
13	maintenance and hopefully some revenue-producing
14	ideas, and then you could have one whole picture.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I can go with that.
16	MR. HOLESKO: May I may I ask for one more
17	thing from you to make it much easier? And
18	that the reason I bring this question up is if
19	you look at the area of Parking Lot E, area E ties
20	directly into into Parking Lot D.

We'd like to bring you an option of A, B, and

- 22 E, and also bring you the additional of C and D so 23 you can see what that is. It's going to be 24 difficult for us to transition between E into D 25 without doing some --AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 94 1 MR. WUELLNER: There's some grade issues 2 there. You've got about a, lack of better terms, 3 about a foot of disparity in elevation there 4 between the two lots --5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that's why --6 MR. WUELLNER: -- that's got to be managed
 - 7 somehow. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess you could do that 9 because, I mean, we as a board may say we're just 10 doing A and B, and we may hold off on C, D, and 11 E --12 MR. HOLESKO: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- because of those ideas, 14 so that's a good idea. 15 MR. GEORGE: Does A, as you're talking about, 16 does that include -- include the access road 17 realignment? 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Relocation, yes. 19 MR. HOLESKO: Yes.

MR. GEORGE: So it does. So, that's the

- 21 \$106,000, the road.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Big one.
- MR. GEORGE: Why do we have to have the road
- realigned? Other than to make it look pretty with
- 25 the flow with the rest of it?

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. That's --
- 2 MR. GEORGE: All of the traffic comes in in
- 3 front of the terminal.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: That's -- it's the only way
- 5 you get -- you net out the number of parking spots
- 6 you've got over there. Otherwise, there's almost
- 7 no net return.
- 8 MR. HOLESKO: The area that we just --
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: It does improve flow.
- MR. HOLESKO: If the road does not get
- relocated from here down to this area, all of
- these new spots here would go away (indicating).
- MR. GEORGE: Why did you put it as a separate
- line item? I think people -- I think people are
- looking at it and saying A is \$39,000, and I get
- 16. That's not true. That's \$145,000 and I get
- 17 16.
- MR. HOLESKO: Well, but it's also looking at

19	the idea of you want to assign the figure of
20	\$9,000 per spot for auto park. I mean, we we
21	tend to take the total number and divide it by
22	what we're we're getting. But take the road
23	out and it's not a \$10,000 per spot; it ends up
24	being \$6,500.
25	Now, I realize that the road has to be
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	96
1	relocated to get the spot. So, the true cost is
2	that, but it's you know, when you hear about
3	people building a brand-new parking lot for X
4	amount of dollars per spot, you know, they're not
5	relocating roads and relocating other facilities
6	with it.
7	You know, we'll present it in whatever manner
8	you wish. Certainly the road relocation is an
9	important part to get more parking out of area A.
10	We broke the road out to specifically show you
11	that you're not spending \$9,000 to pave, you know,
12	44 more spots.

MR. GEORGE: But at the same time, I cannot

MR. HOLESKO: You're absolutely right. But

we -- we want to show you what that -- where that

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct. Right.

get the spots unless I do the road.

13

14

15

16

17

 $file: ///S | Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board \% 20 Mtg \% 20 Info/Minutes/2004 \% 20 Minutes/Board \% 20 Meeting \% 2006 1404.txt [11/16/2010\ 2:13:24\ PM]$

- money is really going. It's not just going in a
 new spot. It's going for the road relocation and
- other improvements.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I'd entertain a motion
- from the board to give direction.
- MR. CIRIELLO: I thought you made it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was asking questions.
- MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we go back to

- 1 the board -- go back to the drawing board and come
- 2 up with a more realistic and economical plan for
- 3 incorporating C and E. And in the event that they
- 4 want one of the other ones, have that as an
- 5 alternative to the plan they're coming up with.
- 6 That's my motion.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Incorporate C?
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: C and E, Ed.
- 9 MR. COX: Well, they haven't presented us
- with a plan. They're asking us for what plan we
- want them to go with. They're giving us the
- 12 option.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion on the
- 14 floor. Is there any second for it?
- MR. WUELLNER: If my memory's correct, C

16	doesn't hardly require anything.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no second, then the
18	motion fails.
19	MR. GEORGE: Fine.
20	MR. COX: I'll make a motion that we give
21	them the ability to work with A, B, and E, as we
22	have been discussing here, and come back with the
23	numbers that you were suggesting concerning the
24	buildout and also the and Joe's concerns about
25	the parking space, and and return to the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	98
1	Airport Authority.
1 2	Airport Authority. Also, some suggestions on how to you know,
	•
2	Also, some suggestions on how to you know,
2	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those
2 3 4	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the
2 3 4 5	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us
2 3 4 5 6	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us our options on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us our options on that. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us our options on that. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us our options on that. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe, second? Discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us our options on that. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe, second? Discussion? The only thing I want to add, if we're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Also, some suggestions on how to you know, revenue revenue-producing options for those spaces. So, we're looking at A, B, and E for the option to bring back to the Authority and give us our options on that. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe, second? Discussion? The only thing I want to add, if we're going to ask Passero to do this, can we not ask them all?

15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: If you're breaking out the 16 other ones --17 MR. HOLESKO: It's easier if you ask us to do 18 that. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 20 MR. COX: Yeah, okay. So, I'll amend my --21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: To avoid going back again. 22 MR. COX: I'll amend -- I'll amend the motion 23 to include that. 24 MR. HOLESKO: It will be separate figures, 25 obviously. It will be separate. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 99 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. But just keep those 2 out. 3 MR. COX: The motion is amended to include 4 that. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay. My --7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Further discussion? 8 MR. GEORGE: I have a discussion point. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 10 MR. GEORGE: What do we expect to get out of 11 this now? They're going to come back with updated 12 dollars based on A, B, and E, and then options for

13	doing the other two.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But also, we'll know what A
15	in real dollars is going to cost us, what's going
16	out to bid and what we can do; B, what real
17	dollars it's going to cost us.
18	MR. GEORGE: And okay.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: E what's real dollars it's
20	going to cost us. We can still say we're only
21	doing A and B; forget it.
22	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So you guys still want to
23	include realigning that road.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, if we're going to ask
25	them to separate it out at that, let's say with
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	
1 2	100
	the realign, we can't we can't do that; it's
2	the realign, we can't we can't do that; it's going to have to be bid out. That's going to be a
2	the realign, we can't we can't do that; it's going to have to be bid out. That's going to be a highly expensive we may not do A, then. B and
2 3 4	the realign, we can't we can't do that; it's going to have to be bid out. That's going to be a highly expensive we may not do A, then. B and E may be something a lot that we can do, so it
2 3 4 5	the realign, we can't we can't do that; it's going to have to be bid out. That's going to be a highly expensive we may not do A, then. B and E may be something a lot that we can do, so it really won't cost as much as we see because we're
2 3 4 5 6	the realign, we can't we can't do that; it's going to have to be bid out. That's going to be a highly expensive we may not do A, then. B and E may be something a lot that we can do, so it really won't cost as much as we see because we're keeping a lot in-house. And we'll also have some

there, where's that electrical -- the house

that's -- right there (indicating)? Okay.

10

12 Just -- just as a discussionary point, I think the 13 road needs to be aligned to be straight anyway 14 because it's a tremendous liability to the 15 airport, driving hazard-wise. Because you're 16 going around this real sharp --17 MR. GEORGE: Don't drive on it. Don't drive 18 on it. 19 MR. COX: It's not me I'm worried about. 20 It's the people who come to access the airport. 21 You and I know how to drive on it. 22 MR. GEORGE: No, the people that come to 23 access the airport do not drive on that parking 24 ramp around from Aero Sport. They come in in

25

9

front of the terminal.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

101

1 MR. COX: You assume that. 2 MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. Well, maybe we should 3 have a traffic count. 4 MR. CIRIELLO: I use this little road all the 5 time. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm just saying with the 7 information we're requesting, we'll -- we'll have 8 more solid numbers about, okay, we can't get any

revenue, or much out of A, and it's going to

10	cost it's not cost-effective, we're not going
11	to do A.
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We don't have to make
14	decisions on the road right now. But I want to
15	know hard numbers, how much we can keep in-house
16	and how much we have to go out.
17	MR. GEORGE: Since we're going into
18	Grumman's, you know, area, do we want to have an
19	option that we not pave that road, but we use
20	coquina? Is that the big expense, or do we
21	aesthetically know we don't want to have that on
22	our airport?
23	MR. HOLESKO: It's actually already paved.
24	MR. GEORGE: But if it's got to be regraded,
25	it's got to be unpaved, right?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	102
1	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's just a
2	connection's got to be made.

MR. PAGE: And there's certain percentages of
grade that you will tolerate, and you're probably
going to go on the higher side of that. You might
have ten feet that needs to be regraded and
patched and have a nice grade put on it. But
you're not going to totally redo that whole area

9	to make it nice and flat all the way across. It's
10	going to have a little
11	MR. WUELLNER: If yeah, if I if I
12	could. Why don't why don't we, as as an
13	alternate approach here, continue to look at all
14	of it, but let's let's shake the numbers out
15	relative to what can be done in-house, have them
16	tighten up the budgetary look for what's going on.
17	We'll combine it with the policy discussion
18	that'll at next month's meeting on, you know,
19	what if any revenue is to be expected out of it.
20	I'm I'm not overly optimistic that's practical.
21	We'll we'll try to incorporate into the
22	explanation exactly what of this budget is also
23	preservation of the existing asset versus going
24	toward expansion. Because I think we're mixing
25	two very distinct expenditures.

103

I mean, they're both significant in dollars,

but I think when you got down to the cost per -
per parking spot, you're going to find that it's

not nearly as dramatic as \$10,000 a square -- or a

parking spot; that the significant, if not the

majority of the cost involved here, is in

7 preservation of the existing asset and in the 8 Polycon overlay, or whatever you want to call it, 9 that's -- that's done there that gives you 15 or 10 20 more years of existing use on the existing 11 pavement without having to completely repave 12 there. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack? 14 MR. WUELLNER: I think when you divide the 15 two out, it tells a much better story. 16 MR. GORMAN: This diverges just a little bit, 17 and it's a silly question because I don't remember 18 the answer to it. But I liked Vic -- Vic's idea. 19 Is there any matching FDOT funding for all of 20 this? 21 MR. WUELLNER: As I said, we could -- I'm not 22 a hundred percent sure that they would consider it 23 eligible, but it's a question we could ask, and 24 even if the answer is yes, I think you're -- you 25 are staring at a couple of years to get there.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

104

MR. GORMAN: A couple of years to get there.
 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. About 30 months, would
 be my quickest frame.
 MR. MARTINELLI: Any possibility of

5

substitution?

6	MR. WUELLNER: We can look at it. I mean,
7	I'm not aware of anything hanging out there where
8	there's just money laying there without a project
9	laying against it.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And, of course, as far as
11	MR. WUELLNER: DOT's budget's been tight.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: the board, then, we have
13	the motions.
14	MR. COX: Just one more. Just to go back to
15	what he was saying, your position is now that you
16	don't really need a motion for any action from the
17	board because of what you want to do?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I think if y'all are okay with
19	that that approach to it, we'll just we'll
20	just kind of defer any, you know, detailed
21	decision until July where we'll have hopefully
22	some more details put together.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, because I think you
24	understand what we want is the one which you
25	added, the preservation issue, the maintenance

- 1 issue, and then a separation of what is in-house
- 2 and not.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: I mean, just to give you an

- 4 idea of the scope -- I mean, put him on the spot
- 5 because he probably recalls the -- the cost per
- 6 square yard for the -- for the Polycon, is --
- 7 is --
- 8 MR. PAGE: It was 388 in Marianna -- I think
- 9 I used a number of \$5 or \$6 a --
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: A square yard.
- 11 MR. PAGE: Yeah, a square yard.
- MR. WUELLNER: Applied. So, I mean, when
- you -- when you compute the square yardage of this
- entire project and apply that material, that's at
- least 50 percent of the total cost just going into
- preservation. So, I mean, when you put that into
- a per space for what's actually being netted, I
- think you'll see it a little different.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: That way, you can tell what
- 21 you have versus what -- okay.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next item -- Janet, are you
- okay?
- 24 COURT REPORTER: Yes.
- 25 7.E. T-HANGAR RATE SURVEY

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I had for you
- 2 is related to the hangar. We told you last month

3	we'd do the hangar rate survey. This is the
4	information that that we were able to
5	determine. Gives you an idea of where we are
6	versus everyone else. It's really up to you
7	whether you take action at this point or give us
8	direction from from a staff side as to what we
9	do from here.
10	You see Flagler standard for our purposes
11	is a T-hangar that's comparable to hangar rows A
12	and A, B, and C out here. It's the standard
13	sliding door. They approximate anywhere from,
14	say, 850 to 900 square foot to, the high side of
15	about a thousand square foot of actual. And
16	that's a function of the way the building's
17	designed and laid out. Some are wider and deeper,
18	you know, and it affects a little bit where the
19	square footage nets out. They're all
20	approximately the same size.
21	Flagler County's are \$200 and \$215.
22	Apparently, there are two different sections of
23	them and they have two different rates going.
24	Keystone Heights, \$147.61 for the same unit.
25	Ormond Beach gets a low of \$290 and a high of \$308

1	for the same kind of product, depending on who has
2	it.
3	Herlong had no standard Ts, but also but
4	does have a product comparable to our K, L, and M
5	rows, which are the newer ones we have with the
6	electric bifold doors. Those are currently
7	leasing there at \$275 a month. And the last entry
8	into this is Palatka, which leases standard
9	T-hangars at \$160 a month.
10	You can see the hangar average for standard
11	is about \$220.10, and derived we're currently
12	charging \$185 for that product. So, there's a net
13	difference of about \$35 a month as to what it
14	if you were to average the area prices for a
15	hangar.
16	You could also do things like compare those
17	that are much more closely aligned with this
18	airport, you know, eliminate the lows, look at the
19	highs. You can do we can you can apply
20	about any methodology. Your requirement and
21	and typically hangar pricing is done market versus
22	just establishing a value.
23	The last item is we only had one entry to
24	compare it with on bifolds. There's about a \$65
25	disparity on the bifold hangars. Having not

1 really seen any of these hangars to compare with 2 the relative condition of them, we're -- we're 3 making the leap of faith that they're relatively 4 comparable to ours, which may or may not be 5 exactly true. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment? 7 (No public comment.) 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, board 9 discussion? Mr. Gorman? 10 MR. GORMAN: With the self-fuel, we average 11 things, and -- and the average is pretty 12 inarguable that it's fairly fair. And as long as 13 you're comparing apples and apples, meaning the 14 same size hangar, I don't -- I think it's -- isn't 15 it a little bit, you know, hair-splitting if you 16 worry about whether the doors are bifold or 17 standard as long as you've got the square footage 18 about the same and the hangars are about the same 19 size? 20 MR. WUELLNER: And they are the same for both 21 types. 22 MR. GORMAN: Can't we just average those and 23 tee them up with an average figure? 24 MR. WUELLNER: You could, certainly. 25 MR. GORMAN: Okay.

1	MR. WUELLNER: It's just one more in the mix.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
3	MR. GEORGE: What's wrong with the concept of
4	having a longer-term lease on the T-hangars that's
5	like the regular hangars, they're tied to CPI
6	every year? Why do do T-hangars fluctuate
7	more, you know, than corporate hangars?
8	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think they do. I
9	think all I think all you're seeing is as new
10	product comes online, the application of new
11	building codes in each of the areas of Florida has
12	dramatically affected what it's cost to put a
13	product on the ground. And every time somebody's
14	introducing new hangars at an airport, you know,
15	all bets are off as to what you know, it just
16	no longer compares rental rental-wise. And we
17	ran across that when we added the 36 down the
18	street here two, what, three years ago, four years
19	ago.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. When the hurricane
21	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, it was dramatically
22	MR. GEORGE: Oh, yeah. And there's a
23	difference in the price.
24	MR. WUELLNER: You know, those building codes

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

110

1	worse as you go south. The stuff way South
2	Florida is, I mean, \$4- or \$500 a month for a
3	T-hangar because of code issues. It just takes
4	that much to build them.
5	MR. GEORGE: But if we put in new hangars for
6	corporate, we don't go back and adjust everybody
7	else's because of the con the construction
8	cost.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, our corporates are not
10	based on construction cost. You know, I've
11	I've said all along that our our ROIs, as you
12	apply them for T-hangars, is is significantly
13	less than the ROI we achieve on corporate hangars.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The the amount the
16	average guy with a small airplane is willing to
17	pay, you know, is not comparable to a guy doing it
18	as a business.
19	MR. GEORGE: So, you're saying if you're
20	going to do it on ROI, then if you give someone a
21	five-year lease or a ten-year lease and do the
22	CPI, as opposed to not doing it on the ROI, but

doing it and then whatever the competition in the

area is charging, then on an annual basis to

25 review it.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

1	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. You're
2	effectively all all you're doing with a
3	short-term lease and a short-term lease in this
4	case being one-year leases is you're you're
5	making the annual determination whether you take
6	the CPI adjustment or make some other adjustment
7	annually under the current way. You have the
8	ability once a year to adjust all the leases.
9	We we don't historically make an effort to
10	do that very well. We've made a recommendation
11	I think it was two years ago. The rates went up.
12	I don't know if it was \$10 or \$15 dollars a month
13	per per unit. You know, something like that.
14	You know, it generates a little, you know, yak out
15	there, but the reality is it's still a pretty good
16	value when you run up and down the list here and
17	compare where you could be if you could even get a
18	hangar.
19	And I think it's important we remain
20	competitive. I don't think it's in any way an
21	objective to be, you know, the high-end product.

I don't think we offer the high-end product out
 there.
 MR. GEORGE: What is your gut feel on -- when

we built the last 48 hangars --

25

17

18

19

20

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

112

1 MR. WUELLNER: Thirty-six. 2 MR. GEORGE: -- if we had used -- if we had 3 used the same ROI that we use on other commercial 4 facilities, what would that rent have been as 5 opposed to the \$210 that we're charging now? 6 MR. WUELLNER: I think the -- the initial ROI 7 was in the 5, 6 percent range, based on a 20-year 8 ROI calculation. 9 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 10 MR. WUELLNER: Reality is you're going to get 11 longer out of the building and you could -- you 12 can play games with the calculation of it. But 13 that's -- when we set the rate at I believe it was 14 \$200 or \$210 -- I'm trying to remember what it was 15 going -- somebody that was in K, L, and M when 16 they -- when they were first built, could probably

remind me better, but it's --

even, something like that.

MR. GEORGE: I think it was \$200, wasn't it,

MR. WUELLNER: That sounds about right.

21	MR. GEORGE: I'm just wondering, what would
22	it have been had we used the same ROI? In other
23	words, this this board now is oriented toward
24	we've got to get a return on our investment, and
25	just because they're small hangars, they shouldn't
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

1	get a break. You know, they should be getting
2	you know, paying their same fair share that
3	anybody else. So, what would the difference have
4	been had we got the 10 percent ROI that we were

- 6 MR. WUELLNER: That would have been a great
- 7 question for me before the meeting so that I could
- 8 have come up with an answer. I'm --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Had I seen this before --
- MR. WUELLNER: My gut feeling is --
- 11 MR. GEORGE: -- the meeting, I might have
- 12 asked that.

looking for?

- 13 MR. WUELLNER: My gut feeling is it -- it
- probably would have started \$10 to \$20 a month
- 15 higher to get 7 percent or better.
- 16 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Which is consistent with
- 18 your -- today's policy.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you need something from
21	us?
22	MR. WUELLNER: You don't necessarily need to
23	develop a policy. It was brought up because it's
24	always an argument in the public that, you know,
25	that that element doesn't pay its fair share or it
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	114
1	doesn't you know, it doesn't generate revenue,
2	or whatever the argument out there. And it is out
3	there all the time.
4	You have the ability. You've always reserved
5	the ability to deal with the rental, hangar rental
6	increases annually. About this time of year,
7	June, July, August, we need to get something in
8	the mill to the tenants notifying them by the
9	by no later than the first of September.
10	So, you know, if you want to apply something
11	to get it more in parity with what it appears to
12	be now, it can simply be an across-the-board
13	number; it could be a percentage applied for just
14	this year. And we just bring up the topic every
15	year and do, you know, a recomparison every year
16	and you you have this, you know, fresh data
17	each June or July and make a decision what you're

MR. GEORGE: So --

18	doing with rates.
19	Now, it doesn't involve changing the leases
20	or anything else. It's just simply a notification
21	issue to the tenants. It's provided for in the
22	leases to do something.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
24	MR. GORMAN: Just real quick, and I'm not
25	going to belabor it. But, I mean, what's the ROI
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	115
1	now if we get back into this discussion again?
2	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's my guess, it's
3	in the in the 4 to 5 percent range.
4	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
5	MR. WUELLNER: That's based on the new ones,
6	to be totally fair, because I don't have the cost
7	information or anything else relative to the old
8	ones.
9	MR. GEORGE: I have another comment.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
11	MR. GEORGE: My reason for bringing up the
12	ROI is your comment that the T-hangars got a
13	better rate than somebody else, I wanted to
14	quantify that. And I think what you said, that

you're talking about \$10, \$15 a month. You're

16	not I don't want the public to think that the
17	T-hangars have been getting a ride
18	MR. WUELLNER: No.
19	MR. GEORGE: for a hundred dollars a month
20	better than anybody else. So, you're talking in
21	the \$10 to \$15 range, okay? But we're running
22	this as a business, and we need a return on our
23	investment. And I think we need to get it back up
24	there.
25	MR. WUELLNER: And and also, you need to
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	116
1	know that the aggregate change in about a \$10 rent
2	increase is only \$15,000 a year in total revenue.
3	This is not a you know, this is not a windfall.
4	MR. GORMAN: Madam Chairman, can I call on
5	someone in the public because they have some
6	information?
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, sir. I already closed
8	public comment. And I don't think we're looking
9	for a motion right now.
10	MR. WUELLNER: If there's more information
11	you want, or I mean, we'd even develop a you
12	know, a one-page policy that makes a suggestion we
13	can throw at you for July. I'm I'm just kind
14	of making you aware of the time frame, if you want

15	to, some hard-and-fast decision needs to be made
16	at your August meeting in order to meet the
17	timing.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I prefer
19	MR. WUELLNER: If you want to do anything.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: just a short, concise
21	policy.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Do you have save me a lot
23	of stress. Do you have a direction you think you
24	want? I mean, are you looking to try just try

12

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

and achieve our minimum required 7 percent ROI and

117

1	see where those numbers play and throw that out?
2	You know, do you want to apply it as a
3	percentage? Do you want it applied as a fixed
4	dollar? Do you want to just review it annually?
5	If you give me some direction, I can better tailor
6	a policy.
7	MR. GORMAN: I have a suggestion. Do an
8	average on the same square footage. You know,
9	whether it's bifold or standard, just negate that,
10	you know, and give us that average. And then give
11	us a 7 percent and a 10 percent ROI and the cost.

So then you've got all three averages.

13 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 14 MR. GORMAN: You've got all three. Does that 15 make any sense? 16 MR. WUELLNER: Just -- just have the data in 17 front of you. 18 MR. GEORGE: I think we need the ROI as a 19 bottom, you know. 20 MR. GORMAN: Right. 21 MR. GEORGE: We've got to get that. Now, if 22 market gives us the ability to go above that, then 23 we need to take advantage of it. 24 MR. GORMAN: We get those three figures. 25 Then you can meld what you want. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 118 1 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We can certainly beef 2 up the factual part of it and -- and go from 3 there. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Next agenda 5 item? 6 7.F. - DEVELOPMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR ARAQUAY PARK 7 MR. WUELLNER: I was thinking we changed 8 order here quick. No. That's your -- next item 9 is Araquay Park development, which I think brings

back up the discussion on the layouts, you know --

MR. GORMAN: Right. And I was going to ask

10

12	for the land I was going to ask for the land
13	acquisition slide. I see it's already there.
14	Let me read you a quick paper. I will labor
15	to read this. If I can.
16	MR. GEORGE: Is now the appropriate time?
17	MR. GORMAN: What? About for the yes,
18	because it yes, because it brings up the
19	issues. In other words, it's a few thoughts about
20	several situations surrounding our airport.
21	And in keeping with pursuing serving the
22	needs of the aviation community, I feel we need to
23	focus on what can be practically accomplished,
24	rather than be lost in obscurity and unproductive
25	action.

1	In the situation concerning eminent domain
2	has become ridiculous as far as the as far as,
3	in my own mind, as far as the getting some of
4	these lots. It seems that as this situation has
5	evolved, it has become in some certain apparent
6	instances, we would be displacing elderly,
7	disabled, and have started a negative frenzy of
8	tabloid-star tabloid-style articles from
a	various print sources

10	And I'd say let's do the best we can and
11	build with what space and land we have now and let
12	us also apply intelligence to life and negotiate
13	situations where the elderly can live out their
14	remaining years in their residences, these
15	properties to be held for airport development by
16	trust agreements after their passing.
17	Let's get going. Truly, I hesitate to engage
18	in situations that are absolutely guaranteed to
19	generate onerous litigation and very poor
20	relations in the community. Common sense dictates
21	that there is a time to stop listening to the
22	counsel of firms that litigate for a living. We
23	need aviation facilities, not more delay and
24	costly and costly litigation. We can adapt
25	design so that property can be fit into an overall
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	120
1	plan.
2	If we exercise eminent domain this is
3	something I've added to the sheets that I've given
4	the fellow board members it can be on vacant
5	lots. And I'd like to discuss that now. Can I
6	get that microphone?

8

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.

MR. GORMAN: Is this on? Is this on now? Is

- 9 this on now? Oh, I'll talk loud.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.
- MR. GORMAN: I'm going to rely on you, Ed,
- because you know this by heart. Where did Ed go?
- 13 MR. GEORGE: He's taking a break.
- MR. COX: He went to turn the microphone off.
- MR. GORMAN: All right. See (indicating)?
- Here's the property we own now (indicating).
- MS. McELROY: Wait a minute. Move to the
- other side, please.
- 19 MR. GORMAN: This is shaded (indicating).
- All right.
- MS. McELROY: Thank you.
- MR. GORMAN: This is why I need a pointer,
- probably. Have we got that pointer? Let me have
- a pointer. This will take a while. Is this on?
- 25 All right. You see this (indicating)? This

- 1 is -- this is area we own now, right here
- 2 (indicating). Everyone understand that? These
- are areas that we don't own (indicating)?
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: The red are -- correct.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: The red, we don't own. Okay.
- 6 Here's the original -- here's the hangars that we

7	have (indicating). Now, I have
8	MR. WUELLNER: That's not entirely the
9	white also on the left. The red indicates
10	MR. GORMAN: And that that will and
11	you're talking about here (indicating), but that's
12	not part of the discussion.
13	We had I talked to LPA earlier. I'm
14	sorry, Ed. We talked to LPA earlier, and do you
15	have an overlay that we can project showing the
16	hangars that exist now, the
17	MS. LOUNGEWAY: No, we have it the other way
18	around. We have our alternative with the property
19	line we can overlay it on.
20	MR. GORMAN: Okay. Then here's the point
21	being. In other words, we've got hangars here
22	(indicating). We've got this piece of property
23	here (indicating). This piece of property is, Ed,
24	vacant or not vacant (indicating)?
25	MR. WUELLNER: That one? That's where the
	AIDDODT AUTHODITY DECLIE AD MEETING. HINE 14, 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	122

- 1 mobile burned a few months back.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: So, it's a vacant piece of
- 3 property.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Arguably.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: This property here is vacant or

- 6 not vacant (indicating)?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: It -- that one has a well on
- 8 it and is otherwise vacant.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: And this piece of property here
- 10 (indicating)?
- MR. WUELLNER: Has a home on it.
- MR. GORMAN: It has a home on it. And these
- people are willing or not willing to sell?
- MR. WUELLNER: I assume not, since we have
- 15 not --
- MR. GORMAN: And this here is vacant
- 17 (indicating).
- MR. WUELLNER: I believe it's vacant lot,
- 19 yes.
- MR. GORMAN: And this one's vacant
- 21 (indicating).
- MR. WUELLNER: That is actually a home, but
- 23 it's currently not occupied.
- MR. GORMAN: Home -- not occupied home. That
- is an unoccupied area.

- 1 MS. ANGYALFY: It's occupied.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Are you still there? I'm
- 3 sorry. It was my understanding it wasn't. It is

4	occupied, then.
5	MR. GORMAN: I'm just afraid just common
6	sense dictates to me, I'm just afraid to exercise
7	eminent domain privilege on this board against
8	people that are occupying homes.
9	Now, if you can build hangars in the existing
10	without any eminent domain issues, you have
11	that's the most preferable, okay, in my own mind.
12	If you're going to exercise eminent domain issues,
13	you can do it on vacant lots. I mean, if you're
14	going to do that. And is that possible? I mean,
15	we've never come up with this discussion.
16	I mean, if you're going to do it and you do
17	it on vacant lots, you're not displacing people;
18	then all of a sudden, you are for the greater good
19	because you're going to try to drive us off the
20	tax rolls.
21	This is the discussion. And if you and
22	the other part of the discussion, part A is, can
23	we build without exercising eminent any eminent
24	domain at all?
25	It looks like we've got quite a bit of area

- 1 here to start to build hangars. And then B, if we
- 2 are going to exercise eminent domain, if we don't

- do it against homeowners that, you know, don't
 want to move, which we understand people don't
- 5 want to move, then can we do it just by exercising
- 6 eminent domain issues against the vacant areas?
- Which then to me just seems across the board
- 8 something that's more doable. It doesn't incur
- 9 litigation. It to me seems to make more sense.
- That's the discussion.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that -- I mean, I'll open
- it to public comment, because I didn't want to
- interrupt your presentation.
- MR. GORMAN: Certainly. Let's open -- open
- up to public comment.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment?
- MR. GEORGE: I haven't heard -- seen the
- presentation what the alternatives are.
- MR. GORMAN: That's fine. I've got all --
- 20 now let's hear the presentation of the
- alternatives because that's all I've got to say
- for the time being.
- MR. WUELLNER: Battery's bad or the mic's not
- good, I can't tell either way.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Was there a public comment?

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, no. Ed has the
2	alternatives.
3	MR. COX: We kind of jumped ahead of the
4	MR. GORMAN: That's fine. I just
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: left the room.
6	MR. COX: I thought you told him to go up
7	forward.
8	MR. GORMAN: I wanted to get that out,
9	because that has to be that has to be
10	discussible before the alternatives, as far as I'm
11	concerned. But, sorry.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Still requires a battery.
13	MS. LOUNGEWAY: Before we look at the south
14	GA area, we wanted to just spend a moment looking
15	at some further development of corporate hangars
16	in the north northeast area in between Grumman
17	and the recent corporate hangars that were
18	constructed.
19	Alternative A includes the addition of 12 new
20	corporate hangars. It requires a minor relocation
21	of Hawkeye View Lane. And the area on the east
22	side of Hawkeye View Lane does kind of border a
23	channel off of the river. So, to get permits to
24	construct in that area is a little bit more
25	difficult than areas that do not touch the open

1 river. 2 And the other kind of key thing is we worked 3 this alternative around the existing retention 4 pond, and there is some area there that we could 5 expand that pond to allow for that other 6 development. 7 Alternative B kind of shows double the 8 development with 24 corporate hangars. Some of 9 them are nearly double the size of the 10 alternatives in A. You can see we did not, in 11 this alternative, preserve the existing retention 12 pond. And it requires a fairly large relocation 13 of Hawkeye View Lane and at least double or triple 14 the impacts of wetlands on the side of the channel 15 from the river. 16 Thus far, a comment from the Technical 17 Advisory Committee and from the board members that 18 were at the -- the last workshop, the preference 19 was for -- to kind of follow through with 20 Alternative A and carry that forward. And be 21 happy to take any other comments on that. 22 Okay. Going on to the south GA area, 23 Alternative A, when we looked at developing it, 24 we -- this concept says what is the maximum amount 25 of hangars and development we can get in the area?

1	This alternative shows an apron on the east side
2	of approximately 53,000 square yards.
3	It shows the addition of an FBO kind of at
4	the end of where Estrella and Indian Bend is now;
5	a couple of corporate hangars that would kind of
6	be supportive of the FBO along Estrella between
7	the future FBO and the maintenance.
8	It shows T-hangars and box hangars
9	predominantly on the the west side of the
10	development. We preserve an area for future admin
11	expansion as needed. We provide a community
12	education facility along U.S. 1, just south of
13	Indian Bend Road. So, those are some of the key
14	aspects of that alternative. And this just shows
15	the existing property line and the lots that
16	remain to be acquired in order to go forward with
17	that development.
18	Alternative B was, as it's shown currently,
19	is in response to Mr. George's request to go ahead
20	and phase one of the alternatives. What we have
21	done was take the original alternative, which
22	shows all the developments listed up there, 115
23	T-hangars, 36 box hangars, 9 corporate hangars,
24	and about 68,000 square yards of apron, and we

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

128

1	boundary of Casa Cola and Indian Bend Road going
2	up towards Estrella Drive. And that would be
3	Phase 1.
4	Phase 2 would be the rest of the development
5	into towards the channel and out towards
6	U.S. 1. In this one, we have the FBO shown on the
7	east side of the apron and the community center
8	located next to the FAA control tower. It's a
9	little hard to see, but there is a a vehicular
10	road that would follow along the south side of the
11	development and along the the channel to
12	provide access to the hangars on the south side
13	and to the FBO. And that shows the property line
14	as it is today.
15	MR. GORMAN: That's current to
16	MS. LOUNGEWAY: That's current. That was
17	based on Ed's comments this afternoon this
18	morning.
19	MR. GORMAN: Thanks. Good.
20	MR. JUFKO: Told you it's possible.
21	MS. LOUNGEWAY: Alternative C takes kind of a
22	totally different look and says that the only

facilities that absolutely could not be touched

- were the newest of the T-hangars, the tower, that
- area. But some of the older T-hangars and

1	port-a-ports could be removed or replaced with
2	facilities in in another location.
3	So, kind of taking that into account, we
4	placed the apron area on the east side closest to
5	the taxiway, placed the FBO along the western
6	boundary, kind of behind where we are today,
7	allowed for some FBO and corporate hangars along
8	the edge of the apron, and then kind of split the
9	area between box hangars and T-hangars, as far as
10	based aircraft storage.
11	This did allow for about 50,000 square yards
12	of apron and allowed for the potential for a new
13	FBO. And we did place a community education
14	center kind of in the same place as B near the FAA
15	control tower.
16	And so, those are the three alternatives for
17	the south GA area.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Open up to public comment?
19	Dave?
20	MR. KNIGHT: From an air traffic perspective,
21	this particular alternative here offers the best

22	opportunity, because the other alternatives
23	provide such a bottleneck from the control tower,
24	that they cannot see the aircraft taxiing in and
25	out of the taxiway that's located right below the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	130
1	tower. That's my input.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
3	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: Going back, if I may comment
6	on Jack's presentation? Is now
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. The whole thing's for
8	public comment, right.
9	MR. MARTINELLI: I really empathize with your
10	thoughts, Jack, on displacing folks, especially
11	older folks. I'm there myself. And, you know, I
12	wouldn't like it if somebody displaced me.
13	But on the other hand, all through my life,
14	I've I've had to realize that progress is
15	inevitable. And what happens in a situation like
16	this, you can go along Jax Beach, along First
17	Avenue on Jax Beach, and you can see some homes
18	there that are still standing and all around them
19	is all kinds of development.
20	And if I had a choice of whether or not I'd

21	want to move or live in that situation where
22	and I transpose that into this situation, where I
23	would have airplanes and trucks and all kinds of
24	activity going right next door to me, and that's
25	the way I'm going to finish out my my years, I
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	131
	131
1	think I would probably opt to move.
2	So, I know it's a tough decision, but as you
3	look at it and you you live there, think ahead
4	as to what would happen if your home is still
5	there, and you're still living there, but you're
6	an island unto yourself, and there's all kinds of
7	commercial activity going on all around you.
8	That's not the peace and quiet that you would
9	want to spend your final years in. That's my
10	opinion on that one. So and as far as your
11	alternatives here, if I may go back to your
12	alternative with the the I guess it's no.
13	Is that that's the first one, right?
14	MS. LOUNGEWAY: Yes.
15	MR. MARTINELLI: Yes. Contrary to what my
16	friend David said here, I know it's difficult from
17	the tower's point of view, but maybe you'll get
18	ground radar. But anyway

19	MR. GEORGE: Satellite cameras.
20	MR. MARTINELLI: this this appears to
21	be the most efficient layout from a cost
22	standpoint. Not displacing hangars, not tearing
23	down hangars. There's still I know some of
24	those old older hangars need a lot of
25	maintenance. But nonetheless, in the old
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	132
1	terminology of my profession, that's a sunk cost;
2	you've already spent that money, so capitalize on
3	it; don't throw it away. That's a thought I have
4	on that.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Yes, ma'am?
6	MS. WILLIS: There are different ideas on
7	what progress is. And not to your surprise, I
8	would not agree with you on pushing people out of
9	their homes as being progress. I mean, you can't
10	deny the fact that the airport needs more space
11	and wants more space and that we are on the edge
12	of the airport, "we" being the people in Araquay
13	Park.
14	I rise to commend Mr. Gorman for his
15	sensitivity to the heinousness of eminent domain.
16	I have lived here 72 years. I've been a

productive and contributing citizen all my life.

18 And what am I told? Get out. Move. We want to 19 bulldoze and pave. 20 Of course I don't like it. Of course I want 21 to live in my house. And I commend him again for 22 his sensitivity to the fact that there are and 23 there were -- I was holding on to that chair. 24 MR. GORMAN: I'm sorry. 25 MS. WILLIS: I'm an old person. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 133 1 MR. GORMAN: Go ahead. 2 MS. WILLIS: Just kidding. There were 12 3 people who were over 70, two -- one couple has 4 moved, has sold and left. 5 I would personally be willing to live there 6 with airplanes flying all around. I've lived here 7 all my life, 20 years north of the airport, now 20 8 years south of the airport. So, you know, my --9 them's my sentiments. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I've still got to have 11 public comment. 12 MR. GORMAN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then continue to let

MR. GORMAN: I want a little repartee to her,

13

14

15

you --

file: ///S / Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board % 20 Mtg % 20 Info/Minutes/2004 % 20 Minutes/Board % 20 Meeting % 2006 1404.txt [11/16/2010 2:13:24 PM]

16 though. I have something to say. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we can do that with 18 board discussion. We've got a lot of public 19 comment here. 20 MS. WILLIS: Jack, I want a copy of your --21 MS. McELROY: Carolyn McElroy, Araquay Park. 22 I have a couple of things to say. One of them is 23 that we've been approached about being appraised. 24 We have never --25 MR. WUELLNER: Make sure it's on. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 134

1 MS. McELROY: Thanks. 2 MR. GORMAN: Well, they turned it on for you. 3 MS. McELROY: I'm going to say something 4 important. We have been approached about being 5 appraised, but we have never officially received 6 anything from the airport, the Airport Authority. 7 We would like to have a official letter with all 8 of the people that are for this on the board. If 9 you're so for this, you should be willing to put 10 your name on a paper that you send to us. And 11 until then, we will not be appraised. 12 The other thing is, if you want to leave us 13 here, like Mr. Gorman so generously offers, which

is -- you know, I'm -- that's all right. You will

15	need to be putting some kind of buffer to protect
16	us against pollution and noise and everything,
17	because Araquay Park was there first.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further public comment?
19	Yes.
20	MR. CONNER: My name is Robin Conner. I'm
21	with the law firm of Bedsole & Conner in
22	St. Augustine. I represent some of the homeowners
23	out here, including the McElroys. And those of
24	you who live out here or work out here probably
25	know as well as I do that rumors spread out in
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	135
1	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would
1 2	
	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would
2	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious.
2	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious. One of the rumors floating around, which I
2 3 4	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious. One of the rumors floating around, which I cannot with my vision and the drawing there, I
2 3 4 5	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious. One of the rumors floating around, which I cannot with my vision and the drawing there, I cannot see it, and it may be just a rumor, but one
2 3 4 5 6	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious. One of the rumors floating around, which I cannot with my vision and the drawing there, I cannot see it, and it may be just a rumor, but one of them nevertheless is that on the south side of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious. One of the rumors floating around, which I cannot with my vision and the drawing there, I cannot see it, and it may be just a rumor, but one of them nevertheless is that on the south side of Indian Bend on the strip where the McElroy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Araquay Park probably with a speed which would make the internet envious. One of the rumors floating around, which I cannot with my vision and the drawing there, I cannot see it, and it may be just a rumor, but one of them nevertheless is that on the south side of Indian Bend on the strip where the McElroy property is and I realize I'm not helping by

And I would -- I'll admit it is a rumor, but

13	I would like to inquire about that, because
14	somebody got it started out there. And I'd like
15	to hear if that has actually been mentioned and if
16	it is within the realm of possibility.
17	MR. GEORGE: Not at one of these board
18	meetings, it has not been mentioned.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Never. Not at a board
20	meeting I've handled.
21	MR. COX: Make them condo hangars, you know.
22	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, that's right. They're for
23	pink elephants, condo
24	MR. MARTINELLI: There are there are
25	navigation considerations that prohibit any kind
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	136
	130
1	of high-rise condominiums.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
3	MR. MARTINELLI: And you couldn't build
4	MR. CONNER: I didn't say high-rise. I said
5	it could be one level. Again, I clearly qualified
6	it as a rumor.
7	MR. COX: Could you show me on the map
8	exactly where you're talking about there, just to
9	make sure? We're not talking about the
10	MR. CONNER: Right along here (indicating).
11	MR. GORMAN: Certainly not discussed by this

12	board.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. And you might be
14	confused with the Ponce. I mean, the rumors may
15	have been mushed into what the Ponce is doing
16	versus what the board's doing and what the
17	airport's been doing.
18	MR. GEORGE: I've been I've been to every
19	meeting for a year and a half, and I've never
20	heard that brought up.
21	MR. CONNER: I took it to be more than street
22	rumors than I did internal ones.
23	MR. GEORGE: Right. The only thing the
24	Chairman said was there was some discussion with
25	the Ponce people about rather than losing the golf
	AIDDODT AUTHODITY DECLU AD MEETING II

1	course, turn it into a fly-in community, and then
2	they would have fly use our runways and taxi
3	over close to the actual Intracoastal waterway to
4	get back into their development. But that's the
5	only thing that I've ever
6	MR. CONNER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
8	MR. CONNER: Just a question. What qualifies
9	as elderly, by the way? Because in your letter

10	MR. GORMAN: In my letter?
11	MR. CONNER: Yes. It makes me nervous.
12	MR. GORMAN: What qualifies as elderly?
13	Anyone of the I would say 65 or retirement age.
14	Anybody eligible for Social Security. Let's
15	qualify it.
16	MR. CONNER: Okay. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further public discussion?
18	(No further public discussion.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board
20	discussion? Jack.
21	MR. GORMAN: Let me let me just beat up
22	Mrs. Willis for a second. We'd much prefer that
23	you we could move you and your trees. That
24	would be the preference. If it's done it
25	before it worked before, now. So, we'd much

138

1	prefer to do that, because then that would be
2	the the best of the greater good, that would
3	be the best. Hopefully, you would be happy doing
4	that. I mean, move the trees, move Mrs. Willis,
5	move the the sun will shine on you.
6	However, the buffers are the key issue. If
7	you leave people in the area, the buffers are the

key issue. And if you did leave people in the

9 area that were adjacent to it, all of a sudden, 10 you get in a situation where if you're not going 11 to exercise eminent domain against someone that is 12 going to live there, my own thoughts are you're 13 going to get -- have to get -- the airport would 14 have to get a concession that they'll abide by 15 minimum buffers. 16 And we're talking about observable 30 feet, 17 I'm told. I'm told that in this state, minimum 18 buffers have been observed at 30 feet. Now, I 19 can't --MR. COX: Define "buffer." Buffer for what? 20 21 MR. GORMAN: Buffer means the space between 22 the house and the airport, the actual --23 MR. COX: Sound buffer, safety buffer? 24 MR. GORMAN: Well, hopefully both. Hopefully 25 both, in other words, but a buffer --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

- MR. JUFKO: A space.
 MR. GORMAN: -- a space. A space. I'll ask
 LPA on that. A space buffer. I mean, it's not
 perfect, no.
 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board discussion?
- 6 Joe?

7	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, as everybody knows, I've
8	been against this from the get-go. To me, the
9	word "eminent domain" is a dirty word. I think
10	it's a lousy law and it's misused for its original
11	intent.
12	But Victor was making a comment about
13	progress is inevitable, and he's right. But when
14	you're talking about progress in this instance, I
15	think you've got to decide who's more important.
16	I don't mean this personally, Victor, but is
17	Victor and his airplane more important than
18	Mrs. Willis and her home and her life and all of
19	the things that she's put into that since she's
20	been there? I say no.
21	And this is if for this airport to
22	progress and expand, it at the expense of
23	somebody's life and their home and their personal
24	rights, I think is wrong. And and I just don't
25	like it.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	140

1 MR. GORMAN: Joe? Can I say one thing to

- 2 Joe? Okay. I -- I just purported a plan. And
- 3 whether it works or not, I don't know. It's just
- 4 for discussion, where you're not disposing people,
- 5 where you're -- you're just -- if you are

6	exercising eminent domain, it's on vacant lots.
7	It doesn't dispose or displace anybody.
8	Whether or not it would work perfectly, I
9	doubt it will work perfectly. Whether it is a
10	compromise, yes, it is a compromise. That's the
11	discussion point.
12	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I understand what you're
13	saying. If Mr. Ed, correct me if I'm wrong.
14	Haven't I mentioned to you in private meetings
15	before about building around these people, and if
16	somebody wants to live right smack next to a
17	hangar, with a big loud airplane, that's their
18	right and it and to build all around them is
19	and I think I've even mentioned here at this board
20	that we would have a funny buildout with hangars
21	and zipping around houses and stuff. But I was
22	right along, always saying, leave the people here,
23	and if they want to put up with the noise and all
24	of that junk, then more power to them.
25	So, in in effect, I'm on the same page as

- Jack is. You know, leave the people alone. But I
- 2 can't see anything wrong with taking a vacant lot,
- 3 you know, or something, or a house that's burned

4	down. It's of no use. You know, you're not
5	disrupting a life there. It's gone.
6	MR. GORMAN: And I and I counterpoint, I'd
7	say, but the airport cannot afford to not use this
8	land. We have to build hangars. We need to get
9	off the ad valorem taxes, and the way to do that
10	is run it as a business. So, it's going to be a
11	comprom I see it as a compromise. I see what
12	I'm saying as a compromise. Is it the best
13	compromise? Probably not. Is it the best
14	business compromise? That remains to be seen.
15	It's just a compromise.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I was going to ask
17	Mr. Burnett, because when this agenda item showed
18	up on the calendar, I wanted to have the, albeit
19	brief, but legal concept of what we, as the
20	airport, would face with having displaced I
21	don't mean but a home next to a hangar, the
22	cost of buffers, nuisance lawsuits, whatever else
23	is going to erupt. It may be inverse condemnation
24	problems. I mean, I just want to make sure that

everyone knows on this board what the hazards are

142

1 by piecemealing this, and also the cost.

25

2 And I think we had this presented by Mark

3	Arnold, or maybe it was Mr. Wuellner. If we
4	piecemealed the eminent domain procedures, the
5	cost or the time of getting it through the county
6	was huge. So, that that's the problem with
7	only taking some at certain times. So, it delays
8	and prolongs cost. But I wanted to hear from the
9	attorney about it.
10	MR. BURNETT: I'll say a few things. And
11	and for Mr. Gorman and Mr. George and
12	Mr. Ciriello, this will be a repeat of some of my
13	comments from the workshop. But one problem is,
14	is I don't foresee the County's going to be the
15	airport's partner in litigation, if you will. And
16	we do have one county commissioner that's a pilot,
17	Commissioner Maguire. I don't know the rest of
18	them's dispositions related to air aircraft.
19	But if if what happens is the airport
20	decides that through this area (indicating), to go
21	ahead and create hangars and open this up
22	(indicating), we can't go past Araquay Avenue, I
23	don't foresee, because there's a home here
24	(indicating).
25	And the problem that you will get into with

1 the County -- and knowing the County Attorney and 2 the conservative advice the County Attorney gives 3 to the Board of County Commissioners on these 4 types of issues, is this home has used for access 5 through this direction and down Araquay Avenue 6 (indicating). And so, to vacate this road 7 presents a problem to the Board of County 8 Commissioners, because this person has previously 9 used this road for ingress and egress 10 (indicating). 11 MR. GORMAN: Again, a compromise and a 12 negotiation. If you don't exercise eminent domain 13 now against those people, you can allow them to 14 live there and possibly they may have to accept a 15 different access. That would be my idea. 16 MR. BURNETT: Certainly. And I think that --17 you would have to --18 MR. GORMAN: I understand. 19 MR. BURNETT: -- negotiate that with these 20 other property owners that would still be in 21 existence. 22 The other thing is the noise complaint.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

Obviously, you'd have to negotiate as part of that

a way to deal with the noise from aircraft coming

down through here in this area and taxiing

23

24

- 1 (indicating).
- 2 The other is your land acquisition cost.
- 3 You're still going to have to at least start the
- 4 proceeding against this property (indicating),
- 5 which is appearing there -- I'm not sure it's not
- 6 actually a little larger than that -- which is the
- 7 one where the mobile home burned down, as you --
- 8 as you heard. Excuse me, not a mobile home.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it was. Yeah, it was.
- MS. McELROY: It was a house.
- MR. BURNETT: A house. The house burned down
- right here (indicating). That still is going to
- be something that you're going to probably
- exercise your eminent domain powers just to get
- this area all freed up for your movement
- 16 (indicating).
- 17 And then you've already exercised eminent
- domain power for this property acquisition
- 19 (indicating). And then as Mr. -- as I guess the
- 20 phrase was used previously, you've got a piecemeal
- 21 issue of whether or not to take -- why not just go
- ahead and take it all and finish it at one time.
- And I understand the human aspect of this. I'm
- iust strictly telling you the legal aspect.
- And again, the bigger -- the big issue that

1	you're going to have is vacating the loads. And,
2	in fact, you may have even if, going back up to
3	here (indicating), if you can't negotiate
4	something with all of the property owners, you may
5	have a difficult time from the County even
6	vacating this area up here (indicating).
7	MR. GORMAN: Again, the point being, it
8	it's a compromise. But if someone didn't want to
9	move, it would be a nice option for them to,
10	listen, we're going to have to move your access.
11	But that way, we don't have to exercise and
12	entertain I'm trying to come up with a solution
13	where where the airport is getting some
14	terrible press. I mean, and maybe rightly so.
15	Maybe but it's terrible.
16	And you know, the maybe the least worst,
17	maybe the most best, let's move our English
18	around, whatever we can do. I just don't know. I
19	mean, it needs to be discussed.
20	I just I can't believe that you can
21	quitclaim, whatever the terminology was, a house
22	from somebody. I just, in my heart of hearts, I
23	believe we're going to be stuck with litigation
24	against that of failing to disclose this, that,

1	human aspect being in the equation. So, I mean,
2	why can't we negotiate this thing? That's my
3	point. With that, I'll end it there and I'll
4	leave it to the experts.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug?
6	MR. BURNETT: Well, most times, the only
7	issue you'll ever hear in an eminent domain
8	proceeding is how much the property acquisition is
9	going to cost. And one thing that I talked about
10	in the workshop is, I don't think anyone's ever
11	seen DOT stop building a roadway because they
12	couldn't acquire the property for the roadway.
13	I don't even think I don't believe that
14	Mr. Conner would represent to you that that is
15	that that situation is anything but the way I've
16	stated it. Eminent domain is a thing that occurs
17	all the time throughout our state, the or the
18	power of eminent domain which results in
19	condemnation.
20	The only issue is whether it serves a public
21	purpose. And I guess the one thing that was being
22	alluded to related to condos is perhaps that's not
23	a public purpose. But, obviously, as we've seen

- from the -- from the -- the planning and the
- intended use of this property for T-hangars and

147

1	other facilities which would support the airport,
2	clearly is going to be a public purpose, which
3	that will never enter the equation then.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George, do you have any
5	comment?
6	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Burnett, could you quantify
7	in dollars and elapsed time what you think it
8	would cost, and how much time it would take to get
9	the board all of the protection that if someone
10	was left there, that they would vacate their
11	rights to sue us for noise, sue us for, you know,
12	whatever, that the County would in fact give us
13	the roads that we need for development?
14	What I'm getting at is, if we come up with
15	all of this and it says we have an exposure of
16	\$400,000 that it could cost us in delayed
17	construction costs and everything, then that's
18	\$400,000 that the rest of the taxpayers or the
19	County is going to have to do just to let, you
20	know, one or two people, you know, remain there.

Is that a feasible thing to do or --

23	accomplished, but I'll give you one practical
24	problem that I think you'd find. And let's just
25	take any property owner in this area.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	148
1	If that property owner negotiates with the
2	airport that they want a life estate to be able to
3	live live the rest of their days in at their
4	property, you could easily hit a 40-year time
5	period before the airport could would then have
6	control over this property.
7	Is that and so that may be a stumbling
8	block for the airport that the airport's not
9	willing to agree to, someone to have the potential
10	to be able to stay in any of these parcels for 40
11	years, considering what your plan is for all of
12	this property.
13	And that would be a logical thing that I
14	could see coming from anyone who's represented by
15	an attorney who's a property owner who starts to
16	begin in those type of negotiations, that, okay,
17	we would like to take this area, but you waive
18	your right to claim any type of noise problem or
19	any type of right to this to this roadway.
20	That gives us some some safety, but I think the

MR. BURNETT: A framework for that could be

- 21 thing that you're going to hear in there is also
- 22 negotiations related to the time period to which
- 23 they want to stay there, if they were to agree to
- 24 it.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, how many homes are we

- 1 actually talking about --
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Three.
- 3 MR. CIRIELLO: -- with people living in them
- 4 right now?
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Three. I thought three.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: No, it's more than three.
- 7 MR. BURNETT: I was hearing in the audience
- 8 there's one in --
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. BURNETT: -- excuse me, this area
- 11 (indicating).
- MS. WILLIS: A lot more than three.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Mary is one of them.
- MR. GEORGE: Mary is -- Mary is -- Mary is
- west of Casa Cola.
- MR. GORMAN: Exactly. We're talking about an
- initial development area. Let's not obscure this
- 18 issue --

19 MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Excuse me. We have a court 21 reporter that can't take -- okay, one at a time, 22 please. And it is board discussion, so I need to 23 keep it to --24 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what I'm getting at is 25 Mr. -- Doug mentioned the 40 years. I want to AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 150 1 know if these -- any of these people that we are 2 after are young enough to live that much. We're 3 talking about people here that we're trying to 4 dispose, up into the 70's. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Turn around, Joe. She's 6 right behind you. 7 MS. ANGYALFY: I might live awhile. 8 MR. WUELLNER: Hoping. She's hoping, anyway, 9 to live --10 MR. CIRIELLO: I'm going to live another 40 11 years myself, but... 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just need, if, 13 Mr. Burnett, was there anything else we needed 14 to --15 MR. BURNETT: I don't believe so, unless 16 there's any questions. CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. And I don't think --

18	this wasn't an action item. This was a discussion
19	item, informative. So, Mr. Cox, since you you
20	have not spoken. So, I'm trying to allow everyone
21	to say something, but we don't need to spend we
22	had a workshop on this for four hours, so
23	MR. COX: We had a long discussion several
24	meetings ago about board members making interviews
25	to the paper, not claiming themselves as board

1	members, but I see Joe's picture in the last deal.
2	I just I don't know. I guess we'll have to
3	discuss that at a later date.
4	But anyway, you know, it's unfortunate that
5	the eminent domain issue is such an emotional
6	issue, and it is. And I wish we didn't have to
7	contend with it, but you everybody on the board
8	is going to have to come to terms with the fact
9	that we represent every citizen in the county, and
10	the airport issue goes to what affects every
11	citizen in the county, and unfortunately, and not
12	to be crass about it, not represent a special
13	interest group of 12 to 15 people.
14	And that's what's happening, I'm seeing, as
15	opposed to representing the best interest of the

16	county. And you guys have both said, yeah, we
17	need to expand. Joe suggested we need to get a
18	different runway to help with all of the traffic
19	that we're going to get, and et cetera, et cetera.
20	We can't do that unless we represent the issues of
21	every person in the county.
22	So, I just and I don't need any comment
23	from it; I'm just saying and throwing this out
24	rhetorically as a comment and and something for
25	you to think about. But we have to keep in mind
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	152
1	that these issues affect the whole county and not
2	necessarily just a certain section of the county
3	around the airport.
4	We're we're not here to just discuss and
5	represent just the airport issues. We're
6	representing every citizen in the county.
7	MR. GORMAN: It's a costly philosophy, sir,
8	and I agree with you totally.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'm going to
10	Mr. George, one short comment, and then we'll
11	close discussion.
12	MR. GEORGE: I have a I have a motion to
13	make.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Is it on this issue?

15	MR. GEORGE: Yes. Right.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think we were
17	asked
18	MR. GEORGE: Oh, yes.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Well, then if
20	you have a motion.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I'd like to make the
22	motion that we give Staff the direction to proceed
23	with the appraisals for the acquisition of all of
24	the properties; that they work toward the vacant
25	properties I'm sorry. This is Casa Cola east.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 153
1	We work toward the acquisition of the vacant
2	properties in just the normal course of of the
3	eminent domain.
4	The properties east of Casa Cola that have
5	families, then I would like for Staff and
6	Mr. Burnett to sit down with them and see if they
7	can negotiate acceptable terms that will protect
0	
8	the airport, that will protect us from lawsuits,
9	the airport, that will protect us from lawsuits, that will allow us to do the roads that we want to

12

road.

13	If they cannot effectively and economically
14	negotiate those terms, then I have think we
15	have to proceed with acquisition.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm not sure that I know
17	there's a motion. Is there a second on the
18	motion? Hearing no second, the motion dies.
19	MR. GORMAN: Well what?
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think that there was
21	any request by Staff. I think they're doing we
22	already voted on that and they're going forward
23	with whatever they need to do for east of Casa
24	Cola.
25	MR. GEORGE: It was my intent to stop all of
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	154
1	these discussions of every every board meeting
2	we have another hour.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I agree.
4	MR. GEORGE: And every paper that comes out,
5	there's another two hours. I was trying to get
6	what I thought was the feeling of the board
7	down
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think that direction
9	
	is I mean, they are negotiating. And I don't
10	is I mean, they are negotiating. And I don't think no offense, Doug; it's whoever's doing

12 actually trying to either get appraisals or work 13 out negotiations as you would normally do. 14 MR. GORMAN: I think we might have 15 capitulated on his second a bit early. I mean, 16 that is not a bad idea. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There wasn't an amendment. 18 There was a motion and it wasn't seconded and --19 MR. GORMAN: Well, I was reflecting on it, 20 myself. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we had discussion 22 afterward and it died for just lack of a second. 23 If you want to file another motion --24 MR. GORMAN: It died in a millisecond. I 25 would like to hear his motion again. If I AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 155

1 would --2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Read it back? Thanks. (Whereupon, the motion was read by the court reporter.) 4 MR. GORMAN: And I would second that, see? 5 I'm sorry. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Now we'll have 7 discussion here, because --8 MR. GORMAN: I'm sorry, but --9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- there's been a second.

10	Discussion.
11	MR. COX: Question: Is that going to make,
12	for the airport, either Ed or Doug, so onerous
13	that you could actually not practically
14	administrate that that direction?
15	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think you've I
16	mean, I'm hearing from at least from
17	Mrs. McElroy, that she's not going to allow even
18	the appraisal part of it.
19	And and, I mean, I don't know what the
20	ramifications of that that decision are or not,
21	but I know that you commissioned, whatever it is,
22	ten nine or ten appraisals that are due to be
23	completed within the next several weeks, and that
24	information communicated back to the board for
25	discussion and resolution relative to offers being
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	156
	130
1	tendered based on those appraisals to those
2	owners.
3	That's that's where it is currently. That
4	doesn't presuppose we we couldn't attempt
5	individual discussions with with occupied
6	residents.
7	MR. BURNETT: And if I may jump in real quick
8	and offer one thing. Perhaps we're early to be

9	making a having a motion with all respect to
10	the board members, until we have the appraisals
11	and it's back here on that agenda item, to discuss
12	the results of those appraisals.
13	Those the results of those appraisals may
14	in fact change how people view their property, how
15	they're counseled by an attorney related to the
16	value valuation of their property, and the
17	legal impacts of going forward or or causing
18	the airport to go forward with an action to take
19	the property.
20	The other thing is
21	MR. GEORGE: The purpose of the of the
22	motion was to give you some direction on how I
23	thought the board felt on the direction that you
24	should go. For us to wait until the property
25	appraisal values come in, if we're trying to
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 200
	157

)4

- 1 displace one individual, I don't care if his
- 2 property is appraised at a million dollars or a
- hundred dollars. We're trying to work something 3
- 4 out with that individual.
- 5 That would be part -- as far as I'm
- 6 concerned, that would be part of your negotiations

7 to see if something can come up that will protect 8 the board and give us the ability to go down the 9 road. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And still get it passed by 11 the commission. 12 MR. COX: But if the appraisal comes --13 that's what he's saying, is if the appraisal comes 14 in at something that the person is acceptable to, 15 then --16 MR. GEORGE: That's part of his negotiation. 17 MR. COX: But your motion takes that out of 18 their hand, isn't it? You're -- you're entering 19 into other negotiations around the appraisal of 20 the property. 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: He's saying just to wait 22 till the appraisals come in so then --23 MR. COX: Exactly. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- that's another part of 25 the negotiation. They could say, "That's great; AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 158

1 I'll agree to all of that, but I don't know what

- 2 I'm getting for my property yet; I'm not sure."
- 3 MR. COX: You're removing that -- you're
- 4 removing that tool that the airport has --
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or, "We don't know what we'd

6	have to pay for it."
7	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I see what you're saying.
8	MR. BURNETT: And and the other comment
9	is, is at that meeting, I was planning on having
10	Mark Arnold from our office here. Mark Arnold, as
11	you'll recall, is the attorney that would be
12	representing the Authority in those condemnation
13	suits.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Any further
15	board discussion?
16	(No further discussion.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion that's been
18	seconded on the table. All in favor of the
19	motion, say aye.
20	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
21	MR. GORMAN: (Indicates aye.)
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
23	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
25	MR. COX: No.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 1

14, 2004

- 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Three to two, the motion has
- 2 been defeated. Next agenda item?
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Did you understand that, the

- 4 motion --
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: Was there three no's or two
- 6 no's?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Three.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Three.
- 9 MR. CIRIELLO: Two to three then. Okay.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The no's noted were Mr. Cox,
- 11 Ms. Green, and Mr. Ciriello.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The yeas were Mr. George and
- 14 Mr. Gorman, as far as the motion goes.
- I don't think that precludes any
- negotiations, whatever you need to do, what you
- 17 need to do to talk to these homeowners and try to
- work out something.
- MR. GEORGE: But we have effectively not
- given direction of what we're going to do in
- 21 Araquay Park, so --
- 22 MR. GORMAN: Correct.
- MR. GEORGE: -- it's probably another month.
- MR. GORMAN: Correct. Which is a problem.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We need the appraisals.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: That's fine, if that's what you
- 2 guys want to do.

3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next agenda item?
4	7.G INITIAL STAFF BUDGET PRESENTATION
5	MR. WUELLNER: I think our next agenda item
6	is an initial look at the budget here, and I think
7	that's on the other machine here. Let me
8	(Pause in the proceedings.)
9	MR. WUELLNER: All right. Hopefully I've got
10	everything open that needs to be here. You can
11	see we've changed formats somewhat dramatically
12	this year, attempting to do a couple of things.
13	One, bring the format into some much more logical
14	organization; and secondly, to allow a very easy
15	tie into the financial statements and the
16	organization of the Authority's accounting system
17	as we move forward beyond this fiscal year.
18	We've continued to provide, in most of the
19	sheets we'll show you here today, a comparative to
20	last year's information. So, you'll have the
21	03-04 column there that gives you last year's
22	budget related (indicating).
23	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
24	MR. WUELLNER: There's absolutely no no
25	way I can give you other than year-to-date

1	numbers
2	MR. GEORGE: Right.
3	MR. WUELLNER: which I don't think are
4	particularly meaningful in getting there. We've
5	considered the year-to-date numbers just as a
6	frame of reference, we've considered the
7	year-to-date numbers when looking at the proposed
8	2004-2005 proposals.
9	Home revenues, what we'll I've got
10	individual breakdowns of this, and I'll go through
11	it here in a second, but but the highlights of
12	this sheet and we'll continue to bounce back
13	and forth on a couple of them.
14	This sheet basically summarizes the entirety
15	of the budget into the key areas of operating
16	expenses and essentially nonoperating expenses
17	(indicating). Revenues derived on the airport,
18	which in our case primarily take the form of
19	leases, are projected to generate next year
20	\$1,473,000 and and a little bit of change
21	there, which is a significant increase over last
22	year's total revenue picture.
23	Non-operating revenues, which include cash
24	forward, which is largely your reserve account
25	balances, and also any cash that is committed to

1 capital projects that are unlikely to complete 2 within the current fiscal year. 3 Interest on any money sitting in SBA loan 4 balance. State and federal grant dollars, we're 5 not considering operating revenues, as they're 6 tied directly to capital budgeting. And then I 7 also show for purposes of budget calculations last 8 year's ad valorem millage, which is this .236 --9 looking little for me, too, but I think it's .-660 10 (sic) which generated \$2,954,457. 11 Assuming the board's posture would be like 12 that of last year, which was to take the rollback 13 rate; in other words, use a total of tax revenues 14 generated last year moving forward into this year, 15 we held that number. I do not know what that will 16 compute into millage and will not know for another 17 several weeks. It won't -- you know, it won't --18 that will just be what the rolled-back number is 19 when you get to that point. The total -- the 20 total amount of dollars is still there. 21 Looking down to the expense side 22 (indicating), and again, I've got details I'll 23 show you as we go, salaries and expenditures, I

give you the net, just the two right-hand columns,

to give you a hint. One's the difference, the

24

1	actual dollar difference with negative numbers, in
2	other words, reductions in the budget, reductions
3	in the expenditure being shown in red. And then
4	it shows you, also calculates the percentage
5	change over last year's budget.
6	But you can see salary and benefits and taxes
7	and all of that stuff that relates to it, it's a
8	net increase of 9.19 percent. Primarily driving
9	that cost it's not hard to see here, is the
10	benefit cost. Primarily driving the benefit cost
11	almost exclusively is the cost of health
12	care-related insurance, not terribly outside the
13	norm.
14	Operating expenditures, that's summarized.
15	Again, I've got a detail for you. But you can see
16	there's a net reduction in expenditures. We've
17	carefully combed last year's budget, compared to
18	the expenditures. A significant portion of the
19	operating expenditure reduction, about a hundred
20	thousand dollars right off the bat is directly
21	related to the offset in revenue, related to
22	rental homes that would be eliminated from
23	inventory and their associated expense related to
24	the primarily east of Casa Cola. And, again, I

1	Nonoperating revenues account for the debt
2	service, projected debt service on on the
3	Authority's borrow, reserves being reserved at
4	\$2.1 million going into next year. That's a
5	significant increase over last year, which we'll
6	explain where we got some of those numbers. And
7	equipment in capital, and construction estimate,
8	or construction project capital improvements, the
9	capital side equating to about \$14 million.
10	So, total budget projected at this point, if
11	no changes were made, would be approximately
12	\$15,710,000 budget. That's about a 13 percent
13	reduction from last year, but in fairness, the
14	total budget is largely comprised of the capital
15	development program, and as a result, I think for
16	comparative purposes, that doesn't mean a whole
17	lot to you.
18	Now, interesting numbers, there's two little
19	numbers directly well, kind of highlight them
20	here for you. This here (indicating). The
21	\$45,700.10. I'm happy to report for the first
22	time that I'm aware of in Airport Authority
23	history that the operating revenues derived on the

- 24 airport, that being from lease income, the only
- operating revenues we have for the first time

- 1 offsets all operating costs of this airport and
- 2 begins to contribute \$45,000 to the capital
- 3 program. So, for the first time in history, the
- 4 Airport Authority is covering its own operating
- 5 cost and is now solely using ad valorem and in
- 6 fact is contributing its own Airport Authority
- 7 revenues, revenues derived on property, toward the
- 8 capital program. That's a huge milestone here.
- 9 MR. COX: Good show.
- MR. GEORGE: Absolutely. Congratulations.
- 11 MR. GORMAN: My question?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
- MR. GORMAN: Does that computation include
- the cost of the personnel?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it does.
- MR. GORMAN: Cost of materials and overall
- rental?
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it does.
- MR. GORMAN: In other words, this is a total
- summation.
- MR. WUELLNER: This is a total summation.

- 22 It's 40 -- currently projected at just under 23 \$46,000 contributing to capital for the first 24 time. It's one of the reasons we set this 25 presentation up this way, is once and for all, to AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 166 1 clearly delineate and distinguish those costs. 2 Still doesn't like it? Is that what --3 MR. GORMAN: Well, I like it. 4 MR. GEORGE: Somebody said he didn't believe 5 it. 6 MR. WUELLNER: Well, let's -- let's walk
 - 7 through it. Here's your revenue numbers 8 projected. You have eight -- there will be eight 9 homes remaining in Araquay Park, generating 10 \$59,700. And I sure would have made this type 11 bigger if I knew I wasn't going to see it that 12 well. Welcome to the rest of you, I know. 13 St. Augustine North, there's a single unit 14 over there at \$12,000 annual revenue. Oak Grove, 15 seven homes over there generating \$43,800, and 16 Jackson Park has two homes technically in Jackson 17 Park at \$15,300 total revenue. It's \$130,800 in 18 home rentals. 19 Going down to the T-hangars, you have 20

standard T-hangars which are Rows B and C on the

21	airport that generate \$185 a month for \$44,400.
22	You have three rows of bifold hangars pardon me
23	not closing my parentheses there, but you have 36
24	units at \$210 currently, which is \$90,720.
25	Port-a-ports of 30 of them generating \$57,600.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	167
1	Twin-engine hangars, which is Row A out there, at
2	\$235, generating \$28,200. There's several end
3	units out there, equating to another \$7,200 in
4	additional revenue from T-hangars.
5	Conventional hangars, this is some the way
6	I'm displaying this is to aid everybody
7	understanding the distinction between our
8	commercial leases and our corporate hangar leases,
9	and I've set this up so that everybody's
10	completely clear whose hangar is classified as
11	which, which also I think will go a long way in
12	helping the Authority understand the budget, too.
13	Moving that up just a little bit so you can see
14	it.
15	We have box hangars out there, six of them,
16	generating \$450 a month at \$32,400 for the year.
17	There are two 50 x 60 hangars, generating \$19,200

a year. And there are three a little larger, 50 x

19	65 units, generating \$32,400 a year.
20	The Sheriff's hangar occupies a hangar; so
21	does Hangar Partners over there. The new Ring
22	Power facility, Infinity Aviation, Luhrs facility,
23	and the old Ring Power hangar, all of which
24	combine to generate \$293,150 in in conventional
25	hangar rental. That's those not generating in
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	168
1	business in those facilities.
2	Commercial lease revenues. These are the
3	people who are actually in business that call the
4	airport their home for their business. Most of
5	them pay a higher than than storage rate for
6	those facilities or and/or have fees associated
7	with their leases.
8	Southeast Aero has projected to have two
9	facilities by the time the new fiscal year comes
10	around. SK Logistics currently over in Hangar 5
11	generates just under \$156,000 a year in rental.
12	North American Top Gun at \$26,7
13	FBO generates \$185,000 directly in rent.
14	This does not include flowage fees. We'll get to
15	those in a second. The Aero Sport Hertz lease
16	counter is at \$2,000. Helicopter lease over
17	there, Lighthouse Limo combine for another \$5,000,

18	round number, in rental.
19	Parcels 1 and 2 at Grumman is \$51,500. The
20	North 40 complex, which is just the run-up
21	facility excuse me, is the land over there
22	associated with the Northrop Grumman, generates
23	\$24,000. The run-up facility itself is \$3,600 a
24	year. The restaurant's another \$18,000, as you
25	approved it to today. So, our commercial leases
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	on the airport now generate \$553,02 \$553,028
2	projected for next year.
3	We have two other a couple of other
4	leases. One is the Florida Nat Florida
5	Aviation National Guard or Air National Guard at
6	\$3,800. It's strictly a ground lease. We have
7	a one or two residual rental homes or homes,
8	excuse me, mobiles that are located on Authority
9	lots, if you will, over in the Oak Grove area,
10	which combined generates about \$6,300.

FBO fuel flowage, you've got repair and

maintenance contribution that's a part of that

structure. Contributes about just under \$30,000

toward the -- now, this gets pulled back out, I'll

warn you ahead. Those numbers get pulled out and

11

12

13

14

16	go into a restricted account as they're provided
17	for.
18	The balance of fuel revenues and projected
19	fuel revenues, self-fuel profits, Northrop Grumman
20	impact fees, and just some miscellaneous revenue
21	income, which could be anything from a billboard
22	to we have an operating agreement with the
23	one of the banner towers. Anyway, those combined
24	generate another \$261,900.
25	All of those revenues plug into that first
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	170
1	sheet I showed you. The sum the subtotal
2	numbers you see are the ones that plug into the
3	the first sheet I showed you today.
4	And moving on to the expense side. Anybody
5	have any questions about the revenue side? I
6	mean, it's fairly straightforward. By the way,
7	this is the revenue side is by far the most
8	difficult part to get our hands around in the
9	forecasting, as you would probably expect.
10	MR. WUELLNER: All right. Moving over to
11	let's start with personnel. It's kind of in the
12	order it shows up on that first sheet of budget.
13	Personnel, currently 12 positions that are
14	actually budgeted. We actually have a part-time

15	person that assists with landscaping now. I'd
16	like to formalize that into the budget to 12 1/2.
17	There's minimal impact in the salaries in and of
18	itself to have that person continue to assist on a
19	part-time basis.
20	Existing positions generate a salary
21	requirement of \$454,400. There's \$22,720 that's
22	set aside, at which is 5 percent of that
23	number. That is set aside for for salary
24	adjustments and and the like.
25	Class C travel and overtime from \$5,500 in
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 171
1	this current year budget to about \$10,000. The
2	reason for the adjustment is is primarily
3	attributed to we know we're going to have some
4	increased time requirements as we approach Super
5	
	Bowl coming into next year, and would like to have
6	
67	Bowl coming into next year, and would like to have
	Bowl coming into next year, and would like to have a little more wiggle room, if you will, related to
7	Bowl coming into next year, and would like to have a little more wiggle room, if you will, related to salaries. It's a fairly minor amount of money.
7	Bowl coming into next year, and would like to have a little more wiggle room, if you will, related to salaries. It's a fairly minor amount of money. Salary or payroll tax matches, and
7 8 9	Bowl coming into next year, and would like to have a little more wiggle room, if you will, related to salaries. It's a fairly minor amount of money. Salary or payroll tax matches, and workers' compensation costs contributed to about

13	so slightly, which is proving to be a benefit to
14	the Authority being in there.
15	There was a dramatic reduction last year in
16	the salary cost. But you can see what is
17	happening with insurance costs. And we've
18	projected or put in there another 25 percent.
19	Last year, they went up 25 percent. I don't I
20	wouldn't be too surprised to see that happen again
21	this year. So, the net change is you know, it
22	goes from \$586,000 to \$645,000.
23	All right. Operating expenditures. These
24	are now Mr. George, just for your information,
25	the numbering to the left correlates directly to
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 172
1	
1 2	172
	the State's chart of accounts now and also now
2	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system
2	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system numbering beginning in October. So, we added that
2 3 4	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system numbering beginning in October. So, we added that column so it's real easy to tie it together later
2 3 4 5	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system numbering beginning in October. So, we added that column so it's real easy to tie it together later on.
2 3 4 5 6	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system numbering beginning in October. So, we added that column so it's real easy to tie it together later on. A few things get split out and divided, so
2 3 4 5 6 7	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system numbering beginning in October. So, we added that column so it's real easy to tie it together later on. A few things get split out and divided, so that's why you see a few more line items than we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the State's chart of accounts now and also now corresponds to what will be our accounting system numbering beginning in October. So, we added that column so it's real easy to tie it together later on. A few things get split out and divided, so that's why you see a few more line items than we used to. It's just a way of explaining some of

12	identical. And we eliminated all of the hassle of
13	trying to recover uniforms from individuals to
14	assure they get to the laundry and back and the
15	like through the uniform services.
16	And bottom line is they weren't using the
17	uniform service in the way it's designed to be
18	used, because they just simply don't get them back
19	in. So, we eliminated that headache and just
20	simply purchased a quantity of shirts and shorts
21	and the like and just be done with it annually.
22	Annual audit and accounting expenses,
23	actually accrued about \$22,000, which we've just
24	adjusted the budget downward to what we know will
25	be the cost based on what we did this year.

1	Administrative expenses, first thousand
2	dollars of which is, we're we're basically
3	identifying from last year. I'll be honest, the
4	\$638 on the tag end of that is simply the number
5	that we use to balance the budget to zero. That's
6	why it's an odd number.
7	Publications and memberships, we when we
8	looked at the cumulative budget and how it's been
9	spent, we felt that very comfortable bringing

10	that number down to something very, very close to
11	what's actually expended last year and the year
12	before.
13	Contractual services general. Part of the
14	cost reduction here is a reallocation of the cost
15	in accordance with the State's chart of accounts.
16	Some of it comes gets moved down to
17	maintenance, buildings and grounds and equipment
18	instead of being up in truly contractual services.
19	Just a reclassification of the expense for the
20	budget presentation now.
21	Educational expenses comes down more in line
22	with what's actually been spent instead of what's
23	just been budgeted.
24	Insurance, this is probably the only lag item
25	out there. Last year was about \$126,000. We're
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 200
	174

04

1	leaving \$135- in there. You know, traditionally
2	that goes up somewhat. We don't expect it nearly
3	to go up like it has in previous years. But
4	there's some some room in there.
5	The land appraisals that we're talking about
6	here are yeah. Just just blanked there.
7	These are appraisal oh, these are yeah.

These are administrative appraisals. These are

9	not appraisals that we use for acquisition of
10	property. These are for valuation for rent
11	purposes as acquired by leases.
12	Advertising expense, this advertising is
13	simply the legal-related advertising that we do
14	day in and day out. This is not promotional
15	advertising. It is, you know, the ads, the legal
16	notices for buying, selling, and advertising
17	meetings such as this.
18	Miscellaneous expense is just a catchall line
19	for anything we didn't think of. It's minor in
20	nature and covered by the state.
21	Court reporting services, actually about
22	\$8,500, based on what we've been expending.
23	That's slightly over what we've done in the past,
24	mostly because y'all talker longer than we used to
25	budget for. If you never knew it, you pay by the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	175
1	word in a sense

Office supplies at \$10,000, that remains 2

- 3 unchanged. Office supply software is actually a
- 4 reduction. We don't anticipate any major
- 5 expenditures there, nor in office equipment.
- 6 Office -- or operating supplies basically

7	remains unchanged. Mostly related services
8	remain the same. Rentals and leases, this is the
9	State's classification for things like copiers and
10	rented office equipment, anything we rent or
11	lease. About \$10,000 of that a year is in heavy
12	equipment leases that we do to in support of
13	maintenance operation.
14	Rental house expense. You can see that cut
15	basically in half, because the total number of
16	units that are maintained by the Airport Authority
17	effectively cuts in half this year. Eliminating
18	all of those homes east of Araquay over the
19	over the next few months is a significant
20	reduction in the cost.
21	Property taxes, that reduces, also. The
22	actual also, we were carrying a tax liability
23	for some of our commercial hangar tenants that
24	actually we've since discovered we can't carry
25	that liability. We can't pay it up front and
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 20

004

- 1 and get it reimbursed. It's been precluded by
- 2 division -- Department of Revenue now. So, we've
- 3 divested ourselves of the budget line item.
- 4 So, that represents pretty much what we do
- 5 pay in property taxes now. And these are

6	specifically related to the to rental homes.
7	That's the only thing that's actually assessable
8	for purposes of tax. Everything else is municipal
9	purpose and exempt.
10	Promotional expenses is a minor a minor
11	increase there, again, trying to anticipate maybe
12	some extra expenditures around the Super Bowl or
13	additional advertising or marketing or anything
14	else. All of that would come out of promotional
15	expenses.
16	Professional services, engineering, looking
17	at what we expended, what we expect to expend.
18	Most of our costs on engineering are are
19	covered by capital projects. They're not
20	stand-alone engineering agreements.
21	The only exceptions are things like you're
22	doing with the parking lot over there where it's
23	not really a part of the capital project that's
24	reimbursed at this point, and as such, the cost is
25	handled outside of the capital project until
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

1 there's a project.

2 Professional services, general. What all is

3 in there anymore?

- 4 MR. GEORGE: Is this where we had an
- 5 operational audit in there?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. In fact, that is --
- 7 you're correct. That's \$23,000 of it. The only
- 8 increase was that. I put -- we just put \$23- in,
- 9 having no idea yet what the scope of that is. It
- may be high. May be low.
- 11 Legal fees is basically what was expended
- last year. That includes all the litigation, all
- of the -- the meetings, the retainer. That's
- about, what is it, \$48- -- I probably have it
- somewhere. But the -- the retainage is --
- MR. BURNETT: Forty-eight.
- MR. WUELLNER: I want to say it equates to
- 18 \$48,000 a year, is just the retainage --
- MR. GEORGE: Thirty-six is the retainer, I
- think, isn't it?
- MR. WUELLNER: I think it's \$4,000 a month.
- MR. GEORGE: \$4- a month? Okay. So, the
- 23 legal --
- MR. WUELLNER: So, it's 12 --
- MR. GEORGE: The legal expenses for the

- lawsuit are in there --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

- 3 MR. GEORGE: -- but no anticipated offset 4 from the settlement. So, that could be -- excuse 5 me. That will be a windfall when it happens. 6 MR. WUELLNER: All -- anything related to any 7 of the ongoing litigation would be considered a, 8 quote, unquote, windfall ---9 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 10 MR. WUELLNER: -- for purposes of the budget. 11 I do not budget a "what if" for that purpose. 12 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 13 MR. WUELLNER: Legal -- outside governmental 14 fees and assessments. This is basically what we 15 pay other governmental entities in order to exist, 16 some of which are on the state level. Division of 17 Bond Finance. The Department of Community Affairs 18 has an assessment every year. There are a few of 19 those little things. 20 The other and largest piece of it is the 21 administrative costs that are assessed by the
- pro rata share of -- for operating expenses. And
- that's I would tell you 95 percent of that number.

Property Appraiser's Office in the county for our

We do not include -- just -- just so you

22

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

- 1 know, we do not include one for the tax collector.
- 2 That's how they're funded, too, but since their --
- 3 their payment does not pass through our budget;
- 4 it's deducted before the ad valorem proceeds are
- 5 placed into our -- and we don't -- we don't have a
- 6 choice whether to pay it. He takes his money
- 7 first.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: So, our 2.9 is minus their fees.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's a very minor
- 10 number, though. They almost always -- our
- experience in the last eight years, anyways, is
- that they've almost always underestimated the tax
- base. There's actually -- we budget for less than
- what we actually return.
- Telephone is what it is. Travel and per diem
- is basically what was in there this year. Utility
- 17 costs, when we -- when we pared them all out, is
- actually only about \$85,000 for the year, so we
- reduced that number appropriately, too.
- 20 Maintenance cost for the fuel farm, this is
- about what we expend annually in the upkeep of
- self-fuel, as well as our obligations in the FBO
- area. There's very little actually so far in the
- self-fuel. Let's see.
- Repair and maintenance of buildings, grounds,

- 1 and equipment are the same numbers largely, with
- 2 the exception of grounds.
- We looked at the actual expenditures, and as
- 4 I mentioned, there was a little shifting around,
- 5 as well as some contractual services or -- anyway,
- 6 the reduction seems to be appropriate. We
- 7 added -- in taking out of one of the
- 8 classifications, we took out and created another
- 9 line that the State has an account for for
- maintenance of vehicles. And that's primarily --
- 11 you know, it's just what it says. I mean, it's
- everything from PMS to -- to actual repairs of
- vehicles. And that's a \$5,000 number.
- But in any case, you can see we dramatically
- pared it down to the tune of about \$130,000. And
- by -- by watching the numbers and doing a detailed
- evaluation now, something that QuickBooks lets us
- do a much better job of than we used to be able to
- 19 do.
- All right. Moving over to the nonoperating
- costs, just to summarize it here for you, and I've
- got one other detail sheet and then I'm done with
- y'all. Take that however y'all want. I just
- realized that sounded rather blunt.
- Debt service, none of this has been expended.

1	I need to make you just somewhat aware of where we
2	are with that.
3	By very, very carefully watching our cash
4	flow, our fiscal assistant and myself have been
5	able to at this point avoid having to touch any of
6	the loan proceeds to date. However, it's we're
7	basically leveraging our reserve accounts and the
8	like so that we don't, you know, cripple ourselves
9	cash-wise but also don't begin incurring debt
10	service on any of that money till it's absolutely
11	necessary.
12	So, so far, we've not expended anything. But
13	the assumption's made that at some point we borrow
14	that, and at that point, you begin incurring
15	principal and interest costs equating to about
16	\$603,000 a year for the life of that loan. I'll
17	emphasize again, it's not actually been expended
18	at this point.
19	Reserves, we've created two new reserve
20	accounts, sort of. The general reserve account is
21	established at \$1.4 million. It's effectively
22	what we tried to do last year with that number.
23	We have a building repair and replacement account
24	that we're looking to contribute approximately

1	another reserve account.
2	And the fuel farm repair and replacement
3	account, looking backwards at what we were
4	obligated by fuel flowage contract with the FBO,
5	we should have accumulated approximately \$200,000
6	in a repair and replacement account that to date
7	was never done.
8	So, we're kind of lump-sum funding that. And
9	you noticed earlier in the expenditure line
10	excuse me, in the revenue side, that we realize
11	about \$30,000 a year in revenue that gets pumped
12	toward that fuel farm repair and replacement
13	account. So, they've been contributing a like
14	number for a while, and it would have accumulated
15	to about \$200,000 at this point.
16	MR. GEORGE: These are not the numbers you
17	anticipate contributing to it for next year.
18	These are the caps on these two?
19	MR. WUELLNER: These these would be fully
20	funded with the adoption of the budget.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay. As opposed to like taking
22	the building repair and replacement and fund it
23	over five years.

- MR. WUELLNER: My -- this is my first
- installment. My -- my intention is to attempt to

- fund that to approximately a \$1.5 to \$2 millionnumber.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay. That's what I need to
- 4 know, is where we're going to go -- what the cap
- 5 is --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: This is the first installment.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: I think when you look at the
- 9 long-term maintenance obligations, we --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Absolutely.
- MR. WUELLNER: So anyway, the total reserves,
- which for all of those items amounts to about \$2.1
- million, which is significantly higher than what
- we reserved last year.
- Capital equipment, those two items are
- reversed. I apologize for the way it made them
- into the spreadsheet here. But capital
- construction and planning is \$11,425-. Capital
- equipment is \$155-. And I'll detail that out for
- you here.
- 21 Basically, we'd like -- would like to develop

23	replacement of one or more maintenance equipment
24	vehicles and supporting equipment to the tune of
25	about \$155,000.
	AIDDODE AUTHODIEV DECLII AD MEETING HANG 14 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	184
1	Moving down to construction and planning,
2	these are the projects, a few of which are
3	carry-forward projects, several of which are
4	certainly up for discussions, but you current
5	in some cases, currently hold open grants for and
6	need to make decisions relative to whether you're
7	doing it, deferring it. We'll do the paperwork to
8	do whatever you want to do, canceling it,
9	whatever whatever it is.
10	Rehab of the FBO apron basically combines two
11	grants that are out there today, but the the
12	net cost to the Authority is about \$150,000.
13	South development apron, this is basically
14	the FAA apron project slated for Araquay Park.
15	That's about a \$2.5 million expenditure in the
16	event it's built, primarily funded by FAA.
17	You can see 95 percent of this funding is by
18	the State and Federal Government. So, the
19	Authority's contribution is \$125-, 5 percent of

projects related to security, the office, and

22

20

the job.

21	South main terminal parking improvements.
22	About \$200,000 are in there based on whatever
23	y'all come up with. There's also some money
24	budgeted this current year that could also be
25	expended in current year if you want some
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	185
1	short-order things accomplished before next fiscal
2	year.
3	Corporate hangar construction of about \$2.6
4	million includes basically two projects, one being
5	the expansion and related improvements to Hangars
6	2 and 3 in the eastside corporate hangar, which is
7	the old Future First hangar and the old Sheriff's
8	hangar. Combining those, adding about 3,000
9	square foot of office, about 30 parking spots,
10	tying some apron together, basically providing the
11	final buildout, if you will, of that facility in
12	support of Southeast Aero Services.
13	We've we've run the numbers from an ROI
14	standpoint and, you know, you'll likely see this
15	next month, but the numbers exceed 7 percent. So,
16	looks like putting the project together, it will
17	make continue to make financial sense for the
18	Airport Authority to to be involved in this

20	Also in that is anticipated corporate hangar,
21	new hangar to be built, basically across from the
22	old Infinity or Regency hangar, whatever you want
23	to call it, just down there on the corner.
24	This is in support of a tenant or a prospect
25	we're currently negotiating with, and if all goes
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	186
1	well, we'll have an agreement for y'all to see
2	next month related to a new facility.
3	There's also an ARFF facility and vehicle
4	that you all accepted grants from Florida DOT
5	about two years ago. You're now running up on the
6	expiration dates of those things. The Airport
7	Master Plan will assist you in locating those
8	facilities.
9	Basically, you'd capitalize the building and
10	perhaps put a vehicle in it and work with at
11	this point, the County Fire Department is very
12	interested in pursuing an agreement with y'all to
13	operate that on your behalf. But you'd have
14	dedicated location, training, equipment, as well
15	as personnel toward aviation-related fire rescue
16	missions if you choose to go forward with it.
17	Terminal buildout, we threw, you know a

19

one.

18	number in there, anticipating wanting to do
19	something. If you don't, that's that's money
20	that can go back for consideration elsewhere.
21	Seaplane rehab is another one of those grant
22	projects that you accepted grant money for and
23	it's kind of sitting out there. It's up to you,
24	you know, whether we move it forward. It's
25	basically kind of a bolstering of what's there.

1	It's not anything really new, but it includes
2	cleaning, repair of that the concrete out
3	there. Some of that's been undermined by tidal
4	action. And and doing that, it's somewhat
5	expensive work for what it is.
6	What else have I got here? Hangar rows H and
7	I, these have been the two most problematic hangar
8	buildings on the face of the planet. It was a
9	poor design. It was done eight years ago.
10	They're basically junk. And we'd we'd like to
11	go in and replace those with a hydraulically
12	activated lift door that's that budget way
13	exceeds what we believe we'll need, and we'll
14	we'll try to refine those costs over the next
15	couple of months.

16	Safety area environmental assessment. This
17	is the area immediately south of runway 31,
18	includes the area that was proposed or it
19	continues to be proposed for Taxiway Bravo
20	expansion.
21	We're pretty confident that this is going to
22	require a full-blown environmental assessment
23	versus just excuse me, an environmental impact
24	statement, and as such that's why that dollar
25	level is in there. If it were just a you know,
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	188
1	one of the short-form reports on the environmental
1 2	one of the short-form reports on the environmental conditions, it would be fairly fairly
	•
2	conditions, it would be fairly fairly
2	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in
2 3 4	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a
2 3 4 5	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a three-year-plus effort to even get to the point
2 3 4 5 6	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a three-year-plus effort to even get to the point where you could permit it. So, it's one of those
2 3 4 5 6 7	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a three-year-plus effort to even get to the point where you could permit it. So, it's one of those things if you're serious about, you need you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a three-year-plus effort to even get to the point where you could permit it. So, it's one of those things if you're serious about, you need you know, the sooner you start, the sooner you get to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a three-year-plus effort to even get to the point where you could permit it. So, it's one of those things if you're serious about, you need you know, the sooner you start, the sooner you get to someplace you can do something.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conditions, it would be fairly fairly inexpensive and about 10 percent of that cost, in fact. But that's also the beginning of probably a three-year-plus effort to even get to the point where you could permit it. So, it's one of those things if you're serious about, you need you know, the sooner you start, the sooner you get to someplace you can do something. That's about \$3 million in property

Keeping in mind that the \$2 million we're --

15	you know, we're showing \$3 million in acquisition,
16	which is, I think relatively realistic, but you've
17	got \$3 million in loan balance or loan proceeds
18	showing on the revenue on the first page, just to
19	try and tie it together, for those of you who have
20	really been following it.
21	MR. GEORGE: You said it was east of Araquay
22	Park. You mean east of Casa
23	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I said the Araquay Park
24	again. Yes, east of Araquay, in Araquay Park
25	east of Casa Cola in Araquay Park. I'll get it
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004 189
1	right.
2	The Airport Master Plan, that's basically
3	what we expect to be the balance of the study and
4	when we enter the rest of it would be expended.
5	So, it's just a carry-forward project there.
6	Total capital anticipated is about
7	\$11,425,000. Breaking that down into
8	participation by the various entities toward the
9	bottom, you'll see that federal funds account for
10	about \$2.5 million, about \$3.8 million of which is
11	state funds, and the Authority coughs up its

13	budget, primarily because you have several
14	stand-alone items up there.
15	But anyway, total capital budget's
16	anticipated to be about, that's including
17	equipment, at \$11,580,000, which ties all back to
18	the first sheet I was showing you. And that's
19	where we are.
20	So, if you want to, you know, digest that for
21	a month or provide input or whatever, we'll
22	we've got at least two regular meetings to make
23	headway on adjustments or discussions on
24	specifics. And as we approach, you'll have to
25	just process-wise, at your July meeting, you will
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	190
1	
1	be required to set your TRIM millage, which will
1 2	be required to set your TRIM millage, which will be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem
1 2 3	
	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem
3	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem assessment in terms of millage. You'll need to do
3	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem assessment in terms of millage. You'll need to do that at your July meeting in order to comply with
3 4 5	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem assessment in terms of millage. You'll need to do that at your July meeting in order to comply with Florida Statutes.
3 4 5 6	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem assessment in terms of millage. You'll need to do that at your July meeting in order to comply with Florida Statutes. You still have time to play with it. Once
34567	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem assessment in terms of millage. You'll need to do that at your July meeting in order to comply with Florida Statutes. You still have time to play with it. Once you set that millage, you can do go downward, but
3 4 5 6 7 8	be your not-to-exceed number for ad valorem assessment in terms of millage. You'll need to do that at your July meeting in order to comply with Florida Statutes. You still have time to play with it. Once you set that millage, you can do go downward, but you can't go upward. And we'll set the stage for

- MR. MARTINELLI: I'd like to make a public
- comment on this whole budget. I think it's a gift
- 14 from up above. I -- in all my years involved with
- this airport, I have never seen a budget put
- together so well and so succinctly and so clearly.
- 17 And if I had to vote on fiscal responsibility, Ed,
- 18 you'd get the gold star.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Thanks, Vic.
- MR. MARTINELLI: I mean that very sincerely.
- Because I went through a period here where they
- didn't even have a balance sheet or a P&L
- 23 statement.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mr. Martinelli. Any
- further? Yes, Ms. Willis?

- 1 MS. WILLIS: Yes. I -- I am going to consult
- with Mr. Wuellner later to see where you got such
- a wonderful figure on your workmen's comp. That's
- 4 unbelievable.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 6 MS. WILLIS: That is really way down,
- 7 compared to what the state --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know whether we're
- 9 lying or that's just a good rate, I don't know.

10	MS. WILLIS: The state all over the state,
11	as you well know, is experiencing
12	MR. WUELLNER: And that's kidding.
13	MS. WILLIS: it's doubling and tripling.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further? Any board
16	discussion?
17	(No further board discussion.)
18	8.A MS. SUZANNE GREEN
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Our next we have,
20	if there any Authority members want to make brief
21	statements? I just want to make a brief one about
22	the Araquay Park.
23	I agree with Mr. George. I mean, this has
24	been on the agenda over and over, and we've had
25	workshops, and it's very emotional, but we need to
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	192
	172
1	focus as a board on what we're going to be doing
2	with advice of counsel. So, when the appraisals
3	come up, we need to look at it and see what
4	counsel's doing.
5	And I have I have full confidence that our
6	attorneys and Staff and the board will take into
7	consideration the emotional side of it and
8	negotiation part of it, which is always a part of

9	a litigation. That's what mediation, negotiation
10	is all about.
11	So, I just I'm just a little concerned
12	about spending so much time reiterating the same
13	thing, which we know is the same thing. We all
14	have those emotional ties to it, but we're
15	spending a lot of time and money when we should be
16	maybe letting our Staff and attorneys negotiate
17	with the people in Araquay Park off the tax rolls
18	here that we're paying for. Mr. Ciriello?
19	8.B MR. JOSEPH CIRIELLO
20	MR. CIRIELLO: No report.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
22	8.C MR. WAYNE GEORGE
23	MR. GEORGE: Yes. I won't be here for the
24	next board meeting.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We haven't set the date yet.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	193
	MD GEODGE II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
1	MR. GEORGE: I'm leaving July 10th, which is
2	a Saturday, and be gone till the first, second
3	week in August. So, I don't know if you want to
4	consider moving it back. But that's 4th of July
5	week, too. But

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We'll do that, because I've

/	got the same kind of issues in July, too.
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay. The other one other
9	comment on the Araquay Park. I think that the
10	the sensitivity that we're all, you know, showing
11	is needed, but I would strongly suggest the same
12	sensitivity in negotiating with the people. You
13	know, we got off to a bad start from their
14	perception of what the real estate people were
15	telling them.
16	I would strongly recommend, Ed, that you be
17	in the negotiations or and Doug, you, too, you
18	know, both of you with a calm, you know, head, to
19	make sure they understand everything. Because we
20	keep giving it to other people and we wind up
21	catching the bad the bad press from it. And if
22	the board wants to have one of the board members
23	in those negotiations, may or may not be good. We
24	ought to consider that, too.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	194
	191
1	8.D MR. BOB COX
2	MR. COX: Just a couple of comments. Once
3	again, I don't know how we reiterate it, but I am
4	sick and tired and I'm disgusted of having board
5	members making unauthorized comments to media

6	outlets. And that's making this situation much
7	worse than it needs to be.
8	Now, I don't know what we need to do to stop
9	it, but they're unauthorized comments and big
10	pictures of board members saying things and and
11	representing themselves to board members to news
12	media outlets is ridiculous. And it it just
13	exacerbates this problem to no end. So, that
14	needs to stop. So, I don't know you know, as
15	the Chair, I don't know what you need to do to do
16	it, and as our attorney, to stop it, but it needs
17	to stop.
18	And just another comment while we're talking
19	about eminent domain as a comment out in the air.
20	I don't see any stories about the newspaper
21	delivering the mullet wrapper using I-95, which
22	I'm quite sure had a tremendous amount of eminent
23	domain to take over I-95 throughout the state to
24	deliver their newspapers up and down the east
25	coast, but I'll just put that out.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
	195

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?

2 8.E. - MR. JOHN GORMAN

3 MR. GORMAN: We need to move forward. We

4	need to make the best decisions we possibly can.
5	We need to try to avoid negative press.
6	Everything's a compromise. Lots of things need
7	negotiating.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any brief public comment?
9	MR. MARTINELLI: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Martinelli?
11	9 PUBLIC COMMENT
12	MR. MARTINELLI: I have public comment on two
13	points. Mrs. McElroy, just for clarification so
14	that I don't get misquoted in the newspaper if
15	that ever happens, what what I meant by
16	"progress is inevitable," progress is where you
17	find yourself right now. And in the particular
18	situation in Araquay Park, many, many of your
19	neighbors have sold their property to the Airport
20	Authority.
21	The position you're in right now is where
22	progress is right at your doorstep, and where if I
23	had to live next door to noise and all kinds of
24	activity in my waning years, I think I'd probably
25	want a little more peace and quiet. And that was

- 1 the substance of my remark. Not that I was in
- favor of -- progress is inevitable. It happens

3	all around us. And other people make that
4	progress, and we're faced with it. We have to
5	live with it. And that's the point that I was
6	trying to make.
7	The other point that I'd like to make has to
8	do with the policy, perhaps, on hangars and on
9	hangar rent. One of the distinctions and this
10	goes to you, Buzz that I think needs to be
11	made, and it's done in every other business
12	venture, when a when a developer builds
13	property for commercial use, he has an entirely
14	different expectation as far as return on
15	investment than a builder who's building a house
16	for private use or an apartment for private
17	rental, versus a commercial building or a factory
18	rental.
19	And I think that distinction in return on
20	investment, Mr. George, has to be considered,
21	because you don't expect the same return on
22	investment from a private individual as you do
23	from a business enterprise. So, I would
24	appreciate it if you would consider that. And
25	basically those are my comments.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Ed or
2	MR. HICKOX: I'll make this very, very brief.
3	But as you know, having been a reporter for many
4	years, the last time this issue came up about
5	board member comments to the media, I ran it past
6	the Attorney General's Office, and I can get you
7	the name of the people I spoke to there. You've
8	got to be very, very careful how you restrict.
9	Not one of you can be censored for anything you
10	have to say. Now, you should not, as we all know,
11	represent yourself as representing the board.
12	But unfortunately, people are not going to
13	agree on comments. It's annoying and it's
14	frustrating, and I'm not going to suggest to you
15	that the media always gets the quote right. They
16	quite often don't. But be very, very careful how
17	you handle that.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Ms. McElroy?
19	MS. McELROY: Carolyn McElroy. My first bone
20	of contention is with Ed. I did not say that we
21	would not be appraised. I said that we have never
22	legally been notified. We have heard from
23	realtors. We have heard from property appraisers.
24	But we have never heard from the hoard

MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

1	MS. McELROY: If you people are so set on
2	this, we should be getting something with all your
3	names on it, the ones that are set on it, anyway.
4	The other thing is, Mr. Cox, that you make me
5	think of somebody going through a divorce who
6	wants the divorce, and by God, you'll do anything
7	you can to get what you want. Ninety-nine point
8	nine-tenths of the people in St. Johns County
9	don't even want to pay taxes for this airport.
10	You talk to people and they don't want to pay.
11	One percent of the one percent are pilots and
12	associated people who know pilots. The other
13	nine-tenths of that one percent probably don't
14	care because it's no big deal to them.
15	But you try to make it sound like every
16	you're doing something for the county, for the
17	people of the county, to make yourself feel better
18	about what you're doing, and you're not, because
19	they don't approve. Everybody who talks to me
20	thinks that it's un-American. So, don't try to
21	make yourself feel better at these meetings, or
22	I'm going to throw up next time.
23	And as far as your amount of money that
24	you're setting aside for your property, that's the
25	property purchases, that's probably low.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further public comment?
2	(No further public comment.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We'll close public comment.
4	I just want to briefly address, when we have
5	public comments, we're going to keep it short and
6	succinct, and it's a positive attitude, not
7	negative slinging. So, I just want to keep
8	everything, public comment, just a comment, like
9	Mr. Martinelli did on the issues that came up.
10	10 NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have our next regular
12	board meeting. I think Mr. George made a comment,
13	he's got a conflict. It's scheduled right now for
14	the 19th of July. I have a conflict, too. So, I
15	don't know. Joe, do you have any conflict?
16	MR. CIRIELLO: I can meet any day. It
17	matters not. And I'd like to see a consensus of
18	the board that we pick a day where we all can be
19	here.
20	MR. GORMAN: It's hard for all of these
21	schedules to mesh. It's always going to be hard.
22	I mean, you're never going to keep everybody
23	happy.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, is the 19th even

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's what I'm
2	asking, first of all. Is the 19th okay for you?
3	MR. GORMAN: Well, it's no sense I don't
4	have a set schedule, so whatever the rest of the
5	board picks. But, I mean, if it was set, it makes
6	it easier for me.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox, what about the
8	19th, or would an earlier date be better?
9	MR. COX: No. Actually, the 19th would
10	probably be better, or anything beyond that. It
11	doesn't
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, the only concern I
13	have, we're going to have at least two board
14	members out. Mr. Gorman's not real sure.
15	MR. GORMAN: I make every effort. If I know
16	a month ahead of time, I'll be here.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you've got to have at
19	least three, because you do have to deal with your
20	TRIM notification, if you do nothing else.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It has to we have to have
22	it on a Monday?
23	MR WIIFLINER: No

- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I was wondering.
- MR. WUELLNER: You can have your meeting any

- 1 day of the week.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: How does Thursday the 8th or
- Friday the 9th sound? How does that sound to you?
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: You're talking about moving it
- 6 way --
- 7 MR. GEORGE: From the 19th, moving the week
- 8 up.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ten days up.
- 10 MR. GORMAN: Moving it up?
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. COX: I'll be gone.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: You'll be gone?
- MR. COX: Yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: How about Monday, the 12th?
- How does that sound?
- MR. COX: That works for me.
- MR. GEORGE: I leave the 10th. But if you're
- here, that's good. That's a good move.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm here.

MR. WUELLNER: Now I'm back up to four,
probably.
MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
MR. GORMAN: Yeah.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004

202

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Monday, the 12th is -- is --2 yeah, because I leave the 16th or 15th. Is that 3 better? 4 MR. WUELLNER: Remember, you can't bale. We 5 need three. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Tell -- put a notice 7 of unavailability in the court files. Don't do 8 this to me. Is that okay? 9 MR. GORMAN: Good as any. 10 MR. WUELLNER: Monday the 12th. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Monday -- Monday the 12th at 12 4:00? Buzz? 13 MR. GEORGE: Sounds cool. 14 MR. WUELLNER: The 12th it is. 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The 12th it is at 4 o'clock. 16 MR. WUELLNER: Any adjustment required for 17 August at this point, or are we good to go on the 18 16th? 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine.

MR. WUELLNER: 16th of August?

21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Next regular meeting
22	then will be 4 o'clock, July 12th. Meeting's
23	adjourned. Thank you, everybody.
24	(Thereupon, the meeting concluded.)
25	
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JUNE 14, 2004
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	
	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	
12	Dated this 29th day of June, 2004.
13	
14	
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida
16	My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006
17	
18	

