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        P R O C E E D I N G S
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the meeting,
    please. Stand for the pledge.
        (Pledge of Allegiance.)
        MR. BRUNSON: Do you know if Carol is coming?
        MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, but she'll --
        MR. HARVEY: As soon as the office closes up,
    yes, sir.
        MR. WUELLNER: She'll be here in a little bit.
    MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So the -- the first is
our meeting minutes and financial report
acceptance. Mr. Kira?
    MR. KIRA: Accepted.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody else have a comment
    about the minutes from the last -- and our
    financial report from our last meeting?
    MR. MAGUIRE: No.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Are they accepted as
    presented?
    MR. KIRA: Accepted as present.
        AGENDA APPROVAL
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The next thing is our
    agenda approval. We've had the agenda. Is there
    any comments, additions --
```

MR. KIRA: No change.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- subtractions? Okay. Then we will approve the agenda as presented. LEASE POLICY AMENDMENT - T-HANGARS/BOX HANGARS

CHAIRMAN GREEN: In light of -- in light of everything we have, and we have some members that have to leave and we have some important agenda items, I would like to make a move and go straight to our T-hangar/box hangar issue right off the bat so that everybody can have input on it, if that's okay with the board. MR. KIRA: Sure. MR. BRUNSON: Can you turn it up a little bit? CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So we've -- we've gone through the agenda and if it's okay, we're going to skip over the Director's report and business partners and go straight to a business item so that everyone can have some input on it. And I think the most pressing is our -- Ed, our lease policy, is that what we need to speak with?

MR. BURNETT: Then I guess I'll -- if I might. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, $I$ just wanted to make sure I did the right wrong. It's not the -MR. WUELLNER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- comment policy, it's -okay.

MR. BURNETT: I guess here's the quick part of where $I$ got involved in it, is going back to the original changes that we made for the policy a year ago or so, and then seeing how things ripple out from when you make changes, it seemed like some additional revisions were needed -- needed both to the policy and the $T$-hangar/box hangar lease.

Specifically, the -- the $T$-hangar lease in and of itself has been kind of unwieldy because you're trying to apply what would traditionally be thought of as a single aircraft in a hangar for a $T$-hangar situation versus a box hangar, and going back to some of the comments that we heard in the last meeting a year ago or so where the folks in the box hangar want to be able to have multiple aircrafts come in.

We've had situations since then where applying the same lease for both situations, applying the same policy with no specific language, drawing a distinction between $T$-hangars and box hangars results in a situation where we have, for example, maybe a list where there's five to ten potential people who would be authorized under the -- the
hangar and then five to ten aircraft that might be in that hangar to go along with it and then you may have the same five to ten aircraft in another hangar or in a box hangar.

And so, really trying to look at how do we make this fair and giving the background of the fact that we have this very long waiting list -you know, the $T$-hangar waiting last has been extremely long for as long as I've been around, I think as long as anybody's been around we've had a very long $T$-hangar waiting list, and the key there is we've got to apply the $T$-hangar waiting list in a fair manner. And so, taking that in that context, there was some clarification.

In particular, we needed to take and break out the $T$-hangar lease and the box hangar lease so it's clear that this one's for T's and this one's for boxes. It seems minor, but it actually does have some implications behind it that potentially is bigger.

So, to make a long story short -- there we go. I broke out in the policy -- or we broke out in the policy where it says "Named lessee shall mean for T-hangar leases a single person or single entity and shall match the title owner or lessee of the
listed aircraft stored in the hangar provided that, if an entity, the tenant is the owner of the entity."
2. "For box hangar leases up to two persons or entities," which has always been the policy, "and a person or an entity, and shall match the title owner or lessee of the listed aircraft stored in the hangar provided that, if an entity, the entity (sic) is the owner of the entities."

Now, this one, and I'll just be very candid with you, there's some thought process that you would have -- traditionally we've allowed two different tenants for a box hangar. And so, there's some thought process that you would have up to two tenants for the box hangar and those two tenants would have the ownership interest in the aircraft that are in the box hangar. But we know I guess with box hangars you can get more than one aircraft and I've heard maybe you can even get four or five aircraft in them with a taildragger, I'm not sure.

But -- so, I guess the thought process is maybe you would have the same basic requirement that you would have up to two tenants and those two tenants would have two aircraft and then beyond
that, you may have a couple of aircraft that are in there that aren't actually on the lease.

And if you would flip to the next issue, a list of aircraft. "Tenants may not list an aircraft as being stored in more than one hangar." And this is really a function of it doesn't make sense that we would have a situation where you would have an aircraft listed in multiple hangars.

We did have something come in where it looked like the same aircraft would be stored in three different hangars. It seems like you would have the aircraft identified as this aircraft would be in this hangar. And it's not to say that it couldn't be changed or relocated to a different hangar, but we at least need to have identification on what aircraft's going in what hangar. And so, that's the -- I guess the thrust there.

And then $I$ think the language above where it talks about payment of rent, it really needs to be payment of rent needs to be from an entity or person that's other than the named tenant or other than the aircraft being stored, in -- in the case of a box hangar.

So I think that one clarification needs to be there. We put some thought in the list, but as we
get input back from folks, you know, you put more thought into it and you look at, okay, well, maybe we do need to address that.

So it seems like you would have payment of the rent comes from the tenant, would be typical in every commercial setting, I think. You would expect that the rent's going to be paid by your tenant. If not, then you would have a situation of, okay, do we need to look at this and say, okay, we don't allow subtenants, we don't allow assignments. If the rent's coming from someone other than my tenant, do we have an unauthorized subtenant? Do we have an unauthorized assignment? It seems like the rent would typically come from your tenant, and that's the very clear bright line as to who's actually responsible for the lease, who's actually paying the lease. So, that's the thrust behind why that policy change was put in that way. And then -MR. WUELLNER: Talk about the leases themselves. MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And, you know, on the T-hangar lease, and I'll just share this with you, I mean, it made no sense, for example, breaking the leases out added a lot of clarity because you had

```
joint and several liability on a T-hangar where in
the T-hangar you're supposed to only have one
tenant. The joint and several liability language
is really for a box hangar where you could have up
to two tenants sharing the same box hangar. I
think that's really the main change that went into
your T-hangars.
    And then if I look at box hangars, we didn't
have on the form anywhere for you to list
additional aircraft. So we've added some spacing
in there to list additional aircraft, and that's
the main change that went into it.
    Yeah, and specified box versus T-hangar. So
that's -- that's really kind of it. I'm
anticipating we've got some public comment out
there.
MR. WUELLNER: You might want to make the distinction between named lessees and stored aircraft or aircraft in the hangar.
MR. BURNETT: And you're specifically talking about on the box hangar situation?
MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
MR. BURNETT: Yeah. On -- on box hangars, I guess there's a decision for you to make as to, on box hangars, are we going to say, okay, there's two
```

tenants and whatever aircraft that are in there needs to be owned by the two tenants, or is it we've got two tenants, so we should have two aircraft that match the owners? And given the fact that they can store other aircraft in there, not necessarily every aircraft has to match the two tenants. And it's really a policy decision that's at your level to make.

I guess the traditional thought process is, well, you have -- on one side you've got two tenants that have aircraft and the two tenants should own the aircraft that are in the hangar, and sure they can have up to five aircraft in there so long as they own them. Or, it's two tenants with two aircraft and maybe you allow them to have other hangar -- other aircraft in there and that's fine because they have joint use with someone or joint ownership with someone. I'm not sure. It's a policy decision for you to make.

The only thing that $I$ would say is you have to
keep in mind the fact that we do have this list, we're trying to administer the list, and where it gets challenging is we've got to administer our waiting list in a fair and reasonable manner, which then goes back to how we allow leases to transfer.

```
And we've got to limit the ability of leases to
transfer in a way that would circumvent our waiting
list.
    So that's -- that's sort of the issue that's
    there. And we don't want to run afoul of any kind
    of claim that we're not -- equitably not treating
    people equally that may be on the waiting list.
    So...
        MR. BRUNSON: Excuse me?
        MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir.
        MR. BRUNSON: See if everybody can hear. I
    see people doing like this.
    MS. LUDLOW: I can't hear very well.
    MR. WUELLNER: He doesn't have a mic.
    MS. LUDLOW: Thank you.
    MR. BURNETT: That's better.
    MR. WUELLNER: Is that working?
    MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
    MR. BURNETT: So I guess that's -- that's it
    in summary. I know it's a lot to throw at you. It
    was actually a lot to try and deal with and try to
    analyze it.
    I think Mr. Wuellner and I went at it a number
    of times to go back and forth with what may work,
```

what makes sense given what we're seeing, the points of confusion.

You know, it's in your policy already that rental agreements shall be for the exclusive use of a single tenant for $T$-hangar units and tie-downs and not more than two named lessees for box hangar units. That's what's in your policy currently. That's the language that's in there -- that's been in there.

And so, you know, from our standpoint looking at administering the policy, it seemed like we needed to make the lease forms themselves fit and then also address the policy by breaking out the named lessee because it -- it seemed like the named lessee section of Section A didn't fit the policy that's already in place in Section $D$, and so it could leave -- lead to some confusion.

And maybe folks didn't realize that at the end of the day, you've got one tenant for $T$-hangars and you've got two tenants for box hangars, and that's what the policy already is. So that's part of the -- part of what's driving this, is to clarify Section $A$, is to break it out and make sure it's easy -- easy to read.

MR. WUELLNER: And I think we need to address,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { in addition to this, is the issue of the -- the } \\
& \text { stored -- I guess you would call it stored aircraft } \\
& \text { in the -- in the box hangars, as to whether, you } \\
& \text { know, a non-named lessee's aircraft is able to be } \\
& \text { stored in there. The physical facility, the } \\
& \text { physical T-hangar -- or, excuse me, box hangar } \\
& \text { physically limits the number of aircraft that could } \\
& \text { get in that hangar -- } \\
& \text { (Mr. Mirgeaux enters the room.) } \\
& \text { MR. wUELLNER: -- and, you know, it creates } \\
& \text { its own limit to the number of aircraft and based } \\
& \text { on the type of aircraft stored in there. But that } \\
& \text { is a new or a different -- little different concept } \\
& \text { or twist to how we currently have it. } \\
& \text { you don't want to -- I don't believe you want to } \\
& \text { one owner, it's much more difficult to create. And } \\
& \text { to where creating that additional stored provides } \\
& \text { an opportunity, especially for I'll call it remote } \\
& \text { lessee's airplane would be difficult to store in } \\
& \text { a -- in a hangar because it -- unless they have } \\
& \text { their own hangar. }
\end{aligned}
$$

open -- open the lease itself to multiple tenants
beyond two from a named lessee standpoint. beyond two from a named lessee standpoint.

You get -- it gets more involved in the event some legal action has to happen, eviction or whatever down the road, you're dealing with each of those entities as you well know as an individual person in the lease.

Whereas a stored aircraft, it's really about the lessees, whoever those are, and then the stored aircraft are really that lessee's problem, not a separate item for us to litigate or notify or whatever the circumstances might be. And it could be simply included on the list of aircraft provided for by the tenant and provided to us by the tenant, just whatever aircraft are stored in there. And the distinction between that in my mind and visiting aircraft is the length of time they'd be --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: In there?
MR. WUELLNER: -- would be in there. A visiting aircraft is designed for somebody who's not -- you know, the concept behind it was an aircraft that's not going to be there very long. Either somebody is literally visiting the airport, being accommodated by one of the tenants,
perhaps a short-term situation where somebody needs to move their airplane into some storage space while something's being done also in their hangar. I mean, there could be some circumstances developed. That would be understood the visiting. Whereas under the -- I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Under the stored? MR. WUELLNER: Under the stored would be a more or less permanent relationship, or at least as long as the tenant wanted to allow them in there. MR. BURNETT: And then it takes us out of the loop from that particular aspect of it, you know, the -- the third and fourth aircraft that might wind up in a box hangar.

MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
MR. BURNETT: You know, I think the traditional thought was $T$-hangars and box hangars, you'd have one aircraft and box hangars you're going to get a couple of aircraft in there. But beyond that, if they're wedging them in there more and it fits, it works, you know, it I guess is their business so to speak.

MR. WUELLNER: As long as all parties inside are happy with it. MR. BURNETT: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: What does that do with us with the exposure for liability purposes? MR. BURNETT: I think the liability essentially is the same. I mean, the airport is insuring -- $I$ mean, the individual tenant could have their own insurance, but it's -- the airport's got the insurance on those -- on those properties anyways.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, relative to the building and property, but we don't insure aircraft or any other contents of their -- we made that very clear when we --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So a non-lessee would not be our issue.

MR. WUELLNER: It might be the lessee's issue.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chair?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

MR. BRUNSON: Are we going to be able to hear a discussion from the pilots and their concerns and --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. I have a whole stack here --

MR. BRUNSON: Okay. And then --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- and I actually spoke with
them ahead of time --
MR. BRUNSON: And before we get in discussions and questions and --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, the board needs to discuss first. So Robert's Rules of Order lets us discuss and ask our questions --

MR. BRUNSON: Well, okay, but --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: And then that's why I moved this along, that we're going to have the public make their comments now.

MR. BRUNSON: Then -- then we can discuss that further back, right?

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
MR. BRUNSON: We can discuss it again after
they --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. There's always -MR. BRUNSON: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: You'll have their comments before you --

MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. This is just us
asking questions right now so that we understand,
and then I'm going to open it to public comment on this agenda item.

MR. KIRA: Madam Chairman?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

MR. KIRA: I -- I read those things that came in, and $I$ was hoping to get here today and get clarification because this is really really legalese, okay?

So, be that as it may, $I$ can't see the value of having more than one person responsible for a lease or one entity, whether it be an LLC, a corporation, whatever. We don't want to go chasing two or three people for any reason that $I$ can think of.

If there are two or three guys want to get together and -- and get a box hangar and store their aircraft in there, they should pick from among them someone who is legally responsible for everything that's there and when we have an issue, we have a person rather than two or whatever to worry about. That's just my opinion.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's sort of a holdover arrangement from the late $90 s$ when the completion of hangar row $G$, the additional units in $G$ and $H$ and $I$ row were completed in the '96-'97 area.

The difficulty in that aviation climate and economic climate may -- as well as a long hangar waiting list let the board come to the conclusion
that allowing multiple users of those kind of hangar space rather than force a $T$-- a single T-hangar tenant to lease significantly more space than they needed, and -- and frankly we weren't -even then weren't being able to build $T$-hangars at the rate we'd like to, those -- those things kind of led to the board's decision to allow these multi-tenant leases for the box style hangar. And it's kind of just hung in there over the years. We continued to accommodate it even in the last policy revision, which $I$ think was '17, it might have been '18. We -- we still have that multi-tenant ability.

It's been an issue from time to time. I can't tell you it's a big issue, but it comes up from time to time when we have multiple-named lessees. That has -- it's just -- we do find them -- they end up in our office and -- when things go south wanting us to referee a solution out of that. We don't do it in $T$-hangars, you know, for just those reasons.

MR. KIRA: Yeah.
MR. WUELLNER: More often than not it works
fine and everybody's happy with each other.
MR. KIRA: Oh, I'm sure.

MR. WUELLNER: In fact, way more often than that.

MR. KIRA: But again, it's that why do we have to referee anything? If it's -- if there's a lessee or someone leases it and they have an LLC or a club, let them take care of it. You deal with one individual. That's -- I don't want to deal with more than one.

MR. WUELLNER: I think that -- if you combined it with the ability, the stored aircraft idea, I'm not sure that would be terribly a bad thing. That's really, you know, kind of a policy decision, because it still accommodates additional users of the hangar without the legal responsibility as it -- as it pertains to the lease documents. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because one tenant can be a LLC with multiple -MR. KIRA: And $I$ know that. That's an entity. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. MR. KIRA: Yeah, but that's why I'm saying, is if that's the case, then if you can -- if a hangar can hold five aircraft, then by default you should be able to have five people listed on the tenant list.

MR. WUELLNER: Tenant list, we have -- you
mean as a --

MR. KIRA: As lessees. And each one of them sends you a check on a monthly basis.

MR. WUELLNER: That -- that's a possibility, too.

MR. BRUNSON: That's -- that's not -- that's not what's in the lease now, though.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, the lease only allows -by policy, only allows two tenants. That's -- as I said, that goes back to the 90 s.

MR. BRUNSON: Well, it's my understanding that we -- we said that we would only receive one check from one tenant for the -- for the whole hangar. Is that wrong?

MR. WUELLNER: Well, with a single lessee, that would -- anybody that's the lessee would be the responsible party.

MR. BRUNSON: If you had a box hangar and you had two people in there.

MR. KIRA: Two checks?

MR. WUELLNER: Two lessees or two --

MR. BRUNSON: Well --

MR. WUELLNER: See, that's where the distinction is. There's -- when you start adding stored aircraft, they're not responsible for any
part of that to us.
MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Just say if you had a box hangar that had two tenants with two airplanes in there, and so they would each write a check to the Airport Authority.

MR. WUELLNER: That's fine, yeah.
MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
MR. KIRA: But they --
MR. WUELLNER: They can do the whole amount or some -- as long as it equals the total.

MR. BRUNSON: As long as you were paid.
MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
MR. BURNETT: You're right, but just to state the obvious, if the rent was a thousand dollars a month and there's two tenants and one of them brings a check for $\$ 500$, the airport's -- and the other $\$ 500$ doesn't come in, it would be a default of the lease to not pay the full amount. So between the two of them, they've got to figure that part of it out, and the airport's not getting in the middle of that aspect.

MR. BRUNSON: But would -- could we call
Judge Judy?
MR. WUELLNER: But however they'd work it out.
MR. BRUNSON: I understand.

MR. WUELLNER: Alternating months or
somehow --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any board discussion for right now, questions?

MR. MAGUIRE: Later.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right.
Mr. Tucker, we're going to open it up to some -some of the public comments on this issue.

MR. TUCKER: Okay. Thank you.
My name is Len Tucker. Can you hear me all
right? I'm in hangar $H-1$ and that is the box hangars. I have actually been a tenant there since that hangar was built 22 years ago and I've done quite a bit of improvements to the hangar.

I'm not in favor of these changes as listed and I'll tell you why. I've owned -- since the 30 years I've been on this airport I've owned ten different airplanes. Those ten different airplanes have been owned by seven different entities.

As it exists right now, I own four airplanes and $I$ can only get two of those airplanes, according to these changes, in my hangar. I couldn't get the other two in there even though I am a substantial owner in both of them. That doesn't seem fair. If $I$ have a hangar, I ought to
be able to put an airplane in it. That's the purpose.

All of this junk written here about tenants and entities and everything else can be solved very easily. If you can prove ownership of the airplane, you put it in your hangar. Simple enough. It's not a difficult concept. It doesn't take legalese that I'm not even sure I can understand in some cases. It just doesn't need that. Keep it simple.

If I've got a business partner, why can't my business partner, if we use that airplane for business, put it in my hangar? I don't understand the concept here. What is really the challenge?

It's not cutting out anybody on any waiting list. I've been on the waiting list. My last time on the waiting list, $I$ was on the waiting list was six years. I know about waiting on the waiting list.

The waiting list $I$ was on before, I lost an airplane due to corrosion because I couldn't get it into a hangar. I mean, I'm familiar with waiting lists and I appreciate the fact that you have to go through them. But I don't think this concept of somehow the waiting list integrity is going to be
compromised just because somebody can stick an airplane in a hangar, has much value.

I've got a hangar, I've got airplanes that cannot survive outside. They're made out of wood. They're antiques. A couple of them are antiques. They won't survive outside. And yet I've been told that $I$ can't put them in my hangar. I think that's silly.

The other problem I have is this payment issue. In the 30 years that $I$ have rented hangars here, not a single check has come from one of the entities that owns the aircraft or rents the hangar. So for 30 years $I$ would have been in breach of my contract with you. That seems a little silly.

The IRS doesn't care where the check came from. Dennis Hollingsworth doesn't care where the check came from. My doctor doesn't care where the check came back. If you've got the check to pay for the hangar, it covers the hangar. Get over this issue. It's not an issue.

What are we, the police? We've got to police this thing? Is it the gestapo? I mean, good Lord, we just need to get rid of that. If there's a problem there, somebody will bring it to your
attention.
I can't imagine that subleasing is so, you know, pervasive out there that all of a sudden it's going to make a huge difference in the hangar waiting list because we're getting rid of all these people that might have somebody else's airplane stored in their hangar. There couldn't be even a half dozen of them out there in that situation.

Now this whole situation was precipitated because in October or September when y'all did an inspection, I had Dale Snodgrass' airplane over in my hangar in $\mathrm{H}-1$.

The reason it was there is because his
partner, who is also another partner of mine in business, Dan McCue, has a jet in there and he's trying to service it and he's pulling it out, putting it back and forth quite frequently to show it to try to sell it.

It's a matter of convenience. If it was over in that hangar, it's very inconvenient for Dan to move that airplane in and out, particularly since Dale's airplane is a pristine PT-22. It's going to get hangar damage. It's going to get roughed up just by having to pull it in and out. I said, I've got space in my hangar. Put it over here. While
it's here, it was only here temporarily, and it shouldn't be a problem.

Well, that precipitated this whole thing cascading down now. Where I got an e-mail on October 2 nd saying $I$ need information on that airplane. So I contact Dale -- well, first I have to find out where he is because he's not in St. Augustine. And I said I need the information on the ownership of the airplane so that we can show and I'll show that we're business partners in another business venture and you should be okay keeping your aircraft in my hangar.

Well, on October 10th, which was six business days later, I'm told on October 14th I need that paperwork. It's like an emergency, seriously. This is my busy time of year. I don't have time to deal with a lot of these things. What's the emergency over this?

Okay. So October 10th was a Thursday. So October 11th was a Friday. I've got Saturday, Sunday. And the 14th, which is when I'm supposed to produce the documents, of course is a holiday, a federal holiday, so I had one business day to do this. So on the morning of Tuesday, I get the thing saying you're in breach of your lease, your
lease is canceled, you've got 30 days to vacate the hangar.

Now I don't know which of you business people would treat one of your customers that way, but I think that's a little over the top. Anyway, that has subsequently been rescinded because of this meeting here. But that's the backdrop and the story behind this whole thing. And I don't think there was anything wrong being done.

I don't think that Mr. Snodgrass' plane ought to be sitting out on the ramp. And by the way, with these changes, he won't even be able to put that plane in his hangar. And you're talking about a gorgeous PT-22 that's museum quality. It's going to have to sit out on the ramp. This -- this is your solution to the problem? I think you need to deal with it.

Keep it simple. If you own an airplane, you ought to be able to put it in your hangar. If you've got a business partner and he's got an airplane that you use, you ought to be able to put in your hangar. That's a very simple concept. It doesn't require all of this which I'm not even sure I can figure out.

All I know is that we need to adjust it. It

> isn't broken, so why try to -- why do we try to keep fixing it? All right. That's my comments. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. MR. MAGUIRE: I have a question. Can I -- go ahead.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I'm going to have Mr. Burnett -- I think you want to address a couple of those?

MR. BURNETT: Yeah. I think that one of the biggest issues -- and, Mr. Tucker, maybe you didn't see the box hangar lease, but the revision language in there is basically that one aircraft needs to match the tenant.

So you've got one aircraft in the box hangar and -- and one tenant. But then beyond that -- and if you have two tenants, then you'd have two aircraft matching the two tenants. But then beyond that, the aircraft three, four, five is -- doesn't matter.

MR. TUCKER: Okay.
MR. BURNETT: It just needs to be identified to the airport so the airport would know -MR. TUCKER: But I'm also here representing other people with other interests, and I can tell you that a good way of looking at this is the fact
that I've owned ten aircraft and several different
entities.
The fact that you're trying to tie an entity
to a particular lease when the owner can stay the
same but when I trade an aircraft and I get a new
entity to put that aircraft in, all of a sudden it
can't fit in that hangar, $I$ think is silly.
MR. WUELLNER: You're required to --
MR. TUCKER: I mean, it's a very easy concept.
Don't make it complicated. If you are an owner of
the airplane, you can put it in the hangar.
MR. WUELLNER: I agree.
MR. TUCKER: That's all you've got to say.
MR. WUELLNER: You simply update the list with
the office. That's all that's required. That's
all that's been required.
MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I'm trying to
understand, because as long as you -- this is my
entity and I'm part of that entity, so it's still
me.
MR. BURNETT: And here's my five aircraft.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
MR. BURNETT: I'm going to put all five of
them in my box hangar.

MR. WUELLNER: All you're doing is keeping the list current. That's all you -- the only requirement.

MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: It doesn't mean you can't
store your plane there.
MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
MR. MIRGEAUX: Why do you need a list of what aircraft are in which hangars?

MR. WUELLNER: Well, the easiest way to -would be to give you an analogy. You have an apartment building with 20 apartments and anybody can be anywhere they want in any of the apartments. MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay. So, I mean, it's not -it's not a very good analogy. But let's say, you know, you have an apartment, you have a garage as part of your apartment. The landlord doesn't care if you park a Mustang, a Corvette, a Mustang and a Corvette in that garage. It comes with the apartment.
(Mr. Kira exits the room.)
MR. MIRGEAUX: It's like every other room that you're leasing. These are our stakeholders that it if they want to put, you know, an aircraft that --

I mean, this is not -- they're fungible. The space, they can put whatever aircraft they own and then $I$ don't understand what problem we're trying to solve here. MR. BURNETT: So some -- somewhere in the policy it got confused, and with that language that it is in Subsection $A$, it was confused that actually $I$ think people believed that from a staff level, there was a lot more complexity needed than there actually was. What we're trying to do is eliminate that level of complexity. Because what came in, and I saw it, was a spreadsheet of these five -- roughly, these five entities are essentially the entity. These five aircraft are in this. Some combination of these entities with other entities are going to be the tenants of this other hangar, with some combination of these same aircraft that are identified in one hangar are going to be in the other hangar and then it's like, okay, what's -- this doesn't make sense. Why are we having this? Well, let's clarify the policy so we don't have people feel like that's what they need to do. Now, the one thing, though, and part of the concern in the back of my mind is, if we have, for
lack of a better characterization, of ABC Leasing, LLC is our new tenant on a hangar. And so now we can just convey the interest of $A B C$ tenant, LLC -MR. MIRGEAUX: Why -MR. BURNETT: The -MR. MIRGEAUX: So if we have a LLC tenants -MR. BURNETT: Uh-huh. MR. MIRGEAUX: -- and that's common practice, I mean --

MR. WUELLNER: There are quite a few of them, yes.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay. So, in addition to the LLC, do we also have names of the principals or the -- the LLCs themselves?

MR. BURNETT: We have some individuals. But we don't as a policy require the individuals to be listed on the lease. We -- the airport has traditionally allowed that to be up to the individual owners.

So, the -- in the private sector with this type of leasing situation, routinely what I deal with is leases where if you -- if more than 50 percent ownership of the entity transitions, then that's considered an assignment or it's considered a sublease. We haven't gone to that
level here.
I guess the thing of -- that I do have concerns, and I'll express it to the Authority, is if that we have a situation where we're allowing entities to be conveyed with different owners to circumvent the next person on the waiting list of the lease, that may not be a problem until we get to someone who's a protected class and then it's going to be problematic.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay. So, I mean, I get it, you're writing contracts and like everything goes sour with the relationship and that's -- you know, from a risk management perspective, I understand that's just sound business no matter what you're doing.

But, I mean, what's -- again, what's the problem that we're trying to solve here?

MR. BURNETT: Well --
MR. MIRGEAUX: I mean, are -- is there a safety issue? Are we getting too many aircraft in the hangar?

MR. WUELLNER: I think the physical limits of the hangar take care of any issues that way.

MR. MIRGEAUX: So then it's a business issue? Are we not getting paid for the space that we're --

MR. BURNETT: Well, one issue in there -- and I'll share with you.

When we used the same form for both T's and boxes, then you could read it as though it's not as expansive as what the Airport Authority intended. And so with a $T$, we had a matching tenant to the matching aircraft. If your aircraft's in an LLC, for liability purposes, the LLC could be the tenant.

On the box hangar, we didn't have that spelled out in the form for the box hangar. So breaking it out allows us to now say, other aircraft stored do not have to be owned by the tenant. So then it doesn't limit the aircraft. We deleted any kind of limitation -previously we deleted any kind of limitation on the number of aircraft. Mr. Tucker in fact said, hey, if $I$ can get five aircraft in there, $I$ should be able to put five aircraft in there, $I$ think is loosely what $I$ recall from the meeting.

MR. TUCKER: Well, we actually had seven aircraft in there when Mr. Wuellner asked me to store the Northern Lights' airplanes in my hangar one time.

MR. BURNETT: So there you go. And so that's
why the language is clear now.
One of the main paragraphs -- I mean one of the main sentences is other aircraft stored do not have to be owned by tenant. So we don't have a situation --

MR. MIRGEAUX: But that's for the transient aircraft, right?

MR. BURNETT: No, no.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, it's stored.
MR. WUELLNER: That's for stored. It can be in there quote, unquote permanently.

MR. BURNETT: All year.
MR. TUCKER: I wanted to clarify one thing there because of the spreadsheet, I was involved with.

There are two other hangars that I have with partnership airplanes that we own, and it's K-2, those are single -- $K-2$ and $L-9$. And in that particular instance because that is one of my airplanes that $I$ own a substantial interest in, there are times that I need that over in my hangar in $\mathrm{H}-1$.

And when $I$ put that airplane over in $H-1$ and something pops out, it's got to go back over to K-2. I don't see -- I was trying to keep it --
since we needed to keep a list simple, I said these airplanes may move back and forth between these hangars. If I've got a business reason that I want to load people up in $\mathrm{H}-1$ and store their vehicles in there to take them someplace, it's very inconvenient to go to $\mathrm{K}-2$.

The other problem with $\mathrm{K}-2$ is it's at the end of a hangar row. You can't even plug a big air compressor in down there without blowing the fuse. I can't even put air in the tires unless $I$ pull it over to $\mathrm{H}-1$.

There's all kinds of reasons why I may need to move those aircraft around. Why can't I, if I own those aircraft, move it from one hangar that I've got to another hangar that I've got?

In my opinion, it's too much -- I don't know whether it's obsessive-compulsive disorder and you've people on a list here to make sure every little thing fits the right slot. I own the airplanes. I'm connected with the leases in those three hangars. Why can't I move them around as I see fit for my own business reasons?

MR. MIRGEAUX: Would the new wording in the lease prevent that from happening?

MR. BURNETT: No. In fact, the new wording in
the lease --
MR. WUELLNER: Actually allows it.
MR. BURNETT: -- facilitates it. And not only
that, it facilitates it to where the aircraft don't
even -- do not have to be owned by the tenant to be
there. Except for the T-hangars. For box
hangars --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Where you can fit more than one into.

MR. BURNETT: -- where you can fit more than one into, then they -- that aircraft don't have to be owned by the tenant.

MR. TUCKER: But that did involve a T-hangar, swapping an airplane -- I mean, a box hangar and a T-hangar.

MR. WUELLNER: And that's just simple
notification.
If -- I mean, if you're only doing that for a couple of days, that's not what -- we're envisioning what is the airplane that's routinely or normally stored there. You know, the scenarios I'm hearing are quite temporary in nature. At least that's what I'm hearing out of it.

And what we're talking about today is actually, you know, provisioning a -- I want --

I'll use the vernacular, a sublease light in a sense. You're not named on the lease, but you could be in someone else's box hangar by being a stored aircraft, if that's something you ultimately agree to. It actually opens this up to be significantly more flexible than it is currently now, which is redundant.

MR. TUCKER: Only the box hangars.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I'm going to move along because we have a lot of people and $I$ know one of our agenda items is to keep things to three minutes. So Reba?

MS. LUDLOW: I'll be last.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Dale?
MR. SNODGRASS: Yeah. My name is Dale Snodgrass. I've been in and out -- flying out of here for a number of years. I've been sort of on the west coast for a little while, but I've got a home back here and I maintain custody of -- of I-1 over the years in partnership with Dan McCue.

And I currently have four airplanes. I have another hangar in New Mexico, at my mountain home in New Mexico, and I'd like to be able -- I want to have the ability to move those airplanes back and forth as $I$ want into the box hangar that we have in

```
I-1 and potentially into H-1 in a partnership
with -- with Len. So those are my concerns.
    In addition to that, something that doesn't --
    I haven't heard it be mentioned to, but it's fallen
to me previously and it may in my air show life,
wind up leasing an airplane, you know, a P-51 or a
P-40, which you guys remember I have an F-86. And
then I would like to have -- make sure that I have
the ability to put those airplanes in my hangar.
    And I'd just like a little more clarification
    on this stored aircraft issue. What I'm hearing
    is -- I think I'm hearing, is that in the scenario
    in the box hangars right now, that my issue with
    having the PT-22 in H-1 is not an issue anymore.
    Is that correct?
    MR. WUELLNER: That's correct.
    MR. SNODGRASS: Okay. And I can do -- I can
    bring any of my airplanes in. I'm happy to say
    that my airplanes, which were three different
    LLCs --
    MR. WUELLNER: That's correct.
    MR. SNODGRASS: -- in one corporation, I can
    move those in and out as I see fit.
    MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Yes, sir.
    MR. SNODGRASS: Okay. That satisfies mine.
``` MR. TUCKER: As long as you don't put them in a \(T\)-hangar.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MR. SNODGRASS: In a T-hangar. For your } \\
& \text { information, I have a hangar, a big hangar, a } \\
& 100 \text { x } 100 \text { hangar in New Mexico and there, it's } \\
& \text { just -- we do the recommended that Len brought up. } \\
& \text { It's just, if you own -- if you have the hangar } \\
& \text { lease, then you can bring in whatever airplanes you } \\
& \text { want to bring in, and it just makes life simple. } \\
& \text { So I think I'm -- I'm all for keeping it as simple } \\
& \text { as possible. }
\end{aligned}
\]

You have alleviated my individual concerns, but I think overall there's still some concerns with if \(I\) had, you know, one of my smaller airplanes, you wanted to put -- he wanted to put, my RV-8, for instance, he wanted to -- Len wanted to put, you know, in the big hangar and I could step -- you know, stick my RV-8 in the \(T\)-hangar overnight or over a weekend or a month or so as he was working on the airplane in \(I-1\) or \(H-1\), \(I\) would like to have that ability. It seems like we're just trying to make it a little too difficult. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Art?
MR. LANCASTER: Yeah. Just I had one little
question.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: We need to go to the --
MR. LANCASTER: There's been a lot of talk about stored. I just wondered what the definition of stored is. Is that in the lease?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug, \(I\) think wasn't that a 30-day?

MR. WUELLNER: No, that's -- that's visiting. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: Stored could be permanent -- I use the word permanently, but it would run the life of the lease. It could run the life of the lease. It's really up to the lessee as to how long they accommodate. They could do that indefinitely. The distinction between stored and lessee is just simply the legal responsibility for the lease.

MR. BURNETT: And the reason you want one aircraft to match the tenant is so that then we continue this continuity. Similar to the T-hangars, we have one tenant that matches an aircraft so that we have a tenant that owns an aircraft, \(T\)-hangar and box hangar-wise, and then we have some continuity or some consistency with the fact that we have a hangar waiting list of people who want hangars.

So the tenant needs to actually own an aircraft. And we've specific language about transitioning from one aircraft to another, or if you come up on the waiting list and you don't have an aircraft at that moment, you can take a pass and wait and still stay at the top of the waiting list and see if you come up again, and at that point in time you're going to buy an aircraft to put in the -- in the T-hangar. So we've got specific language in the policy related to that. So we're trying to ensure that someone owns an aircraft who's actually the tenant.

MR. MIRGEAUX: So is it a problem that we have box hangars and \(T\)-hangars that don't have any aircraft in them?

MR. WUELLNER: I don't know that we have -we'd have like one or two, maybe.

MR. MIRGEAUX: That's not the problem?
MR. WUELLNER: Is that not a problem?
MR. MIRGEAUX: I said that's not the problem?
MR. WUELLNER: That is not the problem.
MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: Empty hangars are -- I mean --
MR. BURNETT: It's -- the problem, from the way I see it, is because of the policy the way it
was written, we didn't have separate leases for T's and boxes, there was -- there appeared to be when this list and spreadsheet came in as just one -we've had other examples that weren't as sophisticated in the spreadsheet that they gave us -- it appeared like we needed to lighten up, lessen the restriction, and that's what came about. It may be being perceived as tightening restrictions. Instead for boxes, it was to lighten the restriction and make sure, hey look, for boxes, because of what we heard a year ago, it makes sense to allow some flexibility with the aircraft that go in there.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I was still leaving to public --

MR. MAGUIRE: I want to address what he
brought up because I see it a little bit differently. Because \(I\) have down here my comment -- to question stored aircraft. What do we mean by a stored aircraft?

I have antique cars that \(I\) store in a warehouse, okay? And I don't drive them enough to say I'm a user anymore; \(I\) just have my car sitting there, okay?

And so, does stored aircraft mean that you put
an aircraft in there and it stays there and stays and it's no longer considered a usable aircraft? In the Air Force, we called it a hanger queen, okay? Or is stored aircraft simply a statement: I'm putting an airplane in there and it becomes a stored aircraft with no consideration about -about the usability or time of use or anything? So I can see -- is that what you were addressing?

MR. LANCASTER: Yes. MR. MAGUIRE: Because \(I\) can see a problem with that.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think -- I think the current viewpoint or our vision of stored aircraft is your latter definition. We don't -- we're not making any requirement or adding requirements as to its flyability or the like. It's just simply a physical location.

MR. MAGUIRE: Well, I think that needs to be clarified. The -- the -- as far as -- and going back to the car, as far as putting a car in there, it's no big deal because nobody else wants to rent the space that I'm renting. But if \(I\) take into consideration all the
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { people out here that are waiting in line for ten } \\
& \text { years and -- and an airplane's sitting in a } \\
& \text { facility and hasn't been used in three or four } \\
& \text { years because it's, the worst case example, a } \\
& \text { stored aircraft, well that concerns me because I } \\
& \text { don't believe we're satisfying the need of the } \\
& \text { community. } \\
& \text { So I think the stored aircraft issue has to be } \\
& \text { resolved. What really constitutes a stored } \\
& \text { aircraft? And he brought it up. You have an } \\
& \text { airplane and then it just sits there and sits there } \\
& \text { and then you get rid of it and you bring a new } \\
& \text { airplane in? } \\
& \text { If we consider the people on the waiting list, } \\
& \text { the closest if you want to say that we've come to } \\
& \text { that is the requirement that you -- at the time of } \\
& \text { improper. If we don't consider the people on the } \\
& \text { waiting list, I don't care; let it stay there } \\
& \text { forever. } \\
& \text { historically the board has not been receptive to } \\
& \text { defining the use inside the hangar of whether it's } \\
& \text { vacant, in your example, or unflyable. } \\
& \text { we've never tried to impose -- you know, we -- }
\end{aligned}
\]
lease, that you have something within the timelines that we've set up now to put in there.

MR. MAGUIRE: And if that's -- you know, if that's the way we're doing it right now, that's acceptable, as long as we all understand that that's it.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And we're -- and nothing we're proposing -MR. MAGUIRE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: -- changes that requirement. And we have a few tenants, I don't think we have more than a couple really, who lease the hangar in a -- in a more seasonal way. They live up north. The aircraft goes with them say in summer months, and winter months the airplane's down here. But they rent the hangar from us year-round. So there's a great deal of time the airplane -- or, excuse me, the hangar is vacant during the year. But it's -- you know, they pay the lease, they're -- they've never -- none of those issues have ever been problematic. MR. MIRGEAUX: What percentage of -MR. WUELLNER: I think there's -- maybe Cindy can answer that better, but there's only a couple
of them.
MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Maybe five.
MR. MIRGEAUX: Five hangars or 5 percent?
MR. WUELLNER: No, five hangars.
MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Five hangars.
MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay.
MR. WUELLNER: So that could be a couple percent at most.

MR. BURNETT: The way our waiting list is in the policy, is if you come up to number one on the waiting list and you go ahead and exercise your right to take a hangar, then you have to start paying rent, but you have 180 days to get an aircraft and put an aircraft in the hangar.

MR. WUELLNER: The -- the alternative method is you is remain number one on the list for -- is it six months \(I\) believe also --

MR. BURNETT: Yes.
MR. WUELLNER: -- at which point when the hangar becomes available and you have the airplane, you can step in the lease. That way you don't have to pay for six months, which the tenant gets that -- the choice.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We did that with the last revisions.

MR. WUELLNER: That was the last revision. MR. BURNETT: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'm going to move on to Brian. No? I don't want to, Brian Pruitt? MR. PRUITT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN GREEN: I said Brian.

MR. PRUITT: Good afternoon. Can you hear me?
Then I'll just speak louder. I'm here representing the PGA Tour. We -- we've had a hangar here and our flight operation for almost 40 years.

My concern was, a few weeks ago, we had a gate secured that affected into our -- our operation. Not only did it affect into our operation, but I believe the padlocking of such gate was intruding --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Pruitt? I'm sorry to interrupt you. We're in the middle of this policy. Yeah, we're dealing with the \(T\)-hangars and box hangar leases. I think your interest just is the gate issue that we had?

MR. PRUITT: Right. And you called me, so I thought I was up.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I did. You just wrote public comment, so I don't know what --

MR. BRUNSON: I would have done the same
thing.
MR. PRUITT: Point me in the right direction.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You did. If I could just have you hold off, then. Thank you so much. I'm trying to stay on --

MR. PRUITT: All my cards --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- the lease stuff right now. Galin?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Galin Hernandez with the St. Augustine Airport Pilots Association.

After looking through this extensively, it's got so many issues, that \(I\) don't even know where to start. It seems to me you're trying to fix a problem that doesn't exist and you're just making it worse.

One thing that \(I\) would highly recommend is you recognize and realize, which I think some of you do and some of you don't, that there's a fundamental difference between a box hangar and \(a \operatorname{T}\)-hangar and they needed to be treated differently. Trying to jam everything into one lease agreement is going to cause problems. So maybe you should look at breaking the lease in -- the actual leases into two different ones, instead of one.

The -- the issue about having airplanes -- a
hangar in a -- in a named tenant, like an LLC, and here's -- here's my concern. My airplane is November 12345 and it's an LLC, it's in a hangar, be it a box or a T. I sell the airplane. The lease is in the November 12345, LLC name and I buy a November 34567, LLC. 34567.

Now I can't put my airplane in the hangar because the LLC is 12345, not 5678. So that creates one issue which needs to be -- especially the box hangars, because that's where you're going to see it mostly, is in the box hangars.

The final thing is hangar queens. You can look into it. The FAA has already clarified. They made a ruling on that. Hangar queens can stay all they want. It's for aviation use. You cannot kick them out because they're a hangar queen.

As a matter of fact, you -- and it is also for experimental. If you want to build an airplane inside a hangar, you can do that. It is not a violation of FAA policy and it's already been clarified. So you might want to take a look at that before you start saying, well, if you've got a hangar queen, you've got to get it out because it's already been looked at.

The other issue that you're bringing up, and I
think it's more of an excuse, is that the box hangars have no effect on the waiting list. The waiting list are for the people that are looking for \(T\)-hangars, even though there are people on the waiting list for box hangars.

The problem is not that there's a waiting list for people that are using the box hangars. The problem is we haven't built hangars. If you have 200 people waiting for a hangar and you haven't built hangars in 20 years, there's a problem there -- or 10 years or however many. Now that you're building hangars, you're starting to address the actual problem, which is lack of hangar space. Now, continue building hangars and you'll watch that -- that waiting list drop. Even by your contention, most of the times, each time you open a hangar, five people drop off the waiting list or go to the end. So just by opening five hangars you've already by -- five times five's 25, dropped 25 people off the waiting list. So the issue isn't that people are using box hangars to circumvent the waiting list, the issue is you're not building hangars. Now you are. So keep going on that -- on that format, just get more hangars, and watch that waiting list slowly erode.


MR. BURNETT: Would you -- may I respond? CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.

MR. BURNETT: Would it be acceptable, do you think, then, that if the 12 -- November 12345, LLC, if the policy was that if the ownership interest in the LLC changed, then that would be a change in the tenant.

MR. HERNANDEZ: No, the --

MR. BURNETT: But the change of the ownership interest in the LLC not changing, even though the LLC itself may change --

MR. HERNANDEZ: But now the airplane leaves, the LLC leaves, I've sold the LLC, the plane leaves, I buy another plane, another LLC, I want to put it in the hangar.

MR. BURNETT: But it's still you as the
owner --

MR. HERNANDEZ: It's still me.

MR. BURNETT: -- at the end of the day.

MR. HERNANDEZ: The legalese behind it, I --
you know, I'll leave that to lawyers. But the issue is that by saying it has to be under a specific -- the aircraft has to be under a specific name or an entity LLC, you get the situation where I've sold the LLC -- because the plane is the only

Also, Doug and Ed, on this LLC thing, well, what was the answer? I mean, do you have to -because I'm in the same position. I bought Ron Johnson's plane and now I would -- and I have the LLC and somebody else's -- if my name's on there, but why can't I leave it in the other hangar? Do I have to do a -- file a whole new LLC?

MR. WUELLNER: I'm not understanding the question.

MS. LUDLOW: I mean, the same three people --
MR. BURNETT: Are we talking in a box hangar or a T-hangar?

MS. LUDLOW: We're talking about a T-hangar. MR. BURNETT: Okay.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay. So if the same three people are in the LLC and the same three people bought another plane, doesn't the LLC stay with the hangar? And so, why does the LLC become null and void just because it's a different plane?

MR. WUELLNER: We're not saying it does.
MS. LUDLOW: I can't tell what y'all are saying, frankly. Can y'all hear?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think -- and I'm not going to take the lawyer hat here, so -- but you're saying in your situation, the LLC is the same
entity; it just has a different tail number, it has a different plane.

MS. LUDLOW: Right.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I don't see that's an issue because if your assets just changed, the LLC stays the same.

I think what Mr. Hernandez was saying is the LLC changed. So it's not Reba, LLC now, it's John and Judy, LLC. That's a different question that I would defer to Doug.

But within your answer, the LLC's still the same, it's just the asset changed and you just need to let them know that the tail number's changed. I mean, that's about it.

MS. LUDLOW: That answers that.
MR. TUCKER: But point of clarifications, LLCs changes all the time. So do partnerships.

MS. LUDLOW: Right.
MR. TUCKER: And partners come and go.
MS. LUDLOW: But I --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: But we're talking about
T-hangars, too, so...
MS. LUDLOW: I agree they're T-hangars. I agree with your -- what -- if you get one payment, take one payment, what are we doing?

I mean, you guys somehow have zeroed in on us and you're changing everything. And, yes, we did have airplanes in hangars that didn't move for years. I mean, there's still some out there that didn't move for years.

Bruce, \(I\) agree, if that airplane has not run in so many months, six months or a year, then there should be something in the lease to say you either get -- get it fixed or get it out and let somebody else have the chance. But I don't like -- I don't want to cater to the waiting list when we're -when what you're doing is making our life more difficult, the ones that are here paying rent. The other thing -- I'm still back to the LLC thing. The other thing is it is totally unfair to call a meeting on Friday for Monday. Totally. Nobody knew anything about it. It was like it was purpose -- it was on purpose, like a personal vendetta -- no, not really. But, you know, if you guys call a meeting on Friday and say it's for Monday, you don't give anybody else a chance to defend themselves.

I would say this needs to be postponed. I mean, you didn't give anybody notice to do this, that -- with all of these changes that you're
proposing putting in, and it affects us. So maybe we should have AOPA or maybe the -- we should have our own attorney represent us. Somebody that does fly airplanes, Doug. So, I just -- I suggest we postpone it and nothing be done.

That LLC, if my LLC for hangar \(K-8\) has three names on it and it's for a Piper and I sell the Piper and I want the Cub in there, so what, you're saying I can't -- I have to change the LLC?

MR. WUELLNER: No.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Huh-uh.
MS. LUDLOW: I don't have to -- the LLC stays with the hangar and the -- I mean, not the airplane, if the airplane moves -- what, Randy?

MR. BRUNSON: Doug, an LLC, if you do an LLC, you don't list your -- your assets on the LLC. The LLC stays an LLC.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
MR. BRUNSON: So if you buy another airplane and you want to add that to your assets with your same LLC, it stays there.

MS. LUDLOW: All right. So it's the same LLC. It's just not been the aircraft insured now, it's a Cub insured now.

And besides this, you guys, you're not paying
insurance on our airplanes anyway. That's what you're saying. You -- your insurance doesn't cover what we have in the airplane -- I mean, in the hangar.

MR. BURNETT: I believe -- and by the way, just to weigh in on the LLC thing, if you had -going back to Galin's example, N123, LLC and that LLC's a tenant, that LLC owns the aircraft, and you sell the aircraft but now you have N456 and so you want to change the name of your LLC, I think on Sunbiz you can do a name change and it's \$125 and change the name of your LLC to 456, LLC.

MS. LUDLOW: Even if all three names have -have different aircraft -- I mean, different hangars anyway? Every one of us have more than, I mean, one hangar because we have more than one plane.

MR. WUELLNER: You're confusing a myriad of issues there that aren't the same.

MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Well --
MR. TUCKER: It is a confusing subject.
MS. LUDLOW: I have called all of the other airports, so I do have their information and we're going over their lease policies, also, and we're going over the lease policies just in general.

So I would suggest we do not vote on anything today because you did not give anybody notice to be prepared to have -- to defend ourselves.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's the last I have for public comment on this issue. Now it's back to board.

So any short board comments about what
Ms. Ludlow said? If you'd like to table this -there is a motion or there's a -- there's a request for policy action, but I need to hear from the board.

MR. MAGUIRE: Can \(I\) start with this thing?
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
MR. MAGUIRE: The -- starting at the beginning, I believe if you rent a T-hangar and you put an airplane in there, then it's yours. And if you want to keep it for 30 years, Len, keep it for 30 years, as long as you use it and maintain it and don't abuse it or whatever, do that.

With a box hangar, it's a question. Do we rent a box hangar by the number of airplanes or by the square foot?

MR. WUELLNER: Just roughly by the square foot.

MR. MAGUIRE: The square foot. So -- so
there's no limitation as to how many airplanes they can put in there.

MR. WUELLNER: Other than the physical characteristics of it.

MR. MAGUIRE: Yeah, physically there would be, but not legally according to the lease.

MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
MR. MAGUIRE: I -- I couldn't figure out why Len could not put two airplanes in there. He owns four, why he could not put two, according to the lease. If you rent a box, you should be able to do it.

The -- there are issues -- I'm a landlord not of airplanes, but of businesses and stuff, warehouses and stuff. If I tried to tailor my leases to every problem that the 15 or 20 people who rent from me, I would go bonkers.

I can't do that. I refuse to do that. I have one lease and the tenants accept it or they don't accept it, okay? Now it's a generic lease, and there are issues here that don't apply to mine, and I believe we need to address those issues. But we cannot tailor -- my opinion, we cannot tailor our leases to satisfy every single problem you address. It's impossible. Can't do it.

If you lease it, use it, have fun, enjoy it, take care of it, okay? And as long as it's legal and you're not abusing it, it's yours. I don't care in the box if we lease it by the square foot and you can put in ten airplanes micro size, do it, okay?

The issue of the lessee, though, is to me a big issue. And you're requesting about -- no, he -- Steve was questioning about the lessee. In my business, if \(I\) have one person sign for lease of a unit and somebody else comes in and creates a problem, that creates a problem legally with me, okay? I cannot allow tenants to sublease without having that person come on board and sign the same lease that the tenant does because I have to have legal protection, okay?

Now, in a case of this, I like the idea of two people signing for a box. I do. To me, the liability is more protective to the airport. It may be a burden on you, but it's more protective to the airport. So I like the idea of doing that.

Now, once those two people sign, if somebody
else puts in -- if Len signs the lease and Snodgrass wants to put one in there and it's not covered, well, then there's a -- a liability issue
between those two.
I don't want the airport to get involved. If they have an argument because somebody's not paying what they're supposed to pay, I don't want us to get involved. If -- and, Len, I'm picking on you because I'm looking at you.

MR. TUCKER: That's okay.
MR. MAGUIRE: If Len rents a box for a thousand dollars a month and he subleases that verbally or any other way and makes a profit, well, I'm going to come down on Len and say, you're out of there, I don't like that.

The airport's in the business to support and generate revenue to pay for itself. And if you're making money off of our buildings, then you need to go, all right? And I'm not saying you do; I'm using that as an example.

So if you can put five airplanes in there and the lessee suddenly is in a position where the lessee's paying nothing, that to me is a major problem. And I'm not saying that is.

MR. TUCKER: I agree a hundred percent.
MR. MAGUIRE: So I -- so those are the types of things I look -- look for here. It's your unit. You lease it, do with it as you please, but don't
abuse it, don't make money off the airport, don't do anything illegal.

And going back to the storage issue, I
understand, I've got to go back, he's correct. I think a hangar queen is protected, but I feel sorry for the people in line, but that's the way it is.

Now, be careful what you say about the only way to get rid of the 200 people in line is to build more hangars. Well, no, that's one of two ways, okay? The other way is raise the prices up so high, that people go to other airports and that will decrease it real fast, okay? So we have to be careful about what we really want here. We want more airplanes, we want more hangar space. It's coming slowly.

Insurance -- I had written down here, insurance covers. Oh. 30 days to vacate you mentioned. I agree that -- you should never have gotten a letter like that ever. I don't do that in my business and we shouldn't do that here.

If you know -- now, if you know something's coming and you wait till the morning of, then shame on you. But if -- if you get caught off guard like that and tell you you've got 30 days to correct it, I disagree with that.
Especially the character of the tenant is
important. And if we've never had a problem with
Len making a payment, then we shouldn't threaten
him to leave, okay? So, those are my comments.
MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chair, I have very few.
I think that we've thrown a lot of questions
out. A lot of answers. There's some things that I
think we're overreacting to, and I think that I
would make a motion that we table this, straighten
out these few things, like if a plane is stored,
how long before and how we can say it's a piece of
junk, it's not stored. We need to have some
clarification on how long it can be stored, in my
opinion.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think that's one of
the things before us right now. I think the FAA
takes care of that issue.
MR. BRUNSON: Well, it was mentioned -- it was
mentioned that if it's stored, you know --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. I agree, but I don't
think that's what we're here for and that's not on
our agenda. We're more of the difference between a
box hangar and a \(T\)-hangar and how we're dealing
with the leaseholds.
MR. BRUNSON: And I -- is not what you're
```

trying, trying to separate the box hangar lease
from the T-hangar lease?
MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir.
MR. BRUNSON: And so -- so there's some things
that's been said that -- like this gentleman has
these planes and he said that he can put different
airplanes in there.
And so, it seems like it's just a little bit
of communication and get it in writing so we can
vote on it. And when we get that clear and we can
vote on it, then -- but I think we should table
this until we get it completely clear.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Mirgeaux, any comments?
MR. MIRGEAUX: Well, I think that -- there we
go. Turn that on. Can everybody hear me? I don't
know.
Okay. I think that there's some I think
separating the leases, so we have a T-hangar lease
and a box hangar lease, I think that's smart. I
think adding a language for joint and several
liability, I think that's also smart.
I don't think that we need a tail number for
every hangar. I think that is -- part of the lease
agreement is that this is for aviation use. I
don't think we need to micro manage and know what

```
aircraft is in every hangar. I don't think that -you know, our tenants are stakeholders and part of that agreement is an agreement of trust and it's a relationship that goes many years, decades even, so.. .

MR. WUELLNER: Well, I don't disagree with your -- the viewpoint on that, but I do want to make the board aware of something that's coming at the airport side of this from FAA very quickly. And they are beginning to require -- it's -- so far, we've declined to participate, but I see it working its way into grant assurances and other places with FAA.

They're looking to what they're calling verifiable occupancy of hangars, meaning they want the data on who is stored in hangars. We have basically told them for a better part of five to ten years now to pound sand, we're not providing that information, we don't feel as an entity it's any of their business who's in a hangar.

But we just got -- literally today got guidance again asking for participation, and it's just not going to be long until that's compelled and they're going -- they're going to sneak it in there and -- and we're going to be required to
provide it. I don't agree with it, but they're --
    MR. MIRGEAUX: So obviously any future changes
that the FAA would make to regulations we're going
to abide by.
    MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
        MR. MIRGEAUX: That's not up for debate.
        But in terms of creating a lease that's an
agreement between us and our stakeholders and our
tenants, we want to -- I mean, there's no
expiration date on those, right? I mean, if
they're not good for a year, then they have to
renew, right?
    MR. WUELLNER: Well, the leases renew
annually.
    MR. MIRGEAUX: It's renewed annually. I
missed --
    MR. WUELLNER: Automatically --
    MR. MIRGEAUX: Oh, so it's automatically
    renewed?
    MR. BURNETT: The airport can terminate it
    shorter, but it's generally --
    MR. WUELLNER: As can the tenant.
    MR. BURNETT: Yeah. It's generally one-year
    terms.
    MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay. So that every year they
come and they renew their lease?

MR. WUELLNER: We -- we don't require any action unless something changes.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Okay. So I didn't read an expiration date. Like it's renting an apartment and they tell me, hey, you've got this apartment 12 months and then you're out.

MR. WUELLNER: In those cases, you execute a new lease.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Right. I mean, so that's kind of my experience with it from -- either as a landlord or as a -- as a tenant.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, with over 200 leases, it's tedious to get them all re-signed every year.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Which is why we want to -- to Bruce's point, which \(I\) think was a good one, is you want to create a durable document that you don't want to have a tail number with every lease. I don't -- it just seems to me that that is -- it's over the top.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think that's what we're trying to do here. We're trying to define who is the tenant in each one so if we ever had any type of eviction situation or whatever, we know who we're dealing with. But that's going back to

Mr. Hernandez' comment about changing the -MR. MIRGEAUX: But you said on the \(T\)-hangars, you've got to have one aircraft in there and that's got to be identified on the lease. You said on the box hangar, that you can shovel in aircraft, but there's got to be at least one aircraft in there at all times and it's identified on the lease. And then --

MR. BURNETT: And that part you -- that part you agree with? I'm just want to make sure I'm following you for drafting.

MR. MIRGEAUX: I think that we need to let our
tenants be flexible, and as long as they're not doing anything unsafe, they're not breaking any regulations and they're not endangering themselves or others, that we need to -- and what they're doing is aviation-related, we need to let them do that.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'll give you another reason why we believe the information's necessary, but it's not -- it's -- this is not a daily occurrence, by any sense. In fact, it probably only happens every one -- probably once a year kind of deal.

But we get contacted by some emergency
```

response entity looking for an airplane that we
don't know where it is. They say, well, it's based
at St. Augustine by N number. We don't know where
that aircraft would be. They're asking us to
confirm it's at the airport, it's home safe, it
never made it here, whatever the circumstances.
Without that information in -- in our form, we
have no way to even start that. We'd be looking
through -- in theory, we'd be looking through
200-plus hangars, the ramp, everywhere else to do
that.
MR. MIRGEAUX: Well, they can volunteer and they should share their tail numbers.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. That's --
MR. MIRGEAUX: But, you know, looking at the lease policy, it says that if the person that signs the lease is not the person that pays the check then they're in violation of the lease, and technically according to that, they could be evicted. I don't think that that's -- I don't think that that's how we should be treating our lessees.
MR. BURNETT: That paragraph can easily be stricken --

```

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

MR. BURNETT: -- that addition.
MR. MIRGEAUX: I agree with Randy. I think we should table this.

MR. MAGUIRE: I think the question there is, the point is, why do we require the check to be signed by the lessee? Is there -- are there other issues other than --

MR. WUELLNER: Well, it really -- there were only in terms of it -- I wrote it down somewhere, I don't know where \(I\) put it, but in terms of the acceptability of where we'd -- we did have numerous -- numerous times over the years where that has identified a serious subletting issue that's gone on in some cases --

MR. MAGUIRE: Which is my point exactly.
If John Doe, LLC rents it, but Harry James signs the check and not related to John Doe, have we created legally a liability by accepting those checks? And that's what we need to find out. Because it could be more than just we don't like to do that.

MR. WUELLNER: Right. And in most -- in most cases, you know, anybody that's -- I say a party to the lease, but affiliated with the lease, you -you're the named lessee, you're a partner in the
aircraft, you're the owner of the aircraft, you're a member of the LLC, those aren't the kind of payments we're not talking about.

I mean, we're talking about somebody that's disaffiliated, if you will, with the lease itself or the aircraft that's in there. We get this extraneous check for a hangar that we don't know why we'd be getting a check from.

MR. MIRGEAUX: How do you know it's tied to a hangar? Does it say it in the memo line?

MR. WUELLNER: Yes. They literally -- we've had a number of them walk in and go, "This is for," I'm making up a number here, you know, "M-3. Here's --" you know, "Who are you?" That kind of deal. The check doesn't match anybody we have on record.

MR. MIRGEAUX: But it clears.
MR. WUELLNER: We don't even know more often
than not, we -- you know.
MR. MIRGEAUX: I mean, you don't --
MR. WUELLNER: I think that issue that Bruce brings up, is it creating an issue? I don't know. That's a dumb question, but --

MR. MIRGEAUX: That is a good question, though. Is that an issue?

MR. MAGUIRE: I don't know. I do know that when I get a check, that the -- if my name is spelled incorrectly or whatever, the bank won't take it. The insurance companies look at that, who -- who are they leasing to. The insurance companies are getting more involved in tenancies and landlord operations than ever before. MR. WUELLNER: No doubt. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Because we have a lot more agenda items. Mr. Brunson, you had said you would -MR. BRUNSON: I have nothing else. CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, but you said you'd like to table it, to wait --

MR. BRUNSON: I would recommend that we table this to where -CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our next meeting's December 16th. Is there a motion or something or --

MR. MIRGEAUX: I would move to table this to the next meeting.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second --
MR. BRUNSON: I make the motion that we table it to the next meeting.

MR. MIRGEAUX: I second that motion.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board discussion?
(None.)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: I just want to make sure
that --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: -- Doug's clear --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

MR. WUELLNER: -- on what is not clear at this point, because I'm not -- I'm not --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think the rent payment is a question --

MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- who pays the rent and the liability. I don't think believe there's an issue now that we know with the box hangars, put as many as you want in there, we're good with that language.

I think there was one question about, with
Reba with \(T\)-hangars if we change the LLCs, therefore your tenant is not the same. I think that's the other question. So it's who's paying the rent --

MR. TUCKER: Can some -- can some of the tenants meet with maybe Doug and work on some of
```

    these issues?
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's up to Doug.
    MR. BURNETT: Sure.
    MR. MAGUIRE: Y'all can talk at his
    convenience, yeah, or talk to him and make some
suggestions.

```
    MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chair, I think basically
we understand pretty well, but \(I\) just want to make
sure that everybody understands and can read it in
writing and that -- so we can vote on it, on the
few little things.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know. Doug just asked for some direction. So I think you have, and like Mr. Tucker said, if you want to meet with some of the SAAPA members, absolutely.

Okay. So there's a first and a second to table this till the December 16 th meeting. Doug, does that give you enough time?

MR. BURNETT: Well, what \(I\) was also thinking was maybe I can schedule a telephone conference. I've got a call-in line, because there's people who are here that maybe would want to participate but can't get to a meeting, and I've got a dedicated conference line, so \(I\) can set that up and we can have a meeting in person and whoever can't make it

> there in person, we can do it by phone as well at the same time.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
MR. BURNETT: And so I'll make sure we get that done before then. I'm just looking at my calendar, and in fact \(I\) want to make sure it gets to you guys fast enough.

MR. WUELLNER: It needs to be done the first week of December.

MR. BURNETT: Yeah, either -- either next week or the first week of December. Yeah, we can -- I can make it work the 2 nd or \(3 r d\), that Monday or Tuesday.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Of December?
MR. BURNETT: Yeah. We'll get something put together.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: December 2nd or 3rd. And if anyone can't attend, Doug has a dedicated conference call-in line.

MR. BRUNSON: What date now?
MR. WUELLNER: We'll probably target the 2 nd .
MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: December 2 nd is what we're targeting.

Okay. We have a motion on the table. All in
favor of tabling this till the 16 th , say aye.
    MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
    MR. MAGUIRE: Aye.
    MR. MIRGEAUX: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. Any opposed?
        (None.)
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So it's tabled until
the 16th. And then in between the time for
everyone to get together and read the language and
we'll have a chance to see whatever language prior
to our meeting. Okay.
    MR. WUELLNER: What do you want to do first?
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess let's go back to
our -- do you have any more director's report?
    MR. MAGUIRE: Did you want to go back to the
PGA guy?
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: The only problem -- sorry,
Brian. The only problem is it's not an agenda
item, it's a public comment, so we have agenda
items. That's the only thing. Sorry.
    Okay. Executive director.
    MR. WUELLNER: That's fine. Wherever you
want --
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Executive director report.
And then \(I\) know Mr. Dean had to leave, so I guess,

Carol, \(I\) don't know if there's going to be --
MR. WUELLNER: I can do -- if it's easier for movement of things, \(I\) can do that at the end.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's fine. Then we have business partner updates. So, I guess Atlantic. BUSINESS PARTNER UPDATES

MR. BEYERS: I'm good.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Northrop? I saw Mr. Dean had to leave. Carol, did he say anything?

MS. SAVIAK: He just said time did not permit him to stay.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Who else do we have with business partners? I've got Northrop. Tammy. How about tower? Ed, is that going to be you?

MR. WUELLNER: Actually it was in your operations summary. I don't have it in front of me.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And then, Galin, are you okay?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Just a quick one from SAAPA, is that the St. Augustine Airport Pilots Association Christmas meeting -- Christmas party is going to be December 11th, 6:00 p.m. in our new hangar. Should be moved in by then. And every one of the commissioners, lawyers, and the

> administrative obviously as well can -- more than welcome to come join us. December llth, 6 p.m. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Doug, did you have anything else? MR. BURNETT: Just very briefly a follow-up on what was already said. Commissioner Dean did have a family commitment at \(5: 30\) he had to go to, so I know that's he -- I think he was hoping to get to talk before then. I don't really have anything else to talk about. the policy actions. Then the next agenda item is the commercial hangar lease.

COMMERCIAL HANGAR LEASE - JAXJETS
MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Huh-uh.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed?
MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: No.
MR. WUELLNER: We have a proposal -- proposed lease for Hangar Unit 8, which is an east side -east side hangar.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay. I skipped one, but that's okay. Go ahead.

MR. WUELLNER: I know. I would have brought it back to it.

But anyway, the proposed tenant is JaxJets, Inc. They currently have an aircraft with the FBO, but they desire -- they're purchasing a larger aircraft. They operate a FAR Part 135 charter operation. Proposing to operate that out of Hangar 8, Hangar Number 8 on the east side corporate area. It would be a two-year lease term with a three-year option after that. Rent's at \$5.50 a square foot, so it would be roughly \(\$ 44,000\) a year. It's approximately an 8,000 square foot hangar and is subject to annual CPI. Uses the standard form Airport Authority lease. And staff would recommend approval of the lease.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is it empty now?
MR. WUELLNER: It is.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any board discussion?
MR. WUELLNER: With any luck, I'll have
another one for you next month.
MR. BRUNSON: I have nothing.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I had nothing for public
discussion on that.
MS. LUDLOW: No comment.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: So I'll entertain a motion, then, with regards to the commercial hangar lease for JaxJets.

MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion we entertain, as staff's recommended, the lease to JaxJets.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?

MR. MIRGEAUX: Quick question. Is that
attached to the e-mail that we got Friday?

MR. WUELLNER: The lease document itself?

MR. MIRGEAUX: Yes.

MR. WUELLNER: I don't think so because it's the standard form lease.

MR. MIRGEAUX: I just wanted to make sure I'm not missing it.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

MR. MIRGEAUX: I'm good.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?

MR. MAGUIRE: Second.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any more board discussion? (None.)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion for accepting staff's recommendation?

MR. BRUNSON: Aye.

MR. MAGUIRE: Aye.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. Any opposed?
(None.)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: That passes. And then the
one I skipped over is the --
MR. WUELLNER: The meeting conduct policy.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Meeting conduct. MEETING CONDUCT POLICY AMENDMENT

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. This was something that occurred to me while \(I\) was sitting for a ridiculous amount of time at the Board of County Commissioners meeting a few weeks ago.

They have adopted the policy language that is proposed here. They put it up on their screen and I thought, well, that's interesting; I like the way they've kind of approached the idea of ex -- my opinion was the expectation of board action when it comes out of the public. Meaning, the board gets something that's cold and hasn't been vetted, staff's had no chance to react to it or brief or even do research into the matter.

So it's primarily related to that part of the -- of what they were putting on the screen there, which had to do with -- I'm trying to read it here quickly.

But you already for the most part have the idea of a predetermined amount of time to speak, which is always up to the Chair to grant additional latitude in the time. And frankly, if that's a
sticking point, the time either could be changed or eliminated.

But it was more the -- the item of the public can't disturb the meeting with personal, impertinent, slanderous remarks or boisterous behavior, and the public will address the board as a whole and -- and refrain from making a demand for any immediate response from the board.

This is the proposed addition to this. It was something that it -- \(I\)-- while \(I\) was reading it, \(I\) was thinking, well, that's a component -- there's a couple of components that we don't -- we don't incorporate into our policy.

It -- and our meeting conduct policy has its roots -- if you go back that far enough, has its roots in the County Commission's meeting conduct policy in that it was -- a great deal of that language was brought forward to the Airport Authority to adopt, and of course we've tailored it over the years to be a little more casual than the Board of County Commissioners' version of it.

But we're throwing that out there as a suggested slight adjustment to your meeting conduct policy. Otherwise, the balance of the policy would
remain in effect. And \(I\) believe we did provide -put a copy attached to that because it's not -while you should have a copy, you may not have ready access to it.

MR. BURNETT: And -- and if \(I\) might just add, this is very similar, not just to the county, but the historic city and the City of St. Augustine Beach, very similar kind of language across the board in this context of a three-minute time limit and the rest of the language are very similar.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Do we need to amend the policy, though, to just allow people three minutes?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Actually --
MR. MIRGEAUX: Isn't that just like an action of the Chair, just you're recognized for three minutes?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it is in there. I mean, that's -- and it is up to the Chair to go on further or whatever. But it's to prevent an hour of a filibuster type of thing.

MR. MIRGEAUX: Right. But, I mean, the Chair can just do that anyway, can't they?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sometimes it helps to have it in writing to support --

MR. MIRGEAUX: Well, I -- the reason why I say
that is that in my experience with general, you know, recognizing speakers on the floor and how it works is the Chair can recognize somebody for an hour if they want, but if they don't want to, they're limited. And I've been doing this now for about a year, I'm the least experienced person here, but we don't have a problem with that.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're right. And some of this language, we don't have a problem with our public. But it is the guidance of what has been done with the other commissions and in other cities and what have you and it just gives a floor. It doesn't mean we have to limit, your timer's up and it's three minutes. I don't think anybody here has done that --

MR. WUELLNER: I don't even think --
CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- since I've been since 2000 .

MR. WUELLNER: -- we're capable of a time limit right this minute in that respect.

MR. MIRGEAUX: I don't think we should be, you know, telling people to sit down after three minutes, though.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I'm truly less concerned with the time involved. That's -- that's the least
because that's -- you know, you want to hear what people have to say --

MR. MIRGEAUX: Absolutely.
MR. WUELLNER: -- and that's perfectly appropriate.

MR. MAGUIRE: If \(I\) can make a comment.
This was a big issue when \(I\) was a commissioner because I believe that if you have something to say, you should be able to get up and say it. The problem is, that we've ran into, is that most people don't know how to express themselves, and they'll stand up and they'll start talking and talking and talking, and five, ten minutes later, they haven't really got the issue out yet or their position out.

When you limit them to three minutes or five minutes or whatever you say, you're really forcing them to be more succinct and get to the point, which was a far better way of doing it because then we understood.

The Chair can always extend it if the Chair wants to, but it gives some credence to the people out there speaking. We expect you to get to the point and not ramble. So three minutes was a critical issue with us.

What I am uncomfortable with this, and it's not with this group here, but it's with the City of St. Augustine. The City of St. Augustine is famous for ignoring people who talk for three minutes. They'll just let them sit there and talk, and you can see the people up there, they just sort of -as a matter of fact one person was famous for playing on his cell phone when people were talking. They don't pay attention.

For that reason, \(I\) have always felt that it was acceptable for the person to say, "Can I get a response?" And sometimes the board would look up and say, "Oh, what'd you say?" You know, you could see they were dumbfounded.

For that reason, the last sentence up here, not make a demand, I agree with the fact that you shouldn't make a demand, but \(I\) would never refrain or tell a person they can't ask for a response. I would never tell them that. If you tell me you have a problem or a concern, you also have the right to say, "I would like to have a response."

MR. WUELLNER: And frankly --
MR. MAGUIRE: That's different than a demand.
MR. WUELLNER: True. And we -- we don't
constrict it. We just don't have a problem.

MR. MAGUIRE: Not here.
MR. WUELLNER: But we've had the opportunity on a few occasions years ago for members of the general public who completely unplugged from the Airport Authority just showing up --

MR. MAGUIRE: Sure.
MR. WUELLNER: -- and, you know, doing like they do with the County Commission and the City Commission and the Beach Commission, and there are individuals in our community well-known for their -- their attempts at obstreperous behavior.

MR. MAGUIRE: I'll give you a worst-case example of the three-minute violation --

MR. BRUNSON: Three minutes is up here.
MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. The three minutes -- this lady came up and started complaining and with about ten minutes left, she started singing God Bless America. And the whole -- everybody just -everybody looked up, what do we do now? Finally everybody stood up and started singing with her. You know, and what did she achieve? I have no idea, but she did exactly that.

MR. BRUNSON: I'll make one comment. I have faith in this crowd and \(I\) have faith in you as Chairman to handle this, but I'll tell you, when
you when you're running for political office and you've got three minutes to talk, you're going to tell what you want to get out because that little bell goes "Ding" and then you're over. CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're preaching to the choir here. In the courtroom, Judge Alexander's (indicating) "Out." MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anyway we have -- I mean, it's a suggested action. If anyone -MR. MAGUIRE: I mean, do we have to postpone it? Can we vote on it tonight? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. I make a motion we accept it.

MR. BRUNSON: I'll second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: A second? Any further board discussion?
(None.)
CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it's fine. And what we have to do as a board is we'll regulate it. You know, is three minutes reasonable, whatever's reasonable, is ten minutes reasonable for it? That's what we as a board can work with that. MR. BRUNSON: Does this pertain to everybody,
```

    even Reba Ludlow?
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: Especially Reba Ludlow.
        MR. MIRGEAUX: I think you should take -- I
    think you should take three minutes out. I think
    if you just say brief.
        MR. MAGUIRE: I wouldn't support it if you
    took the brief out.
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, because that's just --
        MR. MIRGEAUX: It just sounds like you're
    limiting people.
        MR. MAGUIRE: You are.
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: But it's --
        MR. MAGUIRE: You're -- well, it's not that
    you're limiting. You're telling them to be
    succinct and get to the point.
        MR. MIRGEAUX: Then say that: Be succinct.
        MR. MAGUIRE: Well, that's sort of vague.
        MR. MIRGEAUX: Don't say three minutes.
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Well, I've got a
    motion and a second.
        MR. HERNANDEZ: No public comment?
        CHAIRMAN GREEN: I didn't have anyone that put
    down public comment, but it's --
        MR. HERNANDEZ: I -- I wrote on there.
        MS. LUDLOW: We all did.
    ```

MR. MAGUIRE: On the three-minute issue?
MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay. Then go ahead.
Public comment. Mr --
MR. HERNANDEZ: I'm going to keep it under
three minutes, okay?
First of all, this is a public forum. I mean, it's public. If they don't want to be in the public forum, they shouldn't be here.

Second of all, the Chairman has the right and the discrepancy to say "You know, this is too much. You need to sit down."

Again, \(I\) go back to: Are you trying to fix a problem that doesn't exist? Even by your standards, this hasn't been a problem. When it becomes a problem, then -- then attack it, fix it. It isn't a problem here.

And after all, the Chairman can say, "Galin, you've been talking too much, sit down," or in -in some kind of terms. And just because Johnny jumps off a cliff doesn't mean you should jump off the cliff.

If other people are having a problem, let them look at their problem and fix it. If we're having a problem, let us fix it. If we don't have
```

    problem, it ain't broke, don't fix it. That's my
    opinion.
    ```
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
    MS. LUDLOW: No comment.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. Okay. Back to the
    board. So we had a first and a second. Any
    further board discussion?
    MR. MIRGEAUX: I have --
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
    MR. MIRGEAUX: -- complete faith and
    confidence in you to run the meetings
    professionally, as you've done every -- every one
    I've been to and every one that I've read the
    minutes of, and \(I\) don't think we need to amend the
    current policy.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: As part of discussion, I've
    been on this board since 2000 and there are times
    that this would have --
    MR. MAGUIRE: I started to say --
    MR. BRUNSON: You've never sat through an
    eminent domain at the airport.
    CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
    MR. BRUNSON: 12 years ago --
    MR. WUELLNER: Which we're not doing.
    MR. BRUNSON: -- we were here forever.

MR. MIRGEAUX: But then to Galin's point, I mean, it is a public forum. And if there is -like, \(I\) mean, the hangar lease changes, a lot of people are interested in that. They need to talk about it -CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. MR. MIRGEAUX: -- and we need to hear them. And if they go over their time -MR. MAGUIRE: And she allows it. MR. MIRGEAUX: -- great. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And just a point of clarification because I don't want anybody confused over it. This applies only to the general public comment, not the comments related to agenda items. MR. MIRGEAUX: Oh. So it's not -CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is at the very end. MR. WUELLNER: This is only the -MR. MIRGEAUX: This is the -MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. MIRGEAUX: -- new business second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is poor Mr. Pruitt who's been sitting here since the beginning that needs to speak. Yeah, it's the general public.

MR. WUELLNER: Next week, we'll give you more than three minutes.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All in favor of the suggested staff recommendation?

MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
MR. MAGUIRE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. All opposed?
MR. MIRGEAUX: Nay.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. It will pass 3 to 1. PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I think have we gotten through all of our -- Cindy, tell me. I think we're good now. We're down to public comment. Mr. Pruitt.

MR. PRUITT: It's my turn?
MR. WUELLNER: Bring him back up.
MR. PRUITT: I don't know if \(I\) can do this in three minutes. No filibusters. I'll keep it under three minutes.

A few weeks ago there was something that was a concern to me and my operation here at the airport. At the last minute, one of the access gates was chained. I understood that there wasn't a key accessible to -- to Atlantic Aviation.

I have team members that work in our hangar. God forbid something happened to them and they needed emergency equipment. And now we have no way
```

to get to our hangar through the -- through the
ramp because of the -- the padlocked gate. And
that's a -- that's a medical concern to me and my
team members. So that's the first thing.
Secondly, I'd like to just ask a question in
general. We've talked a lot about T-hangars and
box hangars. Where are we at with regards to
corporate hangars and looking into that in the
future? That's mine. Thank you, very much.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Additional corporate hangars?
MR. PRUITT: Additional corporate hangars,
yes.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
MR. WUELLNER: They're programmed. I think
the earliest is '23-'24, for the next -- next
corporate.
MR. PRUITT: I couldn't hear.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: They're programmed in '23,
2023 into our budget, okay? Thank you, so much.
MR. PRUITT: Thank you.
MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Maguire, board member
comments?
MR. BRUNSON: I have none.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

```

MR. MAGUIRE: Hey, she was asking me. MR. BRUNSON: I know, but I have none. MR. MAGUIRE: Yeah, I do. MR. MIRGEAUX: Three minutes. MR. MAGUIRE: As I -- three minutes, public comment.

As mentioned before, when people have complaints, they call me. Bob Buckmaster called me, okay, complaining a lot. And it took me quite a while to figure out what he was complaining about.

He said that the administrator turned him down twice to build a warehouse out here on the end of a piece -- on the property down on the corner, and he checked with FAA and FAA regulations allow his two-story warehouse. And he was complaining that the airport turned him down. Can you enlighten us on that situation?

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The -- I don't -- I can't in any way explain whatever he did or didn't do with FAA and that's the first I'm hearing any conversation. FAA never contacted us or had any other --

MR. MAGUIRE: No, he said he went and checked the regs.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, he doesn't understand the regs, let's start with that point.

But secondarily, this particular piece of property is at the corner of Gun Club Road and U.S. 1, which is up the end of Runway 31 -- well, Runway 13, if you would, the approach end of 13. The great majority of this particular corner of property underlies our FAR Part 77 hazardous air -- airspace restrictions. Our runways, clear zones, approach areas, transitional surfaces all overlay that piece of property. It has never been buildable in the scheme of things as long as that runway's been in existence, long before I ever got here.

Our job administratively is to protect that approach, that runway and that whole thing permanently. The code of county regulations, the Land Development Regulations defer to Part 77 restrictions on that property.

He never moved that application beyond a, what would we think, what would our reaction be to him filing for some kind of permit to begin, whether it was zoning -- I don't remember now, whether it was zoning or building permits or something related to that property.

We conveyed to him that we would oppose it based on a Part 177 -- or a Part 77 surface obligation of that piece of property. We conveyed that to him. That's the extent of our denial of that. We do not have zoning authority. We do not have permitting authority, we do not have anything else. We are just simply consulted.

MR. MAGUIRE: Yeah.
MR. WUELLNER: And they -- this was a courtesy review, if you will, as to what our likely position would be for that particular corner.

MR. MAGUIRE: But that -- and I --
MR. WUELLNER: It's a very unique piece of property.

MR. MAGUIRE: Well, it is unique, and I knew there was something. He complained of two stories was not high enough to be a problem and I mentioned clear zones and he -- the only thing he said was he checked the regs and he felt like that he was legal -- well, I mean, his client. Bob Buckmaster, by the way, is a real estate broker who is handling the transaction.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, great guy. I -- it's certainly not an issue with Bob.

But he never really -- we actually told him
that the warehouse could be made to be fine. It's a question of whether that's located on that parcel of property, because it can be located outside of the area.

The original request that we fielded was for a high volume pizza restaurant that was going to be under there with light standards, all kinds of, what do they call them congreg -- mass congregation or some terminology --

MR. BURNETT: Yes.

MR. WUELLNER: -- some terminology in there that's specifically prohibited in the LDRs or the Land Development Regulations of the county.

We just simply pointed out that we don't see how you can make that work. And we further said, if you can make it work on the piece of property, avoid all of the imaginary surfaces under Part -Part 77, and not impact the Airport Authority's adjoining property, meaning we have to sell you, create drainage for you or do any other thing, then design away. You know, you can take this to the county and see what happens. But we're not going to write you a letter of support for -MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: -- you know, a congregation
area underneath a final approach.
MR. MAGUIRE: And that's legit. He made it sound like that the airport killed the whole transaction.

MR. WUELLNER: Well, it may have inadvertently done that because he didn't -- you just can't walk in and -- like you could anywhere else.

MR. BURNETT: There's -- and for the sake of you knowing everything related to it, there's been some discussion about potential for swapping that piece of land --

MR. MAGUIRE: Yes.
MR. BURNETT: -- for another piece of land, and he proposed some of the U.S. 1 frontage about where the Civil Air Patrol rented that building from the airport, that area.

MR. MAGUIRE: Uh-huh.
MR. BURNETT: And that's kind of a nonstarter because obviously the airport's going to expand to the south at some point in time towards that frontage. Who knows what goes there long term?

MR. MAGUIRE: And that was -- he should have never even thought of that one.

MR. BURNETT: And so there was another piece. And now actually the airport owns some
noncontiguous property to the north, and so that's been discussed as well. And in fact, we just got something from him I think Friday related along those lines. So we've had a lot of conversation and communication with him about it.

At this stage, you know, the only thing that was done in the past is -- with properties around the airplane is ask for the -- ask for the avigation easement, you know, which has been pretty standard.

And so we've floated that out there as well on that parcel of property that they want to develop, the one that if it comes back through zoning and the county asks us about it, it would be, well, we think the avigation easement's appropriate.

MR. WUELLNER: But as a whole, trading or selling property, particularly that we acquired using state or federal funds, is -- is a relatively difficult transaction.

MR. MAGUIRE: Correct. And I wasn't even going to get into the avigation easement. I was just talking about Bob's claim that the airport -MR. WUELLNER: Just dismissed him? Is that -MR. MAGUIRE: Well, he used different words. MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure.

MR. MAGUIRE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Mirgeaux, did you have anything?

MR. MIRGEAUX: Aerospace Academy meets here Wednesday, day after tomorrow, from 5:00 to 6:00. Project innovation was last week, Friday. So I'm eager to find out how the students did. That's it. (Mr. Brunson exits the meeting).

CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I really don't have anything. I think Steve went to the EDC breakfast. I don't know if you did, Bruce. I couldn't make it.

MR. MAGUIRE: No.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: There wasn't anything?

All right. Our next proposed meeting date is December 16th, and we do have that -- definitely one agenda item that we know about. It's going to be the hangars.

MR. MAGUIRE: What am I supposed to do with this? How do I read this? A lot of names, a lot of tail numbers. Owners of aircraft, relationships --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: These were LLC, examples of things. So I think I'd hold on to that for our next meeting.

MR. MAGUIRE: Okay. I definitely will. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then \(I\) will adjourn the meeting. Thank you, very much, everybody. (Meeting adjourned at 5:48 p.m.)
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& \text { acceptance [2] 3/1 } \\
& 3 / 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\text { [1] } 105 / 4
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lll}
101 / 7 & 101 / 11 & 101 / 14 \\
102 / 23 & 103 / 1 & 103 / 11 \\
103 / 16 & 103 / 21 & 104 / 19
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
'24 [1] 98/15 \\
'96 [1] 19/22
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
5 percent [1] 49/3 \\
50 percent [1] 34/23 \\
51 [1] 41/6
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { accepted [3] } 3 / 143 / 19 \\
& 3 / 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
affect [1] 50/13 \\
affected [1] 50/12 \\
affects [1] 60/1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{A \\ affiliated [1] 74/24 afoul [1] 12/5 \\ after [6] 18/14 51/11 83/8 88/22 94/18 105/5 afternoon [1] 50/7 again [6] 18/14 21/3 35/16 44/7 69/22 94/13} agenda [16] 2/5 3/22 3/24 3/24 4/3 4/7 4/15 18/24 40/11 67/22 76/10 80/18 80/19 82/12 96/14 105/17
ago [9] 5/6 5/16 24/13 45/11 50/11 85/8 91/3 95/23 97/18
agree [12] 31/12 40/5 58/23 58/24 59/6 65/22 66/18 67/20 70/1 72/10 74/2 90/16
agreement [6] 51/21 56/4 68/24 69/3 69/3 70/8
agreements [1] 13/4 ahead [5] 18/1 30/5 49/11 82/23 94/3 ain't [1] 95/1
air [6] 38/8 38/10 41/5 46/3 100/9 103/15
Air Force [1] 46/3
aircraft [125]
aircraft's [2] 8/16 36/7 aircrafts [1] 5/17 airplane [42] 14/16 16/2 25/1 25/6 25/12 25/21 26/2 27/6 27/11 27/21 27/22 28/6 28/9 29/18 29/21 31/11 37/23 39/14 39/20 41/6 42/20 46/5 47/11 47/13 47/15 48/19 49/20 52/2 52/4 52/7 52/18 54/21
54/22 55/12 59/6 60/14 60/14 60/19 61/3 62/16 73/1 104/8
airplane's [2] 47/2 48/15
airplanes [30] 23/3 24/18 24/18 24/20 24/21 26/3 36/23 37/17 37/20 38/2 38/20 40/21 40/24 41/9 41/18 41/19 42/8 42/15 51/25 59/3 60/4 61/1 62/21 63/1 63/9 63/14 64/5 65/18 66/14 68/7
airport [30] 1/1 1/16 15/25 17/4 23/5 24/17 30/22 30/22 34/17 36/5 51/10 64/19 64/21 65/2 66/1 69/9 70/20 73/5 81/21 83/12 86/19 91/5 95/21 97/19 99/17 102/18 103/3 103/16 103/25 104/22

Airport Authority [5] 23/5 36/5 83/12 86/19 91/5
Airport Authority's [1] 102/18
airport's [5] 17/6 23/16 23/20 65/13 103/19 airports [2] 61/23 66/11
airspace [1] 100/9 Alexander's [1] 92/6 all [46] 16/23 24/6 24/10 25/3 27/3 27/5 29/23 29/25 30/2 31/6 31/13 31/15 31/16 31/24 32/1 32/2 37/12 38/12 42/10 46/25 48/5 51/6 52/14 54/9 58/17 59/25 60/22 61/13 61/22 65/16 71/14 72/7 79/25 84/18 93/19 93/25 94/7 94/10 94/18 97/1 97/5 97/10 100/10 102/7 102/17 105/15 ALLEGIANCE [2] \(2 / 3\) 3/4
alleviated [1] 42/12 allow [10] 9/10 9/10 11/15 11/25 16/10 20/7 45/12 64/13 87/12 99/15
allowed [2] 7/12 34/18 allowing [2] 20/1 35/4 allows [5] 22/8 22/9 36/12 39/2 96/9
almost [1] 50/10
along [4] 6/2 18/9 40/9 104/3
already [10] 13/3 13/16 13/21 52/13 52/20 52/24 53/19 56/14 82/6 85/22
also [13] 1/14 13/13 16/3 27/14 30/23 34/13 49/17 52/17 57/1 61/24 68/21 78/19 90/20
Alternating [1] 24/1 alternative [1] 49/15 always [5] 7/5 18/16 85/24 89/21 90/10 am [3] 24/24 90/1 105/19
amend [2] \(87 / 1195 / 14\) Amendment [4] 2/9 2/9 4/4 85/4
America [1] 91/18
among [1] 19/15
amount [4] 23/9 23/18 85/7 85/23
analogy [2] 32/12 32/16
analyze [1] 12/23 annual [1] 83/11 annually [2] 70/14 70/15
another [17] 6/3 27/14 28/11 38/15 40/22 44/3 55/14 55/14 56/2 56/2 56/6 57/17 60/19 72/19 83/18 103/13 103/24
answer [3] 48/25 57/2 58/11
answers [2] 58/15 67/7 anticipating [1] 10/15 antique [1] 45/21 antiques [2] 26/5 26/5 any [39] 3/25 12/5 17/10 19/10 22/25 24/3 25/15 32/14 35/23 36/15 36/16 41/18 44/14 46/16 56/13 62/7 65/10 68/13 69/20 70/2 71/2 71/23 72/14 72/22 77/1 80/5 80/14 83/16 83/17 84/16 84/23 86/8 92/17 95/3 95/6 99/20 99/21 99/22 102/20 anybody [11] 3/15 22/16 25/15 32/13 59/21 59/24 62/2 74/23 75/15 88/14 96/12
anybody's [1] 6/10 anymore [2] 41/14 45/23
anyone [3] 79/18 92/10 93/22
anything [14] 21/4
29/9 46/7 59/17 62/1
66/2 72/14 81/9 82/4
82/10 101/6 105/3
105/10 105/14
anyway [6] 29/5 61/1
61/15 83/1 87/22 92/9
anyways [1] 17/8
anywhere [3] 10/9 32/14 103/7
AOPA [1] 60/2
apartment [6] 32/13
32/17 32/18 32/21 71/5 71/6
apartments [2] 32/13 32/14
appeared [2] 45/2 45/6
application [1] 100/20
applies [1] 96/13
apply [3] 5/12 6/12 63/21
applying [2] 5/19 5/20 appreciate [1] 25/23 approach [4] 100/6 100/10 100/16 103/1 approached [1] 85/12 appropriate [2] 89/5 104/15
approval [4] 2/5 3/22 3/24 83/13
approve [1] 4/3
approximately [1]
83/10
are [68] 3/19 7/17 8/1

10/25 11/1 11/12 15/9 15/10 15/15 16/24
17/19 19/12 26/5 26/22 31/10 32/10 32/24 \(33 / 1433 / 1533 / 16\) 33/18 33/19 33/21 34/10 35/19 35/20 35/25 37/16 37/18 37/21 39/22 41/2 44/23 47/1 53/3 53/3 53/4 53/7 53/21 53/23 54/2 56/16 57/11 57/16 57/21 58/25 59/13 63/13 63/21 65/23 67/4 69/2 69/10 74/6 75/14 76/5 76/6 78/22 81/18 87/10 91/9 93/11 94/13 94/23 95/17 96/4 98/7 101/7
area [5] 19/22 83/6 102/4 103/1 103/16 areas [1] 100/10 aren't [3] 8/2 61/19 75/2
argument [1] 65/3 around [5] 6/9 6/10 38/13 38/21 104/7 arrangement [1] 19/20 Art [1] 42/24 as [104] \(3 / 73 / 73 / 19\) 3/21 4/3 5/13 6/9 6/9 6/10 6/10 8/5 8/12 8/25 9/16 10/24 11/14 14/3 14/22 15/6 15/6 16/9 16/10 16/23 16/23 19/6 19/24 19/24 21/14 21/15 22/1 22/2 22/9 23/10 23/10 23/11 23/11 24/15 24/20 31/19 31/19 32/17 34/16 36/4 36/4 36/5 38/21 40/25 41/23 42/1 42/1 42/10 42/11 42/19 43/13 45/3 45/4 45/8 46/16 46/21 46/21 46/22 46/22 48/5 48/5 52/17 54/23 54/23 55/16 56/5 62/18 62/18 63/1 64/2 64/2 65/17 65/25 69/19 70/22 71/11 71/12 71/12 72/13 72/13 77/16 77/17 79/1 82/1 84/1 86/6 86/23 90/7 91/24 92/21 92/24 95/12 95/16 99/5 99/7 100/12 100/12 101/10 104/2 104/11 104/16
ask [5] 18/6 90/18 98/5
104/8 104/8
asked [2] 36/22 78/12 asking [4] 18/22 69/22 73/4 99/1
asks [1] 104/14
aspect [2] 16/12 23/21
asset [1] 58/12 assets [3] 58/5 60/16 60/20
assignment [2] 9/13 34/24
assignments [1] 9/11 Association [2] 51/10 81/22
assurances [1] 69/12 Atlantic [2] 81/5 97/22 Atlantic Aviation [1] 97/22
attached [2] 84/5 87/2 attack [1] 94/16 attempts [1] 91/11 attend [1] 79/18 attention [2] 27/1 90/9 attorney [2] 1/16 60/3 AUGUSTINE [12] 1/1 1/5 1/15 1/22 1/23 28/8 51/10 73/3 81/21 87/7 90/3 90/3
authority [11] 1/1 1/16 2/12 23/5 35/3 36/5 83/12 86/19 91/5 101/5 101/6
Authority's [1] 102/18 authorized [2] 5/25 107/7
automatically [2]
70/17 70/18
available [1] 49/20
aviation [5] 19/23
52/15 68/24 72/17 97/22
aviation-related [1] 72/17
avigation [3] 104/9 104/15 104/21
avoid [1] 102/17
aware [1] 69/8
away [1] 102/21 aye [12] 80/1 80/2 80/3 80/4 80/5 84/20 84/21 84/22 84/23 97/3 97/4 97/5

\section*{B}
back [30] 5/4 5/14 9/1
11/25 12/25 18/12 22/10 26/19 27/17 33/25 37/24 38/2 40/19 40/24 46/21 56/12 59/14 61/7 62/5 66/3 66/4 71/25 80/13 80/15 82/25 86/15 94/13 95/5 97/14 104/13
backdrop [1] 29/7 background [1] 6/6
bad [1] 21/11
balance [1] 86/25
bank [1] 76/3
based [3] 14/11 73/2 101/2
basic [1] 7/23
\begin{tabular}{|l}
\hline B \\
\hline basically [3] 30/12 \\
\(69 / 1778 / 7\) \\
basis [1] 22/3 \\
bat [1] 4/9 \\
be [135] \\
Beach [2] 87/8 91/9 \\
BEASON [3] 1/21 \\
107/6 107/13
\end{tabular}
because [60] 5/119/25 11/17 13/14 14/17 19/4 21/13 21/16 25/21 26/1 27/5 27/10 27/13 28/7
29/6 31/19 33/12 37/14 37/19 40/10 44/25 45/11 45/17 45/18 46/11 46/23 47/4 47/5 52/8 52/10 52/16 52/23 55/25 56/3 56/5 57/3 57/19 58/5 61/16 62/2 64/15 65/3 65/6 74/20 76/9 77/10 78/21 84/8 87/2 89/1 89/8 89/19 92/3 93/8 94/20 96/12 98/2 102/3 103/6 103/19
become [1] 57/18 becomes [3] 46/5 49/20 94/16
been [41] 5/11 6/8 6/9 6/10 7/5 13/8 20/11 20/14 24/12 24/17 24/19 25/16 26/6 26/13 29/6 31/16 40/16 40/17 43/3 47/3 47/20 48/22 52/20 52/24 56/13 60/23 68/5 85/15 88/5 88/10 88/17 94/15 94/19 95/13 95/17 96/22 100/11 100/13 103/9 104/2 104/9 before [11] 18/2 18/19 25/20 52/22 67/11 67/16 76/7 79/5 82/9 99/7 100/13
begin [1] 100/22 beginning [3] 62/15 69/10 96/22
behavior [2] 86/6 91/11
behind [5] 6/19 9/18
15/22 29/8 55/20
being [8] \(8 / 58 / 22\) 15/25 16/3 20/5 29/9 40/3 45/8
believe [11] 14/25 47/6 49/17 50/14 61/5 62/15 63/22 72/20 77/15 87/1 89/8
believed [1] 33/8 bell [1] \(92 / 4\)
besides [1] 60/25 better [5] 12/16 34/1 48/25 69/17 89/19
between [12] 5/22

10/18 15/16 23/19 38/2 bright [1] 9/15 43/15 51/19 54/3 65/1 bring [6] 26/25 41/18 67/22 70/8 80/8 beyond [6] 7/25 15/2 16/20 30/15 30/17 100/20
big [7] 20/15 38/8 42/4 42/17 46/23 64/8 89/7
bigger [1] 6/20
biggest [1] 30/10
bit [5] 3/9 4/13 24/14 45/17 68/8
Bless [1] 91/17
blowing [1] 38/9
board [29] 1/9 4/11
18/4 19/25 24/3 47/20
62/6 62/7 62/11 64/14
69/8 77/1 83/16 84/16
85/7 85/13 85/14 86/6 86/8 86/21 87/9 90/12 92/17 92/21 92/24 95/6 95/7 95/17 98/22
board's [1] 20/7 Bob [5] 56/9 56/10 99/8 101/20 101/24
Bob's [1] 104/22 boisterous [1] 86/5 bonkers [1] 63/17 both [4] 5/8 5/20 24/24 36/3
bought [3] 56/1 57/3 57/17
Boulevard [1] 1/22 box [72] 4/4 4/9 5/9 5/14 5/16 5/22 6/4 6/16 7/4 7/13 7/15 7/17 7/18 8/23 10/4 10/5 10/8 10/13 10/21 10/23 10/25 13/6 13/20 14/3 14/6 16/14 16/17 16/18 19/13 20/8 22/18 23/2 24/11 30/11 30/14 31/25 36/10 36/11 39/6 39/14 40/3 40/8 40/25 41/13 43/22 44/14 50/18 51/19 52/4 52/10 52/11 53/1 53/5 53/7 53/21 54/4 54/9 54/10 54/15 57/11 62/20 62/21 63/11 64/4 64/18 65/8 67/23 68/1 68/19 72/5 77/16 98/7
boxes [5] 6/18 36/4 45/2 45/9 45/10
breach [2] 26/14 28/25 break [2] 6/15 13/23 breakfast [1] 105/10 breaking [5] 9/24 13/13 36/11 51/23 72/14
Brian [4] 50/4 50/4 50/6 80/18 brief [3] 85/16 93/5 93/7
briefly [1] 82/5

42/8 42/9 47/12 97/14 bringing [1] 52/25 brings [2] 23/16 75/22 broke [3] 6/22 6/22 95/1
broken [1] 30/1
broker [1] 101/21
brought [5] 42/6 45/17 47/10 82/24 86/18
BRUCE [4] 1/11 59/6 75/21 105/11
Bruce's [1] 71/16
BRUNSON [3] 1/10
76/10 105/8
Buckmaster [2] 99/8 101/20
budget [1] 98/19
build [4] 20/5 52/18 66/9 99/13
buildable [1] 100/12
building [7] 17/9 32/13
53/12 53/14 53/23
100/24 103/15
buildings [1] 65/15
built [3] 24/13 53/8 53/10
burden [1] 64/20 BURNETT [2] 1/15 30/7
business [27] 2/7 2/8 4/16 4/17 16/22 25/11 25/12 25/13 27/15 28/10 28/11 28/13 28/23 29/3 29/20 35/14 35/24 38/3 38/22 64/10 65/13 66/20 69/20 81/5 81/6 81/13 96/20
businesses [1] 63/14
busy [1] 28/16
buy [5] 44/8 52/5 54/22 55/14 60/19

\section*{c}
calendar [1] 79/6
call [10] 3/2 14/2 14/21
23/22 59/16 59/20
78/21 79/19 99/8 102/8
call-in [2] 78/21 79/19
called [4] 46/3 50/21
61/22 99/8
calling [1] 69/14
came [8] 19/2 26/16
26/18 26/19 33/12 45/3 45/7 91/16
can [96] 4/10 4/13 4/18
7/18 7/19 11/5 11/13
12/11 18/11 18/14
19/10 21/16 21/21
21/22 23/9 24/10 24/21
25/4 25/5 25/8 26/1
28/9 29/24 30/4 30/24
31/4 31/11 32/14 33/2
34/3 36/18 37/10 39/8

39/10 41/17 41/17 41/22 42/8 44/5 46/8 46/11 47/19 48/25 49/21 50/7 52/12 52/14 52/19 56/22 56/24
57/22 61/11 62/12 63/2 64/5 65/18 67/11 67/13 68/6 68/9 68/10 68/15 70/20 70/22 72/5 73/12 73/23 77/24 77/24 78/4 78/9 78/10 78/20 78/24 78/24 79/1 79/11 79/12 81/2 81/3 82/1 87/22 88/3 89/6 89/21 90/6 90/11 92/12 92/24 94/18 97/15 99/17 102/3 102/15 102/16 102/21
can't [26] 12/13 19/6 20/14 25/11 26/7 27/2 31/7 32/5 38/8 38/10 38/13 38/21 52/7 57/6 57/21 60/9 63/18 63/25 78/23 78/25 79/18 86/4 87/22 90/18 99/20 103/6
canceled [1] 29/1
candid [1] 7/10
cannot [5] 26/4 52/15 63/23 63/23 64/13
capable [1] 88/19
car [3] 45/23 46/22 46/22
cards [1] 51/6
care [10] 21/6 26/16
26/17 26/18 32/18
35/23 47/17 64/2 64/4 67/17
careful [2] 66/7 66/13
Carol [3] 3/5 81/1 81/9
cars [1] 45/21
Casa [1] 1/4
cascading [1] 28/4
case [5] 8/22 21/21
47/4 64/17 91/12
cases [4] 25/9 71/8
74/14 74/23
casual [1] 86/21
cater [1] 59/11
caught [1] 66/23
cause [1] 51/22
cell [1] 90/8
Center [1] \(1 / 3\)
certainly [1] 101/24
CERTIFICATE [2] 2/14 107/1
certify [1] 107/6
chained [1] 97/21
Chair [10] 17/17 67/5
78/7 85/24 87/15 87/18 87/21 88/3 89/21 89/21
Chairman [5] \(1 / 10\)
18/25 91/25 94/10
94/18
challenge [1] 25/14
challenging [1] 11/23
chance [4] 59/10 59/21
80/10 85/16
change [13] 4/1 9/18
10/6 10/12 54/16 55/6 55/9 55/11 60/9 61/10 61/11 61/12 77/20
changed [7] 8/14 55/6 58/5 58/8 58/12 58/13 86/1
changes [11] 5/5 5/7
24/15 24/22 29/12
48/10 58/17 59/25 70/2 71/3 96/3
changing [3] 55/10 59/2 72/1
character [1] 67/1
characteristics [1] 63/4
characterization [1]
34/1
charter [1] 83/4
chasing [1] 19/9
check [16] 22/3 22/12
23/4 23/16 26/11 26/16 26/18 26/19 26/19 73/17 74/5 74/17 75/7
75/8 75/15 76/2
checked [4] 56/24
99/15 99/24 101/19
checks [2] 22/20 74/19
choice [1] 49/23
choir [1] 92/5
Christmas [2] 81/22 81/22
Cindy [2] 48/24 97/10
circumstances [3]
15/12 16/4 73/6
circumvent [3] 12/2
35/6 53/22
cities [1] 88/11
city [5] 87/7 87/7 90/2
90/3 91/8
Civil [1] 103/15
claim [2] 12/6 104/22
clarification [6] 6/14
8/24 19/4 41/10 67/13 96/12
clarifications [1] 58/16
clarified [3] 46/20
52/13 52/21
clarify [4] 13/22 33/21
37/13 54/2
clarifying [1] 54/18
clarity [1] 9/25
class [1] 35/8
clear [10] 6/17 9/15
17/11 37/1 68/10 68/12
77/7 77/9 100/9 101/18
clears [1] 75/17
client [1] 101/20
cliff [2] 94/21 94/22
climate [2] 19/23 19/24
closes [1] 3/7
closest [1] 47/24
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline C & completion [1] & \[
\mathbf{c c}
\] & & \\
\hline club [2] 21/6 100/4 & &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 82/3 82/7 87/1 91/21 } \\
& 91 / 2293 / 2599 / 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
coast [1] 40/18 \\
code [1] 100/17
\end{tabular} & complicated [1] 31/10 & copy [2] 87/2 87/3 & damage [1] 27 & 05/2 105/7 105/ \\
\hline Cola [1] 1/4 & component [1] & corner [4] 99/14 100/4 & Dan [3] 27/15 27/20 & 107/7 \\
\hline cold [1] 85/15 combination [ & compressor [1] 38/9 & corporate [5] & data [1] & 13/18 30/10 36/10 \\
\hline  & compromised [1] & 98/10 98/11 98/ & date [4] 70/10 & 2 \\
\hline combined [1] & compulsive [1] 38/17 & corporation [2] 19/9 & 79/20 105/ & 71/4 93/22 99/20 103/6 \\
\hline \[
\text { me [15] } 5 / 18
\] & concept [7] 14 & & Dated [ & di \\
\hline 9/14 19/25 23/17 26/1 & 15/22 25/7 25/14 & correct [10] 4/25 23/12 & day [6] & \\
\hline 44/4 44/7 47/24 49/10 & 29 & 41/15 41/16 41/21 54/5 & 55/ & rent [22] \\
\hline 58/19 64/14 65/11 71/1 & concern [6] 33 & 63/7 66/4 66/24 104/2 & 107/10 & 8/14 14/13 14/13 \\
\hline 82/2 & 50/11 52/2 90/20 97/1 & corrosion [1] 25/21 & days [6] 28/14 29 & 24/18 24/19 31/1 \\
\hline & 98/3 & Corvette [2] 32/19 & 39/19 49/13 66/1 & 41/19 51/24 54/2 \\
\hline 32/20 64/11 85 & concern & & 6/24 & 57/19 58/1 58/2 \\
\hline & concerns [6] & could [25] & de [1] & 4 61/14 68/6 90 \\
\hline co & 35/3 41/2 42/12 42/13 & 14/7 15/12 16/4 17/ & deal [11] & 04/24 \\
\hline 56/7 66/15 66 & 47/5 & 23/22 36/4 36/8 40 & 21/7 28/17 2 & differently [2] 45/ \\
\hline comment [22] & co & 42/17 43/10 43 & 46/23 48/18 & \\
\hline 3/15 5/1 10/15 18/23 & conduct [7] & /14 49/7 51 & 75/15 86/17 15/5 50/18 & difficult [6] \\
\hline 45/19 50/24 62 & 85/3 85/4 86/14 86/1 & 63/9 63/10 73/19 74/20 & dealing [4] 15/5 50/1 & 14/24 25/7 42/22 59 \\
\hline 80/19 83/22 89/6 91/23 & 8 & 86/1 90/13 102/1 & \(71 / 25\) & 04/ \\
\hline 93/21 93/23 94/4 95/3 & conference [4] & couldn't [7] 8/14 24/23 & Dean [3] 80/2 & difficulty [1] 19/2 \\
\hline 95/4 96/14 97/8 97/ & 78/20 78/24 79/ & 25/21 27/7 63/8 98/17 & 82/7 & Ding [1] 92/4 \\
\hline 99/6 & & & & ction [2] \\
\hline commentary [1] 56/13 & confirm [1] 73 & county & decades [ & \\
\hline comments [13] 2/12 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { confu: } \\
96 / 12
\end{gathered}
\] & 100/17 102/13 102/22 & 78/17 79/9 79/11 79/14 & 80/24 \\
\hline 3/25 5/15 18/10 18/18 & confusing [2] & 104/14 107/4 & 79/17 79/23 81/23 82/2 & director's [3] 2 \\
\hline 24/8 30/2 62/7 67/4 & \[
61 /
\] & couple [9] & 105/16 107/10 & 80/14 \\
\hline 68/13 96/14 98 98/23 & confusion [2] & 30/7 39/19 48/1 & December 16th & disaffiliated \\
\hline & & 48/25 49/7 86/12 & 76/18 78/17 105 & disagree [2] 66/25 69/6 \\
\hline 9/6 82/13 82/14 83/24 & congreg [1] & course [2] 28/22 86/19 & December 2nd [2] & discrepancy [1] 94/1 \\
\hline Commission [3] 91/8 & congregation [2] & Court [1] 1/22 & 79/17 79/23 & discuss [4] 18/5 18/6 \\
\hline 91/9 91/9 & & courtroom [1] 92/6 & 11/19 20/7 21/12 & \\
\hline Commissi & connections [1] 14/22 & \[
\text { cover [1] } 61 / 2
\] & declined [1] 69/1 & discussion [10] 17/20 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
86 / 16
\] \\
commissioner [2] 8
\end{tabular} & consider [2] 47/14 & covered [1] 64/25 & decrease [1] 66/ & \[
24 / 3 ~ 77 / 1 ~ 83 / 16 ~ 83 / 21
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { commissioner [2] 8 } \\
& 89 / 7
\end{aligned}
\] & 47/16 & covers [2] 26/20 66/17 & dedicated [2] 78/23 & 4/16 92/18 95/7 95/ \\
\hline & consideration [2] 46/6 & CP [2] 107/6 107/13 & & 103/10 \\
\hline & 4 & CPI [1] 83/11 & default [2] 21/22 23/17 & discussions [1] \\
\hline  & considered [3] 34/24 & create [3] 14/24 71/17 & defend [2] 59/22 62/3 & dismissed [1] 104/2 \\
\hline \[
81 / 2585 / 7
\] & 34/25 46 & 102/20 & fer [2] 58/10 100/1 & disorder [1] 38/1 \\
\hline & consistency [1] & created [1] & define [1] 71/22 & distinction [6] 5/2 \\
\hline & constitutes [1] & creates [4] 14/10 & defining [1] 47/21 & 0/18 15/16 22/2 \\
\hline & constrict [1] 90 & 64/11 64/12 & definitely [2] 105/1 & 15 54/3 \\
\hline \[
8
\] & consulted [1] & creating [3] 14/20 70/7 & 106/1 & disturb [1] \\
\hline & contact [1] 28 & & nitio & [88] 3/5 6/5 9/3 \\
\hline  & contacted [2] 72/2 & credence [1] 89/22 & 46/15 & 9/12 9/13 11/21 17/1 \\
\hline & 99 & critical [1] 89/25 & deleted [2] 36/15 36/16 & 0/17 20/20 21/3 \\
\hline \[
910
\] & contention [1] 53/ & crowd [1] 91/24 & demand [4] 86/7 90/16 & 28/23 30/1 32/9 33/1 \\
\hline & contents [1] 17/11 & CRR [3] 1/21 107/6 & /17 90/23 & 5/2 36 \\
\hline \[
91 / 10
\] & context [2] 6/14 87/ & & denial [1] 101/4 & 7/3 39/5 41/17 42/6 \\
\hline companies [2] & continue [2] 43/19 & Cub [2] 60/8 60/24 & Dennis [1] 26/17 & 3/14 45/19 \\
\hline \[
76 / 6
\] & & current [3] 32/2 46/14 & design [1] 102/2 & /19 54/11 55/3 56 \\
\hline & continued [1] 20/1 & & signed [1] 15/2 & /2 57/6 57/7 58/1 \\
\hline \[
\text { 1] } 101 / 16
\] & continuity [2] 43/19 & currently [5] 13/7 & desire [1] 83/3 & 59/24 60/15 61/11 \\
\hline mplaining [4] 91/1 & 4 & 40/21 & velop [1] 104/ & 63 62/1 62/19 62/20 \\
\hline \[
9 \text { 99/10 99/16 }
\] & contract [1] 26/14 & custody [1] 40/19 & veloped [1] 16/5 & 63/18 63/18 \\
\hline complaints [1] 99/8 & contracts [1] 35/1 & customers [1] 29/4 & Development [2] & 3/25 64/5 64/18 65/16 \\
\hline & convenience [2] 27/19 & cutting [1] 25/15 & 0/18 102/13 & 9 66/20 \\
\hline completed [1] 19/22 & & D & & 9/7 71/22 72/17 73/10 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
completely [2] 68/12 \\
91/4
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { conversation [2] 99, } \\
& 104 / 4
\end{aligned}
\] & daily [1] 72/21 & 50/23 51/3 59/2 62/2 & \(74 / 5\) 74/21 75/9 76/1
\(79 / 12\) 80/12 80/14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline D & E & & 71 & 94/7 95/6 98/4 99/21 \\
\hline do...[23] 81/2 81/3 & e-mail [2] 2 & erode [1] 53/25 especially [4] 14/21 & explain [1] 99/20 exposure [1] 17/2 & fit [7] 13/12 13/15 31/7 \\
\hline 81/12 85/17 85 & each [6] 15/5 20/24 & 52/9 67/1 93/2 & \[
\text { express [2] } 35 / 389 / 11
\] & fits [2] \\
\hline 87/11 87/22 91/8 91/19
91/19 92/11 92/21 & 22/2 23/4 53/16 71/23 & Esquire [1] 1/15 & extend [1] 89/21 & five [26] 5/24 6/1 6/3 \\
\hline 97/15 99/3 99/21 101/5 & & essentially [2] 17/4 & extensively [1] 51/1 & 7/20 11/13 21/22 21/23 \\
\hline 101/5 101/6 102/8 & easement [2] 104/9 & & extent [1] 10 & 30/18 31/22 31/24 \\
\hline 102/20 105/16 105/19
105/20 & 104/21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { estate [1] } 101 / 21 \\
& \text { even [25] } 7 / 1920 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
extraneous [1] 75 \\
extremely [1] 6/9
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 / 1333 / 1333 / 14 \\
& 6 / 1836 / 1949 / 249
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 105/20 & easement's [1] & 20/10 24/23 25/8 & & 9/4 49/5 53/17 53/1 \\
\hline doctor [1] 26/18 document [2] 71 &  & \[
10
\] & F & 3/19 65/1 \\
\hline document [2] & easily [2] & 39/5 51/12 53/4 & & 9/13 8 \\
\hline documents [2] 21/1 & east [3] 82/20 82/2 & 55/10 61/13 69/4 73/8 & F & ve's [1] 53 \\
\hline 28/22 & 83/6 & & & 6] 5 \\
\hline Doe [2] 74 & easy [3] 13/24 13/2 & 94/14 103/23 104/20
event [1] 15/3 & 9/15 99/15 99 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 94 / 1694 / 2494 / 25 \\
& \text { fixed [1] } 59 / 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline does [10] 6 & & ever [5] 48/22 66/1 & facilitates [2] 39/3 39/4 & fixing [1] 30/2 \\
\hline \[
45 / 255
\] & & 71/23 76/7 100/13 & facility [2] 14/5 47/3 & FL [2] 1/15 1/2 \\
\hline \[
92 / 25
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ed [5] } 4 / 19 \\
81 / 1482 / 16
\end{gathered}
\] & every [17] 9/6 11/6 & fact [16] 6/7 11/4 11/21 & flexibility [1] 45/1 \\
\hline doesn & EDC [1] 105 & 32/23 38/18 61/15 & 21/1 25/23 30/2 & flexible [2] 40/6 \\
\hline 23/17 24/25 25/7 25/9 & EDWARD [1] 1 & 63/16 63/24 68/23 & 7 38/25 & flight [1] 50/10 \\
\hline 26/16 26/17 & effect [2] 53 & 70/25 71/14 71 & & p [1] 8/3 \\
\hline 29/23 30/18 32/5 32/18 & either [7] 15/24 5 & 95/1 & 90/16 104/2 & 1] \\
\hline 33/20 36/14 41/3 51/14 & 59/8 71/11 79/10 79/10 & & fair [4] 6/6 6/13 & oor [2] 88/2 \\
\hline 57/17 61/2 75/15 88/13 & 86/1 & ev & & da [2] \\
\hline 94/14 94/21 100/1 & eliminate [1] 33/11 & 91/19 91/20 92/25 & \[
95
\] & yability [1] \\
\hline doing [14] 12/12 32/1 & eliminated [1] 86/2 & 106/3 & fallen [1] & ling [1] 40/16 \\
\hline 35/15 39/18 48/4 58/25 & else [14] 3/15 25/4 & everybody's [1] 20/24 & familiar [1] 25 & lks [3] 5/16 9/1 \\
\hline 59/12 64/21 72/14
\(72 / 17\) 88/5 89/19 91/7 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 46 / 2359 / 1059 / 21 \\
& 64 / 1164 / 2373 / 10
\end{aligned}
\] & everyone [2] 4/18 80/9 & family [1] 82/7 & llow [1] 82/5 \\
\hline \(72 / 17\)
\(95 / 24\) & 64/11 64/23 73/10 & everything [8] 4/6 & famous [2] 90/3 90 & \[
\text { Ilow-up [1] } 8
\] \\
\hline dollars [2] 23/1465/9 & 76/12 81/12 82/4 82/10 & & \[
\text { far [8] } 46 / 2146 / 22
\] & ing [1] 72/11 \\
\hline dollars [2] 23/14 65/9 & 101/7 103/7 & 56/24 59/2 103/9 & \[
54 / 23 \text { 69/11 83/4 86/15 }
\] &  \\
\hline domain [1] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { else's [3] } 2 \\
& 57 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & everywhere [1] 73/1 & 89/19 100/8 & 62/25 64/4 83/9 83 \\
\hline 12] & & evicted [1] 73/20 & FAR Part 77 [1] 100 & rbid [1] \\
\hline 29/9 50/25 60/5 7 & 28/18 72/25 97/25 & eviction [2] 15/4 71/24 & fast [2] 66/12 79/7 & rce [2] 20/2 46 \\
\hline 79/8 88/11 88/15 95/12 & eminent [1] & ex [1] 85/12 & [4] 24/15 80 & g [1] 89/ \\
\hline 103/6 104/7 & empty [2] 44/23 83/14 & exactly [4] & & egoing \\
\hline doubt [1] 7 & end [10] 13/18 20/18 & & & \\
\hline Doug [12] 43/6 & 38/7 53/18 55/19 81/3 & & & rm [9] 10 \\
\hline 57/1 58/10 60/4 60 & 96/16 99/13 1 & 2 61/7 & & 36/11 54/8 54/9 54/10 \\
\hline 77/25 78/2 78/12 78/17 & 100/6 & 91/13 & feel [3] 33/22 66/5 & 73/7 83/11 84/9 \\
\hline 79/18 82/3 & & examples [2] 45/4 & & rmat [1] \\
\hline & & & & S [1] \\
\hline DOUGLAS [1 & enlighten [ & & [9] 34/10 48/ & forth [4] 12/25 27/ \\
\hline down [16] 15 & & exclusive [1] 13/4 & 50/11 67/5 67/10 78/11 & 40/25 \\
\hline 38/9 45/18 48/16 65/11 & \[
78 / 18 \text { 79/7 86/15 }
\] & excuse [4] 12/9 14/6 & 97 & forum [3] 94/7 94/ \\
\hline 66/16 74/9 88/22 93/23 & & 19 53/1 & fielded [1] 102/5 & 96/2 \\
\hline 94/12 94/19 & en & execute [1] & figure [4] 23/19 29/24 & ard \\
\hline 99/12 99/14 99 & enters [1] & 4] & & \\
\hline downs [1] 13 & entertain [2] 8 & /2 & & 30/18 40/21 47/3 63/1 \\
\hline dozen [1] 27 & 84/ & exercise [1] & & \\
\hline drafting [1] 72/11 & entities [11] 7/5 7/9 & existence [1] 100/13 & filing [1] 100/22 & frankly [4] 20/4 57
\[
85 / 2590 / 22
\] \\
\hline inage [1] 102/20 & 24/19 25/4 26/1 & exists [1] 24/20 & \[
\text { final [2] 52/12 } 103
\] & atly [1] \\
\hline wing [1] 5/ & 31/2 33/14 33/16 33/16 & \[
\text { exits [2] } 32 / 22105
\] & Finally [1] 91/19 & riday [6] 28/20 59/16 \\
\hline e [1] \(45 / 22\) & 35 & expand [1] 103/19 & financial [4] 2/4 3/10 & 59/20 84/5 104/3 105/6 \\
\hline ing [1] 13/22 & entity [19] 6/24 7/2 7/3 & expansive [1] 36/5 & 3/12 3/17 & \\
\hline [2] 53/15 53/17 & 7/6 7/8 7/9 8/20 19/8 & expect [2] 9/7 89/23 & find [4] 20/17 28/7 & \\
\hline pped [1] 53/19 & 21/18 31/3 31/6 31/20 & expectation [1] 85/13 & \[
74 / 19105 / 7
\] & \[
103 / 21
\] \\
\hline [1] 25/21 & 31/20 33/14 3 & experience [2] 71/11 & fine [7] 11/16 & \\
\hline mb [1] 75/23 & 55/24 58/1 69/19 73/1 & \[
88 / 1
\] & 23/6 80/22 81/4 92/2 & \[
\text { in [1] } 64 / 1
\] \\
\hline mbfounded [1] & envisioning [1] 39/20 & & 102/1 & [1] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
durable [1] 71/17 \\
during [1] 48/20
\end{tabular} & equally [1] \(12 / 7\) equals [1] 23/10 equipment [1] 97/25 & experimental [1] 52/18 expiration [2] 70/10 & first [11] 3/11 18/5 28/6 78/16 79/8 79/11 80/12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
fundamental [1] 51/18 \\
funds [1] 104/18
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{F}
fungible [1] 33/1
further [6] 18/12 77/1
87/19 92/17 95/7 102/15
fuse [1] 38/9 future [2] 70/2 98/9

\section*{G}

Galin [4] 51/8 51/9 81/18 94/18
Galin's [2] 61/7 96/1 garage [2] 32/17 32/20
gate [4] 50/11 50/14 50/20 98/2
gates [1] 97/20
gave [1] 45/5
general [8] 2/11 61/25 88/1 91/4 96/13 96/23 97/8 98/6
generally [2] 70/21 70/23
generate [1] 65/14
generic [1] 63/20
gentleman [1] 68/5
gestapo [1] 26/23
get [56] 7/18 7/19 9/1 14/8 15/3 16/19 18/2
19/3 19/3 19/12 19/13
24/21 24/23 25/21
26/20 26/24 27/23
27/23 28/24 31/5 35/7
35/10 36/18 47/12
49/13 52/23 53/24
55/24 58/24 59/9 59/9
59/9 65/2 65/5 66/8
66/23 68/9 68/10 68/12
71/14 72/25 75/6 76/2
78/23 79/4 79/15 80/9
82/9 89/9 89/18 89/23
90/11 92/3 93/15 98/1 104/21
gets [5] 11/23 15/3 49/22 79/6 85/14
getting [6] 23/20 27/5 35/20 35/25 75/8 76/6 give [8] 32/12 59/21 59/24 62/2 72/19 78/18 91/12 96/24
given [2] 11/4 13/1 gives [2] 88/12 89/22 giving [1] 6/6
go [32] 4/8 4/17 6/2 6/21 12/25 19/9 20/18 25/23 30/4 36/25 37/24 38/6 43/2 45/12 49/11 53/17 58/19 63/17 65/16 66/4 66/11 68/15 75/12 80/13 80/15 82/8 82/23 86/15 87/18 94/3 94/13 96/8
God [2] 91/17 97/24
goes [7] 11/25 22/10 35/11 48/14 69/4 92/4 103/21
going [53] 4/15 5/4 5/14 8/16 9/7 10/25 15/23 16/19 17/19 18/9 18/23 24/7 25/25 27/4 27/22 27/23 29/14 30/6 31/24 33/16 33/19 35/9 40/9 44/8 46/21 50/3 51/21 52/10 53/24 56/8 56/25 57/23 61/7 61/24 61/25 65/11 66/3 69/23 69/24 69/24 69/25 70/3 71/25 81/1 81/14 81/23 92/2 94/5 102/6 102/22 103/19 104/21 105/17 gone [5] 4/14 14/19 34/25 74/14 82/11 good [13] 26/23 30/25 32/16 50/7 56/16 56/17 70/11 71/16 75/24
77/17 81/7 84/13 97/11 gorgeous [1] 29/14 got [52] 5/4 6/12 10/15 11/3 11/10 11/23 12/1 13/19 13/20 17/7 23/19 25/11 26/3 26/3 26/19 26/22 27/25 28/4 28/20 29/1 29/20 29/20 30/14 31/13 33/6 37/24 38/3 38/15 38/15 40/18 44/9 51/12 52/22 52/23 54/13 66/4 66/24 69/21 69/21 71/6 72/3 72/4 72/6 78/21 78/23 81/13 84/5 89/14 92/2 93/19 100/13 104/2
gotten [2] 66/19 97/9 grant [2] 69/12 85/24 great [5] 48/18 86/17 96/10 100/7 101/23
GREEN [1] \(1 / 10\) group [2] 1/15 90/2 Grove [1] 1/15 guard [1] 66/23 guess [14] 4/22 5/3 7/18 7/22 8/17 10/24 11/9 12/20 14/2 16/21 35/2 80/13 80/25 81/5 guidance [2] 69/22 88/10
Gun [1] 100/4
Gun Club [1] 100/4 guy [2] 80/16 101/23 guys [6] 19/12 41/7 59/1 59/20 60/25 79/7

\section*{H}

H-1 [9] 24/11 27/12 37/22 37/23 38/4 38/11 41/1 41/14 42/20 had [35] 3/24 5/19 6/10 9/25 22/18 22/19 23/2 23/3 27/11 28/23 36/6 36/21 42/14 42/25 45/4 50/9 50/11 50/20 56/23 61/6 66/16 67/2 71/23

75/12 76/10 80/25 81/8 82/8 83/20 85/16 85/20 91/2 95/6 99/22 104/4 half [1] 27/8 handle [1] 91/25 handling [1] 101/21 hangar [192] hangar's [1] 54/20
hangar-wise [1] 43/22 hangars [71] 4/4 4/4 5/22 5/22 7/18 8/8 8/11 10/7 10/8 10/23 10/25 13/19 13/20 14/3 16/17 16/17 16/18 20/5 20/20 24/12 26/10 32/10
37/16 38/3 38/21 39/6
39/7 40/8 41/13 43/20
43/25 44/14 44/14
44/23 49/3 49/4 49/5
50/18 52/10 52/11 53/2 53/4 53/5 53/7 53/8 53/10 53/12 53/14
53/18 53/22 53/23
53/25 54/13 54/15
58/22 58/23 59/3 61/15 66/9 69/15 69/16 72/2 73/10 77/16 77/20 98/6 98/7 98/8 98/10 98/11 105/18
hanger [1] 46/3
happen [1] 15/4
happened [1] 97/24
happening [1] 38/24
happens [3] 56/2 72/23 102/22
happy [3] 16/24 20/24 41/18
hardly [1] 56/25
Harry [1] 74/16
has [30] 5/11 6/8 7/5
11/6 15/4 20/17 26/2
26/11 27/15 29/6 34/17
47/8 47/20 52/13 55/22
55/23 58/1 58/1 59/6 60/6 68/5 74/13 79/18 86/14 86/15 88/10 88/14 94/10 100/11 104/9
hasn't [3] 47/3 85/15 94/15
hat [1] 57/24
have [230]
haven't [5] 34/25 41/4 53/8 53/9 89/14
having [8] 19/7 27/24
33/21 41/14 51/25
64/14 94/23 94/24
hazardous [1] 100/8
he [40] 12/14 28/7
29/12 42/15 42/16 42/19 45/16 47/10 63/9 63/10 64/9 65/9 68/6 68/6 81/9 81/10 82/8 82/8 82/9 99/10 99/12 99/14 99/16 99/20

99/24 99/24 100/1
100/20 101/16 101/18 101/18 101/18 101/19 101/19 101/25 103/2 103/6 103/14 103/22 104/24
he's [6] 14/19 27/15 27/16 28/7 29/20 66/4 head [1] 56/21 hear [13] 12/11 12/13 17/19 24/10 50/7 56/22 56/25 57/22 62/10 68/15 89/1 96/7 98/17 heard [4] 5/15 7/19 41/4 45/11
hearing [6] 39/22
39/23 41/11 41/12
56/24 99/21
held [1] \(1 / 3\)
helps [1] 87/23
her [1] 91/20
here [52] 3/9 17/23
19/3 25/3 25/14 26/11
27/25 28/1 28/1 29/7
30/23 33/4 35/1 35/17
38/18 40/17 40/19
45/18 47/1 48/16 50/8
50/9 56/12 57/24 59/13
63/21 65/24 66/13
66/16 66/20 67/21
71/22 73/6 75/13 78/22
85/10 85/21 88/7 88/14
90/2 90/15 91/1 91/14
92/6 94/9 94/17 95/25
96/22 97/19 99/13
100/14 105/4
here's [5] 5/3 31/22
52/2 52/2 75/14
Hernandez [5] 51/9
54/2 54/13 54/18 58/7
Hernandez' [1] 72/1
hey [4] 36/17 45/10
71/6 99/1
high [3] 66/11 101/17 102/6
highly [1] 51/16
him [13] 67/4 78/5
81/11 97/14 99/12 99/17 100/21 101/1
101/4 101/25 104/3
104/5 104/23
his [6] 27/13 29/13 78/4 90/8 99/15 101/20
historic [1] 87/7
historically [1] 47/20
hold [3] 21/22 51/4 105/24
holdover [1] 19/19
holiday [2] 28/22 28/23
Hollingsworth [1]
26/17
home [3] 40/19 40/22
73/5
hoping [2] 19/3 82/9 hour [2] 87/19 88/4
how [18] 5/6 6/5 11/25
14/14 43/13 63/1 67/11 67/11 67/13 67/23 73/21 75/9 81/14 88/2 89/11 102/15 105/7 105/20
however [2] 23/24 53/11
huge [1] 27/4
huh [5] 34/7 60/11
82/15 82/17 103/17
Huh-uh [2] 60/11 82/15 hundred [1] 65/22 hung [1] 20/9

I'd [4] 40/23 41/10 98/5 105/24
I'll [21] 4/22 7/10 9/23
14/21 24/16 28/10 35/3 36/2 40/1 40/13 50/8
55/21 72/19 79/4 83/17
83/23 91/12 91/23
91/25 92/16 97/16
I'm [69] 7/20 10/14
11/18 16/6 18/23 20/25 21/10 21/20 24/11 24/15 25/8 25/22 28/14 28/21 29/23 30/6 30/23 31/18 31/20 31/24 38/20 39/22 39/23 40/9 41/11 41/12 41/18 42/10 42/10 45/23 46/5 46/24 50/3 50/8 50/16 51/4 54/23 54/24 56/3 56/8 56/12 56/17 57/3 57/8 57/23 59/14 63/13 65/5 65/6 65/11 65/16 65/16 65/21 72/10 72/10 75/13 77/10 77/10 79/5 81/7 84/10 84/13 85/20 88/6 88/24 94/5 99/21 104/25 105/6
I've [34] 6/9 7/19 24/13 24/16 24/17 24/17 25/11 25/16 26/3 26/3 26/6 27/24 28/20 31/1 38/3 38/14 38/15 40/16 40/17 40/18 55/13 55/25 56/1 56/23 66/4 78/21 78/23 81/13 88/5 88/17 93/19 95/13 95/13 95/16 I-1 [3] 40/19 41/1 42/20 idea [6] 21/10 64/17 64/21 85/12 85/23 91/22
identification [1] 8/15 identified [6] 8/12 30/21 33/18 72/4 72/7 74/13
ignoring [1] 90/4
illegal [1] 66/2
imaginary [1] 102/17
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 35/24 36/1 41/11 41/13 & 82/5 84/10 87/5 87/6 & 24/1 53/13 & 55/14 \\
\hline & 41/14 47/8 50/20 51/25 & 87/12 87/14 87/15 & lady [1] 91/16 & leaving [1] 45/14 \\
\hline immediate [1] 86/8 & 52/9 52/25 53/21 53/22 & 87/22 88/12 90/5 90/6 & land [4] 100/18 102/13 & led [1] 20/7 \\
\hline impact [1] 102/18 & 54/20 55/22 56/7 58/5 & 90/25 91/5 91/18 93/5 & 103/11 103/13 & ft [1] 91/17 \\
\hline impertinent [1] 86/5 & 62/5 64/7 64/8 64/25 & 93/8 93/9 94/20 96/11 & landlord [4] 32/18 & [6] \\
\hline implications [1] 6/19 & 66 & 98/ & 63/13 71/12 76/7 & 43/16 64/2 64/16 \\
\hline important [2] 4/7 67/2 & & 104/23 & \[
8 / 18 \quad 10 / 3 \quad 13 / 830 / 1
\] & \\
\hline impose [1] 47/23 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 89 / 14 \\
& 101 / 24
\end{aligned}
\] & justed [1] & \[
33 / 637 / 144 / 244 / 10
\] & \[
55 / 20
\] \\
\hline & iss & JUSTIN [1] 1/12 & 54/8 68/20 77/18 80/9 & legally [4] 19/15 63/6 \\
\hline improvements [1] & & K & 80/10 85/9 86/18 87/8 & 74 \\
\hline & & & 87/10 88/9 & legit [1] 103 \\
\hline inadvertently [1] 103/5 & 63/2 & & larger [1] 83/3 & Len [11] \\
\hline & it [ & 37 & last [13] 3/16 3/17 5/15 & 42/6 42/16 62/17 \\
\hline & it's [141] & K-8 [1] 60/6 & 6/8 20/10 25/16 40/13 & 64/23 65/5 65/8 65 \\
\hline inconvenient [2] 27/20 & item [7] 4/17 15/11 & keep [12] 11/21 25/10 & 49/24 50/1 62/4 90/15 & 67/3 \\
\hline 38/6 & 18/24 80/19 82/12 86/3 & 29/18 30/2 37/25 38/1 & 97/20 105/6 & length [1] 15/17 \\
\hline incorporate [1] & 105/17 & 40/11 53/24 62/1 & late [1] 19/20 & Leon [1] 1/22 \\
\hline incorrectly [1] 76 & items [6] 2 & 62/17 94/5 97/16 & later [3] 24/5 28/14 & ss [2] 16/9 88/ \\
\hline indefinitely [1] 43/14 & /10 80/20 & keeping [3] 28/12 32/1 & 89/13 & lessee [18] 6/23 6/25 \\
\hline indicating [1] 92/7 & 14/1 & & latitude [1] & 14 13/15 15 \\
\hline individual [5] 15/6 17/5 & 86 & ] & latter [1] & 21/5 \\
\hline 21/7 34/19 42/12 & itself [6] 5/11 15/1 & kick [1] 52/15 & Law [1] 1/15 & 43/13 43/15 54/25 64/7 \\
\hline individuals [3] & 55/11 65/14 75/5 84/6 & killed [1] 103/3 & lawyer [1] 57/ & 64/9 65/19 74/6 \\
\hline & J & & lawyers [2] & 's [5] 14/4 14/16 \\
\hline information [6] 28/5 & jam [1] 51/21 & 20/9 21/12 36/15 36/16 & LDRs [1] & lessees [7] 10/18 13/6 \\
\hline 28/8 42/4 61/23 69/19 & James [1] 74/16 & 71/10 72/23 75/2 75/14 & lead [1] 13/17 & 15/9 20/16 22/2 22/21 \\
\hline & JANET [3] 1/21 107/6 & 85/12 87/8 94/20 & lease [87] 2/9 2/10 4/4 & 73/22 \\
\hline & 107/13 & 100/22 103/18 & 4/20 5/9 5/10 5/20 6/16 & lessen [1] 45/7 \\
\hline input [3] & JaxJets [5] 2/10 82/14 & kinds [2] 38/12 102/7 & 6/16 8/2 9/17 9/17 9/23 & let [10] 19/25 21/6 \\
\hline inside [3] 16/23 & 83/1 83/25 84/2 & KIRA [3] 1/ & 13/12 15/1 15/7 19/8 & 47/17 58/13 59/9 72/12 \\
\hline 52/19 & jet [1] & 32/22 & 20/3 21/15 22/7 22/8 & 72/17 90/5 94/23 94/25 \\
\hline & job [1] & knew [2] & 23/18 28/25 29/1 30/11 & let's [4] 32/16 33/21 \\
\hline & John [3] 58/8 74/16 & know [89] 3/5 6/8 7/17 & 31/4 34/17 35/7 38/24 & 80/13 100/2 \\
\hline instance [2] 37/19 & 74/17 & 9/1 9/22 12/21 13/3 & 39/1 40/2 42/8 43/5 & lets [1] 18/5 \\
\hline & Johnny [1] 941 & 13/10 14/4 14/10 15/6 & 43/12 43/12 43/16 48/1 & letter [2] 66/19 102/23 \\
\hline & JOHNS [3] 1/1 1/15 & 15/22 16/12 16/16 & 48/12 48/21 49/21 51/7 & level [4] 11/8 33/9 \\
\hline & 107/4 & 16/21 20/20 21/12 & 51/21 51/23 52/5 56/4 & 33/11 35/1 \\
\hline 66/17 76/4 76/5 & Johnson's [1] 57/4 & 21/18 25/18 27/3 29/3 & 56/15 59/8 61/24 61/25 & liability [10] 10/1 10/3 \\
\hline & join [1] 82/2 & 29/25 30/22 32/17 & 63/6 63/11 63/19 63/20 & 17/2 17/3 36/8 64/19 \\
\hline  & joint [5] 10/1 10/3 & 32/25 35/12 38/16 & 64/1 64/4 64/10 64/15 & 64/25 68/21 74/18 \\
\hline insured [2] 60/23 & 11/17 11/17 68/20 & 39/21 39/25 40/10 41/6 & 64/23 65/25 68/1 68/2 & 77/15 \\
\hline & Judge [2] 23/23 92/6 & 42/14 42/17 42/18 & 68/18 68/19 68/23 70/7 & life [5] 41/5 42/9 43/11 \\
\hline  & Judge Judy [1] 23/23 & 44/16 47/23 48/3 48/20 & 71/1 71/9 71/18 72/4 & 43/12 59/12 \\
\hline  & Judy [2] 23/23 58/9 & 50/24 51/12 54/7 55/21 & 72/7 73/16 73/17 73/18 & light [4] 4/5 4/5 40/1 \\
\hline & jump [1] 94/21 & 56/23 58/13 59/19 & 74/24 74/24 75/5 82/13 & 102/7 \\
\hline 37/20 50/19 55/5 55/10 & jumps [1] 94/21 & 66/21 66/21 67/19 & 82/14 82/20 83/7 83/12 & lighten [2] 45/6 45/9 \\
\hline & junk [2] 25/3 67/12 & 68/16 68/25 69/2 71/24 & 83/13 83/24 84/2 84/6 & Lights' [1] 36/23 \\
\hline & just [88] 4/23 7/10 9/23 & 73/2 73/3 73/15 74/10 & 84/9 96/3 & like [52] 4/8 5/7 8/10 \\
\hline interests [1] 30/24 & 15/15 18/21 19/18 20/9 & 74/23 75/7 75/9 75/13 & leaseholds [1] 67/24 & 8/11 9/4 9/14 12/12 \\
\hline interests [1] 30/24 & 20/17 20/20 23/2 23/13 & 75/14 75/18 75/19 & leases [18] 6/24 7/4 & 3/11 13/14 14/19 20/6 \\
\hline interrupt [1] 50/17 & 25/9 26/1 26/24 27/24 & 75/22 76/1 76/1 77/16 & 9/20 9/25 11/25 12/1 & 28/15 32/23 33/20 \\
\hline \[
39 / 13
\] & 30/21 34/3 35/14 39/16 & 78/12 80/25 81/1 82/8 & 20/8 21/5 34/22 38/20 & 33/22 35/11 40/23 41/8 \\
\hline involved [7] 5/4 15/3 & 41/10 42/6 42/7 42/9 & 82/24 88/2 88/22 89/1 & 45/1 50/19 51/23 63/16 & 41/10 42/21 42/21 \\
\hline 37/14 65/2 65/5 76/6 & 42/22 42/25 43/4 43/15 & 89/11 90/13 91/7 91/21 & 63/24 68/18 70/13 & /17 45/6 46/17 52/1 \\
\hline 88/25 & 45/3 45/23 46/17 47/11 & 92/22 94/11 97/15 99/2 & 71/13 & 56/3 59/10 59/17 59/18 \\
\hline & 50/8 50/19 50/23 51/3 & 102/21 102/25 104/6 & leasing [5] 32/24 34/1 & //8 64/17 64/21 65/12 \\
\hline [1] & 51/14 53/18 53/24 54/1 & 104/9 105/11 105/17 & 34/21 41/6 76/5 & 66/19 66/23 67/10 68/5 \\
\hline & 54/2 57/19 58/1 58/5 & knowing [1] 103/9 & least [6] \(8 / 15\) 16/9 & 8/8 71/5 74/20 76/13 \\
\hline  & 58/12 58/12 60/4 60/23 & known [1] 91/10 & 39/23 72/6 88/6 88/25 & \(8 / 13\) 85/11 87/14 \\
\hline  & 61/6 61/25 62/23 68/8 & knows [1] 103/21 & leave [8] 4/7 13/17 & 90/21 91/7 93/9 96/3 \\
\hline 14/1 17/14 17/15 19/1 & 69/21 69/23 71/19 & L & \(2157 / 66\) & 101/19 103/3 \\
\hline 20/14 20/15 24/8 26/10 26/21 26/21 35/20 & 78/12 79/5 81/10 81/20 & L-9 [1] 37/18 & leaves [3] 55/12 55/13 & likely [1] 101/10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline L & 6/10 6/11 6/21 11/14 & & meetings [1] 95/11 & 66/22 \\
\hline limit [7] 12/1 14/11 & & & & most [7] 4/19 49/8 \\
\hline 36/14 87/9 88/13 88/20 & & management [1] 35/13 manner [2] 6/13 11/24 & Melehan [2] 56/10 56/11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 53 / 1674 / 22 \\
& 85 / 2289 / 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 89/16 & 48/5 62/18 64/2 67/11 & many [7] 35/20 51/12 & member [4] 2/12 75/2 & mostly [1] 52/11 \\
\hline limitation [3] 36/15 & 67/13 69/23 72/13 & 53/11 59/7 63/1 69/4 & 98/21 98/22 & motion [11] 62/9 67/ \\
\hline & 100/12 100/13 103/21 & 77/16 & members [6] & 76/18 76/23 76/2 \\
\hline  & long-term [1] 47/15 & mass [1] 102/8 & 78/15 91/3 97/23 98/4 & 79/25 83/23 84/1 84 \\
\hline 93/14 & longer [2] 46/2 54/24 & match [8] 6/25 7/6 11/4 & memo [1] 75/10 & 92/14 93/20 \\
\hline limits [2] 14/7 35/22 & look [13] 6/5 9/2 9/9 & 11/6 30/13 43/18 54/14 & mentioned [6] 41/4 & mountain [1] 40/22 \\
\hline line [8] 9/16 47/1 66/6 & 10/8 45/10 51/22 52/13 & 75/15 & 66/18 67/18 67/19 99/7 & move [15] 4/8 16/2 \\
\hline 66/8 75/10 78/21 78/24 & 52/21 65/24 65/24 76/4 & matches [1] 43/20 & 101 & 27/21 38/2 38/13 38 \\
\hline 79/19 & 90/12 94/24 & matching [3] 30/17 & method [1] & 38/2 \\
\hline lines [1] 104/4 & looked [3] 8/9 52/24 & & Mexico [3] 40/22 40 & 50/3 56/8 59/3 59/5 \\
\hline list [53] 5/24 6/7 6/11 & & matter [6] & & 76/20 \(1818181 / 24\) \\
\hline 6/12 8/4 8/4 8/25 10/9 &  & 2/1 & mic [1] 12/14 & d [3] \\
\hline /11 11/21 11/22 & 30/25 51/11 53/365/6 & [18] & 2] & \\
\hline 11/24 12/3 12/7 15/13 & 73/9 & 12/7 12/25 19/6 19/24 & middle [2] 23/21 50/ & movement \\
\hline 19/25 21/24 21/25 & 73/15 79/5 98/8 & 35/7 38/2 38/12 41/5 & might [10] 4/22 6/1 & moves [1] 60/14 \\
\hline 25/16 25/16 25/17 & loop [1] 16/12 & 45/8 54/16 55/1 55/11 & 10/17 15/12 16/13 & Mr [3] 54/18 56/11 94 \\
\hline 25/17 25/19 25/20 & loosely [1] 36/20 & 64/20 87/3 103/5 & 17/15 20/11 27/6 52/21 & Mr. [25] 3/13 12/24 \\
\hline 25/25 27/5 31/14 32/2 & Lord [1] 26/23 & maybe [16] 5/24 7/19 & 87/5 & 14/9 24/7 29/10 30/7 \\
\hline 32/9 35/6 38/1 38/18 & lost [1] 25/20 & 7/23 9/2 11/15 13/18 & mind [3] 11/21 15/16 & 30/10 32/22 36/17 \\
\hline 43/24 44/4 44/6 45/3 & lot [16] 9/25 12/21 & 30/10 44/17 48/24 49/2 & 33/25 & 36/22 50/16 54/2 54/13 \\
\hline 47/14 47/17 49/9 49/11 & 12/22 28/17 33/9 40/10 & 51/22 60/1 60/2 77/25 & mine [4] 27/14 41/25 & 58/7 68/13 72/1 76/10 \\
\hline 49/16 53/2 53/3 53/5 & 67/6 76/9 & /22 & & 81/8 9 \\
\hline 53/6 53/15 53/17 53/20 & 96/3 98/6 99/9 & McCue [2] 27/15 40/20 & minor [1] 6/18 & /12 98/22 105/2 \\
\hline 53/22 53/25 54/14 & 105/20 105/20 & me [34] 12/9 14/6 & minute [5] 87/9 88/20 & 105/8 \\
\hline 59/11 60/16 & louder [1] 50/8 & 24/10 31/21 36/22 41/5 & 91/13 94/1 97/20 & Mr. Brunson [2] 76/10 \\
\hline listed [6] 7/1 7/7 8/8 & luck [1] 83/17 & 47/5 47/15 48/19 50/7 & minutes [29] 2/4 3/10 & 105/8 \\
\hline 21/23 24/15 34/17 & Ludlow [3] 62/8 93/1 & 51/2 51/13 & 3/12 3/16 40/12 87/12 & Mr. Burnett [1] 30/7 \\
\hline lists [1] 25/23 & & 56/20 56/22 63/17 64/7 & 87/16 88/14 8 & Mr. Dean [2] 80/25 \\
\hline literally [3] 15/24 69/21 & M & 64/12 64/18 65/20 & 8713 89/16 89/17 & 81/8 \\
\hline 75/11 & & 7 71/6 71/19 81/17 & 89/24 90/4 91/14 91/15 & Mr. Hernandez [3] \\
\hline litigate [1] 15/11 & M-3 [1] 75/13 & 85/6 90/19 & 91/17 92/2 92/22 92/23 & 54/ \\
\hline little [15] 3/9 4/13 & Madam [4] 17/17 18/25 & 98/3 99/1 99/8 99/9 & 93/4 93/18 94/6 95/4 & Mr. Hernandez' [1] \\
\hline 26/15 29/5 38/19 & \(8 / 7\) & 99/9 & 96/25 97/16 97/17 9 & 72 \\
\hline 40/18 41/10 42/22 & made [8] 5/5 & mean [48] 6/23 9/24 & \(99 / 5\) & Mr. Kira [2] 3/13 32/22 \\
\hline 42/25 45/17 68/8 78/11 & 硣 & 16/4 17/4 17/5 22/1 & MIRGEAUX [4] 1/12 & Mr. Maguire [1] 98/22 \\
\hline 86 & & 25/22 26/23 31/9 32/5 & 3 105/2 & Mr. Mirgeaux [3] \\
\hline live [1] 48/13 & GUIRE [2] 1/11 & 32/15 33/1 34/9 35/10 & missed [1] 70/16 & 68/13 105/2 \\
\hline LLC [62] 14/23 19/8 & 22 & /239 & [1] & Mr. Pruitt [3] \\
\hline 5 21/17 34/2 34/3 & il [2] 28/4 84/5 & 18 44/23 45/20 & moment [1] 44/5 & \\
\hline 34/6 34/13 36/7 36/8 & main [5] 1/15 10/6 & 45/25 56/23 57/2 57/10 & Monday [4] 1/6 59/16 & Mr. Snodgrass' [1] \\
\hline 52/1 52/3 52/5 52/6 & 10/12 37/2 37/3 & 5/14 59/1 59/4 59/24 & 59/21 79/12 & 29/10 \\
\hline 52/8 54/19 54/21 54/23 & maintain [2] 40/19 & 60/13 61/3 61/14 61/16 & money [2] 65/15 66/1 & Mr. Tucker [4] 24/7 \\
\hline 55/4 55/6 55/10 55/11 & 62/18 & 70/9 70/10 71/10 75/4 & month [4] 23/15 42/19 & 30/10 36/17 78/14 \\
\hline 55/13 55/13 55/14 & major [1] 65/20 & 75/20 87/18 87/21 & 65/9 83/18 & Mr. Wuellner [2] 12/24 \\
\hline 55/24 55/25 56/1 56/2 & majority [1] 100/7 & 88/13 92/9 92/11 94 & monthly [1] 22/3 & 36/22 \\
\hline 56/5 57/1 57/5 57/7 & make [45] 4/8 4/23 5/7 & 94/21 96/2 96/3 101/20 & months [8] 24/1 48/15 & Ms. [1] 62/8 \\
\hline 57/16 57/17 57/18 & 8/6 10/17 & meaning [3] 69/15 & 48/15 49/17 49/22 59/7 & Ms. Ludlow [1] 62/8 \\
\hline 57/25 58/5 58/8 58/8 & 13/12 & 85/14 102/19 & 59/7 71/7 & much [9] 14/24 26/2 \\
\hline 58/9 59/14 60/6 60/6 & 13/23 18/10 27/4 31/10 & medical [1] 98/3 & more [44] 7/18 8/5 9/1 & 38/16 51/4 94/11 94/1 \\
\hline 60/9 60/12 60/15 60/15 & 33/20 38/18 41/8 42/22 & meet [2] 77/25 78/14 & 13/6 14/23 14/24 15/3 & 98/9 98/19 106/3 \\
\hline 60/16 60 & 45/10 54/3 54/17 66/1 & meeting [33] \(1 / 21 / 3\) & 16/9 16/20 19/7 20/3 & multi [2] 20/8 20/12 \\
\hline 60/21 60/22 61/6 61/7 & 67/9 69/8 70/3 72/10 & 2/4 2/9 3/2 3/10 3/12 & 20/23 21/1 21/8 33/9 & multi-tenant [2] 20/8 \\
\hline 61/8 61/10 61/12 61/12 &  & 3/17 5/16 29/7 36/20 & 34/22 39/8 39/10 40/6 & 20/12 \\
\hline 74/16 75/2 105/23 & 78/25 79/4 79/6 79/12 & 59/16 59/20 76/21 & 41/10 48/12 48/13 53/1 & multiple [6] 5/17 8 \\
\hline LLC's [2] 58/11 61/8 & 84/1 84/10 89/6 90/16 & 76/24 78/17 78/23 & 53/24 59/12 61/15 & 15/1 20/1 20/16 21/17 \\
\hline LLCs [4] 34/14 41/20 & 90/1791/23 92/4 & 78/25 80/11 81/22 85/2 & 61/16 64/19 64/20 66/9 & multiple-named [1] \\
\hline 58/16 77/20 & 102/15 102/16 105/11 & 85/3 85/4 85/8 86/4 & 66/14 66/14 67/22 & 20/16 \\
\hline load [1] 38/4 & makes [4] 13/1 42/9 & 86/14 86/16 86/24 & 74/20 75/18 76/6 76/10 & museum [1] 29/14 \\
\hline located [2] 102/2 102/3 & king [7] 46 & 105/8 105/15 105/25 & 80/14 82/1 84/16 86/3 & \({ }_{32 / 19}^{\text {Mustang [2] 32/19 }}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { location [1] } & 46 / 18 \\
\text { long [27] } & 6 / 76 / 96 / 9
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { making [7] } 46 / 16 \text { b1/14 } \\
59 / 1265 / 1567 / 375 / 13
\end{gathered}
\] & meeting's [1] 76/17 & morning [2] 28/24 &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(8) limit - my

\section*{M}
my... [64] 19/18 22/11 24/10 24/22 25/11 25/13 25/16 26/7 26/14 26/18 27/12 27/25 28/12 28/16 30/2 31/19 31/22 31/25 33/25 36/23 37/19 37/21 38/16 38/22 40/15 40/22 41/2 41/5 41/9 41/13 41/18 41/19 42/12 42/14 42/16 42/18 45/18 45/23 50/11 51/6 52/2 52/2 52/7 54/21 56/23 57/5 60/6 63/15 63/23 64/10 66/20 67/4 67/13 71/11 74/15 76/2 79/5 85/12 88/1 95/1 97/13 97/19 98/3 107/9
myriad [1] 61/18 myself [1] 56/5

\section*{N}

N123 [1] 61/7
N456 [1] 61/9
name [8] 24/10 40/15 52/5 55/24 61/10 61/11 61/12 76/2
name's [1] 57/5
named [13] 6/23 8/21
10/18 13/6 13/14 13/14 14/4 14/15 15/2 20/16 40/2 52/1 74/25
names [4] 34/13 60/7 61/13 105/20
nature [2] 14/23 39/22
Nay [1] 97/6
necessarily [1] 11/6 necessary [1] 72/20 need [34] 4/20 8/15 9/3 9/9 13/25 25/9 26/24 28/5 28/8 28/14 29/16 29/25 32/9 33/23 37/21 38/12 43/2 47/6 58/12 62/10 63/22 65/15 67/12 68/22 68/25 72/12 72/16 72/17 74/19 87/11 94/12 95/14 96/4 96/7
needed [10] 5/8 5/8 6/15 13/12 20/4 33/9 38/1 45/6 51/20 97/25
needs [14] 8/19 8/20
8/24 11/2 16/1 18/4 30/12 30/21 44/1 46/19 52/9 59/23 79/8 96/22 neither [1] 56/5 never [13] 47/23 48/21 66/18 67/2 73/6 90/17 90/19 95/20 99/22 100/11 100/20 101/25 103/23
new [13] 14/13 31/5 34/2 38/23 38/25 40/22

40/23 42/5 47/12 57/7 71/9 81/23 96/20
New Mexico [3] 40/22 40/23 42/5
next [14] 3/23 8/3 35/6 76/17 76/21 76/24 79/10 82/12 83/18 96/24 98/15 98/15 105/15 105/25
no [38] 3/18 4/1 5/21 9/24 35/14 37/8 37/8 37/9 38/25 43/8 46/2 46/6 46/22 49/4 50/4 53/2 54/24 55/8 59/19 60/10 63/1 64/8 66/9 70/9 73/8 76/8 76/13 82/18 83/22 85/16 91/21 93/21 95/4 95/5 97/16 97/25 99/24 105/13
nobody [2] 46/23 59/17
Nods [1] 56/21 non [3] 14/4 14/15 17/13
non-lessee [1] 17/13 non-named [2] 14/4 14/15
noncontiguous [1] 104/1
none [8] 48/21 77/2 80/6 84/17 84/24 92/19 98/24 99/2
nonstarter [1] 103/18 normally [1] 39/21 north [2] 48/14 104/1 Northern [1] 36/23 Northrop [2] 81/8 81/13
not [117]
notes [1] 107/9
nothing [6] 48/7 60/5 65/20 76/12 83/19 83/20
notice [2] 59/24 62/2 notification [1] 39/17 notify [1] 15/11
November [7] 1/6 52/3 52/5 52/6 54/21 54/22 55/4
November 34567 [1] 52/6
now [48] 7/10 14/15 18/10 18/22 22/7 24/4 24/20 27/9 28/4 29/3 33/24 34/2 36/12 37/1 40/7 41/13 48/2 48/4 51/7 52/7 53/11 53/14 53/23 54/10 55/12 56/3 57/4 58/8 60/23 60/24 61/9 62/5 63/20 64/17 64/22 66/7 66/21 67/16 69/18 77/16 79/20 83/14 88/5 91/19 97/11 97/25 100/23 103/25
null [1] 57/18 number [15] 12/24
14/7 14/11 36/17 40/17 49/10 49/16 58/1 62/21 68/22 71/18 73/3 75/12 75/13 83/6
Number 8 [1] 83/6 number one [1] 49/10 number's [1] 58/13 numbers [2] 73/13 105/21
numerous [2] 74/12 74/12

\section*{0}
obligation [1] 101/3 obsessive [1] 38/17 obsessive-compulsive [1] 38/17
obstreperous [1] 91/11
obvious [1] 23/14 obviously [3] 70/2 82/1 103/19 occasions [1] 91/3 occupancy [1] 69/15 occurred [1] 85/6 occurrence [1] 72/22 October [6] 27/10 28/5 28/13 28/14 28/19 28/20
October 11th [1] 28/20 October 14th [1] 28/14 off [9] 4/9 51/4 53/17
53/20 65/15 66/1 66/23
94/21 94/21
offered [1] 56/13
office [4] 3/7 20/18 31/15 92/1
often [3] 20/23 21/1 75/18
Oh [7] 20/25 66/17 70/18 82/22 90/13 94/3 96/15
okay [91] \(3 / 113 / 19\) 3/23 4/2 4/11 4/14 4/15 5/2 9/2 9/9 9/9 10/25 17/24 18/7 18/17 18/20 19/5 23/2 23/7 24/6
24/9 28/11 28/19 30/20 32/15 33/20 34/12 35/10 41/17 41/25 43/9 44/22 45/14 45/22 45/24 46/4 49/6 50/3
54/19 56/8 56/15 57/14 57/15 58/4 61/20 62/4 63/20 64/2 64/6 64/13 64/16 65/7 66/10 66/12 67/4 68/17 70/25 71/4 76/9 77/3 77/6 77/13 78/16 79/3 79/25 80/7 80/11 80/21 81/12 81/18 81/19 82/11 82/22 82/23 83/16 91/15 92/8 92/14 94/3

94/6 95/5 97/1 97/7 97/9 98/19 98/25 99/9 102/24 105/2 106/1 106/2
once [2] 64/22 72/23 one [83] 7/10 7/18 8/5 8/24 10/2 11/10 13/19 14/24 15/25 16/18 19/7 19/8 21/7 21/8 21/16 22/2 22/12 22/13 23/15 26/11 28/23 29/4 30/9 30/12 30/14 30/15 33/18 33/24 36/1 36/24 37/2 37/2 37/13 37/19 38/14 39/9 39/11 40/10 41/22 42/14 42/25 43/17 43/20 44/3 44/17 45/3 49/10 49/16 51/16 51/21 51/24 52/9 54/9 58/24 58/25 61/15 61/16 61/16 63/19 64/10 64/24 66/9 67/15 70/23 71/16 71/23 72/3 72/6 72/23 77/19 81/20 81/24 82/22 83/18 85/1 90/7 91/23 95/12 95/13 97/20 103/23 104/13 105/17
one's [2] 6/17 6/17 one-year [1] 70/23 ones [2] 51/24 59/13 only [24] 10/2 11/20 22/8 22/9 22/12 24/21 28/1 32/2 39/3 39/18 40/8 48/25 50/13 55/25 66/7 72/23 74/9 80/17 80/18 80/20 96/13 96/17 101/18 104/6 open [5] 15/1 15/1 18/23 24/7 53/16 opening [1] \(53 / 18\) opens [1] 40/5 operate [2] 83/4 83/5 operation [5] 50/10 50/12 50/13 83/5 97/19 operations [2] 76/7 81/16 opinion [6] 19/18 38/16 63/23 67/14 85/13 95/2
opportunity [2] 14/21 91/2
oppose [1] 101/1 opposed [3] 80/5 84/23 97/5
option [1] 83/8 order [2] 3/2 18/5 original [2] \(5 / 5\) 102/5 other [43] 8/21 8/21
9/12 11/5 11/15 11/16 17/11 20/24 23/17 24/23 26/9 30/24 30/24 32/23 33/16 33/17 33/19 36/12 37/3 37/16 38/7 45/4 52/25 54/11

56/13 57/6 59/14 59/15 61/22 63/3 65/10 66/10 66/11 69/12 74/6 74/7 77/22 88/11 88/11 94/23 95/3 99/23 102/20
others [1] 72/16 Otherwise [1] 86/25 ought [4] 24/25 29/10 29/19 29/21
our [54] 3/12 3/16 3/17 3/23 4/9 4/19 4/20 11/23 12/2 13/10 17/14 18/6 20/18 32/24 34/2 40/11 46/14 49/9 50/10 50/12 50/12 50/13 59/12 60/3 61/1 63/23 65/15 67/22 69/2 70/8 70/8 72/12 73/7 73/21 76/17 80/11 80/14 81/23 86/13 86/14 88/9 91/10 97/10 97/23 98/1 98/19 100/8 100/9 100/15 100/21 101/4 101/10 105/15 105/24 ourselves [1] 62/3 out [57] 5/6 6/15 6/22 6/22 9/25 10/15 13/13 13/23 16/11 20/19 23/20 23/24 25/15 26/4 27/3 27/8 27/16 27/21 27/24 28/7 29/11 29/15 29/24 36/11 36/12 37/24 39/23 40/16 40/16 41/23 47/1 52/16 52/23 54/10 59/4 59/9 63/8 65/11 67/7 67/10 71/7 74/19 83/5 85/14 86/23 89/14 89/15 89/23 92/3 92/7 93/4 93/7 99/10 99/13 102/14 104/11 105/7 outside [3] 26/4 26/6 102/3
over [24] 4/16 20/9 26/20 27/11 27/19 27/25 28/18 29/5 37/21 37/23 37/24 38/11 40/20 42/19 61/24 61/25 71/13 71/20 74/12 85/1 86/20 92/4 96/8 96/13
overall [1] 42/13
overlay [1] 100/11
overnight [1] 42/19
overreacting [1] 67/8
own [16] 11/12 11/14 14/11 14/18 17/6 24/20 29/18 33/2 37/17 37/20 38/13 38/19 38/22 42/7 44/1 60/3
owned [11] 11/2 24/16 24/17 24/19 31/1 36/13 37/4 39/5 39/12 54/11 54/16


7/9 14/24 24/24 31/4 31/10 55/17 75/1
owners [4] 11/4 34/19 35/5 105/21
ownership [7] 7/16
11/18 25/5 28/9 34/23 55/5 55/9
owns [7] 26/12 43/21 44/11 56/6 61/8 63/9 103/25

\section*{P}

P-40 [1] 41/7
P-51 [1] 41/6
p.m [5] 1/7 1/7 81/23

82/2 106/4
padlocked [1] 98/2 padlocking [1] 50/14
PAGE [1] \(2 / 2\)
paid [3] 9/7 23/11 35/25
paperwork [1] 28/15
paragraph [1] 73/23
paragraphs [1] 37/2
parcel [2] 102/2 104/12
park [1] 32/19
part [23] 5/3 13/21
13/22 23/1 23/20 31/20
32/18 33/24 68/23 69/2
69/17 72/9 72/9 83/4
85/18 85/22 95/16
100/8 100/18 101/2
101/2 102/17 102/18
Part 135 [1] 83/4
Part 77 [1] 102/18
participate [2] 69/11
78/22
participation [1] 69/22 particular [7] 6/15 16/12 31/4 37/19 100/3 100/7 101/11
particularly [2] 27/21 104/17
parties [1] 16/23
partner [9] 14/22 25/11
25/12 27/14 27/14
29/20 74/25 81/5 81/6
partners [5] 2/7 4/17
28/10 58/19 81/13
partnership [3] 37/17 40/20 41/1
partnerships [1] 58/17
party [3] 22/17 74/23 81/22
pass [2] 44/5 97/7
passes [1] 84/25
past [1] 104/7
Patrol [1] 103/15
pay [7] 23/18 26/19
48/20 49/22 65/4 65/14 90/9
paying [7] 9/17 49/13
59/13 60/25 65/3 65/20

77/22
payment [8] 8/19 8/20 9/4 26/9 58/24 58/25 67/3 77/11
payments [1] 75/3 pays [2] 73/17 77/14 people [47] 5/25 12/7 12/12 19/10 21/23 22/19 27/6 29/3 30/24 33/8 33/22 38/4 38/18 40/10 43/24 47/1 47/14 47/16 53/3 53/4 53/7 53/9 53/17 53/20 53/21 57/10 57/16 57/16 63/16 64/18 64/22 66/6 66/8 66/11 78/21 87/12 88/22 89/2 89/11 89/22 90/4 90/6 90/8 93/10 94/23 96/4 99/7
perceived [1] 45/8 percent [4] 34/23 49/3 49/8 65/22
percentage [1] 48/23 perfectly [1] 89/4 perhaps [1] 16/1 permanent [2] 16/9 43/10
permanently [3] 37/11 43/11 100/17
permit [2] 81/10 100/22
permits [1] 100/24 permitting [1] 101/6 person [18] 6/24 7/6 8/21 15/7 19/7 19/17 35/6 56/5 64/10 64/14 73/16 73/17 78/25 79/1 88/6 90/7 90/11 90/18 personal [2] 59/18 86/4
persons [1] 7/4
perspective [1] 35/13
pertain [1] 92/25
pertains [1] 21/15
pervasive [1] 27/3
PGA [2] 50/9 80/16
phone [2] 79/1 90/8
physical [5] 14/5 14/6
35/22 46/18 63/3
physically [2] 14/7
63/5
pick [1] 19/14
picking [1] 65/5
piece [10] 67/11 99/14 100/3 100/11 101/3 101/13 102/16 103/11 103/13 103/24
pilots [3] 17/20 51/10 81/21

\section*{Pilots Association [1]} 51/10
Piper [2] 60/7 60/8 pizza [1] 102/6 place [1] 13/16
places [1] 69/13
plane [14] 29/10 29/13 32/6 55/13 55/14 55/25 56/1 56/2 57/4 57/17 57/19 58/2 61/17 67/10 planes [1] 68/6 playing [1] 90/8 please [2] 3/3 65/25 pledge [3] 2/3 \(3 / 33 / 4\) plug [1] 38/8 plus [1] 73/10 point [16] 44/7 49/19 51/2 58/16 71/16 74/5 74/15 77/10 86/1 89/18 89/24 93/15 96/1 96/11 100/2 103/20
pointed [1] 102/14 points [1] 13/2 police [2] 26/22 26/22 policies [2] 61/24 61/25
policy [46] 2/9 2/9 4/4 4/20 5/1 5/5 5/9 5/21 6/22 6/23 7/5 9/18 11/7 11/19 13/3 13/7 13/11 13/13 13/15 13/21
20/10 21/12 22/9 33/6 33/22 34/16 44/10 44/25 49/10 50/17 52/20 55/5 56/15 62/10 73/16 82/12 85/2 85/4 85/9 86/13 86/14 86/17 86/25 86/25 87/11 95/15
political [1] 92/1
Ponce [1] 1/22 poor [1] 96/21
pops [1] 37/24
position [4] 57/3 65/19 89/15 101/10
possibility [1] 22/4
possible [1] 42/11 postpone [2] 60/5 92/11
postponed [1] 59/23
potential [2] 5/24 103/10 potentially [2] 6/19 41/1
pound [1] 69/18
practice [1] 34/8
preaching [1] 92/5
precipitated [2] 27/9 28/3
predetermined [1]

\section*{85/23}
prepared [1] 62/3
present [3] 1/9 1/14 3/21
presented [2] 3/20 4/3
pressing [1] 4/19
pretty [2] 78/8 104/9
prevent [2] 38/24
87/19
previously [2] 36/16 41/5
prices [1] 66/10
primarily [1] 85/18
principals [1] 34/13
prior [1] 80/10
pristine [1] 27/22
private [1] 34/20
probably [3] 72/22
72/23 79/21
problem [43] 15/10 26/9 26/25 28/2 29/16 33/3 35/7 35/17 38/7
44/13 44/18 44/19 44/20 44/21 44/24
46/11 51/14 53/6 53/8
53/10 53/13 63/16
63/24 64/12 64/12
65/21 67/2 80/17 80/18 88/7 88/9 89/10 90/20 90/25 94/14 94/15
94/16 94/17 94/23 94/24 94/25 95/1
101/17
problematic [2] 35/9
48/22
problems [1] 51/22
proceedings [1] 107/8
process [4] 7/11 7/14
7/22 11/9
produce [1] 28/22
professionally [1]
95/12
profit [1] 65/10
programmed [2] 98/14 98/18
prohibited [1] 102/12
Project [1] 105/6
properties [2] 17/7

\section*{104/7}
property [15] 17/10
99/14 100/4 100/8
100/11 100/19 100/25
101/3 101/14 102/3
102/16 102/19 104/1
104/12 104/17
proposal [1] 82/19
proposed [6] 82/19
83/1 85/10 86/9 103/14
105/15
proposing [3] 48/8 60/1 83/5
protect [1] 100/15
protected [2] 35/8 66/5
protection [1] 64/16
protective [2] 64/19
64/20
prove [1] 25/5
provide [2] 70/1 87/1
provided [4] 7/1 7/8
15/13 15/14
provides [1] 14/20
providing [1] 69/18
provisioning [1] 39/25
Pruitt [4] 50/4 50/16
96/21 97/12
PT [3] 27/22 29/14

41/14
PT-22 [3] 27/22 29/14 41/14
public [28] 2/11 10/15 18/9 18/23 24/8 45/15
50/23 62/5 80/19 83/20 85/14 86/3 86/6 88/10 91/4 93/21 93/23 94/4 94/7 94/8 94/9 95/3 96/2 96/13 96/23 97/8 97/11 99/5
pull [2] 27/24 38/10
pulling [1] 27/16
purchasing [1] 83/3
purpose [4] 25/2 54/6 59/18 59/18
purposes [2] 17/2 36/8 put [44] 8/25 9/1 9/18 25/1 25/6 25/13 26/7 27/25 29/12 29/19 29/21 31/6 31/11 31/24 32/25 33/2 36/19 37/23 38/10 41/9 42/1 42/15 42/15 42/17 44/8 45/25 48/2 49/14 52/7 55/15 62/16 63/2 63/9 63/10 64/5 64/24 65/18 68/6 74/10 77/16 79/15 85/10 87/2 93/22
puts [1] 64/23
putting [5] 27/17 46/5 46/22 60/1 85/19
quality [1] 29/14
queen [4] 46/3 52/16 52/23 66/5
queens [2] 52/12 52/14
question [15] 30/4
43/1 45/19 57/9 58/9
62/20 74/4 75/23 75/24
77/12 77/19 77/22 84/4
98/5 102/2
questioning [1] 64/9 questions [5] 18/3
18/6 18/22 24/4 67/6 quick [3] 5/3 81/20 84/4
quickly [2] 69/9 85/21
quite [5] 24/14 27/17
34/10 39/22 99/9
quote [1] 37/11

\section*{R}
raise [1] 66/10
ramble [1] 89/24
ramp [4] 29/11 29/15
73/10 98/2
ran [1] 89/10
RANDY [3] 1/10 60/14 74/2
rate [1] 20/6
rather [2] 19/17 20/2
re [1] \(71 / 14\)
re-signed [1] 71/14
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline R & re & & & \\
\hline react [1] 85/16 & \[
10
\] & \[
14 / 15 \quad 17 / 16
\] & 64/14 77/21 79/2 & \[
68
\] \\
\hline reaction [1] 100/21 & remote [1] 14 & 18/21 18/22 21/1 & sand [1] 69/ & separating [1] 6 \\
\hline \[
1 / 47
\] & renew [3] 70/12 70/13 & 23/13 24/4 24/6 24/11 & sat [1] 95/20 & September [1] 27/10 \\
\hline 85/20 95/13 105/20 & 71/1 & 24/20 30/2 31/23 37/7 & satisfies [1] 41 & serious [1] 74/13 \\
\hline reading [2] 54/24 & renewed [2] 70 & 38/19 41/13 48/4 49/12 & satisfy [1] & seriously [1] 2 \\
\hline 86/10 & & 50 & satisfying & service [1] 27/16 \\
\hline ready [1] & rent [16] & 58/18 60/22 65/1 & Saturday [1] & set [2] 48/2 78/24 \\
\hline real [2] 66/12 10 & 9/14 23/14 & 67/16 67 & saw [2] & setting [1] \\
\hline realize [2] 13 & 49/13 59/13 6 & /12 71 & say [38] 8/1 & seven [2] 24 \\
\hline really [24] 6/5 8/6 8/1 & 63/11 6 & 73/25 74/22 77/8 87 & 23/2 31/1 & - \\
\hline 10/4 10/6 10/14 11/7 & 77/11 77/14 77 & 88/8 88/20 90/21 93/19 & 36/12 41/18 45/23 & 31/1 68/20 \\
\hline 15/8 15/10 19/4 19/4 & rent's [3] 9/7 9/11 83/8 & 94/10 105/15 & 47/24 48/14 59/8 59/20 & shall [4] 6/23 \\
\hline 21/12 25/14 43/13 47/9 & rental [1] 13/4 & ripple [1] & 59/23 65/11 66/7 67/ & 13/4 \\
\hline 48/12 59/19 66/13 74/8 & rented [2] 26/10 & risk [1] 35/13 & 73/2 74/23 75/10 80/1 & shame [1] \\
\hline 82/10 89/14 89/17 & 103 & RMR [3] & 81/9 87/25 89/2 89/9 & re [3] \\
\hline 101/25 105/9 & renting & 107/13 & 89/9 89/17 90/11 90/13 & 73/13 \\
\hline reason [8] 19/10 27/13 & rents [3] 26/12 & road [2] & 90/13 90/21 93/5 93/1 & ring [ \\
\hline 38/3 43/17 72/20 87/25 & & Roberts & 93/18 94/11 94/ & she [5] 91/179 \\
\hline 90/10 90/15 & report & Ron [1] 57/3 & 95/1 & 91/22 96/9 \\
\hline reasonable & 3/12 3/17 4/16 80 & room [4] & saying [13] 21/20 28/5 & 2] \\
\hline 92/22 92/23 92/23 & 80/24 107/7 & 32/22 32/23 & & short [3] 6 \\
\hline reasons [3] 20/21 & REPORTER'S [2] & roots [2] 86/15 & /20 57/22 57/25 58/7 & 62 \\
\hline 38/12 38/22 & & ro & 60/9 61/2 65/16 65/21 & ort- \\
\hline Reba [6] 40/1 & Reporters [1] & roughly [3] 33/1 & says [3] 6/23 54/11 & horter [1] 70/21 \\
\hline 58/8 77/20 93/1 93/2 & REPORTS [2] & 62/23 83/9 & & hould [25] 11/3 \\
\hline recall [1] & & & scenario [1] 41/ & \\
\hline receive [1] 22/12 & & routin & & 51/22 \\
\hline receptive [1] 47/2 & representing [2] 30/23 & 39 & [1] & 0/2 \\
\hline recognize [2] 51/17 & & [3] & [1] & 68/11 73/13 73/2 \\
\hline & request [2] 62/9 102/5 & 38/8 & screen [2] 85/10 85/19 & 4 87/3 88/21 \\
\hline & requesting [1] 64/8 & RPR [3] 1/21 107/6 & Sea [1] 1/15 & 93/3 93/4 94/21 103/ \\
\hline & require [5] 29/23 34/16 & 107/13 & seasonal [1] 48 & shouldn't [5] 28/2 \\
\hline & 6 & RPR-CP [2] 107/6 & second [12] 76/2 & 0 67/3 90/17 94 \\
\hline recommend [3] 5 & required [4] 31/8 31/15 & 107/13 & 76/25 78/16 84/3 84/14 & shovel [1] 72 \\
\hline 76/15 83/12 & 31/16 69/25 & R & 94/15 92/16 92/17 & how [4] 27/17 28/10 \\
\hline & requirement [5] & ruling [1] 52/1 & 0 95/6 & 41 \\
\hline 84/19 97/2 & 32/3 46/16 47/25 48/10 & run [5] 12/5 43/1 & secondarily [1] 100/3 & wing [1] 91/5 \\
\hline recommende & requirements [1] 46/16 & 43/12 59/6 95/ & Secondly [1] 98/5 & [1] 7/9 \\
\hline 84/2 & rescinded [1] 29/6 & running [1] & section [4] 13/15 13/15 & side [5] 11/10 69 \\
\hline & research [1] 85/17 & runway [3] 100/5 & 13/16 13/23 & 82/20 82/21 83/6 \\
\hline & resolved [1] 47/ & 100/16 & sector [1] & sign [3] 64/10 64/1 \\
\hline referee [2] 2 & respect [1] 88/20 & Runway 31 & secured [1] 50/1 & 64/22 \\
\hline & respond [1] 55/1 & runway's [1] 100/1 & see [22] 12/11 12/12 & igned [2] 71/14 74/6 \\
\hline  & response [5] 73/1 86/8 & runways [1] 100/9 & 19/6 22/23 30/11 37/25 & significantly [2] 20/3 \\
\hline & 90/12 90/18 90/21 & RV [2] 42/16 42/18 & 38/22 41/23 44/7 44/25 & 40/6 \\
\hline regs [3] 99/25 100/2 & responsibility [2] & RV-8 [2] 42/16 42/18 & 45/17 46/8 46/11 52/11 & \\
\hline \[
101 / 19
\] & 21/14 43/16 & & 56/6 58/4 69/11 80/10 & signs [3] 64/23 73/ \\
\hline & responsible [5] 9/16 19/7 19/15 22/17 22/25 & S & 102/2́ & silly [3] 26/8 26/1 \\
\hline regulate [1] & rest [1] & \[
\text { safe [1] } 73 / 5
\] & seeing [2] & \[
31 / 7
\] \\
\hline regulations [6] 70/3 & restaurant [1] 102/6 & safety [1] 35 & seem [1] 24/25 & similar [4] 43/19 87/6 \\
\hline 72/15 99/15 100/17 & restriction [2] 45/7 & said [23] 22/10 22/1 & seemed [3] 5/7 13/11 & 87/8 87/10 \\
\hline 100/18 102/13 & 45/10 & \[
27 / 24 \text { 28/8 36/17 38 }
\] & & \\
\hline related [8] 44/10 72/17 & & 44/20 50/6 54/13 62/8 & & 29/18 29/22 38/1 39 \\
\hline 74/17 85/18 96/14 & \[
100 / 9 \text { 100/19 }
\] & 68/5 68/6 72/2 72/4 & \[
9 / 49 / 1426 / 1442 / 21
\] & 42/9 42/10 \\
\hline 100/24 103/9 104/3 & re & 76/10 76/13 78/14 & 51/13 68/8 71/ & ly [7] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { relationship } \\
35 / 1269 / 4
\end{array}
\] & revenue [1] 65/14 & 81/10 82/6 99/12 99/24 & sell [6] 27/18 52/4 & 43/16 46/4 46/17 101/7 \\
\hline & review [1] 101/10 & 18 102/15 & 54/21 60/7 61/9 102/19 & 102/14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { relationships } \\
& 105 / ? ?
\end{aligned}
\] & revision [3] 20/1 & 1] 103/8 & ling [1] & nce [ \\
\hline & 30/11 50/1 & 25 & sends [1] 22/3 & 27/21 38/1 88/ \\
\hline  & revisions [2] 5/8 & & [7] 8/7 9/24 & 88/17 95/17 96/22 \\
\hline relocated & rid [4] 26/24 27/5 47/1 & 31/5 33/18 36/3 50/25 & 45/11 72/ & singing [2] 91/17 \\
\hline remain [2] 49/16 87/1 & 66/8 & 54/8 57/3 57/10 57/15 & sentence [1] 90/15 & 91/20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{S \\ 6/24 13/5 20/2 22/15 \\ 26/11 37/18 63/24 \\ sir [4] 3/8 12/10 41/24 68/3 \\ sit [5] 29/15 88/22 90/5}

94/12 94/19
sits [2] 47/11 47/11
sitting [5] 29/11 45/23
47/2 85/6 96/22
situation [15] 5/14 5/23 8/7 9/8 10/21 16/1 27/8 27/9 34/21 35/4
37/5 55/24 57/25 71/24 99/18
situations [2] 5/19 5/20
six [5] 25/18 28/13 49/17 49/22 59/7
size [1] \(64 / 5\)
skip [1] 4/16
skipped [2] 82/22 85/1
slanderous [1] 86/5
slight [1] 86/24
slot [1] 38/19
slowly [2] 53/25 66/15
smaller [1] 42/14
smart [2] 68/19 68/21
sneak [1] 69/24
Snodgrass [2] 40/16 64/24
Snodgrass' [2] 27/11 29/10
so [151]
sold [3] 55/13 55/25 56/1
solution [2] 20/19 29/16
solve [2] 33/4 35/17
solved [1] 25/4
some [53] 4/6 4/7 4/18 5/7 5/15 6/14 6/19 7/11 7/14 8/25 10/10 10/15 13/17 15/4 16/2 16/4 23/10 24/7 24/8 25/9 33/5 33/15 33/17 34/15 42/13 43/23 43/23
45/12 51/17 51/18 59/4 67/7 67/12 68/4 68/17 72/25 74/14 77/24 77/24 77/25 78/5 78/13 78/14 88/8 89/22 94/20 100/22 102/9 102/11 103/10 103/14 103/20 103/25
somebody [13] 15/21 15/24 16/1 26/1 26/25 27/6 57/5 59/9 60/3 64/11 64/22 75/4 88/3
somebody's [1] 65/3
somehow [3] 24/2
25/25 59/1
someone [8] 9/11 11/17 11/18 19/15 21/5

35/8 40/3 44/11 someplace [1] 38/5 something [19] 8/9 37/24 40/4 41/3 48/1 59/8 69/8 71/3 76/18 79/15 85/5 85/15 86/10 89/8 97/18 97/24 100/24 101/16 104/3 something's [2] 16/3 66/21
sometimes [2] 87/23 90/12
somewhere [2] 33/5 74/9
soon [1] 3/7
sophisticated [1] 45/5
sorry [5] 16/6 50/16 66/5 80/17 80/20 sort [6] 12/4 19/19 40/17 54/12 90/6 93/17 sound [2] 35/14 103/3 sounds [1] 93/9 sour [1] 35/12 south [2] 20/18 103/20 space [9] 16/2 20/2 20/3 27/25 33/2 35/25 46/24 53/13 66/14 spacing [1] 10/10 speak [5] 4/20 16/22 50/8 85/23 96/23 speakers [1] 88/2 speaking [1] 89/23 specific [5] 5/21 44/2 44/9 55/23 55/23
specifically [3] 5/10 10/20 102/12
specified [1] 10/13 spelled [2] \(36 / 1076 / 3\) split [1] 54/10
spoke [1] 17/25
spreadsheet [4] 33/13 37/14 45/3 45/5
square [6] 62/22 62/23 62/25 64/4 83/9 83/10
ST [8] \(1 / 1\) 1/1 \(1 / 5\) 1/15 1/15 1/22 1/23 107/4
St. [7] 28/8 51/10 73/3 81/21 87/7 90/3 90/3
St. Augustine [7] 28/8 51/10 73/3 81/21 87/7 90/3 90/3
stack [1] 17/22
staff [3] 33/8 83/12 97/2
staff's [3] 84/2 84/19 85/16
stage [1] 104/6
stakeholders [3] 32/24 69/2 70/8
stand [2] 3/3 89/12
standard [3] 83/11
84/9 104/10
standards [2] 94/15 102/7
standpoint [2] 13/10

15/2
start [8] 22/24 49/12
51/13 52/22 62/12 73/8 89/12 100/2
started [4] 91/16 91/17 91/20 95/19
starting [2] 53/12 62/14
state [3] 23/13 104/18 107/3
statement [1] 46/4
stay [7] 31/4 44/6
47/17 51/5 52/14 57/17 81/11
stays [6] 46/1 46/1
58/6 60/12 60/17 60/21
stenographic [1] 107/9 stenographically [1] 107/7
step [2] 42/18 49/21
STEVE [3] 1/11 64/9
105/10
stick [2] 26/1 42/18
sticking [1] 86/1
still [12] 20/12 21/13
31/20 42/13 44/6 45/14
55/16 55/18 56/24
58/11 59/4 59/14
stood [1] 91/20
storage [3] 16/2 47/15
66/3
store [7] 11/5 14/16 19/13 32/6 36/23 38/4 45/21
stored [45] 7/1 7/7 8/5 8/10 8/22 10/18 14/2
14/2 14/5 14/12 14/20
15/8 15/9 15/15 16/7
16/8 21/10 22/25 27/7
36/12 37/3 37/9 37/10
39/21 40/4 41/11 43/4
43/5 43/10 43/15 45/19
45/20 45/25 46/4 46/6
46/14 47/5 47/8 47/9
54/11 67/10 67/12
67/13 67/19 69/16
stories [1] 101/16
story [3] 6/21 29/8 99/16
straight [2] 4/8 4/17
straighten [1] 67/9
Street [1] 1/15
stricken [1] 73/24
students [1] 105/7
stuff [3] 51/7 63/14 63/15
style [1] 20/8
subject [2] 61/21 83/11 sublease [3] 34/25 40/1 64/13
subleases [1] 65/9
subleasing [1] 27/2
subletting [1] 74/13
Subsection [1] 33/7
subsequently [1] 29/6
substantial [2] 24/24 37/20
subtenant [1] 9/13 subtenants [1] 9/10 subtractions [1] 4/2 succinct [3] 89/18 93/15 93/16
such [2] 14/22 50/14 sudden [2] 27/3 31/6
suddenly [1] 65/19
suggest [2] 60/4 62/1
suggested [3] 86/24
92/10 97/2
suggestions [1] 78/6
summary [2] 12/21
81/16
summer [1] 48/15
Sunbiz [1] 61/11
Sunday [1] 28/21
support [4] 65/13
87/24 93/6 102/23
supposed [4] 10/2
28/21 65/4 105/19
sure [25] 4/12 4/24
7/21 11/13 11/18 13/23 20/25 21/11 25/8 29/23 38/18 41/8 45/10 54/17
70/5 72/10 77/4 78/3
78/9 79/4 79/6 84/10
91/6 95/9 104/25
surface [1] 101/2
surfaces [2] 100/10 102/17
survive [2] 26/4 26/6
SUZANNE [1] 1/10
swapping [2] 39/14
103/10
T
T's [3] 6/17 36/3 45/1
T-hangar [33] 5/10
5/13 6/8 6/11 6/12 6/16
6/24 9/23 10/1 10/2 10/13 13/5 14/6 20/3 39/13 39/15 42/2 42/3 42/18 43/22 44/9 51/19 54/4 54/9 54/14 54/14 54/20 57/12 57/13
62/15 67/23 68/2 68/18
T-hangar's [1] 54/20
T-hangar/box [2] 4/9 5/9
T-hangars [17] 5/22
10/7 13/19 16/17 20/5
20/20 39/6 43/20 44/14
50/18 53/4 54/13 58/22
58/23 72/2 77/20 98/6
T-HANGARS/BOX [1]
4/4
table [10] 62/8 67/9
68/11 74/3 76/14 76/15
76/20 76/23 78/17
79/25
tabled [1] 80/7
tabling [1] 80/1
tail [6] 58/1 58/13
68/22 71/18 73/13 105/21
taildragger [1] 7/20
tailor [3] 63/15 63/23 63/23
tailored [1] 86/20
take [16] 6/15 21/6
25/8 35/23 38/5 44/5
46/25 49/12 52/21
57/24 58/25 64/2 76/4
93/3 93/4 102/21
taken [1] 54/8
takes [2] 16/11 67/17
taking [1] 6/13
talk [10] 9/20 43/3 78/4
78/5 82/9 82/10 90/4
90/5 92/2 96/4
talked [1] 98/6
talking [14] 10/20
29/13 39/24 57/11
57/13 58/21 75/3 75/4
89/12 89/13 89/13 90/8
94/19 104/22
talks [1] \(8 / 19\)
Tammy [1] 81/13
target [1] 79/21
targeting [1] 79/24
team [2] 97/23 98/4
technically [1] 73/19
tedious [1] 71/14
telephone [1] 78/20
tell [13] 20/15 24/16
30/24 47/19 57/21
66/24 71/6 90/18 90/19
90/19 91/25 92/3 97/10
telling [2] 88/22 93/14
temporarily [1] 28/1
temporary [1] 39/22
ten [12] 5/24 6/1 6/3
24/17 24/18 31/1 47/1
64/5 69/18 89/13 91/17
92/23
tenancies [1] 76/6
tenant [50] 7/2 8/21 9/5
9/8 9/12 9/15 10/3 13/5
13/19 15/14 15/14
16/10 17/5 20/3 20/8
20/12 21/16 21/23
21/25 22/13 24/12 30/13 30/15 34/2 34/3
36/6 36/9 36/13 37/4
39/5 39/12 43/18 43/20
43/21 44/1 44/12 49/22
52/1 54/12 54/16 55/7
56/4 61/8 64/15 67/1
70/22 71/12 71/23
77/21 83/1
tenants [32] 7/13 7/15
7/16 7/24 7/25 8/4 10/5
11/1 11/2 11/3 11/7
11/11 11/11 11/14 13/20 15/1 15/25 22/9
23/3 23/15 25/3 30/16
30/17 33/17 34/6 48/11
\{PLAINTIFF\} v.
\{DEFENDANT\}

\section*{\(T\)}
tenants... [6] 63/19 64/13 69/2 70/9 72/13 77/25
term [4] 16/1 47/15 83/7 103/21
terminate [1] 70/20 terminology [2] 102/9 102/11
terms [5] 70/7 70/24 74/9 74/10 94/20
terribly [1] 21/11
than [32] 7/18 8/5 8/21
8/22 9/12 13/6 14/23
19/7 19/17 20/2 20/4 20/23 21/1 21/8 33/9 34/22 39/8 39/10 40/6 48/12 56/13 61/15 61/16 63/3 74/7 74/20 75/19 76/7 82/1 86/21 90/23 96/25
Thank [12] 12/15 24/9 30/3 42/23 50/5 51/4 56/19 82/3 98/9 98/19 98/20 106/3
Thanks [1] 42/24
that [447]
that's [147]
their [23] 14/18 16/2
16/3 16/22 17/6 17/11 17/20 18/10 18/18 19/14 27/7 38/4 61/23 61/24 69/20 71/1 73/13 85/10 89/14 91/11 91/11 94/24 96/8
them [41] 7/20 11/14 11/15 16/10 16/20 18/1 19/15 20/17 21/6 22/2 23/15 23/19 24/24 25/24 26/5 26/7 27/8 31/25 34/10 38/5 38/21 42/1 44/15 45/22 48/14 49/1 52/16 58/13 69/17 71/14 72/17 75/12 89/16 89/18 90/5 90/19 93/14 94/23 96/7 97/24 102/8
themselves [6] 9/21 13/12 34/14 59/22 72/15 89/11
then [77] 4/2 4/22 5/6 5/19 6/1 6/2 7/25 8/18 9/8 9/19 10/8 11/25 13/13 15/9 16/11 17/24 18/8 18/11 18/11 18/23 20/5 21/21 21/22 30/15 30/16 30/17 33/3 33/19 34/24 35/8 35/24 36/4 36/13 39/11 41/8 42/8 43/18 43/22 47/11 47/12 49/12 50/8 51/4 54/23 55/4 55/6 56/18 59/7 62/16 64/25 65/15 66/22 67/3 68/11 70/11 71/7 72/8 73/18 79/5

80/8 80/25 81/4 81/18 81/24 82/9 82/12 83/24 84/25 89/19 92/4 93/16 94/3 94/16 94/16 96/1 102/20 106/2
theory [1] 73/9 there [127] there's [36] 5/24 7/11 7/14 10/24 10/25 18/16 21/4 22/24 23/15 26/24 38/12 42/13 43/3 48/18 48/24 48/25 51/18 53/6 53/10 59/4 62/9 62/9 63/1 64/25 67/7 68/4 68/17 70/9 72/6 77/15 78/16 78/21 81/1 86/11 103/8 103/9
therefore [1] 77/21 these [19] 20/7 24/15 24/22 27/5 28/17 29/12 32/24 33/13 33/13 33/14 33/15 33/18 38/1 38/2 59/25 67/10 68/6 78/1 105/23
they [69] 3/19 11/5 11/13 11/14 11/17 14/17 18/15 19/14 20/4 20/17 21/5 23/4 23/8 23/9 26/6 32/14 32/25 33/2 33/2 33/23 39/11 43/14 43/14 45/5 48/13 48/16 48/20 51/20 52/13 52/15 63/1 63/19 65/3 69/10 69/15 70/11 70/25 71/1 71/6 73/2 73/12 73/13 73/19 75/11 76/5 83/2 83/3 83/4 85/9 85/10 85/19 87/22 88/4 88/4 89/14 90/6 90/9 90/14 90/18 91/8 94/8 94/9 96/4 96/8 97/24 99/8 101/9 102/8 104/12
they'd [2] 15/17 23/24 they'll [3] 89/12 89/12 90/5
they're [25] 16/20
22/25 26/4 26/5 33/1
48/21 52/16 58/23 65/4 69/14 69/14 69/24
69/24 70/1 70/11 72/13 72/14 72/15 72/16 73/4 73/18 83/3 88/5 98/14 98/18
they've [3] 23/19 48/21 85/12
thing [28] 3/23 11/20 21/11 26/23 28/3 28/25 29/8 33/24 35/2 37/13 38/19 51/1 51/16 52/12 56/1 57/1 59/14 59/15 59/15 61/6 62/12 80/20 87/20 98/4 100/16 101/18 102/20 104/6 things [16] \(5 / 6\) 14/23

19/2 20/6 20/18 28/17 40/11 65/24 67/7 67/10 67/16 68/4 78/11 81/3 100/12 105/24
think [92] 4/19 6/10 8/18 8/24 9/6 10/6 12/24 13/25 16/16 17/3 19/10 20/11 21/9 25/24 26/7 29/5 29/8 29/10 29/16 30/7 30/9 31/7 33/8 35/22 36/19 41/12 42/10 42/13 43/6 46/13 46/13 46/19 47/8 48/11 48/24 50/19 51/17 53/1 55/4 57/23 58/7 61/10 66/5 67/6 67/8 67/8 67/15 67/16 67/21 68/11 68/14 68/17 68/17 68/19 68/20 68/21 68/22 68/23 68/25 69/1 71/16 71/21 72/12 73/20 73/21 74/2 74/4 75/21 77/11 77/15 77/19 77/21 78/7 78/13 82/9 84/8 88/14 88/16 88/21 92/20 93/3 93/4 93/4 95/14 97/9 97/10 98/14 100/21 104/3 104/15 105/10 105/24 thinking [2] 78/19 86/11
third [1] 16/13
this [108]
those [33] 7/15 7/24 15/6 15/9 17/7 17/7
19/2 20/1 20/6 20/6
20/20 24/18 24/21 30/8 37/18 38/13 38/14
38/20 40/24 41/2 41/9
41/23 48/22 63/22
64/22 65/1 65/23 67/4 70/10 71/8 74/18 75/2 104/4
though [10] 22/7 24/23 33/24 36/4 53/4 55/10 64/7 75/25 87/12 88/23 thought [11] 5/12 7/11 7/14 7/22 8/25 9/2 11/9 16/17 50/22 85/11 103/23
thousand [2] 23/14 65/9
threaten [1] 67/3
three [36] 8/10 19/10
19/12 30/18 38/21
40/11 41/19 47/3 57/10 57/15 57/16 60/6 61/13 83/8 87/9 87/12 87/15 88/14 88/22 89/16
89/24 90/4 91/13 91/14 91/15 92/2 92/22 93/4 93/18 94/1 94/6 96/25 97/16 97/17 99/4 99/5 three-minute [3] 87/9 91/13 94/1
three-year [1] 83/8 through [11] \(4 / 15\) 25/24 51/11 73/9 73/9 82/11 95/20 97/10 98/1 98/1 104/13
throw [1] 12/21 throwing [1] 86/23 thrown [1] 67/6 thrust [2] 8/17 9/18 Thursday [1] 28/19 tie [2] 13/5 31/3 tie-downs [1] 13/5 tied [1] 75/9 tightening [1] 45/8 till [3] 66/22 78/17 80/1 time [29] 15/17 18/1 20/14 20/14 20/16 20/16 25/16 28/16 28/16 36/24 44/8 46/7 47/25 48/18 53/16 58/17 78/18 79/2 80/8 81/10 85/7 85/23 85/25 86/1 87/9 88/19 88/25 96/8 103/20
timelines [1] 48/1
timer's [1] 88/13
times [7] 12/25 37/21
53/16 53/19 72/7 74/12 95/17
tires [1] 38/10
title [2] 6/25 7/7
today [4] 19/3 39/24 62/2 69/21
together [3] 19/13
79/16 80/9
told [4] 26/6 28/14 69/17 101/25
tomorrow [1] 105/5 tonight [1] 92/12
too [7] 22/5 35/20
38/16 42/22 58/22
94/11 94/19
took [3] 54/8 93/7 99/9
top [3] 29/5 44/6 71/20
total [1] 23/10
totally [2] 59/15 59/16
Tour [1] 50/9
towards [1] 103/20
tower [1] 81/14
trade [1] 31/5
trading [1] 104/16
traditional [2] 11/9 16/17
traditionally [3] 5/12 7/12 34/18
transaction [3] 101/22 103/4 104/19
transcript [1] 107/8
transfer [2] 11/25 12/2
transient [1] 37/6
transitional [1] 100/10
transitioning [1] 44/3
transitions [1] 34/23
treat [1] 29/4
treated [1] 51/20
treating [2] 12/6 73/21 tried [2] 47/23 63/15 true [2] 90/24 107/8 truly [1] 88/24 trust [1] 69/3
try [5] 12/22 12/22 27/18 30/1 30/1 trying [22] 5/12 6/5 11/22 27/16 31/3 31/18 33/3 33/10 35/17 37/25 42/22 44/11 51/5 51/13 51/20 54/3 68/1 68/1 71/22 71/22 85/20 94/13
Tucker [5] 24/7 24/10 30/10 36/17 78/14 Tuesday [2] 28/24 79/13
turn [3] 4/13 68/15 97/13
turned [2] 99/12 99/17 twice [1] 99/13 twist [1] 14/14 two [49] 7/4 7/12 7/15 7/15 7/24 7/24 7/25 10/5 10/25 11/2 11/3 11/3 11/6 11/10 11/11 11/14 11/15 13/6 13/20 15/2 19/10 19/12 19/17 22/9 22/19 22/20 22/21 22/21 23/3 23/3 23/15 23/19 24/21 24/23 30/16 30/16 30/17 37/16 44/17 51/23 63/9 63/10 64/17 64/22 65/1 66/9 83/7 99/16 101/16 two-story [1] 99/16 two-year [1] 83/7 type [4] 14/12 34/21 71/24 87/20
types [1] 65/23
typical [1] 9/5
typically [1] 9/14

\section*{U}
U.S. [2] 100/5 103/14
U.S. 1 [2] 100/5 103/14 uh [5] 34/7 60/11 82/15 82/17 103/17
Uh-huh [3] 34/7 82/17 103/17
ultimately [1] 40/4 unaffiliated [1] 14/15 unauthorized [2] 9/12 9/13
uncomfortable [1] 90/1
under [11] 5/25 16/6 16/7 16/8 54/23 55/22 55/23 94/5 97/16 102/7 102/17
underlies [1] 100/8 underneath [1] 103/1 understand [11] 18/22 23/25 25/9 25/13 31/19
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline \(\mathbf{U}\) \\
\hline understand... [6] 33/3 \\
\(35 / 13\) 48/5 66/4 78/8 \\
\(100 / 1\) \\
understanding [2] \\
\(22 / 1157 / 8\) \\
understands [1] 78/9 \\
understood [3] 16/5 \\
\(89 / 2097 / 21\)
\end{tabular}

89/20 97/21
unfair [1] 59/15
unflyable [1] 47/22
unique [2] 101/13 101/15
unit [3] 64/11 65/24 82/20
units [3] 13/5 13/7 19/21
unless [3] 14/17 38/10 71/3
unplugged [1] 91/4
unquote [1] 37/11
unsafe [1] 72/14
until [4] 35/7 68/12 69/23 80/7
unused [1] 47/15 unwieldy [1] 5/11 up [52] 3/7 4/13 7/4 7/14 7/24 10/4 11/13 16/14 20/15 20/18 24/7 27/23 34/18 38/4 40/5 41/6 42/6 43/13 44/4 44/7 45/6 45/17 47/10 48/2 48/14 49/10 50/22 52/25 56/7 66/10 70/6 75/13 75/22 78/2 78/24 82/5 85/10 85/24 87/18 88/13 89/9 89/12 90/6 90/12 90/15 91/5 91/14 91/16 91/19 91/20 97/14 100/5
update [2] 2/7 31/14
updates [2] 81/5 81/6
us [25] 15/11 15/14
16/11 17/1 18/5 18/21
20/19 23/1 36/12 45/6
48/16 59/1 60/1 60/3
61/15 65/4 67/16 70/8 73/4 82/2 89/25 94/25 99/17 99/22 104/14
usability [1] 46/7
usable [1] 46/2
use [12] 11/17 13/4
25/12 29/21 40/1 43/11 46/7 47/21 52/15 62/18 64/1 68/24
used [3] 36/3 47/3 104/24
user [1] 45/23
users [2] 20/1 21/13
Uses [1] 83/11
using [4] 53/7 53/21 65/17 104/18

\section*{V \\ vacant [2] 47/22 48/19}
vacate [2] 29/1 66/17 vague [1] 93/17 value [2] 19/6 26/2 vehicles [1] 38/4 vendetta [1] 59/19 venture [1] 28/11 verbally [1] 65/10 verifiable [1] 69/15 vernacular [1] 40/1 version [1] 86/22 versus [2] \(5 / 1410 / 13\) very [23] 6/7 6/11 7/10 9/15 12/13 15/23 17/11 25/4 27/20 29/22 31/9 32/16 38/5 67/5 69/9 82/5 87/6 87/8 87/10 96/16 98/9 101/13 106/3
vetted [1] 85/15 viewpoint [2] 46/14 69/7
violation [4] 52/20 56/4 73/18 91/13
vision [1] 46/14
visiting [5] 15/17 15/21 15/24 16/5 43/8 void [1] 57/19 volume [1] 102/6 volunteer [1] 73/12 vote [5] 62/1 68/10 68/11 78/10 92/12

\section*{W}
wait [3] 44/6 66/22 76/14
waiting [39] 6/7 6/8 6/11 6/12 11/24 12/2 12/7 19/25 25/15 25/16 25/17 25/17 25/18 25/18 25/20 25/22 25/25 27/5 35/6 43/24 44/4 44/6 47/1 47/14 47/17 49/9 49/11 53/2 53/3 53/5 53/6 53/9 53/15 53/17 53/20 53/22 53/25 54/14 59/11
walk [2] 75/12 103/6 want [60] 5/17 10/17 12/5 14/25 14/25 19/9 19/12 21/7 30/7 32/14 32/25 38/3 39/25 40/23 40/25 42/9 43/17 43/25 45/16 47/24 50/4 52/15 52/18 52/21 54/2 55/14 56/9 59/11 60/8 60/20 61/10 62/17 65/2 65/4 66/13 66/13 66/14 69/7 69/15 70/9 71/15 71/17 71/18 72/10 77/4 77/17 78/8 78/14 78/22 79/6 80/12 80/15 80/23 88/4 88/4 89/1 92/3 94/8 96/12 104/12 wanted [8] 4/23 16/10

37/13 42/15 42/15 42/16 42/16 84/10 wanting [1] 20/19 wants [3] 46/23 64/24 89/22
warehouse [4] 45/22 99/13 99/16 102/1
warehouses [1] 63/15 was [88] 6/14 9/18 12/22 15/22 16/17 19/3 20/11 23/14 24/13
25/17 25/17 25/20 27/9 27/13 27/19 28/1 28/13 28/19 28/20 29/9 33/7 33/9 33/10 33/12 37/14 37/25 42/20 45/1 45/2 45/9 45/14 50/1 50/11 50/14 50/22 54/9 55/5 57/2 58/7 59/17 59/17 59/18 64/9 67/18 67/18 71/16 77/19 78/19
78/20 81/15 82/6 82/9 85/5 85/6 85/13 86/3 86/9 86/10 86/11 86/17 86/18 89/7 89/7 89/19 89/24 90/7 90/11 97/18 97/18 97/20 99/1 99/10 99/16 100/23 100/23 101/9 101/16 101/17 101/18 101/19 102/5 102/6 103/22 103/24 104/7 104/21 105/6 107/7
wasn't [4] 43/6 97/21 104/20 105/14
watch [2] 53/15 53/25 way [30] 1/4 9/19 12/2 14/19 21/1 29/4 29/11 30/25 32/11 35/23 44/25 44/25 48/4 48/13 49/9 49/21 54/12 56/3 61/5 65/10 66/6 66/8 66/10 69/12 73/8 85/11 89/19 97/25 99/20 101/21
ways [1] 66/10 we [246] we'd [6] 20/6 44/17 73/8 73/9 74/11 75/8 we'll [5] 79/15 79/21 80/10 92/21 96/24
we're [51] 4/15 11/22 12/6 13/1 18/9 24/7 27/5 28/10 33/3 33/10 35/4 35/17 35/25 39/19 39/24 42/21 44/10 46/15 47/6 48/4 48/7 48/8 50/17 50/18 57/13 57/20 58/21 59/11
61/23 61/24 67/8 67/21 67/22 67/23 69/18
69/25 70/3 71/22 71/22
71/25 75/3 75/4 77/17 79/23 86/23 88/19 94/24 95/24 97/11

97/11 102/22
we've [33] 3/24 4/14 4/14 5/19 6/10 6/12 7/12 10/10 10/15 11/3 11/23 12/1 26/22 44/2 44/9 45/4 47/23 47/24 48/2 50/9 54/8 54/13 67/2 67/6 69/11 75/11 82/11 86/19 89/10 91/2 98/6 104/4 104/11
wedging [1] 16/20
Wednesday [1] 105/5
week [5] 79/9 79/10 79/11 96/24 105/6 weekend [1] \(42 / 19\) weeks [3] 50/11 85/8 97/18
weigh [1] 61/6
welcome [1] 82/2
well [62] 9/2 11/10 12/13 15/6 18/4 18/7 19/24 22/8 22/11 22/15 22/22 28/3 28/6 28/13 30/6 32/11 33/21 35/18 36/1 36/21 40/9 46/13 46/19 47/5 47/19 52/22 56/22 57/1 61/20 64/25 65/10 66/9 67/18 68/14 69/6 70/13 71/13 72/19 73/2 73/12 74/8 78/8 78/19 79/1 82/1 85/11 86/11 87/17 87/25 91/10 93/13 93/17 93/19 100/1 100/5 101/15 101/20 103/5 104/2 104/11 104/14 104/24
well-known [1] 91/10 went [5] 10/6 10/12 12/24 99/24 105/10 were [12] 5/8 19/22 23/11 41/19 46/8 54/17 74/8 85/19 90/8 90/14 95/25 105/23
weren't [3] 20/4 20/5 45/4
west [1] 40/18
what [82] 4/20 5/12 8/16 8/16 12/25 13/1 13/1 13/21 17/1 25/14 26/22 31/18 32/9 33/3 33/10 33/12 33/23
34/21 35/14 36/5 36/20 39/19 39/20 39/23 39/24 41/11 43/4 45/7 45/11 45/16 45/19 46/8 47/9 48/23 50/24 54/12 54/19 57/2 57/21 58/7 58/24 58/25 59/12 60/8 60/14 61/1 61/3 62/7 65/4 66/7 66/13 67/21 67/25 68/25 69/14 71/21 72/16 74/19 77/9 78/19 79/20 79/23
80/12 82/6 85/19 88/10

88/12 89/1 90/1 91/19 91/21 92/3 92/20 92/24 99/10 100/20 100/21 101/10 102/8 102/22 103/21 105/19
what'd [1] 90/13
what's [10] 13/7 13/22 22/7 28/17 33/20 35/16 35/16 54/23 56/13 56/25
whatever [16] 11/1 15/5 15/12 15/15 19/9 19/17 33/2 42/8 62/19 71/24 73/6 76/3 80/10 87/19 89/17 99/20
whatever's [1] 92/22 when [29] 5/7 17/12 19/16 19/20 20/16 20/18 22/24 27/10 28/21 31/4 31/5 36/3 36/22 37/23 45/2 49/19 54/20 59/11 59/12 68/10 76/2 85/13 89/7 89/16 90/8 91/25 92/1 94/15 99/7
where [39] 5/4 5/16
5/19 5/23 5/24 6/23 8/7 8/9 8/18 10/1 10/4 11/22 14/20 14/23 16/1 22/23 26/16 26/17 26/18 28/4 28/7 34/22 35/4 39/4 39/8 39/10 51/12 52/10 55/24 56/6 65/19 73/2 73/3 74/10 74/11 74/12 76/16 98/7 103/15
Whereas [2] 15/8 16/6 Wherever [1] 80/22 whether [7] 14/3 19/8 38/17 47/21 100/22 100/23 102/2
which [28] 7/5 11/24 20/11 28/13 28/21 29/3 29/23 32/10 40/7 41/7 41/19 49/19 49/22 51/17 52/9 53/13 54/22 56/2 71/15 71/16 74/15 82/20 85/20 85/24 89/19 95/24 100/5 104/9
while [7] 16/3 27/25 40/18 85/6 86/10 87/3 99/10
who [19] 5/25 19/15 27/14 43/25 48/12 63/17 69/16 71/23 71/24 75/14 76/5 76/5 77/14 78/21 81/12 90/4 91/4 101/21 103/21 who's [8] 9/16 9/17 15/21 35/8 44/12 69/20 77/22 96/21
whoever [2] 15/9 78/25
whole [12] 17/22 22/13
23/9 27/9 28/3 29/8
```

