	Page	1	Page	2	
	[1]	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1]		INDEX
	[2]	Regular Meeting	[2]		PAGE
	[3]	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[3]	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3
	[4]	St. Augustine, Florida	[4]	3.	APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 3
	[5]	on Monday, November 19, 2001	[5]	4.	ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
	[6]	from 4 p.m. to 5:49 p.m.	' '	5,	APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 4
	[7]	*****		6.	REPORTS:
	[8]	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	[8]	•	A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commissioner 5
	[9]	WILLIAM "BILL" ROSE, Chairman	[9]		B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc. 6 C. Grumman St. Augustine no report
	[10]	CHARLES LASSITER DENNIS R. WATTS, Secretary-Treasurer	[10]		D. S.A.P.A. no report E. Ms. Susan Bloodworth - Attorney 9
	[11]	JOSEPH CIRIELLO JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison	[11]	7	ACTION ITEMS
	[12]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[12]	,,	A. Lease Action - North American Top Gun 9
	[13]	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:	[13]		B. Lease Action - Prestige Refinishing 47 C. Tenant Request - Fly-By Cafe 54
	[14]		[14]		D. Interlocal Agreement - Impact Fees 72 E. Bid Award - Self-Fueling Facility 79
		BARBARA BOSANKO (Leave of absence)	" "	o	
	[15]		[15]		AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS: A. Mr. William "Bill" Rose. Chairman 94
		ALSO PRESENT:	[16]		B. Mr. Dennis R. Watts, Secretary/Treasurer 100
	[17]	SUSAN BLOODWORTH, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,	[17]		C. Mr. Charles Lassiter 100 D. Mr. Joseph A. Ciriello 101
	[18]	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18]	9.	PUBLIC COMMENT 101
	[19]	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.	[19]	10.	NEXT BOARD MEETING 104
	[20]	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[20]	11.	ADJOURNMENT 104
	[21]		[21]		
	[22]	St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blyd., Suite A	[22]		
	[23]	St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570	[23]		
	[24]		[24]		
	[25]		[25]		
ı			<u> </u>		
·	n /		n		
	Page :		Page	4	W 15 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	[1]	PROCEEDINGS	[1]		approve or we'll modify the agenda for this
	[1] [2]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum.	[1] [2]		meeting. Are there any
	[1] [2] [3]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to	[1] [2] [3]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment,
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of	[1] [2] [3] [4]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]		MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of October 15th. Are there any changes to those	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F, from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of October 15th. Are there any changes to those	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F. from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of October 15th. Are there any changes to those minutes? Any questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, those minutes will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F, from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of October 15th. Are there any changes to those minutes? Any questions? (No questions.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F, from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of October 15th. Are there any changes to those minutes? Any questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, those minutes will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F, from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F,? Scratch F,? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Looks like we have a quorum. I'll call the meeting of the Airport Authority to order and we'll begin with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag. (Pledge of Allegiance) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have we have two sets of minutes that have been distributed to each member, and we'll take them one at a time. The first is the joint meeting with the County Commission that we held on October 15th. Are there any adjustments or modifications to the minutes? (No changes.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, then they'll stand approved as they were distributed. And the second set of minutes are the regular meeting of October 15th. Are there any changes to those minutes? Any questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, those minutes will stand approved as they were distributed.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]		meeting. Are there any MR. WUELLNER: I do have one amendment, Mr. Chairman, and it would be to drop agenda item F, from the CHAIRMAN ROSE: F.? Scratch F.? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: We have we have opted in favor of just allowing that to go through the normal master plan process. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Are there any other changes? Since we've changed the agenda, we will vote on that. I'll entertain a motion that the agenda be modified as discussed. MR. LASSITER: So moved. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Second? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY -		
	Page 5		Page 6	
	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. The agenda is	[1]	at your request, we'll direct our director to let
	[2]	approved then as it has been amended. And we'll	[2]	Mr. Bryant sit in the audience.
ĺ	[3]	call for reports, beginning with the County	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: If he'd like to give up his
1	[4]	Commission.	[4]	comfortable chair, he can do that.
ĺ	[5]	6.A COMMISSIONER BRYANT	[5]	MR. BRYANT: And I saw some easy ones back
	[6]	MR. BRYANT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	[6]	there (indicating).
	[7]	Mr. Rose, I spoke to you, I guess several months	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.
ĺ	[8]	ago, about me sitting up here with you guys here.	[8]	MR. BRYANT: But I do get calls periodically
	[9]	I'm just a liaison to the Airport Authority from	[9]	wanting me to do things which is beyond the scope
	[10]	the Board of County Commissioners. And I think	[10]	of my duties as a county commissioner.
	[11]	the most appropriate place for me to sit would be	[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I want you to know we're
	[12]	in the audience.	[12]	certainly pleased to have you as the liaison.
	[13]	So, in in the future, I would request	[13]	Want to continue that relationship.
	[14]	that y'all could excuse me to sit in the	[14]	MR. BRYANT: Well, I count it an honor to
	[15]	audience, you know, with everyone else that comes	[15]	to serve. I think the airport has some unique
1	[16]	up and makes or requests to make reports.	[16]	problems sometimes that crop up, and someone that
	[17]	I still serve in the same capacity, but I do	[17]	has a little knowledge of what goes on out here
	[18]	get a lot of calls, people thinking I have some	[18]	from a pilot standpoint is can be of some
i	[19]	purview over this board, and I do not have any	[19]	benefit to the board.
	[20]	purview over this board. You are duly-elected	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right, Anything else,
- [[21]	officials, and like I say, while I count it an	[21]	Jim?
	[22]	honor to sit up here, I think it's most	[22]	MR. BRYANT: That's all, sir.
-	[23]	appropriate that I sit in the audience. And	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Aero Sport?
	[24]	starting next month, I would like to do that.	[24]	6.B AERO SPORT
-	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: If that's your feeling and	[25]	MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, as as y'all know,
L				
	Page 7		Page 8	
1	[1]	we had an accident recently on November 10th, and	[1]	the airport as the as the season speeds up.
	[1] [2]	we had an accident recently on November 10th, and thankfully no one was injured. This is the type	[1] [2]	the airport as the as the season speeds up. We're getting into our busy season now. The
	[1] [2] [3]	•	[1] [2] [3]	
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide	[1] [2] [3] [4]	We're getting into our busy season now. The
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of	[1] [2] [3]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide	[1] [2] [3] [4]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just — it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and — and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a
7. HZ2.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and we've tried to highlight, though, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago, the price of a barrel of oil came down over 12
A Michigan Communication of the Communication of th	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago, the price of a barrel of oil came down over 12 percent, so probably in the next six weeks or so,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and we've tried to highlight, though, the developments at the airport and the tower and the need for that. So, we think we've we've got	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago, the price of a barrel of oil came down over 12 percent, so probably in the next six weeks or so, we'll see further decline there.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and we've tried to highlight, though, the developments at the airport and the tower and the need for that. So, we think we've we've got some positive out of that, and again, thankfully	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago, the price of a barrel of oil came down over 12 percent, so probably in the next six weeks or so, we'll see further decline there. For 2002, fuel pricing, if things continue
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and we've tried to highlight, though, the developments at the airport and the tower and the need for that. So, we think we've we've got some positive out of that, and again, thankfully no one was hurt.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago, the price of a barrel of oil came down over 12 percent, so probably in the next six weeks or so, we'll see further decline there. For 2002, fuel pricing, if things continue to settle out in the Middle East, I think fuel
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	thankfully no one was injured. This is the type of accident, is exactly what the tower control will help in. A tower, we feel, will provide separation. And it's just it's another set of eyes out there. So, Aero Sport is grateful that the work has started on the tower. We hope that the Authority can keep the contractor on schedule and and get this done. We're seeing an increase on total number of aircraft operations, and it's really coming to a head out there. This did involve one of our aircraft. We feel that everyone was very diligent in their duties, and I think the FAA also feels the same way. I don't think there'll be any actions taken there. It's just one of those instances. Unfortunately, we've you know, we've seen the press in the paper, a spot on the TV, and we've tried to highlight, though, the developments at the airport and the tower and the need for that. So, we think we've we've got some positive out of that, and again, thankfully	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	We're getting into our busy season now. The parking lot is full and we're still operating at a much reduced capacity, so we're very concerned about that. Our tenants and customers are voicing concern, also. So, I do want to hope that the since the original completion dates are are long past, that we can get some sort of idea of when completion is expected and how soon we can get back to sort of normal business, as it is. Good news. Fuel prices continue to decline. Av gas, hundred low lead is now at \$2.25 a gallon. Jet fuel just went down eight cents per gallon and it's at \$2.71 retail. Three days ago, the price of a barrel of oil came down over 12 percent, so probably in the next six weeks or so, we'll see further decline there. For 2002, fuel pricing, if things continue to settle out in the Middle East, I think fuel prices are going to be very, very low compared to

1	Page 9		Page 10	
] [1]	proposals for a self-serve av gas system. And we	[1]	a CPI adjustment from that point forward. In
] [2]	are are planning on installing a system once	[2]	addition to that, as the memorandum indicates, we
] [3]	the ramp construction concludes. This should	[3]	came to a general understanding of how to modify
[4]	help lower the av gas price by another 10 or 15	[4]	the door system on that hangar to allow it to be
] [5]	percent. Thanks.	[5]	more easily used and came to a general
1	6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right, Northrop	[6]	understanding of a sign and the fact that it
1	7]	Grumman.	[7]	would where it could be placed on the U.S. 1
1	8]	(Representative absent.)	[8]	area and, of course, subject to St. Johns County
1	9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No one's here from Northrop.	[9]	permitting.
I _	10]	All right. How about the Pilots Association?	[10]	Otherwise, the agreement would be a
	[1]	Anyone here representing them?	[11]	standard our standard form corporate-type
- 1 -	12]	(Representative absent.)	[12]	lease agreement. And it would be Staff's
	13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And our attorney, Susan	[13]	recommendation that the board approve the 15-year
	- [4]	Bloodworth?	[14]	lease agreement with NATG along that along
	[5]	MS. BLOODWORTH: Nothing to report.	[15]	these terms and authorize Staff to go ahead and
	16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: With that, we'll move into	[16]	execute that standard form lease agreement.
1	· - <u>1</u> · [7]	the action items. Mr. Wuellner?	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are you finished, Ed?
	.8]	7.A LEASE ACTION - NORTH AMERICAN TOP GUN	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
1	.9]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The first item I have	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment
1	20]	is the North American Top Gun lease. You have a	[20]	on this issue?
	1]	copy of our agenda memorandum, but essentially	[21]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. My name's Bob Cox.
-	:2]	you have a we've been able to negotiate a	[22]	I've got a prepared statement, and I just if
	_] !3]	sliding scale over the first 5-year period of a	[23]	we have a minute, if you would allow me a few
- 1	4]	prospective 15-year renewal.	[24]	minutes to
I -	 :5]	Beyond year five, it would simply adjust to	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, if you would state
	,	sofond four into, it would simply adjust to	[23]	CHARMAN ROSE. Teal, if you would state
			1	
P	age 11		Page 12	
	age 11	your name and		my plane at the Top Gun hangar.
][1]	your name and MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I	[1]	my plane at the Top Gun hangar. I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom
[1] 2]		[1] [2]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom
	1] 2] 3]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request	[1] [2] [3]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years.
	1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I	[1] [2] [3] [4]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects
	1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity,
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force
	1] 22] 33] 4] 55] 66] 77]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation
	1] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force — government task forces whose focus is on aviation security.
	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying
	1] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 99]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force — government task forces whose focus is on aviation security.
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	1] 2] 2] 4] 55] 7] 88] 9] 0]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	1] 2] 33] 44] 66] 77] 88] 99] 00] 11] 22]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte.
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	1] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 99] 00] 11] 22] 33]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine
1) 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 55] 6] 7] 88] 90] 00] 11] 22] 4]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off
[]] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	1] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 99] 00] 11] 22] 33] 44]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force — government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11] 33] 44] 66]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 00] 11] 22] 33] 44] 55]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 55] 6] 7] 88] 9] 00] 11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and Prestige Painting (sic). I didn't speak to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the situation surrounding the issues of the two
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11] 22] 33] 44] 67] 77] 88]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and Prestige Painting (sic). I didn't speak to the issue because Chairman opted for motions from the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the situation surrounding the issues of the two hangars leased by Prestige Painting and North
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and Prestige Painting (sic). I didn't speak to the issue because Chairman opted for motions from the board after listening in both cases to the owners	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the situation surrounding the issues of the two hangars leased by Prestige Painting and North American Top Gun. I unfortunately find the
[[[[[] []]]]]] [[]] [] [1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 55] 6] 7] 88] 9] 0] 1] 22] 4] 55] 6] 77] 88] 9] 0] 11] 12]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and Prestige Painting (sic). I didn't speak to the issue because Chairman opted for motions from the board after listening in both cases to the owners of the two businesses.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the situation surrounding the issues of the two hangars leased by Prestige Painting and North American Top Gun. I unfortunately find the situation fraught with questionable intent and
[[[[[] []]]]]] [[]] [] [1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 55] 6] 77] 88] 99] 00] 11] 55] 6] 77] 88] 99] 10] 11] 22]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and Prestige Painting (sic). I didn't speak to the issue because Chairman opted for motions from the board after listening in both cases to the owners of the two businesses. I'm a citizen of St. Johns County and an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force — government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the situation surrounding the issues of the two hangars leased by Prestige Painting and North American Top Gun. I unfortunately find the situation fraught with questionable intent and seemingly an attitude that I consider not to be
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 2] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 99] 00]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Captain Bob Cox. I prepared a statement, so I I would request that we attach it to the official minutes, if we could, please. MR. WUELLNER: If you're reading it, it will be there. MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's verbatim, then MR. COX: If you don't want to, you don't have to copy this. Captain Bob Cox is my name. Thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. On October 15th, last month, I attended this meeting of the Airport Authority, which I had intended to speak to the issue of the two items on the agenda which concerning the hangars, and specifically North American Top Gun and Prestige Painting (sic). I didn't speak to the issue because Chairman opted for motions from the board after listening in both cases to the owners of the two businesses.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I'm a senior captain for U.S. Airways, whom I have been employed by for more than 20 years. I also hold the position of Special Projects Officer for the National Security Committee, the Airline Pilots Association, and in that capacity, I serve on several joint industry task force government task forces whose focus is on aviation security. My flight career has taken me from flying Dehavilland Otters to DC-3's out of Iowa, and presently as a captain on a 737-400 out of Charlotte. I've flown in and out of St. Augustine Airport or flown out of St. Augustine Airport off and on for 15 years since I've lived in Jacksonville. In recent weeks, I have become aware of the situation surrounding the issues of the two hangars leased by Prestige Painting and North American Top Gun. I unfortunately find the situation fraught with questionable intent and

Page	2 13	Page 14	
[1]	During the meeting in October, Board Member	[1]	because the citizens of this county would be
[2]	Ciriello did I pronounce that correctly, sir?	[2]	taking up the slack.
[3]	MR. CIRIELLO: Good enough.	[3]	In my opinion, none of what Mr. Ciriello had
[4]	MR. COX: Ciriello? Stated several times	[4]	to say was with the intent of what was best for
[5]	that the board represents the citizens of the	[5]	the citizens of the county. Who's taking up for
[6]	county. I therefore find it difficult to	[6]	the slack for the poor management of the \$28,000
[7]	understand how forcing two reputable businesses	[7]	we wrote off due to the deadbeat tenants?
[8]	out of business out of business and in	[8]	Mr. Ciriello, representing the board, made
[9]	light of the current negotiations going on, it	[9]	statements indicating a terrible lack of
[10]	sounds like you may have reached some type of	[10]	responsibility to the citizens of this county
[11]	conclusion to this is representing the best	[11]	when he said that he didn't know who this fellow
[12]	interests of the citizens of this county.	[12]	is or what he does, meaning Rick from Prestige
[13]	Citizens who will be required to support	[13]	Painting, and how much does it cost to paint an
[14]	individuals who will be forced in turn, in many	[14]	airplane, a couple of hundred bucks? Which he
[15]	cases, to unemployment, based on some fuzzy logic	[15]	changed to a thousand bucks after a couple of
[16]	of a virtual dollar that the airport's been told	[16]	people made some snickers in the audience.
[17]	they could hypothetically be making with the	[17]	It's difficult to understand how a board
[18]	hangars in question.	[18]	member of the Airport Authority would not be
[19]	I was a bit incensed by Mr. Ciriello's	[19]	familiar with one of the largest aviation
[20]	statement when he said that he didn't want to be	[20]	businesses in this county and one of the few
[21]	mean, but that this board represents the citizens	[21]	EPA-approved aviation painting facilities in the
[22]	of the county. I totally agree. In paraphrasing	[22]	United States.
[23]	his comments in light of that, it would be	[23]	Mr. Moore of North American Top Gun posed a
[24]	against the best interests of the county to	[24]	question that wasn't answered, which is: Are
[25]	continue the lease of the two hangars in question	[25]	there currently possible tenants asking to lease
' '		[]	and carrent, pession contains asking to load
Page	15	Page 16	
Page [1]	15 those hangars at this time? And to put it more	1	airport to be self-sufficient, not to run people
_		[1]	airport to be self-sufficient, not to run people off by trying to make a profit or resurrect
[1]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more	1	• •
[1] [2] [3]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want	[1] [2] [3]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect
[1] [2] [3] [4]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay	[1] [2] [3] [4]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both — that both of the hangars
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot currently being given or was last month being	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both — that both of the hangars in question would not be able to support
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot currently being given or was last month being given as the value per square foot rate, it seems	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both that both of the hangars in question would not be able to support commercial jet equipment due to the 60-foot depth
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot currently being given or was last month being given as the value per square foot rate, it seems to me that the airport would be able to zero out	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both — that both of the hangars in question would not be able to support commercial jet equipment due to the 60-foot depth of the hangar. A Lear 45 is 59 1/2 feet long.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot currently being given or was last month being given as the value per square foot rate, it seems to me that the airport would be able to zero out at something close to \$2 per square foot because	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both that both of the hangars in question would not be able to support commercial jet equipment due to the 60-foot depth of the hangar. A Lear 45 is 59 1/2 feet long. So, to get it into the hangar, you're going to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot currently being given or was last month being given as the value per square foot rate, it seems to me that the airport would be able to zero out at something close to \$2 per square foot because they would only be responsible for half of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both — that both of the hangars in question would not be able to support commercial jet equipment due to the 60-foot depth of the hangar. A Lear 45 is 59 1/2 feet long. So, to get it into the hangar, you're going to have to cock it, which is going to take up two
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	those hangars at this time? And to put it more succinctly, are there corporations now who want those hangars right now and are willing to pay more? I would like to try to get an answer to that before we leave this evening. As a citizen of St. Johns County, I'd like to know how much money was made available to the airport through grants from FDOT, U.S. DOT, EDA, and AIP funds from the FAA for the construction of those two hangars and how much money the airport had to pay in relation to the construction of the two hangars. I believe it was 50 percent; is that correct, Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. COX: FDOT provided 50 percent and the airport paid the other 50 percent. So, conservatively, figuring a 50 percent grant rate in consideration of the \$4 per square foot currently being given or was last month being given as the value per square foot rate, it seems to me that the airport would be able to zero out at something close to \$2 per square foot because	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	off by trying to make a profit or resurrect itself from a previous administration's poor management. At a time when hangar space on the north side of the field is going for somewhere around \$3 or 4 per square foot, which are hangars with electric doors and deeper hangar space, higher roofs, and doors that don't take three or four people to move to open, as at Top Gun and Prestige, I find it exceedingly difficult to understand how you would be able to terminate business with North American Top Gun and Prestige and then turn around and lease those hangars for anywhere near the amounts that the board stated is the appraised value. The evaluation also doesn't take into account that the both that both of the hangars in question would not be able to support commercial jet equipment due to the 60-foot depth of the hangar. A Lear 45 is 59 1/2 feet long. So, to get it into the hangar, you're going to

Page 17	,	Page 18	
[1]	you're not going to be able to get that type of a	[1]	Is it inconsistent policy, a property
[2]	value, I don't believe, out of that.	[2]	boundary detail, discrimination, or just an
[3]	The valuation I'm sorry to the	[3]	oversight? I don't know. Nobody's explained it.
[4]	airport would have to charge approximately 312	[4]	But if it has to do with airport policy, I move
[5]	months for a Cessna in the hangar for the amount	[5]	sometime in the future that we adjust airport
[6]	of square footage area surrounding a Cessna at	[6]	policy to allow Top Gun or any other business to
[7]	about 930 square feet, is what I figured, at 36 x	[7]	put a sign out there to advertise their business,
[8]	26 for a Cessna, and you'd take about ten	[8]	This doesn't just enhance their business, but it
[9]	airplanes to put into a hangar to get the \$32,000	[9]	will enhance the airport airport's reception
[10]	a year out of it that you're trying to get out of	[10]	of business, also.
[11]	the hangar on the valuation rate.	[11]	The St. Johns County Airport's a public
[12]	As reported in the meeting in October, the	[12]	airport run by the county and subject to county
[13]	board stated that the Prestige hangar was	[13]	policy, not the personal agenda or politics of
[14]	appraised at something close to \$7 per square	[14]	
[15]	foot. That valuation standard is somewhat	1 -	individual board members. As a citizen of St.
i		[15]	Johns County, I want to know if it's the county's
[16]	exaggerated due to the fact that the hangar was	[16]	intention to take these businesses, who by all
[17]	built for the specific purpose of painting, and	[17]	accounts have been good businesses for the
[18]	special equipment was installed in it to meet EPA	[18]	county, and with no more excuse other than these
[19]	standards.	[19]	entities suffered a bout of poor business
[20]	North American Top Gun has been disallowed	[20]	practice in not renewing their lease under the
[21]	to put a sign on U.S. 1 advertising the business,	[21]	questionable language of the lease to terminate
[22]	thereby having a very negative impact on said	[22]	any further business with them.
[23]	business. In contrast, H or SK Helicopters a	[23]	For the record, the parameters of the lease
[24]	mile north has a sign on U.S. 1 advertising their	[24]	would have required that they mark their
[25]	business.	[25]	calendars five years ago to remind themselves
Page 19		Page 20	
Page 19	that they had to make notification in writing six	Page 20	these locations and that the burden of the
[1]	that they had to make notification in writing six	[1]	these locations and that the burden of the
[1] [2]	months prior to lease termination that they	[1] [2]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue
[1] [2] [3]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew.	[1] [2] [3]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two
[1] [2] [3] [4]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to	[1] [2] [3] [4]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception of the position that it's going to make up for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand, due to their own mismanagement, the airport board
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception of the position that it's going to make up for the fact that the original leases it signed on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand, due to their own mismanagement, the airport board can vote to — and I don't say that critically;
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception of the position that it's going to make up for the fact that the original leases it signed on the two properties were, by the airport's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand, due to their own mismanagement, the airport board can vote to and I don't say that critically; it's just it's happened. I understand what
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception of the position that it's going to make up for the fact that the original leases it signed on the two properties were, by the airport's testimony, woefully undervalued due to cost	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand, due to their own mismanagement, the airport board can vote to — and I don't say that critically; it's just — it's happened. I understand what the management situation was behind this \$28,000
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception of the position that it's going to make up for the fact that the original leases it signed on the two properties were, by the airport's testimony, woefully undervalued due to cost overruns of construction, 50 percent of which was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand, due to their own mismanagement, the airport board can vote to and I don't say that critically; it's just it's happened. I understand what
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	months prior to lease termination that they intended to renew. Conversely, it seems fairly irresponsible to me that the airport management and the airport board, knowing full well the airport's intent, would not follow the same good business practice they admonish Top Gun and Prestige for not following by sending a reminder notice to these businesses in an effort to find out if they were in fact interested in renewing their lease at a negotiated higher rate, which was in the lease language. Every type of business that I can think of sends out a reminder notice for a lease renewal. I'm just wondering why we didn't do that. It's somewhat disturbing that the airport's adopted the position or at least the perception of the position that it's going to make up for the fact that the original leases it signed on the two properties were, by the airport's testimony, woefully undervalued due to cost	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	airport's bailout, if you will, from this issue should not be placed on the backs of the two businesses involved. It's important that the citizens of the county have made available to them the dollar value of the grants made to the airport from government sources. I haven't been able to find anywhere on the internet or any other place that directs a citizen of the county to find out any information about the airport board or the airport or what's going on at the airport. As a matter of fact, the St. Johns County web site talks about the Girl Scouts. It talks about all kinds of other businesses and government operations, but it doesn't say anything about the Airport Authority. It's difficult to conceive how on one hand, due to their own mismanagement, the airport board can vote to — and I don't say that critically; it's just — it's happened. I understand what the management situation was behind this \$28,000

		710 12112	
Page 21		Page 22	
[1]	their rent by raising that rent to an exorbitant	[1]	needed for daily operations.
[2]	amount to force or it basically forces them	[2]	At the very least, some of these employees
[3]	out of business.	[3]	would be out of a job, and that means that we,
[4]	It's anathema to the progress of this county	[4]	the citizens, whom you ostensibly represent,
[5]	that St. Johns County Airport Authority is ready	[5]	ultimately end up paying for their unemployment.
[6]	to terminate business dealings with two	[6]	I find that a bit reprehensible.
[7]	responsible well-established businesses in this	[7]	In my capacity as an aviation security
[8]	county due to questionable valuation standards of	[8]	specialist, I often travel to Washington D.C. and
[9]	hangar space and debateable language in their	[9]	I work with AAAE and ACI, which are airport
[10]	leases and a perception that the airport's trying	[10]	people, for the most part. ACI represents a
[11]	to regain the upper hand over poorly negotiated	[11]	tremendous number of airports, both in this
[12]	construction and lease costs by placing the	[12]	country and around the world. And I'd like to
[13]	responsibility solely on the backs of these two	[13]	just quote very quickly a recent ACI statement.
[14]	family-owned businesses.	[14]	"Airports are integral parts of their
[15]	Our citizens must question what St. Johns	[15]	communities and are inextricably linked to the
[16]	County has to gain by terminating our	[16]	trade, investment, travel, and tourism potential
[17]	relationship with these two businesses. Even if	[17]	of their regions." I would submit that North
[18]	new tenants paying a higher rate moved in the	[18]	American Top Gun and Prestige Painting both are
[19]	next day, the current tenants pay taxes to the	[19]	integral parts of this community and have an
[20]	county. They bring revenue to the county. They	[20]	impact on the investment, travel, and tourism
[21]	bring tourists to the county. Employ close to 30	[21]	potential in St. Johns County.
[22]	people in this county, and those individuals pay	[22]	Bearing that in mind, here's an
[23]	airport tax in this county. And those businesses	[23]	advertisement from the St. Johns County web site
[24]	also support other businesses business	[24]	about our airport. This is a quote.
[25]	interests in this county by the purchase of goods	[25]	"The St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport
Page 23		Page 24	
[1]	established in 1939 can be your touchdown for	[1]	to the board, being representatives of the
[2]	travel and recreation. Because of the area's	[2]	citizens of this county, that barring any
[3]	superb flying climate and aviation history, the	[3]	finalized negotiated lease terms, a final
[4]	airport is home for many professional aerobatic	[4]	determination on the lease be delayed for a
[5]	and airline pilots. Adventurous souls can take a	[5]	period of 90 days in order to further research
[6]	spin in an aerobatic biplane or a combat mission	[6]	the appropriate valuation of said property and
[7]	in a restored 1944 AT-6. The newly-built FBO has	[7]	impact to the county should we lose these two
[8]	charter, fuel, repairs, and ground transportation	[8]	businesses.
[9]	for all its businesses for all its visitors."	[9]	This extra time will allow for negotiation
[10]	It doesn't say anything about the training	[10]	of new lease language and terms and allow all
[11]	center over there. It doesn't say anything about	[11]	parties to more closely study the impact of any
[12]	Grumman with all their repair work on airplanes,	[12]	decision.
[13]	and it doesn't talk about the sales at Aero Sport	[13]	It's going to be a terrible loss to St.
[14]	for the Extras. It talks about bringing people	[14]	Johns County and to the SGJ airport to force
[15]	in to fly an AT-6. Doesn't say anything about	[15]	these folks out of business. Simply leasing
[16]	anything else, basically.	[16]	these hangars to some corporate entity for hangar
[17]	So, if you would and I just want to say	[17]	space for a corporate jet in my opinion is
[18]	the county certainly the county wants to take	[18]	irresponsible and borders on dereliction of duty,
[19]	advantage of the adventure and aviation they can	[19]	both from the management and from board members.
[20]	sell to tourists, but the board is is	[20]	Running these good people out of business,
[21]	basically ready to get rid of the businesses off	[21]	or even the perception that that is what's being
1	·		
[22]	the field, the autonomous board here. So, we're	[22]	done, is not only bad for business, it's damaging
[22] [23]	the field, the autonomous board here. So, we're not working hand in hand with the county for some	[22] [23]	done, is not only bad for business, it's damaging to the good interests of this county and to the
į.			
[23]	not working hand in hand with the county for some	[23]	to the good interests of this county and to the

			J121C 17, 2004
Page 25		Page 26	
[1]	board members to reconsider their position on the	[1]	my request is to have the board approve renewal
[2]	issue and reevaluate the lease rate to keep the	[2]	of the existing lease, or the one that had
[3]	jobs, the people, and businesses at this airport.	[3]	expired under the original terms, because I felt
[4]	I appreciate your time in indulging me with	[4]	it was an oversight in a technical direction and
[5]	the long time here, and I'm open for any	[5]	that everybody would be best served by renewing.
[6]	questions,	[6]	To put a little history on this, when we
[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll receive	[7]	originally negotiated the first lease, it was
[8]	your is a copy of that letter available?	[8]	presented to me that the lease rate they wanted
[9]	MR. COX: Yes, sir. I have copies	[9]	to charge me was based on repaying the entire
[10]	available.	[10]	cost of building the hangars over the life of my
[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll see that	[11]	lease. And that included the money contributed
[12]	it's recorded in the minutes. We appreciate your	[12]	by the county and by Florida DOT, so that at the
[13]	comments.	[13]	end of the lease, the airport owns the hangars,
[14]	MR. COX: Would you like me to give one to	[14]	plus I paid them all their cost, plus I paid them
[15]	all the members?	[15]	
1			the cost the Florida DOT provided. So, it wasn't
[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Beg pardon?	[16]	a bad lease for the county. It was a good lease
[17]	MR. COX: I can give one to all the members,	[17]	for me, because I could afford it.
[18]	if you like.	[18]	Mr. Wuellner has been working with me on
[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: If you have an extra copy	[19]	trying to find a compromise between what was
[20]	for everybody, that would be fine. Okay. Any	[20]	originally presented two months ago and what we
[21]	other comments on this issue? Board discussion.	[21]	can afford. We've made some progress on signage.
[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, you've got a guy coming	[22]	We've seen some new information on the doors,
[23]	up.	[23]	which has always been an issue. We've had a
[24]	MR. MOORE: Bruce Moore, president of North	[24]	compromise on the rates.
[25]	American Top Gun. As I said at the last meeting,	[25]	Basically what it is, is they're still
l			
Page 27		Page 28	
Page 27	sticking to wanting to get ultimately three and a	Page 28	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay Thank you Any other
[1]	sticking to wanting to get ultimately three and a	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, Thank you, Any other
[1] [2]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for	[1] [2]	public comment?
[1] [2] [3]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in	[1] [2] [3]	public comment? (No public comment.)
[1] [2] [3] [4]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing — phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing — phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be evicted. So, I have to accept whatever the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about representing the taxpayers and all of that, I was
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be evicted. So, I have to accept whatever the airport puts up, whether or not I want it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about representing the taxpayers and all of that, I was elected by the taxpayers. And, yes, if we
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing — phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be evicted. So, I have to accept whatever the airport puts up, whether or not I want it. And so, in light of that, I'd like to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about representing the taxpayers and all of that, I was elected by the taxpayers. And, yes, if we weren't — oh, I don't want to say doing a lousy
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be evicted. So, I have to accept whatever the airport puts up, whether or not I want it. And so, in light of that, I'd like to reserve accepting the current lease proposal	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about representing the taxpayers and all of that, I was elected by the taxpayers. And, yes, if we weren't — oh, I don't want to say doing a lousy job, but this airport is supported by partly
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be evicted. So, I have to accept whatever the airport puts up, whether or not I want it. And so, in light of that, I'd like to reserve accepting the current lease proposal until there's a decision or conversation on the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about representing the taxpayers and all of that, I was elected by the taxpayers. And, yes, if we weren't — oh, I don't want to say doing a lousy job, but this airport is supported by partly taxpayers, ad valorem taxes to a tune of \$2
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	half dollars a square foot and then adjusted for CPI, and they're incrementing phasing that in over five years. So, what it means to me is the first year isn't bad. That's what I would have paid anyway. The second year's a little tougher. By the third or fourth year, I can't afford it. So, I'm not being chased off the airport immediately; it's over two to three years, I'm gone. I don't want to leave. And that's why I'm trying to get the original terms of the lease, because looking at all the sides of this issue, I think that serves not only me the best, but the whole community and the airport. Now, when I was here last month, it was presented that if I didn't reach an agreement that was acceptable to me, then I was to be evicted. So, I have to accept whatever the airport puts up, whether or not I want it. And so, in light of that, I'd like to reserve accepting the current lease proposal	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? Can I go first? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: Captain Cox is indeed an eloquent speaker, and since he mentioned my name a few times, I'm not sure if he meant it as a disparaging remark that I'm a failure as a board member or if he thought maybe some of the things I said were correct. As far as this lease appraisal goes, we didn't do that. Individually, we didn't come up with that figure. I assume that a professional did that. And then the remarks you made about representing the taxpayers and all of that, I was elected by the taxpayers. And, yes, if we weren't — oh, I don't want to say doing a lousy job, but this airport is supported by partly

***************************************	THE ONE RECORDS		
Page 29		Page 30	
[1]	isn't getting some help from the taxpayers	[1]	meeting as a matter of fact, one statement I
[2]	because of their ad valorem taxes, I think you	[2]	made that I'm not going to sit here, as that one
[3]	might be mistaken.	[3]	person on the board, and dicker back and forth
[4]	So, speak and this is an unpopular job up	[4]	for over a year on issues like I've seen other
[5]	here. And I can understand Mr. Moore's plight.	[5]	boards do, on building hangars and whatnot.
[6]	I've been involved with aviation since before	[6]	I'm not afraid of work. And your
[7]	1950 when I started flying strictly as a hobby.	[7]	recommendation of that 90-day hiatus doesn't
[8]	Nowhere near what you can do. I'm only a private	[8]	bother me so much. But rather than wait 90 days
[9]	pilot. I'm not instrument rated and multirating	[9]	and drag this thing out, like I said, I don't
[10]	or anything else. And I can't even afford to go	[10]	want to do. I'd rather come in here every day of
[11]	over and rent a 150 anymore.	[11]	the week, and not just with Mr. Ed, but other
[12]	But nonetheless, of all the years since 1950	[12]	board members and Mr. Moore, and try to work
[13]	being in the Air Force and working at two	[13]	something out. I'm not against that.
[14]	airplane factories and whatnot, I think and	[14]	But I I don't want to go month to month
[15]	hanging around airplanes, being an owner three	[15]	to month to month and then a year from now, we're
[16]	times, being in a flying club for 12 years, I	[16]	still arguing about the same situation. So, I'm
[17]	think I know a little bit about aviation, and I	[17]	not, you know but, this particular thing
[18]	can sympathize with these people.	[18]	here well, I'll ask my questions, Mr. Ed.
[19]	But still, when we ask the taxpayers to	[19]	You have here, we don't we don't re
[20]	subsidize things going on at this airport, you	[20]	get our appraisal monies until the fifth year,
[21]	have to take that into consideration.	[21]	the \$350 or \$3.50 a square foot. Then after
[22]	Now, as I see this report that Ed put out,	[22]	that, you have other figures. Now, is that with
[23]	and he recommends we go with it, to tell you the	[23]	the a guess at what CPI would bring, or is
[24]	truth, I have a lot of questions on it and I'm	[24]	that actually what he's going to be paying per
[25]	not happy with it. I asked him after the last	[25]	square foot for those individual years?
i		1	
		 	
Page 31		Page 32	
Page 31	MR. WUELLNER: That's a guess based on 2	Page 32	reestablish a square footage value.
	MR. WUELLNER: That's a guess based on 2 percent CPI adjustment.	1	reestablish a square footage value. The other is to take the cumulative change
[1] [2] [3]	-	[1] [2] [3]	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures	[1] [2] [3] [4]	The other is to take the cumulative change
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard," It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be based on the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be reappraised.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for billboards, I'd be against the billboards. I'm
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be reappraised. There because there are two methods that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for billboards, I'd be against the billboards. I'm of the mind of a lot of citizens; they don't like
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be based on the CPI. Every fifth year, it would be reappraised. There because there are two methods that are consistent with FAA and FDOT guidelines on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for billboards, I'd be against the billboards. I'm of the mind of a lot of citizens; they don't like it. So, now in this agreement, you want to give
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be reappraised. There because there are two methods that are consistent with FAA and FDOT guidelines on the rental valuation, he would have the lesser of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for billboards, I'd be against the billboards. I'm of the mind of a lot of citizens; they don't like it. So, now in this agreement, you want to give him billboard right — right on U.S. 1.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be based on the CPI. Every fifth year, it would be reappraised. There because there are two methods that are consistent with FAA and FDOT guidelines on the rental valuation, he would have the lesser of the two options. He could take he typically	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for billboards, I'd be against the billboards. I'm of the mind of a lot of citizens; they don't like it. So, now in this agreement, you want to give him billboard right — right on U.S. 1. MR. WUELLNER: Not — not quite. Just
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	percent CPI adjustment. MR. CIRIELLO: So, if it doesn't go up and if it lowers and everything, it the figures won't be the same. MR. WUELLNER: It will reflect actual CPI index. MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, at the end of the fifth year, from then on, would it would I be correct in saying that we're guaranteed at least the \$3.50 from then on from fifth year to the 15th? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: So, he would be paying the appraised value for the rest of the time, at least. MR. WUELLNER: After year five, he would be whatever adjustment would be reappraised. There because there are two methods that are consistent with FAA and FDOT guidelines on the rental valuation, he would have the lesser of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The other is to take the cumulative change in CPI that occurred in the previous five years, which would already be in effect if you're adjusting it annually. But the bare bones minimum after year five would be \$3.50, assuming zero inflation and zero CPI, which to my knowledge has never occurred. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have a note here, simple word "billboard." It's my understanding that back a few years, that the board at the time decided that when the billboard leases of the different people come up, that since it's not really that much money coming in from them, is they were going to eliminate that because there's a lot of outcry in the county about billboards. And so now, with that in mind, and if I was on this board and it came up to a vote for billboards, I'd be against the billboards. I'm of the mind of a lot of citizens; they don't like it. So, now in this agreement, you want to give him billboard right — right on U.S. 1.

		2002 VAL 110 110 VAL 1		2011 17, 2001
	Page 33		Page 34	
	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Be limited to county size	[1]	somewhere. I don't have it down. But anyhow,
i	[2]	permits for that type of location, which has some	[2]	the way the schedule goes, up until the fifth
	[3]	very specific guidance as it's currently adopted	[3]	year, we're going to be helping pay his pay
	[4]	in size. It's nowhere near billboard size.	[4]	his rent.
ı	[5]	MR. CIRIELLO: But it would be something	[5]	Now, I've heard mention last month and this
- 1	[6]	visible,	[6]	month about 40 employees. That's a lot of
- 1	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, clearly. I mean, that's	[7]	employees. I'd like to see a list of that. As a
- 1	[8]	the intent of it.	[8]	matter of fact, Mr. Moore is more or less
- 1	[9]	MR. CIRIELLO: Just because a billboard is a	[9]	claiming a little bit of a hardship that he can't
ı	[10]	certain size, a sign is a lot smaller, doesn't	[10]	afford to pay what the fair appraisal value is
Į	[11]	mean it's not objectable (sic) to some people.	[11]	because of his business and all, and I can
- 1	[12]	Well, let me see here. I have here in some	[12]	understand that, but I'd like to see how many
	[13]	of my scratching in this year one, he's going	[13]	planes he leases out, besides his own, how much
	[14]	to he's proposing to pay \$2 a square foot for	[14]	money he's bringing in. In other words, I'd like
	[15]	the first year. Present rate's \$1.47. That's a	[15]	
	[16]	53 cent difference. But if you look at the \$3.50	[16]	to see I'd like to see some proof of the
	[17]			hardship,
- 1	[17]	and in the \$2, which is a dollar and a half, that's a dollar and a half that the taxpayers are	[17]	I'd like to know how much money he's making
	[10] [19]	• •	[18]	every month, besides his business on subleasing
	[19]	subsidizing in paying supposedly a fair fair price at \$3.50. That dollar and a half by 9,000	[19]	the his hangars and whatnot. I'd like to see
ı	[20] [21]	• •	[20]	in black and white the hardship that he's
	[21]	feet comes to quite a little piece of change.	[21]	claiming. And I'd like to see a list of 40
- 1		It's not just a dollar and a half.	[22]	employees. I don't know, is Aero Sport do you
- 1	[23]	And then the second year, it's \$1.13	[23]	got do you have 40 employees?
- 1	[24]	difference. The third year, 75 cents, the fourth	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you're getting off.
] '	[25]	year oh, shoot. I made a mistake here	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Okay. I'm done. You
r	Page 35		Page 36	
	Page 35	guys can go on then with your thoughts.	Page 36	that space. That's a commercial space, is that
١	[1]	guys can go on then with your thoughts. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments?	[1]	that space. That's a commercial space; is that
	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments?	[1] [2]	not correct?
	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for	[1] [2] [3]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise	[1] [2] [3] [4]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower. MR. LASSITER: Okay. I don't know that's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every day long related to commercial corporate-type
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower. MR. LASSITER: Okay. I don't know that's Mr. Moore's position to take, whether he wants to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	not correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every day long related to commercial corporate-type spaces.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower. MR. LASSITER: Okay. I don't know that's Mr. Moore's position to take, whether he wants to cap it or whatever. But also, I need a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every day long related to commercial corporate-type spaces. MR. LASSITER: This is not to infer that
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower. MR. LASSITER: Okay. I don't know that's Mr. Moore's position to take, whether he wants to cap it or whatever. But also, I need a question was asked and and I've talked to you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every day long related to commercial corporate-type spaces. MR. LASSITER: This is not to infer that we're, you know, we're sweeping this gentleman
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower. MR. LASSITER: Okay. I don't know that's Mr. Moore's position to take, whether he wants to cap it or whatever. But also, I need a question was asked and and I've talked to you about it, and I think you've answered mine.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every day long related to commercial corporate-type spaces. MR. LASSITER: This is not to infer that we're, you know, we're sweeping this gentleman aside with his business, but that was a question
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [22] [22] [24] [24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there other comments? MR. LASSITER: I have a few questions for Ed. Ed, is there a cap on the percentage rise after the fifth year? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. It's CPI, straight CPI. MR. LASSITER: Whatever CPI, if it's, 5 or 7 or MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes. If it went if it went to those numbers, it's it would be what it is. The only way it would adjust is at the time of reappraisal at the fifth year, if it turned out the appraised value was less than what the cumulative CPI change had been in the previous years, you could readjust down to the market value of the property or to the CPI, whichever would be lower. MR. LASSITER: Okay. I don't know that's Mr. Moore's position to take, whether he wants to cap it or whatever. But also, I need a question was asked and and I've talked to you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. It's one of the few places that's was constructed with that intention. MR. LASSITER: Are are there people that you have I, for one, have had someone contact me, I think I mentioned it to you, that was interested in a commercial space, and of course it's nothing more than an inquiry, so I won't make it public, but the simple fact is, is that are there other people interested in these spaces? MR. WUELLNER: I know I know of the same one you have, but we have a list of other corporate-type, noncommercial, but corporate-type users that we maintain a list of that would you know, are quoted that kind of a rate every day long related to commercial corporate-type spaces. MR. LASSITER: This is not to infer that we're, you know, we're sweeping this gentleman

Page 37 Page 38	·
[1] being a commercial rental space, and I realize [1] facilities, we lease and continue t	o lease at
[2] that we're trying to step it up to what the [2] \$3.50 to \$4, and then a few case	
[3] appraisal is, which is an outside appraisal done [3] go over \$4 a square foot with no	•
[4] by a firm who does this on a I guess on a [4] I'm not sure we've found the upp	
[5] regional basis, so we're this is not a number [5] yet.	
[6] we're coming up with. [6] MR. LASSITER: Now, Mr	Cox just this is
[7] MR. WUELLNER: Actually, all over the [7] a statement I want to make. Mr	
[8] nation. [8] point well made, in that we have	• •
[9] MR. LASSITER: Nationwide. [9] in essence providing for the comr	
[10] MR. WUELLNER: The company does appraisals [10] supporting the community.	
[11] all over. [11] We are in the the sandwic	hed position of
[12] MR. LASSITER: Which I know, I rent [12] not only saying that, yes, we wou	•
[15] around \$6 a square foot. That's neither here nor [15] the tax holder, which Mr. Ciriello	nac otought
[16] there on on this, because this is this is [16] up.	t wa wara haning
[17] unique thing. [17] In my own mind, this is what [18] The question I have though it for a [18] that we would be able to do in the control of the control	
[18] The question I have, though, is for a [18] that we would be able to do in the standard because any private because any order to some a second of the source of the so	
[19] standard hangar now, private hangar now, what [19] two 30s, which is a 60, to come to the standard for the standard hangar now, private hangar now, what	
[20] kind of square-foot cost are they paying for [20] something. And and I think the	
[21] theirs that we're renting out of the box, shall [21] to do this as best we can and a	_
[22] we say, on it now? Do you have any idea? [22] equal opportunity to both sides to	
[23] MR. WUELLNER: If you're comparing it to [23] positions. So, those are my ques	
[24] T-hangars, I don't know by by square footage. [24] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Anybo	
[25] But the commercial or corporate-type hangar [25] MR. WUELLNER: I'd like	to just make the
Page 40	
Page 39 Page 40 [1] distinction between the cost because it was	
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [1] All in favor	inute
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a m	
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [3] MR. WUELLNER: You ne	ed a motion.
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [5] MR. WUELLNER: You not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [8] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not between cost-based recov	ed a motion.
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [5] seconded it yet.	ed a motion. nade a motion or
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] All in favor [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a market was a market with a market was a basis for rent [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody not seconded it yet. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's somebody care to make that motion was a market was a	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on?
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [1] All in favor [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a mr. [5] MR. WUELLNER: You ne [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody nr. [5] seconded it yet. [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's somebody care to make that motion of the money of the make that motion of the money of the money of the make that motion of the money of the money of the make that motion of the money of the money of the make that motion of the money of	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on?
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [11] All in favor [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a m [13] MR. WUELLNER: You ne [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody n [5] seconded it yet. [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's [7] somebody care to make that motion of the money of the presented	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [10] All in favor [12] All in favor [13] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a m [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody n [5] seconded it yet. [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's [7] somebody care to make that motion of the money of the presented [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? te motion that we to you, Joe.
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a mr. [13] MR. WUELLNER: You ne [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody n. [5] seconded it yet. [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's somebody care to make that motiful approve the presented [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank. [11] MR. LASSITER: lease accepted to the control of the	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? te motion that we to you, Joe.
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] All in favor [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a mr. [13] MR. WUELLNER: You ne [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody in seconded it yet. [5] seconded it yet. [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's somebody care to make that motion in the presented	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we ryou, Joe. etion with North
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] All in favor [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a market you not	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we ryou, Joe. etion with North
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] meal part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a market was used in favor [16] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a market was used in favor [17] seconded it yet. [18] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank approve the presented [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank was structured, because I you know, having no [10] MR. LASSITER: lease and the control of the last five years, it it's [11] wery clear in your grant agreements with Florida [12] motion?	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we ryou, Joe. etion with North
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a m [17] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody n [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Nobody n [19] Seconded it yet. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I make the paperove the presented [11] MR. LASSITER: lease and paperove the presented [12] Thank of the paperove the presented [13] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is then the paperove the papero	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we ryou, Joe. ction with North
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is that is a requirement. It's not an	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we ryou, Joe. ction with North
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not — regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I — you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it — it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is — that is a requirement. It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? the motion that we the you, Joe. ction with North re a second to that re any any board
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not — regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I — you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it — it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is — that is a requirement. It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I december 1 [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a m [19] MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a m [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's [11] All in favor — [12] MR. WUELLNER: You ne [13] MR. WUELLNER: You ne [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I december 1 [15] MR. WATTS: I second it. [16] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is then [17] discussion? Joe? [18]	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we re you, Joe. ction with North re a second to that re any any board on't know if it's a
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not — regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I — you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it — it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is — that is a requirement, It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other board comment? [19] discussion or an amendment to the	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we styou, Joe. ction with North re a second to that re any any board on't know if it's a ne motion, but I
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is that is a requirement. It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other board comment? [19] discussion or an amendment to the [20] (No further comment.)	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we ryou, Joe. ction with North re a second to that re any any board on't know if it's a ne motion, but I uess it's an
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not – regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I – you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it – it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other board comment? [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would – I would accept a [11] amendment to the motion. I would accept a [12] amendment to the motion. I would accept a [13] amendment to the motion. I would amendment to the motion. I would accept a	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? the motion that we the you, Joe. ction with North re a second to that on't know if it's a the motion, but I the process of the pr
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is that is a requirement. It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other board comment? [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would I would accept a [20] motion regarding item 7.A., which is the lease [21] mondment to the motion. I would accept a [22] motion regarding item 7.A., which is the lease [22] board and the director and Top Comment of the discussion or an amendment to the motion. I would accept a [22] board and the director and Top Comment of the director and To	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? ne motion that we styou, Joe. ction with North re a second to that re any any board on't know if it's a ne motion, but I uess it's an ald rather the Gun sit down for
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is that is a requirement. It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other board comment? [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would I would accept a [20] motion regarding item 7.A., which is the lease [21] motion, North American Top Gun, and the Staff [22] however many days they need to	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? the motion that we the you, Joe. ction with North the a second to that the any any board on't know if it's a the motion, but I the process it's an and rather the Gun sit down for work this thing
[1] distinction between the cost, because it was [2] brought up by Mr. Cox, but the distinction [3] between cost-based recovery as a basis for rent [4] and market value in that your obligations as [5] consistent with not only your policy, but by the [6] grant agreements under the terms of which you [7] accepted half of the money, require you to get [8] market value on these things. [9] It does not regardless of how it was done [10] before and regardless of how the old agreement [11] was structured, because I you know, having no [12] real part in that, other than trying to [13] administer for the last five years, it it's [14] very clear in your grant agreements with Florida [15] DOT that you will do it at market value, that [16] that is that is a requirement. It's not an [17] option. It's a requirement when you accepted the [18] money. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other board comment? [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would I would accept a [20] montion regarding item 7.A., which is the lease [21] montion regarding item 7.A., which is the lease [22] board and the director and Top Co	ed a motion. nade a motion or right. Would on? the motion that we the you, Joe. ction with North the a second to that the any any board on't know if it's a the motion, but I the process it's an and rather the Gun sit down for work this thing

	Page 41		Page 42	
	[1]	And rather than, as Mr Captain	[1]	place to do that.
	[2]	Captain Cox said, put it on hiatus for 90 days,	[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: We're charged with running
	[3]	I'd just like to see everybody just take off	[3]	this airport. We're management.
	[4]	their coats and shirt sleeves and get in there	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, Joe. All right.
	[5]	and start working on it to come up with something	[5]	There's a there's a motion on the floor.
	[6]	better. And I would go along with something like	[6]	Joe's made some suggestions. If you follow his
	[7]	that, but I wouldn't go along with this	[7]	suggestions, we need to defeat the motion and do
	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, that's what we were	[8]	what Joe recommends.
	[9]	supposed to be doing over the past month.	[9]	So, the first vote will be on the motion to
	[10]	MR. CIRIELLO: When you say "we," who do you	[10]	either accept it or reject Staff recommendation.
	[11]	mean?	[11]	I'll call for a vote. All in favor, say aye.
	[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Airport Authority and	[12]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[13]	Top Gun,	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I wasn't involved.	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. Opposed?
	[15]	Nobody told me anything about being	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is carried.
	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: in any of the meetings.		
	[18]	•	[17]	MR, WUELLNER: Is there
		CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, you were here at the	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We move on then to
	[19] [20]	last meeting.	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: Is there a time line by which
		MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I was at the meeting,	[20]	you want this facilitated?
	[21]		[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, your
l	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I don't think you need to be	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: I say along the shortest
	[23]	involved directly in those negotiations, Joe.	[23]	possible time line.
ļ	[24]	MR. CIRIELLO: Why not?	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.
ı	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I don't think it's your	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's important we get
	Page 43		Page 44	
	Page 43	some lease agreement. But if that's not going to	Page 44	from either side vet. But we're still
	[1]	some lease agreement. But if that's not going to	[1]	from either side yet. But we're still negotiating in good faith, and I think that's
	[1] [2]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's	[1] [2]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's
	[1] [2] [3]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the	[1] [2] [3]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know,	[1] [2] [3] [4]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it. Is that the way	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved MR. WUELLNER: in many respects
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it. Is that the way MR. WUELLNER: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved MR. WUELLNER: in many respects maintenance items
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it. Is that the way MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes, sir?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved MR. WUELLNER: in many respects maintenance items CHAIRMAN ROSE: before our next meeting.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it. Is that the way MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes, sir? MR. MOORE: Yeah. Now, I'm willing to work	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved MR. WUELLNER: in many respects maintenance items CHAIRMAN ROSE: before our next meeting. MR. WUELLNER: and the like.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it. Is that the way MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes, sir? MR. MOORE: Yeah. Now, I'm willing to work with Ed. You know, we we've made progress on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved MR. WUELLNER: in many respects maintenance items CHAIRMAN ROSE: before our next meeting. MR. WUELLNER: and the like. MR. LASSITER: Y'all are on a
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	happen I mean, Mr. Moore did indicate he's still dissatisfied with financial terms of the lease, and I just want to make it you know, some direction from you as to how you if he has no intention of doing this at what we, he and I had sat down and discussed and brought forward, then, you know CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, this is your recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But it's not been accepted by Top Gun. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I thought we had, but I think we may he made the statement he still did not like the financial part. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We approved it and now it's they can either reject it or accept it. Is that the way MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes, sir? MR. MOORE: Yeah. Now, I'm willing to work	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	negotiating in good faith, and I think that's what Ed is asking. You know, how much time do we have to work on this? He's got some numbers on the doors. Some other things that are unknown yet. We we don't know. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I agree with Mr. Ciriello, I think to carry this out and not have you know, six months from now be right back where we started. I think we need to proceed with haste to get this resolved. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the balance are largely nonfinancial issues in the scheme of things. I mean, they're not they're not involved in the rental structure itself or the term of the lease. They're CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would hope we'd have this all resolved MR. WUELLNER: in many respects maintenance items CHAIRMAN ROSE: before our next meeting. MR. WUELLNER: and the like.

	ARTORI AUTHORITI -		
Page 45		Page 46	
[1]	next meeting,		pack to us.
[2]	MR. MOORE: Yeah.	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: All right,
[3]	MR. LASSITER: Y'all are basically on a	[3]	MR. LASSITER: The question, I guess, that
[4]	month-to-month. Normally, it's a 15-day notice		begs to be asked here is, is that these
[5]	on a month-to-month, So		negotiations that forthwith are coming here, if
[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	l	hey are impactive of the financial side of this
[7]	MR. MOORE: So, you're leaving it up to Ed		hing; i.e., the rent agreements and the
[8]	and I to decide what's good progress on this; is	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: Clearly that's something that
[9]	that right?		comes back.
[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, but I want it I	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Right.
[11]	want it resolved before the next meeting.	[11]	MR. LASSITER: Yes. If if those are
[12]	MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I guess that's		eached at an impasse if if there's
[13]	at the core of the question. Do you want a		•
[14]	finalized lease agreement back to you, or do		ood-faith negotiation going on and extends past
			ext meeting, but that the lease agreement, you
[15]	you along this, you authorized me to execute a	1	vant to stay and you agree to the basics,
[16]	lease agreement that reflects these terms on, you		inances, and there's good faith, I I think we
[17]	know, basically a standard form lease agreement		hould figure on executing and continuing
[18]	from that point forward. As you approved it,		iscussion on those outside items.
[19]	it's not coming back to you. Is that is that	[19]	But if it comes to an impasse, what we don't
[20]	fine?		vant to have is y'all execute a lease and then
[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I understand. I		ome to an impasse on something else and,
[22]	understand.		Ar. Moore, you say, "Well, I'm sorry, but this is
[23]	MR. WUELLNER: Or are there other things you		nacceptable."
[24]	want to see?	[24]	So, you have a real again, you have this
[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, it doesn't have to come	[25] pi	roblem of if you accept the lease, you've

Page 47		D 40	
Page 47	accented the lease and the term in total but the	Page 48	
[1]	accepted the lease and the term in toto, but the	[1] la	ast five years of historical information.
[1] [2]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to	[1] la	hat's presented in the left-most column of the
[1] [2] [3]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real	[1] la [2] T [3] up	hat's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not
[1] [2] [3] [4]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out.	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not eal sales numbers; those are numbers generated
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed?	[1] la [2] T' [3] up [4] re [5] us	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so.	[1] la [2] T' [3] up [4] re [5] us [6]	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease.	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin [8] of	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING	[1] la [2] T' [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that eccounts for where he's built the business today
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not call sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that executs for where he's built the business today the first five years by allowing a subtraction
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that executes for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that execunts for where he's built the business today the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun,	[1] la [2] TI [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13]	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction for those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out, CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun,	[1] la [2] TI [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13]	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction for those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out, CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common	[1] la [2] TI [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that eccounts for where he's built the business today the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [18]	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that executes for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five ears. After five years, for the next five-year eriod, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that we know that in no situation would the facility	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [18] fiv [19] ye	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated using a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that executing for where he's built the business today the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the pre years, and phased it over the next five
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that we know that in no situation would the facility net less than than what it would get as a	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [18] fiv [19] ye [20] wh	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the years, and phased it over the next five years, so that by year 10, the gross sales is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that we know that in no situation would the facility net less than than what it would get as a storage facility in the event it were the	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [18] fiv [19] ye [20] wh [21] no	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated using a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that fif, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the years, and phased it over the next five years, so that by year 10, the gross sales is that the fee is paid on. There's no subtraction,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that we know that in no situation would the facility net less than than what it would get as a storage facility in the event it were the lease went away.	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fin [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [18] fiv [19] ye [20] wh [21] no	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated using a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that use that we phase in a fee-based system that executes for where he's built the business today the first five years by allowing a subtraction to those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the present years, and phased it over the next five years, so that by year 10, the gross sales is that the fee is paid on. There's no subtraction, to deduction, no consideration for any other
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that we know that in no situation would the facility net less than than what it would get as a storage facility in the event it were the lease went away. Then we looked at the four the sales of	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [17] [18] fiv [19] ye [20] wh [21] no [22] ex [23]	that's presented in the left-most column of the pper pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that ff, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today of the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the years, and phased it over the next five years, so that by year 10, the gross sales is that the fee is paid on. There's no subtraction, to deduction, no consideration for any other reclusion from sales. So, it's based on that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	agreement is if you're trying to tie the lease to these other things, then y'all have to work real fast to get those hammered hammered out. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Are we clear, Ed? MR. WUELLNER: I think so. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Next item is the Prestige Refinishing lease. 7.B LEASE ACTION - PRESTIGE REFINISHING MR. WUELLNER: And that one was the simple one. Basically, we sat down, made the suggestion that the base rent would follow something similar to what we proposed for North American Top Gun, that being that in the event that a paint shop the paint shop left, that the lowest common denominator use would be that of storage for the facility, determine that to be base rent, so that we know that in no situation would the facility net less than than what it would get as a storage facility in the event it were the lease went away. Then we looked at the four the sales of the last five years, and using a straight line	[1] la [2] Ti [3] up [4] re [5] us [6] [7] fir [8] of [9] ac [10] in [11] of [12] ov [13] th. [14] ye [15] [16] pe [17] we [18] fiv [19] ye [20] wh [21] no [22] ex [23] [24] the	that's presented in the left-most column of the open pink section, if you will. Those are not cal sales numbers; those are numbers generated sing a statistical model. Then we tried to take the average of the rest five years' annual sales and subtract that fif, so that we phase in a fee-based system that ecounts for where he's built the business today at the first five years by allowing a subtraction of those average sales of \$157,000 and change, wing a fee of a percent and a half of gross to be balance of those sales for the first five years. After five years, for the next five-year period, that that program is phased out. So, the basically took the \$157,000, divided it by the years, and phased it over the next five years, so that by year 10, the gross sales is that the fee is paid on. There's no subtraction, to deduction, no consideration for any other reclusion from sales. So, it's based on that. You can see that the average fee structure,

Page 49		Page 50	
[1]	fee based. The actual rent to be paid would be a	[1]	The annual base rent is a fixed function of
[2]	function of that base rental and the percentage	[2]	his rent equation. That's not going to be
[3]	of gross sales. A combination of blue and pink	[3]	subject to change. Again, after five years,
[4]	equals green in this case.	[4]	though, I do want to point out, the first five
[5]	Using the projections of sales, which again	[5]	years has the same setup as North American Top
[6]	are hypothetical and based on forecast, you can	[6]	Gun and then it's CPI from that point forward.
[7]	see what the effective rental rates would be	[7]	So, you know, you're looking at 2 percent as
[8]	moving through it. So they're, you know,	[8]	depicted on the on the table here.
[9]	slightly higher than what they would be if it was	[9]	It ends up relatively comparable. It ends
[10]	strictly a base rent scenario.	[10]	up a reasonable deal for the Authority where some
[11]	And you get I also added a couple of	[11]	of the business risk is shared; however, the
[12]	columns here on effective rental rate, as well as	[12]	Authority and as he does better, we do better
[13]	rent as a percent of annual sales. So, you get	[13]	in the rental equation, but still represents a
[14]	an idea of how they fit into a financial picture	[14]	base rent that's consistent with with the
[15]	for the for the business side of it. And	[15]	with your grants and market valuation of the
[16]	they're, in my opinion, well within normal	[16]	property, without being absolutely unreasonable.
[17]	business tolerances for for rental.	[17]	,
[18]	And so I think, you know, we found an area	[18]	And it would be our recommendation we
[19]	that's or model or method here that's	[19]	enter you know, approve those arrangements and
[20]			authorize us to execute the new new lease
[21]	that's reasonable. The fee portion is based on	[20]	agreement with Prestige again on the shortest
	what he actually does in a year. Actually, it's	[21]	time line. I'm trying to present that as simply
[22]	based on what he does each month, because it	[22]	as possible, but there are a lot of variables
[23]	would be applied on a monthly basis, so a good	[23]	that are not we just simply guess at.
[24]	month, he'd pay more in fees; on a bad month,	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment
[25]	he's going to pay less in fees.	[25]	on this issue?
Page 51		Page 52	
Page 51	MR. MARTINELLI: My name's Victor	Page 52	billing it as such. And I'm you know it
[1]	MR. MARTINELLI: My name's Victor Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in	[1]	billing it as such. And I'm you know, it
[1] [2]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in	[1] [2]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money,
[1] [2] [3]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question.	[1] [2] [3]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it,
[1] [2] [3] [4]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would	[1] [2] [3] [4]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand. MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand. MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand, MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I would just suggest that you level that somehow	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand. MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I would just suggest that you level that somehow and do it on a six-month or three-month moving	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand, MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment? (No comment.)
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I would just suggest that you level that somehow and do it on a six-month or three-month moving average kind of thing.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand, MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment? Joe?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I would just suggest that you level that somehow and do it on a six-month or three-month moving average kind of thing. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the reason we chose	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand. MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment? Joe? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I was going to keep my
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I would just suggest that you level that somehow and do it on a six-month or three-month moving average kind of thing. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the reason we chose this method was to allow him to obviously defer	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand. MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment? Joe? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I was going to keep my mouth shut, but it's hard to do. It would seem
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane in Ponte Vedra Beach. I just have one question. Ed, you talked about on a monthly basis. Would that be on a cash basis, an accrual basis, or how how do you how would you calculate that? That's the only question I have. MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect we'll do it on a cash basis based on his because I'm sure he's operating as a cash business versus on accrual. It's only people that are forced to do that like us. MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But then you're familiar with the problems of a cash basis, and if you have a customer that you you sell to and he's delinquent for two months, and that doubles up with another guy, in the month that he pays, you're going to have skew or imbalance. I would just suggest that you level that somehow and do it on a six-month or three-month moving average kind of thing. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the reason we chose	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	allows him to pay it out when he gets the money, versus having it due before he can collect on it, which would happen MR. MARTINELLI: On accrual basis. Well, I'm not suggesting accrual. I'm just suggesting like a three-month moving average or something. MR. WUELLNER: Well, clearly, we've got to make a provision in the lease to average it over the year, just just to clean it up. I mean, I don't think it effectively changes a thing in terms of the basic terms. It's just writing, you know, how we grasp MR. MARTINELLI: I just had that question to understand. MR. WUELLNER: That's a good question. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment? Joe? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I was going to keep my

	Page 53		D 54	
	[1]	to do that mainly because unless complied wents	Page 54	MR WATTER For the
	[2]	to do that, mainly because unless somebody wants	[1]	MR. WATTS: Exactly.
	[3]	to tell me I'm all messed up in the head, I think	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, Do I have a motion?
	[4]	that Mr. Evans was more willing to work to make a	[3]	MR. WATTS: Yes, sir. I'd like to make a
	1	proposal that was fair both to him and the board	[4]	motion that we approve Staff's recommendation for
	[5]	than Mr. Moore. But and just because of that	[5]	item 7.B. for Prestige Refinishing to go along
	[6]	little idio idiodicy (sic), I'll probably vote	[6]	with their recommendation on establishing the
	[7]	yes if somebody makes a motion for this, but I	[7]	lease for this.
	[8]	just wanted you to know why I voted no on one and	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I hear a second?
	[9]	I'll vote yes on the other. That's all I've got	[9]	MR. LASSITER: I'll second.
	[10]	to say.	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further board comment?
	[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, Thank you. Any other comment?	[11]	(No comment.)
	[12]		[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll vote. All in favor,
	[13]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, I think what we	[13]	say aye.
	[14]	need to do is is, you know, a while ago when	[14]	MR, LASSITER: Aye.
	[15]	we made the motion and we voted on it, I think	[15]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[16] [17]	what we need to do is to let Ed basically just	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.
		use his suggestions to go along with to get this	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
	[18]	settled. In other words, the board, I feel like,	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
ĺ	[19] [20]	should just go ahead and make the vote and turn	[19]	(No opposition.)
	[20]	it over to Ed and let him finish it and do the	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is carried,
	[22]	same time period,	[21]	We'll move on to the Fly-By Cafe tenant request.
	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The detail of the agreement would be	[22]	Ed?
	[24]	MR. WATTS: Exactly.	[23] [24]	7.C TENANT REQUEST - FLY-BY CAFE
	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: worked out,	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: It's lease lease month, I
	[]	Grandina Robe. Worked Out.	[23]	guess, here. We have a or you have a copy of
ĺ			**************************************	
- 1	Page 55		Page 56	
	Page 55	the letter received from Dennis France as the	Page 56	asserted by the letter were that of about
	_	the letter received from Dennis France as the president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe.	_	asserted by the letter were that of about \$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2] [3]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe.	[1] [2]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued
	[1] [2] [3]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that	[1] [2] [3]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have	[1] [2] [3] [4]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of
- 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets
0000	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000.
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines
William	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very
Name of the Control o	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the
With the state of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of being rehabilitated along along the area in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a restaurant facility on the airport out for a
Applyment because of the particular and the particu	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	president and slash owner of Fly-By Cafe. As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of being rehabilitated along along the area in front of the airport, as well as most of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a restaurant facility on the airport out for a minimum period of time so that we could make some
Company of the Compan	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of being rehabilitated along along the area in front of the airport, as well as most of the stretch here. And while we could certainly agree that there has been some curtailing of close-in parking as a result of our construction efforts,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a restaurant facility on the airport out for a minimum period of time so that we could make some determination back to this board to award an
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of being rehabilitated along along the area in front of the airport, as well as most of the stretch here. And while we could certainly agree that there has been some curtailing of close-in parking as a result of our construction efforts, it's not without inconvenience to the majority of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a restaurant facility on the airport out for a minimum period of time so that we could make some determination back to this board to award an operating agreement, for lack of better terms, a lease/operating agreement, at your December meeting so that we could get the restaurant open
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of being rehabilitated along along the area in front of the airport, as well as most of the stretch here. And while we could certainly agree that there has been some curtailing of close-in parking as a result of our construction efforts, it's not without inconvenience to the majority of airport users, at the least, this current time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a restaurant facility on the airport out for a minimum period of time so that we could make some determination back to this board to award an operating agreement, for lack of better terms, a lease/operating agreement, at your December meeting so that we could get the restaurant open and operating as soon as possible.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	As you have probably become aware of, that business is currently closed, and they have requested that the Airport Authority purchase the restaurant-related assets and then allow us from that point forward to lease a furnished restaurant to other any other interested party relative to this. We, based on information provided to us, have determined the improvements and equipment-related price at about \$130,000. The loss-of-business damages that he's asserting in his letter, which are primarily construction-related, some of which is not really the Authority's obligation and responsibility as you're aware of, U.S. 1 is in the process of being rehabilitated along along the area in front of the airport, as well as most of the stretch here. And while we could certainly agree that there has been some curtailing of close-in parking as a result of our construction efforts, it's not without inconvenience to the majority of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	\$25,000. They also at this point have an accrued balance with us in nonrent fees of about \$7,500. And to sum it all up, it was our estimation that if you deleted the some of the effect of the alleged harm done by construction or loss of business damages, effectively eliminated the fees that we that are currently owed to us and presented a cash recommendation or a cash figure to the owner to purchase the restaurant assets and eliminate the lease agreement as it sits today of \$145,000. What we would if the Authority determines that this to be in their best interest, what we would propose to do is place a a very short-duration request for proposals on the street to anyone interested in operating a a restaurant facility on the airport out for a minimum period of time so that we could make some determination back to this board to award an operating agreement, for lack of better terms, a lease/operating agreement, at your December meeting so that we could get the restaurant open

			_	
] 1	Page 57		Page 58	
[1]	who have already expressed interest in renting a	[1]	(No public comment.)
] [2]	furnished facility, but for what you know, the	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment?
] [3]	restaurant business is notoriously capital	[3]	MR. LASSITER: Do you want me to go first?
I	4]	intensive and you're asking somebody to take a	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Go ahead,
] [5]	risk based on plus, you still have assets up	[5]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, I I have some
[6]	there that belong to somebody else in the lease	[6]	reservations about doing this. I I realize
] [7]	agreement that, if he decides to pay, is valid.	[7]	that this is an asset to the airport to have a
] [8]	He has actively been trying to sell the	[8]	restaurant here. I have some questions in the
1	9]	business. You have a copy of that information in	[9]	lease originally where leasehold improvements to
[1	10]	here, too, where they show the valuation of the	[10]	be removed if he left. How is that usually
[1	11]	restaurant at \$190,000. Whether that's	[11]	attached leasehold improvements are, at the
[1	12]	ultimately what it would net if it eventually	[12]	discretion of the lessor, either retained or
[1	13]	sells is beyond my ability to predict.	[13]	removed.
[1	14]	But we do believe that the existing rent	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't recall the specifics.
[1	15]	calculation could be brought up to over \$3,000 a	[15]	You're correct that in the normally they would
	16]	month, earning \$20 a square foot range with a	[16]	become the Authority's at the end of the lease
"	17]	facility that's fully capable of operating today	[17]	term, which would be way out there under normal
- 1	[8]	with fixtures and equipment and the like, versus	[18]	terms. If he sold the lease or sold his
- I -	[9]	having someone else do that.	[19]	business, you know, effectively the Authority's
1	20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: You're confident that there	[20]	out of the equation and is just honoring the
T	!1]	are that there are businesses ready to provide	[21]	lease agreement that would be in place over the
1	22]	a proposal to us on that.	[22]	next
T	:3]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. I wouldn't have it	[23]	MR. LASSITER: That's my next question. Is
	4]	here if I wasn't that confident,	[24]	the gentleman continuing to pay his rent, even
[2	-	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Public comment?	[25]	though his stores are closed?
			Lası	mough his stores are closed;
P	age 59		Page 60	
P [_	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're pretty close into	_	that if if we are able to attract somebody
ł	1]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're pretty close into this, but he has up to this point. What is owed	Page 60 [1] [2]	that if if we are able to attract somebody with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to
[1]		[1]	•
[:	1] 2] 3]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed	[1] [2] [3]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone
[:	1] 2] 3] 4]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with	[1] [2]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to
[: [: [:	1] 2] 3] 4]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the
[: [: [:	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in
[:	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in
[: 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And
[: 1	11] 22] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing
[1	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 00]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that.
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 77] 78] 90]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this
[1	11] 22] 33] 44] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use
[: [: : [: : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 91] 91]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only?
[:] [:]	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11] 22] 44]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come
[:	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 88] 90] 11] 22] 44] 55]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and
[: [: [: :]	1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 55] 60] 7] 8] 9] 1] 22] 33] 44] 65] 65]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up
[:] [:]	1] 2] 2] 33] 44] 55] 60] 77] 88] 90] 11] 42] 44] 55] 66]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there?
[1	1] 2] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 1] 4] 4] 5] 6] 7] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of restaurants are slim, unless you have an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there? MR. WUELLNER: Remodel it back to what it
[:	1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 1] 6] 7] 7] 8] 7] 7] 8]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of restaurants are slim, unless you have an exceptionally good operator. For us to step in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there? MR. WUELLNER: Remodel it back to what it was.
[:	1] 2] 2] 33] 44] 55] 60] 77] 88] 90] 11] 77] 88] 77] 88]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of restaurants are slim, unless you have an exceptionally good operator. For us to step in and take over something as far afield as that, I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there? MR. WUELLNER: Remodel it back to what it was. MR. LASSITER: Exactly. MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind, you still have
[:	1] 2] 2] 33] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 1] 7] 4] 5] 7] 7] 6] 7] 7] 7] 7] 7] 7] 7]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of restaurants are slim, unless you have an exceptionally good operator. For us to step in and take over something as far afield as that, I have some severe reservations. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'm not going to be in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there? MR. WUELLNER: Remodel it back to what it was. MR. LASSITER: Exactly. MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind, you still have the second-floor office space.
[:	1] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77] 78] 79] 11] 77] 78] 78] 79] 71] 71] 72] 73] 74]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of restaurants are slim, unless you have an exceptionally good operator. For us to step in and take over something as far afield as that, I have some severe reservations. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'm not going to be in the restaurant business. I grew up in it and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there? MR. WUELLNER: Remodel it back to what it was. MR. LASSITER: Exactly. MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind, you still have the second-floor office space. MR. LASSITER: I realize that. But if it
[:	1] 2] 2] 33] 44] 55] 60] 77] 78] 79] 77] 78] 77] 78] 77] 78] 77] 78] 77] 78]	this, but he has up to this point. What is owed us is from a while back, and it had to do with the transfer of electric and other maintenance fees that were in the lease agreement. It was non nonrent based that accrued over time. He just simply can't come over that hump with us. He's he made progress on it, but it's it's not there. MR. LASSITER: Is is there any any hope that he will sell the business? What I what I'm basically saying is, is that we operate airports; we don't operate restaurants. And restaurants, having one right now running and had some in the past, they are truly a black hole when it comes to time, effort, money, et cetera. And the survival rate of restaurants are slim, unless you have an exceptionally good operator. For us to step in and take over something as far afield as that, I have some severe reservations. MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'm not going to be in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	with leaseholds, if this gentleman wants to to be out of this business, he will attract someone himself. And I would prefer not us be the procuring cause on getting somebody in there versus let him do it. The risk/rewards in business are just that. There is that's why there's two words in there, risk and reward. And I I have some reservations about us doing that. At the same time, if there is is this particular space reserved for restaurant use only? MR. WUELLNER: Meaning, could someone come up and MR. LASSITER: Could someone else come up and move their office space in there? MR. WUELLNER: Remodel it back to what it was. MR. LASSITER: Exactly. MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind, you still have the second-floor office space.

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY -	INO A ECIAT	DER 19, 2001
	Page 61		Page 62	
	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: No.	[1]	and and the business.
	[2]	MR. LASSITER: Okay. Knowing that a	[2]	The short term is it's you know, who
	[3]	restaurant has definite advantages for y'all, but	[3]	knows whether somebody's going to walk up with
ĺ	[4]	at the same token, for us to and generally, in	[4]	\$190,000 to take care of that problem and assume
	[5]	restaurant equipment, and you probably know this	[5]	our lease agreement and and move on. We're
	[6]	as well, is once it comes out of the package and	[6]	we're trying also to short term, you know, is
	[7]	is used, the value goes about 90 percent	[7]	to put somebody in there on a much quicker pace
	[8]	depreciation immediately.	[8]	than that, too. He retains the option, in
	[9]	So, if we're buying this stuff 50 cents on	[9]	absence of this, to lease the facility to
ŀ	[10]	the dollar, we're paying too much, as they say.	[10]	somebody else, and in a sense, act as a broker
	[11]	But that's that's a different side. If you	[11]	for the business out of it, too. You know,
١	[12]	feel it is absolute as as the operations	[12]	without going over the lease specifically, we may
	[13]	person here, absolutely necessary to do this,	[13]	or may not even have approval of what was placed
- 1	[14]	then that's that weighs a little bit.	[14]	in it,
	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, part of this is	[15]	MR. LASSITER: But at the same token, he
- 1	[16]	obviously a policy and direction decision, in	[16]	must maintain his payments to the Airport
- 1	[17]	that, you know, we have an asset in in the	[17]	Authority as far as the
- 1	[18]	second floor that's that's been developed as a	[18]	MR, WUELLNER: Sure. Otherwise
- 1	[19]	restaurant space and it's, as it sits today, not	[19]	MR. LASSITER: If he doesn't then
- 1	[20]	being used because it's not open.	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: we'd acquire it in another
	[21]	Yeah, I mean, the ideal situation was for a	[21]	manner,
- 1	[22]	private buyer to come up. The business has been	[22]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah,
- 1	[23]	listed for several months for the purposes of	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe?
- 1	[24]	unloading the assets, you know, basically the	[24]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. If we buy this stuff,
1	[25]	\$190,000, which would acquire the equipment	[25]	does that technically mean we're in the
ľ	Page 63		Page 64	
	[1]	restaurant business?	[1]	and end up we'll end up with an empty room and
	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: No. We we own the	[2]	then we can then move forward with and try to
1	[3]	equipment and facilities necessary to operate a	[3]	make some money on.
	[4]	restaurant. But we don't misunderstand me;	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: In the absence of him being
	[5]	we the Authority was never proposing to be a	[5]	able to sell it successfully or sublease it
1	[6]	restaurant.	[6]	successfully, then I would suspect at some point,
	[7]	MR. CIRIELLO: Do we get the liquor license	[7]	the assets will be liquidated to some creditor
	[8]	and everything that goes with it?	[8]	that would be out there, and we'll be stuck with
	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: That would be a call.	[9]	just the vacant space again and looking for a
	[10]	Right no, we would not acquire a liquor	[10]	restaurant.
	[11]	license as a part of this, no. Direct answer.	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what I'm getting it, we
- 1	[12]	MR. CIRIELLO: I'm kind of in the same mind	[12]	will end up with an empty room that we can use.
- 1	[13]	as Mr. Lassiter. Buying a lot of used restaurant	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: In theory, yes.
- 1	[14]	equipment doesn't sound too good, but if if	[14]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, how much square footage
		you have somebody you say that you're almost sure	[15]	are we talking about?
ı	-	is going to come in here in December, why don't	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: It's about 1,800 total square
- 1	[17]	they do all the negotiating with this guy and buy	[17]	foot in the lease. It's it's one of those
	_	the stuff?	[18]	awkward size. It's not
	[19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: I think his positionhe'd	[19]	MR. LASSITER: He has inferred or not
- 1		just like to be done with it and gone. If they	[20]	inferred. He spoke that his business has been
1	_	do it with him, he's tied continues to be tied	[21]	damaged because of no parking? Is that
- 1	[22] [23]	to the lease agreement. MR CIRIFLLO: Well if we don't go along	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Construction-related.
- 1		MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we don't go along with this and say no, and Mr. France leaves, that	[23] [24]	MR. LASSITER: construction-related? But that's then the burden, everyone knew that
		means he can take all the equipment out of there	[24]	this was coming and the burden was shared by
1	1	and the same and the equipment out of their	r _{mo} 1	and the belief was shared by

	Tant Oxa Mollioni 1		
Page 65	W. C.	Page 66	
[1]	everybody there.	[1]	lot of ways that the Authority can deal with it.
[2]	MR. WUELLNER: Right. Everybody in that	[2]	I think all it us owning the assets
[3]	terminal area has certainly felt the effects of	[3]	initially just simply allows the whole thing to
[4]	that construction, yes, and continues to at this	[4]	happen a whole lot quicker than if it goes a
[5]	point.	[5]	normal course and the business itself formally
[6]	We do the conversations with Grumman,	[6]	fails, the assets are liquidated. It takes a
[7]	just generally on the topic, we're supposed to	[7]	period of time. Depending on how he liquidates
[8]	have the final revised lease agreement over there	[8]	the business in bankruptcy and the like, it could
[9]	to get that corner back and allow that to be in	[9]	be that we could be a year or more until we can
[10]	here. It was it's supposed to be this here	[10]	have the use of our property back up there and
[11]	this week. It was supposed to be here last week.	[11]	any revenue stream on it.
[12]	So, he told me two weeks ago it would be here,	[12]	This while, you know, I don't want to be
[13]	so	[13]	one to bail out our businesses on the airport,
[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Dennis, have you got	[14]	this this is a significant attraction item on
[15]	anything?	[15]	the airport. My use or suggestion that we use an
[16]	MR. WATTS: I have a question. You were	[16]	RFP method or a qualification and experience-type
[17]	mentioning about someone else that may be	[17]	method of determining who would be best to
[18]	interested in taking it over. Would they, say if	[18]	operate it would in effect try to eliminate, you
[19]	the board did pass going along with Staff's	[19]	know, just anybody from trying to come and lease
[20]	recommendation on this, to negotiate the sale of	[20]	it or first in the door leasing it. It would try
[21]	the price or the equipment to that new person?	[21]	to qualify it to some people who truly understand
[22]	MR. WUELLNER: I think that that's	[22]	the restaurant businesses, and as Mr. Lassiter
[23]	certainly a possibility. It could be done	[23]	pointed out, the risk/reward portion of it so
[24]	over you know, as a rental issue or a rental	[24]	that so that it has the best chance of
[25]	deduction, a credit back to them. There are a	[25]	financial success.
Page 67	•	Page 68	
[1]	MR. WATTS: Well, the next question is:	[1]	The other damages part, you know, we have
[2]	With the situation with the parking, do you think	[2]	certainly a piece of that, but we're not entirely
[3]	it'd be advantageous to come up with the board	[3]	to blame for the the construction-related
[4]	or either Staff or the board to make some kind	[4]	damages related to that. It's going to be
[5]	of you know, with the parking situation to	[5]	it's just as equally a problem with the U.S. 1
[6]	I don't know what I'm exactly		as just as equally a president with the e.s
[7]		[6]	construction project. People can't even cross
1	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you	[6] [7]	
[8]	·	I	construction project. People can't even cross
I	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you	[7]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had
[8]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the	[7] [8]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem.
[8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also	[7] [8] [9]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you.
[8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The	[7] [8] [9] [10]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis?
[8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you.
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some.	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean,
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the terminal to do that.	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the cost of that equipment; is that right, if we buy
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the terminal to do that. Frankly, it's just been more expensive and	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the cost of that equipment; is that right, if we buy this?
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the terminal to do that. Frankly, it's just been more expensive and trouble to enforce a three-hour parking, you	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the cost of that equipment; is that right, if we buy this? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's not only
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the terminal to do that. Frankly, it's just been more expensive and trouble to enforce a three-hour parking, you know, to literally get out there and watch	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the cost of that equipment; is that right, if we buy this? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's not only equipment; it's the construction improvements
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the terminal to do that. Frankly, it's just been more expensive and trouble to enforce a three-hour parking, you know, to literally get out there and watch somebody for three hours and make sure they move	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the cost of that equipment; is that right, if we buy this? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's not only equipment; it's the construction improvements that were in place. They had all of the
[8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Some of the parking, you know, is going to correct itself with the completion of the terminal construction. We also add some parking in the in the scheme. The project itself added some spaces. It didn't add a lot, but added some. You know, we worked somewhat closely with the FBO to to get our the rental car spots and the public spots and the like allocated such that they maximize the short-term user, and it placed a three-hour limit close in to the terminal to do that. Frankly, it's just been more expensive and trouble to enforce a three-hour parking, you know, to literally get out there and watch somebody for three hours and make sure they move it. And I'm sure we have people that are abusing	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	construction project. People can't even cross the median at certain times of day or have had that problem. MR. WATTS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it, Dennis? MR. WATTS: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I just have one quick question. The \$130,000 you show as the purchase price of the improvements and equipment, have we what have we discounted that to? I mean, are we paying looks to me like we're still going to be paying about three-quarters of the cost of that equipment; is that right, if we buy this? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's not only equipment; it's the construction improvements that were in place. They had all of the construction of the kitchen and bar facility and

Page 6	59	Page 70	
[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, we have a we have a	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: I make a motion to reject
[2]	recommendation then from Staff to authorize them	[2]	this buying the equipment. I don't want to end
[3]	to it's \$145,000 to buy the to buy out	[3]	up having a bunch of used equipment hanging
[4]	the the present tenant and then to generate an	[4]	around and trying to worry about getting rid of
[5]	RFP to get another operator in here as soon as	[5]	it. There's no guarantee that we'll have
[6]	possible. So, that's one that's one	[6]	somebody in here using it by December.
[7]	alternative.	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that
[8]	All of you have discussed the possibility of	[8]	motion?
[9]	letting Mr. France be responsible for selling the	[9]	MR. LASSITER: I'll second.
[10]	equipment and paying everything off and leaving	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any discussion on
[11]	us with essentially an empty space to, if that's	[11]	that?
[12]	the alternative which you say would take	[12]	(No discussion.)
[13]	probably about a year, Ed?	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. I'll call for a vote.
[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'm not saying that	[14]	All in favor of Mr. Ciriello's motion to reject
[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, we have a I would	[15]	Staff recommendation, say aye.
[16]	entertain a motion to either accept then or	[16]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
[17]	reject the Staff recommendation, which is to buy	[17]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[18]	the equipment and improvements at \$145,000.	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.
[19]	MR. WUELLNER: You're also free to come up	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
[20]	with your own number there.	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion is carried,
[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: What?	[21]	MR. LASSITER: May I make a comment?
[22]	MR. WUELLNER: You're also free to come up	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Recommendation. Yes.
[23]	with your own number. That's I just tried to	[23]	MR. LASSITER: I think, Ed, what what
[24]	explain the logic I had in getting there. You're	[24]	I'm looking at this thing, and having got my
[25]	welcome to apply your own.	[25]	agenda just the other day and not really sat down
Page 71		Page 72	
Page 71	and talked with you or found out the disposition	Page 72	MR. LASSITER: here and some tax returns.
1			MR. LASSITER: here and some tax returns. And in in respect to his problems in the
[1]	and talked with you or found out the disposition	[1]	
[1] [2]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be	[1] [2]	And in in respect to his problems in the
[1] [2] [3]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different	[1] [2] [3]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right now. And it hasn't been for a while. We've seen	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us an opportunity to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right now. And it hasn't been for a while. We've seen some regression in this thing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles, are you suggesting that we that we hold off any further action on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us an opportunity to work on it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right now. And it hasn't been for a while. We've seen some regression in this thing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles, are you suggesting that we that we hold off any further action on this issue until you have a chance to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us an opportunity to work on it. Interlocal agreement, impact fees.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right now. And it hasn't been for a while. We've seen some regression in this thing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles, are you suggesting that we that we hold off any further action on this issue until you have a chance to MR. LASSITER: No. I I think that we owe	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us an opportunity to work on it. Interlocal agreement, impact fees. Mr. Wuellner? 7.D INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT - IMPACT FEES MR. WUELLNER: As you were aware of, we
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right now. And it hasn't been for a while. We've seen some regression in this thing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles, are you suggesting that we that we hold off any further action on this issue until you have a chance to MR. LASSITER: No. I I think that we owe this gentleman a little more than a cursory	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us an opportunity to work on it. Interlocal agreement, impact fees. Mr. Wuellner? 7.D INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT - IMPACT FEES MR. WUELLNER: As you were aware of, we requested a temporary deferment of impact fees
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	and talked with you or found out the disposition of the present operator in there, this might be something we we want to look at a different way. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. LASSITER: And this may be that I need to just sit down and talk to you or you need to talk to each one of us and kind of fill in the holes on this thing, because there's some holes here. If the gentleman's business has improved dramatically each time, that that may lend credence to his damage theory. Or if it's not, if it's been rather flat I'm in the business of food and hospitality, and I can tell you it's not it's not fun out there for anybody right now. And it hasn't been for a while. We've seen some regression in this thing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles, are you suggesting that we that we hold off any further action on this issue until you have a chance to MR. LASSITER: No. I I think that we owe	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	And in in respect to his problems in the business, I'm familiar with what's going on. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: And what I'd like to do is talk to Ed, and maybe Ed would like to speak to us individually and maybe enlighten us a little more than sitting up here and trying to delve through this thing. And that's what I'm saying. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm going to suggest that we we don't discuss this issue any further at this point. MR. LASSITER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we ask that you put it on the agenda for the next meeting, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us an opportunity to work on it. Interlocal agreement, impact fees. Mr. Wuellner? 7.D INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT - IMPACT FEES MR. WUELLNER: As you were aware of, we

	AIRFORT AUTHORITI		,
Page 73	3	Page 74	
[1]	the Airport Authority relative to that.	[1]	This has been, and I think it indicates on
[2]	It requires us to take some specific actions	[2]	the agenda item, that the County Attorney,
[3]	relative to resolving the matter, and I'm going	[3]	Mr. Sisco, has approved this form. Once y'all
[4]	to let if she doesn't mind jumping in here	[4]	vote on it, if you vote to approve it, then it
[5]	explain what we're doing with this, but I think	[5]	would be presented to the County Commission again
[6]	it ultimately goes toward us generally agreeing	[6]	at their next meeting to ask for their signature
[7]	with each other to resolve this thing in a proper	[7]	on the agreement,
[8]	legal venue and be done with it. It's just kind	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Sisco's been involved in
[9]	of our agreement to agree to move forward jointly	[9]	this
[10]	and on the same page. Please.	[10]	MS. BLOODWORTH: All along.
[11]	MS. BLOODWORTH: If you want me to expand on	[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: preparation of this
[12]	that, all this is, is the memorialization of what	[12]	draft,
[13]	the County Commission charged their attorney with	[13]	MS. BLOODWORTH: Yes, I think Mr. Bryant is
[14]	doing, and that's just to negotiate the terms of	[14]	aware of the action of the County Commission in
[15]	an agreement that enables the airport to delay	[15]	terms of approving the agreement.
[16]	the payment of impact fees until you have the	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Essentially, you know, just
[17]	opportunity to get a ruling from the Federal	[17]	to bring you back up to speed, the the county
[18]	Court on whether or not paying the impact fees	[18]	has an ordinance that requires the payment of
[19]	violates FAA policies for how you spend airport	[19]	impact fees, which no one is excluded from.
[20]	revenue.	[20]	The problem we have is that we have federal
[21]	And once we ask the Court for a declaratory	[21]	grants, and the deed related to the property has
[22]	ruling to say, yes, it is a violation, or no, it	[22]	some restrictions on the use of revenue as it's
[23]	isn't a violation, then this agreement terminates	[23]	derived on the property. If it's earned on the
[24]	and we move forward to determine whether you ever	[24]	property, there are some very specific
[25]	pay impact fees or you pay them immediately.	[25]	restrictions.
Page 75		Page 76	
[1]	Further complicating the issue is that the	[1]	dollar that we earned on the airport.
[1] [2]	Authority was constituted for the only only	[1] [2]	The county believes that to be in error.
[1] [2] [3]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport,	[1] [2] [3]	•
[1] [2] [3] [4]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you	[1] [2] [3] [4]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Authority was constituted for the only — only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's — there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us;	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Authority was constituted for the only — only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's — there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us; because of the way the Authority's created its	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to that, we pay the impact fees, life goes on. In
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Authority was constituted for the only — only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's — there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us; because of the way the Authority's created its ability to assess ad valorem only for the purpose	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to that, we pay the impact fees, life goes on. In the event it's not, then it presents really the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Authority was constituted for the only only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us; because of the way the Authority's created its ability to assess ad valorem only for the purpose of administering and developing the airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to that, we pay the impact fees, life goes on. In the event it's not, then it presents really the county with an interesting problem of resolving
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	Authority was constituted for the only — only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's — there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us; because of the way the Authority's created its ability to assess ad valorem only for the purpose of administering and developing the airport consistent with federal guidelines, their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to that, we pay the impact fees, life goes on. In the event it's not, then it presents really the county with an interesting problem of resolving the conflict within their impact fee ordinance
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Authority was constituted for the only — only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's — there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us; because of the way the Authority's created its ability to assess ad valorem only for the purpose of administering and developing the airport consistent with federal guidelines, their determination is that that money represents	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to that, we pay the impact fees, life goes on. In the event it's not, then it presents really the county with an interesting problem of resolving the conflict within their impact fee ordinance with us, if that exists.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	Authority was constituted for the only — only the purpose of running and managing the airport, and as a part of that, that constitution, if you will, or that charter, is the ability to assess ad valorem taxes. At the core of this disagreement, if you will, if it were simply what we earn on the property, I think it's — there's a lot of case law that supports exactly our position, if it were just simply revenue derived on the property, that it would not be able to be diverted to the county except in some very specific instances. The ad valorem issue was brought up by the County Attorney's office as being not subject to those same conditions of revenue on the airport. We asked FAA for an interpretation on that. They came down with a decision that agrees with us; because of the way the Authority's created its ability to assess ad valorem only for the purpose of administering and developing the airport consistent with federal guidelines, their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The county believes that to be in error. They believe FAA's belief that ad valorem tax money is revenue is in error. It's public money and can be allocated as you see fit and it's not subject to those rules. That's essentially what we're asking to resolve, is that in the interim, instead of holding up projects from certificates of occupancy and the like while we all argue the merits of whether it's revenue or not revenue and subject to and not subject to, that that they defer the collection of it. We're not saying we don't owe it at this point; we're just simply saying, with them, they'll agree not to hold up our project completions for impact fees. In the event the Court determines the Authority is subject to that, we pay the impact fees, life goes on. In the event it's not, then it presents really the county with an interesting problem of resolving the conflict within their impact fee ordinance

Page 7	7	Page 78
[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment?	[1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Well, I'll
[2]	MR. LASSITER: How long?	[2] entertain a motion to
[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, let's see. The	[3] MR. LASSITER: Make a motion that we approve
[4]	expedited state one is still ongoing.	[4] the pursuit of the interlocal agreement on impact
[5]	MS. BLOODWORTH: This one gets filed in	[5] fees.
[6]	Federal Court as opposed to state court, and so	[6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Do I have a second?
[7]	hopefully, their docket is a little bit	[7] MR. WATTS: I second it.
[8]	different.	[8] MR. CIRIELLO: Second,
[9]	The other concern is that it's not the it	[9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I have a motion and a second
[10]	doesn't mimic the adversarial nature of the	[10] that we approve the interlocal agreement
[11]	earlier one you all have experience with. We're	[11] concerning impact fees as provided by
[12]	all looking for the answer. No one is doing	[12] Mr. Wuellner. All in favor, say aye.
[13]	discovery. There will be no depositions. It's	[13] MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
[14]	not the prolonged process that you might think	[14] MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[15]	about in litigation.	[15] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.
[16]	We have built into the interlocal agreement	[16] MR. WATTS: Aye.
[17]	an obligation to file the action by December 31.	[17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
[18]	We've already started working on the draft of	[18] (No opposition.)
[19]	that lawsuit and will definitely meet that	[19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion is carried.
[20]	deadline, if not exceed it, and get it filed	[20] MR. WUELLNER: If I could just add one
[21]	earlier,	[21] little side note, because I don't want anybody
[22]	But to predict what the Federal Court will	[22] thinking that it's the Authority not wanting to
[23]	do in terms of how long they take to rule is like	[23] pay impact fees. It's it's at the core of
[24]	trying to predict the state court. We don't have	[24] this is the Authority's ability legally to pay
[25]	control over that element.	[25] impact fees. It's not our desire to pay or not
Page 79		Page 80
[1]	to pay.	[1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment or questions?
[1] [2]	to pay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment or questions? [2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead.
[2] [3]		1
[2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead.
[2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last.
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules.	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the	 [2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility.	 [2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center?
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source?
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them.
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction.	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay.
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering,	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what those those numbers plug back into the	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a [20] self-fueling facility here at the airport and
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what those those numbers plug back into the spreadsheet on. So, those of you that want to	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a [20] self-fueling facility here at the airport and [21] received nothing on that. And the first first
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what those those numbers plug back into the spreadsheet on. So, those of you that want to review that part of it again before making a	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a [20] self-fueling facility here at the airport and [21] received nothing on that. And the first first [22] we knew that the bids were being awarded was in
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what those those numbers plug back into the spreadsheet on. So, those of you that want to review that part of it again before making a decision, it's there.	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a [20] self-fueling facility here at the airport and [21] received nothing on that. And the first first [22] we knew that the bids were being awarded was in [23] the when we received our packet on Friday, on
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what those those numbers plug back into the spreadsheet on. So, those of you that want to review that part of it again before making a decision, it's there. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment?	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a [20] self-fueling facility here at the airport and [21] received nothing on that. And the first first [22] we knew that the bids were being awarded was in [23] the when we received our packet on Friday, on
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's just consistent with the rules. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item E. Bid award for the self-fueling facility. 7.E BID AWARD - SELF-FUELING FACILITY MR. WUELLNER: You have a letter from Earth Tech summar and a copy of the bid summary relative to construction of the self-fuel facility. You have a low bid recommendation to Universal Petroleum for \$178,000 see if I can find it written cleaner \$178,395 for that construction. And recommendations from the engineering, our engineering consultant and Staff to award to Universal Petroleum in that amount. I did provide you, just for another FYI, based on today's current price from the last time, what those those numbers plug back into the spreadsheet on. So, those of you that want to review that part of it again before making a decision, it's there.	[2] MR. LASSITER: Go ahead. [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'll go last. [4] MR. LASSITER: I just was going to make a [5] comment in that the Mr. Slingluff made comment [6] that he would they are building their is [7] this going to be a competing center? [8] MR. WUELLNER: It was news to me, too. [9] MR. LASSITER: Have we got any feedback from [10] that source? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I have not had any [12] communications about a self-fuel from them. [13] That's [14] MR. LASSITER: Okay. [15] MR. SLINGLUFF: If I may, please. We we [16] are interested in installing a self-serve fuel [17] system for the customer base that is requesting [18] that at this time. We had also requested to be [19] kept informed on the bid process for a [20] self-fueling facility here at the airport and [21] received nothing on that. And the first first [22] we knew that the bids were being awarded was in [23] the when we received our packet on Friday, on

Г			1	
	Page 81		Page 82	
	[1]	companies come in, give us quotes for basically a	[1]	know, personally I would support delaying any
	[2]	turnkey operation, and we're working with our	[2]	decision on it would be if they were to present
-	[3]	current fuel wholesaler, who will be helping us	[3]	satisfactory written contract to construct such a
-	[4]	with that facility.	[4]	facility within a specified period of time.
	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thank you. Joe?	[5]	If not, then I you know, I don't think
	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I was just	[6]	the situation's changed. They've been
	[7]	wondering of course, I know it wasn't a hard	[7]	considering self-fuel for as long as I've been
	[8]	fact, but I think when we kicked this issue	[8]	here. It usually meets with a determination it's
l	[9]	around a few months back, that \$165,000 is what	[9]	not cost-effective for them to do it, and as a
	[10]	somebody thought it would take to install this	[10]	result it's still not here. That's entirely up
	[11]	facility. And now the lowest bidder is \$178	[11]	to them,
	[12]	Of course, that's only \$13,000 or so more, which	[12]	MR. CIRIELLO: But can we delay awarding
	[13]	is a mere pittance. But at the time, when we	[13]	this bid, is what my question is.
	[14]	kicked this around, I wasn't in favor of this	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: We have what do we have,
	[15]	Authority being in the gasoline business, and I'm	[15]	60 days?
l	[16]	still not. And I voted against it,	[16]	MR. THOMPSON: At least 60 days.
	[17]	But my question, Ed, is in light of Aero	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Maybe 60 or 90 days, is the
	[18]	Sport looking into the idea they may install	[18]	maximum duration we have to award within the
	[19]	self-fuel, is there anything in the law or	[19]	the advertisement
-	[20]	anything that says we can't delay awarding this	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: To accept this bid.
	[21]	bid until we find out definitely what they're	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 1	[22]	going to do? Because I'm sure we don't want to	[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: And then if we didn't do that
- 1	[23]	be competing with self-fuel if they're going to	[23]	within the 60, then we'd have to rebid it.
ı	[24]	have it.	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: You'd have to rebid it in
	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: I think the only way, you	[25]	order to do it.
<u> </u>			4	
	Page 83		Page 84	
- 1	Page 83	MR. LASSITER: I think that there was a cost	Page 84	maybe three
	[1]	MR. LASSITER: I think that there was a cost associated with bringing it to this point, isn't	[1]	maybe three, MR_CIRIELLO: Could == go ahead
	[1] [2]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't	[1] [2]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead.
	[1] [2] [3]		[1] [2] [3]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it.
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like an emergency meeting or something, to come in to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the people involved, so be it. But if they're not,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like an emergency meeting or something, to come in to make a decision so we don't run out of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the people involved, so be it. But if they're not, then I feel that we definitely owe the users of
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like an emergency meeting or something, to come in to make a decision so we don't run out of the MR. WUELLNER: You could have a special	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the people involved, so be it. But if they're not, then I feel that we definitely owe the users of this facility the facility, as we have said.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like an emergency meeting or something, to come in to make a decision so we don't run out of the MR. WUELLNER: You could have a special MR. CIRIELLO: time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the people involved, so be it. But if they're not, then I feel that we definitely owe the users of this facility the facility, as we have said. So, I would say the only thing I would do is
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like an emergency meeting or something, to come in to make a decision so we don't run out of the MR. WUELLNER: You could have a special MR. CIRIELLO: time. MR. WUELLNER: meeting to deal with the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the people involved, so be it. But if they're not, then I feel that we definitely owe the users of this facility the facility, as we have said. So, I would say the only thing I would do is delay 30 days, next meeting, bring it back, and
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	associated with bringing it to this point, isn't there? We've expended funds to bring it to this point? MR. WUELLNER: You do have engineering-related fees. I don't think they're on this, but you approved them in a prior MR. LASSITER: So, this would be 60 days. In effect, we would have possibly two meetings before we would have to act on this? MR. WUELLNER: If you waited the full 60 days, yes, sir. You may have 90. He's looking it up now. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there wouldn't be any reason why, if time was going to be of the essence, that a meeting couldn't be called, like an emergency meeting or something, to come in to make a decision so we don't run out of the MR. WUELLNER: You could have a special MR. WUELLNER: meeting to deal with the time line if you wanted to, yes. I think you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could go ahead. CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, go ahead. MR. CIRIELLO: No, Charles was going to MR. LASSITER: No, I was just going to say that we want to provide with the fixed-base operator I think very early in this game, we said, you know, you guys, we really don't want to do this, but if you're not going to do it, we're going to do it. And but at the same token, I still think that I follow what Joe says; this is a money-making potential, but it is a risk/reward again, and quite frankly, they're in the risk/reward business more than we are, that if they do it and they do it reasonably with reasonable cost to the Pilots Association and the people involved, so be it. But if they're not, then I feel that we definitely owe the users of this facility the facility, as we have said. So, I would say the only thing I would do is delay 30 days, next meeting, bring it back, and if there's no hard numbers here with a flow, time

Page 8	5	Page 86	
[1]	or maybe I'm being too lenient. Maybe we've	[1]	MR. WATTS: Yeah.
[2]	given them too many opportunities, but again, I	[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: Other than building the
[3]	feel that that's	[3]	physical facility for the self-fuel, are we
[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, the the discussion	[4]	locked in with a supplier yet?
[5]	when we originally made the decision to go with	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: I think just based on the
[6]	the self-fuel facility, very basic to that	[6]	proposal quotes we had gotten, we had a
[7]	discussion was the competition and the retail	[7]	probably a best proposal from of the ones that
[8]	price of the fuel. Now, if Aero Sport puts the	[8]	responded, was was Chevron, but we have not
[9]	unit in, they control that price. There's no	[9]	executed any agreements with anybody.
[10]	competition. Do we find ourselves right back	[10]	MR. CIRIELLO: I just want I personally
[11]	where we were?	[11]	know a guy
[12]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, subject to subject	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't care,
[13]	to our attorneys telling me something else, I	[13]	
[14]	mean, they have no authority to place one on		MR. CIRIELLO: in St. Augustine that deals in bulk fuel, and I think it's Cheyron,
[15]		[14] [15]	·
1 -	their leasehold as it stands today, so we could		And I was just wondering if, when the time comes
[16]	develop whatever standards we wanted in place.	[16]	to bring in fuel, are we going to put out a bid
[17]	And, you know but those whatever standards	[17]	proposal and then maybe this local guy right down
[18]	you develop would be have to be consistently	[18]	the street would want to I don't even know if
[19]	applied from that point forward, whatever it is.	[19]	he can get aviation fuel. I know he sells
[20]	So, if other operators of self-fuel came in,	[20]	kerosene.
[21]	another FBO wanting to do the same thing,	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: It's an entire different
[22]	whatever standard you're applying is going to be	[22]	delivery network for aviation fuels. It doesn't
[23]	applied to all parties.	[23]	come from the same guy. I mean, it figuratively
[24]	MR. LASSITER: And us as well.	[24]	is, but it's not.
[25]	MR. WUELLNER: As well,	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I thought you
-			
Page 87		Page 88	
Page 87	were	Page 88	time. And consider the fact that if the Airport
[1]		[1]	time. And consider the fact that if the Airport Authority goes ahead with this and builds it.
[1] [2]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this	[1] [2]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it,
[1] [2] [3]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be	[1] [2] [3]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority
[1] [2] [3] [4]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to	[1] [2] [3] [4]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. LASSITER: Then we only have one meeting	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. LASSITER: Then we only have one meeting in between.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. LASSITER: Then we only have one meeting in between. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a December	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	were MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. LASSITER: Then we only have one meeting in between. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a December meeting.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a December meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Wait.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll know what margins there are and so on. So, I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, You have a December meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Wait. Victor?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll know what margins there are and so on. So, I would just offer that as a suggestion.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: Then we only have one meeting in between. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a December meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Wait. Victor? MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. I guess I can say	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll know what margins there are and so on. So, I would just offer that as a suggestion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Victor. Any
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have one meeting in between. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a December meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Wait. Victor? MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. I guess I can say I'm speaking for the Pilots Association, although	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll know what margins there are and so on. So, I would just offer that as a suggestion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Victor. Any other comment?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days, MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. LASSITER: Then we only have one meeting in between. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, You have a December meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Wait. Victor? MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. I guess I can say I'm speaking for the Pilots Association, although my suggestion really is I think pretty	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll know what margins there are and so on. So, I would just offer that as a suggestion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Victor. Any other comment? MR. SLINGLUFF: I agree with Mr. Martinelli.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to delay this thing. If this is a if this thing needs to be done in a timely manner, I just want to MR. THOMPSON: Sixty. MR. WUELLNER: It is 60 days. Sixty. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sixty days. MR. WUELLNER: Sixty days from MR. THOMPSON: After the actual bid date bid opening. MR. WUELLNER: Which was MR. CIRIELLO: 14th, wasn't it? MR. THOMPSON: The 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: 14th. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have one meeting in between. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a December meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Wait. Victor? MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. I guess I can say I'm speaking for the Pilots Association, although	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	Authority goes ahead with this and builds it, there's nothing to prevent the Airport Authority from licensing Aero Sport or an FBO to operate it under certain conditions. I see it as a way to get the job done. And I think the important thing is really to get the job done. Because as I say, I can go back eight years, maybe even more. We've talked about this. And so, I think it's a way to get it done. And if there's a way then after it's built, if there's a way to come to terms with the FBO, come to terms and have them operate it. And, you know, obviously they'd be entitled to a fee for operating it. So, it's a decision that you can weigh after you get some experience operating it. You'll know what it costs you to operate it. You'll know what margins there are and so on. So, I would just offer that as a suggestion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Victor. Any other comment?

Γ	Page 89		Page 90	
	[1]	if that if that's an option that's available.	[1]	soon as possible, is what they want.
	[2]	Also, our you know, we would we have	[2]	Would that be a feasible situation? And
	[3]	explored this considerably. I think that the	[3]	then because we don't you know, you've
	[4]	installation of the fuel system can be done for	[4]	heard me complain many times that this board only
ı	[5]	much less. And we it is now an economically	[5]	meets once a month, and I don't feel that they
- 1	[6]	viable system for us that we could install. I'd	[6]	•
	[7]	be happy to sit down with Mr. Wuellner and show	[7]	should. They should meet a lot more to be
	[8]	him what our plans are and see what the	[8]	involved with what's going on out here rather
	[9]	feasibility of that is.	[9]	than just once a month for a few hours.
	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any further	[10]	So, I'd like to see something like that
- 1	[11]	discussion?	1 -	worked out. And then maybe if we wanted to, even
	[12]		[11]	within even before next month, we could call
	[13]	MR. CIRIELLO: Something's running around in	[12]	another special meeting and go ahead and vote to
- 1	[14]	my head. Let's say we schedule I don't know	[13]	go along with this bid and get it started. You
- 1	[14]	if it's got to be just between you and	[14]	know what I mean?
		Mr. Wuellner or the whole board, Mr. Wuellner and	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have a bid before us. We
	[16]	you, in the next week, sit down and discuss the	[16]	either need to accept this bid or not.
- 1	[17]	situation. And you say you'd like to go along	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Or delay its acceptance or
	[18]	with it.	[18]	whatever.
- 1	[19]	We've already got the capabilities, the	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think the thing to do is
	[20]	land, and the approval and everything and the bid	[20]	to accept the bid, move ahead, and then if we
- 1	[21]	to work on, to go ahead and build the facility	[21]	want to negotiate with Aero Sport at some point
	[22]	and then lease it to you. If something like that	[22]	in the next week or month or year, they're right
	[23]	could be worked out in say a week or two or	[23]	next door; we can walk over and talk to them
	[24]	something like that, that would help with the	[24]	anytime.
	[25]	Pilots Association getting this thing done as	[25]	Excuse me. Vic, you want to say something?
	Page 91		Page 92	
- 1	Page 91 [1]	MR. MARTINELLI: No. I was going to say	Page 92 [1]	MR. CIRIELLO: How long do you think that'll
ا	[1]	MR. MARTINELLI: No. I was going to say exactly what you said. I think they're two	_	MR. CIRIELLO: How long do you think that'll take?
	[1]		[1]	v ,
	[1] [2] [3]	exactly what you said. I think they're two	[1] [2]	take?
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't	[1] [2] [3]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull
CHARLE THE CHARLE	[1] [2] [3]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're	[1] [2] [3] [4]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea? MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not turned	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would authorize its construction. It's not contingent
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea? MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not turned loose by the county yet. They are expected any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would authorize its construction. It's not contingent upon I don't think you can make it contingent.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea? MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not turned loose by the county yet. They are expected any day now.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would authorize its construction. It's not contingent upon I don't think you can make it contingent. Either you are or you aren't or you're delaying
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [22]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea? MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not turned loose by the county yet. They are expected any day now. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Say that again.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would authorize its construction. It's not contingent upon I don't think you can make it contingent. Either you are or you aren't or you're delaying it until next month.
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [22]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea? MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not turned loose by the county yet. They are expected any day now. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Say that again. MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would authorize its construction. It's not contingent upon I don't think you can make it contingent. Either you are or you aren't or you're delaying it until next month. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Selection of an operator
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [22] [22] [22] [24] [24]	exactly what you said. I think they're two separate things. I don't think one is I don't think they're mutually dependent. They're mutually independent. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then, let me ask you a question, Mr. Chairman. If we go and vote to accept this lowest bid, does that mean it's going to automatically start construction I know it won't be tomorrow, but say tomorrow, or do we have a time limit to where we can get together with Aero Sport and or whatever? I mean, accepting this lowest bid doesn't mean we start construction, does it? CHAIRMAN ROSE: It means that we're going to have a start date. I don't know what that start date would be. Do you have any idea? MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not turned loose by the county yet. They are expected any day now. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Say that again. MR. THOMPSON: Final permits are not released by the county.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	take? MR. WUELLNER: The contractor would pull the CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. MR. LASSITER: What this does do is lock us in with this contractor. MR. WATTS: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN ROSE: It locks us in with the contract and it locates where the fuel facility will be. And then how we operate it is the next issue. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The operation is still yet to be formalized. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Has nothing to do with this. MR. WUELLNER: The this would an affirmative vote on what's requested here would authorize its construction. It's not contingent upon I don't think you can make it contingent. Either you are or you aren't or you're delaying it until next month. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Selection of an operator is still is open and the contract with who

	AIRPORT AUTHORITY - NOVEMBER 19, 2001				
Page 93		Page 94			
[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Hold on. Probably need a	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'd get out of here in 30		
[2]	motion,	[2]	minutes.		
[3]	MR. LASSITER: We need to have a motion.	[3]	MR, WATTS: Quick.		
[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Oh, I thought we had a	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. The Araquay		
[5]	motion. I just stated the motion. Would	[:5]	MR. WUELLNER: For clarification, Mr.		
[6]	somebody make a motion one way or the other,	[6]	Chairman, because there was not a staff		
[7]	please?	[7]	recommendation on paper, we would like to at		
[8]	MR. LASSITER: I make a motion that we	[8]	least confirm that it was with Universal		
[9]	approve accepting the bid for the get it here.	[9]	Petroleum		
[10]	MR. WUELLNER: Self-fuel.	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Oh, excuse me.		
[11]	MR. LASSITER: Self-fueling construction	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: of Jacksonville for		
[12]	of the self-fueling facility.	[12]	\$178,395. Is that everyone's understanding?		
[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Second to the motion?	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Yes.		
[14]	MR, WATTS: I second.	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the low bid. Okay.		
[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor, say aye.	[15]	Item 8, item F. is was taken off the agenda.		
[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	[16]	8.A AUTHORITY MEMBERS - MR, ROSE		
[17]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And we're ready for the		
[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.	[18]	Authority Members' comments. They got me first,		
[19]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[19]	I have two things to say. First, in your package		
[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[20]	is a form for evaluating Mr. Wuellner's		
[21]	(No opposition.)	[21]	performance. Did everybody get that in their		
[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion is carried. See, I	[22]	package?		
[23]	want to tell you, if y'all would let me run this	[23]	MR. WATTS: Yes, sir.		
[24]	without	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think the way we did it		
[25]	MR. LASSITER: We'd get out of here.	[25]	last year worked out just fine. If each of you		
[-0]	THE DESCRIPTION AND A BOT OF HOLD,	[2]	last year worked out just line. If each of you		
Page 95		Page 96			
[1]	would make whatever comment you want to make and	[1]	judge somebody's work performance when I'm not		
[2]	get those back to me, I'll consolidate them and	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you can't do it. And		
[3]	present them to you at the next meeting and then	[3]	that form is a kind of form that would be		
[4]	we can go from there. Okay?	[4]	prepared by the sophomore class in high school on		
[5]	MR. WATTS: How soon?	[5]	personnel management. It's not worth the paper		
[6]	MR. LASSITER: Next meeting?	[6]	it's written on.		
[7]	MR. WATTS: Next meeting?	[7]	What we need to do is evaluate this man on:		
[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, if you'll get them to	[8]	Is he doing his job? Does he do what we ask him		
[9]	me	[9]	to do? Does he report and keep us apprised of		
[10]	MR. WATTS: I mean	[10]	what's going on? And does he stick within the		
[11]	MR. LASSITER: Prior to the next meeting.	[11]	budget and come to us if he has to change the		
[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: prior to the next	[12]	budget? They're the kind of things we need to		
[13]	meeting. Give me a little you know, give me a	[13]	evaluate him on.		
[14]	couple of days to work on it,	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, we already know that.		
[15]	MR. WATTS: Okay.	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Huh?		
[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Drop it in the mail or leave	[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: We already know that,		
[17]	it out here or something and I'll pick it up	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Then say that when you		
[18]	MR. WATTS: Okay.	[18]	evaluate him. That's his job.		
			MR. CIRIELLO: I must not know how to put my		
[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: okay? Now, what do you	[19]	Witt. Officeboot I must not know now to put my		
[19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: okay? Now, what do you want to do?	[19] [20]	point across on something.		
[20]		[20]	·		
[20] [21]	want to do?		point across on something.		
[20]	want to do? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Well, what I'd like to	[20] [21]	point across on something. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. You don't have enough		
[20] [21] [22] [23]	want to do? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Well, what I'd like to have is an executive session on this. I looked	[20] [21] [22] [23]	point across on something. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. You don't have enough information to answer all those things. There		
[20] [21] [22]	want to do? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Well, what I'd like to have is an executive session on this. I looked over this appraisal thing and some questions	[20] [21] [22]	point across on something. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. You don't have enough information to answer all those things. There isn't a one of us that do. I tell you what: Why		

Page 97		Page 98	
[1]	to do that?	[1]	return on investment on some of these buildings.
[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: That's not what my point is.	[2]	I think we need to remember that just because the
[3]	Ed is an excellent manager. He does his job and	[3]	money came from FAA or FDOT, it's still taxpayer
[4]	everything. I don't know why you need to go	[4]	money. It's dollars out of everybody's pocket.
[5]	why don't we just go down and	[5]	And we are we should be just as conscious
[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: This is our form. Why are	[6]	of how much of a return we get on that capital as
[7]	we using this form? I don't know. Last year, I	[7]	we do on capital that is raised here either by
[8]	did just exactly what you suggested. I looked at	[8]	airport fees or the local ad valorem taxes,
[9]	all of these; I got comments from the board; and	[9]	So, the argument that, well, we got 50
[10]	I wrote a paragraph talking about our	[10]	percent of this from FDOT, which got most of that
[11]	relationship with the director here. This form	[11]	from the FAA, that doesn't hold water. It's
[12]	doesn't go anywhere but into his personnel file.	[12]	still taxpayer money and we need to we need to
[13]	Apparently there's no further discussion.	[13]	manage it and get the a good return on it.
[14]	If you have comments, let me have them. If	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: The last you just need
[15]	if if Joe still thinks we need an executive	[15]	I just need to remind you, on a note of caution
[16]	session, we'll take a vote on it and see what we	[16]	relative to this evaluation, you need to
[17]	think. All right. If you have any comments, get	[17]	anything that's communicated to you needs to be
[18]	them to me. I will I will prepare a report	[18]	brought and shared.
[19]	and I'll give it to you for your review at the	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I can't get it
[20]	next meeting. Okay?	[20]	directly I can't talk to Joe about this.
[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: You cannot talk to anybody
[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And the other point I want	[22]	directly. I just want to remind you that the
[23]	to make and unfortunately some of those people	[23]	privilege is not different because you're talking
[24]	aren't here and it kind of gets tied up with	[24]	about me.
[25]	some of the comments Joe was making about our	[25]	MR. LASSITER: And it is public record.
	į.	1''	- F
Page 99		Page 100	
Page 99	MR. CIRIELLO: What was that again?	Page 100	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it
	MR. CIRIELLO: What was that again? CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I		
[1]	-	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it
[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. Sec, I	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be.
[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment with Mr. Ed and come over and sit down and go	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles? 8.C MR. CHARLES LASSITER
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment with Mr. Ed and come over and sit down and go over this with him and ask him the questions CHAIRMAN ROSE: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles? 8.C MR. CHARLES LASSITER MR. LASSITER: One comment. Ed, I'd like to thank you for taking the direction and going out
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment with Mr. Ed and come over and sit down and go over this with him and ask him the questions	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles? 8.C MR. CHARLES LASSITER MR. LASSITER: One comment. Ed, I'd like to thank you for taking the direction and going out and negotiating with our two businesses. It may
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment with Mr. Ed and come over and sit down and go over this with him and ask him the questions CHAIRMAN ROSE: No. MR. CIRIELLO: looking for answers so	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles? 8.C MR. CHARLES LASSITER MR. LASSITER: One comment. Ed, I'd like to thank you for taking the direction and going out
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment with Mr. Ed and come over and sit down and go over this with him and ask him the questions CHAIRMAN ROSE: No. MR. CIRIELLO: looking for answers so that I can make up my mind whether I like him or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles? 8.C MR. CHARLES LASSITER MR. LASSITER: One comment. Ed, I'd like to thank you for taking the direction and going out and negotiating with our two businesses. It may not be to their liking, but there they I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Sunshine Law. See, I can't I couldn't talk to you about MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, yeah, yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: filling this out. You have to fill it out and bring it and give it to me at the meeting and share it with everybody. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have a note here that I missed up on when Mr. Rose was talking to me, and I missed up, so I'll ask the question now since you don't think we need an executive session. I have nothing really negative or anything about filling this thing out; it's just that I feel I'm not close enough to Ed. So, what I was going to ask, is there anything illegal, uncouth, or anything wrong that if I make an appointment with Mr. Ed and come over and sit down and go over this with him and ask him the questions CHAIRMAN ROSE: No. MR. CIRIELLO: looking for answers so that I can make up my mind whether I like him or not?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, no. That's the way it ought to be. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's the way you ought to do it. MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Then that that's fine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Dennis Watts? 8.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS MR. WATTS: No, sir, I think everything is very good. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I apologize for not calling on you as treasurer. But since you didn't have anything to say, I didn't call on you. MR. WATTS: I appreciate that. Thanks for at least acknowledging that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And Charles? 8.C MR. CHARLES LASSITER MR. LASSITER: One comment. Ed, I'd like to thank you for taking the direction and going out and negotiating with our two businesses. It may not be to their liking, but there they I think they they were treated fairly under the

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY - 1			
Page 101		Page 102			
	[1] MR. LASSITER: You did a	good job.	[1]	you-all have the importance of this airport, the	
	[2] 8.D MR. JOSEPH A. CI	IRIELLO	[2]	importance of having viable businesses on the	
	[3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. C	iriello?	[3]	airport, and the importance of making sure that	
	[4] MR. CIRIELLO: Nothing.		[4]	any lease agreements are fair and equal.	
- 1	[5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Nothin	ıg?	[5]	In the past, maybe that wasn't so. I and	
	[6] 9 PUBLIC COMME	NT	[6]	I just wish that in my tenure on the board, that	
ĺ	[7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And as	ny public comment?	[7]	we had a man like Ed Wuellner to help us. We	
	[8] Victor.		[8]	didn't have that luxury. We didn't have the	
	[9] MR. MARTINELLI: These	are very uncertain	[9]	engineering firm that you folks have.	
	[10] times, especially in the aircraft and	d in the	[10]	We had Reynolds, Smith & Hills at that time.	
	[11] aviation business. To to negotia	ate these	[11]	And one of the problems that's at the root of	
	[12] leases and my hat's off to the b	oard, to Ed	[12]	these two lease agreements that you're dealing	
	[13] and to the staff. It was a very, ve	ery difficult	[13]	with today is the fact that at the time that we	
	[14] job.		[14]	had to negotiate those lease agreements, we had	
	[15] One of the things that I think	you're all	[15]	to rely on estimates, engineering estimates from	
- 1	[16] aware of is the fact that these are	leases in	[16]	Reynolds, Smith & Hills.	
	[17] five-year increments with five-year	reviews and	[17]	As it turned out, those estimates were just	
	[18] five-year, I guess, reevaluations to	determine	[18]	about half of what the final cost of those	
	[19] where you are. And I think that	was very, very	[19]	facilities ended up being. And as you know	
İ	[20] wise in doing that, because to sign	a 20-year	[20]	you folks are business people when you have an	
	[21] lease or a 15-year lease with no in	terim	[21]	anchor tenant or you have a specialized facility	
1	[22] evaluation, in today's world, I thin	k would have	[22]	and you need a tenant, you have to negotiate that	
ı	[23] been very foolhardy.		[23]	actually before the facility is built. And	
	[24] So, my hat's off to you folks f	for really a	[24]	that's really what was at the root of this whole	
	[25] good job. And I think there's an	awareness that	[25]	thing.	ı
十					
- 1	Page 103		Page 104	MARKET THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE T	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that		[1]	cooperative, and I share his frustration. We all	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h	ere now. Some	[1] [2]	do.	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar	ere now. Some e our fault,	[1] [2] [3]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because	ere now. Some e our fault, e the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th	ere now. Some e our fault, e the ne	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di	ere now. Some te our fault, te the the the d not have the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job.	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with disame I'll leave that word blank,	ere now. Some te our fault, te the te d not have the whatever it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you.	Control of the contro
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I	ere now. Some e our fault, e the ne d not have the whatever it 'm just so	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.	TO MAKE HE COMMENT OF THE COMMENT OF
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di- [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are	ere now. Some e our fault, e the ne d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any	Complete
]	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank y	ere now. Some te our fault, te the te d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is	
 	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you.	ere now. Some e our fault, e the de d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem	
 	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you.	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right	ACCIONATE COMPANIA CONTRACTOR CON
 - -	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with dis [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel	ere now. Some e our fault, e the le d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
 - -	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the comple	ere now. Some e our fault, e the de d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right	
 	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(15]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
 	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with die [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now our tenants are getting very frustrat	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [0]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di- [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T	ere now. Some e our fault, e the ne d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. Illner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(15] [16] [17]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with die [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now [16] our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest [19] 23rd	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. Illner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(0) [17] [18] [19]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now [16] our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest [19] 23rd [20] MR. THOMPSON: 23 Decements	ere now. Some e our fault, e the le d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di- [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now [16] our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest [19] 23rd [20] MR. THOMPSON: 23 Decem	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with di [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest [19] 23rd [20] MR. THOMPSON: 23 Decem [21] MR. WUELLNER: 23 Decem [21]	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the nber. nber. nber.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with die [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now [16] our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest [19] 23rd [20] MR. THOMPSON: 23 Decem [21] MR. WUELLNER: 23 Decem [22] MR. SLINGLUFF: 23 Decem [22] because, like you said, Fly-By Cafe	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the nber. nber. liber. I'm concerned, thas claimed,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(*) [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	
1	[1] So, yes and I just wish that [2] folks that were here earlier were h [3] of the problems that exist today ar [4] but they're our fault simply because [5] engineering firm, the specialties, th [6] consultants that we worked with die [7] same I'll leave that word blank, [8] is, as as you have today. And I [9] pleased that you are where you are [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. [11] MR. WATTS: Thank you. [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any oth [13] MR. SLINGLUFF: Mr. Wuel [14] any current estimates on the compl [15] building now, occupancy dates now [16] our tenants are getting very frustrat [17] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. T [18] date I saw, considered all the latest [19] 23rd [20] MR. THOMPSON: 23 Decem [21] MR. WUELLNER: 23 Decem [22] MR. SLINGLUFF: 23 Decem [23] because, like you said, Fly-By Cafe	ere now. Some e our fault, e the e d not have the whatever it 'm just so e. Thank you. you, Vic. her comment? Yeah. llner, do you have etion of the ? I ask because ted. 'he last the last t, is the her. her. her. her. her. her. her. he	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [(15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	do. The season is starting. The ramp is getting gridlocked. So, if we can put any pressure on them, I would I don't want your job. You have a very hard job. You're doing a great job. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Our next board meeting is scheduled for December 17th. Is that a problem with the holidays or anything? 4 o'clock, right here on December 17th. Meeting's adjourned.	

AIRPORT AUTHORITY - NOVEMBER 19, 2001

	Page 105	
	[1] REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
	[2]	
	[3] STATE OF FLORIDA)	•
	[4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)	
	[5]	
	[6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I	
	[7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
	[8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true	
	[9] record of my stenographic notes.	
	[10]	
	[11] Dated this 6th day of December, 2001.	
	[12]	
	[13] LANET MIREASON BAR CORD	
1	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR [14] Notary Públic - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 [15] Expires: April 30, 2002	
	[15] My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires: April 30, 2002	
	[16] -	
	[17]	
	[18]	
ı	JANET M. BEASON	
	[20] MY COMMISSION # CC 705710 EXPIRES: April 30, 2002	
	[21] Bonded Thru Notary Public Underwriters	
İ	[22]	
ļ	[23]	
ı	[24]	
	[25]	
ŀ		
- 1		
-		,
	·	
ĺ		

		added 49/11, 67/11, 67/12
\$	4	adjourned 104/13, 104/14
\$1.13 33/23	4 1/6, 2/5, 2/6, 16/7, 104/12	ADJOURNMENT 2/20
\$1.47 33/15	40 34/6, 34/21, 34/23	adjust 9/25, 18/5, 35/13
\$13,000 81/12	45 16/21	adjusted 27/2
\$130,000 55/12, 68/13	47 2/12	adjusting 32/5
\$145,000 56/12, 69/3, 69/18	4796 1/3	adjustment 10/1, 31/2, 31/18
\$157,000 48/11, 48/17		adjustments 3/13 administer 39/13
\$165,000 81/9 \$178 81/11	5	administering 75/21
\$178,000 79/12		administration's 16/3
\$178,395 79/13, 94/12	5 2/6, 2/8, 35/8	admonish 19/8
\$190,000 57/11, 61/25, 62/4	5-year 9/23	adopted 19/18, 33/3
\$2 15/22, 28/23, 33/14, 33/17	50 15/13, 15/15, 15/16, 15/17, 19/23, 61/9, 98/9 53 33/16	advantage 23/19
\$2.25 8/14	54 2/13	advantageous 67/3
\$2.71 8/16	59 16/21	advantages 61/3
\$20 57/16	5:49 1/6, 104/14	adventure 23/19
\$25,000 56/2		Adventurous 23/5 adversarial 77/10
\$28,000 14/6, 20/22	C	advertise 18/7
\$3 16/7 \$3,000 57/15	6	advertisement 22/23, 82/19
\$3.50 30/21, 31/11, 32/7, 33/16, 33/20, 38/2	6 2/7, 2/8	advertising 17/21, 17/24
\$32,000 17/9	6.A 5/5	Aero 2/8, 6/23, 6/24, 7/6, 8/25, 23/13, 28/25,
\$350 30/21	6.B 6/24	34/22, 81/17, 85/8, 88/4, 90/21, 91/12
\$4 15/18, 38/2, 38/3	60 38/19, 82/15, 82/16, 82/17, 82/23, 83/8, 83/11,	aerobatic 23/4, 23/6
\$6 37/15	87/6	affirmative 92/17
\$7 17/14	60-foot 16/20	afford 26/17, 26/21, 27/7, 29/10, 34/10
\$7,500 56/3		afraid 30/6
	7	afternoon 11/13
&		AGENDA 2/6, 3/24, 4/1, 4/4, 4/13, 4/15, 5/1,
	7 2/11, 35/8	9/21, 11/17, 18/13, 70/25, 72/15, 74/2, 94/15 agree 13/22, 44/7, 46/15, 55/20, 73/9, 76/16, 88/23
& 1/17, 102/10, 102/16	7.A 9/18, 39/22 7.B 47/9, 54/5	agreed 72/24
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	7.C 54/23	agreeing 73/6
*	7.D 72/21	Agreement 2/13, 10/10, 10/12, 10/14, 10/16,
	7.E 79/7	27/17, 32/21, 39/10, 43/1, 43/25, 45/14, 45/16,
* 1/7, 1/12, 1/15, 1/21	72 2/13	45/17, 46/14, 47/2, 50/20, 53/22, 56/11, 56/21,
	737-400 12/12	56/22, 57/7, 58/21, 59/5, 62/5, 63/22, 65/8, 72/19,
1	75 33/24	72/21, 72/25, 73/9, 73/15, 73/23, 74/7, 74/15, 77/16,
	79 2/14	78/4, 78/10
1 1/3, 10/7, 17/21, 17/24, 32/22, 55/17, 68/5		agreements 39/6, 39/14, 46/7, 86/9, 102/4,
1,800 64/16	8	102/12, 102/14
1/2 16/21		agrees 75/18 AIP 15/9
10 2/19, 9/4, 48/19 100 2/16, 2/17	8 2/15, 94/15	Air 29/13
100 2/16, 2/17	8.A 94/16	aircraft 7/10, 7/12, 11/24, 101/10
104 2/19, 2/20	8.B 100/9	Airline 12/6, 23/5
10th 7/1	8.C 100/18	airplane 14/14, 29/14
11 2/20	8.D 101/2	airplanes 17/9, 23/12, 29/15
12 8/17, 29/16	825-0570 1/23	AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 3/3, 5/9, 6/15, 7/20,
14th 87/12, 87/13, 87/14		8/1, 11/15, 11/25, 12/15, 14/18, 15/8, 15/11, 15/16,
15 9/4, 12/16	9	15/21, 16/1, 17/4, 18/4, 18/5, 18/9, 18/12, 19/5,
15-day 45/4	0 2/10 2/12 2/19 101/6	20/7, 20/11, 20/12, 20/17, 20/19, 21/5, 21/23, 22/9,
15-year 9/24, 10/13, 101/21	9 2/10, 2/12, 2/18, 101/6 9,000 33/20	22/24, 22/25, 23/4, 24/14, 24/25, 25/3, 26/13, 27/8,
150 29/11	90 24/5, 27/24, 30/8, 41/2, 61/7, 82/17, 83/12	27/15, 27/20, 28/22, 29/20, 41/12, 42/3, 55/5, 55/19,
1510 1/22 1546 2/12 21/12	90-day 30/7	55/24, 56/18, 58/7, 62/16, 66/13, 66/15, 73/1, 73/15, 73/19, 75/3, 75/16, 75/21, 75/24, 76/1, 80/20, 80/24,
15th	904 1/23	88/1, 88/3, 88/25, 98/8, 102/1, 102/3
17th 104/11, 104/13	930 17/7	airport's 13/16, 18/9, 18/11, 19/6, 19/17, 19/21,
19 1/5	94 2/16	20/2, 21/10
1939 23/1	55000000000000000000000000000000000000	airports 22/11, 22/14, 59/13
1944 23/7	A	Airways 12/2
1950 29/7, 29/12		alleged 56/6
	A.A.E 1/19	ALLEGIANCE 2/3, 3/5, 3/6
2	AAAE 22/9	allocated 67/15, 76/5
	ability 57/13, 75/5, 75/20, 78/24	allow 10/4, 10/23, 18/6, 24/9, 24/10, 51/23, 55/6, 65/9
2 2/3, 31/1, 50/7	absence 1/14, 62/9, 64/4 ABSENT 1/13, 9/8, 9/12	allowing 4/10, 11/12, 48/10
20 12/3	absolute 61/12	allows 52/2, 66/3
20-year 101/20	abusing 67/23	alternative 69/7, 69/12
2001 1/5 2002 8/20	accept 23/25, 27/19, 39/21, 42/10, 43/18, 46/25,	amended 5/2
2002 8/20 23 103/20, 103/21, 103/22	69/16, 82/20, 90/16, 90/20, 91/8	amendment 4/3, 40/19, 40/21
23 103/20, 103/21, 103/22 23rd 103/19	acceptable 27/18	American 2/12, 9/18, 9/20, 11/18, 12/21, 14/23,
24 51/2	ACCEPTANCE 2/5, 90/17	16/13, 17/20, 22/18, 25/25, 39/23, 40/12, 47/13, 50/5
26 17/8	accepted 39/7, 39/17, 43/12, 47/1	amount 17/5, 21/2, 79/17
	accepting 27/22, 91/13, 93/9	amounts 16/15
-	accident 7/1, 7/3	anathema 21/4
3	account 16/18	anchor 102/21
3 2/3, 2/4	accounts 18/17, 48/9	annual 48/7, 49/13, 50/1 annually 32/5
30 21/21, 84/22, 94/1	accrual 51/5, 51/11, 52/5, 52/6 accrued 56/2, 59/6	answer 15/4, 36/24, 63/11, 77/12, 96/22
30s 38/19	ACI 22/9, 22/10, 22/13	answered 14/24, 35/23
31 77/17	acquire 61/25, 62/20, 63/10	answers 99/21
312 17/4	act 62/10, 83/10	apologize 100/12
32084 1/18, 1/23	ACTION 2/11, 2/12, 9/17, 9/18, 39/23, 40/11,	applied 49/23, 85/19, 85/23
36 17/7	47/9, 71/20, 74/14, 77/17	apply 69/25
' ' I	actions 7/15, 73/2	applying 85/22
	actively 57/8	appointment 99/17
	add 67/10, 67/11, 78/20	appraisal 28/14, 30/20, 34/10, 37/3, 95/23

appraisals 28/25, 37/10 appraised 16/16, 17/14, 31/15, 35/14 appreciate 25/4, 25/12, 100/15 apprised 96/9 appropriate 5/11, 5/23, 24/6 APPROVAL 2/4, 2/6, 3/7, 3/24, 62/13, 89/20 approve 4/1, 10/13, 26/1, 39/24, 40/9, 50/18, 54/4, 74/4, 78/3, 78/10, 93/9 approved 3/17, 3/23, 5/2, 43/17, 45/18, 74/3, 83/7 approving 40/24, 74/15 Araquay 94/4 area 10/8, 17/6, 48/24, 49/18, 55/18, 65/3 area's 23/2 areas 28/25 argue 76/10 arguing 30/16 argument 98/9 arrangements 50/18 asserted 56/1 asserting 55/14 assess 75/5, 75/20 asset 58/7, 61/17 assets 55/6, 56/10, 57/5, 61/24, 64/7, 66/2, 66/6 Assistant 1/20 associated 83/2 Association 9/10, 12/6, 84/17, 87/22, 89/25 AT-6 23/7, 23/15 attach 11/4 attached 58/11 attended 11/14 attitude 12/23 Attorney 1/18, 2/10, 9/13, 73/13, 74/2 Attorney's 75/15 attorneys 85/13 attract 60/1, 60/3 attraction 66/14 audience 5/12, 5/15, 5/23, 6/2, 14/16 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23, 2/9, 11/25, 12/14, 12/15, 86/13 Augustine-St 22/25 AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 2/15, 3/3, 5/9, 7/8, 11/15, 14/18, 20/17, 21/5, 41/12, 50/10, 50/12, 55/5, 56/13, 62/17, 63/5, 66/1, 73/1, 75/2, 76/18, 78/22, 81/15, 85/14, 88/2, 88/3, 94/16, 94/18 Authority's 55/16, 58/16, 58/19, 75/19, 78/24 Authority-installed 88/25 authorize 10/15, 50/19, 69/2, 92/18 authorized 45/15, 105/7 automatically 91/9 autonomous 23/22 Av 8/14, 9/1, 9/4 available 15/7, 20/6, 25/8, 25/10, 35/25, 60/24, average 48/6, 48/11, 48/23, 51/21, 52/7, 52/9 aviation 12/8, 14/19, 14/21, 22/7, 23/3, 23/19, 29/6, 29/17, 38/13, 86/19, 86/22, 101/11 Award 2/14, 56/20, 79/5, 79/7, 79/16, 82/18 awarded 80/22 awarding 81/20, 82/12 awareness 101/25 awkward 64/18 Aye 4/20, 4/21, 4/22, 4/23, 42/11, 42/12, 42/13, 42/14, 54/13, 54/14, 54/15, 54/16, 54/17, 70/15, 70/16, 70/17, 70/18, 70/19, 78/12, 78/13, 78/14, 78/15, 78/16, 92/25, 93/15, 93/16, 93/17, 93/18, 93/19

В

backs 20/3, 21/13 bad 24/22, 26/16, 27/5, 49/24 bail 66/13 Bailey 1/17 bailout 20/2 balance 44/12, 48/13, 56/3 bankruptcy 66/8 har 68/23 BARBARA 1/14 bare 32/6 barrel 8/17 barring 24/2 base 47/12, 47/17, 49/2, 49/10, 50/1, 50/14, 80/17 based 11/24, 13/15, 26/9, 31/1, 31/18, 47/25, 48/22, 49/1, 49/6, 49/20, 49/22, 51/9, 55/10, 57/5, 59/6, 79/18, 86/5 basics 46/15 basis 37/5, 39/3, 49/23, 51/4, 51/5, 51/9, 51/14, 52/5 Beach 51/3

Bearing 22/22 BEASON 105/6 Beg 25/16 begs 46/4 belief 76/3 benefit 6/19 Bid 2/14, 79/5, 79/7, 79/9, 79/11, 80/19, 81/21, 82/13, 82/20, 86/16, 87/9, 89/20, 90/13, 90/15, 90/16, 90/20, 91/8, 91/13, 93/9, 94/14 bidder 81/11 bids 80/22 BILL 1/9, 2/16 billboard 32/10, 32/12, 32/22, 32/24, 33/4, 33/9 billboards 32/16, 32/19 billing 52/1 biplane 23/6 bit 13/19, 22/6, 29/17, 34/9, 61/14, 77/7 Bjorn 103/25 black 34/20, 59/16 blame 68/3 blank 103/7 BLOODWORTH 1/17, 2/10, 9/14 blue 49/3 Blvd 1/22 BOARD 1/8, 1/13, 2/19, 5/10, 5/19, 5/20, 6/19 10/13, 11/21, 13/1, 13/5, 13/21, 14/8, 14/17, 16/15, 17/13, 18/14, 19/6, 20/11, 20/19, 23/20, 23/22, 24/1, 24/19, 25/1, 25/21, 26/1, 27/24, 28/4, 28/11, 30/3, 30/12, 32/11, 32/18, 39/19, 40/16, 40/22, 52/21, 53/4, 53/18, 54/10, 56/20, 58/2, 65/19, 67/3, 67/4 77/1, 80/1, 89/15, 90/4, 97/9, 101/12, 102/6, 104/10 boards 30/5 Bob 10/21, 11/2, 11/11 bones 32/6 borders 24/18 BOSANKO 1/14 bother 30/8 boundary 18/2 box 37/21 bring 21/20, 21/21, 30/23, 74/17, 83/3, 84/22, 86/16, 99/6 bringing 23/14, 34/14, 83/2 brings 38/7 broker 62/10 brought 38/15, 39/2, 43/7, 57/15, 75/14, 98/18 Bruce 25/24 BRYAN 1/20 BRYANT 1/11, 2/8, 5/5 bucks 14/14, 14/15 budget 96/11, 96/12 build 89/21 building 7/24, 26/10, 30/5, 80/6, 86/2, 103/15 buildings 98/1 builds 88/2 built 17/17, 48/9, 77/16, 88/11, 102/23 bulk 86/14 bunch 70/3 burden 20/1, 38/14, 64/24, 64/25, 103/24 business 8/11, 13/8, 16/13, 17/21, 17/23, 17/25, 18/6, 18/7, 18/8, 18/10, 18/19, 18/22, 19/7, 19/13, 21/3, 21/6, 21/24, 24/15, 24/20, 24/22, 34/11, 34/18, 36/23, 38/8, 48/9, 49/15, 49/17, 50/11, 51/10, 55/4, 55/25, 56/7, 57/3, 57/9, 58/19, 59/11, 59/23, 60/3, 60/7, 61/22, 62/1, 62/11, 63/1, 64/20, 66/5, 66/8, 71/11, 71/14, 72/3, 81/15, 84/15, 101/11, 102/20 businesses 11/22, 13/7, 14/20, 18/16, 18/17, 19/10, 19/25, 20/4, 20/15, 20/25, 21/7, 21/14, 21/17, 21/23, 21/24, 23/9, 23/21, 24/8, 25/3, 57/21, 66/13, 66/22, 100/21, 102/2 busy 8/2 buy 62/24, 63/17, 68/18, 69/3, 69/17 buyer 61/22 buying 61/9, 63/13, 70/2

\mathbf{C}

Cafe 2/13, 54/21, 54/23, 55/2, 103/23
calculate 51/6
calculated 47/24
calculation 57/15
calendars 18/25
call 3/3, 5/3, 42/11, 63/9, 70/13, 90/11, 100/14
calls 5/18, 6/8
came 8/17, 10/3, 10/5, 32/18, 75/18, 85/20, 98/3
cap 35/4, 35/21
capabilities 89/19
capacity 5/17, 8/4, 12/6, 22/7
capital 57/3, 98/6, 98/7
Captain 11/2, 11/11, 12/2, 12/12, 28/8, 41/1, 41/2

car 67/14 care 40/7, 62/4, 67/25, 86/12 career 12/10 Carriage 51/2 carried 42/16, 54/20, 70/20, 78/19, 93/22 carry 38/14, 44/8 case 49/4, 75/9 cases 11/21, 13/15, 38/2 cash 51/5, 51/9, 51/10, 51/14, 56/9 caution 98/15 cent 33/16 center 23/11, 80/7 cents 8/15, 33/24, 61/9 CERTIFICATE certificates 76/9 certify 105/6 Cessna 17/5, 17/6, 17/8 chair 6/4 Chairman 1/9, 2/16, 3/2, 3/8, 3/16, 3/22, 3/25, 4/6, 4/8, 4/12, 4/17, 4/19, 4/22, 4/24, 5/1, 5/25, 6/7, 6/11, 6/20, 6/23, 9/6, 9/9, 9/13, 9/16, 10/17, 10/19, 10/25, 11/20, 25/7, 25/11, 25/16, 25/19, 28/1, 28/4, 28/7, 34/24, 35/2, 38/24, 39/19, 39/21, 40/6, 40/10, 40/13, 40/16, 41/8, 41/12, 41/16, 41/18, 41/22, 41/25, 42/4, 42/14, 42/16, 42/18, 42/21, 42/24, 43/9, 43/12, 43/17, 43/21, 44/7, 44/17, 44/21, 44/25, 45/6, 45/10, 45/21, 45/25, 46/10, 47/5, 47/7, 50/24, 52/17, 52/19, 52/21, 53/11, 53/22, 53/25, 54/2, 54/8, 54/10, 54/12, 54/16, 54/18, 54/20, 57/20, 57/25, 58/2, 58/4, 62/23, 65/14, 68/10, 68/12, 69/1, 69/15, 69/21, 70/7, 70/10, 70/13, 70/18, 70/20, 70/22, 71/19, 71/25, 72/4, 72/10, 72/14, 72/17, 74/8, 74/11, 76/24, 77/1, 78/1, 78/6, 78/9, 78/15, 78/17, 78/19, 79/2, 79/5, 79/24, 80/1, 81/5, 82/20, 84/3, 85/4, 86/25, 87/7, 87/19, 88/21, 89/10, 90/15, 90/19, 91/15, 91/21 91/24, 92/5, 92/9, 92/15, 92/22, 93/4, 93/13, 93/15, 93/18, 93/20, 93/22, 94/1, 94/4, 94/6, 94/10, 94/14, 94/17, 94/24, 95/8, 95/12, 95/16, 95/19, 96/2, 96/15, 96/17, 96/21, 97/6, 97/22, 98/19, 99/2, 99/5, 99/20, 99/24, 100/1, 100/4, 100/8, 100/12, 100/17, 101/3, 101/5, 101/7, 103/10, 103/12, 104/9 chance 66/24, 71/21 change 32/2, 33/21, 35/15, 48/11, 50/3, 96/11 changed 4/13, 14/15, 82/6 changes 3/15, 3/19, 4/13, 52/11 charge 17/4, 26/9 charged 42/2, 73/13 CHARLES 1/9, 71/19, 84/4, 100/17 Charlotte 12/13 charter 23/8, 75/5 chased 27/8 Chevron 86/8, 86/14 chose 51/22 circumstances 100/24 CIRIELLO 1/10, 2/17, 13/2, 13/4, 101/2 citizen 11/23, 15/6, 18/14, 20/10 citizens 12/24, 13/5, 13/12, 13/13, 13/21, 14/1, 14/5, 14/10, 20/5, 21/15, 22/4, 24/2, 32/20 claimed 103/23 claiming 34/9, 34/21 clarification 94/5 class 96/4 clean 52/10 cleaner 79/13 clear 39/14, 47/5 clearly 33/7, 46/8, 52/8 climate 23/3 close 15/22, 17/14, 21/21, 59/1, 67/17, 99/15 close-in 55/21 closed 55/4, 58/25 club 29/16 coats 41/4 cock 16/23 collect 52/3 collection 76/13 column 48/2, 48/24 columns 49/12 combat 23/6 combination 49/3 comfortable 6/4 COMMENT 2/18, 10/19, 28/2, 28/3, 39/19, 39/20, 50/24, 52/19, 52/20, 52/21, 53/12, 54/10, 54/11, 57/25, 58/1, 58/2, 70/21, 76/24, 76/25, 77/1, 79/24, 79/25, 80/1, 80/5, 88/22, 95/1, 100/19, 101/6, 101/7, 103/12 comments 13/23, 25/13, 25/21, 35/2, 94/18, 97/9, 97/14, 97/17, 97/25, 104/10

commercial 16/20, 36/1, 36/9, 36/19, 37/1, 37/13,

Commission 3/12, 5/4, 73/13, 74/5, 74/14 Commissioner 2/8, 5/5, 6/10 Commissioner/Airport 1/11 Commissioners 5/10 Committee 12/5 common 47/15 communicated 98/17 communications 80/12 communities 22/15 community 22/15, 27/15, 38/9, 38/10, 38/13 companies 56/25, 81/1 comparable 50/9 compared 8/22 comparing 37/23 competing 80/7, 81/23 competition 85/7, 85/10 competition 85/7, 85/10 complain 90/4 completion 8/8, 8/10, 67/9, 103/14 completions 76/17 complicating 75/1 compromise 26/19, 26/24 conceive 20/18 concern 8/7, 77/9 concerned 8/4, 103/22 conclusion 13/11 conditions 75/16, 88/5 confident 57/20, 57/24 confident 57/20, 57/24 confirm 94/8 conflict 76/22 conscious 98/5 conservatively 15/17 consideration 15/18, 29/21, 48/21 consistent 31/21, 39/5, 50/14, 75/22, 79/3 consistently 85/18 consolidate 95/2 constituted 75/2 constitution 75/4 construct 82/3 constructed 36/4 construction 9/3, 15/9, 15/12, 19/23, 21/12, 55/22, 56/6, 65/4, 67/9, 68/6, 68/21, 68/23, 79/10, 79/14, 91/9, 91/14, 92/18, 93/11 construction-related 55/15, 64/22, 64/23, 68/3 consultant 79/16 consultants 103/6 contact 36/7 contingent 92/18, 92/19 continue 6/13, 8/13, 8/20, 13/25, 38/1 continues 48/25, 63/21, 65/4 continuing 46/17, 58/24 contract 82/3, 92/10, 92/23 contractor 7/8, 92/3, 92/7 contrast 17/23 contributed 26/11 control 7/3, 77/25, 85/9 conversation 27/23 conversations 65/6 COOPER 1/20 cooperative 104/1 copies 25/9 copy 9/21, 11/11, 25/8, 25/19, 54/25, 57/9, 79/9 core 45/13, 75/7, 78/23 corner 65/9 corporate 16/25, 24/16, 24/17 corporate-type 10/11, 36/16, 36/19, 37/25 corporations 15/2 correct 15/13, 28/13, 31/10, 36/2, 36/3, 58/15, 67/8 correctly 13/2 cost 14/13, 19/22, 26/10, 26/14, 26/15, 37/20, 39/1, 68/18, 83/1, 84/17, 102/18 cost-based 39/3 cost-effective 82/9 costly 31/24 costs 21/12, 88/18 count 5/21, 6/14 country 22/12 COUNTY 1/1, 1/11, 2/8, 3/11, 5/3, 5/10, 6/10, 10/8, 11/23, 11/25, 12/25, 13/6, 13/12, 13/22, 13/24, 14/1, 14/5, 14/10, 14/20, 15/6, 15/25, 18/11, 18/12, 18/15, 18/18, 20/6, 20/10, 20/13, 21/4, 21/5, 21/8, 21/16, 21/20, 21/21, 21/22, 21/23, 21/25, 22/21, 22/23, 22/25, 23/18, 23/23, 24/2, 24/7, 24/14, 24/23, 26/12, 26/16, 32/16, 33/1, 72/24, 73/13, 74/2, 74/5, 74/14, 74/17, 75/13, 75/15, 76/2, 76/21, 91/19, 91/23, 105/4 county's 18/15

couple 14/14, 14/15, 49/11, 95/14 course 10/8, 36/9, 66/5, 81/7, 81/12 Court 1/22, 73/18, 73/21, 76/18, 77/6, 77/22, 77/24 Cox 10/21, 11/2, 11/11, 28/8, 41/2 CPI 10/1, 27/3, 30/23, 31/2, 31/6, 31/19, 32/3, 32/8, 35/6, 35/7, 35/8, 35/15, 35/17, 50/6 created 75/19 credence 71/13 credit 65/25 creditor 64/7 critically 20/20 crop 6/16 cross 68/6 CRR 105/6 cumulative 32/2, 35/15 currently 14/25, 15/19, 19/25, 33/3, 55/4, 56/8 cursory 71/23 curtailing 55/21 customer 51/15, 80/17 customers 8/6

D

D.C 22/8

daily 22/1, 67/24 damage 71/13 damaged 64/21 damages 55/13, 55/25, 56/7, 68/1, 68/4 damaging 24/22 date 87/9, 91/16, 91/17, 103/18 dates 8/8, 103/15 day 21/19, 30/10, 36/19, 68/7, 70/25, 91/20 days 8/16, 24/5, 27/25, 30/8, 40/23, 41/2, 71/24, 82/15, 82/16, 82/17, 83/8, 83/12, 84/22, 87/6, 87/7, 87/8, 95/14 DC-3's 12/11 de 1/22 deadbeat 14/7 deadline 77/20 deal 50/10, 66/1, 83/22 dealing 102/12 dealings 21/6 deals 86/14 debateable 21/9 December 56/22, 63/16, 70/6, 77/17, 87/17, 103/20, 103/21, 103/22, 104/11, 104/13 decide 45/8 decided 32/12 decides 57/7 decision 24/12, 27/23, 61/16, 75/18, 79/23, 82/2, 83/19, 85/5, 88/16 declaratory 73/21 decline 8/13, 8/19 deduction 48/21, 65/25 deed 74/21 deeper 16/8 defeat 42/7 defer 51/23, 76/13 deferment 72/23 definition 75/24 Dehavilland 12/11 delay 27/24, 73/15, 81/20, 82/12, 84/22, 87/2, 90/17 delayed 24/4 delaying 82/1, 92/20 deleted 56/5 delinquent 51/16 delivery 86/22 delve 72/8 DENNIS 1/10, 55/1, 65/14, 68/10, 100/8 denominator 47/16 dependent 91/4 depicted 50/8 depositions 77/13 depreciation 61/8 depth 16/20 dereliction 24/18 derived 74/23, 75/11 desire 78/25 detail 18/2, 53/22 determination 24/4, 56/20, 75/23, 82/8 determine 47/17, 73/24, 101/18 determined 55/11 determines 56/13, 76/18 determining 66/17 develop 85/16, 85/18 developed 61/18 developing 75/21 developments 7/20

difference 33/16, 33/24 difficult 13/6, 14/17, 16/11, 20/18, 101/13 diligent 7/13 direct 6/1, 63/11 direction 26/4, 43/5, 61/16, 100/20 Director 1/19, 1/20, 6/1, 24/25, 40/22, 97/11 directs 20/10 disagreement 75/7 disallowed 17/20 discounted 68/15 discovery 77/13 discretion 58/12 discrimination 18/2 discuss 72/11, 89/16 discussed 4/15, 43/7, 69/8 discussion 25/21, 28/4, 40/17, 40/19, 46/18, 70/10, 70/12, 85/4, 85/7, 89/11, 97/13 disparaging 28/11 disposition 71/1 dissatisfied 43/3 distinction 39/1, 39/2 distributed 3/9, 3/17, 3/23 disturbing 19/17 diverted 75/12 divided 48/17 docket 77/7 doesn't 16/17, 18/8, 20/16, 23/10, 23/11, 23/13, 23/15, 30/7, 31/3, 33/10, 45/25, 62/19, 63/14, 73/4, 77/10, 86/22, 91/13, 97/12, 98/11 dollar 13/16, 20/6, 33/17, 33/18, 33/20, 33/22, 61/10, 76/1 dollars 27/2, 98/4 door 10/4, 66/20, 90/23 doors 16/8, 16/9, 26/22, 44/5 DOT 15/8, 26/12, 26/15, 39/15 doubles 51/17 draft 74/12, 77/18 drag 30/9 dramatically 71/12 drop 4/4, 95/16 duly-elected 5/20 duration 82/18 During 13/1 duties 6/10, 7/14 duty 24/18

dicker 30/3

E earn 75/8 earned 74/23, 76/1 earning 57/16 Earth 79/8 easily 10/5 East 8/21 easy 6/5 economic 103/24 economically 89/5 Ed 10/17, 29/22, 35/4, 43/23, 44/3, 45/7, 47/5, 51/4, 53/16, 53/20, 54/22, 58/5, 64/14, 68/12, 69/13, 70/23, 72/6, 72/15, 81/17, 85/4, 96/25, 97/3, 99/15, 100/19, 101/12, 102/7 EDA 15/8 EDWARD 1/19 effect 32/4, 56/5, 66/18, 83/9 effective 49/7, 49/12 effects 65/3 effort 19/10, 59/16 efforts 55/22 eight 8/15, 88/8 elected 28/20 electric 16/8, 59/4 element 77/25 eliminate 32/15, 56/11, 66/18 eliminated 56/7 eloquent 28/9 emergency 83/18 **Employ 21/21** employed 12/3 employees 22/2, 34/6, 34/7, 34/22, 34/23 empty 64/1, 64/12, 69/11 end 22/5, 26/13, 31/8, 58/16, 64/1, 64/12, 70/2 ended 102/19 ends 50/9 enforce 67/20 engineering 79/15, 79/16, 102/9, 102/15, 103/5 engineering-related 83/6 enhance 18/8, 18/9 enlighten 72/7

feet 16/21, 17/7, 33/21

76/17, 76/19, 78/5, 78/11, 78/23, 78/25, 83/6, 98/8

enter 50/18, 72/24 entertain 4/14, 69/16, 78/2 entities 18/19 entitled 88/14 entity 24/16 EPA 17/18 EPA-approved 14/21 equal 38/22, 102/4 equally 68/5 equals 49/4 equation 50/2, 50/13, 58/20 equipment 16/20, 17/18, 57/18, 61/5, 61/25, 63/3, 63/14, 63/25, 65/21, 68/14, 68/18, 68/21, 69/10, 69/18, 70/2, 70/3 equipment-related 55/12 error 76/2, 76/4 escalate 48/25 Esquire 1/17 essence 38/9, 83/17 established 23/1 establishing 54/6 estimates 102/15, 102/17, 103/14 estimation 56/4 evaluate 96/7, 96/13, 96/18 evaluating 94/20 evaluation 16/17, 98/16, 101/22 evening 15/5 event 47/14, 47/20, 76/17, 76/20 evicted 27/19 exaggerated 17/16 exceed 77/20 exceedingly 16/11 excluded 74/19 exclusion 48/22 excuse 5/14, 18/18, 86/25, 90/25, 94/10 execute 10/16, 45/15, 46/20, 50/19 executed 86/9 executing 46/17 Executive 1/19, 95/22, 97/15, 99/11 exist 103/3 existing 26/2, 57/14 exists 76/23 exorbitant 21/1 expand 73/11 expected 8/10, 91/19 expedited 77/4 expended 83/3 expensive 67/19 experience 77/11, 88/17 experience-type 66/16 expired 26/3 explored 89/3 expressed 57/1 extends 46/13 Extras 23/14 eyes 7/6

F

FAA 7/14, 15/9, 31/21, 73/19, 75/17, 98/3, 98/11 FAA's 76/3 facilitated 42/20 facilities 14/21, 38/1, 63/3, 102/19 Facility 2/14, 47/17, 47/18, 47/20, 56/18, 57/2, 57/17, 62/9, 68/23, 79/6, 79/7, 79/11, 80/20, 81/4, 81/11, 82/4, 84/20, 85/6, 86/3, 89/21, 92/10, 93/12, 102/21, 102/23 fact 10/6, 17/16, 19/11, 19/20, 20/13, 30/1, 34/8, 36/11, 81/8, 88/1, 101/16, 102/13 factories 29/14 fails 66/6 failure 28/11 fair 33/19, 34/10, 53/4, 102/4 faith 44/2, 46/16 family-owned 21/14 fast 47/4 fault 19/25, 103/3, 103/4 favor 4/10, 4/19, 40/1, 42/11, 54/12, 70/14, 78/12, 81/14, 92/25, 93/15 FBO 23/7, 67/14, 85/21, 88/4, 88/12 FDOT 15/8, 15/15, 19/24, 31/21, 98/3, 98/10 feasibility 89/9 feasible 90/2 Federal 73/17, 74/20, 75/22, 77/6, 77/22 fee 48/12, 48/20, 48/23, 49/1, 49/20, 76/22, 88/14 fee-based 48/8 feedback 80/9 Fees 2/13, 49/24, 49/25, 51/24, 56/3, 56/7, 59/5,

72/19, 72/21, 72/23, 73/16, 73/18, 73/25, 74/19,

fellow 14/11 field 16/6, 23/22 fifth 30/20, 31/9, 31/11, 31/19, 34/2, 35/5, 35/13 figuratively 86/23 figure 28/16, 46/17, 56/9 figures 30/22, 31/4 file 77/17, 97/12 filed 77/5, 77/20 fill 71/8, 99/6 filling 99/5, 99/14 finalized 24/3, 45/14 finances 46/16 FINANCIAL 2/5, 43/3, 43/16, 46/6, 49/14, 66/25 find 12/21, 13/6, 16/11, 19/10, 20/8, 20/10, 22/6, 26/19, 79/13, 81/21, 85/10 fine 25/20, 45/20, 94/25, 100/7 finish 53/20 finished 10/17 firm 37/4, 102/9, 103/5 fit 49/14, 76/5, 83/25 five 9/25, 18/25, 27/4, 31/17, 32/3, 32/6, 39/13, 47/23, 48/1, 48/7, 48/10, 48/13, 48/15, 48/18, 50/3, 50/4 five-year 48/15, 101/17, 101/18 fixed 50/1 fixed-base 84/6 fixtures 57/18 FL 1/18, 1/23 flag 3/5 flat 71/14 flight 12/10 floor 42/5, 61/18 Florida 1/4, 26/12, 26/15, 39/14, 105/3 flow 84/23, 84/24 flown 12/14, 12/15 fly 23/15 Fly-By 2/13, 54/21, 54/23, 55/2, 103/23 flying 12/10, 23/3, 29/7, 29/16 folks 24/15, 101/24, 102/9, 102/20, 103/2 follow 19/7, 42/6, 47/12, 84/12 food 71/15 foolhardy 101/23 foot 15/18, 15/20, 15/22, 16/7, 17/15, 27/2, 30/21, 30/25, 33/14, 37/15, 38/3, 57/16, 64/17 footage 17/6, 32/1, 37/24, 64/14 force 12/7, 21/2, 24/14, 29/13 forced 13/14, 51/11 forces 12/8, 21/2 forcing 13/7 forecast 49/6 form 10/11, 10/16, 45/17, 74/3, 94/20, 96/3, 97/6, 97/7, 97/11 formal 23/25 formalized 92/14 formally 66/5 forthwith 46/5 found 38/4, 49/18, 71/1 four 16/9, 47/22 fourth 27/7, 33/24 France 55/1 fraught 12/22 free 69/19, 69/22 Friday 80/23 front 55/19 frustrated 103/16 frustration 104/1 Fuel 8/13, 8/15, 8/20, 8/21, 23/8, 80/16, 81/3, 85/8, 86/14, 86/16, 86/19, 89/4, 92/10, 92/24 fuels 86/22 fun 71/16 function 49/2, 50/1 funds 15/9, 83/3 furnished 55/7, 57/2 future 5/13, 18/5, 47/25 fuzzy 13/15 FYI 79/18

G

gain 21/16 gallon 8/15, 8/16 game 84/7 gas 8/14, 9/1, 9/4 gasoline 81/15 Gay 1/17 generate 69/4

generated 48/4 gentleman 36/22, 58/24, 60/2, 71/23 gentleman's 71/11 Girl 20/14 good-faith 46/13 goods 21/25 government 12/8, 20/8, 20/16 grant 15/17, 39/6, 39/14 grants 15/8, 20/7, 50/15, 74/21 grasp 52/13 grateful 7/6 green 49/4 grew 59/23 gridlocked 104/4 gross 48/12, 48/19, 49/3 ground 23/8 Grumman 2/9, 9/7, 23/12, 65/6 guarantee 70/5 guaranteed 31/10 guess 5/7, 30/23, 31/1, 37/4, 40/20, 45/12, 46/3, 50/23, 54/25, 87/21, 101/18 guidance 33/3 guidelines 31/21, 75/22 Gun 2/12, 9/18, 9/20, 11/18, 12/1, 12/21, 14/23, 16/10, 16/13, 17/20, 18/6, 19/8, 22/18, 25/25, 28/24, 39/23, 40/12, 40/22, 41/13, 43/13, 47/13, 50/6 guy 25/22, 51/17, 63/17, 86/11, 86/17, 86/23 guys 5/8, 35/1, 84/8, 95/25

H

half 15/23, 27/2, 33/17, 33/18, 33/20, 33/22, 39/7, 48/12, 102/18 hammered 47/4 hand 20/18, 20/24, 21/11, 23/23 hangar 10/4, 11/25, 12/1, 15/24, 16/5, 16/8, 16/21, 16/22, 16/24, 16/25, 17/5, 17/9, 17/11, 17/13, 17/16, 21/9, 24/16, 37/19, 37/25 hangars 11/17, 12/20, 13/18, 13/25, 15/1, 15/3, 15/10, 15/12, 16/7, 16/14, 16/18, 24/16, 26/10, 26/13, 30/5, 34/19 hanging 29/15, 70/3 happy 29/25, 89/7 hard 52/23, 81/7, 84/23, 104/6 hardship 34/9, 34/16, 34/20, 103/24 hardships 7/25 harm 56/6 haste 44/11 hat's 101/12, 101/24 head 7/11, 53/2, 89/13 held 1/3, 3/12 Helicopters 17/23 help 7/4, 9/4, 29/1, 89/24, 102/7 helping 34/3, 81/3 hiatus 30/7, 41/2 high 96/4 higher 16/8, 19/12, 21/18, 49/9 highlight 7/19 Hills 102/10, 102/16 historical 48/1 history 23/3, 26/6 hobby 29/7 hold 12/4, 71/20, 76/16, 93/1, 98/11 holder 38/15 holding 76/9 hole 59/16 holes 71/9 holidays 104/12 home 23/4 honestly 95/25 honor 5/22, 6/14 honoring 58/20 hope 7/7, 8/7, 44/17, 59/11 hoping 38/17 hospitality 71/15 hours 67/22, 90/8 hump 59/7 hundred 8/14, 14/14 hurt 7/23 hypothetical 49/6

Ι

i.e 46/7 idea 8/9, 37/22, 49/14, 81/18, 91/17 ideal 61/21 idio 53/6 idiodicy illegal 99/16

imbalance 51/18 Impact 2/13, 17/22, 22/20, 24/7, 24/11, 72/19, 72/21, 72/23, 73/16, 73/18, 73/25, 74/19, 76/17, 76/19, 76/22, 78/4, 78/11, 78/23, 78/25 impactive 46/6 impasse 46/12, 46/19, 46/21 importance 102/1, 102/2, 102/3 imposing 7/25 improved 71/11 improvements 55/11, 58/9, 58/11, 68/14, 68/21, incensed 13/19 inconsistent 18/1 inconvenience 55/23 increase 7/10 incrementing 27/3 increments 101/17 independent 91/5 index 31/7 indicate 43/2 indicates 10/2, 74/1 indicating 6/6, 14/9 indulging 25/4 industry 12/7 inextricably 22/15 infer 36/21 inferred 64/19, 64/20 inflation 32/7 information 20/11, 26/22, 48/1, 55/10, 57/9, 96/22 informed 80/19 injured 7/2 inquiry 36/10 install 81/10, 81/18, 89/6 installation 89/4 installed 17/18 installing 9/2, 80/16 instrument 29/9 integral 22/14, 22/19 intensive 57/4 intent 12/22, 14/4, 19/6, 33/8 intention 18/16, 36/5, 43/6, 59/24 interest 56/14, 57/1 interim 76/8, 101/21 Interlocal 2/13, 72/19, 72/21, 72/25, 77/16, 78/4, 78/10 internet 20/9 interpretation 75/17 investment 22/16, 22/20, 98/1 Iowa 12/11 irresponsible 19/4, 24/18 issue 10/20, 11/16, 11/20, 20/2, 25/2, 25/21, 26/23, 27/13, 50/25, 65/24, 71/21, 72/11, 75/1, 75/14, 81/8, 92/12 issues 12/19, 30/4, 44/13 item 4/4, 9/19, 39/22, 47/7, 54/5, 66/14, 74/2, 79/5, 94/15

J

ITEMS 2/11, 9/17, 11/16, 44/20, 46/18

Jacksonville 12/17, 94/11
JANET 105/6
Jet 8/15, 16/20, 24/17
jets 16/25
JIM 1/11, 6/21
job 22/3, 28/22, 29/4, 88/6, 88/8, 96/8, 96/18, 97/3, 101/1, 101/14, 101/25, 104/5, 104/6
jobs 25/3
Joe 34/24, 40/10, 40/17, 41/23, 42/4, 42/8, 52/21, 62/23, 81/5, 84/12, 96/2, 97/15, 97/25, 98/20, 99/24
Joe's 42/6
JOHNS 1/1, 10/8, 11/23, 11/24, 15/6, 18/11, 18/15, 20/13, 21/5, 21/15, 22/21, 22/23, 22/25, 24/14, 105/4
joint 3/11, 12/7
jointly 73/9
Jones 1/17
JOSEPH 1/10
judge 96/1
jumping 73/4

K

kerosene 86/20 kicked 81/8, 81/14 kitchen 68/23 knowledge 6/17, 32/8 knows 62/3

T. lack 14/9, 56/21 land 89/20 Lane 51/2 language 18/21, 19/13, 21/9, 24/10 largest 14/19 LASSITER 1/9, 2/17, 100/18 latest 103/18 law 75/10, 81/19, 99/2 lawsuit 77/19 lead 8/14 Lear 16/21 13/25, 14/25, 16/14, 18/20, 18/21, 18/23, 19/2, 19/11, 19/12, 19/14, 21/12, 24/3, 24/4, 24/10, 25/2, 26/2, 26/7, 26/8, 26/11, 26/13, 26/16, 27/12, 27/22, 28/14, 38/1, 39/22, 40/11, 43/1, 43/4, 44/15, 45/14, 45/16, 45/17, 46/14, 46/20, 46/25, 47/1, 47/2, 47/8, 47/9, 47/21, 50/19, 52/9, 54/7, 54/24, 55/7, 56/11, 57/6, 58/9, 58/16, 58/18, 58/21, 59/5, 62/5, 62/9, 62/12, 63/22, 64/17, 65/8, 66/19, 75/25, 89/22, 101/21, 102/4, 102/12, 102/14 lease/operating 56/22 leased 12/20 leasehold 58/9, 58/11, 85/15 leaseholds 60/2 leases 19/20, 21/10, 32/12, 34/13, 101/12, 101/16 leasing 24/15, 66/20 Leave 1/14, 15/5, 27/11, 95/16, 103/7 leaves 63/24 leaving 45/7, 69/10 left 47/15, 58/10 left-most 48/2 legal 73/8 legally 78/24 lend 71/12 lenient 85/1 Leon 1/22 lessor 58/12 letter 25/8, 55/1, 55/14, 56/1, 79/8 level 51/19 Liaison 1/11, 5/9, 6/12 license 63/7, 63/11 licensing 88/4 life 26/10, 76/19 light 13/9, 13/23, 27/21, 81/17 limit 38/4, 67/17, 91/11 limitations 75/25 limited 33/1 line 42/19, 42/23, 47/23, 50/21, 83/23, 84/24 linear 47/24 linked 22/15 liquidated 64/7, 66/6 liquidates 66/7 liquor 63/7, 63/10 list 34/7, 34/21, 35/25, 36/15, 36/17 listed 61/23 listening 11/21 literally 67/21 litigation 77/15 little 6/17, 26/6, 27/6, 29/17, 33/21, 34/9, 35/24, 53/6, 61/14, 71/23, 72/7, 77/7, 78/21, 95/13 live 51/2 lived 12/16 local 72/25, 86/17, 98/8 locates 92/10 location 33/2 locations 20/1 lock 92/6 locked 86/4 locks 92/9 logic 13/15, 69/24 loose 91/19 lose 24/7 loss 24/13, 56/6 loss-of-business 55/13 lost 20/23 lousy 28/21 low 8/14, 8/22, 79/11, 94/14 lower 9/4, 35/18

mail 95/16 main 7/24 maintain 36/17, 62/16 maintenance 44/20, 59/4 majority 55/23 Malaga 1/17 man 96/7, 102/7 manage 98/13 management 14/6, 16/4, 19/5, 20/22, 24/19, 42/3, 96/5 manager 97/3 managing 75/3 manner 62/21, 87/4 margins 88/19 mark 18/24 market 35/17, 39/4, 39/8, 39/15, 50/15 Martinelli 51/2 master 4/11 matter 20/13, 30/1, 34/8, 73/3 maximize 67/16 maximum 82/18 meaning 14/12, 60/14 median 68/7 meet 17/18, 77/19, 90/6

Meeting 1/2, 2/4, 2/6, 2/19, 3/3, 3/7, 3/11, 3/18, 3/24, 4/2, 11/15, 13/1, 17/12, 25/25, 30/1, 41/19, 41/20, 44/21, 45/1, 45/11, 46/14, 56/23, 72/15, 74/6, 83/17, 83/18, 83/22, 84/22, 87/15, 87/18, 90/12, 95/3, 95/6, 95/7, 95/11, 95/13, 97/20, 99/7, 104/10, Meeting's 104/13 meetings 41/17, 83/9, 83/24 meets 82/8, 90/5
MEMBER 2/15, 3/10, 13/1, 14/18, 28/12
MEMBERS 1/8, 1/13, 18/14, 24/19, 25/1, 25/15, 25/17, 30/12, 94/16 Members' 94/18 memorandum 9/21, 10/2 memorialization 73/12 mention 34/5 mentioned 28/9, 36/8 mentioning 65/17 merits 76/11 messed 53/2 method 49/19, 51/23, 66/16, 66/17 methods 31/20 Middle 8/21 mile 17/24 million 28/24 mimic 77/10 mind 22/22, 32/17, 32/20, 38/17, 60/21, 63/12, 73/4, 95/24, 99/22 minimum 32/6, 56/19 minute 10/23, 40/2, 87/19 MINUTES 2/4, 3/7, 3/9, 3/14, 3/18, 3/20, 3/22, 10/24, 11/4, 25/12, 94/2 mismanagement 20/19 missed 99/9, 99/10 mission 23/6 mistake 33/25 mistaken 29/3 misunderstand 63/4 model 47/24, 48/5, 49/19 modifications 3/13 modified 4/15 modify 4/1, 10/3 Monday 1/5 money 15/7, 15/10, 26/11, 32/14, 34/14, 34/17, 39/7, 39/18, 51/25, 52/2, 59/17, 64/3, 75/23, 76/4, 98/3, 98/4, 98/12 money-making 84/13 monies 30/20 month 5/24, 11/14, 15/19, 27/16, 30/14, 30/15, month 5/24, 11/14, 15/15, 2/110, 50/17, 50/15, 34/5, 34/6, 34/18, 41/9, 49/22, 49/24, 51/17, 51/24, 51/25, 54/24, 57/16, 90/5, 90/8, 90/11, 90/22, 92/21 month-to-month 44/24, 45/4, 45/5 monthly 49/23, 51/4 months 5/7, 17/5, 19/2, 26/20, 44/9, 51/16, 61/23,

Moore 25/24
motion 4/14, 27/24, 39/22, 40/3, 40/4, 40/7, 40/8, 40/14, 40/19, 40/21, 42/5, 42/7, 42/9, 42/16, 53/7, 53/15, 54/2, 54/4, 54/20, 69/16, 70/1, 70/8, 70/14, 70/20, 78/2, 78/3, 78/9, 78/19, 93/2, 93/3, 93/5, 93/8, 93/13, 93/22

81/9

motions 11/20 mouth 52/23

lowest 47/15, 81/11, 91/8, 91/13

lowers 31/4

luxury 102/8

move 9/16, 16/10, 18/4, 42/18, 54/21, 60/17, 62/5, 64/2, 67/22, 73/9, 73/24, 84/25, 90/20 moved 4/16, 21/18 moving 49/8, 51/20, 52/7 Mr. 41/1 MR. BRYANT 5/6, 6/2, 6/5, 6/8, 6/14, 6/22, 74/13 74/13 Mr. Chairman 4/4, 5/6, 28/5, 53/13, 81/6, 91/7 Mr. Charles 2/17, 100/18 MR. CIRIELLO 4/20, 13/3, 14/3, 14/8, 25/22, 28/5, 28/8, 31/3, 31/8, 31/14, 32/9, 32/25, 33/5, 28/5, 28/8, 31/3, 31/8, 31/14, 32/9, 32/25, 33/5, 33/9, 34/25, 38/15, 40/2, 40/4, 40/18, 41/10, 41/14, 41/17, 41/20, 41/24, 42/2, 42/15, 44/8, 52/22, 54/17, 62/24, 63/7, 63/12, 63/23, 64/11, 70/1, 70/19, 78/8, 78/13, 80/3, 81/6, 82/12, 82/22, 83/15, 83/21, 84/2, 84/4, 86/2, 86/10, 86/13, 87/12, 89/12, 91/6, 92/1, 93/16, 95/21, 96/14, 96/16, 96/19, 97/2, 99/1, 99/4, 99/8, 99/21, 99/25, 100/3, 100/6, 101/3, 101/4 Mr. Ciriello's 13/19, 70/14 MR. COX 10/21, 11/2, 11/8, 11/10, 13/4, 15/15, 25/9, 25/14, 25/17, 38/6, 38/7, 39/2 Mr. Cox's 27/24 Mr. Dennis 2/16, 100/9 Mr. Ed 30/11, 30/18, 99/18 Mr. Evans 53/3 Mr. France 63/24, 69/9 Mr. Jim 2/8 Mr. Joseph 2/17, 101/2 MR. LASSITER 4/16, 4/21, 35/3, 35/8, 35/19, 36/6, 36/21, 37/9, 37/12, 38/6, 40/8, 40/11, 42/13, 44/23, 45/3, 46/3, 46/11, 54/9, 54/14, 58/3, 58/5, 58/23, 59/10, 59/25, 60/16, 60/20, 60/23, 61/2, 62/15, 62/19, 62/22, 63/13, 64/14, 64/19, 64/23, 66/22, 70/9, 70/17, 70/21, 70/23, 71/6, 71/22, 72/1, 72/5, 72/13, 77/2, 78/3, 78/14, 80/2, 80/4, 80/9, 80/14, 83/1, 83/8, 83/14, 84/5, 85/24, 87/2, 87/15, 92/6, 93/3, 93/8, 93/11, 93/17, 93/25, 94/13, 95/6, 95/11, 98/25, 100/19, 101/1 MR. MARTINELLI 51/1, 51/13, 52/5, 52/14, 52/18, 87/21, 88/23, 91/1, 101/9 Mr. Michael 2/8 Mr. Moore 14/23, 25/24, 30/12, 34/8, 43/2, 43/22, 45/2, 45/7, 46/22, 53/5 Mr. Moore's 29/5, 35/20 Mr. Rose 5/7, 94/16, 99/9 Mr. Sisce 74/3 Mr. Sisco's 74/8 MR. SLINGLUFF 6/25, 80/5, 80/15, 88/23, 103/13, 103/22 MR. THOMPSON 82/16, 87/5, 87/9, 87/13, 91/18, 91/22, 103/20 MR. WATTS 4/18, 4/23, 40/15, 42/12, 53/13, 53/24, 54/1, 54/3, 54/15, 65/16, 67/1, 68/9, 68/11, 70/16, 78/7, 78/16, 86/1, 92/8, 93/14, 93/19, 94/3, 94/23, 95/5, 95/7, 95/10, 95/15, 95/18, 100/10, 100/15, 103/11 Mr. William 2/16 MR. WUELLNER 4/3, 4/7, 4/9, 6/3, 9/17, 9/19, 10/18, 11/6, 11/9, 15/13, 15/14, 26/18, 31/1, 31/6, 31/13, 31/17, 32/23, 33/1, 33/7, 35/6, 35/10, 36/3, 36/14, 37/7, 37/10, 37/23, 38/25, 40/3, 42/17, 42/19, 42/22, 42/25, 43/11, 43/14, 43/20, 44/12, 44/19, 44/22, 45/12, 45/23, 46/2, 46/8, 47/6, 47/10, 51/8, 51/22, 52/8, 52/16, 54/24, 57/23, 58/14, 59/1, 59/22, 60/14, 60/18, 60/21, 61/1, 61/15, 62/18, 62/20, 63/2, 63/9, 63/19, 64/4, 64/13, 64/16, 64/22, 65/2, 65/22, 67/7, 68/20, 69/14, 69/19, 69/22, 71/5, 72/16, 72/20, 72/22, 74/16, 77/3, 78/12, 78/20, 79/3, 79/8, 80/8, 80/11, 81/25, 82/14, 82/17, 82/21, 82/24, 83/5, 83/11, 83/20, 83/22, 85/12, 85/25, 86/5, 86/12, 86/21, 87/6, 87/8, 87/11, 87/14, 87/17, 89/7, 89/15, 90/17, 92/3, 92/13, 92/16, 93/1, 93/10, 94/5, 94/11, 97/21, 98/14, 98/21, 100/25, 103/13, 103/17, 103/21, 104/8 Mr. Wuellner's 94/20 MS. BLOODWORTH 9/15, 73/11, 74/10, 74/13, 77/5 Ms. Susan 2/10 multirating 29/9 mutually 91/4, 91/5

N

name 11/1, 11/11, 28/9 name's 10/21, 51/1 NATG 10/14 nation 37/8 National 12/5 Nationwide 37/9

nature 77/10 necessary 61/13, 63/3 need 7/21, 35/21, 40/3, 40/23, 41/22, 42/7, 44/10, 53/14, 53/16, 71/6, 71/7, 90/16, 93/1, 93/3, 96/7, 96/12, 97/4, 97/15, 98/2, 98/12, 98/14, 98/15, 98/16, 99/11, 102/22 needed 22/1 needs 87/3, 98/17 negative 17/22, 99/13 negotiate 9/22, 65/20, 73/14, 90/21, 101/11, 102/14, 102/22 negotiated 19/12, 21/11, 24/3, 26/7 negotiating 44/2, 63/17, 100/21 negotiation 24/9, 46/13 negotiations 13/9, 39/25, 41/23, 46/5 neither 37/15 net 47/19, 57/12 network 86/22 new 21/18, 24/10, 26/22, 50/19, 65/21 newly-built 23/7 news 8/13, 80/8 noncommercial 36/16 nonetheless 29/12 nonfinancial 44/13 nonrent 56/3, 59/6 Normal 4/11, 8/11, 49/16, 58/17, 66/5 Normally 45/4, 58/15, 83/25 North 1/3, 2/12, 9/18, 9/20, 11/18, 12/20, 14/23, 16/5, 16/13, 17/20, 17/24, 22/17, 25/24, 39/23, 40/11, 47/13, 50/5 Northrop 9/6, 9/9 note 32/9, 78/21, 98/15, 99/8 notes 105/9 notice 19/9, 19/14, 45/4 notification 19/1 November 1/5, 7/1 number 7/10, 22/11, 37/5, 69/20, 69/23 numbers 35/11, 44/4, 48/4, 79/20, 84/23

0 objectable 33/11 obligation 55/16, 77/17 obligations 39/4 occupancy 76/10, 103/15 October 3/12, 3/19, 11/14, 13/1, 17/12 offer 88/20 office 60/17, 60/22, 75/15 Officer 12/5 official 11/4 officials 5/21 oil 8/17 old 39/10 open 16/10, 25/5, 56/23, 61/20, 92/23, 92/24 opening 87/10 operate 59/12, 59/13, 63/3, 66/18, 88/4, 88/13, 88/18, 92/11 operating 8/3, 51/10, 56/17, 56/21, 56/24, 57/17, 88/15, 88/17, 88/25 operation 81/2, 92/13 operations 7/10, 20/16, 22/1, 61/12 operator 59/19, 69/5, 71/2, 84/7, 92/22 operators 85/20 opinion 14/3, 24/17, 49/16 opportunities 85/2 opportunity 38/22, 72/17, 73/17 Opposed 4/24, 42/14, 54/18, 77/6, 78/17, 93/20 opposition 4/25, 54/19, 78/18, 93/21 opted 4/9, 11/20 option 31/24, 39/17, 62/8, 89/1 options 31/23 order 3/4, 24/5, 82/25 ordinance 74/18, 76/22 original 8/8, 19/20, 26/3, 27/12 originally 26/7, 26/20, 58/9, 85/5 ostensibly 22/4 Otters 12/11 outcry 32/16 overruns 19/23 oversight 18/3, 26/4 owe 71/22, 76/14, 84/19 owed 56/8, 59/2 owing 48/12 owner 11/24, 29/15, 55/2, 56/10 owners 11/21 owning 66/2 owns 26/13

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 104/14 pace 62/7 package 61/6, 94/19, 94/22 packet 80/23 paid 15/16, 19/24, 26/14, 27/6, 48/20, 49/1 paint 14/13, 47/14, 47/15 Painting 11/19, 12/20, 14/13, 14/21, 17/17, 22/18 paper 7/18, 94/7, 96/5 paragraph 97/10 parameters 18/23 paraphrasing 13/22 pardon 25/16 parking 8/3, 55/22, 64/21, 67/2, 67/5, 67/7, 67/10, 67/20, 67/24 part 22/10, 39/12, 43/16, 61/15, 63/11, 68/1, 75/4, 79/22 parties 24/11, 85/23 parts 22/14, 22/19 party 55/8 pass 65/19 pay 15/3, 15/11, 20/25, 21/19, 21/22, 33/14, 34/3, 34/10, 49/24, 49/25, 52/2, 57/7, 58/24, 73/25, 76/19, 78/23, 78/24, 78/25, 79/1 paying 21/18, 22/5, 30/24, 31/14, 33/19, 37/20, 61/10, 68/16, 68/17, 69/10, 73/18 payment 73/16, 74/18 payments 62/16 pays 51/18 percentage 35/4, 49/2 perception 19/18, 21/10, 24/21 performance 94/21, 96/1 period 9/23, 24/5, 48/16, 53/21, 56/19, 66/7, 82/4 permits 33/2, 91/18, 91/22, 91/25 permitting 10/9 personal 18/13 personally 82/1, 86/10 personnel 96/5, 97/12 Petroleum 79/12, 79/17, 94/9 phase 48/8 phased 48/16, 48/18 phasing 27/3 physical 86/3 pick 95/17 picture 49/14 piece 33/21, 68/2 pilot 6/18, 11/24, 29/9 Pilots 9/10, 12/6, 23/5, 84/17, 87/22, 89/25 pink 48/3, 48/24, 49/3 pittance 81/13 place 5/11, 20/9, 42/1, 56/15, 58/21, 68/22, 85/14, 85/16 placed 10/7, 20/3, 62/13, 67/17 places 36/4 placing 21/12 plan 4/11 plane 12/1 planes 34/13 planning 9/2 plans 89/8 platform 68/24 PLEDGE 2/3, 3/4, 3/6 plight 29/5 plug 79/20 plus 26/14, 57/5 pocket 98/4 point 10/1, 38/8, 45/18, 50/4, 50/6, 55/7, 56/2, 59/2, 64/6, 65/5, 72/12, 76/15, 83/2, 83/4, 85/19, 90/21, 96/20, 97/2, 97/22 pointed 66/23 policies 73/19 policy 18/1, 18/4, 18/6, 18/13, 39/5, 61/16 politics 18/13 Ponce 1/22 Ponte 51/3 poor 14/6, 16/3, 18/19 popped 95/24 portion 49/20, 66/23 posed 14/23 position 12/4, 19/18, 19/19, 25/1, 35/20, 38/11, 63/19, 75/10, 84/25 positions 38/23 positive 7/22 possibility 65/23, 69/8 possible 14/25, 42/23, 50/22, 56/24, 69/6, 90/1 potential 22/16, 22/21, 84/13 practice 18/20, 19/7

predict 57/13, 77/22, 77/24 prefer 60/4 preparation 74/11 prepare 97/18 prepared 10/22, 11/3, 96/4 preparing 96/25 presented 26/8, 26/20, 27/17, 40/9, 48/2, 56/9, 74/5 presents 76/20 president 25/24, 55/2 press 7/18 pressure 104/4 Prestige 2/12, 11/19, 12/20, 14/12, 16/11, 16/13, 17/13, 19/8, 22/18, 47/8, 47/9, 50/20, 54/5 pretty 59/1, 87/23 prevent 88/3 price 8/17, 9/4, 33/20, 55/12, 65/21, 68/14, 79/19, 85/8, 85/9 prices 8/13, 8/22 pricing 8/20 private 29/8, 37/19, 61/22 privilege 98/23 problem 38/3, 46/25, 62/4, 68/5, 68/8, 74/20, 76/21, 104/11 problems 6/16, 51/14, 72/2, 102/11, 103/3 proceed 44/11 proceedings 105/8 process 4/11, 55/17, 77/14, 80/19 procuring 60/5 professional 23/4, 28/16 profit 16/2 program 48/16 progress 21/4, 26/21, 43/23, 45/8, 59/8 project 67/11, 68/6, 76/16 projections 47/25, 49/5 Projects 12/4, 76/9 prolonged 77/14 pronounce 13/2 proof 34/15 properties 19/21 property 18/1, 24/6, 31/25, 35/17, 50/16, 66/10, 74/21, 74/23, 74/24, 75/9, 75/11 proposal 27/22, 52/25, 53/4, 57/22, 71/24, 86/6, 86/7, 86/17 proposals 9/1, 56/16 propose 56/15 proposed 47/13 proposing 33/14, 63/5 provide 7/4, 57/21, 79/18, 84/6 provides 92/24 provision 52/9 PUBLIC 2/18, 10/19, 18/11, 28/2, 28/3, 35/24, 36/11, 50/24, 57/25, 58/1, 67/15, 76/4, 76/24, 76/25, 79/24, 98/25, 101/6, 101/7 pull 92/3 purchase 21/25, 55/5, 56/10, 68/13 purpose 17/17, 75/3, 75/20 purposes 61/23 pursuit 78/4 purview 5/19, 5/20 put 15/1, 16/24, 17/9, 17/21, 18/7, 26/6, 29/22, 41/2, 62/7, 72/14, 86/16, 96/19, 104/4 puts 27/20, 85/8

Q

qualification 66/16
qualify 66/21
question 13/18, 13/25, 14/24, 16/19, 21/15, 35/22,
36/23, 36/25, 37/18, 45/13, 46/3, 51/3, 51/7, 52/14,
52/16, 58/23, 65/16, 67/1, 68/13, 81/17, 82/13, 91/7,
99/10
questionable 12/22, 18/21, 21/8
questions 3/20, 3/21, 25/6, 29/24, 30/18, 35/3,
38/23, 58/8, 80/1, 95/23, 99/19
quick 68/12, 94/3
quicker 62/7, 66/4
quorum 3/2
quote 22/13, 22/24
quoted 36/18
quotes 81/1, 86/6

R

raised 68/24, 98/7
raising 21/1
ramp 7/24, 9/3, 104/3
range 57/16
rate 15/17, 15/20, 17/11, 19/12, 21/18, 25/2, 26/8,

36/18, 37/14, 49/12, 59/17 rate's 33/15 rated 29/9 rates 26/24, 49/7 re 30/19 reach 27/17 reached 13/10, 46/12 reading 11/6 readjust 35/16 reappraisal 35/13 reappraise 31/25 reappraised 31/19 reason 23/24, 51/22, 83/16 reasonable 49/20, 50/10, 84/17 rebid 82/23, 82/24 recall 58/14 receive 25/7, 80/25 received 8/25, 55/1, 80/21, 80/23 reception 18/9 recommendation 10/13, 30/7, 39/24, 42/10, 43/10, 50/17, 54/4, 54/6, 56/9, 65/20, 69/2, 69/17, 70/15, 70/22, 79/11, 94/7 recommendations 79/15 recommends 29/23, 42/8 reconsider 25/1 record 18/23, 98/25, 105/9 recorded 25/12 recovery 39/3 recreation 23/2 reduced 8/4 reentering 59/24 reestablish 32/1 reevaluate 25/2 reevaluations 101/18 Refinishing 2/12, 47/8, 47/9, 54/5 reflect 31/6 reflects 45/16 regain 21/11 regional 37/5 regions 22/17 regression 47/24, 71/18 Regular 1/2, 3/18 rehabilitated 55/18 reject 42/10, 43/18, 69/17, 70/1, 70/14 related 36/19, 68/4, 74/21 relation 15/11 relationship 6/13, 21/17, 97/11 relative 55/9, 73/1, 73/3, 79/10, 98/16 released 91/23 rely 102/15 remark 28/11 remarks 28/18 remember 98/2 remind 18/25, 98/15, 98/22 reminder 19/9, 19/14 Remodel 60/18 removed 58/10, 58/13 renew 19/3 renewal 9/24, 19/15, 26/1 renewing 18/20, 19/11, 26/5 rent 21/1, 29/11, 34/4, 37/12, 39/3, 46/7, 47/12, 47/17, 49/1, 49/10, 49/13, 50/1, 50/2, 50/14, 57/14, rental 31/22, 37/1, 44/15, 49/2, 49/7, 49/12, 49/17, 50/13, 65/24, 67/14 renting 37/21, 57/1 repair 23/12 repairs 23/8 repaying 26/9 report 2/9, 9/15, 29/22, 96/9, 97/18, 105/7 reported 17/12, 39/25 REPORTER'S 105/1 Reporters 1/22 REPORTS 2/5, 2/7, 2/15, 5/3, 5/16 reprehensible 22/6 represent 22/4 Representative 9/8, 9/12 representatives 24/1 representing 9/11, 13/11, 14/8, 28/19 represents 13/5, 13/21, 22/10, 50/13, 75/23 reputable 13/7, 20/25 Request 2/13, 5/13, 6/1, 11/3, 23/25, 26/1, 54/21, 54/23, 56/16 requested 55/5, 55/25, 72/23, 80/18, 92/17 requesting 80/17 requests 5/16 require 39/7

requires 73/2, 74/18 research 24/5 reservations 58/6, 59/21, 60/9 reserve 27/22 reserved 60/12 resolve 73/7, 76/8 resolved 44/11, 44/18, 44/25, 45/11 resolving 73/3, 76/21 respect 72/2 respects 44/19 responded 86/8 responsibility 14/10, 21/13, 55/16, 96/24 responsible 15/23, 21/7, 69/9 restaurant 55/8, 56/10, 56/18, 56/23, 57/3, 57/11, 58/8, 59/23, 60/12, 60/25, 61/3, 61/5, 61/19, 63/1, 63/4, 63/6, 63/13, 64/10, 66/22 restaurant-related 55/6 restaurants 59/13, 59/14, 59/18 restored 23/7 restrictions 74/22, 74/25 result 55/22, 82/10 resurrect 16/2 retail 8/16, 85/7 retained 58/12 retains 62/8 return 98/1, 98/6, 98/13 returns 72/1 revenue 20/23, 21/20, 66/11, 73/20, 74/22, 75/11, 75/16, 75/24, 76/4, 76/11 review 71/24, 79/22, 97/19 reviews 101/17 revised 65/8 reward 60/8 Reynolds 102/10, 102/16 RFP 66/16, 69/5 Rick 14/12 rid 23/21, 70/4 right-hand-most 48/24 rise 35/4 risk 50/11, 57/5, 60/8 risk/reward 66/23, 84/13, 84/15 risk/rewards 60/6 RMR 105/6 Rogers 1/17 roofs 16/9 room 64/1, 64/12 room 64/1, 64/12
root 102/11, 102/24
ROSE 1/9, 2/16, 3/2, 3/8, 3/16, 3/22, 3/25, 4/6, 4/8, 4/12, 4/17, 4/19, 4/22, 4/24, 5/1, 5/25, 6/7, 6/11, 6/20, 6/23, 9/6, 9/9, 9/13, 9/16, 10/17, 10/19, 10/25, 25/7, 25/11, 25/16, 25/19, 28/1, 28/4, 28/7, 34/24, 35/2, 38/24, 39/19, 39/21, 40/6, 40/10, 40/13, 40/16, 41/8, 41/12, 41/16, 41/18, 41/22, 41/25, 42/4, 42/14, 42/16, 42/18, 42/21, 42/24, 43/9, 43/12, 43/17, 43/21, 44/7, 44/17, 50/24, 52/17, 52/19, 52/21, 53/11, 53/22, 53/25, 54/2, 54/8, 54/10, 54/12, 54/16, 54/18, 54/20, 57/20, 57/25, 58/2, 58/4, 62/23, 65/14, 68/10, 68/12, 69/1, 69/15, 69/21, 70/7, 70/10, 70/13, 70/18, 70/20, 70/22, 71/19, 71/25, 72/4, 72/10, 72/14, 72/17, 74/8, 74/11, 76/24, 77/1, 78/1, 78/6, 78/9, 78/15, 78/17, 78/19, 79/2, 79/5, 79/24, 80/1, 81/5, 82/20, 84/3, 85/4, 86/25, 87/7, 87/19, 80/1, 81/5, 82/20, 84/3, 85/4, 86/25, 87/7, 87/19, 88/21, 89/10, 90/15, 90/19, 91/15, 91/21, 91/24, 92/5, 92/9, 92/15, 92/22, 93/4, 93/13, 93/15, 93/18, 93/20, 93/22, 94/1, 94/4, 94/10, 94/14, 94/17, 94/24, 95/8, 95/12, 95/16, 95/19, 96/2, 96/15, 96/17, 96/21, 97/6, 97/22, 98/19, 99/2, 99/5, 99/20, 99/24, 100/1, 100/4, 100/8, 100/12, 100/17, 101/3, 101/5, 101/7, 103/10, 103/12, 104/9 RPR-CP 105/6 rule 77/23 rules 76/6, 79/4 ruling 73/17, 73/22 run 16/1, 18/12, 83/19, 93/23 Running 24/20, 42/2, 59/15, 75/3, 89/12

S

S.A.P.A 2/9 sale 65/20 sales 23/13, 47/22, 47/25, 48/4, 48/7, 48/11, 48/13, 48/19, 48/22, 49/3, 49/5, 49/13 sandwiched 38/11 sat 43/7, 47/11, 70/25 satisfactory 82/3 saw 6/5, 103/18 scale 9/23

required 13/13, 18/24, 68/25

requirement 39/16, 39/17

scenario 49/10 schedule 7/8, 34/2, 89/13 scheduled 104/11 scheme 44/13, 67/10 school 96/4 scope 6/9 Scouts 20/14 Scratch 4/6 scratching 33/13 season 8/1, 8/2, 104/3 second 3/18, 4/17, 4/18, 27/6, 33/23, 38/14, 40/13, 40/15, 54/8, 54/9, 51/18, 70/7, 70/9, 78/6, 78/7, 78/8, 78/9, 93/13, 93/14 second-floor 60/22 seconded 40/5 Secretary-Treasurer 1/10 Secretary/Treasurer 2/16 section 48/3 Security 12/5, 12/9, 22/7 Selection 92/22 self-fuel 79/10, 80/12, 81/19, 81/23, 82/7, 85/6, Self-fueling 2/14, 79/6, 79/7, 80/20, 93/11, 93/12 self-serve 9/1, 80/16 self-sufficient 16/1 sell 23/20, 51/15, 57/8, 59/11, 64/5 selling 69/9 sells 57/13, 86/19 sending 19/9 sends 19/14 senior 12/2 sense 62/10 separate 91/3 separation 7/5 serve 5/17, 6/15, 12/7 served 26/5 serves 27/14 session 95/22, 97/16, 99/12 set 3/18, 7/5 sets 3/8 settle 8/21 settled 53/18 setup 50/5, 68/24 severe 59/21 SGJ 24/14 share 99/7, 104/1 shared 50/11, 64/25, 98/18 shirt 41/4 shoot 33/25 shop 47/14, 47/15 short 62/2, 62/6 short-duration 56/16 short-term 67/16 shortest 42/22, 50/20 show 57/10, 68/13, 89/7 shut 52/23 side 16/6, 44/1, 46/6, 49/15, 61/11, 78/21 sides 27/13, 38/22 sign 10/6, 17/21, 17/24, 18/7, 33/10, 101/20 signage 26/21, 32/24, 32/25 signature 74/6 signed 19/20 silly 52/24 simple 32/10, 36/11, 47/10 sit 5/11, 5/14, 5/22, 5/23, 6/2, 30/2, 40/22, 71/7, 89/7, 89/16, 95/25, 99/18 site 20/14, 22/23 sits 56/11, 61/19 sitting 5/8, 72/8 situation 12/19, 12/22, 20/22, 30/16, 47/18, 61/21, 67/2, 67/5, 67/24, 89/17, 90/2 situation's 82/6 six 8/18, 19/1, 44/9 six-month 51/20 Sixty 87/5, 87/6, 87/7, 87/8 size 33/1, 33/4, 33/10, 64/18 SK 17/23 skew 51/18 skipping 20/24 slack 14/2, 14/6 slash 55/2 sleeves 41/4 sliding 9/23 slim 59/18 Slingluff 2/8 smaller 33/10 Smith 102/10, 102/16 snickers 14/16 sold 58/18

sophomore 96/4 sort 8/9, 8/11 souls 23/5 sound 63/14 sounds 13/10 source 80/10 sources 20/8 space 15/24, 16/5, 16/8, 21/9, 24/17, 36/1, 36/9, 37/1, 37/13, 60/12, 60/17, 60/22, 61/19, 64/9, 69/11 spaces 16/24, 36/13, 36/20, 67/11 speaker 28/9 specialist 22/8 specialized 102/21 specialties 103/5 specified 82/4 speed 74/17 speeds 8/1 spend 73/19 spin 23/6 Sport 2/8, 6/23, 6/24, 7/6, 8/25, 23/13, 28/25, 34/22, 81/18, 85/8, 88/4, 90/21, 91/12 spot 7/18 spots 67/14, 67/15 spreadsheet 79/21 square 15/18, 15/20, 15/22, 16/7, 17/6, 17/7, 17/14, 27/2, 30/21, 30/25, 32/1, 33/14, 37/15, 37/24, 38/3, 57/16, 64/14, 64/16 38/3, 5/140, 04/47, 0.03 square-foot 37/20 Staff 10/15, 39/23, 42/10, 67/4, 69/2, 69/17, 70/15, 79/16, 94/6, 101/13 Staff's 10/12, 54/4, 65/19 stand 3/16, 3/23 standard 10/11, 10/16, 17/15, 37/19, 45/17, 85/22 standards 17/19, 21/8, 85/16, 85/17 standpoint 6/18 stands 85/15 start 41/5, 91/9, 91/13, 91/16 started 7/7, 29/7, 44/10, 77/18, 90/13 starting 5/24, 104/3 state 10/25, 24/24, 77/4, 77/6, 77/24, 105/3 statement 10/22, 11/3, 13/20, 22/13, 30/1, 38/7, statements 14/9 States 14/22 statistical 48/5 stay 46/15 stenographic 105/9 stenographically 105/7 step 37/2, 59/19 stick 96/10 sticking 27/1 storage 47/16, 47/20 stores 58/25 straight 35/6, 47/23 stream 66/11 Street 1/17, 56/17, 86/18 stretch 55/20 structure 44/15, 48/23 structured 39/11 stuck 64/8 study 24/11 stuff 61/9, 62/24, 63/18 subject 10/8, 18/12, 50/3, 75/15, 76/6, 76/12, 76/18, 85/12 sublease 64/5 subleasing 34/18 submit 19/24, 22/17 subsidize 29/20 subsidizing 33/19 subtract 48/7 subtraction 48/10, 48/20 success 66/25 successfully 64/5, 64/6 succinctly 15/2 suffered 18/19 suggestion 47/11, 66/15, 87/23, 88/20 suggestions 42/6, 42/7, 53/17 Suite 1/22 sum 56/4 summar 79/9 summary Sunshine 99/2 superb 23/3 supplier 86/4 support 13/13, 16/19, 21/24, 82/1 supported 28/22 supporting 38/10 supports 75/10

survival 59/17 SUSAN 1/17, 9/13 suspect 51/8, 64/6 sweeping 36/22 sympathize 29/18 system 9/1, 9/2, 10/4, 48/8, 80/17, 88/25, 89/4, 89/6

T

T-hangars 37/24 table 50/8, 68/24 talk 23/13, 71/7, 71/8, 72/6, 90/23, 98/20, 98/21, talked 35/22, 51/4, 71/1, 88/9 talking 32/24, 64/15, 97/10, 98/23, 99/9 talks 20/14, 23/14 task 12/7, 12/8 tax 21/23, 38/15, 72/1, 76/3 taxes 21/19, 28/23, 29/2, 75/6, 98/8 taxpayer 98/3, 98/12 taxpayers 28/19, 28/20, 28/23, 29/1, 29/19, 33/18 Tech 79/9 technical 26/4 temporary 72/23 ten 17/8 Tenant 2/13, 54/21, 54/23, 69/4, 102/21, 102/22 tenants 8/6, 14/7, 14/25, 20/23, 21/18, 21/19, 35/25, 103/16 tenure 102/6 term 44/15, 47/1, 58/17, 62/2, 62/6 terminal 65/3, 67/9, 67/18 terminate 16/12, 18/21, 20/25, 21/6 terminates 73/23 terminating 21/16 termination 19/2 terms 10/15, 24/3, 24/10, 26/3, 27/12, 39/6, 43/3, 45/16, 52/12, 56/21, 58/18, 73/14, 74/15, 77/23, 88/12, 88/13 terrible 14/9, 24/13 terfible 14/3, 24/13 testimony 19/22 Thank 5/6, 11/12, 28/1, 40/10, 52/17, 52/18, 53/11, 68/9, 68/11, 81/5, 88/21, 100/16, 100/20, 100/25, 103/9, 103/10, 103/11, 104/7, 104/8, 104/9 Thanks 9/5, 100/15 theirs 37/21 theory 35/10, 64/13, 71/13 They've 82/6 third 27/7, 33/24 thousand 14/15 Three 8/16, 16/9, 16/25, 27/1, 27/9, 29/15, 67/22, 80/25, 84/1 three-hour 67/17, 67/20 three-month 51/20, 52/7 three-quarters 68/17 thrive 38/13 tie 47/2 tied 63/21, 97/24 time 3/10, 15/1, 16/5, 24/9, 25/4, 25/5, 31/15, 32/11, 35/13, 42/19, 42/23, 44/3, 50/21, 53/21, 55/24, 56/19, 59/6, 59/16, 60/11, 66/7, 71/12, 79/19, 80/18, 81/13, 82/4, 83/16, 83/21, 83/23, 83/25, 84/23, 86/15, 88/1, 91/11, 102/10, 102/13 timely 87/4 times 13/4, 28/10, 29/16, 68/7, 90/4, 101/10 token 61/4, 62/15, 84/11 tolerances 49/17 Top 2/12, 9/18, 9/20, 11/18, 12/1, 12/21, 14/23, 16/10, 16/13, 17/20, 18/6, 19/8, 22/18, 25/25, 28/24, 39/23, 40/12, 40/22, 41/13, 43/13, 47/13, 50/5 topic 65/7 toto 47/1 touchdown 23/1 tougher 27/6 tourism 22/16, 22/20 tourists 21/21, 23/20 tower 7/3, 7/4, 7/7, 7/20 Towers 1/17 trade 22/16 training 23/10 transcript 105/8 transfer 59/4 transportation 23/8 travel 22/8, 22/16, 22/20, 23/2 treasurer 100/13 treated 100/23 trouble 67/20 true 105/8 truth 29/24

surrounding 12/19, 17/6

tune 28/23 turn 13/14, 16/14, 53/19 turned 35/14, 91/18, 102/17 turnkey 81/2 TV 7/18 two 3/8, 11/16, 11/22, 12/19, 13/7, 13/25, 15/10, 15/12, 16/23, 19/21, 20/3, 20/25, 21/6, 21/13, 21/17, 24/7, 26/20, 27/9, 29/13, 31/20, 31/23, 38/18, 38/19, 51/16, 60/8, 65/12, 83/9, 83/24, 89/23, 91/2, 94/19, 100/21, 102/12 type 7/2, 13/10, 17/1, 19/13, 33/2

worked 43/25, 53/25, 67/13, 89/23, 90/10, 94/25, 103/6
working 23/23, 26/18, 29/13, 41/5, 77/18, 81/2
world 22/12, 101/22
worry 70/4
worth 96/5
write-off 20/23
writing 19/1, 52/12
written 79/13, 82/3, 96/6
wrong 99/17
wrote 14/7, 97/10
WUELLNER 1/19, 102/7

U

U.S 1/3, 10/7, 12/2, 15/8, 17/21, 17/24, 32/22, 55/17, 68/5
unacceptable 46/23
uncouth 99/16
undervalued 19/22
unemployment 13/15, 22/5
unit 85/9
United 14/22
Universal 79/12, 79/17, 94/8
unknown 44/5
unloading 61/24
unpopular 29/4
unreasonable 50/16
upper 21/11, 38/4, 48/3
user 67/16
users 7/25, 36/17, 55/24, 84/19

X

X 2/1, 17/7

Y

year 8/24, 9/25, 17/10, 27/5, 27/7, 30/4, 30/15, 30/20, 31/9, 31/11, 31/17, 31/19, 32/6, 33/13, 33/15, 33/23, 33/24, 33/25, 34/3, 35/5, 35/14, 48/19, 49/21, 52/10, 66/9, 69/13, 90/22, 94/25, 97/7 year's 27/6 years 12/3, 12/16, 18/25, 27/4, 27/9, 29/12, 29/16, 30/25, 32/3, 32/11, 35/16, 39/13, 47/23, 48/1, 48/15, 48/18, 48/19, 50/3, 50/5, 88/9 years' 48/7

Z

zero 15/21, 32/7

V

vacant 64/9 valid 57/7 valorem 28/23, 29/2, 75/6, 75/14, 75/20, 76/3, valuation 17/3, 17/11, 17/15, 21/8, 24/6, 31/22, 50/15, 57/10 value 15/20, 15/24, 16/16, 17/2, 20/7, 31/15, 32/1, 34/10, 35/14, 35/17, 39/4, 39/8, 39/15, 61/7 variables 50/22 Vedra 51/3 venue 73/8 verbatim 11/9 viable 89/6, 102/2 Vic 90/25, 103/10 Victor 51/1, 87/20, 88/21, 101/8 violates 73/19 violation 73/22, 73/23 virtual 13/16 visible 33/6 visitors 23/9 voicing 8/6 vote 4/14, 20/20, 32/18, 39/25, 42/9, 42/11, 52/24, 52/25, 53/6, 53/9, 53/19, 54/12, 70/13, 74/4, 90/12, 91/7, 92/17, 92/25, 97/16

W

voted 53/8, 53/15, 81/16

wait 30/8, 40/2, 87/19 waited 83/11 walk 62/3, 90/23 warehouse 37/13 warrants 36/24 Washington 22/8 watch 67/21 water 98/11 WATTS 1/10, 2/16, 100/8, 100/9 web 20/14, 22/23 week 30/11, 65/11, 89/16, 89/23, 90/22 weeks 8/18, 12/18, 65/12 weigh 88/16 weighs 61/14 welcome 69/25 well-established 21/7 white 34/20 wholesaler 81/3 WILLIAM 1/9 willing 15/3, 20/24, 43/22, 53/3, 88/24 wise 101/20 wish 102/6, 103/1 woefully 19/22 wondering 19/15, 81/7, 86/15 word 32/10, 103/7 words 34/14, 53/18, 60/8 work 7/7, 22/9, 23/12, 30/6, 30/12, 40/23, 43/22, 44/4, 47/3, 53/3, 72/18, 89/21, 95/14, 96/1