ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY

Regular Meeting

held at 4796 U.S. 1 North

St. Augustine, Florida

on Monday, September 16, 2013

from 4:00 p.m. to 4:50 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROBERT COX, Acting Chairman KELLY BARRERA JOSEPH CIRIELLO MATTHEW MERCER

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

CARL YOUMAN, Chairman

ALSO PRESENT:

DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, St. Johns Law Group, 509 Anastasia Boulevard, St. Augustine, FL, 32080, Attorney for Airport Authority.

EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.

JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570

1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
4	MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL REPORT	3
5	AGENDA APPROVAL	3
6	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT	4
7	BUSINESS PARTNERS UPDATE	7
8	BUSINESS ITEMS	
9	A. FIND CEREMONIAL CHECK PRESENTATION	12
10	B. ENGINEERING RFQ C. TAXIWAY D-3	25 35
11	PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL	46
12	AUTHORITY MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS	46
13	ADJOURNMENT	48
14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	49
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN COX: I'd like to call to order the
3	regular meeting of the St. Augustine-St. Johns
4	County Airport Authority meeting of 9/16, 2013.
5	Are we recording okay, Cindy?
6	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Pledge of Allegiance, please.
8	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
9	MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL REPORT
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Good afternoon, everybody. So
11	let's go right into the meeting minutes and
12	financial report. Any corrections or amendments to
13	the meeting minutes from anybody?
14	(None.)
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The minutes will be
16	approved as distributed. Financial report, since
17	I'm on the financial side of it, the
18	secretary-treasurer, everything on the financial
19	side's good and up to date. We went to a whole new
20	system and I'm getting electronic reports on that,
21	so we're good to go for the financial report.
22	AGENDA APPROVAL
23	CHAIRMAN COX: Any corrections or amendments
24	to the meeting agenda? Anybody have any comments?
25	(None.)

CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The agenda is approved 1 2 as distributed. 3 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Executive director report? 5 MR. WUELLNER: A couple of items worth noting. 6 The Delta 3 project, which included the design side 7 of this project, is really at final billing. As 8 you're well aware, we're -- I'll report on that 9 later as an agenda item, but the -- awaiting grant 10 allocation or notification of grant for the actual construction. But the Delta 3 design component is 11 12 almost complete and we'll be wrapping up over the 13 next 30 days in terms of bill-out and close with 14 FAA. And that included the element to the Wild --15 16 Wildlife Hazard Management Plan required under 17 Part 139. So that is wrapped up, too. And the 18 training related to Life -- Wildlife Hazard 19 Management Plan will be in early October and that 20 will conclude the requirements under 139 there. 21 Make you aware of fuel supplier conversion 22 here. I think most of you are aware of it already, 23 but the -- we have converted from branded fuel of 2.4 Phillips 66 to Shell. We'll be holding a kickoff

event with the Pilots Association this coming

```
Saturday just to make them aware of that transition.
```

2.4

remote parking, I know you know this, for Gentlemen of the Road tour held this last weekend. A little over 3,000 vehicles made -- made their way here to the airport to get on shuttles to attend that event. By all reports our -- by our staff, it went well. Probably if anything, it was a little overkill in terms of the accommodation for it, but it all went extremely well. No problems here or anything else. In fact, the only minor incursion we had was by City of St. Augustine staff one evening just drove through a barricade that they shouldn't have been through, but no -- no problems or no aircraft operating in the area or anything like that.

(Mr. Burnett enters the room.)

MR. WUELLNER: And upcoming item of interest, just to make you -- remind you that the MS 150 bike ride event will be weekend after this, the weekend of the 28th and 29th. That will be hosted here as it has been for the last six, seven, eight years.

So we're -- was actually nice we were mostly set up. As a result of the last weekend's concert

```
event, we're pretty much set up for the -- for the
 1
 2
          event coming up in weekend after next. So don't
 3
          expect any issues with that. It's always a well
          run and well orchestrated event and don't expect
 5
          any problems. So there -- unless there are any
 6
          questions relative to those items, I'm good.
 7
               CHAIRMAN COX: Any questions?
 8
               MR. MERCER: Can you clarify fuel supplier
 9
          conversion, what that is?
10
               MR. WUELLNER: We converted from Phillips as a
          supplier to Shell as a supplier.
11
12
              MR. MERCER: Okay.
13
              MR. WUELLNER: And that was hugely beneficial
14
          to our local pilots.
              MR. MERCER: Okay. Is that on both the jet
15
16
          and the --
17
              MR. WUELLNER: We don't do jet. This is just
18
          self-fuel.
19
              MR. MERCER: Just on the self-fueling?
20
              MR. WUELLNER: Only self-fuel.
21
              MR. MERCER: Okay. Thank you.
2.2
              MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
23
                     BUSINESS PARTNER UPDATE
2.4
               CHAIRMAN COX: Business partner updates.
```

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - SEPTEMBER 16, 2013

Mr. Sanchez? Is Mr. Sanchez here? Anybody from

```
the county commission?
 1
 2
                          (Not present.)
 3
               CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Sanchez is not here. Steve
          Smith, Galaxy, any updates?
 5
                          (Not present.)
 6
               CHAIRMAN COX: No update. Reba Ludlow is not
 7
          present. Dan Nehring?
 8
                          (Not present.)
 9
               CHAIRMAN COX: Nobody from Northrop Grumman.
10
          Norm Gregory, EDC?
11
                         (Not present.)
12
               CHAIRMAN COX: Norm's not here. So batting
13
          500 so far. Jim Zimmerman, IDA.
14
                          (Not present.)
               CHAIRMAN COX: You're it, Doug.
15
16
               MR. BURNETT: Thank you.
17
               CHAIRMAN COX: Doug Burnett.
18
               MR. BURNETT: Very very briefly -- I apologize
          for being late this afternoon, I was in the field
19
20
          on a -- on a case.
21
               Very briefly, though, I wanted to update you
22
          on a litigation matter that's somewhat friendly
23
          litigation, to the extent you can have such a
24
          thing, and that is a case that's been going on with
25
          the Airport Authority versus the tax collector. We
```

1	have a difference of opinion related to the payment
2	of taxes on rental property, and the quick nuance
3	on that issue is that the airport's property is not
4	subject to taxation.

The tax collector believes that the airport's property when leased to a tenant is subject to taxation. And as to that particular issue, I don't know that we as the Airport Authority really care so long as they're looking to the tenant to pay the tax. But when they look to the airport because the tenant hasn't paid the tax, then we have a problem.

So the short part of it is we've got a declaratory relief action which has been out there for a while now. We've cross-motioned for summary judgment, which you'll appreciate, to resolve the case on the legal merits because it's not one of those cases where you have a factual dispute. Evidence and testimony isn't going to make the difference. It's a function of what the Florida law provides.

So with that, we were set at a hearing this past week. We, in our -- in our responsive motion to the tax collector raised an administrative rule that is part of the Department of Revenue which is controlling law, and they are evaluating that

```
issue. We believe very strongly in the
```

- 2 Airport Authority's position on the issues.
- 3 So, that's -- that's it in a nutshell. And I
- 4 think we'll have resolution of it if not next
- 5 month, then October -- excuse me, then in November
- it will be resolved. And again, it's one of those
- 7 friendly types of cases, we just simply have a
- 8 disagreement and need the judge to rule on it to
- 9 make a determination.
- MR. MERCER: Mr. Burnett, is this involving
- sales tax, I certainly take it? What kind of tax?
- MR. WUELLNER: Ad valorem.
- MR. MERCER: Ad valorem? Okay.
- MR. BURNETT: Sales tax on the rental, if you
- 15 will, but paying it through the Airport Authority
- 16 ad valorem tax dollars.
- MR. MERCER: Okay.
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Just out of curiosity, how does
- the county approach their own properties in the
- same circumstances?
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's -- it's a different
- 22 matter.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: I don't want it elongate it. I
- just thought it would be a simple answer.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah, we're slightly different

```
on ours because we have airport revenues --
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: -- that we believe are exempt
- 4 under FAA rules --
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 6 MR. BURNETT: -- and federal law --
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 8 MR. BURNETT: -- from payment of those things.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: And probably even more
- 10 fundamental is counties are subsets of the state
- 11 and are immune from taxation. So the issue
- 12 wouldn't even -- there'd be no basis to even tax
- 13 the county.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Which goes to our position --
- MR. WUELLNER: But we're exempt --
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: -- which is under the FAA,
- we're immune from the same position.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: We're not immune. We are
- 19 exempt.
- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: We're granted an exception
- through the legislation --
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Got it.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: -- so the treatment's a little
- different.

```
MR. MERCER: Is this with Judge Maltz?
 1
 2
               MR. BURNETT: It is.
 3
               MR. MERCER: And we have a motion pending a
 4
          summary judgment. Do we have a hearing yet?
 5
               MR. BURNETT: We were supposed to have a
 6
          hearing this last week.
 7
               MR. MERCER: Okay.
 8
               MR. BURNETT: And right now, the -- it was
 9
          framed with the tax collector having filed the
10
          lawsuit to begin with for the declaratory relief.
          They were the ones more motivated. We don't
11
12
          believe the Airport Authority should be paying it,
13
          so the Airport Authority hasn't paid it. It really
14
          boils down to two particular tenants over on the
15
          airport property.
16
               MR. MERCER: Uh-huh.
17
               MR. BURNETT: And so the tax collector, since
18
          they filed it, they filed the motion for summary
19
          judgment, which procedurally we thought was
20
          advantageous for us because they would file a
```

cross-motion for summary judgment, which we did.

We raised the issue which they didn't raise in

theirs related to the Department of Revenue rules.

21

2.2

motion for summary judgment first, we would have

the benefit of their work product to then file a

- And so now they're analyzing that issue presumably
 to file an amended motion for summary judgment, and
 then we'll file an amended cross-motion for summary
 judgment.
- 5 MR. MERCER: Got it.

14

- 6 MR. BURNETT: But that Department of Revenue 7 ruling may dispose of the case. We believe it is 8 dispositive.
- 9 MR. MERCER: Right. Very good. Thank you.
 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Let's move on to the
 11 next agenda item. But just one -- for decorum
 12 sake, please run your questions to have the floor
 13 through the Chair. Thank you. Go ahead, Ed.

FIND CEREMONIAL CHECK PRESENTATION

- 15 MR. WUELLNER: I would -- Cindy, if you'll 16 bring up the other PowerPoint. It's my pleasure to 17 introduce Mr. Carl Blow. He was a -- our local 18 commissioner on the Florida Inland Navigation 19 District board. And Carl is here to make you aware 20 of some of the efforts that FIND does as well as at 21 the end has a little not surprise, but has a 22 presentation he'd like to make back to the board. So --23
- MR. BLOW: Thank you for having me today. I realize you guys are on a tight schedule, so I may

go kind of fast. And if you have any questions,

please --

MR. WUELLNER: Get you to either use the mic

or carry it with you or stand there, it's your

choice.

MR. BLOW: I'm not used to all of this

technology here. Anyway, my name is Carl Blow and

thank you for having me today.

2.4

We have a little PowerPoint that basically explains what the Florida Inland Navigation

District is. And I'm just going to go through this. If you have any questions, please, you know, stop me and ask them.

But basically we are a -- the local sponsor of Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway in Florida. We are a special taxing district like yourselves. Our district covers the 12 counties on the east coast of Florida from Nassau County all the way down to Miami-Dade. There's 12 commissioners, one for each county. We're appointed by the Governor. The -- and we -- basically our revenue is derived from real estate taxes. We're very proud of the fact that 98 percent of the revenue we collect is used for operations and maintenance and only 2 percent is used for administration.

Our program's basically we're responsible for
securing the right-of-way and any easements or
whatever real estate's necessary for the Army Corps
of Engineers to operate the Intracoastal Waterway.
We also have an assistance program whereby we help
local governments provide public access to the
waterway, and that's that's the program that
this Airport Authority's been involved in.

But just to give you a little history, the Intracoastal Waterway was originally a private canal -- back in the 1881 and 18 -- to 1912, it was a private canal, and -- and it was pretty small as you can see, five foot deep, 50 feet wide. The -- in 1927, it was decided that it would be best for the State of Florida and the federal government to take the canal over. So the Florida legislature created FIND in 1927. And our function is of course a service, a -- the quote local partner with the Army Corps of Engineers. I'll just move along here.

Today, we're responsible for the Intracoastal Waterway 460 miles in length. It's 12 feet mean low water from the Florida-Georgia border down to Fort Pierce and then 10 feet from Fort Pierce to Miami and then 7 feet to -- on down to the cross

1	bank as you go into the Keys. And then we're also
2	responsible for the Okeechobee waterway from
3	basically the eastern half of it from the ICW over
4	to the Lake Okeechobee.

In order to maintain the waterway, we have to dredge approximately 500,000 cubic yards a year, and the cost of that ranges between \$12 and \$14 million. Ideally or the way it's supposed to work is the cost, that — that \$12 to \$14 million is supposed to be provided by the federal government.

Starting about 15, 20 years ago, the federal government hasn't fully funded that expense on an annual basis and basically FIND has — using your real estate taxes, has pick — has picked up the difference and right now it runs about 50/50. FIND provides 50 percent of the funding and typically the federal government provides the other 50 percent. Here again, our primary responsibility was to secure the right—of—way and any necessary uplands and easements.

The -- currently we have about 22,000 acres of right-of-way and 35,000 acres for what's called dredge material management facilities. And what those are is if you're familiar with the waterway back in the -- in the 1920s and 30s and 40s when it

1	was improved by the federal government, back then
2	that was prior to environmental regulations and you
3	could basically set up a dredge and go down the
4	waterway and pump the spoil to the side and
5	actually create an island.

If you're familiar with the waterway, there's a lot of islands along the sides. Well, those are all man-made. But you can't do that anymore due to environmental regulations. So what we have to do when we dredge the waterway is we have to pump that material to upland storage facilities which are all permitted by both the federal and state governments.

And so starting in the early 1980s, we went up and down the east coast of Florida securing these sites to build these facilities. And they typically run between 200 acres to -- we have some smaller ones down in South Florida that are probably about 50 acres.

But our -- our responsibility is to build those facilities and have them available for maintenance dredging when the federal government is ready to do that. That's just a little graphic of one of them. That's Peanut Island down in Riviera Beach, which is a spoil island that's very popular

1	for recreational purposes. This is a picture of
2	where we're pumping material into one of these
3	facilities, which are just basically a giant bowl,
4	and that's a sand water slurry and they allow the
5	water to return back to the waterway.

Let's see. Over the next 50 years, we'll move 12 and a half million cubic yards of beach quality material onto eight beach sites and 12 and a half million cubic yards on what is basically nonbeach sites into these facilities.

Most of our dredging activity occurs at ocean inlets where the Intracoastal Waterway intersects an inlet like here in St. Augustine. Typically that's where we have the -- the most shoaling. And fortunately in those areas, we're able to move those shoals -- because the sand is beach quality, we're able to put it back on the beach. And in this case in St. Augustine, we typically put it on the Anastasia State Park or St. Augustine Beach around the pier. Here again, these are just some current projects. I'll just burn through this real quick. The -- now, this is the assistance program. This is what you guys have been involved in.

In the early 80s, the Florida legislature directed us to come up with a program to help local

1	governments improve the access to the Intracoastal
2	Waterway. And and so we set up a grant program,
3	and its function is to help cities and counties
4	improve public boat ramps, improve public viewing
5	piers, and fishing piers.

And -- and a big one is to improve, it's

Number 5 there, acquisition and development of

public -- well, that's dredge material management

areas and commercial industrial access. That's the

biggie that really where -- where the

Airport Authority comes into play.

Over the last several years, we've worked with staff basically to hopefully return your -- your seaplane basin/barge terminal back to its original depth. The -- that's particularly important to FIND, because as far as I know, this is the only airport on the east coast of Florida that has direct water access to the Intracoastal Waterway. And so that's -- to us, that's very unique and that's something that we love -- you know, we've been partnering with you and would like to continue to partner with you to make sure that that facility remains viable.

But anyway, you can see some of the other projects here. We also are fairly heavily involved

- in environmental restoration-type projects 1 2 primarily down in South Florida, Lake Worth and 3 those areas. Similar to what you guys did out here on your own spoil island, which actually turned out 5 great.
- 6 Here is just a little recap on these grant projects here that have been done in 7 St. Johns County. It's been about 52 projects over 8 9 the -- since the program has -- was started. About \$60 million and FIND has contributed about 4 --10 almost \$5 million in funding for that. And here 11 12 again, here is just a list of some of the projects, 13 and you guys are down there on the bottom, the 14 airport barge terminal channel dredging.

Then we also are involved in waterway cleanup. 16 We have actually a separate grant program that in 17 the case of St. Johns County, we work with the City of St. Augustine to remove derelict boats, and 19 that's what we do up here. Further south, we're 20 pretty heavily involved in -- in restoration of 21 these spoil islands so that they can be used for 22 recreation. In this area, we really don't have a lot of spoil islands that are very accessible 23 2.4 for -- for boat traffic.

15

18

25 And then we also publish a lot of data for

```
the -- for the boaters. And this is just a sample
of some of the stuff we publish. We -- we actually
do have an economic study for each of the 12
counties. So if you're interested in that, that's
on our web site and it's by county. We have one
for St. Johns County.
```

Here's a little thing on the economics of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway in Florida. As far as commercial traffic, you can see the numbers there. The -- unfortunately, that first one on fuel oil, what's -- what's occurred is that was fuel oil that was shipped to Florida Power & Light plants down there on the Indian River. And those plants recently switched to natural gas, so that number actually is probably going to go away.

The reason this is important is the Army Corps of Engineers, when they analyze economic benefits for waterways, they use what's called the ton mile. And basically they're — they only look at commercial traffic. They put no weight on recreational traffic and recreational value. And that's unfortunate in Florida because that's what most of the economic impact is for our Intracoastal Waterway.

Here is just a picture of, you know, another

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - SEPTEMBER 16, 2013

1	use for the Intracoastal Waterway is use those
2	moving very large objects, which in your case is
3	E-2 Hawkeyes. You can only do that on a barge.

1.3

The -- as far as recreational statistics, we have about 500,000 registered vessels in Florida, lots of visiting vessels, and lots of vessel trips so to speak. But that represents almost a \$15 billion economic impact to the State of Florida and obviously a lot of personal wages and close to 115,000 jobs and obviously adds a lot to the property values. That aerial is the Fort Lauderdale boat show, to give you an idea, and of course that's the Intracoastal Waterway right there.

Going back to the Army Corps of Engineers,
they don't -- they don't use any of this data when
they are determining the economic impact of a
waterway. They only use ton miles. And I've been
using a photograph that you guys supplied me of an
E-2 Hawkeye being floated onto a barge here.

And we've used that for the last two years whenever we go to D.C. to meet with the Army Corps of Engineers and also our elected representatives to demonstrate to them that this ton mile calculation is inherently flawed because it's based

2.2

```
on shipping soybeans and coal and big bulk items.
1
```

2 And when you look at that E-2 Hawkeye, that 3 photograph that you guys supplied me, you know that's a very -- I mean, it doesn't weigh much, 5 it's an airplane, it's very light, but the value of it is \$90 million. And so we are trying to get the 7 Corps to change their -- their formula and to consider other than just ton miles or the weight of 8 the soybeans but -- but the residential or the 10 recreational impact.

> Let's see. Other than that, this is just some economic data that says if you didn't maintain the waterway, this would be the negative impact of not doing that. It's just, you know, numbers. And then if you -- if you do maintain the waterway, then good things happen and your property values go up. And anyway, that's -- that's my little. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN COX: Very nice.

20 (No questions.)

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLOW: Well, anyway, like I say, we really do appreciate the partnership we have with the -with the Airport Authority.

Here again, as far as I know, you're the only airport that has direct access to the Intracoastal

```
Waterway. And I'd just like to report that staff
did submit some grant applications as you're aware
for this grant cycle for this next fiscal year and
you scored extremely high. So I hope to maybe be
bringing you another check here in the future.
```

As I mentioned to Ed, it might not be as much as you asked for because, here again, I have to -typically what happens is each county, we allocate a certain amount of money out of our budget for this grant program, and for some reason Carl Blow is always oversubscribed. I always have more grants than I have funding available. So we'll be -- Ed and I will be discussing what we can do to get into the budget.

CHAIRMAN COX: That's because you do such great presentations, Carl.

MR. BLOW: Well, I don't know about that.

CHAIRMAN COX: No, it's true.

MR. BLOW: But anyway, here again, I want to thank you. And also one quick thing on a side note. This really has nothing do with FIND. But I'm involved in the artificial reef program here in St. Johns County as well as Flagler County and I just — hopefully you've seen something in the paper where you've been mentioned as — as an

```
1 important partner in that program.
```

- And I just want to, you know, take this

 opportunity to thank you because you are an

 important partner in that program and so far this

 summer alone, we've put out 800 tons of material on

 the county line down there off Marineland and

 St. Johns -- that was a Flagler County project.
- And St. Johns County is in the process of

 putting the project out for bid to probably move

 2000 more tons. And without your help and without

 being allowed to store that material down there on

 Big Oak Road, it wouldn't be possible to have an

 artificial reef program. So thanks a lot.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, so much.
- MR. BLOW: And here's your check. I'll
- 16 just --
- MR. WUELLNER: Get you guys up there and get a quick photo.
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: How about right over here?
- MR. WUELLNER: That's good. Get all of our
- 21 board members up here.
- 22 (FIND check presentation.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Very nice presentation, Carl.
- Are you going to be around at the end of the
- 25 meeting --

1	MR. BLOW: Yes, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: if any board members want to
3	have any individual questions?
4	MR. BLOW: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Next agenda item?
6	ENGINEERING RFQ
7	MR. WUELLNER: Next agenda item is relates
8	to professional aviation engineering and planning
9	services request for qualifications.
10	As we reported at previous meetings, we went
11	out on a and solicited for aviation consulting
12	engineering planning services. That solicitation
13	was done consistent with Florida's Competitive
14	Consultants' Competitive Negotiations Act, which is
15	contained in Florida Statute 287.057, which is also
16	consistent with the Airport Authority's
17	administrative policy for acquisition of these
18	services.
19	The solicitation was was out in publication
20	of record for the July 15th and the 23rd, was also
21	posted and direct e-mailed through Florida Airports

of record for the July 15th and the 23rd, was also
posted and direct e-mailed through Florida Airports
Council's web site. We also did direct mail to
bidders who had expressed an interest over the last
several years that had made contact with our
office. We directly contacted via mail. We had

eight firms contact us for additional information regarding that solicitation.

3 At the end at the time of expiration, we had two firms submitting for consideration related to 5 our aviation engineering and planning services. 6 Those two firms were Ricondo & Associates and 7 Passero Associates. Passero Associates I'll remind 8 you is our current aviation engineering firm. I do need to point out just for -- just so you have 9 10 clarity that Ricondo & Associates is only a 11 planning service firm. They -- they are not an 12 engineering firm.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Those two firms were as I said submitted for consideration. We evaluated those requests. We certainly have no issues with Passero Associates as a staff. You have had them for the past almost ten years as your engineer of record for projects on the airport. And we are recommending that you reselect Passero and authorize that we begin contract negotiations with them for contract-related action at your October meeting, so you approve a contract ideally at your October meeting.

Rank order for our purposes was Passero at number one and Ricondo & Associates at number two.

```
1 You as always have the ability to amend that list
```

- 2 to select either/or or both firms as -- as a part
- 3 of your reserve responsibilities and rights. So
- 4 with that, our recommendation is you reselect
- 5 Passero Associates as your engineering and planning
- 6 consultant for the coming years.
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: With that, I'll open this up
- 8 for board discussion. Any comments from the board?
- 9 Yes, sir? Go ahead, Joe.
- 10 MR. CIRIELLO: As far as the contract goes, I
- have no problems with the language that's in the
- 12 contract. But being a little nitpicky, I have a
- 13 little problem with what's not in the contract.
- 14 And --
- MR. WUELLNER: Contract?
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- as an example, I'll use this
- 17 letter I got from Hawkins Construction a while
- 18 back.
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Joe, can I ask you a question?
- There seems to be some confusion as to what
- 21 contract you're --
- MR. CIRIELLO: Passero.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Passero contract --
- 24 MR. CIRIELLO: That's what we're talking
- about, isn't it?

```
CHAIRMAN COX: Do you want to refine it?
 1
 2
              MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just for clarification,
 3
          all we're doing -- all we'd be doing today is
 4
          authorizing contract negotiations with Passero.
 5
          What you're probably referring to is the previous
 6
          five-year contract. We have not presented a
          contract and wouldn't until next month for any
 7
          services beyond this point. We're kind of --
 8
 9
               MR. CIRIELLO: I thought we were going to be
10
          voting on accepting Passero today.
               MR. WUELLNER: As a -- you're authorizing
11
12
          contract negotiation with Passero, not signing a
1.3
          contract with Passero yet.
14
               MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what I'm getting at is
          there's something I'd like to see put into that.
15
16
              MR. WUELLNER: Okay, okay.
17
              MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm getting at.
18
              MR. WUELLNER: Okay. That's fine then.
19
          sounded like you were reviewing a contract. That's
20
          why we stopped you.
21
              MR. CIRIELLO: No. I -- like I said, I'd just
22
          like to see something put in -- not only just this
          contract, but a lot of other things.
23
2.4
              MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
```

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - SEPTEMBER 16, 2013

MR. CIRIELLO: You may remember that there has

1	been times that as a board member I've been
2	critical of the board itself for some of the things
3	it does. And that seems kind of nasty, but in this
4	letter that I got, I think everybody else on the
5	board got one except Mr. Mercer, it said, "We were
6	told by Passero that the airport would pay for the
7	original carpet material and they could use it
8	elsewhere." The way I read that is that Passero is
9	speaking on behalf of the board. Now I've
10	personally been brought before the board on one
11	occasion for sure, maybe two, saying that I spoke
12	in public, saying that I spoke for the board when I
13	wasn't.

So what I'm saying is if -- I don't think that anybody that we deal with, whether it's the staff, the director, any contractors, that they should have a -- a right to make statements saying that the board will do this or do that without the board being aware of it.

And that's what I'd like to see put in here, is somehow that whoever is under contract with us, whoever our employees are, that they can't what I believe is the board's job in managing the airport, speak for the board. And that's the only little -- little thing that I want to see put in this.

```
1 Otherwise, I have no -- no problem with it.
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Mercer, any comment?
- 3 MR. MERCER: No comment.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 5 MS. BARRERA: None.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: All righty. Public comment? I
- 7 didn't get any -- receive any notifications from
- 8 anybody out there that anybody wanted to speak on
- 9 this agenda item. Is every -- I will allow that.
- Do you want -- anybody? No public comment?
- 11 (None.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, we're looking for a
- 13 motion, then, on which way to go. I'll open the
- 14 board --
- 15 MS. BARRERA: I'll make a motion that we
- authorize staff to pursue negotiations for
- 17 contracts to bring back to our October meeting for
- 18 engineering and planning.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: With?
- 20 MS. BARRERA: With Passero & Associates.
- 21 And -- and second order would be with Ricondo just
- for planning, correct?
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's up to you.
- MS. BARRERA: No, it would be a separate
- thing.

```
MR. WUELLNER: Our recommendation is just a
 1
 2
          single firm, but you're welcome -- you may add the
 3
          second firm if you wish.
 4
               MS. BARRERA: Well, my recommendation, since
 5
          Ricondo & Associates is only a planning-only firm
 6
          would be that we authorize as a board for Ed to --
 7
          and staff to enter into negotiations with Passero &
 8
          Associates to bring back a contract to our October
 9
          board meeting.
10
               CHAIRMAN COX: Per the recommended?
11
              MS. BARRERA: (Nods head.)
12
               CHAIRMAN COX: Do I have a second?
13
              MR. MERCER: Second.
14
              CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
              MR. CIRIELLO: Could I amend that?
15
16
               CHAIRMAN COX: Can you amend the motion?
17
              MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
18
               CHAIRMAN COX: I think the person that makes a
         motion has to amend the motion.
19
20
               MR. CIRIELLO: Well, that's not the way I
21
          thought the rules were.
2.2
               MR. BURNETT: You can --
23
              MR. WUELLNER: You can bring it up.
               MR. BURNETT: You can discuss it and raise
2.4
```

whatever issue you have and ask the maker of the --

```
1 maker of the motion if they will accept the change,
```

- 2 but it's up to the maker of the motion to make the
- 3 change now that we have a motion on the floor
- 4 that's been seconded.
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: I know, but I'm going back a
- 6 lot of years that any time a motion was made, if
- 7 somebody wanted to amend the original motion, as
- 8 long as it pertained to the motion, they could do
- 9 that.
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, we have a ruling from our
- board's attorney, so we're going to stick with
- 12 that.
- MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
- MR. BURNETT: Mr. Ciriello, you're welcome to
- 15 make your comments --
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Sure.
- 17 MR. BURNETT: -- for the maker of the motion
- to consider whether or not to amend it.
- MR. CIRIELLO: I don't get you.
- MR. BURNETT: Well, if you -- if you want to
- 21 ask the maker of the motion if she wants to accept
- your comment, then she can certainly amend her
- 23 motion to include it if she wants to.
- 24 Of course your comment may be one that sways
- 25 the entire board and the board may -- you may have

```
1 a vote of a -- the pending motion be one that fails
```

- for lack of votes, and therefore then it would be
- 3 open to the floor to have another motion with a
- 4 second that's different than the one that's
- 5 currently been made.
- 6 MS. BARRERA: If I may, after a motion's been
- 7 made, it's open for discussion -- and seconded,
- 8 there's opportunity for discussion regardless.
- 9 MR. BURNETT: Yeah, absolutely.
- MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- MR. CIRIELLO: What'd she say?
- MR. BURNETT: You can make discussion right
- now. You can say whatever your issue is.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I asked a questions if I
- 15 could amend the original motion. That's all I
- asked, and you're saying I can't, so there's no
- 17 sense saying it.
- 18 MR. BURNETT: Well, there's no way for the
- maker of the motion to know what the change is you
- 20 want unless you tell her what the change is you
- 21 want, though. That's I guess the issue.
- MR. MERCER: Mr. Chairman?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
- MR. MERCER: Mr. Ciriello, why don't you share
- your comment on what your idea was. That way,

```
before I vote on the pending motion, I can hear

your comment and then know whether I want to vote

yea or nay.
```

- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: I already did when I was 5 speaking on the contract. If --
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Guys, get your -- get closer to
 7 your microphone.
- MR. CIRIELLO: I already made my comment to

 what my objection -- not objection to the contract,

 what I'd like to see added to it. And that's all I

 was going to do, was amend the motion that that

 remark be included in the contract.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: If I might, we're not
 14 discussing direct contract negotiations right now,
 15 Joe. That's going to be after we select whoever it
 16 is that we bring on board, then we'll enter into
 17 those specific contract language issues that you're
 18 talking about.
- MR. CIRIELLO: So if we vote yes on the
 motion, this contract copy that I got sent to me
 isn't going to be in effect?
- MR. WUELLNER: No, it is not.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's the one that's expiring.
- MR. CIRIELLO: All right.

```
CHAIRMAN COX: Does that make sense?
 1
              MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
 2
               CHAIRMAN COX: Is that good? Okay. So we
 3
 4
          have a motion on the floor and a second.
 5
          Mr. Mercer, did you have any concern after
 6
          listening to what he said?
 7
              MR. MERCER: No concern.
 8
               CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Everyone in favor?
 9
              MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
10
              CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
              MR. MERCER: Aye.
11
12
              MS. BARRERA: Aye.
13
              CHAIRMAN COX: No nays?
14
                              (None.)
15
               CHAIRMAN COX: Motion passes. Agenda item,
16
          taxiway.
17
                           TAXIWAY D-3
18
              MR. WUELLNER: Taxiway Delta 3 project. A
19
          couple of things to just get out here in front of
20
          you.
21
               Just remind you Taxiway Delta 3, the project
22
          scope is to construct -- reconstruct Taxiway Delta
23
              I'll bring up a drawing here in just a second
24
          here and I'll explain it to everyone else. Also to
25
          reconstruct 2/20 between Runway 13/31 and Taxiway
```

1 Bravo.

2.2

Also includes an enclosed or the ability to enclose airfield drainage in the vicinity of K, L, M, and down through Taxiway F or where it merges with Taxiway F. That area we're calling attention to is basically the K, L, M T-hangar rows and the open ditch in front of the self-service area, to orient you. If you'll pop that up, if you would for me, Cindy. This probably is as good as any to talk to.

This is the drainage enclosure areas. So literally at the end of the three rows of T-hangars. I'm sorry this isn't real heavy contrast and this one keeps copping off for some reason. But anyway, these three areas would be enclosed -- or, excuse me, four areas enclosed in the drainage.

Delta 3, which is right now a very narrow taxiway connector here that can't support most aircraft, would be widened and facilitated into Runway 6/24 and this section of Runway 2/20 would be rehabilitated. This section of 2/20 would be rehabilitated also. It did not get the load-bearing improvement during the Taxiway B projects originally, so it needs to be done

- 1 regardless.
- We're still in an open discussion with FAA
- 3 about being able to do the simple overlay of these
- 4 two final sections here. We believe we can still
- 5 get it within the budget constraints we have for
- 6 this project. So we're anticipating being able to
- 7 do -- all of Runway 2/20 will be brand new pavement
- 8 at the end of the day, leaving only the small
- 9 section on the east/northeast edge that will be
- 10 picked up in the Taxiway Alpha project probably
- next year or the year after when that funds with
- 12 FAA. So at some point in the next couple of years,
- 13 the entirety of 2/20 will be rehabbed and be brand
- new pavement. So give you an idea of what -- the
- 15 current grant.
- We are awaiting -- we are awaiting grant
- 17 documents back from the FAA. It could be this
- 18 week. It shouldn't be any later than next week
- because we'll be out of time within the fiscal
- 20 year, so I do not expect those grant documents to
- 21 take any longer.
- The money -- the 1 -- approximately \$1.4
- 23 million of FAA funds, just to remind you, was --
- 24 were entitlement funds that were directly a result
- of having scheduled air service here with Skybus

1	back in 2007 to 2008. For some reason, a special
2	appropriation was made for for airports that had
3	service during that period of time. As a result,
4	we scored some extra money that was allocated to
5	this airport. This this project or this
6	combination of projects would be the resultant work
7	from that additional entitlement.

There are two additional contracts that we would like to ask the board to conditionally approve. They will be order of magnitude one to P & S Paving of Daytona who was the low bidder on this job at \$1,376,196. Also the runway -- excuse me, the resident project representative on the job with Passero at \$135,219.

I would ask you not to get wound on the number exactly because it will ultimately be a function of what the actual FAA grant comes in. But we'd like to get conditional approval to be able to accept those contracts as well as accept the FAA grant documents and allow the executive director's signature to those grants as we -- as we usually do.

That will commit the money because the -- the suspense I almost guarantee you with FAA will be about a 48-hour opportunity to sign the documents

```
1 and get them back, especially given how late it is
```

- in their fiscal year. Only what, ten days from a
- 3 new fiscal year at this point -- or about two weeks
- 4 from a fiscal year. So they're going to be under
- 5 the gun to get these signed regardless of their
- 6 issues in getting them out.
- 7 So be happy to try and clarify some of that.
- 8 Mostly we're just trying to keep the project moving
- 9 forward. We will update you at the appropriate
- time what the final numbers are. And if you wish
- 11 to, you know, take sort of a ratification action at
- the October meeting, that would be certainly
- appropriate. It will be up to you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, Ed. I'll open this
- for board discussion. A question right away, and
- just to reaffirm what you were saying. This is
- 17 contingent conditional authorization. It's
- 18 contingent on what dollars the FAA's going to give
- 19 us.
- MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: So these numbers that see here,
- 22 1.3 and 136 can change.
- MR. WUELLNER: I would tell you those are the
- absolute worst case numbers.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. That's what I was

```
looking for. Got it. Any further discussion,
```

- 2 any -- anybody else discussion?
- 3 MS. BARRERA: I would like to point out that
- 4 we also have this is in our budget, our current
- 5 budget that we presented at our meeting. So we've
- 6 got it already factored in. This isn't anything
- 7 additional that we weren't anticipating.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Good point.
- 9 MR. MERCER: Mr. Chairman?
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes.
- MR. MERCER: Two questions of Mr. Wuellner.
- 12 Number one, is there any impact -- with respect to
- 13 hangar rows K, L, M, is there any impact on the
- light GA tenants that are in those hangars?
- 15 MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. We -- we managed to
- 16 create an area of work. It's basically that darker
- 17 blue line that runs the outside. So we should --
- 18 we'll be able to maintain -- maintain operations
- 19 for that area -- for K, L, M and around the fuel
- 20 farm. We will be having to close for periods of
- 21 time. In fact Runway 2/20 will be closed during
- 22 construction because of the impact to paving
- operations on the runway.
- 24 MR. MERCER: Another question. When I
- campaigned, it was on a very clear platform of

```
1 being opposed to commercial scheduled air carrier,
```

- big aircraft, big heavies coming into our little
- 3 airport.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
- 5 MR. MERCER: Is this -- is it fair to say -- I
- don't want to put words in your mouth. Is it fair
- 7 to say that this E-3 project is in essence to
- 8 refortify parts of the taxiway and runway to be
- 9 able to accommodate some of the heavy commercial
- big heavy aircraft?
- MR. WUELLNER: It will allow that, but it also
- 12 allows -- currently we cannot move any type of
- 13 business jet across that. The narrow -- narrow
- width of the pavement, the geometry of the
- 15 pavement, as well as the load-bearing strength of
- that segment of pavement can't be -- can't
- 17 accommodate those aircraft.
- MR. MERCER: On 2/20 or --
- MR. WUELLNER: No, on the Delta 3 section.
- MR. MERCER: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Both of the runways support it
- fine. We have a similar issue in terms of
- load-bearing and geometry on Taxiway Delta.
- MR. MERCER: So today, they have to come down
- on Bravo and then turn on to Delta?

```
1 MR. WUELLNER: That's correct.
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Just as a clarification, we're
 3 talking about heavy aircraft -- when you're talking
 4 about this, I don't think we have any perspective
 5 going forward on getting heavy aircraft coming in
 6 here. Heavy aircraft by definition is what, still
- 7 300,000 and above?
- MR. WUELLNER: I believe so, yes, sir.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: So the Boeings and the Airbuses 10 coming in here are probably 160 and less. And -and the pound -- the pound per square inch on the 11 12 tires is what's going to affect our ramp issues and 13 taxiway issues when we got forward, not to mention 14 the -- like you said, the narrowness of the deal. 15 So we really won't be having any heavy aircraft 16 coming in here which would be, you know, the triple 17 7s and the 74s and the -- every once in a while we
- MS. BARRERA: Military.

get that --

- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: -- the military, the C-141 and the Soviet --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: -- giant thing coming in.
- MR. MERCER: Well, I -- I guess my comment I
- guess depends on how you define heavy. You know,

```
the 737s is what the Navy's switching to --
 1
 2
               CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
 3
               MR. MERCER: -- to out -- to phase out the P-3
 4
          Orions.
               CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
 5
 6
               MR. MERCER: They're going to the Boeing 737,
          and the C-40 configuration and also the P-8
 7
          Poseidon configuration and the weight -- it's a
 8
 9
          great aircraft --
               CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
10
               MR. MERCER: -- it's a wonderful aircraft, but
11
12
          it is -- I'm being told by the Navy pilots that I'm
13
          colleagues with it's really tearing up the runway
14
          over there at Navy Jax with the weight. So --
               CHAIRMAN COX: I don't want to say anything
15
16
          about Navy Jax, but we've got better runways, in
17
          all seriousness, having landed both places. But,
18
          you know, it's just the way it is. I think we've
19
          got a much better situation than what they're
20
          looking at over there.
21
               MR. MERCER: But just so I'm clear and so the
22
          record's clear, Mr. Wuellner, there is a way for
23
          business jets, the Lear class jets and the
          Gulfstreams, they can come in on Bravo and turn on
24
```

to Delta today, correct?

```
1
              MR. WUELLNER: That is correct.
 2
              MR. MERCER: Okay.
 3
               CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Ciriello, any comment?
 4
               MR. CIRIELLO: No, sir.
 5
               MR. WUELLNER: Let me clarify, because it just
 6
          kind of clicked what you said here. They cannot
 7
          get on -- the smaller biz jets can operate on
 8
          Delta. The Gulfstream class cannot operate on
 9
          Delta dimensionally. They can operate on Bravo
10
          without any issues, but they cannot operate on
          Delta. It has -- it has a geometry and
11
12
          load-bearing issue on that run -- on that tax -- on
1.3
          Delta.
14
               MR. MERCER: Okay.
               CHAIRMAN COX: Any further discussion from the
15
16
          board?
                              (None.)
17
18
               CHAIRMAN COX: Any public comment?
19
                              (None.)
20
               CHAIRMAN COX: No -- seeing no public comment,
21
          I'm opening the board for a motion.
22
               MS. BARRERA: I'll make a motion that we
23
          authorize our executive director to be able to
24
          provide his signature on the grant and approximate
```

maximum dollar amount of \$1.4 million, which will

1	also conditionally authorize the construction
2	contract with P & S and the contract with Passero.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: I'll second that. Any further
4	discussion?
5	(None.)
6	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor?
7	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
9	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Nays?
11	MR. MERCER: Nay.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Motion passes.
13	PUBLIC COMMENT
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. We're moving on to open
15	public comment. Anybody in the public wish to
16	comment on anything about the airport?
17	(None.)
18	CHAIRMAN COX: No public comment. So we'll go
19	to the authority members and open the open to
20	board members. Mr. Ciriello?
21	MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS
22	MR. CIRIELLO: I have no comment, but the
23	intergovernmental meeting, I had to miss because I
24	was sick. Kevin filled in for me. So if there's a
25	report, he'll have to give it.

```
CHAIRMAN COX: Any comments, Kevin, on the
 1
 2
          intergovernmental?
 3
               MR. HARVEY: Not well attended.
 4
               CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thank you, sir. We'll
 5
          just go down. Mr. Mercer?
 6
               MR. MERCER: We didn't have an Aerospace
 7
         Academy meeting yet. They're off for the summer
 8
         corresponding with the school year. And I think
 9
         the first meeting is in October. So nothing to
10
         report on that. I did want to thank or compliment
         Ed's office for the joyous announcements of fuel --
11
12
         reduced fuel price. His office should get credit
         for that. That's always a plus --
13
14
               CHAIRMAN COX: That's tremendous. That's a
15
         tremendous drop.
16
               MR. MERCER: -- for us pilots. So we thank
17
         you, Ed, and your staff for that on a couple of
18
         occasions. That's it.
19
               CHAIRMAN COX: Ms. Barrera?
2.0
              MS. BARRERA: I have no comment.
21
               CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Youman's not here.
2.2
               I haven't attended any EDC meetings, but I
23
         would like to say that I was very impressed with
```

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - SEPTEMBER 16, 2013

the -- the parking that we did in helping out the

city I guess as a partner to help park for this

2.4

1	event that we had over the weekend.
2	I came out here on my own and just to see how
3	things were going just to make sure that none of
4	our pilots were being disenfranchised or anything.
5	Everything was very straightforward and handled
6	very well. So that's it for the board members.
7	Items of interest. The final budget meeting
8	will be September 30th at 5:01 and we're going to
9	go into the first budget meeting here shortly. Our
L 0	proposed next meeting is October 21st at 4 p.m.
L1	Anybody have any issues with that date?
12	(None.)
L3	CHAIRMAN COX: Seeing none, we'll keep it at
L 4	October 21st. So we are adjourned.
L 5	(Meeting adjourned at 4:50 p.m.)
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that
7	I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
8	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
9	record of my stenographic notes.
10	Dated this 23rd day of September, 2013.
11	
12	TANEE M. DELACON DDD CD DMD CDD
13	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	