1

1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Wednesday, January 4, 2006
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 5:51 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE, Chairman - Secretary/Treasurer Elect BOB COX, Secretary-Treasurer/Chairman Elect
10	RANDY BRUNSON JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:

DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,

EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.

FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.

BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.

14

15

16

17

20	JANET M. BEASON, I St. Augustine Court Rep		
21	1510 N. Ponce de Leon	-	
22	St. Augustine, FL 320 (904) 825-0570	984	
23			
24			
25			
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REC	GULAR MEETIN	G - JANUARY 4, 2006
		2	
1	INDEX		
2		PAGE	
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANO	CE	3
4	3. ELECTION OF OFFICERS	S FOR 2006	
5	A. Chairman	3	
6	B. Secretary/Treasurer	5	
7	4. APPROVAL OF MEETING	G MINUTES	6
7	5. FINANCIAL REPORT: Oc	ctober & Novembe	er 7
8	6. MEETING AGENDA APPI	ROVAL	8
9	7 DEDODES	12	
10	7. REPORTS	13	
11	8. MEMBER COMMITTEE R	REPORTS	
11	A. MPO	21	
12		26	
	C. Intergovernmental	26	

13 9. PROJECT UPDATES 28 14 10. ACTION ITEMS 15 A. Restaurant Lease 41 B. Waiver Request - Operating Agreement 60 16 C. Hangars 8, 9 & 10 91 17 11. HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS 110 18 12. PUBLIC COMMENT 111 19 13. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS: 20 A. Mr. Randy Brunson 113 21 B. Mr. Suzanne Green 113 C. Mr. Jack Gorman 114 22 D. Mr. Wayne George 114 E. Mr. Bob Cox 115 23 14. NEXT BOARD MEETING 116 24 15. ADJOURNMENT 118 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

3

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. We'll call the --
- 3 the regular monthly meeting of the St. Johns
- 4 County Airport Authority, you know, to order.
- 5 We'll just start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 ELECTION OF OFFICERS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2006
8	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: This is our first meeting
9	of the year, and our charter requires that the
10	board elect new officers for the coming year. So,
11	we have two positions to fill, chairman and
12	secretary/treasurer. And I'll throw it open for
13	nominations for Ms. Green?
14	MS. GREEN: I know we had discussions last
15	year, but if Mr. Cox would accept the nomination,
16	I would like to nominate Mr. Cox for president.
17	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: All right.
18	MR. COX: I accept.
19	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Accept? Okay.
20	MR. BRUNSON: And I second.
21	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: And you second.
22	Secretary/treasurer? Oh, I'm sorry. Any other
23	nominations for for chairman?
24	(No further nominations.)
25	CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Let's go to

1 secretary/treasurer. MR. BRUNSON: I -- I'd like to nominate Jack 2 3 Gorman as secretary/treasurer if he will accept 4 it. MR. GORMAN: I'll accept it. 5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. We have a nomination 6 for Jack for secretary/treasurer. Do I hear a 7 8 second? Any other ones? 9 MS. GREEN: Yeah. I'd like to nominate 10 you --CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. 11 12 MS. GREEN: -- Mr. George, for treasurer. 13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I -- I think that with 14 the -- with -- with what's happening in -- in some 15 of our estimates versus our budgets, it's going to 16 be an interesting thing to -- to look at. So, 17 don't mean to step on your toes, but I would accept it. 18 19 MR. COX: I'll second that, Ms. Green's

20

nomination.

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Any other nominations? (No further nominations.) 22 23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We'll close the 24 nominations. And we have one for chairman, 25 Mr. Bob Cox. All in favor, say aye. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 5 1 MS. GREEN: Aye. 2 MR. BRUNSON: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Aye. MR. COX: Aye. 4 5 MR. GORMAN: Aye. CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Opposed? 6 7 (No opposition.) 8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: The ayes have it. The 9 secretary/treasurer. Mr. Gorman, first. All 10 ayes.

MR. BRUNSON: It wasn't seconded.

MR. COX: That's true; it wasn't seconded.

CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Oh, okay. You're right.

11

12

- 14 It was not seconded. Thank you. Okay.
- 15 Secretary/treasurer, Wayne George.
- MS. GREEN: Aye.
- 17 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Aye.
- 19 MR. COX: Aye.
- MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Ayes have it. Okay. So, that's
- the new -- the new officers. Here, Dad.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Get out of my seat. No. Just
- 24 teasing. There's a joke about -- there's a
- joke -- there's an airline joke that said --

- 1 MS. GREEN: Oh, when one --
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: The flight engineer says,
- well -- to the copilot, "What if the captain has a
- 4 heart attack during the flight"?
- 5 He turns around and says, "I want you to take
- 6 the SOB out of my seat."

7 Thank you very much. 8 4. - APPROVAL OF MINUTES 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Moving into approval of the 10 minutes, the regular meeting for last month's 11 meeting, which unfortunately I was not at... 12 MR. GEORGE: There was one item that was left 13 open in those -- from the minutes, but I'll cover 14 that when we get to the agenda, I'm sorry. 15 CHAIRMAN COX: Is there any -- any exceptions 16 to the approval of the minutes for last month's meeting? 17 18 MS. GREEN: The only concern I have is I 19 wasn't able to review them, so I think I have to 20 defer, since --21 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 22 MR. BRUNSON: I move we approve the minutes 23 as --24 MR. GEORGE: I second -- I second that.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

CHAIRMAN COX: Right. Okay. All in favor?

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 5 MS. GREEN: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The approval of the
- 7 minutes for the last meeting is accepted.
- 8 6. APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL REPORT
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Financial report. I looked
- 10 over the financial report for October and
- 11 November. We, of course, just got out of
- December, and that has not been brought forward to
- us yet, and I think that will be reported and
- we'll -- we'll have that in writing fairly
- 15 quickly. But everything's positive.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It will be another week
- or more.
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: That's very good.
- MR. GEORGE: I move that we accept the
- 20 October and November financial report as

- 21 presented.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Is there a second? Actually,
- 23 we don't need to really --
- MR. GEORGE: If nobody makes a comment, we
- don't.

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Financial report is
- approved.
- 3 6. MEETING AGENDA APPROVAL
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Meeting agenda approval.
- 5 Anybody have any exceptions or additions to the
- 6 agenda?
- 7 MR. GEORGE: At the -- I do, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: At the last meeting, we talked
- about our workshop to review the budgets from
- several other airports, and we had several people
- that had not picked the facilities that they
- wanted, and we would like to know -- I think we

- need to add it to the agenda to --
- MS. GREEN: I thought we chose it for them.
- MR. GEORGE: I think it -- well, the way
- it's -- I read the minutes on that, and it was --
- it was left that we would let Mr. Cox have his
- pick and then we would --
- MS. GREEN: Oh, I thought we gave him the
- 21 last three.
- MR. GEORGE: The last three?
- MS. GREEN: Uh-huh.
- MR. COX: I would be happy to take the last
- 25 three. And they are Sanford and Tamiami, and what

- 1 was the other one? Actually --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Gainesville.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Is it an agenda item or are we
- 4 going to talk about it now? Because we also have
- 5 Mr. Martinelli --
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Have you got that list of the

- 7 other ones?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: It's Gainesville and Tamiami.
- 9 MS. GREEN: I thought Mr. Gorman was --
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Great.
- MS. GREEN: -- very helpful -- yeah.
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: I took Sanford. You can have
- either one of the other two.
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah. I -- I thought I had --
- MR. GEORGE: You already have one, Jack.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, you did? I thought --
- okay.
- 18 MS. GREEN: No, we took --
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Who was it that took -- Henry.
- MR. WUELLNER: Henry Warner.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Sanford is -- is -- has
- not been spoken for. Is that the one you're going
- 24 to take?
- MR. WUELLNER: He's --

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Cox then will take
- 3 Sanford. That leaves --
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Tamiami --
- 5 MR. GEORGE: -- Tamiami --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: -- and Gainesville.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: -- and Gainesville.
- 8 MS. GREEN: And I thought there was a
- 9 comment, and forgive me because I wasn't able to
- review the minutes, because Mr. Martinelli was at
- the meeting with regards to the comparisons, and
- he was not able to be at our last meeting when we
- discussed this.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: The -- the minutes state that
- we're going to let Mr. Cox pick one and then we're
- going to assign the other two.
- MS. GREEN: To Mr. Martinelli, I thought, was
- the lucky winner of one of them.
- MR. GEORGE: So, we have Henry also.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes.

- MR. GEORGE: We have two other people.
- MS. GREEN: There were two other people that
- were -- that's correct.
- MR. GEORGE: And there's two other airports.

- 1 MS. GREEN: Right. That will teach you --
- 2 MR. GEORGE: So, maybe you can ask --
- 3 MS. GREEN: -- to miss a meeting.
- 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Can I have Gainesville?
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Sure. I will.
- 6 MR. MARTINELLI: Can I have Gainesville?
- 7 MR. GORMAN: He's asked --
- 8 MR. MARTINELLI: Can I have Gainesville?
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: That's fine.
- MR. GEORGE: Good. Okay.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. So then Henry gets
- Tamiami?
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. BRUNSON: Mr. Chairman, I think it'd be a

25

15 good idea to let the public know what we're doing, 16 if --17 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 18 MR. BRUNSON: -- they didn't have at the last 19 meeting --CHAIRMAN COX: No, let's -- let's just move 20 on with the meeting agenda approval, which is 21 where we're at right now, and we'll move into 22 23 reports. And I -- you know, at project updates, 24 Ed, if you could kind of get an overview of this

project we're talking about.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- MR. WUELLNER: Right. I've got, actually
 from the version, those of you that were lucky
 enough to actually get the minutes or get the
 packet before the meeting, since that time, we've
 added a section under project updates for this.

 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: It -- it only currently lists

- 8 when the meeting date or the workshop was, but
- 9 we'll add whatever you want under that and moving
- 10 forward.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Gorman?
- MR. GORMAN: Just very brief, I'm going to
- discuss this handout that I have and just explain
- what it is, Ed, wherever you want to insert it in
- the agenda. It does have to do with budgets --
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Financial.
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah. And it does have to do
- with the new statutes --
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, you want to insert it in
- 20 as --
- 21 MR. GORMAN: -- concerning budgets.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 23 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Fine.
- MR. GORMAN: Since nobody's looked at it yet.

1 CHAIRMAN COX: We can put that at the end 2 of --3 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. 4 CHAIRMAN COX: -- Mr. Wuellner's project 5 updates before we get into action items. Does 6 that work? 7 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Or housekeeping items, either 9 one. 10 Let's move it to the end of project updates 11 for Mr. Gorman's comments on the financial matters 12 pertaining to political subdivision. Does that 13 work? MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Sounds good to me. 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Would you remind me? I put an 15 16 X under that, but just point it out to me before we go into action items. 17 18 MR. GORMAN: Sure. 19 7. - REPORTS 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Reports. 21 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I don't know whether I'm

- on here or not, but if -- if I am -- all right.
- The -- you -- I've been told you have a new
- liaison with the Board of County Commissioners,
- and that will be Commissioner Bryant.

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Jim Bryant?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Instead of Commissioner
- 3 Maguire.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Bryant?
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know whether --
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Is he here today?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- he's here today.
- 8 MR. BURNETT: No, he's not.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: I don't see him.
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. If he -- if he comes
- in, we'll make sure he has available time to
- speak. Mr. Slingluff?
- 13 MR. SLINGLUFF: We're happy to announce some
- news at Aero Sport, that the -- the company is now

15 under new ownership. 16 And with that, I would like to introduce the -- the new group. It's Galaxy Aviation. And 17 Mr. Don Kaplan. 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Very nice to meet you, sir. 19 MR. KAPLAN: It's a pleasure. Mr. Cox, 20 21 members of the board. It's really a pleasure to 22 be here. Galaxy Aviation looks forward to a long and mutually beneficial relationship. 23 24 Galaxy has five operations, and although some 25 people think we're a large chain, we're really a AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 15 1 small company. We build on our people. And it's 2 important that you know the people that we are 3 involved with. 4 Mark Wantshouse is the president of our 5 company. He doesn't want to hear this: He's one 6 of my personal heroes. He started in the aviation

business when he was 17, and it's going on 30

- 8 years now? 9 MR. WANTSHOUSE: Almost. MR. KAPLAN: Almost 30 years. And he started 10 11 as a lineman. He became a pilot, a 135 pilot. He 12 came in and took over the FBO, the first one, in 13 Boca Raton, and now he's the president of the 14 company, and he has been for quite some time. 15 And he's a real aviation guy. And -- and I tell you all these things because now you know 16 17 that Galaxy is not just a business, but it's in 18 aviation. It's made up of aviation people. 19 Do you want to say anything or --MR. WANTSHOUSE: Oh, I'll just be very -- no. 20 21 I thank you for your kind words. I'll just be 22 very brief. As Don said, I bring 28 years of
- job that Aero Sport's done here.

aviation background. I'm really impressed with

the St. Augustine Airport. I'm impressed with the

23

24

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	It's Galaxy's desire just to continue to work
2	with the Airport Authority to continue to grow
3	along with the Airport Authority. We have a lot
4	of positive things we think we can bring to Aero
5	Sport, and we're looking forward to working with
6	this organization here.
7	We're also hopeful that we'll bring in the
8	past, we've been recognized as some of our
9	locations, as top 10 locations in the country.
10	And we hope to also put this location in the top
11	10 as far as service and safety.
12	And it's a pleasure meeting you and look
13	forward to working with you.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Thanks very much for coming
15	out and visiting with us.
16	MR. KAPLAN: I'd also like to introduce you
17	to Ken Bray. And Ken Bray is our safety officer.
18	And Ken visits each and every one of our
19	locations. He's an integral part of our team.
20	He comes to us with 35 years of experience,
21	and those 35 years of experience are with a
22	company that I think you've heard about that's

- called Grumman, Northrop Grumman, 28 years in fire
- rescue. He was the chief of aircraft fire
- 25 recovery for 28 years.

- 1 He sets the standards for our company in the
- 2 safety and operations point of view. Mark
- 3 obviously sets the standards for everything else.
- 4 But Galaxy is a company that will spend the time,
- 5 the money, the effort to make sure that it follows
- 6 along the potential standards set for it.
- Now, it doesn't always help us out. We have
- 8 to spend some money in the beginning. But Galaxy
- 9 is a long-time player. It likes to look at what
- 10 occurs down the line, and we're willing to invest
- and set standards that really work.
- 12 And with that, we're here for you anytime you
- need anything. We'll always be available 24/7.
- 14 Thank you very much.
- 15 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much.

- MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN COX: I can speak personally that
- every one of the Galaxy FBOs I've been in to have
- been just tremendous service and stuff. And Aero
- 20 Sport's done a tremendous job here in
- 21 St. Augustine.
- Welcome aboard. We're -- we're glad to see
- you guys here.
- MR. KAPLAN: Thank you very much.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Thanks. Mr. Nevadomsky,

- 1 Northrop?
- 2 MR. NEVADOMSKI: Nothing to report at this
- 3 time.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thanks very much.
- 5 SAPA, John Roderick? Or Mr. Martinelli, have you
- 6 attended the meeting, by any chance --
- 7 MR. MARTINELLI: No, I haven't.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: -- for SAPA?

9 MR. MARTINELLI: No, I haven't. I just -- I 10 can tell you this: We're coming up with a new 11 slate of officers pretty soon. It's in our 12 charter, so... 13 CHAIRMAN COX: Thanks very much. Bjorn? (Mr. Ottesen absent.) 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Burnett? 15 MR. BURNETT: I have something to report. I 16 17 guess I'll -- I'll add to something when we get to 18 one of the items that Ed already has on his items to talk about on update items related to the 19 acquisition in Araquay Park. But other than that, 20 21 I don't have anything to report. CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Mr. Knight? 22 23 MR. WUELLNER: He is not here, but I have his 24 magnificent charts. 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Do we have the stats from him?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

19

1 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. I gave you a copy of

- 2 the -- the monthly report, as well as the annual
- 3 synopsis of operations for the airport. You --
- 4 you have a copy of that. I don't know whether
- 5 it -- make it in the agenda packet or it was
- 6 handed out here. I'm not sure which, but --
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: It was handed out here.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: There's a couple of -- couple
- 9 of sheets here. Gives you the day-to-day numbers
- for the -- for the past month, for the month of
- December. It also gives you an idea of how that
- stacks up for the previous 12 months in comparing
- previous years.
- In addition to that, as -- as he always does,
- he loves to baffle us with the latest and greatest
- 16 charts. This completes this particular chart for
- the year.
- 18 And you get -- if you can interpret that,
- basically what we're -- we're being led to believe
- is we're about 4,600, if my memory's correct,
- about 4,600 ops below last year's numbers in -- in
- total. That's a calendar year, based on December

- to -- January-to-December period, which it looks
- 24 like was consistent through -- we -- we had an
- 25 upsurge in the winter months around Super Bowl,

- and then it dropped off to a little lower than
- 2 expected. I mean, you're talking a few hundred
- 3 ops a month, is really the -- the difference here,
- 4 which occur to any number of anomalies. I
- 5 wouldn't even hazard a guess for that -- for that
- 6 percentage of operations.
- And -- and the last one he likes to baffle us
- 8 with is to take last year's operations, which is
- 9 the yellow line with the little red Xs in it, and
- 10 compare it to the forecasts and the previous
- 11 couple of years. Actually, I think what I did was
- reverse the two charts, so...
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: So, we're beating the
- 14 forecast.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Oh, yeah. We've been

- file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 16 doing that since they were done. 17 MS. GREEN: Mr. Chair? 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes. MS. GREEN: I have a question. We had 19 20 somebody, a resident that had asked earlier about 21 getting comparisons. Were we able to provide 22 that? 23 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We -- we provided them up to date as of the -- I think we had through 24 25 November at the time of the request. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 21
 - 1 MS. GREEN: I don't see her. I just want to
 - 2 make sure we were --
 - 3 MR. WUELLNER: Get it once a month.
 - 4 MS. GREEN: -- able to do that.
 - 5 MR. WUELLNER: Usually within two days of the
 - 6 first of the month, they pass them out, so...
 - 7 MR. GEORGE: I think also along with that
 - 8 request, a suggestion to them was to go to the

- 9 tower --10 MS. GREEN: Yes. MR. GEORGE: -- and count. Did they -- did 11 anybody show up at the tower to --12 MR. WUELLNER: I'm unaware of it. If they 13 did, I'm not aware of it. 14 MR. GEORGE: I talked to Mr. Knight --15 16 CHAIRMAN COX: That's true. MR. GEORGE: -- I guess about a month ago, 17 18 and no one had shown up yet, so... 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Several people indicated they 20 wanted to go. 21 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. That's the end for 23 reports.

24

25 CHAIRMAN COX: Member committee reports.

8.A. - MPO

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

22

MPO? Who is the MPO? 1

2 MR. GORMAN: MPO. I am not prepared for 3 that; however, let's --MR. GEORGE: While he's getting prepared for 4 5 it, I would like to suggest that we -- since we're 6 going over these reports, that we see if we want 7 to change the representation of the board to some 8 of those organizations, because --9 CHAIRMAN COX: That's a very good idea. We've got the MPO, the --10 11 MR. GORMAN: You're going to have to move 12 that up. 13 CHAIRMAN COX: -- Intergovernmental. Does anybody that's not on one of those committees now 14 15 would like to be? Or represent anything? 16 MS. GREEN: How often do they meet and at what times? 17 18 MR. GEORGE: The MPO, I kind of -- I was the 19 assigned to it, and I kind have been letting Jack 20 and Randy go to it. And I think it's typically a 21 once-a-month, you know, type of thing. And --22 MR. BRUNSON: It's usually at 9 a.m. 23 MS. GREEN: Oh, okay.

- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: On -- on what day?
- MR. WUELLNER: In Jacksonville.

23

1 MR. BRUNSON: In Jacksonville. MR. GEORGE: Usually on a Wednesday, isn't 2 it, Tuesday or Wednesday? 3 4 CHAIRMAN COX: I might do that. Leave that 5 for discussion. 6 MR. GEORGE: Well, I think that Randy has got 7 a --8 CHAIRMAN COX: Randy's pretty -- pretty well 9 ensconced in --10 MR. GEORGE: Well, his background is, you 11 know, into construction and everything, and --12 CHAIRMAN COX: That's fine. 13 MR. GEORGE: -- he understands --MS. GREEN: That would be my concern, if 14 someone's really into it and had been to the 15

meetings and actually knows where --

17 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. He's already keeping 18 abreast of where the county's growing and stuff 19 like that, so I'd -- I'd like to suggest we change 20 that to -- to Randy, if you'd --21 MR. BRUNSON: Oh, I definitely would. It's very interesting and I think very informative and 22 needs -- we need to stay a member. And -- and 23 24 there's a lot going on.

I just called Bruce Maguire, who is very

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

24

- 1 involved in the MPO. And as Jack knows, and
- Wayne, this month, they have not had a meeting,
- and it's just been most administrative things.
- 4 So, there's really nothing new other than what was
- 5 reported in November and December.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Mr. Gorman indicates
- 7 that he's ready.

- 8 MR. GORMAN: I'm going to do a quickie
- 9 overview, actually. We -- the MPO voted in Lad

10 Daniels, which is a member of the Jacksonville --11 anyway, he was voted in as chairman of the MPO, 12 and vice-chair was voted in -- vice-chairman 13 was -- Bruce Maguire was voted in, even though the 14 whole board complained he never showed up. But then they said -- but they voted him in as 15 16 vice-chair, so he must do a heck of a job when 17 he's there. That's the only thing I can say. So, they seem to have a lot of faith in him, which is 18 19 good. It's amazing what goes on there. I'd like to 20 21 stay as second on this if we're going to give 22 Randy that, because I do travel more than anyone here. But it's amazing what goes on. It's 23 amazing how much I feel in the future will go on, 24 because of the -- the planned roadway is through 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 this county, simple as that.
- 2 The planned roadway is through this county,

- 3 and the Intelligent -- the Intelligent
- 4 Transportation System. And that is going to be
- 5 key to moving everybody through this area and
- 6 through the area of Jacksonville without
- 7 rebuilding in North Florida what has been built in
- 8 South Florida.
- 9 And so, that sums it up. That's why it's so
- 10 key to have this county there. And I could go on
- 11 with lots of -- lots of details, but that is
- the -- the crux of the matter.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: The -- the problem with
- reporting back to this board is that there are so
- many integrate, you know, things that goes on --
- 16 MR. GORMAN: Yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: -- intersections and things,
- it's hard -- it's hard to really, you know, give a
- concise report on what should be important to the
- Airport Authority. But I think it's great. We
- 21 need to have somebody there to --
- MR. GORMAN: You'd have -- you'd have to
- build the context before anybody would understand

- 24 what -- what you're talking about.
- MR. BRUNSON: And -- and they analyze and

26

1 evaluate each project, and they grade them of who 2 gets it first. And it's important to -- to be 3 there. 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Who is the EDC rep? 5 8.B. - EDC 6 MR. GEORGE: That would be me. I think I'm all three of these. I wouldn't mind keeping the 7 8 Economic Development, you know. 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay. MR. BRUNSON: Great. 11 12 CHAIRMAN COX: Is there anything to --13 MR. BRUNSON: And I'd like to back you up on 14 that, because I go anyway, as you know. 15 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Yeah, the last function

they had was a luncheon, you know, just trying to

25

8

impact the airport.

- 17 get the new businesses, you know, acclimated and 18 get in to spread the -- the joy around, you know, 19 who you are and what you could -- and can -- and 20 can do some help to each and every one, but there 21 was nothing specific that was going on at that 22 meeting, so... CHAIRMAN COX: Intergovernmental? 23 24 8.C. - INTERGOVERNMENTAL
 - AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING JANUARY 4, 2006

MR. GEORGE: Intergovernmental, we had at

- 1 3 o'clock today, a good turnout for a 4th of, you 2 know, January. And we just basically went around 3 the room and talked to, you know, as we all do, 4 talked to each one of the government agencies that 5 are there. And they all reported on the things 6 that they're doing, which there wasn't that much 7 that -- that stood out that I thought would really
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Great. Before we move into

- 10 project updates, I'm told our county --11 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN COX: -- liaison, Mr. Bryant --12 MR. GEORGE: Do you want to change the 13 intergovernmental agency rep? If not --14 CHAIRMAN COX: Do you want to stay? 15 16 MR. GEORGE: Ms. Willis gives me some very 17 good cumquats, and so I could --MR. GORMAN: And I'd like to stay on as 18 19 alternate for that. 20 MS. GREEN: Oh, I was going to just suggest, 21 because I could do that at 3 o'clock --CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Well, if you --22 23 MS. GREEN: -- but if you want to stay on, 24 that's fine.

28

MR. GORMAN: That's fine. I can do it.

1 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

25

2 CHAIRMAN COX: Keep representation the same,

3 then. MR. GEORGE: Right. 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 5 6 MS. GREEN: If you get stuck, just let me 7 know. 8 MR. GORMAN: Exactly. As long as it's --CHAIRMAN COX: Our county liaison, I am told, 9 10 county commissioner has arrived, and we -- we allot you some time to speak if you have anything 11 12 to talk about. 13 MR. BRYANT: I don't need to speak. I'm 14 liaison and that's it. CHAIRMAN COX: That's fine. We just --15 16 MR. BRYANT: I'm here. I'm here to bridge 17 from here to the county for you guys. 18 CHAIRMAN COX: We just wanted to see if you 19 had anything to talk about of the bridge. Okay. 20 MR. BRUNSON: Right. You will, Jim. 21 CHAIRMAN COX: No -- no problem. All right. Project updates. 22 23 9. - PROJECT UPDATES

MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The items on the

project update list today is land acquisition in

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	Araquay Park, south hang south apron area
2	development; the hangars 8, 9, and 10 project,
3	very briefly; small business development units;
4	the marketing and public relations; the airport
5	leasing activities; the Master Plan; and I added,
6	as I mentioned earlier, an item now for a budget
7	comparative analysis. And we can we can tweak
8	that as we move forward.
9	First item is land acquisition for Araquay
10	Park. Wanted to let you know that mediations are
11	currently being scheduled, with the first one that
12	I'm aware of to be conducted next Tuesday at
13	mediator's office in Jacksonville. So, we'll be
14	attempting to get many of these individual
15	property owners satisfied from a financial
16	perspective, get that final valuation negotiated
17	in advance of having to take this to the scheduled

18 court date in April. 19 So, we've got a window of opportunity here to 20 meet individually with each one of the affected 21 owners and see what -- see how it works out. 22 MR. GEORGE: We've had some -- some questions 23 over the radio, you know, about people not 24 receiving their money. I think it's worthwhile that everybody understands where we are in that 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- process. And, Ed, correct me if I get things out 1
- 2 of line.
- Back in April or so when we implemented, you 3
- 4 know, the acquisition of that property, the courts
- 5 gave the titles over to us at that time. At that
- 6 time, we had to deposit \$1.8 million, \$2.8
- 7 million, something like that.
- MR. WUELLNER: Basically, the full appraised 8
- value. 9
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, the full appraised value

11	of the property, we had to deposit that with the
12	courts.
13	So, this mediation that is going on is with
14	the courts and the property owners to see what is
15	a fair and and just value for it. It affects
16	us, because if we're short from what we have
17	deposited, you know, we'll have to belly up, you
18	know, with the rest of the money that the
19	courts but we are not we don't have anything
20	that we are doing that's stopping people from
21	getting their money or not. It's just in the
22	court system. And is that basically
23	MR. WUELLNER: That's essentially it.
24	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
25	MR. WUELLNER: You're dealing with the

- 1 difference at this point, not the base amount.
- 2 MR. BURNETT: That -- that part's accurate.
- 3 And if I could, I think I may be stealing some of

- 4 Ed's thunder, because he's clicked up on the
- 5 next -- the next bullet point, but let me just say
- 6 this, that Judge Berger did, at the hearing in
- 7 November, set the trials for April, and as well,
- 8 ordered that the mediations occur, and appointed
- 9 Larry Smith as the mediator.
- 10 So you know, Mr. Smith is a former county
- court judge, and has pretty extensive experience
- on condemnation matters, both representing
- property owners and representing government
- 14 entities.
- MR. GEORGE: Good.
- MR. GORMAN: Question for clarification. All
- of the -- all of the areas in white don't
- represent any eminent domain and closures, does
- it? I mean, what --
- MR. WUELLNER: No.
- MR. GORMAN: What are we looking at?
- MR. WUELLNER: I probably need to update the
- 23 drawing. Everything from --
- MR. GORMAN: All right.

MR. WUELLNER: Assuming this is going to work

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	nere.
2	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Everything from this line over
4	(indicating), that was identified in white at one
5	time, was the subject of the eminent domain action
6	the Authority took. To the left of that, or west
7	of it, was an area that's still we're doing
8	voluntary stuff, but it was not subject to
9	anything eminent domain.
10	And apron development, south hangar,
11	obviously, based on last meeting, we are at about
12	the final stage here of working with the tree and
13	site prep contractor, which was Davey Tree, and we
14	expect that work to begin very shortly.
15	We're trying to schedule something with
16	Mr. Gorman here either Thursday, Friday, Monday,
17	somewhere around there, to walk through it one

- file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 18 more time. The trees right now programmed to be relocated have been flagged in a unique color at 19 this point, and so they're easy to find if you 20 21 know what you're looking for out there. 22 We've done at least one more walk-through 23 that I recall through that neighborhood and talked logistics of specific trees and the like, and I 24 25 think we're -- we're real close to just being able AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 33
 - 1 to let that work begin here. I wouldn't expect to
 - be more than a couple of weeks, I would think, 2
 - depending on Davey's schedule, before they can 3
 - 4 actually get in here and start moving stuff around
 - 5 and -- and get going.
 - MR. GORMAN: Question: What is your schedule 6
 - 7 for all -- removal of all? In other words, do we
 - 8 have any bottom line where everything has to be
 - 9 removed? I can discuss that with you --
 - 10 MR. WUELLNER: The -- the area impacted with

11 the --12 MR. GORMAN: Right. 13 MR. WUELLNER: -- the actual apron area 14 construction, pavement construction at this point, is -- obviously just got to be completed in time 15 for whatever schedule we get with -- with FAA. 16 So, it's --17 18 MR. GORMAN: Then that answers my question. MR. WUELLNER: We have a little more latitude 19 20 on that. 21 MR. GEORGE: Are we going to notify the 22 county that we are going to be removing some of these trees so if they want to come by -- I think 23 24 they have a tree removal pan, or whatever they

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

34

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, spade.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Spades, in other words, so they
- 3 can come --

call that.

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 4 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know that we have --5 they -- we certainly can. They can come by and grab the little stuff that would otherwise be --6 be bulldozed out, if they -- if they want to do 7 8 that. They're not typically --MR. GEORGE: I think we need to officially --9 10 MR. WUELLNER: We'll ask them. 11 MR. GEORGE: -- offer it to them, anyway. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. They have a very 12 13 small ---MR. GEORGE: Then we can ask Mr. Bryant why 14 they didn't do it. 15 MR. WUELLNER: -- spade that let's them get 16 17 basically small saplings. Now, they've come and 18 gotten quite a few of them over the last couple of 19 years, but we haven't extended the invitation in

25 here, we're still awaiting the grant information

MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly -- we can

And as we have been accustomed to reading up

20

21

22

23

24

advance of this.

certainly do that.

MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

1	with FAA.
2	I can tell you, since the last meeting, in an
3	effort to expedite FAA's understanding of this
4	project and the assistance we've been looking for
5	to Congressman's office, we have filed what's
6	called a preapplication document with FAA, which
7	gets a project number on the books with them and
8	kind of resubmits the basic facts and information
9	on the project and puts it back front and center
10	and allows a much more easy identification and
11	help from the Congressman's office.
12	So, I'm hoping that that also signaled
13	because they got the information, I know they
14	did that that signals that they've begun their
15	hunt to make sure that it gets funded.
16	So, they just recently got money released to
17	begin the the allocations. That was back very
18	early December. So, it's this is about the

- time stuff starts to fall out. And we'll, of
 course, keep you informed.

 Eastside area, or hangars 8, 9, and 10, we've
 got a specific agenda item to address this a
 little bit later, and I'll defer discussion on
 that until later, if you don't mind.

 Marketing and public relations, let you know
 - AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING JANUARY 4, 2006

36

1 the air show work is still ongoing. We're having discussions on a much more frequent basis with 2 3 primarily Pilots Association at this point, 4 because they're spearheading the operational side 5 of the airfield for this event. So, we've been 6 talking at a staff level about how to lay things 7 out and considerations for entrances, exits, you 8 know, what pavements are available, how to -- how

to work things out on the ground. And the Pilots

Association has, I believe, charge over that at

9

10

11

this point.

12	And awaiting a couple of pieces of
13	information and we'll be ready to and this
14	thing will be finalized for that Master Plan
15	insert, so hopefully still this month, this
16	will there will be a point where this has gone
17	to press, so
18	Leasing activities, you've got a return of
19	the restaurant lease for some additional guidance
20	from the board. And that's a regular agenda item,
21	also. Going to defer that.
22	And Master Plan update, I can tell you FAA is
23	actively involved in looking it over as kind of
24	as we speak. They've been reenergized to get some
25	kind of a not really determination, but get a
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006
	37
1	final approval back to us.
2	I really do expect that in the next two weeks
3	or less, at which point we'll begin the scheduling
4	with the Board of County Commissioners to get in

- the -- the revision process for the Comprehensive
 Plan and -- and all the other things that need to
- 7 occur to make that consistent with the county --
- 8 county's planning efforts.
- 9 And I'm sure at some point we'll do a
- briefing to the Board of County Commissioners
- itself on the Master Plan just so they have some
- idea what's in there, also.
- MR. GEORGE: At one time, we had talked about
- once the FAA action was final, that we were going
- to set up multiple open houses. And I've been
- asked to be on the agenda for one of the groups,
- 17 you know, around town, when that is -- is done,
- that we can sit down and go over the Master Plan
- and have questions and answers, so...
- MR. WUELLNER: Great idea. As soon as we
- 21 know it's approval, we'll --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. It just didn't -- one's
- fine, but I didn't -- I didn't see the open house
- as a bullet there, so...
- MR. WUELLNER: I'll be sure to get it added.

1	MR. GEORGE: Whatever.
2	MR. WUELLNER: And the only note I had on
3	your budget comparative analysis was that your
4	reminder of your workshop at the end of February,
5	and I think it's scheduled for 3 o'clock. This is
6	not your regular board meeting, so you have
7	whatever time you allocate for it. But it does
8	it is scheduled currently for 3 o'clock.
9	And if there's something else you want,
10	although you won't meet again until right before
11	that, but if there's something else you want added
12	on this on this particular slide to
13	CHAIRMAN COX: 27th.
14	MR. WUELLNER: keep you keep you up to
15	speed, well, let us know, would you?
16	MR. GORMAN: This and this meeting you're
17	talking about is the comparative
18	MR. WUELLNER: This is in theory where you

- you sit down with everybody having done their look
- if you will at each airport and start to have
- 21 discussion.
- MR. GORMAN: Let me be a little bit acidic
- with my fellow board members, and myself, too.
- We've got to have the discipline to actually do
- 25 the homework before we get to this board meeting

- 1 here. And it's not easy, because I've already
- 2 tried to plan, and it's going to take a little bit
- 3 of each of our individual time --
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Got a little less than 60 days
- 5 to get the --
- 6 MR. GORMAN: Exactly. That's --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. That concludes project
- 8 updates.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Project updates. Moving into
- action items on the agenda.
- MR. GORMAN: All right. And I'm supposed to

12 remind you right now --13 CHAIRMAN COX: That's correct. 14 MR. GORMAN: -- of this little handout. 15 CHAIRMAN COX: That's correct. And I even 16 had a star there, Jack, so... MR. GORMAN: Right. And that's okay. I 17 18 just -- I wanted to get my way here. CHAIRMAN COX: Suspend the action items point 19 for just a second here. And Mr. Gorman has some 20 21 input concerning financial matters on the 22 political side. 23 MR. GORMAN: It -- it looks like -- and I will let Doug Burnett review this and we'll let 24 25 everyone -- and Mr. Martinelli would probably want AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 to take a look at this, this handout, and
- 2 everything else.
- 3 But looks like effective 7/05, that it
- 4 requires a committee to be -- and we are already

C /Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt	
5	kind of doing this with this comparative analysis,
6	so possibly this committee could be retroactive
7	and and actually supply that need or or
8	conform with that need. But the auditor selection
9	procedures has to be done by committee. And it
10	looks like that we, as a local government entity,
11	are under that auspice. Looks like we have to do
12	it that way.
13	And this handout was handed to me as being
14	something that we may not be in compliance with,
15	and to be looked at and to see if we are in
16	compliance. And possibly already this committee
17	that we're doing for this comparative analysis

- then can be retroactively fitted to that. And we 18 can further discuss auditor selection. 19
- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: We'll direct our --
- 21 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: -- legal representatives to
- 23 look into that and give us a report back at the
- 24 next meeting.
- MR. BURNETT: Sure. 25

1	CHAIRMAN COX: If that will work for you.
2	MR. BURNETT: Be happy to.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Thanks a lot. Good point,
4	Jack.
5	Action items, agenda item number 1.
6	10.A RESTAURANT LEASE
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the first item I've got
8	is somewhat of a revisit of the Fly-By Cafe and
9	catering lease.
10	At the conclusion of the December meeting or
11	at during the course of the December meeting,
12	direction was provided to Staff to by unanimous
13	vote of the board, to provide language in the
14	lease prior to signing, having it signed by the
15	tenant, that provided them individually liable for
16	the lease in the event of in the event of lease
17	failure.
18	We worked with the attorney, created language
19	that would accomplish that, and presented it to

- 20 the -- to the facility. They have informed us 21 that at this point, they do not intend to sign 22 that. They do not intend to be personally 23 responsible for the lease. 24 I understand indirectly that conversations
- were had by that tenant and individual Authority 25

42

1 members pursuant to that and that perhaps there 2 was some interest in revising either the language of the lease or having a discussion as to how we 3 4 proceed from here. 5 The restaurant is open conditionally at this 6 point. They are actively serving meals as -- in accordance with the lease, as though it were in

one of the corporate jet chains, as I understand 10 it.

effect. And I did want you to be aware of they

were recently awarded the catering contract for

7

8

9

11

The issue is really personal guarantee. The 12

13	other issue that was brought to light was the
14	length of the reduced rent. And we've given a
15	six- to eight-month-period window to work that
16	back in. They were agreeable and to that.
17	However, we still have the personal guarantee
18	matter to since we're at a bit of an impasse,
19	you folks need to provide direction as to whether
20	we're continuing to pursue the personal guarantee
21	language, in which case we need to notify the
22	tenant who's in there conditionally that he needs
23	to make plans to move on, or we need to come to
24	some additional direction provided to Staff.
25	CHAIRMAN COX: So, you're looking for
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006
	43

- 1 direction from the board as to whether the
- 2 language needs to continue to contain the personal
- 3 guarantee, or we could move in another direction
- 4 and --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Or look at anything else,

- 6 yeah. Any other ideas you might have, I'm sure we
- 7 could present to the tenant.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: For discussion?
- 9 MS. GREEN: I don't think it contained
- personal guarantee language, so it wouldn't
- 11 continue. It would be we were asking to add it.
- MR. WUELLNER: Add it, that's correct.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: Added as personal guarantee.
- 14 And what was the other option for them? As a
- company guarantee?
- MS. GREEN: That's it.
- 17 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. I'll entertain
- discussion on the -- on that matter and see what
- we can come up with to -- I mean, I'd like to see
- 20 the -- see the folks stay in there and continue
- 21 to -- with the airport.
- MR. GEORGE: I'd like to know what's typical.
- MS. GREEN: Typical is a personal guarantee.
- MR. GORMAN: For a restaurant?
- MS. GREEN: For commercial rental, yeah.

1	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I had always
2	MS. GREEN: I know we need a restaurant.
3	MR. GEORGE: I always thought that it was for
4	a new business venture that's getting started, you
5	know, like leasing space.
6	MS. GREEN: Huh-uh. No.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
8	MS. GREEN: But that doesn't I mean, we
9	need a restaurant; there's no question. I brought
10	it up because, in the industry, it is very
11	standard that when a business leases a space or
12	conducts a business, that there is a personal
13	guarantee by whatever officer or shareholders of
14	the company.
15	But that doesn't mean we have to follow it.
16	I'm just I brought it up because it's a
17	standard
18	MR. GEORGE: What's our exposure?
19	CHAIRMAN COX: I should have opened this up

to public comment before we went into discussion.

But let me -- let me offer that to the public.

Any public comment on this particular agenda

item, please?

(No public comment.)

CHAIRMAN COX: Seeing none --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

45

1 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I would also just 2 insert, too, just -- just for your edification --3 it's not -- not opinion or anything else, but in your -- your actual lease policy does not address 4 5 the issue of personal guarantees. So, if it's -if it's something that the board wants to pursue, 6 7 I would suggest, too, we also develop some 8 language and get it inserted into the lease policy 9 document, too, so that we -- you know, you 10 provided direction to Staff from that point forward, that that will --11 CHAIRMAN COX: Their position is they're 12

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 13 absolutely dead set against personal guarantees, 14 so --MR. WUELLNER: Well, they're being advised by 15 16 their attorney not to accept the language --17 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 18 MR. WUELLNER: -- you know, which would be --I would expect. 19 20 MS. GREEN: Standard -- standard response. 21 CHAIRMAN COX: At that point, that means --22 MR. GEORGE: Exactly. That's what they pay 23 them for. 24 CHAIRMAN COX: That means that they would cease operation here, correct? 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

46

1 MR. WUELLNER: Well, we -- we have the 2 ability to, since they're operating effectively 3 without benefit of a lease -- they're on a 4 month-to-month lease, in a sense. We have the

5

upper hand on what we do. We'd have to provide

- 6 them, I believe it's 15-day or 30-day notice,
- 7 and -- and they need to vacate if -- if you choose
- 8 to take that kind of a stand on it, which is --
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Would a guarantee as a company
- be more preferential than having a 30-day --
- MR. WUELLNER: Typically not, because
- typically the company --
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Is the individuals.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- is the -- if the company
- fails, there's nothing that -- that's what we've
- run into every other -- but one of -- you know,
- just -- just to -- and I'm not -- again, it's not
- really an opinion either way.
- 19 We don't do it currently and I -- I'm not --
- 20 it's not as common on airports, and only because
- 21 typically the facilities are readily re-leasable,
- if I could create a term there. And that, you
- know, we -- there's very little exposure
- ordinarily. It's simply a matter of getting the
- 25 tenant out of there and a new one in.

1	There's a couple of months' rent typically
2	involved. Beyond that, we we typically can
3	have another tenant in there pretty quick. And in
4	most cases, restaurants have proven to be a little
5	bit of an anomaly. But ordinarily, it's actually
6	in our benefit with a new tenant, because they
7	come in at a usually an escalated rent scenario
8	from what the previous tenant was in, in this
9	particular area.
10	MR. GORMAN: Don't we have to look it I'm
11	sorry. Go ahead. It is my can it be my turn?
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes. Yeah.
13	MR. GEORGE: I I think we have an
14	obligation to the taxpayer to run this like a
15	business, and and if that's standard language
16	that is done in these types of leases, then I kind
17	of feel like the personal lease personal
18	guarantee should remain there.
19	I could make some concession, like what is
20	the lease term?

- MR. WUELLNER: The length of the lease?
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: I want to say it was ten
- 24 years.
- MR. BURNETT: It's ten-year renewable for an

- 1 additional ten years.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Fine. Then I could do it -- I
- 3 could go along with a personal guarantee for the
- 4 first five. That lets them off the hook at some
- 5 point in time.
- 6 But the problem, you know, that they're going
- 7 to have is when they're in business in the first
- 8 few years, is when there is an exposure there.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Gorman?
- MR. GORMAN: I think you have to look at one
- thing, in other words, as long -- it's my
- opinion -- and this goes against the grain of run
- it like a business. It's still running it like a

14 business, but I think you've got to make sure that 15 you don't incur any loss at all, or I think the 16 restaurant as an entity is almost a public 17 service. It tends to be a traffic builder for the FBO. It tends to be a traffic builder for the 18 flight school. And overall, it tends to increase 19 20 the utility of the airport as a whole. And so, it's -- I don't -- I wonder if we 21 22 should subject that to the same -- the same 23 pressure of scrutiny as to its, you know, return on investment and everything else that we should 24 subject other normal business to because of its --25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 fact that, one, restaurants are volatile
- 2 businesses, they fail often, and because they have
- 3 low profit margins; and two, that it is a utility
- 4 in fact to this airport.
- 5 So, I mean, I'm -- I'm actually arguing,
- 6 trying to effectively argue with your -- your

- 7 point to the standard.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: One of the arguments in -- in
- 9 favor of what you're saying is, nobody else, no
- other lease that we have with anybody, any
- individual, or anything, you know, has a -- has a
- 12 personal guarantee.
- 13 MR. GORMAN: That's true, yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: But at the second point, we're
- giving them eight months' worth of reduced rent,
- and we are also giving them all of that equipment.
- 17 In the last lease, because they did have
- personal guarantees on that, we were able to --
- did they?
- MR. WUELLNER: There was no -- no personal
- guarantee that we -- we were able to -- don't want
- to use the word "attach," but they willingly
- 23 forfeited corporate assets.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine.
- MS. GREEN: Equipment, is what --

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. So, that equipment
- 2 that -- so, we're helping this guy get in business
- 3 by giving him equipment. I'm sure he's got to
- 4 have more equipment that's there.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Do -- we retain all the
- 7 equipment, anyway, though, right? Or --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: We wouldn't retain anything
- 9 that belonged to them.
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: No, I understand that.
- MR. WUELLNER: But, yeah, we'd certainly
- retain ours.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: But I -- I tend to agree with
- 14 Mr. Gorman's position on it. I -- I like the idea
- of having a restaurant. And I think from what
- 16 Mr. Wuellner was saying, we -- we have very little
- liability -- I mean, it's not very little, but I
- mean, we have a minor amount in comparison. We're
- looking at two months, or a month of loss, if in
- 20 fact it --

- MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah. I don't want to
- paint that as a positive thing.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: No. And I understand what
- you're saying. It's definitely not.
- MR. WUELLNER: The reality is, with, you

- 1 know, continued interest in leasing property on
- 2 the airport that -- that -- you know, with waiting
- 3 lists and those kind of things serving in evidence
- 4 of that, you know, it -- we certainly don't
- 5 usually have a problem finding someone to rent any
- 6 available square inch that's -- that's made
- 7 available out here. That's not to say that
- 8 couldn't change.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson?
- MR. BRUNSON: Here's my opinion: In the
- 11 corporate world, you definitely ask for personal
- guarantees when are you're borrowing money. And
- my -- and if the company doesn't believe in their

14 company, they -- they should not sign personal guarantees. 15 16 I think this restaurant lease is a little bit different. I think the assets and the -- of 17 having them on the airport outweighs the norm of 18 asking these people to sign a personal guarantee. 19 I think our exposure is very limited. And they've 20 already proven that they're operating, and they 21 have -- they have acquired a contract for 22 23 catering, and we desperately need them. 24 I don't know of anybody else that's waiting 25 in line to do what they'll do. So, that's my AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 52 opinion. 1 2 CHAIRMAN COX: My -- my personal feeling is 3 reflecting Mr. Gorman and Mr. Brunson's position 4 on it, also. Do you have anything further to add? 5 MS. GREEN: Yeah. 6 MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make a motion --

7 MS. GREEN: I would like to add --8 CHAIRMAN COX: I want to open it up --9 MS. GREEN: -- a little more discussion --10 CHAIRMAN COX: I want to add it up to -- we 11 need to open up --12 MS. GREEN: -- because I was the one that 13 brought up the personal guarantee. And the 14 airport -- I mean, they need a restaurant. There's no question. I frequent it because I'm at 15 16 the courthouse all the time; it's very convenient 17 for me. And I try and get more traffic there. 18 But I think what Mr. Wuellner brought up is we need to revise our policy then. In this 19 20 situation, we presented them with one lease that 21 didn't have it, the personal guarantee. To go 22 back and now request it, of course they're not 23 going to agree to it. I mean, no good lawyer 24 representing them would say, all right, now let's

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

25

do it.

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: You wouldn't let them.
- 2 MS. GREEN: No. Absolutely, I wouldn't. So,
- 3 what I'm saying is we do need to revise the policy
- 4 to at least say, all right, we need to present
- 5 that, so that I agree with you; the restaurant is
- a little different than some of our other
- 7 leaseholds that we have with regards to hangars
- 8 and what have you.
- 9 We have lost money. We have companies that
- 10 have gone -- I don't know if they went bankrupt,
- but have lawsuits for not paying their sales tax,
- payroll tax -- taxes, et cetera. And there's
- nothing to get to get our lost revenues.
- 14 And they are not chomping at the bit, as you
- said, about people coming in to want to run this
- 16 restaurant.
- MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MS. GREEN: I mean, it hasn't been that easy.
- 19 It sat for a while.
- There are setoffs in the statute. If we
- re-lease it, you don't get the rest of your rent.

- You abate it for what you get with the new person.
- So, the exposure to the tenant is really not as
- great as you think. We're not going to get a
- 25 ten-year lease with all the months rent when we

- leased it out two months later.
- 2 But I'm just saying, in the future, I think
- 3 we really should present that at least in a
- 4 restaurant, or let's say you have gift shop or --
- 5 I mean, a different type of thing. Not a hangar
- 6 lease, is what I'm talking about.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Commercial leases.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: For this particular agenda
- 9 item, what you're looking for is Authority
- approval to go on a month-to-month basis, if
- that's the way we --
- MR. BRUNSON: No.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: If that's -- you're looking
- 14 for airport -- Authority approval for what,

- exactly?
- MR. WUELLNER: Actually, it's --
- MR. GEORGE: Either leave it in or take it
- 18 out.
- MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
- MR. BRUNSON: To waive the personal --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. To either --
- MR. BRUNSON: To waive the personal
- 23 guarantee.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: We're not looking to change
- language in the policy right now at this

- 1 particular agenda item?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: No. That -- that is correct,
- 5 that we're not dealing with --
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Right. Okay.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- a policy issue right now.

- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: But it -- it's something we do
- need to deal with, if that's the general direction
- 11 you want to do in -- for future leases. What's on
- the table today is whether we continue to press
- for the personal guarantee --
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- provision that you directed
- us to place in the lease last month.
- MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make a motion --
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Wait. We're in -- I need to
- open it up to public comment before we --
- MR. BRUNSON: I thought we had already.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Not for this particular agenda
- item, I don't believe.
- MR. BRUNSON: I thought we did.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Did we?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think you did.

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Did we? Okay. That's fine.
- Good. Very good.
- 4 MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make a motion that
- 5 we waive the requirement for personal guarantees
- 6 on the Fly-By Cafe.
- 7 MR. GORMAN: I'll second --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Raise or erase?
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: Erase.
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Erase. And you second it?
- 12 MR. GORMAN: Yes, sir.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: So, we have a motion on the
- table. It's been seconded. Any discussion?
- 15 (No further discussion.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor, say aye.
- 17 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
- 19 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: All against?
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.

- MS. GREEN: Aye.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. They ages have --
- MS. GREEN: If I can -- since we have it
- right here, can we make an agenda item to look at

- 1 the lease policy? I would rather be in the
- 2 position as the board to require it and it's there
- 3 presented to the tenant, and then if we look at
- 4 financials and say, all right, we'll choose to
- 5 waive it, to kind of cross that way, rather than
- 6 present it --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: So, you would like us to come
- 8 up with some draft language for consideration to
- 9 add that guarantee to commercial leases?
- 10 MS. GREEN: Correct.
- MR. WUELLNER: I just want to try to make
- sure I understand. Okay. We'll close the -- then
- 13 you guys can create, you know --
- MR. GORMAN: One question: I don't mean to

- beat -- beat this thing to death. But, I mean, do
- any of our other commercial leases, they all have
- personal guarantees?
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: No.
- MS. GREEN: That's what we're talking about,
- 20 to...
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Is making that a policy to
- require that moving forward, or waive it, you
- know.
- MR. GEORGE: I think we'd like to hear your
- recommendations and Mr. Burnett's as far as what

- 1 is standard within the industry before that.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: We can --
- 3 MS. GREEN: Right. Just make it an agenda
- 4 item and talk about it.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: We can make some calls and see
- 6 what --
- 7 MR. GEORGE: We don't need to -- we don't

8 need to be a -- you know, while we're talking 9 about this --10 MR. WUELLNER: I really don't know. That's 11 not an issue I've run across. MR. BURNETT: Just -- just two quick points. 12 One is, to a certain extent on some of your leases 13 14 you have personal guarantees, in that individuals 15 have entered into the lease and obviously they're individually liable. So, to that extent, I -- I 16 17 know it's semantics, but to -- to that extent, 18 there are folks that could be individually liable 19 under the leases. But a lot of your other tenants are entities of one form or another. 20 21 And one thing that you aptly bring up, I 22 guess, is I know from experience that most 23 commercial leases require personal guarantees 24 unless there's other factors in there related to 25 the parties know each other or the tenant is

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 1 known -- or the financial ability of the tenant is 2 known. 3 I don't know how it relates to airports specifically. And I guess that's something that 4 5 we can do some research on and find out --6 MR. BRUNSON: And, Jack --7 MR. BURNETT: -- either in the area or 8 nationwide or -- requiring personal guarantees. 9 You know, what types of rental property that --10 MR. GEORGE: I think what we're looking for 11 is Staff to get with you and come up with -- want 12 you to make a recommendation to us. 13 MR. GORMAN: We can discuss it at that time. MR. WUELLNER: We'll do a little research and 14 15 then --16 MS. GREEN: Right. 17 MR. WUELLNER: -- bring it back, and y'all 18 can decide what you want to do. 19 MR. BRUNSON: And, Jack, what -- what we're saying is that every note I sign to borrow money 20

Whether I sign it or not is -- is -- it's -- and

has a provision in there for personal guarantees.

21

- even a lease.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Let's discuss it --
- MR. BRUNSON: So, that's what she wants to

- 1 do.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Let's discuss it when we get
- 3 to that.
- 4 MS. GREEN: Right.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Move on to the next agenda
- 6 item. Policy waiver request?
- 7 10.B. WAIVER REQUEST OPERATING AGREEMENT
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I provided you quite a
- 9 bit of background on this, but basically we have
- an individual who is working under the name of
- Wind Dancer, LLC. They do aircraft maintenance.
- 12 They have -- in an effort to legitimize the
- business on the airport, they have properly asked
- us to construct an operating agreement since they
- do not currently lease property from the Airport

- 16 Authority. 17 Now, we have looked through and -- and reanalyzed and addressed all of the existing 18 19 policies of the airport that you -- that the Authority has directed to Staff, include the 20 airport rules and regulations of lease policy, as 21 well as our minimum operating standards, which is 22 in itself a -- a separate policy incorporated by 23 reference into the lease policy. 24 25 We cannot find a way, within the current
 - AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 policy that you've given us, to facilitate that
- 2 business as it stands today, because of their
- 3 lack -- you require in your -- your rules and
- 4 your -- your policies that they be a -- a tenant
- 5 of the airport, in -- in addition to -- in order
- 6 to get an operating agreement for that type of
- 7 business.
- 8 In other words, you've set up a standard that

9 says if you want to be in the aircraft maintenance 10 business, you need to do these things and you need 11 to have a leasehold of this size and -- in order 12 to be in business as a commercial tenant on the 13 airport. That's what your minimum operating standards effectively do. And your other policies 14 15 back that up. We -- because of the way he's doing business 16 currently, which is essentially working out of his 17 18 car and going to individual hangar units and doing 19 work on aircraft, he does not have a lease with the Airport Authority to do that. And there's no 20 21 basis to give him a lease for his car. I don't own his car. 22 23 The alternate -- the alternatives we have are 24 to; one, if we can't waive or come up with a way 25 to make this work, then we have already told him

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

62

1 that we'll have no choice, based on the policy and

2 the rules, to tell him to take the business and go 3 somewhere else; you're not legitimately in 4 business here. That's the, in my opinion, the 5 least desirable direction we want to go. 6 The other alternative, we've got facilities that will come on line or eventually become 7 8 available on the airport. Do you want to consider waiving your existing policies to facilitate this 9 guy being able to continue to do business, using 10 11 the airport, while facilities are either built or become available in the future, at which point, my 12 thought is, the waiver would expire and you'd 13 14 require someone to execute a lease and become permanent on the airport and it would self -- take 15 care of itself, self-resolve. 16 17 CHAIRMAN COX: Wait. MR. WUELLNER: That -- that's essentially it. 18 Because it's a policy matter, I don't have the 19 20 latitude to just unilaterally tell him, yeah, keep 21 driving on the airport and working out of

somebody's hangar. That's clearly against the

- rules and policy you've given me.
- Only you five collectively can determine
- 25 whether that policy gets waived or is modified in

- any way. So, it's really, you know, a decision
- 2 you five need to come to.
- 3 I -- I would suggest if you do anything, you
- 4 do it in a -- in a temporary nature and it's tied
- 5 to some future event that forces, you know, an
- 6 ultimate end, conclusion of this, even though it
- 7 may not be date certain. We may not know it's
- 8 going to happen in July of this year or July of
- 9 next year. But at least, when we present him with
- a legitimate facility to lease that meets the
- needs of that business, that he agrees to enter
- into a lease or stop operating on the airport.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. I'd like to ask for
- public comment on this particular agenda item.
- 15 Mr. Ciriello?

16 MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, 5318 Shore 17 Drive. I'm not sure if I understand this Wind Dancer. I thought when Ed started talking about 18 it, was this company that come in that was 19 supposed to be testing -- or not testing, but 20 using those military airplanes, this -- what 21 you're talking about here is somebody with an 22 23 A & E license wanting to work out of his car. 24 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 25 MR. CIRIELLO: I believe -- Mr. Martinelli AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 64 can correct me that a while back the Authority 1 went through some rules and stuff to get another 2 3 FBO on the field that did maintenance work and 4 everything. They had to have a certain minimum of tools and all of that kind of stuff, which was 5

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt (84 of 158)2/28/2006 6:17:51 AM

pretty high. What, about a hundred thousand

MR. MARTINELLI: Oh, I don't remember the

dollars, Vince (sic)?

6

7

9 amount, but --10 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. 11 MR. MARTINELLI: But that's what Ed was referring to earlier, so... 12 MR. CIRIELLO: But in any case, if you go 13 along with this, what I -- my question to you guys 14 is what's to stop any A & E graduate from 15 Embry-Riddle to come up here and ask for the same 16 thing, and you end up having a field full of 17 18 garage -- you know, garage mechanics, so to speak, 19 working on everybody's airplane, when you have 20 a -- a tenant on the field who does maintenance work? I don't know what his rent or anything is. 21 22 But that would be affecting him, and he has a 23 certain amount of equipment and everything he has to maintain. 24 25 So, to put somebody's foot in the door to

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

65

1 have other people come in and do the same thing, I

2 think would be a bad idea. 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. Any other public -- further public comment? Mr. Martinelli? 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Victor Martinelli, 24 5 6 Carriage Lane, Ponte Vedra Beach. What Joe has said, I think, is correct. And I think -- correct 7 me if I'm wrong, but I think Mr. Wuellner is going 8 beyond that in saying we will have facilities 9 10 available as we build out, and it is our desire to 11 have operations like this fellow is providing on 12 the field when we are built out. 13 So, that then tells me that you look at two things. One, is he willing to commit himself to a 14 15 lease when that buildout occurs? And if he is, 16 then condition number two, is he financially able 17 to live up to it? 18 So, has he presented any kind of financial 19 data, balance sheets, whatever, so you know his --20 his strength, his financial strength, and whether or not he's just one of those guys operating out 21 22 of his car or whether he's in earnest, wanting to

start a bona fide business and has the capability

- 24 to do it?
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Very valid point. Thanks very

- 1 much. Is there any other further public comment?
- 2 Mr. Slingluff?
- 3 MR. SLINGLUFF: Historically at airports this
- 4 has been a very contentious topic. And -- and
- 5 this is really the basis of why minimum standards
- 6 are -- are adopted and developed and -- and
- 7 amended on -- on a continuous basis. It's to
- 8 ensure that the -- the infrastructure development
- 9 is commensurate with the -- the development that
- 10 the airport has done.
- 11 It is such a prevalent problem, the FAA
- actually uses a formal term for it, which is a
- hole-in-the-fence operation. They are totally
- against it. They would advise going back and
- looking at the minimum standards and enforcement
- of the minimum standards.

discussion. Thanks.

25

9

17 In my opinion, once you get into a waiver 18 situation, where does it stop? Are we going to ask for waivers for safety issues? Is -- is -- if 19 20 you grant a temporary waiver, are other covenants 21 still going to be imposed, such as liability 22 insurance? 23 And it -- it just opens up a never-ending sort of Pandora's box. Just topic for further 24

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

67

1 CHAIRMAN COX: Very good points. Yes, sir. 2 MR. THOMPSON: Mike Thompson, 895 Roberts 3 Road. As an aircraft owner, I always like having 4 choices. As a businessman, I'm a firm believer in 5 a level playing field. 6 Without knowing anything about any of this, if an FBO operator has to pay a lease each month 7 8 to operate on the field, to have hangars and

facilities, then the through-the-fence operation

10 has got a built-in advantage. 11 And if you're going to make a level playing 12 field, then there's some formula need to be -- you 13 know, I don't know this gentleman. May be the 14 best mechanic on the planet. But you need some 15 sort of formula so that he doesn't have a -- an 16 unfair advantage over other people on the field 17 providing the same service. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much. Yes, 19 sir. MR. CAMERON: Jerry Cameron. You know, in a 20 21 perfect world, I'd like everybody to have the 22 liberty that's, between you and I, if my plane falls out of the sky, that was my decision. 23 24 But one of the things we haven't brought up 25 here is there's some real liability issues with

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 workmen's comp and things of that nature if you
- 2 knowingly allow a person that doesn't have

- 3 workmen's comp to operate on your property.
- 4 You -- you raise some real liability issues.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much, sir. Any
- 6 other public comment?
- 7 (No further public comment.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Open up to the board
- 9 discussion. Mr. Gorman, you had some comments.
- MR. GORMAN: Do you really want to take me
- 11 first?
- MS. GREEN: Yes.
- 13 CHAIRMAN COX: You had your hand up most.
- MR. GORMAN: Let's deal with small airport
- realities. It's --
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: But keep it pointed and --
- MR. GORMAN: Let's deal with -- we're going
- to deal with realities. We need to discuss it in
- terms of realities. It's been my observation
- 20 through many, many airports -- now, I agree that
- you've got -- you need to -- you need to level the
- 22 playing field.
- Our liabilities as an airport are -- for

- instance, are environmental. You've got paint
- disposal. You've got oil disposal. You've also

69

1 probably got -- as Mr. Cameron says, you might have as a -- as an airport, some type of workmen's 2 3 comp disposal -- liability. 4 But what you have when you do that, and what 5 I've observed through many airports -- and there's 6 even several papers written on it at Herlong, is 7 if you stop -- let's say you tell the director 8 right now, okay, no more through-the-fence, that 9 won't happen, that won't happen; you will 10 create -- you create an underground --11 CHAIRMAN COX: And you get really through the 12 hole. 13 MR. GORMAN: -- of maintenance facilities. 14 You do. You do. And it won't stop them. The 15 nature of the beast in an airport, and with all of

these individual plane owners, is that they will

25

7

8

9

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 17 continue to come through the fence. They will use the owner's card. They'll do whatever. That's 18 what will happen. That's an observable 19 historic -- historical past. 20 Okay. That said -- and that is really the 21 22 truth. You can see that through so many places. You do need to level the playing field, and we do 23 need to have environmental issues complied with 24

and everything else. That's one of the reasons

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

70

1 I've been talking about and wanted to push and 2 keep putting it on the board for some type of an incubator situation; in other words, an incubator, 3 4 meaning that this -- this gives the need -- this 5 allows single operators to meet minimum standards, 6 because they're going to be in a facility, they're

going to lease a facility, they're going to lease

standards, that does have paint and oil disposal,

part of a facility that does meet minimum

10 and this small business incubator also does grow business, it does grow jobs. Also levels the 11 playing field. 12 But if you just cut it off, and you say, 13 well, this is our policy, we'll just cut it off, 14 15 it won't do a damn thing. It's an observable, 16 historical, provable fact. Truth. CHAIRMAN COX: Very good point. 17 18 MR. GEORGE: But we'll be covered. 19 MR. GORMAN: You'll be covered as far as your 20 vote on a liability on a board? MR. GEORGE: Yes. If we leave --21 22 MR. GORMAN: I'll leave that --23 MR. GEORGE: If we leave -- if we leave the 24 policy that's there, the reason for that policy is 25 to protect us and also to protect our other

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 tenants who have gone out of their way to spend
- 2 the money, you know, to -- to build those

- 3 facilities and do that. So, if they keep coming
- 4 through the fence, then that's a -- that's a slap
- 5 on our hands of not enforcing the policies that we
- 6 have.
- Now, one of the things that we did in our
- 8 Master Plan was we established a need to incubate
- 9 these types of businesses. I think that's a good
- term. Maybe we need to take a look at our policy,
- and maybe there is some -- something that we need
- to do when we have the facilities.
- There's been some point that we had some
- discussions about the possibility of taking the
- row of T-hangars that is closest to U.S. 1, when
- we build the new ones here, and let's turn those
- over to small businesses. That's a smaller space
- that they could use to get started with.
- MR. GORMAN: That's exactly --
- 20 MR. GEORGE: But it --
- 21 MR. GORMAN: -- the incubator idea.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Yes.
- MR. GORMAN: That's it in a nutshell.
- MR. GEORGE: But what I'm saying is because

25 we want to foster something like that, maybe we

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	need for Staff to take a look at the policies that
2	are there and maybe there should be another level,
3	an incubator level, you know, of space that's
4	needed and stuff like that.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Wuellner can
6	MR. WUELLNER: I agree.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: I'd like to ask you, just go
8	over for us what we we would require from this
9	particular individual, as far as liability
10	insurance and protection for the airport, on all
11	of the issues that have been brought up here.
12	MS. GREEN: Licensing and everything like
13	that.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. You've got eight items.
15	Then you've got some specific numbers attached to
16	a few things. It says sufficient space to house
17	any aircraft for which you're performing service

18 on, which I'll -- let me touch on the numbers here 19 in a second. 20 Suitable space to store airplanes awaiting 21 maintenance and delivery for repairs. Adequate 22 shop space to house the equipment adequate to do the job, you know, which is tools, jacks, lifting, 23 24 test equipment, those kind of things. 25 At least one FAA certified air frame and AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 73 power plant mechanic and one AI available during 1 2 eight hours of a day, five days a week. In other 3 words, it needs to be open and in business, 4 ordinarily. 5 The facilities for washing and cleaning 6 aircraft. Storage for under -- on aircraft 7 undergoing repair. And it says something here about you can't store them for salvage operations. 8 9 Any aircraft undergoing repair needs to be in

10

an airworthy -- or in a nonairworthy condition for

- 11 30 days needs to be screened from the public. 12 Aircraft touchup painting is required. 13 And it cites here that it may require other 14 approvals from other governmental entities. 15 Insurance requirements as determined at the time and negotiation of the lease. 16 17 Then you go back, and specifically requires 18 7,000 square foot of hangar, a thousand square 19 foot of office/shop space, minimum of one handicap 20 restroom, which may -- may not quite -- may only 21 satisfy for our requirements, may not satisfy 22 county. 23 Ramp tie-down to support ten aircraft. And parking, paved parking to accommodate at least ten 24 aircraft. 25 AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 74
- 1 That's -- plus, there are some generic
- 2 requirements that I -- I don't see being
- 3 problematic in and of itself.

4	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah. The individual is
5	trying to do it the right way with the Authority,
6	and that's the you know, it's a very positive
7	point. He's he has agreed, I think with the
8	director, to any of the insurance requirements
9	that are placed, you know, the typical insurance
10	requirements, and to do whatever we want. So,
11	he's trying not to be very hard not to be a
12	through-the-fence type of an operation. So, you
13	know
14	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you've got an
15	excellent the direction that Mr. Gorman is
16	suggesting, and I think I'm hearing Mr. George
17	say, is an excellent direction to head.
18	We the current policy does not, because we
19	weren't envisioning the last revision at the last
20	revision time, the incubator incubator idea, we
21	didn't incorporate how a business would move into
22	that, how they would qualify in that, what
23	those you know, what space would be provided
24	ordinarily within that context.

Is it, you know, likely to be something less

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	than what would be required to just walk in and
2	and be full scale in business? You're you're
3	in a sense nurturing that business to a point
4	where they can assume all the requirements and
5	minimum operating standards. That's the whole
6	concept behind it.
7	So, we we do need to develop some sort of
8	standards, be it, you know, now I don't mean
9	today but, you know, at this juncture, or, you
10	know, in another year as we approach completion of
11	a facility, ideally.
12	You know, at at some point, you've got to
13	be ready to go with it. And, you know, waiting
14	till the first tenant shows up that that is,
15	you know, in our mind a candidate for the for
16	the incubator and hoping we get it right the first
17	guy out of the blocks is probably not the approach

18 that I would suggest. 19 Let's -- let's work on those. Let's talk those through now, you know, over the next meeting 20 or two, come to some direction of where we insert 21 22 it into the lease policy, and how we, you know, in 23 a vanilla form, create the -- the method for a 24 business seeking to do it right, that's clearly a 25 startup business, that can't walk in and assume 7-AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 76 1 or 8,000 square foot of building lease and -- and all of the obligations that go with it, but are 2 3 willing to do it right, and we're willing to allow

business operation here.

them to do it right within the context of a

revised document. For a specified period of time,

allow them to grow their business, to which point

the overall goal of both entities is to get them

out of the incubator and into a full-blown

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CHAIRMAN COX: Any further discussion?

11	(No further board discussion.)
12	CHAIRMAN COX: I'll entertain a motion,
13	consideration of a waiver.
14	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion that we deny the
15	waiver and that we give Staff direction to
16	identify within that leasing policy what we could
17	be protected by the the airport and the other
18	tenants, but allow someone to come in for specific
19	time periods to grow their business.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Which I have no
21	issues with trying to develop that language.
22	My my question is, you've still got on the
23	table today an individual here. Are you telling
24	him to get lost till we get ready for you, or are
25	we, you know you know, just delaying that to
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006
	77
1	where he could possibly comply with a revised
1	where he could possibly comply with a revised
2	minimum standard? What are what are you
3	telling me to tell him?

- 4 MR. GEORGE: I hate to use the word "get
- 5 lost," but, you know, get lost. That's bad
- 6 terminology. But we need to get this thing down
- 7 in black and white that's going to -- that's going
- 8 to be applicable for lots of people. Otherwise,
- 9 we're waivering (sic) ourselves to death without,
- 10 you know --
- MR. WUELLNER: I would be -- I would --
- MR. GEORGE: -- our policies working.
- MR. WUELLNER: I would certainly be more
- inclined to -- to be interested in waiving things
- if, for instance, the building or the leasehold
- was available to him, it was 3,000 feet, you know,
- and you didn't meet the 7- yet, but got him, you
- 18 know, in here legitimately in business. And that
- at this point, you're talking about somebody
- 20 operating out of T-hangars illegally --
- 21 MS. GREEN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- which you don't allow any
- of that activity by outside vendors, and still
- 24 operating out of a vehicle.
- You know, you've got liability issues.

1	You've got, you know, in the event an aircraft
2	he's worked on has a problem you know, she
3	they've got two of them right here that will tell
4	you that the shotgun approach to who has liability
5	will will hold up for a couple of years and
6	we'll spend a lot of money defending what may or
7	may not be any liability there, or at least our
8	insurance company will.
9	MR. BURNETT: I don't
10	MR. GEORGE: What I'm saying is in running
11	in running any kind of a business you've got to
12	have some guidelines.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Agreed.
14	MR. GEORGE: If the guidelines don't make a
15	particular situation and it's a small waiver,
16	yeah, you can do that.
17	You're talking about a major philosophy

- stand off to the side until we can get that -- in
- 20 my opinion, has to stand off to the side till we
- 21 can get that policy revised.
- MR. WUELLNER: And it is a significant policy
- change. It's not just changing the numbers to
- 24 make one person work.
- MR. GORMAN: Right.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: It's a whole different
- 2 approach to qualified incubator-type businesses.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Question: You've got probably a
- 4 dozen people on the field that work individually
- 5 for individuals on their aircraft in hangars right
- 6 now. Are you going to preclude their entrance to
- 7 this airport?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: As they're identified to us,
- 9 they have the exact same -- we have the same
- policy for all of them.
- MR. GORMAN: You're painting yourself in an

- impossible corner, historically.
- MR. WUELLNER: I understand that.
- MR. GORMAN: I know you know that.
- MR. WUELLNER: Believe me, I understand that.
- 16 MR. GORMAN: Yes.
- MR. WUELLNER: And, you know, the activity
- goes on because no one talks to us about it. Duh.
- 19 You know, that -- but that's the way it. You
- 20 know, when we -- someone's identified to us as
- 21 conducting activity, we go talk to them.
- MR. GEORGE: If you bring it up to this board
- and ask our opinion, we have to implement the
- policies that we have. We can't sit here and say,
- 25 "Well, Ed, don't look -- don't look for the

- 1 following five people."
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, that's what I have to
- do, is implement the policy. You guys get the
- 4 privilege of developing the policy and telling me

- 5 what that's going to be. And, you know, if
- 6 collectively, as you -- you know, as an entity
- 7 matures, the airport matures, those things need to
- 8 be looked at periodically and revised and made to
- 9 continue to fit and be productive and useful for
- the airport. You know, maybe it's time --
- 11 MR. BRUNSON: I think --
- MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you, minimum
- operating standards haven't been touched in the
- ten years I've been here.
- MR. BRUNSON: I think she -- we should look
- at changing the policy in some way, not bring a
- Wind Dancer in here that I know nothing about,
- financially or their quality of work, and it's
- just -- we should direct Staff to --
- MR. GEORGE: And that's what my motion was.
- 21 MR. BRUNSON: -- start looking to changing
- the policy.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: I -- yes, ma'am.
- MS. GREEN: Along with Mr. George's motion, I
- 25 have concerns over the airport's liability issues.

1	And just like Mr. Gorman said, they're out there.
2	I don't know who they are, fortunately or
3	whatever, because I'm not on the airport that
4	often. But they're out there, and I am very
5	concerned that now, this this guy's been
6	trying to operate legitimately. He's coming
7	before the board, trying to do the right thing.
8	But I would want to hear from our attorneys
9	what type of liability we have or what's going to
10	be necessary to allow people like this to operate.
11	But they're going to need licenses, insurance, you
12	name it.
13	MR. GORMAN: Watch what you're doing.
14	MR. GEORGE: That's part
15	MR. GORMAN: Watch what you're doing here.
16	You're letting one person I'm just telling
17	reality here. No, you're letting one person
18	that's coming up in front of the board trying to

- legitimize himself, fine. And of course there's
- lots of reasons to say, well, you're not meeting
- those minimum standards. And you're going to now
- 22 make Mr. Wuellner a policeman -- a policeman. And
- 23 it's not going to work.
- MS. GREEN: But I don't want the board --
- MR. GORMAN: I understand.

- 1 MS. GREEN: -- and the airport to be
- 2 responsible for one faulty mechanical issue, and
- 3 the airplane goes down and -- look what happened.
- 4 It was several years ago. We had -- hang on,
- 5 Mr. Gorman.
- We had an accident out on U.S. 1. There was
- 7 construction being done on that road back there,
- 8 and there was a truck that was not ours, it has
- 9 hauling dirt or some kind of construction onto the
- side road onto airport property, but it was not
- our construction. We were not dealing with it.

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 12 And a woman who wasn't paying attention runs into 13 the back of it. I think she died. 14 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MS. GREEN: Right. And we get sued. And we 15 have paid lots of money. And that wasn't even 16 17 anything on our airport. So, the exposure is huge. 18 19 MR. GORMAN: Now, as far as maintenance goes, it's my understanding that -- that the maintenance 20 21 issue, liabilitywise -- I don't know. Now -- but 22 the maintenance issue, liability, lies between the 23 aircraft owner and the FAA. MS. GREEN: Well, that's why I'm asking. 24 25 MR. GORMAN: Well, we do need to ask that

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 question.
- 2 MS. GREEN: That's why we're asking for
- 3 direction.
- MR. GORMAN: We do need to ask the question, 4

5 veah. 6 MR. GEORGE: That's why the portion of -- of 7 the --MR. GORMAN: And I believe that's true. 8 9 MR. GEORGE: -- motion was come up with your recommendations that is specifically oriented 10 11 towards, you know, the incubation of new 12 businesses ---MS. GREEN: Right. 13 14 MR. GEORGE: -- but also protection, 15 liability-wise. 16 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. CHAIRMAN COX: Have you got any comment on 17 18 that, Mr. Burnett? Or you need to research it? 19 MR. BURNETT: No. There's -- there's so many 20 different components there --21 CHAIRMAN COX: Right. 22 MR. BURNETT: -- I guess it's hard to get --23 get my hands around it. I mean, there's all kinds

of liability issues from the function of simple

maintenance of changing lubricating fluids or

24

1	those kinds of things for the aircraft engines and
2	potential environmental liability that could
3	arise.
4	There is one thing that I'll throw out there
5	is, and I leaned over to Ed to ask him is, there
6	are other options potentially for this person
7	or or someone else to come onto the airport and
8	operate through some sublease
9	MS. GREEN: Right, independent
10	MR. BURNETT: from an existing airport
11	tenant that, you know, could be reviewed by the
12	airport and approved by the airport. But there is
13	the opportunity there.
14	One thing and I know this isn't the case,
15	but one thing I will throw out there is there
16	isn't the the way the policy is written, the
17	way we are contractually obligated with the
18	existing FBO, there isn't the opportunity to have
19	an incubator-type FRO for what that's worth

this time. Right.

25

I know that's not what we're talking about

here. But I just want to add that in case that

thought was out there, as well.

MR. GORMAN: The incubator-type thing is an

out-of-the-box situation. It doesn't exist at

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

85

1 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Wuellner, if we -- if we 2 did this, if we tabled this issue for -- until the next meeting and -- and it gave us some time to 3 4 research our options and see where we could go 5 with that, and just see what we -- see what we can 6 do with -- with Wind Dancer, LLC during that time 7 period to make it so that it's copacetic for the 8 airport and -- and us -- and us, or we need to 9 explore the options that we have that will be 10 available to us. And also if we could find the options that Mr. Burnett's talking about, possibly 11 12 a sublease from a --

13 MR. WUELLNER: Well, not -- not to throw 14 water on a part of that, but that option was 15 already suggested --16 CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, really? 17 MR. WUELLNER: -- and explored --18 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah. 19 MR. WUELLNER: -- to no -- to no benefit at this point. It -- it still exists. I mean, if --20 21 if there's a bona fide commercial tenant on the 22 airport who has the ability within their lease to sublease, and they're -- one that I know of, maybe 23 there's two, I don't know, but I know there's only 24 25 one that I'm aware of -- they -- they would need

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 to work out those arrangements with that
- 2 individual tenant and then talk to us.
- 3 MS. GREEN: But I think my concern is, in a
- 4 short-gap period, is that if Wind Dancer is
- 5 servicing certain people, certain hangars, that we

6 might have to do some type of a -- if you're going 7 to do that -- and it's kind of police work --8 until we get this incubator policy, that that 9 owner of the aircraft or the hangar is absolutely 10 totally responsible for any action --11 MR. GEORGE: And will hold us harmless. 12 MS. GREEN: Right. Any action of Wind 13 Dancer, unless we come up with something in the 14 near -- I'm just putting a Band-Aid on 15 something --CHAIRMAN COX: Right. 16 MS. GREEN: -- if you want to keep them --17 MR. WUELLNER: Is there a way it can -- I 18 mean, this is more up you two's alley than mine. 19 20 But is there any way to construct such an 21 instrument that protects the Airport Authority? 22 MS. GREEN: Well, it'd have to be an 23 agreement with the other parties. It'd have to --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

MR. WUELLNER: Well, obviously. I mean, is

24

25

it --

1	MR. BURNETT: There there is a way that we
2	can contractually obligate them, if they agree to
3	it, and assuming I'd have to check the FAA regs
4	related to this, and assuming we change the the
5	airport were to change its policy, there's a way
6	to to contractually have that person indemnify
7	the airport, maintain liability insurance that
8	would be to the benefit of the airport, and then I
9	guess there's functions of logistically where they
10	work out of or what areas of the airport they have
11	access to, where they perform maintenance and what
12	kind of maintenance they perform, or other
13	functions they perform at the airport.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: How long would it take you to
15	generate that type of language in an official type
16	of paper? I mean, are we talking weeks or are you
17	talking a couple of days?
18	MR. BURNETT: A couple of weeks.
19	MS. GREEN: Oh, yeah.

20 MR. BURNETT: Because the main thing that's 21 going to take some work is looking at -- it's not just your policy, but the FAA policy. 22 23 CHAIRMAN COX: I'd be inclined to consider 24 the waiver based on those types of parameters if we could move forward, you know, and like as a 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

88

Band-Aid towards moving into the incubator type of 1 2 area. 3 MR. GORMAN: What you'll get is, this gentleman on the FBO over here, you're going to 4 get them complain bitterly that now we do not have 5 minimum standards. I mean, this is the -- this is 6 7 the angst that will come out immediately. 8 But I do agree with you; we need a waiver for

the time being to study the problem. I mean, but

this is -- these gentlemen on the -- that have

just been introduced to us, that's going to be

9

10

11

12

their angst.

13 MS. GREEN: Well, I'm not sure the waiver's going to happen for a couple of weeks anyway. 14 It's not going to be there. 15 MR. GEORGE: I don't even think we ought to 16 entertain a waiver, you know? 17 18 MS. GREEN: Yeah. MR. GEORGE: We -- other people have invested 19 a lot in their business to be out here, and 20 they've followed all the rules. 21 22 My thought about taking what we have as 23 minimum requirements, is we make a checklist, and here's a paragraph on this liability, here's a 24 paragraph on this liability. Here's a paragraph 25 AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 89 on this. Here's a paragraph that says personal 1 2 guarantees. 3 And we say, okay, somebody wants to come in 4 to the -- to the airport, we will allow you to not

have a thousand square feet, but 500 square feet.

- We'll allow you to do this, we'll -- we'll waiver
 half of the parking ramp, you know, stuff that you
- 8 have. But you're going to have to give me a
- 9 deposit against those facilities and everything,
- like two, three months, and sign personal
- guarantees and everything.
- So, anything that affects our liability, you
- know, that should be in this little waiver that
- 14 you've got here.
- MS. GREEN: Right. And that's pretty
- involved, because Mr. Burnett's going to have to
- look at our insurance policies to see what's
- there, if we're violating any of that, along with
- 19 FAA. So, it's a -- it's not that simple.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah, it's not --
- MR. GEORGE: Well, we know that our -- we
- know that the leases that we just got through
- signing covers all of the FAA requirements. Start
- right there. Why go back and reinvent the wheel?
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Any further discussion? I --

1	I think you got your direction that the waiver is
2	denied.
3	MR. BURNETT: And is it then that we bring
4	this back to you at the next regular meeting?
5	MS. GREEN: I think so.
6	MR. BRUNSON: If possible, yes.
7	MS. GREEN: Because I think we want to we
8	want to explore it. We want to make something
9	like this possible for small startup businesses.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: We'll put it this way: The
11	waiver is denied at this meeting and we'll table
12	the the issue until the next meeting.
13	MR. GORMAN: Well, did we vote on whether we
14	denied the waiver?
15	CHAIRMAN COX: I don't think we need to. We

MR. WUELLNER: You're not denying it.

CHAIRMAN COX: Right.

didn't -- it didn't come up with a --

just tabling the motion.

16

17

18

19

20

MR. WUELLNER: I guess technically you're

- 21 MS. GREEN: Right.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- MS. GREEN: Is that enough time for counsel
- 24 to -- I mean, I don't think you have to come up
- with -- would be nice if you came up with a

- 1 written document, but I mean, at least some kind
- 2 of direction as to we have to explore this, this,
- 3 and this, as much as you can do in this --
- 4 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. I'm at least going to
- 5 come back with a good solid update for you.
- 6 MS. GREEN: Okay.
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Does that work?
- 8 Action item hangars 8, 9, and 10.
- 9 10.C. HANGARS 8, 9 & 10
- MR. WUELLNER: Since we had such an easy time
- 11 with the last one, this one -- this one will --
- 12 I'd like to think it's easier, but I doubt it will
- 13 be.

14	At the last meeting, I mentioned that we
15	had had bid hangars 8, 9, and 10, which is the
16	three-unit corporate building that we were
17	planning to build on the east side. I mentioned
18	that we had received bids for that job. The
19	lowest bid was approximately \$2.1 million. I'm
20	going to just use some round numbers right now.
21	I mentioned that we were going to approach
22	our design/build contractor independently from
23	that and see if there were other alternatives
24	available to try and get the bid price, get either
25	a stand-alone bid, a design/build contract to

- 1 build the building for substantially less than
- 2 that, or -- and/or work with the low bidder to try
- 3 to value-engineer some solutions that brings the
- 4 total dollar value down.
- 5 The revised total is now approximately \$1.9
- 6 million. The design/build contractor, that being

- 7 Danis, has indicated to us that they did not see, 8 in looking the job, that there was any way for 9 them to jump in with an alternate method of 10 construction and bring this project in for 11 anything less than that kind of a number. They felt like the additional A & E fees 12 13 required to change the method of construction from 14 that which was built, basically going to a 15 masonry-type building or other exterior material, 16 from a metal pre-engineered building. When you put that back in, it offset -- more than offset 17 18 what -- what it would save, if it could save. 19 The reality is the exterior costs of the metal building are in the area, as they explained 20 21 it to me, about \$3 a square foot. When you go to masonry, you're up in the \$9 -- as we were looking 22 23 at, in the \$9 to \$10 a square foot range for the 24 exterior alone.

Plus, you've got to redesign the building

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1 from an engineering standpoint in order to allow 2 it to be permitted with the county. So, you have 3 all of those A & E fees to pay again. So, it 4 didn't make any sense to continue discussions with the design/build contractor. 5 6 Where it does make sense is to continue to 7 discuss with the low bidder on the job and see if 8 we can continue to explore value-engineering 9 alternatives that may come up as -- as the project 10 begins to get permitted, should you choose to move 11 forward. Now, that's just the -- the bid side of this, 12 and there's several other components to get to a 13 14 decision. So, you're now in the \$1.9 million range. 15 I've provided you a whole stapled package of 16 17 some of the conversations going back and forth 18 between the engineers, copy of the bid tabulation,

and his recommendation. I don't -- I don't think

we included the recommendation letter, but it's --

19

21 it's in the file. 22 You now have the issue of the project still 23 exceeding the budget as it was set forth within what we adopted, or you adopted, moving into 24 October of this year. It still exceeds that 25 AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 94 1 number. 2 In addition to that, we have only identified by specific grant a total of \$325,000 of state 3 4 matching funds, which means that you have 5 approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.5-something that's 6 available that still has to be funded by the Airport Authority instead of jointly with the 7 8 state. Now, I have found a way within our existing 9 work program, using a couple of other stand-alone 10 11 grants that we have, that I can get to the number 12 of \$950,000 of state money. So, in effect, we'd be splitting the cost with Florida DOT. So, now 13

14 the Authority's share of this is -- is in the \$950,000 range. 15 16 Using the \$950,000, you'll see that one of the sheets you have is the ROI calculation, return 17 on investment calculation, and coupled with what I 18 would -- we built these, if you recall at your 19 direction, as a commercial hangar, meaning they 20 could be leased for commercial purposes. 21 As such, we can put commercial-type leases in 22 23 there, which command a significantly higher rental 24 base. So, we can get a minimum -- at a minimum of 25 \$5.75 a square foot, and we can probably do better AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 95 than that, but at a minimum of \$5.75 a square 1 2 foot, we can meet the ROI requirements that you 3 have in your lease policy for new construction of

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt (125 of 158)2/28/2006 6:17:51 AM

thinking for cash flow purposes, we can contain

I don't believe, other than just -- I'm

4

5

6

7 percent.

7 the project within this year, and there may be 8 some tail-over in the next fiscal year because the 9 construction will go on well into October, 10 November at this time, you know, just based on 11 when they get -- start on construction. 12 So, you may have a little tail-over into next 13 year's budget, but I think from a cash flow 14 management standpoint, we really wouldn't have any issues moving forward. 15 16 In any event some windfall of other projects 17 shows up, you've got the ability to respond to it 18 using your -- your line of credit, if you would 19 choose to do that later on. Those are decisions, 20 if -- if they happen, would be later on. 21 So, bottom line is we can make -- within the 22 \$1.9 million, we can, if you choose, work with DOT 23 and repackage the grants that we have on the books 24 with them to allow us to get to a 50 percent 25 margin with FDOT.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	With the budget adjustment, we can facilitate
2	the project and still get an acceptable minimum
3	ROI of 7 percent on the job if you choose to move
4	forward. If you choose to move forward, you need
5	to award the contract at the \$1.9 million level
6	to to the contractor that low bid; we'll
7	need you'll need to instruct me to make those
8	changes with the grants or make attempt to make
9	those changes with FDOT; and you'll also need to
10	effectively allocate the \$950,000 of local funds
11	to match the state in order to to capitalize
12	the project. I know it's complicated, but it's
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Public comment, please?
14	(No public comment.)
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Seeing none, board discussion?
16	Mr. Gorman?
17	MR. GORMAN: Well, I've got to ask one thing.
18	Why the large disparity in what we thought and
19	what we projected? And, you know, that's the
20	question that begs to be, you know
21	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the

- MR. GORMAN: -- answered right now.
- MR. WUELLNER: The simple answer is that when
- 24 the -- when the -- if you recall the original
- 25 project over there was for something about 10,000

- 1 square feet.
- When we looked at -- that resulted in a whole
- 3 lot of discussion over the last nine months -- I
- 4 don't even remember, but a long time -- which
- 5 ended up at the end of the day with a three-unit
- 6 building that totaled about 21,000 square foot
- 7 instead of the original 10-. So, it -- the scope
- 8 of the project more than doubled over the course
- 9 of the nine months to what it is now.
- By putting -- there were some economies of
- scale in putting the three buildings, the three
- units, if you want to call it that, into one
- building, which there still are some savings to
- doing that, versus constructing three separate

- 15 buildings. 16 The bottom line is, you've doubled the size 17 of the project. The budget did not go -- the 18 original grant project with FDOT did not double 19 with it, nor did our budgeting for the project do it. It was not -- we did not have the realities 20 21 of what the budget numbers were when the project 22 was bid, and when the budget was set, for that 23 matter. 24 MR. GORMAN: So, that begs the question, can 25 the project be scaled down and still meet the same
 - AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 ROI within the same existing original budget
- 2 parameter?
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you're literally looking
- 4 at probably -- because it's less than half, you're
- 5 probably only going to be able to build one of the
- 6 three units. I don't know what the ROI numbers --
- 7 I have not run them. But you've still got --

- 8 you've still got substantial costs in there,
- 9 because not only that, you've got to reengineer a
- portion of the job for -- for permitting purposes.
- MR. GORMAN: And the last question and I'll
- be done. Can you get -- for instance, if you got
- an ROI and you've got to have it, you've got \$5.75
- at 7 percent, \$5.75 a square, can you get a
- 15 20-year lease before construction from people? Is
- there that kind of demand?
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: We could, sure.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: You could --
- MR. WUELLNER: It -- there --
- 20 MR. GORMAN: Because I -- I'm looking at the
- FBO people over there and I'm wondering what
- they're getting for a square foot. You know, I
- was just --
- MR. WUELLNER: It's in excess of that.
- MR. GORMAN: Don't want to be speculative,

1 you know? 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George? 3 MR. WUELLNER: You're getting more than --4 well, you're getting almost that amount directly 5 across from it today. 6 MR. GEORGE: I think there's a correction in 7 order. When we were originally talking, we were talking two hangars over there, which I think 8 9 those two hangars were 8,000 square feet apiece, 10 16,000. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that is --11 12 MR. GEORGE: We then said, why should we 13 waste that space between the two hangars? Let's 14 put three. So, we went to 20,000. So, we didn't 15 go double the --16 MR. WUELLNER: I stand corrected. You're right. 17 18 MR. GEORGE: Okay? We only went up a 19 quarter. 20 MR. WUELLNER: Well, a third.

MR. GEORGE: I -- I think that we need to

- look at this, you know, do we build the two and
- leave the one in the middle and come back later on
- and do that? But before we do that, I have a real
- concern about our ten-year financial plan and our

- 1 six-year plan of getting off the -- the tax rolls.
- We had budgeted -- bear with me -- \$1
- 3 million, Department of Transportation paying half,
- 4 or \$500,000, and we're paying \$500,000. Well, now
- 5 it's up to \$1.9. We're paying \$950- and they're
- 6 paying \$950-. We just hit our budget by \$450,000
- 7 on this one project.
- 8 Now, because of the foresight of this board
- 9 two years ago, we did not take the rollback, and
- we did crank in another \$334,000 per year to take
- care of contingencies. So, some of that is there.
- But if this one is 50 percent overstated, what are
- the rest of them that we're planning on all of
- this expenditures of new facilities? Are they

- also going to be a hundred percent over?
- MS. GREEN: Well then, my concern is, too, if
- we're going to revamp -- and I'm not sure I'm
- using the correct word -- of other DOT monies from
- other slated areas, what are we doing --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. What are we losing there?
- 21 Right.
- MS. GREEN: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. But this was --
- actually, those -- the two other grants were for

- small corporate facilities, also. You're not
- 2 really changing -- you're not really losing
- anything. You're just in effect building it all
- 4 at one time.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: That's -- the money was for
- 7 those kind of projects. They were just

8 originally, if you recall, set up as single hangar 9 projects. We didn't do, you know, multiple bay 10 unit such as this. So, there's no -- there's no 11 reason why it couldn't be combined. It's just the existing -- the existing original grant for that 12 project was, you know, a single unit. 13 14 MR. GEORGE: Well, if you look at these 15 numbers they've given, it's not like this one company is, you know, charging us higher. It's 16 17 across the board. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, it is. And -- and really, 19 we found -- I say we, you know, using Passero, we found the items that were driving, you know, 20 21 200-plus thousand dollars, those have been, you 22 know, revised with the agreement of the 23 contractor, and that's where your savings is.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

There's -- there's no real meaningful benefit

in altering the building at all, especially the

24

- file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 1 square footage, because now you're into changing 2 the -- the ultimate revenue from it also, because 3 it is square-foot based. We've looked at reducing the building height 4 slightly. We looked at dimensions within the 5 hangars. We -- we looked at a number of things in 6 trying to find ways that didn't compromise the 7 overall leasability of the project, but gave us 8 the best bang for the buck. And I -- frankly, you 9 10 know, I think we're staring at a number that's 11 pretty close to where the end -- where it is. 12 Now -- and I'm sure Mr. Brunson could attest to what construction materials have done in just 13 14 the last year. You know, I know we've watched
 - 15 concrete costs go through the roof. We've watched 16 the availability and cost of steel products go 17 through the roof, let alone just, you know, 18 everybody's busy working on things, and the cost, 19 you know, proffered by constructor -- by 20 contractors has gone up, too. 21 Now, do we need to address it in future

projects? I think that's a real valid concern,

- and I think that's something that, you know, makes
- a nice task back to Passero, is to take our
- 25 five-year work program and take a relook at the

- 1 constructability dollars of it and let's make the
- 2 tweaks moving into the budget cycle.
- I mean, it's a real concern, and I -- I think
- 4 you're dead on it. There's -- there's -- we need
- 5 to keep monitoring this.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Well, we --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: But so are the rental rates.
- 8 They --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: We have tenants waiting for
- these, you know --
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's --
- MR. GEORGE: -- for these facilities. And I
- think that that was the concept, that we would
- invest more in the infrastructure of the
- 15 facilities of the airport, which would in turn

- 16 bring in more revenue, and that's what gets us off 17 the tax roll. 18 MR. WUELLNER: And this is about --19 MR. GEORGE: I think we have to -- we have to 20 proceed with the project. It just means we need 21 to go back and redo that plan and relook at 22 everything we're going to be doing three years 23 down the road or four years down the road. 24 MS. GREEN: Do you think we need to do what 25
 - 25 Mr. Gorman was talking about, is presell the

- leases, or do we have enough --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: I'm not really worried
- 3 about --
- 4 MS. GREEN: -- or we have so many waiting --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: -- the tenant part of it. But
- 6 if -- you know, if it's a concern, we can -- we
- 7 can certainly do that. I don't think that's --
- 8 MS. GREEN: Not an issue.

- 9 MR. WUELLNER: I mean, to my -- that's the 10 least of the concerns I have, is tenant, being 11 able to lease it. 12 MS. GREEN: Yeah. 13 MR. WUELLNER: The -- you know, the bottom 14 line is you're about \$350,000 of Authority money 15 difference from where we started at the budget 16 time to where we are today. 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Right. 18 MR. WUELLNER: I mean, that -- that's -- you 19 had \$650,000 identified for this. The other two projects are projects that 20 21 would have been done in future years, and additional funds would have been allocated for 22
- So, it -- it's not necessarily a net loss at

each of those -- those buildings.

23

25 the end of the day here. It's -- it's not a loss.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

105

1 You're actually improving the revenue side of it a

- 2 smidge because you're building them sooner than
- 3 you would have under -- under the --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Well, that's what has to be
- 5 cranked into the plan, Ed, is --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Agreed.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: You know, we could always not
- 8 move two trees.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: This is -- this is a hundred
- and -- you know, this is, round numbers, \$135-,
- 11 \$140,000 a year in revenue.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: I mean, that's -- that's --
- that's what this project nets annually.
- 15 CHAIRMAN COX: So, Staff reflects the
- recommendation of Passero to go forward with the
- 17 \$1.9 award?
- MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, I think the
- re-rentability of these facilities, you know,
- we -- even though someone executes a 10- or
- 21 20-year lease, the reality is not all of them stay
- 22 10 or 20 years.

- MS. GREEN: Agreed.
- MR. WUELLNER: You always get these
- adjustments in what the valuations of the

- buildings are moving forward, which always improve
- 2 the ROI on it from -- when you look backwards on
- 3 it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. And you're looking for
- 5 direction from us?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Your policy says 7 percent.
- 7 The project meets the criteria. The only issue is
- 8 do you -- do you care to allocate -- say
- 9 "allocate," but reappropriate, if you will, the
- funds for this project versus something else?
- 11 I found in looking at the -- you know, this
- year's capital budget, I mean, right off the bat,
- you've got \$125,000 that's allocated for a project
- we're a hundred percent sure won't happen this
- 15 year in terms of funding. And that's the Taxiway

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt Bravo extension. It won't happen in this fiscal 16 year. So, there's \$125- in there that could be 17 18 reallocated in a future year. 19 It doesn't -- it doesn't eliminate the 20 expense, but it defers when you -- what budget year you deal with it. 21 MR. BRUNSON: It's a pretty simple thing to 22 23 me. If I own this land and wanted to build these three hangars and could get the money from our 24 25 line of credit or from our grants and so forth, AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 107 and it returns the 7 percent, then we -- we should 1 go forward. 2

8 here, but, I mean, the idea of a presold lease, to

MR. GORMAN: Go ahead? Not to beat the horse

CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead.

And sure, I'm upset that we're \$350,000 that

we've got to allocate, but we can't back up now on

3

4

5

6

7

this.

- 9 me, shows a tremendous responsibility to the 10 taxpayer, and so we are not speculating. 11 MR. WUELLNER: We can do that. I have no 12 issues doing it. I'm just --13 MR. GORMAN: I mean --14 MR. WUELLNER: That's not the area of worry 15 for me. 16 MR. GORMAN: -- before personally I could vote for it, it'd have to be presold. I mean, 17 18 that's the way I feel about it. I don't want to 19 speculate. Just a thought. 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. But the direction you're looking from us for this particular agenda 21 22 item... 23 MR. WUELLNER: You'd need to award --24 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.

MR. WUELLNER: If you choose to move forward,

108

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

you need to award it to C.C. Borden, at the 1

2 renegotiated number of 1.9125 --3 CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah, 1.916545 --MR. WUELLNER: The -- you need to just 4 provide Staff direction --5 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- to change -- to modify the 8 capital grant program to facilitate a 50 percent 9 match from Florida DOT. CHAIRMAN COX: Anybody wish to discuss it --10 11 MS. GREEN: Make a motion. Oh, did you want 12 a motion? 13 CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead. I'll entertain a motion. 14 15 MR. WUELLNER: And to re -- reapportion the 16 capital budget to make it happen. 17 MS. GREEN: I make a motion that we direct 18 Passero to award the contract to C.C. Borden for 19 the figure presented, \$1.9 and --20 MR. GEORGE: And change. MS. GREEN: -- exponential change, that we 21 22 direct Staff to modify the capital grant budget so

that we have at least 50 percent of the funds from

- other projects, and that to use all good-faith
- 25 efforts to Staff and direct them to get some

1	presold lease
2	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
3	MS. GREEN: leases.
4	MR. GORMAN: This will I mean, the project
5	will go forward without the presold leases, or the
6	presold leases will be contingent to the project?
7	MS. GREEN: I didn't make it contingent. I
8	don't think it's an issue either. My motion did
9	not say it's contingent upon. I'm directing Staff
10	to go ahead and go go do that.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: To go forward with it
12	MS. GREEN: Correct.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: and do presold leases if we
14	can
15	MS. GREEN: Correct.
16	MR. GEORGE: If I can add to your your

- motion, that to have Staff, within the next 60
- days, redo our master financial plan -- don't wait
- till next September -- so that we know what the
- 20 impact of this is, then I can second that.
- MS. GREEN: Okay. Absolutely. Accept the
- amendment, not that it matters, but...
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Amendment accepted?
- MS. GREEN: Yes, sir.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: There's a motion on the table

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 and you seconded it?
- 2 MR. GEORGE: And I seconded it.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: And it's been seconded. All
- 4 favor?
- 5 MS. GREEN: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: All opposed?

10	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: One. The ayes have it. The
12	motion moves forward.
13	All righty. Housekeeping items, please.
14	11 HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS
15	MR. WUELLNER: A couple of housekeeping
16	items. Just wanted to which we already became
17	aware of earlier, that the FBO ownership changed.
18	I did want you to just wanted to follow up with
19	you real quick that the loan documents that we
20	that you approved at last month's meeting are
21	being prepared now, and I I would hope that
22	we'll have that for the February meeting.
23	And also just wanted to remind you that we
24	will be making the repayment of the original loan
25	over the next couple of weeks. So, that will, of
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006
	111

- 1 course, be reflected in the financial statements.
- 2 MS. GREEN: That's our line of credit?

3 MR. WUELLNER: That -- that's what the loan 4 documents are covering, is the --5 MS. GREEN: Right. We are revising it. 6 MR. WUELLNER: -- the new -- the new line of 7 credit, if you will. The other pays off the old. MS. GREEN: Right. 8 MR. WUELLNER: And that's all I had on 9 10 housekeeping, anyway. CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. 11 12 MR. WUELLNER: And you guys now. 13 12. - PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN COX: Unless -- unless anybody has 14 any exceptions to it, I'd like to move public 15 comment in front of our comments. So, I'd accept 16 public comment in a general nature from anybody in 17 the audience? Yes, sir. Mr. Martinelli. 18 19 MR. MARTINELLI: Further, to Mr. Gorman's 20 suggestion that you prelease commit, if you will, 21 on the new structures. 22 And then going back to the discussion on the waiver, the level playing field, if you want to 23

- really make it level, in the past, all of these
- 25 tenants never had to put any money into the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	construction. The Airport Authority built the
2	buildings, provided the facilities, and then
3	leased those facilities.
4	It seems to me that the without violating
5	any of your your rules, you can go ahead and
6	prelease, provided of course that the lessor
7	lessee, rather, is financially capable and can
8	stand behind what he signed; then you go ahead and
9	say, okay, until that building is available, you
10	are allowed to do thus and so. But as soon as
11	that building is available, you move in, because
12	you've already leased it.
13	So, I think there could be a solution, taking
14	Mr. Gorman's suggestion, and and putting it
15	together with the original
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much Any

- file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/MTG010406.txt 17 further public comment? Yes, sir. 18 MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road. Respectfully, I say to you that most of us live on 19 a budget. And the fact of the matter is when 20 21 things get too expensive, we cut things out. You may have to really consider on some of 22 these projects standing pat. You can't build and 23 have every piece of chocolate you'd like when you 24 want it. The economy is weak and we're running 25 AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 113 1 into a tough time. And in whatever decision you 2 make, I hope you keep that in mind.
 - 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much, sir. Anyone else? 4 5 (No further public comment.) 6 CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Open up to board 7 comments. Mr. Brunson? 8 13.A. - MR. RANDY BRUNSON MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I have no comments, 9

10 except that I, too, would like to welcome Galaxy. 11 And I hope we -- a new person seeing the 12 operations that we do here, we would really appreciate your input, good and bad. 13 14 And I'd like to make that suggestion to anybody in the audience, that we really would like 15 to hear from you as to what we're doing right and 16 17 what we're doing wrong, and come up with some suggestions. And -- but I have no comments. So, 18 19 I've had a good meeting. CHAIRMAN COX: Ms. Green? 20 21 13.B. - MS. SUZANNE GREEN MS. GREEN: Briefly, definitely welcome 22 23 Galaxy. And I understand, if not all, a majority, 24 I might gather at least, of Aero Sport staff is 25 staying, which is -- which is nice to have the AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

114

1 connection still there and the people that we do

2 know, not that we don't know you, but I've been on

3 this board for over five years and worked with Mr. Slingluff. So, that's nice to have that 4 camaraderie still there and trust. 5 6 And, Mr. Hickox, to your comment, I think 7 you're absolutely right, which is why Mr. George and I were saying let's find out where those 8 matching funds are coming from, because those 9 projects may not get done. Absolutely right. 10 And I think that if we did not reiterate it 11 12 correctly or clearly, that's what we were looking at. So, thank you. 13 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Gorman? 15 13.C. - MR. JACK GORMAN 16 MR. GORMAN: I like the idea of -- of input. I'd like to have input from Galaxy. I'd like 17 18 their idea of more input about -- they've got to 19 be concerned with level playing fields. They've 20 got to be concerned with minimum standards. But 21 then, of course, there's the reality push. And I'd like their -- I'd love it if they had 22 a -- their input. Just unbiased and forthright. 23

24

So -- but I do welcome them in. Very important on

25 the field. Anything we can do to help them would

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	be a good thing.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?
3	13.D MR. WAYNE GEORGE
4	MR. GEORGE: We were asking for comments, you
5	know, good and bad. Let Mr. Brunson have the bad
6	ones. It's his time in the barrel.
7	MR. BRUNSON: I'll get them.
8	MR. GEORGE: Welcome. You'll find that we're
9	easy to deal with, not you know, Mike, what he
10	tells you, you can't trust that, so
11	But I would like to also just recognize that
12	Mr. Gorman lost his mother.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Very sorry to hear that.
14	MR. GORMAN: Thank you.
15	MR. GEORGE: Yes. Sorry to hear that.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Is that it?
17	MR. GEORGE: Yes, sir.

18 13.E. - MR. BOB COX 19 CHAIRMAN COX: Welcome aboard. Really 20 excited to have you all here. 21 Also, I want to say in starting this new 22 year, that I think Buzz George did a tremendous job as the Chair this preceding year, and I just 23 24 want to thank him for all his effort and his work 25 and --AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006 116 1 MR. BRUNSON: Here-here. 2 CHAIRMAN COX: -- both of you guys on those 3 committees that you've chaired, all three of you, 4 or been going to, not, you know, necessarily. 5 So, looking forward to working with 6 everybody. So, sir? 7 MR. GORMAN: Are you going to be easier to get along with than Buzz? 8 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Probably not. Any other thing? 10

11 MS. GREEN: You're going to miss me at one 12 point in time. 13 MR. GEORGE: We -- we're looking to have our 14 next meeting dates. 15 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes. That's correct. We've 16 got to set that. 17 14. - NEXT MEETING DATE 18 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I'd like to --19 CHAIRMAN COX: Next meeting date. 20 MR. GEORGE: February the 13th is -- is a problem. I have had a talk with the St. Johns 21 22 Civic Round Table and Homeowners Association, 23 which is a very influential platform for us to keep those people informed. And their meeting is 24 25 on that day, the second Monday, you know, of the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

- 1 month, at -- at 4 o'clock in the afternoon. So, I
- 2 would like to suggest that we --
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: Are you sure about that?

- 4 MR. HICKOX: It's at 9 o'clock.
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: It's 9 o'clock.
- 6 MR. CIRIELLO: 9:00 to 11:00.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Oh, fine. I retract. That's
- 8 fine.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: February 13th. How about
- anybody? Ms. Green?
- 11 MR. BRUNSON: That's fine.
- MS. GREEN: No, I'm saying, don't change,
- don't change.
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Right.
- MS. GREEN: We may miss you, but --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 17 CHAIRMAN COX: It works for me. How about
- 18 you?
- MR. GEORGE: Well, I appreciate you changing
- 20 it.
- MR. GORMAN: Go through that one more time.
- February 13th?
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: February 13th at 4 p.m.
- MS. GREEN: That's already -- right. That's

25 previously set.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006

1	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson, that's okay?
3	MR. BRUNSON: That's perfect.
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Great. Well, the
5	regular meeting's February 13th. Our workshop for
6	the comparative analysis for our airport analysis,
7	February 27th at 3:00. Anybody have any conflicts
8	with that?
9	(No board comment.)
10	15 ADJOURNMENT
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. No other issues to
12	cover, with that, the meeting is adjourned. Thank
13	you very much.
14	(Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 5:51 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	

11	
12	Dated this 15th day of January, 2006.
13	
14	LANIET M. DEACON, DDD, CD, DMD, CDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224
16	Expires: April 30, 2006
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - JANUARY 4, 2006