1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	First Public Budget Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, September 11, 2006
6	from 5:01 p.m. to 6:10 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	BOB COX, Chairman WAYNE GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer
10	RANDY BRUNSON
1 1	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
11	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
12	
	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
13	SUZANNE GREEN
14	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	ALSO FRESENT.
10	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
17	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
-	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
18	
	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
19	
	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.

20	
* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
21	
JA	NET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR
22	St. Augustine Court Reporters
151	10 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
23	St. Augustine, FL 32084
	(904) 825-0570
24	
25	

1		I N D E X		
2		PAGE		
3	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3		
4	3.	PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET		4
5	4.	BOARD COMMENT ON PROPOSED BUDG	ET	11
6	5.	PUBLIC COMMENT ON PROPOSED BUDG	ET	23
7	6.	DISCUSSION OF MILLAGE RATE	40	
8	7.	PUBLIC COMMENT ON MILLAGE RATE		51
9	8.	TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RAT	E	59
10	9.	TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF BUDGET		60
11	10	D. BOARD COMMENT61		
12	11	. NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT		64
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Like to call to order the
3	first public hearing of the 06-07 annual budget
4	meeting for the St. Augustine-St. Johns County
5	Airport Authority. And if you wouldn't mind,
6	please, first order of business, everybody stand
7	up and say the Pledge of Allegiance.
8	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, thank you, citizens, for
10	attending this evening. And we're going to have a
11	little discussion on the budget here.
12	A couple of remarks I'd like to make just
13	right off the bat. And I know it brings to
14	every year we go through this, because it shows
15	some of the mailings you get in your tax notices
16	indicate that a proposed proposed percentage of
17	change from last year to this year shows a 27
18	percent increase in your taxes, and that's
19	that's absolutely not true. We're not raising the

- 20 taxes. The taxes to the individual have not --
- 21 from the airport have not been raised.
- 22 What occurs is, is that the taxable -- the
- assessed value of taxable property has gone up,
- 24 and therefore, the tax base increases and the
- 25 airport realizes a higher number of dollars coming

4

1 into the airport.

2	And actually, we're just under discussion. I
3	think it's very misleading for and this is my
4	personal opinion. This is not really from the
5	board. But for the County to send out information
6	that says a proposed percent of change from '05 to
7	'06, which which insinuates that we're
8	proposing to raise taxes by whatever percentage
9	they put on there. But we're not, and we're going
10	to talk through that this evening.
11	So, with with that in mind, if we could
12	move forward here in the presentation of the
13	proposed budget by Staff.
14	Did that pretty much cover the points, Ed,
15	that we were discussing earlier trying to get
16	across to the public?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Yes. The issue is more
18	with the tax base than the individual.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.

- 20 MR. WUELLNER: Can you turn me down a little
  21 bit, please?
  22 CHAIRMAN COX: Ed, just one second.
  23 Mr. Brunson?
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: Mr. Chairman, in every
- 25 political forum you go to, this is discussed so

1	erroneously that it's just unbelievable, even with
2	the news media asking people questions about
3	this
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
5	MR. BRUNSON: one sheet of paper. So, I
6	agree with you. It needs to be addressed.
7	3 PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET
8	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. By way of an overview
9	of the proposed 2006-2000 (sic) budget, the
10	Airport Authority is proposing revenues. And
11	the and the spreadsheet that's on the screen up
12	there, I'll try to talk through with the
13	assistance of the laser pointer.
14	But basically, the the numbers we'll be
15	talking about are under column H up here, or the
16	fiscal year 2006-2007 numbers (indicating).
17	Everything else is either historical or analytical
18	in nature and designed to aid in understanding
19	the the differences in the budget.

- 20 The 2006-2007 numbers, as we are projecting
- them, would result in home revenues of \$55,480;
- 22 T-hangar revenues of \$230,679; conventional hangar
- 23 revenues of \$339,981; commercial leases of
- 24 \$598,738; other lease revenues amounting to
- 25 \$3,800; and fees, which are primarily made up of,

i out not entrust tory made up, of fuel no wage fees	1	but not ex	clusively	made up,	of fuel	flowage fees
--	---	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------

- 2 amount to \$206,616 as projected.
- 3 Nonoperating revenues to include cash
- 4 forward, as projected for this year, bringing
- 5 forward into next fiscal year, so at the end of
- 6 September, moving into October 1st, of \$721,303;
- 7 interest to be derived from -- this is interest
- 8 income to us on money currently held by SBA, which
- 9 is the State Board of Administration accounts,
- 10 which are interest-bearing accounts for the
- 11 Authority, of \$40,000; State grants of \$3,602,500;
- 12 federal grants of \$3,325,000; and ad valorem
- 13 proceeds projected, at least at this point, of
- 14 \$4,456,000. All combined results in a proposed
- total budget, revenue budget of \$13,580,096.
- 16 Against a proposed expenditure budget --
- 17 bring it down just a little bit more here -- of
- 18 personnel expenditures totaling \$694,799;
- 19 operating budget items, operating expenditures,

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

- 20 which are routine budget items, of \$1,191,000;
- 21 debt service of 0 dollars; reserves of \$1,493,598;
- equipment expenditures projected at \$325,700; and
- construction capital of \$9,875,000, for a total
- 24 expenditure budget matching that of projected
- 25 revenues of \$13,580,097.

1	I would be happy to detail any particular
2	line of this. We have, as you know, backup sheets
3	for most major categories within the budget, both
4	expenditure and revenue. We have made a few
5	adjustments since the last time you saw it. One
6	primary was the carry-forward of cash.
7	The secondary item that's been changed is
8	the in the construction budget. The previous
9	versions of this of this spreadsheet carried
10	the total capital projects forward as the
11	construction number. That has been adjusted to
12	reflect strictly the construction element of that
13	on the budget summary. That resulted in about a
14	\$370,000 total change, which was added to the
15	reserve line item. So, it served to bring
16	projected reserves from 1 in round numbers,
17	\$1.1 million to \$1.4 million.
18	Are there specific questions related to any
19	particular line item in the budget or particular

- 20 classification that I can provide some more
- 21 details? Yes, Mr. Brunson?
- 22 MR. BRUNSON: Just clarification. Go back to
- 23 your revenues.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: And -- and the federal grants,

1	4 million 8, compared to 3 million 3 budget,
2	that's simply because of construction
3	MR. WUELLNER: That is correct.
4	MR. BRUNSON: that
5	MR. WUELLNER: It's really one project.
6	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. And then and then
7	explain the the loans of \$409- in '05 and '06
8	to compared to zero and
9	MR. WUELLNER: Originally, if if you
10	recall, last year, the board struggled with
11	balancing the budget based on holding the
12	ad valorem rate last year. That resulted in us
13	projecting in the budget that if everything were
14	accomplished within the budget, including all
15	capital expenditures, that the Authority would
16	need to go into its line of credit an amount of
17	\$409,000. That did not occur. It did not happen.
18	As a result, there's no and we're not
19	projecting having to go into the line of credit

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

- 20 based on the budget as it's presented today. As a
- 21 result, there's also no corresponding debt service
- 22 line item in the expenditure budget.
- 23 MR. BRUNSON: Uh-huh.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: Is that -- does that answer
- 25 your question?

1	MR. BRUNSON: Yes, it does.
2	MR. WUELLNER: So, when you look at
3	another way of looking at this is in your in
4	your cash forward number, in a sense, when you
5	look at it as in the framework of the 05-06
6	budget, when you're carrying \$721,000 forward into
7	this year in cash, the reality is it's also
8	another \$409,000 money that was not expended. It
9	is not real cash, because you didn't go actually
10	borrow it. But it's \$409,000 of money that did
11	not have to move forward, either.
12	Mr. Gorman, did I see your hand up? Did you
13	have a specific question, too?
14	MR. GORMAN: No. No. I just we actually
15	could could you go into a just a quick
16	discourse about reserves, about the situation with
17	reserves and why we maintain that level of
18	reserve?
19	MR. WUELLNER: The current the current

- 20 thinking -- this -- this probably details it a
- 21 little bit, the center section there (indicating).
- 22 It's not hard to see here that the fiscal
- 23 year 05-06, which is the current fiscal year, at
- least through the end of the month, there are no
- 25 current budgeted reserves for the Airport

1	Authority. And the the reason there is no
2	money in reserves is that the in an effort to
3	manage the cash portion of the Airport Authority's
4	budget over the last couple of years, we have
5	spent down reserves in lieu of borrowing money.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
7	MR. WUELLNER: And as a result, have not
8	occurred incurred a debt service to the Airport
9	Authority. That debt service, the previous debt
10	service the Airport Authority has, has been paid
11	off. There are currently no technically no
12	reserves, although we are carrying forward
13	\$731,000 (sic) into the next fiscal year.
14	So, it's a de facto reserve, even though it's
15	really it's really more appropriately titled
16	capital expenditures that were not made last year
17	that are moving forward into next year.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Become reserve.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

20 $0$ $11$ $11$ $11$ $11$ $0$ $0$ $11$ $0$ $11$ $11$	20	CHAIRMAN COX:	Okay.	That that fairly
---	----	---------------	-------	------------------

- 21 well sums up your presentation for the time being,
- 22 right?
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Unless you have questions. I
- 24 would be happy to try to --
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Let's open it up to discussion

11

1	for the	of the	budget	for	the	board.
---	---------	--------	--------	-----	-----	--------

2	Discussio	n.

3	4 BOARD COMMENT ON PROPOSED BUDGET
4	MR. GEORGE: I've got one item that I'd like
5	to see the staff do for me at a future time. Our
6	10-year financial plan, which is what we're basing
7	on getting off the tax rolls, is basically
8	oriented toward getting enough revenue coming in
9	from the lease of product of product
10	equipment of services, hangars, to offset the
11	expenses.
12	We've got a a forecasted revenue here,
13	operating revenue. Forget the capital. I tried
14	to pull the capital out of these numbers. That's
15	about \$400,000 short of the expenses. That's
16	about a \$200,000 increase over last year, but if
17	you go back and look at the line items of
18	insurance, medical, and things like that, that

19 just about eats that \$200,000 up.

- 20 I would like to see Staff give us a chart.
- 21 I'll take it -- it doesn't have to be on the
- thing, but just an Excel spreadsheet, that would
- show us our operating -- forget the capital now --
- 24 but our operating expenses versus operating
- 25 revenues, say starting back three years ago, you

1	know, a plan versus actual you know, budget
2	versus what actually came in, so that we can see
3	how we're progressing, you know, on this.
4	We've had very little capital projects that
5	have come to fruition over the last year. So, I
6	don't expect and we and we didn't plan for a
7	big increase in revenue coming in this year,
8	because we've got the infrastructure, the southern
9	development area, that is predicating everything
10	that we're trying to do to get the land so that we
11	can do some other thing.
12	But anyway, I'd like to see those. I think
13	it would be very interesting, that we probably
14	need to track it.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
16	MR. GEORGE: I also have
17	MR. WUELLNER: Is a summary version of that
18	fine or
19	MR. GEORGE: Huh?

- 20 MR. WUELLNER: Is a summary version of that
- 21 fine?
- 22 MR. GEORGE: Oh, yeah. Fine.
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 24 MR. GEORGE: Summary's fine. And, you know,
- 25 I don't have a problem because I'm -- I'm aware of

1	what's in the the budget that's the \$200,000
2	increase this year. I know where those increases
3	are. And I'm just saying that for future planning
4	and everything, it would be nice if we had that
5	that information to see how we're doing, kind of
6	our report card, if you will.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
8	MR. GEORGE: One other thing I've got to add
9	to kind of to your opening comments that I
10	think might
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Counter to?
12	MR. GEORGE: Huh?
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Counter to my
14	MR. GEORGE: No, no, no. Never, never,
15	never, never. That if you will bear with me, I
16	think now might be the time to present those
17	charts.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, sure.
19	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Before you put the first

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

- 20 one up there, I got in touch with Cindy, and had
- 21 Cindy get in touch with the taxing authorities
- and -- or the -- the tax department. And they
- 23 came up with a nice five-year spreadsheet, which I
- 24 will be glad to give to anyone.
- 25 And basically what I asked for is, tell me

1	what the millage rate was and what the tax base
2	was for that taxing authority as far back as you
3	can go.
4	And they said, "How about 1999?"
5	I said, "Okay. 1999 would be good."
6	So, what I've done is I've taken these
7	numbers and I've put them into a spreadsheet and
8	basically multiplied the millage rate, because
9	that's here, too, by what the tax base was, to
10	come up with what the taxes that they should have
11	received.
12	Now, nobody ever receives those. It's
13	usually about 95, 96 percent, because you've got
14	cost of collecting it and you've got some that,
15	you know, go into problems and stuff like that.
16	But it's a good, you know, analysis of what I was
17	trying to do.
18	Can I have the first chart, Ed? What I did
19	is I took the tax dollars that was there for each

- 20 one of these taxing authorities in 1999. And I
- 21 said 1999 is zero year, okay? Could have been
- 22 2000, could have been 1995. I'm just trying to
- 23 get a base.
- 24 And rather than looking at raw dollars going
- 25 up 27 percent, you know, or 3 percent personal

1	property taxes going up on homesteaded property,
2	let's just see what's happening. This is what has
3	happened.
4	MR. WUELLNER: It's on.
5	MR. GEORGE: This is what has happened to St.
6	Johns County (indicating). This is their
7	percentage increase from 1999. And I think that
8	number up here is about 139 percent (indicating).
9	So, they have from 1999, they have increased
10	their budgets 139 percent.
11	Next chart. I took a few other ones, too.
12	Here's the School Board (indicating). Here's the
13	City of St. Augustine (indicating). And you
14	notice how they basically track. And they're
15	all you know, that now, you've got one or
16	two that that had some unusual things in there,
17	but I thought that these were more representative.
18	And they're all sitting up at the 120 to 139.
19	Now let's put the Airport Authority. Next

- 20 chart. Oh, here. This line is the Airport
- 21 Authority (indicating). Decisions made back here,
- 22 we did not track how everybody else was going
- 23 (indicating). So, we are coming in at about 80
- 24 percent increase since 1999, compared to the other
- taxing authorities at 120 to 140 percent.

1	One interesting thing in my opinion, as one
2	member of the board, okay, all of these
3	organizations (indicating) have recurring
4	revenue I mean, recurring expenses that are not
5	going away. Those recurring expenses are paid for
6	by taxes.
7	If you take our five our 10-year plan and
8	you continue this (indicating) in the year 210
9	CHAIRMAN COX: 2010.
10	MR. GEORGE: 2010, this drops to zero
11	(indicating). None of these other ones have the
12	capability of doing it. So, I'm asking the public
13	to kind of get behind us, that we're trying our
14	best to get it to zero, but we've got to get in a
15	good financial position before we can do that.
16	And it's like any other company that you
17	know, that would operate at. I've always said
18	let's run the airport like a business. There are
19	certain things that businesses don't do that the

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

- 20 airport does do. But you pick and choose the good
- 21 things about it and you make wise investments.
- 22 We established four years ago a minimum
- return on the investment of 7 percent; whereas
- 24 before, it could have been, well, we're going to
- lose money on it, but we'll make up in 10 or 15

1 years	•
---------	---

2	So, one of the assumptions that we've made
3	because of construction costs going up, because of
4	other things going up with adding our new
5	facilities, is we didn't increase the budget.
6	What we said we would do is we will decrease the
7	amount of square footage that we're actually going
8	to build.
9	Now, if I take the same dollars, and I can
10	only build 80 percent of the square footage
11	because of costs, then guess what? To maintain a
12	7 percent return on investment, the rental rate
13	for those new hangars are going to go up.
14	So, we're sitting, I think, at a good
15	position, you know, of of being able to belly
16	up to the bar and make the investments that our
17	money can be returned to us, and that's how we
18	get, in the year 2010-11 budget of being able to
19	say zero.

- 20 If anybody wants copies of this --
- 21 MR. BRUNSON: That's great, Buzz. I do.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Great work. So, what it looks
- 23 like is, from that chart right there, that we've
- 24 actually given St. Johns County a 60 percent tax
- 25 break.

1	MR. GEORGE: That's one way of looking at it,
2	yes. But I'm sure the ones that are paying the
3	tax bill
4	CHAIRMAN COX: I know.
5	MR. GEORGE: wouldn't look at it that way.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Further discussion?
7	MR. BRUNSON: No.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, wait. There was 20 no,
9	never mind. Go on.
10	MR. GORMAN: Just one quick comment?
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
12	MR. GORMAN: And this one begs to be asked,
13	and this is what the public asks me. And the
14	question begs to be asked, can the airport run
15	with the same amount of money or less than we used
16	last year? If so, can one adjust the ad valorem
17	tax rate as closely as possible to reflect in
18	actual taxation of the same or hopefully less
19	money? And this is what we tell the public we're

- 20 trying to do.
- 21 And I would like to see us start to show a
- trend. In other words, if we actually can operate
- 23 with the same amount of money as we did last year,
- 24 then let's adjust the rate to reflect that income
- to us so that we actually -- the actual tax

1	dollars that we use is equal to last year or less.
2	Is that possible? That's the question
3	everyone asks me, so I ask you and the rest of the
4	board.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
6	MR. GEORGE: I don't think it's possible.
7	And the reason I don't think it's possible is
8	concrete went up from \$40 a yard to \$120.
9	Shingles for roofs went from \$20 for a bundle to
10	what, \$80-something now?
11	MR. BRUNSON: Ninety.
12	MR. GEORGE: And you put on top of that, if
13	you look at the expenses we've had, you've got
14	three or four line items that just jump out at
15	you. And one of those that jumps out is
16	insurance.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Insurance.
18	MR. GORMAN: Insurance, yes.
19	MR. GEORGE: Every time we you know, every

- 20 year, you get socked with a bigger insurance bill.
- 21 Now, this whole 10-year plan called for,
- 22 let's build a reserve of \$6 million, you know,
- 23 while she's going up, and any large projects --
- and I think that all of us know and hopefully the
- 25 public know that once a month, once a quarter,

1	somebody real big comes in here and says, what if
2	we did X, Y, Z? What if we took the 70 acres that
3	Grumman wants and that they have an option on and
4	we had to build some facility? Where is the money
5	going to come from? It's probably going to come
6	from outside financing, be it a bond issue or
7	something like that.
8	But if we can hold to the theory that we are
9	going to get off the tax rolls and keep focused on
10	it, I think this is a good approach.
11	MR. GORMAN: That begs a second question.
12	This is probably not exactly the time to do it,
13	but if insurance and I agree it's one of the
14	worst and most onerous situations then can we
15	proactively develop a plan to put some of the
16	ad valorem taxes we get into a reserve to be able
17	to self-insure in the future? Because obviously
18	the insurance situation is not going to heal
19	itself.

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

20 M	R. GEORGE:	One of the	things that	t we have
------	------------	------------	-------------	-----------

- 21 done is we have gotten with the County to see if
- 22 we can have our employees added to their
- 23 self-insured program. That should save us 20
- 24 percent.
- 25 But they said for this year, you better go

1	ahead and budget it at the same as it was last
2	year.
3	The other thing that Ed is looking into is to
4	try to get all of the Florida airports to get
5	self-insured for hurricane damage and the like,
6	because guys, we're putting
7	MR. GORMAN: Yes.
8	MR. GEORGE: well over a quarter of a
9	million dollars a year just into liability
10	insurance.
11	MR. GORMAN: My the yeah, the point to
12	my question was liability. I think we're doing a
13	really good job in trying to get onto the County
14	for the employees, but but the liability
15	insurance
16	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, that's the biggie.
17	MR. GORMAN: for the replacement of
18	buildings is is the issue.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: I'd like to add just a

- 20 comment. And I -- I appreciate Jack's position
- and your position both. But I think we need to
- 22 keep in mind that as -- as a board, our
- responsibility is to look out into the future, and
- 24 instead of trying for -- just for the sake of
- saying we're getting off the tax rolls and reduce

1	the you know, the spirit of getting the tax
2	down to zero is great, but instead of trying to
3	move forward and and reduce tax or the
4	millage rate, and this is just consideration, just
5	for the sake of saying we'll reduce taxes, instead
6	of actually looking out in the future at some
7	point and and actually getting the citizens of
8	the county off you know, get the airport off
9	the tax rolls, we need to consider that as as
10	opposed to just saying you you understand
11	what I'm saying?
12	The spirit of the intent is, yes, we want to,
13	as opposed to or moving forward and and just
14	for the sake of saying that, hey, folks, we
15	reduced the taxes, and then in 2010, because we
16	did that now, we can't get off the tax roll.
17	MR. GEORGE: That's right.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: You see my point? So, we just
19	need to take that into consideration. And, you

- 20 know, unfortunately, we may have to take a little
- 21 bit of heat, which is -- you know, comes with the
- 22 elected position.
- 23 MR. BRUNSON: This is -- Mr. Chairman, this
- 24 is the reason that I proposed the millage rate and
- 25 I've been proposing this increase in monies go

1	into reserve. And same statement as Buzz said,
2	that we might need this money to do the right
3	business decisions. And it's a hard call, but
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, it's hard to look out
5	into the future and tell very specifically what
6	insurance is going it might increase another 50
7	percent, depending on what happens with the storms
8	in three years. Who knows what, you know, the
9	insurance industry's going to do? But it's nice
10	to have a large revenue moving forward into the
11	next year as as reserve.
12	I'd like to try to wrap up our discussion
13	here so we can move into public comment.
14	You guys want to have any other discussion
15	you want to offer? I'd like to open up the the
16	floor to public comment. Please. Yes, sir.
17	5 PUBLIC COMMENT OF PROPOSED BUDGET
18	MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road. I
19	have a question actually for Mr. Wuellner, if I

- 20 could.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
- 22 MR. HICKOX: On the -- on the reserves, I --
- 23 I notice that the interest rate that is projected
- 24 is actually less than it was last year, and yet
- 25 you have more money in the bank, if you know what

1	I mean. And I understand it's a state reserve.
2	How does that happen?
3	MR. WUELLNER: All we can do is estimate on
4	what the rate of return's going to be off of SBA.
5	MR. HICKOX: But even though you've got
6	\$300,000 or so or more of money in the bank, you
7	figure you're not going to get as much?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's also a piece of
9	the puzzle where last year, we had or this
10	current year, up to a point, we had actually more
11	money in reserves, but for a shorter period of the
12	year.
13	If you if you recall the discussion, since
14	you attend most of the meetings and go to this
15	level of detail, the Airport Authority made the
16	decision in January or effective in January,
17	made it actually before that, to to retire a
18	debt service equating to about a million dollars,
19	which was money in reserves

- 20 MR. HICKOX: I see.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: -- generating more for a
- shorter period of time. So, all we're doing is
- trying to annualize an interest estimate based on
- 24 what -- what actual cash is in SBA at -- at any
- 25 one time.

1	MR. HICKOX: Maybe I could make a suggestion
2	of the two gentlemen that are seeking reelection.
3	You're talking so much about the
4	getting-off-the-tax-rolls issue. And you and I
5	have talked about this publicly. The tax issue is
6	a red herring, gentlemen. Nobody wants to pay
7	taxes. I'm not going to argue that point. But
8	the fact of the matter is that the level of
9	taxation even now is a relatively small part of
10	the tax bill.
11	I, if I were you, would be selling the point
12	of the importance of the airport to the community
13	and saying, you know, it's a public function, we
14	all have to help pay for the public function, we
15	feel it's worth it, and tell them why. It's a
16	very, very good chart.
17	I'm sure that my friend, Joe Ciriello, is not
18	going to agree with me, but the fact of the matter
19	is, I don't think you're going to get off the tax

- 20 rolls either, not because you're doing anything
- 21 wrong; I think the sheer cost of doing business is
- 22 going to prevent it.
- And if you're going to build new stuff, the
- 24 cost of concrete is going to keep going up. Thank
- 25 you, gentlemen.

1	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much for your
2	comments. Sir?
3	MR. KUMPF: I'm going to take the opposite
4	view. My name is Carl Kumpf. I live in Crescent
5	Beach. And the first time I've appeared here.
6	Frankly, I've been following the situation
7	with the Airport Authority through the press a
8	lot. I've only been down here about five years.
9	Admittedly, it's not a lot of money in my taxes.
10	But I have a few questions I'd like to ask and
11	then make a comment. Is the Airport Authority a
12	business? Yes or no?
13	CHAIRMAN COX: No.
14	MR. KUMPF: What is it, then?
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I'm not I don't want
16	to engage in debate with you, but
17	MR. KUMPF: No, I don't I want you to tell
18	me what it is.
19	MR. BURNETT: It's I'll answer that

- 20 briefly.
- 21 MR. KUMPF: I'll listen to the --
- 22 MR. BURNETT: It's a -- it's a political
- 23 subdivision of the State of Florida, no different
- than a county municipality or special taxing
- district, although generally it's referred to as a

27

1 special district.

2	MR. KUMPF: So, you're not in business to
3	make a profit? You're not in business to to
4	ever get off the tax rolls? That's not your
5	that's not your perspective. In other words, you
6	can go out here and build 60 more hangars and put
7	it on put it on the taxpayer to pay for those;
8	is that what you're telling me?
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Wait, wait, Jack. Let him
10	finish.
11	MR. BURNETT: Generally, because it is a
12	government entity, it can do anything that the
13	MR. KUMPF: I'm having trouble hearing you.
14	MR. BURNETT: It's a government entity so
15	that it can act as though any other government
16	entity within the State of Florida. It has
17	similar powers as any other government entity
18	would within the State of Florida.
19	MR. KUMPF: But when I look at St. Johns

- 20 County --
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Could you stand close to the
- 22 mic, sir?
- 23 MR. KUMPF: I'm sorry. When I look at St.
- 24 Johns County and -- and contrast it, that's a
- 25 governmental situation that's providing services

1	throughout the throughout the particular
2	county. What's the airport doing for me as a
3	person? What what, when I pay my taxes to you,
4	is my benefit that I'm getting from the Airport
5	Authority, from a from a selfish point of view?
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead, Mr. Gorman.
7	MR. GORMAN: Could I answer that partially?
8	There is there is, for instance, an entity on
9	the field that is the largest employer in St.
10	Johns County, and that's Grumman. They have to
11	have this airport as part of their infrastructure
12	to operate. They have we have law enforcement
13	that flies out of here. We have another firm that
14	flies actually medical flights with time
15	critical actually, they're hearts. They
16	actually fly beating human hearts out of here.
17	The airport itself is part of the
18	transportation infrastructure of the country. And
19	lots of airports start small and get larger. And

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

- 20 I think as part of the transportation
- 21 infrastructure, it's our hope that -- to run it as
- 22 part of the transportation infrastructure at a
- 23 zero cost to the public, but that -- that is
- 24 actually what it is as an entity.
- 25 MR. KUMPF: Okay. And I -- I appreciate

1	these things, because really I'm not trying to get
2	into a down-to-dirt fight. I'm new here and I'd
3	just like to try to get a handle on what's going
4	on. Because I don't see personally a particular
5	benefit to me for the Airport Authority.
6	I see it as as being beneficial for
7	Grumman and for people that are working there.
8	And if I wanted to work there and have a job
9	there, that would be beneficial to me, but I don't
10	see, sitting down at Crescent Beach, that it's a
11	particular benefit to me.
12	MR. BRUNSON: May I comment?
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George wanted first.
14	MR. GEORGE: I can appreciate your your
15	comments, sir, because I get this from a lot of
16	people, also. What are you you know, what are
17	you doing for me?
18	And I pose the question: Do you play golf or
19	do you have a boat? And if not, then why in the

- 20 world is the county building golf facilities
- and -- and boating facilities? It's because it's
- 22 part of the infrastructure of providing hopefully
- a better way of life for all of the residents.
- 24 Now, by having an airport here, we have
- 25 Grumman that has people that -- there are 2,000

1	families that get their living from having this
2	this airport. That's 2,000 families that go to
3	the grocery store, that pay taxes to help do roads
4	to Hastings that you might never go to Hastings on
5	a road. But it's just part of the I guess the
6	way things are.
7	And back to the comment of why are we here, I
8	look at it a little bit more simplistic. Our main
9	mission here, as I see it, is to provide for the
10	aviation needs of this county today and in the
11	future down the road. And if we can do that with
12	our taxes, then we've got we've got a winner.
13	MR. KUMPF: Well, that's true. But your
14	your simile or your comparison with golf, if I go
15	out to St. Johns County and play golf, which I do,
16	I pay a fee for that privilege. And and so,
17	what you're telling me, I'm paying basically I
18	have to pay a tax to the Airport Authority whether
19	I ever use it or not.

20	MR. GEORGE: I think that the example you
21	use, the St. Johns County golf course has always

- 22 lost money, and it has been augmented by county
- 23 funds, and the county gets those from taxes.
- 24 Every person that uses this facility -- I
- 25 personally have a hangar here --

2	MR. GEORGE: and spend \$252 a month, every
3	month in revenue coming in for the use of that
4	hangar, everybody else that's on this field that
5	uses it. Now, we don't charge a landing fee, and
6	I think that's because FAA says, hey, we gave you
7	a grant to help you build this and to help you
8	build that; you're not going to charge a landing
9	fee.
10	MR. KUMPF: Okay. Now, I just want to touch
11	on two other things.
12	MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry.
13	MR. KUMPF: A year ago I think it was a
14	year ago or more, there was quite an article in
15	the air in the in the paper about the
16	Airport Authority. And Mrs. Green was chairman.
17	Is she still on the board or not?
18	MR. GEORGE: Yes.
19	MR. KUMPF: Oh, okay.

- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: She's not here today.
- 21 MR. KUMPF: Okay. Well, the -- the thrust of
- 22 the majority of this article was that, A, that
- evidently the public has been feeling for a lot
- longer than I've been here the fact that it should
- come off the tax roll.

1	Secondly, she says we can agree that
2	self-sustaining airport operation continues to
3	support the needs as as paramount importance.
4	But then it says the good news is the Authority's
5	commitment to implement the strategic financial
6	plan will likely result in the elimination of
7	direct taxpayer contributions to the airport
8	within the next seven years.
9	Yet what I hear tonight is ten years. So,
10	has that
11	CHAIRMAN COX: No, it's 2010, was the time
12	line that we enacted this.
13	MR. KUMPF: Oh, okay. That wasn't said in
14	here.
15	MR. GEORGE: Well, I think the confusion is
16	we have a 10-year fiscal plan that's updated every
17	year. So, we talk about the 10-year plan, but it
18	shows that we can be off the tax rolls in another
19	four.

- 20 MR. KUMPF: Okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: Because we've actually
- shortened that time frame.
- 23 MR. BRUNSON: Go ahead.
- 24 MR. KUMPF: One final thing. I've been a
- self-employed individual for the better end of 50

years in the insurance business. I'm retired now,
and there's a lot of things going on in the
insurance business I'm not happy about.
But running a business, you're going to
always have increasing costs. I mean, whether we
get a hurricane or we don't, the insurance costs
are going to increase. Liability's going to
increase into the future. So, you're always going
to have an increase, and what I consider to be
increase in cost, increase in payroll, increase in
the whole shooting match.
So, to me, you've got to go beyond just
trying to get it off the tax rolls. You've got to
be able to somehow recuperate or raise your rents
or
MR. GEORGE: We do every year.
MR. KUMPF: do enough to do enough to
offset that. Is that a valid point?
CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely.

- 20 MR. GEORGE: Yes. And we do raise the rates21 every year.
- 22 MR. BRUNSON: May I make --
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson, go ahead.
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: And thank you so much for all
- 25 of these inquiries. And we wish we had more

1	people like you that would come and make their
2	comments known.
3	But I will say that this county has a big
4	problem in taxes, and mainly because that 70
5	percent of the income comes from the homeowners.
6	MR. KUMPF: Right.
7	MR. BRUNSON: The airport is a I think the
8	chairman of the Economic Development Council says
9	that it's the St. Augustine-St. Johns County is
10	the jewel in the sky of bringing industry into
11	this county. And and as Mr. Gorman said, the
12	Grumman and National Guard and Sheriffs and safety
13	and and the people flying in here to attend
14	functions at the World Golf Village and the Super
15	Bowl and different things. And as Mr. Buzz
16	said excuse me, Buzz this is just part of
17	the whole system of the aviation industry, and
18	we're proud of that.
19	And sure, we want to make this

- 20 self-sufficient, and we have a plan. And it's
- 21 going to be a hard plan, because you are so right.
- I'm in the construction business and I fight with
- this every day.
- 24 MR. KUMPF: Right.
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: But -- but we have to do it.

1	We have to cut here and because things are just
2	going up.
3	Luckily, things have slowed down just a
4	little bit, and and costs have come down a
5	little bit in in construction. But it's
6	every day it's a problem. But keep keep asking
7	the good questions and keep helping us.
8	MR. KUMPF: For just one last comment, and
9	I'm going to sit down. You need a new press agent
10	to tell you to tell these guys that you only
11	got four more or five more years to get off the
12	CHAIRMAN COX: We're working on that. We're
13	working on the PR plan of that.
14	MR. KUMPF: Because here here I am, a new
15	guy on the block, and I'm thinking I'm coming
16	to this meeting for my first time and here you
17	guys are talking ten years, and they're saying
18	seven, so
19	CHAIRMAN COX: You made some very very

- 20 lucid and valid points. Very good points.
- 21 MR. KUMPF: Thank you for your time.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Appreciate your comments.
- 23 Yes, sir. Mr. Ciriello?
- 24 MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, 5318 Shore
- 25 Drive. Wayne made a statement and mentioned my

1	name. I'm not sure which way he meant it.
2	MR. GEORGE: Positive.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: But there's an old saying,
4	real old saying that any community that has an
5	airport has a great asset.
6	Now, the gentleman asked what good this
7	airport is to him, and some of you guys gave him
8	some reasons. A couple you didn't mention is a
9	few years ago, when we had all these bad fires,
10	you had helicopters out here going out and dumping
11	water. You had the Sheriff's helicopter there
12	going out and directing, you know, where fires are
13	at and everything like that. And like Jack said,
14	there's medical assistance. They they
15	people use their private planes for heart
16	transplants, liver transplants and whatnot.
17	The Marine Patrol is scheduled here to patrol
18	the beaches. The Sheriff is here. The airport is
19	more than just a playground for people with

- 20 airplanes.
- 21 But the statement about running the airport
- 22 like a business, it's a government entity. You
- 23 guys said that. You don't run government entities
- like a business.
- I was in the steel industry for about 38

file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt

1	years, and I went through good times and bad.				
2	When a company got in trouble, the first thing				
3	they did was attack the payroll. Well, two				
4	things; they stopped making so many products				
5	because they had a storeroom full of them that				
6	they couldn't get, then they attacked the payroll.				
7	They cut down the number of hours worked, and then				
8	if that didn't work, they lay off the people so				
9	they have less people to pay.				
10	Government entities don't do that. When they				
11	get in hard times, they just raise taxes or they				
12	get more people to work, you know, whatever. You				
13	don't run this airport like a private business.				
14	You can't.				
15	Whether you're going to get off the tax rolls				
16	or not, I think is what Wayne referred to, we				
17	disagree with. I don't know. I'd say it won't				
18	get off the rolls. Some people might, when I tell				
19	them that, think that I don't want it to. Yeah, I				

- 20 want it to, but I don't think it will, because
- 21 like Wayne said, there's nothing you people are
- doing wrong, but when you can -- continue your
- 23 capital improvements and capital buildings and
- 24 whatnot, it -- it outstrips the revenue and you
- spend more than you make.

file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt

1	I say to get off the tax rolls, you just stop
2	right now. Don't build another thing. Live off
3	of what you have. And I think you'll show that
4	the revenues daily brought in will break you even
5	or even make a few bucks if you don't spend
6	another penny on building another hangar, another
7	runway or whatever. I could be wrong.
8	The other way I think you can get off the tax
9	rolls is being a landlord only. Make everybody
10	who is a tenant out here you have to change all
11	the leases, and they would never do it be
12	responsible for whatever they do.
13	Right now, the Authority's responsible for
14	everything. Aero Sport had that tie-down, and it
15	went belly up and needed some repair work. I went
16	in to see Jim one day when he was there
17	complaining about the tie-down, an airplane, you
18	pick up a cable that high, "Oh, wait a minute,
19	don't get on me." He said, "That's the

- 20 Authority's business."
- 21 "What are you talking about?"
- He says -- I said, "Well, you're renting it
- from him."
- 24 "Yeah, but it's their responsibility to fix
- 25 it."

file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt

1	And so then I come into the meeting and the
2	Authority did it. Took them a year, but fixed it.
3	Hangars even if they own the buildings and
4	everything over there, and they're paying lease
5	rent on it, we have to maintain it. So, if there
6	is a landlord only, let them pay for everything.
7	If they want a runway, they well, not the
8	runway, but they want a new building, they put it
9	up, just like Grumman did for the North 40, and
10	you know, then eventually it would be ours. If
11	something has to be repaired, they have to repair
12	it, you know. And we just collect fees for being
13	a landlord.
14	So but anyhow, my budget from the county
15	for next year for taxes shows that I'm going to
16	pay \$11 taxes to the airport. If you get off the
17	tax rolls, if you think I'm going to go out and do
18	cartwheels because you saved me \$11, forget it, I
19	won't. I still have to pay county taxes, school

- 20 board taxes, and everything else. That \$11 means
- 21 nothing to me.
- 22 But I wouldn't mind getting off the tax
- rolls. It'd make a lot of people happy. But
- 24 realistically, not going to happen.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. Any further

1	public comment?
2	(No further public comment.)
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Seeing none, we'll move
4	forward to discussion of the millage rate by the
5	Airport Authority.
6	Open for discussion on millage rate. Why
7	don't you start us out, Mr. Gorman?
8	6 DISCUSSION OF MILLAGE RATE
9	MR. GORMAN: Well, we can go back to what I
10	had said before, and then we'll leave it like
11	that. I'm not going to
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
13	MR. GORMAN: going to go
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Belabor the point.
15	MR. GORMAN: and belabor the point, but
16	what is the millage rate that would allow the
17	actual income for this airport to be the same as
18	it was last year?
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Director?

20	MR. GORMAN: And that computation, I'm sure
21	the director could do. He's
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's already here. It

- would be -- you have a proposed budget of .2055
- 24 mills. In order to achieve a zero net increase in
- ad valorem revenues, the ad valorem rate would

1	need to be decreased to .1716.
2	MR. GORMAN: And that actually would then be
3	a zero increase in dollars.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
5	MR. GORMAN: And then the second question
6	was, I've already said before is, is it possible
7	for us to maintain our operations, using that
8	rate?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's that's a
10	question y'all would have to wrestle with, but
11	the the simple the simple solution is that
12	it would be the net effect would be gutting the
13	reserves, if you were to maintain all the projects
14	and all the current currently projected
15	expenditures, and assuming all the revenues were
16	met per budget, you're approximately \$1.1 million
17	difference between last year's ad valorem receipts
18	and projected ad valorem receipts for this year.
19	So, the logical line item for that or the

- 20 discussion to begin would be a reduction of --
- 21 effectively of \$1.4 million in reserves down to
- 22 approximately \$300,000 in reserves.
- 23 So, it would -- depending on what the
- Authority's long-term goal is for reserves at the
- elimination of ad valorem taxes, it -- it's,

1	again, a question you guys would have to wrestle
2	with.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson?
4	MR. BRUNSON: And, Ed, if we did the rollback
5	rate, how much would that increase the dollar
6	amount over the dollar amount of last year?
7	MR. WUELLNER: There there's a I want
8	to say it's about a \$40,000 increase that had
9	nothing to do with the the rate. We
10	technically get slightly more money, but it has to
11	do with the treatment of exempted property or
12	something within the county.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: What's the rollback rate?
14	MR. WUELLNER: The rolled-back rate would be
15	.1716 mills.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, that's okay.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Now, that that's that's
18	not the rollback rate, is it?
19	MR. WUELLNER: That's not the rate. That

- 20 is -- that would be the rolled-back millage rate.
- 21 The percentage of increase currently is 19.76
- 22 percent.
- 23 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. But --
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: I'm trying to -- I'm trying to
- answer your question, but I'm not sure I've

answered it.

2	MR. BRUNSON: And I thought the instead of			
3	the .2055, the rollback millage rate was like			
4	19			
5	MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no, it's point last			
б	year was that. It is .1716, would be the the			
7	rolled-back rate.			
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.			
9	MR. BRUNSON: But but you answered the			
10	question before. So, that would be no difference			
11	in the income coming in.			
12	MR. WUELLNER: Effectively, yeah.			
13	MR. BRUNSON: Which would defeat, in my			
14	opinion, our long-range plans of putting the			
15	overage into reserve to have monies to			
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Certainly your reserve			
17	account would grow at a much slower rate with			
18	with that approach.			
19	MR. BRUNSON: So, I'm I'm			

- 20 MR. WUELLNER: But that's a policy that --
- 21 MR. BRUNSON: -- not in favor of the rollback
- 22 rate.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: But I would like to have some
- 25 rate that increased the money to go into reserve.

file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt

1	And I'd like to hear from other commissioners on
2	what they thought about it.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?
4	MR. GEORGE: The I think the question that
5	Jack brought up was what do we do to keep our
6	expenses you know, our revenue for the expenses
7	the same. This year, we've got a \$203,000
8	increase in expenses. Okay. And last year, we
9	were at \$307,000.
10	I've got some numbers screwy here, because
11	the total is \$450-, is what we're short. So, if I
12	keep it the same as it was, we're taking \$450- now
13	out of those tax revenues coming in to pay for
14	day-to-day operating expenses. So, you've
15	you've hurt the long-range plan, because the
16	long-range plan is not just build the revenue to
17	\$6,000 (sic) and then hold it flat.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Six million.
19	MR. GEORGE: The long-range plan is to build

```
file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt
```

- 20 the revenue to \$6,000 (sic) while you are building
- 21 X number of thousand square feet of rental space
- 22 which could be rented and then bring in enough
- 23 revenue so that we are self-sustaining on a daily
- basis -- on a yearly basis, which is what you're
- after. For that reason, I would have to go along

1 with Mr. Brunson.

2	MR. GORMAN: Well, again, then, common sense				
3	would dictate that if you were actually going to				
4	take into account these increases in costs, then				
5	you would again compute the rate, taking into				
6	consideration those increases in costs, but just				
7	those increases in cost. Then you would compute				
8	yet another rate, slightly over .1716, but less				
9	than the current rate. That's option two.				
10	MR. GEORGE: Right. Option two.				
11	CHAIRMAN COX: My question would be to you is				
12	how are we going to forecast what may come out to				
13	be instead of \$300,000 or \$200,000, \$600,000,				
14	or you know? I would prefer to see us in a				
15	position where we're very fat with reserves to be				
16	able to take care of any situation that we run				
17	into, you know? At least that's my personal				
18	opinion on it.				
19	So, I'm not really in favor of the rollback				

- 20 rate, and I don't really have any -- I'm not
- 21 really negatively -- or opposed to going somewhere
- below the TRIM rate that we set, either, .2055.
- So, anyway...
- 24 Further discussion? And we're going to open
- 25 up to public comment here shortly. Jack? Any

1	other discussion?
2	MR. GORMAN: That's as far as I can take it
3	right now.
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. That's fine.
5	MR. GORMAN: You've got you've got some
6	kind of a compromise to strike, and that sounds
7	like that is the compromise we need to work at.
8	If that's if every the rest of the board is
9	very worried about reserves and being, going to
10	zero, what is the magic number? I mean, I
11	can't
12	MR. GEORGE: .2055, in my opinion, is the
13	magic number if you want to protect all of the
14	statements that you've made to the public that
15	originally said seven years. Last year, it was
16	no, seven years, and then it was six. Last year,
17	it was five, and now it's four. We are on target
18	with these increases that go in.
19	You want to drop the millage rate back, then

- 20 you jeopardize, in my opinion, the four years and
- 21 go to five or six.
- 22 MR. GORMAN: You're saying that the --
- 23 keeping it at the TRIM rate allows the insurance
- of a reserve so that you can then go forward with
- 25 our plans.

1	MR.	GEORGE:	Right.

- 2 MR. GORMAN: This is what you're saying.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Yes.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Okay.
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: Could I --
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: I would like to say that when
- 8 we first started looking at being self-sufficient,
- 9 and how I analyzed the tax rolls, I did not
- 10 anticipate the high fair market value, appraisal
- 11 values to be this high.
- 12 So, I think we're getting -- we're getting a
- 13 windfall at this .2055. And -- and even though as
- 14 desperately I think it would be a good decision,
- business decision to do the .2055, I'm -- I'm
- 16 having -- I'm having a time in -- even though, as
- 17 Mr. Ciriello says, it's a very small amount, but I
- 18 would like to compromise that this millage rate be
- 19 something like to -- instead of bringing in a

- 20 million dollars, bring in \$700,000.
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: But just as a question, if --
- if you do anything other than .1716, it will go
- 23 out to the public that it was a tax increase,
- 24 period.
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.

file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt

1	CHAIRMAN COX: So, I mean, to say you got
2	\$700,000 or \$1.4 or \$200,000, it really makes no
3	difference, because everybody will you'll still
4	get hit with the negative negative questions
5	MR. BRUNSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: that you raised that
7	which would be
8	MR. BRUNSON: But it's not the 27 percent.
9	That is false.
10	MR. GEORGE: The total dollars
11	CHAIRMAN COX: It's not a 27 percent increase
12	anyway.
13	MR. BRUNSON: Right. That's what I'm saying.
14	MR. BURNETT: The if I just and I
15	know y'all know this, but from a I'm reading
16	the statute.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
18	MR. BURNETT: And and the issue that you
19	all know is exactly where all of this comes from,

- 20 is it comes from the statute, and as misleading as
- 21 it may be, the statute specifically provides is
- that you recompute the -- the proposed millage
- rate and publicly announce the percent, if any,
- 24 which -- by which the recomputed proposed millage
- 25 rate exceeds the rolled-back rate. That

1	percentage shall be characterized as the
2	percentage increased in property taxes. You know,
3	and that's that's
4	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: My comment again is, is to
6	caution everybody to consider that we want to look
7	out into the future and and actually get, if we
8	can, reach that target out there at 2010, or
9	whatever the year happens to be, to to move us
10	off the tax rolls instead of just trying to, for
11	the sake of saying we reduced the millage rate,
12	just for the simple sake of saying that to the
13	public for this year.
14	MR. BRUNSON: Are you are you saying that
15	if we don't adopt the rollback rate, then we
16	cannot that we have to adopt the tax base of
17	the .2055?
18	MR. BURNETT: No, sir. I'm just telling you
19	that that what where this language comes

- 20 from, which is what -- whether it's here at the
- 21 Airport Authority or the County Commission or the
- 22 City Commission or the School Board, what you
- always hear said is exactly what y'all were saying
- 24 earlier, the chairman started out the meeting
- 25 with, is any increase over the rollback rate gets

file:///A|/BUDGET091106.txt

50

1	announced	to the	public -	

- 2 MR. BRUNSON: Oh, yeah.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: -- according to the statute as
- 4 being a tax increase.
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: We know that.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 7 MR. BURNETT: And it's a statutory creature
- 8 as to why it happens that way.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Just a quickie. I'd like to
- 11 point out that the .2055 is the same as last year.
- 12 So, the millage rate is a zero percent increase.
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: That's correct. The rate is

14 the same.

- 15 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: And -- and I had --
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: Unfortunately, you can't
- 18 advertise it that way.
- 19 CHAIRMAN COX: -- a minor debate with the

- 20 director earlier, was that due to inflation,
- 21 that's actually a tax decrease.
- 22 MR. GEORGE: Okay. You need to write the
- 23 letter to The Record to explain that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: I'll open the -- entertain
- comments on the millage rate and millage rate

1	only, not to expand into the budget comments, but
2	please, millage rate only. Please, public
3	comment.
4	7 PUBLIC COMMENT ON MILLAGE RATE
5	MR. HICKOX: I'll try to observe your
6	restrictions, Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: You'll just yeah, you know
8	what I'm talking about. I don't want to
9	MR. HICKOX: I I understand.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
11	MR. HICKOX: I just want to pose one
12	question. In dealing with the millage rate, and
13	in dealing with what this gentleman brought up, I
14	think everybody here realizes the airport is an
15	important facility; we're all in support of the
16	airport and the work you're doing.
17	The question is, why could we not put a
18	moratorium on proposed new construction for a
19	period of time, at least until the market shakes

- 20 itself out, so that you know what concrete's going
- to cost. Maybe we get some kind of feel on how
- 22 the insurance rate's going to go.
- 23 I know that's heresy, gentlemen, but it would
- strike me that this way, you could solve your
- 25 millage rate problem, have your cake and eat it

1	too, and still reach your goal of 2010.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Very good point. Thank you
3	very much. Mr. Havard?
4	MR. HAVARD: Jamie Havard, St. Augustine. I
5	just want to bring up a comment. I know you want
6	to reduce it back to taking us off the tax rolls.
7	I'm looking at the reserves as they're projected
8	out to 2010. We're taking a huge reserve this
9	year, or you're planning one in 2006, as opposed
10	to the rest of the five years. I don't know why
11	this reserve cannot be spread out over the five
12	years.
13	As you noticed, we're taking a million or
14	\$1.5 million this year, a million the next year,
15	and slowing it down to \$500,000, and then none,
16	basically, after that. If you want to as you
17	say, you want to take a compromise, this may be
18	the area where you can find that. I don't know.
19	That's just my just looking at the numbers,

- 20 instead of taking such a huge hit this year,
- 21 spreading out the reserve over the five years.
- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Gorman?
- 23 MR. GORMAN: Again, you're saying compromise
- the issue between the TRIM rate and the actual
- 25 zero. Maybe --

2 N	MR.	GORMAN:	that's a	compromise.
-----	-----	---------	----------	-------------

- 3 MR. HAVARD: Well, your rolled-back rate and
- 4 your -- the TRIM rate from last year of .2055. It
- 5 may be a way to slide it in there -- and, you
- 6 know, it is a tax reduction of some -- of some
- 7 type, but maybe not a full one that everybody's
- 8 saying, well, take us down to \$300,000 on the
- 9 reserve. Maybe take a piece of each year's
- 10 reserve and break it up.
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?
- 12 MR. GEORGE: We have 165, -66 people on the
- 13 waiting list for hangars.
- 14 MR. HAVARD: Oh, absolutely.
- 15 MR. GEORGE: That's for T-hangars. We have
- 16 another 18 to 20 on the list for corporate
- 17 hangars. We have another 12 or so for businesses
- 18 that want to come in.
- 19 MR. HAVARD: Yeah.

20	MR. GEORGE: The reason that reserve is there
21	is because Mr. Brunson said that he could
22	support a millage rate as long as that money went

- into reserves.
- 24 Now, if we get it into the reserves, and we
- 25 find out through matching programs through the

1	state that we can build those hangars quicker,
2	then that's quicker revenue coming in, and so
3	where do we get that money to build those hangars
4	quicker? Out of the reserve.
5	So, if you take it slowly and build it up,
6	you don't have that option that's in there.
7	MR. HAVARD: Okay.
8	MR. GEORGE: I'm kind of looking at it that
9	the reason it's four years, you know, and it
10	started at seven, is because we've got
11	construction coming on line that's going to
12	generate recurring revenue that's in there.
13	MR. HAVARD: Oh, that that's great.
14	MR. GEORGE: So
15	MR. HAVARD: And I was going to say, I agreed
16	with you before that, you know, this is a
17	market-driven type of thing.
18	MR. GEORGE: Exactly, right.
19	MR. HAVARD: And when we have 160 people on

- 20 waiting lists, we should take advantage of that
- and not just give them a rate that everybody --
- 22 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Now, we know last time it
- 23 was a 50 percent fallout. But 50 percent says
- there's 80 people, okay --
- 25 MR. HAVARD: And you've only got 60 spaces,

4	
1	SO

2	MR. GEORGE: And we only came up with how
3	much was it, Mr. Gorman? Fifty-six?
4	MR. GORMAN: Talking about what?
5	MR. GEORGE: For T-hangars.
6	MR. GORMAN: Fifty-six Ts and a possible six
7	50 x 60s. That's quite a few hangars.
8	MR. HAVARD: Absolutely.
9	MR. GEORGE: So, we're not going out there
10	and trying to overbuild for the demand that we've
11	got there. We've got a lot of people that have
12	been on the list that, you know, are not going to
13	be satisfied.
14	I was in Atlanta at PDK about a month ago,
15	and I stopped by the administrator's office and
16	just to talk to them about, what are you charging
17	for T-hangars, you know, and this, that, and the
18	other. And the lady there says, "Oh, I remember
19	you, Mr. George."

- 20 And I said, "I thought your face looked
- 21 familiar," but she said, "You're still on the
- 22 list."
- I said, "Oh, yeah, I did put my name on the
- 24 list, you know, that's right."
- 25 She said, "You're now number 42 on the list."

1	And I said, "How long is the list?"
2	"Eleven years is the list."
3	MR. HAVARD: And it's not going to the
4	demand is not going to go down around this area
5	MR. GEORGE: No.
6	MR. HAVARD: the way it's growing. And,
7	you know, like you said, there's people who
8	probably have hangars at PDK that want hangars
9	here, too.
10	MR. GEORGE: That's right. Exactly.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Except maybe one.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Sir?
13	MR. KUMPF: I came in here tonight figuring
14	that I'd get my taxes my stuff, money you
15	guys and everything that you've said has convinced
16	me completely to the opposite.
17	I think you should have your millage rate the
18	way that you proposed it with the higher amount.
19	Being a businessman, I went back to reserves don't

- 20 hurt you, and if the insurance rates go up or
- 21 if -- if there's some -- something that happens
- 22 that you don't get your construction or whatever,
- 23 you've got the reserves.
- 24 The other thing that I wonder, a lot of
- 25 people I don't think are thinking about, but I'm

1	thinking about next year, the real estate market's
2	not hot anymore. And these these appraisers
3	that have come in and reappraised I happen to
4	have a condo in addition to my home, and it's down
5	at Four Winds Condominium.
6	Last year so, I don't have any any
7	homestead on it. Last year, that condominium was
8	appraised at \$325,000. I think this year they
9	banged it to \$450 If this real estate market
10	goes down 9 percent and and you're going to
11	really we're looking at a shorter, in quotes,
12	increase. So, I would encourage you to
13	CHAIRMAN COX: That's a very good point.
14	MR. BRUNSON: May I ask may I ask and
15	you don't have to answer this, but on your condo,
16	not your home
17	MR. KUMPF: Right.
18	MR. BRUNSON: are you able to get
19	insurance?

20	MR. KUMPF: Yeah, but that's because I've
21	been in the business and own an agency.
22	MR. BRUNSON: Well, you're you're
23	fortunate.

- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: We're looking for consultants.
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: Call me.

1	MR. KUMPF: My agency's up in up in
2	Delaware, and I'm three-quarters retired, but
3	they want me to keep retiring, but I won't do it
4	because then I lose that you know, that hammer
5	a little bit.
6	No, the insurance market down here is tough.
7	I tried to help the church with it, and when you
8	get into this windstorm situation, it's not good.
9	MR. BRUNSON: It's bad.
10	MR. KUMPF: And what they've been saying in
11	the paper is true. I I I don't like to see
12	them pulling out of homes and stuff like that, but
13	it's just a rough market. So, you just don't know
14	what's going on. But this reserve situation, I
15	think, is important.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you so much for your
17	comments. Very
18	MR. BRUNSON: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: very thoughtful. Thank

- 20 you. Any further public comments on the millage
- 21 rate?
- 22 (No further public comment.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: We'll move on to a tentative
- adoption of the budget and millage rate.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we accept the

1	budget as presented and the millage rate of .2055.
2	CHAIRMAN COX: I'll second that.
3	There's a motion on the table and a second.
4	Any discussion?
5	MR. BURNETT: One one second.
6	MR. WUELLNER: That's final.
7	MR. BURNETT: I understand. I I think
8	that motion was to adopt the budget.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Tentative.
10	MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir. I would just ask
11	that we have a motion to adopt the tentative
12	millage rate first. And I'm I'm I'm having
13	an overly conservative reading of the statute.
14	MR. GEORGE: As always, Mr. Burnett, whatever
15	you would like.
16	MR. BURNETT: Thank you, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: I knew we had to do that in
18	the actual meeting, not I didn't know about the
19	tentative meeting.

- 20 MR. BURNETT: Yeah, I just --
- 21 CHAIRMAN COX: That's okay. That's fine.
- 22 So, I'll entertain a motion to tentatively adopt
- the millage rate first.
- 24 8. TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RATE
- 25 MR. GEORGE: I make a motion that we

1	tentatively adopt the millage rate at .2055, which
2	is the same as last year, and therefore is a zero
3	percent increase in the rate.
4	CHAIRMAN COX: I'll second it. Now we have a
5	motion on the table and a second for a tentative
6	adoption of the millage rate of .2055. Any
7	discussion?
8	(No discussion.)
9	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor, say aye.
10	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
12	All opposed?
13	MR. GORMAN: Nay.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Nay? Mr. Brunson?
15	MR. GEORGE: That's not an option.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye?
18	MR. BRUNSON: (Nods head.)
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The tentative adoption

- 20 passes, of the millage rate.
- 21 To move forward, I'll entertain a motion for
- 22 a tentative adoption of the budget.
- 23 9. TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF BUDGET
- 24 MR. GEORGE: I make a motion that we give a
- 25 tentative, you know, adoption of the budget as

presented.

2	CHAIRMAN COX: By Staff. I'll second the
3	motion.
4	MR. WUELLNER: And just for the record,
5	that's \$13,580,096.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Very good point. Have a
7	motion on the table with a second. Any
8	discussion?
9	(No discussion.)
10	CHAIRMAN COX: All of those in favor, say
11	aye.
12	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
14	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: All those opposed?
16	MR. GEORGE: It's an aye or a nay, one of the
17	two.
18	MR. GORMAN: Then it's a nay. We haven't
19	compared.

- 20 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The tentative adoption
  21 of the budget passes.
  22 10. BOARD COMMENT
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Final comments, please.
- 24 Mr. Brunson, if you wouldn't mind starting?
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: At this time, I have no

1	comment. And may I be excused?
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir. Blast off.
3	Mr. George?
4	(Whereupon, Mr. Brunson leaves the room).
5	MR. GEORGE: A few years back, when we put
6	the 10-year financial plan together, it was pie in
7	the sky, I think is what The The Record said.
8	They wrote an article and said getting off the tax
9	roll is pie in the sky. And it's only pie in the
10	sky if we let it be pie in the sky.
11	Now, let's say that we fail to get off the
12	tax rolls in 2010, the 10-11 budget. Wouldn't it
13	be nice if we got off and we cut it by
14	three-fourths? Having this plan, which we got the
15	accountants to go in and help us set what the
16	reserves should be based on our demand and based
17	on refurbishment of facilities and everything, the
18	accounting firm is the one that came in and said
19	you ought to shoot for \$6 million.

- 20 So, that's what we put in there. So,
- 21 we're -- we're not just blindly going about this
- thing and -- and creating -- creating numbers for
- the sake of numbers. We've got a plan.
- 24 My fondest hope is that my last budget that I
- vote -- that I will be reelected -- and that my

1	fondest my last budget that I sign off on will
2	be a zero millage rate.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Good for you. Mr. Gorman?
4	MR. GORMAN: I think we're going in the right
5	direction. Myself, my own opinion, I'm still
6	beating the comparative analysis drum on the
7	budget. That's why I voted no.
8	I do agree we need some reserves. We can't
9	go down to no reserves. But my own opinion is we
10	should gamble, we should, in pilot talk, run a
11	little leaner and maybe drop that just a bit to
12	show the public that we're trying as hard as we
13	possibly can try. But I'd like to come up with
14	a with a reserve amount that, like Mr. Harvard
15	(sic) said is that the proper
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Havard.
17	MR. HAVARD: Havard.
18	MR. GORMAN: Havard. Sorry. That Mr. Havard
19	said, to just

20	MR. HAVARD: You're the first person to do
21	that.
22	MR. GORMAN: run somewhere in the middle
23	between no reserve and what we'd like for reserve.

- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Gotta get off the rolls.

1	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. I'd like to thank the
2	public for coming and attending. Very valid
3	points this evening, very, very good discussion.
4	11 NEXT MEETING DATE AND ADJOURNMENT
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Final budget hearing will be
6	next week on the 18th at 5:01. Anybody have any
7	problems with that?
8	MR. GEORGE: I will not be here.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: The final budget hearing?
10	MR. GEORGE: I can't be here.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: And you just talked about
12	signing off and all that other kind of
13	MR. GEORGE: I'm going to leave it to the
14	wisdom of my cohorts.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Are you okay with that?
16	That's what it is, so
17	MR. GEORGE: Well, we don't have any choice.
18	It's been advertised as that, so
19	CHAIRMAN COX: All right. Meeting adjourned.

20	(Thereupon the meeting adjourned at 6:10 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<b>REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE</b>					
2						
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )					
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )					
5						
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify					
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically					
8	report the foregoing proceedings on September 11,					
9	2006 and that the transcript is a true record of my					
10	stenographic notes.					
11						
12	Dated this 25th day of September, 2006.					
13						
14	LANET M DEASON DDD CD DMD CDD					
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida					
16	My Commission No.: DD531390 Expires: April 30, 2010					
17						
18						
19						

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			