1	l – ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIR	RPORT AUTHORITY

2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, February 26, 2007
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 5:56 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE RANDY BRUNSON
10	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
11	KELLY BARRERA, Secretary-Treasurer
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
15	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
18	
19	

20	
	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR
21	St. Augustine Court Reporters
	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
22	St. Augustine, FL 32084
	(904) 825-0570
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX		
2	PAGE		
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3	
4	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES		3
5	4. FINANCIAL REPORT	4	
6	5. AGENDA APPROVAL	5	
7	6. REPORTS 10		
8	7. COMMITTEE REPORTS	5	
9	8. PROJECT UPDATES	14	
10	9. ACTION ITEMS		
11 12	B. PR Committee EstablishmentC. T-Hangar Development Alternatives	26 32 66	
13	D. LeasesE. Jack Gorman Items for Discussion	103	
14	10. PUBLIC COMMENT GENERAL		112
15	11. HOUSEKEEPING 1	16	
16	12. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:		
17	A. Ms. Kelly Barrera, Secretary-Treasure	r 116	
18	B. Mr. Randy Brunson 117 C. Mr. John "Jack" Gorman 1: D. Mr. Wayne George 119	18	
19	E. Ms. Suzanne Green, Chairman	120	

20	13. NEXT MEETING DATES	121	
21	14. ADJOURNMENT	121	
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call the meeting of the
3	St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority
4	board to order. Stand for the Pledge of
5	Allegiance, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Before we start, I just had
8	one comment. People who come in, we have these
9	little, if you wish to speak on a topic, fliers to
10	sign so we can kind of budget our time. I'm just
11	reminding anybody. Mr. Martinelli's given me his
12	already. So, if anyone has a topic from the
13	agenda they wish to speak on, make sure I get one
14	of these and we'll go by what papers I have.
15	If you need to know that there Cindy has
16	some and there were some up front. Okay.
17	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Approval of the minutes.
19	Has everyone looked through the minutes from last

- 20 meeting?
- MS. BARRERA: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes?
- 23 MR. GEORGE: I so-move.
- MR. BRUNSON: I -- I saw no problems.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any -- no exceptions or

1	objections to the minutes?
2	(No exceptions or objections.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then they'll stand
4	approved as written.
5	Financial reports. Treasurer.
6	4 FINANCIAL REPORT
7	MS. BARRERA: I reviewed the financial
8	reports and I saw no problems with them.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, everything for our last
10	fiscal reporting period last month?
11	MS. BARRERA: For for the last month
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Last month?
13	MS. BARRERA: and for December. I
14	reviewed both.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. We had both.
16	MS. BARRERA: Because they weren't prepared.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anyone else have any
18	comments with regards to the budget financial
19	MR. GEORGE: No.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- report? No?
- MR. BRUNSON: None.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
- MR. GORMAN: No, no problem.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then we'll accept the
- 25 financial reports as presented.

1	5 AGENDA APPROVAL
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The agenda is before you. I
3	need a motion for approval of the agenda as
4	printed. Any comments?
5	MR. BRUNSON: Make a motion we approve the
6	agenda as submitted.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any
8	MR. GEORGE: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
10	(No further discussion).
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then all in favor,
12	aye?
13	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
14	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
15	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
17	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The agenda is approved as
19	written.

20	We have reports, committee reports. MPO.
21	7.A MPO
22	MR. BRUNSON: MPO. Tremendous amount going
23	on with the MPO. We're about to sit down and do
24	our long-range planning and for next year. And
25	something that the MPO and the County

1	Commissioners and the Airport Authority are
2	looking hard at is we're we have so much
3	problems with infrastructure that we're trying to
4	get private investors to help us fund some of the
5	problems we have.
6	And saying that, on March the 29th, there's
7	going to be a meeting of private investors, the
8	chairmen of the different county commissioners,
9	the mayor of Jacksonville, people on the MPO,
10	to to meet with these private investors and
11	talk about the bridge across the river and things
12	of that nature.
13	We sent out 161 invitations to participate in
14	this, in private investor, and the response has
15	been real good. And these are from foreign
16	countries also. So, that's that's exciting.
17	Going forward, we're our priorities are
18	are the 9-B and the 210/U.S. 1 interchange, which
19	is moving forward to get some relief to that area.

20	So, we're making good headway on that. And that's
21	pretty well it.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. EDC?
23	7.B EDC
24	MR. GEORGE: The EDC had their breakfast
25	meeting not too long ago, and that's probably all

1	we need to say about that one. But, Ed, has
2	they have they done anything on the industrial
3	park, to your knowledge? I have not gotten
4	anything.
5	MR. WUELLNER: It is underway, but it is just
6	barely.
7	MR. GEORGE: Same as it was last month.
8	Okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Pretty much.
10	MR. GEORGE: Then that's all I have.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Intergovernmental?
12	7.C INTERGOVERNMENTAL
13	MS. BARRERA: I attended the
14	Intergovernmental meeting and found it to be very
15	informative. Bryan also spoke at the
16	Intergovernmental meeting, Bryan Cooper, and
17	talked about the airport's efforts, as far as
18	environmental, and discussed the lighting that we
19	use and the the environmental-friendly

20	lighting, not only just our airport planning, but
21	also our airport operation and how we strive in
22	that direction.
23	I thought it was great, because the sharing
24	of the different procedures between the different
25	agencies on policies was very informative. And I

think that some -- some of the people who were 1 2 there were able to glean information from the 3 airport that they were able to use. And that was pretty much it. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: When was the meeting, last 6 week or --MR. WUELLNER: Very early in February. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's the first Wednesday, I 9 think. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just wondered if they had 11 any comments or if that was maybe a catalyst to 12 some of the articles that we've had in the paper. 13 14 MS. BARRERA: No. That -- that was not at all discussed, nor was I -- do I believe that it 15 16 was expected.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. Oh,

MR. GORMAN: I was just going to ask if they

Mr. Gorman, go ahead.

17

18

19

- still have the meeting every two months.
- MS. BARRERA: Yes.
- MR. GORMAN: Because I still -- I want to be
- on that. But I'm glad you went.
- MS. BARRERA: Yeah. No, I just filled in at
- 25 the last minute.

1	MR. GORMAN: Thank you very much. That's
2	right.
3	7.D SJC AEROSPACE ACADEMY
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: St. Johns County Aerospace
5	Academy, we had a meeting last week. I was not
6	able to attend, nor was Mr. Cox, who had been on
7	the board with me before. But I have conversed
8	with them by e-mail. On Thursday, March 1st, the
9	St. Johns County Aerospace Academy engineering
10	class is going to present their symposium. It's
11	going to be at the high school auditorium over
12	here from 11:00 to 12:30. And there's five design
13	teams.
14	These kids have put together how to
15	ultimately build a rocket for NASA, and each
16	team's going to have 15 minutes to present their
17	project, rocket design, fuel delivery systems,
18	ground support activities, what have you.
19	So. I'm scheduled for trial, but word came

20	down from the judge that he may dismiss me to go
21	over there. So, I'm going to try and go over
22	there and see it. But I think it would be good if
23	anyone anyone in the community, whatever, this
24	is our high school here that's we're trying to
25	work with engineering in aerospace to start them

- off early to get interested in -- in different 1 2 work areas, be it a pilot or mechanics, whatever. So, it's from 11:00 to 12:30 at the 3 St. Augustine High School auditorium. And that's 4 part of what the Aerospace Academy is trying to 5 do. 6 MR. WUELLNER: And that will blow you away if 7 you get a chance to do that. 8 MR. GORMAN: What date is that, again? 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's Thursday, March 1st, 10 this Thursday. 11 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. They -- those kids do 12 an unbelievable job in the short period of time 13 they have. I mean, it's --14
- lunch at that time. That's okay."
- So, I'm going to try and hop over and see it.

when I told the judge, he goes, "I could make

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that was nice, because

Okay. Project updates.

15

16

20	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, you skipped the
21	other reports. I don't know if you
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
23	MR. WUELLNER: intentionally did that,
24	but changed your order.
25	6 REPORTS

1	MR. WUELLNER: Commissioner Sanchez gave us a
2	call and said he would not be in attendance today.
3	I don't know if any other County Commissioner
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I didn't see him. And we
5	have Michael. I saw Michael come in.
6	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report at this
7	time.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: From Galaxy? Thank you,
9	Michael.
10	Northrop, Daniel?
11	MR. NEHRING: Right here. Nothing to report.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. By the
13	way, that from the St. Johns Academy, they also
14	extended to bring any additional Northrop Grumman
15	employees that wish to watch. So, it was a
16	special invitation to Northrop Grumman as well,
17	because I know Northrop Grumman's very involved in
18	that academy.
19	Mike Thompson, S.A.P.A.?

20	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. I think two
21	things, both very positive. One, there continues
22	to be a lot of enthusiasm about the construction
23	project. You can particularly from the air,
24	you can kind of see the taxiway and ramp taking
25	shape. And knowing that the hangars are next, I

1	think everybody just continues to be excited about
2	it.
3	And the other thing that I've heard several
4	good comments about, and no negative comments, has
5	been getting the new passes out. Y'all have done
6	a wonderful job getting the passes out. It's been
7	smooth, and nobody's had a complaint. Thank you
8	very much.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mike. Have to
10	thank Staff for doing all that work on the passes.
11	Is Mr. Burnett
12	MR. WUELLNER: Just Cindy.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, Cindy, thanks. Not
14	taking any credit for that one, Ed?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I haven't heard Doug. Sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Well, I'll pass and
17	see if he is a little delayed on something. Air
18	traffic controller.

MR. WUELLNER: That's me today.

19

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
- MR. WUELLNER: I think he purposely schedules
- his work time, but, there's -- there's the chart.
- 23 Looks to be about 250 operations for January less
- 24 than last year. So, just -- the rest is -- is
- historical. But based on the numbers in the

1	parentheses up there, it's just slightly below.
2	But you had I'll also just point out, you
3	had at least six days there, weather-related
4	activity, had a direct direct effect during
5	that month, where you have less than less than
6	a hundred operations four out of six days and less
7	than 50 operations on a couple of days there. So,
8	you had some some significant holes in in
9	the weather that would easily account for those
10	kind of numbers. You had a question?
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tom, (sic) do you have a
12	question?
13	MR. GORMAN: No.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: John.
15	MR. GORMAN: I was just wondering how useful
16	this chart is every time. I mean, I honestly.
17	It's I would like to know if there's a
18	discrepancy as to the count, as to there's a large
19	disparity as to the projected versus the actual

- 20 counts. I was just being a bit -- just wondering.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: I think that it's very
- important.
- MR. GORMAN: Well, that's good, Buzz. We
- 24 differ.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We had --

1 MR. BRUNSON: Inquiring minds want to know. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We had some public interest 3 before on going up to the tower and trying to verify our counts. Did that ever happen, Ed? 4 5 (Mr. Burnett enters the room.) MR. WUELLNER: Not that I'm aware. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I just wondered 7 8 because, I mean -- there's Doug. 9 MR. WUELLNER: There he is. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug, we're on project 10 reports, not to put you on the spot, just sitting 11 down. But do you want me to pass? Or are there 12 any reports for the attorney -- airport attorney? 13 14 MR. BURNETT: I'm -- I'm ready. Not really, other than issues that we'll probably talk about 15 16 anyways today. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, we'll just wait till the actual issues. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Now we're at project updates. 19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Now we're at project
21	updates.
22	8 PROJECT UPDATES
23	MR. WUELLNER: All right. The projects on
24	today's menu include the south area apron
25	development project; T-hangars; hangars 8, 9, and

1	10; seaplane ramp project; marketing and public
2	relations; and airport leasing activities.
3	Start off with Taxiway Foxtrot and the apron.
4	Let you know work continues. They're doing the
5	second lift of lime rock right now, which is the
6	last net of about four to six inches of lime rock,
7	which should be followed up by paving thereafter.
8	So, it's coming along very well.
9	Drainage, for the most part's, roughed in
10	very well, if not complete in some areas. Looks
11	very well. Still generally on schedule. Wrapping
12	up last of a permit issue on the ditch in the
13	vicinity of Taxiway B's intersection with the
14	project. Expect that really very soon, which will
15	let them do the actual physical connection to the
16	existing airfield.
17	Any questions on Foxtrot or apron at this
18	point? It's moving right along.
19	MR. GORMAN: When when is the projected

20	time of when
21	MR. WUELLNER: It's in April, I believe, is
22	the contract time. We'll we're hoping to still
23	use them for infrastructure back there, which
24	would be a function of how fast DRC gets the
25	hangar project out of it.

1	MR. GORMAN: Approximately.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The next point that I
3	didn't get up there. Could have saved you the
4	question.
5	T-hangar project is moving along. The layout
6	is at County DRC, the footprint. Final layout
7	selection is an agenda item today to be sure
8	everybody's in agreement on where that is. That
9	will be followed by bidding as soon as it comes
10	out of DRC so that we can generate a final plan
11	set.
12	The majority of the engineering is complete
13	at this time. It's just a matter of what the
14	final final product will look like. And if
15	this thing keeps on the pace it's going, you know,
16	December of the the end of this year is a is
17	a pretty realistic time line. If it strays into
18	the summer for some unknown reason at this point
19	it would it would obviously go on. But you're

25

20	looking at a good six-month construction period
21	here.
22	And what else here? 8, 9, and 10 is very
23	close to completion. I would expect we'll be
24	looking at a CO within the next seven days at

most. So, it's pretty well along.

I	They're finishing the paving effort over
2	there. Otherwise, the buildings are largely done
3	inside and out and getting very close to
4	occupancy. We are using an April 1st occupancy
5	date for the leases, and we'll talk about that in
6	a minute, but it will likely have the CO before
7	the October 1st date approaches here. So, I don't
8	expect it to extend any longer than that right
9	now. So, it will start earning some money on its
10	own beginning in April.
11	Floating dock and lift is seaplane project.
12	Permitting is now complete. The easements have
13	been negotiated with the Water Management District
14	and DEP. The project is underway. The notice to
15	contract's signed. Notice to proceeds are out
16	there, and we're being assured it will absolutely
17	be complete by the end of March. So which will
18	meet the grant deadlines
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's good.

20	MR. WUELLNER: that were out there and
21	and get this project finally up and and
22	complete. I know he's been out on the job site
23	last week, meeting with the there are only two
24	little subs involved with the with the work
25	there. One's a small electrical component, and

1 the other is a small well component, fresh water 2 well out there. And those things are underway. 3 So, I don't expect any hiccups at this point. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have a question. Do we 5 have -- or does S.A.P.A., or Mike, do you know if 6 anyone -- I know that there was some interest 7 before about some operators that wanted to come down if we had a sea ramp to use -- seaplane ramp 8 9 to use. And I was wondering, can we put out some type of release or --10 MR. GORMAN: To the Seaplane Pilots 11 12 Association --13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. MR. GORMAN: -- and other organizations, 14 right. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you think -- I think that 17 would be appropriate that we could do, because I know Mike had interest from somebody before, that 18

the groups would come over here if we had it.

19

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we're actually in the --
- 21 the queue. We can get an article written for that
- 22 magazine. They have made some contact with Bryan,
- so I think --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- we can get something out

1	there. Just
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think that would be great
3	since they're so few and far between. Be good for
4	us. Kelly, do you have
5	MS. BARRERA: I I also think it'd be
6	beneficial to let I don't know if our school on
7	the on the field would be interested in doing
8	seaplane training, but I think that would be a
9	good avenue to pursue, also, for future business
10	at the airport.
11	MR. WUELLNER: It's all about space right now
12	and a place for them to operate.
13	Marketing and public relations. You have a
14	agenda item coming up related to the development
15	of a public relations or marketing and public
16	relations committee. And I'm sure you'll all have
17	some input on that.
18	I did want to let you know, I made a
19	presentation to the North no. St. Johns

20	County
21	MS. BARRERA: Civic Round Table?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Civic Round Table in
23	February, and also on the agenda to do a light
24	presentation for the North Vilano Beach
25	improvement, whatever it is

1	MR. BRUNSON: That's it.
2	MR. WUELLNER: out there in March at this
3	point. Looks like the 12th, I think.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
5	MR. GORMAN: I think this is this is a
6	terrific idea. I think Ed does a good job of
7	this. As a matter of fact, I've had a number of
8	people comment to me on what a good job he does
9	at as presentations during these.
10	I have one caveat, though, is I think that
11	the board members need to know about these
12	presentations several days in advance, so if we do
13	want to attend or if we have input for them, that
14	we would need to know, the board members, before
15	these presentations to the public, need to know
16	when they're going to be, where they're going to
17	be. And to be honest with you, it's hard for us
18	to keep up with everything. And so that we could
19	provide input if we wanted to. I find about I

- find out about them afterwards, and although I'm
- 21 pleased, I'm also unhappy that didn't know about
- them ahead of time.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
- MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chairman?
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.

1	MR. BRUNSON: I think you're right. Also, I
2	think it's very important that each board member
3	get up to speed to do these type presentations to
4	civic groups. And and really, we are not doing
5	a good job with PR, and we need to start.
6	The article on you, Ed, in the North Shores
7	News was excellent, a picture of you and all
8	positive comments that you made. And as everyone
9	knows, in my opinion, the Civic Round Table is one
10	of the groups that, if they have something to say
11	negative, they'll say it.
12	They and it was all positive, and you
13	should be congratulated on that presentation. And
14	I look forward to your presentation with the north
15	shores improvement. And the I think the
16	Sertoma Club has called me and wants me to do a
17	presentation. So, I would like to get up to speed
18	on that.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, do we have any concerns

20	as board members what we can do and cannot do as
21	far as presentations or anything like that?
22	MR. WUELLNER: No. I don't think so. We're
23	always glad to help put you together or work with
24	you on what you want to do relative to a
25	PowerPoint or something like that. So, as long as

1	we get a little heads-up when it is so we can get
2	that in the queue. But we're happy to do that,
3	SO
4	MR. BRUNSON: We need to
5	MR. WUELLNER: It's really to whatever level
6	you individually are comfortable speaking on those
7	topics.
8	MR. BRUNSON: We might caution ourselves to,
9	when we stray a little bit, we do say "In my
10	personal opinion"
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that's what I was
12	going to ask Mr. Burnett. I think we have to I
13	know there's a differentiation between, "It is my
14	opinion as an individual versus it is the board's
15	opinion." Just so we're careful on that.
16	MR. WUELLNER: The caution is doing things in
17	advance of you collectively considering that item.
18	So because that gives the appearance you've
19	made up your mind on something or perhaps have

20	your mind made up on something when you're when
21	you're really supposed to reserve that opinion
22	until which time as you have a meeting and discuss
23	it openly. So
24	MS. BARRERA: I also think that it would be
25	beneficial to have Ed make presentations at

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2 Rotaries in the community, because I think that 3 getting more information about how the airport benefits the community would be beneficial for the 4 5 entire county. 6 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 7 MR. BRUNSON: Amen. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Burnett, do you have 9 any --MR. BURNETT: No. Other than, you know, 10

there's been a lot of discussion at the Airport

Authority from time to time as years go on about

making sure exactly, as Mr. Brunson pointed out,

that when folks do those types of presentations,

that it's their own opinion. Naturally, if the

Airport Authority as a board has already made

they make sure that they're speaking, making clear

different places like the Rotary, the different

decisions on things and taken actions, then you certainly can educate the public related to those 19

20	things.
21	And in having I will comment, the one
22	thing about the education process of educating the
23	public related to the Authority, Ed Ed's pretty
24	darn good at it, because having witnessed him at
25	the rezoning matter before the Board of County

1	Commissioners, Ed does a great job of educating
2	folks about what the airport does and is doing.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I also want to thank
4	the if you've noticed in the papers, the
5	periodicals here lately between The Record, The
6	Reporter and The Times-Union, they've done a
7	series of articles on the airport, which I think
8	overall was informative. And Andrew Holesko
9	helped, too, some input from there.
10	And I I did bring one in case someone
11	missed it. This is the one Sunday from The
12	Times-Union. But I think it's trying to get out
13	there what we're trying to do. Yes, we're trying
14	to build our hangars, but also we're trying to
15	work with our public here to try and make it
16	aesthetically pleasing and the sound-proofing and
17	everything else we can do.
18	So, I don't know who instigated that, if it
19	was just us starting to get word out there or the

- press trying to get interested, but I think that's
- a good -- good start.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Okay. Last item on the
- project updates is you will see, a little later on
- the agenda, leases for corporate units 8 through
- 25 10, 8, 9, and 10, as an agenda item in a minute.

1	Leases for nangars 1-2 and 1-3, which are
2	units, used to be part of the paint facility, just
3	to orient yourself, y'all have two units that are
4	vacated there. We are working with the next
5	people in line, and we'll have those leases for
6	you next month.
7	Following the length of the vacancies, it
8	there shouldn't be there won't be any period of
9	time where there's not somebody in there, because
10	the occupancy of 8, 9, and 10 won't occur until
11	April, so the timing will be good for the March
12	meeting. So
13	MR. BRUNSON: And I thought this hangar was
14	bulk with Galaxy, on the paint hangar?
15	MR. WUELLNER: No.
16	MR. BRUNSON: The old paint hangar.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
18	MR. BRUNSON: That's not leased to Galaxy?
19	MR. WUELLNER: You may be confusing no,

- 20 the old paint hangar is -- the paint hangar I'm
- 21 referring to is directly across from North
- 22 American Top Gun.
- MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: There was an old paint
- hangar -- or a hangar used for painting over on

- the FBO leasehold years ago.
 MR. BRUNSON: Uh-huh.
- 3 MR. SLINGLUFF: We -- we did lease one of the
- 4 paint hangars during construction.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: That may be. Yeah. Okay.
- 6 MR. BRUNSON: That's where I kept my plane, I
- 7 know.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: That was --
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: -- a couple of years ago.
- 11 MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't think I was
- understanding the question quite the way...
- 14 That's -- that's all I have for updates. If there
- are anybody with specific questions about projects
- or anything ongoing.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further comment?
- 18 (No comment.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Action items.

20	9.A VICE CHAIRMAN DISCUSSION
21	MR. WUELLNER: First item on the agenda for
22	your consideration is, as brought up at the last
23	meeting, was discussion on whether to establish
24	the position of vice chairman amongst yourselves.
25	It's really a board issue. I don't really have an

1	opinion.
2	The only thing I would comment on is that
3	with the establishment of another officer
4	position, for lack of better terms, it does assure
5	that there's no way to have an Airport Authority
6	meeting without at least one officer present. So,
7	you would have somebody in a position to run the
8	meeting.
9	As it stands today, you could have three
10	three members of the Airport Authority which would
11	qualify as a quorum, and I'm sure they would work
12	it out. All I'm saying is that you would at least
13	have someone who would be de facto chairman for
14	that particular meeting.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
16	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. Just a quick question.
17	Can a vice chairman also be the the treasurer?
18	For instance, can we just name the treasurer as
10	the vice chairman in default?

- MR. WUELLNER: You're making your own --
- MR. GORMAN: Take care of the whole problem.
- MR. WUELLNER: You're making your own --
- 23 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- thing here. You can do
- whatever you want.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I thought that's what we
2	kind of we used to do.
3	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. That's what we were
4	doing.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. We used to do, is if
6	the chairman couldn't be there, then the
7	treasurer, the next officer, was the one that ran
8	the meeting.
9	MR. BRUNSON: The only reason I brought this
10	up is that I if the secretary/treasurer is
11	involved in so many activities, the I think the
12	vice chairman should be the one that really has
13	the time to get up to date on what is coming up
14	and meeting with Ed and being up to speed and be
15	able to run the meeting if the chairman is not
16	present. And that's the only reason I I
17	brought it up.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, are you speaking as a
19	third body, so it would be the chairman, the

20	secretary/treasurer, and then a vice chairman?
21	MR. BRUNSON: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I just wanted to
23	follow the make sure
24	MR. BRUNSON: But I have as long as as
25	long as it works, it doesn't matter to me.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Pay's the same.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
3	MR. GEORGE: We've I've been on the board,
4	this is my fifth year, and we've had it where
5	the the backup is the secretary/treasurer. And
6	we have never, in my knowledge, five years, had a
7	problem with it. So, I think we're just adding
8	more overhead, and I would vote against it.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I've been on as long as
10	you; we've never had an issue.
11	MR. GEORGE: No.
12	MR. BRUNSON: And as I said, I have no
13	problem with that, as long as it being the
14	treasurer that realizes that what I'm trying to do
15	is make sure that you're up to speed.
16	MS. BARRERA: Right. I I obviously would
17	find more comfort in having a vice chairman, just
18	from the standpoint of there seems to be a lot
19	going on this year, and it would be nice to have

20	another position, officer position, from that
21	standpoint.
22	But it's I'm the least experienced on the
23	board, so I would trust the four of your judgment
24	on on that type of position, having the
25	experience of the board meetings and of what

1 what the year is going to bring. 2 MR. GEORGE: No better for you to get the 3 experience than where you are now. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman, any comment? 4 5 MR. GORMAN: No. I -- I don't think it's broken, but maybe we need to officially fix it. 6 That's all. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Public comment? 8 (No public comment.) 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Seeing no public 10 comment, it's up to the board. I mean, if y'all 11 want to have a motion on it. I'm kind of with 12 Buzz. I've been on here, I don't even count the 13 14 years. MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we leave it the 15 16 way it is. 17 MR. BRUNSON: And I second that. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? I just think you all have been on the board a 19

- 20 long time. Kelly's very versed in airport
- 21 matters. I think we're fine with what we have.
- 22 Doug?
- MR. BURNETT: And I guess just -- just so
- that we can make sure it's clear, is the decision,
- 25 then, that -- and -- and your policy manual, by

1	the way, talks about the Authority. And this is									
2	beyond the charter. The charter talks about the									
3	five of y'all appointing a chairman, which you've									
4	obviously done.									
5	But your policy that you've adopted talks									
6	about for meetings and the conduct of the									
7	meetings, it talks about the chair or the									
8	presiding officer. And basically what I heard you									
9	say is essentially the treasurer's been the									
10	presiding officer, and that's that's the way									
11	it's going to remain, at least the way the motion									
12	is made.									
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir. Is that correct,									
14	Buzz?									
15	MR. GEORGE: Yes.									
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any further									
17	discussion?									
18	(No further discussion.)									
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the motion?									

- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any opposed?

1	(No opposition.)
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion carries. So, we'll
3	just leave it the way it is now. And if I
4	think down the road if we come into any kind of
5	issues where someone is just desperate and not
6	here, we can always address it.
7	9.B PR COMMITTEE ESTABLISHMENT
8	MR. WUELLNER: Next item is a board item also
9	which has to do with the establishment of a public
10	relations committee, what that committee would be
11	made up of and what you would expect that
12	committee to do, if you intend to create one. So,
13	it's really again a board discussion.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I think
15	MR. BRUNSON: Let me
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Let me ask you this: This
18	committee, would this committee be possibly one or
10	two members of the board, and then this committee

25

20	appoint outside people to be on the committee, if
21	they so desire? Or what's what's the makeup of
22	this committee?
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think absent our lawyer
24	telling us what we can't do, I think that's great.

I mean, have board members and, you know, maybe

someone from our neighbors or S.A.P.A., Northrop, 1 anybody. I think that would be good to help with 2 the PR. 3 MR. GEORGE: I think that what he's going to 4 5 tell us is we have sunshine law violations which 6 means we have to advertise for all the meetings and stuff like that. 7 8 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. Generally, here's my -my thoughts on that, which is to give you very 9 conservative advice because it's the -- if I don't 10 give you that, I'm -- I'm scared that something 11 may go awry somewhere, not -- not out of 12 intentional action, but just we're all human 13 beings. 14 What I think is if you're going to have those 15 meetings, you probably want to advertise them, 16 17 because what happens is I think you will wind up

in a position where you want to have at least one

authority member part of the committee, because

18

19

20	one of you will will really want to be
21	involved, maybe more so than the others.
22	And if you have one Authority member on that,
23	it's inevitable that another Authority member is
24	going to show up somewhere along the way for one
25	of those meetings and want to offer comment. And

1	if you don't advertise them, then you run into
2	sunshine law problems.
3	Yes, you could have those meetings with one
4	Authority member and no other Authority members
5	attend and then not be advertised, and you have
6	members of the public that or or the
7	Airport Authority staff who are on them.
8	As long as it's a recommending body that's
9	not going to be a decision-making body for you,
10	then you wouldn't necessarily be subject to the
11	sunshine law. But my conservative advice would be
12	to advertise them, that one or more Authority
13	members may be in attendance.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: What extra cost is that to
15	us just to advertise?
16	MR. BURNETT: Relatively marginal. I'm not
17	sure what the ads cost. I think they're
18	MR. WUELLNER: Donna might have a better
19	handle

- MS. GLASSER: About \$45. Forty-five dollars.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Forty-five dollars? Buzz?
- MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
- MR. GEORGE: I think that the PR committee,
- we need it. And because of the sunshine, we have
- 25 to have one person from this board that's -- you

1	know, that's basically on that board and and
2	I'm saying chairing it.
3	But we've got a lot of talent out there
4	that a lot of people that know what's going on
5	at the airport that can make contacts, can help
6	write articles, you know, and whatnot.
7	I would suggest that we do it with one of the
8	members of the board being the chairman of that
9	of that committee and opening up to four, five,
10	maybe even six people from the community to go to
11	it.
12	Now, we get it started with a workshop. A
13	workshop is advertised and we're all there. And
14	we all get to put our input into, we think you
15	ought to do this, we think you ought to do this;
16	basically coming up with the priority list that
17	this committee's going to work under.
18	The committee should let each one of the
19	board members know what is being presented prior

25

20	to the fact, just like Jack said earlier.
21	I would not encourage the board members to,
22	you know, second-guess everything every time. But
23	if we get to the point that one of the board
24	members feels that it is not being run the way

they want to, then we request a workshop.

1 MR. BRUNSON: And we put it --2 MR. GEORGE: That is agenda item. 3 MR. BRUNSON: -- on the agenda. We put it on 4 the agenda. 5 MR. GEORGE: Or you can put it on the agenda, yeah. But if it's -- if it's a committee meeting, 6 that one of the board members wants to give a 7 8 little bit more input to it, then you do it as a workshop. And that way, you end up with maybe a 9 workshop every three to six months. But the 10 committee can go and do their thing and not tied 11 12 to us. 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. 14 MR. BRUNSON: I like that idea. MS. BARRERA: That sounds prudent. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman? 17 MR. GORMAN: If we leave it just the way it 18 is, we won't get enough work done. If we do

establish a committee, we will be able to tap

19

20	resources	that	are	free	and	available	to ı	lS.	Just

- use them. So, it's almost a -- to be silly, a
- 22 no-brainer.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I kind of agree with
- Doug, the conservative approach. I'd rather
- advertise. Just spend the \$45 to advertise --

1 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- to make sure we're okay, 3 and then when we have our initial meeting with all the board there, just to clarify it's a 4 5 recommendation committee. They can -- the 6 chairman can come back and say this is what we came up with, these are our recommendations, these 7 8 are our resources, and then we can vote on it as a 9 board, that's a good avenue to go, and then let the committee implement whatever we vote on. 10 MR. GEORGE: I agree. 11 12 MR. BURNETT: And --CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. I'm sorry, Doug. 13 MR. BURNETT: The only two things that I'd 14 offer related to that is if you do advertise them, 15 obviously because of the purpose of the committee, 16 it's a good thing as well, because it may draw 17 more people out to get them involved, it being 18 19 advertised.

20	And the one suggestion I would have there is
21	you may want to have it to where you have a chair
22	and a vice chair, so that if the chair in that
23	committee is not there, you'd have the vice chair.
24	Because sometimes folks aren't able to make the
25	meetings.

1	MR. GEORGE: I don't think we ought to the
2	the committee's hand by having it have it
3	announced as a public meeting, and now when we
4	start talking about having two board members
5	mandatory to be there, you know
6	MR. BURNETT: No, I'm not saying
7	MR. GEORGE: we're back to the sunshine.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think he's
9	MR. GEORGE: We're controlling it.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think he's saying
11	the chair and vice chair doesn't have to be
12	MR. BURNETT: You may have a chair that's an
13	Authority member
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
15	MR. BURNETT: and a vice chair that's a
16	non-Authority
17	MR. GEORGE: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.
18	MR. BURNETT: That way, you've got
19	somebody

- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. BURNETT: -- to run the meeting in case
- the chair wasn't there.
- 23 MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MS. BARRERA: But I would suggest that if the

14

15

1 chair isn't able to be there, that they contact 2 another board member to -- or have -- contact Ed 3 and try to find another board member to be there. Because I think it's that important that we have 4 5 this. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just for board member input. 6 I'm sorry, Ed. 7 8 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I would, just for 9 clarification purposes, are you -- are you going to -- are you tasking that committee with 10 conducting some -- some PR activities besides just 11 meeting, or are you requiring all of that to come 12

16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: My comment on it was what

back to you before implementation each time?

Just -- just for clarification of what you're

asking that committee to do.

- Mr. Burnett had said, that that committee meets,
- puts together their heads with all our resources,
- comes back to the board and says these are our

20	recommendations, this is what we'd like to do,
21	forums here, publications here, whatever, and then
22	we as a board vote. That was my discussion, but
23	I'm just
24	MR. GEORGE: And I don't have a problem with

that. But once we define publications here and

1	articles here, I do not want to tie the
2	committee's hands down. You know, let them go
3	ahead and do their function. And our safeguard is
4	our board member, you know, that's on that
5	committee, can say, "Wait a minute, you know, it
6	can't be done for this particular reason; we've
7	got to bring it up for a meeting."
8	MR. BRUNSON: And I definitely agree with
9	that, that we've got to put some kind of
10	restraints that the committee, you know, can't
11	obligate monies in advertising until unless
12	it's approved by the board.
13	MS. BARRERA: We would do that at the
14	workshop when we when we did the workshop, we
15	advertise the workshop, we did the workshop, we'd
16	set those parameters.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
18	MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
19	MS. BARRERA: Right.

20	MR. BRUNSON: We might have we might we
21	might give that committee a budget. I don't
22	we'll just have to decide.
23	MR. GEORGE: I I'd like to get back to the
24	reason for it. The reason for it was that we
25	didn't think that we were communicating well

1	enough with the public about what's going on.
2	Now, I did not envision this to be an arm of
3	this group, this board, that we were giving them
4	with responsibilities and things like that. I
5	thought it was we were working with some
6	interested citizens that wanted to help and do
7	that, and we're trying to give them all the
8	information we can to let them go do that. But
9	not come back that, okay, it was published in The
10	Recorder and it was published by one of the
11	members, you know, on this committee, and
12	therefore it's the gospel, and Ed's committed to
13	it and this board's committed to it.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. No, I don't think
15	that's the
16	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: emphasis of it. I think
18	it was I guess when we have the workshop, we
19	can set parameters, like Kelly said, as to where

20	we want our board member and this, the public's
21	committee to go.
22	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But I'm kind of more the
24	recommendation area. I know we can set some

parameters and say, all right, you're now a little

- leeway to do this and that, but I really would
- 2 like, I think, the recommendations to come to us
- 3 and then we make the decisions --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- all right, this project
- 6 looks good, let's go run with it. Let's say the
- 7 M.S. -- the 150 Bike thing. Okay. That comes to
- 8 us, let's look at it. Let's run with that, and
- 9 then they can take the advertising from there.
- MR. GEORGE: Then I recommend that we -- I'll
- make a motion that we do a workshop --
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I have some --
- MR. GEORGE: -- to define all these
- parameters.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- public that needs some --
- 16 yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: All right. Sounds good.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Mr. Martinelli?
- 19 You had given me a --

- MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- slip to speak on that,
- 22 sir.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Can I speak from here?
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's a Cindy/Donna
- 25 question.

1	MR. BRUNSON: I can near you.
2	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I think
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just the recorder has to
4	pick you up, Mr. Martinelli. I'm sorry.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: All right. My name is
6	Victor Martinelli, and I live at 24 Carriage Lane,
7	Ponte Vedra Beach. I think that Buzz's slant on
8	it is exactly right on. I think if you make it
9	too formal a committee of this board, you're going
10	to really stifle what you want them to accomplish.
11	And if you stop and think, the objective is to
12	reach the public. And how do you reach the
13	public? Either in writing, speaking, or video.
14	Now, no member of the committee who is not a
15	board member should be authorized to speak on
16	behalf of the board or to even speak maybe of
17	their own opinions, but that would defeat the
18	purpose.
19	I think the committee should just open doors.

20	And I think that the board or Staff should then
21	execute the delivery, whether delivery be in
22	writing or in speaking. A good example, of
23	course, is Civic Round Table. The door was open
24	there, and Ed did a magnificent job. And that
25	will keep growing the domino effect on that I

think will keep growing. 1 So, I think if you want to appoint a group --2 I wouldn't even call it a committee -- a group of 3 interested people who will open the doors for 4 5 either the board members or Staff to make 6 presentations to do -- to publish certain writings, if you will, in newspapers or magazines 7 8 or whatever, that's the way to get the message out. So, that's my thought on it. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Martinelli. 10 11 Mr. Cox? MR. COX: I'll take it over here, Cindy. Bob 12 13 Cox, Ponte Vedra Beach. Mr. Martinelli makes a very valid point. I 14 think in -- in your move towards creating a 15 PR-type committee or -- or some type of a function 16 17 of a group of people to do PR, in this particular circumstance, I think if you keep it more 18

informal, and let's just say -- let's call it a

19

20	committee if we had standing invitation to
21	whatever board member wanted to attend at the time
22	instead of making it a formal position for a board
23	member to be on it, thereby implying more
24	formality to the situation, that that's
25	something you guys can consider.

1	But I was just thinking, if we had five or
2	eight people and, of course, the standing
3	invitation was open to a board member to attend,
4	and then and then funnel the the process
5	through you all to make the decision to move
6	forward with any type of a public relations
7	movement that you wanted to make, I think that
8	might be something to consider.
9	Just to beg the Chair's indulgence for a
10	minute, because this tangentially I think affects
11	the the PR deal that we're talking about, we
12	have probably right now, just with one Fortune 50
13	company that's come into the airport, probably
14	\$200 million in metal on the ramp with five
15	airplanes?
16	MR. SLINGLUFF: Double that.
17	MR. COX: Double. Okay.
18	MR. SLINGLUFF: A few minutes ago.
19	MR. COX: Okay. Four hundred million dollars

20	in aircraft just with one Fortune 50 company on
21	the field right now. They've been here for a
22	night or a couple of nights.
23	But my point is, is moving out into the
24	public relations part of the airport, the economic
25	impact of those aircraft being on this field right

1	now, you've got 10 to 15 people spending several
2	nights here in St. Augustine. You've got them
3	buying local products. You've got them buying
4	gasoline, renting cars, staying at the hotels,
5	buying groceries, going to the restaurants, et
6	cetera, et cetera. And it just it comes the
7	economic impact in that is terrific.
8	And that's a public relations it's a five
9	gold star public relations issue right there to
10	take forward to the citizens of the county to show
11	what comes into this airport and how it affects
12	the local community.
13	You know, we've seen some very I won't say
14	negative comments. We've seen some misleading
15	comments by a commissioner or two on the County
16	Commission recently that's affecting negatively
17	the public relations of the airport.
18	And I I think they are missing the point,
19	that their own ignorance of airport operations is

20	leading them to believe that they're experts in
21	airport operations and have come forth with some
22	disinformation and misinformation to mislead
23	maybe not maliciously, but they're misleading the
24	citizens of this county to believe in an
25	opposite or to the take a viewpoint in an

1	opposite direction.
2	I'm somewhat concerned with many of the
3	comments from Commissioner Tom Manuel in reference
4	to the airport and what I will term his scheme to
5	move or otherwise take over the airport and
6	Mosquito Control and all of the other functions
7	that the county has right now. And I'll just term
8	it "Manuel's folly," for lack of a better term,
9	and due to its obvious misrepresentation of facts
10	concerning this airport and a continued political
11	grandstanding at public meetings.
12	So, I think with a PR committee moving
13	forward, we can help alleviate some of the
14	misrepresentations that we are hearing from other
15	political entities in the county. And, you know,
16	I think a PR committee is a good idea. And thank
17	you for your time.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Cox. Just to
19	respond on that briefly, we as a board invited

20	every commissioner to attend our meetings or to
21	have a joint meeting so that we can make sure that
22	they understand or we try and explain what we're
23	doing out here at the airport, and especially the
24	new members. And I've had a response from one.
25	But I just wanted we, as a board, did

- 1 extend, at their -- at their time frame, whatever
- 2 they felt a time could be arranged for them at
- 3 their -- you know, their meeting times.
- 4 Any other public comment? Yes. Go ahead.
- 5 MR. JONES: Joe Jones, 4672 Fifth Avenue.
- 6 Why don't you just try having like an open house,
- 7 but over at the -- you know, the terminal instead
- 8 of a building like this, where you could actually
- 9 see the airport and offer refreshments. Because,
- you know, you get a lot of people with
- refreshments. And just have just an regular open
- house for the public.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think you just joined the
- 14 group. Yes, sir.
- MR. GUINTA: I'm not a public speaker. I'm a
- journalist. Peter Guinta from The Record. I was
- thinking that maybe you should focus on what
- audience you want to hit.
- There's the local county audience for these

20	kind of issues about the county absorbing the
21	the Airport Authority. There's also the statewide
22	audience where you might want to get other
23	aviators to come here or to experience the
24	airport. Then there's the national audience where
25	you might have other things.

1	Like, for example, I mean, I just was here
2	ten minutes, and there were two good story ideas
3	locally for the seaplane. That's unusual. People
4	would like to read about that. And also the
5	the there was another one there I was I just
6	wrote down. What was that just before the
7	seaplane? There was another
8	MR. MARTINELLI: Corporate.
9	MR. GUINTA: The corporate one. There was
10	even another, a third. But those kind of things,
11	we need more at the paper, what we get is a
12	one-sheet agenda. If you could put down a little
13	more in the agenda of some of the background
14	stuff, we could see what it's about. And it just
15	doesn't say "hangars." It just doesn't say new
16	"new growth."
17	Just give us some more detailed ideas so I
18	can go to the guy, my editor, and say, "Look, we
19	have this story about the seaplane ramp. Let's

20	let's get ahead of this before anybody else does."
21	You can do that with other papers, too. If
22	you think Ponte Vedra would be interested in some
23	angle on the airport, just look for your local
24	market. You know, this is basic journalism for
25	me, just to find what find a niche that nobody

1	needs to somebody needs to know and get it to
2	the people that want to read it.
3	I'm trying to think of more, but every time I
4	come here, I get four or five stories that we
5	never end up chasing down because of the county
6	I'm a county reporter, not the airport reporter.
7	I used to be cover you guys every every
8	month.
9	MR. GEORGE: Could you come back?
10	MR. GUINTA: Well, I'm here now because my
11	editor wants to know about this comment by Manuel
12	and the absorption, how you're taking it. And so
13	I didn't have a chance, really, to ask anyone
14	individually. You haven't passed any motions on
15	it or really discussed it officially. So, I'm
16	just kind of hanging around.
17	But I think the seaplane would be a good
18	start to get coverage back again. And it doesn't
19	have to be you know, publicity is not a big

- thump like one bomb. When you go to bomb a city,
- you don't put one big bomb; you put lots of little
- bombs where you want to hit.
- And -- and so, it's like a -- it's like a
- seeping into the consciousness of the public to
- 25 hit them regularly with stories about the airport.

- 1 Good stuff, like the corporate, people, the money
- 2 it brings here, with some photographs and art.
- 3 Some cooperation from the -- from the operators
- 4 would be good. And -- and we always have gotten
- 5 that. We used to always have good contact with
- 6 them and Galaxy. And we've always had good
- 7 cooperation from Mr. Wuellner.
- 8 It's mostly just getting this -- the idea to
- 9 us and getting -- and saying, "Listen, this would
- make a good positive story." Because we're going
- 11 to do negative stuff anyway if they bring it up to
- the county. You know, you know that we're going
- to, because we -- it's just news. But the
- positive stuff would balance it a little bit.
- That's all I have.
- MR. BRUNSON: Peter --
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- may I ask you a question?
- 19 If I may. Did you personally know about -- that

- 20 Bank of America was having their corporate
- 21 meetings here and --
- MR. GUINTA: No, sir.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- bringing in five
- 24 Gulfstars -- streams?
- MR. GUINTA: No.

MR. BRUNSON: And having said that, Michael, 1 2 is there -- maybe the Bank of America didn't want 3 people to know that? MR. SLINGLUFF: Maybe. 4 5 MR. WUELLNER: A little late for that now, though. 6 7 MR. BRUNSON: Sometimes --CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's out. 8 MR. BRUNSON: Sometimes you -- you don't know 9 what to say and what to (sic) say. And --10 MR. GUINTA: Also, with the airport, there's 11 no one person except Mr. Wuellner to call if 12 13 there's -- if we have an issue like that, at different hours, except waking you up at home, 14 which I don't think you want us to do. 15 16 There's -- sometimes like the Sheriff's 17 Office has a deputy that we call cell phone anytime we have a question. But sometimes we're 18 doing a story about -- that mentions the airport; 19

20	we have no real contact except waking you guys up
21	at home.
22	And we we rely on the same three people
23	most of the time, Mr. Gorman, Mr. George,
24	Mr. Brunson. We have I have your numbers

engraved. But the two, the new -- Ms. Green, I

don't have your numbers, and I don't have yours, 1 2 Ms. Barrera. I used to when the election was 3 going on. Just a contact and sources works as a flow, not as a one-time deal; you've got a big 4 5 positive story and that's the end of it. Keep it going with another different story, a change-up 6 pitch, so to speak. 7 8 An economic story this time, development story, like the ramp, and then you hit it with a 9 10 political story; that's your -- you're going to do something else and you're going to form a research 11 group to look at another airport, something like 12 that. I don't know what your -- what your 13 thinking is on that at all because I haven't 14 covered you. 15 But I've said enough. Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Peter.

MR. HOLIDAY: Dan Holiday, 11 Aviles Street,

Yes, sir?

18

19

20	pilot.
21	He's just given us the key to it. It's
22	perfect. Find someone that's interested to be the
23	voice of PR here and just feed feed things to
24	the news media. And photographs. And these
25	people come in that don't want to be known they're

1	here, wait until after they go, and just say, "By
2	the way, you know who was here?" Wouldn't that
3	work? Don't have to, you know, have an
4	instantaneous thing, "Oh, so and so's here." But
5	after they leave, a photograph of them, something
6	that would be of interest.
7	There's a tremendous amount of things in the
8	years I've been here that Ernie used to do. He
9	was constantly calling up The Record with some
10	some little tidbit. And it's something we haven't
11	done. And it's our fault, I think, as much as my
12	fault, too. Seem to dwell on the negative.
13	Let's, you know, push the positive. Eliminate the
14	negative.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Dan. Mr. Slingluff,
16	you had something to say?
17	MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah. I think we do we
18	need to look at sort of the mission of what

what the PR is if we don't really just want to

19

20	just communicate that, "John Travolta was here for
21	lunch."
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
23	MR. SLINGLUFF: We need to educate the
24	economic impact that the airport brings every

single day. And whether we have a Fortune 50

- 1 company here today, I'll have probably three
- 2 Fortune 100 companies here before Friday. And
- 3 it's every week. That's the news. We -- we
- 4 really need to educate everyone so they accept the
- 5 airport, versus it's just another superstar at the
- 6 airport.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Reba? I just
- 8 want to remind people to speak on public -- okay.
- 9 I need to have it. Thank you.
- 10 MS. LUDLOW: Hi. I -- I broke it.
- 11 MR. THOMPSON: Stand up, Reba.
- MS. LUDLOW: I can't -- I can do this.
- 13 MR. BRUNSON: Your time's up, Reba.
- MS. LUDLOW: If I can fly a plane, I can do
- this. All right. I got it. I'm Reba Ludlow in
- Ponte Vedra Beach. And I do want to say I agree
- with the PR committee. And one of the reasons I
- agree with it so much is that, you know, when some
- other people, some of us people are out there on

20	the street	or at these	meetings,	then w	e would	not

- 21 have to become so emotional, and we could be very
- calculated and have the right information for
- rebuttals. That's the main thing. You would
- have -- you would have the information at hand.
- You would work with the board.

1	But on the other hand, anybody can be a
2	committee. You know, we don't have to work with
3	the board. We just wouldn't be as well-informed.
4	But everybody should be out there, you know,
5	giving positive public relations anyway. And I do
6	the best I can. That's it.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Reba. Al?
8	MR. SESONA: Al Sesona, 394 North Boulevard.
9	It's pretty common, you just keep layering and
10	layering and layering. What you need to do is to
11	get away from that. Basically what you have here
12	with the Airport Authority and the airport is
13	nothing more than another exercise of product
14	development. That happens to be my specialty
15	area.
16	What you need is the magic word is
17	"exposure." How do you get exposure? That's what
18	you're looking for. That's what you need more
19	than anything else.

20	And as far as I'm concerned, I think you're
21	missing a very important point here by not having
22	some kind of a newsletter which you could put
23	together. And you have the staff, you have the
24	equipment. Why not put a box out in front of your
25	office here where you just stuff these newsletters

1	in there like Folio Weekly does. And you have a
2	specific day that people can come by and pick up a
3	newsletter. Or get you a box down in front of the
4	post office and stash that on a particular day.
5	And what you need here is exposure.
6	And at the back of this newsletter, you
7	you could have a little box there where people
8	could put their names and address and comments and
9	stuff them back into your box. When you go to
10	fill that box next week, you pick up the returns
11	that you got.
12	Now you've got a recycling going where you've
13	got input in and, you know, in, out, in, out. And
14	to me, this is what I see as having been lacking
15	from square one with what your Airport
16	Authority and I don't mean to emphasize that
17	more than anyone else's particular comment, but
18	that happens to be mine. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Sesona.

20	Okay. We need some I guess some board action
21	on this to see what direction we want to take. I
22	do think we need a board member. That is what
23	this group so that we make sure we stay
24	connected with what's going on and
25	recommendations.

1	I kind of like Mr. Sesona and Mr. Jones,
2	we'll draft them right now, to be on this group.
3	They came up with some good ideas. So, I'm
4	Buzz?
5	MR. GEORGE: I think that we did get into a
6	lot of good ideas, and it just points up the need
7	for somebody to focus on it. Mr. Cox' point about
8	having a board member that doesn't have to be the
9	chairman, just to sit there, you know, and that's
10	fine, too, because we do have interface.
11	As far as just getting the opening doors
12	and getting the staff to deliver, I Kelly, you
13	brought up about, you know, the workload and all
14	the stuff that's going on around here.
15	MS. BARRERA: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GEORGE: For us to saddle, you know, with
17	the staff that we have now, the job of writing
18	articles and things like that, I think that that's
19	going to be an undue amount of loss in some other

- area to do that.
- Now, Staff can help, like we need a
- presentation here. You know, Ed's good at that.
- He has the presentation materials and everything.
- I'm sure he'll be able to -- to deliver.
- But I would think that this committee would

1	be a stand-alone committee with a representative
2	of ours there to help them. If we've got some
3	resources here that would you know, we could
4	use, fine; then we use those. But let the
5	committee don't tie their hands down.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
7	MR. BRUNSON: The only comment I have is,
8	boy, we do have some talented people. I can
9	remember Warren RauHofer, those articles he wrote
10	in Ponte Vedra and different places, were very
11	well received. I I would like to know if any
12	board member would like to volunteer to take the
13	time to to do this and has an interest, keen
14	interest in this, to really to volunteer to do
15	this.
16	MR. GEORGE: I would do it. I think Kelly
17	would make an excellent one, if she
18	MS. BARRERA: I will do it.

MR. GEORGE: -- had the time. Because you

19

20	had you've mentioned so many other things that
21	you're doing. But if you've got some time, that
22	would be a great use of your resources.
23	MS. BARRERA: Well, I would be happy to help
24	out with that, because I do feel like it's sorely
25	needed. And I but if I don't want to take

1	anybody else's position for doing that.
2	MR. GEORGE: No, not at all.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: My I might have a
4	suggestion, that instead of I mean, I think
5	Kelly would be excellent, too, but if we do a
6	publication, PR committee for the airport, PR
7	group, whatever, public, anybody interested and
8	input or ideas, meeting this date and time, and
9	that's the way we start it off.
10	MS. BARRERA: With our workshop, too.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
12	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. And the workshop would
13	you know, go over a lot of these things like, you
14	know, the things that Al brought up and and
15	Peter brought up. And and that could come into
16	our charter, if you will, that we need to look at
17	this, look at this, and look at this and so forth.
18	So, a lot of the things we covered here is what I
19	would anticipate being presented at the workshop.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you saying the
21	workshop's just for PR, though?
22	MR. GEORGE: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I would like to limit
24	it to that. I don't want to bring all these
25	people

1 MR. GEORGE: Oh, right. Yeah. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- with everything else. 3 MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make a motion. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir. 4 MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make a motion that 5 6 we nominate Buzz George to be the board member to 7 the -- to the committee or group of people. 8 MR. GEORGE: I appreciate that, but I would bow to Kelly, as she's been diving into this. 9 MR. BRUNSON: Well, I just -- the concern was 10 that she might have too much, but -- by being --11 12 MR. GEORGE: Well, I think all of us will be in the workshop and we'll see where it comes out 13 of it. 14 MS. BARRERA: And we can decide at that 15 16 point. 17 MR. GEORGE: And part of that workshop -well, we can't make decisions at the workshop, but 18

19

we could have two liaisons, yeah.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
- MR. GORMAN: You could always defer it to
- someone else later.
- MS. BARRERA: Right.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay?
- MR. BRUNSON: I withdraw my motion. He

1 declined. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We don't -- I mean, I think 3 I'd rather have a motion that we have a workshop and pick a date so we can publish. 4 5 MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we have a 6 workshop to discuss the PR committee and the composition and its direction. And then we go 7 8 from there. MS. BARRERA: Second it. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion from 10 the board? 11 MR. BRUNSON: That's great. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'd like a discussion as to dates so that we can go ahead and publish them. 14 MR. GEORGE: We can always do it in front of 15 16 the next meeting, if you --17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's March 19th. 18 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. March 19th. That's not a

19

month away. Three weeks.

MS. BARRERA: I think it needs to be soo	oner.
---	-------

- With the amount of information that's been coming
- out in the papers as of late, I think this is
- something we need to be very proactive on.
- MR. GEORGE: I don't know how quick we can
- react, advertising time, for -- we need one week.

1	Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: A week?
3	MR. WUELLNER: About five days. We can get
4	it in.
5	MR. GEORGE: I don't have any problem with
6	doing it earlier.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, one week, obviously,
8	would be the Tuesday, the 6th. That's a week
9	from tomorrow if we got something out. So, I'm
10	open to anything after that.
11	MS. BARRERA: I'm open on Tuesday, the 6th.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that would just give
13	us the few days to publish.
14	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, I just want to make sure
16	Staff has enough time and it gets out
17	MR. BRUNSON: How about how about
18	Thursday, the 8th?
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly?

- MS. BARRERA: What time would we want to do
- 21 that?
- MR. BRUNSON: Makes no difference for me.
- MS. BARRERA: Earlier is better for me.
- MR. GEORGE: Then make it the 6th.
- MR. BRUNSON: Well, that's going to put the

- 1 staff short.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. And the only concern
- 3 I have with making it earlier, if we're opening it
- 4 to the public, who are employed and working -- I
- 5 mean, we can make it whatever time we want, but --
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- if you have a 10 o'clock
- 8 meeting with -- might be a little difficult for
- 9 the public to get here.
- MS. BARRERA: Before -- before 5:00 would be
- better for me.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, that's fine.
- MR. GEORGE: So, if we could start it at 4:00
- and went into 5:00, is that okay?
- MS. BARRERA: Three -- well, if we did the 3
- o'clock hour, that would be the best.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have workshops at 3:00.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, 3:00 sounds good.
- Thursday, the 8th, at 3:00.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, the motion is we have a
21	workshop, which is a PR workshop. We will publish
22	so that the public can know and have input to form
23	a PR group. It will be at 3 o'clock on the 8th,
24	here at the airport. Is that the correct motion?
25	MR. GEORGE: Yes, ma'am.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that seconded?
2	MR. BRUNSON: I second.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Direction, any other legal
4	issues we need to discuss on that?
5	MR. BURNETT: No, ma'am.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor? I'm sorry.
7	MR. GEORGE: That's all right.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead, Buzz.
9	MR. GEORGE: I was just going to recommend
10	that all we have some focal point that can get
11	ideas, like ideas of newsletters and this, that,
12	and the other, and put it in some black and white
13	form so we'll be able to discuss it more organized
14	fashion.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, can we call Staff and
16	just say or just we write down our ideas,
17	newsletter this, this, this?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. We'll compile it.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okav.

- MR. GEORGE: Sounds good.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.
- MR. BRUNSON: And are we inviting the --
- 23 MR. GEORGE: Public.
- MR. BRUNSON: We're inviting the public. But
- I think we need to invite people -- personally,

I'm going to invite people that I know are good at 1 2 PR --3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, absolutely. 4 MR. BRUNSON: -- that can come. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think that's important. Word of mouth, you know? Okay. All in favor? 6 MR. BRUNSON: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 8 MR. GEORGE: Aye. 9 MS. BARRERA: Aye. 10 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any opposed? 12 (No opposition.) 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's set for 14 Thursday, March 8th, at 3 p.m. 15 16 9.C. - T-HANGAR DEVELOPMENT ALTERNATIVES 17 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have is the -- actually, it's first item I have. The 18

19

T-hangar development alternatives.

20	Just a brief history on this. A few months
21	back, we presented and refined, with Mr. Gorman's
22	help, an alternative or a development plan for the
23	T-hangars back there that included 55 T-hangars
24	and five approximately 50 x 60 size units or about
25	3.000 square foot each.

1	We also went out in advance of putting this
2	to bid, and as I mentioned earlier, we're at DRC
3	with this project at at this point. We had the
4	buildings placed on the property out there via
5	vis-a-vis a survey. And it it brought to mind
6	several locations out there where we had some
7	some possibilities of improving the layout plan in
8	ways that would again reduce the impact on the
9	existing tree structure back there. It had in
10	some cases a positive effect on the amount of
11	infrastructure that would need to be provided that
12	would serve those hangar units.
13	All three alternatives, the first of which is
14	that base 55 by 5 plan. All alternatives are the
15	exact same square footage. There's no compromise
16	in the total amount of space being proposed in the
17	development.
18	What we've done is look at it with with a
19	mind toward finding a mix or looking at different

20	mixes of that 50 x 60 structure against T-hangars.
21	All three have slightly different, or have in
22	some cases somewhat significant returns on
23	investment, as a result of the cash flow. I
24	provided you, just for your the backup of the
25	numbers. I'll have on the screen a little more of

1	a detail of now those numbers shaped up versus the
2	units, just just by way of explanation there.
3	I'm going to, if you don't mind, invite
4	Andrew up to just kind of walk you through the
5	the three alternatives and give you an idea what
6	the physical plant change would be.
7	It doesn't really affect what we're doing
8	with DRC at this point, as they they're looking
9	at the site plan as a whole, not as the individual
10	uses of buildings.
11	So, after that, it's really up to you. We're
12	at a point to pick one and run, if it's we
13	don't care. I mean, I don't know how to emphasize
14	that enough. The the staff nor the engineer
15	care. We can keep the 55 by 5 plan, you can
16	modify as you see fit. But this will really be
17	the last shot at any any changes to it because
18	we're going to need to go to press with the plans
19	and fight our fights with DRC.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
21	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. Not to I've reviewed
22	with Andrew, the engineer, his the different
23	ideas. And really, I think the the first is
24	excellent, and there's another excellent one in
25	there. So again, like Ed. it's half a dozen of

1 two of which you pick. It was my understanding last time that we had 2 the last rendition. So, if this is the -- if that 3 4 was the final, then this is the final, final? I just wanted to put that to bed. 5 MR. WUELLNER: Probably technically, yes. 6 MR. GORMAN: I mean, I don't want to get into 7 the final, final. I don't mean to be 8 silly, but, you know... 9 10 MR. WUELLNER: These came out as a result of the -- the field survey that we -- you know, we 11 were able to identify. You walked that site, we 12 13 walked the site. And there were a few little places we could tweak -- tweak the individual 14 construction of this and -- and maybe net a little 15 better project at the end, both financially and 16 17 physically out there. But at the end of the day, it's really your 18 plan, and you tell us which way you want to go 19

- with it. So, first up.
- MR. GEORGE: Wait a minute. Jack, if I
- remember, we had a final --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We did.
- MR. GEORGE: -- you know. And that was the
- final layout. And then I heard that, well, Jack

- 1 tweaked it here and Jack tweaked it. I'm just
- 2 telling you what I heard.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Well, that's not the right
- 4 information then.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: So I requested -- so I requested
- 6 that, fine, let's get back and go over it again as
- 7 it stands.
- 8 MR. GORMAN: After we had the last final, I
- 9 have not -- I had no input.
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: So, if you heard that, it's not
- 12 correct.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, let's look at what --
- the three they have, and it may be a nonissue,
- because we've looked at it a lot of times.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Andrew?
- MR. HOLESKO: Andrew Holesko, program manager

20	with Passero Associates. Certainly we have looked
21	at these layouts numerous times to get to the
22	graphic that you have there.
23	We actually did at least 15 to 16 different
24	layouts in that area to get what is believed to be
25	the best mix of hangars and access and and

1 and blend into the environment. What we found, as Mr. Wuellner mentioned 2 3 that, we went out and flagged the corners of the 4 buildings to take one last look at the trees surrounding the building area and the taxi lanes. 5 6 And really, there's just two areas that came up that we wanted to bring to your attention before 7 we proceed with a layout, which is listed as a 8 slight modification. 9 The first one is -- is the current layout, 10 which is option 1. That is the option that was 11 presented to you a few months ago. That's also 12 the same layout of hangars and everything that has 13 been presented to the County for review. Plans 14 are prepared. 15 And in that, you have six T-hangar units. 16 17 You have one, two, three, four, five, six 18 T-hangars, and you have five bulk hangars. You

have two here and three down here. That is option

19

- 20 1, 55 Ts and five bulks.
- 21 The next one, option 2, you can see there's
- 22 two areas that are now highlighted in blue. The
- small area's highlighted in green next to them.
- 24 This area, which is Hangar U, used to be a
- six-unit T-hangar which accessed to the west here,

1	and there was a taxi lane here to the east.
2	And the site plan's a little hard to see, but
3	there's a little area here of trees that we've
4	highlighted in green and a row of trees here that
5	if Hangar U switched from double-sided to
6	single-sided and only accessed here to the west,
7	you could leave that that area of trees. You
8	also eliminate the taxi lane paving on this side
9	of the hangar. And you also eliminate doors on
10	both sides of the hangar. You only have doors on
11	one side of Hangar U.
12	Another modification is very similar over
13	here on Hangar R. The northern section of Hangar
14	R has a very nice stand of oak trees right here on
15	this northern tip of the taxi lane. We reduced
16	the pavement area here, and we made this area,
17	instead of having double-sided T-hangars, they're
18	now single-sided bulks opening to the east. That
19	changes the mix of T-hangars and bulk hangars from

25

20	55 down to 42 T-hangars and increases the bulk
21	hangars from five up to 11.
22	The third option is a little bit of a hybrid
23	of each in that now you have a partial stub
24	taxiway here on the east side of U. Instead of

having this all single-sided, you only have one

1	unit on the end here that extends to the west,
2	again to avoid this area of trees right here.
3	It's a little bit of less paving, and you again
4	also have doors only on the west side of that
5	northern unit on Hangar U.
6	And the northern section of Hangar R, we only
7	have two hangars that are now accessing only to
8	the east. We're still avoiding that area of
9	trees. There's less paving in here. And again,
10	there are hangar doors only on one side of the
11	hangar instead of two.
12	This mix changes the original option from 55
13	T-hangars down to 48 T-hangars and increases the
14	bulk hangars from five up to eight.
15	And again, as Mr. Wuellner mentioned, on all
16	of the options, we have not changed the physical
17	footprint. We have minimized it in a few areas.
18	And there's no net loss of square footage of
19	hangar space on any of the three that we show.

20	From our perspective, we can easily proceed
21	with any of the three. Right now, the Authority
22	is proceeding with what current layout option
23	1. As I noted, that's the one you hired us to
24	design. We have designed it and submitted it to
25	the County for review.

1	We just felt that it was appropriate to bring
2	you back these two options, just to talk a little
3	bit more about some slight modifications that you
4	could do. Not going to affect the project
5	schedule, we don't think, in any way. But we can
6	proceed with any of these three at your direction.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Board
8	discussion?
9	MR. GORMAN: Mr. Wuellner, which is your
10	favorite? I to be I have heard the
11	discussions. You actually have a slight and to
12	my way of thinking, I'm looking at 2. I've
13	discussed it with Andrew. I'm not sure.
14	There's a slight ROI increase and a slight
15	decrease in cost if you go with plan 2. It does
16	decrease the number of correct me if I'm wrong.
17	It does decrease the number of of T-hangars,
18	but it does increase slightly the total number of
19	airplanes that can be stored, with a small

20	decrease in cost and a small increase in ROI
21	because you're getting more per square foot for
22	the 50 x 60's.

- So, in fact, if you do change to 2 or 3,
- you're actually netting a little bit better. But
- 25 I'm not clear as to whether 2 or 3 as a change

would be better. What is your thought? 1 MR. WUELLNER: Well, essentially, 2 and 3 are 2 the same advantage from a construction standpoint. 3 MR. GORMAN: Right. 4 MR. WUELLNER: I don't -- I don't see that 5 being different. The physical plan change, the 6 length of taxiways, that type of thing doesn't 7 8 materially change between the two options. So, in that respect, they're about the same. 9 10 The question then is, really, where do you want the mix? There are some obvious financial 11 benefits to the increased number of 50 x 60 units 12 over the other. Does that outweigh -- you know, 13 frankly, I think either 2 or 3, when all things 14 are considered, are better planned than 1, 15 16 although they have compromises in them in the 17 total number of Ts. And we -- we kind of all agreed we were trying to maximize Ts. You know, 18 it's -- 1 certainly goes a lot further toward the 19

20	financial goals of the airport without really
21	materially changing what what happens, so

- MR. GORMAN: Let me change my question
- because I've been involved with it for so long.
- Mr. Holesko has just agonized over this. He
- knows -- he can walk it like a farmer. He knows

1	every rock. It's amazing.
2	So, what and without having to suffer any
3	consequences, what is your favorite, Mr. Holesko?
4	What do you think, layout wise, just from
5	engineering standpoint? I hate to put you on the
6	spot, but I don't know anybody else that's more
7	prepared.
8	MR. HOLESKO: The same thing that that
9	Mr. Wuellner mentioned a minute ago, with all due
10	respect to the interest of keeping the 55
11	T-hangars, for us, it is a more efficient project
12	to proceed with option 2 instead of option 3,
13	simply because there are two, three units of bulk
14	hangars which would be consistent buildings for
15	construction purposes, bidding purposes,
16	maintenance purposes.
17	And the challenge of option 3 is that you
18	have some buildings there that would be a sharing
19	of T-hangar construction with bulk hangar

- 20 construction, and it is a little bit different.
- It's not a standard in the industry to have
- T-hangars and bulk hangars in essence sharing the
- same buildings. We wouldn't have that if we
- proceeded with option 2.
- But, as I mentioned earlier, any of the

1 three, we can easily proceed with. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George? 3 MR. BRUNSON: I have --4 MR. GORMAN: I've got one more question after 5 that. MR. GEORGE: To carry on with that, would 6 7 you -- would you say that option 2 would be what 8 you would be most proud of when it gets done? MR. GORMAN: That's a good question. 9 MR. GEORGE: Moving right along... 10 MR. HOLESKO: I would -- I would tell you 11 that in all honesty, whether it's 1, 2, or 3, it's 12 the proudest hangar project we've ever done. 13 MR. GEORGE: That's good. 14 The points I want to make -- I went back to 15 16 the master financial plan, and the master 17 financial plan says that we increase our revenue by 16 -- 159 and change thousand dollars in the 18

19

first year, which is '07 and '08.

20	Now, this plan has us behind schedule,
21	because what we're saying is it's not going to be
22	done until December of '07. But if you look at
23	\$160,000 versus option 2, \$349-, that gives us a
24	little play. And maybe we even get off the tax
25	roll not that gives us some play that's in

1	there.
2	But the other thing that I want to point out
3	is, right now, on corporate and commercial
4	hangars, we have a waiting list of 31 people.
5	MR. GORMAN: That's my next question.
6	MR. GEORGE: We have a waiting list of 161
7	for T-hangars. Now, that 161 and 31 is after
8	Cindy has gone through her end-of-the-year, you
9	know, purge, "Are you still interested?" You
10	know, blah, blah, blah. And so, she's gotten it
11	down.
12	And I think the thing that that we have to
13	ask ourselves is where do we want to do the
14	support? If you look at 11 scheme 2, 11
15	corporate hangars, that's one-third of the of
16	the people we have on the list. And 48 is a
17	little bit less than one-third of 161 hangars that
18	are there. Anyway, I just wanted you guys to
19	recognize that.

20	MR. GORMAN: Got one question for you. We've
21	got to service the needs of the public. And so,
22	our can any of those bulk hangars in other
23	words, can you come up with a scheme or a lease
24	scheme on which you you know, you're the
25	director; you've got to take care of all those

1	problems, of the sharing of these hangars.
2	So, in other words, with all of these people
3	on the waiting list, where some of these 50 x 60
4	hangars can be leased so that it can maximize the
5	number of airplanes in there? Is that possible or
6	does that just complicate issues? Or what I'm
7	trying to do is the least paving, the most money.
8	I mean, you know, period.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. You've got you've
10	got no matter which plan you pick, the same
11	five, what I call perimeter hangars, have the real
12	potential as commercial, for lack of better terms,
13	in in their character; small, fit within that
14	Minimum Operating Standard minimum size for for
15	reference. And and they allow public access to
16	them by virtue of where they are.
17	The internal ones, no matter which of the
18	ones you plan there, they're really more storage.
19	They're really not going to be commercial in

25

20	character because of the lack of public access.
21	You're going to have parking issues. You're going
22	to have all of the traditional things that come up
23	with commercial buildings that are not going to be
24	able to be satisfied internally because you just

simply have to drive across taxiways to get there.

I -- I really think -- I -- I like 2 over 3, 1 2 not because of the units. And -- and while the revenue is nice, my -- my argument would be 3 operational. You -- you're clustering these 50 x 4 60s together. They have the ability to handle a 5 single larger airplane, larger than -- than a 6 T-hangar. They can, with versatility, handle 7 8 smaller units. We do have some individuals on the property 9 who have multiple aircraft and have multiple 10 hangar needs that this could possibly replace, 11 someone with two T-hangars for one unit or 12 something along that line. 13 I see operational issues with this, where 14 you're sticking the large unit -- like in this 15 particular case, you're sticking the large unit at 16 the end of the T-hangars, and it's less than 17 ideal. This keeps, at least the character. 18 Andrew could set the building back 20 more feet 19

20	and and actually create even a little better
21	a little better apron area, for lack of better
22	terms, in front of the individual units there
23	and and create a little more usable space.
24	MR. GEORGE: But do we have to get rid of
25	those trees?

1 MR. WUELLNER: I don't think so, not -- no. 2 Because you've got -- I don't know what the number is there, Andrew. 3 MR. HOLESKO: There's space -- yeah, there's 4 5 a little space there. MR. WUELLNER: There's a little space there 6 to get a little -- little wiggle in there. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Brunson? MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I met with Ed today on 9 this and -- and looked at it pretty hard. I think 10 option 2 has a lot going for it in that we're 11 saving on construction, the taxiways, and we're --12 I think we're more versatile with aircraft storage 13 with the 11 bulk hangars. And I think the return 14 on investment -- and it would be -- is better with 15 16 option 2 than 3 or 1. 17 MR. WUELLNER: I would point out, too, that no matter which scheme you pick, there are several 18

regular T-hangar units here that will afford some

19

20	additional space in them. They're they're end
21	units of of sort that can be wrapped together
22	to provide a larger T-hangar by square footage
23	and, of course, some sort of a premium on the rate
24	for it as a result.
25	But it does provide some flexibility for

1	someone with with two airplanes, may be able to
2	make that work or somebody who we do have
3	several that lease an end unit where it's just
4	used as some additional storage or tools or
5	something there related to their airplane use.
6	So, there's still that in the plan, too. So,
7	somebody with two airplanes wouldn't necessarily
8	have to take the giant leap in expense either.
9	MR. GORMAN: My only concern was that we have
10	such a large list, and the only concern with
11	picking 2 over 1, then, if 3 is kind of
12	cumbersome, would be, you know, servicing the
13	public needs for how many people you've got on the
14	waiting list. And the idea was, can you put
15	multiple planes and let them share a lease and is
16	that practical? And that's probably the only then
17	caveat. I don't know.
18	MR. WUELLNER: You currently you this
19	goes back about 10 years.

20	You you allowed an arrangement at that
21	point collectively, the Authority allowed a
22	hangar-sharing option or opportunity that was
23	there, but it was conditioned at the time on when
24	the Authority built new hangars, that those
25	opportunities would go away.

1	But that's that's a policy decision and,
2	you know, we're however you want to want to
3	do that. Currently, you would not really be able
4	to do that. But it could be made to work.
5	MR. GORMAN: Do you find that that making
6	that work, you know, would be cumbersome, do you
7	think, or too cumbersome? I mean, you have to
8	deal with this every day.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, my my experience with
10	it is that it only works as well as the people
11	that are sharing it. And it and it can be just
12	unbelievably ugly. We've had a few of those. And
13	we've had some that have gone for ten years and
14	I've never heard a word from them; it just goes
15	goes splendidly, so and unfortunately, the
16	that sad part of that is sometimes those little
17	marriages in those units aren't by mutual
18	agreement; they're out of necessity. And that's
19	usually where it ends up in a brawl at some point.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I want to open up to public
21	discussion, and I'll come back to the board. The
22	only request I have was from Mr. Martinelli.
23	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I'm just wondering if
24	there's a way golly. I forget. Thank you,
25	Cindy.

1	I'm just wondering if there's a way to
2	satisfy both needs, because if there was a way
3	well, first of all, correct me if I'm wrong, but I
4	think with the same square footage in a bulk
5	hangar versus the T-hangars, you'll get more
6	airplanes, small airplanes in the bulk hangar
7	space, which means that if you took the 160-odd
8	people on the waiting list, and you went with
9	option 2, which is certainly the most beneficial
10	in terms of return on investment, and you
11	dedicated one or two or three of the bulk hangars
12	to bulk hangaring for small aircraft, you'd end up
13	satisfying the need for the percentage need, as
14	Buzz brought out, for satisfying the smaller
15	aircraft versus the commercial hangars.
16	That, obviously, is going to need a change in
17	your plan or your policy in terms of how you lease
18	them. And I don't know if if all those avenues
19	have been explored. But I think there's more than

20	the ones that existed, more avenues than the ones
21	that have existed.
22	For example, maybe one person is the master
23	lessor and I'm sorry, lessee. And then
24	subleasing, he does the subleasing and worries
25	about that so that the Airport Authority only has

1 to worry about one person. I'm not suggesting 2 that, but I'm simply saying that's another avenue to explore. So, if -- if that could be done, then 3 4 option 2 is certainly the best. CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the only other person is 5 6 Reba. MS. LUDLOW: Yes. And I'm Reba Ludlow, 46 7 Village Walk, Ponte Vedra Beach. 8 And, number one, I'm going to speak for one 9 of our members who is not here already. And the 10 first thing he's going to say is, "At first, you 11 said we were going to have 63 T-hangars. Now 12 you're saying we're going to have 55 T-hangars. 13 Now you say we're going to have 48 T-hangars," you 14 know. 15 16 And, yes, I do understand about the bulk 17 hangars, and I do understand, you know, layout number 2. However, if you took layout number 1 18

with 55 -- 55 of the T-hangars, you would fill up

19

20 half almost half of that waiting lis

- And if you take number 2, you're only going
- to fill up one-third of the corporate hangars.
- So -- which is best, to fill up one-half on this
- one -- I mean, just a little over one-half or the
- one-third? You know, I'm for the T-hangars,

- 1 because I have an airplane and I want it in a -- I
- 2 don't want it in a bulk hangar.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I just want to remind
- 4 people, I need to have these at the beginning so
- 5 we can budget our time if you're going to speak on
- 6 a topic. The agenda was printed. Okay.
- 7 Mr. Thompson?
- 8 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. Always feel like
- 9 Wayne Newton walking around with a microphone.
- MR. WUELLNER: Too bad you don't look like
- 11 him.
- MR. THOMPSON: You're still mad about the
- collection for the razor, aren't you?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- MR. THOMPSON: I'm sorry. The problem that
- 16 I'm listening to -- I've got to agree with Reba.
- 17 And, I'm sorry, I didn't know she was going to say
- that.
- The problem with multiple small airplanes in

20	a larger hangar, if it's Mr. Slingluff's
21	operation, you call him up, he sends somebody who
22	knows what they're doing, with a tug. They move
23	airplanes around, get my airplane out, put the
24	airplanes back. Everybody's happy.
25	We're not going to have that luxury here. If

1	you've got three, four, five people, my airplane's
2	in the back, I'm going to have to move somebody's
3	airplane. I'm probably not going to put it not
4	going to like moving it to start with, and I'm
5	probably not going to put it back to suit them.
6	When I come back, the same thing applies. So,
7	that's the problem I see with a makeshift bulk
8	hangar. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. I close public
10	comment. Board comment? Mr. Gorman?
11	MR. GORMAN: I think a compromise is the
12	is the right word. Compromise is compromise. And
13	not everybody's happy with compromise. But if
14	you're getting a little more money and you spend a
15	little less and you try to fit as many people as
16	possible and I've got to listen to some
17	experts.
18	We've got one engineer and we've got
19	director. And I don't agree with a lot that the

20	Director says,	but if he thinks	that likes

- 21 number 2 and the engineer likes number 2, and we
- still serve lots of needs, then it looks like
- number 2. And I'm going to make a motion for
- number 2 later on.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'm just going to put

it briefly. Everyone's had kind of their say. 1 Obviously, we'll have some more. 2 3 I went over this myself prior to the meeting. And I'm looking not from a pilot standpoint, since 4 I'm not. I'm looking at from a business 5 standpoint. ROI, square footage, leasing rates, 6 and what we can do for our county to get the best 7 8 return on investments. And I thought number 2. I circled, put a big star next to it, serve 9 as many needs as we could without -- we can't 10 please everybody all the time, but would have 11 enough for the hangars and enough of the bulk 12 units, and also you release -- you don't have 13

- So, I agree with you. I know it's not in the
- best for everybody all the way around, but I think

for me, was the doors, which are not cheap.

another taxiway to pave. And you eliminate -- I

was going to say that, and Andrew brought it up

for the public, with what we're spending, tax

14

15

16

20	dollars, our dollars, you know, transportation
21	dollars to get our return and our money back and
22	service our needs, I'm with you. I feel number 2
23	is best for us.
24	Buzz?
25	MR. GEORGE: One other thing I want to point

1	out, so that everybody understands this, these
2	numbers are \$1500 per unit per month for 3,000
3	square foot, and \$300 per month for a T-hangar.
4	Those are wags, and that's a wild-something guess,
5	as to what the construction cost is going to come
6	in.
7	Those prices could easily come in \$1600,
8	\$350, because this board needs we need a return
9	on our investment for the construction. And you
10	know what construction cost has been for the last
11	two years. So, I'm anticipating a surprise in the
12	construction cost that would possibly take these
13	up, which does give us a little bit more money,
14	but it gives us construction costs, also.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board discussion?
16	Ed?
17	MR. WUELLNER: I've just got one just one
18	other observation. No matter no matter which
19	option you end up with at the end of the day, if

- you look at this from a little different angle,
- you know, it's -- it's -- the Airport Authority
- has added on average every year 12 units of
- T-hangars, just -- just as a way of benchmarking
- here.
- Even in the worst-case scenario, you would

- 1 have added 84 units over the last seven years,
- which is equivalent to a new 12-unit T-hangar
- 3 being brought on line. That's not how we do it.
- 4 We haven't added one each year, of course. But
- 5 we -- we've bulked it, a chunk of them down each
- 6 time we've done a T-hangar project, and that's the
- 7 result, is you've added a 12-unit T-hangar per
- 8 year. That's -- that's probably above average for
- 9 a GA. And I'm not saying it in a bad way.
- But it -- it's -- you know, it's -- we're
- certainly not ignoring the light GA market, no
- matter which option you choose.
- MS. BARRERA: And how many corporate hangars
- have we added over that same period?
- MR. WUELLNER: Total units, in six years?
- 16 Probably six?
- 17 MS. BARRERA: Total?
- MR. WUELLNER: In -- in the same period?
- 19 Maybe seven?

- MR. GEORGE: Total, not per year.
- MR. WUELLNER: No, per -- that's total. So,
- it's an average of about one a year.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, one to two of them.
- MR. WUELLNER: Plus or minus.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Excuse me. Entertain a

1 motion. MR. GORMAN: A motion -- I make a motion that 2 3 we accept option number 2 as being the best option, as being the final, final, final option. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to ask, "Is that your final answer?" 6 MR. GORMAN: That's my final answer. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second? 8 9 MR. BRUNSON: I'll second. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board 10 discussion? Yes, sir. 11 MR. GEORGE: I just have a quick question to 12 ask Ed. And I really like this scheme here of 13 having all those hangars right together. Because 14 they're not together down here, I don't really 15 16 like this one as much. I don't want to put a 17 fourth one in. But, Andy, do you remember how many we can -- Andrew, how many -- how many 18 T-hangars we actually lost? 19

- MR. WUELLNER: Five or six.
- MR. HOLESKO: The replacement of --
- MR. GEORGE: Six or seven?
- MR. HOLESKO: Replacement of those three
- units is six.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Six.

1	MR. HOLESKO: Yes. And we're going to you
2	know, Matt and I were actually just doing a little
3	sketching here in the back. We're actually going
4	to make a break in that building. There used to
5	be a single building, and we're going to try and
6	actually recover that one that we lost. It will
7	still be the same the same footprint, but we're
8	going to probably do a
9	MR. GEORGE: But from a construction
10	standpoint, you get some some benefits here.
11	MR. HOLESKO: Yes. It will still be the
12	it will still be the same three units in both
13	locations.
14	MR. GEORGE: Do you see any problem with
15	taking these two out, returning them back to Ts?
16	You know, Ts need to be with Ts. And putting the
17	other two down here, do you see any mod any
18	problem in that modification?
19	MR. HOLESKO: Just understand. So. you're

- 20 talking about taking the -- the three in the lower
- right from option 2 and keeping the -- on option
- 22 2, we're going to keep those three there?
- 23 MR. GEORGE: Yes.
- MR. HOLESKO: Okay. And then change option 3
- back to T-hangars?

1 MR. BRUNSON: You're doing away with the --2 MR. GEORGE: You're doing away with --3 MR. HOLESKO: Hangar R? MR. BRUNSON: -- the bulk hangars. 4 5 MR. GORMAN: This is what he spent so much 6 time doing, is actually trying to give us a compromise. 7 8 MR. HOLESKO: Just want to make sure. On option 2, we're going to keep these three here? 9 MR. GEORGE: Right. 10 MR. HOLESKO: And then on option 3, instead 11 of having these bulks here, we turn this to 12 T-hangar? Is that what you're saying? 13 14 MR. GEORGE: That was my thought, yes. MR. WUELLNER: So, it would look like option 15 16 1 in that building. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Now, the down -- downside to

that is you don't have the same construction

19

- advantages at all. Because now you're -- you're
- still extending that T-hangar taxiway. You're
- 22 still --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- going through that stand --
- 25 that stand of trees at the end of whatever that

1	taxiway is it building R?
2	MR. HOLESKO: Rest of R.
3	MR. GEORGE: But I'm only \$33,000 a year less
4	in revenue, is the reason I was trying to find
5	something to work there.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But I think your
7	construction costs are going to be higher with
8	paving and the doors and everything else.
9	MR. GEORGE: At 50 cents on the dollar,
10	you're right.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
12	discussion? Kelly?
13	MS. BARRERA: And the amount of amount of
14	customers that are general aviation customers with
15	option 2, and the amount of corporate customers,
16	are the ratios that will be served from the
17	waiting list about the same on option 2?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I've already done that
19	analysis.

- MR. GEORGE: Say that again?
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Third and third is what I
- heard.
- MR. WUELLNER: Is it about --
- MR. GORMAN: That's why we were discussing
- what Mr. Martinelli said.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Serving 11 on the corporate --
- 2 MS. BARRERA: Right. At 31.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: -- versus 31.
- 4 MS. BARRERA: Right. So, that's a third.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: That's a third. And then 42 out
- 6 of 161 is only 25 percent.
- 7 MS. BARRERA: That's a fourth, right.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: However, I think we
- 9 established a while ago our expectation was that
- out of the 169 names, you're really arguably only
- dealing with half as likely tenants, anyway, at
- the end -- despite their staying on the list.
- MR. GEORGE: How many --
- MR. WUELLNER: But it's still --
- MR. GEORGE: How many out of the 31 are we
- looking at the same way?
- MR. WUELLNER: I would suspect, given the
- money involved and the character of that list,
- it's probably a much higher -- 80 percent, maybe?

20	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
21	MR. WUELLNER: You know, when push comes to
22	shove. There will be a few that will, you know,
23	decide not to do it for whatever reason. But
24	MR. BRUNSON: Madam Chairman, I'd just make
25	one comment before we vote, though. I'm on the

1 waiting list, and I would like to see more 2 T-hangars. But I have an obligation to look at 3 the best financial, if I weren't on this board, 4 I'd do. And so I still support option 2. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board discussion? 6 MR. GEORGE: If we went with option 2, can we 7 give, at the next meeting -- or can we give 8 direction to come up with -- we need another 12 9 Ts; where are you going to put them? And let's 10 come up with a plan for that. 11 12 MR. GORMAN: Well, this has to be final, 13 because this is the amount of --14 MR. GEORGE: I realize that. I'm saying I don't want to put another 12 -- I'm saying freeze 15 this space for number 2. But look at all the rest 16 17 of that space that we had out there that we haven't defined what to do. Come across from M 18

19

and put them there.

- MR. GORMAN: Possible.
- MR. WUELLNER: My only -- I -- certainly we
- can do that, but is that -- do you really need
- that now? I mean, only from the sense that
- there's that vacant space, we're -- we're not
- putting anything there right now. It's -- it's

going to stay that way -- let's -- let's hash 1 2 through what is a good mix back there. 3 MR. GEORGE: Well, let me make a comment on 4 your point. You know, is it really good now? You've got 161 people on the list. Yes, it is 5 good now, in my opinion. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just want to reiterate, 7 out of the 160, though, 80 are pretty -- the 8 9 viable ones. MR. WUELLNER: We're using that as what --10 what happened last time. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. Mr. Gorman? 12 MR. GORMAN: Let me just restate the motion, 13 that I -- I make a motion that we accept number 2, 14 with the caveat that we possibly review or -- the 15 16 structure of the lease to maybe make multiple 17 airplanes possible in one -- in one or more of 18 those hangars to accommodate more in general

aviation, if possible. I mean, if you want to add

19

- that to the motion or if that's necessary, you're
- 21 kind of my expert on that.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, you're going to have
- some -- yeah, I agree.
- MR. GORMAN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: But you're going to have some

1 physical limitations that are -- depending on the 2 aircraft, but very likely three is probably the 3 max you're going to get in there. MR. GORMAN: So, we should just leave the 4 motion as is, then. 5 MR. WUELLNER: I just -- I think the net is 6 you're asking -- no matter what, they're going to 7 8 spend \$500 a month in that configuration. MR. GORMAN: Right. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Which may be acceptable to a 10 few people out there, but they're sharing space 11 and paying 60 percent more rent to somebody -- I 12 13 mean, to us. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have a motion on the floor and a second. 15 Not seeing any further discussion, all in 16 17 favor of accepting development scheme number 2? Aye. 18

19

MR. BRUNSON: Aye.

- MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- 21 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor. None opposed.
- We'll accept scheme number 2.

1 MR. GORMAN: And that is a final rendition. 2 They're going to --CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's the final answer. 3 MR. GORMAN: They're going to build this. 4 Okay. Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have leases for hangars 8, 9, and 10. 7 8 9.D. - LEASES FOR HANGARS 8, 9 & 10 MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. All -- all 9 three -- I've tried to consolidate this so that 10 maybe you can deal with it in one motion if you 11 12 choose to. We have three -- this is for hangars 8, 9, 13 14 and 10. The lease rates are identical. The size of the units are identical. The three tenants, 15 16 proposed tenants are listed up there, Air Rehab & 17 Sales; AVOX, LLC; and Southeast Aero Services. 18 The rental rate is at \$6 a square foot. The

annual revenue per unit is \$43,200. So, that's

19

- \$129,600 for the -- for the three-unit building.
- 21 It generates an ROI expectation of 7.26 percent
- over 20 years. We all know that if we took that
- out to building life, you'd see a significant
- 24 difference in the ROI to the positive.
- They all use the standard form lease. I

1	believe all tillee two out of tillee are
2	commercial in character, that being Southeast Aero
3	Services and AVOX, LLC would be commercial in
4	character.
5	And that will force by approving this, it
6	would force two units, units I-2 and I-3, which
7	are across just to orient yourself on the
8	field, across from North American Top Gun would
9	make those available to additional commercial
10	small hangars.
11	And we are currently walking through the
12	process with both the next two people on the list
13	to do that, and we will bring hopefully those
14	lease agreements to you in the at the March
15	meeting.
16	So, you'll see an improvement in the cash
17	flow of I-2 and I-3, also, as those units adjust
18	to the new market value on the facility.
10	MR_GEORGE: So those two would come out of

- 20 the 31 that's on the list. So, if we do this --
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: -- it's really less on the list.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, depending on which part
- of the -- actually, I think your -- those two
- would come off the commercial list, small box

1	commercial list, but they're not the same 31 that
2	are on the corporate waiting list.
3	MR. GEORGE: Well, the 31 was corporate and
4	commercial.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Then, yes, it would, if
6	those are combined.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Board questions? Is the
9	square footage commensurate with our competitive
10	market out there with other
11	MR. WUELLNER: It's actually above market.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
13	MR. WUELLNER: All of it. In order to get
14	the ROIs because of construction costs, they're up
15	at \$6 a square foot. Which is nice, because it
16	will force our other units up in those kind of
17	numbers as they're rerented.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And that would happen at I-2
19	and I-3 because we're rerenting those.

- MR. WUELLNER: I-2 and I-3, yeah.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I only had one public
- comment. Mr. Martinelli?
- MR. MARTINELLI: I -- I don't think I --
- you're fine.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Well, you marked the

1	box.
2	Okay. Then board discussion or questions?
3	We can entertain a motion.
4	Mr. Burnett, did those have did these
5	leases come up to what our concerns were before,
6	with guarantees and all that
7	MR. WUELLNER: They're they're not all
8	executed yet. They will.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. But, I mean, do they
10	have those provisions in there that we discussed
11	in our Minimum Operating Standards, what have
12	okay. Motion?
13	MR. GEORGE: Make a motion to approve.
14	MR. BRUNSON: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
16	discussion?
17	(No further discussion.)
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, all in favor
10	of accepting Staff's recommendation for those

- proposed leases, say aye.
- 21 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- MR. GORMAN: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
2	(No opposition.)
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: None opposed, the motion
4	carries.
5	9.E JACK GORMAN ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION
6	MR. WUELLNER: And the last item that we
7	was a placeholder for Mr. Gorman.
8	MR. GORMAN: I've got lots of items. Some
9	are discrepancies. I'm going to defer those
10	most of those to a later time.
11	Incidentally, one of my most interesting ones
12	is this whole meeting has led up to. I have
13	I'm concerned with information flow. I'm
14	concerned that thoughts by board members, that we
15	are limited to these board meetings for our
16	thoughts.
17	Now, we are we are limited by the sunshine
18	law to discuss our thoughts, you know, in my way
19	of thinking, to this board I mean, to these

20	meetings, because we can't openly discuss them so
21	we know what we're going to do.
22	I'm just concerned with information flow. I
23	have thought I I would like all my
24	requests to Mr. Wuellner in the future, I'm going
25	to want to document both my requests to him, and

1	I'd like those disseminated to the board and
2	then so the board would know what my requests
3	are. And I would also like to be able to e-mail
4	to this board and I am going to do that on a
5	public blog, on my own public blog; in other
6	words, whatever blog is, in other words, so the
7	public can access it, these thoughts.
8	And internet dissemination of thoughts should
9	be okay. And it's my thought that a private
10	internet, you know, statement of a board member
11	should that is available to each board member
12	through e-mail between the board members and then
13	available to the public should be all right. That
14	way, we have more information.
15	In other words, for instance, my I didn't
16	have a knowledge of the Hastings discussions and
17	presentation. I didn't have a knowledge of the
18	Round Table presentation. I happened to get in
19	time the information about this presentation,

- about number 2 and number 3, about these different
- 21 renditions.
- MR. GEORGE: I have a point of order I need
- 23 to point out.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.
- MR. GORMAN: Not right now.

1	MR. GEORGE: Excuse me for interrupting.
2	MR. GORMAN: Well, I'm discussing this.
3	Can't that wait for just a moment?
4	MR. GEORGE: Well, no, it's a point of order.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. And I was going
6	to go ahead.
7	MR. GEORGE: When we had our workshop to
8	discuss the problems, you were asked to put your
9	stuff in writing.
10	MR. GORMAN: Right now, I'm discussing this
11	one, and I need to be able to discuss this one at
12	a time.
13	MR. GEORGE: At the last meeting, we asked
14	you to get it in writing, and the benefit is, I
15	have a chance to look at it
16	MR. GORMAN: All right, sir.
17	MR. GEORGE: and therefore, I can comment.
18	MR. GORMAN: Then I'll put do you want me
19	to put these comments in writing for you for the

20	next meeting?
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, wait. I'm going to
22	call a point of order.

- MR. GORMAN: That's what I'll do.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I had a concern,
- because I spoke with Mr. Wuellner earlier and

1	said, "I know Mr. Gorman had specifics that he
2	wanted on the agenda. What are they?"
3	MR. GORMAN: Well
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We did not have excuse
5	me. We did not
6	MR. GORMAN: I'm not going to put them all on
7	at once.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I understand that.
9	Thank you. We have time on that, too.
10	But I think we all need to know, as the
11	public does, what topics you want to discuss. And
12	it's not just a session where we sit down and talk
13	about whatever's coming up that we don't know
14	about. So, I think it's a good concern if
15	dissemination of information is great.
16	MR. GORMAN: That that will be the next
17	topic, then.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But we need to have in time
19	what specific topics that we can put out and put

25

20	on the agenda for the public to know what's coming
21	up, and we have a chance to look at it so we can
22	have our input, too.
23	Because right now, all we have is
24	Mr. Gorman's time frame reserved. So, I don't

think that's fair to the public or to the board so

1	that we can intelligently discuss or put on the
2	table if some action needs to be taken.
3	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: What you're talking about
5	now
6	MR. GORMAN: Then I put it I put it
7	let's put that as an agenda then that's my
8	agenda item, and then let's just leave that for no
9	further discussion until next board member (sic)
10	if that would be apropos.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Then that
12	MR. GORMAN: Is that apropos? That's fine.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just tell tell
14	Mr. Wuellner exactly I guess you can come up
15	with whatever title you want so that it goes on
16	the agenda so we can be prepared to discuss it
17	intelligently with everybody's input into it.
18	But I got the opinion of what you were
19	speaking about right now is more like your public

25

20	comment your committee end-of-the-meeting
21	this-is-what-I-want-to-say comment.
22	MR. GORMAN: No, this is I wanted to
23	discuss the fact that I'm concerned with
24	information flow and how best we can flow

information and keep in the parameters of the

1	sunsnine law. Now, if I should have said that
2	before this meeting, then so be it; I'll find
3	myself at fault.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think we should
5	MR. GORMAN: I didn't think we couldn't just
6	discuss it at the end of the meeting and then
7	bring it up for next meeting, or whatever.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I agree. And it's probably
9	better as an agenda, because if we're discussing
10	sunshine issues, we need our attorney's input, as
11	well, so he would have to have forewarning of what
12	the topic would be.
13	And, actually, it's probably a good idea for
14	the next meeting, because we will have had our PR
15	workshop prior to that. And that may answer or we
16	come up with ideas for what the information flow
17	might be available to us.
18	MR. GORMAN: So, you want to put that on as
19	an agenda item for the next meeting, information

- 20 flow and possibles -- the positives and negatives
- on how it can be done.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: If that's what you want to
- 23 term it. You can always --
- MR. GORMAN: Best way to do that.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- ask Mr. Wuellner to put

1	something on, but we have to disseminate what it
2	is to the public and to the board.
3	Mr. Brunson?
4	MR. BRUNSON: And, Jack, all I think we're
5	saying is, when I went over the agenda with Ed
6	today, and I got down to your items here, there
7	was no items.
8	MR. GORMAN: Well, then I'm adding this as an
9	item.
10	MR. BRUNSON: And so then
11	MR. GORMAN: Maybe I got into the discussion
12	too deep.
13	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
14	MR. GORMAN: But I I'm adding this as an
15	item.
16	MR. BRUNSON: But I think all you have to do
17	is send us a written request to be on the agenda,
18	and you'll they'll put it on the agenda.

19

MR. GORMAN: That's fine.

20	MR. GEORGE: I hate to be like the military,
21	but if you say we're going to do one thing and we
22	approve it, that's what we need to do. If you go
23	back and read the minutes of the last meeting, it
24	says Mr. Gorman will get a list to Mr. Wuellner
25	MR. GORMAN: Well, that's my one-item list

1	for right now.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, that will be on
3	as an agenda item for our next meeting.
4	MR. GEORGE: You're you're missing my
5	point.
6	MR. GORMAN: I suppose so.
7	MR. GEORGE: You are disrespectful of my
8	time, because I am trying my best to work with you
9	because you've got some great ideas. But just to
10	go over and over and over, you know, get in the
11	rhythm of things. You want something, if we
12	agree, send it in.
13	MR. GORMAN: Sir, just add that as an
14	discussion item, please, for next.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I think it will be well
16	served because of our workshop next time. We'll
17	be able to adequately discuss it and figure out.
18	Because it's part of our PR.
19	Okay. Since we know that. And if there

- are -- any board member has anything that they
- wish to add to the agenda, it would help Staff and
- would help other board members; put it in writing,
- tell the staff, this is what I'd like to discuss,
- and then it can be put on the agenda.
- MR. BURNETT: Madam Chair, may I comment?

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.
2	MR. BURNETT: And I do not mean to get in the
3	middle of this. Just just let me
4	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
5	MR. BURNETT: comment on a couple of
6	things. Mr. Gorman, according to the agenda, will
7	still get the opportunity to make some comments as
8	a part of the Authority Members comments at the
9	end of the meeting.
10	And the other thing that my general
11	comment, and just because of the subject matter
12	raised, and I know we got we've got Authority
13	Members that will be putting things in writing, my
14	only caution is, if you receive something from an
15	Authority Member, do not respond back to it.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I meant in writing to Staff
17	with it.
18	MR. BURNETT: Understand. That is the the
19	main thing and the concern that I have related to

25

20	those things, is make sure you do not respond back
21	to something if you get it in writing from another
22	Authority Member. Or at least not respond to it
23	outside of one of these meetings.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. Okay. Briefly, any

public comment? Joe?

1	10 PUBLIC COMMENTS - GENERAL
2	MR. JONES: Okay. Joe Jones, 4672 Fifth
3	Avenue. On these rates, rental rates and stuff
4	you did like just with 8, 9, and 10, and for the
5	upcoming new hangars you have coming, on your rent
6	analysis you just did with that we just paid
7	for, they were recommending 10 percent rate
8	rate or whatever you call it.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: ROI?
10	MR. JONES: Whatever your costs are to build.
11	Are you going to try to follow that? Because it
12	seems like you're way low on this 8, 9, and 10.
13	MR. WUELLNER: I think you're confusing land
14	rental with
15	MR. JONES: Well, it just said it says
16	with the price of concrete, steel nowadays, you
17	always have to figure on a 10-year period for your
18	rent base your rent. Whatever it costs you to
19	build, divide that by 10, and that should be your

20	yearly rate. And you're way below that. I'm
21	wondering, are you going to still try to keep that
22	with all of these new hangars?
23	MS. BARRERA: He's not taking in account the
24	return on investment. He's getting the rate and
25	the return on investment separately.

1	MR. JONES: That's what it says here. That's
2	what you just paid for. And that's what it said.
3	It said divide it by 10 and that's what you should
4	charge for your rent. And you're not even
5	you're a little over more than half right now on
6	this, on the 8, 9, and 10.
7	MR. WUELLNER: I think you're misreading
8	what's in the
9	MR. GEORGE: Joe, one of the things the board
10	did a year and a half, two years ago, looking at
11	all this construction, we said as a minimum, we're
12	going to go for 7 1/2 percent return on our
13	investment. As we get the construction costs and
14	everything, that 7 1/2 is a minimum.
15	You saw some of the options he put up here
16	at at 10, you know, or whatever. I think once
17	we get the construction costs, then we've got to
18	say, what's the rent going to be?
19	The rent, in my opinion, as one board member,

- is going to be at least 7 1/2 return. But I think
- we're premature in talking about it.
- MR. JONES: Well, I mean, 8, 9, and 10, you
- knew it cost over \$2 million dollars. That's a
- hard number.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.

1	MR. JONES: That's over \$2 million. Divide
2	that by what, 3 units.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's not our
4	investment.
5	MR. JONES: That's not your invest well,
6	it said in your rental thing. It doesn't matter
7	what you get on grants; grants do not count. That
8	is still part of your cost. And that's where you
9	figure it. Because grants is grants.
10	That's just like saying you won the lottery,
11	and you go build a \$500,000 house, and you decide
12	you don't like the house, you're going to sell it
13	for \$250-? No, you're still going to sell it for
14	\$500,000.
15	MR. GEORGE: Joe, the another point for
16	you: The market analysis that was done said that
17	the proposed rate for that hangar would be \$5 per
18	foot. So, it's already been adjusted
19	MR. JONES: They say that's what the going

- 20 rate is. But it said --
- MR. GEORGE: That's what the going rate is.
- MR. JONES: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: When you go out and buy a piece
- of rental property, you don't necessarily get cash
- flow to begin with. We're trying to make sure we

get cash flow. 1 MR. JONES: Right. No, I was just curious 2 3 because it said -- I know it said 10 percent of 4 whatever the construction cost is. And that's what I was wondering, if you were still going to 5 try to keep with that. 6 7 MR. GEORGE: I --MR. JONES: But that's good. 8 MR. GEORGE: -- think this board has said 7 9 10 1/2. I don't know where the 10 came from. MR. JONES: Well, it's just out of the 11 analysis that said that. 12 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 13 MR. JONES: It's in there. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. No more general 15 discussion, public comments? 16 17 Authority members? Ms. Barrera? MS. BARRERA: Did you want to go over the 18

19

housekeeping first?

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm just looking at my
- 21 thing.
- MR. GEORGE: Behind you.
- MR. WUELLNER: I think most -- we've already
- talked about most of these things.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry. It wasn't on

1	mine.
2	11 HOUSEKEEPING
3	MR. WUELLNER: The badging and T-hangar
4	waiting list update. Contacted 152 on the waiting
5	list. That represents those who have been on the
6	list more than one year at this point. Nine names
7	were removed as a result of those contacts. And
8	there are currently 167 names on that waiting list
9	for T-hangars.
10	Conventional hangars, 73 contacts made.
11	Eleven names removed as a result of those
12	contacts. And there are 62 currently on the
13	waiting list for all types of conventional
14	hangars. That ranges from basically 3,000 square
15	foot and up. That's all I have.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I'm sorry. I was
17	going by our agenda, and Housekeeping was missed
18	off of there.
19	MR. WUELLNER: It was.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But it was in the the
21	paper. All right. I'm sorry. Now, Kelly?
22	12.A MS. KELLY BARRERA
23	MS. BARRERA: I think that the PR issue is a
24	big issue for the Airport Authority. I think that
25	we need to move on that quickly. And I think that

1	Airport Authority members should be out in public
2	as much as possible. When they're approached with
3	ideas for presentations, that we should be calling
4	Ed to set up those presentations out in the
5	community.
6	As a member of the Airport Authority, we
7	we are we are Airport Authority we are
8	representatives of the airport, and we are
9	supposed we are here to serve the public. And
10	I think as much as as much as we can, we should
11	be out there doing that. That's it.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mr. Brunson?
13	12.B MR. RANDY BRUNSON
14	MR. BRUNSON: I would like to commend Reba
15	Ludlow, at the EDC breakfast that she was invited
16	to, that after Mr. Manuel's comments about the
17	airport, she stood up and addressed him of how
18	proud she was of the airport and how good a job
19	we're doing here, and that he needed to know more

20	about what he was talking about. So, I was proud
21	of that.
22	This was a hard meeting for me, because I
23	knew the T-hangar situation was coming up and how
24	strong an advocate I am of general aviation. But
25	I also meet with business leaders from the EDC

1	daily.
2	And Prosperity Bank has their plane down in
3	Smyrna. The PARC Group has theirs over here.
4	And and you hear these people saying that they
5	want these corporate hangars and we just don't
6	have the room for them. These people do a lot for
7	the economy, and they should be recognized, too.
8	They're part of the general public.
9	But other than that, I thought this was a
10	great meeting. And I see us, with Ed's
11	presentation, some good PR. I look forward to
12	this workshop and and it's late coming. We
13	need to do more of that. That's all I have.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
15	13.C MR. JACK GORMAN
16	MR. GORMAN: I think our job here, as board
17	members, is we're doing a good job. I think that
18	public relations is important. And I think that
19	Ed is is coming ramping up to great speed in

25

20	doing presentations.
21	But I think information itself, our ability
22	to inform the public about what's going on,
23	interact with their input, and then do what we
24	feel is best for the community is our job here,

and it requires more public relations and more

1	information. And we need to you know, there's
2	much you know, ramp up to that as fast as we
3	can.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Buzz?
5	12.D MR. WAYNE GEORGE
6	MR. GEORGE: I think we got a lot
7	accomplished today. And I'm looking forward to
8	the workshop.
9	One of the things that Ed brought up were the
10	number of people that are on the corporate list of
11	5500 feet and up. And Randy just eluded (sic), or
12	came out point blank and said how many corporate
13	people are here that need it.
14	I don't know if you you guys realize it,
15	but if I'm wrong, then somebody needs to inform
16	me. We don't have a corporate plan. We don't
17	have any land left, other than our area back in
18	the southern development, for corporate. And I
19	think it needs to be an agenda item, that we

20	openly discuss it.
21	We possibly have some opportunities to do
22	something with the Gun Club, and that would be
23	right over in the corporate area. There's a lot
24	of wetlands over there, so if anybody from the Gun
25	Club's listening, it's not worth much money.

1	But I think we need to have a plan. You
2	know, that's they do, not only bring revenue in
3	to us, which is good, but gosh, the contributions
4	they make to the county are outstanding. So, I'd
5	like to see that added to the agenda
6	MR. BRUNSON: Ditto.
7	MR. GEORGE: next time.
8	12.E CHAIRMAN SUZANNE GREEN
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think that's one of the
10	reasons I was looking at option 2, because of the
11	business
12	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: aspect in getting those
14	corporate hangars, because we are running out of
15	area to develop it.
16	I just briefly wanted to thank Mr. Holesko.
17	I read the article that I mentioned earlier in The
18	Times-Union, and it was nice to see somebody
19	outside of our board of course, we know we

20	believe in what we're doing and trying to help
21	with the finances and the and serve the public,
22	but it was nice to see an independent outside
23	engineer who was interviewed by the paper saying
24	that he believed in the project, too, and it's the
25	first of its kind, that we are being attentive to

1	our community needs, trying to make these
2	environmental barriers and work with the trees and
3	make a little park for the public. So, I wanted
4	to thank you for at least believing in us and what
5	direction we're taking.
6	13 NEXT MEETING DATES
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. I look forward
8	to our workshop. Remember, March 8th at 3 o'clock
9	here at the airport.
10	And our next regular meeting is March 19 at
11	4 p.m.
12	Okay. Meeting's adjourned.
13	(Meeting adjourned at 5:56 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

 $file: /\!//A|/MTG022607.txt$

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 8th day of March, 2007.
13	
14	IANIET M DEACON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG022607.txt						
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					