### 1 ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY

2	General Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, October 18, 2004
6	from 4:03 p.m. to 6:26 p.m.
7	**********
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer JOSEPH CIRIELLO
10	BOB COX JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
11	BOARD MEMBER ABSENT:
12 13	SUZANNE GREEN, CHAIRMAN
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	ALSO PRESENT:
16	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
17	
18	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
19	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.  ***********************************
20	
21	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR St. Augustine Court Reporters
22	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084
23	(904) 825-0570
24	

1	INDEX	
2	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 3	
3	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	3
4	4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS	3, 49
5	5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA	3
6	6. REPORTS:	
7 8 9	A. Mr. Bruce Maguire - County Commissioner B. Ms. Tracine Anderson - Aero Sport, Inc. C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A. E. Mr. Bjorn Ottesen - F.A.C.T.  F. Mr. Doug Burnett - Authority Attorney  5	
10	G. Mr. David Knight - ATCT Tower 8	
11	7. PROJECT UPDATES 9	
12	8. ACTION ITEMS:	
13	A. Resolutions: 2004-04 - Wagstaff 34	
14	2004-05 - Gardner 34 2004-06 - Safety Area Runway 31 38	
15	2004-00 - Safety Area Ruffway 31 38 2004-07 - Aircraft Parking Apron 42 2004-08 - Seaplane Improvements 43	
16	B. Lease Approval - PGA Tour Golf Course C. MPO Business 51	
17	FDOT JPA Dues	
18	D. Pavement Resurfacing Project 79 E. Operational Audit Effort 102	
19	_	
20	9. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:	
21	A. Mr. Joseph Ciriello  B. Mr. Bob Cox  C. Mr. John Gorman ("Jack")  123  123	
22	D. Mr. Wayne George, Secretary/Treasurer 124	
23	E. Ms. Suzanne Green, Chairman Absent F. Next Meeting 140	

### 25 11. ADJOURNMENT

147

## AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. COX: You're the most senior member.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Good afternoon, ladies and
4	gentlemen. The Airport Authority will convene.
5	We raise pledge allegiance to the flag.
6	2 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
7	MR. CIRIELLO: We raise pledge allegiance
8	to the flag.
9	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you. Okay. On the
11	agenda, we have approval of minutes.
12	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
13	MR. WUELLNER: Catching up with you here.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Any comments from the board on
15	the minutes, corrections or additions?
16	(No corrections or additions.)
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Hearing none, we'll have the
18	minutes approved.
19	4 FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Next, we move the financial
21	report, and the financial man is going to be a

22	little late. We'll just pass over that and when
23	he comes in, we'll get his report.
24	5 MEETING AGENDA APPROVAL
25	MR. CIRIELLO: Next, meeting agenda. Anybody
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	4
	·
1	have anything for the meeting agenda?
2	(No comments.)
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Hearing none, we'll go
4	to the reports.
5	6.A COUNTY COMMISSIONER
6	MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Maguire? County
7	Commissioners?
8	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Yes, sir. No report
9	today.
10	6.B AERO SPORT
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Slingluff or
12	somebody from Aero Sport?
13	MS. ANDERSON: Nothing other than the new air
14	stairs look great. Thank you, Ed.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
16	6.C NORTHROP GRUMMAN
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Leslie from Grumman?
18	(Grumman Representative Absent.)
19	6.D SAAPA
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Roddy?

- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Make sure it's on. 22 MR. RODERICK: Can you hear me? 23 MR. WUELLNER: No. It's not just to hear; 24 it's recording. MR. RODERICK: We must go through this. I 25 AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 5 1 have two things. Number one, everybody's 2 extremely pleased that the restaurant is open and 3 operating. And part of the success is good work 4 by the staff to make sure parking is there. 5 Part of it's through I know planning, as we 6 get more spaces, and the other part is through 7 effective policing. Whoever's doing it, it's
- 8 working and we appreciate it. Thank you. 9 MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, Mr. Roderick. 10 6.E. - FACT 11 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Ottesen? 12 MR. RODERICK: He's flying. 13 6.F. - AIRPORT ATTORNEY 14 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Burnett? 15 MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir. A couple of things. 16 First, in the Earth Tech litigation, Brian 17 Thompson's deposition has not been taken yet. The

attorney on the other side wound up having a

19	conflict. He had a trial roll over that was
20	originally scheduled for one week, and it got
21	scheduled for another week. That conflict takes
22	precedent over the deposition. So, we look
23	forward to getting his deposition rescheduled
24	within the next within the next month.
25	Additionally, we're still moving forward with

6

1	the case management conference. That will occur
2	in the next month as well. Or, at least I
3	anticipate that it will occur in the next month.
4	A case management conference, as we discussed
5	before, is an opportunity to get this case before
6	the Judge so that the Judge can review the
7	discovery in the case and the parties can discuss
8	the matters that need to be conducted prior to
9	trial and the Judge can ultimately enter his
10	standard pretrial order setting a trial date and
11	setting a pretrial date. And, of course, that
12	pretrial order requires discovery cutoff so many
13	days before the pretrial hearing. It requires the
14	parties to disclose witnesses and do various other
15	things in anticipation of trial. So, we're still
16	moving forward with that case management

17

conference.

18	The other thing I guess that that
19	that's all I have at this particular time related
20	to Earth Tech.
21	The other thing, we have been working with
22	your staff, and I know one of the things that's up
23	as an update is the fuel farm lease. We've been
24	working to get a fuel farm lease drafted.
25	One of the things, so so that you know
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	7
	7
1	that I did with the lease, other than my standard
2	drafting to the lease, was I had Richard Maguire
3	in our office, who is a very experienced attorney
4	and his practice primarily relates to
5	environmental law, I had Rich look over the
6	contract and review it, and he made some
7	substantial changes and beefed up the language
8	related to hazardous substances so that the
9	airport will be further protected related to the
10	leasing of that property for the fuel farm.
11	That's all I have to report at this time.
12	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I'd like to ask you a
13	question. When will we get a draft of this lease
14	farm thing so we can look it over?
15	MR. BURNETT: I know Ed

16 MR. CIRIELLO: I would like to look at it and 17 have a chance to look at it before it's presented 18 at a meeting. 19 MR. WUELLNER: We would ordinarily just 20 include it with an agenda package at -- at the 21 appropriate time. But if you want a draft prior 22 to that, you can certainly get it. 23 MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm getting at. 24 I'd like it a little earlier. 25 MR. WUELLNER: It's not --AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 8 1 MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I mean, the other board 2 members might want one, too. 3 MR. WUELLNER: It's certainly not in a final 4 form yet. 5 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I understand that. 6 Okay. 7 MR. BURNETT: I'll make a note of that. I'm 8 not sure if --9 MR. WUELLNER: We've got some here. 10 MR. BURNETT: Okay. 11 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Burnett. 12 6.G. - ATCT MANAGER

MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Knight, the control tower,

13

14

is he here?

15	MR. WUELLNER: He informed us he would not be
16	here today. He is on station this afternoon, as I
17	understand it. He did provide the traffic count
18	information that's up there.
19	This is a different slide that was in your
20	package than what was in your package. It this
21	one's been updated. You can tell the month of
22	September was significantly below. You lost
23	approximately 3,000 operations I think it was
24	2,700 some-odd operations from the year-end, the
25	year total, as a result of being very low in
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	9
1	September due to all the weather, inclement
2	weather, really dropped off general aviation
3	flying during the month of September.
4	So, you're still 17 on a whole, you're
5	17,600-plus operations ahead of the same period
6	last year. But the prior month, if you recall, it
7	was a little over 20,000.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
9	MR. WUELLNER: That's it for his report.

MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, I guess that moves

us up to project updates. And that gets

Mr. Wuellner on board.

10

11

13	7 PROJECT UPDATES
14	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. A few slides updated
15	from the package as additional information has
16	gotten in to us, but primarily projects today
17	we'll look at are airport maintenance facility;
18	Taxiway Bravo; land acquisition; terminal parking
19	lot; the south development area; apron design,
20	which is a new project to show up on the project
21	list; home demolition update; hangar steel rehab
22	project; marketing and public relations; the
23	airport leasing activities; and the Airport Master
24	Plan.
25	Airport maintenance facility is well on its
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004  10
1	
1 2	10
	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven
2	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view
2	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view of it. Currently anticipating this project to
2 3 4	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view of it. Currently anticipating this project to be have it CO sometime in November and be able
2 3 4 5	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view of it. Currently anticipating this project to be have it CO sometime in November and be able to be occupied.
2 3 4 5 6	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view of it. Currently anticipating this project to be have it CO sometime in November and be able to be occupied.  Paving of the parking lot should occur this
2 3 4 5 6 7	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view of it. Currently anticipating this project to be have it CO sometime in November and be able to be occupied.  Paving of the parking lot should occur this week still. The office section, the little office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	way here. As you probably know, if you've driven down Estrella or even Araquay, you get a good view of it. Currently anticipating this project to be have it CO sometime in November and be able to be occupied.  Paving of the parking lot should occur this week still. The office section, the little office section is pretty well totally complete at this

12	the project.
13	The last thing they'll do is the exterior
14	coating on it, as to allow as much curing time on
15	the stucco before they apply the coating on it.
16	But it's it's getting close.
17	Next project I have for you is Taxiway Bravo.
18	We have the sign structures. They're in place.
19	They're pouring the last of the pads. They're
20	Olson Electric showed up this morning, finished
21	pouring the pads and sealing around the individual
22	light fixtures.
23	The sign panels are due this week. The
24	legend panels we've got the main sign
25	structures, but the panels were shipped

11

1	separately. They're due to be shipped or due
2	to be in on the 21st of this month. And they'll
3	get the balance of that installation will be at
4	a hundred percent on that job.
5	And I think I've mentioned in several reports
6	prior, but all pavement areas as they stand right
7	now are open and usable, other than they don't
8	have all the signage that is is planned for

9

them.

10	Land acquisition, Araquay Park, as we've told
11	you before, the offers have been communicated,
12	based on direction from from the Authority.
13	The three-week letter has either been sent or will
14	be out here in the next day or so. They were
15	awaiting final receipt of the appraisal reports as
16	a as a condition of that that letter. And
17	at this point, we're otherwise waiting the time
18	expiration that was allotted in the original
19	resolution, which included that three-week letter
20	time line.
21	That should expire sometime the end of I
22	think it's the end of this month or first week of
23	November. The actual time line expiration, I
24	don't have that date off the top of my head, but
25	it's it's going to creep up pretty quickly.

12

1	Do you know it off the top of your head, Doug?
2	MR. BURNETT: No, I don't, but I just wanted
3	to comment that you may recall that this is that
4	additional three-week and this is more for the
5	folks in the audience so that everyone
6	understands.
7	This isn't a three-week requirement by the

Florida Statutes. This is -- or -- or by case

9	law. This is a requirement that the Authority put
10	in place so that the homeowners or property owners
11	get additional notification of the process.
12	MR. WUELLNER: And at this point, it's my
13	understanding of the time line that sometime in
14	the first or second week of November, that the
15	actual action would be filed with the Court which
16	would start the time line relative to getting a
17	calendar date with the Court for the actual
18	determination of eminent domain.
19	It's not not the final action related to
20	the to the notice or perhaps Doug can
21	explain it better than I. But basically, the
22	first action that occurs is to certify that it is
23	eligible for eminent domain.
24	It's not a certification of what values or
25	what individual owners. All of that has its own

- 1 time line and process line. But it's just
- 2 basically a judge affirming that we can use
- 3 eminent domain to acquire. Is that -- is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 MR. BURNETT: That's correct. The order of
- 6 taking would come later. And most likely, from

7	what we understand, this case is going to be
8	before Judge Mathis, with him having taken over
9	the civil bench, and Judge Traynor having gone to
10	the criminal bench. So, most likely, it's going
11	to be before Judge Robert Mathis.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Next project is the terminal
13	parking lot. And we've got an item on the agenda
14	relative to decision on the seal coat that we've
15	kind of been kicking around for a while, and the
16	engineer's been doing some additional work for us
17	on, doing the to help with the decision
18	process. That's a later agenda item, so we'll
19	talk about that in a few minutes.
20	And we're preparing the last of or the
21	small areas in the terminal parking area to do the
22	actual paving where they do the lime rock and
23	actual paving for the newer areas, which expands
24	that area in the employee parking, as well as
25	the what was being referred to as the the

- 1 newest piece of the old Grumman parking lot where
- 2 the old trailer sat, getting that rehab work done.
- 3 Our guys have begun removal of the curb areas
- 4 and will be removing the few small landscape areas
- 5 that were to be removed to expand some additional

6	parking spots there. So, that work's ongoing.
7	And at this point, we would anticipate
8	sometime our guys will be done by no later than
9	mid mid-November, and we expect the seal
10	coating to be accomplished by mid-December, which
11	should wrap up the project by the first of the
12	year.
13	Did you have a question on that specific,
14	anybody?
15	MR. GORMAN: No, but I've got some questions.
16	I need to backtrack a bit later on. I've got a
17	question for Mr. Burnett later and two questions
18	for you I need to
19	MR. WUELLNER: Do you want to do them as
20	we
21	MR. GORMAN: Go ahead. I mean, that's all
22	right.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. South apron development
24	area, just give you a heads-up of the preliminary
25	work that is just just now beginning to get

- started, is looking at taking the Airport Master
- 2 Plan layout from your selected alternative to
- 3 begin the development of the layout sketches for

4	new apron area down there.
5	The first things they do is the geotechnical
6	work, which involves some core borings and the
7	like, to determine the type of soil and the the
8	existing conditions and what all would have to be
9	done in order to design the pavement properly.
10	The next piece they have to do is survey, is
11	to get the geo the, excuse me, the
12	topographical-type survey work done now that puts
13	it out in a grid formation and identifies
14	everything out there, including trees, including,
15	you know, to try and get a little to allow a
16	sketch or a layout that ultimately considers all
17	of the features of the area.
18	MR. GORMAN: When we're doing this as a
19	side bar here, when we're doing this, when we're
20	doing the geotechnical work and we're doing the
21	initial survey development, I keep hearing about
22	the condition of the apron that exists now and its
23	unsuitability for heavy jets. Can that some
24	type of repair be tied into that whole thing?

MR. WUELLNER: On the -- that is not this

16

1 project.

25

2 MR. GORMAN: No, it would be a separate

3 project --4 MR. WUELLNER: Be a separate project --5 MR. GORMAN: -- but, I mean, I understand 6 when you've got -- I mean, can that be tied in, 7 either funding-wise or geotechnical work-wise, or 8 whatever, just to try to get this thing done? 9 I keep hearing that you can't put a heavy jet 10 on the existing apron; there are spots that we --11 the oils are going to punch right through. That 12 seems to be a real fatal flaw in an airport. 13 MR. WUELLNER: Well --14 MR. GORMAN: So, I'm just curious as to you 15 could tie these things in so --16 MR. WUELLNER: I guess it depends on how 17 we --18 MR. GORMAN: You know. 19 MR. WUELLNER: -- define "heavy jet" for 20 purposes of the definition. But, no, the 21 airline-size aircraft are indeed limited to the

22

23

24

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

new hardstand that was constructed down there.

flying in and out of here has apron access and can

The -- virtually everything else that's been

use the existing apron area over there.

- 1 MR. GORMAN: Can I --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: I need to ask Tracine that,
- 4 then. Can we get some information to Ed as
- 5 about -- about the situations that you've come
- 6 across in your business with unsuitability --
- 7 MS. ANDERSON: We had some problems up at the
- 8 entrance, at the south entrance, but those have
- 9 been taken care of, hopefully. Looks like they've
- been doing good with that. And I think we're
- already on schedule, aren't we, Ed, to have a
- repaying done?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Well, yeah. The seal
- coating is -- is designed -- we'll talk about the
- project, but it's designed to include all that.
- MR. GORMAN: If you are having this
- 17 conversation, then I'm fine.
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah.
- MS. ANDERSON: I mean, that's been -- yeah,
- 20 that's been in the budget prior, but --
- 21 (Whereupon, Mr. George enters the room.)
- MR. WUELLNER: I think the only --
- MS. ANDERSON: -- hardstand addressed the
- issue of it not being used.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think the only

- 1 additional construction we want to try and get
- done pretty quick is the improvements to that
- 3 throat on what would be the south end of the apron
- 4 so that that -- it's more a geometry issue than a
- 5 weight issue there.
- 6 MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. And it's better than it
- 7 was.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: It's getting there. It's
- 9 piecing and parting it.
- MS. ANDERSON: The sequel's --
- MR. WUELLNER: So, anyway, that's -- that's
- where that is. It's not gone to full-scale
- engineering yet. They're just doing that, and
- 14 you're probably still at least one to two months
- from being in full-scale engineering here,
- anticipating the federal grant. But it at least
- gets it off dead center with them.
- Okay. Next item is the home demolition
- 19 update. We're -- third batch of homes is out --
- or will be out there shortly being offered to
- 21 the -- to the public.
- You -- you recall last meeting, you allowed
- six individual owners to purchase homes or units
- in there. Some are -- some are homes; some are
- 25 mobile homes. Looks like there'll be about -- or

- 1 up to about 14 units available in that -- that
- 2 next batch of -- that will be made available to
- 3 the public, or at least for them, their ability to
- 4 bid those. Yeah.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: Got a question. Each one of
- 6 these, when one of these owners then actually
- 7 purchases these, do we -- we do save the amount of
- 8 the demolition cost on each one of these.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Yeah.
- 10 MR. GORMAN: All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: A part of the --
- MR. GORMAN: We've sold six so far.
- MR. WUELLNER: We've sold six. That's --
- that is correct.
- MR. GORMAN: That's fine. I missed that
- meeting. I'm sorry.
- MR. WUELLNER: That is correct.
- MR. GORMAN: I just wanted to point that out.
- MR. WUELLNER: We had no interest in the
- first batch. The second batch got six. And then
- we'll see what happens out of the third batch.
- MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
- MR. WUELLNER: Otherwise, those that aren't
- caught up or what -- or no interest is expressed

I	solicitation of contracts to go ahead and get the
2	demolition done.
3	We're we're still awaiting the final
4	determination with the State and the County
5	relative to how many homes is that, six? I
6	want to say it's six homes back back there,
7	that had by virtue of their age, had to go through
8	the process with the County and the State for
9	review of historical significance.
10	The report was submitted to the State based
11	on just hurricane backup and the like. We're not
12	expecting the results of that definitively till
13	towards the end of this month. They are some
14	of those have been awarded for removal. There's
15	no real requirement to do anything with them.
16	They just have to go through the documentation
17	part of it.
18	And we are in the process I think we've
19	done all of them or
20	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Yes.
21	MR. WUELLNER: I think they're all now all
22	of the six that were awarded last month are now
23	under contract for removal. So, we've we've

- 24 made it that far and accepted the, what do you
- call it, the deposits, guaranteeing at this point

- 1 that they'll move the home.
- 2 Hangar structure rehab. At this point, I was
- 3 told earlier today that we're -- we'd be closer to
- 4 90, 95 percent as of today. I'm going to stick to
- 5 85 percent because I did not follow up on that
- 6 this afternoon. But basically all the heavy steel
- 7 should be completed as of today on the original
- 8 Phase II structure that's out there.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: And again, a quick one. When
- will this board start a dialogue about the
- replacement of the very old T-hangars? That got
- quite poignant when we had the hurricanes and you
- could see the entire structures wobbling back and
- 14 forth.
- MR. WUELLNER: Are we talking about
- port-a-ports or --
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah, port-a-ports.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- or the like A, B, C
- 19 buildings?
- MR. GORMAN: Port-a-ports. I just wanted
- 21 to --

- MR. WUELLNER: Port-a-ports -
  MR. GORMAN: -- try to start a discussion as

  to the replacement.

  MR. WUELLNER: I think the appropriate time

  AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING October 18, 2004
- 1 is as we move into construction on the apron 2 project. You're -- now you have an access point 3 for those buildings. Unless you're going to place 4 them in the same location. You know, if you're 5 just going to replace the -- the units, then --6 then we'll have some grants. I think they're 7 programmed ---8 MR. GORMAN: So, in your own mind, that will 9 be shortly --10 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It'll really be your 11 call at some point in the future. You're not --12 not too awful long as to whether you look to

replace those as a first phase or build new

capacity and replace those later, whichever --

replace those port-a-ports, physically, are -- are

the smaller T-hangars that we build for like the

Skyhawks and stuff, would they be the same size or

would they take more room and cause us problems?

MR. WUELLNER: Actually, the way that lays

MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, Ed. If you're going to

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21	out, that when they when they built the
22	port-a-ports out there, they applied a nonstandard
23	dimension in terms of separation of the buildings
24	for taxiway. So, as a result, we can go in there.
25	You would effectively demolish, remove,
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	23
1	whatever you want to call it, the three rows of
2	port-a-ports, or what's left of the three rows of
3	port-a-ports, reconfigure that, and place two rows
4	of of standard T-hangars in there, much like we
5	did at K, L, and M, I would suspect in terms of
6	type.
7	The capacity net loss at the time was about
8	one unit. I think now you'll probably still be
9	plus one over what's left of the port-a-ports.

11 even, if not a net gain, by doing it that way.

12 You just -- you cut a row of taxi lane out of

13 there, but just keep the same -
14 MR. CIRIELLO: So replacing them, it's a

15 minimal effort to do it.

16 MR. WUELLNER: Relatively. I mean, it's not

17 a minimal effort, but I mean, it --

So, you know, unit for unit, it's -- it's at least

10

18

file: ///S | Users/ckh/Shared Docs/Admin/Board % 20 Mtg % 20 Info/Minutes/2004 % 20 Minutes/Board % 20 Meeting % 20101804.txt [11/16/2010 2:15:10 PM]

MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, you don't have

to do a whole lot of extra engineering and -
MR. WUELLNER: Well, you -- you cannot reuse
any of the pavement areas that feed that, because
they won't be in the right place -
MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: -- in order to go to two -
MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

24

1 MR. WUELLNER: But it's all graded, level, 2 utilities are there. That kind of stuff is all --3 all in place. 4 MR. CIRIELLO: All right. 5 MR. WUELLNER: I guess depends on how you 6 define "minimal." 7 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. 8 MR. WUELLNER: We circulated via e-mail 9 the -- the letter that was requested at the second 10 budget hearing to be placed in The Record. I've 11 gotten everybody that, I guess, that wanted to 12 respond's -- comments are -- are in my hands now, 13 and I think I -- I'm not sure they made it out 14 here, but I've got copies that I'd be happy to --15 maybe somebody run back to my desk and see if we 16 can't -- there's about five or six of those

editorial things all paper-clipped together.

18 We'll circulate those among the board. 19 But what I would consider the final draft 20 version that includes everybody's comments that I 21 got is -- is basically ready. If you're ready, 22 we'll get it out to The Record and hopefully get 23 it published. Otherwise, there's nothing going on 24 at this moment on marketing and public relations. 25 MR. GEORGE: Second floor terminal building?

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

25

1 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 2 MR. GEORGE: That's rented? 3 MR. WUELLNER: It -- well, we -- we have not 4 presented leases to you at -- at this point. 5 MR. GEORGE: All right. 6 MR. WUELLNER: It's still five months from 7 being finished from construction time. 8 We do have an agenda item relative to PGA and 9 their relocation from their facilities on this 10 side of the field to what was the old SK Logistics 11 building, old corporate hangar number 4. And I'll 12 walk through that as a specific item. 13 And Doug mentioned the fuel farm lease that 14 we're working, continuing to work with Aero Sport

15

on. Thanks.

16	And Airport Master Plan update. Who is
17	handling that today?
18	MS. FANTINATO: Good afternoon. My name is
19	Tricia Fantinato. I have been working with Gloria
20	Loungeway and Phil Jufko on this project.
21	Basically, we are on schedule. After the
22	decision of the preferred alternative, we are now
23	in the implementation plan, which is basically
24	we'll be going over the draft ALP, as well as the
25	phasing and the capital improvement programs. So,
1	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	26
1	that's pretty much where we are. Any questions?
2	Yes.
2	Yes.  MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the
3	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the
3	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that
3 4 5	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that we can receive see some of your the results
3 4 5 6	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that we can receive see some of your the results of your efforts?
<ul><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that we can receive see some of your the results of your efforts?  MS. FANTINATO: Well, actually, we're meeting
3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the  next date for a presentation to the board so that  we can receive see some of your the results  of your efforts?  MS. FANTINATO: Well, actually, we're meeting  with Mr. Wuellner tomorrow to go over the draft
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that we can receive see some of your the results of your efforts?  MS. FANTINATO: Well, actually, we're meeting with Mr. Wuellner tomorrow to go over the draft ALP and the phasing, and from there, then we'll
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that we can receive see some of your the results of your efforts?  MS. FANTINATO: Well, actually, we're meeting with Mr. Wuellner tomorrow to go over the draft ALP and the phasing, and from there, then we'll know exactly when we'll be ready to show something
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GEORGE: Question: What's what's the next date for a presentation to the board so that we can receive see some of your the results of your efforts?  MS. FANTINATO: Well, actually, we're meeting with Mr. Wuellner tomorrow to go over the draft ALP and the phasing, and from there, then we'll know exactly when we'll be ready to show something to the board.

15 MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say assuming 16 that's in pretty good shape, I would expect at the 17 November meeting would be a pretty detailed up 18 to -- bringing everybody up to speed, including a 19 review of the plans that are developed so far, the 20 drawing plans. 21 MR. GEORGE: Okay. We have a public comment 22 on this, and so rather than getting to the end, 23 I'll go ahead and open it up for public comment. 24 MR. WUELLNER: Thanks, Vic. 25 MR. MARTINELLI: Just a quick question. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 27 1 MR. WUELLNER: We can bring the mic to you. 2 MR. MARTINELLI: Quick question: Will the 3 public have an opportunity to review the ALP 4 before it's --5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 6 MR. MARTINELLI: -- finally finalized? 7 MR. WUELLNER: It -- it won't be finalized 8 for the next meeting. It will still be available 9 for comments and --10 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.

file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2004%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20101804.txt[11/16/2010 2:15:10 PM]

MR. WUELLNER: -- it'll presented formally to

11

12

the board at that time.

13 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. And -- and will we --14 we -- I'm thinking of the Pilots Association. 15 Will we get a copy of that before the meeting 16 or --17 MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure we can. I don't 18 think they're that far away. I was --19 MS. FANTINATO: No. 20 MR. WUELLNER: -- kind of tentatively 21 promised we'd, by the end of the month, be very 22 close to those drawings. 23 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. 24 MR. WUELLNER: And I think -- so, we should 25 be able to hit -- if nobody has an objection on AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 28 1 the board, we should be able to hit the draft, 2 anyway, for the next Pilots Association meeting. 3 It's still before you guys see it, but... 4 MR. GEORGE: Well, we need to have a draft. 5 MR. WUELLNER: If you don't care about the 6 order, you know, it -- it would be the same 7 drawing at that point. 8 MR. GEORGE: We need to have the -- you know, 9 the presentation at this meeting for the public 10 and as well as --

MR. WUELLNER: Anyway.

- MR. GEORGE: -- the Pilots Association, so...
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Okay. We'll try to hit
- 14 that next --
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. We appreciate it.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- Pilots Association meeting
- in November --
- MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- and then do this, hit the
- 20 Authority meeting in November, also.
- MR. GEORGE: Do you think it's appropriate to
- have a workshop on it? That might not be the
- right terminology.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't know. I think just an
- expanded agenda item at this point is probably

- 1 appropriate. If it looks like there's going to be
- 2 a whole lot to deal with --
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: -- we'll get it scheduled.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: That's good.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I assume we're ready to
- 7 move into --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Well, now I think we need to
- 9 open up your entire project review --

10 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 11 MR. GEORGE: -- to, you know, any public 12 comments or... 13 (No public comments.) 14 MR. GEORGE: Hearing none, any board 15 comments? 16 MR. GORMAN: As -- as far as the entire 17 10-year plan, master project? 18 MR. WUELLNER: That or --19 MR. GEORGE: Well, everything. 20 MR. WUELLNER: -- project updates? 21 MR. GEORGE: We just got through going 22 through his project updates. And so, there were a 23 lot of them. 24 MR. GORMAN: The Master Plan, I have a 25 comment about that.

### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

30

1 MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. Go ahead. We covered
2 it.
3 MR. GORMAN: This board seems to be of a mind
4 to retain the 125-plus acres to the west of this
5 area to actually address any overcapacity issues
6 in the future. And that may be a salve for the
7 FAA in some manner, but Mr. Cox brought up

8

connectivity issues.

9	And the reality, bottom line is, if you do
10	retain that acreage and you do actually use it for
11	overcapacity, the only way you'd ever it'd be
12	practical to be able to use it is to build another
13	airport. That would be that would be actually
14	bisected by a railroad and a major highway. And
15	to me, that's relatively nonsensical.
16	I mean, I know that 312, that you can you
17	can sandwich in. They did a great job, the LPA
18	Group, of using what they were given, sandwiching
19	in a runway between 312 and U.S. 1. But I've been
20	told by the tower chief that that would be that
21	would require an extra tower.
22	I just don't know if that's a realistic way
23	to address a 10-year overcapacity issue or if it
24	wouldn't be better to actually take that land,
25	barter that land to another agency, another

- 1 municipal group, in other words, and think about a
- 2 different site.
- 3 And I know FAA doesn't want site selection
- 4 now, I understand that, but it's not a real
- 5 practical thing to do, actually retain all that
- 6 land and think in those terms, continue to rent

7	those houses.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Well, with with regard to
9	the
10	MR. GORMAN: That's my comment.
11	MR. WUELLNER: to the air traffic control
12	element of it, which is a significant thing
13	MR. GORMAN: Sure.
14	MR. WUELLNER: you are talking about, you
15	know, a 20-plus year time line to developing any
16	facilities west of there, at which point you're
17	already at the, you know, exceeding 20-plus years
18	on the life of the tower.
19	Assuming the numbers develop to the point
20	where they support that, a quote, unquote, second
21	tower location is actually going to be a function
22	of the federal government at that point, because
23	at that activity level, it would be a Level II
24	tower instead of a Level I tower, and it would be
25	FAA's tower

32

MR. GORMAN: But you're still retaining - MR. WUELLNER: -- for development.
 MR. GORMAN: You're still retaining lands and
 retaining rental houses --

MR. WUELLNER: Well, there -- there are only

- 6 a few there.
- 7 MR. GORMAN: -- with the intention of using
- 8 that property for overcapacity issues. And is
- 9 that, in a visionary point of view, a good idea?
- 10 That's my only comment. I just --
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Well --
- MR. GEORGE: I have a comment on your
- comment.
- MR. GORMAN: Sure.
- MR. GEORGE: We've meeting'd this thing to
- 16 death --
- 17 MR. GORMAN: I know we have.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: -- okay?
- MR. GORMAN: That's what it is.
- MR. GEORGE: And to go back and address the
- same issue that was as of the last Master Plan,
- 22 how much longer are we going to readdress it, you
- know? If you have an objection to it, then at
- 24 this next review, please bring it up and bring it
- 25 up strongly. But that thing needs --

- 1 MR. GORMAN: I -- I missed the meeting. I
- 2 apologize.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: -- that horse needs to be put

- 4 back in the barn, you know?
- 5 MR. GORMAN: I apologize to the board. I did
- 6 miss that meeting when I could have addressed the
- 7 issue then. I just wanted to make the comment --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: -- and we will probably
- 10 readdress it --
- 11 MR. GEORGE: Yeah, raise it again.
- MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
- MR. GEORGE: That's --
- MR. GORMAN: I'm finished my comment.
- MR. GEORGE: You know, that's a review and
- 16 everything. You know, because bringing it up as a
- 17 comment now, it's going to go in one ear and out
- everybody's. But bring it up at the next meeting,
- because nobody liked the idea of moving U.S. 1 and
- 20 the railroad, and nobody liked the idea of putting
- a bridge over it. So then we said, "Okay, we're
- going to go on the other side of it." So now --
- MR. GORMAN: It's been hashed to death.
- MR. GEORGE: -- nobody likes that one either,
- so maybe we need to go back to Mr. Ciriello's idea

- of putting an airport somewhere else, but -- but
- we need to get this put to bed, you know, now.

3	So, any other comments?
4	(No further comments.)
5	8.A RESOLUTION 2004-04 - WAGSTAFF
6	RESOLUTION 2004-05 - GARDNER
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Let's go on with the rest
8	of the meeting, the resolutions to consider.
9	Before we do that, I apologize to the group for
10	being late. Now, Ed.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We have five
12	resolutions for consideration today. The first
13	resolution is 2004-04. I think we've provided you
14	with copies of that, or we tried to, in advance.
15	Basically, it's a resolution that recognizes the
16	life and accomplishments of St. Augustine resident
17	Patty Wagstaff.
18	I think most of you are aware that over the
19	summer months, she was inducted among her many
20	other honors, but she was inducted into the
21	National Aviation Hall of Fame for her efforts in
22	the area of aerobatic flying.
23	And this resolution just attempts to
24	recognize those accomplishments and to provide her
25	with a kind of a permanent recognition by the

- 1 airport and the Airport Authority and the airport
- 2 community for her contributions to aviation in
- general, likewise with -- other than the topic,
- 4 but the next resolution also.
- 5 You -- you have a copy of that resolution.
- 6 If you'd like, I would be happy to read it into
- 7 the record, if you prefer; otherwise, we can do it
- 8 just simply by reference.
- 9 If you have editorial changes or problems
- with what's said, this would be the time to get
- those -- get those corrected so that hopefully
- some action relative to these resolutions --
- MR. GEORGE: I don't think we need to -- to
- read, you know, both of them. I would like to get
- public comment on the top two before we go into
- the nonpersonal.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.
- MR. GEORGE: Any public comment on -- on the
- Bill Gardner, you know, or Patty Wagstaff
- 20 resolution?
- MR. MARTINELLI: I just have one. Just
- within the past --
- MR. GEORGE: Welcome back, by the way.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you very much.
- MR. GEORGE: We've missed your comments.

1 MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. 2 Within the past couple of weeks, I heard or 3 saw somewhere or read somewhere in the news that 4 Patty Wagstaff is now one of the 100 all-time 5 heroes of aviation. And I don't know how you 6 would substantiate that, but I know I heard it 7 from a very good source, public source. So, you 8 might want to consider that as also part of this. 9 Just a thought. 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any other comments? 11 (No further public comment.) 12 MR. GEORGE: Board comments? MR. CIRIELLO: None other than I think the 13 14 two resolutions drawn up are excellent. The 15 language and the intent I think are very nice. 16 MR. GEORGE: I have a comment to make, which 17 you would expect me to. I think that the whole 18 idea of giving a resolution for, you know, 19 recognizing accomplishments could not be better 20 exemplified than to these two individuals. 21 They have done a lot for the community to 22 draw attention to us, and it's all positive and 23 favorable. And in the case of Mr. Gardner, you 24 know, a lot of activity for our troops overseas.

And I think that it's very appropriate for both of

1 them. So, I'll entertain a motion. 2 MR. COX: To pass the top two? 3 MR. GEORGE: Yes. 4 MR. COX: Motion to --5 MR. GEORGE: We have a motion to pass the top 6 two. 7 MR. CIRIELLO: Second. 8 MR. GEORGE: I have a second. Any other 9 discussion? 10 MR. WUELLNER: Or the -- yeah. Before the --11 for the record, it's 2004-04 --12 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 13 MR. WUELLNER: -- and 2004-05. 14 MR. GEORGE: Yes. We have a motion to 15 pass --16 MR. COX: Accept the resolution. 17 MR. GEORGE: -- 2004-04 for Patty Wagstaff, 18 and 2004-05 for Mr. Bill Gardner. And I have a second. 19 20 Do I have any other discussion? 21 (No further discussion.) 22 MR. GEORGE: All in favor, aye? 23 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

24

MR. GEORGE: Aye.

1	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
2	MR. GEORGE: All opposed?
3	(No opposition.)
4	MR. GEORGE: Ayes have it, so those two are
5	unanimously approved.
6	MR. WUELLNER: And we'll at some point
7	when we get you the originals, they're structured
8	so that all members of the board would sign those.
9	MR. GEORGE: Good. Okay.
10	RESOLUTION 2004-06 - SAFETY AREA RUNWAY 31
11	MR. WUELLNER: The next three resolutions
12	pertain to Joint Participation Agreements with the
13	State of Florida, Florida DOT, for various
14	projects that have been programmed, most cases, I
15	believe these for many, many years.
16	First one, 2004-06, it's the standard form
17	resolution for DOT, and it pertains to the State's
18	commitment of 5 percent funds to be matched with
19	the Authority's 5 percent and the federal
20	government's 90 percent funds relative to safety
21	area improvements for the airport.
22	Now, that's pretty pretty broadly and
23	generically set out there to to deal with the

- 24 runway 31 approach area in general for erosion --
- it's everything from erosion control.

1	It's not a it's simply their agreement to
2	participate, because it fell in this fiscal year.
3	It's not a project that's currently budgeted and
4	currently moving forward because it would
5	require any aspect of this requires approval of
6	environmental assessment, and that work has yet to
7	even begin. So, it's still
8	MR. GEORGE: So, we, the board, will have a
9	chance to look at
10	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, multiple.
11	MR. GEORGE: these projects numerous
12	times. Okay.
13	MR. WUELLNER: You're going to be sick of
14	this project you're going to be sick of this
15	before
16	MR. GEORGE: This is just setting the
17	groundwork?
18	MR. WUELLNER: All this does is take the
19	State's encumber the State's money to the
20	project some day. If you don't take it now, you
21	may not get it again. That's kind of the

- 22 scenario. 23 You can -- we can extend the life or the 24 length of the agreements with the State so that we 25 have more time to spend the money, but this is the AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 40 1 year it's available. 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 3 MR. CIRIELLO: Ed? 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. 5 MR. CIRIELLO: Specifically, could you give a 6 definition of this safety area? I had a question 7 posed to me that the words "safety area" in there
  - 8 would make one think that the airport was unsafe. 9 And -- you know, and I -- and I -- I didn't have 10 an answer exactly how to explain that the safety 11 area has nothing to do with the safety of, you 12 know, the runways and taxiways --13 MR. WUELLNER: Right. 14 MR. CIRIELLO: -- and stuff. 15 MR. WUELLNER: It -- it's a specific 16 dimensional criteria that FAA applies to every 17 runway. And in -- and in the case of runway 18 13/31, the long runway here, because of its nature 19 and type of aircraft it can handle as 20 capabilities, it has a safety area that is 500

21	feet wide, centered on the runway, so using the
22	center line. So, it's 250 each side. And it
23	extends all the whole length of the runway out
24	1,000 feet beyond each end of the runway.
25	So, you have a 500 by, in this case, almost
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	41
1	10,000 foot area that's defined by FAA as an
2	area and the primarily the purpose of
3	identifying this property or area is to limit the
4	placement of any nonfrangible, nonbreak-away
5	anything in that area that isn't fixed by
6	function; meaning if it's not required to be in
7	that area, it's not allowed to be in that area.
8	And it's designed to allow for primarily
9	for the approach of aircraft in the event they
10	land short or land long. It's an area that's
11	cleared, prepared, and generally of a condition
12	that could accommodate an aircraft that left the
13	runway for one reason or another and the ability
14	of emergency vehicles to get to that aircraft.
15	That's its primary purpose.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Are you saying this is
17	mandated?
18	MR. WUELLNER: It the dimensions are

19	mandated.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean yeah. But in
21	other words, this isn't going to be a reason or an
22	excuse to extend 31 out into that marshland that
23	I'm objecting to.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Is it a an excuse to do
25	that? Currently, we meet all the safety standards
A	IRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 42
1	or the safety area standards. But we meet them
2	currently on the north and the south by displacing
3	the runway, because we do not have an area that's
3	the runway, because we do not have an area that's prepared for an aircraft overrun or or
	•

MR. CIRIELLO: But this money that we might

something other than extending the runway out into

MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. Any improvement

MR. WUELLNER: And that's 2004-06, and it

basically authorizes the secretary/treasurer and

be able to appropriate, that could be used for

MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. All right.

the chairman's signature to that, to the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

feet on both end.

the water.

in the safety area.

18	resolution, which further authorizes me to sign
19	the grant when it does the paperwork actually
20	shows up.
21	The next one is 2004 you can do these all
22	by one motion if you wish. It you want to do them
23	individually, that's fine, too.
24	RESOLUTION 2004-07 - AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON
25	MR. WUELLNER: Resolution 2004-07 is for the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	43
1	design and construction of the parking apron.
2	This is the area in the south south development
3	area. This is DOT's share of the money for design
4	and construction when it gets to that point. This
5	is their commitment, and it's a \$75,000 commitment
6	or 5 percent of \$1.5 million.
7	RESOLUTION 2004-08 - SEAPLANE IMPROVEMENTS
8	MR. WUELLNER: And then Resolution 2004-08 is
9	design and reconstruct the seaplane and
10	seaplane ramp and add dock facilities to that.
11	It's a total the State has increased the funds.
12	This is a supplemental agreement. You
13	already have an agreement for this. But this
14	basically serves to supplement the amount of money
15	that the State's willing to put into this project,

16	because there were elements of the original
17	project that would otherwise not be eligible for
18	federal funds. So, they've come in and said,
19	look, we'll such as the dock itself.
20	The federal government would not normally pay
21	for a dock, but they would pay to rehab or
22	reconstruct what's out there. So, it's kind of a
23	division of the project. So, the State's stepped
24	up to pay or participate in the balance of adding
25	dock facilities, should you decide to do it.
$\mathbf{A}^{\cdot}$	IRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	44
1	This none of these projects are
2	current-year projects for purposes of
3	construction. They'll have to be considered as a
4	part of the budget, you know, as early as next
5	year, whatever year you choose, before they become
6	a bona fide construction project wherein we're
7	actually designing or doing something actively
8	toward construction. So, they're more just again
9	committing DOT dollars to the job.
10	And it would obviously be Staff's
11	recommendation that you approve Resolutions
12	2004-06, 07, and 08.
13	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any public comment on the
14	three resolutions we have? Yes, sir.

- MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road.
- 16 Through the Chair to Ed, how long can you encumber
- 17 State money?
- MR. WUELLNER: The -- the original grants
- allow for three years, but they allow us at any
- 20 time during that to request an extension for any
- 21 reasonable reason. And --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- we've got some that have
- time lines outwards of ten years.
- MR. GEORGE: Any other comments? Yes, ma'am.

- 1 MS. McELROY: Carolyn McElroy. What kind of
- 2 docks are we talking about here?
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: It's a -- just a -- I don't
- 4 know how to describe it, but a small floating dock
- 5 off the seaplane ramp into the Authority's -- what
- 6 do you want to call it -- lagoon area off the
- 7 seaplane ramp. Allows a true seaplane to actually
- 8 tie off, which is something they don't currently
- 9 have.
- MS. McELROY: So...
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Currently, you'd have to
- ground the aircraft onto -- onto the shoreline in

- order to tie off a true seaplane.
  MS. McELROY: So, the ramp is for them to --
- MR. WUELLNER: Taxi out, if they have that
- 16 capability. Some aircraft do. Not all do.
- MR. GORMAN: It's existed 50 years.
- MS. McELROY: Just depends. Some of them
- 19 don't.
- MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MS. McELROY: Oh, I know it's there.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes. The -- an aircraft
- called an amphibian airplane has both wheels and
- 24 floats.
- MS. McELROY: Right.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: True seaplanes have no ability
- 2 to land on land.
- 3 MS. McELROY: On land.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- 5 MS. McELROY: Okay. So, the ramp that's been
- 6 there has been for --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Amphibian-type aircraft.
- 8 MS. McELROY: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Any other comments?
- 10 (No further public comment.)
- 11 MR. GEORGE: Board comments?

12	MR. COX: Motion to accept and approve
13	resolutions '04-06, 07, and 08.
14	MR. GORMAN: Second.
15	MR. GEORGE: We have a motion and a second to
16	approve the three resolutions, 2004-06, 07, and
17	08, and a second. Any other board discussion?
18	(No further discussion.)
19	MR. GEORGE: All in favor?
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
21	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
22	MR. COX: Aye.
23	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
24	MR. GEORGE: Opposed?
25	(No opposition.)

47

1 MR. GEORGE: Ayes have it. 2 8.B. - PGA TOUR GOLF COURSE PROPERTIES, INC. 3 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have is 4 lease approval. As I mentioned in the project 5 updates, PGA Tour Golf Properties, Inc., the 6 formal name of the tenant, is seeking to relocate 7 out of their facilities in the FBO area currently, 8 into what we refer to as east corporate hangar

number 4, which just for clarification purposes,

10	is the original SK Logistics hangar, across from
11	the Sheriff's hangar, if you're still trying to
12	locate it.
13	The corporate it's a corporate flight
14	department lease. It would be a 10-year lease
15	with a 10-year option that would require no
16	additional investment by the Airport Authority in
17	the facility.
18	I provided you a copy of a lease summary, as
19	well as an ROI calculation for the lease. The
20	lease would generate at approximately 10 1/2
21	percent ROI. Initial rent on the facility, based
22	at \$4.25 a square foot, is \$34,850 for the first
23	year. It provides for an annual CPI adjustment
24	and a five-year review for market.
25	MR. GEORGE: What do you mean, a five-year
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18
	$\Delta 8$

### 3, 2004

1 review for market? 2 MR. WUELLNER: FAA -- I mean, one of -- one 3 of the typical look-sees is at five-year increments, is you would look at that, that 4 5 property as a whole, and determine whether the CPI 6 has met the objective of market value. 7 MR. GEORGE: That's right. Okay.

MR. WUELLNER: And that's done by appraisal.

9	MR. GEORGE: PGA is is presently not under
10	lease with us? They do everything
11	MR. WUELLNER: Right. That's correct.
12	MR. GEORGE: through Aero Sport.
13	MR. WUELLNER: The hangar they presently
14	lease belongs to Aero Sport.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
16	MR. WUELLNER: And and no, we aren't
17	aggravating Aero Sport by doing that. They
18	MR. GEORGE: That was a concern.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
20	MR. GEORGE: Okay. We have any public
21	comment?
22	MR. WUELLNER: I could read his mind on that
23	one.
24	(No public comment.)
25	MR. GEORGE: Any board comment?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	49
1	(No board comment.)
2	MR. GEORGE: Any recommendations from the
3	board? Entertain
4	MR. GORMAN: As the treasurer, does that meet
5	your ROI requirements?
6	MR. GEORGE: Yes, sir.

- 7 MR. GORMAN: That's my only question.
- 8 MR. COX: Motion to accept and approve the
- 9 lease per Staff recommendation.
- MR. GEORGE: I have a motion to accept it.
- 11 MR. GORMAN: Second.
- MR. GEORGE: And a second. Any board
- discussion, additional board discussion?
- 14 (No further board discussion.)
- MR. GEORGE: All in favor?
- MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 18 MR. COX: Aye.
- 19 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Opposed?
- 21 (No opposition.)
- MR. GEORGE: The ayes have it.
- MR. BURNETT: Mr. George, if I may.
- MR. GEORGE: Yes. You're out of order.
- 25 4. FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE

- 1 MR. BURNETT: There were -- I just wanted to
- 2 make sure that we covered one issue, and that was,
- 3 earlier, when Mr. Ciriello was chairing the
- 4 meeting, we had skipped over the financial report
- 5 and reviewing the financial report, and I wanted

- 6 to bring that to your attention to make sure that
- 7 somewhere in here, we -- we looked at that.
- 8 You may want to do it now. I don't know.
- 9 That's --
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 11 MR. BURNETT: -- certainly up to yourself.
- MR. GEORGE: We'll leave that till the next
- meeting.
- MR. COX: I don't know that you can.
- MR. GEORGE: Hmm?
- MR. COX: I don't know if you can do it.
- 17 It's on the agenda.
- MR. GEORGE: Well, did you just skip over it
- by putting it to the end --
- 20 MR. BURNETT: Mr. Ciriello?
- MR. GEORGE: -- or did you skip it to the
- 22 next meeting?
- MR. CIRIELLO: Just skipped over it till you
- come in. Then I thought we could pick it up. But
- we can pick it up at the end, I guess.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: We can pick it up right now. As
- 2 the treasurer, I have not had a chance to review
- 3 it. I've been out of town for two weeks, and I

- 4 would recommend that, if possible, we postpone,
- 5 you know, the approval of it until next month.
- 6 MR. BURNETT: Okay. I just wanted to bring
- 7 it to your attention that we had --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I appreciate that.
- 9 MR. BURNETT: -- continued it over to later
- in the meeting.
- 11 MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
- MR. WUELLNER: With no objection, that would
- just -- we'd just carry it forward.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Hearing none, we'll carry
- it forward. Next?
- 16 8.C. MPO BUSINESS
- 17 FDOT JPA, DUES
- MR. WUELLNER: We have two items to just call
- 19 your attention to. Primarily, these are items we
- wanted to make you aware of. One is a Joint
- 21 Participation Agreement, that the draft has shown
- 22 up in our office apparently by -- I don't know,
- what do you want to call it? Statute or federal
- requirement. Also requires that all participating
- 25 governmental entities in the -- I -- I have this

- 1 titled improperly. It is not the Northeast
- 2 Florida Regional Planning Council. It is the MPO.

3	MR. GEORGE: Metropolitan Planning
4	Organization. Okay.
5	MR. WUELLNER: The Regional Planning Council
6	is a participant on the MPO, but they I've got
7	the the slide here improperly prepared. The
8	resolution is with the MPO.
9	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
10	MR. WUELLNER: The JPA document basically
11	lists all of those parties who are make up the
12	MPO and spells out those relationships. It spells
13	out, basically says that you all agree to
14	participate in those decisions jointly or
15	basically coordinate your actions and and
16	review of plans and whatever else comes before the
17	MPO as as business, as official business.
18	But it kind of codifies or solidifies your
19	participation in that with Florida DOT and allows
20	their participation with the MPO. So, it's
21	more I wanted to make sure you're aware of it.
22	And probably because you've appointed an
23	individual member who in theory is not empowered
24	to speak for the Airport Authority in terms of
25	enacting any legislation, we felt like it was

- 1 appropriate to at least bring it to your
- 2 attention, and if -- if no one has a problem with
- 3 the -- the document, then you could authorize your
- 4 representatives to -- to approve it at the next
- 5 meeting.
- 6 The other is, we have the letter, received
- 7 the letter from the -- from the MPO showing the,
- 8 apparently at a meeting or two ago, the -- the MPO
- 9 elected to divvy up the cost of the MPO to its
- participating members, of which you are one, and
- 11 your share is that \$13,000 number, \$13,187.50 as
- it's prorated or appropriated to this entity for
- your participation in the MPO.
- MR. GEORGE: Was that in our budget?
- MR. WUELLNER: It is not specifically in your
- budget.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: We didn't have the --
- 19 MR. GEORGE: If you wouldn't mind sharing
- with the rest of the group the conversation that
- you and I've had, and then I'll ask if you had a
- chance to talk to Mr. Maguire about this.
- MR. WUELLNER: I have not talked to
- Mr. Maguire specifically about that.
- 25 The -- the question that has been bounced by

- 1 Mr. George to myself is the relevant purpose or
- 2 value our participation is in the MPO. Is it --
- 3 is it worth funds? Is it worth our participation
- 4 on a -- on a routine basis? And, you know, you
- 5 guys have got to come to that.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: I've been to a couple of them,
- 7 and it's certainly relative -- relevant in the
- 8 fact that they're going to run a road right down
- 9 through the middle of the county and that we've
- got land adjacent to that road and that the use of
- that land between that road and U.S. 1 is -- is
- completely -- you have to be completely conversant
- 13 on it.
- 14 It also gives you an idea of the fact that
- they're going to pave North Florida just like
- 16 South Florida.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: Probably.
- MR. GORMAN: For what that's worth for
- information, but...
- MR. GEORGE: Well, since I'm involved in this
- 21 whole agenda item, I'll talk about it before we
- open it up to, you know, the public for comments.
- MR. GORMAN: Sure.
- MR. GEORGE: History-wise, we originally
- signed off on St. Johns County becoming its own

- 1 MPO, and then the organizations, the, you know,
- 2 public organizations within the county had to
- agree to it, and we were offered one of the seats,
- 4 you know, on this. And we accepted that and we
- 5 did a resolution, you know, wanting to -- to make
- 6 that happen.
- 7 Is Mr. Maguire here?
- 8 MR. COX: He left.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Oh, good. I can talk about him.
- No. Mr. Maguire had some subsequent meetings and
- some subsequent understanding of how everything
- worked. And he felt that it would be best if we
- expanded the Authority of the Jacksonville to --
- MPO, to become the First Coast, and include St.
- Johns County and Clay and --
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: Nassau.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: -- parts of Nassau County into
- it. We then heard, congratulations, you have a
- seat on the MPO, First Coast MPO. And
- 20 Mr. Wuellner and myself got with Bruce and said,
- "How did this happen?"
- And Mr. Maguire came at one of these meetings
- to respond to that and made a comment that he
- was -- apologized for not getting back with us,

22

23

airport.

# AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

56

1	the best interest of St. Johns County. And
2	sounded good to me.
3	Well, when we went to the First Coast, we
4	picked up a seat. The County picked up a seat.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Two. County picked up two.
6	Or they picked up an additional. You're right.
7	MR. GEORGE: Right.
8	MR. WUELLNER: There are two now.
9	MR. GEORGE: Two now.
10	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry.
11	MR. GEORGE: Okay. The City of St. Augustine
12	picked up a seat. St. Augustine Beach picked up a
13	seat. And Jacksonville picked up five seats, you
14	know, more, or four, something like that. They
15	picked up a proportionate more of voting to kind
16	of offset the new stuff that was coming in, in my
17	opinion, you know.
18	So, I said okay, that's fine. You know, it's
19	nice of us to know what's going on road-wise in
20	the county because that gives us another
21	visibility visible shot at what's happening to

the population growth and how does that impact the

And then all of a sudden, you know, last

quarter, we got a bill for \$3,000 for our piece of

## AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

57

1	it.
2	Now, the MPO is a nice organization. It's
3	wonderful people in it. They generate more paper
4	than we do, you know. And professional paper, and
5	I'm sure you've seen the adds on TV that says,
6	"How do we save money?" Why don't we cut some of
7	this paper out?
8	And I don't know if you know it, but the MPO
9	is required on short notice to turn this around
10	because of the state government, this around, this
11	around. And they're just constantly throwing
12	these big documents which have to be approved by
13	everybody.
14	So, I got in touch with Mr. Wuellner, and I
15	said, "I don't think that it's, you know, really
16	appropriate" excuse me. "I don't think it's
17	worth \$13,000 for the Airport Authority to be on,
18	you know, this MPO."
19	And Ed thinks, and he's probably correct, is
20	that this whole agreement that was made through

Mr. Maguire, you know, to join it, required that

22	we had certain entities represented, and we'll
23	probably foot the bill of the only other entity
24	that could be on this.
25	So, this particular item is is just my
Δ	IRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
71	
	58
1	questioning whether we really need to be on it at
2	the rate of \$13,000, you know, a year. So, that's
3	my addition. Anything else you want to add to it,
4	Ed?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We're we're somewhat
6	disagreeing on I tend I'm much more in line
7	with what Mr. Gorman thinks.
8	I think that while the short term and the
9	and the short view is that there's not a lot that
10	we directly have on the table; the long view is
11	that if it maybe it's not worth \$13,000. I'm
12	not even going to debate that part of it. But I
13	think from an informational and ability to
14	directly participate in the process as a member,
15	that there are some advantages to being
16	certainly a significant amount of advantage, in my
17	opinion.
18	Now, could the MPO have been structured
19	better probably? Absolutely. I mean, I think
20	there was the original plan of doing that entirely

21	within St. Johns County. And, you know, you
22	certainly were a bigger fish in St. Johns County
23	than you are in the Northeast Florida MPO. And
24	never will be.
25	I mean, just looking at the total number of
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	59
1	seats and how many of those seats are occupied by
2	other than Duval County entities, tells you that
3	you will never be able to do anything without the
4	approval of Duval County, even if you garnered
5	everyone else's support.
6	But the for purposes of integration,
7	information, you know, the scheme of things
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Gorman, you've got
9	some other comments?
10	MR. GORMAN: Well, you have an ability to
11	input, especially with these huge this vast
12	funding on these paving issues. And the paving
13	issues are going to become more and more important
14	in in St. Johns County, and especially if
15	you're going to utilize that 125,000 125,000;
16	that's quite a bit 125 acres. Expanded a bit.
17	The paving issues may be something more
18	relevant. I mean, I agree with both of us I

mean, all of us. I just -- you don't know whether
it's really worth the money, but along with Ed,
you hate to pull out because then you have no -no vote and the informational basis. It's -MR. GEORGE: That's true.

MR. WUELLNER: It may prove long-term
beneficial to linking other surface transportation

### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

60

1 modes to the airport complex. I mean, I don't see 2 that as a two-year thing but, you know, maybe, you 3 know, in a 10-year look, you know, there's --4 there's some benefits to being able to use the MPO 5 process to assure that these -- these projects 6 link well and make sense. 7 And outside of that project, things happen; 8 then you go, "When did this happen?" Versus, you 9 know, at least there's a seat at the table. Is it 10 worth \$13,000? Only you guys can --11 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Mr. Ciriello? 12 MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. I attended one of these 13 meetings with Mr. Cooper a while back, and I was 14 under the impression that this MPO organization is 15 more of a volunteer outfit, and they were allotted 16 a certain amount of money per year or whatever,

but that was all -- the monies, I had understood,

was for their running of the organization; that
they really didn't designate money to be -- to
this project, that project or anything. But all
they were was a advisory group, more or less, to
tell the FDOT what they thought. And I thought it
was voluntary.

And I don't remember, recalling anybody

saying that to get a seat on this board, that we

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

61

- 1 would have to be paying dues. I thought all the
- 2 monies that they got was to cover their expenses.
- And this was a shock to me. We got a bill for
- 4 \$3,000, and I don't know what -- is that for a
- 5 month or --

- 6 MR. GEORGE: A quarter.
- 7 MR. CIRIELLO: A quarter? I know that
- 8 Mr. Maguire thinks highly of this organization,
- 9 and I do for the idea of what it is, but it's just
- an advisory group. They don't spend any money on
- 11 actual projects. They just give their opinion.
- MR. GEORGE: It's my understanding that --
- MR. WUELLNER: It's more than an opinion.
- 14 It -- their -- their decision, the MPO's decision,
- is the funding decision for Northeast Florida.

16	MR. GORMAN: It moves the
17	MR. GEORGE: It makes the decision where
18	where that money's going to be spent.
19	MR. WUELLNER: They allocate the DOT budget
20	for Northeast Florida.
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I hear it. You're
22	right there. It but I don't like the idea of
23	to belong to this thing, which I thought was
24	voluntary, to pay this kind of this kind of
25	funds.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	62
1	But what now, I'm thinking only of the
2	Authority and getting off the tax rolls. What are
3	we going to benefit out of this organization? I
4	don't care about the roads and this and that.
5	But we're trying to get off the tax rolls and
6	every time we spend a dime or don't and don't
7	get anything in return, it's getting us away from
8	the tax roll. So, where is our benefit monetarily
9	to this Authority for being a member and paying
10	dues?
11	MR. GORMAN: One thing, Joe. It gives you a
12	voting seat, and another voting seat from St.
13	Johns County on these huge paving issues, which
14	are going to be more and more relevant to the

15 County and may be more relevant to the airport. 16 That's what it gets. 17 MR. CIRIELLO: But like you say, Duval County 18 more or less carries the weight of everything. 19 MR. WUELLNER: True. 20 MR. CIRIELLO: Do you think that one vote's 21 going to carry much influence? 22 MR. WUELLNER: It could. 23 MR. GEORGE: Let's get some public comment 24 in. 25 MR. WUELLNER: I think it might be helpful --AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 63 1 I only wanted to add to this that I think it might 2 be helpful just to really quick understand where 3 the evolution of paying for it came from, because 4 originally, it was not paid for by the individual 5 members per se. 6 If you recall, a part of the agreement to 7 expand the MPO boundary and include the airport 8 and all the other things that went on around the 9 perimeter of Duval County, a part of that 10 agreement was that the MPO's direct day-to-day 11 management would be wrested out of the control of

the City of Jacksonville, that they would no

13	longer be in complete control of the staff and all
14	of the input into the MPO itself.
15	MR. GORMAN: So, that's why they did the
16	funding.
17	MR. WUELLNER: That required money. Now
18	you're paying Staff. MPO is now at a level paying
19	Staff. That money necessary to do that required
20	somebody pay for it.
21	The group, as I understand it, the MPO,
22	discussed it amongst themselves of all the members
23	participating and decided to prorate that amongst
24	themselves. So, based on the number of seats or
25	votes you have as an entity, that's that's how
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	64
	64
1	your dues structure is.
1 2	
	your dues structure is.
2	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away
2	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away from Jacksonville and creating our own in this
2 3 4	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away from Jacksonville and creating our own in this area, as Mr. Maguire said, we had the
2 3 4 5	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away from Jacksonville and creating our own in this area, as Mr. Maguire said, we had the qualifications on area and people and
2 3 4 5 6	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away from Jacksonville and creating our own in this area, as Mr. Maguire said, we had the qualifications on area and people and everything
<ul><li>2</li><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away from Jacksonville and creating our own in this area, as Mr. Maguire said, we had the qualifications on area and people and everything MR. WUELLNER: It could have been done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your dues structure is.  MR. CIRIELLO: But the idea of breaking away from Jacksonville and creating our own in this area, as Mr. Maguire said, we had the qualifications on area and people and everything  MR. WUELLNER: It could have been done.  MR. CIRIELLO: got nowhere. So, again,

12	MR. WUELLNER: Basically, the the removal
13	out of the City of Jacksonville's direct control,
14	day-to-day control from a staff perspective, was a
15	concession that Duval County/Jacksonville made in
16	order to appease St. Johns County, Nassau County,
17	and Clay County.
18	And and it served as an inducement for
19	them to remain in an expanded Northeast Florida
20	MPO versus St. Johns County spinning off and
21	becoming its own, Clay County having that
22	potential and the like; which they, believe it or
23	not, had to approve you leaving the existing MPO,
24	which was going to be difficult. And I think the
25	easy way out was what what happened.

1	MR. GORMAN: Can I make a suggestion? Not
2	that I like to spend the money, either. And we're
3	trying to save as much money as we can. But
4	extend it one more year and then review this
5	through the whole board and see if we've got
6	any any benefit to the taxpayer? And if not,
7	then
8	MR. GEORGE: That's an option. Let's let the
9	public you know, I'd like to hear from them if

10	anybody has a comment.
11	MR. GORMAN: It's an option.
12	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Wayne?
13	MR. COOPER: I'd like to make a comment.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MR. COOPER: Bryan Cooper with the Airport
16	Authority.
17	MR. GEORGE: Welcome back.
18	MR. COOPER: Yeah. Thank you. Joe, the
19	the meeting that we attended was the long-range
20	technical committee. We are a subcommittee of the
21	MPO that makes studies the long-range projects
22	that are going to be presented to the MPO for
23	their approval or rating.
24	As I understand it from the meetings that I
25	attended, the MPO is a federal requirement placed
	AIDDODT AUTHODITY DECLU AD MEETING Cotcher 19. 2

66

on the states because of federal money in the
highway funds. The State determines what
population areas, amounts of population are
considered metropolitan, are a part of the MPO.

City of St. Augustine and -- and the County's
population reached that level that they qualified.

About the same time, the MPO in Jacksonville that

covered all of that area wanted it expanded into

9	this area. It all already included Clay County
10	and some of the other other counties. But it
11	was expanding north and expanding south.
12	Now, what I saw as one of the important
13	things for us being in it is all of the
14	transportation projects that are presented in the
15	MPO's area go to them. There may be a hundred of
16	them. There may be 150 of them. They prioritize
17	those projects that are sent to Tallahassee for
18	recommends recommended for funding. They have
19	X number of dollars. They take all of those
20	projects. Now, if there's a hundred of them
21	presented, they're not they don't prioritize
22	all hundred of them; they pick how many they're
23	told.
24	Last year, it was eleven. So, out of all of
25	the projects submitted, they prioritized eleven

- 1 and recommended those for funding.
- Now, I might point out, one negative thing
- about it, Clay County's been a member of it since
- 4 its inception, and Clay County has never gotten
- 5 any of their projects prioritized and recommended.
- 6 So, just being on it doesn't necessarily mean that

7	you're going to get approved. However, it does
8	give you the arguing power to make your case that
9	you can get your project approved.
10	We do have projects coming up that the MPO
11	does want to talk about. And if we don't make a
12	good case for it to the MPO, it hasn't got a
13	chance of getting approved. One is our
14	transportation center over here that we've been
15	talking about for years that this board has
16	approved. The MPO's interested in seeing a
17	presentation on that. And at some point, if
18	you're a member of it, you've got a little better
19	chance of getting a good presentation made.
20	So, the plus side of it is, if you want to
21	get prioritized in that top dozen or 15 or
22	whatever it's going to be this year, if you're not
23	a member, you don't have a chance of it; your
24	projects just aren't even going to be considered,

68

1	MR. GEORGE: But we are a member.
2	MR. COOPER: We are a member.
3	MR. GEORGE: St. Johns hold it. St. Johns
4	County is represented by three individuals.

MR. COOPER: Right.

25

5

from what I've seen.

- 6 MR. GEORGE: And if they're going to put a
- 7 transportation center here, there's one of those
- 8 three is going to be behind it. And otherwise, we
- 9 don't have a need for it. So therefore, why put
- it there?
- MR. COOPER: Well, that's true, but --
- MR. GEORGE: And Mr. Maguire, in this whole
- thing, has become the -- not the co-chairman, but
- the vice-chairman of the entire thing. So, he's
- 15 got some clout.
- MR. COOPER: Well, St. Johns County is going
- 17 to present quite a few projects for
- 18 consideration --
- MR. GEORGE: And the first funding is going
- to be five years out.
- 21 MR. COOPER: -- for prioritization. And I
- 22 think the Airport's primary -- what -- what is of
- importance to us might not make the list of the
- things St. Johns County might want.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

- 1 MR. COOPER: Or, it might.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Indeed, they're different
- 3 lists.

MR. GEORGE: Right. Wayne?
 MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road

6

again.

- 7 The only problem that I would ask people to
- 8 do on the board is not a thing at this table that
- 9 hasn't been said that's actually true. But don't
- 10 forget your history. There isn't a joint venture
- that we have entered into with Jacksonville where
- we have won. I could cite the World Golf Village.
- 13 I could cite project after project after project.
- 14 It's weight of numbers and it's weight of votes.
- 15 And the delegations are going to, as Ed pointed
- out, heavily favor Jacksonville.
- 17 If this is the way you want to do it, nobody
- can criticize the board for -- and you're right;
- 19 you need the voice. I just don't think the voice
- is going to carry much weight there. I really
- 21 don't.
- And I was excited when -- when Commissioner
- 23 Maguire began work on the MPO. I thought that we
- would get some representation. I'm glad he's
- vice-chairman, but I don't think that's going to

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

- 1 make a major difference.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Mr. Martinelli?

3	MR. MARTINELLI: As as Wayne just said, I
4	think everything that's been said here is true.
5	What is the role of the Airport vis-a-vis the
6	County, vis-a-vis Duval County? I'm talking about
7	St. Johns County.
8	Now, if we are there simply as a support
9	crutch, if you will, to St. Johns County, which I
10	suspect we are, then I think everybody's trying to
11	figure out the cost benefit of this whole thing.
12	It might be a good idea to get the County's take
13	on that. What is their take on cost benefit and
14	where do we fit into that?
15	In other words, before the County, St. Johns
16	County gets involved, it's going to cost them
17	money, too, and I'm sure they've made that
18	decision. And they've made that decision based
19	upon a projected return on that investment
20	somewhere down the road.
21	Well, where do we fit in that return? Where
22	does the Airport fit? And I think that might be
23	helpful.
24	MR. GEORGE: Uh-huh.
25	MR. GORMAN: I would guess that they would

- 1 want the seat. But that's guessing.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: I would think that the County
- definitely would want St. Johns County
- 4 representation in that seat. In other words, if
- 5 we gave it up, they would scramble to get somebody
- 6 else to fill it. That's -- anyway, this -- that's
- 7 what I thought this agenda item was. If we
- 8 approve the agenda item as is, can we still
- 9 possibly get out of the --
- 10 MR. CIRIELLO: Bail out?
- MR. GEORGE: -- MPO two weeks from now, a
- month from now after we talk --
- MR. WUELLNER: To be honest with you, I
- 14 don't --
- MR. GEORGE: I don't know.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- I don't know the process
- 17 of --
- MR. GEORGE: I mean the question is: Does
- this resolution lock us in for another year?
- MR. COX: This isn't a resolution, is it?
- 21 MR. GEORGE: You're right.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, the JPA is.
- MR. GEORGE: It's an agreement.
- MR. WUELLNER: The JPA's an agreement. It's
- 25 the actual, quote, unquote, grant agreement. It's

- 1 just the basis of how they do business.
- Well, the -- whatever -- if you approve the
- agreement, you're a party to that agreement till
- 4 it expires, whatever that -- whatever that time
- 5 line is.
- 6 I think the suggestion of evaluating it a
- 7 little later -- my concern is, you -- you're
- 8 coming -- with it being an election year, you have
- 9 the County Commission potentially changing; you
- 10 have, well, to some degree the Airport Authority
- potentially changing; but it's going to take a
- 12 little bit of time for the County to resolidify
- its -- its understanding of the MPO at a board
- level.
- And my concern is, you're -- you're
- interjecting at -- at a point, right this second,
- because -- because you will never get this on an
- agenda to even talk about the Board of County
- 19 Commissioners, you know, for at least five, six
- weeks, which puts an entirely -- I say an entirely
- 21 new -- but a significantly different board at the
- County Commission after election, because they're
- seated immediately, for the most part, after
- election, unlike you waiting till January. So,
- you've got a -- you know, a little different mix.

1	My thought may be in the short term is,
2	let's let's carry it through the balance of the
3	year, bring the topic up, as Staff over here did,
4	to make a note so that it's brought up as a part
5	of the budget process instead of waiting until the
6	agreement expires so that, in effect, you've made
7	a decision in advance of dealing with the monetary
8	issue going into next year.
9	It allows a little more participation by us
10	on that board for a little longer period. We've
11	not even been on the board what, six months, seven
12	months? Since March, February-March time line?
13	So, we have less than a year involvement there,
14	too.
15	Maybe there are other objectives or things
16	that are going on with the MPO that will be more
17	identifiable over the next few months. I mean, if
18	after the year, the full year, and we're working
19	in the new budget and it just is not worth our
20	participation, and the Board of County
21	Commissioners are solid behind it and in a
22	position to to lobby for the seat so that it's
23	not lost entirely to St. Johns County, you know,
24	that then that makes good sense.

1	you know, and the total number go from 17 to 16
2	and no additional representation. At that point,
3	we've actually lost leverage within the within
4	the MPO, not gained.
5	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any other public comment?
6	(No further public comment.)
7	MR. BURNETT: Can may I comment on one
8	thing?
9	MR. GEORGE: Yes, sir.
10	MR. BURNETT: And that is, going back to the
11	earlier question about the term of the agreement,
12	the term is kind of interesting, because what it
13	says in here for the duration this is on page
14	11 of 16 it says that, "The Agreement shall
15	have a term of five years and shall automatically
16	renew at the end of said five years for another
17	five-year term and every five years thereafter."
18	What's interesting about it is it goes on to
19	say, "At the end of the five-year term, and at
20	least every five years thereafter, the parties
21	hereto shall examine the terms hereof and agree to
22	amend the provisions or reaffirm the same."
23	And then it says, "However, the failure to

- amend or reaffirm the terms of the Agreement shall
- 25 not invalidate or otherwise terminate this

1	Agreement."
2	From a from a strictly legal standpoint,
3	what they have is at the end of it would appear
4	to me that the agreement's for five years. At the
5	end of the five years, you agree to agree.
6	Well, it's a basic principle in Florida
7	contract law that party that an agreement to
8	agree, for example, if I I agree to sell you my
9	property; we're going to agree to a price sometime
10	in the future. That's not actually a contract.
11	And so, this, to me, it would appear as
12	though it's an agreement to agree as to additional
13	five-year terms which isn't binding. It looks
14	like, you know
15	MR. GEORGE: Well, back to your first
16	statement, it is a five-year term.
17	MR. BURNETT: On the face of it, it looks
18	like initially it is a five-year term.
19	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any board comments? I
20	have one to make, but I don't like the way we
21	got into this thing. I don't like the way it

22 was -- you knew that. You know, we were not 23 consulted on it. It's was just, we're -- all of a 24 sudden, we're in it. 25 MR. WUELLNER: And on that point --AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 76 1 MR. GEORGE: And I would --2 MR. WUELLNER: -- we don't disagree. 3 MR. GEORGE: And I would strongly recommend 4 that we consider not signing this, but setting up 5 either a workshop or a special meeting, you know, 6 with Mr. Maguire. Because I'm on the MPO, and 7 Maguire's on the MPO, because of the sunshine 8 laws, I can't talk to him. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 10 MR. GEORGE: So, I would suggest that we have 11 a meeting with him to better understand where we 12 fit in and what the benefit is and what would 13 happen if we drop out, who's going to pick it up. 14 St. Johns County has a problem with the 15 transportation of Duval growing down into us, and 16 if Duval's got all of the strings of the purse, 17 they're going to control it to the way they want 18 to. 19 But we, the Authority, have an aviation 20 problem. As much as I would like to have roads

- for schools in -- in New Zealand -- Switzerland,
- you know, that ain't our problem. You know, our
- problem is taking care of the aviation needs. And
- so, I would -- I would suggest that we not sign
- 25 this and give direction to Staff to set up a

- 1 meeting. And if it's going to be called a special
- 2 meeting with the advertising and everything, or if
- 3 it's going to be done at the next board meeting,
- 4 that's fine.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I think we can just tweak the
- 6 advertising with the next regular meeting to just
- 7 make sure that that's specified as an agenda so
- 8 that it's no --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: Let me hear from the board.
- What do you --
- MR. CIRIELLO: In other words, this would be
- an agenda item for next meeting.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MR. GORMAN: And -- and we won't -- we don't
- 15 negate the ability to sign it during the next
- 16 meeting.
- 17 MR. GEORGE: Fine. Absolutely.
- MR. GORMAN: That's a good idea.

- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Kick it around one more time, 20 basically, with Mr. Maguire here. And --21 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 22 MR. WUELLNER: -- we'll do that. We'll set 23 it up. 24 MR. GEORGE: Mr. Burnett, what did I mess up? 25 MR. BURNETT: Nothing, sir, other than I just AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 78 1 wanted to offer one suggestion, and that is going 2 back to the concept of you may want to have this 3 where it -- it could be executed for one-year 4 periods of time. That may be something that you 5 may want to request of the First Coast MPO, 6 whether or not this is terminable at one-year 7 increments --8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 9 MR. BURNETT: -- before that next meeting, so 10 that you've got that information. 11 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Then maybe we should have 12 Staff, you know, check on that. You can fully 13 explain to them where our concern is, so... 14 MR. CIRIELLO: Is there no escape clause in 15 there like there is in a lot of contracts, 30
- file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2004%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20101804.txt[11/16/2010 2:15:10 PM]

days' notice by either party and you're out,

something like that? Then what we're -- I think

16

you're concerned about.
MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
MR. CIRIELLO: Me, too.
MR. GEORGE: All in favor of -- of agreeing
to postpone it? Aye.
MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
MR. COX: Aye.

MR. GORMAN: Aye.

25

15

### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

79

1 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, we'll postpone it 2 till the next meeting. 3 MR. WUELLNER: Done. 4 MR. GEORGE: Pavement restructuring --5 resurfacing. 6 8.D. - PAVEMENT RESURFACING PROJECT 7 MR. WUELLNER: We -- we've had this topic out 8 two or three times and we had asked our engineer 9 to evaluate the differences between the two 10 primary products out there, one being Grip-Flex, 11 the other being a product called Polycon. 12 In terms of price, they're effectively the 13 same when it -- when it got down to nuts and 14 bolts. However, based on field investigation, and

these guys made numerous site visits to places

16	that had both products in place, by far, the
17	Grip-Flex product was preferred and recommended,
18	and it's being recommended by the engineer.
19	We believe we can get FDOT participation, as
20	the agenda item, the more detailed agenda item
21	spells out here. We it would be eligible up to
22	50 percent participation, but is currently not
23	programmed to the total of 50 percent. It's about
24	\$20,000 short of 50 percent. Just so I call your
25	attention to that.

Our recommendation would be to use -- because

80

2	of the time involved, use the design/build
3	contractor to facilitate the application of that.
4	It's not at a premium to us. It would just simply
5	allow that to happen without the necessity of
6	preparing detailed bid specs and going out and
7	doing that. So, if we want to try and get this
8	all of this stuff done before the first of the
9	year, we would just use the design/build contract
10	to to facilitate that.
11	There's about 65,000 square yards. Current
12	pricing would put it about \$3.35 a square yard. I
13	gave you a drawing that showed the scope of what
14	all we intended to do, which included the old

15 T-hangar pavements, the entirety of Taxiway Delta, 16 the apron area at the FBO, the apron -- or the 17 parking, automobile parking areas at the FBO, the 18 access road coming down this way to include the 19 terminal lot, and all of what is Hawkeye View Lane 20 back there. 21 That road is -- is owned by the Airport 22 Authority and is now about 14 years old with no --23 you know, you're going to be looking at either 24 resurfacing this road to -- or doing some 25 protective treatment. And we believe we could AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 81 1 preserve what we've got for a period of time by 2 applying this product at \$3 a yard, versus putting 3 in overlay. 4 Total recommendation is at about \$214,400. 5 This is a not-to-exceed number, realizing that's 6 eligible. We got about 80-some thousand dollars 7 FDOT money that can be applied to that total, 8 also. 9 MR. GEORGE: Mr. Gorman?

MR. GORMAN: Not -- don't take this

personally, George, but I -- Mr. George, but I

mean, we just agonized over \$13,000 for a quarter,

10

11

- 13 and we're looking at \$214,000. Is all of this 14 necessary? In other words, we've got lots of 15 pavement in different stages of repair. 16 MR. WUELLNER: This --17 MR. GORMAN: Is all of that necessary? I 18 mean we --19 MR. WUELLNER: This -- this is --20 MR. GORMAN: Sometimes I'd like to rename 21 this airport the paving paradise. I mean, that's 22 all I see around here. 23 MR. WUELLNER: Well, this is all -- this 24 includes pavement that is less -- or, excuse me, 25 is older than about ten years old here. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 82 1 MR. GORMAN: All of this.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: It picks up all of those areas
- and -- and serves to preserve those pavements, and
- 4 hopefully by at least five to ten more years of
- 5 usable life without having to overlay, rebuild,
- 6 reconstruct, whatever might be necessary.
- 7 Our intent in combining all these little
- 8 areas was to get the total square yardage up to
- 9 where they -- there was a dramatic reduction in
- 10 the unit cost.
- 11 MR. GORMAN: Kind of an economy-of-scale bid.

12 MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. Exactly. It's still 13 less than a week total project, even with all 14 these areas in here. Once they mobilize, it's --15 it's a series -- they're in, they're out within a 16 week, of all of it. 17 So, it's -- it's not like paving where 18 you're -- you're over the same area where it seems 19 like hours and hours as they slowly pull 20 asphalt and roll it and do all that. This is a 21 much quicker application of product. 22 MR. GORMAN: And question two. 23 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. GORMAN: Why would we not put that out 24 25 for general bid rather than design/build? I mean,

### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

- you've already explained it, but I mean, it's
   still --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: In this case, it's strictly
- 4 because of time. It's strictly because of time.
- 5 We don't -- based on our discussions with the
- 6 manufacturer, there would be no meaningful
- 7 reduction in the unit cost by going out and
- 8 bidding it separately. You would add a minimum of
- 9 45 to 60 days to the -- to the project, getting it

10	started, by not using the design/build in this
11	case.
12	The intent was to wrap up these areas and be
13	totally out of here prior to the end of January
14	with everything, including the new the parking
15	lot improvements over in the terminal area,
16	redoing the FBO apron; in other words, putting our
17	best foot forward moving into the Super Bowl
18	event, and '05, for that matter.
19	If you if you don't if you don't want
20	that time schedule, then we can certainly go out
21	and bid it. But the feeling we got in direct
22	conversations with the manufacturer was that
23	there's no meaningful expectation of a price
24	reduction or anything else by going out and doing
25	it competitively. Bryan?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 200

# 04

84

1	MR. COOPER: Ed, you mentioned that that
2	included entirety of Taxiway Delta. Did you mean
3	Echo?
4	MR. WUELLNER: I meant Echo. I I said
5	Delta. It is Echo. I'm sorry. The T-hangar
6	taxiway. You're right.
7	MR. GEORGE: Was this you're talking about

the final coat on the parking area.

- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. This is the dress coat.
- MR. GEORGE: That we just approved what,
- 11 \$360- -- \$-80,000?
- MR. WUELLNER: This is included in that.
- MR. GEORGE: This whole \$200- is included in
- 14 that --
- MR. WUELLNER: In that \$300-, yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: I thought we already approved
- 17 that \$300-.
- MR. WUELLNER: But you didn't -- we -- if you
- recall, we deferred the value of that parking lot
- related to this material because we wanted to do
- an evaluation. We were being pressed to recommend
- the Polycon product or the Grip-Flex product about
- 23 90 days ago.
- MR. GEORGE: So, this is not an additional
- 25 \$214- over the \$360-.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: In fact, we're -- if you
- 2 recall, carrying forward -- or the budget line
- 3 item as proposed for '04-'05 for apron rehab, or
- 4 whatever that -- you want to call that definition
- of the thing was, was right -- a \$300,000 line
- 6 item. This is \$200,000 of that line item. It

7	will be less we've got about I think the
8	number
9	MR. GEORGE: I hear you say "apron rehab" and
10	I keep saying "additional parking." I just want
11	to make sure
12	MR. WUELLNER: It's all the same for this
13	product, it's all the same project.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: There is an element that's
16	that's obviously just a or just parking lot,
17	such as this small paving we're doing over there
18	over the next month.
19	But the value of those don't require I
20	mean, we we can do by quote versus having to go
21	just big deal sealed bids. The value of this is
22	traditionally a sealed sealed bid item, but you
23	have the ability within the statutes and having
24	already selected a contractor under your
25	design/build, you can just award that project and

- do a design/build in order to meet the time
- 2 requirements of the first of the year.
- 3 If you want to expand the time, you can
- 4 certainly go the more traditional bid method.
- 5 Either way is legal, proper, and can be

- 6 accommodated. The difference would be the amount
- 7 of time to complete the job from today.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any public comment?
- 9 MR. RODERICK: John Roderick. What is the
- 10 history of Grip-Flex? How long has it been on the
- 11 market? And has it been in -- am I looking at the
- right engineer?
- MR. PAGE: You're looking at the right guy.
- MR. WUELLNER: This guy? And you've probably
- got even more real time line with -- with Bryan.
- In fact, the days he was at Flagler, it was
- applied in '90-'91 time line, and they just looked
- at it last week.
- MR. PAGE: It was developed 40 years ago in
- Europe.
- 21 MR. RODERICK: Thank you.
- MR. COOPER: We used it at Flagler, and I
- recommended that they go down and take a look at
- it. You -- you looked at it at Flagler and other
- airports.

- 1 MR. PAGE: We looked at Vero. I looked at
- 2 Herlong.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Herlong, yeah.

4	MR. RODERICK: We have the right temperature,
5	humidity and all?
6	MR. PAGE: Very consistent wear. Looked like
7	it'd take the status of the you know, brought
8	the status of the pavement up a little bit and
9	basically took a snapshot of didn't look like
10	it was worn any more than it was when it was put
11	down. So, it really basically preserved it for 10
12	or 12 more years.
13	MR. WUELLNER: I have to correct my statement
14	in answer to your question, but the there are a
15	few pavement areas that, primarily between J, K,
16	L, and M rows there where those fingers of
17	pavement going between those hangars, they were
18	included in there.
19	Those those are only about five or six
20	years old, but we included them for two reasons:
21	One, the they're at an ideal point to do a
22	treatment like this and probably get 15 or 20
23	years out of it, versus a shorter 10-year time
24	line for the re for the work.
25	The other is the nature of the use of

- 1 T-hangar taxiway access is that there -- they get
- 2 very little use. And this type of product, or

- 3 using an asphalt in order to preserve its
- 4 long-term capability, it needs to get run over and
- 5 used. And it's a flexible pavement design. It's
- 6 designed to be moved. If you don't move asphalt
- 7 around and drive over it all the time, it
- 8 basically bakes itself away. It needs to be moved
- 9 around and exercised, unlike concrete pavement.
- 10 And --
- MR. COOPER: Can I say one more thing? One
- of the main reasons that I used it at Flagler was
- it's -- one of the problems with asphalt is -- is
- what happens to it when you spill fuel on it,
- especially jet fuel, but even avgas, when you
- spill avgas on asphalt, it eats into it. Jet fuel
- dissolves it. This product eliminates that
- problem.
- MR. COX: Hmm.
- MS. ANDERSON: Not that we spill any fuel.
- MR. RODERICK: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: Ed, back to -- back to dollars
- and cents one more time. We've got \$214,000 here,
- and that covers --
- MR. WUELLNER: All of what was in this

- 1 drawing (indicating).
- 2 MR. GEORGE: But then two months ago, three
- 3 months ago, we came up with a parking area for the
- 4 terminal, and that was \$368-, and you made the
- 5 comment, "Oh, this is included in that." And I
- 6 don't think that that's -- the \$368- that we had
- 7 for the parking lot, did it also include all of
- 8 this resurfacing over here? I didn't think it
- 9 did.
- MR. WUELLNER: I think in budgeting, I think
- we -- if we use 60-some thousand dollars worth
- of -- not dollars -- yards of material --
- MR. PAGE: We estimated 14,000 square yards
- 14 at \$5 a square yard --
- MR. COX: That's right. I remember that
- 16 number.
- 17 MR. PAGE: -- for that number.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. But the area on the
- airport proper that we're talking about here was
- included in the \$368- that we already approved.
- MR. WUELLNER: Probably better explained, is
- that's the budget location that included \$65,000
- worth of this. The \$300,000 number you're
- referring to was not the -- that was the
- 25 theoretical value of what it would take to do all

- 1 the work in the terminal area.
- 2 The reality value was way less than a hundred
- 3 thousand dollars, is what it would actually take
- 4 in outside labor to do the removal of the vault,
- 5 the adding of the parking spaces, the one-inch
- 6 overlay in the one section.
- 7 Then, finally, there was an element there of
- 8 14,000 yards of some sort of seal coat. When we
- 9 got into the seal coat discussion, it became
- readily apparent that the size of the job and the
- complexity of the terminal area job, because it's
- small pieces --
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- very difficult to put
- together, the value was going to be significant.
- We looked at it and said, well, there's
- plenty of areas on the -- on the airport that need
- that -- that maintenance treatment, if you will,
- of -- of asphalt. What does that do to the unit
- 20 price?
- 21 The unit price obviously cut, you know,
- almost in half by finding more work for them to
- do. We took advantage of that in the terminal
- area, used what would be the budget that
- originally al -- if you want to call it allocated,

1	but identified for the for the apron area, it
2	all fits within that \$300,000 number.
3	So, I'm not creating a new project. But I'm
4	able to include the T-hangar taxiways, the Gun
5	Club Road property, and the airside apron area and
6	the terminal area.
7	MR. COX: So, we're getting a kind of
8	two-for-one thing.
9	MR. WUELLNER: You're getting more than two
10	for one. You multiply the area by three, actually
11	by four at this point, and we've cut the unit cost
12	down dramatically.
13	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It's a good-looking product
15	compared to I mean, it's certainly less
16	expensive than coming in in an overlay.
17	The problem we we looked at the apron
18	area, the airside apron area, and one of the
19	difficult issues, if you if you try to overlay
20	that area, you're going to create significant
21	drainage issues there because it's if you
22	recall, that asphalt goes right up to edges of
23	buildings, comes up to a variety of pavement areas

that surround those buildings.

1	be the difference between staying outside the
2	building and being inside the building in terms of
3	water flow.
4	This is a relatively thin it's what, an
5	eight between an eighth and a quarter-inch kind
6	of application versus adding even a minimal of an
7	inch, inch and a quarter of asphalt out there.
8	It's you'd have to go back and almost
9	remove asphalt in order to bring it to back levels
10	for airside.
11	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any public comment?
12	(No public comment.)
13	MR. GEORGE: Board comment?
14	MR. GORMAN: I'd just like to ask the
15	treasurer if he's convinced that his budget is
16	intact and that his
17	MR. GEORGE: No, I'm not.
18	MR. GORMAN: Okay. Let's before we voted
19	on it, I would like to have more confidence in
20	your confidence.
21	MR. GEORGE: The problem I have is I was
22	outraged at \$400,000 for 27 parking spots, or
23	something like that

- MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: And I missed a meeting. I mean,

- 1 that was -- you know, and I -- and I didn't go
- 2 from there.
- But when I came back, it was a \$360,000
- 4 project. But we're going to save money, we're
- 5 going to save money, and I think one of the things
- 6 that was presented was you're really talking
- 7 you're going to get it for about \$160-. So, \$160-
- 8 sticks in my head.
- 9 And now I'm told that \$160- includes this
- 10 \$212 -- \$214-. And I don't see how that \$160-
- includes \$214-. It just doesn't --
- MR. WUELLNER: The budget line item for this
- is \$300,000 and includes the airside also, which
- was not in the original budget. Your original \$3-
- to \$400,000 number that you're referring to --
- 16 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- for the parking lot was
- reviewed again, was brought down dramatically.
- 19 I've forgotten the numbers now; it's been four
- 20 months.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I know. The number was

22 brought down to \$160 --23 MR. WUELLNER: \$169-, \$170- or something like 24 that. 25 MR. GEORGE: -- or something in there. So, AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 94 1 in my little feeble mind, I said, "Okay. I don't 2 care if it does say \$314-; what we approved is 3 \$160-." 4 So, now you're asking me to take that from 5 \$160- that was in my mind up to something -- and I 6 don't know what that is. 7 MR. WUELLNER: Concurrently, we had a 8 separate project that was programmed with DOT for 9 the apron side of this --10 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- independent of the parking 12 lot. We merged the two for purposes of getting 13 the best economy for purposes of bid. 14 MR. GEORGE: On the financial statements, the 15 summary sheet, there was an entry in there for 16 repayement, and it was identified with --17 MR. WUELLNER: Right. 18 MR. GEORGE: -- \$80,000 of money --19 MR. WUELLNER: And your budget that you

20

adopted and we're now operating on as of the first

- of this month, has a single line item for \$300,000
- for airfield pavement and rehabilitation, I
- believe is the number --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- or I believe is the

- description. But there's a \$300- -- I could tell
- 2 you what it's called.
- 3 It's described for budget purposes as
- 4 rehabilitate FBO apron, and it's a \$300,000 item
- 5 to be matched equally by FDOT and FAA dollars --
- 6 or, excuse me, FDOT and Authority dollars. It was
- 7 a JPA with the State, which we currently have
- 8 identified 87,000-something-odd dollars, 87 and
- 9 change, leaving the \$22,000 I think we identify in
- the agenda item as the current shortfall with
- 11 FDOT's match completely at 50/50. So, you have
- slightly more than a 50 percent project funded by
- the Authority.
- But the individual elements, the budget for
- 15 the parking lot is entirely within what we set the
- parameters on over the summer at \$169,000. The
- apron side is entirely within the parameters. And
- that includes being able to add Gun Club Road and

19	those 1-hangar taxiways.
20	But because we didn't have to do it all in
21	two two, three, four small projects at \$5 a
22	square yard, we were able to combine them together
23	and call it one project for purposes of contract
24	with Grip-Flex. We can get a lot more pavement
25	area rehabbed, or whatever you want to call it,
-	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	96
1	for \$214
2	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So so, the budget that
3	we put together for over \$300,000 now is reduced
4	to \$212
5	MR. WUELLNER: The total will be close to
6	\$300- in total, because you have those small
7	paving areas in the parking lot that still have to
8	come out of the original \$169,000 apron parking
9	lot budget. Then you had a originally had a
10	separate stand-alone apron project that was the
11	balance of the \$300,000.
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I apologize for taking
13	the board's time for that.
14	MR. WUELLNER: I want you to be clear on it,
15	too.
16	MR. GEORGE: I think the only way I'm going
17	to be clear is sitting down in your office and

- saying "Showing me this and show me that." And sorry about that, but that's the way it goes.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Anyway, any other board
- 22 comments?
- 23 (No further board comment.)
- MR. GEORGE: I'll entertain a motion to
- accept it, Staff recommendation, or anything else.

- 1 Hearing no recommendations to accept it, then that
- 2 means it's disapproved.
- 3 MR. COX: I --
- 4 MR. GEORGE: All of a sudden got somebody's
- 5 attention.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Please, Bob. I'd like to hear
- 8 from you.
- 9 MR. COX: When you were asking, I was looking
- at Ed. Ed, just a question. What -- the road
- over -- that leads over to the Northrop Grumman
- 12 facility --
- MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
- MR. COX: -- just out of curiosity, I mean,
- is it -- is it doing any -- anything for us

16 airport-wise to -- to resurface that? Is that --17 I mean, could we not -- you tell me. Give me 18 some --19 MR. WUELLNER: We own it. It's open to the 20 public. And it supports all of the northeast side 21 development that we do, as well as the Northrop 22 Grumman's, what do they call it, North 40 complex. 23 MR. GEORGE: Plus all the hangars, the 24 corporate hangars. 25 MR. WUELLNER: You build it, you know, it's 98

### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

1 our maintenance obligation. I mean, if you want 2 to let it --3 MR. COX: No, no, no. Is it -- I guess my 4 question is not that we shouldn't do it, but would 5 we not be able to utilize that product better 6 somewhere else? Is that road in that bad of 7 disrepair? 8 MR. WUELLNER: It is getting there. That's 9 one of the 14-year -- it was built in 10 approximately '89, '90. And nothing's been done 11 to it. It's -- you know, could it wait a year? 12 Perhaps. You know, I mean, it's -- arguably, we 13 could -- you know, we could limit it strictly to 14 the parking lot. I don't -- you're not going to

15 get this unit cost. 16 MR. COX: Yeah. 17 MR. WUELLNER: But that's -- that's your call. 18 19 MR. COX: Are you satisfied now with the 20 number situation? Just out of curiosity? 21 MR. GEORGE: No. No, I'm not satisfied with 22 it. And as I said, the only way I'm going to get 23 satisfied is to sit down and look at the budget 24 and look at the minutes of the last meetings and 25 things like that. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 99 1 But I would -- I could entertain passing this 2 resolution as Staff recommended with taking a 3 personal action item to get better, you know, 4 familiar with it before the next meeting and then 5 have it as an agenda item for me to report back. 6 MR. COX: I would like to proffer the motion, 7 a motion to recommend -- I mean, to accept Staff's 8 recommendation for approval on this -- on this 9 resolution ---10 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 11 MR. COX: -- based on what you just said. 12 So, that's -- I'm -- I think we need to really --

13 I'd like to see all of this stuff done prior to 14 January --15 MR. GEORGE: Absolutely. 16 MR. COX: -- and prior to the Super Bowl so 17 we can get this thing moving. And -- and we all 18 approved -- I mean, the board approved Danis to do 19 it. So, it's not -- you know, looking for another 20 product, somebody to put on the product to me is a 21 moot point. 22 MR. GEORGE: Absolutely. Right. I agree. 23 MR. COX: I think we need to move forward 24 with it. 25 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I have a recommendation AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004 100 1 to accept Staff's recommendation with the addition 2 of having the numbers better understood by the 3 treasurer by the next meeting, but that would not 4 stop the Staff from implementing their 5 recommendations. 6 MR. GORMAN: Second. 7 MR. GEORGE: I have a second. Any 8 discussion? 9 MR. CIRIELLO: I'm just thinking that if we

do like you're saying, but it doesn't -- you know,

let Staff go ahead until you get a better

10

12	understanding, is there a possibility that it
13	would be shot down later?
14	What I'm thinking is, why couldn't you and Ed
15	get together and then say in two weeks, why
16	couldn't we have a special meeting, which would be
17	an extra meeting
18	MR. GEORGE: Then we need to advertise it, is
19	the only problem.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: so that we can really get a
21	grip on it and so that it can move along
22	expediently.
23	MR. GEORGE: Well, what if I if Ed and I
24	got together and then I published my feelings on
25	it and he distributed that to everybody?

101

1 MR. BURNETT: Could I offer a suggestion? 2 MR. GEORGE: Yes. 3 MR. BURNETT: If -- if your intention is to 4 approve this, assuming the numbers meet with the 5 treasurer's approval, then perhaps you could 6 approve this, subject to the treasurer signing the 7 agenda memorandum indicating that he approves it, 8 based on his review of the numbers. 9 MR. COX: That could happen within two or

10	three days.
11	MR. BURNETT: If that doesn't occur, then it
12	would go back on the agenda at the next meeting.
13	And that way, you've got a clean way to show that
14	you've approved them. If you haven't approved
15	them, your signature won't be on it and it will be
16	back on the next agenda item.
17	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Do we
18	MR. COX: I'll modify my motion to include
19	that portion of the resolution. We'll we'll
20	modify the motion to accept Staff's recommendation
21	for this Grip-Flex product to be put on with the
22	exception that it's with treasurer's approval in a
23	matter of what, seven days? Does that work for
24	you?
25	MR. GEORGE: That's fine.

102

MR. COX: Within seven days. 1 2 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. MR. COX: And if it doesn't meet your 3 approval within seven days, we'll put it back on 4 5 the agenda. Okay? Does that work? 6 MR. GEORGE: Does your second --7 MR. GORMAN: Then I'll second that amended. 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any other discussion?

9	(No further discussion.)
10	MR. GEORGE: All in favor?
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
12	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
13	MR. COX: Aye.
14	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
15	MR. GEORGE: Opposed?
16	(No opposition.)
17	MR. GEORGE: Okay. That's what we'll do.
18	8.E OPERATIONAL AUDIT EFFORT
19	MR. GEORGE: Operational audit effort. Do
20	you have anything?
21	MR. WUELLNER: They have copies.
22	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I got in touch with the
23	American Association of Airport Executives, AAAE,
24	and asked them what kind of audits do they do, and
25	basically told them what I thought we were looking

- 1 for as an operational audit. And we had this sent
- 2 to you, because they actually gave us their
- 3 results, you know, of looking at two different
- 4 facilities and what the charges were for it.
- 5 My personal opinion is that the -- is a big
- 6 no to using this peer review, because I felt that

7	this AAAE peer review was, come in and review my
8	entire organization and give me, my organization
9	recommendations for how do we change the landside
10	or the or the ground power, you know, unit.
11	It's a review of everything and proposing
12	organization changes.
13	I think that what we would be beneficial
14	to us is to have someone tell us we're doing our
15	jobs right. Are we utilizing our manpower and
16	resources in the best way to complement our goals
17	and objectives? And that's the Master Plan and
18	the financial modeling, not how to organize the
19	ground power operations.
20	We have a CPA audit to tell us that we're
21	paying the bills according to generally accepted
22	accounting practices, or GAP. Tell us if the
23	bills and expenditures are needed and are
24	cost-effective; i.e., when should we use three
25	bids versus design and build contracts?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 200

## 

1	Look past paying a bill to say, okay, I've
2	got the documentation; tell me, are those project
3	costs on a sample basis, are those project
4	costs for that project? No hidden projects, you
5	know, that get, you know, grouped into paving

6	activities or as an example.
7	Also, look at our investment logic that we
8	use for return-on-investment calculations. Is
9	that the best and is it reasonable, what we're
10	we're expecting?
11	And marketing. How are we going to meet
12	those revenue goals? We've got a plan out there
13	that says seven years from now, we're going to be
14	off the tax rolls. That's a lot of assumptions.
15	So, how do we make what do we do to make those
16	goals more realistic?
17	Next thing is somebody to tell us if we're
18	running a tight ship, not merely spending the
19	money we get. Tell us we're paying our people
20	right; salary, benefits, retirement, et cetera,
21	versus the responsibilities and the
22	accomplishments that they're doing. And tell us
23	the key items that we must do if we're going to
24	make the growth plan that we've got there.
25	So, that's the type of operational audit
	AIDDODT AUTHODITY DECLU AD MEETING October 19

- 1 that -- that I would like to see.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: And that -- that audit would
- 3 encompass a comparative analysis of what we're

4	doing, for instance, with all of our operations?
5	Because we have a rental home operation.
6	In other words, what in other words, per
7	home, per cost, what this is costing for the
8	labor, what it would do if we did it with an
9	outside sourcing? Just, in other words, a fairly
10	comprehensive, without being without analyzing
11	every single entity, but a comprehensive analysis
12	of really how we do business. That would include
13	that?
14	MR. GEORGE: I would suggest it include that.
15	MR. GORMAN: Okay. Fine.
16	MR. GEORGE: I think the whole purpose right
17	now is for us to discuss what we want that scope
18	of effort to be.
19	MR. GORMAN: Can I make a suggestion?
20	Because it's hard to do that really quickly.
21	Although everybody seems to be on the same page,
22	it's hard to do that really quickly without some
23	type of a committee workshop. I would suggest a
24	short, I mean, committee workshop, anybody that

106

- 1 We could have some ad hoc people, as-needed
- 2 people that are financial people that would

wants to be involved with it.

3	probably pipe up and have some interesting
4	interesting and you know, things to say, and be
5	able to flesh out the scope of it, the the
6	initial scope of it.
7	And then the final scope of it, the actuality
8	scope of it, would be told by the firm itself.
9	The firm itself has got to be has really got to
10	give us the what it's going to do
11	MR. GEORGE: Whether they're going to do
12	that.
13	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, whether exactly,
14	whether it's going to do that and how much it's
15	going to charge us to do that level. In other
16	words, so a committee to select a committee to
17	select a firm, and then that firm, in either that
18	meeting or a secondary meeting, then to sketch out
19	scope. A workshop committee is what I would
20	suggest, because it's so hard to do it right here
21	right now.
22	MR. GEORGE: Absolutely.
23	MR. WUELLNER: I would think you'd need some

basic skeleton of what you're looking for in order

24

25

to even solicit --

- 1 MR. GORMAN: I don't agree.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: -- for firms.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: I don't agree. And I think that
- 4 really, you've got to use the firm. Because the
- 5 firm has to present what they think in their
- 6 terminology they're going to do. You know,
- 7 they're going to --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: I think to refine it, you're
- 9 absolutely right. But -- but I think you're not
- going to get the right types of firms in
- generality of looking -- you know, I mean, you're
- going to have anything from an aviation planning
- to, you know, a marine ports planning firm looking
- 14 for --
- MR. GORMAN: Well, there's -- if you can take
- the Auditor General's Office, and they have a list
- of current providers of airport operational audits
- they have received, and you can pick from that,
- and the auditor -- The Florida Auditor General's
- 20 Office's list of what they've used.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MR. GORMAN: In other words, they would decry
- anybody that they felt was, you know, not a
- credible --
- MR. GEORGE: Well, this is one that we passed

- out to you, and I don't think that's appropriate
- 2 for what we're looking for.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Possibly not.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: Possibly not. That's why the
- 6 workshop.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Mr. Ciriello?
- 8 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. This -- what you're
- 9 looking for is outfits that come in and do this
- evaluation you talk about. And like some of you
- people have said that we need to run this airport
- like a business, this airport is a government
- entity, and a government doesn't run like a
- business. It's not a profit-making organization
- to where you gauge things that way.
- So, if this outfit comes in to do what you
- want it to do, they're going to almost be like a
- politician. They're going to come in here and
- tell you what you want to hear and this and that
- and whatnot and the other thing.
- 21 MR. GORMAN: No.
- MR. CIRIELLO: And I'll keep saying it until
- I drop over, that the way to get this airport
- self-sufficient and get it off the tax rolls is --
- 25 it's such a great airport right now. To keep it

1	like it is, to keep it up to date, and quit
2	getting these ideas of new runways across the
3	road, extending runways into the water, and
4	spending money on capital pro projects, because
5	that's where all our expenditures are.
6	If we let this airport support itself on a
7	daily basis from the money from our tenants and
8	our hangar rentals and whatnot, and run a tight
9	ship, which we're capable of doing, this airport
10	will be fine.
11	We don't need somebody to come in here and
12	give us a lot of grandeur ideas that's going to
13	cost us a bunch of money.
14	MR. GORMAN: Joe, these firms, especially the
15	ones from the Florida General Auditor General's
16	Office, have done operational audits on
17	municipally owned airports. That's what they do.
18	In other words, they would be comparing
19	apples to apples. They take an airport slightly
20	larger than ours, an airport slightly smaller than
21	ours and then try to do a comparative analysis to
22	see exactly what is going on, how we do business.
23	That's the idea. They're not trying to do apples
24	and oranges. They're not trying to I don't

1	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what in your opinion do
2	you think we're doing wrong to why we can't make
3	money?
4	MR. GORMAN: I somewhat agree with what
5	you're saying. We spend a lot of money. You've
6	got to in one way, you've got to spend money to
7	make money. Another way, you've got to minimize
8	your expenditures.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Absolutely. But it takes time
10	to get the money back from your investment. You
11	don't spend money and instantaneously ends up in
12	the black. It takes years.
13	MR. GORMAN: The idea is to look
14	comparatively. My own opinion, the only thing I
15	personally as a board member would like to see is
16	a comparative analysis between this airport and
17	what it's been costing to run this port airport
18	for labor, what its all of the different line
19	items, and compare that to other airports in a
20	similar demographic, similar numbers of of
21	landings, and just take a look and see if, you
22	know what we
23	MR. CIRIELLO: I don't agree with that. I

- think as far as the labor parts goes, you go ahead
- and pay the people you want to pay the money, and

- 1 if they don't want the job, they'll go somewhere
- else.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Let's let --
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: You don't have somebody else
- 5 come in and say, oh, you're overpaying them or
- 6 underpaying them.
- 7 MR. GORMAN: So, you have no idea --
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Cox?
- 9 MR. COX: I don't disagree with having an
- operational audit to help us, if we can save money
- at certain points. But you guys are suggesting a
- couple of things.
- First off, to have these workshops or any
- other open public meeting, we're going to have to
- advertise, which is going to cost money.
- Secondly, we're going to have to pay this group to
- do this.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: Certainly.
- MR. COX: Okay. So, we're spending more
- 20 money. And -- and we're always hearing this,
- 21 like, "Let's quit spending money."

- So, here we are suggesting to spend more
- 23 money to have an operational audit to save money.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: Absolutely.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Well, if an operational audit
- 2 tells us where we can save one person --
- 3 MR. COX: I don't disagree.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: -- it pays for that.
- 5 MR. COX: I'm just saying that --
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Let me open up to the public.
- We've heard a lot of ours. And -- okay. Hearing
- 8 no public comment...
- 9 MR. MARTINELLI: You're on a subject that's
- near and dear to my heart, because for more than
- 25 years, I did operational audits. And I did
- them with a large firm --
- 13 MR. COX: There's your guy.
- MR. MARTINELLI: -- Coopers & Lybrand, okay?
- 15 And let me tell you, you're -- you're a dream for
- an audit firm. You're absolutely a dream. If
- 17 you --
- MR. COX: I'll bet.
- MR. MARTINELLI: -- came to me and said, "I
- want you to do an operational audit, would you

- 21 please scope it for me?" I'd sit down and I'd
- scope it so that I'd end up with about \$350,000 in
- fees, okay?
- MR. COX: That's right. That's what I'm
- 25 talking about.

- 1 MR. MARTINELLI: Now, I'm telling you like it
- is. And the basic -- look at your operations.
- Bottom line comes from revenue minus cost,
- 4 okay? Your revenues are basically all
- 5 contractual. You have contracts with all of your
- 6 hangar tenants. You have contracts all the way
- 7 around. Look at your costs -- that's your revenue
- 8 coming in. Look at your costs. Your costs are
- 9 basically very, very small in relation to that.
- Now, if you want to take a look at your
- operations, break down your operations. Start
- with purchasing. Are you buying things properly?
- Okay. Start with labor control. Look at your
- labor. See if you are controlling your labor
- properly. See if you're getting the productivity
- 16 that you want.
- But as you go -- and I can go on and on and
- on. I can dissect your P&L and come up with each

- strata for an operational audit.
- Bottom line, though, is I think you're going
- 21 to waste your money. I really do.
- MR. COX: I agree.
- MR. MARTINELLI: And I've never been this
- strong in -- in my recommendations to you. I've
- always made suggestions. This time, I'm not

- 1 making a suggestion. I'm saying if you do engage
- 2 in an operational audit, you're making a hell of a
- 3 mistake. That's where I'm coming from.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Mr. Martinelli?
- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: Sure. Sure.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: If you do that, then you are, no
- 7 offense to Mr. Wuellner whom I find to be a very
- 8 intelligent man, but you have no ability to
- 9 compare how this airport does business with any
- 10 other airport.
- MR. HICKOX: Look it up yourself.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Compare your leases.
- 13 Compare your leases. And I did that, by the way,
- when I was on the Airport Authority. I compared
- the leases on this airport to the leases at Craig.
- Okay. I could have done it to many others. And
- by the way, I didn't charge the Airport Authority

18 anything for that. 19 MR. GEORGE: Would you do it again? The same 20 rules? 21 MR. MARTINELLI: I don't know about that. 22 That was quite a comprehensive report, and it's 23 maybe somewhere still in your files. I don't 24 know. But anyway, I do think that you're making a 25 mistake. AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

1	I think you can look at Ed's operation. You
2	can take his operation and take it apart piece by
3	piece. And if you look at it piece by piece,
4	comparatively to wherever or whomever you want to
5	compare it to, I think you'll be you'll come
6	out pretty pretty well on it. I really do.
7	Look at your leases. You're tied into
8	long-term leases. Those leases, by the way, are
9	governed by the FAA's guidelines now, which they
10	weren't some years ago, and I think they've
11	they really improved your revenue coming in.
12	So
13	MR. GORMAN: And how about compliance with
14	state and federal regulations and the charter of
15	the airport?

16	MR. MARTINELLI: Of course.
17	MR. GORMAN: We have a we have a huge
18	rental home situation.
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure.
20	MR. GORMAN: This this does not need some
21	opinion?
22	MR. GEORGE: I think that I think that the
23	opinion was given by previous boards that
24	authorized the Authority or the staff to actually
25	rent them.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	116
1	MR. MARTINELLI: Jack, I just think that I
2	just think that that you're going to be wasting
3	money. That's my own take on it. That's my
4	opinion. And as I say, I've been in the business
5	for many, many years before I retired. So
6	MR. GEORGE: My comment, before I open up to
7	any more public comment, Mr. Martinelli brings up
8	a good point as far as whether we're living up to
9	this regulation or that regulation. We've got
10	state auditors that come in. We have a CPA firm
11	that audits our books. And we got an absolute
12	clean bill of health, you know, last time from
13	that.
14	I don't think that that from the things

15	that have been brought up, that it is out of the
16	scope of different Authority members taking a
17	project, you know. And it would be good for them,
18	because they will better understand rental homes,
19	finances, you know, and the whole nine yards, to
20	come back, rather than hiring somebody from
21	outside.
22	This AAAE, they charged \$35,000 to one of the
23	airports that's in here. We have \$20- in the
24	budget, you know, for an audit of some sort, which
25	I think can be done.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	117
1	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?
1 2	
	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?
2	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.
2	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow,
2 3 4	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow, but just from anecdotal evidence of being in a lot
2 3 4 5	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow, but just from anecdotal evidence of being in a lot of airports for over 30 years, it's a very simple
2 3 4 5 6	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow, but just from anecdotal evidence of being in a lot of airports for over 30 years, it's a very simple axiom. If it isn't broken, why fix it?
<ul><li>2</li><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow, but just from anecdotal evidence of being in a lot of airports for over 30 years, it's a very simple axiom. If it isn't broken, why fix it?  You guys have come a long ways. And I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow, but just from anecdotal evidence of being in a lot of airports for over 30 years, it's a very simple axiom. If it isn't broken, why fix it?  You guys have come a long ways. And I don't think you need this. I think it's a waste of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Any other comments? Wait a minute. John?  Sorry.  MR. RODERICK: Well, Vic's hard to follow, but just from anecdotal evidence of being in a lot of airports for over 30 years, it's a very simple axiom. If it isn't broken, why fix it?  You guys have come a long ways. And I don't think you need this. I think it's a waste of money.

13	possibly doing it. And the thought that that I
14	think was precipitated to be on the budget was put
15	a stake in the ground and erase from anybody's
16	mind that the airport is spending their tax
17	dollars unwisely. In other words, let an outside
18	firm come in and say exactly what we all are
19	saying.
20	MR. GORMAN: And you just I see this
21	decrying of this idea.
22	MR. RODERICK: Let them spend the money for
23	an investigative reporter, then.
24	MR. MARTINELLI: It's not going to be cheap.
25	MR. GEORGE: It's not. Yeah. Okay. Any
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	118
1	other public comment?
2	(No further public comment.)
3	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Ciriello?
4	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. If there's any
5	dissatisfaction with the way this airport is being
6	operated and run, whether it's being done proper
7	or not, in my opinion, it isn't the director's

fault. I personally think he's a very good

qualified man, although I say publicly I think we

pay him more than he -- the job is worth; not him,

8

9

10

11

the job.

12	But I would say that over the years, now, not
13	just us guys sitting here, but down over the years
14	that this Authority has been in in power, and
15	running the airport, making decisions and voting
16	on this and that and whatnot, with all five
17	different people having different opinions and
18	finally agreeing on something, I think that's
19	where the I don't want to say the problem is.
20	I think that that's where you could point maybe
21	fingers at why we should be doing better in this
22	area than we are and whatnot, is because the
23	board is actually the final responsibility.
24	And I personally, like Mr. Martinelli and
25	everybody says, I don't think we need to spend

1	those big kind of bucks to find out what we re
2	doing. I think actually we're doing a pretty darn
3	decent job, and the other boards, too.
4	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Respond?
5	MR. GORMAN: Have you even read one of the
6	budgets from a previous from a similar airport
7	to this in size, a little bit larger and a little
8	bit smaller and read the budget? Have you read
9	one?

10	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I've got figures at home
11	on about a dozen airports around Florida with
12	their total budget. It's not itemized, but their
13	total budgets, and we was all, you know, pretty
14	well about the same. In fact, our budget was
15	somewhat higher than theirs, and the directors
16	were all making more less money than we're
17	paying. And this is about three or four years ago
18	that I did this.
19	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Cox?
20	MR. COX: I I don't understand why we
21	continue to want to try to compare this airport
22	with every other airport. This airport is almost
23	individual specifically in the United States. I
24	can tell you that because I've gone all over the
25	place.

1	And I agree with Mr. Roderick in saying,
2	having been to a lot of different airports, this
3	is one of the better run airports in the United
4	States. It is, period.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: I agree with you.
6	MR. COX: And we've got a lot of specific
7	individualistic situations on this airport that
8	are not specific to other airports. Most airports

9	don't have seaplane ramps. Most airports don't
10	have Northrop Grumman facilities on them, you
11	know, just on and on and on. You could go on and
12	on and on with this.
13	But to have an operational audit and spend
14	\$35,000 or \$200,000 or whatever it is, to tell us
15	to come back and like Vic said, you know,
16	I'd I'd sit down, too, and work it out so that
17	I'd say, "Yeah, you guys pay me \$350,000 and I'll
18	tell you where you can save that money.
19	MR. GORMAN: We obviously wouldn't take that
20	contract.
21	MR. CIRIELLO: I kind of agree with Bob. I
22	don't see why we always sit down I say we; all
23	of the boards that's been here, comparing Craig
24	and Herzog (sic) and everybody else when it comes
25	to making hangar rents and fees and leases and

- 1 stuff. It's our airport. We don't need to go and
- 2 say, "Well, how much do you charge for gas? How
- 3 much do you charge for hangars?"
- 4 It's our airport. We should be able to
- 5 decide that without thinking about somebody else.
- 6 I agree with what Bob said.

7	MR. WUELLNER: Better write that down.
8	MR. COX: I'm writing it down right now.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't hear you.
10	MR. WUELLNER: I said you might want to write
11	that down.
12	MR. GEORGE: I I would like I would
13	like to make the following suggestion: That we
14	take the action item of an operational audit and
15	table it until any one of the board members wants
16	to come up with a scope of work that sounds
17	agreeable to everybody with what they're trying to
18	accomplish. And so, we just table it, and we keep
19	that \$20,000 that's in the budget all year to see
20	what we're going to do with it.
21	Secondly, I'd like to see some areas that
22	specific members of the board are concerned about.
23	And I'd like to see some personal projects of
24	theirs that, fine, I will take this one and I will
25	do the investigation and I will present a paper.
	AIDDODT AUTHODITY DECLU AD MEETING October 19 3

- 1 Don't even have to go to this. Just a paper which
- 2 would be distributed and open to the public.
- And I think that we would all get more 3
- 4 comfortable with what we're doing, either that
- we're comfortable that we're not doing it right, 5

- 6 or that we're comfortable that, hey, everything
- 7 we've been saying is correct. And I will be glad
- 8 to take two projects for every one project that
- 9 somebody else takes.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, you know, you've got an
- 11 airplane, Buzz. You could go and fly around to
- different airports in the state and go up and say,
- 13 "Hey --
- MR. GEORGE: I can't cross that --
- MR. CIRIELLO: -- how's your budget?"
- MR. GEORGE: I can't cross that yellow line.
- 17 They don't like me to cross that line. The tower
- tells me to move.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, all you've got to do is
- 20 just for your own: "Hey, what kind of a budget do
- 21 you guys have here? Do you have something in
- paper you can show me?"
- And go to -- you know, just hop around and
- then you'd get your comparison.
- MR. COX: I'd like to take a project. I want

- 1 to make sure that the bar at the restaurant is in
- 2 completely serviceable condition. No, I'm just
- 3 teasing.

- MR. GEORGE: Can we do it in the sunshine? 4 5 MR. COX: Disregard. 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, any other suggestions 7 on what we do with this -- with this item? 8 MR. CIRIELLO: Forget it. 9 MR. COX: Table it. 10 MR. GEORGE: Table it. Okay. So tabled. 11 Okay. Next item. Do we have Authority member 12 comment or do we have public comment first? 13 MR. WUELLNER: It says to do Authority and 14 then public. Usually do Authority, then -- then 15
- 16 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello?
- 9.A. MR. JOSEPH CIRIELLO 17
- 18 MR. CIRIELLO: I have nothing.
- 19 9.B. - MR. BOB COX

public.

- 20 MR. GEORGE: Mr. Cox?
- 21 MR. COX: No comment.
- 22 8.C. - MR. JOHN GORMAN
- 23 MR. GEORGE: Mr. Gorman? No comment?
- 24 MR. GORMAN: Not at this time.
- 25 MR. GEORGE: Okay. That's fine.

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

- 1 8.D. - MR. WAYNE GEORGE
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I have some comments.

3	I was given the additional assignment last
4	time of executive salary review. And that's
5	probably the reason I was late today. But here's
6	a handout, I'm sorry I didn't get it to go up on
7	the board, of some of some of the things that I've
8	been able to find. Mr. Martinelli. (Tendered.)
9	MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you.
10	MR. GEORGE: If you'll bear with me. One of
11	the things that Mr. Cox made the comment about we
12	are unique and it's hard to compare us to other
13	other facilities Mr. Gorman's trying to look
14	for the answer before he hears the lead up to it.
15	But anyway, on the first page, there are
16	things that that will make one airport
17	different from another airport. AAAE uses total
18	ops for their definition of smaller general
19	aviation feeders and and the like. And we have
20	a copy of that last report, which was the year
21	2000 to 2001.
22	But these are all the things that that
23	when we're comparing somebody, we need to ask
24	ourselves, you know, what is it like number D,
25	what is their forecasted growth from versus

what our forecasted growth is?
If I go to the findings, we took a look at
AAAE's salary survey survey from 2000-2001.
And they came up with two categories, smaller
general aviation reliever. That's less than
150,000 ops. We're 130,000 ops, but forecasted to
go much higher than that.
But you took the average executive salary
and this is not benefits of any kind, and there
were 39 airports reporting it was \$65,653. And
if I adjust that for being three years out of
tune and this is all subjective in the
report, it said that the average increase for the
\$65,000 was 12.6 percent. So, if I took an 8
percent increase per year, and that would break
that salary up to \$81,297.
Then if you look at larger general aviation,
which is over \$150,000, and here again, the same
logic, but I use 9 percent, you know, for here,
and that says that the salary should be \$112
I went to Naples I went to several
organizations, one of which was Naples, Florida.
They do a yearly survey. And I have a copy of it
here. There are seven airports and six city and

county managers included in that. The airports

- 1 are Palm Beach, Louisville, Tucson. I don't think
- 2 that they're appropriate, you know, for what we do
- 3 here. And I don't know why Naples is looking at
- 4 it.
- 5 By the way, Naples is under the -- I think
- 6 the city government or the county government --
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: City.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: -- but they don't have any
- 9 revenue needs. They are fully self-sustaining.
- MR. WUELLNER: Because they're their own FBO,
- 11 also.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. They own their own FBO
- and they make their money there. Anyway, the
- salary of the executives ranged from \$92,000 to
- 15 \$150,000 plus benefits.
- And here again, I don't think that those
- 17 airports, you know, are appropriate. There are
- city and county managers, you know, for that
- 19 county, \$128- to \$185-, plus benefits.
- And others, here's a list of 10 other ones
- 21 that I tried -- or not 10 other ones, because
- Naples is in there. And they all want a written
- request, you know, for the information.
- AAAE is getting ready to do another survey,
- and they're going to be requesting the same

1	information, you know, from there.
2	My conclusion was, let's go ahead and
3	participate in AAAE's new salary survey. If we're
4	going to do an operational audit, to add that to
5	the scope of work of some auditing firm. But I
6	think we ought to give Mr. Wuellner a 7 percent
7	raise on his base salary at the present time,
8	which will take him from \$77,215 to \$82,620.
9	I also think that after this new salary
10	survey, and then during the next year, we need to
11	rearrange salary and benefits to be in line with
12	other airports, you know, of the survey of the
13	audit. You know, auto allowance, some a
14	thousand bucks; some of them, we give you the car
15	and stuff like that. We just need to look at it
16	and and rearrange it.
17	And my overall conclusion is we've got a lot
18	of work to do over the next five to ten years, and
19	I don't think I want to do it with an average CEO.
20	I'd rather have one that's grown with us and has
21	the experience we need. So, let's don't run him
22	off by being shortsighted. So, my recommendation
23	is 7 percent.
24	MR. COX: Can I ask a question?

128

1	MR. COX: Are we open for discussion?
2	MR. GEORGE: Yes. End of report.
3	MR. COX: I just wanted to point out one
4	thing. The majority of the airports that are on
5	the back there, it says others that requested
6	written, every one of those has commercial
7	airlines going into them except for one. Okay?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Two.
9	MR. COX: Okay. This is a point.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay. It is a point. Right.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Three. Four.
12	MR. GEORGE: It's a different set of people
13	you've got to deal with.
14	MR. COX: Pardon me?
15	MR. WUELLNER: Four of them. Five four of
16	them do not.
17	MR. COX: Who?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Fort Lauderdale Executive.
19	Orlando Executive.
20	MR. GEORGE: Sure.
21	MR. COX: Lauderdale Exec. doesn't?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Vero Beach and Titusville.

None of those have --

- MR. COX: Not commuters.
- MR. WUELLNER: They all go into Fort

- 1 Lauderdale International. Orlando Executive is
- the old Herlong.
- 3 MR. COX: Right.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: That's the name of it.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: And by the way, they are
- 7 controlled by a Orlando -- Greater Orlando --
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Airport Authority.
- 9 MR. GEORGE: -- Authority, and so they have a
- manager that's running it.
- MR. COX: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: But he has no responsibility for
- accounting. You know, that's done at a different
- level. And that's some of the things that we have
- 15 a difference in here.
- MR. COX: Well, I guess my point was, is our
- airport is bigger and has actually more activity
- going on than those airports that have commercial
- 19 airlines going into them that are on this list --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. COX: -- for the most part. So --

- MR. GEORGE: I don't know how to quantify
- that.
- MR. COX: I don't, either. It was just a
- point.

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- 2 MR. COX: You know, is that commercial
- 3 traffic bringing more tax -- tax revenue, tax base
- 4 into the -- to that particular area.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: And it's a different set of
- 6 people --
- 7 MR. COX: Exactly.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: -- mentality and --
- 9 MR. COX: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: -- and operating that we require
- 11 him to be knowledgeable of and to keep us out of
- trouble.
- MR. COX: Yeah. And along with that --
- MR. GEORGE: Yes, sir. Mr. Ciriello?
- MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Back here, you say give
- a raise of 7 percent on a salary of 77 grand. If
- 17 I'm not mistaken, I think I saw in the budget that
- the last salary quoted was \$94,000.
- MR. GEORGE: I think if you take that, that's
- \$77- plus the \$900 automobile allowance. All of

- 21 these other ones over here had automobile
- allowances that were not included. So, I elected
- 23 to just leave it out.
- MR. CIRIELLO: Well, you know, it --
- MR. GEORGE: Well, you also could take the

- 1 house he's in. You know, back in --
- 2 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I do.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: -- three, four years ago, you
- 4 know, there was a relatively nice house that we
- 5 made available to him and he doesn't get charged
- 6 for it. So, at \$650, \$7-, \$800 a month, you've
- 7 got to crank that into there, too.
- 8 MR. CIRIELLO: That's right. So, in my
- 9 estimation, without seeing his tax return or
- something, I believe that right now, actual monies
- that is available to him, irregardless whether
- it's salary, automobile, house, is about a hundred
- grand. And so I -- I -- like I said, it had
- nothing to do with the man. And I've talked to
- 15 him many a time.
- MR. GEORGE: If I go back to page 2, the
- findings for the smaller aviation, and then the
- larger aviation, you know, if I adjust it -- and

19	my adjustments could be wrong but \$81,000 for
20	the smaller and \$112-, and that does not include
21	benefits.
22	I don't know what they get for a car. I
23	don't know what they get as far as a portion of a
24	matching fund to retirement plans and everything.
25	That's why I said that if we do an operational
Α	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
1	audit or something, we need to spend more time on
2	this.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't disagree with
4	spending more time on it.
5	MR. GEORGE: Well, that that could be an
6	option, Joe. We could we could postpone any
7	decision and do some more investigation on it, and
8	then whenever we do whatever raise, we make it
9	retroactive.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Jack wasn't here at the last
11	meeting
12	MR. GEORGE: He's not going to lose any
13	weight to die.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: When We was talking, Jack,
15	about the budget, I made a couple of suggestions.
16	Instead of every year turning in an evaluation and

then giving him a \$10- to \$15,000 raise, just for

18	seniority, just because he gets it here, because
19	the job didn't grow that much. Just because you
20	have a couple of more airplanes a day landing
21	doesn't mean his job has grown.
22	I suggested that we give him a evaluation at
23	the beginning of his five-year contract and at the
24	end. But in the interim, include him with a CPI
25	that he does not have, that the other employees

1	have, and that would give him almost a
2	\$2,000-a-year raise automatic. And I don't think
3	any worker would sneeze at a \$2,000-a-year raise
4	without having to fight for it or having somebody
5	like me come in there and saying no, no, no. And
6	the board turned me down.
7	MR. GEORGE: Well, that would be in my
8	opinion, it would be a great reason to start
9	looking for another job if I know I'm going to get
10	CPI and CPI is 2 percent. So, if somebody comes
11	along and offers me 12 percent, I'm gone. I'm
12	sorry. You were going to talk.
13	MR. COX: No, I'm just saying we're kind of
14	becoming an agenda item here, so we it's just
15	under discussion.

16	MR. CIRIELLO: I think if there was any jobs
17	out there paying what you're trying to say that
18	we're underpaying him right now, he'd have been
19	gone by now.
20	So, I think this for the job scope, the
21	toughness of it and I don't think that this
22	running of this airport is that difficult, you
23	know, and he is a hundred percent qualified to do
24	it. It is a nice job, and I don't think he'll
25	find a better one for the money. So, I'm not
A	IRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
71	134
	134
1	yverried about him leaving
	worried about him leaving.
2	MR. MARTINELLI: Don't hold back.
3	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, let me know how you really
4	feel about it.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? I don't want him to
6	leave, but I don't want to overpay him it's not
7	him again; it's the job. If you get this airport
8	as big as Jacksonville, sure, let's give him some
9	more money. But it's just a darn little general
10	aviation airport with a big long runway and
11	MR. GEORGE: Public comment, I guess? Yes.
12	MR. MARTINELLI: Oh, well.
13	MR. WUELLNER: We missed you.
14	MR. MARTINELLI: I'm I'm sure you

15	guys missed me.
16	MR. GEORGE: You're making up.
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Yes. When anybody works
18	today, you work for two reasons, one for the
19	challenge of the job and the satisfaction that it
20	gives you when you do something that you feel is
21	really very, very good. Okay? And secondly, you
22	work for salary.
23	Now, if you're on the breadline or close to
24	the breadline, salary is very important and
25	satisfaction is not, okay? But when you're
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	THE ORI TO THORIT I REGULTIN MEETING OCCUU, 10, 2007
	135
1	
1 2	135
	comfortable, and I don't mean that you're wealthy,
2	comfortable, and I don't mean that you're wealthy, but when you're comfortable, satisfaction, job
2	comfortable, and I don't mean that you're wealthy, but when you're comfortable, satisfaction, job satisfaction ranks pretty high. Okay?
2 3 4	comfortable, and I don't mean that you're wealthy, but when you're comfortable, satisfaction, job satisfaction ranks pretty high. Okay?  Now, why is Ed still here? Joe, it's not
<ul><li>2</li><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	comfortable, and I don't mean that you're wealthy, but when you're comfortable, satisfaction, job satisfaction ranks pretty high. Okay?  Now, why is Ed still here? Joe, it's not because he's he can't get another job. Believe
2 3 4 5 6	comfortable, and I don't mean that you're wealthy, but when you're comfortable, satisfaction, job satisfaction ranks pretty high. Okay?  Now, why is Ed still here? Joe, it's not because he's he can't get another job. Believe me, he can get a job tomorrow paying him much more

because I'll have to divulge some things that I

But let me just say that the reason that Ed's

here is because of the challenge of this airport

9

10

11

12

don't want to.

13	growing and growing the right way.
14	You guys are blessed, really blessed having
15	him here as your executive director. I wish he
16	were the executive director when I was on the
17	Airport Authority, because he saved your butts a
18	lot of times.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: You were one of the guys that
20	picked him.
21	MR. MARTINELLI: Absolutely. And I wish I
22	was still here to enjoy him. I picked him and I
23	had to leave, okay?
24	MR. CIRIELLO: I have no problems with him.
25	MR. MARTINELLI: Anyway
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	136
1	MR. COX: There's an election coming up
2	November 2nd.
3	MR. MARTINELLI: No thanks. No thanks.
4	What's what's you can do all the
5	comparisons you want, and they're good to make
6	comparisons you want, and they re good to make
7	similar jobs and so on. But you cannot ever
8	overlook the man himself and what he's doing
9	and and the immeasurable things that he's doing
10	that you don't even know about, until maybe two or
	three years after the fact, you'll wake up and

12	realize that, gee whiz, we really had a gem here.
13	So, look at it from that standpoint.
14	Don't don't be myopic; don't be penny wise and
15	pound foolish. Look at what he has done. Look at
16	what's ahead of him and ahead of you folks to make
17	this airport what it should be, and and really
18	look at it that way. That would be my suggestion.
19	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Thank you. Any other
20	anybody else want to make a comment?
21	MR. HICKOX: Since I addressed this at the
22	last meeting, I only have one thing to add to you,
23	Joe. Please do me a favor and go and look at what
24	people are paid for various jobs these days. I
25	don't care what field you go into.

1	Unfortunately, you and I and a few others
2	here came into the labor market when pay was a lot
3	less. But you were a union rep for 30 years; you
4	saw things change.
5	And the truth of the matter is that you would
6	realize again, as Mr. Martinelli said, you're
7	getting a bargain. And it's worth a lot more than
8	you're paying.
9	MR. GORMAN: Boy, you've got a fan club here,

11	MR. GEORGE: Ma'am?
12	MR. WUELLNER: It cost me a few bucks
13	somewhere.
14	MS. McELROY: Carolyn McElroy again. I
15	believe that Ed probably does a very good job, but
16	I believe that that is an extreme raise.
17	And he stays here because his family likes it
18	here. He stays here because he likes the job. He
19	stays here for a lot of reasons that probably none
20	of us understand. But I don't think I think
21	you need to look at maybe what the the governor
22	makes or you know, he's creeping up on some
23	people that do some really heavy-duty jobs, and
24	he's running a small little airport. I think he's
25	probably doing a very good job of running the
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	138
1	small little airport. But this basically is a
2	small little airport, and it's not going to get
3	big.
4	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Anybody else?
5	(No further public comment.)
6	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I brought this up during
7	my personal comments, because I was given an

10

8

Ed.

action item last time when the board wanted to

9 approve a 3 percent or 4 percent, you know, raise. 10 And I just thought that it was being a little 11 shortsighted not seeing what else was available 12 out there. So, I got the action item. I have 13 reported back my action item. What would the 14 board like to do with it? 15 MR. COX: Well, we're under discussion. I 16 don't know. Can we -- can we make --17 MR. GEORGE: Yes. 18 MR. COX: I -- I think your consideration for 19 a 7 percent raise is -- this is an outstanding 20 piece of work (indicating) that you did. 21 MR. GEORGE: Thank you. 22 MR. COX: Actually, very nice, very good. I 23 think what you suggested is -- is good. And I'd 24 like to, you know, bring it forth as a motion to

25

6

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

approve it. But we're under -- doesn't it have to

139

be an agenda item on the official meeting list?
MR. GEORGE: Does it have to be?
MR. BURNETT: No. No. And as -- from what I
recall -- and it's kind of an awkward item,
because typically your action items are presented

by the executive director, and so it was one that

7	was previously put for the Chair to bring up.
8	And I think you can act on it, especially
9	considering what was said at the last meeting,
10	which was clearly for Mr. George to look into this
11	issue and come back and report to the board and
12	for y'all for y'all to consider it, so
13	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Guys, we have some we
14	have to make a decision. We can make a decision
15	to
16	MR. COX: I'll proffer it as a motion to
17	follow your recommendation for a 7 percent raise
18	for the executive director.
19	MR. GEORGE: Do I hear a second? I second.
20	Any discussion? Board discussion?
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. You're going to make a
22	7 percent raise. Is it going to be from right
23	now, or do you want to make it retroactive back to
24	the beginning of the year? When does this raise
25	start?
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2

- MR. GEORGE: I think Mr. Cox had in mind
  making it at the beginning of this budget year,
  which was September the 1st. Wasn't that what
  you're --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: October.

- 6 MR. GEORGE: October 1st.
- 7 MR. COX: October 1 would be correct. Yeah.
- 8 It would only be retroactive for 15 days or
- 9 whatever it is.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I have a motion and a
- second for -- all in favor?
- MR. COX: Aye.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 14 Opposed?
- MR. CIRIELLO: No.
- MR. GORMAN: No.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. We have a deadlock.
- We'll just continue this at the next meeting,
- then. Okay. Am I the last one for the comments?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 21 9.F. NEXT MEETING
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Our next meeting, then,
- is November 15th?
- 24 10. PUBLIC COMMENT & ADJOURNMENT
- MR. GEORGE: And any additional public

- 1 comment? Yes, sir.
- 2 MR. ROLAND: Good evening. My name is
- 3 Merrill Roland. I own a house at 6281 Old Dixie

4 Drive, which is within two air miles of the 5 airport. I've lived there for 17 years and I 6 don't have any problem with the airport being my 7 neighbor. You were here first. But I do have a 8 question. I have two items. One is a question 9 about the traffic. Every time someone makes a 10 touch-and-go, is that considered a landing? 11 MR. GEORGE: And a take-off. It's two --12 it's two operations. The landing is a -- is an 13 operation, and the takeoff --14 MR. ROLAND: Aren't those numbers then a 15 little askew? 16 MR. GEORGE: That's the standard that every 17 other airport uses. 18 MR. ROLAND: So, if someone's spending a 19 weekend just doing a hundred touch-and-goes, that 20 brings the numbers up which appears to the public 21 as being a lot of activity here, which really 22 isn't a lot of activity. Wouldn't that, you 23 know --

#### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

MR. GEORGE: Well, if you compare it to what

happened last year and the year before and the

142

- 1 year before that, I would think that if there was
- a dramatic increase, you could assume that

24

3	somebody opened a new school and they're just
4	doing touch-and-goes out there. But
5	MR. ROLAND: So, there hasn't been any
6	drastic changes.
7	MR. GEORGE: Not to my knowledge.
8	MR. COX: Well, just just as a comment.
9	If you take into consideration that if
10	regardless of whether it's an airplane that's
11	based here and just doing, let's just say a
12	hundred circles, it really doesn't make any
13	difference, because whether it was one airplane
14	doing a hundred touch-and-goes or a hundred
15	airplanes doing one landing, you still have the
16	same amount of airspace that's being utilized,
17	and and the surface of the runway is being
18	utilized, et cetera, et cetera.
19	So and it really doesn't make any
20	difference if it's one airplane, like I said
21	before, or a hundred airplanes doing the same
22	thing, it's still an operation for a landing and
23	it's still an operation for a takeoff. So, you
24	count it one way or the other.
25	It's still it's still an airplane

1	utilizing that same airspace.
2	MR. ROLAND: And my question that goes along
3	with that, and then I have another item, is that
4	when when a plane lands here in comparison to
5	other airports near us, do we do other airports
6	charge a fee for staying here, for parking here?
7	MR. GEORGE: It's my understanding correct
8	me, Ed, if I'm wrong. But since most of our money
9	that's spent here is done with matching funds,
10	it's kind of like I-95 out there; they don't
11	charge you to get on it.
12	You know, if they did something that they
13	could in fact do that, and some airports have a
14	landing fee, but it's typically the larger ones.
15	I think Miami is \$28 or \$32 or something like
16	Miami International
17	MR. ROLAND: If we did that, we'd probably
18	scare people away from landing here then.
19	MR. GEORGE: Probably, yeah.
20	MR. ROLAND: Okay. And then the second, the
21	last item I have is years ago, I came here to an
22	event that Grumman had, and it was wonderful.
23	Before that, I was a little negative about the
24	airport, about being a single person, being

charged on my property taxes for an airport that I

- 1 don't use. And that's what -- if you ask most
- 2 people in this county, "What do you think of the
- airport being taxed?" I think most of them would
- 4 say the same thing.
- 5 And my attitude changed when I came to that
- 6 event. I saw what the airport was really about.
- 7 I saw how well-maintained the airport was. I saw
- 8 what a great facility that we have here that I go
- 9 by here every day on my way into town and back on
- my way home.
- And so, my suggestion is, in maybe your next
- budget, next time around, you might think about
- having some event that everyone can go to and see
- what I saw that changed my attitude about the
- 15 St. Augustine Airport.
- MR. COX: Like an airport day?
- MR. ROLAND: An airport day. There was an
- air show. It was -- it was wonderful. Grumman
- was involved. There were airplanes. I paid to go
- 20 on -- I think it was called a Sopwith Camel. I --
- I paid \$20 to take a flight, a short flight on a
- plane that I'll never forget.
- So, my suggestion is to you, you get tied up
- in all of these budgets and all of this day-to-day
- work at the airport, and perhaps some day, think

1	about the whole community, bringing them here and
2	letting them see what this place is really about,
3	because when that happened to me, I didn't care
4	about that little bit of money that's on my taxes
5	for this airport, because I said, you know, that's
6	really worth it.
7	MR. GEORGE: Great. Thank you. Thanks for
8	the comment.
9	MR. GORMAN: Thank you. Those are wonderful
10	comments.
11	MR. GEORGE: Carolyn has a rebuttal. I'm
12	sorry. No. No.
13	MS. McELROY: I do.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
15	MS. McELROY: And a question. The question
16	is about the helicopters. Do they count with the
17	touch-and-go business? So, like the new little
18	helicopter guy who takes people on tours, if he
19	does ten a day on each weekend, that adds to your
20	count.
21	MR. GEORGE: Uh-huh. Yeah.

MS. McELROY: All right. And as far as he

says, I -- I think there should be an airport

appreciation day, too, but I don't think that

22

23

23

### AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

146

1	much from it. It would be like saying that they
2	benefit that much from Cracker Day or whatever,
3	that, you know, okay, that's a nice planned
4	activity, but I don't benefit daily from this
5	airport.
6	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. John?
7	MR. RODERICK: Sir, that's a great idea. And
8	there are citizens planning to do that next year
9	for a benefit. I don't have all the details. We
10	were briefed one time. And the money's going to
11	go to charity for
12	MR. GEORGE: Council on Aging. Council on
13	Aging, right.
14	MR. RODERICK: Right. Council on Aging. And
15	it does not take money from the Airport Authority.
16	We'll have volunteers to help, especially from the
17	Pilots Club.
18	MR. COX: May I make a comment?
19	MR. GEORGE: Yes, sir.
20	MR. COX: Just to put on a public record,
21	some of the things that benefit the citizens of
22	this county that the airport provides, just to go

down a litany of a list of things, air ambulance

24	procedures:	we could	we could	stop all those

and wait 30 minutes for people to come from

# AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004

1	Orlando. Law enforcement, which we have based
2	here, we could wait 30 minutes to come from
3	Jacksonville if we had to. Life Flight people,
4	which bring in
5	MR. GEORGE: And take out.
6	MR. COX: items, and take out items. The
7	Customs people. The Coast Guard search and
8	rescue. I mean, just a whole litany of situations
9	here that are public venue situations that those
10	taxes that people help support this airport help
11	provide. Okay?
12	MR. GEORGE: All right. Okay. No other
13	comments from the board?
14	Anybody else?
15	(No further comments.)
16	MR. GEORGE: Meeting's adjourned.
17	(Thereupon, the meeting adjourned.)
18	
19	
20	
21	

23	
24	
25	
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - October 18, 2004
	148
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	
12	Dated this 26th day of October, 2004.
13	
14	
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida Mr. Garaginian New DD102224
16	My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006
17	
18	
19	
20	

