1 ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY

2	Budget Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, September 8, 2003
6	from 5:01 p.m. to 5:51 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer
10	JOSEPH CIRIELLO BOB COX
11	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
12	
13	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	ALSO PRESENT:
15 16	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
17	
18	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
19	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
20	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
21	
22	St. Augustine Court Reporters
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	(904) 825-0570
25	

1	I N D E X		
2	PAGE		
3 2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3	
4 3.	PRESENTATION OF REVISED S	STAFF BUDGET	3
5 4.	PUBLIC COMMENT	26	
6 5.	DISCUSSION OF BUDGET	38	
7 6.	ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RATE	E 46	
8 7.	ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY	2003-04 BUDGET	48
	ANNOUNCEMENT OF PERCEN MILLAGE EXCEEDS ROLLED-B		OSED 49
10	SETTING OF MEETING FOR FIN	-	TING 49
11	ADJOURNMENT	50	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Stand for the call to
3	order for the budget meeting for the St.
4	Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority.
5	Pledge the flag, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is the published
9	budget for the fiscal year 2003 and '04. We have
10	now the presentation of revised Staff budget by
11	Mr. Wuellner.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. I'll review the
13	budget without undue detail, and if you have
14	questions about specific items, because we've
15	done this a few times, we'll obviously we'll
16	come back with the questions.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just to clarify, the
18	questions will come after public comment?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Or questions could come at
20	any time. I don't
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Personally have no
23	preference, but

24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Statutorily, we follow that

25 if public comment needs to --

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. That part's fine.
2	It's just the order of order of services, so
3	to speak, needs to be the same.
4	If you I put some divider pages this time
5	to help speed it along. And again, if you'll
6	excuse the notations on the bottom right corner,
7	actually appears in various places on various
8	sheets where it refers to it being adopted, and
9	it has a date in there.
10	We use the same form year to year, and the
11	dates get modified after we approve a budget
12	after next meeting, and we'll correct the dates
13	at that time for actual publication. So, pay no
14	attention to those dates and don't get caught up
15	in that.
16	As presented here and as previously
17	discussed, there are 12 Airport Authority Staff
18	positions that equate to a \$586,111 payroll.
19	Operating expenses are currently proposed at
20	\$914,500, with a capital outlay budget this year,
21	which I believe will be the single-largest
22	capital outlay budget ever proposed by the

23	Airport Authority, would come in at \$14,386,667.
----	---

24 A proposed debt service and reserves number

25 of \$1,863,326. And I would point out that while

5

1	we can look at it in detail later, the majority
2	of that is a reserve number, versus an
3	expenditure a true expenditure number.
4	Bringing your total expenditure proposed by this
5	budget at \$17,750,604. And against \$14,796,147
6	in actual revenue, generating an ad valorem
7	requirement as presented here of \$2,954,457,
8	which equates to the millage of .2356 mills.
9	Moving on to revenue, the the pie chart
10	again depicts the key areas of the revenue
11	budget. Loan proceeds, as discussed and
12	incorporated in the budget, equate to about 28.2
13	percent of the revenue picture. Lease revenues
14	equate to about 7.4 percent at \$1,300,000 and
15	change.
16	Ad valorem, as we discussed a minute ago, is
17	\$2.9 million, representing about 16 percent, a
18	little over 16 1/2 percent. Cash forward
19	equating to about 11.3 percent or right at \$2
20	million. And interest income of about a third of

a percent, or \$57,000. Grants totaling

22 \$6,418,000 are proposed, representing about 36

23 percent of the total income.

24 You can see the revenue on the next page is

25 broken down by, in some cases individual tenant,

1	and in some cases groups of tenants. In the
2	cases of like T-hangars and home rentals,
3	they're they're lumped together to show a
4	total. Most of our corporate and commercial-type
5	facilities are individualized so you have some
6	feel for what what we generate on an annual
7	analyzed amount there. Again, the revenue totals
8	are projected at \$17,750,000.
9	Moving on to the Expenditure Budget, I would
10	want to point out I'm sorry. Let me go back
11	to revenue for a second.
12	We did make an adjustment based on our
13	discussion at the last meeting. We did increase
14	the self-fuel revenues in total, approximately
15	I believe it was trouble with the glasses
16	here. I want to say it was about \$40,000 in
17	total
18	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
19	MR. WUELLNER: which was attributable to
20	the proposal on the table relative to self-fuel

21	jet. If the Authority elects to do that, there's
22	a revenue provision for it. If you don't, then
23	it again goes back to reserves.
24	Moving on to Expenditures Summary, debt
25	service and reserves, as we indicated earlier,

1	equates to $10 \ 1/2$ percent of the total
2	expenditure budget, or \$1,863,000. Personnel
3	services is about 3 percent of the total budget,
4	equating to about \$586,000.
5	The operating expenditures equating to 5.2
6	percent of the total budget at \$914,000.
7	Together, that equals about 18, just under 19
8	percent of the total budget as being noncapital.
9	So, obviously 81 percent of the budget at this
10	point is clearly related to capital development
11	on the airport. Significant significant
12	portion of the budget is capital.
13	And moving on to the capital, the two-page
14	capital budget summary, compares a couple of
15	previous years to current year proposals, details
16	by line, to some extent, the operating budgets of
17	personnel services, operating expenditures,
18	capital outlay and debt service and reserves, are
19	all broken down to a greater extent on these

20	sheets.	Again,	the	total	expenditure	budget

21	proposed is \$17,750,604.
22	Some additional sheets are pro

22 Some additional sheets are provided just for

23 other backup. One covers the salaries, wages and

- 24 benefits calculation, goes -- works through that
- 25 exercise. A total salaries, how benefits play

1	into that, and the Authority's share of certain
2	payroll-related taxes, and benefits paid to
3	employees.
4	Capital Equipment Request is the next sheet.
5	Details the couple of items that we have there.
6	Significant among them is a \$150,000 kind of
7	generic item in here that's and should remain
8	generic at this point for security-related
9	equipment improvements on the airport. And we'll
10	discuss the details of that project at a at a
11	later meeting when we have more details, but it's
12	kind of a placeholder and we do expect it will be
13	a number similar to that.
14	We have the next item is the Debt Service
15	Requirements. This represents the debt service
16	annualized for next year based on a \$5 million
17	borrow to be accomplished, hopefully early in the
18	fiscal year. This would be the debt service

19	against that borrow, as if borrowed for a 10-year
20	period, or assuming a 10-year return on that
21	on that borrow. You've got an amount there for
22	interest and an amount there for principal,
23	equating to about \$600,000.
24	Next and and probably the most discussed
25	portion of the budget as always is the Capital

1	Projects and Grant sheet. This details the
2	projects that are currently proposed and
3	currently included in the \$14 million capital
4	program for the year.
5	Some color coating has been done on this
6	sheet, for those of you that have original
7	copies, just to assist in kind of kind of
8	following the bouncing ball, if you will. But
9	these are some of these projects are projects
10	that are carry-forward projects which represent
11	some of what we use the cash-forward total in
12	revenues to go against.
13	So, it's money that was budgeted in this
14	current fiscal year and the previous fiscal year.
15	And the projects are still either waiting to
16	begin or waiting to complete in some cases, and
17	straddle multiple fiscal years. Others are

10	• .	.1 .	. 1		•	•	• .
18	nroiante	that are	truly	now	nroionte	$\alpha \alpha 1 n \alpha$	1nto
18	DIDIECIS	that are	uuiv	IIC W	projects	going	mu
-	F . J				r J · · ·	0.0	

19 the new fiscal year and have new money associated

20 with it versus carry-over money.

21 Last section that we put information on was

- 22 the Florida's DR-420 Form, which is literally our
- 23 representation of the form that's used by the

24 County Property Appraiser's Office and Tax

25 Collector's Office that's required by the State

1	that gives a breakdown of ad valorem-related
2	proceeds, provides the basis for calculating the
3	rollback rate, and provides a way of declaring
4	what the proposed ad valorem millage will be for
5	the the next fiscal year. All that
6	information is in in the DR-420 Form.
7	Two key items are the current proposed
8	aggregate millage as presented in the budget
9	again as .2356, which is the same millage rate as
10	last year. It does generate additional ad
11	valorem revenues because the tax base is larger.
12	But it's the same rate to the average homeowner.
13	The other important note is that that
14	represents a 9.89 percent increase in the actual
15	ad valorem proceeds, the actual dollars. It
16	represents a 9.89 percent increase in that.

17	We included a couple of tables that are just
18	more for you to get a handle on what that
19	represents to the average taxpayer, so when you
20	plug that millage in, you get an idea of how much
21	revenue it generates on the first table, or other
22	millage rates, should should the Authority at
23	some point decide to select a different millage
24	rate. You get some idea, based on this the
25	current tax base, how much revenue that would

1	1
T	T

1	generate.
2	And then the last the last sheet I have
3	here is the Tax Computation sheet, which gives
4	you an idea that after after a deduction for
5	homestead exemption, that the the residual
6	value of your your assessment would require an
7	ad valorem it would generate an ad valorem tax
8	bill, if you will, at a couple of different
9	millage rates here.
10	Gives you what was the original rolled-back
11	rate and also gives you what is the current
12	proposed millage rate of .2356. Gives you an
13	idea of how much an individual homeowner's
14	exposure is in ad valorem directly related to the
15	airport.

16	That's my	summary	of the	budget.	We'd

17	certainly	be	happy	to	entertain	specific
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					~r

questions related to that.

- CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. -- we'll go ahead with
- board discussion now since it's fresh in our

minds. Mr. Ciriello?

- MR. CIRIELLO: No, I just have one real
- quick question. Ed, would you happen to have the
- exact millage ad valorem rate to come out the

same as last year?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
2	MR. CIRIELLO: It would be .2-, maybe 100,
3	or .2
4	MR. WUELLNER: Just had it right there for
5	you. The last sheet you were looking at
6	actually, I'm sorry. It's on your DR-420.
7	MR. CIRIELLO: It would be between the .21
8	and .20, wouldn't it?
9	MR. WUELLNER: It's .2144, is the exact same
10	ad valorem generation as last year.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, see, I don't understand
12	that, because when you when you run the
13	figures from last year's amount of taxes to this
14	year, if we go with the rollback rate of .2144,

15	that's still going to bring in \$111,000 more than
16	last year.
17	MR. WUELLNER: What
18	MR. CIRIELLO: So, to be exactly the same
19	amount as last year, it would have to be less
20	than .2144.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Well
22	MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm asking.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. There there's a
24	slight modification in how they they actually
25	do that.

1	And it's done during what they call the
2	Value Adjustment Board hearings that occurs once
3	a year in the county, wherein while the Property
4	Appraiser may make an assertion that your
5	property's worth \$100,000 for some reason, you
6	have the ability to petition in a proper form to
7	the to the Property Appraiser's Office and
8	have them specifically review that value.
9	And if somewhere during that adjustment
10	board they make a decision it's worth \$110- or
11	\$90-, your the total they're estimating the
12	tax base here when they do the 420. The reality
13	is it's not official until actually after

14 September. They have that hearing and --

15	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, yeah, I know. When I
16	got my tax thing, my property has been appraised
17	just about \$10,000 more than last year, which
18	means I'm going to pay a couple of pennies more.
19	Really not much.
20	So, I was wondering to be specific now,
21	no matter which way you look at it, if we take
22	the .2356 or the .2144, it's a tax increase on
23	the on the citizen because we're paying
24	we're getting more than last year.
25	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. If you want to look at

1	it in aggregate value, it it appears to be.
2	It was not it's I don't know how to
3	describe it. It's not an intentional increase in
4	tax. It's an increase that comes because of the
5	order in which of how taxes are assessed
6	within the state. The Value Adjustment Board
7	hearings do not occur until after each entity,
8	each governmental entity has set their taxes.
9	So, while the Tax Appraiser has given us a
10	value of all the taxable properties in the county
11	in which we use to budget, the reality is, the
12	final actual number doesn't occur until after

13 that budgeting cycle is done.

14	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. So, you're telling me,
15	if say per se we go and get the rollback rate of
16	.2144, and I'm saying the way the figures come
17	out, it's \$111,000 more than last year, after
18	everybody's done and the final figures are in,
19	you're saying they could be less than that.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely.
21	MR. CIRIELLO: But could it be more?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Sure, it could. I don't
23	think they you probably have a quicker answer
24	to this. I don't know that they can assess at a

25 Value Adjustment Board hearing more than what

1	they originally assessed you in your tax notice.
2	But I know they can make an adjustment downward.
3	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. Two things on there of
4	note. The deadline for petitioning to the Value
5	Adjustment Board was September the 2nd. And it's
6	a \$15 fee for anyone for those of the public
7	who are listening, it's a \$15 fee to petition to
8	the Value Adjustment Board.
9	Those hearings are set with a special master
10	in October. A person can appeal yet from the
11	special master to the Value Adjustment Board

12	those hearings. They haven't set the dates in
13	October the last I checked, which was a couple
14	of days ago, they hadn't set the dates yet. But
15	it will be in October, the Value Adjustment
16	Board, with the end of October, early November,
17	which is well beyond the dates that we've got to
18	accomplish this purpose.
19	The thing that Ed's getting alluding to
20	there is folks who petition to challenge their
21	assessment, for lack of a better word, they can
22	get their assessments reduced. I don't believe
23	the Property Appraiser has the ability to
24	increase their assessment based on the hearings
25	at the Value Adjustment Board. However, the next



1	year, the Property Appraiser very well may raise
2	the value even further because of information
3	that it learns at those Value Adjustment Board
4	hearings.
5	Oftentimes, they learn that, for example, a
6	garage is in a better condition than they
7	originally when they took a first look,
8	because they didn't look as detailed as they
9	would when someone petitions.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I I I hear what

11	you're saying, but it just making it simple for
12	me, that if I as a group, we made, say \$2
13	million last year from ad valorem tax, and
14	irregardless of how they decide or how they
15	figure it out or anything like that, if we
16	paid or collected \$2,200,000 this coming
17	fiscal year, any way you look at it, to me, that
18	was a tax increase. I don't care what wording
19	they use to say, "Oh, no, it's not really a tax
20	increase." As long as I'm paying a penny more
21	than I did last year, you know
22	MR. WUELLNER: Well
23	MR. CIRIELLO: as a taxpayer, I it is
24	an increase.
25	MR. WUELLNER: To you to you as an

1	individual, it's not a tax increase, because the
2	millage rate that is applying to your specific
3	home doesn't change. The Authority is not
4	change wouldn't be changing the rate at which
5	you're charged. The total proceeds to the
6	Authority indeed does increase in those cases,
7	because the overall value of the community's
8	taxable property has increased.
9	Typically, that's done in from two ways.

10	One, the Property Appraiser has reassessed
11	existing property and has increased the value of
12	that property. The other is, the more common way
13	in a growing community like ours, is it comes
14	from the addition of new homes to the tax rolls,
15	and new businesses and new developments. All of
16	those generate new tax dollars that expand the
17	amount of total dollars coming to a governmental
18	entity or taxing authority, but hasn't affected
19	the rate at which an individual is paying.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: It hasn't affected the rate,
21	but it does bring more money
22	MR. WUELLNER: It does.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: and if it brings more
24	money, it's an increase. It's just as simple as
25	that.

1	MR. WUELLNER: And the legislature agrees
2	with you.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
4	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's still deceiving
5	to the individual, but
6	MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, Mr. George?
8	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Wuellner, the Capital

9	Projects and Grants, these do not include capital
10	projects and grants that were approved last year,
11	for which funds were received but they have not
12	been the project hadn't been completed. This
13	is all new stuff that would be initiated in the
14	new year; is that correct?
15	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, there are
16	combinations of both. The majority of these
17	projects are new projects, projects that would be
18	begun in this fiscal year and ideally completed
19	within the fiscal year. That that rarely
20	happens.
21	But you do have some projects here which are
22	carry-forward projects, projects that were
23	delayed for one reason, either didn't start, but
24	money was appropriated in a previous year. So,
25	that money is coming forward from a revenue side

1	into cash forward.
2	It's a cash forward. That's where the
3	money's coming from. And then it's just simply
4	carried forward as an expenditure item listed
5	here in capital projects. Examples of that are
6	the rehabilitation of the FBO apron. That was a
7	last year's project. We have delayed that

8	project waiting completion of the terminal. So,
9	it's while it was a project last year, it's a
10	project this year, it was intentionally delayed
11	in this case.
12	There are some that aren't intentional, but
13	by virtue of the speed at which funds were
14	received or development costs or time lines to

15 develop the project, it may be that it got a late

16 start, and some money's expended.

17 Trying to think of others that are -- are

18 like that. Northeast development area is

19 significantly less than what it was originally.

20 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Yeah, I see back on your

21 Expenditure Budget where the -- the cash reserves

and everything are being brought forward for the

23 stuff for last year. That was --

24 MR. WUELLNER: It's approximately a \$2

25 million number there.

20

2 MR. WUELLNER: But keep in mind that \$2

3 million number also includes reserves.

4 MR. GEORGE: Right.

- 5 MR. WUELLNER: So, there's not a
- 6 significant -- it's not a significant amount of

7	capital dollars moving forward. It's most of
8	it's reserve money.
9	If I don't carry it forward, in theory, you
10	lost if you don't. But, I mean, for budget
11	purposes, you carry the money forward into your
12	new year, then you then we create an
13	expenditure item to match it so that we don't
14	lose it.
15	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
16	MR. WUELLNER: That's the previous
17	authorities have made that mistake in not putting
18	an expenditure with it, budgeted it as cash, and
19	then all of the sudden, at the end of the year,
20	you have no reserve because you spent it all, in
21	a sense, in day-to-day operations. Not
22	intentionally, but it's happened.
23	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, I was just looking
24	at the local budget of \$7.7 million. If I took
25	\$5 million out for the loan, that's \$2.7. And

- 21
- 1 we're getting roughly, so --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: That stuff is staying in
- 4 reserves, then.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Some of that --

	6	MR.	GEORGE:	Yeah.
--	---	-----	---------	-------

7 MR. WUELLNER:	A couple hundred thousand was
-----------------	-------------------------------

8 probably reserves. The balance of it is -- is,

9 quote, unquote, ad valorem.

10 MR. GEORGE: Right. Okay.

11 MR. COX: Are we under discussion right now

12 of the budget?

13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.

14 MR. COX: Are we going to public comment

15 after that or --

16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, sir.

17 MR. COX: And then back for discussion?

18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I asked the

19 board if they wanted to do the public discussion

20 first, and Staff said it didn't matter. Either

21 way.

22 MR. COX: All right.

23 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you need to have that

before we talk about adopting anything.

25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, right. Sure.

- 1 Mr. Cox?
- 2 MR. COX: Two items. The Capital Projects
- 3 and Grants under corporate hangars, got \$2.2
- 4 million. What -- what -- is that for

5	improvements what corporate hangars are you
6	talking about?
7	MR. WUELLNER: It's the balance of funding
8	on the northeast area.
9	MR. COX: Northeast area, okay. And also,
10	under the Capital Equipment Request for \$150- for
11	security equipment, you said you were going to
12	hold that aside. Is that just
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're kind of
14	MR. COX: And I know it's not a hard number,
15	but that's just
16	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
17	MR. COX: an estimate right now?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. That that's all
19	we're doing, is providing you an estimate based
20	on what we think we'd like to do. That will form
21	into a project that's ultimately going to be run
22	by you guys, anyway.
23	MR. COX: Is that going to be a
24	MR. WUELLNER: It's more of a placeholder.
25	I don't know how else to describe it.

- 1 MR. COX: Is that going to be enough?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: I -- I think it will be. For
- 3 what -- for what we're looking at doing this

4	year.
5	MR. COX: Yeah. All right.
6	MR. WUELLNER: It may be an ongoing
7	expenditure. I mean, I think there's not not
8	annual, but I think as we elect to make
9	improvements, we'll over the years, it's
10	something we keep addressing annually. You know,
11	as we add new gates, new development locations,
12	things of that nature, you're going to obviously
13	add items
14	MR. COX: Are we going to add new gates this
15	year because of the northeast hangar area?
16	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, we add three new
17	gates in there, but those are
18	MR. COX: Those are what you're planning
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. We add three new gate
20	locations, automated gate locations, but those
21	are included in the capital project.
22	MR. COX: All right.
23	MR. WUELLNER: It's not a we're looking
24	to tying them together information-wise versus
25	hard capital.

- 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just have one
- 2 clarification. Is it fair to say that the \$5

3	million proposed project that we're looking at,
4	that's the majority of where our capital outlay's
5	coming from, and our purpose of doing that,
6	obviously, is to build income-generating
7	buildings.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, that it is fair to
9	say that that is the lion's share, probably
10	five-sevenths, if you will, of the Authority's
11	capital budget. It's not the majority of the
12	total capital budget, but it is the majority of
13	the Authority's capital budget.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And what's the time
15	frame I know we don't really know as far as
16	building the hangars? In other words, when can
17	we start servicing this debt through the rents
18	that we'll be receiving?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're we're putting
20	some of that together now
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
22	MR. WUELLNER: to to bring forward to
23	you, but we're hoping that by late spring, that
24	the majority of acquisitions have been completed
25	and that we can be in a position to move some of

1 the capital aspects forward.

2	You've still then got significant, you know,
3	time lines in developing the capital and
4	occupying the my best guess, I mean, we're
5	hoping to have, an example, apron available by
6	the end of January of next year. Not this coming
7	year, but the following, 2005. That's that's
8	a pretty tight time line. I know that that
9	seems like it's a way out there, but it's a
10	fairly tight time line when you consider you
11	don't own all the property yet.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm just trying to run this
13	because I know there's capital outlays going out
14	there, which seems but we need it right now in
15	order to keep building and then
16	MR. WUELLNER: When we begin
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: we can get
18	income-generating buildings.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Well, you also keep
20	in mind that half of that \$5 million figure is
21	well, is to be returned, or nearly half of it, in
22	FDOT funds
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
24	MR. WUELLNER: over the course of four
25	years. So, it's it retire we're thinking

- 1 it actually retires in about between five to six
- 2 years, worst case, from the Authority side. It
- 3 could retire much quicker than that.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And that will also take out
- 5 some of our overhead expenditures as far as
- 6 maintenance on those properties.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board discussion?
- 9 (No further board discussion.)
- 10 4. PUBLIC COMMENT
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment?
- 12 Mr. Slingluff?
- 13 MR. SLINGLUFF: I've just -- I've got three
- 14 areas of concern on the budget that was presented
- 15 to the board here.
- 16 The first, as I understand -- and I -- with
- 17 government, revenue is -- the definition of
- 18 revenue is a little bit different than what it is
- 19 in business. And -- and I understand that.
- 20 I do have a question, though, that we're
- 21 carrying \$2 million as money being carried over
- 22 from the previous year, and you have that listed
- as revenue. That's really already cash in hand.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- 25 MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay. Thus -- I mean --

1	MR. WUELLNER: It's actually cash forward.
2	It's not a true revenue
3	MR. SLINGLUFF: It's cash forward, but it's
4	cash that the airport owns. Plus, it's it's
5	an asset.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
7	MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay. And then, also, you
8	have state and federal grants listed as revenue.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
10	MR. SLINGLUFF: But they're going forward
11	and they depend on performance over a
12	multiyear
13	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
14	MR. SLINGLUFF: time line.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Or or it can be single
16	year, depending on
17	MR. SLINGLUFF: Or single year.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
19	MR. SLINGLUFF: But they're they're
20	per to the penny, there's an allocation there for
21	a project.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Yes.
23	MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay.
24	MR. WUELLNER: And as as you pointed out,
25	it's it's performance based

1	MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah.
2	MR. WUELLNER: in that we don't we
3	don't have this money in the bank.
4	MR. SLINGLUFF: It's not found money.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's not money that's
6	in the bank either.
7	MR. SLINGLUFF: Correct. And we can't spend
8	it on something else.
9	MR. WUELLNER: It's reimbursable, if you
10	want to call it
11	MR. SLINGLUFF: Exactly. Exactly. So, if
12	we take the \$2 million out and we take out the
13	the state grants and the federal grants, the \$17
14	million really comes down to \$9,332,000.
15	In the Expenditure Budget, then, moving on
16	to my my second point and I just bring this
17	up because in going through this and analyzing
18	what I what I do in a general ledger, it's
19	very different. And and I'm not being
20	adversarial here at all. I just want to look at
21	it in a way that might be clear for all of us.
22	So, if we look at Expenditure Budget, what
23	is presented is physical (sic) year '01 and '02,
24	and physical year '02-'03, as approved by the
25	previous boards

1	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
2	MR. SLINGLUFF: and physical year '03-'04
3	recommendation to the board. But what I find
4	missing here is the actual expenditures in
5	contrast to the previous recommended
6	expenditures.
7	In other words, there's no metric there
8	to to measure what was budgeted and what the
9	performance was. And and so, you know, is
10	is moving forward from physical year '02 to '04,
11	are are we just using a mathematical equation
12	there to advance, you know, to for growth?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. No, that yeah,
14	that's not the case. We don't, you know, just
15	arbitrarily do that. They're compared.
16	These these individual lines, in
17	particular on the Expenditure Budget, correlate
18	directly to the financial statements of the
19	Authority's, which are independently done by the
20	CPA, and then, of course, audited annually also.
21	So, they while these are a little more
22	vanilla, if you will, than the actual
•••	

- 23 expenditures in terms of the totals, they
- 24 reconcile annually through the audit process.

23

this year is, the total?

1	reviewing the audit while you're reviewing the
2	budget proposal in making that that analysis?
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't want to speak
4	for
5	MR. GEORGE: Absolutely.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: all the board members,
7	but we have been given all that information, yes.
8	MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay. Fiscal '02-'03 total
9	operating expenses were \$913,000. It's estimated
10	this year to be \$914,000 a thousand dollar
11	increase. Yet we have many millions of dollars
12	of new assets at the airport.
13	It begs the question of how we're
14	maintaining those assets, how the preventive
15	maintenance programs are being budgeted for those
16	increases. And again, we're then seeking
17	another, is it \$5 million, or is it \$14 million
18	total in in new programs to the airport?
19	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not sure I understand
20	what you're
21	MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, in in this this
22	year, we're going to the capital outlay for

24 MR. WUELLNER: Fourteen million.

25 MR. SLINGLUFF: Fourteen million. Yet we

1	have an increase of a thousand dollars in the
2	operating expenses. If you look at the line
3	items, we actually decrease expenditures on
4	repair and maintenance of buildings, repair and
5	maintenance of equipment, repair and maintenance
6	of grounds.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
8	MR. SLINGLUFF: As items get older, they
9	tend to demand more money to be spent on them, or
10	they need to be recapitalized. You know, you
11	have depreciation. You have to roll the
12	depreciation back in. But that does cost money
13	to be recapitalized.
14	So, I'm just I'm questioning how that's
15	being done. I'd like to have something that
16	doesn't cost me more and more to to keep it
17	maintained.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, majority of our
19	operating expenditures related to capital,
20	especially those that are particularly those
21	that are leased properties, we transfer
22	maintenance obligations to the tenants in nearly

all new leases

24 MR. SLINGL	UFF: Absolutely.
---------------	------------------

25 MR. WUELLNER: -- so that we don't have that

1	obligation anymore, unlike previous authorities,
2	where we continued that maintenance obligation
3	beyond or as a part of the lease agreement.
4	So, we we don't have we've also, over
5	the previous, gosh, actually probably five years,
6	have overbudgeted in those particular maintenance
7	areas in an effort to catch back up many of the
8	facilities that did have asset aging and
9	related problems, as we as an example, gate
10	operators and and hard equipment on the
11	airport that, when we when I first got here,
12	were we were making business decisions to buy
13	whatever was the lowest lowest-priced product,
14	necessarily, and sacrificing long-term
15	maintenance or and/or having to redundantly
16	stock parts for five different operators.
17	And we now don't do those things in in
18	specifying single as an example, on the gates,
19	we we have the same same gate operator on
20	all locations. So, we can stockpile a reasonable
21	amount of parts, and everybody's you know,

22 it's basically our -- our advantages have been in

23 efficiencies of doing maintenance the way we've

been doing them over the last few years compared

to the way we used to do it.

1	So and we look at these each time we go
2	into the budget cycle and compare to our exact
3	our our actual expenditures each year, and
4	look at also for the anomalies that have occurred
5	there. So, when we have individual line items
6	that are exceeded annually, we go back and want
7	to know why those have been exceeded and is that
8	a recurring problem? Was it something that just
9	cropped up out of the blue so to speak, and make
10	budget adjustments based on that. So, that's why
11	you see some of these go up, some of them go
12	down.
13	The net across here this year, most of our
14	changes came from an increase in insurance, was
15	our single probably one line item that went up
16	because it's as you well know, since 9/11, you
17	know, are in you know, overall in liability
18	and property insurance has gone through the roof.
19	But you probably will see here that legal
20	expenditures and the like have begun to work

- their way downward, because we had a two-year
 anomaly, that kind of sided with the Bosanko
 matter, that literally required an infusion of
 money into that line item to preserve and protect
- 25 the Airport Authority's charter in that action.

1	So we're you know, we again, we look
2	at those and go, all right, well, we don't see
3	that exposure on the legal side for the next 12
4	months that wouldn't be recouped. So again, we
5	look we look at them individually and we also
6	look at them and go look, we budgeted \$3,000, as
7	an example, in books and subscriptions and didn't
8	spend it, didn't spend anywhere near that number.
9	So, why do we keep holding \$3,000 on there?
10	Let's put it down into something closer to what
11	we would likely expect to use and made the
12	adjustments based on that instead of wholesale
13	applying the rate of inflation, around 3 percent,
14	and increase everything 3 percent. And
15	MR. SLINGLUFF: And I understand there's
16	a you know, some years, there'll be higher
17	expenses on on some budget items, and and
18	other years, you may not spend as much on legal
19	fees or in in professional services and things

20 like that.

21	But when you're talking about capital assets
22	that the airport owns, to see a decrease in the
23	budget line item for those capital assets, even
24	though some of them, the responsibility of that
25	maintenance may be transferring to the tenant,

1	the overall responsibility of the asset is to
2	maintain it in in in proper fashion.
3	If we look at the Capital Projects and
4	Grants in in the one sheet there, I went
5	through and I just looked at it as to, okay, what
6	do we currently have and what is what is
7	expansion?
8	Well, if you look at like rehabilitating the
9	FBO apron sorry, I'm partial to that one
10	site development for the northeast hangar area,
11	those I would say those are current assets
12	that we're we're spending some more money on.
13	But out of that, if I look at what's new and
14	what's what's pending to be or what's
15	already in place, what's already in place is only
16	\$1.8 million, and you have for new expansion, \$12
17	million. Yet the maintenance of that is going to
18	be done for \$914,000, as you bring those on line?

- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Well, some of these items --
- 20 MR. SLINGLUFF: The math doesn't work.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: -- won't require maintenance,
- 22 because there'll be lucky to be completed in
- the -- in the next fiscal year. They won't even
- transfer to a maintenance obligation until at
- least a year beyond that.

1	As an example, large products, the taxiway
2	project, I know once that's completed and
3	actually in operation, there's virtually no
4	maintenance other than than lightbulb
5	maintenance on it on a recurring basis for
6	probably three to five years when it gets
7	restriped, which is still a minor expenditure.
8	Going out further, when you get out to the
9	point where pavement is to a point where you
10	actually consider a project, we'd likely be
11	looking at improvements in the wearing surface
12	itself, which becomes a grant-eligible project,
13	and and we would be able to approach it from a
14	grant standpoint and get at least 80 percent of
15	it funded by by someplace else.
16	MR. SLINGLUFF: Eventually.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. But there's no

18	there's no ongoing maintenance obligation with
19	blacktop, as an example, in day-in and day-out
20	maintenance obligation. And fortunately, we
21	have, you know, an entity like Grumman that runs
22	around and vacuums it all for us every day. So,
23	it doesn't become an Authority investment; it
24	it's actually, again, another tenant that's
25	more

1	MR. SLINGLUFF: But you're taking the same
2	12 employees and spreading them thinner and
3	thinner and thinner over a vaster you know, an
4	airport that has increased its basis quite a bit.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you know, when I look
6	at it and go, well, you know, actually, we we
7	operated, you know and I rarely admit this,
8	but we operated this year with five employees
9	instead of six in the maintenance field. Next
10	year, we'll operate with the full six. We
11	actually got got more done this year with the
12	five we had than the previous year with six.
13	MR. SLINGLUFF: And are all projects up to
14	date, current?
15	MR. WUELLNER: To my knowledge, we're
16	we're in good shape.

/.
ł

18	MR. WUELLNER: We have a list of you
19	know, our Authority staff, thankfully, on a
20	maintenance side, has been able to save literally
21	hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars in
22	category
23	MR. SLINGLUFF: I think they yeah, they
23 24	MR. SLINGLUFF: I think they yeah, they work very hard, and they're very diligent out

2	Q
J	0

1	question: How how can we keep adding to the
2	pie and yet we have a thousand dollar increase?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you know, in fairness,
4	in the seven and a half years I've been here, I
5	mean, we've increased airport maintenance staff
6	from two people to to a total of seven, you
7	know. So, we've not been unsensitive to the fact
8	it does when you add facilities, we've got
9	maintenance obligations to take care of.
10	Unfortunately, when I got here, we weren't doing
11	any of them.
12	MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further public comment?
14	(No further public comment.)
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Close public comment. Any

16	further	board	discussion	of	the	budget?
----	---------	-------	------------	----	-----	---------

	17	Mr.	Ciriello?
--	----	-----	-----------

18 5. - DISCUSSION OF BUDGET

19	MR. CIRIELLO:	Yeah.	Talking about	capital
----	---------------	-------	---------------	---------

- 20 projects, I would actually -- like I've stated
- 21 already before, I'd like to see the Araquay Park
- 22 apron project taken out, the self-fuel facility
- taken out, and that \$5 million for getting the
- rest of Araquay Park property, because I don't
- agree with the buying all that property up, never

1	did.

2	And as far as this self-fuel thing and the
3	Araquay Park apron, to my perspective I don't
4	know about the rest of y'uns, but to my
5	perspective, it came out of the clear blue sky
6	and was on the on the budget, was never put on
7	the table to be discussed, say for a couple of
8	meetings, so you could get a better handle on it,
9	and then it's almost like it's the last minute,
10	you have to make a decision.
11	Because there's as far as that park apron
12	thing goes, there's a lot of questions in my mind
13	about that. You know, it's not just going out
14	there and paving up an area and say, Okay, all

15	you guys coming to the Super Bowl, here's a place
16	to park. There's going to be a lot of questions
17	that has to be answered. And things like that
18	should have been discussed before it even got on
19	this budget.
20	And now we don't have that, that time. It's
21	just either give me the money or forget it. And
22	until we have discussions on it, I would like to
23	see it taken out of the budget.

24 Same way with that self-fuel thing. That

25 was a last-second addition, you know. Nobody

1	even thought about it. I don't know if any of
2	you guys did or not, but I certainly never did,
3	or didn't even think about it.
4	And, of course, that acquisition money for
5	Araquay Park, I don't like it. So, I'd just like
6	to see those three budgets or items taken out.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further discussion?
8	MR. GEORGE: Ed, the T-hangars, I think that
9	this year for all T-hangars, we were getting
10	about \$300,000 in revenue from them, something
11	like that?
12	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GEORGE: Looking at the first phase of

14	T-hangars for the new area back in here, maybe
15	you know, I think we the talk had been at 48,
16	something like that? What kind of revenue does
17	that 48 hangars bring in?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's approximately
19	for each 12-unit T-hangar, the new hangars, like
20	K, L, and M, approximately \$31,000 a unit per
21	year is revenue.
22	MR. GEORGE: \$31,000 per 12 units?
23	MR. WUELLNER: At at today's rental rate.
24	MR. GEORGE: So, if we did 48 of them, that

would be 4, 12 units.

1	MR. WUELLNER: \$120,000.
2	MR. GEORGE: That's \$120,000.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Just under \$125
4	MR. GEORGE: Well, it it's my opinion
5	that we we need increased capital investments
6	to bring in the revenue so that it will pay the
7	bills on a day-to-day basis. We've made some
8	good investments this past year with the terminal
9	building. I understand that the people are in.
10	That's good. The shade hangar will be bringing
11	in additional revenue, and then the northeast.
12	I think if we can give ourselves the ability

13	to grow, because right now we're constrained; we
14	don't have anyplace to grow, to make give us
15	the ability to grow, to give us to make some
16	of these other investments, we can develop a
17	fairly strong plan for eliminating ad valorem
18	taxes all together.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Why don't you look at it on
20	the other side of the issue? You were just
21	mentioning how much revenue these 48 units are
22	going to bring, as though that's really nice.
23	Well, what about the other side of it? How
24	much is it going to cost to build these 48 before
25	you even get any revenue? And then the 48 that

1	you're going to build, how many families are you
2	disrupting and kicking out of their homes just so
3	you can have a place to put your
4	hundred-thousand-dollar airplane in?
5	I think that's important. I don't think
6	disrupting people's lives for and I don't want
7	to make you guys mad, but for a selfish little
8	reason of personal issue because I've had
9	three airplanes and I'd like to have them in
10	hangars, too, whenever I had them.
11	But there's there's that side, too.

12	What's these 48 units going to cost to build?
13	You know, you've got to pay that before you get
14	the revenue. You're going to get a hundred and
15	some thousand dollars a year out of 48 units, but
16	what's that 48 units going to cost you before you
17	get that?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Somewhere between \$1.6 and \$2
19	million, is my best guess on it, which is about a
20	13-year total payback, if you were paying the
21	entirety of the nut. The reality is you'll share
22	it with DOT and probably repay, if you applied
23	all revenues, in seven years. So, everything
24	after seven years is entire revenue.
25	MR. GEORGE: I've never seen

.. . .

43

1 MR. WUELLNER: Except for maintenance. 2 MR. GEORGE: I've never seen a business that doesn't have to make investments to stay up with 3 4 demand. And this county's growing. The list of 110 people on the waiting list for hangars now is 5 a -- is an ideal example of that. 6 7 The type of houses that are going in at 8 Palencia and Nocatee, those are people that have 9 airplanes, and they're willing to pay us money that we can in turn get off the tax rolls, which 10

	11	I thought	was your	objective
--	----	-----------	----------	-----------

12 MR. CIRIELLO: No, no, no. 13 MR. GEORGE: But to sit back and put our 14 head in the sand like an ostrich and say, no, it 15 will go away, you're just perpetuating the ad 16 valorem tax. 17 MR. CIRIELLO: No. You misunderstand. I 18 have never ever stood up and told anybody -- in 19 all the years I've ran for this Authority, in 20 public speaking or anything, has ever said this 21 airport will ever pay for itself. 22 I -- I'm the only one I know of that's told 23 people it will never pay for itself, not because of any misrep -- mis -- you know, mishandling. 24 25 It's because there is a circle out there.

1	Whenever you build something, capital plan,
2	you spend money. Then you get your revenue, and
3	then in on that circle, you have your maintenance
4	and your upkeep and everything, and the circle
5	comes closed. But then when that comes closed,
6	you've got another circle starting. You're
7	constantly building.
8	Look at your ideas of your master plan down
9	the road. We're talking about another runway

10	across the air road. I even brought up the
11	idea of building another airport south of us to
12	alleviate traffic.
13	But there's you know, we're talking about
14	a single-purpose entity here that doesn't
15	benefit of course, it benefits everybody; I
16	understand that. But basically it's you're
17	saying about the hangar and everything, you're
18	you're leaving the people out of the equation.
19	So, I don't care how many rich people
20	whoops move in up at Palencia and have
21	airplanes and are willing to pay for hangars.
22	I'm concerned about the people we're displacing
23	to give them that privilege.

- 24 I don't know. I don't see where just
- 25 because somebody has a big expensive airplane,

1	that he thinks he has a right to disrupt people's
2	lives just so he can hangar the darn thing.
3	I you know, there's nothing in law that
4	says if an airport gets filled to capacity, that
5	you have to keep expanding no matter who you hurt
6	to to alleviate
7	MR. GEORGE: Grumman brings in economic

8 impact, what, \$90 million a year around here?

- 9 MR. WUELLNER: At least.
- 10 MR. GEORGE: Huh?
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: At least.
- 12 MR. GEORGE: Other people coming in is going
- 13 to have an economic impact on the community --
- 14 MR. CIRIELLO: Grumman hasn't kicked anybody
- 15 out of their homes. They own most of what they
- 16 have over there, except for the North 40. All
- 17 this stuff on this side of U.S. 1 is theirs. But
- 18 they haven't kicked anybody out of their homes.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you know, in fairness,
- 20 you drove here today on property that you kicked
- somebody off of.
- 22 MR. GEORGE: U.S. 1.
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: I mean, every public road was
- 24 owned by somebody.
- 25 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I wasn't here then to

- 1 voice my displeasure about that, but I'm here
- 2 now.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: So, you don't think that U.S. 1
- 4 should have been built.
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: I don't know.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Do we have any more
- 7 further discussion with regards to the budget,

- 8 our first meeting?
- 9 Hearing no further discussion, we have to --10 is it a motion we need to entertain for adoption 11 of the millage rate? 12 6. - ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RATE 13 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You need to adopt the 14 tentative millage rate. And it's important to 15 use the word "tentative," because it will not 16 become finally adopted until next week. You 17 adopt the tentative millage rate, which would 18 be -- is currently shown at .2356 mills. That 19 would require just a motion from the Authority. 20 MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we accept the 21 .2356 millage rate as a tentative millage rate. 22 MR. COX: I'll second that. 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'm speaking to that 24 25 wall over there, but I want to amend it to go to

- 1 the .2144, the rollback rate.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we have a motion --
- 3 MR. GEORGE: I don't agree.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- and a second, so...
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Let's turn this one down; then
- 6 you can do yours.

7	CHAIRMAN	GREEN:	Right.
---	----------	--------	--------

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Huh?
9	MR. GEORGE: Turn this motion, which has
10	been seconded down, or you could
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, you could always make
12	an amendment to the motion as long as it's the
13	same the same thought. So, I didn't get a
14	second, so there's no there's no reason to
15	even bring it up after you voted on this. You
16	can always amend a motion as long it's the same
17	thought.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion or a
19	second on the amendment?
20	(No further discussion or second.)
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion on the
22	floor that's been seconded to accept as our
23	tentative rollback rate or a tentative millage
24	rate .2356. All in favor?
25	MR. GEORGE: Aye.

- 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- MR. COX: Aye. 2
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: No.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, tentatively, we've set

7	7 ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2003-04 BUDGET
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then next we need a motion
9	to accept tentatively our proposed budget for our
10	fiscal year 2003-04.
11	MR. GEORGE: I don't understand.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, once we have the
13	millage rate, then the proposed budget, tentative
14	proposed budget, we need to vote on that.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: In other words, we're voting
16	on for the total of
17	MR. WUELLNER: Seventeen
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Seventeen point or
19	\$17,750
20	MR. WUELLNER: \$17,750,604.
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Tentative adoption of the
23	budget.
24	MR. COX: I'll move that we adopt the
25	tentative fiscal year 2003-04 budget

- 1 MR. GEORGE: I second that.
- 2 MR. COX: -- as proposed.

- 3 MR. GEORGE: I second that.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Further discussion?

5	(No discussion.)
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor?
7	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
9	MR. COX: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
11	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
12	8 ANNOUNCEMENT OF PERCENTAGE THAT PROPOSED
13	MILLAGE EXCEEDS ROLLED-BACK RATE
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Wuellner, you have an
15	announcement of
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. At this point,
17	it's appropriate we announce the tentative
18	percentage that the proposed millage rate exceeds
19	the rollback rate, and that percent is 9.89
20	percent.
21	9 SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING
22	MR. WUELLNER: The next item would be to set
23	your final budget hearing. And we'll advertise
24	appropriately and consistent with Florida
25	Statutes in advance of that meeting. Our

1 suggestion is at 5:01 the 15th, which is next

- 2 Monday, interrupting the regular agenda as
- 3 necessary to do the public hearing at 5:01.

4 MR	GEORGE: Okay.
5 MR	WUELLNER: Is that agreeable?
б СН	AIRMAN GREEN: That's fine. Accept the
budget	
3 MR	WUELLNER: With that
) 10	ADJOURNMENT OF PUBLIC HEARING
0 CH	AIRMAN GREEN: That being all for the
1 first b	dget meeting, the meeting's adjourned.
2 (Where	pon, the meeting adjourned at 5:51 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)		
5			
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I		
7	was authorized to and did stenographically report the		
8	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true		
9	record of my stenographic notes.		
10			
11	Dated this 14th day of September, 2003.		
12			
13	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR		
14	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224		
15	Expires: April 30, 2006		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
25			