ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY 1 2 **Regular Meeting** 3 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North 4 St. Augustine, Florida 5 on Monday, December 3, 2012 6 from 4:00 p.m. to 6:09 p.m. 7 8 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 9 **KELLY BARRERA** CARL YOUMAN, Chairman 10 JAMES WERTER, Secretary-Treasurer **ROBERT COX** JOSEPH CIRIELLO 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, St. Johns Law Group, 509 14 Anastasia Boulevard, St. Augustine, FL, 32080, Attorney 15 for Airport Authority. 16 EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. 18 19 20 21 JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR 22 St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084 23 (904) 825-0570

1	I N D E X	
2	PAGE	
3	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
4	MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL	REPORT 3
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT	4
6	BUSINESS PARTNERS UPDATE	12
7	BUSINESS ITEMS	
8 9	<ul><li>A. Annual Audit - Carr, Riggs &amp; Ing</li><li>B. Sustainability Report Card</li><li>C. Officer &amp; Committee Selections</li></ul>	ram 34 40 48
10	PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL	None
11	AUTHORITY MEMBER COMMENTS	S AND REPORTS
12	ADJOURNMENT	109
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Northeast Florida Regional
3	Airport, St. Augustine-St. Johns County Authority
4	meeting is now to order on December the 3rd at
5	4 o'clock p.m. in the year of the Lord 2012. To
6	start the meeting off, may we do the Pledge of
7	Allegiance, please?
8	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I see we have a few people
10	here from the public to speak. If you wish to
11	speak, there are sheets to fill out at the desk.
12	So if you would do so, I would appreciate it so we
13	can have you speak. Thank you so much.
14	MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL REPORT
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Meeting minutes and
16	financial report. Are there any corrections or
17	amendments to the meeting minutes from the last
18	meeting? If none, I'll declare the minutes
19	approved as distributed.
20	(None.)
21	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Meeting minutes from the
22	last meeting approved. Mr. Werter, financial
23	report, please?

1 PROCEEDINGS

MR. WERTER: Yeah, I just reviewed it this

25 morning -- or rather this afternoon. I didn't see

- 1 any irregularities, so I move we approve it.
- 2 CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Is it unanimous that we
- 3 approve the financial report based on Mr. Werter's
- 4 review? Can I have ayes?
- 5 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
- 6 MR. WERTER: Aye.
- 7 MR. COX: Aye.
- 8 MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- 9 CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Aye.
- 10 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
- 11 CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Now may I have the executive
- 12 director's report, please?
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Make sure it's on. Good. Yes,
- 14 sir, the projects to report on include the Taxiway
- 15 Bravo project. I'm happy to report we've got the
- 16 first -- the base course on the entire taxiway
- 17 complete at this point.
- 18 The electrical rough-in installation which
- 19 includes cans, conduit, and the like that go in the
- 20 shoulder areas is concluded. Should be done today
- 21 or early tomorrow. They are back on-site Wednesday
- 22 morning at the crack of dawn to begin the final
- 23 core -- asphalt paving course. That should bring
- them into Monday at the latest.

Monday the electricians will be back to begin

5

1	physically installing the light fixtures in place.
2	And we're simply waiting on markings on the
3	pavement and we'll be up and running. We may have
4	some work on the shoulder areas, grassing, turf,
5	that kind of thing that will go on over the next
6	couple of weeks, but we're we're basically at
7	the end here. Everything's looking good. And
8	everybody's excited about finally getting that
9	thing completed and off the airport.
10	I do want to make you aware of a couple of
11	grant opportunities I'll assume that you-all will
12	want me to continue to pursue. I don't know
13	anything beyond their availability right now. One
14	involves 100 percent funding of some access road
15	construction. That will be administered by Florida
16	DOT and we're going to pursue it.
17	I had a brief conversation with Northrop
18	Grumman end of last week. We can leverage some of
19	their jobs to go after some of that road funding on
20	the east side. That would be the straightening
21	if you recall on the master plan, straightening
22	Hawkeye View Road out to Gun Club basically and
23	improving it out to U.S. 1 at some point.
24	That will open a much larger piece of property

file:///SI/Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

25 to the airport to be able to continue to develop

1	corporate and commercial hangars on the east side
2	of the airport. It will also support Grumman in
3	future development over on the east side. So we'll
4	pursue that. There's potentially four or more
5	million dollars available at 100 percent funding,
6	so we'll we'll hammer at that and see if we
7	can't get a piece of that.
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: That's 4 is that a total
9	4 million for us or going after pieces of it for
10	projects?
11	MR. WUELLNER: There would be \$4 million or up
12	to \$4 million available to build whatever we could
13	of this road. We could probably start we'll
14	probably design it as a four-lane road and build
15	initially as a two-lane road with the ability to
16	add more.
17	As more jobs become available or are able to
18	be leveraged over on the east side, we'll be able
19	to use that or justify or obtain additional funding
20	for more lanes over there. So it's just a good way
21	to get that door cracked and allow more importantly
22	for us aviation-related properties to be able to
23	develop.
24	But it's out there. It's been in the master

25 plan since what, 2005, 2006 when it was last done,

1	and get get that property opened up for us to
2	explore some more opportunities. But we're on the
3	edge of land poor at this point. So it's
4	especially on the east side.
5	The other grant potentially available where
6	I'll be working with Norm Gregory at EDC I don't
7	know if he wandered in since, but be working with
8	shortly to see if we can't secure, there's some
9	50/50 funding again tied to some jobs that
10	potentially we can leverage. One point up to
11	one \$1.5 million of State money with
12	Airport Authority money to construct hangar
13	business, commercial hangar kind of facilities
14	again targeted for us. It would be on the east
15	side of the airport at this point.
16	Now, the good thing about this road fund, the
17	previous grant, is that we can go to this well on a
18	somewhat recurring basis. So that money is
19	available at this point on an annual basis. All we
20	have to do is have economic development projects
21	that are tied to jobs to be able to continually go
22	to that that particular well.
23	And these funds are independent of the
24	aviation funds that we normally program. So

25 it's -- it's all good stuff and hopefully we'll be

1	able to do it. I've been told we may be able to
2	leverage that to west side U.S. 1 things, too. So
3	it doesn't have to be tied to aviation projects; it
4	can be just general economic development projects.
5	What else can I tell you here?
6	Just for your edification, we have reached
7	substantial agreement we do not have the
8	paperwork caught up with this yet, but we have
9	reached a substantial agreement with Northrop
10	Grumman on the renewal, the five-year renewal of
11	the North 40 complex that's been hanging out there
12	a while. It's essentially a split-the-difference
13	scenario between the two appraisals. And it would
14	be for a five-year period.
15	You may remember I approached you a while back
16	about a 2-1-1-1 kind of a scenario. It appears
17	that that's not on the table at this point; it
18	would just be a straight five-year agreement. I'll
19	keep you informed. It is one of these you
20	probably remember me saying, too, that this goes
21	back to October 1st.
22	So while the paperwork's being caught up, it's
23	not like we're not just getting paid for this.
24	We'll get a much bigger first check, for lack of

1	is north of \$800,000 a year is what the rental
2	return is on this, so for the next five years.
3	So you're going to be north of \$4 million of
4	additional revenue over the next new revenue for
5	the North 40 on the next five years. That's all
6	good stuff.
7	Economic development planning, I mentioned we
8	were going to do a little more detail this month.
9	That's not going to happen. We've just run out of
10	clock on this. We have met once. We are including
11	the schools through project-based learning efforts
12	and we're going to involve some of the high school
13	academies in some of the peripheral planning work
14	for for economic development.
15	We will be we have not submitted but will
16	submit very shortly the scope of work to DOT so
17	that the grant gets gets committed. You
18	approved the grant last month at your I guess
19	your last Airport Authority meeting. So that's
20	still in process with DOT and we'll have to details
21	for that.
22	Lastly, I'd like to bring you're dealing
23	with the Attorney General?
24	MR. BURNETT: (Nods head.)

MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I thought you were.

1	The last I'd like to introduce or reintroduce
2	to most of you Elizabeth Cecconi. Elizabeth is
3	our new. She's really just been with us a few
4	days here doing our marketing and public relations
5	for the Airport Authority.
6	Some of her primary duties will of course be
7	related to air service development. She'll also be
8	general marketing with us and helping us with
9	public relations, press releases, those kinds of
10	events in the community. Just building general
11	welfare in the community related to the airport.
12	So I don't know if, Elizabeth, you want to get up
13	and say hi or
14	MS. CECCONI: Yeah. Hello. I met many of you
15	last month when I came in
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Could you go to the podium?
17	MS. CECCONI: I can, yes.
18	MR. WERTER: She's mic shy.
19	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think she's just
20	new.
21	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I didn't want Cindy to say
22	it.
23	MS. CECCONI: Well, I wasn't expecting a mic
24	today. I'm glad to talk. But it's very nice to

25 meet you and be here.

1	I'm working with Ed and the team and you guys
2	hopefully at least for the next year or so through
3	a contract relationship with my company. I'll come
4	down and visit probably about once a month to work
5	on air service development related marketing
6	efforts, figure out what type of commercial
7	viability we have as the Northeast Florida Regional
8	Airport, what we can become and what we should be
9	pushing to become, figure out some of that market
10	research that we need to develop to get the
11	carriers to buy and have interest. And also work
12	with the community to talk with them more about the
13	regional transportation asset that the airport is
14	and figure out, you know, exactly how we can
15	approach that moving forward and better develop our
16	relationships with the community.
17	So I'm excited to be here and to work with all
18	of you. And feel free to contact me any time.
19	Maybe we can send out a mass e-mail to all of them
20	with my contact information so you guys can feel
21	free to reach me at any time moving forward. I'm
22	happy to be here. Thanks.
23	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Anybody have any questions
24	of Elizabeth?

25 MR. WUELLNER: And we have her approximately

1	20 hours a week, so
2	MR. WERTER: Would this include a marketing
3	survey of the St. Johns population's view on
4	commercial air traffic?
5	MS. CECCONI: It very well might. Ed and I
6	are actually working just this week to develop what
7	kind of marketing goals and objectives we have
8	moving forward. We would love to share those with
9	you in the upcoming weeks as well, but that's one
10	of the things we've been discussing.
11	MR. WERTER: Very good.
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you, Elizabeth. Are
13	you finished, Mr. Wuellner? Any comments or
14	questions of Mr. Wuellner on his presentation from
15	the board?
16	(None.)
17	BUSINESS PARTNER UPDATE
18	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Business partner updates.
19	Mr. Ron Sanchez, county commissioner liaison to the
20	airport.
21	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Good afternoon.
22	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Good afternoon.
23	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Pleasure being here as
24	usual.

1	good shape, exactly where we had planned to be a
2	couple of years ago when we worked out some things.
3	And our two new commissioners are now seated.
4	That's going to work out fine. I don't foresee any
5	problems there.
6	And I know that there's word out that we have
7	a few more economic development things happening,
8	and the one word I was informed on very early on
9	was that one of them could be an Olive Garden. And
10	I said I might vote for that. I've been preaching
11	for an Olive Garden since I've been in the county,
12	and that's six years, so I think that's going
13	to work out, though. They have to come to our
14	board and get approval on a piece of property as
15	far as doing something on it and then it moves from
16	there.
17	I met with Congressman DeSantis today. He met
18	with all of the different commissioners over there
19	and we had a nice talk. And I reminded him of
20	course about the airport and how very good John
21	Mica treated the airport. And I told him that he
22	probably needed to get in touch with Ed.
23	You know, I'm sure everyone's hoping they're
24	going to get the same kind of service and I'm

25 pretty sure we're going to do. He's -- he's pretty

1	much in line with everything. And he is talking
2	about looking for space now to open his main office
3	in St. Augustine. So, that's a good sign. But
4	anyway, if you have any space you're trying to
5	Anyway, I don't know what else to tell you.
6	The amphitheatre's doing fantastic at this point.
7	We are making moves to where we will start going
8	into an agreement on an annual basis with someone
9	that wants to place their name on the amphitheatre.
10	That's a big moneymaker, and we knew that. I told
11	them six years ago when we I talked them into
12	keeping that under our control, I said, you know,
13	four or five years when it gets settled and you
14	know exactly where it's going, that's when we need
15	to, you know, start selling some advertisement. So
16	we're going to be doing that.
17	And building permits are up residential-wise.
18	Some of my friends in the contracting business that
19	have somewhat disliked me for a while since they
20	weren't very busy and I closed my company down, but
21	they're now coming around saying, you know, things
22	are picking up. As a matter of fact, one of the
23	plumbers used to do some work for me said, "Ron, I
24	am swamped." He said, "I can't really take on

25 anything else."

1	And so those are all good signs of the
2	economy, and I think it's up to the people to bring
3	the economy around. Government can't do that.
4	Federal government can't do it, although they
5	continue wanting to try. But it's up to the people
6	to turn this thing around. Once the people decide
7	to go ahead and invest and spend and move on with
8	their life, you're going to see the economy change.
9	If there's any questions, I'll be glad to
10	answer them. If when does your new member take
11	a seat, January?
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: January.
13	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay. Looking forward
14	to meeting him. Any questions?
15	(None.)
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Doesn't seem to be any
17	questions, Mr. Sanchez. Thank you for the report.
18	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: How about that? I was
19	going to do them free today. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Slingluff, Galaxy
21	Aviation?
22	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing new to report.
23	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Ruhsam from the SAAPA,
24	St. Augustine Airport Pilots Association.

5 MR. RUHSAM: Thank you. Good afternoon.

16

1	Last month, we had our program featuring the
2	veterans of World War II and Vietnam. We couldn't
3	find any from the Gulf War or Afghanistan
4	campaigns. But we were particularly excited to
5	have a living, breathing, clear-thinking
6	World War II B-17 pilot, Earl Douglas, speak to
7	that occasion. It was a lot of fun.
8	Other things going on with SAAPA, we've had a
9	pretty successful drive again in getting new
10	donations for scholarships for people interested in
11	aviation careers and we hope to expand this.
12	It's it's it's kind of a develop
13	developmental stage at this point, but to to
14	folks beyond just the Aerospace Academy here at the
15	high school. We have we're hoping to give these
16	scholarships to more people and be a larger amount.
17	So stay tuned for word on that.
18	Coming up this Friday is the Christmas dinner.
19	It will be in conjunction with the Beach Aero Club
20	along with SAAPA. It will be over here in the
21	shade hangar. And I've actually got a little flier
22	I'll leave with the chairman, if anyone's
23	interested in coming. Everyone is welcome. It
24	will be a Christmas theme.

25 Lastly, the -- our second Saturday falls on

1	the day after the first Friday event and that's our
2	annual meeting, and the new officers will be
3	introduced at that point. We have no positions
4	that are being run for. Everyone is unopposed.
5	But myself being the head of the nominating
6	committee managed to strong arm enough people to
7	fill every position. So we look forward to
8	introducing the new officers for next year. Reba
9	Ludlow will be filling my position as the liaison
10	for Airport Authority and airport affairs.
11	And lastly, our program on the second Saturday
12	will be Ed Skip Booth, who is an aviation attorney.
13	Anyone interested in that, that might be
14	interesting. The dos and don'ts of flying and how
15	the FAA is here to help you. And that's about all
16	I've got. Any questions of me?
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I'd just like to make a
18	comment. I was at the meeting, the SAAPA meeting,
19	and it was so impressive being I'm a civilian
20	and I was never in the military being associated
21	with people, so many military that fought wars and
22	flew airplanes in dire danger. I know it sounds
23	horrible the way I'm saying it, but these guys are
24	so impressive, it's unreal. They're amazing

25 people.

1	MR. RUHSAM: Well, what I found impressive was
2	that we asked the veterans to be recognized there
3	and the fact that in the audience they were not
4	necessarily pilots, but probably what would you
5	say
6	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 80 percent.
7	MR. RUHSAM: 80 percent? I was going to
8	say 75 to 80 percent of the
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I felt very lonely sitting
10	there.
11	MR. RUHSAM: Yeah, pretty impressive. Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: And, Harry, thank you for
14	being the liaison. You've done a heck of a job for
15	SAAPA.
16	MR. RUHSAM: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Gregory is not here.
18	Mr. Nehring, I don't believe is here. So it comes
19	down to you, Mr. Zimmerman, your turn.
20	MR. ZIMMERMAN: My turn?
21	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: IDA.
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN: I have nothing to report.
23	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Burnett?
24	MR. BURNETT: I've got a I'm going to speak

1	pick it up. This one doesn't seem to be working.
2	I've got a brief report for you related to the
3	Attorney General Opinion that you've got in front
4	of you. We got a response back from the Attorney
5	General's Office that and you'll recall we were
6	seeking to have the airport basically recognized in
7	the same manner as a county or municipality is
8	recognized.
9	And that is there's a limited statutory
10	exception from basically the Public Records Act
11	that says that information when you're conducting
12	economic activities, economic development
13	activities, can be held confidential for a period
14	of a year. It applies to counties and
15	municipalities on its face.
16	And so the first part of our request to the
17	Attorney General was can't that be interpreted to
18	also cover the Airport Authority? And our the
19	logic or reasoning for that included the language
20	that's in the Airport's charter that speaks to the
21	Airport Authority being here for a purpose of not
22	just running the airport, but also of economic
23	development.
24	And so therefore, can we tie those two

25 together because our charter is a Florida law,

1	along with the statute that says counties and
2	municipalities, tie those two things together and
3	get an opinion that says the Airport Authority's
4	information is protected when it's doing economic
5	development activity as well? The Attorney General
6	didn't agree with us.
7	Now, the added thing that our request said was
8	there's this other section in the statute that says
9	the county can delegate that authority to have
10	private economic development activity and it can
11	delegate that to private persons or entities.
12	And so our second part was, okay, the county
13	can do its own activity, but it also can at the
14	same time delegate that ability to other third
15	parties not the county. So can we as the
16	Airport Authority be that one of the people that
17	the county's delegated the authority to?
18	And the Attorney General says the language
19	says private in the statute, which is accurate, and
20	we are public. The Airport Authority is public.
21	So it's on the face of the statute not intended for
22	the Airport Authority. And that's the opinion
23	that's come back from the Attorney General. And so
24	we took two different I guess prongs to try and

1	is not what we would like it to have come out.
2	I can tell you that I talked on the actual
3	staff attorney that works for Pam Bondi. I talked
4	to him several times, and they I guess spent quite
5	a bit of effort on the opinion. And you can even
6	see in the opinion that they contacted the county
7	to get information about the county's economic
8	development activities in general.
9	So that's where we're at now, which puts us
10	back where we were a year ago or so, which is we
11	really need a local bill if we're going to
12	pursue this further, we need a local bill to either
13	change the Florida Statute to add in that it's not
14	just counties and municipalities but also special
15	districts, or it could be counties, municipalities,
16	and for all we care the St. Augustine-St. Johns
17	County Airport Authority, a single special
18	district.
19	The other way of accomplishing it would be a
20	charter amendment through the legislature that
21	added that into our charter. Obviously if it added
22	it into our charter, it's in many ways better for
23	the airport because the general law could change
24	however the general law might change in the future,

25 but that language would always stay in the

22

1	airport's charter.
2	So, that's it my update I guess in general
3	for a summary. The house the Florida House of
4	Representatives begins their process in March, so
5	we've got time to start that process and push for
6	some change.
7	Other than that, not much to I don't have
8	any other update for you for today. We've been
9	working on a number of things lease related, you
10	know, regular Airport Authority business.
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Any questions or discussion?
12	Mr go ahead, Mr. Cox.
13	MR. COX: Doug, how important is this to the
14	airport overall, to change that or to
15	MR. BURNETT: I think it's fairly important,
16	especially in the context of any kind of major
17	economic development activity.
18	MR. COX: Right.
19	MR. BURNETT: You take any large tenant on the
20	airport
21	MR. COX: Right.
22	MR. BURNETT: or any large potential tenant
23	on the airport I haven't heard of this, but to
24	give an example, what if another defense contractor

file:///SI/Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

25 wanted to relocate here and build substantial

1	facilities and the like and they wanted that
2	information kept confidential for whatever reason?
3	MR. COX: Do you feel like there was any
4	opposition or did they approach this in a generic
5	kind of a neutral fashion and just we're going by
6	the letter of what they see the law to be?
7	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. It's pretty clear from
8	the Attorney General Opinion and from talking to
9	them personally, to me at least it's clear to them
10	that it's not a politically driven response.
11	MR. COX: Okay.
12	MR. BURNETT: They tried to do the best job
13	they could.
14	MR. COX: The reason I ask that is if you look
15	at anything that has anything do with the FAA, the
16	FAA's mandate is all about driving business in
17	aviation. And that's that's part of the whole
18	deal. And aviation equals business and
19	productivity.
20	I mean, good grief, the entire aviation
21	system's responsible for a little over 60 percent
22	of the GDP on a daily basis. I mean, it's
23	ridiculous to say that this authority is not an
24	economic driver for the county and to say that. So

25 I've got a little bit of an issue with the

1	response.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think it might not
3	sure I turned this back on.
4	MR. COX: Turn it on.
5	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Wuellner?
6	MR. WUELLNER: I think it thank you. I
7	think it might help someone's a little happy
8	with the volume.
9	MR. COX: There you go.
10	MR. BURNETT: Just play the music.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Just play the music. You may
12	recall two legislative sessions ago, the
13	legislature handed at the time a brand new
14	governor
15	MR. COX: Uh-huh.
16	MR. WUELLNER: a bill wherein they had
17	approved in both houses somewhat unanimously the
18	the extension of economic development privileges
19	under the statutes to airports in general
20	throughout the state.
21	The Governor elected to veto that bill and
22	made the statement in his veto letter that he
23	believed he wasn't necessarily opposed to the
24	context of it, but believed that airports were

already covered under Florida Statute for this

1	under the existing statutes. And as a result, he
2	vetoed the bill, not wanting to expand it directly,
3	or felt it was an unnecessary expansion, which
4	started us kind of down this journey.
5	We and it ultimately resulted in the
6	Attorney General's Opinion now that it we are
7	we as airports for the most part are automatically
8	included in that, which brings us kind of full
9	circle now.
10	MR. COX: With the caveat that most of any
11	other airports in the state of Florida have their
12	special taxation authority?
13	MR. WUELLNER: I think you've just got to look
14	at many airports are already covered because they
15	are a subset of county government. As such,
16	they're covered.
17	MR. COX: Which we are not.
18	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: We are not.
19	MR. COX: Yeah, exactly.
20	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, they're already part of a
21	county or municipality, and so their rules apply.
22	MR. COX: True.
23	MR. WUELLNER: So really you're dealing with
24	independent special districts who aren't a subset

1	is where we find ourselves today.
2	MR. COX: Real quickly, then what's so
3	what's our option?
4	MR. BURNETT: We are at this point going to
5	pursue a local bill. I think that makes the most
6	sense. That was the direction we got from you-all
7	last year.
8	We unfortunately last year you may recall
9	the legislative session because of the
10	redistricting was moved up to a January start date.
11	By the time all this kind of came together, we
12	there was no time to get a local bill out and
13	vetted properly through the legislature.
14	MR. COX: Right.
15	MR. WUELLNER: So this year, our our hope
16	is to have that process started and concluded
17	within this next legislative session.
18	I don't I don't know that we're going to
19	get a lot of traction at this short of notice to
20	getting a statewide amendment or correction within
21	statutes. It will be much more expedient at this
22	point to get a local bill that simply amends our
23	charter to be specifically covered by those current
24	statutes. Wouldn't you agree, Doug?

1 MR. COX: Thank you.

2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I have a question. In
3	working with the EDC or the Chamber of Commerce,
4	can they independently if given some direction
5	operate with businesses for the benefit of the
6	airport and then somewhere along the negotiations
7	come to the airport and say, we have this
8	possibility and then that becomes part of the
9	public record after that? Is that a possibility?
10	Is that legal?
11	MR. BURNETT: I suppose that and that the
12	county has its protections as well, so the county
13	can do that can handle that kind of role. I
14	guess the the difficulty is, is when it comes to
15	play the special unique issues and just the
16	uniqueness of airport operations in general and
17	those negotiations and once, for example,
18	Mr. Wuellner gets ahold of it and takes it back to
19	his office, it's not you know, and it's a piece
20	of paper, it's not protected.
21	So, you know, the it makes it more
22	difficult to just conduct regular negotiation
23	economic development-type negotiations. And
24	there's no better place to point to than for

25 example airlines. Those -- those entities want

28

1	confidentiality and want to be protected and the
2	like. It's a competitive business, obviously.
3	MR. WUELLNER: And keep in mind we're talking
4	about this is not about the legality of the
5	Authority being able to do those things, this is
6	about the ability of the public to access records
7	of that.
8	So it's just simply a deferral of the public's
9	ability to get get ahold of those records so
10	that negotiations or discussions can take place
11	without fear of all of that being out there in some
12	form before a deal can be consummated or brought
13	back in a public matter. Which is still subject to
14	board ratification. So it's still in the public
15	ultimately.
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Werter?
17	MR. WERTER: Two things. First of all, Ed,
18	increase your vitamin E intake and put nothing on
19	paper. But I missed a step there. Were you asking
20	whether the county could act more or less as an
21	agent in negotiation and therefore use their veil?
22	Is that it?
23	MR. COX: Proxy.
24	MR. WERTER: Is that what you're trying to

1	asking? I wasn't quite sure
2	MR. BURNETT: The statute the statute has
3	basically of well, there's a number of
4	subsections. But if you break it down to the
5	relative parts for us, it has two parts. The first
6	is counties and municipalities are protected.
7	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
8	MR. BURNETT: The second part is counties can
9	delegate it to private entities.
10	MR. WERTER: Uh-huh.
11	MR. BURNETT: Okay. We have been and my
12	my view was, okay, well, if the county can delegate
13	it to a private entity, then let us be a delegated
14	private entity. And the Attorney General
15	MR. WERTER: Wasn't he trying wasn't he
16	trying to work it in reverse; i.e. let's say the
17	airlines or a new defense contractor, letting the
18	county negotiate for us and using their veil? I
19	don't think that works either because they're just
20	an agent of us, therefore the same rules would
21	apply. I don't think it would transfer upward that
22	way.
23	MR. BURNETT: No.
24	MR. WERTER: Do you think it would?

county could do would be its regular type economic
development negotiations and maybe have
county-related incentives. If they're going to
build a building, to waive impact fees or
MR. WERTER: Commingle it so
MR. BURNETT: those kind of things.
MR. WERTER: You mean commingle so that it
does adopt the veil?
MR. WUELLNER: Well, you could as you
pointed out or kind of alluded to in your first
comment, we can have those in a verbal way.
MR. WERTER: I was being facetious.
MR. WUELLNER: But that that's true. It is
entirely true. Once it becomes documented, it
becomes subject to records. Thankfully they can't
get ahold of my head or yours for that matter.
MR. WERTER: I'll leave that alone.
CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: So the bottom line from
from our perspective, it really doesn't make any
difference to us from an airport viewpoint, we
have if it's open from beginning or not. It's
the businesses who are competitive out there that
are trying to structure a deal without a lot of
complications that are the aspect we're looking at.

a long tried, long true business negotiation
ability to be able to keep that shielded for a
period of time until you can get through
constructive discussions about what that project
is, how it might work in your community details.
At some point, it becomes public no matter what.
CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Right.
MR. WUELLNER: But you there's a a
desire to have that information protected while
it's being negotiated.
There could be everything from financial
reports, proprietary information. It could be all
kinds of things that are provided in their
discussions with, for instance, the
Airport Authority that they would not want out
publicly until the appropriate time or if at all.
MR. WERTER: Now if I may?
CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes, Mr. Werter.
MR. WERTER: Now, do you think, Doug, there's
enough entities out there across the state that
could benefit from this bill that maybe we all can
consolidate in pushing such a bill?
In other words, not just like airport if
there are we in the are there other airport

25 authorities throughout the state, you know, I know

1	they're not many if there are, but other airport
2	authorities that are chartered?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, there are others.
4	MR. WERTER: There are? How many?
5	MR. WUELLNER: I don't remember.
6	MR. WERTER: But not only just not limiting it
7	to airport authorities, but other government
8	institutions not covered by these statutes that
9	could be quite easily since we are an economic
10	development type of agency, where we can
11	consolidate an effort to push such a bill.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I don't know how big a
13	task that is to get it as a general bill in front
14	of the legislature. It was there two years ago.
15	It may not be that big a deal to resurrect a
16	previous, because it was I don't want to use the
17	word "unanimous" in the strictest sense, but it was
18	overwhelmingly approved by both houses of the
19	legislature and given to the Governor when he first
20	walked in.
21	MR. WERTER: Oh, okay.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I think we were dealing with a
23	scenario where the Governor was literally a few
24	weeks on the job when this when this hit him.

MR. WERTER: He vetoed it?

1	MR. WUELLNER: He did. But his veto was not
2	on the substance of what it was, it was on the
3	reliance that this was redundant to existing
4	statute.
5	MR. WERTER: I see.
6	MR. WUELLNER: We've since kind of shown it's
7	not redundant through the AG Opinion. So, it I
8	think right now will have a strong chance of being
9	signed by the Governor if it survived houses at the
10	legislature again. But it would have to go through
11	that process.
12	I don't know what's involved in that. I'm not
13	even sure who spearheaded it last time, whether it
14	was an airport's association, association of
15	counties. I truly don't know who or don't recall
16	who brought it forward the first time. But it
17	needs bill sponsors in both houses. It's a
18	fairly especially a full Senate bill or full
19	House versus local.
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Wuellner, to date this
21	hasn't injured us in negotiating with private
22	entities, has it?
23	MR. WUELLNER: I'd rather not say.
24	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay. Any more discussion?

25 MR. COX: Nope.

1	ANNUAL AUDIT - CARR, RIGGS & INGRAM
2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: All right. Let's proceed to
3	the next agenda item, annual audit presentation,
4	Carr, Riggs & Ingram.
5	MR. WUELLNER: I would invite Ms. Tina
6	Robinson up with Carr, Riggs & Ingram to present
7	the results of your 2012 audit.
8	MS. ROBINSON: Thank you. Good afternoon.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Is that on?
10	MS. ROBINSON: Hope y'all can hear me okay.
11	I'm happy to be here. I'm going to give you a
12	brief summary of the audit results of your
13	financial statement, and this is for the year ended
14	September 30th, 2012. If you have your financial
15	statements and want to see the different pages,
16	I'll refer to those. If not, the summary itself I
17	think will give you guidance as to what the audit
18	results are.
19	On Page 23, you'll see a summary of the
20	auditor results and it's a nice caption on one
21	page. Really that tells you that you received a
22	clean unqualified opinion with regard to the
23	financial statements. And it also tells you that
24	we did not find material weaknesses in internal

25 control or material noncompliance. Thank you.

1	Also on that page with regard to the single
2	audit with regard to the single audit of your
3	major grant, the federal Airport Improvement
4	Program, you also obtained a clean opinion. Our
5	audit did not find material weaknesses or
6	weaknesses in internal control over compliance
7	applicable to your major grant programs. So your
8	audit really consists of two major components, your
9	financial statement audit and your major grant
10	audits.
11	You did not expend the level of state grants
12	funds this year that would have required a state
13	single audit. And so in that regard, you spent
14	\$199,000 approximately of state expenditures, and
15	the threshold which would have required a state
16	single audit is \$500,000. So you only had a
17	federal single audit of the grants.
18	I also wanted to highlight just a few items
19	throughout the statements. The MD&A, which is near
20	the front, Pages 3, 4 and 5, is required by
21	governmental accounting standards and it's written
22	by your own management. There's a brief narrative
23	of the statements in that section, and there's a
24	good comparative summarized table where you can see

25 variances compared to the last two years.

1	Page 6 is the statement of net assets or as
2	many people refer to this as the balance sheet.
3	That's your assets, liabilities, and equity. And
4	there, you'll see that your capital assets went up
5	again, which is typical for the Authority because
6	your major operations are expending building items
7	with capital grants. So those went up from \$83
8	million to approximately \$86 million.
9	Total cash in investments are higher by about
10	\$460,000 mostly because you collected more
11	receivables as of the year-end. And the net assets
12	at the bottom of that Page 6 shows you a breakdown
13	of how much of your ending equity is invested in
14	capital assets or how much is unrestricted.
15	Then on Page 8, you can see the detail cash
16	changes. I won't go through each of those changes,
17	but that's a nice statement just to show you the
18	flow of the funds, you know, what actually came in
19	in cash, what went out and for what purposes and
20	classifications.
21	Back to Page 7, you'll see the revenue and
22	expense activity. And there in the middle of the
23	page, you do have an operating loss of about \$2.6
24	million, but this is because a significant amount

1	capital grants. So those capital grants of about
2	\$5.5 million more than take care of the remaining
3	operating costs.
4	Your change in net assets near the bottom is
5	again an increase going up about \$2.8 million,
6	leaving your ending accumulated net assets at about
7	\$88.6 million. So you can see most of that's made
8	up of your capital capital assets.
9	Then following the statements on Pages 9
10	through 15, you'll see your footnote disclosures.
11	Those are required disclosures. The new items in
12	there that I'll just point out, you'll see
13	disclosures on the new Florida SAFE investments
14	that you did this year. Those are on Pages 9 and
15	11. They're very similar to the disclosures that
16	you've had all along regarding the SBA investments.
17	Note 8 is also new and it simply lets the
18	reader know that there are some upcoming accounting
19	standards that are going to be effective next year.
20	The Authority would evaluate those and see what the
21	impact would be, but as of as of now, we don't
22	expect those to have a significant impact on your
23	financial statements, so you should not see much
24	change next year.

1	which is required by the Florida Auditor General.
2	Here, we would indicate if you had any prior
3	uncorrected audit findings, and there were none.
4	The state Auditor General also requires us to see
5	if you had any conditions indicating a financial
6	emergency or deteriorating financial condition.
7	You do not have indications of those. And this
8	letter also reports that your annual financial
9	report that goes to the Department of Financial
10	Services is in agreement with the audit report.
11	That's really the conclusion of my summary. I
12	do appreciate the staff and management and their
13	assistance and cooperation. It's another good
14	audit results.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I have a question. From the
16	auditor's viewpoint, the operating statements, are
17	we still on the path of sustainability that we can
18	stay off the tax rolls from the auditor standpoint?
19	MS. ROBINSON: Yes. You have as far as,
20	you know, what we can see in the trend that's going
21	so far with your since you've been off of the
22	tax rolls, which this was the second year and
23	you will see a little bit of ad valorem taxes
24	revenue shown there, but only because they're

always late or many times delinquent taxes

1	collected. But given that, you have more than
2	enough nonoperating capital grants to help cover
3	the cost that for the things that you are
4	have been doing in your operations.
5	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you. Any questions
6	from the board?
7	MR. WUELLNER: I did want to point out one
8	other little thing on Page 14. Just to point out
9	the results of the tweaking we did about 18 months
10	ago related to liabilities on compensated absences,
11	and you can see we finally we have a positive
12	trend as a result of those changes we made 18
13	months ago.
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Martinelli, did you have
15	any comments?
16	MR. MARTINELLI: No. I'll pass.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Anybody else?
18	(None.)
19	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you very much for an
20	excellent audit report. I appreciate it.
21	MS. ROBINSON: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Should I wait for approval
23	of the statements or is that something you'll do a
24	later time?

25 MR. WUELLNER: We need to accept the results

40

1	with a motion.
2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I didn't realize that.
3	Thank you. Board discussion?
4	(None.)
5	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: None. No public comment.
6	May I ask a motion ask for a motion to approve
7	the audit report for this year?
8	MR. COX: Move to accept the audit report as
9	presented.
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Cox. Second, please?
11	MR. WERTER: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Werter second. Any
13	further discussion?
14	(None.)
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: May I have a vote of ayes?
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
17	MR. COX: Aye.
18	MR. WERTER: Aye.
19	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Aye. No nays. Accepted
21	unanimously.
22	MR. COX: Thank you.
23	MS. ROBINSON: Thank you, very much.
24	SUSTAINABILITY REPORT CARD

file:///SI/Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

1	agenda is the sustainability report card.
2	MR. WUELLNER: It's my pleasure to introduce
3	Etienne John. He is an intern with Jacksonville
4	University.
5	Etienne has spent the last semester with our
6	office basically diving into the details related to
7	sustainability, and he did a great job at
8	developing the report card related to the
9	sustainability. And with that, I'm going to turn
10	it over to Etienne to present the results of his
11	work for the last semester.
12	MR. JOHN: Thank you, very much, sir. I
13	appreciate. First of all, I want to thank thank
14	you for accepting me here, and I also want to thank
15	the (unintelligible) men from back there, Kevin,
16	and Cindy for helping me out throughout this
17	process. And I also want to thank you, the board,
18	to have me here today.
19	I'm going to be talking I'm going to be
20	talking to some of the findings that I found from
21	sustainability report. The the results, the
22	data and analysis that I collected, I collected for
23	five years.
24	This is one of the topic that are going to be

covered, you know, one of -- the purpose of course

42

1	of having sustainability report. Water usage,
2	electricity, number of operations, noise
3	complaints, and the revenue and expenses of the
4	of the airport of course.
5	The purpose of the sustainability pretty much
6	is to try to enhance the airport image most likely
7	and to try to to minimize most of the energy
8	used. That's pretty much what it is all about. By
9	looking at the by collecting the data and the
10	(unintelligible) actually shows you where you are
11	and what you need to do next.
12	This is was the water usage. I don't know
13	if you can see the graph I have there on the TV.
14	Some of that is an explanation behind why some of
15	the years the water usage is lower than normal.
16	One of the year was due to many of the incident
17	that happened from 2008 to 2011.
18	2008, there was an increase in usage because
19	of the they used to have rental cars, they used
20	to be here. And there was also some a lot of
21	the incident that happened in 2010 and 2011 which
22	happened to have some of these thing that broken
23	and the water would just flow out. So that was the
24	reason behind why the graph's look and I don't

file:///SI/Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

25 know how to say this, but that was the reason why

1	the graph was looking the way it was looking.
2	Here is the electricity usage from 2007 to
3	2012. As you can see, it shows the constant going
4	up, but is also explanation behind it is because
5	when you look at master plan of the output from
6	2007 to 2012, it's completely different because
7	there was a lot of the construction that were
8	added.
9	But when you look at this, you wonder how this
10	don't explain where how are we using the
11	electricity. So what I did is I went back, picked
12	the most (unintelligible) known place that was
13	existing from 2007 to 2012 and I want to see the
14	trend, how the average was doing. And this is what
15	I found out. Actually, some of the buildings,
16	electricity actually went down. Some of the
17	building, the electricity went up. So the airport
18	is doing a very great job on saving the energy when
19	it come to electricity.
20	Number of the operations. The graph seems to
21	be unusual, too, because it has a lot of thing to
22	do with economical. It has a lot of thing to do
23	with 2010, they had school started here. That's
24	why you see in 2011 the bar just jumped over to the

1	2012, I do have what's called estimated
2	numbers because we don't I don't have all the
3	numbers. November and December, I don't have the
4	numbers. So we had to do a bit of estimating
5	behind it. So that's why it's not really the real
6	number.
7	Like I was saying, 2009, the reason why the
8	graph look the way it does, there was economic
9	downtown. There was also rising of fuel. But in
10	2011, economy picked up because there was school,
11	there was added flight school. And 2012, like I
12	was telling you, I have incomplete data because we
13	don't have everything from January to December.
14	Noise noise has been constantly going down.
15	I don't know if you can see, I really have no idea
16	why, but it seems like may be due to the fact the
17	airplanes are getting better or switching the
18	runway, I don't know, but that's (unintelligible) a
19	factor, the noise keep going down.
20	Revenue and expenses, I did from year 2007 to
21	2012 again. I don't know if you can see the
22	actually the airport's doing a great great job from
23	revenue against the expenses. The expenses are way
24	too low down than the revenue. So that's pretty

25 much management is doing something right.

1	I want to thank like I was saying, I want
2	to thank everybody who helped me. These are the
3	four people that was working close to, and you guys
4	have any questions, you can go ahead and
5	(unintelligible) and they'll probably be able to
6	give you more detail than I can. Thank you, very
7	much and I appreciate for your time.
8	MR. COX: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Is there board discussion
12	concerning the presentation?
13	MR. COX: I know why the noise complaints were
14	so big in 2009, but I won't go into it.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I would just chime in. I
16	think I think generally most items are on are
17	trending in the proper direction. The overall
18	increases in use of utilities and water and things
19	of those those nature are seem to be tied
20	directly to the addition of new facilities on the
21	airport.
22	And then when you further dissect down
23	which is what Etienne was trying to distinguish for
24	you, is when you dial down to individual locations

1	meter data, what you're finding is the trend is
2	generally downward from and that's that's a
3	positive overall.
4	It may it's one of these cases where when
5	you look at the overall, you might think we're
6	going the wrong direction, but it's really more a
7	result of adding additional facilities. When you
8	drill down to the locations, you see the trending
9	is downward at the actual site.
10	So, overall use is up. The individual
11	conservation efforts and buildings seems to be
12	trending in the proper direction for the most part.
13	I have no explanation, either. I don't know
14	anybody on staff who does, but related to the noise
15	other than for whatever reason, that's dropped off
16	significantly.
17	I would also just for clarification for
18	Etienne that the financial revenues and expenses
19	data are data points that are directly off your
20	annual audits. So the data source for that is
21	reliable and not just something that he found.
22	And other than that, I'd compliment Etienne
23	for his dedication and effort in this, because this
24	young man was buried in data nonstop. It was

to the guts of it.

2	We talked about different strategies
3	collectively. He was always willing and open to
4	looking at those things and dive in, and I truly
5	appreciate your work on this and I think you're a
6	real compliment to JU and the type of student
7	they're putting out these days.
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: One question on the noise.
9	MR. JOHN: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Didn't am I remembering
11	properly or not? Didn't the FAA change some of the
12	flight patterns that
13	MR. WUELLNER: Back a couple of years ago, we
14	made adjustments to the to the departure in
15	cooperation with the tower and ATC in the area.
16	And for the most part, it was they were simple
17	adjustments of allowing aircraft to or requiring
18	IFR flight-planned aircraft to fly runway heading a
19	little longer before being allowed to make turns.
20	But as far as VFR and general aviation, light GA
21	traffic, there have been no changes other than
22	other than, you know, smarter flying I would say.
23	MR. BURNETT: There have been less flights by
24	a certain Lear jet

25 MR. WUELLNER: Right.

1	MR. BURNETT: on the property as well.
2	MR. WUELLNER: True enough.
3	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Martinelli, did you have
4	any comments?
5	MR. MARTINELLI: No.
6	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Ask for a motion? Do we
7	have a motion to accept the sustainability report?
8	MS. BARRERA: I make a motion that we accept
9	the sustainability report as presented.
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Second?
11	MR. COX: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Any further discussion?
13	(None.)
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: May I have a vote of ayes?
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
16	MR. COX: Aye.
17	MR. WERTER: Aye.
18	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Aye. No nays. The report
20	is accepted unanimously.
21	<b>OFFICER &amp; COMMITTEE SELECTIONS</b>
22	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: The next agenda item is
23	officer and committee selections for the year 2013.
24	And we have for officers chairman, Carl Youman and

1	Bob Cox. Aerospace Academy primary, Matt Mercer.
2	Aerospace Academy alternate, Matt Mercer. Economic
3	development primary, Kelly Barrera and Bob Cox.
4	Economic development alternate, Carl Youman.
5	Intergovernmental primary, Mr. Ciriello.
6	Intergovernmental alternate, Kelly Barrera. TPO
7	primary, Carl Youman. TPO alternate, Kelly
8	Barrera. Board discussion?
9	MR. WUELLNER: I would want to correct one
10	thing you mentioned. I think you misread the
11	alternate for Aerospace Academy as Matt Mercer and
12	the alternate
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Excuse me.
14	MR. WUELLNER: was Kelly.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Board discussion,
16	Mr. Ciriello?
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't a few meetings ago the
18	board have a discussion on officers' term of, you
19	know, holding their office, that you said like the
20	chair and the secretary could hold an office for
21	what, two or three years?
22	MR. WERTER: Two.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: Excuse me. And you're only in
24	your first year. Of course Mr. Werter will be

25 leaving. But do we have to have this election

1	every year if a person can stay on the job for a
2	couple of years at a time?
3	In other words, I'm saying you should just
4	stay where you're at for your other year that we
5	allowed and the only real move we need to make is
6	Mr. Werter's job.
7	MR. COX: Officer elections have to occur
8	every year.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Hmm?
10	MR. COX: They have to the officer
11	elections have to occur every year. It doesn't
12	matter.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. All right. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: That two years was after the
15	second year, then you don't vote for
16	MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: a person if you don't
18	want to.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's required as a part
21	of your organizational meeting requirements on your
22	charter in your charter.
23	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Ms. Barrera?
24	MS. BARRERA: Seeing that Mr. Cox has

25 demonstrated so much interest in the

1	secretary-treasurer and economic development
2	position, I'll withdraw my name on both of those.
3	MR. BURNETT: So that would put Mr. Cox as the
4	primary for economic development?
5	MR. COX: No. She said secretary-treasurer.
6	I didn't know it was split up there either, Kelly.
7	MR. BURNETT: Oh, I see.
8	MR. WERTER: And the EDC.
9	MS. BARRERA: Uh-huh. Right.
10	MR. BURNETT: Okay. So secretary-treasurer
11	and EDC.
12	MS. BARRERA: Correct.
13	MR. WUELLNER: So that leaves only one if I
14	could be allowed to summarize, only leaves one
15	person for secretary-treasurer, which would be at
16	this point you, Bob. And only one in the primary
17	position for economic development, which again
18	would be Mr. Cox. That leaves only one at this
19	point, one contested position, which would be
20	chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Assuming the board's okay with
23	the other nominations.
24	MR. WERTER: Are we actually voting today?

1	MR. WERTER: Hasn't it usually been in
2	January?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You-all changed that the
4	last year.
5	MR. WERTER: So we did say December. I don't
6	remember changing it.
7	MR. WUELLNER: But yes, originally it was.
8	MR. WERTER: I guess I have to up my vitamins.
9	MR. WUELLNER: The goal here was that the
10	slate would be ready to go January 1st when the
11	new
12	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
13	MR. WUELLNER: That was the goal of changing
14	it last time, changing it to this time.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: So we need a motion.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Well
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: What we need is to for
18	Mr. Cox and I to for me to see who wants to say
19	aye to Mr. Cox being the chairman or me, is what it
20	amounts to.
21	MR. WUELLNER: And depending on the results of
22	that, it may or may not impact secretary-treasurer
23	position.
24	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Correct. So do I call for a

1	MR. BURNETT: I think someone should make a
2	motion for one of the one candidate or the other
3	and someone else should second that motion and go
4	from there.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: Can you make a motion for both?
6	In other words, I'd like to make a motion the
7	chairman stays Mr. Youman and Mr. Cox moves into
8	the secretary-treasurer.
9	MR. BURNETT: That could be a motion.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's what I'm
11	motioning, that Mr. Youman stays the chairman and
12	Mr. Cox moves over to the secretary-treasurer.
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Do I have a second?
14	MS. BARRERA: I'll second that.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Do I have a vote? Ayes?
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
17	MR. WERTER: Aye.
18	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
19	MR. COX: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Aye. Thank you, Mr. Cox,
21	appreciate it. So what we have is Carl Youman as
22	the chairman for 2013. Mr. Cox is the
23	secretary-treasurer for 2013. Ms. Barrera is or
24	Matt Mercer is aerospace

25 MR. WUELLNER: I --

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: What happened?
2	MR. WUELLNER: I would suggest that just as a
3	single motion you adopt the committee the
4	results of the committee here
5	MR. BURNETT: As
6	MR. WUELLNER: as a single motion. That
7	way everybody's
8	MR. CIRIELLO: You mean for these committee
9	jobs? Doesn't the chairman have the authority to
10	just appoint the people to the job and if they
11	don't want it, they say so?
12	MR. WUELLNER: Honestly it's not really that
13	clear. I think
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Can I have motion for the
15	slate being accepted as issued?
16	MR. WERTER: I I move that the remainder of
17	the slate committee selection
18	MR. WUELLNER: Can we before we get the
19	motion, I understand everybody wanting to hurry,
20	but let's record what those are before.
21	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay.
22	MR. WUELLNER: If you don't mind, I'll read
23	through them quickly and then you can make the
24	motion after that. Aerospace primary, Matt Mercer.

25 Aerospace alternate, Kelly Barrera. Economic

1	development primary, Bob Cox. Alternate, Carl
2	Youman. Intergovernmental primary, Joe Ciriello.
3	Alternate intergovernmental, Kelly Barrera. TPO
4	primary, Carl Youman. TPO alternate would be Kelly
5	Barrera. You need a motion to that effect.
6	MR. WERTER: I move that the committee
7	appointments as noted by Mr. Wuellner be adopted as
8	is.
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Do I have a second?
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Second.
11	MS. BARRERA: I
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: May I have a vote of ayes,
13	please?
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
15	MR. COX: Aye.
16	MR. WERTER: Aye.
17	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Aye. No nays? Accepted
19	unanimously. Now we have before I open the
20	public comment, any public comment?
21	(None.)
22	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Nothing, Reba?
23	MS. LUDLOW: I'm thinking about it.
24	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Just say nothing.

## MS. LUDLOW: I have lots to say.

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: We'll move on to
2	MS. LUDLOW: No comment, thank you.
3	MEMBER COMMENTS & REPORTS
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Authority members comments
5	and reports. Mr. Ciriello, intergovernmental
6	meeting, please?
7	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, before I get to that, I'd
8	like to make a statement and then ask a question.
9	I've got a report here and I don't know if
10	everybody else got one about the meetings for next
11	year, and I personally am not happy with meeting
12	every other month and cancellations and the like.
13	So my question is, is it not the responsibility or
14	the duty of the board to schedule or cancel
15	meetings rather than staff, which is labor to me
16	dictating to the board what it can do?
17	In other words, I think it's the board's job
18	to say when we'll meet and not, not the staff to
19	tell us when we can meet. So am I correct in that
20	assumption or does staff have that ability that
21	they can tell us what to do? Putting it bluntly.
22	MR. WUELLNER: These are proposed meeting
23	dates. You can do with them as you wish
24	collectively.

1	about it, it will happen. I'm saying I don't like
2	it. I want to meet every month like we're supposed
3	to. And if we're not going to meet, I want it to
4	be the board to say so and not staff.
5	MR. COX: Comment?
6	MR. CIRIELLO: I can't get any blunter than
7	that.
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Cox?
9	MR. COX: Actually it's not that simple, Joe,
10	because the staff has to confer with the chair to
11	find out when our meeting dates are going to be.
12	So, yes, the board is involved in it by that point.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, nobody's asked me whether
14	I want to do that or not.
15	MR. COX: Is that not correct, Mr. Wuellner?
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And you generally come to
17	your meeting dates by consensus. What we have done
18	is go through and based on calendar availability,
19	our best guess of workload, meeting conflicts, all
20	kinds of things over the course of the year, these
21	are what I would consider our first seven or six
22	or seven meetings, how they fit.
23	Now, that doesn't eliminate the necessity that
24	you may have to have additional meetings throughout

25 this -- throughout the year. And certainly we

1	would be conferring with the chair during that time
2	and as we need additional meetings, we'll certainly
3	do that.
4	These just set out the the skeleton, if you
5	will, of meetings scheduled for next year. That's
6	our recommendation. You as a board can do whatever
7	you wish to these meetings. If you want to meet
8	monthly or whatever schedule. You have no charter
9	requirement to meet in any specific amount. You
10	may do whatever suits you and accomplishes the
11	purposes of the board.
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I do believe that we should
13	have a meeting in January, in particular with the
14	new board member coming on board.
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's looking like January we'll
16	probably need a meeting anyway.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: And then we can take a look
18	at it and discuss it in January and go forward from
19	there.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Currently you've been
21	combining January and February. So the third
22	Monday, I'll remind you of January and February
23	both fall on federal holidays. That doesn't mean
24	you have to have anything. Just reminding you that

those dates are -- are immediately a conflict every

1	year because the holidays fall on the Mondays.
2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Well, let's change the
3	meeting date so it's not a conflict with the
4	federal holiday.
5	MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.
6	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Board agree?
7	MR. COX: Yes.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Find a date.
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Should we have a vote on it
10	or just agree? We're not we'll have a meeting
11	in January but not on a federal holiday. We'll
12	change the date.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: That's fine.
14	MR. WUELLNER: We'll find a date and get it
15	coordinated with everyone.
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: All right.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: As a matter of statement before
18	we get anywhere, why couldn't we as the board
19	like we're going to have our meeting in January.
20	Okay. Why couldn't somebody then say, well, I
21	don't think we need to meet in February and at that
22	meeting cancel that? Therefore, the board would be
23	canceling. Or if we meet say in February, for some
24	reason the board doesn't want to meet in March, and

25 then at the February meeting, the board can say,

1	well, we won't meet next month. That way month by
2	month by month, the board will be the one saying
3	when they'll meet and when they won't.
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I have no objection to that.
5	We can discuss that further at the January
6	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: meeting.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Right now, you
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I agree.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: want to meet in January.
11	Okay. So what will the date be?
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: He's going to he's going
13	to have to go through and select it and post it and
14	send out an e-mail to see if it's okay with
15	everybody. Unless you have it right now.
16	MR. WUELLNER: I will have. The 21st is the
17	holiday. My suggestion would be the 28th. And
18	then discuss what day you would do in February at
19	the meeting after.
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay. January 28th.
21	Agreeable?
22	MR. COX: Why not earlier than that?
23	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, you just run up
24	against being able to get the agenda out, but

MR. COX: I mean 7th or 14th or --

1	MR. WUELLNER: You can. I just wouldn't
2	guarantee you're going to have much to do, but
3	you're welcome to meet.
4	MR. COX: Okay. I agree with Carl, though,
5	having the new member on the board and just want to
6	get them in the loop instead of waiting almost two
7	months before we get the new member involved in
8	whatever's going on.
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Correct.
10	MR. WUELLNER: If you want to do the 14th, we
11	can make that work, too.
12	MR. COX: Works for me. It's up to everybody
13	else, but it works for me.
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 14th agreeable to the board?
15	MS. BARRERA: Would we still then have the
16	meeting then on the February
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: No.
18	MS. BARRERA: the 4th?
19	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: No.
20	MS. BARRERA: So then when would that meeting
21	occur?
22	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: We'll decide at the meeting.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Sometime in February. My
24	guess, it would be the 11th or 25th.

1	could just eliminate February all together and go
2	to March. Decide that in January. But the 14th's
3	good for me.
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: All right. January 14th.
5	No meeting in February. And we go from there.
6	Unless unless the administration comes up with
7	problems or whatever that the board has to address
8	in February and they'll advise me or call me.
9	MR. COX: That's if staff directs us to have a
10	meeting, right?
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: The staff will come to the
12	chairman and say I've got a problem and the
13	chairman will say, okay, we go from
14	MR. WUELLNER: We'll be able to let you know
15	at the February (sic) 14th meeting.
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay? Mr. Ciriello, your
17	intergovernmental report?
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, okay. If I could read my
19	minutes. Let me see.
20	The water management people were in their
21	final recommendations for land management. Their
22	reports were going to go to the Governor board for
23	approval on Wednesday, November 14th. And they
24	also gave a report on for a lot of project

1	these permits that are about to expire all anybody
2	who has these permits needed to do was to get ahold
3	of water management and ask for an extension.
4	The port and waterway people, the man told
5	about their new commissioners coming on board.
6	Their San Sebastian dredging project, the inlet
7	dredging was not straight. However they dredged
8	that inlet, it wasn't a straight shot.
9	The beach, their new police chief by the first
10	of the year was supposed to be seated. Mosquito
11	control, all they did was mention the election
12	results. FDOT reported on the San Sebastian Bridge
13	and it should be completed by mid February. The
14	Sheriff's Department reported that they're
15	continuing more crime control on less money and
16	that the new radio system will be ready for testing
17	by mid-January or February.
18	And the last report was given by
19	Mr. Zimmerman. And instead of him sitting over
20	there, would you give me a hand and give that
21	report you gave the committee that day? Something
22	about bonds.
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: You're making me remember.
24	I'm sorry. I don't remember what I said.

1	the people with bonding and I really
2	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Well, everybody everybody
3	here knows pretty much that the idea is in the
4	bonding business, and we've made reports before on
5	how that's done and for who and under what
6	circumstances. So I don't remember I know I was
7	probably keying my remarks to people who were not
8	familiar with the idea.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, that's all I've got.
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Cox, you have nothing to
11	report, do you?
12	MR. COX: Nothing to report.
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Any comments?
14	MR. COX: I've got a comment.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Go ahead, sir.
16	MR. COX: I just going back to referring
17	back to this the Attorney General's response,
18	I've just I've really got an issue with the
19	response that we got from them.
20	And just basically from an overall viewpoint
21	of this viewpoint that the airport is not an
22	economic generator for the county when in fact the
23	airport is the economic generator for the entire
24	county.

5 And if we look on the back, I just read this

65

1	on the back of this thing, talking about the
2	Northeast Florida location; i.e., St. Augustine
3	puts 45 million customers within a day's drive.
4	Well guess what? It puts 5,000 Fortune 50 flights
5	within two to six hours' flight depending on where
6	you're coming from in the world. And and this
7	is the location where they come to for
8	St. Johns County.
9	So to say it's not an economic development
10	agency is to me is ridiculous. It's beyond
11	ridiculous. And I want us to explore as an
12	aggressively as possible either re you know,
13	creating language in a bill or whatever we need to
14	do to refute that opinion.
15	MR. WERTER: If if I may.
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Go ahead, Mr. Werter.
17	MR. WERTER: Let me see if my reasoning is
18	right on this. Two ways of approaching it is the
19	bill method, trying to get the bill to expand on it
20	like we talked about earlier. The other way is to
21	go ahead and have Mr. Wuellner treat it as
22	confidential, let them sue us under the Freedom of
23	Information Act, and then we can let the Supreme
24	Court of Florida decide. So, Mr. Cox, what's your

file:///SI/Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

1	MR. COX: Bill. I think we need to go down
2	it, you know.
3	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Only Mr. Werter.
4	MR. COX: That's what I'm saying. Let's
5	aggressively pursue that avenue and I think we
6	should right away.
7	MR. WERTER: And I do agree with Mr Mr. Cox
8	that this would and it may have not have been an
9	issue in the past, but it could be an issue in
10	the and we don't want to go screwing up the
11	progress that we've made over the years.
12	Especially when it comes to negotiations with
13	defense contractors such as Grumman or anybody else
14	that may want to come on board, our up and coming
15	prospective airline negotiations, which there may
16	be people out there who would love to spoil that.
17	MR. COX: And just for the record and not to
18	put Mr. Slingluff, not to put you on the spot,
19	would you refute any of those stats that I just
20	said about the 5,000 flights annually coming in
21	here? Because that comes right into his FBO.
22	So, just for a matter of public record that
23	we, you know, have that kind of traffic coming in
24	here from around the world and from around the

airport.

2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: And we have 727s landing
3	MR. COX: 737s.
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 737s landing at this time
5	also, don't we?
6	MR. WERTER: Those jumbos?
7	MR. COX: Hey, Jim, step outside for a second,
8	will you?
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I agree with Mr. Cox and I
10	voice support for that opinion, also.
11	MR. COX: That's it for me. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Werter? EDC and any
13	choice comments you wish to make.
14	MR. WERTER: If I haven't made any already. I
15	have to defer to my alternate for the EDC. I was
16	again in court again for that for that felony of
17	mine. That was said in jest for the record.
18	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: That was me.
19	MR. WERTER: You go ahead and have at it.
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Excuse me. Take just a half
21	a second because the writing's too small.
22	I went to the EDC breakfast. It was well
23	attended and I cheated and pulled up
24	St. Johns County Chamber of Commerce reporting on

1	and here it is.
2	The EDC breakfast speaker was Michael Sole
3	from FPL. "Driving business and powering the
4	future was a consistent theme heard from FPL Vice
5	President for State Governmental Affairs Michael
6	Sole Wednesday morning at the EDC Quarterly
7	Breakfast.
8	"Driving business and powering the future was
9	a consistent consistent theme heard from FPL
10	Vice President for State Governmental Affairs
11	Michael Sole Wednesday morning at the EDC quarterly
12	breakfast.
13	"Sole discussed FPL's investment in
14	diversifying their fuel streams to better provide
15	power for Florida's citizens and businesses. The
16	FPL Vice President also spoke about their efforts
17	in working with EDC across the state and recognized
18	the success the partners of St. Johns County's
19	economic development efforts have achieved. 'There
20	is a lot of work to be done,' Sole said, 'and I
21	want to congratulate you on your efforts and
22	success.'
23	"St. Johns County Chamber of Commerce Vice
24	President of Economic Development Norman Gregory

1	short presentation detailing the activity of the
2	Chamber EDC. Seventeen new companies have opened
3	their doors in St. Johns County during the fiscal
4	year ending in September of 2012. These new
5	companies have began to contribute to a 6.8% local
6	unemployment rate, down 2 full points from this
7	time last year. 'The last several months our
8	employed labor force has kept up with our
9	population growth, and that is a great thing,' said
10	Gregory."
11	That completed the report for the EDC.
12	MR. WERTER: Well done. If you'd like, I
13	would now. You want me to.
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: What?
15	MR. WERTER: Make departing comments?
16	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes.
17	MR. WERTER: Well, first of all, I'd like to
18	say that it's been a pleasure. The past four years
19	have been very enlightening, fun. It's been great
20	working with all of you. I have complete faith and
21	trust in this committee as is, that we will
22	continue this progress that we've been doing.
23	Keeping in mind that we are
24	St. Augustine/Northeast Florida Regional Airport

and that we want to stay, keep that atmosphere, but

1	with keeping in mind service to the 195,000 people
2	of this county and not the select few, and that we
3	do this in a very up front, accurate, and
4	well-managed manner that we've been doing it in the
5	past.
6	And the hat is always off and we all know
7	this, the hat is always off to to Ed and Cindy
8	and the rest of the staff who are doing this job.
9	And I will be here whenever there's an important
10	agenda, I will be in the audience to make comment
11	if it's required. And I have great faith in this
12	committee, and just as The Record reported, that it
13	will continue on its steady course. Thank you,
14	guys.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you, Mr. Werter.
16	MS. BARRERA: Thank you, Jim.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Ms. Barrera?
18	MS. BARRERA: I
19	(Phone interruption.)
20	MS. BARRERA: I just have a little music
21	introduction here.
22	I attended the Aerospace Academy advisory
23	board meeting this past Wednesday and some great
24	things are going on there that everybody in the

audience might want to be aware of.

1	We have our St. Johns County Aerospace Academy
2	students competed with all the district career
3	academy students from all of the different high
4	schools and the they submitted it's very
5	similar to a science fair type of atmosphere. The
6	difference is that you have outside accountability.
7	And in this case, there were several engineers
8	that made up well, there were different teams
9	from the different academies that had business
10	partners and subject matter experts that judged
11	each of these project's green efforts that went on,
12	and then teams were selected to present to the
13	district. And there was a committee of five
14	different five different four engineers and
15	one physicist.
16	And our Aerospace Academy kids knocked it out
17	of the park. And they were inspired by
18	Mr. Wuellner who came out to the Aerospace Academy
19	classes. He spoke about our airport. And they had
20	a project that had to do with sustainability and it
21	was very cutting edge.
22	And the kids were asked very tough questions.
23	And our Aerospace Academy kids have never won this
24	before. They were so professional in their

25 presentation, in their dress, and very eloquent,

1	that our association with the Aerospace Academy was
2	something very much to be proud of and it was
3	embodied there. So I want to make everybody aware
4	of that.
5	They've also been busy. They went to the MBA
6	conference and were able to tour that and had a
7	great experience. They also were involved with
8	going to the Boeing plant. So there's been a lot
9	going on.
10	One of the other key things that happened that
11	hasn't happened in the past is that Northrop
12	Grumman took in five interns this year. And it was
13	a very very competitive process. Usually it's not
14	done this early. And they actually had 21 kids
15	compete for these these five positions. And it
16	literally came down as I said, they were all
17	excellent and it literally came down to the top
18	performers. So that's also very exciting.
19	I wanted to thank our intern here for
20	representing JU so well. During your time here, we
21	just heard nothing but wonderful wonderful things
22	about you and the work that you were doing from the
23	people who were working with you. And I just
24	wanted to thank you.

25 And lastly I have -- and I want to thank Jim

1	for your service. This isn't always a an easy
2	way to serve, but I so appreciate your work and so
3	appreciate your time that you served on the board
4	and you've done a very well you've been active,
5	you've been engaged, and you've been committed.
6	And I appreciate that from a fellow board member.
7	That would be it.
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you, Ms. Barrera. Now
9	it's my turn. Number of items.
10	Firehouse Subs, I have has everybody heard
11	of Firehouse Subs? They're a local company
12	headquartered in Jacksonville, started by a captain
13	of the fire department in Jacksonville. And his
14	two sons are maintaining the growth of the company.
15	And they have a trust or a funding for fire
16	departments, police departments, for equipment
17	purchases. And this is for the counties throughout
18	the circumference of Jacksonville.
19	And Ed notified me that we had been awarded a
20	grant of I believe it was \$20,200 worth of
21	equipment, and Kevin and I went to to the press
22	conference which was held in on Beach Boulevard
23	at the Firehouse Subs there. And the Governor,
24	Mayor Brown, and other all sorts of people were

1	MR. HARVEY: Celebrities.
2	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: All sorts of celebrities. I
3	squeezed in and got my picture taken with Mayor
4	Brown and Governor Scott. And but aside from
5	that, they have spent \$300,000 in equipment for
6	fire departments, et cetera. And we got \$20,000.
7	And I'd like to ask Bryan (sic) if he would tell us
8	about
9	MR. HARVEY: Bryan's not here anymore.
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I mean Kevin. Excuse me.
11	Names sometimes come out of my head. Kevin, would
12	you comment on the equipment and what's going to be
13	done with it, please?
14	MR. HARVEY: Sure.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: You have to do it at the
16	podium.
17	MR. HARVEY: Oh, I do?
18	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes, you do.
19	MR. HARVEY: Okay. I think this was brought
20	up at one other occasion but, yeah, we received two
21	of the self-containment breathing apparatuses that
22	are that were put into our fire truck, our rapid
23	response truck. So it's our equipment now. It's
24	not Northrop Grumman's using theirs in our vehicle.

1	the airport.

2	They also provided four AEDs that we put in
3	a couple in this building now and will add to
4	another building, and added a new one to the fire
5	station down here. So we'll have easy access to a
6	number of those throughout the airport.
7	And to brag just a little. Out of the
8	\$300,000 that was presented by Firehouse Subs, we
9	received the second most in the grants.
10	Jacksonville fire department received the most. So
11	there were somewhat, what, about 15 or so agencies
12	that received funds and we were the second highest
13	awarded. So that was nice. That's about it.
14	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you, Kevin.
15	MR. HARVEY: Yeah.
16	MR. WERTER: No toaster oven?
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Now, my responsibility for
18	the North Florida TPO.
19	The City of St. Augustine requested amending
20	the 2012-2013 Transportation Improvement Program,
21	and it had to do with the Castillo de San Marcos
22	National Monument to Davenport pedestrian
23	connections and the 450th commemoration ultimate
24	transit and pedestrian connections. One was for

1 to approve it.

2	And there was an emergency action staff
3	recommendation for the programming of additional
4	funds for the North Florida TPO Compressed Natural
5	Gas Conversion Initiative. There is a number of
6	departments that are converting to natural gas and
7	the TPO is supporting this effort inasmuch as it's
8	the divergent fuel from gasoline and makes us less
9	dependent on supplies and it's less costly, also,
10	and it's actually environmentally safe.
11	We had a certification report from the federal
12	government on the Jacksonville Transportation
13	Management Area and the North Florida TPO in
14	particular, and they gave the staff and management
15	of the North Florida TPO high, high, high, high
16	accolades for the methodology that they're
17	following in Florida in the federal guidelines.
18	And this was one of the only agencies in the state
19	of Florida that passed on all counts, and it was a
20	fantastic accomplishment.
21	Now, the next item, which is the executive
22	director's performance review. Each of you filled
23	out a review evaluation for Mr. Werter (sic) and I
24	compiled the data and I'll present that to you at

1	MS. BARRERA: Excuse me. For the record, it's
2	for Mr. Wuellner.
3	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: What did I do now?
4	MS. BARRERA: You said for Mr. Werter and I
5	just didn't want it to go in the record
6	MR. WERTER: And I was looking for a raise.
7	MS. BARRERA: Everybody knew what you were
8	talking about, but as I thought about it for the
9	record, for the minutes, we wanted to make that
10	clarification.
11	MR. WERTER: Thank you, Kelly. That ruined my
12	raise.
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you. Sometimes I do
14	that. I just sort of fly out of the sky.
15	Mr. Wuellner. Is that better?
16	Out of 400 points possible, Mr. Wuellner
17	achieved a score of 355 and a ranking of 88 percent
18	versus 91 percent last year. However I'd like to
19	break that down so that we get a better perspective
20	of where this came from.
21	Two of the members scored Mr. Wuellner 100
22	percent, 80 out of 80. One member, 78 out of 80
23	for 98 percent. And one member, 77 out of 80 for
24	96 percent. And then there was one member which

skewed the results 50 out of 80 for 63 percent.

1	So, if you look at the four of the members, the
2	Mr. Werter is rated
3	MR. COX: Wuellner.
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Wuellner is rated in the
5	high percentile around 97 percent, rather than last
6	year.
7	In going over performance evaluations, I would
8	like to read some of the comments. Would you
9	what would you consider major strength of the
10	executive director experience on and off the job?
11	What areas need improvement? Sometimes rarely no
12	follow-up for my questions and/or concerns and
13	manners. Other comments. I believe goals and
14	ambition for the airport's future is exaggerated.
15	It's a fine airport as is.
16	What do you consider the major strengths of
17	the executive director? His ability to communicate
18	and establish a friendly cohesive relationship with
19	parties on and off the airport. What areas need
20	improvement? Maybe involve more board members in
21	board meetings with potential future business
22	parties. Other comments. He's doing great, but
23	do we have to give him another raise?
24	What do you consider the major strengths of

1	federal and state government entities to procure
2	funding. Ability to forecast needs for the airport
3	and plan accordingly. Ed Wuellner represents the
4	epitome of an airport director that all airports
5	would like to have. And I'm close to last.
6	Ability to see future needs of the airport and
7	set up plans and goals necessary to maintain the
8	airport on an ongoing basis for grants, revenue
9	sources, safety, and all items related to the
10	airport functions. What needs improvement?
11	Communications with all St. Johns residents and
12	taxpayers about all the positive aspects of the
13	airport to offset the minority negative status.
14	Personal training and career goals goals
15	establishment excellent.
16	Other comments. Ed is an effective leader and
17	administrator who has done a magnificent job
18	helping the NFRA, the number one regional airport
19	within the country. And you're going to have to
20	listen to one more.
21	Ed administers this won't be my last,
22	excuse me sound judgment and thoughtful approach
23	to handling issues that comment before the airport.
24	What what areas need improvement? I see none.

1	we need to look at to solidify his next
2	contract.
3	With that being said and listening to the
4	audit report and what is Mr. Wuellner has been
5	doing for the airport, as chairman, I would like to
6	recommend a 3 percent raise and I'd like to have
7	the board discussion on it. Mr. Ciriello?
8	MR. CIRIELLO: I've got some statements and
9	questions. Why unless I'm wrong, it seems to me
10	that each and every year the board is given this
11	grading report for the director. My question is
12	why?
13	He has, what, a five-year contract that he
14	works under, and he's being paid to do a job. And
15	I must say he does it excellent. I have no
16	problems with that at all and no problems with him
17	as an individual. I respect him highly. It's
18	when I make statements, I'm comparing the job to
19	the total wage, everything thrown in there.
20	To me, the size of the job of running this
21	airport and I don't care, a lot of people might
22	think this is a great big job, but I've seen a lot
23	of airports and it's no more difficult than a
24	and we're talking general aviation airports. It's

1	But comparing the job with the money, every
2	year it seems like when we give him these excellent
3	reports which he deserves, that he gets a pay raise
4	with it. I don't know of any business that because
	·
5	of seniority more or less gives employees raises on
6	seniority.
7	In business, if an employee like a salesman or
8	maybe a lawyer in a law firm goes out and brings in
9	a whole lot of business to the company, he's
10	rewarded then with pay raises or bonuses or
11	whatever.
12	Ed's doing his job. It's an excellent job.
13	I I I haven't seen anything where he's gone
14	out and procured some kind of a substantial
15	increase in revenue for the airport because he did
16	it himself, you know, without like a salesman
17	would.
18	And I have no problem with giving him say I
19	mentioned this years ago, that I would like to see
20	him automatically get a CPI increase on his salary
21	every year even if he gets these rave reviews. I
22	don't see giving him a raise just because he's been
23	here another year in the airport and the airport is
24	running fine and dandy. That's not an excuse

1	and labor goes.
2	So I'd like to see him get automatic CPI
3	raises every year without the report. If you want
4	to give him reports just to jack up his ego, fine.
5	But he doesn't need it, believe me. He's an
6	excellent man
7	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: and I respect him highly.
9	And I it's the job size, the job the wages
10	the job is being paid doesn't match to me. It's as
11	simple as that.
12	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Werter?
13	MR. WERTER: Okay. Actions speak louder than
14	words. All right. You just simply look at the
15	audit.
16	From last year to this year and every year
17	that he's been on this job, it keeps getting better
18	and better. Raises are not only for cost of
19	living; it's for performance. And this is not a
20	little Podunk general aviation airport in Palatka.
21	This is an airport with major businesses on the
22	verge of growing even bigger with commercial
23	business, commercial flight business.
24	Mr. Wuellner has gone far and beyond. This is

25 not a simple task, and I take exception to it

1	because I've been on this board four years and I
2	don't take a simple look at the airport and how
3	it's run. I look at the airport on how it's run
4	and what's on this airport.
5	This is not a small little mom and pop grocery
6	store on the corner of King Street and A1A. And he
7	has done an outstanding job. And a 3 percent
8	increase, I don't know how much more over cost of
9	living these days cost of living is like what,
10	1?
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 1.9.
12	MR. WERTER: 1.9. An additional percent,
13	given the amount of money that he has saved this
14	airport and has kept us off the tax rolls and will
15	continue to keep us off the tax rolls, there is
16	nothing, nothing that's insulting or abusive about
17	a 3 percent raise. The man deserves it.
18	This is a complex operation with \$86 million
19	worth of assets, with an operation operational
20	budget of 7 point or almost \$8 million a year.
21	This is not a simple task and he works damn hard
22	and long hours.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I said. He does
24	his job and he's excellent at doing it. Show me

some examples. Give me some examples of -- all

1	those figures those figures are he's doing his
2	job and he's doing it excellent like I said.
3	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
4	MR. CIRIELLO: Where did he go out and bring
5	in some company out here that's unknown to anybody
6	that's brought in millions of dollars into this
7	airport?
8	MR. WERTER: He's just he's just about
9	finished negotiating a major contract with Grumman
10	where Grumman's going to grow and bring more jobs
11	to this county. Not just this airport, but to the
12	county. He's on the verge of bringing us an
13	airline. No one's helping him bring in that
14	airline. He's doing it.
15	Though I would have loved to and I made it
16	and, you know, one of the things where it's
17	comments about board members being more actively
18	involved, providing a good representation of the
19	airport to prospective business, I like to see
20	that.
21	I went my first year here, I went up to
22	Montreal with him to the JumpStart. I'd like to
23	see that. But he's been doing it himself. And
24	he's the one that is bringing business to the

1	operations. Okay. Our increase in operations back
2	in 2010 or '11.
3	MR. JOHN: '10.
4	MR. WERTER: 2010. He increased income to
5	this airport. You've got people coming from
6	Europe, more people coming from Europe to spend
7	money on this airport and in St. Johns County. He
8	did that. You didn't do it. Mr. Cox didn't do it.
9	Kelly didn't do it. I didn't do it. He did.
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Cox?
11	MR. COX: Very quickly. Ed, what was the
12	amount of tax money that we received from the
13	from the citizens of the county when we went off
14	the tax rolls? How much were we getting in tax
15	revenue?
16	MR. WUELLNER: My recollection is about \$3.5
17	million.
18	MR. COX: \$3.5 million and all of a sudden we
19	don't have that \$3.5 million and yet this year
20	MR. WERTER: And we're still growing.
21	MR. COX: Gee, I wonder where so there's
22	like what? How much difference is that now?
23	Anyway, the point is, we not only want to
24	reward him for a good job, as Joe has said he's

25 doing a great job, but we also don't want him to go

1	away anywhere because I'm sure there are other
2	airports that as some of those comments suggested
3	would love to have an executive director that is as
4	professional and does his job as good as Ed does.
5	MR. WERTER: Jesus, Ted Canaday can retire
6	Soliday can retire any day and he'd be over in
7	Naples, probably making more money in Naples.
8	MR. COX: Well don't give him any ideas.
9	MR. WERTER: I'll cripple him first before he
10	leaves.
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I'm sure that Mrs. Barrera
12	has comments.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: This year's increase is lower
14	than last year's.
15	MR. WERTER: You're not looking at the total
16	picture. That's not
17	MR. CIRIELLO: What's that word say, total?
18	MR. COX: Kelly has the floor, you guys.
19	Sorry.
20	MS. BARRERA: I'm in favor of giving Ed a 3
21	percent raise. I'm not sure that where if we're
22	going to discuss his contract, I think that has to
23	be a separate agenda item. And I'm not sure if we
24	can vote on that right now, but as a consensus, I

think that you would have my support.

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: The contract's a separate
2	issue. Public comment? Mr. Martinelli?
3	MR. MARTINELLI: Do I have to go up there?
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes.
5	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Even if you didn't have
6	to, you'd have to.
7	MR. MARTINELLI: I don't know where to begin,
8	but I know where I want to end up. Where I want to
9	end up is, all of you on the board should thank
10	your lucky stars that you have Ed Wuellner as your
11	executive director.
12	I spent a lot of time and a lot of years of my
13	life in the aviation community, including four
14	years on that board or on your board. And let me
15	tell you, I've had experiences that would make your
16	hair stand up with executive directors and with
17	malfeasance and nonfeasance of that position in
18	office.
19	Let me also say that in terms of
20	professionalism, in terms of foresight planning
21	capability and by the way, Ed does some planning
22	that you don't even know he's done except that you
23	all have accepted it because he's that skilled in
24	what he does. And I think that's a huge

25 compliment.

So, I would suggest that unanimously you look
to him to guide this airport into the future.
Boards come and go, but he should stay and he
should stay for a long time to realize the dreams
that we all have for this airport.
CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you, Mr. Martinelli.
MR. COX: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: The chairman's comments are
I've worked with Ed for four years, and I've worked
with a lot of officials on the railroad, for
example, in transportation, and I found that
Mr. Wuellner is high on the list of leaders,
insightfulness, intelligence.
I mean, the man is a born administrator, and
he can plan for the future, he can see the future,
and go for it. And like I said, once I've been
trying for four years to stay ahead of him and at
least one time I think I did it once and I was kind
of excited like a little kid because I knew
something before he did. But it was a very small
thing.
He stays on top of everything. He makes our
job easy, just like Mr. Martinelli stated. We sit
back and analyze what he does and we vote on it,

aye. The reason being is because of his 1

2	professionalism.
3	We have a well run facility here. The Galaxy
4	Aviation, they're happy with the administration.
5	The tenants are all happy with the administration.
6	There may be differences in things, but I mean,
7	there's always differences of opinion of certain
8	things. But over all, SAAPA, the airline pilots
9	association, we couldn't have it better right at
10	this point in time. And I know it sounds like he's
11	almost like God, but he's not. But he's a very
12	effective airport administrator and that's my
13	comments. My further comments?
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. It seems like I don't
15	really know how to express myself. It seems though
16	as everybody's remarks seem to be making me feel
17	that I was attacking Ed and I said no, that I know
18	he does an excellent job. I all that.
19	All I was doing was comparing the job with the
20	total cost and with Mr. Werter saying this is no
21	mom and pop place. I've been around a lot of
22	airports. The only thing this airport has over a
23	lot of general aviation airports is one big long

file:///S//Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

24

runway.

I know airports that's not physically as big

1	as this that has three fixed-based operators on it,
2	three flight schools, a flying club that uses more
3	gasoline than all the businesses on the airport,
4	and they have one 5,000 foot runway. They have
5	every kind of business going that this airport has.
6	So this airport isn't unique. And yeah, it it's
7	a good airport and it's run great because of Ed.
8	No problem with me.
9	It's just the scope of job with the wages.
10	Now you're saying a 3 percent increase. You're not
11	saying how much that is in dollars and you're not
12	saying how much he's getting right now to add to
13	that.
14	I worked in the steel industry 38 years almost
15	and when we wrote contracts for three years, that's
16	what we made, is what the contract said. If the
17	
17	company did exceptional business one year, the
17	company did exceptional business one year, the union didn't go and say, "Hey, you made a ton of
18	union didn't go and say, "Hey, you made a ton of
18 19	union didn't go and say, "Hey, you made a ton of money, we want a little more money." We worked out
18 19 20	union didn't go and say, "Hey, you made a ton of money, we want a little more money." We worked out contract before we fought for more money. But
18 19 20 21	union didn't go and say, "Hey, you made a ton of money, we want a little more money." We worked out contract before we fought for more money. But that's the way businesses business are.

airport, if you want to come down to it. He

1	probably should be in Atlanta or LA or somewhere in
2	one every those huge international airports. He's
3	that good.
4	MR. COX: Great point. 6 percent raise, Carl.
5	MR. WERTER: Didn't you forego your bonus last
6	year?
7	MR. CIRIELLO: Year before.
8	MR. BURNETT: Can I have can I have a
9	moment?
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Burnett?
11	MR. BURNETT: As the legal counsel, I stick
12	I stick to the or at least as much as possible,
13	I stick to just strictly the legal issues. Every
14	now and then when it's come to this issue, I've
15	weighed in beyond that.
16	However, this time I'll stick to a legal
17	issue, which is his contract provides that if you
18	evaluate him at a satisfactory level, his contract
19	had a mechanism built in because there was so
20	much discussion, there's always so much discussion,
21	it has a mechanism in there that if he performs
22	unsatisfactory, that's one thing.
23	If he performs satisfactory, then he gets an
24	adjustment based on the Consumer Price Index All

25 Urban Consumers, which for 2011 was 3.2 percent.

1	If he if he performs outstanding, and the
2	specific language is that "Performance in an
3	excellent outstanding man manner that has
4	resulted in an improvement to the fiscal
5	performance of the Authority, the executive's
6	salary may be increased beyond the CPIU and may
7	even be increased to reflect the market rate for
8	similarly situated airport executives and as
9	determined by the Authority, and/or the Authority
10	may award the executive a merit performance bonus
11	for such excellent outstanding performance."
12	So that's that's what's in the contract,
13	because I know there was some discussion about what
14	was in the contract or a comment about that
15	earlier. So based on I think what I heard from
16	your review of the Authority Members' reports
17	and of course y'all can change that, but what I
18	heard was at least a satisfactory.
19	MR. WERTER: So actually we're understating
20	his recommendation by .2 percent.
21	MR. COX: Uh-huh.
22	MS. BARRERA: No. I think what I heard is
23	that you were making the recommendation to
24	compensate him beyond the CPI. Was that correct?

1	MR. WERTER: Oh. You mean a raise of 6
2	percent all together cumulative? 6.2?
3	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 6.2.
4	MR. WERTER: That in mind, that's pretty high.
5	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Y'all can change it.
6	MR. WERTER: That would be pretty high.
7	Sorry, Ed.
8	MR. BURNETT: Still I I think based again
9	for what this is worth
10	MR. WERTER: I didn't know what the CPI was.
11	MR. BURNETT: Because every year I've been
12	tasked with going out and researching what other
13	executives make and the like
14	MR. WERTER: That's a falling average.
15	MR. BURNETT: I think that I think even
16	with that increase, it would put him on the level
17	of, and I can be wrong about this, so but I
18	think some of y'all may know these salaries, as a
19	county department head for some of the bigger
20	departments at the county.
21	MR. COX: You say it does put him on that
22	level?
23	MR. BURNETT: That's what it would that's
24	about what his salary is, as high as the number

25 seems, in local government, it's -- you'd be

1	surprised, for example, you know, across U.S. 1,
2	what some of the salaries are for department heads
3	at the county.
4	MR. WERTER: Is he on par with the department
5	heads; is that what you're saying? Or is he below
6	the department heads?
7	MR. BURNETT: I didn't analyze it to that
8	degree. I can tell you the county attorney, for
9	example, makes \$158,000 a year. There are the
10	MR. WERTER: Why am I working for myself?
11	MR. BURNETT: Give me a moment. I have some
12	more.
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: That's why everybody's going
14	for the jobs.
15	MS. BARRERA: Doug, while you're researching
16	that, I would just offer that Ed did go without any
17	type of beyond the contract increase for two years.
18	It was more than just for one year.
19	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Please say that again,
20	Ms. Barrera.
21	MS. BARRERA: Ed did go without any
22	anything above what the contract provided for two
23	years. More it was more than just the one year
24	that was stated.

1	CPI.
2	MR. WUELLNER: No.
3	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Two years.
4	MS. BARRERA: No, he just had no, you
5	declined that as well.
6	MR. WUELLNER: I I took no increase
7	MS. BARRERA: Right.
8	MR. WUELLNER: CPI or otherwise
9	MS. BARRERA: Right.
10	MR. WUELLNER: until last year in the
11	entirety of the five-year agreement.
12	MR. WERTER: And last year last year, how
13	much was that?
14	MR. WUELLNER: I think it was 3 percent.
15	MR. WERTER: You mean the recommended? And
16	you took no bonus.
17	MS. BARRERA: Which and really in turn
18	compensated for the amount of the Florida
19	retirement that he had to start contributing
20	towards. It was really offset itself with that.
21	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: That's correct.
22	MS. BARRERA: Just just for the other board
23	members' knowledge.
24	MR. WERTER: Well, and your your viewpoint

25 was 3.2 plus 3.

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Correct.
2	MR. COX: And, Carl, what I glean from your
3	evaluations from those, I'm I'm thinking he's in
4	the excellent position as opposed to just
5	satisfactory. That's not that doesn't cut
6	satisfactory doesn't cut it. I'm thinking per the
7	language in the contract
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Four out of five were in the
9	excellent
10	MR. COX: Right.
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: range.
12	MR. COX: So that's a majority.
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Correct.
14	MR. WERTER: Again, I'm still not getting the
15	message. Did you get a bonus last year?
16	MR. WUELLNER: No. No, I took I had CPI.
17	MR. WERTER: You just took the CPI.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. And and I did
19	MR. WERTER: With that in mind, you know,
20	because when you said at 3, I wasn't thinking the
21	CPI was 3.2.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think it is. I think
23	that was the 2011 CPI. That's that's what it
24	effectively was the increase last year based on

25 what CPI was last year. It was strictly CPI.

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: May I have may I have a
2	motion for CPI plus 3 percent for Mr. Wuellner?
3	MS. BARRERA: I would make that motion, that
4	Mr. Wuellner be compensated the CPI that's provided
5	for in his contract as well as a 3 percent raise.
6	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: May I have a second?
7	MR. COX: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Any other board discussion?
9	(None.)
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: May I have a vote, Mr. Cox?
11	MR. COX: Yes, sir. Can you read me the
12	language in there that talked about the bonus
13	beyond the the raise?
14	MR. WERTER: There's an alternative.
15	MR. COX: You mentioned something in the
16	language of the contract.
17	MR. BURNETT: The base the basic
18	performance for satisfactory is CPIU.
19	MR. COX: Right.
20	MR. BURNETT: Then it said "Should the
21	Authority's annual review and evaluation of the
22	executive determine that he has performed in an
23	excellent outstanding manner that has resulted in
24	an improvement to the fiscal performance of the

1	beyond CPIU and may even be increased to reflect
2	the market rate for similarly situated airport
3	executives as determined by the Authority, and/or
4	the Authority may award the executive a merit
5	performance bonus for such excellent outstanding
6	performance."
7	MR. COX: How would that be administered, a
8	merit performance bonus?
9	MR. BURNETT: As I recall, going back to Buzz
10	George and some of you obviously have been
11	around that long. When this was being discussed, I
12	think Mr. George's comments related to it was if
13	there was some event that occurred, then that event
14	would warrant a bonus. And that's what that would
15	be tied to on the bonus part of it.
16	Aside from that, salary increases for
17	satisfactory performance would be CPIU and salary
18	for increases for better than satisfactory
19	performance, an excellent outstanding performance
20	would be CPIU plus something.
21	MR. COX: Can we table the motion that we just
22	did to
23	MR. BURNETT: For more discussion?
24	MR. COX: to proffer another or not? Can

1	MR. BURNETT: There's a motion on the floor.
2	So you can have discussion about the motion under
3	Robert's Rules of Order, but another motion can't
4	be made.
5	MR. COX: Okay. Can I have the floor for a
6	second
7	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes.
8	MR. COX: just for discussion? Can we
9	reach a consensus that we're talking about a
10	performance that is equivalent to excellent as
11	opposed to satisfactory for the purposes of this
12	discussion?
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes.
14	MR. COX: Consensus to that?
15	MS. BARRERA: Yes.
16	MR. WERTER: Yes.
17	MR. COX: Okay. So it's we have that
18	consensus. So we're talking about a performance
19	level that's excellent, okay, as per the
20	contract in reference to the contract language.
21	So now we're at an adjustment of 3 percent
22	plus 3.2 for the CPI, which is where we're at on
23	the motion that's currently on the floor and under
24	discussion.

1	MR. WERTER: But we haven't fully when we
2	first started this discussion, I was I was not
3	of the understanding that because I didn't
4	remember what CPI was. I thought this was a a 3
5	percent raise in toto as opposed to in addition to
6	the CPI. It wasn't enunciated that way. Just a 3
7	percent raise.
8	And where we do have other alternatives, i.e,
9	the bonus mechanism, which in discussion may have
10	been tied to bringing in an airline and stuff like
11	that, but there's nothing in the contract that
12	prohibits us discussing a bonus option as opposed
13	to an additional CPI you know, percentage to the
14	CPI. However, there's so many different ways you
15	can go with this.
16	What would be good for information is what are
17	commensurate people making, which we don't have the
18	information about. I want to see Mr. Wuellner
19	definitely get a raise obviously, and if it's going
20	to be raise-based only, yeah, above the CPI to
21	reflect his performance. And that is what's done
22	in private industry as well.
23	This his job is not one of Civil Service,
24	even though he works for the public. So we would

25 have to look at that. And also look at the option

1	of in lieu of additional to the CPI, in lieu of any
2	addition to the CPI, a bonus mechanism, a bonus
3	recommendation instead. And that may require a
4	little research to see commensurate other people of
5	his level, you know, his executive level, what
6	they're earning in the same also geographic area.
7	Not necessarily in St. Johns County, but I mean of
8	the same economic strata. Because of course if we
9	were to give him what he was worth based on a
10	New York standard, he'd be earning \$300,000. So we
11	can't do it that way, either.
12	So any study as to what commensurate people
13	would be making would have to be in communities of
14	the equivalent type. And St. Johns is not a true
15	community anymore. St. Johns is one of the better
16	places to live.
17	So those are there's a lot of information
18	out there that needs to be gleaned before
19	rewarding. Am I for rewarding him for his
20	performance? Most definitely. But it can't be
21	done cavalier, okay? So I would need more
22	information.
23	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mrs. Barrera?
24	MS. BARRERA: One piece of information that

25 might help you is that usually the bonus structure,

1	you're taxed at a much higher rate. So you need to
2	keep that in mind
3	MR. WERTER: Oh, yeah.
4	MS. BARRERA: first of all.
5	And second of all, I want you to as board
6	remember that there were two years that he received
7	no increase, not even a cost of living increase.
8	And in addition during all that time, he has
9	consistently performed above, as to Mr. Cox's
10	point, the satisfactory level. He's done an
11	outstanding job.
12	So, with that, I would I would say that the
13	time has come that with our economic standing, our
14	strong financial report consistently, and certainly
15	with the financial gains that we've made within the
16	financial report, that the time has come that his
17	deferred compensation as far as not only the CPI
18	but as a performance evaluation metric, we take
19	that into account and go with the 3 percent.
20	MR. WERTER: Which two years was that, 2010
21	and what?
22	MS. BARRERA: And 2011.
23	MR. WERTER: And '11.
24	MS. BARRERA: No. '9 and '10.

1	MS. BARRERA: Because last year he just took
2	the CPI.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Last year I tried to
4	give him more money than the board recommended.
5	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Correct.
6	MS. BARRERA: You did.
7	MR. WERTER: Then you know something? With
8	that in mind, you know, a 6 percent flat 6
9	percent raise instead of breaking it down might
10	to bring him back up to speed for the two years he
11	received no raise might be reasonable. It might be
12	reasonable because for two years he went without a
13	raise.
14	MS. BARRERA: For three years he went without
15	a raise and for two years he went out even a cost
16	of living adjustment.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: And that's why I strongly
18	recommend that we pass this resolution, because
19	he's just we're just playing catch-up.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: He still hasn't said how much
21	that actually is in dollars. 3 percent or 6
22	percent doesn't sound like much, but when you're
23	talking 10, 15, 20,000, then it sounds like a lot.
24	MR. WERTER: No. You're making what, 120,

1	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's 132.
2	MR. WERTER: 132. So he's talking 6
3	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 6,000.
4	MR. WERTER: No.
5	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: 7,000.
6	MR. WERTER: Almost 8,000. A raise of 8,000
7	that he hasn't gotten in at least two years.
8	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Correct.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, to somebody small like
10	me, a salary of a hundred thousand dollars is like
11	a king's ransom, and it's over that and for a
12	couple of years, it's only you figure you're
13	thinking that he's in the poor farm or something.
14	I'm still saying that the job comparing the
15	job with the with the total cost that the
16	director or the total money, the revenue or
17	the executive makes is nothing wrong with it. I
18	don't think that we're hurting him by if we said
19	no. Of course you guys all have your opinion and I
20	have mine.
21	MR. WERTER: Well, let me put it on a more
22	simplistic term. When you were working for the
23	steel industry every year, you did get a raise
24	almost, just about.

1	three years and that's what we got until the next
2	contract.
3	As a matter of fact, the last couple of years
4	I worked, the company was hurting so bad because of
5	the economy, they went to the unions and the unions
6	agreed to decrease salary or pay. I lost over a
7	dollar an hour on my job giving it back to the
8	company because they were hurting.
9	MR. WERTER: And if the company was doing
10	better, you would have benefited.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: No, we didn't not until
12	the
13	MR. WERTER: If the company was doing better,
14	you would have benefited.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: No, because they didn't give us
16	any more money. We whatever we contracted for
17	for that three years is what we made.
18	MR. WERTER: Where is Jimmy Hoffa when you
19	need him, right?
20	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Gentlemen, gentlemen.
21	MS. BARRERA: Can we call to this a vote?
22	MR. COX: Yeah. Point of order, let's get
23	back to the talking about the director instead
24	of union politics, please. Can I make a comment on

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: You can make a comment, yes.
2	MR. BURNETT: Well, as Mr. Chair, as one
3	thing, I believe I heard a board member though say
4	call the vote.
5	MR. COX: Right.
6	MS. BARRERA: Once that happens, it's time.
7	MR. COX: There you go.
8	MS. BARRERA: I did.
9	MR. COX: I didn't hear you say that.
10	MS. BARRERA: I certainly did, yes.
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Okay. The motion's been
12	was there a second?
13	MS. BARRERA: There was.
14	MR. COX: Second, yeah.
15	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Second?
16	MR. COX: Uh-huh.
17	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Oh, okay. The motion is
18	brought to the floor. It's been made and seconded.
19	May I have the ayes for the motion that was made?
20	MR. COX: Aye.
21	MR. WERTER: Aye.
22	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Aye. Motion passes. Nays?
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Nay.

CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Nay. Motion passes 4 to 1.

1	MR. BURNETT: As a footnote if I might,
2	Mr. Chair. Looks like there's 28 employees at the
3	county that makes over a \$100,000. There's about
4	10 that make over \$120,000. Just as a footnote to
5	the salaries.
6	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: In closing, I wish I had
7	made \$120- or 130,000 in my career, too.
8	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Mr. Chairman
9	Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Sanchez.
11	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Can I say something
12	real quick before you adjourn?
13	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Yes, you may, Mr. Sanchez.
14	You have the floor.
15	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I want to back up for a
16	minute to the economic development issue. I think
17	you need to do more than hoping you're going to get
18	a bill passed. That could take two years over
19	there.
20	I think you need to contact the Governor's
21	economic development team, and I think you need to
22	stress how unfair this is because you are economic
23	development. You work on it yourself and you have
24	to compete with other people around here.

25 And I really think you'd come out better there

1	and you may come out even better yet if you talk
2	directly to the Governor. He has a habit of
3	getting things done a little quicker over there
4	than just asking some because you'd have to get
5	someone to present the bill.
6	MR. COX: Yeah.
7	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: And their committee
8	meetings start real soon, and you're going to miss
9	this year all together. I mean, it's just a
10	thought.
11	MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
12	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I mean, to me you've
13	got nothing to lose by trying that. But I
14	definitely think it's not a fair situation. That's
15	the reason the other law was changed, was to make
16	it fair because, you know, other people could sit
17	there and not have to say who it is, but yet the
18	government had to release the records.
19	MR. WERTER: Who sponsored that bill the last
20	time?
21	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'm not too sure. But,
22	you know, my information basically was Governor
23	Scott invented that to make it a fair situation for
24	governments and to increase the possibility of

file:///SI/Administration/Board%20Items/Minutes/2012%20Minutes/Mtg120312.txt[12/19/2012 8:54:14 AM]

1	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Sanchez, thank you, very
2	much for that.
3	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Werter
5	MR. COX: Point well taken.
6	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Wuellner, do you think we
7	can follow up that?
8	MR. WUELLNER: We can.
9	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Mr. Burnett?
10	MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: Thank you. Any other
12	matters before the board?
13	MR. WUELLNER: I would just like to thank you
14	all for your support and the confidence you have in
15	me and I'll do my best (inaudible).
16	MR. COX: I'm glad you got a raise, Mr. Werter
17	(sic).
18	CHAIRMAN YOUMAN: I got to use the gavel
19	again. Next regular meeting January 14th at 4 p.m.
20	This is adjournment.
21	(Meeting adjourned at 6:09 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	

110
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2
3 STATE OF FLORIDA )
4 COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )
5
6 I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR, certify
7 that I was authorized to and did stenographically report
8 the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a
9 true record of my stenographic notes.
10 Dated this 18th day of December, 2012.
11
12 JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22