1	ST. AUGUSTINE -	ST. JOHNS	COUNTY AI	RPORT AUTHORITY	7

2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, March 19, 2007
6	from 4:07 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	RANDY BRUNSON JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
10	
11	
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
14	WAYNE GEORGE
L' +	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	
17	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
18	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
19	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.

20	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
21	
	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR
22	St. Augustine Court Reporters
	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
23	St. Augustine, FL 32084
	(904) 825-0570
24	
25	

1		INDEX		
2		PAGE		
3	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3	
4	3.	APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTI	ES	3
5	4.	FINANCIAL REPORT	4	
6	5.	AGENDA APPROVAL	5	
7	6.	REPORTS	7	
8	7.	COMMITTEE REPORTS	6	
9	8.	PROJECT UPDATES	13	
10	9.	ACTION ITEMS		
11	-	A. PR Committee Liaison Appointme		
12		B. Lease I-2 Wind Dancer Aviation, In C. Lease I-3 Flitec, Inc.	28	
13		E. "G" Row Rental Rate Plan	54	
14		F. Corporate Hangar Space Options G. Insurance Update & Request	60 75	
•		H. Workshop Date Request	81	
15		-		
1.0	10.	HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS	87	
16	11	PUBLIC COMMENT GENERAL	9	R
17	11.	TOBLIC COMMENT GENERAL	,	O
18	11.	AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:		
10		A. Ms. Kelly Barrera, Secretary-Treasu	arer 107	
19		B. Mr. Randy Brunson	108	
	(C. Mr. John Gorman	109	

D. Mr. Wayne George	Absent
E. Ms. Suzanne Green, Chairman	110
21	
12. NEXT BOARD MEETING	112
22	
13. ADJOURNMENT	112
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. BARRERA: Hello, everyone. We'd like to
3	go ahead and call this meeting to order. It's
4	4:10. Before we get started, we want to remind
5	everyone that if you have any comments to make or
6	any information that you'd like to put have
7	input on, we have the slips over on the table.
8	You'll want to fill those out and bring them to
9	the front.
10	As of right now, I have no slips here for the
11	chairman's position when she arrives that anyone
12	has filled out that they'd like to discuss on any
13	of the agenda items. So, I just wanted to remind
14	everyone.
15	If I could have everyone stand for the Pledge
16	of Allegiance.
17	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
18	3 APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES
19	MS. BARRERA: Okay. We have the approval of

20	the minutes from our last meeting. Has everyone
21	had a chance to review the minutes from the last
22	meeting?
23	MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion we approve the
24	minutes. Did you read them, Jack?
25	MR. GORMAN: I read them. I have I think

1	that at times when everyone talks all at once,
2	that we have a tremendous difficulty and it makes
3	it tremendously difficult for the court reporter
4	to the court reporter, I'm sorry to be able
5	to get them accurate.
6	I didn't see that they were completely
7	accurate, but they were certainly the gist was
8	there. And hats off to our ability to do at least
9	that. So yes, I would second the motion to
10	approve them.
11	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Then the minute the
12	minutes will stand approved.
13	4 APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL REPORT
14	MS. BARRERA: On the financial report, I
15	reviewed the financial report. I didn't find any
16	discrepancies. Anyone else?
17	MR. BRUNSON: Do we have a copy?
18	MS. BARRERA: It's right there.
19	MR. BRUNSON: Right.

20	MS. BARRERA: In the center.
21	(Pause in the proceedings.)
22	MS. BARRERA: Do we have a motion to approve
23	the financial report?
24	MR. BRUNSON: I have a question. Ed,
25	where where does it show our reserve?

- MR. WUELLNER: It would be on your page 2, 1 2 the numbered page 2. 3 MR. BRUNSON: Uh-huh. MR. WUELLNER: And would be the currently --4 we don't have a stand-alone account for that, but 5 you can see it's the combination of the two SBA 6 7 account line items there --8 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: -- of \$463,000 and \$3,041,000 9 currently. 10 MR. BRUNSON: So, this -- this includes --11
- millage rate we approved?
- 15 MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
- MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I make a motion we

MR. WUELLNER: Includes reserve.

MR. BRUNSON: -- the reserve of the -- the

17 approve.

12

13

- MS. BARRERA: Do we have a second?
- 19 MR. GORMAN: Second.

20	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Financial report will be
21	approved as submitted.
22	5 APPROVAL OF AGENDA
23	MS. BARRERA: We have the agenda before us.
24	Has everyone had a chance to look over the agenda?
25	MR. BRUNSON: Yes.

1	MS. BARRERA: Do we have a motion to approve
2	the agenda as it stands?
3	MR. BRUNSON: Do we have anything we want to
4	add?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Not as an agenda item, no.
6	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I make a motion we
7	approve the agenda.
8	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
9	MR. GORMAN: Second.
10	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Then the agenda will be
11	approved as stand stands. Reports?
12	7 COMMITTEE REPORTS
13	MR. BRUNSON: The MPO, we've had two of these
14	meetings kind of back to back. And so the we
15	have not had another MPO meeting since then. So,
16	everything is is the same as last reported.
17	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
18	MR. BRUNSON: And on the EDC, the about
19	the same thing; nothing new to report there.

- MS. BARRERA: Okay. On the Intergovernmental?
- MR. WUELLNER: I think Mr. George attended
- that on your behalf, and of course he's not in
- attendance today.
- MS. BARRERA: Okay. And on the SJC Aerospace

1	Academy?
2	MR. WUELLNER: They have not had a meeting
3	since the last Airport Authority meeting. I
4	believe they're Wednesday of this week or
5	Wednesday of next week. I'm not sure which.
6	MS. BARRERA: Okay. But they did have the
7	exposition.
8	MR. WUELLNER: I believe they did for the
9	incoming seventh and eighth graders, or eighth
10	graders into the into St. Augustine High
11	School.
12	MS. BARRERA: And from what I understand,
13	that was very well received by the community.
14	Okay. Project no.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Need your other reports.
16	6 REPORTS
17	MS. BARRERA: Other reports. Mr. Sanchez?
18	County Commission? Do you have any reports?
19	MR. BRUNSON: Where's our speaker?

20	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: The only thing is I
21	think at my very first meeting, someone asked
22	about a insurance deal with the County. And I
23	reported to you that we weren't sleeping on that
24	but legal was very, very busy.
25	It's my understanding now that that has gone

1	out of legal and has gone to the insurance agent
2	that handles insurance for the County. So, that's
3	where that sits. There's no answers or anything
4	on it yet, but that's where it is.
5	MR. GORMAN: May I say one thing or
6	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Sure.
7	MR. GORMAN: If it goes to the insurance
8	agent, it goes into a closed loop. In other
9	words, because to actually go back into using
10	insurance agencies rather than self-insuring,
11	you've you've just reevolved yourself into the
12	problem again.
13	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Well, I'm sorry if I
14	said "agent," but there is an insurance agency
15	that handles our self-insurance fund. I think
16	that's required by state law. And we do have a
17	local person that handles that, and that's who has
18	it now. So
19	MR. GORMAN: I understand.

- MR. BRUNSON: Thank you, Ron.
- MS. BARRERA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Sanchez.
- 22 Mr. Slingluff?
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't see him.
- MS. BARRERA: He's not here. Mr. Daniel
- Nehring?

1	MR. NEHRING: Nothing.
2	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Mr. Thompson with
3	S.A.P.A.?
4	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you. It's my practice
5	to ask if people have anything that they want to
6	say to the board, anything that they want brought
7	up. And I got a pretty good response this time.
8	I think probably unanimously from all the
9	club members, we want to thank Ed for his his
10	fuel price management. And, you know, we got
11	got the warning about an increase here a few days
12	ago, but it has been so good for so long, I've
13	heard absolutely no complaints, and we appreciate
14	it very much.
15	The Coast Guard Auxiliary and Civil Air
16	Patrol also appreciate the space and the effort
17	that's made by the airport, and particularly
18	Galaxy, who apparently is donating a lot of cups
19	of coffee to the Coast Guard Auxiliary folks and

20	have been very, very flexible in scheduling
21	facilities and conference room.
22	The new card access system continues to get
23	rave reviews, both for the way the system's been
24	implemented and the speed of the gates. You know,
25	stopping I'm hoping we're seeing better

1	compliance with people stopping. I think that's
2	one of the reasons. The gate opened, you could go
3	through and stop, and the gate closes, and it's
4	not sitting there wondering if something broke
5	before the before it starts to push closed.
6	The only thing in line of a complaint was
7	maybe a new vendor for the Coke machine, soft
8	drink machines at the base of the tower. The note
9	I got here is "continually inop." I don't know
10	whether that's true or not. But there's a I
11	never get fuel over here that I don't find run
12	into somebody buying fuel who is not from here,
13	not from anywhere close to here, but they heard
14	about St. Augustine and the fuel prices and the
15	nice facilities.
16	And, you know, the guy that I ran into most
17	recently was last Wednesday, had been to Orlando
18	and was headed back to Memphis and stopped in here
19	to get fuel.

- So, that's -- the reputation is -- is
- spreading, and maybe an operative Coke machine
- would be a better thing. And that's it. Thank
- you all very much.
- MS. BARRERA: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
- MR. GORMAN: Can I? I'm wasting our time,

1	but can I tell you the Coke machine never works?
2	I'm out about \$10 in change because everybody's so
3	darn thirsty and they keep looking to me.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Well, we'll follow up
5	on that.
6	MR. GORMAN: All right.
7	MS. BARRERA: Mr. Ottesen from Florida
8	MR. WUELLNER: I don't see him.
9	MS. BARRERA: No? And Mr. Burnett?
10	MR. BURNETT: Other than just to report
11	we're working on a number of things for you right
12	now, including attempting to collect a judgment,
13	an old judgment for not too much money, \$14,000.
14	But none needless to say, it's taxpayer money,
15	so we're trying to collect that for you.
16	And then dealing with some issues related to
17	neighboring property owners and their plans for
18	development and trying to make sure your interest

is protected in that regard and advising Ed in

19

20	those matters. So, that's mostly what's going on
21	right now from our end.
22	MS. BARRERA: Thank you, Mr. Burnett. Jack?
23	MR. GORMAN: When we're talking about, again,
24	avigation easements and applying them to any
25	development that goes on in the area

1	MR. BURNETT: Yes. Naturally, that's sort of
2	the baseline as to what what we understand from
3	the Authority would be their their their
4	minimum requirement, would be to have that kind of
5	avigation easement like what was done on Madeira,
6	the Ponce.
7	MR. GORMAN: Right, which was done very well.
8	And what can I say, now that we've got two
9	county commissioners in here, is there any help
10	that you might need from them to make sure that
11	to expedite any of that ever happening, to make
12	sure it does happen?
13	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. I'm I'm not sure
14	so sure at this stage, we've gotten to a point
15	where where we need it, because I think we've
16	had willing folks on the other side saying, yeah,
17	we'll do it.
18	MR. GORMAN: All right.
19	MR. BURNETT: There's been some questions

- related to the actual, some of the specific
- 21 language that was used in the Ponce. But other
- than that, we've had -- have been dealing with a
- willing party.
- MR. GORMAN: That's great. That's great
- news. Great.

MR. WUELLNER: And -- and we're going to ask 1 to workshop that here in a few minutes. We'll get 2 3 a date set for that. MS. BARRERA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Burnett. 4 5 Mr. Knight, I don't see him. Ed, will you be presenting on his behalf? 6 MR. WUELLNER: Well, yeah. The -- through 7 February -- or excuse me, for the month of 8 February, operations for the month were 8,120, as 9 10 reported by the tower. So, that reflects the daytime 7 a.m. to 9 p.m. numbers. Okay. 11 MS. BARRERA: Okay. Thank you. And we'll go 12 on to project updates. 13 14 8. - PROJECT UPDATES MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. Outstanding 15 16 projects currently are south area apron development; the T-hangar development project; 17 18 hangars 8, 9, and 10; the seaplane ramp; marketing and public relations; and airport leasing 19

20	activities.
21	First with the south south area Taxiway F
22	and apron, as you recall, this is the FAA project,
23	or the project that's largely funded by the FAA in
24	the State of Florida. At this point, work
25	continues out there.

1	The time rock is just about finished up on
2	the main portion of the amp the ramp and the
3	lead-in taxiways. In fact, I understand they're
4	going to be doing the prime coating, which is the
5	sealant and adhesive material that's placed right
6	before actual laying of asphalt on the taxiway
7	portions within the matter of days. And they're
8	finishing the final lift of lime rock into the
9	apron area, especially in the west end of the
10	apron. So, I think they're everything's moving
11	along very well and and don't expect any real
12	problems now.
13	And paving, I would think within, you know, a
14	matter of weeks should at least get the first
15	first course of that on. There's a total of six
16	inches of pavement that goes back there. So,
17	it it will go in two-inch lifts, so,
18	effectively pave it three times with two inches.
19	So, it will take a while to pave.

20	Next project's T-hangar, that T-hangar
21	development project that is currently at County
22	DRC. Preliminary meeting was held with the
23	engineers. At that point, no major issues were
24	identified as a part of the DRC. They're
25	responding with a few comments that were made

1	And as soon as that is released out of DRC,
2	we will begin the bidding process for the
3	development of those buildings. Once that's
4	complete, obviously we'll be back to you for award
5	of that contract. And then hopefully we're still
6	looking at a time line of about the end of this
7	calendar year, December, for occupancy on the
8	T-hangars back there.
9	And to refresh your memory, that's, I
10	believe, 42 and 11 or 44 and 11, I think is how
11	it
12	MR. BRUNSON: Forty-four?
13	MR. WUELLNER: shapes up as of as of
14	last month, so and that's the last time you'll
15	see anything about the layout of that particular
16	area.
17	All right. Hangars 8, 9, and 10, very close
18	to totally complete. I believe they've got
19	another coating of the floor, hangar floor, to do

20	the coating that's being applied there. Paving's
21	complete. They were doing exterior cleanup. The
22	interior cleanup's been accomplished.
23	I really expect that if they have not already
24	applied for it, that they would be very close to
25	having it the DRC approval of that project

1	excuse me, DRC excuse me the CO from the
2	County on those buildings very shortly. We're
3	still expecting approximately April 1st occupancy,
4	based on the leases that were approved last month.
5	Seaplane ramp, this morning, the all of
6	the aluminum structures arrived on-site. Most of
7	the floating dock material and pilings are in
8	in are on the airport now. And he's supposed
9	to be out there within a matter of days to get
10	that done.
11	The freshwater well out there for rinse-down
12	is completed. And the electrical service is in
13	place to to power that. So, it's coming along.
14	It will go together very quickly based on what
15	I've seen out there, and still looking really a
16	matter of he told us less than a week or so to
17	do the actual construction in in place. So,
18	should still meet our schedule of the end of the
19	month.

20	You have an agenda item on marketing and
21	public relations coming up. And as I mentioned
22	last month, we were making a presentation to the
23	North Vilano Beach or what do they call it,
24	North Shores
25	MR. BURNETT: Improvement Association.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Improvement Association or
2	whatever it is. We did that last week ago
3	Monday.
4	MS. BARRERA: Was it well attended?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Let's see. Commissioner
6	Bryant was there, along with one of the County
7	attorneys to talk about something else up there.
8	And I want to say there was 30, 35 maybe in
9	attendance. Couldn't have been much more than
10	that.
11	MR. GORMAN: Again, did the board members get
12	an e-mail a couple of days in advance of that?
13	MR. WUELLNER: We didn't give you an e-mail
14	because just a couple of days before it was the
15	MS. BARRERA: PR.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we let you know in the
17	Authority meeting of the of doing that. It was
18	on the in fact, in this place last
19	MR. GORMAN: It was at the workshop.

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: I understand.
- MR. WUELLNER: Airport leasing activities,
- hangars I-2 and I-3 are on the agenda. Otherwise,
- that would be extent of the activity this month.
- I do have an issue to talk to you about

- 1 briefly and make sure no one has a problem with
- 2 the approach, but it's under housekeeping later.
- 3 Just to make sure there's no issues with the board
- 4 before we move forward.
- 5 And that brings you to your first agenda
- 6 item.
- 7 MS. BARRERA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wuellner.
- 8 9.A. PR COMMITTEE LIAISON APPOINTMENT
- 9 MS. BARRERA: Agenda items, the PR committee
- liaison appointment. Mr. Wuellner?
- MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry?
- MS. BARRERA: We had -- we're on the first
- agenda item, the PR committee liaison appointment.
- We had the workshop.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. This is largely your
- agenda item --
- 17 MS. BARRERA: Right.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: -- to continue your discussion
- and take whatever action you -- you deem

20	appropriate relative to your workshop last week.
21	Was it last week or week before last? Relative to
22	handling future public relations activities, both
23	for the Airport Authority proper and for engaging
24	others in the community relative to their support
25	for airport-related activities.

1	And I m not sure where y all want to pick it
2	up and make it actionable at this point. But this
3	is that agenda item.
4	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Do we have any board
5	member comment on the PR committee liaison
6	appointment from the workshop?
7	MR. BRUNSON: What I would say is that I
8	would like to continue with the theory that
9	that we have a liaison to the PR committee, and
10	this PR committee be made up of citizens that are
11	interested in doing PR for the airport and to
12	to speaking with and with civic
13	organizations and all the things we talked about
14	at the workshop, that I think that the this
15	should be a thing that the the board members
16	should be a liaison and not running the PR
17	meeting.
18	And and I think that's pretty well what we
19	decided at the workshop.

20	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Mr. Gorman, did you have
21	any comments?
22	MR. GORMAN: I like the list. It's a good
23	list. I would I would think it would be pretty
24	key to get Grumman involved. I mean, that's my
25	only thought, the caveat, the way I would really

1	like to see Gruillillan really involved.
2	Warren's a great promoter. He's he joined
3	the the Coast Guard Auxiliary, and he's already
4	talking to Washington, and I think it's his second
5	day. So, they're all good, like Reba. So, it's
6	excellent. I just hope it keep lots of inertia.
7	MS. BARRERA: I think we've had a lot of
8	we had our workshop on the public relations item.
9	We've had discussions before at the board meeting
10	about the need for public relations for the
11	Airport Authority to help educate the public about
12	the airport and about its benefit and the value to
13	the community.
14	So, it sounds to me that there's a lot of
15	interest and support for that. And from the
16	workshop, what I understand is that the the
17	board was more in favor of trying to keep it as a
18	separate thing from the board and focus through
19	the Airport Authority on corporate communication

25

comment.

20	from a corporate standpoint and and have a
21	liaison to the PR committee to assist in any flow
22	of information that they would be able to use.
23	Do I have a motion?
24	MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make one more

I	With this list of people that maybe would
2	serve on the PR committee, I certainly want to try
3	to include people in the business community that
4	are interested, and not just us pilots. And so
5	the liaison should keep that in mind, to try to
6	to help in that endeavor.
7	MR. GORMAN: You think that maybe some
8	invitations could be extended by the committee
9	here to, you know, members of the business
10	community?
11	MR. BRUNSON: That'd be their decision, but I
12	certainly hope they do. I think there's a lot of
13	people out there with the EDC and with
14	MR. GORMAN: Sure.
15	MR. BRUNSON: the Chamber and in the
16	county that are interested and citizens, and
17	that's where the pilots do an excellent job of
18	PR, but I certainly hope we commend that.
19	Having said that, I'd like to make a motion

- 20 that we nominate Kelly to be our PR liaison for
- 21 the airport board.
- MR. GORMAN: Second.
- MS. BARRERA: I have a motion and a second.
- 24 Do I have any discussion?
- MR. WUELLNER: You do. Go to the public.

1 MS. BARRERA: Any public comment regarding 2 this? 3 (No public comment.) MR. BRUNSON: Good luck, Kelly. 4 5 MR. GORMAN: We volunteered you again. MS. BARRERA: Okay. Well, then --6 7 MR. BRUNSON: All in favor? 8 MS. BARRERA: All in favor, say aye. MR. BRUNSON: Aye. 9 MS. BARRERA: Aye. 10 MR. GORMAN: Aye. 11 MS. BARRERA: All opposed? 12 13 (No opposition.) MS. BARRERA: All right. I will be the PR 14 liaison appointment. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 17 MS. BARRERA: The next agenda item is lease 18 I-2, the Wind Dancer Aviation, Incorporated. 19 9-B. - LEASE I-2 WIND DANCER AVIATION, INC.

20	MR. WUELLNER: That's I have two leases to
21	bring to you today. The first lease is to Wind
22	Dancer Aviation. This is yeah, the name just
23	slipped out of my head. Gene Powers. Right out
24	of my head. Gene Powers
25	MR. BRUNSON: The mechanic.

1	MR. WUELLNER: who is principal of that.
2	You've you've become familiar with Gene's
3	operation. We've been talking about it for better
4	part of a year in trying to figure out how to make
5	that work.
6	Gene was next on the commercial hangar
7	list this is the vacated hangar I-2 in that
8	that tenant is moving over to the east side, over
9	to I believe it's hangar unit 9.
10	This is a third 3,000 square foot hangar.
11	This particular hangar has a little bit of office
12	space that's built in there, along with restroom.
13	The lease would and character would be a
14	commercial lease. It would be restricted to
15	aircraft maintenance, within that context.
16	The proponent has provided all of the
17	requisite information and meets minimum standards
18	for the operation as approved by you. It's
19	looking for a five-year lease with three five-year

25

20	options. The lease would increase annually at
21	CPI.
22	Again, it's 3,000 square foot. It works out
23	to \$1,500 a square excuse me, per month, or
24	\$18,000 a year. That is at \$6 a square foot, is

what the rental rate would be for that facility.

- 1 And it would be Staff's recommendation you approve
- 2 that lease.
- 3 MS. BARRERA: Mr. Wuellner, this is above
- 4 what the lease was previously --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The lease was --
- 6 MS. BARRERA: -- is that correct?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: -- at -- my memory's correct,
- 8 about \$850 or \$900 a month, is what the vacated
- 9 lease value was.
- 10 MS. BARRERA: So, it's substantially.
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- MS. BARRERA: Okay. Board discussion?
- MR. BRUNSON: And -- and this meets our ROI?
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely.
- MR. BRUNSON: Without any problem?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, this is a 10- or
- 17 11-year-old building and certainly exceeds those
- 18 kind of numbers.
- MR. BRUNSON: Good. Well, that's very well

20	needed, instead of coming under the fence and
21	working on airplanes. So so, long overdue.
22	MS. BARRERA: Do we have any other comment?
23	Mr. Gorman?
24	MR. GORMAN: Long overdue is is mine. I'd
25	encourage someone else to do it, too. We need

more than one facility. But finally can get 1 2 something fixed on the field. That's great. 3 MS. BARRERA: Instead of losing that business 4 to other airports. 5 MR. GORMAN: Exactly. MS. BARRERA: Do we have any public comment 6 7 on this action item? Public comment? Mr. Sesona? 8 MR. SESONA: Yeah. I'd like to ask a question about general information about, you 9 know, in-the-box activities. How does the tower 10 record that type of air traffic? Is each pass 11 recorded by the tower? Is there some special 12 13 arrangement that is used for logging this air traffic? It's different than regular air traffic? 14 MS. BARRERA: Are you talking about transient 15 traffic? 16 MR. WUELLNER: Aerobatics. 17 18 MS. BARRERA: Oh, aerobatics. Would that be

a separate --

19

20	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
21	MS. BARRERA: item to discuss?
22	MR. WUELLNER: It's kind of kind of of
23	the topic, but it they're only recorded as
24	takeoffs and landings when they physically take
25	off or land. It's not bypass or anything like

1	that.
2	MR. SESONA: Okay. Does the Airport
3	Authority in any way subsidize stuff like that,
4	provide fuel or
5	MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. Not at all.
6	MR. SESONA: One one other thing. On the
7	seaplane ramp, will air traffic in and out of
8	there be recorded separately, or will that just
9	melt into the general air traffic count?
10	MR. WUELLNER: I can't really speak for
11	David. I my suspicion is it's recorded just
12	like any other takeoff and landing and is not I
13	don't know that he immediately distinguishes based
14	on whether it's seaplane or land.
15	I think it's only based on type of aircraft,
16	is the only way to distinguish, meaning
17	single-engine, multi-engine, jet, military, that
18	kind of distinction. They are logged that way.
19	MR. SESONA: I was kind of hoping that it

20	might be separately logged so that we could have
21	some idea on whether or not, you know, the amount
22	of money that we're spending for that is in any
23	way justifiable.
24	We've got quite a bit of money that's going
25	into that renovation. And since I don't see that

1	much seaplane traffic, I was kind of wondering if
2	we could have something separate done on that.
3	That's my comment.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
5	MR. SESONA: Thank you.
6	MS. BARRERA: Do we want to save any board
7	member comments regarding that as a separate item
8	since that wasn't a part of the action item?
9	Those comments weren't a part of the lease?
10	Okay. So, we'll save any any further
11	comment on that as part of the public comment from
12	the Authority members, because that's not a part
13	of the the flow of the agenda.
14	Okay. Do we have a motion regarding do we
15	have any further public comment regarding Wind
16	Dancer Aviation's lease?
17	(No public comment.)
18	MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion we authorize
10	the director to initiate the lease with Wind

- Dancer as stated.
- MR. GORMAN: Second.
- MS. BARRERA: Okay. We have a motion and a
- second to accept the lease for Wind Dancer
- 24 Aviation.
- The next agenda item is the lease on I-3?

12

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: You want to vote on that? 2 MS. BARRERA: Oh, I'm sorry. Vote. All in favor, aye? 3 MR. BRUNSON: Aye. 4 5 MS. BARRERA: Aye. 6 MR. GORMAN: Aye. MS. BARRERA: All opposed? 7 8 (No opposition.) MS. BARRERA: Then we will accept it. 9 9.C. - LEASE I-3 FLITEC, INC. 10 MR. WUELLNER: All right. Next -- next is 11
- 13 I-3. Again, it's vacated, with the tenant going
- over to the other side of the field. Company name

the second -- second lease. This is for hangar

- is Flitec, Inc. They -- it, again, is a lease,
- 16 commercial lease. And it's specialized flight
- training. They do exclusively training in the
- 18 Cirrus aircraft in particular.
- There's a requirement within the insurance

20	industry related to Cirrus aircraft, because of
21	the parachute that's on board, that specialized
22	training be conducted, and this particular
23	gentleman does that type of work.
24	We reviewed their information for compliance
25	with minimum standards and find it acceptable.

- 1 Again, they're looking for a five-year lease, with
- 2 three five-year options, adjusted annually in CPI.
- 3 The unit is slightly larger in that it's
- 4 3,250 square foot. However, this particular
- 5 hangar has no office or restroom or any other
- 6 facilities. It's strictly a hangar. It is their
- 7 desire to build out that office to be compliant
- 8 with your minimum operating standards. And as
- 9 such, the rental rate was adjusted to \$5.50 a
- square foot, or \$1,490 per month, for a total of
- 11 \$17,875.
- 12 And it would be Staff's recommendation for
- 13 approval.
- MR. BRUNSON: And -- and Flitec was in
- 15 line --
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- with their sign-in?
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
- MR. BRUNSON: So, they're not going ahead of

- anybody?
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
- MR. BRUNSON: And this is basically about the
- same money as we're doing on 1 and 2 --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- with them spending money.

1 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And --2 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. 3 MR. WUELLNER: -- there's very little difference, really, 250 square foot difference in 4 the hangar units. 5 MS. BARRERA: And they're going to be the 6 ones paying for the office space? 7 8 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Yes, ma'am. MS. BARRERA: Do we have any other comment? 9 Do we have any public comment regarding this 10 action item with Flitec? 11 (No public comment.) 12 MS. BARRERA: Okay. Do we have a motion on 13 the board to accept? 14 MR. GORMAN: I make a motion we accept it. 15 16 MR. BRUNSON: Second. MS. BARRERA: We have a motion and a second. 17 The lease is accepted. 18 MR. WUELLNER: You need to vote. 19

- MS. BARRERA: Everyone vote aye?
- MR. WUELLNER: You need to --
- MS. BARRERA: I mean, everybody in favor?
- MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- MS. BARRERA: I'm counting the minutes.
- Everyone in favor, say aye.

1	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
2	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Anyone opposed?
3	(No opposition.)
4	MS. BARRERA: Then we'll accept the lease of
5	I-3.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
7	MS. BARRERA: The next item on the agenda is
8	the information flow?
9	9.D INFORMATION FLOW
10	MR. GORMAN: That was mine. I'm going to
11	keep it real simple. I'm going to bring it up
12	again later after we see how it works.
13	Just to keep it simple and keep it going, I
14	would like to encourage that board members to
15	e-mail to a central to Mr. Wuellner and, of
16	course, to our attorney to make sure everything's
17	legal schmegal, their thoughts on things. Because
18	during these meetings, we don't get to develop our
19	thoughts much. We don't in other words, the

20	meetings move on. We discuss agenda items.
21	And but there are things that other board
22	members might want to know that board members are
23	thinking. Not to discuss, but to think; in other
24	words, situations. And I'd like to encourage the
25	board members to do that.

MS. BARRERA: And I'll hold your thought on 1 2 that while I change positions. (Suzanne Green enters the room.) 3 MS. BARRERA: As quickly as possible. 4 5 MR. GORMAN: It's reserved for Chairman. MR. BRUNSON: Why don't you restate that, 6 Jack? 7 MS. BARRERA: Yes. Please. 8 9 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. 10 MS. BARRERA: We're on information flow. MR. GORMAN: Information flow. Again, I'd 11 just like to keep it simple. 12 I'd like to encourage my fellow board members 13 to keep -- to publish their thoughts in the form 14 of e-mail, addressed to both Mr. Wuellner and our 15 16 attorney, Mr. Burnett, so he can review and make 17 sure there will be no reply requested to these things. 18

But I think that in effect, we don't have a

19

25

20	lot of of a thought pool going on here. In
21	other words, we don't have enough input to be able
22	to understand the depth of things that are going
23	on.
24	And I think that with, for instance,

paragraphs that are published by each board

1 member, should you feel like doing that, that can be disseminated to the other board members and, of 2 3 course, to the public at the same time, that that may be a good thing. That may encourage, during 4 these board meetings, discussion at greater depth. 5 And that is my reason for the information flow 6 request. 7 8 I also again would like to request that any -- an e-mail for any public presentations by 9 10 the staff -- I missed the -- for instance, I missed this workshop and so I didn't know about 11 the Vilano presentation. It's not a big deal, but 12 I would like -- I'd like to make that request. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that all? MS. BARRERA: Could you -- I'm not sure that 15 16 you can share your -- what your thoughts are on 17 anything --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I was going to open 18

it -- if there -- if that was -- I didn't want to

19

20	cut off Mr. Gorman if that was
21	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to open it. I
23	didn't see any I'm looking for if anyone
24	information flow was not marked. So, there is no
25	public comment. So, I'll open it up to board

1 comment then. So, I'm sorry, Kelly. MR. BRUNSON: Go -- go ahead. 2 3 MS. BARRERA: Can you -- can you share what 4 your individual thoughts are regarding agenda 5 items with other board members, or can you -instead, would it -- is -- would you be able to 6 e-mail questions to Ed to answer and disseminate, 7 8 if it's a technicality, like a -- you know, how much will this be increased over that? 9 MR. GORMAN: Again, not requesting 10 discussion. Discussion being held for board 11 meetings. But thoughts. In other words, in-depth 12 thoughts and an analysis, in-depth analysis of a 13 14 situation that each board member has could be disseminated to other board members and the 15 16 public. And it'd have to be disseminated to the public at the same time. And that's my request. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you saying --18 MR. GORMAN: That would increase the depth of 19

20	our discussions on board meetings and increase the
21	public's input.

- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you saying that let's --
- and I'm just going to hypothetically say this.
- MR. GORMAN: Sure.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We've got an issue with

hangar H, whatever. You want to be able to put an 1 e-mail out to Ed which says we have to really 2 discuss what's going on with hangar H, I see 3 problems, and disseminate that everyone on the 4 board think about hangar H. 5 6 MR. GORMAN: Exactly. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Doug, can you 7 explain? I'm asking Doug to explain to us what 8 that, alone, that hypothetical... 9 10 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And -- and I guess -let me preface this to go back. And every now and 11 then I say this, so I'm -- although it's been rare 12 in -- in recent years. But at one time, I was 13 Assistant County Attorney over at the County and 14 dealt with this quite a bit. I was over there in 15 16 a controversial time during the time when Nocatee 17 was getting approved. And as you see now, from the media related to 18

the county, it's often -- the sunshine law is a

19

20	real blessing for the public. It's also difficult
21	to you hear folks expressing it's difficult to
22	run a large organization when you have when
23	you're bound by the sunshine law. It's something
24	that can be perhaps frustrating at times.
25	What I see happen at the County, and what I

1	think you could do here, if you wanted, is I see
2	where County Commissioners from time to time have
3	issues that are of particular importance to them
4	where they're even the presenter of those items.
5	They may draft a memo and have the memo
6	included with the agenda as an agenda, part of the
7	agenda package, and everyone gets that ahead of
8	the meeting and they know what the issue is and
9	they're able to discuss it and have the insight of
10	what that, in that example, County Commissioners'
11	thoughts are.
12	The risk you run, or the difficulty you have
13	with this, is if we're dealing with e-mail, the
14	risk and we've talked about that. This some
15	time, a number of occasions, Mr. Gorman, and I
16	know you know what I'm what I'm about to say,
17	but I guess I'm saying this also for the public's
18	benefit. The risk you run is if you send an
19	e-mail, and that e-mail goes to an Authority

25

20	member, and then an Authority member responds to
21	the e-mail, you then run afoul of the sunshine
22	law. That's that's something we've got to be
23	concerned about.
24	MR. GORMAN: And that's that that

concern, I would think, is completely valid, and

- 1 that would be -- have to be -- we're all adults
- 2 here. Just don't do it.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: Sure.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think "respond"
- 5 could be a lot of ways, written, verbal,
- 6 accidentally talk about it. So, that's a
- 7 response. That's my concern.
- 8 MR. GORMAN: Not allowed.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that's been the rules
- we've had. And it hasn't always been followed.
- 11 And that's my concern about start -- starting to
- have board members send e-mails to each other.
- 13 I'm thinking about this -- whatever.
- MR. GORMAN: Not each other. The public and
- all of us. The public gets the e-mail.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But then you wanted it
- disseminated by Ed back to us. That's pretty
- 18 much --
- MR. GORMAN: Well, then, just do it to the

- 20 public and -- and then do it to the board members
- 21 to make sure that the board members are aware that
- the public has that information.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. That's -- that's the
- 24 difficulty, because of where you're -- and -- and
- 25 I'm sorry, Ms. Chair, for just jumping in there.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tasked you.
2	MR. BURNETT: My the only concern I'd have
3	there is the the public that you identify as
4	being the copied recipient may not be the entire
5	public, and the person who raises their hand up
6	and says, "Wait a second, I didn't get copied on
7	that e-mail," which inevitably will happen.
8	I'm not sure of a good example, other than
9	perhaps sending them to Ed and having it as part
10	of the agenda package. I mean, that that could
11	be done. The agenda package is available to the
12	public and available to everyone, and and so
13	then that could happen.
14	I don't think you could have a response,
15	though. And that's that's the real difficulty,
16	that it's going to really call on all of you to
17	exercise restraint in that regard, because you
18	couldn't have someone convey their thoughts to the
19	executive director, and the executive director

disseminate that information to the other
Authority members, and then someone respond
another Authority member respond to it.
And that's the real difficulty, because
naturally, when someone communicates something
that you feel like you need to respond to, you're

going to want to respond. 1 MR. GORMAN: Well, you wait for a board 2 3 meeting, for public board meetings to respond. Any response. Like we do now, is wait for a board 4 5 meeting. In other words, the caveat that, oh, you could do something bad, doesn't -- to me, doesn't 6 mean that you should not be able to develop 7 8 thoughts, you know, in analysis of a situation, and disseminate that to both the public and to the 9 other board members, making sure that everybody 10 11 has that available to them. And the caveat that you brought up as, oh, 12 someone might raise their hand and say they didn't 13 get it, well, it's because they didn't read it. I 14 mean, that's not -- that's a point of law. And I 15 16 understand as an attorney you bring up that point 17 of law. But I don't see that's valid to not being 18 able to disseminate it to the public. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Randy?

- MR. BRUNSON: Jack, I know what you're trying
- 21 to accomplish, I really do.
- MR. GORMAN: Okay.
- MR. BRUNSON: I think we might could do that.
- But I think that we might be best to really think
- 25 what we want to -- to discuss and put it on the

1	agenda well in advance so that I have notice of
2	what you want to talk about and and learn more
3	about what you want to talk about.
4	And and we might not accomplish this at
5	this meeting on the first agenda, but it's a way
6	to get it up front. Everything's legal, and we
7	can keep going like we're going, would be my way
8	of approaching this.
9	But I do know what you're trying to
10	accomplish. But I think it's going to cause some
11	problems with sunshine.
12	MR. GORMAN: I don't see how if the public's
13	available. I would just disagree. I'm sorry.
14	MR. BRUNSON: Sure.
15	MR. GORMAN: Yeah.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just did receive one
17	public comment. I just want to remind everybody,
18	I opened it up to public, so if you do want to
19	speak on it, that was the time.

- So, Mr. Martinelli, briefly, if you want
- 21 to -- you just handed me one on this topic.
- MR. MARTINELLI: The reason I did was because
- I really wasn't aware of where this was going.
- 24 So...
- MR. BURNETT: Mr. Martinelli, we -- we need

to get it on audio. Thank you, sir. 1 MR. MARTINELLI: Oh, okay. Victor 2 Martinelli, 24 Carriage Lane, Ponte Vedra Beach. 3 I can speak, I think, from a little personal 4 experience. It's not always a -- an exact 5 violation of the sunshine law, but maybe a 6 perceived violation. And having gone through the 7 8 slings and arrows of being perceived to have violated the sunshine law as chairman of the Water 9 and Sewer Authority and being damn near crucified 10 for it, I want to tell you that it's not fun. 11 And you -- in my particular case, because I 12 13 communicated with the executive director of the Water and Sewer Authority via e-mail, I had a writ 14 of mandamus handed down to me by Judge Watson 15 saying that my hard drive was going to be taken. 16 17 And all of my personal information, of course, is on the hard drive. And so it was -- it was a 18 pretty tough thing. 19

20	And so I would suggest that you avoid any
21	appearance or perception of violation of sunshine
22	law and stay squeaky clean.
23	And so coming back to the point that I think
24	Doug made, who the public who is the public
25	or who are the public, and how do you reach all of

1	the public becomes a pivotal issue. And so I
2	would suggest that the path that Mr. Burnett
3	outlined earlier, if you have something, put it on
4	the agenda. Get with the executive director and
5	put it on the agenda for discussion, specific
6	discussion at the meeting. Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Martinelli.
8	Any further board discussion?
9	MS. BARRERA: I'm I'm wondering, a
10	possible compromise would be, for instance, if
11	if there was a board member who had a problem
12	with or a question with hangar row 8 and
13	something didn't clear, or they needed a point of
14	clarification, if that point of clarification
15	can't be part of the agenda when it's disseminated
16	out to everyone. Does that make sense?
17	When you get the agenda package, if there was
18	a question about what is the rate per square foot,
19	instead since that was maybe Mr. Gorman

20	brought that up, maybe that's what you put down as
21	one of your bullet points on the agenda, as a
22	compromise, that then goes out to everyone. That
23	way, that question and clarification is answered
24	and it's disseminated out as a part of the agenda
25	item. Would that be a compromise?

1	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not sure. It's the I
2	think he's talking about a different type of
3	communication.
4	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
5	MR. GORMAN: That would also have to be
6	disseminated to the public.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it is. Our agenda's
8	published on our web site, so that's and
9	published in the paper.
10	MR. GORMAN: And the preanalysis of the
11	discussion
12	MR. BRUNSON: Goes back to being on the
13	agenda, right.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. It goes back to
15	being on the agenda, correct.
16	MR. GORMAN: Certainly all of these thoughts
17	that would be published would be on the agenda
18	as
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think, with Ms. Barrera,

20	that that's a compromise, and that's something
21	I didn't want to speak for Mr. Martinelli, and
22	that's one of the reasons I went out of order and
23	asked him, because I was aware of that situation
24	he went through.
25	And I they are very, very strict about

1	these sunshine laws. Any, any look of
2	impropriety, whether it's and some board
3	members who are friends that fly together or
4	family friends, we have to be very careful. We
5	may send e-mails, you know, going for a fly this
6	afternoon. You know, what are we doing? It has
7	nothing to do with board. They will monitor. I
8	mean, that is very closely monitored.
9	So, I'm I'm with you, Kelly. I think we
10	can put and I've gone to Ed over the years
11	concerned about this. Can we put this on the
12	agenda? If we want to be more specific about my
13	major concern under corporate hangar space
14	options, or I've been contacted three times by
15	three different corporate people, these
16	specifically, can we talk about them, because
17	they're calling me every day? You know, something
18	like that.
19	MR. GORMAN: Can those thoughts be

20	disseminated to the board members so they can
21	reflect on them previous to without discussion
22	previous to board meetings?
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: On the agenda. On the
24	agenda. Because then it gets disseminated to the
25	board members and to the public at the same time.

- 1 It just may mean we may have to get our thoughts a
- 2 little quicker out there so that Ed will have --
- 3 you know, the agenda is written at a certain time.
- 4 We can't wait till the day before or a couple of
- 5 days before, that type of thing.
- 6 Does that make sense, if we can get it to him
- 7 so it gets on there?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: If -- if you want it in -- you
- 9 know, if you want it in -- in writing, for lack of
- better terms, you still can communicate that at
- 11 the Authority meeting. So, if you --
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I understand that.
- He -- Mr. Gorman, I think, is just trying to get
- it out there earlier --
- MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- so that we can have food
- 17 for thought before we get here.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And so the only way I see to

20	do that is kind of what Kelly said, is let's put
21	it out on the agenda, which gets disseminated to
22	the public and to the board members, in enough
23	time.
24	I mean, you know, I'm on the run a lot, but I
25	do check my e-mails, and I'll pull the agenda with

- me so I go through, you know, okay, we've got to 1 discuss this. I'll go back through my prior 2 3 notes, look and see what my questions were. But it gives me enough time to do that. 4 I think it'd help the public, too, because we 5 have these comments, and it would help the public 6 know exactly. Because I had a question, too. I 7 didn't know what information flow actually meant. 8 I thought it was more PR stuff. 9 10 But I -- I think that's a good idea to try and do it in writing. I mean, we don't have to 11 have a memo attached all the time if you feel it's 12 important in our packet. But I think more bullet 13 points, so we can narrow down the focus, might 14 make the meetings run smoother, too, because the 15 16 public would know what's coming. We will have
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah. The point to the whole discussion being an analysis of each board member

done our research.

17

20	in depth that will be available to read so that
21	the discussions can be more in depth than these
22	cursory discussions that I hear constantly I've
23	heard for the last four years.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'm not following
25	what you say by an analysis of each board member.

1	MR. GORMAN: In other words, if you your
2	thoughts. Your analysis of the situation can be
3	published to each board member and then they'll
4	have the time to reflect on that, and then when
5	the board again convenes, then we'll be able to
6	discuss the situation in depth.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. This is my
8	MR. GORMAN: Because we don't have these
9	are so short.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is my concern from our
11	attorney is, well, I don't want to have written
12	communication between board members.
13	MR. GORMAN: Not between. To. There's no
14	between. There's no written between.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If it's on the agenda, not a
16	problem. It's in our packet, not a problem.
17	Whatever's published to the public, I think we're
18	okay, right, Mr. Burnett?
19	MR. BURNETT: Yes. My and I don't want to

20	go through too many war stories.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
22	MR. BURNETT: But just to give you an
23	example Mr. Martinelli's example is a very good
24	one and a very real one. I can recall situations
25	at the County where The St. Augustine Record, for

1	example, requested every e-mail, because again,
2	they're public record, every e-mail by every
3	county commissioner and some of the top County
4	employees between a certain date and a certain
5	day, and it was some 4,000 e-mails that they
6	combed through. I don't know, you know, whether
7	they how often they've done those. But I can
8	remember a particular occasion. And then they
9	printed in the paper certain excerpts from those
10	e-mails.
11	So, this is something that that they do
12	show up and and look at from time to time. And
13	that's something we should be aware of and and
14	understand. Because the real fear that any of us
15	have is that someone sends an e-mail that someone
16	then responds to.
17	Now, I understand your goal is to not have
18	this happen. Human nature is something that I
19	iust caution you about. And and I guess the

20	effective way to do it is if there's an agenda
21	item that you really need to talk about, to become
22	the presenter of it or become the person who is
23	part partially the presenter of and have your
24	thoughts in there with the agenda item, and then
25	it's open to the public and everybody knows what

1 the communication is. 2 MR. GORMAN: And again, and for the last 3 time, the logistics of the time frame. When -- if you just get it presented as an agenda item and 4 you've got a four-page analysis of the situation 5 that I have published that I want the rest of the 6 board to read, they don't have the time to 7 8 actually digest this to reflect on it. That's the reason for the prepublication. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, and that's -- I heard 10 Mr. Martinelli. I was thinking about that, too. 11 The -- I mean, the problem with doing an agenda 12 too far in advance is it is subject to change. 13 And publication's an issue if we change it and 14 then publish a different agenda. 15 How much time -- I mean, we've gone through 16 17 volumes of minutes and records and what have you.

What is it, about a week's head time you give us,

Ed?

18

19

24

20	MR. WUELLNER: The the initial agenda's
21	out usually a week ahead.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Just the baseline agenda. And

we try to fill it in with the blanks not later

25 than Thursday.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think to help with
2	Mr. Gorman, Thursday right before is a little
3	tough. I mean, if we had a good solid week that
4	that person, that board member says, all right,
5	these are my thoughts, this is my analysis, it
6	will be on the agenda. Here it is Monday morning.
7	Make sure it's on the preliminary agenda. Then
8	we'll all get it electronically and to the public.
9	It's there.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the the other thing
11	we we could do is, you know, is update the
12	proposed agenda more frequently, start it sooner,
13	as long as everyone realizes it's you know,
14	it's likely going to change a number of times
15	during the you know, the month period between
16	meetings.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't have a problem with
18	that. I'm just trying to address the board
19	members that are saying I want the other board

20	members to have enough time and the public to have
21	enough time to digest what my concern is. That
22	person should put it on the week before, I mean,
23	get it to them as soon as possible.
24	If you get it to him the Friday before, and
25	you expect everyone to have time to digest it,

then we're running a risk, yeah. 1 2 MR. WUELLNER: Well, my -- my comment was 3 that if we got the -- the -- what was going to be on the agenda, the agenda itself, out earlier, 4 even though it may not be a hundred percent 5 accurate at that point, then it would allow 6 perhaps someone to -- you know, a board member to 7 8 realize this topic is on the agenda and it would also give them some time to prepare what -- what 9 they want to put with it. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. BURNETT: You know, and if I might, one 12 other thing to add is you could show up at a 13 board -- at a meeting, such as this one, and say 14 these are my thoughts on an agenda item that's 15 coming up, and go ahead and produce them at -- at 16 17 an Authority meeting. Then it's available. It's public record. Anybody can come see it. And then 18

everybody knows well in advance of what the issues

19

20	are and what your thoughts are. Then they have
21	time to be prepared for the next Authority
22	meeting.
23	MR. GORMAN: In other words, publish it
24	previous to the meeting. In other words, the
25	meeting before, you're saying?

1 MR. BURNETT: Yes, sir. 2 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. I understand. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: At a public meeting. 4 MR. GORMAN: I understand that. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That could probably go under --6 7 MR. GORMAN: That's better than what we've 8 got now. CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- comments or something. 9 MR. WUELLNER: Under your individual 10 11 comments. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. That's -- I meant that, yeah. 13 14 MR. BRUNSON: Ms. Chairman? CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, Randy. 15 16 MR. BRUNSON: The only other comment I want 17 to make is that these agendas are very brief. And 18 what I do, and most of you do, is I meet with Ed,

like we did today, to get some clarification on

19

20	these agenda items. And I think that he can give
21	us a lot of information to make the meetings more
22	productive.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Does that help, Mr. Gorman?
24	MR. GORMAN: What does happen right now is
25	the only conduit for information, and probably

- 1 rightly so, between board members, and rightly so,
- 2 is the director. But that doesn't mean --
- 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or Doug.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: No.
- 5 MS. BARRERA: He's not the conduit.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: No, I'm not the conduit for
- 7 information between you. I may be -- you may be
- 8 able to talk to me --
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Source.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- but I'm not --
- MR. BRUNSON: It needs to be talked out here.
- MR. GORMAN: I understand that.
- MS. BARRERA: He doesn't -- he doesn't share
- with us what other board members are thinking. He
- doesn't share with us what --
- 16 MR. GORMAN: No.
- MS. BARRERA: -- other board members'
- perspectives are. So, he's not a conduit in that
- sense. He's the resource for information for

- questions that we might individually have but not
- 21 collectively.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And both collectively at
- board member meetings.
- MS. BARRERA: At meetings, right.
- MR. GORMAN: And the -- okay. I'm just

laboring on it --1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Well, that --2 3 that may help us. So, if we have something that's a little more in length, then it's a good idea to 4 bring, these are my thoughts, it's going to be 5 coming up in an agenda because I'm going to put it 6 on there next week or next meeting or whatever. 7 8 Take it food for thought, the public knows, and then it will be on the next agenda. 9 10 And if you don't have that much leeway, then at least put it as much as possible so we can have 11 it on the agenda item, all right? Okay. 12 I guess next we have the G row rental rate? 13 9.E. - "G" ROW RENTAL RATE PLAN 14 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. The -- we have 15 six hangars out there, which are entitled the "G" 16 row hangars because that's the row they occupy. 17 But these hangars are 2500 square foot in size. 18 They're 50 x 50. 19

20	Four of the six are, I honestly don't know
21	the age of the hangars, but they've been around
22	quite a while. The two that are higher ceilinged
23	or have a higher door system to it are
24	approximately 10 years old, built by the Airport
25	Authority.

1	The rental rates out there for those
2	facilities, they're the last of the hangars that
3	are probably performing at below market. And
4	currently the rental rates range from a low of
5	\$1.63 per square foot to \$2.40 a square foot.
6	The market survey that we did, or was done on
7	our behalf back before the first of the year,
8	indicated that the range should be between \$2.65
9	and \$6. And the \$6 end would be reflective of a
10	different character of hangar, meaning more of a
11	commercial in nature versus a true storage.
12	Our proposal to you is that we systematically
13	increase that rate from from that low \$1.63 to
14	\$2.40 range up to \$3.84 to \$4.08, which is
15	effectively changing it from \$340 a month to \$800
16	a month.
17	But rather than try and do that and and
18	perhaps unfairly try to do that as a single
19	rental-year jump, that we were suggesting that the

20	Airport Authority take a perhaps a five-year
21	approach to systematically increasing that rent.
22	It would range from \$70 to \$92 a month increase
23	for each year.
24	Notify those tenants now of that. That lease
25	ordinarily would have those adjustments applied

1	October 1st of each year, so we're giving them,
2	you know, better part of six months' notice that
3	that would happen.
4	Those tenants currently would have the
5	ability to be on the lateral transfer list so they
6	could, if if that is a financial hardship, we
7	could certainly get them into a standard T-hangar
8	as a part of that lateral lateral list.
9	We do have plenty of names on a list waiting
10	for space that could use that. So, you know, in
11	the event that that just is financially a problem
12	or becomes financially a problem over the years as
13	the rent goes up, I feel very comfortable that
14	they could be rerented. And obviously any
15	vacancies would be rented immediately at the new
16	rate, not not join the step-up.
17	So, if that's acceptable, it would be our
18	recommendation to do that over the course of the
19	next five years.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have no public comment.
21	Board comment?
22	MR. WUELLNER: It's about a 20 just round
23	numbers, about 20 percent, 21 percent increase per
24	year. So, it's it is significant in terms of
25	the dollars, or percentage, anyway.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Brunson?
2	MR. BRUNSON: I would recommend that we do
3	have people waiting for these hangars?
4	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Yeah.
5	MR. BRUNSON: And they've been under market?
6	They've been realizing the advantage of that. But
7	I still think that maybe we should not raise it to
8	market rate immediately, but instead of five
9	years, I would recommend personally that I've
10	looked at this is maybe three years. And
11	and if they need to go to another hangar, then we
12	get market rate for this immediately.
13	MS. BARRERA: Mr. Wuellner, how many how
14	many tenants are we talking about?
15	MR. WUELLNER: There's a grand total of six
16	tenants affected or six, and the there are only
17	six hangar units there.
18	MS. BARRERA: All right. And one other point
19	for clarification. These are the only hangars

- that are this below market?
- MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Yeah.
- MS. BARRERA: Right. Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: Everything else has kept pace.
- MS. BARRERA: Everything else is at market
- rate or above?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
3	MR. GORMAN: I would agree with Mr. Brunson,
4	that three years is certainly an adequate time to
5	be able to span so that there is no economic
6	impact to the individual tenant. Three is more
7	timely.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are these annual leases?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Currently.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I made my notes, the same
11	thing. I was three or under, because as our rates
12	are going up, so is the market rate. So, by the
13	time we got there, in five years, we'd still be
14	behind the 8 ball. So, I'd say no more than
15	three.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Is there anything that
17	prohibits this in the lease, that prohibits this
18	rate increase?
19	MR. WUELLNER: No.

- MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: You set rate increases as you
- see fit.
- MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
- 25 discussion?

MR. WUELLNER: I don't know if there's any 1 2 statutory issue on it. MR. BURNETT: No. They're -- they're 3 4 yearly -- these are the yearly leases. 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yearly leases. 6 MR. BURNETT: So, this would be at October --7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I asked the term of the lease. 8 MR. WUELLNER: It would be their option. 9 They could, you know, get out of the lease at that 10 point or -- or accept a new rate. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's why I asked if it was 12 13 an annual or five-year, whatever the term was. MR. BRUNSON: We need the money. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Absolutely. All right. 15 16 I'll entertain a motion. 17 MR. BRUNSON: I make a motion that we grant the director to notify the tenants within 30 days 18

of their rate increase, but to be done with a

20	three-year annual adjustment.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
22	MR. GORMAN: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
24	(No further discussion.)
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of the

1	recommendation for the increase within the ranges
2	over a three-year period instead of five, all in
3	favor?
4	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
6	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
7	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
9	(No opposition.)
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, motion passes.
11	9.F CORPORATE HANGAR SPACE OPTIONS
12	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The next agenda
13	item I have here was actually placed here by
14	Mr. George at last meeting or requested to be
15	placed on here. With discussions of of him as
16	to what it was he was trying to accomplish, he had
17	two goals.
18	One was to make sure the Airport Authority
19	begins thinking about the next infrastructure

20	development relative to, in particular, corporate
21	hangar space as they we're talking larger
22	hangars, not not small in that we
23	effectively, with hangar project 8, 9, and 10,
24	have built out the east side development at this
25	point, and sites basically do not exist at this

point without the investment in additional 1 infrastructure. 2 3 To that end -- and also, he wanted to make 4 sure we began talking in earnest about the planning involved or beginning to discuss the --5 the -- the final phase of development in the south 6 area here as it related to how the -- the park 7 8 would integrate there, how the roads would integrate back in there, what if any additional 9 10 hangars could be built back there and -- and begin talking about how that layout, at this point, even 11 though funds have not been identified to do that, 12 but to get the planning underway now so that 13 everybody's on a -- on a clear course for what --14 what we're going to do there when we do get the 15 funding in -- in place. To that end, I threw up a 16 17 couple of slides on here, just to help in -- in the discussion, if necessary. 18

One is -- the first slide, I believe, just

20	shows that north corporate development area. And
21	I'm sorry I didn't grab the pointer. Generally,
22	we're talking about the area identified in this
23	general area as the potential now. The the
24	weak link in the plan, as it stands today and
25	this the next slide shows you how it's the

1	same area; it's just slightly twisted in terms of
2	orientation.
3	But this was the plan that you ultimately
4	adopted and placed in the Airport Master Plan in
5	terms of the the amount of development over
6	there or the potential development in that window
7	of property.
8	These three hangars are would be 8, 9, and
9	10 in today's plan. There is a possibility that
10	another unit or two could be placed here. Do keep
11	in mind that that there's an envelope here, and
12	it's hard a little hard to follow, but the
13	the existing road line runs something about, I'm
14	tracing here.
15	But a good portion of this was identified
16	as as an isolated wetland area, and a little
17	bit of it is in a conservation area. It's all
18	freshwater wetlands as compared to the the
19	saltwater issues and and the more difficult

25

20	mitigation involved there. But the area could be
21	developed that way.
22	We have had, over the years many of you
23	I mean, this has come up and goes away
24	periodically, but over the past really ten years,

almost eleven years, the -- the Gun Club

periodically approaches us -- that is the 1 neighboring property owner here, approaches us 2 about purchasing a portion of that property. And 3 that -- that is again on the table, and they are 4 interested in talking to us again about that 5 relative to acquiring the property. 6 There is a -- in this particular site, 7 though, there is, as you recall that discussion 8 during the Master Planning process, there is a 9 sliver there of -- of actual marsh or wetland 10 impacts there of -- quite possibly that are 11 saltwater, which, you know, could -- could have 12 13 its own -- its own issues tied to it. We're not representing today that it's 14 entirely feasible that all of those units could be 15 16 made to work back there at those densities, but 17 that would be the general land area that I would think that is available, at least readily 18 available, to begin that kind of planning effort 19

20	back there.	

- You know, it wouldn't necessarily -- you
- know, just -- wouldn't have to be that linear
- area, wouldn't have to be that dense, but just the
- area more than anything else.
- 25 The other location, this -- I, unfortunately,

1	couldn't find a sketch that showed showed the
2	original iteration of the of the park
3	down there, because I'm not sure that we
4	ultimately, after the hangars were placed there
5	after the last meeting I know we did not revise
6	sketches to try and make all of that show all
7	that on the sketch, but I think that's something
8	that would be the logical next step, is to is
9	to get that information on the aerial and and
10	again look at the the wetland area, the
11	borders, as well as the setbacks required and
12	those variables, get them on the drawings and see
13	what's left to that we can look at the planning
14	on and do some iterations and gather input on and
15	ultimately come to some some general plan.
16	My suggestion is we just you know, they've
17	done a bang-up job for us, but we we, you know
18	kind of tag on to the hangar project there and
19	let and and begin some iterations of that

20	and how that can how that can work.
21	You know, at this point, it's really it's
22	not really my agenda item. I'm not trying to
23	press the issue. But it seems it is entirely
24	prudent that we keep moving on this, because at
25	the end of the day, in a lot of ways, the Airport

Authority is in the development business and it --1 2 you know, it is -- it is the path to -- to how we 3 are self-sustaining at the end of the day. So -- and in that business, when you're out 4 5 of property or out of area to lease, we're -- you know, we're effectively out of the game. So, we 6 need to be thinking, you know, in a logical manner 7 8 of what we're going to do next. With that, I'll --9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have public 10 11 comment. Joe Jones? MR. JONES: Joe Jones, 4602 Fifth Avenue. I 12 was basically wanting to see what -- you know, I 13 14 didn't know exactly what you were talking about this. And my comment now, I was really going to 15 save it for the public comment, but might as well 16 17 bring it up now. The park issue, you know, setting some stuff 18

aside and everything, you made a promise to the

20	people of St. Johns County from three, four years
21	now about this park. I think you need to it's
22	time to set this aside, to put the land aside.
23	This should be your next thing you're going
24	to build, the park. Even if you don't build it,
25	you need to dedicate the land. Somehow or another

1 you need to get it to your lawyer here so no 2 previous -- next board could come back and change 3 it. Because that's all that's going to happen. 4 You're going to say, oh, yeah, this is going 5 to be our pretty little park right here. It's 6 going to be here and here. And then the next board is going to go up there and say, nah, let's 7 build some hangars there. We don't really need a 8 park. 9 10 Somehow or another, you got to get it to him and it's got to be set aside for no construction 11 whatsoever. You need to go ahead and start 12 setting some money aside, you know, to start 13 showing the rows going in there, how it's going to 14 operate and everything else. Because all you've 15 16 ever heard, oh, yeah, we're going to put a park 17 out to the south. A park -- you've never said 18 where. It's just to the south, on the marsh, it's

going to be beautiful. Never said how big, never

20	said what	it's	going	to contain	in.

- This is your perfect PR opportunity, is to do
- this. People will believe what you say then.
- 23 That's your biggest problem with the PR, is show
- them you mean what you say and that -- let that be
- your next project.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mr. Jones. Board
2	comment? I had a couple of questions of Ed.
3	Funding, if we look at this, when do we make
4	application, is this two years, three years,
5	whatever?
6	MR. WUELLNER: It would really depend on the
7	sources identified. And if if we tie or are
8	able to tie this to some sort of as a part of
9	some sort of aviation-related development
10	because if we can't tie it somehow to that, then
11	literally you're looking at probably your own
12	funding or or, you know, approaching the County
13	in in assistance for just park development. I
14	don't know what their you know, what they would
15	be thinking or or would think of something like
16	that. You know, no one's had those discussions at
17	all.
18	The the type of grants we put together and
19	the have limitations and and you know a

20	public park is not a is not going to be a grant
21	with Florida DOT in this case and certainly not
22	even on the scope for Federal Aviation
23	Administration.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry. I was I was
25	going back to the corporate hangar space out

1 there. 2 MR. WUELLNER: The corporate. Yes, 3 corporate, we actually have -- I don't know that we have any infrastructure programmed. You're 4 5 probably on a four- to five-year kind of time line to -- to get in the -- get in the queue for that. 6 There -- there may be some ways, depending on how 7 the funding comes together, that you can 8 accelerate in, you know, in some -- in some small 9 10 ways. But by and large, it's just barely in the 11 just queue and it's in a very generic form as 12 corporate hangars. It's not even specific about 13 14 what or where or anything else. But that -that's what you do in this -- this planning 15 exercise, is to firm up exactly what that project 16 17 means. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. That's why I was 18

asking, if -- if there's something -- there's

20	something out there we have to make application
21	for within a year, we'll lose it or
22	MR. WUELLNER: You do have a grant, and I'm
23	sorry, I don't remember exactly whether it's this,
24	beginning this summer, beginning July 1 fiscal
25	year of the state or the following year, you have

1	some additional infrastructure money programmed
2	with Florida DOT for the south, for the south
3	area.
4	It could be I I don't know that the
5	State would have any objection to redirecting that
6	another place, or you can use that to support the
7	infrastructure for whatever you whatever you
8	envision down there, which which you haven't
9	laid out, either, which could include elements of
10	the park.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I was going to
12	ask. Can it include some
13	MR. WUELLNER: Could include elements of
14	access. And those would certainly satisfy FDOT in
15	terms of scope.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Gorman?
17	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. I think we need to look
18	at the bigger picture and the greater good, in
19	other words, right now. In other words, if we tie

20	everything to funding with aviation
21	infrastructure, then you're going to find an
22	instant caveat and an instant stumbling block to
23	ever developing a park, which is what
24	Mr. Wuellner's saying.
25	So and I have we have lots of County

1 Commissioners in here. We're obviously probably 2 going to need some help. And maybe they would be 3 the -- would be someone to turn to --4 MR. WUELLNER: Well --5 MR. GORMAN: -- and, you know, so that they -- the project could be a joint project. 6 Would not just be aviation. 7 8 MR. WUELLNER: Right. MR. GORMAN: And I couldn't agree with 9 Mr. Wuellner more, going to need -- but that has 10 11 to be studied. Let's tie them -- let's tie this whole thing together. Because it isn't -- I mean, 12 you do have to get off the tax rolls. We're going 13 to get off the tax rolls. But it isn't always all 14 15 money. 16 The esoterics of how to build this area up 17 and this town up, because it's a beautiful tourist area, are important. And they're important to the 18

public because the -- the actual response to

25

building a park that for aviation view, has
been tremendous. It's and I couldn't say it
better than Mr. Joseph did; it's a it's a
public relations opportunity.
CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know you've been, and I

think Randy has -- and I don't even think it was

1	built by the airport, the City of San Diego did
2	it, that whole basin there right by the the
3	airport. I mean, there are so many people that
4	walk around there and stand there to watch the
5	planes. I mean, it's very nice. I'm not sure if
6	that may have been a joint thing. I don't know.
7	Randy, did you have any comment?
8	MR. BRUNSON: No comment. This is you
9	know, this is this is a workshop-type thing.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
11	MR. BRUNSON: We it's in just the infant
12	stage, and so there's lot of work to be done.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Ed, do you need any
14	direction from us, other than, yes
15	MR. WUELLNER: If if you'd like to begin
16	just that kind of high level discussion stuff, we
17	can we can begin to get some concepts thrown
18	together, and then I guess you then take them
19	where you go. And then we'll work once we know

- 20 exactly what y'all want to do, we can start
- 21 putting that together with where funding
- sources --
- MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- might be or may not be.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Especially with the south

- 1 development of the park. I think --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Yeah. I think the --
- 3 the north area, or the east area, whatever you
- 4 want to call it, is -- is pretty straightforward
- 5 in terms of funding. The -- the south may have
- 6 some -- some other opportunities in there.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. -- Kelly?
- 8 MS. BARRERA: How -- from my understanding --
- 9 I walked that area with Bryan, and there is land
- that we have tentatively identified that will be
- that park as a -- as a -- by the wetland.
- MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- MS. BARRERA: The exact width and --
- MR. WUELLNER: The details.
- MS. BARRERA: -- the permitting that has to
- go along with all that hasn't been finalized. But
- that land has been identified.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, there -- there are
- 19 pieces within that, especially along that edge

25

20	there, that do not lend themselves to any other
21	they don't allow themselves to building buildings
22	in because of the depths or dimensions of that
23	property, setbacks off the airfield, pavement,
24	that kind of thing.

So, certainly there are parcels all along

- 1 there that are, you know, highly conducive to
- 2 development of that kind of public space. It
- 3 would be good for that kind of stuff. It may not
- 4 be big enough to put a building in. There's
- 5 some -- there are some spots there where
- 6 potentially you could do a little bit of both,
- 7 so...
- 8 MS. BARRERA: Okay.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Not many, but there are a few.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Again, if you -- if
- 11 you need to speak, I need to have a slip of paper.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Madam -- Madam Chair,
- 13 I'm just going to excuse myself from the meeting.
- 14 I have a dinner appointment. And unfortunately,
- 15 I'm buying the dinner, so it's not that I want to
- leave, but...
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going. I'm coming.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Thanks a lot. All of
- 19 you would be invited, except I know you have to

20	finish your meeting, so
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ms. Stevenson, you had on
22	this topic, too.
23	COMMISSIONER STEVENSON: I just want
24	everybody tells me I have a glass face, so I just
25	wanted to I blanched when that subject came up,

because there was three of us in the room, and 1 although this is your publicly noticed meeting; 2 3 it's not our publicly noticed meeting. That's -- I just -- and so, that -- if 4 5 you-all want to bring that forward, I -- I 6 suspect, you know, through the chairman or the liaison, it would have to come to us. And I don't 7 8 know. It's so -- it's awkward, but I'm guessing it would have to be in a joint effort or you 9 10 approaching our staff for that. So, I just didn't want to -- I just was looking at three of us, and 11 I didn't want us to get in trouble. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Okay. Any 13 further option -- or direction from us, Ed? 14 MR. WUELLNER: Well, if you would like us to 15

get -- just we'll start periodically bringing

something forward, and you guys can start picking

it apart or adding to it or whatever you want to

19 do.

16

17

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think especially with
21	the whatever funding we can grab on to for the
22	south development.
23	MR. WUELLNER: It's just not our area of
24	expertise in terms of identifying funding. I'm
25	not saying it's not out there. I just we

1 just --2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just explore it. I mean --3 MR. GORMAN: Can I ask one comment? 4 MR. WUELLNER: Sure. 5 MR. GORMAN: These sketches aren't going to change again. What we've --6 MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no. What you -- what 7 you approved is the base drawing. It doesn't 8 change. 9 MR. GORMAN: Just wanted to get that down 10 again on paper. 11 MR. WUELLNER: You're going to be hitting the 12 perimeter of it, primarily, now. 13 14 MR. GORMAN: Sure. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: And I think that was it on 17 that. 9.G. - INSURANCE UPDATE & REQUEST 18

MR. WUELLNER: Last -- I don't know if it's

20	last or not. Let me see here. Among the last
21	items is the as you recall, during our
22	discussions of property and liability insurance
23	coverage last, which we kind of had, you know,
24	beat up pretty heavily last September, one of the
25	things that was on the table as being problematic

1	was the time of year we try to renew our insurance
2	in that it is during hurricane season.
3	And one our our agent approached our
4	current carrier about changing that effective date
5	of the policy, and the carrier carriers, I
6	guess in this case, have agreed to change that, if
7	you'd like, to a April 1st time line.
8	They would adjust this year's insurance, you
9	know, roll not roll it back but, you know,
10	make truncate this year's insurance and rewrite
11	and reexecute the policy based on an April 1st
12	deadline. Or, it could be I'm sure it could
13	vary a few days, whatever your pleasure would be
14	That way, future so your your insurance
15	would be renewed through April of next year, if
16	that were if that were your selection, at which
17	point, you could you know, you could
18	continually revisit it if you'd like. But at
19	least you'd be out of the hurricane season when

25

•	
20	you're quoting in property insurance in
21	particular.
22	It's really up to you. But that was brought
23	forward by our our agent in trying to at least
24	get it out of that hurricane season.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - MARCH 19, 2007

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have no public discussion.

1 Board discussion? 2 MR. GORMAN: Again, like I -- I've been 3 discussing before, and I pointed out to Mr. Sanchez, all insurance, all discussion of 4 5 insurance has to go out of the box at some point 6 in time. Otherwise, you are going -- you are marching down a road that is very expensive and --7 8 and has no return. CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have a question, Ed. 9 If -- our policy is what, October, September? 10 11 October? MR. WUELLNER: It's technically October 1, I 12 13 believe it is. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: October 1 to October 1 at X rate. I should say X, X rate, whatever. If 15 they do our renewal now, I was going to say, does 16 17 that -- our renewal rate, which I'm sure is now going to be X, X, X rate, from April to April, are 18

we cutting off or hurting ourselves with May,

25

20	June, July, August, September, five months of a
21	lower rate?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the understanding
23	maybe I misunderstood, but as I understood it,
24	they were renewing the policy as it was done in

October. So, it -- it shouldn't reflect any

1	changes
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So and actually, we
3	MR. WUELLNER: relative to premium. It's
4	just, you've got to you're going to redo it as
5	of October 1st.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: April 1st.
7	MR. WUELLNER: April 1st.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, in other words,
9	we'll stay where we are at the rate we're at now.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Through the end of March next
11	year.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Through the end of March.
13	Okay. I didn't know which way they were
14	MR. WUELLNER: That gives you if you've
15	got alternatives you want to explore or whatever,
16	you've got basically a year.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
18	MR. WUELLNER: If you choose that option,
19	you'd have a year to repackage what you want to

20	ask for. And and the good part, supposedly
21	I'm not an insurance guy, but supposedly the
22	advantage would be, to be shopping outside of
23	the the hurricane season per se, and in theory
24	could I don't know that you'd actually get more
25	favorable rates, but at least that's not over our

- 1 head when we buy.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, but what I was
- 3 getting -- you seemed to answer my question, is
- 4 that the rate we're at, assuming insurance rates
- 5 don't go down --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- we'll be staying at our
- 8 rate that we have.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: That's my understanding.
- 10 If -- if it is different, I -- I will -- I will
- get that to you right away.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Randy, did you have
- something?
- MR. BRUNSON: It's my understanding that we
- might pay a little more this year, but the --
- the -- the due date would change.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The -- the
- impact from your -- from your fiscal year is, yes,
- 19 you would pay -- you would pay more this year

- 20 than -- because it's a -- you've prepaid. You've
- got six months of --
- MR. BRUNSON: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- lack of better terms,
- credit from that.
- MR. BRUNSON: In a two-year period,

1	three-year period, it probably makes good business
2	sense to to roll the dice of shopping for
3	insurance not in hurricane season.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's at least another
5	variable that's in your favor. Whether it amounts
6	to money, I don't know.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any other board
8	discussion?
9	MS. BARRERA: No.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you need an answer from
11	us now or
12	MR. WUELLNER: If you're not in opposition,
13	we'll take we'll take care of it. If it is
14	involve a rate change, we'll get that to you right
15	away. We've still got a, you know, better part of
16	two weeks to get get it done.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, if there's no

action item, is anyone in opposition to Staff --

MR. BRUNSON: Just let us know --

18

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- making sure there's no
- 21 rate change or --
- MR. BRUNSON: If it's not like you perceived
- 23 it to be.
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

1	9.H WORKSHOP DATE REQUEST
2	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have, as
3	you recall, we deferred the workshop date for the
4	FEC, or Flagler Development project, Cordova
5	Palms, and related items as they pertain to the
6	Authority. They have requested to try and get a
7	workshop set up for April, and in theory, get some
8	sort of agenda item on your April agenda for that.
9	Two different things.
10	MR. GORMAN: Can I ask what besides an
11	avigation easement, what business we'll have with
12	Flagler Development? In other words
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
14	MR. GORMAN: why do they concern us? In
15	other words, as long as we get an avigation
16	easement, then what other business is there?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you you have several
18	opportunities related to whatever they call the
19	parent corporation, Flagler Corporation, of which

20	FEC and Flagler Development are kind of sister
21	companies.
22	The project is as Cordova Palms is, is
23	with Flagler Development Corporation. We that
24	has been used to leverage opening the door with
25	Flagler or with the East Coast Railroad relative

to, you know, opportunities to continue to expand 1 2 the airport west of U.S. 1 and re -- perhaps 3 relocation of railroad infrastructure. They need to -- they've negotiated, or at least tentatively 4 negotiated, an access agreement through the St. 5 6 Johns Industrial Park property to feed Cordova Palms as an emergency entrance only. 7 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. As part of their DRI --8 and I'm sorry to jump in. Part of their DRI, they 9 have to have access for emergency purposes from 10 11 somewhere on the west side of the railroad tracks. Currently, they would have -- the emergency 12 13 services would come from the east side of the railroad tracks. They need an alternative access 14 point. And the way to get that is through your 15 industrial property west of --16 17 MR. GORMAN: We haven't granted that yet, though, have we? 18

MR. WUELLNER: No, that's -- that's --

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, no, no, no. That's what
- the workshop's all about.
- MR. GORMAN: And -- and, in fact, this
- industrial property is just a name that's bantered
- around. This is actually just airport land.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, in terms of -- in terms

- 1 of ownership, yes.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: It's just airport land. It is
- 3 not --
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: It is zoned --
- 5 MR. GORMAN: -- been rezoned as an industrial
- 6 park.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: Well, it is zoned IW.
- 8 MR. BURNETT: It's platted -- it's platted as
- 9 part of what was an industrial park.
- 10 MR. GORMAN: All right. Thank you.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's a, what do they
- call it, unrecorded plat.
- 13 MR. BURNETT: Uh-huh.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It -- it affects us not --
- MR. WUELLNER: This is all --
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- just by avigation.
- 17 There's leases involved. There's potential money.
- 18 There's potential future for the airport if the
- 19 further boards, future boards want it.

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And the purpose of the workshop is to put all of those -- those things on
- the table and --
- MR. BRUNSON: Let's give it -- let's give it
- a date.
- MR. BURNETT: And then -- and then give you

- 1 an opportunity to digest it before it came back as 2 an action item. 3 MR. BRUNSON: A date. 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We need a date. 5 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. MR. GORMAN: I just asked those questions in 6 order to get those clarified on the table as part 7 8 of the minutes, because I -- that's --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it will be more in 9 depth in the workshop. That's why --10 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Now, our next --12 13 MR. WUELLNER: And they'll be here to participate, also, and answer your questions 14
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The board meeting's the
- 17 16th. So, if it's an agenda item they want for
- 18 the 16th?

directly.

15

MR. WUELLNER: They would -- at this point,

- 20 yes.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: And they're trying to do a
- workshop --
- MR. BURNETT: Prior to the 16th.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- prior to the 16th.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: what do you need, Ed, about
2	two hours, you think?
3	MR. WUELLNER: I would block that much. I
4	don't know that
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think you need I
6	mean, they're pretty precise on their
7	presentation.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Puts you out somewhere around
9	the 9th, the ideal, 9th or before.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, the week before is
11	Easter week, just FYI.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Easter's the 8th; is that
13	right?
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Easter's the 8th, right.
15	And there's there's Passover and whatever.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It doesn't have to be a
17	Monday, either.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
19	MR. WUELLNER: That's really up to up to

ou guys.

- MS. BARRERA: I -- I'm available to attend a
- workshop anytime the week of the 9th during the
- day. The 10th, if we did it on the 10th, it would
- have to be around 3 o'clock or earlier.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I mean, I prefer afternoon,

- 1 too. That's much easier with -- that's just my
- 2 schedule. I mean, how does the 9th look for
- 3 people? That's the Monday after Easter. People
- 4 should be back from wherever or --
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: What time?
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 3:00?
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: That's fine with me.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 3:00 to 4:30?
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: That's fine with me.
- 10 MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly?
- MR. BRUNSON: 3 o'clock.
- MS. BARRERA: I'm fine with the 9th. Jack,
- 14 the 9th?
- MR. GORMAN: Yeah, they're all equally bad
- 16 for me, so I'll just --
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That whole week is tough for
- 18 you?
- 19 MR. GORMAN: That's fine. The 9th is -- like

20	I said, I just take that day and pencil it out, so
21	that's fine.
22	MR. WUELLNER: 3 p.m.?
23	MS. BARRERA: I prefer to do it earlier in
24	the week, because that gives us a chance to

25 contemplate everything before it comes to the

board meeting. 1 MR. WUELLNER: Plus, if there's any -- if 2 3 there are revisions to written documents that I'm 4 sure you'll just get to see as a part of that meeting, that we've got -- everybody's got a 5 chance do that. And it's 3 p.m.? 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 3 p.m. We're going to 7 publish that, right? 8 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Because I think it 10 would be interesting for the public to see that. 11 I'd like to get that information out. We're 12 talking about growth around the airport and --13 14 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Housekeeping 15 items? 16 17 10. - HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS 18 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I have a few extras 19 from -- that I mentioned earlier.

20	I think you already were aware that the
21	access badges and identification badges, that
22	that's that implementation's continuing. In
23	fact, we're working through the tower folks right
24	now getting them badged and that that system up
25	and running.

1	Item I wanted to just bring to your attention
2	and see if you have any issues with pursuing or
3	or proceeding from this point on the second FBO.
4	My suggestion, I think I've made it to a
5	number of you individually, was to go back out
6	with the list you have of interested parties and
7	request of them market-related information on how
8	they how they propose to develop the market in
9	here and how they intend to at least make efforts
10	to safeguard the existing business base on the
11	airport as a result of putting a second FBO
12	operation and and market forecast, that type of
13	thing, and ask them to to get that back to you,
14	and in effect, on a high level, prove to the
15	Airport Authority that sufficient market exists to
16	support a second FBO operation.
17	Assuming they meet that that test, then
18	y'all can set the schedule relative to where you
19	want to go, if anywhere, from there.

20	The the terms of and I guess Kelly had
21	brought up or brought to my attention on the
22	phone questioning how it interrelated to the
23	financial forecast. And you you don't show
24	revenue relative to second FBO until 2010-2011
25	fiscal year. So, when we backed in construction

1	and and the like, you you have really about
2	a 20-month, 18- to 20-month window right now to go
3	through the selection process and still meet the
4	revenue expectations. So, of course, if that's
5	completed sooner, then then likely the revenue
6	would begin sooner than that, also.
7	But in order to keep it on track, you need to
8	just keep thinking about it. We need to just keep
9	kind of plugging away at this, if it's indeed
10	going to be a revenue source as identified in the
11	forecast.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I've spoken or and
13	looked at a couple of the responses we had and
14	and basically understand that they were a little
15	disappointed that we didn't follow up, say, okay,
16	well, at least
17	MR. WUELLNER: Something, yeah.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: thank you for your
19	response, you know, we'll think about you,

20	goodbye.
21	But I think just as an airport for marketing
22	purposes, too, we need to respond to the handful
23	ten or whatever that we got, saying, thanks, what
24	have you done? Share with us your market
25	analysis.

1	That was my first question when I spoke to
2	one in particular. Did you do one, you know?
3	Because we're looking at it. And apparently they
4	have. Some have done some extensive. I think
5	that's only good information. We don't have to
6	act on anything, but I think we should find out
7	what's out there and what they have looked at that
8	they're saying it's feasible.
9	So, from a marketing standpoint and
10	information, I think we should respond to those
11	that responded to our inquest out there.
12	MR. WUELLNER: That's it's a very
13	important decision you make as you move forward,
14	because it's it's not one that typically a
15	company walks away from. So, when you when you
16	make that selection and they're here, you're
17	dealing with them a long time. And choosing
18	poorly can can haunt you for a lot of years.
19	Last item I have was if if no one objects,

20	we are in the preliminary discussions with U.S.
21	Customs relative to the relocation and placement
22	of the maintenance facility that's located just up
23	the road.
24	They would like to get that on airport
25	property, in particular out on the point if you

know where the old dairy is out on -- kind of 1 behind Grumman North 40 out there, wherein they 2 3 would like to have water access to the intracoastal from there, they would like to lease 4 that property from us. It's strictly a ground 5 6 lease in this case. We're not in -- we're not developing facility for them. 7 8 But as a part of the -- what they would like us to do is to facilitate the permitting, the 9 environmental permitting out there at their 10 expense, make it a part of the agreement that they 11 reimburse the cost, even if they -- the lease 12 ultimately -- you know, say at the end it couldn't 13 be permitted, that they would still reimburse the 14 cost of -- of doing the permitting work. But in 15 the event it is done, then it's -- it's just a 16 17 part of the lease document with us. And we -- it's not been brought to you as a 18 lease or anything. All we're trying to do is make 19

20	sure that that would be acceptable, rather than
21	just throw it at you with a you know, a lease
22	partially negotiated at that point. So, you know,
23	tell me what you think.
24	MR. GORMAN: There's a tremendous caveat, as
25	far as I'm concerned, in the fact that if you were

1	going to actually lease them that piece of
2	property, they would with water access, there
3	is no water access there right now. I'm in the
4	vessel business. I mean, then it's a complete
5	tidal area, and you would have to dredge it and
6	the dredging would be extensive. I'm not sure
7	what they had in mind.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Actually
9	MR. GORMAN: That's just something to think
10	about. So make sure this board isn't going to pay
11	for anything with environmental whatsoever,
12	because that is that's I can't imagine how
13	they're going to do it.
14	MR. WUELLNER: What we're talking about, it
15	would be other than facilitating the use of our
16	consultants, we would have no we have no
17	financial burden in this. They they they
18	pick up all the tabs. So, it's just it's an
19	easy way for them to contract, is what it amounts

20	to.
21	MR. GORMAN: And certainly to put to bed all
22	the environmental issues, we'd have to, before we
23	got in any depth of a discussion of a lease with
24	them, have to have their plan for what they were
25	going to do environmentally out there

1 MR. WUELLNER: Right. MR. GORMAN: -- to be able to have water 2 3 access. CHAIRMAN GREEN: To see how it affects any 4 other adjoining lands we have. 5 MR. GORMAN: Exactly. Exactly. 6 MR. WUELLNER: Right. They -- this 7 8 particular area is -- is fairly close -relatively speaking now, it's relatively close to 9 that -- what do they -- what do they call it? The 10 Casa Cola Creek piece that comes off the main 11 channel there? 12 13 MR. GORMAN: Yeah. That -- that whole area dries up completely dry in a low tide, that whole 14 15 area. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The area there, now, it 16

isn't. But that particular area stays --

MR. GORMAN: If it's not dry, it's three

file:///A|/MTG031907.txt (185 of 226)3/30/2007 3:33:51 PM

inches of water.

17

18

19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If they were doing a what
21	did you call it, Jack, a feasibility
22	MR. GORMAN: A feasibility study.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: or whatever study, and
24	they want to facilitate use of our engineers,
25	whatever, would they

1	MR. WUELLNER: That's basically it. It's
2	just
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Would they use ours for
4	their feasibility study?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And then we're going
7	back to the same engineers and say, now, see that,
8	but how does it affect our land? I don't know if
9	there's a conflict in there a little bit.
10	MR. GORMAN: A conflict of interest in there
11	in who's paying who.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Well
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just on the feasibility
14	part.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not sure I understand the
16	conflict here.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, if they have an
18	engineer who's looking at it saying it is feasible
19	and you have do this, this, this, and this, and

20	that engineering company is doing that because
21	they want the job, they want to go forward with
22	it, whatever, and then we come back with the same
23	engineering company and say, all right, now, tell
24	us how that affects our lands, and they say, oh,
25	not at all, don't worry about it, because they

1	want this other job, I don't know if there's a
2	conflict if we're using the same company.
3	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, they don't control the
4	permitting at the end.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I understand that. That's
6	not what I'm looking at. I'm looking at we're
7	asking them how
8	MR. BURNETT: Well, if at the end of the day,
9	a permitting agency were to say, for example, you
10	had to have some other mitigation or off-site
11	mitigation, you may just be in a situation where
12	you don't get the permit because that mitigation
13	is there and you're not willing to do it, or you
14	you're in a situation where you're saying,
15	Customs, if you want this, you're going to have to
16	come up with the mitigation to make it happen,
17	because we're not willing to do that.
18	As far as the issues of how much land you
19	allow them to lease or what what the terms of

20	the lease are, the conditions of the lease for
21	whatever land it is they they lease, I don't
22	know that your engineers will go tell you anything
23	that you wouldn't already be able to discern
24	for I'm not sure I'm
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's not where it was

1	prompted by Mr. Gorman's comment about, well, if
2	they're going to do extensive dredging or
3	whatever, how does this affect other lands that
4	the airport owns? It could be further away. We
5	don't know. Whatever
6	MR. GORMAN: Might be positive, it might be
7	negative. We don't know.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. But the same
9	company
10	MR. BURNETT: Changing water flows or
11	something.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: who wants the job
13	right. The same company that wants the job for
14	U.S. Customs is going to do our, oh, don't worry
15	about it; it's not going to affect the lands two
16	miles down the road from you.
17	I'm just wondering, is there a conflict issue
18	there? I may be putting the cart before the horse

because we may not get there. But I'm just a

19

- 20 little concerned with that.
- MR. GORMAN: Exactly, because --
- MR. BURNETT: I'm not sure of the answer to
- that one, to be honest with you.
- MS. BARRERA: Yeah.
- MR. BURNETT: I don't want to --

1	MS. BARRERA: We'd have to look into that a
2	little bit more.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's just something out
4	there.
5	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, they're still several
6	months away from even being to the point they
7	could do a lease, so
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, and there may be ways
9	around it; they sign their own contract with our
10	engineers' own separate whatever
11	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's exactly what
12	they're trying to avoid, only because of the
13	difficulty in federally contracting this stuff.
14	They've got to they've got to go out and do the
15	same things we've already done to select a
16	consultant. We're not this was this was not
17	at our request, they do it this way.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. I understand.
19	MR. WUELLNER: But this was theirs. They're

20	trying to put it on the front burner and get it
21	done. And if they go through their methods, you
22	know, they could be six months or a year from even
23	being able to identify a contract or let alone get
24	a scope of work going. You know, it's no skin off
25	my nose either way.

1	MR. BRUNSON: They re going to pay for it.
2	They're going to give us a feasibility and
3	MR. WUELLNER: My concern was the financial
4	risk and
5	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
6	MR. WUELLNER: I don't I don't see
7	there is a financial risk. But there may be other
8	concerns.
9	MR. BRUNSON: And we'll evaluate it when we
10	know what we're doing.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
12	MR. WUELLNER: We'll try to get get a
13	little more detail on that and see what Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that it for housekeeping?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I think so. Yes, ma'am.
16	11 PUBLIC COMMENT
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have one for public
18	public comment. Mr. Sesona? Al? You had public
19	comment?

- MR. SESONA: I made it already.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I'm sorry. It was at
- the bottom. That's fine.
- MR. JONES: I put one in there, too.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I did --
- MR. SESONA: You didn't hear it. If you

1 would like, I'll give it to you again. 2 MR. JONES: I had one more. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, Joe. You're on. MR. JONES: I just wanted to ask you a little 4 more about this park. 5 You're talking about now about having to get 6 grant money, you know, to establish a park, you 7 8 know, how would you get it through the State and, you know, as far as it being, you know, recognized 9 with aircraft, you say you're trying to get some 10 money. If you got the money to buy the land from 11 the DOT, and now you want to make a park out of 12 it, will that affect making it a park because you 13 got the money from the DOT for an airport (sic)? 14 MR. WUELLNER: No. DOT understands there 15 16 will be -- there will be elements of the property 17 that aren't entirely usable for detailed aviation purpose. So, in this case, if the -- the property 18 depth and -- that we're talking about isn't 19

20	sufficient to build a hangar on or do something
21	else with, then, you know, then it becomes buffer
22	or usable for other purposes.
23	MR. JONES: Right. Now, even if you don't
24	get the grants or anything like that, I mean, is
25	it still a problem to go ahead and identify where

1	you're going to put this park'?
2	MR. WUELLNER: No.
3	MR. JONES: And to set it off limits? And is
4	it possible, that through the attorney, somehow it
5	can be off limits to future boards?
6	MR. WUELLNER: I don't you know, he could
7	comment better on this, but I think you got the
8	the over-the-top issue that, you you know, one
9	board can't commit the other, you know, so it
10	always exists the chance that they could change
11	their mind. But there's a point in the in the
12	process where it becomes highly unlikely; it just
13	gets changed because
14	MR. JONES: Right. Well, that's what I was,
15	you know, just wondering if you think you got it
16	set in stone, and then the next board just kind
17	of just like your taxes, you know, the next
18	board might not care about getting off the taxes.
19	MR. WUELLNER: In fairness, you're you

20	can't you can't quite
21	MR. JONES: That's why I didn't know if they
22	could actually just kind of set it aside or
23	something like that and then just call it? Is
24	that a I know I think I was telling you before,
25	you know, y'all talked about just building so many

1	corporate hangars back there, and now we've built
2	a lot more, so I know things change constantly
3	around here. You know what I mean?
4	You're going to do go one way, and then
5	two more weeks later, you're heading in a
6	different direction. And that's what I was
7	wanting to say, at least you could go ahead and
8	nail down this park, whether you build it or not,
9	and say this is the land and we're not doing
10	nothing with it till we get the money for a park.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think since the vast
12	majority of the property we're going to be talking
13	about in the park development is arguably not
14	usable for direct aviation use, that that once
15	it's nailed down and laid out, it's it's
16	probably the use it will be. I just really
17	don't since we couldn't you wouldn't cancel
18	the park in favor of building, because the
19	building still wouldn't fit.

- MR. JONES: Well, I was just curious about
- the DOT money and stuff, because you're saying
- that you can't take DOT money to build a park.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, I couldn't go get DOT
- 24 money for it.
- MR. JONES: Right.

1	MR. WUELLNER: If there's an element of this
2	that becomes incidental to some other airport
3	infrastructure project, then, you know, DOT
4	would for instance, they might approve
5	sidewalks as a part of of a road project, even
6	though it's not the road itself. In some cases,
7	you've got to go get money separately.
8	But that's the kind or landscaping along
9	the road or
10	MR. JONES: Do you think y'all will ever do
11	it if you don't get grant money?
12	MR. WUELLNER: That's really I'm not the
13	guy to ask.
14	MR. JONES: I was just curious. I was just
15	curious if you're ever going to really do it.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Joe, we will take donations and
17	we'll put it in your name.
18	MR. JONES: That's fine.
19	MR. WUELLNER: I would like to think that it

- would all get done back there.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mr. Rich?
- 22 COMMISSIONER RICH: Ben Rich, County
- 23 Commission, St. Johns County.
- I just wanted to mention one thing.
- 25 Mr. Wuellner spoke of the feasibility study using

1	your engineering firms and whathor. The federal
2	government runs off of the FAR that you're
3	familiar with.
4	And the one thing I would recommend to the
5	board to be cautious of is that you're not getting
6	reimbursement funds from the feds for monies that
7	you expend in order for the feasibility study to
8	go forward, because if they have end-run the FAR,
9	it somebody triggers an investigation of some
10	kind, your money's going to dry up and you're
11	going to be on the hook.
12	Those feasible studies, as you know, can get
13	extremely expensive. And if somebody's trying to
14	avoid that normal acquisition process by using
15	you, don't let yourselves get caught in the crack
16	of being out of pocket, waiting for reimbursement,
17	and then all of a sudden the hammer falls on you.
18	Also, you don't want to be viewed, in the
19	event that there was something extraordinarily

20	wrong with the acquisition process, that you can
21	be used as a facilitator to allow that to take
22	place. So, those are two things you need to
23	MR. WUELLNER: Good points. Thank you.

- MS. BARRERA: Very good.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mary?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Got it set at the perfect
2	height for you again, don't we?
3	MS. WILLIS: Mary Willis, Araquay Park. I'll
4	personally contribute a bench to that, Ed, when
5	you get around to making the park. You made it
6	very clear that DOT funds could not be used for
7	that.
8	But I came up to the mic to share with you
9	that I think you are very fortunate to have a
10	director who recommended that you change your
11	insurance to 1 April, expiring March 30th.
12	After my 20 years' experience as a
13	commissioner on Mosquito Control, I started out my
14	very first meeting January, they approved every
15	insurance. We had health, liability, fleet
16	insurance, everything. Then we had a stupid
17	interim director who told us he wanted to change
18	it to 1 October so it would coincide with the
19	fiscal year.

20	Then when we did put it out to bid two or
21	three years ago, we were told by Doug Wiles, you
22	know, when we were hesitating to make a decision,
23	this is hurricane season; as a matter of fact,
24	there's one not too far off from Florida. No
25	insurance company will accept you right now. So,

1	you you're almost bound to renew the one you
2	have.
3	So, go forward in the spring and not in
4	October. Very
5	MR. WUELLNER: Excellent. Thank you.
6	MS. WILLIS: I commend you.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
8	MR. BRUNSON: Very good. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. RauHofer?
10	MR. WUELLNER: I think the battery died on
11	that one, so
12	MR. RAUHOFER: Something died, but I think
13	everybody can hear me.
14	My my comment today is one on timing, and
15	the timing is very important in the fact that it
16	leads into money and time and everything else.
17	I'm talking about the the second FBO and any
18	other building projects we have, I recommend that
19	we move expeditiously towards any any building

20	projects that we have, like a second FBO and a
21	a maintenance facility and a maintenance workshop
22	that the second FBO would bring and any other
23	thing that they're going to bring along, because
24	while we're building, it certainly is nice to have
25	the whole thing built together, rather than to

1	build one thing and then also all of a sudden
2	be setting up cones and putting up signs saying
3	"Pardon our mess but this inconvenience is for
4	your" In other words, do the thing together,
5	because when we when you're building, you have
6	flexibility.
7	I've had over 20 35 years of being
8	familiar with this with Westinghouse, General
9	Electric, American Airlines and two and I'll
10	tell you, it's it's a mess when you have to go
11	in on the ground floor and start breaking up
12	concrete and building when the thing's already
13	done.
14	We have a perfect example of of right
15	right now with the southern expansion, where we
16	could bring in an FBO if the board is expeditious
17	about bringing somebody in, whatever, because we
18	need a second FBO.
19	Reba Ludlow right now had to take her

20	airplane to some other base because it doesn't		
21	have a maintenance facility. Why should we have		
22	people like Reba and other people overfly this		
23	our community when we could be having the business		
24	right right now?		
25	And that's all I'm asking, is that the the		

1	board really consider and forge ahead positively
2	by getting asking questions of these of the
3	people on the list, and having them in. It's a
4	win-win situation, folks. I've already said this
5	one time.
6	But just as soon as whoever gets the FBO and
7	that they build their building and they build
8	their their maintenance facility, they'll be
9	dedicating it over to the airport. That's the way
10	it's done. And then the airport will have a
11	building. And if they make a mistake and they
12	can't make it here, guess what? They're gone; the
13	airport's still got a building. That's all I have
14	to say. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Okay. Ed, I was
16	going to Authority members. Is that okay with
17	you?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
19	12 AUTHORITY MEMBERS

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly, any comment tonight?
21	MS. BARRERA: No. I think we went through
22	several things. I think having the workshop prior
23	to with enough time to prepare for the meeting
24	on the 16th will be good. And we have some areas
25	that we can kind of charge forward with.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Randy, any
2	comment?
3	MR. BRUNSON: The only I'm very excited
4	about the PR committee. And I I think it will
5	just be a vital thing that will quell some of
6	these misconceptions of of like the articles
7	that The Record puts in that the Airport Authority
8	was interested in the Hasting Airport, which is
9	not true, never discussed it with anybody. And
10	the positive things that this airport does. And
11	our sincere effort to get off the tax rolls, which
12	80 percent of the people in the county don't
13	realize that.
14	We've got to get that message out and and
15	show them in in writing and graphs of what
16	we're doing to do that. So, I'm addressing you
17	because you're going to be the liaison. But
18	and and in doing graphs of how much tax dollars
19	we spend for for the services we provide

20	compared to other agencies. And so I'm very
21	excited about that.
22	I would I would agree with you that it
23	would be nice to to track the seaplanes and to
24	see if we spent our money wisely. And I hope that
25	our director can work with the tower to facilitate

1	that when it becomes operational. And I think it			
2	might be an easy thing. I hope that we're			
3	pleasantly pleased and with what happens with			
4	that, with people coming to use that facility.			
5	I want to thank the County Commissioners for			
6	coming today. That's very important to me, that			
7	you listen to see what we're trying to do. And			
8	and give us your good comments that the			
9	positive things that can happen.			
10	The only other thing I would say is that I			
11	really appreciate the public comments. Sometimes			
12	I don't agree with everything that's said, but			
13	but it kind of puts it on the table and we can			
14	discuss it. Good meeting. Thank you.			
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack?			
16	MR. GORMAN: I think, just like Randy did, I			
17	think public relations is it's key, because			
18	public relations seeds media attention. Media			
19	attention then can seed the an interest in			

20	different intergovernmental agencies and doing
21	things like funding parks.
22	So, you start with public relations, and then
23	you get the media attention. The media gets the
24	public's attention, and then the public presses
25	for action, and then you actually get something

1	like a park built. So, he's right; it's very key.
2	You're going to hear a lot more from me about
3	information in the future. I hope I don't bore
4	you with it. But that's you know, it's just of
5	interest to me. I think that we could get a lot
6	done should we have a lot more analysis on our
7	plates before we go into these meetings. Yes, it
8	was a very good meeting.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I just had Ed,
10	when you are getting back to these second FBOs
11	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't know what
13	exactly, other than, yes, we're interested. But
14	when you get back to them and say thank you, tell
15	us what your feasibility, what what can you
16	bring to us? Can you be that detailed?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, absolutely.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or can you bring this, yes
19	we intend to build our own building, we intend to

20	have a maintenance shed, and we intend to			
21	whatever. They don't have to be a long I mean			
22	I don't think we need to read 50 pages, but just			
23	little bullets of this is what we envision as			
24	coming here for. I think that will help those of			
25	us that I mean, I know what an FBO does, but			

1	what exactly will you do for my airport, as you've
2	already looked at it?
3	And then I do encourage kind of what Randy
4	was saying to public, to come to that workshop on
5	the 9th. I have met with the Flagler Development
6	and FEC in a joint meeting with Ed. It is very
7	interesting to hear.
8	Doesn't all affect I mean, it all affects
9	us, but it's not something it's not an airport
10	project. But to hear what Cordova wants to do up
11	there, to hear what the railroad's willing to do
12	for us, to hear what's being developed around this
13	airport is very enlightening.
14	I mean, this is something that's starting
15	to that's going to explode. I think if they
16	get all the permits, it doesn't affect us, but if
17	they get everything with their DRI, it's a bigger
18	picture of what's going to be right around us and
19	how many, you know, more people are coming here

- 20 that we're going to be servicing. So, I encourage
- 21 to get that out as much as we can. Can we put it
- on the web site and whatever we can to disseminate
- 23 that meeting?
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh, sure. Yeah.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Okay. All right.

1	That's all I had.
2	12 NEXT MEETING DATES
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our next meeting is April
4	16th, but that workshop is at 3:00 on the 9th.
5	All right. Meeting adjourned.
6	MR. BRUNSON: Thank you.
7	(Meeting adjourned at 6 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG031907.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 26th day of March, 2007.
13	
14	LANET M. DEACON DDD CD DMD CDD FDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

 $file: /\!//A|/MTG031907.txt$