1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, September 14, 2009
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 6:49 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
10	KELLY BARRERA, Chairman
11	CARL YOUMAN, Secretary-Treasurer JAMES WERTER
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, St. Johns Law Group, 1301 Plantation Island Drive South, Suite 302-B, St.
15	Augustine, FL, 32080, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
19	
20	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR
21	St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
22	St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570
23	
24	

1	INDEX		
2	PAGE		
3	1. CALL TO ORDER	3	
4	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3	
5	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES		3
6	4. FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE		3
7	5. AGENDA APPROVAL	4	
8	6. COMMITTEE REPORTS	4	
9	7. REPORTS 12		
10	8. PROJECT UPDATES	21	
11	9. ACTION ITEMS		
12 13	A. Airport Issues Reporting PolicyB. Resolution 2009-03 Amtrak/FEC ProjectC. FAA Sustainability Project Grant	53 ect 69 74	
14	10. HOUSEKEEPING 82	& 86	
15	11. PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL		82
16	12. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS		88
17	13. NEXT BOARD MEETING	95	
18	14. ADJOURNMENT	95	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We're ready to call the
3	meeting of the St. Johns County-St. Augustine
4	Airport meeting to order. If we'd all stand for
5	the pledge of allegiance.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. Did all the
9	board members get a copy of the last meeting's
10	minutes?
11	MR. WERTER: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any additions,
13	deletions to the minutes?
14	(None.)
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Hearing none, the minutes
16	will stand approved.
17	FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: The financial report
19	acceptance. Carl?
20	MR. YOUMAN: The financial report, I took a
21	good look at it, reviewed it. It looks all right,
22	and I recommend we accept it
23	MR. GEORGE: So move.
24	MR. YOUMAN: as it stands. Thank you.

1	deletions to the financial report, we'll move to
2	have that accepted.
3	AGENDA APPROVAL
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And then meeting agenda.
5	Do we have any additions, deletions to today's
6	agenda?
7	(None.)
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Hearing none, the agenda
9	will stand as presented.
10	COMMITTEE REPORTS
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We're ready for our
12	committee reports. We'll start with the TPO.
13	Carl, did you have anything about the TPO
14	that you wanted to speak about?
15	MR. YOUMAN: No.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. At the last
17	MR. YOUMAN: I defer to you.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. At the last TPO
19	meeting, the TPO did approve our study, an updated
20	study for our intermodal transportation. So that
21	was approved, which was and there really was
22	relatively little discussion about it.
23	There was a general discussion they had
24	the emergency responders there, and there was a

1	roles in our community and the dangers that they
2	face, and moving and looking at other
3	alternatives for transportation besides just
4	highway.
5	MR. YOUMAN: Did they elect a new chairman?
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: They did. The vice chair
7	automatically moves into that role and retains his
8	position. He has both of those.
9	And the other piece of information is Charles
10	Baldwin, who's been with the FDOT for a long time,
11	he has retired and his replacement has not yet
12	been chosen, so
13	Moving on to the EDC?
14	MR. GEORGE: I went and, Carl, jump in if you
15	see anything that I miss. Nick of the
16	executive director gave his report first, and as
17	you can imagine with the revenues being down all
18	over the place, they were experiencing an 18
19	percent drop in the tourism tax, which is
20	indicative of the tourists not coming. And they
21	were 10 percent down their spending. And they had
22	500 jobs that were lost as a part of what they
23	were counting over the last month. But there's
24	some good news. The Hydro Aluminum plant is

1	And then we had a talk from John Haley, who
2	is a great individual, great speaker. Probably
3	had something to do with him going to Georgia
4	Tech. But he was, you know, going through some of
5	the people that they're working with in the county
6	and everything, and it sounds like that they're
7	they're out there stirring them up and trying to
8	take, you know, whatever they can do to get the
9	businesses to move into town. So they're being
10	pro proactive.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Good.
12	MR. GEORGE: And the bacon was good.
13	MR. YOUMAN: The bacon as usual was
14	excellent. Thank you.
15	MR. WERTER: So does that mean I can contact
16	you any time now for coverage, for the bacon?
17	MR. GEORGE: No.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. I'm going to use
19	this opportunity to ask the Industrial Development
20	Authority to speak.
21	MR. WERTER: Wait a minute. Before that. He
22	covered the August one at my request, Buzz did,
23	but there was a September meeting.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Oh, good. Well, go ahead

1	MR. WERTER: Yeah. So that was that
2	occurred on September 10th. And basically what it
3	was, the guest speaker was hang on one second,
4	I've got her name Lori Killinger, who works for
5	Lewis, Longman & Walker, which is a prominent real
6	estate law firm. But she's a lobbyist in
7	Tallahassee and she was bringing us up to date
8	on on the bills, you know, how many bills were
9	passed and which ones were of particular interest.
10	But the one that caught my attention the
11	most well, first of all, the budget is
12	currently set for \$62 billion, again down. But
13	the subject that's going to come back up, it
14	wasn't put before legislature, was the high speed
15	rail for southern Florida, sounding like Orlando
16	being the hub, which of course sparked my interest
17	in that we are striving to have our multimodal
18	situation here.
19	And if AMTRAK comes through for us, it would
20	be a nice little web to come up here besides, you
21	know, Orlando, Tampa, Miami, possibly Tallahassee.
22	It would complete the spider the spider web, if
23	they were able to get a spur going up here. So
24	that was of prominent interest. So basically that

24

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Very interesting.
2	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. I'm going to
4	go ahead and defer for the Industrial Development
5	Authority now. If you would speak to us,
6	Mr. Zimmerman.
7	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Excuse me. Jim Zimmerman
8	from the IDA. You should have all received an
9	invitation to our September 24th luncheon meeting.
10	As I understand it, the RSVP date is today or
11	tomorrow or
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It's today.
13	MR. ZIMMERMAN: ASAP.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Yeah.
15	MR. ZIMMERMAN: It's a it's the ins and
16	out of bonding for industrial development for
17	nonprofits and profits, some profits. It may be
18	something you've heard before, but you may find it
19	interesting the target audience is different.
20	It's for bankers, big developers, and others who
21	may find the availability of money enticing. So,
22	you might want to think about that. And that's
23	September 24th at Amici's for lunch.

CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Mr. Zimmerman.

1	MR. GEORGE: Well, we did have our we meet
2	every other month, and we had our meeting the
3	early part of September.
4	And it's amazing the topic of conversation
5	well, it's not amazing, but the topic of
6	conversation from all of the agencies has got to
7	do with budgets and, you know, what are we going
8	to do here and what are we going to do there.
9	Some interesting stuff, though. The
10	Sheriff's Department said that they were about 98
11	percent complete on the renovation of the jail.
12	And this is in the administrative areas for
13	processing people in and out. And he pointed out
14	that that jail was built in 1985 for 100 inmates.
15	They now have 700 over there. So, they've got a
16	real cramped space over there.
17	Anyway, St. Augustine Beach reiterated again,
18	I think is what I reported before, that they had
19	one letter to the board complaining about the
20	windows that the Marriott was going to put in
21	their building, and so the Marriott said, well,
22	fine, we just won't put it in.
23	So one letter, you know, caused the Marriott
24	to go back and rethink it and say, I don't think

1	losing a very big facility, or it's on hold
2	anyway.
3	St. Johns River Water Management got some
4	a distinguished water reward. Mosquito Control,
5	they have a new five-year plan out and, you know,
6	new rules about spraying and the like.
7	The county, September 15th, tomorrow is the
8	Senate election. The budget, some of the creative
9	things they've done is staff furloughing, savings
10	but not huge, but it sends a message. I thought
11	it was interesting they had 38 early retirements.
12	And there was another group that I read in the
13	paper that also were doing some early retirement
14	type of things. And then the tourist development
15	board got completely revamped and we'll see how
16	that works out.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Very interesting. Thank
18	you. And the Aerospace Academy?
19	MR. WERTER: Okay. That meeting was the
20	first one for the summer was on August 12th, and
21	we're reviewing the budget, and the expected
22	income is primarily what that meeting was about
23	and discussing some fund-raising issues, as they
24	were falling a little short on that. So, that's

1	little short, so
2	Again, I brought up to them something called
3	Futures, Incorporated, which is down in Volusia
4	County. It's actually a Volusia County School
5	Board suborganization. It's incorporated. It's a
6	fund-raising organization for scholarships and
7	things of that nature for their local school
8	board.
9	So, I brought that to their attention, that
10	they should look on online and call down there,
11	their director down there, who I know from years
12	ago, to see about starting a similar organization
13	up here, which is part of the school board, but
14	it's a nonprofit forgot what the code is, the
15	IRS code is corporation for fund-raising to
16	help bolster not only the academy, but other
17	other functions of the school board. So basically
18	that was it. Did I miss anything?
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: The engineering students
20	are doing some phenomenal projects.
21	MR. WERTER: Oh, yeah.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: That would be the only
23	other thing I would mention. And tomorrow night
24	they have an open house at 5:30 for the career

1	MR. WERTER: We may be running our airplanes
2	on algae in the future.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: They're doing research on
4	biofuels, which is awesome.
5	REPORTS
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Moving on to
7	reports. Mr. Sanchez?
8	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Well, I don't have a
9	lot more to talk about other than what's already
10	been said. That's a pretty good report. That was
11	even accurate. We are getting ready to have
12	fun
13	MR. GEORGE: You as a politician
14	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: tomorrow. Tomorrow
15	night at 5:30 is our budget hearing. Prior to
16	that at 1:30, we have another short meeting, and
17	I'm sure it will be short.
18	The builder's council, and they have riled
19	up all of the real estate people to try to get us
20	to drop our impact fee. That presents a few
21	problems, because money out of that goes to the
22	school system, goes to the Sheriff's Department,
23	goes to parks and recreation, goes to roads, goes
24	to fire department. It goes to everybody just

1	The the mission to build new buildings, public
2	buildings, there's part of it goes to that. It
3	has to be spent in the zones it's collected in.
4	So a lot of the complications, and this isn't
5	a shock for Mr. Brunson here because I've already
6	talked to him about that, there's a couple of
7	things. Some of that money is bonded money, and
8	you know the bonding companies, they do not like
9	you doing away with fees that basically stand
10	behind the payment on their bond. They they
11	kind of fringe on that a little bit.
12	The biggest problem you're going to see is
13	all of the impact fee credit agreements with all
14	of the big developments. Nocatee is \$83.6 million
15	impact fee credits that they will collect there
16	now because they did the road. The road that was
17	given to St. Johns County, by the way, but that's
18	not true. We paid for all of that with impact fee
19	credits. So the 1500 acres in uplands, we're
20	paying for that. So all of that's paid for.
21	But you look at 83.6 and then you go to the
22	World Commerce Center. They have over \$10
23	million. So just those two would be right under
24	\$95 million, that if we stopped impact fees, they

1	they would be going like this to the county,
2	saying, okay, you owe me millions of dollars. And
3	they would legally probably be right. I don't see
4	why they wouldn't be. The agreement was they
5	could have those impact fee credits.
6	So I don't know what we can do about it.
7	We're going to listen to everybody. Except for
8	Randy; I don't want to listen to him anymore. But
9	we're going to see, and there'll be a proposal up,
10	you know, I'm sure. But no one's answered me the
11	question yet, okay, we do away with that, what do
12	you suggest we do for the income we're going to
13	need? And no one can answer that question. So,
14	we would have lots of problems if we get too deep
15	into that. But anyway, that's about it.
16	Amphitheatre's still going great. As a
17	matter of fact, they had five sold-out concerts
18	last month. I'm kind of happy about that. And in
19	case anyone's taking notes, this is really
20	important at an airport board meeting, is that Ed
21	King from Lynyrd Skynyrd that used to be with the
22	Alarm Clock that was a good friend of mine is 62
23	today. It's his birthday. Anyway, someone just
24	called me and reminded me of that a little while

1	Anyone's got any questions, i'il be grad to
2	try to answer them.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any questions?
4	(No questions.)
5	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: All right.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Ron.
7	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: If you want to attend
8	those meetings tomorrow, you're more than welcome
9	to come in.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: 1:30 and at 5:00.
11	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: 1:30, and that's the
12	impact fees and a couple of business items dealing
13	with the comp plan and so forth. And then at 5:30
14	is the budget hearing itself, so
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: A lot of people don't
16	realize that our airport have our tenants pay
17	impact fees.
18	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Uh-huh.
19	MR. GORMAN: One one question for you real
20	quick. Even if they negate the impact fees,
21	wouldn't there be repercussions from people that
22	have already paid them?
23	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Sure. We've already
24	had one of those. A gentleman in Ponte Vedra just

1	fees and he's already written his letter wanting
2	us to write him a check if we do away with them.
3	And I don't understand why the real estate
4	people would be tickled about it, because if they
5	have a house exactly the same value that paid
6	impact fees and they have another house that just
7	got built that didn't, that's not you know, a
8	lot of them are saying some of them have
9	written us saying don't change the impact fees
10	because that will create a problem in the fact
11	that those two houses would actually have less
12	one would have less value because there were no
13	impact fees. And they don't believe that would
14	happen.
15	They think the money would still be charged
16	but it would be distributed, you know, throughout
17	the different people, that it's not going to bring
18	the price down. So we have all kind of, you know
19	arguments on it. There's been probably 400
20	e-mails. So anyway, we'll get it all worked out,
21	but
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I'll just clarify my
23	earlier statement. So do we. Our as an
24	airport authority, we pay impact fees.

24

1	an electric utility, I keep hearing about all of
2	these people, they're buying turbines and all of
3	these companies are buying from each other and
4	this one's making this much money, that one's
5	making that much money. But ultimately, the payor
6	of all these activities is the person who's paying
7	the electric bill, whether it's a business or a
8	private entity. And it sounds like this is
9	similar. The real estate people aren't paying
10	these impact fees; it's the purchaser and lessors
11	of the properties.
12	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: The purchaser pays the
13	fees. It's always been built into the cost of the
14	house.
15	MR. YOUMAN: Yeah, yeah.
16	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Now, the contractor is
17	responsible, because sometimes a contractor will
18	build a spec home, and in order to get that
19	Certificate of Occupancy, they have to pay the
20	impact fee. So and I've always argued that
21	point. I couldn't understand why we had to deal
22	with a builder to collect an impact tax people,
23	but anyway.

I've never been in love with the impact fee.

1	one time, I fought it, you know.
2	It doesn't work. The guy that invented it
3	says it doesn't work and will never work to do
4	what everyone thinks it does because it falls way
5	short of doing too much, but it does help. And
6	right now, we're having to catch up on everything
7	in infrastructure because we're so far behind with
8	the development that was allowed and
9	infrastructure was not pushed. And the fact is
10	now we're behind. So if we gave up that, we'd
11	even be further behind, because that's the only
12	thing we have now that we can actually use for
13	certain things.
14	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
15	MR. GEORGE: Madam Chairman, should we write
16	a letter requesting that our impact fees be
17	reduced like everybody else?
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think that if should
19	a should a decision be made at that point,
20	that's certainly something we can look at.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: And I'll even accept
23	your letter.
24	MR. GEORGE: And it will go right in that

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Mr. Slingluff?
2	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: No, it goes in the
3	recycling bin.
4	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Mr. Nehring? I don't see
6	him. Okay. And Mr. Roderick told me he may not
7	be here. Would you like to speak on behalf of
8	SAAPA? Do we have anybody that would like to?
9	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. I appreciate
11	it. And Mr. Burnett and Mr. Napier with the
12	tower. Do you have any of his numbers?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah. Always. I thought
14	we'd reformat this a little bit. Maybe it's
15	easier to follow.
16	The yellow line is the current year data. So
17	that kind of jumps out a little bit easier for
18	you. The previous three years are represented by
19	the different-color bars. So helps you put it in
20	perspective.
21	The over all we're down about 11.2
22	percent. We had two very good months,
23	surprisingly for the middle of the summer. Total
24	operations are actually up this year as compared

24

1	operations are off, still off fairly
2	significantly. We actually had two pretty good
3	months compared to previous years.
4	MR. GEORGE: Is there a correlation we can
5	make with the if the total operations are down
6	11 percent, that that correlates that our revenues
7	are down 11 percent? I don't think that
8	they're
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think you're going to
10	find in a couple of more slides that it
11	probably you know, I don't know that you can
12	scientifically pull that together, but I think
13	you're going to find that your your revenues on
14	an airport are off 11 percent.
15	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
16	MR. WUELLNER: So you're still looking at
17	the
18	MR. GEORGE: Well, it's interesting. The
19	operations are takeoff and landings. And most of
20	the most of our revenue comes from permanent
21	party to renting facilities, and if they elect not
22	to fly their airplane, you know, this month, but
23	they're still paying for their hangar.

MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. Yeah. Yeah, it's

1	rent
2	MR. GEORGE: Someone someone in the press
3	made the correlation that if operations are down
4	11 percent, it's a direct impact on, and it's not
5	really a direct impact on.
6	MR. WUELLNER: No. And it may just be a
7	statistically anomaly that the two are, you know,
8	saying the same thing right now.
9	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
10	MR. WUELLNER: It's just kind of interesting
11	that it does kind of work that way this month
12	anyway. I guess if you're ready for updates.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I am. Project updates.
14	PROJECT UPDATES
15	MR. WUELLNER: As you are probably well
16	aware, Taxiway Bravo north is under construction,
17	and lime rocking is nearing completion. They are
18	now actively as of today installing the lighting,
19	getting the cans in place.
20	Pipe and culvert work is all has started.
21	That originally had a much longer lead time, was
22	going to be out another eight weeks at one point
23	waiting on structures to get in. Those have shown
24	up now. So they're actually going to be able to

1	At one point, they were going to do a
2	leveling course of asphalt ahead of the structures
3	in an effort to keep the work moving. At this
4	point, I believe they're holding all of the
5	asphalt work at least the last I heard, they
6	were going to hold all that, since the structures
7	are now in and take a more normal course of this.
8	My best guess here is you're really only looking
9	at most here about 60 days to being able to use
10	it.
11	MR. GEORGE: Great.
12	MR. WUELLNER: It may even be sooner than
13	that. It's going remarkably well. What weather
14	we have had hasn't really pinched the schedule too
15	much at this point. So, it I'm very
16	optimistic.
17	It looks very good. It's very far along to
18	look at it. Literally it's been widened already.
19	That lime rock's in place. It's pretty obvious
20	where the pavement's going at this point in most
21	areas out there. So once the structure work is
22	done, abutting up the lime rock and getting it
23	started paving. I think you're, I'm going to
24	guess, Andrew, what three to four weeks probably

1	MR. HOLESKO: Probably right on that.
2	MR. WUELLNER: That's probably real close and
3	then it will I'm going to guess you're looking
4	at two weeks, less than two weeks to pave, so
5	in terms of duration. It probably won't even be
6	that long. They have a lot of nice straight poles
7	in there. It can you put a lot of asphalt
8	down.
9	Multiuse building, if you haven't been out
10	there, it's somewhat remarkable what's happened in
11	the last couple of months on that site. It's
12	the building is out of the ground. All of the
13	block work is I would say is complete, but you
14	get a sense of the building location up through
15	the first story.
16	They have not poured the internal slab. That
17	will happen next week, last I heard, and they will
18	begin setting the steel that shortly thereafter
19	for the second floor portion of it. It's really
20	begun to take shape. They're forming up today the
21	architectural arches that are along the airside
22	piece of it. Those are being formed up now, which
23	also served as sort of a header for the second
24	floor porch or balcony area.

1	we'll be looking at internal schemes later this
2	week and making final changes to electrical and
3	those kinds of things in the next less than two
4	weeks, and at which point it will just press on
5	through construction through completion on this
6	building.
7	We we're using a March occupancy date.
8	The reality is this building will likely be
9	available before that date. It could be several
10	weeks ahead of that.
11	So, I think the the next project which is
12	the hangar project, is a little further behind
13	that and will probably go closer to the March
14	date. But it's a lot easier construction. It's a
15	metal building. But that process is a little
16	further along or a little less far along in
17	terms of permitting.
18	Again, I don't see the occupancy date
19	changing; it's just a matter of it will it
20	doesn't look like a whole lot's going on over
21	there other than dirt moving. There's also a
22	remarkable amount of underground work that's gone
23	on this project between the general wetness of the
24	site, the soil condition there being adjacent to

1	areas.
2	It takes a fair amount of work to get pipe in
3	the ground to and get that soil ready to accept
4	pipe. And that's been going. And most of that I
5	would tell you is probably 80, 90 percent
6	complete, all of the structural pipe now, with
7	probably the exception of what will go directly
8	under the apron for the airport maintenance
9	facility, between the taxiway and the aprons.
10	Other than that, I think all of the big
11	structures, all of the big pipe, all of that is in
12	place. Most of the utility line underground work
13	is is well along and the road is I would say
14	roughed in, in that they're using that daily to
15	bring concrete and materials onto the job site.
16	So, it looks remarkable. I'm just I'm
17	very pleased what's at the pace of this job and
18	the quality we've got going on with this
19	particular contractor at this point. Andrew's got
20	the piece of the site. I mean, they're they're
21	doing the oversight on that. Anything you can add
22	to that?
23	MR. HOLESKO: (Shakes head.)
24	MR. WUELLNER: I'm extremely pleased with

24

1	MR. GEORGE: Ed, did we get in this contract
2	that the floor will be smoother, like the new
3	hangars we have over here? Because we had a
4	problem the last
5	MR. WUELLNER: The the hangar contract has
6	that in there, yes.
7	MR. GEORGE: Good. Okay. Thanks.
8	MR. WUELLNER: It obviously is not applicable
9	to the multipurpose, but
10	MR. GEORGE: Right. Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: but it is for the other.
12	That will allow the coating to go on.
13	Aircraft wash rack, another one that's just
14	now all the underground's all complete. They
15	actually began pouring concrete, must have been
16	Thursday or Friday. I'd say about one third of
17	the wash rack apron has been poured. They poured
18	another couple of small segments today. My guess
19	is the end of the week, they'll be through with
20	paving. They're due to be off the job by the end
21	of the month, so your it's in the first week of
22	October, it should be usable to everybody out
23	there. So that's coming along very very well.

I'm going to try to have you some pictures.

1	the time of the next regular meeting. But we'll
2	get you some pictures going of this now that it
3	starts to look like something.
4	I'll invite Andrew up to try and explain
5	this. But he wanted he wanted to provide you
6	some information, more details on the
7	environmental assessment progress to date.
8	MR. HOLESKO: I promise I'll just take one or
9	two minutes, unless you have some other questions.
10	I asked Ed to take this graphic, which is actually
11	one of the graphics that we're using to share with
12	the multiple agencies that are reviewing the
13	environmental assessment for three different
14	project components. And I'm just going to
15	highlight them here to you a little bit and tell
16	you where we are.
17	The three components that we're working on
18	for the EA right now are the continuation of
19	Taxiway Bravo to the south. That's the area here
20	in white. Old Taxiway C is right here. That's
21	going to completely go away and become a grass
22	area.
23	The second project is the permanent
24	stabilization permanent as you can be from

1	runway safety area all the way down here to the
2	towards the intersection of the runways to the
3	south, all the way around the south end of the
4	runway, and all the way up here on this side of
5	the new taxiway.
6	The third component of the EA is an approach
7	lighting system here headed to the south into the
8	marsh. You can't see all of the lines on this
9	photo, and I didn't intend for you to be able to
10	read all the lines on this photo, but we have
11	grading lines, safety area lines, construction
12	buffer lines, riprap lines.
13	We are stabilizing basically the entire
14	perimeter of the east side of the runway, the
15	south end of the runway, and the new west side of
16	the taxiway all so that this situation is not
17	going to occur again. So we won't be coming back
18	out here in 20 or 30 years and saying, let's
19	stabilize the safety area again. It's going to be
20	a long-term stabilization of the safety area all
21	the way around the project area.
22	There's a lot of lines and things on there.
23	At any time on any month, there's somewhere
24	between 20 and 30 people at eight different

1	these three projects work inside the EA.
2	In addition to the environmental feasibility,
3	we've got to make sure that everything is proper
4	technically, and all of the agencies that are
5	sitting with us will be reviewing the EA before
6	the end of the year, meeting with them, getting
7	their input, making sure everybody is okay with
8	what's going on here.
9	So I just wanted to show that to you because
10	it's a quick blip each month and Ed talks about
11	the progress on the EA, but tremendous amount of
12	work has been done. Thousands of hours of effort
13	are already into it and there will be a couple of
14	thousand hours worth of effort still to get it
15	done.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack.
17	MR. GORMAN: Got a question for you. In all
18	of this stabilization and all the earthwork
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack, pull down your mic.
20	MR. GORMAN: In all the stabilization and all
21	the earthwork doing for this, you know, the
22	stabilization of that, you get a northeast wind
23	and of course you have erosion on there. We have
24	a seaplane base there and it has a bar that really

1	(Mr. Burnett enters the room.)
2	MR. GORMAN: both the seaplane base more
3	adept at use at having sea planes come and go
4	at low tide. And also it could be used for some
5	other traffic, including marine traffic, and
6	fuels, bringing in fuel should we get an aircraft
7	or larger airliners.
8	Is any of that being tied in, or could any of
9	those dredge spoils be utilized into some
10	stabilization effort, or has the depth of that
11	seaplane area been taken into account in all of
12	this assessment?
13	MR. HOLESKO: There is no improvement or
14	dredging proposed to the seaplane facility inside
15	our EA.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Part part of the reason is
17	it's not at this point, that work wouldn't be
18	eligible under the grant that we have from FAA.
19	It's not an it's not an AIP-eligible item at
20	this point. It's something that can be it can
21	be discussed openly with the environmental
22	agencies to get the reaction of that, but it's not
23	something that's covered under the grant.
24	MR. GORMAN: The point being

1	MR. GEORGE: if you do dredge a seaplane
2	area, you might you need to stabilize that
3	dredge area and this would be certainly, I don't
4	know if you can that can be tied together or
5	that discussion can be started at this point or
6	what.
7	MR. WUELLNER: It's what is it is Bryan
8	still here? Oh, it's a dredge and fill permit I
9	believe is really what we're after in the event we
10	get to a point we can open that back up, which
11	will have us having to identify some area to place
12	the spoils from that that dredging.
13	We're really just waiting on a reason to
14	it's an expensive endeavor, and until we have an
15	identified reason to go in there and attempt the
16	dredging and get that permit in place, it's an
17	expenditure we're just not
18	MR. GORMAN: The reason would be to increase
19	the utility of the seaplane ramp.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Well, current it really
21	doesn't do anything for the utility of the
22	seaplane ramp because it's usable now. There's
23	nothing that drafts for seaplane purposes anything
24	significant.

1	MR. WUELLNER: I hear what you're saying, but
2	three feet of water is deep for a seaplane.
3	MR. GORMAN: Right. There's also other uses
4	for that area, including maritime uses, including
5	the introduction of fuel, fuel barges
6	MR. WUELLNER: And we're on the same page.
7	MR. GORMAN: transportation needs, should
8	you need fuel on the airport, things like that.
9	MR. WUELLNER: And those are all
10	MR. GORMAN: That was my reasoning.
11	MR. WUELLNER: opportunities that we're
12	going to explore because they they could make
13	sense in in the future.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So, Ed, you'll keep us
15	posted on that.
16	MR. WUELLNER: But until we have something,
17	we can't really move it forward. It just doesn't
18	make financial sense to do.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: You'll keep us posted
20	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Is that any other
21	questions on the EA for Andrew or myself or
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Buzz?
23	MR. GEORGE: This is not on the EA, but it's

the whole project. Looking at this reminds me to

1	the	marsh	area,	the	houses	back	up	in	here	to

2 get --

- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: So there's still going to be
- 5 boat access in there.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: This -- this does not affect
- 7 that at all.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine.
- 9 Back to Jack's question, I was in there, in
- the seaplane thing at high tide about a week ago
- and there was only about -- at the lowest point,
- there was six feet. So if that tide -- you know,
- at absolute low tide, it's going to go down to a
- foot and a half, two feet right down the middle.
- MR. WUELLNER: Down the center of the --
- MR. GORMAN: There is an area --
- MR. WUELLNER: -- channel.
- MR. GORMAN: -- that gets really shallow.
- MR. WUELLNER: The channel is very low.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: The main basin's not bad --
- MR. GEORGE: No.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- but the -- the channel in
- 24 is --

1	hundred yards out from the basin, you know, in the
2	middle of the channel.
3	MR. WUELLNER: You don't want to be drafting
4	a whole lot in there.
5	MR. GEORGE: No.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Andrew.
7	MR. HOLESKO: You have another question from
8	Mike.
9	MR. SLINGLUFF: Can you reiterate the time
10	line of the three projects?
11	MR. HOLESKO: This is the environmental
12	assessment review of the projects. The FAA is
13	aware of the Authority's interest in moving
14	forward with all three projects, but they will not
15	make any commitment to a funding schedule or time
16	line, even though we still expect favorable
17	improvements for the projects to move forward in
18	calendar year 2010. But the EA will be complete
19	before the end of 2009 and then submitted to the
20	FAA for their review and concurrence. In terms of
21	committing towards construction
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it we program it.
23	It's in our, called the JACIP, but the capital

improvement program. We carry the projects

1	commitments on funding until a favorable
2	determination's been reached through the
3	environmental assessment process, whether that's a
4	FONSI or some mitigated solution to it.
5	And a worst-case scenario would be that we'd
6	have to do something even more elaborate in terms
7	of environmental work. But it's unlikely based on
8	preliminary discussions. It's a little hard to
9	nail down from a schedule standpoint.
10	MR. SLINGLUFF: Can I make a comment?
11	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
12	MR. SLINGLUFF: I think that the approach
13	lighting system is critically needed, but I'm
14	afraid that because of the environmental impact,
15	it's going to be way out there in terms of getting
16	the FAA to review this and then the funding
17	process.
18	And I'd really like to push for some sort of
19	runway end identification lights or or some
20	some sort of stroke that's within the current
21	runway environment. It would help the ILS
22	procedures tremendously. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. Thank you,

Andrew.

1	schedule. Updated time line up here. I am happy
2	to report that after I spent some time with the
3	high school over at St. Augustine and the vice
4	principal over there trying to see if they would
5	be interested in supporting this project from a
6	volunteer hours point of view for students.
7	As you're probably aware, most of the high
8	school students have to hit a minimum number of
9	volunteer hours to be eligible to graduate with a
10	diploma. And we approached them about their
11	participation. They were enthusiastically behind
12	getting with us or letting us use students or
13	potentially try to get students to assist in the
14	actual construction of the park.
15	So it looks very strong that probably I
16	don't see this coming together to where I would
17	have students in there till near the first of the
18	year, when you factor in their school calendar and
19	pieces and parts.
20	But about the first of the year, I would
21	think that we will play put together on the
22	school calendar several weekends a month for
23	several months wherein those students can come ou
24	and put in a full day helping to construct trails,

I	do. Obviously as long it's not nazardous, they re
2	all about it and think that would be great. We're
3	trying to expand that to the other schools and
4	make that available.
5	The the vice principal at St. Augustine
6	indicated she was willing to begin that point of
7	contact with the other schools to see if
8	because there's all of these schools have the
9	same kind of requirements, and see if we couldn't
10	generate some more interest from some of the other
11	schools. Because we weren't looking at just
12	Aerospace Academy; we're looking broader than
13	that.
14	So, I I'm optimistic. I'll have to keep
15	reminding of her of that commitment to talk to the
16	schools. But as we can nail down a schedule,
17	we'll get that communicated to the schools, get
18	the materials on-site to allow them to do that
19	kind of work, and I'm looking forward to this
20	being a pretty cool community effort for a lot of
21	young people willing to get their volunteer
22	service hours knocked out in a pretty quick
23	fashion for them.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Ed, when we

1	at you, so
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: When we do do this, can
3	you give me a copy of what you're looking for,
4	what weekends? Because I'm sure students at
5	St. Joseph's would like to be a part of it and I
6	do have contacts at some of the other schools that
7	would include it in their PTSO and newsletters.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
9	MR. YOUMAN: Can I ask a question? Who's
10	liable for their injuries?
11	MR. WUELLNER: We always are when they're on
12	the property. Doesn't matter whether they're
13	working or standing. You're ultimately liable for
14	them being here. It's not an official school
15	function, so it's not covered by the school board
16	itself.
17	MR. YOUMAN: Would a contractor who's covered
18	for liability in this, would that be in the long
19	run cheaper than if someone got injured on our
20	property and we had to sustain the injury payment?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Well, not not knowing the
22	nature of a claim that would be made, certainly
23	there's some exposure. But as a governmental
24	entity, you have a pretty not only a pretty big

- 1 immunity threshold there that would come into play
- 2 as a governmental entity.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: Plus I guess the added comment
- 4 to that would be you also maintain liability
- 5 insurance for --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
- 7 MR. BURNETT: -- those events, but there is a
- 8 risk there.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: And we could even speak to --
- 10 you know, before they -- we actually let them
- here, we can run it by the insurance company.
- 12 There may be something they would like us to do in
- addition to provide a -- an even higher level for
- 14 that activity.
- MR. YOUMAN: What type of work do you see
- them doing?
- MR. WUELLNER: Moving mulch, spreading mulch,
- 18 you know, some light -- I would say light
- 19 construction. And by that, I mean maybe laying
- 20 timbers on the ground, that kind of thing.
- Nothing -- nothing involving power tools or
- anything like that. Our guys would be doing those
- kinds of things, our employees.
- MR. YOUMAN: And who would be the adult

24

straight.

1	MR. WUELLNER: That would be you. Yes, I am
2	kidding, but I just couldn't resist.
3	MR. GORMAN: Good straight on. I saw
4	MR. YOUMAN: I just wanted to see if you
5	would comment.
6	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Thanks for
7	volunteering.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Generally, it would be airport
9	staff.
10	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jack, did you have
12	something?
13	MR. GORMAN: No. I was just as far as the
14	time line, it would be just after the this
15	multiuse building is fairly complete so that then
16	you can get the fencing up
17	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
18	MR. GORMAN: and then all of this
19	interaction with the public
20	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
21	MR. GORMAN: is contained.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we're going to do it
23	MR. GORMAN: I just wanted to get that

1	nne. My gut feeling is, though, the phasing of
2	the building is going to get to the point where
3	it's between Thanksgiving and Christmas to where
4	we could make it available for them to get in
5	there, which makes scheduling that with the school
6	just about impossible.
7	So it will probably slip till right after the
8	first of the year to where we can get several
9	weekends in a row to get everybody out here and
10	delivery and materials, those kinds of things. So
11	we'll formalize that as we go.
12	MR. GORMAN: I think it's great for community
13	relations.
14	MR. WUELLNER: I think these guys are going
15	to do a great job.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think also that the
17	colleges are requiring service hours, so I think
18	we should extend it out to our Aerospace Academy
19	partners, FCCJ and the aerospace program and to JU
20	and to the Embry-Riddle people.
21	MR. WUELLNER: I I'm certainly as open as
22	you are to being as inclusive as possible. My
23	concern is that we don't end up with 3,000 people
24	here trying to do

is

1	MR. WUELLNER: you know, 200 people's
2	worth of work.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: My second part to that
4	you would give us a when you do send out how
5	many people you're looking for and, you know, the
6	expectations. So that all that's spelled out in
7	advance to the people that we send it out to.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we don't we don't
9	want them standing around and
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: No.
11	MR. WUELLNER: bored. That's among the
12	last things.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Citizens airport group met
15	last month? I always get I think it was the
16	end in August, wherein we previewed the web
17	site interface.
18	That's it's not out there active yet, but
19	we previewed it to those folks in that group, had
20	a nice discussion with them overall relative to
21	the development of the policy related to the to
22	airport issues, reporting policies that we're
23	working through.
24	I have yet to get any real input, but I'll

1	I wanted to do is give you a I ve got an agenda
2	item that will go to those two web site interfaces
3	so you get a chance to just see them, because we
4	have not shared that with the actual Airport
5	Authority members, so you'll have some idea where
6	that is. And I'll just explain where I think the
7	process or the schedule to complete here will be
8	at that point.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay.
10	MR. WUELLNER: It's a couple of slides away.
11	And marketing and PR, I'll just you know,
12	we continue to have discussions relative to
13	airlines. I don't know if you saw Sunday's paper
14	down here, but there was an article relative to
15	capacity issues within the airline industry. It
16	was a fairly nice article. Yeah, there it is.
17	Helped explain what's been what's going on with
18	them and the like.
19	So if it does nothing else, it helps to
20	enforce the difficulty or the difficult
21	environment that we're in in trying to secure
22	airline service here. But nonetheless,
23	discussions continue with several carriers.
24	Nobody's moving fast. And we'll just continue to

1	progress. I I feel very comfortable that
2	something's going to break reasonably soon but,
3	you know, it's getting it on paper at this point.
4	MR. WERTER: If I may. I've got have a
5	question, Ed. You came there was a question at
6	the Rotary, I was at the Rotary lunch today, and a
7	question about how the runway repavement program
8	would affect the incoming airlines if we get an
9	airline in here.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Well, and that's a critical
11	path item in doing that design work, which is
12	as you know, is underway. Our engineers have
13	evaluated several methodology. I think we're up
14	to third methodology in terms of how to accomplish
15	that rehabilitation.
16	One of the methods of course is just to
17	simply overlay what's there. They do a some
18	kind of milling and then come back and repave.
19	That takes a while to accomplish. It results in
20	very difficult pavement segments that require the
21	runway perhaps to be closed for fairly significant
22	periods of time.
23	Another method is to do that in in a
24	concrete approach with a what's called a

1	it funded. But essentially would result in adding
2	a seven roughly a seven-inch layer of concrete
3	over the existing asphalt with minimal
4	preparation.
5	They can do those as a I refer to it, it's
6	probably not technically true, but a train pull of
7	concrete where we can literally pull one whole
8	length of the runway up to 25 feet wide per day,
9	which lets the actual concrete work take place in
10	seven days, kind of an approach.
11	However, you've still got to tie it back to
12	the existing pavement. You have an elevation
13	issue that goes on when you do that and it
14	requires so it's upwards of a 30-day project
15	with closure, or nearly 30 days.
16	Another method that's being explored, we
17	don't know where it's going to go, but there's
18	some in-place recycling methodology that's now
19	cutting edge that may allow that literally to be
20	in a sense removed and replaced right behind it,
21	so where you complete work each day, or in this
22	case it would be scheduled at nighttime, where you
23	could do that a whole length a whole pass each
24	day and it be returned to usefulness or usability

1	particular track, and it can be worked over a
2	course of several weeks.
3	That technology is somewhat new in terms of
4	the placement and being able to do that. And
5	there the engineers are going through the
6	obstacle now of getting FDOT and FAA on board with
7	it. It ends up with the exact same asphalt that
8	went down the first time in terms of the character
9	of the asphalt. It's an FAA specification asphalt
10	that comes back down. So there's no compromise in
11	the pavement. But it could shorten not only
12	put the closures at night, but shorten the overall
13	project duration.
14	MR. WERTER: You mean even in mid-project
15	with some of these techniques, the runway would
16	still be open during the day?
17	MR. WUELLNER: It would be open everyday.
18	The cool part there, too, is that it avoids having
19	to the tie-ins are much less complicated
20	because everything comes back essentially to the
21	grade it was. So you it's just a matter of
22	ending it at a good location everyday. And
23	hopefully that technology will end up ultimately
24	supported by both FDOT and FAA.

1	understand Andrew feel free to correct me here,
2	but as I understand it, they're doing this already
3	on some roads, and it's gaining some acceptance.
4	Obviously the asphalt companies don't like
5	it. It doesn't involve much. It's only like a 10
6	percent new material that's added to it. So it's
7	essentially reusing what's there. And obviously
8	it's got some lobbying behind it because there's
9	an awful lot of pavement work that goes on in the
10	state. So hopefully we'll get to the point where
11	it's acceptable to everybody and maybe that
12	technology is the one that ultimately ends up
13	employed.
14	We you know, I want don't want to raise
15	expectations, but there's there appears to be
16	some minor rumbling that some funding for this may
17	become available after the first of the year,
18	maybe. By no means a guarantee. But it is an
19	expensive endeavor.
20	I would tell you the price tag for this runs
21	anywhere depending on methodology and the like,
22	anywhere from \$5 to \$11 million. So it's not a
23	small project, and it's not a a small project
24	in terms of dollars. That's all I know on it

1	our first action item.
2	MR. WUELLNER: I I had asked or I had
3	been asked to look at some other benchmarking just
4	as an effort to help. And we threw some numbers
5	up here. We want to keep refining them each month
6	and, you know, if they're not meaningful and
7	sometimes they're entirely deceiving, so I
8	don't I don't want to you know, I don't want
9	everybody getting a little crazy on the
10	percentages here, but when you look at it in the
11	context of budget, you see revenues are down about
12	10 1/2 percent. Very near that 11 percent number
13	that we saw in terms of the air traffic.
14	Operating expenses are down about 11 percent. So
15	we've been able to keep those two very similar,
16	very parallel tracks.
17	We look at occupancy of hangars. We're at 96
18	percent hangars on T-hangars. The the
19	occupancy, less than a hundred is a result of
20	finding not having people to lease at this
21	point. Primarily the port-a-port product.
22	We've been through the waiting list at least
23	once. She is now contacting the last last
24	folks to get on the waiting list, which has really

24

1	we get some takers for those. Otherwise, all of
2	the all the good stuff, for lack of better
3	terms, is all leased and occupied. There are
4	there are no vacancies there.
5	But it's really just what is it, eight?
6	It's eight port-a-ports out there currently that
7	are just not they're just not getting any
8	money. And we've again been through the list. I
9	think we're about 80 or 90 names on the waiting
10	list in total and have been through that.
11	MR. GORMAN: No large hangars? Isn't there a
12	50 x 60 empty here?
13	MR. WUELLNER: That would come under the
14	corporate small here, which as a percentage looks
15	bigger, but it's a single unit there.
16	MR. GORMAN: It's a single unit.
17	MR. GEORGE: Is there any thought then about
18	getting rid of some of the one whole row and
19	with the economy down and everything, we might be
20	able to get some good prices on putting another
21	hangar row in there if you've still got 85 on the
22	waiting list and they're turning down the
23	port-a-ports.

MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I --

1	because they want something better or bigger?
2	MR. WUELLNER: No. It's just general
3	economy
4	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
5	MR. WUELLNER: more than anything else. I
6	would fully support bulldozing them tomorrow
7	just
8	MR. GEORGE: I understand.
9	MR. WUELLNER: but I but I think
10	there's still a year or two gap between being able
11	to where our capital program funding catches up
12	to making sense to tear them down.
13	MR. GEORGE: All right.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It will it will kind of
15	take care of itself over the next couple of years.
16	MR. GEORGE: You probably have to pay an
17	impact fee if you bulldoze it anyway.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, no, we don't on
19	those, because that's just a replacement building.
20	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I could bring that up
21	if you'd like.
22	MR. GEORGE: I just wanted to make sure you
23	were still with us.
24	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I do have to leave

1	I'm with you right now. I'll make a note of what
2	you suggested.
3	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Thanks.
5	MR. BRUNSON: Have you got a meeting at 6:00?
6	MR. WUELLNER: And we have one corporate
7	hangar that's still vacant, although we are in
8	discussions with somebody. Hopefully it will take
9	care of itself in the next couple of weeks. And
10	that would be corporate east corporate hangar
11	number 4, which was the original SK hangar that
12	sits at the if you know which
13	Fuel sales, I we just picked two months,
14	and literally these, for the month of August, 2008
15	and 2009, you can see that jet fuel sales just in
16	general are off across the board and have been for
17	basically a year.
18	There's not much anybody can do about it,
19	they're just simply, thanks to our our
20	Congressmen in general, you know, scaring off
21	corporate aviation and the media jumping all over
22	that.
23	Self-serve, as you can see has been pretty
24	close to stable. It's really I did some order

24

1	know if Michael's numbers confirm this, but it,
2	you know, looks to me like we're probably from
3	calendar to this point, jet fuel sales are
4	probably 30 percent off. I don't know what it
5	doesn't detail doesn't much matter, but just
6	order of magnitude. And I'm just looking at
7	self-fuel avgas.
8	We're actually off cumulatively for the year
9	only about 4 percent. And actually we're up last
10	month. But that that's not necessarily
11	indicative you had a most of the period now
12	has been under a very difficult economy. So
13	you're comparing a not great year with an even
14	worse year, to be fair on it. I'm not sure you
15	can read a whole lot into those.
16	Operations, you can see that we were actually
17	up about 600 takeoffs and landings for the month
18	of July last year, so as compared to last year.
19	But last year, we were just starting really
20	down starting to slide downward and people were
21	really especially flying, we were really trying
22	to figure out where things were going, and I think
23	we were just beginning to get into the really

difficult part of the year last July.

24

1	years. So, you know, in the scheme of things,
2	July actually looked pretty good. But it it
3	won't make the year great. It will just make it
4	less bad.
5	MR. GEORGE: That's a good chart, by the way.
6	That gives us a
7	MR. WUELLNER: We'll try to update it.
8	MR. GEORGE: snapshot.
9	MR. WUELLNER: As long as you don't try to
10	read a whole lot of you know, it will make
11	sense. You can draw your own conclusions. Which
12	brings the first agenda item if you
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Will we have time for the
14	first agenda item, because we've got
15	MR. WUELLNER: What time is it?
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: or should we go ahead
17	to the second agenda item?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, this is only about a
19	two-minute agenda item.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We'll let you give
21	it a try.
22	AIRPORT ISSUES REPORTING POLICY - UPDATE
23	MR. WUELLNER: The right side up here is the

chart we presented last month at the meeting. We

24

1	wanted to show you was the web interface on this.
2	It should just take a second to load. This is not
3	indicative of how slow it will go when it's live.
4	But it's on somebody's else's server right now,
5	so
6	MR. GEORGE: We've got two minutes.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Essentially, this is the
8	this is the information that's we'll be asking
9	for in order to essentially file a complaint or
10	have us address an issue as it as it comes up.
11	That will once they hit the send button,
12	which is a little further down on the screen, once
13	you submit that, we ask that you acknowledge and
14	Doug's suggesting that they understand that when
15	they submit that information, it essentially
16	becomes public record at the point of submission.
17	So anybody who wants to to make a complaint or
18	discuss an issue with us or start that process of
19	contact, that we just want to make sure they
20	understand that their their information is no
21	longer private at that point. Okay.
22	MR. GORMAN: Do all those fields have to be
23	filled out for the thing to be valid?

MR. WUELLNER: That's to be determined.

24

1	say. At this point, it's set up that those fields
2	would need to be completed. But that's a it
3	can easily be made to where it does not any
4	particular field. So that's a part of your policy
5	discussion next time. Let me give you last
6	year's
7	MR. GEORGE: It's SGJ report.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Ah, thank you. Now I forgot
9	the other part. Was it SGJ09
10	MR. GEORGE: SGJ09 pound.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. This is on the
12	administration side, but you can go and look at
13	issues that have been reported. Each one
14	generates a report. This will eventually be
15	available on the public side. So any complaints
16	that have or issues that have been brought up,
17	the individual will be able to track that, will be
18	able to show what ultimately happened, what was
19	the conclusion that was reached.
20	The other summary of reports, so you get
21	basically for any range or any type of aircraft
22	incident, we can generate reports that are
23	available to the board or to the public based on

that. So it gives you an idea where the web

1	ahead and get your public hearing started.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Is it 5:01?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. We're awful close.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We will close
5	the
6	MR. WUELLNER: About three minutes, I think.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We'll close the regular
8	meeting agenda for the St. Augustine-St. Johns
9	County Airport to resume after our budget, first
10	public hearing on our 2009-2010 annual budget.
11	We'll start the meeting for the budget in about
12	two minutes.
13	(Regular meeting suspended and reconvened at
14	6:05 as follows:)
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We'd like to
16	reconvene the St. Augustine-St. Johns County
17	Airport Authority regularly-scheduled meeting. It
18	is 6:05. And we our last agenda item was the
19	airport issues database, and we want to open that
20	item up for public comment. And with that,
21	Mr. Gladu? Ted?
22	MR. GLADU: Yeah. My name is Ted Gladu, and
23	I represent the committee
24	MR. WUELLNER: Hey, Vic?

24

1	Airport Authority regarding the the noise
2	issues regarding the noise issues. We had a
3	meeting in May
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I can't tell. I'm sorry.
5	MR. GEORGE: Just one second. I don't know
6	if that mic is on. Is it?
7	MR. WUELLNER: It is on? She doesn't have it
8	up very much.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. I'm sorry. Go
10	ahead.
11	MR. GLADU: My name is Ted Gladu and I
12	represent the noise group that's been meeting with
13	Mr. Wuellner, Mr. Cooper. We're very grateful for
14	their and your and your attention and
15	you, for your attention to this issue.
16	And at a meeting back in May, we had a
17	sort of a long elaborate discussion about
18	complaint reporting and how we were going to tally
19	complaint recording. And at that time, the the
20	committee as a group decided to make a motion to
21	you people to put into an addition that we
22	would like to have put into this database a
23	counting system which would read that it is moved

that any comment or comments made by an individual

1	as several different comments. Multiple comments
2	from multiple individuals regarding one plane
3	should be also considered as multiple comments.
4	At that time, there was the the discussion
5	was going back and forth, and Mr. Wuellner was
6	saying, well, you know, if it's one plane being
7	reported by several people, that that should be
8	one complaint. And the sense of my group was
9	that, well, yes, but that plane might be affecting
10	several locations. So we wanted that to be
11	clearly stated as how you would keep track of
12	that. Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. Our next
14	public comment. Reba?
15	MS. LUDLOW: No comment. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We'll open it up to
17	board comment. Do we have any comment from the
18	board about the database reporting policy? We
19	haven't gotten into the specifics of developing
20	yet, but anything in general.
21	MR. WERTER: Well I'm sorry. Go ahead.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jim?
23	MR. WERTER: Well, I kind of agree with the
24	gentleman that actually there's two parameters.

1	Number of incidences versus number of complaints.
2	If you have a number of complaints about
3	oh, it should be picking me up about one
4	incident, I think that's a valid point, you know,
5	as opposed to one complaint, one incident. So
6	there should be some clarification in the program
7	regarding that. If that's already been
8	remedied
9	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we're kind of two
10	different things right this minute.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Clarify.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Gladu's comments are more
13	related to the policy development than what I
14	presented today.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And the database
16	MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately the database would
17	let as many people as desired voice a complaint or
18	an issue. They're all going to be able to
19	enter enter the data. There's no no
20	prohibition in that.
21	The question becomes as we develop the policy
22	or finalize the policy, which is likely to get,
23	you know, more attention next month as an agenda
24	item, Mr. Gladu's comments, you know, need to be

1	development, and and indeed your comments.
2	We're not at least tonight, we're not
3	talking about the policy specific. Comments are
4	noted, you know, and I think they need to be
5	vetted as we bring the policy up for action
6	beginning next month. Now, whether something's
7	finally adopted next month or, you know, works to
8	the next level, whatever that whatever occurs.
9	But tonight was more informational in nature
10	MR. WERTER: Sure.
11	MR. WUELLNER: to give you an idea where
12	we were with development. We're in a sense
13	waiting on the policy at this point, waiting on
14	comments and the like.
15	So, as that process wraps up in October, I
16	think we're at a point to adopt something and
17	decide as a board via that document whether
18	it's you know, in this particular case, whether
19	it's one comment or multiple comments, as they're
20	treated for resolution purposes. It makes really
21	little difference to us internally. It's a policy
22	issue.
23	MR. GLADU: It's just a total number, trying
24	to understand that number.

1	process just be within the parameters of a regular
2	meeting and the meetings with the citizens group,
3	or would this be detailed enough to take it out of
4	the parameters of a regular meeting and make it a
5	workshop item?
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It may be something we
7	consider. Buzz?
8	MR. GEORGE: I disagree with a workshop.
9	We've been talking about this for six months.
10	It's time
11	MR. YOUMAN: Just asking.
12	MR. GEORGE: to get off the pot.
13	MR. WUELLNER: The downside of a workshop is
14	you can't take any action.
15	MR. YOUMAN: Okay. I just wanted to bring it
16	up as a thought.
17	MR. GEORGE: I have a suggestion for your
18	consideration. We keep talking about complaints.
19	We need to add another one that's called
20	incidents. So it should be complaints and
21	incidents.
22	So you could have five complaints, but they
23	all go against incident number 256, which was the

overall parameter there. You get that in the

24

1	know, how many situations that you have.
2	MR. WERTER: Well, that and that clarifies
3	what I was trying to say.
4	MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
5	MR. YOUMAN: All of the data is still
6	incorporated.
7	MR. GEORGE: Exactly. Right.
8	MR. WUELLNER: I think you gather the data
9	either way.
10	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And I think it comes
11	it's going to come as a function of the policy on
12	how you interpret the data, because the entry,
13	when you look at it, it just talks about the
14	issue. It doesn't say that it's a complaint.
15	MR. GEORGE: Right. Because an incident
16	would be assigned by our staff review of it, that,
17	okay, I had five more complaints on this one
18	airplane that was flying over and that's that
19	airplane flying over and causing noise was an
20	incident. Still tracking the five complaints, but
21	also tracking the incidents. And that's basically
22	what I picked up from what you were saying.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Good food for

1	up as an agenda item. Another comment?
2	MR. GORMAN: I mean yes. I mean, here it
3	is in a nutshell. I mean and we'll go on ad
4	infinitum I can tell on this one. But Buzz is
5	right about the incident.
6	I mean, the public needs to be heard. And if
7	there's very noisy aircraft, then the impact of
8	that noisy aircraft needs to be addressed. But
9	then administratively here the airport doesn't
10	want the ballot box stuffed by some small irate
11	group of people that don't have to really identify
12	themselves; they can stuff the ballot box by
13	reporting the same incident 37 times 370 times.
14	So, I mean, you've really got to in sum
15	total, this board has to be able to address the
16	reality of the impact of a noise of an incident.
17	Without allowing, you know, us into administrative
18	boondoggling where, you know, we're trying to
19	track this and trying to document that and oh my
20	gosh.
21	MR. WERTER: Well, no one's
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Jim?
23	MR. WERTER: I'm sorry.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Go ahead.

1	to listen.
2	MR. GORMAN: Oh sure. You have to.
3	MR. WERTER: If you look at the format on the
4	web site, it gives a place for names, addresses,
5	contact information. That's probably to prevent,
6	you know, stuffing the ballot box.
7	What we're saying is or what Buzz and I
8	were alluding to is just making sure that, you
9	know, it's not one complaint equals one incident,
10	you know, or else you're going to have five times
11	as many incidences.
12	MR. GORMAN: That's what I'm talking about, a
13	total impact. In other words, you've got to be
14	able to just talk reality rather than, you know,
15	the statistics, which is what you're saying, too.
16	MR. WERTER: Yeah. I think the project is
17	coming along to any input that's given online is
18	a you know, from a valid source as opposed to
19	anything I'll leave it at that.
20	MR. YOUMAN: And the other side of the coin
21	is like Wayne says, let's get this
22	MR. GEORGE: You can call me Buzz.
23	MR. YOUMAN: Buzz? How about Mr

MR. GEORGE: No, no, no. That's my dad.

1	started, because we can all once the process is
2	started and you're working with the web site and
3	the information base and et cetera, you see things
4	that can be changed or improved and and this
5	would be a work in progress all the way, anyway.
6	Even when it's adopted.
7	MR. WERTER: My if I may.
8	MR. GLADU: Could I say one thing, Madam
9	Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Not right now. Not during
11	this part, I'm sorry. Jim?
12	MR. WERTER: I would love to see the PR
13	subcommittee talk to us more about other projects
14	as well because it seems to me, since I've been
15	here for eight months now, and primarily what I
16	hear out of the PR committee subcommittee is
17	this one project, when we have a whole bigger
18	other area, not more important area, but a much
19	larger duty to look at in the way of public
20	relations, you know. Some positive public
21	relations.
22	What are we doing to get this airport's, I
23	don't want to use the word notoriety, but I'll use
24	it anyway, out there to show the people what we're

24

1	to talk about the you know, the taxes, ad
2	valorem taxes, that he gets nothing from this
3	airport or very little from this airport when in
4	fact everybody in the county gets a heck of a lot
5	from this airport.
6	MR. GEORGE: Let's keep the subject. Let's
7	get this one. I'm sorry.
8	MR. WERTER: Well well, can't we
9	multitask? We are all a lot of us are pilots
10	here. We can't multitask?
11	MR. GEORGE: Not without crashing and
12	burning. To the extent or to aid what you were
13	saying about let's get going on it, I'd like to
14	make a motion that we accept the policy as written
15	with the tracking of every complaint that is made
16	in the database and have some identification of
17	incidents as determined by the staff.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Doug?
19	MR. BURNETT: I guess I'm just to jump in
20	for a minute. I guess I'm a little confused
21	because I don't know that we've got a written
22	policy before you. I think this was an update,
23	just to show you what's available

MR. GEORGE: This doesn't say project update.

1	MR. BURNETT: I understand. But I think it
2	was Ed showing you and bringing you up to speed
3	what's been done online what's been done to
4	enable us to work online. I think you're still
5	going to wind up with a policy coming before you
6	that's the written policy. Correct me if I'm
7	wrong.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Clearly today was
9	designed to be an update. Next month is will
10	be an agenda item that you may or may not
11	ultimately adopt the policy.
12	MR. GEORGE: Then I take my recommendation
13	back
14	MR. WUELLNER: Hence the word
15	MR. GEORGE: and I thank you for the
16	update.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Hence the word "update" on the
18	screen.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Now, since it is an
20	update, can we open it? I know that we we're
21	not voting on it. Can we open it one more time
22	back up to public comment
23	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Absolutely.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: even though public

1	MR. GLADU: My name is Ted Gladu. I'm really
2	taking a little umbrage with your comments. I
3	don't think that we are in any way a group of
4	people that are interested in stuffing the ballot.
5	MR. GORMAN: It isn't it isn't it isn't
6	an accusation. It's and it isn't against
7	anybody. But it could be done by a different
8	group, not your group.
9	MR. GLADU: I believe it's extremely tonal,
10	and I believe that up until this point, the tone
11	that we've established with the Airport Authority
12	is a lot different than that tone. And I would
13	respectfully
14	MR. GORMAN: Then just blame me, not the rest
15	of them.
16	MR. GLADU: Okay.
17	MR. GORMAN: Just blame me.
18	MR. GLADU: I just don't think that that's
19	where we are with this right now.
20	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
21	MR. GLADU: Thank you.
22	MR. YOUMAN: In in line with Jim's
23	comments on the PR group and on the positive side,

and I know this is not the agenda, how do we make

1	a multitasking phase within our agendas?
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We can have our board
3	members bring that up under their their part
4	MR. YOUMAN: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: where each board member
6	speaks individually. And then it can be added on
7	to the next agenda item for the next month
8	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: if you like to.
10	RESOLUTION 2009-03 AMTRAK/FEC PROJECT
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Moving on to
12	Resolution 2009-03, the AMTRAK/FEC project.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. We have been asked to
14	generate a resolution of support for the
15	reestablishment of rail along the Florida East
16	Coast rail line. This would be the
17	reestablishment of AMTRAK, again, on the FEC
18	corridor.
19	We've been asked to just generate a as are
20	all the governmental entities up and down the east
21	coast of Florida that would be served by this
22	service, just a resolution supporting that
23	initiative.
24	Essentially the Treasure Coast TPO, which is

1	tasked by the State of Florida to prepare a grant
2	application with the Federal Highway or, excuse
3	me, Federal Rail? I never get it right.
4	MR. YOUMAN: FRA?
5	MR. WUELLNER: FRA, Federal Rail
6	Administration, who will be distributing some
7	stimulus money for rail projects within the U.S.
8	And the State of Florida is putting forward three
9	applications, one of which is the east coast of
10	Florida's reestablished rail. It is by far the
11	least expensive grant that they're going after
12	from that project funding.
13	And again Resolution 2009-03 just simply
14	expresses our support for reestablishing that. It
15	does not establish a location within St. Johns
16	County or anything else, just simply says that we
17	think it's a good idea that they reestablish the
18	service along the coast.
19	Later on, the next phase of this after the
20	grant application that is submitted by Treasure
21	Coast, which I understand to be within the first
22	week of October, that the federal government will
23	be issuing decisions on what projects to award by
24	the end of the calendar year.

1	has a grant project for the Airport Authority.
2	They have set aside a grant to do a site
3	selection, if you will, analysis within St. Johns
4	County that is funded and developed by the TPO.
5	So, with that, we'd be going could start
6	concurrently. That isn't funded till after
7	October, also. It's in their new fiscal year,
8	also. So that would be done concurrently.
9	The way I understand the grant terms, if they
10	get one, they will have I want to say it's two
11	years, it might have been three years to
12	reestablish the service. So, there's a period of
13	time wherein they would facilitate construction of
14	siding or platforms and additional track as needed
15	and get that operational within that two- to
16	three-year time line, assuming the grant is
17	awarded to the state.
18	It would be the tone at this point is that
19	the federal government facilitate 100 percent
20	funding of the improvements, the hard improvements
21	that would need to be the siting track related
22	issues and the like.
23	Those stations would then be turned over to
24	some entity whether it's the Airport Authority

24

1	operate. And they would then operate that at
2	their own expense so to speak.
3	So, that's what we know today, and we're
4	asking that you if you agree with the general
5	idea of reestablishing rail, that you support
6	Resolution 2009-03 and adopt that and we'll get it
7	forwarded to the Treasure Coast TPO.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Let's open this up
9	for public comment. Reba?
10	MS. LUDLOW: I have no comment. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Seeing no further
12	public comment, we'll open it up to board comment.
13	Jack?
14	MR. GORMAN: We've got to start somewhere.
15	Nobody can drive their own car everywhere. It
16	would be a wonderful thing to be able to have a
17	I don't I've been a bit critical of AMTRAK
18	before, but if it was repaired and realized in
19	its to its potential, it would be a wonderful
20	thing. You'd get off an airplane here and you
21	could take the train to Melbourne and you could
22	get back off and get a and I mean, this country
23	has to start somewhere, so this is a good place.

CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Buzz?

1	Resolution 2009-03 as written.
2	MR. WERTER: I second it.
3	MR. YOUMAN: Second it.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any further
5	board comment?
6	MR. WERTER: Just the feedback on this
7	particular subject that I get from the general
8	public has been all favor. They're dying to have
9	that. I myself wouldn't mind jumping on a train
10	down to West Palm to visit, you know, my snow bird
11	aunt and uncle rather than driving. So I'm dead
12	for voting for it when we do vote.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
14	MR. YOUMAN: You know I'm a railroad guy.
15	That answers the question right there.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Are we ready to put
17	it to a vote? All in favor, say aye.
18	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
19	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
20	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
21	MR. WERTER: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye. All opposed?
23	(No opposition.)
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Motion passes. Resolution

1	FAA SUSTAINABILITY PROJECT GRANT
2	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. And last item I
3	have for you is related to that FAA grant, the
4	sustain sustainability plan. Essentially, it's
5	evaluation and planning related to resource
6	utilization, environmental performance on the
7	airport. It creates sustainable base line
8	assessments, does goals and objectives related to
9	feas to sustainability, develops the
10	initiatives, sets performance targets, develops a
11	management plan for that, and develops an outline
12	for an annual report card of performance related
13	to those objectives.
14	It is a total project of \$229,685. It is one
15	of two projects nationally. It is 95 percent
16	funded by the FAA, meaning the FAA will pay
17	\$218,200. Local share would amount to \$11,485.
18	We would request that you ratify that grant
19	acceptance from FAA as well as award that
20	supplemental agreement work to LPA Group.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We'll open it up
22	for public comment. Randy?
23	MR. BRUNSON: Not on this.
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Reba?

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Seeing no further public
2	comment. We'll move to the board comment.
3	Discussion?
4	MR. YOUMAN: I support the proposal.
5	MR. GEORGE: Is that a motion you just made?
6	MR. YOUMAN: No. She asked for comments, so
7	I made a comment.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Discussion? Do we have
9	any further board discussion?
10	Well, you know, sustainability is what
11	everybody is looking for in these difficult
12	economic times. Sustainability not only is good
13	for your entity's economics, reduction of waste,
14	but also for the environment. And to be able to
15	sustain yourself into the future is what we're
16	looking for operationally.
17	So I think it's a wonderful opportunity. I'm
18	very excited that we've been presented with the
19	opportunity to participate. And I'm looking
20	forward to what the initiative says and what the
21	indicators say.
22	I know that already we we do some
23	environmentally proactive things, and I'm very
24	proud that we're looking at trying to do more.

1	MR. GEORGE: Two or three months ago, we
2	established a second engineering group because of
3	the workload we had and to give us some
4	flexibility. And we keep giving things to LPA and
5	they always do a good job. I don't have a problem
6	that.
7	What I have a problem what my question is,
8	will this type of activity impact us not getting
9	something else that is more in the mainstream of,
10	you know, construction and things?
11	MR. WUELLNER: No. This has apparently come
12	from an entirely separate funding source within
13	FAA. It has been essentially earmarked within the
14	agency for these two projects
15	MR. GEORGE: Uh-huh.
16	MR. WUELLNER: ours and another airport's.
17	And I don't Bryan might know the other air
18	part. I don't even know.
19	It it is likely to impact us more in a
20	positive way than a negative way, and I don't mean
21	just the results of the study, but by having the
22	plan in place, it may very well open the door for
23	additional federal participation in projects that

support what comes out of the plan.

1	want to make sure that we le not taxing Li As
2	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
3	MR. GEORGE: you know, capabilities.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Let me answer in that context
5	then. L LPA is in a unique position in this
6	regard. They have a very strong environmental
7	group within their company. More so than the
8	other two firms we also have continuing agreements
9	with. Perhaps more importantly in this case,
10	there is a subconsultant they're using, and the
11	name escapes me. It's DHVA or something like
12	that.
13	MR. COOPER: Yeah.
14	MR. WUELLNER: But anyway, they are the I
15	would say the nationally recognized experts in
16	sustainability, and they will be doing arguably 70
17	or 80 percent of the work related to the
18	sustainability.
19	And we're in many respects using the LPA
20	organization to manage that process and to
21	obviously take advantage of the fact we have a
22	continuing contract agreement that allows us to
23	append that work through one of our existing firms
24	versus go through that rigmarole of selection.

24

1	was literally thrown in our lap. It has to be
2	under grant by the end of this week literally
3	because it's the end of the fiscal year for for
4	the feds. And if it doesn't get under grant, then
5	there's no guarantee it will be moved forward in
6	the next fiscal year.
7	MR. GORMAN: I mean, I to me, it almost
8	looks like a study in the diversity of the
9	capabilities of the airport. I mean, that's one
10	of the facets of it.
11	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's an enormously
12	broad topic.
13	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It's going to be interesting
15	to see what real product comes out of it, frankly.
16	I don't mean that in a bad way.
17	MR. GORMAN: I know what you mean.
18	MR. WUELLNER: I just mean there's so much
19	debate, you know, so much public discussion
20	related to sustainability as a as a term. But
21	what does it mean when you get down, when rubbe
22	meets the road on the on an airport?

Whether or not the businesses can adapt to the

MR. GORMAN: Whether the population grows.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Are there other processes,
2	procedures? You know, we do a baseline in many
3	respects with the SPCC plan, the SWPP, general
4	environmental planning. You know, I mean, we've
5	done a lot of planning as we did the south
6	development to to be sure we're remaining not
7	only compatible, but that it's sustainable moving
8	forward.
9	A lot of our old stuff wouldn't meet any of
10	those definitions. So I look forward to kind of
11	seeing how we get the old more sustainable and
12	also to see I think independent comments on what
13	job we've done to this point in more recent
14	recent years.
15	MR. GORMAN: Is there anything the board can
16	do to help you adapt
17	MR. WUELLNER: I think
18	MR. GORMAN: that issue to
19	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think this process,
20	when you look at the the proposed agreement,
21	includes a number of meetings with with you
22	folks to help vet that process and help understand
23	what the goals are of the airport related to this,
24	because ultimately it's got if the Authority

1	of it, then it's just another document that
2	everybody spent a lot of money on that sits on a
3	shelf. There's no implementation to it. So it's
4	got to get billed into our projects, our capital
5	work, our daily maintenance, our every aspect
6	of it, to truly make it work.
7	MR. GORMAN: How as a board will we how as
8	a board will we participate in that? Workshops
9	MR. WUELLNER: There are a number there
10	are a number of briefings. There's at least
11	several workshops that are involved in the process
12	at least.
13	MR. GORMAN: Thank you.
14	MR. WUELLNER: I don't remember the number
15	off the top of my head, but there's several I
16	remember seeing.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: There's a very interesting
18	article in Florida Trend magazine on
19	sustainability and about the importance of the
20	Collins Center here. And it highlights Mr I
21	think his name's Harry Rosen from the Rosen
22	Shingle Creek Resort down in Orlando that owns
23	several resorts. The future is in sustainability
24	of plans, and I firmly stand behind this

I	it brings.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. This is this is more
3	than just looking at how we can save energy costs.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Uh-huh.
5	MR. WUELLNER: It's it's much broader.
6	MR. GEORGE: Well, in light of those comments
7	I feel very safe in recommending adoption, so I
8	make a motion we adopt this as presented.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I will second that.
10	MR. YOUMAN: Since since Buzz so highly
11	recognizes this and supports this, I support Buzz.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any further
13	board discussion?
14	(No further discussion.)
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor, say aye.
16	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
17	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
18	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
19	MR. WERTER: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye. All opposed?
21	(No opposition.)
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Motion passes. Move on to
23	housekeeping.
24	HOUSEKEEPING

1	under nousekeeping for you. So if you have public
2	comment.
3	PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Open it up for
5	public comment. Mr. Brunson, you have been so
6	patiently waiting.
7	MR. BRUNSON: Randy Brunson, and I promise l
8	won't keep you just but a second. I did want to
9	get on this soap box a little bit, and I think
10	Commissioner Sanchez was exactly right in that
11	tomorrow at 1:30, the discussion on impact fees is
12	very complicated.
13	The problem is that when the impact fees
14	started and I can preface these comments
15	because the economy is so bad, it affects the
16	airport. And with the down revenues and so,
17	but he's exactly right.
18	When Dr. Nichols was employed by the county
19	several years ago to talk about impact fees, he
20	did his work and showed how much money he could
21	recommend that they bring in. But in in that,
22	he said that impact fees are not the way to raise
23	money. He talked about transfer taxes, doc
24	stamps, and a lot of different things. But we're

1	And it's going to be complicated if all of
2	these developers that had the money and done the
3	work, it's going to be hard to go back and get the
4	money back. But there's got to be a way that we
5	get incentives that will benefit this county,
6	benefit the airport, to bring incentives to get
7	the level playing field. All surrounding counties
8	do not have impact fees. Duval never has had
9	impact fees.
10	So, we need to balance the playing field for
11	businesses to come in here. They're staying away
12	by the droves. And Buzz will remember several
13	years ago when we put them in our airplanes and
14	flew them around and did site evaluations. We're
15	not doing that now because they're not coming.
16	85 percent of ad valorem tax is paid by
17	residential, and that is not balanced, either.
18	We've got to get some businesses in here. And
19	when we get businesses, small clean industries,
20	medium industries, then the vacant stores and the
21	vacant Quik Piks or whatever you call them will
22	start filling up. We'll start getting more taxes.
23	We'll get more ad valorem tax. And we'll make
24	more more money will come into this county.

1	keep taiking to the county commissioners about now
2	to get an incentive for businesses to come in
3	here. And that's about all I have.
4	I would like to comment, and it's real hard
5	being a on the board, to when you guys are
6	talking about neat things and doing such a good
7	job, for me not to chime in, but I try to follow
8	the rules.
9	But I was happy to see that I can see at the
10	TPO meetings now that you're attending, that
11	Commissioner Phillip Mays is attending, and even
12	the city is attending now. So that's good for St.
13	Johns County. And the TPO meetings are getting a
14	little more because it goes awful slow, and you
15	don't get accomplished what you want to.
16	AMTRAK, somebody made the comment about
17	AMTRAK. Boy, that's a good thing. So keep up
18	that good work. Even the intergovernmental
19	relations, Buzz, the input you bring back, you
20	really know what the county's doing, and that's a
21	good thing to keep up. And that's all I had.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Randy. Reba
24	MS. LUDLOW: No comment.

1	MS. LUDLOW: Only in the essence of time,
2	believe me.
3	MR. MARTINELLI: Three quick comments because
4	I know the meeting dragged out.
5	First I'd like to say if any of you folks had
6	not have not read Kelly's editorial in the
7	Ponte Vedra Recorder, you should, because I think
8	it was right on target. Kudos. Really a
9	fantastic rebuttal, basically laying out the
10	facts, the true facts. So I think you did an
11	excellent job.
12	And again, if you guys haven't read it, you
13	should read it, because again, your chairman spoke
14	as an individual, not as she didn't speak for
15	the Airport Authority, she spoke as an individual
16	with good facts, all good facts, and I think it
17	was excellent. That's number one.
18	Number two, Ed, some years ago, we brought in
19	some kind of a monster machine that went down
20	Runway 6, and as it went down Runway 6, it ground
21	up what was there, it mixed it with new asphalt,
22	and it laid it all in one pass down there, and
23	golly, it was a monster-looking thing. Is that
24	the kind of thing you're talking about as a

1	MR. WUELLNER: It's a it's a different
2	methodology.
3	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.
4	MR. WUELLNER: There are problems with the
5	methodology that you described.
6	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I don't know
7	whether
8	MR. WUELLNER: But it ends up with a good
9	result.
10	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Good. That's
11	sufficient. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. Okay. Seeing
13	no further public comment, we'll open it up to
14	board comment. I'm sorry?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I did have one housekeeping.
16	I just want to remind everybody that the MS 150
17	event will be here again. And that's October 3rd
18	and 4th, that weekend. I think we just today sent
19	out notices to tenants reminding them of that
20	event and the closures that will occur for that
21	morning, or those couple of mornings morning
22	and then afternoon, I guess it is.
23	MR. YOUMAN: What does MS 150 stand for?
24	MR. WUELLNER: The Multiple Sclerosis 150

1	MR. GEORGE: It's a bike ride. 150 miles.
2	MR. WUELLNER: And it starts and ends here.
3	MR. WERTER: It starts here?
4	MR. WUELLNER: It starts here on Saturday
5	morning.
6	MR. GEORGE: They just had one in Atlanta
7	this weekend. Yeah.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Starts at like 5 they start
9	arriving, 3:30, 4 o'clock in the morning here.
10	They're all out of here by 8:30, 9 o'clock in the
11	morning. They return they stay overnight, most
12	of them, in Daytona. They ride back the next day
13	and get start in here anywhere from about
14	noontime on and are completely out of here by
15	5:00, 6:00 in the afternoon.
16	MR. COOPER: About how many?
17	MR. HARVEY: 2,000.
18	MR. WUELLNER: In the last couple of years,
19	it's now over 2,000 riders in this. It's a
20	it's quite the event. And they raise over a
21	million dollars a year for multiple sclerosis. I
22	did say that right?
23	MR. GEORGE: Is it a million just out of here

or a mille all over?

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: No, just this event.
2	MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. Because
3	MR. WUELLNER: It's their number one
4	MR. GEORGE: a friend of mine did it last
5	week in Atlanta.
6	MR. WUELLNER: It's their number one
7	fund-raiser.
8	MR. BURNETT: PGA Tour.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any other
10	housekeeping items? Any other housekeeping items?
11	MR. WUELLNER: No, ma'am. Thank you.
12	AUTHORITY MEMBER COMMENTS
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Let's move on to Jim,
14	Authority Member comments?
15	MR. WERTER: Nothing further.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Jack?
17	MR. GORMAN: Nothing. Just to put my little
18	agenda item next time. Just real short. I'll be
19	quick.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Buzz?
21	MR. GEORGE: Yes. I want to make sure you
22	said in the comments is when we would make the
23	recommendation, you know, that the incident
24	complaint and incident reporting options be

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: Kelly, your editorial, what was
- 3 the date of that and is the paper online so I can
- 4 get it?

- 5 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It does. And it was on
- 6 the 11th. September the 11th, it appeared.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 8 MR. YOUMAN: That's still on the news stands.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- MR. YOUMAN: That's a weekly newspaper.
- MR. WUELLNER: Until Friday. I think the new
- edition's Friday.
- MR. WERTER: Suzanne filled me in.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's also on online.
- MR. GEORGE: It's the Ponte Vedra --
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: Recorder.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. That's all I have.
- 19 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Carl?
- MR. YOUMAN: As I mentioned before, is this
- 21 the point to ask for inclusion of an agenda item
- for the PR committee?
- 23 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: You can make any
- 24 discussion you want right now.

1	make an agenda item out of the PR committee's PR
2	role in emphasizing the positives of the airport.
3	Just like Jim has said, it's been eight
4	months and I think the only way to maybe bring it
5	to the forefront is to request making it an agenda
6	item and working it through like we are with the
7	citizens committee activities.
8	MR. GEORGE: Is that a project update that
9	you want or you want an agenda item? Typically an
10	agenda says I'm bringing this up and we're going
11	to here's the conclusion to that.
12	MR. WERTER: Well, if I may. If I may.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Let's not
14	MR. WERTER: Bryan's been telling me that
15	there's other stuff being done, so it would be
16	Carl, it would be actually a better briefing as to
17	what's going on with the public relations
18	committee. Is there something other than the
19	incident reporting system that's being worked on?
20	MR. YOUMAN: Can we do this? Have an update
21	of the PR activities
22	MR. GEORGE: That's good.
23	MR. YOUMAN: PR committee's activities at
24	each meeting and where they stand on different

1	brought to a conclusion?
2	MR. GEORGE: I think that's an outstanding
3	idea. Have it as one of the project updates, and
4	every month we go through where we stand, just
5	like construction, you know, a completion date and
6	the like.
7	MR. YOUMAN: That's what I'm getting at.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Have it
9	MR. GEORGE: I think it's a good idea.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Have it listed as under
11	community relations?
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Yeah.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Or marketing and public
14	relations, which?
15	MR. YOUMAN: I think marketing and public
16	relations myself.
17	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
18	MR. YOUMAN: Because we're doing it's a
19	marketing job which involves public relations.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: But we don't pay anybody
21	to do it.
22	MR. MARTINELLI: That's why you're getting
23	free service here.
24	MR. GEORGE: Why don't we get LPA to help.

24

1	MR. GORMAN: I knew that was coming.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We appreciate the
3	volunteer work that goes into that, truly truly
4	from you, Buzz, and from the people that you work
5	with.
6	MR. GEORGE: There's a lot of people that are
7	trying very hard to get something completed, you
8	know, and get it on the shelf. So they're working
9	on it. But I think having it as a monthly, you
10	know, project update, you know, within marketing
11	and PR is great.
12	MR. WERTER: I would recommend that we hold
13	that update over at Reba's hangar after hours like
14	usual and
15	MS. LUDLOW: I think that's a great idea.
16	Just kidding.
17	MR. GEORGE: Then the public can't get into
18	the gate and then you're going to have
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Let me let me stifle
20	you guys now.
21	MS. LUDLOW: That is the PR committee.
22	MR. YOUMAN: Do we have to vote on that?
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: No, we it's not a

voteable thing. We can't do any voting now. It's

1	MR. WUELLNER: We'll include it.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: for the for it to be
3	included.
4	MR. WUELLNER: We'll include it.
5	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
6	MR. GEORGE: Go ahead.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: First of all, thank you,
8	Bryan, Buzz, Reba, and Vic for all the work that
9	you do with the PR and constantly working on that.
10	I know that there's different projects, as Carl
11	said, and Jim, beyond just the noise group that
12	you guys are working on, and I appreciate your
13	diligent work with that truly.
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, Vic and Reba are
15	much more heavily involved than I am.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I appreciate everybody's
17	work on that.
18	MS. LUDLOW: Thanks.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: A lot of people don't
20	realize how much actual volunteer hours go into a
21	lot of the things that the airport does. Not only
22	staff time with Bryan and Ed, but also and
23	Kevin, and Cindy and Donna and the others, but

also the volunteer hours that go into that.

1	reduction in fuel.
2	MR. MARTINELLI: Could I give just Carl a
3	quick update since you're looking for an update?
4	And oh, okay.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It's a most unusual
6	meeting.
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you, Madam Chair, for
8	allowing me to do this. But, Carl, I know that
9	you're trying to find out where we are. And we
10	really looked at this thing, and we are this close
11	to I think a dynamo presentation, really getting
12	at the heart of what this airport's all about.
13	And we are that close.
14	And so, as a matter of fact, we're having a
15	PR committee meeting tomorrow at 3 o'clock. And
16	probably the next step after that, as I recall
17	from our time line, Bryan, is we will probably be
18	making some kind of a presentation to you folks.
19	Just so you know where we are. We consider this
20	one of the one of the basic pillars of this
21	airport. So, we are making progress.
22	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you, very much.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: The next thing I wanted to

say is that I -- I read your letter to the editor,

1	appreciated that.
2	MR. GEORGE: Good.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And I appreciated the
4	clarification you made with that.
5	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And any time anything like
7	that happens, I think it would be beneficial for
8	the other board members to to be aware of it.
9	I did in my letter to the editor in the Ponte
10	Vedra Recorder invite people to contact all all
11	five of us with their concerns, as far as the
12	airport, and I know that that's something you guys
13	are all open to, but I do want to let you know
14	that I put that out there because sometimes I
15	think people forget that that's what we're here
16	for. And I'd much rather them contact us than to
17	put out misinformation in the community. And with
18	that, we will adjourn the meeting. Thank you.
19	MR. GEORGE: The next meeting is?
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: The next meeting is on
21	September 28.
22	(Meeting adjourned at 6:49 p.m.)
23	
24	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 28th day of September, 2009.
13	
14	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	