1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	Special Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Tuesday, November 30, 2010
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 4:31 p.m.
7	*******
	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
8	WAYNE GEORGE
	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
9	KELLY BARRERA, Chairman
	CARL YOUMAN
10	JAMES WERTER, Secretary-Treasurer
11	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
12	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
13	BOARD MEMBERS ELECT:
14	ROBERT COX
	JOSEPH CIRIELLO
15	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, St. Johns Law Group, 509
18	Anastasia Boulevard, St. Augustine, FL, 32080, Attorney for Airport Authority.
19	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
20	*******
21	
22	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR St. Augustine Court Reporters
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	(904) 825-0570

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I'd like to go ahead and
3	call the meeting of the St. Augustine-St. Johns
4	County Airport Authority into session. Let's all
5	rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. We'd like to go
8	ahead and move into our agenda item, which is the
9	property acquisition. And with that, Ed, would you
10	like to go ahead and move through the slides?
11	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Let's kind of move
12	through. There's a piece of property encompassing
13	about 7.1 acres of ground. It's located on U.S. 1.
14	It is immediately south and includes some frontage
15	on Indian Bend at the corner of U.S. 1. It also
16	includes a significant amount of U.S. 1 frontage.
17	It's a compilation of a number of properties.
18	I'm not sure of the total as it goes, but there's a
19	number of properties that made up the 7.1 acres
20	over time. They were acquired, accumulated, and
21	ultimately taken to the county, enjoined as to one
22	project, and rezoned as commerce commercial
23	property. I'm not sure of the detailed
24	classification under the commercial zoning, but it

1	About I want to say it was June of July, was
2	contacted by by Buzz George. Say he
3	indicated to me that he had been contacted by an
4	even more prior Airport Authority member, that he
5	had seen that piece of property, it intrigued him
6	based on the name that was in a foreclosure with
7	St. Johns County and being advertised for
8	liquidation, I guess is a good way to say it. So
9	he asked me about it. I said, you know, I
10	didn't wasn't aware it had gotten to the point
11	they were that property's being foreclosed on.
12	We had met many times with the developer, and
13	I can share with you that Kelly and I have met with
14	that particular developer group several times over
15	the last, what, four years three or four years,
16	anyway, trying to find projects or things that
17	might obviously not be in conflict to the airport,
18	but might benefit the airport as well, and not
19	really ever getting anywhere in particular.
20	Following through, the bank Synovus Bank
21	ended up foreclosing on the property. So the it
22	was foreclosed on a debt of approximately \$2.3
23	million that was owed on the property for to
24	Synovus by the owners. That transaction, I believe

1	or August probably August, if my memory's
2	correct.
3	We entered into a conversation, and by "we" I
4	mean myself and Doug Burnett, engaged Synovus about
5	the property itself, what they were looking to get
6	out of it and the like. At the time, Synovus
7	indicated the value of the property, they were, you
8	know, maybe motivated down to a \$1.7, \$1.8 million
9	kind of number. After all, they had just eaten
10	\$2.3 million in their mind. We we basically
11	told them it was a little rich for our blood, that
12	was beyond what we would be interested in doing.
13	And we kept a line of communication open, but
14	really stopped, you know, any earnest effort.
15	About that time also, we had asked our one
16	of the appraisers we use, which is Weigel-Veasey
17	out of Jacksonville, to take a look at the
18	property, give us some idea of what the value is,
19	so that in the event later on there was a
20	something could be done, we'd have some idea what
21	the what an appraised value would be that would
22	satisfy FDOT.
23	Fast forward of probably a month or two and
24	the lender was much more motivated to get rid of

24

1	that they were in a fire sale mode and would be
2	very interested to to get rid of this piece of
3	property and get it off their books.
4	There's nothing moving in that section of
5	U.S. 1. There are in fact, there are probably
6	four or five other businesses between the airport
7	administration office and this particular piece of
8	property that are available right now to purchase.
9	And no movement's occurred that I'm aware of all
10	summer and into this time of year.
11	Anyway, after Doug hung up with the gentleman
12	at Synovus, he contacted me. We had a conversation
13	about what the relative what would be an
14	appropriate offer and he says, well, he says, I
15	think they're motivated and suggested an offer
16	about \$500,000 for the property.
17	Now, realizing that's, you know, way low of
18	what it appraised at and also what it was
19	foreclosed for. So we didn't really expect it to
20	go at that kind of number, but hey, it started a
21	more gave them an idea of the range we're in
22	versus where they were trying to trying to sell
23	it. Anyway, they came down dramatically.

The next response I got out of them was that

1	their bottom line is usually about 40 percent of
2	what they foreclose the property, which amounted to
3	approximately \$880,000. So suddenly we have a
4	range there between \$5- and \$880- that became I
5	would say plausible or at least rational to pursue
6	conversations.
7	We spoke with Florida DOT about their support,
8	meaning would they allow that property to be used
9	under a current grant we have with them that still
10	had a balance in it. They were supportive of that.
11	We we in fact met for a couple of hours and
12	roadmapped some some additional land money that
13	will be available later on, meaning next summer and
14	beyond. Doesn't impact this particular sale.
15	We after they indicated an \$880-, I think
16	I we brought it up about \$50,000. They ran it
17	all the way up the flag pole, back down. That's
18	when the \$880- number kind of got kicked around.
19	He countered again at about I think it was
20	\$700,000. We were still at \$550- at the time. I
21	think we were at \$6
22	We basically said, all right, we'll give you
23	\$650- and we'll consider it done, you know, subject
24	to your ratification of that. They responded

24

1	able to close it within a couple of weeks' time.
2	We didn't see that being a problem, but obviously
3	that was going to occur before the next Authority
4	meeting. So that's why we're here today, is to
5	consider the 7 7.1 acres at \$650,000.
6	We have and I'll talk through the terms of
7	this in a second, but essentially we have \$250,000
8	of approximately, maybe as much as \$260,000 of
9	match money from Florida DOT, meaning the Airport
10	Authority's out of pocket on this would be about
11	\$400,000. So it's not a true 50/50, although the
12	grant allows for that. It does not have the
13	revenue or the grant funds available to get it to a
14	true 50/50 arrangement. But we can we can bill
15	it for the total of \$259,000 reimbursable from DOT.
16	That would that would basically fill the
17	\$650,000 number.
18	There are a myriad of uses for the project
19	or, excuse me, for the property over time. Bryan
20	and I over the years have had a number of
21	conversations with hotel developers. You know, I
22	know Mr. Ciriello goes back to some of that. In
23	fact, I think it was one of his suggestions many

many years ago, about the possibility of that.

1	car racinty location. It could be developed in
2	any aviation or nonaviation use into the future.
3	Keeping in mind it does have a high percentage of
4	frontage. I mean, it's a significant U.S. 1
5	frontage kind of parcel. So it's likely to have a
6	high likelihood of return on investment to the
7	Airport Authority over time.
8	And also, hotel developments that we've talked
9	to could offer, in addition to a ground lease kind
10	of arrangement, the Authority could be in a
11	position to get a piece of the action related to
12	this without the influx any input of capital
13	into the project, meaning the developer would
14	construct the the hotel on airport property, pay
15	a minimal ground lease, and then the Authority
16	could share also in the profits of the hotel
17	itself. That's down the road, but those kind of
18	agreements do exist. I would we already had a
19	pretty sound development plan for a rental car
20	facilities should that need re re recur, I
21	should say.
22	So with that, I want to orient you, make sure
23	you're completely clear on where the piece of
24	property is. I added a couple of slides in

24

one, Cindy.

1	completely helps. But it's along U.S. 1. Where
2	the we still don't have a laser pointer out
3	here, but let me walk up here because I do have
4	that ability.
5	U.S. 1 is right runs right down here. It's
6	about 7.1 acres. The back side of here is Casa
7	Cola. It's not Lasa Cola as it's shown here. The
8	next slide, if I can advance it. When Cindy gets
9	back here, we'll pop it ahead one more.
10	All right. This kind of orients you of a
11	portion of the piece of property as it relates to
12	the current airport. Also remind you all the gray
13	stuff is already owned by the Airport Authority.
14	All of the pink stuff is kind of where you're
15	sitting now, this whole development complex back
16	here. So you can see it it's proximate here.
17	The entrance to this conference center is
18	approximately in this location as I'm pointing
19	here. So it's directly across the street from the
20	entrance road. It adjoins adjoins airport.
21	I I was unable because of the size of the
22	drawing obviously to show you the entirety of the
23	parcel, but you get kind of get the idea. Next

1	photograph we had of the area and you can see the
2	conference center, the development, the T-hangars,
3	and where the parcel kind of sits right here
4	adjacent to it. It's actually just west of the
5	conference center, but just south of existing
6	ownership here.
7	The circles, I just threw them on here to help
8	you understand, we don't own those pieces yet, but
9	pretty much everything else you're seeing in the
10	foreground here is already owned by the airport.
11	So's there's I think it's a grand total of four
12	residents that sit in these three circles. There's
13	one here, two here, one here. And that's that's
14	largely it in terms of what we don't own back in
15	that 100 block of Araquay, Estrella, and Indian
16	Bend Roads. So it does and it bolts right up if
17	you will to the back side of Indian Bend lots that
18	we own.
19	So reality is that parcel up there could be
20	joined together, if we found it necessary in the
21	future, to create maybe as much as 12 to 15 acres
22	of development property that's all contiguous into
23	the future. That's that's down the road, but
24	it you know, it does have a bigger property

1	more time.
2	Again, it's the same kind of drawing. It
3	this is the perimeter. Airport property you can
4	see picks up right behind it. And there are some
5	outparcels that sit down here and they include that
6	little sandwich shop restaurant and the Parts
7	Direct store that's been vacant for a while. Those
8	are kind of get to the corner of North
9	Boulevard. Other than that, the parcel behind it
10	and everything all the way up to Indian Bend is
11	essentially in that that 7.1 acres.
12	So it's a nice there's a building on the
13	property that looks a lot like an old railroad
14	station. There's another building back there, too.
15	But people seem to recognize that building when I
16	describe it as that sits right on that property
17	as a part of it. And there's some little cabin
18	kind of units
19	MR. YOUMAN: On the corner.
20	MR. WUELLNER: on the corner of Indian Bend
21	and U.S. 1. And that those are in that
22	MR. YOUMAN: Are they occupied? Can I ask
23	MR. WUELLNER: I really don't know if they're
24	occupied, to be that was originally a

1	down within the last year.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Jacalone yeah. Jacalone,
3	Mark Jacalone and his family owned that little
4	house thing there on a couple of lots at one time.
5	It's like an apartment sort of setup. They I
6	think that all got joined together as a part of
7	what they did last year in the county rezoning.
8	Yeah?
9	MR. WHITEMAN: I just noted in the contract,
10	and this was language that they wanted, they
11	basically say that the seller acquired title
12	property through foreclosure. Seller's best
13	knowledge and belief the property which included
14	rental units is now vacant and unoccupied.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Thanks. Those of you
16	that haven't met John, John Whiteman works with
17	Doug. So if you he's their real estate guy, so
18	that's why he's here today.
19	I think that's it for the otherwise, it's
20	just the summary of stuff I've already mentioned to
21	you. So obviously I think it's it's a piece of
22	property that's worth considering. I think we've
23	got a you know, you're looking at a number I

was told I probably shouldn't reveal what the

1	earlier slide version that went out probably had
2	that in there. That is protected information and I
3	feel better now telling you what the number is
4	because I actually have a signed document. They
5	were our attorneys were looking out for our
6	interest ahead of that, that once they saw the
7	appraisal perhaps, you know, there could be some
8	reneging on it, but
9	MR. WHITEMAN: You don't really have a
10	contract until you sign it.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Till I sign it, but it's
12	they've at least executed something that is now
13	subject to our ratification, not theirs. So
14	suffice it to say the appraisal is multiples of
15	what we have offered on the property, and as a
16	result, I think it's an excellent deal.
17	It's unfortunately taking advantage of a very
18	difficult economic time, but for once we're on the
19	winning side potentially of that versus the losing
20	side. And I would recommend that the Authority
21	approve the acquisition of that at \$650,000.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Let's open it up for public
23	comment. Do we have any public comment on this
24	agenda item?

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: No public comment. We'll
2	open it up for board comment. Yes, Carl?
3	MR. YOUMAN: I drove around that property
4	today. On the back side, I couldn't tell where,
5	you know, the actual point comes into Casa Cola,
6	but are there any homes back this there?
7	MR. WUELLNER: No.
8	MR. YOUMAN: And that street looks like it's
9	First Street.
10	MR. WUELLNER: It is.
11	MR. YOUMAN: And it goes all the way through
12	the property. Is that titled to be part of the
13	property or does that have does that street have
14	to stay there?
15	MR. WUELLNER: The street can be abandoned.
16	MR. YOUMAN: Okay.
17	MR. WUELLNER: The street's established by
18	easement and the county could release well, just
19	like they have all of the the original streets
20	back in this neighborhood, once they cease to be
21	needed. And it may have been honestly and we
22	haven't looked at the document, that may have
23	already been accomplished as a part of the rezoning
24	effort that was done by the previous owner.

1	MR. WUELLNER: So it may already be released.
2	MR. WHITEMAN: I don't know. I haven't
3	MR. WUELLNER: It could be.
4	MR. WHITEMAN: As I indicated to you, I just
5	got this this was just e-mailed to me right
6	before I left the office. And this is the title
7	report that shows all the covenants and
8	restrictions and on the properties.
9	And this was put together it appears that
10	the title company is showing it at 10 separate
11	parcels of property that have all been combined.
12	So it may be in there. I'll look for that. But
13	generally, if property owners on both sides of a
14	road that is that is not providing access to
15	somebody else
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
17	MR. WHITEMAN: petition the county to close
18	the road and vacate the road, they will generally
19	do it.
20	MR. YOUMAN: Okay. One other question. On
21	the on this this slide here, there's a white
22	line that comes between the green and our parcel
23	there. Does that have any significance of any

kind? Is there a reason for that?

18

24

1	drainage easement and it's just not owned directly
2	by us; it's owned by the county. It's not an
3	obstacle. It's just shown as an easement.
4	MR. YOUMAN: Okay.
5	MR. WERTER: Productivity-wise, I know that
6	Andre how does Andre say his last name? You
7	know City Helicopters?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Old City?
9	MR. WERTER: Yeah, I know. Gets you, doesn't
10	it? Was once interested in a a stand-alone
11	operation. Has he ever gotten back to you with any
12	renewed interest in that department?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we've honestly we've
14	kept him at kind of arm's length for a while
15	waiting on something that we could develop that
16	would be suitable for helicopter operations, that
17	provided him enough U.S. 1 frontage.

MR. WUELLNER: At first blush, the south area
sounds like a great idea until you start running
that helicopter 45 times a day over the neighbors.

MR. WERTER: Yeah.

MR. WUELLNER: So we -- we've kind of kept him

from getting back into this part of the airport.

MR. WERTER: Uh-huh.

24

1	what are the equivalents, I think we did this three
2	or four years ago in a discussion phase, Hangars A,
3	B, C, D, E and F, is essentially redevelop that at
4	some point into a more commercial commercial
5	area. That provides the U.S. 1 frontage and
6	provides the barrier and the buffer kind of
7	location.
8	MR. WERTER: Continuing along those kind of
9	lines.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
11	MR. WERTER: The hotel business with occupancy
12	rates and things like that, you know, I kind of
13	wonder about in this economy.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
15	MR. WERTER: Even in the over the next five
16	to ten years. But on the other hand, with the
17	prospect of bringing in, you know, additional
18	commercial
19	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
20	MR. WERTER: service, the the car rental
21	complex, which would also free up those offices in
22	the lobby for further tenants there is a is a

good alternative as well. What I'm thinking is in

general, how fast can we turn this property around

1	MR. WUELLNER: Well, in this economy, it's
2	kind of tough to predict.
3	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
4	MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I would be optimistic
5	there's some rental potential within the next year
6	to 18 months, even if it's small scale and if only
7	a part of it and, you know, it starts generating.
8	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
9	MR. WUELLNER: The beauty of the of the
10	value of this property is you you've cut the ROI
11	expectation from, you know, \$250,000 a year kind of
12	number down to the vicinity of \$60,000 a year.
13	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
14	MR. WUELLNER: You know, it's much more
15	attainable and we'll be able to get there much
16	quicker.
17	MR. WERTER: No, with that approach in mind,
18	you can't turn down the deal, you know? It's
19	just it's a long-term income producer.
20	MR. WUELLNER: You're never going to get it
21	for these kind of numbers
22	MR. YOUMAN: It's a phenomenal opportunity
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe?

MR. YOUMAN: -- because the real estate

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Did you have a comment,
2	Joe?
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. About these possible
4	uses, Mr. Ed mentioned the hotel. Way back when,
5	if I remember correctly, some teenage abuse outfit
6	wanted to build a complex over by Gun Club Road and
7	we didn't particularly like that idea. And I think
8	way back then I suggested why not put a motel or
9	something, send out letters to see if somebody
10	would be interested in building it, you know.
11	Because then I can't remember his name from
12	Galaxy that comes to the meeting all the time.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Michael Slingluff.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I asked him if there's
15	many in a year's time corporate pilots who stay
16	here overnight. You know, rather than just fly in,
17	gas up and leave. And he said about 1400 a people
18	a year stay overnight. And so he thought that
19	idea you know, because I thought that would be a
20	good idea.
21	Plus the overflow on golfing units,
22	Gator/Bulldog game, racing down at Daytona, the
23	area up here gets an overflow for motels, so this
24	motel would be used throughout the year. I didn't

1	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
2	MR. CIRIELLO: be hardly ever used. I
3	thought it would be a good idea. But so that
4	property up by Gun Club, do you have any reasons or
5	any ideas of using that?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it was it was
7	originally a property that that staff discussed
8	with the same entities that were interested in a
9	hotel.
10	But we got to a point where it's prime time in
11	terms of market valuations when the discussions
12	were going on and you were looking at a seven-acre
13	parcel up there that was \$2.7 million I'm sorry,
14	it's a five-acre parcel that was I think it is
15	seven, now that I say it but anyway, about \$2.7
16	million. And when you did the ROI math and the
17	cost the developer had to get the site make it a
18	hotel usable kind of property, it just it
19	doesn't work because of the the land valuation.
20	Of course he kept pressing us, well, you know,
21	down in South Florida, they're just getting you
22	know, it's a much smaller number they're trying to
23	throw at us. I said, well, I don't know what their
24	property values are and I couldn't tell you whether

1	the property. All I know is our our obligations
2	are to get an ROI on it that was acceptable by
3	policy. So it kind of eventually eroded the
4	discussions because the math just doesn't work at
5	the end of the day.
6	Plus, in that north piece that you're
7	you're remembering, at the time there were active
8	discussions about to include how we were working
9	with Florida East Coast Railroad and Grumman and
10	others about the necessity or possible necessity of
11	extending the runway. This goes back five years
12	ago, four or five years ago now.
13	But when that was actively being discussed and
14	all of that stuff was on the table, the approach
15	zones and safety areas associated with the runway
16	as it would be extended significantly cut the
17	amount of property that could be put into that
18	development anyway down. That's why I'm saying now
19	it's I think it put it down to about four, four
20	and a half acres, the total property, which from
21	their point of view was minimally acceptable for a
22	hotel development.
23	So it just suffice to it say we're not
24	currently doing anything with it. The market is

24

1	benefit right now is the valuation came down, which
2	is both a plus and a negative. But the valuations
3	are down such that you may have a value that more
4	neatly fits in a business plan of a private
5	developer. But as as things are right now, I
6	don't see anybody, you know, knocking at the door
7	to try and do something.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. One other thought. You
9	mentioned about consolidated car rental in this
10	particular area. If I'm not mistaken, your
11	multimodal unit that you people were pushing for
12	was going to have that over there, too. So are you
13	giving up on that multimodal center, which
14	MR. WUELLNER: The multimodal center that
15	everybody's talk that, you know, I know your
16	remembrance of is different than the multimodal
17	center we've been talking about in the last year in
18	terms of not only where it is, but the scope of
19	that. So it may and we can go we can go do
20	that some other time, but
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
22	MR. WUELLNER: suffice it to say that this
23	could still augment multimodal dramatically.

Primarily because it can pull in -- in the concept

- 1 congestion around airline and multimodal use out of
- 2 the terminal area and locate it remotely as many
- 3 airports are doing now. It simplifies the
- 4 operation of rental cars. It tends to be a -- a
- 5 joy.
- 6 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I'm done.
- 7 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Bob?
- 8 MR. COX: A couple of comments directed, if
- 9 you would. The parcels, you said there are ten
- parcels now, separate parcels? Has the county
- 11 combined that into one parcel or just the bank is
- looking at it as one parcel?
- MR. WHITEMAN: Yeah, I'm not certain what the
- county has done.
- MR. COX: Okay.
- MR. WHITEMAN: These were parcels that were
- 17 combined in the mortgage.
- 18 MR. COX: Right. Okay.
- MR. WHITEMAN: So they were -- they could be
- that the zoning has been changed on all these
- 21 parcels.
- MR. COX: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: I think it has.
- MR. WHITEMAN: But -- but they're still

24

1	MR. COX: Which goes into my next question.
2	So are we will we be looking at combining it to
3	be one I mean, the tax benefit, I don't know
4	which way it will be best, but I mean, probably in
5	one parcel, is that what we're intending
6	MR. WUELLNER: Well, for our purposes, we
7	can we can fairly quickly and without a lot
8	any real fanfare just get it zoned airport and be
9	done with it, and then that allows a myriad of land
10	uses.
11	MR. COX: I think it's going to be a
12	tremendous asset.
13	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's already been done
14	by the developer back six months, maybe a year
15	ago
16	MR. COX: Okay.
17	MR. WUELLNER: was completely rezoned as
18	commercial highway frontage. But what I I'm not
19	sure and John's not sure of is whether they
20	combined them and made them a single parcel I.D.
21	number
22	MR. COX: Right.
23	MR. WUELLNER: or simply zoned multiple

parcels so they keep their I.D. numbers of ten

- 1 project. We don't know.
- 2 MR. COX: And the second part of that question
- 3 is will we be able or do we want to go to the
- 4 county and say, we bought this for X price, we need
- 5 to be taxed on that price as opposed to the --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: As long as the property remains
- 7 unoccupied right now, it is tax exempt.
- 8 MR. COX: Okay. Good. And I take it --
- 9 MR. GEORGE: And there's no buildings on that
- or rent, so that's tax exempt --
- MR. WUELLNER: But when you do rent -- just to
- be clear, when it is rented, it becomes the
- tenant's obligation, not the airport's obligation
- relative to tax.
- 15 CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Correct.
- MR. COX: And I'm assuming that all the title
- work's been done and that all of the parcels
- 18 have -- were --
- MR. WHITEMAN: That's what I -- that's what I
- just got. This is the title work, and I haven't
- 21 had a chance -- I literally -- it literally came by
- e-mail while I was getting ready to walk out the
- door to come here.
- MR. COX: We won't -- with 10 parcels, there's

1	out there. I'm just saying we're protected that
2	way, I hope.
3	MR. WUELLNER: It is being looked at and
4	certainly would be accomplished before we ever
5	closed.
6	MR. WHITEMAN: And and the contract
7	provides for a closing on December the 10th
8	MR. COX: Right.
9	MR. WHITEMAN: because the bank was
10	interested in having a quick closing. They want to
11	get this off their books as quickly as possible.
12	And the inspection period in which you can cancel
13	the contract is until 5 o'clock on the 9th of
14	December, the day before closing.
15	MR. COX: That's a lot of title work for 10
16	days.
17	MR. WHITEMAN: Oh.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Not for this guy.
19	MR. COX: Okay. Good. Thank you very much.
20	I think it would be a great asset for the airport.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
22	MR. YOUMAN: The buildings, will they have to
23	be torn down, or can they stay like they are and

just fall down eventually?

1	state where they ie an eye sore and the county
2	begins to violate us through code enforcement, they
3	can stay the way they are.
4	There may be future uses for them. An example
5	was the consolidated car rental kind of mentality,
6	originally we were building a building you know,
7	those of you that go back that far in those
8	projects. We were building a building and all
9	that.
10	It's possible that the rail station kind of
11	looking building could serve as that building we
12	were building. We have not done that analysis to
13	see if it makes sense. But the option's on the
14	table and it could be, you know, the rest of it be
15	parking lot related to to rental cars. That's
16	just me saying the possibility exists. I don't
17	know, you know, that it ultimately makes financial
18	sense, but
19	MR. YOUMAN: If it's developed, would the
20	person who's doing the developing be responsible
21	for tearing the buildings down, or would the
22	airport?
23	MR. WUELLNER: Ordinarily. Ordinarily would
24	be just a cost of construction.

1	MR.	YOUMAN:	Okay.	And on	e last	question.

- Where it says available here, does that mean it's
- available for purchase at this time? Right here on
- 4 this.
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, those are available right
- 6 now.
- 7 MR. YOUMAN: At super discount rates?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: I don't know. The one's been
- 9 at -- that Parts Direct is the two that are kind of
- not U.S. 1. One's at the corner --
- 11 MR. YOUMAN: Yeah.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- and behind it, which they
- use as drainage retention if my memory's correct.
- MR. YOUMAN: Yeah, because it's a pizza place,
- a parts place and --
- MR. WUELLNER: And a drainage --
- MR. WERTER: And I can guarantee you next
- year, they'll be something else. Those are kiss of
- death locations that never succeeded at anything.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, they've never been able
- 21 to find a tenant nor have they been able to sell it
- at that location. It's been vacant for -- two
- years? Probably close to two years if it's not.
- And the pizza place there -- well, it's been pizza.

24

1	MR. YOUMAN: It's now a new pizza place.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And they don't you
3	know, they last typically a six-month lease and
4	they're gone.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Buzz?
6	MR. GEORGE: I think that we're if you look
7	at the holdings that we have in the industrial
8	park, we haven't done anything with it. The county
9	didn't jump on it and say that's where we want to
10	put the industrial park. But if we want to
11	generate some more revenue, operating revenue
12	coming in, we need to do something with that.
13	If Ed and I have talked about the
14	possibility of and I'm taking numbers out of the
15	air of offering three parcels for a hundred
16	bucks a year lease for a hundred years to get
17	somebody in there, because activity breeds more
18	activity. And if we had rental cars on the on
19	U.S. 1, if we had a small hotel there, that would
20	be another reason for those people to think of
21	coming in. There's no hotels up by Palencia or
22	anything. This would be the closest.
23	So I think it's a good deal. It might take us

a while to start getting some revenue off of it,

1	the master plan thinking of, you know, increasing
2	operating revenue by making it more conducive for
3	this end of town.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Especially when you can
5	purchase it at this kind of price.
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I'd like to make a motion
7	that we go ahead and purchase the property for
8	\$650,000 and pay for the closing costs.
9	MR. YOUMAN: I second.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All any more board
11	discussion on the matter?
12	(None.)
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor, aye?
14	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
15	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
16	MR. WERTER: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye. Motion passes
18	unanimously.
19	MR. WUELLNER: All right. That concludes the
20	agenda items.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And that concludes the
22	meeting of the St. Augustine-St. Johns County
23	Airport Authority.
24	(Meeting adjourned at 4:31 p.m.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically report
8	the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a
9	true record of my stenographic notes.
10	
11	Dated this 5th day of December, 2010.
12	
13	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	