1	ST. AUGUSTINE -	ST. JOHNS	COUNTY	AIRPORT	AUTHORITY
---	-----------------	-----------	--------	---------	-----------

2	First Public Hear	ing on the	
2		10.1	

- 3 2005-2006 Annual Budget
- 4 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
- 5 St. Augustine, Florida
- 6 on Monday, September 12, 2005
- 7 from 5:01 p.m. to 6:19 p.m.

8 ********

9 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

- 10 BOB COX, Secretary-Treasurer, Acting Chairman RANDY BRUNSON
- 11 JOHN "JACK" GORMAN SUZANNE GREEN

12

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

13

WAYNE GEORGE, Chairman

14

15

ALSO PRESENT:

16

- DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
- 17 Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
- FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.

EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.

20	
21	LANET M DEAGON DDD DMD CDD
22	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR St. Augustine Court Reporters
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	(904) 825-0570
25	
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005 2
1	I N D E X
2	PAGE
3	1. CALL TO ORDER 3
4	2. OPENING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN3
5	3. PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET - STAFF 3
6	4. DISCUSSION OF BUDGET BY AUTHORITY 20
7	5. PUBLIC COMMENT ON BUDGET29
8	6. DISCUSSION OF MILLAGE RATE BY AUTHORITY 69
9	7. PUBLIC COMMENT ON MILLAGE RATE73
10	8. TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF BUDGET & MILLAGE RATE 73
11	9. FINAL BUDGET HEARING - SEPT. 19 @ 5:01 P.M. 75
12	10. FINAL COMMENTS76

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

PROCEEDINGS

CALL TO ORDER AND REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN

- CHAIRMAN COX: Good evening. We're going to
- call to order, please, the first public hearing of
- the 05-06 annual budget meeting. And this is

6	remarks by myself, that this as I say, we're
7	just going to discuss the annual budget. This is
8	the first meeting. And why don't we all stand and
9	say the Pledge of Allegiance. Go ahead. We have
10	enough people here.
11	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
12	CHAIRMAN COX: We'll move right into the
13	presentation, the proposed budget by Staff.
14	3 PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET BY STAFF
15	MR. WUELLNER: Okey-doke. We've provided you
16	some copies and the public some copies of both the
17	latest and greatest revision of the budget, as
18	well as a copy of today's agenda, so I'm going to
19	go ahead and and pull this one down. And we'll
20	go ahead and get a look at the small version.
21	And we'll start here in the summary.
22	As kind of is our our custom, we'll
23	follow follow the order of the of the
24	document. But this is the summary. I'll take it
25	to whatever level of detail y'all want. The

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	board's been through this several times. So,
2	you you kind of direct me as we go as to what
3	level of of interest you might have on the
4	specific details or areas of concern you might
5	have.
6	We took a hard look at it from Staff and
7	working with the Chairman, and a number of you got
8	with me and interjected comments into the process,
9	and concerns. And and obviously, we we
10	worked tried to work with all of you
11	individually to address the general concerns.
12	Of course, we knew the uneasy feeling we all
13	had looking at holding the millage rate moving
14	into next year. We took another hard look at how
15	to do that with just taking the rolled-back rate.
16	A couple of things developed in in
17	readdressing the expenditures for the year, and
18	looking at the overall ad valorem projections for
19	next year, and we were able to determine that
20	actually, it's if if we had a sound plan

- 21 in place for the original taking the rolled-back
- rate methodology we were looking at, and -- and
- 23 doing that for the next six-year period, at which
- 24 point the financial forecasting we've done would
- allow us to quickly and instantly, if you will,

eliminate a requirement for ad valorem moving into
the foreseeable future, if not forever.
That still works very well. It's actually
would leave us in a better financial position than
not taking the rolled-back rate, in other words,
holding the millage, and shortening the process by
one year.
It's it's actually to the Authority's
benefit from a from primary overall revenue and
reserves at the point of getting off tax rolls, to
just stick with the plan as it was developed
and and hold the six-year period and continue
to take the rolled-back rate from this point

14	forward.
15	With that, the rolled-back rate would to
16	.2055. That would be the new rolled-back rate if
17	we were to do that, which is certainly down from
18	last year's .2356 rate. Let me walk through the
19	revenues before we get into any substantive
20	discussions about the the millage itself.
21	We did a few adjustments in this year's
22	budget as it's presented and then also
23	incorporated those into the to the five-year,
24	or into the forecasting model, so that we're
25	consistent across the model and the individual

- 1 single-year budgets.
- 2 Last year, we -- or going into this year, you
- 3 can appreciate that we've reduced by more than
- 4 half the total amount of revenue projected from
- 5 the rental of homes. We have -- we have largely
- 6 eliminated a significant number of homes from our

7	inventory. We're down to just nine homes in
8	inventory. And that would generate about \$64,000
9	in in annual rent.
10	Hangar rentals, you see that's about
11	\$233,000. Conventional hangars generate another
12	\$322 almost \$223,000 (sic) a year.
13	Commercial leases are up to \$575,000. Other
14	lease revenues, which are just basically some
15	miscellaneous fees and the like that are out
16	there, would would drop just slightly due to a
17	reclassification, primarily, to \$5-, just under
18	\$6,000. And you can see our fee structure, which
19	is largely fuel flowage fee-type dollars, would
20	would be about \$173,000 for the year.
21	What we did do in projecting the operating
22	revenues is reduce from our actual projections,
23	which are fairly sound and are and are awful
24	accurate if you follow the financial statements
25	every month, but we did reduce that as a way of

1	an additional hedge in in a year's budget to a
2	95 percent level. So, those numbers represent 95
3	percent of the projected income under the
4	classification of operating.
5	Now, that is not reflected in the 04-05
6	numbers, so when you're seeing a slight drop in
7	in a couple of classifications, it could be
8	directly attributable to shaving 5 percent off the
9	top and and in a sense, calling it a hedge.
10	Nonoperating revenues. Cash forward's
11	projected to end the year at about \$433,000,
12	interest income of about \$2,000. We are
13	projecting the possibility of needing to borrow
14	about \$409,000, and I'll I'll explain how that
15	fits into the mix as we we move into the
16	expenditure side in a second.
17	Under grants, we anticipate, if everything in
18	the capital program were accomplished in within
19	this fiscal year, the upcoming fiscal year, we
20	would expect to receive about \$3 1/2 million in

- 21 state funding, participating in capital
- 22 development, and about \$4.8 million in federal
- 23 dollars. And there are no other identifiable
- 24 sources, other than the Authority at this point.
- Now, ad valorem, see last year represented 25

111

13

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

8

T4

1	about \$3.3-and-6 million in revenue. It would be
2	about \$3.5, taking the rolled-back rate. Brings
3	us to a total budget of \$14,048,366 from a revenue
4	perspective. That's a net reduction of about
5	14.72 percent over the previous year's budget.
6	Now, the lion's share of our budget, as the
7	board is keenly aware, is in the capital
8	development program, which is 80-some percent, and
9	it varies year to year, but it's roughly 80
10	percent of the total Authority budget. And a
11	significant portion of that is made up of grant
12	funds.

Now, the grant funds, keep in mind all our

14	grant programs are reimbursable in nature, which
15	means we don't get a check whether we do the work
16	or not. They're only directly reimbursing work
17	that does accomplish or is accomplished by the
18	airport in a year. So, it's not revenue that's
19	there regardless of whether you use it or not.
20	That's not an option.
21	All right. Under the expense side, you can
22	see the under personnel, that salaries, taxes,
23	and benefits accumulate to about \$680,000. That's
24	about 8 8.9 8.12 percent of over last
25	year's, keeping in mind the significant while

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

9

- 1 we budget for a 5 percent salary increase
- 2 potential within the budget, there are other
- 3 things that -- that go in there and you can see
- 4 radically affect the bottom line in the total

5 increase.

6 Those two primary ones are taxes and

7	statutory obligations which relate to workers'
8	comp and unemployment insurance and our share
9	of of the taxes, employer-matched taxes. And
10	the other is in the benefit column, which are
11	largely insurance-related items as they plug into
12	the budget, health insurance and the like. And
13	you see those two items are almost 14 and 21
14	percent respectively.
15	Operating expenditures, which I could show
16	you the detail here in a second it might be
17	helpful to just walk down that; we don't
18	necessarily have to read every line, but get an
19	idea of what all's in there. But basically,
20	operating expenditures equate to \$1,002,500, which
21	if you were to compare that line item to the
22	which I think I've got just barely off the screen
23	here. Maybe I can tweak this. That's somebody's
24	cell phone.
25	MR. BRUNSON: It's not mine. That's not

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1 mine.

2	MR. WUELLNER: It's not mine this time.
3	But the operating line, I wanted you to kind
4	of compare. I don't have my little pointer here,
5	but the can you get me the pointer, Cindy? I
6	think it's important that the public, as well as
7	the Authority, is able to see there it is.
8	All right. And this is where I'm absolutely
9	blind. I need to put the glasses on to see it on
10	the screen here. But the total operating revenues
11	of \$1,375,000, and I'll call it change it's not
12	really change compared to the operating
13	expenditures down here. If you notice, there's
14	working on \$300,000 this year. Last year was the
15	first year we projected a surplus, if you will, of
16	revenues earned on the airport over operating
17	expenditures.
18	So, for this year, we're actually able to
19	contribute excess operating revenue from the
20	Authority to the capital program to the tune of
21	\$300,000, versus a scant \$40,000, which is our

- first year to actually be able to contribute
- toward it.
- 24 So, I think that's marked progress in a
- single year toward our goal of being able to

1	sustain the entire airport operation out of
2	revenues developed on the airport.
3	So, I just wanted to point that out, because
4	it's it is it is important and it is
5	significant, and hopefully every year, that number
6	will dramatically increase and that margin will
7	continue to fund more and more and more of the
8	capital development program.
9	Moving down, nonoperating expenses such as
10	debt service, capital, equipment, and construction
11	amount to, again, about \$12,365,000. And you can
12	see at this point, revenues and expenditures in
13	terms of a total due balance at \$14,048,366.
14	Moving on, I did promise to show you let's

15	just talk revenue real quick. Just we'll walk
16	through it real quick. But you can see you're
17	down to nine home rentals. You can see what's
18	going on with T-hangar rentals, conventional and
19	commercial hangar rentals, some generating
20	significant revenue back to the Authority.
21	The biggest positive development is in our
22	ability to re readdress commercial-type leases
23	on the airport and those new businesses on the
24	airport, which not only generate additional
25	revenues because of the rent structure associated

- 1 with commercial leases, but are also productive in
- 2 terms of overall economic impact to the community
- 3 in terms of jobs and purchasing that's -- that
- 4 goes on community-wide.
- 5 So, those are probably the -- the biggest
- 6 overall positive development area on the airport,
- 7 is the commercial lease revenues.

8	Any questions or concerns on the revenue side
9	or revenue projection? I'll go to operating
10	expenses next.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: So, you're going to you had
12	some discussion on the \$409,000 on the the
13	grant side up in the earlier
14	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I'll get to that. I'm
15	going to go to the capital budget here in just a
16	second.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. All right. That's
18	fine.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
20	MR. GORMAN: Just real quick. And and
21	I you've told us this before, but I just can't
22	remember. The Northrop Grumman North 40, that
23	lease, isn't that commercial lease revenue going
24	to change? When does that change?
25	MR. WUELLNER: That changes in 2007.

1	MR. GORMAN: '07, okay.
2	MR. WUELLNER: It is currently a minute
3	amount. Really prescribed to an option. It's the
4	only cash flow we have on the eastside.
5	MR. GORMAN: I thought it was next year. All
6	right. '07.
7	MR. WUELLNER: It's '07. October, I believe
8	it is. So, we'll hopefully be starting to deal
9	with it next year toward or the following year.
10	Okay. Operating expenditures, you have the
11	various large I would say this is the the
12	high level classifications within the budget.
13	If you recall last year, we made I think
14	it was last year, maybe the year before, Donna,
15	but we made the adjustment into mirroring the
16	State's accounting system and classifications.
17	So, this now by account number and type is
18	virtually consistent with the State's chart of
19	accounts.
20	So, we're we're calling things very
21	similar to how the State does now. So, it allows

- for a nice easy interpretation of the budget,
- 23 particularly at audit time by -- by the
- 24 independent auditors.
- 25 But you have uniform expenses, you know,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	accounting audit, all those types of things
2	again, I'm not going to go down them all. You can
3	see where the difference is in the third-hand
4	the third column of numbers, entitled
5	"Difference." You can see where there's
6	significant items. Some adjusted upwards, some
7	adjusted downwards.
8	The most significant item really on the page
9	is insurance. It is that is still an estimated
10	number. I'm I instructed Donna before we got
11	into this particular meeting, after talking with
12	Mr. Gorman before the meeting, we're going to
13	contact our insurance folks and see if we can't
14	hammer the number or have the number available,

15	the actual renewal number.
16	Our insurance renews this month for an entire
17	fiscal year, and and I'm while we made an
18	adjustment. And primarily upward and attributable
19	to property-related insurance more than anything
20	else. But we'll try to get the an actual
21	number or a projected actual number by next
22	meeting.
23	MR. BRUNSON: Ed, are we still talking to the
24	county?

25 MR. WUELLNER: That's on health insurance.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: That discussion's ongoing.
- 5 I'm not holding my breath that there's a
- 6 short-term solution, but your chairman has been
- 7 working the issue with the intergovernmental

8	committee and I know has attended or intends to
9	attend the next insurance committee meeting with
10	the county.
11	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
12	MR. WUELLNER: So, we'll I'm sure he'll
13	keep you up to date as it as it things
14	happen.
15	Other than that, they're fairly minor
16	adjustments within the budget.
17	MS. GREEN: Did utilities go up because of
18	our lighting and all that on the
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Plus, if if you
20	haven't gotten your latest FPL bill, if that's who
21	you you know, they're all adjusting the rates
22	significantly. Some are asking for double-digit
23	increases coming into this year. Some of it's
24	that. Some of it's just additional facilities
25	have been brought online

1	MS. GREEN: Right.
2	MR. WUELLNER: that we actually pay that
3	for, one of which is the second floor of the
4	terminal. It's built into the rent structure.
5	And, you know, up to this point, up till very
6	recently, it wasn't there was no one up there
7	and
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Utilized.
9	MR. WUELLNER: no electric to speak of.
10	So, those types of things are in there.
11	Any others that you have a particular
12	interest in? Some of them show whopping
13	percentage changes, but they're minor amounts of
14	money, such as under Administrative.
15	Okay. Last area I really wanted to talk
16	about in detail is the capital budget. We made
17	the adjustments in the budget to to be sure
18	that we had covered covered everything we knew
19	was coming or anticipated for this year.
20	Now, there are a number of projects in here
21	that, you know, admittedly we're optimistic about
22	receiving a particular grant for in a year. While

- 23 we haven't programmed to get the money, that being
- 24 in the -- the Joint Automated Capital Improvement
- 25 Program with both FAA and FDOT, there's no

1	guarantee the money will be available. You know,
2	we're we're hoping it is.
3	Our capital program reflects what we've asked
4	for, not necessarily what will show up. A
5	majority of these things, we have commitments for,
6	such as 8, 9, 10, southside development, the ARFF
7	facility and vehicle, site development dollars,
8	T-hangar dollars. All of those things are already
9	under grant.
10	So, that money's committed, and and all
11	we're doing is, in a sense, budgeting our share
12	for it, showing the state match. But we may or
13	may not be at a point to expend that money within
14	this current year. If we aren't, it will roll
15	forward into next year as revenue moving forward

16	or revenue forward line item.
17	A couple of big items that are of concern are
18	Taxiway Bravo, south hangar development area. And
19	you'll see that those are probably I don't know
20	how I got a line off on that thing, but it looks
21	like the math's still working on the right-hand
22	column. But there are items that could be pared
23	out of there, but not without significant risk
24	within the budget if we're going to take the

25 rolled-back rate.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

18

1	Now, the reason we're showing the \$409,000 is
2	in the event that the entire fairy tale of things
3	we're asking for here were to come true next year,
4	and every capital item were able to get started in
5	a timely manner and we were able to complete the
6	job and close it out within the next fiscal year,
7	you would need to augment Authority revenues by
8	that \$409,000 to do it. It's shown, obviously,

9	to to balance the budget.
10	The reality is, the expenditure side within
11	the capital budget is very, very, very unlikely to
12	reach the level that it's budgeted for, which
13	means that if it doesn't require the money, we
14	don't borrow it. It's just shown as the the
15	safeguard, if you will, for this this entire
16	capital program actually occurring within the
17	confines of a single year. It just doesn't happen
18	that way in reality. But it's the way to make it
19	function from a practical standpoint.
20	The alternative being, you eliminate the loan
21	requirement and not take the rolled-back rate, or
22	conversely, go in and pare projects out of the
23	budget, but then they're not accommodated in the
24	budget should a grant materialize or you desire to
25	move something ahead in an you know, an

19

1 expedient fashion. You wouldn't have that as an

2	alternative because you wouldn't have had a
3	matching revenue source for it.
4	So, it's there. It's a you know, it's a
5	very aggressive capital program. We know that.
6	You know that. It is sustainable. It is doable.
7	If everything were to happen, you'd need to borrow
8	\$409,000. I personally do not anticipate we'll be
9	in there needing to do that.
10	We're going to have to have some discussions,
11	and we'll talk about this at next meeting as a
12	regular agenda item, but we're we're going to
13	need to do some discussions with the bank relative
14	to our loan instrument, to structure that such as
15	we continue to have the flexibility moving
16	forward.
17	You may want to accelerate projects. We have
18	that latitude with some FDOT projects that allow
19	us to move faster than perhaps the grant funds
20	flow. And that may be a way of doing that without
21	impacting the ad valorem proceeds. So, we'll keep
22	that on the table. That will be another agenda

- 23 item at a regular meeting. It's not directly
- budget related.
- 25 All right. That's it in a nutshell. If

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	there's details, again, about specific lines,
2	areas within the budget, you know, I'll be happy
3	to go over them and explain them to the best of my
4	ability.
5	But right now, we're going back to the
6	summary here. You're looking at taking the
7	rolled-back rate, and that rate being .2055 mills,
8	with the budget that was adopted, you know, along
9	the lines of what we're we're proposing today.
10	Yes, sir.
11	4 DISCUSSION OF BUDGET BY AUTHORITY
12	MR. GORMAN: I've got one for you, and you
13	can just clarify this. I've had lots of taxpayer
14	comments to myself in that if we take the
15	rolled-back rate, it actually results in a net

- 16 larger ad valorem?
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: It does.
- 18 MR. GORMAN: And that actual net larger
- ad valorem, although -- it looked like we had a
- 20 less actual net-net on this budget here.
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: No. It's -- it's actually
- 22 about \$140,000 more.
- 23 MR. GORMAN: A hundred and forty. All right.
- 24 Then that's fine.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: A hundred and forty-six. In

- 1 fact, the number's right there for you.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Might as well just bring that
- 3 out in the open rather than --
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: And it's a function of how
- 5 they compute the ad valorem -- excuse me, the
- 6 rolled-back rate. It's computed without benefit
- 7 of the new construction added into the total
- 8 aggregate tax value of the community. So, it's --

///C/Docui	nents/020and/020Settings/Diff/Desktop/DeDGE1071205.txt
9	it's the impact on the existing tax base.
10	MR. GORMAN: You're saying that there is
11	some there is some, but not a tremendous
12	difference in in the net-net.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's about 4 percent.
14	MR. GORMAN: Right. Gotcha.
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's about 4 percent. And
16	that happens every year, unless for whatever
17	reason the county adds no new taxable property to
18	the rolls, which is unlikely in this area.
19	MS. GREEN: And that's kind of what I've been
20	addressed by a number of taxpayers, too, which I
21	wanted to kind of mention, which was that letter
22	that we received by Mr. Biggs, that we didn't
23	vote or didn't look at initially we haven't
24	voted but initially looked at increasing cash
25	flow is our tax base increase.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

22

So, if you're still at your -- your millage

2	rate or our rolled-back rate, that's the 4
3	percent, just because we're a growing county.
4	Until that stops
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Kind of define what you mean
6	by tax base increase just so that the audience
7	MS. GREEN: The number of taxable
8	properties
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Right. Exactly.
10	MS. GREEN: I mean, we keep building
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Exactly.
12	MS. GREEN: we keep
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Exactly. And more people keep
14	moving into the county.
15	MS. GREEN: growing, and therefore, we're
16	not asking for more money. We're actually
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
18	MS. GREEN: keeping it at a basically,
19	we're going back, when these other counties keep
20	adding, increasing their tax rates. We're not
21	doing that.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
23	MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to say I'm very

- 24 pleased with what I'm hearing here. The first
- budget that we looked at called for a \$625,000 tax

1	increase. And I had meetings with Ed, and we
2	started looking at at that, and we started
3	looking at capital expenditures of what really is
4	going to be done in the 2005-2006. And when
5	you're and each person here, all five of us, I
6	know, want to be self-sufficient as quickly as we
7	can. And I think Ed has done a great job laying
8	out some of the discussions we had.
9	When you're looking at a business, you look
10	at revenues. And I think the staff and the
11	airport have have optimized the revenues with
12	the new leases. Everything is leased. And we've
13	raised leases and raised T-hangars and we've done
14	a lot of business.
15	We look at expenses. And we can't help the
16	insurance. As Ed had has agreed, if things get

17	hard, we've got to look at expenses even harder.
18	But I think that we need to to just as soon as
19	FAA turns us loose, to build hangars. We need to
20	build as many as we can, as fast as we can, and I
21	think we need to start utilizing our letters of
22	credit and lines of credit to to fund some of
23	this thing and make it a business decision, and
24	not not ask for the taxpayers to to pay for
25	this.

- 1 So, I would be in favor of this rolled-back
- 2 rate, and I'm very pleased that -- and that Ed has
- 3 done this homework on it.
- 4 MS. GREEN: And Donna and other staff
- 5 members.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah.
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: All the staff's done a
- 8 tremendous job on it.
- 9 Any further discussion on it? Kind of --

10	kind of opened it up to the board discussion there
11	after our questions to Ed.
12	MR. GORMAN: I have a request. I don't know
13	how this is going to go over.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Does this go to the discussion
15	to the
16	MR. GORMAN: It's a discussion. It also is a
17	request. It has it has to do with the budget.
18	Previously, during I have asked for a
19	budgetary comparative analysis. I'll make this
20	short. And previously this board had had
21	addressed and actually budgeted \$20,000 for an
22	independent operational audit, which is what
23	originally I addressed it at. And I'd prefer to
24	call it a budgetary comparative analysis, because
25	it's because that's a simpler way to put it.

- 1 And we -- I really doubt we'd need hardly
- 2 that much at all, but never has this board

3	actually engaged in a comparative analysis of what
4	it costs to run this airport versus what other
5	comparable airports spent. And it's a simple
6	question. It's a common sense question, and it
7	has not been done in the three years I've been on
8	this board.
9	But I the scope doesn't need to be
10	detailed. We don't need details. We just need
11	broad base. But a broad base, you know, brush,
12	addressed to that issue. And I'd like to have
13	this budget include I'm not sure it is included
14	in the audits. Like to have a small amount
15	included. I don't know if we need \$20,000 to do
16	that. But it would have to be done by have a
17	firm, an unbiased firm that would engage in that.
18	And but all they would have to do is provide
19	budgets to the board with a summary of a
20	comparative analysis of what similar airports
21	spend.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Your proposal is to add into
23	this budget a comparative analysis of the budget.

- 24 MR. GORMAN: Or -- or make sure it is
- 25 included -- it is -- it is doable in the budget as

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 drawn, right. Like I said, I don't even think
- 2 we'd spend \$20,000. We're just going to need --
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Can you speak to that at all,
- 4 Ed?
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: I -- it's specifically not in
- 6 there as a stand-alone --
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Item.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: -- item.
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: It certainly -- you know, you
- 11 have a budget for professional services, general,
- 12 and -- and it is an item that, you know, with your
- 13 direction, could be, you know, made to happen
- 14 within that classification.
- 15 It's a bit of a -- it -- those three
- 16 professional service classifications tend to be

17	catchall locations for non nonspecific capital
18	projects. The professional services, engineering
19	planning, that are directly related to capital
20	project end up in the capital project, not here.
21	So, these are things like, you know, fixing
22	this or that or a drainage issue that comes up or
23	something that's not directly related to a capital
24	expenditure within the budget. So, it could be
25	made to work.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	Again, my my only it's not even a
2	concern, but I my you know, I'll extend the
3	offer here again. You know, if that's something
4	y'all want to do, I I have no issues with
5	you know, that helps everybody. But I would I
6	would hope that we, you know I would offer to
7	work directly with Jack or other interested
8	parties.
9	I think you're you're in the best position

/ Cp Docum	ents/s20and/s20settings/s20settop/s20settop/s20sette
10	to get the information you want by specifying what
11	it is you're looking for when you ask. And
12	and, you know, I'll work with you. If you
13	know, if it's something y'all want to do you
14	don't have to make a decision today, I don't
15	think, as long as it can be
16	MR. BRUNSON: Could this about shouldn't
17	this be an agenda item at a board meeting?
18	MR. WUELLNER: As far as whether you do it on
19	not. All I'm saying is
20	MR. BRUNSON: Could we get it on the
21	agenda
22	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
23	MR. BRUNSON: for next Monday and decide
24	if we Ed, would that be too late to get this in
25	for the 19th?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

28

1 MR. WUELLNER: No. I'm -- I'm of the opinion

2 it could be accommodated within what you have in
3	front of you right now.
4	MS. GREEN: I think on the agenda item, we'd
5	have to discuss and find out what our cost budget
6	would be and, therefore, it would fit within what
7	we have.
8	MR. WUELLNER: If it didn't fit within here,
9	then certainly you've got to make some adjustment.
10	MR. GORMAN: And at that time, nail down the
11	scope of it.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
13	MR. GORMAN: Because define the scope.
14	MR. WUELLNER: And you could still make that
15	adjustment on the fly during the year. I mean,
16	you could still make a budget adjustment. It's
17	just we'd just find someplace to to make the
18	adjustment.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: But the number you proposed,
20	is that something that Ed had come up with
21	earlier?
22	MR. GORMAN: Well, that was something
23	CHAIRMAN COX: I remember the number coming
24	up several months ago or something.

25 MR. GORMAN: Remember, we had \$20,000

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 actually previously budgeted --
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: True.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: -- which we did not use. And I
- 4 don't think it's going the cost even -- even half
- 5 that to actually have the firm, because all you're
- 6 going to do in a sense is pull other airports'
- 7 budgets and look at dollars and cents and common
- 8 sense of their operations and their expenditures.
- 9 Simple as that.
- 10 MR. BRUNSON: Let's put it on the agenda.
- 11 MR. GORMAN: All right. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: Does that answer all your --
- 13 MR. GORMAN: Yes --
- 14 CHAIRMAN COX: -- concerns?
- 15 MR. GORMAN: -- it does.
- 16 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. If there's no other
- 17 further board discussion, I'm going to open up to

18 pi	iblic d	liscussion.	Mr.	Ciriello	had	his	hand	up
-------	---------	-------------	-----	----------	-----	-----	------	----

first. 19

- 5. PUBLIC COMMENT ON BUDGET 20
- 21 MR. CIRIELLO: I'll let the lady go first.
- CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. that's fine. 22
- MS. BADGER: Sherry Badger, 2772 South 23
- Collins Avenue. 24
- CHAIRMAN COX: Is the microphone on? 25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	MS. BADGER: Thank you. I just have one
2	question, Mr. Warner (sic). As a taxpayer, I I
3	need you to point out the line that shows me how
4	much it costs you in consultants', lawyers' fee,
5	and the price of eminent domain.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Some of that, I can it's
7	going to be spread. Turn the mic on.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Think I hear something.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Same thing she did. It's
10	going to be spread out in a couple of areas. The

legal fees are presented on this line here
(indicating), this line 316. And it's forecast to
be about \$75,000, moving into next year.
MS. GREEN: That's not all that's
MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
MS. GREEN: different types of legal.
MR. WUELLNER: That is largely our general
representation of the Airport Authority in most, I
want to say day-to-day legal matters, that the
Authority encounters.
The other place, portions of it are shown, or
included in the dollar value, is under the cap
under capital.
When we use the property acquisition line
item, which is included in the total cost line

- 1 item would be any legal-related expenditures
- 2 toward property acquisition, as in the eminent
- 3 domain area, in closing out those properties or

4	any properties we have we would be interested
5	in acquiring over the next year.
6	And at this point, that's somewhat a nebulous
7	term in terms of specifically which properties.
8	That's something that kind of develops over the
9	course of the year.
10	Most of this money identified is money
11	designed to close out the acquisitions in Araquay
12	Park. So, it's included in the \$2 million number
13	that's up there.
14	MS. BADGER: Right. Didn't you already
15	comment at one of the other meetings that how
16	much are you over in legal fees from last year's
17	budget?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I didn't comment. I think
19	Mr. Gorman was was making the statement at one
20	point. It we're not over the budget as it
21	relates to the operating expenditures. It's a
22	large dollar value, but it's included in property
23	acquisition.
24	It's not an overbudget situation; it's a

25 classification of where the legal expenditure

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	is is done from an accounting perspective. And
2	it goes with the capital expenditure we have when
3	it's appropriate, in this case, Araquay Park
4	acquisition or any other capital project, and if
5	there were legal fees incurred as a result of it,
6	it would come under that capital base program,
7	that particular project.
8	Other legal fees, such as our general
9	representation, is an operating expense account.
10	It's a it's not tied to a capital project.
11	It's things like review of leases, when when we
12	ask to representation at these meetings, handling
13	matters with the county for us, and zoning
14	hearings and other public forums, a wide variety
15	of of general business-type representation
16	scenarios. And that's what's in the operating
17	budget and is shown at \$75,000, projected.

- MS. BADGER: So, in other words, you're
 taking taxpayer dollars, eminent domaining (sic)
 people out of their house, and building
 - 21 airplanes -- for airplanes to stay. And our
 - 22 taxpayers' dollars are going towards it, and it
 - 23 needs to cease, not five years from now.
 - 24 This has been since 1996 that the airport was
 - 25 going to get off the rolls. And I think if I'm

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

33

- 1 not mistaken, some of you got your office by
- 2 running on that.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: If you're looking for a
- 4 comment, those are your words, not ours.
- 5 MS. BADGER: Well, in other words, I just
- 6 want it to be on the record where the taxpayers
- 7 can know what their money's going to.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: It will be from this point

9 forward.

10 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Ciriello?

11	MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you. Joe Ciriello, 5318
12	Shore Drive, St. Augustine. Two different lines
13	of thought here.
14	The first one, Ed, could you give me the
15	dollar amount, not counting grants and and
16	taxes and everything, the actual profit that you
17	made this particular year by just revenues and
18	income?
19	MR. WUELLNER: I cannot do that yet. That
20	is that is a function of when the fiscal year
21	ends, which is not accomplished yet, and a
22	function of the conclusion of the final audit by
23	independent auditors.
24	That will occur in the November-December time
25	line, and it will be presented to the Airport

- 1 Authority at that point. So, at that point,
- 2 they'll know what the -- what the final status is.
- 3 MR. CIRIELLO: You guys know I've always said

4	right along that the only way to get off the tax
5	rolls, if this airport could support itself. And
6	while continually building and making capital
7	projects and using money and borrowing money and
8	everything, it's never going to do it.
9	But I just wanted to know how much actual
10	money you made over your expenditures toward
11	working that.
12	Now, this other this other thing, I don't
13	much understand. Maybe you can help me. I got my
14	tax thing from proposal from the county. And
15	not saying what everybody else is in there, but it
16	says your property tax last year for the airport
17	was \$11.45. It says your taxes this year, if
18	proposed budget change is made, would be \$11.97.
19	And then way over here, it says your taxes this
20	year, if no budget change is made, is \$10.44.
21	Now, I would you know, Ed, what that
22	your taxes this year, if no budget change is made,
23	what they're referring to on that column?
24	MR. WUELLNER: On on that particular
25	column, no, I don't. I don't know how they're

1	MR. CIRIELLO: What I can't get
2	MR. WUELLNER: Be happy to look for you.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: they have my assessed value
4	last year for \$73,610. This coming year, it's
5	\$75,818, which is a \$2,000 little over \$2,000
6	increase.
7	So, if I'm going to pay \$2,000 more value on
8	tax, you know, rates and everything, you would
9	think those numbers over there would be a little
10	higher. So, how am I ending up going to pay less
11	money over here than I did last year when my house
12	is valued more?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. That part, I can
14	explain to you. That's a that's a function of
15	there are more properties available to tax at a
16	lesser rate to get the same total tax dollars to
17	the airport, or any other taxing entity.
18	MS. GREEN: That's what I was trying to

19	explain, Mr.	Ciriello,	and I	probably	did a p	oor
----	--------------	-----------	-------	----------	---------	-----

- 20 job of it. We have more tax base, more
- 21 properties.
- 22 MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that.
- 23 MS. GREEN: It's spread out more.
- 24 MR. CIRIELLO: Individually, if my rate's
- 25 going to be judged on the \$75,000 at whatever

1	millage is set, you would think it would come out
2	a little higher than last year's, irregardless of
3	how many people are paying. That's why I don't
4	MR. BURNETT: Which is
5	MR. WUELLNER: You're well you're sharing
6	that load with more people is the only thing I
7	can describe it as, you're the tax load is
8	being shared among more people than it was last
9	year. So, your individual tax is a smidge less,
10	because there are more people paying it.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: I must be awful hardheaded,

12	because I can't understand
13	MR. HICKOX: Joe, if we were to give you \$10,
14	and there were 10 of us contributing, we'd each
15	give you a dollar. If there were 20 of us, we
16	could give you 50 cents each and you'd still get
17	\$10.
18	MR. WUELLNER: It's still \$10. It's the same
19	general concept.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now on this other thing
21	they gave me, the year proposed ad valorem tax
22	dollars, proposed percent change from 24 to 25,
23	every taxing authority on here has an increase,
24	except mosquito control; they have a decrease.
25	Our increase shows 22 percent. So, if I'm paying

- 1 less money, how is the airport getting an increase
- 2 in their money --
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: -- from \$3 million to \$4-?

5	MR. WUELLNER: This this the percentage			
6	increase you're talking about, the 22 percent that			
7	came out with the notifications, is a function of			
8	the increased taxable value at the same millage			
9	rate we were charging last year, versus the tax			
10	roll of the previous year at the millage rate.			
11	That expansion of the tax base, if we held the			
12	rate			
13	CHAIRMAN COX: The same.			
14	MR. WUELLNER: would generate more			
15	dollars, and it would reflect as an increase in			
16	your taxes to the tune of 22 percent.			
17	MS. GREEN: But ours is only			
18	MR. WUELLNER: What we're talking about doing			
19	is holding the rate the same, which means no			
20	individual pays any more than they did last year.			
21	MR. CIRIELLO: According to this			
22	MR. WUELLNER: Well, that that's a little			
23	deceiving, because it's still a function of your			
24	tax value having gone up. So, you it's still			
25	applied to a new value.			

38

1	So, in your case, your value went up \$2,000,
2	so you're going to pay the incremental difference
3	in the \$2,000 in additional tax, which is a penny
4	or two. I don't know what it works out to.
5	Because we're we're the Airport Authority's
6	budget is dealing with fractions of one mill.
7	It's a fairly insignificant number.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I you'll never hear me
9	complain about paying taxes at the airport, never,
10	I mean, because it's so minimum, you know. But I
11	just can't see how they can go and show you
12	figures of where you're going to pay less than
13	last year. I understand what you're talking
14	about. The more contributing, the more
15	MR. HICKOX: More people.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: And then over here, the
17	increase. But I look at it as an individual. If

18 my house has gone up a couple of thousand dollars,

19	I should pay more money.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Some of it's a
21	function
22	CHAIRMAN COX: We'll take voluntary cash
23	donations, Joe.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: I don't think they take
25	MR. HICKOX: Yeah, they would.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Some of some of it's a
2	function of how we're required as governmental
3	entities to report the information to the public
4	and how the tax collector's required to do it.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Further public comment?
6	Let let's go with the lady and and then I'll
7	get to you.
8	MS. WILLIS: I could have said gentlemen
9	first. Yeah. If you go with the rolled-back
10	rate, which you are recommending of the .20550, it
11	would still bring you in 140 according to your

//C /Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/BUDGET091205.txt		
12	figures, 146,523 more dollars than last year.	
13	The other point I wanted to make is	
14	everybody most people do not know, but	
15	everybody should be clear on the fact that you do	
16	not you are not allowed by law to budget every	
17	bit of your expected taxes. I think it's 95	
18	percent by law, is it not?	
19	MR. WUELLNER: It is. And the number that's	
20	shown here is I didn't mention it. It is less	
21	than the actual	
22	MS. WILLIS: So, in my 19 years of public	
23	service, I find we always end up with more coming	
24	in than we expected, because they make allowances	
25	should a number of properties not pay their taxes	

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 and not be bought at public tax sale, where
- 2 someone pays their taxes, but reverts back to the
- 3 county. Then you don't get those taxes.
- 4 Therefore, you cannot budget 5 percent of

5	the and I think it's \$23 billion this year,		
6	which brings us in a lot of revenue. Therefore,		
7	you always seem to get more money than you expect.		
8	And the bigger the budget, of course, obviously		
9	the more is going to be extra, which gives you a		
10	little cushion if you don't have any reserves.		
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much. Sir?		
12	MR. HEINE: Hello. My name is Don Heine. I		
13	live at 21 Fullerwood Drive, St. Augustine. I'm a		
14	new resident of the county, and I'm trying to find		
15	out about the budget processes here.		
16	And so, my concern is that I don't I've		
17	just signed up for well, I bought property. I		
18	rented it for three years and I just retired here.		
19	And see my taxes go up 25 percent a year. And I		
20	thought I was in a taxation euphoria when I bought		
21	my house and I saw the previous previous		
22	residents were only paying \$300 a year.		
23	And all of a sudden now, with all of the		
24	potential taxing ability or or the maximum		
25	taxation allowed by all of the taxing authorities,		

1	my taxes would rise over like over \$3,000.
2	That's I mean, that is quite a I
3	understand that that those of us who have
4	new or who just purchased homes, we absorb a
5	lot more of the burden, especially when the
6	assessments are rising probably at double-digit
7	inflation.
8	So, I would just request that the the last
9	year's millage be maintained, and also possibly
10	reduced a little, since this is a since there
11	are plans that the that the Airport Authority
12	will eventually become a profit-making
13	organization, that's the that the millage be
14	reduced and to allow for a reduction of the
15	airport's percentage of the ad valorem taxes.
16	Thank you, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much.
18	MR. HEINE: Oh, I did I came here the
19	other day looking for some information, and I went

- 20 up to the other building, and I did take a look at
- 21 your Airport Master Plan.
- I would like, if I could -- there's nobody in
- the office. It was sitting on the table. So, I
- 24 don't think I was committing any infraction rules,
- 25 but --

42

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: No, that's fine.
- 2 MR. HEINE: All right. Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir. Thank you very much

4 for your comments. We had some other comments?

5 Yes, ma'am.

- 6 MS. WILLIAMS: Hi.
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Hi.
- 8 MS. WILLIAMS: I haven't been keeping up with
- 9 this. My name is Deborah Williams, and I live at
- 10 1636 -- 1636 Masters Drive.
- 11 And first of all, I guess I really want to
- 12 know what the bottom line is. Is this for

13	commercial flights? Are we planning on having Air
14	Tran come through here or Eastern I guess
15	Eastern's not around anymore. Continental, Delta?
16	Are we planning on commercial flights, or is this
17	a private venture for more hangars and more
18	private planes?
19	Now, I I know we've had some
20	commercial-type jets land in this airport. So, I
21	know it can handle that. I know you can't handle
22	a lot of them. I mean, this is more like Key West
23	type of airport. And I've flown in and out of
24	this airport, and I can tell the size from the
25	sky, like I can tell it from JIA.

1 And I am	just kind	of curious	as to you
------------	-----------	------------	-----------

- 2 know, I haven't seen the master concept plan,
- 3 what's going on. I know that there's eminent
- 4 domain kind of in progress, and I just really
- 5 conscious --

6	CHAIRMAN COX: You have some concern.
7	MS. WILLIAMS: It's just I have concerns on
8	the moral aspect of what's going on here.
9	I've lived here my entire life; I'm four
10	generations. And this airport's always been here
11	in my lifetime. And there's never been any
12	questions.
13	And I know this county's growing, you know.
14	My street used to be a nice country road. Now we
15	have a dead child, and and a traffic-calming
16	plan happening. And we have to deal with all of
17	these issues with growing, but I want to know what
18	the bottom line agenda is.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, that's that's a lot
20	to answer. I we haven't really as far as
21	commercial airlines coming in here, we we have,
22	of course, thought about that and looked into that
23	area, and we haven't ruled that out at all, but I
24	don't think you're going to see any major airlines
25	coming into the airport anytime in the very near

1	future. And certainly the runways will handle
2	that.
3	But the problems that we see at the outset
4	right now, our terminal couldn't handle that. We
5	don't have the security facilities to handle major
6	airlines.
7	But that's not that doesn't rule out the
8	fact that or the possibility that in a couple
9	of years or three years, four years, that we may
10	in fact get some commuter traffic, some type of a
11	commuter airline in here.
12	I think that the St. Augustine Airport is
13	is a little off of the route structure and outside
14	of the marketing structure for any major airlines
15	to be going anywhere point to point or even to hub
16	to hub. So, it's going to be difficult for them
17	to justify, you know, the St. Augustine Airport
18	MS. WILLIAMS: So so, what is this all
19	about?

20	CHAIRMAN COX:	Well, it's it's about the

- 21 growth of the county, the expansion of the county,
- 22 more people moving in, the tax base.
- 23 MS. WILLIAMS: That's not an answer. Growth
- is growth. But that's not the answer to why we
- 25 have eminent domain going on here and people

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	losing their homes. That's not the answer, but
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Would you care to answer?
3	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. It's simply economics.
4	I get the same questions daily that you're asking
5	now, and we all have these same concerns here.
6	We would like to see I would like to see
7	commuter flights, commercial flights, and but,
8	you know and I, when you if you talk to the
9	airlines, airline industries are having a hard
10	time now. They're declaring bankruptcy in some
11	cases.
12	It's all economics. If we if we can find

13	somebody that will come in here and they can make
14	money, I think we'd welcome them with open arms.
15	But right now, we have not we've made some
16	inquiries, and I've talked to some of the county
17	commissioners about this, and we've made some
18	inquiries, and it's just economic. Nobody right
19	now is willing to come in here.
20	MR. GORMAN: The demand for services, though,
21	here has increased.
22	MS. GREEN: Right.
23	MR. GORMAN: And it's increased rapidly. We
24	have a hundred people on a waiting list for small
25	aircraft hangars, and we have far more demand for

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 corporate hangars than you could ever satisfy.
- 2 It's just a subject -- it's just a product of
- 3 the -- of the increase in population in this area.
- 4 It's just like building houses; it's the
- 5 same. And the -- and the actual airport is part

6	of the public transportation system, and so the
7	demand goes along with, you know, the building.
8	MS. WILLIAMS: Well, there's demand for
9	marinas, too, and
10	MR. GORMAN: That's true.
11	MS. WILLIAMS: there's still only so much
12	water. And has have we even thought about just
13	moving the airport and buy a potato field out in
14	Hastings?
15	MS. GREEN: Oh, yes. And I encourage
16	MS. WILLIAMS: At least you don't have the
17	railroad on one side, U.S. 1 on the same side,
18	major water on one side. And
19	MR. GORMAN: That's an argument that
20	MS. WILLIAMS: you are so locked in right
21	here.
22	MS. GREEN: Yeah. I encourage you to look at
23	the Master Plan when you get a chance. It's
24	large, I know, and you have to spend some time.
25	And we have explored all of those over the years.

1	We've got drawings about property a runway
2	across the street. We've had talk about moving it
3	down to 206. We've had all kinds of thoughts on
4	it, but we're looking at the cost prohibitiveness
5	of doing a major change, even going over U.S. 1.
6	MS. WILLIAMS: I I kind of think
7	MS. GREEN: But right now, we're trying to
8	look at the economics, the supply and demand of
9	what we need to get this airport off the tax rolls
10	to be self-sufficient. We have people waiting to
11	hand us money for hangars.
12	Our property that we had the airport had
13	acquired many the majority of it many, many
14	year ago. They've been acquiring this
15	MS. WILLIAMS: The black and white of this is
16	you're taking people's homes away to put an
17	airplane there.
18	MS. GREEN: We're also trying to help the
19	public get off the tax roll so we're not taxing
20	them anymore.

- 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, the airport -- as was
- said, the airport's not really taxing anybody
- here. It's the county is -- is taxing.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: It's actually the -- the
- 25 Airport Authority.

48

- 1 MS. GREEN: That's why we're here.
- 2 MR. BRUNSON: We're a taxing authority. But
- 3 all of these things that you've mentioned,
- 4 we've -- we discuss them at every board meeting,
- 5 and I would welcome you to come. And -- and we
- 6 would appreciate any of these comments.
- 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Well, I'll do a -- I'll be
- 8 doing some more research --
- 9 MR. BRUNSON: Good.
- 10 MS. WILLIAMS: -- on this, and I'll be coming
- 11 to more of the meetings.
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you for your comment.

13 Yes, sir.

14	MR. YACARINO: I'm all new to this. I'm
15	Steve Yacarino. I live on South Collins. And
16	from what y'all said, you know, you're eminent
17	domaining people for the benefit of some rich guy
18	to land an airplane.
19	But what about the environmental effect to
20	the to the water lands that I can't fish
21	anymore because you just spreading the airport all
22	over the water lands and building on wetlands and
23	all that stuff? You know, what is your
24	environmental effect?
25	I mean, the flounder and red bass really

- 1 don't go up into that cove no more because of --
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Actually, we have some very
- 3 stringent parameters for building in the wetland.
- 4 I don't think we have built. Have we gone --
- 5 MR. WUELLNER: Not in recent years.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. And --

7	MR. YACARINO: I saw a proposal for landing
8	airplanes on the pond or whatever y'all are going
9	to build or something.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: Oh, the seaplane ramp.
11	MR. YACARINO: Yeah. That would be
12	amphibious airplanes.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's that has
14	existed for
15	CHAIRMAN COX: But that that doesn't
16	impact the wetlands, though. That's all it
17	comes there's nothing being done in the
18	MR. YACARINO: So, we're just I mean,
19	we're being taxed for this like we would Guana
20	State Park? I mean, it does come out of our tax
21	dollars eventually, doesn't it?
22	MR. WUELLNER: The yeah. A portion of
23	what we own is open to the public on a
24	recreational basis, currently. The seaplane
25	basin, the associated marshland and water access

1	points there, which are wholly owned by the
2	Airport Authority, are open to the public and
3	routinely used by sportsmen such as yourself.
4	MR. YACARINO: All right. So, we can go back
5	there
6	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
7	MR. YACARINO: and just picnic on these
8	people's houses?
9	MR. GORMAN: I might I might add that
10	we've got
11	MR. WUELLNER: There are some limits. Yeah.
12	MR. GORMAN: The development that's going to
13	go on is going to go on and is as environmentally
14	sensitive in nature as possible.
15	In other words, we're going to include some
16	areas of green areas that are going to be
17	accessible to the public for park use, and we're
18	going to we're going to take the rest of the
19	land, and if we get the rest of the board and I
20	believe the board's all on the same page with

- 21 this. We're going to do that as a -- in other
- 22 words, the layout will -- will provide for as much
- 23 old growth tree saved -- saved as possible. So,
- 24 we're not going to just mow it all down.
- 25 MR. YACARINO: Right. And everybody that

- 1 pays taxes, we can just park like we could at
- 2 Guana.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: And there will be public access
- 4 areas built in. So, I mean, you know, your --
- 5 some of the concerns you're addressing are --
- 6 MR. YACARINO: Well --
- 7 MR. GORMAN: -- which are real are going to
- 8 be addressed. You're not going to be
- 9 disappointed.
- 10 MR. YACARINO: Right. Because a couple of
- 11 tax dollars, you know, what's a couple of hundred
- 12 dollars a year?
- 13 MR. GORMAN: Right.

14	MR. YACARINO: But, you know
15	CHAIRMAN COX: It's actually just 11.
16	MR. YACARINO: the stuff y'all I
17	thought y'all was mowing down, you know, is just
18	irreplaceable.
19	MR. GORMAN: No. It's not a mow-down
20	operation.
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Further comments? Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. CLYMER: Hi. My name is Christine
23	Clymer. I live on Gerona Road. I came in here
24	with several questions and issues, but learned a
25	few more when I got here.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 2 for three years, this gentleman has been asking
- 3 for a comparative budget to be done, and that's
- 4 never happened. How do we come up with a budget
- 5 if we don't compare it to any other airports that
- 6 are this size and are operating at the same effect

7	we are? Who designed it?
8	MS. GREEN: Well, first of all and
9	Mr. Wuellner can address that, but I've been on
10	the board longer than most.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: You're the senior.
12	MS. GREEN: And we have had numerous, not
13	comparisons, but we've had numerous I think one
14	of our board members even went out and found other
15	airports that were as close as possible to this
16	one, and it's not that easy. We have found
17	peaches compared to apples.
18	MS. CLYMER: Nothing worth having is ever
19	easy.
20	MS. GREEN: But well, we've done it. But
21	we haven't paid for someone, extra money, to say,
22	all right, look at theirs and look at ours. We
23	have looked at it ourselves. And I think that it
24	was about a ten-page study. Was it you that had
25	it?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	MR. GORMAN: No. It let's go halfway
2	between the two. In other words, I'm the man
3	asking for it. I don't think there's anything
4	fraudulent or anything bad going on here. I just
5	would like to assure
6	MS. CLYMER: It's just basic common sense.
7	MR. GORMAN: assure the assure the
8	public that what we're doing is within within
9	what other airports, and that's why I asked for
10	it.
11	But Mr. Wuellner, to now actually stick up
12	for him for a moment, he's he's been trained in
13	this. He has a degree, actually, in airport
14	budgetary, you know, matters, and is not going to
15	be way out in left field or anything else.
16	I mean, I'd like to just, if there is
17	something that's out of line, that comparative
18	analysis would find it and we could address the
19	issue.
20	MS. CLYMER: And will this be made available
21	to the public?

- 22 CHAIRMAN COX: Absolutely. It's all public.
- 23 MS. GREEN: It's all public.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: It's all public information.
- 25 MS. CLYMER: Okay. And this is coming up on

1	the agenda, which meeting?
2	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, this this is the
3	first budget meeting. The next meeting is on the
4	19th, which is next Monday.
5	MS. CLYMER: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: The final meeting on the
7	budget.
8	MS. CLYMER: And as far as purchasing the
9	wetlands, I know a comment was made at a previous
10	meeting regarding that marshland was available for
11	a price. Exactly what price are we looking at to
12	purchase the additional marshland? And how much
13	of it are you going to require?
14	I'm under the impression that it's a

15	2,000-foot addition	on the runway	y; is that
----	---------------------	---------------	------------

16 correct?

- 17 MR. WUELLNER: The -- the area we're
- 18 looking -- the concept behind what we're looking
- 19 at is the purchase within the state mitigation
- 20 land bank.
- 21 It's not us buying property directly. It's
- 22 facilitating the state's ability to buy marshland
- 23 within the state for preservation for public
- 24 purpose. It's -- it's a way of mitigating

25 without --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: It's a trade-off.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: -- having to do it --
- 3 MS. CLYMER: And my other question, I was
- 4 listening while y'all were having your little
- 5 conversation before the meeting officially
- 6 started. And I have heard this from several of
- 7 our county commissioners, and this really bothers
| 8 | me. |
|----|--|
| 9 | The Airport Authority has always been a |
| 10 | separate entity. How in any way, shape, or form |
| 11 | can it be considered that they are going to be put |
| 12 | under county insurance? They are not county |
| 13 | employees now, nor have they ever been. |
| 14 | MR. GORMAN: Can I address that issue real |
| 15 | quickly? |
| 16 | MS. CLYMER: You certainly may. |
| 17 | MR. GORMAN: In when you insure people, |
| 18 | especially with health insurance and I think |
| 19 | that anybody that works actually, they do work |
| 20 | for the county, because actually they work in |
| 21 | public transportation. |
| 22 | Now, whether you go into the fine lines of |
| 23 | whether they work directly for the county or not |
| 24 | is another issue. But you'd have to agree that |
| 25 | you're going to need health insurance. And if you |

1	take the small amount of people that work at this
2	airport and you try to insure them separately
3	under health insurance, you don't get as good a
4	deal. That's the bottom line.
5	And the bottom net-net to the taxpayers is
6	that we have to pay more per employee here for
7	health insurance than if they were put in a
8	broader-based plan. And that sums it up.
9	And so, it would be beneficial, bottom line
10	to the taxpayers and to the people themselves, if
11	they were put in a larger group of people that
12	then were insured. That's the simple I mean,
13	that's
14	MR. WUELLNER: The airport still pays for it.
15	You're just part of a larger group.
16	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
17	MS. CLYMER: Okay. You keep referring to our
18	airport as being part of public transportation.
19	And, yes, technically, it falls under that
20	heading. However, the lady that just left, we're
21	not expecting major commercial flights in here.

- 22 This airport wouldn't sustain it at this point.
- 23 I know what the tower's like. I've utilized
- this airport myself on numerous occasions.
- 25 There's no way possible in a 10-year span that we

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	could support commercial flights here. It it
2	couldn't happen, okay? Maybe 30 years from now; I
3	don't know. But it's that's not going to
4	happen.
5	Public transportation would imply that this
6	benefits the entire county, the general public.
7	It does not. It affects the higher class in the
8	county. And, you know, that's sad. I mean,
9	you're it's like you're breaking it into
10	classes here, because this is not really public
11	transportation.
12	I can't come here well, I can, because I
13	have several friends that own airplanes here.
14	Okay? I can. But the general public cannot walk

- 15 in here and go, "I need to fly to Miami today."
- 16 That doesn't happen. So, public transportation
- 17 is -- it's like a broad spectrum.
- 18 And, you know, I just -- I don't like the way
- 19 that's being summed up. And I have to agree --
- 20 and I know this is not an eminent domain hearing,
- 21 but I'm very familiar with eminent domain. I
- lived on 207 for 20 years of my life, and when the
- county stepped in and broadened the highway, which
- 24 benefited the entire county, it was a good thing,
- 25 okay? We didn't fight it; we didn't argue it; you

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 didn't have people backing up on it because it did
- 2 benefit the whole.
- 3 This doesn't benefit the whole. This
- 4 benefits certain groups of it. And that's never
- 5 right. And, you know, I know it's not a morality
- 6 issue but, you know, like she said, it -- in a
- 7 way, it does boil down to that.

8	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I'm certain there's
9	several positive arguments that you could get from
10	the board here. It's not really any reason to
11	belabor it at this meeting because we're in a
12	budget meeting. But, you know, maybe next week,
13	it would be a more appropriate place to address
14	that.
15	MS. CLYMER: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: And I'd be happy to discuss it
17	with you one on one here, if you care to, but
18	MS. CLYMER: I would love to.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Do you have any more
20	questions?
21	MS. CLYMER: No.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Thank you very much.
23	MS. CLYMER: I'm done. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
25	MR. HICKOX: Wayne Hickox, 881 Queen Road.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	I'd like to address the lady's comment, if I may,
2	since the board doesn't want to speak for itself
3	here.
4	The fact of the matter is the entire public
5	does benefit. You have your Sheriff's Department
6	helicopters housed here. You have your National
7	Guard units housed here. In times of disaster,
8	they have they have housed other services
9	belonging to both the state and the federal
10	governments here. But on a continuing basis those
11	services are here.
12	And while I'd have a lot of the same
13	questions you have, those are benefits that
14	benefit the entire public.
15	MS. CLYMER: Our Sheriff's helicopter is
16	housed at General Hospital.
17	MR. HICKOX: No, it is not.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Right here.
19	MR. HICKOX: Right here.
20	MR. WUELLNER: So is FDLE, Water Management,
21	or whatever they call it.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, ma'am.

- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Marine.
- 24 MS. MILLS: Diane Mills, Lewis Speedway. I
- 25 don't fly, don't like flying. I only do it out of

1	necessity to get from one place to the other so
2	you have me there, but as an English major and a
3	psychology major, I will tell you that budget
4	equates to money, number one. I have a couple of
5	concerns over money. Doesn't really fit with the
6	budget, but yet it does fit with the budget.
7	Number one, you're throwing in the \$2 million
8	number here to include eminent domain. Is that
9	for tidying up what you've already done, or is
10	that for futuristic purposes? Are we got more
11	houses going down this year in that area?
12	MR. WUELLNER: The acquisition, the actual
13	eminent domain action from a legal perspective
14	has has been accomplished. The money that's in
15	the budget is to

16	CHAIRMAN COX: Stand by one
17	MS. MILLS: Tidy up?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry. I was waiting on
19	the tape. The money is effectively to finalize
20	the payments to the owners of that acquired
21	property.
22	MS. MILLS: Okay. And number two
23	MR. WUELLNER: So, "tidy up" is is
24	probably as good a term as any.
25	MS. MILLS: Number two, I heard the words

- 1 that we are public. As the English major, we're
- 2 really not public and we could have our own ports,
- 3 just like the hospital does for the life flight
- 4 someplace else. It doesn't have to be here, at a
- 5 less cost to the public.
- 6 This is basically, just like this gentleman
- 7 at the board said, a private entity, and corporate
- 8 America's coming and it's growing larger. There

9	is a larger demand; I'll give you that, okay? And
10	corporate America is larger, too, and more are
11	coming, and they need more space as well. But I
12	already pay corporate America.
13	As for the issues on insurance, as small
14	business person 101, I have to pay for my own, and
15	it really doesn't matter whether I can get a good
16	deal or not. Do you gentlemen and lady get paid
17	for what you do here at this board?
18	MS. GREEN: No.
19	MS. MILLS: Then I would assume you have
20	other jobs. And these other jobs can't afford you
21	insurance?
22	CHAIRMAN COX: The insurance is not for us.
23	MS. GREEN: It's not for us.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: It's for the staff and and
25	workers at the airport that get paid by the

62

1 airport.

2	MS. MILLS: Well, at least that's a
3	clarification. I'm not sure I agree with it yet,
4	but it is a clarification.
5	Number three is, I understand from the FAA
6	gentleman that commercial flights may not be
7	allowed just simply because of where the bridges
8	are located, and coming in on some days would be a
9	no-no. So, therefore, there is no large
10	commercial airplane flight that's allowed in here.
11	And that came from FAA.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I'm not sure who you
13	were talking to, but that's entirely incorrect.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah, that's not.
15	MR. WUELLNER: No no piece of that
16	discussion that explanation is real.
17	MS. MILLS: And number four, which is really
18	the only mind-set I even came in the door with,
19	was and again, we're at budget and we're having
20	to separate the two. Three years ago, give or
21	take a year or two when you're old like me, it
22	doesn't really matter.

- 23 So, several years ago, you had the Fly-By
- 24 Cafe, and it closed down, opened up, closed down,
- 25 and it cost this board a lot of money, cost the

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

63

1	taxpayers money. Why legal didn't have bonds in
2	the contracts, et cetera, why the board didn't
3	have somebody to protect them with bonds, I don't
4	know, but it is the general course of
5	construction, having worked on the airport with my
6	construction company.
7	In the meantime, we paid as taxpayers and
8	grant monies, et cetera, for these people at
9	Fly-By Cafe because of what you perceived as an
10	eminent lawsuit, because they were screaming that
11	the construction hurt their business. They hadn't
12	paid their lights. They hadn't paid you. They
13	hadn't done this. They hadn't done that.
14	According to people at the county, this
15	Fly-By Cafe was being ready to be served papers

- 16 for not paying their bills as well.
- 17 What -- as to the budget by the Fly-By Cafe,
- 18 what do you know about the Fly-By Cafe at this
- 19 point? How is it running and is it all in the
- 20 black -- black? Excuse me, I'm getting
- 21 dry-mouthed. Fortunately for you people.
- 22 But is it -- is it in the black where it
- 23 doesn't cost you anything? Are you making
- anything from it and where do you stand with that?
- 25 It's not a total budget picture, but it does

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

64

- 1 equate money.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Director? You could
- 3 possibly answer that more.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. The -- the lease is
- 5 current. It is shown -- I mean, show you
- 6 budgetwise, it's shown, and generates
- 7 approximately \$18,000 a year to the airport in

8 revenue.

9	MS. MILLS: And you know nothing about the
10	paperwork at the Sheriff's Department to be served
11	for not paying the bills?
12	MR. WUELLNER: No, I do not.
13	MS. MILLS: Are you going to check into that?
14	MR. WUELLNER: I will now.
15	MS. MILLS: I appreciate it. And will you
16	give a report as to what you find?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. MILLS: I appreciate that, too. Thank
19	you very much.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you.
21	MS. GREEN: I just want to clarify, you're
22	asking if they're not paying our bills or their
23	own corporate bills?
24	MR. WUELLNER: Corporate bills, I suspect.
25	MS. GREEN: That's what I was assuming.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

65

1 That's why I just want to make sure.

2	MR. BRUNSON: You know, I think this should
3	be discussed next Monday.
4	MS. MILLS: I I agree, too, but I don't
5	know where I
6	MR. BRUNSON: Because I have questions of our
7	attorney on that very thing.
8	MS. MILLS: And that's why I'm bringing that
9	up now so y'all can research, because it is under
10	the name of money, but it doesn't come I agree
11	with you, sir. So that you will know by next
12	Monday where everything is.
13	But even if hypothetically they paid you
14	their bill and it's somebody else's bill that
15	isn't paid, it still can have an effect on you
16	being paid down the road.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: And affect the airport. Yeah.
18	MS. GREEN: Sure.
19	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much. Further?
20	Yes, sir.
21	MR. SUTTON: My name is Jim Sutton, 4265
22	Lewis Avenue. And I think I should probably more
23	correctly say that I'm representing the newspaper,

- 24 The St. Augustine Record newspaper.
- 25 We published an editorial speaking about your

1	budget, and I think Mr. Gorman or, not, excuse
2	me, Mr. Gorman. There was a there was a
3	subsequent
4	MR. WUELLNER: Mr. George.
5	MR. SUTTON: column written in answer to
6	that.
7	And I just wanted to go through our concerns
8	for the public record on this. And and if
9	if I don't mind getting off the subject, this does
10	have to do with budget. And it's it's not
11	it's not your operating budget, and it's not what
12	your millage rate is. It's the method in which
13	you decided to take the money.
14	It was it was mentioned before that I
15	come from the from the aspect of being on the
16	editorial board, other than a period of about two

17	years, for the last 20 years, and spoken with just
18	about every member of of every board that's
19	ever been elected in here.
20	But on on the airport board, since as long
21	as I can remember, one of the main things that
22	came up is we are going to make this airport
23	self-sufficient. More specifically, since
24	probably 1996 or 1998, and somewhere around 2000,
25	I think, Jack, it was your plan, there was this

1	plan that started coming up, well, we really need
2	to cut 14 percent a year for seven years and then
3	we'll be off the tax roll. And we thought that
4	was a pretty good idea. And I think we mentioned
5	to every candidate, including Randy, who was in
6	there, well, we're glad to hear you say that and
7	we're going to watch to make sure that happens.
8	And it has not.
9	It's our contention there's a big there's

10	a very big difference between taking 14 percent
11	off a year for seven years, and taking nothing off
12	and then quitting and just keep going cold turkey
13	on the tax at seven years.
14	First off, when you cut 14 percent, it's
15	going to be very difficult for you to bring it
16	back next year. And when you cut 14 percent the
17	next year, you're actually cutting taxes.
18	And when you look at your figures, you're
19	really only robbing Peter to pay Paul. You're not
20	taking you're taking the extra \$3 million a
21	year by taking the taxes, and when you show on
22	your year, on your 2011 or whatever it is, the
23	only reason the only way you make those when
24	you go to zero millage rate, the only way you make
25	that up, is in your own figures, just taking

- 1 almost another \$4 million that you've got in
- 2 reserve to pay it. So, you're simply taking the

3	money now and saving it till later.
4	But the main concern is this, that there's
5	no there's there's not a very good chance
6	I don't mean this in a bad way. There's a pretty
7	good chance none of you will be sitting on this
8	board in 2011. And if you are, there may be two
9	of you. There may be three new people come on
10	there.
11	And there's absolutely nothing that will stop
12	them from saying, These people were crazy; they
13	cannot bind us to not taking taxes this year.
14	It will be the same thing if in 2000, the
15	board had voted to simply quit taking taxes from
16	the board this year, and you said, Wait a minute,
17	we've got runway this that needs this. The ILS is
18	broken down. We've got major hurricane damage.
19	We've got a million things that can happen.
20	But our concern is at the newspaper, is
21	that this will never happen, because that board
22	will not be bound by anything that you do in this
23	budget year. And it's been shown I can tell

- 24 you how the City did it to the parking garage two
- 25 years ago. The County Commission's done it five

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	times, taken major projects, and when the new
2	board came in, simply overturned everything that
3	was happening.
4	So, I don't see what the difference is in the
5	end run of how you end up. But I can tell you it
6	will be very easy not to stop taking those taxes
7	in five years. But if you or six years or
8	whatever it is now.
9	But if you cut the 14 percent a year, it
10	would be very difficult for you each year to come
11	back and get it back. And that's a major concern.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much. Further
14	discussion?
15	(No further public comment.)
16	CHAIRMAN COX: We need to move on to a

17	discussion of the millage rate by the board.
18	6 DISCUSSION OF MILLAGE RATE BY AUTHORITY
19	MS. GREEN: I think we've we tried to
20	banter it around with the budget. Do you want to
21	do the budget first, Ed?
22	MR. WUELLNER: I was going to say, I think
23	I think you
24	MS. GREEN: Yes.

25 MR. WUELLNER: -- generally put them together

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

70

- 1 at that point and entertain comments on both.
- 2 However, it's really up to you.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: Let me just weigh in for one

4 moment.

- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
- 6 MR. BURNETT: If you would, Chapter 200
- 7 speaks to and says that the Authority should adopt
- 8 its tentative millage rate first, prior to
- 9 adopting the tentative budget. So, if you would,

/ C// Docum	ents/v2ound/v2obetings/Desites/Deb eD 10/1200.nt
10	review the millage rate first.
11	MS. GREEN: And that
12	CHAIRMAN COX: I did. I just opened it up
13	for discussion of the millage rate.
14	MS. GREEN: And that's why I say, and I think
15	it was
16	MR. BURNETT: Just wanted to make sure.
17	MS. GREEN: I think we did discuss it, and I
18	think the majority of us I don't want to speak
19	for other people. I'm very in favor of the
20	rolled-back rate, taking it back. It gives us a
21	little incremental increase, not much, but it
22	doesn't increase the dramatic amount that we had
23	looked at before.
24	So, I think, to keep on the plan that we all
25	believe, and the board before this believed that,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 too -- that's why we have this expenditure, the
- 2 capital expenditures that we have -- that we

3	should take that rollback rate and use the money
4	for our capital expenditures to get off the
5	eliminate the tax rolls.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Jack?
7	MR. GORMAN: If you decrease taxes, you
8	decrease taxes. If you increase taxes, you
9	increase taxes.
10	One of the reasons I've been building all of
11	these comments of mine is because if you run a
12	little bit leaner, you can actually take less
13	taxes. The rollback rate will be a small, but
14	still an increase. What can I say?
15	CHAIRMAN COX: How do you figure it's an
16	increase?
17	MR. GORMAN: It is an actual net increase
18	in in ad valorem revenue to the airport, even
19	though if we take the rollback.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: So, your suggestion is just
21	to
22	MR. GORMAN: Roll it back even further if you
23	could.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. And and keep the

same dollars coming -- or close to the same

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

1	dollars coming into the airport that we got last
2	year, as opposed to keeping the rollback rate and
3	realizing a net gain out of the higher tax base.
4	MR. GORMAN: It seems to be a consensus of
5	the taxpayers to roll it back as much as you can.
6	Simple as that.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Randy?
8	MR. BRUNSON: Well, again, I wish I had some
9	magic wand that I could wave and say let's cut the
10	taxes 14 percent immediately. But I am very
11	pleased that instead of the \$625,000 that we
12	originally looked at, that I thought I was going
13	to come in here and have big discussions about,
14	instead, with the discussions I've had with Ed,
15	I'm seeing that we are doing something, even
16	though the the rollback rate still has a net
17	increase of a little over \$100,000, and that's not

18	cutting the taxes 14 percent.
19	But, you know, hopefully we can stick to our
20	plan, and hopefully I know I'm going to be here
21	three more years. And hopefully, in the next
22	three years, with the revenues that we will get
23	for for for hangars and different things and
24	looking close at the capital expenditures that are
25	revenue producing instead of other things that

73

2	hopefully one of these years, the newspaper and
3	the public will brag about us instead of being
4	upset. So, I'm very pleased to to support the
5	budget with the rollback rate.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: All right. And I'm I'm in
7	favor of the rollback rate, myself.
8	7 PUBLIC COMMENT ON MILLAGE RATE
9	CHAIRMAN COX: I'm going to open this up
10	very just to public discussion for discussion

that would continue on our plan and -- and

11	very focused on the millage rate, to public
12	discussion. Be very focused comments on and
13	that's it. Anybody have any discussion, further
14	discussion from the public on millage rate?
15	(No public comment.)
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Hearing no discussion, we'll
17	move forward. Do we need a motion to adopt this
18	tentative?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Tentatively.
20	8 TENTATIVE ADOPTION OF BUDGET & MILLAGE RATE
21	MR. BRUNSON: I'd like to make a motion.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Motion's on the floor. Do I
23	hear a second?
24	MR. BRUNSON: That we approve the 2005-2006
25	rollback rate of

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: .0255?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: .2055.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: .2055.

4	MS. GREEN: I'll make a second I'll second
5	that motion.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: I hear a second. Any
7	discussion?
8	(No further discussion.)
9	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor, say aye.
10	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
12	MS. GREEN: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: All those against? Opposed?
14	MR. GORMAN: Seems to be my job. Nay.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Nay? So, 3 to 1, the motion
16	passes.
17	MS. GREEN: Do we entertain a motion on the
18	budget now?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Tentatively.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: I will entertain a motion on
21	the tentative budget.
22	MS. GREEN: I'd like to make a motion to
23	accept the 2005 tentative budget as presented with
24	the rolled-back rate.

25 MR. WUELLNER: Which is \$14,048,366.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

- 1 MS. GREEN: \$-366.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Do I hear a second?
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: I will second the motion.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Any discussion?
- 5 (No discussion.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: All those in favor?
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
- 9 MS. GREEN: Aye.
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: All those opposed?
- 11 MR. GORMAN: Opposed.
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: The motion passes 3 to 1.
- 13 9. FINAL BUDGET HEARING SEPTEMBER 19, 2005
- 14 MR. WUELLNER: If you'd make note of the
- 15 final budget hearing being next week, we'll
- 16 interrupt our regular Authority meeting that
- 17 begins at 4:00 for a 5:01 advertised public

18	hearing for the final budget.
----	-------------------------------

19 CHAIRMAN COX: The regular meeting beg	ins a	at
--	-------	----

- 4:00. Then at 5:01, we have the second budget
- 21 meeting.
- 22 MR. GORMAN: Are we going to conclude our
- regular meeting?
- 24 MS. GREEN: We interrupt it, and then we'll
- 25 have the budget, because we have to have the

- 1 budget meeting at 5:00.
- 2 MR. BRUNSON: 5:01.
- 3 CHAIRMAN COX: 5:01.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Can't be any earlier.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: That's it.
- 6 10. FINAL COMMENTS
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Anybody have any further
- 8 discussion?
- 9 (No further comments.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.

.///C//Documents/0	20anu % 20Settings/ Bin/ Desktop/ BODGE 1091205.txt
11	11 ADJOURNMENT
12	MR. WUELLNER: Stand adjourned.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Meeting adjourned.
14	(Thereupon, the meeting concluded.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BUDGET MEETING - SEPTEMBER 12, 2005

77

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3 STATE OF FLORIDA)

e:///C /Doo	e:///C /Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/BUDGET091205.txt					
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)					
5						
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify					
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically					
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the					
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic					
10	notes.					
11						
12	Dated this 18th day of September, 2005.					
13						
14	LANET M DEASON DDD CD DMD CDD					
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida					
16	My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						