1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	Workshop
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, February 27, 2006
6	from 3:07 p.m. to 4:58 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	BOB COX, Chairman
	WAYNE GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer
10	RANDY BRUNSON
	JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
11	
	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:
12	
	SUZANNE GREEN
13	
15	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
14	
14	AL SO DDESENT.
15	ALSO PRESENT:
15	
	DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
16	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
17	
	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
18	
	VICTOR MARTINELLI
19	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

20	
21	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR
22	St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augusting EL 22084
23	St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570
24	
25	
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006 2
1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	CHAIRMAN COX: I'm going to call to order the
3	workshop meeting of 2/27 of '06. Would you like
4	to open with any statement? Okay. Mr. Gorman,
5	would you like to open?
6	MR. GORMAN: No, I wouldn't. I'd like to
7	close.
0	

- MR. GEORGE: Well, we can skip a lot -- we 8
- can skip a lot of rhetoric, if you'll just go to 9
- the close so we'll know where you stand. 10
- 11 CHAIRMAN COX: No. What airport did you
- 12 have? Go ahead.

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

13	MR. GORMAN: I had two. I have I have a
14	fellow that which was Mr. Warner, who declined
15	to actually attend from for a number of
16	different reasons, one of which, he didn't feel
17	that we were actually addressing the issue in as
18	organized a fashion that would provide us any
19	any proper data that would be able to be useful.
20	(Whereupon, Mr. Burnett enters the room.)
21	MR. GORMAN: So, I can open and close?
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Was that an airport?
23	MR. GORMAN: No. This is
24	MR. GEORGE: He was the other member of our

25 panel.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: He was going --
- 2 MR. GORMAN: He was another member of this --
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: Do you want to step down here?
- 4 Maybe you can --
- 5 MR. GORMAN: -- our situation, right.

6	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure. Okay. That's all
7	right.
8	MR. GEORGE: You're not getting off that
9	easy.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: We're not opening and closing
11	this thing. I suggested that last week. You
12	insisted we have it.
13	MR. GORMAN: I have a statement. I have a
14	statement, so
15	MR. WUELLNER: Is Henry coming, Jack?
16	MR. GORMAN: No.
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Oh, okay. I'll move over.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, let's let me try
19	let's let's do this, but I wanted to
20	MR. MARTINELLI: I don't want to get too
21	close to Jack, though.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: I I introduced Vic's
23	Mr. Martinelli's letter last week, and I'd like to
24	have and that might serve to open the meeting a
25	little bit better, is to have Vic share his

1	concerns about, you know, what the genesis of
2	this meeting, to begin with, and then we can go
3	around the table and share our the knowledge we
4	have or do not have. How is that? Vic, if you
5	wouldn't mind?
6	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure. As you know, I was
7	assigned Gainesville airport to to compare.
8	And I just about got into the comparison when some
9	things became rather obvious to me.
10	One of them, of course, was the way
11	Gainesville budgets versus the way we budget. And
12	if you recall, oh, some months ago, I talked about
13	zero based budgeting and and suggested three or
14	four classifications of necessity to categorize
15	your expenses by line item.
16	Gainesville does do that, but they do it only
17	in two classifications, "necessity," and "would
18	like to have." And so, trying to trace a
19	particular line item, like maintenance costs or
20	maintenance labor, you have to go to many places

- 21 to find it, and then you're not sure what you
- 22 found is really what they're operating under,
- 23 because at the time that I did it, the budget
- wasn't approved.
- 25 So, do I take the "necessity" part only or

1	the "necessity plus like to have," that kind of
2	thing.
3	And then it further got complicated, because
4	in Gainesville, the FBO operates certain hangars.
5	There is industry on the field that is in other
6	hangars, and, of course, the Airport Authority
7	itself. And, of course, commercial aviation is
8	there, as far as the terminal's concerned and
9	and how that's handled.
10	And so, frankly and this sounds a little
11	bit rough, but at my age, I said to myself, hey,
12	I'm willing to donate my time to a worthy cause,
13	but I don't have that much time left and I can't

14	waste my time. And to me, that was a waste of
15	time, and so I just stopped.
16	And so, anyway, that's where my comparison
17	stood. But then, thinking about it further, I
18	realize that you board members have a
19	responsibility, because the buck stops right here,
20	and if anything is wrong with the airport,
21	ultimately, it comes back to you folks and sits in
22	your lap. So so, there's a due diligence that
23	you all have to do.
24	Now, thinking about that, and thinking also
25	that the board's role is to set policy, and if

6

- 1 their policy -- if the policy is not being
- 2 followed, then of course to follow up and make

3 sure that it is.

- 4 Now, it's not the board's job to micromanage,
- 5 and you've probably heard that many, many times.
- 6 When you set policy and you determine that the

7	policy's being followed, then you move on.
8	If in your mind you don't think the policy is
9	being followed, then as a board, by consensus or
10	by vote or resolution, you say, I want this study
11	done or that study done to determine whether or
12	not the policy's being followed.
13	For example, if you say, as policy, you want
14	this airport run as efficiently as possible and
15	you want it to survive, you know, critical mishaps
16	or whatever, and if something happens and it
17	doesn't survive, that's a clear example of a
18	policy not being followed.
19	If, on the other hand, you feel that there's
20	overspending in a particular area, then you want
21	to look into that. But you do that as a board,
22	not as individuals.
23	When I say that, I'm saying the board then,
24	by resolution or by consensus, says I want to hire
25	this consultant or I want this study done or that

1 study done to determine.

2	Now, if the board, as a group, as a whole, by
3	vote doesn't do that, and if a particular board
4	member feels that it should be done, then it's up
5	to that board member either to do it or to pay to
6	have it done or to see that it gets done.
7	And to me and I've got an example of that.
8	Back in 1993, I was on this board, and I felt that
9	the lease that we had with Aero Sport at that time
10	was a sweetheart lease.
11	Janet will remember those days, I'm sure.
12	She was here. And and so, the board didn't
13	believe what I had to say.
14	Well, I didn't go to, at that time Mike
15	Campbell, who was executive director, and say,
16	"Mike, I want you to do this study or that study,"
17	or, "I want you to hire this consultant or that
18	consultant," because it was beyond my purview.
19	The board didn't agree to it, and therefore,
20	I could not give that instruction, and I couldn't

- 21 incur that expense on behalf of the board. So, I
- 22 did it myself. And this is the result of that
- study (indicating). And after I did that study, I
- brought it to the board and I said, "Look it over.
- 25 If you don't agree with it, tell me. Tell me

8

- 1 where you believe it's wrong."
- 2 And so, I would suggest that in the future,
- 3 if any board member has that thought, that that
- 4 board member do what I did, or else, you know, pay
- 5 somebody to do it, but do not encumber the board

6 to do it.

- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Wait a minute.
- 8 MR. BRUNSON: Ed can -- okay. Go ahead.
- 9 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. So, one more comment
- 10 that I want to make, and that is that a study, a
- 11 comparative study, such as, you know, we've been
- 12 trying to do here, is not policy.
- 13 What do you do with it after you get it?

```
file:///C|/Documents\%20 and\%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt
```

14	Then you establish policy. And you say, I want
15	this or that done as a matter of policy in order
16	to correct a particular situation if it needs
17	correcting.
18	Now, again, I'll come back to what I opened
19	with, and that is the buck stops here, and you
20	guys have this responsibility. So, how do you
21	discharge that responsibility when you have
22	noncomparative subjects that you're trying to
23	compare? And that's the situation I think you're
24	in now. You can't compare these airports. You
25	can't compare line items.

- 1 But what you can do is employ the techniques
- 2 that I had talked about two, three, four, five
- 3 months ago, zero based budgeting, planning,
- 4 programming, and budgeting. Those techniques, you
- 5 can, as a matter of policy, direct that they be
- 6 done, and you can then examine those things and

7	satisfy yourselves that you are operating as
8	efficiently as possible.
9	Now, I suspect that Gainesville has done
10	that, and I suspect that when Gainesville looks at
11	their required budget, they have a pretty good
12	feel that that is what is basically required.
13	And then if there's money left over or if the
14	board decides as a matter of policy that they want
15	to implement additional programs, they then
16	approve the supplementals which are in there as
17	well.
18	So, that's what I had to contribute. I'll be
19	happy to answer any questions.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, let's
21	MR. MARTINELLI: Go ahead.
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Here's what I'd like to do, is
23	we'll go around the table and have each person
24	report whatever they have to report. If you have
25	a question on something anybody said, we'll go

1	into open debate after the fact so we can get
2	everybody's report.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Let me make a note of something
4	so simple that I wanted to ask you about. I'll
5	just hold it.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. If you don't mind.
7	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. That's fine.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: And then why don't you
9	Randy, why don't you go ahead with your comments
10	and we'll
11	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I'll
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Did you did you need to
13	write down what you're
14	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
15	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. Don't want you to
16	forget it.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. As stated, I I had
18	Craig, and so the airport manager was good enough
19	to come in to the board office. And and I
20	asked somebody I have a lot of respect for as an
21	MBA in in financial matters and stuff, Jack

- 22 Riley, who I respect.
- But anyway, he came in, and Ed sat in the
- 24 meeting with us, and we asked him questions and he
- asked us questions. And -- and Jack took notes

1	and and but what what it in my
2	opinion, what it came down to, that just like
3	Victor just said, it's two completely different
4	businesses.
5	Sure, we land airplanes, but they they are
6	more developers, and where they derive their
7	income from is they ground lease, and then other
8	people build the buildings and eventually they own
9	them.
10	And the financial statements of Craig are
11	buried into the Jacksonville Airport Authority.
12	And to we we were unable to pull it out of
13	them, really, how they ran their business.
14	And we do have some comparisons here, and we

15	do have a letter from Jack, just very generally
16	giving his thoughts on that. And I'll be glad to
17	read that now or later or
18	CHAIRMAN COX: It's up to you. You can read
19	it into the record if you want. Is it is it
20	long?
21	MR. BRUNSON: And no. Not at all. And I
22	just received this. I haven't had I told him
23	that I needed it by this morning, and I got it at
24	1 o'clock today.
25	"Randy, reference referencing our recent

- 1 conversation on the subject, enclosed please find
- 2 a spreadsheet containing an initial analysis of
- 3 the St. Augustine Airport and Craig, along with
- 4 supporting Schedules A and B.
- 5 "Please note that this is an analysis in no
- 6 ways complete, particularly in light of the fact
- 7 that Craig says they do not break out their

8	financial statements from the rest of the JAA
9	units, and that I never received a consolidated
10	financial report from them.
11	"Also, I'm basing my analysis on a short
12	period of time by the 12 months ending September
13	the 30th.
14	"I took a look at the St. Augustine Airport
15	statement, both on its own and in comparison to
16	Craig as applicable, and have come up with the
17	following observations and comments:
18	"St. Augustine seems to have somewhat better
19	revenue ratios than Craig, comparing revenues to
20	operations, to based aircraft and to acreage
21	employed.
22	"It is slightly behind Craig in the ratio of
23	revenues to number employees. The profit loss
24	are, of course, not good since St. Augustine
25	Airport still requires subsidies.

1	"Current ratios and networking capital
2	numbers for the St. Augustine Airport are good,
3	and one would suspect that in a subsidiary
4	subsidized activity. Comparative analysis of
5	operating expenses of St. Augustine Airport showed
6	about the same percentage of values, Schedule A.
7	However, these allocations as indicated below are
8	somewhat arbitrary.
9	"Further, we don't know if Craig's numbers
10	for operating its expense include any
11	administration costs.
12	"I analyzed the administrative and
13	personal personnel expense shown in the
14	St. Augustine statement and tried to separate them
15	in pure administrative expense and expenses that
16	would be directly concerned with the operation of
17	the airport, Schedule B," he gave me.
18	"The expense items are arranged in decreasing
19	order and also expect as percentage of total
20	and total revenue. These there are expenses
21	which need to be allocated between both categories

 $file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt$

- I've arbitrarily set at 75 percent to operations
- and 25 percent to administration.
- 24 "After allocating expenses between
- administration and operating expenses, based on my

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	experience in this area, operating expense of
2	administrating administrative expenses comprise
3	about 60 percent of revenue.
4	"The former is not out of line. The latter
5	is not so good, at least in context of most
6	businesses.
7	"It has been my experience that
8	administration expenses are kept under 20 percent
9	in most successful businesses. And particularly
10	legal and insurance costs were budgeted busters,
11	and I believe them accounted for about 25 percent
12	of total cost. Total personnel cost of
13	approximately 650K allocated over 11 employees
14	would result in a cost of about 59,000 employee.

```
file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt
```

15	"Take a closer look at some of these expense
16	items might be a good idea. This analysis did not
17	take into account any effect of the nonoperating
18	items, which were significant in terms of their
19	contributing to the P&L.
20	"Hope this helps. Please give me a call."
21	And as I said, I just got this and I haven't
22	even had made you copies of it yet, but he's
23	given me a breakdown on expenses and kind of tried
24	to compare them to Craig, and instead of trying to
25	get into that now, I would suggest that we keep

- 1 going around in general.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Right. We'll do that. I
- 3 think you brought up some very good points, and
- 4 we'll -- we'll get to them right after we get
- 5 around to everybody.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: I --
- 7 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George?

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

8	MR. GEORGE: I had Page Field in Ft. Myers.
9	Found some very interesting geographical type
10	of similarities. We've got 750 acres; they've got
11	450. They've got 300 based aircraft; we've got
12	230. They do 90,000 ops; we do 130,000 ops, which
13	kind of surprised me. But when you consider that
14	Northwest Regional, which is further down the road
15	is there, it's getting all the air carrier and
16	probably all of the commercial or the the
17	corporate stuff coming in there.
18	T-hangars, we have 120; they have 128.
19	Commercial hangars, they don't have any. All land
20	lease. They've got seven land lease, and they
21	basically lease the the property for 20 I
22	mean, 30, 40 years. And the company comes in and
23	builds it, and at the end of that time period,
24	it's theirs, which is much like what you found
25	out.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
2	MR. GEORGE: Runway, they had two runways,
3	one 6,400 feet and the other one 5,000 feet. They
4	do have a fire service on the field run by the
5	county.
6	They are part of the Lee County Port
7	Authority. To pull information out of them that
8	is consolidated, I found the same thing that Randy
9	did, you know, in in his area.
10	I did a quick analysis of the of the short
11	pieces of information. They had St. Augustine
12	has an operating revenue budget of 1.375. They
13	have one of 1.968. If you look in it, they have a
14	few little minor things, like building rent,
15	\$860,000.
16	Now, somewhere in there, the FBO is buried,
17	you know, in that, but I don't know where, you
18	know, it is.
19	We have an operating expense of 1.682. They
20	have an operating expense of \$520 It's a hell
21	of a deal, except when you start looking at it,
22	there's no people so the people at the county

- 23 level.
- 24 One of our biggest items that we have is
- 25 insurance. They have no insurance category in

1	their budget at all. And they have a little
2	something I don't really understand, why their
3	electrical is \$288,000 a year out of a total
4	budget of \$520
5	They have no accounting. Their capital
6	expenditures are \$59,000, whereas ours are
7	well, I guess if you're if you're where they
8	are, they're they're as tight in spacewise as
9	we are. And they've gone with a lease operation
10	that at some point in time is going to turn around
11	and be theirs.
12	I asked them to send us a copy of their land
13	lease, you know, document, because as we get into
14	the building costs of our three hangars here being
15	so much over what we budgeted for, that might be

- 16 an option that we use private funds to, you know,
- 17 to generate some revenue.
- 18 Anyway, I have come to the same conclusion.
- 19 By the way, they had 10 people in maintenance; we
- 20 have 12. But they have 17 in the FBO. And I
- 21 don't see any of those anywhere, you know, in the
- 22 numbers.
- 23 So, they are -- they are similar. Oh, I'm
- sorry. We have seven on staff, and they have 17.
- 25 I don't know where the numbers came from.

- 1 So, anyway, I found the same frustration that
- 2 everybody else did, that there's no
- 3 apples-to-apples comparison. The only way you're
- 4 going to get something like that is to go to the
- 5 Florida, you know, chapter of the AAAE and say,
- 6 Guys, we'd like to do some comparison and here's
- 7 the budget we want you to do and, you know, the
- 8 stuff that the -- that the county gives you from

9	the Port Authority, you know, here's how we want
10	you to identify it and everything. And I don't
11	I don't think you'll ever going to sell them to do
12	that.
13	MR. MARTINELLI: I suspect that they can't do
14	it, so it's
15	MR. GEORGE: End of report.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. I appreciate
17	it.
18	Well, I had Sanford. And up until Friday
19	evening, I was actually having problems even
20	getting ahold or anybody to respond. I didn't
21	have any problem getting ahold of somebody, but
22	they wouldn't respond with any of the info.
23	So, they were supposed to e-mail me something
24	this morning, and as of four phone calls later
25	today, I still have got none of the info that I

19

1 really wanted to get on Sanford.

2	Moving back to my comments last week on the
3	problems I was having with doing it and I could
4	pull some stuff up probably off the internet that
5	will be very generic to Sanford, but I don't think
6	it's going to from what I looked at on the
7	internet, it's not very in-depth.
8	I really have nothing to report on Sanford,
9	but I will make an effort to get some, just
10	because it kind of ticks me off that I was not
11	able to get any response out of them.
12	But beyond that, Jack, what I'd like to do is
13	have you finish up, and then I've got notes that I
14	wrote down on everybody's points, and I've got
15	questions about. I'm sure everybody else does,
16	too. Would you carry on?
17	MR. GORMAN: You want me to carry on, hmm?
18	All righty. It's a good thing I didn't have to
19	graduate high school on my penmanship.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: What airport did you have?
21	You have?
22	MR. GORMAN: What report? I had we had

 $file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt$

two, actually, between the two of us, assigned,

because --

25 CHAIRMAN COX: Because you had Henry?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	MR. GORMAN: Yes. Because Ed I had taken
2	Peter O. Knight, which is not a towered airport,
3	but it has a similar number of operations, is
4	similarly placed, and is you know, with a
5	municipality is is in a growing area.
6	And then we also had Tamiami, which is again
7	a growing area, I mean almost grown to the point
8	of exhaustion. It's a very, very busy airport and
9	tends to do a lot of jet traffic. And both of
10	those are similar in many different ways. I had
11	the same angst as everybody else about it's
12	it's certainly quite a task.
13	And this is my statement. It's: Analysis of
14	any business that has multiple departments runs on
15	the fact that many analysis of any business

file:///Cl/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

16	that has multiple departments is very it's very
17	difficult to actually get any sums to compare
18	directly, because different budget items are
19	buried in different budgets. I mean, it's very
20	hard to break the budgets out. And this is
21	Mr. Martinelli's point, and it's very true.
22	But all businesses run on the fact that they
23	survive because they are more efficient.
24	And I carefully read Mr. Martinelli's letter,

and as he was invited to help in our analysis,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 but -- and, of course, he's here now, and that's
- 2 good, because on -- on addressing the issue, let's
- 3 go to Mr. Martinelli's letter.
- 4 I couldn't agree more with him; the task of
- 5 comparative analysis is highly consumptive of time
- 6 due to simply too much data and the fact that
- 7 data's not in all of the same columns.
- 8 It is my opinion it cannot be done by an

```
file:///C|/Documents\%20 and\%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt
```

9	individual in spare time. I have tried to do it
10	in spare time. We've all tried to do it in our
11	spare time, and I think we've all come to the same
12	conclusion that Mr. Martinelli has, that it is
13	tough work.
14	And but my opinion is that this should be
15	done professionally. The audit firm that we have
16	now has not been directed to do any type of
17	comparative analysis.
18	And I must protest his letter on one concern.
19	And you must trust me that this inquiry on my part
20	is not a personal, against our present director,
21	and I'm sorry if this is a perception by
22	Mr. Martinelli. Nothing would be further from the
23	truth.
24	It is simply a matter common sense, and I
25	believe it's part of our responsibility to the

22

1 public, and as board members, to actually take a

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

2	good look at airports, similar airports, and what
3	their expenses are. Even if and we are finding
4	out rapidly that this is tough work. But no
5	matter what it takes, because what it costs and
6	what it costs the taxpayers to run them. If the
7	director's budget is running under in one
8	instance, I I understand from Mr. Brunson's
9	report, we might be running under in one spot.
10	That sounds good.
11	But if the director's budget runs under, then
12	his and he is more efficient, then we can
13	applaud him. And if certain areas run far over,
14	if certain areas, our expenditures exceed the
15	average for a comparable airport, then he should
16	be directed by this board to cut, to trim, to
17	bring excesses into line. And this is the the
18	reality of running the airport like a business.
19	And further, it's my information that the
20	Auditor General of Florida will issue guidelines,
21	and these guidelines will be greater in scope than
22	the previous audit directives. In a very short
23	time, these directives will be audited. And that

- 24 is per Florida Statute 218.391.
- 25 And this needs to be complied with. And it's

1	simply my suggestion that that a committee
2	be be formed as per statute to select an
3	auditor, and as a move responsible to the
4	taxpayers, that audit procedures, after full
5	compliance with the newly published guidelines are
6	met, also include comparative studies of similar
7	airports' expenditures, and these will be similar
8	airports' expenditures that are, let's see,
9	specific to specific issues that board members
10	have, rather than the whole broad base so we're
11	not just wasting time. If we feel that
12	Mr. Wuellner's doing a great job in a certain
13	area, well, let's not bother beating that drum.
14	So, before this is over let's see if we
15	can go on. I am going to make a motion to form a
16	committee as per Florida Statute 218.391 to select

17	an audit firm, and also to provide for a
18	comparative analysis of airport expenditures for
19	specific issues that board members may have.
20	I have a little more to go. Do you want me
21	to
22	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
23	MR. GORMAN: finish it up right now?
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Continue forward.

25 MR. GORMAN: Okay. My -- my own concerns are

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

24

1	not like Mr. Martinelli's alluded to, the postage
2	and items that are trifling incidentals. My own
3	concerns are, one, our maintenance costs.
4	Lately, some of these large ones have been
5	justified as hurricane hardening of the airport.
6	And this may be necessary later to make sure we
7	can bring our insurance expenses down. But
8	expenses are high overall. It's just my feeling
9	of that. I've been going to other airports,

10	looking at other situations that go on, seeing the
11	other equipment in use. I just feel our
12	maintenance costs are high.
13	I feel our legal costs are off the charts.
14	And I cannot justify those specifically with a
15	specific figure, but I would like those to be
16	addressed by an audit firm.
17	I feel the cost of personnel to this airport
18	are are over, our average compared to other
19	airports. Part of this may simply be in part by
20	the by the 40 rental home previous situation.
21	But more importantly than than right now, my
22	concern is the projected large increases over a
23	period of time shown in budgets that were
24	projected into the future.
25	A fourth this is a minor thing, but it's a

- 1 point of philosophy of spending. The -- the
- 2 vehicle and vehicular expenses, just the number,

3	and and that's a point of philosophy of
4	spending, rather than actually the amount, because
5	the amount is not, compared to our entire budget,
6	huge at all.
7	And I'd like to have an audit firm address
8	our total administration costs as a percentage of
9	the grosses. Does this compare favorably with
10	other with other airports? If so, good.
11	Our insurance costs seem awfully high. They
12	may be comparable to other airports. They seem
13	awfully high.
14	And and my last comment is zero based
15	budgets are based on on perceived needs.
16	Perceived needs may many times without anything
17	to compare an expense to, these perceived needs
18	may be the status quo of an organization, and they
19	may tend to prevail. You would not know with zero
20	based budgeting if you are spending too much
21	compared to the rest of the airports that you
22	compete with, unless you have some data.
23	So, I agree with Mr. Martinelli and the rest

 $file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt$

- 24 of this board in the fact that comparing the whole
- 25 budgets is a massive data that's impossible to.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

26

1	But addressing specific issues, I would still like
2	to prevail on.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Is that it?
4	MR. GORMAN: That's it.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir. All right.
6	Mr. Burnett, did you have anything to comment on
7	or anything to add to the particular conversation
8	concerning these this issue?
9	MR. BURNETT: No. Other than I think
10	CHAIRMAN COX: I mean, other than not
11	questions. I mean, just anything general, because
12	I want to I want to go around and do the
13	questions or open debate here in just just
14	shortly, you know?
15	MR. BURNETT: No, other than the the one

observation, I guess, the audit that -- that

file:///Cl/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

17	Mr. Gorman is referring to. Since I I don't
18	know that it's required under the statute, I don't
19	know that you have to necessarily follow statutory
20	procedures. You could come up with whatever
21	procedures you wanted to. Although it makes
22	logical sense that you would follow the the
23	selection procedures in the statute.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: I don't want to debate it yet,

25 Jack, because I've got it marked down here as a --

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 as an issue that I want to address.
- 2 Okay. That's great. If we don't mind, since
- 3 I'm the chairman, I'll start off.
- 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Our HIP.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Great comments. And I -- I
- 6 had -- you had some very valid points there. And
- 7 moving over to Randy's comments, I just -- I'm
- 8 curious, because the letter you received
- 9 insinuated that -- that we were being subsidized

10	and and the insinuation that Craig isn't.
11	Is is Craig not subsidized, Ed? Like a hundred
12	percent?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think you'd have to
14	say that my understanding of the organization
15	is is that much like probably what goes on at
16	Ft. Myers, Orlando, Hillsborough County,
17	Miami-Dade, any of the, quote, unquote, airport
18	authorities that operate more of a system of
19	airports, that you're going to find and you'll
20	even find this at airports where they're run by a
21	city or a county government structure, you're
22	going to find that a lot of administrative-type
23	expenses are not carried directly in the budget.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
25	MR. WUELLNER: Directly in the individual

- 1 airport budget.
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: Well, my -- my point is --

3	MR. WUELLNER: Just not required to account
4	for it that way.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: that Craig Field, under
6	JAA, is subsidized by JAA.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. I I think that's a
8	fair statement.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: It it insinuated that our
10	subsidy percentage factor was higher than Craig's
11	was, and I I find that a little bit odd.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Well, in the absence of direct
13	information, which, you know
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Exactly.
15	MR. WUELLNER: you didn't get out of Duval
16	County, you didn't get out of Ft. Myers
17	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, it's that's the point
18	I'm trying to make, is, you know, we've got
19	once again, we're in the apples and oranges
20	thing but not to go on with that.
21	And and, you know, the one big issue out
22	of all of these whole every every one of
23	these comparisons we got, none of the fields, none
24	of the fields that run a comparison, have a

25 Northrop Grumman on the field, which is a whole

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

29

1	other issue that brings, you know, business to
2	this field.
3	We've got Northrop Grumman is the
4	number-one employer in the county, and it's here
5	on the airport. And and it's not don't get
6	me wrong; it's not the straw that breaks the
7	camel's back for any comparison or anything, but
8	it's just it's one of those issues that sets
9	St. Augustine apart from everybody else.
10	What was it I wrote about? Also, like at
11	Page Field, the there is a mall that's on the
12	airport, okay? There's there's a this big
13	mall, and I know it, because I used to do a lot of
14	work with the Lee County Port Authority police
15	down there.
16	The major airport, the Lee County Port

17 Authority police, have to go over to that mall,

18	and they provide security for the airport. And
19	it's like a sole symbiotic relationship between
20	the two, and it's so intermixed.
21	MR. GEORGE: The mall also has the land lease
22	from the airport for the land it's on, right?
23	CHAIRMAN COX: It's you know, so you get
24	like, whew, how do you ever get into that?
25	And then we keep coming back to this running

1	the airport like a business. It's and I had to
2	write this comment down, because I I keep
3	coming back to this in my mind.
4	It's fine to run this or to continue to say
5	we want to run it like a business, but there's a
6	big difference between running something like a
7	business than as a business. There's a huge
8	difference. I mean, it's fine to say to take
9	those guidelines and say we want to run it like a
10	business. That's great. But you can't run a

government governmental facility as a business.
It just it doesn't work that way.
And so, I but I agree with you. It's nice
to have the guidelines and say we want to try to
do that and reach for that goal.
An audit for comparative analysis. Here's
here's the issue I have with one of the comments
you said. And and if we, who just happen to be
like all the gentlemen sitting at the table here,
except for you're not a pilot, are you?
MR. BURNETT: No.
CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
MR. BURNETT: And and I don't have my
license. I've got
CHAIRMAN COX: It's neither here nor there,

- 1 but the point is every male here is a pilot. If
- 2 we, who understand aviation, can't come up with a
- 3 comparative analysis due to the differences of

4	these airports, how are we going to expect an
5	auditor to come in and do the same thing?
6	But I'm not asking for an answer. I'm just
7	pointing it's just a point I want to drop on
8	the table as a rhetorical point, okay?
9	And now we get into what I think Mr. Burnett
10	was talking about, the committee to be formed per
11	statute. What statutory requirements are there to
12	form a committee for an audit? Is that what
13	you're
14	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. And it's my understanding
15	that the Auditor General's going to produce
16	guidelines shortly as to the scope, which is
17	changing as to the audit.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Which what audit are we
19	talking about?
20	MR. GORMAN: In other words, the audit
21	that
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Our yearly audit?
23	MR. GORMAN: Yes, our yearly audit. And the
24	yearly audit that we have now is not an audit

that -- that contains any type of comparative

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	analysis. It's strictly an audit that's has to
2	do with expenditures and whether they were proper.
3	And I'm sure that the expenditures on this airport
4	are proper.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: So, your your understanding
6	is that the audit the audit parameters will
7	MR. GORMAN: Scope are going to change, and
8	those are going to be those are going to be
9	produced shortly, is my information from the
10	Auditor General's. And but it is now part of
11	Florida Statute, as my (sic) understand it, and
12	that we have a letter from Mr. Burnett, of
13	218.391, to select an audit firm by committee.
14	And my part of my motion will be is also
15	to provide for specific comparative analysis of
16	airport expenses that board members issues
17	board members may have.

nts%20and%20Settings/Rill/Deskton/2 27 06WS file:///C|/De

C /Docu	iments%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt						
18	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. The the guidelines						
19	that you suspect are going to change						
20	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, they're supposed to						
21	change, as I understand it.						
22	CHAIRMAN COX: are they going to require a						
23	comparative analysis? Is that correct?						
24	MR. GORMAN: I I don't have them right						
25	now. I						
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006						
	33						

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: So, let's not let me do that

right now. 3

- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: I'm not.
- 5 MR. GORMAN: But I suspect -- I suspect
- that -- that part of the guidelines do provide 6

7 for --

8 CHAIRMAN COX: Let's cross that bridge when

9 we get to it.

MR. GORMAN: -- overages in admin -- admin 10

11	costs and things like that, and as a percentage of
12	gross. Those kind of things are going to be
13	addressed.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Is this the firm that
15	you're this virtual firm that you're talking
16	about, is that, yeah, something out in space here
17	that we don't have, according to those parameters
18	yet, the audit team, is that something different
19	than the the people that do our audits now?
20	MR. GORMAN: I the people that do our
21	audits now, I don't know if they do any
22	comparative analysis.
23	CHAIRMAN COX: No, I know that, but I mean,
24	you say you say you want earlier, you you
25	insinuated you were going to make a motion that

- 1 the board get an audit, get an auditor to come in
- 2 and do a comparative analysis.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: It's -- it's my understanding

4	that that an audit firm has to be selected by					
5	committee.					
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Do we not have an audit firm					
7	now?					
8	MR. GORMAN: It has not been selected by					
9	committee.					
10	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, it was. Yes, it was.					
11	MR. GEORGE: It was selected by this group.					
12	MR. GORMAN: It was not selected by a					
13	committee purported to do that under the Florida					
14	Statute.					
15	MR. WUELLNER: Sure, it was.					
16	MR. GORMAN: I disagree with that.					
17	MR. WUELLNER: You assigned yourselves to the					
18	task of doing that selection.					
19	CHAIRMAN COX: We that was my that's					
20	my that's my question.					
21	MR. GORMAN: Let's let's argue let's					
22	agree to disagree on that.					
23	CHAIRMAN COX: No, no, no. But that					
24	that's like it's just like we chose the design,					
25	you know, the design/build firm. I mean, we went					

35

1	through a	nrocess	in	choosing,	did	We	not	the
1	unougn a	process	ш	choosing,	uiu	we	not,	uie

- 2 audit firm?
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: It essentially is the
- 4 identical process --
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: -- that you did for that. You
- 7 did that when you selected an attorney. You did
- 8 that when you select consultants in general.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: I would --
- 10 MR. WUELLNER: You generally employ the same

11 process.

- 12 MR. GEORGE: How often do we select them? Is
- 13 it good for two years, three years, or one year?
- 14 MR. WUELLNER: The audit firm?
- 15 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: You have three-year
- 17 selections, and there's a renewal option in the

18 contracts.

19	CHAIRMAN COX:	Well, as a matter of fa	act, the
----	---------------	-------------------------	----------

- 20 renewal option has -- we just talked about at the
- 21 last meeting, did we not?
- 22 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Which I need some
- 23 clarification, but that's -- we can't do that
- today.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: No, I'm not trying to say

1	that. But I'm just saying that process was taken
2	care of.
3	What what I was trying to get to is, your
4	point is, is that that's why I was unclear on
5	it, because it makes it sound like your
6	understanding is we need to select a completely
7	different firm like
8	MR. GORMAN: We don't necessarily have to
9	select a different firm. But the firm has to be
10	done by committee. The selection of the firm has
11	to be done from a committee.

12	CHAIRMAN COX: And and I think it was in
13	fact done by committee.
14	MR. GORMAN: And my as the way I look
15	at it and the way it was done, certainly no
16	committee was in fact
17	CHAIRMAN COX: By "committee," you mean the
18	board?
19	MR. GORMAN: By committee, was selected by
20	the board to administer that. That that
21	wasn't
22	CHAIRMAN COX: Now, wait a minute. Are you
23	talking about the committee being the board
24	itself
25	MR. GORMAN: No.

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: -- or a committee selected by
- 2 the board?
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Talking about, according to the
- 4 statute, a committee has to be convened to select

5	this audit. And here I have a I have a I
6	have your old memorandum here.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: But let's let Mr. Burnett have
8	a
9	MR. BURNETT: The the Authority there's
10	nothing in the statute that says that the
11	Authority members themselves can't
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Be the committee.
13	MR. BURNETT: Can't be the committee.
14	MR. GORMAN: But did the Authority members
15	themselves convene themselves as their own
16	committee to select this audit board this audit
17	firm? Not to my understanding.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Did you? Is that what the
19	question is?
20	MR. GORMAN: No, we did not.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, you did.
22	MR. GORMAN: Not as a motion.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, you did, too. You
24	conducted interviews from the firms, you made your
25	ranking, and you selected a firm.

1	MR. GORMAN: Certainly not as a motion and
2	certainly not in compliance with the statute.
3	That's my point.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Well
5	MR. GORMAN: And
6	MR. WUELLNER: that's kind of my point;
7	you did in total compliance with the statute. But
8	the fact of the matter is the statute didn't come
9	into being until after you last selected.
10	MR. GORMAN: Well, the statute's into being
11	now. There's new guidelines coming up. We
12	probably need to look at them
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well
14	MR. GORMAN: to make sure that this is
15	done. And I still would have to like to
16	address the the few issues that I had
17	comparatively, rather than a total base.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Right.

19	MR. MARTINELLI: Can I can I just make one
20	comment?
21	MR. GORMAN: Sure.
22	MR. MARTINELLI: I think if you have the
23	minutes somewhere, you will see in the minutes
24	because I believe I was at the meeting when you
25	folks discussed auditors and and voted on

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 Davis, Monk, right?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
- 3 MR. MARTINELLI: So -- and -- and I believe,
- 4 as Doug has said, the board -- the authority for a
- 5 committee comes from the board. If the board
- 6 chooses to take that authority upon themselves and
- 7 do the committee's work, they don't need to have a
- 8 committee. Having a committee is not required.
- 9 MR. BURNETT: Well, the -- the board, it
- 10 would be --
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Separately.

12	MR. MARTINELLI: it's own committee.
13	MR. BURNETT: Yeah, the board would be its
14	committee.
15	MR. MARTINELLI: That's what I'm saying; you
16	don't need a separate committee. If the board
17	chooses to do it, the board is the committee.
18	MR. GORMAN: So, on that on that pretense,
19	we don't we don't do any
20	MR. MARTINELLI: Not a pretense.
21	MR. GORMAN: any comparative analysis
22	because we've already done
23	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, wait. You're two
24	different things. Wait a minute. You're two
25	different things there. One is appointment of an

- 1 auditor, and the other is comparative analysis --
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- 3 MR. MARTINELLI: -- which is an entirely
- 4 different thing.

5	MR. GORMAN: Well, I'm just wasn't I
6	wasn't and I'm not yet convinced that we are in
7	compliance with that statute.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you are.
9	MR. GEORGE: I think I think the
10	MR. GORMAN: But that's an opinion.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Well
12	MR. GORMAN: Well, like I said, we agree to
13	disagree.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, we can't let it go and
15	say it's an opinion, because if if our
16	attorney, our legal representative says that we
17	have complied with the statute, that's not an
18	opinion. I mean, we're we're paying them to
19	give us the reading of the law.
20	MR. BURNETT: Well, and and let me just
21	weigh in on a couple of things.
22	One is the statute specifically provides that
23	you can renew contracts. And what it says is
24	that that there are minimum requirements that
25	those contracts have to have in them to be

1	renewed, but I've seen the contract you you
2	have have had in the past with Davis, Monk, and
3	it fits those requirements. It's in writing, and
4	it has the provisions and conditions for the
5	services and the other statutory requirements.
6	So, I think you're covered on that ground.
7	That's not to say that you can't tomorrow, or you
8	couldn't in the future, turn around and say, okay,
9	let's select a new auditor and go through the
10	process.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
12	MR. BURNETT: That's not to say you can't do
13	that.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
15	MR. BURNETT: I understand what Mr. Gorman is
16	saying related to auditor the the audits.
17	The annual financial audits are done in accordance
18	with rules that the Auditor General sets out. And
19	maybe those rules may be changing. I I would

20	guess since they're rules, they change from time
21	to time, just from the nature of how things are.
22	But I don't know about the question of an
23	operational audit, and I guess that goes back
24	to or a comparative audit. That goes back to
25	something that that you've championed for a

42

1	1	C ··	.1 •	1	41	1'
	long time	of wanting	comething	along	those	IIMAG
1	ione unic.	or wanting	sometime	aione	ulose	mues
	- 0 ,			0		

- 2 to incur, which I guess is bringing us here today.
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Just a quickie. The -- the

4 audit as we know it and as we have, as a board,

5 have selected the auditing firm, is for a

6 financial audit --

- 7 MR. GORMAN: Yes, sir, correct.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: -- to have a statement from them
- 9 that says these financial statements represent the
- 10 detailed transactions that transpired during the
- 11 year.
- 12 MR. GORMAN: Right.

13	MR. GEORGE: So, they're saying that it's
14	an an accurate financial and accounting
15	representation of what's happened. It says
16	nothing about doing a
17	MR. GORMAN: Oh, no.
18	MR. GEORGE: comparative audit.
19	MR. GORMAN: No, and that's very true.
20	MR. GEORGE: So so, I think what you want
21	is, is you want to go past that to say I want a
22	comparative audit
23	MR. GORMAN: I'm saying that there is some
24	nuances of comparative audit that are going to be

in the new guidelines.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Fine. Then those new guidelines
- 2 are that, but let's --
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Yeah. We need those new
- 4 guidelines before we go any further.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

6	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, I would agree with you
7	totally that there was no comparative
8	MR. GEORGE: Well, if you have a few items
9	MR. GORMAN: audit or or expected.
10	MR. GEORGE: But if you have a few items that
11	you would like to have audited, you know, then
12	maybe that's as your recommendations were
13	fairly clear, you'd like to have an audit of
14	you know, and you listed some items, then then
15	that's what the the board should vote on.
16	MR. GORMAN: Correct. That would be fine.
17	MR. GEORGE: Unfortunately, we this is a
18	workshop, and a workshop cannot make any
19	decisions, is my understanding; is that correct,
20	Doug?
21	MR. WUELLNER: That's correct. They would
22	have to be deferred.
23	MR. GEORGE: Workshops
24	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
25	MR. GEORGE: can exchange information and

1	everything, but they cannot make any decisions.
2	MR. BURNETT: That that's true.
3	MR. GORMAN: Well, that's fine. Point
4	that makes that's fine.
5	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
6	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, all taken, point taken.
7	MR. BURNETT: You certainly could make it an
8	agenda item.
9	MR. GEORGE: Huh?
10	MR. BURNETT: You certainly could make it an
11	agenda item for a future meeting, whatever went on
12	here today.
13	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, based on that, then
14	we need to take your points and maybe look at
15	them, you know, individually, discuss those. And
16	maybe it comes out that, hey, we need to do an
17	audit on these two.
18	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
19	MR. GEORGE: Those could be the legal

/C/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bin/Desktop/2.27.00W3.txt	
20	expenses, okay? But I think that that would be
21	appropriate.
22	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. That that's fine.
23	MR. WUELLNER: The other thing you need to
24	know is the this scope of work for the auditor
25	is more than just reviewing your financials.
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	It's it's to assure compliance with the state
2	statute governing what how the audits are
3	required to be done for purposes of public
4	entities.
5	The second piece of that is, it's also the
6	scope of services includes compliance with the
7	federal single audit standards as they apply,
8	because we accept federal grant money. And
9	there's an audit requirement that goes with
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: having accepted that.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. Brunson?

13	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. But as of today, we're
14	complying with our audit. And as of today, a
15	comparison audit is not required.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: True.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Now, what I want to just
18	say is that while we're here, we're doing just
19	what we said we were doing; we've made comments.
20	But I what I thought we were going to do is
21	our our goal is to see if we're running the
22	airport as efficiently as possible. And also, our
23	goal is to, over the next blank years, reduce or
24	get off the tax roll, and that's the reason we're
25	doing this.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 And what I was hoping we'd do is agree to
- 2 continue this on an ongoing basis and look at
- 3 our -- our expenses and -- and line by line or
- 4 zero budget or anything you want to do and say,
- 5 hey, Jack Riley says we've got salaries and wages

6	of \$484,288 and that he also says that our our
7	operating revenue per employee is \$127,000.
8	He also says our operating profit per
9	employee is minus \$32,000, and as compared to
10	the orange and where the apple of operating
11	revenue per person for Craig is \$150,000 and the
12	operating profit is \$50,000.
13	But, there's no administrative expenses into
14	that, so I don't see how that's a good figure.
15	But I I I would hope that we would
16	take what jumps out at me is the salaries
17	and you know, the insurance is what it is. And
18	it's hard you can shop it, but you're not going
19	to
20	Legal, we've had an unusual two years
21	CHAIRMAN COX: Uh-huh.
22	MR. BRUNSON: of courts and so forth.
23	Now, when I come down here to how many employees
24	we've got and per this and that, cleaning services
25	of \$26,000 jumps out at me. And, you know, we

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	just need to look at each line item and and
2	say, Hey, this
3	MR. MARTINELLI: Is it necessary?
4	MR. BRUNSON: Well, it is if I'm going to
5	be on the board, I've got to take the time to do
6	this, I think.
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah, I'm saying, each
8	expense. I'm sorry. I didn't meant to interrupt
9	you.
10	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. I know what you're
11	saying. No, but but that's the only way I know
12	how to run a business or expenses. And and
13	granted, this is my first year and I'm still
14	learning the airport business, but I have to apply
15	just the way I would do this if I came in here and
16	Ed took a job at Sanford and Bryan went over here
17	and they left us here, I'd I'd have to sit down
18	and just go line by line.
19	MR. GEORGE: Point of clarification. You are
20	into your second year. You've already finished

- 21 one year.
- 22 MR. BRUNSON: So, I have no excuses.
- 23 MR. GEORGE: Right.
- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. You're not a rooky
- anymore.

48

- 1 MR. GEORGE: That's right.
- 2 MR. MARTINELLI: How time flies.
- 3 MR. BRUNSON: So -- and then I just look at
- 4 the -- when you -- when you look at overall, these
- 5 things are just so minor. You know, you've got
- 6 your ground maintenance at \$9,000, and cleaning of
- 7 \$26-, and salaries of \$484-, but these other
- 8 things, I don't think you can do anything about

9 them.

- 10 MR. GORMAN: No, certainly, there's many,
- 11 many, many things you can't do anything about.
- 12 And the specific issues that I personally had and
- 13 just addressed, and that's -- and if they were

14	then compared, then those issues would be could
15	be finalized and discussed further.
16	MR. BRUNSON: And that's all we're trying to
17	do, is
18	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
19	MR. BRUNSON: is use a little bit of
20	comparative and the other thing I thought would
21	happen here is there might be something that comes
22	out of here that somebody says, like we might have
23	to look at ground lease and let the private
24	industry build the hangars and and spend the \$2
25	million instead of us.

- 1 But I'm hoping that we'll come up with other
- 2 things that might be good. And -- and that's --
- 3 that's my comments on things --
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, sir.
- 5 MR. BRUNSON: -- we've been talking about.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: Mr. George, your comment?

7	MR. GEORGE: Well, I just threw mine out
8	there just a second ago, but
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I tell you what, then;
10	let's just I'll open up the floor to like
11	basically just collegial debate.
12	MR. MARTINELLI: Excuse me. Can I make
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir. Absolutely.
14	It's it's kind of an open forum here right now.
15	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Because because I
16	made some notes as to various you guys were
17	talking about.
18	In the accounting world, if you want to bury
19	something, you do allocations. That's how you
20	you do it. And the county and you know Bud
21	Markel, I'm sure, being in this county for as long
22	as you were and I was on the budget review
23	committee for the county for like 20 years, and
24	every time old Bud would come before the Board of
25	County Commissioners with a with a budget, he'd

1	play the shell game, because he'd move expenses,
2	allocation-wise, from one to the other, so it was
3	virtually impossible to to track him.
4	So, when when you mentioned that when
5	you were talking to the guy at Craig and
6	allocations, that to me is a big disqualifier of
7	anything you can look at.
8	Also, there's there is the matter of doing
9	things in-house and contracting to have them done
10	outside. And I was just wondering in that
11	comparison whether you looked at what their
12	contract costs were, and by comparison, and and
13	what those contracts were for. Because you can
14	you can contract externally to do just about
15	anything, including running your whole business.
16	So, depending upon how much you take in-house
17	to do and how much you contract out to do, you can
18	really affect what your your P&L looks like.
19	MR. BRUNSON: And if you don't mind me
20	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure.

- 21 MR. BRUNSON: -- saying --
- 22 MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
- 23 MR. BRUNSON: And also, they have four staff.
- 24 But the buildings, the FBO, they take care of
- their maintenance, they take care of the grounds

1	around the maintenance. These other buildings,
2	other people besides staff take care of that. So,
3	you're exactly right. And it's just hard to
4	compare.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. And and here,
6	we're we I say "we," but the Airport
7	Authority is obligated to do certain maintenance
8	work for the FBOs because of the way those leases
9	are written.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: True.
11	MR. MARTINELLI: So so there, you know, it
12	gets back to the point it's very difficult to
13	compare.

14	One other point that I'd I'd like to just
15	kind of keep in the back of our minds, and that is
16	that when, as Bob so aptly put it, you want to run
17	an airport like a business you can't run it as
18	a business, but like a business one of the
19	things that you do in business to to assure the
20	longevity of your business and its future success
21	is determine how much R&D now, I'm using the
22	term R&D generically, because certainly in the
23	drug business, you have a lot of R&D, but in
24	chemistry and what have you, but that's your
25	investment into the future, because as you keep

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

52

- 1 feeding new products into your product line, you
- 2 assure future expansion and success of the

3 business.

- 4 In the case of a government entity, and
- 5 particularly an airport, there are certain things
- 6 that have to be done in order to ensure that you

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

7	will be here in the future, and those have to be
8	addressed. And the extent to which you address
9	them and I'm going to use an example here,
10	because back in 1993, '94, when I was on this
11	board, we had no maintenance costs hardly at all.
12	But, look what happened to the facility. The
13	buildings just deteriorated to the point where a
14	lot of them just fell down, they were just so bad.
15	Okay? We had really no maintenance beyond
16	patching of taxiways and things of that sort.
17	And and you guys really are paying the price
18	for that today.
19	So, when you look at the comparison of
20	maintenance costs today, you're doing a lot of
21	catchup here that you would not have had to do if
22	you had steadily stayed on top of that. Also, by
23	doing it
24	MR. GEORGE: But it should go away.
25	MR. MARTINELLI: That's right. I was I

1	was just going to say, as you go forward to the
2	future, it should level out and you should be in
3	pretty good shape.
4	So okay. One other thing also on this
5	comparison, and I'm sure Jack and by the way, I
6	have a lot of respect for Jack; he's a good
7	friend. I'm sure he came across this, the chart
8	of accounts.
9	In in accounting parlance, what you
10	classify as a certain type of expense has to be
11	consistent. And so, if I'm doing it, I may
12	classify a particular expense in one line item;
13	somebody else might classify it in another line
14	item, because there's really no uniform chart of
15	accounts that has to be followed. So okay.
16	MR. GORMAN: But, Mr. Martinelli, I may make
17	a point.
18	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure.
19	MR. GORMAN: It doesn't matter what
20	classification it is. It matters, really bottom
21	line, what you are spending, you know. And

- 22 MR. MARTINELLI: In total.
- 23 MR. GORMAN: -- playing catchup, is a good
- 24 point, and certainly this airport has had to play
- 25 catchup, but there is certainly a point where one

- 1 needs to look if it's a large item at what, you
- 2 know, these things cost versus what --
- 3 MR. MARTINELLI: I don't disagree with you.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: -- other people spend.
- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: I don't disagree with you.
- 6 Let me just finish --
- 7 MR. GORMAN: Sure.
- 8 MR. MARTINELLI: What did I write there?
- 9 CHAIRMAN COX: I don't know.
- 10 MR. GEORGE: I have a hard enough time
- 11 reading it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN COX: We can't read it from here.
- 13 MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. Somebody made the
- 14 comment that zero based budgeting was based upon

15	perceived needs. That's not true. That's not the
16	way you do zero based budgeting. You don't
17	perceive the need. The need is either real and is
18	there, or it's not there.
19	Now, if if you see a perceived need,
20	that's going into the future, and that, you put
21	into a different category. But if the government
22	says the FAA says you must do this in order to
23	get this grant, in order to keep the grant, then
24	that's not a perceived need; that's a real need.
25	If the fire department comes in here and

55

- 1 says, hey, you're a fire hazard and you need to do
- 2 this and this, that's a real need; that's not

3 perceived --

- 4 MR. GEORGE: I think the perception was --
- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: -- okay?
- 6 MR. GEORGE: -- yes, that is a requirement,
- 7 but it's going to take us six people. No, it's

8	going to take five. There's your perception.
9	MR. GORMAN: Exactly. That's my point of
10	perception.
11	MR. MARTINELLI: That's that's your zero
12	based budgeting, okay?
13	MR. GEORGE: That's what he's saying, is that
14	zero based budgeting
15	MR. GORMAN: Exactly.
16	MR. GEORGE: has a lot of perceived things
17	that go into it. A board, you know, five people,
18	three people think it takes five people to do this
19	maintenance job, and three other ones think it
20	takes six people to do it, so
21	MR. MARTINELLI: Fine.
22	MR. GEORGE: 5.5 is a compromise of a
23	perception.
24	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. But there, you see,
25	you're getting into what I call micromanaging.

1	MR. GEORGE: You're right.
2	MR. MARTINELLI: You're outside of
3	policy-making completely.
4	MR. GEORGE: Oh, yeah, right.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: And so so what do you
6	do I started, opened up by saying the buck
7	stops here with you guys and it's your
8	responsibility to to have the due diligence.
9	But you've got to be careful in doing the due
10	diligence. You can't you cannot micromanage,
11	because if you do, you cause chaos. It just it
12	can't work. Okay?
13	I've got one more point. Okay. That's a new
14	audit statute. And, you know, I may have egg on
15	my face when these new when these new statutes
16	come out, but I can tell you this: That if the
17	state or anybody else legislates that you must
18	have an operational audit, it it can't be done,
19	and therefore, they'll never they will never
20	put that into a statute. You cannot legislate an
21	operational audit.

 $file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt$

- 22 Why not? Simply because operational audits
- are tailored to such specifics that you can't say
- for all airports, from now on, I want operational
- audits.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	MR. GORMAN: I wouldn't say that they were
2	probably going to specify an operational audit.
3	They've got the parameters are going to
4	increase in scope as
5	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah, but they will be
6	financial. The scope that they will include will
7	be financial or FAA-related requirements.
8	MR. GORMAN: I I've got a little debate
9	for you as far as the outside of the
10	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.
11	MR. GORMAN: policy-making. In other
12	words, I don't think it's outside of of just
13	policymaking for this board if the board does feel
14	that there are expense issues that need to be

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

15	addressed to be able to bring them up, especially
16	in the budget workshop.
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Right.
18	MR. GORMAN: In other words, that is that
19	isn't beyond the scope of what a board should do.
20	MR. MARTINELLI: In the budget, I agree with
21	you.
22	MR. GORMAN: And that's what we're doing
23	here. Right.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: This is not a budget workshop.
25	MR. GORMAN: This is this is going to end

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

58

1 up being -- this data will end up being used for

2 the budget, certainly in the budget workshop.

3 That's all.

- 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.
- 5 CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead. It's open.
- 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I think that everybody's
- 7 making great comments around, but if we could get

```
file:///C|/Documents\%20 and\%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt
```

	lients/020and/020bettings/bin/Desktop/2.27.00005.txt
8	back to maybe going down, Jack had the following
9	points that he mentioned: Maintenance costs,
10	insurance, legal, personnel, the proposed
11	increase, vehicles and vehicular maintenance, and
12	administrative costs.
13	I would suggest we take each one of these and
14	let's kick that question around, you know
15	MR. GORMAN: Sure.
16	MR. GEORGE: of how it comes out and then
17	go on to the next one. And maybe we get down to
18	one that says, you know, we ought to have somebody
19	other than us take a deep look at it.
20	MR. GORMAN: And the problem with doing these
21	is, is that you don't want to and I feel
22	it's I I made that comment about
23	Mr. Martinelli about not taking this personally,
24	because I don't want neither Mr. Wuellner nor
25	Mr. Martinelli to take this personally. And I

1	feel Mr. Martinelli's sometimes a bit defensive,
2	and I don't want him to be. Because we just want
3	to see.
4	Now, if we find out our admin costs are a
5	percentage much lower gross than than other
6	airports have to pay, hoorays, but we don't know.
7	We have no idea.
8	MR. GEORGE: And the discussion we would have
9	here today would not be comparing it to anybody
10	else. It would be counted like your zero based,
11	you know, what are the needs for administration
12	and what have we got in the budget to handle that?
13	And then we jot that down. We go, you know
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, let me time out on
15	something here. The the meeting the
16	workshop here today was to be discussing
17	comparative analysis for the airport. This is
18	getting into budget analysis on a line line
19	item budget analysis issue. And I don't think
20	we're prepared.
21	I mean, is everybody prepared to go down the
22	line, a line item budget analysis issue here?

- 23 That's not what this meeting was for.
- 24 MR. GEORGE: I -- I was just thinking, like
- 25 in maintenance costs, I have some feelings about

1	maintenance costs that, you know, skew the
2	numbers. Since I was going to present that, if
3	everybody agrees, you know, or understands it,
4	then go on to the next
5	MR. GORMAN: Well, certainly
6	MR. GEORGE: anybody else has comments.
7	MR. GORMAN: Well, certainly those
8	maintenance costs and your comments then could be
9	addressed as far as an inquiry as to what other
10	airports spend. And then that puts it to bed.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: The meeting the meeting is
12	morphing into a different type of a meeting than
13	what you called it for.
14	MR. GORMAN: That's comparative.
15	MR. GEORGE: Well, I think

16	CHAIRMAN COX: What are we comparing it to?
17	MR. GEORGE: I think that
18	MR. GORMAN: We need to compare it to the
19	other airports we selected specifically.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: We don't have the info.
21	MR. GORMAN: Well, we could we could get
22	that info without doing the entire budget. That's
23	the point.
24	CHAIRMAN COX: The point
25	MR. GEORGE: I think that

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Go ahead.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: I think that the -- the whole
- 3 idea of why we're here, as a second phrase, was to
- 4 discuss the most effective way to run the airport,
- 5 and the first thing that came out is, let's
- 6 compare ourselves to others. That's how we got to
- 7 the comparative thing.
- 8 So, if you get back to the original question

9	of being the most effective way you know, are
10	we running this the most effective and efficient
11	way that we can, that's why we're just going to
12	attack those not attack them, but, you know,
13	discuss each one of them.
14	As an example, to be quick, you talk about
15	the maintenance costs. And if you look at our
16	numbers from pure maintenance costs, X number of
17	people and personnel costs and blah, blah, blah,
18	one of the things you've got to keep in mind is
19	our personnel do more than that.
20	For instance, the VOR, how much money did we
21	save by us doing the VOR? We saved half the
22	personnel costs for the year.
23	MR. GORMAN: Couldn't agree more. Couldn't
24	agree more, but you need to do some
25	MR. GEORGE: So, therefore, I'd say I'm not

62

1 going to attack that.

2	MR. GORMAN: You need to do some breakout on
3	that. And then when you project personnel costs
4	into the future, you need to allow for the fact
5	that when we have lots of new building here, that
6	those maintenance costs and those personnel costs
7	should go down. Not projected to go up.
8	MR. GEORGE: Even though more buildings means
9	more maintenance, they should go down, right?
10	MR. GORMAN: Certainly new buildings don't
11	take much maintenance.
12	MR. MARTINELLI: Can I
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Interject your thoughts.
14	MR. MARTINELLI: Interject a thought.
15	You're you're on target. You're on target.
16	And I believe, Jack, that you and I had this same
17	discussion with Ed, the three of us, some time
18	ago.
19	And I said, I asked Ed if it was possible for
20	him to break out in the budget how much
21	maintenance labor or other labor was going toward
22	what would otherwise be contract outside

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

- 23 contract work. And he said he could.
- 24 MR. GEORGE: For capital projects.
- 25 MR. MARTINELLI: Uh-huh, capital projects.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

63

- 1 And you said you could, right?
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: He has time sheets. He probably

4 can.

- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: Which is fine.
- 7 MR. MARTINELLI: So, aren't we kicking a dead

8 horse here?

- 9 MR. GORMAN: Well, we don't have any figures
- 10 to it, so that we don't know how horse -- whether
- 11 the horse is dead or not.
- 12 MR. MARTINELLI: Well, then, if you want
- 13 that, that's a matter of policy. I think you, as
- 14 a board, can say our future budgets would like to
- 15 have this breakdown, and that's it. And you get

16 it.

- 17 MR. GORMAN: But as long as we don't -- I
- 18 just -- I just think that resisting inquiry is
- 19 bad. I think that as long as we have the inquiry

20 and we --

- 21 MR. MARTINELLI: I don't think we're
- 22 resisting.
- 23 MR. GORMAN: -- we get the inquiry done, and
- then we -- we decide that we are not beyond
- anything that is competitive, beyond anything that

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 is competitive, beyond anything that other
- 2 airports would do or should do -- like I said,
- 3 unless we -- we direct specific issues, find out
- 4 specifically what other airports cost, we don't
- 5 know whether we're in the ballpark or not. How
- 6 would we know? We don't.
- 7 MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah, but if you can't find
- 8 a comparable airport, how do you know?

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

9	MR. GORMAN: You can you can certainly
10	find a comparable airport in acreage, in numbers
11	of hangars, and in basic operations.
12	MR. MARTINELLI: We just tried that. We
13	we've run up against a stone wall here.
14	MR. GORMAN: If we no, we haven't. We can
15	find many airports that that the board members
16	agreed were very similar. We didn't address
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, did you find your two?
18	MR. GORMAN: specific issues.
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Did you find your two?
20	MR. GORMAN: Yes, Tamiami is similar to this
21	in many ways, and so is Peter Knight, even without
22	a tower.
23	MR. MARTINELLI: Can you enumerate the
24	comparisons where you feel this is
25	St. Augustine is either better or worse?

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

65

1 MR. GORMAN: Even using specifics, yes. And

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

2	I'm saying that and those were my points right
3	here. There are six of them. And that I would
4	like to be able to look into or make significant
5	inquiry into whether or not we are comparative in
6	our in our efficiencies on those six issues.
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. What are those six
8	issues again?
9	MR. GORMAN: Well, they're part of the
10	minutes already. We can they're already
11	they're they're maintenance, cost of personnel,
12	and especially projected cost of personnel. They
13	are, just as a point of philosophy again,
14	vehicular cost, numbers of vehicles. That's a
15	very small amount. The total admin cost of
16	percentage of gross, and insurance costs.
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Can we take
18	MR. GORMAN: Those six.
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Mr. Chair, can we take each
20	one of those and address them? Since this is the
21	purpose of this meeting?
22	MR. GEORGE: That's what I was saying,
23	uh-huh.

- 24 CHAIRMAN COX: I'm -- I'm sitting here
- thinking, you know, we -- we talked about

1	perception a while ago, and perception on, what
2	was the issue, was on
3	MR. GEORGE: Perceived
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Zero.
5	MR. GEORGE: need for something, yeah.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: Zero based.
7	MR. GORMAN: Zero based budget is based on
8	perceived needs.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: I'm just going on a perception
10	about four or five of the items there, we we
11	we give our executive director a lot of latitude
12	to make decisions on things, okay? For instance,
13	maintenance, personnel, number of personnel.
14	Those things, if we start to and I'm not
15	saying that we shouldn't look at and question some
16	of the costs of these issues, but if we start

17	micromanaging, like Mr. Martinelli said, no, we
18	don't want 11 staff members; we think there needs
19	to be ten, because that extra \$16,000 a year is
20	too much, then then, you know, we start taking
21	away the director's
22	MR. GORMAN: I agree. And if it was a
23	trifling amount, we certainly should just allow
24	his discretion to prevail, make sure that the
25	amounts are not are not excessive. If they're

67

- 1 trifling amounts --
- 2 CHAIRMAN COX: And then we get into
- 3 perception again. And what's a trifling amount?
- 4 A hundred thousand dollars, \$20-, \$5-? You know,

5 that's --

- 6 MR. GORMAN: A trifling amount versus a large
- 7 amount's pretty apparent.
- 8 MR. MARTINELLI: Well, in your -- in your
- 9 comparison, did you find a large discrepancy?

10	What did you find in your comparison?
11	MR. GORMAN: I found that the data that
12	like you did, I found that the data was buried in
13	different budget line items.
14	MR. MARTINELLI: So, you weren't able to do
15	that.
16	MR. GORMAN: It was difficult to break out
17	those specifics. And I also found out that the
18	best way to do it would probably allow
19	professionals to do it that were in the audit
20	business. That's what I found out,
21	Mr. Martinelli.
22	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, being a professional,
23	I can tell you that anybody who tells you they can
24	do it is either going to charge you an exorbitant
25	sum, because that's they have to go all the way

- 1 back. In the case of authorities, the
- 2 consolidated, they're going to have to review all

3	allocations. They're going to have to it's a
4	monstrous task, okay? That's a lot of money.
5	MR. GORMAN: On six issues?
6	MR. BRUNSON: We might have more, Jack.
7	MR. GORMAN: That's true.
8	MR. MARTINELLI: You might have more than
9	six, but even so, with the six issues times how
10	many airports that you want to do that? Okay?
11	MR. GORMAN: Just use three.
12	MR. MARTINELLI: So so, you know, you're
13	talking about a lot of money. That's number one.
14	Number two and I doubt that you're going
15	to save that amount to make it cost-effective,
16	okay? But that's a separate issue. And by the
17	way, I'm not defensive about this; I'm just trying
18	to get a point across.
19	MR. GORMAN: Why resist the inquiry?
20	MR. MARTINELLI: I I resist wasting money,
21	is what I resist. And and to me, to have
22	somebody come in to do this for a fee is wasting
23	money. And as long as as you suggested we do

 $file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt$

- 24 it in-house and everybody does it for free,
- 25 contributing their time, that's fine.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	But as we have discovered, you can't do it,
2	okay? You yourself haven't been able to do it.
3	And and Henry hasn't been able to do it
4	MR. GORMAN: Well
5	MR. MARTINELLI: okay? And nobody here
6	has really been able to do it.
7	MR. GORMAN: In in small four-hour
8	segments, you're not going to be able to do it.
9	We had a budget to do it before, and I and
10	if certainly you could probably analyze six
11	items in way inside that budget.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: As a professional in that
13	profession for many, many years, Mr. Martinelli,
14	in your estimation, to do an analysis of the scope
15	that Jack's talking about, what would it run the
16	Authority to

file:///Cl/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

17	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, you're you're
18	looking at probably a hundred bucks an hour for
19	the professional.
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
21	MR. MARTINELLI: And that's cheap. What's
22	your rate (to Mr. Burnett)?
23	MR. WUELLNER: More than that.
24	MR. MARTINELLI: What's your rate?
25	MR. BURNETT: It's more than that.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 MR. MARTINELLI: Of course, it is.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Take the Fifth.
- 3 MR. MARTINELLI: Of course, it is. What I'm
- 4 saying, at a hundred bucks an hour --
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Well, we have a price quote.
- 6 Jack brought a guy in here and gave -- and didn't
- 7 he didn't us a price for it?
- 8 MR. GORMAN: I have a card here -- and I'm
- 9 not touting them, and I don't care who -- if they

10	do it.
11	MR. GEORGE: Didn't he give us a price quote?
12	MR. GORMAN: Yes, he did give us a price
13	quote.
14	MR. GEORGE: Thirty grand?
15	MR. MARTINELLI: How much was it?
16	MR. GORMAN: It was \$20
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I'll tell you what.
18	And I'll I'll say this to all the board
19	members. If you get him in here for \$20,000 and
20	he does the job, I'll challenge the work that he's
21	done
22	MR. GORMAN: I'm sure you will.
23	MR. MARTINELLI: and I'll refute the facts
24	that he presents, and I'll refute them with
25	facts
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: So, here's --
- 2 MR. MARTINELLI: -- okay?

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

3	CHAIRMAN COX: Here's a question for you: If
4	we spend 20 grand on this and we save 10
5	MR. GORMAN: Well then, don't make
6	CHAIRMAN COX: So, we spent 10
7	MR. GORMAN: Bob, then then we drop the
8	inquiry.
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Let me interject something
10	here. There's probably no there's no really
11	in this workshop setting, there's no appropriate
12	time to do it, but I'd like to ask if there's any
13	comments from the public. Any public comment?
14	MR. CAMERON: I don't have one specifically
15	on this. I've got something toward the end of the
16	meeting that I'd like to share with you.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: That's not a problem. Just
18	raise your hand when you're ready to do it.
19	Because there's really no formal setting for this.
20	So, just raise your hand, and I'll recognize you.
21	If you wouldn't mind, when you get a chance, step
22	up to the microphone.
23	MR. CAMERON: I just don't want to interrupt
24	the flow of this as

the flow of things.

25 CHAIRMAN COX: Not a problem. Okay. Where

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

72

- 1 to continue on. Do you want to address -- start
- 2 at the top of your list and let's --
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Okay. We've got maintenance
- 4 costs. From what I found in looking at other

5 airports, you know, the one I looked at, Page

- 6 Field had ten people. How many people do we have?
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: In maintenance?
- 8 MR. GEORGE: No. Well, we've got --
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: In total? We have 12.
- 10 MR. GEORGE: We've got 12. And we had seven
- 11 down here in maintenance. And they were telling
- 12 me that they had ten in maintenance. So, I'd say
- 13 that the number of people, you know, rather than
- 14 it being we've got ten and they've got seven, you
- 15 know, it looks like we're on the short side, and
- 16 when I look at the amount of money that we have
- 17 saved from the VOR relocation --

- 18 CHAIRMAN COX: Stuff like that.
- 19 MR. GEORGE: And how much was it, \$10,000 --
- 20 MR. GORMAN: No, it was half. We saved half.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: I know. But \$10,000 per parking
- 22 space? And our guys wound up doing that. I think
- they saved probably the equivalent of four
- 24 people's worth of work.
- 25 MR. GORMAN: There's no -- there's no doubt.

- 1 And as -- and in my own mind, it would certainly
- 2 not be a timely manner -- time to reduce any
- 3 maintenance staff right now, because we're in such
- 4 an expansive mode.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Well, then --
- 6 MR. GORMAN: And certainly that is true.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: -- I would suggest we leave
- 8 maintenance alone and go to the next subject.
- 9 MR. GORMAN: Well, certainly, you'd like to
- 10 know what you should project for maintenance, sir,

11	in the future.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Well, let's
13	MR. GORMAN: versus when you get all
14	that when because you can't watch just paint
15	dry. But right now, I mean, I I think
16	everything is whatever.
17	MR. GEORGE: I think that our budget for this
18	year was the same, you know, with the exception
19	of
20	MR. WUELLNER: Effectively, I I have an
21	understanding of where where Mr. Gorman gets
22	bogged down with with the issue, and I and I
23	don't disagree with what he says about it.
24	But I've tried a couple of times to put it
25	in in the context of how the information was

74

1 developed and -- and why it was developed the way

- 2 it was.
- 3 Where -- where he -- he refers to our -- our

4	future is is typically, he's pulling the
5	information out of our forecast, the forecast we
6	did for the the ten- or eleven-year period.
7	And indeed, that that model creates a
8	mechanism within it that, at certain intervals
9	and I don't even recall what they are without
10	pulling out and looking at it. But over the
11	course of that ten- or eleven-year period, the
12	the personnel, not only expense, but the number of
13	personnel, expands by two or three positions over
14	eleven years.
15	MR. GORMAN: The dollar amount expands.
16	MR. WUELLNER: It but it the dollar
17	value certainly does. And the number of positions
18	by several.
19	Now, the the development, the thought
20	behind the development was that we have we
21	we were developing a model that was designed to be
22	conservative in nature. And conservative in in
23	the way I'm approaching it, or the definition is,
24	that we don't paint glossy over what the potential

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

25 needs are of the airport from an expense side, nor

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 do we paint glossy over the revenue expectations
- 2 for the same period.
- 3 So, in a sense, we've created two areas for
- 4 some wiggle room or some fudge room, if you will.
- 5 We're not -- we've not got ourselves into a corner
- 6 in estimating revenue too optimistically and
- 7 expenses too pessimistically, and all of a sudden
- 8 nothing's working out as we move forward.
- 9 And -- and it was that with that thought
- 10 process that -- that the model was developed. It
- 11 was designed to be overpessimistic -- or overly
- 12 optimistic -- and I got myself backwards.
- 13 MR. GEORGE: I see --
- 14 MR. MARTINELLI: Expenses.
- 15 MR. GEORGE: I see what you mean.
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: But -- but I'm
- 17 overexaggerating perhaps the expense issue moving

```
file:///
```

/C /Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt	
18	forward, and attempting to be realistic, but
19	perhaps even underestimating the revenue potential
20	moving forward. Because there are there are
21	variables in both of those equations that are
22	totally out of our control.
23	MR. GORMAN: You've got a limited amount to
24	expand this airport. This is probably the last
25	real expansion you'll have. And that's my point

76

- to personnel costs, is once that expansion is done 1
- and once these -- we play the catchup 2
- 3 Mr. Martinelli cost, then certainly the
- maintenance and costs and the costs of personnel 4
- should level off or drop somewhat --5
- MR. WUELLNER: Agreed. 6
- MR. GORMAN: -- rather than a projected rise. 7

That's all. 8

- MR. WUELLNER: I agree. 9
- MR. GEORGE: Might I suggest that the 10

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

11	projected revenue in outer years, or projected
12	costs, be tabled until we get closer to that year,
13	because we were trying to do a comparison of this
14	year. And I'm saying that based on my one little
15	Page Field of having ten people
16	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
17	MR. GEORGE: and we have seven here, plus
18	we do all of this capital work, I'd say that I
19	wouldn't want to spend any time auditing our
20	maintenance costs at all. There's bigger fish.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Agree.
22	MR. GORMAN: Well, insurance is a big fish.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
24	MR. GEORGE: That is the second one.
25	CHAIRMAN COX: Move forward, insurance.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Second item is insurance.
- 2 Somebody made the comment about insurance is what
- 3 it is. And -- and one of the things that -- that

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

4	Ed and I are doing is, we're talking to Mark
5	Bailey about the medical insurance.
6	Ed has mentioned for three years that there
7	ought to be a way for us to get on with the
8	county, because we've got significant savings in
9	dollars that are there, somewhere in the
10	neighborhood of six to ten grand a year just in,
11	you know, apples-to-apples comparison of what
12	we're doing. You know, plus, I think it gives our
13	employees a better picture there. So, he's doing
14	that.
15	I don't know how to do it in liability. Now,
16	that might be something that we could get in touch
17	with our respective airports and say, I need to
18	know what how many buildings, you know, that
19	you're, you know, insuring liability-wise, and
20	what it's costing you. And then maybe there's
21	a at the state level, there's some way of
22	getting all these organizations together and we do
23	our own insurance company.
24	MR. GORMAN: Good. You are just justifying
25	the reason for this entire meeting. The reason

1	for this entire meeting, the reason to have
2	comparative analysis, is to make an impetuous
3	(sic) to save money, to run as lean as you
4	possibly can, and to be as competitive as you
5	possibly can, which you are addressing now, which
6	then the rest of the board is reminded of, which
7	then can be put on agenda items and addressed
8	further.
9	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
10	MR. WUELLNER: But I think I think you've
11	got no, I really have a problem with this
12	concept of I mean, just the the generic
13	statements of running as lean as possible, because
14	I I think you really sell yourselves short, and
15	you were selling this airport short when you
16	when I got here ten years ago, by taking just that
17	kind of an overall attitude about the development
18	of the airport.

- 19 If you want to look like every other airport,
- 20 if you want to act like every other airport in
- 21 this state, and you want to have levels of
- 22 maintenance that are -- that are consistent with
- every other airport in this -- in this state, then
- absolutely, let's find the lowest possible way to
- 25 operate this airport, and I guarantee you'll get

79

- 1 your wishes.
- 2 But if you want the airport that I believe
- 3 this airport has become in ten years, and its
- 4 future is based on for the next foreseeable
- 5 future, then you need to make reasonable pragmatic
- 6 investments in how you do the business of the
- 7 airport. And that includes how we develop this

8 airport.

- 9 We don't not cut the grass. We do not not
- 10 maintain lights. We do not not paint things. We
- 11 do not not maintain gates and -- and doors and

12	windows and hangars.
13	I don't have those complaint issues because
14	we spend the time trying to do the project first
15	out of the box properly, meaning, I don't find the
16	cheapest way to build a capital development
17	project here. And by that, I mean, cheapest,
18	meaning we look at what are our recurring
19	maintenance obligations of these buildings going
20	to be? What can we offset at the point we develop
21	the project? Not at the time we get the project
22	and go, gee, if we'd only done this different,
23	let's go do it now.
24	That's what was going on when I got here ten

25 years ago.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

80

1 MR. MARTINELLI: Can I just add to that?

- 2 Take a look at the hangars down there, the big
- 3 bulk hangars. When those hangars were designed,
- 4 and Reynolds, Smith and Hills was our engineering

5	firm that did it, they scrimped on the cost by
6	putting doors on those hangars that, one, didn't
7	seal. They were not thief-proof. Number two, you
8	go it a hernia every time you tried to open them.
9	And so, the cost now to put in the hydraulic
10	door openers, which was absolutely essential,
11	that's a specific example of cutting a corner ten
12	years ago, or whenever those things were built,
13	and seeing what's happened.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Yeah, the board in '93 did
15	that?
16	MR. MARTINELLI: Yes. I you're absolutely
17	right. I didn't prevail. I and if you go back
18	over those minutes, I was a very big dissenter of
19	that.
20	MR. GORMAN: I'm going to just stop talking
21	in a minute. I think this board needs to
22	continually make substantial inquiry into its
23	expenses.
24	MR. WUELLNER: I agree.
25	MR. GORMAN: But I do agree with Mr. Wuellner

1	that the cheapest is not necessarily always the
2	best.
3	MR. GEORGE: Right.
4	MR. GORMAN: And that can be argued. But we
5	need to continually make substantial inquiry, and
6	we need to continually make that on a comparative
7	basis, because that's how most business is done.
8	If we if we then decide to spend more
9	money, like we just decided, for instance, on this
10	Araquay Park, because the overall, the public
11	good is served, then so be it.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, I I want to say
14	something. I just I just drove down here from
15	another airport that's just north of
16	St. Augustine, one that was in this comparative
17	analysis study, okay? And I'll use it as an icon.
18	We don't want our airport looking like that

- 19 airport, because it goes to exactly what
- 20 Mr. Wuellner's talking about; i.e., the grass
- 21 being mowed, the lights being -- and paint, the
- 22 hangars, maintenance, fence, the whole schmear.
- 23 It's just -- it's just not what I -- I think the
- 24 air -- this airport has the potential to be or
- where we need to be.

1	MR. GORMAN: Do you know comparatively in my
2	six items, though, sir, where whether we are 10
3	percent over or 10 percent under or double over or
4	double under?
5	CHAIRMAN COX: The bigger question is, do you
6	know? You're the one
7	MR. GORMAN: No, sir, I don't.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: that actually pushed this
9	forward.
10	MR. GORMAN: That's why I've been pushing
11	this forward.

12	CHAIRMAN COX: But we can't find out because
13	it's buried.
14	MR. GORMAN: I don't believe we can't find
15	out.
16	CHAIRMAN COX: You don't believe.
17	MR. GORMAN: No, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN COX: But we tried to point that out
19	to you last week.
20	MR. MARTINELLI: I haven't been able to.
21	Well, none of us have been able to. None of us
22	have been able to.
23	MR. GORMAN: How many hours did you spend on
24	this, sir?
25	MR. MARTINELLI: I spent enough hours to know
	AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 that if I got into it, it would have been a
- 2 full-time job and it probably would have taken me
- 3 three months to do, okay? That, I know. And I'm
- 4 not about to donate three months of my time. I'm

5	not talking about well, you know, I'm talking
6	about working time.
7	CHAIRMAN COX: And let's let's not
8	let's not debate that issue. Let's let's move
9	forward and see where where the rest of the
10	members want to move this particular meeting to.
11	Mr. Brunson, what what are your thoughts on
12	that?
13	MR. BRUNSON: You know, we don't have the
14	information we need to to compare all of these
15	items. I was just hoping there might be a miracle
16	happen, that something good would come that we
17	could say, hey, this is something we might can
18	try.
19	I think the things that we're getting into
20	now is another meeting, like you said, of
21	that's a that's a budgeting meeting, and not
22	this. Not the time to go line by line.
23	CHAIRMAN COX: And that's and that's my
24	feeling, is if we want to have a line by a line
25	item issue in the budget, then we need to have a

1	specific budget meeting where we go down this
2	thing and pick it apart. I mean, I didn't come
3	here prepared today to go down to do that.
4	MR. GEORGE: No, me, either.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: I didn't study, take any
6	study, and I don't think the treasurer did. And
7	Ed's certainly not prepared to present all the
8	numbers we would request of him in that particular
9	type of a meeting, so
10	MR. WUELLNER: Can I make a suggestion?
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes, sir.
12	MR. WUELLNER: You know, in in previous
13	years, we we hold a budget workshop, and more
14	often than not, it's it's strictly a
15	presentation of what what I we fondly call
16	just Staff's budget, that is, our our first
17	blush at what what we believe next year's
18	budget ought to look like in in some generic
19	fashion.

20	Why don't we -	- we'll try to mov	e that event
----	----------------	--------------------	--------------

- 21 maybe a month earlier. Let's put that in May as a
- time line.
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: Okay.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: And then let's -- let's move
- 25 into a June meeting, before we're under the

1	pressure.	which	we're	historicall	v under	related
-	p,				J	1010000

- 2 to the TRIM notifications, which comes in July, is
- 3 spend June, or at least a good, long workshop
- 4 there, and focus on these six areas of concern
- 5 relative to how, not only how they're developed in
- 6 the budget, but what the expectations are under
- 7 those particular line items.
- 8 Because I -- I think that's -- that's where
- 9 it's all falling apart, is that, you know,
- 10 we're -- as an example, we're talking about, you
- 11 know -- and I don't disagree with you on the -- on
- 12 the surface.

13	We have an outrageous expenditure under legal
14	expenses, but we have two extraordinary events
15	requiring extraordinary legal attention right now.
16	Now, if we look at just our if we back it
17	up and look at just what the retainage-related
18	expenditures are, I don't think you're going to
19	find yourself terribly out of line with what any
20	airport spends. Put it in the context of all
21	those things lumped under one item of legal
22	expenditure, and absolutely, that looks like an
23	absolutely insane amount of money.
24	But it doesn't it doesn't consider the

25 extraordinary events.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Those two issues.
- 2 MR. GORMAN: Again, it's a separate issue.
- 3 You didn't have to use eminent domain, and you
- 4 didn't have to spend a million dollars of the
- 5 public's money in the -- in litigation fees.

6	And that's one of the reasons to do these
7	comparative analysis. This is really getting to
8	be a gloves-off situation
9	MR. WUELLNER: That's a policy, not a
10	comparative issue, in my opinion.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Sir.
12	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Brunson was stating that he
13	was hoping that that from these meetings, that
14	something could be learned. And I think that we
15	have taken giant steps forward for taking our
16	junior members, you know, into the budget, and our
17	older members, and having us get a better
18	exposure. And I think that
19	CHAIRMAN COX: He was pointing to you.
20	MR. GEORGE: the next time, when we do a
21	budget, you know, that Ed sits up here with his
22	charts and gets no, zero, absolutely zero
23	questions from this group, it's because we don't
24	understand what he's doing.
25	MR. BRUNSON: Well, I'd like

1	MR. GEORGE: I think I understand what he's
2	doing.
3	MR. BRUNSON: I like your comments of moving
4	things forward. And I remember that we voted on
5	these budgets, and we did it pretty fast. And I
6	would like to, when you say we're going to spend
7	in promotion how much money?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Do you want to
9	MR. GEORGE: 6.3 percent.
10	MR. BRUNSON: Forty-two \$42,000. So, I'd
11	like to know line item what that is. And I'd like
12	to know who we're writing \$26,000 to in cleaning
13	services.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
15	MR. BRUNSON: And maybe there's some way
16	that, when we get through with all these
17	fiberoptics and and all of this things that
18	we're saving money on, that we might can switch
19	that. These are the questions.

file:///Cl/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

- 20 And I know we're not talking about much
- 21 money, but at least we can address each line item.
- 22 MR. GEORGE: And each one of the board
- 23 members understand it better.
- 24 MR. WUELLNER: I agree.
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: And let me make a suggestion,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	1	1 .	CC'	•	1			1 1
	hacolica	hin	OTT100	10	0111010	onon	tor	hoord
	because	1115	UTILE	15	always	UDCH	10.0	1 DOALU
-						° P • · · ·	••••	

- 2 member. And -- and you can go in at any time,
- 3 because I have quite often, Buzz has quite often.
- 4 I'm sure Jack has.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Jack has.
- 6 CHAIRMAN COX: At any time, and have Ed go
- 7 with you down those and explain to you. And I'm
- 8 not saying do that, but prep -- prepping for this
- 9 meeting that he's suggesting.
- 10 If you want to do that, it will -- it will
- 11 increase your understanding substantially to look
- 12 at that. I -- because -- just -- just to give you

```
file:///Cl/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt
```

C/ Docum	Mis/v2ound/v2obounds/ Din/ Dosktop/2.27.00 (V Bink
13	a you know, a heads-up, like as the treasurer
14	coming in to sign the checks for these things, you
15	go through every single check that this airport
16	writes, and they hand you a list, and it says what
17	the deal was for the month or whatever we're
18	paying out for electricity and for fiberoptics and
19	for cleaning services.
20	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. But therefore, like we
21	keep saying, I don't want to go in there and start
22	micromanaging.
23	MR. WUELLNER: That's not
24	MR. MARTINELLI: That's not micromanaging.
25	CHAIRMAN COX: You're not managing. You're

- 1 just getting some information.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: I'm just getting a piece of
- 3 information about --
- 4 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. You know --
- 5 MR. GEORGE: -- you know, why is that

6	cleaning bill so high? Well
7	CHAIRMAN COX: Because see, I see, the
8	\$26,000 for cleaning doesn't come up to on my
9	radar, because I know why.
10	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: Because I as did Mr who
12	signed the checks for them on a monthly basis.
13	MR. BRUNSON: Is this engineering service of
14	\$30,000, is that high or low? Is that, you know,
15	because we're doing some things?
16	And so, I like your idea of of getting
17	these line items in advance that we can start
18	looking at them and asking questions.
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Did you folks all get a copy
20	of the descriptive chart of accounts that Donna
21	did for me? If you have a copy of that, it tells
22	you in each line item what goes in there, what's
23	charged to that particular account.
24	And I think if you start with that and you go
25	back to the budget, you'll have questions about a

1	particular line item, as Randy just did, but
2	you'll be able to see what went into that.
3	So, if you've got it if you don't have it,
4	then I'm I'm sure Donna has it, and look at it.
5	I think that's
6	MR. WUELLNER: Got to remind you that it's
7	got the account layout, which includes effectively
8	the chart of accounts, and the and the
9	descriptive part of it, is is what the State of
10	Florida uses.
11	I mean, we we have not we got away
12	about, what, three years ago I'm trying to
13	remember exactly, but about three or four years
14	ago, got away with the from the existing the
15	chart of accounts that we were using. And we
16	found that the auditor was spending a great deal
17	of time putting our chart of accounts in the
18	context of the state's chart of accounts, or what
19	they were traditionally looking at in many, if not
20	most, other governmental units.

- 21 And it greatly aided us, because it also
- 22 provided a nice -- a nice, easy -- not easy, but a
- 23 nice format that's readily compared to other
- 24 agencies in terms of what goes into what line item
- in the budget.

1	MR. BRUNSON: If you don't mind, I'd like to
2	hear your comments on, a couple of times, I and
3	Buzz have said that the possibilities that we
4	might look into doing some ground leases,
5	completely different than we and the pros and
6	cons of that. And I know how you feel about that.
7	But I think that's something we that we might
8	better think about.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Well, my my comments
10	initially are that arrangement works very, very
11	well on airports who have abundant property
12	inventory.
13	When you when you have significant

14	inventories of property that's gone undeveloped,
15	you're in a position to write leases of varying
16	length, especially long-term leases, that that
17	tend to bring in businesses who desire to build
18	their own businesses or build their own
19	buildings, and capitalize that as they see fit.
20	My experience is that with small airports
20 21	My experience is that with small airports well, I shouldn't say by "small," I mean
21	well, I shouldn't say by "small," I mean
21 22	well, I shouldn't say by "small," I mean physically constrained airports, where property's

- 1 that, on my suggestion, because we've generally
- 2 gone that direction in the last ten years, is that
- 3 we develop the property, we derive all of the
- 4 revenues from the development of that property for
- 5 life.
- 6 You know, property scenario, I did this once

7	for Joe Ciriello about, gosh, ten years ago. It
8	was almost almost as soon as I walked in the
9	door. But we we just threw up on the thing and
10	said, all right, if we just lease the piece of
11	property, and let's this should run it out a
12	20-year history or 20-year projected life on this
13	building, and we said, if you just lease the
14	property, it generated something like a \$2- or
15	\$300,000 expectation of revenue over the course of
16	20 years, based on a on a one-acre parcel.
17	MR. MARTINELLI: Can can I
18	MR. WUELLNER: You build the building, it
19	the expectation is is three or four or five
20	times that.
21	MR. GORMAN: These are wonderful comments by
22	Mr and he's, I'm sure, really researched this.
23	I'm have we decided or not decided to continue
24	to or drop the issue completely of comparative
25	analysis? In other words, what are we doing?

1	No offense, Ed. And and I'm sure that
2	you've really studied that problem, and I'm sure
3	he knows what he's talking about.
4	CHAIRMAN COX: Well, the question was brought
5	up by Mr. Brunson on the issue.
6	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. One comment, Randy.
7	I think we have
8	MR. GORMAN: This, again, is a workshop
9	for
10	MR. MARTINELLI: I think we have an example
11	in the Grumman North 40. At the time that the
12	Grumman North 40 lease of that property was made,
13	they leased the property, and we got nothing,
14	except I believe the the amount for the bond
15	bond interest, I think they reimbursed us for
16	that, is my memory.
17	But anyway, shortly, we're going to now get
18	that whole facility, and we're going to be able to
19	charge them, and we will charge them rent for that
20	facility. Okay? No investment on our part to

21	build it. And this is I think along the lines
22	that you're thinking.

- But the big ingredient, and it's the -- the
- 24 big distinguishing thing between the two
- 25 philosophies, is the thing that in financial

- 1 parlance they call present value or discounting.
- 2 I've forgotten now how many years that lease was
- 3 for up there before we got it back. But it's like
- 4 20 years, maybe?
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Twenty-five?
- 6 MR. MARTINELLI: Twenty-five years? And so
- 7 for 25 years, we got really nothing, where if we
- 8 lease -- if we had built the building and charged
- 9 them rent, the return on that investment, because
- 10 of the time value of the money we'd be getting
- 11 right now, versus 20 years from now or 25 years
- 12 from now --
- 13 MR. BRUNSON: Sure.

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

14	MR. MARTINELLI: makes it pay. And and
15	so where you you really can, and we the
16	airport has the ability and the facility to to
17	finance construction and build, versus having
18	somebody else build and not getting a return on
19	that for 25 years, you're much better off doing
20	it, you know, building it yourself and getting the
21	return.
22	MR. GORMAN: You have a philosophy involved,
23	too. One is the philosophy of you're using ad
24	valorem taxes as an investment fund.
25	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 MR. GORMAN: Right. And the other philosophy
- 2 is you're -- you're not using ad valorem taxes;
- 3 you're just leasing the property. And so it
- 4 depends on, again, the philosophy of the -- of the
- 5 investment, and whether or not --
- 6 MR. BRUNSON: You can --

7	MR. GORMAN: a municipality should be
8	involved.
9	MR. MARTINELLI: Sure.
10	MR. GORMAN: Just to point out.
11	MR. WUELLNER: But the point here, in a few
12	years
13	MR. GORMAN: Right.
14	MR. WUELLNER: that problem disappears,
15	and you're you're effectively using your own
16	capital, that being the Airport Authority's
17	money
18	MR. MARTINELLI: Right.
19	MR. WUELLNER: to make those investment
20	decisions, not the public.
21	MR. GORMAN: Right. Since I I myself
22	voted for for an expansion, I'm certainly
23	hoping that works.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Well, we all
25	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah.

1	CHAIRMAN COX: Where do we want to move
2	where do we want to move with this particular
3	meeting now?
4	MR. GORMAN: Right. In other words, we had a
5	meeting here to make substantial inquiry as to
6	whether or not we were competitive. And I've
7	brought up six issues, what I'd like examined as
8	to whether or not we are competitive in any
9	CHAIRMAN COX: Okay. And you're bringing in
10	a new term to the whole thing. Competitive with
11	who?
12	MR. GORMAN: With with
13	CHAIRMAN COX: Who are we competing with?
14	MR. GORMAN: With something with an
15	airport that, from a common sense nature, is
16	similar to this one.
17	CHAIRMAN COX: There is none, Jack. We're
18	we're beating this to we're beating this to
19	death.
20	MR. GORMAN: I'm sorry.
21	CHAIRMAN COX: This airport

- 22 MR. GORMAN: It's not --
- 23 CHAIRMAN COX: -- is not similar --
- 24 MR. BRUNSON: And that was one of my notes.
- 25 If -- if the board does not decide to -- when we

1	can make a motion, does not decide to budget for a
2	comparative analysis, then what we what's going
3	to have to happen is just like Victor did in 1998.
4	CHAIRMAN COX: '3.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: '93, yeah.
6	MR. BRUNSON: Jack
7	MR. GORMAN: Excuse me, can I hold this up?
8	MR. BRUNSON: That you don't
9	MR. GORMAN: I'm not an accountant, and I
10	don't intend to reproduce this (indicating).
11	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. But you're saying
12	that
13	MR. GORMAN: I serve here you know, and
14	I'd like to be able to and we had \$20,000

15	budgeted before I mean, \$15,000 budgeted
16	before, to make sure that this airport was running
17	on financially, you know, sound guidelines.
18	MR. BRUNSON: Well, we we've
19	MR. GORMAN: I can't reproduce this
20	(indicating).
21	MR. BRUNSON: We've said we're going to have
22	a comparative analysis workshop. We've tried.
23	And I think the next step is that, at a board
24	meeting, you're going to have to make your wishes
25	known, and we're going to have to vote on having a

98

1 comparative analysis with a qualified person t
--

- 2 we all agree to do it, or -- or it won't happen if
- 3 you're not going to do it and I'm not going to do

4 it --

- 5 MR. WUELLNER: If --
- 6 MR. BRUNSON: -- or any board members are not

7 going to do it.

8	MR. WUELLNER: If I could just kind of
9	bring bring it all back together again. I I
10	think your that step one in this is to be is
11	probably to be less concerned with how we directly
12	stack up under any expenditure line or definition
13	with another airport. It would be better served
14	that our step one be to gain a better or complete
15	understanding exactly what that line item
16	encompasses.
17	MR. GORMAN: That's zero based budgeting.
18	You are basing
19	MR. WUELLNER: And that's fine. You can call
20	it whatever you want.
21	MR. GORMAN: that philosophy on zero based
22	budgeting.
23	MR. WUELLNER: But I think until all five
24	board members completely understand what that line
25	item encompasses and what decisions are in that
	AIRDORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOD FERRUARY

1	line item when when it's approved, that
2	comparing it to something else really doesn't much
3	matter.
4	I think with an understanding of what's in
5	those line items, you may not feel there's a need
6	to compare; you're comfortable with what's in it
7	and and how it gets there and how it's
8	expended. And if and if you can get to that
9	point within your own budget, then I don't
10	understand what outside, you know, needs to be
11	compared.
12	CHAIRMAN COX: Would the board members
13	MR. WUELLNER: You make those decisions.
14	CHAIRMAN COX: The board members need to
15	understand exactly what it is per line item that
16	makes this that's good for this airport,
17	period.
18	It's and not and not necessary to
19	compare it with another airport, because even if
20	the other airport's exactly the same, it's on the
21	other side of the state, it just it just

file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

- doesn't make any sense unless you're satisfied
- 23 with the fact that the maintenance issues are way
- 24 out of line for this particular airport.
- 25 And -- which goes right to the point of I

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	invite, and I'm sure Ed would agree, if you want
2	to go in and and individually discuss the line
3	item issues and the and the costs, then then
4	he would be happy to and have the staff sit down
5	and explain any of those and you know that,
6	too. So, go through that.
7	I'm going to reach out now to public for
8	comments on any of the issues.
9	MR. BRUNSON: Is that mic on, Mr. Cameron?
10	MR. CAMERON: Probably not yet.
11	MR. GEORGE: I never have had known
12	Mr. Cameron to have a problem with volume.
13	MR. CAMERON: I think it's on now. Jerry
14	Cameron, 518 Gentian Road. A couple of things.

file:///Cl/Documents%20and%20Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06WS.txt

15	Number one, just after watching your very
16	interesting meeting here, a couple of
17	observations. I agree with Mr. Martinelli;
18	whether it's public or private sector, when you
19	start trying to compare one chart of accounts to
20	another I'm involved in that in the private
21	sector right now it gets to be very difficult.
22	But for the sake of comparison, almost every
23	public budget on the expense side of things is
24	divided into three areas. And one is personnel;

25 the other is operating; and the other is capital,

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 whether it's capital investment or capital
- 2 reserves.
- 3 Those are fairly easy to identify in a budget
- 4 and fairly easy to compare. And only if you've
- 5 got a great difference in those, would there be a
- 6 need to drill down and find out what exactly is
- 7 going on in a particular line item. You could

```
file:///C|/Documents\%20 and\%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt
```

8	save a lot of money, because there might not be
9	anything to investigate, if everybody else comes
10	up essentially the same as you. But that would be
11	a fairly easy thing to do that wouldn't require a
12	lot of expensive expertise.
13	The other thing is, in listening to Mr. Cox,
14	I I agree that from a personality point of
15	view, if an airport has a personality, that it's
16	very difficult to draw direct comparisons or
17	competitive comparisons, but what you can do, if
18	you're looking for like airports, is forget the
19	personality factors of it and go strictly on
20	revenues and operations. You know, how many
21	operations a year does it have? Does it compare
22	to you? Does the revenue likewise compare to you?
23	And if those two things come into align
24	within their certain variation, you've probably
25	got something that's the most reasonable

file:///C|/Documents%20 and%20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt

1	comparison that you can do.
2	And again, that's a fairly cheap way to to
3	screen things out. And just thought I'd throw
4	that out there for your edification.
5	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you very much for your
6	comments, sir.
7	MR. CAMERON: The other thing is, on a
8	separate matter, I am affiliated with
9	FreedomWorks. And FreedomWorks is a combination
10	of two organizations that merged here a little
11	over a year ago, which was Citizens For A Sound
12	Economy, very active in Florida, but active
13	nationwide, and Jack Kemp's Empower America, those
14	two organizations combined. And they are both
15	organizations that seek limited government and
16	heavy emphasis on individual and property rights.
17	I had been in correspondence with them over
18	the last couple of years with developments here
19	with eminent domain at this airport, and at the
20	end of your meeting last week, I e-mailed away
21	sought a copy of Mr. George's notes that he used,
22	and e-mailed that away to the Florida executive

- 23 director or the state director for FreedomWorks.
- And he is sending you a letter, but he
- e-mailed it to me. I told him you had a meeting

1	today and it was as private as you can get and
2	still be legal. And so, I'm going to read you
3	the the letter that he sent, which is pretty
4	short.
5	He says that "FreedomWorks is dedicated to
6	limited government and private property rights.
7	We work constantly to reduce the size of
8	government, lower taxes, and protect private
9	property rights.
10	"There has been an alarming trend by local
11	governments to use the concept of eminent domain
12	in ways that threaten one of our most sacred
13	institutions, private property. Originally,
14	eminent domain was used as a last resort to obtain
15	the most essential facilities for public safety

16	and general welfare. That concept has recently
17	been expanded to include almost anything that
18	government wants to do, including projects for the
19	sole purpose of raising revenues and the tax base.
20	This usage is a slippery slope that may well have
21	the effect of rendering the term 'private
22	property' meaningless.
23	"FreedomWorks of Florida has for some time
24	been aware of the eminent domain proceedings at

25 the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport, and

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

- 1 has worked closely with Jerry Cameron, our
- 2 Northeast Florida coordinator, to work on
- 3 improving the situation there. I was delighted
- 4 when I received word from Jerry that the Airport
- 5 Authority board took a strong stand against the
- 6 abuses of eminent domain.
- 7 "Your board should be recognized throughout
- 8 Florida for taking initiative in this area.

9	Private property rights in Florida will be more
10	secure as a result of your actions. A copy of
11	your actions in this area is being forwarded to
12	our headquarters in Washington, D.C. John
13	Hallman, Florida State Director, FreedomWorks."
14	CHAIRMAN COX: Thank you, Mr. Cameron.
15	Appreciate that. Thanks for reading it. Well,
16	gentlemen? Mr. George?
17	MR. GEORGE: I would like to make a motion
18	that that we adjourn this meeting, with the
19	understanding that the meeting has been
20	successful. It has been an educational process.
21	This is what workshops are for.
22	And every member of this board I think better
23	understands there's no quick comparison and that
24	we need to do it at budget time. And I bet you
25	we'll have a bigger input at the budget review

105

1 next time. So, I'd like to -- on those auspices,

2	I'd like to make a motion we adjourn.
3	CHAIRMAN COX: Second for the motion? I'll
4	second it.
5	MR. BRUNSON: I okay.
6	CHAIRMAN COX: All in favor to adjourn?
7	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Aye.
9	MR. BRUNSON: No.
10	MR. MARTINELLI: I don't have a vote.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: I know you don't.
12	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, you do. You're in a
13	workshop.
14	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, no, I still can't
15	vote.
16	MR. BRUNSON: That was a joke. Of course,
17	I
18	CHAIRMAN COX: All those against?
19	MR. GORMAN: Adjourning?
20	CHAIRMAN COX: Yes.
21	MR. GORMAN: Without any adjourning? We
22	are going to adjourn without effectively directing

 $file:///C|/Documents\%\,20 and\%\,20 Settings/Bill/Desktop/2.27.06 WS.txt$

- any specific inquiry on my six issues that I
- have -- that I have presented?
- 25 CHAIRMAN COX: I think that we were going to

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006

1	address those six issues in the in an upcoming
2	budget meeting a budget workshop. I'll take
3	that back.
4	MR. GORMAN: And that will be that will
5	be
6	CHAIRMAN COX: May?
7	MR. GORMAN: not until next May?
8	CHAIRMAN COX: Right. Next May, that's only
9	like two months away.
10	MR. GORMAN: March, April, May.
11	CHAIRMAN COX: That's correct.
12	MR. BRUNSON: And, Jack, I also believe that
13	you can put on the agenda requesting
14	comparative comparative analysis audit.
15	MR. GORMAN: At the budget?

Documents /020and /020Settings/Din/Desktop/2.27.00 w S.txt		
16	MR. MARTINELLI: Can can I also make a	
17	suggestion?	
18	CHAIRMAN COX: You can make a comment.	
19	MR. MARTINELLI: That you review the	
20	descriptive chart of accounts. And you look at	
21	it, and whatever time you can take, to come in and	
22	sit down and look at the various inputs to that	
23	line item. And and the girls can make that	
24	available to you, I think, Cindy and Donna can.	
25	And and just take a look at it.	

- 1 CHAIRMAN COX: Right.
- 2 MR. MARTINELLI: You'll be much better
- 3 prepared for the budget meeting when you do that.
- 4 CHAIRMAN COX: We need to move forward. We
- 5 had a motion on the floor with a second and a
- 6 vote. Three ayes and --
- 7 MR. GORMAN: A nay.
- 8 CHAIRMAN COX: A nay.

9	MR. GORMAN: Correct.
10	CHAIRMAN COX: The ayes have it. Meeting
11	will adjourn.
12	(Whereupon, the workshop concluded.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

108

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically
8	report the foregoing proceedings and that the
9	transcript is a true record of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	
12	Dated this 13th day of March, 2006.
13	
14	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
15	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224
16	Expires: April 30, 2006
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

AIRPORT AUTHORITY WORKSHOP - FEBRUARY 27, 2006