		AIRPORT AUTHORITY	- AUC	GUST 19, 2002
	Page	1	Page	2
	[1]	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1]	INDEX
i e	[2]	General Meeting	[2]	PAGE
#* 5.	[3]	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[3]	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 4
Ì	[4]	St. Augustine, Florida	[4]	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 4
	[5]	on Monday, August 19, 2002	[5]	4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS 5
	[6]	from 4:00 p.m. to 6:14 p.m.	[6]	5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 7
	[7]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[7]	6. REPORTS:
	[8]	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	[8]	A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commissioner 8
	[9]	WILLIAM "BILL" ROSE, Chairman	[9]	B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc. 8 C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 9
	[10]	CHARLES LASSITER DENNIS R. WATTS, Secretary-Treasurer	[10]	D. Mr. Wayne George - S.A.P.A. 9
	[11]	DENNIS R. WATTS, Secretary-Treasurer JOSEPH CIRIELLO SUZANNE GREEN	[11]	E. Mr. George McClure - Attorney 9
	[12]		[12]	7. ACTION ITEMS
	[13].	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[13]	A. T-Hangar Policy Revisions 16 B. Lobby Agreement - Inter-modal Facility 46
	[14]	ALSO PRESENT:	[14]	C. Resolution 02-03 - JPA Acquisition 88 D. Release of Retainage - Self-Fuel Facility 94
	[15]	GEORGE McCLURE, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,	[15]	E. Budget Revisions 95 F. Project Updates 101
	[16]	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[16]	G. RPR Services for Taxiway B 102
	[17]	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.	[17]	H. RPR Services - North Corp. Hangar Development 114
	[18]	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[18]	8. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:
	[19]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[19]	A. Mr. William "Bill" Rose, Chairman 125 B. Mr. Dennis R. Watts, Secretary/Treasurer 126
	[20]		[20]	C. Mr. Charles Lassiter 126 D. Mr. Joseph A. Ciriello 126
	[21]	St. Augustine Court Reporters	[21]	E. Mrs. Suzanne Green 127
	[22]	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A St. Augustine, FL 32084	[22]	9. PUBLIC COMMENT 127
	[23]	(904) 825-0570	[23]	10. NEXT BOARD MEETING 129
	[24]		[24]	11. ADJOURNMENT 130
	[25]		[25]	
·	[23]		[2-2]	
	Page 3	l l	Page	4
	[1]	PROCEEDINGS	[1]	MR. COX: Thank you,
ı	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: It's 4 o'clock, We'll call	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other candidates?
	[3]	this meeting of the Airport Authority to order,	[3]	MR, KIRA: Steve Kira.
	[4]	I have a couple of quick announcements.	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, Steve. Yeah. Okay.
	[5]	First, I want to remind everybody on the	[5]	All right. With that, we'll start the meeting
	[6]	Authority to talk into your microphone. We have	[6]	with the pledge to the flag.
	[7]	some folks in the back of the room that say	[7]	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
	[8]	they sometimes they can't hear us. The only	[8]	3 Approval of Minutes
	[9]	person they can hear is Joe. Joe says that's	[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. The first item
	[10]	because he's the only one that talks. But	[10]	of business is the minutes of our meeting of July
- 1	[11]	anyway, speak in.	[11]	15th, which you-all got in your package that was
ı	[12]	And if you folks in the back will raise your	[12]	distributed. Are there any additions or
- 1	[13]	hand, and if you can't hear, we'll remind the	[13]	corrections to the
- 1	[14]	speakers to pay attention to what they're	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: I have one, if I can find it.
- 1	[15]	supposed to do,	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.
- 1	[16]	Now, we have some Airport Authority	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: I should have flagged it, but
- 1	[17]	candidates here. So, we probably ought to	[17]	didn't. It's on would be page 79.
- 1	[18]	introduce them.	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Page 79.
	[19]	I see Tony Kile back there. I think he's	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: The first full paragraph.
	[20]	been here before, but he's now officially a	[20]	The first full paragraph, after the hyphens,
- 1	[21]	candidate. Now, who else is a candidate back	[21]	begins with "that's there and consistent,"
- 1	[22]	there that I anybody that I don't there we	[22]	relative to the minutes. That should be
- 1	[23]	go.	[23]	"that's fair and consistent."
- 1		MR. COX: Bob Cox.	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. Do that
	[44]			CHAIRMAN ROSE, Wall a lilliate. Do tilat
	[24] [25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Bob Cox. Okay.	[25]	again. I was having trouble finding the page

Page 5		Page 6	
[1]	number.	[1]	on the financial?
[2]	MR. WUELLNER: Page 79.	[2]	MR. LASSITER: I have just one.
[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.	[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.
[4]	MR. WUELLNER: First full paragraph, which	[4]	MR. LASSITER: In in the financials
[5]	begins it's actually line 5. Didn't notice	[5]	and this is more of a question than a comment.
[6]	our lines on the side. That makes life easy.	[6]	When we are dealing with Ed, when we're
[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[7]	dealing with the fines and penalties that we're
[8]	MR. WUELLNER: After the hyphens	[8]	supposedly collecting on the late, how is that
[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.	[9]	treated accounting-wise?
[10]	MR. WUELLNER: the sentence continues	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: It is not being treated
[11]	with, "that's there and consistent." Should be	[11]	accounting-wise yet.
[12]	"that's fair and consistent."	[12]	MR. LASSITER: Should it be, is my question?
[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Oh. Okay.	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: It's within the contract on
[14]	MR. WUELLNER: That's my only change, sir.	[14]	the terminal at this point.
[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any other comments	[15]	MR. LASSITER: So, it's in the shell of
[16]	on the minutes? Well, if not, then I'll declare	[16]	that
[17]	that they are accepted and approved as amended.	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
[18]	And, Mr. Treasurer, financial report.	[18]	MR. LASSITER: contract. Okay. Thank
[19]	4 Acceptance of Financial Reports	[19]	you. That's my question.
[20]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, I've reviewed the	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other questions?
[21]	nine months, the or the financial statement	[21]	MR. WATTS: Secondly, everyone has a copy,
[22]	ending nine months, ended June 30th, and it	[22]	too, of the financial statement for ten months
[23]	looks it looks everything looks in order.	[23]	ended July 31st.
[24]	And I I think they should be	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes.
[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any any comment	[25]	MR. WATTS: And after reviewing that,
L			
Page 7		Page 8	
Page 7	everything looks in order as well, Mr. Chairman.	Page 8	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okav. Any others? All
[1]	everything looks in order as well, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any others? All right. We'll the agenda will stand modified
1 -	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no	_	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified
[1]		[1] [2]	<i>,</i> ,
[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.)
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the tower and also several other just day-to-day
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And it's the RPR Services for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the tower and also several other just day-to-day operational issues around the airport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And it's the RPR Services for Taxiway B. Item 7.H., which represents RPR	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the tower and also several other just day-to-day operational issues around the airport. I think the meetings are working out quite
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And it's the RPR Services for Taxiway B. Item 7.H., which represents RPR Services for the North Corporate Hangar	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the tower and also several other just day-to-day operational issues around the airport. I think the meetings are working out quite well and would like to invite any other operators
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And it's the RPR Services for Taxiway B. Item 7.H., which represents RPR Services for the North Corporate Hangar development. And that would be it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the tower and also several other just day-to-day operational issues around the airport. I think the meetings are working out quite well and would like to invite any other operators that want to send a representative to the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Great. If there's no discussion, we'll accept those those reports. And thank you, Mr. Treasurer. 5 Approval of Meeting Agenda CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have the agenda before you. Are there any comments or any changes to make in the agenda? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, I have two agenda items that were transmitted to you in advance of the meeting, however did not make the agenda document itself. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. What is that? MR. WUELLNER: And we would like to add an item number 7.G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, 7? MR. WUELLNER: G. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And it's the RPR Services for Taxiway B. Item 7.H., which represents RPR Services for the North Corporate Hangar development. And that would be it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, two items, then. Have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	right. We'll the agenda will stand modified as as discussed. And with that, we'll begin reports. 6.A County Commission CHAIRMAN ROSE: Jim Bryant? I don't see him. Anybody from the county? (Representative absent.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Aero Sport. 6.B Aero Sport, Inc. MR. SLINGLUFF: We just wanted to take a moment and report for the last two months, we've had operations meetings held at Aero Sport. We had one in July and one in August with the flight school, Aero Sport, Northrop-Grumman Ed and Bryan were there also and a representative from RVA, talking about the transition with the tower and also several other just day-to-day operational issues around the airport. I think the meetings are working out quite well and would like to invite any other operators that want to send a representative to the meeting. We will be posting the dates. We host

Pag	e 9	Page 10		
[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thank you.	[1]	you may recall, that was not vertical and was out	
[2]	6.C Northrop-Grumman	[2]	of plumb and was potentially going to lead to	
[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Northrop-Grumman?	[3]	structural issues; issues dealing with the steel	
[4]	MR. LESLIE: No report.	[4]	that has become so corroded on-site that it's not	
[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No report.	[5]	usable even if sandblasted and improved; and	
[6]	6.D St. Augustine Airport Pilots Association	[6]	other things a number of things that we've	
[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pilots Association?	[7]	been trying to make sure that we agree and that	
[8]	(Representative absent.)	[8]	the bonding company agree are matters which are	
[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Anybody here from all	[9]	necessary to accomplish in order to complete the	
[10]	right.	[10]	facility in accordance with the original plans	
[11]	6.E Airport Authority Attorney	[11]	and specifications.	90
[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And looks like George	[12]	We also had one issue come up, and that is	
[13]	McClure, not Susan Bloodworth.	[13]	the question on the part of a couple of the	
[14]	MR. McCLURE: Yes. I have three items to	[14]	contractors as to whether or not they're going to	-
[15]	comment on quickly. I'm sure that everybody	[15]	have to comply with the new building code	
[16]	is continues to be concerned about the status	[16]	requirements which came into effect July 1st of	
[17]	of the resumption of construction on the terminal	[17]	this year, which substantially increase	
[18]	and the associated hangars.	[18]	requirements for wind-load protection, even on	
[19]	There has been a process with our engineers'	[19]	nonhabitable structures such as hangars.	ı
[20]	participation and consultation of identifying all	[20]	And we think that we've satisfied that	
[21]	of the things that need to be fixed, and it's not	[21]	question, that we are in fact grandfathered or	WC CHANGE
[22]	a matter of just completing what was not done on	[22]	vested under the existing plans and	
[23]	the buildings, but in fact there were some things	[23]	specifications and don't have to meet those new	-
[24]	that were done substandard,	[24]	criteria.	
[25]	There was a curtain wall in the terminal, as	[25]	With those questions answered, the bid	
		ı		ı
		Manual Commence		
Page	: 11	Page 12		j
[1]	packages finally did go out. There were six	[1]	com _p letion.	
[1] [2]		[1] [2]		
[1] [2] [3]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the nances in front of me who picked up the bid packages.	[1]	completion, Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids	[1] [2] [3] [4]	completion. Secondly, we've been working in accordance	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	completion. Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	completion. Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance wich your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance wich your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion-letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going to take a while to mobilize and get started. But	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for getting the Water Management District permit on	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going to take a while to mobilize and get started. But once they get started, it shouldn't be too bad on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for getting the Water Management District permit on the Taxiway B extension.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going to take a while to mobilize and get started. But once they get started, it shouldn't be too bad on the time frame.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for getting the Water Management District permit on the Taxiway B extension. Sorry.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going to take a while to mobilize and get started. But once they get started, it shouldn't be too bad on the time frame. The contractors will be able to give us a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for getting the Water Management District permit on the Taxiway B extension. Sorry. MR. THOMPSON: The north corporate.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as-far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going to take a while to mobilize and get started. But once they get started, it shouldn't be too bad on the time frame. The contractors will be able to give us a schedule once there the contract is awarded.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for getting the Water Management District permit on the Taxiway B extension. Sorry. MR. THOMPSON: The north corporate. MR. McCLURE: Oh, the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	packages finally did go out. There were six contractors I don't have a list of the names in front of me who picked up the bid packages. And the bid packages are due to or the bids are due to be opened on Friday of this week. We expect that it's somewhere between two and ten days to award that bid package to the successful bidder, absent any challenges to the bid process. And so we hope to have before you within two weeks, or I guess I should say ten days from Friday if that's more than two weeks, the identity of the follow-on contractor, who then is going to be turned loose to get started right away on trying to complete the work. The estimate is that the terminal construction is not going to be too bad, as far as a time constraint. There are a few things, as we said, that have to get fixed, but it's going to take a while to mobilize and get started. But once they get started, it shouldn't be too bad on the time frame. The contractors will be able to give us a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Secondly, we've been working in accordance with your instructions on revised T-hangar leases, to incorporate the inspection requirements and and the clarification that they're for the storage of aircraft and for the storage of particular aircraft. And we've gotten a draft of that, which I think needs some more work, because Ed's indicated to me that there are a few things he thinks that need to be in there. So, he's gotten his comments about done and back to us, and we'll try and respond to those comments promptly to have the T-hangar lease done in accordance with your instructions. Last thing we've been working on is getting our engineers an opinion letter as to the ownership and any encumbrances on mitigation property that we're offering in exchange for getting the Water Management District permit on the Taxiway B extension. Sorry. MR. THOMPSON: The north corporate.	

				UE 1., AUGA
	Page 13		Page 14	
	[1]	north area done.	[1]	MR. GEORGE: I'm yes, Pilots Association.
	[2]	We've been pushing on what we do is we	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Anyway, Wayne George
	[3]	order the base title information, the raw title	[3]	is a candidate for the Airport Authority.
	[4]	information, from abstracting companies. We	[4]	MR. GEORGE: Sorry I'm late.
	[5]	ordered it from a company with whom we've done	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.
	[6]	work for years and with whom we do a lot, but for	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Is he going to speak?
	[7]	whatever reason, it's been slow in coming.	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Huh?
	[8]	We've put as much pressure on them as we	[8]	MR. CIRIELLO: Is he going to speak?
	[9]	can, and they I got an e-mail before I came	[9]	MS. GREEN: Does he have a report?
	[10]	this afternoon that said that they would have a	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, have you got anything
	[11]	part of it done today, and hopefully fax before	[11]	to say for the Pilots?
	[12]	the close of business the rest of some tomorrow,	[12]	MR. GEORGE: No. The Pilots have no comment
	[13]	and the balance by the end of the week.	[13]	to make.
	[14]	I think that what I need to do my work I'll	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The Pilots have no comment.
	[15]	have by tomorrow, and then I do an opinion letter	[15]	MR. GEORGE: Right. Sorry.
	[16]	for Bryan that says who's the owner and what the	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thanks, All right.
	[17]	status of the encumbrances are so we can get our	[17]	We're ready to move into the action items.
	[18]	permit, we hope, on Wednesday and get started on	[18]	Mr. Director?
	[19]	that project. And that concludes my report.	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Before we get
	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of George?	[20]	started, I wanted to introduce David Knight.
	[21]	(No questions.)	[21]	David, you want to want to come up a second,
	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have another candidate	[22]	because I wanted you to introduce your
	[23]	that came in while George was talking. Wayne	[23]	compatriots there. But David is the new tower
ļ	[24]	George. Are you here as a candidate or as the	[24]	manager for our site
	[25]	Pilots Association?	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Very good.
			[]	or and the second secon
l				
	Page 15		Page 16	
	Page 15	MR. WUELLNER: and will be effective	Page 16	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We're glad you're here.
	_	MR. WUELLNER: and will be effective today, is on-site permanently with us and will be	_	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We're glad you're here. MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to
	[1]		[1]	
	[1]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be	[1] [2]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PE	[1] [2] [3]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as	[1] [2] [3]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you, CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed?
namen egyptette entre	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions
STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft
The second secon	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to
The special control of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related
de la constante	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport.
de la constante	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that
Section 2	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the
470.00000000000000000000000000000000000	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers. MR. WUELLNER: Sorry.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to that of a flat \$25-per-month late fee on all
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers. MR. WUELLNER: Sorry. MR. KNIGHT: These are two controllers with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to that of a flat \$25-per-month late fee on all balances remaining unpaid after the 10th of the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers. MR. WUELLNER: Sorry. MR. KNIGHT: These are two controllers with some good background experience, plus myself, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to that of a flat \$25-per-month late fee on all balances remaining unpaid after the 10th of the month, and provides for the eviction again,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers. MR. WUELLNER: Sorry. MR. KNIGHT: These are two controllers with some good background experience, plus myself, and we're still looking for some additional	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to that of a flat \$25-per-month late fee on all balances remaining unpaid after the 10th of the month, and provides for the eviction again, this language gets incorporated into the lease
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers. MR. WUELLNER: Sorry. MR. KNIGHT: These are two controllers with some good background experience, plus myself, and we're still looking for some additional controllers to be on board here within the next	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to that of a flat \$25-per-month late fee on all balances remaining unpaid after the 10th of the month, and provides for the eviction again, this language gets incorporated into the lease documents themselves, but it requires or deals
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	today, is on-site permanently with us and will be working toward wrapping up the next six weeks as we begin to the long and arduous process of getting the tower up and running from a controller's standpoint. David, welcome aboard. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you very much. During the next couple of weeks, obviously, we have some training to do before we can start the advisory portion, before we actually get approval to open up and be a legitimate control tower. And we're still awaiting frequencies to be sanctioned and authorized, et cetera. But, to start with, I have Loren Austin, who some of you may already know. And I also have Mark Napier. These are two controllers. MR. WUELLNER: Sorry. MR. KNIGHT: These are two controllers with some good background experience, plus myself, and we're still looking for some additional controllers to be on board here within the next couple of weeks. That's all I have.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. KNIGHT: We're looking forward to working with all of you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed? 7.A T-Hangar Policy Revisions MR. WUELLNER: First item I have is draft policy 02-01, which is the policy related to late-fee assessment and eviction efforts related to T-hangars at the airport. As promised, we rewrote or modified that consistent with what we believe to have been the board's direction at the last meeting. And based on that, it would be our recommendation that the Authority adopt 02-01, which for just purposes of reiterating, recommends or replaces the late fee composition that was in the previous policy to that of a flat \$25-per-month late fee on all balances remaining unpaid after the 10th of the month, and provides for the eviction again, this language gets incorporated into the lease documents themselves, but it requires or deals with the eviction after 30 days of an unpaid

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY	- AUGU	ST 19, 2002
	Page 17		Page 18	
	[1]	prohibited from leasing hangar space for a period	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
	[2]	not less than one year after eviction from the	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other?
	[3]	T-hangars.	[3]	(No further comment.)
	[4]	And it also then has language that indicates	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll entertain a motion to
	[5]	it supersedes the previous policy related to	[5]	accept to either accept or reject policy 02-1.
	[6]	evictions. And again, this language gets written	[6]	MR. LASSITER: Make a motion that we accept
	[7]	into the revised lease agreements.	[7]	the policy as presented in the draft of 02-01.
	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second?
	[9]	on this issue?	[9]	MR. WATTS: I second.
	[10]	(No public comment.)	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any discussion? Joe?
	[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, any comment?	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. Mr. Chairman, according
	[12]	Questions?	[12]	to the agenda, it says "T-hangar Policy
	[13]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Rose, I'd like to ask	[13]	Revisions," 02-1. And then we have an 02-2. So,
١	[14]	Mr. Wuellner a question. Ed, how many people or	[14]	they're not specified different in the agenda
	[15]	list or lessee people have we had evicted	[15]	item, so if we vote for this motion, it's only
	[16]	within the past year?	[16]	for 02-1.
	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Past year? None.	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
Ì	[18]	MR. WATTS: None?	[18]	MR. CIRIELLO: And then we will have a
	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: We've had a couple of close	[19]	discussion on 02-2 separately.
	[20]	calls.	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes,
	[21]	MR. WATTS: Okay. So, it's	[21]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Thank you.
	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: It's really not a very common	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, No further
	[23]	event here. And I think in my six years here,	[23]	discussion? All in favor, say aye.
	[24]	we've only had one actually evicted.	[24]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
İ	[25]	MR. WATTS: Okay. Thank you.	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.
ŀ	D 10			
	Page 19	MD I ADOTTED. Ass.	Page 20	
- 1	[1]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1]	address the discussions of last month, tried to
ı	[2] [2]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[2]	summarize that in that in the policy
- 1	[3] [4]	MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[3] [4]	statements here. It has a slightly different
- 1	[5]	(No opposition.)		format than the original was. So, if you were
ı	[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is carried on	[5] [6]	trying to follow it verbatim, it's it is slightly different.
		policy 02-01. Okay, Ed.	[7]	What we did was say that the list will be
- 1		7.B Lobby Agreement - Intermodal Facility	[8]	generally maintained in the order in which it was
- 1	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item is	[9]	developed; i.e., first names received will be
		policy or draft policy 02-01, which provided for	[10]	afforded first opportunities for rental and would
ı		he leasing of T-hangars, port-a-ports, and box	[11]	be subject to the following:
		nangars on the airport.	[12]	Individuals will be attempted to be
	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: It's 02.	[13]	contacted via phone and afforded a 72-hour
	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: 02. Isn't that what I said?	[14]	period, or 3 business days, whichever is greater,
- 1		What did I say?	[15]	to affirm their desire to lease the available
	[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: 01.	[16]	hangar unit.
	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Did I say 1 again? Talking	[17]	Should the individual listed in first
	[18]	ne into it, Joe.	[18]	position on the waiting list fail to respond in
	[19]	Policy 02-02, which provided for the leasing	[19]	the time specified, the individual listed in
	[20]	of T-hangars, box hangars, and port-a-ports. The	[20]	second place will be contacted in like manner and
ا	[21] p	orimary area of discussion last month surrounded	[21]	afforded the opportunity to rent the hangar.
- 1		he handling of hangar waiting lists and what	[22]	Movement through the list in this in the order
	[23]	evisions might be prudent to make in that in	[23]	established would continue until the unit is
1,	241 +	hat direction.	FO 47	lana.d
Ι,	[24] t	nat direction.	[24]	leased,
- 1	[25]	The individuals what we did here was	[24]	In the event any individual fails to respond

				JE 17, 2002
	Page 21		Page 22	
	[1]	within the specified period, that being 72 hours,	[1]	would be afforded a first opportunity to effect
	[2]	they would be contacted via first-class mail at	[2]	that change by notifying our office, and we would
	[3]	the last address provided relative to affirming	[3]	accommodate those lateral moves before issuing a
	[4]	their desire to remain on the hangar list and	[4]	search for a new hangar tenant.
	[5]	will be afforded the next available hangar unit,	[5]	The current list is in excess of 80 names as
	[6]	assuming they responded.	[6]	it stands today. We specify the rental agreement
	[7]	Failing that, if you remain unresponsive	[7]	will be for one year or less. The less provision
	[8]	for responsive for a period of 30 days, then	[8]	provides for the first year, because it has an
	[9]	your name will be removed from the hangar waiting	[9]	automatic renewal on a specified date annually,
	[10]	list and you would forfeit all previous position	[10]	so there are conditions in the first year with
ĺ	[11]	on the waiting list at that point.	[11]	rare exceptions that someone would have a full
	[12]	We specify that we will make a annual review	[12]	12-month lease during the first term. Renews
	[13]	and adjustment of the waiting list; that is, the	[13]	automatically for 30 days unless excuse me
ĺ	[14]	adjustment, for clarification purposes, would be	[14]	for a year, unless either party provides a 30-day
	[15]	the purging of anyone who failed to respond to	[15]	notification of their intent to not renew that
ı	[16]	the update or, for that matter, anybody that came	[16]	
	[17]	off the list in the previous previous year.	[17]	lease. Provides for an initial rental rate. Provides for the that a rental agreement shall
	[18]	Any individual that fails to respond again	[18]	be exclusively used by the tenant.
ı	[19]	after 30 days of attempted contact would be	[19]	
	[20]	pulled off the hangar waiting list and removed	[20]	No subleases will be provided for formally, informally made, or in any way remunerated. No
ı	[21]	again without priority on the new list.	[21]	
ı	[22]	We did make or try to write down the	l	sort of use agreement or sublease agreement would
	[23]	provision where we deal with existing T-hangars;	[22]	be accommodated under our lease agreements. No
	[24]		[23] [24]	more than two aircraft would be accommodated in a
l	[25]	i.e., someone who is in an existing hangar but wishes to switch laterally within the hangars,	[24]	single hangar.
	[23]	wishes to switch faterany within the hangais,	[23]	The exception to that, our box hangar
-	Page 23		Page 24	
	Page 23	agreements, where we have previously permitted	1	Insurance, again at this point, would
	-	agreements, where we have previously permitted sharing arrangements. Those will be continue	[1]	Insurance, again at this point, would remain would not remain a requirement. It is
	[1]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	remain would not remain a requirement. It is
	[1] [2]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue	[1] [2] [3]	
	[1] [2] [3]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however,
er en	[1] [2] [3] [4]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that
TATION COLD.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or accurately reflects what we were talking
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is changed out of there, in which case they'll need	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	remain would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment on this issue?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is changed out of there, in which case they'll need to let us know within 15 days of their intent to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment on this issue? (No public comment.)
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is changed out of there, in which case they'll need to let us know within 15 days of their intent to change that aircraft or change it changing of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment on this issue? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members? Joe?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is changed out of there, in which case they'll need to let us know within 15 days of their intent to change that aircraft or change it changing of the aircraft.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment on this issue? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members? Joe? MR. CIRIELLO: I have a number of things on
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is changed out of there, in which case they'll need to let us know within 15 days of their intent to change that aircraft or change it changing of the aircraft. They will be subject to verification and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment on this issue? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members? Joe? MR. CIRIELLO: I have a number of things on this particular item, Mr. Ed. First, on page 1,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	sharing arrangements. Those will be continue to exist primarily because of their larger size. But in any case, they shouldn't exceed three aircraft in a hangar. That our lease will be consistent with all other Airport Authority policies, including the one that just passed relative to late fees and the like. All airport clauses, the standard airport clauses will be required. All applicable rules and regulations will be applied as a part of the lease, either specifically written in the lease or by reference. A list of aircraft will be required to be provided to our office at the startup of the lease, as well as anytime that aircraft is changed out of there, in which case they'll need to let us know within 15 days of their intent to change that aircraft or change it changing of the aircraft. They will be subject to verification and inspection on at least an annual basis, and we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	remain — would not remain a requirement. It is not a requirement at this point, either; however, it would be reviewed periodically by the Authority and could be added as a condition of rental at any time the Authority so desires. And also would require or provide for the indemnification of the Airport Authority and the staff relative to the rental. And would provide for an effective date of that being this meeting or when the Authority passes a suitable substitute. And it would be Staff's recommendation that the Authority adopt that. We think it accurately or — accurately reflects what we were talking about at the last meeting. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any public comment on this issue? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members? Joe? MR. CIRIELLO: I have a number of things on this particular item, Mr. Ed. First, on page 1, hangar waiting list for number 1.

				DI 17, 2002
	Page 25		Page 26	
	[1]	gentleman wanted this time because of a	[1]	and there, he went to the next name on the list
	[2]	businessman and whatnot, but I got to thinking:	[2]	until he got somebody. He might have called 20
	[3]	Supposing, theoretically, you call call me;	[3]	people that same day.
	[4]	I'm next on the list, and I'm a businessman; I'm	[4]	But, theoretically, this way, giving 72
	[5]	away from the area, and I don't get the message.	[5]	hours between calls, we could probably
	[6]	But you have to wait three days to find out	[6]	wouldn't happen, but we could go a whole month
	[7]	whether or not I get off the list.	[7]	with an empty hangar waiting to get somebody in
	[8]	So, at the end of three days, I'm not back	[8]	there.
-	[9]	yet, so I get off the list. So, you go to the	[9]	So, I'm not so sure I'm happy with that, the
	[10]	second guy on the list. And he gets three days	[10]	way that part's run. So okay. That's
	[11]	and so on and so forth.	[11]	something for you guys to think of.
	[12]	And, theoretically, if you go through ten	[12]	Now, go to the next page. On section B, I
	[13]	guys in ten days because you don't get ahold of	[13]	have a question from myself here. Said, "Will
	[14]	somebody right when you call them, we could end	[14]	board be apprised of reviewing its results every
	[15]	up waiting a whole month before we could rent a	[15]	year?"
	[16]	hangar because of all this waiting time.	[16]	MR, WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
1	[17]	Now, I can understand guys wanting it, but I	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: I mean, will you come back
	[18]	don't know. Of course, I keep saying where I	[18]	and tell us well, okay.
	[19]	come from, and I know no it's just like when	[19]	Now, on C, does that mean you're going to
	[20]	you talk about, "Well, up north, we did it this	[20]	have in effect two different lists, one for the
	[21]	way," and people down here don't want to hear it.	[21]	guys who are in a lousy hangar and might want to
l	[22]	But, boy up there, the guy was really	[22]	grade up, and then the guys who just want a
	[23]	strict. He called the next guy on the list and	[23]	hanger?
-	[24]	if you didn't answer the phone or you did answer,	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
	[25]	he didn't care; if you didn't say yes right then	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: So, in effect, you're going
F	- Parish Seria (memorana and memorana and me			
i	Page 27		Page 28	
	[1]	to have two lists.	[1]	renting it out to his neighbor who has a fancy
	[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.	[1] [2]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to
	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to	[1] [2] [3]	-
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle	[1] [2] [3] [4]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i." Is that a misspelling? It should	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and — because it might be your
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and — because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and — because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But — and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i," Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that. But if he doesn't if we don't do that and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and I sell it, and it's about the middle of the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that. But if he doesn't if we don't do that and then for some reason say I'm a pilot and I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and I sell it, and it's about the middle of the month, and I paid in advance for the whole month.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that. But if he doesn't if we don't do that and then for some reason say I'm a pilot and I come to you and I complain about the guy next	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and I sell it, and it's about the middle of the month, and I paid in advance for the whole month. I've all ran across this situation up north,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that. But if he doesn't if we don't do that and then for some reason say I'm a pilot and I come to you and I complain about the guy next door to me has got his hangar full of stuff, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and I sell it, and it's about the middle of the month, and I paid in advance for the whole month. I've all ran across this situation up north, too.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that. But if he doesn't if we don't do that and then for some reason say I'm a pilot and I come to you and I complain about the guy next door to me has got his hangar full of stuff, and you go and check it out and find out there's a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and I sell it, and it's about the middle of the month, and I paid in advance for the whole month. I've all ran across this situation up north, too. The guy that sold me the plane said, "Go
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, you go down to the bottom of that page in section E, the middle line. This is real picky. It says, "to apply to all, 'a-i.'" Is that a misspelling? It should be all MR. WUELLNER: It should be two l's, yes, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: That was real picky, but I just wanted you to know I read these things. Okay. Now, the last page, it says "a list of aircraft." I talked to you about this before. When a guy signs a lease, since we have no re-leasing, I think he shouldn't only just come in and sign up what aircraft he has in that hangar. If he has a boat or some cars or something, I have no objections to that. But if he doesn't if we don't do that and then for some reason say I'm a pilot and I come to you and I complain about the guy next door to me has got his hangar full of stuff, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	car he wants under cover and he's leasing it to him, helping him pay his hangar rent. So, I'm thinking that whenever, if somebody comes in to sign a lease, that everything that they're going to want in their hangar like cars, boats, refrigerators or whatever, should be on this list so if we ever have cause to go in and check the hangar and check with the list, that there's not something in there that shouldn't be there. And then there should be proof of ownership, too. I mean, you just can't write down a car or a boat and because it might be your neighbor's. It has to be yours. But and then the last thing I have, I have "a half month." This is something I thought about. Supposing I have a plane in a hangar and I sell it, and it's about the middle of the month, and I paid in advance for the whole month. I've all ran across this situation up north, too.

	Page 29		Page 30	
	[1]	happened to have a brother with an airplane, and	[1]	through, in your scenario, where you'd have to go
	[2]	he came and towed our airplane out and put his	[2]	through at least ten people to chew up a whole
	[3]	airplane in there because it was his brother's	[3]	month of time here before you found a tenant, in
	[4]	hangar. And so, my partner and I went to the	[4]	a worst-case scenario. You know, the reality is
	[5]	director, and he made him get out of there. He	[5]	that we should never be close to that,
	[6]	said, "You don't get that hangar, either. It	[6]	Also, keep in mind that the the period of
	[7]	goes to the next guy on the list."	[7]	time that first month entails is the notification
	[8]	So, I'm just wondering, in that situation,	[8]	period when we would begin to we know the
	[9]	how are we going to work that? So, if you can	[9]	hangar's becoming available 30 days in advance
	[10]	remember these thoughts I have, I	[10]	and we begin the process of identifying the
	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. First the first	[11]	tenant in advance.
	[12]	item you addressed was A.1, item A.1, and it	[12]	So, in theory, we're we're leasing for
	[13]	dealt with the 72 hours.	[13]	the next month, not not a vacant hangar in and
	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Time limit, yeah.	[14]	of itself. So, you know, unless it exceeded 10
	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: And as we stated last month,	[15]	persons and and 10 contacts of 72 hours a
	[16]	we just some of these folks, given the length	[16]	person, it wouldn't exceed the month notification
	[17]	of time they spend on hangar waiting lists, it	[17]	period that's in there where you have a tenant
	[18]	only seems fair that they that they get a	[18]	and you have revenue that's been paid on it.
ļ	[19]	reasonable amount of time to respond to	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: All right. With that
	[20]	notification that the hangar's available,	[20]	thought line of thought with the 30-day
	[21]	We've tried to keep the urgency down here,	[21]	notice, supposing cut of the clear blue sky I
	[22]	but with 80-some names on the list and the fact	[22]	decide to get rid of the airplane all of a sudden
	[23]	that it's going to be revisited periodically	[23]	and I put it up for sale today and somebody buys
ı	[24]	through the year for purposes of keeping it	[24]	it the next day and I don't have time to give you
1	[25]	current, there really should be no reason to go	[25]	that 30-day notice. Is there any penalty to me
	ONLY MADE IN COLUMN A PARTIE OF			
	Page 31		Page 32	
	Page 31 [1]	because I didn't give you a 30-day notice that I	Page 32	we just want to let you know as soon as you do
	-	because I didn't give you a 30-day notice that I was going to vacate?	1	we just want to let you know as soon as you do it."
	[1]	,	[1]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	was going to vacate?	[1] [2] [3] [4]	it."
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant	[1] [2] [3] [4]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11];	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]; [12] [13] [14] [15]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through each of these items?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MS. GREEN: because there's no time frame
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through each of these items? MR. WUELLNER: If I need to.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MS. GREEN: because there's no time frame on that. But I'm assuming as soon as you get
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through each of these items? MR. WUELLNER: If I need to. MR. LASSITER: I just want to make a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	it." At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MS. GREEN: because there's no time frame on that. But I'm assuming as soon as you get your notice, you're going to start that whole
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through each of these items? MR. WUELLNER: If I need to. MR. LASSITER: I just want to make a comment, too. In the in the leasing business,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MS. GREEN: because there's no time frame on that. But I'm assuming as soon as you get your notice, you're going to start that whole MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. In fact, we'd like
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through each of these items? MR. WUELLNER: If I need to. MR. LASSITER: I just want to make a comment, too. In the in the leasing business, you always take a backup contract or a backup	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MS. GREEN: because there's no time frame on that. But I'm assuming as soon as you get your notice, you're going to start that whole MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. In fact, we'd like to know for sure whether they're moving or not.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	was going to vacate? MR. WUELLNER: Well, what what we have done historically is if we can identify a tenant that is willing to either step in at that point or step in at the beginning of the next month, even though there might be only two weeks left till the next month, we're certainly happy to accommodate. It's a win-win for both of us. You can get out of there two weeks earlier and they can get in two weeks sooner. It's really if that works out, it works out. If it doesn't, then the terms of the lease are the terms of the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And the next item you had was CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to go through each of these items? MR. WUELLNER: If I need to. MR. LASSITER: I just want to make a comment, too. In the in the leasing business,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	At least that's what we do; we go out and if you've got something coming up and you've got somebody saying, "I want it," well, you keep going, because if that one falls through, you won't have somebody right there to start off with. So, I'm sure they'll do the same thing with MR. WUELLNER: They also will appreciate knowing that they're MR. LASSITER: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: progressively higher on the list. MS. GREEN: I'm assuming you're doing that with C also when you contact the laterals that maybe want to move MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MS. GREEN: because there's no time frame on that. But I'm assuming as soon as you get your notice, you're going to start that whole MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. In fact, we'd like

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY		
	Page 33		Page 34	
	[1]	next two questions about the list. You answered	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: that's going to be in
	[2]	those. So, we'll go to the last page about	[2]	that hangar.
	[3]	people listing the equipment in the hangars.	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct, Correct, And that
	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, okay. Actually, we felt	[4]	should be current, based on
	[5]	that with the language that we were proposing to	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if there's any changes,
	[6]	put in the lease which limits the use to the	[6]	he has to come and
1	[7]	storage of the aircraft or, you know, the active	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Within 15 days.
	[8]	construction of a kit aircraft, and as long as	[8]	If if the character, that is, he no longer is
	[9]	those two things be remain primary on the list	[9]	putting an airplane in there, he sold his
ı	[10]	or on the or primary uses of the hangar,	[10]	airplane, he needs to let us know that. Now, as
	[11]	that that we aren't you know, in and of	[11]	long as he doesn't do anything such as put
Î	[12]	itself, as a secondary use of a boat or a car or,	[12]	someone else's airplane in there, he can keep the
	[13]	you know, some household furniture or whatever in	[13]	hangar empty.
	[14]	there, you know, we weren't we weren't	[14]	I mean, that's not you know, if he's
	[15]	proposing we try to maintain a detailed list of	[15]	waiting for another airplane, he's beginning the
	[16]	those items.	[16]	process of purchasing one or whatever he's doing,
	[17]	I I appreciate where you're coming from	[17]	as long as the primary use of the hangar doesn't
	[18]	but, I mean, that's a lot of extra legwork,	[18]	change to or doesn't sublease it.
	[19]	maintaining those lists through here for I'm	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Doesn't sublease.
	[20]	not too sure there's a lot of benefit to that.	[20]	MR. CIRIELLO: Wait a minute. What was that
	[21]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well	[21]	again? In other words, if a guy had a hangar and
ı	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: You'll have a list	[22]	he got rid of his airplane, as long as he didn't
	[23]	MR. WUELLNER: We'll have	[23]	do anything with the hangar with other items, and
1	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: of every aircraft	[24]	he's just going to use it for an airplane, even
	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Aircraft	[25]	though he doesn't have that airplane anymore and
ŀ				
ŀ	Page 35		Page 36	
ı	[1]	he's going to get another one, he can keep paying	[1]	airplane and and stays up north and comes down
	[1] [2]	for the hangar and keep it?	[1] [2]	here and wants the hangar
M1000000000000000000000000000000000000	[1] [2] [3]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those.	[1] [2] [3]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have
Who we have been a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and	[1] [2] [3] [4]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are	[1] [2] [3] [4]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because
A SOCIAL MATERIAL SERVICE SERV	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months
***************************************	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him."
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a legitimate use under the lease.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's no airplane in there. The guy that's waiting for
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a legitimate use under the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: If the hangar's empty and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's no airplane in there. The guy that's waiting for a hangar gets ticked off.
RECORD TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a legitimate use under the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: If the hangar's empty and there's no aircraft in there, the guy has no	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's no airplane in there. The guy that's waiting for a hangar gets ticked off. Well, if there's no airplane in there and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a legitimate use under the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: If the hangar's empty and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's no airplane in there. The guy that's waiting for a hangar gets ticked off. Well, if there's no airplane in there and it's got the tail number on it, according to the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a legitimate use under the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: If the hangar's empty and there's no aircraft in there, the guy has no right to keep the hangar.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's no airplane in there. The guy that's waiting for a hangar gets ticked off. Well, if there's no airplane in there and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	for the hangar and keep it? MR. WUELLNER: We have a number of those. That's fairly common. We have people that are here six or eight months a year MR. CIRIELLO: That was one of my reasons for wanting to get this thing redone, because there's no guarantee, when a guy gets a hangar lease, that he gets it for life or anything. It's for a specific airplane. MR. WUELLNER: No, no. MR. CIRIELLO: And if he doesn't have that airplane in there, he doesn't get the hangar. I don't like that. MR. WUELLNER: No, as long as they're the owner of the aircraft in there MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: then then it's a legitimate use under the lease. MR. CIRIELLO: If the hangar's empty and there's no aircraft in there, the guy has no right to keep the hangar. MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's that's a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	here and wants the hangar MR. WUELLNER: Which is the scenario we have in several instances. MR. LASSITER: What do you do then? MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I didn't think of that one, but I'm I'm thinking about when people get rid of their airplane and just because they're going to buy another one three months down the line. They've got a hangar. Now, you're thinking all the time you make the statement, "Well, we're we're thinking about the hangar owner, trying to make things good for him." But what about the guy on the list? The guy on the list needs some consideration, too. And there's a hangar sitting empty for three months because the guy keeps paying the rent and there's no airplane in there. The guy that's waiting for a hangar gets ticked off. Well, if there's no airplane in there and it's got the tail number on it, according to the lease, he doesn't get that hangar.

		THAT ON THE PROPERTY OF THE PR			
	Page 37		Page 38	}	-
	[1]	airplane in there with a tail number. And if	[1]	didn't want to list things in the hangar.	
	[2]	it's not in other than what you said, that's a	[2]	I'm sure there'll be guys out there	
	[3]	special thing where the guy is a part-time	[3]	somewhere that if they have a hangar, say a	
	[4]	resident. But	[4]	high-wing plane and they've got lots of room	
I	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, what you're doing is	[5]	under it, and a somebody has a real expensive	
	[6]	encouraging them to be deceitful relative to	[6]	sports car and they they want to put it under	ı
	[7]	whether they still own the airplane.	[7]	cover, "Hey, put it in my hangar" and charge him	
	[8]	I mean, there's unless their intention is	[8]	so much. And we're saying right in this thing	İ
	[9]	to give up the hangar, they're sure not going to	[9]	there's no re-leasing. The guy's breaking the	I
	[10]	tell you that the airplane has been sold and is	[10]	rules, and he probably thinks it's fine.	
	[11]	no longer there until which time as they get a	[11]	And if we're going to put in there you can't	ı
ı	[12]	new airplane. They're going to come in and	[12]	re-lease and everything, then we need to be	
	[13]	change the aircraft listed on the lease, and	[13]	strict about how we do it, not just turn our	ı
	[14]	they're going to, you know	[14]	heads and say, "Oh, we're not going to complain	
ı	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: This is where you have people	[15]	if a guy keeps a boat or a car in there."	
	[16]	policing themselves. If I have an airplane	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I would agree that if	
	[17]	sitting out at the tie-down out there and I see a	[17]	someone else's boat is being stored in your	١
1	[18]	guy and I you know, pilots talk among	[18]	hangar, or a car or whatever it is, then that	ı
	[19]	themselves. And I hear a guy's done that, then	[19]	would constitute a violation of the lease.	
	[20]	I'm going to come screaming to you, because I	[20]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, that's why you need the	
İ	[21]	want to use that hangar. Maybe I'm not next on	[21]	list.	I
	[22]	the list but, you know, people I know people	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Well	l
ļ	[23]	and how they react. I've dealt with this as a	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right, I think we	CALL PROPERTY.
	[24]	union representative. People, you give them an	[24]	covered that issue. We just have to make up our	
ı	[25]	inch, they're going to take a mile. And you	[25]	mind if we want	l
			l		0
Г					
	Page 39		Page 40		
	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you I mean, Bryan	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: in anything I've said?	
	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it	[1] [2]	MR. CIRIELLO: in anything I've said? CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion	
	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so	
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion?	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's	
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in	
]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt	
]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff.	
]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no	
]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor this point, so I'll move on. It was a minor	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're saying, right?	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor this point, so I'll move on. It was a minor question, so	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're saying, right? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, that's the motion.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor this point, so I'll move on. It was a minor question, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other discussion on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're saying, right? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, that's the motion. MR. LASSITER: I just would like one	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor this point, so I'll move on. It was a minor question, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other discussion on policy 2 02-02?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're saying, right? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, that's the motion. MR. LASSITER: I just would like one since we're in discussion. I think, Joe, if	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor this point, so I'll move on. It was a minor question, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other discussion on policy 2 02-02? MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Exactly how is it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're saying, right? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, that's the motion. MR. LASSITER: I just would like one	
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: to adopt the policy as it is or if we want to make a change in it. But as I understand it, the administration of this policy is your responsibility. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if if equipment and material or whatever shows up in a hangar and it's a problem, then you have the authority here to inspect it MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and make whatever arrangements have to be made. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Discussion by the board. MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't want to belabor this point, so I'll move on. It was a minor question, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other discussion on policy 2 02-02? MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Exactly how is it going to be? Like this? No changes	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We haven't had a motion made, so MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, I'd entertain a motion concerning this policy. MR. LASSITER: Well, I'll make the motion that we accept policy as drafted in 02-02. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there a second to that motion? MR. WATTS: I second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Now, that's MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, in CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is that we adopt the policy as it was prepared by the staff. MR. CIRIELLO: And in all that ranting and raving I did, there will be no changes or no additional language or anything; is what you're saying, right? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, that's the motion. MR. LASSITER: I just would like one since we're in discussion. I think, Joe, if you if you try to micromanage through your	

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	31 17, 2002
	Page 41		Page 42	
	[1]	in flow, then you're going to end up with an	[1]	disturbs me, and that "micromanage." What
	[2]	extremely thick lease and something very	[2]	exactly do you mean by that?
	[3]	difficult for him to to operate with.	[3]	MR. LASSITER: That means that if someone
	[4]	I think he understands what you're coming	[4]	leases a thing, that he has to follow almost a
	[5]	from, and I'm sure that if there's abuses over	[5]	written rules of everything he's got to do in
	[6]	there to the point that you're bringing out in	[6]	that lease, I mean, right down to you cannot have
ı	[7]	the lease and this was my question it	[7]	a car in there that sits more than three hours.
	[8]	allows him the latitude to declare someone who is	[8]	You cannot bring anything on this thing that
	[9]	abusing this warehouse storage for airplanes, it	[9]	might be construed as something being stored in
	[10]	allows him to declare the lease null and void and	[10]	there. That's the type of micromanage details
ı	[11]	get rid of the guy.	[11]	that it sounds like you're coming for.
	[12]	And I think with that, he's armed with	[12]	And that's the thing that, if you do that,
	[13]	enough a stick, shall we say, that will	[13]	yeah, you'll cut out the one-tenth of one percent
	[14]	prevent what you're trying to say.	[14]	that's causing the problem, but you also make the
	[15]	Most of the the rules that are belabored,	[15]	other 99,9 percent have to live the same rules.
	[16]	shall we say, upon us, is derived from the fact	[16]	And, therefore, you're micromanaging what
	[17]	that generally a very small amount of people	[17]	should be done as a pretty well honest
	[18]	abuse and cause the others to have to live with	[18]	arrangements between two people who are trying to
	[19]	it.	[19]	conduct what in this case would be the rental of
	[20]	And I think this gives him enough to keep	[20]	a unit to be used for what it wants to be or
	[21]	that small amount under control. So, I don't	[21]	should be used for. That's what I'm saying.
-	[22]	think we need to get into the micro details of	[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: You always have in
	[23]	running the lease.	[23]	governments problems with language that's not
	[24]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, okay. I I hear what	[24]	explicit, that there's always loopholes, so to
l	[25]	you're saying, but you said one word that	[25]	speak. And then when the problem comes up, then
-				
- 1	Page 43		Page 44	
- 1	[1]	people start screaming, and then the government	[1]	And that's something that we ought to recite
- 1	[2]	comes out and makes another ruling to close the		
		•	[2]	somewhere in the lease. And with that, what I
	[3]	loophole until the next loophole and the next	[3]	would encourage everybody to remember is that
	[4]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole.	[3] [4]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be
	[4] [5]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written	[3] [4] [5]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written
	[4] [5] [6]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be	[3] [4] [5] [6]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice.
	[4] [5] [6] [7]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement?	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said,	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and
The second secon	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful to add is that the Authority is a different kind	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to somebody else at more money or whatever it may
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful to add is that the Authority is a different kind of landlord than Mr. Lassiter would be as a	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to somebody else at more money or whatever it may be."
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful to add is that the Authority is a different kind of landlord than Mr. Lassiter would be as a landlord or any other private property owner, and	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to somebody else at more money or whatever it may be." If you find that the lease has not been in
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful to add is that the Authority is a different kind of landlord than Mr. Lassiter would be as a landlord or any other private property owner, and that is that we operate the airport in the public	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to somebody else at more money or whatever it may be." If you find that the lease has not been in the public interest and in it has not been
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful to add is that the Authority is a different kind of landlord than Mr. Lassiter would be as a landlord or any other private property owner, and that is that we operate the airport in the public interest and in the interest of general aviation,	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to somebody else at more money or whatever it may be." If you find that the lease has not been in the public interest and in it has not been used by the tenant consistent with the purposes
	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22]	loophole until the next loophole and the next loophole. And what's the sense in having some written language or rules or anything if it's going to be irksome or too hard or too bothersome for somebody to implement? And I misunderstood you when you used the word "micromanage" because I was ready to get mad about that. But so but okay. Go on. I'm I'm done. MR. McCLURE: If if I could offer something real quick from the legal end. One of the things that you've said, Mr. Ciriello, is it may be a good thing that we haven't approved the final form of the lease yet and that is one thing that would be useful to add is that the Authority is a different kind of landlord than Mr. Lassiter would be as a landlord or any other private property owner, and that is that we operate the airport in the public	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	would encourage everybody to remember is that each of these leases provides that it can be terminated early on 30 days' prior written notice. And so, the exception to the rule with which you're concerned and which which member Lassiter has indicated, well, maybe we don't need to be concerned about identifying each possible violation that we can anticipate, can be cured by the fact that if Mr. Wuellner were to come to this board meeting and say, "You know, I've got a situation that doesn't meet our standard criteria; this guy has been telling me that he's going to buy an plane for a year and a half, and the place is still empty and, you know, I don't know whether he's trying to sublease it to somebody else at more money or whatever it may be." If you find that the lease has not been in the public interest and in it has not been

		AMIONI AUTHOMIT		- x/, x/02
	Page 45		Page 46	7
	[1]	days' notice.	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: I was a little late.
	[2]	So, if I can offer that, I should perhaps	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, Mr. Wuellner?
	[3]	emphasize in the lease that that this is	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Next item I've got
	[4]	not this is not a standard landlord/tenant	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Item B.
	[5]	situation, and the tenant should recognize that	[5]	7.B Lobby Agreement - Intermodal Facility
	[6]	at the time they enter into the lease.	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Is the an airport
	[7]	And secondly, if those issues do come up	[7]	marketing agreement. This is relative to the
١	[8]	that you've identified, you can deal with them	[8]	upcoming cycle of the TOPS program. We have had
	[9]	when they come up. And simply the fact that it	[9]	some discussions with the Capital Alliance Group
	[10]	doesn't meet the policy or doesn't seem	[10]	relative to representing our interests in
	[11]	anticipated by the policy, doesn't prevent	[11]	Tallahassee and through the TOPS process relative
	[12]	Mr. Wuellner from getting instructions from you.	[12]	to the intermodal transportation center.
	[13]	Now, I would encourage him if that issue	[13]	As you know, last year, we got skunked in
	[14]	came up, not on his own, terminate the lease	[14]	that process, made it through several cuts, but
	[15]	early, except with the Authority's instructions	[15]	ultimately were weeded out of that that area.
١	[16]	to do so.	[16]	And primarily that's because of the lack of
1	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are we ready to vote on this	[17]	attention we were able to pay from a staff side
	[18]	issue? All in favor, say aye.	[18]	and a continuing emphasis on the project with
	[19]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[19]	those who make those decisions in Tallahassee.
ı	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.	[20]	We're suggesting that maybe we need to
	[21]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[21]	solicit the help of an outside firm. And we have
١	[22]	MS. GREEN: Aye.	[22]	a proposal from the Capital Alliance Group. It's
	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[23]	a some individuals involved with that company
-	[24]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	[24]	that that I that I do know personally and
-	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion is carried.	[25]	have done an excellent job, have a good history
-				
- 1	Page 47		Page 48	
- 1	[1]	relative to keeping our issues and our project in	[1]	at that by keeping our project, the TOPS into
- 1	_	front of the TOPS committee members, as well as	[2]	the TOPS program and the legislature.
	_	key members of the Florida legislature, and	[3]	This contract would provide for a retainer
	_	and have a fairly good chance of making sure that	[4]	over ten months at \$2,000 a month, initially
- 1		we have some success this year in the funding.	[5]	representing from September through June of next
- 1	[6] 71	It became readily apparent last year in this	[6]	year. Assuming success in the legislature, there
- 1		effort that if you weren't in a sense using	[7]	are two payments listed here at \$10,000 each for
- 1		somebody to keep those things in front of the	[8]	July and August. But I need to point out that it
- 1		committee and the legislature, you literally were	[9]	will always be up to the Authority whether to
- 1		going to have very little chance at all of getting something funded through the TOPS program	[10]	continue this contract at any point during this.
		regardless of the merits of the project.	[11]	There is simply a 30-day bailout clause.
- 1	13]	And nothing became more clear than that	[12] [13]	And in the event you don't feel that they've
•	1.5]	And nothing occanic more clear than that	1131	provided the service you've been looking for on
1.	141	when in the initial cuts made by the TOPS		·
11		when, in the initial cuts made by the TOPS	[14]	this project, the Authority can elect to
ž	15]	committee, there was literally no project	[14] [15]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without
I	15] (16] :	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for	[14] [15] [16]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So,
. [15]	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts.	[14] [15] [16] [17]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract
	15] (16] (17] (18]	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that,	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year.
	15] (16] (17] (18] (19] (19] (19]	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that, began the effort to speak directly with the	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year. What else do I need to tell you about it?
	15] (15] (16] (17] (18] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that, began the effort to speak directly with the legislature on this and, quite frankly, we were	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year. What else do I need to tell you about it? Oh, it would also provide the ability
	15] (15] (16] (17] (17] (18] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that, began the effort to speak directly with the legislature on this and, quite frankly, we were outmuscled and outhustled by Jacksonville proper.	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year. What else do I need to tell you about it? Oh, it would also provide the ability this firm could be used without additional cost
	15] (15] (16] (17] (18] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that, began the effort to speak directly with the legislature on this and, quite frankly, we were outmuscled and outhustled by Jacksonville proper. Frankly, they carry the legislative clout to	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year. What else do I need to tell you about it? Oh, it would also provide the ability this firm could be used without additional cost to further or represent any of the airport's
	15]	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that, began the effort to speak directly with the legislature on this and, quite frankly, we were outmuscled and outhustled by Jacksonville proper.	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year. What else do I need to tell you about it? Oh, it would also provide the ability this firm could be used without additional cost to further or represent any of the airport's interests in Tallahassee during the legislative
	15] (15] (16] (17] (18] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19] (19	committee, there was literally no project accommodation, no projects identified for district 2 and district 4 in the DOT districts. And we, being quite disappointed with that, began the effort to speak directly with the legislature on this and, quite frankly, we were outmuscled and outhustled by Jacksonville proper. Frankly, they carry the legislative clout to to move whatever project they might need to at	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	this project, the Authority can elect to terminate this contract at any time without further obligation relative to the dollars. So, in a sense, it's a month-to-month contract identified over the course of a year. What else do I need to tell you about it? Oh, it would also provide the ability this firm could be used without additional cost to further or represent any of the airport's

Page 4			
rage .	19	Page 50	
[1]	in the legislative process this year that causes	[1]	of this year or this coming year.
[2]	a particular problem to to the airport or the	[2]	So, if you ain't on the list, you aren't
[3]	Authority, we can use this firm to represent our	[3]	going forward, is basically the story at this
[4]	interests in in making our opposition or	[4]	point. And you won't know the results of this
[5]	support of a particular project known to the	[5]	regardless of whether you make it through the
[6]	legislature. So, it is a little broader based	[6]	TOPS committee, you won't know the ultimate
[7]	than just the TOPS cycle.	[7]	results of this till it makes it eventually
[8]	And it would be our recommendation, if the	[8]	through the legislative process, because the
[9]	Authority's, again, serious about moving an	[9]	legislature has the ability to in effect rewrite
[10]	application forward in the TOPS process, that we	[10]	the rules during the process. And also and
[11]	might want to engage the horsepower of somebody	[11]	then further after that, till the Governor would
[12]	that's on on center up there in Tallahassee to	[12]	sign legislation that specifically authorized the
[13]	represent our interests.	[13]	grant, at which point a final commitment or a
[14]	I would point out, too, the TOPS process	[14]	formal commitment by the Authority would be
[15]	will kick off here in September. And the	[15]	required in order to execute the grant, as you
[16]	number the letter or the calendar I'm	[16]	would with any other DOT grant, committing to
[17]	seeing is that they'll have a very, very short	[17]	spending that money or matching it or whatever's
[18]	period of time in which to respond to the	[18]	appropriate at that point.
[19]	applications, that each member on the TOPS	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And that's the concise
[20]	committee will, as they're talking this year,	[20]	explanation.
[21]	will pick 15 projects for further consideration.	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: That's the short version.
[22]	The balance will fall off the list. And they'll	[22]	
[23]	begin that they've got to have a list to the	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, Okay, Let me ask you
[24]	legislature that represents the available budget	[24]	a couple I'm at a disadvantage because that
[25]	that's been given to them no later than January		was not in my package.
[23]	that's occin given to them no fater than January	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: It was sent separately. Did
Page 5		1	
1 age 5		Page 52	
[1]	you get two packages from us?	I -	build a portion of I don't think you've got a
_		[1]	build a portion of I don't think you've got a shot. The original project was about a \$20
[1]	you get two packages from us?	[1] [2]	build a portion of I don't think you've got a shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort.
[1] [2]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for	[1] [2] [3]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our	[1] [2] [3] [4]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they decide to be able to move forward. In fact,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would you state your
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they decide to be able to move forward. In fact, we've got a brief meeting with them in the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would you state your COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Yeah, my name is Nick
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you get two packages from us? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they decide to be able to move forward. In fact, we've got a brief meeting with them in the morning.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would you state your COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Yeah, my name is Nick Meiszer, 252 Redfish Creek Drive, St. Augustine.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they decide to be able to move forward. In fact, we've got a brief meeting with them in the morning. CHAIRMAN ROSE: If we are successful in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would you state your COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Yeah, my name is Nick Meiszer, 252 Redfish Creek Drive, St. Augustine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they decide to be able to move forward. In fact, we've got a brief meeting with them in the morning. CHAIRMAN ROSE: If we are successful in obtaining some of this TOPS funding, what are we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would you state your COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Yeah, my name is Nick Meiszer, 252 Redfish Creek Drive, St. Augustine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MEISZER: It's of some concern
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, anyway, let me for the purpose of the people that are here in our in our audience, what does "TOPS" stand for? MR. WUELLNER: Transportation Outreach Program. It is a, essentially in a nutshell, what was left after the the Governor dissolved the first attempt at high-speed rail. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. What how does this fit into the AMTRAK's ability to expand its system? You know, there was discussion about the kind of MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: stop they have here. MR. WUELLNER: It is it is independent. The TOPS program itself is not related to the AMTRAK project itself. There is a separate set-aside at DOT relative to AMTRAK, should they decide to be able to move forward. In fact, we've got a brief meeting with them in the morning. CHAIRMAN ROSE: If we are successful in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	shot. The original project was about a \$20 million effort. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: And I think the reality is you you might walk away in the single-digit millions, probably less than 5, and it would build the first phase. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just I haven't had a chance to read this. Is there any public comment? COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, I must admit I'm not well prepared to appear before you today. It only came to my attention late this morning that this item was on your agenda. And I wanted to take advantage of the opportunity to make a few comments about it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Commissioner, would you state your COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Yeah, my name is Nick Meiszer, 252 Redfish Creek Drive, St. Augustine. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you.

			01 17, 2002	
Page 53		Page 54		٦
[1]	the project.	[1]	proposals,	
[2]	So, my first question is: Has this	[2]	There are many lobbyists who work in	
[3]	Authority made a formal decision to proceed with	[3]	Tallahassee and in Washington, D.C. Someone has	
[4]	this project? Maybe you have. I'm not aware of	[4]	selected one, and from what I heard a few minutes	l
[5]	it.	[5]	ago, this board has not been a participant in	
[6]	The last time, and I guess the first time,	[6]	that selection.	
[7]	that the Airport Authority and the County	[7]	There is reference to an agreement here.	
[8]	Commission met together, we ended with a	[8]	Some of you apparently have not seen the	
[9]	discussion, but no particular decision that I'm	[9]	agreement. I don't think anyone in the public	i
[10]	aware of.	[10]	has seen the agreement. I haven't and I'd like	- -
[11]	Hiring a lobbyist to obtain funding for this	[11]	to have a look at it because I have some	
[12]	multimodal rail-base station implies that the	[12]	experience in hiring lobbyists.	
[13]	decision is to move forward with it. And I	[13]	And unless you're very precise on what the	l
[14]	expressed some reservations then that I did not	[14]	lobbyist is going to do and how you're going to	
[15]	think the Airport Authority is the proper body to	[15]	measure the lobbyist's success and you generally	
[16]	do that.	[16]	measure that success by the amount of money he	
[17]	So, my second question would be perhaps to	[17]	brings back home, so to speak, you don't have a	ı
[18]	Mr. McClure. I wonder if he could cite for me	[18]	very good yardstick.	
[19]	the Florida Statutes which specifically authorize	[19]	If you want public support and you want to	
[20]	the Authority to engage in this particular	[20]	perpetuate an attitude of open public	
[21]	activity.	[21]	decision-making, what would be the problem with	ì
[22]	Now, I'm not opposed to lobbying efforts or	[22]	having competitive proposals? There are many	
[23]	to getting funding, but I think the process is	[23]	lobbyists who would work for less than what's	į
[24]	somewhat flawed. It would be a standard practice	[24]	been quoted here, and there are many who would	
[25]	and more desirable if the board took competitive	[25]	charge you a great deal more. And the hiring of	
		.)		
		<u> </u>		_
Page 55		Page 56		
Page 55	professional consulting services in this field is	Page 56	that as you sit here today. Some day, there	
1	professional consulting services in this field is something that's not done on the very objective		that as you sit here today. Some day, there undoubtedly will be.	
[1]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[1]	•	
[1] [2]	something that's not done on the very objective	[1] [2]	undoubtedly will be.	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COL
[1] [2] [3]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective.	[1] [2] [3]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are	[1] [2] [3] [4]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process. I haven't followed this as closely as I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support from the Board of County Commissioners and from	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process. I haven't followed this as closely as I should have, because frankly, I didn't think it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support from the Board of County Commissioners and from other agencies that might have a role to play in	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process. I haven't followed this as closely as I should have, because frankly, I didn't think it was going anywhere. But apparently, not to use a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support from the Board of County Commissioners and from other agencies that might have a role to play in it?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process. I haven't followed this as closely as I should have, because frankly, I didn't think it was going anywhere. But apparently, not to use a pun, but the train is on the track and speeding	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support from the Board of County Commissioners and from other agencies that might have a role to play in it? There has been no consultation. There has	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process. I haven't followed this as closely as I should have, because frankly, I didn't think it was going anywhere. But apparently, not to use a pun, but the train is on the track and speeding toward us. It probably won't be an AMTRAK train,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support from the Board of County Commissioners and from other agencies that might have a role to play in it? There has been no consultation. There has just been a driving force trying to ramrod this	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	something that's not done on the very objective basis, but has to be very subjective. I'd like to know what the qualifications are of this consultant, what the track record is, who the other clients are, and a great many other questions, which I think as members of the board, as the elected members, you have the responsibility to become familiar with and make the decision. In my opinion, it's quite appropriate for your executive director to recommend that you need a lobbyist. It's not appropriate for him to select that lobbyist for you. That's your responsibility. And I would like to have you take a very careful look at the process. I haven't followed this as closely as I should have, because frankly, I didn't think it was going anywhere. But apparently, not to use a pun, but the train is on the track and speeding toward us. It probably won't be an AMTRAK train, because they're totally bankrupt and existing	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	undoubtedly will be. And the concept of a multimodal transportation system is very desirable. It's an idea that's been tried many places, some successfully, some unsuccessfully. And it depends on the population and the population density. And the population of St. Johns County is inadequate to support this type facility. Now, we can all have opinions about what we'd like to see and how we'd like to see it, but I would ask you to consider the timetable and to consider the consequences and to ask why you wouldn't want some partners in this effort. Why is it the responsibility of the Airport Authority to take this on without some support from the Board of County Commissioners and from other agencies that might have a role to play in it? There has been no consultation. There has just been a driving force trying to ramrod this through. And most everyone, including myself,	

		AIRI ORI AUTHORITI	******	D1 17, 2002
	Page 57		Page 58	
	[1]	serious discussion, and made a decision with the	[1]	have serious reservations about the location and
	[2]	appropriate citizen participation and arrive at	[2]	about the financial and economic and operational
ı	[3]	some kind of interlocal agreement.	[3]	viability of this particular plan. It is
	[4]	As a county commissioner, I think it would	[4]	woefully lacking, in my opinion.
	[5]	be extremely important for the county government	[5]	Thank you very much. I'd be happy to answer
	[6]	to have some voice in a multimodal transportation	[6]	any questions if you have any.
	[7]	facility built proposed to be built in its	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Thank you,
	[8]	backyard.	[8]	Commissioner. Appreciate your comments. I think
	[9]	I'm almost well, I don't know what else	[9]	there's some other comments from the audience.
I	[10]	to say except to I don't want to repeat what	[10]	Mr. Cox?
	[11]	I've already said, but I would ask you to give	[11]	MR. COX: Actually, do you want to go? I
1	[12]	some serious thought to this. And remember that	[12]	think you had your hand up at the same time
	[13]	to arrive at the decision, you need to hear both	[13]	Mr. Meiszer did, so I'll go after you, if that's
	[14]	sides of a controversy. And one side has had a	[14]	okay with the Chairman.
ı	[15]	distinct advantage here, so I would ask you to be	[15]	MR, KILE: Good afternoon, ladies and
Į	[16]	fair and to look beyond the surface and the	[16]	gentlemen. My name Tony Kile. My address is
	[17]	superficial and look at the consequences. If	[17]	1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092,
	[18]	you're not prepared to face the consequences of	[18]	And as is apparent by the patch on my rapel
	[19]	what this will do in the future, you might not	[19]	(sic) on my pocket, I'm a candidate for this
- 1	[20]	want to begin it today.	[20]	Airport Authority board,
- 1	[21]	So, with that, I don't want to take any more	[21]	I've been attending the meetings lately, for
	[22]	of your time. But I wanted to go on record as	[22]	at least the last two or three months, and all I
1	[23]	saying, even those of us who support multimodal	[23]	can see is this board spending money out of
- 1	[24]	transportation, even those of us who think it's a	[24]	sight.
	[25]	good idea in the right place at the right time,	[25]	But with regards to this latest proposal, I
- 1			1 - 1	,
L	- William Commence			
-	Page 59		Page 60	
- 1	Page 59	would ask first of all, even though I realize	Page 60	grand-scale operation here through St. Augustine?
	•	would ask first of all, even though I realize that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and] -	grand-scale operation here through St. Augustine? MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and	[1] [2]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with
	[1] [2] [3]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and	[1] [2] [3]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green,	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St. Augustine?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is AMTRAK MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St. Augustine? MR. LASSITER: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is AMTRAK MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St. Augustine? MR. LASSITER: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Watts, have you had any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is AMTRAK MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning. MR. KILE: still going to be on the road?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St. Augustine? MR. LASSITER: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Watts, have you had any contact with AMTRAK since they put on their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is AMTRAK MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning. MR. KILE: still going to be on the road? MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St. Augustine? MR. LASSITER: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Watts, have you had any contact with AMTRAK since they put on their grand-scale view through St. Augustine?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is AMTRAK MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning. MR. KILE: still going to be on the road? MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning. They scheduled an appointment.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	that the AMTRAK railroad is separate from and apart from the intermodal, I would ask each and every represent representative: Ms. Green, have you had any contact with the railroad authority or the AMTRAK authority board? MS. GREEN: Not regarding this issue, no. MR. KILE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello, have you been in touch with AMTRAK with regards to them coming through St. Augustine since you took your railroad trip with them down to Miami? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Rose? CHAIRMAN ROSE: No, I haven't. MR. KILE: Mr. Lassiter, have you had any contact with the AMTRAK people since they put on their grand-scale thing through here of St. Augustine? MR. LASSITER: No. MR. KILE: Mr. Watts, have you had any contact with AMTRAK since they put on their grand-scale view through St. Augustine? MR. WATTS: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. McCLURE: I haven't had any contact with them since I rode from Washington to New York in 1974. MR. KILE: Oh, well, that that's even better yet. Mr. Warner (sic), have you talked to AMTRAK since they have put on their grand-scale show through here through St. Augustine? MR. WUELLNER: Well, it would be pretty stupid to talk or to move something forward of this importance without having talked to them. MR. KILE: Well, when was the last communication you had with them, sir? MR. WUELLNER: About two hours ago. MR. KILE: And what did they say? Is AMTRAK MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning. MR. KILE: still going to be on the road? MR. WUELLNER: They'll be here tomorrow morning. They scheduled an appointment. MR. KILE: Now, you do realize that all of

Page	61	Page 62	
[1]	through October. You realize that.	[1]	members and the attorney for the board, and none
[2]	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.	[2]	of them have had contact with the railroad board,
[3]	MR. KILE: And yet, you're willing to	[3]	and we're going on somebody's word that, yeah,
[4]	accept did they guarantee you that they're	[4]	maybe a company that might be in existence in
[5]	going to go ahead with this project?	[5]	October, might be, and it's questioned in both
[6]	MR. WUELLNER: I have not had a meeting with	[6]	the national media and the local media, and we're
[7]	them.	[7]	about to spend \$40,000 for a lobbyist that no
[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Mr. Kile	[8]	bics were taken on, I think this is a ridiculous
[9]	MR. KILE: Well	[9]	expenditure of funds of taxpayers' money.
[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Just wait. Let me tell you:	[10]	I would suggest, as was suggested in news
[11]	I understand where you're coming from, but I	[11]	articles at the time of this grandose (sic) trip
[12]	think it's important for the board for you to	[12]	down to Miami, we have a perfectly good railroad
[13]	tell us what your position is and why and not	[13]	station that has been left abandoned for a number
[14]	not	[14]	of years that sits right by the railroad site,
[15]	MR. KILE: Well, my position my	[15]	and could easily, for probably \$40,000, be
[16]	position	[16]	converted into what we are looking for to do here
[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And not query the board	[17]	with the board,
[18]	about, you know, the individual personal	[18]	I I bow to Mr. Meiszner's (sic) example
[19]	questions that you're asking.	[19]	of you don't have county support; you don't have
[20]	MR. KILE: My this this has nothing to	[20]	commission support; you have some support
[21]	do with personal questions, Mr. Rose.	[21]	somewhere within this board to push this issue
[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, you have a position.	[22]	forward; and yet, it's going over and above
[23]	Tell us what it is and why. That's it.	[23]	whatever the alternatives are for a intermodal
[24]	MR. KILE: All right, I'm getting on to	[24]	situation and and for support of the
[25]	that. Since I have queried all five board	[25]	taxpayers.
Page 6		Page 64	
[1]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on	[1]	I and I want to really point that out, it's
[1] [2]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You	[1] [2]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train,
[1] [2] [3]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of	[1] [2] [3]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal,
[1] [2] [3] [4]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more	[1] [2] [3] [4]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago,"
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding points, and I think it is in fact important for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida tomorrow. It's not going to happen.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding points, and I think it is in fact important for the board the board members to choose a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida tomorrow. It's not going to happen. But it's a common fact that airlines,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding points, and I think it is in fact important for the board the board members to choose a lobbyist, if that's the way you choose to go.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida tomorrow. It's not going to happen. But it's a common fact that airlines, airports hire lobbyists. It's a standard
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding points, and I think it is in fact important for the board the board members to choose a lobbyist, if that's the way you choose to go. But one of the points that was brought up is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida tomorrow. It's not going to happen. But it's a common fact that airlines, airports hire lobbyists. It's a standard procedure. And I think it's a good idea for our
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding points, and I think it is in fact important for the board the board members to choose a lobbyist, if that's the way you choose to go. But one of the points that was brought up is the population right now. It's felt that the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida tomorrow. It's not going to happen. But it's a common fact that airlines, airports hire lobbyists. It's a standard procedure. And I think it's a good idea for our airport to look into hiring a lobby to help us
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Now, Mr. Rose, you and I have been out on the on the forum for as candidates. You heard the people. They don't want expansion of the airport. They don't want us investing more of their money they want this airport to become self-sustaining. They want this airport to get off the tax rolls. And that's all I'm posing to the to the board, is a question. If you keep spending our money on these fruitless, useless, exaggeration of taxpayers' money, we'll never get off of it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you. Are there other comments? Mr. Cox? MR. COX: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman and board members, my name is Bob Cox, local pilot. I live at in Ponte Vedra, 830-13 A1A North, 32082. Mr. Meiszer brought up several outstanding points, and I think it is in fact important for the board the board members to choose a lobbyist, if that's the way you choose to go. But one of the points that was brought up is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	intermodal, not necessarily AMTRAK or a train, but it's intermodal. One of the things I want to point out is I don't think we want to be here in ten years looking back and saying, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that ten years ago, five years ago," whatever. The population of this county is growing tremendously. And one of the ways to make the airport self-sustaining is to have as many transportation or an intermodal transportation system available to us. I think it's going to bring help bring in a lot of business. We'll be able to bring in in the future now; I'm not talking we're not going to build a train station that's going to take everybody every place down in south Florida tomorrow. It's not going to happen. But it's a common fact that airlines, airports hire lobbyists. It's a standard procedure. And I think it's a good idea for our

			DI I/ AUVA
Page 6	5	Page 66	
[1]	help us build a system that we can get people	[1]	MS. GREEN: I'll I'll be brief, but I
[2]	from point A to point B and to the airport and	[2]	experience seems to count a little bit around
[3]	back and forth. Because in ten years, like I	[3]	here.
[4]	said before, we've got Nocatee coming in. How	[4]	I worked in D.C. for three years, and I used
[5]	many homes is that going to be? Anybody? Twelve	[5]	to write some of these lobbyist contracts. And I
[6]	thousand new homes, Nocatee? Is that somewhere	[6]	know they're powerful and they work, but I have
[7]	close? That's just Nocatee. What's going to	[7]	my reservations also. The ones we wrote were
[8]	happen in five years after that and after that?	[8]	much, much more specific than this, detailed how
[9]	We're not going to remain the grass-strip	[9]	many hours the lobbyist would provide, again,
[10]	airport that we see on the wall here. It's not	[10]	percentage of what they would bring in as well.
[11]	going to happen. And and I've got to tell	[11]	So, I'll although in thought I think it's
[12]	you, because I fly a little airplane here, I'm	[12]	a good idea and I have to agree with Mr. Cox,
[13]	not really a big fan of a tower coming in, but	[13]	the county is growing and we need to grow with
[14]	it's fine, you know, because we're busy enough	[14]	it, but slowly and properly. So, my thoughts
[15]	now to support that. And I think the safety	[15]	are, not a bad idea, but a lot more work needs to
[16]	factor requires it.	[16]	be done to detail these lobbyists down because
[17]	But the point is that we're going to have to	[17]	they will just drain you completely.
[18]	have some political horsepower in Tallahassee if	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Ciriello?
[19]	we want to move forward with the airport in	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, oh, I could
[20]	for for our future. And I thank you for your	[20]	make some comments on what Mr. Cox said and what
[21]	time. If there's any questions?	[21]	Susan (sic) said, but I'm not going to get into a
[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Mr. Cox.	[22]	debate of whether this thing's any good or not or
[23]	MR. COX: Thank you.	[23]	whether we're going to grow that big. That's not
[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other public comment?	[24]	what I have in mind.
[25]	I'll open it for board discussion. Suzanne.	[25]	I want to be on public record I've said
Page 67		Page 68	**************************************
Page 67	it before, and I don't know if I said it	Page 68	forward for positive support of the project."
[1] [2]	it before, and I don't know if I said it publicly; I know I've said it to individuals		forward for positive support of the project." We're willing to give somebody \$40,000,
[1] [2] [3]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is	[1]	• •
[1] [2] [3] [4]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals	[1] [2] [3] [4]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was — somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can."	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was — somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long as we are the only outfit that is going ahead	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We haven't even considered it. The only ones having meetings and getting information directly is Mr. Wuellner. And I'm not going to vote for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long as we are the only outfit that is going ahead with this thing and pushing it, I'm never going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We haven't even considered it. The only ones having meetings and getting information directly is Mr. Wuellner. And I'm not going to vote for giving somebody \$40,000. We don't even know if
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long as we are the only outfit that is going ahead with this thing and pushing it, I'm never going to be for it. I want everybody else who's going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We haven't even considered it. The only ones having meetings and getting information directly is Mr. Wuellner. And I'm not going to vote for giving somebody \$40,000. We don't even know if we're going to want it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long as we are the only outfit that is going ahead with this thing and pushing it, I'm never going to be for it. I want everybody else who's going to benefit monetary to get on the bandwagon.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We haven't even considered it. The only ones having meetings and getting information directly is Mr. Wuellner. And I'm not going to vote for giving somebody \$40,000. We don't even know if we're going to want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long as we are the only outfit that is going ahead with this thing and pushing it, I'm never going to be for it. I want everybody else who's going to benefit monetary to get on the bandwagon. Now, having said that, I have here in my	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We haven't even considered it. The only ones having meetings and getting information directly is Mr. Wuellner. And I'm not going to vote for giving somebody \$40,000. We don't even know if we're going to want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thank you. MR. LASSITER: Well, I I have to agree
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	publicly; I know I've said it to individuals that as long as this Airport Authority is grabbing the bull by the horns and running with this thing and nobody else participates, when everybody more so than us will benefit financially from this thing, I'm not going to be for it. When we had that the County Commissioners and ourselves in a joint meeting to discuss this thing, I pointed out to commissioners that they're bigger than we are, that they should be involved, and they just said, "No, you guys are doing it; you run with it; and if we have to, we'll come back and help you if we can." And so, really, there wasn't that much enthusiasm to help. St. Augustine, the City's not wanting to help or publicly. So, as long as we are the only outfit that is going ahead with this thing and pushing it, I'm never going to be for it. I want everybody else who's going to benefit monetary to get on the bandwagon.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	We're willing to give somebody \$40,000, supposedly, to try to get us money for something that we, the board, us, who are the only ones in this room that can say we're going to build it, haven't even said that yet. And we've only had that one meeting combined with the commissioners. Now, Mr. Wuellner as the director because he was somebody had asked the question why he does things. Is because we, the board, gave him permission to go and work into this thing, you know, to look into it and find out information. So, he's doing what we asked him to do. But we are ultimately the ones who will say yes, we're going to do it or not, and we haven't even done that. We haven't had any meetings. We haven't even considered it. The only ones having meetings and getting information directly is Mr. Wuellner. And I'm not going to vote for giving somebody \$40,000. We don't even know if we're going to want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thank you.

Page 69		Fage 70	
[1]	instructed him to do, which is to pursue funding	[1]	would be prudent on our part to do a little
[2]	sources for projects that are coming up at this	[2]	deeper research on this thing before we go over
[3]	airport,	[3]	there and hire someone and say, "Go get it."
[4]	I have to agree with Mr. Cox as well. The	[4]	I have questions still as far as the numbers
[5]	job of this board is to look forward and	[5]	after if the lobbyist secures the 2 to 4
[6]	understand what the community's going to need,	[6]	million, how do we secure the 2 to 4 to match it?
[7]	Not everybody participates in the bounty that	[7]	And those are areas that I don't think have been
[8]	this airport delivers to the county, but it does	[8]	answered clear enough that we can turn a lobbyist
[9]	deliver measurable amounts.	[9]	over to Tallahassee and say, "Go get the money
[10]	And if we don't keep looking at these	[10]	and then we'll figure it out later."
[11]	intermodals, if we don't understand that if we	[11]	So, I have to voice my position as in
[12]	don't secure what we need to have to provide	[12]	line with the others.
[13]	those for the 5-, 10-, 15-year window, then we	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Dennis?
[14]	are going to be looking back and saying we should	[14]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, I think again I
[15]	have done this.	[15]	agree with Mr. Lassiter, what what Ed has
[16]	I understand what Commissioner Meiszer was	[16]	done an excellent job on going after a lot of
[17]	saying in the sense that and Suzanne as well,	[17]	these projects, and I know his his contacts
[18]	when you go over there to fight the fight with a	[18]	with the FAA and the FDOT is just is
[19]	lobbyist, you better know where you're going to	[19]	fantastic.
[20]	be with that person or he'll just take more	[20]	But I'm also going to take the position with
[21]	clients on.	[21]	Suzanne, Joe, Charles, and listening to the
[22]	I understand, Ed, what you're trying to	[22]	gentlemen out here that expressed their opinions,
[23]	bring to us. I understand that there's a sense	[23]	which Commissioner Meiszer, we appreciate very
[24]	of urgency in the sense that these funds may or	[24]	much, sir. And but I I agree that I think
[25]	may not be available to us later. But I think it	[25]	there's too much gray matter here right now.
			seed to the seed of the seed o
Page 71		Page 72	With the second
Page 71	I think, as Mr. Cox said, looking back ten	1	MR. WUELLNER: Do we have some collective
_	I think, as Mr. Cox said, looking back ten years ago, I think that or if we look back ten	Page 72 [1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: Do we have some collective direction you'd like us to move in or not move
[1]	-	[1] [2]	
[1] [2]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten	[1]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move
[1] [2] [3]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done	[1] [2] [3] [4]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well
[1] [2] [3] [4]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to coordinate some some other areas here before	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate. And we do need to do a little more homework
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to coordinate some some other areas here before we really take that step to spend, you know, that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate. And we do need to do a little more homework on the on the process of how we're going to go
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to coordinate some some other areas here before we really take that step to spend, you know, that kind of money. So, that's my opinion.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate. And we do need to do a little more homework on the on the process of how we're going to go about it. You've heard comments. MR. WUELLNER: I I guess what I want to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to coordinate some some other areas here before we really take that step to spend, you know, that kind of money. So, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Have any other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate. And we do need to do a little more homework on the on the process of how we're going to go about it. You've heard comments.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to coordinate some some other areas here before we really take that step to spend, you know, that kind of money. So, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Have any other comments from the board?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate. And we do need to do a little more homework on the on the process of how we're going to go about it. You've heard comments. MR. WUELLNER: I I guess what I want to know is: Are we submitting a TOPS application
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	years ago, I think that or if we look back ten years, we may say, "Gee, I wish we'd have done that at that time," but there's just I don't know; there's just too many too many loopholes right now as far as as AMTRAK. I mean, if they're going to be around, and as Mr. Meiszer said, it may be a it may be a train, but it may be a different color. But I right now, I think taking that step to go with a lobbyist, I think that's I think whatever this board does, in my opinion, is we have got to coordinate this with the County Commission. That's the that's the main thing. And I know Mr. Meiszer doesn't represent the whole board, but his his word is is very good, and I think that we just we need to coordinate some some other areas here before we really take that step to spend, you know, that kind of money. So, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Have any other comments from the board? (No comments.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	direction you'd like us to move in or not move in? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well MR. WUELLNER: I think we're pretty clear on where we are with this, so CHAIRMAN ROSE: It seems it seems to me that that based on the discussion we've had, a motion to reject this recommended action at this point. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But I it's at the same at the same point, I think we need to to reaffirm that we are interested in moving ahead with some sort of an intermodal program coordinated with the city and the county and whoever, wherever it's appropriate. And we do need to do a little more homework on the on the process of how we're going to go about it. You've heard comments. MR. WUELLNER: I I guess what I want to know is: Are we submitting a TOPS application this year? If so, for how much and for what?

	Page 73		Page 74		٦
	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are we submitting a	[1]	If we just make a motion for nonacceptance,	
	[2]	contract?	[2]	doesn't it just die? Or do we need to move to	ı
	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: TOPS application.	[3]	this is a recommendation.	
	[4]	MS. GREEN: TGPS for the funding.	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: This is a recommendation.	
	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Oh, an application to TOPS.	[5]	If we don't accept the recommendation, I guess	
	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: That application will need to	[6]	MR. LASSITER: If we do nothing, then it	
	[7]	be, if my understanding of the deadlines is	[7]	dies, correct?	ı
	[8]	correct	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, then we need to	
	[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, we have two issues	[9]	advise	
	[10]	then,	[10]	MR. LASSITER: We need direction to our	
	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: it needs to be on its way	[11]	director.	
	[12]	by your next meeting.	[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Then I'll take a motion that	
	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have the issue that you	[13]	will provide some direction of do we pursue the	ì
	[14]	present to us, and then we have the question: Do	[14]	TOPS program at this point.	I
	[15]	we pursue TOPS at this point?	[15]	MR. LASSITER: Well, I have an opinion.	
	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, why don't you tell us	
	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Right?	[17]	what it is.	
	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.	[18]	MR. KILE: Mr. Rose, you have public comment	
	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[19]	out here.	
	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: And I think that's where we	[20]	COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Can I offer a	
	[21]	are now,	[21]	comment?	
	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, now I'll entertain a	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Yes, please do.	
	[23]	motion concerning the recommended lobbyist	[23]	COMMISSIONER MEISZER: An example of	١
	[24]	program,	[24]	cooperation, I think you know the county has a	1
	[25]	MR. LASSITER: Well, will we need a motion?	[25]	full-time intergovernmental relations	
				-	į
					- *.
	Page 75		Page 76		Ì
-	Page 75	coordinator. That's a fancy word for lobbyist.	Page 76	largely, we're just repackaging last year's	
-	_	coordinator. That's a fancy word for lobbyist. I don't think he's particularly overworked when	i	largely, we're just repackaging last year's application, so it's	
	[1]		[1]		
	[1] [2]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when	[1] [2]	application, so it's	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be	[1] [2] [3]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accommodation. I have no authority to make	[1] [2] [3] [4]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal.	***
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accommodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared	, A
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accommodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and	W
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and MR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and MR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and MR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and MR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody-says, "Yeah, this is	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us."	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted. MR. WUELLNER: Right.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us." Now, in the first when we filed for the funding last time, my understanding is we have to	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, as Mr. Meiszer said, do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us." Now, in the first when we filed for the funding last time, my understanding is we have to put our name in line and stand in line. And if	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, as Mr. Meiszer said, do we submit an application and then proceed the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us." Now, in the first when we filed for the funding last time, my understanding is we have to put our name in line and stand in line. And if it comes about, then we kind of fill in the area	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, as Mr. Meiszer said, do we submit an application and then proceed the best we can with the county lobbyist or whatever?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us." Now, in the first when we filed for the funding last time, my understanding is we have to put our name in line and stand in line. And if it comes about, then we kind of fill in the area of what what what parts of the intermodal	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, as Mr. Meiszer said, do we submit an application and then proceed the best we can with the county lobbyist or whatever? MS. GREEN: What's the time and cost in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us." Now, in the first when we filed for the funding last time, my understanding is we have to put our name in line and stand in line. And if it comes about, then we kind of fill in the area of what what what parts of the intermodal are we're going to put in? What parts of the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	I don't think he's particularly overworked when the legislature is in session. There ought to be an accemmodation. I have no authority to make any offer as I stand here today, but the question before you is whether or not to hire a lobbyist. The question is not whether to file an application. File the application and get some help to lobby for its passage. I think there was a quick switch of bait here. The question before you is whether or not you want to hire a lobbyist. I certainly hope you will file the application, and I certainly hope we will help you get it passed. And I think we're in a position to do that. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But for lack of but for lack of a of a motion, your recommendation is not accepted. MR. WUELLNER: Right. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, as Mr. Meiszer said, do we submit an application and then proceed the best we can with the county lobbyist or whatever?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	application, so it's MS. GREEN: That's what I thought. MR. WUELLNER: minimal. MS. GREEN: So, it's pretty much prepared and iMR. WUELLNER: It's putting it on the new form. I understand they're changing how, but it's the same thing. MR. LASSITER: Ed, I my comments are a little go beyond just the application. My comments are pretty much that when we had our joint meeting and talked about the intermodal, everybody says, "Yeah, this is something we really need to move ahead with; you guys pretty much go and look at it and see what and come back to us." Now, in the first when we filed for the funding last time, my understanding is we have to put our name in line and stand in line. And if it comes about, then we kind of fill in the area of what what what parts of the intermodal	

	AIRPORT AUTHORITY	- MUGUU	1 17, 2002
Pa	nge 77	Page 78	
[1] we're hearing it from a couple of the speakers	[1]	T's dotted and the I's crossed (sic). And I
[2	out here is, where where do we go before if	I	don't know if we can turn that around in eight
[3	we do succeed in getting the money, what do we	1	months or a year from the timing of the sequence
[4	do? I mean, we're aren't at the consensus of		of this TOPS proposal.
[5		[5]	Now, tell me where in my scenario, saying
[6		l	what I've said do you see your staff being
[7	with us on that. We're kind of out there in		able to do all that's required?
[8		[8]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, frankly, irrespective
[9			of the the project's merits, you at some
[10	-		point, based on where it was all left, a first
[11			step relative to something has to be done. As
[12		1 -	as I understood it, that was vested with the
[13			Authority, or at least left with the Authority.
[14		[14]	MR. LASSITER: Indicated.
[15		[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Not vested, We did use the
[16	-		process, the governmental process in in
[17			
[18	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		getting resolutions from the county and the city
[19]			n support of our TOPS application last year, and
[20]			hose were included as a part of it. So, it's
			not like we're sitting out there hanging in and
[21]		1	f itself.
[22]		[22]	Now, the the key issue in putting the
[23]		1	whole multimodal forward originally, the key
[24]	• •		oncern was not so much whether the project made
[25]	packaged and all the answers in line and all the	[25] s	ense, but how are we going to pay for it? Which
İ		1	
Pag	ze 79	Page 80	
	ge 79 I'm sure still remains the number-one issue	Page 80	pe available to use is is how again, how
[1]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue	[1] в	be available to use, is is how again, how
[1]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it.	[1] b	to we answer the question about where does the
[1] [2] [3]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires	[1] b [2] d [3] n	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we
[1] [2] [3] [4]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we that? How is the project to be phased?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately	[1] b [2] d [3] n [4] g [5]	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we et that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a	[1] b [2] d [3] n [4] g [5] [6] n	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we tet that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much noney would be available last year during the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that.	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we et that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10]	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know,	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] th	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we et that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] th [12] d	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was own to about a total of \$5 million of total
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] th [12] dd [13] p [13]	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a bout \$20 million, is what we as a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was own to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved.	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] th [12] dd [13] p [14] re	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we et that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was own to about a total of \$5 million of total roject, at which point, we got lost with all the est of district 2 and district 4 and were out of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] th [12] dd [13] p [14] re [15]	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was own to about a total of \$5 million of total roject, at which point, we got lost with all the lest of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given	[1] b [2] d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] tt [12] dd [13] p [14] re [15] th [16]	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total roject, at which point, we got lost with all the set of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g [10] [11] th [12] d d [13] p g [14] re [15] th [16] [17] an	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a bout \$20 million, is what we as a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the less of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g [8] al [9] p g [10] [11] th [12] dd [13] p g [14] re [15] th [16] [17] an [18] of	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was own to about a total of \$5 million of total roject, at which point, we got lost with all the lest of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because if the high percentage that represents to the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in garnering local support and additional call it	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g [8] al [9] p g [10] [11] tt [12] dd [13] p g [14] re [15] th [16] [17] au [18] od [19] to	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a bout \$20 million, is what we as a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the less of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because of the high percentage that represents to the obtal available, you're going to get
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in garnering local support and additional call it lobbying effort, but the county support, city	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g [5] [6] m [7] p [8] al [9] p [10] [11] tt [12] dd [13] p [14] re [15] th [16] [17] an [18] of [19] to [20] signature [20]	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a bout \$20 million, is what we as a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the less of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because if the high percentage that represents to the otal available, you're going to get gnificantly less than that; at which point, the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in garnering local support and additional call it lobbying effort, but the county support, city support of the project and public support of the	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we et that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the rocess, obviously the application for was bout \$20 million, is what we as a total roject. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was down to about a total of \$5 million of total roject, at which point, we got lost with all the lest of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because if the high percentage that represents to the otal available, you're going to get gnificantly less than that; at which point, the iscussion is: What's the next phase? I mean,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in garnering local support and additional call it lobbying effort, but the county support, city support of the project and public support of the project, as well as I think a very healthy	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a bout \$20 million, is what we as a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the lest of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because if the high percentage that represents to the otal available, you're going to get gnificantly less than that; at which point, the iscussion is: What's the next phase? I mean, that or a first phase in this case? What
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in garnering local support and additional call it lobbying effort, but the county support, city support of the project and public support of the project, as well as I think a very healthy discussion at that point.	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g [8] al [9] p g [10] [11] th [12] d d [13] p g [14] re [15] th [16] [17] an [18] cot [19] to [20] sign [21] di [22] w g [23] w g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the less of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because if the high percentage that represents to the lotal available, you're going to get genificantly less than that; at which point, the discussion is: What's the next phase? I mean, that or a first phase in this case? What that do we build? What what is a meaningful
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I'm sure still remains the number-one issue relative to it. Well, this program, unfortunately, requires a significant lead time to get in there for any version of the money in the event it ultimately shells out irrespective of whether you use a lobbyist or not to to move it forward. It just requires a long time to do that. You know, as you pass the markers, as you might call it, moving through the this funding process or the TOPS program itself, you know, there are certainly going to be interest points that need to be rediscussed among the boards involved. For instance, if you were successful at the TOPS committee level, as it's going to be given to the legislature for the next step, that's that's certainly an important milestone in in garnering local support and additional call it lobbying effort, but the county support, city support of the project and public support of the project, as well as I think a very healthy discussion at that point. That's about six months in advance of	[1] b b [2] d d [3] m [4] g g [5] [6] m [7] p g [10] [11] th [12] d d [13] p g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	to we answer the question about where does the natch come from? And how does that how do we set that? How is the project to be phased? We don't know answers anything from how much money would be available last year during the process, obviously the application for was a bout \$20 million, is what we as a total project. By the time it made it through three cuts, the money that was potentially there for us was sown to about a total of \$5 million of total project, at which point, we got lost with all the lest of district 2 and district 4 and were out of the mix. But that process, while you may ask for this mount of money, it's very, very likely because if the high percentage that represents to the otal available, you're going to get gnificantly less than that; at which point, the iscussion is: What's the next phase? I mean, that or a first phase in this case? What

	AINI ONI AUTIONI			-
Page	81	Page 82		
[1]	You know, I can't I don't have that	[1]	airport. We have car rental service out at the	
[2]	crystal ball to answer all the questions in the	[2]	airport. And all this multimodal thing is going	
[3]	future about how much money and what that would	[3]	to do is combine everything and put it right out	
[4]	build. We don't have those answers yet. We	[4]	here in one spot. But we already have	
[5]	didn't design the project. We just simply	[5]	everything.	
[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Just a minute, Mr. Kile.	[6]	It's not a matter of we don't have it and	
[7]	Just a minute. Joe wanted to say something.	[7]	some day down the line we're not going to have	
[8]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. When I first heard of	[8]	it. We already have all this stuff. And we	
[9]	this multimodal thing a few years back and the	[9]	already have an airport that can land anything	
[10]	drawing came out and everything, I said, oh, what	[10]	that you want to fly in here. So and nobody	
[11]	a good idea; they're going to concentrate the	[11]	yet on this board has convinced me that this is	
[12]	transportation into one area and then it it	[12]	going to really benefit the airport as far as	
[13]	evolved into a Taj Mahal-type thing. And really,	[13]	making money for the airport.	
[14]	all AMTRAK was looking for was a railroad	[14]	It might if we get this Taj Mahal and rent	
[15]	station.	[15]	out the restaurant and rent out this, rent out	
[16]	And I heard Mr. Cox say that we're going to	[16]	that. But, look, we have a restaurant right now	
[17]	get it down the years or down the road because of	[17]	that can't even make its own way. So, I'm not so	
[18]	this. But this is more nothing more than a	[18]	convinced that putting a Taj Mahal out there is	
[19]	convenience. Right now, you have bus service	[19]	going to make us money.	
[20]	downtown, and you go down by that bus terminal	[20]	I don't think we need this darn thing. It's	
[21]	and you won't see ten or eleven Greyhound busses	[21]	nice. It's cute. But when it comes to money and	
[22]	lining up and taking on and dis taking off	[22]	we're the ones that are going to be behind the	۱
[23]	passengers to go in into different areas. The	[23]	eightball, it's nothing more than a convenience.	
[24]	place isn't that busy.	[24]	And I'm sorry. I can't be convinced otherwise.	
[25]	We have limousine service out at the	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Thank you. Tony	
				í
1		1		┥
Page 8		Page 84		
[1]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion,	[1]	where we go from here? Do we want to go ahead	
[1] [2]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction.	[1] [2]	and apply for the TOPS program?	
[1] [2] [3]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St.	[1] [2] [3]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion,gentlemen, and give some direction.MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St.Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and π.aybe	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and maybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and π aybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and π.aybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and maybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and maybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR.—WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and maybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop here seems kind of ridiculous. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are awarded, are we mandatorily required to match?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and π.aybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop here seems kind of ridiculous. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I think that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18] [19]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are awarded, are we mandatorily required to match? MR. WUELLNER: No. You execute a JPA	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop here seems kind of ridiculous. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I think that decision's been made.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are awarded, are we mandatorily required to match? MR. WUELLNER: No. You execute a JPA agreement, much like the next agenda item, and	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop here seems kind of ridiculous. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I think that decision's been made. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are awarded, are we mandatorily required to match? MR. WUELLNER: No. You execute a JPA agreement, much like the next agenda item, and MS. GREEN: So, what we would do is just be	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and π.aybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop here seems kind of ridiculous. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I think that decision's been made. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We've not we've not	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR.—WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are awarded, are we mandatorily required to match? MR. WUELLNER: No. You execute a JPA agreement, much like the next agenda item, and MS. GREEN: So, what we would do is just be protecting our interest if you made the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Kile? We need to complete our discussion, gentlemen, and give some direction. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St. Augustine, Florida, 32092. Candidate for the Airport Authority board. I'll just quote Mr. Warner (sic), and πaybe you'd have to go back in the minutes, because I I'm paraphrasing, but he said the money comes first and common sense comes second. I think that's a reverse order as to where our priorities are to be. Common sense ought to dictate. Just like Mr. Ciriello said, we already have bus connections. We already have airport connections. We already have limousine connections. And this is just a convenience. And to spend \$40,000 for a convenience that we don't know even if the railroad is going to stop here seems kind of ridiculous. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I think that decision's been made. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We've not we've not accepted that recommendation.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	and apply for the TOPS program? MR. CIRIELLO: Is it going to cost us anything? MR. WUELLNER: To apply? MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't see anything wrong with you continuing what you've been doing, gathering information about this in case in case it ever comes to frutation (sic). There's no harm in that. But until we make a decision we're going to build it or not, I don't think we need to get excited. MS. GREEN: Mr oh, I'm sorry. MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: I have one question. If we make the application and by the grace of God we are awarded, are we mandatorily required to match? MR. WUELLNER: No. You execute a JPA agreement, much like the next agenda item, and MS. GREEN: So, what we would do is just be	

Page 8	5	Page 86		_
[1]	you don't apply.	[1]	wanted.	
[2]	MR. LASSITER: Standing in line.	[2]	But the degree to which we are united in	
[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, at that same time, if	[3]	this community and the degree to which we're	
[4]	you if we're going to submit an application,	[4]		
[5]	shouldn't we follow Mr. Meiszer's suggestion and	[5]	working together is more impressive at the state	
[6]	contact the county well, I'm going to call him	[6]	level than if we go down there disunited, split	
[7]	a lobbyist, and that's not right. But what's the	1	up.	ĺ
[8]	name of the department?	[7]	Now, as I said before, and I want to be very	
[9]	COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Intergovernmental	[8]	clear, as I stand here, I'm not authorized to	Į
[10]	relations,	[9]	represent the County Commission at all, one wit,	
[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Intergovernmental relations.	[10] [11]	no way. But, I can't imagine that we couldn't	
[12]	They they may be in a position to provide at	1 "	work together and support something you're trying	
[13]	least some advice to us.	[12]	to do and ask you to support something we're	
[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.	[13]	trying to do, just like we do with the	
[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes, sir,	[14] [15]	municipalities.	
[16]	COMMISSIONER MEISZER: Could I just	1 -	And when we send delegations to Tallahassee,	
[17]	elaborate a minute? Every year when the	[16] [17]	we always have representation. We have the	TOWNS COMME
[18]	legislature meets and we send our representative		mayors, we have the other officials, and so it's	
[19]	to Tallahassee, we coordinate with the city	[18] [19]	a united effort.	l
[20]	government, with all of the other agencies, St.	[20]	I would think you would benefit from that	
[21]	Augustine Beach, even Hastings.	[21]	COMMISSIONER MEISTER	
[22]	We try to go with a united front. We try to	[22]	COMMISSIONER MEISZER: in getting a grant	
[23]	show as much support as possible. And we have	[23]	of some kind or getting something approved.	
[24]	had a pretty successful track record in some	[24]	Now, if you want to work in that direction,	
[25]	areas. Others, we have not gotten what we	[25]	I don't know of any obstacle to it. But if you	۱
	areas of the first pot gotten what we	[23]	want to it's difficult for me to understand	
Page 87		Page 88		٦
[1]	why an airport authority would think it's its	[1]	MS. GREEN: next month?	
[2]	responsibility to get into this train business.	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you want to vote on it?	۱
[3]	It's a community responsibility. It's a	[3]	MR. LASSITER: I think he's just asking for	
[4]	responsibility to provide better service to the	[4]	direction.	
[5]	people who live here. But it seems to me that	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Huh?	ı
[6]	it's a joint responsibility.	[6]	MR. LASSITER: He's just asking or do you	İ
[7]	And I don't want to make a long speech, but	[7]	need authorization?	l
[8]	I really think working together, we could we	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't I personally don't	ļ
[9]	could come to some accommodation that that	[9]	require it. I think if that's what y'all want me	
[10]	would be helpful in in lobbying efforts.	[10]	to do, then I	ı
[11]	MR. WUELLNER: I couldn't agree more.	[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I stated	ı
[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would suggest then, if	[12]	the the position of the board. And we don't	
[13]	there's if there is no there's no	[13]	want to take any action beyond that till we have	ĺ
[14]	objection, I suggest that we instruct our	[14]	more information.	l
[15]	director to go ahead and submit application for	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: No problem at all.	
[16]	the TOPS program, perform whatever coordination	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Item C.	l
[17]	is appropriate with the county lobbyist, and	[17]	7.C Resolution 02-03 - JPA Acquisition	
[18]	well, I don't know whether that's I think	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: This hopefully won't meet	
[19]	that's what has that's the immediate issue.	[19]	with a lot of objection, since we're trying to	
[20]	Then I think the long-term issue, we need to	[20]	give you some money here.	
[21]	we need to spend a little time working with our	[21]	Resolution-2002-03_would_be_with_the_State	-
[22]	county and cities, whatever.	[22]	of Florida, would be a new joint res or joint	
[23]	MS. GREEN: Can we have maybe a whatever	[23]	participation agreement relative to the continued	
[24]	you have back on that as a status	[24]	effort in land acquisition and would be a	C. C.
[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. Sure.	[25]	\$600,000 total project, 50 percent of which would	
I				1

			- AUGUSI 17, 2002
	Page 8	9	Page 90
	[1]	be funded by Florida DOT.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, okay.
	[2]	It is an item that is currently and	[2] MR. WUELLNER: you'll identify what you
	[3]	tentatively in your proposed budget for fiscal	[3] want,
	[4]	year 2002-03. And it would this resolution	[4] MR. CIRIELLO: About this property thing
	[5]	would authorize the Chairman's signature to the	[5] nothing to do with you, nothing to do with this
	[6]	resolution and follow and witness attesting	[6] board. But going back a millennia of years,
	[7]	the attested by our secretary/treasurer, and	[7] somewhere along the line, this authority started
	[8]	would ultimately authorize the execution of a	[8] buying property
	[9]	joint participation agreement with the State of	[9] MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
	[10]	Florida in the amount of \$300,000 representing	[10] MR. CIRIELLO: and it seemed since they
	[11]	the DOT share of a \$600,000 grant for land	[11] started doing that, they couldn't stop.
	[12]	acquisition related to the airport.	[12] Personally, I'd like to see it stop. I think
	[13]	And, of course, it would be Staff's	[13] buying good property and eventually getting it
ĺ	[14]	recommendation we go ahead and accept the	[14] off the tax rolls isn't the thing to do.
	[15]	\$300,000 from the State of Florida.	[15] Now, the way I see Araquay Park, it's not
	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Public comment?	[16] much value to us except putting in hangars and
	[17]	(No public comment.)	[17] make some bread. You can't expand the runway
ĺ	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment? Joe?	[18] that direction because you can't line it up or
	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Here I go again. Let me see	[19] anything else.
	[20]	my notes here. Exactly what property are we	[20] And I don't know why back then anybody on
	[21]	talking about, Ed?	[21] the Authority thought it would be a good idea to
	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: That will be up to you,	[22] own all that property. I don't know what they
1	[23]	Those we're just simply accepting the money at	[23] were going to do with it, because when you expand
	[24]	this point, and as a part of the budget process	[24] an eirport, the basic thing and we're talking
	[25]	going into next year	[25] commercial airports, not general aviation.
- 1			
L,			
ľ	Page 91		Page 92
	Page 91	Basically, when a commercial airport expands, put	Page 92 [1] people in this county, because only a few people
	-	Basically, when a commercial airport expands, put in parallel runways, longer runways such as that,	
1	[1]		[1] people in this county, because only a few people
	[1] [2]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that,	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the
	[1] [2] ,	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own cirplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there
***************************************	[1] [2] , [3] ,,	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there.	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes.	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth,	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such.	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I I'd just like to see this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such. There are a lot of people out there that	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I I'd just like to see this [18] Airport Authority start to think about quit
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such. There are a lot of people out there that don't want this airport to grow and end up into a	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I'- I'd just like to see this [18] Airport Authority start to think about quit [19] trying to buy everybody's homes up. It's really
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such. There are a lot of people out there that don't want this airport to grow and end up into a white elephant like Daytona. So, you're and	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I I'd just like to see this [18] Airport Authority start to think about quit [19] trying to buy everybody's homes up. It's really [20] not that great of a value to us. That's my idea.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such. There are a lot of people out there that don't want this airport to grow and end up into a white elephant like Daytona. So, you're and you don't agree with that.	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I I'd just like to see this [18] Airport Authority start to think about quit [19] trying to buy everybody's homes up. It's really [20] not that great of a value to us. That's my idea. [21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you, Joe.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such. There are a lot of people out there that don't want this airport to grow and end up into a white elephant like Daytona. So, you're and you don't agree with that. So but your you or I our expansion	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I'd just like to see this [18] Airport Authority start to think about quit [19] trying to buy everybody's homes up. It's really [20] not that great of a value to us. That's my idea. [21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you, Joe. [22] Any other?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	in parallel runways, longer runways such as that, not so much hangars to make bread, and so that's the only value over there. And this is a pretty good size chunk of our budget. So, I don't see where there's any great value to this airport to keep buying that property. I know the reason they bought some over here on Avenue A or B, because the people were complaining about noise, and one way to shut them up was to buy their homes. But it's and and when I was on the campaign and I know other people will you'll have people say, "How is that airport going to grow?" They don't want growth. Just like the commissioners are fighting growth, people don't want houses built and such. There are a lot of people out there that don't want this airport to grow and end up into a white elephant like Daytona. So, you're and you don't agree with that. So but your you or I our expansion and building more hangars, more	[1] people in this county, because only a few people [2] own airplanes. It's not the majority. So, the [3] minority is dictating to the majority. And there [4] are a lot of people that are concerned about the [5] growth of this airport. [6] And I won't get into a big dissertation, but [7] this thing is locked in. You can't go west, you [8] can't go east, you can't go south, and you can't [9] go north. And the only thing to do with this [10] airport and over the years to make it a better [11] airport is to continue keeping the facilities in [12] the best shape, the services in the best shape, [13] and such as that, not trying to see how many [14] houses we can build and if there's some way that [15] we can put in some more hangars, because the [16] basic airport cannot be expanded. [17] And I I I'd just like to see this [18] Airport Authority start to think about quit [19] trying to buy everybody's homes up. It's really [20] not that great of a value to us. That's my idea. [21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Thank you, Joe. [22] Any other? [23] MS. GREEN: I just have a question. This is

AIRPORT AUTHORITY - AUGUST 19, 2002

		ARIORI AUTIORIT		22,720	
ſ	Page 93		Page 94		Ī
	[1]	MS. GREEN: So, if we we'd have to vote	[1]	7.D Release of Retainage - Self-Fuel Facility	
	[2]	again to see if we're even going to tap it or	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Retainage release	
	[3]	match it or anything.	[3]	consistent with your policy relative to the	
	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Right.	[4]	self-fuel facility, the contractor, Universal	
	[5]	MS. GREEN: So, if we don't fund it now,	[5]	Petroleum.	
	[6]	we'll lose that, too.	[6]	We have received all lien releases relative	
ı	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: You'll approve a match,	[7]	to the project and await a couple of housekeeping	
ı	[8]	essentially, as a part of your budget.	[8]	cleanup items relative to a couple of facility	-306
	[9]	MS. GREEN: Right.	[9]	modifications that are in the works. But we	
Î	[10]	MR. LASSITER: Make a motion that we accept	[10]	we are in a position to recommend release of	
1	[11]	the resolution 2002-03 for funding sources for	[11]	retainage on this contract in the amount of	
	[12]	potential property.	[12]	\$17,339.50.	
	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I hear a second?	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, that facility's in	1
-	[14]	MR. WATTS: I second.	[14]	operation, isn't it?	ı
	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion?	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. Yes, sir.	
į	[16]	(No discussion.)	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, That's what I	
	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor, say aye.	[17]	thought.	
	[18]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Approximately seven, eight	
	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.	[19]	weeks now.	
	[20]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Right. Public comment?	
	[21]	MS. GREEN: Aye.	[21]	(No public comment.)	
- [[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board comment?	İ
	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: No.	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Without any ado, Mr.	
- 1	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carried. Item D.	[24]	Chairman, I'd like to make a motion we accept	
	[25]	Fuel retainage release of retainage.	[25]	Staff recommendation on this item.	ļ
			ı		
-					4
	Page 95		Page 96		
] [[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I hear a second?	[1]	in those expenditures and with an overall goal of	
[[1] [2]	MS. GREEN: Second.	[1] [2]	getting the millage rate back to at least the	
	[1] [2] [3]	MS. GREEN: Second, CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion?	[1] [2] [3]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate.	
]	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.)	[1] [2] [3] [4]	getting the millage rate back to at least the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MS. GREEN: Second, CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was	
[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] -[7] [8]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance.	
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate	
]]]]]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage	
]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to downs let you know we gave you a revised copy	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the	
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [17]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really	
C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C: C	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be	
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be	
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [20] [20]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the addition of the revenue line items we discussed at the last meeting related to the self-fuel.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be happy to address concern between now and the budget hearing coming up next or the first	
11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [20] [21]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the addition of the revenue line items we discussed at the last meeting related to the self-fuel. And also, we made some adjustments in the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be happy to address concern between now and the budget hearing coming up next or the first budget hearing coming up month.	
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [18] [20] [22] [6]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the addition of the revenue line items we discussed at the last meeting related to the self-fuel. And also, we made some adjustments in the expenditures related in the operating.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be happy to address concern between now and the budget hearing coming up next or the first budget hearing coming up month. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have the this is	
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [6] [23] [5]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the addition of the revenue line items we discussed at the last meeting related to the self-fuel. And also, we made some adjustments in the expenditures related in the operating. Several of the unknowns relative to some of our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be happy to address concern between now and the budget hearing coming up next or the first budget hearing coming up month. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have the this is simply for our information.	
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22] [23] [34] [44] [45]	MS. GREEN: Second. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Item E. 7.E Budget Revisions MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, ali I was trying to do was let you know we gave you a revised copy based on our discussions at the last meeting several adjustments were made, including the addition of the revenue line items we discussed at the last meeting related to the self-fuel. And also, we made some adjustments in the expenditures related in the operating.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	getting the millage rate back to at least the rollback rate. The document you have does that. It adjusts some operating budget numbers and takes the balance, which was approximately \$100,000 it's a round number, to out of the reserve that was allocated at the higher millage rate to to make the balance. There was, if you recall, about a \$175,000 disparity between the last year's millage rate and proposed for this year, and that disparage made up between some operating line items and a reduction in the reserve proposed to carry into next year. And this budget does bring it down to the rolled-back rate of .2356. And it's just really for your information. I mean, I'd certainly be happy to address concern between now and the budget hearing coming up next or the first budget hearing coming up month. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have the this is	

Page	97	Page 98
[1]	you concern, you know, you anticipate wanting	[1] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. That will be strict
[2]	adjusted, you can still do that. You've still	[2] that meeting will be specific to the budget.
[3]	got several opportunities yet.	[3] It's the first public hearing.
[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. But at the budget	[4] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I have one comment. I
[5]	hearing, we can look at specific items	[5] have my notes here. Surprised how easy it was to
[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.	[6] get to the rollback rate. I was disappointed in
[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: and take whatever	[7] what wasn't cut back, especially specifically
[8]	action	[8] the houses, which I just addressed, and the
[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Right.	[9] master plan, that \$200,000 in there. I don't
[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: we think is appropriate.	[10] like that no how, no way, shape, or form.
[11]	So, this is simply for our information. Any	[11] So, my question to you, since it was so easy
[12]	public comment?	[12] to get to that rollback rate, which is just a few
[13]	(No public comment.)	[13] pennies, do you think it's possible to go a
[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board? Joe?	[14] little lower now? Just I don't say you have
[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Which meeting	[15] to. I'm just saying, do you think that it might
[16]	specifically are we going to	[16] be able to go a little lower than that, the
[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have two budget	[17] MR. WUELLNER: Well, those are
[18]	hearings. The first one is coming up on the I	[18] MR. CIRIELLO: Lower than the rollback rate
[19]	believe it's the 9th of 8th or 9th of	[19] and not hurt us financially?
[20]	September, which will be strictly a budget	[20] MR. WUELLNER: Well, at the expense of
[21]	hearing, beginning at 5:01, whatever that Monday	[21] whatever you're intending to cut out of the
[22]	is. Christine might want to I don't remember.	[22] budget, yes.
[23]	MS. GLASSER: 9th.	[23] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, that \$200,000, not all
[24]	MR. CIRIELLO: So, we'll have time to go	[24] of it. But I don't think that we need to give
[25]	through this.	[25] some consultant \$200,000 to put together whatever
Page	99	Page 100
[1]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy	Page 100 [1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm
[1]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000.	
[1] [2] [3]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm
[1] [2] [3] [4]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars.	 [1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem	 [1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say.	 [1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement	 [1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree.	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us,	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket.	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out completely.	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just [19] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out completely. MR. WUELLNER: have a one/ten-thousandth	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just [19] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: an information issue.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out completely. MR. WUELLNER: have a one/ten-thousandth of a millage rate reduction, which would	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just [19] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: an information issue. [21] And project updates.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out completely. MR. WUELLNER: have a one/ten-thousandth of a millage rate reduction, which would translate into nothing in terms of actual dollars	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just [19] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: an information issue. [21] And project updates. [22] MR. WUELLNER: Project
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out completely. MR. WUELLNER: have a one/ten-thousandth of a millage rate reduction, which would translate into nothing in terms of actual dollars saved.	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just [19] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: an information issue. [21] And project updates. [22] MR. WUELLNER: Project [23] CHAIRMAN ROSE: No yeah.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the master plan people come up with. You can buy a lot of paper and paper clips for \$200,000. MR. WUELLNER: I'd agree, but the reality is you've got just \$10,000 in that of local dollars. You're not seeking anything on the ad valorem side, is what I'm trying to say. MR. CIRIELLO: Didn't I make the statement last month that it I understand that, but the total budget, the total budget is what our MR. WUELLNER: I agree. MR. CIRIELLO: is what we are MR. WUELLNER: But the total budget MR. CIRIELLO: And that counts against us, even though it's not out of our pocket. MR. WUELLNER: No, sir, not relative to the millage. If you cut the master plan completely out of it, it would MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say cut it out completely. MR. WUELLNER: have a one/ten-thousandth of a millage rate reduction, which would translate into nothing in terms of actual dollars	[1] lower? Your answer is no, right? That's all I'm [2] asking, Ed. Can it possibly go lower because [3] you've got the rollback rate so easy? [4] MR. WUELLNER: In theory, Joe, it could go [5] to zero [6] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I know that. [7] MR. WUELLNER: and just close the [8] airport. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: That wouldn't be practical. [10] MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I'm just saying that [11] you can make whatever adjustments and get to [12] whatever millage rate you want to, but you've got [13] to be willing to make the cuts to get there. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [15] MR. WUELLNER: You've spent seven years [16] making up for the last 15. [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. This doesn't require [18] action? It was just [19] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: an information issue. [21] And project updates. [22] MR. WUELLNER: Project

		AIRPORT AUTHORITY	- AUGU	31 17, 2002
	Page 101		Page 102	2
	[1]	MR. LASSITER: He added on two items.	[1]	Taxiway B project. And that's where that is.
	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, F. Do you want to do	[2]	And it's entirely at FAA's hands. We have
	[3]	that last?	[3]	been we've had conversations today even
	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: I'll go ahead and do F. real	[4]	relative to that, the plan to certify that.
	[5]	quick.	[5]	And the latest is we're going to end up
	[6]	MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry,	[6]	bringing someone out from Phoenix to who is
	[7]	7.F Project Updates	[7]	checked out on the DME, and hopefully they'll
	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: F., Mr. McClure dealt with	[8]	come to terms with that over the next few days
	[9]	the terminal issue. We've kind of brought you up	[9]	and we'll have some target dates over the next
	[10]	to speed on the tower, where it is and its	[10]	couple of weeks relative to ground inspection
	[11]	projected operating date of, right now, October	[11]	finally.
	[12]	3rd.	[12]	7.G RPR Services for Taxiway B
	[13]	The two other projects, the grant documents	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: All right. Item 7.G., which
	[14]	were executed relative to Taxiway B, and as soon	[14]	was RPR Services for Taxiway B. As you know, we
		as we get confirmation on the other element of	[15]	no longer do those projects in-house, and these
	[16]	this project, which it seems to be the perennial	[16]	need to be done contractually. Taxiway B is a
		project, which is the ILS, as soon as we have	[17]	federal project and carries a mandate to provide
١		notification that's flight checked and available	[18]	full-time resident inspection relative to the
		and published, we can release the Taxiway B	[19]	construction project.
		project. But, clearly, we don't want to leave	[20]	The proposal from your consultant, Earth
	[21]	the airport with no reliable nav aid. And and	[21]	Tech, is in the amount of \$54,600 for the RPR
		the Taxiway B project takes it right through the	[22]	services of this project. And it would be
ĺ		VOR,	[23]	Staff's recommendation to concur in that award to
	[24]	So, until we have something that's ready to	[24]	Earth Tech.
İ		go, we're holding the notice to proceed on the	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me. I just they
		•		
	Page 103		Page 104	
	[1]	ust handed me this. I was reading it. What did	[1]	Augustine, Florida, 32092. Am I understanding
	[2]	you ask me to do?	[2]	you correctly, Mr. Warner (sic), that you want to
	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Award Taxiway B RPR to Earth	[3]	hire another employee at \$54,600?
- [[4]	Tech,	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. We we would like
	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right.	[5]	to use our consultant to provide resident project
١	[6]	MR. LASSITER: Mr. Chairman, I have a quick	[6]	representative services to the Authority.
	[7] (question.	[7]	MR. KILE: So, what would that cost the
	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, okay. What	[8]	Authority?
	[9] r	nothing. Go ahead. Excuse me. I didn't know	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Total dollars directly to the
	[10] v	what I was what they handed to me, and I	[10]	Authority, maybe, best guess, about \$3,500.
Ì	[11] t	hought I better read it.	[11]	MR. KILE: Well, what's this 56 \$54,600
	[12]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, will this cause any	[12]	figure?
-	[13] t	oudget line item modifications, or is this	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: It represents the total value
	[14] v	vrapped in the project?	[14]	of the contract, for which the Authority will be
	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: It's really aiready wrapped	[15]	reimbursed 95 percent of.
	[16] i	n.	[16]	MR. KILE: So, it will only cost us \$3,500.
	[17]	MR. LASSITER: Okay. So, it's just the	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: And it is	[18]	MR. KILE: Now, does that also go for the
	[19]	MR. LASSITER: contractual	[19]	7.H., with \$63,340?
	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: And this particular project	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: If it will save you a trip,
	[21] is	s funded at 95 percent.	[21]	no. That particular one, the grant terms provide
- 1	22]	MR. LASSITER: Ninety-five. Okay. Great.	[22]	for half of the money back.
1	23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, anything else? Comment?	[23]	MR. KILE: So, what would that cost the
-11				
1'	24] Y	es.	[24]	Authority?
- 1	24] Y 25]	es. MR. KILE: Tony Kile, 1956 Yamasse Road, St.	[24] [25]	Authority? MR. WUELLNER: Half of \$63-, so \$31,7-,

		AIR ORI ACIIIORIII		
	Page 10:	5	Page 10	6
	[1]	something like that.	[1]	these things say that the re that the
	[2]	MR. KILE: \$31,7- and \$3,500. So, it's	[2]	resent the representative can't do any of the
	[3]	another \$40,000 of Authority money; is that	[3]	following items.
	[4]	correct?	[4]	In other words, the representative can't
	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[5]	authorize any deviation of contractual documents.
	[6]	MR. KILE: Okay. Now, I refer you to your	[6]	He can't exceed limitations of the owner's
	[7]	copy of 7.H or 7.G, section 3, limitations of	[7]	authority. He can't undertake any of the
	[8]	authority of resident project representative.	[8]	responsibilities of the contractors, site
	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: 7	[9]	contractors or contractor's superintendent. He
	[10]	MR. KILE: I believe I have that correct.	[10]	can't
	[11]	This is 7.H., and it's listed under 3,	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
	[12]	limitations of resident.	[12]	MR. KILE: advise, direct, relative or
	[13]	MS. GREEN: Mr. Chairman, are we on 7.G. or	[13]	assume any authority over any aspects, means,
	[14]	7.H.? I thought we	[14]	
	[15]	MR. KILE: Either one. Either one has the	[14]	methods, techniques, sequences, procedures of construction unless such advice or directions are
ı	[16]	same page. The context are the same.	[16]	
	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry. Which what	1 -	specifically required by the contractor's documents.
	[18]	paragraph are you	[17]	
	[19]	MR. KILE: Well, paragraph 3, limitations of	[18]	Again, using the word "can't." He can't
	[20]		[19]	advise on or issue directions regarding or assume
	[21]	authority of a resident project representative?	[20]	control over safety precautions and programs in
	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[21]	connection with the work,
		MR. KILE: Okay. Now, because I'm kind of a	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: You don't have to read the
ı	[23]	common-sense guy, I just ask you to put the word	[23]	rest of it.
	[24]	"can't" in front of each one of A through H. You	[24]	MR. KILE: Well
	[25]	understand what I'm saying? Because each one of	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: You understand let me
ŀ	Page 107		Page 108	
	Page 107	tell you. "Shall not" is the proper wording.	Page 108	
	[1]	tell you. "Shall not" is the proper wording. I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain	[1]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is,
	[1] [2]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain	[1] [2]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend
	[1] [2] [3]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in	[1] [2] [3]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it — it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a — a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and that is to make sure that the that the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on. MR. WUELLNER: You know what? You're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and that is to make sure that the that the representative doesn't do these things. But the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on. MR. WUELLNER: You know what? You're exactly right.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and that is to make sure that the that the representative doesn't do these things. But the "shall not" adequately limits his authority.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on. MR. WUELLNER: You know what? You're exactly right. MR. KILE: I am?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and that is to make sure that the that the representative doesn't do these things. But the "shall not" adequately limits his authority. MR. KILE: So, "shall not" or "can't" are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on. MR. WUELLNER: You know what? You're exactly right. MR. KILE: I am? MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and that is to make sure that the that the representative doesn't do these things. But the "shall not" adequately limits his authority. MR. KILE: So, "shall not" or "can't" are basically the same; is that is that quite	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it — it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a — a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple — a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on. MR. WUELLNER: You know what? You're exactly right. MR. KILE: I am? MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. KILE: Well, great. Let's take it out
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	I'll I'll refer to our attorney to explain that. But "can't" is not the word to put in there. MR. KILE: All right. I I'm just I'm just a lowly citizen that uses common-sense terms. If "shall not" is the private proper word CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do we need to make a comment on that? MR. McCLURE: I don't think there's any material difference in the language. And the term "shall" in legal interpretation has a particular meaning. It's not "will." It's not "won't." It's not whatever. "Shall" is a mandatory word and it's commonly understood in the language. I think I understand the concern, and that is to make sure that the that the representative doesn't do these things. But the "shall not" adequately limits his authority. MR. KILE: So, "shall not" or "can't" are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. KILE: Okay. So, what I'm asking is, for another \$40,000 a year that you want to spend of our money, what exactly is this man going to do aside from sit on a stool and watch these two projects go up? MR. WUELLNER: You're familiar with the same document. I suggest you read it. MR. KILE: I did read it. And it doesn't give him any authority to do anything. I mean, it it seems to me that you have an assistant, and we're still looking to replace a a person that you wanted to spend another hundred thousand dollars on a couple a couple of three meetings ago. I would think that either one of those two could go out and overlook these projects and do the same thing that you want to spend another \$40,000 on. MR. WUELLNER: You know what? You're exactly right. MR. KILE: I am? MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.

r				
ĺ	Page 109		Page 110	
l	[1]	individual been added to the staff, we wouldn't	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: It's not been authorized
	[2]	have either of these proposals in front of us.	[2]	beyond this year.
ı	[3]	MR. KILE: Well, I take it his job	[3]	MR. KILE: In other words, so you're down to
	[4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We understand	[4]	ten employees instead of eleven.
	[5]	your position. We need professional engineers to	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: At current time, yes, I am,
l	[6]	look over these projects. We can either put them	[6]	MR. KILE: Wouldn't it have been better just
1	[7]	on our payroll or we can we can hire them when	[7]	to re repropose his job and have him inspect
- 1	[8]	we need them.	[8]	the realty properties that we have and so on and
- 1	[9]	MR. KILE: We're not talking about	[9]	so forth to fulfill all the additional's (sic)
- 1	[10]	professional engineers here, Mr. Rose. We're	[10]	responsibilities?
- 1	[11]	talking about individuals to oversee a project,	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: On the advice of our
- 1	[12]	and I believe that we had, what was his title,	[12]	attorneys, we were suggested to take that
	[13]	Mr. Warner (sic), the man that retired?	[13]	position outside of our outside of our
	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: It was the resident project	[14]	offices.
	[15]	representative.	[15]	MR. KILE: And can I ask your attorney why
1	[16]	MR, KILE: Resident? And what was his	[16]	that was?
- 1	[17]	salary?	1 -	
- 1	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Mid \$30's.	[17]	MR. McCLURE: If the Chair wants me to
ı	[19]	MR. KILE: Mid \$30's? Have you replaced him	[18]	respond, because I'm the attorney for the board.
•	[20]	yet?	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Briefly.
-	[20]		[20]	MR. McCLURE: There is an element of risk
		MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.	[21]	protection in having an independent engineer who
	[22]	MR. KILE: Okay. And is his position	[22]	comes from a financially responsible or insured
	[23]	available?	[23]	firm to do these inspections.
- [[24]	MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.	[24]	Were the Authority to hire someone in-house
	[25]	MR. KILE: It's not. Why not?	[25]	to do the inspections and those inspections
-	-			
	Page 111	. •	Page 112	
	Page 111	improperly authorized draws to be made, then it's	Page 112	Viss sympthing that we suggested it was a good
	[1]	improperly authorized draws to be made, then it's	[1]	was something that we suggested it was a good
	[1] [2]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears	[1] [2]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing
	[1] [2] [3]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error.	[1] [2] [3],	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these.
[[1] [2] [3] [4]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified	[1] [2] [3], [4]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes.	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals-who can provide
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals-who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they
]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals—who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component,
]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether
]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals—who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component.
]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find
]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8], [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars.	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly,	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8], [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [19]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is kind of the clerk of the works, certainly that	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere in here does it say a professional engineer. It
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [1	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is kind of the clerk of the works, certainly that mid \$30's has been equaled by these two	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere in here does it say a professional engineer. It says a person. So, you know, how are you going
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [1	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is kind of the clerk of the works, certainly that mid \$30's has been equaled by these two contracts, \$31,5- in one and \$3,500 or so in the	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere in here does it say a professional engineer. It says a person. So, you know, how are you going to check the backgrounds of these persons? What
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [1	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is kind of the clerk of the works, certainly that mid \$30's has been equaled by these two contracts, \$31,5- in one and \$3,500 or so in the other.	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere in here does it say a professional engineer. It says a person. So, you know, how are you going to check the backgrounds of these persons? What are the job qualifications for these two
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [19] [20] [22] [22] [22]	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is kind of the clerk of the works, certainly that mid \$30's has been equaled by these two contracts, \$31,5- in one and \$3,500 or so in the other. But the difference between that dollar	[1] [2] [3]. [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [-9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere in here does it say a professional engineer. It says a person. So, you know, how are you going to check the backgrounds of these persons? What are the job qualifications for these two individuals? They are not laid out anywhere in
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	going to be only the Airport Authority that bears the financial consequence of that error. If we have an independent qualified consultant who is insured or is financially responsible who makes that error, then the consultant will bear the responsibilities, or their insurer, of those mistakes. The other reason financially was that there were questions as to whether an in-house person was going to qualify for reimbursement under the programs that Mr. Wuellner has identified. And so, to measure the difference between the risk of an in-house and the risk of an independent consultant, then you really need to compare the net number of dollars. And there is a difference, certainly, because if it was mid \$30's for someone who is kind of the clerk of the works, certainly that mid \$30's has been equaled by these two contracts, \$31,5- in one and \$3,500 or so in the other.	[1] [2] [3], [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	idea to keep an independent consultant doing these. The other reason, which is perhaps not legal, but perhaps is more policy oriented, is that, for example, within Earth Tech, which is a very large company with very many disciplines, there are different-professionals who can provide supervision over different aspects of what they do, whether it's the mechanical component, whether it's the structural component, whether it's the civil engineering component. If you hire somebody in-house, you may find that you still have to engage an independent professional engineer, because that one person cannot cover all of the disciplines adequately to do the inspections. MR. KILE: Okay. My only comment is nowhere in here does it say a professional engineer. It says a person. So, you know, how are you going to check the backgrounds of these persons? What are the job qualifications for these two

Page 113 Page 114	
i l	
[1] concerned, a grade-school dropout could apply for [1] away with, who's overseeing our realty property	
[2] this job and [2] right now? And is this going to be part of their	
[3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have a contract with this [3] job?	
[4] firm that specifies it will that it will have [4] MR. WUELLNER: That was an entirely	
[5] the engineering comparable [5] different position.	
[6] MR. KILE: Not according to this memorandum, [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's a different issue	e.
[7] Mr. Chairman. [7] MR. KILE: Oh, okay. Well, I was just	
[8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: This is simply a [8] wondering if he was going to if either one of	
[9] supplemental agreement to that contract. [9] these two people were going to oversee that or if	
[10] MR. KILE: Well, and my second [10] that's going to cost us another \$30- or \$40,000	
[11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: We I assure you that the [11] here.	
[12] engineering supervision is there and will be [12] MR. WUELLNER: It's not a it's not	
[13] performed as needed. [13] contractor item with our engineer.	
[14] MR. KILE: Well, don't you think, as [14] MR. KILE: Thank you.	
[15] Ms. Green would would point out, that in [15] 7.G RPR Services for Taxiway B	
[16] contracts of employment, such as for lobbyist, [16] 7.H RPR Services - North Corporate Hangar	
[17] that the specifics of the agreement to enter the [17] Development	
[18] contract should be laid out? For instance, you [18] CHAIRMAN ROSE: We've got G. and H.,	and
	i
	O
Treat.	
	on
[23] contract to do this work. [23] both of those RPR services.	
[24] MR. KILE: All right. And my my second [24] MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, I'll make a mo	otion
[25] point would be, since the position that was done [25] that we accept Staff's recommendation to approve	
Page 115 Page 116	
l. I v	eonle
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p	eople
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [2] up there?	eople
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [2] up there? [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [3] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah.	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [7] up there? [8] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [5] mR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p. [6] Up there? [7] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [8] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [9] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] mR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [7] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [8] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [9] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [9] for this job, I mean, the original planning and	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [9] up there? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [11] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [12] up there? [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [15] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [16] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [17] everything?	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [8] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [1] up there? [1] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [5] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [6] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [7] everything? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [1] up there? [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [1] up there? [1] mR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [5] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [6] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [7] everything? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes.	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [1] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [1] up there? [1] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [5] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [6] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [7] everything? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes.	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [12] up there? [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [15] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [16] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [17] everything? [18] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [19] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, my question's	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [12] up there? [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [5] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [6] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [7] everything? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, my question's [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, my question's [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who	
[1] both 7,G, and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [12] up there? [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [15] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [16] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [17] everything? [18] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [19] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. WUELLNER: - and design? Yes. [11] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [13] hires the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Was saying and Mr. Rose about	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: You have some common p [12] up there? [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here [15] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid [16] for this job, I mean, the original planning and [17] everything? [18] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [19] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, ow, or you guestion's [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, if have here who [12] this whole chain of thought, and from what [13] hires the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [14] contracts and everything like that, I'm not quite	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs, have they been [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [12] MR. WUELLNER: — and design? Yes. [13] MR. WUELLNER: — and design? Yes. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [15] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [16] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [17] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [19] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [12] This whole chain of thought, and from what [13] Mr. McClure was saying and Mr. Rose about [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [15] are professional engineer. So —	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [12] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [15] In MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [16] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I guess from Earth Tech, [17] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an [18] MR. WUELLNER: Wou're hiring a firm, not an [19] MR. WHAT'S not to like? I couldn't	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here provided for this job, I mean, the original planning and everything? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs heen approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [13] hires the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [15] are professional engineer. So— [16] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an [17] individual. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [18] But when he said about the insurance and	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs have they been [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [13] MR. WUELLNER: — and design? Yes. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [15] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, my question's this whole chain of thought, and from what [13] hires the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [15] are professional engineer. So — [16] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an [17] individual. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [10] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [11] Stuff like that, before Mr. Swanson, I believe	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [13] hires the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [15] are professional engineer. So [16] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an [17] individual. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [10] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [11] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [12] this name was, retired that did this job and I	
[1] both 7,G. and 7,H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [12] wup there? [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here is nor there. Did the consultants already get paid is everything? [15] nor there. Did the consultants already get paid is everything? [16] for this job, I mean, the original planning and everything? [17] everything? [18] MR. WUELLNER: For the engincering [19] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, my question's this whole chain of thought, and from what is the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [13] Mr. McClure was saying and Mr. Rose about individual. [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [15] are professional engineer. So [16] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an individual. [17] individual. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [18] But when he said about the insurance and individual. [19] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I think everybody [10] think I asked you on the phone already if he was	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here verything? [8] mor there. Did the consultants already get paid [9] ceverything? [10] ceverything? [11] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [13] MR. WUELLNER: - and design? Yes. [14] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [15] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [16] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [17] this whole chain of thought, and from what [18] Mr. McClure was saying and Mr. Rose about [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an individual. [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [10] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [12] this, not an individual. [13] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I think everybody [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I think everybody [15] think I asked you on the phone already if he was [16] think I asked you on the phone already if he was [17] that works for them are engineers, aren't they?	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [9] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [10] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [11] MR. WUELLNER: The jobs themselves? [12] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [13] MR. WUELLNER: — and design? Yes. [14] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [15] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [16] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [17] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [18] MR. WUELLNER: MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [19] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [10] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, my question's this whole chain of thought, and from what [13] hires the man, but obviously, it's Earth Tech. [14] And the qualifications, I guess from Earth Tech, [15] are professional engineer. So— [16] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an [17] individual. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [19] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [10] this, not an individual. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I think everybody [12] think I asked you on the phone already if he was [12] think I asked you on the phone already if he was [12] that works for them are engineers, aren't they? [23] was about ten years and he had some experience as	
[1] both 7.G. and 7.H. [2] MR. LASSITER: Second. [3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Discussion? [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I got some things here [5] to say about this. Some of it's already been [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [6] gone through, but have these jobs been approved [7] and bid yet, Ed? These two jobs, have they been [8] approved and bid yet? [8] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [9] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [10] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [13] MR. THOMPSON: Yeah. [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. That's neither here verything? [8] mor there. Did the consultants already get paid [9] ceverything? [10] ceverything? [11] MR. WUELLNER: For the engineering [12] MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. [13] MR. WUELLNER: - and design? Yes. [14] MR. WUELLNER: A long time ago. [15] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [16] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I have here who [17] this whole chain of thought, and from what [18] Mr. McClure was saying and Mr. Rose about [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm, not an individual. [19] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [10] MR. WUELLNER: You're hiring a firm to do [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Huh? [12] this, not an individual. [13] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I think everybody [14] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but I think everybody [15] think I asked you on the phone already if he was [16] think I asked you on the phone already if he was [17] that works for them are engineers, aren't they?	

	AIRFORT AUTHORIT	- 11000	01 17, 2002
Page 1	17	Page 11	8
[1]	Now, I'm not looking for the job. But why	[1]	array of there is there is a wide disparity
[2]	then wasn't the attorney worried about our	[2]	in the complexity of the projects in which are
[3]	insurance and getting in trouble with a common	[3]	involved.
[4]	guy on that job instead of a professional?	[4]	The construction of T-hangars, for example,
[5]	But all of this what I'm down to this	[5]	doesn't compare to the construction of the new
[6]	question, Mr. McClure: Is there anything in the	[6]	terminal or the control tower or other issues,
[7]	contract or anything that says, to try to save	[7]	which which are more complicated.
[8]	money to St. Johns County and Duval has a lot	[8]	And it's perhaps true that someone who has a
[9]	of retired people. A lot of them are	[9]	general construction background can do a fine job
[10]	professionals.	[10]	with some components of what it is that we do.
[11]	And I'm sure there's somebody out there	[11]	The bricks and mortar part probably isn't
[12]	retired that's a professional engineer, whether	[12]	doesn't require the level of expertise that our
[13]	it's a road engineer or construction engineer or	[13]	professional engineers might have.
[14]	whatever, that if we put an ad in the paper for a	[14]	One of the reasons, though, why we why we
[15]	couple of days because this is a part-time	[15]	entered into a master contract with an
[16]	job to hire somebody to oversee these two jobs	[16]	engineering firm, which was done on a competitive
[17]	and try to see if we can't get them cheaper than	[17]	basis, on qualifications a number of years ago,
[18]	what Earth Tech's going to give us, is there	[18]	is because if we were to go through the RFQ, the
[19]	anything that says we can't do that? That's what	[19]	
[20]	my whole dissertation is.	[20]	Request for Qualifications process every time when we have this this continual series of
[21]	MR. McCLURE: No, there's nothing that	[21]	
[22]	prevents you from doing that, but to comment on	[22]	construction projects that go on, then there's a
[23]		1 -	significant amount of Staff time and effort and
[24]	some issues as to why you might be concerned,	[23]	board time and effort in evaluating all of those
1	first op and het there when Mr. Swanson was	[24]	independently.
[25]	first engaged, but there certainly are a wide	[25]	So, what we kind of did is we said, okay,
1			
Page 11	9	Page 120	
Page 11		Page 120	
[1]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what
[1] [2]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can	[1] [2]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth;
[1] [2] [3]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't	[1] [2] [3]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same level or a high level. There might be reasons	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay? MR. CIRIELLO: No. I asked him a question.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same level or a high level. There might be reasons why the board might not wish to do that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay? MR. CIRIELLO: No. I asked him a question. I have that right as a board member.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same level or a high level. There might be reasons why the board might not wish to do that. But to answer the specific question, could	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay? MR. CIRIELLO: No. I asked him a question. I have that right as a board member. Is he saying that not putting pressure on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same level or a high level. There might be reasons why the board might not wish to do that. But to answer the specific question, could you could you bid out to anybody who had the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay? MR. CIRIELLO: No. I asked him a question. I have that right as a board member. Is he saying that not putting pressure on our staff and making it more convenient for Earth
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same level or a high level. There might be reasons why the board might not wish to do that. But to answer the specific question, could you could you bid out to anybody who had the requisite qualifications, every project based	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay? MR. CIRIELLO: No. I asked him a question. I have that right as a board member. Is he saying that not putting pressure on our staff and making it more convenient for Earth Tech to provide somebody rather than us
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	we're going to pick our our engineer that we have this contractual relationship, which we can end at some point if we feel that we aren't getting adequate service or that it's not priced right. But we're kind of authorized by that contract to be able to do this without going through the administrative and bureaucratic process of doing an RFQ, an evaluation, an award, all of that kind of stuff on every single project that we have. If you were to begin to say I want to identify these projects and begin to see whether we have retired people or whoever wants to bid, we could sure do that. But you might wish to evaluate whether or not the amount of staffing that you would require to administer that, to supervise that, the consistency of the quality of work that's done for you maintains at the same level or a high level. There might be reasons why the board might not wish to do that. But to answer the specific question, could you could you bid out to anybody who had the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22]	MR. CIRIELLO: So then, specifically, what you're saying I'm putting words in your mouth; you'll probably want to hit me for it. So, you're saying that putting extra pressure on the staff and the convenience of letting Earth Tech do all of do all this, as compared to us taking a little extra effort to maybe save ourselves some money, that the money isn't important, we've got deep pockets, we'll just keep spending like crazy and let everybody that comes in here and says they're going to give us a service CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, that's not what he said and you know it. MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I'm trying to interpret. That's the way I thought it sounded. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I tell you what; after the meeting, I'll explain it to you, okay? MR. CIRIELLO: No. I asked him a question. I have that right as a board member. Is he saying that not putting pressure on our staff and making it more convenient for Earth

		AMI ONI MOTITORITI	- 120000	1 17, 2002	_
	Page 12	1	Page 122		
	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: There's a tremendous time	[1]	that's retired and doesn't have insurance to	1
	[2]	element involved in that, too. Every time you go	[2]	cover, just what this attorney just told you, and	
	[3]	through the competitive consultants negotiation	[3]	can save a few bucks, and we get ourself in a	l
	[4]	act process, you're staring at a,	[4]	crack on this thing and we have no one to fall	ı
	[5]	process-wise, a minimum of 60 days before you	[5]	back on, and the \$5,000 or the \$30,000, and it	l
	[6]	even begin the discussion with firms relative to	[6]	costs us 300 on a project that's of the order of	ı
	[7]	contract. And you're looking at seriously 90 to	[7]	magnitude of that and this (indicating), then	
	[8]	120 days before you finalize a contract with any	[8]	that is not good business sense. That is dumb,	The second
	[9]	individual relative to providing these services.	[9]	That is absolutely putting money throwing	
	[10]	MR. CIRIELLO: There's an old saying	[10]	money in a hole that you dig yourself. And that	
	[11]	you're probably not old enough. There's an old	[11]	is not in the best interest of the people in this	
	[12]	saying that "time is money."	[12]	county.	
	[13]	And you're giving me all kind of excuses of	[13]	If you say, "I can save you \$5, but it's	ı
	[14]	why we don't need to save money, but that's fine.	[14]	going to cost you \$5,000," that is not a good	I
	[15]	I'm the only thorn up here among the rest of you	[15]	deal,	
	[16]	guys, and I'm going to say what I feel like I	[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I understand that. Let	
	[17]	want to say. And I've said it and I'm done.	[17]	me ask you a question.	ALC: CALCOLORS
	[18]	Thank you, and I'm sorry if I offended anybody.	[18]	MR. LASSITER: Well, it it doesn't sound	
	[19]	MR. LASSITER: Well, I just have a like	[19]	that way. You're coming after these people like,	
	[20]	comment. And I'm not running for reelection or	[20]	"Why don't you save any money?"	
	[21]	anything. But, Joe, I think all five of us up	[21]	Well, I think they're trying to do their job	
	[22]	here are very concerned about how money is spent.	[22]	and deliver to the public out here the best	
	[23]	The fact of the matter is we're paying money for	[23]	possible job at the least amount of money to the	l
	[24]	these people to give us the best possible.	[24]	people in this county.	l
	[25]	If you want to go out and hire somebody	[25]	I mean, we can come up here and hammer away	
	Page 123		Page 124		
	[1]	and hammer away and say, "Why did you spend	[1]	in discussions now with an insurance company	
	[2]	\$500?" But the simple fact is, we spent it to	[2]	because we did it right. And if we had cut the	
	[3]	save ourselves from getting the public interest	[3]	corner, guess who would have carried that	
	[4]	stuck on the back burner, or even worse, buried	[4]	freight, Joe?	
	[5]	in the hole. That's just	[5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I just asked a	
	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, then let me ask you a	[6]	question.	
	[7]	question then. You say if we go out and hire	[7]	MR. LASSITER: That would have been sitting	
	[8]	somebody to save a few bucks like I'm suggesting,	[8]	on this board's back right now. And that is not	
	[9]	since we hire them, whether it's temporary or	[9]	something I personally as a taxpayer want to have	
	[10]	permanent, aren't they covered by some kind of	[10]	anybody sitting on any board doing to me.	
	[11]	insurance?	[11]	I apologize.	
	[12]	MR. LASSITER: Absolutely not. They're	[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Gentlemen, we have a	ĺ
	[13]	independent contractors. That's what he's trying	[13]	motion before us to approve the recommended	ĺ
ACKING A	[14]	to tell you.	[14]	supplemental agreements, RPR for the Taxiway B	
ĺ	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: That's what I asked you a	[15]	project and the north corporate hangars,	ļ
ı	[16]	question. What are you getting hot about?	[16]	Is there any further discussion?	
МОСНОИН	[17]	MS. GREEN: Well, that's as if we had the	[17]	(No further discussion.)	
١	[18]	terminal project and we didn't have a surety	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor, say aye.	
	[19]	behind it. Where would we be without that?	[19]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	
١				CULLYDALLAY DOCT	
Ì	[20]	MR. LASSITER: Absolutely.	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye.	1
اُ	[21]	MR. LASSITER: Absolutely. MS. GREEN: And that was our big discussion,	[20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aye. MS. GREEN: Aye.	
	[21] [22]			·	
OCCUPANIES OF THE PARTY OF THE	[21] [22] [23]	MS. GREEN: And that was our big discussion,	[21]	MS. GREEN: Aye.	
ot	[21] [22] [23] [24]	MS. GREEN: And that was our big discussion, I think, on this board before.	[21] [22]	MS. GREEN: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.	
dia	[21] [22] [23]	MS. GREEN: And that was our big discussion, I think, on this board before. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's a perfect example.	[21] [22] [23] [24]	MS. GREEN: Aye, MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	

	Page 125		Page 126	5
	[1]	minute (indicating),	[1]	8.B Mr. Dennis R. Watts
	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right.	[2]	MR. WATTS: Well, that was an interesting
	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: For for the record, is he	[3]	meeting; I have to say that. But I'd like on
	[4]	for it?	[4]	a positive note, I'd like to welcome David
	[5]	MR. McCLURE: Yes. That was a yes.	[5]	Knight. It's great to have you on board, David,
	[6]	MS. GREEN: Approved?		and I'm looking forward to or I'm proud to
	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: For for the I just	[7]	have been on the board that that supported our
	[8]	want she's already got her eyes cross-eyed	[8]	director with the airport, the new tower. So,
	[9]	over there.	[9]	welcome aboard,
	[10]	MS. GREEN: It's approved.	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Mr. Lassiter?
	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: You were for it or against	[11]	8.C Mr. Charles Lassiter
	[12]	it, Joe?	[12]	MR. LASSITER: I'd like to echo that.
	[13]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I'm for it. I was for	[13]	Welcome. I don't know if you live in the area,
	[14]	it. I fell asleep, but I said reluctantly, I	[14]	but if you don't and are moving in, you're moving
	[15]	vote yes.	[15]	to one of the best areas that exist in this state
	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Ed, does that	[16]	and the southeast, so welcome.
	[17]	complete the action items?	[17]	Also, Joe, I sometimes I do get a little
	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[18]	worked up, so I do apologize if I carried on.
	[19]	8.A Mr. William "Bill" Rose	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: I do the same thing, Charlie.
i	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Authority	[20]	Don't feel bad about it.
	[21]	members, I have no comment. I'm just glad to see	[21]	MR. LASSITER: And that's it.
	[22]	our some of the candidates for the Airport	[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: I've already forgotten it.
ı	[23]	Authority here, and welcome and come back next	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Ciriello?
	[24] %	time. And we'll how about Dennis Watts? What	[24]	8.D Mr. Joseph Ciriello
	[25]	do you have?	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: No comment.
	[1		[23]	MR. CIRIELEO. NO COMMENT.
- 1	Page 127	3.004.000	Page 128	A 447 (148 A 48 0440 4814 CC) (148 A 48 0440 4814 CC)
	Page 127	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Suzanne?	Page 128	
	_		[1]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I
	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Suzanne? 8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr.	[1] [2]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could
	[1] [2]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green	[1]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar hangar tenants, the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because especially with the tower coming on
- 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because especially with the tower coming on the field now.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because — especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did MR. LASSITER: I believe I did.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because — especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some security initiatives that we may not like, and I
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did MR. LASSITER: I believe I did. MR. McCLURE: Okay. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	The helipad is no longer there, and I I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some security initiatives that we may not like, and I think if we get together and as a team on the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did MR. LASSITER: I believe I did. MR. McCLURE: Okay. Thank you. MR. COX: These are these are positive	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because — especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some security initiatives that we may not like, and I think if we get together and as a team on the airport and maybe help develop that, that we
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did MR. LASSITER: I believe I did. MR. McCLURE: Okay. Thank you. MR. COX: These are these are positive points, I hope. I discussed with Ed earlier	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because — especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some security initiatives that we may not like, and I think if we get together and as a team on the airport and maybe help develop that, that we won't have to come under the thumb of big brother
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did MR. LASSITER: I believe I did. MR. McCLURE: Okay. Thank you. MR. COX: These are these are positive points, I hope. I discussed with Ed earlier today a situation I ran into last week, and it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because — especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some security initiatives that we may not like, and I think if we get together and as a team on the airport and maybe help develop that, that we won't have to come under the thumb of big brother so much. Those are the only points I'd like to
- Diministration of Act	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	8.E Mrs. Suzanne W. Green MS. GREEN: Just a welcome to you, Mr. Knight, and your staff that I hope we didn't run off, but they they had to leave earlier. So, tell them, please. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. No other comment? 9 Public Comment MR. COX: Mr. Rose, very quickly. Do you mind if I just do it from here, or do you want me up at the microphone? MR. McCLURE: While he's going, I wanted to ask a quick question, and that is that, just for the record, we had a couple of inquiries. We had on the record Mr. Watts' motion as to item 7.G. and H., but I didn't recall noting in my notes who seconded the motion. Did MR. LASSITER: I believe I did. MR. McCLURE: Okay. Thank you. MR. COX: These are these are positive points, I hope. I discussed with Ed earlier today a situation I ran into last week, and it was a situation where we had a helicopter land	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	The helipad is no longer there, and I — I would like to suggest to the board if we could make some type of recommendation to — to make sure that we have a helipad spot that's removed from that ramp somewhere so that we don't — the airport doesn't come into a situation where we're under a negligence lawsuit or whatever. CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's well taken. MR. COX: And, also, I'd like to suggest that we develop a security consortia of tenants on the airport, hangar — hangar tenants, the SAPA organization, and the airport director's office to get together, maybe informally or something, to discuss security situation, because — especially with the tower coming on the field now. TSA's new agenda is going to drive some security initiatives that we may not like, and I think if we get together and as a team on the airport and maybe help develop that, that we won't have to come under the thumb of big brother so much. Those are the only points I'd like to point out.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY - AUGUST 19, 2002

I -		
Pag	e 129	Page 130
[1]	10 Next Regular Board Meeting	[1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. The meeting is
[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Our next meeting will	[2] adjourned.
[3]	be on September 16th	[3] (Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 6:14 p.m.)
[4]	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's the 9th.	[4]
[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: at 4 o'clock.	[5]
[6]	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry.	[6]
[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute. We've got	[7]
[8]	MR. LASSITER: Budget meeting.	[8]
[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We've got a budget hearing	[9]
[10]	on	[10]
[11]	MR. WUELLNER: The 9th. Monday, the 9th.	[11]
[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Monday, the 9th of	[12]
[13]	September,	[13]
[14]	MR. WUELLNER: 5:01 right here.	[14]
[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's right.	[15]
[16]	MR. WUELLNER: That'll be the first budget	[16]
[17]	hearing.	[17]
[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: And that's that meeting	[18]
[19]	will be the only discussion will be budget	[19]
[20]	items.	[20]
[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. And your regular	[21]
[22]	meeting will be the 16th, at 4:00, and we will	[22]
[23]	interrupt it at 5:01 for the public hearing in	[23]
[24]	the event we're not finished, and we'll reconvene	[24]
[25]	the regular meeting after that public hearing.	[25]
Page	131	
Page	131 REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE	
-		
[1]		
[1] [2]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA)	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA)	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006 Tanet M. Beason Commission # DD102224 Repires April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary/Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006 Tanet M. Beason Commission # DD102224 Repires April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006 Tanet M. Beason Commission # DD102224 Repires April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006 Tanet M. Beason Commission # DD102224 Repires April 30, 2006	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 4th day of September, 2002. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224 Expires: April 30, 2006 Tanet M. Beason Commission # DD102224 Repires April 30, 2006	

Alliance 46/9, 46/22 allocated 96/8 allow 40/24 allows 41/8, 41/10 alternatives 62/23 amended 5/17 amount 29/19, 41/17, 41/21, 54/16, 80/17, 89/10, 94/11, 102/21, 111/24, 118/22, 119/15, 122/23 amounts 69/9 AMTRAK 51/17, 51/18, 55/21, 59/2, 59/6, 59/9, 59/16, 59/21, 59/25, 60/7, 60/17, 60/25, 64/2, 71/6, 81/14 AMTRAK's 51/10 announcements 3/4 annual 21/12, 23/23 annually 22/9 answer 25/24, 58/5, 80/2, 81/2, 99/24, 100/1, 119/21 answered 10/25, 32/25, 33/1, 70/8 answers 77/25, 80/5, 81/4 anticipate 44/11, 97/1 anticipated 45/11 apologize 124/11, 126/18 applicable 23/11 application 49/10, 72/22, 73/3, 73/5, 73/6, 75/8, 75/13, 75/21, 75/24, 76/2, 76/11, 77/12, 78/18, 80/7, 84/18, 84/24, 85/4, 87/15 applications 49/19 applications 49/19 applied 23/12 apply 27/5, 84/2, 84/5, 85/1, 113/1 appointment 60/22 appreciate 32/10, 33/17, 58/8, 70/23 apprised 26/14 appropriate 50/18, 55/11, 55/13, 57/2, 72/17, 87/17, 97/10 APPROVAL 2/4, 2/6, 4/8, 7/5, 15/10 approve 93/7, 114/19, 114/21, 114/25, 124/13 approved 5/17, 43/17, 67/24, 86/22, 115/6, 115/8, 125/6, 125/10 125/16, 125/10
Araquay 90/15
arduous 15/4
area 13/1, 19/21, 25/5, 46/15, 76/21, 81/12, 126/13
areas 70/7, 71/18, 77/18, 81/23, 85/25, 126/15 armed 41/12 arrangements 23/2, 39/13, 42/18 array 118/1 arrive 57/2, 57/13 articles 62/11 asleep 124/25, 125/14 aspects 106/13, 112/9 assessment 16/8 Assistant 1/17, 108/10 associated 9/18 Association 9/6, 9/7, 13/25, 14/1 assure 113/11 attempt 47/25, 51/8 attempted 20/12, 21/19 attending 58/21 attention 3/14, 46/17, 52/15 attested 89/7 attesting 89/6 attitude 54/20 Attorney 1/15, 2/10, 9/11, 62/1, 107/2, 110/15, 110/18, 117/2, 122/2 attorneys 110/12 audience 51/4, 58/9 August 1/5, 8/14, 48/8 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/15, 1/21, 1/22, 2/9, 9/6, 52/21, 58/17, 59/10, 59/18, 59/22, 60/1, 60/9, 67/17, 83/4, 85/21, 104/1 Austin 15/14 AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/15, 2/17, 3/3, 3/6, 3/16, 9/11, 14/3, 16/14, 23/7, 24/5, 24/6, 24/8, 24/11, 24/14, 39/9, 43/19, 44/24, 48/9, 48/14, 49/3, 50/14, 53/3, 53/7, 53/15, 53/20, 56/16, 58/20, 59/6, 67/3, 67/25, 75/4, 78/13, 83/5, 87/1, 90/7, 90/21, 92/18, 104/6, 104/8, 104/10, 104/14, 104/24, 105/3, 105/8, 105/20, 106/7, 106/13, 107/21, 108/9, 110/24, 111/2, 125/20, 125/23 Authority's 45/15, 49/9 authorization 88/7 authorize 53/19, 89/5, 89/8, 106/5 authorized 15/13, 50/12, 86/8, 110/1, 111/1, 119/5, 131/7 automatic 22/9 automatically 22/13 available 20/15, 21/5, 29/20, 30/9, 49/24, 64/13, 69/25, 80/1, 80/6, 80/19, 101/18, 109/23 Avenue 91/9

aviation 43/23, 90/25 await 94/7 awaiting 15/12 award 11/7, 102/23, 103/3, 119/8 awarded 11/23, 84/19 aye 18/23, 18/24, 18/25, 19/1, 19/2, 19/3, 45/18, 45/19, 45/20, 45/21, 45/22, 45/24, 93/17, 93/18, 93/19, 93/20, 93/21, 95/6, 95/7, 95/8, 95/9, 95/10, 124/18, 124/19, 124/20, 124/21, 124/22, 124/24, 124/25

В

background 15/19, 118/9 backgrounds 112/21 backup 31/23 backyard 57/8 bad 11/16, 11/20, 66/15, 126/20 Bailey 1/14 bailout 48/11 bailouts 55/23 bait 75/10 balance 13/13, 16/23, 49/22, 96/6, 96/9 balances 16/18 ball 81/2 bandwagon 67/22 bankrupt 55/22 base 13/3 based 16/12, 34/4, 49/6, 72/8, 78/10, 95/17, 119/23 basis 23/23, 55/3, 118/17 Beach 85/21 bear 111/7 bears 111/2 BEASON 131/6 Beg 71/25 belabor 39/17 belabored 41/15 benefit 33/20, 43/24, 67/6, 67/22, 82/12, 86/19 bid 10/25, 11/3, 11/4, 11/7, 11/9, 115/7, 115/8, 119/13, 119/22 bidder 11/8 bids 11/4, 62/8 big 65/13, 66/23, 92/6, 123/21, 128/21 bigger 67/12 BILL 1/9, 2/18, 125/19 bit 66/2 Bloodworth 9/13 blue 30/21 Blvd 1/21 BOARD 1/8, 2/22, 15/21, 17/11, 24/20, 26/14, 39/16, 44/13, 53/25, 54/5, 55/7, 56/17, 58/20, 58/23, 59/6, 61/12, 61/17, 61/25, 62/1, 62/2, 62/17, 62/21, 63/9, 63/15, 63/20, 65/25, 68/4, 68/10, 68/24, 69/5, 71/12, 71/16, 71/22, 82/11, 83/5, 88/12, 89/18, 90/6, 94/22, 97/14, 110/13, 118/23, 119/20, 120/20, 123/22, 124/10, 126/5, 126/7, 128/2, 129/1 board's 16/12, 124/8 boards 79/13 boat 27/17, 27/24, 28/14, 33/12, 38/15, 38/17 boats 28/7 Bob 3/24, 3/25, 63/16 body 53/15 bonding 10/8 bothersome 43/7 bottom 27/4 bought 91/8 bounty 69/7 bow 62/18 box 19/11, 19/20, 22/25 boy 25/22 bread 90/17, 91/3 breaking 38/9 bricks 118/11 brief 51/20, 66/1 bring 42/8, 64/14, 64/15, 66/10, 69/23, 77/15, 96/16 bringing 41/6, 102/5 brings 54/17 broader 49/6 brother 29/1, 128/21 brother's 29/3 brought 63/18, 63/22, 101/9 ERYAN 1/17, 8/16, 13/16, 39/1 Bryant 2/8, 8/5 bucks 122/3, 123/8 Budget 2/14, 49/24, 89/3, 89/24, 91/6, 93/8, 95/14, 96/5, 96/16, 96/20, 96/21, 97/4, 97/17, 97/20, 98/2, 98/22, 99/9, 99/12, 103/13, 108/24, 129/8, 129/9, 129/16, 129/19

build 52/1, 52/8, 64/17, 65/1, 68/5, 80/23, 81/4, 84/13, 92/14
building 10/15, 91/23
buildings 9/23
built 55/24, 57/7, 91/17
bull 67/4
bureaucratic 119/7
buried 123/4
burner
bus 81/19, 81/20, 83/13
business 4/10, 13/12, 20/14, 31/22, 64/15, 87/2, 122/8
businessman 25/2, 25/4
businessman 25/2, 25/4
busy 65/14, 81/24
buy 36/9, 44/16, 91/11, 92/19, 99/1
buying 90/8, 90/13, 91/7
buys 30/23

C

calendar 49/16

call 3/2, 25/3, 25/14, 79/10, 79/19, 85/6 calls 17/20, 26/5 came 10/16, 13/9, 13/23, 21/16, 29/2, 45/14, 52/14, 81/10 campaign 91/13 candidate 3/21, 13/22, 13/24, 14/3, 58/19, 83/4 candidates 3/17, 4/2, 63/2, 125/22 Capital 46/9, 46/22 car 27/24, 28/2, 28/13, 33/12, 38/6, 38/15, 38/18, 42/7, 82/1 care 25/25 careful 55/16 carried 19/6, 45/25, 93/24, 124/3, 126/18 carries 77/18, 95/13, 102/17 carry 47/22, 96/14 cars 27/17, 28/6 Cart 67/24 case 23/4, 23/18, 42/19, 80/22, 84/10, 84/11 causes 49/1 causing 42/14 center 46/12, 49/12 CERTIFICATE 131/1 certify 102/4, 131/6 chain 116/12 Chair 110/17 Chair 11017

Chairman 1/9, 2/18, 3/2, 3/25, 4/2, 4/4, 4/9, 4/15, 4/18, 4/24, 5/3, 5/7, 5/9, 5/13, 5/15, 5/25, 6/3, 6/20, 6/24, 7/2, 7/6, 7/13, 7/16, 7/18, 7/23, 8/1, 8/6, 8/9, 9/1, 9/3, 9/5, 9/7, 9/9, 9/12, 13/20, 13/22, 14/2, 14/5, 14/7, 14/10, 14/14, 14/16, 14/25, 15/24, 16/1, 16/4, 17/8, 17/11, 18/2, 18/4, 18/8, 18/10, 18/22, 18/25, 19/4, 19/6, 19/13, 24/17, 24/20, 31/18, 33/22, 33/24, 34/1, 34/5, 34/19, 38/23, 39/2, 39/7, 39/12, 39/15, 39/20, 39/24, 40/2, 40/5, 40/9, 40/12, 40/14, 40/20, 45/17, 45/20, 45/23, 45/25, 46/2, 46/4, 50/19, 50/22, 51/2, 51/9, 51/14, 51/22, 52/4, 52/9, 52/18, 52/22, 58/7, 58/14, 59/14, 59/24, 61/8, 61/10, 61/17, 61/22, 63/13, 65/22, 65/24, 66/18, 68/22, 70/13, 71/21, 71/25, 72/4, 72/7, 72/12, 73/1, 73/5, 73/9, 73/13, 73/17, 73/19, 73/22, 74/4, 74/8, 74/12, 74/16, 74/22, 75/16, 75/20, 81/6, 82/25, 83/19, 83/22, 85/3, 85/11, 85/15, 86/20, 87/12, 88/2, 88/5, 88/11, 88/16, 89/16, 89/18, 92/21, 93/13, 93/15, 93/17, 93/19, 93/22, 93/24, 94/13, 94/16, 94/20, 94/22, 94/24, 95/1, 95/3, 95/5, 95/7, 95/11, 95/13, 96/22, 97/4, 97/7, 97/10, 97/14, 100/17, 100/20, 100/23, 101/2, 102/25, 103/5, 103/8, 103/23, 106/22, 106/25, 107/9, 109/4, 110/19, 113/3, 113/8, 113/11, 113/20, 114/6, 114/18, 115/3, 115/25, 120/13, 120/17, 123/23, 124/12, 124/18, 124/20, 124/23, 125/2, 125/16, 125/20, 126/10, 126/23, 127/1, 127/7, 128/8, 128/24, 129/2, 129/5, 129/7, 129/9, 129/12, 129/15, 129/18, 130/1 Chairman's 89/5 challenges 11/8 chance 47/4, 47/10, 52/10 change 5/14, 22/2, 23/20, 34/18, 37/13, 39/3

changed 23/18 changes 7/7, 34/5, 39/23, 40/17 changing 23/20, 76/8

character 34/8

Charlie 126/19

charge 38/7, 54/25 CHARLES 1/9, 70/21

cheaper 117/17, 120/25

checked 101/18, 102/7

check 27/23, 28/9, 112/21

chew 30/2 choose 63/20, 63/21 Christine 97/22 chunk 91/5 CIRIELLO 1/10, 2/20, 126/24 cite 53/18 cities 87/22 citizen 57/2, 107/6 city 72/16, 78/17, 79/20, 85/19 City's 67/17 civil 112/12 clarification 12/5, 21/14 clause 48/11 clauses 23/10, 23/11 cleanup 94/8 clear 30/21, 47/13, 70/8, 72/5, 86/8 clearly 101/20 clerk 111/19 clients 55/6, 69/21 clips 99/2 close 13/12, 17/19, 30/5, 43/2, 65/7, 100/7 clout 47/22 code 10/15 collecting 6/8 collective 72/1 color 71/9 combine 82/3 combined 68/7 COMMENT 2/21, 5/25, 6/5, 9/15, 14/12, 14/14, 17/8, 17/10, 17/11, 18/3, 24/17, 24/19, 31/22, 32/24, 52/11, 65/24, 74/18, 74/21, 89/16, 89/17, 89/18, 94/20, 94/21, 94/22, 97/12, 97/13, 98/4, 103/23, 1 107/9, 112/18, 117/22, 121/20, 125/21, 126/25, 127/7, 127/8 comments 5/15, 7/7, 12/11, 12/13, 52/17, 58/8, 58/9, 63/14, 66/20, 71/22, 71/23, 72/20, 76/10, 76/12 commercial 90/25, 91/1 Commission 8/5, 53/8, 62/20, 71/14, 86/9 Commissioner 2/8, 52/12, 52/18, 52/20, 52/23, 57/4, 58/8, 69/16, 70/23, 74/20, 74/23, 85/9, 85/16, Commissioners 56/17, 67/9, 67/11, 68/7, 91/16 commitment 50/13, 50/14 committee 47/2, 47/9, 47/15, 49/20, 50/6, 79/16 committing 50/16 common 17/22, 35/4, 64/20, 83/9, 83/11, 116/1, common-sense 105/23, 107/6 communication 60/14 community 86/3, 87/3 community's 69/6 companies 13/4 company 10/8, 13/5, 46/23, 62/4, 112/7, 124/1 comparable 113/5 compare 111/15, 118/5 compared 120/7 compatriots 14/23 competitive 53/25, 54/22, 118/16, 121/3 complain 27/21, 38/14 complaining 91/10 complete 10/9, 11/14, 83/1, 125/17 completing 9/22 completion 12/1 complexity 118/2 complicated 118/7 comply 10/15 component 112/10, 112/11, 112/12 components 118/10 composition 16/16 concentrate 81/11 concept 56/3 concern 52/23, 78/24, 96/19, 97/1, 107/18 concerned 9/16, 44/8, 44/10, 92/4, 113/1, 117/23, 121/22 concise 50/19 concludes 13/19 concur 102/23 condition 24/5 conditions 22/10 conduct 42/19 confirm 23/24, 23/25 confirmation 101/15 connection 106/21 connections 83/13, 83/14, 83/15 consensus 77/4 consequence 111/3 consequences 56/13, 57/17, 57/18 consideration 36/16, 49/21 consistency 119/17

consistent 4/21, 4/23, 5/11, 5/12, 16/11, 23/6, 44/23, 94/3 consortia 128/10 constitute 38/19 constraint 11/17 construction 9/17, 11/16, 33/8, 102/19, 106/15, 117/13, 118/4, 118/5, 118/9, 118/21 construed 42/9 consultant 55/5, 98/25, 102/29, 104/5, 111/5, 111/7, 111/15, 112/2 consultants 116/5, 121/3 consultation 9/20, 56/20 consulting 55/1 contact 21/19, 31/25, 32/16, 59/5, 59/16, 59/21, 59/25, 60/2, 62/2, 85/6 contacted 20/13, 20/20, 21/2 contacts 30/15, 70/17 context 105/16 continual 118/20 continue 20/23, 23/2, 48/10, 92/11 continued 88/23 continues 5/10, 9/16, 16/24 continuing 46/18, 84/9 contract 6/13, 6/18, 11/23, 31/23, 48/3, 48/10, 48/15, 48/17, 73/2, 94/11, 104/14, 113/3, 113/9, 113/18, 113/20, 113/23, 117/7, 118/15, 119/6, 121/7, contractor 11/12, 94/4, 114/13 contractor's 106/9, 105/16 contractors 10/14, 11/2, 11/22, 106/8, 106/9, 123/13 123/13
contracts 66/5, 111/21, 113/16, 116/14
contractual 103/19, 106/5, 119/2
contractually 102/16
control 15/11, 41/21, 106/20, 118/6
controller's 15/6
controller's 15/16, 15/18, 15/21
controversy 57/14
convenience 81/19, 82/23, 83/15, 83/16, 120/5
convenient 120/22
conversions 102/3 conversations 102/3 converted 62/16 convinced 82/11, 82/18, 82/24 COOPER 1/17 cooperation 74/24 coordinate 71/13, 71/18, 85/19 coordinated 72/16 coordination 87/16 coordinator 75/1 copy 6/21, 95/16, 105/7 corner 124/3 Corporate 7/21, 12/22, 12/24, 114/16, 124/15 Correct 6/17, 18/17, 26/24, 27/2, 34/3, 34/7, 73/8, 73/16, 73/18, 74/7, 104/17, 105/4, 105/10, 129/21 corrections 4/13 correctly 104/2 corroded 10/4 cost 48/21, 75/23, 75/25, 84/3, 104/7, 104/16, 104/23, 114/10, 122/14 costs 122/6 count 66/2 counts 99/13 COUNTY 1/1, 2/8, 8/5, 8/7, 53/7, 56/8, 56/17, 57/4, 57/5, 62/19, 64/9, 66/13, 67/9, 69/8, 71/13, 72/16, 74/24, 75/22, 78/17, 79/20, 85/6, 86/9, 87/17, 87/22, 92/1, 117/8, 122/12, 122/24, 131/4 couple 3/4, 10/13, 15/8, 15/22, 17/19, 50/23, 77/1, 94/7, 94/8, 102/10, 108/13, 117/15, 127/14 course 25/18, 48/18, 89/13 Court 1/21, 131/1 cover 28/2, 38/7, 112/16, 122/2 covered 38/24, 123/10 Cox 3/24, 3/25, 63/16 crack 122/4 crazy 120/10 Creek 52/21 criteria 10/24, 44/15 cross-eyed 125/8 crossed 78/1 CRR 131/6 crystal 81/2 cured 44/11 currently 89/2 curtain 9/25 cut 42/13, 98/7, 98/21, 99/16, 99/18, 124/2 cute 82/21 cuts 46/14, 47/14, 80/10, 100/13 cycle 46/8, 49/7

D D.C 54/3, 66/4 darn 82/20 date 22/9, 24/10, 101/11 dates 8/23, 102/9 David 14/20, 14/21, 14/23, 15/6, 126/4, 126/5 day 26/3, 30/24, 56/1, 82/7 days 11/7, 11/11, 16/22, 20/14, 21/8, 21/19, 22/13, 23/19, 25/6, 25/8, 25/10, 25/13, 30/9, 34/7, 102/8, 117/15, 121/5, 121/8 days' 44/5, 45/1 Daytona 91/20 de 1/21 deadlines 73/7 deal 21/23, 45/8, 54/25, 122/15 dealing 6/6, 6/7, 10/3 deals 16/21 dealt 29/13, 37/23, 101/8 debate 66/22 deceitful 37/6 decide 30/22, 51/19 decision 35/24, 52/25, 53/3, 53/9, 53/13, 55/10, 57/1, 57/13, 84/12 decision's 83/20 decision-making 54/21 decisions 46/19 declare 5/16, 41/8, 41/10 dcep 120/9 deeper 70/2 degree 86/2, 86/3, 112/25 delegations 86/15 deliver 69/9, 122/22 delivers 69/8 DENNIS 1/10, 70/13, 125/24 density 56/8 department 85/8 depends 56/7 derived 41/16 design 81/5, 116/10 desirable 53/25, 56/4 desire 20/15, 21/4 desires 24/6 detail 66/16 detailed 33/15, 66/8 details 41/22, 42/10 develop 128/10, 128/20 developed 20/9 Development 2/16, 7/22, 114/17 deviation 106/5 dictate 83/12 dictating 92/3 die 74/2 dies 74/7 difference 107/12, 111/13, 111/17, 111/23 difficult 41/3, 86/25 dig 122/10 direct 106/12 direction 16/12, 19/24, 71/24, 72/2, 74/10, 74/13, 83/2, 83/25, 86/23, 88/4, 90/18 directions 106/15, 106/19 Director 1/16, 1/17, 29/5, 55/12, 68/8, 74/11, 87/15, 126/8 director's 128/12 dis 81/22 disadvantage 50/23 disappointed 47/18, 98/6 disapprove 114/21 disciplines 112/7, 112/16 discuss 67/10, 128/14 discuss 6//10, 128/14 discussed 8/3, 95/19, 127/21 discussion 7/3, 18/10, 18/19, 18/23, 19/21, 39/16, 39/20, 40/22, 51/11, 53/9, 57/1, 65/25, 72/8, 79/23, 80/21, 83/1, 93/15, 93/16, 95/3, 95/4, 115/3, 121/6, 123/21, 124/16, 124/17, 129/19 discussions 20/1, 46/9, 95/17, 124/1 disparage 96/12 disparity 96/11, 118/1 dissertation 92/6, 117/20 dissolved 51/7 distributed 4/12 District 12/19, 47/17, 80/14 districts 47/17 disturbs 42/1 disunited 86/5 DME 102/7 document 7/12, 96/4, 108/7 documents 16/21, 101/13, 106/5, 106/17 doesn't 27/19, 27/25, 31/13, 34/11, 34/17, 34/18,

34/19, 34/25, 35/12, 35/13, 36/23, 44/14, 45/10, 45/11, 71/15, 74/2, 100/17, 107/20, 108/8, 112/24, 112/25, 118/5, 118/12, 122/1, 122/18, 128/6 dollar 111/23, 111/24 dollars 48/16, 99/4, 99/22, 104/9, 108/13, 111/16, door 27/22 DOT 47/17, 50/16, 51/18, 89/1, 89/11 dotted 78/1 downtown 81/20 draft 12/8, 16/6, 18/7, 19/10 drafted 40/8 drain 66/17 drawing 81/10 draws 111/1 Drive 52/21, 128/17 driving 56/21 dropout 113/1 dumb 122/8 During 15/7, 22/12, 48/10, 48/23, 50/10, 80/6 Duval 117/8

E

e-mail 13/9 Earth 102/20, 102/24, 103/3, 112/6, 115/13, 115/14, 117/18, 120/6, 120/22 easily 62/15 east 92/8 easy 5/6, 98/5, 98/11, 100/3 echo 126/12 economic 58/2 Ed 6/6, 8/15, 16/4, 17/14, 19/7, 68/25, 69/22, 70/15, 76/10, 89/21, 94/13, 100/2, 103/12, 115/7, 125/16, 127/21 Ed's 12/9 EDWARD 1/16 effect 10/16, 22/1, 26/20, 26/25, 50/9 effective 15/1, 24/10 effort 47/7, 47/19, 52/3, 56/14, 79/20, 86/18, 88/24, 118/22, 118/23, 120/7 efforts 16/8, 53/22, 87/10 eight 35/5, 78/2, 94/18 eightball 82/23 elaborate 85/17 elact 48/14 elected 55/8 element 101/15, 110/20, 121/2 elephant 91/20 eleven 81/21, 110/4 emphasis 46/18 emphasize 45/3 employee 104/3 employees 110/4 employment 113/16 empty 26/7, 34/13, 35/20, 36/17, 44/17 encourage 44/3, 45/13 encouraging 37/6 encumbrances 12/17, 13/17 end 13/13, 25/8, 25/14, 28/24, 41/1, 43/14, 91/19, 102/5, 119/3 ended 5/22, 6/23, 53/8 ending 5/22 engage 49/11, 53/20, 112/14 engaged 117/25 engineer 110/21, 112/15, 112/19, 112/24, 113/19, 114/13, 115/15, 116/22, 117/12, 117/13, 119/1 engineering 112/12, 113/5, 113/12, 116/8, 118/16 engineers 12/16, 109/5, 109/10, 115/22, 118/13 engineers' 9/19 enhance 43/24 entails 30/7 enter 45/6, 113/17 entered 56/25, 118/15 entertain 18/4, 40/5, 73/22 enthusiasm 67/17 entities 77/5, 77/14 equaled 111/20 equipment 33/3, 39/7 error 111/3, 111/6 Esquire 1/14 established 20/23 estimate 11/15 evaluate 119/15 evaluating 118/23 evaluation 119/8 event 17/23, 20/25, 48/12, 79/5, 129/24 evicted 16/25, 17/15, 17/24 eviction 16/8, 16/19, 16/22, 17/2

evictions 17/6 evolved 81/13 exaggeration 63/10 exceed 23/4, 30/16, 106/6 exceeded 30/14 exception 22/25, 44/7 exceptions 22/11 excess 22/5 exchange 12/18 excited 84/14 excuse 22/13, 52/9, 102/25, 103/9 excuses 121/13 execute 50/15, 84/20 executed 101/14 execution 89/8 Executive 1/16, 55/12 exist 23/3, 126/15 existence 62/4 existing 10/22, 21/23, 21/24, 55/22 expand 51/10, 90/17, 90/23 expanded 92/16 expands 91/1 expansion 63/3, 91/22 expect 11/6 expenditure 62/9 expenditures 95/22, 95/24, 96/1 expense 98/20 expensive 38/5 experience 15/19, 54/12, 66/2, 116/23 expertise 118/12 explanation 50/20 explicit 42/24 expressed 53/14, 70/22 extension 12/20 eyes 125/8

F

FAA 70/18 FAA's 102/2 face 57/18 facilities 92/11 Facility 2/13, 2/14, 10/10, 19/8, 46/5, 56/9, 57/7, 94/1, 94/4, 94/8
facility's 94/13
fact 9/23, 10/21, 29/22, 32/22, 41/16, 44/12, 45/9, 51/19, 63/19, 64/20, 121/23, 123/2 factor 65/16 fail 20/18 failed 21/15 Failing 21/7 fails 20/25, 21/18 fair 4/23, 5/12, 29/18, 57/16 fall 49/22, 122/4 falls 32/6 fan 65/13 fancy 28/1, 75/1 fantastic 70/19 favor 18/23, 45/18, 93/17, 95/5, 124/18 fax 13/11 FDOT 70/18 federal 55/23, 102/17 fee 16/15, 16/17 fees 23/8, 95/24 Fell 124/25, 125/14 fellow 68/24 field 55/1, 128/16 fight 69/18 fighting 91/16 figure 70/10, 104/12 file 75/7, 75/8, 75/13 filed 76/18 fill 76/21 finalize 121/8 FINANCIAL 2/5, 5/18, 5/19, 5/21, 6/1, 6/22, 58/2, 111/3 financially 67/7, 98/19, 110/22, 111/5, 111/9 find 4/14, 25/6, 27/23, 44/21, 68/12, 112/13 finding 4/25, 44/25 fine 38/10, 65/14, 72/24, 118/9, 121/14 fines 6/7 finished 129/24 firm 46/21, 48/21, 49/3, 110/23, 113/4, 115/16, 115/19, 118/16 firms 121/6 first-class 21/2 fiscal 89/3 fit 51/10

five 61/25, 64/7, 65/8, 121/21 fixed 9/21, 11/18 FL 1/15, 1/22 flag 4/6 flagged 4/16 flat 16/17 flawed 53/24 flight 8/14, 101/18 Florida 1/4, 47/3, 53/19, 58/17, 64/18, 83/4, 88/22, 89/1, 89/10, 89/15, 104/1, 131/3 flow 41/1 110w 41/1 fly 65/12, 82/10 folks 3/7, 3/12, 29/16 follow 20/5, 42/4, 85/5, 89/6 follow-on 11/12 followed 55/17 food 96/24 force 56/21, 116/24 forfeit 21/10 forgotten 126/22 form 43/17, 76/8, 98/10 formal 50/14, 53/3 formally 22/19 format 20/4 forum 63/2 found 30/3 four 31/25, 127/25 frame 11/21, 32/19 freight 124/4 frequencies 15/12 Friday 11/5, 11/11 front 11/3, 47/2, 47/8, 77/8, 85/22, 105/24, 109/2 fruitless 63/10 frutation 84/11 Fuel 93/25 fulfill 110/9 full-time 74/25, 102/18 fund 77/22, 93/5 funded 47/11, 89/1, 103/21 funding 47/5, 51/23, 53/11, 53/23, 60/25, 69/1, 73/4, 76/19, 77/16, 77/20, 79/10, 92/24, 93/11 funds 62/9, 69/24 furniture 33/13 future 5//19, 64/16, 65/20, 81/3

G garnering 79/19 gathering 84/10 Gay 1/15 Gee 64/6, 71/3 gentleman 25/1, 40/24 gentlemen 52/13, 58/16, 70/22, 83/2, 124/12 GEORGE 1/14, 2/9, 9/12, 13/20, 13/23, 13/24, 14/2 glad 16/1, 125/21 goal 96/1 God 84/18 governing 77/6 government 43/1, 57/5, 85/20 governmental 77/18, 78/16 governments 42/23 Governor 50/11, 51/7 grabbing 67/4 grace 84/18 grade 26/22 grade-school 113/1 grand-scale 59/17, 59/22, 60/1, 60/8 grandfathered 10/21 grandose 62/11 grant 50/13, 50/15, 50/16, 86/21, 89/11, 101/13, 104/21 grass-strip 65/9 gray 70/25 greater 20/14 GREEN 1/11, 2/20, 127/2 Greyhound 81/21 ground 102/10 Group 46/9, 46/22 grow 66/13, 66/23, 91/15, 91/19 growing 64/9, 66/13 growth 91/15, 91/16, 92/5 Grumman 2/9 guarantee 35/8, 61/4 guess 11/10, 53/6, 72/21, 74/5, 104/10, 115/14, 124/3 guy 25/10, 25/22, 25/23, 27/14, 27/21, 27/25, 28/23, 29/7, 34/21, 35/8, 35/21, 35/25, 36/15, 36/18, 36/19, 37/3, 37/18, 38/15, 41/11, 44/15, 105/23,

117/4 guy's 37/19, 38/9 guys 25/13, 25/17, 26/11, 26/21, 26/22, 38/2, 67/13, 76/16, 77/8, 121/16

H

half 28/17, 44/16, 104/22, 104/25, 123/25 hammer 122/25, 123/1 hand 3/13, 58/12 handed 103/1, 103/10 handle 40/25 handling 19/22 hands 102/2 Hangar 2/16, 7/21, 17/1, 19/22, 20/16, 20/21, 21/4, 21/5, 21/9, 21/20, 21/24, 22/4, 22/24, 22/25, 23/5, 23/24, 24/23, 25/16, 26/7, 26/21, 27/17, 27/22, 28/3, 28/6, 28/9, 28/18, 28/24, 29/4, 29/6, 29/17, 30/13, 33/10, 34/2, 34/13, 34/17, 34/21, 34/23, 35/2, 35/8, 35/13, 35/22, 36/2, 36/10, 36/13, 36/17, 36/20, 36/23, 37/9, 37/21, 38/1, 38/3, 38/7, 38/18, 39/8, 30/25, 5/75, 5/721, 50/25, 5/721, 5/721, 5/722 hanger 26/23 hanger 26/25 hanging 78/20 happy 26/9, 31/8, 58/5, 96/19 hard 43/7 harm 84/12 Hastings 85/21 heads 38/14 healthy 79/22 hearings 97/18 held 1/3, 8/13 helicopter 127/23 helipad 128/1, 128/4 help 46/21, 64/14, 64/23, 65/1, 67/15, 67/17, 67/18, 75/9, 75/14, 128/20 helpful 87/10 helping 28/3 high 80/18, 119/19 high-speed 51/8 high-wing 38/4 higher 32/13, 96/8 hire 64/21, 70/3, 75/6, 75/12, 104/3, 109/7, 110/24, 112/13, 117/16, 121/25, 123/7, 123/9 hires 115/13 hiring 52/24, 53/11, 54/12, 54/25, 64/23, 115/16, 115/19 historically 31/4 history 46/25 hit 120/3 hold 127/25 holding 101/25 hole 122/10, 123/5 home 54/17 homes 65/5, 65/6, 91/11, 92/19 homework 72/18 honest 42/17 hope 11/9, 13/18, 75/12, 75/14, 127/4, 127/21 horns 67/4 horse 67/25 horsepower 49/11, 65/18 host 8/23 hot 123/16 hours 21/1, 26/5, 29/13, 30/15, 42/7, 60/15, 66/9 household 33/13 housekeeping 94/7 houses 91/17, 92/14, 98/8 hundred 108/12 hurt 98/19

I

I's 78/1
i.e 20/9, 21/24
idea 56/5, 57/25, 64/22, 66/12, 66/15, 81/11,
90/21, 92/20, 112/2
identified 45/8, 47/16, 48/18, 111/12
identify 31/4, 90/2, 119/12
identifying 9/20, 30/10, 44/10
identity 11/12
ILS 101/17
imagine 86/10
immediate 87/19
implement 43/8
implies 53/12

hyphens 4/20, 5/8

importance 60/12 impressive 86/4 improperly 111/1 improved 10/5 in-house 102/15, 110/24, 111/10, 111/14, 111/25, 112/13 inadequate 56/9, 63/24 inch 37/25 incorporate 12/4 incorporated 16/29 increase 10/17 indemnification 24/8 independent 51/15, 110/21, 111/4, 111/14, 112/2, 112/14, 123/13 independently 118/24 indicated 12/9, 44/9, 78/14 indicates 17/4 indicating 122/7, 125/1 informally 22/20, 128/13 information 13/3, 13/4, 68/12, 68/18, 84/10, 88/14, 96/18, 96/23, 97/11, 100/20 informational 96/24 initial 22/16, 47/14 initiatives 128/18 inquiries 127/14 inspect 39/10, 110/7 inspection 12/4, 23/23, 102/10, 102/18 inspections 110/23, 110/25, 112/17 instruct 87/14 instructed 69/1 instructions 12/3, 12/14, 45/12, 45/15 Insurance 24/1, 111/25, 116/18, 117/3, 122/1, 123/11, 124/1 insured 110/22, 111/5 insurer 111/8 intent 22/15, 23/19 intention 37/8 Inter-modal 2/13 interact 77/6 interest 43/23, 44/22, 79/12, 84/23, 84/25, 122/11, 123/3 intergovernmental 74/25, 85/9, 85/11 interlocal 57/3 Intermodal 19/8, 46/5, 46/12, 59/3, 62/23, 63/25, 64/2, 64/3, 61/12, 72/15, 76/14, 76/22, 76/24 intermodals 69/11 interpret 120/16 interpretation 107/13 interrupt 129/23 introduce 3/18, 14/20, 14/22 investing 63/4 invite 8/21 irksome 43/7 rksome 10/12, 17/9, 24/18, 38/24, 45/13, 45/18, 59/7, 62/21, 73/13, 78/22, 79/1, 87/19, 87/20, 100/20, 101/9, 106/19, 114/6 issues 8/19, 10/3, 45/7, 47/1, 73/9, 117/23, 118/6 issuing 22/3 issuing 22/3 item 4/9, 7/15, 7/20, 16/6, 18/15, 19/9, 24/22, 29/12, 31/16, 46/3, 45/4, 52/15, 84/21, 88/16, 89/2, 93/24, 94/25, 95/13, 102/13, 103/13, 114/13, 127/15 ITEMS 2/11, 7/10, 7/23, 9/14, 14/17, 31/19, 33/16, 34/23, 94/8, 95/19, 96/13, 96/25, 97/5, 101/1, 106/3, 125/17, 129/20

J

Jacksonville 47/21 JANET 131/6 January 49/25 Jim 8/8 job 46/25, 47/25, 69/5, 70/16, 109/3, 110/7, 112/22, 113/2, 114/3, 116/6, 116/20, 117/1, 117/4, 117/16, 118/9, 119/25, 122/21, 122/23 jobs 115/6, 115/7, 115/9, 117/16 Joe 3/9, 18/10, 19/18, 24/20, 43/22, 70/21, 81/7, 89/18, 92/21, 97/14, 100/4, 120/13, 121/21, 124/4, 125/12, 126/17 JOHNS 1/1, 56/8, 117/8, 131/4 joint 67/10, 76/13, 8//6, 88/22, 89/9 Jones 1/15 JOSEPH 1/10 JPA 2/13, 84/20, 88/17 July 4/10, 6/23, 8/14, 10/16, 48/8 June 5/22, 48/5

K

key 47/3, 78/22, 78/23 kick 49/15 Kile 3/19, 58/16, 83/1, 83/3, 103/25 Kira 4/3 kit 33/8 Knight 14/20, 126/5, 127/4 known 49/5

L

l's 27/8 lack 46/16, 75/16, 75/17 lack 46/16, 75/16, 1 lacking 58/4 ladies 58/15 lady 52/12 laid 112/23, 113/18 land 82/9, 88/24, 89/11, 127/23 landlord 43/20, 43/21 landlord/tenant 45/4 language 16/20, 17/4, 17/6, 33/5, 40/18, 42/23, 43/6, 107/12, 107/17 large 112/7 larger 23/3 LASSITER 1/9, 2/19, 44/9, 126/11 late-fee 15/8 later 49/25, 69/25, 70/10 lateral 22/3 laterally 21/25 laterals 32/16 latest 58/25, 102/5 latitude 41/8 lawsuit 128/7 lead 10/2, 79/4 lease 12/13, 16/20, 17/7, 20/15, 22/12, 22/16, 22/22, 23/6, 23/13, 23/17, 27/14, 28/5, 31/14, 31/24, 33/6, 35/9, 35/19, 36/23, 37/13, 38/19, 40/24, 40/25, 41/2, 41/7, 41/10, 41/23, 42/6, 43/17, 44/2, 44/21, 44/25, 45/3, 45/6, 45/14 leased 20/24 leases 12/4, 42/4, 44/4 leasing 17/1, 19/11, 19/19, 28/2, 30/12, 31/22 leave 101/20, 127/5 left 31/7, 51/7, 62/13, 78/10, 78/13 legal 43/14, 95/24, 107/13, 112/5 legislation 50/12 legislative 47/22, 48/23, 49/1, 50/8 legislature 47/3, 47/9, 47/20, 48/2, 48/6, 49/6, 49/24, 50/9, 75/3, 79/17, 85/18 legitimate 15/11, 35/19 legwork 33/18 length 29/16 Leon 1/21 Leslie 2/9 lessee 17/15, 23/25 letter 12/16, 13/15, 49/16 level 79/16, 86/5, 118/12, 119/19, 119/24 lien 94/6 life 5/6, 35/9, 72/25 limit 29/14 limitations 105/7, 105/12, 105/19, 106/6 limits 33/6, 107/21 limousine 81/25, 83/14 line 5/5, 27/5, 30/20, 36/10, 70/12, 76/20, 77/25, 82/7, 85/2, 90/7, 90/18, 95/19, 96/13, 103/13 lines 5/6 lining 81/22 list 11/2, 17/15, 20/7, 20/18, 20/22, 21/4, 21/10, 21/11, 21/13, 21/17, 21/20, 21/21, 22/5, 23/15, 24/23, 25/4, 25/7, 25/9, 25/10, 25/23, 26/1, 27/12, 27/25, 28/8, 28/9, 29/7, 29/22, 32/14, 33/1, 33/9, 33/15, 33/22, 36/15, 36/16, 37/22, 38/1, 38/21, 49/22, 49/23, 50/2 listed 20/17, 20/19, 37/13, 48/7, 105/11 listening 70/21 listing 33/3 lists 19/22, 26/20, 27/1, 29/17, 33/19 literally 47/9, 47/15 little 11/24, 46/1, 47/10, 47/25, 49/6, 65/12, 66/2, 70/1, 72/18, 76/11, 87/21, 98/14, 98/16, 99/25, 120/7, 126/17 live 41/18, 42/15, 63/16, 87/5, 126/13

lived 116/17

Lobby 2/13, 19/8, 46/5, 64/23, 75/9 lobbying 53/22, 79/20, 87/10

lobbyist 52/24, 53/11, 54/14, 55/13, 55/14, 62/7,

63/21, 66/5, 66/9, 69/19, 70/5, 70/8, 71/11, 73/23,

load 77/19

75/1, 75/6, 75/12, 75/22, 79/7, 85/7, 87/17, 113/16 lobbyist's 54/15 lobbyists 54/2, 54/12, 54/23, 64/21, 66/16 local 60/24, 62/6, 63/16, 79/19, 99/4 location 58/1 locked 92/7 long-term 87/20 loophole 43/3, 43/4 loopholes 42/24, 71/5 loose 11/13 Loren 15/14 lose 93/6 lost 80/13 lousy 26/21 lower 98/14, 98/16, 98/18, 100/1, 100/2 lowly 107/6

M

lunch 8/24

millions 52/7

mad 43/10 magnitude 122/7 Mahal 82/14, 82/18 Mahal-type 81/13 mail 21/2 main 71/14 maintain 33/15 maintained 20/8 maintaining 33/19
maintaining 33/19
maintains 119/18
majority 92/2, 92/3
Malaga 1/15
malpractice 111/25
man 108/3, 109/13, 115/13
Management 12/19 manager 14/24 mandate 102/17 mandatorily 84/19 mandatory 107/16 manner 20/20, 40/25 Mark 15/16 markers 79/9 marketing 46/7 master 98/9, 99/1, 99/16, 118/15 match 51/25, 70/6, 80/3, 80/25, 64/19, 93/3, 93/7 matching 50/17, 77/22 material 39/8, 107/12 matter 9/22, 21/16, 70/25, 82/6, 121/23 matters 10/8 mayors 86/17 McCLURE 1/14, 2/10, 9/13 meaning 107/14 meaningful 80/23 measurable 69/9 measure 54/15, 54/16, 111/13 mechanic 116/24 mechanical 112/10 media 60/24, 62/6 meet 10/23, 44/14, 45/10, 88/18 Meeting 1/2, 2/4, 2/6, 2/22, 3/3, 4/5, 4/10, 7/5, 7/11, 8/23, 16/12, 24/10, 24/16, 44/13, 51/20, 61/6, 67/10, 68/7, 73/12, 76/13, 95/17, 95/20, 97/15, 98/2, 120/18, 126/3, 129/1, 129/2, 129/8, 129/18, 129/22, 129/25, 130/1, 130/3 meetings 8/13, 8/20, 58/21, 68/16, 68/18, 108/13 meets 85/18 MEISZER 52/12, 52/20, 52/21, 52/23, 69/16, 70/23, 74/20, 74/23, 85/9, 85/16, 86/21 MEMBER 2/17, 44/8, 49/19, 120/20 MEMBERS 1/8, 17/11, 24/20, 47/2, 47/3, 55/7, 55/8, 62/1, 63/16, 63/20, 68/24, 125/21 memorandum 112/24, 113/6 merits 47/12, 78/9 message 25/5 met 53/8 methods 106/14 Miami 59/11, 62/12 micro 41/22 micromanage 40/23, 42/1, 42/10, 43/10 micromanaging 42/16 microphone 3/6, 127/11 Mid 109/18, 109/19, 111/18, 111/20 middle 27/4, 28/19 mile 37/25 milestone 79/18 millage 96/2, 96/8, 96/11, 99/16, 99/21, 100/12 millennia 90/6 million 52/3, 70/6, 77/23, 80/8, 80/12, 123/25

mind 30/6, 35/25, 66/24, 77/13, 127/10 minimal 76/4 minimum 121/5 minor 39/18 minority 91/25, 92/3 minute 4/24, 7/16, 34/20, 81/6, 81/7, 85/17, 125/1, 129/7 MINUTES 2/4, 4/8, 4/10, 4/22, 5/16, 54/4, 83/7 misspelling 27/6 mistakes 111/8 misunderstood 43/9 mitigation 12/17 mix 80/15 mobilize 11/19 mode 76/24 modifications 94/2, 103/13 modified 8/2, 16/10 moment 8/12 Monday 1/5, 97/21, 129/11, 129/12 monetary 67/22 money 44/19, 50/17, 51/24, 54/16, 58/23, 62/9, 63/5, 63/10, 53/11, 64/24, 68/3, 75/9, 71/20, 77/3, 77/16, 79/5, 79/25, 80/6, 20/11, £6/17, 81/3, 82/13, 82/19, 82/21, 85/8, 83/20, 89/23, 104/22, 105/3, 108/3, 117/8, 120/8, 120/24, 121/12, 121/14, 121/22, 121/23, 122/9, 122/10, 122/20, 122/23 month 16/19, 19/21, 20/1, 24/25, 25/15, 26/6, 28/17, 28/20, 28/25, 29/15, 30/3, 30/7, 30/13, 30/16, 31/6, 31/8, 48/4, 88/1, 96/21, 99/8 month-to-month 48/17 months 5/21, 5/22, 6/22, 8/12, 35/5, 36/9, 36/17, 48/4, 58/22, 78/3, 79/24, 79/25 morning 51/21, 52/15, 60/19, 60/22 mortar 118/11 motion 18/4, 18/6, 18/15, 19/6, 39/25, 40/2, 40/5, 40/7, 40/10, 40/14, 40/20, 45/25, 72/9, 73/23, 73/25, 74/1, 74/12, 75/17, 93/13, 93/24, 94/24, 95/13, 114/24, 124/13, 127/15, 127/17 metions 114/20 mouth 126/2 move 14/17, 32/17, 39/18, 47/23, 51/19, 53/13, 60/11, 65/19, 72/2, 74/2, 76/15, 79/7 Movement 20/22 meves 22/3 moving 32/23, 45/9, 72/14, 79/10, 126/14 Mr. 8-/15 Mr. Chairman 5/20, 7/2, 15/11, 52/12, 63/15, Mr. Chairman 5/20, 7/2, 15/11, 52/12, 63/15, 66/29, 70/14, 103/6, 105/13, 113/7, 114/24
Mr. Charles 2/19, 126/11
MR. CIRIELLO 14/6, 14/8, 18/11, 18/18, 18/21, 18/24, 19/16, 24/21, 24/25, 26/17, 26/25, 27/3, 27/10, 29/14, 30/19, 31/15, 32/25, 33/21, 34/20, 35/6, 35/12, 35/17, 35/20, 36/6, 37/15, 38/20, 39/22, 40/1, 40/4, 40/13, 40/16, 41/24, 42/22, 43/16, 45/24, 46/1, 59/8, 59/12, 66/18, 66/19, 51/8, 83/12, 83/21, 84/3, 84/6, 84/8, 84/16, 89/19, 90/1, 90/4, 90/10, 93/23, 94/23, 95/6, 97/15, 97/24, 98/4, 98/18, 98/23, 99/7, 99/11, 99/13, 99/18, 99/24, 100/6, 100/9, 100/14, 115/4, 115/10, 115/12, 115/18, 115/21, 99/7, 99/11, 99/13, 99/18, 89/24, 100/6, 100/9, 100/14, 115/4, 115/10, 115/12, 115/18, 115/21, 116/1, 116/4, 116/9, 113/11, 120/1, 120/15, 120/19, 121/10, 122/16, 123/6, 123/15, 124/5, 124/24, 125/13, 126/19, 126/22, 126/23, 126/25
MR. COX 3/24, 4/1, 58/10, 58/21, 63/14, 63/15, 65/22, 65/23, 66/12, 66/2, 66/2, 68/8, 71/1, 81/16, 127/9, 127/20, 128/9, 128/24, 129/25 127/20, 128/9, 128/24, 128/25 Mr. Dennis 2/19, 126/1 Mr. Director 14/13 Mr. Ed 21/52 Mr. George 2/10, 14/1, 14/4, 14/12, 14/15 Mr. Jim 2/8 Mr. John 2/9 Mr. Joseph 2/20, 126/24 MR. KILE 58/15, 59/8, 59/13, 59/15, 59/20, 60/5, 60/13, 60/16, 60/20, 60/23, 61/3, 61/8, 61/9, 61/15, 61/20, 61/24, 74/18, 81/6, 83/3, 103/25, 104/7, 104/11, 104/16, 104/18, 104/23, 105/2, 105/6, 105/10, 105/15, 105/19, 105/22, 106/12, 106/24, 107/5, 107/22, 108/1, 108/8, 108/21, 108/23, 109/3, 109/9, 109/16, 109/19, 109/22, 109/25, 110/3, 110/6, 110/15, 112/18, 113/6, 113/10, 113/14, 113/24, 114/7, 114/14 MR. KIRA 4/3 MR. KNIGHT 15/7, 15/18, 15/2 MR. LASSITER 6/2, 6/4, 6/12, 6/15, 6/18, 18/6, 19/1, 31/21, 32/12, 35/25, 36/5, 39/17, 40/7, 40/21, 42/3, 43/20, 45/19, 59/15, 59/19, 68/23, 70/15, 73/25, 74/6, 74/10, 74/15, 76/10, 78/14, 85/2, 88/3, 88/6, 93/10, 93/18, 95/8, 101/1, 101/6, 103/6, 103/12, 103/17, 103/19, 103/22, 115/2, 121/19,

122/18, 123/12, 123/20, 123/24, 124/7, 124/19, 126/10, 126/12, 126/21, 127/18, 129/8 MR. LESLIE 9/4 Mr. Maguire 107/24 MR. McCLURE 9/14, 12/23, 12/25, 43/13, 53/18, 59/24, 69/2, 101/8, 107/11, 107/25, 110/17, 110/20, 116/13, 116/17, 117/6, 117/21, 125/5, 127/12, 127/19
Mr. Meiszer 58/13, 63/18, 71/8, 71/15, 75/20
Mr. Meiszer's 85/5
Mr. Meiszner's 62/18 Mr. Michael 2/8 Mr. Rose 17/13, 59/13, 61/21, 63/1, 74/18, 109/10, 116/13, 127/9 MR. SLINGLUFF 8/11 Mr. Swanson 116/19, 117/24 Mr. THOMPSON 12/22, 12/24, 115/24, 116/3 Mr. Treasurer 5/18, 7/4 Mr. Warner 60/7, 83/6, 104/2, 109/13 MR. WATTS 5/20, 6/21, 6/25, 17/13, 17/18, 17/21, 17/25, 18/9, 19/2, 40/11, 45/21, 59/20, 59/23, 70/14, 93/14, 93/20, 95/9, 114/24, 124/22, 126/2 Mr. Watts' 127/15 Mr. Wayne 2/9 Mr. William 2/18, 125/19 Mr. WUELLNER 4/14, 4/16, 4/19, 5/2, 5/4, 5/8, 5/10, 5/14, 6/10, 6/13, 6/17, 7/9, 7/14, 7/17, 7/19, 7/25, 14/19, 15/1, 15/17, 15/23, 15/25, 16/6, 17/14, 17/17, 17/19, 17/22, 18/1, 18/17, 19/9, 19/14, 19/17, 24/24, 26/16, 26/24, 27/2, 27/8, 29/11, 29/15, 31/3, 31/16, 31/20, 32/10, 32/13, 32/18, 32/22, 33/4, 33/23, 33/25, 34/3, 34/7, 35/3, 35/11, 35/15, 35/18, 35/23, 36/3, 37/5, 38/16, 38/22, 39/1, 39/6, 39/11, 39/14, 44/12, 45/12, 46/2, 46/3, 46/6, 50/21, 50/25, 51/5, 51/13, 51/15, 51/25, 52/5, 60/10, 60/15, 60/18, 60/21, 61/2, 61/6, 68/8, 68/19, 71/24, 72/1, 72/5, 72/11, 72/21, 73/3, 73/6, 73/11, 73/16, 73/18, 73/20, 75/19, 75/25, 76/4, 76/7, 78/8, 78/15, 84/5, 84/7, 84/20, 84/25, 85/14, 87/11, 87/25, 88/8, 88/15, 88/18, 89/22, 90/2, 90/9, 92/25, 93/4, 93/7, 94/2 94/15, 94/18, 95/15, 96/24, 97/6, 97/9, 97/17, 98/1, 98/17, 98/20, 99/3, 99/10, 99/12, 99/15, 99/20, 100/4, 100/7, 100/10, 100/15, 100/19, 100/22, 100/24, 101/4, 101/3, 102/13, 103/3, 103/15, 103/18, 103/20, 194/4, 104/9, 104/13, 104/17, 104/20, 104/25, 105/5, 105/9, 105/17, 105/21, 106/11, 108/6, 105/19, 108/22, 108/25, 109/14, 109/18, 109/21, 109/24, 110/1, 110/5, 110/11, 111/12, 114/4, 114/12, 115/9, 115/11, 115/16, 115/19, 115/23, 116/8, 116/10, 121/1, 125/3, 125/7, 125/11, 125/18, 129/4, 129/5, 129/11, 129/14, 129/16, 129/21 Mrs. Suzanne 2/20, 127/2 MS. GLASSER 97/23 MS. GREEN 14/9, 19/3, 32/15, 32/19, 45/22, 59/4, 59/7, 66/1, 73/4, 75/23, 76/3, 76/5, 84/15, 84/17, 84/22, 87/23, 88/1, 92/23, 93/1, 93/5, 93/9, 93/21, 95/2, 95/10, 105/13, 113/15, 123/17, 123/21, 124/21, 125/6, 125/10, 127/3 multimodal 53/12, 56/3, 57/6, 57/23, 78/23, 81/9, 82/2 municipalities 86/14

R.I

name 21/9, 26/1, 52/20, 58/16, 63/16, 76/20, 85/8, 116/20 names 11/2, 20/9, 22/5, 29/22 Napier 15/16 national 60/24, 62/6 nav 101/21 necessary 10/9 need 9/21, 12/10, 13/14, 23/18, 31/20, 38/12, 38/20, 41/22, 44/9, 46/20, 47/23, 48/8, 48/19, 55/13, 57/13, 66/13, 69/6, 69/12, 71/17, 72/13, 72/18, 73/6, 73/25, 74/2, 74/8, 74/10, 76/15, 77/13, 79/13, 82/20, 83/1, 84/14, 87/20, 87/21, 88/7, 98/24, 102/16 107/9, 109/5, 109/8, 111/15, 114/19, 114/20, 121/14 necded 113/13 needs 12/8, 34/10, 35/16, 66/15, 73/11 negligence 128/7 negotiation 121/3 neighbor 28/1 neighbor's 28/15 neither 116/4 net 111/16 new 10/15, 10/23, 14/23, 21/21, 22/4, 37/12, 60/3, 65/6, 76/7, 88/22, 118/5, 126/8, 128/17 news 62/10 nice 82/21

Nick 52/20 nine 5/21, 5/22 Ninety-five 103/22 Nocatee 65/4, 65/6, 65/7 noise 91/10 nonacceptance 74/1 nonhabitable 10/19 nonrental 16/25 North 1/3, 2/16, 7/21, 12/22, 12/24, 12/25, 13/1, 25/20, 28/21, 36/1, 63/17, 92/9, 114/16, 124/15 Northrop-Grumman 8/15, 9/2, 9/3 note 126/4 notes 67/24, 89/20, 98/5, 127/16 notice 5/5, 30/21, 30/25, 31/1, 32/21, 44/6, 45/1, notification 22/15, 29/20, 30/7, 30/16, 101/18 notifying 22/2 null 41/10 number 5/1, 7/15, 10/6, 24/21, 24/23, 35/3, 36/22, 37/1, 49/16, 62/13, 96/7, 111/16, 118/17 number-one 79/1 numbers 70/4, 96/5 nutshell 51/6

0

objection 87/14, 88/19 objections 27/18 objective 55/2 obligation 48/16 obstacle 86/24 obtain 53/11 obtaining 51/23 October 61/1, 62/5, 101/11 offended 121/18 offer 43/13, 45/2, 74/20, 75/5 offering 12/18 office 22/2, 23/16, 128/13 offices 110/14 officially 3/20 officials 86/17 old 121/10, 121/11 on-site 10/4, 15/2 one-tenth 42/13 one/ten-thousandth 99/20 open 15/10, 54/20, 65/25 opened 11/5 operate 41/3, 43/22 operating 95/22, 96/5, 96/13, 101/11 operation 60/1, 94/14 operational 8/19, 58/2 operations 8/13 operators 8/21 opinion 12/16, 13/15, 55/11, 58/4, 71/12, 71/20, opinions 56/10, 70/22 opportunities 20/10, 97/3 opportunity 20/21, 22/1, 52/17 Opposed 19/4, 45/23, 53/22, 93/22, 95/11, 124/23 opposition 19/5, 49/4, 95/12 order 3/3, 5/23, 7/1, 10/9, 13/3, 20/8, 20/22, 50/15, 83/10, 122/6 ordered 13/5 organization 128/12 oriented 112/5 original 10/10, 20/4, 52/2, 116/6 originally 78/23 outfit 67/19 outhustled 47/21 outmuscled Outreach 51/5 outstanding 63/18 outthink 116/17 overlook 108/16 oversee 109/11, 114/9, 117/16 overseeing 114/1 overworked 75/2 owner 13/16, 35/16, 36/13, 43/21 owner's 106/6 ownership 12/17, 28/12

P

P.A 1/15 p.m 1/6, 130/3 package 4/11, 11/7, 50/24 packaged 77/25 packages 11/1, 11/3, 11/4, 51/1 paid 28/20, 28/25, 30/18, 116/5

paper 99/2, 117/14 paragraph 4/19, 4/20, 5/4, 105/18, 105/19 parallel 91/2 paraphrasing 83/8 pardon 71/25 Park 90/15 part 10/13, 12/25, 13/11, 23/12, 70/1, 78/19, 89/24, 93/8, 114/2, 118/11 part's 26/10 part-time 37/3, 117/15 participant 54/5 participates 67/5, 69/7 participation 9/20, 57/2, 88/23, 89/9 partner 29/4 partners 56/14 parts 76/22, 76/23 party 22/14 pass 79/9 passage 75/9 passed 23/8, 75/14 passengers 81/23 passes 24/11 patch 58/18 pay 3/14, 28/3, 46/17, 78/25 paying 35/1, 36/18, 121/23 payments 48/7 payroll 109/7 penalties 6/7 penalty 30/25 pennies 98/13 percentage 66/10, 80/18 perennial 101/16 perform 87/16 performed 113/13 period 17/1, 20/14, 21/1, 21/8, 30/6, 30/8, 30/17, 49/18 permanent 123/10 permanently 15/2 permission 68/11 permit 12/19, 13/18, 113/22 permitted 23/1 perpetuate 54/20 personal 61/18, 61/21 personally 46/24, 88/8, 90/12, 124/9 Petroleum 94/5 phase 52/8, 80/21, 80/22, 80/24 Phased 80/4
Phoenix 102/6
phone 20/13, 25/24, 116/21
pick 49/21, 119/1 pick 49/21, 119/1 picked 11/3 picky 27/5, 27/10 pilot 27/20, 63/16 Pilots 9/6, 9/7, 13/25, 14/1, 14/11, 14/12, 14/14, 37/18 place 20/20, 44/17, 57/25, 64/18, 81/24 places 56/5 plan 58/3, 98/9, 99/1, 99/16, 102/4 plane 28/18, 28/23, 38/4, 44/16 planning 116/6 plans 10/10, 10/22 play 56/18 pleasure 83/24 PLEDGE 2/3, 4/6, 4/7 plumb 10/2 plus 15/19 pocket 58/19, 99/14 pockets 120/9 point 6/14, 21/11, 24/1, 24/3, 31/5, 39/18, 41/6, 48/8, 48/10, 49/14, 50/4, 50/13, 50/18, 64/1, 64/4, 65/2, 65/17, 72/10, 72/13, 73/15, 74/14, 78/10, 79/23, 80/13, 80/20, 89/24, 113/15, 113/25, 119/3, 128/23 pointed 67/11 points 63/19, 63/22, 79/12, 127/21, 128/22 policies 23/7 policing 37/16 Policy 2/12, 16/5, 16/7, 16/16, 17/5, 18/5, 18/7, 18/12, 19/7, 19/10, 19/19, 20/2, 35/24, 36/24, 39/2, 39/5, 39/21, 40/6, 40/8, 40/15, 45/10, 45/11, 94/3, 112/5 political 65/18 Ponce 1/21 Ponte 63/17

70/11, 70/20, 75/15, 85/12, 88/12, 94/10, 109/5, 109/22, 110/13, 113/25, 114/5 positive 68/1, 126/4, 127/20 possible 44/10, 85/23, 98/13, 121/24, 122/23 posting 8/23 potential 80/25, 93/12 powerful 66/6 practice 53/24 precautions 106/20 prepared 40/15, 52/13, 57/18, 76/5 presented 18/7 pressure 13/8, 120/5, 120/21 pretty 42/17, 60/10, 72/5, 76/5, 76/12, 76/16, 77/24, 85/24, 91/5 prevent 41/14, 45/11 prevents 117/22 priced 119/4 primary 19/21, 33/9, 33/10, 34/17 priorities 83/11 priority 21/21 private 43/21, 107/7 problem 39/9, 42/14, 42/25, 49/2, 54/21, 88/15 problems 42/23 procedure 64/22 procedures 106/14 procedures 100/14 proceed 52/25, 53/3, 75/21, 101/25 process 9/19, 11/9, 15/4, 30/10, 34/16, 46/11, 46/14, 49/1, 49/10, 49/14, 50/8, 50/10, 53/23, 55/16, 72/19, 78/16, 79/11, 80/7, 80/16, 89/24, 118/19, 119/8, 121/4 process-wise 121/5 professional 55/1, 109/5, 109/10, 112/15, 112/19, 115/15, 117/4, 117/12, 118/13 professionals 112/8, 117/10 program 46/8, 47/11, 48/2, 51/6, 51/16, 64/25, 72/15, 73/24, 74/14, 79/3, 79/11, 84/2, 87/16 programs 106/20, 111/12 progressively 32/13 prohibited 17/1 Project 2/15, 13/19, 46/18, 47/1, 47/12, 47/15, 47/23, 48/1, 48/14, 49/5, 51/17, 52/2, 53/1, 53/4, 61/5, 68/1, 78/24, 79/21, 79/22, 80/4, 80/9, 80/13, 81/5, 88/25, 94/7, 100/21, 100/22, 101/7, 101/16, 101/17, 101/20, 101/22, 102/1, 102/17, 102/19, 102/22, 103/14, 103/20, 104/5, 105/8, 105/20, 109/11, 109/14, 119/9, 119/23, 122/6, 123/18, 124/15 project's 78/9 projected 101/11 projects 47/16, 49/21, 69/2, 70/17, 101/13, 102/15, 108/5, 108/16, 109/6, 118/2, 118/21, 119/12 promised 16/10 promptly 12/13 proof 28/12 properties 110/8 property 12/18, 43/21, 89/20, 90/4, 90/8, 90/13, 90/22, 91/8, 93/12, 114/1 90/22, 91/8, 93/12, 114/1 proposal 46/22, 58/25, 78/4, 102/20 proposals 54/1, 54/22, 109/2 proposed 57/7, 89/3, 96/12, 96/14 proposing 33/5, 33/15 protecting 84/23 protection 10/18, 110/21 proud 126/6 provide 16/24, 24/7, 24/9, 48/3, 48/20, 66/9, 69/12, 74/13, 85/12, 87/4, 102/17, 104/5, 104/21, 112/8, 120/23 provides 16/19, 22/8, 22/14, 22/16, 22/17, 44/4 provision 21/23, 22/7 prudent 19/23, 70/1 PUBLIC 2/21, 17/8, 17/10, 24/17, 24/19, 43/22, 43/25, 44/22, 52/11, 54/9, 54/19, 54/20, 65/24, 66/25, 74/18, 79/21, 80/24, 89/16, 89/17, 94/20, 94/21, 97/12, 97/13, 98/3, 122/22, 123/3, 127/8, 129/23, 129/25 publicly 67/2, 67/18 published 101/19 pulled 21/20 pun 55/20 purchasing 34/16 purging 21/15 purpose 51/3 purposes 16/14, 21/14, 29/24, 44/23 pursue 69/1, 73/15, 74/13 push 62/21 pushing 13/2, 67/20 put 13/8, 29/2, 30/23, 33/6, 34/11, 38/6, 38/7, 38/11, 59/16, 59/21, 59/25, 60/8, 76/20, 76/23, 76/24, 82/3, 91/1, 92/15, 98/25, 105/23, 107/3, 109/6, 117/14

port-a-ports 19/11, 19/20

portion 15/10, 52/1

posing 63/8

population 56/7, 56/8, 63/23, 63/24, 64/9

position 20/18, 21/10, 61/13, 61/15, 61/16, 61/22,

putting 34/9, 76/7, 77/12, 78/22, 82/18, 90/16, 120/2, 120/4, 120/21, 122/9

0

qualification 119/24 qualifications 55/4, 112/22, 115/14, 116/25, 118/17, 118/19, 119/23 qualified 111/4 qualify 111/11 quality 119/17 queried 61/25 query 61/17 question 6/5, 6/12, 6/19, 10/13, 10/21, 17/14 26/13, 39/19, 41/7, 53/2, 53/17, 63/9, 68/9, 73/14, 75/5, 75/7, 75/11, 80/2, 84/17, 92/23, 98/11, 99/25, 103/7, 117/6, 119/21, 120/19, 122/17, 123/7, 123/16, 124/6, 127/13 question's 116/11 questioned 62/5 questions 6/20, 10/25, 13/20, 13/21, 17/12, 33/1, 55/7, 56/25, 58/6, 61/19, 61/21, 65/21, 70/4, 81/2, 111/10 quick 3/4, 43/14, 75/10, 101/5, 103/6, 127/13 quit 92/18 quote 83/6 quoted 54/24

·R

rail 51/8 rail-base 53/12 railroad 59/2, 59/5, 59/11, 62/2, 62/12, 62/14, 81/14, 83/17 raise 3/12 ramp 127/24, 128/5 ramrod 56/21 ran 28/21, 127/22 ranting 40/16 rapel 58/18 rare 22/11 rate 22/16, 96/2, 96/3, 96/8, 96/11, 96/17, 98/6, 98/12, 98/18, 99/21, 100/3, 100/12 raving 40/17 raw 13/3 re 77/13, 106/1, 110/7 re-lease 38/12 re-leasing 27/15, 38/9 react 37/23 read 27/11, 52/10, 103/11, 106/22, 108/7, 108/8 reading 103/1 reaffirm 72/14 reality 30/4, 52/5, 99/3 realty 110/8, 114/1 reason 13/7, 27/20, 29/25, 44/24, 91/8, 111/9, 112/4 reasonable 29/19 reasons 35/6, 118/14, 119/19 recall 10/1, 96/10, 127/16 received 20/9, 94/6 recite 44/1 recommend 55/12, 94/10 recommendation 16/13, 24/13, 49/8, 74/3, 74/4, 74/5, 75/17, 83/23, 89/14, 94/25, 102/23, 114/25, 128/3 recommendations 114/22 recommended 72/9, 73/23, 124/13 recommends 16/15 reconvene 129/24 record 55/5, 57/22, 66/25, 85/24, 125/3, 127/14, 127/15 Redfish 52/21 rediscussed 79/13 redone 35/7 reduction 96/14, 99/21 reelection 121/20 reference 23/14, 54/7 reflects 24/15 refrigerators 28/7 Regular 129/1, 129/21, 129/25 regulations 23/12 reimbursed 104/15 reimbursement 111/11 reject 18/5, 72/9 related 16/7, 16/8, 17/5, 51/16, 89/12, 95/20, 95/22 relations 74/25, 85/10, 85/11 relationship 23/25, 119/2 relative 4/22, 21/3, 23/8, 24/9, 37/6, 46/7, 46/10, 46/11, 47/1, 48/16, 51/18, 78/11, 79/2, 88/23, 94/3,

94/6, 94/8, 95/23, 99/15, 101/14, 102/4, 102/10, 102/18, 106/12, 121/6, 121/9 Release 2/14, 93/25, 94/1, 94/2, 94/10, 101/19 releases 94/6 reliable 101/21 Reluctantly 124/24, 125/14 remain 21/4, 21/7, 24/2, 33/9, 65/9 remaining 16/18 remains 79/1 remember 24/25, 29/10, 44/3, 57/12, 97/22 remind 3/5, 3/13 removed 21/9, 21/20, 128/4 remunerated 22/20 renew 22/15 renewal 22/9 Renews 22/12 rent 20/21, 25/15, 28/3, 36/18, 82/14, 82/15 rental 20/10, 22/6, 22/16, 22/17, 24/6, 24/9, 42/19, 82/1 renting 28/1 repackaging 75/1 repeat 57/10 replace, 108/11 replaced 109/19 replaces 16/15 report 5/18, 8/12, 9/4, 9/5, 13/19, 14/9, 131/7 REPORTER'S 131/1 Reporters 1/21 REPORTS 2/5, 2/7, 2/17, 5/19, 7/3, 8/4 reposition 77/14 represent 48/22, 49/3, 49/13, 59/4, 71/15, 86/9 representation 86/16 Representative 8/8, 8/16, 8/22, 9/8, 37/24, 59/4, 85/18, 104/6, 105/8, 105/20, 106/2, 106/4, 107/20, 109/15 representing 46/10, 48/5, 89/10 represents 7/20, 49/24, 80/18, 104/13 repropose 110/7 Request 118/19 require 24/7, 88/9, 100/17, 118/12, 119/16 required 23/11, 23/15, 50/15, 78/7, 84/19, 106/16, 119/24 requirement 24/2, 24/3 requirements 10/16, 10/18, 12/5 requires 16/21, 65/15, 79/3, 79/8 requisite 119/23 res 88/22 research 70/2 resent 106/2 reservations 53/14, 58/1, 66/7 reserve 96/7, 96/14 resident 37/4, 102/18, 104/5, 105/8, 105/12, 105/20, 109/14, 109/16 Resolution 2/13, 88/17, 88/21, 89/4, 89/6, 93/11 resolutions 78/17 respond 12/12, 20/18, 20/25, 21/15, 21/18, 29/19, 49/18, 110/18 responded 21/6 responsibilities 106/8, 110/10, 111/7 responsibility 39/5, 55/9, 55/15, 56/15, 87/2, 87/3, 87/4, 87/6 responsible 110/22, 111/6 responsive 21/8 rest 13/12, 80/14, 106/23, 121/15 restate 77/13 restaurant 82/15, 82/16 results 26/14, 50/4, 50/7 resumption 9/17 Retainage 2/14, 93/25, 94/1, 94/2, 94/11 retainer 48/3 retired 109/13, 116/20, 117/9, 117/12, 119/13, 122/1 revenue 30/18, 95/19 reverse 83/10 review 21/12 reviewed 5/20, 24/4 reviewing 6/25, 26/14 revised 12/3, 17/7, 95/16 Revisions 2/12, 2/14, 16/5, 18/13, 19/23, 95/14 revisited 29/23 rewrite 50/9 rewrote 16/10 RFQ 118/18, 119/8 rid 30/22, 34/22, 36/8, 41/11 risk 110/20, 111/13, 111/14 RMR 131/6 Road 58/17, 60/20, 81/17, 83/3, 103/25, 117/13 rode 60/3 Rogers 1/14

role 56/18 rollback 96/3, 98/6, 98/12, 93/18, 100/3 rolled-back 96/17 rolls 63/7, 90/14 room 3/7, 38/4, 68/5 ROSE 1/9, 2/18, 3/2, 3/25, 4/2, 4/4, 4/9, 4/15, 4/18, 4/24, 5/3, 5/7, 5/9, 5/13, 5/15, 5/25, 6/3, 6/20, 6/24, 7/2, 7/6, 7/13, 7/16, 7/18, 7/23, 8/1, 8/6, 8/9, 9/1, 9/3, 9/5, 9/7, 9/9, 9/12, 13/20, 13/22, 14/2, 14/5, 14/7, 14/10, 14/14, 14/16, 14/25, 15/24, 16/1, 16/4, 17/8, 17/11, 18/2, 18/4, 18/8, 18/10, 18/20, 18/22, 18/25, 19/4, 19/6, 19/13, 24/17, 24/20, 31/18, 33/22, 33/24, 34/1, 34/5, 34/19, 38/23, 39/2, 39/7, 39/12, 39/15, 39/20, 39/24, 40/2, 40/5, 40/9, 40/12, 40/14, 40/20, 45/17, 45/20, 45/23, 45/25, 46/2, 46/4, 50/19, 50/22, 51/2, 51/9, 51/14, 51/22, 52/4, 52/9, 52/18, 52/22, 58/7, 59/14, 59/24, 61/8, 61/10, 61/17, 61/22, 63/13, 65/22, 65/24, 66/18, 68/22, 70/13, 71/21, 71/25, 72/4, 72/7, 72/12, 73/1, 73/5, 73/9 73/13, 73/17, 73/19, 73/22, 74/4, 74/8, 74/12, 74/16, 74/22, 75/16, 75/20, 81/6, 82/25, 83/19, 83/22, 85/3, 85/11, 85/15, 86/20, 87/12, 88/2, 88/5, 88/11, 88/16, 89/16, 89/18, 92/21, 93/13, 93/15, 93/17, 93/19, 93/22, 93/24, 94/13, 94/16, 94/20, 94/22, 95/1, 95/3, 95/5, 95/7, 95/11, 95/13, 96/22, 97/4, 97/7, 97/10, 97/14, 100/17, 100/20, 100/23, 101/2, 102/25, 103/5, 103/8, 103/23, 106/22, 106/25, 107/9, 109/4, 110/19, 113/3, 113/8, 113/11, 113/20, 114/6, 114/18, 115/3, 115/25, 120/13, 120/17, 123/23, 124/12, 124/18, 124/20, 124/23, 125/2, 125/16, 125/19, 125/20, 126/10, 126/23, 127/1, 127/7, 128/8, 128/24, 129/2, 129/5, 129/7, 129/9, 129/12, 129/15, 129/18, 130/1 round 96/7 RPR 2/15, 2/16, 7/19, 7/20, 102/12, 102/14 102/21, 103/3, 114/15, 114/16, 114/23, 124/14 RPR-CP 131/6 rule 44/7 rules 23/11, 38/10, 41/15, 42/5, 42/15, 43/6, 50/10 ruling 43/2 run 26/10, 67/14, 127/4 running 15/5, 41/23, 67/4, 121/20 runway 90/17 runways 91/2 RVA 8/17

S S.A.P.A 2/9 safety 65/15, 106/20 salary 109/17 sale 30/23 sanctioned 15/12 sandblasted 10/3 SAPA 128/12 satisfied 10/20 save 104/20, 117/7, 120/8, 120/24, 121/14, 122/3, 122/13, 122/20, 123/3, 123/8 saved 99/23 scenario 30/1, 30/4, 36/3, 78/5 schedule 11/23 scheduled 60/22 school 8/15 screaming 37/20, 43/1 second 14/21, 18/8, 18/9, 20/20, 25/10, 40/9, 40/11, 53/17, 83/9, 93/13, 93/14, 95/1, 95/2, 113/10, 113/24, 115/2 secondary 33/12 seconded 127/17 Secretary-Treasurer 1/10 Secretary/Treasurer 2/19, 89/7 section 26/12, 27/4, 105/7 secure 69/12, 70/6 secures 70/5 security 128/10, 128/14, 128/18 seeking 99/5 select 55/14 selected 54/4 selection 54/6 Self-Fuel 2/14, 94/1, 94/4, 95/20 self-sustaining 63/6, 64/11 sell 28/19 send 8/22, 85/18, 86/15 sense 43/5, 47/7, 48/17, 69/17, 69/23, 69/24, 78/25, 83/9, 83/11, 122/8 sent 50/25 sentence 5/10 separate 51/17, 59/2 separately 18/19, 50/25

September 48/5, 49/15, 97/20, 129/3, 129/13 sequence 78/3 sequences 106/14 series 118/20 serious 49/9, 56/25, 57/1, 57/12, 58/1 service 48/13, 81/19, 81/25, 82/1, 87/4, 119/4, 120/12 Services 2/15, 2/16, 7/19, 7/21, 55/1, 92/12, 102/12, 102/14, 102/22, 104/6, 114/15, 114/16, 114/23, 121/9 session 48/24, 75/3 set-aside 51/18 seven 79/25, 94/18, 100/15 shape 92/12, 98/10 share 89/11 sharing 23/2 shell 6/15 shells 79/6 short 49/17, 50/21 shot 52/2 shoulder 77/9 show 60/8, 85/23 shows 39/8 shut 91/10 side 5/6, 46/17, 57/14, 99/6 sidelines 56/23 sides 57/14 sight 58/24 sign 27/16, 28/5, 50/12 signature 89/5 signs 27/14 simple 123/2 simplifies 72/25 single 22/24, 119/9 single-digit 52/6 sit 56/1, 108/4 site 14/24, 62/14, 106/8 sits 42/7, 62/14 sitting 36/17, 37/17, 78/20, 124/7, 124/10 situation 28/21, 29/8, 44/14, 45/5, 62/24, 127/22, 127/23, 128/6, 128/14 six 11/1, 15/3, 17/23, 35/5, 79/24 size 23/3, 91/5 skunked 46/13 skinked 46/3 sky 30/21 Slingluff 2/8 slow 13/7 slowly 66/14 small 41/17, 41/21 sold 28/23, 34/9, 37/10 solicit 46/21 sort 22/21, 72/15 sound 122/18 sounded 120/16 sounds 42/11 sources 69/2, 93/11 south 64/18, 92/8 southeast 126/16 space 17/1 speakers 3/14, 77/1 specifications 10/11, 10/23 specified 18/14, 20/19, 21/1, 22/9 specifies 113/4 specify 21/12, 22/6 speech 87/7 speed 101/10 speeding 55/20 spend 29/17, 62/7, 71/19, 83/16, 87/21, 108/2, 108/12, 108/17, 123/1 spending 50/17, 58/23, 63/9, 120/10 spent 100/15, 121/22, 123/2 split 86/5 Sport 2/8, 8/9, 8/10, 8/13, 8/15, 127/24 sports 38/6 spot 82/4, 128/4 staff 24/9, 40/15, 46/17, 78/6, 83/25, 94/25, 109/1, 114/21, 118/22, 120/5, 120/22, 127/4 Staff's 24/13, 89/13, 102/23, 114/25 staffing 119/15 stage 11/25 stand 8/2, 51/4, 75/5, 76/20, 86/8 standard 23/10, 44/14, 45/4, 53/24, 64/21 Standing 85/2 standpoint 15/6 stands 22/6 staring 121/4 start 4/5, 15/9, 15/14, 32/7, 32/21, 43/1, 92/18 started 11/13, 11/19, 11/20, 13/18, 14/20, 90/7, 90/11

startup 23/16 state 52/19, 86/4, 88/21, 89/9, 89/15, 126/15, 131/3 statement 5/21, 6/22, 36/12, 99/7 statements 20/3 station 53/12, 55/24, 62/13, 64/17, 81/15 status 9/16, 13/17, 87/24 Statutes 53/19 stays 36/1 steel 10/3 stenographically 131/7 step 31/5, 31/6, 71/10, 71/19, 78/11, 79/17 stepped 67/25 Steve 4/3, 4/4 stick 41/13 stool 108/4 stop 51/14, 83/17, 90/11, 90/12 storage 12/6, 12/7, 33/7, 41/9 stored 38/17, 42/9 story 50/3 Street 1/15 strict 25/23, 38/13, 98/1 structural 10/3, 112/11 structures 10/19 stuck 123/4 stuff 27/22, 82/8, 116/19, 119/9 stupid 60/11 subject 20/11, 23/22 subjective 55/3 sublease 22/21, 34/18, 34/19, 44/18 subleases 22/19 submit 75/21, 85/4, 87/15 submitting 72/22, 73/1, 75/24 substandard 9/24 substitute 24/12 succeed 77/3, 77/15 success 47/5, 48/6, 54/15, 54/16 successful 11/8, 51/22, 79/15, 85/24 successfully 56/6 suggestion 85/5 suitable 24/11 Suite 1/21 summarize 20/2 Super 15/23 superficial 57/17 superintendent 106/9 supersedes 17/5 supervise 119/17 supervision 112/9, 113/12 supplemental 113/9, 113/22, 114/19, 114/22, 124/14 support 49/5, 54/19, 56/9, 56/16, 57/23, 62/19, 62/20, 62/24, 63/25, 65/15, 68/1, 77/17, 78/18, 79/19, 79/20, 79/21, 80/24, 85/23, 86/11, 86/12 supported 126/7 surety 123/18 surface 57/16 surrounded 19/21 Susan 9/13, 66/21 SUZANNE 1/11, 65/25, 69/17, 70/21, 127/1 switch 21/25, 75/10 system 51/11, 56/4, 63/25, 64/13, 65/1

T

T's 78/1 T-Hangar 2/12, 12/3, 12/13, 16/5, 18/12 T-hangars 16/9, 17/3, 19/11, 19/20, 21/23, 118/4 tail 36/22, 37/1 Taj 81/13, 82/14, 82/18 talk 3/6, 25/20, 37/18, 60/11 talked 27/13, 60/7, 60/12, 76/13 talking 8/17, 13/23, 19/17, 24/15, 49/20, 64/16, 89/21, 90/24, 109/9, 109/11, 123/24, 123/25 Tallahassee 45/11, 46/19, 48/23, 49/12, 54/3, 64/24, 65/18, 70/9, 77/20, 85/19, 85/15 tap 93/2 target 102/9 tax 63/7, 90/14 Taxiway 2/15, 7/20, 12/20, 101/14, 101/19, 101/22, 102/1, 102/12, 102/14, 102/16, 103/3, 114/15, 124/14 taxpayer 124/9 taxpayers 62/25, 77/21, 77/24 taxpayers' 62/9, 63/11 team 128/19 Tech 102/21, 102/24, 103/4, 112/6, 115/13, 115/14, 120/6, 120/23 Tech's 117/18

ten 6/22, 11/7, 11/10, 25/12, 25/13, 30/2, 48/4, 64/5, 64/7, 65/3, 71/1, 71/2, 81/21, 110/4, 116/23 tenant 22/4, 22/18, 30/3, 30/11, 30/17, 31/4, 44/23, 45/5 tenants 128/10, 128/11 tentatively 89/3 term 22/12, 107/13 terminal 6/14, 9/17, 9/25, 11/15, 81/20, 101/9, 118/6, 123/18 terminate 44/25, 45/14, 48/15 terminated 44/5 terms 31/14, 99/22, 102/8, 104/21, 107/7 Thank 4/1, 6/18, 7/4, 8/25, 9/1, 15/7, 15/25, 17/25, 18/21, 52/22, 58/5, 58/7, 63/12, 63/13, 65/20, 65/22, 65/23, 68/22, 82/25, 83/18, 92/21, 114/14, 121/18, 127/19, 128/24, 128/25 Thanks 14/16 theoretically 25/3, 25/12, 26/4 theory 30/12, 100/4 Thereupon 130/3 They've 36/10, 38/4, 48/12, 49/23 thick 41/2 thorn 121/15 thousand 65/6, 108/12 three 9/14, 23/4, 25/6, 25/8, 25/10, 36/9, 36/17, 42/7, 58/22, 66/4, 80/10, 108/13, 127/24 throwing 122/9 thumb 128/21 ticked 36/20 tie-down 37/17 till 31/8, 50/7, 50/11, 88/13 time 11/17, 11/21, 20/19, 24/6, 25/1, 25/16, 29/14, 29/17, 29/19, 30/3, 30/7, 30/24, 32/19, 36/11, 37/11, 45/6, 47/24, 48/15, 49/18, 53/6, 56/24, 57/22, 57/25, 58/12, 62/11, 65/21, 71/4, 75/23, 75/25, 76/19, 79/4, 79/8, 80/10, 85/3, 87/21, 97/24, 110/5, 115/11, 118/19, 118/22, 118/23, 121/1, 121/2, 121/12, 125/24 timetable 56/12 timing 78/3 title 13/3, 109/12 Tony 3/19, 58/16, 82/25, 83/3, 103/25 TOPS 46/8, 46/11, 47/2, 47/11, 47/14, 48/1, 48/2, 49/7, 49/10, 49/14, 49/19, 50/6, 51/4, 51/16, 51/23, 64/25, 72/22, 73/3, 73/4, 73/5, 73/15, 74/14, 75/24, 78/4, 78/18, 79/11, 79/16, 84/2, 87/16 touch 59/9 towed 29/2 tower 8/18, 14/23, 15/5, 15/11, 65/13, 101/10, 118/6, 126/8, 128/15 Towers 1/14 track 55/5, 55/20, 85/24 traffic 91/24 train 55/20, 55/21, 55/24, 55/25, 64/2, 64/17, 71/9, 87/2 training 15/9 transition 8/17 translate 99/22 transmitted 7/10 transportation 46/12, 51/5, 56/4, 57/6, 57/24, 63/25, 64/12, 81/12 treated 6/9, 6/10 trip 59/11, 62/11, 104/20 trouble 4/25, 117/3 true 118/8 TSA's 128/17 turn 38/13, 70/8, 78/2 turned 11/13 Twelve 65/5 1 Welve 33/3, 8/12, 11/6, 11/10, 11/11, 15/16, 15/18, 22/23, 26/20, 27/1, 27/8, 31/7, 31/11, 31/12, 33/1, 33/9, 42/18, 48/7, 51/1, 58/22, 60/15, 68/24, 73/9, 97/17, 100/24, 101/1, 101/13, 108/4, 108/15, 111/20, 112/22, 114/9, 115/7, 117/16 type 42/10, 56/9, 128/3 U

techniques 106/14

temporary 55/23, 60/25, 123/9

U.S 1/3 union 37/24 ur.it 20/16, 20/23, 21/5, 42/20 united 85/22, 86/2, 86/18 Universal 94/4 unknowns 95/23 unpaid 16/18, 16/22 unresponsive 21/7 unsuccessfully 56/6 upcoming 46/8 update 21/16 Updates 2/15, 100/21, 101/7 urgency 29/21, 69/24 usable 10/5 useful 43/18 useless 63/10

\mathbf{v}

vacant 30/13
vacate 31/2
valorem 99/5
value 90/16, 91/4, 91/7, 92/20, 104/13
Vedra 63/17
verbatim 20/5
verification 23/22
version 50/21, 79/5
vertical 10/1
vested 10/22, 78/12, 78/15
viability 58/3
view 59/22
violation 38/19, 44/11
visually 23/24
voice 57/6, 70/11
void 41/10
VOR 101/23
vote 18/15, 45/17, 68/19, 88/2, 93/1, 125/15

W

Wait 4/24, 7/16, 25/6, 34/20, 61/10, 129/7 waiting 19/22, 20/18, 21/9, 21/11, 21/13, 21/20, 24/23, 25/15, 25/16, 26/7, 29/17, 34/15, 36/19 walk 52/6 wall 9/25, 65/10 warehouse 41/9 Washington 54/3, 60/3 watch 108/4 Water 12/19 WATTS 1/10, 2/19, 125/24, 126/1 Wayne 13/23, 14/2 Wednesday 13/18 weeded 46/15 week 11/5, 13/13, 127/22 weeks 11/10, 11/11, 15/3, 15/8, 15/22, 31/7, 31/11, 31/12, 94/19, 102/10 welcome 15/6, 125/23, 126/4, 126/9, 126/13, 126/16, 127/3 west 92/7 wherever 72/17 white 91/20 wide 117/25, 118/1 WILLIAM 1/9 willing 31/5, 61/3, 68/2, 100/13 win-win 31/10 wind-load 10/18 window 69/13 wish 64/6, 71/3, 119/14, 119/20 wishes 21/25 wit 86/9 witness 89/6 woefully 58/4 wonder 53/18 wondering 29/8, 114/8 word 41/25, 43/10, 62/3, 71/16, 75/1, 105/23, 106/18, 107/3, 107/8, 107/16 wording 107/1 words 34/21, 106/4, 110/3, 120/2 work 11/14, 12/9, 13/6, 13/14, 29/9, 54/2, 54/23, 66/6, 66/15, 68/11, 86/11, 86/23, 106/21, 113/23, 119/18 worked 66/4, 116/22, 126/18 working 8/20, 8/24, 12/2, 12/15, 15/3, 16/3, 86/4, working 6/20, 6/24, 12/2, 12/13, 1 87/8, 87/21 works 31/13, 94/9, 111/19, 115/22 worried 117/2 worst-case 30/4 wrapped 103/14, 103/15 wrapping 15/3 write 21/22, 28/13, 66/5 written 17/6, 23/13, 42/5, 43/5, 44/5 wrong 84/9 wrote 66/7 WUELLNER 1/16

X

X 2/1

Y

Yamasse 58/17, £3/3, £63/25 yardstick 54/18 year 10/17, 17/2, 17/16, 17/17, 21/17, 22/7, 22/8, 22/10, 22/14, 25/15, 29/24, 35/5, 44/16, 46/13, 47/5, 47/5, £2/6, 4₹/16, 48/24, 49/1, 49/20, 50/1, 72/23, 78/3, 78/18, 80/6, 85/17, 89/4, 89/25, 96/12, 96/15, 108/2, 110/2 year's 76/1, 96/11 years 13/6, 17/23, 62/14, 64/5, 64/7, 65/3, 65/8, 66/4, 71/2, 71/3, 81/9, 81/17, 90/6, 92/10, 100/15, 116/23, 118/17 York 60/3

Z

zero 100/5

'a-i.' 27/5