1	ST.	<b>AUGUSTINE -</b>	ST.	<b>JOHNS</b>	COUNTY	<b>AIRPORT</b>	AUTHORITY
	$\sim$ $\cdot$		$\sim$ $\cdot$	2 O I II 1D			

2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, December 17, 2007
6	from 4:04 p.m. to 6:48 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE
10	RANDY BRUNSON JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
10	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
11	KELLY BARRERA, Secretary-Treasurer
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
15	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	********
19	

20	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR
	St. Augustine Court Reporters
21	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard
	St. Augustine, FL 32084
22	(904) 825-0570
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX		
2		PAGE		
3	2.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3	
4	3.	APPROVAL OF MEETING MINU	TES	3
5	4.	FINANCIAL REPORT	3	
6	5.	AGENDA APPROVAL	5	
7	6.	COMMITTEE REPORTS	6	
8	7.	REPORTS	12	
9	8.	PROJECT UPDATES	25	
10	9.	ACTION ITEMS		
11		A. Project Inspection Agreement fo	_	
12		B. RFP Terminal Advertising Service C. South Development - Park Discussions for Customs	ission 50	
13		<ul><li>D. Alternative Locations for Custom</li><li>E. 2nd FBO RFP</li></ul>	86	
14		F. Property Acquisition Funding Dis		
15		HOUSEKEEPING	135	10
16		PUBLIC COMMENT GENERAL		42
17		AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORT		
18		A. Ms. Kelly Barrera, Secretary-Trea B. Mr. Randy Brunson	155	
19		C. Mr. John Gorman D. Mr. Wayne George	158 158	

20 13. NEXT MEETING DATES 160
21 14. ADJOURNMENT 161
22
23
24
25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the meeting of
3	the St. Johns County-St. Augustine Airport
4	Authority meeting. If we could stand for the
5	Pledge, please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Our first order
9	is the approval of the minutes. Everyone, I hope,
10	had received all their minutes electronically.
11	Are there any exceptions, objections to the
12	minutes as presented?
13	MR. BRUNSON: None for me.
14	MR. GEORGE: Move that we accept them as
15	presented.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, then we'll
17	accept the minutes as presented. Financial
18	report, Kelly?
19	4 FINANCIAL REPORT

20	MS. BARRERA: I reviewed the financial
21	report. I found no objections or irregularities.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Didn't we save one over from

- last time? So, we have two.
- MS. BARRERA: We have the November. Yeah,
- November, just -- I just got today, so I haven't

1	had a chance to review it.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, these are for
3	the
4	MS. BARRERA: October
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: October.
6	MS. BARRERA: financials.
7	MR. GEORGE: Do we get copies of that or do
8	I
9	MS. BARRERA: They're right there, usually.
10	MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any objections or
12	exceptions to the financial report for October?
13	(No exceptions or objections.)
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, Buzz, did you
15	want
16	MR. GEORGE: No, I just want to make a
17	comment, that we're going to be asked to look at
18	it and make a recommendation, I need to get it
19	sooner than when I sit down here, so

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, the October ones --
- MS. BARRERA: Were at the last meeting.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MS. BARRERA: This should be November here
- for this meeting. That one, I haven't reviewed.
- MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. All right.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If that's the November in
2	your hands, that's for our next meeting.
3	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, what we're speaking of
5	are the October meeting.
6	MR. GEORGE: Oh, I remember that one.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Now do you have any
8	exceptions or
9	MR. GEORGE: I do not.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
11	MR. BRUNSON: Hearing none
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Hearing none, then
13	we'll accept the financial report.
14	5 AGENDA APPROVAL
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Agenda approval, you have
16	the meeting agenda in front of you. All right.
17	Any changes or amendments? Again, I had asked
18	that people do this prior to this meeting so we
19	know what our what we can put on here what

- our time frame is. So, a motion to accept the
- agenda as presented?
- MR. GEORGE: So moved.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Hearing nothing, the
- agenda will be accepted.
- 25 Committee reports. MPO?

1	6 COMMITTEE REPORTS
2	MR. BRUNSON: MPO, we had a meeting on the
3	13th. And again, was a little bit of discussion
4	this time about priorities and and the St.
5	Johns contingency or whatever. We're pushing hard
6	for 9B and the outer beltway. And and we had
7	the election of officers, and we we strongly
8	thought that somebody from St. Johns County should
9	be at least vice chairman. That didn't happen.
10	But we have got some good officers and in line
11	for seats.
12	And and Tom Manuel was elected treasurer.
13	So, if things go, he would then become vice
14	chairman, and then two years from now would be
15	chairman. So, that's about all the report on MPO.
16	MR. GEORGE: EDC had a nice presentation or
17	an update on where they stand with the park I
18	mean, the the industrial park. And I think I
19	mentioned at the last meeting, we have a little

20	problem in that we own a big piece of what's
21	already zoned for industrial park, but because we
22	own it, we can only do a land lease ourselves.
23	I suggested to them that they look at buying
24	the rest of it, with our concurrence and, you
25	know, restrictions that we have, because most of

1	the people that are saying that they want to get
2	into an industrial park want to own the land and
3	own the building. They don't want a long-term
4	lease type of thing so so we might have to ask
5	for an execution of our agreement where we gave
6	them \$25,000 for the study, provided we were
7	selected, and so if we don't get selected, we get
8	the \$25- back, so
9	MR. BRUNSON: Do you think excuse me,
10	Madam. Do you think that's completely accurate
11	that most people want to own the land?
12	MR. GEORGE: It was presented by the staff
13	and they had statistics by percentages and stuff
14	like that. But, yeah, people wanted to own the
15	land.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Hmm. I find that strange.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
18	MR. GORMAN: I have a question for you.
10	MR GEORGE: Yeah

20	MR. GORMAN: Is if we're part of this
21	land for this industrial park is going to be owned
22	by the airport, correct?
23	MR. GEORGE: No. What the whole thrust was,
24	Jack, was to analyze the needs for an industrial
25	park in St. Johns County. One of the reasons they

1	are saying that we have such a high residential
2	and low business is because we don't have any
3	areas identified as industrial areas which are
4	close to rail, close to the population, close to
5	blah, blah, blah.
6	There were three sites that they were going
7	to look at, which has turned into four. One of
8	them was the site that we already own most of the
9	land, too.
10	MR. GORMAN: So in other words, the
11	discussions are including using land purchased for
12	aviation use by the airport.
13	MR. GEORGE: Yes.
14	MR. GORMAN: My my concern being the land
15	that the airport used to be used for aviation use
16	and not for general industrial, as it was
17	originally purchased for
18	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
19	MR. GORMAN: under the statutes and all

- 20 that.
- MR. GEORGE: Don't have a --
- MR. GORMAN: Just curious as to --
- MR. GEORGE: No, I understand what you're
- saying.
- MR. GORMAN: -- as to where the

1 conversation's going, right. That's all. 2 MR. GEORGE: It's a lot like approving a parrot house for aviation. 3 4 MR. GORMAN: I understand. Just curious as 5 to --6 MR. GEORGE: But we -- we don't own all of it. And I think that my speculation would be at 7 the time we bought it, the property could have 8 been used for an expansion of the airport, 9 10 aviation-related functions into it. There's more of the property behind and 11 12 further to the north than what we own -- own, that we do not own, that they could come in, the County 13 could purchase it and then start upgrading the --14 you know, putting all the facilities in for roads 15 16 and stuff like that. MR. GORMAN: So, then my second-to-last 17 question -- I'll just be brief -- is that, in 18 other words, say the County does this and it's 19

20	ready and we have land that could be used, they
21	want to use, but the aviation it is not
22	aviation purposed, can the County in fact get or
23	trade this land with us so that we can get a
24	county land elsewhere, and then they can
25	MR. GEORGE: That's one of the options

- 1 MR. GORMAN: That's probably my end question.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: -- that I discussed with Nick.
- 3 MR. GORMAN: Right.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: And I told him that I would have
- 5 to discuss it with Ed, which I did, about what are
- 6 the options of us taking land that we used
- 7 Department of Transportation funds or federal
- 8 funds to acquire for aviation, and in essence,
- 9 swapping that for land, i.e. for a second runway
- or a runway to the west.
- MR. GORMAN: That's exactly my thought, yes.
- MR. GEORGE: You know -- and I think Ed's
- going to discuss that when he gets into the land
- acquisition plan.
- 15 MR. GORMAN: All right. Thank you.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- 18 MR. GEORGE: Intergovernmental meets next
- 19 month.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next month?
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Aerospace?
- MR. WUELLNER: No meeting until --
- MS. BARRERA: Yeah, we didn't have one this
- 25 month.

1	MR. WUELLNER: February, I don't think.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: PR.
3	MS. BARRERA: The only main thing that came
4	up with the PR committee that we're looking at
5	going towards the future is Phil Boyer, the
6	president of AOPA, coming, and trying to get that
7	information out to the community in January,
8	January 12th.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just wanted to make a
10	comment, and I spoke to Sacha before I came here.
11	Just I don't know how long this meeting's going
12	to run, but I just wanted to mention, I received a
13	very, very nice letter. And my fault, I didn't
14	get back to the office to get it because I was
15	going to read it into the record, but I will
16	provide it to Ed from a citizen of St. Johns
17	County who had something happen in his life, and
18	the airport and Bjorn and a number of other
19	airport entities, they were astounded by what

20	services were available at this airport to help
21	his family when his father died and a funeral
22	situation, availability of flights and what have
23	you. And it's a very touching letter. But
24	commending the airport for what we have here.
25	And he sent it to me. He was going to send

1	it to the press, whatever, but I want I had a
2	preset copy, and I will provide that to Ed.
3	But it was very nice that somebody out
4	there and maybe we just need to get out more
5	information. But it was a good letter about what
6	the citizens can get, have available here.
7	MS. BARRERA: The only other thing I would
8	add to that is the Florida aviation business
9	magazine did a spread on St. Augustine and our
10	county airport, and I would hope that Michael
11	Slingluff would would speak about that. But
12	it's a great a great article.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Regular meeting
14	reports. Mr. Sanchez?
15	7 REPORTS
16	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Me? I don't really
17	have anything except to follow up on the
18	industrial thing. I realize part of our problem
19	has been when businesses come in I think we've

20	lost two or three businesses last year, that the
21	person out searching can't go back to his boss and
22	say, well, yeah, okay, we can go in St. Johns
23	County and we can start building in eight to nine
24	months. And the boss is going to say no. When
25	they want to do something, they're ready to do it.

1	I had a discussion with Mr. Green from the
2	water district, and he advised me there is a fast
3	track with the water district. And, of course, I
4	said, "Oh, really?"
5	And he said, "Yeah."
6	I said, "How come we don't know about it?"
7	He said, "No one's ever asked about it."
8	I found that kind of shocking. And I think
9	that would really help the business part as far as
10	industrial development and business development
11	So, I'm having our administrator set up an
12	appointment with Nick, our administrator, and
13	myself. We're going to go over and sit down at
14	his desk and find out just what he's talking
15	about, because I had never heard of it. So and
16	Nick had never heard of it. So, evidently they've
17	had it hidden real well.
18	We're going to work on that and see if we
19	can't increase the chances of getting more

20	businesses in here.	
21	Unless someone's got some questions about	
22	anything going on with the county, I don't really	
23	have any further report.	

- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Seeing none, thank
- you.

1 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael, Galaxy? 2 3 MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to -- new to report 4 that -- Galaxy Aviation, other than today, I'm sure Ed will allude -- give you some more on this, 5 was we had our first flight to the Boston market 6 today, which is pretty neat, and we have two 7 8 flights tonight. So, we're up to three flights a day. Ed can tell you about the passenger loads on 9 10 that. And, Kelly, you mentioned the FATA magazine. 11 That's sent out to about 7,000 people, flight 12 departments, commercial operations around the 13 U.S., and it -- it does feature the St. Augustine 14 Airport. Came out and it looks nice. 15 16 MS. BARRERA: Very nice. 17 MR. SLINGLUFF: It looks real good, so we'll get a lot of coverage with it. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. 19

20	MR. SLINGLUFF: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there any way we can
22	utilize that for local?
23	MS. BARRERA: I think Cindy was going to make
24	copies of it and Bryan had a couple of extra
25	and e-mail it out to the different members of the

1	board and also to anybody else who'd be interested
2	in receiving a copy of it.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can we send it to
4	St. Augustine Record or something that might want
5	to do something on it?
6	MR. WUELLNER: We can.
7	MR. SLINGLUFF: We can send cases of it
8	wherever you want it.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just think it would be
10	good. I mean, because local, local is what we
11	want, you know, to get disseminate information.
12	MS. MARTIN: You need to send it to the
13	County Commissioners, too.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That's what I would
15	think. The County Commissioners, send it to the
16	media, send it to whatever, Florida Trend,
17	whatever we can, to get the word out there. Ron?
18	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Anytime you might want
19	to get something out, you know, all it all it's

20	going to take really is just to talk with me and
21	I'll have it put on the agenda, and you just come
22	in and make a presentation to the board to update
23	the board. That automatically sends you out over
24	the government channel to everyone that's watching
25	that. And even though some of us find that kind

of boring, believe me, there's a lot of people 1 2 watch that. And they would be getting information they're not getting now. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Absolutely. 4 5 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: So, that's an avenue to use, and it's totally free. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 7 8 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay? 9 MR. GEORGE: I would think that our PR committee would have a press release, name and 10 address of who all press releases go to, and 11 something like this to go out to them, because 12 that might be enough to tickle them to add 13 something to it and everything. So, not just The 14 Record but all the newspapers. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's -- I was just off the 17 top of my head, whomever. 18 MR. GEORGE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anything. Yeah. 19

20	MS. BARRERA: Cindy has a list.
21	MR. BRUNSON: And and they're starved for
22	news. They they really will put it in there.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, Kelly, if you guys
24	find out if you want to put it on the commission
25	meeting, that's fine. Just

1	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: And, you know, just a
2	representative to come over or or one of the
3	board members can come over and do it.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
5	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: You know.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We can do it.
7	MR. GEORGE: Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I can do it.
9	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I know you have some
10	pluses to talk about. The award you got a while
11	back is one of them.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. If you'd just
13	let me know ahead of time, I'd be glad to unless
14	any other representative, Ed, or someone wants to
15	do it, that that's fine. I don't want just
16	I'm available to do it. If anyone wants to
17	MS. BARRERA: That would be great.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Northrop?
19	(Representative absent.)

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: SAAPA? I didn't see Mike.
- 21 Oh, there he is.
- MR. THOMPSON: I tried to get taller.
- MR. GEORGE: You've accomplished your
- 24 mission.
- MR. THOMPSON: I'm waiting to catch up with

1 Mr. Gorman. Oh, thank you. This will be my last -- my last report for 2 3 SAAPA -- or for SAAPA, rather. Millie Huggins has been elected president this year. Our immediate 4 5 past president, Ms. Reba Ludlow, will be taking over the liaison responsibilities. And I guess 6 that's the -- the biggest news. 7 8 We do have Phil Boyer from AOPA will be here 9 on the 12th. I have e-mailed and asked about someone that can give him a terminal tour and all 10 a little beforehand. It hasn't gotten much 11 response yet. Haven't gotten any response yet. 12 But other -- other than that, Mr. Slingluff has 13 been extremely helpful. 14 The meeting -- the normal SAAPA meeting will 15 16 occur at the normal SAAPA meeting time, which is 9 17 o'clock on Saturday morning. And then Mr. Boyer will be here in the neighborhood of 11:30. And 18

then his -- his presentation will start at noon

19

20	in in Galaxy's hangar.
21	And we're working on getting some publicity
22	out and and posted in the various airports and
23	through some online venues. We're looking forward
24	to a big day. The public is invited, although I
25	can't imagine many people outside of aviation

1	interest and pilots would want to attend. But
2	anybody's welcome to attend that would that
3	would like to come.
4	Thank you all very much. It's been an honor
5	and a privilege to to talk to y'all this year.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mike.
7	MR. GEORGE: I'd like to add one thing to
8	what you said. A lot of people probably in this
9	room don't know who Phil Boyer is. But Phil Boyer
10	is the president of the Aircraft Owners and Pilots
11	Association, probably the single largest group to
12	support general aviation across the United States
13	and really across the world, dealing with lobbying
14	and and the like.
15	So, it's I think it would behoove somebody
16	from Staff to spend an hour or so in showing him
17	around, Ed, if I can get a commitment to that.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
19	MR. GEORGE: Okay.

- MS. BARRERA: I think they're 450,000 strong.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: How many?
- MS. BARRERA: Four hundred and fifty
- thousand.
- MR. GEORGE: Four hundred and fifty
- 25 thousand --

- 1 MS. BARRERA: Membership strong.
- 2 MR. GEORGE: The president's coming here.
- 3 MS. BARRERA: Right. I mean, it's a big deal
- 4 for our area.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 6 MS. BARRERA: It's a very big deal.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
- 8 MS. BARRERA: Something for everybody to be
- 9 excited about, and anybody here in this room could
- 10 come and listen to him speak.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's Saturday, January
- 12 12th?
- MS. BARRERA: Uh-huh.
- 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And he'll -- his
- presentation starts around noon?
- MR. THOMPSON: Yes, ma'am.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, his time here is
- short. But, yeah, that would be very good if we
- could at least get someone to show him around the

20	terminal	for a	a little	bit	before.

- MR. GEORGE: I'm sure he might want to see
- the field and just to understand from our side of
- it what -- what we see as lacking, what we
- 24 question is going to continue funding-wise and --
- and the like. Get him on our side, yeah.

1	MS. BARRERA: Another thing to add on to
2	that, Wayne, is that anybody from the public can
3	get a tour of the airport. Bryan conducts tours
4	all the time. It would be best to have it during
5	his work hours, of course. But he does do that
6	for the public.
7	MR. GEORGE: Good.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Bjorn? I did not see
9	Bjorn. Doug?
10	MR. BURNETT: Just a sort of a more of an FYI
11	as to what I've been up to, because a lot of times
12	you don't see it or or know what's going on.
13	We've been working on some interesting issues.
14	And and on this weekly telephone conferences
15	that I have with the folks at Grumman working on
16	some issues related to their leasehold and what
17	how we define their leasehold, had some old leases
18	that we've now gotten put in one of these
19	notebooks that we can track and figure out what's

20	going on.
21	But and interesting is we're modernizing
22	the legal descriptions. Unlike many of the
23	tenants that you have on the property, Grumman is
24	one of those tenants that actually owns some of
25	their own dirt underneath their buildings. And

1	where those lines are drawn and the like, we've
2	been working through some issues there.
3	Wrapping up some issues with Galaxy Aviation.
4	Working on the rental car agreement. Working on
5	now a taxicab agreement. And in fact, I had asked
6	some Ed some questions about that before we
7	started the meeting.
8	And I I'm foreseeing that with the RFPs
9	that are going on, it looks like we may be working
10	on another couple of other contracts here in the
11	future, including the advertising-related
12	contracts potentially. So, that's about it.
13	I won't steal the rest of Ed's thunder,
14	because I may speak about some of the other agenda
15	items that are on there for today.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Tower?
17	MR. WUELLNER: I believe David's out of town
18	Is this on? Okay. All right. Let's try that.

Had the battery in backwards. The -- just happens

19

- some days.
- 21 All right. The traffic report is up on the
- screen. Eighty-four -- you're about 8400 ops
- below the 2008 forecast.
- MR. BURNETT: Ed, it's -- I don't think it's
- picking up.

- 1 MR. WUELLNER: Off again? Okay.
- 2 MR. BURNETT: Now it's working.
- 3 MR. WUELLNER: It is?
- 4 MR. BURNETT: Is it a Duracell or an
- 5 Energizer?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: It doesn't look to be battery.
- 7 It looks maybe some other operator error on my
- 8 end. Anyway, it's about 8400 below the forecast.
- 9 And I -- I've really got to tell you, I think
- 10 you're -- you're staring at fuel-related --
- 11 fuel-related decreases in activity over all.
- We've seen from 2005-2007, fuel's literally twice
- as expensive as it was, so...
- MR. GEORGE: That would be an interesting
- chart to see. And I don't know of anybody that's
- got that information, but we could show year by
- year what the average price of fuel went, because
- 18 I think it definitely had a big impact on it.
- 19 MR. WUELLNER: Affects flight training,

20	flights you know, everything. Because that
21	ultimately the students pay for the fuel one way
22	or another in a flight training agreement also.
23	MR. GEORGE: Well, we have added two
24	additional with Skybus, and those two additional
25	takeoffs and landings a day is 120 for the month.

- 1 And when we complete our 42 hangars in March and
- 2 get those occupied, that should increase it
- 3 somewhat also.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: I would think so.
- 5 MS. BARRERA: And -- and I'd just like to
- 6 point out something that Bryan mentioned to me. I
- 7 think it needs to be brought up when we're looking
- 8 at the traffic count. This is for when the
- 9 tower's operational. So, this is only a 14-hour
- picture that's taken account in this -- in this
- slide.
- MR. WUELLNER: Exactly right.
- MS. BARRERA: So, when the -- when some of
- those flights are occurring and the -- and the
- tower is closed, they're not even being marked on
- this.
- MR. GEORGE: The same ones weren't being
- 18 marked 2004.
- MS. BARRERA: Not necessarily, because you've

- got -- you've got Skybus coming in after tower
- closes.
- MR. BRUNSON: Haven't had Skybus at night,
- yet, have we?
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- MS. BARRERA: A better picture.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Project updates.
2	8 PROJECT UPDATES
3	MR. WUELLNER: Project updates, we have
4	several things to bring to your attention,
5	T-hangar development; the rental car facility
6	developments; terminal operations report; and
7	marketing and public relations; and leasing
8	activities.
9	First on the list is the T-hangar
10	development. Have to report construction is
11	underway, as you're well aware of. Slab work
12	should begin this month or later this month. I've
13	seen they've started putting Crushcrete down under
14	where the slabs will actually be poured in in
15	most of these places. And it's really shaping up.
16	Still looking at early 2008.
17	Matt sent me an aerial here that we put up on
18	the screen for you that gives you an idea how that
10	lave out. I think Andre over at the Old City

20	Helicopter took this in one of his fly-bys and
21	gave us a shot of it here. But you can get a feel
22	for how the buildings are laying out on the
23	property and how the stands of trees are still
24	there and, you know, get kind of get a feel for
25	how the plan's, you know, becoming reality back

1	there, complete with, you know, drainage retention
2	areas down in this area. And, of course, you can
3	easily start picking out where the hangar
4	buildings begin to lay out. So, it's it's
5	coming along very well. So, we're very pleased
6	with that. And expect great progress over the
7	next month or so.
8	Next, rental car facility information. It is
9	in design now, as approved back in November.
10	Expect to bid this project in January, during the
11	month of January. Hasn't really appreciably
12	changed from what was originally given to you
13	about when the project was presented for the
14	engineering agreement signed.
15	We will try to bring this back to you at the
16	January meeting with the final plans and specs
17	available, so if there are any last-minute
18	comments before that would normally go out to bid,
19	we will do that.

20	Basically, provide space for three tenant
21	tenant rental car companies and all of the makeup,
22	as well as vehicle prep areas, and even public
23	access to those areas or to to certain areas to
24	be able to do local rentals in addition to
25	aircraft-related or airline-related rentals.

1	And no appreciable change in budget at this
2	point. It's all pretty much fitting in nicely at
3	this at this point. We'll be able to update
4	you with the engineer estimate at the point we go
5	to bid, too, so
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I was wondering
7	MR. WUELLNER: should be able to get
8	final, our best guess, what it will look like
9	after it's bid in terms of numbers. So,
10	everything looks good.
11	And as Doug alluded to, we're wrapping up the
12	agreements with the rental car companies. We have
13	a meeting two days from now to meet with attorneys
14	and and senior staff at the rental car
15	companies and get those agreements executed so
16	that we get in the queue beginning to collect
17	the the concession fees related to the
18	agreement. So
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: How much do you see now

- with -- I know we're just -- we're just commencing
  the night service in Boston and whatever,
  congestion do you see without having this right
  now?
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't think it's workable
- right now. We've already -- we've had -- just

1	give you an update on the flow. The last in
2	the last, I want to say it was Thursday, TSA
3	showed up and found rental car gate open down the
4	street, which, you know, thankfully we're still in
5	a learning curve with TSA, and they were kind
6	enough to not fine the airport for the gate being
7	opened. But it just illustrates the problems
8	trying to make this fit in an area it's not
9	designed to be in.
10	And we have since moved the rental car return
11	area to the area you may have noticed the
12	parking blocks along the service road out front.
13	That's literally become the rental car return area
14	now. And the parking lot down the street that's
15	outside the fence is now the pickup area for the
16	vehicles, so the rental car companies are staging
17	those vehicles outside the fence and then
18	resecuring that during peak times, which would be
19	evenings in this case.

20	There's there's still a possibility that
21	we have to the rental car companies have to
22	escort rental car pickups out the gate or through
23	the gate and and back out. So, we're we're
24	watching that development to see how it goes. We
25	expect the real crunch over the next two weeks as

we get through the holiday period. Once we're 1 2 through the holiday period, I think we'll 3 normalize again and it will be manageable all 4 outside the fence. But literally we're using right-of-way of 5 roads and -- and parking lot that really wasn't 6 designed for rental cars that -- to make sure the 7 8 rental cars operation still works. We're also working with our tenant rental car 9 companies to try and vacate as much of the 10 terminal area parking lot as possible over the 11 next two weeks and operate remotely as possible so 12 13 that that lot becomes available to the maximum extent over the holidays, also, because we really 14 believe we'll probably max out, especially for 15 several days, the entire parking operation 16 17 associated with the terminal. So, while that's good news for the revenue bottom line, it does 18

create some operational issues that we're working

19

20	through.
21	And we we expect the parking lot portion
22	of this, just as a last FYI on this, to be usable
23	by March. And we're exploring with the engineers
24	some some rev not innovative, but certainly
25	some creative uses of of pervious pavement

1	which will allow water to drain through elements
2	of an asphalt-type material which will allow us to
3	develop closer to some of the larger trees in
4	that in that parcel, without impacting the root
5	systems and the like. So, it will actually
6	maximize the use of the property a little bit
7	better.
8	Product seems to be really good, and that
9	seems to be a pretty good location for it. So,
10	we're that's that's in the mix right now.
11	Not necessarily for the whole parking lot, because
12	that would be probably overkill of the product.
13	But certainly around larger trees where, you know,
14	you would you would literally cut them off from
15	their food supply and water supply to the trees.
16	So, in order to keep those those trees going,
17	we're going to we're going to explore some of
18	those methods.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Within our cost?

20	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, yeah. Absolutely. And
21	it's not it's an interesting product in that
22	it it doesn't require you to do the drainage
23	preparation for it. So, where what you spend
24	extra on the product, you don't spend on site work
25	and moving water and holding it in spots. So,

1	it it's certainly got some advantages and some
2	disadvantages.
3	I don't think it's ever going to be an
4	airfield kind of pavement just by virtue of how
5	it's designed. But it's got some great uses for
6	light or lighter weight vehicles like cars and
7	light trucks.
8	All right. Terminal operation milestones.
9	November traffic inbound was 3613 passengers,
10	outbound was 3540 for the month of November, which
11	represents an 82 percent load factor. So, traffic
12	remains strong at this point with the airline.
13	Evening operations, you're probably aware
14	that we went to nighttime arrivals and departures
15	for the single flight a day for the period of
16	December 5th through yesterday evening. And
17	beginning today, we pick up two additional
18	flights, so we still have two evening arrivals and
19	departures. And to my knowledge, hasn't generated

20	still a single noise complaint or any other issue
21	related to the airline operation here.
22	And we picked up now we have a daily round
23	trip to the Boston market and a second round trip
24	to Columbus. And we still pick up an
25	additional or I should say a new round trip

- city, with Greensboro, beginning January 15th.
- 2 So, that will come in at about noon each day.
- 3 So, currently we have arrivals first thing in
- 4 the morning. First arrival is scheduled at 7:50
- 5 a.m. And the last departure is scheduled out at
- 6 10:35 at night. That certainly varied. There are
- 7 a lot of weather issues this time of year, and
- 8 that -- that's been all over. It's been as late
- 9 as 2 o'clock in the morning and it's been as early
- as on time. So, that's all over the place.
- MR. GEORGE: Where's the 7:15 arrival coming
- 12 from?
- MR. WUELLNER: The 7:50 arrival comes from
- 14 Columbus and goes outbound to Boston.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: And then the 9 o'clock arrival
- in the evening is the inbound from Boston, with an
- outbound to Columbus.
- 19 MR. GEORGE: Okay.

20	MR. WUELLNER: Followed by a round trip to
21	Columbus at that point right after it.
22	And then the the January flight is a
23	noontime flight. I think it arrives a few minutes
24	before 12:00 and leaves a few minutes after 12:00
25	each day. And that's strictly an up-back to

1	Greensboro.
2	Any other any Skybus questions or any
3	curiosities at this point? Yes, sir.
4	MR. GORMAN: As far as their staff levels
5	that they are going to require, that's a concern
6	of mine. In other words, you said they're going
7	to be adding staff to comply with FAA regulations
8	as to, you know, staff levels for whatever
9	logistics they need.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
11	MR. GORMAN: And my only concern was that
12	they be on Skybus's payroll, not ours.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Well, that's not really
14	how the arrangements work for that. That's part
15	of our that comes out of our revenue side.
16	That's a part of that airline financial model that
17	we presented a few weeks back, six weeks back.
18	MR. GORMAN: So, they have to be municipal
19	employees?

- MR. WUELLNER: They do not have to be, but
- 21 they don't -- they don't employ anybody on
- St. Augustine Airport. And -- and they don't do
- that anywhere else.
- MR. GORMAN: I'm just concerned with
- building -- building staff that may have to then

1	be let go later should there be anything else
2	MR. WUELLNER: Well, we start
3	MR. GORMAN: Just trying to put it on their
4	ticket, not ours.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It's it's paid for
6	out of the revenue side of the airline operation.
7	It is a single full-time position, basically, that
8	we're dividing into two part-time positions to
9	cover the hours we need during the time. Daytime
10	ops are covered by existing staff.
11	MR. GORMAN: So, specifically, we are adding
12	municipal employees?
13	MR. WUELLNER: We're adding one employee
14	well, one full-time position. Equivalent of two
15	part-times in this case.
16	MR. GORMAN: And how many over the next 12
17	months do you feel we'll have to add?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I don't anticipate anymore
19	after that. We only need to have one, and it's

- 20 only required for the after-hours portion of the
- 21 flight when the tower's closed.
- MR. GORMAN: I just wanted to be specific.
- Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know when you say "two
- part-time," that also limits us as to exposure for

- 1 whatever a full-time benefit person would be.
- 2 MR. WUELLNER: To some degree. You know,
- 3 after, it think it's 90 days or six months, we --
- 4 we're required by statute to include them in
- 5 Florida retirement after that point. But --
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Even if they're only part
- 7 time?
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: You just don't have a choice
- in that.
- MR. BRUNSON: Ed, just curious. Have you --
- have you -- are you through interviewing? Have
- 14 you had the people now you want?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. We had, I don't even
- remember the total, but it was probably --
- MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: Well over 50.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- well over 50 applicants. I
- 19 know we narrowed it down to 8 or 10, talked about

- a bunch of them. We've interviewed three at this
- 21 point, hoping to come to some conclusion in the
- next day or so.
- MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. I know you'll be glad --
- MR. WUELLNER: A lot of good applicants.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- so you don't have to work at

night. 1 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that would be nice. You 2 3 know, Kevin and I have pretty much been trading that a couple of nights each at a time. Works out 4 pretty well. We're -- we're enjoying it. Other 5 6 than the cold last night, it was short-sleeve weather for the three days prior. 7 8 Nothing new to report on leases at this point. We're -- we're pretty much updated as a 9 result of the project updates. Here we go. 10 MR. GEORGE: Ed, I'm sorry to go back to one. 11 MR. WUELLNER: That's all right. 12 MR. GEORGE: Have you investigated the 13 possibility of getting FAA to keep the tower open 14 for another two hours or whatever --15 16 MR. WUELLNER: We are -- we are working that. 17 Unfortunately, it's like a six-month process to

18

19

get through to get hours extended.

MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine. But it has been

20	started.
21	MR. WUELLNER: We are trying to justify it,
22	because it requires a justification to them. It's
23	not simply just asking. You've got to have
24	operations that average at least four operations
25	per hour. You want to extend it averaged over 90

1	days, so you have to first develop the data and
2	make sure you meet the minimum requirements. Then
3	you get in the queue for them to add to the
4	contract, FAA's contract with RVA that that
5	mans the tower. So, it's there's nothing quick
6	about the response to it. They can do an
7	occasional special event, but they're not set up
8	to do to do nightly extensions.
9	And so far, honestly, I don't I'd say that
10	only 50 or 60 percent of the later-flight
11	operations would occur within even a two-hour
12	extension. So, you know, you'd be in a sense
13	almost unnecessarily extending the the
14	operating hours of the tower for a takeoff and a
15	landing.
16	I'm not seeing a lot of I've been out
17	there now better part of two weeks, as has Kevin,
18	and, I mean, I couldn't tell you right now that we
19	probably satisfy the requirement, to be honest

- with you. You just -- part of that's because it's
- 21 not -- the service isn't available, so some --
- some needs go with the turf. Okay. Yeah, I think
- 23 that's --
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Agenda item?
- 25 9.A. PROJECT INSPECTION AGREEMENT FOR

1	SOUTH DEVELOPMENT
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. First item I have is
3	the RPR services for the T-hangar development
4	area, the recommended award to Passero at
5	\$120,000, and that would be subject to FDOT
6	concurrence, which we just got in his hands
7	this evening. That equates to about 3 percent of
8	the total budget process. Typically, this could
9	be as much as 8 or 9 percent of the total project
10	budget.
11	Keep in mind that the T-hangar project, which
12	is a combination of two things, which is the
13	the hangar buildings themselves, as well as the
14	site work, those represent about just under a \$4
15	million total project. So, it's not \$120,000
16	isn't out of out of the well or realm of
17	normal for a project of that size. That
18	represents about 3 percent of the project.
19	And and in order to assure that we're

20	getting what we're paying for out on that job
21	site, they've been literally kind of covering that
22	for the last 30 days while construction's been
23	ongoing. So, it would be our recommendation you
24	approve the contract with Passero for the
25	engineering services, for the RPR services.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reda? Public public
2	comment, I'm going to open up. Reba, you had a
3	nothing? I had nobody else on this one. Board
4	comment?
5	MR. GORMAN: Just one. It's another one of
6	my slightly acidic comments. I mean, we had a
7	\$74,000 loss. They're doing a good job and so
8	we had a loss before. Due to this and it can
9	be discussible as to who who and why and what,
10	but can we get a little discount on \$120-? Let's
11	say 20 percent off? A little special there
12	because we had to pay \$74,000 for the discrepancy
13	before? And I rest my case. That's all.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
16	MR. BRUNSON: With this \$120-, we'll still be
17	in budget?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. And it is eligible
19	under the FDOT reimbursement, too. It's an

- eligible item, let's put it that way.
- MR. BRUNSON: Well, I think it might be a
- value.
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh.
- MR. BRUNSON: And that we need somebody to
- check behind us.

1	MR. WUELLNER: It's a big project.
2	MR. BRUNSON: It's that's a lot of money
3	for the amount of time you're talking about, but I
4	think in ratio, it would be worth it for the
5	project.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Keep in mind your project
7	duration is between six and seven months, I mean,
8	so you're literally paying a full-time person to
9	be overseeing that that aspect of the project
10	for for seven months.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further comment?
12	MR. BRUNSON: Ed, is is this in your
13	opinion, is this negotiable?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Only in that you could
15	possibly look at ways to reduce the total hours
16	commitment. But the total length of the project
17	is pretty well fixed by contract. These guys
18	are at least right now, they're working from 7
19	a.m. till dark, at least the site guys are. I

20	expect that to back off a little bit when they get
21	into the building part of it for for daylight
22	reasons only.
23	I don't know. It's you tell me I'm going
24	to negotiate it with them and that's what we're
25	going to do.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just don't want anything
2	to happen as we had before. I'd rather have
3	someone on-site watching it, responsible.
4	MR. GEORGE: Well, I've heard some stories
5	about what they've already found, so
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
7	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we approve
8	the making the award to Passero, \$120,000, for
9	this project.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
11	MS. BARRERA: I'll second it.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
13	discussion?
14	(No further discussion.)
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
16	I'm sorry.
17	MR. BRUNSON: We've had a motion and a
18	second. Can we have a discussion?
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's what I said, any

$\Delta \Delta$	C 41	1 1	1.	. 0
20	further	hoard	CI1CCI	iiccian 7
<b>4</b> 0	IUIUICI	ooaru	uisc	ussion:

- 21 MR. BRUNSON: Okay. Just I would -- I would
- 22 like to review this and -- and see if there's a
- possibility of -- of the percentage being lowered
- to 2 percent.
- MR. GEORGE: My reaction to that is if Ed

1 says that this typically is an 8 percent deal, and we got it down to 3 percent, that's -- that's an 2 indication to me that Passero is working with us 3 4 on it. But... MR. BRUNSON: And I know they are, but 3 5 percent of a \$4 million project, and the time 6 spent and -- and what the normal cost-plus, and --7 and you have liabilities, and I know Passero has 8 liabilities of making recommendation reports and 9 so forth and -- but just personally, I think --10 and I think we need this desperately, and I'm 11 glad -- I'm glad we're going to do this. But I 12 just think that --13 MR. WUELLNER: It's about \$40,000 difference 14 from what -- what you're saying at 2 --15 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: From 3 to 2. MR. WUELLNER: -- 3 to 2 is. 17

MR. BRUNSON: And -- but I certainly support

the -- them being awarded the contract to do this,

file:///A|/MTG121707.txt (83 of 322)1/4/2008 3:33:40 PM

18

19

20	and I'm grateful they are. But I just know that
21	what I do is in my building business and
22	cost-plus and so forth, that this figure of 8
23	percent, that seems high to me, too.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, where did you get that
25	figure of 8 percent as typical? Just

1	MR. WUELLNER: Just typical
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: in the industry?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Typical on our jobs. They run
4	them close to 8 percent on them. The total
5	engineering related to jobs typically gets up
6	close to 20, 25 percent of the job. So doesn't
7	always, but just
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
9	MR. WUELLNER: it depends on types of
10	projects.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And would this 3 percent be
12	one of the lowest we've had?
13	MR. WUELLNER: I would say it would be, yeah,
14	other than when we tried that brief period of
15	trying do it in-house, which we were advised not
16	to do.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. All right. Any
18	further board comment?
19	(No further discussion.)

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have a first and a second,
- so take a vote. All in favor of accepting Staff's
- recommendation, say aye.
- MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- MS. BARRERA: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
2	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
4	(No opposition.)
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: None opposed, then the
6	recommendation of Staff will pass.
7	9.B RFP TERMINAL ADVERTISING SERVICES
8	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Now that we spent a
9	little money, let's make a little money.
10	The next item I have is a suggestion that we
11	go ahead and prepare and solicit for terminal
12	advertising services in and around the terminal,
13	commercial terminal in particular, and to a
14	limited extent can even be expanded into the GA
15	terminal.
16	First looks of that with people who do this
17	for a living estimated that there's somewhere
18	between \$80- and \$120,000 a year in new revenue
19	available through advertising in the commercial

20	terminal in particular. There's no cost
21	expectation to the Authority, meaning there's no
22	output of money. It's a it's a percentage of
23	the revenue derived from the ads, is basically how
24	it's done. And and it really will depend on
25	the the proposals we get, but I would expect it

1	will be anywhere from 40 to 60 percent return to
2	the Airport Authority, depending on nature of the
3	RFP that ultimately gets out there.
4	It would take, you know, typically 60 to 90
5	days to get through selecting a firm doing the
6	advertising, selecting the firm, getting somebody
7	actively engaged in selling those advertisements
8	and the like.
9	The firm I talked to appears to put it in the
10	context of taking kind of a what I call
11	holistic approach in the whole terminal area, in
12	other words, making the advertising and and how
13	it's used in the terminal part of the larger plan
14	and making it fit in terms of not just sticking
15	things on walls and and selling that space, but
16	making it almost into a design service, if you
17	will, or an interior design kind of concept in
18	that in that what they do is design to
10	complement the building not just be barsh

20	advertising all over the place.
21	And we to a limited extent, we can control
22	the types of ads that are sold to to a
23	reasonable extent, too, so that we don't have, you
24	know, strip club down the street being the primary
25	advertiser in the terminal or something along that

1	line. You can limit, you know, what you what's
2	acceptable in the public terminal.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I have to do public
4	first.
5	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
6	MR. WUELLNER: And at the conclusion of this,
7	we need to talk about how to do the selection
8	process, if we're going to do that, you know,
9	somewhat classically, solicit the proposals and
10	then interview firms to do this, or you could form
11	a committee or something to make a recommendation
12	back to the Authority, what whatever suits
13	your whatever you would like to do.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Going to open it to public
15	comment.
16	MR. WUELLNER: This isn't as complicated as a
17	consultant selection in the truest sense, but
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reha you're the only one

that --

19

- MS. LUDLOW: I'm good.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You had a public comment,
- Mr. Gorman?
- MR. GORMAN: I was just curious as to the
- revenue stream, how they were going to base that.
- 25 In other words, this -- in other words, the -- you

1	can base a revenue from advertising on passenger
2	counts, or you can base it on one of the odder
3	ways I've seen is per inquiries. How do they
4	have you got any idea how you would like to play
5	that or or how are you thinking about doing
6	that?
7	MR. WUELLNER: The only numbers that we ran
8	were related to this call it a hundred thousand
9	dollar number. And when you plugged it into
10	expected enplanements over here
11	MR. GORMAN: So, it would be
12	MR. WUELLNER: you're looking at about a
13	dollar a passenger, which is huge. I can tell you
14	the national average is in the 10 to 15 cents a
15	passenger kind of return on advertising. But
16	you're talking huger huge.
17	MR. GORMAN: Talking about zero load to
18	the to the Authority.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Zero load, yeah, exactly.

20	MR. GORMAN: So, they're doing digital kiosks
21	and things like that.
22	MR. WUELLNER: They're doing any of those
23	kind of expenses, they're with the exception of
24	it's lighted or something like that, would be, you

know, plugged into our outlet.

- 1 MR. GORMAN: Like electricity, right.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, in other words, they --
- 3 they work on commission, the advertising company.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: Essentially that's what you're
- 5 doing, is you're hiring a firm to handle the
- 6 sales, and they work on commission and we get the
- 7 rest. And that would be based on how they propose
- 8 it, so...
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
- MR. BRUNSON: Do -- do we need board approval
- for this? It seems like something this --
- something you would do.
- MR. WUELLNER: You know --
- MR. BRUNSON: You know, if you want us to
- look at your --
- MR. WUELLNER: It does --
- MR. BRUNSON: -- the -- the company you
- select are good, but this seems a no-brainer to
- 19 me.

20	MR. WUELLNER: Ordinarily, you would solicit
21	proposals for something like this, just just to
22	be sure you're getting the best possible revenues.
23	MR. BRUNSON: You look at the proposal and
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think what Ed's
25	saying is, you know, some may propose 10 cents a

- 1 passenger, some may propose a dollar a passenger.
- 2 So, we can look at the income revenue stream to
- 3 see what we would look at.
- 4 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But I agree with you; this
- 6 is no outlay.
- 7 MR. WUELLNER: You're going to want to pick
- 8 the firm that mixes the best in here, as well as
- 9 what performs the best relative to revenue.
- MR. BRUNSON: And you know the industry, and
- I'm sure you'll do it.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then I'll entertain a
- 14 motion.
- MR. BRUNSON: Make a motion --
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Don't need one? Or just --
- or just direction?
- MR. WUELLNER: I -- if that's what you want
- to do, if you want to go ahead and I'll get the

25

20	RFP out and then ultimately you'll select who the
21	firm is.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let's just do a motion since
23	it involves income
24	MR. BRUNSON: Motion to approve Staff's

recommendation for the advertising in the

1	terminal.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Second?
3	MR. GORMAN: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
5	discussion?
6	(No further discussion.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of taking
8	Staff's direction as far as the advertising, say
9	aye.
10	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
12	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
13	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
14	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any opposed?
16	(No opposition.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, motion
18	passes.
19	9.C SOUTH DEVELOPMENT - PARK DISCUSSION

- MR. WUELLNER: Okay. And now we would turn
- 21 this over to Mr. Cooper. Would you like the --
- MR. COOPER: Could you work the thing for
- 23 me --
- MR. WUELLNER: I'll be happy to do it.
- MR. COOPER: -- and I'll just point up here?

I want to stay away from that end of the table. 1 2 MR. WUELLNER: We can get you the hand-held 3 mic. MR. COOPER: At one of the previous board 4 5 meetings, Ed had brought forth a budget where he put in \$25,000 for design of the park in Araquay 6 Park. And I went to Ed and asked him, could I 7 take and do that project myself instead of 8 spending the \$25,000 to have our engineers design 9 it. And he agreed to do that. I'm sorry I did 10 that, but I did. I --11 MR. BRUNSON: You -- you want to take that 12 back now? 13 MR. COOPER: Too late. I tried. I had -- I 14 had spent a lot of time with a number of people 15 over the last couple of years talking about this 16 17 park. And based on that, these are my ideas. Some of them are my ideas of -- of what we had all 18

agreed on.

19

20	And first of all, I want to talk about the
21	purpose and the use of the park. And I saw it as
22	having four purposes: The buffer between us and
23	other neighborhoods; a wellness trail, that just
24	sort of fell into that for free; environmental
25	education, which I think there's a public

1	relations aspect to that which is probably the
2	main use; and then we had talked about an airfield
3	observation deck for people that want to come and
4	watch airplanes take come and take off and
5	land.
6	Can you flip to the next thing? A couple of
7	years ago, or about a year ago, we had talked
8	about Andrew and I, when we were talking about
9	this tree project and we talked about the park, we
10	knew that was coming down as phase two of that,
11	that project that won the environmental award in
12	the state. And this was a very conceptual idea of
13	where that trail would be, right up here where the
14	observation deck would be. We saved this this
15	boat dock here. We were able to save that and get
16	a DEP permit for the use of that.
17	And we didn't know what the hangar
18	configuration would be, where this roadway would
19	be, and how far the roadway would go. And at that

25

20	time, we didn't even know exactly where this
21	retention pond would be. So, that was very
22	conceptual.
23	And that's all I just wanted to say at this
24	point, is that that's not exactly where the trail

is going to be proposed to you, or we'll come back

1 to you. 2 Ed, can you flip to the next one? An aerial 3 shot from that. This is -- is from about where runway 1 -- 31/13 is at. And this would be the 4 5 main section of the park. This is the boat dock. 6 The observation deck's somewhere in this area here. And the trail would be -- circle around 7 8 through this and follow along the edge of this 9 creek and this wetland issues. Use the boat dock as part of that. Come around. 10 Can you flip to the next one? Looking at it 11 from -- from back in the other direction, come 12 along here this side of the retention pond, curve 13 back down here. This goes quite a bit off -- off 14 the screen here. And I vision -- envision the 15 16 parking lot somewhere back in here, depending on 17 exactly where that roadway and the hangar development's going to be. 18

Can you flip to the next one? Let me just

19

20	talk about each one of those things. The
21	neighborhood buffer, we're talking about adding a
22	lot of landscape. We use landscaping to fill in
23	and knock down the noise level and the views from
24	people in the neighborhood across the creek. I
25	don't know which we're trying to eliminate, what

1 we see or what they see. But go ahead and flip to the next one. The 2 3 wellness trail. Since we're putting a trail in, I thought this is a freebee, so we can add this 4 through there. We'll put distance markers on it. 5 Approximate total distance, it's going to be in 6 excess of one mile. I've already -- I know that 7 8 from going out there and -- and rolling it. We'll put markers there to indicate that. You can have 9 10 resting points, benches, stretching rails, some of the other things that I've seen on trails around 11 other parks in the area. 12 Flip to the next one. The environmental 13 education, I thought, was one of the most 14 important parts of this. And that's what we 15 thought we would continue on. I have a lot of 16 17 signage that will point out environmental issues, what types of foliage, what types of trees, types 18 of preservation, importance of preservation, those 19

20	environmental issues. And then talk a little bit,
21	as we spread those signs out, about the airport's
22	commitment to environmental issues.
23	Flip it. The observation deck. And this is
24	something that's happened around around the
25	country. A lot of people you'll see this in a

1	lot of airports, where people come and they just
2	want to watch airports take off and land. We see
3	them sometimes just parked up here on the highway,
4	which is not the best way to do it. You see them
5	at Orlando International where they park on the
6	edge of the fence where the planes come over.
7	Recently, Jacksonville has built an
8	observation area for people to do that. It
9	happens all over the country, and that's not new.
10	That's that's something that we'd like to do
11	where we can have some control over that and do it
12	in a safer factor.
13	So, we thought, well, at the environmental
14	part, why not go ahead and just put that
15	observation deck on the end of that trail or the
16	halfway point. And that way, we can do all of
17	that.
18	Expected typical uses, school field trips for
19	environmental reasons. We can show the

20	environmental, you can show a transition from a
21	pristine wetland and a creek up to a heavy
22	industrial use like the airport or the runway.
23	The wellness trail, public relations tours,
24	environmental tours, and whatever else that
25	that we can think of that might end up being used

1	for that.
2	One more time. We put together a team. Ed
3	was afraid to turn that loose with just me, so he
4	said get some other people involved. Of course,
5	we Jack has been champion of the environmental
6	aspect of that project.
7	I talked with George Getsinger with National
8	Marine Fisheries. I've shown him the project,
9	talked with him two or three times over the last
10	year and a half. He's excited about it and wants
11	to get involved.
12	Christine Wentzel from the Water Management
13	District and environmental scientist with them,
14	shown her the area. She's excited about it, wants
15	to be involved.
16	Mary Willis, of course you've met her, a
17	local resident interested in the area.
18	Joe Jones we thought was going to be involved
19	with it. He thought he was, too. But he's

- decided not to be involved. And in his place is
- 21 Carl Blow, another resident in St. Augustine,
- long-time resident.
- MR. BRUNSON: And he's also on the Port and
- 24 Waterway.
- MR. COOPER: Yes. He's on a couple of

1	boards, Port and Waterway and also St. Augustine
2	Planning and Zoning Board.
3	Andrew, who's been involved from day one who
4	gives me a lot of guidance on that, and I bounce a
5	lot of things off of him. He gets me straight
6	every once in a while.
7	Beverly Birkitt with Birkitt Environmental
8	out of Tampa. Beverly's been over here very, very
9	heavily involved in environmental issues around
10	the state. That's all her company does. And
11	she's doing this, again, pro bono.
12	And then, of course, Kevin Harvey, because
13	I'm going to ask him to do the majority of this
14	work in-house so we don't have to pay a lot of
15	money.
16	So, basically, I think we can do probably 80,
17	90 percent of this in-house, I think. Some of
18	the if we build an observation deck, I don't
19	think we can do that. And the repairs and updates

25

20	on that residential boat dock to make it available
21	for public use would be something else I'd rather
22	have somebody else do. And then, of course,
23	myself.
24	So, that's the team that we're going to put

together. And we have not met together. We'll do

1	that shortly after any comments the board may make
2	and start putting the plans together, what we'd
3	like to bring back to you. Things we don't have,
4	we don't have a budget for it, so we don't know
5	how much money we're going to spend.
6	So, I guess we'll come back and tell you this
7	is how much it's going to cost and you can say yea
8	or nay on that, cut it out.
9	MR. GEORGE: The biggest cost, Bryan, would
10	be the road?
11	MR. COOPER: Could we go back to that slide
12	with the road on it, the second slide? There you
13	go. The roadway, as shown from here, comes back
14	down through here to these hangars. And then in
15	the original thing, we talked about coming around
16	here and a parking lot here.
17	Now, the people I talked to, we don't the
18	park doesn't need the road. We don't need it past
19	the parking lot here. And I don't envision that

25

20	here. We need I hope it's going to end up over
21	here somewhere near this retention pond so this
22	can be part of it. Instead of a square retention
23	pond, this is is more like, you know, like a
24	natural pond or a natural lake.

So, from the park standpoint, we don't need

1	the road. And what we do understand now is that
2	we're going to have to fence between the park
3	that's available to the public and that roadway.
4	This roadway is going to be accessed to any
5	hangars that's built there or anything else that
6	would be down this way for the road. We can't
7	have public having free access to that, because
8	it's too easy, of course, for them simply to get
9	on that taxiway and out on the runway, which is
10	is not something that we can have.
11	So, at some point, we'll have to create an
12	entrance to the park that's separate from the
13	airport, a fence and a gate. And so that will
14	be the roadway will be on the airside of that
15	fence, not on the park side. So, I don't see the
16	roadway being part of the park, but the roadway is
17	what's going to drive where the park goes.
18	We have some issues that we've got to work
19	out, like for here, an an example, when you

20	take where the swale is here and and come back
21	to where you're going to put the road, you have a
22	limitation on how close you can get to the
23	wetlands for the trail. And I don't have the
24	space there. So, the road you know, we're
25	going to have to do a little negotiating with the

- 1 County and the Water Management District to get
- 2 past that.
- 3 But the roadway, I don't see being part of
- 4 the park in any distance that it goes past where
- 5 it needs to, is a detriment to the park, in my
- 6 mind. So, I know there's going to be some
- 7 negotiations going on there.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can I ask for public?
- 9 MR. COOPER: Suit yourself.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any public comment?
- Reba, you had --
- MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I need you to -- well, you
- can grab --
- MS. LUDLOW: It isn't that important.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know, but we can't get you
- on the record. I'm sorry.
- 18 MS. LUDLOW: I -- I'm sorry. Reba Ludlow.
- Where is the fence going to be? I mean, you're

20	going to have are you going to do chain link
21	fence there? Is that what it is?
22	MR. COOPER: I suspect it will be chain link
23	fence. I think FAA requirements and TSA
24	requirements are going to require an 8-foot chair
25	link fence. Will be close to the edge of the

- 1 road, but the closer to the road that it gets, the
- 2 more buffer we can create and the more foliage and
- 3 trees that we can put in there. So, if -- if this
- 4 were the road where it's going to go, it would be
- 5 along the edge of the road.
- 6 MS. LUDLOW: And then you're going to have
- 7 to --
- 8 MR. COOPER: And then where it comes up here,
- 9 it would come down -- here, there's a fence there.
- But a new fence would be put in and then not
- 11 necessarily around the observation deck, but out
- 12 to it.
- MS. LUDLOW: Security.
- MR. COOPER: And security's one of the issues
- we'll be talking about.
- MR. WUELLNER: In most cases, the road will
- be on the outside of the fence.
- MR. COOPER: Yes. Airside of the fence.
- MR. GEORGE: This side of the fence?

- MR. COOPER: Airside.
- MR. WUELLNER: No. Fence will be -- will
- delineate between the road and airside. We won't
- have the road --
- MR. GEORGE: He's thinking about --
- MR. WUELLNER: It will be a public road. It

1 will not be an internal service road to the 2 airport. 3 MR. COOPER: Okay. You're -- you're seeing 4 that different than what we had seen it. But, again, that's -- direction will be given, I guess. 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that it, Reba? 6 MR. WUELLNER: It shouldn't matter, 7 ultimately. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Victor, you had --9 10 MR. MARTINELLI: Just what -- what is the acreage, the total acreage of the park? 11 MR. COOPER: I -- I don't have that, and --12 13 and the reason I don't have that, Vic, is because as -- as you see it down here, if it starts over 14 here, this part here, which is about 15 three-quarters of an acre right here, well, that 16 17 would double that, and the same thing up at the other end, depending on how much of this we end up 18

with and how much we lose here. My gut feeling is

19

- it's going to be in the neighborhood of between
- six to ten acres.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Great. I think that's a
- great promotional point that, you know, can be
- capitalized on, Kelly, in the PR side.
- MS. BARRERA: Agreed.

1	MR. WUELLNER: And that's probably a really
2	good estimate on the size.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Bryan?
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there anymore is
5	there anymore public comment?
6	(No further discussion.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Board comment.
8	MR. BRUNSON: Just one simple question, a
9	couple of comments. What's your crystal ball,
10	say, that you keep with you, the boat dock there,
11	is that what kind of repair is that in and
12	and what what do you envision there?
13	MR. COOPER: Well, it needs a lot of repair
14	work.
15	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
16	MR. COOPER: But to to change there's
17	three or four pilings that need to be replaced.
18	The other thing is, it's a residential boat dock,
10	doesn't have railings on the side, and you can't

20	use that for public for a public boat dock.

- You've got to have the railings so you can't walk
- out and just fall off. And also for ADA
- requirements.
- Even though the person that lived there had a
- 25 wheelchair that went out and got in his boat in a

1	wheelchair, but but the public can't do that.
2	So, we have to make it safer than it is.
3	I suspect that there will be considerable
4	repairs on that. Now, not a total rebuild, but to
5	put the side railings on it, and there's three or
6	four of the of the pilings that will need to be
7	replaced, and there's also out here on there's
8	a lift here. I think the lift could be
9	eliminated. I don't think we need a boat lift
10	there. But I think that's going to be an expense.
11	MR. BRUNSON: As a comment, I'm extremely
12	excited about this, and I'm happy that you're
13	going to take it on, because this is your love and
14	Jack's.
15	Another comment, I can't speak for SAAPA, and
16	I can't speak for a hundred percent for the
17	Yacht Club and for the Builders Council, but when
18	it comes to building the observation deck, we have
19	so many talented people, we can show you work

20	we've done with the boat dock and observation if
21	we had the design and what you want, I think I
22	think we could get that done without any cost as
23	far as the labor.
24	MR. COOPER: Well, that's very encouraging,
25	because I thought that was going to be one of our

1	biggest expenses other than the cost of actually
2	the trail itself or whatever we product we
3	choose to to make that out of.
4	MR. BRUNSON: Sure. You've got people in the
5	Pilots Association that are architects and
6	builders that would just be so glad to get
7	involved in that.
8	MR. GEORGE: What about the Pilots
9	Association's request to build a I'm sorry?
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Clubhouse?
11	MR. GEORGE: A clubhouse with an observation
12	deck on top of it, or not an observation deck.
13	But I think that their written request that they
14	presented to this board was they would like to
15	have that considered, and maybe they could trade
16	off some of the rent of the building to
17	maintaining, being responsible for the maintenance
18	of the main park area at least out on the end.
19	Has that been brought to your attention at all?

- MR. COOPER: No, it hasn't. I -- I saw the
- drawing, the handmade single-sheet drawing that
- someone did sort of like on -- on a single piece
- 23 of paper.
- MR. GEORGE: Whatever it is.
- MR. COOPER: Yeah, whatever it was -- with

1	that. I have I think that would work great for
2	the Pilots Association.
3	What was shown there I don't think would work
4	for a public park for two or three reasons. I
5	think it would be difficult to get an observation
6	deck on the top of a building that would meet ADA
7	requirements and be safe for children and people
8	that may want to use that park. That's just one
9	thing that first came to mind.
10	The other thing is that that building was
11	shown airside, and a public park airside
12	meaning it has access onto the runway. And I
13	think it would be difficult to take and have the
14	public into something that has airside access,
15	without an awful lot of control there.
16	MR. GEORGE: I think that that's a known
17	detail that we'll have plenty of backup authority
18	for saying that ain't going to happen. Okay? But
19	I was asking about considering in your analysis of

- what to do in that area of putting a building up.
- 21 Just because the Pilots Association said they
- wanted airside doesn't -- basically, what you
- said, they don't -- you're not going to get on it
- the airside.
- MR. COOPER: Okay. I -- you know, I have a

whole file folder full of notes of things for the 1 committee to address, and I'll certainly add that 2 to it, because I think that question's going to 3 4 come up more than once. 5 (Mr. Brunson leaves the room.) MR. COOPER: And maybe there is a way that it 6 7 could -- could be made to work. But --MR. GEORGE: Yeah. 8 MR. COOPER: But I'll certainly discuss 9 that -- or have the committee discuss that. I 10 have no preconceived thoughts about that one way 11 or the other, except that the difficulty in 12 integrating something that is open to the public 13 that is something that's airside, I know that's --14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Security. 15 16 MR. COOPER: -- very difficult, not possible. 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: What -- what's your time frame, Bryan? I mean, I know you're starting a 18 19 committee and --

20	MR. COOPER: Well, I I've told everybody
21	on that list that after this meeting and whatever
22	input was was came out of this meeting, that
23	I would try and have us get together at least once
24	before the end of this year, which means before
25	Christmas, and lay out what we're going to do, go

1	over all of the questions, and give them in
2	writing the notes that I have and any direction
3	with Ed or or the board gives us, and and
4	start coming up with a plan.
5	I I think, I honestly believe, with all of
6	the stuff that I've already collected and the
7	discussions I've already had with the people, that
8	within a couple of months, we could come back to
9	you with a plan. And I also we have
10	identified Andrew has been very helpful in
11	this on something that I knew about but didn't
12	know the details of, on sources of funding other
13	than the Florida DOT, FDOT.
14	There's other sources of funding for this
15	specific type of project. And I think that we
16	might be able to get funding. That's been a major
17	issue. We didn't have money in the budget this
18	year to create this project. But if those funds
19	can be obtained in a short amount of time, maybe

20	we could do that this year; otherwise, we'll come
21	up with the plan, keep refining it, and then in
22	next year's budget talk about it.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Like a park service or
24	environmental or
25	MR. COOPER: The DEP has grants, the FRDAP

1 FRDAP grants. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any further board 2 discussion? 3 MR. GORMAN: Just real quick. I might 4 mention that the total acreage used is -- is 5 marginal. It's really going to be right adjacent 6 to wetlands. So, its actual commercial use is --7 8 is almost nonsensical. And it's -- it's best used as a park, you know, for those --9 (Mr. Brunson returns to the room.) 10 MR. GORMAN: -- that would say, oh, you --11 you know, you've got to use all that acreage. 12 It's basically swamp, but it's pretty swamp. 13 And my only thought is, before everybody gets 14 scared about cost, let's keep it simple stupid. 15 You know, it's the kiss philosophy, I mean, lots 16 17 of -- lots of lime rock. And -- almost my own vision. Maybe a committee will have more vision 18

than I have. But keep it simple, lots of lime

19

- 20 rock, informational posts, simple construction.
- 21 If you fix a dock, just fix it. Don't, you know,
- make a grandiose dock. Don't need it.
- And the discussion -- the discussions can be
- creative and can be fun. I'd like to see -- if we
- have any buildings, I'd like to see multiple use.

1	In other words, if you've got a building, let's
2	make it a multiple-use building. Then we can do
3	all manner of things without spending too much
4	money.
5	Lots of lime rock, not much road,
6	multiple-use buildings. Let's see what the
7	committee comes up with.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can't we keep that in the
9	back of our minds and still do whatever you need
10	to do with the trail, thinking, well, there's
11	space over there for a multiple use building if we
12	need it or something over there so
13	MR. GORMAN: Sure. That's what the
14	committee's for, absolutely, with with the
15	engineering firm.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because I don't want to
17	renege that at all or take that away. But options
18	as we go into phases or something should be there.
19	MR. GORMAN: That's why we use more than

20	more than one person.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Anymore board
22	discussion?
23	MS. BARRERA: The only other thing I'd add to
24	what both you and Jack said, which I agree with
25	both of you, is doing it in phases and having

1	multiple uses for anything that you come up with,
2	would be, you could also invite somebody from the
3	County extension office to help you with the
4	marking of the plants in that area, because that's
5	their expertise.
6	MR. COOPER: Yes. And and that's also
7	George and Christine are excellent in that. And
8	I've had a couple of recommendations, one from Ed,
9	about someone from the County environmental
10	department. And and I may bring that person
11	into this committee too and make it an even ten.
12	But, yeah, I think the signage and what is
13	said and how much, what size, all of those types
14	of things about signage is probably very, very
15	important to this park.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
17	MR. COOPER: Any other information anybody
18	needs? I I don't think

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we look forward to

19

- soon, a couple of months, whenever you guys can --
- 21 that's a lot of work to accomplish before the end
- of the year, but...
- MR. BRUNSON: Oh, no. Not for Bryan.
- MR. COOPER: Well, I've done a lot, believe
- me. A lot's already been accomplished.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you, Bryan.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. At the last I'm off
3	again. Okay. Is that better? Is that on?
4	MR. MARTINELLI: Yes.
5	9.D ALTERNATIVE LOCATIONS FOR CUSTOMS
6	MR. WUELLNER: At the last meeting, we were
7	asked to come back with some locations for U.S.
8	Customs and evaluate and review those. We did so
9	individually with with Mr. George last week, I
10	think it was, and walked through those those
11	sites and explained the issues that surrounded
12	most of the places we did look.
13	As you recall, you approved us to get started
14	on design. We now need to just kind of finalize
15	the location so we can get the adaptation of site
16	done as a part of that design work now, too.
17	This slide, prepared by Passero, kind of
18	illustrates the locations that were looked at.
19	The only thing it doesn't show is where we were

20	actually proposing to do it, which is right here
21	(indicating). Actually, it's right about where we
22	are right now.

- We looked at a number of sites, including the
- 24 north area, off of what is Hawkeye View Lane.
- 25 This site seemed to have an operating -- an

1	increased cost factor with it of about, what is
2	it, 1.75, so almost two times as much.
3	And most of that, as I as I said early
4	last week or last month, that most of that's
5	related to getting the core infrastructure to
6	those sites. It's related to water, sewer, access
7	roads, things like that, and/or in some cases,
8	maybe even some environmental issues that would
9	require mitigation and additional expense.
10	Two areas, one over here, adjacent to the
11	parking lot extensions that that have gone on,
12	not a bad site, but again, you've got utility
13	issues that are significant back there, and
14	currently no public access to that back point.
15	So, you're looking at a cost factor of probably at
16	least twice as much.
17	We looked at alternative locations in and
18	around the existing GA terminal area. Frankly,
19	there's there's nothing available and there's

20	nothing that could even be remodeled reasonably to
21	satisfy the space requirements of the of the
22	facility, and nothing that could even be used
23	temporarily under their guidelines.
24	The last site we looked at was up essentially
25	in the area adjacent to where we just saw the park

1	as an as an area. You've got an access issue
2	as it stands today. You also have water and sewer
3	issues of getting it back to that site. And until
4	the road's built back there, you certainly have an
5	access issue for the public to even be able to get
6	back to the U.S. Customs facility.
7	So, all in all, you know, we're we're
8	still internally stuck on this location in that
9	the with the tower there, the electrical vault,
10	and and T-hangars, the maintenance building,
11	the future FBO site, all of those things, the site
12	is in pretty much buildable as it stands today
13	with just normal hookups to existing utilities.
14	So, in our in our opinion, it still
15	represents the best value for the project. And
16	and also, it's a nice central location, easily
17	found and easily directed to transient pilots who
18	would need might need Customs services in and
19	out of the country. But just simply describing it

- at the base of the tower makes it a fairly easy
- 21 find on the airport.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reba, you were the
- only one with public comment. Did you have any?
- MR. MARTINELLI: I have one, too.
- MS. LUDLOW: No. No public comment.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Victor, you
2	wanted one? It's not marked, but I'd be glad to.
3	MR. MARTINELLI: I'm sorry.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's okay.
5	MR. MARTINELLI: I meant to mark it.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead.
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Hi. Victor Martinelli,
8	Ponte Vedra Beach.
9	Just forgetting the cost implications, where
10	it was initially planned to be, to me, made an
11	awful lot of sense, because if you're going to
12	look at this airport as a future port of entry,
13	which will save an awful lot of people up our way
14	from having to go down south to to get across
15	the to the islands and so on, it would make a
16	lot of sense, make it most convenient for those
17	people. And the place where it was originally
18	planned to be seemed to me to be the best.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. More public

20	comment? Board comment?
21	MR. BRUNSON: I agree with Victor.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: My question is, when you
23	made a comment about close to the tower because
24	utilities and whatever, and possible close to the
25	second potential FBO site, how secure is that

second potential FBO site that that's where it 1 might be? 2 MR. WUELLNER: Well, it's -- we're going to 3 touch on it just real briefly --4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know. I just --5 MR. WUELLNER: -- in a minute, but --6 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There are five other 8 locations for the second FBO or --MR. WUELLNER: Well, the FBO is, currently, 9 you're reserving space adjacent to that. It's 10 11 not --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 12 MR. WUELLNER: -- not a part of it. But it 13 is adjacent to it. It's in this area here 14 (indicating). This is -- this is generally that 15 area that we were holding, with the apron off to 16 17 the side here. So, certainly, it's -- it's -it's in close proximity to that. But you -- you 18

ultimately decide where the second FBO goes.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, I understand that, but
21	it makes sense, what you're telling me as far as
22	hookup with utilities and everything else,
23	electric, with the tower, and then the second FBO,
24	it makes sense to kind of keep it all in the same
25	general location. And I didn't know if the second

- 1 FBO -- I know that's where we had discussed -- if
- 2 it was just now not feasible and it's across the
- 3 airfield somewhere.
- 4 MR. WUELLNER: No, it's about -- the FBO site
- 5 is a little over a five-acre site, as it sits
- 6 right now, at least for the building site.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: What's the budget on this, and
- 9 is it in this year's budget?
- MR. WUELLNER: It is in this year's budget,
- 11 and -- and it's --
- MR. GEORGE: Five seventy-five?
- 13 MR. WUELLNER: Something like that.
- MR. GEORGE: Same as the -- yeah, \$575-.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's approximately the same
- budget, yeah.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay. Do you want to talk about
- the ARFF facility at the same time? I would
- 19 appreciate --

20	MR. WUELLNER: We can, in context. One of
21	the the items we were as a part of that
22	discussion of location of Customs was the
23	placement of future ARFF services, which is
24	airport rescue and firefighting. Follow that
25	bouncing ball. But, basically, we were looking at

1

2	for that. We have also looked at this site. And
3	there are other sites that will, you know, be
4	looked at in terms of feasible.
5	But one of the one of the attractive parts
6	here is it's going to be proximate to Taxiway
7	Bravo, which, when extended to the south, will
8	essentially create an instant nonstop route, so to
9	speak, from one end of the main runway to the
10	other, which is consistent with the Part 139
11	requirements that are out there for response for
12	ARFF vehicles.
13	So, it plays as a very good location. It
14	also that's something that I'm sure I'll be
15	working closely with this newly formed park
16	committee to to see if there are ways to
17	integrate any of the park kind of infrastructure,
18	such as the access road, even joint use of
19	restrooms in the in the structure that would be

an area here as one of the real potential sites

20	less expensive than stand-alone. That will be
21	something I'm sure we'll talk about. Even
22	integrating the observation deck perhaps into the
23	structure of the ARFF facility, of an ARFF
24	facility, if that is the location we ultimately
25	the decision is made to build it there.

1	And we're we're looking at an FAA project
2	here. This is not an FDOT project. So, you're
3	looking at potentially 90 percent funded by either
4	FAA grant monies directly, combination of that,
5	and PFCs. But at the end of the day, it's
6	relatively inexpensive versus the Authority buying
7	it and doing it themselves.
8	And the access to that site can certainly be
9	a part of that grant to to build the station.
10	So, you may solve your road issue as a part of
11	building the ARFF facility at that location, too,
12	or at least have that alternative on the table.
13	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
15	discussion?
16	MR. GEORGE: Someone had told me that there
17	was going to be a little tightness there with
18	egress and ingress of multiple airplanes trying to
19	stop at Customs because of the small ramp that we

20	have	there.
20	muvc	uicic.

- MR. WUELLNER: At least right now, they
- don't -- Customs' feel was that that two parking
- 23 place area that's kind of in the -- I don't --
- 24 didn't bring the other site up -- was adequate for
- 25 most of the time. It's not -- at least initially,

1	it doesn't require any additional activity over
2	there.
3	In a worst-case scenario, the area that's
4	reserved here is the I say shown here, as in
5	the building restriction line, could be improved
6	and even widened somewhat for additional pavement,
7	should it be necessary in that area, because you
8	can park aircraft in the BRO; you just can't put
9	structures up. So, there's additional area that
10	could be filled if it if it became necessary
11	for volume of Customs traffic.
12	MR. GEORGE: So, you're saying across that
13	taxiway
14	MR. WUELLNER: Has potential.
15	MR. GEORGE: of being a the expansion
16	area, that if I get two airplanes that are big
17	enough into the Customs area, and I need a third
18	one, they would just park them over there and say

"Stay with your airplane until something frees

- 20 up." So --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. There's even a way
- 22 to -- if it's a small aircraft, as in your
- example, it could even be allocated on to the
- self-fuel apron as a -- as a stopgap there, too.
- You have now three entrances there. So, as long

1	as it it met the requirements for Customs,
2	meaning the person stayed with the aircraft and
3	then effect was isolated until cleared
4	MR. GEORGE: Right. Well, that would
5	isolation, is what I was
6	MR. WUELLNER: It would at least
7	initially, it would not be a designated location,
8	but if it looked like that was going to be a
9	recurring problem, it could be easily made to
10	that.
11	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Just some marking.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
14	discussion?
15	What direction? I mean, I I like the
16	original location, I mean, with all the cost
17	increases and availability of utilities and
18	whatever.

MR. GEORGE: My objection last time was cost.

20	And the cost was, we approved this park and this
21	whole southern development area four years ago
22	and we we're getting off the ground with our
23	first hangars going in, because we've got the
24	infrastructure, but we're not doing anything for
25	the park.

1	So, I was looking for a way for somebody to
2	pay for, you know, in a grant that we'd have
3	matching funds we don't have that now to pay
4	for the road. And I think using that as an ARFF
5	facility
6	MR. GORMAN: Are we talking about the ARFF
7	now or are we talking about the Customs facility?
8	You're talking about the ARFF, and they're still
9	talking about the Customs facility.
10	MR. GEORGE: I'm looking at the
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The entire picture.
12	MR. GEORGE: overall objection that I had
13	to it at the last meeting was because I didn't see
14	anything happening on the road to get back to this
15	area that is going to be the park. And I thought
16	this was an opportunity, if we could put Customs
17	on the other side of the field and have them pay
18	for some of the road to get there, that we were to
19	the good.

20	Well, after sitting with Andrew and Ed, it
21	seems like that this is a better approach, is to
22	put the ARFF over there, because one, it's federal
23	funds, and federal, with safety and everything,
24	have a little bit broader spectrum of what they
25	can put grant money to. Therefore, with that one

1 ruled out, I think that would be a good place for 2 the Customs, is where it was presented. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, do you need some 4 direction from us? MR. WUELLNER: I just -- if -- basically, 5 concurrence that that site's okay, and we'll --6 we'll let them adapt building to site as they go 7 along here. 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think the way --9 MR. WUELLNER: Otherwise, no. 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- you've looked at it, 11 Buzz, and all the cost analysis and -- and down 12 the road with federal funding and all of that 13 makes more sense. It ties in nicely with --14 Bryan, with the park analysis as well. 15 16 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah, I think the -- that site 17 gives engineering good -- good direction to go ahead. That's what I --18

MR. WUELLNER: If no objection, that's what

- we'll do then.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: All right. So...
- MR. COOPER: Could I ask a question? Did you
- just approve the Customs facility over by the
- 25 tower?

1	MR. GEORGE: Yes.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
3	MR. COOPER: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
5	MR. WUELLNER: At least that's what we all
6	think we did.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Well, that it
8	was
9	MR. GORMAN: We don't need to we don't
10	need to vote on it. We just
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The direction was the last
12	recommendation, which we have now looked at the
13	different areas, locations, and gone back to what
14	the original offer was
15	MR. GEORGE: Right.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: which was by the tower
17	And because it works with the park and with ARFF
18	being in the same vicinity.
19	MR. BRUNSON: That's what I think.

- 20 MR. COOPER: If it -- if you're talking about
- 21 the ARFF station over on the other side, I think
- that creates more problems --
- MR. BRUNSON: I can't hear.
- MR. COOPER: -- than it solves.
- MR. GEORGE: We're not talking about --

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. We're not talking about
2	the well, no, we're talking everything in the
3	same vicinity, not across on the other side.
4	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, we're not we have not
5	approved the ARFF location.
6	MR. WUELLNER: No.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
8	MR. GORMAN: We are approving the Customs.
9	MR. GEORGE: Exactly.
10	MS. BARRERA: I make a motion that we accept
11	the original location that was selected for
12	Customs.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
14	MR. BRUNSON: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
16	I just want to make sure that's still in line
17	with all our plans that we discussed with the park
18	and potential ARFF down the road, et cetera.
19	All in favor?

- MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- MS. BARRERA: Aye.
- MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?

1	(No opposition.)
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, then
3	we go forward with engineering designs.
4	9.E 2ND FBO RFP
5	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have is we
6	walked away this is this is Staff now
7	walked away from the last meeting where we felt
8	less than prepared to create the RFP for the FBO
9	still. And I created a series of questions or
10	items that would ordinarily we would want to
11	include in the RFP when we do go out for it. And
12	I don't know whether you want to walk through
13	these tonight. There are two or three slides'
14	worth of those kind of questions.
15	I can get e-mail you those two or three
16	slides' worth of information, and you can kind of
17	think about it for a few weeks, and we can, you
18	know, kind of try to compile those answers and
19	come back with something at your January meeting.

- or we can just kind of walk through them tonight.
- Some of them are more complicated than others, but
- some of them are just simple yes, nos, or -- or
- let's do it this way. But some of them are more
- 24 complicated than others.
- But you can see the questions right now,

1	you're looking at about a 5.14 acre parcel that's
2	available that we're currently reserving.
3	Are you looking to include any additional
4	land anywhere else in that RFP, or the apron
5	under put that under the recently built apron
6	under the control of the FBO? If so, we need to
7	create language that makes sure the FBO
8	understands that and and the limitations on its
9	use because of how it was built, by that meaning
10	the kind of money that was federal dollars in
11	it.
12	Obviously, we're looking for a full-service
13	FBO based on the last last Authority meeting.
14	We we get that part of it. That's about it.
15	MR. GORMAN: Is that we are looking for a
16	full-service FBO based on the last discussion?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, with an emphasis on the
18	light the light aircraft.
19	MR. GORMAN: Okay. I wanted to get that set

25

20	again.
21	MR. WUELLNER: It it becomes a focus point
22	in there, but it's not a limiter in terms of the
23	FBO. And then the as I understood it, we left
24	it that if we had no responses with that as a

focal point, then we just either repackage it or

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	rethink it or whatever you deem appropriate at
2	that time.
3	MR. GEORGE: Well, yeah. I'm
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Before we have board
5	discussion, I need to
6	MR. GEORGE: of the same mind. Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: make sure
8	MR. WUELLNER: This is exactly why we're back
9	here
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
11	MR. WUELLNER: is because we walked
12	away I think after talking to a few people, we

may have had it up to seven different impressions

are several -- can we have any public discussion?

I have Reba. I'm going to need you to -- I need

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. There are -- there

MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Reba Ludlow. The way I

on what -- how it was left last meeting.

this one on the record.

20	see it, then, is that we are sidelining this for a
21	while. And also, I think the full-service full

- service should be clarified. And that's one
- thing. That's all I can think of at the moment,
- but that was it.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Victor?

1 MS. LUDLOW: Wait. We're not going to vote 2 on -- you're not going to vote on this today, 3 right? 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. 5 MS. LUDLOW: Okay. Good. You're -- you're only approving the request for proposal. 6 MR. GEORGE: We're only clarifying. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're clarifying what we're looking for in the RFP. 9 10 MS. LUDLOW: Clarifying what we want. All right. Thanks. 11 MR. BRUNSON: We hope today. 12 13 MR. MARTINELLI: Victor Martinelli. I'm --I'm delighted to see these details brought before 14 you, because as some of you may recall, I've had 15 16 discussions with you about these very specific 17 things. I think that before you put together an RFP, 18

there are some policy decisions that have to be

20	made. And the policy decisions can only be made
21	by the board. However, if the board fails to make
22	policy decisions and forces Staff to do certain
23	things, Staff will make those decisions and then
24	put them back before you.
25	And then you have a choice of saying, "Why

1	did Staff do that? That's our job to do. And
2	and Staff is doing beyond what their authority is
3	to do." So, you have that kind of a conflict.
4	So, these are some of the questions some
5	of these are policy decisions, particularly when
6	you get down to project capital funding, who's
7	paying for what. If you don't stipulate that at
8	the outset in your RFP, you may limit the
9	respondents, because some people may not want to
10	make an investment in the facility, and other
11	people may.
12	So and then I think potentially you may be
13	opening a can of worms, because if you don't
14	stipulate it up front and you and somebody
15	doesn't bid on the project because they don't want
16	to put the money into it, and later on, you say,
17	"Well, we decided that we're going to build this
18	facility because the response we got from the
19	proposed respondent who would build the facility

20	doesn't satisfy us," then I think you you
21	created a problem.
22	So, that's just one example.
23	Another example of I think a policy decision
24	is, what is your charge going to be for improved
25	apron service, and how much apron has to go with

this facility? And how much charge is going to be 1 2 for just raw land if in fact you go that route and 3 only that -- that route? And again, that's a policy decision. 4 5 So, I'm really delighted to see these questions being asked, because I think these are 6 the things that have to be done before you put out 7 an RFP. Any questions? Happy to answer them. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think so. MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Victor. Michael? MR. SLINGLUFF: Thank you. I'm -- I agree 12 with Victor; I think there are a lot of questions 13 that need to be asked on policies and -- and the 14 procedure for -- for doing this. 15 16 But before we even get there, let's go back 17 about four years. We started the south development. We're not complete with that yet, 18

the T-hangars. We started an airline operation.

19

20	Where is that going to move to?
21	I'll remind y'all, it's in an 11,000 square
22	foot hangar right now, which was primarily built
23	for a flight a day. It looks like by March, we'll
24	be up to five flights a day. What will you do
25	when the next airline wants to come in?

1	I think there are a lot of land use issues.
2	You really need to be planned out before you
3	you even go out here.
4	And that doesn't even begin to speak of the
5	needs of the current tenants. I know that we've
6	been on the list for four years now for 50,000
7	square feet of commercial building. We we
8	still need that. We now would probably build
9	96,000 square feet, which I could fill probably by
10	the end of January if it was available.
11	We have several tenants, other tenants on the
12	field that have asked for buildings to expand
13	their own businesses. And these aren't being
14	answered. I'm just wondering where in the
15	planning this comes in.
16	Then if we go back to the airport
17	infrastructure, we see if we're looking at the
18	Customs building or the ARFF building, we have
19	continuing problems with infrastructure plug-in,

20	basically. We're limited on our building sites.
21	Perhaps a land use plan that partners with
22	people that want to develop that will spend the
23	money to put the infrastructure layout in there to
24	help get a Customs put in, help get that ARFF
25	station put in. And Taxiway B, we need Taxiway B.

1	We now have Airbus 319s. We'll have four of them
2	a day back taxiing on 13/31 and doing turnaround,
3	full-brake turnaround, with power at the end of
4	13/31. Not a great operation.
5	I think that the infrastructure issues and
6	the land use issues need to be planned out very,
7	very carefully as we approach almost a buildout
8	situation at the airport here prior to launching
9	down the road on on a second FBO.
10	I'm not so sure I even understand where the
11	need for a second FBO came from. I think what I
12	heard was the need for some light GA services.
13	There are companies on the field that want to
14	expand to offer those light GA services. I just
15	think that we need to look at proper planning.
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Okay. That's all I
18	had for public comment. Seeing no more, board
19	comment? Jack?

20	MR. GORMAN: Again, we've got to develop
21	this. And again, that's why I asked the specifics
22	I asked Mr. Burnett about the limitation of scope.
23	Because if we do allow a full-service FBO here,
24	are we leaving behind the small business? Are we
25	leaving behind the ability of small business to

1	develop on this field? Are we leaving benind
2	securing facilities for small business to grow?
3	And then are we leaving behind this corporate GA
4	We just built 42 hangars, plus four more. These
5	are all GA hangars.
6	And the people I've talked to that are going
7	to put in FBOs or that do want to put in FBOs will
8	admit, you know, openly, that they're not lying to
9	me, that their source of revenue stream is
10	basically from jet fuel sales.
11	And do we need more jet fuel sales capacity
12	right now? I I'm not sure we do. Myself, I
13	have not seen that we actually need more jet fuel
14	capacity. I may be wrong, but I don't see anybody
15	in line.
16	I'm not saying never build an FBO, for my
17	own, but after my own discussions with people, I
18	just feel that that after one month of
19	discussing with people on the field, that an RFP

20	for a facilities is interesting, but it certainly
21	is a bit premature.
22	And again, I would probably echo
23	Mr. Slingluff somewhat. I have not talked to them
24	about their needs. I have not talked to his
25	people about facilities. But I'd have to echo him

1 about the long-term planning. We're almost 2 rushing to plan here. Because we're not -- I 3 don't see -- following the needs of the public, I 4 don't see we're serving the needs of the public to 5 rush to an FBO, because FBOs make their money selling jet fuel. 6 And let's be specific. Again, I keep pushing 7 8 for GA support. GA support. Here's small business support. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Other board comment? Buzz? MR. GEORGE: I yield. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly? 12 13 MS. BARRERA: Does our Master Plan call for a 14 reserved space for a second FBO? MR. WUELLNER: Reserved space, yes, it did. 15

- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Go ahead.
- MR. GORMAN: I have been here five years.

MR. GORMAN: Can I make another comment on

16

17

that?

20	The 10-year plan seems to massively change every
21	two years. In other words, not to be
22	disrespectful, Kelly, but the 10-year plan is
23	something that is a plan, but it's such a
24	malleable thing, it seems and I think it
25	actually should move on need. In other words,

1 even though you planned a 10-year plan, our 2 10-year plan is moving along, you know, is 3 changing rapidly because of things that happen. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it changes on demand. 5 And that's what we want. 6 MR. GORMAN: Sure, that was the point. MR. WUELLNER: It's a demand-driven plan. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 8 MR. WUELLNER: And elements of it will move 9 10 faster than other elements. MR. GORMAN: Yeah. So, my point being is 11 that specific location for an FBO, that's not 12 13 written in stone. That's all. I didn't mean to -- that's my point. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz? 15 16 MR. GEORGE: I think that if we get back to

how this whole FBO thing came up, it was that

the -- the purpose was to get better services for

the light single GA airplanes. And we've got some

file:///A|/MTG121707.txt (191 of 322)1/4/2008 3:33:40 PM

17

18

19

20	new companies on the field that are doing a real
21	good job, you know, of that, and filling the hole.
22	But a second reason for going out with an RFP
23	was to listen to the business owners of FBO, let
24	them tell us whether they think the field is large
25	enough. And I think a lot of them are making

1	talking about making investments now that will pay
2	off three years, four years, five years down the
3	road.
4	There are a lot of things that will come into
5	play. Is Skybus going to continue? Is another
6	one going to come in? Is Grumman going to get
7	another contract that's going to make the North 40
8	pop up? All sorts of things.
9	I think that this was just an
10	information-gathering tool to go out. And if I'm
11	not mistaken, if you go back to the minutes of the
12	meeting two minutes ago, it was supposed to be a
13	general RFP with options.
14	Tell me how much land you would think that
15	you need phased in over an amount of time. Tell
16	me how you know, you understand that one of our
17	objectives is general aviation, small airplane.
18	Tell us how you would handle that and how we
19	control that you will handle it, you know, be it a

20	percentage of revenue, you know, or whatever.
21	So, those were the purposes, I thought, of
22	going out for an RFP.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And if you build
24	MR. GEORGE: The option that we have is to
25	hire a consultant to tell us all of this. And the

1	consultant's going to ten you what you know,
2	consultant's a person that borrows your watch and
3	tells you what time it is. They're going to tell
4	us what we want to hear, not necessarily what
5	somebody that's willing to belly up to the bar and
6	put capital investment in. So
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that was my
8	understanding, too. I mean, the RFP was, all
9	right, if you build it, if you can, give us your
10	parameters, if you can. If you don't want to, if
11	you want us to do it, then give us those options.
12	So, I agree with Mr. Martinelli; his
13	suggestions are very good. But I don't want to
14	limit it, it's going to be this per square foot
15	and whatever. I want to hear from the RFP
16	responses as to, well, we can do this or we can do
17	that and we're workable with this, because we want
18	to see what's what's out there.
10	So it was informational. That was our last

20 discussion. The	nat's why I think I	cut the meeting
--------------------	---------------------	-----------------

- and said let's just get it, let's ask for it, get
- the RFPs out.
- MR. GORMAN: That's a good discussion. So
- 24 then are we agreeing -- I agree -- I hate to agree
- with Wayne George, but I do. In other words,

1	where you know, really. Where we have if	
2	we're going to push for GA, then this RFP must	
3	push that direction. It must be specific as to	
4	what GA services will this will provide. And,	
5	again, I go back to Mr. Burnett saying	
6	MR. GEORGE: And how we control it.	
7	MR. GORMAN: And how we control it. That's	
8	fine. And I go back to Mr. Burnett; can we limit	
9	scope on an FBO? In other words, because, again,	
10	these people I talked to FBOs that want to	
11	build them. They get their revenue from jet fuel,	
12	and I worry that they're really not going to, you	
13	know, be specific. So, we're writing this.	
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But that I don't think	
15	our RFP had to deal with that. That's when you	
16	actually finally write the leases, what or	
17	how whatever contract you have.	
18	Right now, we're saying what we want to focus	
19	on, general aviation, light jets, whatever. Tell	

20	us how you can propose that to us. We want to
21	control some of it. Tell us what you would accept
22	as controls. What are your parameters?
23	But we're not putting anything down in a
24	contract right now. So, my understanding is we're
25	okay with that.

1	MR. GEORGE: And this RFP we start up, we may
2	or may not offer it. We're just gathering
3	information.
4	MR. BURNETT: Well, that's that's true.
5	If I could. From a legal standpoint, we would be
6	calling this a request for proposals, an RFP. It
7	would say on its face that it is completely
8	nonbinding on the Airport Authority. And the
9	Authority could accept or reject all of the
10	proposals. I mean, it can reject them all and go
11	back out for proposals or do nothing at the end of
12	the day.
13	The issue is so uncertain as to what it is
14	you're you're looking for that it may be at the
15	end of the day, none of these things fit, and
16	you're better educated as to this is just not the
17	right timing.
18	Let let me say one thing about limiting an
19	FBO. There is what what our policy calls a

- specialized aviation service operation, okay. A
- SASO is the buzzword for it.
- 23 The -- the -- the biggest sort of thing that
- I look at when I read it is generally a SASO does
- not have a provision -- and I could be wrong,

1	somebody may tell me I'm wrong. But, generally, a		
2	SASO does not have a provision related to the sale		
3	of fuel.		
4	When I look at your lease policies and		
5	procedures, one of the things that's included in		
6	the appendix is minimum commercial operating		
7	standards. Something you guys have to have as		
8	part of FAA requirements is minimum operating		
9	standards on the airport. And one thing that you		
10	have in there is related to the operation of		
11	flight schools, engine and accessory maintenance		
12	facilities, the FBO, and their individual leases		
13	reference these minimum operating standards.		
14	They're going to comply with what's in here.		
15	And one thing that's in here, when you get		
16	back to section 7, is a limited fixed base		
17	operator. And your policy manual says, "shall		
18	be any individual corporation or firm which is		
19	authorized to engage in one or a combination of		

20	services and activities listed in 6A through 6E
21	above. Any limited fixed base operator shall be
22	completely governed by the same minimum standards
23	as to the specific activity or service involved
24	herein as to the general operation of the
25	airport."

I	And when you look at 6A through E, it's
2	talking about aircraft engine and accessory
3	maintenance, flight training, and aircraft rental,
4	aircraft charter and taxi service, aircraft
5	service and long-term lease sales and long-term
6	leasing, crop dusting and spraying.
7	Those are the things that are in here
8	currently.
9	The FAA's got circulars out there about it.
10	There's some information about that's out
11	there. Other airports have them, although, again,
12	they're more they're usually termed, from what
13	I've seen from research, is specialized aviation
14	service operations.
15	And and I'm I can't say that I'm an
16	expert on airport-related things, but when I read
17	it, it does not look like, when I look at a SASO
18	and what it does and your policy of what it does,
19	I don't see that there's an express thing in there

- that they would sell fuel.
- But -- and -- and it says, in the FAA
- circular, they generally don't allow fuel sales in
- a SASO, but require it to be bundled with other
- services, if they have it. So, that's -- that's
- 25 the "for what it's worth," if you will.

1	And and one of the things I heard y all
2	talk about before is maybe you could just go out
3	for proposals. I'm not sure which which
4	Authority member said this, but maybe you just go
5	out for proposals for the the general the
6	light general aviation maintenance in particular.
7	So, I mean, you've got a number of options to
8	you to see what's out there.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I think
10	MR. BURNETT: And
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry, Doug.
12	MR. BURNETT: And and I guess it's up to
13	you how much you try and hone in and define what
14	it is you're looking for in this list of questions
15	that are out there.
16	You know, the you know, it's we're
17	all we're all familiar with business. Some
18	people will will pay a higher premium to you to
19	not have to build to not have to put the

20	capital outlay out there to build things and make
21	the huge capital investment. And then the flip
22	side of that is they want to pay less if they make
23	a capital investment. So, I guess you've got to
24	see what's out there and what folks want to do
25	if if you're going to pursue this.

I	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that's why I think ou
2	decision last time on the board was we wanted
3	limiting questions in there, similar to what Buzz
4	was saying that, what if it was this? What if it
5	were that? What can you provide to us? What are
6	your concerns; i.e. if it were mostly general
7	aviation? Maybe we could add in there what if it
8	was only limited to a SASO? Would you I'm sure
9	we'd have to define that in the RFP. Would you be
10	interested? Would you still you know, what
11	were your expectations on that? Would you want it
12	to expand or not? Would you be okay with limiting
13	it to that?
14	MR. GORMAN: In other words, Doug certainly
15	did his homework. Mr. Burnett certainly did his
16	homework. And should we then when you put out
17	an RFP, should it say for a SASCO (sic), whatever
18	that whatever the specific direction of that
19	is, slash, FBO? In other words, we're asking for

20	RFP for both or for either.	In other words, this

- is the direction and the answer I was looking for,
- is what he's just said. In other words, we're not
- specific to fuel or whatever.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think you can do
- SASO/FBO. I think you need to say this is our

1	request for proposal, and then put the limiting
2	questions in there and say, what if, what if, what
3	if, what if? How would you propose it? Tell us
4	what you would do. Would you be accommodating to
5	this or not? And see what the responses are.
6	If we get ten responses back that say
7	absolutely not, we can't do it unless we sell jet
8	fuel, that answers our question.
9	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's done.
11	MR. BRUNSON: Here's my comment: We talked
12	about the 10-year plan. And it changes so
13	rapidly, by the time we get this proposal on there
14	on this RFP, it might change again.
15	One thing I think, that as Victor said, we've
16	got the Skybus, we've done the the car rental,
17	we have Customs we're moving forward with and so
18	forth. So, what I hope we don't do and I think
19	we should do this RFP. But as you said, Suzanne,

20	see what we get. And he can design this RFP to
21	I think you know that we really want it geared
22	towards what we consider general aviation.
23	What I don't want us to do and we're going
24	to have a lot of this: We're going to have a lot
25	of people coming in and saying, "You guys have

1	been talking about this for months and months, and
2	I'm tired of coming in here."
3	And I don't want us to be intimidated by FBOs
4	coming in here and trying to get us to make quick
5	decisions, that we need to make sure we know what
6	we're doing.
7	Having said that, I think we need to have
8	a an update on the what the present tenants
9	think they have to offer.
10	The reason we're going after this, instead of
11	talking to them, and see what the shortfalls are
12	there and fill it in here, and but we need to
13	listen to our present tenants and and see what
14	their needs are, too.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we sent out two
16	surveys.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Well and things change.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, no, there's no question.
19	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. And but I just think

20	that that we don't need to rush too quickly.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I mean, we could even
22	have
23	MR. BRUNSON: And that's all my comments.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed, we could even add
25	something in there, ask in the RFPs, knowing the

1	airport and its dynamics, because you're making an
2	RFP proposal, where do you see the airport in five
3	years? What do you see you could add to the
4	growth or
5	MR. BRUNSON: And then when we get to that
6	point, then we can start looking at what's the
7	best return on investment for the airport, whether
8	it should be a capital expenditure, or should we
9	see if the people are strong enough to put in
10	their own facility. And that will come later.
11	But they certainly should give us an
12	indication of what their thinking is of the
13	that, no, I can't come there if I have to put in
14	any capital, and we can weed out or or suggest
15	people quickly.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack, one more?
17	MR. GORMAN: One more. And again, not to
18	echo his, Mr. Brunson's thoughts, not to rush into
19	this, but I would really like to myself, I hear

20	a lot of the small business development. In other
21	words, the facilities, provide facilities for
22	small business development, not limit it to one
23	entity that is going to do one thing. In other
24	words, maybe with a a SASCO (sic) can provide,
25	we can provide with the scope of a SASCO ability

1	for small business
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: In other words
3	MR. GORMAN: to work. It's to sub under
4	the SASCO.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: In other words, within the
6	RFP, what what can you provide for small
7	businesses on the airport?
8	MR. GORMAN: Thank you. Yes, exactly.
9	MR. GEORGE: Ed, would it help at all if the
10	five members of this board took
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Those questions?
12	MR. GEORGE: those questions and gave you
13	their input on each one and any additional ones
14	that they will have? Because what we're doing is
15	we're talking over the same thing we talked over
16	two months ago.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
18	MR. WUELLNER: It would be extremely helpful.
10	We we can

- MR. GEORGE: Well, we can't do that. I'm
- 21 just kidding.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. If you get it back to
- us, we'll try to compile it and quickly get an
- 24 RFP.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I would recommend you e-mail

1	us that and we can e-mail it back to you, so you
2	can get exact direction, because I think we're all
3	somewhat on the same
4	MR. GEORGE: Wavelength?
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: wavelength, but we're
6	just doing circles, and we need to get off the
7	circle. We need to go forward, get the
8	information, and then yes or no. That's what I
9	said before. So
10	MR. BRUNSON: Slowly.
11	MR. GEORGE: And if you give it to us in Word
12	format, we can go right in and just add our
13	comments. You'll have nice and tight.
14	MR. WUELLNER: It's already done. All I've
15	got to do is e-mail it to you.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That would be great.
17	MR. BURNETT: And then y'all will mail those
18	individual
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Individuals back, correct.

- MR. BURNETT: -- to Ed.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct.
- MR. WUELLNER: Everybody can work on Word?
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'll convert it, yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: Very slowly, one finger at a
- 25 time.

1	MR. WUELLNER: It will be letter, not word.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
3	MR. WUELLNER: That's fine. Okay.
4	MR. GEORGE: Sounds good. Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anything else in the RFP?
6	MR. WUELLNER: No. I'll get those to you and
7	we'll get we'll just go from there next time.
8	MR. BRUNSON: Ed, just to confuse you
9	completely, is that
10	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think we're on the
11	right track. I'll get the individual do it
12	again?
13	MR. BURNETT: It's off again.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And the only thing I
15	recommend is, when we get those, let's fill them
16	out, and please e-mail them back prior to the next
17	meeting so we're not waiting for the information.
18	MR. BRUNSON: When is the next meeting?
19	MR. WUELLNER: January

- MS. BARRERA: 14th.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- 14th. If I get them before
- that, then I can even attempt to --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- combine them into sort of a
- 25 thought.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's my recommendation, we
2	get it ahead of time, so whoever, and Ed in this
3	case, can compile what we need.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Last last time
5	MR. GEORGE: Can we add to that that the
6	person that gets theirs completed list in last
7	pays everybody else five bucks? No?
8	MR. GORMAN: Well, everyone is in agreement
9	that that's what they're going to do, so we can do
10	that.
11	MR. BRUNSON: I will put multiple choices on
12	mine.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right, Ed. Next thing?
14	MR. WUELLNER: This could be interesting.
15	9.F PROPERTY ACQUISITION FUNDING DISCUSSION
16	MR. WUELLNER: All right. Last item I I
17	have is a continuation from a request made at, I
18	believe it was last meeting, relative to talking
19	about property acquisition funding and the like.

20	And and as a part of some discussions I've
21	had with individual Authority members was a
22	suggestion came out that perhaps something that's
23	not been done in a long time it was done, you
24	know, eleven or twelve years ago, shortly after I
25	got here was an attempt to focus the

1	Authority's interest in land acquisition long term
2	and and meld that with what was described in
3	the Airport Master Plan, and then in a sense,
4	create target areas for acquisition over time,
5	not not immediate acquisition, but just over
6	time.
7	And largely, that's what happened eleven or
8	twelve years ago, was a the Authority generally
9	took the suggestion to focus efforts on the
10	acquisition in in this neighborhood and in the
11	Araquay Park, as well as the St. Johns Industrial
12	Park on the extreme north end. And acquisitions
13	generally for the most part all occurred in those
14	areas.
15	Over time, though, we've we've in a sense
16	filled in the gaps in many areas and are in a
17	sense completing the acquisition in those areas,
18	and we now need to look at what, when you look at
19	the Master Plan or look at as you see the airport

20	developing, where are those focus areas going to
21	be over the next five, ten, fifteen years,
22	relative to property needs for the airport? And
23	who owns those. Whether it's us, somebody else.
24	And what's likely to occur in on those pieces
25	of property and in a sense create the plan to get

1	there. Not necessarily have the dollars
2	identified yet, but create the areas of of
3	interest over time.
4	We have been approached in the last three or
5	four months, or even longer, with properties that
6	are available in Araquay Park west of U.S. 1. We
7	have been approached with properties from time to
8	time west of U.S. 1, not necessarily contiguous
9	with anything we own, but parcels out there.
10	We've been approached with property at the end of
11	North Boulevard over time. We've been approached
12	with properties along U.S. 1 even currently.
13	What what or where does it make sense to
14	make the investments based on how the airport's
15	planning to develop?
16	A second piece of that is how do we go about
17	facilitating funding that over time? And an
18	obvious choice here is related to our continued
19	joint use of funds with Florida DOT. Great

20	program. The difficulty we have short term is the
21	stability of that program, given the State's
22	revenue picture, and the protection of that trust
23	fund, the Aviation Development Trust Fund there.
24	Other is getting into the actual work
25	program. It's a five-year effort at a minimum to

1

2	acquisition.
3	We currently have no additional land use
4	or excuse me, land purchase grants hanging out
5	there. We used a whole bunch of them finishing up
6	the east side of or east end of Araquay. We
7	can get back in the queue there. We can continue
8	or begin to program those things, but you're still
9	looking at perhaps as far out as five years or
10	more till those things begin to become in a
11	current work year program with with Florida
12	DOT.
13	But there is a chance between now and the
14	and five years starting, that as we review
15	annually the work program, we decide that perhaps
16	instead of building a building someplace or doing
17	some other project that's in the work program, you
18	may be able to adjust the project title of that or
19	the project grant description and make that a land

get new projects into there, which include land

20	acquisition over time. That requires a lot of
21	coordination with FDOT and, of course, them buying
22	in if that's a that's a greater need than
23	what something else that was programmed.
24	But you may be able to make some smaller
25	amounts of money available sooner than that. But

1	we're not talking in any case a lot of money on
2	the table at any one time. You're looking at
3	probably total funding in a year of a million
4	dollars or less. And that's matched, so you're
5	looking at, you know, a total of a million dollars
6	of total property acquisition annually in a good
7	year with under the FDOT work program.
8	That may presuppose being able to build
9	anything that year. It may be in lieu of a
10	T-hangar unit or a or a corporate hangar or
11	fuel farm or some other capital project. It could
12	come at that expense.
13	So, the the thought here was that perhaps
14	this needs to be brought to a bigger context to
15	sit down with the Airport Layout Plan, basically
16	on the screen and the and the aerial available,
17	and let's talk through how things are developing.
18	We've had a couple of years since the Master Plan
19	was adopted.

20	And as Mr. Gorman pointed out earlier in the
21	meeting, we have elements of the Master Plan that
22	are are literally eleven years ahead of
23	schedule. We have elements of the Master Plan
24	that are on schedule, and others that really
25	aren't moving at all because there seems to be no

1	particular demand for it. Well, that's a part of
2	what the skill set here is, is we've got to find
3	out how these things work. Encourage things
4	you're looking for, discourage things you're
5	trying to keep off the airport, and ultimately
6	find the land use balance that makes sense long
7	term for the airport. And that's it's no easy
8	task. But it's outside the context of the Master
9	Plan right now.
10	So, we probably need to sit down and do this
11	in a workshop environment would be great, but, you
12	know, really spend the time talking about how the
13	airport develops. Not in the context of drawing
14	it on a map tomorrow, but in the context of how
15	it's likely to develop. Much more
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Theoretical?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, exactly. Much more
18	theoretical. And I'd like to do that sooner
19	rather than later, because we have people who have

20	made requests of the Airport Authority over the
21	last few months relative to buying property.
22	I I think we'd be extremely shortsighted
23	to just close our eyes to those opportunities
24	because we've got a very short-term vision. I'm
25	not saying it's necessarily a decision you're

1	going to make to do, but I think until you put it
2	all in context, you can't make good decisions
3	related to how we develop.
4	We made, what I would consider decent
5	decisions getting here over the last ten or twelve
6	years, but that was because we we set out those
7	objectives at that time. And it's time to do it
8	again. We've we're meeting or met nearly all
9	of those acquisition goals, and it's time to
10	revisit that and see what, if any, there are in
11	the future.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have public comment
13	on this. Reba?
14	MS. LUDLOW: No.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Vic?
16	MR. MARTINELLI: Will I have an opportunity
17	under general comments to speak as well, or is
18	this the general comments?
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN. No this is under property

- acquisition.
- 21 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. No, I'll -- I'll pass
- on this one but come back on the other one.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Mark Register.
- MR. REGISTER: No, I just -- my name is Mark
- 25 Register at 131 Indian Bend. And I just jotted a

1	few notes down I wanted to bring up. First of
2	all, from day one, I've been a supporter of the
3	of the
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, just put it up closer.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. REGISTER: I've been a supporter of the
7	airport expansion. And last month, when we were
8	in here, a few of you board members made a comment
9	or a suggestion that you didn't want to
10	patch-quilt the area. Well, I feel that where
11	you've gotten today, as much property you own in
12	Araquay Park, you have patch-quilted it. You have
13	patch-quilted it.
14	And also at the beginning of the year, we had
15	an appraiser come out and do an appraisal, and it
16	came back low. I think we're getting mixed
17	signals from the board, is what I feel. They came
18	out and did an appraisal. It came back a little
19	low, and then you board members decided, well,

20	let's do the long form, and you sent another
21	appraiser out.
22	And they came out, and then last month they
23	came in here with the estimates that we wanted.
24	And we said that all of a sudden you guys said
25	you didn't want to acquire the property, after

1	sending two separate appraisers out this year.
2	And I just feel we're getting mixed signals.
3	And with the construction going on I never
4	wanted to sell, because I'm only a half a mile
5	from work. But with the construction going on, I
6	feel now that we're in a construction zone, I feel
7	the way Wayne Neff does too too. And we're
8	just asking you guys to maybe change your outlook
9	and possibly acquire the property for us so we can
10	get out of get out of your construction zone.
11	That's basically all I've got to say. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mark. Are there any
13	other public comments? Mr. Neff?
14	(No further discussion.)
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Board comments? And
16	I think there might be some questions for you,
17	Mark. I'm not sure. Go ahead.
18	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I wanted to to try to
19	clarify with Mark what I thought we said last

20	time.
21	What we said last time is what I think we
22	said last time, is for us to all of a sudden
23	decide to spend money for a piece of property here
24	or a piece of property here, piece of property
25	here, without a plan, kind of like we have a

1	financial plan, we need a plan for where we're
2	going to go.
3	And that's what Mr. Wuellner is doing, is
4	he's trying to come up with a workshop where we
5	can tell him where we want to go, and then from
6	there, we can go back and relook at the
7	appraisals, if that's the way the you know, the
8	consensus actually turns out.
9	But that's why we were saying the patchwork,
10	is because I wouldn't want to spend money for
11	something that we have no intention of using over
12	the next ten years, when I've got other property
13	over here that we do have an intention of using
14	and it's more
15	MR. REGISTER: The only thing that I only
16	thing I have then, is, what I'm saying mixed
17	signals is, the beginning of the year, the first
18	quarter, we sent an appraiser you guys said
19	let's send an appraiser out to do an appraisal on

- 20 the properties. It came back, and there was a big
- 21 number difference. So, you said let's go with the
- long form.
- So, Sidney set up -- and I think it was July
- 24 meeting you guys had, let's do the long form.
- They came back out, they did the long form

1	appraisal, spent a lot of time at the house going
2	through it. The numbers came back, and that's
3	what last meeting was, to present it. And then
4	some some individuals on the board said, no,
5	we're not interested in acquiring that property.
6	I just felt it was a waste of Authority money to
7	do the appraisers you know, the property
8	appraisals if you weren't interested in the first
9	place.
10	MR. GEORGE: As it would be shortsighted on
11	our part to buy a piece of property, and then ten
12	years from now not need it. All I was trying to
13	do was not say complete absolute no. I was
14	saying, let's get a plan before we say no. Know
15	where we're going.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah, what I said last time is
17	that we have other obligations that we have to
18	meet with the with the community and with St.
19	Johns County, and that our financial position, in

25

20	my personal opinion, did not warrant buying any
21	property right now.
22	And, you know, I don't remember that we we
23	were not satisfied with an appraisal and
24	authorized another appraisal. And

MS. BARRERA: We did.

1	MR. BRUNSON: That's not in my recollection,
2	and I haven't missed I don't
3	MR. WUELLNER: We never got to a discussion
4	of value.
5	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
6	MR. WUELLNER: That that never happened.
7	MR. BRUNSON: So, I so, you said the board
8	sent you mixed signals. This board, I did not
9	even know we were considering your property or
10	anybody else's property.
11	I get calls constantly from friends that own
12	property on U.S. 1, and my personal opinion then,
13	it's so high that it's not worth even talking to
14	Ed or to the board about.
15	So, you know and the only thing I will say
16	is I know that if you're in the construction area,
17	that's not a good thing for you, but we have other
18	obligations, also.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead, Mr. Gorman.

20	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. In other words, I would
21	echo Mr. Brunson's thought, that that I don't
22	recall that this board specifically going about to
23	acquire property in that area. As a matter of
24	fact, specifically this board's minutes will
25	reflect that we were not going to acquire property

1	in that area.
2	The board must have a memory, and the
3	memory and but historically, what's happened
4	before, the patchwork quilt was hard to deal with,
5	simply because small pieces were eaten up with
6	buffers, and without again to echo
7	Mr. George's without a plan, we were not going
8	to go anywhere. We were eaten alive with with
9	eminent domain issues and with the fact that these
10	small pieces individually were not useful. Again,
11	the plan had to be in place.
12	I don't, as a board member, recall actively
13	inquiring as to appraisals for these pieces that
14	were west of Casa Cola. You've got to have a plan
15	and it has to be concise.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to just jump in
17	Kelly, and then turn the meeting over to you,
18	because I have to be at St. Johns County Nease
19	High School

20	I think our direction was and Ed spoke
21	well. We need a plan. I don't want to be
22	involved in eminent domain anymore. We need to
23	purchase properties if we want to develop the
24	our airport properly. But we also need to be wise
25	in what we're spending and what plan we have to be
23	in what we're spending and what plan we have to be

1	the next growth area.
2	So, I am all for a workshop. I think that
3	area you have, Mark, is definitely an area,
4	because you're in the middle of a construction
5	zone to begin with, that we're looking at. But
6	then we need to focus and I've been on this
7	board the longest, and we did focus on that seven
8	years ago. And Mark Miles (sic), Mark the
9	gentleman that did a lot of appropriations over on
10	the industrial park area, one of the board members
11	before, had good insight on doing that.
12	So, that's why I want to stay. I want to
13	stay focused on where we need to go, what
14	properties we do need to purchase, no question.
15	I just think today, or last month, we weren't
16	in a position to say, all right, we're going to
17	purchase this, this, and this, because we're
18	purchasing this, this, and this.
19	But if we have a plan saving, all right.

20	that one's going to be available a year from now
21	to we can do these two now and come up with a
22	set, that's good. No problem with that.
23	So, my insight would be let let's do the
24	workshop the sooner the better. We can have it
25	public, so people Mark, you guys can come. The

1	Neffs, you can come and say, you know, this is
2	where we are, this is our house, this or our
3	property, whatever we're looking at, and give us
4	insight. You know, your plan may be now. Your
5	plan may be two years from now. I don't know.
6	I'm assuming it's more sooner than later, but
7	but that's fine.
8	MR. BRUNSON: And may I say I know you've
9	got to go. But Mark, also, each individual one of
10	us are available to talk to you one on one, and I
11	would welcome to talk with you.
12	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: It might work better
13	now.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Good luck, Suzanne.
15	MR. WUELLNER: How about putting this in
16	terms of schedule, how about right how about we
17	block some time before the next Authority meeting
18	on the 14th? Is there availability there? No?

MR. GEORGE: Sure.

19

- MR. GORMAN: It's tough before the holidays.
- MR. WUELLNER: No, it would the 14th of
- January.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: January the 14th.
- MR. GEORGE: So, by doing it, it would be the
- 25 hour from 3:00 to 4:00 before the meeting.

1	MR. BRUNSON: I personally need more time to
2	talk to Ed, to talk to you about this. And I'm
3	going to be gone from the 22nd till January the
4	4th. And I might could might could squeeze
5	this in to talk to you.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Between 4th and the 14th?
7	MR. BRUNSON: Between the 4th and the 14th.
8	MR. GEORGE: Sure, there's plenty of time.
9	MR. BRUNSON: Even though
10	MR. WUELLNER: Alternatively, you could of
11	course, you've got every other date available,
12	but
13	MR. BRUNSON: I don't see why we're rushing
14	this so much.
15	MR. WUELLNER: The Authority meeting the
16	next Authority meeting after that would probably
17	be February 11th.
18	MR. GEORGE: I can just see Mark cringing
19	every time you slip another month.

- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I know.

  MR. BRUNSON: Well, we're not slipping

  anything anywhere. We're just doing a land plan

  and financial analysis of what -- where we're
- 24 going to get the money to do what we want to do,
- and we've got to look at both sides. And --

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you think it would be
2	I would think it would be appropriate to have one
3	of our engineering people there.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or both, you know, whatever.
6	I'm fine. I can do it the 14th or before the
7	11th. The 11th is our next one as scheduled?
8	MR. WUELLNER: February 11th. You have
9	you have the 14th of January or the 11th of
10	February, our regular meeting dates.
11	MS. BARRERA: Randy, would you be available
12	to meet with Ed one on one to go over that
13	information prior to the 14th, between the 4th,
14	when you get back, and the 14th
15	MR. BRUNSON: Well, unfortunately
16	MS. BARRERA: And then be prepared to
17	MR. BRUNSON: I don't have my 2008 calendar.
18	MR. GEORGE: Use mine.
19	MR. BRUNSON: Right. No, but I've already

- got things filled in on it. And I hate to be --
- but I'm just saying that I don't see why we're
- pushing so hard to do this before the next board
- 23 meeting.
- MR. GORMAN: I don't think so. We don't need
- 25 to push that hard either, myself.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. But
2	MR. GORMAN: We need to review a 10-year plan
3	before we even start to review when we're going to
4	buy land.
5	MR. BRUNSON: We've really touched on things
6	today that we really need the the 10-year
7	plan, the changes, the now we brought up this
8	land acquisition. And we're just starting a whole
9	new ball game here.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, that's why I mean,
11	that's fine. The 14th or before the 11th is fine.
12	But this is just a mini step to start, you're
13	right, because there's many steps to come after
14	this.
15	MR. GORMAN: So, why don't we review if
16	we're going to review anything, why don't we
17	review the 10-year plan before we we start to
18	talk about land acquisition.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Ten-vear plan

- MR. GEORGE: Ten-year plan should be --
- MR. BRUNSON: Review our 10-year --
- MR. GEORGE: -- the first part of this
- workshop.
- MR. GORMAN: Sure, exactly. I couldn't agree
- more.

1	MR. GEORGE: Plus all the changes that are
2	occurring as we speak, right?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Ten-year plan, meaning the
4	MR. GEORGE: Master Plan.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Master Plan?
6	MR. GORMAN: Sure. Why do we this thing
7	is already the Master Plan is already
8	patchworked. How often do you review this thing,
9	Ed? Obviously don't last ten years.
10	MR. GEORGE: How does Wednesday, the 23rd of
11	January sound? Moving right along.
12	MR. WUELLNER: January what?
13	MR. GEORGE: No, I get a no down on this end
14	of the table.
15	MR. BRUNSON: See, that's
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: If it's possible to stay
17	with Mondays, that would be that would be good,
18	since it's our normal meeting days. Prior to the
19	meeting, that's fine.

- MR. GEORGE: Monday the 28th.
- MR. BURNETT: I'll throw a suggestion out
- there. Do you want to push the regular meeting to
- 23 21st and have the workshop before the regular
- 24 meeting?
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, we wouldn't normally do

1	the meeting on the 21st. What we have
2	historically done is the meeting on the 28th, we
3	usually do the week after, so
4	MR. BURNETT: Oh, yeah, the 21st, that's a
5	holiday.
6	MR. WUELLNER: If the 28th's fine, we'll just
7	move the regular meeting to the 28th.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, like I mean, what
9	Randy says, I don't necessarily think this has to
10	be before the next meeting, but I just want to set
11	a meeting, a workshop so we can get some of this
12	hashed out, get the public here and get their
13	input and
14	MR. WUELLNER: I I hadn't I hadn't
15	noticed this but, you know, historically, you
16	your January meeting ends up scheduled right after
17	the holiday. The 21st is the holiday.
18	Ordinarily, you would do that on the 28th. And
19	then, likewise, in February, you would do it on

20	the 25th, not the week before, ordinarily.
21	And considering this meeting got moved later,
22	now you've only got three weeks and a holiday, you
23	know, a series of holidays, actually, between now
24	and the 11th.
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, you're you're

1	suggesting have the workshop maybe on the 14th and
2	do our meeting on the 28th or something like that.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Actually, I'm saying do them
4	both on the 28th and be done with it.
5	MR. BRUNSON: No, I don't want to do that.
6	This workshop is going to take longer.
7	MR. WUELLNER: You would like two meeting
8	dates.
9	MR. BRUNSON: I don't want to be rushed into
10	this workshop. And I just would rather do the
11	workshop in February.
12	MS. BARRERA: Let's do the meeting on January
13	14th and do the workshop on the 28th, right in
14	between the two?
15	MR. GEORGE: February?
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, January.
17	MR. GEORGE: January?
18	MS. BARRERA: Is that is that an idea?

MR. GEORGE: Well, he's saying he doesn't

- want to do the workshop in January.
- MR. BRUNSON: No, I'm -- it could be the end
- of January --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- or first of February. I
- don't care.

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, that's a 2 suggestion. We'll keep our -- our regular meeting 3 and have the 10-year plan land acquisition/whatever you want to call it on the 4 28th, which is another Monday. 5 6 MR. WUELLNER: At 4 o'clock? CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. Four o'clock, Randy? 7 MR. BRUNSON: That's fine. 8 MR. WUELLNER: Regular meeting on the 14th. 9 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 10 MR. BURNETT: If -- if I could, I'll just 11 throw this out there. I -- I never raise that I 12 13 have a conflict. I can't recall that I've ever raised that I have a conflict for an airport 14 authority meeting. 15 16 MR. GEORGE: Don't start now. You --17 MR. BURNETT: I hear you. MR. GEORGE: You won't get a gold star. 18 MR. BURNETT: The January 28th meeting date 19

- is very difficult for me to make. Let me say
- 21 this, though: I think if -- y'all are having a
- workshop so I just need to send a lawyer here that
- knows about government law.
- MR. WUELLNER: Really.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.

1	MR. BURNETT: So, if that's okay with y'all,
2	I can get it covered and have somebody here who
3	who understands sunshine law, public records, that
4	kind of law.
5	MR. GEORGE: Send somebody prettier than you.
6	MR. BURNETT: I can do that.
7	MR. BRUNSON: This might be a good thing.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. January 28th at
9	4 o'clock with a substitute representation for our
10	workshop.
11	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And, Mark, if you guys
13	can if you can disseminate the word, I mean,
14	obviously we'll publish it so we can get input
15	adequately.
16	MS. NEFF: When you have the workshop on
17	the 28th.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: January 28th at 4:00.

MS. NEFF: That's before you guys, right?

18

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it's open to the
- 21 public.
- MR. GEORGE: You can come, too.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yes, absolutely. Absolutely.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Everybody can, yes, ma'am.
- 25 That's why I said, please --

1	MS. NEFF: That's why I wanted to clarify the
2	dates here.
3	MR. GEORGE: Now, understand
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, speak in the mic
5	because we're trying to get everybody on the
6	record.
7	MR. GEORGE: A clarification, a workshop, we
8	cannot make any decisions, okay? So, whatever we
9	summarize out of that
10	MR. WUELLNER: That will be
11	MR. GEORGE: has to come to the next
12	meeting.
13	MR. WUELLNER: essentially the whole topic
14	of discussion on the 28th. 28th.
15	MR. GEORGE: Unless you add four more things
16	to it.
17	MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no, no. We'll keep
18	this simple.

MR. BRUNSON: Land acquisition won't be the

- sole topic.
- MR. WUELLNER: Issues surrounding will be.
- MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
- MR. BURNETT: Mrs. Neff, can I get your first
- name? I apologize.
- MS. NEFF: Kay.

1	MR. BURNETT: Kay Nett?
2	MS. NEFF: Kay Neff.
3	MR. BURNETT: Thank you. She's trying to get
4	that stuff down.
5	MS. NEFF: Oh, okay.
6	MR. GEORGE: Next agenda item.
7	MR. WUELLNER: I don't have anymore.
8	(Ms. Suzanne Green leaves the room.)
9	MS. BARRERA: Do we have any more public
10	comment on this subject?
11	(No further discussion.)
12	MS. BARRERA: Okay. All right.
13	Housekeeping?
14	10 HOUSEKEEPING
15	MR. WUELLNER: Just a couple of items. We
16	met with since this was written, we met with
17	FAA down at the airport district office in Orlando
18	about PFCs and have a clear understanding of where
19	we are and where we're going.

20	I would think that probably very early
21	January well, actually, at the January
22	Authority meeting, we'll be able to present the
23	application and all the details about where that
24	is, and hopefully at that point be able to submit
25	the application to FAA for review and

1	implementation, if that's your desire at that
2	meeting.
3	SBA developments, I just wanted to bring you
4	up to speed on where things you may have seen
5	some things in the paper. Our SBA, meaning the
6	State Board of Administration, is where the
7	Airport Authority, as well as most other
8	governmental entities in the State of Florida, in
9	a sense keep their money. They're the act sort
10	of as a central banker or repository for
11	investments on those, whether you're holding
12	reserve funds or whatever.
13	And a few weeks ago now, the SBA, under the
14	order of the Governor, shut down for several days
15	while they sorted out the liquidity of the SBA
16	funds.
17	As you know, with any good investment group,
18	even private sector investment groups, they have
19	investments in all types of things. And the idea

20	was to the fund needed to be liquid.
21	Some of this is, I'm going to say tenored
22	with a little bit of personal opinion, but I think
23	what you what you had is a huge overreaction of
24	some fund managers for individual governmental
25	entities in the State of Florida, and as a result,

1	in a sense created a little a mini run on the
2	bank, if you will, with SBA, forcing them to try
3	to liquidate a lot of investments very quickly and
4	created some some cash flow issues with SBA
5	being responsive to these larger governmental
6	entities pulling tens, if not hundreds of millions
7	of dollars out of this \$15 billion that are
8	invested with the that SBA invests on behalf of
9	the State of Florida.
10	As a result, the Governor ordered SBA to
11	basically freeze. It wasn't allowed to accept
12	deposits. It wasn't allowed to accept offers or
13	requests for withdrawals for a period of time.
14	The fund manager, head of SBA in this case,
15	resigned. The legislature, the cabinet,
16	replaced has not named a replacement, however
17	has appointed a firm in New York to oversee the -
18	the reestablishment of it.
19	Nobody's technically lost any money at this

20	point. The issue really came down to and
21	you've heard this all through the news was the
22	below-prime mortgage, a percentage of the
23	investments, what the State of Florida made, were
24	in that kind of commercial paper. It's a small
25	nercentage. It was less than 8 nercent, if my

1	memory's correct, of the total funds that were
2	invested in commercial paper. And even a smaller
3	piece of that were actually in subprime rate-type
4	mortgage.
5	The fund reopened a few days later. It now
6	is basically been divided up into two funds.
7	There's a class A and a class B. Most of our
8	funds, and as well as everybody else, is about 96
9	percent?
10	MS. GLASSER: Eighty-six percent.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Eighty-six percent of the
12	funds are in you can do anything you want with
13	anytime you want. The remaining amount of money,
14	which is only what, \$200,000 of ours?
15	MS. GLASSER: A hundred and sixty.
16	MR. WUELLNER: A hundred and sixty thousand
17	dollars is in any way tied with commercial paper.
18	All the rest, the over \$800,000, is still just the
19	way it was, still earning interest, still doing

20	everything or, not interest, but still earning
21	return on the investments.
22	During the period it was shut down, we opened
23	a essentially a money market account with our
24	bank, with Wachovia, so that tax proceeds had a
25	place to be placed. So, it is in an

1	interest-bearing or significantly better
2	interest it's about a half a point difference
3	between what SBA was doing and what the market is
4	doing.
5	MR. BRUNSON: How much did you put in
6	Wachovia?
7	MR. WUELLNER: I think we're at about \$1.3
8	million probably with S with Wachovia right
9	now?
10	MS. GLASSER: One point yeah, about \$1.3
11	in the money market.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Once all that
13	stabilizes, we can move it back into SBA, we can
14	do whatever we want. In fact, we can now deposit.
15	It's just we had to name a location for the tax
16	collector to put it in, and they needed to make a
17	deposit, which is why we set that account up
18	rather than just dump it in operating account with
19	no interest.

- So anyway, I don't -- I don't see any real
  risk going on right now or anything else. It's
  just it's got to settle down in general before the
  SBA, you know, kind of gets back on its feet,
  running it.
  Again, no -- no real risk to money or
  - AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING DECEMBER 17, 2007

1	anything else, but it did generate quite a few
2	headlines and and quite a few articles out
3	there, a little bit of media coverage about the
4	fund. But it's it's all stable. It's all
5	going. That's where Florida retirement system's
6	money is in. It's it's a big fund and it's
7	not, you know, in a sense not going to be allowed
8	to fail as a part of the state.
9	So, I just wanted to make make you aware
10	of what all's going on so if you had any concerns
11	or any wonders. The opening just for your
12	reference again, the opening of the account with
13	Wachovia was was and is consistent with your
14	investment policy that that you have. So, it
15	remains with a bank that's qualified under the
16	state. So it's everything's cool.
17	So, we'll we'll keep you updated if
18	there's anything significant. Right now, it looks

like it's -- it's all blown over and it's just a

20 matter of them hiring a new director and getting
---

- back -- getting everything back on.
- And as I said, we're still earning interest
- even on the money with SBA. So, it's no real
- 24 compromise position.
- 25 MR. BRUNSON: Good job.

1	MR. WUELLNER: That was going on in the
2	background. And the County had the same problem.
3	MS. BARRERA: Mr. Sanchez?
4	MR. WUELLNER: They had some of the they
5	all had money in it.
6	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: You just add a word so
7	no one's all excited about it. The County had
8	\$120-some million in there. And Allen MacDonald,
9	our finance person, caught up with what was going
10	on ahead of time and drew out \$80-some million
11	dollars of it.
12	So, they're satisfied that it's okay now,
13	that everything's going to work out. There's not
14	going to be any money lost. So, I just wanted to
15	say that in case I knew there was some people
16	thinking about the County money.
17	MR. BRUNSON: You're right.
18	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: A hundred and
19	twenty-some million is quite a bit to to do.

20	But that's probably a small amount compared to
21	some people that are invested in this thing.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. In our case, it was
23	total total in there of about a million dollars
24	at at that point. So, that's all I have on the
25	housekeeping.

1	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Which brings it to your public
3	comment.
4	MS. BARRERA: Public comments. Okay. We'll
5	open it up for public comment. Reba Ludlow?
6	MS. LUDLOW: Yes.
7	MR. BRUNSON: Kelly's Kelly's cold got
8	worse then, didn't it?
9	11 PUBLIC COMMENT
10	MS. LUDLOW: Ed did such a wonderful job, I
11	have no comments on what he said. But this is the
12	last time I the last public comment
13	opportunity, so I have a question.
14	Since anybody that read the newspaper on
15	Sunday saw Randy's picture, that he's going to run
16	for the County Commission seat, what happens? I
17	just want to ask the board what happens to that
18	position? Do you stay on or I mean, it sounds
19	like you stay on if you're going to want to review

20	some of these things, you know?
21	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. Ed and I have talked
22	about this, and we're we're going to make a
23	trip to Penny Halyburton's office, and I'm going
24	to make a decision on this and very shortly. I
25	have to win first And

1	MS. LUDLOW: Do you have to win
2	MR. BRUNSON: No, I
3	MR. WUELLNER: That that's part of what
4	we're going to get clarified.
5	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
6	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I had to do that as a
7	beach commissioner. I can tell you a little bit
8	about it.
9	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
10	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Thank you, Reba. Victor
11	Martinelli?
12	MR. MARTINELLI: Yes. Randy had, I think, a
13	very excellent suggestion, and I'm not so sure
14	that it was understood the way I understood it, so
15	I'd like to ask you for a little clarification,
16	when you said it might be a good thing to ask the
17	tenants and the people on the field what they
18	thought. I'm talking about that list of questions
19	relative to second FBO. And I were you talking

25

20	specifically about those kinds of items that you
21	wanted to get feedback?
22	MR. BRUNSON: Well, you know, just like
23	just like things change in surveys and 10-year
24	plans, we peeked under the tent with the survey.

And I'm sure people have gotten results and they

1	said, well, I have should have done this, and they
2	had the opportunity to talk to each one of us,
3	and
4	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, further to that, I
5	I have a suggestion or a request.
6	MR. BRUNSON: Sure.
7	MR. MARTINELLI: Is it possible, Ed, when you
8	send out the that list of questions relative to
9	second FBO to the board members, can you also send
10	it to the Pilots Association web site and the
11	Pilots Association members can take a look at it
12	and and mull over those thoughts as well?
13	MR. WUELLNER: It's really up to the board
14	whether they want that out there.
15	MR. MARTINELLI: That's why I'm asking the
16	board.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Technically, yes.
18	MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Now, it's up to the

board.

- 20 MR. BRUNSON: I have no objection.
- 21 MR. MARTINELLI: Well --
- MS. BARRERA: That would have to go on a next
- agenda item, I would think, to be voted on,
- wouldn't it?
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah.

1	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, wait a second. Isn't
2	this public information?
3	MR. WUELLNER: It is.
4	MR. MARTINELLI: I'm making a public
5	information request. Can't you make that
6	available to the public? I mean, by law, don't
7	you have to do that? I mean, you presented it in
8	the meeting and it's part of the meeting agenda
9	minutes.
10	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
11	MR. GEORGE: So, what do you want, more than
12	the minutes?
13	MR. MARTINELLI: Just the list just that
14	same list that he's going to send to you folks.
15	MR. GEORGE: You're just requesting
16	personally a list I thought you were requesting
17	that we send out a copy of this list to
18	MR. MARTINELLI: Well, put it on the
19	MR. GEORGE: 15, 20 people.

MR. MARTINELLI: Well -
MR. BURNETT: And then have -
MR. MARTINELLI: If you -- if you send it to

me as a -- or give me a copy of it, then am I

limited, Doug, as to what I can do with it?

MR. BURNETT: No. I -- I guess the question

- 1 as to -- and I was listening, Mr. Martinelli, and
- 2 I was trying to figure out whether your request
- 3 was to have Ed set up the process the same as what
- 4 he's going to do for the board --
- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: No, no, no.
- 6 MR. BURNETT: -- and then have Ed compiling
- 7 the data or the answers --
- 8 MR. MARTINELLI: No. No, no, no.
- 9 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. Of course, you can get a
- 10 copy --
- MR. MARTINELLI: The questions.
- MR. BURNETT: -- from what -- from what Ed's
- going to send out to the Authority members.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Yes. And as I understand
- it, he's going to send out that list of questions
- that we saw up there. And you said you had
- another slide, too.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, two -- two or three.
- 19 Just more questions.

20	MR. MARTINELLI: Two or three slides. So
21	I'd I'd like to get a copy of all of those
22	items that were up on there, or that would have
23	been up on there for the three slides. And and
24	if you don't want to put them to the St. Augustine
25	Airport Pilots Association web site, just send

- 1 them to me individually and I can do it.
- MS. LUDLOW: Or me.
- 3 MR. MARTINELLI: Let's not complicate it.
- 4 MR. GEORGE: Well, she's our new
- 5 representative, so maybe we should send it to her.
- 6 MS. LUDLOW: Not till January.
- 7 MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah, but she asked me to
- 8 assist her.
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Gotcha there.
- MR. GEORGE: We have enough squabbles going
- on in this board.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Is that all right,
- though, with you folks, now?
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 MR. BRUNSON: Thank you, Vic.
- 17 MS. BARRERA: Mr. Slingluff?
- MR. SLINGLUFF: I should have brought this up
- during the discussion on -- Bryan's discussion on

20	the park.
21	I think that the park is is a wonderful
22	thing to do. We talked about it while we were
23	doing the the south side development, T-hangar
24	development. And, Kelly, I look at you because
25	vou're the PR committee. I think the park needs a

1	lot of PR. It really it's one of those tools
2	we can use to join this airport back into the
3	community and let people use it.
4	We've got an airline. We've got TSA and
5	security all over the place. The airport becomes
6	more and more unapproachable every day. The park
7	really brings the citizen back in.
8	And I think Bryan should get kudos for for
9	taking that task on. I think it's very important.
10	And I really would like to see the PR committee
11	get behind that and get it in the paper and
12	explain to the citizens what's happening.
13	MS. BARRERA: As soon as we have a plan.
14	MR. SLINGLUFF: I I did make a comment on
15	the the needs of the tenants, and if I could
16	add a little bit of homework to what you're doing,
17	is with the planning and and that list, that
18	RFP culling list, if if you want to call it
19	that, I think we need some the tenants, the

20	business tenants, the business citizens in the
21	county that want to have hangars here at the
22	airport need to have some answers on how their
23	needs will be met. And I think that's part of
24	that planning process. Thank you.
25	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Ms. Alice Sutherland?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Alice Sutherland, 15 Davis,
2	St. Augustine. And I just have a few comments to
3	make, and some questions.
4	I'm a little concerned about some of the
5	stats that we saw earlier in the presentation
6	about our operations being down. And one thing I
7	understand is that, you know, that's 14 hours out
8	of a 24-hour day, and we are seeing increased
9	business, you know, after the 9 p.m. tower
10	closing. But it just doesn't match with what I
11	have gathered from some of the other northeast
12	Florida airports.
13	And I you know, it's easy to say, "Well,
14	it's because fuel's expensive," you know. And
15	it's just too easy to write that off. But I would
16	really like to task each of the board members with
17	looking at that a little bit closer and seeing
18	what if there's other reasons. You know, why is
19	it that the other northeast Florida airports like

20	Craig, Jacksonville International, they're showing
21	increased operations in general aviation?
22	I have some e-mails that I solicited from the
23	Jacksonville Authority up there, and I'd be happy
24	to forward them to you. So, it just doesn't match
25	with what's happening in north Florida, the

1	decrease in operations here. So, I'm concerned.
2	I want to know why. And I'd like for you to help
3	me find out why.
4	Secondly, in regards to the second FBO, I
5	hear a lot of comments about, you know, we don't
6	want somebody in here that's just going to sell
7	jet fuel. And I'm with you a hundred percent.
8	There's so many things that need to be addressed
9	service-wise on the field here at St. Augustine
10	Airport.
11	But I just want to remind you, too, that
12	before you try to limit and I think we already
13	decided that you can't actually limit the sale of
14	jet fuel. But before you even think about
15	limiting how an FBO would make their money, just
16	remember that some of these things actually fund
17	more services for the smaller piston GA market
18	that normally wouldn't be able to come about, you
19	know, if it weren't for, you know, the big ticket

20	items, the	thousand,	you know,	gallon	fuel	sales,
			., ,	O		~

- 21 things like that. It will afford -- provided that
- the FBO is going to reinvest back into the
- community and back into the services at the
- 24 airport.
- I think, you know, that's key. You really

1	need to, you know, kind of include that in the
2	request for proposal, make sure that they have an
3	interest in reinvesting back into the aviation
4	community here at St. Augustine. I mean, who
5	wouldn't want to do that?
6	But I also want to add one last thing. When
7	you're looking at that request for proposal items,
8	I'd like for you to find out what sort of
9	marketing plan the new FBO or whatever sort of
10	company you decide comes in here to do, what sort
11	of marketing plan are they going to do to tell
12	everybody how wonderful this airport is with all
13	the wonderful services, the Customs, the you
14	know, our geographic desirability, you know, en
15	route to the islands. There's so many things we
16	have to offer.
17	The FBO should be telling the whole world
18	about these things. And I'd like for you to
19	address that when you do look at, you know, some

- of the information you get back in the RFP.
- Because it's important about growth. We need to
- have smart sustained growth, and marketing is --
- is a huge part of that. So, anyhoo, thank you.
- MR. BRUNSON: Thank you.
- MS. BARRERA: Sacha Martin?

1 MS. MARTIN: Okay. This is a happy comment. 2 The subject is DayJet. And in the paper a few days ago, there was a story by our favorite 3 4 reporter, Doug Burnett (sic), about DayJet coming 5 into St. Augustine --MR. WUELLNER: Doug Jordan. 6 7 MS. MARTIN: -- with their services. MR. WUELLNER: Jordan. Doug Jordan. 8 MS. MARTIN: Doug Jordan. I'm sorry. 9 MR. BURNETT: I'm in the paper, but I don't 10 11 write the articles. MS. MARTIN: Oh, well, Doug and Doug. 12 13 First of all, I asked him how he found out about this, because it was big news to me. And, 14 you know, we're supposed to be on the PR committee 15 16 so that we can publicize things like this. And he 17 said he got it from a photographer at The St. Augustine Record who had gotten a copy of a 18

19

press release from DayJet.

20	So, I guess a question the first question
21	is, did we not know about this in advance in order
22	to be able to relate to any questions involving
23	it?
24	And second of all, what is do you think
25	the impact will be to the airport in terms of

1	revenues and in terms of just quality of life in
2	the airport? And I guess that's a Michael
3	Slingluff question personally, so
4	MR. GEORGE: Michael doesn't run this
5	airport.
6	MS. MARTIN: Oh.
7	MR. BRUNSON: But he can comment.
8	MS. MARTIN: All right. So, hopefully
9	somebody on the Airport Authority knows about it
10	MR. GEORGE: Ed?
11	MR. WUELLNER: I'll tell you what I know,
12	which is little or nothing about the subject. It
13	came as a surprise to us that it was front-page
14	story. We're still evaluating exactly what, if
15	anything, is an airport issue surrounding their
16	operation here.
17	In terms of what it means to the airport at
18	this point, it's a little or nothing. It's a very
19	small aircraft that appears to be on-demand type

20	charter versus anything else. And in the scheme
21	of things, it's more about the investors of in
22	DayJets than it is about anything else at this
23	point. And with the pricing that's involved, it's
24	of questionable long-term utility and viability
25	anyway So kind of let it happen and see what

1 happens. 2 MS. MARTIN: I think someone told me there 3 were two flights today or --MR. WUELLNER: I couldn't tell you. I 4 5 haven't been out of the office. Michael might 6 know. I -- I don't know. MR. SLINGLUFF: The -- the press release was 7 a little premature. We signed agreements with 8 DayJets today. And, yeah, there were two flights 9 today. The press -- press release really 10 shouldn't have gone out until tomorrow, actually. 11 But they -- they beat us to the punch on that one. 12 MR. WUELLNER: So, they're aligned with you. 13 MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah. Yeah. And it --14 it's -- it's an on-demand 135 operation, very 15 16 small jets, as Ed said. It's one of the largest 17 investments in general aviation -- in the history of general aviation by -- by a single individual. 18

And, yeah, I -- I agree with Ed, the price

19

20	structure and the size of the jets, it makes you
21	scratch your head. I was scratching my head seven
22	months ago about Skybus.
23	MR. WUELLNER: Fair enough.
24	MS. BARRERA: All right. That should be the

end of our public comments. That's all the slips

1	I have.
2	12.A MS. KELLY BARRERA
3	Authority members' comments. Start with
4	myself.
5	The only thing I would mention is that, yes,
6	I do think we need to publicize the park, and I
7	think that will be a great thing. We just need to
8	get a little bit further in the planning process
9	so that we're not publicizing one thing and
10	retracting another in the process.
11	Secondly, I'm interested in in exploring
12	diversifying our revenue sources. I think we have
13	a plan in order to become self-sufficient, and I'm
14	interested in trying to diversify those revenue
15	sources so that we aren't just looking at one or
16	two different operations and as as revenue
17	sources for us. With that, Randy?
18	12.B MR. RANDY BRUNSON
19	MR. BRUNSON: Okay. I echo that I think

20	our I'm glad you mentioned self-sufficient. I
21	think we should stay focused on that, and that
22	should be our number-one thing. However, saying
23	that, I am extremely encouraged by the public
24	comments I've received lately about Skybus and
25	that this is a good board, and they really know

1	that we sincerely are trying to be
2	self-sufficient, and and I know we will
3	continue to do that.
4	And the PR, you're right, great opportunity
5	with a lot of things going on. And it seems as
6	if, to me personally, that The Ponte Vedra
7	Recorder is is a paper that really prints news.
8	And I'm I'm talking to Chuck Day up there. So,
9	I'm sure you have that on your your list of
10	people. But he would be very interested in what
11	all you're doing.
12	Let's see here. I do want to is Reba
13	gone? Reba
14	MS. LUDLOW: No, I'm still here.
15	MR. BRUNSON: The I'd like to say that we
16	enjoy you at these meetings, and we're
17	congratulations on becoming the liaison, and we
18	look forward to seeing you then.

And what a great Christmas party SAAPA had

19

20	that they invited us to. And as I said in that
21	meeting, that's one of the best in the St. Johns
22	County, and thank you for having that.
23	Investment back in the community. I think
24	you were talking about that. And, Michael, I
25	appreciate your efforts on that with with the

1	Airport Authority and the Chamber mixer and the
2	different things you're doing. And so I'm glad
3	you're continuing to do that.
4	The only thing I will say is really a bad
5	thing, is that we missed the last board meeting,
6	and we had to schedule it for for tonight. And
7	I had flights to Portsmouth, New Hampshire that I
8	lost \$63, because Carol and I could not go to
9	Portsmouth because this board meeting was more
10	important. So, 10, 20, 30
11	MR. GORMAN: Welcome to my life.
12	MR. BRUNSON: But I'm encouraged by all we're
13	doing and think it's a good board. And I will
14	miss this board if and when I leave here in a few
15	months.
16	MS. BARRERA: Jack? Mr. Gorman?
17	MR. GORMAN: You don't want Buzz to go?
18	MS. BARRERA: He's not next on the list.

19

MR. GORMAN: I see.

25

20	MS. BARRERA: You are. I'm going in order.
21	MR. GORMAN: I see. That's fine.
22	12.C MR. JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
23	MR. GORMAN: In summary of today, I'd like to
24	see us I've been here five years on this board,

and many of the days on this board I sit there and

1	approve expenditures.
2	I'd like to just in summarization of what
3	I feel today is, I'd like to see us slow
4	acquisitions and let some of our investments pay
5	off and let us get off the tax rolls. After five
6	years of approving expenditures, I'd like to see
7	growth but careful careful growth, a little bit
8	slower, and let's see what we've got in the ground
9	pay off, because we were just talking about land
10	and about everything.
11	MS. BARRERA: All right. Wayne George?
12	12.D MR. WAYNE GEORGE
13	MR. GEORGE: One of the things that I think
14	we're missing the boat on, we as a as a board,
15	we get confronted with people asking questions and
16	people making favorable comments for PR. We get
17	some unfavorable comments, you know, some people
18	going to take us over and whatever.
19	We don't have a good pipeline between all of

20	us of, what is the answer? I can sit and make up
21	my answer, but maybe you have a better answer to
22	that type of question. And I had suggested to Ed
23	that we consider, on major issues, that we have a
24	depository of you know, from each one of us
25	that I was encountered with this, this person,

1	person X, if you want to do that, said so and so,
2	and it was very favorable.
3	You made a I think a comment in the paper
4	recently about there being the county being 80
5	percent residential and 20 percent I've already
6	used that twice, okay, in in explaining
7	something to somebody.
8	And I think that we ought to take our
9	experiences that we're having and and pass that
10	in to Ed and have him make a distribution to
11	everybody. Not saying that your answer is right,
12	but here's how I answer it. Somebody then could
13	come back and maybe give a better answer.
14	I feel very sorry for Mr. Brunson missing his
15	airplane, you know.
16	MR. BRUNSON: You should.
17	MR. GEORGE: But just to add balance to the
18	whole thing, I was coming to the meeting last week

and I was going to be drug to Atlanta, Christmas

19

- shopping with my wife. Because we changed it, I
- didn't have to go. So, it's kind of a balance
- across the whole board.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Are you going to pay
- 24 Randy his \$63?
- MS. SUTHERLAND: I was going to say that.

1	MS. BARRERA: Wayne, I would agree with you.
2	I think that we should send those suggestions.
3	And I've made that I've said that before, and
4	I I think that that would be a great idea,
5	any any concerns or comments that you have, and
6	forward those to Ed as all board members.
7	13 NEXT MEETING DATES
8	MS. BARRERA: All right. That's the end of
9	this meeting. Our next meeting date is going to
10	be our workshop on the 14th, and our meeting date
11	will be the 28th of January. And the meeting's
12	adjourned.
13	Backwards. The meeting's on the 14th
14	MR. GEORGE: And the workshop
15	MS. BARRERA: and then the workshop's on
16	the 28th. Thank you.
17	MR. GEORGE: I thought I missed something.
18	MS. BARRERA: Thank you.
10	MR GORMAN: No I got it

25

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE					
2						
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )					
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )					
5						
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,					
7	certify that I was authorized to and did					
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings					
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my					
10	stenographic notes.					
11						
12	Dated this 4th day of January, 2008.					
13						
14	IANIET M DEACON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD					
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR					
16						
17						
18						
19						

file:///A /MTG121707.txt					
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				