[24]

[25]

MR. SLINGLUFF: And I'm Michael Slingluff,

[24]

[25]

CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any comments?

(No comments.)

		J AUGUA	J 200 2 200 2
Page	5	Page	6
[1]	president of Aero Sport.	[1]	later on, okay?
[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Do you have any	[2]	MR. FLEMING: Yes, thank you.
[3]	comment? Do you want to say anything?	[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right, Cindy?
[4]	MS. ANDERSON: I have nothing, unless	[4]	4.E ATTORNEY
[5]	anybody has any questions for us.	[5]	MS. BARTIN: Nothing to report.
[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No. Will you be attending	[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have any update on
[7]	to represent Aero Sport?	[7]	the on the appeal?
[8]	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, I will.	[8]	MS. BARTIN: I do have I'm not sure
[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We're going to miss you.	[9]	exactly what y'all know, but as far as for the
[10]	MR. WEAVER: Thank you. I'm going to miss	[10]	record, on the Bosanko versus St. Augustine-St.
[11]	the airport very much.	[11]	Johns County Airport Authority appeal, the Notice
[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll bet you are. Northrop,	[12]	of Appeal was filed and a Motion for Expedited
[13]	Northrop-Grumman?	[13]	Review was sought, and we've agreed with that
[14]	4.C NORTHROP GRUMMAN	[14]	expedited review.
[15]	MR. LESLIE: No report.	[15]	I think that that and we actually did
[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No report? Pilots	[16]	file a response to the motion in agreement with
[17]	Association?	[17]	it. That will mean that the briefing schedule
[18]	MR. FLEMING: Mr. Fleming. I have none, but	[18]	will be compressed, you know, and there'll be an
[19]	I had understood that Mr. Wayne George was going	[19]	expedited review.
[20]	to be here, but I haven't seen him here.	[20]	We have not received an order as of yet
[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you expect Wayne to come?	[21]	granting the Motion for Expedited Review. We
[22]	MR. FLEMING: I spoke with him earlier today	[22]	don't see that it will be denied, but we have not
[23]	and he said yes, possibility.	[23]	received the order granting it. And once the
[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, why don't we hold his	[24]	the briefing has been completed, there'll be an
[25]	spot, and if he comes, we can maybe work him in	[25]	oral argument. So, I would suspect that we're
Page 7	•	Page 8	
[1]	looking at the shortest time frame, probably two	[1]	need to bring you up to date I'm sorry.
[2]	months.	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: What what are we going to
[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Two months from now?	[3]	do under item 5.E., then?
[4]	MS. BARTIN: Yeah, shortest time frame.	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: The strategic plan
[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, maybe	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.
[6]	MS. BARTIN: And that's a guess, so but	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: review, as well as I need
[7]	that's just to give you some sort of a ballpark	[7]	to
[8]	idea. Because each side has a certain amount of	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Today.
[9]	time to file their briefs. So, there's time	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Today.
[10]	taken up for that.	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. And the capital
[11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. Let's	[11]	projects will be at a later meeting.
[12]	move into the action items. Mr. Wuellner?	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: Be at the March meeting, yes,
[13]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. I think first I'd	[13]	sir. Would also like to be able to bring you up
[14]	like to seek your seek approval to go ahead	[14]	to date on the ATC tower contract and the a
[15]	and amend the agenda under item 5.E., which was	[15]	brief discussion on the FBO area corporate
[16]	to have been a capital projects priority	[16]	hangars, and would like to also make you aware of
[17]	presentation.	[17]	a meeting change, if that's at all possible, for
[18]	In its place, I have a very brief	[18]	May.
[19]	presentation on the strategic plan that was	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.
[20]	adopted in 1996, basically review that plan	[20]	5.A ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 2001-01
[21]	quickly with this board and seek input. This	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: All right, First item I
[22]	will go a long way in the development of that	[22]	have if that's agreeable. First item I have
[23]	capital program. And that would be re-agendaed	[23]	is adoption of Resolution 2001-01, which is in
[24]	(sic), the capital projects priority, for the	[24]	reference to a supplemental agreement with
[25]	March meeting, if that's acceptable. And then I	[25]	Florido DOT

[25]

Florida DOT.

[25]

March meeting, if that's acceptable. And then I

Page 9 [1] This provided an additional \$225,000 of [2] state money to facilitate the Phase 1 [3] construction of the terminal. This is the [4] funding portion of the item you reviewed I [5] believe it was at last meeting; it may have been [6] the meeting before that which authorized the [7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] MR. LASSITER: type affair from what we [1] MR. LASSITER: type affair from what we [1] MR. LASSITER: type affair from what we [2] agreed upon as far as budgeting monies for FBO [1] agreed upon as far as budgeting monies for FBO [1] MR. WUELLNER: Correct. This allows DOT to [4] participate to full level of Phase 1. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I when I read this, [6] I must have misunderstood it. My question is: [7] Why are we going after supplemental funding? [8] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [9] Come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is [14] just finalizing the financial arrangement with	
state money to facilitate the Phase 1 [2] agreed upon as far as budgeting monies for FBO — [3] construction of the terminal. This is the [4] funding portion of the item you reviewed — I [5] believe it was at last meeting; it may have been [6] the meeting before that — which authorized the [7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] agreed upon as far as budgeting monies for FBO — [14] agreed upon as far as budgeting monies for FBO — [18] MR. WUELLNER: Correct. This allows DOT to [18] participate to full level of Phase 1. [18] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I — when I read this, [19] I must have misunderstood it. My question is: [10] Why are we going after supplemental funding? [11] when the project was put out for bid and the bids [12] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [13] or what? [14] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the — this is	
[3] construction of the terminal. This is the [4] funding portion of the item you reviewed I [5] believe it was at last meeting; it may have been [6] the meeting before that which authorized the [7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] MR. WUELLNER: Correct. This allows DOT to [4] participate to full level of Phase 1. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I when I read this, [6] I must have misunderstood it. My question is: [7] Why are we going after supplemental funding? [8] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [9] come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
funding portion of the item you reviewed I [4] funding portion of the item you reviewed I [5] believe it was at last meeting; it may have been [6] the meeting before that which authorized the [7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] mat. Wolling R. Wolling R. Wolling Part of the funding part of II [4] participate to full level of Phase 1. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I when I read this, [6] I must have misunderstood it. My question is: [7] Why are we going after supplemental funding? [8] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [9] come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] or what? [12] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[5] believe it was at last meeting; it may have been [6] the meeting before that which authorized the [7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I when I read this, [6] I must have misunderstood it. My question is: [7] Why are we going after supplemental funding? [8] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [9] come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] or what? [12] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[6] the meeting before that which authorized the [7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] I must have misunderstood it. My question is: [7] Why are we going after supplemental funding? [8] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [9] come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] or what? [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[7] construction project in the terminal area, the [8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] why are we going after supplemental funding? [8] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [9] come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] or what? [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] who Florida DOT to be provided to match [14] who Florida DOT to be provided to match [15] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[8] Phase 1. [9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [14] come in and accepted, are we going over the [16] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [17] or what? [18] When the project was put out for bid and the bids [19] come in and accepted, are we going over the [10] budget and need extra money, or is this standard, [11] or what? [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] who Plorida DOT to the Portion of the funding to match	
[9] This is just the funding part of it. The [10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] the Florida DOT to be project was put out for sid and the buds [14] or what? [15] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[10] resolution authorizes the execution of the grant [11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [13] the Florida DOT Libb Port Lib	
[11] agreement with Florida DOT and obviously [11] or what? [12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [12] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[12] identifies our portion of the funding to match [12] MR. WUELLNER: This was after the this is	
[12] Ab Planit DOTA LA DATA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	
[13] the Florida DOT Joint Participation Agreement. [13] just finalizing the financial arrangement with	
[14] It is a supplemental agreement, and it is [14] Florida DOT for the money for the Phase 1.	i
[15] the second supplemental agreement to the original [15] There's no no additional money in the project,	
[16] grant. It just provides money in this case. And [16] no additional expenditure envisioned for the	
[17] it would be Staff's recommendation that the [17] project. It simply provides DOT funding up to	
[18] Authority adopt Resolution 2001-01 and authorize [18] the 50 percent level that they had agreed to	
[19] the Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer's signatures [19] originally.	
[20] thereto. [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I thought, the way I	
[21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any member here have any [21] was reading it, that we needed more money for the	
[22] questions of the director? [22] project than	
[23] MR. LASSITER: I have one. Ed, this is kind [23] MR. WUELLNER: We need more money in order	
[24] of housekeeping [24] to move on with Phase 2 of the project. But	
[25] MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. [25] Phase 1, is this is just putting the funds to	
Page 11 Page 12	
[1] match the contract that was authorized. [1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: The motion is carried and	
[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any public comment? [2] the recommendation is accepted.	
[3] (No public comment.) [3] I noticed Mr. George came in. I think we'll	
[4] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion of [4] move back. We skipped over over you, Wayne.	
[5] the Board? [5] MR. GEORGE: I appreciate that. I	
[6] (No further discussion.) [6] apologize.	
[7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. I'll entertain a [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And so we we'll put you	[
[8] motion to approve, to accept Staff [8] on the agenda right now.	
[9] recommendation. [9] 4.D S.A.P.A.	
[10] MR. WATTS: I second it. [10] MR. GEORGE: First of all, please accept my	
[11] MR. LASSITER: You need a motion. [11] apologies for being late. We had a very	
[12] MR. WATTS: Oh, I'm sorry. [12] interesting Pilots Association meeting on the	
[13] MR. LASSITER: I make the motion that we [13] 10th of February in this very room and we got a	
[14] accept Staff's recommendation for the approval of [14] chance to bring up to spec, you know, a good	
[15] Resolution 2001-01. [15] portion of our membership on the projects that	
[16] MR. WATTS: Now I second. [16] were going on at the airport.	l
[17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now you second. Any [17] One of the things that disturbed the group	
[18] discussion before we vote? [18] very strongly was the recent increase in fuel	
[19] (No discussion.) [19] prices for av gas on the field. There was some	
[20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor, say aye. Aye. [20] investigation that has been done by some of our	
[21] MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. [21] members, and the membership at large asked me to	
[22] MR. LASSITER: Aye. [22] formally put in a request to the Board. And if	1
1	
[23] MR. WATTS: Aye. [23] you'll bear with me, I'd like to read a letter	- 1
[24] CHAIDMAN BORE O IN	
[64] CHATRALIN DOTT O	

	Page 17		Page 18	
	[1]	it seems like a couple of months ago, but it must	[1]	just I just don't like that idea.
	[2]	have been a year or two ago, where this board sat	[2]	But if somebody wants to come in here and
	[3]	up here and debated putting in a self-service	[3]	approach the board and get permit to be an FBO
	[4]	fuel entity on the field, and I sat out there at	[4]	for selling fuel or I would look at that real
	[5]	the time (indicating) and I was against it,	[5]	good. But for this for myself personally to
	[6]	I can sympathize with your problem, but I	[6]	get this board to be in competition with our FBO,
	[7]	was against it because I didn't think that the	[7]	I can't see it.
	[8]	Authority as a unit should be in competition with	[8]	MR. GEORGE: Well, I wasn't here three years
ı	[9]	any of the people on the field.	[9]	ago, so I I was not privy to it.
	[10]	And I probably still feel that way, but I	[10]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, well, I didn't know
	[11]	would feel as a board member that if some entity	[11]	that, see.
	[12]	wanted to come in here and an FBO doesn't have	[12]	MR. GEORGE: Okay. That's all right.
١	[13]	to be a full-service thing to have sales and	[13]	MR. CIRIELLO: But it had been discussed and
	[14]	flying instructing, and everything. You can have	[14]	turned down before, but
	[15]	just a single-purpose FBO who just does nothing	[15]	MR. GEORGE: If if the board is not
	[16]	but sell fuel. So, if some company wanted to	[16]	charted to be in business to run competition, I
	[17]	come in here and set up a self-fuel system to	[17]	agree with you a hundred percent.
	[18]	sell fuel, I would be more inclined to think	[18]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I don't know if they
	[19]	about that in that line.	[19]	are or not,
1	[20]	But for this board now, I'm just speaking	[20]	MR. GEORGE: If the other three counties are
	[21]	for myself now. For this board to come up and	[21]	doing it
	[22]	say yes and make a motion and install a	[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
	[23]	self-service and be responsible and go in	[23]	MR. GEORGE: then I think we need to take
	[24]	competition with our FBO in the field, I don't	[24]	a look at it. All I'm saying is that things have
	[25]	know if I'd say it's morally right or wrong. I	[25]	changed in three years. Price is now \$2.95 a
-				
- 1	Page 10		70 00	
- 1	Page 19	gallon. Three years ago it was \$2.05. \$2.05	Page 20	A 0
	[1]	gallon. Three years ago it was \$2.05, \$2.05. That's a 30 percent increase. I think it	[1]	Aero Sport.
	[1] [2]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it	[1] [2]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were
	[1] [2] [3]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And	[1] [2] [3]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [6]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down.
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [6] [7]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [7] [8] [9] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [6] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us going over to Diane's office and sit down and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight margin at Aero Sport. We've gone up pennies
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [18] [8] [9] [19] [19]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us going over to Diane's office and sit down and asking.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight margin at Aero Sport. We've gone up pennies at at a time. This year, we've had
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [19] [20] [21]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us going over to Diane's office and sit down and asking. MR. SLINGLUFF: I'd be happy to respond now.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight margin at Aero Sport. We've gone up pennies at at a time. This year, we've had considerable increases in the past four or five
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [18] [18] [19] [20] [21]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us going over to Diane's office and sit down and asking. MR. SLINGLUFF: I'd be happy to respond now. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Would you like to respond	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight margin at Aero Sport. We've gone up pennies at at a time. This year, we've had considerable increases in the past four or five months.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [20] [22] [17]	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us going over to Diane's office and sit down and asking. MR. SLINGLUFF: I'd be happy to respond now. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Would you like to respond	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight margin at Aero Sport. We've gone up pennies at at a time. This year, we've had considerable increases in the past four or five months. When looking at the comparison of fuel
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [19] [19] [10] [11] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [19] [10] [1	That's a 30 percent increase. I think it warrants the board looking at it again. And maybe resurrect the notes. You know, here's the reason we were against it, and see if they're still valid. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you going to provide us with a copy of the MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: of the letter you just read for our minutes? MR. GEORGE: Yes. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have a question? MR. LASSITER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I I think what we need to do is also listen to the other side of the story. And that means maybe Ed can do a little research for us without all of us going over to Diane's office and sit down and asking. MR. SLINGLUFF: I'd be happy to respond now. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Would you like to respond now? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure, sure. The	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. GEORGE: Okay, Mike. Yeah, you were busy when I was over there. MS. BOSANKO: Can you can you come up to the mic, Mike? MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mike, we need your name. MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Slingluff. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Give us give us your name and position in the microphone so it's on our MR. SLINGLUFF: It's Michael Slingluff, president of Aero Sport. Yes, we have seen dramatic increases in even the cost of a barrel of oil over the past three years. It's been very dramatic. And prices seem to go up a lot more than they come back down. We have tried to maintain a very tight margin at Aero Sport. We've gone up pennies at at a time. This year, we've had considerable increases in the past four or five months. When looking at the comparison of fuel costs, I think it's justified to look at like

Page 2		Page 2	22
[1]	costs of state and local taxes. We've had, you	[1]	passed on at the wholesale level, but the
[2]	know, people telling us that in Georgia, you can	[2]	percentage has increased accordingly.
[3]	buy fuel at \$2.17 a gallon. The structures	[3]	So, as you know, if we look at at the
[4]	are are completely different.	[4]	beginning of the month, we were at \$2.95. We
[5]	Also, it's the the quantity of fuel used	[5]	have now settled back down to \$2.89 less a
[6]	and the replenishment of the inventory exposes	[6]	standing discount to the based airplanes here;
[7]	you to greater price fluctuations. Prices change	[7]	it's \$2.69, current inventory.
[8]	weekly. If an airport is buying fuel once every	[8]	I believe if Palatka or some of the other
[9]	120 days, they really only need to adjust their	[9]	airports were buying fuel today, their prices
[10]	inventory cost once every 120 days. Most	[10]	would be adjusted upwards to be fairly close to
[11]	airports go up and down on the spot market every	[11]	ours. We you know, we would like to be back
[12]	seven to ten days. We try to do it only when we	[12]	down at at at lower cost. Everyone would
[13]	take fuel in.	[13]	fly more. That's what we're in business for,
[14]	Recently, we've had larger price increases,	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Gentlemen, I'm I'm going
[15]	and then we've had a four cent settling on on	[15]	to suggest that we take ask Ed Wuellner to
[16]	the price. We've tried to pass this on and	[16]	look into this issue and put it on the agenda for
[17]	create a fee structure that really gives back to	[17]	a future meeting when he can let us know what our
[18]	the community. We now have a 15 cent discount	[18]	options are, and at that time, we'd be in a
[19]	off the retail to based airplanes, plus a further	[19]	position, maybe, to ask some very specific
[20]	5 cent per gallon savings if it's put on an av	[20]	questions.
[21]	fuel card, because there's no charge to us.	[21]	MR. SLINGLUFF: Sure. Sure. We're willing
[22]	Our fees, again, are are percentage fees,	[22]	to provide whatever we can to to help with it.
[23]	so as the fuel increases, our our cost of	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that satisfactory with
[24]	doing business increases dramatically, not just	[24]	the board, let let Staff look into it and come
[25]	the straight 16 cents or so that we've seen being	[25]	back with a report for us and have it as an
Page 23	3	Page 24	
[1]	agenda item?	[1]	Authority meetings and conduct.
[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, Mr. Chairman	[2]	I know you, Mr. Chairman, and myself spent
[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes.	[3]	quite a bit of time in developing this document,
[4]	MR. CIRIELLO: while the staff's doing	[4]	and other than it appears the fact that I can't
[5]	that, could they probably they already know	[5]	add, which the only typo I found so far I
[6]	the question; I don't, but is it is it	[6]	should say found; was pointed out to me by our
[7]	actually legal for this board to be a owner of a	[7]	attorneys was that I mention we have four
[8]	service on the field?	[8]	different classifications of meeting and then
[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's one of the issues	[9]	proceeded to define five for you. So, that first
[10]	we've got to	[10]	sentence there needs to be changed to five
[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. That we need to	[11]	classifications.
[12]	know that right off the top.	[12]	Other than that, I think it probably would
[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[13]	be best served, rather than me reading it,
[14]	MR. SLINGLUFF: Thank you.	[14]	because I'm sure you've all had a chance to look
[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. That's what	[15]	it over, would be to look for input as to where
[16]		1	you think where you think it is and where you
	we'll do, then. Ed, we're going to give this	[16]	you think - where you think it is and where you
[17]	we'll do, then. Ed, we're going to give this issue to you to come back to us with a report,	[16]	want it to go.
[17] [18]			
	issue to you to come back to us with a report,	[17]	want it to go.
[18]	issue to you to come back to us with a report, okay?	[17] [18]	want it to go. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, just to add to
[18] [19] [20] [21]	issue to you to come back to us with a report, okay? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[17] [18] [19]	want it to go. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, just to add to what you've said, I I think this documents
[18] [19] [20]	issue to you to come back to us with a report, okay? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. We were just	[17] [18] [19] [20]	want it to go. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, just to add to what you've said, I I think this documents practices that we for the most part have been
[18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	issue to you to come back to us with a report, okay? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. We were just finished on A. B., Adoption of Policy 2001-01.	[17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	want it to go. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, just to add to what you've said, I I think this documents practices that we for the most part have been following all along. But it does give us
[18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	issue to you to come back to us with a report, okay? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. We were just finished on A. B., Adoption of Policy 2001-01. 5.B ADOPTION OF POLICY 2001-01	[17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	want it to go. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, just to add to what you've said, I I think this documents practices that we for the most part have been following all along. But it does give us something in black and white that gives us
[18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	issue to you to come back to us with a report, okay? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's see. We were just finished on A. B., Adoption of Policy 2001-01. 5.B ADOPTION OF POLICY 2001-01 MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You have a we	[17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	want it to go. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, just to add to what you've said, I I think this documents practices that we for the most part have been following all along. But it does give us something in black and white that gives us guidelines to how we are going to conduct our

Page 25
this or something similar to this. And we're 3
[3] interested in input from the other board members. [4] Any common? [5] MR. LASSITER: Well, I just – Mr. Chairman, [6] I'd just like to say that I think we're following [7] most of these now, just as you've indicated. And [8] I think if it – the formalization of the process [8] I think if it – the formalization of the process [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [10] Order – [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [12] MR. LASSITER: — which is traditionally [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [14] our own little twist – I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly — there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's – [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] MR. CRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [27] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [28] MR. CRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [29] Latting and the sentence says, "of paramount [20] MR. CRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [21] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [22] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [23] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [24] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [25] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [26] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [27] that the saccapt 5, Ba. [28] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
Any comment? [4] Any comment? [5] MR. LASSITER: Well, I just – Mr. Chairman, [6] I'd just like to say that I think we're following [7] most of these now, just as you've indicated. And [8] I think if it – the formalization of the process [8] And I don't know if that's considered public [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [10] Order – [10] And what about a home improvement [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [12] MR. LASSITER: — which is traditionally [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [14] our own little twist – I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly – there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's – [18] you know, if's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [27] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [28] that But this last sentence says, "of paramount [29] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [3] When indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [7] GHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[5] MR. LASSITER: Well, I just - Mr. Chairman, [6] I'd just like to say that I think we're following [7] most of these now, just as you've indicated. And [7] most of these now, just as you've indicated. And [8] I think if it - the formalization of the process [8] And I don't know if that's considered public [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [10] Order
[6] I'd just like to say that I think we're following [7] most of these now, just as you've indicated. And [8] I think if it the formalization of the process [8] And I don't know if that's considered public [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [19] forum or media. [10] Order [10] And what about a home improvement [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [11] association that I belong to? If I gave a little [12] MR. LASSITER: which is traditionally [12] report or told somebody at the meeting about the airport, what was going on, is that considered our own little twist I read it through pretty [14] public forum or media, that I have to get permission from either you or Mr. Rose? [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [16] MR. WUELLNER: I I think what we were trying to do here is not limit your ability to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [19] board meetings. I don't I don't think that's sourced at [19] we need to have in place. [19] board meetings. I don't I don't think that's sourced at [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [20] the intent. Nor is it the intent to limit your individual opinion about what might or might [21] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [23] I think the only thing that was trying to be done was, in advance of Authority action, or in fieu of Authority action, that no individual permissed to the public; it says "Authority [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in fieu of Authority action, that no individual [25] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [27] (No public comment.) [28] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[7] most of these now, just as you've indicated. And [8] I think if it — the formalization of the process [8] And I don't know if that's considered public [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [9] forum or media. [10] Order — [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [11] association that I belong to? If I gave a little [12] MR. LASSITER: — which is traditionally [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [14] our own little twist — I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly — there's a couple of small items, [15] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's — [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] that shall have no course. [27] Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [28] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [29] When indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay, I can understand [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay, I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[8] I think if it — the formalization of the process [9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [10] Order — [10] And what about a home improvement [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [11] association that I belong to? If I gave a little [12] MR. LASSITER: — which is traditionally [12] report or rold somebody at the meeting about the [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [13] airport, what was going on, is that considered [14] our own little twist — I read it through pretty [14] public forum or media, that I have to get [15] thoroughly — there's a couple of small items, [15] permission from either you or Mr. Rose? [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [16] MR. WUELLNER: I — I think what we were [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's — [17] trying to do here is not limit your ability to [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [18] state as a matter of fact what's occurred at [19] we need to have in place. [19] board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [20] the intent. Nor is it the intent to limit your [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [23] I think the only thing that was trying to be [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [25] illeu of Authority action, that no individual Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready — any public comment.) [3] When indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount
[9] is needed. Much of this is Roberts' Rules of [10] Order [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [12] MR. LASSITER: which is traditionally [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [14] our own little twist I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[10] Order [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [12] MR. LASSITER: which is traditionally [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [14] our own little twist - I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [18] you know, it's, again, a housekceping item that [19] we need to have in place. [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [27] member attempts to formulate or - or make known [28] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. [11] association that I belong to? If I gave a little [12] MR. LASSITER: which is traditionally [12] report or told somebody at the meeting about the [13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [13] airport, what was going on, is that considered [14] our own little twist I read it through pretty [14] public forum or media, that I have to get [15] thoroughly there's a couple of small items, [15] permission from either you or Mr. Rose? [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [16] MR. WUELLNER: I I think what we were [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [17] trying to do here is not limit your ability to [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [18] state as a matter of fact what's occurred at [19] we need to have in place. [19] board meetings. I don't I don't think that's [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [20] the intent. Nor is it the intent to limit your individual opinion about what might or might [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [23] I think the only thing that was trying to be [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual [26] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [28] comment on this issue? [29] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [29] comment on this issue? [29] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
12 MR. LASSITER: — which is traditionally 12 report or told somebody at the meeting about the 13 what's followed at most of the meetings. With 13 airport, what was going on, is that considered 14 our own little twist — I read it through pretty 14 public forum or media, that I have to get 15 thoroughly — there's a couple of small items, 15 permission from either you or Mr. Rose? 16 MR. WUELLNER: I — I think what we were 17 to follow in these meetings. And I think it's — 17 trying to do here is not limit your ability to 18 you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that 18 state as a matter of fact what's occurred at 19 we need to have in place. 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 we need to have in place. 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 19 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's 10 bo
[13] what's followed at most of the meetings. With [14] our own little twist — I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly — there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's — [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [19] We need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [2] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[14] our own little twist I read it through pretty [15] thoroughly there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [2] member attempts to formulate or or make known [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[15] thoroughly there's a couple of small items, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [18] we need to have in place. [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] member attempts to formulate or or make known [27] member attempts to formulate or or make known [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[16] but I think it gives us a road map, shall we say, [17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [18] we need to have in place. [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [27] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] that is last sentence says, "of paramount [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[17] to follow in these meetings. And I think it's — [18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [27] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] that, But this last sentence says, "of paramount [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [20] to that sat sat as a matter of fact what's occurred at [19] board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's selecting at a matter of fact what's occurred at [19] board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's selecting at a matter of fact what's occurred at [10] board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's selecting at a matter of fact what's occurred at [10] board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's selecting at a matter of fact what's occurred at [10] board meetings. I don't — I don't think that's selecting at a matter of fact what's occurred at [12] individual opinion about what might or might — [23] I think the only thing that was trying to be [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual [26] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready — any public [27] comment on this issue? [28] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready — any public [29] comment. [40] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [41] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [42] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [43] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [44] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[18] you know, it's, again, a housekeeping item that [19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [27] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] the intent. Nor is it the intent to limit your [20] individual opinion about what might or might [21] individual opinion about what might or might [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] I think the only thing that was trying to be [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual [26] [27] Page 28 [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public comment on this issue? [28] (No public comment.) [29] that's really trying to be done here. [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [20] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [21] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [22] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[19] we need to have in place. [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or — or make known [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe? [21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [27] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [30] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [41] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [52] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [63] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [64] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[21] MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, I have no [22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [21] individual opinion about what might or might [22] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [23] I think the only thing that was trying to be [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual Page 28 [1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public comment on this issue? [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[22] problem with this document, but I'd like a [23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [27] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [30] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [40] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [51] Dunknow, you might have on a particular topic. [29] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [20] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [21] you know, you might have on a particular topic. [22] think the only thing that was trying to be done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual Page 28 [1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public [2] comment on this issue? [3] (No public comment.) [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[23] clarification, Ed. On the page 9, Board Member [24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [26] Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [27] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [28] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [29] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [30] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [40] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [51] I think the only thing that was trying to be [29] I think the only thing that was trying to be [20] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [21] Elli of Authority action, that no individual Page 28 [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public [21] comment on this issue? [22] comment on this issue? [33] (No public comment.) [44] that's really trying to be done here. [45] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [46] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [47] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[24] Statements to the Public; it says "Authority [24] done was, in advance of Authority action, or in [25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [2] comment on this issue? [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[25] members shall not speak on behalf of the [25] lieu of Authority action, that no individual Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [2] comment on this issue? [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
Page 27 [1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public comment on this issue? [7] comment on this issue? [8] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [9] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[1] member attempts to formulate or or make known [2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [2] comment on this issue? [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are you ready any public comment.) [7] Mr. Chairman, this issue? [8] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [8] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[2] to the public a position of the Airport Authority [2] comment on this issue? [3] when indeed that has not occurred. And I think [3] (No public comment.) [4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [4] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[4] that's all that's really trying to be done here. [5] MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I'll make a motion, [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[5] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, okay. I can understand [5] Mr. Chairman, that we accept 5.B. [6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[6] that. But this last sentence says, "of paramount [6] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have a second?
[7] importance that individual members make it [7] MR. WATTS: Second.
[8] clear," in parenthesis, "that their comments [8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion?
[9] represent their own opinion." [9] (No discussion.)
[10] That more or less negates the paragraph [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor, say aye. Aye.
[11] above, because no matter where you was appearing [11] MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
[12] as a like me as a board member, whether it's [12] MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[13] on the radio or to a reporter or an association [13] MR. WATTS: Aye.
[14] or the Round Table I've even spoke up at the [14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
[15] Round Table. [15] (No opposition.)
[16] MR. WUELLNER: Sure. [16] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion is carried and the
[17] MR. CIRIELLO: As long as I make it clear [17] policy is adopted. Item C., Mr. Wuellner?
[18] that this is just my opinion, has nothing to do [18] 5.C Supplemental Agreement with Earth Tech
[19] with the board, then I'm not breaking any rules, [19] MR. WUELLNER: Item 5.C. deals with the
[20] right? [20] running out of names for some of these but the
[21] MR. WUELLNER: I think that would be true, [21] northeast development area that you saw and
[22] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Thank you. [22] generally endorse the development plan of that
[22] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Thank you. [23] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Joe. Any other [23] northeast parcel of about 9 I think it was
[22] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Thank you. [22] generally endorse the development plan of that

			Cording	20, 2001	_
	Page 29		Page 30		Ξ
	[1]	in by Florida DOT, would provide the engineering	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: May want to do public comment	
	[2]	services for the civil and permitting	[2]	first, Mr. Chairman,	
	[3]	requirements of that development area.	[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, I want to question you	
	[4]	This is currently a project that was	[4]	and then I'll get public comment.	
	[5]	budgeted for, I should and we also have a	[5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. Mr. Chairman, when I	
	[6]	grant for the purposes of developing the	[6]	went over this thing, maybe to some people	
	[7]	engineering in this area. And the infrastructure	[7]	\$71,000 doesn't seem like much, but it seems like	
	[8]	that would be provided in this project would go	[8]	to me that we're reinventing the wheel.	1
	[9]	to support the development of the SK Logistics	[9]	This airport is locked in. It's got a fence	1
	[10]	hangar project which would go in this area, the	[10]	all the way around it. It's been developed,	
	[11]	engineering of which for the building is is	[11]	There's been numerous hangars put up. And every	
-	[12]	ongoing at this time. It was addressed at a	[12]	time hangars go up, you get approvals from the	
	[13]	meeting prior by the Authority.	[13]	different environmental people and whatnot to get	۱
	[14]	It would be Staff's recommendation that the	[14]	the approvals and to tell you how to put the	
	[15]	Board approve Supplemental Agreement 00-07, with,	[15]	-	
	[16]	it's actually Earth Tech, in the amount of	[16]	structures up and everything.	١
	[17]	\$71,700 for the specified work, and authorize the	[17]	And I would think that this area, the 300	١
	[18]	Executive Director's signature to the required	[18]	and some acres or whatever would be a pretty	ı
- 1	[19]	contract and any project-related documents. And,	[19]	pretty standard thing that every time you go to	١
- 1	[20]	of course, the approval would be subject to the	1	dig one hole, you don't have to go out and get a	ı
- 1	[21]	concurrence of Florida DOT's review of the	[20]	hundred thousand dollar opinion from somebody to	ı
	[22]	engineering agreement.	[21]	see how you go about digging that hole.	ı
- 1	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions from the	[22]	And I I can't understand why this	ı
	[24]	board?	[23]	airport, in one big shot, didn't just have an	l
	[25]	(No questions.)	[24]	approval from all of the different environmental	l
	[20]	(140 questions.)	[25]	people and all of the other stuff that you're	l
Γ	Page 31		Page 32		ł
	[1]	talking about for developing and everything,	[1]	permits, which this would qualify for. This	l
- 1	[2]	I just don't know why every time you're	[2]	• •	ı
- 1	[3]	going to put up something, that you have to go	[3]	this work here will largely cover potentially six	l
- 1	[4]	through this procedure. And I that's	[4]	different hangar developments there rather than	l
	[5]	that's an awful lot of money, to me, for doing	[5]	doing each hangar individually and dealing with	l
- 1		something that's been done a hundred times	[6]	the drainage associated to it.	
- 1		already.	[7]	The same way with project in the first	ĺ
- 1	8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further comment?	[8]	phase, if you want to call it, of the of this	l
- [9]	(No further comment.)		on the south side. That was done under a general	ĺ
- 1	10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any public comment?	[9] [10]	permit, too, that covered some 25 acres of	ĺ
-	11]	(No public comment.)		airport property, and still all the work	ĺ
- 1	12]	MR. WUELLNER: Just	[11]	continues under that single permit.	
	13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are we ready to vote on	[12]	So, we've been trying to work with the	ĺ
- 1		this?	[13]	district to do just as you suggested do. We	
	15]	MR. WUELLNER: I would just, for the record,	[14]	tried with the first, doing the entire airport,	
		we did meet with Water Management four years ago	[15]	but we've they're not interested in doing that	1
1		or more about the possibility of doing master	[16]	because of all the permits that have been issued.	
	_		[17]	And since that time, we've been doing as big a	
1		permitting for the entire property, and since at	[18]	parcel as we possibly can with the district and	
1	ا ز	that time there was already some 30 permits	[19]	doing then the single permits as we can.	
11	_	ssued to the Airport Authority relation to the	FO 0.7		
	20] i	ssued to the Airport Authority relating to storm	[20]	But the fact of the matter is, you still	
[2	20] i	water, the District was absolutely not interested	[21]	have to have those permits, one way or another,	
[2 [2	20] i 21] v 22] i	water, the District was absolutely not interested n developing a master permit that related to	[21] [22]	have to have those permits, one way or another, in order to be able to develop in any way.	
[2 [2 [2	20] i 21] v 22] i 23] t	water, the District was absolutely not interested n developing a master permit that related to he to the entire property.	[21] [22] [23]	have to have those permits, one way or another, in order to be able to develop in any way. MR. CIRIELLO: There's no such thing as a	
[2 [2 [2	20] i 21] v 22] i 23] t	water, the District was absolutely not interested n developing a master permit that related to he to the entire property. What we have been able to do and what they	[21] [22] [23] [24]	have to have those permits, one way or another, in order to be able to develop in any way. MR. CIRIELLO: There's no such thing as a ditto? I mean, if something has been done before	
[2 [2 [2	20] i 21] v 22] i 23] t	water, the District was absolutely not interested n developing a master permit that related to he to the entire property.	[21] [22] [23] [24]	have to have those permits, one way or another, in order to be able to develop in any way. MR. CIRIELLO: There's no such thing as a	

Page 33		Page 3	34
[1]	why should you have to go through all that	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll entertain a motion on
[2]	paperwork, whether they like it or not? Why	[2]	this.
[3]	can't it just be dittoed? I mean, are these	[3]	MR. LASSITER: I make a motion that we
[4]	people that powerful that they can tell us to go	[4]	approve supplemental agreement number 00-07, as
[5]	fly a kite?	[5]	presented by Staff.
[6]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah.	[6]	MR. WATTS: I second.
[7]	MR. WUELLNER: Basically.	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any other comment?
[8]	MR. CIRIELLO: We don't have any pull with	[8]	(No comment.)
[9]	our legislators that we elect and try and get	[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor say aye. Aye.
[10]	some relief here?	[10]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Largely, all this comes out	[11]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
[12]	of those independent Water Management districts	[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
[13]	that	[13]	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: I think we ought to get a	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries.
[15]	bucket of salt and put it in their water,	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Item D., Mr. Wuellner.
[16]	MR. LASSITER: Joe, they've got to have an	[16]	5.D BID AWARD - TREE CLEARING
[17]	income stream, too, and that's what the	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: You previously had an agenda
[18]	permitting fees are to them, an income stream.	[18]	item related to the tree-clearing project, which
[19]	MR. WUELLNER: Plus your ad valorem tax.	[19]	was largely the clearing necessary to accommodate
[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We may appoint Joe as our	[20]	the ILS and this development project we were just
[21]	official representative to the St. Johns Water	[21]	discussing, as a lot of the clearing is in the
[22]	Management District.	[22]	area that would be associated with the project.
[23]	MR. WUELLNER: That may not be a good idea.	[23]	We solicited bids. The bids have been
[24]	Okay. Any other questions or comments?	[24]	evaluated by our engineers. They've been
[25]	(No questions.)	[25]	we've looked at them, also. And it's our belief
Page 35		Page 36	
[1]	that the all the bids that we received were	[1]	MR. WATTS: I'll make that motion,
[2]	dramatically in excess of what the expected cost	[2]	Mr. Chairman.
[3]	for the clearing was, and it's Staff	[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I hear a second?
[4]	recommendation that we reject all bids as having	[4]	MR. LASSITER: Second.
[5]	failed to meet the budget test of the Airport	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any discussion?
[6]	Authority.	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. I'd like to ask a
[7]	And we will meet with engineers and attempt	[7]	question. Mr. Wuellner, in this page I'm
[8]	to repackage that, plus we've had additional	[8]	reading, it mentions Northrop-Grumman's property,
[9]	information from the Water Management District	[9]	approximately eight-acre tract or whatever, and
[10]	related to some specific clearing that was some	[10]	on ours. Is this property that's mentioned by
[11]	hand clearing that was in that project. So,	[11]	Grumman actually owned by us and they lease it
[12]	we're going to try and repackage that and will	[12]	off of us, or is it theirs wholly?
[13]	rebid it and bring it back to you when it's been	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: It is theirs wholly.
[14]	redone if that's acceptable. But you will need	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, why
[15]	to formally reject all bids.	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: The seven-tenths of an acre
16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, that would be an agenda	[16]	that we're talking about there is is wetland
17]	item at the next meeting or subsequent meeting.	[17]	area. And it also the reason for the clearing
18]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the request to reject	[18]	is the line-of-sight requirements related to the
19]	would be at this meeting,	[19]	tower. It's a small little sliver on the edge,
20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah.	[20]	back edge along Taxiway B that conflicts with the
21]	MR. WUELLNER: Then we at a future	[21]	line-of-sight requirements of the tower. That's
22]	meeting probably two months from now.	[22]	the only reason it's in there.
23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Well, I'll	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I have no
24]	entertain a motion to reject all bids on this	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: And it had to be handled
=	on this contract.	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: problem with the clearing
		r1	Sixtebeo problem with the clearing

			obruui j	-0, 2001	_
	Page 37		Page 38		
	[1]	part.	[1]	cleared.	
	[2]	What I was ask what I was getting at is	[2]	The reason they're not doing it is they have	
	[3]	why Grumman isn't getting bids out on their own	[3]	no requirement to remove those trees. That	١
	[4]	and paying their own cost for their own piece of	[4]	that comes entirely because of the location of	
	[5]	property that they own and we put our bids?	[5]	the tower, which it cannot be adjusted without	
	[6]	In other words, it would be two different	[6]	creating other airspace problems under Part 77.	
	[7]	separate bids, one for us and one for them,	[7]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, are they going to pay	
	[8]	rather than because it says that's one of the	[8]	anything for their share of it? I mean, have	١
	[9]	options we have down here. Another option,	[9]	they agreed to that, or are we going to foot the	
	[10]	separately bid both projects in one bid, but	[10]	bill for all of it even though it's some of their	ı
	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the idea of separating	[11]	property?	١
	[12]	the bids was they were very dissimilar work. In	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: It's a tree-topping, and,	1
1	[13]	the existing wetlands area, it all has to be hand	[13]	yes, we are paying the bill for it.	ı
	[14]	cut, and originally it was believed it had to be	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Hmm.	١
	[15]	hand removed from the wetlands. In other words,	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment?	١
	[16]	no mechanical equipment was allowed in there to	[16]	(No comment.)	ı
ı	[17]	do that, much like you would take a big loader or	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any public comment?	١
	[18]	something like that into an area of uplands and	[18]	(No public comment,)	l
	[19]	you could do that clearing relatively easy with	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have a motion on that	١
۱	[20]	heavy equipment.	[20]	on the floor. All in favor, say aye. Aye.	l
	[21]	That would not be allowed on this site	[21]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	l
	[22]	because it's designated a wetlands area by the	[22]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	۱
	[23]	Water Management District. But it's generating a	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	l
	[24]	great deal of the cost because it is obviously	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	
	[25]	labor intrinsic because it has to be hand	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	l
F	·				
ı	Page 39		Page 40		ı
	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries. Okay. Ed,	[1]	lease revenue structure that got fair market	ı
- 1		you modified item E. a little bit for us.	[2]	value for all our stuff; review our airport rates	
- 1	[3]	5.E STRATEGIC PLAN REVIEW	[3]	and charges on an annual basis to make sure we're	l
- F	4]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. We put this on	[4]	being competitive in our rates; develop	
- 1		the, whatever you call this, the projector. We	[5]	public/private partnerships where possible;	
		may want to Donna, if you can grab that first	[6]	develop revenue-producing infrastructure for	
- 1		light switch to the right, would probably	[7]	lease; develop commercial/industrial warehouse	
ı	8]	MR. COOPER: Get the first two. You can sit	[8]	park infrastructure for long-term lease; maximize	l
- 1		there, Bill; we're just going to have to read it	[9]	the lease opportunities through our	ĺ
- 1		off your forehead.	[10]	land-acquisition programs; seek and utilize all	
-	11] 131 -	MR. WUELLNER: Basically, what you what	[11]	grant funds for capital needs; pursue, implement	ĺ
-		you will have is a copy of the strategic plan	[12]	revenue-enhancement programs, anything that can	ĺ
		which was included in your agenda package. This	[13]	be done in short to reduce the ad valorem	l
1		was adopted in June of 1996 and contains ten	[14]	requirements; and conduct a performance audit of	
		separate goals, ten separate goals with a varying	[15]	key revenue-producing areas.	l
		number of objectives stated underneath each one.	[16]	Is there anything in Goal I that the	
1	17]	Basically, Goal Number I stated that it was	[17]	Authority would like to modify? I suspect it's	
		he Airport Authority's desire to make the	[18]	probably best to go through them item by item,	i
1		tirport as self-sustaining as possible. Then	[19]	and if there are things you want modified or	
1		here were some objective items listed under		added or deleted, this would be a great time to	
1 [2		here that tried to spell out with some some	[21]	do it, because they most of the capital	
r-		-		do it, because they most of the capital	
1	22] c	larity as to exactly how we were going to go	[22]	projects in fact all capital projects proposed	
[2	22] c 23] a	larity as to exactly how we were going to go	[22] [23]	projects in fact all capital projects proposed for the airport fit at least one of the goals as	
[2 [2	22] c 23] a 24]	larity as to exactly how we were going to go bout that. And it gives some specific things to be	[22] [23] [24]	projects in fact all capital projects proposed for the airport fit at least one of the goals as established in the strategic plan.	
[2 [2	22] c 23] a 24]	larity as to exactly how we were going to go	[22] [23]	projects in fact all capital projects proposed for the airport fit at least one of the goals as	

Pag	e 41	D		
[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Not hearing any, I'll	Page 4		
[2]	assume we're going to Goal II.	[1]	stated goal in the past of the Authority to	
[3]		[2]	develop buildings. And frankly, at this point,	
[4]	MR. LASSITER: Mr. Chairman, I have just one	[3]	we have no grant ability or ability to get grants	
[5]	comment on the E. item. And I have talked to	[4]	for infrastructure for nonaviation industrial	
l - "	people in the community that do developments of	[5]	park-type development projects.	
[6]	commercial/industrial warehouse, I'm being one of	[6]	So, if it weren't specific to aviation, it	
[7]	them, and their feelings are strongly that the	[7]	would have to be something that would have to	
[8]	airport should serve the airport community and	[8]	stand on its merits, on its own merits, and pass	
[9]	the avionics industry, but to go out and develop	[9]	muster with this board in terms of whether the	
[10]	commercial warehouses to lease to the general	[10]	investment made any sense.	
[11]	public is in essence taking unfair advantage of	[11]	And I don't suspect the Airport Authority	
[12]	the people who do this in the private sector, for	[12]	would, under most circumstances, even want to be	
[13]	the simple reason that we are taxing them tax	[13]	in the building development part of nonaviation	
[14]	dollars, to then take those tax dollars and turn	[14]	buildings, especially since there are no grant	
[15]	around and approve grants which only they have	[15]	funds available for those kind of buildings,	ı
[16]	we have access to develop properties at an unfair	[16]	either.	
[17]	advantage to them. And therefore, they feel and	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Just to follow up, to follow	
[18]	I feel that that is something that quite frankly	[18]	up on this issue, if we want to develop or want	
[19]	the airport needs to try to stay away from.	[19]	to have developed an industrial area.	- 1
[20]	We're not in competition with the taxpayer,	[20]	nonaviation, industrial, that might be using the	
[21]	and that in essence E. item in fact does present	[21]	airport with the other, wouldn't it be	
[22]	that as one of our objectives of this airport.	[22]	appropriate for us to accept proposals from	
[23]	MR. WUELLNER: The E. item, just so I can	[23]	private developers to to handle that and make	ı
[24]	clarify it, the E. item deals with strictly	[24]	some kind of arrangements for them to use our	
[25]	infrastructure items. It was not a goal or a	[25]	land and	
		1		
				- 1
Page	43	Page 44		\dashv
Page [1]	43 MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.	Page 44		-
-		I -	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is	
[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.	[1]	making goals and objection objectives. If	
[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private	[1] [2]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E.	
[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector.	[1] [2] [3]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure. At present, the attitude of the county	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I mean, it's not an unusual objection and such.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure. At present, the attitude of the county government is that infrastructure is to be paid	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I mean, it's not an unusual objection and such. Two two things kind of rule here: One,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure. At present, the attitude of the county government is that infrastructure is to be paid for by the developer in many cases, and in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I mean, it's not an unusual objection and such. Two two things kind of rule here: One, the anything the Authority did would have to	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure. At present, the attitude of the county government is that infrastructure is to be paid for by the developer in many cases, and in essence, what we're doing is using ad valorem	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I mean, it's not an unusual objection and such. Two two things kind of rule here: One, the anything the Authority did would have to generate its revenue at fair market value,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure. At present, the attitude of the county government is that infrastructure is to be paid for by the developer in many cases, and in essence, what we're doing is using ad valorem taxes, if we ever do, to develop, whether it be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I mean, it's not an unusual objection and such. Two two things kind of rule here: One, the anything the Authority did would have to generate its revenue at fair market value, regardless of the investment. So, it's not a	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: But keep it in the private sector. MR. WUELLNER: Sure. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Rather than having your office or a separate office here at the airport to handle it. MR. WUELLNER: Frankly, at this point, I'm not sure it would make sense for the Airport Authority to even invest in infrastructure, as it stands today. MR. LASSITER: Well, if if the intent is not there to do that, as I said, that objection has been voiced to me, and if it if it's a moot question, if there is not intent for direction, I still have a little problem with the wording of E., but because, again, it's the word "develop," even if it is the infrastructure. At present, the attitude of the county government is that infrastructure is to be paid for by the developer in many cases, and in essence, what we're doing is using ad valorem	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	making goals and objection objectives. If clarification is needed, I think it still is needed in that item E. CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we've got to restate item E. to more accurately reflect what our position is. MR. LASSITER: The attitude exactly. MR. WUELLNER: And specifically that position is? MR. LASSITER: If we're talking about developing the infrastructure again, are you relating only to avionics or if what are we developing commercial/industrial warehouses for park for? MR. WUELLNER: Ours would be simply for revenue enhancement. I mean, that would be the only reason we would get into nonaviation areas in terms of infrastructure. It's a fair I mean, it's not an unusual objection and such. Two two things kind of rule here: One, the anything the Authority did would have to generate its revenue at fair market value,	

	<u> </u>			
	Page 45		Page 46	
	[1]	by the area itself, not by the airport.	[1]	talking about makes some sense. I don't know if
	[2]	I don't I don't necessarily disagree with	[2]	Mr. Ed was here. A few years ago, there was
	[3]	you, but it is a very a relatively common	[3]	three gentlemen that came to the meeting. The
	[4]	revenue-enhancement technique that airports do	[4]	one of them you see out here was selling
	[5]	use throughout the country. Whether it's	[5]	property, McGuinness, or something like that.
	[6]	appropriate for St. Augustine is really a matter	[6]	And then this guy that puts bids in all the time
	[7]	of policy, which, you know, that's what you're	[7]	for construction, has a construction company.
	[8]	discussing now. And maybe it isn't. I mean,	[8]	What is that?
	[9]	that's as I said, it's been in there for the	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: DiMare? Frank DiMare, I
	[10]	last four years. We're literally where we were	[10]	think?
	[11]	four years ago in terms of developing the	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, Maria (sic). And
-	[12]	infrastructure aspect.	[12]	another guy. They were specifically complaining
	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Well, I don't know the the	[13]	to the board about doing just what you're talking
	[14]	attitude of the Board that was in seeing in	[14]	about. At the time, we had some property
1	[15]	'96, I wasn't around, and I wasn't part and	[15]	well, still own the property out by the
	[16]	parcel of the thought process that went into	[16]	racetrack, and they was going to put in a
	[17]	developing this.	[17]	storehouse or warehouse, I believe, in a in a
1	[18]	If if they had a direction that they	[18]	facility for repairing some electronic equipment
	[19]	wanted to go to develop these parks and all, what	[19]	for Grumman, and they were objecting to the idea
	[20]	I'm saying basically is I don't think that's a	[20]	that we were going to build something like that
	[21]	direction we need to get into. We're we're	[21]	and everything, you know, and then rent it back
	[22]	out there now competing with other commercial	[22]	out so far away from the airport, even though it
-	[23]	developers in an area that is not in the aviation	[23]	was going to be for Grumman. And I think Grumman
	[24]	area. That's my comments.	[24]	changed their mind and built the facility over
	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what Mr. Lassiter is	[25]	here out in the parking lot.
上			l	
	Page 47		D 40	
	Page 47	But in any case this board has been	Page 48	
	[1]	But in any case, this board has been	[1]	agree with. But we need to stay with aviation.
	[1] [2]	approached by citizens about how far we are	[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if
	[1] [2] [3]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related."
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related," CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of
]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [8]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are.
]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why
]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back
]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation"
]]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to if you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to if you had a little truck or something to haul freight	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to if you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to — if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else?
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing. But I don't know if he's thinking about	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else? MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have you're
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to — if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing. But I don't know if he's thinking about that. You know, that's not very far from the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else? MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have you're through I out of IX now.
[2]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [18]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to — if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing. But I don't know if he's thinking about that. You know, that's not very far from the airport. But I think that those ideas are shot	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else? MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have you're through I out of IX now. Goal II dealt with safety on the airport.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to — if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing. But I don't know if he's thinking about that. You know, that's not very far from the airport. But I think that those ideas are shot down.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else? MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have you're through I out of IX now. Goal II dealt with safety on the airport. They're much fewer in terms of objectives here,
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [23]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to — if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing. But I don't know if he's thinking about that. You know, that's not very far from the airport. But I think that those ideas are shot down. But I think we do need to get away from so	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else? MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have you're through I out of IX now, Goal II dealt with safety on the airport. They're much fewer in terms of objectives here, basically follow FAA and DOT planning standards.
[2 [2]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [14] [15] [17] [24]	approached by citizens about how far we are expanding and developing. And I think that Mr. Lassiter's statements make sense. We need a — we need a boundary, I guess, because at one time a few years back, when the board was getting their 20-year master plan, right across the street over here, across the railroad track, they was thinking of an industrial park. And at that time, I thought well, that makes sense. You've got the railroad. You can put a spur in for the railroads and trucks, and it wouldn't be any trouble for anybody to — if you had a little truck or something to haul freight back and forth to the airport, and it would make maybe a profitable thing. But I don't know if he's thinking about that. You know, that's not very far from the airport. But I think that those ideas are shot down. But I think we do need to get away from so much development and buying a lot of property	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: If it simplifies, if it if I'm hearing where you're generally going, if that's where you're going, perhaps the addition of the word "aviation" in front of the word "commercial" there or "aviation-related." CHAIRMAN ROSE: Aviation-oriented, commercial MR. LASSITER: Yes. That keeps us more of a centralized into what we are. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, why don't we why don't we rework that statement and bring it back next meeting with the with the "aviation" adjective in there? MR. WUELLNER: Okay. MR. WATTS: Good idea. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you have anything else? MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have you're through I out of IX now. Goal II dealt with safety on the airport. They're much fewer in terms of objectives here,

	Page 49		T _	-,
	[1]		Page 5	
	[2]	programs, and preventive-maintenance programs.	[1]	training; do an accurate and detailed inventory
	[3]	Largely these items have been implemented. Are	[2]	of the buildings, facilities owned by the
- 1		there other items you want to include in this or	[3]	Authority; and implement repair and replacement
	[4]	decline or delete from it?	[4]	programs for certain capital assets.
	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, do you want us to	[5]	One of those might or, you know, is
	[6]	confirm these objectives?	[6]	approaching to be, the port-a-port facilities are
1	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: It's it's in place as far	[7]	rapidly approaching the end of their useful life
- 1	[8]	as	[8]	on the airport and are indeed scheduled to be
- 1	[9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I mean, this is what you're	[9]	replaced over the next two or three years with
- 1	[10]	going to be using	[10]	more standard-type T-hangar buildings, as an
- 1	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: It's in place, as far as I'm	[11]	example under that.
	[12]	concerned, unless modified by the board	[12]	And I'm sure we'll see others that fall into
	[13]	modified by this board.	[13]	their pavement overlays, things of that nature
- 1	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[14]	fall in that. Basically, I'll leave it to you
	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: This has been in place and	[15]	guys to speak up if you want to change something.
- 1	[16]	was adopted in '96,	[16]	Otherwise, I'll keep moving.
	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I don't think it requires	[17]	Goal V (sic), assure adequate capacity of
- [[18]	any additional action unless	[18]	facilities and infrastructure.
- 1	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: Unless you want to change it.	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: IV.
	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Unless we want to change the	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: I skipped over IV? III to V;
- 1	[21]	wording in one. Yeah.	[21]	see, I do have a counting problem. Provide an
- 1	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. All right, Move on	[22]	operationally-efficient facility is Goal IV.
- 1	[23]	to Goal III. Provide for preservation and	[23]	Look at an operational review every year;
- 1	24]	maintenance of capital assets, objectives being	[24]	determine areas that are problematic on the
	25]	to, again, preventive maintenance; employee	[25]	airfield, as well as even traffic issues; develop
F,	Page 51			
	1]	capital items to mitigate those deficiencies; try	Page 52	
- 1	2]	not to, with our projects, impede operational	[1]	eliminate the year time lines in some of these.
	3]	activities out there; conduct informal user	[2]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, we're talking about a
	4]	surveys related to improvements that would like	[3]	commuter connection? Is that what you're
- 1	5]	to be seen here; and try to keep closures to a	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Air service market? Yes,
-	6]	minimum throughout the year. And that could	[5]	that would be those are the types of things
	7]	apply to anything from maintenance to new	[6]	that would play into a project being qualified
- 1	8]	construction,	[7]	under this goal, would be community's expressed a
	9]	Goal V, assure adequate capacity facilities	[8]	desire for commercial service, for instance. If
- 1	0]	and infrastructure; an annual review of the	[9]	that were the case, then that might trigger a
1	1]	airside, landside facilities; identify those	[10]	project, a capital project in support of it.
- 1	_	deficiencies. Obviously, they plug into a	[11]	MR. LASSITER: So, your suggestion is that
1		·	[12]	we eliminate the two years?
[1		five-year capital program that you'll be addressing next week or next month. And	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: Two years. We're going to
[1		again, do informal surveys to develop input into	[14]	build elements of that into the new master plan
[1			[15]	study when it's conducted, so it will be a part
[1		those facilities, things that need to be done.	[16]	of that description, rather than a stand-alone
[1		Goal VI, support community transportation	[17]	study. It should save some save some money
[1]		needs and goals. Basically it's an integration	[18]	overall. And obviously provide any kind of forum
[20		of the Airport's planning with the County's	[19]	related to air transportation needs.
1	-	comprehensive plan, the MPO's long-range	[20]	Goal VII, develop and maintain an
[2		transportation improvement plan, and any other	[21]	environmentally-compatible facility. That's
[2:		community transportation-related programs.	[22]	largely done through proper permitting and the
[23		Conduct needs assessments related to aviation	[23]	regulatory agencies; review of programs that
[24		services; conduct air market surveys,	[24]	might be creating a problem environmentally for
[25	ני	The only proposal I would have would be to	[25]	the airport.

7			
Page 5		Page 5	4
[1]	Also pulls into this is the MPDES permitting	[1]	removal of Goal, was it I E.? So, if it's your
[2]	and the coordination with airport tenants to	[2]	pleasure, we'll remove C. as it relates to
[3]	assure that they're complying with those rules	[3]	nonaviation development?
[4]	and best management practices developed for the	[4]	MR. LASSITER: I think that would be in line
[5]	airport. And then adjust annually any areas that	[5]	with the other.
[6]	need to be done in order to assure that we are	[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, yeah, except that we
[7]	remaining environmentally compatible.	[7]	want to promote the development. We just want it
[8]	Goal VIII, maintain functional	[8]	to be done by private developers.
[9]	organizational structure. These structure and	[9]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah.
[10]	procedures. These pertain less to the to	[10]	MR. CIRIELLO: I have a question.
[11]	capital programs but basically maintain a	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Aimed at attracting
[12]	personnel policy; develop policies related to	[12]	private public, private
[13]	investments, purchasing, accounts payable and	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Excuse me, Joe.
[14]	receivable, and administration resources.	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Or private partnerships?
[15]	Most of those have been done. In fact all	[15]	We'll come up with some language here. Go ahead.
[16]	of those in B. have been done. And again, these	[16]	I'm sorry.
[17]	are items that would typically show up as	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: Under this Goal IX, Mr. Ed,
[18]	deficient in your annual audit as management	[18]	I've been asked a few times by some people about
[19]	comments, management letter comments.	[19]	whether or not we had a web page at the airport
[20]	Goal IX, market, promote, and enhance	[20]	so people with computers can get on and find out
[21]	awareness; develop a newsletter for the airport;	[21]	what's going on out here. Would that be
[22]	tour and educational outreach programs, that does	[22]	appropriate for this in this Goal IX to get a
[23]	happen; prepare and distribute airport marketing	[23]	web page?
[24]	brochure aimed at attracting nonaviation	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: I think it would. And it's
[25]	development. Obviously, that conflicts with the	[25]	been under development for a while, but there
Page 55		Page 56	
[1]	yeah, I think that would be an excellent addition	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: But would be a web page
[2]	to it.	[2]	MR. LASSITER: Web page speaks over D.
[3]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, could we add that as E.	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: We still only have through D.
[4]	in there?	[4]	now. Okay. Goal X, which is the last one, I'm
[5]	MR. WUELLNER: If there's no objection,	[5]	sure you're relieved to know, is to assure proper
[6]	we'll do that.	[6]	intergovernmental coordination. Those of you
[7]	MR. LASSITER: I think actually C. and D.	[7]	that follow the history of the Authority, a lot
[8]	needs a little tweaking.	[8]	of that was not being done prior to '96. That, I
[9]	MR. WUELLNER: C. and D.?	[9]	don't think could be said today, but it was
[10]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah.	[10]	certainly a problem back then.
[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, actually, I'm almost	[11]	Largely, we're involved in, day to day, with
[12]	hesitant of leaving D. in there, only because	[12]	the local governmental agencies in coordinating
[13]	that's the least of our problems, is finding	[13]	our efforts with theirs, everything from
[14]	new	[14]	permitting to planning. We do meet quarterly
[15]	MR. LASSITER: Right.	[15]	with FDOT and FAA, have periodic informational
[16]	MR. WUELLNER: It's almost the opposite	[16]	meetings as necessary with other governmental
[17]	right now. So, is it your desire to take D. out,	[17]	officials, and are integrating the Airport Master
[18]	then, or do you have other wording you want to	[18]	Plan with the Comprehensive Plan and the regional
[19]	use?	[19]	MPO plans and will continue to do so, if there's
[20]	MR. LASSITER: I would I would propose	[20]	no objection,
[21]	that we go ahead and strip D. out. If you have	[21]	So, if it's all right with you, we'll just
[22]	no problem attracting, there's surely no reason	[22]	take the comments we got today; we'll revise this
[23]	to spend money on an advertising campaign to	[23]	and get it back to you and it will be we'll
[24]	attract.	[24]	have copies available at next prior to next
25]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.	[25]	meeting so that you can have that to look at the
			_ ,

Page :		Page 5	8
[1]	actual project list next time.	[1]	they're and they're indicating there are going
[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, that will be in our	[2]	to be some other opportunities. But short of
[3]	agenda packet next month then.	[3]	fully designing the facility and identifying
[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[4]	those, they're just simply not available today.
[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Ed, do you have	[5]	I gave you a sheet that had three options
[6]	anything else?	[6]	out there, the first option being the original
[7]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, actually.	[7]	I use the term "original bid." It was not a bid,
[8]	5.F AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER CONTRACT	[8]	but that was the original price quoted by the
[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Donna, you can hit those	[9]	by Fannin Danis, and included everything you see
[10]	lights, if you want. The air traffic control	[10]	on the list, and again was \$1.57 million. Option
[11]	tower contract, I need to kind of bring you up to	[11]	two allowed or provided for the deduction of
[12]	speed on that. The original proposal or the	[12]	certain items within that project, as did item
[13]	proposal made by the number two firm to us was	[13]	option three,
[14]	for \$1.57 million. We had Staff or	[14]	It's Staff recommendation that option three
[15]	authorization to Staff at the last meeting up to	[15]	be endorsed by the Board, which would several
[16]	\$1.2 million. We have been able to get to \$1.4	[16]	things would need to occur or be endorsed by the
[17]	million as a result of negotiations between the	[17]	board in order for that to occur. One would be
[18]	parties.	[18]	the elimination of performance and payment bonds,
[19]	There's largely about nowhere else to go and	[19]	the purchase of the beacon, the rotating beacon
[20]	keep it moving. I'm very satisfied. We've	[20]	to be placed on top of the air traffic control
[21]	looked at the options available. They have	[21]	tower be done by the Airport Authority, thereby
[22]	assured us they will work with us through the	[22]	saving markup and sales tax. Same way with the
[23]	construction phase and help us to identify any	[23]	reduction of the size of the backup generator
[24]	areas where we can effectively cut back on the	[24]	capability of the facility.
[25]	overall cost of the facility. And I think	[25]	Without doing the detailed engineering, it
			the detailed engineering, it
Page 59		Page 60	
Page 59	was felt that we could come down to at least 135	Page 60	a kind of a fake balcony as you went up the
_		1 -	a kind of a fake balcony as you went up the tower. That would still be there; you'd still
[1]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135	[1]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still
[1] [2]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the	[1] [2]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed
[1] [2] [3]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a	[1] [2] [3]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural
[1] [2] [3] [4]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it, Fencing, it's since been discovered that the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a a less-advanced technology, but also	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a — a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a — a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a — a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to connect the tower to the vault properly so that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think you we need to take some board action on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a — a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to connect the tower to the vault properly so that it could be facilitated should the airport want	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think you we need to take some board action on option three to give you some a firm answer.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a — a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to connect the tower to the vault properly so that it could be facilitated should the airport want to go that way in the future. Provide the access	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think you we need to take some board action on option three to give you some a firm answer. Are there any comments or questions by the board
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to connect the tower to the vault properly so that it could be facilitated should the airport want to go that way in the future. Provide the access to the tower through the south T-hangar project,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think you we need to take some board action on option three to give you some a firm answer. Are there any comments or questions by the board members?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to connect the tower to the vault properly so that it could be facilitated should the airport want to go that way in the future. Provide the access to the tower through the south T-hangar project, versus constructing yet another access through	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think you we need to take some board action on option three to give you some a firm answer. Are there any comments or questions by the board members? MR. LASSITER: I just have one. On the 135
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	was felt that we could come down to at least 135 kW, kilowatts, in terms of the size of the generator in the facility without compromising a single thing. Do the landscaping and irrigation in-house. There's not that much to it. Fencing, it's since been discovered that the facility did not need to be independently fenced from the airport. It's already inside the airport fence. The DCS system, which was a touch-screen technology that allowed the traffic controllers to turn on and off lights, individual lighting circuits on the airport, that can be done using a a less-advanced technology, but also preserving in the design the ability to go to that system at some time in the future, providing the conduits and ducts and things like that to connect the tower to the vault properly so that it could be facilitated should the airport want to go that way in the future. Provide the access to the tower through the south T-hangar project, versus constructing yet another access through the fence.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	tower. That would still be there; you'd still see that, but it would not be the ornate railed version that was depicted in the architectural drawings. You'd get the same effect but save some money on it. And that's how we got to \$1.4 million on the project. And if that's agreeable, we we will attempt we have some I'll know a lot more later this week, but I believe we'll have participation from DOT for the additional funds to get to the \$1.4 million level at 80 percent still, and if that looks agreeable, we'll we'll move that direction. And if you're all right with that, we'll move the contract through at that value or not to exceed \$1.4. I'd be happy to try and address any questions you have. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah, I think I think you we need to take some board action on option three to give you some a firm answer. Are there any comments or questions by the board members?

	Page 61		Page 62	2
	[1]	MR. LASSITER: kW backup, is that going	[1]	comments by the Board?
	[2]	to be sufficient of a safety factor in that to	[2]	(No questions.)
	[3]	for now and future instead of having a future	[3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll entertain a motion to
	[4]	board coming back and saying, "It's too small;	[4]	approve option three as presented by
	[5]	we're going to have to spend more money"?	[5]	Mr. Wuellner,
	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Largely, the sizing will come	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.
	[7]	out of the hard engineering that's done to	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We have a motion. Do we
	[8]	finalize the spec. And that number will be	[8]	have a second?
	[9]	confirmed. It may be that it actually can come	[9]	MR. LASSITER: Second.
	[10]	down even a little bit further when it comes	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.
	[11]	right down to it, but I'm very comfortable that	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
	[12]	135 is more than adequate for the tower.	[12]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[13]	And it it includes the future development	[13]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[14]	plan for the vault, which would be the only	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
	[15]	other I mean, that's probably the key item	[15]	(No opposition.)
ı	[16]	that's in the generating capacity requirements.	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carries.
	[17]	And that includes more regulators than we	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: FBO area corporate hangars.
	[18]	currently have and it probably in effect doubles	[18]	We've been advised by the contractor you know,
	[19]	the capacity we have, and it should be included	[19]	they've been on hold for Phase 2 of that work.
	[20]	in the generating calculations. So, I'm very	[20]	If we can find, I say "find" the money, but
	[21]	comfortable we'll have adequate power for well	[21]	arrange with DOT for the remainder of that funds
	[22]	into the future.	[22]	which we'll would update you at the next
	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any comment from the public?	[23]	meeting, would this board be interested in moving
	[24]	(No public comment.)	[24]	Phase 2 to a construction mode?
	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further questions or	[25]	The reason we're asking at this point is
H				
	rs - c-		1	
- 1	Page 63		Page 64	
	[1]	that the contractor has identified about \$20,000	Page 64	advance.
	[1] [2]	worth of items that are currently funded under	[1] [2]	advance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted?
	[1] [2] [3]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not?
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be
 - -	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you
 	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic.
]]]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could — we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could — we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [6]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could — we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it. MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of there. There are four I don't remember the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it. MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of that's our savings, right?
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of there. There are four I don't remember the exact numbers but approximately 60 x 60	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it. MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of that's our savings, right? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [4]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of there. There are four I don't remember the exact numbers but approximately 60 x 60 hangars with a little office.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it. MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of that's our savings, right? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: Definitely.
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [19] [10] [11] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [16] [17] [18] [18] [19] [1	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of there. There are four I don't remember the exact numbers but approximately 60 x 60	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it, MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of that's our savings, right? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: Definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: You get
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [10] [19] [10] [1	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of there. There are four I don't remember the exact numbers but approximately 60 x 60 hangars with a little office. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We're going to move up Phase	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it. MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of that's our savings, right? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: Definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: You get MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The last okay.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [17] [18] [18] [20] [21] [19] [22] [22] [22]	worth of items that are currently funded under Phase 1 that could we could avoid having to pay for if you could move into Phase 2 in a timely manner. There's some temporary paving and some things like that that are facilitated in Phase 1, just waiting on Phase 2. So they could be eliminated if we can move into Phase 2. Would you like me to explore with DOT a way to tie up that grant funds if at all possible and make that presentation to you next month, if indeed it's available or if we're able to do it with DOT? MR. LASSITER: Which area is this, now? MR. WUELLNER: This is Phase 2. It's the four corporate hangars that were originally designed into the terminal expansion project of there. There are four I don't remember the exact numbers but approximately 60 x 60 hangars with a little office. CHAIRMAN ROSE: We're going to move up Phase	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: We'll have what we wanted? MR. WUELLNER: Ultimately, yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. MR. CIRIELLO: Ed, is there any legal ramifications about hopscotching around? I mean, is there anything legal we might get stuck in by jumping from one to the other before one phase is completed or not? MR. WUELLNER: Nothing we know of at this point, and if there is something, it would be brought back at next meeting when we update you on where we got with DOT on the on the topic. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can gain some benefits by hopscotching around, we might as well do it, MR. LASSITER: That's a 50 percent of that's our savings, right? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. MR. LASSITER: Definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: You get

		The post Truthority		,
	Page 65		Page 66	5
	[1]	meeting this year, I need to have some idea who	[1]	(Affirmative responses.)
	[2]	wants to go to that.	[2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's do that.
	[3]	And that meeting, as it happens every once	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: That's the last item I have,
	[4]	in a while, conflicts with your third Monday of	[4]	Mr. Chairman.
	[5]	May meeting, and it would be our request to move	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it?
	[6]	the May meeting to the 14th from the 21st. I	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
	[7]	thought about moving it back, but that conflicts	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any other business to
	[8]	with the holiday. That's the the April	[8]	come before us? All right.
	[9]	meeting would be the 16th of April. You still	[9]	6.A MR. WILLIAM "BILL" ROSE
	[10]	have approximately a month between meetings, if	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I have just a couple of
	[11]	that's acceptable.	[11]	quick comments. I noticed Ms. Bosanko is listed
	[12]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. So, we'll move	[12]	as a board member on our agenda, and I think I
	[13]	the May meeting to the 14th.	[13]	know the answer, but I want to be sure that she's
	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: If that's acceptable, yes,	[14]	getting copies of the agenda and copies of all
	[15]	sir.	[15]	correspondence that that come to us.
	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Oh, you'll be going to that	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir, she is.
	[17]	meeting, won't you?	[17]	
	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Right. And as one or two of	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So, she'll be she'll be
	[19]	you have gone in the past to it.	[19]	up to date on any issues that that we've
	[20]	MR. LASSITER: So, we're moving the May	[20]	discussed. She gets the minutes every week when
- 1	[21]	meeting till	[21]	they're done.
	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: May meeting on the 14th.	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. She gets the same
	[23]	MR. WUELLNER: Be a week earlier.	1 7	thing you do.
	[24]	MR. LASSITER: Okay.	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. Okay. Mr. Ciricllo,
	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that okay with everybody?	[24]	I owe you an apology, and I'm going to do it
		is that only with everybody?	[25]	publicly right now. At the last meeting, I said
L				
f	Page 67		Page 68	
ŀ	-	I'd set up a workshop with some of the older past	Page 68	Nobody get up and co
	[1]	I'd set up a workshop with some of the older past board members, and then I got to realizing that I	[1]	Nobody get up and go.
	[1] [2]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I	[1] [2]	First of all, last meeting when we was
	[1] [2] [3]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I	[1] [2] [3]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last
]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [5] [11] [12] [13]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to
]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [6] [14] [15] [16] [16]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect sim to be here then.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [6] [7] [14] [15] [16] [17]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect thim to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already
]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [6] [15] [16] [18]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect sim to be here then.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [5] [11] [12] [13] [6] [7] [18] [19] [19] [19]	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect him to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. That's all I have.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for the meeting last time, I got a letter from
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [52] [13] [63] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect him to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. That's all I have. Let's see. 6.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for the meeting last time, I got a letter from Mr. Wuellner, that you and he discussed it. And
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [52] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [10] [1	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect thim to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. That's all I have. Let's see. 6.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Watts?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for the meeting last time, I got a letter from Mr. Wuellner, that you and he discussed it. And I think you I don't know if you misunderstood
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [10] [12] [13] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [10] [1	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect him to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. That's all I have. Let's see. 6.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Watts? MR. WATTS: Nothing at this time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for the meeting last time, I got a letter from Mr. Wuellner, that you and he discussed it. And I think you I don't know if you misunderstood what I wanted. I think you guys thought I wanted
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [5] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect him to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. That's all I have. Let's see. 6.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Watts? MR. WATTS: Nothing at this time. 6.D MR. JOSEPH CIRIELLO	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for the meeting last time, I got a letter from Mr. Wuellner, that you and he discussed it. And I think you I don't know if you misunderstood what I wanted. I think you guys thought I wanted a history of the airport more or less just to
1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [10] [12] [13] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [10] [1	board members, and then I got to realizing that I didn't have a subject for that meeting and I didn't have the concurrence of the rest of the board, and I decided I'd wait until we could have a meeting here. And if you still want to have that, why we could discuss it. MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, definitely. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And finally, is Jim Bryant still our MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: contact with the county commissioners? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Normally, we would expect him to be here then. MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. That's all I have. Let's see. 6.B MR. DENNIS R. WATTS CHAIRMAN ROSE: Mr. Watts? MR. WATTS: Nothing at this time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	First of all, last meeting when we was talking about the control tower, I made a statement about Jackson Hole, Wyoming not having a control tower and they have airline service and everything in there as one of my reasons for not thinking we needed a control tower. Of course, I still think that. But I looked in my AOPA airport book when I went home, and lo and behold, they have a control tower. Now, I don't know how long it's been. It might be a year, two years or three, but the last time I remember looking, they didn't. So now they do, and so they put in putting in a control tower made me look bad. So I'm going to have to write Jackson Hole a letter. Anyhow, the web page, you've already answered that. And the meeting, when I asked for the meeting last time, I got a letter from Mr. Wuellner, that you and he discussed it. And I think you I don't know if you misunderstood what I wanted. I think you guys thought I wanted

	Thi port Author		
P	age 69	Page 70	
[:	and future of the airport, the directions it's	[1] around, and I still don't know if you could get	
[2	going in. That's why I wanted the meeting, Ed.	[2] it long enough.	
[3	I still want the meeting, because even	[3] So, you can't go north and you can't go	
[4	though I can touch on it real quick here, some	[4] well, south as far as the runway's concerned.	
[5	years back, when people were either on the	[5] The only thing they're doing south is buying up a	
[6	airfield or on this board, envisioned into the	[6] lot of homes in Araquay Park and they're going to	
[7	future trying to guess what the future's going to	[7] put in some things, and I'm not really in favor	
8]	be like. They envisioned this airport being like	[8] of that.	
[9	Daytona and growing and growing and growing, and	[9] But in general, this airport right now,	
[10	eventually becoming a not an international	[10] because of the situation, is locked in, and in	
[11	airport, but a commercial airport served mainly	[11] the future, I can't see it getting unlocked. I	
[12] by airlines.	[12] can't see this airport physically expanding	
[13] Well, in all these years, since somebody	[13] anywhere other than what it is right now, I mean,	
[14	envisioned that and started buying property,	[14] as far as runways and all of that kind of stuff.	
[15	things changed. There's been a lot of	[15] So, I wanted to talk about that.	
[16	communities put in right next to the airport.	[16] I wanted to get a consensus. The you're	
[17]	If you take a look at the airport layout,	[17] getting ready to come up with your budget, and in	
[18]	this airport is right now, compared to 25 years	[18] there, there's going to be a few hundred thousand	
[19]	ago, locked in. You can't go east because of the	[19] dollars for buying property and everything. And	-
[20]	Intracoastal; you can't go west because of the	[20] the Authority keeps talking, everybody but me,	
[21]	road and the railroad; and the way that airport	[21] about getting this thing self-sustaining and off	-
[22]	runway, the main one is lined up, the only way to	[22] the tax rolls, and as long as you put stuff in	
[23]	make it longer to handle big airliners like, you	[23] the budget buying things that you don't really	١
[24]	know, 10,000-foot runways that the commercial	[24] need, things that this airport can live with	
[25]	airports have, you'd have to swing that runway	[25] in fact, if it ever got crowded some day to where	
			- 1
Pag	e 71	Page 72	1
[1]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no	Page 72 [1] (Not present.)	
[1] [2]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine.	1	
[1] [2] [3]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else,	[1] (Not present.)	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's finc. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point,	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at.	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future,	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything,	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's finc. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's finc. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go.	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business.	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's finc. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's finc. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want it.	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't [19] make it. And I thought he said he sent a letter	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it?	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't [19] make it. And I thought he said he sent a letter [20] with some maps and everything to the Authority,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's finc. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it? MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I have Jackson Hole,	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't [19] make it. And I thought he said he sent a letter [20] with some maps and everything to the Authority, [21] describing what he had in mind. Donna said she	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it? MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I have Jackson Hole, web page. We already did that, and the	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't [19] make it. And I thought he said he sent a letter [20] with some maps and everything to the Authority, [21] describing what he had in mind. Donna said she [22] didn't get it didn't get that. Do you	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it? MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I have Jackson Hole, web page. We already did that, and the meeting oh, oh, no, I'm sorry. The other day,	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't [19] make it. And I thought he said he sent a letter [20] with some maps and everything to the Authority, [21] describing what he had in mind. Donna said she [22] didn't get it didn't get that. Do you [23] remember talking to this guy?	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	we couldn't accommodate any more airplanes, no more hangars or anything like that, that's fine. Somebody's going to have to go somewhere else, because when you get to the saturation point, that's where you're at. So, I don't see this airport in the future, not because I don't have a vision or anything, but because it's locked in, going anywhere. And I wanted this big meeting with people in the past who knew why property was bought originally and where it's at right now and where it would go or could go. I'm not in favor of buying up all kind of property and getting in the real estate business. And I wanted this big workshop or meeting or something to get all kinds of opinions in there to see if we could do something about that. And that's what I wanted the meeting for. Still want it. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that it? MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I have Jackson Hole, web page. We already did that, and the	[1] (Not present.) [2] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Mr. Newsome, now, [3] unless I misunderstood him, I thought he had [4] talked to somebody here at the airport about [5] selling three pieces of property he has right [6] over here on Avenue C that's really not within [7] the bounds of our airport right now unless we [8] moved to this other runway that you want across [9] the road. [10] But he's he's telling me that he'll sell [11] his property dirt cheap to get to the airport [12] rather than have somebody come in there and put a [13] trailer park in next door to him. He owns two [14] other lots, but one of them he lives on. [15] And I told him to come to the board and talk [16] to the board, because when you want to approach [17] the board to sell, you know, buy your property, [18] you need to do that. And he said he couldn't [19] make it. And I thought he said he sent a letter [20] with some maps and everything to the Authority, [21] describing what he had in mind. Donna said she [22] didn't get it didn't get that. Do you	

Γ	Page 73		T	
l i	[1]	longer, when he sent the stuff. We responded to	Page 74	
	[2]		[1]	come back to your to the workshop meeting, but
- 1	[3]	him and told him it was outside of the Airport	[2]	Mr. Lassiter?
- 1	[4]	Authority's area and there wasn't a whole lot we	[3]	6.E MR. CHARLES LASSITER
		could do for it.	[4]	MR. LASSITER: Then I'll make it real quick.
- 1	[5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, in any case, I told him	[5]	I have no comment.
- 1	[6]	that just to be a well, a servant, I'm really	[6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Joe, I I assume
	[7]	a servant of the people. When they ask me to do	[7]	that you are officially asking the board to set
1	[8]	things and I say I'll do it, it doesn't	[8]	up a workshop to meet with past members of the
	[9]	necessarily mean it's going to happen. But I	[9]	of this board to discuss growth plans and growth
1	[10]	just told him I would get it on the minutes and	[10]	options for the airport.
- 1	[11]	on the public record that he's approached the	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, to get their ideas,
`	[12]	board about buying some property.	[12]	yeah,
- 1	[13]	And I did tell him honestly that this	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: What is the pleasure of the
] [14]	meeting I want to have and everything, that I'm	[14]	board? Do you are you interested in holding a
] [15]	going to be a thorn in the board's side when it	[15]	workshop? We need to if we're going to have a
[16]	comes to buying a lot of properties and	[16]	workshop, we need to agree that we're going to
[17]	everything like that. And I said, "I probably	[17]	have one. We need to confirm the subject, and we
[]	18]	might not be in favor of buying your property, to	[18]	need to set a time when we can have it.
[3	19]	start with," I told him that in honesty.	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: If I could interject, you
[2	20]	But just to get it on the record, and I	[20]	have two opportunities on the horizon, and
[2	21]	wanted to mention that Mr. John Newsome at 4657	[21]	largely what I what I'm hearing the topic to
[2	22]	Avenue C has wanted to approach the board about	[22]	be is largely what is referred to as the Airport
[2	23]	buying three lots of his property. Now that's	[23]	Master Plan document, which was that compilation
[2	24]	it, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.	[24]	of direction of the community as well as the
[2	25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right, I'm going to	[25]	Airport Authority members themselves, and was
-	OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY OF			
P	age 75		Page 76	
] [1] :	adopted in '96, I believe it was, and as the	[1]	input and get a general direction of this board
[:	2] (direction the airport I mean, from a staff	[2]	toward future projects.
[:	3] į	perspective as well as the board's perspective	[3]	I'm not whether you have the meeting
[4		has been moving since that time. And every five,	[4]	makes little difference to staff; we're going to
[:		seven years' time line, another master plan has	[5]	be here one way or the other. But I'm not sure
[(6] t	been developed and adopted with all those inputs	[6]	that a lot of that really hasn't the first
[]	7] a	again.	[7]	phase of it hasn't been done, and you're also on
3]	8]	You are coming up on that horizon again. I	[8]	the cusp of doing it again, the full-blown
[5	9] t	hink you're going to see in this strategic plan	[9]	effort.
[10	D] d	liscussion next month a project for the Airport	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comment?
[11	1] N	Master Plan for getting in the funding cycle for	[11]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, maybe
[12	2] ti	hat. We anticipate that in, you know,	[12]	I let me try to verbalize what Joe is looking
[13	3] a	pproximately 18 months, maybe a little longer	[13]	for. And if it is, maybe we can, instead of
[14	1] tl	han that, that it will be a funded project. And	[14]	having a separate meeting, we can wrap it in and
[15	5] a	t that point, you know, obviously all those	[15]	maybe have an extended meeting on a normal
[16	ó] ir	nputs go into considering the future development	[16]	business meeting.
[17	'] o	f the airport,	[17]	Joe, what you're looking for is a a
[18	3]	The other opportunity you have in front of	[18]	direction for this board of where this airport's
[19	·] y	ou is that thing next month, the when you're	[19]	going to be as far as serviceability to the
[20] lo	poking at the capital projects that are being	[20]	aviation community and the growth to provide that
[21		roposed, you're going to be asked to basically	[21]	service. Is that what you're looking for, is
[22		dopt the projects as well as indicate the	[22]	some kind of past and present coming together and
[23]	_	riority of those projects or the relative		coming up with some kind of, as Ed has said,
[24]] in	nportance to the Authority in terms of funding.	· ·	vision, for lack of a better term?
[25]		's another great opportunity to provide that	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: Let me put it this way: Not
1				but it this way. Not

Page 77	7	Page 78		_
[1]	just this last time, but every time I've	[1]	I don't care how big the airport grows with	
[2]	campaigned for this job, I went with budgets and	[2]		
[3]	money and stuff like that, and I just don't like	[3]	businesses coming in making money and such.	
[4]	spending money on stuff we don't need.	[4]	But it's just logic; this airport cannot physically grow and go in any direction because	
[5]	And buying a lot of property that's really	[5]	it's locked in. And I don't want to be spending	ĺ
[6]	we don't need now that this airport is locked	[6]	money on things we can't really absolutely need	
[7]	in. If this was 25 years ago, I might be going	[7]	or use. And I want to try to stop that. Is	
[8]	along with everybody else and buying everything	[8]	that is what I want to do.	
[9]	you can. But you're never going to move that	[9]	MR. LASSITER: Okay. Well, then then I	
[10]	highway and that railroad track, and you can't do	[10]	think what Ed has proposed is that we do the	
[11]	nothing with that runway right now. It's as long	[11]	capital, which is literally with no money, we	
[12]	as it's going to get.	[12]	don't do anything. And if the money is not	١
[13]	And the only thing you're going to do in	[13]	forwarded to the projects, the projects don't	ı
[14]	Araquay Park, is well, most of it, but the	[14]	happen. And my understanding now, what you're	
[15]	only thing you're going to do over there is put	[15]	saying is, is your concern is that the money that	١
[16]	up some hangars. You're going to tear down some	[16]	we're spending, in your view, we don't need to	ı
[17]	nice homes to build up a steel beam,	[17]	continuously spend for these capital projects.	ı
[18]	aluminum-siding building to hang put in	[18]	And so, therefore, really, to have a	ı
[19]	airplanes. And, of course, it will make you a	[19]	workshop to talk about something which basically	
[20]	little bit of money, but, I mean, I I don't	[20]	comes down to a capital project budget or the	١
[21]	look at that as growth.	[21]	lack of monies available for these projects is	l
[22]	To me, growth is putting in a runway from	[22]	doing the same thing as having a workshop, to	l
[23]	7,000 feet to 12,000 feet and maybe parallel	[23]	talk about is that is that not am I	l
[24]	runways and bringing in airliners and everything	[24]	making too much of a leap here to to get you	l
[25]	like that. And I'm not talking monetary growth.	[25]	covered?	l
		' '		
Page 79		Page 80		
Page 79	MR. CIRIELLO: What's your definition of	Page 80	An ILS is nice to have, but only a certain	
_	MR. CIRIELLO: What's your definition of "capital project"?	_	An ILS is nice to have, but only a certain number of people can use it. Of all the years	
[1]	·	[1]		
[1] [2]	"capital project"?	[1] [2]	number of people can use it. Of all the years	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything	[1] [2] [3]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars,	[1] [2] [3] [4]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warchouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority,	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money.	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well, not in the last few years because I but anyhow, for a long time I've been around	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can bring in money, but	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well, not in the last few years because I but anyhow, for a long time I've been around airplanes. And, yes, control towers are nice.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can bring in money, but MR. LASSITER: Well, that's what I'm talking	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well, not in the last few years because I but anyhow, for a long time I've been around	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can bring in money, but MR. LASSITER: Well, that's what I'm talking about. This is the capital budget is where	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well, not in the last few years because I but anyhow, for a long time I've been around airplanes. And, yes, control towers are nice. You can sit here and give me all the arguments	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can bring in money, but MR. LASSITER: Well, that's what I'm talking about. This is the capital budget is where you can decide, instead of discussion, because I	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well, not in the last few years because I but anyhow, for a long time I've been around airplanes. And, yes, control towers are nice. You can sit here and give me all the arguments you want that they're safety factors. I've got	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can bring in money, but MR. LASSITER: Well, that's what I'm talking about. This is the capital budget is where you can decide, instead of discussion, because I can tell you, the item that you don't consider to	
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	"capital project"? MR. LASSITER: Capital projects are anything such as the warehouses, the corporate hangars, the the new tower, the vault, the runway lighting. All of those are capital projects. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Some MR. LASSITER: Purchase MR. CIRIELLO: Some projects are absolutely needed. You have to repair runways. You have to repair taxiways. They don't bring any income to the airport. Now I'm thinking, I don't only just represent the airport to do the best I can to make this continue this great airport. I've also got to consider the taxpayers, that they're subsidizing this airport. Now, I've been flying since 1950 well, not in the last few years because I but anyhow, for a long time I've been around airplanes. And, yes, control towers are nice. You can sit here and give me all the arguments you want that they're safety factors. I've got some literature in my book right now that tells	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	number of people can use it. Of all the years I've been flying, and even though I took some dueling, IFR flying, I can't use the system. And it's nice to have, but we don't have that much bad better weather. So, in my estimation, those are two big items you're spending money on that will not bring money into this Authority. Not the airport. Now I'm concerned about the FBO and your restaurants and motels and everything up to a point, but as a board member, when I talk about bringing in money to the airport, I'm strictly talking about bringing money into this Authority, and not some of these capital projects won't do it. Building hangars brings in money. Warehouses, if they're aviation-related, can bring in money, but MR. LASSITER: Well, that's what I'm talking about. This is the capital budget is where you can decide, instead of discussion, because I can tell you, the item that you don't consider to be something worthwhile for the airport to	

	Page 81		Page 8	2
	[1]	it, whether it's safety, driven by safety or	[1]	homes were going to be torn down to put in some
	[2]	economics on the thing. And I think that's where	[2]	hangars. And at least it would bring in a little
	[3]	the capital budget area in that meeting is where	[3]	bit of income.
	[4]	we need to really thrash it out and come to	[4]	These five homes are going to be torn down
	[5]	either concurrence or agree not to agree.	[5]	to put in a mud hole, a retention pond, because
	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I was hoping by	[6]	of that water management company says it's got to
	[7]	MR. LASSITER: Or agree to disagree, I	[7]	be done. You're not even going to build anything
	[8]	should say.	[8]	there. You're taking homes away from people to
	[9]	MR. CIRIELLO: having this meeting, that	[9]	put in a water retention pond, and that really
	[10]	somebody else beside me could see that this	[10]	infuriated me.
	[11]	airport is locked in and logics tells you that	[11]	Now, I'm not infuriated enough to want to
	[12]	it's not going anywhere, and we don't need to get	[12]	start a fight; but, to me, that made absolutely
	[13]	in the real estate business and go out and buy	[13]	no sense. And this is what I'm talking about.
	[14]	everybody's property up and take their homes away	[14]	We're wasting money and spending money and doing
	[15]	from them for something that's not going to	[15]	things like that that makes no sense to me. And
	[16]	really benefit us that much.	[16]	I want to try to do something about it. And if
	[17]	And I'll I'll just go ahead and say it.	[17]	that means getting a special meeting and get in
	[18]	Maybe I'll get somebody mad at me. But the other	[18]	here and screaming at each other, then so be it.
	[19]	day, I talked to a person about these five	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Ed, we're going
	[20]	properties that you're talking about taking.	[20]	to have our capital priority list next week.
1	[21]	You're even talking and I say "you," I'm	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Next month, yes, sir.
	[22]	you guys was on the board, and I wasn't at the	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I mean next month. And I
- 1	[23]	time but taking these five particular	[23]	think we should set aside enough time at that
	[24]	properties by eminent domain. And I found out in	[24]	meeting to move into this discussion that Joe
	[25]	the last few days I was thinking these five	[25]	feels like we should have.
r	Page 83		D 64	
	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.	Page 84	MR GUYDER
	[2]	·	[1]	MR. SANDERS: I'm David Sanders. I'm
		CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's let that be our next		
- 1		CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's let that be our next step. Does anybody have a problem with that?	[2]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of
	[3]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that?	[2] [3]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing
		step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you.	[2] [3] [4]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project.
	[3] [4]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What?	[2] [3] [4] [5]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the
	[3] [4] [5]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What?	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price,
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this.
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again
	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [17] [18] [19]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have any public comment?	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I can offer some ideas and ways to reduce the cost,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have any public	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I can offer some ideas and ways to reduce the cost, if anyone would like to entertain that in a
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have any public comment? MR. SANDERS: Mr. Chairman, I'm president of S & D Land Clearing.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I can offer some ideas and ways to reduce the cost, if anyone would like to entertain that in a negotiation format. I think it would be, you
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [5]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have any public comment? MR. SANDERS: Mr. Chairman, I'm president of S & D Land Clearing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you want to come up?	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I can offer some ideas and ways to reduce the cost, if anyone would like to entertain that in a negotiation format. I think it would be, you know, in the board's best interest, you know, and
	[3] [4] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [23] [24] [14]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have any public comment? MR. SANDERS: Mr. Chairman, I'm president of S & D Land Clearing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you want to come up?	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I can offer some ideas and ways to reduce the cost, if anyone would like to entertain that in a negotiation format. I think it would be, you know, in the board's best interest, you know, and mine to negotiate this thing, if the board's
	[3] [4] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22] [23] [24] [17]	step. Does anybody have a problem with that? MR. CIRIELLO: No. Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Let's do that. What? MR. CIRIELLO: I said no, thank you. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's don't have an agenda that's going to fill up I think this will take a little time to discuss. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN ROSE: And if we have anyone that we should invite to come and and provide any information on decisions that have been made in the past, we should let them know that we're having this meeting. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. 7 PUBLIC COMMENT CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do I have any public comment? MR. SANDERS: Mr. Chairman, I'm president of S & D Land Clearing. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you want to come up?	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	president of S & D Land Clearing. I was one of the apparent low bidder on the clearing project. I will I would entertain, if if the Board would go along with it, I would entertain a negotiation on reduction of the bid price, because I'm low bidder, if the board would entertain that before closing this. I'm prepared to move forward with this clearing, almost immediately, if if that's of any consequence here. But if not, I won't I don't feel like that I would be interested in putting it off until the board meets again another month, you know, and coming back and rehashing it and all. I the clearing is pretty extensive. I can offer some ideas and ways to reduce the cost, if anyone would like to entertain that in a negotiation format. I think it would be, you know, in the board's best interest, you know, and mine to negotiate this thing, if the board's willing.

Г	D. Af	
- 1	Page 85	Page 86
	[1] MR. SANDERS: No, sir. I just I'm from	[1] in.
	[2] out of town, and I drove in to attend this	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I understand did I
- 1	[3] meeting, but I have not had an opportunity to	[3] understand you to say that you wanted to have
- 1	[4] meet with him.	[4] that discussion today, that you you're not
	[5] But I would like to do that, you know, if	[5] coming back for any discussions?
	[6] the board would entertain the thought of us	[6] MR. SANDERS: That's what I said, yes, sir.
] [[7] making a decision one way or the other without	[7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. And you haven't
- 1	[8] waiting until this board meets again, because	[8] talked to Mr. Wuellner? I don't think we can
] [[9] when I drive off from here today, I'm not going	[9] have those discussions today. Ed?
1	[10] to come back over here for any reduction or any	[10] MR. WUELLNER: I'm going to have to defer a
] [[11] talks of any reduction in my price or even	[11] little bit to Cindy of what our challenges are
[[12] interest in resubmitting.	[12] related to the bid.
[There was, I don't know, the way I counted	[13] What we are talking about doing in
[:	them, you know, just rough-house counting, there	[14] repackaging it is materially changing the
[3	was 20 or 25 different parties interested at the	[15] specifications and requirements attached to the
[1	prebid meeting, and there was only 3 of us that	[16] bid, which I'm not sure we can administratively
[1	17] actually went as far as being interested enough	[17] amend in fairness to all parties that bid,
[1	in this project to turn in a bid.	[18] That was one of the reasons we were
[1	[19] So, I heard some talk about, you know,	[19] trying we were going to approach it from a
[2	splitting the projects and all. And to me, it	[20] rebid, was that with the changes we think we can
[2	doesn't matter one way or the other if you want	[21] do, it will dramatically change the ability of
[2	to split it or not, but I I would caution that	[22] folks to bid on it. And that goes all across
[2	if you do split the projects, you're going to get	[23] everything from the Water Management District
[2	absolutely next to no invitations for bids over	[24] permit to
[2	there on that hand clearing if you don't add that	[25] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we're going to
P	age 87	Page 88
	Page 87 1] readvertise	Page 88 [1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE
	1] readvertise	
[1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance.	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE
[:	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2]
[:	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA)
[:	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that.	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
[:	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5]
	readvertise MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time.	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I
	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the
[2]	readvertise MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment?	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? 0] (No public comment.)	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes.
[10	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 0] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10]
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 0] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13]
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? 0] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Inublic - State of Florida
[: :] [: :	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 6] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is 3] adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 19th, 19th of March.	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
[1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 0] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is 3] adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 4] 19th, 19th of March. 5] MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No CC 705710
[1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 9] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is 3] adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 4] 19th, 19th of March. 5] MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the 6] like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary [Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires April 30, 2002
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 0] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is 3] adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 4] 19th, 19th of March. 5] MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the 6] like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Hublic - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires April 30, 2002
[1	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your 7] time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any 9] other public comment? 0] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is 3] adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 4] 19th, 19th of March. 5] MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the 6] like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the 6] airport clan, so we invite you all to please 8] stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 [15] Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17]
[1	mr. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. Mr. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? (No public comment.) 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 19th, 19th of March. Mr. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many years of service to the airport.	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Fublic - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17] [18]
[1	MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? (No public comment.) 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 19th, 19th of March. MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many years of service to the airport. (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Hublic - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 [15] Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17] [18] [19]
[1	1] readvertise 2] MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. 3] CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've 4] already taken that action, and I think we'll 5] stick with that. 6] MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. 8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? 9] (No public comment.) 1] 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING 2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is 3] adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 4] 19th, 19th of March. 5] MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please 8] stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many years of service to the airport. 0] (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Flublic - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 [15] Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17] [18] [19] [20]
[1	MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? (No public comment.) 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 19th, 19th of March. MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many years of service to the airport. (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]
[1	MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? (No public comment.) 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 19th, 19th of March. MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many years of service to the airport. (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] [14] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 [15] Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]
[: [: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	MR. WUELLNER: to insurance. CHAIRMAN ROSE: and rebid it. We've already taken that action, and I think we'll stick with that. MR. SANDERS: Okay. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yes. Thank you, sir. Any other public comment? (No public comment.) 8 NEXT BOARD MEETING CHAIRMAN ROSE: If not, the meeting is adjourned. Next meeting, what is it, the 20 19th, 19th of March. MR. WUELLNER: We also have a cake and the like honoring Richard Weaver's departure from the airport clan, so we invite you all to please stick around and enjoy that. Appreciate his many years of service to the airport. (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[1] REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE [2] [3] STATE OF FLORIDA) [4] COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) [5] [6] I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I [7] was authorized to and did stenographically report the [8] foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true [9] record of my stenographic notes. [10] [11] Dated this 6th day of March, 2001. [12] [13] JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Hublic - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 [15] Expires April 30, 2002 [16] - [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]

\$1.2 2776. \$4.00, 6212, 6215, 5216 \$1.4 2906, 6212, 6215, 5216 \$1.4 2906, 6212, 6215, 5216 \$1.4 2906, 6212, 6215, 5216 \$1.5 2906, 6212, 6215, 5216 \$1.5 2906, 6212, 6215, 6216, 5206, 6215 \$1.5 2906, 6212, 6215, 6216, 5206, 6215 \$1.5 2916 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2917 \$1.5 2918			
\$1.4 096, 6912, 6916	\$	4	
All 471, 422 administration 5312 administrat	\$1.2 57/16		
3.7.04 5.810 3.1.05 1.09 3.1.00 1.00 3.1.00 1.00 3.1.0	\$1.4 60/6, 60/12, 60/16		
\$2.00 46.7 73713 4796 173 4	\$1.57 57/14, 58/10		
\$2.00 \$3.17 212 \$3.40 146 \$3.17 212 \$3.40 146 \$3.17 212 \$3.40 146 \$3.20 27			adopt 9/18, 75/22
\$2.11 17.5			adopted 7/20, 28/17, 39/14, 49/16, 75/1, 75/6
\$2.7 \$2.0 \$2.7 \$3.50 \$3.50 \$3.			Advance 26/24 64/1
\$2,000		1,70	
\$2.92. 22/5 \$2.93. 10.00 \$2.00.			advertising 55/23
\$2.35 1409 \$2.325			advised 62/18
\$23, 5419, 1825, 224 \$23, 2000 657 \$57,000 307 \$71,000 2917 \$71,000 2917 \$72,000 2917 \$72,000 2917 \$73,000 2917 \$73,000 2917 \$74,000 2917 \$75,000 29			Aero 2/6, 4/18, 4/22, 5/1, 5/7, 13/8, 13/24, 14/1,
\$2,00.00 c31	\$2.95 14/10, 18/25, 22/4		affair 10/1
\$41,982	\$20,000 63/1		
\$77,700 2017 \$1, 52, 70, 70, 2017 \$1, 52, 70, 70, 2017 \$1, 52, 70, 70, 2017 \$2, 15, 15, 83, 39, 38, 51, 578 \$71,700 2017 \$2, 15, 15, 16, 16, 163, 6418 \$37, 71, 83/22, 8462 \$1, 17, 83/22, 8462 \$1, 17, 83/22, 8462 \$1, 18, 18, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19			agencies 52/23, 56/12
\$7,700, 2917 \$\begin{align*}{\text{S17},700} 2917 \$\begin{align*}{\text{S17},700} \text{S18}, 161, 163, 6418 \text{ \$90} \\ \$\begin{align*}{\text{S17},700} \text{ \$20} \\ \$\text{S17},700 \\ \$\text{S17},70		5.E 7/15, 8/3, 39/3	agenda 7/15, 12/8, 22/16, 23/1, 23/24, 24/24,
\$\frac{8}{\text{\$\sigma}}\$ \frac{1}{\text{\$\sigma}}\$ \frac{1}{\$\			34/17, 35/16, 39/13, 57/3, 66/12, 66/14, 83/8 agree 18/17 48/1 74/16 01/5 01/7
\$\frac{\capacter}{\capacter}\$ \tag{\capacter}{\capacter}\$		50 10/18, 16/1, 16/3, 64/18	agreeable 8/22, 60/8, 60/13
## 177, \$3/12, \$4/2 Color	g.		agreed 6/13, 10/2, 10/18, 38/9
6		2100 170	Agreement 2/11, 6/16, 8/24, 9/11, 9/13, 9/14,
Company Comp	& 1/17, 83/22, 84/2		9/15, 28/18, 28/25, 29/15, 29/22, 34/4
Second Color Seco		6	aimed 53/24, 54/11
The content of the	9		airfield 50/25, 69/6
1	'96 45/15, 49/16 56/8 75/1		airline 68/5
* 6. 74/3 60 63/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60 60/20 60			airliners 69/23, 77/24
6 6 6326 4 17, 1711, 1715, 121 6 7 275 6 7 275 7 277, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 7 207, 8218 8 2419, 922, 938, 104, 1014, 1025, 137, 633, 637 10,000-callon 14/22 10,000-callon 14/22 10,000-callon 14/22 11,000-callon 14/22 120 219, 2170 8 8 13/23, 102, 6012 8 210, 218, 8711 8 10,323, 102, 6013 8 120, 218, 8711 13 2591, 6013, 6513, 6512 15 2118 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 16 2125 17 218, 8711 18 7513 90 4 123 AALE 119 ABSENT 171, 226, 821, 821, 821, 821 ABSENT 171, 226, 821, 821, 821 ABSENT 171, 226, 821, 821, 821 2 23, 1024, 6219, 62124, 634, 638, 639, 6316, 632 3 217, 248, 8576 3 218, 2419, 7417, 8515 2 2 23, 1024, 6219, 6224, 634, 638, 639, 6316, 6323 3 217, 24, 8576 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 219, 241, 242, 5515, 5612 3 3 217 3 218, 2419 3 218, 2419 3 219, 241, 425, 5915, 8621 ACCEPTANCE 24, 3419, 485 accept 3/23, 118, 1114, 1216, 2385, 4272 accept 3/23, 118, 1114, 239, 421 accept 3/23, 118, 1114, 239, 431, 451 accept 3/23, 118, 1114, 239, 431, 451 accept 3/23, 118, 1141, 114, 299, 7112, 2624, 2625, 4918, 6019, 2919, 2915, 344, 4115, 624 approving 2511 approached 4712, 7311 approving 2511			
AIRPORT 11, 18, 12,0, 34, 511, 611, 12/6, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 10			
132, 134, 1313, 1322, 1352, 216, 2315, 2355, 2366, 2316, 2317, 3918, 4319, 4313, 4318, 4319, 4312, 4321, 4358, 4319, 4318, 4319, 4321, 4	* 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21		AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 3/4, 5/11, 6/11, 12/16
00-07 29/15, 34/4 7		0/ 2/15	13/2, 13/4, 13/13, 13/22, 15/2, 21/8, 23/25, 26/6.
00-07 29/15, 34/4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0		4 26/13, 27/2, 30/9, 30/23, 31/20, 32/10, 32/14, 35/5.
T 217, 8318 7,000 77123 74 216 7386 718, 871, 8718 719, 972, 98, 104, 1014, 10125, 137, 6373, 637 7386 7386 7386 749, 98, 911, 6916, 6917, 6918, 6921, 1010, 0000-gallon 1422 8 8 7410, 7412, 74125, 7517, 7517, 7716, 781, 10,000-gallon 1422 8 8 7410, 7412, 74125, 7812, 7810, 7817, 7716, 781, 10,000-gallon 1422 8 8 7410, 7412, 74125, 7812, 7817, 7818, 8115, 2118,	00-07 29/15 34/4	- 7	39/18, 39/19, 40/2, 40/23, 41/8, 41/19, 41/22, 42/11,
1		7 2/17, 83/18	47/25, 48/21, 50/8, 52/25, 53/2, 53/5, 53/21, 53/23
1 1/3, 9/2, 9/8, 10/4, 10/14, 10/25, 13/2, 63/3, 63/7 10,000-ghoot 69/24 110,000-ghoot 69/24 110,000-ghoot 69/24 110,000-ghoot 10/22 110h 12/13		7,000 77/23	54/19, 56/17, 58/21, 59/8, 59/9, 59/13, 59/19, 68/9.
10,000-foot 69/24 10,000-gallon 14/22 8 8 74/10, 74/25, 75/25, 75/10, 75/17, 77/16, 78/1, 10,000-gallon 14/22 8 8 78/3, 79/12, 79/14, 79/15, 79/17, 80/9, 80/13, 80/23, 11/12 12/27 12,000 77/23 8 2/10, 2/18, 87/11 80 15/23, 16/2, 60/12 82/5-05/70 1/23 83 2/17 83 15/23, 16/2, 60/12 82/5-05/70 1/23 83 2/17 83 15/23, 16/2, 60/12 82/5-05/70 1/23 83 2/17 83 1/17			68/23, 69/1, 69/8, 69/11, 69/16, 69/17, 69/18, 69/21.
10,000-gation 14/22 8	1 1/3, 9/2, 9/8, 10/4, 10/14, 10/25, 13/2, 63/3, 63/7	77 38/6	70/9, 70/12, 70/24, 71/6, 72/4, 72/7, 72/11, 73/2,
10th 12/13	10,000-foot 69/24		78/3 79/12 79/14 79/15 79/17 99/9 99/13 99/97
12 27		8	81/11, 87/17, 87/19
12,000 77/23 80 15/23, 16/2, 60/12 airports 13/19, 14/5, 20/24, 21/11, 22/9, 45/4, 69/25 69/25		8 2/10, 2/18, 87/11	Airport's 51/19, 76/18
135 59/1, 60/23, 61/12 14th 65/6, 65/13, 65/22 15 21/18 15/10 1/122 16 21/25 16th 65/9 9 25/23, 28/23, 28/24 9 10lowd 37/16, 37/21, 58/11, 59/11 18 75/13 90 15/23 90 15/23 1980 79/18 1996 7/20, 39/14 19th 87/14	12,000 77/23	80 15/23, 16/2, 60/12	airports 13/19, 14/5, 20/24, 21/11, 22/9, 45/4,
14th 65/6, 65/13, 65/32 15 21/18 15 21/18 15 21/18 16 21/25 16th 65/9 170 1/17 18 75/13 1996 7/20, 39/14 1996 7/20, 39/14 19th 87/14 2 2 2/3, 10/24, 62/19, 62/24, 63/4, 63/8, 63/9, 63/16, 63/23 2 2/3, 10/24, 62/19, 62/24, 62/24, 62/24, 62/24, 62/24, 62/24, 62/24, 62/24, 62/25, 49/18, 60/19, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 62/24, 62/25, 49/18, 60/19, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 62/24, 62/25, 49/18, 60/19, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 62/24, 62/25, 49/18, 60/19, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 62/24, 62/25, 49/18, 60/19, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2 2/3/24, 40/24, 40/24 2			
15 2/18			
STID 1/1/2 16 16 17 17 17 18 17 17 17 18 17 17		67 2/18, 2/19	
16 21/25			allowed 37/16, 37/21, 58/11, 59/11
170 171 171 18 75/13 1950 79/18 1950 79/18 1950 79/18 1960 77/20, 39/14 19th 87/14		9	allows 10/3
170 1/17 195 1/123 904 1/23 1/		9 25/23, 28/23, 28/24	
1950 7/9/18 1996 7/20, 39/14 19th 87/14 A A.A.E 1/19 A.A.E 1/19 A.A.A.E 1/19 A.A.E 64/25 annually 53/5 answer 60/20, 66/13 annually 53/5 answer 60/20, 66/13 annually 53/5 answer 60/20, 66/13 answered 68/18 anticipate 14/18, 75/12 AOPA 68/9 apologise 12/11 apologise 12/12 apoly 51/7 apologise 12/12 apoly 51/7 apologise 12/12 apoly 51/7 apologise 12/12 apologise 12/11 apologise 12/12 apoly 51/7 apologise 12/12 apoly 51/7 apologise 12/12 apolog		90 15/23	
Adderson 4/23 annual 40/3, 51/10, 53/18, 64/25 annually 53/5 answer 60/20, 66/13 antmal 40/3, 51/10 aplogies 12/11 aplogies 12/11 aplogies 12/11 appoint 33/20 approach 18/3, 72/16, 73/12, 86/19 apporach 18/	1950 79/18	904 1/23	
A.A.E. 1/19 A.B.B.C.T. 1/13 A.B.B.C.T. 1/12, 2/6 accepta 3/12, 11/18, 1/1/14, 12/10, 28/5, 42/12 accepta 4/13, 10/9, 12/2 accommodate 34/19, 7/11 accomplished 39/25 account 20/25 approyed 1/18,			Anderson 4/23
A.A.E 1/19 AAAE 64/25 ability 26/17, 42/3, 59/15, 86/21 Absence 1/13 A	19th 87/14	A	annual 40/3, 51/10, 53/18, 64/25
AAAE 64/25 ability 26/17, 42/3, 59/15, 86/21 Absence 1/13 Absence 1/13 Absence 1/13 ABSENT 1/12, 2/6 accept 3/23, 11/8, 11/14, 12/10, 28/5, 42/22 acceptable 7/25, 35/14, 65/11, 65/14 ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 3/19, 4/5 201-01 2/10, 8/20, 8/23, 9/18, 11/15, 23/21, 23/22, 23/25 21st 65/6 23 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 19/2, 31/19 30 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 AAAE 64/25 ability 26/17, 42/3, 59/15, 86/21 Absence 1/13 ABSENT 1/12, 2/6 ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 3/19, 4/5 ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 3/19, 4/5 accommodate 34/19, 71/1 accomplished 39/25 account 20/25 account 20/25 accounts 25/13 accounts 25/13 accounts 25/13 accurate 50/1 accurate 50/1 activities 51/3 add 24/5, 24/18, 55/3, 85/25 added 40/20 additessed 29/12 addressed 29/12 addressed 29/12 addressed 29/12 adjective 48/14 answered 68/18 anticipate 14/18, 75/12 AOPA 68/9 apploggies 12/11 appologgy 66/24 appleal 67, 6/11, 6/12 apploggy 66/24 appleal 67, 6/11, 6/12 apples 12/5, 87/18 approached 47/2, 73/11 approvalts 31/20 approached 47/2, 73/11 approvalts 30/12, 30/14 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approved 3/17 approving 25/1 APROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approval 3/17 approved 3/17 approved 18/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approved 3/17 approved 3/18 anticipate 14/18, 75/12 apologgy 66/24 appeal 67, 6/11, 6/12 apples 12/5 apples 12/5 apples 12/6 app	1988000 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986	A.A.E. 1/19	
ability 26/17, 42/3, 59/15, 86/21 Absence 1/13 Absence 1/	2	AAAE 64/25	
Absence 1/13 Absence 1/13 20 88/15, 87/13 20 98/15, 87/13 20 98/15, 87/13 2001 1/5 ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 35/14, 65/11, 65/14 ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 31/9, 4/5 accepted 4/13, 10/9, 12/2 access 41/16, 59/20, 59/22 accommodate 34/19, 71/1 accomplished 39/25 accurate 50/1 accurate 50/1 30 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 19/2, 31/19 3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 340 2/12 ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 49/18, 60/19, 31/13 39 2/12 ASPENCE 1/13 ABSENT 1/12, 2/6 accept 3/23, 11/8, 11/14, 12/10, 28/5, 42/22 applogise 12/16 apologice 12/6 applogy 66/24 appeal 6/7, 6/11, 6/12 apply 51/7 apporache 47/2, 73/11 approached 47/2, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approved 3/17 ap		ability 26/17, 42/3, 59/15, 86/21	
20 85/15, 87/13 20-year 47/7 2001 1/5 2001-01 2/10, 8/20, 8/23, 9/18, 11/15, 23/21, 23/22, 23/25 21st 65/6 23 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 2/10 2/10 2/10 2/10 2/10 2/10 2/10 2/1	4 413, 10/24, 62/19, 62/24, 63/4, 63/8, 63/9, 63/16, 63/23	Absence 1/13	AOPA 68/9
20-year 47/7 2001 1/5 2001-01 1/5 2001-01 2/10, 8/20, 8/23, 9/18, 11/15, 23/21, 23/22, 23/25 21st 65/6 23 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 2/11 3 2/3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 19/2, 31/19 300 30/16 30 19/2, 31/19 300 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 30 2/12 30 2/1		ADDENI 1/12, 2/6	
2001-01 2/10, 8/20, 8/23, 9/18, 11/15, 23/21, 23/22, 23/25 21st 65/6 23 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 19/2, 31/19 300 30/16 30 19/2, 31/19 301 30/16 31 2/3 2/3 34 2/11 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 35 2/12 30 2/12 30 2/12 30 2/12 30 2/12 30 2/12 30 2/12 30 2/12 30 3/16 30 2/12 30 3/16 30	20-year 47/7	accept 5/25, 11/6, 11/14, 12/10, 28/5, 42/22 acceptable 7/25, 35/14 65/11 65/14	
201-01 2/10, 8/20, 8/23, 9/18, 11/15, 23/21, 23/22, 23/25 21st 65/6 23 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 2024 3 2025 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 2/11 27 28 2/11 28 29 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020		ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 3/19, 4/5	
23/21, 23/25 21st 65/6 22 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 28 2/11 3 29 2/11 3 20 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 30/16 30 30/16 30 30/16 30 30/16 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 35 2/12 36 2/11 37 2/12 38 2/12 39 2/12 30 30/16 30 30/16 30 2/17 30 19/2, 31/19 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 35 2/12 36 36 37 38 3/15 37 38 3/15 38 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2001-01 2/10, 8/20, 8/23, 9/18, 11/15, 23/21,	accepted 4/13, 10/9, 12/2	
23 2/10 25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 27 27/11 28 2/11 3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/12 30 2/12 31 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 30/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 31 32/3, 2/4, 85/16 32/3, 3/18, 3/12, 2/2, 2/19, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13		access 41/16, 59/20, 59/22	appoint 33/20
25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15 26 1/5 28 2/11 3 account 53/13 accurate 50/1 accurately 44/5 acre 36/15 30 19/2, 31/19 30 19/2, 31/19 31st 3/21 32/08 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 Account 53/13 accurate 50/1 accurately 44/5 acre 36/15 approached 47/2, 73/11 approaching 50/6, 50/7 appropriate 42/22, 45/6, 54/22 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approved 3/17 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approved 3/17 approved 3/17 approving 25/1 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 45/23, 45/24, 62/17, 63/15, 73/3, 81/3 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22		accommodate 34/19, 71/1	
26 1/5 28 2/11 accounts 53/3 accurate 50/1 accurately 44/5 acre 36/15 acre 36/15 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 3 19/2, 31/19 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 accurately 44/5 acre 36/15 approvaching 50/6, 54/22 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approved 3/17 approving 25/1 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 architectural 59/25, 60/4 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 45/23, 45/24, 62/17, 63/15, 73/3, 81/3 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 argument 6/25 argument 5/9/22	25 32/9, 69/18, 77/7, 85/15		approach 18/5, 72/16, 73/22, 86/19 approached 47/2 72/11
28 2/11 accurate 50/1 accurately 44/5 acre 36/15 acre 36/15 acres 28/24, 30/17, 32/9 ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 49/18, 60/19, 30 19/2, 31/19 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 accurate 50/1 accurately 44/5 acres 28/24, 30/17, 32/9 ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 49/18, 60/19, 87/4 activities 51/3 add 24/5, 24/18, 55/3, 85/25 added 40/20 additions 3/13, 3/15, 15/2 address 60/17 addressed 29/12 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 accurately 44/5 appropriate 42/22, 45/6, 54/22 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approved 3/17 approving 25/1 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 45/23, 45/24, 62/17, 63/15, 73/3, 81/3 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22	26 1/5		
accurately 44/5 acre 36/15 acres 28/24, 30/17, 32/9 ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 49/18, 60/19, 30 19/2, 31/19 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approvals 30/12, 30/14 approve 11/8, 29/15, 34/4, 41/15, 62/4 approved 3/17 approving 25/1 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 architectural 59/25, 60/4 architectural 59/25, 60/4 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, addressed 29/12 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24 approvals 30/12, 30/14 approvals 30/12, 30/12 approvals 30/12, 30/12 approvals 30/12, 30/14 approvals 30/12, 30/12 appro	48 2/11	accurate 50/1	appropriate 42/22, 45/6, 54/22
acres 28/24, 30/17, 32/9 3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 3 19/2, 31/19 3 0 30/16 3 1st 3/21 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 4 2/11 3 9 2/12			APPROVAL 2/3, 3/10, 7/14, 11/14, 29/20, 30/24
3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16 30 19/2, 31/19 30 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 49/18, 60/19, 87/4 activities 51/3 added 40/20 additions 3/13, 3/15, 15/2 address 60/17 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 49/18, 60/19, approved 3/17 approving 25/1 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 architectural 59/25, 60/4 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 45/23, 45/24, 62/17, 63/15, 73/3, 81/3 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22	3		approvals 30/12, 30/14
30 19/2, 31/19 300 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 30 2/12 31 39 2/12 31 30 30/16 31 37/4 32084 1/18, 1/23 31 3/15, 15/2 32084 1/18, 1/23 32084 1/18, 1/23 33 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34 3/15, 15/2 34/17, 15/18, 15/2 34/18	3 2/3, 2/4, 85/16	ACTION 2/9, 7/12, 26/24, 26/25, 40/18, 60/10	approved 3/17
300 30/16 31st 3/21 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 added 40/20 additions 3/13, 3/15, 15/2 address 60/17 addressed 29/12 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/4 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 April 65/8, 65/9 Araquay 70/6, 77/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 architectural 59/25,		87/4	
32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11 39 2/12 added 40/20 additions 3/13, 3/15, 15/2 address 60/17 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 architectural 59/25, 60/4 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 45/23, 45/24, 62/17, 63/15, 73/3, 81/3 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22	300 30/16		April 65/8, 65/9
34 2/11 39 2/12 additions 3/13, 3/15, 15/2 address 60/17 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 additions 3/13, 3/15, 15/2 area 8/15, 9/7, 28/21, 29/3, 29/7, 29/10, 30/16, 34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/19, 45/1, 45/23, 45/24, 62/17, 63/15, 73/3, 81/3 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22		add 24/5, 24/18, 55/3, 85/25	
39 2/12 address 60/17 addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 adjective 48/14 addressing 51/14 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22	04/004 1/18, 1/23 54 2/11		
addressed 29/12 addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 addressing 51/14 areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22	39 2/12	address 60/17	34/22, 36/17, 37/13, 37/18, 37/22, 42/10, 4E/1
addressing 51/14 adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 adjective 48/14 argument 6/25 arguments 79/22		addressed 29/12	
adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21 argument 6/25 adjective 48/14 arguments 79/22		addressing 51/14	areas 40/15, 44/17, 50/24, 53/5, 57/24
		adequate 50/17, 51/9, 61/12, 61/21	argument 6/25
arrange 0/12)			
		U UNIAU, UNIAU	was and UME!

arrangement 10/13 arrangements 42/24 article 14/20 aspect 45/12 assessments 51/23 assets 49/24, 50/4 Assistant 1/20 associated 32/5, 34/22 Association 5/17, 12/12, 12/25, 15/13, 16/14, 26/11, 27/13 assure 50/17, 51/9, 53/3, 53/6, 56/5 assured 57/22 ATC 8/14 attached 14/19, 86/15 attempt 13/25, 35/7, 60/9 attempts 27/1 attend 85/2 attending 5/6 attitude 43/19, 44/7, 45/14 Attorney 1/18, 2/8, 6/4 attorneys 24/7 attract 55/24 attracting 53/24, 54/11, 55/22 audit 40/14, 53/18 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23, 2/7, 12/25, 13/22, 45/6 Augustine-St 6/10, 13/1 Augustine-St. Johns 3/3 AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 2/13, 3/4, 6/11, 9/18, 13/2, 13/13, 17/8, 24/1, 25/24, 26/1, 26/24, 26/25, 27/2, 29/13, 31/20, 35/6, 40/17, 42/1, 42/11, 43/10, 44/21, 50/3, 56/7, 58/21, 70/20, 72/20, 74/25, 75/24, 80/8, 80/14 Authority's 39/18, 73/3 authorization 57/15 authorize 9/18, 29/17 authorized 9/6, 11/1, 88/7 authorizes 9/10 av 12/19, 13/21, 15/18, 16/1, 21/20 availability 13/18 available 42/15, 56/24, 57/21, 58/4, 63/13, 78/21 Avenue 72/6, 73/22 average 14/2 aviation 13/4, 42/6, 45/23, 48/1, 48/5, 48/13, 51/23, 76/20 Aviation-oriented 48/7 aviation-related 48/6, 80/17 avionics 41/9, 44/12 avoid 63/3 Award 2/11, 34/16 awareness 53/21 aye 4/6, 4/7, 4/8, 4/9, 11/20, 11/21, 11/22, 11/23, 28/10, 28/11, 28/12, 28/13, 34/9, 34/10, 34/11, 38/20, 38/21, 38/22, 38/23, 38/25, 62/10, 62/11, 62/12, 62/13

В

backup 58/23, 61/1 bad 68/15, 80/6 Bailey 1/17 balcony 59/24, 60/1 ballpark 7/7 BARBARA 1/13 bargain 14/25 barrel 20/13 BARTIN 1/17, 2/8 based 21/19, 22/6 basis 40/3 beacon 58/19 beam 77/17 bear 12/23 BEASON 88/6 behold 68/10 belief 34/25 benefit 81/16 benefits 64/16 bet 5/12 Bid 2/11, 10/8, 34/16, 37/10, 58/7, 84/7, 85/18, 86/12, 86/16, 86/17, 86/22 bidder 84/3, 84/8 bids 10/8, 34/23, 35/1, 35/4, 35/15, 35/24, 37/3, 37/5, 37/7, 37/12, 46/6, 85/24 big 14/10, 30/23, 32/17, 37/17, 69/23, 71/9, 71/15, BILL 1/9, 2/14, 38/10, 38/13, 39/9, 66/9 bit 15/20, 24/3, 39/2, 61/10, 77/20, 82/3, 86/11 black 24/22 Blvd 1/22

BOARD 1/8, 1/12, 2/18, 7/21, 11/5, 12/22, 15/5, 17/2, 17/11, 17/20, 17/21, 18/3, 18/6, 18/15, 19/3, 22/24, 23/7, 25/1, 25/3, 25/23, 26/19, 27/12, 27/19, 29/15, 29/24, 42/9, 45/14, 46/13, 47/1, 47/7, 49/12, 49/13, 58/15, 58/17, 60/19, 60/21, 61/4, 62/1, 62/23, 66/12, 67/2, 67/5, 69/6, 72/15, 72/16, 72/17, 73/12, 73/22, 74/7, 74/9, 74/14, 76/1, 76/18, 80/12, 81/22, 84/6, 84/8, 84/14, 85/6, 85/8, 87/11 board's 73/15, 75/3, 84/21, 84/22 Bob 16/17 bonds 58/18 book 68/9, 79/24 BOSANKO 1/13, 6/10 bought 71/10 boundary 47/5 bounds 72/7 breaking 27/19 brief 7/18, 8/15 briefing 6/17, 6/24 briefs 7/9 bring 8/1, 8/13, 12/14, 35/13, 48/12, 57/11, 79/11, 80/8, 80/18, 82/2 bringing 77/24, 80/13, 80/14 brings 80/16 brochure 53/24 brought 26/6, 64/13 BRYAN 1/20 BRYANT 1/14, 2/6, 67/9 Bryant's 4/14 bucket 33/15 budget 10/10, 35/5, 70/17, 70/23, 78/20, 80/20, budgeted 29/5 budgeting 10/2 budgets 77/2 build 46/20, 52/14, 77/17, 82/7 building 29/11, 42/13, 77/18, 80/16 buildings 42/2, 42/14, 42/15, 50/2, 50/10 built 46/24 business 3/6, 13/12, 18/16, 21/24, 22/13, 66/7, 71/14, 76/16, 81/13 businesses 78/2 busy 20/3 buy 21/3, 72/17, 81/13 buying 21/8, 22/9, 47/23, 69/14, 70/5, 70/19, 70/23, 71/13, 73/12, 73/16, 73/18, 73/23, 77/5, 77/8

С

cake 87/15 calculations 61/20 call 3/2, 32/7, 39/5, 71/24 callers 26/6 came 12/3, 46/3 campaign 55/23 campaigned 77/2 capability 58/24 capacity 50/17, 51/9, 61/16, 61/19 capital 7/16, 7/23, 7/24, 8/10, 40/11, 40/21, 40/22, 49/24, 50/4, 51/1, 51/13, 52/10, 53/11, 75/20, 78/11, 78/17, 78/20, 79/2, 79/3, 79/6, 80/15, 80/20, 81/3, 82/20 card 21/21 care 15/3, 78/1 Carolina 14/21 carried 12/1, 28/16 carries 34/14, 39/1, 62/16 case 9/16, 44/24, 47/1, 52/9, 73/5 cases 43/21 caution 85/22 cent 21/15, 21/18, 21/20 centralized 48/10 cents 15/24, 16/2, 21/25 cents' 26/7 CERTIFICATE 88/1 certification 48/24 Chairman 1/9, 3/2, 3/11, 3/16, 3/24, 4/2, 4/5, 4/10, 4/12, 5/2, 5/6, 5/9, 5/12, 5/16, 5/21, 5/24, 6/3. 6/6, 7/3, 7/5, 7/11, 8/2, 8/5, 8/8, 8/10, 8/19, 9/19, 9/21, 11/2, 11/4, 11/7, 11/17, 11/20, 11/24, 12/1, 12/7, 15/8, 16/13, 16/23, 19/7, 19/10, 19/13, 19/21, 20/7, 20/9, 22/14, 22/23, 23/3, 23/9, 23/13, 23/15, 23/20, 24/18, 25/11, 25/20, 27/23, 28/1, 28/6, 28/8, 28/10, 28/14, 28/16, 29/23, 30/3, 31/8, 31/10, 31/13, 33/20, 34/1, 34/7, 34/9, 34/12, 34/14, 35/16, 35/20, 35/23, 36/3, 36/5, 38/15, 38/17, 38/19, 38/24, 39/1, 42/17, 43/2, 43/5, 44/4, 48/7, 48/11, 48/17, 49/5, 49/9, 49/14, 49/17, 49/20, 50/19, 54/6, 54/13, 56/1,

57/2, 57/5, 60/18, 61/23, 61/25, 62/3, 62/7, 62/10, 62/14, 62/16, 63/22, 64/2, 64/5, 64/22, 65/12, 65/16, 65/22, 65/25, 66/2, 66/5, 66/7, 66/10, 66/17, 66/23, 67/9, 67/12, 67/15, 67/18, 67/21, 67/24, 71/20, 73/25, 74/6, 74/13, 76/10, 82/19, 82/22, 83/2, 83/5, 83/7, 83/11, 83/17, 83/19, 83/23, 84/24, 86/2, 86/7, 86/25, 87/3, 87/8, 87/12 challenges 86/11 chance 12/14, 24/14 change 8/17, 21/7, 49/19, 49/20, 50/15, 86/21 changed 18/25, 24/10, 46/24, 69/15 changes 86/20 changing 86/14 charge 21/21 charged 14/12 charges 40/3 CHARLES 1/9 charted 18/16 cheap 72/11 CINDY 1/17, 6/3, 86/11 circuits 59/13 circumstances 42/12 CIRIELLO 1/10, 2/15, 67/23 citizens 47/2 civil 29/2 clan 87/17 clarification 25/23, 44/2 clarify 41/24 clarity 39/22 classifications 24/8, 24/11 clear 26/1, 27/8, 27/17 cleared 38/1 35/11, 36/17, 36/25, 37/19, 83/22, 84/2, 84/3, 84/11, 84/17, 85/25 Clearing 2/11, 34/16, 34/19, 34/21, 35/3, 35/10, close 15/23, 22/10 closing 84/9 closures 51/5 closures 51/5 comfortable 61/11, 61/21 COMMENT 2/17, 5/3, 11/2, 11/3, 25/4, 27/24, 28/2, 28/3, 30/1, 30/4, 31/8, 31/9, 31/10, 31/11, 34/7, 34/8, 38/15, 38/16, 38/17, 38/18, 41/4, 61/23, 38/15, 38/15, 38/18, 38/15, 38/16, 38/17, 38/18, 41/4, 61/23, 38/15, 38/18, 38/18, 38/19, 87/10 61/24, 74/5, 76/10, 83/18, 83/20, 87/9, 87/10 comments 3/24, 3/25, 27/8, 27/25, 33/24, 40/25, 45/24, 53/19, 56/22, 60/21, 62/1, 66/11 commercial 41/10, 45/22, 48/6, 48/8, 52/8, 69/11, commercial/industrial 40/7, 41/6, 44/13 Commissioner 2/6 Commissioner/Airport 1/14 commissioners 67/13 common 45/3 communities 69/16 community 21/18, 41/5, 41/8, 51/17, 51/22, 74/24, 76/20 community's 52/7 commuter 52/3 company 17/16, 46/7, 82/6 compared 69/18 comparison 14/19, 20/22 comparisons 13/24 compatible 53/7 competing 45/22 competition 14/14, 17/8, 17/24, 18/6, 18/16, 41/20 competitive 40/4 compilation 74/23 complaining 46/12 completed 6/24, 64/10 complying 53/3 comprehensive 51/20, 56/18 compressed 6/18 compromising 59/3 computers 54/20 concern 78/15 concerned 49/12, 70/4, 80/10 concurred 28/25 concurrence 29/21, 67/4, 81/5 conduct 24/1, 24/23, 24/25, 40/14, 51/3, 51/23, 51/24 conducted 52/15 conduits 59/17 confirm 49/6, 74/17 confirmed 61/9 conflicts 36/20, 53/25, 65/4, 65/7 connect 59/18 connection 52/3 consensus 70/16 consequence 84/12 considerable 20/20

consideration 14/14 constructing 59/22 construction 9/3, 9/7, 46/7, 51/8, 57/23, 62/24 contact 67/12 contains 39/14 continue 14/18, 15/1, 56/19, 79/15 continues 32/11 continuously 78/17 Contract 2/12, 8/14, 11/1, 29/19, 35/25, 57/8, 57/11, 60/15 contractor 62/18, 63/1 Control 2/12, 57/8, 57/10, 58/20, 68/3, 68/5, 68/7, 68/10, 68/15, 79/21 controllers 59/11 COOPER 1/20 coordinating 56/12 coordination 53/2, 56/6 copies 56/24, 66/14 copy 19/8, 23/24, 39/12 corporate 8/15, 62/17, 63/17, 79/4 Correct 10/3 corrections 3/13, 3/15 correspondence 66/15 cost 20/13, 21/10, 21/23, 22/12, 35/2, 37/4, 37/24, 57/25, 84/18 costs 14/22, 20/23, 21/1 counted 85/13 counties 16/10, 18/20 counting 50/21, 85/14 country 45/5 COUNTY 1/1, 1/14, 2/6, 3/3, 4/14, 6/11, 13/2, 13/15, 13/16, 15/22, 43/19, 67/12, 88/4 County's 51/19 couple 17/1, 25/15, 66/10 course 26/1, 29/20, 68/7, 77/19 Court 1/22, 16/11, 88/1 cover 32/2 covered 32/9, 78/25 create 21/17 creating 38/6, 52/24 crowded 70/25 CRR 88/6 currently 29/4, 61/18, 63/2 cusp 76/8 cut 37/14, 57/24 cycle 75/11

D

Danis 58/9 date 8/1, 8/14, 66/18 David 84/1 day 16/11, 56/11, 70/25, 71/23, 81/19 days 21/9, 21/10, 21/12, 81/25 Daytona 14/7, 69/9 DCS 59/10 de 1/22 deal 37/24, 48/24 dealing 32/4 deals 28/19, 41/24 dealt 48/21 debated 17/3 decide 80/21 decided 67/5 decision 85/7 decisions 83/13 decline 49/4 deduction 58/11 defer 86/10 deficiencies 51/1, 51/12 deficiency 15/12 deficient 53/18 define 24/9 definition 79/1 delete 49/4 deleted 40/20 denied 6/22 DENNIS 1/10, 3/18 departure 87/16 depicted 60/4 describing 72/21 description 52/16 design 59/15, 59/25 designated 37/22 designed 63/18 designing 58/3 desire 39/18, 52/8, 55/17 detailed 50/1, 58/25 determine 50/24

develop 32/22, 40/4, 40/6, 40/7, 41/9, 41/16, 42/2, 42/18, 43/18, 43/23, 45/19, 50/25, 51/15, 52/20, 53/12, 53/21 developed 30/10, 42/19, 53/4, 75/6 developer 43/21 developers 42/23, 45/23, 54/8 developing 24/3, 29/6, 31/1, 31/22, 39/25, 44/11, 44/13, 45/11, 45/17, 47/3 development 7/22, 28/21, 28/22, 29/3, 29/9, 34/20, 42/5, 42/13, 47/23, 53/25, 54/3, 54/7, 54/25, 61/13, 75/16 developments 32/3, 41/5 Diane 16/16, 16/19 Diane's 19/18 difference 14/10, 15/24, 76/4 dig 30/19 digging 30/21 DiMare 46/9 direction 43/16, 45/18, 45/21, 60/14, 74/24, 75/2, 76/1, 76/18, 78/4 directions 69/1 Director 1/19, 1/20, 9/22 Director's 29/18 dirt 72/11 disagree 45/2, 81/7 discount 21/18, 22/6 discovered 59/6 discuss 67/7, 68/25, 74/9, 83/9 discussed 18/13, 66/19, 68/20, 84/24 discussion 34/21, 45/8 discussion 4/3, 4/4, 8/15, 11/4, 11/6, 11/18, 11/19, 28/8, 28/9, 36/5, 75/10, 80/21, 82/24, 86/4 discussions 86/5, 86/9 dissimilar 37/12 distribute 53/23 distributed 3/17 District 31/21, 32/13, 32/18, 33/22, 35/9, 37/23, 86/23 districts 33/12 disturbed 12/17 dittoed 33/3 document 24/3, 25/22, 74/23 documenting 16/19 documents 24/19, 29/19 doesn't 17/12, 30/7, 73/8, 85/21 dollar 30/20 dollars 41/14, 70/19 domain 81/24 Donna 39/6, 57/9, 72/21 door 72/13 DOT 8/25, 9/11, 9/13, 10/3, 10/14, 10/17, 29/1, 48/23, 60/11, 62/21, 63/10, 63/14, 64/14 DOT's 29/21 doubles 61/18 draft 23/24 drainage 32/5 dramatic 20/13, 20/15 dramatically 21/24, 35/2, 86/21 drawings 60/5 drive 85/9 driven 81/1 drove 85/2 ducts 59/17

E

dueling 80/4

Earth 2/11, 28/18, 29/16 east 69/19 easy 37/19 economical 13/17 economics 81/2 Ed 9/23, 12/24, 19/16, 22/15, 23/16, 24/18, 25/23. 39/1, 48/11, 48/17, 49/5, 52/2, 57/5, 64/6, 69/2, 76/23, 78/10, 82/19, 86/9 edge 36/19, 36/20 educational 48/25, 53/22 EDWARD 1/19 effect 60/5, 61/18 effort 76/9 efforts 56/13 eight-acre 36/9 elect 33/9 electronic 46/18 elements 52/14 eliminate 52/1, 52/12 eliminated 63/9 elimination 58/18 eminent 81/24

employee 49/25 end 50/7 ended 3/21 endorse 28/22 endorsed 58/15, 58/16 engineering 29/1, 29/7, 29/11, 29/22, 58/25, 61/7 engineers 34/24, 35/7 enhance 53/20 enhancement 44/16 enjoy 87/18 enjoyed 13/8 entertain 11/7, 34/1, 35/24, 62/3, 84/5, 84/6, 84/9, 84/19, 85/6 entity 17/4, 17/11 environmental 30/13, 30/24 environmentally 52/24, 53/7 environmentally-compatible 52/21 envisioned 10/16, 69/6, 69/8, 69/14 equipment 37/16, 37/20, 46/18 Esquire 1/17 essence 41/11, 41/21, 43/22 established 40/24 estate 71/14, 81/13 estimation 80/6 evaluated 34/24 exceed 60/16 excess 13/19, 35/2 Excuse 54/13 execution 9/10 Executive 1/19, 29/18 existence 13/13 existing 37/13 expanding 47/3, 70/12 expansion 63/18 expect 5/21, 67/15 expected 35/2 Expedited 6/12, 6/14, 6/19, 6/21 expenditure 10/16 explore 63/10 exposes 21/6 expressed 52/7 extended 76/15

F

FAA 48/23, 56/15 facade 59/24 facilitate 9/2 facilitated 59/19, 63/7 facilities 13/14, 14/2, 14/3, 14/8, 14/24, 15/22, 50/2, 50/6, 50/18, 51/9, 51/11, 51/16 facility 13/4, 13/7, 14/22, 16/6, 46/18, 46/24, 50/22, 52/21, 57/25, 58/3, 58/24, 59/3, 59/7 fact 14/13, 16/18, 24/4, 26/18, 32/20, 40/22, 41/21, 53/15, 70/25 factor 61/2 factors 79/23 failed 35/5 fair 40/1, 44/18, 44/22 fairness 86/17 fake 60/1 fall 50/12, 50/14 family 15/3 Fannin 58/9 fast 16/25 favor 4/5, 11/20, 25/1, 28/10, 34/9, 38/20, 62/10, 70/7, 71/13, 73/18 FBO 8/15, 10/2, 13/6, 13/11, 14/13, 14/17, 15/11, 17/12, 17/15, 17/24, 18/3, 18/6, 62/17, 80/10 FDOT 56/15 February 1/5, 12/13 fee 20/24, 21/17 feelings 41/7 fees 21/22, 33/18 feet 77/23 fence 30/9, 59/9, 59/23 fenced 59/7 Fencing 59/6 field 12/19, 17/4, 17/9, 17/24, 23/8 fight 82/12 file 6/16, 7/9 filed 6/12 fill 83/8 finalize 61/8 finalizing 10/13 FINANCIAL 2/4, 3/18, 3/19, 4/12, 10/13 find 15/2, 54/20, 62/20 finding 55/13 fine 71/2

finished 23/21 firm 57/13, 60/20 five 20/20, 24/9, 24/10, 75/4, 81/19, 81/23, 81/25, Five-Year 13/6, 51/13 FL 1/18, 1/23 flag 3/6 Flagler 14/7 flies 16/25 floor 38/20 Florida 1/4, 8/25, 9/11, 9/13, 10/14, 29/1, 29/21, fluctuations 21/7 fly 22/13, 33/5 flying 17/14, 79/18, 80/3, 80/4 folks 86/22 follow 25/17, 42/17, 48/23, 56/7 followed 25/13 foot 38/9 forehead 39/10 formalization 25/8 formally 12/22, 35/15 format 84/20 formulate 27/1 forum 26/2, 26/9, 26/14, 52/18 forwarded 78/13 found 14/4, 24/5, 24/6, 81/24 four 20/20, 21/15, 24/7, 31/16, 45/10, 45/11, 63/17, 63/19 frame 7/1, 7/4 Frank 46/9 freight 47/15 Friday 4/19 front 48/5, 75/18 fuel 12/18, 13/4, 13/9, 13/17, 13/23, 14/19, 17/4, 17/16, 17/18, 18/4, 20/22, 21/3, 21/5, 21/8, 21/13, 21/21, 21/23, 22/9 fuel-type 14/22 full-blown 76/8 full-service 17/13 functional 53/8 funded 63/2, 75/14 funding 9/4, 9/9, 9/12, 10/7, 10/17, 63/25, 75/11, funds 10/25, 40/11, 42/15, 60/11, 62/21, 63/11 future 22/17, 35/21, 59/16, 59/20, 61/3, 61/13, 61/22, 69/1, 69/7, 70/11, 71/6, 75/16, 76/2

G

future's 69/7

gain 64/15 gallon 14/3, 15/24, 16/2, 19/1, 21/3, 21/20 gallons 16/1, 16/3 gas 12/19, 13/21, 15/11, 15/18, 16/1 Gay 1/17 generate 44/22 generating 37/23, 61/16, 61/20 generator 58/23, 59/3 Gentlemen 3/11, 22/14, 46/3 George 2/7, 5/19 Georgia 21/2 Goal 39/17, 40/16, 41/2, 41/25, 42/1, 48/21, 49/23, 50/17, 50/22, 51/9, 51/17, 52/7, 52/20, 53/8, 53/20, 54/1, 54/17, 54/22, 56/4 goals 39/15, 40/23, 44/1, 51/18 governed 44/25 government 43/20 governmental 56/12, 56/16 grab 39/6 grant 9/10, 9/16, 29/6, 40/11, 42/3, 42/14, 63/11 granting 6/21, 6/23 grants 41/15, 42/3 greater 21/7 group 12/17 grow 78/4 growing 69/9 grows 78/1 growth 14/18, 74/9, 76/20, 77/21, 77/22, 77/25 Grumman 2/7, 5/14, 36/11, 37/3, 46/19, 46/23 guess 7/6, 47/5, 69/7 guidelines 24/23 guy 46/6, 46/12, 72/23 guys 50/15, 68/22, 81/22

hand 35/11, 37/13, 37/15, 37/25, 85/25 handle 42/23, 43/7, 69/23 handled 36/24 hang 77/18 hangar 29/10, 32/3, 32/4 hangars 8/16, 30/11, 30/12, 62/17, 63/17, 63/21, 71/2, 77/16, 79/4, 80/16, 82/2 happy 19/20, 60/17 hard 61/7 haul 47/15 heavy 37/20 held 1/3 help 22/22, 57/23 Herlong 14/6 hesitant 55/12 highway 77/10 history 56/7, 68/23 hit 57/9 hold 5/24, 62/19 holding 74/14 hole 30/19, 30/21, 68/4, 68/16, 71/21, 82/5 holiday 55/8 home 26/10, 68/10 homes 70/6, 77/17, 81/14, 82/1, 82/4, 82/8 honestly 73/13 honesty 73/19 honoring 87/16 hoping 81/6 hopscotching 64/7, 64/16 horizon 74/20, 75/8 housekeeping 9/24, 25/18 hundred 13/20, 18/17, 30/20, 31/6, 70/18

I

idea 7/8, 18/1, 33/23, 37/11, 46/19, 48/16, 65/1

i.e 13/5

ideas 47/20, 74/11, 84/18 identified 63/1, 63/25 identifies 9/12 identify 51/11, 57/23 identifying 58/3 IFR 80/4 II 41/2, 48/21 III 49/23, 50/20 ILS 34/20, 80/1 impede 51/2 implement 40/11, 50/3 implemented 49/2 importance 27/7, 75/24 improvement 26/10, 51/21 improvements 51/4 in-house 59/5 inclined 17/18 income 33/17, 33/18, 79/11, 82/3 increase 12/18, 19/2 increased 22/2 increases 20/13, 20/20, 21/14, 21/23, 21/24 independent 33/12 independently 59/7 indicate 75/22 indicated 25/7 indicates 14/21 indicating 17/5, 58/1 industrial 42/4, 42/19, 42/20, 47/10 industry 41/9 informal 51/3, 51/15 information 35/9, 83/13 informational 56/15 infrastructure 29/7, 40/6, 40/8, 41/25, 42/4, 43/10, 43/18, 43/20, 43/24, 44/11, 44/18, 45/12, 50/18, 51/10 infuriated 82/10, 82/11 input 7/21, 24/15, 25/3, 51/15, 76/1 inputs 75/6, 75/16 install 17/22 installing 13/3 instructing 17/14 insurance 87/2 integrating 56/17 integration 51/18 intent 26/20, 43/12, 43/15 interest 84/21, 85/12 intergovernmental 56/6 interject 74/19 international 69/10 Intracoastal 69/20

intrinsic 37/25 inventory 21/6, 21/10, 22/7, 50/1 invest 43/10 investigate 13/2 investigation 12/20 investment 42/10, 44/23 investments 53/13 invitations 85/24 invite 83/12, 87/17 irrigation 59/4 issue 16/15, 22/16, 23/17, 28/2, 42/18 issued 31/20, 32/16 issued 31/20, 32/16 issues 23/9, 50/25, 66/18 item 7/15, 8/3, 8/21, 8/22, 9/4, 15/16, 15/18, 23/1, 25/18, 28/17, 28/19, 34/15, 34/18, 35/17, 39/2, 40/18, 41/4, 41/21, 41/23, 41/24, 44/3, 44/5, 58/12, 59/24, 61/15, 66/3, 80/22 ITEMS 2/9, 7/12, 24/24, 25/15, 39/20, 41/25, 49/2, 49/3, 51/1, 53/17, 58/12, 63/2, 80/7 IV 50/19, 50/20, 50/22 IX 48/20, 53/20, 54/17, 54/22

Jackson 68/4, 68/16, 71/21 JANET 88/6 January 3/21 Jefferson 14/21 JIM 1/14, 4/13, 67/9 job 77/2 Joe 3/8, 16/23, 25/20, 27/23, 33/16, 33/20, 54/13. 67/24, 74/6, 76/12, 76/17, 82/24 JOHNS 1/1, 6/11, 13/2, 13/15, 13/16, 33/21, 88/4 Joint 9/13 Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/10 jump 15/19 jumping 15/20, 64/9 June 39/14 justified 20/23

K

key 40/15, 61/15 kilowatts 59/2 kite 33/5 known 27/1 kW 59/2, 61/1

L

labor 37/25 lack 76/24, 78/21 land 42/25, 83/22, 84/2 land-acquisition 40/10 landscaping 59/4 landside 51/11 language 54/15 large 12/21 larger 21/14, 31/25 LASSITER 1/9, 2/16, 74/3 later 6/1, 8/11, 60/10 layout 69/17 lead 3/8 leap 78/24 lease 36/11, 40/1, 40/7, 40/8, 40/9, 41/10 Leave 1/13, 50/14 leaving 55/12 legal 23/7, 64/6, 64/8 legislators 33/9 Leon 1/22 Leslie 2/7 less-advanced 59/14 letter 12/23, 16/18, 16/21, 19/10, 53/19, 68/16, 68/19, 72/19 level 10/4, 10/18, 22/1, 60/12 Liaison 1/14 life 50/7 light 39/7 lighting 59/12, 79/6 lights 57/10, 59/12 limit 26/17, 26/20 line 14/1, 17/19, 54/4, 75/5 line-of-sight 36/18, 36/21 lined 69/22 lines 52/1 list 57/1, 58/10, 82/20 listed 39/20, 66/11 listen 19/15

listing 13/23 literally 45/10, 78/11 literature 79/24 little 15/20, 19/17, 25/14, 26/11, 36/19, 39/2, 43/16, 47/15, 55/8, 61/10, 63/21, 75/13, 76/4, 77/20, 82/2, 83/9, 86/11 live 70/24 lives 72/14 lo 68/10 loader 37/17 local 14/5, 21/1, 26/4, 56/12 location 38/4 locked 30/9, 69/19, 70/10, 71/8, 77/6, 78/5, 81/11 logic 78/3 logics 81/11 Logistics 29/9 long-range 51/20 long-term 13/5, 40/8 low 84/3, 84/8 lower 22/12

M

mad 81/18 main 69/22 maintain 20/17, 52/20, 53/8, 53/11 maintenance 49/24, 49/25, 51/7 majority 13/21 Malaga 1/17 Management 31/16, 33/12, 33/22, 35/9, 37/23, 53/4, 53/18, 53/19, 82/6, 86/23 manager 4/24 manner 63/5 manual 48/24 map 25/16 maps 72/20 March 7/25, 8/12, 87/14 margin 20/18 Maria 46/11 market 21/11, 40/1, 44/22, 44/24, 51/24, 52/4, marketing 53/23 markup 58/22 master 31/17, 31/22, 47/7, 52/14, 56/17, 74/23, 75/5, 75/11 match 9/12, 11/1 matter 16/18, 26/2, 26/18, 27/11, 32/20, 45/6, 85/21 maximize 40/8 McGuinness 46/5 mechanical 37/16 media 26/2, 26/9, 26/14 meet 31/16, 35/5, 35/7, 56/14, 74/8, 85/4 Meeting 1/2, 2/3, 2/18, 3/3, 3/4, 3/10, 3/12, 7/25, 8/11, 8/12, 8/17, 9/5, 9/6, 12/12, 22/17, 24/8, 26/12, 29/13, 35/17, 35/19, 35/22, 46/3, 48/13, 56/25, 57/15, 62/23, 64/13, 64/25, 65/1, 65/3, 65/5, 65/6, 5/11, 32/23, 48/13, 54/23, 63/13, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 53/3, 65/3, 65/3, 65/3, 67/3, 67/6, 68/2, 68/18, 68/19, 69/2, 69/3, 71/9, 71/15, 71/18, 71/23, 73/14, 74/1, 76/3, 76/14, 76/15, 76/16, 81/3, 81/9, 82/17, 82/24, 83/15, 85/3, 85/16, 87/11, 87/12, 87/13, 87/20 meetings 24/1, 24/24, 25/13, 25/17, 26/19, 56/16, meets 84/14, 85/8 MEMBER 2/13, 9/21, 17/11, 25/23, 27/1, 27/12, 66/12, 80/12 MEMBERS 1/8, 1/12, 12/21, 13/20, 25/3, 25/25, 27/7, 60/22, 67/2, 74/8, 74/25 membership 12/15, 12/21, 14/4 mention 24/7, 73/21 mentioned 14/20, 15/22, 36/10 mentions 36/8 merits 42/8 mic 20/5 Michael 4/25, 16/17, 19/25, 20/11 microphone 20/10, 83/25 Mike 20/2, 20/5, 20/7 million 57/14, 57/16, 57/17, 58/10, 60/7, 60/12 mind 46/24, 72/21 minimum 51/6 MINUTES 2/3, 3/10, 3/12, 3/14, 3/16, 19/11, 66/19, 73/10 miss 5/9, 5/10 misunderstood 10/6, 68/21, 72/3 mitigate 51/1 mode 62/24 modified 39/2, 40/19, 49/12, 49/13

modify 40/17

Monday 1/5, 65/4 monetary 77/25 money 9/2, 9/16, 10/10, 10/14, 10/15, 10/21, 10/23, 14/23, 16/4, 31/5, 52/17, 55/23, 60/6, 61/5, 62/20, 77/3, 77/4, 77/20, 78/2, 78/6, 78/11, 78/12, 78/15, 80/7, 80/8, 80/13, 80/14, 80/16, 80/18, 82/14 monies 10/2, 78/21 month 22/4, 51/14, 57/3, 63/12, 65/10, 75/10, 75/19, 82/21, 82/22, 84/15 months 7/2, 7/3, 17/1, 20/21, 35/22, 72/25, 75/13 moot 43/15 morally 17/25 motels 80/11 motion 3/22, 4/2, 6/12, 6/16, 6/21, 11/8, 11/11, 11/13, 12/1, 17/22, 28/4, 28/16, 34/1, 34/3, 34/14, 35/24, 36/1, 38/19, 39/1, 62/3, 62/6, 62/7, 62/16 move 4/13, 7/12, 10/24, 12/4, 49/22, 60/14, 60/15, 63/4, 63/9, 63/22, 65/5, 65/12, 77/9, 82/24, 84/10 moved 72/8 moving 50/16, 57/20, 62/23, 65/7, 65/20, 75/4 MPDES 53/1 MPO 56/19 MPO's 51/20 Mr. Chairman 3/20, 19/14, 23/2, 24/2, 25/5, 25/21, 28/5, 30/2, 30/5, 36/2, 41/3, 64/4, 66/4, 73/24, 76/11, 83/21 73/24, 761.1, 35/24 Mr. Charles 2/16, 74/3 Mr. Ciriello 3/7, 4/1, 4/7, 10/5, 10/20, 11/21, 16/24, 18/10, 18/13, 18/18, 18/22, 23/2, 23/4, 23/11, 25/21, 27/5, 27/17, 27/22, 28/4, 28/11, 30/5, 32/23, 33/8, 33/14, 34/13, 36/6, 36/14, 36/23, 36/25, 38/7, 38/14, 38/23, 38/25, 45/25, 46/11, 54/10, 54/17, 55/3, 62/6, 62/11, 64/4, 64/6, 64/15, 66/23, 67/8, 67/25, 71/21, 72/2, 73/5, 74/11, 76/25, 79/1, 79/7, 79/9, 81/6, 81/9, 83/4, 83/6 MR. COOPER 39/8 Mr. Dennis 2/15, 67/20 Mr. Ed 46/2, 54/17 MR. FLEMING 5/18, 5/22, 6/2 Mr. George 12/3, 12/5, 12/10, 15/9, 15/10, 15/14, 15/17, 16/16, 16/24, 18/8, 18/12, 18/15, 18/20, 18/23, 19/9, 19/12, 19/24, 20/2 Mr. Jim 2/6 Mr. John 2/7, 71/24, 73/21 Mr. Joseph 2/15, 67/23 MR. LASSITER 4/8, 9/23, 10/1, 11/11, 11/13, 11/22, 15/10, 15/15, 19/14, 25/5, 25/12, 28/12, 33/6, 33/16, 34/3, 34/10, 36/4, 38/22, 41/3, 43/12, 44/7, 44/10, 45/13, 45/25, 48/9, 52/2, 52/11, 54/4, 54/9, 55/7, 55/10, 55/15, 55/20, 56/2, 60/23, 61/1, 62/9, 62/12, 63/15, 64/18, 64/21, 65/20, 65/24, 74/2, 74/4, 76/11, 78/9, 79/3, 79/8, 80/19, 81/7 Mr. Lassiter's 47/4 MR. LESLIE 5/15 Mr. Newsome 72/2 Mr. Rose 26/15 MR. SANDERS 83/21, 84/1, 85/1, 86/6, 87/6 MR. SLINGLUFF 4/25, 19/20, 19/23, 19/25, 20/6, 20/8, 20/11, 22/21, 23/14 MR. WATTS 3/20, 4/9, 11/10, 11/12, 11/16, 11/23, 28/7, 28/13, 34/6, 34/11, 36/1, 38/21, 48/16, 62/13, 67/21, 67/22 Mr. Wayne 2/7, 5/19 Mr. Weaver 4/16, 4/17, 4/18, 5/10 Mr. William 2/14, 66/9 Mr. Wuellner 7/12, 7/13, 8/4, 8/6, 8/9, 8/12, 8/21, 9/25, 10/3, 10/12, 10/23, 23/19, 23/23, 26/16, 27/16, 27/21, 28/17, 28/19, 30/1, 31/12, 31/15, 33/7, 33/11, 33/19, 33/23, 34/15, 34/17, 35/18, 35/21, 36/7, 36/13, 36/15, 36/24, 37/11, 38/12, 39/4, 39/11, 41/1, 41/23, 43/1, 43/4, 43/8, 44/8, 44/15, 46/9, 48/2, 48/15, 48/19, 49/7, 49/11, 49/15, 49/19, 49/22, 50/20, 52/4, 52/13, 54/11, 54/14, 54/24, 55/5, 55/9, 55/11, 55/16, 55/25, 56/3, 57/4, 57/7, 57/9, 60/25, 61/6, 62/5, 62/17, 63/16, 63/24, 64/3, 64/11, 64/20, 64/23, 65/14, 65/18, 65/23, 66/3, 66/6, 66/16, 66/21, 67/11, 67/14, 67/17, 68/20, 72/24, 74/19, 82/21, 83/1, 83/10, 83/16, 84/25, 86/8, 86/10, 87/2, 87/15 MS. ANDERSON 4/23, 5/4, 5/8 MS. BARTIN 6/5, 6/8, 7/4, 7/6 MS. BOSANKO 20/4, 66/11 Ms. Cindy 2/8 mud 82/5 muster 42/9

N

name 20/7, 20/9, 83/24 names 28/20 nature 50/13 necessary 34/19, 56/16 need 8/1, 8/6, 10/10, 10/23, 11/11, 13/17, 14/14, 15/3, 16/11, 18/23, 19/15, 20/7, 21/9, 23/11, 25/19, 35/14, 45/21, 47/5, 47/22, 48/1, 51/16, 53/6, 57/11, 58/16, 59/7, 60/19, 65/1, 70/24, 72/18, 74/15, 74/16, 74/17, 74/18, 77/4, 77/6, 78/6, 78/16, 81/4, 81/12 needed 10/21, 13/14, 25/9, 44/2, 44/3, 68/7, 79/10 needs 24/10, 40/11, 41/19, 51/18, 51/23, 52/19, 55/8, 80/25 negates 27/10 negotiate 84/22 negotiation 84/7, 84/20 negotiations 57/17 neighboring 13/18 new 3/6, 51/7, 52/14, 55/14, 79/5 newsletter 53/21 Newsome 71/24, 73/21 nice 77/17, 79/21, 80/1, 80/5 nonaviation 42/4, 42/13, 42/20, 44/17, 53/24, 54/3 normal 76/15 Normally 67/15 North 1/3, 14/21, 70/3 northeast 28/21, 28/23 Northrop 5/12, 5/14 Northrop-Grumman 5/13 Northrop-Grumman's 36/8 notes 19/4, 88/9 Notice 6/11 number 34/4, 39/16, 39/17, 57/13, 61/8, 80/2 numbers 63/20

O

objecting 46/19 objection 43/13, 44/1, 44/19, 55/5, 56/20 objective 39/20 objectives 39/16, 41/22, 44/1, 48/22, 49/6, 49/24 offer 84/18 office 19/18, 43/6, 63/21 official 33/21 officially 74/7 officials 56/17 oil 20/14 older 67/1 operational 50/23, 51/2 operationally-efficient 50/22 opinion 26/21, 27/9, 27/18, 30/20 opinions 71/16 opportunities 40/9, 58/2, 74/20 opportunity 75/18, 75/25, 85/3 Opposed 4/10, 11/24, 28/14, 34/12, 38/24, 62/14 opposite 55/16 opposition 4/11, 11/25, 28/15, 62/15 option 13/6, 13/17, 37/9, 58/6, 58/10, 58/13, 58/14, 60/20, 62/4 options 13/7, 22/18, 37/9, 57/21, 58/5, 74/10 oral 6/25 order 3/4, 3/5, 3/22, 6/20, 6/23, 10/23, 25/10, 32/22, 53/6, 58/17 organizational 53/9 original 9/15, 57/12, 58/6, 58/7, 58/8 originally 10/19, 37/14, 63/17, 71/10 Ormond 14/7 ornate 60/3 outreach 53/22 overlays 50/13 owe 66/24 owned 36/11, 50/2 owner 23/7 owns 72/13

P

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6 package 3/12, 39/13 packet 57/3 paid 43/20 Palatka 14/6, 22/8 paperwork 33/2 paragraph 27/10 parallel 77/23 paramount 27/6 parcel 28/23, 32/18, 45/16

```
parenthesis 27/8
   park 40/8, 44/14, 47/10, 70/6, 72/13, 77/14
   park-type 42/5
   parking 46/25
   parks 45/19
   part 9/9, 13/16, 24/20, 37/1, 38/6, 42/13, 45/15,
   participate 10/4
   Participation 9/13, 60/11
   parties 57/18, 85/15, 86/17
   partnerships 40/5, 54/14
   pass 21/16, 42/8
   passed 22/1
   pavement 50/13
   paving 63/6
   pay 38/7, 63/4
  payable 53/13
   paying 37/4, 38/13
  payment 58/18
   pennies 20/18
  perceive 80/25
  percentage 21/22, 22/2
  performance 40/14, 58/18
  periodic 56/15
  permission 26/15
  permit 18/3, 31/22, 32/9, 32/11, 86/24
  permits 31/19, 32/1, 32/16, 32/19, 32/21
  permitting 29/2, 31/18, 33/18, 52/22, 53/1, 56/14
  personally 18/5
personnel 53/12
  perspective 75/3
  Phase 9/2, 9/8, 10/4, 10/14, 10/24, 10/25, 32/7,
  57/23, 62/19, 62/24, 63/3, 63/4, 63/7, 63/8, 63/9,
  63/16, 63/22, 64/9, 76/7
 phone 16/22
physically 70/12, 78/4
  piece 37/4
pieces 72/5
 Pilots 5/16, 12/12, 12/25, 16/14
place 7/18, 25/19, 49/7, 49/11, 49/15
  placed 58/20
 Plan 2/12, 7/19, 7/20, 8/4, 13/6, 28/22, 39/3, 39/12, 40/24, 47/7, 51/20, 51/21, 52/14, 56/18, 61/14, 74/23, 75/5, 75/9, 75/11 planning 48/23, 51/19, 56/14 plans 13/5, 56/19, 74/9
 play 52/6
 pleasure 54/2, 74/13
 pledge 3/6, 3/8, 3/9
 plug 51/12
plus 21/19, 33/19, 35/8
point 14/1, 42/2, 43/8, 62/25, 64/12, 71/4, 75/15,
 80/12
 pointed 24/6
 points 14/5
 policies 53/12
Policy 2/10, 23/21, 23/22, 23/25, 28/17, 45/7, 53/12
Ponce 1/22
pond 82/5, 82/9
port-a-port 50/6
portion 9/4, 9/12, 12/15
position 20/10, 22/19, 27/2, 44/6, 44/9
possibility 5/23, 13/3, 31/17
possible 8/17, 39/19, 40/5, 63/11
power 61/21
powerful 33/4
practices 24/20, 53/4
prebid 85/16
prepare 53/23
prepared 84/10
presentation 7/17, 7/19, 63/12
presented 13/24, 34/5, 62/4
presenting 12/24, 16/21
preservation 49/23
preserving 59/15
president 5/1, 20/12, 83/21, 84/2
pretty 15/23, 25/14, 30/17, 30/18, 84/17
preventive 49/25
preventive-maintenance 49/1
price 16/8, 18/25, 21/7, 21/14, 21/16, 58/8, 84/7,
prices 12/19, 13/9, 14/9, 14/12, 14/15, 15/18,
20/15, 21/7, 22/9
pricing 14/1
prime 13/7
priority 7/16, 7/24, 75/23, 82/20
private 41/12, 42/23, 43/2, 54/8, 54/12, 54/14
problem 15/11, 17/6, 25/22, 36/25, 43/16, 50/21,
```

```
52/24, 55/22, 56/10, 83/3
   problematic 50/24
   problems 38/6, 55/13
  procedure 31/4
  procedures 53/10
  proceed 80/24
  proceeded 24/9
  proceedings 88/8
  process 25/8, 45/16
  profit 13/11
  profitable 47/17
  program 7/23, 51/13
  programs 40/10, 40/12, 48/25, 49/1, 50/4, 51/22,
  52/23, 53/11, 53/22
  prohibitive 16/9
 project 9/7, 10/8, 10/15, 10/17, 10/22, 10/24, 29/4, 29/8, 29/10, 32/6, 34/18, 34/20, 34/22, 35/11,
 52/6, 52/10, 57/1, 58/12, 59/21, 60/7, 63/18, 75/10, 75/14, 78/20, 79/2, 84/4, 85/18
  project-related 29/19
 projector 39/5
projects 7/16, 7/24, 8/11, 12/15, 37/10, 40/22,
42/5, 51/2, 75/20, 75/22, 75/23, 76/2, 78/13, 78/17,
 78/21, 79/3, 79/6, 79/9, 80/15, 85/20, 85/23
 promote 53/20, 54/7
  properties 41/16, 73/16, 81/20, 81/24
 property 31/18, 31/23, 32/10, 36/8, 36/10, 37/5, 38/11, 46/5, 46/14, 46/15, 47/23, 69/14, 70/19, 71/10, 71/14, 72/5, 72/11, 72/17, 73/12, 73/18, 73/23, 77/5, 81/14
 proposal 51/25, 57/12, 57/13
 proposals 42/22
 propose 55/20
proposed 40/22, 75/21, 78/10
provide 13/13, 19/7, 22/22, 29/1, 49/23, 50/21,
 52/18, 59/20, 75/25, 76/20, 83/12
52/18, 59/20, 15/25, 16/20, 65/12
provides 9/16, 10/17
PUBLIC 2/17, 11/2, 11/3, 13/15, 13/16, 25/24,
26/2, 26/8, 26/14, 27/2, 28/1, 28/3, 30/1, 30/4,
31/10, 31/11, 38/17, 38/18, 41/11, 54/12, 61/23,
61/24, 73/11, 83/18, 83/19, 87/9, 87/10
public/private 40/5
publicly 66/25
published 13/23
pull 33/8
pulls 53/1
purchase 58/19, 79/8
purchases 13/21
purchasing 53/13
purposes 29/6
pursue 40/11
put 10/8, 12/7, 12/22, 21/20, 22/16, 26/7, 30/11,
30/14, 31/3, 33/15, 37/5, 39/4, 46/16, 47/12, 68/14,
69/16, 70/7, 70/22, 72/12, 76/25, 77/15, 77/18, 82/1,
82/5, 82/9
putting 10/25, 17/3, 68/14, 77/22, 84/14
```

Q

qualified 52/6 qualify 32/1 quantity 21/5 quarterly 56/14 question 10/6, 14/11, 19/13, 23/6, 30/3, 36/7, 43/15, 54/10 questions 5/5, 9/22, 15/8, 22/20, 26/5, 29/23, 29/25, 33/24, 33/25, 60/17, 60/21, 61/25, 62/2 quick 15/21, 66/11, 69/4, 74/4 quorum 3/5 quoted 58/8

R

racetrack 46/16
radio 26/4, 27/13
railed 60/3
railroad 47/9, 47/12, 69/21, 77/10
railroads 47/13
raises 14/11
ramifications 64/7
rates 40/2, 40/4
re-agendaed 7/23
read 10/5, 12/23, 19/11, 25/14, 39/9
reading 10/21, 24/13, 36/8
readvertise 87/1
reason 19/5, 36/17, 36/22, 38/2, 41/13, 44/17, 55/22, 62/25
reasons 68/6, 86/18

```
rebid 35/13, 86/20, 87/3
   receivable 53/14
   received 6/20, 6/23, 35/1
   recommendation 9/17, 11/9, 11/14, 12/2, 29/14,
   35/4, 58/14
   record 6/10, 31/15, 73/11, 73/20, 88/9
   redone 35/14
   reduce 40/13, 84/18
   reduction 58/23, 84/7, 85/10, 85/11 reference 8/24
  reflect 44/5
regional 20/25, 56/18
Regular 1/2
regulations 16/7
   regulators 61/17
   regulatory 52/23
   rehashing 84/16
  reinventing 30/8
  reject 35/4, 35/15, 35/18, 35/24
related 23/25, 31/22, 34/18, 35/10, 36/18, 51/4,
  51/23, 52/19, 53/12, 86/12
  relates 54/2
relative 75/23
relief 33/10
  relieved 56/5
remainder 62/21
  remaining 53/7
remember 16/25, 59/25, 63/19, 68/13, 72/23
  remove 38/3, 54/2
  removed 37/15
  rent 46/21
  repackage 35/8, 35/12
  repackaging 86/14
repair 48/25, 50/3, 79/10, 79/11
  repairing 46/18
  repeat 13/21
  replaced 50/9
  replacement 48/25, 50/3
  replenishment 21/6
  report 5/15, 5/16, 6/5, 22/25, 23/17, 26/12, 88/7
  reporter 27/13
  REPORTER'S 88/1
 Reporters 1/22
REPORTS 2/4, 2/5, 2/13, 3/18, 3/19, 4/12, 4/13
 represent 4/18, 5/7, 13/20, 27/9, 79/14
  representative 33/21
 representing 4/20
 request 12/22, 35/18, 65/5
requests 13/1
required 29/18
 requirement 38/3
 requirements 29/3, 36/18, 36/21, 40/14, 48/24,
 61/16, 86/15
 requires 49/17
 Res 2/10
 research 19/17
 resigned 4/19
 RESOLUTION 8/20, 8/23, 9/10, 9/18, 11/15
 resources 53/14
 respond 19/20, 19/21
 responded 73/1
 response 6/16, 15/7
 responses 66/1
 responsible 17/23
 rest 67/4
 restate 44/4
 restaurants 80/11
 resubmitting 85/12
 result 57/17
 resurrect 19/4
 retail 21/19
retention 82/5, 82/9
returned 16/22
revenue 40/1, 44/16, 44/22
revenue-enhancement 40/12, 45/4
 revenue-producing 40/6, 40/15
Review 2/12, 6/13, 6/14, 6/19, 6/21, 7/20, 8/6, 29/21, 39/3, 40/2, 50/23, 51/10, 52/23
reviewed 3/20, 9/4
revise 56/22
rework 48/12
Richard 87/16
risen 13/10
RMR 88/6
road 25/16, 69/21, 72/9
Roberts' 25/9
Rogers 1/17
rolls 70/22
```

ROSE 1/9, 2/14, 3/2, 3/11, 3/16, 3/24, 4/2, 4/5, 4/10, 4/12, 5/2, 5/6, 5/9, 5/12, 5/16, 5/21, 5/24, 6/3, 6/6, 7/3, 7/5, 7/11, 8/2, 8/5, 8/8, 8/10, 8/19, 9/21, 11/2, 11/4, 11/7, 11/17, 11/20, 11/24, 12/1, 12/7, 15/8, 16/13, 16/23, 19/7, 19/10, 19/13, 19/21, 20/7, 20/9, 22/14, 22/23, 23/3, 23/9, 23/13, 23/15, 23/20, 24/18, 25/11, 25/20, 27/23, 28/1, 28/6, 28/8, 28/10, 28/14, 28/16, 29/23, 30/3, 31/8, 31/10, 31/13, 33/20, 34/1, 34/7, 34/9, 34/12, 34/14, 35/16, 35/20, 35/23, 36/3, 36/5, 38/15, 38/17, 38/19, 38/24, 39/1, 42/17, 43/2, 43/5, 44/4, 48/7, 48/11, 48/17, 49/5, 49/9, 49/14, 49/17, 49/20, 50/19, 54/6, 54/13, 56/1, 57/2, 57/5, 60/18, 61/23, 61/25, 62/3, 62/7, 62/10, 62/14, 62/16, 63/22, 64/2, 64/5, 64/22, 65/12, 65/16, 65/22, 65/25, 66/2, 66/5, 66/7, 66/9, 66/10, 66/17, 66/23, 67/9, 67/12, 67/15, 67/18, 67/21, 67/24, 71/20, 73/25, 74/6, 74/13, 76/10, 82/19, 82/22, 83/2, 83/5, 83/7, 83/11, 83/17, 83/19, 83/23, 84/24, 86/2, 86/7, 86/25, 87/3, 87/8, 87/12 rotating 58/19 rough-house 85/14 Round 27/14, 27/15 RPR-CP 88/6 rule 44/20 Rules 25/9, 27/19, 53/3 run 18/16 running 28/20 runway 69/22, 69/25, 72/8, 77/11, 77/22, 79/5 runway's 70/4

runways 69/24, 70/14, 77/24, 79/10

S.A.P.A 2/7, 12/9, 13/19, 15/1 safety 48/21, 61/2, 79/23, 81/1 sales 17/13, 58/22 salt 33/15 Sanders 84/1 sat 17/2, 17/4 satisfactory 22/23 satisfied 57/20 saturation 71/4 save 52/17, 60/5 saving 58/22 savings 21/20, 64/19 saw 28/21 schedule 6/17 scheduled 50/8 screaming 82/18 second 4/1, 4/3, 9/15, 11/10, 11/16, 11/17, 13/5, 13/6, 14/17, 28/6, 28/7, 34/6, 36/3, 36/4, 62/8, 62/9 Secretary-Treasurer 1/10 Secretary/Treasurer's 9/19 sector 41/12, 43/3 seek 7/14, 7/21, 40/10 self-fuel 17/17 self-service 13/3, 14/8, 16/6, 17/3, 17/23 self-sustaining 39/19, 70/21 sell 17/16, 17/18, 72/10, 72/17 selling 18/4, 46/4, 72/5 sense 42/10, 43/9, 46/1, 47/4, 47/12, 82/13, 82/15 sent 16/18, 72/19, 73/1 sentence 24/10, 27/6 separate 37/7, 39/15, 43/6, 76/14 separately 37/10 separating 37/11 servant 73/6, 73/7 serve 41/8 served 24/13, 69/11 service 14/7, 15/13, 23/8, 52/4, 52/8, 68/5, 76/21, serviceability 76/19 services 13/14, 29/2, 51/24 set 17/17, 67/1, 74/7, 74/18, 82/23 setting 14/15 settled 22/5 settling 21/15 seven 21/12, 75/5 seven-tenths 36/15 share 38/8 sheet 58/5 short 40/13, 58/2 shortest 7/1, 7/4 shot 30/23, 47/20 show 26/5, 53/17 side 7/8, 19/16, 32/8, 73/15 signature 29/18

signatures 9/19

simple 41/13 simplifies 48/2 single 15/16, 15/17, 31/25, 32/11, 32/19, 59/4 single-purpose 17/15 sit 19/18, 39/8, 79/22 site 37/21 situation 70/10 six 32/2, 72/25 size 58/23, 59/2 sizeable 16/4 sizing 61/6 SK 29/9 skipped 12/4, 50/20 slanted 13/25 Slingluff 4/25, 19/25, 20/8, 20/11 sliver 36/19 small 25/15, 36/19, 61/4 solicited 34/23 sort 7/7, 15/6 sought 6/13 sounds 14/25 south 32/8, 59/21, 70/4, 70/5 spec 12/14, 61/8 specifications 86/15 specified 29/17 speed 57/12 spell 39/21 spend 55/23, 61/5, 78/17 spending 14/24, 77/4, 78/5, 78/16, 80/7, 82/14 spent 24/2 split 85/22, 85/23 splitting 85/20 Sport 2/6, 4/19, 4/22, 5/1, 5/7, 13/8, 13/25, 16/15, 20/1, 20/12, 20/18 Sport's 14/1 spot 5/25, 21/11 Staff 11/8, 22/24, 34/5, 35/3, 57/14, 57/15, 58/14, 75/2, 76/4 Staff's 9/17, 11/14, 23/4, 29/14 stand 3/7, 3/17, 42/8 stand-alone 52/16 standard 10/10, 30/18 standard-type 50/10 standards 48/23 standing 22/6 stands 43/11 start 14/16, 73/19, 82/12 started 69/14 state 9/2, 21/1, 26/18, 83/24, 88/3 statement 3/21, 48/12, 68/4 Statements 25/24, 47/4 station 26/4 stay 13/11, 41/19, 48/1 steel 77/17 stenographic 88/9 stenographically 88/7 step 83/3 stick 87/5, 87/18 stop 78/7 storehouse 46/17 storm 31/20 story 14/6, 19/16 straight 21/25 Strategic 2/12, 7/19, 8/4, 39/3, 39/12, 40/24, 75/9 stream 33/17, 33/18 Street 1/17, 47/8 strip 55/21 structure 21/17, 40/1, 53/9 structures 20/24, 21/3, 30/15 stuck 64/8 study 52/15, 52/17 stuff 30/25, 40/2, 70/14, 70/22, 73/1, 77/3, 77/4 subject 29/20, 67/3, 74/17 submitted 3/23 subsidizing 79/17 sufficient 61/2 suggestion 52/11 Suite 1/22 Supplemental 2/11, 8/24, 9/14, 9/15, 10/7, 28/18, 28/25, 29/15, 34/4 support 15/1, 29/9, 51/17, 52/10 surveys 51/4, 51/15, 51/24 suspect 6/25, 40/17, 42/11 swing 69/25 switch 39/7 sympathize 17/6 system 17/17, 59/10, 59/16, 80/4

T-hangar 50/10, 59/21 Table 27/14, 27/15 talk 16/9, 26/5, 70/15, 72/15, 78/19, 78/23, 80/12, talked 16/14, 16/17, 41/4, 72/4, 81/19, 86/8 talking 15/15, 15/17, 31/1, 36/16, 44/10, 46/1 46/13, 52/2, 68/3, 70/20, 72/23, 77/25, 80/14, 80/19, 81/20, 81/21, 82/13, 86/13 talks 85/11 tax 33/19, 41/13, 41/14, 58/22, 70/22 taxes 21/1, 43/23 taxing 41/13 Taxiway 36/20 taxiways 79/11 taxpayer 41/20 taxpayers 79/16 tear 77/16 Tech 2/11, 28/18, 29/16 technique 45/4 technology 59/11, 59/14 temporary 63/6 ten 21/12, 39/14, 39/15 tenants 53/2 term 58/7, 76/24 terminal 9/3, 9/7, 63/18 terms 42/9, 44/18, 45/11, 48/22, 59/2, 75/24 test 35/5 Thank 5/10, 6/2, 23/14, 27/22, 27/23, 73/24, 83/4, 83/6, 87/6, 87/8 thanks 3/7 theirs 36/12, 36/13, 56/13 they've 33/16, 34/24, 62/19 third 65/4 thorn 73/15 thousand 30/20, 70/18 thrash 81/4 three 16/10, 18/8, 18/20, 18/25, 19/1, 20/14, 46/3, 50/9, 58/5, 58/13, 58/14, 60/20, 62/4, 68/12, 72/5, 73/23 tie 63/11 tight 20/17 till 65/21 time 7/1, 7/4, 7/9, 14/16, 16/25, 17/5, 20/19, 22/18, 24/3, 29/12, 30/12, 30/18, 31/2, 31/19, 32/17, 40/20, 46/6, 46/14, 47/6, 47/11, 52/1, 57/1, 59/16, 67/22, 68/13, 68/19, 74/18, 75/4, 75/5, 77/1, 79/20, 1/20, 20/12, 81/23, 82/23, 83/9, 87/7 timely 63/5 times 31/6, 54/18 top 23/12, 58/20 topic 26/22, 64/14, 74/21 torn 82/1, 82/4 touch 69/4 touch-screen 59/10 tour 53/22 Tower 2/12, 8/14, 36/19, 36/21, 38/5, 57/8, 57/11, 58/21, 59/18, 59/21, 60/2, 61/12, 68/3, 68/5, 68/7, 68/11, 68/15, 79/5 Towers 1/17, 79/21 town 16/22, 85/2 Tracine 4/23 track 47/9, 77/10 tract 36/9 traditionally 25/12 Traffic 2/12, 50/25, 57/8, 57/10, 58/20, 59/11 trailer 72/13 training 50/1 transcript 88/8 transits 15/4 transportation 51/17, 51/21, 52/19 transportation-related 51/22 Tree 2/11, 34/16 tree-clearing 34/18 tree-topping 38/12 trees 38/3 trigger 52/9 trouble 47/14 truck 47/15 trucks 47/13 true 27/21, 88/8 turn 41/14, 59/12, 85/18 turned 18/14 tweaking 55/8 twist 25/14 two 7/1, 7/3, 17/2, 26/7, 35/22, 37/6, 39/8, 44/20, 50/9, 52/12, 52/13, 57/13, 58/11, 65/18, 68/12, 72/13, 74/20, 80/7

type 10/1 types 52/5 typo 24/5 U U.S 1/3 undercutting 44/24 unfair 41/11, 41/16 unit 17/8 unlocked 70/11 update 6/6, 62/22, 64/13 uplands 37/18 useful 50/7 user 51/3 utilize 40/10 V valid 19/6 valorem 33/19, 40/13, 43/22 value 40/2, 44/22, 60/16 varying 39/15 vault 59/18, 61/14, 79/5 verbalize 76/12 version 60/4 VI 51/17 view 78/16 VII 52/20 VIII 53/8 vision 71/7, 76/24 voiced 43/14 vote 11/18, 31/13 W wait 67/5 waiting 63/8, 85/8 warehouse 40/7, 41/6, 46/17 warehouses 41/10, 44/13, 79/4, 80/17 warrants 19/3 wasting 82/14 Water 31/16, 31/21, 33/12, 33/15, 33/21, 35/9, 37/23, 82/6, 82/9, 86/23 WATTS 1/10, 2/15, 67/20 Wayne 5/21, 12/4 weather 80/6 Weaver's 87/16 web 54/19, 54/23, 56/1, 56/2, 68/17, 71/22 week 16/20, 51/14, 60/10, 65/23, 66/19, 82/20 weekly 21/8 west 69/20 wetland 36/16 wetlands 37/13, 37/15, 37/22 wheel 30/8 white 24/22 wholesale 13/9, 22/1 WILLIAM 1/9 willing 22/21, 84/23 word 43/18, 48/5 wording 43/17, 49/21, 55/18 words 37/6, 37/15 work 5/25, 29/17, 32/2, 32/10, 32/12, 37/12, 57/22, 62/19 workshop 67/1, 71/15, 74/1, 74/8, 74/15, 74/16, 78/19, 78/22 worth 26/7, 63/2 worthwhile 80/23 wrap 76/14 write 68/16 wrong 17/25 WUELLNER 1/19, 22/15 Wyoming 68/4 X X 2/1, 56/4, 63/20 year 13/10, 17/2, 20/19, 50/23, 51/6, 52/1, 65/1, 68/12 years 18/8, 18/25, 19/1, 20/14, 31/16, 45/10, 45/11, 46/2, 47/6, 50/9, 52/12, 52/13, 68/12, 69/5, 69/13, 69/18, 77/7, 79/19, 80/2, 87/19 years' 75/5