1	ST. AUGUSTINE -	ST.	<b>JOHNS</b>	COUNTY	<b>AIRPORT</b>	AUTHORITY

2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, February 25, 2008
6	from 4:02 p.m. to 6:35 p.m.
7	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	WAYNE GEORGE
10	RANDY BRUNSON JOHN "JACK" GORMAN
10	SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman
11	KELLY BARRERA, Secretary-Treasurer
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	DOUGLAS N. BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
15	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	********
19	

file: ///A|/MTG022508.txt

25

1	INDEX		
2	PAC	<del>S</del> E	
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE		3
4	3. APPROVAL OF MEETING M	INUTES	3
5	4. FINANCIAL REPORT	3	
6	5. AGENDA APPROVAL	5	
7	6. COMMITTEE REPORTS		
8	A. MPO B. EDC	5 6	
9	C. Intergovernmental	6	
10	<ul><li>D. SJC Aerospace Academy</li><li>E. PR Committee</li></ul>	8	
11	7. REPORTS	11	
12	8. PROJECT UPDATES	13	
13	9. ACTION ITEMS		
14	A. Flagler Development Easem B. Consolidated Rental Car Faci		j
15	C. Terminal Advertising Conce	•	ļ
	D. Passenger Facility Charge (Pl	FC) Update 1	00
16	E. Apron Tie-Downs	107	
	F. FBO Discussion	113	
17			
4.0	10. HOUSEKEEPING	125	
18	11 NUN IG GOLD TOWN GENERAL	N 4 T	120
10	11. PUBLIC COMMENT - GENER	KAL	129
19	12. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPO	ORTS	146

20
13. NEXT BOARD MEETING & ADJOURNMENT
151
22
23
24
25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call to order the meeting of
3	the St. Johns County-St. Augustine Airport
4	Authority. If we can stand for the pledge,
5	please.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	3 APPROVAL OF MINUTES
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Our first order of
9	the business is the approval of the minutes. Any
10	objections, exceptions to the minutes as
11	presented?
12	(None.)
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, we'll approve
14	the minutes as presented. Okay. Next is
15	financial report. Jack?
16	4 FINANCIAL REPORT
17	MR. GORMAN: We are going to go over the
18	financial report at the next meeting, as I have
19	more questions for Mr. Wuellner.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, you want to
- 21 delay --
- MR. GORMAN: Delay.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- last --
- MR. GORMAN: Yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, Ed, we can put

1	that on we can do both months
2	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: next month. Are we going
4	to have next month's or this month's in time
5	for the March 10th meeting so Jack can have both
6	of them?
7	MR. WUELLNER: When is the meeting, the 10th
8	of March?
9	MS. HOLLINGSWORTH: March 10th.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The 10th.
11	MR. WUELLNER: That will be close, having the
12	March stuff. We'll have it if we can.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: February.
14	MR. WUELLNER: I mean February's. Yeah. And
15	it takes about six or seven days to get the bank
16	statements before they
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
18	MR. WUELLNER: even sort them. So,
10	comewhere around that date, we'll have the

- February information. But we still have January's
- we can talk about.
- MR. GORMAN: Since there's generally no
- discrepancies, that should be --
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. GORMAN: -- no problem.

1	MR. WUELLNER: It's just we don't always get
2	the compilation until
3	MR. GORMAN: I understand. It's a little
4	early in the month.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It is.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
7	5 AGENDA APPROVAL
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Agenda approval. Any
9	exceptions? We do have a long agenda this
10	afternoon. No exceptions or additions or
11	deletions to the agenda?
12	MR. WUELLNER: I assume we're talking about
13	the re the revised agenda that came out Friday
14	afternoon, to be sure.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The agenda that should be in
16	front of the board members?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Okay. Hearing none,
19	the agenda will stand as printed. The committee

20	reports. MPO?
21	6.A REPORTS - MPO
22	MR. BRUNSON: MPO, in between meetings just
23	now.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: When's the next meeting?
25	MR_BRUNSON: I don't have the date_Ull

1	let you know.
2	6.B REPORTS - EDC
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. EDC, also in between
4	meetings. The next meeting is March 13th at 7:30.
5	I was informed February, there is a luncheon,
6	which they do once a quarter or something.
7	MR. BURNETT: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That was not a formal
9	meeting, but I'll attend the March 13th meeting.
10	Intergovernmental?
11	6.C REPORTS - INTERGOVERNMENTAL
12	MR. GEORGE: They are in between meetings,
13	also. The next meeting is March the 5th. They'll
14	elect new officers at that time.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aerospace? Kelly?
16	6.D REPORTS - AEROSPACE ACADEMY
17	MS. BARRERA: I was out of town. Ed?
18	MR. WUELLNER: I think I'm the only one that
19	attended that.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I was out.
21	MR. WUELLNER: They met, talked about the
22	curriculum and curriculum adjustments going into
23	next year. They also met about coming out here in
24	early I believe it's early May to do a an
25	event for the Aerospace Academy students and their

I	parents on the on the airfield on a Saturday
2	morning probably, instead of doing the not
3	fly-in, but they used to bring them out here for
4	an airport orientation or airport tour. But we're
5	opening it up to the entire academy and bringing
6	them out and trying to get them exposed to
7	aviation and also some exposure to some of the
8	businesses that have agreed to accept interns from
9	the Aero Sport Acad Aero Sport the Aerospace
10	Academy over this next next quarter.
11	And we have seven students from the Aerospace
12	Academy that will be employed, for lack of better
13	terms, on the airport with a grant that the
14	Jacksonville Chamber of Commerce provides to
15	the provides to the school district itself, not
16	to the airport.
17	They pay for the students, pay them a stipend
18	on a weekly basis. And we've got seven students
19	placed on the airport, one each at Galaxy, SK,

20	Southeast, the tower. We've got some that are
21	interested in civil engineering with Passero. And
22	the Airport Authority's going to going to take
23	one. So, it's kind of a good group of kids.
24	We met them all, did an all-day orientation
25	last not this past Friday, but about ten days

1	ago. Great group of kids, and I think they're
2	going to have a bang-up time out here. And I
3	think some of these employers are going to really
4	get a heads-up for some of the talent that's out
5	there in that academy.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Did they ever
7	find a director?
8	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, they did. They now have
9	a full-time director.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Kelly, PR?
11	6.E REPORTS - PR COMMITTEE
12	MS. BARRERA: Actually, that would be Buzz.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, sorry.
14	MR. GEORGE: We had a real good meeting a
15	couple of meetings back with the PR committee, and
16	it kind of crystallized the organization of it.
17	Bryan is the point man, you know, for the PR
18	committee, and as such, organizes it and and
19	runs it.

20	One of the first functions that were done was
21	the fly-in for the seaplanes, and I understand
22	that was quite a success. I've heard from 18 to
23	22 different airplanes, you know, that were here.
24	And a lot of people from the PR committee and from
25	the Pilots Association and from the airport need

to be given congratulations of running it. It was 1 2 done very, very smoothly. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Excellent. MR. GEORGE: I didn't make the last meeting, 4 but were you able to make it, the PR committee? 5 6 MS. BARRERA: I -- I made one of the meetings, and I don't know if it was the very last 7 8 one or not. MR. GEORGE: Okay. I think it was. There's 9 only been two, so -- yeah. It was right before 10 the seaplane thing. 11 MS. BARRERA: Right. 12 13 MR. GEORGE: Because I was out of town, so... MS. BARRERA: Right. Right. And it looks 14

18 educate.

15

16

17

MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I think now that we've

really the focus of the PR committee, is to

like the PR committee's going strong with -- with

trying to, you know, educate people. And that's

25

20	got it organized and everything and under some
21	control, it's going to go very well.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed's helping out. We've
23	been approached to give a PowerPoint presentation
24	to a women's political group here in

St. Augustine, which I'm going to -- Ed's going to

1	present a PowerPoint and I'm going to present on
2	behalf of the airport. It's more of what's going
3	on, we want to know what's going on
4	MR. GEORGE: Good.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: with the airport. So,
6	it's an informative thing. March 19th at
7	Palencia. It's a luncheon at 11:30. It's the
8	Republican St. Johns County Republican Women's
9	Club that made the inquiry. So, I thought that
10	was a good opportunity for the airport to at least
11	get some information out there for PR.
12	MR. GEORGE: Is any of our County
13	Commissioners going to be there so they can learn
14	what's going on?
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, Mr. Sanchez, who has a
16	wealth of knowledge, said he's going to try and
17	get there.
18	MR. GEORGE: Oh, good. Well, I knew he had
19	the knowledge. I was opening it up to the rest of

- 20 the commissioners.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: What a compliment:
- Wealth of knowledge.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Maybe we could send them an
- 24 invitation.
- MR. GEORGE: Not a bad idea.

1	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I have a suggestion
2	when I get up to talk.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Reports. Your turn.
4	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Oh, you just slipped
5	that right in there, didn't you?
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
7	MR. GEORGE: What a nice segue.
8	7 REPORTS
9	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Well, for public
10	relations, I would highly suggest if you could
11	make Commissioner Manuel a target, that would
12	really be good, you know?
13	MR. BRUNSON: We already have.
14	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Yeah. There was
15	another slight comment at the last meeting, but it
16	was during commissioners' comments. And I didn't
17	even respond. So, don't feel like I've had any
18	change of mind here, because I haven't. But
19	anyway, we'll handle it when the time comes and

20	there's really	no other	no other rep	orts,

- 21 unless anyone's got any questions about the
- county.
- I will be leaving out of here shortly. I
- have another meeting tonight, and I'm going to a
- 25 memorial service for Michael Kemper and, you know,

1 so -- I've known him since the '60s when he was in 2 a band I managed back then. 3 Anyway, if anyone has any questions about anything happening at the county, other than other 4 5 commissioners... I killed that, didn't I? The two of you had a question, didn't you? 6 MR. GEORGE: That's right. 7 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay. 8 MR. BRUNSON: We will respect your request 9 not to ask anymore questions. But... 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael, Galaxy? 11 MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report. 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Northrop? 13 MR. NEVADOMSKI: Nothing. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Reba, SAAPA? 15 16 MS. LUDLOW: It's not --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, it's not? Okay. Any 17 report from the Pilots Association? 18

MS. HUGGINS: No, I don't.

19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Bjorn, I did not see
21	Okay. Doug?

- MR. BURNETT: I was wondering if I escaped
- the list. Nothing specifically to report other
- than a general observation of, boy, this job has
- changed since May or June of last year. There is

1	a lot going on on a weekly basis around here, and
2	it's interesting to get to work on a lot of the
3	things. And a couple of items are on in fact
4	today related to the advertising and the easement
5	with Flagler Development. So, anyways, I'll save
6	comments for then specifically.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Tower? I didn't see
8	Dave.
9	MR. WUELLNER: I have the this year's
10	version of the chart. Total operations for for
11	2008, January, is 8800. Compared to the last
12	three years, it's down about 500 ops for the month
13	of January, keeping in mind you had an early part
14	of the month in particular that was plagued by fog
15	and a lot of precipitation, so like it's reflected
16	in fuel sales, it's reflected in everything this
17	month. So, hopefully, it will pick back up a
18	little bit, too.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

20	MR. WUELLNER: That's about all I can tell	
21	you about it.	
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Project updates.	
23	8 PROJECT UPDATES	
24	MR. WUELLNER: Project updates. Today, we've	
25	got for you the T-hangar development project; the	

rental car facility; U.S. Customs facility; 1 terminal operations; marketing and public 2 relations; and airport leasing activities. 3 And I will point out, beginning next month, 4 we will have an additional item to report on. We 5 will begin reporting on the park development 6 project as a part of our regular -- regular 7 8 updates so that everybody becomes aware of where we are in -- in that project moving forward. And 9 10 I'll ask Bryan at that point each month to get up and give a quick -- quick summary of the month's 11 12 activities. All right. First, T-hangar project. 13 Construction obviously is ongoing. If you've been 14 back to that site it all, you'll see there's quite 15 a bit of activity going on daily. Today, we 16 17 poured the first slab for what would be Building N, I believe it is. So, the first T-hangar slab 18 has been poured today. 19

20	The first building is due on-site early next
21	week. That will begin the, kind of alternating
22	once a week a new slab and and a building
23	delivered basically one week each week. So, from
24	this point forward, it should should rapidly
25	begin to look like some vertical construction

1	going on back there. And I would hope within the
2	next six weeks to have it looking very much like
3	it's well underway.
4	MR. GEORGE: I know with a regular house, you
5	know, the walls go up and the and the roof goes
6	on fairly quickly. Then all of a sudden, it's why
7	isn't anything happening? How much time do you
8	look for from the time the buildings are delivered
9	till the time they're ready to be occupied?
10	MR. WUELLNER: Well, and unlike a unlike a
11	house in this case, there's not a lot of finish
12	work on the inside.
13	MR. GEORGE: Right.
14	MR. WUELLNER: We do have a firewall
15	requirement. Every third unit has a one-hour
16	rated wall, but that's a simple Drywall structure.
17	So, I to answer your question, I think it's
18	fairly simple.
19	I think the only time-consuming item in terms

19

of installs are the actual doors, but they'll
---

- be -- you know, as the building gets completed,
- they'll work through that. It's not a long
- process, but just, you know, putting the structure
- up and getting the hydraulic system working.
- MR. GEORGE: Does six weeks after the

1	buildings are delivered sound reasonable, or six
2	to eight?
3	MR. WUELLNER: I think you know, I think
4	they'll be delivered basically at about a six- to
5	eight-week interval that you'll start seeing one
6	on line a week.
7	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Assuming we can get the CO
9	staggered like that. I'm not exactly sure how
10	that's
11	MR. GEORGE: Oh. So, we're going to have to
12	get a CO for all of them before we can occupy.
13	MR. WUELLNER: I'm not sure. Matt, do we
14	MR. HOLESKO: I think there's two or three.
15	Not individual.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we it's not
17	individually building permitted. There there's
18	two or three permits involved for the whole

complex. So, as each one of those permits meets

19

- its obligation, it can be released as a CO.
- MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay. Good.
- MR. WUELLNER: There's a high probability of
- being phased in over the course of a few weeks.
- MR. BRUNSON: But -- but you can get
- information visits to make sure --

1	MR. WUELLNER: On, yean.
2	MR. BRUNSON: you have no problem.
3	MR. WUELLNER: But it it's it's
4	exciting now, it's starting to look like and
5	they they haven't quit working on the site. I
6	know they've done the stabilization for all the
7	taxi lanes and all that, so it that will
8	rapidly follow up with with lime rock and
9	getting that paving, too, while done while
10	buildings are being constructed.
11	So, in terms of we've compressed the
12	schedule at the end to allow a number of
13	concurrent activities that might normally just
14	follow one another. So, we'll make up some time
15	here.
16	Rental car facility, just point out bids were
17	received, and that's also an action item on the
18	agenda, so we'll we'll hit that in a little
19	more detail as we move forward.

20	U.S. Customs facility, we received comments
21	back from the preliminary plan submittal or
22	it's more like a site plan submittal and building
23	schematic-type drawing submittal to Customs. We
24	have their comments back. They were extremely
25	minor in nature.

1	They're in the process "they" being
2	Passero, are in the process of incorporating those
3	comments, and we'll get them started through the
4	process with with the County's DRC as well as
5	building permitting and getting it prepared for
6	bid, for contract to bid. So, this has a
7	completion date of December of '08, it needs to be
8	completed. We need to have expended the money in
9	order to to salvage the dollars under the
10	grant. So, it looks good.
11	This is a very easy project compared to many
12	because there's very little site work involved.
13	It's really just some utility hookup and the
14	site's pretty much ready to go. It's a buildable
15	lot compared to some of our other projects.
16	Next item, terminal milestones for January.
17	Pleased to report inbound was a little over 10,000
18	individuals arrived at St. Augustine Airport via
19	Skybus in the month of January. Just missed

20	10,000 enplanements for the month of January,
21	being 9,821 outbound. Represents a load factor of
22	68 percent when you when you consider January's

- one of the lowest -- or lowest traveled months
- in -- throughout the year. So, load factor is
- still strong considering the month of the year.

1	I really expect February's numbers to exceed
2	11- or 12,000 outbound. So, you will also have
3	the first full month's worth of data with four
4	flights.
5	As you recall, you added a one flight
6	added in January, but it only added a half a
7	month, so the numbers don't reflect a full month
8	of four flights a day. So, it's three and a
9	half-ish. So, we're curious to see what it is.
10	And just a reminder that new service began to
11	Greensboro on January 15th, so you now are up to
12	four flights a day with them.
13	MR. GEORGE: I came back from Portsmouth on
14	Sunday the 17th, something like that, and there
15	were five seats on the airplane empty. So, they
16	had a nice load for a Sunday, you know, coming
17	back when they had the President's Day the next
18	day.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was on one back from

- 20 Greensboro, same thing, that same Sunday --
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Oh, is that right?
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- and it was packed.
- MR. GEORGE: Great.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
- MR. BRUNSON: And, Madam Chairman, I might

1

9

10

11

Melvin McQuaig that owns St. Johns Food; Charlie

Lassiter owns Avenida Inn, is saying they're

having the best months they've had in a long time,

and they asked me specifically if -- if we could

contribute that to the Skybus, because they said

it -- I said I don't know if we have a real -
MR. GEORGE: Oh, yeah. Let's take it.

MR. BRUNSON: Yeah, but we track it a little

mention that all of the sudden, like people like

MR. GEORGE: I had an interesting thing.

bit, but -- you know, but I think it has an

13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead.

influence, I really do.

- MR. GEORGE: I -- on that plane back, I met a
- 15 couple from Atkinson, New Hampshire, and they were
- going to take a trip to Florida somewhere, and
- somebody told them about Skybus the same day that
- they read in one of the local papers about value
- vacation spots. And St. Augustine was there

- 20 highlighted in the article. They said, fine,
- 21 let's go. So, I had lunch with them on Wednesday
- after they'd been down here --
- MR. WUELLNER: Oh, nice.
- MR. GEORGE: -- and they said, we're coming
- back; we had too many things we didn't see. And I

1	told them to stop drinking that Fountain of Youth
2	stuff, you wouldn't be old enough to get on the
3	plane. But it was very good press.
4	MR. BRUNSON: That was great.
5	MR. WUELLNER: And the company that did some
6	initial surveys, not for us, but had done some
7	surveys related to travel will be back out in
8	July. They want to follow up with kind of an
9	annual review of the experience people are having
10	traveling an airline, and through theirself (sic),
11	we will see some some data. I don't know what
12	it will amount to.
13	MR. BRUNSON: How about
14	MR. WUELLNER: Get the interviews.
15	MR. BRUNSON: How about any input from
16	Skybus; how their are they satisfied with the
17	percentages of load factors, as far as you know?
18	MR. WUELLNER: To my knowledge, they're very
19	happy. We've got one of their representatives

20	down here tomorrow to talk about what they see for
21	us and just go over some business issues between
22	Galaxy and us. So, I think things are going very,
23	very well generally. I'm not hearing a lot of
24	negative. And certainly there are days everybody
25	has their hiccups, but generally it seems to be

working very well. 1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 2 3 MR. WUELLNER: Marketing and public relations. Several press releases this month. 4 Seaplane Fly-In event, as -- as you mentioned, was 5 held February 9th and 10th. By my count, we had 6 15 seaplanes on the ground around that area. 7 8 MR. GEORGE: Were they in the water? MR. WUELLNER: Actually, most of them taxied 9 right out, believe it or not. 10 11 MR. COX: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: Most of them were amphibians, 12 although we had several. They made approaches and 13 didn't necessarily stay in true seaplanes. But 14 15 I'd like to add our thanks to Galaxy, the Pilots Association, and the PR committee in particular 16 17 for pulling off -- pulling together and pulling such a great event together. It went very, very 18

well. We ought to add the restaurant to that

19

25

20	list, too, now that I'm thinking about it, because
21	they contributed some some lunch out there at
22	the site.
23	And by all accounts, everybody had a great
24	time. They're looking forward to maybe staging

that kind of event a couple of times a year back

1 here. And a concern I've already had to express 2 to everybody is you've already outgrew your venue 3 out there. We probably need to start looking at a 4 spot that -- you know, more toward the south side 5 to -- to hold the event so that they can spread 6 out and -- and do it. It was just kind of a difficult location with 7 8 no restroom facilities and -- and the like. We pretty much drove the tower nuts with ground 9 operations that day, just getting people back and 10 forth to restrooms, and everything had to be 11 brought out there. 12 So, we'll keep -- we'll keep working it, but 13 everybody seemed genuinely excited about the 14 facility, about what was offered at the -- at the 15 16 new seaplane dock facilities and all that. So, I 17 think we -- we made a few believers out there of people who didn't know all those improvements 18 we've made. So, nothing but good. 19

20	Is there anything, Sacha or Bryan or anybody
21	wanted to add to that, seaplane, or anybody on the
22	PR committee? You guys are certainly welcome
23	to yeah, if you want, come up.
24	MS. LUDLOW: Do I have to go up there?
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.

1	MS. LUDLOW: Reba Ludiow, 46 Village Walk
2	Drive, Ponte Vedra.
3	Yes. We had a fabulous turnout. We had at
4	least 22 planes, just like Ed said. Really just a
5	repeat of what Ed and Wayne said. But we got so
6	many pictures. Sacha has Bryan has all the
7	surveys of what every everybody signed in and
8	what they flew in.
9	And Sacha has been in touch with many of
10	them, as has Alice. And they all said if they had
11	known more about what we had going on, like we had
12	fuel they could have, we had water down on the
13	ramp that they could have, plus, you know, access
14	to the ladies' and the men's room, they said if we
15	do it again next year, they will double what we
16	had this year. And then Bryan and Ed both said,
17	oh, my gosh, what will we do then? Where will we
18	put them?
19	But we have made our place on the map for the

- seaplanes, let me tell you. We did a really,
- 21 really great job for everybody.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks.
- MS. LUDLOW: Sure.
- MR. WUELLNER: Last item I have under reports
- 25 (sic) is the airport leasing activities, and

there's really nothing new to report. 1 2 I will mention that the eastside agreements 3 with Grumman are completely executed at this point and in everybody's hands. So, that -- the 4 eastside ground lease and facilities lease 5 documents are finally complete. And they -- yes, 6 they are retroactive back to October, just so 7 8 everybody's clear on that. It took a while to get them all in final form and signed, but they are 9 10 back to the original dates. MR. BURNETT: And, Ed, I think -- I just want 11 to throw out one thing that might be interested --12 interesting to a lot of folks. And I know y'all 13 14 know this, but that actually resulted in a big release of land back to the airport for future 15 16 use. 17 MR. WUELLNER: Right. Plus a check. CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to -- and 18

19

realized income now.

- MR. BURNETT: Yes.
- MR. WUELLNER: They're all good things.
- MR. GEORGE: Are we talking about the 70
- 23 acres?
- MR. BURNETT: Yes.
- 25 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

1	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
2	9.A FLAGLER DEVELOPMENT EASEMENT
3	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First item on the
4	agenda is Flagler Development made a request to be
5	on the agenda relative to Cordova Palms. Doug,
6	anything you want to set up with that?
7	MR. BURNETT: I know Tom Ingram's here. I
8	don't want to take from Tom. I will pass out,
9	while Tom's talking, the avigation easement that
10	they've agreed to execute, so I'll show you.
11	And what did they provide and you'll see
12	this is in a strike-through underline version,
13	because it's a comparison of one they drafted
14	versus the one Madeira did previously for the
15	Ponce golf course.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. Tom?
17	MR. INGRAM: Yeah.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I pulled these up from
19	the old one. I don't know whether they're at

20	least anyways current, so
21	MR. INGRAM: There's no change since the last
22	one you saw.
23	We were last here I believe in late January
24	of '07 to talk with you about the draft avigation
25	easement, and at that time, we were also

1	requesting a secondary emergency access easement
2	through some land owned by the Airport Authority
3	to the south of of Cordova Palms.
4	Since then, I'll bring you up to date a
5	little bit, no real change to the development
6	plan. It's still the same. We have agreed to
7	construct 80,000 square feet of office in Phase 1
8	of the project. That was a that has been a
9	and some feedback we've received from the
10	commission to see some some employment
11	opportunities here soon. And also, we are
12	committing to not have any residential before
13	2010.
14	And probably the most significant is a
15	transportation mitigation. We are proposing as
16	part of Phase 1 to extend State Road 313, which is
17	the road shown there, to Big Oak Road as part of
18	Phase 1 of the improvements. That will give us
19	that back door that we were looking for originally

20	from the Airport Authority. So, there's
21	there's no need to really further discuss anything
22	with the Airport Authority on that front.
23	Early on, Mr. Wuellner's requested an
24	avigation easement, and we are still committed to
25	giving that to the Airport Authority. We

1	submitted a draft to y'all in late January last
2	year.
3	There are a couple of changes in the one
4	before you, compared to the version you last saw.
5	One, there was a comment I think that y'all wanted
6	to make sure you had all remedies available to
7	enforce this, and that change has been made.
8	Another comment that was made was making sure
9	that it applied to all of the residential areas
10	within Cordova Palms, not just most of it, because
11	we're you know, what I had proposed previously
12	is some of the northernmost area might have been
13	outside the easement area. But we are we are
14	comfortable putting it over all of the residential
15	area.
16	The school site would be excluded. It's
17	the school site is where it is because it is
18	outside of that statutory there's there's an
19	area which by statute you can't site new schools

20	near airports. And the purple up there is is
21	outside of the statutory area of limitation.
22	The other other difference between this
23	easement and and that and Madeira is really
24	a clarification. But there are some limitations
25	in this easement about building height, smoke,

1 lighting, and electrical interference. 2 And what we are proposing is that -- just as 3 a clarification, that the Airport Authority speaks 4 for all of aviation if there becomes an issue or 5 dispute about whether a building's too tall, the lighting's -- is -- is in violation of those 6 requirements. But I'm sure you understand we'd 7 like to deal with one person. 8 If -- if a pilot has an issue, they should 9 come to the Airport Authority, and the Airport 10 Authority can then come to us. But that's --11 that's really in the way of clarification. I 12 13 don't know that -- that under Madeira, that a pilot has that sort of right. But that -- that's 14 what I was trying to get at; if you see the 15 16 underlined strike-through on -- let's see, on page 17 3 of your red line, that section entitled "Running of Benefits and Burdens." 18

With that, I'd be happy to answer anymore

19

- England-Thims & Miller is here. He's a planner on
- the project. And Karl Hanson with Flagler
- 23 Development Group is -- is here also. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have -- open up to
- public comment. Reba, did you have a question?

- 1 MS. LUDLOW: No, thank you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael?
- 3 MR. SLINGLUFF: No, thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Victor?
- 5 MR. MARTINELLI: Just a quickie. I thought I
- 6 could get away with that.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: You got caught, didn't
- 8 you?
- 9 MR. MARTINELLI: Could you just for my
- edification locate the development on that
- display, to where it is?
- MR. INGRAM: Do you have a laser pointer?
- 13 You are probably better than me.
- MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Their -- their
- development's this shaded area here.
- MR. BURNETT: It's the blue boundary.
- 17 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: The airport is right here.
- 19 MR. MARTINELLI: Gotcha.

20	MR. BURNETT: T	The blue boundary with the tan
21	background.	

- MR. WUELLNER: And this line is our extended
- center line.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. Now, the landfill is
- up there close by. Is that any -- is there any

problem with that? 1 2 MR. INGRAM: No. 3 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. That's all. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Bryan? 4 MR. COOPER: Bryan Cooper. I -- I had two 5 questions. And these have -- may have been 6 covered, and I apologize for not being as up to 7 speed on this project as -- as I may should --8 should be. 9 But it was my understanding -- question 10 number one: My understanding from the meetings 11 that we had previously a number of years ago with 12 the state and the planners involved in -- and at 13 that time the 312 extension now, which I think's 14 called 313, that there would only be one exit off 15 of 313 going over toward the airport. 16 17 And there was a lot of discussion on that, and it was the Airport Authority's feelings at the 18 time that it was extremely important that that be 19

25

20	on the south side of that over approximately at
21	5th Avenue, or the street just south of us here,
22	and would come out in that area instead of Big
23	Oak.
24	And at that time, there were a number of

reasons that we wouldn't want to do that on Big

1	Oak, one being the potential crosswind runway that			
2	would go through that if it was that that area.			
3	So, I'm wondering is has that been discussed			
4	with DOT, that the Big Oak exit is now okay when			
5	it wasn't a couple of years ago?			
6	And the other question that I had I I			
7	agree with what you're suggesting about the			
8	airport, if you have pilots that are complaining,			
9	that they only speak with one voice. Could we do			
10	that the other way, too? If there's any			
11	complaints up in that area, that we only speak			
12	with one voice in dealing with those complaints?			
13	Noise complaints and overflights, low-flying			
14	aircraft.			
15	MR. INGRAM: Sure. I'll try to respond to			
16	the second question first.			
17	As to as to people who purchase or rent			
18	land subject to the avigation easement, which says			

that basically they waive all rights to complain,

19

25

20	unfortunately some people will still do that.
21	And and that's the very reason why y'all are
22	asking for the avigation easement.
23	It's the strongest assurance that we can give
24	you that you can show them and so that it's on

their title before they close on any property

here, it will show up on their title commitment to 1 2 say that there's an airport there. It's right 3 there -- you know, it's nearby and that they've 4 waived their rights to noise. So, it's an effort 5 to -- to put everyone on notice, even someone who 6 buys from out of town, sight unseen, not -- you know, not that anybody should ever do that. But 7 8 that is -- that is why it's there. And -- and I can't say I, you know -- you 9 could probably get somebody that will say it's 10 noisy, and then you -- you know, Mr. Burnett sends 11 them a copy of the easement, you know, and that 12 should really resolve the matter from your 13 perspective. 14 The -- the next question, is DOT comfortable 15 16 with an intersection at Big Oak? And DOT is. 17 This is -- we're proposing to build a road that's been a high priority for this area, including on 18

DOT's projects list for some time, and, you know,

19

20	it's going to be developer funded. Ultimately, as				
21	part of Phase 2, we'll take it down further south				
22	towards Woodlawn, and then DOT will take it al				
23	the rest of the way to State Road 16.				
24	So, I don't know if that answers your				
25	question fully, but DOT is aware of the proposa				

- 1 to go to Big Oak. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? Go ahead.
- 3 MR. HOLIDAY: Looking at your drawing and
- 4 measuring the length of 31/13, if you take your --
- 5 your project will be approximately, intersection
- of the approach to 13, about two miles from the
- 7 end of the runway. You're aware of that. I just
- 8 wondered if everybody else is aware of that.
- 9 About two miles. That's pretty close.
- MR. WUELLNER: It's actually less than that.
- 11 It's less than a mile.
- MR. GEORGE: It's less than a mile?
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
- MR. HOLIDAY: Yes?
- MR. WUELLNER: To point of intersection.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Having no more public
- 17 comment, board comment?
- 18 MR. BURNETT: Go ahead.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Whoever wants to go first,

- because I've got concerns over this, too.
- MR. WUELLNER: Do you want to hear from Doug
- 22 first?
- MS. BARRERA: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure. Go, Doug.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah, I've just got a -- I've

1	got a couple of comments. And I just want to make
2	sure sometimes when when things are going on
3	in a meeting you may not catch everything, so I
4	want to make sure that some things were are
5	very clear to you. And and really it's a
6	it's a function of I think your base assumption is
7	everything's the same as Madeira or the Ponce,
8	and and I know you're recognizing it's not, but
9	I want to make sure you're you're aware of a
10	couple of things.
11	One is it's not covering the entire property.
12	It's covering the residential portion of the
13	property.
14	The portion about running with the land
15	and that's always an important aspect of
16	easements; otherwise, all you have is a license,
17	essentially.
18	There are three provisions in here, as you
19	as Tom pointed out in in the bottom of page 3.

20	)	the sub	paragraph	 which	the	sub	two	of	the

- subparagraphs are on page 2. So, subparagraph E,
- F, and H would be rights that are entirely the
- 23 Airport Authority's alone and not have any benefit
- 24 to third parties.
- 25 And one thing that they've added is the

1	sentence at the end of page 3, which quite frankly
2	I can see as being a reasonable thing that was not
3	in the Ponce one, which is if the airport suspends
4	flight operations and it says two years. I
5	don't know what the magic time frame is. But it's
6	reasonable that if the airport suspends flight
7	operations at this property, that the easement
8	would go away. I mean, that that makes logical
9	sense. Which is a request they've made that's in
10	here that's a change.
11	MR. GEORGE: Is there a definition of flight
12	operations?
13	MR. BURNETT: Well, that part's not defined,
14	and maybe we need to button that up. But that
15	that's a concept they've put in here. And I don't
16	know that overall I have a problem with it. But
17	it's a function of, you know, what time frame,
18	what what the reason for suspension of flight
19	operations, and, yeah, maybe the definition of

20	flight op	perations
20	flight op	perations

- MR. GEORGE: Well, it's a theoretical point,
- but we could cease all of Skybus and all of the
- 23 general aviation operations and put it over Tom
- Manuel's backyard, but leave Grumman here, and
- 25 they would have only a flight, you know, once a

1

10

operations. 2 MR. WUELLNER: There's another item that 3 would be on the table, also, is in the event of a 4 large-scale war, you could very well see some of 5 these airports reseized by the federal government 6 and operated as -- as a military installation and, 7 8 you know, then you could have an argument later about whether it was air operations for purposes 9

month or so. Then we'd still have flight

11 MR. GEORGE: Right.

of St. Augustine Airport --

- MR. WUELLNER: -- or -- or military and they
- counted. I mean, I could see some of that getting
- 14 a little weird later. So, I mean, again, it comes
- down to just the definition of it.
- MR. GEORGE: Exactly right.
- 17 MR. WUELLNER: I think you're -- I think
- 18 you're dead on it if you can just make the
- 19 clarification within there.

- 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Other board comment?
- MR. GEORGE: Can I continue with Doug's,
- 22 my --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sure.
- MR. GEORGE: -- discussion with --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Doug still has some points,

1 I think, too. 2 MR. BURNETT: I had two other points related 3 to it. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 5 MR. BURNETT: And -- and one -- and I think Tom touched on this, but just so you know, the 6 reason this is the same plan as you saw, seems 7 8 like a year ago is, for lack of a better characterization of it, they've been bogged down, 9 10 if you will, trying to work through the issues related to 313, as I understand it. And they've 11 resolved those issues and that's why it's moving 12 forward and getting traction again. 13 So, 3 -- the 313 or 312 extension is an 14 important part of their project, and working 15 16 through those issues is obviously one that's taken 17 time. So, that's -- that's the issue there. 18 And one thing that was on the table

previously was this concept of rail relocation,

20	which your staff and Ed Wuellner thought was
21	important to have something related to the
22	potential ability to relocate the rail and having
23	something in writing from FEC.
24	There's a there's a letter related to that
25	matter, and initially it was Ed thought it

1	would be a good trade, so to speak, to get that
2	issue resolved in exchange for this access that
3	they previously needed. Apparently they don't
4	need that access now, so I think that issue's gone
5	away, but it was one that's been important to
6	Ed to Mr. Wuellner to be able to find a way to
7	secure the the ability to relocate the rail
8	line, if that needed to be done in the future for
9	airport expansion.
10	And that's all that's all I know related
11	to the issue that I can think of right now that
12	would be important to your decision.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
14	MR. GEORGE: Okay. You mentioned that the
15	avigation easement is only over the residential
16	portion. Is there a possibility that the
17	residential per portion could expand or
18	contract and it would never be brought back to us
19	for you know, to look and see how it is?

20	That's one question.
21	Second question is, what happens to the
22	businesses? You know, we're going to put office
23	space in there and they're not going to be
24	susceptible to this avigation easement. Does that
25	mean that they'll burn Bryan's phone up, you know,

1	every time an airplane comes by? I don't think we
2	can have that.
3	MR. INGRAM: And I I should have been more
4	clear, and I apologize. We're proposing to put
5	the avigation easement over all of the development
6	area in the DRI except for the school site.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
8	MR. INGRAM: So, all of the office and
9	industrial.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Except over the
11	residential?
12	MR. GEORGE: Except the what?
13	MR. INGRAM: Except for the school site, the
14	school site, which is outside of the statutory
15	area. It's in an area where it's okay to have a
16	school site because of its distance from the
17	runway. And it's it's also further I think
18	it's twice the distance laterally from the runway

going north. But anyway, that's -- that's the one

- 20 caveat that we're suggesting here. And the school
- site is going to the St. Johns County School
- Board.
- The -- the other -- I'm sorry. I just want
- to -- one other thing. As to if there's a war or
- 25 if Northrop Grumman -- Northrop Grumman were to be

1	the only operator, we we weren't trying to play
2	any games. We'd be happy to, you know, work with
3	you to come up with some language.
4	But the intent was if if it's no longer an
5	airport or if there are planes no longer flying in
6	and out for two years consecutively, that's what
7	we're proposing, that that be an end date. And
8	we're we're flexible. We just want it to clear
9	up the title.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Back to the schools. If
11	a school comes you know, you say that they're
12	excluded because they're planned right now to be
13	outside of the range that would affect them.
14	So, this avigation easement could be changed
15	to say anything that is developed by your company
16	within that category. In other words, if somebody
17	decides to move the school, and it won't
18	necessarily come back to us, that school needs to
10	have the same type of restrictions. Don't want

- 20 Bill Mignon standing in here.
- MR. INGRAM: By Florida law, the school site
- couldn't go anywhere else, essentially. I mean,
- it's -- it's the one spot in the project where it
- can go.
- MS. BARRERA: That's not --

1	MR. INGRAM: It has to do with distance
2	limitations.
3	MS. BARRERA: what he's saying.
4	MR. INGRAM: I'm sorry.
5	MS. BARRERA: What he's saying is that where
6	you would like the avigation ease where you
7	would give us the clause that for two years there
8	wasn't an airport, could there not be a like
9	clause for if there was a school, that the
10	avigation easement would apply for any reason?
11	MR. GEORGE: In other words, if if we have
12	it in here that the avigation easement applies to
13	any structure, residential, commercial,
14	government, or whatever in our area
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Development area.
16	MR. GEORGE: in our development area, and
17	then someone wants to come in, it would be up to
18	them to say, hey, in accordance with law 197.3 of
19	the statutes, I can come in. But at least that's

25

20	brought to our attention and we can discuss it at
21	that time.
22	MR. INGRAM: I don't know that the the
23	development order we're proposing for approval
24	will require us to give that site to the school

board. It's identified on map H.

1	We would have to go back to Commissioner
2	Sanchez and St. Johns County and to the Department
3	of Community Affairs and the Regional Council
4	to to develop it. It's it's set aside. I
5	think it's at this point, it's it's not
6	our not our proposal.
7	If if we did propose to develop that
8	and there's no intent, absolutely none, to develop
9	it but if we did, there's certainly an
10	opportunity for the Airport Authority to weigh in
11	on a change like that, because we'd be back
12	MR. GEORGE: But that
13	MR. INGRAM: before the board.
14	MR. GEORGE: I understand your point. But
15	that's not an automatic and a mandatory for the
16	airport to weigh in on it. I'm trying to make it
17	a mandatory.
18	I have a gentleman that we went to the
19	Planning and Zoning Board for him to build a dock

20	next to on the lot next to my house, and he
21	took the conclusion, which was the schematic, and
22	somehow or another got it approved for 70 percent
23	increase in the size of the dock. Didn't have to
24	come back to me because it had already been
25	approved.

1	I just want the airport to be notified of
2	these things. Not saying that we will
3	unreasonably withhold our concurrence to it. I'm
4	just trying to get it where we are at least
5	legally notified of it.
6	MR. INGRAM: Well
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Basically you're saying any
8	change in the developmental area as planned now.
9	MR. GEORGE: Right.
10	MR. INGRAM: Here's the issue: I mean, we'd
11	rather not record something against that just in
12	the title. But if if you'd like a letter
13	agreement or something that Doug and I can work
14	out that's acceptable to the Authority and us just
15	to say, if we propose to develop that site, that
16	we would notify you, that's fine.
17	I mean, there's there's there's no
18	intent to to hide the ball or anything like
19	that. That, from the beginning of this project.

20	has been set aside as a school site.
21	There I think there are a lot of checks
22	and balances here. I know you may not be required
23	to be notified, but a commissioner does sit on the
24	Authority board, or a member of the board or ex
25	officio?

1 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: No, I'm not. I'm 2 liaison. 3 MR. INGRAM: Liaison? COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'm representing the 4 County Commissioners. 5 MR. INGRAM: So, it -- it'd be hard to sneak 6 through a change to that. I mean, it's just 7 8 not -- it's extremely unlikely, but we'd be happy to enter into some agreement with the Authority to 9 10 commit to give you notice. MR. GEORGE: Okay. Well, I've laid my 11 concern out. I'll listen to my counsel and get an 12 13 answer. MR. INGRAM: And -- oh, and, excuse me. Karl 14 is clarifying. We not only give notice, but we 15 would commit to place it under avigation easement, 16 17 if -- if that were the case, that that would be fine. 18 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I was -- I was trying 19

20	to get a clarification from Doug because I want to
21	just make sure I understand this, because I'm sort
22	of agreeing with you.
23	My my my thinking is that if they
24	abandon it as a school site and they attempt to
25	change that later on in five years for

1	instance, the school's made the determination
2	they're not going to put a school there, it's
3	never going to be used that way, and they go back
4	to the County and approach them to change it to
5	commercial or additional residential units,
6	whatever they do, I'm wondering by the development
7	order, would it because it's a based on use,
8	correct, not a map? So, wouldn't it automatically
9	then be covered by the easement?
10	MR. INGRAM: The easement
11	MR. WUELLNER: You don't really reference a
12	drawing, you know what I'm saying?
13	MR. INGRAM: Ultimately, it will need to
14	it needs to be by legal description to be clear
15	and enforceable.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
17	MR. INGRAM: But perhaps and I haven't run
18	this by Karl, but if we put something in the

development order itself to state that if that

- school site is ever developed for something other
- 21 than school uses...
- MR. HANSON: I don't think we have an issue
- with the avigation easement. We'll document it
- any way you're comfortable.
- 25 MR. INGRAM: Yeah.

1	MR. BURNETT: They they could easily, as
2	Tom where Tom was going is is an easy thing
3	they could potentially do, which would be to put
4	in, related to the school site, that if it's going
5	to well, they could put in the DO that any
6	change to the DO, they provide notice to the
7	Authority.
8	MR. INGRAM: That that's absolutely fine.
9	MR. BURNETT: That covers its.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
11	MR. BURNETT: And then then you'll get
12	notice of anytime they do what what is called
13	an NOPC, notice of proposed change, you would
14	actually get notice of it when it was going on.
15	That way, your staff could bring it to your
16	attention and and you'd have an opportunity to
17	weigh in weigh in on the subject.
18	The other thing, very quickly, going along
19	with this topic or this discussion, is timing for

25

20	when the avigation easement gets recorded and when
21	it's defined, and I guess that's one Tom Ingram
22	could speak to. But they put it in their DO that
23	they will grant an avigation easement to the to
24	the Authority.

MR. INGRAM: As to timing, we -- we would

- 1 have it in place before we -- it had any building
- 2 permits issued for vertical construction. So,
- 3 before any COs, before any buildings go up, that
- 4 would be in. We would certainly be waiting until
- 5 we knew that we had all the approvals to do the
- 6 project. But it would be in place well before
- 7 any -- any people were there.
- 8 MR. WUELLNER: And I have one more question.
- 9 If you spoke to this earlier, I didn't catch it.
- 10 313 alignment is the alternate alignment or -- as
- shown up here, or is it the original alignment,
- the DOT alignment?
- MR. INGRAM: The current plan is to go with
- the alternative alignment, which goes through the
- 15 Lemberg South tract.
- MR. GEORGE: We talk about a 313. I don't
- see a 313 on the chart.
- MR. WUELLNER: It became 313. It used to be
- delineated as 312 Extension.

- 20 MR. GEORGE: 312?
- MR. WUELLNER: It's now called 313, but it's
- the same -- same road.
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: And you -- you need to know
- 25 that that selection of the alternate route in a

1 sense negates your Airport Master Plan relative to 2 second runway. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. 4 MR. WUELLNER: So, you need -- you need to 5 know that. 6 MR. GEORGE: Okay. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Jack, go ahead, because that 7 was -- that's my question, but I'm going to let 8 9 you --10 MR. GORMAN: Good. That ties into the whole thing. In other words, question one is, what 11 would you want this board to do now? Are we going 12 to be asked to actually vote on whether to grant 13 this easement today? 14 MR. WUELLNER: You're -- you're technically 15 16 not granting it. 17 MR. GORMAN: Right. Okay. Then -- then we 18 go to question two. Another question: Should we

not be looking in depth at this plan, this Cordova

20	Palms plan superimposed on our 10-year plan with
21	our parcels that we own now actually colorized so
22	that we can actually in depth discuss what we're
23	doing here?
24	Because certainly this would could affect
25	our 10-year plan. In fact, if our 10-year plan

1	changes, the sales of our property or the trade of
2	our property, whatever. In other words, this is
3	going to take an in-depth discussion before you
4	grant this.
5	I mean, whether you I'm not saying whether
6	you do or don't, but, I mean, it's certainly going
7	to have to be in depth. Because you've to talk
8	about what you own now, what you're going to do
9	with it, and what you might do with it. And then
10	of course you've got the road issue.
11	MR. WUELLNER: Well
12	MR. GORMAN: And the last thing I want to
13	ask and this is a little bit snide, but it's
14	almost funny what do we get? What does the
15	Airport Authority get in benefit from granting
16	this? You know, in total. In revenue or in
17	other words, we talked about that movement of
18	the of the of the facility, rail facility.
19	What in total will happen? So, it's it's a big

- discussion.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I would assume the
- intent of the avigation easement for their
- purposes is to -- and by the way, they grant this,
- 24 not --
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Not us.

1	MR. WUELLNER: We're not granting it. We're
2	just kind of the recipient of the of the
3	easement itself.
4	I would assume the intent is that the Airport
5	Authority then essentially has its its
6	objectives covered as a result of the easement and
7	that we essentially go away and don't oppose their
8	project. I would assume that's what they're
9	trying to get to with with an easement, is to
10	satisfy their development requirements within the
11	DRI as it relates to its proximity to the airport.
12	I think you're absolutely correct in
13	observing that how does this how does this
14	you know, with the alternate route selection, what
15	is the impact? I can tell you right away it has a
16	significant impact on runway development.
17	Although the runway's shown in a planning con
18	you know, we've always made the statement it's in
19	a planning context. But but it certainly does

20	not allow it to be developed as shown on the
21	Master Plan, should you choose to do that at some
22	point.
23	So, to put it in context, you need you're
24	correct, you need to look at it and see how it
25	impacts before making a decision whether you would

1	oppose the development perhaps independently of
2	the easement. I don't know.
3	MR. BURNETT: And if I could just add one
4	thing to that. It is routine for County staff
5	because there is the Airport Overlay District,
6	it's routine for County staff and formerly City
7	staff when we were dealing with the bonds. It's
8	routine for County staff to ask the Airport
9	Authority staff as to their position on different
10	projects. So, this falls into that category.
11	It's just larger than the average project we deal
12	with and has some other moving parts related to
13	it.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Randy, did you want
15	MR. BRUNSON: Yeah. Basically what I
16	answer me this, Tom. What stage of with
17	Planning and Zoning and staff at the County are
18	you in now?

MR. INGRAM: Sure. We are currently in the

20	transmittal phase. There there's really two
21	rounds of hearings. The first round is to
22	transmit the amendment to the future land use map
23	to the Department of Community Affairs for review.
24	We are coming up on our hearing with the
25	Board of County Commissioners in a few weeks on

- 1 that. Then it will be reviewed. They'll provide
- 2 comments and it will come back for three different
- 3 things, potentially.
- 4 It will at least be -- the land use map
- 5 amendment up for adoption and the Development of
- 6 Regional Impact approval will be heard at the same
- 7 hearing. Potentially they'd hear the zoning, but
- 8 it may well be trail behind at a later hearing.
- 9 So, we're several months away. Probably late
- summer, sometime in late summer.
- MR. BRUNSON: Okay. And in your opinion,
- this -- you granting us this easement would help
- you in your presentation to the -- to the board?
- MR. INGRAM: It's -- it is -- it's certainly
- an effort to -- to make -- make you all feel more
- 16 comfortable about the prospect of this project in
- this -- in this area.
- We -- we would love to have your support.
- 19 You don't necessarily support this solely because

20	you accepted the easement. We'd like that, but
21	we're here offering it and and wanted to see if
22	the form's acceptable to you.
23	MR. BRUNSON: I understand. But the way I
24	look at this, though, I don't think we're going to
25	take a vote as a board whether we would go to

1 and I think we'd have to talk personally at the 2 board whether we approve -- had an objection to it. But I -- I think it's good information us to 3 4 have. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tom, I have a question. Who 5 brought up or how did the discussion start about 6 changing 312/13? 7 8 MR. INGRAM: To the -- to the alignment that we're going with now? 9 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh. MR. INGRAM: Well, there's a couple of 11 12 issues. The -- the earlier alignment goes through 13 lands that were acquired by the board of trustees 14 of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, which is 15 the Governor and Cabinet, and also by the Water 16 17 Management District. They -- they own it 50/50. Anytime you build a road or other 18

infrastructure through a public park, essentially,

20	it requires their permission. Y'all are probably
21	familiar with the efforts to widen Mickler Road up
22	there by the Guana. This is probably not the same
23	magnitude, though the District has expressed its
24	preference for the alternate alignment. It it
25	avoids impacts to the Twelve Mile Swamp.

1	That sort of issue will be, you know
2	that's really how it came up. I mean, the
3	the the shaded area just to the east of the
4	Twelve Mile Swamp, that land is owned by Flagler
5	Development Company. It's the Lemberg South
6	tract.
7	MR. WUELLNER: It's this here.
8	MR. INGRAM: So, what it does is it takes
9	takes it right away over on to our land, instead
10	of across the land that's owned by the you
11	know, the Governor, Cabinet, and the District.
12	It's, you know, complicated.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the State owns all the
14	light green colored property there.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And that's just my only
16	concern. Because when we had discussed this, and
17	I think Bryan and I went to Tallahassee and
18	Senator King and whatever, about a year ago,
19	dealing with this extension and our 10-year plan,

20	that's my concern. It puts a big damper on our
21	10-year plan. And look what's happened to us in
22	one year.
23	I mean, that could really significantly
24	except apart from the easement, the avigation
25	easement, I'm talking about, the relocation of

1 312, with now you don't need that other access 2 road, which as Doug said, now, that's not going to 3 deal with FEC. It changes a lot as to what was presented before, I think, as it affects the 4 airport in the future. 5 6 MR. INGRAM: Well, there are -- there are certainly a lot of challenges for the Airport 7 Authority to add a second runway there. This 8 would just be one of -- one of many. 9 You've got the railroad. You have U.S. 1. 10 You've got land owned by the Governor, Cabinet, 11 and the Water Management District. You've got 12 land owned by Flagler. Yeah, it's -- and that's 13 why it's a long-term vision plan, is there's some 14 challenges. But no doubt. 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I understand. I agree. I 16 just wanted to know how it came about because, you 17 know, five years ago, they said we'd never have 18

commercial service here, either, so...

20	MR. WUELLNER: I I know you guys have come
21	a long way in the last year, but do you guys
22	philosophically still not really care which
23	alignment, or is it is that all kind of now
24	obviously in a year you've moved beyond that point
25	of, it didn't matter to you.

1	MR. INGRAM: You could end up in a
2	circumstance where you have one government entity
3	saying move it on to the Water Management District
4	property and another saying, hell, no, I'm not
5	going to allow that, because you've got a
6	perfectly good alignment through your own
7	property. So so, yes, we we are actively
8	pursuing the alternate alignment. It's
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Anymore board
10	discussion?
11	MR. WUELLNER: Has has DOT moved off that
12	position?
13	MR. HANSON: Which position?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Did did DOT move off the
15	original they seemed steadfast a year ago on
16	the original alignment. Have they
17	MR. HANSON: It greatly simplifies the
18	right-of-way acquisition with the alternate
19	alignment.

20	MR. BURNETT: That was Karl Hanson.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Kelly, did you want last
22	comment?
23	MS. BARRERA: Well, even with the old
24	extension on 312, you were going across the
25	waterway. The the amount that you're going

- 1 across that last little square into Cordova Palms
- 2 across the waterway, you're -- you're using that
- 3 same amount of pavement.
- 4 MR. INGRAM: It's the land further south.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right. Where the runway
- 6 would extend it.
- 7 MS. BARRERA: Uh-huh.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or too close. Okay. Doug,
- 9 but from us, we're just here to be informed --
- 10 MR. BURNETT: It's --
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Or what do you -- what are
- you asking?
- MR. BURNETT: Well, Tom may have a request.
- 14 I'll let him speak first.
- MR. INGRAM: I just ask if -- if you all are
- okay with the -- with the avigation easement as
- 17 written, because we would like -- we've -- Doug
- and I have exchanged e-mails for a while now and
- would like to bring that to closure, if it's -- if

25

•	
20	it's written acceptably. If we need to go back
21	and look at it more, that's fine, too.
22	MR. BURNETT: And it's entirely up to you
23	what, if any, action you take today. I think
24	Mr. Wuellner and your staff may be looking for

guidance with the -- the question, if you're going

1	to review the documents, how much of the property
2	do you want it to cover?
3	The issue of the school, I guess if there's a
4	change in the school property, they could write in
5	their DO language related to that or that you get
6	notice of any change in the development plan,
7	which then resolves that issue.
8	Whether there's any other issues that concern
9	you, you know, that the only thing that I see,
10	and I I guess part of my job is to try and
11	break things down.
12	And for what it's worth, if I can break this
13	one down, when I look at what is the difference
14	between this project and the Ponce project, which
15	is now called Madeira, the Ponce project gave you
16	an avigation easement for being in proximity to
17	the airport. Similar to this.
18	The only added twist to this really that
19	you're faced with is the fact that the the

- runway 13 -- 13/31, is actually in alignment with
- 21 the property and will clearly have a flight -- the
- flight path going over the property. That's the
- added component to it.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, that's one.
- MR. GEORGE: There's one other added

1	component to it, also, and that is we didn't
2	for our 20-year plan
3	MR. BURNETT: Sure.
4	MR. GEORGE: we didn't need any of the
5	Ponce property. You know, when we first started
6	talking to Heidi, you know, about this whole
7	thing, it was as one member of the board. It was
8	my concern that we were going to attack this one
9	step at a time when it really has to be all put
10	together.
11	In other words, we were looking to acquire
12	some of that land from you guys over there for the
13	expansion plan. And Heidi and I were talking
14	that, you know, let's let's lay all of our
15	cards on the table. What is everything that we're
16	going to need for our plan, and you lay down what
17	you need for your plan, and then we'll see where
18	we go from there.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. My my position is

20	that if they're going to have a development, we're
21	going to have to have an easement, I mean an
22	avigation easement, no question. And obviously
23	we we have input into it, and my input is I'm
24	very concerned about future development of this
25	airport, whether it take an act of God or whatever

with the government. So, I have reservations, I 1 2 mean, very much. Obviously, if that's where 310 -- 313's going 3 to be, we can't change much of that. But I 4 just -- I am not really in favor of the way it's 5 written because of that move of 312 or 313. I'm 6 not sure that's in your control. 7 8 MR. INGRAM: Yeah. I just want to make -make clear our position on this. If it's -- if it 9 were the intent of the board to oppose this 10 project, then -- then we just -- we wouldn't want 11 to be promising easements and that sort of thing 12 to you. 13 I mean, if -- if this -- and I'm not -- we're 14 not asking that you come out and support, wave 15 signs or anything, but if -- if -- if y'all are 16 17 satisfied with the project and are going to remain

neutral or support the project, then we are more

than happy to give you the avigation easement to

18

- 20 take care of those issues later.
- 21 MR. GEORGE: Can I make a motion?
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm not sure we need a
- 23 motion --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- but you can discuss, by

1 all means. 2 MR. GEORGE: I -- I would like to suggest 3 then that our staff put together the total impact on our plans for the next 15 years, whatever it 4 includes, and identify what properties are owned 5 or influenced by this organization, you know, 6 that's requesting this easement. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You mean like an overlay would --9 MR. GEORGE: Right. 10 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 11 MR. GEORGE: So that we have a -- you know, 12 with alternatives. If -- if we can't get this 13 property, you know, then maybe one of the 14 alternatives, we have to commit for it now, you 15 know? 16 But in any event, the avigation easement with 17 18 the caveats that we've added -- asked you to add

in, that would seem like it meets everybody's

20	recounts, but our but our concern is of the
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The definition of flight ops

- and that.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, right.
- MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think, too, another
- point, unless I missed my guess here, the -- the

1	alternate route location would likely no longer be
2	a limited access, which was the original 312
3	concept. The FDOT alignment, if you recall, had
4	really very few points of entry entrance on it.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
6	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure that this location,
7	especially looking that it, you know, abuts a
8	significant piece of Flagler's property, probably
9	is more characterized as a county road and has
10	more normal access roads and points of access that
11	would be granted up and down its corridor. So,
12	the character of that road would be significantly
13	different than what was originally proposed.
14	MR. GEORGE: Well, that's something Staff
15	should consider when they get back to us.
16	MR. WUELLNER: And I I'm a little curious,
17	too, whether, while I hear hear them saying DOT
18	has, you know and I I certainly agree; it's
19	an easier permitting road to a from a DOT

20	standpoint.	
21	I'm a little curious as to what aviation	
22	coordination's gone on with the aviation state	
23	aviation office with surface transportation here	
24	relative to protecting the interest the State's	
25	already invested in this airport. So, I'm I'm	

- 1 at a loss to tell you what coordination, if
- 2 anything's, gone on at this point between --
- 3 within DOT on this -- this single issue.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Since our March 10th meeting
- 5 is so close, and I know they want to move --
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: How soon do you -- I mean, I
- 7 know yesterday would be fine, but I mean --
- 8 MR. INGRAM: Well, we have our -- our
- 9 transmittal hearing in two weeks?
- MR. HANSON: March 4.
- MR. INGRAM: March 4. And then after that,
- there is a hiatus, a couple of months at least,
- between then and the next hearings.
- MR. WUELLNER: And the transmittal hearing is
- with Board of County Commissioners?
- MR. INGRAM: Yes.
- 17 MR. BURNETT: They went to PZ -- Planning and
- 18 Zoning Agency a week ago.
- MR. BRUNSON: Madam -- you know, I hate to

20	mention this word, but this is very serious to the
21	future of the airport, and I think that we should
22	get some answers, have a workshop, get the DOT,
23	and invite Charles Baldwin here and and do the
24	overlay and ask some questions of Doug. And
25	and possibly should support it and with certain

1	recommendations.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right now, then, so they can
3	move forward with their transmittal, whatever,
4	could we remain neutral pending what we the
5	information that we want?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. How does this go
7	forward, Doug, relative to I mean, is there
8	a is next week a critical point relative to our
9	participation of the process, or is that later on?
10	MR. BURNETT: That's that's not the
11	easiest one for me to answer. If I was answering
12	as a layperson, I would answer that it's not
13	critical in the process.
14	If you're asking me as the attorney who's not
15	just the attorney for the Airport Authority, but
16	also an attorney who represents a lot of
17	developers, every step for a Comprehensive Plan
18	amendment or a DRI that encompasses a
10	Comprehensive Plan amendment is critical

20	So, it I don't think, though let me
21	let me couch that with a couple of other things,
22	though. You've got a project that when it goes to
23	the DCA, transmittal means it's being transmitted
24	to the Department of Community Affairs, and
25	they're going to take a look at it and weigh in

1	with any comments of concerns that they have
2	related to the project. And it will come back
3	to presumably it will come back to the Board of
4	County Commissioners for an adoption hearing.
5	Then you'll have hearings there where you can
6	potentially have good opportunities to be able to
7	adjust the plan or add conditions to the
8	development order that need to be in place. So
9	but, yeah, next Tuesday is a critical thing for
10	their for their project.
11	I also, while while I've been asked the
12	question, will tell you that I think a project
13	like this in the current environment, probably the
14	only way that it has legs, if you will, is because
15	of the attractiveness to the county of getting the
16	right-of-way for the 312 Extension, or 313, as
17	it's called now.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That that was my point
19	that they can't do anything about it. If 312 is

20	going to be there, it's going to be there; we're
21	not going to have much say-so in that.
22	That's why I was, we look at it and say, all
23	right, it's going to have this much impact on our
24	airport, we can't change that because that's where
25	312's going to be, then obviously it would behoove

1 the airport to have the avigation easement, yes, 2 and support it. But having this thrown at us right now with 3 Ed's questions, not sure what went on with DOT and 4 5 the State and if all of our interests are taken 6 care of, or at least thought of, then we're okay. MR. WUELLNER: I mean, certainly if DOT's 7 8 come to the conclusion that the 313 corridor, as it's being advocated today, the benefits of that 9 outweigh the investment they've made in the 10 airport and their concern over this airport's 11 ability to expand, and -- and that's an informed 12 decision, then fine. I mean, we're not -- you're 13 14 right; we're not going to -- you know, that's your best shot. 15 16 MR. BRUNSON: And we're -- the Airport Authority's part of the MPO. The County's part of 17 the MPO. We've got input into this. And we need 18

to know what's going on. And we need to have a

20	workshop and do it immediately.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, again, my suggestion
22	is, and it's just one person here, we remain
23	neutral, so it's not for or against, so they can
24	go to their transmittal saying, not against it,
25	and get us this information March 10th and make a

1	decision so they can deal with the the
2	development they need to deal with.
3	MR. BURNETT: And the development agreement
4	right now as written has language in it that in
5	the that, excuse me, the development order as
6	written right now has in it that they're going to
7	grant an avigation easement.
8	If if anything, you may want to put
9	comments related to this at least let me
10	make a suggestion. Maybe determine whether or not
11	this avigation easement let's assume everything
12	is the best the best the Airport Authority's
13	going to get out of this is the avigation easement
14	at the end of the day, just for the sake of that
15	assumption for right now.
16	You may want to make comments right now on
17	this avigation easement and decide whether the way
18	it's proposed is okay with you, at least palatable
10	to you. And if that's true, then at least for the

20	purpose of transmittal at Board of at the Board
21	of County Commissioners' hearings next week, that
22	this be or any change that's made to it, that
23	whatever the avigation easement is that you think
24	is okay, that that be included within their
25	nackage so that when it's transmitted, the

1	avigation easement as proposed is going to
2	actually go to the DCA, and that way we know
3	exactly what what we're all talking about.
4	In the interim, before it comes back for
5	actual adoption, then you'll have time to study it
6	and look at it in more detail to decide whether or
7	not you need to make a stand at the adoption
8	hearings.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think, from what
10	I've heard from the board, is if you define flight
11	ops and in the the DO, put in, if that's okay,
12	I haven't heard any other objection with regards
13	to the easement. If we can't do anything about
14	312. I think that's what you're asking.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I don't think you can do
16	anything about 312 relative to finding out where
17	the State is as a whole picture as rapidly as next
18	week. What we we can get that question posed
19	and start that dialogue, but I it's not a

- six-day or seven-day answer.
- 21 MR. INGRAM: I -- I just want to clarify. We
- haven't -- we've been speaking with the road --
- MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- MR. INGRAM: -- people at DOT, not the
- aviation people.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
2	MR. INGRAM: So, you're probably having that
3	first conversation with them.
4	MR. WUELLNER: We've got the DOT aviation guy
5	here.
6	MR. INGRAM: There he is.
7	MR. WUELLNER: So and I think we've got an
8	opportunity directly with the secretary coming up
9	in a couple of weeks, will be down here touring
10	our facility. So, I think we've got a you
11	know, an opportunity to get some of this on the
12	table and get some attention at a fairly high
13	level and make just make sure that this has
14	been properly addressed and considered by the
15	State before we jump, you know I think, again,
16	if the State's determined it's in their best
17	interest, then that will then you're not going
18	to have a lot of change
19	MR. BRUNSON: It still would be nice to know

- 20 how this is going to affect our --
- MR. WUELLNER: I -- I agree.
- MR. BRUNSON: -- long-range plan.
- MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. But -- but that's your
- best shot.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did you want to add

1	something briefly?
2	MR. LAMP: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know you didn't expect to
4	talk on this, but
5	MR. LAMP: That's all right. I think for
6	Gene Lamp, FDOT Aviation out of Jacksonville.
7	The only thing I have about the aviation
8	easement is this two-year I don't know. I
9	heard something about two years after if there
10	was no flight ops or something. As long as the
11	airport has aviation-related activities and there
12	is a state license, then this airport, you
13	cannot I might do some more research on that,
14	but that two-year little clause that they're
15	wanting if you cease flight ops, but as long as
16	there's say Grumman's building an aircraft and
17	it takes them four years to build it before they
18	get their first flight out, then they still need
19	that aviation easement out here. Okay. That

20	two-year little clause in there is going to
21	And then the other thing is that, like I
22	said, this is a I I've heard of it in bypass
23	from the road department side of it, but
24	aviation-wise, I have not seen or made haven't
25	hear asked to make comments on this at all. It's

1 not come across my desk at all. 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. BRUNSON: Good to know. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Okay. Well, like my 4 5 suggestion is, if we define flight ops just to make sure it complies with what the state 6 licensing is and what have you --7 8 MS. BARRERA: Take that clause out. 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 10 MS. BARRERA: That clause has to come out. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then I would want an 11 avigation easement. I mean, I don't want to get 12 the calls, I don't want -- if that development 13 goes through. I think that we would need it. So, 14 in other words, Doug's suggestion I -- I think 15 16 would be the best. 17 MR. BRUNSON: Does this mean we have no room

to negotiate with anything after we sign this?

MR. WUELLNER: Well, we don't sign it.

file:///A//MTG022508.txt (143 of 304)3/3/2008 4:09:55 PM

18

- MR. GEORGE: We don't sign it.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We don't sign anything.
- MR. BRUNSON: Well, I mean, once we accept --
- MR. BURNETT: I think simply -- and I think
- 24 Tom --
- MR. BRUNSON: You don't sign it, Ed?

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: NO.
2	MR. BURNETT: Well, yes, at the end of the
3	day
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Not
5	MR. BURNETT: At the end of the day, yes, it
6	will be signed by a representative of the Airport
7	Authority. But now is not the time to do that.
8	I think what we can probably get the
9	applicant to commit to is to make that
10	MR. GEORGE: Can y'all hold it down?
11	MR. BRUNSON: Turn that up a little bit.
12	MR. BURNETT: is to make the change
13	related to defining how the easement expires. For
14	example, based on what I just heard from Mr. Lamp
15	from DOT, we'll possibly get language that says
16	that if all aviation-related activity or the
17	airport does not have a license and the airport
18	doesn't have an active
19	MR. GEORGE: And the airport

24

MR. BURNETT: -- and the airport does not
have an active license from the FDOT for a period
of whatever, two years -
MR. GEORGE: Twenty. Whatever.

MR. BURNETT: Whatever the time period is --

y'all tell me -- then the easement expires. We

1	get them to make that that language change, and
2	then also that they take the revised easement and
3	include that in their package. That goes to the
4	Board of County Commissioners next week, or add it
5	to their package and say this is part of the
6	transmittal to DOT that way.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
8	MR. BURNETT: Or excuse me, part of their
9	transmittal to the DCA.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But we're not signing
11	anything, but what they can do is then say this is
12	the easement we want to grant
13	MR. GEORGE: That's right.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: if we're going to do
15	this.
16	MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And then you've got
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We can still
18	MR. BURNETT: plenty of time to evaluate

it to make a decision. And -- and what you do

19

20	right now is not weigh in potentially you don't
21	weigh in on the project one way or the other in
22	between now and next Tuesday
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's
24	MR. BURNETT: and you wait and let it get
25	transmitted, and then you have your follow-up

1	meetings. And then if we need to talk to the
2	applicant more before it comes back for the
3	adoption hearings, we talk to them and maybe have
4	a workshop and and the like in the interim.
5	MR. GEORGE: One thing on the flight ops, the
6	definition, if the State or the feds or whoever
7	owns land here, that we have ceased to operate, in
8	a wartime situation, they could reactivate this
9	base. So, something's got to be put in there that
10	in the event that, you know, the federal
11	government, state government, local government
12	decides to reactivate, you know, operations, then
13	it goes back in effect.
14	MR. BURNETT: Well, and the the question
15	on that is right now, the three of the
16	provisions, E, F, and H, I think it is, are
17	personal to the Airport Authority
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
19	MR. BURNETT: and you can't assign or

25

20	transfer them. And, you know, that's that's
21	part of your your concern with it.
22	MR. GEORGE: Fine. Just take the two-year
23	goes away out and leave it there. You know, if
24	this operation at the airport ceases, then

somebody living in one of the residences up there

is not going to hear the noise and it's going to 1 2 be a dead issue. So, don't let it go away. 3 MR. BURNETT: That -- and that's for y'all to say. And then the other thing is whether or 4 not -- and I hear that part of it. The second 5 part, though, is whether you want it to be 6 assignable or transferable, because right now, it 7 8 doesn't go -- those provisions do not run with the land, correct? 9 10 MR. INGRAM: Which ones? MR. BURNETT: E, F, and H. They don't run 11 with the land. They're personal. 12 MR. INGRAM: Well, the rights held by the 13 Authority under E, F, and H are personal to the 14 Authority. So, as long as the Authority runs the 15 airport, which I -- I assume would be forever, 16 17 then -- then you have them.

But the issue is, are there any third-party

beneficiaries to those rights? For example, a

18

19

20	pilot flies and he he sees smoke. Our position
21	is, his first call ought to be, well, probably to
22	the FAA and also to the Airport Authority so that
23	we can all work this out and get it fixed, but not
24	for him to hire Eddie Farah and go after whoever
25	you know, caused the caused the incident.

1	And as to the If there were a war, I I
2	don't believe that citizens have the right to shut
3	down military flight operations. At some point,
4	there is the practical reality that, you know,
5	that's the sound of freedom, right, if jets are
6	using it. What I'm told, when I hear the planes
7	going over my house at NAS Jax, it's the sound of
8	freedom, so
9	MR. BURNETT: So right now, the way it's
10	written, Tom, you wouldn't say that let's say,
11	for example, the State takes over the airport.
12	MR. INGRAM: Uh-huh.
13	MR. BURNETT: They would still be a
14	beneficiary to the easement?
15	MR. INGRAM: I if we need to clarify that
16	it's successors in interest
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, that's what I was going
18	to suggest.
19	MR. INGRAM: such as the State, such as

- 20 the U.S. Navy, that's -- that's absolutely fine.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think that's what we need
- to do to make sure it runs with the land as
- 23 successors in interest.
- MR. INGRAM: Well, okay. But not -- yeah,
- 25 that's fine. We can work that out.

1	MR. GEORGE: One other one other quick
2	question. This this residential, would you
3	envision this residential area would have a
4	homeowners' association like most of them do?
5	MR. INGRAM: Yes. To the extent it's for
6	sale products, yes.
7	MR. GEORGE: Let's go back to Mr. Bryan to
8	Bryan's
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: One voice.
10	MR. GEORGE: comment about, you know, if
11	they have a complaint you know, they're not
12	supposed to because of the easement. But if they
13	do, take it up with their homeowners' association
14	and that organization can officially come talk to
15	us.
16	MR. INGRAM: I think that would hurt you,
17	because now that creates an opening in the
18	easement itself, saying, well, you don't have a
19	right to complain, but if you do anyway

- MR. GEORGE: Well, then, putting it in there
- 21 that if you do, then call the airport, that also
- opens the door. So, close them both.
- 23 MR. INGRAM: I -- I know where you're trying
- 24 to go, I do. I --
- MR. GEORGE: Fine. Then I'm sure you guys

1	can come up with a way to get there.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: One more, because we need to
3	go forward.
4	MR. GORMAN: We do need we do need to wrap
5	this up. And we're going to have to this board
6	is going to have to, as an entity, decide whether
7	they're going to, you know, really support it or
8	not support it, and to do that, we're going to
9	have to look long term whether or not our 10-year
10	plan is totally abridged or not abridged. And so
11	I agree with Randy; we need a workshop. Look in
12	depth.
13	MR. BRUNSON: You need all that white area.
14	We need to know
15	MR. GORMAN: And, right, we need to see where
16	our land is and and just discuss this in depth.
17	It's not going to happen in ten days, it's just

MR. GEORGE: Well, I think what Doug is doing

18

19

not.

- is he's giving us the ability to let them press
- forward, but we still have a place down the road.
- MR. GORMAN: Get past --
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Janet can
- only take --
- MR. GORMAN: The details of the easement

1	are can be handled in the next ten days, but
2	not the decision.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, my suggestion is,
4	go ahead and make some changes to that easement,
5	whatever we can, we can. Let them produce, this
6	is the easement we we want to give. The
7	Airport's neutral right now. They're going to
8	have their meeting, whatever. He doesn't even
9	have to get that far. Just that the Airport's
10	neutral until we can get a full disclosure on to
11	what impact it has. I don't want to stop them
12	going forward.
13	MR. GEORGE: That's right.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: But I want to make sure that
15	we're all protected here with the airport and our
16	development.
17	MR. GEORGE: I second that.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that all right?

MR. GORMAN: Yes.

19

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN:	Is that enough direction	?
----	-----------------	--------------------------	---

- We don't need a motion. I just want to make sure
- 22 that --
- 23 MR. GORMAN: We're clear.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ed?
- MR. GEORGE: Let's approve it while Randy's

1 gone. 2 MR. WUELLNER: I'm good. I think that's --3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So Cordova can go forward 4 and get to their transmittal and do what they need 5 to do. MS. BARRERA: And they'll make those 6 7 adjustments. That's with those adjustments, the 8 neutral. MR. WUELLNER: When we get enough -- enough 9 of a factual base, we'll get a workshop scheduled 10 and y'all can -- or an extended agenda item and 11 we'll get it through -- get through talking. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. BRUNSON: I agree. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: Have you got enough to --17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: To go? 18 9.B. - CONSOLIDATED RENTAL CAR FACILITY BID AWARD MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Next item I have is the 19

20	consolidated rental car facility bid award. I'm
21	delighted to inform you, probably a testimony to
22	how the construction industry is at this moment,
23	but we received 11 bids for this this project,
24	the lowest bidder being Hashman Construction
25	presented a low bid of \$609,888.97, and has a

1	recommendation from Passero relative to the
2	content of the bid.
3	Bids range from this number all the way up
4	through about \$1.1 million for the same facility.
5	And it would be Staff's recommendation that you
6	award the rental car facility to Hashman
7	Construction at \$609,888.97.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? Reba? No?
9	Michael? No? Mr. Martinelli? Public comment?
10	(No public comment.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right. That's
12	all I have for public comment. Board comment?
13	MR. BRUNSON: Refresh my memory; what is the
14	time of the payback on this?
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's less than one year.
16	MR. BRUNSON: Less than one year.
17	MR. GORMAN: That was my question, too.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, I think we've looked

- at this pretty -- not exactly the bid --
- MR. WUELLNER: Right.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- but looked at the
- viability and return on investment, what have you.
- MR. BRUNSON: I thought with this good bid,
- it would be nine months.

1	MR. WUELLNER: It may very well be, caging it
2	with less than a year.
3	MS. BARRERA: Because we did originally plan
4	for the bid to be higher.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Okay. I'll entertain
6	a motion.
7	MR. BRUNSON: I'll make a motion.
8	MR. GEORGE: Go ahead.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Randy?
10	MR. BRUNSON: Go ahead, Wayne.
11	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we accept
12	Staff's recommendation and give them the authority
13	to proceed.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
15	MS. BARRERA: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
17	discussion?
18	(None.)
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting

- 20 Staff's recommendation as made in the motion, say
- 21 aye.
- MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- MS. BARRERA: Aye.

1	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
3	(No opposition.)
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the
5	motion will carry.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.
7	9.C AIRPORT TERMINAL ADVERTISING CONCESSION
8	MR. WUELLNER: Now it's make a little money.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
10	MR. WUELLNER: The next item I have is the
11	airport terminal advertising concession. We had
12	four RFP inquiries from companies to our
13	solicitation. We received one proposal, a company
14	by the name of Departure Media, which we have met
15	with previously.
16	We're prepared to enter into a five-year
17	agreement with one-year additional options, with a
18	30 percent revenue share estimate guaranteed to
19	the Airport Authority each year. That estimate is

20	at least \$20,000 a year conservatively.
21	I wanted to share with you some of the things
22	in the actual proposal documents. The left is a
23	floor plan, so to speak, of the terminal area
24	proper, and it indicates and you're welcome to
25	review these at any time you want but it's a

1	drawing they provide to show the advertising
2	opportunities as they saw them from an advertising
3	vendor, so to speak, or an advertising eye within
4	the main terminal area.
5	They even came up with this suggestion for
6	perhaps how to enhance the airside view of
7	passengers arriving at St. Augustine with
8	something similar to what's shown on the
9	right-hand side of the of the slide you're
10	looking at.
11	Some of the inter inside operations
12	this is the west interior wall where the the
13	rental car counters are currently located as space
14	that could be leased. This isn't space that has
15	been leased. It's just shown for concept.
16	Areas could be reserved I've had that
17	question from at least one Authority member, that
18	area could be reserved in there for Airport
19	Authority message versus selling all the space.

25

20	So, that that certainly can be done. Again,
21	it's just trying to point out the ideas.
22	The picture to the right shows the area over
23	the restrooms and the public area, the not the
24	landside portion of the terminal. Left on this

slide shows the gate hold area and the area of

1	gate A as the ability to do space there. And
2	again the restroom area in the same gate A area.
3	Similar concept on the the gate B area, I'm
4	sure.
5	And also you can see that using the space
6	above the above the doors or in the area of the
7	north and south walls of the terminal.
8	And one last slide. The right slide shows
9	how the area, area around gate B for the
10	concession side of the back area could be
11	advertised, also. And then they had threw out
12	some concepts for under the bag claim area as some
13	banner-type advertising. Not necessarily
14	positioned exactly like that, because I think
15	you've got a poke-in hazard on somebody standing
16	there, but certainly could be oriented to the
17	other side of the pole even and made additional
18	advertising opportunities in there.
19	They handle everything from the installation,

20	the sale of these things. They handle everything
21	but up and to including just writing us a check
22	for the 30 percent of the advertising revenues.
23	Pretty straightforward agreement. It's
24	again, it's a five-year agreement. It would have
25	one-year renewal options on it out to a maximum

term limit of ten years. Then it would be up to 1 2 you beyond that. 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And they do -- their 4 5 references included airports like Brunswick, 6 Charlotte, trying -- they at one point did some work for Jacksonville. They may or may not be 7 under contract with Jacksonville at this point. 8 They chose to terminate their relationship a few 9 years back. And they're pretty much an airport 10 media company. 11 12 MR. GEORGE: Is there --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let me open up to public 13 14 comment. Victor? MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. 15 16 MR. WUELLNER: While he's coming up, one more 17 comment. They are a DBE/WBE firm, also, which 18 allows you to claim credit for these types of

contracts against your federal requirement for

19

- disadvantaged business enterprises and women-owned
- business enterprises.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
- MR. MARTINELLI: Two -- two questions. One,
- verification of their revenue that you get 30
- percent of, how -- how is that done? And

1	secondly, if there's any problem, what are the
2	remedies? And Doug, that's for you.
3	MR. BURNETT: Sure. The agreement is very
4	much like the rental car agreement, and there are
5	a number of controls put in place to make sure
6	there's good accounting and good accounting
7	practices.
8	First of all, they've got to do an annual
9	audit to show us. If we don't trust the results,
10	we can hire our own accountant to have to
11	perform an audit. They have to make their books
12	available to us. We can then do our own audit.
13	If there's a discrepancy there, they've got to pay
14	the amount of the discrepancy plus pay for the
15	audit that we had performed.
16	I want to talk a little bit about your
17	your second point I'm not sure if that
18	satisfies the board. Y'all may have further
19	questions.

20	Let me talk about the second point. And this
21	stems from the agreements we've worked on related
22	to the rental cars and the taxicab service. We
23	want to make sure that folks have a professional
24	appearance and demeanor and the like, and we've
25	got some of that language in here.

1	we ve got language in here that the
2	Authority, your staff, is going to review and
3	approval the advertising. It's they're going
4	to come up with a uniform sign plan, which
5	essentially you see here is a uniform sign plan
6	where you've got a cohesive way of the way you
7	send out the message, not a little sign over here
8	and a big sign over here and we just sort of slap
9	one here or there. We've got designated areas for
10	where the signage will go and how it will appear.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Appropriateness of content,
12	too?
13	MR. BURNETT: And it will be done in a in
14	a yes, in a professional way and
15	appropriateness of content. We're not going to
16	have obscene or profane-type messages on there.
17	So and any one of those are material
18	points to the agreement and can cause are cause
19	for the termination of the agreement. And

20	anything that violates that, the Airport Authority
21	can remove the signage without liability to the
22	Authority, and immediately remove it. So, we
23	don't have an issue there.
24	So and, you know, did a little bit of
25	research so I could understand what it is that

- they actually do. And essentially they act as
   your independent -- an independent company to you,
   not under control by the Airport Authority. And
  - 2 1100 what control of the 1 111 percentage 1 1110
- 4 they go out and solicit the advertisers and spend
- 5 the effort to do that and contract with the
- 6 advertisers. They do the advertise -- they --
- 7 they actually do the installation of the
- 8 advertisement, and then they're responsible for
- 9 going out and collecting and then pay the Airport
- 10 Authority a percentage of the revenues. So -- and
- it's 30 percent --
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. BURNETT: -- that's in there. I'm not
- sure if that answers everything you asked,
- 15 Mr. Martinelli but...
- MR. MARTINELLI: Yes, it does.
- 17 MR. BURNETT: Okay.
- 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have no other public
- 19 comment. Board comment.

- 20 MS. SUTHERLAND: Wait. I have a question.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- MS. SUTHERLAND: My name's Alice Sutherland.
- And I just want to ask, is there any oversight by
- the airport board or the airport staff as to what
- advertising actually goes in here? Because I'm

1	just concerned that this company may like go out
2	there and solicit Orlando or something to come up
3	here and do that sort of thing, and I don't really
4	want to see Jacksonville airport, you know,
5	signage here, about how wonderful they are and
6	that kind of thing. So, I'm just I'm concerned
7	that the airport maintains some sort of oversight.
8	I I really want to see the local
9	advertisement versus somewhere other in other
10	parts of the country, whatever.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That was my comment; if
12	there's anything in there that they could put an
13	emphasis to, not to limit, but to focus on local
14	businesses. I don't want to limit too much, but I
15	would prefer to try and see if they can do that.
16	MR. WUELLNER: And I know that's their
17	intent, is to sell it locally. That's where
18	the it's based on a national scale. It's
19	it's not a big attention getter, that this has

20	certainly got the most ad potential by being local
21	and locally-regional.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: So, are you saying there's
23	no restriction? I mean, they could
24	MR. WUELLNER: No, part of the sign the
25	signage plan that they have to develop

1 MS. SUTHERLAND: Right. MR. WUELLNER: -- include -- will include all 2 3 of those kinds of restrictions. Right now, 4 there's a specific prohibition related to content, meaning anything that's --5 MS. SUTHERLAND: Right. 6 MR. WUELLNER: -- objectionable to the 7 airport. 8 MS. SUTHERLAND: Right. Good. Okay. Thank 9 10 you. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. All right. No more 11 public. Board comment, Doug? 12 MR. BURNETT: Yeah, my only immediate 13 reaction to that is going back to where local 14 governments attempt to, for example, give local --15 16 give locals a discount, for example, park passes. If you charge admission to your park, for example, 17 18 the beach, and you want to give a discount to the

locals versus out-of-county folks who come in to

20	visit the park. And the law on that is you really
21	can't do it.
22	The way you pass something on that's of
23	benefit to the residents is to sell season passes
24	that are discounted so that then presumably the
25	the local residences the local residents are

1 the ones buying seasonal passes. 2 Using that example to this, I have a little 3 bit of concern about whether or not we can limit the advertising to a local nature. I'll go back 4 5 and look at that issue. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think that's what Alice meant. It's definitely not what I meant 7 8 about limiting. I just wanted to make a focus, in other words, to include -- if they can, if that's 9 the -- the best advertisement, to focus on that 10 first, and if not, okay. I don't want to limit 11 12 it. MS. SUTHERLAND: I kind of meant limiting. 13 MR. BURNETT: Gotcha. Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You know, like -- well, they 15 16 have the spa here, the spa at Sawgrass, or, you 17 know, the Royal -- you know, the golf course or something like that. And then if they want to do 18

19

something for the New York Times...

25

20	MS. BARRERA: Maybe we could put them in
21	touch with the Chamber of Commerce, the local
22	Chamber of Commerce as their starting point to
23	steer them that way.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING - FEBRUARY 25, 2008

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anymore board comment?

MS. BARRERA: I have a question regarding 1 2 liability. Should one of those signs fall off or 3 something like that, is that covered in the 4 contract? MR. BURNETT: Yes. They are indemnifying the 5 6 Airport Authority, plus they're going to maintain insurance, and the insurance is related to 7 8 liability. And then any vehicles or equipment 9 that would be on-site would have to be insured. So, I mean, presumably they're going to have some 10 sort of lift, a crane-type lift that would lift 11 people up to be able to put those signs in place. 12 So, they're going to have to have insurance on 13 those things. 14 MS. BARRERA: My second question is, do -- do 15 they limit any freestanding displays that we might 16 17 choose to put up? MR. WUELLNER: That we choose to put up? No. 18

MS. BARRERA: Or -- or -- or for -- like, for

- instance, for the Flight For The Cure, the
- 21 freestanding display.
- MR. WUELLNER: That's not a sold
- 23 advertisement, so I don't --
- MS. BARRERA: Just to make sure that there's
- no -- no exclusion of that.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The bike race and other
2	things?
3	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
4	MS. BARRERA: The nonprofit local things
5	that that the airport chooses to
6	MR. WUELLNER: That's kind of what I mean
7	with the Airport Authority can choose to reserve
8	space, for lack of better terms.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any other board
10	comment? Buzz?
11	MR. GEORGE: Is there something in there
12	about a nonperformance clause? Supposing we
13	allocate our walls to them, and it's nine months
14	from now and they don't have the first thing sold,
15	do we get to take it back?
16	MR. GORMAN: Is it cancelable?
17	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, cancelable.
18	MR. BURNETT: Not the way it's currently
19	written.

20	MR. GEORGE: How long would it take you to
21	rewrite it?
22	MR. BURNETT: Very in fact, I just changed
23	one thing, which is they've got an exclusive
24	right, which they have to have in order to be the
25	advertiser

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Right. Yeah, I know.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- 3 MR. BURNETT: But they have the exclusive
- 4 right over, and I've inserted the word
- 5 "for-profit" advertising signage and display.
- 6 That way, everything that's not for profit, we
- 7 still retain, which is a easy change to cover
- 8 everything the Airport Authority may want to do to
- 9 promote the city --
- MR. WUELLNER: But you can add a performance
- 11 clause.
- MR. BURNETT: -- promote the county, promote
- tourism and the like can all be nonprofit
- advertising the Airport Authority may want to use.
- MR. WUELLNER: You can add a performance
- 16 clause in there.
- MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And I can easily add a
- performance clause as well.
- MR. GEORGE: So, you can put a performance

20

21 MR. BURNETT: Yes.

clause in there?

- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. BURNETT: And -- and I guess the mark --
- 24 what the measure of that is would be the only
- question I have. But how do we measure the

- 1 performance and what's the level of performance
- 2 that we want to see?
- 3 MR. GEORGE: Five thousand dollars a month
- 4 minimum fee to us. You're saying \$20,000 is what
- 5 you expect it to be?
- 6 MR. WUELLNER: Twenty a year.
- 7 MR. BRUNSON: Twenty a year.
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Okay. I'm sorry. Twenty --
- 9 MR. WUELLNER: Five a month might --
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, five a month would be a
- 11 little steep.
- MR. WUELLNER: Be nice.
- MS. BARRERA: A percentage of what -- the
- signage available.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. How about if we haven't
- started receiving revenue from the signage in nine
- 17 months? Then we get to cancel the contract.
- MR. WUELLNER: I don't see any reason -- I'm
- sure they have no problem with that.

- MR. BURNETT: Just to -- just to be the
- 21 lawyer for one minute, I could show up and pay you
- \$10 before the nine months' over, so...
- MS. BARRERA: Percentage.
- MR. GEORGE: That's why you get the big
- bucks.

1 MR. BURNETT: A six -- a six-month startup 2 period, and after which, some dollar amount per 3 month. 4 MR. MARTINELLI: Minimum. 5 MR. BURNETT: I mean, I'm just throwing that out as a suggestion. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's got to be a standard 7 8 in the industry for performance. MR. BURNETT: Yeah. There's got to be some 9 startup time. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. 12 MR. BURNETT: Yeah. And then --13 MR. WUELLNER: Let's see what we can find out. I mean, I don't think it's a -- I know it's 14 not a deal killer on their end. 15 MR. GEORGE: If you can't find it, use \$1,000 16 17 a month. That's, you know, \$12,000 a year that's 18 allocated, so...

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just want to remind

20	people,	that's	what	these	are	for.
	propie,	tilet b	*** 11000			101

- MR. JONES: I was just going to give you an
- idea. I'm sorry.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anymore board discussion?
- Okay. There's a -- I guess we have to take an
- action on the bid award, correct?

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. You accept that and the
2	contract and we'll get we'll get those clauses
3	inserted.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Entertain a motion?
5	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we ask Staff and
6	the legal firm to renegotiate the contract to put
7	in the items we discussed and then go ahead and
8	execute it.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
10	MR. BRUNSON: I will second.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
12	discussion?
13	(None.)
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, there's a
15	motion on the floor. All in favor of the motion
16	as presented, say aye.
17	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
19	MR. GEORGE: Ave.

20	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
21	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
23	(No opposition.)
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, the motion
25	passes.

1	MR. BRUNSON: One quick question. When do
2	you anticipate executing this contract and being a
3	representative in the area? Because I'm getting
4	calls already.
5	MR. WUELLNER: I think within two weeks. I
6	mean, I can't imagine he's got there's much
7	to excuse me, of making the adjustments that
8	y'all talked about today.
9	MR. BRUNSON: Okay.
10	MR. WUELLNER: If there is, we probably need
11	to find another attorney.
12	MR. BURNETT: I'll do this tonight.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Just kidding. It's not that
14	difficult. Even even boy attorney here can
15	probably do it.
16	9.D PFC APPLICATION STATUS
17	MR. WUELLNER: Two more informational items
18	that don't really require action but just want to
19	bring you up to date on the PFC application

20	status.
21	It is currently advertised. It is available
22	for our our PFC application is available on our
23	web site for anyone who wants to download that and
24	review the application.
25	We have sent our letter of intent to the

1	airline for comments. The airline is here
2	tomorrow. I don't know whether they're prepared
3	to discuss that with us, but contractually,
4	they've already agreed to have no issues with our
5	PFC application, so that should be a fairly
6	straightforward process.
7	Then the once those are complete, then
8	those things are included in what is now basically
9	a final document. Once those things are appended
10	into the document, it's submitted.
11	Our intention is to begin implementation June
12	1st or not later than June 1st. And that would be
13	entirely dependent on FAA approval of the
14	document. But we're in the final final
15	submittal phase. Everything's been coordinated
16	with FDOT FAA and DOT, and I don't expect any
17	real hiccups or issues at this point. I just want
18	to make you aware that that's the time line to get
19	PFCs finally up and running and and collecting.

20	I know, like Ms. Barrera, I hate to see an
21	airplane leaving every day without collecting some
22	money off of them. For capital, that is.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any
24	MR. WUELLNER: Any questions on PFCs
25	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, any public comment

1	MR. WUELLNER: or any comments?
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: on the PFCs?
3	(No public comment.)
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any board comment? I'm jus
5	glad.
6	MR. BRUNSON: I have one. Just for the
7	visitors' information, in layman's way, would you
8	tell them tell what this PFC means to us.
9	MR. WUELLNER: PFC is an acronym for
10	passenger facility charge. It requires federal
11	authorization to collect this. Airports make
12	individual decisions whether to do it. But
13	essentially it is a per-enplanement fee collected
14	by the airlines on behalf of the airport.
15	The money can only be used for capital
16	expenditures that directly relate to the
17	commercial transportation by air of passengers or
18	cargo. It is a very regulated collection and
10	dishursement under FAA guidelines. They

20	approve review collection data. They also
21	review and approve the plan the Authority has to
22	expend money under the PFCs. So, it has to be a
23	qualifying expenditure under that. But it's a
24	source of revenue that does not exist to a general
25	aviation airport. It only relates to

1	commercial commercial airports and and it's
2	strictly related to enplanements.
3	MR. BRUNSON: Can can we recapture our
4	cost of what we spent for to have commercial?
5	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. That is one of the
6	projects in our application for reimbursement.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
8	MR. GEORGE: You said you were looking at it
9	to be approved by June June 1?
10	MR. WUELLNER: It
11	MR. GEORGE: Does that mean that it starts
12	counting
13	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
14	MR. GEORGE: June 1?
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
16	MR. GEORGE: So, all of the stuff for the
17	past year we get nothing for.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. And and as I was

mentioning -- I got grilled pretty good on Friday

20	by one of the other board members. But one of the
21	good things about the way this particular airline
22	release's schedule is that by getting it in place
23	not later than June, as this, there are future
24	schedules release, then as July 31st rolls around
25	in the next schedule, or block of tickets become

1	available, all of those then become 100 percent
2	subject to the collection.
3	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
4	MR. WUELLNER: So, unlike a traditional
5	airline where it kind of phases in over the course
6	of as long as tickets are for sale, which can be
7	more than a year for certain flight segments, if
8	you if you collected that sold that ticket
9	before the PFC was implemented, then they would
10	not you would not get that collection. So,
11	it's actually kind of helpful the way they sell
12	tickets or release tickets right now.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And also, they've added
14	flights, too.
15	MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: We've had more and more
17	enplanements, obviously, the most we've had.
18	MR. WUELLNER: My my gut feeling is we're
19	going to end up in the 130- to 140,000

- 20 enplanements annualized based on a February year,
- 21 that is with at least four -- four flights a day.
- So, that's a significant -- not only is it a
- significant collection, but it's certainly a
- significant level of enplanements even within this
- state.

1	MS. BARRERA: And what's nice about the PFC
2	is that the airport doesn't have to take very much
3	responsibility for the collection. That's all
4	done at the time of purchase.
5	MR. GEORGE: That's good, yeah.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
7	MR. GEORGE: Do you anticipate Skybus is
8	going to raise their fees because of the
9	enplanement fee?
10	MR. WUELLNER: No. It's it's fairly
11	common at most airports.
12	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Fine.
13	MR. WUELLNER: If an airport had it in place
14	before Skybus showed up, they they're already
15	paying it or already collecting it, I should
16	say.
17	MR. GEORGE: So, with 10,000 9,803
18	enplanements last month, if we're up to the 10,000
19	range in June at \$7 and what?

- MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no. PF -- PFC
- currently is limited at \$4. There -- there's a
- 22 proposal within the reauthorization of AIP which
- 23 has not made it completely through --
- MR. GEORGE: Okay.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- U.S. Congress. If U.S.

Congress -- if all of that flows very well, that 1 2 will be up to about a \$6 level if it -- if it goes 3 through as --MR. GEORGE: Okay. But right now, it's 4 approved for \$4. 5 6 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. 8 MR. WUELLNER: And there's -- there's an administrative fee that gets deducted --9 MR. GEORGE: Based on my --10 MR. WUELLNER: -- but, yes, it's very close. 11 MR. GEORGE: -- dumb math, 4 times 10,000 12 enplanements is --13 MR. WUELLNER: Close to \$40,000 a month. 14 MR. GEORGE: -- \$40,000 a month coming into 15 16 the airport to be used for capital projects, which 17 is where we make a big impact on the money we've 18 been receiving from ad valorem taxes --

MR. WUELLNER: Correct.

- MR. GEORGE: -- to replace that.
- MR. WUELLNER: Correct. And it obviously, as
- 22 enplanements go up, the collections of this go up
- also.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
- MR. GEORGE: Right.

1	MR. GORMAN: And insurance.
2	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
3	MR. WUELLNER: Now, this is this is
4	entirely separate from entitlement funds.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do they remit that monthly,
6	semiannually, annually?
7	MR. WUELLNER: I believe the reporting's
8	quarterly, but I think it's remitted monthly.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Just wonder wait
10	how long how long we have to wait for our
11	money.
12	MR. GEORGE: Right. Where's the money?
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Next?
14	9.E APRON TIE-DOWNS
15	MR. WUELLNER: Next item, just to report
16	back, I was asked at the last Authority member
17	to last member last meeting to review the
18	T the
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Tie-downs?

20	MR. WUELLNER: tie-downs or the come up
21	with a layout or some layout schemes for the apron
22	built back in Taxiway F and G, basically the new
23	apron.
24	We did the orientation and layouts, and
25	regardless of how you lay this out, you're

1	probably looking at a maximum of 25 to 30
2	tie-downs that can be constructed on the apron
3	and and allow for normal wing tip type
4	separations.
5	If this would feature, as we're throwing
6	it out, would be a flexible cable design which
7	would allow you to get as many aircraft in as
8	possible, versus hard-drilling specific parking
9	spots and being limited to that physical
10	dimension.
11	Estimate runs between \$550 and \$625 per
12	aircraft, which put it out in the, I believe, \$12-
13	to \$12- to \$15,000 total expenditure range if
14	you wish to build all of the tie-downs that could
15	be placed out there.
16	My recommendation is they're pretty much
17	off-the-shelf items. There's not a tremendous
18	lead time for any of the materials. It's a
19	project we do in-house. It's not a project we

20	we bid or do anything like that.
21	My suggestion is perhaps we build the
22	westernmost row of these, which would be, you
23	know, 10 10-ish sort of tie-downs, see if
24	there's indeed a market for it. If nothing else,
25	they'll certainly serve to augment people visiting

1	T-hangar areas and the like. It does provide some
2	tie-downs out there.
3	And that's that's the plan. That's the
4	cost. And my recommendation would be to just
5	start with a single row here. So, you're looking
6	about a \$5- to \$6,000 well, it probably won't
7	even be that \$4- to \$5,000 expenditure, max.
8	MR. GEORGE: You said I'm sorry.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to do public
10	comment.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. All right.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment? Reba? On
13	the tie-downs?
14	MS. LUDLOW: I'll wait for Housekeeping.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Michael?
16	MR. SLINGLUFF: These would be the standard
17	cable tie-downs like
18	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

MR. SLINGLUFF: -- like we have for the

19

- anchor planes?
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
- MR. SLINGLUFF: Yeah, I think it's -- it's a
- good plan. We need it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And Victor, Mr. Martinelli?
- MR. MARTINELLI: No, I'm fine.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right. There's
2	no more public comment? Board comment.
3	MR. GEORGE: You said to build the western
4	part first. That would be closest to the
5	T-hangars. Did you mean the eastern, closest to
6	the little retention
7	MR. WUELLNER: No. I meant the western,
8	closest to the T-hangars. That way, it's out of
9	the way of the majority of the apron.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: And and move eastward as
12	demand would dictate.
13	MR. GEORGE: What are you going to do
14	marketingwise to see about anybody that might want
15	to rent one of those? Or do you I didn't see
16	that in the recommendation.
17	MR. WUELLNER: We we don't currently
18	maintain a list. And I can't tell you if we've
19	even received Cindy could probably address it

20	better than I, but whether we've even received
21	requests for people to do tie-downs through our
22	office. But we've not had the product available,
23	so I'm sure we've not maintained any kind of list.
24	But, you know, we can certainly contact
25	T-hangar waiting list, as we do our mail-outs, and

1	let them know they're they would be available
2	if they're interested. That's probably the most
3	expedient way, to start with our T-hangar list.
4	MR. BRUNSON: That's a good place to be on
5	the waiting list, isn't it?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Well, and then we'll let you
7	wrestle with a policy decision moving forward as
8	to whether that constitutes
9	MR. GEORGE: That's what we're here for.
10	MR. WUELLNER: an existing lease with the
11	Airport Authority, and then they would qualify
12	laterally for for a preference into T-hangars
13	or you would just keep it independent. It's
14	let you wrestle with that later.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I it's my opinion that
16	we need to offer these for rent, because one,
17	that's revenue coming in. Now, even if it's
18	only what were some of the numbers we got for
19	renting tie-downs at other locations?

20	MR. WUELLNER: You came back and said there
21	was was it PDK or somebody was getting upwards
22	of \$200 a month for rent. But that that's way
23	beyond
24	MR. GEORGE: That's in a major
25	metropolitan

1 MR. WUELLNER: -- what Florida would do. 2 MR. GEORGE: Maybe a metro -- but if we get a 3 hundred a month --MR. WUELLNER: I think that's probably 4 5 generous. 6 MR. GEORGE: And I realize that's only -- the 25, that's \$2500, but times 12. That's something 7 8 that we're actually getting revenue on. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I -- I don't think you 9 want to build the expectations of revenue to a 10 hundred dollars a month. So, I don't know what 11 we're current -- we -- what are you currently 12 getting over there? It's nowhere near a hundred a 13 14 month, so... 15 MR. SLINGLUFF: No. About \$55. 16 MR. WUELLNER: It's -- Galaxy's currently 17 getting \$55 a month for tie-downs. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: But it's better than

nothing.

19

- MR. WUELLNER: But either way, you're looking
- at a year kind of payoff no matter what you do if
- you get a tenant. So, it's still an excellent
- return on --
- MR. BRUNSON: Good idea.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- what you had to put in.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a motion?
2	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we accept
3	Staff's recommendation and cede proceed with
4	the ones to the west of the ramp at first and
5	start letting people know that they're available.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
7	MR. BRUNSON: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further board
9	discussion?
10	(No further discussion.)
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, all in favor
12	of the motion, say aye.
13	MR. BRUNSON: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
15	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
16	MS. BARRERA: Aye.
17	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
19	(No opposition.)

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none opposed, the
21	motion carries.
22	9.F FBO DISCUSSION
23	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Last of the easy items.
24	So, as I mentioned to the Airport Authority
25	or started this this discussion last at the

1	worksnop we had last last month relative to
2	second FBO, one of the things I was began to be
3	concerned with as we began to look at the overall
4	planning and and land use utilization on the
5	airport, was mixing somewhat dissimilar
6	operational characteristic aircraft on the on
7	the property. And it's a very common flaw that
8	airports fall into, is mixing dissimilar uses
9	and and hoping it all works out.
10	We're at a point of kind of a critical
11	juncture where we can we can avoid that mistake
12	and and look at our significant development
13	areas on the airport probably one last time before
14	they, in a sense, become carved in stone in terms
15	of how they're committed.
16	As I recommended at that workshop, the
17	suggest I suggested we create a couple of
18	working groups, each working group focused on
19	specific development issues on the airport, one

20	being general aviation, the other being more FBO
21	commercial-type development, and then the third
22	group being related to commercial aviation, being
23	air carrier kinds of development concerns, and
24	and putting people who are knowledgeable in those
25	areas or have an interest in those areas, sort of

1	a stakeholder approach, putting them around the
2	table and and allowing them to assist the
3	Airport Authority in in developing a
4	recommended plan or plan that would be brought
5	back to the Airport Authority for consideration
6	once the once the planning has run its course.
7	To that end, you you recall I I drafted
8	a memo, sent that out to y'all in the last month
9	or so related to this, and and to give you a
10	heads-up of what my thinking was. And what I'm
11	I'm recommending is that for a period of about a
12	year, that the Airport Authority in a sense stop
13	talking about second FBOs.
14	Let's go through this planning exercise.
15	Let's look at these three critical areas on the
16	airport with these working groups. Let's develop
17	the requirements and the recommended plan and the
18	cost that's ahead of us and the plan to pay for
19	that and the phasing that might be required to

20	implement these plans. And let's create basically
21	consensus documents from these working groups,
22	bring them back to the Airport Authority and allow
23	you folks to not only digest the contents of these
24	documents, and maybe we can have some brief
25	presentations in each one.

1	But if there are points that overlap,
2	obviously those become policy decisions of the
3	Airport Authority. And and at that point, you
4	folks can, you know, sort out where where
5	emphasis is placed on individual projects or
6	individual recommended plans.
7	I still believe that's the way to go. I've
8	become increasingly concerned about as I
9	mentioned at the workshop, increasingly concerned
10	about the placement of a full-service FBO in the
11	south development. I think that we have a we
12	have a place, or eventually we'll identify a place
13	on the airport for additional FBO development.
14	I'm really concerned with its proximity to
15	the adjacent residential neighborhood, that if we
16	mix a full-service FBO right on top of the
17	adjoining neighborhood to the south, that we're
18	we're begging for problems, from noise,
19	environmentally, and the like. It's it's an

20	area that's really going to develop I think almost
21	elegantly as a light general aviation area. And I
22	think it's someplace that we're always going to be
23	proud of taking that tact.
24	And we've got the user groups in place to
25	input. Let's take advantage of that expertise and

1	that input and and do a real good job with it.
2	And then if the determination is, which I
3	suspect that over time that we're certainly going
4	to be looking for a second FBO, that at that
5	point, you're moving forward with a full-blown
6	normal FBO request that doesn't have the the
7	limitations and the and the difficulty of
8	debate that we've experienced over the last year
9	plus, trying to get us to where we are now.
10	You know, I have to apologize; it's something
11	we probably should have been able to see, you
12	know, a little clearer a little quicker, but it's
13	one of those things as you as you keep meeting
14	with folks, start you keep getting input, you
15	know, some things just eventually click. And in
16	this case, it just it just seemed to make
17	really good sense to take a breath here. Let's do
18	the last shot of really good planning and and
19	see where we end up.

24

- CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I have definite
  public comment on this. So, Reba?
  MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure.
  CHAIRMAN GREEN: Michael?
- down to our land use and our land -- land use

MR. SLINGLUFF: Really, it -- it -- it boils

1	planning. And after hearing about the Flagler
2	Estates (sic), which I'll save for comments
3	afterwards, I think we've got some major issues
4	in in land use planning that that we really,
5	really do need to sit down with with the
6	various groups at various workshops and work it
7	out and and then site where the FBOs are going
8	to be. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Victor?
10	MR. MARTINELLI: I second what Michael just
11	said about the land use. I also want to
12	compliment Staff and the Airport Authority. I've
13	been talking about this pretty regularly at every
14	one of these discussions. And the amount of
15	planning and the amount of of farsightedness
16	that you need to have in order to commit to
17	something like this requires a lot of study and a
18	lot of insight. And I think that my hat's off to
19	Staff here. I think they've done a great job

- of -- of disciplining the thought now which needs
- 21 to go into this. And so I'm really very pleased
- with -- with that program.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mike?
- MR. MICKEL: I'm going to pass.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Board

1	discussion. Jack.
2	MR. GORMAN: Well, kudos to Mr. Wuellner for
3	coming to the conclusion that I think we needed to
4	come to. I had said earlier, and brag about
5	myself, but it was premature. I think it was
6	premature to award an FBO.
7	In just common sense, I think that the spot
8	we've got left to put an FBO on the south
9	development area is too small. I mean, just
10	that's my own personal opinion, anyway. It's too
11	small.
12	And so once our investments mature and we
13	start getting revenue from these hangars and
14	everything else, and once we get the issues, the
15	Flagler issue, all of these issues, concerns
16	ironed out, then it will be time to really go
17	forward without making hasty decisions that we're
18	going to have to pay for later. Especially jet
19	noise.

20	I mean, Madeira is a fact. They're building
21	the thing now. And if you put jets over right on
22	top of Madeira, you're going to have a problem.
23	mean, regardless of the fact that we have an
24	easement, you're still creating problems.
25	MR. WUELLNER: Plus, you've got a whole

1	another subdivision that the development abuts to.
2	You've got all Jackson Park that exists and
3	continues to develop quite rapidly.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Other board comment?
5	MR. GEORGE: Yes. I don't agree with the
6	recommendation, okay? And I don't agree with it
7	for the following reason: I thought we were going
8	at this whole thing of a second FBO to study
9	whether we needed a second FBO.
10	I think all of us have been very adamant
11	about how is a second FBO going to make any money
12	back there without totally destroying the rest of
13	the businesses that are here?
14	I was looking forward to the RFPs and the
15	responses to give us those answers so that we're
16	more knowledgeable. I don't think we know what it
17	takes to run an FBO.
18	So, if we're going to proceed with this way,
19	I can support it, but I strongly recommend that we

20	hire someone from the outside to come in and tell
21	us what is needed to support a second FBO. Maybe
22	we do have, you know, a need to start one. But I
23	would hate to be like a a development that says
24	I'm going to have 70 percent commercial and 30
25	percent residential, and by the way. I can make

1	more money on the residential, I'm going to start
2	that first.
3	I would hate to bring an FBO in and them go
4	after the low-hanging fruit, and all we have is
5	two people competing for the same business if
6	there's not enough business there to do it, so
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
8	Well, I do. I I'm voicing with with
9	Buzz here. I I know the point in planning and
10	land use; I agree with that. But we've been
11	hashing this around for a good year. I don't
12	think tabling it for a year benefits us at all.
13	We've got land use issues coming right now and
14	right away, and we need to make decisions.
15	I'm not the pilot in this group, but I've
16	been to several airports and seen less ops and two
17	FBOs or three FBOs. And competition I believe in
18	business is good. And I don't see how it's going
19	to hurt us provided that we get the right

- 20 information.
- I am not in favor of the year. I'm more with
- Buzz; we need to move, get the information, and
- get this going. So, I'm just very concerned about
- 24 that.
- MS. BARRERA: I think that a year ago, Ed

1	made the suggestion that we look at an outside
2	company to evaluate the FBO. And I have at the
3	time, I agreed with the board's decision, even
4	though I wasn't a board member at that time, not
5	to get with the outside company. I think we
6	should have gotten with the outside company.
7	I think that the land use that Ed makes a
8	great point about the land use. That's
9	that's was something that I think it's it
10	in our planning, we need to be good neighbors.
11	But at the same time, I think that if we had an
12	outside company take a look at it, then we would
13	have an objective viewpoint and maybe that's not
14	the right location for a second FBO. Maybe it
15	needs to be at the north end. But
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, also
17	MS. BARRERA: I think an outside company
18	would be able to look at that objectively and
19	compare apples to apples.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And also, statistically, if
21	it's needed. But I how long does that take? I
22	mean, I'm asking, if you get somebody on board
23	right away, cost and time?
24	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think you had a
25	proposal last time. The the scope's probably

1	slightly different in that it's less about
2	developing what's in the RFP than determining
3	whether an FBO has a probability of of success
4	and where would be a good place to look at it. I
5	think character of the study is a little
6	different. My recollection was, wasn't it around
7	\$30,000 last time?
8	MS. BARRERA: No, was like \$22
9	MR. WUELLNER: Twenty-two, was it? I can't
10	imagine it's that much. You know, if you're
11	that envisioned a lot of back-and-forth kind of
12	meetings with the Airport Authority. I think some
13	of this can be done a little more in a vacuum,
14	only because it's more normal, natural planning.
15	MS. BARRERA: I think it would be prudent.
16	MR. WUELLNER: And then remit something back.
17	MR. GEORGE: I'm not so sure it wouldn't be
18	mandatory to keep it in a vacuum, you know. We
19	haven't been able to come to a conclusion what we

- want a second FBO to do.
- MS. BARRERA: I think it would be prudent.
- MR. GEORGE: So, let's let somebody, you
- know, totally divorced from us --
- MR. WUELLNER: Let's attempt to scope
- something and -- and bring it back to you real --

1	you know, on the
2	MS. BARRERA: 10th.
3	MR. WUELLNER: I think we can get it by the
4	10th easily, is let's let's go out and and
5	see if it's got everything in it you want. And if
6	there's things in it you don't want, we can toss
7	them out and get it recost. And I'm sure there
8	wouldn't be any any difficulty.
9	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I know, because our
11	our land is being used. And I want to put and
12	plan and secure whatever that is. Because we have
13	all kinds of options. I'm assuming this entity is
14	going to come back and say, they build, you build,
15	whatever. But I want to make sure we earmark it
16	for what we need.
17	MR. GEORGE: Right.
18	MR. WUELLNER: And and it's so easy.

One -- one bad land use decision here will gum up,

19

- you know --
- MS. BARRERA: We can see that in the county.
- MR. WUELLNER: -- in perpetuity. And it
- happens all over. So, let -- let's make a good
- decision here, which I know you're trying to do.
- 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Then can we have

I	something by the 10th so we can get moving? We
2	because I know once we even get to that decision,
3	if we are or we're not, but let's say we are down
4	the road, it's still going to take some time to
5	get
6	MR. GEORGE: Oh, gosh, yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any other board
8	discussion?
9	(No further discussion.)
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Hearing none,
11	Housekeeping?
12	10 HOUSEKEEPING
13	MR. WUELLNER: I already sort of covered the
14	first item when we talked under marketing and PR
15	relative to the Aerospace Academy or I guess it
16	was under the Aerospace Academy item earlier.
17	T-hangar waiting list validation, your policy
18	requires that we annually validate the T-hangar
19	waiting list. That was accomplished during the

20	month of February. We contacted by letter 187
21	people on the waiting list, at which point 26
22	names were removed. I assume that was as a direct
23	result of their positive indication they no longer
24	wanted to be on the list or they failed to get
25	back to us in the time, combination of both.

1	Anyway, that leaves 168 names at this point
2	remaining on the T-hangar waiting list.
3	Last item I just want to call your attention
4	to, so you know it's out there, you probably have
5	gotten an earful, depending on where you where
6	you've been on the airport in the last two or
7	three weeks, but runway 2/20, there's a section of
8	runway 2/20 that we've had a pavement failure on.
9	The failure is surface course only, we've
10	been able to determine, which is not terribly
11	unexpected, considering, near as we can tell, the
12	asphalt covering on this is in excess of 30 years
13	old, and as asphalt dries, it becomes brittle. It
14	really does need to be used all the time.
15	We've developed a small project to replace
16	the the failed section. It's along both sides
17	of the center line, and another small section up
18	where runway 2/20 essentially intersects Taxiway
19	Alpha.

20	We've been involved in milling out the broken
21	asphalt at that location and placing a new section
22	of asphalt there. The approximate cost is about
23	\$60,000 total.
24	FDOT has agreed to allow us to append that to
25	the airport infrastructure grant we have as an

1	eligible item, so it doesn't doesn't require us
2	to do anything relative to the grant other than
3	just communicate the change order.
4	And we are proposing to expedite it that we
5	make efforts to include that as a change order
6	with Nooney Construction, who is the site
7	contractor for the T-hangar project. Let's get
8	them to facilitate that, if that works, so that we
9	don't have to go out, package it, bid it and
10	and go through another 60 days or more of delay.
11	If that's it's not really a yes/no kind of
12	thing, but that's what's out there. So, the
13	Authority's expected contribution is approximately
14	\$30,000.
15	We'll get a final answer from Nooney based on
16	what the change order value is. But that's the
17	engineer's estimate of what what's involved
18	here. So reopen it and get it back functional.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

Housekeeping.

- MR. WUELLNER: Which I know everybody's kind
  of anxious that that happen.
  CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you have a comment on
  that?

  MR. GEORGE: I've got a comment on the
  - AIRPORT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING FEBRUARY 25, 2008

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
2	MR. GEORGE: On the T-hangar waiting list,
3	Ed, it would be helpful if if we knew and spoke
4	of it at this meeting how we're going to phase in
5	and make property, you know, new hangars
6	available. You know, the policy that's been in
7	the past of making, you know, our existing
8	clients have them the opportunity to go up and
9	just make some statement about that at the next
10	meeting.
11	MR. WUELLNER: You have to do that.
12	MR. GEORGE: And also give us some idea of
13	when you anticipate you initiating that action.
14	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay?
16	MR. WUELLNER: That essentially is, if I'm
17	hearing you correctly, we would like to review
18	your policy relative to that so that everybody
19	just is on the same page.

- MR. GEORGE: Yeah, just so the public knows
- 21 what it is, you know, rather than after the fact.
- MR. WUELLNER: We'll do that.
- MR. GEORGE: Right.
- MR. WUELLNER: Hopefully, I'll have a better
- estimate of occupancy then, so...

1	MR. GEORGE: Right. Okay. And the second
2	thing is, what's the status of the park?
3	MR. WUELLNER: We're going to beginning -
4	as I've mentioned, we're going to begin to get
5	monthly updates as a part of the project updates.
6	MR. GEORGE: On March 10th?
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
8	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No other Housekeeping?
10	Public comments. We have Reba. Did you have
11	some public comment?
12	11 PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL
13	MS. LUDLOW: Thank you.
14	MR. GEORGE: She always takes a lot of notes,
15	you know?
16	MS. LUDLOW: Reba Ludlow. I don't know if
17	this is the time I should do this, but you don't
18	have anything on the agenda for airport liaison
19	reporting. So, number one, I apologize, because

20	probably I should have done that way back, you
21	know. And I just shocked poor Milly to death, as
22	our president.
23	But anyway, I did want to bring up some of
24	the things that have gone on since our last
25	meeting. Number one since our last Airport

1	Authority meeting.
2	Number one, Suzanne's Tips for Kids' Sakes
3	did go on. And she was representing the airport.
4	We did have a table of 10. And all of the money
5	was donated. Do you know how much was donated?
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. We we collected
7	over \$1100 credited to the airport, and apparently
8	as a group, there were other restaurants that
9	participated, but we donated as a group the
10	largest, you know, because one person may have had
11	four tables, but because we had large tables
12	Passero came. We had a lot of pilots and public,
13	too. Ron Sanchez was there. He had some people.
14	So, the airport was definitely
15	MS. LUDLOW: Personal bankers.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Personal bankers. A lot
17	of the airport got some good press from it, and
18	I know Big Brothers Big Sisters is going to
19	publicize that as well.

20	MS. LUDLOW: Yeah. That was a wonderful
21	thing. And and that wasn't even mentioned, and
22	I thought, you know, we really should have
23	participated more.
24	We did have two PR committee meetings and
25	that and in those meetings, thank goodness to

Buzz and Bryan, we are working to, like what did I

2	say, aggressively promote positive publicity for
3	our airport. That's what we're working very hard
4	to do.
5	We did have a I did attend a Runway Safety
6	Action Team meeting that was good for everyone
7	on the runway incursions and things. We did
8	have a special SAAPA a meeting a special
9	meeting taught by SAAPA for Ed to enlighten us on
10	the runway 2/20, the damage, and the future of
11	that.
12	Now, as far as SAAPA, we did we will have
13	our first Friday, March 7th cookout; then our
14	regular meeting will be March 8th. March 15th, we
15	have a pancake fly-in here. And May 10th, we have
16	an auction. So, I think that oh, I forgot.
17	St. Johns Aerospace Academy, there's a mayor's
18	breakfast for May yeah, March 4th. And it's
19	Women's History Month, and so the theme is Women

20	in Flight.
21	And I've been working with the academy and
22	FCCJ and JU and and all of our lady members in
23	flight. The women, Navy women pilots really took
24	over all of the tables as far as duties and jobs,
25	but we're still going to have participation.

1	Speaking with Joan Salzberg and Carl at the
2	Aerospace Academy, I suggested we might have five
3	girls attend. She called back and said, "We have
4	ten girls that want to attend."
5	And the speaker will be Eileen Collins. And
6	so it's really, really a major thing for these
7	kids. I don't know what they're going to be able
8	to let us do. I don't know if we'll have any
9	duties, but at least we will participate and we'll
10	make sure the Aerospace Academy, you know, shows
11	up as Women in Aviation.
12	And let's see. Let's see. Let's see.
13	Before I take that's why I take notes, Wayne.
14	Oh, and also, I would like to make myself
15	available as airport liaison wait, to attend
16	any of the meetings that any of the board members
17	have or any other meetings that would be pertinent
18	to our airport or SAAPA, any of these things that
19	you think would be good as a liaison to carry back

- and forth. Okay? That's all.
- MR. BRUNSON: And, Reba, I understand your
- web site is up and running again.
- MS. LUDLOW: Yes. Yes. It is up and
- running. We get anybody that has pictures or
- comments. You know, our SAAPA web site was down

- for a little bit. Scott Smith does an excellent
- 2 job. And who knows what happens? The Gremlins
- 3 get in there sometimes. But it's all updated and
- 4 up and running good.
- 5 We have fabulous pictures of the seaplane
- 6 fly-in, that -- a big Keystone -- you know, we
- 7 were represented at Keystone this weekend. You
- 8 know, we only had a few SAAPA -- no, we didn't.
- 9 We had a fly-in of how many, five or seven planes?
- Oh, that didn't go. But five or seven --
- 11 MR. MARTINELLI: Of course, I went. I was
- there.
- MS. LUDLOW: I'm so sorry. I'm so sorry.
- But, I mean, can you remember how many we had?
- MR. MARTINELLI: No.
- MS. LUDLOW: I can't, either. We had a very
- good showing from St. Augustine Airport for the
- 18 Keystone air show, the Collins Foundation.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Michael?

- 20 MR. SLINGLUFF: No.21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Comment? Alice?
- as we went through the meeting. I wanted to make

MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. A couple of things,

- 24 mention again about the advertising thing.
- The hearing from the local tourism community,

1	believe me, these people are chomping at the bit
2	to get in here to the airport. I'm surprised
3	they're not like crawling the doors as we speak
4	now. So, I don't think that's going to be an
5	issue. You know, a lot of money out there, a lot
6	of advertising dollars locally. So, that's
7	encouraging.
8	The PFCs, from a consumer's point of view,
9	you know, I've made mention numerous occasions, my
10	time as a travel agent, and I can remember first
11	collecting these PFCs when we were writing
12	tickets, you know, back in like early '90s, '91,
13	something like that.
14	People are used to these things. You know,
15	it's back when you had a three-line box for your
16	fare ladder calculation, and now it's up to like a
17	ten-line box on your fare calculations. It's
18	it's been in there a long time. It just rolls
19	into it. People don't feel like they're getting,

20	you know, taken advantage of or anything like
21	that. So, not to worry; the consumers are used to
22	the PFC things.
23	I wasn't going to mention anything before
24	about the seaplane event, even though I was like
25	dying to, because I'm like so thrilled with how it

1	all turned out. I think that we may even have a
2	presentation ready, maybe by next meeting, March
3	10th, to show you know, share some of the
4	photographs and everything, because it really was
5	a lot of fun.
6	And I did collect the feedback forms, the
7	survey forms, and every single one of them was
8	positive. And I know Bryan is working hard on,
9	you know, sort of compiling that.
10	And the exciting thing was everybody said
11	that they'd be back. And I even heard from a
12	local resident whose children attended by way of
13	their seaplane, and she was even thrilled because
14	she thought maybe now she was going to get to see
15	her kids more often. So, that was a good thing.
16	On the second FBO, I can appreciate Staff's
17	recommendations about, you know, making sure that
18	you're putting things in the right place. I think
19	you need to move forward with that. I don't think

20	you short should stop short of selecting a
21	second FBO. You're still going to need one
22	regardless of where you put it.
23	It kind of reminds me of my frustration with
24	the TDC, because they've all agreed that we're

like 20 years behind in collecting bed tax fees.

1	So, they re going to wait to collect the bed tax
2	fees until they decide how to spend it. And my
3	thoughts on that is that, you know, you really
4	need to be collecting the money now and you can
5	always figure out where to spend it later.
6	So, I think we really need to move forward
7	with the FBO. You know you need one. You know
8	you're going to need one six months from now. You
9	know you're going to need one a year from now.
10	You're definitely going to need one two years from
11	now. So, you know, rather than not to challenge,
12	you know, public perception of the integrity of
13	the board and even asking for an FBO, you really
14	need to move forward on this. It's been done.
15	It's been done. Let's just move forward on that.
16	So, that's it. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Victor, did you
18	have anything further?
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Yeah. I had two comments.

20	but now I have three.
21	Comment number one has to do with the
22	developments that are planned for the northern
23	side of the airport. There was some discussion
24	and I believe, Randy, you made the suggestion that
25	you have a workshop on that issue. I do believe

1	that that's essential. And the reason that I do
2	is that the impact of that development on this
3	airport and the future of this airport is
4	tremendous.
5	If that development goes through as it's
6	planned, it means that this airport is restricted
7	in its growth to the east side of U.S. 1, and so
8	you're you've got the swamp out there and the
9	intracoastal, and that's about it. North and
10	south, you're you're blocked in.
11	And so, in the thinking of this airport, as
12	far as growth is concerned, you've always I think
13	thought of the future of this airport going west
14	of U.S. 1, maybe moving the railroad and all of
15	those things.
16	I see the strategy, and I may be wrong, the
17	strategy of this developer is the camel getting
18	his nose under the tent with the most difficult
19	piece of that development, which is the closest

20	part to the airport. And if he succeeds in that,
21	then the rest of it is easy, because that
22	automatically stops you from any future growth
23	And I just think it would be disaster for
24	this airport and for the future of it, especially
25	with the future of commercial service

1	possibilities on the field. So that, I think, is
2	extremely important.
3	The second issue has to do with the
4	possibility of a second FBO. And I'm not I'm
5	not an FBO specialist, but I can tell you, I have
6	put a lot of numbers together, and based upon what
7	I see and the future of what I see, a second FBO
8	is going to be a recipe for disaster for the
9	second FBO, simply because there's just not enough
10	business here to do it, and I don't think you can
11	bring enough business in here at this time to do
12	it.
13	Now, down the road, yes. Maybe that is what
14	is going to be called for. But when that happens,
15	again, looking at the limited land use that you
16	have and where that second FBO should go is
17	extremely important. And so when you and
18	especially when you look at general aviation
19	versus commercial versus business, et cetera.

20	And so I think taking the steps that you
21	that Staff recommended are really in order. And
22	secondly, if you want to get a consultant to come
23	in here and look, make sure that the consultant
24	has all of the facts and and that you review
25	those facts with them as well.

1	The third issue, I won't even talk about.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. I know
3	people have been here a long time. I have a
4	couple of more public comments, so just keep that
5	in mind. I want everyone to speak, but just keep
6	it in mind for timeliness. Sacha?
7	MS. MARTIN: Sacha Martin, 133 Coastal Hollow
8	Circle. Is this on?
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
10	MS. MARTIN: Okay. Last week, Vic Martinelli
11	had a very unique opportunity. Ring Power was
12	looking at utilizing the services of DayJet for
13	some additional executives at Ring Power, even
14	though they have their own plane. So, he and I
15	were able to actually interview some corporation
16	representatives and sit in the aircraft.
17	Now, DayJet began service here at the
18	St. Augustine Airport in mid-December. They
19	started operations out of Boca Raton in October of

20	2007. In 2007, October, they started with seven
21	aircraft flying to five what they call day ports.
22	Last week, they have 20 aircraft flying into
23	45 air destinations which reign as far south as
24	Key West, over to Mobile, Alabama, and as far
25	north as Florence, South Carolina.

1	it's a new concept in in aircraft and
2	public access. Instead of buying a part of the
3	aircraft, you buy a seat on the aircraft. And
4	there's a fee of \$250 a year, per person, to be
5	allowed to utilize their reservation system. And
6	then depending on the flexibility that you give
7	the company to book you on a seat from say here to
8	Gainesville and and the window, the time window
9	that is open, each leg will cost you from \$300 to
10	\$1400, depending on when you where you want to
11	go.
12	They claim that a lot of their users are
13	attorneys, financial consultants, and of course
14	regular businessmen, but it's supposed to save a
15	person and, of course, it also saves you the
16	stress of driving your car in bad weather or
17	whatever. Instead of it requiring a
18	three-business-day trip, you can do the same trip
19	in the turnaround, and you don't have to pay for

20	hotels, so that the cost savings outweigh the cost
21	of the of flying there and back to to the
22	company.
23	And they have three passenger jets that
24	it's called an Eclipse 500, with Pratt & Whitney
25	engines, which they claim are noise abatement

1	compliant. You know, we are concerned about the
2	noise factor again. And, you know, Sky Skybus
3	engines are so quiet.
4	And and the interesting thing, too, is
5	it's a total digital system. So, when you book
6	into the system, you are totally computerized from
7	the moment that you get in there until you get on
8	the plane.
9	And, of course, the sell is that you get to
10	the airport, and five minutes later, you're on the
11	plane. And even if they give you a four-hour
12	window, if you show up early, they take off unless
13	they're waiting for somebody else. If you're
14	delayed in getting to the aircraft for traffic
15	jam, then their computer system turns orange or
16	yellow, and that says we've got to go looking for
17	this person because they were supposed to have
18	been there.
19	Anyway, it's a very exciting new concept.

20	It's nice that St. Augustine has a place in this

- adventure in commercial use of the airport. And
- it's also -- was exciting to hear that the
- business appears to be very, very profitable and
- 24 going forward.
- We sat in the seats. They're very

- 1 comfortable. The pilots have -- a lot of them are
- 2 like retired from the American Airlines. They
- 3 flew 30 years. The pilot was funny. He said, "We
- 4 did a survey, and the people that fly with us want
- 5 to see gray hair and bald heads."
- 6 MR. BRUNSON: Y'all --
- 7 MS. MARTIN: So, Randy --
- 8 MR. BRUNSON: Yeah.
- 9 MS. MARTIN: -- there you go. And he said
- one of the -- that one of the comments they got
- back is it's like riding in an SUV, but you can
- take your shoes off.
- So anyway, there -- it was pretty exciting.
- 14 And I -- I took some pictures which -- which Bryan
- has and also wrote up a little story on it, so if
- anybody's interested.
- 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you very much. We're
- expanding again. Joe?
- MR. JONES: Joe Jones, St. Augustine. First,

- I want to say you doing your study now as far as
- where you want to put the different aircraft.
- Separate and everything is probably the smartest
- thing I've ever heard any of y'all say. I mean,
- 24 I'm serious.
- 25 I've talked about this since y'all first

1	started doing the south development, about keeping
2	the planes separated, keeping the jets out of
3	that that side of the airport just for the
4	noise for the other neighbors and everything else.
5	With that saying, with the study you want to
6	do about, you know, separating everything, you
7	think it's worth waiting on the Customs until you
8	come up with your new study to see if you want to
9	put it back over here, where you're going to
10	actually use it over by the corporate section, if
11	you talk about moving the corporate over to the
12	north section.
13	If you are, you know, because what is really
14	the rush on this corporate, anyway? I mean, you
15	come out with some figures one time it was going
16	to be like \$600,000 to build it and \$170,000 a
17	year to run it. Where are you going to get the
18	money back for that? Where is the cost of
19	recovery on that? I mean, have you done actually

20	studies that people are going to use it, going to
21	buy the extra gas or whatever?
22	I mean, right now, if they land in Ft.
23	Pierce, even if they fill up in Ft. Pierce and
24	they fly back here, they're empty. So, they're

still using just as much gas. You're not making

1	extra money, you know. Whether they fly from the
2	Bahamas here, the only gas difference is just
3	between the Bahamas and the Ft. Pierce. So,
4	you can't say it's a whole bunch of gas situation.
5	I mean, have you put out studies saying
6	people from Atlanta is going to stop here all the
7	time, or is it going to be \$250 every time some
8	one stops the customers will pay? Because
9	\$170,000 a year is a lot of money.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Okay. Mike?
11	MR. MICKEL: Thank you. My name is Mike
12	Mickel. I'm from Richmond, Virginia, and South
13	Ponte Vedra Beach. And I know it's been a long
14	meeting so I'll try to be brief.
15	I'd just like to clarify a couple of things,
16	with all due respect, Vic. I have been in the FBO
17	business more than half my life. I know the
18	numbers. And I've been at this airport for 18
19	years, coming in this airport. And I know that I

20	can make money at this airport as an FBO.
21	Right now, at Richmond International, there
22	are three FBOs. They are entertaining a proposal,
23	because they have an interested party, from a
24	fourth FBO. That airport pumps annually
25	approximately 600,000 more gallons than this

1	airport here. And though there may be some, I
2	know very few airports, when an interested party
3	wants to come in and do an aviation business,
4	they they don't even have to go through the RFP
5	process. But I want to play by all of the rules.
6	But I think Alice's comments are right; if we
7	decided today that you have an FBO and they're the
8	ones, it could be at least two years before
9	they're operating business, plenty of time to
10	decide which site.
11	With Mr. George's comment from meeting before
12	last, I propose I'll build the building, I'll let
13	the airport build it; it doesn't matter to us. I
14	have a 120-page pro forma with various scenarios
15	that show I can make money here on either
16	scenario.
17	The worst case, as Mr. George said, I
18	build or the FBO builds you a building and goes
19	out of business. Let private industry make

20	decisions on their profitability.
21	My father, my late father, when he was 18,
22	fought in the Battle of the Bulge, lost the use of
23	his legs, so businesses could have the right to
24	compete in a free enterprise system. And I think
25	we should look at that aspect and move forward,

1	because this has been two years I've been coming
2	down trying to do this.
3	I'll keep I'm not going to give up. I'm
4	tough. It might be three more. But it seems like
5	we've gone through a couple of informal proposals.
6	The meeting before last, the board voted to put an
7	RFP out. And now we're back to try to bring in
8	consultants to decide if we need one. Your
9	surveys said you needed one. You did two surveys.
10	So, with all due respect, yes, I'm a little
11	frustrated, and I'll support whatever the board
12	and the airport wants to do, but I just thought I
13	would put my two cents in. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. I have no more
15	public comment. So, Authority members, Kelly?
16	12 AUTHORITY MEMBERS
17	MS. BARRERA: I think the idea of the
18	consultant is more to decide land use
19	compatibility more than necessarily whether or not

20	there's a need. And that's what I think Ed was
21	saying, that a lot of this stuff has already been
22	decided. It's more of land use and services
23	and and I think whether or not the airport
24	wants to to be a part of that. So, I want to
25	address that.

1	I also want to address some of the
2	improvements that the airport has done in order to
3	try to help the community with noise. They've
4	updated the web site, and you can now go onto the
5	web site, the Airport Authority's web site, and be
6	able to, with a ten-minute delay, find out if an
7	airplane that went over someone's house was
8	actually an airplane from St. Augustine or if it
9	was passing through. And and you can get that
10	information in order for people to make accurate
11	reports. I think that will be helpful for those
12	people who think that it's a military jet versus
13	they think it's a plane that's coming here, that's
14	from here. And I think it will be helpful for the
15	people who have planes that travel through this
16	area and they think the plane has originated or
17	landed here.
18	So, I want to get that information out in the
19	public. I invite people to check out the web

25

20	site. And, Ed, you can certainly add to anything
21	else on that that needs to be added. So, I'm very
22	excited about that.
23	I think that I'm excited about the this
24	year as far as educating the airport. I'm yery

excited that Bryan is going to do a presentation

1	on the aerobatic box and and that that's being
2	put together. I think that those are all good
3	things that are happening. Those are my comments.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Randy?
5	MR. BRUNSON: A couple of little things.
6	First, I'd like to say, Sacha Sacha, you are
7	amazing. No matter what we talk about here,
8	you're up to date on it, whether it be the Yacht
9	Club, the Junkanoo, the airport, the newspaper.
10	And we I appreciate your keeping up with all of
11	this.
12	Ed, on A1A Saturday night, I think it was,
13	they had a runway failure, the sinkhole at
14	St. Augustine Beach. These things happen. So,
15	all we can do is try to fix them.
16	This is a hard meeting to me for a lot of
17	reasons. We've done some good things here. I'm
18	kind of 50/50 on the FBO, and I agree with your
19	comments of the land use, but I agree that a

20	business person is the one that makes the decision
21	whether he can make money or not, not a
22	consultant. But as you said, where the consultant
23	is, we're talking about land use. But I think
24	that we should look heavy at what people think
25	they should do in the way of business.

I	At our last workshop, it was in my personal
2	opinion we had an emphasis on kind of slowing down
3	a little bit and seeing where we are with Skybus
4	and different things we do. And I think we're
5	doing that.
6	Again, in my personal opinion, next six
7	months at this airport are going to be some
8	crucial times for more ways than one. And I would
9	like to put on the table now that I would hope
10	that Staff would start looking at the financial
11	cash flow that we anticipate within the next year
12	to year and a half to give some indication when we
13	would not require ad valorem tax, and we can make
14	a public announcement that such and such a date,
15	that we are going to do it one way or the other.
16	That's personally what I would like to see. And
17	with this board, and the knowledge we have here, I
18	think that would be something we can do. And
19	that's all I have.

20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Jack?
21	MR. GORMAN: I agree with Randy; keep our eye
22	on the ball, do what we said we would do for the
23	public, and then once that's done, with that
24	credibility with the public, if we do need to
25	build something else, then we can go back to a

1	referendum for ad valorem. I mean, I'd like to
2	accomplish the goal. So, I think that that was
3	well said by Mr. Brunson.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Buzz?
5	MR. GEORGE: No comment.
6	MR. BRUNSON: Twenty-five dollar fine.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just in closing, again, I
8	was going to bring up the Big Brothers Big
9	Sisters, but I wanted to thank Sacha. She brought
10	all kinds of paraphernalia, little planes and
11	things for the kids that came to the meeting or
12	to the meal. And I think it helped the airport,
13	and I enjoyed doing it. We had some board members
14	there, and it was a good cause and not much time
15	out of anyone's pocket.
16	Reba, if you want to go to the 3 March
17	19th, where we're giving a presentation, I would
18	love to have you join us, and anyone else that
19	wants to come.

20	I'm glad we're moving somewhere on the FBO.
21	It's kind of a personal thing with me about free
22	enterprise and trying to get some competition. I
23	understand land use very much so, but we're
24	getting to the point we've got to make decisions
25	so we can be dictating, not being dictated to by

1	developers.
2	All right. That's all I have.
3	13 NEXT MEETING DATE & ADJOURNMENT
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our next meeting is the
5	10th, which I anticipate is going to be fairly
6	busy with the items we ask for. All right. Thank
7	you very much.
8	(Meeting adjourned at 6:35 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG022508.txt					
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings
9	and that the transcript is a true record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 3rd day of March, 2008.
13	
14	IANIET M DEACON DDD CD DMD CDD EDD
15	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /MTG022508.txt					
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				