ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY 1 2 **Regular Meeting** 3 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North 4 St. Augustine, Florida 5 on Monday, March 15, 2004 6 from 4:00 p.m. to 6:45 p.m. 7 8 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 9 SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer 10 JOSEPH CIRIELLO **BOB COX** JOHN "JACK" GORMAN 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 DOUG BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, 15 FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority. 16 EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. 17 BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director. 18 19 20 St. Augustine Court Reporters 21 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A St. Augustine, FL 32084 22 (904) 825-0570 23

24

1 INDEX	
2 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
3 3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	
4 4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS	
5 5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA	
6 6. REPORTS:	
<ul> <li>A. Mr. Bruce Maguire - County Commissione B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc.</li> <li>C. Mr. John Leslie - Northrop Grumman D. Mr. John Roderick - S.A.P.A.</li> <li>E. Mr. Bjorn Ottesen - F.A.C.T (Not presen F. Mr. David Knight - ATCT Manager</li> <li>G. Mr. Douglas Burnett - Attorney</li> </ul>	14 15 15
11 7. ACTION ITEMS	
12A. Project Updates34B. Economic Development Council Overview	19
<ol> <li>C. Young Eagles Presentation (Removed from D. Attorney Services Contract 67</li> <li>E. Eminent Domain Laws &amp; Procedures</li> <li>F. Selected Araquay Park Appraisal Action</li> <li>G. Araquay Park Homes - Inventory Action</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>H. Retainage Release - North Corp. Hangars 5</li> <li>I. Terminal Parking Design Contract</li> <li>J. Apron Design Grant and Apron Design Con</li> </ul>	& 6 104 107
	40
	146
19 8. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:	
20A. Ms. Green, Chairman - (Not present for re B. Mr. Joseph Ciriello162	eport)
21 C. Mr. Wayne "Buzz" George, Secretary/Trea D. Mr. Bob Cox 162	asurer 162
22E. Mr. Jack Gorman162	
23 9. PUBLIC COMMENT	162
24 10. NEXT BOARD MEETING - April 19, 2004	. 1

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Call the meeting to order of
3	the Airport Authority Board for St. Augustine.
4	Please stand for a pledge to the flag.
5	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just briefly we haven't
7	approved the agenda yet but one of our board
8	members would like to make a comment to recognize
9	someone that used to be very instrumental in this
10	board the years in the past.
11	MR. GEORGE: Unfortunately, Mr. Robert
12	Fleming had a heart attack on Friday and passed
13	away. Bob had been the pilots', you know,
14	reporter, if you will, to this this board for
15	three, four years, and he was also responsible for
16	the newsletters and things of that nature.
17	And I had several comments from from
18	people at Aero Sport and at the Authority, that
19	they loved to get Bob's four- or five-page summary
20	of what happened in the meetings, because it was
21	crisp and right to the point.
22	And he's going to be missed sorely by the
23	by the Pilots Association and everybody that knows
24	him.

3. - APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Okay. Next agenda
2	item is approval of the minutes. All of the board
3	members have the minutes from the last meeting of
4	February 2. Are there any objections or additions
5	to the meeting? Mr. Ciriello?
6	MR. CIRIELLO: Madam Chair, on page 12, where
7	we were in the nominations and such, as I read it,
8	I don't see Mr. Gorman or myself's vote as being
9	recorded. And we had to vote. So, the that
10	section of the minutes is either not right or not
11	complete or
12	MR. GEORGE: You noticed that, too.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Uh-huh. You know, when I
14	don't see my name out there, my ego starts
15	jumping, you know, good or bad or otherwise.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Would you have any records
17	on the tape?
18	COURT REPORTER: I wasn't the reporter.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's right.
20	COURT REPORTER: I can ask her if she still
21	has her tapes.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think that would be the
23	most efficient way, to check the tape to check
24	the tape that would have recorded the yeas and

1	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, how would should we
2	have some kind of a
3	MR. GEORGE: 13 here.
4	MR. CIRIELLO: Line 13.
5	MR. GORMAN: Is this
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's for
7	secretary/treasurer.
8	MR. GEORGE: All right.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: No, that was that's not
10	MR. GORMAN: I'm sorry. I just wanted to see
11	the
12	MR. CIRIELLO: So we need a motion of some
13	kind to have a record that the minutes are need
14	to be corrected or they're not right or shouldn't
15	be accepted, or what? How should we go about
16	that?
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think the official thing
18	would be to check the tape first, which is
19	basically the official record of our meeting, and
20	if there are no other exceptions or additions to
21	the meetings, accept the minutes with that
22	exception, and then bring it back so we can it
23	doesn't have to be an agenda item, but just to
24	make it to

25 MR. WUELLNER: No. We'll just clarify it.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: our attention what the
2	actual record says. That's on page
3	MR. CIRIELLO: 12.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: 12. It looks like it
5	should be lines 15, somewhere in there. Okay.
6	Any other comments to the minutes?
7	(No further comments.)
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none other, with
9	that one exception, there's an acceptance of the
10	financial reports I mean, of the minutes as
11	transcribed? Then they'll be accepted as
12	transcribed, with the one exception noted by
13	Mr. Ciriello.
14	4 ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next are the financial
16	reports for the four months ended January 31,
17	2004. Any comments, additions, deletions on
18	those?
19	MR. GEORGE: I would like to point out on the
20	financial overview sheet, that if you take the
21	income of all the items plus the profit from the
22	self-service and the profit from the rental homes,
23	we are at basically \$301,000 of cash coming in,
24	where we have outgo of \$651 So, that says we're

25 paying half of the operation.

1	And if you look at the Northrop Grumman	
2	lease, which they are not paying they already	
3	paid their money 15 years ago, so it's just a	
4	financial bookkeeping entry. But if you took that	
5	and put it with it, we would be very close to	
6	paying our own way for the expenses year-to-date,	
7	if that number had been cash. Just thought I'd	
8	point that out.	
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other comments from the	
10	board? Jack?	
11	MR. GORMAN: Just a real quick one. In other	
12	words, you're actually saying that the rental	
13	homes would net a profit, net-net?	
14	MR. GEORGE: The rental homes, if you look at	
15	the financial overview, it shows that we have	
16	collected \$97,900 in revenue, but we had expenses	
17	of \$52,828.07, netting us \$16,400 in profit on the	
18	rental homes through the end of January, from	
19	October the 1st to January.	
20	MR. GORMAN: And this isn't the right time to	
21	do it, but does that expenditures include Staff?	
22	MR. GEORGE: No.	
23	MR. GORMAN: Salary?	
24	MR. GEORGE: No, that's direct expenditures	

## against those profits, yeah -- against those

1	projects, right.
2	MR. GORMAN: All right.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other comments?
4	(No further comments.)
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no objections or
6	exceptions, we'll approve the financial statements
7	as reported for four months ending January 31,
8	2004.
9	5 APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Next, we have approval of
11	the meeting agenda. You all have in front of you
12	a copy of the agenda, which currently ends with
13	7.L., as far as the proprietary meeting agenda,
14	and it ends with number 11 for adjournment.
15	I did receive, Wayne, your copy of the did
16	you want to go over that MPO, is that just for
17	our
18	MR. GEORGE: No
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: information?
20	MR. GEORGE: it was just information.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So, we don't need to
22	add that? Any additions or changes to the agenda?
23	MR. WUELLNER: I think I've got a deletion.
24	I don't think the Young Eagles presentation is

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I have
2	MR. GEORGE: C.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's C. You can delete
4	that from the agenda.
5	And I have one, which it's just a switch from
6	A to B, B to A, to accommodate Ms. Keating. So, B
7	will our first agenda item. Yes, sir.
8	MR. GORMAN: And I have an agenda item I'd
9	like to add, but being that this is so long, we're
10	probably going to have to carry it.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
12	MR. GORMAN: But can I add it now or just
13	carry it across? Would that make any sense?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. That way, if we get to
15	it, you can do it.
16	MR. GORMAN: All right. Okay. I'd like to
17	discuss a short discussion of financial goals and
18	focus.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's then M.
20	MR. GORMAN: M is fine. We're down to the
21	M's. I don't think we'll get to it.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
23	MR. GORMAN: And if we don't, then we'll just
24	carry it through across.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's titling it Financial
2	Goals and Focus.
3	MR. COX: That was Z?
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah.
5	MR. GORMAN: Yeah, that's what I mean.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Feels like it.
7	MR. GEORGE: Be tomorrow morning at 8:00.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other changes to the
9	agenda? Since the agenda's been amended, is
10	are there any objections to the amendments?
11	(No objections.)
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is the agenda accepted as
13	amended? All of those in favor?
14	MR. GEORGE: Favor, aye.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
17	MR. COX: Aye.
18	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No opposed?
20	Mr. Maguire?
21	6.A COUNTY COMMISSIONER
22	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Thank you very much.
23	I want it duly noted that
24	MR. WUELLNER: Start

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

1	duly noted that I was here before the meeting
2	started this time.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to say
4	something.
5	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: All right. And and
6	I will be leaving around 5:15 or 5:30; I have to
7	go to a transportation meeting tonight also.
8	I want to clarify an issue. I was talking
9	with with Buzz the other night. I want to just
10	take five minutes or less to discuss the MPO
11	status, where we are right now, to clarify that
12	issue.
13	Many months ago, we came before the board
14	asking for your support and encouraging to push an
15	independent MPO inside St. Augustine, St. Johns
16	County. The reality is, is that for a separate
17	MPO to start, the law says that the city which
18	defines the status of that MPO has the the
19	sole pretty well the sole authority to make
20	decisions of whether to be an MPO or not.
21	Well, that city is St. Augustine. However,
22	there is another statute in it or part of the
23	statute that says that you have to maintain a
24	certain percentage of the population.

## The City of St. Augustine did not meet that

12

1	percentage. So, when to carry it over to the
2	next position, that in fact made the Board of
3	County Commissioners the controlling authority for
4	the St. Augustine-St. Johns County MPO. And so,
5	whatever St. Augustine or what the Board of
6	County Commissioners pretty well said was going to
7	do with an MPO is what was going to happen.
8	The reason we came before you, is we wanted
9	to show unanimity between the County
10	Commissioners, the Airport, which would ultimately
11	become a member, St. Augustine, St. Augustine
12	Beach, as we went forward to try to get an
13	independent MPO.
14	As we moved in that direction, it became very
15	apparent that we could become an MPO, but since we
16	could not extract the northern territory of the
17	county away from Duval County's MPO, that we were
18	going to be an extremely small MPO, probably the
19	smallest in the state, which meant we would have
20	gotten very small amount of money, if anything at
21	all, other than the \$250,000 PL, or planning
22	money.
23	So, we didn't want to lose out, so we decided

that if we could convince First Coast MPO to

1	independent MPO, then it would be to our benefit
2	to join the First Coast.
3	And so, we laid out four requirements. And
4	of those four requirements, they met three in
5	total. And the fourth, we got about 50 percent of
6	what we wanted out of the fourth issue.
7	So, the bottom line now is the First Coast
8	MPO does include the north half of St. Johns
9	County, the north half of Clay County. Nassau
10	County has indicated that after the budget year
11	starts, that they would like to come in. And it
12	will be the first four-county MPO in the State of
13	Florida.
14	We have designed it in such a way that we are
15	now the model for the State of Florida for
16	converting from a a one-county MPO into a truly
17	independent organization that goes after the true
18	regional aspects of transportation.
19	And where we sit now is you have one member,
20	and that's I assume you appointed him; he
21	didn't volunteer. So and I thank you for going
22	up there tonight. The mayor of St. Augustine will
23	be the other member.
24	MR. GEORGE: Jack is also is the alternate.

1	MR. GEORGE: Yes, Jack is the alternate,
2	right.
3	COMMISSIONER MAGUIRE: Okay. And and, of
4	course, I'm the primary member, and Karen Stern
5	is is our alternate.
6	The plan is, real quickly, that after two or
7	three meetings, so you can see how we operate, the
8	confusion factor goes into that, then the three
9	members, plus the alternates, will sit down in a
10	publicized meeting, and we will start talking
11	plans and issues, where we want to take the MPO,
12	how we're going to push things to our benefit.
13	And even though the voting didn't come out
14	exactly the way we wanted, we feel as you probably
15	saw the other day, it is hard to get a quorum
16	there. And if we get a quorum, we feel like we
17	may be able to get a lot of things our direction.
18	So, thank you very much for going. That's
19	the update on the MPO.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
21	MR. GEORGE: Thanks for the clarification.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aero Sport? Michael?
23	6.B. AERO SPORT
24	MR. SLINGLUFF: Nothing to report.

1	6.C. NORTHROP GRUMMAN
2	MR. LESLIE: No report.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: John, Pilots Association?
4	6.D. ST. AUGUSTINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION
5	MR. RODERICK: We have two issues. Before I
6	walked up here, it was one.
7	MR. WUELLNER: You can take that out of there
8	if you want (indicating).
9	MR. RODERICK: We were presented in a meeting
10	with a safety issue on the use of runway 2/20. It
11	involves the multi-use. In the daytime, it's a
12	runway. In the nighttime, it becomes a taxiway.
13	It was very well presented and had, as I recall,
14	four alternatives. It was presented by David
15	Knight, the tower chief.
16	We want to go on record as saying and this
17	isn't brain surgery that we would like it kept
18	open 24 hours a day as a runway to avoid the
19	confusion.
20	The other alternative was keep it a taxiway
21	24 hours a day. Another was mechanical signage
22	and was that it, David?
23	MR. KNIGHT: (Nods head.)
24	MR. RODERICK: Okay. And that's that's

25 we'd like to go on record, but we're will	ing to
--	--------

1	work through the master planner, whatever makes
2	sense. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Bjorn? Florida
4	Aviation? I didn't see him.
5	6.E FLORIDA AVIATION CAREER TRAINING
6	(Representative absent.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: David, Mr. Knight?
8	6.F. ATCT MANAGER
9	MR. KNIGHT: I think what I'll do is I will
10	address the chart.
11	MR. WUELLNER: You can take that mic with
12	you.
13	MR. KNIGHT: I can?
14	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
15	MR. KNIGHT: I was asked basically to provide
16	some information in regards to the traffic volume
17	and whether or not it's increasing or decreasing
18	in the future. If you'll follow me, I will keep
19	this chart in the future, and what you will see is
20	this yellow line will be expanding (indicating).
21	Basically, we have March, April, May, June,
22	July, et cetera, along the bottom (indicating).
23	And right now, we are right here (indicating) on
24	the yellow line.

1	this section here (indicating), exception to there
2	(indicating), and this straight up and down
3	(indicating), this is an increase in traffic from
4	the previous year. So, as we go along for next
5	month, we will have the next point put in here
6	(indicating), et cetera.
7	Year-to-date for this year, we are up 4,568
8	operations over last year. Same time, basically
9	through the month of March correction,
10	February. We're still in March.
11	So, that's the projection. And that's actual
12	live numbers. And for those that are interested,
13	last year for the month of March, we had
14	8,500-and-some operations, and we will pass that
15	mark probably tomorrow for this month.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
17	MR. GEORGE: Based on this information, how
18	do you feel about the forecast that we're putting
19	into our Master Plan? You knew that was coming,
20	Ed. You know, is this in line with the forecast,
21	or is this accelerated over the forecast?
22	MR. KNIGHT: I think what we're really seeing
23	here is a slight increase in traffic. I think
24	primarily it's due to the good weather that we've

1	fog and rain. But, overall, numbers are up. I
2	don't know if it's quite to the forecast, but
3	it's it's increasing.
4	MR. GEORGE: Have you looked at the forecast
5	that the planners are are doing?
6	MR. KNIGHT: Yes, I've seen the numbers.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay. If you wouldn't mind,
8	compare it to that for the next meeting so that
9	we'll know if we are ahead of their forecast.
10	MR. KNIGHT: Okay. Will do.
11	MR. GEORGE: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Mr. Burnett?
13	6.G AIRPORT AUTHORITY ATTORNEY
14	MR. BURNETT: Two things. One actually,
15	three things. One, we've been working with the
16	County Building Department and the County
17	Attorney's Office on a new issue. It's a small
18	one, relates to notice of commencements for new
19	construction at the airport. It's going to be
20	minor and something we resolve pretty quickly.
21	The other thing is, Greg Lunny is here today
22	reviewing documents that were produced to Earth
23	Tech. I believe some of you had have had the
24	opportunity to talk with him. He's going to be

1	got any questions about the litigation, they're
2	welcome to visit with him, either during the
3	meeting or afterwards. That is related to the
4	board members and airport staff.
5	Additionally, Mark Arnold's here, and he's
6	going to be one of our agenda items today related
7	to Araquay Park. So, that's all I have to report.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. All right. Next
9	are our action items, but we've taken one out of
10	order. So, the first item will be 7.B. And
11	that's the Economic Development Council overview.
12	Ms. Keating?
13	7.B ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL OVERVIEW
14	MS. KEATING: Okay. You thought you had it
15	bad with this microphone. I can take this out?
16	MR. WUELLNER: Sure can.
17	MS. KEATING: Are you my slide man, Ed?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Or you can do it
19	yourself.
20	MS. KEATING: Right here?
21	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
22	MS. KEATING: Thank you all for for having
23	me today. And I commend the Authority and and
24	folks that are helping out on the Master Plan that

1	is for the airport and for St. Johns County as a
2	whole.
3	And I thank you for allowing the Chamber of
4	Commerce and the Economic Development Council a
5	spot on that Technical Advisory Committee so that
6	we can bring forward the ideas of the business
7	community to the airport. And and we've
8	enjoyed a great relationship together over the
9	years. So, I thank you for that.
10	I'm going to be as quick as I can through
11	these slides. I don't believe in reading slides
12	to to people. So, I'll run through what's
13	going on in St. Johns County from an economic
14	development standpoint currently. And we'll just
15	go through this together.
16	We'll start with some background information.
17	I think we all know that the theme for everybody
18	in business and airports and economic development:
19	Growth. It's all about growth in St. Johns County
20	right now. You see where our population is has
21	come and where it's headed. And we do rank third
22	right now in Florida for population growth, and
23	interestingly, 38th of all U.S. counties for
24	growth. So, we're up there in in St. Johns

1	business and a residential standpoint.
2	This is population by zip code (indicating),

- 3 which is interesting. And it's kind of what we
- 4 all sort of feel intuitively with the bulk of the
- 5 population living in the northern part of St.
- 6 Johns County. We know we're having tremendous
- 7 growth in the northwest part of the county right
- 8 now, but indeed the largest segment of the
- 9 population is in that 32082 Ponte Vedra zip code.
- 10 Can everybody see that okay? This
- 11 information is in the packet for the Authority
- 12 members. In the blue packet, all of these slides
- 13 are included there.
- 14 The unemployment rate and what it has done
- 15 over the years (indicating). We're definitely
- 16 following national trends, but we are well under
- 17 the national unemployment rate in St. Johns
- 18 County. And we attribute that to the diversity of
- 19 the economy in St. Johns County. It kind of keeps
- 20 us robust with -- we have a large service sector,
- 21 the tourism industry. We've got manufacturing
- 22 here. We've got construction jobs here. So,
- 23 we've got enough of a diversity that we stay
- 24 steady through harder times, as you'll see.

Our labor force is growing, and that's

1	natural. Our population is growing. So, indeed,
2	the labor force is growing as well.
3	We do a Wage & Benefit Survey at the Economic
4	Development Council every two years. We gauge
5	wages in St. Johns County, surveying our business
6	members. And this is just a sampling of the kinds
7	of wages that companies are paying right now in
8	St. Johns County (indicating). We're getting
9	ready to publish the 2004 version later this
10	summer. And copies of that would will be
11	available through the Chamber of Commerce. This
12	gives you a good sampling of of jobs here.
13	We just got this slide (indicating) recently
14	from St. Johns County, and it's the single-family
15	building permit growth since since 1993, so
16	over the last ten years. And this is showing just
17	the incredible acceleration of growth we've seen
18	just since the year 2000 in single-family building
19	in St. Johns County.
20	And then kind of on the, not necessarily on
21	the flip side, but this is nonresidential permits
22	in St. Johns County over the last ten years
23	(indicating). And you'll see it it, too, has
24	kind of followed that national economic trend with

1 up in '02, leveling off last year.

2	But I can tell you just this year, our office
3	at the Economic Development Council we're the
4	ones who receive all the calls for businesses who
5	are thinking about relocating and expanding. And
6	I can tell you that our activity just since
7	January of '04 is really picking up. So, it's
8	we're working with a number of manufacturing
9	prospects right now.
10	This is information that most of you already
11	know (indicating), but the largest industrial
12	employers in St. Johns County, many of those
13	businesses may look familiar to you. Maybe some
14	are a surprise. Obviously, Northrop Grumman is
15	the number one industrial employer in St. Johns
16	County.
17	Ring Power, they're under construction.
18	I'll we have a little bit more to show about
19	that bringing 500 jobs to St. Johns County, and
20	some of the others that are important to us.
21	And it is important to note that we do have
22	the highest concentration of manufacturing jobs on
23	the First Coast. Not many people think of us like
24	that. They think of St. Augustine being a tourism

1	have our fair share of manufacturing jobs here in
2	St. Johns County.
3	Here are the largest nonindustrial employers
4	(indicating), the the School Board, School
5	District, the hospital, the Florida School for the
6	Deaf and the Blind.
7	Can you see that (indicating)? We'll buzz
8	through these real quickly here, because many of
9	you in the room are familiar with with our
10	largest industries.
11	Of course, Northrop Grumman and their
12	employee level, the expansion that they're
13	undergoing with some of their administrative
14	buildings, which is a good sign; voted among the
15	top 25 companies by Industry Week magazine. So
16	I don't know if I'm sure many of you out here
17	have had a chance to tour the facility, but it
18	is it is first rate. We should be proud of it,
19	to have them here.
20	This is VAW (indicating). They were bought
21	by a Norwegian company called Hydro Aluminum. And
22	they do aluminum extrusion at their facility on
23	U.S. 1 South. So, this is the old VAW, their new
24	name.

1	boat-building, 350 employees. And I mentioned
2	Ring Power (indicating), under construction right
3	now at the World Commerce Center at I-95 and
4	International Golf Parkway. We're very proud to
5	have that corporate headquarters coming back home
6	to St. Johns County. And a real strong effort
7	with the County Commissioners and Staff to recruit
8	them here.
9	Tree of Life is a health food distributor
10	(indicating), with a large distribution facility
11	on State Road 207, but then a Class A corporate
12	headquarters facility at the World Golf Village,
13	410 employees there.
14	And, of course, tourism is our our largest
15	employer, largest economic driver. The latest
16	studies now from the University of Florida say
17	that it's about a one and a half billion dollar
18	economic impact for St. Johns County. So, that
19	can't be ignored. It's incredible. More and more
20	visitors each year.
21	Agriculture is also big industry in St. Johns
22	County that some folks don't think about. But we
23	do rank ninth in the state for the dollars
24	produced per acre of farmland in St. Johns County.

And just to show you all some of the tools

1	that we're using in the Economic Development
2	Council to attract business here, to retain
3	business here in St. Johns County, a number of new
4	things.
5	The St. Johns County Target Industry Study
6	update (indicating). This is a study originally
7	commissioned in 1998. We've done an update to it
8	in 2003. This is really sort of the bible for
9	economic development for St. Johns County. Tells
10	us what kinds of industries would be a good match
11	for us: What do we have the workforce that's
12	ready to go to work for these jobs? What do we
13	have what other amenities do we have that would
14	fit here? So, a complete listing of the types of
15	industries that would fit here are outlined in the
16	Target Industry Study. And lots of good
17	background information here, too. We can make
18	copies of those available to you all.
19	The Business Incentive Program is something
20	that we're already putting to work (indicating).
21	September of 2002, the St. Johns County
22	Commissioners passed a Business Incentive Program
23	for recruitment and expansion of industry in St.
24	Johns County. And I can already tell you folks

1	We are actually attracting companies to St.
2	Johns County, good, high-quality companies to St.
3	Johns County because of this program. And the
4	the program is it's really based on
5	performance. A lot of people hear about
6	incentives and they think that you're throwing out
7	money to attract a company here.
8	But more more accurately, what it is, is
9	it's it's paid out as tax rebates, and rebates
10	on things like unit connection fees or discounts
11	on impact fees. So, when the company comes and
12	says what and does what they say they will do
13	by employing lots of people and paying a high
14	wage, then they're rewarded through a tax rebate
15	or a rebate on a fee.
16	As I said, it's it's not just for
17	companies relocating here, but also for companies
18	who are already in our backyard and would like to
19	expand. Indeed, the thresholds to qualify for the
20	incentive program are a lot easier for companies
21	who are already here in St. Johns County. And I
22	think that's important.
23	We're also, in the Economic Development
24	Council, keeping an inventory of available

1	space, industrial space. This is something that's
2	never been done before on such a comprehensive
3	basis. But just over the last year, really, we've
4	been able to catalog 2400 acres of available zoned
5	land that's ready to go for development.
6	You can see the 179,000 square feet of
7	industrial space that's vacant and available
8	(indicating). And office space, a little over
9	200,000 square feet of office space that's ready
10	to go, too. So, we this is how we can kind of
11	serve as a one-stop shop for business recruitment
12	and expansion.
13	This just tells you that our inventory's
14	being published in a lot of great places like the
15	Business Journal and through our partnership with
16	Cornerstone at the Jacksonville Chamber of
17	Commerce (indicating).
18	I mentioned the Wage & Benefit Survey coming
19	later this summer. We're also doing a survey this
20	year of existing businesses. You can hear me
21	telling you that we're taking a big focus on
22	existing businesses right right now to make
23	sure that they stay and they grow here in St.
24	Johns County.

So, we're in the middle of -- of surveying

1	businesses here in St. Johns County to find out
2	what their needs are, if there are any impediments
3	to growth, if they're planning any expansions,
4	hiring new people. What are their collective
5	what's the collective trend and how can we help?
6	So, we'll have those results later this
7	summer. And it will help us to prepare in a St.
8	Johns County business expansion showcase that I'm
9	sure the airport airport's been so great to
10	help us out with a lot of our events. So, we'll
11	probably be be calling on you for that, as
12	well.
13	Just I'm going to run through some recent
14	success stories for us for business recruitment.
15	These are the kinds of jobs that are coming into
16	St. Johns County now. It's good news.
17	We helped relocate a company called Medical
18	Development International. They process medical
19	claims for the federal government. These are
20	great paying jobs with average wage of \$60,000, 50
21	employees in three years, and they're going to be
22	headquartered in an office in Ponte Vedra Beach.
23	So, this is the kind of high-end development that
24	we are we are looking for that state and local

1	Another is Capri Industries, on a more
2	technical field. These are commercial electrical
3	contractors that just opened a facility right
4	north of you at the North One Business Park. They
5	moved up here from South Florida, basically for
6	the quality of life and the lower cost of doing
7	business.
8	This is a shoe manufacturer that we recruited
9	here from Menorca, from Spain. The gentleman came
10	over here. He's opening up shop to manufacture
11	these sandals, which are very popular. They're
12	the traditional shoe in Menorca, and they're
13	becoming very popular in the U.S. Dioni & Menorca
14	has markets here in Florida and in California, so
15	they'll be producing the sandals here in
16	St. Augustine and selling to markets probably
17	internationally as well.
18	Business Retention. I'm mentioning that
19	again. The American Culinary Federation, some of
20	you may remember, this was in the news a year or
21	so ago. They were they have been operating
22	here in St. Augustine for over 20 years and
23	started to look for a new place for their
24	corporate headquarters.

1	the Chamber and the County and everyone came
2	together to make sure that they stayed right here
3	at home. And we convinced them to do so, and
4	they're they've built a new corporate
5	headquarters facility at the World Golf Village.
6	So, we can be proud that we're keeping these kinds
7	of companies here at home.
8	And I'm just going to run through real quick
9	the Developments of Regional Impact that most of
10	you are probably familiar with, and you've been
11	hearing about. And you can take a look for
12	yourself at some of the numbers that the sheer
13	size of the numbers of the residential units and
14	the office space, commercial space that's planned
15	to be built at some of these DRIs.
16	Of course, this one details the World Golf
17	Village (indicating), which takes up three
18	quadrants of I-95 and International Golf Parkway.
19	This is World Commerce Center (indicating),
20	which is in the southwest quadrant of that
21	interchange where the Ring Power facility is being
22	built and where 1100 homes are being planned right
23	now.
24	This is the the DRI that's part of the

1	(indicating), showing you the number of acres and
2	the space that that will be developed as part
3	of that DRI.
4	Marshall Creek (indicating), otherwise known
5	as Palencia, the scope of that project. It sort
6	of just goes on and on and on, these developments.
7	Nocatee (indicating), which is getting much
8	closer to to breaking ground and being underway
9	now; 4.2 million square feet of office space,
10	14,000 residential units. It's just huge. I know
11	everyone's already heard of about Nocatee, but
12	it's moving closer and closer to reality now.
13	RiverTown just got approved on February 2nd
14	of this year (indicating). This DRI over on the
15	St. Johns River, you can see 4500 residential
16	units planned for that area.
17	And last but not least, we threw in the a
18	slide on the Cummer Tract (indicating). Gate
19	Petroleum purchased this this property, 5460
20	acres in St. Johns County. It straddles I-95 in
21	the northern part of the county. We still don't
22	know exactly the plans that they'll that the
23	Gate folks will use to develop this, but I'm sure
24	we'll be hearing about that as the year goes on.

1	see, is in this northern part of St. Johns County,
2	but it's it's coming. We're planning for it.
3	The County is planning for it. You-all are
4	planning for it here through the airport. And I
5	appreciate the opportunity that we can all work
6	together as as we go through a challenging
7	time, I think, in St. Johns County.
8	And then finally, the St. Johns Vision is the
9	program that's been put in place to help the whole
10	community come together in a grassroots way to
11	look at at what's happening to St. Johns County
12	and look out over the next 20 or so years in
13	education, economic development, infrastructure,
14	quality of life, government, leadership, pulling
15	it all together under one umbrella.
16	So, I know that was quick, a buzz-through
17	there. I know you have a lot to do on your
18	agenda. Thanks for having me. Thanks, Ed. And
19	Wayne, thank you for inviting me. Appreciate it.
20	MR. COX: Thanks, Kari.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Is there any
22	public comment to Ms. Keating's speech?
23	(No public comment.)
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board members?

1	the Master Plan that we were doing. It.
2	Is my opinion that when you look at the
3	development that's happening just north of us,
4	that the types of jobs and the types of salaries
5	that those people are going to be demanding in
6	their end, those are the type of people that have
7	airplanes. And I wanted to make sure that our
8	consultants on the Master Plan took that into
9	consideration for the forecast.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other comments from the
11	board?
12	(No further comments.)
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Let's go to our next
14	agenda item, then, which will be project updates
15	from Ed.
16	7.A PROJECT UPDATES
17	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Projects update for the
18	month of March includes
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ms. Keating.
20	MR. WUELLNER: TVOR, northeast development
21	area, the terminal projects, airport maintenance
22	facility, Taxiway Bravo, and the hardstand, the
23	Araquay Park home demolition update, the old Phase
24	II hangar rehab, marketing and public relations.

1	with an update with our our consultant, LPA, at
2	the end.
3	TVOR relocation, just to bring you up to
4	speed on the schedules to get that wrapped up, the
5	equipment and shelter are 99 percent complete and
6	in the field and installed and have been checked
7	out by the contractor and are otherwise found
8	acceptable for purposes of contract at this time.
9	FAA March 29th turns out to be somewhat
10	optimistic because all of their resources are as
11	we've been told just in the last well, actually
12	today, that they're tied up with Sun 'n Fun down
13	in Lakeland and will be available toward mid to
14	late April for deployment for ground check.
15	It really doesn't hold anything up in terms
16	of schedule because the flight check earliest
17	dates available were out into May, that date being
18	driven entirely by the folks developing the
19	instrument approach procedure.
20	As that becomes available, they'll do the
21	flight check, it looks like a time line of May,
22	claiming they've got to coordinate that developed
23	instrument approach with 11 different divisions of
24	FAA before they can release it for flight check.

So, it's coming, but it's -- it's going to

1	take at least till May till it's been released for
2	VFR use legally.
3	After May, it will wait it'll simply be
4	awaiting publication, which puts you on a time
5	line, they're telling me a publication date for
6	the full full thing is August. So, that
7	will that's the time line for the next
8	publication. The last the next available one's
9	April, but they simply won't make those time
10	lines. So
11	MR. GORMAN: How did it slide so far from
12	January?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the
14	MR. GORMAN: It's not
15	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah
16	MR. GORMAN: I know it's probably the
17	government.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Well, it is. It's the same
19	the same FAA guy in Atlanta, the non-Fed nav
20	coordinator that we had such great success on the
21	ILS, getting it in the queue, is the same guy in
22	charge of this, and frankly did not release the
23	project for purposes of going to to TERPS or
24	development of the instrument approach procedure

1	that's typically a 12- to 18-month process. They
2	understand the importance and will have it done on
3	this time line.
4	So, I think it's it's if I'm hearing
5	them correctly, it's along the most constricted
6	lines right now to get it done, or most expedited
7	lines, however you want to call it. But it is
8	it is that's that's where we are with it.
9	I will those of you that in the audience
10	that do fly, I will point out that we did receive
11	word and we have, thanks to a lot of input from
12	LPA, the April publication of the instrument
13	flight procedures will include for the first time
14	a a map of the airport. The airport diagram
15	will appear finally in the instrument approach
16	procedures beginning with the April publication.
17	So, that's kind of handy in helping find your way
18	on the ground and interpreting instructions given
19	by the tower. So, that will be a permanent
20	permanent feature from this point on. So,
21	that's that's good news.
22	Northeast development area, 5 and 6 are
23	complete. It's my understanding the CO has been
24	issued on these two buildings. And hangar 5 is

1	moved aircraft in, are awaiting delivery of some
2	final office furniture, but are set up and
3	operating out of hangars hanger 5. 6 will be
4	doing the same over the next about a week. Hangar
5	7, they're wrapping up.
6	You get a couple of shots there (indicating),
7	hangar 5 on the left hangar excuse me,
8	hangar 5 is on the right, featuring the aircraft.
9	And the hangar 6 is on the left. I do not
10	currently have a picture up there of 7, but it's
11	not far behind. They're they've put it the
12	carpet in the building today, and I think they're
13	in next week to do the the flooring in the
14	in the hangar bay itself. I would suspect,
15	though and I know landscaping is supposed to
16	take place this week, also.
17	So, it will probably wrap up in the next
18	couple of three weeks and won't be the drag-out
19	getting the CO on this property because there's
20	very little site work involved and it's basically
21	a building permit for hangars for hangar 7,
22	anyway.
23	Terminal project, Phase I, you know, continue
24	to beat the drum that they're it appears that

1	complete at this time. They're waiting acceptance
2	from the County at this point to for the DRC
3	sign-off, which is the final phase for a an
4	unrestricted CO on the property. It you know,
5	we're there. It's just dotting the I's and the
6	T's now at this point to to wrap up and allow
7	occupancy.
8	And we have an agenda item that also deals
9	with kind of a temporary lease issue, you'll see
10	later on in the meeting, to get us through for a
11	month or two while we wrap up that document and
12	get through all of the details. But, it looks
13	like we should be able to occupy that very, very
14	shortly.
15	Canopy building, which was the old Phase II,
16	kind of reworked, is approximately 70 percent
17	complete at this time. The roof work is finishing
18	up right now. I think they're hot-mopping tar on
19	there, probably still as we speak today. Roof
20	work should finish up next week, the way I'm being
21	told here. Finishing painting is starting
22	actually I heard, talking to the guy today,
23	they'll be starting the interior painting
24	tomorrow.

Landscaping's kind of ongoing, and so is

1	electrical. And we're looking for an April, or a
2	very early April completion on Phase II here. So,
3	it will it will rapidly finish up here and
4	and be ready to occupy, too, hopefully about the
5	same time so we can incorporate it in the the
6	final negotiated lease with with Aero Sport.
7	Airport maintenance facility is in permitting
8	right now. We expect that by the end of the month
9	at the latest. And they're expecting to be in
10	construction by the first of the month, first of
11	April, that is. And allowing the construction
12	time puts it into early or puts it into fourth
13	quarter of next year or, excuse me, fourth
14	quarter of this year or after October.
15	Taxiway Bravo, going along, I understand
16	they'll begin paving on Wednesday of this week the
17	northern section. It is currently primed, and I
18	think they've got the rough-in electrical, the
19	cans in and the like.
20	Phase II, which is the part south of
21	taxiway excuse me, of runway 2/20, between 2/20
22	and 6/24, they're finishing up the lime rock now.
23	And I understand they expect to be primed on that
24	by the end of the week, also.

Total project completion, that includes

1	lighting and all the marking and allowing the
2	pavement to sit for the period of time required
3	before we can mark it and doing all of that stuff,
4	should be by mid-April. And we expect perhaps
5	that northern portion to be available by TPC. I
6	don't I don't see it being a big operational
7	issue, based on last year's last year's
8	numbers. So, it's it's bolting ahead here.
9	MR. COX: Northern portion, you mean
10	between
11	MR. WUELLNER: North of
12	MR. COX: 2 and 6 or
13	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Where it ties into
14	the parallel on the north end down to its
15	intersection with runway 2/20.
16	Land acquisition, Araquay Park, all but two
17	currently contracted properties have closed at
18	this point. We expect three additional properties
19	at this point to be under contract by the end of
20	the month, based on our discussions with the
21	owners.
22	We are we have another agenda item later
23	to discuss the moving ahead with the what we
24	call a long-form appraisal of properties

1	and have no substantive negotiations going on at
2	this time that are located east of Casa Cola. And
3	that will be an agenda item a little bit later.
4	Home demolition update. At this point,
5	there's one home left that they may have started
6	it today, but they're but that will be in the
7	original ten that was approved a while back, left
8	to be demolished. And we've got another agenda
9	item dealing with four homes back in there that,
10	again, are an agenda item for later in this in
11	this meeting.
12	Old Phase II, basically nothing new to
13	report. Our guys have been tied up wrapping up
14	the the VOR site, and also we're very much
15	involved in wrapping up some cabling issues
16	associated with runway 2/20 and getting the
17	balance of our things out of the old electrical
18	vault.
19	They had some hangar rehabs going on, some
20	port-a-port work and the like, and we would not
21	have been back on that, but it looks like the
22	time we're going to have some time over the
23	next 60 days where these guys will be back on
24	there and try to get this wrapped up and get the

1	where we can be ready to move on it when we
2	when we budget and and appropriate locate a
3	location for it. So, we'll be back on that
4	shortly. We just have a few things we we
5	believe to be a little higher priority at this
6	point.
7	Marketing and PR, really the only things I've
8	got here is just Mr. Gorman did an excellent job.
9	We were in attendance at his brief presentation to
10	the Civic Round Table. Just talking about the
11	development of the airport and issues and things
12	that the community might might be of interest
13	to the general community.
14	We're proposing to get some press releases
15	out on the northeast development area and the
16	terminal and taxiway completions here. Obviously,
17	they'll be wrapping up completely in the next 30
18	days, and we look forward to to working with
19	local media and getting some hopefully some
20	positive some positive media coverage on
21	some some things that are finally wrapping up
22	at the airport. So
23	Second floor leasing, frankly, that's gone
24	about nowhere. We've had two months of that

1	inquiries via phone. I think one group came out
2	and actually looked at it. But, it's it's not
3	been, you know, a hotbed of activity around here.
4	And we've got an ad placed through the end of
5	the month, frankly just because it was cheaper to
6	do it by the month. If it's not wrapped up by the
7	end by the May meeting, you may want to
8	consider at the April meeting, that we look toward
9	giving that to a realtor or explore some other
10	alternatives to get that that area leased.
11	As requested at the last meeting, we did send
12	out letters to our our existing tenants and
13	point out that facility was was basically
14	available or soon to be available, and if there
15	were any leads out there, that we'd be happy to
16	would love to have those. I don't think that
17	really netted anything, but at least we made we
18	did go through the effort on it. And if you've
19	got other ideas for how we might approach getting
20	that lease in the short term, we'll we'll
21	certainly consider that or certainly get it done.
22	Airport Master Plan is kind of the next
23	next item, which is LPA Group. You probably
24	received in the mail in the last last couple of

1	small change relative to who will be finishing the
2	project with us. And I'm I'm kind of pleased
3	to introduce actually, I'm very pleased to
4	introduce Phil Jufko, who will be taking over
5	for yeah, I just lost his name.
6	MR. JUFKO: Doug DiCarlo.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Doug DiCarlo in
8	spearheading that finalizing the Master Plan
9	with us.
10	Phil's a great guy. I've known him for
11	years. Had not had the pleasure of working with
12	him directly, but I I know of his reputation,
13	and he's certainly started off on the right foot
14	in dealing with the TAC committee at their most
15	recent meeting.
16	So, with that, I'll turn it over to Phil and
17	let him bring you up to date on where where it
18	is. Thank you.
19	MR. JUFKO: Okay. Well, it's a pleasure to
20	meet you today. Some of you in present here
21	today actually participated in our latest TAC
22	meeting, and glad to see some familiar faces.
23	I'm going to jump in with a brief update of
24	what we have accomplished since last time you were

25 briefed. And last on the 5th, we talked about our

1	demand capacity analyses and the facility
2	requirements that came out of that process. So, I
3	have a few slides here to to kind of briefly
4	hit the highlights, if you will.
5	Currently, if we take the the forecast
6	that's been adopted so far to date and compare
7	that against our results from our capacity
8	analysis, our annual service volume is up on the
9	top portion there (indicating), projected based on
10	the demand. And you'll see there's a 60 percent
11	ASV projection there.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Phil
13	MR. JUFKO: I'm sorry.
14	MR. WUELLNER: On the bottom of that, is a
15	just press that button and you've got a little
16	I don't know if that helps.
17	MR. JUFKO: There you go. High tech, here.
18	What that means in in terms of our planning
19	process, and the FAA, is that we should be
20	start starting the planning process to address
21	those capacity issues that we have identified as
22	part of our study.
23	Later on in the study, or at the point we
24	reach 80 percent of that theoretical ASV, we

1	were to eat to meet some or realize some
2	higher growth scenario, that whole process would
3	move forward.
4	So, it's imperative we're already at that
5	point, so we should be planning for it now. So,
6	whether we have a forecast that may not be as
7	aggressive as you'd like to see at the at this
8	point, the bottom line is, we should be planning
9	for future capacity relief. And as a result of
10	some of the capacity analysis, we've come up
11	and I've and I've separated this into in key
12	components.
13	We're looking at the primary runway. We're
14	looking at 8,000 feet. And that's not 8,000 feet
15	of pavement that's just out there. That's 8,000
16	feet of usable pavement. And we're shy. We'll
17	be in the next phase, we'll be looking at our
18	alternatives analyses and looking at potential
19	ways of addressing that issue.
20	In addition, we'll be looking at approach
21	lighting at the 31 end, the runway safety area
22	issue that exists currently there where we're not
23	meeting the standard. And in addition to this,
24	we'll be looking at construction of blast pads,

1	For crossway crosswind, excuse me,
2	runways, we're looking at a minimum of 3,060 feet.
3	We currently don't meet that, so we're going to
4	have to look at some options and ways of
5	potentially meeting that requirement based on
6	the the aircraft fleet mix that we see here
7	operating at the airport.
8	If we look at the end of the approach end
9	of 20 and 24, there are some runway safety issues
10	there, safety area issues there, and we'd like to
11	see a nonprecision instrument capability to the
12	crosswind runway. And as we heard earlier, we'd
13	like to also resolve this dual usage of runway
14	2/20.
15	Now, that may mean taxiway only, runway only,
16	some sort of combination. We'll be looking at the
17	next phase. We want to clarify the issues so that
18	there's no no confusion out there. So, that
19	will be part of our alternatives process.
20	Taxiway improvements are important here
21	because we have a capacity issue projected in the
22	future where we're already at that 60 percent
23	level. One of the easiest and quickest ways to at
24	least address some of that is to look at the

1	locate in the future some taxiway connectors in
2	what we call the optimal range for the runway,
3	both now and in the future, we'll be able to look
4	at that theoretical capacity and actually be able
5	to provide some relief there.
6	In addition to that, we'll also be looking at
7	upgrading the taxiways you see up there, Bravo,
8	Bravo 1, 2, and Alpha 2, to Design Group IV
9	standards. And that coincides with the current
10	design group for the runway. And we'd also want
11	to provide Group III taxiway access from the
12	runway from the 31 end to the FBO. Now, during
13	the alternatives process, we'll be looking at
14	potential ways of accomplishing that.
15	There are other airfield needs. And we heard
16	a little bit in some conversations earlier today.
17	Prior to the meeting, we were talking about the
18	updated Part 139 FAR. And as part of that, we're
19	going to be looking at having to put most likely
20	wind cones at each end of the runway, because they
21	need to be visible from the approaches.
22	And it will also that includes the 139
23	includes update upgrades to security fencing
24	and our facility standards. And we'll also look

1	seaplane base to to bring it and resolve some
2	issues associated with that.
3	Our general aviation needs, as they are right
4	now, at a minimum, using the existing forecast, is
5	what you see here before you (indicating). Now,
6	we shouldn't be alarmed by this. This is the
7	starting point.
8	When we when we go through the planning
9	process, specifically the next phase, we want to
10	be looking at what the potential, the development
11	potential of this airport is. When we're in this
12	area, we're not going to look, oh, we only need 48
13	T-hangars; we're going to develop that small area.
14	We're going to look at the development potential
15	of this entire area, at areas up here
16	(indicating), and see what we have.
17	When we look at alternatives, we're looking
18	to see what can we get out of the existing
19	footprint of the airport? Then we make our
20	decisions based on what's available. And if we
21	don't meet those needs, then we have to look at
22	some hard decisions.
23	But in in the future, if we determine that
24	X number of hangars are capable of being

1	be able to accommodate them. This process is
2	flexible enough to allow you to do that. You
3	don't have to reinvent the wheel. You don't have
4	to go down the and replan and do another Master
5	Plan. We'll have thought of this.
6	And associated with the commercial passenger
7	forecast, there's some facilities here that we'll
8	also look at alternatives in trying to
9	accommodate.
10	Okay. There it is (indicating). Okay.
11	Where do I point? I don't know. I think it
12	was for some reason, it won't click. There we
13	go. Oh, now we're going backwards. So we can
14	just get back to a couple. I'll talk about the
15	first one.
16	The first slide that that was up there
17	while while Ed's going back for me, deals with
18	the seaplane base.
19	One more. Thank you.
20	And you'll see there's there is a 500-foot
21	separation from the runway, existing runway center
22	line, to the it's the parking standard, to the
23	aircraft parking area.
24	The current the current seaplane apron

1	in its current place. So, this is one of the
2	areas that we'll be looking at as part of our
3	process, in the alternatives process.
4	The next slide, please. Here I'm showing
5	comparison (indicating). This is another thing
6	that we'll have to address as part of the process
7	near the approach to to runway 2. You'll see
8	there's references to B-I, B-II, B-I, small
9	aircraft only. And there's different impacts
10	associated with these different design groups that
11	are associated with the with the runway.
12	We've found reason we we've been given
13	indications that the aircraft currently using the
14	runway are in Design Group II category. As you
15	can see by the shaded area (indicating), we have
16	concerns. And those are those are areas of
17	parking that would that would be affected if
18	this was designated as a Design Group II runway.
19	If we were to look at it in the light of
20	being a B-I or B-I small aircraft only and
21	these are technical terms here you could see
22	the impact. The part that's not shaded means that
23	we could park there. Here, we still have some
24	areas that are affected.

1	want to consider some some options for
2	designating that runway maybe perhaps to small
3	aircraft to alleviate some of the problems, or if
4	it is the desire of this group to maintain this
5	potential to accommodate Design Group II aircraft,
6	then there's some trade-offs that we have to
7	consider.
8	Next slide, please. So, our next steps
9	As we move through this process in the next month
10	and a half, we'll be looking at preliminary
11	alternatives associated with the study. It will
12	involve interaction between our company, the
13	Technical Advisory Committee, this group here, and
14	Staff, to kind of meet eye-to-eye on some of the
15	issues and make sure that we're going down the
16	right path here.
17	We don't want to be leaving any stone
18	unturned, so to speak. We want to hit the key
19	issues and we want to kind of move forward
20	together in this process, because if we don't,
21	we're going to get down the road and then
22	somebody's going to raise their hand during one of
23	these public meetings and they're going to say,
24	"Well, what about this?"

```
file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]
```

1	communication and cooperation at this phase. This
2	is probably the most important phase of the study.
3	Our next meeting will be with the TAC is
4	going to be in the late April, early May time
5	frame, as we see it for now. And that will be
6	followed by two other major components, will be
7	the resulting airport plans set once we've reached
8	a concept for the airport. And we will also look
9	at the financial implications of the development
10	that we're suggesting. And we'll have a plan for
11	that. We'll be looking at the feasibility and the
12	timing of some of the projects that come out of
13	this study. And that's the update.
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Jufko. Any
15	public comment?
16	(No public comment.)
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, board comment?
18	Mr. Ciriello?
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, Madam Chair. I
20	before I make a few statements and a question, I
21	want the LPA Group to know that I'm not
22	questioning your qualification and your expertise
23	or your effort.
24	I'm not really happy with what's going on.

25 But like I said, it has nothing to do with your --

1	your qualifications and such. I feel that
2	you've had a lot of meetings with different
3	organizations, the Pilots and FBOs and all of
4	that. And but no members of the board, maybe
5	some have, has attended, but when this is all
6	done, all of these meetings and everything you
7	have for months and months and months, then you'll
8	come to the board and give everything to us. With
9	all of these tons of meetings that's gone on
10	previous, we're not going to know as much as
11	everybody else, and we're going to be asked to
12	make a judgment on this thing.
13	Now, you got into one area there a little
14	while back I was going to jump in right then
15	and there that kind of ticked me off, but I
16	but I have a question. Has your organization ever
17	been asked by an airport to evaluate things like
18	you're doing now, and you looked at the airport
19	like this one is and it's really locked in. I
20	don't care what anybody says. Forget the property
21	acquisition that we could get. Forget that. But
22	the airport right now is locked in from the
23	Intracoastal, the road to the north and the south.
24	There's really not much room now to do much of

1	So, have you ever gone in for an airport and
2	everything and said, "Hey, you guys are really
3	locked in; there is nothing you can do but
4	maintain your airport in the first-class condition
5	you have it in right now and that's the best you
6	can do"?
7	But when you was giving your your your
8	speech you know, you you talk about the
9	all of these extra hangars, these 48 hangars and
10	such down in the Araquay Park place. Well, of
11	course, we got most of that property, and that's
12	going to happen, I guess, but I never liked that
13	idea.
14	And in your dwelling, which I don't like, on
15	commercial aviation because that's going to be
16	whole another ball of wax. When you divide an
17	airport into commercial and general, the FAA comes
18	in and gives you all kind of things that's going
19	to cost us a bundle of money. You got to have a
20	fire department and you've got to have certain
21	securities. You can't mix the two.
22	But I don't know if the only example I can
23	come up with that I went over to Gainesville one
24	day, and they have the one runway. And on this

1	and I didn't see three or four airliners parked
2	out there ready to take off with people. And then
3	the general aviation over here.
4	And if if we're going to get into a
5	situation like that where we're going to have
6	maybe one or two at the very most in a 24-hour
7	period of a commercial airliner coming in here,
8	dropping off 50, 60, or even a hundred people, for
9	the expense it's going to cost us for all of these
10	things the FAA is going to want and the separation
11	between the two, I don't think the the minimum
12	return we're going to get for the taxpayers is
13	going to be worth all of that.
14	And I I would really like to see an idea
15	of us getting another airport in another location
16	strictly for general aviation, and convert this
17	one, if possible, into a commercial airport, if
18	you can get that kind of business by itself.
19	Now, that that's but I'd like to hear the
20	answer. Have you ever gone into an airport and
21	come out and said, "Hey, you guys can't go
22	anywhere. Just keep what you got and don't worry
23	about it"?
24	Have you ever done that, or have you always

1	can do here; you can go into these communities and
2	take stuff off of them, so go get them"?
3	I want to hear your answer to that.
4	MR. JUFKO: And the answer is absolutely.
5	Absolutely have. One of the recent experiences
6	that I've dealt with is, if you're familiar with
7	Albert Witted Airport in St. Petersburg
8	MR. CIRIELLO: I've heard of it.
9	MR. JUFKO: Landlocked. There is only so
10	much they can do at that airport. I happen to be
11	also the project manager on an ongoing study there
12	now. Very similar schedule that they're
13	they're undergoing right now. They can only do so
14	much with what they have.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Phil, can we just hold you
16	up?
17	MR. JUFKO: I'm sorry.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Go ahead.
19	MR. JUFKO: So, under those circumstances,
20	you look at what you have available. Here's what
21	we have available.
22	At this point, we have some property here in
23	the Araquay Park area, so we are considering that
24	as part of the study. And we would look at those

59

1	developing the available land on this airport is.
2	It's it's fairly simple.
3	Now, would we tell you you can't develop
4	beyond it? Sure we would. This is this is
5	your limit right here. Boom.
6	If you have certain other priorities, if the
7	priorities of this group changes in the future and
8	you would like to see maybe more development
9	towards some of the larger hangars, as an example,
10	and less towards the T-hangars, and you would
11	rather support and change your percentage of
12	dollars going to those kinds of projects, you
13	could do that, too. That's what happens during
14	this next project process. We look and see
15	what the needs of the airport is at this at
16	this point, at this at this juncture.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Let me ask you this.
18	MR. JUFKO: Go ahead.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: I I should have said this
20	at a couple of other meetings, and I clean forgot
21	about it, but
22	MR. JUFKO: I'll do my best.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: as we're going south, right
24	now, we're only I don't have a map a certain

## 25 part of Araquay Park, where is it going to end? I

1	mean when we get that all filled up are we going
	mean, when we get that all filled up, are we going
2	to go after the rest of Araquay Park and keep
3	going and try to take it?
4	But then the point I'm getting here is this
5	new Ponce thing coming in, from what I understand,
6	all of those homes going in there aren't going to
7	be affordable houses. They're going to be homes
8	worth two and a half mill or \$250,000, a half a
9	million dollars. The properties are going for
10	\$300,000 for a little plot.
11	And, you know, when you get communities
12	around airports, you've always got complaints.
13	So, if we keep moving south and these people are
14	moving toward us, building these expensive homes,
15	there's going to be a battle somewhere along the
16	line, and the airport's going to come out hurting.
17	So, do you think about that when you're
18	thinking into the future, that, hey, you can only
19	go so far down that way because these homes are
20	coming towards
21	MR. JUFKO: We have to consider those types
22	of things. There are there are certain types
23	of land uses, if we look at what's out there
24	currently, that are compatible with airport

1	that's that we see as incompatible, we bring
2	that to the attention of the Authority. And, of
3	course, you know best what's out there that's
4	incompatible currently.
5	In this situation here where if you have,
6	let's say let's use Araquay Park as an example.
7	You know, ideally around an airport, we would try
8	to set up some sort of buffer. If I if I had
9	the the land, we would try to set up a buffer
10	through land use regulations, if it ever possible.
11	In this case, when you're limited like you
12	are, you do the best with what you have.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, let me let me
14	throw an idea at you. If we go with a joint
15	commercial and general aviation, where do you see
16	the commercial part going in that has to be
17	separated from general aviation?
18	MR. JUFKO: Where would we build it?
19	MR. CIRIELLO: You're not thinking of taking
20	the terminal off of the general aviation people
21	and converting that, are you?
22	MR. JUFKO: Quite honestly, I haven't even
23	looked at that yet.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I was trying to throw a

1	Grumman's North 40 and the run-up pad
2	(indicating)?
3	MR. JUFKO: Yes, sir.
4	MR. CIRIELLO: There's a certain amount of
5	acreage in there that we have but they've got
6	control over it. But in a few years, they're
7	going to lose, I understand, that control, and
8	it'll be ours again. Right, Ed?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Unless they exercise the use
10	of the option, yes.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Huh?
12	MR. WUELLNER: Unless they exercise the use
13	of the option, that's correct.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, we don't have to let
15	them do that, do we? At the end of the
16	MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
17	MR. WUELLNER: You do through the end of
18	2007 or through 2007, at which point it's a
19	lease negotiation item.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: Then it's ours.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we can take people's
23	homes by eminent domain, we ought to be able to

24 get that off of Grumman. But what I'm getting at,

1	MR. WUELLNER: You already own it.
2	MR. CIRIELLO: I know. Well, that's what
3	you're saying, that we own it but we can't use it
4	unless they let us.
5	MR. WUELLNER: Because you let them do that.
6	MR. CIRIELLO: Huh?
7	MR. WUELLNER: Because you let them do that.
8	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Ciriello meant to eliminate
9	their option. He said I think that's what he
10	was talking about.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, but the whole question
12	I'm saying, wouldn't it be possible to put a
13	commercial terminal over there, and that would
14	separate the commercial end from the general
15	aviation on this side? Would that be a thought
16	that could be you could work out?
17	I'm not going for going commercial; don't get
18	me wrong. But if it happens, that area there,
19	could that be where the commercial terminal could
20	be put?
21	MR. JUFKO: Any we would look at any
22	available area on the airport. That has that
23	has potential.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: I mean, because that's open

1	MR. JUFKO: Absolutely. That's an open space
2	on the air one of the few open spaces
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
4	MR. JUFKO: on the airport. But we
5	would we would look at that through the same
6	looking glass that we look at every other
7	available piece of property on this airport.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, since they're you
9	know, they've got to be separated and their rules
10	are different than ours, to keep as much
11	separation between them and general aviation, I
12	would think that would be a good place to put a
13	commercial terminal in there.
14	MR. JUFKO: Do you need a job?
15	MR. GEORGE: Phil, what time frame Phil,
16	what time frame are you looking at getting into
17	those options?
18	MR. JUFKO: We are getting into those options
19	the next stage, we in the next 45 days, we are
20	looking at them.
21	MR. GEORGE: There's no reason that one of
22	the board members of the board can't come to the
23	TAC meetings and
24	MR. JUFKO: Absolutely. We were going to

25 discuss later this week exactly how we're going to

1	proceed with that
2	MR. GEORGE: And maybe if you could let us
3	know
4	MR. ARNOLD: and get the input.
5	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, Joe, that might be a good
6	place for you to voice your your opinion there.
7	MR. WUELLNER: If memory's correct, there's
8	one of you on the TAC.
9	MR. JUFKO: That's correct.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There is.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Which one?
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it was Jack.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Gorman.
14	MR. GORMAN: I have been to one TAC
15	meeting
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct.
17	MR. GORMAN: and I intend to address
18	another one.
19	MR. JUFKO: I would encourage you to attend
20	the next TAC meeting.
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Is he talking to you?
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. Well, all of us. I
23	think any of us.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.

MR. JUFKO: I think it's important, as I

1	mentioned briefly during my presentation, this is
2	the most important portion of this study, what
3	we're going in the next 45 days, 45, 60 days,
4	depending on how interesting it gets for us.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: I have another funny idea. I
6	always come out with funny ideas.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, Joe Joe? I don't
8	know I want to get all of your answers or
9	questions answered, but we have a huge agenda
10	tonight.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: One more question.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And well, it might be
13	better addressed at the TAC meeting, is what I'm
14	saying. All of us probably because I have a
15	few, too, but I don't want to belabor it today
16	with the board.
17	So, if we could kind of keep on track with
18	what our agenda is and then address all of those
19	phases, because I think that's going to be
20	something we really need to sit down and pick
21	through.
22	MR. GORMAN: I have one quickie.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I just cut Joe off, so
24	Okay. Thank you very much.

Also, Ed, I don't know how you want to

1	address it. I know Mr. Lunny is still here. I
2	don't know if the board members needed to go talk
3	to him or if if they're okay and we'll talk to
4	him later, then we can release him or let him go.
5	Is that okay with the board?
6	MR. GEORGE: Let who go?
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Lunny, Greg, our
8	attorney.
9	MR. GEORGE: Oh, okay.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Then if he when he
11	needs to go, then that's fine. We can each
12	individually talk to him if we need to.
13	Okay. The next item is the attorney services
14	contract.
15	7.D. ATTORNEY SERVICES CONTRACT
16	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, ma'am. The next item is
17	the attorney services contract. At the last
18	meeting, we presented the draft contract. The
19	board directed a number of changes to that
20	contract. They have been included in that
21	document now and are back to you at your request
22	for concurrence in that document.
23	If you want the specific changes, I'm going
24	to defer to Doug to walk through those with you,

## 25 if you'd -- if you'd like to do that; otherwise,

1	it's the same agreement with the changes that
2	that you folks directed them to make.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment with
4	regards to the attorney services contract?
5	(No public comment.)
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, board comment.
7	Mr. Gorman?
8	MR. GORMAN: Of course, I was not in favor of
9	the renewal of Rogers & Towers contract. I would
10	like to see the board be able to have an option to
11	hire yet another firm, if they wanted one, so that
12	we were not locked into just one firm. That's
13	just an alternative.
14	We did that with the engineering groups. It,
15	I think, gives a competitive edge to things. And
16	I would like to see that considered by this board,
17	so we are not just locked into the firm of Rogers
18	& Towers
19	MR. GEORGE: Are you saying that
20	MR. GORMAN: to provide the competitive
21	edge.
22	MR. GEORGE: you'd like to see their
23	contract modified or so that we they have
24	no no legal

1	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
2	MR. GORMAN: That would probably sum it up.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think they do. I
4	mean
5	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think they do, either.
6	MR. COX: It's not defined in the contract.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, it says either party
8	can cancel within 30 days. We don't
9	MR. WUELLNER: That that's one route. The
10	other is you can simply use somebody else.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You can subcontract out.
12	MR. GEORGE: Is that acceptable to you, that
13	we can cancel
14	MR. WUELLNER: We have done that over the
15	last three years.
16	MR. COX: option in the last meeting.
17	MR. GEORGE: She said it's in here.
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I have we've got too
19	many people talking.
20	MR. WUELLNER: We have done that in the past.
21	MR. GORMAN: In other words, so the board
22	is then we're convinced as a board that we are
23	not locked into an exclusive contract and we
24	can we do have the option. Okay. That's what

25 I just wanted to make clear then. All right.

70

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
2	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I I just wondered,
3	since Mr. Burnett's been on board, he's been
4	giving reports at meetings. But I've been coming
5	to meetings for a lot of years and there's been
6	many, many times that when you go through your
7	agenda and you get down to the attorney, they say,
8	"No report, no report, no report."
9	So, we're paying them a pretty good fee. I'm
10	just wondering if it would be possible for them to
11	keep a log I say daily activities. It might
12	not be daily. But a log that, once a month and
13	not necessarily to bring it to the board, but give
14	it to us as a sheet that anytime some member of
15	this board happens to call them up to ask a
16	question, what about this or that, or the staff,
17	or anybody, that they would have it on a log so
18	when we come in at the meeting, they hand us a
19	paper and we can look down and say, "Oh, gee, this
20	month, they've been contacted 25 times. They've
21	earned their money."
22	But when they come in here from one month to
23	the next and say, "No report, we have nothing to
24	say," we don't know if they're earning their money

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2005%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20031505.txt[11/16/2010 1:44:25 PM]

25 or not. And I'd just -- it's -- it's just

something I'd like to see. And I don't know if

2	they can
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it's done. Yeah,
4	Ed?
5	MR. WUELLNER: We get that.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah. It's there.
7	MR. WUELLNER: The invoices, as they're
8	presented monthly, provide all of that backup
9	detail, and anytime
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, why don't we get it, a
11	copy of it so we can see?
12	MR. WUELLNER: You just said you didn't want
13	it. You just said now you didn't want it at every
14	meeting, but
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's available in the
16	office.
17	MR. WUELLNER: But it's available anytime you
18	want to look at it.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: No, no. I didn't no. What
20	I said is I didn't think that whenever we got to
21	the agenda, the attorney had to come out and say,
22	"Here's my log for this past month." But if he
23	had it printed out you say they are to hand
24	each board member, just like you do everything

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2005%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20031505.txt[11/16/2010 1:44:25 PM]

1	MR. WUELLNER: We can provide that if you
2	like.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: I want to see what these guys
4	are doing for their money.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The only con
6	MR. CIRIELLO: And you see we we know, but
7	I don't.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The only concern I have, and
9	I'm sure our attorney does, too, is whatever is
10	handed out to the board individually or in a
11	packet, whatever the invoices include, if they're
12	flat time or attorney/client privilege
13	documentation or work product, that you've got to
14	be really careful about that.
15	I mean, we're in the sunshine; that's fine,
16	that's great. We're paying them a flat fee for
17	unlimited hours here, or whatever it takes outside
18	of the courtroom. But I'm sure that Rogers Towers
19	will direct that accordingly.
20	MR. CIRIELLO: So, what's my answer? I have
21	to go see Ed to find out the monthly logs to
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I would I would think he
23	has them
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

## 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any copy you want,

1	absolutely.
2	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
3	MR. WUELLNER: We've got them for the last
4	three-plus years.
5	MR. GEORGE: There's another option. You
6	could become the secretary and sign all the checks
7	and then you could see.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, what you see or he sees
9	or she sees, I don't see. As far as I'm
10	concerned, I don't know what's going on, and I
11	want to know.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Cox?
13	MR. COX: We're outside of the agenda item.
14	We were talking about the contract. I think we
15	need to
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, I think he wanted to
17	see invoices.
18	MR. CIRIELLO: It's part of the contract,
19	okay.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, they're provided, so
21	it's in here.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
23	MR. GEORGE: I make a motion we accept
24	Staff's recommendation.

MR. BURNETT: May -- may I make --

1 CHAIRMAN	GREEN:	Yes.
------------	--------	------

2	MR. BURNETT: two comments very briefly?
3	One, Mr. Ciriello, just so you know, we do
4	detailed billing, so it says what the attorney
5	did it will say the attorney's name or
6	initials, and then at the end, you can see the
7	name. It will say what they did with a summary in
8	there, the amount of time spent on there.
9	Going back, just so that you know, when we
10	looked at the monthly rate per month that the
11	retainer is covering, we've got a history for the
12	last five years. And when you look at the
13	retainer amount versus the amount of time spent by
14	us, the Airport Authority is actually coming out
15	ahead in the long run. Certainly, there are
16	months where the our law firm has less hours
17	involved than other months, but it goes both it
18	goes both ways. And over the long over the
19	long term, the Airport Authority's actually come
20	out ahead.
21	One other thing about the item that you're
22	about to approve, two changes that were
23	specifically made, and they were direct they
24	were to address Ms. Green's comments at the last

1	are subject to periodic change.
2	And additionally, on page 3, under
3	"Additional Terms," it provides very clearly that
4	either party can cancel its representation upon 30
5	days' notice to the other, so to make it clear
6	that the Airport Authority has the ability to seek
7	other counsel in the future.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. There's a motion.
9	MR. COX: Second.
10	MR. GEORGE: Make a motion. Yeah. I'm
11	sorry. You've already got the motion.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: One. It's been seconded.
13	Any further discussion on attorney's fees
14	contract?
15	(No further discussion.)
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
17	the contract as presented by Staff?
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
20	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
21	MR. COX: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
23	MR. GORMAN: Nay.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The ayes have it. The

## 25 contract's accepted. The next item agenda is

1 Mr. Arnold?
---------------

2	7.E EMINENT DOMAIN LAWS & PROCEDURES
3	MR. BURNETT: Before before Mark starts
4	talking, I'll I'll just tell you in case he
5	doesn't tell you about himself. He's
6	MR. ARNOLD: Actually, I was going to say I
7	wish I'd have been before that last agenda item.
8	You're not going to defend me, are you?
9	MR. BURNETT: No. I don't I don't want to
10	steal Mark's thunder. He is one of our more
11	experienced attorneys at Rogers Towers and has a
12	wealth of experience and knowledge related to
13	eminent domain. And that's why he's here this
14	evening.
15	MR. ARNOLD: Thank you. I believe the
16	purpose for me being here is to give the board
17	members a brief overview of eminent domain, what
18	you may be facing in the way of kind of a game
19	plan if you decide to implement the condemnation
20	process to acquire some of the properties you've
21	been unsuccessful in acquiring thus far for the
22	airport expansion.
23	You will hear the word "eminent domain" a lot
24	of times, and you'll hear the word "condemnation."

```
file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]
```

1	MS. OCHKIE: Yeah, I got it now.
2	MR. ARNOLD: Okay. Eminent domain is the
3	power of the sovereign to acquire private property
4	for public purpose. That power is found in
5	Article X, Section 6 of the Florida Constitution.
6	Condemnation is the legal process that you go
7	through in acquiring that private property.
8	You have two ways in which you can go about
9	the condemnation process. You can employ what's
10	called a quick-taking, which is exactly that; you
11	go in the court and you acquire title to the
12	property in a fast or quick manner. And by doing
13	so, you can start to prosecute the public work for
14	which you are acquiring the property.
15	Or, you can do a slow-taking, and that is you
16	go into court the same way; you file a petition,
17	you file your lis pendens, but then you wait to
18	acquire title to the property until a jury
19	determines what full compensation for that
20	property should be. That can take sometimes 12,
21	18, 24 months to go through the court process.
22	And the Court will determine your right to take
23	the property at the same time that they

24

determine -- the jury determines the compensation.

1	acquire that property, you must show the judge two
2	things right off the bat. Actually, three things.
3	The first two things are that the property is
4	necessary for a public purpose. Long-range
5	planning and goals, such as the gentleman earlier
6	was speaking about as to your Master Plan, are
7	public purposes for transportation elements, such
8	as an airport.
9	You must then show that you have a public
10	purpose and that the property is reasonably
11	necessary to fulfill that purpose. I emphasis the
12	word "reasonably necessary."
13	It does not mean that there are not other
14	alternatives that you could employ or other manner
15	or means in which you could meet your long-term
16	goals, but that you as the governing board have
17	chosen this one and that it's reasonable. That is
18	your decision, not the Court's decision.
19	You must then show the Court that you have
20	performed an appraisal to acquire the property.
21	The appraisal must be a valid appraisal. Well,
22	what does that mean? Does that mean that your
23	number's right and the property owner's number is
24	wrong? No.

1	an appraisal and followed what's called USPAP
2	standards, Uniform Standards of Professional
3	Appraisal Practice.
4	At that point in time, the Court will issue
5	what's called an Order of Taking. You must
6	deposit the money within 20 days of the Order of
7	Taking, and you have bought the property.
8	I like to tell condemning authorities you've
9	also at that point signed a blank check. Because
10	the acquisition of the property, the price that
11	you're going to pay acquisition's been
12	determined. You own that property. You can start
13	doing your work. The property owners, the Court
14	will give a certain amount of time usually to
15	vacate the premises. After they do so, you can
16	start your work.
17	But a jury later determines what the value
18	for the property is that you must pay. And that's
19	done through jury trial. And I think you've been
20	involved in this process for two or three years
21	now and you've seen, I think in a couple of
22	instances, how much variance there can be between
23	appraisers as to their opinion of value.
24	You also, as a condemning authority, have

1	and costs. Once you file the suit, and generally
2	once you've made an offer to the property owner,
3	it can be argued that you've assumed that
4	obligation.
5	The property owners are entitled to have
6	their fees and costs paid for under Florida law.
7	The costs in the situation that you're talking
8	about for residential properties, which are going
9	to be total takings, are usually going to be
10	limited to an appraiser, possibly a surveyor,
11	maybe a land planner if it was a large piece, but
12	most of these properties are single-family lots,
13	and you're doing a total taking. So, you're
14	looking at the appraisal fees and associated costs
15	and then the attorney's fees, which are determined
16	under Florida law on a contingency basis.
17	It's unlike a personal injury contingency
18	case, though. On a personal injury case, the
19	lawyer usually gets 20, 30, 35 percent, whatever
20	the agreed-upon amount is of the award.
21	In Florida, we are what's called a
22	full-compensation state. That means that the
23	property owner gets the full amount of the award
24	that is given to them by the jury or whether

1	condemning authority must pay the attorney's fees
2	and costs over and above that award.
3	The award for the attorneys is, generally
4	speaking, a mon determined on a monetary
5	benefit basis; in other words, they look at what
6	the condemning authority offers first, what the
7	Final Judgment is, and then it's a mathematical
8	formula to determine what the fee is based upon
9	that.
10	For instance, if you offer a property owner a
11	hundred thousand dollars for their residence, the
12	jury comes back later at 200,000, there's a
13	\$200,000 benefit; the attorney's fees on that
14	would be 33 percent over and above the \$200 It
15	would be \$233,000.
16	That is why I have recommended to condemning
17	authorities, when I represent them, to get a real
18	good appraisal, negotiate fairly and fully with
19	the property owners.
20	Oftentimes, you're going to find owners that
21	just don't want to sell. They don't want to be
22	moved from their residences. They don't want to
23	leave the place they've been for years. Your
24	heart goes out to them, but once again, you're

1	trying to fulfill. And oftentimes, to fulfill
2	that public purpose, people must be displaced,
3	businesses must be displaced.
4	And it's a situation where I like to tell my
5	clients, it's unlike a lot of court cases, where
6	you go into court and there's a winner and there's
7	a loser. In a condemnation case, the government
8	usually gets the land they want. The property
9	owners, if their attorneys and the condemning
10	authorities have done their job, get fully
11	compensated now, their lives are going to be
12	disrupted. But they at least get compensated, so
13	each side gets a little bit of something in the
14	process.
15	That is just really a general overview of the
16	process that you will go through if you decide to
17	proceed with condemnation on these properties. I
18	could spend a lot more time. I could get into
19	comps and everything else, but I don't think y'all
20	need to hear that here today.
21	I'd be happy to answer any questions you may
22	have about that process or where you might be at
23	this point in time. Mr. Wuellner has shared a
24	little bit of the information with me.

1	proceeding in the manner that y'all have
2	proceeded, y'all have saved money, and also to the
3	extent that you can, when you're displacing
4	people, have done a pretty good PR job in this
5	process.
6	I've seen a lot of other projects that have
7	been a lot less well-received than this one. And
8	I think it's due in large part to the efforts of
9	your executive director and the people he had
10	working with him.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is that it?
12	MR. ARNOLD: Any questions?
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mark. I'm going to
14	open it up to public.
15	MR. ARNOLD: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Public comment for
17	Mr. Arnold or any of the questions? Yes, ma'am.
18	Mark, they need to come up and
19	MR. WUELLNER: Share the mic there.
20	MS. McELROY: Hand it back and forth.
21	Carolyn McElroy, Araquay Park. With the
22	quick-taking you were talking about, if they were
23	to opt to do that to my property, then they have
24	to actually do something with the property to be

1 and rent it out to	somebody?
----------------------	-----------

2	MR. ARNOLD: They can acquire your property
3	for a public purpose. If they do not have an
4	immediate need for it, they can use it to obtain
5	profit. And there are instances of that.
6	For instance, JTA right now out on Wonderwood
7	Expressway, which is in the Arlington section of
8	Jacksonville, acquired a strip center next to one
9	of the Gate Petroleum sites. Well, they've
10	changed their plans for the roadway now. They
11	don't really need the strip center, but they're
12	renting it out until such time as they decide
13	whether they want to put it out for bid or
14	whatever.
15	So, there is an obligation on their part.
16	They have to do two things. They have to plan for
17	the future. And if they acquire property that
18	they can get a return on, then they should go
19	ahead and get the return on it because they have a
20	duty and an obligation to the taxpayers to try to
21	recoup what they have spent on that property to
22	the extent possible.
23	MS. McELROY: Do they have to show when
24	they do they have to show when they go to court

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

2

public purpose.

85

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, ma'am. You have to have a

3	MS. McELROY: Very good.
4	MR. ARNOLD: Exactly.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody else?
6	(No further public comment.)
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing nothing public, any
8	board questions? Joe?
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Everybody here knows
10	that I don't like eminent domain. I think it's a
11	lousy law. And I don't and there's nothing you
12	can do about it.
13	But when you talk about a public service, I
14	think in your speech, you you made it known
15	that public service or the taking of for the
16	public use doesn't have to have well, like a
17	majority. In other words, let's say if the State
18	of Florida wanted to build a highway, and they had
19	to take somebody's land to do it, it's going to
20	benefit everybody in the State of Florida and in
21	the United States that happens to use that
22	highway. That's really a big benefit.
23	But here, you're talking about taking some
24	homes to put in a few hangars, and you're
file:///S /Users/ck	h/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2005%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20031505.txt[11/16/2010 1:44:25 PM]

1	percentage of I think the projection in the
2	next 20 years is 70 new airplanes here. And
3	they're going to build 70 hangars, or maybe a
4	hundred.
5	So, if you figure a hundred aircraft owners
6	in 20 years, how is that in the benefit of the
7	public interest over 150- or 160,000 people in St.
8	Johns County who have no use for an airplane or an
9	airport? So, how can you justify your public
10	interest?
11	MR. ARNOLD: The courts have justified it all
12	the way to saying if it's an economic benefit, it
13	can be a public purpose. And I'll give you a
14	prime example.
15	The City of Jacksonville Beach has a
16	redevelopment area where they have acquired lots
17	and then sold them, once assembled, to a developer
18	to build a shopping center. The purpose in doing
19	so was to increase their ad valorem tax base,
20	which the courts have ruled is a public purpose.
21	Now, philosophically, I may not agree with
22	that or you may not agree with it, but it's the
23	determination of the courts that that does fulfill
24	a public purpose. So, it is a judge that will

1	planning and goals for an airport authority, for
2	the most part, have been considered public
3	purposes.
4	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, let me ask you another
5	question. I've tried I've asked asked this
6	question before and never got a real answer. But
7	how long have you been in this area doing this?
8	MR. ARNOLD: I've been in Jacksonville all my
9	life.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
11	MR. ARNOLD: I've been out of law school
12	since '81. So, that's what, 23 years.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: You're in the area I'm going
14	to ask you a question about.
15	MR. ARNOLD: And condemnation, I've been
16	doing for 17, 18 years of that 23.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: The reason I'm asking it is
18	when I first moved down here 17 years ago and I
19	was working at Grumman, I remember reading in a
20	Jacksonville paper one day a small article that
21	JIA was trying to get some land to build hangars
22	to make a profit. And there was one man who
23	wouldn't sell his property, and they took it by
24	eminent domain. And he went to court. And the

1	this man's property because you want to make a
2	profit.
3	Now, I don't recall ever reading anything in
4	the paper about a follow-up, whether they offered
5	him more money or if they won on an appeal or
6	anything. Do you happen to know anything or
7	remember anything about that particular case?
8	MR. ARNOLD: I don't remember that, and I
9	would not base any response on what I've read in
10	the paper. I would want to see the court file and
11	see what the pleadings were.
12	MR. CIRIELLO: I think that's the second time
13	I've heard that. The second time I've heard that.
14	Oh, okay. I just wondered, because the paper
15	said the judge said you can't take somebody's
16	property just because you want to make a profit.
17	And that's what we're going to be doing. And if
18	they said, no, you can't take it by eminent
19	domain, why couldn't they say no here? You know,
20	because I'm not for this. I mean
21	MR. ARNOLD: Well, that that will be up to
22	Judge Traynor or Judge Mathis to make that
23	determination.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

1	Mr. Gorman?
2	MR. GORMAN: I have one just very
3	tongue-in-cheek. Could you run very quickly over
4	that 33 percent for the lawyers? I just want to
5	hear that again.
6	MR. ARNOLD: I'm usually representing
7	property owners. No, it's it's 33 percent for
8	the first \$250 Two-fifty to a million is 25
9	percent, and 20 percent thereafter.
10	MR. GORMAN: And these are law fees.
11	MR. ARNOLD: And these are the fees and I
12	was against that statute when they implemented it
13	in 1994, and argued against it to a lot of our
14	legislatures.
15	MR. GEORGE: Is that 33 percent of the
16	difference?
17	MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
18	MR. GEORGE: You went through a mathematical
19	thing where they sold the property
20	MR. ARNOLD: Thirty-three percent of the
21	difference.
22	MR. GEORGE: Of the difference.
23	MR. ARNOLD: Of the difference.
24	MR. GEORGE: Okay.

1	court looks at setting the fees. And there's also
2	sometimes what's called nonmonetary benefits.
3	But I think it's a bad statute. The old
4	statute, I liked a lot better was fairer to the
5	property owners, I think.
6	MR. GORMAN: And my second question, is that
7	fee to the lawyers negotiable?
8	MR. ARNOLD: Pardon me?
9	MR. GORMAN: That fee to a lawyer is
10	negotiable?
11	MR. WUELLNER: That's
12	MR. ARNOLD: Well, I have negotiated on
13	occasions for my clients, but I think I'm the
14	exception.
15	MR. GEORGE: It's as expensive as merchant
16	marines.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks, Mark.
18	MR. ARNOLD: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that
19	one.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: It was specifically for
21	Mr. Gorman. That's okay.
22	Ed, knowing the agenda as you do, do you
23	think what's our time frame on dealing with F
24	and G? I don't know if someone needs a break,

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

25 because we're not even halfway through, and we've

91

1 been going --

2	MR. WUELLNER: Well, my my questions on F
3	surround the properties east of excuse me, east
4	of Casa Cola and a request to begin the appraisal
5	process that would lead up to an offer.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Why don't we start at
7	that, then. All right. Then the next agenda item
8	is going to be F? 7.F.?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
10	7.F SELECTED ARAQUAY PARK APPRAISAL ACTION
11	MR. WUELLNER: The the exhibit you got
12	with your agenda item, as well as the one we've
13	got up on the on the screen right now
14	represents the red areas are the properties
15	currently located east of Casa Cola Way that the
16	Authority does not currently own. And they
17	there are nine owners involved.
18	And the basis of the request, you can see
19	that the gray well, just for clarification, the
20	gray area represents what the Authority currently
21	owns in the Araquay Park neighborhood. The red is
22	that property we do not own that is also located
23	east of Casa Cola Way, which was the priority area
24	as communicated to Staff by by you.

1	in essentially both methods of taking, if that's
2	the direction you're still intending to go.
3	If you're still intending to go that way,
4	regardless and correct me if I'm wrong here at
5	any time, Mark, just procedurally but
6	regardless of which method you may choose to go or
7	even if you choose not to go later, prior to the
8	offer point, the appraisal would still need to be
9	done.
10	So, this first step, while it's it's
11	somewhat tentative, provides the factual basis
12	vis-a-vis an appraisal that would be acceptable
13	and and hopefully would be usable in court.
14	Ideally, it would be it would be structured
15	such that it would be a reliable appraisal from
16	our perspective for entering into negotiations,
17	for moving through eminent domain, whatever might
18	be on the horizon for those properties.
19	And our request is simply to move through
20	that or allow our attorneys to facilitate those
21	appraisals on our behalf so that the directions
22	given to the appraising firms is consistent and
23	would become reliable and usable for their
24	purposes.

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

1	(No public comment.)
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing no public comment,
3	board comment? Joe?
4	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. About this appraisal
5	thing, is there some written rule, law, or
6	something that says that the attorneys have to do
7	this appraisal?
8	MR. WUELLNER: No, the attorneys would not do
9	the appraisers appraisals. We're simply using
10	them to facilitate the arrangements with the
11	appraising firm so that the firm chosen, as well
12	as the direction given to that firm, allow an
13	appraisal that is useful to us should we move
14	through.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, let me ask you, would
16	any real estate company happen to know how to do
17	appraisals or be able to do appraisals? In other
18	words, you're we're going to be paying the
19	lawyer to contact an appraisal organization, and
20	then they're going to come in, and since they're
21	specialists, it's going to cost a lot of money;
22	where wouldn't say oh, gee whiz. I know it as
23	well as my own name. But one of these real estate
24	companies around here, wouldn't they be able to

```
file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]
```

25 just come in and say, oh, that property's worth

1	this much money and this is how much you should
2	get for it or pay for it or whatever? And they
3	wouldn't charge you anywhere near what it's going
4	to cost our attorney and the appraisal company to
5	do.
6	MR. WUELLNER: That's a real good question.
7	The the reliability of the appraisal here
8	is is absolutely key in moving this moving
9	it forward. It has to be that kind of an
10	appraisal. It has to be it generates a
11	ridiculous size document that that documents
12	all of the variables that went into coming up with
13	a value as it was determined by the appraiser.
14	The reason we're using the attorney and
15	historically, when we've done this with with
16	Rogers Towers, it's not a situation where they
17	mark up the cost of the appraisal or anything.
18	It's a direct cost. It is the same as though we
19	went out and and purchased the appraisal
20	separately.
21	What we try to avoid is the possibility of
22	miscommunication in the direction of what needs to
23	be accomplished in the scope of the appraisal by
24	allowing the attorney who will rely on that

1 exactly what needs to be in there.

2	MR. CIRIELLO: Are you saying then, doing it
3	this way, they would carry more weight or be more
4	acceptable to whoever's going to give us the
5	money, like the state or whatever, over just an
6	ordinary real estate company coming in and
7	MR. WUELLNER: I think it's
8	MR. CIRIELLO: They're more credible.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Two things. There's one,
10	it's less likely that error results and we end up
11	redoing the appraisal.
12	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Secondly, it is becomes
14	extremely reliable because it has to be relied on
15	accordingly.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other board comment?
18	MR. GEORGE: Ed, this is for you or for Phil.
19	In your presentation on what happens next in the
20	meetings in May and April, I am concerned that
21	this area of Araquay Park is going to meet the
22	needs that you're going to be coming up with.
23	In other words, you're going to be,
24	hopefully, prior to June, coming up with something

1	here's where we recommend you go, and here's how
2	we would recommend that this area be laid out.
3	I think that what Ed is saying is, let's get
4	the appraisals, go ahead and start it, and then
5	with the input of your information in the May or
6	June time frame, then we'll all be more, you know,
7	comfortable that, yes, that is the way. Because I
8	would assume that you would also look at Gun Club
9	property north of Gun Club Road, 70 acres at
10	Grumman that Joe mentioned a while ago. You'd
11	look at all of that surrounding property based on
12	what our needs are and say here's where we
13	recommend you go. It might even be Araquay and
14	something else. But
15	MR. JUFKO: That's correct.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have one quick question.
17	And I don't know if Mr. Burnett knows the answer,
18	or maybe Mark does. Is it norm for residential
19	appraisals in in this proceeding to cost \$2,500
20	and up, versus normal residential appraisers being
21	in the like 5-, 600 range?
22	MR. ARNOLD: What was your question again?
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: The appraisals on our
24	memorandum say that the appraisal range is between

## 25 \$2,500 and \$5,000. Is that standard for doing

1	this type of appraisal for a condemnation, versus
2	a residential closing which runs you like 600?
3	MR. ARNOLD: The difference is the
4	residential closing that you're talking about is a
5	short-form appraisal.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
7	MR. ARNOLD: The other one would be what's
8	called a summary appraisal report. Summary
9	appraisal report by USPAP standards must contain
10	within that report all of the underlying data and
11	facts upon which the appraiser relied in reaching
12	his value conclusions.
13	On a residential one, you look at it I end
14	up looking at those and I end up with more
15	questions after looking at the short-form one than
16	I have answers. Usually I'll have to call the
17	appraiser and, okay, "What about this sale? Where
18	was it located? How was it zoned?"
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: And for our purposes, which
20	is court based, that's definitely the way
21	MR. ARNOLD: I want a complete self-contained
22	appraisal report.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
24	MR. GORMAN: A quick question. I mean, an

1	it, a comparable property? I mean, and now you
2	MR. ARNOLD: There's there's three
3	approaches to value.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.
5	MR. ARNOLD: Comparable sales is one of the
6	approaches, which is called the market approach.
7	You've got two other approaches. Other being an
8	income approach, which is usually used on
9	commercial or industrial-type properties. And the
10	last being a cost approach, which is a blend of
11	the market approach to get the underlying land
12	value, and then you figure out what it would cost
13	to reproduce the improvements that are on it,
14	depreciated to their age, et cetera.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct. Any other board
16	comments?
17	(No further board comments.)
18	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, then we have a
19	recommendation from Staff to allow Staff to
20	facilitate appraisals for the properties listed.
21	Do I hear a motion?
22	MR. COX: I'll motion to seek or to take
23	Staff's recommendation and move forward with that.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?

## $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$

25 MR. GEORGE: I second that.

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
2	MR. CIRIELLO: Just a question. According to
3	this Staff recommendation, that's not
4	automatically going to allow them to continue on
5	after the appraisals and everything into a eminent
6	domain proceeding; is that
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No. My understanding, sir,
8	is just to allow them to go forward with the
9	appraisals.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: I want to be sure of that.
11	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Then we'll get together with
12	LPA and see where we are. Any further discussion?
13	(No further discussion.)
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
15	Staff's recommendation?
16	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
18	MR. COX: Aye.
19	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
21	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ayes carried. Staff
23	recommendation will be accepted. Let's go to G,
24	which is the inventory action.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We've identified I
2	think it was the slide before this. It's again
3	Araquay Park, we've changed the orientation. I
4	apologize for that. But the darkened there are
5	four properties located there that are darkened,
6	three of which are east of Casa Cola. Three of
7	the four are mobile home properties.
8	We we did, on your behalf and, you
9	know, I know Mr. Gorman, this was kind of a
10	hot-button issue with him over over time, but
11	we did contact a company that specialized not only
12	in home inspections but also dealt with the
13	concept of putting these properties or the homes
14	themselves proper into the domain of bidding those
15	properties or allowing other people to to
16	acquire ownership of the building itself with the
17	idea of removing that from the property.
18	And generally, the advice we got was that
19	anything one story, that or mobile unit, that
20	was a possibility and a rational possibility that
21	you may get some some folks that are interested
22	in that.
23	There are some assumptions that have to be
24	made in going through that process, which are that

1	would be a requirement before it could be used at
2	a new site; the individual would have to know
3	have to own a piece of property for that purpose;
4	and that would have to be brought up to speed for
5	code purposes to be able to use it. So
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just
7	MR. WUELLNER: we were just looking at
8	obviously, we don't want to make those investments
9	in advance of of allowing someone to to
10	acquire that home. The the recommendation was
11	to put it out there in an as-is condition
12	MR. GORMAN: Right.
13	MR. WUELLNER: solicit those bids in the
14	event we get some. You know, the the bid
15	process would ascribe some times in there that
16	they'd have a 30-day period to evaluate the home
17	for purposes of making it to code, and some time
18	to get it off the property after that.
19	Putting those things in the mix, it seemed
20	prudent to us that we could probably go ahead and
21	go through that with these four homes. If we get
22	some bids, great. If we don't, then the backup
23	course of action would then be to move into
24	demolishing the homes, just the same method we've

1	So, that's our recommendation for the four of
2	them, is go out through that process. It's only a
3	30-, 45-day, maybe 60-day, top, process. Once we
4	get an answer, if it if it nobody bids on
5	it, we've got our answer. We'll move if if
6	you accept the agenda item, we'll move into the
7	demolition phase, solicit bids, and get through
8	that.
9	In the event we do, we've got to bring those
10	back, because you would have to concur in the
11	actual transfer of the physical ownership of the
12	property.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Is there any public
14	comment?
15	(No public comment.)
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: No public comment? Board?
17	MR. GORMAN: Well, that's excellent. In
18	other words, we are trying to maximize any income
19	possible for the municipality.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
21	MR. GORMAN: Well, that sounds that's
22	excellent. That's was the initial idea. Thank
23	you.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any oh, Mr. George?

1	demolition of the other ones cost us?
2	MR. WUELLNER: It it varied. But it
3	ranged, my memory was about \$15-, \$1600, all the
4	way up to about \$4- or \$5,000, depending on the
5	size of the of the structure and type.
6	MR. GEORGE: So, the total amount of that
7	of that the total amount that I'm trying to
8	figure out where that is in the budget for this
9	year for demolition of that, where what budget
10	item would that include?
11	MR. WUELLNER: Would come under contractual
12	services.
13	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Then this is not if we
14	have to go to option number two, that's not going
15	to bust the contractual services budget; is that
16	correct?
17	MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
18	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing no more board
20	comment, is there a motion on Staff's
21	recommendation?
22	MR. GORMAN: I'll make a motion to accept
23	Staff's recommendation.
24	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

- 1 MR. GEORGE: Second.
- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
- 3 (No further discussion.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
- 5 Staff's recommendation, say aye.
- 6 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- 9 MR. COX: Aye.
- 10 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- 12 (No opposition.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion carries. I think
- 14 with our court reporter's approval, we have one
- 15 more we could probably do, that's just the release
- 16 of funds on 7.H., and then we'll take a quick
- 17 five-minute break.
- 18 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 19 7.H. RETAINAGE RELEASE NORTH CORPORATE
- 20 HANGARS #5 AND #6
- 21 MR. WUELLNER: As I mentioned in the updates,
- the project updates, that hangars 5 and 6 and the
- 23 infrastructure on the north end are -- are
- 24 complete at this time. And as per Authority

1	on those jobs. And we're respectfully requesting
2	that the Authority authorize the the release of
3	retainage to DiMare for hangars 5, 6 and the
4	related infrastructure. That that total
5	approximates \$232,000.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment?
7	(No public comment.)
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing no public comment,
9	board comment? Joe?
10	MR. CIRIELLO: No comment. I'd just go ahead
11	and make the motion to go ahead and accept Staff's
12	recommendation.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. George?
14	MR. GEORGE: Ed, is this have we got the
15	Certificate of Occupancy for both for both of
16	these?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, we do.
18	MR. GEORGE: So, there's no other thing we
19	have to get any approvals on beforehand.
20	MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
21	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: That includes punch list? I
23	know your recommendation says we'll do it after
24	punch list, but

1	cosmetic items, but that that's not holding up
2	CO or anything else. And, obviously, we we
3	require those things to be done before we lease
4	it, as well as get all of our lien releases from
5	the contractor.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Our contract probably says
7	upon a CO, we have a duty to release it.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
9	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Any further
10	discussion?
11	MR. CIRIELLO: How about a second?
12	MR. WUELLNER: You're also they're still
13	involved in hangar 7, too, so it's not like
14	they're completely off the job site.
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'll consider Mr. Ciriello's
16	comment, then. He's making a motion to accept
17	Staff's recommendation?
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Right.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second?
20	MR. COX: I second it.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
22	(No further discussion.)
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor of accepting
24	Staff's recommendation, say aye.

1	MR.	GEORGE:	Av	ve.

- 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- 3 MR. COX: Aye.
- 4 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- 6 (No opposition.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All ayes? Staff
- 8 recommendation is accepted.
- 9 By the official airport clock -- my clock
- 10 says 5:48. We'll start back in five minutes.
- 11 (Whereupon, a recess was had.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Going to call the meeting
- 13 back to order. Our next agenda item is the
- 14 terminal parking design contract?
- 15 7.I. TERMINAL PARKING DESIGN CONTRACT
- 16 MR. WUELLNER: Right. You -- you were
- 17 provided a copy of -- of the design contract or
- 18 the contract proposal with Passero & Associates
- 19 covering work in the terminal area. I think
- 20 they're here and prepared to make a very, very
- 21 brief -- just show you exactly what we're all
- 22 talking about in terms of improvements.
- 23 By virtue of updates at this morning's EDC
- 24 breakfast with Congressman Mica's office, we had

1	of the folks at Grumman. And they've assured me
2	they made it a priority and we should expect to
3	have that that quarter-acre corner back there
4	in the terminal signed and done now within the
5	next ten days. So, it's
6	MR. COX: Turned over to us.
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. The lease should be
8	done and we should be in a position to get in
9	there and get the fence changed, the old building
10	out of there, and and perhaps do some
11	improvements and get it all get it all back in
12	the inventory.
13	So, that that should should greatly
14	increase our chance or our not only the
15	flow, but certainly add some additional spots in
	, J I
16	the terminal area in total. That was a thing
16 17	
	the terminal area in total. That was a thing
17	the terminal area in total. That was a thing we've been waiting on for I know we've been
17 18	the terminal area in total. That was a thing we've been waiting on for I know we've been fooling with it for over two years, trying to get
17 18 19	the terminal area in total. That was a thing we've been waiting on for I know we've been fooling with it for over two years, trying to get action out of Grumman, and back and forth through
17 18 19 20	the terminal area in total. That was a thing we've been waiting on for I know we've been fooling with it for over two years, trying to get action out of Grumman, and back and forth through attorneys. And, you know, it just is not a big
17 18 19 20 21	the terminal area in total. That was a thing we've been waiting on for I know we've been fooling with it for over two years, trying to get action out of Grumman, and back and forth through attorneys. And, you know, it just is not a big priority issue with Northrop Grumman Corporation,

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

25	So that's that's kind of how they're
----	--------------------------------------

1	but anyway, it's it was made a priority and now
2	it looks like it's going to wrap up in the next
3	ten days.
4	With that, let me get cued there. A
5	little it's only a three-slide thing, so
6	don't don't get all don't get all weird on
7	me.
8	MR. GEORGE: All weird?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, I know. I know where to
10	go. I thought I was I thought I was looking at
11	the PowerPoint, and I was looking at something
12	else.
13	MR. COX: Is that the new parking lot?
14	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Don't you don't you dare.
15	MR. HOLESKO: I'm waiting.
16	MR. WUELLNER: There you go. There you go.
17	If you
18	MR. HOLESKO: Okay. Just to do a little
19	recap on it. One thing I guess I want to note to
20	you, Dave and I met, Dave Harris and I, over
21	adjacent to the new hangar which is about to be
22	complete. And today is the first time that I've
23	seen parking lot D, as we call it, which is the
24	back lot next to Grumman, really starting to fill

1	back there of all. So, some of that demand has
2	certainly gone back there.
3	We've got three simple slides for you. Just
4	going to recap some of the components and some of
5	the associated costs, really to take the access
6	road starting here and the administration
7	building, straightening it all the way through all
8	the way to the back on the other side,
9	straightening everything out.
10	You have the access road itself, a little
11	over \$50,000. Realigning and relocating parking
12	curbs, a little over \$20 Removing or relocating
13	landscaping for \$6 Base paving asphalt,
14	\$17,000. Rejuvenation of the entire lot, so when
15	the project is done, you're actually going to look
16	and see what a brand new sealed parking lot
17	throughout the entire area. Signage and markings.
18	Lot transition.
19	Ed mentioned, obviously, the Grumman lot. It
20	is higher than parking lot D and parking lot C,
21	which are abutted. Obviously, we're going to have
22	to do some a little bit of a cut and fill back
23	there to make it all work. We've put some
24	contingency on there, as we do for every project.

1	Totaling about \$260,000. There's the five lots
2	(indicating).
3	MR. WUELLNER: Their graphic would have been
4	way better. We stuck this in there just for
5	clarification.
6	MR. HOLESKO: The circular area out to the
7	left is is parking lot A. You can see the a
8	little bit of a concept for the road realigning.
9	Parking lot B parking lot B is in front of the
10	terminal building. Parking lot C is the long,
11	dark one. Parking lot D is in the back, which was
12	filling up quite a bit today. And then parking
13	lot E is there (indicating), which is about to be
14	turned over to you.
15	The flow is going to be greatly improved back
16	there, obviously when we open up E and can have
17	cars driving all the way through. So, that's the
18	layout.
19	And there's the third one (indicating). Just
20	looking at the objectives and and what we are
21	accomplishing. Traffic flow, we are straightening
22	out the internal access road, optimizing parking.
23	Eliminating parking completely in front of the
24	terminal. There isn't going to be any parking

1	this is done. We're going to put some signs up in
2	that area.
3	And then in terms of increase in parking,
4	area A is going to go from 10 to 24. B goes from
5	32 to 36. C gets 10 spaces. D gets 11. And E
6	goes out 13 more.
7	And then related benefits, things that aren't
8	exactly quantified right there, we are going to
9	have signage in every single parking space back
10	there. So, there will be someone parked in spot
11	B-4, whatever the case may be, when the Authority
12	does the monitoring or any other question on who's
13	parking where.
14	The rejuvenated pavement is going to make the
15	entire parking area brand new and sealed. And
16	then improving drainage and landscaping and things
17	like that. Ed, Bryan, and I were talking last
18	week. You know, there's some other things that
19	we're going to be able to do to help your own
20	staff on some of the maintenance issues that
21	they've got out there. So, that's the project.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.
23	MR. WUELLNER: And you have, again, the
24	agenda item on this. It is a total design fee for

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any public comment?
2	Seeing I'm sorry. Go ahead, Mike.
3	MR. SLINGLUFF: Ed, what's the time frame?
4	When was it when would it get started and then
5	how long would it take to complete?
6	MR. WUELLNER: Really, a part of it's
7	budgeted this year. One hundred thousand dollars
8	is budgeted in current year. When you subtract
9	the design fees, there are about \$70,000 in
10	construction money that's available within the
11	current budget. I would suspect that design would
12	be done early summer kind of time line.
13	MR. HOLESKO: Sixty to ninety days.
14	MR. WUELLNER: And I would think parts of it
15	could be begun right away. I would think it's
16	going to be bid one time with one company.
17	There were significant items in there that
18	could be done in-house; they just can't be done
19	without some sort of level of design to tie it
20	together, such as the striping, perhaps, and
21	and parking block locations and some things like
22	that that could be done in-house and done a whole
23	lot cheaper than bidding it out. And it may even
24	be able to come out of some other budget items so

1	maintenance or something along that line.
2	So, I don't I don't have an absolute
3	answer for you, but it would I would think
4	worst case would straddle into next budget year
5	into October. But I'm optimistic we could get a
6	big chunk of it done before the end of the current
7	year once it's bid. There's nothing in here
8	that's a major construction item. So, I
9	wouldn't I wouldn't think it
10	MR. SLINGLUFF: Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: The rejuvenation thing is like
12	a less-than-two-week effort once it starts. So
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other public comment?
14	(No further public comment.)
15	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Seeing none, Mr. George?
16	MR. GEORGE: I I seem to be missing a step
17	in this whole process.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
19	MR. GEORGE: I was under the impression at
20	the last meeting, we approved for you to get with
21	the consultant and come up and give us a plan.
22	MR. WUELLNER: Which is what you're looking
23	at, the design plan.
24	MR. GEORGE: This is the first I have seen

```
file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]
```

## 25 the plan. So, my vote's going to be to shelve or

115

1	table	this	contract	for	30	days	
---	-------	------	----------	-----	----	------	--

2	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
3	MR. GEORGE: until I can take a look at
4	the plan and better understand it. The handout is
5	the contract to do the work that I didn't see the
6	plan I didn't see the schematics that he was
7	talking about in in conjunction with the ones
8	that we had previously. I think we just jumped
9	right past the
10	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
11	MR. GEORGE: letting the board approve
12	which one of those options you plan on
13	implementing. I thought that's what the I
14	thought that's what the last meeting said, was
15	that the two of you would get together and you
16	would come up with a recommendation to the board
17	on how we meet the 75 parking spaces.
18	And I see that there's a contract to proceed
19	with a design and, you know, that's the first I'm
20	looking at what you actually selected to do.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I thought we gave you
22	that.
23	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman, do you have

24

MR. GORMAN: I have a comment, too, very

a --

1	CHAIRMAN GREEN: While he looks, go ahead.
2	MR. GORMAN: Because we've we've certainly
3	tightened it up a lot, but, I mean, is two weeks a
4	realistic time for construction because
5	MR. WUELLNER: No, it's two two weeks for
6	the rejuvenation. It was just the parking lot
7	slurry element of it. I mean, that's just a
8	two-week item once they start work.
9	MR. GORMAN: My concern is, of course, the
10	operating and Mr. Slingluff and of course the
11	flight school and of course I had to cruise for
12	a parking spot today. I mean, so in other
13	words, in reality, what do you think it's really
14	going to take to do all of this in time line?
15	MR. WUELLNER: I'm going to have to defer
16	to
17	MR. HOLESKO: Ninety to a hundred and twenty
18	days.
19	MR. GORMAN: And how long is that going to
20	tie up the front of that terminal?
21	MR. HOLESKO: Well, and I we do not have a
22	phasing plan prepared yet. That's something we
23	would certainly expect to sit down with you. And
24	it's one of those challenges that, if you're

25 looking at what that project is going to do,

1	obviously, there's going to be, you know, a
2	phasing challenge to to have areas opened and
3	closed.
4	MR. WUELLNER: You can't just close the thing
5	for 60 days.
6	MR. GORMAN: Right. That's my point.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Joe?
8	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes. I want to I guess I'm
9	thinking like Mr. George. It's my understanding
10	that we've already paid these people some \$32,000
11	for an initial remember when you took me over
12	there and showed me where all of these areas and
13	everything what was that?
14	MR. HOLESKO: That was \$8,000.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I thought
16	MR. WUELLNER: That was a study.
17	MR. CIRIELLO: What was that \$32- we passed
18	here a couple of meetings ago?
19	MR. WUELLNER: You did a RPR services for
20	the for the maintenance building.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Uh-huh.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: That wasn't for the parking?
23	MR. WUELLNER: At the last meeting or meeting
24	before?

1	but anyhow, more or less in line with what Mr
2	Mr. George said, we have some problems with the
3	parking, none of your doings (indicating). And
4	until this board can get together with one, two,
5	three, four, a dozen meetings, and decide how the
6	parking situation is going to be, I'm not willing
7	to put any money out in into it because, you
8	know, it it's been a mess. There's been people
9	back there using the parking lot for storage area
10	and this and that, taking up spaces. Admittedly,
11	it was a dozen. Now it's down to three.
12	But nonetheless, until we have all of our
13	ducks in a row, well, like they were saying about
14	different areas, stamps or stickers on your cars
15	and different things like that, until all of that
16	is settled by this board in how we're going to do
17	this, I'm not willing to give them any contract to
18	start doing any constructions or anything else.
19	As a matter of fact, I what is the total
20	number of parking spaces we're going to gain with
21	this \$266,000?
22	MR. GEORGE: Forty-one to take it to 175,
23	right?
24	MR. HOLESKO: And if you recall, when we

1	code I think subtracted 17. So, it's 52 minus the
2	17, so
3	MR. GEORGE: Sixty-nine.
4	MR. HOLESKO: Sixty-nine.
5	MR. CIRIELLO: In other words, if we go with
6	this plan for the 200-and-some thousand dollars,
7	we're going to gain 69 parking spaces.
8	MR. HOLESKO: In terms of parking.
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, I don't know what
10	the figures are if you take that 69 parking
11	into that figure, how much per parking space is
12	that coming out to?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Three- to \$4,000 a space.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: In other words, we're going to
15	spend \$3- to \$4,000 to put one car on a spot. And
16	as far as I know right now, there's no return
17	coming back to us. And if we're going to put that
18	kind of money out, a couple of thousand dollars
19	for a car - Mike, it's it helps you, but we're
20	trying to run this airport and get it off the tax
21	rolls. And if we spend money and get nothing back
22	for it, we're helping you, but we're not helping
23	ourselves. And I'm not against you; don't get me
24	wrong. But I

1	month.
2	MR. CIRIELLO: Not on parking. Well, it's
3	included in some of your lease. But we're talking
4	about parking for the general public here. We're
5	not talking about you.
6	MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, they happen to be our
7	customers.
8	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we need to get back on
9	the agenda item here, which is discussion on
10	whether I've heard discussion from Mr. Gorman
11	that we weren't really on par with this was the
12	I think there were A, B, C, or D. I mean, George
13	and Mr. Gorman, too. But I didn't A, B, C, or
14	D were different plans? And whether we chose A,
15	B, C, or D, because there were double-level
16	garages and all kinds of things.
17	MR. WUELLNER: I guess I was a little
18	confused on where where we were going with it.
19	Because what you had all of those, what the
20	proposals were in terms of what they were were
21	saying needed to be done in those individual areas
22	as a part of the plan or the study that was done
23	and accepted, I guess you would call it, at the
24	last meeting when the final revision was was

25 presented to the Authority.

1	We then went back. They developed the
2	last what are your last two pages here, which
3	by area, took that plan through its
4	implementation, which resulted in the
5	200-and-whatever it is, estimate, \$265,000
6	construction estimate, for all of those
7	improvements. Which we looked in our in an
8	effort simply to just save a step in losing yet
9	another month in implementing something, if that's
10	the board's desire, ask them to determine what it
11	would cost to implement these items.
12	Now you know, this is not we're only in
13	an expenditure side looking at \$31,000 in design.
14	This is not the expenditure of 200-and-some-odd
15	thousand dollars in this. You can piece that
16	together. You can do it as one contract with
17	someone, whatever your desire is, as we get past
18	the engineering phase.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if we go with this Staff
20	recommendation, we're not telling we're not
21	saying we're going to go ahead and do this
22	\$266,000 construction yet. That will be another
23	item.
24	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.

1	What did you give Grumman, or what are they going
2	to get for that little quarter?
3	MR. WUELLNER: They're were amending it to
4	their old lease, and it my recollection is it's
5	like a hundred dollars a month or something. I
6	mean, it's a it's a nominal number for improved
7	parking in that area.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: In other words, we're not
9	trading off something in the lease that
10	MR. WUELLNER: Not at all.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. But my whole my
12	whole statements, maybe you guys misunderstood,
13	that I'm not completely against this parking and
14	everything. I'm just saying that until we get
15	rules and regulations and a procedure in line from
16	this board in how we're going to monitor that
17	parking, I'm not ready to go this part, this
18	\$31,000, I'm okay with, but I'm not ready to do
19	any construction until we get these other things
20	straightened out. That's all I'm really saying.
21	MR. WUELLNER: You're looking for more of an
22	operating plan versus a construction plan, if I'm
23	hearing you correctly.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Yes.

1	MR. COX: Two things, Ed. This contract
2	we're talking about is not when we were
3	presented with this last meeting, this is not
4	saying we accept term plan A, B, C, or D. This
5	is this contract
6	MR. WUELLNER: This this picks up the
7	items that are, quote, unquote, reasonable. It
8	eliminates things like the covered parking back in
9	area what was it, Delta, that, you know, was
10	hundreds of thousands of dollars.
11	MR. COX: Yeah.
12	MR. WUELLNER: And, you know, these were the
13	short-term, what we what I would consider
14	practical solutions, such as tying the new area
15	Echo into Delta into Bravo and and to allow
16	that flow and allow that to be marked and done
17	properly.
18	Eliminating the old electrical vault location
19	as to allow the straightened road through there
20	and the addition of the what is it, six or
21	eight spots in what are would now be considered
22	employee parking on the on the south side here.
23	It it's cleaning up the entrance there and
24	eliminating that odd curve that requires a really

25 weird turn in the main entrance to get to -- to

1	the south side. It's it's that kind of stuff.
2	Eliminating the landscape area between a few of
3	those spots out there that make the parking very
4	difficult and also eat up valuable spaces.
5	They aren't required by County code because
6	of the hedging that's been done along U.S. 1.
7	It's that kind of cleanup and pickup that's
8	that's being proposed in this.
9	MR. COX: The second when we take over the
10	Grumman area, you said ten days, that's the 25th,
11	how long will it take us to be able to allow
12	people to start parking in that area?
13	MR. WUELLNER: We've got a short-term project
14	of getting that mobile unit out of there, which I
15	can't imagine's, you know, a week or two,
16	assuming
17	MR. COX: When does the impact of TPC start?
18	MR. SLINGLUFF: Next week.
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.
20	MR. COX: So, the 25th is going to be outside
21	of that?
22	MR. SLINGLUFF: No, I'm more worried about
23	Super Bowl here than TPC.
24	MR. COX: Yeah. Okay.

1	react. I'm not trying to shove anything down
2	down your throat.
3	There's if you want if you want to
4	delay it a month, think about it, if there's
5	something else as Mr. Ciriello pointed out, you
6	want to look at, you know, more of an operating
7	nature and and/or look at other pieces and
8	parts, it makes no difference to us. We just kind
9	of felt like there was a way to tie it together
10	and and move it through to at least through
11	design, to allow some construction on the horizon.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mr. Gorman?
13	MR. GORMAN: Yeah. That's my point, is
14	probably Bob's point, is that's been discussed
15	before. I mean, is there a way that maybe we
16	eliminate the \$57,000 and eliminate initially
17	straightening out that particular little curve,
18	because that way we don't tie up both the flight
19	school and Mr. Slingluff's, you know, operations
20	so it's not even usable for so long? That's my
21	concern.
22	MR. WUELLNER: I think that's a frankly, I
23	think that's more of a phasing issue when you get
24	down to the construction side.

This looks at designing the whole thing.

1	Then you look at, well, how do you implement that
2	design? Is it you don't do that piece till last?
3	You do that first because it makes more sense?
4	Obviously, you don't rejuvenate the parking lot
5	with the you know, there's a slurry until the
6	work underneath it's been done.
7	MR. GORMAN: Shouldn't common sense-wise,
8	then we should move ahead very rapidly with the
9	Grumman acquisition and kind of table the rest of
10	it for design-wise until we can hash it out a bit?
11	MR. WUELLNER: I don't I don't think the
12	Grumman piece makes any difference relative to
13	design.
14	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
15	MR. WUELLNER: You know, it's it's assumed
16	here to tie it in.
17	MR. GORMAN: You think
18	MR. COX: This is not
19	MR. WUELLNER: Hold it at construction. If
20	you're not comfortable, we'll talk about the
21	phasing program at that point.
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do we have any any
23	motions with regards to Staff's
24	MR. COX: I move that we accept Staff

1	contract.
2	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Design contract.
3	MR. COX: Absolutely.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any second?
5	MR. GORMAN: I'll second it because we need
6	to move forward with it.
7	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Now discussion. Any further
8	discussion on it? Joe?
9	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I I can go along
10	with what you guys are saying to get this thing
11	off off and running. Then if we get the design
12	all taken care of, could you see it taking very
13	long for the board to get together to come up with
14	what my concern is, is how to run this thing so
15	that in a week or a week and a half, two weeks,
16	you could we could be cohesive into one thought
17	and then go ahead and tell these guys to go on
18	with the construction part? I mean but we have
19	to get this design phase out of the way first. I
20	can understand that.
21	CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think you're right.
22	Because the last time, we went through the
23	different types of designs that we have. All of
24	us were in somewhat of an agreement that we didn't

25 want the covered parking and we didn't want this

1	or that.
2	MR. GEORGE: Can I ask I was going to
3	have
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Wayne, did you have
5	MR. GEORGE: another comment. Reading on
6	page 111 from last month's meeting.
7	"Mr. George: Ed, listen to me and see if I'm
8	rephrasing what you had said. I make a motion
9	that Passero and its staff work with Ed to come up
10	with a plan that best accomplishes a minimum of 38
11	more parking spots with a time line, and estimated
12	cost approval from that point would be to get the
13	engineering done, to make it happen."
14	I just don't think that we have we were
15	presented options in the nice form of a schematic
16	pictures of where this was going to go.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
18	MR. GEORGE: And I just see we jumped we
19	jumped right past your plan, which the plan would
20	also include, as Joe said, what are you going to
21	do about parking meters? What are you going to do
22	about permits? What are you going to do about
23	this? And we jumped right past the plan, and
24	we're going to spend \$31,000 to get into a design.

MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

1	MR. GEORGE: So, I I would vote against
2	it.
3	MR. GORMAN: Do you have an alternative, just
4	an alternative amendment to the what would that
5	be?
6	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Shelve this for 30 days
7	and have them get us a plan of what they intend to
8	do with the overall parking plan to satisfy that
9	project over there.
10	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any further discussion?
11	There's a motion on a floor.
12	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, question to Mr. George.
13	Before they can come up with this plan that you
14	want to see before we go on, wouldn't that be what
15	this \$31,000 is, to let them come up with a plan
16	so we could before we go any further?
17	MR. GEORGE: If we had a conceptual plan that
18	was presented to us before, here's an option;
19	here's a parking garage; here's a this; here's a
20	that. The idea was, go back and tell me which
21	ones you're going to do.
22	I haven't seen which ones he's going to do.
23	That would be a conceptual plan. Once we as a
24	group have approved the parking plan, along with

1	somebody to design what they said they were going
2	to do.
3	MR. GORMAN: I'm I'm even though I
4	seconded it, I'm quite confused at this point. I
5	thought that's exactly what we were going to do,
6	is is get this design concept. We were going
7	to we were going to approve the design concept
8	so that we could then
9	MR. GEORGE: We designed we're approving
10	them to draw engineering plans, from which they
11	can get permits to go ahead and do the work that I
12	haven't seen a clear definition of what the work
13	is.
14	MR. GORMAN: Can we
15	MR. GEORGE: That's my concern.
16	MR. GORMAN: Then can we just amend it to get
17	the design plans done without, you know, going
18	ahead to permit so that we can at least get some
19	work done?
20	MR. GEORGE: Okay. But what if they spend 80
21	hours designing how they're going to get the vault
22	out of there, and all of a sudden we decide we
23	don't want the vault out of there right now? You
24	know, what are you you've got 80, a hundred

1	All I'm asking for is the same types of
2	presentation that they made last time, to say,
3	"Okay. We gave you ten options. We, Ed and
4	Passero, are recommending that you take these
5	six."
6	MR. GORMAN: Bob, do
7	MR. GEORGE: That's what was presented here.
8	MR. COX: Did you give us three options last
9	time?
10	MR. HOLESKO: Actually, there was five.
11	MR. COX: Basically.
12	MR. HOLESKO: There was five different areas.
13	MR. COX: Five areas?
14	MR. HOLESKO: Areas A through E were each of
15	the parking lots.
16	MR. COX: Right.
17	MR. HOLESKO: And then you had additional
18	alternatives. You had amenities such as covered
19	parking, you had a parking garage, and you had the
20	walkway across U.S. 1.
21	What you see here today is what Ed and I have
22	discussed to bring you this, these objectives and
23	these costs. Areas A through E, they were not
24	development alternatives in terms we would do A or

1	the Grumman lot, assuming that it was going to
2	come back into the Authority, but no covered
3	parking, no parking garage, and no walkway.
4	But, also, if you decide in the future that
5	any of those, you decide you want to implement,
6	those would all work, too. These were the
7	immediate things that you controlled right now,
8	including the Grumman lot.
9	MR. COX: There's there's no options that
10	we've talked about here now that would be excluded
11	if we
12	MR. HOLESKO: No.
13	MR. COX: changed our mind. So, what
14	so, if we sign a contract or if we allow that to
15	be signed, what exactly is that going to for
16	you guys, what will you do then, what we're seeing
17	here?
18	MR. HOLESKO: We're going to do a topographic
19	survey of exactly where everything is out there
20	and bring you a bid document, which Ed has
21	requested in a type of a menu format, because
22	there's some things that the Authority may wish to
23	do with their own staff or not do at all. And
24	that's and that's the way the bid's going to be

1	MR. COX: Do you feel we can meet
2	Mr. George's concerns within those parameters and
3	still
4	MR. HOLESKO: Yes. And not only that, but if
5	you want, you can go back to the layout right
6	there. We can discuss what's on those
7	MR. COX: Yeah.
8	MR. HOLESKO: on those areas right now and
9	we can we can talk about them.
10	They really haven't changed since the very
11	first presentation.
12	MR. COX: Does that help?
13	MR. GEORGE: That would be what I would have
14	expected prior to coming to this meeting so that I
15	could compare it to the previous recommendations
16	to see if it was the same number of spots and
17	stuff like that. I don't have my documents with
18	me.
19	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we have a motion on
20	the floor. Any further discussion?
21	(No further discussion.)
22	CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a motion on the
23	floor to accept Staff's recommendation.
24	MR. COX: Second. Well, I made it.

## 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's been seconded. So,

134

- 1 it's up for a vote now. All in favor of accepting
- 2 Staff's recommendation, say aye.
- 3 MR. COX: Aye.
- 4 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
- 6 MR. GORMAN: Aye.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All opposed?
- 8 MR. GEORGE: Aye.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You can say nay, but that's
- 10 good enough. We have one opposed. Okay. Then
- 11 the Staff recommendation would be, as I would
- 12 recommend, as -- and/or as Mr. Passero's office
- 13 says, to do the detail that we're looking for, to

14 help with Mr. --

- 15 MR. WUELLNER: And we'll make sure we bring
- 16 that before we bid anything so that there's no --
- 17 you know, we're not jumping the gun there. I
- 18 don't think I understood what the missing piece
- 19 was until tonight.
- 20 MR. GORMAN: We're just not permitting

anything, right?

- 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No.
- 23 MR. WUELLNER: Correct. We're not doing

anything.

## 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: With the board's approval,

135

	1	I I must	deliver an	escrow	check	or	else	Ι	will
--	---	----------	------------	--------	-------	----	------	---	------

2 have a bank very upset with me.

3 MR. COX: Nay.

- 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm going to pass the gavel.
- 5 MR. GEORGE: Nay now?
- 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Nay.
- 7 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Item J. Apron design
- 8 grant.
- 9 (Whereupon, Chairman Green leaves the meeting.)
- 10 7.J. APRON DESIGN GRANT AND APRON DESIGN CONTRACT
- 11 MR. WUELLNER: Apron design grant. This
- 12 is -- we're expecting literally any day the
- 13 documents from the Federal Aviation Administration
- 14 relative to use of -- of funds at 90 percent basis
- 15 to design the apron area to go back to Araquay
- 16 Park.
- 17 Now, there again, the design of that and the
- 18 physical layout of that will be a function of the
- 19 Master Plan chosen alternatives. But -- but in
- 20 order to get grant -- the grant from FAA, you need
- 21 to put -- be in a position to execute the
- agreement.
- 23 In order to execute the agreement, you need
- to have the engineering document -- or not -- not

1	engineering in place. This allows or provides for
2	the design fees of that apron under the FAA grant
3	and would also provide that we could execute the
4	grant when it shows up in the next few days.
5	Because knowing FAA, this will need to be back to
6	them fully executed by the end of March, just
7	knowing the way they play the quarter game.
8	Later, after design's complete, I would
9	expect that the grant for construction will come
10	out, at which point we'll need to bid this very
11	rapidly so that we can meet a bid date or,
12	excuse me a grant offer date by FAA. But
13	that's that's later. I don't know what
14	those what those horizons are yet from FAA.
15	We're lobbying Congressman Mica's office to
16	facilitate the funding side of that.
17	MR. GORMAN: I have a tremendous
18	MR. GEORGE: Wait, wait.
19	MR. GORMAN: Go ahead. I'm sorry.
20	MR. GEORGE: Public comment.
21	MR. GORMAN: Yeah.
22	MR. GEORGE: Is that it?
23	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.

MR. GEORGE: Any public comment?

1	MR. GEORGE: Board comment. Mr. Gorman?
2	MR. GORMAN: Okay. Board comment. I want to
3	make sure I'm not confused by this apron design
4	grant. Will this could this design grant
5	compromise the use of runway 2/20?
6	MR. WUELLNER: No, no.
7	MR. GORMAN: Okay.
8	MR. WUELLNER: The identified the area
9	identified for the apron expansion
10	MR. GORMAN: Right.
11	MR. WUELLNER: was the was the Araquay
12	Park area.
13	MR. GORMAN: Okay. Just wanted to make sure
14	that an apron's an apron, so
15	MR. WUELLNER: That's what was that's what
16	the design grant's based on that area. It is not
17	locked in dimensionally or location-wise within
18	there at this point. That will be a function of
19	what alternative you pick in the Airport Master
20	Plan. They're not going to begin any work until
21	that's selected. So, we aren't we aren't
22	wasting effort or or designing something in a
23	place that ultimately isn't chosen as a design
24	as an alternate, if that makes sense.

1	MR. GEORGE: Any other comment?
2	MR. COX: No.
3	MR. GEORGE: Let me restate it in my words
4	and get your okay. You're asking us to authorize
5	you to do a contract for \$157,000 on laying out
6	something that a different consulting firm hasn't
7	even defined yet.
8	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. In order to not miss
9	your 90 percent grant from FAA for the entitlement
10	funds for current fiscal year.
11	MR. GEORGE: So, at what point in time
12	what action do you take to release them to start
13	work on this?
14	MR. WUELLNER: It will be it will be
15	two-fold. One, we'll issue a notice to proceed,
16	but that won't occur until after you, this board,
17	have selected the preferred design or development
18	alternative associated with the Master Plan.
19	MR. GEORGE: Which you anticipate to be what
20	time frame?
21	MR. WUELLNER: May, June at the earliest,
22	based on what we talked what the schedule
23	presented by LPA was.
24	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, then this is just to

25 facilitate rapid movement after we get the design.

1	MR. WUELLNER: That's kind of the fringe
2	benefit. The the actual motivation for putting
3	it under contract right now is so that we don't
4	lose the entirety of the grant funds available for
5	the design. You kind of have to commit to do the
6	design up front in order to get the the grant.
7	Nobody's expending any money. That you've got
8	plenty of time to expend the money; you just don't
9	have plenty of time to get the money.
10	MR. GEORGE: Do we need to add to your staff
11	recommendation that no work will begin on this
12	contract until after the board has approved the
13	conceptual design? If you tell me no in the
14	minutes, I don't have a problem with that.
15	MR. WUELLNER: I we have no yeah, we
16	have no intention of beginning design until the
17	Master Plan's done. I mean, it is that simple.
18	MR. GEORGE: I'm not saying put that in the
19	contract. I'm saying put that in what we are
20	approving today.
21	MR. WUELLNER: I don't have any problem with
22	you adding it. If you if you if you're more
23	comfortable with it in there than out there's
24	nothing for them to design until we have a

1	Master Plan, so
2	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Any other comment?
3	(No further comment.)
4	MR. GEORGE: I'll entertain a motion for
5	whatever you guys want to do.
6	MR. COX: Motion
7	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. You're just going to do
8	it
9	MR. COX: to accept Staff's
10	recommendation, with your concerns on that.
11	MR. GEORGE: I second that. Any other
12	discussion?
13	(No further discussion.)
14	MR. GEORGE: All in favor?
15	MR. COX: Aye.
16	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
17	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
18	MR. GEORGE: All against?
19	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
20	MR. GEORGE: One opposing. Passed. Next
21	item.
22	MR. COX: Did you say aye?
23	MR. GORMAN: Yeah.
24	7.K CORPORATE HANGAR LEASE

1	lease approval. And as I have spoken to a few of
2	you since the last meeting where we approved
3	the what is it, five five-plus leases, one
4	of those backed out. They were slated to take
5	the what is the original SK Logistics hangar
6	building.
7	That company, during the time we were
8	approving it, sold their assets to another company
9	and were which was currently located on Craig
10	Airport, and they've elected to combine that
11	business and keep it up at Craig Airport, which
12	freed that hangar.
13	We moved down the hangar list again, the
14	corporate hangar list, and have gone through two
15	or three people on that list, determining
16	suitability. And the company called Hangar
17	Partners of St. Augustine, which was Blake Deal,
18	those of you trying to compare it to the original
19	hangar lease, the company name would be this
20	Hangar Partners of St. Augustine, which is a
21	consortium of three general contractors. They're
22	not subletting any space. It's just simply the
23	three contractors sharing this hangar collectively
24	by forming their own company for the purposes of

1	It is the exact same business arrangement as
2	was presented the last month for the facility.
3	And and I included the summaries and ROI
4	calculations, which are as I state in the
5	agenda memo, are virtually identical to what was
6	on the last. And Staff's recommending approval
7	consistent with what y'all approved last month.
8	MR. GEORGE: Any public comment?
9	(No public comment.)
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Open it to board comment.
11	I've got a couple of financial questions.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
13	MR. GEORGE: The previous company, Airborne
14	Data, they had a lease with us. They had
15	escalators in that lease.
16	MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
17	MR. GEORGE: What rate per square foot were
18	they paying in their lease?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Four dollars a square foot
20	initially.
21	MR. GEORGE: That's initially. But they had
22	had that lease for how long?
23	MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no. They were
24	current currently not located on the airport.

## 25 MR. GEORGE: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. I thought

1	that
2	MR. WUELLNER: No, they were a new tenant,
3	also.
4	MR. GEORGE: Okay. So, this is a brand-new
5	tenant, then.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay. All right.
8	MR. WUELLNER: They were relocating out of, I
9	believe it was Flagler or Ormond.
10	MR. GEORGE: Okay. I don't have any
11	questions.
12	MR. COX: Motion to accept.
13	MR. CIRIELLO: Second.
14	MR. GEORGE: I have a motion and second. Any
15	other discussion?
16	(No further discussion.)
17	MR. GEORGE: All in favor?
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
19	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
20	MR. COX: Aye.
21	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
22	MR. GEORGE: Opposed?
23	(No opposition.)
24	MR. GEORGE: Passed.

1	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Last item I have for
2	you is relative to the FBO lease codification.
3	You know, you've seen quite a bit of of the
4	information, including the memo of understanding
5	that was done in 2000, relative to combining all
6	of the lease agreements and all of the
7	modifications to the original leases in the FBO
8	area.
9	This has turned out to be quite a bit of
10	of legwork on Staff's part to to pull this
11	together, including revising all of the exhibits
12	related to the lease. And and, frankly,
13	Michael and I have some time this week scheduled
14	to hash out the balance of of the issues
15	related to the lease so that we can get a final
16	document in front of you folks for hopefully the
17	April meeting.
18	What we're asking here is, since that space
19	is some of that space is likely to become
20	available on the short term here with the CO being
21	issued with the County, so as not to prejudice
22	them being able to move into that space, we're
23	asking that just by a letter agreement, that both
24	parties, Aero Sport and us, append that property

1	period of not more than 60 days and we can do
2	that by a letter agreement, just tying it in the
3	description, until that document gets presented
4	and is ultimately approved by the Airport
5	Authority.
6	So, it's just a little stopgap measure to
7	allow them to occupy it, keeps it on a so it's
8	handled contractually so that there's no
9	misunderstandings on the way it's operated for the
10	period of not to exceed 60 days while we get the
11	lease finalized.
12	MR. GEORGE: Any public comment? Mike?
13	MR. SLINGLUFF: We we look forward to
14	it
15	MR. GEORGE: Okay.
16	MR. SLINGLUFF: so we can finally get into
17	those buildings.
18	MR. GEORGE: Okay. No other public comment.
19	Board comment?
20	(No board comment.)
21	MR. GORMAN: Then let's go.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: Motion to accept.
23	MR. COX: Second.
24	MR. GEORGE: I have a motion and a second.

1	(No discussion.)
2	MR. GEORGE: All in favor?
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
4	MR. GEORGE: Aye.
5	MR. COX: Aye.
6	MR. GORMAN: Aye.
7	MR. GEORGE: Opposed?
8	(No opposition.)
9	MR. GEORGE: The ayes have it. Item number
10	M., financial goals and focus.
11	7.M FINANCIAL GOALS AND FOCUS
12	MR. GORMAN: Oh, my. We've actually gotten
13	to that. Well, let's see. Members many
14	members have stated their goal is to get off the
15	ad valorem tax rolls. And this means, plainly
16	spoken, to stop spending \$2.9 million a year in
17	ad valorem taxes. And to date, we've I have
18	not seen, myself, a business plan or a projection
19	of achieving this goal, at least not in the
20	immediate future.
21	And I know Mr. George has taken many hours of
22	his time and started an examination of the
23	business. And it's obvious that he's pretty
24	astute to the financial plan and takes a good look
file:///S /Users/ckh/	SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2005%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20031505.txt[11/16/2010 1:44:25 PM]

1	And I'm going to ask for the board's for
2	the board's consideration of hiring an independent
3	operational audit, and this firm to be selected by
4	committee. And this will give us a second opinion
5	and could lend valuable insight into our
6	expenditures and business practices.
7	We need to start planning ahead and start
8	this reduction. We need to start reducing
9	spending money. It's always going to hurt. And I
10	think it's high time that we really try to do that
11	plan.
12	I'm starting to climb on Joe's turnip truck
13	there and start to holler that we're never going
14	to get off the tax rolls unless we start to run
15	that ad valorem tax down every year. In other
16	words, one of the city commissioners that
17	mentioned that we do 25 percent a year in four
18	years, I'm not sure that's attainable. But we've
19	got to start sometime.
20	And I was going to ask my our treasurer if
21	he might consider this, some help in this large
22	task. Do you think that would be a good business
23	practice? What do you think I'm actually now
24	just asking your opinion of hiring an

1	practice?
2	MR. GEORGE: From having looked at the books,
3	you know, and the practices from the financial
4	standpoint for the last year and a half, I think
5	that we're in good shape.
6	I think that a stake in the ground, you know,
7	would be a good point, you know, to make sometime
8	next year. And we have a budget coming up. And I
9	would think that that would be a line item that
10	we'd want to put in the budget to do something
11	like that, because that gives us a stake in the
12	ground from which we can start implementing the
13	Master Plan.
14	And we then eliminate, you know, a lot of
15	questions of, you know, are we running the
16	operations right? Would the would it be
17	cheaper to have somebody from the outside come in
18	and assume all this sort of stuff? We just kind
19	of put a stake in the ground.
20	When I went to the AAAE, and all of you guys
21	have that or the next registration brochure
22	I've been to one. I think it was well worthwhile
23	to see how it's run. It's a real professional

24

organization. And I would -- you couldn't make it

25 last time. I would strongly recommend that --

1	that everybody on the board go at some point in
2	time, to to get an idea of that.
3	Well, the AAAE has a consulting arm, you
4	know, that they can come out, you know, and
5	they they work with all of the airport
6	executives all around. So, that would be one
7	person that could come in and do that. And I
8	would I would I can support that to put the
9	stake in the ground, yeah.
10	MR. GORMAN: That was my idea, was to to
11	whoever we had do that, to have them selected by
12	committee, in other words, so that we of
13	course, we'd have to pull the staff out of the
14	loop on that one. And but your recommendation
15	sounds ideal.
16	MR. GEORGE: I think that the time to discuss
17	that is by you know, when we discuss the
18	budget.
19	MR. GORMAN: All right.
20	MR. GEORGE: I think, that way, Staff will
21	have an idea of what it could cost us, this, that,
22	and the other. And we could all we'll have the
23	Master Plan done or close to being done. And so,
24	we can at that time, you know, address that.

1	the best way to expedite furthering this
2	discussion, leave it on as an agenda item for
3	discussion
4	MR. GEORGE: Let's
5	MR. GORMAN: under the budget?
6	MR. GEORGE: Let's put it open to the public,
7	you know, for our public comment, and then then
8	let's get ours.
9	MR. COX: Mary.
10	MR. GEORGE: I open it to public comment.
11	Mary?
12	MS. WILLIS: Do I have to stand?
13	MR. GEORGE: No, no, no. You can sit there.
14	MS. WILLIS: You can hear me. You can hear
15	me. Mary Tarver Willis, Araquay Park. Thank you.
16	I have two questions. One, do you not already
17	have an independent audit?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Financial audit.
19	MR. GEORGE: We have a financial audit that
20	goes through the books to make sure that it's an
21	audited financial statement.
22	MS. WILLIS: And are you not complying with
23	GASB?
24	MR. GEORGE: Yes, we are. That's generally

 $file:///S|/Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board\%20Mtg\%20Info/Minutes/2005\%20Minutes/Board\%20Meeting\%20031505.txt [11/16/2010\ 1:44:25\ PM]$ 

1	MS. WILLIS: Accounting
2	MR. GEORGE: practices
3	MS. WILLIS: Right.
4	MR. GEORGE: And it has to be with the
5	financials. And we do that every year. And I
6	might add, this year we had a clean bill of
7	health.
8	MS. WILLIS: Good
9	MR. GEORGE: No
10	MS. WILLIS: Good for you.
11	MR. GEORGE: No discrepancies whatsoever.
12	MS. WILLIS: Okay. I was just wanting to
13	ascertain that. Thank you.
14	MR. GEORGE: I think that was one of
15	Mr. Gorman's concerns, you know, before, that,
16	yeah, a lot of companies get you know, get
17	financial audits, but not
18	MS. WILLIS: Compliance, you also have to
19	have.
20	MR. GEORGE: you know, to dive into
21	that's right. We do. Yeah. Any other public
22	comment?
23	(No further public comment.)

24 MR. GEORGE: Ed, where would I get your

25 comments in on this, where with us kicking around,

152

1 at board time or --

2	MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be productive
3	for us to be able to to help you to help if
4	you can assist us in defining the scope, not
5	necessarily tonight but, you know, the specifics
6	so of what you're looking to see. You know,
7	what is it what is it you're you're trying
8	to see, you know, and plan for?
9	MR. GORMAN: I would probably like to,
10	myself, leave that open for recommendation by the
11	firm selected. In other words, the scope is going
12	to have to be selected by this independent firm,
13	and that's why you use an independent firm, so you
14	can then let them define that because
15	MR. GEORGE: We have to give them an
16	objective and a goal.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think you yeah,
18	you've got to give them some idea what you're
19	trying to accomplish, not necessarily how to do it
20	or what the scope of getting there is, but
21	MR. COX: Ed
22	MR. GEORGE: Any other public comment?
23	MR. COX: Oh, I thought we were
24	MR. GEORGE: Excuse me. I'm sorry. We eased

file:///S//Users/ckh/SharedDocs/Admin/Board%20Mtg%20Info/Minutes/2005%20Minutes/Board%20Meeting%20031505.txt[11/16/2010 1:44:25 PM]

1	MR. COX: Doesn't ACI or AAAE, either one,
2	don't they have folks, or they that that
3	are I don't want to say auditing firms, that
4	or that can do what Jack is looking for,
5	that hopefully, I don't think they'd cost us
6	anything. Aren't we members of ACI and AAAE?
7	MR. WUELLNER: AAAE. We're not ACI.
8	MR. COX: All right. Well, either one.
9	MR. WUELLNER: Primarily because of the cost.
10	MR. COX: Because I think Buzz had a good
11	suggestion. If we were looking for places for the
12	airport to help cut corners and start to move
13	downward on the ad valorem taxes, to get a
14	consultant in here or somebody that's really into
15	it, that's gone all over the U.S. and done this
16	kind of stuff, because we may be looking at stuff
17	with blinders on; I don't know. But I'd be more
18	prone to saying, have a professional in the
19	industry come down as opposed to just getting an
20	independent organization to come in and do an
21	audit.
22	MR. GORMAN: I would certainly he'd
23	certainly have to be absolutely astute as to
24	airport business. Yeah. I agree totally. Yes.

1	MR. COX: I think maybe our outreach should
2	be to somebody like AAAE first and then to help
3	resolve that.
4	MR. GEORGE: Let me make a comment about it.
5	One of the reasons you haven't seen us come up
6	with a plan, you know, to get off the ad valorem
7	tax rolls is because we all agreed to wait for the
8	Master Plan. We don't know what's going to happen
9	three years from now until we approve a Master
10	Plan.
11	With that in mind, I would like to propose a
12	big motion I'd like to propose a subject
13	we'll and I'll discuss it and you guys need
14	to think about it. I would like to see in the
15	budget that we do this year, I would like to see
16	Staff put a forecast together of revenues coming
17	in and foreseeable expenses, and come up with a
18	point in time, be that eight years, nine years,
19	ten years down the road, that based on this plan,
20	we can get out of the tax rolls, you know, at this
21	point. And maybe we cut it down to 50 percent the
22	first year and and 25 the next year, but
23	something that we have a target that we all agree
24	to.

Then I would like to impose on future

1	Authority members that every time we do an annual
2	budget, that this plan be reviewed and recommitted
3	to, or changed, if if the circumstances change.
4	But that way, we get it as an annual thing that
5	the board has to, while they're looking at the
6	budget, to make a commitment that they are going
7	to stick to that plan, that in the year 2011,
8	we're out of the tax rolls or whatever.
9	MR. GORMAN: Exactly the point to the whole
10	thing.
11	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. And I would say that the
12	time to do that would be when we get ready to do
13	the budget, for me to bring that up as a motion at
14	that time when we've all had a chance to talk
15	think about it.
16	MR. GORMAN: You wouldn't entertain or
17	would the board entertain a motion from myself to,
18	when this budget discussion is in effect, to bring
19	in a an independent audit consultant firm to be
20	able to help with this process?
21	MR. GEORGE: I'm I'm not sure that I
22	understand
23	MR. COX: I didn't, either.
24	MR. GEORGE: what you're saying, no.

1	when when we're budgeting and when we're doing
2	this budget process and when we're doing this
3	planning process, this is when we'd bring in this
4	firm to expedite this and to to plan to have
5	them on board to help expedite that process.
6	MR. GEORGE: I would suggest this as an
7	alternative: That we have Staff look at different
8	ways, of different aviation-oriented
9	organizations, that could provide us and then
10	we come up with with the concept behind what
11	they've done before, give you a chance to go talk
12	to the to the people over in Tampa or wherever
13	it's been. But in the budget, that's when we put
14	in we're going to budget \$26,000 to have this
15	done, and as soon as the budget is approved in
16	September, because the budget's approved, you
17	know, openly
18	MR. GORMAN: Right.
19	MR. GEORGE: then in September, we have
20	them come in and start doing it.
21	MR. GORMAN: And I would agree with
22	everything you said. The my only caveat would
23	be that the selection of the firm be done by
24	committee, not by Staff.

25 MR. GEORGE: That's fine.

1	MR. GORMAN: Because I think
2	MR. GEORGE: That's when
3	MR. GORMAN: it keeps the whole process
4	certainly pure.
5	MR. GEORGE: we need to draw it up, at
6	budget time, yeah.
7	MR. GORMAN: Right. And everything else.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: You say by committee and not
9	by Staff. You mean not by the board or not by
10	Ed's people?
11	MR. WUELLNER: Not by me.
12	MR. GORMAN: Not by Ed's people. It just
13	keeps him out of the loop, and probably
14	necessarily so.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: We're the ones that will
16	select
17	MR. GEORGE: Exactly. Right.
18	MR. GORMAN: Produce our own committee.
19	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Okay.
20	MR. GEORGE: So, is that agreeable to the
21	group, that we, you know, handle it in that
22	process?
23	MR. COX: Works for me.
24	MR. GORMAN: So, my then my motion is that

1	MR. GEORGE: Then, fine. Make the motion.
2	MR. GORMAN: I'm going to make a motion that
3	we entertain the a consultant being brought in
4	as an independent audit during the budgetary
5	proceedings to help implement a budget that will
6	help us plan financially.
7	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Added to that, the
8	present Authority members making a commitment to
9	be off the tax rolls.
10	MR. GORMAN: Right. The end goal being
11	MR. GEORGE: That will be brought up during
12	the
13	MR. GORMAN: The end goal being
14	MR. GEORGE: budget cycle, also.
15	MR. GORMAN: The end goal being a financial
16	focus point, a time line to be off the tax rolls.
17	MR. GEORGE: Right.
18	MR. GORMAN: And that will be the goal of
19	this firm. I mean, it's a heck it's a long
20	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Do we have a motion?
21	MR. GORMAN: Right. There's a motion.
22	MR. COX: Discussion.
23	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Discussion?
24	MR. COX: I don't think we need to make a

1	going to make it an agenda item for the next
2	meeting or something
3	MR. GORMAN: I want to keep it on the agenda.
4	That's why I make the motion of it. I want it to
5	be agreed to so that it's written down.
6	MR. COX: Is it a problem to have it on the
7	agenda? We don't need a motion to do that, do we?
8	But I but I just I have some discomfort with
9	saying have them in there during budgetary
10	proceedings, because they're going to need to be
11	there way beyond the budgetary proceedings.
12	MR. GORMAN: That's true.
13	MR. COX: The scope of this
14	MR. GORMAN: Certainly.
15	MR. COX: of what you're trying to do
16	MR. GORMAN: Right.
17	MR. COX: is beyond just the budget.
18	They're going to help develop the budget. So,
19	having them there for the budget proceeding is
20	going to be
21	MR. GORMAN: That's what I mean. In other
22	words, during in other words, during these
23	proceedings. I should have said that, yes.

24 MR. COX: All right. I think we can just put

1	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I agree with Mr. Cox. I
2	don't think we need to make a motion for it, if
3	you're comfortable with that.
4	MR. GORMAN: As long as the board accepts it.
5	It sounds like we're all acceptable to it
6	MR. GEORGE: Right.
7	MR. GORMAN: then that's fine.
8	MR. GEORGE: And that would be up to you to
9	bring it up again when we do the to remind us
10	all of it.
11	MR. GORMAN: Can we leave that on as a
12	continuing project?
13	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. Yeah.
14	MR. GEORGE: Don't lose
15	MR. GORMAN: In other words, so that we
16	continue to discuss this.
17	MR. GEORGE: visibility, okay.
18	MR. WUELLNER: Just for point of
19	clarification
20	MR. GORMAN: Right.
21	MR. WUELLNER: you're you're expecting
22	to use this firm
23	MR. GORMAN: Yes.
24	MR. WUELLNER: in developing the '05

25 budget or '05-'06 budget. I mean, you're --

1	you're looking at having 90 days now till
2	MR. GEORGE: I understand what was said. I
3	think what we're saying is we're going to bring it
4	up when we go over the budget and then we'll
5	decide their scope.
6	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. For moving in past
7	October of this year.
8	MR. GEORGE: Exactly, right.
9	MR. WUELLNER: I just want to make sure of
10	the time line, because there really is no reaction
11	time right now to go through the process of
12	bringing anybody on board, let alone be useful.
13	MR. GEORGE: There is some action time on
14	your part over the next
15	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
16	MR. GEORGE: few months to get an idea of
17	that.
18	MR. WUELLNER: I got that.
19	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Okay. Everybody's
20	comfortable with that?
21	MR. GORMAN: As long as we keep it on a
22	project update or
23	MR. WUELLNER: Is that where you want it?
24	MR. GORMAN: continuing discussion.

1	MR. GORMAN: That's fine.
2	MR. GEORGE: That ends our our items. But
3	we now go to go to the Authority members if
4	they have any comments to make.
5	8.B MR. JOSEPH CIRIELLO
6	MR. CIRIELLO: I have nothing.
7	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Ciriello? Mr. Gorman?
8	8.E MR. JOHN GORMAN
9	MR. GORMAN: No. I'm fine.
10	MR. GEORGE: Mr. Cox?
11	8.D MR. BOB COX
12	MR. COX: (Shakes head.)
13	8.C MR. WAYNE GEORGE
14	MR. GEORGE: And I don't have any, either.
15	Public comment?
16	9 PUBLIC COMMENT
17	(No public comment.)
18	10 NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING
19	MR. GEORGE: Hearing no public comment, then
20	the next regular meeting would be April the 19th.
21	Hearing no objection to that, we'll make it April
22	the 19th.
23	MR. CIRIELLO: At 4:00?
24	MR. GEORGE: I would like point out that

25 MR. WUELLNER: Yes.

1	MR. GEORGE: that I would like to have
2	for May, I would like to do it May the 12th, a
3	week earlier. But just think about that when
4	you're doing your schedule.
5	11 ADJOURNMENT
6	MR. GEORGE: Hearing no other, adjourned.
7	(Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 6:45 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 R	EPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3 STATE OF	FLORIDA )
4 COUNTY (	OF ST. JOHNS )
5	
6 I, JANE	T M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify
7 that I was a	uthorized to and did stenographically
8 report the fe	pregoing proceedings and that the
9 transcript is	a true record of my stenographic
10 notes.	
11	
12 Dated th	nis 30th day of March, 2004.
13	
14	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
15	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: DD102224
16	Expires: April 30, 2006
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	