1	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
2	Regular Meeting
3	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North
4	St. Augustine, Florida
5	on Monday, February 28, 2011
6	from 4:00 p.m. to 5:15 p.m.
7	********
8	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
9	KELLY BARRERA, Chairman CARL YOUMAN
10	JAMES WERTER
11	ROBERT COX, Secretary-Treasurer JOSEPH CIRIELLO
12	********
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	JAMES HATFIELD, Esquire, St. Johns Law Group, 509 Anastasia Boulevard, St. Augustine, FL, 32080, Attorney
15	for Airport Authority.
16	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.
17	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.
18	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
19	
20	
21	LANETM DEACON DDD DMD CDD EDD
22	JANET M. BEASON, RPR, RMR, CRR, FPR St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Bonco do Leon Boulevard
23	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Boulevard St. Augustine, FL 32084
24	(904) 825-0570

1	INDEX			
2	PAGE			
3	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3		
4	MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL F	REPORT	3	
5	AGENDA APPROVAL	4		
6	CONSENT AGENDA	4		
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT	11	1	
8	BUSINESS PARTNERS UPDATE	11		
9	BUSINESS ITEMS			
10	A. ARFF Facility Discussion	30 26		
11	B. Taxiway B South DiscussionC. Intermodal Center Development ID. Invocation Discussion			
12	E. Business Plan - Target Markets	47		
13	PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL	60	l	
14	AUTHORITY MEMBER COMMENTS	AND REPOR	TS	60
15	ADJOURNMENT	71		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We'd like to call the
3	meeting of the St. Johns County-St. Augustine
4	Airport Authority into session. If we could all
5	rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.
6	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	MEETING MINUTES & FINANCIAL REPORT ACCEPTANCE
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We'd like to go ahead and
9	move on to the meeting minutes and financial report
10	acceptance. Has everybody had a chance to review
11	the meeting minutes from our last meeting on the
12	24th?
13	MR. YOUMAN: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Did anybody have any
15	additions, deletions, or corrections to the
16	minutes?
17	(None.)
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Then the minutes will stand
19	as approved. Financial report acceptance. Bob,
20	did you have a chance to review them?
21	MR. COX: I have not had a chance to review
22	them.
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Then we'll re we'll
24	defer that to the next meeting. Okay. So the

1	meeting for acceptance.
2	AGENDA APPROVAL
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Agenda approval. Do we
4	have any additions, deletions, or corrections to
5	today's agenda?
6	(None.)
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Hearing none, the agenda
8	will stand as approved.
9	CONSENT AGENDA
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And on to the consent
11	agenda. Do we have any additions or changes?
12	MR. WUELLNER: You do have some
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Anybody want to pull out
14	anything from the consent consent agenda for
15	discussion?
16	MR. WUELLNER: I would just point out for the
17	members' edification that we did a last-minute
18	revision to that consent agendas and to include
19	addition of an item, FDOT JPA for Taxiway B South
20	that arrived in today's mail, and rather than wait
21	three more weeks till we could send it back, we
22	brought it added it today.
23	The other had to do with the fuel farm
24	project. We had inadvertently placed it on the

24

at all.

1	in reality the process requires you to adopt a
2	resolution. So we corrected that and provided a
3	resolution that does effectively the same thing.
4	So it's other than that clarification, it's
5	it remains the way it was submitted originally.
6	MR. COX: Two points, if I could.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly.
8	MR. COX: The ARFF facility and release of
9	retainage, I just want to make sure that we
10	understand that by approving the consent consent
11	agenda, we are voting for any of the issues that
12	are in the consent agenda; is that correct?
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Correct.
14	MR. COX: So is there any discussion I
15	I've got some questions on what baseline we should
16	set for putting in consent agenda as far as dollar
17	amount. So we're talking about a half a million
18	dollar release retainage which I don't have a
19	problem with.
20	It's just that we're talking about a huge
21	project here, and when you throw it into consent
22	agenda and everybody says yes, they've
23	automatically voted for that without any discussion

1	previous
2	MR. COX: Just so everybody realizes that
3	that's what's happening with the consent agenda
4	when we do that. You need to look it over very
5	closely before the meeting so if you have any
6	questions about it, you understand that you're
7	voting yes when you vote for the consent agenda,
8	correct?
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Correct. And and in
10	addition to that, when it comes up as an agenda
11	item, there's a discussion that takes place
12	MR. COX: True, true.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: for that. This is just
14	the formalization of something that was previously
15	already an agenda item. So make sure that
16	clarification. There shouldn't be anything new on
17	here that hasn't at some point been an agenda item
18	for the board to discuss.
19	MR. COX: Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: But if there's any changes
21	as board members, any one of us can pull something
22	off the consent agenda and have it placed on the
23	regular agenda at this point of the meeting.
24	So if anybody has anything if you'd like to

24

1	regular agenda, we can remove it from the consent
2	agenda.
3	But the idea behind the consent agenda is
4	these are already projects that we've already spent
5	a lot of time on and we're just finalizing them and
6	moving on.
7	MR. COX: I would like to pull the ARFF
8	facility out for short discussion. I don't want to
9	prolong it, but there are some things that I was
10	not involved with in the original discussions
11	previous to my coming on the board, and I'd like to
12	discuss it if we can do that.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly.
14	MR. COX: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe?
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I went through some of
17	these things and I have some thoughts and
18	questions. I've already actually talked to Mr. Ed
19	about it. And I would this the first one
20	that was on the old agenda was this seaplane and
21	barge. Is this just strictly for us to permit it
22	to go into a bidding, or has it already been bid
23	and awarded?

CHAIRMAN BARRERA: What we want to do with the

23

24

the seaplane.

1	have on the particular items and you want to
2	discuss them, let's pull it off the consent agenda
3	and put it into the regular agenda. So if you'd
4	like to pull the seaplane what else would you
5	like to pull off the regular agenda?
6	MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't say I wanted to pull
7	it off; I just wanted a clarification. Okay. I'm
8	actually okay with everything except the which
9	one is it here? The document 101207 2007. As I
10	read through it, is that you remember at the last
11	meeting, I brought up a point
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe, is this the seaplane
13	one?
14	MR. CIRIELLO: No. No. This is
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. So is this which
16	project?
17	MR. CIRIELLO: Firefighting thing.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. So we're going to
19	the ARFF facility and the seaplane, we'll both pull
20	off the consent agenda. Do we have and we'll
21	bring it on to the regular
22	MR. COX: I don't think he wanted to discuss

MR. WUELLNER: Did you want the seaplane

1	MR. CIRIELLO: No, no, no. I just was going
2	to ask
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: But if it's becomes
4	something that we're going to get into a
5	discussion
6	MR. CIRIELLO: you know, a couple of
7	questions. But I'm okay with that. What I'm not
8	okay with is this firefighting thing.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. So we just want to
10	pull off the ARFF facility.
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Now we're not going to discuss
12	it now, we're going to discuss it later?
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: In the regular meeting,
14	correct.
15	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, okay.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And the seaplane basin one
17	you want to keep on the consent agenda?
18	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I'd like to ask a couple
19	of questions on that Taxiway B South project. So I
20	guess to discuss that, you'll have to pull that,
21	too.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. So we'll pull off
23	the ARFF facility and the Taxiway B South project.
24	MR CIRIELLO: Veah And I'm okay with the

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And the rest of it will
2	stay on the consent agenda.
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have a motion to
5	accept the consent agenda with the exclusion of the
6	ARFF facility and the Taxiway B project?
7	MR. YOUMAN: I make a motion. So move.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have a second?
9	MR. COX: Second.
10	MR. CIRIELLO: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Is there any further board
12	discussion?
13	(None.)
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. All in favor, aye?
15	MR. COX: Aye.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
17	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
18	MR. WERTER: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye. Any opposed?
20	(None.)
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Motion passes. The
22	consent agenda will stand as approved with the
23	deletion of the ARFF facility and the Taxiway B
24	South project.

1	have we revised the meeting agenda to include both
2	the ARFF facility and the Taxiway B South project.
3	So we have that addition. Do we have any other
4	information on the agenda that we'd like to change
5	or make additions or deletions to?
6	(None.)
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Then that will stand as
8	approved. And the Executive Director's report.
9	Ed.
10	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
11	MR. WUELLNER: I actually have none this
12	month.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. And our business
14	partner updates, moving on to that. Mr. Sanchez?
15	BUSINESS PARTNERS UPDATE
16	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I don't really have a
17	report. I don't really have a report, so unless
18	anyone's got any questions about county activity.
19	We are starting into our 2012 budget. We're
20	going to have a \$12 million drop in income for the
21	general fund and the fire fund, so we this will
22	be the most interesting year we've dealt with yet
23	because we have some federal mandates, too. So
24	But we will take care of it

1	amphitheatre real quick. It's just a
2	reorganization. That's all it amounts to. We had
3	some problems under the previous general manager
4	and all that's been resolved, and he's gone. We
5	have we're just reorganizing the whole thing.
6	That's on tomorrow's meeting. So that's it. Yes,
7	sir?
8	MR. YOUMAN: You say there's federal mandates.
9	And if there's no money to fund a mandate for a
10	federal mandate, what do you do?
11	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Well, if we can't work
12	out something with the federal government, what
13	you've got to understand is if they're required
14	mandates, which they are, we will have to do them
15	and cut other items. Now that is the reason we're
16	looking at the possibility of a sales tax
17	referendum going on, to help us to stay the same as
18	we are now without cutting back.
19	If you look at the county commission's amount
20	of money they get, we only get like 41.6 percent of
21	the total ad valorem taxes. The rest is school
22	board and special taxing districts and so forth.
23	And Lord knows the school board's been hit bad
24	enough already.

1	got an the other departments under the county
2	commission to fund after the Sheriff's Department
3	and after rescue that is not covered in the fire
4	service. That comes out of the general fund. And
5	we have a transportation maintenance thing.
6	So all together, we lose probably 60-some
7	percent of the 41 percent we get out of the money.
8	So we don't have a lot of money to work with. So
9	if we have to start cutting, it will probably go
10	toward libraries and parks and recreation, which
11	there's a lot of people don't want to see that
12	done. We don't want to see it done.
13	We're working on another way to get past that,
14	and hopefully we'll be able to do that. We have a
15	budget presentation tomorrow from our budget
16	department and all that will be covered. It's
17	going to be an interesting year. Probably the most
18	interesting since I've been in, and we've worked
19	with this problem ever since I arrived. So anyway,
20	I hope that answers your question.
21	But federal federal mandates basically are
22	the 800 megahertz phone system, radio system. And
23	the problem with that is the systems we have now
24	need a lot of repair. A lot of the companies are

1	able to repair the old systems.
2	We're finding out that may not be totally
3	true. Administration's looking into it. If we
4	don't go with the 800 system with the federal
5	government, which I think this whole thing came out
6	of Homeland Security, we will lose 16 frequencies
7	and we will never be able to get them.
8	That system is fantastic if you've got the \$45
9	million to put everyone in the county on it. It
10	it could pay you back over the years. But we'd
11	have to put up 18 towers throughout the county to
12	run this system, and we're finding out that there's
13	a few things wrong with the system.
14	Our argument is why should we go into this
15	amount of money and have a system that's not
16	working right? So the maintenance fee is over a
17	million dollars and a half dollars a year just to
18	maintain the system. So it's got a lot of
19	problems, and we're in the middle of having to
20	decide that.
21	The other one is the jail. Once the jail
22	fills up, and it's about two years out from being
23	full, then we have the problem of a federal judge
24	coming in and saying, "Okay, here's your prisoner

1	today, and you have to build a new jail." So
2	you're looking at \$80 to \$100 million there. And
3	we don't want that to happen. So we're doing
4	everything we can to keep that from going on.
5	The agricultural farm, prison farm that they
6	want to do would have solved a lot of that problem.
7	No one wanted it. They didn't want it anywhere in
8	their neighborhood. We're trying to work out a
9	different approach all together and have it right
10	on the complex over there. So I don't know. But
11	we have those kind of problems to look at. That's
12	two things involving federal government.
13	And we also have a stormwater drainage, Clean
14	Water Act. The ordinance was implemented in '94 by
15	the county commission. No one ever implemented the
16	fee. All they had to do was put \$5 a property or
17	\$5 a tax bill on it and it would have been
18	satisfied. The City of St. Augustine put \$10 a
19	property on theirs and they've already satisfied
20	their federal obligation. No one took action.
21	We tried to two years ago, the consultant
22	company was into a total different mindset than we
23	were and we couldn't use that. So we're
24	reapproaching it to get back into that. We have

1	that is there's a certain amount of time to do it
2	or you get fined \$50,000 a day, your administrator
3	can go to jail well, it's administrator or
4	commissioners, and the commissioners already
5	decided it would be the administrator.
6	Anyway, it's just those kind of problems and
7	it's very challenging. I'm looking forward to
8	getting it all worked out. But that's some of the
9	problems we have.
10	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Okay. Any other
12	questions?
13	(None.)
14	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Thanks a lot. I
15	appreciate the opportunity.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Ron.
17	Mr. Slingluff?
18	MR. SLINGLUFF: Well, after that report, my
19	problems seem very small. I have nothing to
20	report.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Mr. Slingluff.
22	Mr. Ruhsam?
23	MR. RUHSAM: Is this on? Okay. In keeping
24	with the theme of this Airport Authority now being

1	clear to SAAPA, all the members, now that we're
2	self-sustaining.
3	And I think with that theme in mind, it was
4	interesting. We showcased the Heavy Metal Jet Team
5	at our quarterly dinner on the 12th of the month.
6	And, you know, they brought an awful lot of
7	interest I think to the airport.
8	They bought a lot of jet fuel. A lot of them
9	stayed in motels locally. And a lot of people I
10	know have been asking me questions about who are
11	they, where will they be performing, things like
12	that. So I think that's been a positive thing for
13	the airport.
14	Secondly, a number of us are involved in the
15	air traffic control committee. I was hoping Mark
16	Napier might have been here this afternoon. But
17	we've been meeting with him, and I think we've had
18	a breakthrough in getting some really good results
19	with his leadership and making the point once again
20	that we're on our own here and everyone can be
21	involved in being being ambassadors for the
22	airport.
23	In particular, his comptrollers seem to be
24	getting the message and are trying to be a lot more

1	unimately depends on the tower staying open, which
2	is based on the number of air aircraft
3	involvements, operations. So hopefully going
4	forward that will continue. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you. You're
6	absolutely right. Moving on. We have St. Johns
7	Law Group. And we have someone representing Doug
8	today?
9	MR. HATFIELD: Hi. But I do not have an
10	update. Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. We do have a
12	question for you. Was litigation filed?
13	MR. WUELLNER: It is in process. It has not
14	been filed.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Do we have any other
16	questions of St. Johns Law Group?
17	(None.)
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. He's here? Northrop
19	Grumman?
20	MR. NEVADOMSKI: I'm like Michael, those
21	problems seem so small. We're we're seeing an
22	uptake in aircraft operations over the summer, so
23	there will be a lot more E-2 operations over the
24	summer.

1	to our agenda item.
2	MR. WUELLNER: Do you want to do your consent
3	ones first
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Certainly.
5	MR. WUELLNER: or do you want to do them
6	last?
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: No, we can start with them
8	first. That way, we don't miss anything. Let's
9	start with the ARFF facility as an agenda item. We
10	had some questions on that. Do you want to start
11	with that, Bob?
12	ARFF FACILITY
13	MR. COX: Sure. Thank you. What do we
14	have a proposed date of when it would be finished?
15	MR. WUELLNER: At this point, construction is
16	targeted at beginning March 21st and it would
17	complete 178 days later. So by the toward the
18	end of summer.
19	MR. COX: There we go. That's better.
20	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.
21	MR. COX: Thank you. End of summer? And
22	that's
23	MR. WUELLNER: Approximately six months.
24	So and it would be September, end of toward

23

24

MR. COX: Right.

1 MR. COX: This is -- for my own perspective, 2 this is basically the same kind of facility we had 3 discussed several years ago --4 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. 5 MR. COX: -- in putting forth? Okay. 6 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. 7 MR. COX: So --8 MR. WUELLNER: It's -- this is -- just pick up 9 the pieces --10 MR. COX: Right. 11 MR. WUELLNER: -- it is located or would be 12 located directly across Taxiway Foxtrot from U.S. 13 Customs. Right there on the corner. 14 MR. COX: Okay. 15 MR. WUELLNER: Provides the best ac -- overall 16 airfield access from that location. It's 17 approximately 3,000 square foot of facility. 18 Includes a bay for two vehicles if sized currently. 19 It is designed to accommodate Index A currently and 20 will house vehicles that are located on the 21 property. It is facilitated by the last piece of 22 the commercial entitlement funds from FAA.

MR. WUELLNER: So it's effectively the last \$1

24

1	this project last spring
2	MR. COX: Right.
3	MR. WUELLNER: waiting FAA AI lose
4	control but AI anyway, AIP funds were delayed
5	during the last fiscal year to the point where
6	entitlement programs weren't funded till September
7	of this past year, at which point we began working
8	through the contract issues and all that associated
9	with the project. So it it's now finally in a
10	position where it's the end of the permitting and
11	it's ready to go to construction in a couple of
12	weeks.
13	MR. COX: And I'll save discussion for how
14	we're going to man it for another meeting.
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's currently contractually
16	manned
17	MR. COX: Right.
18	MR. WUELLNER: with Northrop Grumman staff.
19	But the options are open in the future as to
20	dealing with it. Perhaps in the context of the
21	county or perhaps in the context of contractual
22	arrangements with other firms, too.
23	MR. COX: Right. Thank you. That's all.

CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe, didn't you have some

1	MR. CIRIELLO: On, yean. As you recall, the
2	last meeting, we got into or I got into a
3	discussion about contracting out because of that
4	Hangar 10 deal where staff and the attorney just
5	keeps dickering month after month after month with
6	the somebody whose work wasn't okay. And I
7	wanted to put a 60-day time limit on that on any
8	contracts made up from and at that point, at the
9	end of 60 days, if there's no resolution, it's
10	automatic the board goes to filing the papers and
11	goes to court and we don't let the staff and the
12	attorney keep on dickering.
13	So when I went through this, on Page 5, it has
14	a litigation in a court of competent jurisdiction,
15	St. Johns County. And to me that reads just like
16	this Hangar 10 thing; the staff and the lawyer can
17	argue from now till doomsday. And I wanted to see
18	if we could add some kind of language to put that
19	60-day time limit in there to keep this kind of
20	thing from occurring.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. And I have previously
22	talked to Joe about this. But the the age of
23	this particular project, meaning when it was
24	when it was put under grant and when it was bid,

1	any of those kinds of provisions. So we are
2	effectively locked by the solicitation language for
3	this particular project.
4	The language you the 60-day language that
5	you refer to, as I mentioned last month, is really
6	something to be included in the Authority's
7	purchasing policy documents, and that document then
8	drives all our future contract solicitations and
9	can include that provision if the Authority agrees
10	that that's going to be added. But until that's
11	until that's adopted, hopefully next month, it
12	it can't be just inserted into what was already
13	solicited through the bid process.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I asked you the question
15	while we was discussing this and I forget the
16	answer. So you can get it on the record. Doesn't
17	the owner, who would be us, or the contractor,
18	isn't there some language in all that contractual
19	write-up that either side can make changes or
20	provisions in the contract during the the life
21	of that contract?
22	MR. WUELLNER: Typically they're only by
23	agreement only. At the point there we bid it,
24	the bid has been accepted and the contract

24

1	really change it after that without mutual
2	agreement. I highly doubt that the contractor's
3	going to agree to this language
4	MR. CIRIELLO: I got you.
5	MR. WUELLNER: this kind of language at
6	this late hour.
7	MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
8	MR. WUELLNER: But we can make sure it's if
9	it's your desire to include it in your policy next
10	month, then we can assure that that provision's in
11	all future solicitations so it's a part of what
12	you're telling them up front are the terms of
13	contracting with us.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: I'd like hope that could be
15	done. Okay. Now, do I get the one more?
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Well, on the ARFF, you can
17	talk about as much as you want.
18	MR. CIRIELLO: No, I mean, I'm satisfied with
19	Ed's answer on this
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: On the ARFF facility?
21	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, not
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any well,

let's wait. The next one, Taxiway B will be the

next agenda item. Let's go ahead and close that

1	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Any more board discussion?
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I don't.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
5	MR. YOUMAN: I just have one question.
6	This this 60-day discussion, we have not
7	discussed it as agenda item, that's going to be a
8	separate agenda item; is that correct?
9	MR. WUELLNER: That will be in the context of
10	your purchasing policy and readoption last month.
11	MR. YOUMAN: Then that would be the time to
12	discuss
13	MR. WUELLNER: Insert it or adopt it.
14	MR. YOUMAN: it with the lawyers and what
15	ramifications this is going to have on and
16	whether this is done in other situations or not?
17	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. It would be in the
18	context of our policy.
19	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Any further board
21	discussion on this agenda item?
22	(None.)
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Let's open it up for public
24	discussion. This wasn't on the regular agenda

1	item?
2	(None.)
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Seeing none, then do we
4	have a motion to accept the ARFF facility?
5	MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make the motion to accept
6	the
7	MR. WERTER: I'll second it.
8	MR. CIRIELLO: whatever. The fire station.
9	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Any further board
10	discussion?
11	(None.)
12	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor, aye?
13	MR. COX: Aye.
14	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
15	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
16	MR. WERTER: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye. Any opposed?
18	(None.)
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Hearing none, the motion
20	passes.
21	TAXIWAY B DISCUSSION
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Moving on to now the
23	Taxiway B item. Joe, did you want to bring that
24	up?

1	discussion, I found out that maybe down the line in
2	the future that all of the runway lights maybe will
3	be changed. Because of economics, it'd be cheaper
4	to operate the new lighting system and all. And
5	it's not just a matter of changing the bulbs; it
6	would be changing the wiring and everything.
7	And so I asked Mr. Ed on this extension of the
8	taxiway if we've already signed a contract to do
9	the work and everything. But what I was wondering
10	if the work being done, the wiring and whatever
11	will be for the lighting, if it could be the new
12	to accept the LED lighting, is what it is.
13	If if they do that construction, do they
14	can have that construction in there so that if the
15	time comes that we change all of the runway
16	lighting and everything, we won't have to dig that
17	part up. That's all I'm wondering about.
18	MR. WUELLNER: And yes, it can. This project
19	is still in the development stage. It has not been
20	bid or anything else. So as a part of that, we
21	would automatically put in the LED provision
22	nowadays to take advantage of the lower energy
23	costs associated with running those things.
24	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I'm good.

1	board questions or discussion on the Taxiway B
2	South project?
3	(None.)
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have any public
5	discussion on the south the Taxiway B South
6	project?
7	MR. WUELLNER: I did want to make only
8	because he brought the topic up. I just want to
9	make sure everybody's clear. There's there's no
10	effort currently to go through and replace existing
11	lighting with LED lighting. Because of the cost
12	disadvantage of putting LED technology out there,
13	we would not just go replace lighting because it
14	costs less to burn it.
15	As the natural cycle occurs or replacement of
16	runway and taxiway edge lighting systems, we would
17	place the LED systems online at the time of other
18	system replacements. So we're not in any way
19	evaluating or looking at the concept of just going
20	out in a wholesale manner and replacing all of the
21	lighting on the airfield with the LED, just
22	primarily because of the huge cost involved.
23	Also because most of the most of the
24	lighting in place was done in a relatively recent

MR. WERTER: Aye.

1	as such has not reached its economic life for
2	for our purposes as well as FAA purposes in
3	participating in its replacement later. But as
4	those things cycle through their normal life, we
5	will we will certainly be replacing with the
6	newer technologies.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And, Ed, wouldn't that be
8	included in our sustainability?
9	MR. WUELLNER: It is. And I think that's part
10	of what generated the question.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay.
12	MR. CIRIELLO: Now I'm good.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All right. Do we have a
14	motion and and to accept the Taxiway B?
15	MR. COX: Move to accept Taxiway B South
16	project.
17	MR. YOUMAN: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Any further discussion?
19	(None.)
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor, aye?
21	MR. COX: Aye.
22	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
23	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.

1	unammously.
2	Let's move on to our agenda item that I
3	just want to note for the public record that we do
4	not have any speaking sheets. So if anybody plans
5	to speak on any of our agenda items, I would ask
6	for them to get a sheet and bring it up to the
7	to the table. Our next agenda item is the
8	intermodal center development and the feasibility
9	funding update.
10	INTERMODAL CENTER DEVELOPMENT DISCUSSION
11	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. This is a fairly brief
12	item, but I can tell you that we have in an
13	effort to get this funded, I think I mentioned at
14	the last meeting that we had had a brief e-mail
15	exchange with Florida DOT relative to doing the
16	update to the feasibility study.
17	No real new developments there. We have
18	pursued several other methods of funding this to
19	include this project is now included in our what's
20	called JACIP, our Joint Automated Capital
21	Improvement Program, which effectively puts it in
22	in the queue on the aviation side of the house with
23	both FAA and FDOT for consideration of funding in
24	the future.

1	TPO for their participation in facilitating that
2	study, and if at all possible, their conduct of
3	that study, much like they did last year's
4	transport or
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Traffic generation.
6	MR. WUELLNER: traffic generation trip
7	generation study at the the expense so to speak
8	of the TPO.
9	The advantage as we see it is that if the TPO
10	were to do it, it would eliminate the argument of
11	proprietary influence in the outcome of the study.
12	The TPO would be the entity funding the study and
13	directing ultimately the consultants' evaluation of
14	it to provide a more equitable I think an
15	equitable or more acceptable study result by say
16	the city and the county as well as the Airport
17	Authority.
18	The other place we've been able to put the
19	project in the mix is in the county's work program,
20	that that gets put up the pipe, if you will, in the
21	FDOT program for transportation funding. So the
22	project is being pursued from at least, I think at
23	my count, four different locations for
24	feasibility for funding of the study. We'll let

1	Best guess on a funding time line would be at
2	least July of this year. Many of those funding
3	sources are tied to the state's fiscal year. That
4	begins July 1st. So when you when you get this
5	late in the game, and the state legislature is
6	really only looking at projects for next year and
7	beyond in the work program context, so it it
8	will probably be at least July till an actual study
9	gets funded by someone.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
11	MR. YOUMAN: Has the TPO acknowledged your
12	letter?
13	MR. WUELLNER: They have not.
14	MR. YOUMAN: Not even receipt?
15	MR. WUELLNER: No. But that's not
16	MR. YOUMAN: When they do that, are you going
17	to mention the fact of including the other station
18	locations as part of the project?
19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I think I'm trying to
20	remember the wording of the letter, but we're
21	certainly open to a true technical evaluation by an
22	independent study such as we'd be pursuing with the
23	TPO to all of the locations in St. Johns County.
24	MR. YOUMAN: Have either

1	MR. YOUMAN: Have either of the other two
2	agencies acknowledged your request yet?
3	MR. WUELLNER: The JACIP is immediate meaning
4	it's we have direct access to the database for a
5	specified period of time. So that project is in
6	there. Last Friday I think it was was the what
7	do they call it, TAG meeting?
8	MR. HARVEY: Presentation. Yeah, TAG.
9	MR. WUELLNER: The TAG committee, the county's
10	project review committee. And then that list of
11	projects gets forwarded upstream, it's my
12	understanding, to the Board of County Commissioners
13	at some point in the future, where they will adopt
14	or reorder or whatever they determine to be in the
15	best interest of the overall county.
16	I would think that at the point where that
17	project where that list is being considered by
18	the by the county county commission, that
19	there probably are opportunities to speak toward
20	why we would want to do that project or have it
21	prioritized.
22	MR. HARVEY: There's also the TPO technical
23	coordinating committee that I was going to bring it
24	up Wednesday, also.

1	MR. WUELLNER: That's within the TPO
2	structure
3	MR. YOUMAN: Right.
4	MR. WUELLNER: that particular committee.
5	So that that's really where it sits right
6	now in terms of funding with that. We we've got
7	the feelers out. Be patient here as we get in the
8	new fiscal years. You've got October is the
9	federal fiscal year. So it's possible something
10	could pop out of that, too.
11	MR. YOUMAN: Thank you.
12	MR. WUELLNER: It does not require any action,
13	it was more of an update on this one.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Do we have any other
15	board questions on this item?
16	(None.)
17	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Do we have have any
18	public comment on this item?
19	(None.)
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Hearing none, do we have a
21	motion to proceed with the intermodal development
22	center discussion? No motion and discussion?
23	MR. WUELLNER: I don't need that you need any.
24	CHAIDMAN DADDEDA. So no further action

24

1	INVOCATION DISCUSSION
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Our next agenda item
3	is the invocation discussion. Joe, you had wanted
4	this on the agenda, so if you wanted to open up and
5	start on this discussion.
6	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, okay. Since I'm the one
7	that asked it to be on the agenda, it's obvious I'm
8	for having that. It's a matter, if it can be done,
9	how to do it.
10	My idea would be, I would like to see at least
11	one minister that would like to be unofficial
12	chaplain of the Authority that would come to the
13	meetings and give the invocation. And if so, he
14	could stay for the much of the meeting as he
15	wanted. I I'm trying to see how I can justify
16	somebody coming just for a minute to say an
17	invocation and then leave. But anyway, that's what
18	I would like to see.
19	I also talked to the pastor of our church with
20	the idea I was going to do this, and these pastors
21	in the area seem to have like a gathering that they
22	get together and run ideas around. And I said,
23	well, if maybe two, three, four or five of them

could get together and volunteer that they could

1	another month, you know, and then keep on that
2	circle. So that's kind of an idea, that how I
3	would like to see it done if the if the board
4	would allow it to be done. So, that's it. I'm
5	waiting to see what the rest of the board members
6	or the public has to say.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Are you thinking
8	that this would be an interfaith invocation so that
9	it would be across the different type of religions
10	that are that are established?
11	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I understand there are
12	certain legalities and things you could say or
13	can't say. I mean, it wouldn't be specific to one
14	group. It would just be a broad.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Because I know that this
16	has been a heated debate in the City of
17	Jacksonville with the interfaith and making sure
18	that the different faiths were all represented. So
19	I would kind of hear where like to hear where
20	you were thinking about with that.
21	MR. WUELLNER: Your attorney has prepared
22	(Court reporter interruption.)
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Ed is saying that our
24	attorney is prepared to brief us on what parameters

1	that.
2	MR. HATFIELD: All right. Thank you. Go
3	ahead.
4	MR. COX: No.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think we did have
6	somebody else who wanted to say something. Was
7	that
8	MR. HATFIELD: I'm happy to wait.
9	MR. WERTER: No. First, let Jim give the
10	briefing on the legalities.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. And then we'll ask
12	further questions.
13	MR. HATFIELD: Okay. May I take just about
14	one minute for history, background?
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Sure.
16	MR. HATFIELD: Okay. The invocations by a
17	public body would be would be governed by the
18	establishment clause of the First Amendment. The
19	establishment clause of course says "Congress shall
20	make no law respecting the establishment of
21	religion." This isn't Congress, but it comes down
22	through the states through the Fourteenth Amendment
23	that was passed after the Civil War. So it does

apply to state bodies.

1	jurisprudence, when considering the establishment
2	clause, uses a particular test. It's called the
3	Lemon test. It's based on case law where one of
4	the parties' name was Lemon. And in fact, probably
5	if an invocation was taken to whether an
6	invocation could be given, and that was taken to
7	court and the Lemon test were applied, it would
8	probably fail.
9	However, the Supreme Court has gone way out of
10	their way to find that in fact invocations can be
11	given. They looked at the history, the 200-year
12	history, especially of the fact that Congress, the
13	very same week that they passed the language of the
14	First Amendment which contains the establishment
15	clause and sent that language to the states for
16	ratification, in that same week, they provided for
17	the hiring of two chaplains and paying them. And
18	that and the fact that over 200 years, they've
19	continuously had these invocations and prayers
20	before legislatures and other deliberate
21	deliberative bodies, they decided it was in fact
22	okay.
23	Now, the case that they decided that in,
24	Marsh v. Chambers decided back in 1983, in that

1	who did not want invocations to happen in their
2	state legislature, and the Supreme Court said no,
3	that's okay. They did lay down a couple of
4	parameters for that.
5	Now, they use the language "state legislatures
6	or other deliberative bodies." So the fact that
7	this would be a deliberative body would indicate
8	that although Marsh was based on a state
9	legislature, it would apply here, too.
10	A couple of the limits are that are taken
11	from the case, the language is, is that the
12	prayer it's outside the limits of acceptability
13	if the "prayer opportunity has been exploited to
14	proselytize or advance any one, or disparage any
15	other faith or belief."
16	So it has to be in a you can't favor one
17	religion or the other, obviously. It's okay to
18	have a generic prayer that invokes the guidance of
19	a supreme being, but it should not say, for
20	instance, "Jesus" because that would be favoring
21	Christianity.
22	Also, the selection of a person to recite the
23	prayer could be a violation if it were from an
24	impermissible motive. An impermissible motive

1	what an impermissible motive could be, they did
2	say I mean, it would seem that, for instance,
3	choosing five Protestant preachers or pastors to
4	maybe rotate might be an impermissible motive, even
5	though or it could be seen as one, even though
6	it really wasn't. So, it would seem that if you
7	were to have outside people come in and give an
8	invocation, that's fine. But it has to be open to
9	all faiths.
10	However, it seems that given the Marsh
11	decision where the legislature in Nebraska had a
12	designated chaplain, it must be okay and the
13	Supreme Court said it was okay it must be okay
14	to select a person. It probably wouldn't be okay
15	to select 10 Protestants in a row to be your to
16	give the invocations year after year after year.
17	Also, it seems that you could rotate among
18	yourselves giving an invocation. It just, again,
19	needs to be a generic, nondenominational or not
20	favor any particular faith type of invocation.
21	One more thing. It could be an issue, and if
22	you invite different people to come up and pray or
23	give an invocation, what's an invocation? What's
24	acceptable to viall? Are you ever going to cut

1	There is case law where somebody got up
2	it's another Supreme Court case. I believe this
3	could be a circuit court case. Somebody got up to
4	give the invocation and their prayer was they would
5	stop giving invocations. And so that was okay.
6	The court said, no, you can cut them off at that
7	point.
8	But if you give invocations, you might have to
9	cut somebody off and raise another constitutional
10	issue. Was it permissible at that point to say,
11	"No, you're out of line, that's not what we're here
12	for"? And that's my thoughts right now.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Yes, thank you.
14	Jim?
15	MR. WERTER: Yeah. Since this was brought up
16	last month, I have given it thought. Thank you
17	for it's been 22 years since Con. Law 101, so
18	but thank you for the review and reminder of the
19	Lemon law.
20	And given the diversity of this county, let
21	alone this board, the country was founded upon
22	freedom of religion, but then again we have the
23	Fourteenth Amendment that was later to ensure that
24	it was a country for everybody.

government institution where one religion or

2	another and actually, Ed and I have had this
3	discussion, too, that an ecumenical if there
4	needs to be an invocation, it has to be ecumenical.
5	The best that I can stipulate and vote for is
6	silent meditation, 30 seconds or a minute, to
7	for your best interest. It is not offensive to
8	anybody. It cuts out verbiage. We don't have
9	different and this is done in schools, you know,
10	in some schools. It doesn't require the rotation
11	from Lutheran to Protestant to Southern Baptist to
12	Judaism to Islam, you know. So that is the best I
13	can vote for. Anything more than that, I would
14	have to vote nay.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Thank you. Carl?
16	MR. YOUMAN: I was going to bring that up,
17	exactly what Jim brought up. I'm not a lawyer, but
18	you didn't bring it up. About the fact of just
19	having a moment of silence or a minute of silence
20	directed by the Chairman to pray, whatever you want
21	to do.
22	But beyond I agree. Beyond that, we're
23	stepping into a quandary, I think. I'm a
24	Christian, I believe in Jesus Christ, but I

1	also.
2	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe?
3	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, there's I'm a little
4	surprised. There's not as much negatism (sic) here
5	as I thought there was going to be. And I don't
6	none of my remarks are meant to point to any
7	individual, period, because everybody has an
8	opinion and everybody has a right to it.
9	There are a few people in this world that when
10	they object to something, they let everybody in the
11	world know it. You know, like prayers in school
12	and songs that they sing and things like that. And
13	they but I find that here whenever we go to say
14	the Pledge of Allegiance, if I was somebody who was
15	objecting to something, not necessarily prayer, but
16	whatever, I would leave, because the Pledge of
17	Allegiance mentions a supreme being and nobody
18	leaves.
19	When we got elected way back when the board
20	started and take the oath of office, there was a
21	mention also of a supreme being in there. I don't
22	know how many people here have been married. I
23	guess if you get married even by a J.P. or in a
24	church or something there's always a religious

1	religious tone to the ceremony. It's on our money.
2	And you do have some people trying to even get rid
3	of all that. And as Carl mentioned, separation of
4	church and state, there's nowhere that that has
5	ever been anywhere.
6	Thomas Jefferson once wrote a letter to
7	somebody and intoned that language and then some
8	people picked it up and they say that's part of the
9	Constitution or whatever, and it isn't. All that
10	statement meant and I don't know about the
11	Fourteenth Amendment, okay?
12	All I know is that one about the Congress will
13	make no religion or however it's stated which means
14	they won't go out and say everybody has to be a
15	Baptist or you've got to be a Catholic or this or
16	that. Anybody can be whatever they want. You have
17	that freedom. And that's all that means.
18	So, I as far as going if like Jim and
19	maybe Carl said they wouldn't mind a silent
20	invocation, to me, well, that's personal. You can
21	do that anyhow and nobody would know any better.
22	But if we can't have a public little invocation
23	public, you know, without mentioning any specific
24	religion or anything, I'd just assume not bother

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Thank you, Joe.
2	Bob?
3	MR. COX: I my comment is I think we'd have
4	a difficult time finding somebody, individuals to
5	come for every meeting to give an invocation that
6	would meet the standard that we need to meet, and
7	to have or have one of us say it at every and
8	meet the standards that we need to meet.
9	So it's going to be difficult to while I
10	don't have any issue with doing this at all, I just
11	think it's going to be difficult for us to meet the
12	standard that we would have to meet to remain to
13	stay legal.
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I I am from my
15	standpoint, I agree with both Jim and Carl in that
16	what I would feel comfortable with is doing a 30
17	seconds of silence. Then I think anything else, we
18	open ourselves up for someone who may be of a
19	completely different faith standpoint, and that
20	would make all of us uncomfortable or some of us
21	uncomfortable where you would have part of the
22	board walking out in order to let that person speak
23	so that the fairness doctrine could be enacted.
24	So that if we if there was a motion, I

1	seconds of silent reflection before we begin our
2	board meetings. If we have anybody that wants to
3	make that motion.
4	MR. WUELLNER: Public comment?
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: At this point, we would
6	open it up for public comment, although we have no
7	public comment slips on that. So I'm not sure that
8	there is any public comment.
9	(None.)
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So we'll bring it back to
11	the board. Do we have a motion or any further
12	discussion that we would like to take up at this
13	time or do we want to defer it to another time
14	to for consideration for a later date since this
15	is the first time it's been brought up? And I
16	guess I do have a question for you, Ed. Has this
17	board ever done to your knowledge?
18	MR. WUELLNER: Not in 15 plus that I've been
19	here. I can't speak ahead of that.
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Joe?
21	MR. CIRIELLO: I think I heard your question.
22	I have problems hearing.
23	I when I first started attending board
24	meetings, oh, I think way back in '94 or something

1	board, that there was a lady who I knew
2	semi-personally. She worked with my wife at the
3	time. Her name was Helen Toby.
4	And she happened to be at a board meeting for
5	some reason or another, and somehow she asked I
6	don't know. Was you there, Vic? She asked if she
7	could give a prayer before the meeting, and they
8	said, sure, go ahead. And she got up, you know,
9	from the audience and gave a prayer. I don't know
10	exactly whether she mentioned Jesus or whatever.
11	But that's the only time in all the years I've been
12	going to meetings that I can remember. One time I
13	know for sure that somebody did get up and say a
14	prayer in public at a board meeting.
15	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Do we have any
16	further board discussion? And we have nobody
17	making a motion or any seconds?
18	(None.)
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Then at this point, we will
20	move on to our next agenda item hearing no motions
21	or seconds.
22	MR. YOUMAN: One question. Does that mean
23	this just died a natural death?
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: At this point.

1	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: It can be brought up later
2	for another agenda item at another day. But at
3	this point, there wasn't any overlying movement to
4	make a motion.
5	BUSINESS PLAN - TARGET MARKETS
6	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Our next agenda item is our
7	business plan development. And, Ed, you were going
8	to brief us?
9	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I just have a quick item
10	that we'd like to as you know, a number of
11	people are involved in a couple of areas of
12	developing the business plan.
13	One of those areas is we continue to work with
14	what was the PR committee from a while back. Most
15	of those members have agreed to help us developing
16	the general aviation business direction, if you
17	will, and help us identify and they're working
18	with staff trying to enhance our outreach to our
19	on-airport business partners primarily.
20	The other component of that and probably the
21	more far-reaching part of what we're doing is the
22	development of the business plan. And Mr. Cox is
23	the kind of assigned liaison to that committee.
24	Vic Martinelli is kind of facilitating for lack of

1	Slingluff, Andrew Holesko, and trying to think
2	who else I'm missing besides myself Kevin are
3	involved in that.
4	At this point, we've identified essentially
5	five target markets that we would like to gain some
6	basic agreement from the Authority that these
7	constitute sort of the five high-level areas that
8	we would be targeting in our business development
9	plan over time.
10	This is not designed to pick it apart and deal
11	with the smaller subcomponents. It's really just
12	designed to allow us to kind of go to the next
13	step, which is to develop the components and
14	subcomponents under each one of these things.
15	They are not equal in the sense that they will
16	necessarily get all of the same kinds of resources
17	or priorities later on. Those those kinds of
18	things have yet to be developed and discussed. And
19	at a later meeting, those things will come forward
20	and you'll gain that kind of understanding. But
21	the primary areas are effectively the airport,
22	meaning the aviation operations on the facility.
23	The other is the development of any surface
24	transportation, primarily related to roads. So

1	activity, anything that would interconnect between
2	one of the modes of transportation and could be
3	developed using airport I'll call it
4	Airport-owned property or Authority-owned
5	properties.
6	The other piece is related to seaport kinds of
7	operations. So the effort we're putting into the
8	barge terminal facilities obviously would kind of
9	fall under that classification. Picks up a mode of
10	transportation, if you haven't already started
11	following that bouncing ball.
12	The far right-hand side picks up the last real
13	transportation component of course, which is rail.
14	And that could be in the form of obviously
15	passenger. It could also be in the form of linking
16	other aviation or property-related transportation
17	uses to another mode. So it doesn't necessarily
18	only apply to passengers, but certainly could pick
19	up freight or some other related component.
20	The last probably nontraditional I say
21	nontraditional, but nontransportation-related and
22	primary item is related to light industrial
23	development. So it's kind of the piece that ties
24	many of these modes of transportation together.

industry the county desperately needs to enhance

2	its business base, its business tax base in St.
3	Johns County, is to provide locations for those
4	kinds of businesses.
5	It could be anything. They might it really
6	just allows a location that allows a seamless
7	integration to a mode of transportation. It might
8	be just for shipping. It might be just, you know,
9	the UPS guy. It might be more exaggerated than
10	that. They may need rail access for raw materials
11	in or finished product out for that matter. Same
12	way with sea and the like. But a light industrial
13	component.
14	And it would also involve using probably areas
15	of property that are not suited for other modes of
16	transportation, meaning not airfield adjacent,
17	maybe not rail adjacent, or maybe not water
18	adjacent in some cases. It allows a maximum
19	utilization of airport property into the future.
20	Those are the five major markets that we've
21	identified as having potential for the overall
22	operation. And we'd like to get your I'll use
23	the word "blessing" for lack of better words on
24	those five items, that we can then take those and

1	If there's something else that you see that
2	doesn't appear or you're interested in as a market
3	that the airport ought to be pursuing and it
4	doesn't fit under one of these classifications,
5	it'd be a good time to kind of throw that into the
6	mix, too, even if it doesn't go anywhere today.
7	It's something we can be looking at in the business
8	plan development to see if it has merit, you know,
9	has any real application to the use of airport in a
10	big picture.
11	And the next slide, if you didn't follow that,
12	but the next one tries to detail kind of what I
13	just said so you get some background. You're not
14	stuck with these acronyms or anything else into the
15	future. This is really just defining the focus
16	area, is not any particular center of development
17	or anything associated like that.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: On this, Ed, on your
19	Northeast Florida Airport Road Connection, I think
20	in the past we've talked about the Sunshine Bus
21	being a part of that. So
22	MR. WUELLNER: Could easily
23	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I want to make sure that
24	that doesn't get omitted. And I'll open it up down

1	MR. WERTER: Yeah, looking at the five areas,
2	these are all areas since I've been on the board
3	that we've kind of sort of touched on each and
4	every one. Of course the regional airport, we're
5	talking about commercial airline traffic, which
6	we've been working on for years. The road traffic,
7	we're talking about the multimodal situation where
8	we have bus service.
9	The seaplane port, that's a little bit of a
10	new twist. Being able to have barge unloading,
11	which would also help Grumman with shipments coming
12	in, though I don't foresee some Steven Spielberg
13	crane picking crates up, do I?
14	MR. WUELLNER: No.
15	MR. WERTER: That's where he got his idea for
16	Star Wars monsters.
17	MR. WUELLNER: Say we're going to have issues
18	with that on height.
19	MR. WERTER: The light industry, we talked
20	about the industrial air park for a while. So
21	these are just really oh, and the rail of
22	course. We've been talking about that more
23	recently this past year.
24	This looks to me, Ed, as if you're just trying

1	plays into what I'll brief you-all on at the last
2	EDC breakfast, what we talked about there, about
3	expanding and variety, getting away from not
4	getting away from, but expanding to different areas
5	to get the business in. Not just strictly be about
6	airplanes, general aviation, or even general
7	aviation commercial traffic. And with the property
8	that we have, we have to utilize it to bring this
9	business in.
10	But my understanding from what you're telling
11	me now and reading through this is basically you're
12	just clarifying the subject matter.
13	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. And also it gives you
14	the opportunity, if there's something we just
15	haven't that doesn't fit in one of these five
16	compartments, that we get it out on the table and
17	allow us to to look at it in more detail in the
18	context of the business plan.
19	If you know, I think most things that we're
20	likely to be involved in are probably covered. I
21	mean, we've given this some thought. But that
22	doesn't mean there isn't another, you know,
23	spaceport, for instance, or something. I don't
24	know.

1	I just went to the second page of under agenda
2	to the business plan development and it pretty much
3	states the whole thing I just said, so never mind.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Bob?
5	MR. COX: Ed, you're basically you're
6	looking for a consensus of support from the board
7	as opposed to a motion for action?
8	MR. WUELLNER: It can take whatever form.
9	It's really just providing a if you will, a
10	ratification of where we believe the five general
11	areas of market exist for this complex.
12	Again, if you've got something that doesn't
13	fit this and you want to interject it, let's get it
14	on the table if you don't mind. I know we haven't
15	given you a lot of time to think about it.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
17	MR. YOUMAN: From what I'm seeing, these are
18	just the general headings and from here, you're
19	going to develop putting dollars in, how these
20	dollars are going to be acquired, where you
21	where the marketing's going to be and et cetera, et
22	cetera, et cetera, so that at the bottom line, we
23	have a plan that says we're going to make this much
24	money if this plan works the way we planned it.

1	to essentially target the investments in the
2	future, in infrastructure and the like, marketing
3	dollars, you name it, into these classifications in
4	the future.
5	So we're we're saying these are the the
6	broad picture business opportunities as we see
7	them. And again, they may they're not going to
8	be equal. I mean, clearly seaport aspects are
9	going to have a finite limitation to what can be
10	accomplished here.
11	MR. YOUMAN: Right. Right.
12	MR. WUELLNER: Rail probably will, too, in
13	some realistic way. But it doesn't mean the
14	infrastructure wouldn't be provided, for instance,
15	to support industrial park development. You may
16	want a rail spur developed out of this to to
17	allow mainline access to FEC, as an example.
18	Of course we we kind of all believe we
19	understand what we can do from an aviation
20	standpoint. That's relatively straightforward.
21	But there may be other immo modalities issues or
22	intermodality issues that, you know, we'll begin to
23	address and identify as we further dissect this
24	thing.

1	incorporated into the business plan
2	MR. WUELLNER: It will be. It's a little
3	later than this.
4	MR. YOUMAN: Right. Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Bob?
6	MR. COX: Very quickly. It it's a good
7	point, but I wanted to point out there's a lot of
8	minds coming at this from a lot of different
9	directions. This thing will dovetail in with
10	sustainability, PR marketing, business plan, the
11	whole thing. It will be a real seamless
12	MR. WUELLNER: Budgeting.
13	MR. COX: roadmap, if you will, that's
14	really going to make the airport shine, I mean, be
15	an icon for the aviation industry. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: In in the sustainability
17	management plan, has this already been
18	incorporated? Mariben? Mariben?
19	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think she
20	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: In the sustainability
21	management plan, has has this concept already
22	been incorporated?
23	MS. ANDERSEN: (Nods head.)
24	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you.

1	initiatives exploration under economic development
2	and stuff. It does mention the seaport, but I
3	don't think we mentioned the rail connection. It
4	does just mention intermodal.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Intermodal. Okay. Do we
6	have any further board discussion on this?
7	(None.)
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We had no public comment
9	cards on this. Ed, you said you do not need a
10	motion?
11	MR. WUELLNER: As long as there's consensus,
12	we're fine with that in moving forward. However, a
13	motion if you feel better about
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think we should go ahead
15	and make a motion. Do we have someone to make a
16	motion?
17	MR. WERTER: I'll make the motion, Your
18	Honor Your Honor.
19	MR. WUELLNER: You got a promotion.
20	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: All rise.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: And that motion is?
22	MR. WERTER: I move that we approve
23	Mr. Wuellner's further research in outlining of the
24	project, of the I'm sorry. The formal title of

1	MR. WUELLNER: Target market.
2	MR. WERTER: The business business plan
3	development to more focus in on these particular
4	projects and outline them better and their
5	potential, their cost, their return, things of that
6	nature.
7	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay.
8	MR. COX: Second.
9	MR. YOUMAN: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: All in favor well, do we
11	have any further board discussion before we call
12	for a vote?
13	(None.)
14	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. All in favor, aye?
15	MR. COX: Aye.
16	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
17	MR. YOUMAN: Aye.
18	MR. WERTER: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Aye. None hearing none
20	opposed, the motion passes unanimously.
21	PUBLIC COMMENT - GENERAL
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Public comment, we
23	have no public comment cards. So seeing no public
24	comment cards, we'll open it up for Authority

1	AUTHORITY MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS
2	MR. CIRIELLO: I have nothing, ma'am.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Okay. Bob?
4	MR. COX: No comments.
5	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Carl?
6	MR. YOUMAN: The TPO meeting Thursday,
7	February 10th, 2011, they made a presentation as to
8	the how do you call it the monies that were
9	part of Obama's initial initial stimulus plan
10	for shovel-ready projects, and almost all of them
11	that were allocated to St. Johns County has been
12	completed and except for County Route 1 13-B
13	Fruit Cove Road they're resurfacing and State Road
14	207 at 312 traffic operations improvements,
15	whatever that means. But almost all the projects
16	for St. Johns County have been completed.
17	There were a couple of speakers under Item E.
18	There was a JAXPORT update an update by Chris
19	Kauffmann, CEO, giving status of dredging projects
20	and how they're going to secure monies. And it was
21	quite a long drawn out because they have some major
22	problems with the dredging of the river and making
23	the port a feasible port for these giant container
24	ships that are going to come through the Panama

1	And I asked the question if syndication from
2	private sources had been looked into since they
3	were saying that it's such an attractive return on
4	this investment, and the response was after a
5	few how do you hesitations, that yes, it was,
6	but private syndication would not work because the
7	return on investment, they want double digit return
8	on investment. So the project overall from JAXPORT
9	cannot provide that. So it's got to come from
10	federal or state funds. So they have a big problem
11	on their hands.
12	And up under Item H, they had an update on the
13	Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission, and it
14	was a general discussion about the passenger rail
15	projects in the state. And it discussed commuter
16	rail. And one of the statements that came out of
17	it, that the state will cover shortages in the
18	operating funds for seven years.
19	Well, I that bothered me because I know
20	that every commuter rail project in the United
21	States can't cover their operating costs and it's
22	either it's subsidized by somebody within the
23	state government, whether it's state or local
24	authorities.

1	up my hand and I said, "Can I ask a question about
2	the previous discussion?" They said, "Yeah, go
3	ahead." So I said, "What happens after seven
4	years?" Well, they said, "It goes to local
5	authorities." And I said, "Well, as far as I know,
6	there's not a commuter service that makes money.
7	All the local authorities are broke. What's going
8	to happen when you hit that wall?"
9	He said, "Well," he said, "they're in
10	discussion with the counties." And the FDOT
11	gentleman that was there said, "Well, there's one
12	commuter project that does break even and that's
13	the Long Island Railroad." So I told them, I said,
14	"I don't represent St. Johns County officially,
15	just the airport, but as a citizen of St. Johns
16	County, I wouldn't want to have to pay for a large
17	cost to cover commuter costs overruns."
18	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'll second that.
19	MR. YOUMAN: And I thought Ron might. Because
20	every other as far as I know from my readings
21	and understanding of rail, every other project in
22	the United States, state governments cover all the
23	operating cost overruns and not try to drop it on
24	the local authorities And I want to thank Ed

1	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. You'll have another one
2	this week.
3	MR. YOUMAN: That was great because I used it
4	in the liaison efforts of presenting what we're
5	doing, and it was very I absolutely almost read
6	it. And it went well.
7	And there was one person in the public force
8	that was out there. At the end of the end, she
9	grabs me and she said, "What a great job." I said,
10	"What do you mean?" She said, "Well, you made a
11	great presentation." And I thanked Ed for that
12	internally. And the question she said, "I loved
13	your questions because it put them on the spot."
14	So and there was a resolution for
15	relating to Florida's future transportation
16	funding. A lot of whereases. If anybody wants to
17	look at it, this came out of the state MPO, which
18	is a conglomeration of the individual TPOs
19	throughout the State of Florida.
20	And before we digress, has everybody gotten
21	this letter from Mica, this latest letter about the
22	TSA where the Screening Partnership Program he
23	helped to craft, which he states here as he did in
24	his last letter about the he's up in arms

24

1	a halt to the SPP, which is the private sector
2	doing the function that TSA does.
3	So it amazes me that they have to go back and
4	do something with this because if Congress
5	authorizes something, how can TSA just override
6	Congress? So they're getting into a little turf
7	war now about this. If anybody wants to see this
8	letter.
9	MR. WUELLNER: I got a copy today finally
10	MR. YOUMAN: Okay.
11	MR. WUELLNER: in the mail.
12	MR. YOUMAN: Does anybody have any questions
13	about the TPO?
14	(None.)
15	MR. YOUMAN: And my comments this is part
16	of the comment session, too, right is that it's
17	been a good meeting. Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Thank you, Carl. Jim?
19	MR. WERTER: I'm going to have to borrow Joe's
20	microphone; mine's dead. Thank you, Joe.
21	Yes. Last month, I went to the EDC quarterly
22	breakfast. The guest speaker was Dale Brill from
23	the Florida Chamber Foundation. I would categorize

him as a facilitator/promoter. And he gave us a

24

1	direction.
2	And the main objective is to expand, not
3	totally away, but from not just tourism, but into
4	other businesses, industry, things of that nature.
5	It plays right into the overall objective that we
6	just discussed in our business plan. Variety.
7	Okay. Attracting new business and employment that
8	way.
9	There's a very interesting web site he
10	directed us to. It's the floridafoundation.com.
11	And it talks of the six pillars. And I would
12	encourage you all it's floridafoundation or
13	flfoundation.com. They also are looking for
14	ambassadors and representatives to help promote
15	their idea.
16	What they're looking at is beyond the
17	Governor's seven-year plan. They're looking at a
18	20-year plan. They call it the 2030 plan. And
19	that's the ultimate objective, to broaden our
20	horizons.
21	So it's a good thing to keep in mind. I'm
22	glad that we're encouraging Ed to proceed on the
23	business plan to develop the potential of those

areas that we've been talking about since I've been

24

1	The other thing I'd like to bring up in the
2	way of comments to Mr I'm sorry. How do you say
3	your last name, sir?
4	MR. RUHSAM: Ruhsam.
5	MR. WERTER: Ruhsam. We have an air show
6	coming up the weekend of May 28th. And the and
7	the club is spearheading it is that correct?
8	MR. RUHSAM: No, we're not spearheading it.
9	MR. WERTER: Who's spearheading it?
10	MR. YOUMAN: Craig.
11	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Craig Fordham.
12	MR. WUELLNER: It's a separate corporation.
13	MR. WERTER: Okay. Okay. Well, I would like
14	us to get in touch with him because the thought of
15	it to mind was I'd like to see maybe a Friday night
16	charity event along the lines of the Cattle Baron's
17	Ball, things like that, to open up the weekend. Be
18	a grand opening.
19	It would be a charity event where, you know,
20	the admission tickets, you know, not being \$5
21	tickets, but being charity contributions, \$25, \$30,
22	\$40 for a specific charity. Doesn't necessarily
23	have to be localized. It could be a national

national like American Cancer Society was for the

1	But I had thought about it and talked about
2	it, and I'd like to see this board maybe move in
3	that direction to have a nice little event that
4	evening, which I would gladly participate in
5	helping organize it, to open up the weekend and
6	make it a grand weekend. Not just make it a
7	Saturday, Sunday come and see the airplanes go by.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I think that Craig would
9	definitely be open to talking to you about that,
10	but the air show already is set up to go towards
11	the wounded warrior's program and the United Way.
12	MR. WERTER: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So I think those are
14	already the two main designated charities, but I'm
15	sure he would like to talk to you about that.
16	MR. WERTER: Yeah. So just add on the
17	additional grand opening party with a band and hors
18	d'oeuvres and things like that.
19	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: You'll just need to get
20	with Craig on that one.
21	MR. WERTER: Yeah.
22	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: I don't know that we want
23	the Airport Authority taking on that liability.
24	MR. WERTER: Okay.

1	something that, you know, could definitely be
2	pursued to the benefit.
3	MR. WERTER: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: Anything else? I would
5	like to just close my comments with talking about
6	what a fabulous job I thought we had at the last
7	SAAPA meeting with retired Admiral Byron Fuller.
8	He was a true American hero and a delight to hear
9	his story. And I could have listened to him all
10	day everyday.
11	He spent time over in Vietnam, and the as
12	a as a prisoner of war, and he shared about his
13	experience. He also shared about his career in
14	naval aviation. And it was interesting because
15	it out in San Diego, they were marking the
16	hundredth anniversary of naval aviation out there
17	with an air show.
18	So it was kind of neat to be able to be here
19	and celebrate that by listening to such a
20	distinguished guest. So I wanted to mention that
21	and mention my appreciation for that coming out.
22	That was a true special event.
23	I also wanted to throw out an idea as far as
24	the air show that I had, and this is for you. Joe.

1	were very interested and didn't know much about the
2	air show. And you may want to invite Craig Fordham
3	to come and just give a quick talk about the air
4	show and what's coming up at the intergovernmental
5	meeting that happens in March, since you'll be
6	attending that on the Airport Authority's behalf.
7	So I would encourage you to follow up with Craig on
8	that, Joe.
9	And lastly, I love the business where the
10	business committee is headed and where the business
11	plan is headed. I know that they're two separate
12	things, but I'm very excited. And I want to thank
13	our volunteers. Each of you have a strong business
14	background, and I appreciate you-all donating your
15	time and participating in this.
16	And I'd just like to throw out to the board
17	that if a board member does want to sit in as a
18	silent person on one of the business committee
19	meetings, you can do so. Bob is our
20	representative, and it would be in a silent
21	capacity for the to make sure there's no
22	Sunshine violations.
23	MR. WERTER: Well, that's good to know because
24	when I showed up, they shooed me out.

24

1	then.
2	MR. WERTER: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So you can come and sit as
4	a silent but you cannot you cannot participate.
5	So our next
6	MR. COX: That's why we shooed you out.
7	MR. WERTER: Yes, I know.
8	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So our next meeting will be
9	our workshop, sustainability workshop here on March
10	7th at 4 p.m. We look forward to everybody's
11	participation.
12	MS. ANDERSEN: I thought it was at 2 o'clock.
13	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: We have 4:00 on the agenda.
14	Ed?
15	MR. WUELLNER: It's supposed to be 2. That's
16	my understanding.
17	MS. ANDERSEN: 2 o'clock, right?
18	MR. WUELLNER: For the 7th.
19	MR. YOUMAN: Yeah.
20	MR. COX: Monday afternoon at 2 o'clock.
21	CHAIRMAN BARRERA: So I stand corrected. It's
22	at 2 o'clock. I'm looking forward to that

presentation and all the board members should have

gotten their copy of the draft on that. Our then

	71
1	Meeting adjourned.
2	(Meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

next board meeting will be on March 21st at 4 p.m.

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
5	
6	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR, certify
7	that I was authorized to and did stenographically report
8	the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a
9	true record of my stenographic notes.
10	
11	Dated this 9th day of March, 2011.
12	
13	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, FPR
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	