	Airport Public Meeting - Sept. 11, 2000				
Page 1		Page 2			
[1]	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1]		INDEX	
[2]	Public Meeting	[2]		PAGE	
[3]	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[3]	1.	CALL TO ORDER 3	
[4]	St. Augustine, Florida	[4]	2.	OPENING REMARKS 3	
[5]	on Monday, September 11, 2000	[5]	3.	PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET 3	
[6]	from 5:01 p.m. to 5:23 p.m.	[6]	4.	PUBLIC COMMENT 12	
[7]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[7]	5.	DISCUSSION OF BUDGET 13	
[8]	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	[8]	6.	ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RATE 15	
[9]	JAMES CARL DAVIS, SR., Chairman	[9]	7.	ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2000-01 16	
[10]	JOSEPH S. TAYLOR CHARLES LASSITER	[10]	8.		
[11]	BILL ROSE	[11]	0,	ANNOUNCEMENT OF PERCENTAGE THAT PROPOSED 17 MILLAGE EXCEEDS ROLLED-BACK RATE	
[12]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		9.	SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING 19	
[13]	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:	[12]	10	ADJOURNMENT OF PUBLIC HEARING 19	
]	DENNIS WATTS IIM BRYANT County Commissioner/Airport Ligison	[13]			
[14]	JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison	[14]			
	[15] ************************************				
1	[16] ALSO PRESENT: [16]				
[17]	CINDY BARTIN, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,	[17]			
[18]	FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18]			
[19]	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E, Executive Director.	[19]			
[20]	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[20]			
[21]		[21]			
[22]	St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blyd., Suite A	[22]			
[23]	St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570	[23]			
[24]		[24]			
[25]		[25]			
Page 3		Page	4		
[1]	PROCEEDINGS	[1]		part, of the budget. I'll be able to address a	
[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and	[2]		couple of things that have gone on, and I'll	
[3]	gentlemen. Welcome to our budget hearing for the	[3]		cover that kind of last at the capital budget	
[4]	year fiscal year 2000-2001. This is our first	[4]		page here in a second, but you'll be able to see	
[5]	hearing on our budget, the first reading, and the	[5]		right away that what I've tried to do is	
[6]	presentations will be done and we will have our	[6]			
[7]			part-time basis. So I did look at trying to get		
[8]	have the presentation of the revised staff	[8]		a half position in there or someone to assist in	
[9]	budget. Mr. Wuellner.	[9]		the accounting side of the airport.	
[10]	PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET	[10]		It's a half-time position. It's not	
[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. You	[11]		anticipated to be anything other than that for	
[12]	have a copy, it was on your stand this afternoon.	[12]		the future, just somebody come in and help her	
[13]	The I will go over pretty much just the	[13]		out on a part-time basis, which is a net increase	
[14]	changes that have been made since the last time	[14]		in the budget of about \$11,000.	
[15]	we met.	[15]		It would not be a position where we'd pay	
[16]	What I've tried to do is we looked at items	[16]		medical benefits and the like. I think the I	
[17]	within the operating budget, personnel and	[17]		included the salary sheet it's about five, six	
[18]	capital, to be sure that the numbers were what	[18]		sheets back and this shows the half as a	
[19]	they ought to be, plus consider last-minute	[19]		budget impact of \$9,600 plus taxes and the like	
[20]	adjustments to grant programs as we know it,	[20]		and ended up about \$11,000 total.	
[21]	funding sources for various things and went back			· .	
[22]	and just kind of revisited those numbers within	[21]		The revenue side of the equation is	
[23]		[22]		generally the same. The only thing that's	
[24]	the budget. Generally the budget is very similar. What	[23]		increased is in the area of grant revenues, and	
[25]	Generally, the budget is very similar. What has increased is the capital side, for the most	[24]		that reflects an increase percentage share in	
[س]	and mercusco is the capital state, for the most	[25]		certain project elements within the capital	
L					

Page 5		Page 6	
[1]	budget.	[1]	the \$10,000 number. Those are the only
[2]	If my memory's correct, the difference is	[2]	adjustments made in the operating budget itself.
[3]	about \$500,000 total, with almost all of that	[3]	Going over to the next page, which would be
[4]	being grant-related revenues. So it's not a	[4]	the capital outlay, you'll see that the actual
[5]	local share of revenue; it's a grant-related	[5]	capital improvements line item, infrastructure
[6]	revenue, assuming our ability to match additional	[6]	and other assets line item is increased
[7]	funds.	[7]	approximately \$500,000 over last year or over the
[8]	Follow me through to the expenditures	[8]	first proposal, and the equipment line item has
[9]	budget, I'll just highlight what the adjustments	[9]	been increased from \$30,000 to \$42,500.
[10]	were made. Through personnel services, you can	[10]	And this reflects the state contract amount
[11]	see that the total is increased about \$11,000,	[11]	for one of those boom-type mowers. It's now
[12]	and that reflects that half a position again.	[12]	reflected in the budget and you'll see a capital
[13]	We corrected the anomalies now that we know	[13]	equipment line item for that a sheet for that.
[14]	more about what next year's anticipated	[14]	I think it's back about two sheets further,
[15]	expenditures would be related to the multimodal	[15]	You'll see this described as a soil mower and a
[16]	facility, which was the unknown that we were	[16]	trailer. The two items total \$42,500. The
[17]	somewhat hedging on the professional services	[17]	previous budget submittal had three \$10,000 items
[18]	engineering, professional services general line	[18]	with no backup there, just simply holding a
[19]	items within the operating budget.	[19]	value.
[20]	Those items were brought back down to be	[20]	
[21]	more consistent with what we've done in the past	[21]	Since that time, we've been able to research
[22]	few years, both of them brought down to \$10,000.		it off the state contracts, the State of
[23]	•	[22]	Florida's purchasing contract, and those numbers
	They were higher. I think \$30- or \$40,000 each	[23]	are consistent with what's on the bid or what's
[24]	is what they had been in the first budget	[24]	been bid by the State of Florida and that we can
[25]	presentation. They've been brought back down to	[25]	use without developing our own proprietary specs.
Page 7		Page 8	
[1]	The majority of the adjustments were made in	[1]	\$425,000 to \$900,000. This was for two reasons.
[2]		1 ' '	, , , ,
1 1 2	the capital projects and grants page, which is	[2]	Two things have occurred.
1		[2] [3]	Two things have occurred. Since we last met. SK Logistics has
[3]	the last page. And most line items have been	[3]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has
[3] [4]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent.	[3] [4]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to
[3] [4] [5]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because	[3] [4] [5]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a
[3] [4] [5] [6]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent.	[3] [4] [5] [6]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current,
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to,	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed — reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed — reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with what's completed at the FBO. There's no	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two separate things affected where we went with that number.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with what's completed at the FBO. There's no surprises here. We've just simply taken the	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two separate things affected where we went with that number. South Hangar Phase III, we adjusted the
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with what's completed at the FBO. There's no surprises here. We've just simply taken the capital portion of it, the construction value of	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two separate things affected where we went with that number. South Hangar Phase III, we adjusted the number to reflect what the grant since the
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with what's completed at the FBO. There's no surprises here. We've just simply taken the capital portion of it, the construction value of what we're planning, and left the engineering in	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two separate things affected where we went with that number. South Hangar Phase III, we adjusted the number to reflect what the grant since the original budget preparation, a grant came
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with what's completed at the FBO. There's no surprises here. We've just simply taken the capital portion of it, the construction value of what we're planning, and left the engineering in place.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two separate things affected where we went with that number. South Hangar Phase III, we adjusted the number to reflect what the grant since the original budget preparation, a grant came through, as you recall last month, and that
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the last page. And most line items have been adjusted to some extent. The rehabilitation, the FBO apron, because of the timing of the construction project in the FBO area, we have changed reduced that line item for the next fiscal year from \$120,000 to, excuse me, to \$20,000, which is just basically reflecting engineering being developed toward the end of next fiscal year. Since the construction of the terminal is going to be is likely to be toward the end I should say completed toward the end of next summer, or an even a little later by the time it's all completed, we had already discussed with the FBO months ago about the phasing of any apron rehabilitation in that area to be consistent with what's completed at the FBO. There's no surprises here. We've just simply taken the capital portion of it, the construction value of what we're planning, and left the engineering in	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Since we last met, SK Logistics has indicated they want I'll back up trying to explain. The first the tenant we had a corporate hangar proposed for in this current, this coming year has agreed to take the current hangar that SK Logistics is in. SK Logistics needs more hangar space, more corporate space to the tune of more than doubling what was built for them and occupied by them back in June. In addition to that, we've been approached by another corporate tenant in the Eastside Corporate area about expanding their existing building. Regency Electric would like to add another 50 feet of depth to that hangar. That's included in this number, also. So, two separate things affected where we went with that number. South Hangar Phase III, we adjusted the number to reflect what the grant since the original budget preparation, a grant came

Page 9		Page 10	
[1]	related to Taxiway B has remained the same.	[1]	meeting, you'll have the hopefully the grant
[2]	Commercial hangar facility, again, has been	[2]	as well as the engineering agreement study the
[3]	reduced to simply engineering at this point.	[3]	proposal from the consultant to conduct the study
[4]	Because that hangar, as it was proposed, is to go	[4]	for it. But those are those are actual
[5]	in the infrastructure developed in the Phase III	[5]	numbers now, versus guesses that were going on
[6]	hangar area, that project will not even be	[6]	before that.
[7]	completed until, again, next summer at the	[7]	Terminal area improvements is identical to
[8]	earliest,	[8]	the last time. The extension Taxiway B-2 to D
[9]	As such, it didn't make much sense to hold	[9]	reflects the revised engineering. Now that
[10]	the building before you could get the or	[10]	that's about 90 percent complete, I'm being told
[11]	commit the dollars to the building, so we've	[11]	by FAA that that will fund next year through
[12]	adjusted the production schedule, if you will, of	[12]	their grant program. So it's a 95/5 type grant
[13]	that building to reflect just the engineering at	[13]	project, but it's been revised to \$1.8 million.
[14]	this point. So that the building itself would be	[14]	Now this constructs from the north terminus
[15]	the next year's budget item,	[15]	of Taxiway B to D, so it basically fills the
[16]	Airfield vault and generator, again, this is	[16]	infield area. This is not a phase that extends
[17]			·
[18]	just reflecting the grant change isn't a grant change, but what is actually in the grant. It's	[17] [18]	out into the area that we've been discussing environmentally.
1		l	
[19]	an increase of \$125,000 in the total project.	[19]	See here. Airfield drainage remains the
[20]	Multimodal facility, since it's an	[20]	same. Property acquisition oh, I did want to
[21]	adjustment of \$1,000 there, is all that's been	[21]	make one note on the kind of walked right over
[22]	done, but that's based now on a study design	[22]	it.
[23]	that's been developed and is working its way	[23]	Environmental assessment, it's a 95/5. It's
[24]	through FDOT now for review. Once they concur in	[24]	an FAA-funded project. This is proposed to
[25]	that, we're optimistic that this September	[25]	use for the first time, FAA's proposed and
Page 11			
1 age 11		Page 12	
[1]	Congress approved an entitlement for general	Page 12	grant in total grant projects over previous
1 -	Congress approved an entitlement for general aviation airports, and this was our proposal to	_	grant in total grant projects over previous the previous year.
[1]		[1]	
[1] [2]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to	[1] [2]	the previous year.
[1] [2] [3]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was	[1] [2] [3]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of
[1] [2] [3] [4]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of	[1] [2] [3] [4]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional acquisition anywhere we need it related to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is public comment on it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional acquisition anywhere we need it related to airport expansion. They just freed up some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is public comment on it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on our
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional acquisition anywhere we need it related to airport expansion. They just freed up some language within the grants or their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is public comment on it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on our budget, please? Mr. Ciriello?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional acquisition anywhere we need it related to airport expansion. They just freed up some language within the grants or their interpretation of language.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is public comment on it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on our budget, please? Mr. Ciriello? PUBLIC COMMENT
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional acquisition anywhere we need it related to airport expansion. They just freed up some language within the grants or their interpretation of language. As such, we were able we increased the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is public comment on it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on our budget, please? Mr. Ciriello? PUBLIC COMMENT MR. CIRIELLO: Not a comment, just a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	aviation airports, and this was our proposal to use the \$150,000 entitlement at the airport, was to conduct the EA. I just want to make note of that, the entitlement program. We're down to property. We adjusted the St. Johns Industrial Park. It's been difficult identifying owners who are willing to sell pieces and parts in there. Realistically, we've been able to spend \$200,000 in less than a year and have just simply looked at committing about \$200,000 next year to that. So that's an adjustment downward. FDOT has indicated that our land acquisition grants are more generic in nature than we've been applying them to projects and as such, we are we have the funds available to do additional acquisition anywhere we need it related to airport expansion. They just freed up some language within the grants or their interpretation of language. As such, we were able we increased the amount actually going in the Araquay Park area	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	the previous year. You can see that the local budget share of that is \$2.7 2.727, which is about \$500,000 in excess of what the ad valorem receipts are proposed for next year. The majority of that is a reflection of projects that were budgeted last year being carried forward into next year. So, that's pretty straightforward there. And in a nutshell, that's where it is. The total total proposed budget, as revised, is \$10,907,371, and that does reflect a millage rate of .28 mills, which is the same millage that was assessed last year and for the last several years. Be happy to try and deal with any questions you might have, although I think the next item is public comment on it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on our budget, please? Mr. Ciriello? PUBLIC COMMENT MR. CIRIELLO: Not a comment, just a clarification for me. Under the on this last

	Page 13		Page 14	
	[1]	total cost \$175,000, the old sheet says the same,	[1]	Mr. Lassiter?
	[2]	the FAA share of \$157,5- is the same. FDOT 5	[2]	MR. LASSITER: I think I was the one who
Ì	[3]	percent, \$8,700. And on the new sheet, says	[3]	last hearing had asked Ed to do a little
	[4]	local budget \$8,750, but on the old sheet says	[4]	explaining and maybe a little hard looking. I
١	[5]	\$175,000.	[5]	met with Ed and we we went over these numbers
	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It was an error in	[6]	and talked in general terms, also. And I, too,
ĺ	[7]	the spreadsheet from the first time. I think it	[7]	am at this time satisfied with the budget
	[8]	was pointed out at the last budget hearing.	[8]	numbers.
	[9]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. So this is the	[9]	Just to comment, I think one of the telling
ı	[10]	correct.	[10]	numbers that Ed made me aware of is that when we
	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.	[11]	went in for the tower, to hit the federal level
	[12]	MR. CIRIELLO: All right, Thank you,	[12]	for the tower was at a point to where we are now
١	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.	[13]	four times that, and Ed explained to me that
-	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone else?	[14]	we're playing catchup on a lot of these capital
	[15]	(No further public comment.)	[15]	items in there.
	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen? Mr. Taylor?	[16]	When you get a budget that's this leveraged
	[17]	DISCUSSION OF BUDGET	[17]	to our benefit and try to correct the problems of
	[18]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, when I looked at	[18]	the past, shall we say the sins of the past, in
	[19]	the fact that we can collect about \$2,290,000 and	[19]	not paying attention to the infrastructure and
ı	[20]	add from funds on hand and interest and operating	[20]	the needs of the aviation community, I'm now
	[21]	revenues another \$437,000 and make 9 million	[21]	happily saying that I agree with the fact that
	[22]	dollars worth of improvements to this airport, I	[22]	this is an excellent budget, and I'm satisfied
-	[23]	am comfortable where we are, and I think that we	[23]	with it.
	[24]	have a good budget.	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.
	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor.	[25]	Mr. Rose?
ŀ	VII2004-20-VIIVIIVII.			
	Page 15		Page 16	
l	Page 15 [1]	MR. ROSE: I have no comment.	Page 16	fiscal year 2000-2001,
- 1	_	MR. ROSE: I have no comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can	_	fiscal year 2000-2001. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.
	[1]		[1]	-
	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.
-	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from,	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second?
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion?
The forest terms of the first te	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.)
- Marian Albania	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in
Military and the second	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.
MATERIAL STATE OF THE STATE OF	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
Market and the second of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.
Military Co.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
The second secon	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your staff have done a fantastic job of putting this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have adopted the same millage that we have carried for
MASSACE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your staff have done a fantastic job of putting this budget together, and we thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have adopted the same millage that we have carried for the last three years.
MASSACE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your staff have done a fantastic job of putting this budget together, and we thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have adopted the same millage that we have carried for the last three years. ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2000-01 BUDGET
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your staff have done a fantastic job of putting this budget together, and we thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next item is the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have adopted the same millage that we have carried for the last three years. ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2000-01 BUDGET CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Adoption of tentative
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your staff have done a fantastic job of putting this budget together, and we thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next item is the adoption of the millage rate.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have adopted the same millage that we have carried for the last three years. ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2000-01 BUDGET CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Adoption of tentative 2000-2001 budget.
White section is a section of the se	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have just one, and I can understand where you're coming from, Mr. Lassiter. Looking back at the infrastructure when we first started a few years back was, for lack of a better word, deplorable. We had hangars that the doors were endangering pilots' lives as well as multimillion dollar aircraft. We have come a long way in biting this bullet to get this budget to where it is. And I agree with you; I am happy with what has been put forth. And we all have always had the philosophy that we agree to disagree, and a lot of times we have disagreed with things that have come up, but for my part, and I know I probably speak for the rest of the board, Mr. Wuellner, you and your staff have done a fantastic job of putting this budget together, and we thank you. MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our next item is the adoption of the millage rate. ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RATE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Do I have a second? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I'll entertain a vote for accepting the millage rate as prescribed by staff at .0 0.2800. All in favor, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have adopted the same millage that we have carried for the last three years. ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2000-01 BUDGET CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Adoption of tentative 2000-2001 budget. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I so-move.

	Page 17		Page	. 18
ı	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All		CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The announcement of the
	[2]	in favor of adopting the tentative 2000	[2]	percentage that proposed millage exceeds the
1	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: If I could, I think you need	[3]	rolled-back rate, Mr. Wuellner?
- 1	[4]	to reference either the staff number or read the	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. As a result of
- 1	[5]	number into the record. I think it just needs to	[5]	your actions, the percentage change, percentage
	[6]	be real clear.	[6]	increase in the rolled-back rate as calculated
	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Item on the agenda number 7	[7]	would equal 8.57 percent, and that will be the
- 1	[8]	is the adoption of the tentative budget for	[8]	number used in the advertising associated with
	[9]	2000-2001.	[9]	the final public hearing in the matter of the
- 1	[10]	MR. TAYLOR: As presented,	[10]	budget.
- 1	- [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: As presented by staff. Any	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, sir.
- 1	[12]	questions?	[12]	Mr. Lassiter,
- 1	[13]	(No questions.)	[13]	MR. LASSITER: I make a motion that we
- 1	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Since it has been moved and	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't think it's just
	[15]	seconded, I'll now entertain a vote for accepting	[15]	simply got to be announced. That's what we've
- 1	[16]	or adopting the tentative budget. All in favor,	[16]	done. I think we
- 1	[17]	signify by aye.	[17]	MR. LASSITER: I see. The numbers speak for
- 1	[18]	MR. ROSE: Aye.	[18]	themselves, then.
	[19]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. You'll have more
	[20]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[20]	formal action through the form of resolutions at
- [1	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	[21]	the final budget.
	[22]	(No opposition.)	[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Before I set the date for
H	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen,	[23]	the final budget hearing, is there any other
	[24]	you have adopted the tentative budget.	[24]	public comment?
	[25]	ANNOUNCEMENT OF EXCEEDING ROLLED-BACK RATE	[25]	(No public comment.)
	and the second s			
- 1.	Page 19		Page	
[1]	SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING	[1]	20 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the	[1] [2]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
	1] 2] 3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday,	[1] [2] [3]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA)
	1] 2] 3] 4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
]]]]]]]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.
]]]]]]]]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 11] 12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 111] 12] 13] 14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 111] 12] 13] 14] 15] (**	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (**	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (** (**) (**)	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (*1) 16] 17] 18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (** (**) (**) 18] 19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 111] 12] 13] 14] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (*1) 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] ('1) 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (*1) 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, the setting date for the final hearing is Monday, September 21st. MR. WUELLNER: 25th. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, 25th at 5:01. That is also our regular meeting date. If our meeting agenda goes over, we will suspend our meeting until after the 5:01 hearing and then go back to any item that was done. Does anyone have anything else? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then, gentlemen, ladies, thank you very much. This meeting is adjourned.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 14th day of September, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710

A Maria	ort Public Meeting - Sept. 11, 2000	
		based 9/22
\$	6	basis 4/7, 4/13
\$1,000 9/21	6 2/8	BEASON 20/6 benefit 14/17
\$1.8 10/13 \$10,000 5/22, 6/1, 6/17		benefits 4/16
\$10,907,371 12/12	7	bid 6/23, 6/24
\$11,000 4/14, 4/20, 5/11 \$120,000 7/9	7 2/9, 17/7	BILL 1/10 biting 15/10
\$120,000 7/8 \$125,000 9/19		Blvd 1/22
\$150,000 11/3	8	BOARD 1/8, 1/12, 15/17
\$157,5 13/2 \$175,000 13/1, 13/5	8 2/10	boom-type 6/11 brought 5/20, 5/22, 5/25
\$2,290,000 13/19	8.57 18/7	BRYAN 1/20
\$2.7 12/4	825-0570 1/23	BRYANT 1/14 RUDGET 2/5 2/7 2/11 2/2 2/5 2/9 2/10 2/17
\$20,000 7/9 \$200,000 11/10, 11/12		BUDGET 2/5, 2/7, 2/11, 3/3, 3/5, 3/9, 3/10, 3/17, 3/23, 3/24, 4/1, 4/3, 4/14, 4/19, 5/1, 5/9, 5/19, 5/24,
\$30 5/23	9	6/2, 6/12, 6/17, 8/22, 9/15, 12/3, 12/11, 12/20, 13/4,
\$30,000 6/9	9 2/11, 13/21	13/8, 13/17, 13/24, 14/7, 14/16, 14/22, 15/11, 15/19, 16/20, 16/22, 17/8, 17/16, 17/24, 18/10, 18/21,
\$40,000 5/23 \$42,500 6/9, 6/16	90 10/10 904 1/23	18/23, 19/1
\$425,000 8/1	95/5 10/12, 10/23	budgeted 12/7
\$437,000 13/21 \$500,000 5/2 6/7 11/24 12/4		building 8/15, 9/10, 9/11, 9/13, 9/14 built 8/11
\$500,000 5/3, 6/7, 11/24, 12/4 \$501,000 11/25	A	bullet 15/11
\$700,000	A.A.E 1/19	
\$8,700 13/3 \$8,750 13/4	ability 5/6	C
\$9,600 4/19	ABSENT 1/12 accepting 16/9, 17/15	calculated 18/6
\$900,000 8/1	accounting 4/9	CALL 2/3
	acquisition 10/20, 11/14, 11/18	came 8/22
&	action 18/20 actions 18/5	capital 3/18, 3/25, 4/3, 4/25, 6/4, 6/5, 6/12, 7/2, 7/21, 14/14
& 1/17	add 8/16, 13/20	CARL 1/9
	address 4/1	carried 12/8, 16/18 catchup 14/14
*	adjourned 19/14, 19/15 ADJOURNMENT 2/12	CERTIFICATE 20/1
* 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21	adjusted 7/4, 8/20, 9/12, 11/6	certify 20/6
	adjustment 9/21, 11/13 adjustments 3/20, 5/9, 6/2, 7/1	Chairman 1/9, 3/2, 12/19, 13/14, 13/16, 13/25, 14/24, 15/2, 15/21, 16/2, 16/5, 16/8, 16/15, 16/17,
0	adopt 15/25	16/21, 16/24, 17/1, 17/7, 17/11, 17/14, 17/21, 17/23,
0 16/10	adopted 16/18, 17/24	18/1, 18/11, 18/22, 19/2, 19/6, 19/13 change 9/17, 9/18, 18/5
0.2800	adopting 17/2, 17/16 ADOPTION 2/8, 2/9, 15/22, 15/23, 16/20, 16/21,	changed 7/7
	17/8	changes 3/14
1	advertising 18/8 affected 8/18	CHARLES 1/10 CINDY 1/17
1 1/3, 2/3	afternoon 3/2, 3/12	clarification 12/23
10 2/12	agenda 17/7, 19/8	clear 17/6
11	agree 14/21, 15/12, 15/14 agreed 8/7	collect 13/19 comfortable 13/23
13 2/7	agreement 10/2	COMMENT 2/6, 12/18, 12/19, 12/21, 12/22,
14th 20/11	aircraft 15/9	13/15, 14/9, 15/1, 18/24, 18/25 Commercial 9/2
15 2/8 1510 1/22	Airfield 9/16, 10/19 AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 4/9, 11/3, 11/19, 13/22	Commissioner/Airport 1/14
16 2/9	airports 11/2	commit 9/11
17 2/10	amount 6/10, 11/23	committing 11/11 community 14/20
170 1/17 19 2/11, 2/12	announced 18/15 ANNOUNCEMENT 2/10, 17/25, 18/1	complete 10/10
	anomalies 5/13	completed 7/14, 7/16, 7/19, 9/7
2	anticipated 4/11, 5/14 applying 11/16	concur 9/24 conduct 10/3, 11/4
2 2/4	approached 8/13	Congress 11/1
2.727 12/4	approved 11/1	consistent 5/21, 6/23, 7/18
2000 1/5, 17/2, 20/11	apron 7/5, 7/17 Araquay 11/23	construction 7/6, 7/12, 7/21 constructs 10/14
2000-01 2/9, 16/20 2000-2001 3/4, 16/1, 16/22, 17/9	area 4/23, 7/7, 7/18, 8/14, 9/6, 10/7, 10/16, 10/17,	consultant 10/3
21st 19/4	11/23	contract 6/10, 6/22 contracts 6/21
25th 19/5, 19/6	assessed 12/14 assessment 8/25, 10/23, 12/25	COOPER 1/20
28 12/13 2800 15/25	assets 6/6	copy 3/12
	assist 4/8	Corporate 7/25, 8/6, 8/10, 8/13, 8/14 correct 5/2, 13/6, 13/10, 14/17, 18/19
3	Assistant 1/20 associated 18/8	corrected 5/13
3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5	attention 14/19	COST 13/1
32084 1/18, 1/23	Attorney 1/18 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23	COUNTY 1/1, 1/14, 20/4 couple 4/2
	AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18	Court 1/22
4	authorized 20/7	cover 4/3 CRR 20/6
4 2/6	available 8/24, 11/17 aviation 11/2, 14/20	CASAS AU/U
400 11/24	aviation 11/2, 14/20 aye 16/11, 16/12, 16/13, 16/14, 16/15, 17/17,	D
4796 1/3	17/18, 17/19, 17/20, 17/21	
		DATE 2/11, 18/22, 19/1, 19/3, 19/7 Dated 20/11
5	В	DAVIS 1/9, 3/2, 12/19, 13/14, 13/16, 13/25,
5 2/7, 13/2	B-2 10/8	14/24, 15/2, 15/21, 16/2, 16/5, 16/8, 16/15, 16/17,
50 8/16 5:01 1/6, 19/6, 19/9	backup 6/18	16/21, 16/24, 17/1, 17/7, 17/11, 17/14, 17/21, 17/23, 18/1, 18/11, 18/22, 19/2, 19/6, 19/13
5:23 1/6, 19/15	Bailey 1/17 BARTIN	day 20/11
-		

de 1/22
deal 12/16
DENNIS 1/13
deplorable 15/7
depth 8/16
described 6/15
design 9/22
developed 7/10, 9/5, 9/23
developing 6/25
difference 5/2
difficult 11/7
Director 1/19, 1/20
disagree 15/14
disagreed 15/15
discussed 7/16
discussing 10/17
DISCUSSION 2/7, 13/17, 16/6, 16/7
dollar 15/9
dollars 9/11, 13/22
doors 15/8
doubling 8/10
drainage 10/19

E

EA 11/4 Eastside 7/25, 8/14 Ed 12/24, 14/3, 14/5, 14/10, 14/13 EDWARD 1/19 Electric 8/15 elements 4/25 end 7/11, 7/13, 7/14 endangering 15/8 ended 4/20 engineering 5/18, 7/10, 7/22, 9/3, 9/13, 10/2, 10/9 entertain 16/9, 17/15 entitlement 11/1, 11/3, 11/5 environmental 8/25, 10/23, 12/25 environmentally 10/18 equal 18/7 equation 4/21 equipment 6/8, 6/13 error 13/6 Esquire 1/17 **EXCEEDING 17/25** EXCEEDS 2/10, 18/2 excess 12/5 excuse 7/9, 19/6 Executive 1/19 existing 8/15 expanding 8/14 expansion 11/19 expenditures 5/8, 5/15 experiment 12/25 extends 10/16 extension 10/8

F

FAA 10/11, 13/2 FAA's 10/25 FAA-funded 10/24 facility 5/16, 9/2, 9/20 fact 13/19, 14/21 fantastic 15/18 favor 16/11, 17/2, 17/16 FBO 7/5, 7/7, 7/17, 7/19 FDOT 9/24, 11/14, 13/2 federal 14/11 feet 8/16 fills 10/15 fiscal 3/4, 7/8, 7/11, 16/1 five 4/17 FL 1/18, 1/23 Florida 1/4, 6/24, 20/3 Florida's 6/22 Follow 5/8 form 18/20 formal four 14/13 freed 11/19 fund 10/11 funding 3/21 funds 5/7, 11/17, 13/20 future 4/12 FY 2/9, 16/20

Gay 1/17 generator 9/16 generic 11/15 gentlemen 3/3, 13/16, 16/8, 17/23, 19/2, 19/13 grant 3/20, 4/23, 8/21, 8/22, 8/25, 9/17, 9/18, 10/1, 10/12, 12/1 grant-related 5/4, 5/5 grants 7/2, 11/15, 11/20 guesses 10/5

H

half 4/8, 4/18, 5/12
half-time 4/10
hand 13/20
hangar 7/25, 8/6, 8/8, 8/9, 8/16, 8/20, 9/2, 9/4, 9/6
hangars 15/7
happily 14/21
happy 12/16, 15/12
hard 14/4
hedging 5/17
held 1/3
help 4/6, 4/12
higher 5/23
highlight 5/9
hit 14/11
hold 9/9
holding 6/18

1

identical 10/7
identifying 11/8
III 8/20, 9/5
ILS 7/24
impact 4/19
improvements 6/5, 10/7, 13/22
increase 4/6, 4/13, 4/24, 9/19, 11/25, 18/6
increased 3/25, 4/23, 5/11, 6/6, 6/9, 7/25, 11/22
indicated 8/4, 11/14
Industrial 11/7
infield 10/16
infrastructure 6/5, 9/5, 14/19, 15/5
interest 13/20
interpretation 11/21
item 6/5, 6/6, 6/8, 6/13, 7/8, 9/15, 12/17, 15/21, 17/7, 19/10
items 3/16, 5/19, 5/20, 6/16, 6/17, 7/3, 14/15

J

JAMES 1/9 JANET 20/6 JIM 1/14 job 15/18 JOHNS 1/1, 11/7, 20/4 Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/9 June 8/12

L

lack 15/6
ladies 3/2, 19/13
land 11/14
language 11/20, 11/21
LASSITER 1/10
last-minute 3/19
later 7/15
left 7/22
Leon 1/22
level 14/11
leveraged 14/16
Liaison 1/14
line 5/18, 6/5, 6/6, 6/8, 6/13, 7/3, 7/7
little 7/15, 14/3, 14/4
lives 15/8
local 5/5, 12/3, 13/4
Logistics 8/3, 8/8, 8/9

M

majority 7/1, 12/6 Malaga 1/17 match 5/6 matter 18/9 medical 4/16 Meeting 1/2, 10/1, 19/7, 19/8, 19/9, 19/14, 19/15 MEMBERS 1/8, 1/12 memory's 5/2 met 3/15, 8/3, 14/5 MILLAGE 2/8, 2/10, 12/13, 12/14, 15/22, 15/23, 15/25, 16/9, 16/18, 18/2 million 10/13, 13/21 mills 12/13, 15/25 Monday 1/5, 19/3 month 8/23 months 7/17 motion 18/13 move 15/24 moved 17/14 mower 6/15 mowers 6/11 Mr. Chairman 13/18, 15/24, 16/23 Mr. Ciriello 12/20, 12/22, 13/9, 13/12 Mr. Lassiter 14/1, 14/2, 14/24, 15/4, 15/24, 16/2, 16/14, 17/20, 18/12, 18/13, 18/17 Mr. Rose 14/25, 15/1, 16/12, 16/23, 16/24, 17/18 Mr. Taylor 13/16, 13/18, 13/25, 16/4, 16/5, 16/13, 16/25, 17/1, 17/10, 17/19 Mr. Wuellner 3/9, 3/11, 13/6, 13/11, 13/13, 15/17, 15/20, 17/3, 18/3, 18/4, 18/14, 18/19, 19/5 multimillion 15/9 multimodal 5/15, 9/20

N

nature 11/15
need 11/18, 17/3
needs 8/9, 14/20, 17/5
net 4/13
new 13/3
North 1/3, 10/14
note 10/21, 11/4
notes 20/9
number 6/1, 8/17, 8/19, 8/21, 8/24, 17/4, 17/5, 17/7, 18/8
numbers 3/18, 3/22, 6/22, 10/5, 14/5, 14/8, 14/10, 18/17
nutshell 12/10

0

occupied 8/11 old 13/1, 13/4 OPENING 2/4 operating 3/17, 5/19, 6/2, 13/20 opposed 16/15, 17/21 opposition 16/16, 17/22 optimistic 9/25 ORDER 2/3 original 8/22 outlay 6/4 owners 11/8

Р

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 19/15 Park 11/7, 11/23 part 4/1, 15/16 part-time 4/7, 4/13 parts 11/9 Pat 4/6 pay 4/15 paying 14/19 PERCENTAGE 2/10, 4/24, 18/2, 18/5 personnel 3/17, 5/10 Phase 8/20, 9/5, 10/16 phasing 7/17 philosophy 15/13 pieces 11/8 pilots' 15/8 place 7/23 planning 7/22 playing 14/14 plus 3/19, 4/19

point 9/3, 9/14, 14/12

pointed 13/8 Ponce 1/22 portion 7/21 position 4/8, 4/10, 4/15, 5/12 preparation 8/22 prescribed 16/10 PRESENTATION 2/5, 3/8, 3/10, 5/25 presentations 3/6 presented 17/10, 17/11 pretty 3/13, 12/9 problems 14/17 proceedings 20/8 production 9/12 professional 5/17, 5/18 program 10/12, 11/5 programs 3/20 project 4/25, 7/6, 9/6, 9/19, 10/13, 10/24 projects 7/2, 11/16, 12/1, 12/7 Property 10/20, 11/6 proposal 6/8, 10/3, 11/2 PRÔPOSED 2/10, 8/6, 9/4, 10/24, 10/25, 12/6, 12/11, 18/2 proprietary 6/25 Public 1/2, 2/6, 2/12, 12/18, 12/19, 12/21, 13/15, 18/9, 18/24, 18/25 purchasing 6/22 put 15/12 putting 15/18

Q

questions 12/16, 17/12, 17/13

R RATE 2/8, 2/10, 12/13, 15/22, 15/23, 15/25, 16/9, 17/25, 18/3, 18/6 read 17/4 reading 3/5, 3/7 reasons 8/1 recall 8/23 receipts 12/5 record 17/5, 20/9 reduced 7/7, 9/3 reference 17/4 reflect 8/21, 9/13, 12/12 reflected 6/12 reflecting 7/10, 9/17 reflection 12/7 reflects 4/24, 5/12, 6/10, 8/24, 10/9 Regency 8/15 regular 19/7 rehabilitation 7/5, 7/18 related 5/15, 9/1, 11/18 remain 7/24 remained 9/1 remains 10/19 REMARKS 2/4 report 20/7 RÉPORTER'S 20/1 Reporters 1/22 research 6/20 resolutions 18/20 rest 15/17 result 18/4 revenue 4/21, 5/5, 5/6 revenues 4/23, 5/4, 13/21 review 9/24 REVISED 2/5, 3/8, 3/10, 10/9, 10/13, 12/12 revisited 3/22 RMR 20/6 Rogers 1/17 ROLLED-BACK 2/10, 17/25, 18/3, 18/6 ROSE 1/10 RPR-CP 20/6

S

salary 4/17 satisfied 14/7, 14/22 schedule 9/12 second 3/7, 4/4, 16/3, 16/4, 16/25 seconded 17/15 sell 11/8 sense 9/9 separate 8/18 September 1/5, 3/7, 9/25, 19/4, 20/11 services 5/10, 5/17, 5/18

runway 12/25

set 18/22 SETTING 2/11, 19/1, 19/3 share 4/24, 5/5, 12/3, 13/2 sheet 4/17, 6/13, 13/1, 13/3, 13/4 sheets 4/18, 6/14 shows 4/18 side 3/25, 4/9, 4/21 signify 16/11, 17/17 sins 14/18 six 4/17 SK 8/3, 8/8, 8/9 so-move 16/23 soil 6/15 sources 3/21 South 8/20 space 8/9, 8/10 specs 6/25 spend 11/10 spreadsheet 13/7 STAFF 2/5, 3/8, 3/10, 15/18, 16/10, 17/4, 17/11 stand 3/12 started 15/6 state 6/10, 6/21, 6/24, 20/3 stenographic 20/9 stenographically 20/7 Street 1/17 study 9/22, 10/2, 10/3 submittal 6/17 Suite 1/22 summer 7/15, 9/7 surprises 7/20

T

talked 14/6 taxes 4/19 Taxiway 9/1, 10/8, 10/15 TAYLOR 1/9 tenant 8/5, 8/13 TENTATIVE 2/9, 16/20, 16/21, 17/2, 17/8, 17/16, 17/24 terminal 7/12, 10/7 terminus 10/14 terms 14/6 Thank 3/11, 13/12, 13/13, 13/25, 14/24, 15/19, 15/20, 16/2, 16/5, 17/1, 18/11, 19/14 They've 5/25 three 6/17, 16/19 time 3/14, 6/20, 7/15, 10/8, 10/25, 13/7, 14/7 times 14/13, 15/14 timing 7/6 tower 7/24, 14/11, 14/12 Towers 1/17 trailer 6/16 transcript 20/8 true tune 8/10 two 6/14, 6/16, 8/1, 8/2, 8/17 type 10/12

U

U.S 1/3 unknown 5/16

suspend 19/8

\mathbf{v}

valorem 12/5 value 6/19, 7/21 vault 9/16 vote 16/9, 16/17, 17/15, 17/23

W

walked 10/21 WATTS 1/13 Welcome 3/3 willing 11/8 word 15/7 working 9/23 worth 13/22 WUELLNER 1/19

X

X 2/1

Y

year 3/4, 6/7, 7/8, 7/11, 8/7, 10/11, 11/10, 11/12, 12/2, 12/6, 12/8, 12/14, 16/1 year's 5/14, 9/15 years 5/22, 12/15, 15/6, 16/19