		March 19, 2001
	Page 1	Page 2
	[ 1] ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1] INDEX
	[2] Regular Meeting	[2] PAGE
g <sup>j</sup>	[3] held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	
	[4] St. Augustine, Florida	
		[4] 3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 3
		[5] 4. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS 4
		[6] 5. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA 4
	[7] ************************************	[7] 6. REPORTS:
	[8] BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	[8] A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commissioner 4 B. Mr. Michael Slingluff - Aero Sport, Inc. 5
	[ 9] WILLIAM "BILL" ROSE, Chairman CHARLES LASSITER	[ 9] C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 5 D. Mr. Wayne George - S.A.P.A. 5
	[10] JOSEPH CIRIELLO JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison	[10] E. Ms. Cindy Bartin - Attorney 6
	[11]	[11] 7. ACTION ITEMS
	[12] BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:	[12] A. Revised copies of Strategic Plan 6 B. Counter Lease - Aero Sport 9
	[13] DENNIS R. WATTS, Secretary-Treasurer	[13] C. Terminal Phase II Contract 11 D. Capital Projects Priority Presentation 14
	[14] BARBARA BOSANKO (Leave of absence)	[14] a. St. Augustine Pilots Association 53
	[15] * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[15] 8. ADJOURNMENT 67
	[16] ALSO PRESENT:	[16]
	[17] CINDY BARTIN, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,	[17]
	[18] FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18]
	[19] EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.	[19]
	[20] BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[20]
	[21] * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[21]
	[22] St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A	[22]
١	[23] St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570	[23]
	[24]	[24]
	[25]	[25]
ļ		
١	Page 3	Page 4
	[1] PROCEEDINGS	[1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, if there's a problem,
	[1] PROCEEDINGS  [2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now	[1] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Now, if there's a problem, [2] then we'll, you know
- 1		i "
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now	[ 2] then we'll, you know
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is	[ 2] then we'll, you know [ 3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [ 4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag.	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.)	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna,	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready?	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those?
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready.	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right.	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there  [8] anything we need to know about those?  [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,  [10] no.
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there  [8] anything we need to know about those?  [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,  [10] no.  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll  [12] accept the financial reports as they were  [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some  [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed?	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up [15] then. [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.)	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there  [8] anything we need to know about those?  [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,  [10] no.  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll  [12] accept the financial reports as they were  [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some  [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up  [15] then.  [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA  [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up [15] then. [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda?
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion to accept. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll the minutes are	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up [15] then. [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda? [19] (No changes or additions.)
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion to accept. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll the minutes are	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up [15] then. [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda? [19] (No changes or additions.) [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. If not, the agenda
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion to accept. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll the minutes are [20] approved as they were distributed. Joe, under [21] our new rules, we don't need a motion.	[2] then we'll, you know [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right. [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports, [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there [8] anything we need to know about those? [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late, [10] no. [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll [12] accept the financial reports as they were [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up [15] then. [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda? [19] (No changes or additions.) [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. If not, the agenda [21] stands approved as it was distributed. We'll
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion to accept. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll the minutes are [20] approved as they were distributed. Joe, under [21] our new rules, we don't need a motion. [22] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, you don't?	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there  [8] anything we need to know about those?  [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,  [10] no.  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll  [12] accept the financial reports as they were  [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some  [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up  [15] then.  [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA  [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or  [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda?  [19] (No changes or additions.)  [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. If not, the agenda  [21] stands approved as it was distributed. We'll  [22] begin with our reports. Mr. Bryant for St. Johns
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion to accept. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll the minutes are [20] approved as they were distributed. Joe, under [21] our new rules, we don't need a motion. [22] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, you don't? [23] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If there's no objection, we	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there  [8] anything we need to know about those?  [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,  [10] no.  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll  [12] accept the financial reports as they were  [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some  [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up  [15] then.  [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA  [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or  [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda?  [19] (No changes or additions.)  [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. If not, the agenda  [21] stands approved as it was distributed. We'll  [22] begin with our reports. Mr. Bryant for St. Johns  [23] County.
	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Pleased to report we now [3] have a program a quorum, and our meeting is [4] called to order. Our first order of business is [5] pledge of allegiance to the flag. [6] (Pledge of Allegiance.) [7] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Please be seated. Donna, [8] are you ready? [9] AIRPORT SECRETARY: I'm ready. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. All right. [11] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: You have a the minutes of [13] the last meeting were distributed with the agenda [14] package. Are there any additions or corrections [15] to the minutes as they were distributed? [16] (No additions or corrections.) [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing none [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion to accept. [19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'll the minutes are [20] approved as they were distributed. Joe, under [21] our new rules, we don't need a motion. [22] MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, you don't?	[2] then we'll, you know  [3] MR. CIRIELLO: All right.  [4] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS  [5] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Financial reports. We don't  [6] have our treasurer here. The financial reports,  [7] well, they were on your packet. Ed, is there  [8] anything we need to know about those?  [9] MR. WUELLNER: Other than they were late,  [10] no.  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hearing no comment, we'll  [12] accept the financial reports as they were  [13] distributed, and if our treasurer has some  [14] comments at the next meeting, we'll pick it up  [15] then.  [16] APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA  [17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: And are there any changes or  [18] additions to the agenda package, the agenda?  [19] (No changes or additions.)  [20] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. If not, the agenda  [21] stands approved as it was distributed. We'll  [22] begin with our reports. Mr. Bryant for St. Johns

Page 5		Page 6	
[ 1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Aero Sport?	[ 1]	MR. GEORGE: Yes, uh-huh.
[ 2]	6.B MR. SLINGLUFF	[ 2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: prices?
[ 3]	MR. SLINGLUFF: No reports.	[ 3]	MR. GEORGE: We had made a we had made a
[ 4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Northrop.	[ 4]	request of the Authority, the board last week,
[ 5]	6.C MR. LESLIE	[5]	that they consider two items. One item is
[6]	MR. LESLIE: No report, sir.	[6]	consider putting in a self-service pump on the
[ 7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: No report, St. Augustine	[7]	field. And the second item is start putting into
[ 8]	Pilots Association. Wayne?	[8]	their plans for the future a second FBO on the
[ 9]	6.D MR. GEORGE	[9]	field.
[10]	MR. GEORGE: Yes, sir. Wayne George, St.	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, why don't I just add
[11]	Augustine Pilots Association. At the last	[11]	an item then under capital projects, the last
[12]	meeting, we threw an additional item in to	[12]	item, say the Pilots Association has a
[13]	discuss, fuel, you know, on the facility, and	[13]	discussion
[14]	what I'd like to do is I'd like to recommend that	[14]	MR. GEORGE: That'd be fine.
[15]	we add this as an agenda item. And when you	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: okay?
[16]	threw it open, I didn't know if the audience	[16]	MR. GEORGE: That's good. Thanks.
[17]	could say, hey, throw one on if it was left here.	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Wayne George. Cindy?
[18]	That's the reason I didn't say anything.	[18]	6.E MS. BARTIN
[19]	But it might be more meaningful if we talk	[19]	MS. BARTIN: Nothing to report.
[20]	about this maybe after the capital project	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's move to our
[21]	priority list, just to kind of talk about what we	[21]	action items. Ed Wuellner, why don't you take
[22]	found so far, you know, the other investigation	[22]	over.
[23]	that we've done.	[23]	7.A REVISED COPIES OF STRATEGIC PLAN
[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: This was your report on the	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Let you know that you have a
[25]	gasoline	[25]	copy of the revised Strategic Plan, and assuming
		[]	copy of the revised strategic rian, and assuming
Page 7		n 0	
1 450 /		Page 8	
[1]	there are no that that's consistent with what	[ 1]	the agenda or not.
1 -	there are no that that's consistent with what you directed us to do last time, that will serve		the agenda or not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we
[ 1]		[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we
[ 1] [ 2]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve	[ 1] [ 2]	•
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice,
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: business element. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: business element. CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed? MR. WUELLNER: Sir?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you completed your presentation on that?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.  MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you completed your presentation on that?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.  MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed. MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you completed your presentation on that?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: And all you've done is made	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.  MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you completed your presentation on that?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: And all you've done is made the changes as we suggested at the last meeting.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.  MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?  (No opposition.)
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you completed your presentation on that?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: And all you've done is made the changes as we suggested at the last meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.  MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Strategic Plan is
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	you directed us to do last time, that will serve as the revised Strategic Plan for the discussions later.  Counter next item I have is a counter space lease request in the terminal, and it's to be would be used be leased to Aero Sport for the purposes of establishing a separate location for the rental car  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Wait a minute, Ed.  MR. WUELLNER: business element.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Sir?  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Back to the copies of the Strategic Plan.  MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is that have you completed your presentation on that?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: And all you've done is made the changes as we suggested at the last meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any comment from anybody?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I think we do. I think we need to have a motion to accept that plan as it has been amended. I would entertain a motion.  MR. CIRIELLO: If that's what we need to do, then I'll make that motion to accept it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Sure could use a second.  MR. WUELLNER: I can't  MR. LASSITER: Was that  MR. WUELLNER: It won't hurt to do it twice, but I thought you did it last month with the changes.  MR. LASSITER: We approved it as per the changes. I'll second the motion, to move along.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any discussion?  (No discussion.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.  MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Strategic Plan is amended as as suggested last week. Okay.

	Airport Authority	
Page 9		Page 10
[ 1]	MR. WUELLNER: Again, counter space lease is	[ 1] where to pick up and where to drop off rental
[ 2]	the next item, and it would be a lease with Aero	[2] cars. Also establishes a requirement that they
[ 3]	Sport for one of the counters in the terminal to	[3] use the newly constructed wash rack for the
[ 4]	be used for a stand-alone automobile rental. As	[4] purposes of the rental car prep.
[ 5]	a part of the Aero Sport agreement with us, they	[5] I think that was generally it. But it would
[ 6]	currently lease vehicles using their own	[6] be Staff's recommendation that the Airport
[7]	leasehold.	[7] Authority authorize the lease with Aero Sport for
[8]	They would like to be able to move that	[8] the rental car business and that the Executive
[ 9]	business unit to a separate facility, just I	[9] Director's signature be authorized on the lease
[10]	think to free up some space in their their	[10] agreement itself,
[11]	center area there.	[11] I don't know if you want to if Aero Sport
[12]	The lease amounts to 96 square feet of area.	[12] had any other comments other than what you gave
[13]	Would begin April 1st. Would be initially a	[13] me, just related to it.
[14]	five-year agreement with some options, year	[14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any of y'all have any
[15]	annual renewals after that. It's at the market	[15] questions of Ed on this issue?
[16]	rate in the terminal of \$17 per square foot per	[16] (No questions.)
[17]	year and would equate to \$1,632 per year for the	[17] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any public comment?
[18]	lease.	[18] (No public comment.)
[19]	It also attempts to clean up several items	[19] CHAIRMAN ROSE: If there is no comment, I'll
[20]	surrounding the rental car business, provides a	[20] entertain a motion to accept the Staff
[21]	couple of additional reserve spaces in the	[21] recommendation.
[22]	terminal, but in exchange gets cooperation on	[22] MR. LASSITER: I make a motion to accept
[23]	where the rental cars are to be returned in the	[23] Staff recommendation.
[24]	complex and hopefully will greatly clean up the	[24] MR. CIRIELLO: Second.
[25]	confusion that exists in the terminal area as to	[25] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor? Aye.
D 11		
Page 11	MP LAGOTTER.	Page 12
[ 1]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1] any issues out there.
[ 1] [ 2]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell	[ 1] any issues out there. [ 2] But it would be our recommendation that we
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.	<ul> <li>[1] any issues out there.</li> <li>[2] But it would be our recommendation that we</li> <li>[3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the</li> </ul>
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.	<ul> <li>[1] any issues out there.</li> <li>[2] But it would be our recommendation that we</li> <li>[3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the</li> <li>[4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the</li> </ul>
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.  7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT	[ 1] any issues out there. [ 2] But it would be our recommendation that we [ 3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [ 4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [ 5] Executive Director's signature to the contract
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.  7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next	[ 1] any issues out there. [ 2] But it would be our recommendation that we [ 3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [ 4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [ 5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [ 6] documents related thereto. And you will see the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.  7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next	[ 1] any issues out there. [ 2] But it would be our recommendation that we [ 3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [ 4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [ 5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [ 6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [ 7] grant agreements when they are available to us
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.  7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.  7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried.  7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR, WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR, LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida DOT will catch up with us before or approximately	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what [19] DOT is doing for us is closing out or basically
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida DOT will catch up with us before or approximately July of this year to amend the grant.	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what [19] DOT is doing for us is closing out or basically [20] reshuffling some grants that we already have.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida DOT will catch up with us before or approximately July of this year to amend the grant.  There are no cash flow issues related to it,	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what [19] DOT is doing for us is closing out or basically [20] reshuffling some grants that we already have. [21] MR. LASSITER: Uh-huh.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida DOT will catch up with us before or approximately July of this year to amend the grant.  There are no cash flow issues related to it, as all of this would be accomplished in our	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what [19] DOT is doing for us is closing out or basically [20] reshuffling some grants that we already have. [21] MR. LASSITER: Uh-huh. [22] MR. WUELLNER: They have to carry that money
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida DOT will catch up with us before or approximately July of this year to amend the grant.  There are no cash flow issues related to it,	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what [19] DOT is doing for us is closing out or basically [20] reshuffling some grants that we already have. [21] MR. LASSITER: Uh-huh. [22] MR. WUELLNER: They have to carry that money [23] forward in the next year in order to give it back
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye. I'm sorry. I fell asleep. Aye.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Motion carried. 7.C TERMINAL PHASE II CONTRACT  MR. WUELLNER: I'll try to make the next item a little more rivetting for you. The next item I have is a request to add Phase II work to the existing terminal contract, terminal area development contract. This would facilitate the four additional corporate hangars that were originally envisioned in the terminal area expansion and bid, however, not awarded initially.  It's our belief that in our discussions with Florida DOT as well as the contractor, that we would be well advised to go ahead and make the award of Phase II. The paperwork from Florida DOT will catch up with us before or approximately July of this year to amend the grant.  There are no cash flow issues related to it, as all of this would be accomplished in our budget year; however, you won't have the grant	[1] any issues out there. [2] But it would be our recommendation that we [3] award Phase II work to Global Construction in the [4] amount of \$661,151, and again, authorize the [5] Executive Director's signature to the contract [6] documents related thereto. And you will see the [7] grant agreements when they are available to us [8] from Florida DO2 DOT for the balance of the [9] grant work. [10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any questions of Ed from the [11] Board? [12] MR. LASSITER: I have one quick question. [13] MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. [14] MR. LASSITER: I know you don't anticipate [15] any snags, but what happens with this thing if we [16] do hit snags on the FDOT? [17] MR. WUELLNER: It's covered by the existing [18] grant in terms of the description. The what [19] DOT is doing for us is closing out or basically [20] reshuffling some grants that we already have. [21] MR. LASSITER: Uh-huh. [22] MR. WUELLNER: They have to carry that money

		Airport Authority -	March 1	9, 2001	-
	Page 13		Page 14		
	[1]	through the next fiscal year in order to get back	[ 1]	7.D CAPITAL PROJECTS PRIORITY PRESENTATION	
	[ 2]	to us. They can't do it in a current year.	[ 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. We prepared a some	
	[ 3]	MR. LASSITER: So that's pretty much a	[ 3]	slides to be able to which correspond exactly	
	[ 4]	hundred percent	[ 4]	to the handout that you had in your agenda	
	[ 5]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, it's just formality of	[ 5]	package. So you're not going to see anything	
	[6]	getting there.	[6]	new, other than perhaps hearing some discussion	
	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any public comment,	[ 7]	to go with it.	
	[ 8]	questions from the public?	[8]	It's organized such that it goes with a	ı
	[ 9]	(No public comment.)	[ 9]	summary on a year-to-year basis for the next five	
	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Y'all ready to vote on to	[10]	years, and then it's further broken down into	1
	[11]	the issue? I'll entertain a motion to accept the	[11]	individual projects with a very brief description	١
	[12]	Staff recommendation.	[12]	of the project. And that would be the time to	
	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Make a motion that we accept	[13]	try and interject questions or adjustments. I	l
	[14]	Staff recommendation on item 7.C.	[14]	suspect we'll try and approve these.	ı
	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: I'll second.	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: What will you do, give us a	
	[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any further discussion?	[16]	one-year presentation and then we'll have a	
	[17]	(No further discussion.)	[17]	discussion on that year?	1
	[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All in favor, say aye.	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: I think that's probably the	
	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	[19]	most expedient way. That way, everybody's	١
	[20]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[20]	talking about the same year.	ı
	[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right.	
	[22]	(No opposition.)	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Initially, year 2002 capital	
	[23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion's carried.	[23]	projects as listed would include updating the	l
	[24]	Okay. Capital projects priority	[24]	Airport Master Plan, constructing an airport	
	[25]	presentation, Ed.	[25]	maintenance facility, hangar construction site	l
-	Page 15		D 16		-
	[1]	development, construct corporate hangar, improve	Page 16	MB WITH LAND W.	۱
	[2]	the aircraft apron area, and construct some	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.	l
	[3]	additional T-hangars.	[ 2] [ 3]	MR. COOPER: The air service study and I	
ı	[ 4]	Master Plan, as a first project, is required	[4]	can't remember whether that included the	l
	[5]	to meet all the future needs of funding projects.	[5]	multimodal study or  MR. WUELLNER: No. I don't think it did.	
	[6]	It also provides a blueprint for a 20-year	[6]		
ļ	[7]	horizon year for the future development of the	[7]	Oh, it was a terminal development study, terminal area study. All of those elements would be	
	[ 8]	airport. It largely governs the direction the	[8]	included in the scope of the Master Plan Study.	
	[ 9]	airport intends to go or is likely to go over a	[9]	And it's fairly high priority with the	
	[10]	20-year period. The first five years being of	[10]	Federal Government, so it's will likely get	
	[11]	more certainty and of much more critical nature.	[11]	funded during the next fiscal year of FAA	
	[12]	This is what the airport has been developing	[12]	beginning October of next year October of this	
-	[13]	on in previous years. The last update was in the	[13]	year, with and the duration of the study	l
	[14]	year 2006 excuse me, 1996. The planning	[14]	typically is a year in order to complete it and	
	[15]	the document itself provides for 5-, 10- and	[15]	adopt it and accomplish the public hearings and	l
	[16]	20-year snapshots of what the airport would	[16]	all the things that go into that.	
ı	[17]	likely look like.	[17]	I suspect it will be organized much like the	ĺ
	[18]	It's a fairly expensive endeavor. It's	[18]	transportation center development in that you'll	
	[19]	largely funded by FAA at a 90/5/5 ratio, the	[19]	have citizens and technical working groups that	
	[20]	State and the Authority each picking up 5 percent	[20]	assist the Authority in developing the actual	
	[21]	of the total. We envision this study also	[21]	guts of the project in addition to consultant	
	[22]	including an effort toward air service market	[22]	services.	
] ]	[23]	and what was the other element?	[23]	Airport maintenance facility. This would	
1	24]	MR. COOPER: I think we had the feasibility	[24]	provide about 5,000 square foot of facility at a	
				The state of the s	
1	25]	study on the seaplane.	[25]	cost of \$450,000. This would replace the halfway	

		Airport Authority -		- <b>,</b>	
	Page 17		Page 18	3	
	[1]	existing trailer down the street, mobile home	[1]	to three corporate hangars, primarily targeted in	
	[ 2]	unit that the Airport Authority has used for the	[ 2]	the northeast hangar corporate area. It could	
	[ 3]	last several years and which lost a roof during	[ 3]	also be used to build out the last unit in the	
	[ 4]	the storms a couple of years ago.	[ 4]	eastside corporate area that has site ability.	
	[ 5]	It would be a 50/50 project with Florida	[ 5]	That would be at a 50/50 rate with Florida DOT.	
	[6]	DOT, and would include some site improvements to	[6]	Next one is improve the aircraft apron.	
	[7]	paving. It might include, depending on the	[7]	This is a project that was programmed with DOT	١
	[ 8]	project budget, a something reminiscent of a	[8]	and it's likely to be nothing more than a slurry	
	[ 9]	shade hangar in which to park vehicles and	[ 9]	sea or over slurry seal or overlay in areas,	
ı	[10]	equipment, mowing equipment and the like outside	[10]	specific areas of the terminal. This will be	
	[11]	the weather.	[11]	followed up in a year or two I'm not sure	
	[12]	Next project's a hangar construction, the	[12]	exactly where it fits right here, but	
	[13]	site development, and this would be primarily	[13]	MR. COOPER: One year, next year,	١
	[14]	aimed at two areas on the airport; one being the	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: the following year with a	
	[15]	northeast corporate area, the other being the	[15]	federal project to construct additional apron in	١
	[16]	area immediately south of the last three hangars	[16]	anticipation of the the continued anticipation	1
	[17]	built, T-hangars built on the airport.	[17]	of the growth that we've been seeing.	1
	[18]	So, down Estrella Avenue, the 300 block	[18]	Construct two 12-unit T-hangars. This is a	١
ı	[19]	300 block of Estrella Avenue, and would be an	[19]	\$700,000 project, and this is designed to	l
	[20]	80/20 project with FDOT. It would include things	[20]	replace	1
	[21]	like establishing the drainage, the construction	[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. You're moving into	l
1	[22]	of the drainage, any taxiways or utility inputs	[22]	the next year,	
	[23]	to that area and the area to the northeast.	[23]	MR. COOPER: That's still on it, isn't it?	
	[24]	Next is construct corporate hangars, and	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: I have it as project number	
	[25]	\$1.3 million would facilitate the development up	[25]	6.	
ŀ					١
	Page 19		Page 20		7
	F 47				
- 1	[ 1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Two 12-unit T-hangars. I	[1]	that concludes the year 2002 projects.	
	[ 2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Two 12-unit T-hangars. I have for 2003.	[ 1] [ 2]	that concludes the year 2002 projects.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open	
	[ 2] [ 3]		I		
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	have for 2003.	[ 2]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.	[ 2] [ 3]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity,	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority	
] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ]	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're	
] ] ] ] ] ] ]	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be	
	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting the right separation moving across the street	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be the office for this place, and it's now here.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting the right separation moving across the street would be impossible from that location.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be the office for this place, and it's now here.  You're not this isn't including changing this	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting the right separation moving across the street would be impossible from that location.  So it does it does two things, get rid	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be the office for this place, and it's now here.  You're not this isn't including changing this building in this facility, is it?	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting the right separation moving across the street would be impossible from that location.  So it does it does two things, get rid gets rid of a long-term maintenance problem that	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be the office for this place, and it's now here.  You're not this isn't including changing this building in this facility, is it?  MR. WUELLNER: No. This will remain	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting the right separation moving across the street would be impossible from that location.  So it does it does two things, get rid gets rid of a long-term maintenance problem that the airport's had as well as provide for future	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be the office for this place, and it's now here.  You're not this isn't including changing this building in this facility, is it?  MR. WUELLNER: No. This will remain administrative, this building.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	have for 2003.  MR. LASSITER: Unless it's out of order.  MR. WUELLNER: It's under construct  T-hangars.  MR. COOPER: It may have gotten left off.  MR. LASSITER: Here, it's is that the one you're talking about?  MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.  MR. LASSITER: It's  MR. WUELLNER: It just got past the sheet.  It's a 2002 project. Anyway, this is designed to replace with two T-hangar units the three rows of port-a-ports that exist out there today.  It provides essentially the same capacity, but the problem it solves long term is to correct the nonstandard separation between the buildings there that would allow long-term expansion into the a cross Estrella Avenue. Without getting the right separation moving across the street would be impossible from that location.  So it does it does two things, get rid gets rid of a long-term maintenance problem that	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. We'll open discussion then for those items included in the 2002 plan. Any questions or discussion from board members?  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, this is before you continue on? Okay. I wanted to ask about this airport maintenance facility. I thought I wrote myself a note down. I thought maybe you was talking about replacing the one we have over there at the FBO, but then you said replace the mobile home and what.  You're telling me that the Airport Authority needs a facility that big to put in its tractors and lawn mowers and trucks and whatnot that costs almost a half a million dollars? And you're talking about the mobile home, which used to be the office for this place, and it's now here.  You're not this isn't including changing this building in this facility, is it?  MR. WUELLNER: No. This will remain administrative, this building.  MR. CIRIELLO: Isn't that a pretty big	

	Airport Authorit		
Page	21	Page 22	
[ 1]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, the facility the	[1]	shelter for it that this would help facilitate?
[ 2]	building part of what would be constructed here	[ 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Other than small riding lawn
[ 3]	is the indoor warehouse stuff that the Airport	[ 3]	mowers, all of our maintenance equipment sits
[ 4]	Authority keeps, and includes everything from	[ 4]	outside today.
[ 5]	airfield lighting pieces and parts and	[5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, what about
[ 6]	components, stuff that's scattered over four	[ 6]	parts, like parts for hangars and things like
[ 7]	buildings now, one of which is located within the	[7]	that?
[ 8]	building restriction line of Runway 6/24, and	[ 8]	MR. WUELLNER: That's the type of stuff that
[ 9]	really needs to be removed over a period of time	[ 9]	could that is inventoried and some of it needs
[10]	here.	[10]	to be inside, some of it can be done outside.
[11]	The other building we use routinely is the	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. In other words, we
[12]	one located at the end of North Boulevard, which	[12]	could get more stuff under roof and protect it
[13]	was a fairly dilapidated metal building that was	[13]	with this facility than what we have now.
[14]	acquired as a part of some land there. And we	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah,
[15]	also have a block, kind of a block building	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, okay.
[16]	that's sitting on the same site as we're	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: It's a dramatic improvement.
[17]	proposing this that serves as a kind of a garage	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
[18]	catchall place at this location.	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: And the square footage is
[19]	This facility that we're proposing would	[19]	approximate. That was based on an estimate we
[20]	organize and facilitate the proper maintenance	[20]	did a couple of years ago.
[21]	and inventory of parts and things the Airport	[21]	MR. LASSITER: I have just a I'm assuming
[22]	Authority maintains to a routine basis.	[22]	that this is going to be a steel metal structure.
[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could I ask you, is there any	[23]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
[24]	equipment that could be considered valuable that	[24]	MR. LASSITER: Well, in following Joe's
[25]	we have that sits outside because we have no	[25]	questioning, I have a little question as to the
		1	question as to inc
1			
Page 2	3	Page 24	
Page 2	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.	Page 24	We had an engineering estimate prepared on
[ 1]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.	[1]	We had an engineering estimate prepared on the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out
[ 1] [ 2]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's	[ 1] [ 2]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	the site and we felt that there were things that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember? But it will right now, we have no way of
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember? But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything that comes out of the permitting. They are two	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment  MR. WUELLNER: They were going to facilitate
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything that comes out of the permitting. They are two separate buildings facilitated into this.	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment  MR. WUELLNER: They were going to facilitate the sign-making down there. Basically move
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything that comes out of the permitting. They are two separate buildings facilitated into this.  MR. LASSITER: So your number is pretty much	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment  MR. WUELLNER: They were going to facilitate the sign-making down there. Basically move  MR. PEARCE: I'm James Pearce. I'm with
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything that comes out of the permitting. They are two separate buildings facilitated into this.  MR. LASSITER: So your number is pretty much a ballpark number that you're saying	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment  MR. WUELLNER: They were going to facilitate the sign-making down there. Basically move  MR. PEARCE: I'm James Pearce. I'm with Earth Tech. We're your general consulting
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end  steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything that comes out of the permitting. They are two separate buildings facilitated into this.  MR. LASSITER: So your number is pretty much a ballpark number that you're saying  MR. WUELLNER: This is actually about	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment  MR. WUELLNER: They were going to facilitate the sign-making down there. Basically move  MR. PEARCE: I'm James Pearce. I'm with Earth Tech. We're your general consulting engineer.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	\$90 a square foot for a steel building.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's  MR. LASSITER: That's a pretty upper-end steel building  MR. WUELLNER: As I said, there's more to it than the building. It would include also in addition to that have a we're hoping to be able to construct a lean-to, shade hangar kind of a thing, a stand-alone thing that allows vehicle areas vehicles to be parked under it and out of the weather. But it's not an enclosed metal building; the second building of this.  Also, there's site improvements that have to be done there, including paving that area. I'm sure the County's going to require us to pave that area once we go into construction over there. Any drainage-related things, anything that comes out of the permitting. They are two separate buildings facilitated into this.  MR. LASSITER: So your number is pretty much a ballpark number that you're saying  MR. WUELLNER: This is actually about \$250,000 less than what we originally or what	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	the site and we felt that there were things that were in that original one that could be pared out of it to make it within the grant abilities of Florida DOT and the airport's budget. I'm trying to what else was in there; do you remember?  But it will right now, we have no way of loading or unloading anything off of a tractor trailer short I mean, if it can't be lifted off of there, we don't own anything to do that kind of stuff.  MR. LASSITER: So you're talking about loading docks and  MR. WUELLNER: At least one, to be able to move things on and off of trucks.  MR. COOPER: There was restroom, air compressors with wiring and some equipment  MR. WUELLNER: They were going to facilitate the sign-making down there. Basically move  MR. PEARCE: I'm James Pearce. I'm with Earth Tech. We're your general consulting engineer.  Basically what we did two years ago when the

	Airport Authority		
Page 2	5	Page 26	
[1]	a 10,000-square-foot pre-engineered metal	[1]	just covered the warehouse and then you came out
[ 2]	building in there with a with a depressed	[2]	and we had 4,000 square feet or 2,000 square feet
[ 3]	loading dock so that they could offload	[ 3]	for the office and locker room space, and then we
[ 4]	fertilizer and that kind of thing.	[ 4]	had another 8,000 square feet for your shed
[ 5]	We also included a 2,000-square-foot office	[ 5]	hangar.
[ 6]	space down there to house a location for a break	[ 6]	You know, it's all built into that
[7]	room, if you will, for your maintenance staff and	[7]	10,000-square-foot cost, including all the paving
[8]	we were looking at restrooms and things like	[8]	and the drainage and bringing the water lines
[ 9]	lockers so that they could store their personal	[ 9]	across the street, all of those kinds of things.
[10]	belongings, so they could change out of their	[10]	And you're right, that number's probably more in
[11]	uniform if they wanted to.	[11]	line with \$50 \$50 to \$60 a square foot.
[12]	Those kinds of things we included, we	[12]	MR. LASSITER: I don't want to micromanage
[13]	probably don't need them. I don't know, we threw	[13]	it, but by the same token, it sounds like it's
[14]	all that stuff in when we were starting to look	[14]	truly Cadillacking the building at this price.
[15]	at it for a budget. And as Ed says, we've pared	[15]	So I need to probably take a look at what y'all
[16]	out some of those things at this time.	[16]	are doing before I can make a
[17]	MR. LASSITER: I just recently completed	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, yeah. I mean, you're
[18]	construction of a 10,000-square-foot building and	[18]	going to you'd see the whole project before
[19]	the square footage cost of this is just way, way	[19]	that, anyway.
[20]	over what I came in on mine, and mine has	[20]	MR. LASSITER: I have one other question.
[21]	everything but the loading docks. It has	[21]	Thank you. When we go into this T-hangar, or
[22]	bathrooms and offices and all of these other	[22]	kind of move back to the other one, let me have
[23]	things, and it's this is just a really high	[23]	a if I can Ed, if it's if you're able to
[24]	number.	[24]	do it now, we're we moved probably four months
[25]	MR. PEARCE: Yeah. The 10,000 square foot	[25]	ago for some condemnation. Are these T-hangars
		[22]	ago for some condemnation. Are these 1-nangars
Page 27		Page 28	
Page 27	going to be impacted by that location?	1	MR. LASSITER: Okav.
		Page 28 [ 1] [ 2]	MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to
[1]	going to be impacted by that location?	[ 1]	MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do
[ 1] [ 2]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right,  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right,  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right,  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go through, if those properties are not obtained,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right,  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.  MR. LASSITER: That's it.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go through, if those properties are not obtained, where do we go from there?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.  MR. LASSITER: That's it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, have you got anything
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go through, if those properties are not obtained, where do we go from there?  MR. WUELLNER: If they were not?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.  MR. LASSITER: That's it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, have you got anything else on this year?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go through, if those properties are not obtained, where do we go from there?  MR. WUELLNER: If they were not?  MR. LASSITER: Yes.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right,  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.  MR. LASSITER: That's it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, have you got anything else on this year?  MR. CIRIELLO: I will later, but not right
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really — it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go through, if those properties are not obtained, where do we go from there?  MR. WUELLNER: If they were not?  MR. LASSITER: Yes.  MR. WUELLNER: I assume we'd work around it	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.  MR. LASSITER: That's it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, have you got anything else on this year?  MR. CIRIELLO: I will later, but not right now.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	going to be impacted by that location?  MR. WUELLNER: The first two would not. The two we're proposing in 2003 would likely be on that site or part of that site.  MR. LASSITER: Okay. As you well know, that's still something that we really it's kind of like you have to know that before we move into the other. And I know there's some people out in the audience that are asking questions every month as to what is the timetable of that to come in front of us.  MR. WUELLNER: Well, the first \$550,000 of the site development money, you executed a grant on last fall, and is literally waiting on retrieval of the property to begin some of the site development work.  MR. LASSITER: Now, if that does not go through, if those properties are not obtained, where do we go from there?  MR. WUELLNER: If they were not?  MR. LASSITER: Yes.  MR. WUELLNER: I assume we'd work around it by not going down that far and would have to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	MR. WUELLNER: Try to find another way to do  MR. LASSITER: When when do you see that coming before us?  MR. WUELLNER: The condemnation part?  MR. LASSITER: Right.  MR. WUELLNER: The last update I had from Mark Arnold a couple of weeks ago before he went in for emergency appendectomy is we will see it you will have that information to make the determination whether to make the offers at your April meeting. So, next month.  MR. LASSITER: Okay.  MR. WUELLNER: That's when you'll make the decision whether to extend offers to those individuals or not.  MR. LASSITER: That's it.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, have you got anything else on this year?  MR. CIRIELLO: I will later, but not right now.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Any public comment?

Page 2	9	Page 3	0
[1]	to move on to 2003? Okay.	[ 1]	90 percent of the bill.
[ 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Are you better with them or	[ 2]	Next project is a Runway 31 safety area.
[ 3]	without (talking to Mr. Cooper)?	[ 3]	This would likely be the first phase funding, and
[ 4]	MR. COOPER: Amazing what these do.	[ 4]	these are, again, just estimates of what the
[ 5]	MR. WUELLNER: I know for me that's a fact.	[ 5]	first phase cost would be to reestablish the
[ 6]	All right. Summary, 2003. Construct additional	[ 6]	safety area along the east side of Runway 13/31
[7]	aircraft apron as and runway safety area, and	[7]	south of Runway 2/20, the intersection of that.
[8]	I thought all right. And construct corporate	[ 8]	It runs basically down through the seaplane
[ 9]	hangars. The first one is the construct	[ 9]	ramp all the way down to the southern end of the
[10]	additional aircraft apron. Or there's a I'm	[10]	runway and would reestablish the safety area
[11]	sorry, I skipped over two T-hangars, two 12-unit	[11]	there. It would be a 90/5/5 project. That may
[12]	T-hangars.	[12]	or may not be adequate funding to complete that.
[13]	The first one is construct additional	[13]	That is the area that's currently beginning
[14]	aircraft apron, and this would facilitate	[14]	the efforts of the permitting, and that
[15]	approximately 20,000 square yards of additional	[15]	permitting could take many years by the time it's
[16]	aircraft parking apron in the vicinity of the	[16]	all through the environmental and the permitting,
[17]	fixed base operator or in support of fixed base	[17]	the environmental either EIS or EA, as necessary,
[18]	operator operations.	[18]	and then the actual construction.
[19]	This could occur in one of two places; the	[19]	Next project's two T-hangars. Despite what
[20]	existing FBO area, or in the event the Authority	[20]	it says here about replacing, these would be two
[21]	desires to pursue a second FBO, that could be	[21]	stand-alone T-hangars. In the event the site has
[22]	earmarked for an FBO area, a second FBO area as	[22]	not been acquired and those those can't be
[23]	the initial development area. Either way, it	[23]	developed there, those that money could be
[24]	provides necessary capacity for parking aircraft.	[24]	allocated towards corporate hangars. So, it
[25]	It would be a 90/5/5 project, with FAA picking up	[25]	could be changed at the last minute, if
d			
Page 31		D 22	
1 -		Page 32	
[1]	necessary. It falls into the same category of	[ 1]	four items?
[ 1] [ 2]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could	I -	four items?  MR. COOPER: They've got four. The
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.	[ 1]	
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end. We also have corporate hangar construction	[ 1] [ 2]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area. Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area. Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area. Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible as possible when locating them when it comes time	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more than what you're talking about, that this problem
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area. Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible as possible when locating them when it comes time to build. And I think that wrapped up 2003.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more than what you're talking about, that this problem that you want to solve is nothing more than
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible as possible when locating them when it comes time to build. And I think that wrapped up 2003.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have any questions of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more than what you're talking about, that this problem that you want to solve is nothing more than putting in some bulkheads to keep erosion from
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible as possible when locating them when it comes time to build. And I think that wrapped up 2003.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have any questions of Ed on this year, 2003?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more than what you're talking about, that this problem that you want to solve is nothing more than putting in some bulkheads to keep erosion from our runways and has nothing to do with runway
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible as possible when locating them when it comes time to build. And I think that wrapped up 2003.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have any questions of Ed on this year, 2003?  MR. PEARCE: There's one more.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more than what you're talking about, that this problem that you want to solve is nothing more than putting in some bulkheads to keep erosion from our runways and has nothing to do with runway safety area. Let me find the place I had
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	funding that corporate hangars do. So it could be defined or changed at the end.  We also have corporate hangar construction there, that would either build a single larger hangar in the area of about 20,000 square foot or facilitate two smaller in the general area of about 10,000 square foot each.  Again, would either go in the eastside corporate area or the northeast development area.  Could also go in the south, if that would be a change of direction later on. It could literally be built anywhere on the airport at this point.  The grants are generally being built as generically as possible now with Florida DOT rather than identifying a particular parcel on the airport or working with them to keep them fairly generic that allows us to be as flexible as possible when locating them when it comes time to build. And I think that wrapped up 2003.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have any questions of Ed on this year, 2003?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. COOPER: They've got four. The corporate hangar was out of sequence there.  MR. PEARCE: Oh.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Is that it, Ed?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, did you want  MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I think I misread this when these from what Ed was talking about when he was talking about Runway 31 safety area. I was thinking this is what you was referring to putting that 800-foot extension on.  MR. WUELLNER: No.  MR. CIRIELLO: But nonetheless, this runway safety area you're talking about is along the side of the runways on the Intracoastal side.  From the information I have here from the FAA on runway safety areas, I would think more than what you're talking about, that this problem that you want to solve is nothing more than putting in some bulkheads to keep erosion from our runways and has nothing to do with runway

	7 XII POLL I KULI	ority - March 19, 2001
Page	2 33	Page 34
[ 1]	In here, it says I could not find this	[ 1] So, really what we're talking about is not a
[2]	is Mr. Gary Raymond I don't know if you know	[2] runway safety area, but keeping our airport from
[ 3]	him or not from the FAA in Atlanta, Georgia,	[3] erosing (sic), and all you need, I would say, is
[ 4]	who is in charge well, I don't know if he's in	[4] put in some bulkheads like they do down in
[ 5]	charge of the whole thing, but the Atlanta FAA	[5] Treasure Beach and some of those places that have
[ 6]	office is in charge of southeastern United	[6] canals and everything.
[ 7]	States.	[7] And according to what Mr. Raymond says, the
[ 8]	And I had talked to him on the phone back in	[8] FAA has nothing well, he says in here, and I
[ 9]	September about the ILS and this runway extension	[9] can't find it right offhand, that the FAA does
[10]	and everything and wanting to know why the FAA	[10] not dictate to airports about these kind of
[11]	would say we had to do things like that.	[11] matters. In other words, they don't say you have
[12]	And he said, "I looked into the problem	[12] to do this, you don't; it's all up to the
[13]	about the Runway Safety Area at St. Augustine	[13] decision of the airports. So
[14]	that you brought to my attention, and this what	[14] MR. WUELLNER: Well, allow me to respectably
[15]	is I found: I could not find any reference to	[15] disagree with you almost completely. The runway
[16]	the FAA providing funds or equipment for a new	[16] safety areas are an absolute requirement of FAA
[17]	ILS system at St. Augustine Airport."	[17] in terms of licensing of your airport.
[18]	And then he goes on to talk about the early	[18] The safety area we're referring to does
[19]	years of aviation and safety area you know,	[19] extend over the edge of the water, the area
[20]	for airplanes, and somewhere in here he says that	[20] that's eroded to date and does not provide or
[21]	this runway safety area pertains oh, here it	[21] meet the standards for a safety area associated
[22]	is. "These dimensions standards pertain to	[22] with that runway.
[23]	facilities for small airplanes exclusively." And	[23] MR. CIRIELLO: All right,
[24]	with a 100-foot runway out there, there's plenty	[24] MR. WUELLNER: You're correct in what the
[25]	of room on that runway.	[25] project is. We are indeed trying to do some
Page	35	D 26
[1]	version of a bulkhead and the like after	Page 36
[2]	reestablishing the terrain or the turf area, the	[ 1] regulations." And then he said, "I looked into [ 2] the problem about the Runway Safety Area at St.
1 ' '	and the second of the tail area, the	[ 2] the problem about the Runway Safety Area at St.
[3]	area that satisfies the safety area requirements.	
[ 3] [ 4]	area that satisfies the safety area requirements, then that area would be bulkheaded or in some	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.
[ 4]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that. [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works
[ 4] [ 5]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that. [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that. [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong, [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be	[3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [8] anything.  [9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that,	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it  [ 16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it  [ 16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I  [ 17] mean, you can disagree with him.
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.  MR. CIRIELLO: Well, he has here, "Joe: The	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it  [ 16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I  [ 17] mean, you can disagree with him.  [ 18] MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't know it was going to
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.  MR. CIRIELLO: Well, he has here, "Joe: The Atlanta Flight Procedures Office is responsible	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that. [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong, [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do [ 8] anything. [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute. [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to [ 12] discuss this with Joe? [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it [ 16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I [ 17] mean, you can disagree with him. [ 18] MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't know it was going to [ 19] be on the agenda until just the other day. All
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.  MR. CIRIELLO: Well, he has here, "Joe: The Atlanta Flight Procedures Office is responsible for protecting Airspace surfaces (TERPS) from	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [ 8] anything.  [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [ 12] discuss this with Joe?  [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it  [ 16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I  [ 17] mean, you can disagree with him.  [ 18] MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't know it was going to  [ 19] be on the agenda until just the other day. All  [ 20] right. I apologize.
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.  MR. CIRIELLO: Well, he has here, "Joe: The Atlanta Flight Procedures Office is responsible for protecting Airspace surfaces (TERPS) from encroaching obstacles (towers, buildings, roads, etc.) In this capacity we are not directly involved in how airports determine what needs to	[3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [8] anything.  [9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [12] discuss this with Joe?  [13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it  [16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I  [17] mean, you can disagree with him.  [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't know it was going to  [19] be on the agenda until just the other day. All  [20] right. I apologize.  [21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All I'm saying is that if
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.  MR. CIRIELLO: Well, he has here, "Joe: The Atlanta Flight Procedures Office is responsible for protecting Airspace surfaces (TERPS) from encroaching obstacles (towers, buildings, roads, etc.) In this capacity we are not directly involved in how airports determine what needs to be constructed on an airport and to bring the	[3] Augustine," and I already read that.  [4] But you're saying that this guy that works  [5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong,  [6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell  [7] us anything like that, that we have to do  [8] anything.  [9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute.  [10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you  [11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to  [12] discuss this with Joe?  [13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir.  [14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring  [15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it  [16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I  [17] mean, you can disagree with him.  [18] MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't know it was going to  [19] be on the agenda until just the other day. All  [20] right. I apologize.  [21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All I'm saying is that if  [22] you have an issue that you have some facts that
[ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	then that area would be bulkheaded or in some form or fashion, it will be designed however to reestablish the eastern boundary, if you will, of the safety area for that length of Runway 13/31.  MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. I found it  MR. WUELLNER: The standard he may be applying is that for a basic utility or basic service runway at a small general aviation airport, and that may squarely fit within the property.  But fortunately or unfortunately the runway design standards that apply to that particular runway, 13/31, are greatly expanded from that, which I have a feeling he's referring to.  MR. CIRIELLO: Well, he has here, "Joe: The Atlanta Flight Procedures Office is responsible for protecting Airspace surfaces (TERPS) from encroaching obstacles (towers, buildings, roads, etc.) In this capacity we are not directly involved in how airports determine what needs to	[ 3] Augustine," and I already read that. [ 4] But you're saying that this guy that works [ 5] out of Atlanta FAA is telling me something wrong, [ 6] and he says right here that the FAA doesn't tell [ 7] us anything like that, that we have to do [ 8] anything. [ 9] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Hold on just a minute. [ 10] MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you [ 11] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Have you had a chance to [ 12] discuss this with Joe? [ 13] MR. WUELLNER: No, sir. [ 14] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe, you shouldn't bring [ 15] this up in a meeting without having reviewed it [ 16] with our Director and have an opportunity to I [ 17] mean, you can disagree with him. [ 18] MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't know it was going to [ 19] be on the agenda until just the other day. All [ 20] right. I apologize. [ 21] CHAIRMAN ROSE: All I'm saying is that if [ 22] you have an issue that you have some facts that [ 23] we need to understand before we approve

	Airport Authority -	- March 19, 2001
Page 3	7	Page 38
[ 1]	get the same information at the same time.	[1] MR. WUELLNER: That had been deleted.
[ 2]	MR. CIRIELLO: Could I give this to Donna	[2] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Industrial park
[ 3]	and let her make copies so Ed could have a copy	[ 3] infrastructure was deleted.
[ 4]	and then he'd have the same information I got?	[4] MR. WUELLNER: Correct. All right. Safety
[ 5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: That would be perfectly	[5] area project would be phase two of this or it may
[6]	that would be appropriate. Okay. We're on the	[6] serve to be the safety area associated with the
[7]	year 2003. Joe, have you got anything else on	[7] extension of Taxiway B on the west side. Again,
[8]	that year?	[8] 90/5/5 project with Flor Florida DOT and FAA
[ 9]	MR. CIRIELLO: No, no.	[9] participating.
[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any public comment on the	[10] Terminal facility would complete either the
[11]	items for this year?	[11] last phase of the existing terminal area, or this
[12]	(No public comment.)	[12] could also be used to construct facilities
[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. Let's move on, Ed.	[13] associated with a second FBO. It won't have to
[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. 2004. Runway safety	[14] be identified at this point. It would be a joint
[15]	area project, the second phase. The terminal	[15] project with Florida DOT.
[16]	facility. Construct airside airside service	[16] North airside service area would be to
[17]	road on the north. Construct two 12-unit	[17] reconstruct or realign the access road to the
[18]	T-hangar buildings again. Reconstruct and modify	[18] east side general aviation area through the
[19]	seaplane ramp. Construct docking facilities at	[19] property that would be acquired from the Gun Club
[20]	the ramp.	[20] there. Would facilitate straightening that and
[21]	And I think there was an item for industrial	[21] would facilitate additional units on the airside
[22]	park infrastructure that I'm not sure why it's	[22] development in that northeast quadrant of the
[23]	still in there. I thought we had deleted that	[23] airport. And I would suspect it would be a
[24]	earlier.	[24] 90/5/5 or an 80/20 with Florida DOT.
[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Delete that item?	[25] Two T-hangar units again would be on the
***************************************		
Page 39		Page 40
[1]	south side or could be converted to corporate	[ 1] MR. LASSITER: And that would be buildout
[ 2]	hangars as the need arises.	[2] after those two?
[ 3]	Reconstruct and modify the seaplane ramp	[3] MR. WUELLNER: You might get another unit or
[ 4]	would allow us to rehab that facility, bring it	[4] two in the eastside corporate area after that by
[ 5]	up to more current design standards, and it's	[5] being able to close that portion of might be
[ 6]	kind of a joint project with the next one, which	[6] able to. Might get enough depth there to
[7]	would be construct some sort of a small dock	[7] actually build a building or two in that area.
[ 8]	facility to allow true seaplanes to be able to	[8] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles, anything else?
[ 9]	tie up as well as have access to services such as	[ 9] MR. LASSITER: That's it.
[10]	refueling. Something we have very difficult time	[10] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Anything else, Joe?
[11]	facilitating now. And then I think that	[11] MR. CIRIELLO: No.
[12]	concludes 2004.	[12] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any public comment on this
[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. You're deleting	[13] year's?
[14]	the industrial park infrastructure. Any	[14] (No public comment.)
[15]	questions of Ed on the year 2004? Joe?	[15] CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay, Ed. Let's move on.
[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: I'm looking.	[16] MR. WUELLNER: 2005. 200 block
[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Richard I mean Charles,	[17] infrastructure improvements. Construct four
[18]	I'm sorry.	[18] corporate hangars. Construct heliport facility.
[19]	MR. LASSITER: This service road would	[19] Construct three 12-unit T-hangars. And construct
[20]	facilitate us getting into the realignment of	[20] corporate hangars over at the heliport site.
[21]	that?	[21] 200 block infrastructure improvements relate
[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It would need to be	[22] to the 200 block of Estrella. And of course that
[23]	accomplished before the last two hangars could be	[23] antic would anticipate the finalization of any
[24]	built in the northeast development area, because	[24] acquisition that needed to be done in the 200
[25]	that road impacts that project dimension.	[25] block.
		1

	Airport Authority -	Maich 1	7, 2001
Page 4	1	Page 42	
[ 1]	And again, would be for water, sewer,	[1]	as well as any exclusive heliport uses,
[ 2]	taxiway, and any drainage or Water Management	[2]	helicopter operator, rotorcraft operators in the
[ 3]	issues that come up as a part of it. And that	[ 3]	vicinity of the heliport. And I believe that
[ 4]	would also include of course removal of any	[ 4]	concludes 2005.
[ 5]	any homes that are left in that block at that	[5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you have any questions
[ 6]	point.	[ 6]	from the board for Ed Wuellner? Joe?
[7]	Four corporate hangars. And these hangars	[7]	MR. CIRIELLO: No.
[8]	could be used in the northeast area, the eastside	[8]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Charles?
[ 9]	corporate or in support of a second FBO, whatever	[ 9]	MR. LASSITER: No.
[10]	is determined to be a priority at that time by	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any public questions or
[11]	this board.	[11]	comments?
[12]	Next project's construct a heliport. This	[12]	MR. FLEMING: Yes. Robert Fleming from
[13]	was envisioned and it will be its location	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Do you want to come up?
[14]	determined as a part of the Master Plan Study.	[14]	MR. FLEMING: I'm sorry.
[15]	Right now, it's anticipated that would be over in	[15]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Got to get you on the
[16]	the vicinity of the industrial park property	[16]	speaker here.
[17]	north and west of U.S. 1, or primarily west of	[17]	MR. FLEMING: Robert Fleming, Pilots
[18]	U.S. 1 and north of Big Oak Road, and include	[18]	Association. With the various years of the
[19]	paving the area necessary, any clearing, and	[19]	T-hangar construction, about how long with the
[20]	hopefully fencing the area in support of that.	[20]	current aircraft that are here, how many years do
[21]	Would be an 80/20 project with Florida DOT.	[21]	you think it would take to facilitate, you know,
[22]	There would be additional T-hangars available and	[22]	the current occupants? Because you've got
[23]	they'll primarily be located in the 200 block of	[23]	several of these under, you know, planning
[24]	Estrella, and then you had some corporate hangars	[24]	stages.
[25]	for the heliport which would facilitate services	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Other than the first year,
Page 43		Page 44	
[1]	which just replaces existing capacity, all of the	[1]	Terminal building expansion would facilitate
[2]	future ones would be to work on waiting list and	[2]	the last phase in the FBO area, existing FBO
[3]	future demand.	[ 3]	area, or could be used at a second FBO location
[ 4]	MR. FLEMING: Okay. Thank you very much.	[4]	as you choose,
[ 5]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other comments?	[5]	There are additional three 12-unit
[ 6]	(No further comments.)	[6]	T-hangars, and this looks like it anticipates
[ 7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: All right. Ed, let's move	[7]	some site development costs to go with it. And
[ 8]	on to 2006,	[8]	the glideslope, we've eliminated. And that
[ 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Last year's summary.	[ 9]	concludes projects for the next five years as
[10]	Let's see here. Expand terminal building. There	[10]	such.
[11]	are three additional 12-unit T-hangars in here,	[11]	There are projects that I need to make you
[12]	and we left the relocate glideslope project in	[12]	aware of that are not in this list, projects
[13]	here, but it's probably that was	[13]	either in current year or we have already
[14]	anticipating that was in there originally	[14]	requested funds for that have not been programmed
[15]	anticipating recovering the safety area to the	[15]	with DOT.
[16]	south and relocating the threshold to 31.	[16]	We are working with DOT to find out how best
[17]	That probably wouldn't be in there anymore,	[17]	and where best to place these and have yet to get
[18]	and the glideslope itself, we probably would	[18]	clarification from them as to what happens when
[19]	reclassify this into a different project title in	[19]	we request projects in a specific year and they
[20]	the future. So, it's	[20]	go unfunded; do they move out or drop off the
[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Should we take it out of	[21]	list in which case it would be our need to
[22]	here?	[22]	reprogram those projects at the next available
[23]	MR. WUELLNER: It could be taken out of	[23]	opportunity, and then of course reprioritize the
[24]	there or we'll reserve the title for it for	[24]	entire year as they fall in there.
[25]	something else.	[25]	And those included enclosing some
I			

	· ·			9, 2001
	Page 45	•	Page 46	
	[ 1]	drainage and this was this would be the	[ 1]	that are coming up over the next several years.
- 1	[ 2]	balance of the 13 excuse me, of the 6/24	[ 2]	If one of those falls by the wayside for any
	[ 3]	drainage enclosing.	[ 3]	reason, does that mean that the other ones below
	[ 4]	There was a GA facility expansion. The	[ 4]	that move up one?
	[ 5]	extension of Taxiway B, which at this point is	[ 5]	MR. WUELLNER: If "by the wayside" you mean
	[ 6]	still waiting FAA funds. There was construction	[6]	you elect not to do
-	[7]	of an ARF facility, a firefighting and rescue	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Or we can't do for one
	[8]	facility.	[8]	reason. Maybe DOT decides not to participate in
	[ 9]	Additional funds have been requested for the	[ 9]	something or, you know
	[10]	south hangar area along Estrella. Airport rescue	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: The way they're going to base
	[11]	firefighting equipment. Additional drainage	[11]	their funding they're telling us in the future is
	[12]	enclosure. And I think there's an ongoing	[12]	they will start at priority one and move through
- 1	[13]	request for some corporate hangars in the mix,	[13]	whatever they can facilitate funding on.
	[14]	also,	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Right. So they may not
	[15]	These are projects we're going to again,	[15]	go
	[16]	we'll try and get a chance from DOT as to whether	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: So they probably won't pull a
ı	[17]	they remain viable candidates for funding or we	[17]	project out other than they aren't going to fund
	[18]	need to kind of reshuffle them into the existing	[18]	it. Then it's our problem to reshuffle it.
	[19]	priority program.	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, maybe in 2004 we won't
	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, at this point, we	[20]	get as far as we
	[21]	don't know where those projects will end up?	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. Any year.
[1	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: No. I wish I had a straight	[22]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: would like to go because
	[23]	answer from you.	[23]	of whatever, for either FAA or DOT funding.
	[24]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: If what we just did was	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.
[	[25]	look at an array, a priority array of projects	[25]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Which means that project
-	Page 47			
	-		Page 48	
L		inst moves down and we misk it was the	F 43	
I٦		just moves down and we pick it up the next year	[1]	continue to budget for the entire list, and the
- 1	2]	or when the funds	[2]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a
][	2] 3]	or when the funds MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing	[ 2] [ 3]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of
] [	2] 3] 4]	or when the funds MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other
[	2] 3] 4] 5]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports
]	2] 3] 4] 5]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically
]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from
[	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.
[	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it,  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in
[]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of
] ] ] [1] [1]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money
] ] ] ] [] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 4	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 4 12]	or when the funds  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 8] 9] 10] 11] 42] 13] t 14]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 21 12] 13] 4] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6] 6]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a budget year, will we always be budgeting for	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 42] 13] 44] 6	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it,  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a budget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 3 12] 13] 44] 6 15] 6 17]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a budget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will budgeted funding be carried over?	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 3 12] 13] 4] 6] 6] 6] 6. 7] 8]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a pudget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will pudgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 8] 9] 10] 11] 2 12] 13] 4	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a boudget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will boudgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all of the projects that are anticipated in a	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the country can't meet their commitment and there's a
[ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 42] 13] 14] 15] 6] 17] 8] 6] 7] 8] 7	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a boudget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will boudgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all of the projects that are anticipated in a particular fiscal year.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ ] ] ] ] ] [ 2	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 8] 9] 10] 11] 6] 12] 13] 6] 6] 6] 6] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a budget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will budgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all of the projects that are anticipated in a particular fiscal year.  On from time to time, for whatever	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the country can't meet their commitment and there's a pot of money that's available, you can could move your priority up one.
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 3 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 8] 9] F 10] 11] 7] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 19] 11] 11] 11] 12] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 19] 11] 11] 11] 12] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 18] 19] 19] 19] 19] 19] 19] 19] 19] 19] 19	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a boudget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will boudgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all of the projects that are anticipated in a particular fiscal year.  On from time to time, for whatever eason, sometimes it's funding, sometimes it's	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the country can't meet their commitment and there's a pot of money that's available, you can could move
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 3] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 8] 9] F 10] 11] 7 20] 3] p	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a boudget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will boudgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all of the projects that are anticipated in a particular fiscal year.  On from time to time, for whatever eason, sometimes it's funding, sometimes it's ust delay in developing the project, those	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the country can't meet their commitment and there's a pot of money that's available, you can could move your priority up one.  MR. WUELLNER: We've been very success in
[ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 4] 12] 13] 4] 6] 6] 6] 7] 8] 7] 10] 11] 7 8] 7 9] 7 10] 7 11] 7 12] 7 12] 7 13] 7 14] 7 14]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct. The other thing that has happened in the past is that one of them has program money and the other not.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Yeah. So we've got to wade through all of that to catch up with it.  MR. WUELLNER: Correct. It's unfortunately, it's not an exact science.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Board members, do you have any further questions of Ed on these?  MR. LASSITER: I just have one. Carrying this a little further, if we budget funding in a budget year, will we always be budgeting for funding that's already been approved, or will budgeted funding be carried over?  MR. WUELLNER: We typically budget for all of the projects that are anticipated in a particular fiscal year.  On from time to time, for whatever eason, sometimes it's funding, sometimes it's ust delay in developing the project, those projects move out into future years or in the	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	reason is that periodically, and we've been a great beneficiary to this in the last couple of years, projects that don't get completed at other airports free up money within DOT, and airports that have projects ready to go have typically fared very well in that fall-through funding from other locations.  And this airport took advantage of that in particular thanks to Gainesville a number of times failing to execute grants and the money freed up and we were able to move projects through funding that might otherwise not have happened or happened as fast.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I can certainly agree with that. Through my experience with dealing with the Transportation funds, that if you're ready to go on a project and somebody somewhere in the country can't meet their commitment and there's a pot of money that's available, you can could move your priority up one.  MR. WUELLNER: We've been very success in the past.

		The post fluctionity	AVALIE CIL I.	- ,
	Page 49		Page 50	
	[ 1]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, uh-huh. In fact, you've	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, That's where the last
	[ 2]	seen that with Taxiway B over the last two years.	[2]	phase goes, is between the two buildings that
ļ	[ 3]	We're still waiting the federal grant on it, but	[3]	we're constructing now.
	[ 4]	it's moved forward at least two times.	[4]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
	[5]	MR. CIRIELLO: And just to get a picture in	[5]	•
	[6]	my mind, Ed, right now we're going through a	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.
	[7]	Phase 1 on the terminal expansion, right, and	[7]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: What is the status of our
	[8]	there's a Phase 2 behind it?	[8]	aircraft maintenance facility?
	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.	" "	MR. WUELLNER: It would be one of the
	[10]	MR. CIRIELLO: Phase 1 is actually expanding	[ 9]	corporate hangars identified here.
1	[11]		[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay.
- 1	[12]	the terminal and Phase 2 will be hangars.	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: We generically call them
	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: Phase 1 includes a	[12]	corporate hangars. They might better be called
- 1		10,000-square-foot hangar	[13]	conventional hangars.
-	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: But they	[14]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'm thinking about the one
	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: they won't	[15]	where Kramer Upchurch is. That's getting kind of
1	[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: close to each other.	[16]	crowded down there. Okay. Are there any other
	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: They aren't physically	[17]	comments on this priority discussion that we've
	[18]	attached. It's almost one building.	[18]	had?
	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, this expansion you're	[19]	(No comments.)
	[20]	talking about in 2006, how much room is there	[20]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, I think I'd like to see
- 1	[21]	going to be to expand it? I mean, if we're	[21]	just a general approval of your priorities, but
	[22]	expanding now and then right beside it's going to	[22]	I I'd like we had one question that was
	[23]	be a big hangar or something, is there a lot of	[23]	raised by Joe concerning the safety area on 31,
- 1	[24]	room between the two to put on another extension,	[24]	and he's making having a copy made of the
	[25]	or you going at a different	[25]	letter. But if there's an issue there that we
L.				
- 1	Page 51		Page 52	
	[ 1]	need to need to look at, we ought to bring it	[ 1]	we now sit and if something came up six months
	[ 1] [ 2]	up maybe at the next meeting.	[ 1] [ 2]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.	[ 1]	
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	up maybe at the next meeting.	[ 1] [ 2]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.
	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that
]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?
]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.
] ] ] ] ]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to
] ] ] ] ]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work
] ] ] ] ] ] ]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work  Program would take a minimum of a year, depending
] ] ] ] ] ] ] ]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.
] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ]	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a
 	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's
 	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work  Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and
1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at least can continue to strike a reasonable balance	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and everything.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at least can continue to strike a reasonable balance on those type of developments projects rather	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work  Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and everything.  The other thing that allows us to respond
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 17]	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at least can continue to strike a reasonable balance on those type of developments projects rather than focus on one way or the other.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and everything.  The other thing that allows us to respond quicker is that frequently FDOT is flexible in
1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 6] [ 6] [ 6] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at least can continue to strike a reasonable balance on those type of developments projects rather than focus on one way or the other.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any discussion?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and everything.  The other thing that allows us to respond quicker is that frequently FDOT is flexible in allowing us to amend an existing grant to add
1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 1	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at least can continue to strike a reasonable balance on those type of developments projects rather than focus on one way or the other.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any discussion?  Any public comment?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work  Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and everything.  The other thing that allows us to respond quicker is that frequently FDOT is flexible in allowing us to amend an existing grant to add that description to it and find additional money
1	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7] [ 7	up maybe at the next meeting.  MR. WUELLNER: That's fine.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: I would entertain a motion to approve the priority program that Ed laid out for us.  MR. CIRIELLO: I'll make a motion.  MR. LASSITER: I'll second, and I just have a question. Is this what you're looking for, Ed, is just kind of a general, okay, yeah, at first blush, it looks good?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes. If this generally corresponds to what you're thinking in terms of priorities.  We've tried to mix in straight capital projects that are typically nonrevenue-producing with some that are revenue-producing so that at least can continue to strike a reasonable balance on those type of developments projects rather than focus on one way or the other.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there any discussion?  Any public comment?  MR. GEORGE: Wayne George, Pilots	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]	from now that had a bigger priority would not be precluded from being added or sandwiched in; is that correct?  MR. WUELLNER: Yes and no.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Is there anything else that we can do for you?  MR. GEORGE: No, thank you.  MR. WUELLNER: There's no simple way to explain it. To get a new project in the DOT Work  Program would take a minimum of a year, depending on your timing. Sometimes longer.  And, for instance, if we wanted to add a project today as a new item, the earliest it's going to show up in the Work Program is July of next year, would be the earliest to get a new project, assuming there was money available and everything.  The other thing that allows us to respond quicker is that frequently FDOT is flexible in allowing us to amend an existing grant to add that description to it and find additional money to put in there if they can do that. As long as

		All port Authority	TIEUR CAR I.	7, 2001	
	Page 53		Page 54		
	[ 1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: So if the Board agrees on a	[1]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Oh.	
ı	[ 2]	change in priority and you can arrange for some	[2]	MR. GEORGE: As just a means of regressing	
- 1	[ 3]	funding arrangement, then we can move it?	[ 3]	and reminding, at the last meeting, the	
	[ 4]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.	[4]	everybody knows fuel prices are going up and the	
	[ 5]	MR. GEORGE: That answers my question.	[5]	latest fuel prices here at St. Augustine caused	
	[ 6]	Thank you,	[6]	the pilots group to kind of get together and kind	
	[ 7]	MR. WUELLNER: It's going to be harder and	[7]	of discuss, you know, what's going on and what do	
	[ 8]	harder to do, because they're going to rely more	[8]	we need,	
	[ 9]	and more on this five-year plan,	[9]	In looking into it, I presented some	
	[10]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: That's why we have such a	[10]	statistics last week or last month, and since	
	[11]	talented Executive Director.	[11]	that time, we've done some more investigation,	
H	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you.	[12]	and basically, we've continued those	
	[13]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Okay. We have a motion on	[13]	investigations.	
	[14]	the floor and a second. All in favor? Aye.	[14]	We've gotten prices from all over the State	ı
	[15]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[15]	of Florida, prices from all over the south.	
1	[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	[16]	Bryan's got some good, you know, tabulations, you	
	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Opposed?	[17]	know, of those, but what we've said the price is	
	[18]	(No opposition.)	[18]	here at the FBO is really Aerospace's (sic)	
	[19]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Motion carried. We have you	[19]	business,	
1	[20]	on the agenda now, Mr. George.	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: Aero Sport.	
	21]	ST. AUGUSTINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION	[21]	MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry.	
	22]	MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Aero Sport.	١
[	23]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: I'd like to is that what	[23]	MR. GEORGE: Okay. Aero Sport's business.	
	24]	you were just reading from?	[24]	And we're not trying to get in here and say	
] [:	25]	MR. CIRIELLO: No, that's about the	[25]	trying to tell them how to run their business.	١
- [			1		
-					١
	Page 55		Page 56		
		What we're trying to do, though, what we're	Page 56	to get up and just fly our airplanes around the	
][	1]	What we're trying to do, though, what we're trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.	-	to get up and just fly our airplanes around the patch.	
[ [	1]		[ 1]	•	
[	1] 2] 3] 4]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.	[ 1] [ 2]	patch.	
	1] 2] 3] 4]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.	
[] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon	
] ] ] ] ] ] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the	
] ] ] ] ] ] [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in	
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start	
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 3]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference,  Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space	
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you	
] ] ] ] ] [1] [1] [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 3] 4] 55]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close	
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 3] 4] 6]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I	
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 2] 3] 4] 6] 7] 6] 6] 7] 88]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.	
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 6] 7] 8]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15 discount that they give the locals, it gets down	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.  But, as we grow, if we could find some way	
[ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 3] 4] 5] 6] 6] 7] 8] 9] 9] 9]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15 discount that they give the locals, it gets down to \$2.74. But we're looking at New Smyrna,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.  But, as we grow, if we could find some way of facilitating that and putting it into one of	
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 5] 6] 6] 7] 8 8] 9] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6 7] 6 8] 7] 7] 7] 7]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15 discount that they give the locals, it gets down to \$2.74. But we're looking at New Smyrna, \$1.98. Daytona, a brand-new FBO having a	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.  But, as we grow, if we could find some way of facilitating that and putting it into one of the other plans, it would help out the local	
[ [ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ] ] [ 1 ] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 18] 19] 19] 21] 22] 33	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15 discount that they give the locals, it gets down to \$2.74. But we're looking at New Smyrna, \$1.98. Daytona, a brand-new FBO having a self-service pump at \$2.09. Ormond, \$2.11.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.  But, as we grow, if we could find some way of facilitating that and putting it into one of the other plans, it would help out the local public, the local aviation, you know, the public,	
[ [ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 11] 5] 12] 13] 14] 5] 16] 17] 18] 18] 19] 21] 33]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciricllo, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15 discount that they give the locals, it gets down to \$2.74. But we're looking at New Smyrna, \$1.98. Daytona, a brand-new FBO having a self-service pump at \$2.09. Ormond, \$2.11.  Titusville, \$1.90. Flagler, \$2.06. Herlong,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.  But, as we grow, if we could find some way of facilitating that and putting it into one of the other plans, it would help out the local public, the local aviation, you know, the public, give us another option.	
[ [ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ] ] [ 1 ] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 22] 33] 44] 6 7] 6 7] 6 8] 9] 5 11] 7 21] 33] 44] 44]	trying to say, let's give the pilots an option.  I think Bryan made a comment at some meeting we had within the last month that about seven years ago, there was only one self-service pump, you know, in the State of Florida. And I think the trend for self-service av gas, you know, is on the increase, and the statistics that we have come up with kind of bear that in mind.  And when you look at the price difference, Mr. Ciriello, you brought up last time that we had already looked at this three years ago and that was when the price was maybe \$.20, \$.30 different.  But we're talking \$.80, \$.90 cents a gallon difference. It's now \$2.89, and with our \$.15 discount that they give the locals, it gets down to \$2.74. But we're looking at New Smyrna, \$1.98. Daytona, a brand-new FBO having a self-service pump at \$2.09. Ormond, \$2.11.  Titusville, \$1.90. Flagler, \$2.06. Herlong, \$2.06. Lake City, \$1.95, and Hinesville, \$1.98.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	patch.  But in addition to the prices that we looked into, we've also done some investigation with people that do fuel farms, and I'll present this right here as just one option that you might want to look at.  It's kind of a self-contained 12,000-gallon facility that the cost of that facility and the credit card processing, you know, with it, is in the \$60,000 range. And I guess when you start talking, you know, we have to add the ramp space and stuff like that, you're up to \$150,000, you know, in that neighborhood. Not anywhere close to the projects we were doing for the 200 I mean for the next five years.  But, as we grow, if we could find some way of facilitating that and putting it into one of the other plans, it would help out the local public, the local aviation, you know, to try to	

		- Marcn	
Page 5	7	Page 5	8
[ 1]	would like to get the Board's direction to	[1]	elaborate in terms of size, but obviously we'd
[ 2]	proceed with a full you know, a full-blown	[2]	want to do that in concrete.
[ 3]	investigation of how can we make that happen.	[3]	You've got environmental issues to make that
[ 4]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Your proposal being to have	[4]	all able to be permitted. That that's
[ 5]	a self-service	[5]	including the 6 around \$60,000 for the fuel
[ 6]	MR. GEORGE: A self-serve facility on the	[6]	system itself. You know, it certainly could be
[7]	field that is consistent with the pricing of the	[7]	done. Finding the money, I'm assuming you're
[8]	other counties surrounding St. Augustine.	[8]	looking to us to find a way to make that work.
[ 9]	Now, I think, you know, one of the reasons	[ 9]	MR. GEORGE: You do that so well.
[10]	you'll find differences in prices from FBOs is	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. It is I mean, it's
[11]	naturally services that are provided, you know,	[11]	possible to in order to be able to respond to
[12]	their cost and stuff like that. But we're	[12]	this in a reasonable time line, to, as we just
[13]	looking like a, you know, pure strip-down if	[13]	spoke of a minute ago, amend that to an existing
[14]	the other counties can do it, then we're smart as	[14]	grant, assuming we could find the money with DOT
[15]	they are, we ought to be able to do it.	[15]	or get their concurrence to give us the money.
[16]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Fuel only.	[16]	
[17]	MR. GEORGE: Yes, self-service fuel only.	[17]	It's probably eligible, even up to 80 percent
[18]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Ed, have we had any	[18]	funding from DOT. 50 to 80 percent would be my best guess.
[19]	investigations at all along this line?	[19]	-
[20]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, in just trying to	[20]	We did broach the topic in the last couple
[21]	update some of the numbers we had in '96, which	[21]	of weeks with with Aero Sport, and I'm sure
[22]	is when we did it last, we would certainly concur	[22]	they're not overly weren't overly thrilled
[23]	that \$150,000 is probably in the area of what it	[23]	with the concept of either a second FBO or a
[24]	would cost to do when you factor in meeting	[24]	self-fuel, but my recollection of our meeting was
[25]	having to build some apron at some point, nothing	[24]	that I didn't get the impression or I think they
	saving to band some apron at some point, nothing	[23]	came right out and said they wouldn't oppose
1		ı	
Page 59		Page 60	
Page 59	sclf-fuel,	1 -	that the Pilots Association's request that we
1 -	self-fuel.  You know, it would be my personal opinion,	Page 60 [ 1] [ 2]	
[ 1]		[1]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly
[ 1] [ 2]	You know, it would be my personal opinion,	[ 1] [ 2]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per cost-per-gallon iteration we did back	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per — cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to back to you guys.	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the next meeting. I think there would be enough time	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the self-service, then I think that we need to stay
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per — cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to — back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the next meeting. I think there would be enough time to get — look at what we could do grants-wise on	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the self-service, then I think that we need to stay with the trends.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we I would concur, we need to look at a lot a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the next meeting. I think there would be enough time to get look at what we could do grants-wise on it, try and find an acceptable site on the	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the self-service, then I think that we need to stay with the trends.  The question of course would be is: If the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per — cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to — back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the next meeting. I think there would be enough time to get — look at what we could do grants-wise on it, try and find an acceptable site on the airport that might lend itself to developing	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the self-service, then I think that we need to stay with the trends.  The question of course would be is: If the FBO doesn't operate the facility, who does? And
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per — cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to — back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the next meeting. I think there would be enough time to get — look at what we could do grants-wise on it, try and find an acceptable site on the airport that might lend itself to developing this, update the cost of the facility as well as	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the self-service, then I think that we need to stay with the trends.  The question of course would be is: If the FBO doesn't operate the facility, who does? And from what I'm hearing, I don't think Ed wants to
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	You know, it would be my personal opinion, you know, I'd rather see the FBO facilitate a, you know, self-fuel facility that would allow inadequate disparity. But absent that kind of a commitment from them, I'm fairly certain we can get there.  Now, you know, what all's involved in that, you know, we — I would concur, we need to look at a lot — a lot of different things in order to make some informed decision or bring an informed recommendation back, including updating that cost-per — cost-per-gallon iteration we did back in '96 and come back with numbers that, you know, work today. What are they today? And again, bring that back to — back to you guys.  We could review, I would think, before the next meeting. I think there would be enough time to get — look at what we could do grants-wise on it, try and find an acceptable site on the airport that might lend itself to developing this, update the cost of the facility as well as what it would take, how much would you have to	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	that the Pilots Association's request that we help them resolve this problem is certainly reasonable, and it's the sort of thing that the Airport ought to try to work out, either through our existing FBO or through some other arrangement. I would sure like to see some kind of a recommendation put together so that we have something specific to talk about  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: that answers the problem that the Pilots Association brings up.  MR. WUELLNER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Maybe Charles or Joe have a comment.  MR. LASSITER: Well, I'd just like to say if airports of comparable size, with the amount of ops that go in and out, if they're moved to the self-service, then I think that we need to stay with the trends.  The question of course would be is: If the FBO doesn't operate the facility, who does? And from what I'm hearing, I don't think Ed wants to get in the gas station business. So, I think

Г				7, 2001	***************************************
	Page 61		Page 62		
	[ 1]	runs the service station, that also has to be	[1]	in the gas business once myself, I know that your	-
ı	[ 2]	acceptable to the pilots.	[ 2]	inventory control on gas is somewhat difficult	
	[ 3]	MR. GEORGE: I think it would be acceptable	[ 3]	with the way it's a commodity and it bounces	١
-	[ 4]	to the pilots, you know, if the FBO did run it.	[ 4]	like crazy all over the map.	
	[ 5]	I'd like to point out one larger airport that had	[ 5]	So, to shall we I hate to use the	
	[ 6]	self-service and that's Peachtree DeKalb in	[ 6]	word, price restraints on somebody, it's very	١
	[ 7]	Atlanta, right in Chamblee, right there in	[7]	difficult to do that to a businessman that's	
	[ 8]	Buckhead.	[8]	trying to make a living.	
1	[ 9]	They had a self-service with Air BP and	[ 9]	MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Would you also think	
1	[10]	Signature came in and bought the FBO that was	[10]	that the same fluctuations would happen at	
	[11]	running it, and within nine months, they had	[11]	Flagler County? All we're saying is everybody	1
1	[12]	escalated the price so much, you know, of the	[12]	should have the same fluctuations in inventory,	
] [	[13]	self-serve pump that they were convinced that	[13]	so	١
1	[14]	they needed to shut it down. Why? Because they	[14]	MR. LASSITER: I can tell you it depends on	
[	[15]	were giving a \$.50 a gallon discount if you	[15]	the size of the tanks.	١
[	[16]	bought your gas on the weekend. The problem is	[16]	MR. GEORGE: You're right. Okay.	
- 1	17]	that their gas up there was like \$3.20 a gallon.	[17]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Joe?	
- 1	18]	So, to buy it self-service is \$2.70.	[18]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I've got some comments.	
- 1	19]	I have no problem you know, I don't think	[19]	This report that Ed was talking about was done in	
- 1 "	20]	the Pilots Association would have a problem with	[20]	June 1996 and it was kicked around here at the	١
- 1	21]	Aero Sport running it, as long as we had	[21]	Authority,	
	22]	something in there that it was comparable to the	[22]	At the time, it sounded as though a few	١
- 1	23]	same retail prices that we were experiencing at	[23]	people, I don't remember if it was Authority	l
	24]	other airports.	[24]	Members or audience members, wanted the Authority	
[2	25]	MR. LASSITER: That may be, but having been	[25]	to put in a self-service pump and act as	1
					ı
F	Page 63		Page 64		
ı.	Page 63	competitors to the FBO and it was kicked around	Page 64	MP CIPIELLO, Olan Nami inter	
[	1]	competitors to the FBO and it was kicked around and finally they just dropped it the Board	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Now, just for	
] [	1] 2]	and finally they just dropped it the Board	[ 1] [ 2]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys	
] ] ]	1] 2] 3]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned	
] [ ]	1] 2] 3] 4]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.	
] ] ] ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in	
] ] ] ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because	
] ] ] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is	
[ [ [ [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.	
] [ ] [ ] [ ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on	
] ] [] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 77] 8] 9]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on	
] ] [] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on	
] ] ] ] [] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices	
] ] ] ] [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 3]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.	
] ] ] ] ] [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 3]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead,	
] ] ] ] [1 [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service	
] [] [] [1] [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 77] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 33] 4] 55]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL	
] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 3] 4] 6]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have	
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06.	
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 77] 8] 9]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?  Like right now, the fuel farm belongs to us.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06. Delivered, \$2.11. So they must have a truck that	
[ [ [ ] ] ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 33] 4] 6] 77] 88] 99]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?  Like right now, the fuel farm belongs to us. But if they went to the self-service entity to	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06. Delivered, \$2.11. So they must have a truck that will come out to the ramp. And then Prospect	
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?  Like right now, the fuel farm belongs to us. But if they went to the self-service entity to add to their system and did it, would they be	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06. Delivered, \$2.11. So they must have a truck that will come out to the ramp. And then Prospect Aviation sells Texaco 100 LL for \$2.10 or \$2.19.	
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] [ [ 1 ] ] [ 1 ] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 1] 1] 1]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?  Like right now, the fuel farm belongs to us. But if they went to the self-service entity to add to their system and did it, would they be allowed to do it on their own to install it and	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06. Delivered, \$2.11. So they must have a truck that will come out to the ramp. And then Prospect Aviation sells Texaco 100 LL for \$2,10 or \$2.19. And then they I don't know if that means	
[ [ [ [ [ [ 1] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1] [ 1] [	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 3] 4] 55] 6] 77] 8] 9] 10] 11] 22]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?  Like right now, the fuel farm belongs to us. But if they went to the self-service entity to add to their system and did it, would they be allowed to do it on their own to install it and finance it and everything, if they would want to?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06.  Delivered, \$2.11. So they must have a truck that will come out to the ramp. And then Prospect Aviation sells Texaco 100 LL for \$2.10 or \$2.19.  And then they I don't know if that means self-service or full-service or whatever. But	
[ [ [ [ [ ] [ ] ] ] ] ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] ] [ ] [ ] ] [ ]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 1] 2] 3]	and finally they just dropped it the Board dropped it because it was a hot potato.  And as I stated last month, I don't think doesn't mean I can't change my mind, but I don't think that I could be convinced that this authority should go in competition with the FBO into the gas business.  But Ed was making mention about funds and everything, so I want to ask him: If we seek funds to put in a self-service system and everything with the intention of leasing it back to the FBO, we could do that probably.  MR. WUELLNER: Certainly.  MR. CIRIELLO: But could the FBO in themselves go to the expense of putting it, the self-service part in and it would be theirs?  Like right now, the fuel farm belongs to us. But if they went to the self-service entity to add to their system and did it, would they be allowed to do it on their own to install it and finance it and everything, if they would want to? I don't know.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	comparison, just for the heck of it, you guys mentioned other places. Like I mentioned earlier, I was in contact with Atlanta.  I contacted the Beaver County airport up in Pennsylvania where I know a lot of them because that's where I flew from. And that airport is 5,000 foot with a hundred foot wide runway.  They have three fixed-base operators on there, who perform flight training, self-fuel on the field. So they gave me the March fuel prices for the three services.  Beaver Aviation sells BP, hundred low-lead, self-service \$1.99 a gallon. Jet A full-service \$2.15. Pro Flight Center sells Phillips, 100 LL at the pump, and it doesn't say if they have self-service, but at the pump, it's \$2.06.  Delivered, \$2.11. So they must have a truck that will come out to the ramp. And then Prospect Aviation sells Texaco 100 LL for \$2.10 or \$2.19. And then they I don't know if that means self-service or full-service or whatever. But then their Jet A is \$1.99 or \$2.08. Of course,	

-		Airport Authority -	14101	12, 2001
	Page 65		Pag	e 66
	[ 1]	MR. GEORGE: Right.	[1]	you say, no matter how you get it, it would be a
	[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: You know, because of	[ 2]	convenience. You know, after hours and things,
	[ 3]	deliveries and stuff.	[ 3]	you could use it or hurry up, you wouldn't have
- 1	[ 4]	MR. GEORGE: Taxes.	[4]	to wait for anybody, just go up and pump it
	[ 5]	MR. CIRIELLO: Here's an airport with a	[ 5]	yourself. So, I'm not a hundred percent against
	[ 6]	5,000 foot runway a hundred foot wide that has	[ 6]	the idea, but
	[ 7]	three FBOs on it and they all have flight	[ 7]	MR. GEORGE: Well, I think that the Pilots
	[ 8]	training and sell fuel. Of course, I know two of	[8]	Association feels that long range a second FBO,
ı	[ 9]	them personally is does repair works, sells	[ 9]	you know, is kind of what we need as a check and
	[10]	airplanes and all of that kind of stuff, and one	[10]	balance. It also gives us another option of
	[11]	of them even has a freight service and charter	[11]	where we can go for maintenance, where we can go
	[12]	service, that's Beaver Aviation.	[12]	for this and so forth. And maybe that's what
1	[13]	But I don't know why, if we wanted to go	[13]	you're finding in the Beaver, New York
	[14]	that way, if Aero Sport couldn't either let us	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Pennsylvania.
J	[15]	put it in and re-lease it from us or do it on	[15]	MR. GEORGE: Pennsylvania, I'm sorry.
	[16]	their own it would be a courtesy thing, more	[16]	Because they do have multiple FBOs and isn't that
-   1	[17]	or less to me, I would think. I don't think it	[17]	basically what the whole American economy has
	[18]	would be so much to drive their prices down.	[18]	been based on, let's get the competition in there
	[19]	They wouldn't put in a self-service pump and	[19]	and, you know, prices will seek a certain level,
	[20]	knock \$.50 a gallon off of it.	[20]	you know, that's competitive.
	[21]	MR. GEORGE: Yeah, I can't see them making a	[21]	CHAIRMAN ROSE: Well, Ed, I think we're at
[	[22]	capital investment to get less revenue, no.	[22]	the point where the Board would like to see some
1	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: I don't like the idea of us	[23]	kind of an option come forward that we can look
] [	[24]	being in the fuel-sell business or fuel-selling	[24]	at and maybe modify
10	[25]	business. That's all I'm objecting to. But like	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
-	Page 67			
	1]		Page	68
- 1		CHAIDMAN DOCE. AL-4	8	
ı		CHAIRMAN ROSE: that will solve the	[1]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
- 1	2]	problem that we're talking about, that you would	[ 2]	
] [	2] 3]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots	[ 2] [ 3]	STATE OF FLORIDA )
]	2] 3] 4]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots Association and do what has to be done to bring	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	
] ] ]	2] 3] 4] 5]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )
] ] ]	2] 3] 4] 5]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I
] ] ]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
] ] ] ]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
] ] ] [ ] [	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.
] ] ] ] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET/M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not, I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] (5] (7)	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14]	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (V	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (V	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ ] ] ] ] ] [ 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 11] 112] 13] 14] 15] (V	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
[ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (Y	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
[ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ] ] ] [ 1 ]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (V	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
[ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] [ ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ] ] ] [ 1 ]	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (V	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710
[ [ [ [ [ [ [ 1 ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] [ 1 ] ] ] [ 1 ] [ 1 ] ] [ 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] (V	problem that we're talking about, that you would get with Aero Sport and with the Pilots  Association and do what has to be done to bring something to us.  MR. GEORGE: Very good.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Thank you, Wayne.  MR. GEORGE: Thank you, sir.  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Are there any public comments?  (No public comment.)  CHAIRMAN ROSE: Any other business? If not,  I'll declare the meeting adjourned and we'll meet on the 8th on April 16th at 4 o'clock.	[ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	STATE OF FLORIDA )  COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS )  I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.  Dated this 23rd day of March, 2001.  JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710

	rport Authority - March 19, 2001	
\$	3	ADJOURNMENT 2/15
\$.15 55/16		adjustments 14/13 administrative 20/22
\$.20 55/13	3 2/3, 2/4 300 17/18, 17/19	adopt 16/15
\$.30	31 30/2, 32/10, 43/16, 50/23	advantage 48/9
\$.50 61/15, 65/20	32084 1/18, 1/23	advised 11/17
\$.80 55/15 \$.90		Aero 2/8, 2/12, 5/1, 7/7, 8/25, 9/2, 9/5, 10/7, 10/11, 54/20, 54/22, 54/23, 58/20, 61/21, 65/14, 67/3
\$1,632 9/17	4	Aerospace's 54/18
\$1.3 17/25	4 2/5, 2/6, 2/8, 67/14	affecting 55/25
\$1.90 55/21 \$1.95 55/22, 55/23	4,000 26/2	AGENDA 2/6, 3/13, 4/16, 4/18, 4/20, 5/15, 8/1, 14/4, 36/19, 53/20
\$1.98 55/19, 55/22	4796 1/3	agree 48/15
\$1.99 64/14, 64/23	4:11 1/6	agreement 9/5, 9/14, 10/10
\$150,000 56/13, 57/23 \$17 9/16		agreements 11/24, 12/7   agrees 53/1
\$2.06 55/21, 55/22, 64/17	5	aimed 17/14
\$2.08 64/23	5 2/6, 2/8, 2/9, 15/15, 15/20	air 15/22, 16/2, 24/16, 61/9
\$2.09 55/20 \$2.10 55/22 (4/20)	5,000 16/24, 64/8, 65/6 50 58/17	aircraft 15/2, 18/6, 29/7, 29/10, 29/14, 29/16, 29/24, 42/20, 50/7
\$2.10 55/23, 64/20 \$2.11 55/20, 64/18	50/50 17/5, 18/5	29/24, 42/20, 50// airfield 21/5
\$2.15 64/15	53 2/14	airplanes 33/20, 33/23, 56/1, 65/10
\$2.19 64/20	5:23 1/6, 67/15	AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 3/9, 10/6, 14/24, 15/8,
\$2.70 55/24, 61/18 \$2.74 55/18	, and the second	15/9, 15/12, 15/16, 16/23, 17/2, 17/14, 17/17, 20/8, 20/13, 21/3, 21/21, 31/13, 31/17, 33/17, 34/2, 34/17,
\$2.89 55/16	6	35/12, 35/24, 35/25, 38/23, 45/10, 48/9, 59/21, 60/4,
\$250,000 23/23	6 2/7, 2/10, 2/12, 18/25, 58/5	61/5, 64/5, 64/7, 65/5
\$3.20 61/17 \$450,000 16/25	6.A 4/24 6.B 5/2	airport's 19/24, 24/5 airports 34/10, 34/13, 35/23, 48/5, 60/16, 61/24
\$50 26/11	6.C 5/5	airside 37/16, 38/16, 38/21
\$550,000 27/12	6.D 5/9	Airspace 35/20
\$60 26/11 \$60,000 56/11, 58/5	6.E 6/18 6/24 21/8, 45/2	ALLEGIANCE 2/3, 3/5, 3/6 allocate 48/24
\$661,151 12/4	67 2/15	allocated 30/24
\$700,000 18/19		allow 19/18, 34/14, 39/4, 39/8, 59/4
\$90 23/1	7	allowed 63/21 allowing 52/21
	7 2/11	allows 23/9, 31/18, 52/19
&	7.A 6/23	Amazing 29/4
& 1/17	7.B 8/25	amend 11/20, 52/21, 58/13
	7.C 11/5, 13/14	amended 8/4, 8/23 American 66/17
,	7.D 14/1	amount 12/4, 60/16
'96 57/21, 59/14		amounts 9/12
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8	annual 9/15 answer 45/23
*	8 2/15	answers 53/5, 60/10
* 1/7 1/31 1/3F 1/01	8,000 26/4 80 58/16, 58/17	antic 40/23
* 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21	80/20 17/20, 38/24, 41/21	anticipate 12/14, 40/23 anticipated 41/15, 47/18
	800-foot 32/12	anticipates 44/6
1	825-0570 1/23 8th 67/14	anticipating 43/14, 43/15
1 1/3, 41/17, 41/18, 49/7, 49/10, 49/12	- Oth - O//24	anticipation 18/16 apologize 36/20
10 15/15 10,000 25/25, 31/8	9	appendectomy 28/10
10,000-square-foot 25/1, 25/18, 26/7, 49/13		apply 35/15
100 64/15, 64/20	9 2/12 90 30/1	applying 35/10 appropriate 37/6
100-foot 33/24 11 2/13	90/5/5 15/19, 29/25, 30/11, 38/8, 38/24	APPROVAL 2/4, 2/6, 3/11, 4/16, 50/21
12,000-gallon 56/8	904 1/23	approve 14/14, 36/23, 51/5
12-unit 18/18, 19/1, 29/11, 37/17, 40/19, 43/11,	96 9/12	approved 3/20, 4/21, 8/13, 47/15
44/5 13 45/2		approximate 22/19 April 9/13, 28/13, 67/14
13/31 30/6, 35/7, 35/16	A	apron 15/2, 18/6, 18/15, 29/7, 29/10, 29/14,
14 2/13	A.A.E 1/19	29/16, 57/25 area 9/11 9/12 9/25 11/9 11/12 15/2 16/7
1510 1/22 16th 67/14	abilities 24/4 ability 18/4	area 9/11, 9/12, 9/25, 11/9, 11/12, 15/2, 16/7, 17/15, 17/16, 17/23, 18/2, 18/4, 19/25, 23/14, 23/16,
170 1/17	absence 1/14	29/7, 29/20, 29/22, 29/23, 30/2, 30/6, 30/10, 30/13,
19 1/5	ABSENT 1/12, 59/5	31/6, 31/7, 31/10, 32/10, 32/15, 32/23, 33/13, 33/19, 33/21, 34/2, 34/19, 34/21, 35/2, 35/4
1996 15/14, 62/20	absolute 34/16	33/21, 34/2, 34/18, 34/19, 34/21, 35/2, 35/3, 35/4, 35/7, 36/2, 37/15, 38/5, 38/6, 38/11, 38/16, 38/18,
1st 9/13	accept 3/18, 4/12, 7/25, 8/3, 8/6, 10/20, 10/22, 13/11, 13/13	39/24, 40/4, 40/7, 41/8, 41/19, 41/20, 43/15, 44/2,
	acceptable 59/20, 61/2, 61/3	44/3, 45/10, 47/24, 50/23, 57/23
2	ACCEPTANCE 2/5, 4/4	areas 17/14, 18/9, 18/10, 23/10, 32/18, 34/16 ARF 45/7
2 2/3, 49/8, 49/11	accepted 3/24 access 38/17, 39/9	arises 39/2
2,000   26/2   2,000-square-foot   25/5	accomplish 16/15	Arnold 28/9
2/20 30/7	accomplished 11/22, 39/23	arrange 53/2 arrangement 53/3, 60/6
20,000 29/15, 31/6 20 year 15/6 15/10 15/16	acquired 21/14, 30/22, 38/19 acquisition 40/24	array 45/25
20-year 15/6, 15/10, 15/16 200 40/16, 40/21, 40/22, 40/24, 41/23, 56/15	act 62/25	asleep 11/3
2001 1/5, 68/11	ACTION 2/11, 6/21	assist 16/20 Assistant 1/20
2002 14/22, 19/12, 20/1, 20/4, 51/24	add 5/15, 6/10, 11/8, 52/13, 52/21, 56/12, 63/20 added 52/3	associated 34/21, 38/6, 38/13
2003 19/2, 27/3, 29/1, 29/6, 31/20, 31/22, 37/7	additions 3/14, 3/16, 4/18, 4/19	Association 2/14, 5/8, 5/11, 6/12, 42/18, 51/24,
2004 37/14, 39/12, 39/15, 46/19 2005 40/16, 42/4	address 36/25	53/21, 60/11, 61/20, 66/8, 67/4
2006 15/14, 43/8, 49/20, 51/25	addressed 60/24	Association's 60/1 Atlanta 33/3, 33/5, 35/19, 36/5, 61/7, 64/4
23rd 68/11	adequate 30/12 adjourned 67/13, 67/15	attached 49/18
	y	

attempt 27/24 attempts 9/19 attention 33/14 Attorney 1/18, 2/10 audience 5/16, 27/9, 62/24 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23, 2/9, 2/14, 5/7, 5/11, 33/13, 33/17, 36/3, 53/21, 54/5, 57/8 AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 6/4, 10/7, 15/20, 16/20, 17/2, 20/13, 21/4, 21/22, 29/20, 62/21, 62/23, 62/24, authorize 10/7, 12/4 authorized 10/9, 68/7 automobile 9/4 av 55/7 available 12/7, 41/22, 44/22, 48/20, 52/17 Avenue 17/18, 17/19, 19/19 aviation 33/19, 35/11, 38/18, 56/20, 64/13, 64/20, 65/12 award 11/18, 12/3 awarded 11/13 Aye 8/17, 8/18, 8/19, 10/25, 11/1, 11/2, 11/3, 13/18, 13/19, 13/20, 53/14, 53/15, 53/16

#### В

Bailey 1/17 balance 12/8, 45/2, 51/18, 66/10 ballpark 23/21 BARBARA 1/14 BARTIN 1/17, 2/10 base 29/17, 46/10 based 22/19, 66/18 basis 14/9, 21/22 bathrooms 25/22 Beach 34/5 bear 55/9 BEASON 68/6 Beaver 64/5, 64/13, 65/12, 66/13 belief 11/15 belongings 25/10 beneficiary 48/3 bid 11/13 big 20/14, 20/23, 41/18, 49/23 bigger 52/2 BILL 1/9, 30/1 block 17/18, 17/19, 21/15, 40/16, 40/21, 40/22, 40/25, 41/5, 41/23 blueprint 15/6 blush 51/11 Blvd 1/22 BOARD 1/8, 1/12, 6/4, 12/11, 20/5, 41/11, 42/6, 47/10, 53/1, 63/2, 66/22 Board's 57/1 BOSANKO 1/14 bought 61/10, 61/16 Boulevard 21/12 bounces 62/3 boundary 35/6 BP 61/9, 64/13 brand-new 55/19 break 25/6 brief 14/11 bring 35/24, 36/14, 39/4, 51/1, 59/11, 59/16, 67/4 bringing 26/8 brings 60/11 broach 58/19 broken 14/10 brought 33/14, 55/11 BRYAN 1/20, 55/3 Bryan's 54/16 BRYANT 1/10, 2/8, 4/24 Buckhead 61/8 budget 11/23, 17/8, 24/5, 25/15, 47/13, 47/14, 47/17, 47/25, 48/1 budgeted 47/16 budgeting 47/14 build 18/3, 31/5, 31/20, 40/7, 57/25 building 20/20, 20/22, 21/2, 21/8, 21/11, 21/13, 21/15, 23/1, 23/4, 23/6, 23/12, 25/2, 25/18, 26/14, 40/7, 43/10, 44/1, 49/18 buildings 19/17, 21/7, 23/19, 35/21, 37/18, 50/2 buildout 40/1 buildout 40/1 built 17/17, 26/6, 31/13, 31/14, 39/24 bulkhead 35/1 bulkheaded 35/4 bulkheades 32/21, 34/4 business 3/4, 7/11, 9/9, 9/20, 10/8, 54/19, 54/23, 54/25, 60/23, 62/1, 63/8, 65/24, 65/25, 67/12

businessman 62/7

buy 61/18

#### C

Cadillacking 26/14 call 50/11 came 24/24, 25/20, 26/1, 52/1, 58/25, 61/10 canals 34/6 candidates 45/17 capacity 19/15, 29/24, 35/22, 43/1 Capital 2/13, 5/20, 6/11, 13/24, 14/1, 14/22, 51/15, 65/22 car 7/9, 9/20, 10/4, 10/8 card 56/10 carried 11/4, 13/23, 47/16, 53/19, 60/25 carry 12/22, 48/25 Carrying 47/12 cars 9/23, 10/2 case 44/21 cash 11/21 catch 11/19, 47/7 catchall 21/18 category 31/1 caused 54/5 center 9/11, 16/18, 64/15 cents 55/15 certainty 15/11 CERTIFICATE 68/1 certify 68/6 Chairman 1/9, 3/2, 3/7, 3/10, 3/12, 3/17, 3/19, 3/23, 4/1, 4/5, 4/11, 4/17, 4/20, 5/1, 5/4, 5/7, 5/24, 6/2, 6/10, 6/15, 6/17, 6/20, 7/10, 7/12, 7/14, 7/17, 7/20, 7/23, 8/2, 8/7, 8/15, 8/17, 8/20, 8/22, 10/14, 10/17, 10/19, 10/25, 11/4, 12/10, 13/7, 13/10, 13/16, 13/18, 13/21, 13/23, 14/15, 14/21, 18/21, 19/1, 20/2 28/19, 28/23, 28/25, 31/21, 32/5, 32/7, 36/9, 36/11, 36/14, 36/21, 37/5, 37/10, 37/13, 37/25, 38/2, 39/13, 39/17, 40/8, 40/10, 40/12, 40/15, 42/5, 42/8, 42/10, 42/13, 42/15, 43/5, 43/7, 43/21, 45/20, 45/24, 46/7, 46/14, 46/19, 46/22, 46/25, 47/6, 47/10, 48/15, 50/6, 50/10, 50/14, 50/20, 51/4, 51/21, 52/6, 53/1, 53/10, 53/13, 53/17, 53/19, 53/23, 54/1, 57/4, 57/16, 57/18, 59/25, 60/10, 60/13, 62/17, 66/21, 67/1, 67/7, 67/9, 67/12 Chamblee 61/7 chance 36/11, 45/16 change 25/10, 31/12, 53/2, 63/5 changed 30/25, 31/3 changes 4/17, 4/19, 7/21, 8/12, 8/14 changing 20/19 channel 12/25 charge 33/4, 33/5, 33/6 CHARLES 1/9, 39/17, 40/8, 42/8, 60/13 charter 65/11 check 66/9 choose 44/4 CINDY 1/17, 6/17 CIRIELLO 1/10 citizens 16/19 City 55/22 clarification 44/18 clean 9/19, 9/24 cleaned 11/24 clearing 41/19 close 40/5, 49/16, 56/14 closing 12/19 Club 38/19 comment 4/11, 7/23, 10/17, 10/18, 10/19, 13/7, 13/9, 28/23, 28/24, 37/10, 37/12, 40/12, 40/14, 51/22, 55/3, 60/14, 67/11 comments 4/14, 10/12, 42/11, 43/5, 43/6, 50/17, 50/19, 62/18, 67/10 Commissioner 2/8, 4/24 Commissioner/Airport 1/10 commitment 48/19, 59/6 commodity 62/3 comparable 60/16, 61/22 compared 55/24 comparison 64/2 competition 63/7, 66/18 competitive 56/23, 66/20 competitors 63/1 complete 16/14, 30/12, 38/10 completed 7/18, 25/17, 48/4 complex 9/24 compliance 35/25 components 21/6 compressors 24/17

concludes 20/1, 39/12, 42/4, 44/9 concrete 58/2 concur 57/22, 59/9 concurrence 58/15 condemnation 26/25, 28/6 confusion 9/25 consistent 7/1, 57/7 construct 15/1, 15/2, 17/24, 18/15, 18/18, 19/4, 23/8, 29/6, 29/8, 29/9, 29/13, 37/16, 37/17, 37/19, 38/12, 39/7, 40/17, 40/18, 40/19, 41/12 constructed 10/3, 21/2, 35/24 constructing 14/24, 50/3 Construction 12/3, 14/25, 17/12, 17/21, 23/16, 25/18, 30/18, 31/4, 42/19, 45/6 consultant 16/21 consulting 24/21 contact 64/4 contacted 64/5 continue 20/7, 48/1, 51/18 continued 18/16, 54/12 Contract 2/13, 11/5, 11/9, 11/10, 12/5 contractor 11/16 control 62/2 convenience 66/2 conventional 50/13 converted 39/1 convinced 61/13, 63/6 COOPER 1/20 cooperation 9/22 copies 2/12, 6/23, 7/14, 37/3 copy 6/25, 37/3, 50/24 corporate 11/11, 15/1, 17/15, 17/24, 18/1, 18/2, 18/4, 29/8, 30/24, 31/2, 31/4, 31/10, 32/3, 39/1, 40/4, 40/18, 40/20, 41/7, 41/9, 41/24, 45/13, 50/9, correct 19/16, 34/24, 38/4, 39/22, 46/24, 47/3, 47/8, 49/9, 52/4 corrections 3/14, 3/16 correspond 14/3 corresponds 51/13 cost 16/25, 25/19, 26/7, 30/5, 56/9, 57/12, 57/24, 59/22 cost-per 59/13 cost-per-gallon costs 20/15, 44/7 Counter 2/12, 7/5, 8/25, 9/1 counters 9/3 counties 56/24, 57/8, 57/14 country 48/19, 64/24 COUNTY 1/1, 1/10, 2/8, 4/23, 62/11, 64/5, 68/4 County's 23/15 couple 9/21, 17/4, 22/20, 28/9, 48/3, 58/19 course 40/22, 41/4, 44/23, 60/20, 64/23, 65/8 Court 1/22 courtesy 65/16 covered 12/17, 26/1 crazy 62/4 credit 56/10 critical 15/11 cross 19/19 crowded 50/16 CRR 68/6 currently 9/6, 30/13

#### D

date 34/20 Dated 68/11 day 36/19, 68/11 Daytona 55/19 de 1/22 dealing 48/16 decides 46/8 decision 28/16, 34/13, 59/11 declare 3/24, 67/13 defined 31/3 DeKalb 61/6 delay 47/22 Delete 37/25 deleted 37/23, 38/1, 38/3 deleting 39/13 Delivered 64/18 deliveries 65/3 demand 43/3 DENNIS 1/13 depends 62/14 depressed 25/2 depth 40/6 description 12/18, 14/11, 52/22

concept 58/22

design 35/15, 39/5 designed 18/19, 19/12, 35/5 desires 29/21 determination 28/12 determine 35/23 determined 41/10, 41/14 developed 30/23 developing 15/12, 16/20, 47/22, 59/21 development 11/10, 15/1, 15/7, 16/6, 16/18, 17/13, 17/25, 27/13, 27/16, 29/23, 31/10, 38/22, 39/24, 44/7 developments 51/19 dictate 34/10 difference 55/10, 55/16 differences 57/10 difficult 39/10, 62/2, 62/7 dilapidated 21/13 dimension 39/25 dimensions 33/22 directed 7/2 direction 15/8, 31/12, 57/1 Director 1/19, 1/20, 36/16, 36/25, 53/11 Director's 10/9, 12/5 disagree 34/15, 36/17 discount 55/17, 61/15 discuss 5/13, 36/12, 54/7 discussion 6/13, 8/15, 8/16, 13/16, 13/17, 14/6, 14/17, 20/3, 20/4, 50/17, 51/21 discussions 7/3, 11/15 disparity 59/5 distributed 3/13, 3/15, 3/20, 4/13, 4/21 District 27/25 DO2 12/8 dock 25/3, 39/7 docking 37/19 docks 24/13, 25/21 document 15/15 documents 12/6 doesn't 36/6, 60/21, 63/5, 64/16 dollars 20/16 Donna 3/7, 37/2 DOT 11/16, 11/19, 12/8, 12/19, 17/6, 18/5, 18/7, 24/5, 31/15, 38/8, 38/15, 38/24, 41/21, 44/15, 44/16, 45/16, 46/8, 46/23, 48/5, 52/10, 58/14, 58/17 drainage 17/21, 17/22, 26/8, 41/2, 45/1, 45/3, drainage-related 23/17 dramatic 22/16 drive 65/18 drop 10/1, 44/20 dropped 63/2, 63/3 duration 16/13

## F

during 16/11, 17/3

EA 30/17 earmarked 29/22 Earth 24/21 east 30/6, 38/18 eastern 35/6 eastside 18/4, 31/9, 40/4, 41/8 economy 66/17 Ed 4/7, 6/21, 7/10, 7/12, 8/24, 10/15, 12/10, 13/25, 25/15, 26/23, 31/22, 32/5, 32/9, 37/3, 37/13, 39/15, 40/15, 42/6, 43/7, 45/20, 47/11, 49/6, 50/20, 51/5, 51/9, 57/18, 59/25, 60/22, 62/19, 63/9, 66/21 edge 34/19 EDWARD 1/19 effort 15/22 efforts 30/14 EIS 30/17 elaborate 58/1 elect 46/6 element 7/11, 15/23 elements 16/7 eligible 58/16 eliminated 44/8 emergency 28/10 enclosed 23/11 enclosing 44/25, 45/3 enclosure 45/12 encroaching 35/21 end 21/12, 30/9, 31/3, 45/21 endeavor 15/18 engineer 24/22 engineering 23/24, 24/1 entertain 8/4, 10/20, 13/11, 51/4 entity 63/19

environmental 30/16, 30/17, 58/3 envision 15/21 envisioned 11/12, 41/13 equate 9/17 equipment 17/10, 21/24, 22/3, 24/17, 33/16, 45/11 eroded 34/20 erosing 34/3 erosion 32/21 escalated 61/12 Esquire 1/17 establishes 10/2 establishing 7/8, 17/21 estimate 22/19, 23/24, 24/1 estimates 30/4 Estrella 17/18, 17/19, 19/19, 40/22, 41/24, 45/10 event 29/20, 30/21 exchange 9/22 exclusive 42/1 Excuse 8/24, 15/14, 45/2 execute 48/11 executed 27/13 Executive 1/19, 10/8, 12/5, 53/11 exist 19/14 existing 11/9, 12/17, 17/1, 29/20, 38/11, 43/1, 44/2, 45/18, 52/21, 58/13, 60/5 exists 9/25 Expand 43/10, 49/21 expanded 35/16 expanding 49/10, 49/22 expansion 11/13, 19/18, 44/1, 45/4, 49/7, 49/19 expedient 14/19 expense 63/16 expensive 15/18 experience 48/16 experiencing 61/23 extend 28/16, 34/19 extension 32/12, 33/9, 38/7, 45/5, 49/24

## $\mathbf{R}$

FAA 15/19, 16/11, 29/25, 32/18, 33/3, 33/5,

33/10, 33/16, 34/8, 34/9, 34/16, 36/5, 36/6, 38/8, 45/6, 46/23 facilitate 11/10, 17/25, 21/20, 22/1, 24/18, 29/14, 31/7, 38/20, 38/21, 39/20, 41/25, 42/21, 44/1, 46/13, facilitated 23/19 facilitating 39/11, 56/18 facilities 33/23, 37/19, 38/12 facility 5/13, 9/9, 14/25, 16/23, 16/24, 20/8, 20/14, 20/20, 21/1, 21/19, 22/13, 24/25, 37/16, 38/10, 39/4, 39/8, 40/18, 45/4, 45/7, 45/8, 50/7, 56/9, 57/6, 59/4, 59/22, 60/21 fact 29/5, 49/1 factor 57/24 facts 36/22 failing 48/11 fall 27/14, 44/24 fall-through 48/7 falls 31/1, 46/2 fared 48/7 farm 63/18 farms 56/5 fashion 35/5 fast 48/14 favor 8/17, 10/25, 13/18, 53/14 FBO 6/8, 20/11, 29/20, 29/21, 29/22, 38/13, 41/9, 44/2, 44/3, 54/18, 55/19, 58/22, 59/3, 60/5, 60/21, 60/25, 61/4, 61/10, 63/1, 63/7, 63/13, 63/15, 66/8 FBOs 57/10, 65/7, 66/16 FDOT 12/16, 17/20, 52/20 feasibility 15/24 Federal 16/10, 18/15, 49/3 feet 9/12, 26/2, 26/4 fell 11/2 fencing 41/20 fertilizer 25/4 field 6/7, 6/9, 57/7, 64/11 finalization 40/23 finance 63/22 FINANCIAL 2/5, 4/4, 4/5, 4/6, 4/12 find 28/2, 32/23, 33/1, 33/15, 34/9, 44/16, 52/22, 56/17, 57/10, 58/8, 58/14, 59/20 Finding 58/7, 66/13 fine 6/14, 51/3 firefighting 45/7, 45/11 fiscal 13/1, 16/11, 47/19 fit 35/12 fits 18/12

five 14/9, 15/10, 44/9, 56/16 five-year 9/14, 53/9 fixed 29/17 fixed-base 64/9 FL 1/18, 1/23 flag 3/5 Flagler 55/21, 62/11 Fleming 42/12, 42/17 flew 64/7 flexible 31/18, 52/20 Flight 35/19, 64/10, 64/15, 65/7 floor 53/14 Flor 38/8 Florida 1/4, 11/16, 11/18, 12/8, 17/5, 18/5, 24/5, 31/15, 38/8, 38/15, 38/24, 41/21, 54/15, 55/6, 68/3 flow 11/21 fluctuations 62/10, 62/12 fly 56/1 focus 51/20 follow 12/25 followed 18/11 foot 9/16, 16/24, 23/1, 25/25, 26/11, 31/6, 31/8, 64/8, 65/6 footage 22/18, 25/19 form 35/5 formality 13/5 fortunately 35/14 found 5/22, 33/15, 35/8 four 11/11, 21/6, 26/24, 31/25, 32/1, 32/2, 40/17, free 9/10, 48/5 freed 48/12 freight 65/11 frequently 52/20 front 27/11 fuel 5/13, 54/4, 54/5, 56/5, 57/16, 57/17, 58/5, 63/18, 64/11, 64/25, 65/8 fuel-sell 65/24 fuel-selling full-blown 57/2 full-service 64/14, 64/22 fund 46/17 funded 15/19, 16/11 funding 15/5, 30/3, 30/12, 31/2, 45/17, 46/11, 46/13, 46/23, 47/13, 47/15, 47/16, 47/21, 48/7, 48/13, 53/3, 58/17 funds 33/16, 44/14, 45/6, 45/9, 47/2, 48/17, 63/9, 63/11 future 6/8, 15/5, 15/7, 19/24, 43/2, 43/3, 43/20,

# $\mathbf{G}$

46/11, 47/23

GA 45/4 Gainesville 48/10 gallon 55/15, 61/15, 61/17, 64/14, 65/20 garage 21/17 gas 55/7, 60/23, 61/16, 61/17, 62/1, 62/2, 63/8 gasoline 5/25 Gay 1/17 Gay 1/17 generic 31/18 generically 31/15, 50/11 George 2/9, 5/10, 6/17, 51/23 Georgia 33/3 glideslope 43/12, 43/18, 44/8 Global 12/3 Government 16/10 governs 15/8 grant 11/20, 11/23, 11/24, 12/7, 12/9, 12/18, 24/4, 27/13, 49/3, 52/21, 58/14 grants 12/20, 31/14, 48/11 grants-wise 59/19 group 54/6 groups 16/19 grow 56/17 growth 18/17 Grumman 2/9 guess 56/11, 58/18 Gun 38/19 guts 16/21 guy 36/4 guys 55/25, 59/16, 64/2

#### H

half 20/16 handout 14/4 hangar 14/25, 15/1, 17/9, 17/12, 18/2, 23/8, 26/5, 31/4, 31/6, 32/3, 45/10, 49/13, 49/23

hangars 11/11, 17/16, 17/24, 18/1, 22/6, 29/9 30/24, 31/2, 39/2, 39/23, 40/18, 40/20, 41/7, 41/24, 45/13, 49/11, 50/9, 50/12, 50/13 harder 53/7, 53/8 hate 62/5 hearings 16/15 held 1/3 helicopter 42/2 heliport 40/18, 40/20, 41/12, 41/25, 42/1, 42/3 help 22/1, 56/19, 60/2 Herlong 55/21 high 16/9, 25/23 Hinesville 55/22 hit 12/16 Hold 36/9 home 17/1, 20/12, 20/17 homes 41/5 hoping 23/7 horizon 15/7 hot 63/3 hours 66/2 house 25/6 hundred 13/4, 64/8, 64/13, 65/6, 66/5 hurricane 24/24 hurry 66/3 hurt 8/10

#### I

idea 65/23, 66/6 identified 38/14, 50/9 identifying 31/16 II 2/13, 11/5, 11/8, 11/18, 12/3 ILS 33/9, 33/17 impacted 27/1 impacts 39/25 impossible 19/21 impression 58/24 improve 15/1, 18/6 improvement 22/16 improvements 17/6, 23/13, 40/17, 40/21 inadequate 59/5 increase 55/8 indoor 21/3 industrial 37/21, 38/2, 39/14, 41/16 information 28/11, 32/17, 37/1, 37/4 informed 59/11 infrastructure 37/22, 38/3, 39/14, 40/17, 40/21 initial 29/23 inputs 17/22 install 63/21 intention 63/12 interject 14/13 intersection 30/7 Intracoastal 32/16 inventoried 22/9 inventory 21/21, 62/2, 62/12 investigation 5/22, 54/11, 56/4, 57/3 investigations 54/13, 57/19 investment 65/22 issue 10/15, 13/11, 36/22, 50/25 issues 11/21, 12/1, 41/3, 58/3 item 5/12, 5/15, 6/5, 6/7, 6/11, 6/12, 7/5, 9/2, 11/7, 11/8, 13/14, 37/21, 37/25, 52/14 ITEMS 2/11, 6/5, 6/21, 9/19, 20/3, 32/1, 37/11 iteration 59/13

## J

James 24/20
JANET 68/6
Jet 64/14, 64/23
JIM 1/10
Joe 3/20, 28/19, 32/7, 35/18, 36/12, 36/14, 37/7, 39/15, 40/10, 42/6, 50/23, 60/13, 62/17
Joe's 22/24
JOHNS 1/1, 4/22, 68/4
joint 38/14, 39/6
Jones 1/17
JOSEPH 1/10
July 11/20, 11/25, 52/15
June 62/20

#### K

kicked 63/1 knock 65/20 knows 54/4 Kramer 50/15

## L

laid 51/5 Lake 55/22 land 21/14 larger 31/5, 61/5 LASSITER 1/9 later 7/4, 28/21, 31/12 latest 54/5 lawn 20/15, 20/24, 22/2 lean-to 23/8 Lease 2/12, 7/6, 8/25, 9/1, 9/2, 9/6, 9/12, 9/18, 10/7, 10/9 leased 7/7 leasehold 9/7 leasing 63/12 Leave 1/14 left 5/17, 19/6, 41/5, 43/12 lend 59/21 length 35/7 Leon 1/22 Leslie 2/9 letter 50/25 level 66/19 Liaison 1/10 licensing 34/17 lifted 24/9 lighting 21/5 line 21/8, 26/11, 57/19, 58/12 lines 26/8 list 5/21, 43/2, 44/12, 44/21, 48/1 listed 14/23 literally 27/14, 31/12 little 11/7, 22/25, 47/13 living 62/8 LL 64/15, 64/20 loading 24/8, 24/13, 25/3, 25/21 local 56/19, 56/20 locals 55/17 located 21/7, 21/12, 41/23 locating 31/19 location 7/9, 19/21, 21/18, 25/6, 27/1, 41/13, 44/3 locations 48/8 locker 26/3 lockers 25/9 long-term 19/18, 19/23 lost 17/3 low-lead 64/13

### M

maintenance 14/25, 16/23, 19/23, 20/8, 21/20,

maintains 21/22

22/3, 25/7, 50/7, 66/11 Malaga 1/17 Management 27/24, 27/25, 41/2 map 62/4 March 1/5, 64/11, 68/11 Mark 28/9 market 9/15, 15/22 Master 14/24, 15/4, 16/8, 41/14 matter 66/1 matters 34/11 meaningful 5/19 meet 15/5, 34/21, 48/19, 67/13 Meeting 1/2, 2/4, 2/6, 3/3, 3/11, 3/13, 4/14, 4/16, 5/12, 7/21, 28/13, 36/15, 51/2, 54/3, 55/3, 57/24, 58/23, 59/18, 67/13, 67/15 MEMBERS 1/8, 1/12, 20/5, 47/10, 62/24 mention 63/9 mentioned 64/3 metal 21/13, 22/22, 23/11, 25/1 micromanage 26/12 million 17/25, 20/16 mind 49/6, 55/9, 63/5 minimum 52/11 minute 7/10, 30/25, 36/9, 58/13 MINUTES 2/4, 3/11, 3/12, 3/15, 3/19 misread 32/8 miss 31/24 mix 45/13, 51/15 mobile 17/1, 20/12, 20/17

modify 37/18, 39/3, 66/24 Monday 1/5 money 12/22, 12/24, 27/13, 30/23, 47/5, 48/5, 48/11, 48/20, 52/17, 52/22, 56/23, 58/7, 58/14, 58/15 48/11, 48/20, 52/17, 52/122, 50/23, 50/13, 5 Motion's 13/23 motions 7/25 move 6/20, 8/14, 9/8, 24/15, 24/19, 26/22, 27/7, 29/1, 37/13, 40/15, 43/7, 44/20, 46/4, 46/12, 47/23, 48/12, 48/20, 53/3 moved 26/24, 49/4, 60/17 moves 47/1 moving 18/21, 19/20 movers 20/15, 20/25, 22/3 mowing 17/10 Mr. Bryant 4/22, 4/25 MR. CIRIELLO 3/18, 3/22, 3/25, 4/3, 7/24, 8/5, 8/18, 10/24, 11/2, 13/15, 13/19, 20/6, 20/23, 21/23, 22/5, 22/11, 22/15, 22/17, 28/21, 32/8, 32/14, 34/23, 35/8, 35/18, 36/18, 37/2, 37/9, 39/16, 40/11, 42/7, 49/5, 49/10, 49/14, 49/16, 49/19, 50/4, 51/7, 53/16, 53/25, 55/11, 62/18, 63/15, 64/1, 65/2, 65/5, 65/23, 66/14 MR. COOPER 15/24, 16/2, 18/13, 18/23, 19/6, 24/16, 29/3, 29/4, 32/2 MR. FLEMING 42/12, 42/14, 42/17, 43/4 Mr. Gary 33/2 MR. GEORGE 5/9, 5/10, 6/1, 6/3, 6/14, 6/16, 51/23, 52/8, 53/5, 53/20, 53/22, 54/2, 54/21, 54/23, 57/6, 57/17, 58/9, 61/3, 62/9, 62/16, 65/1, 65/4, 65/21, 66/7, 66/15, 67/6, 67/8 Mr. Jim 2/8 Mr. John 2/9 MR. LASSITER 8/9, 8/13, 8/19, 10/22, 11/1, 12/12, 12/14, 12/21, 13/3, 13/13, 13/20, 19/3, 19/7, 19/10, 22/21, 22/24, 23/3, 23/20, 24/12, 25/17, 26/12, 26/20, 27/5, 27/17, 27/21, 28/1, 28/4, 28/7, 28/14, 28/18, 39/19, 40/1, 40/9, 42/9, 47/12, 48/24, 51/8, 53/15, 60/15, 61/25, 62/14 MR. LESLIE 5/5, 5/6 Mr. Michael 2/8 MR. PEARCE 24/20, 25/25, 31/23, 31/25, 32/4 Mr. Raymond 34/7 MR. SLINGLUFF 5/2, 5/3 Mr. Wayne 2/9 MR. WUELLNER 4/9, 6/24, 7/11, 7/13, 7/16, 7/19, 7/22, 8/8, 8/10, 9/1, 11/6, 12/13, 12/17, 12/22, 13/5, 14/2, 14/18, 14/22, 16/1, 16/5, 18/14, 18/24, 19/4, 19/9, 19/11, 20/21, 21/1, 22/2, 22/8, 22/14, 22/16, 22/18, 22/23, 23/2, 23/5, 23/22, 24/14, 24/18, 26/17, 27/2, 27/12, 27/20, 27/22, 28/2, 28/6, 28/8, 28/15, 29/2, 29/5, 31/24, 32/6, 32/13, 34/14, 34/24, 35/9, 36/10, 36/13, 37/14, 38/1, 38/4, 39/22, 40/3, 40/16, 42/25, 43/9, 43/23, 45/22, 46/5, 46/10, 46/16, 46/21, 46/24, 47/3, 47/8, 47/17, 48/22, 49/1, 49/9, 49/12, 49/15, 49/17, 50/1, 50/5, 50/8, 50/11, 51/3, 51/12, 52/5, 52/9, 53/4, 53/7, 53/12, 54/20, 54/22, 57/20, 58/10, 60/9, 60/12, 63/14, 63/24, 66/25 MS. BARTIN 6/18, 6/19 Ms. Cindy 2/10 multimodal 16/4

# N

multiple 66/16

nature 15/11 necessary 29/24, 30/17, 31/1, 41/19 need 3/21, 4/8, 8/3, 8/5, 25/13, 26/15, 34/3, 36/23, 39/2, 39/22, 44/11, 44/21, 45/18, 51/1, 54/8, 59/9, 60/18, 66/9 needed 40/24, 61/14 needs 15/5, 20/14, 21/9, 22/9, 35/23, 60/24 negotiations 60/25 neighborhood 56/14 new 3/21, 14/6, 33/16, 52/10, 52/14, 52/16, 55/18, 66/13 nine 61/11 nonetheless 32/14 nonrevenue-producing 51/16 nonstandard 19/17 North 1/3, 21/12, 37/17, 38/16, 41/17, 41/18 northeast 17/15, 17/23, 18/2, 31/10, 38/22, 39/24, Northrop 5/4 note 20/9 notes 68/9

number 18/24, 23/20, 23/21, 25/24, 48/10 number's 26/10 numbers 57/21, 59/14

### 0

Oak 41/18 objecting 65/25 objection 3/23 obstacles 35/21 obtained 27/18 occupants 42/22 October 16/12 offering 56/25 offers 28/12, 28/16 office 20/18, 25/5, 26/3, 33/6, 35/19 offices 25/22 offload 25/3 one-year 14/16 open 5/16, 20/2 operate 60/21 operations 29/18 operator 29/17, 29/18, 42/2 operators 64/9 opinion 59/2 opportunity 36/16, 44/23 oppose 58/25 Opposed 8/20, 13/21, 53/17 opposition 8/21, 13/22, 53/18 ops 60/17 option 55/2, 56/6, 56/21, 66/10, 66/23 options 9/14 order 3/4, 12/23, 13/1, 16/14, 19/3, 58/11, 59/10 organize 21/20 organized 14/8, 16/17 original 24/3 originally 11/12, 23/23, 43/14 Ormond 55/20 overlay 18/9

## P

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 67/15 package 3/14, 4/18, 14/5 packet 4/7 paperwork 11/18 parcel 31/16 pared 24/3, 25/15 park 17/9, 37/22, 38/2, 39/14, 41/16 parked 23/10 parking 29/16, 29/24 part 7/25, 9/5, 21/2, 21/14, 27/4, 28/6, 41/3, 41/14, 63/17 participate 46/8 participating 38/9 parts 21/5, 21/21, 22/6, 64/24 patch 56/2 pave 23/15 paving 17/7, 23/14, 26/7, 41/19 Peachtree 61/6 Pearce 24/20 Pennsylvania 64/6, 66/14, 66/15 perform 64/10 period 15/10, 21/9 permitted 58/4 permitting 23/18, 30/14, 30/15, 30/16 personal 25/9, 59/2 personally 65/9
Phase 2/13, 11/5, 11/8, 11/18, 12/3, 30/3, 30/5, 37/15, 38/5, 38/11, 44/2, 49/7, 49/8, 49/10, 49/11, 49/12, 50/2 Phillips 64/15 phone 33/8 physically 49/17 pick 4/14, 10/1, 47/1 picking 15/20, 29/25 picture 49/5 pieces 21/5 Pilots 2/14, 5/8, 5/11, 6/12, 42/17, 51/23, 53/21, 54/6, 55/2, 60/1, 60/11, 61/2, 61/4, 61/20, 66/7, 67/3 place 20/18, 21/18, 32/23, 44/17 places 29/19, 34/5, 64/3 Plan 2/12, 6/23, 6/25, 7/3, 7/15, 8/3, 8/22, 14/24, 15/4, 16/8, 20/4, 41/14, 51/24, 51/25, 53/9 planning 15/14, 42/23 plans 6/8, 56/19 PLEDGE 2/3, 3/5, 3/6 plenty 33/24

point 31/13, 38/14, 41/6, 45/5, 45/20, 57/25, 61/5, Ponce 1/22 poor 55/25 port-a-ports 19/14 portion 40/5 possible 31/15, 31/19, 58/11 pot 48/20 potato 63/3 pre-engineered 25/1 precluded 52/3 prep 10/4 prepared 14/2, 23/25, 24/1 Presentation 2/13, 7/18, 13/25, 14/1, 14/16 presented 54/9 pretty 13/3, 20/23, 23/3, 23/20 prevent 63/25 price 26/14, 54/17, 55/10, 55/13, 55/24, 61/12, prices 6/2, 54/4, 54/5, 54/14, 54/15, 56/3, 57/10, 61/23, 64/11, 64/25, 65/18, 66/19 pricing 57/7 priorities 50/21, 51/14 Priority 2/13, 5/21, 13/24, 14/1, 16/9, 41/10, 45/19, 45/25, 46/12, 48/21, 50/17, 51/5, 52/2, 53/2 Pro 64/15 problem 4/1, 19/16, 19/23, 32/19, 33/12, 36/2, 46/18, 60/2, 60/10, 61/16, 61/19, 61/20, 67/2 Procedures 35/19 proceed 57/2 proceedings 68/8 processing 56/10 program 3/3, 45/19, 47/5, 51/5, 52/11, 52/15 programmed 18/7, 44/14 project 5/20, 14/12, 15/4, 16/21, 17/5, 17/8, 17/20, 18/7, 18/15, 18/19, 18/24, 19/12, 20/24, 26/18, 29/25, 30/2, 30/11, 34/25, 37/15, 38/5, 38/8, 38/15, 39/6, 39/25, 41/21, 43/12, 43/19, 46/17, 46/25, 47/22, 48/18, 52/10, 52/14, 52/17 project's 17/12, 30/19, 41/12 Projects 2/13, 6/11, 13/24, 14/1, 14/11, 14/23, 15/5, 19/25, 20/1, 44/9, 44/11, 44/12, 44/19, 44/22, 45/15, 45/21, 45/25, 47/18, 47/23, 48/4, 48/6, 48/12, 51/16, 51/19, 56/15 properties 27/18 property 27/15, 35/13, 38/19, 41/16 proposal 57/4 proposing 21/17, 21/19, 27/3 Prospect 64/19 protect 22/12 protecting 35/20 provide 16/24, 19/24, 34/20 provides 9/20, 15/6, 15/15, 19/15, 29/24 public 10/17, 10/18, 13/7, 13/8, 13/9, 16/15, 28/23, 28/24, 37/10, 37/12, 40/12, 40/14, 42/10, 51/22, 56/20, 67/9, 67/11 pull 46/16 pump 6/6, 55/5, 55/20, 59/24, 61/13, 62/25, 64/16, 64/17, 65/19, 66/4 pure 57/13 purposes 7/8, 10/4 pursue 29/21 put 20/14, 20/24, 34/4, 49/24, 52/23, 60/7, 62/25, 63/11, 65/15, 65/19 putting 6/6, 6/7, 24/25, 32/12, 32/21, 56/18, 63/16

# Q

quadrant 38/22 question 12/12, 22/25, 26/20, 50/22, 51/9, 53/5, 60/20 questioning 22/25 questions 10/15, 10/16, 12/10, 13/8, 14/13, 20/4, 27/9, 31/21, 39/15, 42/5, 42/10, 47/11 quick 12/12 quicker 52/20 quorum 3/3

#### R

rack 10/3
raised 50/23
ramp 30/9, 37/19, 37/20, 39/3, 56/12, 64/19
range 55/24, 56/11, 66/8
rate 9/16, 18/5
ratio 15/19
Raymond 33/2
re-lease 65/15
read 36/3

reading 53/24 realign 38/17 realignment 39/20 reason 5/18, 46/3, 46/8, 47/21, 48/2 reasonable 51/18, 58/12, 60/3 reasons 57/9 reclassify 43/19 recollection 58/23 recommend 5/14 recommendation 10/6, 10/21, 10/23, 12/2, 13/12, 13/14, 59/12, 60/7 Reconstruct 37/18, 38/17, 39/3 record 68/9 recovering 43/15 reestablish 30/5, 30/10, 35/6 reestablishing 35/2 reference 33/15 refueling 39/10 regressing 54/2 Regular 1/2 regulations 36/1 rehab 39/4 relate 40/21 related 10/13, 11/21, 12/6 relocate 43/12 relocating 43/16 rely 53/8 remain 20/21, 45/17 remember 16/3, 24/6, 62/23 reminding 54/3 reminiscent 17/8 removal 41/4 removed 21/9 renewals 9/15 rental 7/9, 9/4, 9/20, 9/23, 10/1, 10/4, 10/8 repair 65/9 repermit 27/24 replace 16/25, 18/20, 19/13, 20/11 replaces 43/1 replacing 20/10, 30/20 report 3/2, 4/25, 5/6, 5/7, 5/24, 6/19, 62/19, 68/7 RÉPORTER'S 68/1 Reporters 1/22 REPORTS 2/5, 2/7, 4/4, 4/5, 4/6, 4/12, 4/22, 5/3 reprioritize 44/23 reprogram 44/22 request 6/4, 7/6, 11/8, 44/19, 45/13, 60/1 requested 44/14, 45/9 require 23/15 required 15/4 requirement 10/2, 34/16 requirements 35/3 rescue 45/7, 45/10 reserve 9/21, 43/24 reshuffle 45/18, 46/18 reshuffling 12/20 resolve 60/2 respectably 34/14 respond 52/19, 58/11 response 52/25 responsible 35/19 restraints 62/6 restriction 21/8 restroom 24/16 restrooms 25/8 retail 61/23 retrieval 27/15 returned 9/23 revenue 65/22 revenue-producing 51/17 review 59/17 reviewed 36/15 Revised 2/12, 6/23, 6/25, 7/3 Richard 39/17 rid 19/22, 19/23 riding 22/2 rivetting 11/7 RMR 68/6 road 37/17, 38/17, 39/19, 39/25, 41/18 roads 35/21 Robert 42/12, 42/17 Rogers 1/17 roof 17/3, 22/12 room 25/7, 26/3, 33/25, 49/20, 49/24 ROSE 1/9, 3/2, 3/7, 3/10, 3/12, 3/17, 3/19, 3/23, 4/1, 4/5, 4/11, 4/17, 4/20, 5/1, 5/4, 5/7, 5/24, 6/2, 6/10, 6/15, 6/17, 6/20, 7/10, 7/12, 7/14, 7/17, 7/20, 7/23, 8/2, 8/7, 8/15, 8/17, 8/20, 8/22, 10/14, 10/17, 10/19, 10/25, 11/4, 12/10, 13/7, 13/10, 13/16, 13/18,

slurry 18/8, 18/9

13/21, 13/23, 14/15, 14/21, 18/21, 19/1, 20/2, 28/19, 28/23, 28/25, 31/21, 32/5, 32/7, 36/9, 36/11, 36/14, 36/21, 37/5, 37/10, 37/13, 37/25, 38/2, 39/13, 39/17, 40/8, 40/10, 40/12, 40/15, 42/5, 42/8, 42/10, 42/13, 42/15, 43/5, 43/7, 43/21, 45/20, 45/24, 46/7, 46/14, 46/19, 46/22, 46/25, 47/6, 47/10, 48/15, 50/6, 50/10, 50/14, 50/20, 51/4, 51/21, 52/6, 53/1, 53/10, 53/13, 53/17, 53/19, 53/23, 54/1, 57/4, 57/16, 57/18, 59/25, 60/10, 60/13, 62/17, 66/21, 67/1, 67/7, 67/9, 67/12 rotorcraft 42/2 routine 21/22 routinely 21/11 rows 19/13 RPR-CP 68/6 rules 3/21 run 54/25, 61/4 running 61/11, 61/21 runs 30/8, 61/1 Runway 21/8, 29/7, 30/2, 30/6, 30/7, 30/10, 32/10, 32/14, 32/18, 32/22, 33/9, 33/13, 33/21, 33/24, 33/25, 34/2, 34/15, 34/22, 35/7, 35/11, 35/14, 35/16, 36/2, 37/14, 64/8, 65/6 runways 32/16, 32/22

## S

S.A.P.A 2/9 safety 29/7, 30/2, 30/6, 30/10, 32/10, 32/15, 32/18, 32/23, 33/13, 33/19, 33/21, 34/2, 34/16, 34/18, 34/21, 35/3, 35/7, 36/2, 37/14, 38/4, 38/6, 43/15, 50/23 sandwiched 52/3 satisfies 35/3 scattered 21/6 science 47/9 scope 16/8 sea 18/9 seal seaplane 15/25, 30/8, 37/19, 39/3 seaplanes 39/8 seated 3/7 second 6/7, 6/8, 8/7, 8/14, 10/24, 13/15, 23/12, 29/21, 29/22, 37/15, 38/13, 41/9, 44/3, 51/8, 53/14, 58/22, 66/8 SECRETARY 3/9 Secretary-Treasurer 1/13 seek 63/10, 66/19 self-contained 56/8 self-fuel 58/23, 59/1, 59/4, 64/10 self-serve 57/6, 61/13 self-service 6/6, 55/5, 55/7, 55/20, 57/5, 57/17, 60/18, 61/6, 61/9, 61/18, 62/25, 63/11, 63/17, 63/19, 64/14, 64/17, 64/22, 65/19 sell 65/8 sells 64/13, 64/15, 64/20, 65/9 separate 7/8, 9/9, 23/19 separation 19/17, 19/20 September 33/9 sequence 32/3 serve 7/2, 38/6 serves 21/17 service 15/22, 16/2, 35/11, 37/16, 38/16, 39/19, 61/1, 65/11, 65/12 services 16/22, 39/9, 41/25, 57/11, 64/12 seven 55/4 sewer 41/1 shade 17/9, 23/8 shed 26/4 sheet 19/11 shelter 22/1 short 24/9 shorten 52/25 show 52/15 shut 61/14 side 30/6, 32/16, 38/7, 38/18, 39/1 sign-making 24/19 signature 10/9, 12/5, 61/10 simple 52/9 single 31/5 sit 52/1 site 14/25, 17/6, 17/13, 18/4, 21/16, 23/13, 24/2, 27/4, 27/13, 27/16, 30/21, 40/20, 44/7, 59/20 sits 21/25, 22/3 sitting 21/16 six 52/1 size 58/1, 60/16, 62/15 skipped 29/11 slides 14/3 Slingluff 2/8

small 22/2, 33/23, 35/11, 39/7 smaller 31/7 smart 57/14 Smyrna 55/18 snags 12/15, 12/16 snapshots 15/16 solve 32/20, 67/1 solves 19/16 sort 39/7, 60/3 sounded 62/22 sounds 26/13 south 17/16, 19/25, 30/7, 31/11, 39/1, 43/16, 45/10, 54/15 southeastern 33/6 southern 30/9 space 7/6, 9/1, 9/10, 25/6, 26/3, 56/12 spaces 9/21 speaker 42/16 Sport 2/8, 2/12, 5/1, 7/7, 8/25, 9/3, 9/5, 10/7, 10/11, 54/20, 54/22, 58/20, 61/21, 65/14, 67/3 Sport's 54/23 square 9/12, 9/16, 16/24, 22/18, 23/1, 25/19, 25/25, 26/2, 26/4, 26/11, 29/15, 31/6, 31/8 squarely 35/12 Staff 10/20, 10/23, 13/12, 13/14, 25/7 Staff's 10/6 stages 42/24 stand-alone 9/4, 23/9, 30/21 standard 35/9 standards 33/22, 34/21, 35/15, 39/5 stands 4/21 start 6/7, 46/12, 56/11 starting 25/14 State 15/20, 54/14, 55/6, 68/3 States 33/7 station 60/23, 61/1 statistics 54/10, 55/8 status 50/6 stay 60/18 steel 22/22, 23/1, 23/4 stenographic 68/9 stenographically 68/7 store 25/9 storms 17/4 straight 45/22, 51/15 Straightening 38/20 Strategic 2/12, 6/23, 6/25, 7/3, 7/15, 8/22 Street 1/17, 17/1, 19/20, 26/9 strike 51/18 strip-down 57/13 structure 22/22 study 15/21, 15/25, 16/2, 16/4, 16/6, 16/7, 16/8, 16/13, 41/14 stuff 21/3, 21/6, 22/8, 22/12, 24/11, 25/14, 56/13, 57/12, 65/3, 65/10 success 48/22 Suite 1/22 summary 14/9, 29/6, 43/9 support 29/17, 41/9, 41/20 surfaces 35/20 surrounding 9/20, 56/24, 57/8 suspect 14/14, 16/17, 38/23 system 33/17, 58/6, 63/11, 63/20

#### η

T-hangar 19/13, 26/21, 37/18, 38/25, 42/19 T-hangars 15/3, 17/17, 18/18, 19/1, 19/5, 26/25, 29/11, 29/12, 30/19, 30/21, 40/19, 41/22, 43/11, 44/6 tabulations 54/16 talented 53/11 talk 5/19, 5/21, 33/18, 60/8 talked 33/8 talking 14/20, 19/8, 20/10, 20/17, 24/12, 24/25, 29/3, 32/9, 32/10, 32/15, 32/19, 34/1, 49/20, 55/15, 56/12, 62/19, 67/2 tanks 62/15 targeted 18/1 Taxes 65/4 Taxiway 38/7, 41/2, 45/5, 49/2 taxiways 17/22 Tech 24/21 technical 16/19 term 19/16 Terminal 2/13, 7/6, 9/3, 9/16, 9/22, 9/25, 11/5, 11/9, 11/12, 16/6, 18/10, 37/15, 38/10, 38/11, 43/10, 44/1, 49/7, 49/11 terms 12/18, 34/17, 51/13, 58/1

TERPS 35/20 terrain 35/2 Texaco 64/20 Thank 26/21, 43/4, 52/8, 53/6, 53/12, 53/22, 67/7, 67/8 Thanks 6/16, 48/10 theirs 63/17 They've 32/2 three 17/16, 18/1, 19/13, 40/19, 43/11, 44/5, 55/12, 64/9, 64/12, 65/7 threshold 43/16 threw 5/12, 5/16, 25/13 thrilled 58/21 throw 5/17 time 7/2, 11/25, 14/12, 21/9, 25/16, 30/15, 31/19, 37/1, 39/10, 41/10, 47/20, 52/25, 54/11, 55/11, 58/12, 59/18, 62/22 times 48/11, 49/4 timetable 27/10 timing 52/12 title 43/19, 43/24 Titusville 55/21 token 26/13 topic 58/19 Towers 1/17, 35/21 tractor 24/8 tractors 20/14, 20/24 trailer 17/1, 24/9 training 64/10, 65/8 transcript 68/8 transportation 16/18, 48/17 Treasure 34/5 treasurer 4/6, 4/13 trend 55/7 trends 60/19 truck 64/18 trucks 20/15, 20/25, 24/15 true 39/8, 68/8 turf 35/2 two 6/5, 17/14, 18/11, 18/18, 19/1, 19/13, 19/22, 23/18, 23/25, 24/23, 27/2, 27/3, 29/11, 29/19, 30/19, 30/20, 31/7, 37/17, 38/5, 38/25, 39/23, 40/2, 40/4, 40/7, 49/2, 49/4, 49/24, 50/2, 65/8 type 22/8, 51/19

#### U

U.S 1/3, 41/17, 41/18 underlined 32/24 unfunded 44/20 uniform 25/11 unit 9/9, 17/2, 18/3, 40/3 United 33/6 units 19/13, 38/21, 38/25 unloading 24/8 Upchurch 50/15 update 15/13, 28/8, 57/21, 59/22 updating 14/23, 59/12 upper-end 23/3 utility 17/22, 35/10

## V

valuable 21/24 vehicle 23/9 vehicles 9/6, 17/9, 23/10 version 35/1 viable 45/17 vicinity 29/16, 41/16, 42/3 vote 13/10

## W

wade 47/6
Wait 7/10, 66/4
waiting 27/14, 43/2, 45/6, 49/3
warehouse 21/3, 26/1
wash 10/3
water 26/8, 27/24, 27/25, 34/19, 41/1, 41/2
WATTS 1/13
Wayne 5/8, 5/10, 6/17, 51/23, 67/7
wayside 46/2, 46/5
weather 17/11, 23/11
week 6/4, 8/23, 54/10
weekend 61/16
weeks 28/9, 58/20
west 38/7, 41/17
wide 64/8, 65/6

WILLIAM 1/9
wiring 24/17
wish 45/22
wondered 7/24
word 62/6
words 22/11, 34/11
work 11/8, 12/3, 12/9, 27/16, 27/22, 43/2, 52/10, 52/15, 58/8, 59/15, 59/24, 60/4
working 16/19, 31/17, 44/16
works 36/4, 65/9
wrapped 31/20
wrong 36/5
wrote 20/9
WUELLNER 1/19, 6/21, 42/6

### X

X 2/1

## Y

yards 29/15
year 9/14, 9/17, 11/20, 11/23, 12/23, 13/1, 13/2,
14/17, 14/20, 14/22, 15/7, 15/14, 16/11, 16/12,
16/13, 16/14, 18/11, 18/13, 18/14, 18/22, 20/1,
28/20, 31/22, 37/7, 37/8, 37/11, 39/15, 42/25, 44/13,
44/19, 44/24, 46/21, 47/1, 47/14, 47/19, 47/24,
48/25, 52/11, 52/16
year's 40/13, 43/9
year-to-year 14/9
years 14/10, 15/10, 15/13, 17/3, 17/4, 22/20,
23/25, 24/23, 30/15, 33/19, 42/18, 42/20, 44/9, 46/1,
47/23, 48/4, 49/2, 55/5, 55/12, 56/16
York 66/13