

1 ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY

2 Special Meeting

3 held at 4796 U.S. 1 North

4 St. Augustine, Florida

5 on Monday, April 7, 2003

6 from 4:00 p.m. to 5:18 p.m.

7 * * * * *

8 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

9 WAYNE "BUZZ" GEORGE, Secretary-Treasurer, Acting
Chairman

10 JOSEPH CIRIELLO

11 BOB COX

12 JOHN "JACK" GORMAN

13 * * * * *

14 BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

15 SUZANNE GREEN, Chairman

16 * * * * *

17 ALSO PRESENT:

18 DOUGLAS BURNETT, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,
Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,
19 FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.

20 EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.

21 BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.

22 * * * * *

23

24

St. Augustine Court Reporters
1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A
St. Augustine, FL 32084
(904) 825-0570

25

	I N D E X	
		PAGE
3	2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
4	3. OPENING REMARKS	3
5	4. DISCUSSION ITEMS	
6	A. Hangar Tenant Eviction Appeal	4
7	B. Develop Short List Consultant Selection	45
8	5. NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING - April 21, 2003	3
9	6. ADJOURNMENT	76

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: It's 4 o'clock, so let's
3 go ahead and get started. Suzanne has got
4 another appointment this afternoon and
5 unfortunately can't be here, so I'll be sitting
6 in. Let's start with our pledge of allegiance to
7 the flag.

8 (Pledge of Allegiance.)

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: This is a special meeting
10 of the board and it has two items on the agenda.
11 And since it is a special agenda, we will not
12 open the agenda up for additional items. That
13 would come about at our next meeting, which I
14 think is the 21st.

15 MR. COX: The 21st, correct.

16 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: The 21st. We have two
17 items on the agenda today. One is a -- to create
18 a short list of vendors of our engineering
19 consultation firm, and the second item on the
20 agenda has to do with hangar M-10.

21 If anyone doesn't have any objection, I'm
22 going to reverse those two, because I think a lot
23 of the people here are for -- are here to listen

24 to what we're going to talk about about hangar

25 M-10. Anybody have any objections to that?

4

1 (No objections.)

2 3.A. - HANGAR TENANT EVICTION APPEAL

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. So we'll go into

4 that. Ed, do you want to read the --

5 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman? I'd like to

6 make some statements referring this item before

7 it gets to the Staff.

8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Ciriello.

9 MR. CIRIELLO: I've gone over this quite a

10 bit. And for background, the Authority board

11 members are elected to manage and run this

12 airport, which they at their choosing elect a

13 director to do the everyday running of the

14 board's wishes. And some years back, six or

15 seven years, Mr. Wuellner was appointed to that

16 job.

17 Now, as I understand, according to this

18 letter, the tenant and his attorney are asking

19 the board to overrule your Executive Director. I

20 have a problem with that. I don't feel that the

21 board is to sit here in judgment of anybody on

22 staff, irregardless of who they are, the

23 attorney, the director, the assistant or
24 secretaries, or whatever, that's doing their job
25 correctly.

5

1 And in my opinion, this job was done
2 correctly and there's no -- no reason for us to
3 overrule the Director's decision, because he was
4 empowered with these responsibilities by -- by
5 his hiring and -- oh, what word -- the lease
6 itself and the -- what's those few papers ahead
7 of the lease that we have?

8 MR. WUELLNER: The policies?

9 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, the policy. So, since
10 I feel that he did nothing wrong -- he did his
11 job, and -- I don't think that we should sit here
12 in judgment of his decision-making in that light.

13 If there was a mistake made by any member of
14 Staff, I can understand going to the board and
15 appealing it. But I feel this isn't an
16 appealable decision cause there was no -- nothing
17 wrong done. It was done properly.

18 And so, if I may, if it's not improper, I'd
19 like to make a motion that the board direct or
20 empower the Director to move forward with the
21 proper eviction and reletting of the hangar to

22 the next qualified person on the list and go from

23 there.

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: So you're making a motion

25 for that?

6

1 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I'm making that as a

2 motion.

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think, as a point of

4 clarification only, that the normal procedure is

5 that we read what the agenda item is and then we

6 solicit public comment, and then we then have had

7 the privilege of the documentation that we have,

8 plus the privilege of the information that is

9 presented to us.

10 MR. CIRIELLO: I understand what you're

11 saying. What I'm saying is that this shouldn't

12 even be on the agenda because it's not proper.

13 That's really what I'm saying, and that's why I

14 want the motion now.

15 And I don't -- I don't think that we need to

16 hear anything from anybody, because everything

17 was proper. And that's what my motion is about,

18 to just drop this thing and proceed the way it

19 should be. And that's what the motion's really

20 about and what my statements were about.

21 I understand what you're saying. You want
22 to give everybody a fair shake, but the fair
23 shake has been done a long time ago, and I just
24 don't think that we need to be discussing this
25 and back-stabbing our director, if you want to

7

1 put it that way.

2 MR. COX: Mr. Chairman, a comment?

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes, please.

4 MR. COX: Joe, would you -- and I just say
5 this. I think maybe we should consider tabling
6 the motion. We probably should have taken up
7 that, what you say -- and I agree with everything
8 you said, Joe.

9 I think we should have taken that into
10 consideration probably at the last meeting, if we
11 didn't want to have this on the agenda, and
12 thrown it out as a motion to support that issue
13 in that way. But I think we need to continue on
14 through at this point, at the very least, and
15 hear out the agenda item to -- to the extent that
16 it's --

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Mr. Gorman?

18 MR. GORMAN: Exactly. We've got a sunshine
19 law. Let's let some sunshine in. Let's let --

20 see both sides. Whether or not it's proper or
21 not, Joe, you could take that and discuss that
22 further. But it was on the agenda and I think we
23 need to move forward with it.

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Based on those, Joe, would
25 I -- could I ask you to hold it, or would you

8

1 rather press forward and see --

2 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, actually --

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- what --

4 MR. CIRIELLO: -- if you want to come down
5 to procedures, I made a motion and nobody
6 seconded. Really, there should have been no
7 discussion because there was no second, and we
8 even went and did that, so...

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well then, let's keep
10 going --

11 MR. CIRIELLO: We'll just go on with the --
12 with the agenda and let the people have their say
13 and --

14 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay.

15 MR. CIRIELLO: -- it won't change anything,
16 not in my estimation.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Ed, can you read what the
18 item, you know, specifies, please?

19 MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. There are -- there
20 are a couple of points to be discussed and some
21 action taken by the Authority relative to this
22 matter.

23 Staff has, you know, continually
24 administered the policy with the airport -- that
25 the Airport Authority give it since its inception

9

1 and relative to the lease. If anything, we were
2 probably, if anything, guilty of being a
3 little -- a little lax in allowing the situation
4 to go along as long as it did, versus being a
5 little -- a little too forthright in our effort
6 to solve the problem at this point.

7 It's come to a head toward the end of last
8 fiscal year, late last summer, when the decision
9 was brought to the -- or the question was brought
10 to the Airport Authority relative to several
11 matters. One being two hangar-related policies,
12 which the Authority took action on, and I think
13 in some cases, even solicited specific input from
14 folks that are now members of the Airport
15 Authority on.

16 The other has to do with direction that we
17 gave specific to the a -- tenant verbally last

18 spring relative to his actions relative to the
19 lease. That ended in a letter that Staff wrote
20 to Mr. Sasich in September relative -- advising
21 him of our initial determination not to renew his
22 lease for multiple reasons, but two primary
23 reasons come -- come to a head. One being the
24 payment history that he's had on the hangar and
25 the fact that, even at that point, if my memory's

10

1 correct, was in arrears at that time.

2 The other question, and probably the most
3 prominent question, was the policy decision was
4 made by the Airport Authority relative to the use
5 of hangars beginning with the new lease
6 documents, which was an October 1 document.

7 Policy was modified and specific time spent
8 by this Authority relative to the lease, and in
9 particular, relative to the definitions of uses
10 that those T-hangars could be made -- could be
11 made of those T-hangars.

12 At that point, it was determined that
13 Mr. Sasich's use of the hangar, in the condition
14 it was in, did not allow us to offer or extend an
15 additional lease to him beginning in October, and
16 he was so notified, at which point, somewhere in

17 this process chronology-wise, I'm not a hundred
18 percent sure of exactly where it happened, we
19 sent a letter in mid-September relative to this.

20 Shortly thereafter, Mr. Sasich met with
21 Mr. Cooper, also sent a letter or delivered a
22 letter to our office relative to his position
23 on -- essentially responding to our letter, in
24 which he alleged that a payment that he had made
25 to the Airport Authority had not been credited to

11

1 his account, and once again, for the 17th time,
2 we had extended the offer to allow him to present
3 some sort of evidence that that payment had been
4 made. We reviewed our records, as promised. We
5 find absolutely no evidence that any payment made
6 by Mr. Sasich was ever not credited to his
7 account, and still don't.

8 He was given a couple of weeks. He said he
9 had to be out of the country and would come back
10 and meet with me within two weeks. He did not do
11 that. Again, he's now into October without a
12 lease document with the Authority.

13 Another issue that keeps rearing its head in
14 this matter is that, allegedly, we made the
15 statement that we were not going to accept his

16 payment or told him to wait till the end or wait
17 till later and we'd take his check when he got
18 back into the country.

19 I think you'd find the Staff answer to that
20 is a little enlightening. First of all, when
21 extended the offer to do a credit card, we did
22 not accept that because we have not and at this
23 point do not still accept credit cards for
24 payments of anything, even home rentals. So that
25 was simply not an option.

12

1 Beyond that, the continuation of his
2 occupancy of the hangar has been more an issue
3 of, he has no lease with the Airport Authority.
4 The hangar condition, even today, does not
5 present itself in a form that allows us to
6 continue leasing the hangar, based on the
7 direction Staff was given.

8 You have photos that are very recent in your
9 packages relative to that hangar condition. That
10 condition exists today, continues to exist today;
11 is, in our opinion, not compliant with the
12 policies and direction of the Authority. And as
13 a result, we're asking you to solve or ask --
14 asking you to make an initial decision as to

15 whether or not you want to hear an appeal on this
16 matter.

17 Should you decide to do that, then our
18 request is that you, you know, provide specific
19 direction to Staff as to how to continue with the
20 lease agreement with Mr. Sasich, if that would be
21 your -- be that your -- your decision.

22 The Authority's -- or the airport Staff's
23 recommendation is that you affirm your policy and
24 deny the appeal and demand the immediate return
25 of the hangar to the -- for rental to the next

13

1 available hangar tenant on the list. It's also
2 recommended that no refunds be afforded and that
3 we collect -- we retain the fees already
4 collected relative to the legal fees in this
5 matter.

6 And that's generally -- Staff would be happy
7 to deal with specific questions, but I think 16
8 times in arrears over the course of the
9 three-year rental history with the Airport
10 Authority, as well as a continual and continuing
11 problem with the use of the hangar is not --
12 inconsistent with aviation uses.

13 And the specific lease language that keeps

14 getting, perhaps bent out of context is that the
15 lease specifically says for the storage of an
16 aircraft or the active assembly of a kit-type
17 aircraft. Those are the permitted uses for the
18 hangar via the lease. And that has been -- that
19 language became effective in October. Prior to
20 that, it was strictly for the storage of an
21 aircraft.

22 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Thank you. Our attorney,
23 do you have anything to say?

24 MR. BURNETT: Not at this point in time, no,
25 sir.

14

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. I would like to
2 throw it open for any comments. Mr. Hamilton?
3 Mr. Upchurch, not Mr. Hamilton.

4 MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of
5 the board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, an attorney for
6 Mr. Michael Sasich, the tenant in this instance.
7 And I would like to comment briefly on what has
8 already been said before I speak to you about
9 what I think are the issues in this regard.

10 One thing I want to tell you, Mr. Ciriello,
11 now, hear me straight. Nobody has tried to
12 back-stab your executive director. I have been

13 as ethical and as businesslike in this manner, in
14 this issue, as is possible to be.

15 MR. CIRIELLO: I didn't mean it that way,
16 Mr. Upchurch. I meant --

17 MR. UPCHURCH: Well, I copied it down.

18 MR. CIRIELLO: No. I'm sorry, I didn't mean
19 it that way. I meant -- when I used
20 back-stabbing, I meant that we shouldn't be here
21 judging his decision. Not the way you took it.

22 MR. UPCHURCH: Let me tell you, Mr --

23 MR. CIRIELLO: I apologize for that.

24 MR. UPCHURCH: -- Mr. Wuellner has been
25 always courteous and respectful and businesslike

15

1 with me in any client or my personal affairs with
2 this Airport Authority.

3 And in law, we have a situation where, when
4 the other side of the controversy is represented
5 by counsel, you must make your comments through
6 the attorney, if that side, the other side is
7 represented by an attorney.

8 And in this particular instance, I had a lot
9 of conversation with Mr. McClure, and we were
10 back and forth quite a bit on the telephone prior
11 to the last meeting. And actually, although I'm

12 in that legal business, I don't like using
13 legalistic terms.

14 This really shouldn't have been an appeal
15 and it should have been on the agenda last time,
16 but after I'd had conversations with George over
17 a period of a week to ten days and I didn't make
18 a formal request that it go on the agenda, and I
19 got here and it wasn't, you-all permitted me to
20 say something under public comment, but then you
21 adjourned the meeting, and we could have taken
22 care of it at that time, but then I recognized at
23 that meeting that there were two sides to this
24 controversy.

25 In all due respect to both -- both sides,

16

1 mostly Mr. Wuellner and Mr. Sasich, and I wanted
2 to present my client's view in the best possible
3 light. I wrote to Mr. McClure a letter after the
4 last meeting, a very conciliatory letter, and
5 asked him to bring it to the attention of his
6 client.

7 I must have said something in that letter
8 that wasn't very -- didn't get much attention,
9 because I never got a response to it, and I wrote
10 it on March the 14th. And the -- that's where

11 this matter should have ended, in my judgment.

12 But, in any event, I've got copies for all of

13 you.

14 But what that letter said was, I asked that
15 the lease be reinstated until September the 30th,
16 and in -- the next day, on October the 1st, if he
17 had complied from this point forward to the
18 letter of your lease, that he be given
19 consideration for another year's renewal.

20 And then I said, if he fails to comply in
21 that interim period, April to September, if he
22 failed to comply, we would voluntarily vacate
23 within five days' notice and save anybody any
24 further trouble with legal action.

25 And then the fourth thing -- the fourth

17

1 point that I made in my letter was that the
2 double rental, which is permitted under the
3 law -- if you stay quote over after a lease
4 expires and fail to pay the rent, that double
5 rent can be assessed.

6 I understood, although I had not talked to
7 Mr. Wuellner personally, always through
8 Mr. McClure, that the double rental charges of
9 some three or four months would be forgiven and

10 then Mr. Sasich has already paid on this
11 controversy \$869.24, and -- for this eviction
12 procedure to the attorneys down in Orlando,
13 and -- who represented this Authority, and I
14 asked that since it was in my judgment a
15 misunderstanding on both parts, that that
16 attorney fee provision or attorney fee charge be
17 split.

18 Now, that was my -- my letter, and I have
19 not received a response to it. Could you pass
20 this down, please, sir? I think there's six
21 there.

22 MR. WUELLNER: They have that.

23 MR. UPCHURCH: Do they have it?

24 MR. WUELLNER: They have it.

25 MR. UPCHURCH: Well, if they've already got

18

1 it, pass them back here.

2 MR. WUELLNER: You want them back?

3 MR. UPCHURCH: No. But anyway, with the
4 exception of Mr. George, I mentioned to you the
5 facts in some detail last time. And there
6 appears to be two issues in this matter. The
7 first issue is he didn't pay the rent on time
8 each time.

9 The Airport Authority has lost absolutely no
10 money on Mr. Sasich. Now, he has not paid, as
11 agreed. He travels outside of the United States
12 quite a bit, and I explained to you-all in some
13 detail where he thought he would be in this
14 little harbor when his large boat broke down a
15 short period and he ended up being there about 90
16 days to get a major repair done; that he had to
17 buy from the United States, have shipped, a very
18 large diesel engine and then have it installed in
19 this very primitive location.

20 But he -- when he got back, he found that
21 his -- this lawsuit had been filed and his
22 property was up for sale and there was a bill of
23 some \$2,000 more, and I instructed him to go on
24 down there and pay that, let's get that stopped,
25 and then let's try to work it out, which he did.

19

1 Now, the lease provides for late charges.
2 No late charges were ever assessed in this
3 matter. Why, I don't know. We're grateful that
4 they weren't, but they -- they are not assessed.
5 It's \$25 per month, as provided in the lease.

6 He was not always available. He tendered
7 his money, and it was accepted. Sometimes it was

8 for two months, sometimes it was for three months
9 and sometimes it was for each month. I think
10 we've explained that. He is no longer employed
11 in the Bahamas. He's available, as I said in my
12 letter, to pay the rent promptly and on time in
13 the future.

14 Now there's this question of aviation
15 purposes. Actually, the lease doesn't say
16 aviation purposes. It says for storage of "the
17 aircraft," and "the aircraft" is the one that's
18 described at the top of the lease. And anybody
19 that's in a hangar who's not described at the top
20 of the lease is in violation of your policy.

21 If Mr. Sasich should be evicted, there's a
22 number that ought to go with him. But that's in
23 a -- that's another point. And it says "storage
24 of the aircraft," meaning the one described
25 there, "and to assemble a kit." Then it goes on

20

1 to say "and for no other purpose."

2 And the only thing I'm bringing this out is
3 I don't want -- I'm not blowing the whistle on
4 anybody else, but I'm saying to you that if you
5 enforce this eviction against this man, there are
6 20, 30, 50 subject to the same violations,

7 because there are automobiles out there. There
8 are motorcycles. There are sleds. There are
9 skis. There are business records. There are
10 stuffed heads. There are bearskin rugs. There
11 are televisions, and old worn-out furniture that
12 the wife told them to get out of the attic or the
13 garage, and there are -- it's a domestic
14 storehouse, this -- these hangars.

15 And if -- he's not the neatest guy in the
16 world, he doesn't run the neatest hangar, but
17 neatness is not spelled out in the lease. He's
18 got a lot of aircraft stuff in there and a lot of
19 other things.

20 But the point that I'm making is he is no
21 different than an awful lot of people, and if you
22 want to lower the hammer on him, don't be
23 selective. Get them all. Get them all. Let's
24 have one established policy.

25 Now, when you get -- I'm not asking you to

21

1 micromanage your executive director or anybody
2 else out here, but what I'm asking you to do is
3 establish a clear and concise policy beyond which
4 you will not tolerate further violations.

5 Now, there are numerous violations out here

6 today. There are people who have airplanes in
7 hangars that are not described in the lease; some
8 buddy arrangement. It's some deal like that.
9 I've even heard -- I don't know this -- I've
10 heard of an airplane being in a hangar that
11 wasn't even leased to anybody. Somebody's
12 keeping an airplane in there. Those are the kind
13 of things that I say to you that is selective
14 enforcement. Now, there may be degrees, but -- I
15 am not asking you to micromanage, but what I'm
16 asking you to do is establish a fair policy.

17 You know, this aircraft -- airplane
18 community is relatively small all over this
19 state, all over this country, as a matter of
20 fact, and I want this airport to have a
21 reputation of being cooperative with its tenants,
22 with its transients, with its business tenants
23 and with those that want to do business here.
24 And airports get a reputation, just like people.
25 They get a reputation.

22

1 I want this airport to have the reputation
2 that the rest of the entities in this city enjoy.
3 And I'm not sure it has that at all times. It
4 should be a place that's pleasant for the

5 recreational pilot, a pleasant place to do
6 business, and I just want it to be kind and
7 understanding and fair. That's what I'm asking
8 you to do.

9 And I'm not -- I'm not, again, not asking
10 you to micromanage this gentleman. But I'm
11 saying to you that he hadn't -- he couldn't be as
12 old as he is without having someone tell him once
13 in a while, "Ed, you may be wrong," or "Let's do
14 it this way." Because the buck stops with
15 you-all.

16 Whatever happens in this community so far as
17 the airport is your fault. And whatever's good
18 that happens in this community so far as this
19 airport is your fault. You get credit for the
20 good. You get credit for the bad. And I just
21 want you to have credit for the good.

22 And you've got some serious problems, way
23 more than one hangar tenant. You really do. And
24 I'm not telling you anything. And it's your --
25 even though their problems are not your doing,

23

1 it's your responsibility to fix them. And I ask
2 you to devote your attention to that.

3 Look at my letter. If something's

4 unreasonable about it, tell me what's
5 unreasonable, maybe we can do it. I appreciate
6 your attention, as my client does. We shouldn't
7 even have been at this level, but I do appreciate
8 your attention, and I'm just asking you to be
9 fair and across the board.

10 This man's had some serious hardship. And
11 he not only's had to pay these expenses that have
12 come along -- I'm not a cheap lawyer, but I'm not
13 inexpensive. He's had to pay me. He's had to
14 pay your lawyer. All on a top of all of these
15 other expenses.

16 And I think that -- I don't like to say he's
17 learned his lesson, but I think he's learned that
18 it's important to be punctual with rental. And I
19 just -- he would go away from here being fair if
20 everybody else had nothing in their hangar but an
21 aircraft described in the lease, which you and I
22 know is not the case.

23 Thank you, very much for your consideration.
24 Gentlemen, I'll be glad to answer any questions.

25 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman?

24

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes.

2 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Upchurch, you mentioned

3 the hangar that had an aircraft in it with the
4 tail number on the particular lease. What
5 aircraft was that?

6 MR. UPCHURCH: No, I didn't say that.

7 MR. CIRIELLO: What did you say exactly?

8 MR. UPCHURCH: I said it had an aircraft in
9 there that he's building. It probably hasn't
10 been assigned a number. I never said it had a
11 number.

12 MR. CIRIELLO: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought
13 I -- I thought you said that -- something about
14 the lease and the tail number of the aircraft is
15 what was in there.

16 MR. UPCHURCH: When you have a lease, it's
17 my understanding, I've got one myself here --

18 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.

19 MR. UPCHURCH: -- and I describe the
20 airplane that I own at the top of my lease.

21 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.

22 MR. UPCHURCH: That's what I -- that's what
23 I had referenced to.

24 MR. CIRIELLO: I must have heard it wrong.
25 Sorry.

25

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: All right. Mr. Gorman?

2 MR. GORMAN: Well, fellow members of the
3 board, here it goes. Would anybody really want
4 to know what's going on?

5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Are you ready to talk
6 before --

7 MR. GORMAN: I certainly am.

8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- before you give the
9 other people a chance to talk? If so, go right
10 ahead.

11 MR. GORMAN: All right. It's --
12 unfortunately, I'm personally aware of the
13 situation. I'm neighbors with Mr. Sasich. And
14 I'm situationally aware of the -- of what has
15 been going on.

16 The Staff did uphold the policy, but I think
17 that there's just an old-fashioned matter of
18 personalities here. It's just this is my
19 opinion. There's a matter of personalities here
20 and it boils down to customer service.

21 I think that if -- Mr. Sasich is a -- he's
22 an A & P, he's an A & I, he's a corporate
23 airplane pilot. He works on everything and
24 anything out of there, but he works on airplanes
25 predominantly. And while I was there, he was

1 working on the airplane that he flew back and
2 forth to the Bahamas.

3 He has lots of other stuff in the hangar --
4 so do lots of the other tenants -- that is not
5 aviation-related. He was piddling around,
6 building a little bit at a time on the framework
7 that's in the rear of the hangar, and he was
8 actually using the hangar part of the time for
9 his parts for his corporate aircraft. And he'd
10 work on his car, too. So, if there's a marginal
11 situation here, it's probably marginal, but is --
12 the man is using the thing for aircraft-related
13 situations.

14 I think that if you're going to kick him
15 out, I think that it is a bit selective, the
16 enforcement. That's my opinion. And of course I
17 know him personally, and so I -- but that is my
18 opinion, that it would be selective to kick him
19 out and that there is a matter of personalities
20 here. It does boil down to customer service.

21 The onerous thing that happened is an
22 auctioneer was called to hurriedly sell the
23 high-value car, instead of simply plastering a
24 notice and locking him out -- now, the Staff did
25 plaster a notice, they did lock him out and that

1 was fine, and I'd certainly agree with that
2 because there is the slow pay and -- situation.
3 He had paid, I know, a year ahead of time once,
4 but the payment was back and forth. But the fact
5 that we were going to sell at auction a car, an
6 Italian exotic car of very high value, to me,
7 seems a bit selective.

8 So, I just think that everybody needs to
9 drop the gloves and stop it, and just I would
10 like to see it renewed, but I'd like to see no
11 more slow pay. I mean, I think that you've
12 got -- you know, pilots can be a very
13 cantankerous lot on both sides, and I just think
14 that it's gotten out of hand. I -- you know, if
15 I wasn't there all the time, I wouldn't probably
16 be as situationally aware as I am of this.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Let's go back to the
18 public comment. Any other public comments?

19 (No public comment.)

20 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Then I'll open it up for
21 board comment.

22 MR. COX: Buzz, I've got a statement. I've
23 had a statement here to read before that, so...

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay.

25 MR. COX: I'm intensely upset that this

1 situation has come before the board, that it got
2 to the level to come to the board.

3 I think this is ending up being a
4 quasi-judicial situation, and we are not here,
5 nor do we have the authority to sit in judgment
6 of a situation. It's a legal matter. Bearing
7 that in mind, I want to read a prepared statement
8 that I have. And this is my personal opinion.
9 It's got nothing to do with anybody else's
10 opinion on this board.

11 The situation regarding the hangar M-10 was
12 brought before the Authority in order for the
13 Authority to take into consideration reported
14 extenuating circumstances surrounding the issue
15 of the Airport Director's pursuance of
16 termination of said hangar lease.

17 The Airport Director's staff, as a
18 requirement of the situation, has presented to
19 this Authority, making it a matter of public
20 record, the lease record of the referenced
21 hangar. The Authority Members unfortunately have
22 been placed in a position of being required to
23 make a judgment concerning the individual merits
24 of a situation, as well as the individual
25 himself. This is a situation that in my opinion

1 would require a judgment of law, not a decision
2 by individuals who make up the Airport Authority
3 and who do not have quasi-judicial authority to
4 make judgments on what is obviously a legal
5 issue.

6 The responsibility of this Authority, and
7 the individuals making up the Authority, is to
8 set policy and standards that are then discharged
9 to the Airport Director, supported by legal
10 staff, to implement and/or enforce as necessary.

11 The overt, or inadvertent as the case may be,
12 abrogation of lease terms in other circumstances
13 simply would have been handled as a legal matter,
14 period.

15 Bearing that in mind, I must reiterate that
16 this is a legal matter that needs to be handled
17 between the legal representatives of both sides.
18 It's not an issue that we can preside over or
19 should be presided over by the Authority Members,
20 which I strongly feel is outside the purview of
21 this Authority, and I am personally incensed that
22 we are being put into a position of being asked
23 to make a ruling on an issue that is obviously
24 outside the scope of our authority and that deals

25 so closely with personal and individual

30

1 relationships, both individuals who I consider my
2 friend.

3 Therefore, in consideration of the situation
4 regarding the referenced hangar and the issues
5 surrounding the nonpayment of hangar rental and
6 nonaviation use of the hangar, both resulting in
7 a technical and legal default of the lease, I
8 feel that barring any recommendation from the
9 Authority's legal counsel to the Airport
10 Director, based upon negotiations between
11 Authority's legal counsel and distinguished
12 counsel for Mr. Sasich, that concerning
13 situation, Authority Members simply have no other
14 option but to support the policy that we've set,
15 as well as the Airport Director's position on
16 this situation, which is in itself to implement
17 the policy that the board set. For this
18 Authority to do otherwise would be anathema to
19 the standards of the policy -- of any policy we
20 set or staff support, as well as being
21 hypocritical and unfair to other hangar tenants.

22 Bearing that in mind, if Staff presents us
23 with recommendation to consider the issue of

24 readdressing the policy on hangar leasing
25 parameters, we would of course do so in no

31

1 uncertain terms. But I would caution that in any
2 readdress of the parameters of the hangar
3 leasing -- or hangar leases, that we directly
4 impact the leases of all the other tenants on the
5 field as well as the impact that would be felt by
6 those on the hangar waiting list. I would also
7 be open to discussing forgiving the double rent
8 and the attorney fee split in further
9 conversation on this matter.

10 And that's all I have to say. I thank the
11 other members for their time and distinguished
12 counsel and Mr. Sasich for your time.

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Joe?

14 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Cox
15 presented a pretty nice speech, and I'm not sure
16 if I agree with all of it.

17 This Authority, whether anybody likes it or
18 not, does have a heck of a lot of clout. There's
19 a lot we can do, and I don't -- so I don't
20 understand why he said it's a legal matter
21 between our attorney and the other attorney to
22 make a decision, and if they say so, the guy gets

23 his hangar back.
24 It's a -- to me, it's a simple thing that
25 the policy -- or not the policy, the lease was

32

1 voided and it's as simple as that.

2 Mr. Sasich is a very high intelligent man.

3 And I can't believe in today's day and age, if

4 somebody, and I'm not saying he's not, is a real

5 responsible person, like you have mortgage

6 payments, car payments or whatever, if you go out

7 of the state, you go out of the country, you go

8 out of anywhere, there are so many ways of making

9 your payments. You know, you can send a check.

10 You can send electronically. You can do all kind

11 of things.

12 And his record of how many times -- I think

13 Mr. Ed said he was 17 times he was admonished for

14 being over the 60 days. How many times -- this

15 is just putting it simple, silly. How many times

16 do you spank a child before you, you know, give

17 up on him? So I don't feel that this is a

18 personality thing.

19 As a matter of fact, I asked Mr. Ed numerous

20 times, and I'm not sure if I got the answer

21 right, but in my opinion, the reason for a hangar

22 and what it's there for is to house airworthy
23 aircraft. It's not a storage shed; there's a lot
24 of storage places in the county. It's not a
25 place to build, manufacture or whatever aircraft.

33

1 That's gets into the maintenance facilities and
2 our minimum standards and such.

3 I think it's just simply a matter of a lease
4 being broken, and we should move on to the next
5 person. It's as simple as that. I -- it's no,
6 nothing personal. I don't understand why all
7 this talk is going on.

8 And how many chances do you give the person?

9 You know, I mean -- and, yes, if it's so
10 selective that we're hitting him hard where a lot
11 of other people are getting away with things,
12 maybe that needs to be addressed at another time.

13 But this problem is simply that a contract or a
14 lease was violated and we want to move on, and
15 it's just that simple.

16 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I'd like to read a
17 statement or a letter that our Chairman, who is
18 absent today, sent and -- only to get it in the
19 record. It's a letter due to her trial schedule
20 and two court appearances, she can't be here.

21 Anyway, item 1. "As to the Hangar lease, I
22 am not in favor of granting an appeal or
23 executing a new lease [with] Mr. Sasich. Our
24 Executive Director is empowered to make
25 determinations as to compliance with the leases

34

1 and I believe the history of non-payment and non
2 compliance on this Unit speaks for itself. It is
3 my understanding that we have ready and willing
4 tenants available for this Unit. I would accept
5 staff's recommendation."

6 You've heard from the other members. I have
7 not, you know, given my opinion on it yet. I
8 think that this is a situation of, a policy was
9 established and the authority was given to the
10 Executive Director to implement that policy.

11 In the reviewing of the documentation that I
12 have, I do not find that he has stepped outside
13 the bounds of those procedures, and he has in
14 essence done his job. And I am also in favor of
15 not granting, you know, the appeal.

16 I would -- after listening to counsel, you
17 know, today, I would like to request -- I think
18 it would be appropriate for us to request Staff
19 to review the lease that has been approved just

20 recently, to make sure that -- and not only the
21 lease, but the accounts receivable functions, to
22 make sure that we are handling everyone
23 consistently.

24 I don't have a copy of the lease in front of
25 me. I have read it. And I have a mental image

35

1 that the lease said that the hangar is being
2 rented for the primary purpose of aircraft
3 storage and/or an aircraft-related project.

4 So, to me, to have two pieces of plywood in
5 a hangar, as long as you meet that other
6 requirement of a primary aircraft or an active
7 aircraft-related project, I think that satisfies
8 it. So, I will entertain any motions you guys
9 want to do.

10 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I made a motion earlier
11 and I'll stick with it, that I think that the
12 board --

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Would you restate it,
14 then?

15 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. I'll make a motion
16 that the board instruct the Executive Director to
17 follow our policy and lease and move on to
18 awarding -- evicting Mr. Sasich and awarding the

19 hangar to the next qualified candidate.

20 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Do I have a second?

21 MR. COX: Yeah, I'll second that. I just

22 think it -- you may need to restate it. I'm not

23 sure that's the proper way -- what we're talking

24 about here is whether we're going to hear an

25 appeal or not. If we don't hear the appeal, it

36

1 simply ends, basically, and Ed goes on --

2 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, do you want to

3 restate how it is and let Joe concur with that?

4 MR. CIRIELLO: In other words, amend it.

5 MR. COX: Amend it?

6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes.

7 MR. COX: That we not accept the hearing of

8 an appeal to the case, which would push it into

9 doing exactly what you said, so -- but it's up to

10 you. It doesn't make any difference, I guess.

11 It's a matter of semantics.

12 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Is that language

13 acceptable to you?

14 MR. CIRIELLO: From what Mr. Cox said, he

15 doesn't feel that we should be making this

16 decision, but if he -- his amendment is agreeing

17 with my motion, I thought maybe his amendment

18 wouldn't, but --

19 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: His amendment is, in my
20 opinion, an agreement with yours.

21 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.

22 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: He was just putting it
23 into --

24 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, yeah.

25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: You were saying the

37

1 procedure in place, direct the Executive Director
2 to continue with it.

3 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.

4 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: He is saying, do not grant
5 an appeal; therefore, the procedure will stay in
6 place and the Executive Director has the
7 authority to implement it.

8 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. All right. Okay.

9 MR. COX: I second it.

10 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Vote. All in
11 favor?

12 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Aye.

14 MR. COX: Aye.

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Opposed?

16 MR. GORMAN: Opposed.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: One. It's passed. Next
18 subject on the agenda is --

19 MR. UPCHURCH: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
20 There's just a couple of things, and this was all
21 conversation between Mr. McClure and myself,
22 that -- this decision does not come as a total
23 surprise.

24 The understanding I had with Mr. McClure,
25 which he was quoting Mr. Wuellner, that they

38

1 would forgive the double rent that was charged
2 for two or three, four months and that from
3 that -- so there would be money owed back, but we
4 would settle the account based on when the exact
5 day he has -- you want him out of there.

6 We don't want any -- this is not a legal
7 proceeding. I differ with Mr. Cox. We don't
8 want a legal proceeding. That happens to be my
9 business, but we don't want a legal proceeding.
10 Just give us the time -- there's some stuff in
11 that hangar, as you've probably seen from the
12 pictures. Give us some time to get it out of
13 there and we'll go on down the road.

14 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I think what the board is
15 saying is the Executive Director needs to

16 implement our procedures. If he wants to look at
17 your letter, and have to counsel with McClure or
18 not counsel with McClure, if he wants to make
19 that decision, let's waive this so that we can
20 get on with life, then let him waive it and get
21 on with life.

22 MR. UPCHURCH: I'd love to do that, but I
23 don't want to come back with my life at the next
24 meeting if I don't -- he's here. If he's got a
25 problem with it, let's hear it.

39

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Mr. Wuellner, would you
2 like to comment about that?

3 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you know, I don't have
4 any -- any big issue, because he stopped paying
5 rent, you know, by agreement, just so everybody's
6 clear on that, at the -- he's paid through
7 February. Then there's a credit balance based on
8 the double-rent scenario. So, he effectively
9 owes March and April at this point, you know,
10 from a Staff side. You know, the only parts of
11 this I'm struggling with is what is -- what would
12 be fair, relative to the amount of time, to allow
13 him to get out of the hangar.

14 Effectively, he's -- you know, we can credit

15 that account for those two months of rent,
16 leaving him I believe at least one month in -- in
17 a credit situation, that would -- would in fact
18 be due as a refund, if that were the case, and
19 then whatever you decided relative to legal fees.
20 So, I mean, a portion of this gets credited to
21 his account to make it current for his continued
22 occupancy.

23 MR. UPCHURCH: Sure.

24 MR. WUELLNER: I mean, I don't think there's
25 any disagreement on that.

40

1 MR. COX: So, what you're saying is he would
2 have a window of 30 days, basically?

3 MR. WUELLNER: I would -- I would think it
4 would be more than equitable to allow him to
5 finish out April --

6 MR. COX: I would agree.

7 MR. WUELLNER: -- you know, since he would
8 ordinarily be charged for it, anyway. And return
9 whatever you tell me relative to the legal fees.
10 You have incurred that number, you know, in terms
11 of legal fees. That's really up to you.

12 Y'all tell me what you want to do, if you
13 want to do something different. The other is we

14 could credit the balance of rent that would be
15 effectively in a credit situation.

16 MR. COX: Mr. Upchurch, you suggested 50
17 percent slit on the attorney's fees?

18 MR. UPCHURCH: I did.

19 MR. COX: I would make a motion to do that.

20 MR. WUELLNER: And return the balance of
21 rent, just -- and the balance of rent due at the
22 end of April or equivalent to the amount or not?

23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Guys, I'm sorry. I have a
24 problem. We approved you to implement the
25 policy. The policy doesn't say come to the board

41

1 to decide what you're going to do.

2 MR. WUELLNER: This is outside of the
3 policy, because it would have never gotten this
4 far.

5 MR. COX: We need to give him the authority
6 to do what he wants to do.

7 MR. UPCHURCH: I think I can sit down with
8 Mr. Wuellner and work out the numbers. If you
9 tell me it's the end of April -- or you tell me
10 it's midnight tonight, I would say that's
11 unreasonable. But the end of April is
12 reasonable, and we'll be out and we'll pay for

13 that, the time that we've been there. If that's
14 okay, that's all I need, and I think that's all
15 Mr. Wuellner -- I can sit down and do numbers
16 with him.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Any other discussion on
18 that?

19 MR. CIRIELLO: You're talking money. Is
20 there any possibility, since I think Mr. Wuellner
21 said he's two months behind -- right, is that
22 what he's saying?

23 MR. UPCHURCH: Yeah.

24 MR. CIRIELLO: March and April?

25 MR. UPCHURCH: Whatever it was. That was by

42

1 agreement. I said we would pay the rental up
2 until the time the Airport Authority ruled.

3 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. So, if it's -- if it's
4 the end of April and he owes us two months and
5 then he wants some of this money back from the
6 attorneys, wouldn't they cancel each other out?

7 MR. WUELLNER: That's what we're saying.

8 MR. UPCHURCH: We'll work it out that way.

9 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. Fine. That's fine.

10 MR. COX: Do I need to make a motion?

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Jack?

12 MR. COX: Oh, Jack.

13 MR. GORMAN: No, I'm fine. I have no
14 comment.

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. I have a problem.
16 We have a procedure in place that says that we
17 are going to evict people that do not pay their
18 bills and don't live up to the lease.

19 If I start giving, you know, half of the
20 lawyer's fee back and everything, have I not set
21 a precedent for later on? If you want to give
22 him more rent free, but I don't think it ought to
23 be, you know, giving him anything off of the --
24 you know, the attorney's fees.

25 MR. UPCHURCH: I don't think it's a

43

1 precedent. When you get in this -- each case
2 stands on its own merit.

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah. You wanted to make
4 a motion, Mr. Cox?

5 MR. COX: Well, just as a comment on that, I
6 think this is, as Mr. Upchurch has stated,
7 it's -- this particular situation has gone on to
8 the point where it has incurred attorney's fees
9 that would not be normally incurred in a
10 situation that you're concerned about. And I

11 agree or would tend to agree that it's probably
12 not setting a precedent in that case and we would
13 end up back in our court again.

14 MR. WUELLNER: And just to further clarify,
15 that's a statute issue. It was not a policy
16 issue relative to doubling. The effect of
17 doubling is permissible under the statute. It
18 was not a policy of the Authority in itself.

19 MR. COX: Jack has something to say.

20 MR. GORMAN: Can I ask what has been done
21 before? If there's been an eviction before, then
22 what policy was upheld against the man?

23 MR. WUELLNER: Sure we did. It wasn't --

24 MR. GORMAN: Did he pay double rent? Did he
25 pay --

44

1 MR. WUELLNER: He was not that
2 substantially -- he was not in arrears to the
3 point we had to go to collections on it. The
4 amount was paid current and he left.

5 MR. GORMAN: This man's current now.

6 MR. WUELLNER: That's what -- I'm not
7 disagreeing. I agree with Mr. Upchurch that
8 we'll --

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Are you going to make a

10 motion?

11 MR. COX: I was going to make a motion that
12 we allow Ed the authority to negotiate with
13 Mr. Upchurch on the situation and whatever the
14 Director comes up with in that negotiation, that
15 will be the final outcome of the situation.

16 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Do I have a second?

17 MR. CIRIELLO: (Indicates.)

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We have a second.

19 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, I second it.

20 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Any other discussion?

21 (No discussion.)

22 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: All in favor, say aye.

23 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

24 MR. GEORGE: Aye.

25 MR. COX: Aye.

45

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Opposed?

2 MR. GORMAN: (Indicates.)

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Ayes have it.

4 4.B. - Develop Short List for Consultant Selection

5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. We need to get on
6 to --

7 MR. COX: See if she's got -- got plenty of
8 tape.

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Do what?

10 MR. COX: She just asked for a break.

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: No, not yet? She's fine.

12 All right. We need to get on to the selection

13 of -- we're trying to narrow this down to the

14 consensus of the three top people that have made

15 application.

16 MR. WUELLNER: If you would like --

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: How many -- I'm sorry?

18 MR. WUELLNER: I'll do a little overview, if

19 you will, as to where you are --

20 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Good. All right.

21 MR. WUELLNER: -- and you guys can pick it

22 up from there.

23 You solicited, along a time line agreed to a

24 couple of meetings back, proposals from

25 professional engineering consultants, planning

46

1 and engineering consultants. Those -- those

2 obviously were advertised and you received ten

3 responses to that solicitation. We had over 70

4 inquiries into this, and you received 10

5 responses relative to the proposal. The proposal

6 request limited to about 25 pages the submittal.

7 You -- you have been given those shortly

8 after the last meeting, within a couple of days
9 of the last meeting, for you to be able to review
10 and we even provided a basic sheet to help you
11 organize your thoughts and notions relative to
12 what the advertisement was and a suggested, you
13 know, point approach to it, using points based on
14 relative values, which you've had, again, for,
15 you know, better part of a month.

16 You're now at the point where, in your
17 process, you agreed to create what's called a
18 short list. The short list would be used to --
19 at your choice, to either rank the firms directly
20 off that short list at your regular meeting later
21 this month, or you may select at your option
22 the -- an option to interview those firms again
23 in a public meeting forum.

24 Typically, an interview is accomplished and
25 some ranking then is made at the end of that --

47

1 those presentations, where you literally create
2 that one-two-three order, and then begin the
3 process under Florida Statutes of negotiating a
4 contractual agreement with that firm.

5 Keep in mind money at this point is not an
6 issue relative to your decision to enter into a

7 contract or even select a firm. You in fact are
8 prohibited from making that a substantive item of
9 any discussion until which time as you've
10 select -- ranked those consultants and made your
11 selection and attempted to negotiate a contract.

12 As such, you have ten firms that you need
13 to -- normally, would try to narrow down to
14 probably three. We would then notify them on
15 your behalf. They were already preliminarily
16 notified -- all ten firms, as a part of the
17 advertising process; so they know this could be
18 coming -- to make presentations or be available
19 to make presentations at your Authority meeting
20 later this month.

21 If you choose to do an interview process,
22 you'll need to, and this is a good forum to do
23 that, come up with exactly how you want to
24 conduct that. If there's additional information
25 you want submitted between now and then on the

48

1 firms you've short-listed, if you want specific
2 information presented at that, you may ask to
3 have that done and we will notify those firms on
4 your behalf.

5 If you have questions you want to formulate

6 and ask or have asked and answered before the
7 meeting, you are welcome to do that. You
8 literally can go almost anywhere you want from
9 the selection of a short list to the ranking.

10 It's up to you how to do that.

11 With that, you know, one method of trying to
12 hone ten down to three is to look at completed
13 sheets, assuming you did that. It's a way of
14 just throwing numbers up on the board and saying
15 "Look, the top three appear to be these three, is
16 everybody happy with that list?" and move on.

17 Other alternatives are to discuss each firm and
18 come up with a collective ranking. It's really
19 up to you how you get to a short list at this
20 point.

21 Just in the interest of time, you might want
22 to consider -- assuming, and I don't know this
23 for a fact, but if everyone had filled out those
24 sheets, it's an easy way to go up on the -- you
25 know, since we've got it set up to be able to

49

1 just kind of tally the points and you guys decide
2 those are the three firms you want to talk to
3 further, or you can come up with any other method
4 you want from this point. But you really need to

5 narrow it down now.

6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I didn't total -- tally up
7 my sheets with points and everything. Did you,
8 Joe?

9 MR. CIRIELLO: Yes, sir.

10 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Jack, did you?

11 MR. GORMAN: How could you make that
12 judgment? No.

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Bob?

14 MR. COX: I didn't. I agree.

15 MR. GORMAN: I can't make that judgment. I
16 have no data.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.

18 MR. GORMAN: I have no discussion.

19 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: One of the things that I
20 noticed in this one, and I've done two or three
21 with the Airport Authority in prior years, and
22 sit back in the room and -- with five or six
23 people from the airport and kind of go over it.

24 And one of the things that seems to be --
25 carry a lot of weight and should carry a lot of

50

1 weight, is that -- that is the experience that
2 the Airport has had with that particular firm,
3 with that particular individual, or the

4 knowledge, you know, that the Airport has from
5 knowing how this firm actually worked with
6 someone else.

7 When I read through the proposals, they
8 answered the questions. They told us what their
9 workload was and, without a doubt, they said I
10 can handle it. They told us what their staff
11 was: This one's got 200 people, this one's got
12 80 people, this one's got 20 people, and a list
13 of consultants that would choke a horse.
14 Financing. You know, there was comments about --
15 you know, from their bank, you know, that said
16 how well, you know, they were and it looked like
17 it was -- it looked like that they were
18 financially ready.

19 I found some of the proposals that didn't
20 excite me, and that's a bad word to use, because
21 I'm not saying that they were necessarily the
22 wrong company, you know, for the match or that
23 they were not qualified. I'm just saying that it
24 did not excite me.

25 Some of the -- the judgments that I used in

51

1 the excitement was the amount of aviation-related
2 business. Seems a lot of the firms had aviation

3 groups that were at the top of the list because
4 it was, A, aviation, you know, included in about
5 17 or 18 other functions.

6 It seemed like that they -- the way I was
7 looking at it, it seemed like that they were all
8 covering their bases with environmental, you
9 know, subcontractors, you know, and the other
10 like. That's kind of what I found and I put
11 checkmarks on five of the ten.

12 And, Mr. Gorman, how did you do yours?

13 MR. GORMAN: I don't feel that browsing
14 through these brochures is sufficient information
15 to be able to make that kind of judgment. I
16 would like to see some other presentation, even
17 from maybe the executives from the firms, so that
18 we can directly ask them questions.

19 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: You would like to leave
20 all ten open, then?

21 MR. GORMAN: It's hard to short-list them.
22 And why are we in a hurry, is my second question.
23 That's a very important situation, and why we're
24 doing this this quickly is of concern to me.

25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Ed, why are we doing it

1 this quickly? I can give you my answer. I think

2 that that's the reason, but --

3 MR. WUELLNER: You have a pending FAA
4 project for your master plan that will need to
5 show some activity by -- I think the grant, I'm
6 trying to remember whether it's July or August is
7 the grant date. You need to show some billing
8 activity relative to it.

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: So this is a funding
10 issue.

11 MR. WUELLNER: Well, primarily, the time
12 line was -- was from you guys. We talked about
13 it back in January, and the earliest time line to
14 get anywhere was effectively May, just because
15 the process takes -- takes a specific amount of
16 time.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah.

18 MR. WUELLNER: You know, there's no -- other
19 than you've in your solicitation created the time
20 line that you've advertised for, but I assume you
21 could, you know, postpone that time line within
22 that if you wanted to. I don't know that
23 anybody'd yank their interest away, you know, as
24 a result of the change in time line.

25 The other issue, I mean, you do have some

1 projects that, you know, you may want to move
2 forward a bit that, you know, right now you're
3 kind of treading water, because the decision a
4 couple of months ago when we began this was to --
5 at least in my remembrance, was to not move
6 anything forward, kind of waiting to decide
7 whether that work was going to be awarded to a
8 new firm.

9 MR. GORMAN: Specifically what project?

10 MR. WUELLNER: Well, you've got work in the
11 northeast area that needs to be done. You've got
12 local engine -- localized engineering work,
13 nongrant-related work in the terminal area.

14 MR. GORMAN: There's bulldozers there now,
15 Mr. Wuellner.

16 MR. WUELLNER: No, no, no. Not that
17 northeast area. Areas related to the planning
18 and layout and wetland determinations for the
19 eastside corporate area where you have some
20 projects proposed that you'll see next month in
21 fact.

22 Localized in the terminal area, you've got,
23 you know, smaller-scale engineering works that
24 aren't necessarily grant-related. You also are
25 in a position to need to rebid Taxiway Bravo

1 right now. We've been able to -- with FAA, be
2 able to extend the money availability.

3 They're going to extend -- basically,
4 they're going to terminate the grant we have and
5 roll that into another grant, because we already
6 anticipate that cost will go up because of fuel
7 prices, if nothing else, and the cost of asphalt.
8 And they're willing to do that. But that, you
9 know, has got to be revisited and rebid shortly
10 in order to allow them to be responsive.

11 It's not -- I mean, it could slip, certainly
12 could slip a month or even more, if you wanted
13 to, but I'm not sure it's --

14 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Mr. Wuellner's comment
15 about treading water, I kind of feel that way.
16 As a new member of the board, some of the
17 projects that we want to get started, we probably
18 need to know where we're going to be going five
19 years down the road, you know, some consensus on
20 that before we actually start on some of the
21 projects.

22 MR. GORMAN: We have about six projects that
23 are unfinished on the field right now. Don't we
24 need to finish some of those before we worry
25 about the ten-year -- we need ten-minute plans.

1 We need ten-day plans, not ten-year.

2 There's so many projects on the field right
3 now that are in limbo, that are not finished. I
4 don't see the hurry to. And the selection's
5 quite critical. I don't see the hurry and the
6 selection's critical. I -- just my opinion.

7 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Joe?

8 MR. CIRIELLO: I can understand what
9 Mr. Gorman is saying, but I make no bones about
10 it, I wasn't on the board then, but when we got
11 Kaiser as our consultants, I had no problem with
12 it.

13 Some of the projects they've done, I had no
14 problems with it. When Kaiser went over to Earth
15 Tech, things kind of went downhill. And I'm not
16 happy with their parent company Earth Tech -- I'm
17 sorry, Earth Tech, and --

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: They're not one of these,
19 right?

20 MR. CIRIELLO: No, they're not one of these.
21 Ed -- of course, they're still our consultant.
22 Even -- if I understand Ed correct, if we pick
23 two or three of these guys, we would have two or
24 three consultants to pick from.

25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Absolutely.

1 MR. CIRIELLO: You know, it doesn't
2 necessarily mean we have to give Earth Tech the
3 job if we didn't like them. We could go to
4 someone else. But anyhow, I made no bones about
5 it that I'd just as soon replace Earth Tech.
6 It's not because I'm not happy with them.

7 But I must be the only simple guy on this
8 board, because I've done this once before. When
9 I got this -- these brochures and the sheet, I
10 took them and read them all. First thing I did
11 was read all of them. Then I got the sheet out
12 and I reread them. And under each heading, as I
13 read, I tried to see what I was reading and
14 evaluated some points.

15 Now, all this is really, if say all our
16 members come out and give our point totals and
17 they all pretty much correspond, some guys are
18 high, some are low, it's just a steppingstone of
19 getting somebody in here to talk to them. I
20 don't know if all ten of these would want to come
21 here and take the time in a meeting to maybe get
22 nowhere. So, if we say you put your totals,
23 which I use --

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: What do you suggest we do?

25 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I don't know what you

57

1 guys want to do, but I want to get my totals that

2 I come up with recorded as a steppingstone, and

3 if you guys don't want to continue doing that --

4 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Sounds good. Chrissie?

5 Christine? We --

6 MR. CIRIELLO: I would like to get my totals

7 on record --

8 MR. WUELLNER: Joe, hold on a second. She's

9 changing the tape. Okay. You can go.

10 MR. CIRIELLO: I'd like to get my totals on

11 record --

12 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay.

13 MR. CIRIELLO: -- and maybe you other guys

14 would want to take some time and do what I did,

15 reread them and then -- it's just something you

16 come up with, oh, I like this guy; I don't, you

17 know. So anyhow.

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: What are your totals?

19 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

20 MR. WUELLNER: Take a second.

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Are you warming up?

22 MR. WUELLNER: Well, turn one of those on

23 and go the other way. There you go.

24 MR. CIRIELLO: Are you ready, Chrissie?

25 Okay. My first point total for Yager

58

1 Consultants, I have 59. Robert and Company, I
2 have 77. Prosser and Hallock, I have 73. PBS&J,
3 55. LPA Group, 85. DMJM Aviation, 80. Hoyle,
4 Tanner and Associates, 61. Reynolds, Smith &
5 Hill, 54. Passero Association (sic), 86. And
6 AVCON, 80.

7 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Cox?

8 MR. COX: I agree with Jack, I wanted to
9 hear the presentations. I thought that's what we
10 were here today to hear, was a presentation for
11 the meeting.

12 MR. CIRIELLO: It was my understanding,
13 Mr. Cox, that after we picked three or four of
14 these guys that we was interested in hearing,
15 they would be invited to our meeting, and then we
16 would hear their presentation and ask them
17 individually questions that we wanted to ask
18 them.

19 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Mr. Wuellner's trying to
20 find who those three or four are that you want
21 him to invite back.

22 MR. CIRIELLO: Right. That's what this

23 evaluation was for.

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Right.

25 MR. CIRIELLO: Or if you guys say let's get

59

1 them all back, if they all want to come, that's

2 fine with me, I don't care.

3 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, we have two out of

4 five that say they want them all back and we have

5 one that's not here. So we're going to be in a

6 deadlock wherever we go.

7 Chrissie, put an X under these for ones that

8 I would consider calling back. Robert and

9 Company, Reynolds & Smith, LPA Group, PBS&J, and

10 Passero.

11 I would suggest that, since we do not have a

12 forum on who to select, that we postpone this and

13 add it as an agenda item at the regular meeting.

14 MR. COX: On the 21st?

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: On the 21st.

16 MR. CIRIELLO: Mr. Chairman, can I make a

17 suggestion?

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes.

19 MR. CIRIELLO: Why couldn't we ask Ed to

20 call all these companies and ask them if they

21 would want to come to our next meeting to make a

22 personal presentation for us, and if any of them
23 say no, they don't want to take the time, they've
24 eliminated themselves, since we don't want to do
25 it.

60

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, it could be short
2 notice, but --

3 MR. COX: Well, I'll not sure we have time
4 to do all ten, anyway, at one meeting, so...

5 MR. WUELLNER: You certainly can do it
6 however you want. You've notified -- put them
7 all notice on with the ad, that they have the
8 potential of needing to show up on the 21st. So,
9 you know, in terms of notification, you've
10 already preliminarily done that.

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: If we limit them to a
12 15-minute presentation and question and
13 answers --

14 MR. WUELLNER: Inclusive of that or -- I'm
15 just trying to get an idea for their scheduling.
16 I just want to make sure they know what their --

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We have their proposal.
18 So let's have ten minutes and five minutes' worth
19 of questions and answers.

20 MR. WUELLNER: So a total of 15 per firm?

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: That not enough?
22 MR. COX: That's two hours and --
23 MR. GORMAN: It's a critical situation.
24 It's a crit -- it's a critical selection.
25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I take that to mean, no,

61

1 that's not enough.

2 MR. GORMAN: I'm not sure how to do it. I
3 don't want to do it in a hurry. It's a critical
4 situation to select them.

5 MR. CIRIELLO: Can I ask another question?

6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I don't really want to set
7 up another committee to decide what the number of
8 minutes are, Jack. We've got to go forward.

9 MR. GORMAN: I understand that problem, I
10 do.

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: So you have reservations
12 on 15 minutes, but --

13 MR. COX: I don't.

14 MR. CIRIELLO: Could I ask another question?

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes.

16 MR. CIRIELLO: In light of what Mr. Gorman's
17 concerned about, if I'm not mistaken, every one
18 of these proposals said if you have any
19 questions, contact me.

20 So, if Mr. Gorman is that concerned with
21 hearing these people, is there anything legally
22 sunshine or whatever, says that he as an
23 individual can't contact these people and talk to
24 them personally and ask them as many questions as
25 they want?

62

1 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: My first thought is
2 Mr. Cox doesn't get to hear what his conversation
3 is, so therefore, we don't have -- we're not all
4 privy to the same information.

5 MR. GORMAN: Exactly.

6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. If we limit
7 everybody to 20 minutes, 15-minute presentation
8 and 5 minute and we're going to take three --

9 MR. WUELLNER: -- hours and twenty minutes.

10 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: What?

11 MR. WUELLNER: Three hours and twenty
12 minutes' total time.

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I said if we take three
14 for the next meeting and three for the next
15 meeting and four for the last meeting.

16 MR. COX: That's three months.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: That's three months. Is
18 that --

19 MR. GORMAN: Depending on how many show up,
20 that's not unreasonable.

21 MR. COX: I think it puts us into a time
22 where we're treading a lot of water.

23 MR. GORMAN: All right.

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Absolutely we are. Let's
25 hear from Staff how that's going to impact,

63

1 because they know more the details. Yes. Public
2 comment. The Chair recognizes the Honorable Vic
3 Martinelli.

4 MR. COX: Better hurry. We don't have any
5 time, Vic.

6 MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you. My name is --
7 is this on? I don't think -- is it?

8 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah.

9 MR. MARTINELLI: Okay. I was on the board
10 when we did the last go-round, selected the
11 Kaiser engineering firm, and we followed a
12 procedure, and I'll just -- as near as I can
13 recollect it, I'll just tell you what it was, and
14 I think it was rather efficient, the way it went.

15 The board appointed a committee with the
16 Chairman of the board or any other person they
17 would like to designate, along with the Executive

18 Director, to select also some folks from town,
19 perhaps, or folks from the industry, the area,
20 anyway.

21 Anyway, this committee, of course, has to
22 operate in the sunshine. But what the committee
23 does is relieve this board of the laborious
24 three-and-a-half-hour or
25 three-hour-and-twenty-minute hearing of all of

64

1 the -- of the candidates. And that committee
2 then rated. And it was all done in the sunshine
3 with the press. They also had at different times
4 different members of the candidate firms come in
5 and make presentations and ask them questions and
6 so on.

7 The result is that the committee then came
8 to this board with a final selection of three
9 firms, and that the three firms then made
10 presentations to this board. And I think it went
11 quite well. I think it was very thorough. And
12 we heard just about every comment that we could
13 from the candidate firms. And I just offer that
14 as a thought.

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Thank you.

16 MR. GORMAN: That's a good thought.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Ed, do you have any
18 comment on that?

19 MR. WUELLNER: Not specific to that, cause
20 that -- that certainly works and that was the way
21 it was in place up till you guys essentially
22 elected to do that function yourself, which
23 there's nothing wrong with that.

24 The legal counsel pointed out, and I tend to
25 agree with him, one of the difficulties here is

65

1 keeping this process fair, or at least, you know,
2 the appearance of fairness throughout the
3 process.

4 One of the difficulties here is, when you --
5 when you schedule these over a course of many
6 months, especially when you've -- you're allowing
7 a question-and-answer period, what you have is
8 the last several consultants, if they have any
9 upstairs at all, literally having the advantage
10 of the previous six or eight consultants and the
11 tenor of the board, what's interesting to you and
12 how to get there.

13 The suggestion we had was to just simply at
14 the next meeting, is set an amount of time,
15 whatever that is, and have all of them make the

16 presentation relative to whatever you're asking
17 for, for ten minutes or fifteen minutes, whatever
18 it is, listen to those, then decide what that
19 short list is at that meeting and invite those
20 firms back, if you wish, to have a more detailed
21 discussion at the next regular Authority meeting.
22 That way, you've narrowed that list down and you
23 know the three firms that are being handled or
24 those firms are being all handled identically and
25 in the same time line.

66

1 I think you really do subject yourself to
2 some serious criticism about the process by -- by
3 doing it the way you were first talking about,
4 spreading it over multiple months.

5 I don't care about the time line as much as
6 the process needs to be above board, and I really
7 think you really open yourself up.

8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Mr. Gorman, what would you
9 like to do?

10 MR. GORMAN: It sounds reasonable to have
11 short presentations and then do the short
12 selection. That's a more reasonable effect to be
13 able to make an intelligent decision, rather than
14 perusing a brochure. That doesn't sound -- that

15 sounds like a better fix.

16 I was interested in Mr. Martinelli's idea,
17 only because basically the people on the board
18 here, as elected officials, we are not airport
19 experts and it's -- the committee idea also has
20 merit, so...

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I was on one of those
22 committees --

23 MR. GORMAN: Right.

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- twice, and it was in
25 fact very helpful to hear the people that are in

67

1 the aviation business make comments about this
2 company and that company and so forth. Yes, sir,
3 Mr. Martinelli?

4 MR. MARTINELLI: One point that I neglected
5 to make was that the committee operated on
6 consecutive days. So, it wasn't a strung-out
7 procedure and you didn't have to schedule these
8 meetings.

9 MR. COX: That's a good idea.

10 MR. MARTINELLI: There was notice in the
11 paper that the committee would meet in the
12 sunshine --

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay.

14 MR. MARTINELLI: -- for this, whatever that
15 period was, a week or whatever, everyday. And so
16 it compressed it, and I think it did the same
17 job.

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Any other discussion?

19 MR. GORMAN: I would -- to me, the committee
20 idea allows a more free exchange of more ideas.
21 It's more education for the board. Just that's
22 the way I feel.

23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: You're saying, on the
24 committee, that you still want the people to come
25 in and talk to the committee, as opposed to the

68

1 committee sitting down and pouring over these in
2 a vacuum.

3 MR. GORMAN: Mr. Martinelli is shaking his
4 head yes, and I -- I tend to think that he may
5 have a good idea here.

6 MR. MARTINELLI: That's what we did. That's
7 exactly what we did. Excuse me. We did that.

8 MR. COX: Well, there's no reason that a
9 committee wouldn't be able to do exactly what Ed
10 suggested in here one or two days in a row,
11 exactly that, in the next week or -- you know, in
12 two weeks from now and do the same thing and get

13 this thing (indicating), cut and dried and give
14 us the input.

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I'll entertain a motion
16 that that's what we do, then.

17 MR. COX: I make a motion that we take up
18 the idea of having a committee to listen to all
19 of the folks we have entertained on this list
20 here and will make a short list out of that, and
21 they can meet on consecutive days or what -- at
22 such schedule that they want -- you know, they
23 want to set. But within, let's say, a week's
24 period of time.

25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: When do you want them to

69

1 complete the project, 30 days, 45 days? Whenever
2 they feel they can?

3 MR. COX: Yeah, within a reasonable time
4 frame. I'd say within, you know -- if we say
5 within 60 days, is that too much of a time line?
6 I mean, are we looking -- I mean, you know, I
7 just need some kind of a --

8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Let's put a target of 30
9 days --

10 MR. COX: All right.

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- and if logistics says

12 it has to go past that --

13 MR. COX: Good idea. I'll entertain that in
14 a motion and that's the motion.

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I have a motion. Do I
16 have a second?

17 MR. GORMAN: You have a second.

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Discussion?

19 MR. WUELLNER: We'll de-muddy this in a
20 minute. Go ahead.

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Any discussion?

22 (No discussion.)

23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I have a discussion point.
24 Who from the board wants to be on the committee?

25 MR. WUELLNER: Here we go. That was our

70

1 question.

2 MR. CIRIELLO: Does somebody on the board
3 have to be?

4 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: What?

5 MR. CIRIELLO: Does somebody on the board
6 have to be on the committee?

7 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yes. They have to chair
8 the committee.

9 MR. COX: I heard Suzanne volunteer.

10 MR. CIRIELLO: Well, if none of you guys

11 will do it, I'll do it, because I'm available. I

12 don't work. I have no scheduling conflicts.

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. We have -- any

14 other discussion on the motion? Yes, go ahead.

15 MR. GORMAN: I'll have to volunteer, too,

16 since I managed to entertain the problem --

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I would think that would

18 be --

19 MR. GORMAN: -- I'll have to entertain the

20 solution.

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I would think that would

22 be appropriate, Mr. Gorman.

23 MR. CIRIELLO: Then I can't be on it. Only

24 one of us can be on it.

25 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. I have a --

71

1 MR. COX: That's true.

2 MR. GORMAN: I mean, can there only be --

3 that's a good point. I don't know that. Can we

4 have more than one person on the board?

5 MR. WUELLNER: You can have, but you're just

6 going to have to advertise it like you would a

7 regular meeting. I mean, any time you have more

8 than one of you wanting to speak. It can be

9 done, but it --

10 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Then let's leave it with
11 one.

12 MR. COX: That was the suggestion of
13 Mr. Martinelli, anyway. They advertised it as a
14 regular meeting so that the public could attend.
15 That's not a problem.

16 MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Yeah, it's not a
17 regular meeting of the Authority.

18 MR. COX: Right.

19 MR. WUELLNER: It's just a meeting of the
20 committee, which -- with the single member is not
21 in itself subject to the sunshine. It's up -- it
22 can be held that way and, you know, I don't see a
23 reason why not to. I'm just saying --

24 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I trust Mr. Gorman's
25 judgment quite a bit, so I wouldn't have to be

72

1 there. Okay. We have a motion to do it by
2 committee. And we have a second. Any other
3 discussion on it?

4 (No discussion.)

5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Take a vote. All in
6 favor?

7 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Aye.

9 MR. COX: Aye.

10 MR. GORMAN: Aye.

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: All opposed?

12 (No opposition.)

13 MR. WUELLNER: Now I would ask you --

14 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Second motion.

15 MR. WUELLNER: -- is there a specific

16 direction of the committee and then specifically

17 what is this committee? I mean, who are -- who

18 is on it?

19 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Okay. Second motion. I

20 would like to make a motion that only one member

21 from the board be on it and it be Mr. Gorman, and

22 that they come back to us with a short list of

23 somewhere between three to five, whatever the

24 committee comes up with, within 30 days. And

25 understanding logistics, if it can't happen for

73

1 45, we understand that.

2 MR. COX: Discussion.

3 MR. WUELLNER: Okay. Who else is on the

4 committee? We've got one --

5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I have a motion. I

6 need a second.

7 MR. WUELLNER: -- a committee of one right

8 now.

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I'm sorry. He will head
10 the committee from here. And between Mr. Gorman
11 and Mr. Wuellner.

12 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

13 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Other members of the
14 committee will be asked --

15 MR. WUELLNER: Okay.

16 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- up to --

17 MR. WUELLNER: So we'll work it out.

18 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: -- any numbers you guys
19 decide. You have the authority. That's my
20 motion.

21 MR. COX: I'll second that.

22 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I have a second. Any
23 discussion?

24 MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah, what was that 30- and
25 45-day time limit? Let's stick to one. We're

74

1 talking about treading water. Now we're going
2 from 30 days to 45. Why can't we just keep it
3 30 --

4 MR. WUELLNER: You could wrap it up by the
5 May meeting.

6 MR. COX: Thirty days, that's what it is.

7 MR. CIRIELLO: But he also mentioned 45.

8 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Well, I was saying that if

9 logistics play that some vendor can't get here

10 because of previous commitments or if Mr. Gorman

11 has to go play with boats, you know, or something

12 like that, that we would accept slipping it to 45

13 days. But the target is 30.

14 MR. WUELLNER: Which is still May. So,

15 regardless, is your May meeting. So we should

16 have no trouble.

17 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: You want me to drop the 45

18 and just make it 30?

19 MR. CIRIELLO: No, no. No, I'm the only one

20 saying that, so go ahead and keep it.

21 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Any other discussion?

22 (No discussion.)

23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: We have a motion and a

24 second.

25 MR. CIRIELLO: You didn't get a second?

75

1 I'll second.

2 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Yeah, he seconded.

3 MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.

4 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: All in favor, say aye.

5 MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.

6 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Aye.

7 MR. COX: Aye.

8 MR. GORMAN: Aye.

9 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Opposed?

10 (No opposition.)

11 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: No opposed. Passed. End
12 of the agenda items, thank you very much.

13 MR. WUELLNER: What -- what do you want this
14 committee to look? Do you want them to ask --

15 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: This committee will decide
16 what they're going to look and bring a short list
17 back to us.

18 MR. WUELLNER: All right.

19 MR. GORMAN: There should be no -- there's
20 no limit to the number of people on this
21 committee.

22 MR. COX: I don't see why.

23 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: You are the chairman of
24 the committee and anything that you determine, as
25 far as the list of it, is acceptable to this

76

1 board.

2 MR. GORMAN: Thank you.

3 MR. WUELLNER: Committee of whatever right

4 now.

5 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: Huh?
6 MR. COX: This distinguished board.
7 CHAIRMAN GEORGE: I'm sorry, this
8 distinguished board. Meeting adjourned.
9 (Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 5:18 p.m.)

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

77

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2
3 STATE OF FLORIDA)

4 COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)

5

6 I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I

7 was authorized to and did stenographically report the

8 foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true

9 record of my stenographic notes.

10

11 Dated this 14th day of April, 2002.

12

13

JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
Notary Public - State of Florida
My Commission No.: DD102224
Expires: April 30, 2006

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25