	The port regular freezing	s Dept			
]	Page 1	Page 2			
٦Ì	1] ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1]	INDEX		
T	2] Regular Meeting	[2]	PAGE		
	3] held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[3] 2.			
	4] St. Augustine, Florida	[4] 3.			
	5] on Monday, September 25, 2000	[5] 4.			
1	6] from 4 p.m. to 4:37 p.m.	[6]	A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commissioner 6		
	7] ********	[7]	B. Mr. Richard Weaver - Aero Sport, Inc. 6 C. Mr. Robert Fleming - S.A.P.A. 6		
	8] BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:		D. Ms. Cindy Bartin - Attorney 6		
_ I -		[8] [9]	. ACTION ITEMS		
	CHARLES LASSITER		A. Engineering Agreement with Kaiser 6 B. Environmental Mitigation Land Discussion 13		
	DENNIS WATTS	[10]	C. Appraisals for Araquay Park 21		
T		[11]	D. Transportation Center Scope 31 E. Monthly project update from ICF Kaiser 34		
- 10		[12] 6	. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:		
	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:	[13]	A. Mr. James "Carl" Davis 36		
T	[4] JOSEPH S. TAYLOR	[14]	A. Mr. James "Carl" Davis 36 B. Mr. William "Bill" Rose 35 C. Mr. Charles Lassiter 35		
_ I _		[15]	D. Mr. Dennis R. Watts 36		
1	6 ALSO PRESENT:	1 '	PUBLIC COMMENT 36		
- 1 "	[7] CINDY BARTIN, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine,	[17] 8	, ADJOURNMENT 36		
T	8] FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18]			
	9] EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E, Executive Director.	[19]			
"	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[20]			
	11] ********************	[21]			
[2	22] St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A	[22]			
[2	[3] St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570	[23]			
I -	4]	[24]			
[2	[5]	[25]			
- 1	[25]		[]		
	age 3	Page 4			
]	PROCEEDINGS	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a		
]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and	[1] [2]	second, please?		
[[PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St.	[1] [2] [3]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second.		
[PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for	[1] [2] [3] [4]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All		
	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that		
	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular		
	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye.		
	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.		
	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.		
[[[[]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.		
[[[[[1]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.		
[[[[[1 [1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.		
[[[[[1 [1]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.		
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?		
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)		
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have		
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the		
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which		
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any		
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes. First, the regular meeting	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any questions or any comments, gentlemen?		
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes. First, the regular meeting minutes. Is there any question, gentlemen, on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any questions or any comments, gentlemen? (No questions.)		
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes. First, the regular meeting minutes. Is there any question, gentlemen, on those minutes?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	second, please? MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any questions or any comments, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a		
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes. First, the regular meeting minutes. Is there any question, gentlemen, on those minutes?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any questions or any comments, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a motion to accept or deny those minutes.		
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes. First, the regular meeting minutes. Is there any question, gentlemen, on those minutes? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion to either accept or deny the approval of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any questions or any comments, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a motion to accept or deny those minutes. MR. ROSE: I move approval.		
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for September 25th, 2000. I now call this meeting to order. The first order of business is that we will have a special hearing special meeting at 5:01. If this agenda for the regular meeting is not completed by that time, we will suspend the regular meeting, because by statute, we have to do that meeting at 5:01. If we don't finish, we will come back after the special meeting and complete this agenda. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first order of business will be approval of our meeting minutes. We have two sets of minutes. First, the regular meeting minutes. Is there any question, gentlemen, on those minutes? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion to either accept or deny the approval of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WATTS: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All in favor of approving the minutes from that meeting of August 21st, 2000, the regular minutes, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have approved those minutes. The second one is the first public hearing minutes on the budget, which was held on September the 11th. Is there any questions or any comments, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a motion to accept or deny those minutes. MR. ROSE: I move approval. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a		

	Page 5		Page 6	
	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[1]	date next month.
	[2]	All in favor of approving the September 11th	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose.
	[3]	special hearing minutes, signify by aye.	[3]	Reports,
	[4]	MR. ROSE: Aye.	[4]	4.A COMMISSIONER BRYANT
	[5]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Bryant?
	[6]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[6]	MR. BRYANT: No report, sir.
	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye,	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, sir.
	[8]	And opposed?	[8]	4.B MR. WEAVER
	[9]	(No opposition.)	[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Weaver?
	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	[10]	MR. WEAVER: No report.
	[11]	approved those minutes.	[11]	4.C MR. LESLIE
	[12]	FINANCIAL REPORT	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie? Anyone from
	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The second order of	[13]	Grumman?
	[14]	business will be the financial report. Mr. Rose?	[14]	(No one present.)
	[15]	(Whereupon, Mr. Bryant enters the hearing room.)	[15]	4.D MR. FLEMING
1000	[16]	MR. ROSE: Well, according to your agenda,	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. George?
	[17]	and I have seen no financial reports this month,	[17]	MR, FLEMING: No report.
	[18]	I guess we'll catch up next month, right, Ed?	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you,
	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. We were ready to	[19]	4.E MS. BARTIN
ļ	[20]	go this month, and the accountant was	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.
	[21]	vacationing.	[21]	MS. BARTIN: No report.
	[22]	MR. ROSE: Okay.	[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Our next item,
	[23]	MR. WUELLNER: So	[23]	number 5, is our action items. Mr. Wuellner?
	[24]	MR. ROSE: All right. So, we'll we'll	[24]	
	[25]	bring we'll bring the financial reports up to	[25]	5.A ENGINEERING AGREEMENT WITH KAISER MP. WILLI NED. Voc. sir. The first item was
١	[23]	oring we'll oring the imancial reports up to	[23]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. The first item we
Ĭ	4-2000 LONG CONTRACTOR			
	Page 7		Page 8	
	Page 7	have for you today is a supplemental agreement	Page 8	do is buy them and have them constructed. And I
	_	have for you today is a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside	[1]	do is buy them and have them constructed. And I believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about
	[1]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside	[1] [2]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about
	[1] [2]		[1] [2] [3]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could
PARTY CONTRACTOR CONTR	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of
West in the second seco	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think that I'm somebody that's a hundred percent	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: That comes with its own
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think that I'm somebody that's a hundred percent against them or anything like that, but \$103,000	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: That comes with its own engineering for the building itself. But what
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think that I'm somebody that's a hundred percent against them or anything like that, but \$103,000 for some engineering work seems pretty high.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: That comes with its own engineering for the building itself. But what doesn't come with that is the foundation
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think that I'm somebody that's a hundred percent against them or anything like that, but \$103,000 for some engineering work seems pretty high. My question is: Does any of you guys know	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: That comes with its own engineering for the building itself. But what doesn't come with that is the foundation engineering that goes with the building. That
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think that I'm somebody that's a hundred percent against them or anything like that, but \$103,000 for some engineering work seems pretty high. My question is: Does any of you guys know that if corporate hangars or the cookie cutter	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: That comes with its own engineering for the building itself. But what doesn't come with that is the foundation engineering that goes with the building. That has to be done because it's particular to the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	with ICF Kaiser relative to the eastside corporate hangar corporate area and the construction of corporate hangar number 5, as well as a substantial increase in size to T-hangar number 1. So, there are two hangars involved in this. And it's a supplemental agreement with ICF Kaiser for the engineering related to this, and in the amount of \$103,900 \$-900 \$103,900 for the engineering. It's Staff's recommendation that engineering agreement be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment on our engineering agreement with Kaiser on hangar number 5, the supplemental agreement? Mr. Ciriello. MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello, St. Augustine South. I hope these guys from Kaiser don't think that I'm somebody that's a hundred percent against them or anything like that, but \$103,000 for some engineering work seems pretty high. My question is: Does any of you guys know	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	believe I talked to Mr. Wuellner one time about that, and I thought it would be a money-saving thing, and he assured me it might not be. But I know these corporate hangars are large and different than but are any of them standard in the in the business that you could call up and say you wanted a corporate hangar of 10,000 square feet and they would have one that you wouldn't need engineering and all of that kind of stuff for it that you can get? MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And believe and believe it or not, largely the engineering that's called for in these agreements doesn't duplicate the engineering that's done, because we're using a pre what's called a preengineered building in most of the designs out there. MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: That comes with its own engineering for the building itself. But what doesn't come with that is the foundation engineering that goes with the building. That

	,			
	Page 9		Page 10	
	[1]	permitted and signed and sealed with a State of	[1]	construction of the building and everything, have
	[2]	Florida Registered P.E. Plus, any modifications	[2]	engineering all in with it, and therefore
	[3]	to the interior of the building, that requires a	[3]	again, not trying to take business away from
	[4]	P.E. seal, which nowadays, to to get building	[4]	Kaiser
	[5]	permits, this stuff has to be signed and sealed	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: Right.
	[6]	by engineers.	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: but it would save maybe
	[7]	So, we've got we're paying for two	[7]	them and you some dollars by having the companies
	[8]	engineers on the job	[8]	use engineering in with their bids.
	[9]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. And that's in fact
	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: when it comes down to it,	[10]	the technique we're employing it's called a
THE PERSON NAMED IN	[11]	one to do the bare metal building that qualifies	[11]	design/build concept. And in fact, that's the
	[12]	as a preengineered building, and then there's	[12]	technique we're employing in the building of the
	[13]	another set of engineering that's done for	[13]	tower itself.
	[14]	everything else on the job.	[14]	The architectural engineering firm is
ŀ	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: I think you've answered this	[15]	team will be teamed with a contractor, if they
	[16]	question once before for me, and I don't	[16]	are not a contractor themselves. As it ends
	[17]	recall and maybe Mr. Rose, since he I	[17]	up with a pretty integral design/build as, you
	[18]	believe he was in this kind of a business at one	[18]	know, a project versus a standard corporate
	[19]	time or another with the State.	[19]	hangar, but most of the people building hangars
and the same of th	[20]	Would it be feasible in some instances when	[20]	these days, many of which employ engineers that
110//220	[21]	you're going to put out bids to build hangars and	[21]	allow that be to be done.
	[22]	such, that part of the bid is that the	[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: I know, just recently and
	[23]	engineering company that's going to submit a bid	[23]	I don't recall if it was on the radio, TV, or in
١	[24]	for building this hangar does their own	[24]	a magazine or something I read, where there was
	[25]	engineering work and presents along with the	[25]	some concern about I guess airports that could
	[20]	engineering work and presents along with the	[23]	some concern about 1 guess airports that could
١	Page 11		Page 12	
	Page 11	build hangars cheaply by getting these	Page 12	Anyone else? Gentlemen, any comment?
	-	build hangars cheaply by getting these cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these		Anyone else? Gentlemen, any comment? (No comment.)
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these	[1] [2]	(No comment.)
	[1] [2] [3]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or	[1] [2] [3]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down	[1] [2] [3] [4]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of
TARY CHARACTER STATES	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the
COMPUTE ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS A	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people;	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by
PER	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye.
+CCDP410.45.000.00000000000000000000000000000	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.
est de la companya d	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or subsidizing it with taxes. But, anytime you can	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or subsidizing it with taxes. But, anytime you can cut back on the expenses, maybe someday you can	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or subsidizing it with taxes. But, anytime you can cut back on the expenses, maybe someday you can lower that rate a little bit. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.
a Collection and Coll	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or subsidizing it with taxes. But, anytime you can cut back on the expenses, maybe someday you can lower that rate a little bit. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: A large part of the hangars	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
e de la companya de l	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or subsidizing it with taxes. But, anytime you can cut back on the expenses, maybe someday you can lower that rate a little bit. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: A large part of the hangars we do get are — come just as you're describing,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)
en e	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	cookie-type hangars, you know, ones that these companies build kits, like up in Illinois or something; you write them and they send you down a hangar the size you want with all the material, nuts and bolts and everything, and it would be a cost-saving thing. And I'm only asking these questions because every nickel, every dime, every penny or dollar you save as a board can someday maybe benefit the taxpayers. I know I keep hearing about how this thing's going to be self-sufficient some day, and I'll be brave enough to stand here and say it won't never happen, but not through any fault of you people; it's just the way the system is, that we'll always be supplementing this airport or subsidizing it with taxes. But, anytime you can cut back on the expenses, maybe someday you can lower that rate a little bit. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: A large part of the hangars we do get are — come just as you're describing, as a kit, because that's what the exterior of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	(No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion on action item A 5.A., to either accept or deny Staff recommendation. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the Staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second, please? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. MR. ROSE: Supplemental, you know, agreement 03a. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose and Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation on action item 5.A., the supplemental of corporate hangar 5, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen,

ı	Page 13		D 14	
	-	5 D ENVIRONMENTAL MITTICATION LAND DISCUSSION	Page 14	and the second second
		5.B ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION LAND DISCUSSION	[1]	utility to us for mitigation of some future
ŀ	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. Bryan, if you'd	[2]	projects. It is considered saltwater marsh.
	[3]	put that overhead on. We were approached some	[3]	And the answer was yes and no. Yes, it had
	[4]	months ago by landowner north of the airport	[4]	some value when it came to permitting with the
1	[5]	about the possibility of acquiring they wanted	[5]	State of Florida, Water Management District
	[6]	us to acquire some property that they thought	[6]	folks, because preservation has has some
	[7]	would be property that would be beneficial to us	[7]	environmental returns as recognized by the Water
١	[8]	in mitigation in future projects.	[8]	Management District, and you can get credit for
	[9]	I put the slide up Donna, could you get	[9]	that,
ı	[10]	that first light switch toward the wall here?	[10]	But the problem herein lies that it is not
١	[11]	Thanks. As you're looking at it, the extreme	[11]	going to be eligible for any type of credit or
-	[12]	south, there are two boxes, for lack of better	[12]	utility to us by the Corps of Engineers or the
١	[13]	terms, identified on there. They extend out into	[13]	Marine Fisheries, U.S. Marine Fishery. As the
١	[14]	the I'm sorry, apologize for the graininess	[14]	only way they give you credit related to future
	[15]	but what almost looks like trees, but it's water.	[15]	projects or projects is in the creation of
	[16]	But they're both areas of property that are out	[16]	saltwater marsh.
- 1	[17]	in, effectively in the Intracoastal water, for	[17]	You can see that the the projects it's
- 1	[18]	the lack of better terms, some of which is	[18]	really hard to pick up, but there are some little
- 1	[19]	property that's claimed by the State as sovereign	[19]	islands within those boxes, some circled in red,
- 1	[20]	lands or what do they call it, that term?	[20]	some circled in blue, that are varying qualities
- 1	[21]	Sovereign lands?	[21]	of uplands that in theory could be removed or
	[22]	We did, as a matter of due diligence on the	[22]	lowered in elevation and replanted with marsh
- 1	[23]	property, looked had had our engineer, and	[23]	grass to become saltwater marsh again.
- 1	[24]	they had an environmental firm look at the	[24]	But there are so little property involved,
	[25]	property for purposes of was it did it have	[25]	so little uplands that would allow you to create
Г				
ı	Page 15		Page 16	
- 1	Page 15 [1]	anything, that the actual return and the cost, as	Page 16	of Florida, it doesn't with anyone else, and as
	-	anything, that the actual return and the cost, as you can imagine to go out onto the island an	_	of Florida, it doesn't with anyone else, and as such, is likely to be mitigated in some other
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an	[1] [2]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other
	[1] [2] [3]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and	[1] [2] [3]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty	[1] [2] [3] [4]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2 million to go in and construct, do the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this island (indicating), or the edge of the corner
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2 million to go in and construct, do the construction on it, which is basically	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this island (indicating), or the edge of the corner here (indicating), just north of the Gun Club.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2 million to go in and construct, do the construction on it, which is basically demolition, in order to even qualify for credit	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this island (indicating), or the edge of the corner here (indicating), just north of the Gun Club. So, it's it's not exactly contiguous to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2 million to go in and construct, do the construction on it, which is basically demolition, in order to even qualify for credit reimbursement with the Corps of Engineers and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this island (indicating), or the edge of the corner here (indicating), just north of the Gun Club. So, it's it's not exactly contiguous to the airport, but it's not far off, and for
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2 million to go in and construct, do the construction on it, which is basically demolition, in order to even qualify for credit reimbursement with the Corps of Engineers and U.S. Marine Fisheries.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this island (indicating), or the edge of the corner here (indicating), just north of the Gun Club. So, it's it's not exactly contiguous to the airport, but it's not far off, and for permitting purposes, probably would be in the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you can imagine to go out onto the island an island itself and literally remove the island and replant it, probably makes that method pretty cost prohibitive. The original offer price to us in the whole area within those two boxes equated to about 717 total acres that was offered for sale at \$300 an acre to us. However, the majority of it is, as I said, of nearly no value to us and of questionable long-term utility to the airport, as it's already claimed by the State of Florida. We but since it was a request to purchase, and given the value involved here which the purchase price at that \$300 an acre would equate to about \$215,000 it would take a minimum of \$800,000, possibly as much as \$1.2 million to go in and construct, do the construction on it, which is basically demolition, in order to even qualify for credit reimbursement with the Corps of Engineers and U.S. Marine Fisheries. As such, it would be Staff's recommendation	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	such, is likely to be mitigated in some other fashion once a project presents itself and requires mitigation. But we wanted to make sure that you knew that was out there and try and answer any questions, and if it was something the board felt strongly we ought to try and acquire, we could we could look to do so. So, it's immediately north that little peninsula centered on the lower left-hand corner of the box is just north of the Gun Club. Unfortunately, the drawing I had didn't show it well, either. I can lift this up do you have an end you can hold? Literally in this case, the piece of property, the bottom edge of that box is this island (indicating), or the edge of the corner here (indicating), just north of the Gun Club. So, it's it's not exactly contiguous to the airport, but it's not far off, and for permitting purposes, probably would be in the same watershed and, you know, could be of some

	111 Post 112		
Page 17		Page 18	
[1]	pretty prohibitive.	[1]	on item 5.B. on the environmental mitigation of
[2]	We're only at this point, only	[2]	land discussion?
[3]	anticipating about a nine-acre mitigation on	[3]	(No public comment.)
[4]	future projects, nine acres that would need to be	[4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, do you have any
[5]	mitigated. And depending on what we negotiated	[5]	comment?
[6]	with the various environmental agencies, we don't	[6]	MR. ROSE: I have no comment.
[7]	even know ultimately yet what would what the	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From what I'm hearing,
[8]	total mitigation number would have to be, what	[8]	Mr. Wuellner, this this is not only not cost
[9]	we'd have to provide in terms of mitigation for	[9]	feasible for us; in order to correct me if I'm
[10]	the nine acres.	[10]	wrong. We're talking about reclaiming salt
[11]	So, it's our recommendation we just kind of	[11]	marshland that we're going to pay \$200
[12]	pass on this and and move along. I think the	[12]	\$215,000 for, that's going to cost us a million
[13]	only way this becomes any way at all attractive	[13]	dollars to even reclaim it.
[14]	is if the land-use costs were nearly nothing. By	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes. And and I don't know
[15]	the time you subtracted that back out of the	[15]	what the actual percentage is, but almost all of
[16]	equation, it's it becomes okay.	[16]	it is unusable for any other purpose. You
[17]	It's it's got some potential when you	[17]	couldn't go out there and permit to use it for
[18]	just factor in construction costs. But if you	[18]	any other purpose. And most of it's marsh or
[19]	add the land cost and construction cost to the	[19]	water, actual water.
[20]	mitigation, the potential, it's it's outside	[20]	You're paying what, effectively, for bottom
[21]	of normal. You've got a whole lot of backup	[21]	lands? I don't know what the technical term is
[22]	there. Most of it puts you right to sleep. But	[22]	for it, but you're paying for a submerged portion
[23]	it's the detail's there from the various	[23]	of land that has no value to you, or very little.
[24]	agencies.	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you.
[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Is there any public comment	[25]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman? I'd like
Page 19		Page 20	
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?	[1]	just really puts it out of I mean, out of our
[2]		i	
	MR. WATTS: to ask Mr. Wuellner a	[2]	price range.
[3]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
[3] [4]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh	[3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where
[3] [4] [5]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt	[3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that
[3] [4] [5] [6]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me	[3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need?
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine?
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation?
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater marsh, but that's not my call.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted. MR. LASSITER: So, you have to do saltwater
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater marsh, but that's not my call. MR. WATTS: So, in other words	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted. MR. LASSITER: So, you have to do saltwater for saltwater.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater marsh, but that's not my call. MR. WATTS: So, in other words MR. WUELLNER: You know, there's got to be a	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted. MR. LASSITER: So, you have to do saltwater for saltwater. MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater marsh, but that's not my call. MR. WATTS: So, in other words MR. WUELLNER: You know, there's got to be a better way to do it.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted. MR. LASSITER: So, you have to do saltwater for saltwater. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. LASSITER: Okay.
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater marsh, but that's not my call. MR. WATTS: So, in other words MR. WUELLNER: You know, there's got to be a better way to do it. MR. WATTS: So, in other words, for us to	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted. MR. LASSITER: So, you have to do saltwater for saltwater. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?
[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	question. Ed, I guess it says here for the nine acres, right here under Option 2, salt marsh creation at site, it says here nine acres of salt marsh impacts at 2-to-1 ratio. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but it says in here, and I lost it. Oh, National Marine Fishery Service MR. WUELLNER: Uh-huh. MR. WATTS: said a creation restoration ratio of 2 to 1 to recreate marsh, and that's that's a whole lot of money. MR. WUELLNER: Oh, absolutely. Looking at least in the area of a million dollars to to mitigate nine acres. And a question I don't know. I guess I had a mixed opinion here, but I don't understand where destroying uplands is of more ecological value than creating saltwater marsh, but that's not my call. MR. WATTS: So, in other words MR. WUELLNER: You know, there's got to be a better way to do it.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: On the 13/31 runway where you're doing the work on the south end of that down there, do you have any idea how much mitigation you're going to need? MR. WUELLNER: This that kind of a number is what this nine represents MR. LASSITER: Nine? MR. WUELLNER: Is the total anticipated impact on it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. And does that have to be saltwater mitigation? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, more than likely. It's if if we indeed move ahead with the project itself, it would be it would all be saltwater marsh that's impacted. MR. LASSITER: So, you have to do saltwater for saltwater. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: Yes. MR. LASSITER: Okay.

Page 21		Page 22	
[1]	motion to either accept or reject Staff	[1]	The two areas circled represent the areas
[2]	recommendation on item 5.B.	[2]	that the Authority the two yellow areas
[3]	MR. LASSITER: Make a motion to accept the	[3]	represent the two areas that the Airport
[4]	recommendation to not pursue this 700 acres of	[4]	Authority needs to acquire in order to proceed
[5]	mitigation site.	[5]	with the next phase of south hangar construction,
[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[6]	South Hangar Project construction and engineering
[7]	And a second, please?	[7]	at this point.
[8]	MR. WATTS: I second, please,	[8]	The areas that are colored represent
[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All	[9]	properties already acquired in that subdivision
[10]	in favor of accepting Staff recommendation to not	[10]	and currently owned by the Airport Authority.
[11]	go any further with this mitigation, signify by	[11]	The grants are now in place for both the land
[12]	aye.	[12]	acquisition as well as construction phases for
[13]	MR. ROSE: Aye,	[13]	the next portion of the South Hangar Project.
[14]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[14]	As such, we felt it appropriate to go ahead
[15]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[15]	
1	•		and bring to the Airport Authority's attention
[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	[16]	that with all that in place, look to the Airport
[17] [18]	And opposed?	[17]	Authority for a decision as to how they would
	(No opposition.)	[18]	like to proceed with finishing the acquisitions
[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	[19]	in the subdivision.
[20]	accepted Staff recommendation. Mr. Wuellner?	[20]	There are five properties identified by
[21]	5.C APPRAISALS FOR ARAQUAY PARK	[21]	address in your agenda memo. They are 373 Indian
[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. Bryan, you want	[22]	Bend, 387 Indian Bend, 395 Indian Bend, 417
[23]	to hit the next slide? Okay. The what you	[23]	Indian Bend, and 312 Araquay Avenue.
[24]	have in front of you is the plat map for the	[24]	And after discussing with our attorneys, in
[25]	Araquay Park subdivision.	[25]	particular Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers, whom
A		·	
Page 23		Page 24	
1	I'm going to meet with in detail on Thursday, we	Page 24	and identifying that appraiser firm, we would
[1]	I'm going to meet with in detail on Thursday, we felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority	[1]	and identifying that appraiser firm, we would like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process.
[1] [2]		[1] [2]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process.
[1] [2] [3]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority	[1] [2] [3]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are
[1] [2] [3] [4]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring	[1] [2] [3] [4]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer. In any case, the acquisitions would be done	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.? MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey, 417 Indian
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer. In any case, the acquisitions would be done a one-on you know, on an individual basis	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.? MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend Road. When these appraisals take place,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer. In any case, the acquisitions would be done a one-on you know, on an individual basis versus as a group, so the appraisal fees would be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.? MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend Road. When these appraisals take place, will the owners of these properties be notified,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer. In any case, the acquisitions would be done a one-on you know, on an individual basis versus as a group, so the appraisal fees would be determined by individual requests that's made by	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.? MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend Road. When these appraisals take place, will the owners of these properties be notified, and if not, why not?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer. In any case, the acquisitions would be done a one-on you know, on an individual basis versus as a group, so the appraisal fees would be determined by individual requests that's made by the Airport Authority. So, by property, by each	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.? MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend Road. When these appraisals take place, will the owners of these properties be notified, and if not, why not? MR. WUELLNER: I assume they would be. I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	felt it appropriate to bring to the Authority this time for a decision as to whether they'd like to move you'd like to move towards hiring an appraiser to have the valuations of this these properties determined. And it's my understanding this would be a preliminary step toward making a formal offer in support of Florida Statutes for acquiring the property in this subdivision. We have not determined the appraisal fees at this time, as we have not identified the appraiser the firm to do the appraisals. I need to sit down with Mark Arnold of Rogers, Towers and see what appraisal firm they would recommend we use that satisfies both Florida DOT and that our attorneys are comfortable with in presenting a a fair and reasonable offer. In any case, the acquisitions would be done a one-on you know, on an individual basis versus as a group, so the appraisal fees would be determined by individual requests that's made by	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	like to go ahead and begin the appraisal process. Once those appraisers appraisals are complete, we'd bring those back to the Airport Authority for your decision as to whether to present those as a formal offer, and I'm sure Mark Arnold will be on hand at that time to let the keep the Authority informed as to procedure at that point, but some decision as to whether to present those offers to the individual owners and how and what speed and methodology that might be employed from that point forward. At this point, we'd simply be doing the appraisals and bringing that back without any other action. And that would be Staff's recommendation. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Is there any public comment on the item 5.C.? MR. HARVEY: Yeah, Mel Harvey, 417 Indian Bend Road. When these appraisals take place, will the owners of these properties be notified, and if not, why not?

	Page 25		Page 26	
ı	[1]	appraise. You can't	[1]	total cost \$700,000.
İ	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure they'd have to.	[2]	Would you venture to guess how much it would
	[3]	MR. HARVEY: You can't appraise something	[3]	take to buy those four or five pieces of
	[4]	without going inside.	[4]	property? And if the appraisers come in and say
	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sure they'd have to	[5]	it's valued at over \$700,000 and that's all
	[6]	arrange with the owners.	[6]	you've got budgeted, how are you going to get
	[7]	MR. HARVEY: I mean, legally, I don't know	[7]	them?
- 1	[8]	what the law says, if you can come and do it or	[8]	I mean, you going to piecemeal it like one
	[9]	not.	[9]	or two this year and one or two next year, or are
	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: Maybe Cindy has an answer. I	[10]	you wanting it and needing it all right now, or
	[11]	don't know,	[11]	how would you proceed? \$700,000 doesn't seem
١	[12]	MR. HARVEY: Can you?	[12]	like very much money for the value of those
	[13]	MS. BARTIN: I don't know the answer to	[13]	properties.
	[14]	that.	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, you have two questions
	[15]	MR, HARVEY: You don't know? Okay. And	[15]	there. No, I don't have any idea what the total
	[16]	don't forget you'll	[16]	value of the properties are.
ł	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: I wouldn't know how you	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: And how
- 1	[18]	could	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: The other question is how
	[19]	MR. HARVEY: there will be another	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: imperative and how quick
- 1	[20]	appraisal fee also. Thank you.	[20]	you need them.
- 1	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: The as I said, the money's
	[22]	Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello.	[22]	there to begin the process of both acquisition
1	[23]	MR. CIRIELLO: Excuse me. If I if I'm	[23]	and construction at the Authority's pleasure.
ı	[24]	reading correctly here in the budget, you have	[24]	So
- 1	[25]	property acquisition, Araquay Park, estimated	[25]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.
Ĺ		1 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u></u>	
- 1	Page 27		Page 28	
	Page 27	MR. WUELLNER: you know, it literally	Page 28	want to sell?
ı	_	MR. WUELLNER: you know, it literally could move at whatever speed this board	_	want to sell? MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2]	could move at whatever speed this board	[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them
	[1] [2] [3]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate.	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to
WWW.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out
CONTRACTOR	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area.
O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think
Opposite	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it.
Service Control of the Control of th	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [16]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.)
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I think this is something we need to do.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion to either accept or deny Staff
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [15] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I think this is something we need to do. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion to either accept or deny Staff recommendation for the appraisal phase of this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I think this is something we need to do. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Mr. Rose?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion to either accept or deny Staff recommendation for the appraisal phase of this item.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I think this is something we need to do. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: No comment.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion to either accept or deny Staff recommendation for the appraisal phase of this item. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I I move that we
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I think this is something we need to do. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: No comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion to either accept or deny Staff recommendation for the appraisal phase of this item. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I I move that we accept Staff recommendation, move ahead with the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7] [7	could move at whatever speed this board determines to be appropriate. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Anyone else? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: I know we have previewed this before. I think that this step is the step that we really need to take for the property owners even to have an idea where we're going with this thing. I I know that it's an initial step that has to be done. It's almost like turning the key in the ignition before you start the car. You've got to do that to get on down the road. So, I think this is something we need to do. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: No comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts? MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, Ed, I was the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: I don't believe any of them have been contacted by us for that purpose. MR. WATTS: Okay. I took a ride out to at Mr. and Mrs. Harvey's request, took a ride out to their home, and a beautiful, beautiful area. And I guess it's one of these things where if if I was put in that situation where I live, for someone to come in and take my home, I think it's it's really, it's a hard thing. And that's well, not speaking for the rest of the board, but myself, I just wanted to go on record that I I just can't support it. That's it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Anything else, gentlemen? (Nothing further.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, then I'll entertain a motion to either accept or deny Staff recommendation for the appraisal phase of this item. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I I move that we accept Staff recommendation, move ahead with the appraisal.

	Page 29		Page 30	
	[1]	MR. LASSITER: I'll second.	[1]	determine if the owner wants to sell, and we know
	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[2]	what the appraised price is. And there's no
	[3]	All in favor of accepting Staff recommendation to	[3]	decision to condemn the property until after all
	[4]	go into the appraisal phase of the property	[4]	that has taken place.
	[5]	acquisition, signify by aye.	[5]	MS. BARTIN: I think that's correct. I
	[6]	MR. ROSE: Aye.	[6]	think you need that at any rate, I mean, whether
	[7]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[7]	he's willing
	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	[8]	MR. ROSE: Right.
	[9]	And opposed?	[9]	MS. BARTIN: a willing seller or not.
ı	[10]	MR. WATTS: Nay.	[10]	So, this is really just an initial step.
	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We have three ayes and one	[11]	MR. ROSE: The only thing the appraisal does
l	[12]	nay. By your vote, you have accepted Staff	[12]	is give us a yardstick so we have a basis for
	[13]	recommendation to go into the appraisal phase of	[13]	discussing that issue with the property owner.
	[14]	the property acquisitions on Indian Bend and	[14]	MS. BARTIN: I think you're correct in that.
	[15]	Araquay Avenue. Mr. Wueliner?	[15]	MR. ROSE: Yeah. Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
	[16]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's all right. Thank
ĺ	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?	[17]	you, Mr. Rose. Mr. Wuellner? Excuse me.
	[18]	MR. ROSE: can I make a comment?	[18]	Mr. Harvey, go ahead.
	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts excuse me,	[19]	MR. HARVEY: Since he brought that up, i
	[20]	Mr. Rose, go ahead.	[20]	how can you appraise something without looking at
	[21]	MR. ROSE: Cindy, if I'm not mistaken,	[21]	the whole piece of property, is what I was
	[22]	the the contact with the property owner takes	[22]	getting at?
	[23]	place after the appraisal, so that the so that	[23]	MR. ROSE: Well, the property
	[24]	we have something to negotiate. The property is	[24]	MR. HARVEY: I mean, you know, walls may be
	[25]	appraised, then you contact the owners to	[25]	lined with gold for all you know. You can't
1	Page 31		Page 32	
	Page 31	appraise something unless you see the whole piece	[1]	you have a supplemental agreement that we're not
	[1] [2]	appraise something unless you see the whole piece of property.	[1] [2]	you have a supplemental agreement that we're not asking for action on today, but outlines the
	[1]		[1]	•
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	of property.	[1] [2]	asking for action on today, but outlines the
	[1] [2] [3]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we	[1] [2] [3]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorry.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorty. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? 5.D TRANSPORTATION CENTER SCOPE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer signatures to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? 5.D TRANSPORTATION CENTER SCOPE MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item I have is related to the proposed Resolution 2000-08, which would facilitate a grant with the State of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer signatures to the document. And it's Staff recommendation that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? 5.D TRANSPORTATION CENTER SCOPE MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item I have is related to the proposed Resolution 2000-08, which would facilitate a grant with the State of Florida for the conceptual development study	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving — moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice — Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer signatures to the document. And it's Staff recommendation that that Resolution 2000-08 be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorty. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? 5.D TRANSPORTATION CENTER SCOPE MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item I have is related to the proposed Resolution 2000-08, which would facilitate a grant with the State of Florida for the conceptual development study related to the transportation center.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer signatures to the document. And it's Staff recommendation that that Resolution 2000-08 be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? 5.D TRANSPORTATION CENTER SCOPE MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item I have is related to the proposed Resolution 2000-08, which would facilitate a grant with the State of Florida for the conceptual development study related to the transportation center. This would be a 50/50 grant, as proposed,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer signatures to the document. And it's Staff recommendation that that Resolution 2000-08 be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comments up here,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	of property. MR. ROSE: I I didn't mention that we weren't going to see the property. MR. HARVEY: You said contacting the owner was after the appraisal. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, no. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What he's saying is MR. ROSE: I don't think we ought to discuss this anymore. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: the offer MR. HARVEY: Oh, I understand that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not not the appraisal. MR. HARVEY: Well, I misunderstood you. I'm sorty. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Wuellner? 5.D TRANSPORTATION CENTER SCOPE MR. WUELLNER: Okay. The next item I have is related to the proposed Resolution 2000-08, which would facilitate a grant with the State of Florida for the conceptual development study related to the transportation center.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	asking for action on today, but outlines the details of what the study would encompass when it comes to that time. We are moving moving the resolution through at this time in anticipation of the actual grant agreement with Florida DOT in order to keep this on a relatively fast track so that answers are available to us this spring in advance of the upcoming and future-year legislative sessions and preparation of the capital development program with FDOT for fiscal year 2001-2002, as well as alignment with any other potential funding sources. The resolution, as always, would authorize participation with Florida DOT at a 50 percent rate and would authorize the Chairman and vice Chairman and Secretary/Treasurer signatures to the document. And it's Staff recommendation that that Resolution 2000-08 be approved. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any public comment? (No public comment.)

			······································	
	Page 33		Page 34	
	[1]	(No comments.)	[1]	(No opposition.)
	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: I did find a small in the	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen,
	[3]	resolution itself where it says the under	[3]	you have accepted Staff recommendation on the
	[4]	number 2, it talks about 50 percent or \$60,000;	[4]	transportation concept center study.
	[5]	it should be \$63,000. And we'll get the actual	[5]	5.E MONTHLY PROJECT UPDATE FROM ICF KAISER
	[6]	resolution corrected before signature.	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: The last item I have for you,
	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Gentlemen, if	[7]	which really isn't an item, but I just want to
	[8]	there's no comment, I'll entertain a motion to	[8]	call your attention to the project update, the
	[9]	either accept or reject Staff recommendation for	[9]	monthly project update from ICF Kaiser. It
	[10]	Resolution 2000-08, the proposed resolution that	[10]	provides a little explanation of the ongoing
	[11]	covers the new transportation development study.	[11]	projects and the current status of all those.
	[12]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, I'll make that	[12]	And I'd be happy to address any questions you
	[13]	motion,	[13]	might have related to those kind of projects.
	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And	[14]	(No questions.)
	[15]	a second, please?	[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any questions?
	[16]	MR. ROSE: I second, Mr. Davis.	[16]	(No questions.)
	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I have one. What
	[18]	in favor of accepting Staff recommendations on	[18]	is the percentage of our aircraft the control
	[19]	the agenda item 5.D., the proposed resolution,	[19]	tower now?
١	[20]	signify by aye.	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: Do what?
	[21]	MR. ROSE: Aye.	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: What percentage are we at
	[22]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[22]	now?
	[23]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[23]	MR. WUELLNER: Of the tower?
	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye,	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Is it still 30 yes.
	[25]	And opposed?	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: The 30 percent plans are 99
Ĺ				
	Page 35		Page 36	
	[1]	percent done.	[1]	6.E MR. WATTS
ı	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you.	[2]	MR. WATTS: No comment.
	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: They are being reviewed by	[3]	6.A CHAIRMAN DAVIS
	[4]	Florida DOT right now and the County as a	[4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And I have none. Is there
	[5]	courtesy. Once we receive those back, we'll be	[5]	any public comment on any item that we covered or
	[6]	in a position to advertise for the architectural	[6]	did not cover?
	[7]	firm on the professional services, as we	[7]	(No public comment.)
-	[8]	discussed last month.	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, our next board
-	[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.	[9]	meeting is to be October 16th at 4 p.m. Are
	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: So, it's coming up pretty	[10]	there any conflicts, gentlemen?
	[11]	quickly. I suspect you'll have those proposals	[11]	MR. ROSE: No.
	[12]	at your October meeting well, at the November	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: October 16th at 4 p.m. Our
-				
8	[13]	meeting for interview purposes.	[13]	special hearing will convene in approximately 22
	[13] [14]	meeting for interview purposes. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else,	[13] [14]	special hearing will convene in approximately 22 minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget.
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else,	[14]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget.
	[14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen?	[14] [15] [16]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this
	[14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.)	[14] [15] [16]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
	[14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner.	[14] [15] [16] [17]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, Mr. Rose?	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, Mr. Rose? 6.B MR. ROSE	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, Mr. Rose? 6.B MR. ROSE MR. ROSE: I have no comment.	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, Mr. Rose? 6.B MR. ROSE MR. ROSE: I have no comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor is absent.	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, Mr. Rose? 6.B MR. ROSE MR. ROSE: I have no comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor is absent. Mr. Lassiter?	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.
The state of the s	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, Mr. Rose? 6.B MR. ROSE MR. ROSE: I have no comment. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor is absent. Mr. Lassiter? 6.D MR. LASSITER	[14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	minutes, at 5:01 p.m., for our next budget. If there's nothing else, gentlemen, this meeting is adjourned until 5:01.

Page		
[1]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
[2]		
[3]	STATE OF FLORIDA)	
[4]	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)	
[5]		
[6]	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I	
[7]	was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
[8]	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true	
1		
[9]	record of my stenographic notes.	
[10]		
[11]	Dated this 9th day of October, 2000.	
[12]	(Yourt M Beases	
[13]		
[14]	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida	
[15]	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires, April 30, 2002	
[16]	. A	
[17]		
[18]		
[19]		
[20]		
[21]		
[22]		
[23]		
[24]		
[25]		
[25]		

Î		
1		
I		
STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED		

	ort Regular Meeting - Sept. 25, 2000	
\$	6	assistance 16/24 Assistant 1/20
\$-900 7/10 \$1.2 15/17 \$103,000 7/20 \$103,900 7/10 \$200 18/11	6 2/6, 2/7, 2/9, 2/12 6.A 36/3 6.B 35/19 6.D 35/23 6.E 36/1	assured 8/4 attached 31/25 attention 22/15, 34/8 Attorney 1/18, 2/7 attorneys 22/24, 23/17
\$215,000 15/16, 18/12 \$300 15/8, 15/15 \$60,000 33/4 \$63,000 33/5 \$700,000 26/1, 26/5, 26/11	7 7 2/16	attractive 17/13 August 4/6 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23, 7/17 Augustine-St 3/3 AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 2/12, 3/4, 22/2, 22/4,
\$800,000 15/17	700 21/4 717 15/7	22/10, 22/17, 23/2, 23/23, 24/5, 24/8 Authority's 22/15, 26/23
& 1/17	8	authorize 32/15, 32/17 authorized 37/7 available 32/9
*	8 2/17 825-0570 1/23	Avenue 22/23, 29/15 aye 4/7, 4/8, 4/9, 4/10, 4/11, 5/3, 5/4, 5/5, 5/6, 5/7, 12/16, 12/17, 12/18, 12/19, 12/20, 21/12, 21/13,
* 1/7, 1/12, 1/15, 1/21	9	21/14, 21/15, 21/16, 29/5, 29/6, 29/7, 29/8, 33/20, 33/21, 33/22, 33/23, 33/24 ayes 29/11
0	904 99 34/25 9th 37/11	B B
1	A	backup 17/21 Bailey 1/17
1 1/3, 7/6, 19/11 10,000 8/9 11th 4/17, 5/2	A.A.E 1/19 ABSENT 1/13, 35/21 accept 3/23, 4/21, 12/5, 21/1, 21/3, 28/18, 28/22, 33/9	bare 9/11 BARTIN 1/17, 2/7 basis 23/20, 30/12 BEASON 37/6 beautiful 28/6
13 2/10 13/31 20/4 1510 1/22 16th 36/9, 36/12	accepted 21/20, 29/12, 34/3 accepting 12/13, 21/10, 29/3, 33/18 accountant 5/20 acquire 13/6, 16/8, 22/4	Bend 22/22, 22/23, 24/20, 27/25, 29/14 beneficial 13/7 benefit 11/10, 16/25 bid 9/22, 9/23
2	acquired 22/9 acquiring 13/5, 23/9 acquisition 22/12, 25/25, 26/22, 29/5 acquisitions 22/18, 23/19, 29/14	bids 9/21, 10/8 BILL 1/10, 2/14 bit 11/20 blue 14/20
2 2/3, 19/4, 19/11, 33/4 2-to-1 19/6, 19/25 2000 1/5, 3/5, 4/6, 37/11 2000-08 31/20, 32/20, 33/10 2001-2002 32/13	acre 15/9, 15/15 acres 15/8, 17/4, 17/10, 19/4, 19/5, 19/15, 21/4 ACTION 2/8, 6/23, 12/4, 12/14, 24/15, 32/2 add 17/19 address 22/21, 34/12	Blvd 1/22 BOARD 1/8, 1/13, 11/10, 16/7, 27/2, 28/12, 36/8 bolts 11/6 bottom 16/17, 18/20
21 2/10 21st 4/6 22 36/13 25 1/5	adjourned 36/16, 36/17 ADJOURNMENT 2/17 advance 32/10 advertise 35/6	box 16/12, 16/17 boxes 13/12, 14/19, 15/7 brave 11/14 bring 5/25, 22/15, 23/2, 24/4
25 th 3/5	Aero 2/6 afternoon 3/2 agencies 17/6, 17/24	bringing 24/14 brought 30/19 BRYAN 1/20, 13/2, 21/22 BRYANT 1/11, 2/6, 6/4
3 2/3, 2/4 30 34/24, 34/25	agenda 3/9, 3/14, 5/16, 22/21, 33/19 Agreement 2/9, 6/24, 7/1, 7/8, 7/12, 7/14, 7/16, 12/10, 32/7, 32/7 agreements 8/14	budget 4/16, 25/24, 36/14 budgeted 26/6 build 7/25, 9/21, 11/1, 11/3
31 2/11 312 22/23 32084 1/18, 1/23 34 2/11	aircraft 34/18 AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 3/4, 11/17, 13/4, 15/11, 16/21, 22/3, 22/10, 22/15, 22/16, 23/23, 24/4	building 8/16, 8/20, 8/22, 9/3, 9/4, 9/11, 9/12, 9/24, 10/1, 10/12, 10/19, 11/24 building's 8/24
35 2/14 36 2/13, 2/15, 2/16, 2/17 373 22/21	airports 10/25 alignment 32/13 allow 10/21, 14/25	business 3/7, 3/16, 5/14, 8/7, 9/18, 10/3 buy 7/24, 8/1, 19/24, 26/3
387 22/22 395	amount 7/10 answer 14/3, 16/6, 25/10, 25/13 answered 9/15	C call 3/5, 8/8, 13/20, 19/19, 34/8
4	answers 32/9 anticipated 20/11 anticipating 17/3	came 14/4 capital 32/12 car 27/16
4 1/6, 2/5, 36/9, 36/12 4.A 6/4 4.B 6/8	anticipation 32/6 apologize 13/14 appraisal 23/11, 23/15, 23/21, 24/2, 25/20, 28/19,	CARL 1/9, 2/13 case 16/16, 23/19 catch 5/18
4.C 6/11 4.D 6/15	28/23, 29/4, 29/13, 29/23, 30/11, 31/6, 31/14 Appraisals 2/10, 21/21, 23/13, 24/3, 24/14, 24/20	Center 2/11, 31/18, 31/23, 34/4 centered 16/11
4.E 6/19 417 22/22, 24/19 4796 1/3	appraise 25/1, 25/3, 30/20, 31/1 appraised 29/25, 30/2 appraiser 23/5, 23/13, 24/1	CERTIFICATE 37/1 certify 37/6 Chairman 1/9, 3/2, 3/16, 3/22, 4/1, 4/4, 4/11,
4:37 1/6, 36/17	appraisers 24/3, 26/4 approached 13/3 appropriate 22/14, 23/2, 27/3	4/14, 4/20, 4/23, 5/1, 5/7, 5/10, 5/13, 6/2, 6/5, 6/7, 6/9, 6/12, 6/16, 6/18, 6/20, 6/22, 7/13, 11/25, 12/3, 12/8, 12/12, 12/20, 12/23, 17/25, 18/4, 18/7, 18/24,
5 2/4, 2/8, 6/23, 7/4, 7/15, 12/15, 12/25 5.A 6/24, 12/4, 12/14	APPROVAL 2/3, 3/15, 3/17, 3/23, 3/25, 4/22, 12/6 approved 4/15, 5/11, 7/12, 12/24, 32/20	19/1, 20/23, 20/25, 21/6, 21/9, 21/16, 21/19, 24/17, 25/21, 27/4, 27/7, 27/19, 27/22, 28/14, 28/17, 28/24, 29/2, 29/8, 29/11, 29/17, 29/19, 30/16, 31/7, 31/9,
5.B 13/1, 18/1, 21/2 5.C 21/21, 24/18 5.D 31/18, 33/19	approving 4/5, 5/2 Araquay 2/10, 21/21, 21/25, 22/23, 25/25, 29/15 architectural 10/14, 35/6	31/12, 31/14, 31/17, 32/17, 32/18, 32/21, 32/24, 33/7, 33/14, 33/17, 33/24, 34/2, 34/15, 34/17, 34/21, 34/24, 35/2, 35/9, 35/14, 35/17, 35/21, 35/25, 36/3,
5.E 34/5 50 32/16, 33/4 50/50 31/24	area 7/3, 15/7, 19/14, 28/6 areas 13/16, 22/1, 22/2, 22/3, 22/8 Arnold 22/25, 23/14, 23/25, 24/7	36/4, 36/8, 36/12 CHARLES 1/9 cheaply 11/1
5:01 3/9, 3/12, 36/14, 36/16	arrange 25/6	CINDY 1/17, 25/10, 29/21

circled 14/19, 14/20, 22/1 Ciriello 7/17 civil 8/25 claimed 13/19, 15/12 Club 16/12, 16/19 colored 22/8 comfortable 23/17 COMMENT 2/16, 7/14, 12/1, 12/2, 17/25, 18/3, 18/5, 18/6, 24/18, 27/6, 27/7, 27/21, 29/18, 32/22, 32/23, 33/8, 35/20, 35/24, 36/2, 36/5, 36/7 comments 4/18, 32/24, 33/1, 35/18 Commissioner 2/6, 6/4 Commissioner/Airport 1/11 companies 7/25, 10/7, 11/3 company 9/23 complete 3/14, 24/4 completed 3/10 concept 10/11, 34/4 conceptual 31/22 concern 10/25 condemn 30/3 conflicts 36/10 construct 15/18 constructed 8/1, 8/24 construction 7/4, 10/1, 15/19, 17/18, 17/19, 22/5, 22/6, 22/12, 26/23 contact 29/22, 29/25 contacted 28/3 contacting 31/5 contiguous 16/20 contractor 10/15, 10/16 control 34/18 convene 36/13 cookie 7/23 cookie-type 11/2 COOPER 1/20 corner 16/11, 16/18 corporate 7/3, 7/4, 7/23, 8/5, 8/8, 10/18, 12/15 Corps 14/12, 15/21 correct 18/9, 19/6, 30/5, 30/14 correct 18/2, 13/0, 30/3, 30/17
corrected 33/6
correctly 25/24
cost 15/1, 15/5, 16/24, 17/19, 18/8, 18/12, 26/1
cost-saving 11/7
costs 17/14, 17/18
COUNTY 1/1, 1/11, 2/6, 3/4, 35/4, 37/4 Court 1/22 courtesy 35/5 cover 36/6 covered 36/5 covers 33/11 create 14/25 creating 19/18 creation 14/15, 19/5, 19/10 credit 14/8, 14/11, 14/14, 15/20 CRR 37/6 currently 22/10 cut 11/19 cutter 7/23

determine 30/1 determined 23/6, 23/11, 23/22 determines 27/3 development 31/22, 32/12, 33/11 diligence 13/22 dime 11/9 Director 1/19, 1/20 discuss 31/11 discussed 35/8 discussing 22/24, 30/13 Discussion 2/10, 13/1, 18/2 District 14/5, 14/8 document 32/19 doesn't 8/14, 8/21, 16/1, 26/11 dollar 11/9 dollars 10/7, 18/13, 19/14 Donna 13/9 DOT 23/16, 32/7, 32/16, 35/4 drawing 16/13 duplicate 8/14

E

eastside 7/2 ecological 19/18 Ed 5/18, 19/3, 27/23 edge 16/17, 16/18 EDWARD 1/19 elevation 14/22 eligible 14/11 employ 10/20 employed 24/12 employing 10/10, 10/12 encompass 32/3 end 16/15, 20/5 ends 10/16 engineer 13/23 Engineering 2/9, 6/24, 7/9, 7/11, 7/12, 7/14, 7/21, 8/10, 8/13, 8/15, 8/20, 8/22, 9/13, 9/23, 9/25, 10/2, 10/8, 10/14, 22/6 engineers 9/6, 9/8, 10/20, 14/12, 15/21 enters 5/15 entertain 3/22, 4/20, 12/3, 20/25, 28/17, 33/8 Environmental 2/10, 13/1, 13/24, 14/7, 17/6, 18/1 equate 15/16 equated 15/7 equation 17/16 Esquire 1/17 estimated 25/25 Excuse 25/23, 29/19, 30/15, 30/17 Executive 1/19 expenses 11/19 explanation 34/10 extend 13/13 exterior 11/23 extreme 13/11

F

facilitate 31/21 fact 10/9, 10/11

D date 6/1 Dated 37/11
DAVIS 1/9, 2/13, 3/2, 3/16, 3/22, 4/1, 4/4, 4/11, 4/14, 4/20, 4/23, 5/1, 5/7, 5/10, 5/13, 6/2, 6/5, 6/7, 6/9, 6/12, 6/16, 6/18, 6/20, 6/22, 7/13, 11/25, 12/3, 12/8, 12/12, 12/20, 12/23, 17/25, 18/4, 18/7, 18/24, 19/1, 20/23, 20/25, 21/6, 21/9, 21/16, 21/19, 24/17, 25/21, 27/4, 27/7, 27/19, 27/22, 28/14, 28/17, 28/24, 29/2, 29/8, 29/11, 29/17, 29/19, 30/16, 31/7, 31/9, 31/12, 31/14, 31/17, 32/21, 32/24, 33/7, 33/14, 33/17, 33/24, 34/2, 34/15, 34/17, 34/21, 34/24, 35/2, 35/9, 35/14, 35/17, 35/21, 35/25, 36/3, 36/4, 36/8, 36/12 day 11/13, 37/11 days 10/20 de 1/22 decision 22/17, 23/3, 24/5, 24/9, 30/3 demolition 15/20 DENNIS 1/10 deny 3/23, 4/21, 12/5, 28/18 describing 11/22 design/build 10/11, 10/17 designs 8/17 destroying 19/17 detail 23/1 detail's 17/23

details 32/3

factor 17/18 fair 23/18 fashion 16/3 fast 32/8 fault 11/15 favor 4/5, 5/2, 12/13, 21/10, 29/3, 33/18 FDOT 32/12 feasible 9/20, 18/9 fee 25/20 fees 23/11, 23/21 feet 8/9 FINANCIAL 2/4, 5/12, 5/14, 5/17, 5/25 find 33/2 finish 3/12 finishing 22/18 firm 10/14, 13/24, 23/13, 23/15, 24/1, 35/7 fiscal 32/12 Fisheries 14/13, 15/22 Fishery 14/13, 19/8 five 22/20, 26/3 FL 1/18, 1/23 Fleming 2/7 Florida 1/4, 9/2, 14/5, 15/12, 16/1, 23/9, 23/16, 31/22, 31/25, 32/7, 32/16, 35/4, 37/3 folks 14/6 formal 23/8, 24/6 foundation 8/21 four 26/3, 27/25

front 21/24 funding 32/14 future 13/8, 14/1, 14/14, 17/4 future-year 32/10

G

Gay 1/17
gentlemen 3/3, 3/19, 4/18, 12/1, 12/23, 18/4,
20/23, 27/7, 28/15, 32/25, 33/7, 34/2, 34/15, 35/15,
36/10, 36/15
gold 30/25
graininess 13/14
grant 31/21, 31/24, 32/7
grants 22/11
grass 14/23
group 23/21
Grumman 6/13
guess 5/18, 10/25, 19/3, 19/16, 26/2, 28/7
Gun 16/12, 16/19
guys 7/18, 7/22

H

hand 24/7
hangar 7/3, 7/4, 7/15, 8/8, 9/24, 10/19, 11/5, 12/15, 12/24, 22/5, 22/6, 22/13
hangars 7/6, 7/23, 8/5, 9/21, 10/19, 11/1, 11/2, 11/21
happy 34/12
hard 14/18, 28/10
Harvey 24/19
held 1/3, 4/17
high 7/21
hiring 23/4
hit 21/23
hold 16/16
home 28/6, 28/9
hope 7/18
hundred 7/19

Ι

ICF 2/11, 7/2, 7/8, 34/5, 34/9 idea 20/6, 26/15, 27/12 identified 13/13, 22/20, 23/12 identifying 24/1 ignition 27/16 Illinois 11/3 imagine 15/2 impact 20/12 impacted 20/18 impacts 19/6 imperative 26/19 increase 7/5 Indian 22/21, 22/22, 22/23, 24/19, 27/25, 29/14 indicating 16/18, 16/19 informed 24/8 initial 27/14, 30/10 integral 10/17 interior 9/3 interview 35/13 Intracoastal 13/17 island 15/2, 15/3, 16/18 islands 14/19 issue 30/13 item 6/22, 6/25, 12/4, 12/14, 18/1, 21/2, 24/18, 28/20, 31/19, 33/19, 34/6, 34/7, 36/5 ITEMS 2/8, 6/23

J

JAMES 1/9 JANET 37/6 JIM 1/11 job 9/8, 9/14 Joe 7/17 JOHNS 1/1, 3/4, 37/4 Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/14

K

Kaiser 2/9, 2/11, 6/24, 7/2, 7/9, 7/15, 7/18, 10/4, 34/5, 34/9 key 27/15 kit 11/23 kits 7/25, 11/3

T,

lack 13/12, 13/18 ladies 3/2 Land 2/10, 13/1, 17/19, 18/2, 18/23, 19/24, 22/11 land-use 17/14 landowner 13/4 lands 13/20, 13/21, 18/21 large 8/5, 11/21 LASSITER 1/9, 2/14 law 25/8 left-hand 16/11 legally 25/7 legislative 32/11 Leon 1/22 Liaison 1/11 lies 14/10 lift 16/15 light 13/10 lined 30/25 literally 15/3, 16/16, 27/1 little 11/20, 14/18, 14/24, 14/25, 16/10, 18/23, 34/10 live 28/8 long-term 15/11 lost 19/7 lower 11/20, 16/11

M

lowered 14/22

magazine 10/24 majority 15/9 Malaga 1/17 Management 14/5, 14/8 map 21/24 Marine 14/13, 15/22, 19/8 Mark 22/25, 23/14, 23/25, 24/7 marsh 14/2, 14/16, 14/22, 14/23, 18/18, 19/4, 19/6, 19/11, 19/19, 20/18 marshland 18/11 material 11/5 matter 13/22 meet 23/1 Meeting 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 3/5, 3/8, 3/9, 3/11, 3/12, 3/13, 3/15, 3/17, 3/18, 3/24, 4/6, 23/25, 35/12, 35/13, 36/9, 36/16, 36/17 Mel 24/19 MEMBER 2/12 MEMBERS 1/8, 1/13 memo 22/21 mention 31/3 metal 9/11 method 15/4 methodology 24/11 million 15/18, 18/12, 19/14 minimum 15/17 MINUTES 2/3, 3/15, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/20, 3/24, 4/5, 4/7, 4/15, 4/16, 4/21, 5/3, 5/11, 36/14 mistaken 29/21 misunderstood 31/15 mitigate 19/15 mitigated 16/2, 17/5 Mitigation 2/10, 13/1, 13/8, 14/1, 16/4, 16/25, 17/3, 17/8, 17/9, 17/20, 18/1, 20/7, 20/14, 21/5, mixed 19/16 modifications 9/2 Monday 1/5 money 19/12, 26/12 money's 26/21 money-saving 8/3 month 5/17, 5/18, 5/20, 6/1, 35/8 Monthly 2/11, 34/5, 34/9 months 13/4 motion 3/23, 4/21, 12/4, 21/1, 21/3, 28/18, 33/8, move 3/25, 4/22, 12/6, 17/12, 20/16, 23/4, 27/2, 28/21, 28/22 moving 32/5 Mr. and 28/5 Mr. Bryant 5/15, 6/5, 6/6 Mr. Chairman 3/25, 12/6, 18/25, 27/23, 28/21, 29/16, 30/15, 31/8, 33/12 Mr. Charles 2/14 Mr. Ciriello 7/16, 7/17, 8/18, 9/9, 9/15, 10/6, 10/22, 11/25, 25/22, 25/23, 26/17, 26/19, 26/25, 27/4 Mr. Davis 33/16

Mr. Dennis 2/15

MR. FLEMING 6/15, 6/17 Mr. George 6/16 MR. HARVEY 24/19, 24/25, 25/3, 25/7, 25/12, 25/15, 25/19, 25/21, 30/18, 30/19, 30/24, 31/5, 31/13, 31/15 Mr. James 2/13 Mr. Jim 2/6 MR. LASSITER 4/9, 4/25, 5/1, 5/5, 12/9, 12/13, 12/18, 20/4, 20/10, 20/13, 20/19, 20/22, 21/3, 21/6, 21/14, 27/8, 27/9, 27/19, 29/1, 29/2, 29/7, 33/22, 35/22, 35/23, 35/24 MR. LESLIE 6/11, 6/12 Mr. Richard 2/6 Mr. Robert 2/7 MR. ROSE 3/25, 4/1, 4/8, 4/22, 4/23, 5/4, 5/14, 5/16, 5/22, 5/24, 6/2, 9/17, 12/6, 12/10, 12/12, 12/17, 18/6, 21/13, 27/20, 27/21, 28/21, 28/24, 29/6, 29/16, 29/18, 29/20, 29/21, 30/8, 30/11, 30/15, 30/17, 30/23, 31/3, 31/8, 31/10, 33/16, 33/17, 33/21, 35/18, 35/19, 35/20, 36/11 Mr. Taylor 35/21 MR. WATTS 4/3, 4/4, 4/10, 5/6, 12/19, 18/25, 19/1, 19/2, 19/10, 19/20, 19/23, 21/8, 21/9, 21/15, 27/22, 27/23, 28/4, 28/14, 29/10, 29/17, 29/19, 33/12, 33/14, 33/23, 35/25, 36/1, 36/2 MR. WEAVER 6/8, 6/9, 6/10 Mr. William 2/14 MR. WUELLNER 5/19, 5/23, 6/23, 6/25, 7/13, 8/2, 8/12, 8/19, 9/10, 10/5, 10/9, 11/21, 12/25, 13/2, 18/8, 18/14, 19/2, 19/9, 19/13, 19/21, 20/3, 20/8, 20/11, 20/15, 20/21, 21/20, 21/22, 24/17, 24/23, 25/2, 25/5, 25/10, 25/17, 26/14, 26/18, 26/21, 27/1, 28/2, 29/15, 30/17, 31/17, 31/19, 32/21, 33/2, 34/6, 34/20, 34/23, 34/25, 35/3, 35/10, 35/17 Mrs. Harvey's 28/5 MS. BARTÍN 6/19, 6/20, 6/21, 25/13, 30/5, 30/9, 30/14 Ms. Cindy 2/7

N

National 19/8
Nay 29/10, 29/12
need 8/10, 17/4, 20/7, 23/14, 26/20, 27/11, 27/18, 30/6
needing 26/10
needs 22/4
negotiate 29/24
negotiated 17/5
new 33/11
nickel 11/9
nine 17/4, 17/10, 19/3, 19/5, 19/15, 20/9, 20/10
nine-acre 17/3
normal 17/21
North 1/3, 13/4, 16/10, 16/12, 16/19
notes 37/9
notified 24/21
November 35/12
number 6/23, 7/4, 7/6, 7/15, 17/8, 20/8, 33/4
nuts 11/6

0

October 35/12, 36/9, 36/12, 37/11 offer 15/6, 23/8, 23/18, 24/6, 31/12 offered 15/8 offers 24/10 one-on 23/20 opinion 19/16 opposed 4/12, 5/8, 12/21, 21/17, 29/9, 33/25 opposition 4/13, 5/9, 12/22, 21/18, 34/1 Option 19/4 order 3/6, 3/7, 3/16, 5/13, 15/20, 18/9, 22/4, 32/7 original 15/6 outlines 32/2 overhead 13/3 owned 22/10 owner 29/22, 30/1, 30/13, 31/5 owners 24/11, 24/21, 25/6, 27/11, 29/25

P

P.A 1/17
P.E 9/2, 9/4
p.m 1/6, 36/9, 36/12, 36/14, 36/17
Park 2/10, 21/21, 21/25, 25/25
part 9/22, 11/21
participation 32/16
pass 17/12

paying 9/7, 18/20, 18/22 peninsula 16/11 penny 11/9 percentage 18/15, 34/18, 34/21 permit 18/17 permits 9/5 permitted 9/1 permitting 14/4, 16/22 phase 22/5, 28/19, 29/4, 29/13 phases 22/12 pick 14/18 piece 16/16, 30/21, 31/1 piecemeal 26/8 pieces 26/3 place 22/11, 22/16, 24/20, 29/23, 30/4 plans 34/25 plat 21/24 pleasure 26/23 plus 8/24, 9/2 point 17/2, 22/7, 24/9, 24/12, 24/13, 24/24 Ponce 1/22 portion 18/22, 22/13 position 35/6 possibility 13/5 potential 17/17, 17/20, 32/14 pre 8/16 preengineered 9/12 preliminary 23/7 preparation 32/11 presenting 23/18 presents 9/25, 16/3 preservation 14/6 pretty 7/21, 10/17, 15/4, 17/1, 35/10 previewed 27/9 price 15/6, 15/15, 20/2, 30/2 problem 14/10 procedure 24/9 proceed 15/24, 22/4, 22/18, 26/11 proceedings 37/8 process 24/2, 26/22 professional 35/7 program 32/12 prohibitive 15/5, 17/1 project 2/11, 10/18, 16/3, 20/16, 22/6, 22/13, 34/5, 34/8, 34/9 projects 13/8, 14/2, 14/15, 14/17, 17/4, 34/11, properties 22/9, 22/20, 23/6, 24/21, 26/13, 26/16 property 13/6, 13/7, 13/16, 13/19, 13/23, 13/25, 14/24, 16/17, 23/9, 23/23, 25/25, 26/4, 27/11, 29/4, 29/14, 29/22, 29/24, 30/3, 30/13, 30/21, 30/23, 31/2, proposals 35/11 proposed 31/20, 31/24, 33/10, 33/19 provide 17/9 Provides 34/10 PUBLIC 2/16, 4/16, 7/14, 17/25, 18/3, 24/18, 27/6, 32/22, 32/23, 36/5, 36/7 purchase 15/14, 15/15 purpose 18/16, 18/18, 28/3 purposes 13/25, 16/22, 35/13 pursue 21/4 put 9/21, 13/3, 13/9, 28/8 puts 17/22, 20/1

pay 18/11

Q

qualifies 9/11 qualify 15/20 qualities 14/20 question 3/19, 7/22, 9/16, 19/3, 19/15, 26/18, 27/24 questionable 15/11 questions 3/21, 4/18, 4/19, 11/8, 16/7, 26/14, 34/12, 34/14, 34/15, 34/16, 35/16 quick 26/19

R

radio 10/23 range 20/2 rate 11/20, 30/6, 32/17 ratio 19/6, 19/11, 19/25 read 10/24 reading 25/24 reasonable 23/18 recall 9/17, 10/23 receive 35/5

reclaim 18/13 reclaiming 18/10 recommend 23/16 recommendation 7/11, 12/5, 12/7, 12/14, 12/24, 15/23, 17/11, 21/2, 21/4, 21/10, 21/20, 24/16, 28/19, 28/22, 29/3, 29/13, 32/19, 33/9, 34/3 recommendations 33/18 record 28/12, 37/9 recreate 19/11 red 14/19 Registered 9/2 Regular 1/2, 3/9, 3/11, 3/18, 4/6 reimbursement 15/21 reject 21/1, 33/9 related 7/9, 8/25, 14/14, 31/20, 31/23, 34/13 relative 7/2 remove 15/3 removed 14/21 replant 15/4 replanted 14/22 REPORT 2/4, 5/12, 5/14, 6/6, 6/10, 6/17, 6/21, REPORTER'S 37/1 Reporters 1/22 REPORTS 2/5, 2/12, 5/17, 5/25, 6/3 represent 22/1, 22/3, 22/8 represents 20/9 request 15/13, 28/5 requests 23/22 requires 9/3, 16/4 Resolution 31/20, 32/5, 32/15, 32/20, 33/3, 33/6, 33/10, 33/19 rest 28/11 restoration 19/10 return 15/1 returns 14/7 reviewed 35/3 ride 28/4, 28/5 RMR 37/6 Road 24/20, 27/17 Rogers 1/17, 22/25, 23/14 room 5/15 ROSE 1/10, 2/14 RPR-CP 37/6 runway 20/4

S

S.A.P.A 2/7 sale 15/8 salt 18/10, 19/4, 19/5 saltwater 14/2, 14/16, 14/23, 19/18, 20/14, 20/17, 20/19, 20/20 satisfies 23/16 save 10/6, 11/10 Scope 2/11, 31/18 seal 9/4 sealed 9/1, 9/5 second 4/2, 4/3, 4/15, 4/24, 4/25, 5/13, 12/8, 12/9, 21/7, 21/8, 28/25, 29/1, 33/15, 33/16 Secretary/Treasurer 32/18 self-sufficient 11/13 sell 28/1, 30/1 seller 30/9 send 11/4 September 1/5, 3/5, 4/17, 5/2 Service 19/8 services 35/7 sessions 32/11 set 9/13 sets 3/18 show 16/13 signature 33/6 signatures 32/18 signed 9/1, 9/5 signify 4/7, 5/3, 12/15, 21/11, 29/5, 33/20 sit 23/14 site 8/24, 19/5, 21/5 situation 28/8 size 7/5, 11/5 sleep 17/22 slide 13/9, 21/23 small 33/2 sources 32/14 South 7/18, 13/12, 20/5, 22/5, 22/6, 22/13 sovereign 13/19, 13/21 speed 24/11, 27/2 Sport 2/6 spring 32/9

square 8/9 Staff 12/5, 12/7, 12/13, 12/24, 21/1, 21/10, 21/20, 28/18, 28/22, 29/3, 29/12, 32/19, 33/9, 33/18, 34/3 Staff's 7/11, 15/23, 24/15 stand 11/14 standard 8/7, 10/18 start 27/16 State 9/1, 9/19, 13/19, 14/5, 15/12, 15/25, 31/21, 31/25, 37/3 status 34/11 statute 3/11 Statutes 23/9 stenographic 37/9 stenographically 37/7 step 23/7, 27/10, 27/14, 30/10 Street 1/17 study 31/22, 32/3, 33/11, 34/4 stuff 8/11, 9/5 subdivision 21/25, 22/9, 22/19, 23/10 submerged 18/22 submit 9/23 subsidizing 11/18 subtracted 17/15 Suite 1/22 supplemental 7/1, 7/8, 7/15, 12/10, 12/15, 32/1 supplementing 11/17 support 23/8, 28/13 suspect 35/11 suspend 3/10 switch 13/10 system 11/16

T

T-hangar 7/6 T-hangars 7/24 talked 8/2 talking 18/10 talks 33/4 taxes 11/18 taxpayers 11/11 TAYLOR 1/14 team 10/15 teamed technical 18/21 technique 10/10, 10/12 term 13/20, 18/21 terms 13/13, 13/18, 17/9 Thank 4/1, 4/4, 4/23, 5/1, 6/2, 6/7, 6/18, 6/22, 7/13, 11/20, 11/25, 12/12, 13/2, 18/24, 21/6, 21/9, 21/22, 24/17, 25/20, 25/21, 27/4, 27/19, 28/14, 28/24, 29/2, 30/16, 32/21, 33/14, 33/17, 35/2, 35/14, Thanks 13/11 theory 14/21 three 29/11 Thursday 23/1, 23/25 time 3/10, 8/2, 9/19, 17/15, 23/3, 23/12, 24/7, 32/4, 32/6 tower 10/13, 34/19, 34/23 Towers 1/17, 22/25, 23/15 track 32/8 transcript 37/8 Transportation 2/11, 31/18, 31/23, 33/11, 34/4 trees 13/15 true 37/8 turning 27/15 TV 10/23 two 3/18, 7/6, 9/7, 13/12, 15/7, 22/1, 22/2, 22/3, 26/9, 26/14 type 7/24, 14/11

U

U.S 1/3, 14/13, 15/22 unusable 18/16 upcoming 32/10 update 2/11, 34/5, 34/8, 34/9 uplands 14/21, 14/25, 19/17 utility 14/1, 14/12, 15/11

V

vacationing 5/21 valuations 23/5 value 14/4, 15/10, 15/14, 15/25, 18/23, 19/18, 26/12, 26/16 valued 26/5 varying 14/20 venture 26/2 vice 32/17 vote 4/14, 5/10, 12/23, 21/19, 29/12, 34/2

V

wall 13/10
walls 30/24
water 13/15, 13/17, 14/5, 14/7, 18/19
watershed 16/23
WATTS 1/10, 2/15
Weaver 2/6
Welcome 3/3
willing 30/7, 30/9
words 19/20, 19/23
work 7/21, 8/25, 9/25, 20/5
workable 19/24
write 11/4
wrong 18/10, 19/7
WUELLNER 1/19

X

X 2/1

Y

yardstick 30/12 year 26/9, 32/13 yellow 22/2