		This point i activity - a		
	Page	1	Page 2	
	[1]	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1]	INDEX
	[2]	Regular Meeting	[2]	PAGE
	[3]	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[3] 2.	
1	[4]			
		St. Augustine, Florida	[4] 3.	
	[5]	on Monday, February 28, 2000	[5] 4.	
	[6] [7]	from 4:00 p.m. to 5:50 p.m.	[6] [7]	A. Mr. Jim Bryant - County Commissioner 6 B. Mr. John Freeman - Aero Sport, Inc. 6 C. Mr. John Leslie - Grumman St. Augustine 7
	[8]		[8]	D. Mr. Hamilton Upchurch - S.A.P.A. 7 E. Ms. Cindy Bartin - Attorney 24
	[9]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[9] 5	. ACTION ITEMS
	[10]	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	[10]	A. Release of Retainage - Molloy Cons, 24
	[11]	JAMES CARL DAVIS, SR., Chairman	[11]	B. Hangar D-5 26 C. Mem. of Understanding - Aero Sport 41
	[12]	JOSEPH S. TAYLOR CHARLES LASSITER	[12]	D. Adoption of Resolution 2000-01 50 E. Capital Improvement Program 52
ı	[13]	BILL ROSE CHARLES WATTS	[13] 6	. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:
	[14]	JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison	[14]	A, Mr. James "Carl" Davis 105
	[15]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[15]	B. Mr. William "Bill" Rose 105 C. Mr. Joe Taylor 105
	[16]	ALSO PRESENT:	[16]	D. Mr. Charles Lassiter 105 E. Mr. Dennis R. Watts 105
	[17]	CINDY BARTIN, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,	[17] 7	
	[18]	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18] 8	
	[19]	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E, Executive Director.	[19]	100
			[20]	
	[20] [21]	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[20]	
		Ot Assessing Court Beautier	' '	
-	[22]	St. Augustine Court Reporters 1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A	[22]	
	[23]	St. Augustine, FL 32084 (904) 825-0570	[23]	
	[24]		[24]	
	[25]		[25]	
				•
ŀ	Page 1	3	Page 4	
ŀ	Page 3		Page 4	motion to approve the minutes from the January
	[1]	PROCEEDINGS	[1]	motion to approve the minutes from the January
	[1] [2]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and	[1] [2]	24th meeting.
	[1] [2] [3]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St.	[1] [2] [3]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for	[1] [2] [3] [4]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And
- 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second?
İ	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to conduct.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to conduct. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The second order of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to conduct. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our first order of business	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The second order of business is our financial reports, which is
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to conduct. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our first order of business is the approval of the minutes from our regular	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The second order of business is our financial reports, which is two-fold. The first one will be the acceptance
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to conduct. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our first order of business is the approval of the minutes from our regular meeting for January 24th, 2000. Gentlemen, is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	24th meeting. MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The second order of business is our financial reports, which is two-fold. The first one will be the acceptance of the financial report for December 31st, 1999.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to our St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport Authority meeting for February 28, 2000. I'll now call this meeting to order, and I have a brief statement prior to going into our business. At the last meeting, we were supposed to have a joint meeting today with the County Commission. Because of our extended agenda and other circumstances, this meeting was brought back to our own chambers. We will have a stipulation that if it is feasible and is needed, that the Commission will contact us, and we will probably try to do this at a later date. But it just was not feasible today because of the business that we had to conduct. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our first order of business is the approval of the minutes from our regular meeting for January 24th, 2000. Gentlemen, is there any question?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WATTS: I make that motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. And a second? MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, thank you. All in favor of approving the minutes for the January 24th, 2000 meeting, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted these minutes for the 24th. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPORTS CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The second order of business is our financial reports, which is two-fold. The first one will be the acceptance of the financial report for December 31st, 1999. Mr. Rose?

		D (
Page 5		Page 6	CHAIRMAN DANGE There are All in force of
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Is there any question,	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you. All in favor of
[2]	gentlemen?	[2]	accepting the financial report for January the
[3]	(No questions.)	[3]	31st of 2000, our first financial report, signify
[4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a	[4]	by aye.
[5]	motion for acceptance of the December 31st,	[5]	MR. ROSE: Aye.
[6]	1999	[6]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
[7]	MR. ROSE: I so-move.	[7]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[8]	MR. LASSITER: Second.	[8]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second? Thank you,	[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
[10]	sir. All in favor of accepting these minutes	[10]	(No opposition.)
[11]	these financial reports for December the 31st,	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
[12]	1999, signify by aye.	[12]	accepted both of the financial reports for
[13]	MR. ROSE: Aye.	[13]	December 31st and January 31st of 2000.
[14]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[14]	Our reports. Mr. Bryant?
[15]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[15]	4.A COMMISSIONER BRYANT
[16]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[16]	MR. BRYANT: No report, sir.
[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Weaver?
[18]	(No opposition.)	[18]	MR. WEAVER: Mr. Freeman has something.
[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Freeman?
[20]	accepted the financial report for December 31st.	[20]	4.B AERO SPORT
[21]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move we accept	[21]	MR. FREEMAN: I just wanted to announce that
[22]	the January 31st financial report.	[22]	the long-awaited arrival of the Extra 400 that
[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a	[23]	most of you have heard about is finally coming.
[24]	second, please?	[24]	It is arriving airfreight into Atlanta on
[25]	MR. LASSITER: Second.	[25]	March 5th and should be down here by the end of
D 7		Page 9	
Page 7		Page 8	would not make this presentation to you
[1]	that week. So we've been talking about it a	[1]	would not make this presentation to you. On the 12th day of February, the Pilots
[1] [2]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so	[1] [2]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots
[1] [2] [3]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it.	[1] [2] [3]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a
[1] [2] [3] [4]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and	[1] [2] [3] [4]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's:
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the president of the Pilots Association, nor is Rick	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in flying, especially for young persons of our
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the president of the Pilots Association, nor is Rick Lavoie, as shows on your agenda.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in flying, especially for young persons of our community; and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the president of the Pilots Association, nor is Rick Lavoie, as shows on your agenda. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in flying, especially for young persons of our community; and WHEREAS, the St. Augustine Airport fixed
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the president of the Pilots Association, nor is Rick Lavoie, as shows on your agenda. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right. MR. UPCHURCH: He's been his term ended	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in flying, especially for young persons of our community; and WHEREAS, the St. Augustine Airport fixed base operation continues to be carried on by
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the president of the Pilots Association, nor is Rick Lavoie, as shows on your agenda. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right. MR. UPCHURCH: He's been his term ended the first of the year, and Mr. Wayne George has	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in flying, especially for young persons of our community; and WHEREAS, the St. Augustine Airport fixed base operation continues to be carried on by members of the Moser family in the highest
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that week. So we've been talking about it a long time, and now it's finally happening, so we're all pretty excited about it. We're going to be having some receptions and demo flights and so forth, probably have a lot of aviation press on the field over the next month or so. So, I'll keep you advised. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you very much. Mr. Leslie? 4.C MR. LESLIE MR. LESLIE: No report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Aero Sport? MR. WUELLNER: You just did it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. From the Pilots Association. 4.D PILOTS ASSOCIATION MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm Hamilton Upchurch, and I'm not the president of the Pilots Association, nor is Rick Lavoie, as shows on your agenda. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right. MR. UPCHURCH: He's been his term ended	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	On the 12th day of February, the Pilots Association, at its regular meeting, passed a unanimously passed a resolution with reference in honor of the Mosers. And with your permission, it's a very short resolution; I'd like to read it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes, sir. MR. UPCHURCH: It's: "WHEREAS, Ernest Hanley Moser and James Allen Moser were nationally-known aviators and promoters of aviation not only in St. Augustine but throughout the entire United States; and WHEREAS, Ernest Moser and Jim Moser for over thirty years endeavored to bring aviation-related development and industry to our county; and WHEREAS, both Mr. Mosers gave unselfishly of their time and talents to foster an interest in flying, especially for young persons of our community; and WHEREAS, the St. Augustine Airport fixed base operation continues to be carried on by

	Page 9		Page 10	177777777777777777777777777777777777777
	[1]	Moser; and	[1]	questions?
	[2]	WHEREAS, the St. Augustine Pilots	[2]	(No questions.)
	[3]	Association desires to recognize the efforts that	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comments?
	[4]	Ernie and Jim Moser put forth to make the St.	[4]	MR. ROSE: Are you going to are you going
	[5]	Augustine Airport a nationally recognized general	[5]	to entertain discussion on this issue now?
	[6]	aviation airport facility;	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. Yes. Go ahead,
	[7]	NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved the St.	[7]	Mr. Rose.
	[8]	Augustine Airport Pilots Association strongly	[8]	MR, ROSE: Well, I recognize that what
	[9]	urges that the St. Augustine Airport be	[9]	Mr. Upchurch has brought to us. I certainly
	[10]	permanently named and designated by the St.	[10]	agree with that resolution. And we owe we
	[11]	Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to	[11]	should make some some effort to recognize what
	[12]	appropriately recognize Ernie and Jim Moser for	[12]	the Mosers have have done here and the work
	[13]	their many contributions to aviation in St.	[13]	that that they've done and what they've
ı	[14]	Augustine and St. Johns County, Florida.	[14]	achieved for St. Augustine for this airport.
ĺ	[15]	PASSED UNANIMOUSLY this 12th day of	[15]	So, I I'm interested to maybe for our
	[16]	February, 2000."	[16]	staff to tell us, is there is there any any
-	[17]	And signed by Wayne George, the president.	[17]	problem one way or the other with how we
ļ	[18]	If I may, I'd like to give you the original and	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: I believe we
	[19]	copies for persons here.	[19]	MR. ROSE: name the airport? You know,
	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Upchurch.	[20]	there's been discussion. I've had discussions,
	[21]	MR, UPCHURCH: I'll be glad to answer any	[21]	heard people comment on possibly naming the
ŀ	[22]	questions that you any of you any of you	[22]	administration building for the Mosers or the
	[23]	members might have; otherwise, the resolution	[23]	airport or some other you know, there's been a
	[24]	speaks for itself.	[24]	lot of ideas.
١	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, sir. Any	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think we
L			l.	
- 1	D 11		D 17	
İ	Page 11	MR ROOF Door the staff hours are consisted	Page 12	that year time and dedicate that or name that
- 1	[1]	MR. ROSE: Does the staff have any comment?	[1]	that very time, and dedicate that or name that
	[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things	[1] [2]	after the Moser family.
	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of	[1] [2] [3]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this Board had discussed many of those possibilities	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion about that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this Board had discussed many of those possibilities after the Chairman had been designated to meet	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion about that MR. ROSE: Well, Mr. Chairman, when you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this Board had discussed many of those possibilities after the Chairman had been designated to meet with a group outside of here.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion about that MR. ROSE: Well, Mr. Chairman, when you refer to the "Office Building," you're speaking
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this Board had discussed many of those possibilities after the Chairman had been designated to meet with a group outside of here. And I thought there was a general consensus,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion about that MR. ROSE: Well, Mr. Chairman, when you refer to the "Office Building," you're speaking of the administration building?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this Board had discussed many of those possibilities after the Chairman had been designated to meet with a group outside of here. And I thought there was a general consensus, and it was short of a motion in that it wasn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion about that MR. ROSE: Well, Mr. Chairman, when you refer to the "Office Building," you're speaking of the administration building? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I'm speaking of the new
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: We did we did two things back in if my memory's correct, about May of last year. One, the question as to whether the Authority had the authority to rename the airport was brought up and researched by our folk by legal, and it was pretty apparent that the Authority had it had the authority to do whatever they wished with the name of the airport; that the only thing that needed to go back to the state legislature would be a a renaming of the Airport Authority itself, since that was established by statute. Further, I thought back in May of last year, when we were discussing the same topic, I think we I walked away with the impression that this Board had discussed many of those possibilities after the Chairman had been designated to meet with a group outside of here. And I thought there was a general consensus, and it was short of a motion in that it wasn't brought that way, but to take one of the terminal	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	after the Moser family. That's the impression I left of that meeting. Maybe that's not where the Board was going. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That that was the impression that we had, that we could do either the terminal, or it would be more appropriate to take the new office building, which would be dedicated at at a date for them with a plaque. And there was some discussion of putting a statue up near that building, at the front of that building, with the Mosers' name on it, and it would either be the Moser Office Complex or Moser Office Building for the new glass building. That was the impression that I was left with. Gentlemen, if you have any other discussion about that MR. ROSE: Well, Mr. Chairman, when you refer to the "Office Building," you're speaking of the administration building? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I'm speaking of the new building that is going to be connected to the new

		An port Authority - re	Diuaiy 2	
	Page 13		Page 14	
	[1]	MR. ROSE: So, if we're going to look at	[1]	building that on the modification, the new
	[2]	those as two different it's not it's not	[2]	glass front that's going to have the offices on
	[3]	the administration complex or the administration	[3]	the first and second floor, that new building.
	[4]	building.	[4]	Because of it being a new building and it
	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: I I would assume this	[5]	being a lot easier to to decorate it or place
	[6]	building	[6]	the statue where it's supposed to be and the name
İ	[7]	MR. ROSE: What do you call it?	[7]	on that building, was going to be a lot easier.
	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: is the administration	[8]	But it's going to be up to the Board as to how
	[9]	building.	[9]	they want to do that. That was the impression at
	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: This is the administration	[10]	the last the impression that I got.
	[11]	building.	[11]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman?
	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: If you mean the basic	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts.
	[13]	terminal, the terminal as it is?	[13]	MR. WATTS: I'd what I'd like to do, and
	[14]	MR, ROSE: The terminal building.	[14]	I know Jim Moser had a great and the Moser
	[15]	MR, WUELLNER: I think the terminal? The	[15]	family had a big stake in this airport on
	[16]	planned expansion of that later on, or this	[16]	where the direction it was going, but I think
	[17]	building that's now under design, I think any one	[17]	as far as recognizing the airport as the St.
	[18]	of those three would would certainly be	[18]	Augustine-St. Johns County Airport, I just I
	[19]	appropriate. Or, you know, if they want to	[19]	feel like that needs to stay the way it is.
	[20]	consider naming the administration building, I	[20]	But, I do feel like that the terminal
	[21]	mean, that's another alternative.	[21]	building, and it's going to expand over the
ĺ	[22]	MR. ROSE: No, I just want to be sure I knew	[22]	years, and I really feel that that would be to
	[23]	what building	[23]	me, that would be the most appropriate thing to
	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Which building's which.	[24]	do, is name it after the Moser family, but
	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, it was the it's the	[25]	highlighting, especially, Jim Moser.
L				
Î	Page 15		Page 16	
	[1]	MR. LASSITER: I	[1]	before I got to the airport, and they were still
	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[2]	chasing a name change that the airport made in
1	[3]	MR. LASSITER: I have a I agree with	[3]	the mid-60s when I left there in 1995. It it
	[4]	Dennis, in that I feel if we just take one small	[4]	just it was still on records that were popping
١	[5]	building and say this is the Moser building, 20	[5]	up from FAA and the like, as far as the name of
	[6]	years from now, that building is going to be	[6]	the airport.
ĺ	[7]	there or not.	[7]	My my personal opinion, if you even want
	[8]	I'd rather see the whole terminal complex	[8]	it, but, you know, my I think we need to do
	[9]	if we're going to do just this, not the field,	[9]	we need to do something to honor the Moser family
	[10]	then it needs to be the Moser terminal, whatever	[10]	and the contributions they've made to this
	[11]	it is a hundred years from now, not just a	[11]	airport and the community. I don't think there's
	[12]	specific building.	[12]	much debate over that topic.
	[13]	I again, as far as the airport, and Jim	[13]	You know, personally, I think the airfield
	[14]	was a very good friend of mine, I think that	[14]	itself proper I mean, I I have a preference
	[15]	Staff needs to tell us what the ramifications are	[15]	toward keeping that named after the community
	[16]	as far as, I don't know, expenses out there,	[16]	that it serves, but I think that the terminal
	[17]	identification in the aviation community, whether	[17]	or and/or the office complex, that area, I
Card St. de Card	[18]	that's going to impact us at all, whatever. And	[18]	think would be an appropriate and and
		I don't know. As being a nonaviator, I have to	[19]	wonderful gesture toward the Moser family,
A CONTRACTOR	[20]	be led by that.	[20]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman?
1000	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Things that it wouldn't	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
		likely change are the identifier for the airport,	[22]	MR. ROSE: I'd like to make a motion to
	[23]	things of that nature.	[23]	focus the discussion, please.
- 1	[24]	The only experience that I've had about	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, please.
- 1			[05]	NAD DOSE. Ud like to mayo that we
	[25]	renaming an airport occurred, say, 20 years	[25]	MR. ROSE: I'd like to move that we

		The port reducitey - a.			
	Page 17		Page 18		_
	[1]	designate the terminal complex the Moser Terminal	[1]	the addition to make sure that we have a a	
	[2]	Complex and that we honor both Ernie and Jim	[2]	bronze plaque attached?	
	[3]	Moser with an appropriate, I say a bronze plaque,	[3]	MR. WATTS: I'll make that I second that,	
	[4]	maybe something else, or a statue, but	[4]	please.	
	[5]	appropriately identify them and highlight in	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.	
	[6]	the the face of that plaque some of the the	[6]	MR. TAYLOR: I think he's saying more than	
	[7]	things that they've done to to foster aviation	[7]	a	
	[8]	in this community.	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: More than.	
	[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Do I hear a second?	[9]	MR. TAYLOR: Appropriate.	
	[10]	MR. LASSITER: I'll	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Appropriate. All in favor,	
	[11]	MR. WATTS: I'll second that,	[11]	signify by aye.	Š
	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts.	[12]	MR. TAYLOR: Don't we get to discuss that?	i
	[13]	As I understand your motion, to rename the	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You can discuss it if you	
	[14]	terminal and the terminal complex the Moser	[14]	want to.	ı
	[15]	Terminal Complex	[15]	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.	ti Della
	[16]	MR. ROSE: Yes.	[16]	MR. ROSE: The Chairman's going to railroad	
	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: is that correct? All in	[17]	it with	
	[18]	favor	[18]	MR. TAYLOR: Well, if it's in order, I'd	
	[19]	MR. ROSE: I want to be sure that we have an	[19]	like to we've worked pretty hard to get a	The state of the s
	[20]	appropriate plaque or statue identifying it so	[20]	reasonably congenial, happy family out here, and	CHARLE SAN
	[21]	that the transients that come through see that	[21]	I certainly don't want to make every pilot on	
	[22]	that is the Moser Complex that they're walking	[22]	this airport get up and leave or be mad at us, so	ĺ
ı	[23]	through.	[23]	I'd like to ask Mr. Ham Upchurch if he feels that	-
ļ	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: With with the addition	[24]	we can have a reasonable comfort factor with this	
	[25]	to his to his motion, do you have a second on	[25]	motion that's on the table.	
					4
	Page 19		Page 20		-
	Page 19	MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Taylor, I I	Page 20	community, and his efforts were not just at this	
	_	MR. UPCHURCH: Mr. Taylor, I I endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I	_	community, and his efforts were not just at this airport; they radiated out from the airport all	
	[1]	•	[1] [2] [3]	•	
	[1] [2]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I	[1] [2] [3] [4]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that	
- 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his	
i	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it?	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies,	
MATERIAL TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's	
many)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible. MR. ROSE: That's true.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's concern, and I'm pleased to hear what Mr. Ham	
surpli.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible. MR. ROSE: That's true. MR. UPCHURCH: Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's concern, and I'm pleased to hear what Mr. Ham Upchurch said. I think I'm ready for the motion.	
marks and the second se	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible. MR. ROSE: That's true. MR. UPCHURCH: Thank you. MR. TAYLOR: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's concern, and I'm pleased to hear what Mr. Ham Upchurch said. I think I'm ready for the motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, the question has	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible. MR. ROSE: That's true. MR. UPCHURCH: Thank you. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other comments?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's concern, and I'm pleased to hear what Mr. Ham Upchurch said. I think I'm ready for the motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, the question has been called. All in favor of the motion of	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible. MR. ROSE: That's true. MR. UPCHURCH: Thank you. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other comments? MR. LASSITER: I would also like to say that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's concern, and I'm pleased to hear what Mr. Ham Upchurch said. I think I'm ready for the motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, the question has been called. All in favor of the motion of	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	endeavored I drafted this thing; that's why I got the duty to come over and try to defend it. That's why in the NOW if you have it before you, the last paragraph, "NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved" paragraph, where the wording is that it be "designated by the St. Augustine Airport Authority in such manner as to appropriately recognize," leaving to your good judgment, not trying to trespass on that discretion, but just getting the matter before you, but leaving it to your discretion as to what you deemed appropriate. But I think that the longer something is delayed, a recognition, it's it's diluted. And I think it it should be acted and that's a personal opinion should be acted on as soon as possible. MR. ROSE: That's true. MR. UPCHURCH: Thank you. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other comments?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	airport; they radiated out from the airport all around. And I want to make sure there's a comfort level out there with the community that we're doing something that is appropriate for his efforts in this community. I don't know how else to do it unless it's some kind of feedback, but I do agree with Ham in that we may have been a little bit tardy in getting this done a little quicker, but if we need some more time for input from the community on this, I would not be against that. MR. TAYLOR: May I discuss it? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: Charlie, I know we're buddies, but I think this time, I think we're better off to do it. I feel that to talk about it a lot longer is not necessarily good. I hear Ed's concern, and I'm pleased to hear what Mr. Ham Upchurch said. I think I'm ready for the motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, the question has been called. All in favor of the motion of	

г-			·	
1	Page 21		Page 22	
	[1]	MR. TAYLOR: Sir? I think we're not quite	[1]	of the Board?
	[2]	right on that motion yet. I'm looking for more	[2]	MR. ROSE: We ought to get Ham Upchurch to
	[3]	than a plaque, and I want more than a plaque in	[3]	change that, work that out for us.
	[4]	that motion. I think let me make sure we got	[4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of the
ı	[5]	the motion right.	[5]	motion
	[6]	MR. ROSE: Well, let me	[6]	MR. ROSE: He does that all the time.
- [1	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Was it was it a bronze	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of the motion
]	[8]	plaque that you were saying?	[8]	that Mr. Rose has designated, signify by aye.
H	[9]	MR. ROSE: I think I think	[9]	MR. ROSE: Aye.
	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Is that what you said?	[10]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
H	[11]	MR, ROSE: No, I think the motion should	[11]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[12]	read that the we name the terminal complex the	[12]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
] [[13]	Moser Terminal Complex and that we recognize Jim	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
[[14]	Moser and Ernie Moser by placing an appropriate	[14]	(No opposition.)
] [[15]	plaque and other	[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It has passed. Now, let me
[[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Signage?	[16]	say something.
1	[17]	MR. ROSE: What's the word I want?	[17]	MR. ROSE: Uh-oh.
[[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Signage?	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We went through this, and
[[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Signage?	[19]	we tried to bring it up to where it would be
1	[20]	MR. TAYLOR: By appropriate recognition.	[20]	recognizable for the Moser family. We went
[21]	MR. ROSE: Appropriate recognition. Then if	[21]	through the meetings and everything.
1	22]	the appropriate recognition to the to Jim	[22]	The problem that I have is this: I can't
[23]	and Ernie Moser.	[23]	bring that motion before you. I can only
0	24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have restated your	[24]	designate you as that as that motion carrier.
[25]	motion. Is that amenable with with the rest	[25]	I agree that we should do something for the
_				
1	Page 23		Page 24	
	Page 23 1]	Moser family. They have been here a long time	Page 24	MR. WUELLNER: I got it,
1	_	Moser family. They have been here a long time since I was younger, and I remember his father.	_	MR. WUELLNER: I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we
[1]		[1]	-
[1] 2]	since I was younger, and I remember his father.	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we
[1] 2] 3]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named
]	1] 2] 3] 4]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that
]	1] 2] 3] 4]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename
[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal.
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so.
]]]]]]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report.
]]]]]]]]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 111] 122]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 110] 111] 122] 133] 144] 155]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar
11 12 13 13 13 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed
11 12 13 13 13 13 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 111] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there.
11 12 13 13 13 13 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 8] 9] 10] 111] 112] 133] 144] 155] 166] 177] 188]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have some kind of a sketch that will give us an idea	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there. There are only, I think, two residual items
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 111] 112] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have some kind of a sketch that will give us an idea what what the appropriate recognition's going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there. There are only, I think, two residual items that need attention, but we'd like to at this
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	1] 2] 3] 4 5] 6 7] 8 9] 10] 111] 12] 13] 14 15] 16 17] 18 19] 20]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have some kind of a sketch that will give us an idea what what the appropriate recognition's going to look like.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there. There are only, I think, two residual items that need attention, but we'd like to at this time request release of their retainage
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have some kind of a sketch that will give us an idea what what the appropriate recognition's going to look like. MR. WUELLNER: Will do it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there. There are only, I think, two residual items that need attention, but we'd like to at this time request release of their retainage consistent with this board's policy and release
[[[[[] []]]]]] [[]]] [[]] []] []] [] []] [[]] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 111] 112] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21] 22]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have some kind of a sketch that will give us an idea what what the appropriate recognition's going to look like. MR. WUELLNER: Will do it. MR. TAYLOR: More than a plaque.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there. There are only, I think, two residual items that need attention, but we'd like to at this time request release of their retainage consistent with this board's policy and release the retainage of \$59,702.62 to Molloy, assuming
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	since I was younger, and I remember his father. And I think that this will is something that is needed so that it can be recognized by his family members whenever they come through. Gentlemen, I'm glad that you you posed this motion and that we rename this terminal, and we know that the community will go along with whatever we have done. Thank you. MR. WUELLNER: And furthering that, we'll go ahead and CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Ed? MR. WUELLNER: research the details of it and get back to you, and hopefully we'll schedule some sort of a date here in the not too distant future to perhaps do some sort of a ceremony formally redoing it. MR. ROSE: Yeah. And you'll probably have some kind of a sketch that will give us an idea what what the appropriate recognition's going to look like. MR. WUELLNER: Will do it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We need to look at we know that the airport itself was was named through statutory legislation make sure that we don't have to go that same route to rename that terminal. MR. ROSE: I don't think so. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms Ms. Bartin? 4.E MS. BARTIN MS. BARTIN: I have nothing to report. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, action items, please? 5.A RELEASE OF RETAINAGE - MOLLOY CONS. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. First first item I have is release of retainage, construction job to Molloy Construction. This deals with the three T-hangars in the South Hangar South Hangar area. They have, for the most part, completed all construction efforts down there. There are only, I think, two residual items that need attention, but we'd like to at this time request release of their retainage consistent with this board's policy and release

-	***************************************			
	Page 25		Page 26	
	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any questions?	[1]	(No public comment.)
	[2]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman?	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, I will
	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. Mr. Watts?	[3]	entertain a motion to either accept or reject
	[4]	MR. WATTS: Ask Mr. Wuellner. Ed, the	[4]	Staff recommendation.
Î	[5]	the figure you gave was \$59,702?	[5]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I move we accept
	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: \$-707. I may have said it	[6]	Staff recommendation and approve the retainage
	[7]	wrong.	[7]	release.
	[8]	MR. WATTS: Okay.	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a
	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: \$59,707.62.	[9]	second, please?
ı	[10]	MR. WATTS: Excuse me for being picky about	[10]	MR. WATTS: I second.
	[11]	it	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Any
ĺ	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: I probably did say it wrong.	[12]	questions?
	[13]	MR. WATTS: but five bucks is five bucks,	[13]	(No questions.)
İ	[14]	so	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of accepting
	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: They'll probably want it.	[15]	Staff recommendation on the contract retainage
	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other comment,	[16]	release for T-hangars, signify by aye.
	[17]	gentlemen?	[17]	MR. ROSE: Aye.
	[18]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, are the punch list items	[18]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
	[19]	pretty well finished up?	[19]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[20]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the I think they've	[20]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
1	[21]	got to extend one little pipe under one of the	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
	[22]	driveway aprons and put the striping down and the	[22]	(No opposition.)
	[23]	striping it's done, yeah.	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?	[24]	accepted Staff recommendation on 5.A.
1	[25]	Any public comment?	[25]	5.B HANGAR D-5
L			i	
г			1	
	Page 27		Page 28	
	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. Item 5.D. (sic),	[1]	already agreed to sell it; we're just putting
	[1] [2]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal	[1] [2]	the the price together to finish that
	[1] [2] [3]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to	[1] [2] [3]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most	[1] [2] [3] [4]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month.
Control of the Contro	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked
To the second se	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed.
The second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a section in the second section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section in the section in the section in the section in the section in the se	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that notification be made in in the form of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of action was to because it was not delivered in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that notification be made in in the form of certified mail to the Airport Authority.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of action was to because it was not delivered in the appropriate form nor received in our office
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that notification be made in in the form of certified mail to the Airport Authority. Most of these T-hangars, consistent with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of action was to because it was not delivered in the appropriate form nor received in our office in the appropriate time, was to notify the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that notification be made in in the form of certified mail to the Airport Authority. Most of these T-hangars, consistent with direction that we had received a number of years	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of action was to because it was not delivered in the appropriate form nor received in our office in the appropriate time, was to notify the individual that we were not going to renew the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that notification be made in in the form of certified mail to the Airport Authority. Most of these T-hangars, consistent with direction that we had received a number of years ago from this Board, has acquired all but two of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of action was to because it was not delivered in the appropriate form nor received in our office in the appropriate time, was to notify the individual that we were not going to renew the lease per terms and that we we communicated a
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	perhaps one of the more complicated ones to deal with tonight, but we just to bring you up to speed, we had a number of leases years ago, most of them dating from 1984 or 1985, where the Airport Authority apparently sold port-a-port hangars, and as a part of the sales agreement, leased property for them to place or have that hangar placed with a 15-year lease agreement that went with the bill if you will, the bill of sale for the hangar. Those leases expired, for the most part, between September of last year and April of this year. The 15-year terms are up. The lease did provide for an extension, provided that those individuals notified the Authority 180 days in advance of the expiration date of the lease of their intention to take the renewal. The lease also required that that notification be made in in the form of certified mail to the Airport Authority. Most of these T-hangars, consistent with direction that we had received a number of years	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the the price together to finish that transaction, the last unit being the one you have in front of us. The the individual maintains that he provided notification 180 days in advance by simply what appears to have predated the letter to the Airport Authority in an October 24th date of 1999, realizing that the expiration date of the 180 days' advance notice was October 31st of that same month. However, when you look at the envelope in which it was sent, the letter was postmarked November 30th and received here the very next day, December 1st. And they have we contacted them after consulting with our attorneys as to how to proceed. We agreed that probably the best course of action was to because it was not delivered in the appropriate form nor received in our office in the appropriate time, was to notify the individual that we were not going to renew the

	Page 29		Page 30	
] [1]	The individual responded back that indeed	[1]	As you know, most of those port-a-ports are,
1	2]	he's maintained that he sent the letter in a	[2]	for the most part, beyond economic life. They're
1	3]	timely manner regardless of form and has	[3]	quite old and in interesting states of repair as
1	4]	requested to be able to remain there.	[4]	you move through them.
- 1	5]	My feeling, and I believe's the same as	[5]	We felt like it was not appropriate to
- 1	6]	legal's, that I don't think an extension of that	[6]	extend the existing lease, it was not appropriate
- 1	7]	lease agreement of that existing lease	[7]	to deviate from the existing direction that was
J	8]	agreement is appropriate.	[8]	given, and we've moved ahead with the five
- 1	9]	And the request they have, I believe,	[9]	purchases we made since the expiration of leases
- 1	.0]	exceeded the authority that I have to generate a	[10]	in September through April of this year, and that
	1]	new ground lease and/or make an exception to the	[11]	this would actually set a bad precedent and also
- 1	2]	existing lease agreement. That would require	[12]	would leave a bad taste in the individuals who
- 1	3]	some sort of policy decision by this board.	[13]	were notified they needed to sell or remove the
1 -	4]	The concerns I have are two-fold: It's the	[14]	building from the property in compliance with
	5]	only hangar left in an area that we plan to	[15]	their lease. And it was Staff's recommendation
- 1	6]	replace port-a-port units in in the course of 18	[16]	that we deny the request to extend the lease
1	-, 7]	months to 24-month time line.	[17]	agreement.
- 1	8]	That's our anticipated time line to begin	[18]	We could recommunicate my opinion, we
- 1	9]	replacing those with standard T-hangars,	[19]	ought to recommunicate the offer to sell or again
I -	0]	primarily because the separation between the rows	[20]	notify the tenant of his obligation to remove the
1 -	1]	of hangars precludes continued development to the	[21]	building if we decide not to sell.
T	2]	south across Estrella Avenue at a future date.	[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, the the response was
1 "	-, 3]	So, we're hoping to wrap up the taxiway	[23]	supposed to be sent by certified mail; is that
1	4]	separation issue with the reconstruct of those	[24]	correct?
	., 5]	buildings.	[25]	MR, WUELLNER: That is correct. The
'-	-1		[]	
P	age 31		Page 32	
P.		CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen?	Page 32	intention, yes.
1	1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know,	1 -	intention, yes. MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to
[1] 2]		[1]	•
[:	1] 2] 3]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know,	[1] [2]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to
[:	1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number	[1] [2] [3]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard
[:	1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself,	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA?
1 :1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir.
[:	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 5]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation.
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 7] 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement,
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 5] 7] 8] 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to
[: [: [: [: [: [: [:]	1) 2] 4] 4] 5] 7] 7] 7] 1] 1] 1] 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's
[1: [::] [::] [::] [::] [::] [::]	1) 2) 3) 4) 6) 7) 7) 9) 1) 2)	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 7] 8] 9] 1] 1] 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper
[:	1) 2] 3] 4] 5] 7] 8] 7] 9] 1] 1] 2] 4] 5]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1) 2) 3) 4) 6) 7) 7) 1) 2) 2) 3) 4) 6) 6) 6)	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an
[:: [:: [:: [:: [:: [:: [:: [:: [::	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 7) 8) 9) 11 22 33 41 51 77 77 77	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6] 7] 7] 7] 7] 7] 7] 8]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January,
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1) 2] 3] 4] 5] 7] 7] 7] 8] 9] 9] 9] 9] 9] 9] 9] 9] 9]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a couple of questions. Ed, we have no precedent	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January, that the value was more than what we were
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9)	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during theduring the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a couple of questions. Ed, we have no precedent for giving any of our tenants some consideration	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January, that the value was more than what we were offering on top of that, you know, we didn't
[1	1) 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8] 7] 8]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a couple of questions. Ed, we have no precedent for giving any of our tenants some consideration beyond what their lease provides. I mean, we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January, that the value was more than what we were offering on top of that, you know, we didn't really have an objection to having the unit
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 7) 7) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1)	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a couple of questions. Ed, we have no precedent for giving any of our tenants some consideration beyond what their lease provides. I mean, we normally	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January, that the value was more than what we were offering on top of that, you know, we didn't really have an objection to having the unit appraised and going that route, either, if that's
[: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9) 9)	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a couple of questions. Ed, we have no precedent for giving any of our tenants some consideration beyond what their lease provides. I mean, we normally MR. WUELLNER: I don't think so.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January, that the value was more than what we were offering on top of that, you know, we didn't really have an objection to having the unit appraised and going that route, either, if that's indeed, you know, an option that he wanted to
[1	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 7) 8) 9) 10) 11) 2) 8) 9) 11) 2) 12) 13) 14) 15) 16) 17) 18) 19) 19) 19) 19) 19) 19) 19) 19) 19) 19	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. Just so you know, where we got the 85 percent number was a number that was actually in the lease agreement itself, and it allowed the Authority, if they needed to, to come through and extend an offer of 85 percent of the original purchase value during the during the course of the lease. It was kind of a prearranged percentage that was envisioned way back when the leases were originally written and executed. And that's the number that we based our, I thought, generous offer considering the life lifespan of these hangars to the individual. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have just a couple of questions. Ed, we have no precedent for giving any of our tenants some consideration beyond what their lease provides. I mean, we normally MR. WUELLNER: I don't think so. MR. ROSE: We have always followed the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. ROSE: He mentions in here he's going to contact the AOPA legal staff. Have you heard from your AOPA? MR. WUELLNER: No. No, sir. MR. ROSE: There have been no contact? I I tend to to feel that we ought to go with the Staff's recommendation. I I think when you have an agreement, when it comes time to execute everybody to live up to the terms of that agreement, that's what they ought to do. And I don't think it's proper MR. WUELLNER: We didn't even object from a staff side. And when I brought this up as an option, you know, if he felt which he made the statement to me on the phone back in January, that the value was more than what we were offering on top of that, you know, we didn't really have an objection to having the unit appraised and going that route, either, if that's indeed, you know, an option that he wanted to avail himself of.

	Airport Authority	- rebruary	20, 2000	
Page	33	Page 34		
[1]	the issue; it was that he wanted to keep his	[1]	year's or six months after the original if we	1
[2]	hangar on our property, and even if we extended	[2]	wanted to do it.	į
[3]	it where it is, he really wanted to be able to	[3]	What I'm saying is that there's no sense	
[4]	move it to another piece of our property at a	[4]	in if you're going to put T-hangars there,	
[5]	future date and continue that arrangement.	[5]	this gentleman's going to lose his right, anyway.	
[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. And and we	
[7]	MR. LASSITER: Ed, in reading through	[7]	certainly extended the offer that after	
[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[8]	purchasing the hangar, he could remain in the	l
[9]	MR. LASSITER: In reading through the lease,	[9]	hangar, if that was his desire, and continue	
[10]	there also is a stipulation in there, Article 18,	[10]	leasing it and continue occupying the property.	Î
[11]	that says we have the right to cancel at any time	[11]	It wasn't a case of get out get out and good	į
[12]	after the initial period with a one-year notice.	[12]	luck, you know.	
[13]	So, the only thing I ask about that, is the	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Okay.	
[14]	requirements of the FAA. Why would the	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: I felt like we had been more	
[15]	requirements of the FAA be in on leasing or	[15]	than reasonable in trying to trying to get	
[16]	nonleasing?	[16]	there.	
[17]	MR. WUELLNER: FAA, by virtue of your grant	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?	
[18]	and grant your deed to the property and grant	[18]	(No further questions.)	
[19]	assurances has a set of requirements that must be	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, I have to I have to	
[20]	met in all lease obligations to the Authority,	[20]	sort of agree, for public information, anyway,	
[21]	and it's basically comes from several fronts,	[21]	that if we are going to start modifying our	
[22]	but they're mandatory clauses in aviation leases.	[22]	leases at the whim of of someone wanting to	i dia
[23]	MR. LASSITER: Well then, in essence, in six	[23]	make a change in it, then we're opening up	
[24]	months from now, this thing can be if we	[24]	Pandora's box for everyone.	
[25]	notify now, the gentleman can have only a	[25]	And and I agree that the other members	*
Page	35	Page 36		
***************************************	35 that have subsequently sold theirs or did not	Page 36	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post	
Page		_		
Page	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not	[1]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post	A control of the cont
Page [1] [2]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property	[1] [2]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in	
Page [1] [2] [3]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with	[1] [2] [3]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom	The state of the s
Page [1] [2] [3] [4]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code,	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date.	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post	The second secon
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if	77. may 1. march 1. m
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also.	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't.	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions?	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's still going to have that November that date on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions? (No questions.)	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's still going to have that November that date on it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment?	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's still going to have that November that date on it. The only way that it cannot go through the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.)	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's still going to have that November that date on it. The only way that it cannot go through the machine is if it's not in that box. The new	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's still going to have that November that date on it. The only way that it cannot go through the machine is if it's not in that box. The new laser systems that they have will definitely show	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion to either accept or reject Staff recommendation to deny the option of hangar location D-5.	
Page [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	that have subsequently sold theirs or did not renew their lease or had their property subleased, we'd open up a Pandora's box with them. Point of information here, number one, the letter was supposed to be sent by certified; am I correct? MR. WUELLNER: That is correct, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And it was sent back regular mail. The letter is dated October 24th, but the post office stamp is dated November 30th. Now, granted, there are a lot of things that are lost in the post office. Judging on my own experience of dealing with mail and traveling, hauling mail, if it goes through that bar coding and it's lost afterwards and it gets to you, it's still going to have that November that date on it. The only way that it cannot go through the machine is if it's not in that box. The new laser systems that they have will definitely show that they that a letter was mailed. You may	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	in fact Mr. Wright wants to contest that the post office itself did not get the letter to us in time, this bar coding that you see on the bottom that has the zip code that has our zip code, 32295 (sic) and 5 5706, within that bar coding is also a date. How he would go about getting the post office to verify what date is in that bar coding is is up to him, but I guarantee you that if that date is up that sticker says November 30th, that bar coding is going to say November 30th also. I agree that we we need to stick by our lease no matter what, because we're going to open up too many avenues if we don't. Gentlemen, any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion to either accept or reject Staff recommendation to deny the option of hangar	

			-	
	Page 37		Page 38	
	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose.	[1]	is, or even, the you know, the greater of the
	[2]	MR. LASSITER: I'll second.	[2]	two values.
	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[3]	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Since we're following
	[4]	Any discussion?	[4]	the letter, I want to make sure that we have not
	[5]	MR. TAYLOR: I have one item for discussion.	[5]	given the perception of disadvantaging that
ļ	[6]	I just want to make sure that Staff and legal	[6]	person by assuming the value rather than having
- 1	[7]	counsel are comfortable with the first, your	[7]	an appraised value. That's my only question.
-	[8]	horseback guesstimate, is the hangar worth more	[8]	MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to extend
	[9]	or less than it was at the time it was purchased?	[9]	that that offer again.
	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: I can tell you, since I've	[10]	MR. TAYLOR: Then I have no further
	[11]	been here, we've purchased probably ten of the	[11]	discussion.
	[12]	units as they and mostly at their request, in	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. All right. I
ļ	[13]	fact, all but these last couple, and they ranged	[13]	understand you you want him to extend that,
	[14]	anywhere from about \$1,800 to about \$3,500 value	[14]	but let me ask my question. Cindy, are we on
- 1	[15]	established by appraisal going through it.	[15]	solid legal ground for the 85 percent and as the
- 1	[16]	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Fine. My question comes	[16]	lease reads?
	[17]	then, I just want to make sure that you're	[17]	
- 1	[18]	comfortable with paragraph 17 of us assuming a	[18]	MS. BARTIN: Let me get to that provision. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Page 8.
- 1	[19]		[19]	Ū
- 1	[20]	value, rather than an appraised value. I have no		MR. WUELLNER: Page 8 of the lease. MS. BARTIN: Article 17 of the lease does
- 1		quarrel with the 85 percent.	[20]	
- 1	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: I had no problem with	[21]	basically outline two different procedures. It's
	[22]	offering, once again, to to appraise the	[22]	by offering 85 percent and of the
1	[23]	unit	[23]	improvements, and if that is not appropriate,
	[24]	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.	[24]	then and the lessee does not agree with that
.	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: and offer whatever that	[25]	purchase price, then he may within 30 days of the
<u> </u>	100 100 01 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			
- 1	Page 39		Page 40	
f	Page 39	receipt of the select an appraiser. So, that	Page 40	MR WIJELLNER: if I'm not mistaken
ĺ	[1]	receipt of the select an appraiser. So, that	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: if I'm not mistaken,
	[1] [2]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not	[1] [2]	you you have absolutely no obligation under
	[1] [2] [3]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number.	[1] [2] [3]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her?	[1] [2] [3] [4]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is
C. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.)
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see if, during the course of when they describe	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then I restate that I'll
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see if, during the course of when they describe putting in the improvements, if if maybe it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then I restate that I'll entertain a motion to either accept or reject
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see if, during the course of when they describe putting in the improvements, if if maybe it relates back to that. But as long as we're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then I restate that I'll entertain a motion to either accept or reject MR. TAYLOR: We have a motion.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see if, during the course of when they describe putting in the improvements, if if maybe it relates back to that. But as long as we're willing to do the appraisal, then I I would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then I restate that I'll entertain a motion to either accept or reject MR. TAYLOR: We have a motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We have a motion and a
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see if, during the course of when they describe putting in the improvements, if if maybe it relates back to that. But as long as we're willing to do the appraisal, then I I would think that that would be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then I restate that I'll entertain a motion to either accept or reject MR. TAYLOR: We have a motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We have a motion and a second. All in favor of accepting Staff
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	process can be triggered if the lessee does not agree with the 85 percent number. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, may I ask her? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I'm in accord with you there. I'm certainly not going to try to outread an attorney, but it appeared to me that we used 85 percent of purchase price, and this states 85 percent of appraised value. That was the question that came to me, to make sure that we are not disadvantaging this person by using 85 percent of the purchase price rather than the appraised value. That's my question. MS. BARTIN: I would agree with you; it does say 85 percent of the appraised value. I need to look back through the rest of the lease to see if, during the course of when they describe putting in the improvements, if if maybe it relates back to that. But as long as we're willing to do the appraisal, then I I would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	you you have absolutely no obligation under this article at all. At this point, any purchase requirement that was envisioned in the lease is null and void. Right now, you're basically, what you're being asked to do is effectively deny the request to renew this lease agreement. You're basically determining this lease to be void at the end of its term. It just ends its natural death, if you will. And then create another lease agreement, if that's if that was the direction you wanted. MR. TAYLOR: Then I I'm fine, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any other questions? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then I restate that I'll entertain a motion to either accept or reject MR. TAYLOR: We have a motion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We have a motion and a

			The state of the s
Pa	ge 41	Page 42	
[1	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[1]	relative to the existing two buildings containing
[2] MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[2]	13 T-hangars between them that were existing in
[3] MR. WATTS: Aye.	[3]	the terminal area and belong to the Airport
[4	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	[4]	Authority and included in the lease agreement,
[5]	[(No opposition.)	[5]	the original lease agreement with Aero Sport.
[6		[6]	One of the more difficult items we had to
[7]		[7]	come to terms with was a valuation of those
[8		[8]	hangar units in the FBO area because the lease
[9		[9]	did not ascribe a value to the improvements
[10		[10]	themselves within the lease. So, it was coming
[11]		[11]	to something that was equitable between both
[12		[12]	parties relating to the original lease.
[13]		[13]	One of the concessions, if you will, that
[14]		[14]	was made by Aero Sport in the process was the
[15]		[15]	agreement to open up the existing lease agreement
[16]		[16]	with Aero Sport or agreements, I should say,
[17]		[17]	because there are many addendums to that
[18]		[18]	agreement for the purposes of creating a
[19]	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[19]	single stand-alone lease agreement that codifies
[20]		[20]	all of the old leases as well as brings the fuel
[21]		[21]	farm lease, which is a separate agreement at this
[22]		[22]	point, and the paver apron lease into a one
[23]		[23]	single lease document that clears out all the
[24]		[24]	stuff that's occurred over the various versions
1 .		[25]	of the lease since its inception, I think in
[25]	There were considerations to be made	[20]	of the lease pince its mospitori, a timin in
Pag	ge 43	Page 44	
	ge 43 1978 and you can imagine the number of things	Page 44	FBO agreement, in round numbers.
[1]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things	[1]	FBO agreement, in round numbers. The lease would be modified at its new
[1] [2]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a	[1] [2]	
[1] [2] [3]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a	[1] [2] [3]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term
[1] [2] [3] [4]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to	[1] [2] [3] [4]	The lease would be modified at its new
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	1978 — and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then — puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make — I should — not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	1978 — and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then — puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make — I should — not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four corporate-type hangars that would be built in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again. The it provides for a five-year revisit
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four corporate-type hangars that would be built in that in the FBO area, also, once they're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again. The it provides for a five-year revisit of the lease for purposes of revaluing, if
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	1978 — and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then — puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make — I should — not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four corporate-type hangars that would be built in that — in the FBO area, also, once they're constructed, and establishes a rental value for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again. The it provides for a five-year revisit of the lease for purposes of revaluing, if necessary. It provides for an annual CPI
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four corporate-type hangars that would be built in that in the FBO area, also, once they're constructed, and establishes a rental value for that when we get to that point.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again. The it provides for a five-year revisit of the lease for purposes of revaluing, if necessary. It provides for an annual CPI adjustment, and allows the lessee, Aero Sport, to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four corporate-type hangars that would be built in that in the FBO area, also, once they're constructed, and establishes a rental value for that when we get to that point. It spells out the premise by which we move	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again. The it provides for a five-year revisit of the lease for purposes of revaluing, if necessary. It provides for an annual CPI adjustment, and allows the lessee, Aero Sport, to take the lesser of the two options, that being
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	1978 and you can imagine the number of things that have occurred since then puts it into a single lease agreement as well as agrees to a value of the improvements that we're going to make I should not so much the value of the improvements, but the lease value of those improvements in the FBO area and their agreement to lease a significant portion, really all but the second floor at this point, of the office building of those improvements when they're constructed. It establishes that value. The improvements specifically are a 10,000 square foot open space hangar to be built in the FBO area; the lease of 3,950, approximately 3,950 square feet of office space, which equates to basically the first floor of the two-story building to be constructed. Also, they agree to lease the four corporate-type hangars that would be built in that in the FBO area, also, once they're constructed, and establishes a rental value for that when we get to that point. It spells out the premise by which we move through the lease, beginning in about it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The lease would be modified at its new execution date to again pick up a 20-year term for the lease. So, we effectively extend the existing lease by two or three years. It provides again a 10-year option for renewal. That was a part of the original lease agreement with them. We're basically not materially changing the lease agreement with the FBO other than to try and make a single document that includes everything we've been doing. We credit the FBO operation for the hangars that would be destroyed as a part of the process and some associated office space as a part of those two buildings, also, and bring back the paver lease amount into into the equation again. The it provides for a five-year revisit of the lease for purposes of revaluing, if necessary. It provides for an annual CPI adjustment, and allows the lessee, Aero Sport, to

				000000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Page 45		Page 46	
	[1]	whichever is less.	[1]	call it the bulk hangar, the only conventional
	[2]	It's either method keeps us in complete	[2]	hangar there is to completely rehab that and
	[3]	100 percent compliance with both FAA and FDOT for	[3]	bring it up to bring it up to snuff in terms
	[4]	purposes of creating fair market value	[4]	of maintenance, an obligation we had since the
	[5]	determination for the leasehold, something that	[5]	inception of the lease, and at which point Aero
	[6]	really wasn't well provided for in the existing	[6]	Sport would agree to take over the lion's share
	[7]	FBO lease.	[7]	of maintenance obligations that go with that
	[8]	The net additional return or net increase to	[8]	building, something we currently have an
	[9]	the Airport Authority is in the area of \$10,500	[9]	obligation toward.
ı	[10]	once all a month, once the improvements are	[10]	And in reference to the fuel farm facility
	[11]	constructed, all of them are constructed.	[11]	itself, the lease agreement that was executed had
ĺ	[12]	Given the nature of this type of project,	[12]	some some problems in terms of form in that it
	[13]	there'll be the actual value is phased in as	[13]	did not provide even for an expiration date on it
-	[14]	they become occupiable, which makes sense. And	[14]	or even tie it to the lease the original lease
	[15]	right now, we do not have a grant date nor a	[15]	agreement.
-	[16]	development date for the four individual hangars	[16]	We are conceptually agreeing or agreeing at
	[17]	that would be located next to them. We know	[17]	this point to change from a percentage of the
	[18]	where we're going with it, but as far as funding,	[18]	retail price in determining the fuel flowage fees
1	[19]	it's not in place yet for those buildings. We're	[19]	in exchange for allowing the Authority to set
	[20]	still working with that.	[20]	their own fuel flowage fees from this point on
١	[21]	One of the things we conceptually agree here	[21]	with some limitations.
	[22]	that that you need to wrestle with or just	[22]	The cost of maintenance for the fuel farm,
ı	[23]	agree with, whichever way you whatever you	[23]	we have agreed to take a five-year average of the
	[24]	want to do, but we would agree to rehabilitate	[24]	cost to maintain that facility and come up with a
- 1	[25]	the bulk hangar, that being the existing we	[25]	cents-per-gallon approach to the fuel flowage
1	r1		L 3	
-				
1	Page 47		Page 48	
Ī	Page 47	fees versus a percentage of the retail sales,	Page 48	I really have doubts could have been accomplished
- 1	-	fees versus a percentage of the retail sales, sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you	_	I really have doubts could have been accomplished in the in the manner it was done not too long
ı	[1]	•	[1]	
	[1] [2]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you	[1] [2]	in the in the manner it was done not too long
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago.
	[1] [2] [3]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be	[1] [2] [3]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting
PARTY AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months,
THE STATE OF THE S	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation
THE STATE OF THE S	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of
THE PARTY OF THE P	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport.
TATAL CAMPANIAN	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm
TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TANKA TA	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the
THE WASHINGTON TO THE PARTY OF	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted.
THE PARTY OF THE P	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that back out.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority approve this letter Memorandum of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that back out. In exchange, Aero Sport takes over, again,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority approve this letter Memorandum of Understanding pertaining to the FBO lease.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that back out. In exchange, Aero Sport takes over, again, the lion's share of maintenance obligations with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority approve this letter Memorandum of Understanding pertaining to the FBO lease. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that back out. In exchange, Aero Sport takes over, again, the lion's share of maintenance obligations with that facility, so we divest ourselves of an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority approve this letter Memorandum of Understanding pertaining to the FBO lease. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, gentlemen?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that back out. In exchange, Aero Sport takes over, again, the lion's share of maintenance obligations with that facility, so we divest ourselves of an ongoing expense that we have.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	in the in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority approve this letter Memorandum of Understanding pertaining to the FBO lease. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, gentlemen? MR. ROSE: I assume
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	sale price, and come up with a baseline, if you will, cents-per-gallon number. That cents-per-gallon fee would be adjustable by a vote of this board in one-half cent increments to a maximum of two cents per five-year period, basically mirroring the five-year review provision in the lease. So, the maximum under the base term would be an eight-cent-per-gallon adjustment above the baseline number. So, we're guessing; we have not done all the math on it, but we're thinking the — the actual net back to us will be a four- or five-cent-per-gallon base rate that will be established in the initial — in the initial review of the maintenance costs in deducting that back out. In exchange, Aero Sport takes over, again, the lion's share of maintenance obligations with that facility, so we divest ourselves of an ongoing expense that we have. All things considered, I think this is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	in the — in the manner it was done not too long ago. And I'm happy to say that the folks at Aero Sport have been wonderful to deal with. We've had meaningful exchanges, and anybody wants to trace the history, it's been an interesting development process over the last several months, back and forth. So, with that, it's Staff's recommendation that the Authority approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Aero Sport. And again, you have the details there. I'm sorry we were not able to wrap up all of the language until actually this morning, getting the last "t" crossed and "i" dotted. It's our recommendation that the Authority approve this letter — Memorandum of Understanding pertaining to the FBO lease. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Wuellner. Any comments, gentlemen? MR. ROSE: I assume — CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?

			T	
	Page 49		Page 50	
	[1]	MR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir.	[1]	MR. ROSE: Aye.
	[2]	MR. TAYLOR: Are you ready for a motion?	[2]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? No, not quite.	[3]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[4]	Any public comment?	[4]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
Ì	[5]	(No public comment.)	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?
	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I'll entertain a	[6]	(No opposition.)
	[7]	motion to accept or reject Staff recommendations	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, by your vote,
	[8]	on the Memorandum of Understanding with FBO	[8]	you have accepted Staff recommendations on 5.C.
-	[9]	lease.	[9]	Mr. Wuellner?
	[10]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, in speaking with	[10]	5.D ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 2000-01
	[11]	both sides, it seemed each had a healthy respect	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay, Terrific. Next item
	[12]	for the negotiating power of the other, which	[12]	pertains directly to the same project, and it's a
İ	[13]	probably means it's a pretty good agreement, and	[13]	supplemental agreement actually a resolution
	[14]	I recommend approval make a motion for	[14]	related to a supplement agreement with Florida
İ	[15]	approval.	[15]	DOT referencing one of the two grant agreements
	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. And	[16]	that facilitate funding for the terminal area
	[17]	a second, please?	[17]	project,
ļ	[18]	MR. WATTS: I second.	[18]	The the engineer's estimate exceeded that
- 1	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. Any	[19]	which had been programmed for the project. As
ļ	[20]	other comment?	[20]	such, we approached Florida DOT with the purpose
İ	[21]	(No comments.)	[21]	of providing additional funds for the development
- 1	[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then all in favor of	[22]	of the 100 x 100 hangar and the two-story office
- 1	[23]	accepting Staff recommendations on the Memorandum	[23]	building, and Florida DOT has agreed to fully
- 1	[24]	of Understanding with the FBO lease, signify by	[24]	fund the half their half of the those two
- 1	[25]	aye.	[25]	buildings at this point,
			, ,	
	Page 51		Page 52	
1	Page 51	And it's a supplemental that provides	Page 52 [1]	Staff recommendations on Resolution 2000-01,
į	[1]	And it's a supplemental that provides \$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this	_	Staff recommendations on Resolution 2000-01, signify by aye.
	[1]		[1]	·
	[1] [2] [3]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this	[1] [2]	signify by aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides	[1] [2] [3]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available	[1] [2] [3] [4]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.)
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01.
ANALYSIS ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALY	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign
- Market Andreas - Commission -	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is
OMAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the Resolution 2000-01.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is conforming with the request from this board back
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the Resolution 2000-01. MR. LASSITER: I make a motion to accept	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is conforming with the request from this board back at budget time a year ago or I guess seven or
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the Resolution 2000-01. MR. LASSITER: I make a motion to accept Staff recommendation.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is conforming with the request from this board back at budget time a year ago or I guess seven or eight months ago was to look at the capital
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the Resolution 2000-01. MR. LASSITER: I make a motion to accept Staff recommendation. MR. TAYLOR: Second.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is conforming with the request from this board back at budget time a year ago or I guess seven or eight months ago was to look at the capital development program of the airport as we went
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the Resolution 2000-01. MR. LASSITER: I make a motion to accept Staff recommendation. MR. TAYLOR: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is conforming with the request from this board back at budget time a year ago or I guess seven or eight months ago was to look at the capital development program of the airport as we went into developing the the JACIP, the Automated
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	\$200,000 additional Florida DOT money for this project. And under this particular JPA, provides a total eligible project of \$900,000 available toward this the development. And it would be Staff's recommendation that this board approve Resolution 2000-01 for the purposes of expansion of the FBO office and hangar at the airport. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment on Resolution 2000-01? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a motion, gentlemen, that we either accept or reject Staff recommendations for adoption of the Resolution 2000-01. MR. LASSITER: I make a motion to accept Staff recommendation. MR. TAYLOR: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Any questions, gentlemen?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And those opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have accepted Resolution 2000-01. MR. WUELLNER: And, Donna, before they sign the originals, we have one spelling error to correct. The we've got "lessor" and it should be "lesser." Both are perfectly good words, just not the way we're using them. 5.E CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT MR. WUELLNER: The last item we have is conforming with the request from this board back at budget time a year ago or I guess seven or eight months ago was to look at the capital development program of the airport as we went into developing the the JACIP, the Automated Capital Improvement Program input that we provide

		Airport Authority - F			
	Page 53		Page 54		
	[1]	planning projects at the airport.	[1]	with Florida DOT as they are listed to date.	
	[2]	We provided you a list, kind of a	[2]	Then you have another project that lists a	
	[3]	brainstorming list, if you will, and I'm sure	[3]	combination of candidate projects, projects that	
-	[4]	there are projects that are out there that still	[4]	we've put in the hopper for funding but have yet	
	[5]	aren't in there. That's a three-page item that's	[5]	to be acted on, as well as projects that have	
	[6]	broken down by in the first five years by	[6]	been acted on and have some level of funding.	
	[7]	year, then a five-year period and a ten-year	[7]	It may not be to the total of the request	
	[8]	period for a twenty-year project projection,	[8]	yet, but but they're leased in the system from	ı
	[9]	entitled "Capital Projects List," and then it	[9]	Florida DOT at this point.	
	[10]	says, "JACIP Master List" on it.	[10]	What we need to do today is establish I	
	[11]	This is gener that particular list is	[11]	think we're probably better to work off the list	
	[12]	developed was developed by Staff with no	[12]	that we developed, the three-page one entitled	ı
	[13]	thought toward priority in terms of the list	[13]	"Capital Projects List," and if if we get	
	[14]	here, so priority is what this board really needs	[14]	nowhere further than making sure the priority	Sec. Comme
	[15]	to establish for purposes of facilitating	[15]	list for this year and next year reflect the	De la constitución de la constit
	[16]	funding.	[16]	desires of the Airport Authority, as you well	
	[17]	In particular, we need to pay close	[17]	know, when those projects come up, we will base	
	[18]	attention to the first five years of this plan,	[18]	the capital program that goes into the budget	200000
İ	[19]	and it's Florida DOT and FAA will base a lot	[19]	process on these projects, and hopefully, it	
	[20]	of overall funding decisions for this district,	[20]	will it's designed to mirror what the funding	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	[21]	and this airport in particular, on the needs of	[21]	level is from Florida DOT for those same projects	
	[22]	airports such as ours.	[22]	when it comes back out.	ı
	[23]	You have a copy, also, of two other	[23]	There are some some negatives out there	
	[24]	documents, one being the FDOT Work Program	[24]	right now. The overall district funding, funding	1
	[25]	Report. That shows currently programmed projects	[25]	for District 2 aviation programs is on a downturn	
Ĺ					- 1
					4
	Page 55		Page 56		-
	Page 55	for the next several years.	[1]	believe the funding needs are as they relate to	
	_	for the next several years. By submitting projects' needs and getting	[1] [2]	believe the funding needs are as they relate to these projects.	
	[1]	<u>"</u>	[1] [2] [3]	·	
	[1] [2]	By submitting projects' needs and getting	[1] [2] [3] [4]	these projects.	
	[1] [2] [3]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if	
- 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the	
- 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South	CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA CASA
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the	
and the second s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months	
ma and the second secon	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development;	
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very quickly with FAA to pick up some of the shortfall	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of funds by about six months or more.	
THE STATE OF THE S	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very quickly with FAA to pick up some of the shortfall in eligible projects, which is is possible,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of funds by about six months or more. This was not a project that was budgeted	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very quickly with FAA to pick up some of the shortfall in eligible projects, which is is possible, the DOT ability to respond to our needs may be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of funds by about six months or more. This was not a project that was budgeted from an infrastructure in the current year	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very quickly with FAA to pick up some of the shortfall in eligible projects, which is is possible, the DOT ability to respond to our needs may be diminished somewhat over the next couple of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of funds by about six months or more. This was not a project that was budgeted from an infrastructure in the current year budget, but will likely be included in the next	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very quickly with FAA to pick up some of the shortfall in eligible projects, which is is possible, the DOT ability to respond to our needs may be diminished somewhat over the next couple of years.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of funds by about six months or more. This was not a project that was budgeted from an infrastructure in the current year budget, but will likely be included in the next year's project budget for purposes of	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	By submitting projects' needs and getting those into the system, we can be instrumental in making sure that that level doesn't go any lower, and in fact, reflects the needs of this Northeast Florida area, and hopefully, funds will be reallocated up this direction as as they become available throughout the process. But as it stands now, the overall district funding drops about \$4 million beginning year after next. So, the number of projects that are funded by DOT may very well start to start to decline, beginning as early as next year to some degree, from what we've enjoyed in the past. If you remember, last year's program combined DOT, FAA, and Authority capital program for projects was about 7 round numbers, about \$7.5 million. Unless something works out very quickly with FAA to pick up some of the shortfall in eligible projects, which is is possible, the DOT ability to respond to our needs may be diminished somewhat over the next couple of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	these projects. I'll attempt to explain the projects, if they're not that clear, from the from the description we provide. Looking at fiscal year 2000/2001, South Hangar II - Infrastructure, it appears both on your programmed funds from Florida DOT and is in here, it is the expansion of the infrastructure project down the street, down Estrella Avenue. It is funded by DOT and will at this point be available for disbursement or action by the Authority after July of this year. We've had discussion I believe it was the last meeting, maybe the meeting before, but the actual time line of this is probably six months later in terms of moving ahead with development; probably lags, if you will, the availability of funds by about six months or more. This was not a project that was budgeted from an infrastructure in the current year budget, but will likely be included in the next	

Γ	Page 57	A 100 CO	Page 58	
- 1	[1]	prequalified grant agreement that we are	[1]	area of land acquisition in Araquay Park,
	[2]	requesting or actually have already from	[2]	additional half-million dollars requested.
- 1	[3]	Florida DOT pertaining to a hangar in the	[3]	South Hangar Aircraft Maintenance related to
	[4]	Eastside Corporate where we've identified a	[4]	developing a facility for an identified tenant in
- 1	· · [5]	tenant and will be able to put somebody in there	[5]	the South Hangar Area for purposes of aircraft
- 1	[6]	as soon as this JPA comes to this board.	[6]	maintenance; as you know, we had a discussion
- 1	[7]	We're I expected it by this meeting, so	[7]	several months back, shortly right after the
	[8]	I'm very optimistic you'll see that project show	[8]	hurricane, related to an Airport Maintenance
	[9]	up so that we can at least move through the	[9]	Facility. That's money requested in there for
- 1	[10]	design phase later on this year. We have as I	[10]	that purpose.
	[11]	said, we have a tenant identified for this hangar	[11]	Taxiway B - Phase I would be the
- 1	[12]	and could could really occupy it as soon as we	[12]	construction of the northernmost segment of the
1	[13]	can get it built.	[13]	parallel taxiway on the west side of Runway
_ []	14]	Hangar #6 is the last hangar in the Eastside	[14]	13/31. So, picking up the through the field
- 1 "	15]	Corporate development area. We have yet to	[15]	there, if you will, through the infield there,
- 1	16]	identify a tenant, but it's one that project	[16]	the parallel taxiway construction.
-	17]	that, if we can secure the funding for, building	[17]	Most of those funds have already been
- 1	18]	it either as a I forgot the term	[18]	secured through DOT, Florida DOT, to construct
T	- 19]	MR. COOPER: Spec.	[19]	that. The last 2,000 feet are picked up
- 1	20]	MR. WUELLNER: as a spec building, and it	[20]	beginning the next year and will likely require
1 -	21]	would be a worst-case scenario, but I think we'd	[21]	federal assistance to accomplish, primarily
	22]	have a tenant before long before we actually	[22]	because of the dollar value of the project going
	23]	got to a construction phase of it.	[23]	through a portion of that wetlands area and
[:	24]	There's additional money we're requesting	[24]	marsh. You can understand the environmental
	25]	and hopefully will get during the year in the	[25]	implications of even attempting to make
-	per surrene service de la constante de la cons			
F	Page 59		Page 60	
		connect the dots, so to speak, down there.	Page 60	at the permitting aspect of it and see if we can,
1		connect the dots, so to speak, down there. We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31,	_	at the permitting aspect of it and see if we can, under one permit, look toward clearing up these
]	1]	• •	[1]	
[[1] 2] 3]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31,	[1] [2]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these
] []	1] 2] 3] 4]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first	[1] [2] [3]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as
]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements	[1] [2] [3] [4]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway
]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side.
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion.
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south — the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south — the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 100] 11] 12] 13]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction.
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another
1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1] 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will. And along the east side of that same runway,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in each of the next two years after that of a
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will. And along the east side of that same runway, the shoreline in the between the parallel taxiway on the east side, down past the seaplane ramp all the way down to the end of the runway,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in each of the next two years after that of a similar dollar value.
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will. And along the east side of that same runway, the shoreline in the between the parallel taxiway on the east side, down past the seaplane ramp all the way down to the end of the runway, the shoreline has an erosion problem that's going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in each of the next two years after that of a similar dollar value. We believe that all of that type of a
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will. And along the east side of that same runway, the shoreline in the between the parallel taxiway on the east side, down past the seaplane ramp all the way down to the end of the runway, the shoreline has an erosion problem that's going on there, as well as the dimensions of ground	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in each of the next two years after that of a similar dollar value. We believe that all of that type of a project should be eligible for federal funding
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will. And along the east side of that same runway, the shoreline in the between the parallel taxiway on the east side, down past the seaplane ramp all the way down to the end of the runway, the shoreline has an erosion problem that's going on there, as well as the dimensions of ground there do not currently satisfy all of the safety	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in each of the next two years after that of a similar dollar value. We believe that all of that type of a project should be eligible for federal funding under Part 139 requirements. Safety area
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21]	We talk about the Safety Area for Runway 31, Environmental, and basically that is the first look of the environmental permitting requirements for that continuation of the taxiway, the 800 feet off the end of the south the south end of the runway there, for purposes of reestablishing the full length of the runway. The only reason that's displaced is to do with the safety area requirements of FAA. Basically, we'd be looking to permit from an environmental standpoint in this phase, the replacement, if you will, of the 800 feet of dirt at the end of the runway to reestablish a safety area; no extension of the runway, per se, just an overrun area, if you will. And along the east side of that same runway, the shoreline in the between the parallel taxiway on the east side, down past the seaplane ramp all the way down to the end of the runway, the shoreline has an erosion problem that's going on there, as well as the dimensions of ground	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	under one permit, look toward clearing up these areas in our in our safety area as well as providing for additional the taxiway continuation on the south side. Moving into the following year, 2001/2002, we look at funding the first phase of that taxiway extension into that area that was described in the environmental. That's the actual construction of the taxiway portion. The safety area has another is two items down, Runway 31, taxiway Phase I or Safety Area Phase I identifies an estimated \$2.5 million in construction and and mitigation that might be required in that area to facilitate that construction or reconstruction. You'll also notice that that has another phase I believe it has two more phases in each of the next two years after that of a similar dollar value. We believe that all of that type of a project should be eligible for federal funding

	An port Authority		
Page 61		Page 62	
[1]	help facilitate, but it would once and for all	[1]	particularly in the area of arrivals and
[2]	bolster the shoreline along that east side, get	[2]	departures in and out of runways.
[3]	the full 8,000 feet available for landing to the	[3]	The scope of that, I have no idea until we
[4]	north on Runway 31, and facilitate the final	[4]	get into it, but everybody I talked to seemed to
[5]	piece of Taxiway B-1, which would be the last	[5]	think that that dollar value was
[6]	piece of the parallel taxiway on the south end.	[6]	certainly adequate for developing the plan. It
[7]	Let's see here. ARFF Station and Index B	[7]	doesn't begin to address how we solve the problem
[8]	Vehicle Purchase, again believed to be a fairly	[8]	once it's been identified.
[9]	high priority with FAA, and this relates to	[9]	But in any case, I don't envision that
[10]	construction of probably a joint use fire	[10]	being that would be more of an operational
[11]	facility fire rescue facility as it relates to	[11]	item than it would be a capital item when it came
[12]	aviation aircraft-related problems. Again, would	[12]	down to mitigation.
[13]	likely be a high priority with FAA. It is with	[13]	Additional T-hangars from the south hangar
[14]	DOT, Florida DOT.	[14]	area, two additional T-hangars, and this largely
[15]	We have entered very, very cursory	[15]	becomes the project we just talked about when we
[16]	discussions with identifying our interest in	[16]	were talking about item, what was it for
[17]	coming to an agreement with either the County or	[17]	Hangar D-5 a few minutes ago would replace
[18]	Northrop Grumman via contract to man that	[18]	three rows of port-a-port units individuals with
[19]	facility and and utilize it for purposes of	[19]	two rows of two 12-unit T-hangars in the South
[20]	airport rescue and firefighting.	[20]	Hangar.
[21]	Wildlife Management Study, this is a federal	[21]	Additional land acquisition in Araquay Park,
[22]	requirement now that we basically identify	[22]	provide some additional infrastructure or
[23]	existing wildlife hazards on the airport and	[23]	hangars, I'm sorry related to, again, the
[24]	develop a plan to mitigate or develop strategies	[24]	south south side area. And it also looks at
[25]	to mitigate their potential impact on aircraft,	[25]	the Industrial Park Infrastructure, begins some
A Contract of the Contract of			
Page 63		Page 64	
Page 63	sort of construction across the street.	Page 64	helicopter operations. And frankly, as
	sort of construction across the street. This is not one at this moment I can get	[1] [2]	helicopter operations. And frankly, as constrained as we are with property internal of
[1]		[1] [2] [3]	
[1] [2]	This is not one at this moment I can get	[1] [2]	constrained as we are with property internal of
[1] [2] [3]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that
[1] [2] [3] [4]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I I'm not sure	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them. Safety area, again, we talked about another
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase. Tied to that is a look at the location of a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them. Safety area, again, we talked about another phase of that. Airport Master Plan would be in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase. Tied to that is a look at the location of a remote facility for development of a heliport off the internal infrastructure of the airport, basically looking at an area out near the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them. Safety area, again, we talked about another phase of that. Airport Master Plan would be in there.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase. Tied to that is a look at the location of a remote facility for development of a heliport off the internal infrastructure of the airport, basically looking at an area out near the racetrack through the DRI process as it currently	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them. Safety area, again, we talked about another phase of that. Airport Master Plan would be in there. Additional land acquisition in Araquay Park,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase. Tied to that is a look at the location of a remote facility for development of a heliport off the internal infrastructure of the airport, basically looking at an area out near the racetrack through the DRI process as it currently exists, perhaps a heli — it would be only a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them. Safety area, again, we talked about another phase of that. Airport Master Plan would be in there. Additional land acquisition in Araquay Park, looking at a project to enclose a portion of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	This is not one at this moment I can get very warm and fuzzy about finding grant dollars to match. Unless we can facilitate a way to tie it directly to an aviation use, it's likely not to be fundable under either FAA or FDOT. There are likely some other sources out there available to us, and we'll pursue those. One of them is Department of Agriculture, believe it or not, has some — some funds available for development of some infrastructure for these types of projects, and we'll certainly pursue any angle we've got, but right now, I — I'm not sure where to look for along that line. I know that's been a project that's been of interest to several people on the board to move out of talk to an action phase. Tied to that is a look at the location of a remote facility for development of a heliport off the internal infrastructure of the airport, basically looking at an area out near the racetrack through the DRI process as it currently	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	constrained as we are with property internal of the airport and the operational issues that surround both types of aircraft, it warrants taking a look at in the next few years as to whether a remote facility for that type of operation might make some sense. It also hopefully will tie into the Master Plan we visit in the same year. We look at relocating a segment of Hawkeye View Lane, which is the access road to the Grumman North 40 facility. That would at this point be tied also to finalizing a deal with the Gun Club for the purchase of, I think there's about 14 14 to 18 acres, I think, that will fall out of there to facilitate that access through property that would have been owned by them. Safety area, again, we talked about another phase of that. Airport Master Plan would be in there. Additional land acquisition in Araquay Park,

-				
ſ	Page 65		Page 66	
	[1]	internal of the airport. It also allows a better	[1]	direction and need and the like and budgeting and
- 1	[2]	use for safety as those run parallel to the	[2]	accommodating that on the grant horizon.
- 1	[3]	runway, most of the runways out here. That	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.
- 1	[4]	should be a high eligibility again with FAA when	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: That's it in a nutshell. All
- 1	[5]	we get to that point.	[5]	you've got to do is prioritize it all.
- 1	[6]	And additional corporate hangars in the	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any questions, gentlemen?
- 1	[7]	eastside area would be identified in a different	[7]	Comments?
- 1	[8]	development.	[8]	MR. ROSE: I've got
- 1	[9]	The list, as you see, goes on and on, but	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: You can't you can't scream
- 1	[10]	the total identified projects that we have based	[10]	and run away, so
- 1	[11]	on the Master Plan and projects in the short term	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
- 1	[12]	that we do know of indicates an ongoing capital	[12]	MR, ROSE: You have the total project cost
- 1	[13]	development need over the 20 years that this	[13]	listed. At what we break that down between
	[14]	this submittal looks at in excess of \$79 million	[14]	what, local funds and what is DOT funds.
- 1	[15]	over 20 years.	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay.
- 1	[16]	And you can see, breaking it out, you're	[16]	MR. ROSE: Do you have any idea how this
- 1	[17]	looking at a capital program on an annual basis	[17]	affects our budget in coming years and our
- 1	[18]	that ranges anywhere from about \$4 and a half	[18]	ability to follow the the guidelines? And I
	[19]	million all the way up to just under \$8 million	[19]	think we've generally agreed on that we wanted to
- 1	[20]	in projects, and those represent our best guesses	[20]	try to keep reducing the millage that we charge.
	[21]	at this point.	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Based on funding programs
	[22]	It's something we I think makes a lot of	[22]	that are out there, I'm at this point reasonably
- 1	[23]	sense to look at on an annual basis and look at	[23]	optimistic that the worst-case scenario is
	[24]	with great detail, you know, in one- or	[24]	holding the millage where it is. That's the
- 1	[25]	two-year two-year looks for purposes of	[25]	absolute worst case based on these projects
Ι']	the year less for purposes of	[20]	absolute worst table saled on mose projects
Г				
H	Page 67		Page 68	
	Page 67	MR. ROSE: So, you see that we're within the	Page 68	me that a major portion of it, in addition to
	1]	MR. ROSE: So, you see that we're within the parameters of what of what we were talking	_	me that a major portion of it, in addition to what we would like to have next, is how the
	[1] [2]	• •	[1]	
1	[1] [2]	parameters of what of what we were talking	[1] [2]	what we would like to have next, is how the
	[1] [2] [3]	parameters of what of what we were talking about?	[1] [2] [3]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself.
	11] 22] 33] 44] 55] 66] 77]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation.
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This
 	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked.
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed
 	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP.
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in previous	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with knowing full well you have the opportunity to
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in previous CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with knowing full well you have the opportunity to look at it again and again and adjust
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in previous CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Ed, it appears that you're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with knowing full well you have the opportunity to look at it again and again and again and adjust those priorities on a year-to-year basis, and
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in previous CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Ed, it appears that you're asking us now to prioritize these, if I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with knowing full well you have the opportunity to look at it again and again and again and adjust those priorities on a year-to-year basis, and maybe that's the vehicle, you know, or the method
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21] 22]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in previous CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Ed, it appears that you're asking us now to prioritize these, if I understand what you've said.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with knowing full well you have the opportunity to look at it again and again and again and adjust those priorities on a year-to-year basis, and maybe that's the vehicle, you know, or the method to do it.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20] 21] 22]	parameters of what of what we were talking about? MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. MR. ROSE: All right. One other question, and maybe I missed it, but where is the ILS? MR. WUELLNER: It's a current-year project, and this picks up MR. ROSE: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: for purposes of planning after July of this year MR. ROSE: Gotcha. MR. WUELLNER: It and the tower both, and and MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: probably other things you're aware, were under development or in previous CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Ed, it appears that you're asking us now to prioritize these, if I understand what you've said. MR. WUELLNER: Well, certainly your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	what we would like to have next, is how the funding mechanism works. And I think I would very much appreciate a staff recommendation in light of needs and probable funding access, rather than us just begin to throw pick it pick it for myself. I think I'd like a recommendation. MR. WUELLNER: I knew you'd say that. This would be so easy with a crystal ball that worked. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed MR. WUELLNER: The biggest well, the thing is, we've got to submit, even if it's just our best hope, for at least next year, because it it really impacts both budget and JACIP. In terms of priority, I mean, yes, we could establish what we believe that and submit it with knowing full well you have the opportunity to look at it again and again and again and adjust those priorities on a year-to-year basis, and maybe that's the vehicle, you know, or the method to do it. Maybe if we just focused on this first year,

-				
ſ	Page 69		Page 70	
	[1]	2001 for FDOT purposes, identify projects we can	[1]	it," and we have, but
- 1	[2]	take our best guess as to where they would	[2]	MR. ROSE: Are we going to have a test on
- 1	[3]	prioritize in terms of grant assistance, and then	[3]	the lecture you gave?
ı	[4]	just sort of you know, we could even bring	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: I promise, no no details
- 1	[5]	back to you priorities that we submitted for	[5]	are required.
ſ	[6]	other years out here, and you'd have the	[6]	MR. ROSE: Is it multiple choice, I hope?
- 1	[7]	opportunity to review those again.	[7]	Mr. Chairman, can I add
- 1	[8]	I don't think we can submit twice in a year,	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
- 1	[9]	but as we go to next into next year, we'd have	[9]	MR. ROSE: The way I in listening to your
	[10]	the input in place to do multiple years from then	[10]	presentation and seeing what you have here, I
•	 [11]	on.	[11]	have the feeling that everything that I see in
	[12]	The first time going through this is you	[12]	these these three years are needs that we have
ı	[13]	know, is going to be the worst, as you can see.	[13]	identified and we feel are important to the
- 1	[14]	In future years, you're just kind of adding a	[14]	future of this airport.
1	[15]	year to the end of the cycle, and if you like the	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct,
- 1	[16]	priorities that were there and no major changes,	[16]	MR. ROSE: Now, the way I look at a plan
ı	[17]	it's a fairly simple process,	[17]	like that, as long as whatever we do chips away
	[18]	We've tried to you know, in fairness,	[18]	at the total need, then we're on the right track.
- 1	[19]	we've just because you don't think I just	[19]	We may do something that's in the third year
- 1	[20]	don't want you to think we were trying to	[20]	here; we may do it in the first year for one
- 1		overwhelm you, but we tried doing this on two	[21]	reason or another, and that's okay as long as
- 1		other occasions with this board and were met with	[22]	what we do is on this is on this list.
		about the same five blank stares with, "You're	[23]	I find it hard to to come down and say,
- 1		kidding me, right?" And it kind of got shoved	[24]	"Okay, what is the most important project and
_ I `		off and said, "Just just kind of deal with	[25]	what is priority one?" I really don't know.
	-	•	-	
	Page 71		Page 72	
		I I have to depend on your	Page 72 [1]	project needs, but they're getting to the point
[-	I I have to depend on your MR. WUELLNER: You're right,	_	project needs, but they're getting to the point where they're going to be less involved or trying
[[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right.	[1] [2]	where they're going to be less involved or trying
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right, MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation.	[1] [2] [3]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that	[1] [2] [3] [4]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number.
] [[[[[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to
]]]]]]]]	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have delays as they come down the pike because of
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug MR. ROSE: Right.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have delays as they come down the pike because of funding or whatever.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug MR. ROSE: Right. MR. WUELLNER: directly into theirs very	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to — fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get — it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or — or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's — you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may — may have delays as they come down the pike because of funding or whatever. There may be projects you'll elect to do
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug MR. ROSE: Right. MR. WUELLNER: directly into theirs very well.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have delays as they come down the pike because of funding or whatever. There may be projects you'll elect to do entirely within the the resources of the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug MR. ROSE: Right. MR. WUELLNER: directly into theirs very well. MR. ROSE: So, you've got to negotiate those	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have delays as they come down the pike because of funding or whatever. There may be projects you'll elect to do entirely within the the resources of the Authority because the outlook for funds isn't great for a particular project and the need is great. Those can be looked at on a case-by-case
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	[1] [2] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug MR. ROSE: Right. MR. WUELLNER: directly into theirs very well. MR. ROSE: So, you've got to negotiate those things out.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have delays as they come down the pike because of funding or whatever. There may be projects you'll elect to do entirely within the the resources of the Authority because the outlook for funds isn't great for a particular project and the need is great. Those can be looked at on a case-by-case basis. And I think that's appropriate at the
11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	[1] [2] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: You're right. MR. ROSE: your staff recommendation. What I'm saying is I want to see that at that when we select projects to to embark on, that they're on this list, you know, so we're chipping away at the at the total problem. MR. WUELLNER: Okay. I think you're correct in the dynamics of the whole thing. There are they're some incredibly complicated and confusing to those that do it every day MR. ROSE: Yeah. MR. WUELLNER: the matrix that FAA uses in establishing where they're going to put their money. Just because we think it's a high priority, it may not plug MR. ROSE: Right. MR. WUELLNER: directly into theirs very well. MR. ROSE: So, you've got to negotiate those things out. MR. WUELLNER: So, we go we go and try	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	where they're going to be less involved or trying to fewer dollars to throw into projects, so we're going to get it's a fair chance in future years that while we may present a \$7 million grant program to DOT, they may not be able to help us but with two-thirds of it or or some other number. And, you know, that dynamic is yet to develop. It's you know, all we can do is ask for a Cadillac and see what model we end up with later on. But in terms of development and where we're going, you know, I think we're consistent in our application of projects. We may may have delays as they come down the pike because of funding or whatever. There may be projects you'll elect to do entirely within the the resources of the Authority because the outlook for funds isn't great for a particular project and the need is great. Those can be looked at on a case-by-case

-				
	Page 73		Page 74	
	[1]	But we we have little concern that all	[1]	Ed's shall we say "recap" of the list.
	[2]	the projects are needed. The question now	[2]	I I would like to get a little higher
	[3]	relates to who all's paying for them and the	[3]	degree of comfort level on these projects as
	[4]	likelihood of that happening.	[4]	listed here, and I think that's going to take for
	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[5]	me to sit down on a one-to-one basis with Ed,
	[6]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, would it be	[6]	instead of sitting here trying to look at the map
	[7]	acceptable for us to move toward a motion that we	[7]	when he's saying the south end and trying and
ı	[8]	accept the items in the list and direct Staff to	[8]	then and I trust Ed and his staff explicitly,
	[9]	make the selections as they deem appropriate in	[9]	but I think that I need a better education level
	[10]	consideration of what is most important and what	[10]	before we say, "Okay, go prioritize and then go
- [[11]	is most opportune for success with funding?	[11]	for it,"
	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have a right to that	[12]	MR. TAYLOR: We're in discussion?
	[13]	motion.	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. Okay. Mr. Taylor?
	[14]	MR, TAYLOR: Then I'd like to make that	[14]	MR. TAYLOR: Ed, how much time do we have
	[15]	motion,	[15]	for these numbers 1 through somebody put on
١	[16]	MR. ROSE: I second that motion.	[16]	here?
	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second, Mr. Rose? Any	[17]	MR. WUELLNER: We got the infor the DO
	[18]	discussion, gentlemen?	[18]	the disk from DOT that needs on February 22nd.
İ	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: He's looking at me like I'm	[19]	It's due in their office on March 15th, which for
	[20]	going to make it.	[20]	purposes of putting projects in and attempting a
١	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion, gentlemen?	[21]	priority, that's why I'm saying, your point of
	[22]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah.	[22]	most impact is in what we're looking for next
١	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[23]	year in DOT. You know
	[24]	MR. LASSITER: Before we go in, jump into	[24]	MR. TAYLOR: Well, Mr. Chairman, then let me
١	[25]	this thing again, I sat here and listened to	[25]	ask
Ĺ				
1	Page 75		Page 76	
	Page 75	MR. WUELLNER: that may still do that,	Page 76	MR. WUELLNER: 20th? That's less than a
- 1	_	MR. WUELLNER: that may still do that,	-	MR. WUELLNER: 20th? That's less than a week after it would be due. We could perhaps
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2]	but	[1] [2]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps
	[1] [2] [3]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable,	[1] [2] [3]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two	[1] [2] [3] [4]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not
Princible Charles	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue that we can't discuss through that, you know, I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it. One of the things that I'm getting down
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue that we can't discuss through that, you know, I can't explain adequately to your to your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it. One of the things that I'm getting down to people involved, but one of the things the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	but MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue that we can't discuss through that, you know, I can't explain adequately to your to your satisfaction, then we'll proceed down, you know,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it. One of the things that I'm getting down to people involved, but one of the things the dynamics in there is how well you are able as an
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue that we can't discuss through that, you know, I can't explain adequately to your to your satisfaction, then we'll proceed down, you know, looking at either a special meeting or or even	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it. One of the things that I'm getting down to people involved, but one of the things the dynamics in there is how well you are able as an entity to open grant projects and close them in in an expedited manner. It it what makes the performance of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue that we can't discuss through that, you know, I can't explain adequately to your to your satisfaction, then we'll proceed down, you know, looking at either a special meeting or or even just submitting the thing late and taking our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it. One of the things that I'm getting down to people involved, but one of the things the dynamics in there is how well you are able as an entity to open grant projects and close them in in an expedited manner. It it what makes the performance of your district DOT people look good because
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. TAYLOR: would it be reasonable, then, to allow each Authority member about two weeks to come visit Ed with any concerns they have, and if it truly alters this list, we have to call a special meeting, we'll do so? MR. WUELLNER: I maybe for expedience, we could develop and get out to you in the next couple of days a our priority list as we see it from the staff, get that out to you so you have something to look at that we're thinking, and then if you have comments or input related to how we've established the projects, if they don't match what you think ought to be, you know, as an individual, you can contact me. If there's, you know, a significant issue that we can't discuss through that, you know, I can't explain adequately to your to your satisfaction, then we'll proceed down, you know, looking at either a special meeting or or even just submitting the thing late and taking our lumps with it, you know. We do meet on the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	week after it would be due. We could perhaps even solicit the ability to have one more week with it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Ed, in in the back of my memory banks, there's a section we were talking about, if you go in and apply for these grants, and you're granted the money and then you do not do the project, does that penalize you in the future? I think there was something that you referred to some places that do that and MR. WUELLNER: Not directly, but part of part of the ability to get the funds from DOT is the you know, is to have a list that's accurately submitted to DOT and that you act upon when you get it. One of the things that I'm getting down to people involved, but one of the things the dynamics in there is how well you are able as an entity to open grant projects and close them in in an expedited manner. It it what makes the performance of

Page 77		Page 78	
[1]	that community's willing to go out and do, versus	[1]	next year.
[2]	just committing grant funds to projects that the	[2]	MR. LASSITER: I guess what I'm saying is,
[3]	agency really hasn't made up its mind about, and	[3]	if if you put this list in because of your
[4]	they end up sitting on them for multiple years.	[4]	March 15th deadline, and we come back and say,
[5]	It really hurts the entire district as well	[5]	"Well, you know, we need to really dump that down
[6]	as the state when and it's been a problem in	[6]	two or three levels and we really should do it,"
[7]	the past, not with us, but it has been at many	[7]	April 15th, can you call them up and say, "Would
[8]	airports, especially small airports, where they	[8]	you please delete item number 4 from our list?"
[9]	decide they want 12 T-hangars, they go out and	[9]	And does that penalize you, in your opinion,
[10]	get half the money from DOT, tie it up. That	[10]	dealing with these people over here if we do it
[11]	makes it committed at DOT, and it sits there for	[11]	in a what I'm trying to get at, very simply,
[12]	four, five, six years, and then they end up	[12]	is to put the list in instead of taking the
[13]	giving it back anyway.	[13]	chance that we would delay on the 20th, let's
[14]	MR. LASSITER: If you move these funds in	[14]	say, in referring to something, an action we did
[15]	for request, and next month or the month after,	[15]	earlier, we missed the deadline.
[16]	can you remove them for consideration without any	[16]	And to penalize ourself, would it be better
[17]	penalty?	[17]	off for us to go ahead and put the full list in
[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. On an annual basis,	[18]	with with that and be able to call them up and
[19]	we we can make requests to the work program	[19]	say, you know, we're we've decided that
[20]	that that's adopted annually by the	[20]	"Reviewing this again, we've decided this is not
[21]	legislature.	[21]	something we want to do"?
[22]	That's done in the fall, if I'm not	[22]	MR, WUELLNER: For for practical
[23]	mistaken, like in a September-October time line,	[23]	purposes, you're you're more or less locked in
[24]	where you can make or request amendments within	[24]	already in the 2000/2001, because the
[25]	the funding available at DOT in the for the	[25]	legislature's going to take and adopt a work
[2]	the funding available at 501 in the 101 the	[23]	legislature i going to take and adopt a work
Page 79		Page 80	
Page 79	program as a part of their session coming up here	Page 80	concerned about it.
[1]	program as a part of their session coming up here at another week or ten days.	_	concerned about it. MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's
[1] [2]		[1]	
[1] [2] [3]	at another week or ten days.	[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's
[1] [2] [3] [4]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side. That we have some latitude related to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any further comment,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side. That we have some latitude related to CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any further comment, gentlemen?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side. That we have some latitude related to CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any further comment, gentlemen? (No comment.)
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side. That we have some latitude related to CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me then that if these are already identified, that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any further comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We do have a motion on the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side. That we have some latitude related to CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me then that if these are already identified, that the priority is not that critical, because you're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any further comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We do have a motion on the floor and a second.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	at another week or ten days. By the time that's all done, they'll have adopted a work program, that if your project's not on the list and it would already be on the list; there's no way to add a project as such right now you're good they're allocating the funds and agreeing to the projects from a legislative point of view through July well, June 30th of the year 2001. We have until October of this year to make any program amendments before the period 2001 through 2002. So, there's some flexibility. You're basically, on this one sheet, the single sheet of the other attachments, you are basically seeing the projects that are programmed for next year. And that's that's the extent of what's in there and funded on a DOT side. That we have some latitude related to CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me then that if these are already identified, that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, there's MR. TAYLOR: If we are MR. WUELLNER: some serious begging, yes. MR. TAYLOR: If we are, I'd like to say that I truly believe that some of the success we've enjoyed is their perception of the professionalism of our staff and the decisiveness of this board, and I don't want to do anything to damage that. So, if it needs to come back to us, I'd recommend we move our meeting to March 13th, if we have to have another one, so that it can be there on time. But I I have a feeling you can Staff can put the numbers in priority. You're going to execute it in the manner that works best, anyway. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any further comment, gentlemen? (No comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We do have a motion on the floor and a second. MR. WUELLNER: I think Bryan had.

	Page 81		Page 82	
	[1]	comment about, even though a lot of these things	[1]	under another one or something else, amending the
	[2]	are already in that work program, in reality,	[2]	grant title or something when we get into the
	[3]	what's going to happen is they're not they're	[3]	process, which is depends on who's there
	[4]	probably not going to fund all of these projects,	[4]	smiling or not,
	[5]	and they're going to fund the ones that have the	[5]	MR. TAYLOR: Then
	[6]	highest priority that we put on it.	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?
	[7]	So, if we have eight projects in here, and	[7]	MR. TAYLOR: I know I seem to have said a
	[8]	we say, "This one's number one, this one's number	[8]	lot, but let me bring it then back to this point.
	[9]	eight," it's unlikely that they're going to fund	[9]	I like what Ed said about him the staff
	[10]	number eight the way their funds are looking	[10]	prioritizing and making us aware of that within a
	[11]	right now. And so, next year we might take	[11]	few days,
	[12]	number eight and move it up to a much higher	[12]	I think that if we each board member's
	[13]		[13]	
١	[14]	priority in the following year. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[14]	got to come to you individually, because of
		·	l - "	sunshine
ı	[15]	MR. TAYLOR: Then, Mr. Chairman, would you	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
	[16]	consider us moving our next meeting to March the	[16]	MR. TAYLOR: we can't can't come to
	[17]	13th as a board?	[17]	you together. If a board member has a problem,
	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: That's entirely up up to	[18]	then let's decide whether we need to have an
	[19]	you guys; but, I mean, in terms of it does not	[19]	early meeting or what we have to do. But that
	[20]	require Board action, you know, just to be up	[20]	way, each board member has a chance to come and
	[21]	front about it.	[21]	make sure they're comfortable with what you've
	[22]	I mean, we can make as we were saying,	[22]	done.
	[23]	you know, 2000/2001, is largely in place, other	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right, Mr. Taylor?
ĺ	[24]	than what we can, lack of better terms, cajole	[24]	Mr. Lassiter?
١	[25]	our district into including as a project name	[25]	MR. LASSITER: I just I think that what
f	Page 83		Page 84	
١	. ugo ou			
	F 17	you've said then is, shall we say, the wiggle	_	ability to have that input early enough without
		you've said then is, shall we say, the wiggle	[1]	ability to have that input early enough without
	[2]	room that we would I was thinking that we had,	[1] [2]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get
	[2] [3]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the	[1] [2] [3]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then
	[2] [3] [4]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we
	[2] [3] [4] [5]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in.
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here.
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard locked in. And our flexibility really is out in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all in agreement we'll have that meeting.
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard locked in. And our flexibility really is out in the next year	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all in agreement we'll have that meeting. In theory, that authority rests with the
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard locked in. And our flexibility really is out in the next year MR. WUELLNER: Correct.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all in agreement we'll have that meeting. In theory, that authority rests with the Chairman, not with any any of you as
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard locked in. And our flexibility really is out in the next year MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. LASSITER: for this Board to really	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all in agreement we'll have that meeting. In theory, that authority rests with the Chairman, not with any any of you as individuals otherwise. So, I I think it needs
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard locked in. And our flexibility really is out in the next year MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. LASSITER: for this Board to really look at.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all in agreement we'll have that meeting. In theory, that authority rests with the Chairman, not with any any of you as individuals otherwise. So, I I think it needs to be well understood that we all agree if one of
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	room that we would I was thinking that we had, we don't have, except in prioritizing the different projects. And having said that, then we need to make our deadline, keep our meeting probably on, unless someone has a very serious problem with this, and then as you said, deliver your priority to us, our listing, and then if we have questions, to join you on that. MR. WUELLNER: We can certainly adjust the priority of projects from 2001 on out, all the way through October of this year. MR. LASSITER: And I think this is kind of the process that we were talking about earlier when we on the millage, where we got slammed with that. Now, this is pretty well the next phase, which is pretty well locked in, but not hard locked in. And our flexibility really is out in the next year MR. WUELLNER: Correct. MR. LASSITER: for this Board to really	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	you stressing to get deadlines and to get projects to these different entities, then then so be it; we'll work that way. But we just we're up against the gun here on this one, and it's already pretty well locked in. MR. WUELLNER: Kind of first-year blues, so to speak, in bringing it here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. WUELLNER: Do you want I'm sorry. Do you want to I guess as you've continued to decide what the direction you're going, but I think you need to be clear that either individually or or what, that if you decide to have a meeting or there's enough confusion in what we sent you that you feel like you need to come together and talk, that that needs to be some agreement unilaterally that any one of you that experiences that level of stress, we're all in agreement we'll have that meeting. In theory, that authority rests with the Chairman, not with any any of you as individuals otherwise. So, I I think it needs

-		**************************************		
Γ	Page 85		Page 86	
	[1]	reason to go ahead and call the special meeting,	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.
- 1	[2]	if that's the direction you choose to go, if you	[2]	MR. TAYLOR: Fine.
- 1	[3]	want to even have that.	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: I just just so you're
- 1	[4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That is agreed. If any of	[4]	aware, you there's an area that gets squirrely
- 1	[5]	you have any problems after he sends you the	[5]	on the issue of government in the sunshine.
ı	[6]	priority list, have him to contact me, and I will	[6]	If indeed one of you has that complaint, I
	[7]	call a special meeting, and keeping in mind,	[7]	want to make sure you're perfectly clear that I
	[8]	gentlemen, that we have to publish, is it three	[8]	cannot communicate and will not communicate the
-	[9]	days, Donna?	[9]	nature of your problem with that, just simply
1	[10]	MS, GLASSER: I would need the	[10]	that the request is made for a meeting. I don't
	[11]	publication has to be in the paper Friday before	[11]	think that in itself violates anything.
- 1	[12]	the Monday meeting	[12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, it doesn't.
- 1	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: But I cannot discuss why or
	[14]	MS. GLASSER: and I have to send that	[14]	who made it or anything else. That has to be
	[15]	information to The Record on the Tuesday before	[15]	done here.
- 1	[16]	that. So, it's almost a week lead time.	[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: With the motion on the
	[10] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. We have a time	[17]	floor, gentlemen
- 1	[17]	frame that we have to publicize it, so be sure	[18]	MR. HARVEY: Public comment?
- 1	[19]	and let me know.	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Comment?
- [1]	[20]	MR, TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman?	[20]	MR. HARVEY: Public comment first?
- 1	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[21]	My name is Mel Harvey. I'd just like to get
	[22]	MR. TAYLOR: I believe my understanding, you	[22]	something straight. I think I heard you right.
- 1	[23]	would I may have a complaint, but you truly	[23]	You wanted to extend on the south end of the
- 1	[24]	have the discretion as to whether to call a	[24]	runway 800 feet out in the marsh?
- 1	[25]	meeting or not.	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally.
'	[=]		' '	•
	Page 87		Page 88	
	Page 87	MR. HARVEY: Hmm?	Page 88	a look at how your title reads to determine
	-	MR. HARVEY: Hmm? MR. WUELLNER: Ideally.	_	a look at how your title reads to determine MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights."
	[1]		[1]	•
	[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally.	[1] [2]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights."
	[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're	[1] [2] [3]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay, And the second thing
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and
THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument
The Case of the Ca	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said
THE NAME AND STATE THAT THE TAX THE TAX THAT THAT THE TAX	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management agencies and they didn't think that that part of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management agencies and they didn't think that that part of it was an issue.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management agencies and they didn't think that that part of it was an issue. MR. HARVEY: Well, riparian rights, if I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. Mr. Weaver.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [1	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management agencies and they didn't think that that part of it was an issue. MR. HARVEY: Well, riparian rights, if I'm correct, cannot be taken away like that. Am I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. Mr. Weaver. MR. CIRIELLO: I'll go after you. Go ahead,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management agencies and they didn't think that that part of it was an issue. MR. HARVEY: Well, riparian rights, if I'm correct, cannot be taken away like that. Am I right?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. Mr. Weaver. MR. CIRIELLO: I'll go after you. Go ahead, Dick.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Ideally. MR. HARVEY: I know, and that's what you're trying to get. What are you going to do about the water where it where the canal crosses part of the runway? MR. WUELLNER: That ends up being a function of what we work out with the environmental permits, which you know is no easy MR. HARVEY: You know, we those of us who live on that creek have riparian rights, and I don't believe they can be taken away. Am I right? You can ask your attorney that. MR. WUELLNER: I am not familiar with all the details of what exists or not, but I I know that preliminary discussions of that direction were held with the Water Management agencies and they didn't think that that part of it was an issue. MR. HARVEY: Well, riparian rights, if I'm correct, cannot be taken away like that. Am I right? MS. BARTIN: Chairman?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. HARVEY: It reads "riparian rights." MS. BARTIN: Okay. And the second thing would be, if they do pursue a permit to do this work, to extend the the runway, the agencies would notify you, and if there were any problems associated with that, you would have a right and opportunity to present your your argument there. MR. HARVEY: Well, I already called the environmentalists in Jacksonville, and they said you can you can request it. You can request. MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. We there's no guarantee in any of that, any of our MR. HARVEY: And I'd like to have a list of those projects, please. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Anyone else? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Go ahead. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me. Mr. Weaver. MR. CIRIELLO: I'll go after you. Go ahead, Dick. MR. WEAVER: Quick item. On your DOT list,

	Airport Authority -	1 COI Gury	40, 4000
Pag	ge 89	Page 90	
[1]		[1]	our ramp is going to be in the area where the
[2]		[2]	only public wash rack is at at now.
[3]		[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
[4]		[4]	MR. WEAVER: So, it will make it very
[5]		[5]	complicated. So, that's our concern.
[6]		[6]	MR. WUELLNER: I'm I'm comfortable we'll
[7]		[7]	be at a that will be in place before that
[8]		[8]	enters into construction. We can expedite that
[9]		[9]	way.
[10]		[10]	MR. WEAVER: And the only other point or
[11]		[11]	thing I want to bring up, is I discussed this
[12]		[12]	with Ed earlier today, but we don't have a lot of
[13]		[13]	seaplanes using our facility, but we do have
[14]		[14]	seaplanes using our facility, and I would like to
[15]		[15]	see the Board continue to move forward into some
[16]		[16]	docking facility.
[17]		[17]	I've been doing a very informal poll of the
[18]		[18]	pilots that come in, and they say if there was a
[19]		[19]	better facility where they could actually dock
[20]		[20]	their airplane without worrying about it being
[21]		[21]	stranded or cut by oysters, the usage would go up
[22]		[22]	dramatically. And I think that's a true
[23]		[23]	statement,
[24]	MR. WEAVER: I guess I'll just tell the	[24]	Right now, it's extremely dangerous, and
[25]		[25]	airplanes get stranded and pilots have to wait
Page	e 91	Page 92	
Page	e 91 for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying	Page 92	Just the daily expenses of the running the
1			Just the daily expenses of the running the airport and the income, you're always a couple of
[1]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying	[1]	
[1] [2]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but	[1] [2] [3] [4]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of
[1] [2] [3]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things — which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things — which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like you guys do and make it come out and balance.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I asked, I think when you started talking about it,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like you guys do and make it come out and balance. I take down off the budget that you have the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I asked, I think when you started talking about it, you said like the first year you wanted to take
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like you guys do and make it come out and balance. I take down off the budget that you have the expenditures that you list, and then I take your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I asked, I think when you started talking about it, you said like the first year you wanted to take
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like you guys do and make it come out and balance. I take down off the budget that you have the expenditures that you list, and I add them together.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I asked, I think when you started talking about it, you said like the first year you wanted to take 800 and some foot and fill it in with a lot of fill dirt and everything, and then the following
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like you guys do and make it come out and balance. I take down off the budget that you have the expenditures that you list, and then I take your income that you list, and I add them together. And that's leaving the ad valorem tax out, that's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things — which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I asked, I think when you started talking about it, you said like the first year you wanted to take 800 and some foot and fill it in with a lot of fill dirt and everything, and then the following year, pave it for the extended runway and the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	for high tide or low tide. So, I'm not saying that needs to be done this year or next year, but I would like to see it remain on the list and try to be a higher priority. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Weaver. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. I've got a opinion to give Mr. Rose on a question he asked. And it's only that, and I want you to understand it has nothing to do with any of you people. But the way this airport is structured, because of the state legislature, you know, making it up years and years ago, this airport will never, under its current way it's being operated, ever pay for itself. Now, you can sit there, any of you, and think that it will, but every year I get your budget, I go through I don't go through like you guys do and make it come out and balance. I take down off the budget that you have the expenditures that you list, and I add them together.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	airport and the income, you're always a couple of hundred to \$240,000 short. You're spending that much more. And as long as this airport is doing things which I'm not saying you shouldn't in some cases, but as long as you're doing things that absolutely brings no money in, revenue to you guys, it's never going to pay for itself and you're always going to need taxes to subsidize it. Now, that having been said, I need a couple of questions here of Ed. On that south end that Mr. Harvey was talking about, is any of that area, not the Intracoastal, but is any of that small area affected by tide? MR. WUELLNER: All of it. MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I mean, the reason I asked, I think when you started talking about it, you said like the first year you wanted to take 800 and some foot and fill it in with a lot of fill dirt and everything, and then the following

	Airport Authority - February 28, 2000				
	Page 93		Page 94		
	[1]	what we're talking about, other than	[1]	couldn't bid on certain projects.	
	[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: But I thought you said in the	[2]	And there was some talk about extending that	
	[3]	second year or so, you wanted to eventually pave	[3]	runway. And I kind of agreed with the idea, but	
	[4]	it.	[4]	because of the the ecology and the problems	
	[5]	MR. WUELLNER: No. No, we're not paving it.	[5]	you might run into of getting into that area and	
	[6]	It would just be create an earth berm, for the	[6]	ruining the I don't guess you could fish in	
	[7]	lack of better terms.	[7]	there, but the shellfish and things I wondered	
	[8]	MR. CIRIELLO: All right. Okay. Then you	[8]	why you couldn't extend the runway with like a	
	[9]	say there was there are tides affect it.	[9]	bridge or pylons, you know, just put some pylons	
	[10]	Wouldn't it wouldn't they eventually be washed	[10]	in, extend the runway, and then the water and	
	[11]	out like the beach, the dirt?	[11]	that could still go underneath.	
	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: That's a part of what we go	[12]	Of course, the first few years it'd probably	
l	[13]	through in the environmental process, is to	[13]	be destroyed, but after that, the wildlife would	
	[14]	construct it such that that impact's minimized.	[14]	come back in. Why that couldn't be feasible for	
	[15]	That's one of the things in the design process.	[15]	extending that runway and rather than just piling	
	[16]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well, I think it was a few	[16]	up dirt to do something like that. I don't know	
	[17]	years ago. Time goes by so fast when you get to	[17]	how much difference the cost would be, but that's	
	[18]	be my age, it's hard to tell.	[18]	the thought that I was having there.	
- 1	[19]	But at one point, I know that when I worked	[19]	The main thing that I want to ask you guys	
- 1	[20]	at Grumman, that they used to, well, not really	[20]	is, I see in this, for the first five years,	
- 1	[21]	complain, but say that when they put out bids for	[21]	you're still getting \$500,000 for land	
- 1	[22]	contracts, that the government stipulates how	[22]	acquisition in Araquay Park and all, and then	
- 1	[23]	much area they need for runways. And at the	[23]	down here later, you still have Oak Grove quite a	
	[24]	time, Grumman was talking about an 8,000 foot	[24]	bit. Is that what's across the street, Oak	
- 1	[25]	runway, and if they didn't have that, they	[25]	Grove?	
İ					
Ī	Page 95		Page 96		
Ì	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir.	[1]	don't like it, and I never liked it ever since	
İ	[2]	MR. CIRIELLO: And you're still I know	[2]	I've lived up in Pennsylvania. So, it's nothing	
	[3]	that in the original Master Plan, that you was	[3]	personal against you guys.	
Ì	[4]	talking about an industrial park and it would be	[4]	But my main question is: When you borrow	
	[5]	over across U.S. 1, and that was with the idea	[5]	money or you get say this \$500,000 for land	
	[6]	the airport, when they come up with that plan,	[6]	acquisition, I know there's a formula, and I'm	
١	[7]	thinking that they was going to move U.S. 1 and	[7]	not sure if I remember it right. Do you have to	
	[8]	the railroad. And I I doubt if that will ever	[8]	pay back 75 percent of that, or 25 percent, I	
1	[9]	happen.	[9]	mean, and then you have a period of years to do	
	[10]	But are you still wanting to put that	[10]	that?	
	[11]	industrial park in there even with the road and	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: It it really depends on	
	[12]	the highway still there I mean, the railroad	[12]	how the grant is written with us. We have the	
ļ	[13]	and the highway still there?	[13]	option frequently of just doing a straight 50/50	
	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: The industrial park? I	[14]	grant with Florida DOT and there's no repayment	
1	[15]	believe that's been the direction the Board's	[15]	involved.	
	[16]	been heading.	[16]	Some of the earlier grants that this board	
	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: I mean, if if you're not	[17]	accepted were 75/25 grants from Florida DOT,	
	[18]	thinking that, why even think about allocating	[18]	which required our repayment of 25 percent of	
	[19]	all of these millions of dollars for getting the	[19]	the well, it ends up one-third of the original	
	[20]	property over there? But I'm not even in favor	[20]	grant is returned to the State of Florida ten	
	[21]	of getting Araquay Park if the people don't want	[21]	years later.	
Chamara	[22]	to sell it.	[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: The reason I ask, because I	
	[23]	I I'm dead-set against eminent domain,	[23]	know a few years before you people were all	
	[24]	period. I don't care if it's for the federal	[24]	involved, the Board had done that. And then it	
	[25]	government, state government, or you guys; I just	[25]	come to a time that they was drawing up on their	
				1	

***************************************	Airport Authority - February 28, 2000				
	Page 97		Page 98		
	[1]	deadline I think they got like a period of	[1]	didn't understand it already, that you do, that	
	[2]	years, two, three, or whatever, to pay it. And	[2]	years beyond the Master Plan development will	
	[3]	for a number of years, they didn't pay it, and	[3]	largely dictate what your capital program is.	
1	[4]	all of a sudden, they had to pay this large sum	[4]	It'll be identified as a part of that process in	
	[5]	of their 25 percent, and it messed up their	[5]	great detail.	
	[6]	budget.	[6]	And at that point, when you adopt a Master	
ı	[7]	(Mr. Rose leaves the room.)	[7]	Plan at some point, it will largely reflect what	
	[8]	MR. CIRIELLO: That's why I asked, if when	[8]	your capital development program's going to be	
	[9]	you borrow this money, if you have to pay back	[9]	over the 20 years after. So and it will be	
ı	[10]	the 25 percent which then means you have to	[10]	revisited several times before you get through	
	[11]	budget it.	[11]	that 20-year period,	
	[12]	And if you're going to do that for X number	[12]	It's just it's an item you're going to	
	[13]	of years, like you have the first five years in a	[13]	look at every five, seven years, somewhere along	
ı	[14]	row, then you have ten more years, this is more	[14]	that line, as to looking at your forecast and	
ļ	[15]	money that's going to come out of your pocket	[15]	development and continued use and interest in the	
	[16]	that's going to have to be paid back. And as	[16]	airport and taking a good fresh look at that time	
	[17]	long as you're paying out more than you're	[17]	as to what the development of the airport's	
- [[18]	bringing in, you'll never get off the ad valorem	[18]	projected to be for a 20-year time line. So,	
	[19]	tax. Thank you.	[19]	it's something that's constantly being revisited.	
	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.	[20]	And and while we have developed a capital	
	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: If I could have a second,	[21]	program based on the currently approved Master	
	[22]	The Airport Master Plan, we we have one in	[22]	Plan, which is a 1996 document, likely beyond the	
ı	[23]	here for about 2002/2003. See a line item there	[23]	year 2002/2003, it it will be based entirely	
	[24]	for the Master Plan.	[24]	on a document developed then, not the document	
] ;	[25]	And that it's important, that if you	[25]	that's out there.	
-	ti leanki savae neonatamb				
ı	Page 99		Page 100		
- I	[1]	So, the capital program with projects like	[1]	compiling the list of priorities on our project	
- 1		Mr. Ciriello's talking about, those projects will	[2]	list and giving it to each individual so that we	
	_	come in and out of the budget and in way out	[3]	can determine whether one individual is	
- 1		future years I say "budget," but in this	[4]	uncomfortable and he can notify me and we can	
- 1	-	program in future years, based on what the	[5]	call a meeting. Is there any other questions on	
- 1		general direction of the airport is as it's	[6]	that motion?	
		revisited every fifth year or every five to	[7]	(No questions.)	
- 1		seven years in the Master Plan update.	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will all in	
- 1	9]	So, don't I tend not to get awfully	[9]	favor of that motion of him making that list,	
- 1	•	concerned with what's out in the out, out	[10]	priority list, and sending it to each member, and	
- 1		years, because it's going to change, likely to	[11]	I have the determination of calling the board	
- 1	· -	change several times before you ever get to those	[12]	meeting if one person is uncomfortable, signify	
ŀ		years. And it will be much more in focus of what	[13]	by aye.	
	-	the needs are as you get out there. It's	[14]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	
- 1		impossible.	[15]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	
- 1	16]	I can't tell you a single airport in the	[16]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	
- 1	-	State of Florida that ever envisioned, you know,	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	
- 1	-	accurately what's going to happen in 20 years	[18]	(No opposition.)	
- 1	-	from the day they started the Master Plan. It just doesn't happen.	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, do the list, please. MR. WUELLNER: I I did have one more item	
	20] j 21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any more public comment on	[20] [21]	I need direction for.	
		capital improvement program?	[22]	Based on discussions I've had and maybe, if	
1	22] 23]	(No public comment.)	[23]	necessary, Don Ramdass is here with IS ICF	
ľ	24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We still have one motion on		Kaiser ICS ICF Kaiser, but we've recently	
- 1		the floor that hasn't been seconded, about Ed	[25]	been in contact with FAA regarding the tower, and	
1"	J	nie 1.001 tille illusii t open secolidetti about Ed	r~~1	attended with the regulating the tower, and	

	Page 101			Page 102	
	[1]	it appears they're through the determination of	[1]	design phase at least of the tower so that we	
	[2]	the benefit cost analysis that they go through	[2]	don't waste perhaps six or nine months just	
	[3]	determining whether it's eligible to be in the	[3]	sitting.	
	[4]	Contract Tower Program, and we did, by all means,	[4]	We can move through the design process. We	
	[5]	very well in that.	[5]	can come back and discuss construction if it	
ı	[6]	What we're up against right now is, the	[6]	weren't in place at that time. But rather than	
	[7]	holdup at the federal side and legislation tied	[7]	just stand here and mark time until that	
ı	[8]	to the AIP program, as a part of that process is	[8]	legislation passes, you know, it would be my	
ļ	[9]	holding, lack of better terms, the Contract Tower	[9]	intent to just to get the thing off of dead	
	[10]	Program hostage because of overall budget	[10]	center and get going toward the tower facility	
	[11]	implications of the Airport Improvement Program.	[11]		
	[12]	That's those of you that follow the	[12]	itself, the capital side of it, versus the	
	[13]	•		operating costs, which I'm very comfortable is	
	[14]	details of that, it's in conference in the House	[13]	going to work itself out. It's just a matter	
ļ		and Senate right now, is likely to indications	[14]	of it may be next month; it may be six months.	
1	[15]	this afternoon even were that they may resolve	[15]	But in any case, we won't be ready for it,	
	[16]	this in early March, the way it's heading. But	[16]	anyway, from a physical side.	
- 1	[17]	in any case, it's it's tied up in that.	[17]	I think we're looking you know, we're	
	[18]	(Mr. Rose reenters the room.)	[18]	looking at 12 to 18 months for operation of it,	
- 1	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: While we're eligible and are	[19]	being even in a position to operate it. And I'm	
		likely to have it funded, it's somewhat	[20]	quite comfortable we have some decisions that are	
- 1		contingent on that piece of legislation for the	[21]	made long before then as to the cost of operating	
- 1		operating side only.	[22]	it. So, I throw that out for your consideration.	
	[23]	What I'd like you to consider is perhaps	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any comment?	
		letting us move ahead with the development the	[24]	Mr. Lassiter?	
ĺ	[25]	advertisements, solicitation for firms to do the	[25]	MR. LASSITER: Are you just asking us to	
ŀ	Page 103		Page 104		
- 1		authorize you to proceed with the RFPs and the	[1]	that point. And that's a call you can make	
	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: Correct.	[2]	later.	
- 1	[3]	MR. LASSITER: the paperwork and all	[3]	MR. LASSITER: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to	
		mit, Emborretti ino papornom and an			
	r .a	that?		·	
	[5]	that? MR. WIELLNER: You would have to go through	[4]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the	
- 1	[5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through	[4] [5]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other	
	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm.	[4] [5] [6]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point.	
	[6] [7]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away	[4] [5] [6] [7]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	
	[6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it.	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second?	
	[6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise,	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise,	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion?	
THE STATE OF THE S	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.)	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment?	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work,	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.)	
	[6] [7] [8] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it.	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the funding coming from the operating side.	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the funding coming from the operating side. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	
The state of the s	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the funding coming from the operating side. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: And to go as far as until we	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [18] [19	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the funding coming from the operating side. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: And to go as far as until we can pull the plug up until that point.	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the funding coming from the operating side. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: And to go as far as until we can pull the plug up until that point. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. At the point you	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have okayed Ed to go ahead.	
	[6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: You would have to go through the normal solicitation process to select a firm. I mean, we're conservatively 60 to 90 days away from spending a penny on it. It's we've got to go out, advertise, bring those firms together, either the proposals they'll be you'll get copies of all those. You'll meet and make a decision as to what firms you want to interview for that work, eventually making a decision on a firm to move ahead with design and the like and then finally to a contract point where you're finally ready to spend the first penny on it. MR. LASSITER: All of this contingent on the funding coming from the operating side. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: And to go as far as until we can pull the plug up until that point.	[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	make a motion to authorize Ed to proceed with the necessary work for the RFP and the other paperwork required to bring this to that point. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. And a second? MR. WATTS: I second that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any discussion? (No discussion.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment? (No public comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All in favor of allowing Ed to go ahead with the projects, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	

***************************************		All port Authority - 1.	corumy,		
	Page	e 105	Page 106		
	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Right.	[1]	(No public comment.)	
	[2]	MR. WUELLNER: also have to go through	[2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, our next meeting	
	[3]	general consultant's selection over the next 90	[3]	will be March 20th at 4 p.m., unless we call a	
	[4]	days. So, we can put that on the we'll put	[4]	special. No other comment?	
	[5]	that on the street for you, too, and you're going	[5]	(No further comment.)	
	[6]	to have to deal with that. A lot of reading.	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We are adjourned.	
	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? Any other	[7]	(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at 5:51 p.m.)	
	[8]	comments or anything to report?	[8]	(managed) are meeting adjourned at 515.7 p.m.)	
	[9]	6.B MR. ROSE	[9]		-
	[10]	MR. ROSE: I have no comment.	[10]		١
	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[11]		Î
	[12]	6.C MR. TAYLOR	[12]		į
	[13]	MR. TAYLOR: No comment,	[13]		
	[14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[14]		
	[15]	6.D MR. LASSITER	[15]		-
	[16]	MR. LASSITER: I have none.	[16]		۱
	[17]	6.E MR. WATTS	[17]		
	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?	[18]		ı
	[19]	MR. WATTS: None at this time,	[19]		١
	[20]	6.A CHAIRMAN DAVIS	[20]		
	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have one. I want to			
	[22]	thank you ladies and gentlemen. This is a first	[21]		
	[23]	for us in the last three years; our meeting ran	[22] [23]		
	[24]	two hours instead of one. Is there any public	[24]		
ı	[25]	comment on any subject?	[25]		OTHER DESIGNATION OF THE PERSO
	[20]	comment on any subject:	[2]		Ĭ
- 1			,		
	Page	107			_
	Page				
	[1]	107 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
	[1] [2]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
	[1] [2] [3]				
The second secon	[1] [2] [3]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA)			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)			
•	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA)			
Oliver	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the			
Chicath	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true			
Chicking	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true			
CHECKET	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000.			
Competer and the second	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000.			
CHERTIN	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [11] [12] [13] [14]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
Curtain 1986 - Addition - Additio	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000.			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
CHARLES THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
Control of the Contro	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [7] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS) I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 6th day of March, 2000. JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710			

```
24th 3/22, 4/2, 4/9, 4/17, 28/8, 35/10
                                                                                                                          accepted 4/17, 5/20, 6/12, 26/24, 41/7, 50/8,
                             #
                                                             25 96/8, 96/18, 97/5, 97/10
                                                                                                                          52/10, 96/17
                                                             26 2/10
                                                                                                                         accepting 5/10, 6/2, 26/14, 40/23, 49/23, 51/25 access 64/11, 64/16, 68/6, 89/17
 #5 56/25
                                                             28 1/5, 3/5
 #6 57/14
                                                                                                                         accommodating 66/2
                                                                                                                         accomplish 58/21
                                                                                         3
                             $
                                                                                                                         accomplished 48/1, 89/23
                                                                                                                         accord 39/6
                                                             3 2/3, 2/4
3,950 43/14
 $-707 25/6
                                                                                                                         accurately 76/16, 99/18
$1,800 37/14
$10,500 45/9
                                                                                                                         achieved 10/14
                                                             30 38/25
                                                             30th 28/14, 35/11, 36/11, 36/12, 79/10
                                                                                                                         acquired 27/23
 $2.5 60/13
                                                                                                                         acquisition 58/1, 62/21, 64/22, 94/22, 96/6
                                                             31 59/2, 60/12, 61/4
31st 4/22, 5/5, 5/11, 5/20, 5/22, 6/3, 6/13, 28/10
 $200,000 51/2
                                                                                                                         acres 64/15
 $240,000 92/3
                                                             32084 1/18, 1/23
32295 36/5
                                                                                                                         act 76/16
$3,500 37/14
                                                                                                                         acted 19/16, 19/17, 54/5, 54/6
$4 55/10, 65/18
$500,000 94/21, 96/5
                                                                                                                         ACTION 2/9, 24/11, 28/19, 56/12, 63/17, 78/14,
                                                                                                                         81/20
$59,702 25/5
$59,702.62 24/23
                                                                                        4
                                                                                                                         add 70/7, 79/6, 91/22
                                                                                                                         addendums 42/17
 $59,707.62 25/9
                                                               2/4, 2/5, 78/8, 106/3
                                                                                                                         adding 69/14
 $7 72/5
                                                             4.A 6/15
                                                                                                                         address 62/7
                                                            4.B 6/20
4.C 7/10
$7.5 55/18
                                                                                                                         adequate 62/6
$79 65/14
                                                                                                                         adjourned 106/6, 106/7
                                                            4.D 7/16
4.E 24/9
 $8 65/19
                                                                                                                         ADJOURNMENT 2/18
$900,000 51/4
                                                                                                                         adjust 68/19, 83/11
                                                             40 64/12
                                                                                                                         adjustable 47/5
                                                             400 6/22
                                                                                                                         adjustment 44/22, 47/10
                            &
                                                             41 2/11
                                                                                                                         administration 10/22, 12/21, 13/3, 13/8, 13/10,
                                                             4796 1/3
4:00 1/6
& 1/17
                                                                                                                         13/20, 52/25
                                                                                                                         adopt 78/25, 98/6
                                                                                                                         adopted 77/20, 79/4
                                                                                                                         Adoption 2/11, 50/10, 51/17
                                                                                        5
                                                                                                                         advance 27/16, 28/6, 28/10
(Mr. Rose 97/7, 101/18
                                                             5 2/9, 36/5
                                                                                                                         advertise 103/9
                                                            5-D 41/7
5.A 24/12, 26/24
                                                                                                                         advertisements 101/25
                            *
                                                                                                                         advised 7/7
                                                            5.B 26/25
5.C 41/9, 50/8
                                                                                                                         Aero 2/6, 2/11, 6/20, 7/12, 41/9, 41/13, 41/21,
* 1/9, 1/15, 1/21
                                                                                                                         42/5, 42/14, 42/16, 44/22, 46/5, 47/19, 48/4, 48/12
                                                             5.D 27/1, 50/10
                                                                                                                         affect 93/9
                                                             5.E 52/16
                            1
                                                                                                                        affected 92/16
                                                             50 2/11
                                                                                                                        affects 41/23, 66/17
  1/3, 74/15, 95/5, 95/7
                                                             50/50 96/13
                                                                                                                        afternoon 3/2, 101/15
10,000 43/12
                                                             52 2/12
                                                                                                                        afterwards 35/16
10-year 44/6
                                                            5706 36/5
                                                                                                                        age 93/18
100 45/3, 50/22
105 2/14, 2/15, 2/16
                                                                                                                        agencies 87/18, 88/5
agency 77/3
                                                            5:50 1/6
                                                            5:51 106/7
106 2/17, 2/18
                                                            5th 6/25
                                                                                                                        agenda 3/10, 7/20
12 77/9, 102/18
                                                                                                                        agree 10/10, 15/3, 20/8, 22/25, 34/20, 34/25,
12-unit 62/19
                                                                                                                        36/13, 38/24, 39/3, 39/16, 43/18, 45/21, 45/23,
                                                                                        6
12th 8/2, 9/15
                                                                                                                        45/24, 46/6, 84/24
13 42/2
                                                                                                                        agreed 28/1, 28/18, 46/23, 50/23, 66/19, 85/4, 94/3
                                                            6 2/6, 2/13
13/31 58/14
                                                                                                                        agreeing 46/16, 79/8
                                                            6.A 105/20
139 60/23
13th 80/12, 81/17
                                                                                                                        agreement 27/7, 27/9, 29/7, 29/8, 29/12, 30/17, 31/4, 32/9, 32/11, 40/8, 40/11, 42/4, 42/5, 42/15,
                                                            6.B 105/9
                                                            6.C
                                                                  105/12
14 64/15
15-year 27/9, 27/13
                                                                                                                        42/18, 42/19, 42/21, 43/3, 43/7, 44/1, 44/7, 44/10, 46/11, 46/15, 48/24, 49/13, 50/13, 50/14, 57/1,
                                                            6.D 105/15
                                                            6.E 105/17
1510 1/22
15th 74/19, 78/4, 78/7
                                                                                                                        61/17, 84/18, 84/20
                                                            60 103/7
                                                            6th 107/11
                                                                                                                        agreements 42/16, 50/15
17 37/18, 38/20, 43/25
                                                                                                                        agrees 43/3
170 1/17
                                                                                                                        Agriculture 63/9
18 29/16, 33/10, 43/25, 64/15, 102/18
                                                                                        7
                                                                                                                        AIP 101/8
180 27/16, 28/6, 28/10
                                                                                                                        Aircraft 58/3, 58/5, 61/25, 64/4
                                                              2/7, 2/17, 55/17
1978 43/1
                                                                                                                        aircraft-related 61/12
                                                            75 96/8
1984 27/5
                                                                                                                        airfield 16/13, 64/24
                                                            75/25 96/17
1985
                                                                                                                        airfreight 6/24
1995 16/3
                                                                                                                        airplane 90/20
1996 98/22
                                                                                                                        airplanes 90/25
AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 3/4, 8/21, 9/5, 9/6,
                                                                                       8
1999 4/22, 5/6, 5/12, 28/9, 35/24
1st 28/15
                                                              2/18, 38/18, 38/19
                                                                                                                        9/8, 9/9, 9/11, 10/14, 10/19, 10/23, 11/6, 11/11,
                                                            8,000 61/3, 93/24
                                                                                                                        11/13, 14/15, 14/17, 14/18, 15/13, 15/22, 15/25,
                                                            800 59/5, 59/13, 86/24, 92/21
825-0570 1/23
                                                                                                                        16/1, 16/2, 16/6, 16/11, 18/22, 19/8, 20/2, 24/3, 27/6, 27/20, 28/8, 41/13, 42/3, 45/9, 51/9, 52/21,
                            2
                                                            85 31/3, 31/6, 37/20, 38/15, 38/22, 39/3, 39/8,
2 2/3, 54/25
2,000 58/19
                                                                                                                        53/1, 53/21, 54/16, 58/8, 61/20, 61/23, 63/20, 64/3,
                                                            39/9, 39/13, 39/17
                                                                                                                        64/20, 65/1, 70/14, 91/11, 91/13, 92/2, 92/5, 95/6,
20 15/5, 15/25, 65/13, 65/15, 98/9, 99/18
                                                                                                                        97/22, 98/16, 99/6, 99/16, 101/11
20-year 44/3, 98/11, 98/18
2000 1/5, 3/5, 3/22, 4/9, 6/3, 6/13, 9/16, 107/11
                                                                                                                        airport's 98/17
                                                                                       9
                                                                                                                        airports 53/22, 77/8
                                                                                                                        Allen 8/11
2000-01 2/11, 50/10, 51/7, 51/13, 51/18, 52/1,
                                                            90 103/7, 105/3
                                                            904 1/23
                                                                                                                        allocating 79/7, 95/18
2000/2001 56/6, 68/24, 78/24, 81/23
2001 68/25, 69/1, 79/10, 79/12, 83/12
                                                                                                                        allow 75/4
                                                                                                                        allowed 31/5
                                                                                       A
                                                                                                                        allowing 46/19, 104/14
allows 44/22, 65/1
2001/2002 60/6
2002 79/13
                                                            A.A.E 1/19
2002/2003 97/23, 98/23
                                                                                                                        alternative 13/21
                                                            ability 55/21, 66/18, 76/3, 76/14, 84/1
                                                                                                                        alters 75/6
2005 35/23
                                                            absolute 66/25
20th 75/25, 76/1, 78/13, 106/3
                                                                                                                        amenable 21/25
                                                            accept 5/21, 26/3, 26/5, 36/21, 36/24, 40/20, 49/7,
22nd 74/18, 75/24
                                                                                                                        amending 82/1
                                                            51/16, 51/19, 73/8
acceptable 73/7
                                                                                                                        amendments 77/24, 79/12
24 2/8, 2/10
                                                                                                                        amount 44/17
24-month 29/17
                                                            ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 4/18, 4/21, 5/5
```

analysis 101/2 angle 63/13 announce 6/21 annual 44/21, 65/17, 65/23, 77/18 annually 77/20 answer 9/21 anticipated 29/18 AOPĀ 32/3, 32/4 append 89/12 application 72/15 apply 76/8 appraisal 37/15, 39/22 appraise 37/22 appraised 27/25, 32/21, 37/19, 38/7, 39/10, 39/14, 39/17, 44/24 appraiser 39/1 appreciate 68/4 approach 46/25 approached 50/20 appropriate 12/8, 13/19, 14/23, 16/18, 17/3, 17/20, 18/9, 18/10, 19/13, 20/5, 21/14, 21/20, 21/21, 21/22, 23/20, 28/20, 28/21, 29/8, 30/5, 30/6, 38/23, 72/23, 73/9 appropriately 9/12, 17/5, 19/9 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/19, 3/21, 49/14, 49/15 approve 4/1, 26/6, 48/11, 48/18, 51/7 approved 98/21 approving 4/8 April 27/13, 30/10, 78/7 apron 42/22 aprons 25/22 Araquay 58/1, 62/21, 64/22, 94/22, 95/21 area 11/24, 16/17, 24/17, 29/15, 41/15, 41/23, 42/3, 42/8, 43/7, 43/14, 43/20, 45/9, 50/16, 55/6, 57/15, 58/1, 58/5, 58/23, 59/2, 59/9, 59/15, 59/16, 59/24, 60/3, 60/8, 60/11, 60/13, 60/15, 60/23, 62/1, 62/14, 62/24, 63/21, 63/24, 64/19, 65/7, 86/4, 90/1, 92/15, 92/16, 93/23, 94/5 areas 60/3 ARFF 61/7 argument 88/8 arrangement 33/5 arrival 6/22 arrivals 62/1 arriving 6/24 Article 33/10, 38/20, 40/3 ascribe 42/9 aspect 60/1 assistance 58/21, 67/24, 69/3 Assistant 1/20 associated 44/15, 88/7 Association 7/15, 7/16, 7/19, 7/24, 8/3, 9/3, 9/8 assurances 33/19 Atlanta 6/24, 7/25 attach 89/11 attached 18/2 attachments 79/15 attempt 56/3 attempting 58/25, 74/20 attention 24/20, 53/18 Attorney 1/18, 2/8, 39/8, 87/13 Augustine-St 3/3, 14/18
AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 2/13, 3/4, 9/11, 11/6, 11/8, 2/13, 3/4, 9/11, 11/6, 2/16, 11/9, 11/13, 19/8, 24/25, 27/6, 27/16, 27/20, 28/8, 29/10, 31/5, 33/20, 41/13, 42/4, 45/9, 46/19, 48/11, 48/17, 54/16, 55/16, 56/13, 72/20, 75/4, 84/21 authorize 103/1, 104/4 authorized 107/7 Automated 52/22 availability 56/18 available 51/4, 55/8, 56/12, 61/3, 63/8, 63/10, 77/25 Avenue 29/22, 56/10 avenues 36/15 average 46/23 aviation 7/6, 8/12, 9/6, 9/13, 15/17, 17/7, 33/22, 52/24, 54/25, 61/12, 63/5 aviation-related 8/15 aviators 8/11 aye 4/9, 4/10, 4/11, 4/12, 4/13, 4/14, 5/12, 5/13, 5/14, 5/15, 5/16, 5/17, 6/4, 6/5, 6/6, 6/7, 6/8, 6/9, 18/11, 20/25, 22/8, 22/9, 22/10, 22/11, 22/12, 22/13, 16/11, 20/25, 22/6, 22/7, 22/11, 22/11, 22/12, 22/1

104/16, 104/17, 104/18, 104/19, 104/20

В

B-1 61/5 bad 30/11, 30/12 Bailey 1/17 balance 91/19 ball 68/10 banks 76/7 bar 35/15, 35/25, 36/3, 36/5, 36/8, 36/11 BARTIN 1/17, 2/8 base 8/22, 47/9, 47/15, 53/19, 54/17 based 31/12, 65/10, 66/21, 66/25, 98/21, 98/23, 99/5, 100/22 baseline 47/2, 47/11 basis 65/17, 65/23, 68/20, 72/23, 74/5, 77/18 beach 93/11 BEASON 107/6 begging 80/4 believe's 29/5 benefit 101/2 berm 93/6 bid 94/1 bids 93/21 big 14/15 biggest 68/12 BILL 1/12, 2/14, 27/10 bit 20/9, 94/24 blank 69/23 blues 84/7 Blvd 1/22 BOARD 1/10, 7/18, 11/18, 12/4, 14/8, 22/1, 27/23, 29/13, 41/17, 47/5, 51/7, 52/18, 53/14, 57/6, 63/16, 69/22, 80/9, 81/17, 81/20, 82/12, 82/17, 82/20, 83/23, 89/25, 90/15, 96/16, 96/24, 100/11 board's 24/22, 95/15 bolster 61/2 borrow 96/4, 97/9 bottom 35/25, 36/3 box 34/24, 35/3, 35/20 brainstorming 53/3 break 66/13 breaking 65/16 bridge 94/9 brief 3/6 bring 8/15, 22/19, 22/23, 27/3, 44/16, 46/3, 69/4, 82/8, 90/11, 103/10, 104/6 bringing 84/8, 97/18 brings 42/20, 68/24, 92/8 broken 53/6 bronze 17/3, 18/2, 21/7 brought 3/11, 10/9, 11/7, 11/23, 32/15, 41/17 BRYAN 1/20, 80/23, 80/24 BRYANT 1/13, 2/6, 6/15 bucks 25/13 buddies 20/15 budget 52/19, 54/18, 56/22, 56/23, 66/17, 68/15, 72/24, 72/25, 91/18, 91/20, 97/6, 97/11, 99/3, 99/4, 101/10 budgeted 56/20 budgetting 66/1 building 10/22, 11/25, 12/9, 12/12, 12/13, 12/15, 12/20, 12/21, 12/23, 12/25, 13/4, 13/6, 13/9, 13/11, 13/14, 13/17, 13/20, 13/23, 14/1, 14/3, 14/4, 14/7, 14/21, 15/5, 15/6, 15/12, 30/14, 30/21, 43/10, 43/17, 46/8, 50/23, 57/17, 57/20 building's 13/24 buildings 11/24, 27/24, 29/25, 42/1, 44/16, 45/19, built 43/13, 43/19, 57/13, 76/25 bulk 45/25, 46/1 business 3/7, 3/17, 3/20, 4/20

C

Cadillac 72/11
cajole 81/24
call 3/5, 13/7, 46/1, 75/7, 78/7, 78/18, 85/1, 85/7, 85/24, 100/5, 104/1, 106/3
came 39/11, 62/11
canal 87/5
cancel 33/11
candidate 54/3
Capital 2/12, 52/16, 52/20, 52/23, 52/25, 53/9, 54/13, 54/18, 55/16, 62/11, 65/12, 65/17, 98/3, 98/8, 98/20, 99/1, 99/22, 102/11
care 95/24

carried 8/22 carrier 22/24 case 34/11, 62/9, 66/25, 101/17, 102/15 case-by-case 72/22 cases 92/7 cent 47/6 center 102/10 cents 47/6 cents-per-gallon 46/25, 47/3, 47/4 ceremony 23/16 CERTIFICATE 107/1 certified 27/20, 30/23, 35/6 certify 107/6 Certify 107/6
Chairman 1/11, 3/2, 3/20, 3/25, 4/4, 4/7, 4/14, 4/16, 4/19, 4/25, 5/1, 5/4, 5/9, 5/17, 5/19, 5/23, 6/1, 6/9, 6/11, 6/17, 6/19, 7/8, 7/12, 7/14, 7/21, 8/8, 9/20, 9/25, 10/3, 10/6, 11/19, 12/6, 12/22, 13/10, 9/20, 9/25, 10/5, 10/6, 11/15, 12/0, 12/23, 12/37, 13/25, 14/12, 15/2, 16/21, 16/24, 17/9, 17/12, 17/17, 17/24, 18/5, 18/8, 18/10, 18/13, 19/22, 20/14, 20/21, 21/7, 21/10, 21/19, 21/24, 22/4, 22/7, 22/13, 22/15, 22/18, 23/12, 23/24, 24/2, 24/8, 24/11, 25/1, 25/3, 27/12, 27/12, 27/12, 27/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/8, 24/11, 25/13, 24/21, 24/2 22/18, 23/12, 23/24, 24/2, 24/8, 24/11, 25/1, 25/3, 25/16, 25/24, 26/2, 26/8, 26/11, 26/14, 26/21, 26/23, 26/23, 31/1, 31/15, 33/6, 33/8, 34/17, 34/19, 35/9, 36/18, 36/20, 37/1, 37/3, 38/12, 38/18, 39/5, 40/15, 40/16, 40/19, 40/22, 41/4, 41/6, 48/20, 48/23, 49/3, 49/6, 49/16, 49/19, 49/22, 50/5, 50/7, 51/10, 51/12, 51/15, 51/22, 51/25, 52/7, 52/9, 66/3, 66/6, 66/11, 67/19, 68/11, 70/8, 73/5, 73/12, 73/17, 73/21, 73/23, 74/13, 76/5, 79/20, 80/18, 80/21, 80/24, 81/14, 82/6, 82/23, 84/9, 84/22, 85/4, 85/17, 85/17, 85/11, 86/1. 141.5, 70:5, 79/20, 80/18, 80/21, 80/24, 81/14, 82/6 82/23, 84/9, 84/22, 85/4, 85/13, 85/17, 85/21, 86/1, 86/12, 86/16, 86/19, 87/23, 87/24, 88/17, 88/20, 91/5, 97/20, 99/21, 99/24, 100/8, 100/17, 100/19, 102/23, 104/7, 104/10, 104/12, 104/14, 104/20, 104/22, 105/1, 105/7, 105/11, 105/14, 105/18, 105/20, 105/21, 106/6 Chairman's 18/15 Chairman's 18/16 chambers 3/12 chance 72/4, 78/13, 82/20 change 15/22, 16/2, 22/3, 34/23, 44/24, 46/17, 99/11, 99/12 changes 69/16 changing 44/9 charge 66/20 CHARLES 1/12, 1/13 Charlie 20/15 chasing 16/2 chipping 71/6 chips 70/17 choice 70/6 choose 85/2 CINDY 1/17, 38/14 circumstances 3/11 Ciriello 91/7 clauses 33/22 clear 32/24, 56/4, 84/13, 86/7 clearing 60/2 clears 42/23 close 53/17, 76/21 Club 64/14 code 36/4 codifies 42/19 coding 35/15, 35/25, 36/3, 36/5, 36/8, 36/11 combination 54/3 combined 55/16 comfort 18/24, 20/4, 74/3 comfortable 37/7, 37/18, 82/21, 90/6, 102/12, 102/20 COMMENT 2/17, 10/21, 11/1, 25/16, 25/25, 26/1, 31/15, 36/18, 36/19, 49/4, 49/5, 49/20, 51/10, 51/11, 51/12, 51/14, 80/18, 80/20, 81/1, 86/18, 86/19, 86/20, 99/21, 99/23, 102/23, 104/12, 104/13, 105/10, 105/13, 105/25, 106/1, 106/4, 106/5 comments 10/3, 19/22, 48/21, 49/21, 66/7, 75/13, Commission 3/10, 3/14 Commissioner 2/6, 6/15 Commissioner/Airport 1/13 committed 77/11 committing 77/2 communicate 86/8 communicated 28/23 community 8/20, 15/17, 16/11, 16/15, 17/8, 19/25, 20/1, 20/4, 20/6, 20/11, 23/8 community's 77/1 compiling 100/1 complain 93/21 complain 85/23, 86/6 complete 45/2 completed 24/17

CARL 1/11, 2/14

Complex 12/14, 13/3, 15/8, 16/17, 17/1, 17/2, 17/14, 17/15, 17/22, 20/23, 21/12, 21/13 compliance 30/14, 45/3 complicated 27/2, 71/10, 90/5 conceptual 41/19 concern 20/19, 73/1, 89/25, 90/5 concerned 80/1, 99/10 concerns 29/14, 75/5 concessions 42/13 concur 41/11 conduct 3/18 conference 101/13 conforming 52/18 confusing 71/10 confusion 84/15 congenial 18/20 connect 59/1 connected 12/23 Cons 2/10, 24/12 consensus 11/21 conservatively 103/7 consideration 31/19, 73/10, 77/16, 102/22 considerations 41/25 consistent 24/22, 27/21, 72/14 constitutes 84/25 constrained 64/2 construct 58/18, 93/14 constructed 43/11, 43/17, 43/21, 45/11 construction 24/14, 24/15, 24/18, 41/16, 56/24, 57/23, 58/12, 58/16, 60/10, 60/14, 60/16, 61/10, 63/1, 89/22, 89/25, 90/8, 102/5 consultant's 105/3 consulting 28/16 contact 3/15, 32/3, 32/6, 75/16, 85/6, 100/25 contacted 28/15 containing 42/1 contest 36/1 contingent 101/21, 103/18 continuation 59/5, 60/5 continue 33/5, 34/9, 34/10, 90/15 continued 29/21, 84/11, 98/15 continues 8/22 contract 26/15, 61/18, 101/4, 101/9, 103/16 contracts 93/22 contributions 9/13, 16/10 conventional 46/1 conversation 32/25 COOPER 1/20 copies 9/19, 103/11 copy 53/23 Corporate 56/25, 57/4, 57/15, 65/6 corporate-type 43/19 correct 11/3, 17/17, 30/24, 30/25, 35/7, 35/8, 52/13, 70/15, 71/8, 83/22, 87/21, 89/2, 103/2 cost 46/22, 46/24, 66/12, 94/17, 101/2, 102/21 costs 47/17, 102/12 counsel 37/7 COUNTY 1/1, 1/13, 2/6, 3/4, 3/9, 8/16, 9/14, 14/18, 61/17, 107/4 couple 28/24, 31/18, 37/13, 55/22, 75/10, 92/2, course 28/18, 29/16, 31/8, 39/19, 94/12 Court 1/22 CPI 44/21, 44/25 create 40/11, 93/6 creating 42/18, 45/4 credit 44/13 creek 87/11 critical 79/23 crossed 48/16 crosses 87/5 CRR 107/6 crystal 68/10 cumulative 44/24 current-year 67/7 currently 27/25, 46/8, 53/25, 59/23, 63/22, 98/21 cursory 61/15 cut 90/21 cycle 69/15

n

D-5 2/10, 26/25, 36/23, 36/25, 62/17 daily 92/1 damage 80/10 dangerous 90/24 date 3/16, 12/10, 23/15, 27/17, 27/24, 28/8, 28/9, 29/22, 33/5, 35/17, 35/24, 36/6, 36/8, 36/10, 44/3, 45/15, 45/16, 46/13, 54/1

dated 35/10, 35/11, 107/11 dating 27/5
DAVIS 1/11, 2/14, 3/2, 3/20, 3/25, 4/4, 4/7, 4/14, 4/16, 4/19, 5/1, 5/4, 5/9, 5/17, 5/19, 5/23, 6/1, 6/9, 6/11, 6/17, 6/19, 7/8, 7/12, 7/14, 7/21, 8/8, 9/20, 9/25, 10/3, 10/6, 12/6, 12/22, 13/10, 13/25, 14/12, 15/2, 16/21, 16/24, 17/9, 17/12, 17/17, 17/24, 18/5, 18/8, 18/10, 18/13, 19/22, 20/14, 20/21, 21/7, 21/10, 21/19, 21/24, 22/4, 22/7, 22/13, 22/15, 22/18, 23/12, 23/24, 24/2, 24/8, 24/11, 25/1, 25/3, 25/16, 25/24, 26/2, 26/8, 26/11, 26/14, 26/21, 26/23, 30/22, 31/1, 31/15, 33/6, 33/8, 34/17, 34/19, 35/9, 36/18, 36/20, 37/1, 37/3, 38/12, 38/18, 39/5, 40/16, 40/19, 40/22, 41/4, 41/6, 48/20, 48/23, 49/3, 49/6, 49/16, 49/19, 49/22, 50/5, 50/7, 51/10, 51/12, 51/15, 51/22, 51/25, 52/7, 52/9, 66/3, 66/6, 66/11, 67/19, 68/11, 70/8, 73/5, 73/12, 73/17, 73/21, 73/23, 74/13, 76/5, 79/20, 80/18, 80/21, 80/24, 81/14, 82/6, 82/23, 84/9, 85/4, 85/13, 85/17, 85/21, 86/1, 86/12, 86/16, 86/19, 87/24, 88/17, 88/20, 91/5, 97/20, 99/21, 99/24, 100/8, 100/17, 100/19, 102/23, 104/7, 104/10, 104/12, 104/14, 104/20, 104/22, 105/1, 105/7, 105/11, 105/14, 105/18, 105/20, 105/21, 106/2, 106/6 day 8/2, 9/15, 28/15, 71/11, 99/19, 107/11 days 27/16, 28/6, 38/25, 75/10, 79/2, 82/11, 85/9, 103/7, 105/4 days' 28/10 de 1/22 dead 102/9 dead-set 95/23 deadline 78/4, 78/15, 83/6, 97/1 deadlines 84/2 deal 27/2, 48/5, 64/13, 69/25, 105/6 dealing 35/14, 78/10 deals 24/15 death 40/10 debate 16/12 December 4/22, 5/5, 5/11, 5/20, 6/13, 28/15 decent 60/25 decide 30/21, 77/9, 82/18, 84/12, 84/14 decided 78/19, 78/20 decision 29/13, 103/12, 103/14 decisions 53/20, 102/20 decisiveness 80/8 decline 55/13 decorate 14/5 dedicate 12/1 dedicated 12/10 deducting 47/17 deed 33/18 defend 19/3 degree 55/14, 74/3 delay 78/13 delayed 19/15 delays 72/16 delete 78/8 deliver 83/8 delivered 28/19 demo 7/5 Dennis 15/4 deny 30/16, 36/22, 40/7 Department 63/9 departures 62/2 depend 71/1 depends 82/3, 96/11 describe 39/19 described 47/24, 60/9 description 56/5 design 13/17, 57/10, 93/15, 102/1, 102/4, 103/15 designate 17/1, 22/24 designated 9/10, 11/19, 19/7, 22/8 designating 20/25 designed 54/20 desire 34/9, 103/25 desires 9/3, 54/16 destroyed 44/14, 94/13 detail 65/24, 98/5 details 23/13, 41/22, 48/13, 70/4, 87/15, 101/13 determination 45/5, 100/11, 101/1 determine 88/1, 100/3 determining 40/9, 46/18, 101/3 develop 61/24, 72/10, 75/9 developed 41/12, 53/12, 54/12, 98/20, 98/24 developing 52/22, 58/4, 62/6, 89/19 development 8/16, 29/21, 41/20, 45/16, 48/8, 50/21, 51/5, 52/16, 52/21, 56/17, 57/15, 63/11, 63/19, 65/8, 65/13, 67/17, 72/13, 98/2, 98/8, 98/15,

Dick 88/22 dictate 98/3 difference 94/17 difficult 42/6 diluted 19/15 dimensions 59/22 diminished 55/22 direct 73/8 direction 14/16, 27/22, 30/7, 40/12, 55/7, 66/1, 84/12, 85/2, 87/17, 95/15, 99/6, 100/21 Director 1/19, 1/20 dirt 59/13, 92/22, 93/11, 94/16 disadvantaging 38/5, 39/12 disbursement 56/12 discretion 19/11, 19/12, 85/24 discuss 18/12, 18/13, 20/13, 75/18, 86/13, 102/5 discussed 11/18, 90/11 discussing 11/16 discussion 10/5, 10/20, 12/11, 12/17, 16/23, 37/4, 37/5, 38/11, 56/14, 58/6, 73/18, 73/21, 74/12, 104/10, 104/11 discussions 10/20, 61/16, 87/16, 89/3, 100/22 disk 74/18 displaced 59/9 distant 23/15 district 53/20, 54/24, 54/25, 55/9, 76/24, 77/5, 81/25 divest 47/21 dock 90/19 docking 90/16 document 42/23, 44/11, 98/22, 98/24 documents 53/24 doesn't 55/4, 62/7, 86/12, 99/20 dollar 58/22, 60/20, 62/5, 71/24 dollars 58/2, 63/3, 72/3, 95/19 domain 95/23 Don 100/23 Donna 52/11, 85/9 DOT 50/15, 50/20, 50/23, 51/2, 52/24, 53/19, 54/1, 54/9, 54/21, 55/12, 55/16, 55/21, 56/8, 56/11, 57/3, 58/18, 61/14, 66/14, 72/6, 74/18, 74/23, 76/14, 76/16, 76/24, 77/10, 77/11, 77/25, 79/18, 88/23, 89/3, 96/14, 96/17 DOT's 71/25 dots 59/1 dotted 48/16 doubt 95/8 doubts 48/1 downturn 54/25 drafted 19/2 drainage 64/24 dramatically 90/22 drawing 96/25 DRI 63/22 driveway 25/22 drops 55/10 dump 78/5 during 31/7, 31/8, 39/19, 57/25 duty 19/3 dynamic 72/9 dynamics 71/9, 76/20

E

earth 93/6 easier 14/5, 14/7 east 59/17, 59/19, 61/2 Eastside 56/25, 57/4, 57/14, 65/7 easy 68/10, 87/9 ecology 94/4 economic 30/2 Ed 23/24, 24/11, 25/4, 25/18, 30/22, 31/18, 33/7, 34/19, 67/20, 68/11, 74/5, 74/8, 74/14, 75/5, 76/6, 82/9, 90/12, 92/13, 99/25, 100/19, 104/4, 104/14, 104/23 Ed's 20/18, 74/1 education 74/9 EDWARD 1/19 effort 10/11 efforts 9/3, 20/1, 20/6, 24/18 eight 52/20, 81/7, 81/9, 81/10, 81/12 eight-cent-per-gallon 47/10 elect 72/18 elected 7/24 eligibility 65/4 eligible 51/4, 55/20, 60/22, 101/3, 101/19 embark 71/5 eminent 95/23 enclose 64/23

98/17, 101/24

deviate 30/7

end 6/25, 40/9, 59/6, 59/14, 59/20, 61/6, 69/15, 72/11, 74/7, 77/4, 77/12, 86/23, 92/13 endeavored 8/15, 19/2 ended 7/22 ends 40/10, 87/7, 96/19 engineer's 50/18 engineering 89/16, 89/18 enjoyed 55/14, 80/7 entered 61/15 enters 90/8 entertain 3/25, 5/4, 10/5, 26/3, 36/20, 40/20, 49/6. 51/15 entities 47/25, 84/3 entitled 53/9, 54/12 entity 76/21 envelope 28/12 environmental 58/24, 59/3, 59/4, 59/12, 60/9, 87/8, 93/13 environmentalists 88/11 envision 62/9 envisioned 31/10, 40/4, 99/17 equates 43/15 equation 44/17 equitable 42/11 Ernest 8/10, 8/14 Ernie 8/25, 9/4, 9/12, 17/2, 21/14, 21/23 erosion 59/21 error 52/12 Esquire 1/17 essence 33/23 established 8/25, 11/14, 37/15, 47/16, 75/14 establishes 43/11, 43/21 establishing 71/14 estimate 50/18, 55/24, 55/25 estimated 60/13 estimating 89/7 Estrella 29/22, 56/10 exceeded 29/10, 50/18 excellence 8/24 exception 29/11 excess 65/14 exchange 46/19, 47/19 exchanges 48/6 excited 7/3 Excuse 7/14, 25/10, 88/20 execute 32/10, 80/17 executed 31/11, 46/11 execution 44/3 Executive 1/19 existing 29/7, 29/12, 30/6, 30/7, 42/1, 42/2, 42/15, 43/25, 44/5, 45/6, 45/25, 61/23 exists 63/23, 87/15 expand 14/21 expansion 13/16, 41/17, 51/8, 56/9 expected 57/7 expedience 75/8 expedite 90/8 expedited 76/22 expenditures 91/21 expense 47/22 expenses 15/16, 92/1 experience 15/24, 35/14 experiences 84/19 expiration 27/16, 28/9, 30/9, 46/13 expired 27/11 explicitly 74/8 extend 25/21, 30/6, 30/16, 31/6, 38/8, 38/13, 44/4, 86/23, 88/5, 94/8, 94/10 extended 3/10, 33/2, 34/7, 92/23 extending 94/2, 94/15

F

extension 27/14, 29/6, 59/15, 60/8

FAA 16/5, 33/14, 33/15, 33/17, 45/3, 53/19, 55/16, 55/19, 59/10, 59/24, 60/25, 61/9, 61/13, 63/6, 65/4, 71/13, 100/25 face 17/6 facilitate 50/16, 60/15, 61/1, 61/4, 63/4, 64/16 facilitating 53/15 facility 9/6, 46/10, 46/24, 47/21, 58/4, 58/9, 61/11, 61/19, 63/19, 64/6, 64/12, 89/21, 90/13, 90/14, 90/16, 90/19, 102/10 fact 36/1, 37/13, 55/5 factor 18/24 fair 45/4, 72/4 fairness 69/18 fall 64/16, 77/22

family 8/23, 12/2, 14/15, 14/24, 16/9, 16/19, 18/20, 20/24, 22/20, 23/1, 23/5 farm 42/21, 46/10, 46/22 fast 93/17 father 23/2 favor 4/8, 5/10, 6/1, 17/18, 18/10, 20/22, 22/4, 22/7, 26/14, 40/23, 49/22, 51/25, 95/20, 100/9, 104/14 FBO 42/8, 43/7, 43/14, 43/20, 44/1, 44/10, 44/13, 45/7, 48/19, 48/24, 49/8, 49/24, 51/8 FDOT 45/3, 53/24, 63/6, 69/1 feasible 3/14, 3/16, 94/14 February 1/5, 3/5, 8/2, 9/16, 74/18 Federal 52/24, 58/21, 60/22, 61/21, 95/24, 101/7 fee 47/4 feedback 20/8 fees 46/18, 46/20, 47/1 feet 43/15, 58/19, 59/6, 59/13, 61/3, 86/24 field 7/6, 15/9, 58/14 fifth 99/7 figure 25/5 fill 92/21, 92/22 finalizing 64/13 FINANCIAL 2/4, 4/18, 4/20, 4/22, 5/11, 5/20, 5/22, 6/2, 6/3, 6/12 find 70/23 finding 63/3 Fine 37/16, 40/14, 86/2 finish 28/2 finished 25/19 fire 61/10, 61/11 firefighting 61/20 firm 103/6, 103/14 firms 101/25, 103/10, 103/13 first-year 84/7 fiscal 56/6 fish 94/6 five 25/13, 30/8, 44/25, 53/6, 53/18, 69/23, 77/12, 94/20, 97/13, 98/13, 99/7 five-cent-per-gallon 47/15 five-year 44/19, 46/23, 47/7, 47/8, 53/7 fixed 8/21 FL 1/18, 1/23 flexibility 79/13, 83/20 flights 7/5 floor 14/3, 43/9, 43/16, 80/22, 86/17, 99/25 Florida 1/4, 9/14, 50/14, 50/20, 50/23, 51/2, 52/24, 53/19, 54/1, 54/9, 54/21, 55/6, 56/8, 57/3, 58/18, 61/14, 96/14, 96/17, 96/20, 99/17, 107/3 flowage 46/18, 46/20, 46/25 flying 8/19 focus 16/23, 99/13 focused 68/23 folk 11/7 folks 48/4 follow 66/18, 101/12 followed 31/23 foot 43/13, 92/21, 93/24 forecast 98/14 forgot 57/18 form 27/19, 28/20, 29/3, 46/12 formally 23/17 formula 96/6 foster 8/18, 17/7 four 43/18, 45/16, 47/14, 77/12 frame 85/18 Freeman 2/6 frequently 96/13 fresh 98/16 Friday 85/11 friend 15/14 front 12/12, 14/2, 28/4, 81/21 fronts 33/21 fuel 42/20, 46/10, 46/18, 46/20, 46/22, 46/25 function 87/7 fund 50/24, 81/4, 81/5, 81/9 fundable 63/6 funded 55/12, 56/11, 79/18, 101/20 funding 45/18, 50/16, 53/16, 53/20, 54/4, 54/6, 54/20, 54/24, 55/10, 56/1, 57/17, 60/7, 60/22, 66/21, 68/3, 68/6, 72/17, 73/11, 77/25, 103/19, 103/25 funds 50/21, 55/6, 56/8, 56/19, 58/17, 63/10, 66/14, 72/20, 76/14, 77/2, 77/14, 79/8, 81/10 furthering 23/10 future 23/16, 29/22, 33/5, 69/14, 70/14, 72/5, 76/11, 99/4, 99/5 fuzzy 63/3

G

Gay 1/17 gener 53/11 generate 29/10 generous 31/12 gentleman 33/25 gentleman's 34/5 gentlemen 3/3, 3/22, 5/2, 12/17, 20/21, 23/6, 25/1, 25/17, 25/24, 26/2, 31/1, 31/15, 33/6, 34/17, 36/16, 48/21, 50/7, 51/10, 51/16, 51/23, 66/6, 73/18, 73/21, 80/19, 84/9, 85/8, 86/17, 102/23, 105/22 George 7/23, 9/17 gesture 16/19 glad 9/21, 23/6 glass 12/15, 14/2 glass-front 12/24 Gotcha 67/12 government 86/5, 91/24, 93/22, 95/25 grant 33/17, 33/18, 45/15, 50/15, 57/1, 63/3, 66/2, 69/3, 72/6, 76/21, 77/2, 82/2, 96/12, 96/14, 96/20 granted 35/12, 76/9 grants 76/8, 96/16, 96/17 greater 38/1 ground 29/11, 38/15, 59/22 group 11/20 Grove 94/23, 94/25 Grumman 2/7, 61/18, 64/12, 93/20, 93/24 guarantee 36/9, 88/14 guess 52/19, 69/2, 78/2, 84/11, 89/24, 94/6 guesses 65/20 guesstimate 37/8 guidelines 66/18 Gun 64/14, 84/5 guys 81/19, 91/19, 92/9, 94/19, 95/25, 96/3

H

half 50/24, 65/18, 77/10 half-million 58/2 Ham 20/8, 22/2 Hamilton 7/18 Hangar 2/10, 24/16, 26/25, 27/9, 27/11, 29/15, 33/2, 34/8, 34/9, 36/22, 36/25, 37/8, 42/8, 43/13, 45/25, 46/1, 46/2, 50/22, 51/9, 56/7, 56/25, 57/3, 57/11, 57/14, 58/3, 58/5, 62/13, 62/17, 62/20, 89/13 hangars 27/7, 29/21, 31/14, 43/19, 44/13, 45/16, 62/23, 65/6 Hanley 8/10 happy 18/20, 38/8, 48/4 hard 18/19, 70/23, 83/19, 93/18 Harvey 86/21 hauling 35/15 Hawkeye 64/10 hazards 61/23 heading 95/16, 101/16 healthy 49/11 held 1/3, 87/17, 103/25 heli 63/23 helicopter 63/24, 64/1 heliport 63/19 help 61/1, 72/7 high 61/9, 61/13, 65/4, 71/15, 89/9, 91/1 higher 74/2, 81/12, 91/4 highest 8/23, 81/6 highlight 17/5 highlighting 14/25 highway 95/12, 95/13 history 48/7 holding 66/24, 101/9 holdup 101/7 honest 89/10 honor 8/5, 16/9, 17/2 hope 68/14, 70/6 hoping 29/23 hopper 54/4 horizon 66/2 horseback 37/8 hostage 101/10 hours 105/24 House 101/13 hundred 15/11, 92/3 hurricane 58/8 hurts 77/5

	All	Port Authority - February 28, 2000	
	I	L	M
	ICF 100/23, 100/24	lack 81/24, 93/7, 101/9	machine 35/20
	ICS idea 23/19, 62/3, 66/16, 94/3, 95/5	ladies 3/2, 105/22 lags 56/18	mad 18/22 mail 27/20, 30/23, 35/10, 35/14, 35/15
	ideas 10/24	land 58/1, 62/21, 64/22, 94/21, 96/5	mailed 35/22
	identification 15/17 identified 57/4, 57/11, 58/4, 62/8, 65/7, 65/10,	landing 61/3 Lane 64/11	main 88/25, 94/19, 96/4
	70/13, 79/22, 98/4	language 48/15	maintain 46/24 maintained 29/2
	identifier 15/22	large 89/21, 97/4	maintaining 64/25
	identifies 60/13 identify 17/5, 57/16, 61/22, 69/1	laser 35/21 LASSITER 1/12, 2/15	maintains 28/5
	identifying 17/20, 61/16	later 3/16, 13/16, 56/17, 57/10, 72/12, 94/23,	maintenance 46/4, 46/7, 46/22, 47/17, 47/20, 58/3, 58/6, 58/8
	II 56/7, 89/13	96/21, 104/2	major 68/1, 69/16
	ILS 67/6 imagine 43/1	latitude 79/19 Lavoie 7/20	Malaga 1/17 man 61/18
	impact 15/18, 61/25, 74/22	lead 85/16	Management 61/21, 87/17
	impact's 93/14	lease 27/9, 27/14, 27/17, 27/18, 28/23, 29/7,	mandatory 33/22
	impacts 68/15 implications 58/25, 101/11	29/11, 29/12, 30/6, 30/15, 30/16, 31/4, 31/8, 31/20, 31/24, 33/9, 33/20, 35/2, 36/14, 38/16, 38/19, 38/20,	manner 8/24, 9/11, 19/8, 29/3, 48/2, 76/22, 80/17 map 74/6
	impossible 99/15	39/18, 40/4, 40/8, 40/9, 40/11, 41/14, 42/4, 42/5,	March 6/25, 74/19, 78/4, 80/12, 81/16, 101/16,
	impression 11/17, 12/3, 12/7, 12/16, 14/9, 14/10	42/8, 42/10, 42/12, 42/15, 42/19, 42/21, 42/22,	106/3, 107/11
	Improvement 2/12, 52/16, 52/23, 99/22, 101/11 improvements 38/23, 39/20, 41/14, 42/9, 43/4,	42/23, 42/25, 43/3, 43/6, 43/8, 43/14, 43/18, 43/24, 44/2, 44/4, 44/5, 44/7, 44/10, 44/17, 44/20, 45/7,	mark 102/7 market 45/4
	43/6, 43/7, 43/10, 43/12, 45/10	46/5, 46/11, 46/14, 47/8, 48/19, 49/9, 49/24	marsh 58/24, 86/24
	inception 42/25, 46/5	leased 27/8, 54/8	Master 53/10, 64/8, 64/20, 65/11, 95/3, 97/22,
	income 91/22, 92/2 increase 45/8	leasehold 41/24, 45/5 leases 27/4, 27/11, 30/9, 31/10, 33/22, 34/22, 42/20	97/24, 98/2, 98/6, 98/21, 99/8, 99/19 match 63/4, 75/15
	increments 47/6	leasing 33/15, 34/10	math 47/13
	Index 61/7	leave 18/22, 30/12	matrix 71/13
	indicates 65/12 indications 101/14	leaves 97/7 leaving 19/9, 19/12, 91/23, 91/24	matter 19/11, 36/14, 102/13
	Industrial 62/25, 95/4, 95/11, 95/14	lecture 70/3	maximum 47/6, 47/9 meaningful 48/6, 76/25
	industry 8/16	led 15/20	mechanism 68/3
	infield 58/15 infor 74/17	left 12/3, 12/16, 16/3, 29/15, 43/25 legal 11/8, 32/3, 37/6, 38/15	meet 11/19, 75/23, 103/12
	informal 90/17	legal's 29/6	Meeting 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 3/5, 3/8, 3/9, 3/11, 3/19, 3/22, 4/2, 4/9, 8/3, 12/4, 56/15, 57/7, 75/7, 75/21,
	information 34/20, 35/5, 85/15	legislation 24/4, 101/7, 101/21, 102/8	80/12, 81/16, 82/19, 83/6, 84/15, 84/20, 85/1, 85/7,
	Infrastructure 56/7, 56/9, 56/21, 62/22, 62/25, 63/11, 63/20, 89/12	legislative	85/12, 85/25, 86/10, 100/5, 100/12, 105/23, 106/2, 106/7
	initial 33/12, 47/16	legislature's 78/25	meetings 22/21
	input 19/25, 20/11, 52/23, 69/10, 75/13, 84/1	length 59/8	Mel 86/21
	instrumental 55/3 intent 102/9	Leon 1/22 Leslie 2/7	Mem 2/11, 41/9 MEMBER 2/13, 75/4, 82/17, 82/20, 100/10
ļ	intention 27/17, 32/1	lessee 38/24, 39/2, 44/22	member's 82/12
١	interest 8/18, 61/16, 63/15, 63/25, 98/15	lessor 52/13	MEMBERS 1/10, 7/17, 8/23, 9/23, 23/5, 34/25
J	internal 63/20, 64/2, 65/1 interview 103/13	letter 28/7, 28/13, 28/25, 29/2, 35/6, 35/10, 35/22, 36/2, 38/4, 48/18	Memorandum 41/12, 48/11, 48/18, 49/8, 49/23 memory 76/7
١	Intracoastal 92/15	level 20/4, 54/6, 54/21, 55/4, 74/3, 74/9, 84/19	memory's 11/3, 89/2
-	issue 10/5, 29/24, 33/1, 75/17, 86/5, 87/19	levels 78/6	mentions 32/2
١	issues 64/3, 64/25 item 24/13, 27/1, 37/5, 41/10, 50/11, 52/17, 53/5,	Liaison 1/13 lien 24/24	messed 97/5 met 33/20, 69/22
-	62/11, 62/16, 78/8, 88/23, 97/23, 98/12, 100/20	life 30/2, 31/13	method 45/2, 68/21
-	ITEMS 2/9, 24/11, 24/19, 24/24, 25/18, 42/6,	lifespan	mid-60s 16/3
	60/11, 73/8	light 68/5 likelihood 73/4	millage 66/20, 66/24, 83/16 million 55/10, 55/18, 60/13, 65/14, 65/19, 72/6
Ī	T	limitations 46/21	millions 95/19
ļ.	J	line 29/17, 29/18, 56/16, 63/14, 77/23, 97/23,	mind 77/3, 85/7
	JACIP 52/22, 53/10, 68/15	98/14, 98/18 lion's 46/6, 47/20	minimized 93/14 MINUTES 2/3, 3/19, 3/21, 4/1, 4/8, 4/17, 5/10,
-	Jacksonville 88/11 JAMES 1/11, 8/10	list 24/24, 25/18, 53/2, 53/3, 53/9, 53/10, 53/11,	62/17
	JANET 107/6	53/13, 54/11, 54/13, 54/15, 65/9, 70/22, 71/6, 73/8,	mirror 54/20
ı	January 3/22, 4/1, 4/8, 5/22, 6/2, 6/13, 32/17	74/1, 75/6, 75/10, 76/15, 78/3, 78/8, 78/12, 78/17, 79/5, 79/6, 85/6, 88/15, 88/23, 88/25, 89/9, 91/3,	mirroring 47/7 missed 67/6, 78/15
	JIM 1/13, 8/14, 8/25, 9/4, 9/12, 14/14, 14/25, 15/13, 17/2, 19/25, 21/13, 21/22	91/21, 91/22, 100/1, 100/2, 100/9, 100/10, 100/19	mistaken 40/1, 77/23
١	job 24/14, 71/23	listed 54/1, 66/13, 74/4 listened 73/25	mitigate 61/24, 61/25
- E	Joe 91/7 JOHNS 1/1, 3/4, 9/14, 14/18, 107/4	listening 70/9	mitigation 60/14, 62/12 model 72/11
1	join 83/10	listing 83/9	modification 12/24, 14/1
1	joint 3/9, 61/10	lists 54/2 little 20/9, 20/10, 25/21, 73/1, 74/2	modified 44/2 modifying 34/21
	Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/11	live 32/11, 87/11	Molloy 2/10, 24/12, 24/15, 24/23
	JPA 51/3, 57/6	lived 96/2	moment 63/2
	judgment 19/10	local 66/14 located 45/17	Monday 1/5, 85/12 money 51/2, 57/24, 58/9, 71/15, 76/9, 77/10,
	July 56/13, 67/11, 68/25, 79/9 jump 73/24	location 36/23, 41/7, 63/18, 89/14	91/24, 92/8, 96/5, 97/9, 97/15
	June 79/10	locked 78/23, 83/19, 83/20, 84/6	month 7/6, 28/11, 45/10, 77/15, 102/14
-	justifying 71/23	logical 67/25 lose 34/5	months 29/17, 33/24, 34/1, 41/18, 48/8, 52/20, 56/16, 56/19, 58/7, 102/2, 102/14, 102/18
		lost 35/13, 35/16	morning 48/15
	K	low 91/1	Moser 8/10, 8/11, 8/14, 8/23, 8/25, 9/1, 9/4, 9/12,
	Kaiser 100/24	lower 55/4 luck 34/12	12/2, 12/14, 12/15, 14/14, 14/24, 14/25, 15/5, 15/10, 16/9, 16/19, 17/1, 17/3, 17/14, 17/22, 20/24, 21/13,
	kidding 69/24	lumps 75/23	21/14, 21/23, 22/20, 23/1
			Mosers 8/5, 10/12, 10/22
1			Mosers' 12/13 motion 4/1, 4/3, 5/5, 11/22, 16/22, 17/13, 17/25,
			18/25, 20/20, 20/22, 21/2, 21/4, 21/5, 21/11, 21/25,
			22/5, 22/7, 22/23, 22/24, 23/7, 26/3, 36/21, 40/20,
1			

40/21, 40/22, 49/2, 49/7, 49/14, 51/16, 51/19, 73/7, 73/13, 73/15, 73/16, 80/21, 86/16, 99/24, 100/6, 100/9, 104/4 move 5/21, 16/25, 26/5, 30/4, 33/4, 36/24, 43/23, 57/9, 63/16, 73/7, 77/14, 80/12, 81/12, 90/15, 95/7, 101/24, 102/4, 103/14 moved 30/8 moving 56/17, 60/6, 81/16 Mr. Bryant 6/14, 6/16 Mr. Chairman 5/21, 7/17, 12/19, 14/11, 16/20, 25/2, 26/5, 31/17, 36/24, 39/4, 49/10, 70/7, 73/6, 74/24, 79/21, 81/15, 85/20, 104/3 Mr. Charles 2/15 Mr. Ciriello 88/18, 88/19, 88/21, 91/6, 91/7, 92/18, 93/2, 93/8, 93/16, 95/2, 95/17, 96/22, 97/8, 97/20 Mr. Ciriello's 99/2 MR. COOPER 57/19, 80/25 Mr. Dennis 2/16 Mr. Ed 23/12 Mr. Freeman 6/18, 6/19, 6/21, 49/1 Mr. Ham 18/23, 20/19 Mr. Hamilton 2/7 MR. HARVEY 86/18, 86/20, 87/1, 87/3, 87/10, 87/20, 88/2, 88/10, 88/15, 88/17, 92/14 Mr. James 2/14 Mr. Jim 2/6 Mr. Joe 2/15 Mr. John 2/6, 2/7 MR. LASSITER 4/12, 5/8, 5/15, 5/25, 6/7, 15/1, 15/2, 15/3, 17/10, 19/23, 22/11, 25/18, 26/19, 33/7, 33/8, 33/9, 33/23, 34/13, 37/2, 37/3, 41/2, 50/3, 51/19, 51/22, 52/5, 73/22, 73/23, 73/24, 76/5, 76/6, 77/14, 78/2, 82/24, 82/25, 83/14, 83/23, 100/15, 102/24, 102/25, 103/3, 103/18, 103/21, 104/3, 104/7, 104/18, 105/14, 105/15, 105/16 Mr. Leslie 7/9, 7/10, 7/11 Mr. Mosers 8/17 MR. ROSE 4/6, 4/7, 4/10, 4/23, 4/24, 5/7, 5/13, 5/21, 5/23, 6/5, 10/4, 10/7, 10/8, 10/19, 11/1, 12/19, 13/1, 13/7, 13/14, 13/22, 16/20, 16/21, 16/22, 16/25, 17/16, 17/19, 18/16, 19/19, 20/23, 21/6, 21/9, 21/11, 21/17, 21/21, 22/2, 22/6, 22/8, 22/9, 22/17, 23/18, 24/7, 26/5, 26/8, 26/17, 31/16, 31/17, 31/23, 32/2, 32/6, 36/24, 37/1, 40/25, 48/22, 48/23, 48/24, 50/1, 52/3, 66/8, 66/11, 66/12, 66/16, 67/1, 67/5, 67/9, 67/12, 67/15, 70/2, 70/6, 70/8, 70/9, 70/16, 71/3, 71/12, 71/17, 71/20, 73/16, 73/17, 75/25, 91/8, 104/16, 105/7, 105/9, 105/10 MR. TAYLOR 4/11, 5/14, 6/6, 18/6, 18/9, 18/12, 18/15, 18/18, 19/1, 19/21, 20/13, 20/14, 20/15, 21/1, 21/20, 22/10, 23/23, 23/25, 26/18, 37/5, 37/16, 37/24, 38/3, 38/10, 39/4, 39/5, 39/6, 40/14, 40/16, 40/21, 41/1, 49/2, 49/3, 49/10, 49/16, 50/2 51/21, 51/23, 52/4, 67/19, 67/20, 67/25, 73/5, 73/6, 73/14, 74/12, 74/13, 74/14, 74/24, 75/3, 79/20, 79/21, 80/3, 80/5, 81/14, 81/15, 82/5, 82/6, 82/7, 82/16, 82/23, 85/20, 85/21, 85/22, 86/2, 100/14, 104/17, 105/11, 105/12, 105/13 MR. UPCHURCH 7/17, 7/22, 8/9, 9/20, 9/21, 10/9, 19/1, 19/20 MR. WATTS 4/3, 4/4, 4/13, 5/16, 6/8, 14/11, 14/12, 14/13, 17/11, 17/12, 18/3, 22/12, 25/2, 25/3, 25/4, 25/8, 25/10, 25/13, 26/10, 26/11, 26/20, 41/3, 49/18, 49/19, 50/4, 52/6, 100/16, 104/9, 104/19, 105/17, 105/18, 105/19 Mr. Wayne 7/23 Mr. Weaver 6/17, 6/18, 88/20, 88/23, 89/5, 89/9, 89/15, 89/24, 90/4, 90/10, 91/5 Mr. William 2/14 Mr. Wright 36/1 MR. WUELLNER 7/13, 10/18, 10/25, 11/2, 13/5, 13/8, 13/12, 13/15, 13/24, 15/21, 21/16, 21/18, 23/10, 23/13, 23/22, 24/1, 24/13, 25/4, 25/6, 25/9, 25/12, 25/15, 25/20, 27/1, 30/25, 31/2, 31/22, 31/25, 32/5, 32/14, 33/17, 34/6, 34/14, 35/8, 37/10, 37/21, 37/25, 38/8, 38/19, 39/24, 40/1, 41/8, 41/10, 48/20, 50/9, 50/11, 52/11, 52/17, 57/20, 66/4, 66/9, 66/15, 66/21, 67/4, 67/7, 67/10, 67/13, 67/16, 67/23, 68/9, 68/12, 70/4, 70/15, 71/2, 71/8, 71/13, 71/18, 71/22, 73/19, 74/17, 75/1, 75/8, 76/1, 76/13, 77/18, 78/22, 80/2, 80/4, 80/23, 81/18, 82/15, 83/11, 83/22, 84/7, 84/10, 86/3, 86/13, 86/25, 87/2, 87/7, 87/14, 88/13, 89/2, 89/6, 89/10, 89/16, 90/3, 90/6, 92/17, 92/25, 93/5, 93/12, 95/1, 95/14, 96/11, 97/21, 100/20, 101/19, 103/2, 103/5, 103/20, 103/23, 104/24, 105/2 24/8 Ms. Bartin 24/9, 24/10, 38/17, 38/20, 39/16,

39/25, 87/23, 87/25, 88/3

Ms. Cindy 2/8 MS. GLASSER 85/10, 85/14 multiple 69/10, 70/6, 77/4

N

name 10/19, 11/10, 12/1, 12/13, 14/6, 14/24, 16/2, 16/5, 21/12, 81/25, 86/21 named 9/10, 16/15, 24/3 naming 10/21, 13/20 nationally 9/5 nationally-known 8/11 natural 40/10 nature 15/23, 45/12, 86/9 necessary 44/21, 100/23, 104/5 need 16/8, 16/9, 20/11, 23/24, 24/2, 24/20, 36/13, 39/17, 45/22, 53/17, 54/10, 65/13, 66/1, 70/18, 72/21, 74/9, 78/5, 79/25, 82/18, 83/5, 84/13, 84/16, 85/10, 92/10, 92/12, 93/23, 100/21 needed 3/14, 11/11, 23/4, 30/13, 31/5, 73/2 needs 14/19, 15/10, 15/15, 53/14, 53/21, 55/2, 55/5, 55/21, 56/1, 68/5, 70/12, 72/1, 74/18, 80/11, 84/17, 84/23, 91/2, 99/14 negatives 54/23 negotiate 71/20 negotiating 49/12 net 45/8, 47/14 new 12/9, 12/15, 12/22, 12/23, 12/24, 14/1, 14/3, 14/4, 29/11, 35/20, 44/2, 89/25 nine 102/2 nonaviator 15/19 nonleasing 33/16 normal 103/6 normally 31/21 North 1/3, 61/4, 64/12 Northeast 55/5 northernmost 58/12 Northrop 61/18 notes 107/9 notice 28/10, 33/12, 60/17 notification 27/19, 28/6 notified 27/15, 30/13 notify 28/21, 30/20, 33/25, 88/6, 100/4 November 28/14, 35/11, 35/17, 35/23, 35/24, 36/10, 36/11 null 40/5 number 27/4, 27/22, 31/3, 31/12, 35/5, 39/3, 41/18, 43/1, 47/3, 47/11, 55/11, 72/8, 78/8, 81/8, 81/10, 81/12, 97/3, 97/12 numbers 44/1, 55/17, 74/15, 80/16 nutshell 66/4

0

Oak 94/23, 94/24 object 32/14 objection 32/20 obligation 30/20, 40/2, 46/4, 46/9 obligations 33/20, 46/7, 47/20 obtained 24/25 occasions 69/22 occupiable 45/14 occupy 57/12 occupying 34/10 October 28/8, 28/10, 35/10, 68/24, 79/11, 83/13 offer 30/19, 31/6, 31/13, 34/7, 37/25, 38/9 offering 32/19, 37/22, 38/22 office 12/9, 12/14, 12/15, 12/20, 16/17, 28/20, 35/11, 35/13, 36/2, 36/8, 43/9, 43/15, 44/15, 50/22, 51/8, 74/19 offices 14/2 okayed 104/23 old 30/3, 42/20 omission 88/25 one-half 47/5 one-third 96/19 one-to-one 74/5 one-year 33/12 open 35/3, 36/14, 42/15, 43/13, 64/24, 76/21 opening 34/23 operate 102/19 operated 91/15 operating 101/22, 102/12, 102/21, 103/19 operation 8/22, 44/13, 63/24, 64/7, 102/18 operational 62/10, 64/3 operations 64/1 opinion 16/7, 19/17, 30/18, 78/9, 91/8 opportune 73/11 opportunity 68/18, 69/7, 88/8

opposed 4/14, 5/17, 6/9, 22/13, 26/21, 41/4, 50/5, 52/7, 100/17, 104/20 opposition 4/15, 5/18, 6/10, 22/14, 26/22, 41/5, 50/6, 52/8, 100/18, 104/21 optimistic 57/8, 66/23 option 32/16, 32/22, 36/22, 44/6, 96/13 options 28/24, 44/23 order 3/6, 3/20, 4/19, 18/18 original 9/18, 31/7, 34/1, 42/5, 42/12, 44/7, 46/14, 95/3, 96/19 originally 31/11 originals 52/12 outline 38/21 outlook 72/20 outread 39/7 overrun 59/16 overwhelm 69/21 owe 10/10 owned 64/17 owner 27/25 oysters 90/21

P

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 106/3, 106/7 package 59/25 paid 97/16 Pandora's 34/24, 35/3 paper 85/11 paperwork 103/3, 104/6 paragraph 19/5, 19/6, 37/18 parallel 58/13, 58/16, 59/18, 61/6, 65/2 parameters 67/2 Park 58/1, 62/21, 62/25, 64/22, 94/22, 95/4, 95/11, 95/14, 95/21 part 24/17, 27/7, 27/12, 30/2, 44/7, 44/14, 44/15, 60/23, 76/13, 76/14, 79/1, 87/6, 87/18, 89/4, 93/12, 98/4, 101/8 parties 42/12 passed 8/3, 8/4, 9/15, 22/15 passes 102/8 pave 92/23, 93/3 paver 42/22, 44/17 paving 92/25, 93/5 pay 53/17, 91/15, 92/9, 96/8, 97/2, 97/3, 97/4, 97/9 paying 73/3, 97/17 penalize 76/10, 78/9, 78/16 penalty 77/17 Pennsylvania 96/2 penny 103/8, 103/17 percentage 31/9, 46/17, 47/1 perception 38/5, 80/7 performance 76/23 period 33/12, 47/7, 53/7, 53/8, 79/12, 95/24, 96/9, 97/1, 98/11 permanently 9/10 permission 8/6 permit 59/11, 60/2, 88/4 permits 87/9 permitting 59/4, 60/1 perpetuated 8/25 personal 16/7, 19/17, 96/3 personally 16/13 phase 57/10, 57/23, 58/11, 59/12, 60/7, 60/12, 60/13, 60/18, 63/17, 64/20, 83/18, 89/13, 102/1 phased 45/13 phases 60/18 phone 32/17 physical 102/16 pick 44/3, 55/19, 68/7 picked 58/19 picking 58/14 picks 67/8 picky 25/10 piece 33/4, 61/5, 61/6, 101/21 pike 72/16, 104/25 piling 94/15 pilot 18/21 Pilots 7/14, 7/16, 7/19, 7/24, 8/2, 9/2, 9/8, 90/18, 90/25 pipe 25/21 place 14/5, 27/8, 45/19, 69/10, 81/23, 90/7, 102/6 placed 27/9 places 76/12 placing 21/14 plan 29/15, 53/18, 61/24, 62/6, 64/8, 64/20, 65/11, 70/16, 95/3, 95/6, 97/22, 97/24, 98/2, 98/7, 98/22, 99/8, 99/19

planned 13/16 planning 11/25, 53/1, 67/10 plaque 12/10, 17/3, 17/6, 17/20, 18/2, 20/24, 21/3, 21/8, 21/15, 23/23, 23/25 plug 55/24, 71/16, 103/22 pocket 97/15 Point 35/5, 40/3, 41/18, 41/22, 42/22, 43/9, 43/22, 46/5, 46/17, 46/20, 50/25, 54/9, 56/11, 64/13, 65/5, 65/21, 66/22, 72/1, 74/21, 79/9, 82/8, 90/10, 93/19, 98/6, 98/7, 103/16, 103/22, 103/23, 104/1, 104/6 policy 24/22, 29/13 poll 90/17 Ponce 1/22 popping 16/4 port-a-port 27/6, 29/16, 62/18 port-a-ports 30/1 portion 43/8, 58/23, 60/10, 64/23, 68/1 posed 23/6 position 102/19 possibilities 11/18 possible 19/18, 55/20 post 35/11, 35/13, 36/1, 36/7 postmarked 28/13 potential 61/25 power 49/12 prearranged 31/9 precedent 30/11, 31/18 precludes 29/21 predated 28/7 preference 16/14, 89/3 preliminary 87/16 premise 43/23 prequalified 57/1 presentation 8/1, 70/10 president 7/19, 7/24, 9/17 press 7/6 pretty 7/3, 11/8, 18/19, 25/19, 32/24, 49/13, 83/18, 83/19, 84/6 83/18, 83/19, 84/6
price 28/2, 32/25, 38/25, 39/9, 39/13, 46/18, 47/2
priorities 68/20, 69/5, 69/16, 100/1
prioritize 66/5, 67/21, 69/3, 74/10
prioritizing 82/10, 83/3
priority 53/13, 53/14, 54/14, 60/25, 61/9, 61/13, 68/16, 70/25, 71/16, 74/21, 75/10, 79/23, 80/16, 81/6, 81/13, 83/8, 83/12, 85/6, 91/4, 100/10
problem 10/17, 22/22, 37/21, 59/21, 62/7, 71/7, 77/6, 82/17, 83/7, 86/9 77/6, 82/17, 83/7, 86/9 problems 46/12, 61/12, 84/25, 85/5, 88/6, 94/4 procedures 38/21 proceed 28/17, 75/20, 103/1, 104/4 proceedings 107/8 process 39/2, 42/14, 44/14, 48/8, 54/19, 55/8, 63/22, 67/24, 69/17, 82/3, 83/15, 93/13, 93/15, 98/4, 101/8, 102/4, 103/6 Professionalism 80/8
Program 2/12, 41/17, 52/16, 52/21, 52/23, 53/24, 54/18, 55/15, 55/16, 65/17, 72/6, 76/25, 77/19, 79/1, 79/4, 79/12, 81/2, 98/3, 98/21, 99/1, 99/5, 99/22, 101/4, 101/8, 101/10, 101/11 program's 98/8 programmed 50/19, 53/25, 56/8, 79/16 programs 54/25, 66/21 progression 83/25 project 41/16, 45/12, 50/12, 50/17, 50/19, 51/3, 51/4, 53/8, 54/2, 56/10, 56/20, 56/23, 57/8, 57/16, 58/22, 60/22, 62/15, 63/15, 64/23, 66/12, 67/7 70/24, 72/1, 72/21, 76/10, 79/6, 81/25, 89/4, 89/18, 100/1 project's 79/4 projected 89/20, 98/18 projection 53/8 projects 52/25, 53/1, 53/4, 53/9, 53/25, 54/3, 54/5, 54/13, 54/17, 54/19, 54/21, 55/11, 55/17, 55/20, 56/2, 56/3, 63/12, 65/10, 65/11, 65/20, 66/25, 69/1, 71/5, 72/3, 72/15, 72/18, 73/2, 74/3, 74/20, 75/14, 76/21, 77/2, 79/8, 79/16, 81/4, 81/7, 83/4, 83/12, 84/3, 88/16, 94/1, 99/1, 99/2, 104/15 projects' 55/2 promise 70/4 promoters 8/12 property 27/8, 30/14, 33/2, 33/4, 33/18, 34/10, 35/2, 64/2, 64/17, 95/20 proposals 103/11 provide 27/14, 46/13, 52/23, 56/5, 62/22 provides 31/20, 44/6, 44/19, 44/21, 51/1, 51/3 provision 38/17, 47/8 provisions 31/24 PUBLIC 2/17, 25/25, 26/1, 34/20, 36/18, 36/19, 49/4, 49/5, 51/12, 51/14, 86/18, 86/20, 90/2, 99/21,

99/23, 104/12, 104/13, 105/24, 106/1 publication 85/11 publicize 85/18 publish 85/8 pull _103/22 punch 24/24, 25/18 purchase 31/7, 38/25, 39/9, 39/13, 40/3, 61/8, 64/14 purchased 37/9, 37/11 purchases 30/9 purchasing 34/8 purpose 50/20, 58/10 purposes 42/18, 44/20, 45/4, 51/8, 53/15, 56/23, 58/5, 59/7, 61/19, 65/25, 67/10, 68/25, 69/1, 72/25, 74/20, 78/23 pursue 63/8, 63/12, 88/4 put 9/4, 25/22, 34/4, 54/4, 57/5, 71/14, 74/15, 78/3, 78/12, 78/17, 80/16, 81/6, 93/21, 94/9, 95/10, 105/4 puts 43/2 putting 12/11, 28/1, 39/20, 74/20 pylons 94/9

Q

quarrel 37/20 question 3/23, 5/1, 11/5, 20/21, 37/16, 38/7, 38/14, 39/11, 39/15, 67/5, 73/2, 91/8, 96/4 questions 3/24, 5/3, 9/22, 10/1, 10/2, 25/1, 26/12, 26/13, 31/18, 34/18, 36/16, 36/17, 40/17, 40/18, 51/23, 51/24, 66/6, 83/10, 92/13, 100/5, 100/7 Quick 88/23 quicker 20/10

R

racetrack 63/22 rack 88/24, 89/22, 90/2 radiated 20/2 railroad 18/16, 95/8, 95/12 Ramdass 100/23 ramifications 15/15 ramp 59/20, 90/1 ran 105/23 ranged 37/13 ranges 65/18 ranges 05/16 rate 47/15 read 8/7, 21/12 reading 33/7, 33/9, 105/6 reads 38/16, 88/1, 88/2 reality 81/2 reallocated 55/7 reason 59/8, 70/21, 85/1, 92/18, 96/22 reasonable 18/24, 34/15, 75/3 recall 89/16 recap 74/1 receipt 39/1 received 27/22, 28/14, 28/20 receptions 7/4
recognition 19/15, 21/20, 21/21, 21/22
recognition's 23/20
recognizable 22/20 recommend 49/14, 80/12 recommendation 26/4, 26/6, 26/15, 26/24, 30/15, 32/8, 36/22, 36/25, 40/24, 41/7, 48/10, 48/17, 51/6, 51/20, 68/5, 68/8, 71/3 recommendations 49/7, 49/23, 50/8, 51/17, 52/1 recommunicate 30/18, 30/19 reconstruct 29/24 reconstruction 60/16 Record 85/15, 107/9 records 16/4 redoing 23/17 reducing 66/20 reenters 101/18 reestablish 59/14 reestablishing 59/7 reference 8/4, 46/10 referencing 50/15 reflect 54/15, 98/7 reflects 55/5 Regular 1/2, 3/21, 8/3, 35/10 rehab 46/2 rehabilitate 45/24 reject 26/3, 36/21, 40/20, 49/7, 51/17 relate 56/1 related 50/14, 58/3, 58/8, 62/23, 75/13, 79/19 relates 39/21, 61/9, 61/11, 73/3 relative 42/1

releases 24/24 relocating 64/10 remain 29/4, 34/8, 91/3 remember 23/2, 55/15, 96/7 remote 63/19, 64/6 remove 30/13, 30/20, 77/16 rename 11/6, 17/13, 20/23, 23/7, 24/5 renaming 11/13, 15/25 renew 28/22, 35/2, 40/8 renewal 27/18, 44/6 rental 43/21 repair 30/3 repayment 96/14, 96/18 replace 29/16, 62/17 replacement 59/13 replacing 29/19 report 4/22, 5/20, 5/22, 6/2, 6/3, 6/16, 7/11, 24/10, 53/25, 105/8, 107/7 REPORTER'S 107/1 Reporters 1/22 REPORTS 2/4, 2/5, 2/13, 4/18, 4/20, 5/11, 6/12, represent 65/20 request 24/21, 29/9, 30/16, 37/12, 40/7, 41/11, 52/18, 54/7, 77/15, 77/24, 86/10, 88/12 requested 29/4, 58/2, 58/9 requesting 57/2, 57/24 requests 77/19 require 29/12, 58/20, 81/20 required 27/18, 60/15, 70/5, 96/18, 104/6 requirement 40/4, 61/22 requirements 33/14, 33/15, 33/19, 59/4, 59/10, 59/24, 60/23, 60/24 rescue 61/11, 61/20 research 23/13 researched 11/7 residual 24/19 Resolution 2/11, 8/4, 8/6, 9/23, 10/10, 50/10, 50/13, 51/7, 51/13, 51/18, 52/1, 52/10 resolved 9/7, 19/6 resources 72/19 respect 49/11 respond 55/21 responded 29/1 response 30/22 rest 21/25, 39/18 restate 40/19 restated 21/24 rests 84/21 result 41/16 retail 46/18, 47/1 Retainage 2/10, 24/12, 24/14, 24/21, 24/23, 26/6, 26/15 retention 89/19 return 45/8 returned 96/20 revaluing 44/20 revenue 92/8 review 47/8, 47/17, 69/7 Reviewing 78/20 revisit 44/19 revisited 98/10, 98/19, 99/7 RFP 104/5 RFPs 103/1 Rick 7/19 rights 87/11, 87/20, 88/2 rigid 71/25 riparian 87/11, 87/20, 88/2 RMR 107/6 road 64/11, 89/17, 95/11 Rogers 1/17 rolling 83/25 room 83/2, 97/7, 101/18 ROSE 1/12, 2/14 round 44/1, 55/17 route 24/5, 32/21 row 97/14 rows 29/20, 62/18, 62/19 RPR-CP 107/6 ruining 94/6 run 65/2, 66/10, 94/5 running 92/1 runoff 89/20 Runway 58/13, 59/2, 59/7, 59/8, 59/14, 59/15, 59/17, 59/20, 60/12, 61/4, 65/3, 86/24, 87/6, 88/5, 92/23, 93/25, 94/3, 94/8, 94/10, 94/15

Release 2/10, 24/12, 24/14, 24/21, 24/22, 26/7,

runways 62/2, 65/3, 93/23

S

S.A.P.A 2/7 Safety 59/2, 59/9, 59/14, 59/23, 60/3, 60/11, 60/12, 60/23, 64/19, 65/2 sale 27/11, 47/2 sales 27/7, 47/1 sat 73/25 satisfaction 75/20 satisfy 59/23 scenario 57/21, 66/23 schedule 23/14 scope 62/3 scream 66/9 se 59/15 seaplane 59/19 seaplanes 90/13, 90/14 second 4/5, 4/6, 4/19, 5/8, 5/9, 5/24, 5/25, 14/3, 17/9, 17/11, 17/25, 18/3, 26/9, 26/10, 37/2, 40/23 43/9, 49/17, 49/18, 51/21, 73/16, 73/17, 80/22, 88/3, 93/3, 97/21, 104/8, 104/9 seconded 99/25 section 76/7 secure 57/17 secured 58/18 segment 58/12, 64/10 select 39/1, 71/5, 103/6 selection 103/24, 105/3 selections 73/9 sell 28/1, 30/13, 30/19, 30/21, 95/22 Senate 101/14 send 85/14 sending 100/10 sends 85/5 sense 34/3, 41/22, 45/14, 64/7, 65/23 sent 28/13, 29/2, 30/23, 35/6, 35/9, 84/16 separate 42/21 separation 29/20, 29/24 September 27/12, 30/10 September-October 77/23 serious 80/4, 83/7 served 19/25 serves 16/16 session 79/1 set 30/11, 33/19, 46/19 seven 52/19, 98/13, 99/8 share 46/6, 47/20 sheet 79/14, 79/15 sheet /9/14, /9/15 shellfish 94/7 shoreline 59/18, 59/21, 61/2 short 8/6, 11/22, 65/11, 92/3 shortfall 55/19 shoved 69/24 show 35/21, 57/8 shows 7/20, 53/25 side 32/15, 58/13, 59/17, 59/19, 60/5, 61/2, 62/24, 79/18, 101/7, 101/22, 102/11, 102/16, 103/19 sides 49/11 sign 52/11 Signage 21/16, 21/18, 21/19 signed 9/17 signify 4/9, 5/12, 6/3, 18/11, 20/25, 22/8, 26/16, 40/24, 49/24, 52/2, 100/12, 104/15 simple 69/17 single 42/19, 42/23, 43/3, 44/11, 79/15, 99/16 sit 74/5, 91/16 sits 77/11 sitting 74/6, 77/4, 102/3 situation 47/25 six 33/23, 34/1, 56/16, 56/19, 77/12, 102/2, 102/14 sketch 23/19 slammed 83/16 small 15/4, 77/8, 89/8, 92/16 smiling 82/4 snuff 46/3 so-move 5/7 sold 27/6, 35/1 solicit 76/3 solicitation 101/25, 103/6 solid 38/15 solve 62/7 sort 23/15, 23/16, 29/13, 34/20, 63/1, 69/4 sources 63/7 South 24/16, 29/22, 56/6, 58/3, 58/5, 59/6, 60/5, 61/6, 62/13, 62/19, 62/24, 74/7, 86/23, 89/13, 92/13

space 43/13, 43/15, 44/15

Spec 57/19, 57/20

speed 27/4 spelling 52/12 spells 43/23 spend 103/17 spending 92/3, 103/8
Sport 2/6, 2/11, 6/20, 7/12, 41/9, 41/13, 41/21, 42/5, 42/14, 42/16, 44/22, 46/6, 47/19, 48/5, 48/12 square 43/13, 43/15 squirrely 86/4 staff 10/16, 11/1, 15/15, 26/4, 26/6, 26/15, 26/24, 32/3, 32/15, 36/21, 36/25, 37/6, 40/23, 41/7, 41/11, 41/13, 49/7, 49/23, 50/8, 51/17, 51/20, 52/1, 53/12, 68/5, 71/3, 73/8, 74/8, 75/11, 80/8, 80/15, 82/9 Staff's 30/15, 32/8, 48/10, 51/6 stake 14/15 stamp 35/11 stand 102/7 stand-alone 42/19, 89/6 standard 29/19 standpoint 59/12 stands 55/9 stares 69/23 start 34/21, 55/12 started 92/19, 99/19 state 11/12, 77/6, 91/12, 95/25, 96/20, 99/17, 107/3 statement 3/6, 32/17, 90/23 States 8/13, 30/3, 39/9 Station 61/7 statue 12/12, 14/6, 17/4, 17/20 statute 11/14 statutory 24/4 stay 14/19 stenographic 107/9 stenographically 107/7 stick 36/13 sticker 36/10 stipulates 93/22 stipulation 3/13, 33/10 straight 86/22, 96/13 stranded 90/21, 90/25 strategies 61/24 Street 1/17, 56/10, 63/1, 94/24, 105/5 stress 84/19 stressing 84/2 striping 25/22, 25/23 structured 91/11 Study 61/21 stuff 42/24 subject 105/25 subleased 35/3 submit 68/13, 68/17, 69/8 submittal 65/14 submitted 69/5, 76/16 submitting 55/2, 75/22 subsidize 92/10 success 73/11, 80/6 sufficient 39/25 suitable 20/24 Suite 1/22 sum 97/4 sunshine 82/14, 86/5 supplement 50/14 supplemental 50/13, 51/1 surround 64/4 suspect 89/12 swale 64/24 system 54/8, 55/3, 89/19 systems 35/21

Т

T-hangar 89/17
T-hangars 24/16, 26/16, 27/21, 29/19, 34/4, 42/2, 62/13, 62/14, 62/19, 77/9
table 18/25
talents 8/18
talk 20/17, 59/2, 63/16, 84/17, 94/2
talked 62/4, 62/15, 64/19
talking 7/1, 62/16, 67/2, 76/7, 83/15, 92/14, 92/19, 93/1, 93/24, 95/4, 99/2
tardy 20/9
taste 30/12
tax 91/23, 97/19
taxes 92/10
taxiway 29/23, 58/11, 58/13, 58/16, 59/5, 59/19, 60/4, 60/8, 60/10, 60/12, 61/5, 61/6, 92/24
TAYLOR 1/11, 2/15
ten 37/11, 79/2, 96/20, 97/14
ten-year 53/7

tenant 30/20, 57/5, 57/11, 57/16, 57/22, 58/4 tenants 31/19 tend 32/7, 99/9 term 7/22, 40/10, 44/3, 47/9, 57/18, 65/11 terminal 11/23, 11/24, 12/8, 12/24, 13/13, 13/14, 13/15, 14/20, 15/8, 15/10, 16/16, 17/1, 17/14, 17/15, 20/23, 21/12, 21/13, 23/7, 24/6, 41/15, 41/16, 41/23, terms 27/13, 28/23, 32/11, 42/7, 46/3, 46/12. 53/13, 56/17, 68/16, 69/3, 72/13, 81/19, 81/24, 89/19, 93/7, 101/9 test 70/2 Thank 4/4, 4/7, 5/9, 5/23, 6/1, 7/8, 9/20, 9/25, 17/12, 19/20, 23/9, 26/8, 26/11, 27/1, 37/1, 37/3, 40/16, 48/20, 49/16, 49/19, 51/22, 51/23, 88/16, 88/17, 91/4, 91/5, 97/19, 97/20, 104/7, 105/22 theirs 35/1, 71/18 theory 84/21 they've 10/13, 16/10, 17/7, 25/20, 76/25 third 70/19 thirty 8/15 three 13/18, 24/15, 44/5, 62/18, 70/12, 78/6, 85/8, 97/2, 105/23 three-page 53/5, 54/12 throw 68/7, 72/3, 102/22 tide 91/1, 92/16 tides 93/9 tie 46/14, 63/4, 64/8, 77/10 Tied 63/18, 64/13, 101/7, 101/17 till 103/25 time 7/2, 8/18, 12/1, 20/11, 20/16, 22/6, 23/1, 24/21, 28/21, 29/17, 29/18, 32/10, 33/11, 36/3, 37/9, 52/19, 56/16, 69/12, 72/24, 74/14, 77/23, 79/3, 80/14, 85/16, 85/17, 93/17, 93/24, 96/25, 98/16, 98/18, 102/6, 102/7, 104/25, 105/19 timely 29/3 times 98/10, 99/12 title 82/2, 88/1 top 32/19 topic 11/16, 16/12 tower 67/13, 100/25, 101/4, 101/9, 102/1, 102/10 Towers 1/17 trace 48/7 track 70/18 traditions 8/24 transaction 28/3 transcript 107/8 transients 17/21 traveling 35/14 trespass 19/10 triggered 39/2 true 19/19, 90/22, 107/8 trust 74/8 Tuesday 85/15 twenty-year 53/8 two 11/2, 13/2, 24/19, 27/23, 38/2, 38/21, 42/1, 44/5, 44/16, 44/23, 47/6, 50/15, 50/24, 53/23, 60/11, 60/18, 60/19, 62/14, 62/19, 69/21, 75/4, 78/6, 97/2, 105/24 two-fold 4/21, 29/14 two-story 43/16, 50/22 two-thirds 72/7 two-year 65/25 type 45/12, 60/21, 64/6 types 63/12, 64/4

U

U.S 1/3, 95/5, 95/7
Uh-oh 22/17
unanimously 8/4, 9/15
uncomfortable 100/4, 100/12
unilaterally 84/18
unit 28/3, 32/20, 37/23
United 8/13
units 29/16, 37/12, 42/8, 62/18
unselfishly 8/17
Upchurch 2/7, 7/18, 18/23, 20/20, 22/2
update 99/8
urges 9/9
usage 90/21
users 63/25
utilize 61/19

-	AIL	oort Authority - February 28, 2000	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O
	v	Z	
	valorem 91/23, 97/18	zip 36/4	
	valuation 42/7		
	value 31/7, 32/18, 37/14, 37/19, 38/6, 38/7, 39/10, 39/14, 39/17, 42/9, 43/4, 43/5, 43/6, 43/11, 43/21,		
-	44/24, 45/4, 45/13, 58/22, 60/20, 62/5, 89/7		
	values 38/2		
	Vehicle 61/8, 68/21		
	versions 42/24		
	View 64/11, 79/9 violates 86/11		
	virtue 33/17		
	visit 64/8, 75/5		
	void 40/5, 40/9 vote 4/16, 5/19, 6/11, 26/23, 41/6, 47/5, 50/7,		
	52/9, 104/22		
	W		
	wait 90/25		
	walked 11/17 walking 17/22		
	warm 63/3		
	warrants 64/4		
	wash 88/24, 89/22, 90/2 washed 93/10		
	waste 102/2		
	water 87/5, 87/17, 89/19, 94/10 WATTS 1/13, 2/16		
	Wayne 9/17		
	week 7/1, 76/2, 76/3, 79/2, 85/16		
	weeks 75/5 Welcome 3/3		
	west 58/13		
	wetland 64/25 wetlands 58/23		
	whim 34/22		
	wiggle 83/1		
	Wildlife 61/21, 61/23, 94/13 willing 39/22, 77/1		
	win-win 47/24		
	wished 11/10 wondered 94/7		
	word 21/17		
	wording 19/6		
	words 52/14 work 10/12, 22/3, 41/19, 53/24, 54/11, 77/19,		
	78/25, 79/4, 81/2, 84/4, 87/8, 88/5, 89/22, 102/13,		
	103/13, 104/5 worked 18/19, 68/10, 93/19		
	working 45/20		
	works 11/25, 55/18, 68/3, 80/17		
	worrying 90/20 worst-case 57/21, 66/23		
	worth 37/8		
	wrap 29/23, 48/14 wrestle 45/22		
	written 31/11, 96/12		
	wrong 25/7, 25/12		
	WUELLNER 1/19		
	X		
	X 2/1, 50/22, 97/12	X I	
	Y		
	year 7/23, 11/4, 11/15, 27/12, 27/13, 30/10, 52/19, 53/7, 54/15, 55/10, 55/13, 56/6, 56/13, 56/21, 57/10,		
	57/25, 58/20, 60/6, 64/9, 67/11, 68/14, 68/23, 69/8,		
	69/9, 69/15, 70/19, 70/20, 74/23, 78/1, 79/10, 79/11,		
	79/17, 81/11, 81/13, 83/13, 83/21, 91/2, 91/17, 92/20, 92/23, 93/3, 98/23, 99/7		
	year's 34/1, 55/15, 56/23, 72/25		
	year-to-year 68/20 years 8/15, 14/22, 15/6, 15/11, 15/25, 27/4, 27/22,	İ	
	years 8/15, 14/22, 15/6, 15/11, 15/25, 27/4, 27/22, 43/25, 44/5, 44/25, 53/6, 53/18, 55/1, 55/23, 60/19,		
Į	64/5, 65/13, 65/15, 66/17, 69/6, 69/10, 69/14, 70/12,		
	72/5, 77/4, 77/12, 91/13, 93/17, 94/12, 94/20, 96/9, 96/21, 96/23, 97/2, 97/3, 97/13, 97/14, 98/2, 98/9,		
	98/13, 99/4, 99/5, 99/8, 99/11, 99/13, 99/18, 105/23		
	young 8/19		
į	younger 23/2		
		COMPANY	
-			
- 1			