		AIRI ORT TUBLIC HEARIN		
	Page	: 1	Page	2
	[1]	ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY	[1]	INDEX
	[2]	Public Hearing	[2]	PAGE
	[3]	held at 4796 U.S. 1 North	[3]	1. Call to Order 3
	[4]	St. Augustine, Florida	[4]	2. Presentation of Revised Staff Budget 3
	[5]	on Monday, September 10, 2001	[5]	3. Public Comment 12
	[6]	from 5:01 p.m. to 5:39 p.m.	[6]	4 70' 1 470 1
	[7]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[7]	F 11 11 A 2000 -
	[8]	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	[8]	6 Adams Company of the contract of the contrac
	[9]	CHARLES LASSITER	[9]	7. Announcement of Percentage that Proposed
ĺ	[10]	DENNIS R. WATTS, Secretary-Treasurer JOSEPH CIRIELLO	[10]	Millage exceeds Rolled-Back Rate 34
	[11]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[11]	8. Setting of Date for Final Budget Hearing 35
	[12]	BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:	[12]	9. Adjournment of Public Hearing 36
	[13]	WILLIAM "BILL" ROSE, Chairman	[13]	
	[14]	JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison BARBARA BOSANKO (Leave of absence)	[14]	
	[15]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[15]	
	[16]	ALSO PRESENT:	[16]	
	[17]	SUSAN BLOODWORTH, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey,	[17]	
	[18]	Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority.	[18]	
	[19]	EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director.		
	[20]	BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director.	[19]	
	[21]	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	[20]	
	[22]	St. Augustine Court Reporters	[21]	
ı	[23]	1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A St. Augustine, FL 32084	[22]	
•	[24]	(904) 825-0570	[23]	
ı	[25]		[24]	
.			[25]	
	Page 3		Page 4	
	£ 11		I ugo T	
9 1	[1]	PROCEEDINGS	F 17	\$7.684.730 which is the amount arrows 1.1.
	[1] [2]		[1]	\$2,684,730, which is the amount generated by the
		MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to	[2]	current millage rate of .28 mills.
	[2]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is	[2] [3]	current millage rate of .28 mills, I apologize for the confusion. The last
The second secon	[2] [3]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002	[2] [3] [4]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got
Comment of the Commen	[2] [3] [4]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner	[2] [3] [4] [5]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and
Comment Property Comment	[2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed?	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9
Common Prince Common Prince Common Co	[2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:6.
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:6. MR. WUELLNER:96, which created about a
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] 9]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:6. MR. WUELLNER:96, which created about a \$4,000 set \$4,075 total error between the two numbers. So that has been corrected so that it reflects now the .28 mills exactly.
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [7]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000,	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
[1] [1] [1] [1]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital outlay of \$8,698,167, which has changed. Debt	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital outlay of \$8,698,167, which has changed. Debt service and reserves of \$1,256,734, which has	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital outlay of \$8,698,167, which has changed. Debt service and reserves of \$1,256,734, which has changed since the last version you saw.	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital outlay of \$8,698,167, which has changed. Debt service and reserves of \$1,256,734, which has changed since the last version you saw. A total budget of \$11,258,493, which would	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [11]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital outlay of \$8,698,167, which has changed. Debt service and reserves of \$1,256,734, which has changed since the last version you saw. A total budget of \$11,258,493, which would require against total grant and operating	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WATTS: The call the meeting to order. This is the public hearing, and this is to tentatively adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Wuellner for him to present to the board. Ed? PRESENTATION OF REVISED STAFF BUDGET MR. WUELLNER: Thank you. The budget as it sits today I need to go through it, but let me read the summary quick and then let me take you through what's changed since the last time you saw it, and kind of bring you up to speed on where I think we are, and we'll just kind of mosey all through the process here. It's still calling for 12 positions. Personnel services of \$545,592, which has not changed. Operating expenditures of \$758,000, which has not changed. Well yeah. Capital outlay of \$8,698,167, which has changed. Debt service and reserves of \$1,256,734, which has changed since the last version you saw. A total budget of \$11,258,493, which would	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	current millage rate of .28 mills. I apologize for the confusion. The last time we handed out the staff budget, it got printed with a less than 100 percent .2800, and it came out at .27-, what was it, -9 MR. CIRIELLO:

	AIRPORT PUBLIC HEAR	HING - DEEL	10, 2001
Page :	5	Page 6	
[1]	It was originally, if you remember, a	[1]	acquisitions under the Araquay Park, which was
[2]	\$500,000 item. It deleted that portion off the	[2]	originally shown at \$1.5 million, down to
[3]	revenue side. It also created \$250,000 of	[3]	\$500,000, total dollars. As it was shown at a
[4]	Authority match that would be noncommitted. That	[4]	50/50, 50 percent DOT came out of again, came
[5]	went into the reserve line item in the	[5]	out of the revenue side as grant funds coming in.
[6]	operating or the expenditure budget, which is	[6]	Ours the balance of the share went into the
[7]	a new item, and I'll give you the details on that	[7]	reserve our side of the equation, if you will,
[8]	as we go through.	[8]	went into the reserve line item that's now on the
[9]	The other item is we discovered under the	[9]	expenditure budget off the capital budget.
[10]	environmental assessment line, under two darker	[10]	So the only other significant change,
[11]	green lines, originally there were two projects.	[11]	obviously, is in the expenditure budget, which
[12]	If you remember, they were reversed. Since that	[12]	now has a line item shown.
[13]	time, the federal grant has shown up for the	[13]	We changed the last heading on the
[14]	that project for just the environmental.	[14]	expenditure budget, which used to say debt
[15]	The two project elements that were carried	[15]	service only, to debt service and reserve. The
[16]	separately are really one project under that, so	[16]	first line was added called cash reserves. These
[17]	we only needed half as much project and it's now	[17]	
[18]	appropriately shown as a federal grant project at	[18]	are non would be nonrestricted cash reserves.
[19]	90/5/5. So, that adjusted, too. What wasn't	[19]	Totaling \$789,075 at this point.
[20]	used on the DOT and what wasn't what wasn't		The principal loan, you know, obviously
[21]	used on the DOT dropped out of the grants	[20]	there was no value this year, that original loan
[22]	receivable or grants revenue item. What wasn't	[21]	was paid off. It's only shown there as
[23]	used on the Authority side went into reserves at	[22]	historical information. The DOT payback, current
[24]	this point.	[23]	and anticipated, or restricted if you will, are
[25]	•	[24]	still showing in there appropriately so that's
[25]	I changed the amount of property	[25]	its own cash sitting to the side related to the
Page 7		Page 8	
1	25 percent DOT paybacks.	Page 8	Would no longer match what our indebtedness if
[1]		[1]	would no longer match what our indebtedness, if
1	In I discussed this briefly with	[1] [2]	you want to call it that, or our amortized
[1] [2] [3]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of	[1] [2] [3]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for
[1] [2]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go	[1] [2] [3] [4]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other option would have been to go in and use	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established that I have in a sense no control over, could
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other option would have been to go in and use restricted cash out of that budget or out of that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established that I have in a sense no control over, could make recommendations back to this board, but it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other option would have been to go in and use restricted cash out of that budget or out of that line item under the general.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established that I have in a sense no control over, could make recommendations back to this board, but it effectively holds that whatever dollar figure is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other option would have been to go in and use restricted cash out of that budget or out of that line item under the general. The concern I have with ever using that fund	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established that I have in a sense no control over, could make recommendations back to this board, but it effectively holds that whatever dollar figure is given to it on an annual basis, my recommendation
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	In — I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about — it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other option would have been to go in and use restricted cash out of that budget or out of that line item under the general. The concern I have with ever using that fund for money is, while it's available and usable, it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established that I have in a sense no control over, could make recommendations back to this board, but it effectively holds that whatever dollar figure is given to it on an annual basis, my recommendation is it eventually be funded to approximately a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	In I discussed this briefly with Mr. Watts, our secretary/treasurer, a couple of weeks ago about where I thought I was going to go with this, and he generally agreed with me that we really have never set up any type of operating reserves at all. The only money available to the Authority was in the form of this restricted fund. My concern with ever having an emergency or anything that came about it could be a grant project, it could be some expected, something that came up in a year, would be we'd only be able to address it in one of two ways, would be to find projects we could cut out of the capital budget to free up enough operating capital to allow that project to match or do something we may have only known in short order. The other option would have been to go in and use restricted cash out of that budget or out of that line item under the general. The concern I have with ever using that fund	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	you want to call it that, or our amortized amount, sinking fund amount, if you will, for what we owe DOT ten years down the road would not agree and would be off by whatever was taken out of there. So it, to me, present would present a negative it would certainly present a negative comment in the budget, but I think it also presents you're going to get a ding on your audit that really is unnecessary to do or unnecessary in terms of how to do it. It would be cleaner to delete projects which present you with a challenge identifying what could be expended or reprioritizing in a year, which is obviously a challenge at any time. Our suggestion, from a staff side was, I'd like to see a cash reserve account established that I have in a sense no control over, could make recommendations back to this board, but it effectively holds that whatever dollar figure is given to it on an annual basis, my recommendation

Page 9 [1] or any grant or any emergency that we might [2] reasonably expect. It also represents a little [3] bit more than the annual operating budget. Page 10 [1] half a million dollars or a million dollars, it [2] could obviously present a problem, that you'd [3] have no budget for the balance of the year, which	
[2] reasonably expect. It also represents a little [2] could obviously present a problem, that you'd	
I can be a second of the secon	
[4] So, no matter what happened in a year, it [3] have no budget for the balance of the year, which would be a very difficult scenario.	
[1] would be a very difficult scenario.	
[2] So, mayor a minion's not the appropriate	
[o] manager mayor it is and you want to look at it	
I a series of taking this	
[0] approach, material you establish the reserves at,	
[2] anony or county at this point in the budget	
[10] to a journal to take the follower late,	
[13] that man and a latter than the same of now index you to nothing in	
[13] The second of the second	
to come to capital backet now,	
[15] Abot English was and the side of the immage tate,	
[10] Which is still my - my recommendation is we get	
[17] budget for a natural disease shakersh	
[14] pointy want to do that over a year of two and get	
[10] More of you know, chilef way, you to not	
[70] Between the many and district the first t	
[es] to the step it and get their and take the	
[24] Tonodek tate this year and take your chances	
[22] Bostionles is it because it is to be a second to the control of the control	
[eq] that you can	
Teel I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
[25] nappened and all of a sudden you need to find a [25] the difference between the two is \$270,391, is	
Page 11 Page 12	
[1] the total difference between the rolled-back rate [1] difference is in the rollback rate and the	
[2] and where you are today. [2] existing millage rate or of .28.	
[3] I provided you a table at the back, just so [3] That's it in a nutshell. Otherwise, we	
[4] you could get an idea of what the net effect is, [4] didn't make any other significant changes in	
[5] if that makes any difference to you. I put the	
[6] exact rollback millage on the right-hand it's [6] that single line item and the adjustments	
[7] not the extreme right-hand column, but the [7] obviously in the grant funds and all to make it	
[8] showing the 2 point2518 millage rate and the [8] work. So, that's where it is. And we turn it	
[9] .28 millage rate, gave you the comparison and [9] back to you, Mr. Acting	
[10] added a column that showed you the net difference [10] Chairman/Secretary-Treasurer.	
[11] based on a specific valuation of property. [11] MR. WATTS: Big chair to fill. Next, public	
[12] So if you want to see what at a hundred [12] comment.	ļ
[13] thousand dollar home or after homestead exemption [13] (No public comment.)	ĺ
[14] value, you see the net change of taking the [14] MR. WATTS: If we have no public comment,	1
[15] rollback rate versus taking the existing tax rate [15] discussion of the budget. Mr. Ciriello?	
[16] is \$2.82. So you get a feel for just how [16] DISCUSSION OF BUDGET	
[17] significant the impact is. [17] MR. CIRIELLO: You want me to go first?	
[18] While \$270,000 is indeed a fair amount of [18] Okay. As you know, at the last meeting, I asked	
[19] money, it's not a lot when you divide it over all [19] Mr. Wuellner if we could live with the rollback	
[20] the citizens in the community or all the [20] rate of .25 and he said he thought he could and	
[25]	
[21] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [21] he would work the figures, but since we didn't	1
[21] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [22] governing factor, but it's just to give you an [23] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [24] he would work the figures, but since we didn't [25] actually direct him to do that, his	
[21] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [22] governing factor, but it's just to give you an [23] idea of what does that mean, I threw it out. [24] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [25] he would work the figures, but since we didn't [26] actually direct him to do that, his [27] recommendation is still 2.8 or .28.	
[21] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [22] governing factor, but it's just to give you an [23] taxpayers. Not that that in itself should be the [24] he would work the figures, but since we didn't [25] actually direct him to do that, his	

r		AIRFORT PUBLIC HEARIN	O - DEI .	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	Page 1	3	Page 1	4	
	[1]	live with a rollback rate. Now, on this	[1]	that .25 and I'd like to see it go there, rather	
-	[2]	expenditure budget, he added the 780-some	[2]	than stay at .28, which it has for the last two,	
	[3]	thousand dollars for the reserve, and I think	[3]	three, four years, because I think just there are	
	[4]	it's a good idea.	[4]	some things we can live without.	
	[5]	I think that down the road, it may be I	[5]	And I think he come up with a pretty good	
	[6]	don't think so, but it may be possible to have	[6]	budget and the reserve idea and we could live	
	[7]	this airport paying for itself if you get the	[7]	with that .25 with his new budget, and that's	
	[8]	expenditures and revenues equaling out and then	[8]	what I'd like to see.	
l	[9]	you would have reserves for emergencies.	[9]	MR. WATTS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter?	
- 1	[10]	Now, if we go with the .28 that he	[10]	MR. LASSITER: I think Joe and I are pretty	
	[11]	recommends and we get \$789,000, if you go with	[11]	much on the same line of the page except I have a	
l	[12]	the .25 that I want, at 600 and some thousand	[12]	little more, I guess, different slant on where	
1	[13]	like he said, it's \$270,000 left, but that's	[13]	we're going with the thing.	
[[14]	still a half a million dollars in a reserve, or a	[14]	I agree with Joe; I think that the reserves	١
1	[15]	little over a half a million. And in two years,	[15]	are necessary. Every business that I've ever	ĺ
] [[16]	this year or next year, you'll have a million.	[16]	been involved with has some kind of reserves for	
] [[17]	And if nothing comes up, a disaster of any	[17]	the simple fact that to go in and change, set up	
] [[18]	kind, another two-year period, which really at my	[18]	your budget and then come back later and change	
] [19]	age, four years passes by awful quick, you would	[19]	it and have to juggle numbers to make it through	
	20]	have a couple of million dollars. And at that	[20]	the end of the year because you didn't figure	ļ
- 1	21]	time, if we could get the revenues and	[21]	something would pop up that you didn't didn't	
	22]	expenditures equaling out, you could be off the	[22]	anticipate, that is that is always a major	ĺ
	23]	tax rolls. I still don't think it will happen,	[23]	problem, whether it's a business or whether it's	
1	24]	but it could be.	[24]	a government entity. And I believe the County	1
[2	25]	But still, I still think we can live with	[25]	carries reserve	П
- 1			1		ı
	Daga 15				
1	Page 15	MP WHILLING CO.	Page 16		
][1]	MR. WUELLNER: Sure.	[1]	decide, well, we finally made it to the point	
]	1] 2]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't	[1] [2]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but	
[1] 2] 3]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say	[1] [2] [3]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to	
	1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our	
[.	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens.	
[[1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be	
[[]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and	
	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 66] 77] 88]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is	
] [:] [:] [:] [:]	1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 6] 77] 88]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary.	
[] [] [] [] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 66] 7] 88] 90] 11]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our	
[:] [:]	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 77] 9] 0] 1] 2]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22]	MR. LASSITER: — accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and — with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 55] 66] 7] 88] 90] 11] 22] 33] 4]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 33] 44] 5]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 33] 44] 55]	MR. LASSITER: — accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and — with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something.	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 0] 1] 2] 4] 5] 7] 6]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it,	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 4] 5] 6] 7]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a reserve of a half a million, which again, if it's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it, whatever is comfortable for everybody, then we	
[[[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 22] 33] 4] 6] 7] 6] 7]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a reserve of a half a million, which again, if it's a one million or if you want to set a percentage	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it, whatever is comfortable for everybody, then we can do that.	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 7]	MR. LASSITER: — accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and — with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a reserve of a half a million, which again, if it's a one million or if you want to set a percentage of budget reserve or something of that nature, I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it, whatever is comfortable for everybody, then we can do that. And at the same time, again, we have made	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 4] 5] 6] 7] 6] 1] 6] 7]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a reserve of a half a million, which again, if it's a one million or if you want to set a percentage of budget reserve or something of that nature, I don't think we need to do it all in one fell	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it, whatever is comfortable for everybody, then we can do that. And at the same time, again, we have made this airport in this last year, through revenue	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6] 6]	MR. LASSITER: — accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and — with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a reserve of a half a million, which again, if it's a one million or if you want to set a percentage of budget reserve or something of that nature, I don't think we need to do it all in one fell swoop.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it, whatever is comfortable for everybody, then we can do that. And at the same time, again, we have made this airport in this last year, through revenue increases and tax reduction, over \$600,000 more	
[1] 2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6] 7] 6]	MR. LASSITER: accounts, and I don't think that that's anything that someone can say that's not prudent to do. Now, having said that, what is a reserve needed and how do you build that reserve? The way I like to look at this thing is, is our obligation is to move this airport along, to be safe as we can make it, and with the volume of traffic and who we serve, but at the same time, understand that, as Joe says, some day we would like to have this thing where it pretty well perks on itself. The way I look at this is, our revenues went up \$300,000 last year. If we do take the rollback, then we come, reduce our tax base actually by \$270-, that's \$600,000 towards independence; but, at the same time, it leaves a reserve of a half a million, which again, if it's a one million or if you want to set a percentage of budget reserve or something of that nature, I don't think we need to do it all in one fell	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	where we've got enough that we can cut taxes, but by the way, we want to set the reserve up here to get the tax cut, I don't think that's fair to our citizens. I think our job is to be prudent, to be foresighted enough to see, if things are going to come our way, that we need to cover them, and therefore I agree with Joe. I think a reserve is necessary. I think if we take our rollback, we end up still with a very nice reserve, and if we in our planning decide to do this for two years or three and come up with some kind of ratioing of budget-to-reserves or revenues-to-reserves or whatever MR. WUELLNER: Something. MR. LASSITER: we may want to base it, whatever is comfortable for everybody, then we can do that. And at the same time, again, we have made this airport in this last year, through revenue	

Pa	ge 17	
[1		Page 18
1		[1] assist in some of the cleanup expenditures, the
[2	. 5	[2] documented expenditures from us to mitigate some
[3	• •	[3] of the results the disaster. But they don't
[4		[4] the replacement, if you will, of property in and
[5	•	[5] the like is a function of insurance and a loan or
[6		[6] appropriation, depending on how you're
[7]		[7] structuring it.
[8]	•	[8] MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, the idea of having
[9]		[9] a reserve, which I say is a good idea like
[10]		[10] Mr. Lassiter and everything, but the fear part of
[11]	,	[11] bringing up natural disasters isn't as great as
[12]	·	[12] some other need we might have.
[13]		[13] MR. WUELLNER: I think realistically you're
[14]		[14] right. You know
[15]		[15] MR. CIRIELLO: But you'd still need
[16]	7 11 1	[16] MR. WUELLNER: to say that it's a million
[17]		[17] dollars for a natural disaster would be
[18]	MR. CIRIELLO: But supposing we do have a	[18] MR. CIRIELLO: You'd still need
[19]	•	[19] MR. WUELLNER: would serve a single
[20]	usually at a lower rate than	[20] argument for it.
[21]	MR. WUELLNER: It's	[21] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. If we could go with
[22]	MR. CIRIELLO: you normally get. But do	[22] the rollback rate and have that cushion of 600
[23]	you get any money from them that you don't have	[23] and something thousand, like I said
[24]	to pay back? I mean, from	[24] MR. WUELLNER: Well you'd have 5 I did
[25]	MR. WUELLNER: They yes. They will	[25] the numbers for you. It's \$518 \$518,684 is
D.	- 10	
1 -	e 19	Page 20
[1]	the number I come up with.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.
[1] [2]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all
[1] [2] [3]	the number I come up with, MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same,	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same	 [1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand?	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years,	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money.	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money. MR. CIRIELLO: No, but I mean as a point of	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. [20] MR. LASSITER: and we have started seeing
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money. MR. CIRIELLO: No, but I mean as a point of theory, then you're	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money. MR. CIRIELLO: No, but I mean as a point of theory, then you're MR. WUELLNER: Then you can start looking at	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. [20] MR. LASSITER: and we have started seeing [21] some of the results of that capital spending by increased income, but I think we're reaching a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money. MR. CIRIELLO: No, but I mean as a point of theory, then you're MR. WUELLNER: Then you can start looking at rollbacks or start looking at you know, you're	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. [20] MR. LASSITER: and we have started seeing [21] some of the results of that capital spending by [22] increased income, but I think we're reaching a [23] point to where really if you look out down the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money. MR. CIRIELLO: No, but I mean as a point of theory, then you're MR. WUELLNER: Then you can start looking at rollbacks or start looking at you know, you're going to be funding your capital program more and	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. [20] MR. LASSITER: and we have started seeing [21] some of the results of that capital spending by [22] increased income, but I think we're reaching a [23] point to where really if you look out down the [24] horizon, many of the capital expenditures were
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	the number I come up with. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. Would you say next year, theoretically if everything stays the same, property values will go up, they always do, we could end up if everything stayed stagnant or static, that we would end up doing the same thing next year, we could still end up with that 500 and some thousand? MR. WUELLNER: I think you would have that as a minimum. MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: It's whether you could supplement it again if you needed to. MR. CIRIELLO: So then, every two years, we'll end up with a million dollars, and if we go five or six years without any real disasters, we could end up with 2 or 3 million in the reserves. MR. WUELLNER: It wouldn't be my recommendation to just keep banking excess money. MR. CIRIELLO: No, but I mean as a point of theory, then you're MR. WUELLNER: Then you can start looking at rollbacks or start looking at you know, you're	[1] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. [2] MR. WUELLNER: which is where we all [3] wanted to go at some point anyway. [4] MR. LASSITER: I agree with what Ed's [5] saying. I don't want us to see an indefinite [6] money source. I think there needs to be a logic [7] to it. I think there needs to be a reserve. But [8] I don't think it's something that if we fund it, [9] we need to continuously fund it. [10] I think there should be some kind of [11] mechanism that tells us what kind of reserve we [12] think is prudent and then stop and then start [13] giving more back to the public, if we [14] MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. [15] MR. LASSITER: are satisfying our capital [16] needs as we have the last two years that I've [17] been on the board. We've spent heavily on [18] capital needs [19] MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely. [20] MR. LASSITER: and we have started seeing [21] some of the results of that capital spending by [22] increased income, but I think we're reaching a [23] point to where really if you look out down the

		AIRPORT PUBLIC HEARIN			
ĺ	Page 21		Page 2	2	_
	[1]	expenditures coming up.	[1]	hundred thousand dollars, and that was the year I	
	[2]	So, we are now at a point literally where	[2]	got here, if you which predates everybody	
	[3]	we're saying, okay, we've kind of turned the	[3]	sitting at this table, but the Authority had	
-	[4]	proverbial corner and we're looking at some years	[4]	managed to budget keep in mind I got here in	
	[5]	where we may do more than just roll back. But as	[5]	January but starting in October, through that	
	[6]	long as there's reserves and as long as our	[6]	budget process going into that year, had managed	
	[7]	capital planning is done properly and as long as	[7]	not to put their restricted fund as an	
	[8]	we serve the community	[8]	expenditure item or hold the money, if you want	
	[9]	MR. CIRIELLO: Let me ask you a question to	[9]	to call it that, and it created a serious	Į
	[10]	Mr. Ed on your line of thinking, Charlie. I know	[10]	capital or not a capital, but a serious cash	
	[11]	it might make some research on your part, but	[11]	issue within three or four months of when I got	
1	[12]	would it be possible to go back, say four, five,	[12]	here. Thankfully, we had a CPA that clued us in	
1	[13]	six, ten years or whatever and look at some of	[13]	as to what was going on.	
[[14]	the things and then just try to say, well, this	[14]	But if you remember, the Authority had to go	
] [[15]	is an area where we could have used a reserve,	[15]	out and borrow a half a million dollars to use	
] [16]	this is an area and come up with about an	[16]	we used it for capital, but it freed up those	
1	[17]	average of what reserves would have been	[17]	same dollars to the operating budget in a	Ì
1	18]	beneficial if we'd have had it back then, to give	[18]	sense, it was just a budgetary maneuver.	
1	19]	us a better idea of where not that we're going	[19]	But it cost you, in paying that back over a	۱
[2	20]	to be against this, but an idea of where, like	[20]	five-year period, nearly a hundred thousand	ı
[2	21]	Charlie said, to have a maximum somewhere to	[21]	·	ļ
[2	22]	where we could stop banking, you know, putting it	[22]	dollars in round numbers, very round numbers. Probably, realistic, it was \$85,000 when it was	l
[2	23]	in there and	[23]	all said and done.	ĺ
[2	24]	MR. WUELLNER: I can give you one example	[24]	But that was serious money that wouldn't	
[2	25]	that, you know, ultimately costs you about a	[25]	have had to have been used. It could have been	
			[20]	have had to have been used. It could have been	l
P	age 23		Page 24		1
] [1]	appropriated for that single year that the	[1]	no-capital year. You could scrape by, but you'd	
1	2]	reserve built up and no it would have saved a	[2]	have you've got grants, you've got projects	ĺ
[3]	hundred thousand dollars, in other words, of	[3]	that are committed at this point based on this	
[4]	interest expense just by simply using our own	[4]	next year's budget. You could be in some serious	
[:	5]	money.	[5]	economic	
[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, are you going	[6]		•
[,	7]	to		MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, are you saving	
[8	oΊ		ı	MR. CIRIELLO: Well then, are you saying that you are going to or we don't need to come up	
1.,	oj	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could	[7]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up	
[9	_		[7] [8]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper,	
[10	9]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could	[7] [8] [9]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the	
	9] 0]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of	[7] [8] [9] [10]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of	
[10	9] 0] 1]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I	
[10 [1:	9] 0] 1] 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen.	[7] [8] [9] [10]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at	
[10 [11 [12	9] 0] 1] 2]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of	
[10 [11 [12 [13	9] 0] 1] 2] 3]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut	
[10 [11] [12] [14]	9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and	
[10 [11] [12 [12 [14]	9] 0] 1] 2] 3] 4]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is	
[10 [12 [12 [14 [15 [16	9) 0) 11] 22] 33] 44] ::	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable.	
[10 [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	9] 11] 22] 33] 4] 47 7] 18	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened,	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million	
[10 [12 [13 [14 [15 [16 [17 [18	9) 11) 22) 33) 44) 55) 57) 17) 18)	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened, it could seriously affect your ability to assess	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million sense is if you looked at your personnel	
[10 [12 [12 [14 [15 [16 [17 [18	9) 11) 22] 23] 44] 55] 77] 18] 19] 20]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened, it could seriously affect your ability to assess ad valorem in a year. They could determine you	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million sense is if you looked at your personnel expenditures, you looked at your actual operating	
[10] [12] [12] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	[P] [O] [O] [1] [1] [2] [3] [4] [4] [5] [5] [6] [7] [7] [8] [8] [6] [7] [7] [6] [7] [7] [7] [8] [7] [7] [8] [8] [8] [8] [8] [9] [8] [9] [9] [9] [9] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened, it could seriously affect your ability to assess ad valorem in a year. They could determine you after the fact ineligible to have collected and	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million sense is if you looked at your personnel expenditures, you looked at your actual operating budgets, the bare-bones number that, if no other	
[10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	9) 11) 122) 33] 44) 55] 56] 67 68] 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened, it could seriously affect your ability to assess ad valorem in a year. They could determine you after the fact ineligible to have collected and therefore you can't have any of the money you	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million sense is if you looked at your personnel expenditures, you looked at your actual operating budgets, the bare-bones number that, if no other funds were available, could be funded internally,	
[10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	9] 11] 22] 33] 41] 55] 65] 67] 67] 68] 68] 68] 68] 68] 68] 68] 68] 68] 68	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened, it could seriously affect your ability to assess ad valorem in a year. They could determine you after the fact ineligible to have collected and therefore you can't have any of the money you thought you were going to have in ad valorem.	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million sense is if you looked at your personnel expenditures, you looked at your actual operating budgets, the bare-bones number that, if no other funds were available, could be funded internally, I think that's probably real close, which is	
[10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	p) o) 11) 22] 4] 55] 67] 60] 60] 60] 60] 60] 60] 60] 60] 60] 60	MR. WUELLNER: Now, hopefully that could you know, I don't intend to make those kind of mistakes, but let me give you another example of the situation that could happen. There is a possibility that somewhere during the budgeting and public hearing and statutory process, in setting your setting this ad valorem up, there's always the possibility that something was not done in strict accordance with Florida Statutes, and if challenged and happened, it could seriously affect your ability to assess ad valorem in a year. They could determine you after the fact ineligible to have collected and therefore you can't have any of the money you thought you were going to have in ad valorem. Now that would shave \$2.6 million at this	[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that you are going to or we don't need to come up with you know, I like to see things on paper, and if you could give me a page of some of the things I just said, you know, about an average of what we would need for a reserve fund, I MR. WUELLNER: I would be happy to look at that in more detail and give you some idea of where I think an appropriate loan my gut feeling is it's somewhere between one million and what you expect to levy in ad valorem taxes, is probably somewhere very reasonable. What I mean by a million in the million sense is if you looked at your personnel expenditures, you looked at your actual operating budgets, the bare-bones number that, if no other funds were available, could be funded internally,	

Page 25 [1] When you take a one million dollar reserved [1] the three years is over, you've got \$200,000 just [2] for restricted cash excuse me, cash [3] reservation number there, combined with the [4] restricted cash account number as it sits today, [4] MR. LASSITER: So the simple fact is, is	
[2] for restricted cash excuse me, cash [3] reservation number there, combined with the [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.	
[2] for restricted cash excuse me, cash [3] reservation number there, combined with the [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.	
[3] reservation number there, combined with the [3] MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah.	
[5] you're real close to that number. [5] that if we go to this number, we have to look at	
[6] I mean, in the worst possible scenario [6] it and say this number is not a stagnant	
[7] developing in a single fiscal year, you could [7] number a static number. It's going to be	
[8] respond to it internally right now without having [8] doing its own thing out there, assuming that we	
[9] to go through a borrow, with some reserve here. [9] say, okay, the reserves will grow at a pace that	
[10] MR. CIRIELLO: Okay. [10] the interest will allow it to grow. So we don't	
[11] MR. WUELLNER: Without it, I think you get [11] necessarily have to fund every penny of it, we	
[12] into some serious having to [12] can say over this many years, we'll do this. And	
[13] MR. LASSITER: I think that we need to [13] those are the things that Ed just needs to look	
[14] MR. WUELLNER: I'll put some [14] at and say, okay, where do we need to be?	
[15] recommendations. [15] And the other thing that I'd like Ed to do	
[16] MR. LASSITER: Yeah, put some [16] is again, we do this and you do it for an	
[17] recommendations. Here's one other facet of this [17] obvious reason is, what is the other airports	
[18] thing we're not even looking at. If we reserve [18] around our size, what are they doing in their	
[19] these funds, these funds are going to earn [19] budgets? Are they reserving these things? And	
[20] interest. So they're a self-generating, [20] looking at whatever	
[21] self-sustaining type of operation. [21] MR. WUELLNER: I'd love to be able to find	
[22] If you think we need a million and we figure [22] that answer, but fortunately/unfortunately, most	
[23] three years and you're setting aside a half [23] airports are not set up in the same fashion as	Ì
[24] million this year and quarter of a million the [24] this Authority is. I don't there may be one	
[25] next and a quarter million the next, by the time [25] or two others that I could find reasonable	
	-
Page 27 Page 28	
Page 27 [1] information on, although it would be extremely [1] with some of these figures and give us an idea of	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [1] with some of these figures and give us an idea of	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [6] what's going, if we went along with the [7] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [8] for today, then next week you come in with these	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [1] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [2] what's going, if we went along with the [3] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say,	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [6] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [2] what's going, if we went along with the [3] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [2] what's going, if we went along with the [8] what's going, if we went along with the [9] what's going, if we went along with the [10] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [11] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [12] what's going, if we went along with the [13] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [14] for today, then next week you come in with these [15] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [16] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [17] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [18] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the	
information on, although it would be extremely shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an idea. But most of them are what they call either dependent airport authorities or which effectively means they're subservient in a sense, effectively means they're subservient in a sense, subject to the whim of another board such as the County Commission or the City Commission, or even and suith some of these figures and give us an idea of what's going, if we went along with the for today, then next week you come in with these figures and everything and we look at it and say, well, that half a million reserve, we can live comfortably with that, then can we then at the comfortably with that, then can we then at the last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at in some cases created by a separate act of the legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] with some of these figures and give us an idea of what's going, if we went along with the commendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [2] what's going, if we went along with the [3] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem	
information on, although it would be extremely [1] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [12] what's going, if we went along with the [8] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] Last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] tonight, we're done? [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] bring it down. Bringing it up would be	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [12] what's going, if we went along with the [8] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] Last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] tonight, we're done? [11] capability. [12] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [15] what's going, if we went along with the [16] what's going, if we went along with the [17] what's going, if we went along with the [18] commendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [18] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [19] tonofortably with that, then can we then at the [19] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] tonight, we're done? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [12] bring it down. Bringing it up would be [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] with some of the sevent along with the [15] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [16] tonight, we're done? [17] tonight down. Bringing it up would be	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] with some of these figures and give us an idea of what's going, if we went along with the ecommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [16] what's going, if we went along with the [17] what's going, if we went along with the [18] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] what's going, if we went along with the [18] commendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] what's going, if we went along with the [18] capability and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, if we went along with the [18] capability and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, if we went along with the [18] the orday, if affects how we	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [12] what's going, if we went along with the [13] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [14] for today, then next week you come in with these [15] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [16] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [17] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [18] Lest meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [19] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] tonight, we're done? [11] capability. [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [15] advertise the final budget hearing.	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [13] what's going, if we went along with the [14] for today, then next week you come in with these [15] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [16] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [17] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [18] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [18] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [19] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [3] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] for today, then next week you come in with these [5] figures and everything and the leok at and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] to mind the small problem and the comfort of the tax rate at [9] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] to night, we're done? [11] to night, we're done? [12] with that half a	
[1] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] what's going, if we went along with the [13] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [14] for today, then next week you come in with these [15] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [16] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [17] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [18] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [18] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [19] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [10] tonight, we're done? [11] capability. [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [12] bring it down. Bringing it up would be [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. WUELLNER: There's specific statutory	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [13] what's going, if we went along with the [14] for today, then next week you come in with these [15] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [16] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [17] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [18] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [18] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [19] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [12] bring it down. Bringing it up would be [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. LASSITER: Well then we make our own [18] requirements in how we do it.	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] in some cases created by a separate act of the [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. LASSITER: Well then we make our own [19] with some of these figures and give us an idea of [2] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [2] what's going, if we went along with the [3] recommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] what's going, if we went along with the [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [9] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [10] the totaly, that half a million reserve, we can live [10] to comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] tonight, we're done? [11] MR. WUELLNER: I think at any point, you can [12] bring it down. Bringing it up would be [13] problematic. Because if you made the decision to [14] take lower rate today, it affects how we [15] advertise the final budget hearing. [16] MR. CIRIELLO: We couldn't go back up. [17] MR.	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. WUELLNER: In a sense. You know, we [19] MR. WUELLNER: I'd rather advertise if	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or — which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique — we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. LASSITER: Well then we make our own [19] rules. [20] MR. WUELLNER: In a sense. You know, we [21] need to comply with normal good business [21] what's going, if we went along with the [22] what's going, if we went along with the [23] what's going, if we went along with the [24] what's going, if we went along with the [25] comfortably with en next week you come in whith these [4] for today, then next week you come in whith these [5] figures and everything and we look at it and say, [6] well, that half a million reserve, we can live [7] comfortably with that, then can we then at the [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [10] tomofortably with that, then can we then at the [8] last meeting make a motion to put the tax rate at [9] the rollback rate of .25, or if we don't do it [19] make a motion to put the tax rate at [10] tomofortably with that, then can we then at the [11] tomofortable with that, then can we then at the [12] would don't do it [13] tomofortable with that, then can we then at the [14] tomofortable with that, then can we then at the [18] tomofortable with	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] we're one of the few unique we're a very [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. LASSITER: Well then we make our own [19] meed to comply with normal good business [20] MR. WUELLNER: In a sense. You know, we [21] need to comply with normal good business [22] 28 at all, then we have to advertise it as a	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or — which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [9] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique — we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focusing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. WUELLNER: In a sense. You know, we [19] mR. WUELLNER: I'd rather advertise — if [10] need to comply with normal good business [21] MR. WUELLNER: I'd rather advertise it as a — [23] MR. CIRIELLO: We'll, let me ask you this, [25] what's going, if we went along with the [27] what's going, if we went along with the [28] what's going, if we went along with the [28] what's going, if we went along with the [28] trecommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [4] what's going, if we went along with the [5] figures and everything and se and said that we'll keep at .28 [6] what's going, if we went along with the [6] if trecommendation and said that we'll keep at .28 [6] what's going, if we went along with the [6] if grotoday, then next week you come in with these [7] commission, or even in which these [8] count of they un comporate the look at it and say, [8] well, that half a find say, [9] that half a million reserve, we can live [9] that half a million reserve, we can live [9] they will, that half a million reserve, we can live [18] the next be obtained a state that the substant the sent the same and everything an	
[1] information on, although it would be extremely [2] shaded in terms of totals, but it'd give you an [3] idea. [4] But most of them are what they call either [5] dependent airport authorities or which [6] effectively means they're subservient in a sense, [7] subject to the whim of another board such as the [8] County Commission or the City Commission, or even [8] in some cases created by a separate act of the [10] legislature that doesn't have ad valorem [11] capability. [12] We're one of the few unique we're a very [13] unique setup here, which is I think both a [14] positive in focussing the energy on the airport [15] and the decisions specifically around that best [16] interest, but it's also a negative in terms of [17] trying to find comparables to some data. [18] MR. LASSITER: Well then we make our own [19] rules. [20] MR. WUELLNER: In a sense. You know, we [21] need to comply with normal good business [21] won't en we have to advertise it as a	

Page	29	Page 30
[1]	statutory formula we've got to follow to stay in	[1] that says millage rate remains the same, but they
[2]	compliance.	[2] don't have that and it's not my job to clarify
[3]	If we're going to take the other, it's a	[3] it; just to do it right,
[4]	much more relaxed requirement. We do not have a	[4] MR. WATTS: Joe, any other questions or
[5]	notice of tax increase that has to be advertised	[5] MR. CIRIELLO: No, I think we need to hear
[6]	in the same fashion. We advertise the hearing,	[6] from you now. Charlie and I have been
[7]	but since there's no tax implication to the	[7] MR. LASSITER: Running our mouths.
[8]	average citizen, it's handled entirely different.	[8] MR. WATTS: Well, let me ask you, Ed. This
[9]	It's a much cleaner, much simpler way to go,	[9] .2518, can you live with that? I mean, are you
[10]	is taking the rollback rate. It's by far the	[10] comfortable with that?
[11]	simplest. It's as simple as reducing the taxes	[11] MR. WUELLNER: At this point, the only
[12]	in the scheme of things. You still have to	[12] effect is on a yet-to-be-defined policy that we
[13]	advertise the meeting, but you don't have I	[13] would have related to reserve. And considering
[14]	still think you know, go off on the deep end	[14] it's a new item in this year's budget and
[15]	here the way we have to advertise it is	[15] considering we've never had it, and considering
[16]	misleading to the public, although their	[16] you still have the availability of the restricted
[17]	intention is to make it crystal clear to the	[17] cash if you absolutely had to have additional
[18]	public. But even if you hold the ad valorem	[18] monies, I don't see any big red flags that says,
[19]	rate, it's advertised as an increase because the	[19] you know, there's no way you're making a big
[20]	total tax dollars coming to the Authority	[20] mistake here. You know, I can't do that. I
[21]	increases.	[21] don't see that.
[22]	The net effect to the average citizen is the	[22] I think we've got a very again, a very
[23]	same, but they don't it gets confused in their	[23] reasonable budget with a reasonable expectation
[24]	intent to make it clear, I think. We would be	[24] in cash reserves for the first year out of the
[25]	better off saying, look or have a special ad	[25] blocks and you know, I guess you know, while I
Page		Page 32
[1]	think there's merit to having more money	Page 32 [1] things down, we you know, with the what am
[1]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here?
[1] [2] [3]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it.	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am
[1] [2] [3] [4]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So,	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year.	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I really think this is a good direction to go to.	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million [19] aren't that much, so
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I really think this is a good direction to go to. I agree with Mr. Lassiter and Mr. Ciriello	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million [19] aren't that much, so [20] MR. LASSITER: Yeah, big number. I would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I really think this is a good direction to go to. I agree with Mr. Lassiter and Mr. Ciriello on this. I know the you know, on one side, I	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million [19] aren't that much, so [20] MR. LASSITER: Yeah, big number. I would [21] do we need a motion? Is that what we need?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I really think this is a good direction to go to. I agree with Mr. Lassiter and Mr. Ciriello on this. I know the you know, on one side, I say that, but the other side, you know, I'm	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million [19] aren't that much, so [20] MR. LASSITER: Yeah, big number. I would [21] do we need a motion? Is that what we need? [22] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think the next item,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I really think this is a good direction to go to. I agree with Mr. Lassiter and Mr. Ciriello on this. I know the you know, on one side, I say that, but the other side, you know, I'm concerned about our reserve because like you and	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million [19] aren't that much, so [20] MR. LASSITER: Yeah, big number. I would [21] do we need a motion? Is that what we need? [22] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think the next item, [23] you really
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	think there's merit to having more money available to match things should they come available, we've got time to respond to it. We're not going to know anything related to this TOPS stuff and the like till well into next year, which only leaves you three or four months until the next budget year and plenty of time to respond, if that's the desire of this board. So, I'm comfortable that taking the rollback rate is MR. WATTS: Well, being that MR. WUELLNER: not going to be a problem this year. MR. WATTS: I'm the acting chairman just for the day, you know, as far as I know one of the things that the previous boards have always said was they were trying to get the operating expenditures out of the taxpayers' pocket, and I really think this is a good direction to go to. I agree with Mr. Lassiter and Mr. Ciriello on this. I know the you know, on one side, I say that, but the other side, you know, I'm	[1] things down, we you know, with the what am [2] I trying to say here? [3] MR. WUELLNER: Coinsurance portion could be [4] significant. [5] MR. WATTS: And I just wonder how that would [6] affect us. I don't know what our copay would be [7] on something like that. [8] But but I do, I agree with both of the [9] other board members right now, that I think it [10] would be good public relations, too, to kind of [11] show the public that, you know, rolling it back [12] to the .2518. Charlie, any any other [13] questions? [14] MR. LASSITER: No. Just the reserve that [15] we're setting up on a 50/50 project, we could do [16] a project for \$1,036,000. On a 90/5/5, we could [17] do a \$10,360,000. So [18] MR. WUELLNER: Chances of a 10 million [19] aren't that much, so [20] MR. LASSITER: Yeah, big number. I would [21] do we need a motion? Is that what we need? [22] MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, I think the next item,

	Page 33		Page 34	4	
	[1]	MR. CIRIELLO: No.	[1]	taking from the line on your budget summary of	
	[2]	MR. WATTS: No? Okay.	[2]	debt service and reserves, specifically in the	
	[3]	ADOPTION OF MILLAGE RATE	[3]	expenditure budget from the cash reserves line	
	[4]	MR. WUELLNER: Next thing you would need to	[4]	item. That would be the only adjustment over	
	[5]	do is tentatively adopt a millage rate, which	[5]	what you have already.	
	[6]	would require a motion and a normal procedure	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: Okay.	İ
	[7]	there.	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: Again, that total would be	
	[8]	MR. LASSITER: I make a motion that we adopt	[8]	\$10,988,102 as a total budget.	
	[9]	the rollback rate of	[9]	MR. LASSITER: Now, do we need to make a	
	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: .2518.	[10]	motion to the exact dollar?	١
	[11]	MR. LASSITER:2518 mills.	[11]	MR. WUELLNER: I think at this point,	١
	[12]	MR. WATTS: Do I have a second?	[12]	tentatively, yes.	
	[13]	MR. CIRIELLO: Second.	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Then I make the motion that	
	[14]	MR. WATTS: All in favor?	[14]	we adopt the fiscal year 2001-2002 budget of	ı
	[15]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	[15]	\$10,988,102 as detailed in the budget summary.	
	[16]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[16]	MR. WATTS: Do I have a second?	1
	[17]	MR. WATTS: Aye. Passed.	[17]	MR. CIRIELLO: Second.	ı
	[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. He likes that, doesn't	[18]	MR. WATTS: All those in favor, say aye.	į
	[19]	he? (Indicating gavel.)	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: Aye.	١
	[20]	ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE FY 2001-02 BUDGET	[20]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.	
	[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. With that, there would	[21]	MR. WATTS: Aye. Passed.	١
		be a tentative adoption of the budget and I need	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Okay. And since you have	١
		to come up with a new total number for you here.	[23]	elected to do the rollback rate, there is in	1
	[24]	Okay. I have \$10,988,102 being the total	[24]	terms of announcing a percentage where the	١
	[25]	new budget. The reduction of \$270,391 would be	[25]	budget the millage exceeds the rolled-back	
-					
	Page 35		D 06		٦
	Page 35	rate, it would not do that this year	Page 36		1
	[1]	rate, it would not do that this year.	[1]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the	[1] [2]		
	[1] [2] [3]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have	[1] [2] [3]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem	[1] [2] [3] [4]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
With the second	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular meeting at 4 o'clock.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular neeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rolled-back rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular neeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular neeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular meeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular neeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to convene the public hearing and then come back	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rolled-back rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular meeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to convene the public hearing and then come back with the regular agenda if it's not completed. MR. WATTS: Okay. Doesn't say anything, but	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rolled-back rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular meeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to convene the public hearing and then come back with the regular agenda if it's not completed. MR. WATTS: Okay. Doesn't say anything, but	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rolled-back rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular meeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to convene the public hearing and then come back with the regular agenda if it's not completed. MR. WATTS: Okay. Doesn't say anything, but any other comments, Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular meeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to convene the public hearing and then come back with the regular agenda if it's not completed. MR. WATTS: Okay. Doesn't say anything, but any other comments, Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. WATTS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	So there is no expectation of exceeding the millage or the rolled-back rate, since you have taken the rollback rate as your ad valorem approach. So, there's no need to do that. SETTING OF DATE FOR FINAL BUDGET HEARING MR. WUELLNER: But I do need to tell you that the final budget hearing will be the 17th, which is next Monday. That hearing will begin at 5:01. We will advertise it as such. That will probably occur, the advertisement, Friday or Saturday in the paper. You will have a regular neeting at 4 o'clock. MR. WATTS: Okay. MR. WUELLNER: And we'll adjourn that regular meeting, as is our custom, at 5:01 if we're not finished with the regular meeting to convene the public hearing and then come back with the regular agenda if it's not completed. MR. WATTS: Okay. Doesn't say anything, but any other comments, Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: No. MR. WATTS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: No.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	comment, then I adjourn this meeting.	

			20, 2001
	Page	37	
	[1]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE	
	[2]		
	[3]	STATE OF FLORIDA)	
	[4]	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)	
	[5]		
	[6]	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I	
	[7]	was authorized to and did stenographically report the	
	[8]	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true	
	[9]	record of my stenographic notes.	
	[10]		
	[11]	Dated this 12th day of September, 2001.	
	[12]	Dated this 12th day of September, 2001.	
		(Variet Me Whomas	
	[13]	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR	
	[14]	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires: April 30, 2002	
	[15]	Expirés: April 30, 2002	
	[16]		
	[17]		
	[18]		
	[19]		
ı	[20]		
	[21]		
	[22]		
- 1	[23]		
	[24]		
	[25]		
		A CHILDREN	
ı			
J			
l			

\$ 37, 1024 \$1,365,073 2366 \$1,365,073 2366 \$1,365,073 2366 \$1,365,073 2366 \$1,365,073 2366 \$1,365,073 2367 \$1,	\$		attention 4/16
\$1.0, \$2.0, \$1.0	\$.28 11/24		
\$1.5 3.424 \$500 198		50 6/4	AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23
\$10,000 1124 1232	\$1.3 24/24	500 19/8	AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 5/4, 5/23, 7/8, 22/3,
1310,549,400 207; 207; 207; 207; 207; 207; 207; 20	\$10,000 11/24	5:01 1/6, 35/16, 35/16 5:39 1/6, 36/2	
\$\frac{5}{2}\frac{3}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\fr			authorized 37/7
\$2.5 (2.223) \$2.5 (0.6 0.37), 1.802 \$2.5 (0.6 0.37), 1.802 \$2.5 (0.6 0.37), 1.802 \$2.5 (0.6 0.37), 1.802 \$2.5 (0.6 0.37) \$2.5	\$11,258,493 3/22	6	available 7/7, 7/23, 9/5, 24/22, 31/2, 31/3
\$250,000 425, 80 \$270,1371, 1313 \$350,000 425, 80 \$370,000 1112, 1313 \$350,000 425, 80 \$370,000 1112, 1313 \$350,000 425, 80 \$350,000 1212, 3023 \$3			average 21/17, 24/10, 29/8, 29/22
\$250,000 475, 563 \$7 \$8 \$8 \$7 \$8 \$8 \$7 \$8 \$8		000 13/12, 18/22	- 3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
\$279,000 11/18, 13/13 \$7.29 \$8.500,000 13/15, \$3/15 \$8.500,000 52, 6/3 \$8.500,000 52, 6/3 \$8.500,000 52, 6/3 \$8.500,000 52, 6/3 \$8.500,000 53/17, 16/23 \$9.500,000 51/17, 16/23 \$9.5	\$250,000 4/25, 5/3	7	В
\$270,931 1025, 3325 380,000 1587, 1673 580,000 1587, 1673 1589,000 1587,000 1587,000 1589,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587,000 1587	\$270,000 11/18, 13/13		
\$4,000 4.9	\$270,391 10/25, 33/25	780-some 13/2	banking 19/19, 21/22
Section Sect	\$4,000 4/9	8	
SST8,684 S606,000 15/17, 16/23 578,000 31/17, 16/23 578,000 10/24, 13/11 5789,000 10/24, 13			
\$254, \$25, \$25, \$316		825-0570 1/23	basis 8/22
\$789,000 1074, 1271 789,000 1074, 127	\$545,592 3/16		
Second S	\$600,000		Big 12/11, 30/18, 30/19, 32/20
\$8,879,763 319 \$85,000 2222 A A.A.E 119 ability 97, 921, 2318 absence 114 absence 114 absence 114 accounts 1812		90/5/5 5/19, 32/16	bit 9/3
\$88,500 2212 A.A.E. 1/19 \$\frac{\text{\$\circ}}{\text{\$\circ}}\$ A.A.E. 1/19 A.A.E. 1/19 A.A.E. 1/19 A.A.E. 1/19 A.A.E. 1/19 A.A.B.E. 1/19 Blowing 31/25 Blow 1/17 A.A.E. 1/19 Blow 1/17 A.A.E. 1/19 Blow 1/17 A.A.E. 1/19 Blow 1/17 Blow 1/	\$8,573,763 3/24		
A.A.E. 119 ability 97; 921; 2318 abornet 114 ABSENT 117 (Ms. Bloodworth 4/12 account 15/2 acquisitions 6/1 act 27/9 acting 176, 3114 acting 1			blowing 31/25
ability 97, 921, 23/18 absence 114 ABSENT 11/2 [Ms. Bloodworth 4/12 ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **		_ A	Blvd 1/22
& 11/7	&		BOARD 1/8, 1/12, 3/6, 8/20, 9/5, 20/17, 27/7,
(Ms. Bloodworth 4/12	& 1/17	ability 9/7, 9/21, 23/18 absence 1/14	boards 31/16
Ms. Bloodworth 4/12			
act 27/9 * 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21 * 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21 * 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21 * 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21 * 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21 1 1/3, 2/3 10 1/5, 32/18 11 1/3, 2/3 12 2/5, 2/6, 3/15 12 2/5, 2/6, 3/15 13 2/18 14 2/5, 3/15 15 2/18 15 2/18 16 2/18 17 1/18, 1/23 18 1/18, 3/18 18 1/18, 3/14 18 1/18, 3/12 2 1/18 2		accounts 15/2	
added 6/16, 11/10, 13/2 adjourned 36/2 Adjourned 36/2 Adjourned 12/11 adjourned 36/2 Adjourned 12/11 adjourned 36/2 Adjourned	(MS. Bloodworth 4/12		bringing 18/11, 28/12
address 7/14, 9/22 adjourn 25/15, 36/1 adjourn 27/15, 36/1 adjourn 27/15, 36/1 adjourn 27/15, 36/1 adjourn 27/15, 36/1 adjourned 36/2 dadjourned 36/2 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 36/1 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 36/2 adjourned 27/1 adjourned 36/2 adjour	*		BRYANT 1/13
adjourned 36/2 Adjournment 2/11 adjusted 5/19 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 11 1/3, 2/3 11 1/3 11 1/3, 2/3 11 1/3 11 1/3, 2/3 11 1/3 11 1/3, 2/3 11 1/3 11	* 1/7, 1/11, 1/15, 1/21	address 7/14, 9/22	Budget 2/4, 2/6, 2/8, 2/10, 3/5, 3/7, 3/8, 3/22,
adjusted 5/19 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 10 1/5, 32/18 11 2/5, 2/6, 3/15 12 1/2, 1/6, 3/15 12 1/2, 1/6, 3/15 15 1/2 17 1/17 17			10/3, 10/9, 10/13, 12/15, 12/16, 13/2, 14/6, 14/7,
1 13, 23 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			14/18, 15/21, 22/4, 22/6, 22/17, 23/24, 24/4, 28/15, 30/14, 30/23, 31/7, 33/20, 33/22, 33/25, 34/1, 34/3.
100 4/5 adopt 3/4, 33/8, 33/8, 34/14 Adoption 277, 2/8, 33/3, 33/20, 33/22 adopt 3/4, 33/8, 33/8, 34/14 Adoption 277, 2/8, 33/3, 33/20, 33/22 advertises 28/15, 28/10, 23/18, 32/6 affects 28/13, 28/10, 23/18, 32/6 affects 28/13, 28/10, 23/18, 32/6 affects 28/14 age 13/19 agread 35/19 agreed 35/19 agree		adjustment 34/4	34/8, 34/14, 34/15, 34/25, 35/6, 35/8
12th 37/11 Aduption 27, 18, 33/3, 33/2, 33/2 35/10 35/10 advertised 28/13, 29/15, 29/16, 29/13, 29/15, 29/15 budgeting 23/13 budgets 24/21, 26/19 budgeting 23/13 budgeting 23/14 budgeting 23/1	100 4/5		budgetary 22/18
15th 1/24 170 1/17 17th 35/8 advertised 28/23, 29/5, 29/19 advertisement 35/11 affect 9/20, 23/18, 32/6 affects 28/14 age 13/19 age and 35/19 allow 7/17, 8/25, 9/7, 26/10 allow 10/9 amontized 8/2 amount 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18 Announcement 2/9 announcing 34/24 annual 8/22, 9/3 answer 26/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/22 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/10 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/10 appropriate 23/11 appropriate 23/10 app	12th 37/11	Adoption 2/7, 2/8, 33/3, 33/20, 33/22	budgeted 9/16 budgeting 23/13
170 1/17 17th 35/8 advertisement 35/11 affect 9/20, 23/18, 32/6 affects 28/14 age 13/19 age advertisement 35/11 age 13/19 age advertisement 35/11 affect 9/20, 23/18, 32/6 affects 28/14 age 13/19 age advertisement 35/11 age age advertisement age affects 28/14 age 13/19 age advertisement age affects 28/14 age advertisement age affects affects age age age age age age age affects age affects age affects age age age age affects age a		35/10	budgets 24/21, 26/19
affects 28/14 age 13/19 agree 8/5, 14/14, 16/9, 20/4, 31/20, 32/8 agreed 7/5 2.8. 12/23 2.8. 12/23 2.8. 12/23 2.001 1/5, 37/11 2.001-02 2/8, 33/20 2.57/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 2.518 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 2.5 7/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/2, 38/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/2, 38/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/2, 38/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/2, 38/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/22 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3, 28/29 2.8 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3/3,	170 1/17	advertised 28/23, 29/5, 29/19 advertisement 35/11	built 23/2
2 2 2/4, 11/8, 19/17 2.8 12/23 2.8 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 2.8 12/23 2.8 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 2.8 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 2.8 12/23 2.8 11/8, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/2 2.8 11/8, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/2 2.8 11/8, 11/2 2.8 12/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17	1/th 35/8		business 14/15, 14/23, 27/21, 35/25
2 2/4, 11/8, 19/17 2.8 12/23 2001 1/5, 37/11 2001-02 2/8, 33/20 2011 1/5, 37/11 2001-202 3/4, 34/14 2001-202 3/4, 34/14 2001-202 3/4, 34/14 2001-202 3/4, 34/14 2001-202 3/4, 34/14 21/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 25 7/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 25 18 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 27 4/6 28 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3, 28/22 2800 4/5 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 2 2800 4/5 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/9 4 2/6,	2	age 13/19	r
2.01 1/5, 37/11 2.001-02 2/8, 33/20 2.001 1/5, 37/11 2.001-2.002 3/4, 34/14 2.57 7/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 2.518 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 2.74 4/6 2.84 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3, 28/22 2.800 4/5 3 2.73 2/3, 24/4, 2/5, 19/17 3.2084 1/18, 1/23 3.2/3, 24/4, 2/5, 19/17 3.2084 1/18, 1/23 3.3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3.2084 1/18, 1/23 3.2084 1/1	2 2/4, 11/8, 19/17	agenda 35/19 agree 8/5, 14/14, 16/9, 20/4, 31/20, 32/8	
2001-02 2/8, 33/20 2001-2002 3/4, 34/14 25 7/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 2518 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 27 4/6 28 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3, 28/22 2800 4/5 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6, 35/13 4 796 1/3 2 7/5, 27/14 2 ailow 71/7, 2/6/23 3 allow 71/7, 2/6/23 3 allow 71/7, 8/25, 9/7, 26/10 3 allows 10/9 amortized 8/2 amount 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18 Announcement 2/9 announcing 34/24 ancut 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18 Announcement 2/9		agreed 7/5	came 4/6, 6/4, 7/11, 7/13
airports 26/17, 26/23 25 7/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9 2518 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 27 4/6 28 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3, 28/22 2800 4/5 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/10 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/10 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/10 3 4 2/6, 35/13 4 796 1/3 4 2/6, 35/13 4 796 1/3 airports 26/17, 26/23 allow 7/17, 8/25, 9/7, 26/10 allows 10/9 amortized 8/2 amount 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18 Announcement 2/9 announcing 34/24 annual 8/22, 9/3 answer 26/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 6/23 apologize 4/3 appropriated 9/6, 9/8, 10/5, 17/16, 24/14 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 3/1 appropriated 5/15 carried 5/16 carried 5/15 carried 5/15 carried 5/16 carried 5/15 care 3/12 case 3/12 case 3/12 case 3/12 case 3/12 case 3/12 case	2001-02 2/8, 33/20	27/5, 27/14	Capital 3/18, 4/14, 4/21, 4/24, 6/9, 7/15, 7/16,
2518 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11 27 4/6 28 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3, 28/22 2800 4/5 3 anouncement 2/9 2800 anouncing 34/24 28/2, 2/3 28/2, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 30 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 30 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 30 2/7, 2/8 31 2/7, 2/8 32 2/10 35 2/10 36 2/11 4 2/6, 35/13 4796 1/3 allows 10/9 amortized 8/2 amount 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18 Announcement 2/9 anouncing 34/24	25 7/1, 12/20, 13/12, 14/1, 14/7, 28/9		10/13, 12/5, 17/14, 19/24, 20/15, 20/18, 20/21,
28 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2, 28/3, 28/22 2800 4/5 3 amount 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18 Announcement 2/9 announcing 34/24 annual 8/22, 9/3 answer 26/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipated 6/23 approach 10/8, 35/5 appropriated 9/6, 9/8, 10/5, 17/16, 24/14 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriated 23/1 app	2518 11/8, 30/9, 32/12, 33/10, 33/11	allows 10/9	carried 5/15
Announcement 2/9 announcing 34/24 anticipate 14/22 anticipate 14/2 certify 37/6 chair 12/10 challenge 23/17 chancles 10/21, 32/18 change 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19	28 4/2, 4/11, 11/9, 12/2, 12/23, 12/24, 13/10, 14/2,	amount 4/1, 5/25, 8/3, 11/18	case 31/25
annual 8/22, 9/3 answer 26/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipated 6/23 approach 10/8, 35/5 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriation 18/6 Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6 1/3 annual 8/22, 9/3 answer 26/22 anticipate 14/22 anticipated 6/23 anticipated 6/23 appropriate 9/6, 9/8, 10/5, 17/16, 24/14 appropriated 23/1 appropriated 23/1 appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 app		Announcement 2/9	
3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 3 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 19/17 32084 1/18, 1/23 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/7, 2/8 3 2/9 3 2/10 3 2/10 3 2/11 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6 4 2/6		annual 8/22, 9/3	25/2, 25/4, 30/17, 30/24, 34/3
32084 1/18, 1/23 33 2/7, 2/8 34 2/9 35 2/10 36 2/11 4 2/6, 35/13 4 796 1/3 3 apologize 4/3 approach 10/8, 35/5 appropriate 9/6, 9/8, 10/5, 17/16, 24/14 appropriated 23/1 appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriation 18/6 Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assessment 5/10 Chairman 1/13, 17/6, 31/14 Chairman/Secretary-Treasurer 12/10 challenge 8/14, 8/16 challenged 23/17 chances 10/21, 32/18 change 6/10, 11/14, 14/17, 14/18 changed 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/21, 5/25, 6/13 changes 4/13, 12/4 CHARLES 1/9 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12 CIRIELLO 1/10	3	anticipate 14/22	certify 37/6
33 2/7, 2/8 34 2/9 35 2/10 36 2/11 4 2/6, 35/13 4 2/6, 35/13 4 796 1/3 approach 10/8, 35/5 appropriate 9/6, 9/8, 10/5, 17/16, 24/14 appropriated 23/1 appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriation 18/6 Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assessment 5/10 Appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriation 18/6 Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assessment 5/10 Chairman/Secretary-Treasurer 12/10 challenge 8/14, 8/16 challenge 23/17 chances 10/21, 32/18 change 6/10, 11/14, 14/17, 14/18 changed 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/21, 5/25, 6/13 changes 4/13, 12/4 CHARLES 1/9 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12			chair 12/11 Chairman 1/13, 17/6, 31/14
35 2/10 36 2/11 appropriated 23/1 appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriation 18/6 Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assess ment 5/10 appropriated 23/1 changes 23/18 change d/10, 11/14, 14/17, 14/18 changed 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/21, 5/25, 6/13 changes 4/13, 12/4 CHARLES 1/9 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12	33 2/7, 2/8	approach 10/8, 35/5	Chairman/Secretary-Treasurer 12/10
36 2/11 appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22 appropriation 18/6 Araquay 6/1 change 6/10, 11/14, 14/17, 14/18 change 6/10, 11/14, 14/17, 14/18 change 6/10, 11/14, 14/17, 14/18 change 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/21, 5/25, 6/13 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assess 23/18 assessment 5/10 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12 CIRIELLO 1/10		appropriated 23/1	challenged 23/17
Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assessment 5/10 Araquay 6/1 area 21/15, 21/16 argument 18/20 assess 23/18 assessment 5/10 Changed 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/21, 5/25, 6/13 changes 4/13, 12/4 CHARLES 1/9 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12 CIRIELLO 1/10		appropriately 5/18, 6/24, 10/22	chances 10/21, 32/18
4 2/6, 35/13 4796 1/3 argument 18/20 CHARLES 1/9 assess 23/18 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12 CIRIELLO 1/10	4	Araquay 6/1	changed 3/11, 3/17, 3/18, 3/19, 3/21, 5/25, 6/13
4796 1/3 assess 23/18 Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12 CIRIELLO 1/10			CHARLES 1/9
		assess 23/18	Charlie 21/10, 21/21, 30/6, 32/12
		assist 18/1	citizen 29/8, 29/22
Assistant 1/20 citizens 11/20, 16/5		Assistant 1/20	citizens 11/20, 16/5

City 27/8 clarify 30/2 cleaner 8/13, 29/9 cleanly 10/9 cleanup 18/1 clear 29/17, 29/24 close 24/23, 24/25, 25/5 clued 22/12 coinsurance 9/19, 32/3 collected 23/20 column 11/7, 11/10 combined 25/3 comfortable 16/19, 27/24, 30/10, 31/9 comfortably 28/7 Comment 2/5, 7/24, 8/9, 12/12, 12/13, 12/14, 17/10, 36/1 comments 32/25, 35/21 Commission 27/8 Commissioner/Airport 1/13 committed 24/3 community 11/20, 21/8 comparables 27/17 comparison 11/9 completed 35/19 compliance 29/2 comply 27/21 concern 7/10, 7/22 concerned 31/23 confused 29/23 confusion 4/3 conservative 26/2 continuously 20/9 control 8/19 convene 35/18 COOPER 1/20 copay 32/6 corner 21/4 corrected 4/10 cost 22/19 costs 21/25 COUNTY 1/1, 1/13, 14/24, 27/8, 37/4 couple 7/3, 10/7, 13/20, 17/11 Court 1/22, 37/1 cover 16/8 CPA 22/12 created 4/8, 5/3, 22/9, 27/9 CRR 37/6 crystal 29/17 cushion 18/22 custom 35/16 cut 7/15, 16/2, 16/4

documented 18/2
doesn't 27/10, 33/18, 35/20
dollar 8/21, 11/13, 25/1, 34/10
dollars 6/3, 8/24, 10/1, 10/16, 13/3, 13/14, 13/20, 18/17, 19/15, 19/25, 22/1, 22/15, 22/17, 22/21, 23/3, 29/20
DOT 5/20, 5/21, 6/4, 6/22, 7/1, 8/4
dropped 5/21
during 23/12

E

earn 25/19 economic 24/5 Ed 3/6, 17/12, 26/13, 26/15, 27/24, 30/8 Ed's 20/4 EDWARD 1/19 effect 11/4, 29/22, 30/12 elected 34/23 elements 5/15 emergencies 13/9 emergency 7/10, 9/1 end 7/25, 14/20, 16/11, 19/5, 19/6, 19/7, 19/15, 19/17, 29/14 energy 27/14 enters 4/12 entity 14/24 environmental 5/10, 5/14 equaling 13/8, 13/22 equation 6/7 error 4/9 Esquire 1/17 establish 10/8 established 8/18 event 9/14 exceeding 35/2 exceeds 2/9, 34/25 excess 19/19 excuse 25/2 Executive 1/19 exemption 11/13, 11/25 existing 11/15, 12/2 expect 9/2, 24/16 expectation 30/23, 35/2 expected 7/12, 9/13 expend expended 8/15 expenditure 5/6, 6/9, 6/11, 6/14, 8/25, 13/2, 22/8, 34/3 expenditures 3/17, 13/8, 13/22, 18/1, 18/2, 20/24, 21/1, 24/20, 31/18 expense 23/4 extreme 11/7

F

darker 5/10 data 27/17 Date 2/10, 35/6 Dated 37/11 day 15/11, 31/15, 37/11 de 1/22 Debt 3/19, 6/14, 6/15, 34/2 decide 16/1, 16/13 decision 28/13 decisions 27/15 deep 29/14 delete 4/24, 8/13 deleted 4/20, 4/25, 5/2 DENNIS 1/9 dependent 27/5 description 4/18 desire 31/8 detail 24/13 detailed 34/15 details 5/7 determine 23/19 developing 25/7 difference 10/25, 11/1, 11/5, 11/10, 12/1 difficult 10/4 ding 8/10 direct 12/22 direction 31/19 Director 1/19, 1/20 disaster 9/14, 9/17, 13/17, 17/19, 18/3, 18/17 disasters 17/15, 18/11, 19/16 discovered 5/9 discussed 7/2, 9/12 Discussion 2/6, 12/15, 12/16 discussions 9/9 divide 11/19

D

facet 25/17 fact 14/17, 23/20, 26/4 factor 11/22 fair 11/18, 16/4 fashion 26/23, 29/6 favor 33/14, 34/18 fear 18/10 federal 5/13, 5/18 fell 15/22 FEMA 17/19 figure 8/21, 14/20, 25/22 figures 12/21, 28/1, 28/5 fill 12/11 find 7/15, 9/25, 26/21, 26/25, 27/17 finished 35/17 fiscal 3/4, 25/7, 34/14 five 19/16, 21/12 five-year 22/20 FL 1/18, 1/23 flags 30/18 Florida 1/4, 23/17, 37/3 focussing 27/14 follow 29/1 foresighted 16/7 form 7/8 formula 29/1 fortunately/unfortunately 26/22 four 13/19, 14/3, 21/12, 22/11, 31/6 free 7/16 freed 22/16 Friday 35/11 fund 7/9, 7/22, 8/3, 10/22, 20/8, 20/9, 22/7, 24/11, 26/11 funded 8/23, 24/22 funding 19/24 funds 6/5, 12/7, 24/22, 25/19 FY 2/8, 33/20

G

gavel 33/19
Gay 1/17
generated 4/1
governing 11/22
government 14/24
grant 3/23, 4/25, 5/13, 5/18, 6/5, 7/11, 9/1, 12/7
grants 5/21, 5/22, 24/2
green 5/11
grow 26/9, 26/10
guess 14/12, 30/25
gut 24/14

H

half 5/17, 10/1, 13/14, 13/15, 15/19, 22/15, 25/23, handed 4/4 handled 29/8 happy 24/12 heading 6/13 heavily 20/17 heavy 20/25 held 1/3 helps 17/19 historical 6/22 hold 22/8, 29/18 holding 10/11 holds 8/21 home 4/19, 11/13 homestead 11/25 horizon 20/24 hundred 11/12, 22/1, 22/20, 23/3 hurricane 31/25

Τ

idea 11/4, 11/23, 13/4, 14/6, 18/8, 18/9, 21/19, 21/20, 24/13, 27/3, 28/1 identifying 8/14 impact 11/17 implication 29/7 income 20/22 increase 28/23, 29/5, 29/19 increased 20/22 increases 16/23, 29/21 indebtedness 8/1 indefinite 20/5 independence 15/18 independent 16/24 indicating 17/7, 33/19 ineligible 23/20 information 6/22, 27/1 insurance 9/18, 18/5, 31/25 intent 29/24 intent 29/24 intention 29/17 interest 23/4, 25/20, 26/2, 26/10, 27/16 internally 24/22, 25/8 issue 22/11 item 4/16, 4/23, 5/2, 5/5, 5/7, 5/9, 5/22, 6/8, 6/12, 7/21, 12/6, 22/8, 30/14, 32/22, 34/4

J

JANET 37/6 January 22/5 JIM 1/13 job 16/6, 30/2 Joe 14/10, 14/14, 15/11, 16/9, 17/7, 30/4, 32/24 JOHNS 1/1, 37/4 Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/10 juggle 14/19

K

known 7/18

L LASSITER 1/9 later 14/18 Leave 1/14 leaves 15/18, 31/6 left 13/13 legislature 27/10 Leon 1/22 levy 24/16 Liaison 1/13 line 4/17, 5/5, 5/10, 6/8, 6/12, 6/16, 7/21, 9/15, 12/6, 14/11, 21/10, 34/1, 34/3 lines 5/11 list 4/21 literally 21/2 little 9/2, 13/15, 14/12 live 12/19, 13/1, 13/25, 14/4, 14/6, 28/6, 30/9 loan 6/19, 6/20, 18/5, 24/14 locations 28/24 logic 20/6 love 26/21 lower 17/20, 28/14

naturai 9/14, 9/17, 17/15, 17/19, 18/11, 18/17 nature 9/20, 15/21 necessary 14/15, 16/10 need 3/9, 9/12, 9/25, 15/22, 16/8, 18/12, 18/15, 18/18, 20/9, 24/7, 24/11, 25/13, 25/22, 26/14, 27/21, 30/5, 32/21, 33/4, 33/22, 34/9, 35/5, 35/7 needed 5/17, 15/6, 19/13 needs 15/25, 20/6, 20/7, 20/16, 20/18, 26/13 negative 7/24, 8/8, 27/16 net 11/4, 11/10, 11/14, 29/22 new 5/7, 9/24, 14/7, 30/14, 33/23, 33/25 nice 16/12 no-capital 24/1 noncommitted 5/4 nonrestricted 6/17 normal 27/21, 33/6 normally 17/22 North 1/3 notes 37/9 notice 29/5 number 9/9, 9/10, 10/6, 19/1, 24/21, 25/3, 25/4, 25/5, 26/5, 26/6, 26/7, 32/20, 33/23 numbers 4/10, 14/19, 18/25, 22/21

0

nutshell 12/3

obligation 15/8 October 9/24, 22/5 Operating 3/17, 3/23, 5/6, 7/6, 7/16, 9/3, 22/17, 24/20, 31/17 operation 25/21 option 7/19 Order 2/3, 3/3, 7/18 original 6/20 originally 5/1, 5/11, 6/2 outflows 9/16 outlay 3/19 owe 8/4

P

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 36/2 pace 26/9 paid 6/21 paper 24/8, 28/24, 35/12 Park 6/1 part 9/24, 18/10, 21/11 Passed 33/17, 34/21 passes 13/19 pay 16/25, 17/24 payback 6/22 paybacks 7/1 paying 13/7, 22/19 penny 26/11 Percentage 2/9, 15/20, 34/24 percentages 9/19 period 13/18, 22/20 perks 15/13 Personnel 3/16, 24/19 placeholder 4/23 planning 16/13, 21/7 plenty 31/7 pocket 31/18 point 5/24, 6/18, 10/9, 11/8, 16/1, 19/20, 20/3, 20/23, 21/2, 23/24, 24/3, 28/11, 30/11, 34/11 pointed 4/21 policy 10/17, 30/12 Ponce 1/22 pop 14/21 portion 5/2, 32/3 positions 3/15 positive 27/14 possibility 23/12, 23/15 possible 13/6, 21/12, 25/6 predates 22/2 Presentation 2/4, 3/7 presented 3/25 presents 8/10 pretty 14/5, 14/10, 15/12 Primary 4/13 printed 4/5 problem 10/2, 14/23, 31/12 problematic 28/13 problems 9/22 procedure 33/6

proceedings 37/8 process 3/14, 22/6, 23/14 program 4/24, 19/24 project 4/18, 4/19, 4/22, 5/14, 5/15, 5/16, 5/17, 5/18, 7/12, 7/17, 32/15, 32/16 projects 4/21, 5/11, 7/15, 8/13, 24/2 property 5/25, 11/11, 18/4, 19/4 Proposed 2/9 prudent 15/4, 16/6, 20/12 Public 1/2, 2/5, 2/11, 3/3, 12/11, 12/13, 12/14, 15/24, 17/3, 20/13, 23/13, 29/16, 29/18, 32/10, 32/11, 35/18, 35/25 put 11/5, 22/7, 25/14, 25/16, 28/8 putting 21/22

Q

quarter 25/24, 25/25 question 21/9 questions 17/12, 30/4, 32/13 quick 3/10, 13/19

R

Rate 2/7, 2/9, 4/2, 10/10, 10/14, 10/21, 11/1,

11/8, 11/9, 11/15, 12/1, 12/2, 12/20, 13/1, 17/4, 17/20, 18/22, 28/8, 28/9, 28/14, 29/10, 29/19, 30/1, 31/9, 33/3, 33/5, 33/9, 34/23, 35/1, 35/3, 35/4 ratioing 16/14 reaching 20/22 read 3/10 realistic 22/22 reason 26/17 reasonable 24/17, 26/25, 30/23 receivable 5/22 reckoning 15/25 recommendation 8/22, 10/15, 10/24, 12/23, 19/19, 28/3 recommendations 8/20, 25/15, 25/17 recommends 13/11 record 37/9 red 30/18 reduce 15/16 reducing 29/11 reduction 16/23, 33/25 reflects 4/11 regular 35/12, 35/16, 35/17, 35/19 related 6/25, 30/13, 31/4 relations 32/10 relaxed 29/4 remains 30/1 remember 5/1, 5/12, 22/14 replacement 18/4 report 37/7 REPORTER'S 37/1 Reporters 1/22 represents 9/2 reprioritizing 8/15 require 3/23, 33/6 requirement 3/25, 29/4 requirements 9/18, 28/18, 28/24 research 21/11 reservation 25/3 reserve 5/5, 6/7, 6/8, 6/15, 8/18, 9/10, 13/3, 13/14, 14/6, 14/25, 15/5, 15/6, 15/19, 15/21, 16/3, 16/9, 16/12, 17/13, 18/9, 20/7, 20/11, 21/15, 23/2, 24/11, 25/9, 25/18, 28/6, 30/13, 31/23, 32/14 reserved 25/1 reserves 3/20, 5/23, 6/16, 6/17, 7/7, 10/8, 10/12, 13/9, 14/14, 14/16, 19/17, 21/6, 21/17, 26/9, 30/24, 34/2, 34/3 reserving 26/19 respond 25/8, 31/3, 31/8 restricted 6/23, 7/8, 7/20, 22/7, 25/2, 25/4, 30/16 results 18/3, 20/21 revenue 5/3, 5/22, 6/5, 16/22 revenues 3/24, 13/8, 13/21, 15/14 revenues-to-reserves 16/15 reversed 5/12 Revised 2/4, 3/7 right-hand 11/6, 11/7 RMR 37/6 road 8/4, 13/5 Rogers 1/17 roll 17/3, 21/5 rollback 10/10, 10/21, 11/6, 11/15, 12/1, 12/19, 13/1, 15/16, 16/11, 17/4, 18/22, 28/9, 29/10, 31/9, 33/9, 34/23, 35/4 rollbacks 19/23

M major 14/22, 20/25 Malaga 1/17 managed 22/4, 22/6 maneuver 22/18 match 5/4, 7/17, 8/1, 8/25, 31/2 matching 9/18 matter 9/4 maximum 21/21 mechanism 20/11 meeting 3/2, 12/18, 27/25, 28/8, 29/13, 35/13, 35/16, 35/17, 36/1, 36/2
MEMBERS 1/8, 1/12, 32/9
mentioned 17/13 merit 31/1 Millage 2/7, 2/9, 4/2, 10/14, 11/6, 11/8, 11/9, 12/2, 30/1, 33/3, 33/5, 34/25, 35/3 million 6/2, 8/24, 10/1, 10/16, 13/14, 13/15, 13/14, 13/15, 13/16, 13/14, 13/15, 13/16 13/16, 13/20, 15/19, 15/20, 18/16, 19/15, 19/17, 22/15, 23/23, 24/15, 24/18, 24/24, 25/1, 25/22, 25/24, 25/25, 28/6, 32/18 million's 10/5 mills 4/2, 4/11, 33/11 mind 22/4 minimum 19/10 misleading 29/16 mistake 30/20 mistakes 23/10 mitigate 18/2 Monday 1/5, 27/25, 35/9 money 4/17, 7/7, 7/23, 11/19, 17/23, 19/19, 20/6, 22/8, 22/24, 23/5, 23/21, 31/1 monies 30/18 months 22/11, 31/6 mosey 3/14 motion 28/8, 32/21, 33/6, 33/8, 34/10, 34/13 mouths 30/7 move 9/20, 9/21, 15/8 Mr. Acting 12/9
Mr. CIRIELLO 4/7, 12/15, 12/17, 12/25, 17/8, 17/9, 17/18, 17/22, 18/8, 18/15, 18/18, 18/21, 19/2, 19/11, 19/14, 19/20, 20/1, 21/9, 23/6, 24/6, 25/10, 26/3, 27/23, 28/16, 28/19, 30/5, 31/20, 33/1, 33/15, 34/6, 34/17, 34/19, 35/21, 35/22 Mr. Ed 21/10 Mr. Lassiter 14/9, 14/10, 15/2, 16/18, 17/5, 17/7, 18/10, 20/4, 20/15, 20/20, 25/13, 25/16, 26/4, 27/18, 30/7, 31/20, 32/14, 32/20, 33/8, 33/11, 33/16, 34/9, 34/13, 34/20, 35/23, 35/24 MR. WATTS 3/2, 7/3, 12/11, 12/14, 14/9, 17/5, 17/8, 30/4, 30/8, 31/11, 31/14, 32/5, 32/24, 33/2, 33/12, 33/14, 33/17, 34/16, 34/18, 34/21, 35/14, 35/20, 35/23, 35/25 Mr. Wuellner 3/5, 3/8, 4/8, 4/13, 12/19, 12/24, 15/1, 16/17, 17/16, 17/21, 17/25, 18/13, 18/16, 18/19, 18/24, 19/9, 19/12, 19/18, 19/22, 20/2, 20/14,

20/19, 21/24, 23/8, 24/12, 25/11, 25/14, 26/21,

27/20, 28/11, 28/17, 28/20, 30/11, 31/12, 32/3,

34/22, 35/7, 35/15

multimodal 4/19

32/18, 32/22, 33/4, 33/10, 33/18, 33/21, 34/7, 34/11,

ST. AUGUSTINE COURT REPORTERS

```
Rolled-Back 2/9, 11/1, 34/25, 35/3
rolling 32/11
rolls 13/23
room 4/12
ROSE 1/13
round 22/21
RPR-CP 37/6
rules 27/19
Running 30/7
```

S

safe 15/9 satisfying 20/15 Saturday 35/12 saved 23/2 saw 3/12, 3/21 scenario 10/4, 25/6 scheme 29/12 scrape 24/1 second 33/12, 33/13, 34/16, 34/17 second-to-the-last 4/15 Secretary-Treasurer 1/9 secretary/treasurer 7/3 self-generating 25/20 self-sustaining 25/21 sense 8/19, 16/25, 22/18, 24/19, 27/6, 27/20 separate 27/9 separately 5/16 September 1/5, 37/11 serious 22/9, 22/10, 22/24, 24/4, 25/12 serve 15/10, 18/19, 21/8 service 3/20, 6/15, 34/2 services 3/16 serving 17/3 set 4/9, 7/6, 14/17, 15/20, 16/3, 26/23 Setting 2/10, 23/14, 25/23, 32/15, 35/6 setup 27/13 shaded 27/2 share 4/25, 6/6 shave 23/23 short 7/18 show 32/11 side 5/3, 5/23, 6/5, 6/7, 6/25, 8/17, 12/5, 31/21, 31/22 simple 14/17, 26/4, 29/11 simpler 29/9 simplest 29/11 single 12/6, 18/19, 23/1, 25/7 sinking 8/3 sits 3/9, 25/4 sitting 6/25, 22/3 situation 23/11 six 19/16, 21/13 size 26/18, 28/24 slant 14/12 source 20/6 speed 3/12 spending 20/21 spent 20/17 Staff 2/4, 3/7, 4/4, 8/17 stagnant 19/5, 26/6 start 19/22, 19/23, 20/12 started 20/20 starting 22/5 STATE 37/3 static 19/6, 26/7 Statutes 23/17 statutory 23/13, 28/17, 29/1 stay 14/2, 28/21, 29/1 stayed 19/5 stays 19/3 stenographic 37/9 stenographically 37/7 stop 20/12, 21/22 Street 1/17 strict 23/16 structuring 18/7 study 4/19 stuff 31/5 subject 27/7 subservient 27/6 suggestion 8/17 Suite 1/22 summary 3/10, 34/1, 34/15

supplement 19/13 SUSAN 1/17

swoop 15/23

T

table 11/3, 22/3 talked 31/24 tax 11/15, 13/23, 15/16, 16/4, 16/23, 28/8, 28/23, 29/5, 29/7, 29/20 taxable 11/25 taxable 11/25 taxes 16/2, 24/16, 29/11 taxpayers 11/21, 16/25 taxpayers' 31/18 techniques 27/22 ten 8/4, 21/13 Tentative 2/8, 33/20, 33/22 tentatively 3/4, 33/5, 34/12 term 9/12 terms 8/12, 27/2, 27/16, 34/24 Thank 3/8, 14/9, 17/5 theoretically 19/3 theory 19/21 thousand 11/13, 13/3, 13/12, 18/23, 19/8, 22/1, 22/20, 23/3 three 14/3, 16/13, 22/11, 25/23, 26/1, 31/6 threw 11/23 till 31/5 time 3/11, 4/4, 4/22, 5/13, 8/16, 13/21, 15/10, 15/18, 16/21, 25/25, 31/3, 31/7 TOPS 31/5 Totaling 6/18 totals 27/2 Towers 1/17 traffic 15/10 transcript 37/8 trigger 7/24 true 37/8 turn 3/5, 12/8 turned 21/3 two 4/9, 5/10, 5/11, 5/15, 7/14, 10/17, 10/25, 13/15, 14/2, 16/13, 19/14, 20/16, 26/25 two-step 10/20 two-year 13/18 type 7/6, 25/21

U

U.S 1/3 unnecessary 8/11, 8/12 usable 7/23

V

valorem 3/25, 23/15, 23/19, 23/22, 24/16, 27/10, 29/18, 35/4 valuation 11/11 value 6/20, 11/14, 11/25 values 19/4 version 3/21 volume 15/9

W

WATTS 1/9 weeks 28/4 weeks 7/4 whim 27/7 WILLIAM 1/13 wonder 32/5 words 23/3 work 12/8, 12/21 WUELLNER 1/19

X

X 2/1

Y

yada 28/25 year 3/4, 6/20, 7/13, 7/25, 8/15, 9/4, 9/22, 9/24, 10/3, 10/12, 10/17, 10/19, 10/21, 10/23, 13/16, 14/20, 15/15, 16/22, 16/24, 19/3, 19/7, 22/1, 22/6, 23/1, 23/19, 24/1, 25/7, 25/24, 30/24, 31/6, 31/7, 31/13, 34/14, 35/1 year's 24/4, 30/14 years 8/4, 10/7, 13/15, 13/19, 14/3, 16/13, 19/14. 19/16, 20/16, 21/4, 21/13, 25/23, 26/1, 26/12 yet-to-be-defined 30/12