Airport Authority Regular Meeting - December 18, 2000	
Page 1 Page 2	
[1] ST. AUGUSTINE - ST. JOHNS COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY [1] IN DEX	
[2] Regular Meeting [2]	PAGE
[3] held at 4796 U.S. 1 North [3] 2. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES	3
[4] St. Augustine, Florida [4] 3. ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL REPO	ORTS
[5] on Monday, December 18, 2000 [5] A. Fiscal Year 1999/2000 Financial Aud	it 4
[6] from 4:02 p.m. to 6:17 p.m. [6] 4. REPORTS:	
[7] * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ner 16
B. Mr. Richard Weaver - Aero Sport, [8] BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: [8] C. Ms. Cindy Bartin - Attorney	16
[9] JAMES CARL DAVIS, SR., Chairman [9] 1. Airport Authority Charter Codifi 2. Status Report - Current Charter	cation 16 Challenge 38
JOSEPH S. TAYLOR [10] CHARLES LASSITER [10] 5. ACTION ITEMS	
BILL ROSE [11] DENNIS WATTS [11] A. Air Traffic Control Tower	49
[12] * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ıt 87
[13] BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: D. Terminal/FBO Expansion Contract A [13] E. Eastside Corporate Area Development	Award 95
[14] JIM BRYANT, County Commissioner/Airport Liaison [14] 6. AUTHORITY MEMBER REPORTS:	
[15] ************************************	121
B. Mr. William "Bill" Rose [16] ALSO PRESENT: [16] C. Mr. Joe Taylor	120 120
D. Mr. Charles Lassiter [17] CINDY BARTIN, Esquire, Rogers, Towers, Bailey, [17] E. Mr. Dennis R. Watts	120 120
Jones & Gay, P.A., 170 Malaga Street, St. Augustine, [18] FL, 32084, Attorney for Airport Authority. [18] 7. PUBLIC COMMENT	121
[19] EDWARD WUELLNER, A.A.E., Executive Director. [19] 8. ORGANIZATION MEETING	125
[20] BRYAN COOPER, Assistant Airport Director. [20] NEXT BOARD MEETING	125
[21] ************************************	125
[22] St. Augustine Court Reporters [22]	
1510 N. Ponce de Leon Blvd., Suite A [23] St. Augustine, FL 32084 [23]	
[24] (904) 825-0570 [24]	
	l l
Page 3 Page 4	
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed?	
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [2] And opposed? [3] (No opposition.)	e, you have
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [2] And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote	e, you have
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed? [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [5] approved the minutes from that meeting.	
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [3] And opposed? [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote approved the minutes from that meeting. [5] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL F	REPORTS
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [2] And opposed? [3] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote [5] approved the minutes from that meeting. [6] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL F. [7] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second item	REPORTS
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed? [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [9] And opposed? [13] (No opposition.) [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote [5] approved the minutes from that meeting. [6] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL F. [7] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second item [8] the acceptance of the financial report.	REPORTS
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] the acceptance of the financial report. [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the	REPORTS of business is
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] the acceptance of the financial report. [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote [5] approved the minutes from that meeting. [6] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL F. [7] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second item [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] the acceptance of the financial report. [9] Mr. Rose? [10] MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, the to	REPORTS of business is
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote approved the minutes from that meeting. [5] approved the minutes from that meeting. [6] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL FINANCIA	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a Page 4 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote approved the minutes from that meeting. [6] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL FOR ACCEPTA	REPORTS of business is there are no orth, but
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [9] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [10] MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, the to the financial audit for fiscal years. [11] We do have the financial audit for fiscal years. [12] Page 4 [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. [15] And opposed? [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote approved the minutes from that meeting. [16] ACCEPTANCE OF FINANCIAL For approved the minutes from that meeting. [17] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second item [18] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [18] the acceptance of the financial report. [19] Mr. Rose? [10] MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, the to the financial audit for fiscal years. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [11] We do have the financial audit for fiscal years. [12] Policy And Opposed? [13] Page 4 [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Appendic and the provide and the provid	REPORTS of business is there are no orth, but
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed? [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] with us.	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year that
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, I	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year that
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] Mr. Monk?	REPORTS of business is there are no ath, but year that Mr. Rose.
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Nonk is thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [17] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [18] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [18] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk is Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [19] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk is Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [19] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk is Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [19] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk is Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk? [19] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk is Thank you, Mr. Davis in Mr. Monk?	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year that Mr. Rose.
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] And a second, please? [18] MR. WATTS: I second.	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year is that Mr. Rose. is. Lady and k with
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davis [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [19] Out or present the results of our audit for under the results of our audit for a contact of the results of our	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year is that Mr. Rose. is. Lady and k with
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed? [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [3] (No opposition.) [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [4] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote proceed the 1sth, 2000. I'll now call this [5] approved the minutes from that meeting. [6] McCeptance of Financial Left Pinancial CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second item [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] the acceptance of the financial report. [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [9] Mr. Rose? [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [10] MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, thet (10] MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, thet (11] (No comments.) [11] monthly reports in your package this mon (12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [12] we do have the financial audit for fiscal y minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [14] with us. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [16] Mr. Monk? Thank you, Mr. Davis [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [18] gentlemen, certainly a pleasure to be bac [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] you to present the results of our audit for Iscal y good in favor of approving the minutes from the [20] fiscal year ended September 30, 2000.	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year that Mr. Rose. is. Lady and k with r the
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] And a second, please? [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] On the very brief. I know you haven. [10] In favor of approving the minutes from the [10] In favor of approving the minutes from the [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [12] In favor of approving the minutes from the [14] In favor of approving the minutes from the [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [17] In favor of approving the minutes from the [18] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] Vou to present the results of our audit for fiscal year ended September 30, 2000. [18] November 20th meeting, signify by aye.	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year is that Mr. Rose. is. Lady and k with r the
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed? [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] And a second, please? [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Davigner in favor of approving the minutes from the [20] in favor of approving the minutes from the [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [22] MR. ROSE: Aye. [22] An an opportunity to review the report is a monoportunity to review the report is a monoport	REPORTS of business is there are no with, but year is that Mr. Rose. is. Lady and k with r the 't had n
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] the acceptance of the financial report. [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] MR. WATTS: I second. [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Davigentlemen, certainly a pleasure to be bactory of approving the minutes from the [20] MR. ROSE: Aye. [22] MR. ROSE: Aye. [23] detail, so I'll just touch on the highlights	REPORTS of business is there are no ath, but year that Mr. Rose. s. Lady and k with r the 't had n and
Page 3 [1] PROCEEDINGS [2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and [2] And opposed? [3] gentlemen. Welcome to the St. Augustine-St. [4] Johns County Airport Authority meeting for [5] December the 18th, 2000. I'll now call this [6] meeting to order. [7] APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The first item of business [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Second item [9] on our meeting agenda is the approval of the [9] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [10] minutes. Gentlemen, any comments? [11] (No comments.) [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a [13] motion that either we accept or reject the [14] minutes from our November 20th, 2000 meeting. [15] MR. LASSITER: I so-move, Mr. Chairman. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [17] And a second, please? [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [10] Mr. Monk? [11] MR. MONK: Thank you, Mr. Davigner in favor of approving the minutes from the [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [13] MR. WATTS: I second. [14] Mr. Worts: I second. [15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [17] And a second, please? [18] MR. WATTS: I second. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. All [20] in favor of approving the minutes from the [21] November 20th meeting, signify by aye. [22] An an opportunity to review the report is	REPORTS of business is there are no oth, but year that Mr. Rose. s. Lady and k with r the 't had n and s of our

		7	ccemper 18, 2000
Page 5		Page 6	
[1]	that you have this would be considered a very	[1]	Your current assets are down just slightly,
[2]	clean audit report.	[2]	although your cash position has improved.
[3]	We found no significant problems of any	[3]	Your restricted cash is up considerably,
[4]	kind. We found no significant problems related	[4]	almost double from last year, going from \$400- to
[5]	to controls or compliance in the work that we	[5]	\$800,000. This is money that's set aside for
[6]	did. And, in fact, the Airport Authority is in	[6]	refundable state grants that you may have to pay
[7]	pretty sound financial condition. But having	[7]	back, and so you have wisely put money aside in
[8]	said that, let me go through and actually touch	[8]	the eventuality that that is returned to the
[9]	on some of the highlights of the report.	[9]	state.
[10]	On page 1 is our auditor's opinion, which is	[10]	The property and equipment, you'll see a
[11]	a clean opinion, an unqualified opinion, which is	[11]	significant increase going from a gross amount of
[12]	the best that you can receive based on the work	[12]	\$41 million to \$45 million in value and a net
[13]	that we performed, and basically says that the	[13]	amount after depreciation going from \$31- to \$34
[14]	financial statements are in accordance with	[14]	million. And we'll talk about where that came
[15]	generally accepted auditing standard in	[15]	from in just a second,
[16]	accordance with generally accepted accounting	[16]	As far as the liabilities, very similar to
[17]	principles as promulgated by the Government	[17]	the prior year. Your current liabilities, \$1.8
[18]	Accounting Standards Board and that our audit was	[18]	million compared to \$1.7 the year before. Your
[19]	done in accordance with government auditing	[19]	long-term debt is down, going from \$8.5 to \$7.5
[20]	standards as well as generally accepted	[20]	million. So, you reduce that approximately a
[21]	standards, which adds a little bit more to the	[21]	million dollars, a good bit of that actually
[22]	work that we do,	[22]	coming from deferred rent revenue that has
[23]	On page 2 and 3, you'll find the balance	[23]	actually been recognized now.
[24]	sheet. You'll see that it's very similar to the	[24]	You'll see under the fund equity a change in
[25]	prior year as far as most of the numbers go.	[25]	the contributed capital going from \$19 million,
[20]	prior year as rar as most of the named a go.	[23]	the controlled capital going from \$19 million,
		1	
Page 7		Page 8	
Page 7	7, to \$22 million, 3. This is composed primarily	Page 8	year's \$429,000 to or the year before from
_	7, to \$22 million, 3. This is composed primarily of federal and state grants, exclusively from	_	year's \$429,000 to or the year before from \$429- to this past year of \$544,000.
[1]		[1]	
[1] [2]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from	[1] [2]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000.
[1] [2] [3]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had	[1] [2] [3]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your
[1] [2] [3] [4]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are	[1] [2] [3] [4]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are have also	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are — have also increased approximately \$300,000, just over	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting Standards Board is going to require
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are have also increased approximately \$300,000, just over \$300,000, the majority of that coming in the form	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting Standards Board is going to require contributions, rather than going directly into equity on the balance sheet, will actually flow
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are — have also increased approximately \$300,000, just over \$300,000, the majority of that coming in the form of depreciation, however, depreciation of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting Standards Board is going to require contributions, rather than going directly into
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are have also increased approximately \$300,000, just over \$300,000, the majority of that coming in the form of depreciation, however, depreciation of the fixed assets of the airport and which is not a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting Standards Board is going to require contributions, rather than going directly into equity on the balance sheet, will actually flow through as revenues to the Airport Authority. There's no change in what you would get or
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are have also increased approximately \$300,000, just over \$300,000, the majority of that coming in the form of depreciation, however, depreciation of the fixed assets of the airport and which is not a cash requirement. Maintenance increased also by	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting Standards Board is going to require contributions, rather than going directly into equity on the balance sheet, will actually flow through as revenues to the Airport Authority. There's no change in what you would get or the impact in the total assets, but it would be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	of federal and state grants, exclusively from that. You had both an FAA grant and you had various state grants, and the details of that are included in a schedule later in the financial statements. But that was a significant increase in your equity. When you receive grants at the present time, those monies are directly added to the contributed capital. Now, there's going to be a change in a couple of years in the accounting for that, and I'll point that out, related to the income statement that's on your next page, page 4. You'll see that the operating revenue is up approximately \$200,000, mostly from other lease revenue increases. The expenses are have also increased approximately \$300,000, just over \$300,000, the majority of that coming in the form of depreciation, however, depreciation of the fixed assets of the airport and which is not a cash requirement. Maintenance increased also by \$60,000, roughly.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	\$429- to this past year of \$544,000. So, while the airport is doing well, your the operations are still creating an actual loss, but that does not include interest and property taxes, which are considered nonoperating revenues. Those revenues went from \$1.7 to \$1.9 million, and so you show a net income of \$1.4 million for the current year, which added to previous retained earnings, gives you the \$4.5 million retained earnings, and that's what ties back to the balance sheet. One change that is mentioned later in the notes of the financial statements and one I mentioned a second ago that will not actually occur for another two years for the Airport Authority is one where the Government Accounting Standards Board is going to require contributions, rather than going directly into equity on the balance sheet, will actually flow through as revenues to the Airport Authority. There's no change in what you would get or

r		7	certainer 10, 2000
Pa	age 9	Page 10	
[1	1] that change had been made this year, instead of	[1]	The notes add just additional detail and
[2	being \$1.4 million net income, it would have	[2]	discussion related to various numbers that are
[3	actually been increased by \$2.6 million, or you'd	[3]	included in the financial statements. It
[4	4] have had a net income of \$4 million.	[4]	provides information that is relevant to users of
[5	From the perspective of just looking at the	[5]	the financial statements. I will not try to
[6	financial statements, that's a pretty significant	[6]	review those in detail unless you have items that
[7	7] change. When the federal money comes in, it will	[7]	you would like to specifically discuss, but such
8]	B] actually be picked up as a revenue instead of	[8]	detail as the leasing operations and contract
[9	just a direct capital contribution. I just	[9]	amounts that will mature over five the next
[10	0] wanted to let and that's not going to occur	[10]	five years are disclosed in detail, for instance.
[11	1] for a couple of years. This is has a fairly	[11]	Also, the refundable grants are explained and the
[12	2] lengthy implementation period, but it is	[12]	amounts that the scheduled repayment of those
[13	something that will have an impact on your	[13]	is explained in detail in note 6, as you'll see.
[14	f] reporting down the road.	[14]	And the last note, note 8, talks about the
[15]	5] Statement of cash flows is on page 5. It	[15]	contributed capital and shows that you had an
[16]	shows basically that you had used cash for	[16]	addition of federal and state grants of \$2.5
[17]	operations totaling \$144,000, and that your	[17]	million, bringing your total contributed capital
[18]	nonoperating activities produced cash so that you	[18]	from federal and state government to the Airport
[19]	actually had an increase in cash of almost	[19]	Authority up to \$22.3 million.
[20])] \$600,000 for the year.	[20]	The next items basically are results of
[21]	The notes of the financial statements follow	[21]	findings, various matters, things that are we
[22]		[22]	are required to include and report on by state
[23]	second paragraph is where we talk about the	[23]	law or by the auditor general of the State of
[24]		[24]	Florida.
[25]		[25]	You will notice that all of these items

Pag	ge 11	Page 12	The state of the s
Pag [1]		Page 12	course, you did have that this year, which was a
1	basically say that we found no problems in the	_	course, you did have that this year, which was a change from the prior year. And so this report
[1]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that	[1]	
[1] [2]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your	[1] [2]	change from the prior year. And so this report
[1] [2] [3]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in	[1] [2] [3]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the
[1] [2] [3] [4]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its operations that we would consider to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with the requirements that are applicable to this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its operations that we would consider to be significant weaknesses or material weaknesses.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with the requirements that are applicable to this particular grant from the FAA. Also, we noted no
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its operations that we would consider to be significant weaknesses or material weaknesses. The next report is one on the schedules of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with the requirements that are applicable to this particular grant from the FAA. Also, we noted no matters involving the internal control over
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its operations that we would consider to be significant weaknesses or material weaknesses. The next report is one on the schedules of expenditures of federal awards that is required	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with the requirements that are applicable to this particular grant from the FAA. Also, we noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance in its operations related to the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its operations that we would consider to be significant weaknesses or material weaknesses. The next report is one on the schedules of expenditures of federal awards that is required to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with the requirements that are applicable to this particular grant from the FAA. Also, we noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance in its operations related to the federal money. There was everything again was
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	basically say that we found no problems in the books and records of the Airport Authority, that everything was in order, and I think that your staff should be congratulated. Things were in excellent condition this year, as it was in the prior year. And as you know, several years back, there were some significant problems going back a number of years, and things have really shaped up and they're in just outstanding condition at this time. The next report on page 13 is a report on compliance and internal control. The two bottom-line elements of this report are the the results of our test disclose no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported and that we noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting or its operations that we would consider to be significant weaknesses or material weaknesses. The next report is one on the schedules of expenditures of federal awards that is required to do additional auditing procedures whenever you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	change from the prior year. And so this report just basically says that we performed the required auditing procedures and that the schedule of financial assistance is properly shown. And that indicates that you did receive \$1,059,842 of federal funding. On page 16, you'll find a listing of the various JPA grants from the state, financial assistance totaling \$1.9 million, and it indicates basically what they were used for. The next report is one on compliance with the specific federal requirements for their federal grant. They have fairly extensive testing that's required. Again, the bottom line on this one is that, in our opinion, the Authority complied in all material respects with the requirements that are applicable to this particular grant from the FAA. Also, we noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance in its operations related to the federal money. There was everything again was nice and clean.

		~~~	
Page 13	3	Page 14	
[ 1]	Each one of those is separately required.	[1]	prior year audit findings which would be required
[ 2]	The next report is our report on the	[ 2]	to issue a report following up on what how
[ 3]	examination of your assertion, management's	[ 3]	those were resolved. But since there were none
[ 4]	assertion about compliance. You're required to	[ 4]	last year, either, we had nothing to do there,
[ 5]	give us an assertion that you complied with all	[ 5]	which was very nice,
[ 6]	of the requirements, and then we're required to	[ 6]	It's a very quick rundown on the financial
[ 7]	test against that, and in fact we agreed with	[7]	statements, but it is a very clean report, as I
[8]	that assertion, that there were no problems with	[8]	said. Your staff was extremely helpful. We
[ 9]	compliance.	[ 9]	found the records to be in excellent condition.
[10]	One of the nicer schedules actually is on	[10]	And we're very pleased the way it went.
[11]	page 20. It's a summary of all of our findings,	[11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Monk. Is
[12]	you might say. This is now required, and any	[12]	there any public comment or question of Mr. Monk
[13]	time that you have what we refer to as a single	[13]	on our audit for the airport?
[14]	audit, an audit under OMB circular A133, and this	[14]	(No questions.)
[15]	summarizes and tells very quickly that we had an	[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, gentlemen, any
[16]	unqualified opinion on the financial statements;	[16]	comments or questions of Mr. Monk?
[17]	there were no reportable conditions on internal	[17]	(No questions.)
[18]	control; there was no noncompliance identified,	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If not, I will entertain a
[19]	either with state or federal funding as well.	[19]	motion to either accept or reject the audit that
[20]	It explains what grant the Airport received	[20]	was given by our accountant.
[21]	and how we determined whether or not it came	[21]	MR. ROSE: I second the motion.
[22]	under the requirements of federal grant audit	[22]	MR. TAYLOR: You make the motion.
[23]	requirements. Also, that there were no findings	[23]	MR. ROSE: Oh, I thought you just made it.
[24]	reported related to the financial statements or	[24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I can't.
[25]	federal or state awards, and there were also no	[25]	MR. ROSE: You got it.
Page 15		Page 16	
[1]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.	[1]	I'd like to thank the staff, Pat and Ed and the
[ 1] [ 2]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All	[ 1] [ 2]	staff for this for the information that they
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen,	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.  MR. MONK: Well, the changes that we've seen	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board today. First of all, I think y'all are aware
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.  MR. MONK: Well, the changes that we've seen since we've been auditing have been very nice,	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board today. First of all, I think y'all are aware that we are have been in the process in the
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.  MR. MONK: Well, the changes that we've seen since we've been auditing have been very nice, and we're certainly pleased. The way that the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board today. First of all, I think y'all are aware that we are have been in the process in the last year to codify the Airport's charter, and
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.  MR. MONK: Well, the changes that we've seen since we've been auditing have been very nice, and we're certainly pleased. The way that the records are now, it makes our job much easier.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board today. First of all, I think y'all are aware that we are have been in the process in the last year to codify the Airport's charter, and that is because, in 1997, the legislature passed
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.  MR. MONK: Well, the changes that we've seen since we've been auditing have been very nice, and we're certainly pleased. The way that the records are now, it makes our job much easier. It's always a pleasure to work with your staff.	[ 1]   [ 2]   [ 3]   [ 4]   [ 5]   [ 6]   [ 7]   [ 8]   [ 9]   [10]   [11]   [12]   [13]   [14]   [15]   [16]   [17]   [18]   [19]   [20]   [21]   [22]   [23]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board today. First of all, I think y'all are aware that we are have been in the process in the last year to codify the Airport's charter, and that is because, in 1997, the legislature passed an amendment to Chapter 189 requiring special
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. All in favor of accepting the auditor's report, signify by aye.  MR. LASSITER: Aye.  MR. WATTS: Aye.  MR. ROSE: Aye.  MR. TAYLOR: Aye.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.  And opposed?  (No opposition.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have accepted this report. Mr. Monk, I must say this is a very good report. We're glad that it turned out the way it did, but your attention to detail gives all of us a chance to know exactly how this airport is doing and how it has done in the last three or four years.  MR. MONK: Well, the changes that we've seen since we've been auditing have been very nice, and we're certainly pleased. The way that the records are now, it makes our job much easier.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22]	staff for this for the information that they supplied to make this report possible.  Our next item of business is our reports.  Mr. Bryant had a conflicting meeting, so he had to go, and he informed me that he had no reports.  Mr. Weaver?  4.B MR. WEAVER  MR. WEAVER: Nothing.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Leslie?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anyone from Grumman?  Mr. George? Anyone from the Pilots Association?  (Not present.)  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ms. Bartin.  4.C MS. BARTIN  MS. BARTIN: Thank you, Chairman. I have two reports that I wanted to make to the board today. First of all, I think y'all are aware that we are have been in the process in the last year to codify the Airport's charter, and that is because, in 1997, the legislature passed

	This post statutosity stegatas state		
Page 17		Page 18	94944
[ 1]	that they could go into the Florida Statutes.	[1]	any in any drastic way, but to clean up and to
[ 2]	It's it's very cumbersome to keep track	[2]	update it, basically. There's obviously,
[ 3]	of amendments to special laws when they are found	[ 3]	since 1963, there have been a lot of things that
[ 4]	only in the laws of Florida. So, this is an	[ 4]	have changed or there's some antiquated language
[5]	attempt to try to put these all in one place and	[5]	in the charter we wanted to clean up at this
[ 6]	keep and keep them up to date.	[ 6]	point.
[7]	For the last year or so, we've been working	[7]	The draft charter that you have under tab
[8]	with the staff closely, and we have certain	[ 8]	the second tab, is in draft form, and it is
[ 9]	directions they the legislature has given us	[ 9]	intended for your review. And hopefully you've
[10]	certain directions on how to go about doing this,	[10]	had time to do that.
[11]	And we put together in your package today, you	[11]	I have also included in in your package
[12]	have the current chart airport charter. And	[12]	the third tab, which is a compare comparison.
[13]	what this is and what this reflects is the	[13]	It compares the existing charter with the new
[14]	original charter, which was passed in 1963, was	[14]	language so you can see quickly, through
[15]	amended several times.	[15]	underlining and strike-throughs, the changes.
[16]	And you can see in the margin, the date	[16]	And if you want me to go through that, I will. I
[17]	of it's actually the law of Florida that	[17]	think it's pretty apparent. A lot of it is just
[18]	amended that specific provision. It may have	[18]	cleanup.
[19]	been amended more than once, but that's the most	[19]	At one point in time, they changed the name
[20]	recent amendment. So, this charter is sort of a	[20]	to the St. Augustine-St. Johns County Airport
[21]	cut-and-pasted-together version of your current	[21]	Authority. They changed it in one provision, but
[22]	charter,	[22]	they didn't change it throughout the whole the
[23]	The second tab is the draft proposed charter	[23]	whole charter. So, we went back and picked that
[24]	that we put together. This purpose of this	[24]	up and cleaned that up. But if you'd like, I can
[25]	codification is not to change the charter in	[25]	go through this point by point.
		i .	
Page 19		Page 20	
[1]	There are some changes to the bonding	[1]	Representative Wiles before the first day of
[ 1] [ 2]	provision. We've eliminated some language that	[ 1] [ 2]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter in it. It has a few sections up front that	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel free to ask, and we'll get Peter to help us
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter in it. It has a few sections up front that describes the intent and the codification, but	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel free to ask, and we'll get Peter to help us answer them.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter in it. It has a few sections up front that describes the intent and the codification, but section 5 is basically the whole charter, just	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving.  So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel free to ask, and we'll get Peter to help us answer them.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter in it. It has a few sections up front that describes the intent and the codification, but section 5 is basically the whole charter, just just incorporated into the bill.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving. So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel free to ask, and we'll get Peter to help us answer them.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. MR. ROSE: Can I ask a question?
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter in it. It has a few sections up front that describes the intent and the codification, but section 5 is basically the whole charter, just just incorporated into the bill.  And what will happen is the local delegation	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21] [ 22] [ 23]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving. So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel free to ask, and we'll get Peter to help us answer them.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. MR. ROSE: Can I ask a question? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose.
[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	provision. We've eliminated some language that is superfluous. You have to meet the general laws of Florida. You have to meet the Florida Statutes under on all respects, so there was no point in some of the language that was there. It was easier just to put in "in accordance with the general laws of Florida."  And then finally, which is probably not that important to you is, is a draft bill, and what will happen is the process is such that we have we will ask the local we've already met with Doug Wiles' office to make sure that the process we understand the process and the procedure.  But what will happen is the local delegation will review the draft bill that we will present to them, and this bill incorporates your charter in it. It has a few sections up front that describes the intent and the codification, but section 5 is basically the whole charter, just just incorporated into the bill.	[ 1] [ 2] [ 3] [ 4] [ 5] [ 6] [ 7] [ 8] [ 9] [ 10] [ 11] [ 12] [ 13] [ 14] [ 15] [ 16] [ 17] [ 18] [ 19] [ 20] [ 21]	session, which is, I believe, March 6th this year.  There are some public hearings and which is the local delegation meeting. We expect that to be at the end of January, and so it's progressing through, you know, on a timely manner. I mean, there was not much we could do prior to the election to get this thing moving. So, if you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those, or if you want any more specific details on it.  I want to introduce to you Mr. Peter Dame. He's an attorney with our firm in Jacksonville. He came today in the event that you may have some questions on bonds. I didn't feel comfortable fielding those questions. So, if you have any questions relating to the bonding language, feel free to ask, and we'll get Peter to help us answer them.  CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. MR. ROSE: Can I ask a question?

		~~~~	
Page 21		Page 22	
[1]	changes we make in it. That then goes to our	[1]	as normal general law is.
[2]	delegation.	[2]	MR, ROSE: I understand.
[3]	MS. BARTIN: Correct.	[3]	MS. BARTIN: So, I don't expect any changes
[4]	MR. ROSE: They have the authority to make	[4]	to happen on the floor or, you know, during the
[5]	changes if they see fit; is that right?	[5]	process.
[6]	MS. BARTIN: They could suggest changes.	[6]	MR. ROSE: So, any changes really would be
[7]	What will happen is, they are the ones that have	[7]	between us and the local delegation.
[8]	to file the bill.	[8]	MS. BARTIN: Correct.
[9]	MR. ROSE: Right.	[9]	MR. ROSE: Okay.
[10]	MS. BARTIN: Someone in the local	[10]	MS. BARTIN: And we have we have put
[11]	delegation. I would suspect that if they saw	[11]	given this to Doug Wiles' office in this form,
[12]	something that they wanted changed, they would	[12]	telling them that this has not been approved by
[13]	suggest it and we would you know, I could come	[13]	you but we just wanted to make sure we were
[14]	back to you.	[14]	getting the procedure and the format correct so
[15]	MR. ROSE: It would come back through here.	[15]	that it didn't get hung up in some
[16]	MS. BARTIN: Yeah, I could come back to you.	[16]	MR. ROSE: Well, I think you've done a nice
[17]	MR. ROSE: But we would know of any changes	[17]	job laying it out. I I feel very comfortable
[18]	that are taking place before it goes to the	[18]	with what I read.
[19]	legislature for	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: There was no since this
[20]	MS. BARTIN: Correct. But then it goes	[20]	is a charter codification and there's a report
[21]	through the legislation. Now, these these are	[21]	it's actually a report to us there's nothing
[22]	local special-type bills	[22]	on here but public comment, but I have been
[23]	MR. ROSE: I understand.	[23]	requested that by someone that wanted to make
[24]	MS. BARTIN: and they don't they don't	[24]	a comment. Mr. Ciriello?
[25]	care I mean, they are not that much attention	[25]	MR. LASSITER: Before you get into that, may
Page 23		Page 24	
Page 23	I ask a question?	Page 24	you decide, if that's your pleasure, to move
_	I ask a question? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead.	_	you decide, if that's your pleasure, to move forward with that.
[1]	•	[1]	
[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead.	[1] [2]	forward with that,
[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have	[1] [2] [3]	forward with that, MR, LASSITER: Okay.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in	[1] [2] [3] [4]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay, MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it, MR. LASSITER: Okay.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	forward with that, MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you know, an intermodal transportation center or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the election, I got a phone call from a lady that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you know, an intermodal transportation center or complex.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the election, I got a phone call from a lady that asked me a couple of questions. Really, the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you know, an intermodal transportation center or complex. I think the reason we put it in there, not	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the election, I got a phone call from a lady that asked me a couple of questions. Really, the things the same things was on my mind.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you know, an intermodal transportation center or complex. I think the reason we put it in there, not so much because you don't have the power to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the election, I got a phone call from a lady that asked me a couple of questions. Really, the things the same things was on my mind. She wanted to know when the new elected
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you know, an intermodal transportation center or complex. I think the reason we put it in there, not so much because you don't have the power to possibly do it now; I would argue that you may	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the election, I got a phone call from a lady that asked me a couple of questions. Really, the things the same things was on my mind. She wanted to know when the new elected people took office, and I said in January, around
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. Go ahead. MR. LASSITER: I'm sorry. I should have spoken up. Cindy, I have a question. In in this, you brought up the point of the multimodal transportation facilities? MS. BARTIN: Uh-huh. MR. LASSITER: I noticed of course, we're now in the phase of doing fact-finding. By putting it in our charter, does that allow us to go out and seek and bond where before we couldn't do that? Is that why it was inclusive of that, to bring it into the bonding sphere that would be required to do that? MS. BARTIN: I think because it's something that was never envisioned during the early time of the charter. No one ever envisioned, you know, an intermodal transportation center or complex. I think the reason we put it in there, not so much because you don't have the power to possibly do it now; I would argue that you may still; but just to clarify, to make sure that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	forward with that. MR. LASSITER: Okay. MS. BARTIN: You're certainly not bound to do it, but you can do it. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other comment? Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: Joe Ciriello. Mr. Lassiter touched on something I was going to ask about. But first of all, Cindy doesn't have the questions, I don't guess, that after last meeting, I was talking to Mr. McClure outside about this charter thing, and I wanted to know if there was going to be any public meetings or any input from anybody on some additional language or changes, specifically because right after the election, I got a phone call from a lady that asked me a couple of questions. Really, the things the same things was on my mind. She wanted to know when the new elected people took office, and I said in January, around the 2nd, 3rd or whatever. And she wondered why

Page 2	5	Page 26	
[1]	about a two-month period from the election till	[1]	Mr. McClure; I understand that. But I didn't
[2]	January of and I don't mean it disrespectful,	[2]	think they were bad ideas.
[3]	but you have a lame duck board, and you might	[3]	And if you did like Mr. Rose said, you
[4]	make a few decisions that the new people coming	[4]	guys can make any additions or something you want
[5]	in might not like to live with.	[5]	and see if you can sneak it through or not. If
[6]	So, I asked him about that, if that could be	[6]	you did have a vice-chairman, I would hope that
[7]	put into this charter or if it was something	[7]	you would put some strong language in there,
[8]	could be done outside the charter, you know, make	[8]	unlike the commissioners down here, that where
[9]	this kind of decision.	[9]	the vice-chairman doesn't automatically move up.
[10]	And I also asked him, by the same token as	[10]	That's what I was always thinking. And
[11]	the county commissioners, why we couldn't elect a	[11]	there's been a couple of commissioners they kind
[12]	vice-chairman. In case the chairman is sick or	[12]	of bypassed that way, that if you did something
[13]	misses a meeting or something, the vice-chairman	[13]	like that with your your charter, that it
[14]	would take over. And I think Mr. McClure said,	[14]	would be that the vice-chairman moves up
[15]	well, the way it is now, that if the chairman	[15]	automatically, no ifs, ands, or butts, and take
[16]	wasn't here, the secretary/treasurer just	[16]	the politics out of it.
[17]	automatically moves in. But that's a job he	[17]	Now, the the other question that
[18]	has a job of his own, and I thought it wouldn't	[18]	Mr. Lassiter brought up that when I read your
[19]	be a bad idea; it would be okay to have a	[19]	charter information, I don't know if I'm happy or
[20]	vice-chairman.	[20]	not about this modal transportation thing being
[21]	And I thought maybe he would think this out	[21]	in the charter.
[22]	or ask you guys or something and maybe get this	[22]	I'm not against the proposal, but I think
[23]	into the charter. And it's not there, and I just	[23]	last month or the month before I asked why the
[24]	wondered why, I mean, why it wasn't even	[24]	board is putting all the money, other than what
[25]	considered. And you can't answer for	[25]	the state gives you, for the study, since there's
		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON	
Page 27		Page 28	
[1]	going to be a committee of about seven or other	[1]	there will be public hearings on this
[1] [2]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to	[1] [2]	codification. It will be likely near the end of
[1] [2] [3]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the	[1] [2] [3]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for. So, having said what I said, thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any other comment?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for. So, having said what I said, thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any other comment? (No other comment.)
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for. So, having said what I said, thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Ms. Bartin?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any other comment? (No other comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen? If not, I will
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for. So, having said what I said, thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Ms. BARTIN: Would you like me to attempt to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any other comment? (No other comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen? If not, I will entertain a motion that we accept the report of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for. So, having said what I said, thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Ms. BARTIN: Would you like me to attempt to address those questions?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any other comment? (No other comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen? If not, I will entertain a motion that we accept the report of Ms. Bartin.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	going to be a committee of about seven or other different entities involved with this thing to discuss it, why the Board is putting all the money. Now, it makes it sound to me with this addition to the charter about the modal transportation thing, that the board is going to go out and get all the money and build it and do everything they want and take all the risk and possibly be a blow to the taxpayer if it doesn't work out. And I think that all of these other entities that are going to benefit from it should have some financial input into it so that if something goes south, that it won't all come down on our heads. So, I'm not really happy with the idea of that being specifically put into the charter as though that's something we're responsible for. So, having said what I said, thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Ms. BARTIN: Would you like me to attempt to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	codification. It will be likely near the end of January when the local delegation takes it up. And it will be you know, notice will be published in the newspaper, and you'll have that. So, there will be a public hearing on that. As far as the election of a vice-chair, I don't see anything in here that precludes it. I think, you know, whatever the Airport Authority would want to do. And the third thing on the intermodal transportation facility language, I think it doesn't it's not intended to define the scope of the Airport Authority's participation. That will be determined by the Airport Authority board itself. MR. CIRIELLO: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. Any other comment? (No other comment.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen? If not, I will entertain a motion that we accept the report of

				
	Page 29		Page 30	
	[1]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, could I just ask a	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Uh-huh.
	[2]	question while they're	[2]	MS. BARTIN: Now, the language remains in
	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.	[3]	that they serve for four years. So, because
	[4]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Ciriello mentioned the	[4]	that's when you were put in, your four-year term
	[5]	possibility of having a vice-chairman for the	[5]	ends that date and we would still have that time
ļ	[6]	board to take over in case the chairman couldn't	[6]	frame. If it is the board's pleasure to change
I	[7]	serve for one reason. But I didn't understand	[7]	that, that can be changed. We can amend that
	[8]	I didn't quite follow your comment about should	[8]	before we send this to the local delegation.
	[9]	the vice-chairman automatically move up to the	[9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's that's changing
ŀ	[10]	chairman or should	[10]	the way it exists now at the second to the
	[11]	MR. CIRIELLO: On the next year.	[11]	first Tuesday?
	[12]	MR. ROSE: He should move up; that's the way	[12]	MS. BARTIN: Correct.
	[13]	you would see it.	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: But, yeah, my concern is
-	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yes.	[14]	we've taken the language out that specifically
	[15]	MR. ROSE: I would be opposed to that	[15]	described when that organizational meeting, more
	[16]	provision, Mr. Chairman. I think the chairman	[16]	than any other aspect of it. It wasn't so much
	[17]	should be elected as chairman.	[17]	when it expired as when the meeting had to occur
ı	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose.	[18]	or when it's the first Tuesday after the first
- 1	[19]	MS. BARTIN: Ed has raised a point and, you	[19]	Monday in January.
	[20]	know, y'all can suggest changes and make changes	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's the way it was
- 1	[21]	if you'd like on this, With respect to when the	[21]	originally written.
	[22]	new the new board members are seated, we	[22]	MR, WUELLNER: And
	[23]	could we've deleted some language in there	[23]	MS. BARTIN: That's when they're seated.
-	[24]	that states that they're seated at what, the	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, if that's removed, then
	[25]	first Tuesday after the first Monday in January?	[25]	it's vague, in my opinion, as to when the
- 1				
	Page 31		Page 32	
	Page 31 [1]	organizational meeting would occur. Is it at the	Page 32 [1]	because we're separate.
-	_	organizational meeting would occur. Is it at the first regular meeting in January	_	because we're separate. It has worked, and I'm a full person on if
	[1]		[1]	•
	[1] [2]	first regular meeting in January	[1] [2]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if
	[1] [2] [3]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right.	[1] [2] [3]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I	[1] [2] [3] [4]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day,
,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm
THE PARTY OF THE P	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned.
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two
(MX)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the
- ANALYSIS	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and
WAS CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not
(M) first and the second secon	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another
William and the second of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the
William management of China and a second project political and a second project political and a second political a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I recommend you keep it in that manner.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board. And as far as vice-chairman is concerned, if
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I recommend you keep it in that manner. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I'm	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board. And as far as vice-chairman is concerned, if there is one automatically going in, I have to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I recommend you keep it in that manner. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I'm going to have to agree with you, because I'm the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board. And as far as vice-chairman is concerned, if there is one automatically going in, I have to take issue with that. I think it's up to the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I recommend you keep it in that manner. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I'm going to have to agree with you, because I'm the other lame duck. I'll have to agree that the language that we have with the organizational meeting being in January seems to work best for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board. And as far as vice-chairman is concerned, if there is one automatically going in, I have to take issue with that. I think it's up to the board members. They're all adults. They should
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I recommend you keep it in that manner. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I'm going to have to agree with you, because I'm the other lame duck. I'll have to agree that the language that we have with the organizational	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board. And as far as vice-chairman is concerned, if there is one automatically going in, I have to take issue with that. I think it's up to the board members. They're all adults. They should choose who their chairman is. And they should
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	first regular meeting in January CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You're right. MR. WUELLNER: or is this still at I just want to make sure that's pretty clear. MR. LASSITER: So that was MR. WUELLNER: Right now, it's very clear. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: As a sitting lame duck, I think that what is written is very clear, and I want to tell you that I feel absolutely as much responsibility in these last two meetings as I have for any three and three-quarter years, and I don't believe we need to change it because of that last two months that someone serves that did not rerun. I think what is there is clear, and I recommend you keep it in that manner. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I'm going to have to agree with you, because I'm the other lame duck. I'll have to agree that the language that we have with the organizational meeting being in January seems to work best for	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	It has worked, and I'm a full person on if the wagon wheel's not broken, don't try to fix it. And it has worked all these years, and it's it's our day, or it's the board's day, and it should remain as it is, as far as I'm concerned. It has always been inferred, as long as I can remember on this board, that the secretary/treasurer being there only being two officers on this board, that the secretary/treasurer will always step in and and take over the board when the chairman is not here. That's a known fact. Adding another officer or vice-chairman seems to be a little overkill to me, but it's going to be up to the board. And as far as vice-chairman is concerned, if there is one automatically going in, I have to take issue with that. I think it's up to the board members. They're all adults. They should choose who if there be one, a vice-chairman.

Page 35				
23 don't think to notify it would be secretary. 31 statemen that they should be president when that 42 statemen that they should be president when that 43 statemen that they should be president when that 44 statemen that they should be president when that 45 But I shink than Mr. Grislis has a point; we might want to appoint stancous as being if the chairs of the the membrating decides that they are not to be president, they went stancous else, you 47 contacted, that they will be responsible for 48 statemen than they should be president, they went stancous else, you 59 organization. I don't want that up here, even 69 organization. I don't want that up here, even 60 organization. I don't want that up here, even 61 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 62 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 63 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 64 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 65 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 66 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 67 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 68 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 69 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want as cladir, and let that prozen more in. 70 they want in st	Page 33		Page 34	
[3] Octeod as vice-precisiont, and they automakently [4] assume that they should be president when that [5] professiont steps down, when they've not. [6] When the membership foetless that they are [6] When the membership foetless that they are [7] not not be president, they was ammone as being if the [8] were't believe the tearned that you'll have in an [8] organization. I don't want that up here, oven [9] organization. I don't want that up here, oven [10] often I'm gone. I would muse melter see it Ric [10] after I'm gone. I would muse melter see it Ric [11] is is. Let each member decide on their own who [12] they want as chair, and that person more in. [13] they want as chair, and that person more in. [13] they want as chair, and that person more in. [14] state because Mr. Rote is — is [15] don't be completed to the two wants [16] the completed the completed to the two wants [17] after because Mr. Rote is — is [18] don't make the can — he's going to be [18] don't make a member accide to the two wants [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is elected. [19] year—"wenty other four-year period, the [19] minority is electe	[1]	I don't believe that that's I have been	[1]	movement, and I think each board can decide I
[4] meant that they dound be president when they're not. [5] president steps down, when they're not. [6] When the membershed electeds that they are not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went tomeone elect, you not to be president, they went a member of the control of the post of the post of the meeting is met. [9] control of the post of the post of the post of the post of the meeting is met. [10] after the post, lowed mem ther loc as it like the proson move in. [11] it is. Let each member decide on their own who is president to the post of the post of the post of the the board changing, crey two years—overy years—every other observed, the minute of the post of the pos	[2]	in different organizations where a person is	[2]	don't think to codify it would be necessary.
[5] we might want to appoint someone as being if the [6] When the membership decides that they are [6] chair's going one of corm, someone mends to be chair's going one of corm, someone mends to be world believe the turnoil that you'll tave in an [8] getting here and making attree the meeting is — [7] contacted, that they will be exponsible for getting here and making attree the meeting is — [8] organization. I don't want that up here, even [9] the good off frow of the meeting is inc. [8] organization. I don't want that up here, even [9] they want as clear, and let that person move in. [12] they want as clear, and let that person move in. [12] they want as clear, and let that person move in. [12] they want as clear, and let that person move in. [13] Just heeause Mr. Rose is — is [16] four years, the majority is elected, every two [16] sometray/resource and inform da vis-chair [17] does not meast that he came — he's going to be [18] minority is elected. (were year of this board will decide who they want as their [17] of this board will decide who they want as their [18] does not meast that he members [18] So, if you set in score the progression of the chairman, no metre how many years that chairman [18] the came time the lovard is — the flavor of the board will decide who they want as their [17] the people that are managing this board and at the ament have not always and the came into the lovard is — the flavor of the board of this board will decide who they want as their [18] board is clausing!, I thisk you'll run into some more — although we are — we are mongolifical in our properties of the people that are managing this board and at the automatic properties of the people that are managing the board and at the automatic properties of the people that are managing who are — we are mongolifical in our properties. [18] board is clausing!, I think you'll run into some more — although we are — we are mongolifical in our properties. [18] board is clausing!, I think you'll run into some more — although we are — we are	[3]	elected as vice-president, and they automatically	[3]	We're a small board. We're five members.
[6] When the membrahip decides that they are [7] must to be president, they want tomoses clea, you [8] work believe the turnoof lath you'll kee and [9] contacted, that they will be responsible for [9] contacted, that the well of the properties on the [9] contacted, that the decide with they [9] contacted, that the board without the contacted with the secondary [9] contacted, that the board with decided with the contacted with referenc	[4]	assume that they should be president when that	[4]	But I think that Mr. Ciriello has a point;
[7] control to proteident, they want sentence dee, you [8] won't boliver the turnoif that you'll have in an [8] getting here and making are the needing is — [9] compeniation. I don't want that up here, even [9] the past of five firm gone. I would much rather see it like [10] the Let cach member decide on their own who [11] the Let cach member decide on their own who [12] the they want as chair, and let that person move in. [13] Just because Mr. Rose is — is [14] secretary/recursor and inferred as vize-chair [15] does not mean that he can — he't geing to be [16] chair the next year. It means that the members [16] chair the next year. It means that the members [17] of this hoard will deside who they want as their [18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [19] served. [10] served. [10] served. [10] served. [11] gentlemen? [12] m. Bartin? Or any other comment, [12] gentlemen? [12] gentlemen? [12] m. R. LASSITER: I just have one. [12] m. R. LASSITER: I just have one. [12] m. R. LASSITER: I just have one. [13] m. R. LASSITER: A fair as the vice-chair, [14] MR. LASSITER: A fair as the vice-chair, [15] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [16] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [17] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [18] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [19] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [10] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [11] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [12] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [13] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [14] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [15] MR. WUELLNER: Web, I think the only thing [16] CHAIRMAN DAYIS: Ves. [17] just get in there, a sentence about the first [18] MR. TAYLOR: Sentence about the first [19] may be completely — [19] MR. SARITIN: O'Ray. [10] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. sentence about the first [10] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. sentence about the first [11] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. sentence about the first [12] just get in there, a sentence about the first [13] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. sentence about the first [14] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. sentence about the first [15] MR. WUELLNER: When decided and the vision [16] CHAIRMAN DAYIS: Ves. [17] just get in there, a sentence about the first [18] MR	[5]	president steps down, when they're not.	[5]	we might want to appoint someone as being if the
[8] work believe the trumoit that you'll have in an [9] organization. I don't want that up here, even [9] the go and flow of the meeting is met. [10] after Tm gome. I would much rather see it like [11] after Tm gome. I would much rather see it like [12] they want as chair, and the that person move in. [12] they want as chair, and the that person move in. [13] Just because bif. Roso is — is [14] secretary/treasurer and inferred as visc-chair [15] does not mean that he can — he's going to be [16] chair the must year. It means that the members [16] does not mean that he can — he's going to be [17] of this board will decide with they want as their [18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [18] the same time the board is — the flavor of the [19] served. [19] board is changing, I think you'll run into some [19] means and making some the meeting is met. [10] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [10] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [10] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [11] chair we may be an expected as a comment of the same time the board is — the flavor of the [12] ms. LASSITER: I just have one. [12] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [12] MR. LASSITER: As far as the visc-chair, [13] MR. LASSITER: As far as the visc-chair, [14] MR. LASSITER: As far as the visc-chair, [15] MR. LASSITER: As far as the visc-chair, [16] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [17] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter? [18] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [19] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [19] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [10] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [12] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [13] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [14] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [15] MR. WUELLNER: well, I think the only thing [16] the Pm not quite comfortable with is just [17] heave the independent about the first [18] MR. SARTIN: If that's what — [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ves. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Not needs to be put in [19] Just the control of the data and the control of the fir	[6]	When the membership decides that they are	[6]	chair's going out of town, someone needs to be
69 organization, I don't want that up bette, exen [5] the go and flow of the meeting is met. [10] So, J I think we can do that without [11] ging into the charrars, I happen to agree; T they want at chair, and let that person move in. [12] they want at chair, and let that person move in. [13] Sut because Mr. Rose is - is [13] Sut because Mr. Rose is - is [14] secretury/breasurer and inferred as vice-chair [14] secretury/breasurer and inferred as vice-chair [14] secretury/breasurer and inferred as vice-chair [16] chair the next year. It means that the members [16] So, if you see in stone the progression of [17] of this board will decide who they want at cheir [17] the chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [18] the same time the beard is - the flavor of the [19] served. [19]	[7]	not to be president, they want someone else, you	[7]	contacted, that they will be responsible for
10 So, J = 1 think we can do that without 11 11 11 11 12 15 14 14 15	[8]	won't believe the turmoil that you'll have in an	[8]	getting here and making sure the meeting is
10 So, J = 1 think we can do that without 11 11 11 11 12 15 14 14 15	[9]	organization. I don't want that up here, even	[9]	the go and flow of the meeting is met.
12 that the board changing every two years — every	[10]	after I'm gone. I would much rather see it like	[10]	So, I I think we can do that without
[13] Just because Mr. Rose is — is [14] secretary/trossurer and inferred as vice-chair [15] does not mean that he can — he's going to be [16] chair the next year. It means that the members [17] of this heard will decide who they want as their [17] of this heard will decide who they want as their [18] served. [19] served. [19] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] courseast, you do add something of that I can establishment of the complete of the the same time the board is "changing, I think you'll run into some more — although we are — we are nonpolitical in our seast, you do add something of that I can establishment of the I think we'd rather — in my opinion, [22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] dhat, LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [26] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [27] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [28] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [29] that everybody understands is. [20] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [22] MR. TAYLOR: Are are you ready for a motion? [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [24] MR. TAYLOR: Are are you ready for a motion? [25] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [26] that fra not quite comfortable with is just [27] because the language disappears in the revision [28] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That next so be put in. [29] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That next so be put in. [29] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That next so be put in. [20] MR. WUELLNER: — the old tanguage — [21] MR. WUELLNER: — the old tanguage — [22] MR. BARTIN: Okay, Solidary after the first Monday, again, as a [29] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That necks to put in. [20] Chairman and without going back to an old the secretary and without going back to an old the secretary and without going back to an old the secretary and without going back to an old the secretary and without going back to an old the secretary and without going back to an old the secretary and the search and without goi	[11]	it is. Let each member decide on their own who	[11]	going into the charter. I happen to agree; I
[14] secretary/treasurer and inferred as vice-chair [15] does not mean that he can — he's going to be [15] minority is elected. [16] chair the next year. It means that the members [17] of this board will decide who they want as their [18] the same of the members [19] served. [19] served. [19] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gentlemen? [22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [22] would consider to be somewhat divisive on the [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: 1 just have one. [25] chair, I think will eather — in my opinion, [26] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [27] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [28] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [29] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [29] way. That's my comments. Page 36 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [4] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [5] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [6] MR. WUELLINER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that mo acquite condrable with is just of the motion? [7] because the longuage disappears in the revision [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That souch dates [12] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [13] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [14] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [15] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [16] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language one of the first month of the first month of the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the tuchsion of the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the tuchsion of the specific organization date as recommended by — [17] in accordance with the original Authority [18] That language comes out with reference to the same, and without going back to an old [19] [19] charter than has no — availability anytone, [20] charter than has no — availability anytone, [21] there's no reest	[12]	they want as chair, and let that person move in.	[12]	that the board changing every two years every
[15] does not mean that he can — he's going to be [16] chair the next year. It means that the members [17] of this board will decide who they want as their [18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [18] served. [19] board is changing, I think you'll run into some [10] my served. [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gentlemen? [22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [26] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [27] chair, I think wa'd rather have it every year done that [28] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [29] mR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [20] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [22] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [24] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [25] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [26] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [27] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [28] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: think the only thing [29] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [20] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [30] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: mr. Taylor? [40] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [41] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [42] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [43] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: mr. Taylor? [44] MR. TAYLOR: mr. Chairman — [55] MR. WUELLINER: well, I think the only thing [56] MS. Bartin, you will see that that language goes because the language disappears in the revision [57] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [58] MS. BARTIN: If that's what — [59] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That necks to be put in. [60] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [61] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [62] MR. TAYLOR: I that necks to be put in. [63] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [64] MR. TAYLOR: That necks to be put in. [65] MR. BARTIN: Okay. [66] MR. TAYLOR: That neck to be put in. [77] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [78] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [79] MR. TAYLOR: That necks to be put in. [80] MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [81] MR. MR. WUELLINER: — the old language — [82] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tu	[13]	Just because Mr. Rose is is	[13]	four years, the majority is elected; every two
[16] chair the next year. It means that the members [17] the people that are managing this board and at [18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [18] served. [19] served. [19] served. [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gentlemen? [21] mr. LASSITER: I just have one. [22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that's a parliamentary [26] more—although we are—we are nonpolitical in [27] would consider to be somewhat divisive on the [28] would consider to be somewhat divisive on the [29] mr. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [25] way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman— [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: — are you ready for a motion? [4] MR. TAYLOR: — are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELINER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely— [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: - the old language— [10] MR. WUELINER: — the old language— [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELINER: — the old language — [13] MR. WUELINER: — the condition of the first models on the first makes as a sentence about the first models on the first makes and the first models on the revision of the struck, because all that — those dates [15] MR. WUELINER: — the old language — [16] the transplace comes out with reference to make a motion that we received board members will come in. [17] those somes, and without going back to an old [18] That language comes out with reference to make a motion that those ones, and without going back to an old [19] those somes, and without going back to an old [19] those	[14]	secretary/treasurer and inferred as vice-chair	[14]	year every other four-year period, the
[17] of this board will decide who they want as their [18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [19] served. [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gendemen? [22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [26] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [27] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [28] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [29] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [20] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [21] MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [21] MR. TAYLOR: The year of your early for a motion? [22] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [24] MR. TAYLOR: Are you go and you go you have that that that the decided in the year of your and you have the that you have the that language goes [25] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [26] MR. TAYLOR: Are you go and you have you	[15]	does not mean that he can he's going to be	[15]	minority is elected.
[18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [19] served. [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gentlemen? [21] mr. Lassiter. I just have one. [22] would consider to be somewhat divisive on the [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. Lassiter. As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [26] mr. Lassiter. As far as the vice-chair, [27] mr. Lassiter. As far as the vice-chair, [28] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [29] way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Davisier. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: Pare you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] MS. BARTIN: If that's what — [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: — the old language — [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: — the old language — [13] just gat in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that — those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language gones out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no — so validity awymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a — of the early [22] Lanuary date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there was be reseablished that the original Authority [22] Lanuary date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there was be reseablished that purple gain there is activation of the first [23] there was be reseablished that purple gain there is activation of the first [24] there's no reestablishing that probably. [25] Lanuary date again. And I think just a sentence [26] there was be reseablished that purple gain there is activation of the cardy [27] there's no restablishing that probably. [28] Lanuary date again. And I think just a senten	[16]	chair the next year. It means that the members	[16]	So, if you set in stone the progression of
[18] chairman, no matter how many years that chairman [19] served. [19] board is changing, I think you'll run into some [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gentlemen? [22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a partiamentary [26] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [27] chair, I think that that's a partiamentary [28] chair, I think that that's a partiamentary [29] way. That's my comments. [29] way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Davisiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman - [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] MS. BARTIN: If that's what - [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [19] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language - [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language - [13] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language - [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that - those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That Language gones our with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no - no validity anymore, [21] there was be recatablished the the restablishing that probably. [22] Languary date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there was be recatablished that purpose. [24] MR. TAYLOR: The date that the [25] mr. Taylor. The first Tuesday following the [26] charter that has no - no validity anymore, [27] those names, and without going back to an old [28] there was be recatablishing that probably. [29] Languary date again. And I think just a sentence [29] there was be recatablishing that probably. [20] Languary date again. And I think just a sentence [21] there was be recatablishing that probably. [21] Languary date again. And I think just a sentence [22] Languary date again. And I	[17]	of this board will decide who they want as their	[17]	the people that are managing this board and at
[19] served. [20] Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, [21] gentlemen? [22] Ms. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [26] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [27] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [28] Way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Larsiter. [29] Way. That's my comments. Page 36 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Larsiter. [20] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman - [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Taylor? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely - [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: Hood language - [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language - [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Than ecods to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: - the southing needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that - those dates [16] Way. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [17] that's way composited the first with informal that the original Authority [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no - no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a - of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishment of a - of the early [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And that - that, you intend to	[18]	chairman, no matter how many years that chairman	[18]	
20 Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment, 20 more — although we are — we are nonpolitical in 21 gentlemen? 22 MR. LASSITER: I just have one. 22 would consider to be somewhat divisive on the 23 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? 23 board. So, I think we'd rather — in my opinion, 24 I think we'd rather have it every year done that 25 way. That's my comments. 25 way. That's my comments. 26 Way. That's my comments. 26 Way. That's my comments. 27 Way. That's my comments. 28 Way. That's my comments. 29 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — 20 MR. Taylor: Mr. Taylor? 20 MR. Taylor: Mr. Taylor? 20 MR. Taylor: Mr. Ta		served.		
[22] MR. LASSITER: I just have one. [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [26] Think wo'd rather have it every year done that [27] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [28] way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that "m not quite comfortable with is just [6] Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuseday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that - those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those annes, and without going back to an old [19] those annes, and without going back to an old [19] chairman date that, you will see that the lincuision of the [19] those annes, and without going back to an old [19] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. I dike to make a motion that [10] those annes, and without going back to an old [10] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [11] there's no recatablishment of a - of the carly [12] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuseday following the [23] there may be recatablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[20]	Ms. Bartin? Or any other comment,	[20]	more although we are we are nonpolitical in
[23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? [24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [26] way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman — [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: — are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely — [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the [10] MR. WUELLNER: — the old language — [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: — that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that — those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no — no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a — of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there was be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [25] there was be reestablishing that probably. [26] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [27] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [28] there may be reestablishing that probably. [29] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[21]	gentlemen?	[21]	our seats, you do add something of that I
[24] MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair, [25] chair, I think that that's a parliamentary [25] way. That's my comments. Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [19] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuseday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] Jamusry date again. And I think just a sentence [22] Jamusry date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there is a certain a turn of the complex of the certain and the certain and the certain and the complex will come in. [17] those names, and without going back to an old [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] Jamusry date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be restablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turnoil.	[22]	MR, LASSITER: I just have one.	[22]	would consider to be somewhat divisive on the
Page 35 Page 36 [1]	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[23]	board. So, I think we'd rather in my opinion,
Page 35 [1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that - those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] MS. BARTIN: Okay, Now, when you say [20] charter that has no - no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a - of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[24]	MR. LASSITER: As far as the vice-chair,	[24]	I think we'd rather have it every year done that
[1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [10] MR. WUELLNER: well, I think the only thing [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [12] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [13] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [15] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [16] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [17] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [18] MR. Taylor. [19] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. [11] MS. BARTIN: Okay. [12] MR. Taylor. [13] Mr. Taylor. [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] MR. Taylor. [16] Were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the earty [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[25]	chair, I think that that's a parliamentary	[25]	way. That's my comments.
[1] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. [2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: - are you ready for a motion? [10] MR. WUELLNER: well, I think the only thing [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [12] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [13] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [14] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [15] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [16] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [17] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [18] MR. Taylor. [19] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. [10] MR. Taylor. [11] MS. BARTIN: Okay. [12] MR. Taylor. [13] Mr. Taylor. [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] MR. Taylor. [16] Were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the earty [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.				
[2] MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman [3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: the old language [10] MR. WUELLNER: the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] Lanuary date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	1 5 65			
[3] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? [4] MR. TAYLOR: — are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely — [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the [10] MR. WUELLNER: — the old language — [10] MR. WUELLNER: — the old language — [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: — that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that — those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no — no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a — of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	Page 35		Page 36	
[4] MR. TAYLOR: — are you ready for a motion? [5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely — [8] MS. BARTIN: If that's what — [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: — the old language — [10] MR. WUELLNER: — the old language — [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: — that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that — those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no — no validity anymore, [21] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that — that, you intend to		CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	_	that everybody understands it.
[5] MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing [6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: the old language [10] MR. WUELLNER: the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [20] January date again. And I think just a sentence [21] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. [6] Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes [7] back? [8] MS. BARTIN: If that's what [9] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [10] motion. [11] MS. BARTIN: Okay. [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [13] Mr. Taylor. [14] MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the in accordance with the previous charter. [16] where cstablished with the original Authority [17] specific organization date as recommended by [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[1]	, '	[1]	
[6] that I'm not quite comfortable with is just [7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [9] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] there's no reestablishing that probably. [20] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I treates a turmoil. [21] Intered again. And I think just a sentence [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[1] [2]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman	[1] [2]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman
[7] because the language disappears in the revision [8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] charter that has no no validity anymore, [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion?
[8] completely [9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: - the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: - that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [26] MR. BARTIN: If that's what [19] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [10] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [11] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [12] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [13] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [14] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [15] MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. [16] MR. TAYLOR: I was doing to head, MR. TAYLOR: I was date and MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [17] new elected board members will come in. [18] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [18] MR. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion?
[9] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. [10] MR. WUELLNER: the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [12] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [27] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second.
[10] MR. WUELLNER: the old language [11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [25] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes
[11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. [12] MR. WUELLNER: — that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that — those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no — no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a — of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [17] In MS. BARTIN: Okay. [18] MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that [19] MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that [19] we accept the proposal or the draft as presented [19] by the attorneys with the inclusion of the [19] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no — no validity anymore, [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [25] MS. BARTIN: And that — that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what
[12] MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to [13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [25] MR. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the
[13] just get in there, a sentence about the first [14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [15] Mr. Taylor. [16] MR. TayloR: I'd like to make a motion that [17] MR. TayloR: I'd like to make a motion that [18] MR. TayloR: I'd like to make a motion that [19] MR. TayloR: I'd like to make a motion that [19] MR. TayloR: I'd like to make a motion that [19] we accept the proposal or the draft as presented [19] by the attorneys with the inclusion of the [19] we accept the proposal or the draft as presented [19] by the attorneys with the inclusion of the [19] maccordance with the previous charter. [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion.
[14] Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a [15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [15] MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that [15] we accept the proposal or the draft as presented [16] by the attorneys with the inclusion of the [17] specific organization date as recommended by [18] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay.
[15] formal reference, because all that those dates [16] were established with the original Authority [17] appointments. [18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [15] we accept the proposal or the draft as presented [16] by the attorneys with the inclusion of the [17] specific organization date as recommended by [18] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] 1st. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead,
[16] were established with the original Authority [16] by the attorneys with the inclusion of the [17] appointments. [17] specific organization date as recommended by [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor.
[17] appointments. [17] specific organization date as recommended by [18] That language comes out with reference to [18] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that
[18] That language comes out with reference to [19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [18] in accordance with the previous charter. [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented
[19] those names, and without going back to an old [19] MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say [20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the
[20] charter that has no no validity anymore, [20] "organizational date," i.e., the date that the [21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by
[21] there's no reestablishment of a of the early [21] new elected board members will come in. [22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter.
[22] January date again. And I think just a sentence [22] MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the [23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to those names, and without going back to an old	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter. MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say
[23] there may be reestablishing that probably. [23] 1st. [24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to those names, and without going back to an old charter that has no no validity anymore,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter. MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say "organizational date," i.e., the date that the
[24] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil. [24] MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to those names, and without going back to an old charter that has no no validity anymore, there's no reestablishment of a of the early	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter. MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say "organizational date," i.e., the date that the new elected board members will come in.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to those names, and without going back to an old charter that has no no validity anymore, there's no reestablishment of a of the early January date again. And I think just a sentence	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter. MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say "organizational date," i.e., the date that the new elected board members will come in. MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the
[25] MK. WUELLNER: It just keeps it clear enough [25] have set the same as it's read before, that they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to those names, and without going back to an old charter that has no no validity anymore, there's no reestablishment of a of the early January date again. And I think just a sentence there may be reestablishing that probably.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter. MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say "organizational date," i.e., the date that the new elected board members will come in. MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the 1st.
i i	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? MR. WUELLNER: Well, I think the only thing that I'm not quite comfortable with is just because the language disappears in the revision completely CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. WUELLNER: the old language CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That needs to be put in. MR. WUELLNER: that something needs to just get in there, a sentence about the first Tuesday after the first Monday, again, as a formal reference, because all that those dates were established with the original Authority appointments. That language comes out with reference to those names, and without going back to an old charter that has no no validity anymore, there's no reestablishment of a of the early January date again. And I think just a sentence there may be reestablishing that probably. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It creates a turmoil.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: are you ready for a motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. One second. Ms. Bartin, you will see that that language goes back? MS. BARTIN: If that's what MR. TAYLOR: I was going to put it in the motion. MS. BARTIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to make a motion that we accept the proposal or the draft as presented by the attorneys with the inclusion of the specific organization date as recommended by in accordance with the previous charter. MS. BARTIN: Okay. Now, when you say "organizational date," i.e., the date that the new elected board members will come in. MR. TAYLOR: The first Tuesday following the 1st. MS. BARTIN: And that that, you intend to

		Air port Authority Regular Mee		
	Page 37		Page 38	
	[1]	will serve for terms to expire on the first	[1]	accepted the draft copy of the codification of
	[2]	Tuesday after the first Monday in	[2]	the charter. Ms. Bartin?
	[3]	MR. TAYLOR: Correct.		I.E.2 STATUS REPORT - CURRENT CHARTER CHALLENGE
	[4]	MS. BARTIN: well, January 1971, but	[4]	MS. BARTIN: Okay. The second report that I
	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's correct.	[5]	wanted to give to you is the status of the
	[6]	MR. TAYLOR: Each year.	[6]	Bosanko versus State of Florida case. I think
	[7]	MS. BARTIN: Each year or following	[7]	everyone's aware this is related to a challenge
	[8]	election.	[8]	of the charter provision that precludes a state
	[9]	MR. TAYLOR: So, that's my motion.	[9]	·
	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Do	[10]	employee from sitting as a board member. I just
	[11]	I have a second?	[11]	wanted to give you sort of a chronology of what
	[12]	MR. WATTS: Yes, I second it.	[12]	has happened.
	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts.		Initially, the Complaint was filed and
	[14]		[13]	the naming the State of Florida as the only
		Gentlemen, all in favor of accepted accepting	[14]	Defendant. The Attorney General's Office for the
	[15]	the charter draft as written with the inclusions	[15]	State of Florida, as Defendant, filed a Motion to
	[16]	of the amendment to put the language back in,	[16]	Dismiss the case based on the failure to join an
l	[17]	signify by aye.	[17]	indispensable party, that is, the Airport
	[18]	MR. ROSE: Aye.	[18]	Authority.
	[19]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[19]	They a motion there was a motion to
	[20]	MR, LASSITER: Aye,	[20]	amend the Complaint to join the Airport
ļ	[21]	MR. WATTS: Aye.	[21]	Authority. They there was a stay pending the
	[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	[22]	outcome of the election. And finally we have
	[23]	And opposed?	[23]	been served as your representative on December
	[24]	(No opposition.)	[24]	7th with an Amended Complaint naming the Airport
	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	[25]	Authority in that Complaint.
	Page 39		Page 40	
ı	[1]	We've prepared an Answer, which is will	[1]	MR. ROSE: If the Judge rules in favor of
		be filed soon, as well as a Motion for Summary	[2]	Mrs. Bosanko, then the provision in our charter
İ		Judgment, which we are preparing.	[3]	which says that members of this board cannot
	[4]	And, basically, George has been handling	[4]	cannot hold cannot be an employee of the
		most of this. He did indicate to me that the	[5]	state, county or the city, is that is that
ŀ		question would come up as to what do we do in	[6]	stricken, or is it just the state, or is it just
		January. And it's his it's our opinion that	[7]	this one instance?
		if the Supervisor of Election has certified	[8]	MS. BARTIN: If he holds that the provision
- 1		Ms. Bosanko as the successful candidate, then she	[9]	is invalid because it's unconstitutional, then it
- 1		will be seated on the Authority until if and	[10]	would be invalid. It would no longer be a valid
- 1		when a decision to the contrary comes from the	[11]	provision.
- 1		Circuit Court.	[12]	MR. ROSE: Of all three categories of
- 1	[13]	A hearing on our motion I forgot to	[13]	employee: State, county, and city.
- 1		mention that there is a hearing scheduled on our	[14]	MS. BARTIN: As I understand her Complaint,
- 1		Motion for Summary Judgment for February 1st	[15]	it's based on the fact that she's a state
- 1		before Judge Traynor. That was the first hearing	[16]	employee. She's not challenging the other two
		date that we could get. So, that is the status.	[10]	
	[18]	_	[17]	types of people that are prohibited from sitting
		And if you have any questions, I'll be happy		on the board. MR POSE: But right pow our charter
		to try to answer them, or I can get you an answer	[19]	MR. ROSE: But right now our charter
		through George, since he's the one the primary	[20]	stands
		person dealing with this case. Any questions?	[21]	MS. BARTIN: Right
	[22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any comment or	[22]	MR. ROSE: it prohibits state, county,
		questions?	[23]	and city employees.
	[24]	MR. ROSE: I have a question,	[24]	MS. BARTIN: And it is retained in the
	ragi	CHAIDMAN DAVIG. 14: D. O	To c?	annual decision of the second
	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?	[25]	proposed charter as it has read all these years.

P			
Pa	nge 41	Page 42	
[1]	MR. ROSE: So, this just is in limbo until	[1]	MR. TAYLOR: to speak for this board
[2	the Judge	[2]	MS. BARTIN: Let's assume
[3	MS. BARTIN: If the Judge rules and says	[3]	MR, TAYLOR: but does that mean that we
[4]	that the provision is appropriately in the	[4]	would have the opportunity to file or countersuit
[5]	charter, then Ms. Bosanko would would have to	[5]	prior to that time, saying we don't feel that
[6]	vacate the seat and there would be a vacated	[6]	someone that knew they were unqualified should be
[7]	seat, and the Governor would appoint per the	[7]	qualified? Or does that is that overtaken
[8]	charter, would appoint a replacement for her.	[8]	by in his position to certify, when she says
[9]	MR. ROSE: Thank you.	[9]	she's not in charge of qualifying?
[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[10]	MS. BARTIN: Okay. I have not been involved
[11]	MR. TAYLOR: I may be on shaky sand here,	[11]	in drafting the Answer or the and as far as I
[12]	but we now have a situation where we may be about	[12]	know, that has not been filed as of yet. The
[13]	to seat someone that ran in an election knowing	[13]	deadline has not come and it has not been filed,
[14]	full well that they were not qualified to run in	[14]	but I'll certainly talk to George and determine
[15]	that election. Does that give us any basis	[15]	whether or not there is they're looking at
[16]	MS. BARTIN: I think that's certainly	[16]	some sort of a counterclaim, which would be the
[17]	MR. TAYLOR: of challenge?	[17]	appropriate time and place to do that.
[18]	MS. BARTIN: That's certainly an argument	[18]	MR. TAYLOR: Is it true that all billing for
[19]] that can be made at the in the Motion for	[19]	this issue is outside of our normal contract with
[20]	Summary Judgment and in any other subsequent	[20]	you?
[21]	pleadings that are filed,	[21]	MS. BARTIN: I don't know that. I can find
[22]	MR. TAYLOR: In subsequent pleadings.	[22]	out, though, and can call you or
[23]	MS. BARTIN: If	[23]	MR. TAYLOR: Ed, can you answer that?
[24]	MR. TAYLOR: I'm not here	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Offhand, I don't know.
[25]	MS. BARTIN: Let's assume that	[25]	MR. LASSITER: I have a question off of
		L	
		1	
1	ge 43	Page 44	
[1]	that.	[1]	MR. LASSITER: Absolutely.
[1] [2]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[1] [2]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like
[1] [2] [3]	that, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a	[1] [2] [3]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay, Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.)
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay, Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MS. BARTIN: reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and MR. LASSITER: Well, I think that for the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the quorum, so to speak, is what you were saying.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and MR. LASSITER: Well, I think that for the agenda for January, it would be a little more	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the quorum, so to speak, is what you were saying. If Mrs. Bosanko is seated and the other four
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and MR. LASSITER: Well, I think that for the agenda for January, it would be a little more critical to know those kind of answers before we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the quorum, so to speak, is what you were saying. If Mrs. Bosanko is seated and the other four members of the board are legal and — and she
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and MR. LASSITER: Well, I think that for the agenda for January, it would be a little more critical to know those kind of answers before we start voting on some major funded project that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the quorum, so to speak, is what you were saying. If Mrs. Bosanko is seated and the other four members of the board are legal and — and she votes on something, and then if down the road
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and MR. LASSITER: Well, I think that for the agenda for January, it would be a little more critical to know those kind of answers before we start voting on some major funded project that may or may not be controverted.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the quorum, so to speak, is what you were saying. If Mrs. Bosanko is seated and the other four members of the board are legal and — and she votes on something, and then if down the road she's disqualified and her vote shouldn't be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: Cindy, I I'm going to do a "what if" here. Because of the timing of the hearing and all, what if Ms. Bosanko is seated, the motions or agendas are presented and passed and she votes on those, and in fact she's disqualified; then the actions we take are invalidated? MS. BARTIN: This is that's I mean, that is an interesting hypothetical, and I don't know whether it would be based on the number the voting, whether it was a 3-2 vote and she was a swing vote or, you know, whether there was any sort of, you know her being there and voting. I really don't know that answer. If you're interested, I certainly can do some research and MR. LASSITER: Well, I think that for the agenda for January, it would be a little more critical to know those kind of answers before we start voting on some major funded project that may or may not be controverted. MS. BARTIN: That you would have to go back	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MS. BARTIN: — reratify or something like that. MR. LASSITER: If we're going to extend contracts out and approve funding, then we've got — we're really out there in the wind if this come backs and they say no, she's disqualified, and therefore — MS. BARTIN: Okay. Let me take a look at that. MR. LASSITER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other questions, gentlemen? (No questions.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Public comment. Mr. Ciriello? MR. CIRIELLO: I would ask Cindy a question related to what Mr. Lassiter was saying about the quorum, so to speak, is what you were saying. If Mrs. Bosanko is seated and the other four members of the board are legal and — and she votes on something, and then if down the road

İ	Page 45		Page 46	
	[1]	Cindy?	[1]	MS. BARTIN: I don't know that. I mean, I
	[2]	MS. BARTIN: I think that you're correct in	[2]	would have to I would feel more comfortable
	[3]	that statement, but remember, until the Judge	[3]	researching if it would be retroactively applied
ı	[4]	invalidates that provision, she's a legal member	[4]	to when she took the seat as opposed to when the
	[5]	or could be construed as a legal member. And it	[5]	decision was made.
	[6]	would it may you know, I don't know how his	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: We had a situation a while
	[7]	decision, if it goes this way, would be held.	[7]	back similar to this, except nothing legal was
ĺ	[8]	I mean so we'd have to look at that,	[8]	done when we had a board chairman who moved out
***************************************	[9]	whether all her activities and participation on	[9]	of the county and should have gaven (sic) up the
	[10]	the board up to that point would be nullified or	[10]	seat or given up the seat and didn't, and
	[11]	whether she was, until the date of his decision,	[11]	certain people in the press and what all said,
	[12]	a legally seated member of the Authority.	[12]	oh, the person has an address in the county, so
	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: Probably want to make some	[13]	it's legal, which it wasn't.
	[14]	clarifying	[14]	Between me and a certain radio station
Ĭ	[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I think where your where	[15]	investigating the situation with the board of
ļ	[16]	your problem is going to come in is if if	[16]	elections and everybody else, this thing went all
	[17]	there are two members missing, and we can call a	[17]	the way to the Governor, the Attorney General.
	[18]	meeting with three members.	[18]	The Attorney General supposedly sent information
	[19]	MR. CIRIELLO: You have a quorum with three.	[19]	to our Attorney General down here, Tanner, and
	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We have a quorum with	[20]	told him that she should be removed, and he just
	[21]	three. If there there are two members missing	[21]	chose to ignore the whole situation, and she sat
	[22]	and you have three members which includes her,	[22]	there until her term was up.
ĺ	[23]	correct me if I'm wrong, Cindy, but I think if	[23]	So, in if something would have been done,
	[24]	if that is in that decision that the Judge makes,	[24]	there were a few occasions when I was here when
	[25]	then everything they have done is null.	[25]	there was only three people on the board like you
_				
	Page 47		Page 48	
- 1	[1]	just gave the example, Mr. Davis, her and two	[1]	and see, and the board is just going to have to
	[1] [2]	others, and a few decisions were made that should	[1] [2]	and see, and the board is just going to have to address it after after you get all the
	[1] [2] [3]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have	[1] [2] [3]	address it after after you get all the information.
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she	[1] [2] [3] [4]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question,
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as
and the second s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to
THE STATE OF THE S	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her.
The state of the s	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the Judge makes as to when is it going to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done with this
THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the Judge makes as to when is it going to be effective the date that she is if she is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done with this MS. BARTIN: I know.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the Judge makes as to when is it going to be effective the date that she is if she is removed? Is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done with this MS. BARTIN: I know. MR. TAYLOR: but when I spoke with
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the Judge makes as to when is it going to be effective the date that she is if she is removed, is it the date that she is removed? Is it the day that she is seated? Do we go back to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done with this MS. BARTIN: I know. MR. TAYLOR: but when I spoke with Mrs. Halyburton, she said that she is not
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the Judge makes as to when is it going to be effective the date that she is if she is removed, is it the date that she is removed? Is it the day that she is seated? Do we go back to July when she qualified? We don't know.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done with this MS. BARTIN: I know. MR. TAYLOR: but when I spoke with Mrs. Halyburton, she said that she is not responsible to qualify people. So, apparently
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	others, and a few decisions were made that should have been, but because nobody did would have been would have been illegal, because she shouldn't have been there. And you can't have a quorum with two people. And there were some decisions that she made and signed off on that if anything would have brought to a head, would have been throwed out. So, whether or not that has what you're saying, if Mrs. Bosanko and two other members are here and a decision is made and they come to find out she's not a member of the board or shouldn't be, fraudulent so to speak, because she shouldn't have ran, you might get things throwed out. But if there's more than three here, you know, then I wouldn't think it would matter. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Well, I think it's going to be because whatever the decision is that the Judge makes as to when is it going to be effective the date that she is if she is removed, is it the date that she is removed? Is it the day that she is seated? Do we go back to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	address it after after you get all the information. MR. LASSITER: One more quick question, Cindy, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter? MR. LASSITER: How can we actually seat her if it's against our charter to seat her? MS. BARTIN: Because she's been certified as the successful candidate by the Supervisor of Elections. And I at this point, there is nothing you can do contrary to MR. LASSITER: So, we're in violation of our charter and to seat her. MS. BARTIN: That is your position at this point. MR. LASSITER: Okay. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: I know we're trying to be done with this MS. BARTIN: I know. MR. TAYLOR: but when I spoke with Mrs. Halyburton, she said that she is not

		1	
Page 49		Page 50	
[1]	like Charlie. You see, that's the nice part	[1]	presentation on the air traffic control tower
[2]	about being a lame duck.	[2]	alternatives. Who's handling that?
[3]	MS. BARTIN: Well, I and I understand.	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Excuse me, Mr. Wuellner.
[4]	Your point is that it is the person who is	[4]	There is nothing in the packet on this. Are they
[5]	running's responsibility to determine whether or	[5]	going to give us something on this?
[6]	not they are qualified for that position they're	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, they're going to.
[7]	running for. And I understand that. And that	[7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. Go ahead.
[8]	is you know, that is an argument that will be	[8]	MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you very much,
[9]	made, that there was a duty and a responsibility	[9]	Mr. Chairman, I'm Brian Lally, and I'm with the
[10]	to make sure that she qualified, not Penny	[10]	design portion of the design/build team that you
[11]	Halyburton's responsibility to take a look at	[11]	have selected, and the design portion is AJT
[12]	everybody and make sure that they're the right	[12]	Engineering,
[13]	age or the right whatever, if there is any age	[13]	I have with me today a few of the people
[14]	limits on any of these other positions, et	[14]	from our design/build team, Nandu Shah from
[15]	cetera, so	[15]	Haskell, the Haskell Company. And Haskell
[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other comment,	[16]	Company specializes in design/build projects.
[17]	gentlemen?	[17]	Nandu is the lead manager on this project as
[18]	(No comments.)	[18]	Haskell is the prime contractor of our team.
[19]	MS. BARTIN: That's it,	[19]	Also with him today is Jason Heuler, who is
[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Ms. Bartin. We	[20]	his construction manager. And then I have three
[21]	move now to our action items. Mr. Wuellner?	[21]	people with me from AJT to address any questions
[22]	5.A AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	[22]	and also take part in this short presentation.
[23]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes, You have the firms of	[23]	Brian Petersen, who is the project manager
[24]	Haskell Engineering and AJT sorry, Haskell	[24]	for the design, Brian Brian's background is an
[25]	Construction and AJT engineers to make	[25]	air traffic controller with the United States Air
[25]	construction and 1151 diagnosts to make	[23]	an dame controller with the Officer States An
Page 51		Page 52	
Page 51	Force. And before coming with AJT, he was the	_	Mr. Rose?
[1]	Force. And before coming with AJT, he was the power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was	Page 52 [1] [2]	Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Thank you.
[1] [2]		[1]	
[1] [2] [3]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was	[1] [2]	MR. ROSE: Thank you.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead
[1] [2] [3]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. ROSE: Thank you, MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer, will briefly go over what those two concepts are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building. And this adjacent building here is the ball,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer, will briefly go over what those two concepts are comprised of. And following that, Nandu Shah	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building. And this adjacent building here is the ball, and we picked up the curved roof off of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer, will briefly go over what those two concepts are comprised of. And following that, Nandu Shah will address the cost considerations regarding	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building. And this adjacent building here is the ball, and we picked up the curved roof off of the the curved roof, the hangar over there. And here
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer, will briefly go over what those two concepts are comprised of. And following that, Nandu Shah will address the cost considerations regarding the schemes.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building. And this adjacent building here is the ball, and we picked up the curved roof off of the the curved roof, the hangar over there. And here again, this portion right here should be white,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer, will briefly go over what those two concepts are comprised of. And following that, Nandu Shah will address the cost considerations regarding the schemes. So, I have these sketches to pass out to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building. And this adjacent building here is the ball, and we picked up the curved roof off of the the curved roof, the hangar over there. And here again, this portion right here should be white, since we don't have windows, and just the bottom
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	power chief at Patrick Air Force Base and was the did design review and also supervised the construction of the new tower that's down there now. So and they completed that, and he came on board with us and has been with us about a year. Also, next to him is Murray (phonetic) Schmidt, project architect. He'll be assisting with the presentation. And Byron Evetts, structural engineer. What we had in mind was we would go over well, we've got we've got a couple of things to present to you today. One is two architectural schemes or concepts for you to decide and pick one one way or the other that you'd like to go. And also and Murray, the architect and, Byron, our structural engineer, will briefly go over what those two concepts are comprised of. And following that, Nandu Shah will address the cost considerations regarding the schemes.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	MR. ROSE: Thank you. MR. LALLY: Murray, why don't you go ahead first and then followed by Byron. Byron will talk about the structural parts. MR. SCHMIDT: I'll try and stay from this location. My voice isn't the best. We took this version here, our scheme, to closely blend in with your new control building that you have the rendering up there, tried to pick up the blue and these things aren't the right color. These little braces here are to be silver like the ones on your new building. And this is to be white. And we have a presentation of your logo right here, but I don't the color didn't come out contrasting enough, so that's not the final color. The idea was to show the logo of the airport on the building. And this adjacent building here is the ball, and we picked up the curved roof off of the the curved roof, the hangar over there. And here again, this portion right here should be white,

Page 5	3	Page 54	
[1]	tried to pick up some of the theme of downtown	[1]	The of course, this is steel with some
[2]	the old downtown section with the roof here and	[2]	kind of finish over it. I think on this one,
[3]	the roof here being some kind of barrel tile,	[3]	it's a metal skin to emulate the flashing on that
[4]	either concrete, clay, or metal, whichever is	[4]	building over there. In this one, we wound up
[5]	appropriate. We would recommend the clay would	[5]	with a different representation of the logo. I
[6]	do that,	[6]	don't know. Let me see. Somebody left the front
[7]	But we felt that this scheme would start or	[7]	door off of this one.
[8]	build a new theme for your airport, picking up	[8]	MR. LALLY: It will have a front door.
[9]	the theme from the new terminal, and this	[9]	MR. SCHMIDT: The main entrance is left off
[10]	being bringing in some of the influence of	[10]	of this one. And I'm looking at it and here's
[11]	downtown. And we hope that one or the other	[11]	the exit, the stairway.
[12]	finds your approval today.	[12]	MR. LALLY: Can you go on to the next one?
[13]	Show the next one. Here's some elevations,	[13]	MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah. This one's got all kind
[14]	a little more simplified	[14]	of goodies on it. This one has an entrance with
[15]	MR. LALLY: Murray, could you talk a little	[15]	a little cover over it. That didn't get on that
[16]	bit about the materials to bring out the colors	[16]	one.
[17]	and the architectural treatment?	[17]	The another version of this, which is
[18]	MR. SCHMIDT: Well, I think on this one, we	[18]	similar, would be this would be this
[19]	talked about it quite a bit, it was sandblasted	[19]	architectural board would be a solid color.
[20]	precast concrete panels. But, of course we had	[20]	Other than that, it's it's made out of the
[21]	to get color to them, so we're going to paint	[21]	same materials.
[22]	them. And I felt that the paint would be able to	[22]	On this one, we might be able to get this
[23]	match the tower better. So, all the walls are	[23]	color, I'm not sure, with a color in the material
[24]	precast concrete panels from the ground up until	[24]	of the precast. But this would probably down
[25]	we get up to the top here.	[25]	here be an applied finish to be insulation
Page 55		Page 56	
[1]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis	[1]	new 125-mile-an-hour wind codes. All of the
[1] [2]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and	[1] [2]	floors have the same loading, approximately a
[1] [2] [3]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis	[1] [2] [3]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	floors have the same loading, approximately a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these — these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are — some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these — these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are — some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sieeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sieeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these — these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are — some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever — the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sieeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these — these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are — some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever — the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these two these two systems are very similar, and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were 10 feet high, 25 feet across at the base. You
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these — these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are — some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever — the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these two — these two systems are very similar, and just for anybody who's in the cheap seats, I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice siceve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were 10 feet high, 25 feet across at the base. You would set four of them in, grout them in place,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these — these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are — some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever — the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these two — these two systems are very similar, and just for anybody who's in the cheap seats, I notice I couldn't see it over there, but this is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were 10 feet high, 25 feet across at the base. You would set four of them in, grout them in place, and then drop in your hollow core floor. So, you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these two these two systems are very similar, and just for anybody who's in the cheap seats, I notice I couldn't see it over there, but this is the two schemes we were talking about.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were 10 feet high, 25 feet across at the base. You would set four of them in, grout them in place, and then drop in your hollow core floor. So, you would basically erect, glue, and just keep doing
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these two these two systems are very similar, and just for anybody who's in the cheap seats, I notice I couldn't see it over there, but this is the two schemes we were talking about. Structurally, they're very similar. They're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were 10 feet high, 25 feet across at the base. You would set four of them in, grout them in place, and then drop in your hollow core floor. So, you would basically erect, glue, and just keep doing that every ten feet.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	material, what we normally refer to as Ethis (phonetic). But it's applied to the finish and then all of these lines would be grooves in the material to get a shadow line. Now, these these lines here are part of the construction joints of the precast. These are some of these windows are real; some of them are fake. Let's see. Actually, these three are real. This version, they're all real. But this is an applied imitation balcony. It just creates a shadow. And here we show you have air conditioners and/or knockouts, whichever the actual floors that have air conditioners are going to be a knockout when the unfinished floors would be finished. MR. LALLY: Okay. Thank you. MR. EVETTS: Yeah, structurally, these two these two systems are very similar, and just for anybody who's in the cheap seats, I notice I couldn't see it over there, but this is the two schemes we were talking about.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	floors have the same loading, approximately a hundred pounds per square feet. Starting at the bottom, you would have a buried foundation map, approximately two and a half feet thick, about 35 feet square. There would be about two feet of dirt surcharge on top of that. And then your plant precast panels would literally be glued to that, using what we call a splice sleeve. So, there would be rebar sticking out of the map, then the new panels are set down over the rebar, and then they're grouted with a high-strength grout. The panels themselves would typically be like a 6,000 PSI concrete, which is a pretty high quality concrete. I think for this scheme, we would envision that the panels would be laid so that they were 10 feet high, 25 feet across at the base. You would set four of them in, grout them in place, and then drop in your hollow core floor. So, you would basically erect, glue, and just keep doing

-			
Page	2 57	Page 58	
[1]	tower, I would think in less than a week, if he	[1]	MR. SCHMIDT: Just on the tower. Yeah, the
[2]	was really hustling.	[2]	vault, that's
[3]	The cab itself is a structural steel	[3]	MR. EVETTS: Sorry. That's right. There's
[4]	component with a cast in-place floor. So, once	[4]	also the vault here, which I forgot to talk
[5]	they built the tower with the stairs and the	[5]	about. The vault would either be CMU or precast
[6]	floors as they went along, they would just set	[6]	construction. I believe that the costing is
[7]	the structural steel tower on top.	[7]	favoring a precast construction. And one has the
[8]	There's embeds at the top of the tower, and	[8]	bowstring the bowstring roof, and the other
[9]	then it's welded together and then you bring in	[9]	was a hip roof? Standard bar joist metal
[10]	your architectural sheathings and cladding. I	[10]	sheathing construction.
[11]	get the feeling they would probably put the	[11]	MR. LASSITER: Okay. It's just very
[12]	windows in before they set it in place, but that	[12]	difficult to see.
[13]	would be up to the contractor,	[13]	MR. EVETTS: Right. In this picture, yeah,
[14]	So, ideally, we would do as much stuff	[14]	you can't really tell, but it is a hip roof.
[15]	offsite as possible, use precast wherever	[15]	MR. LALLY: Thanks, Byron.
[16]	possible to keep labor costs down. This is North	[16]	MR. SHAH: My name is Nandu Shah. That's
[17]	America. That's what that's what really	[17]	N-a-n-d-u, S-h-a-h. On behalf of Haskell Company
[18]	drives up the cost of a project. And that really	[18]	and AJT, again, I would like to thank you for
[19]	sums it up. Pretty simple structure. Any	[19]	selecting this team. We're really excited about
[20]	questions of a structural nature?	[20]	this project. We know the airport is doing a lot
[21]	MR. LASSITER: Is the roof on top of the	[21]	with the city.
[22]	tower and on the vault, flat roofs?	[22]	I live in Mandarin. If I have the choice,
[23]	MR. EVETTS: Essentially, yes. It appears	[23]	I'd rather come down to take flights from here
[24]	flat, but it's really got a quarter inch per foot	[24]	than going to Jacksonville Airport, and
[25]	or something	[25]	hopefully, one of these days I can do that.
L1	5. 1 	[]	insportantly one of these days I can do that,
Page	59	Page 60	**************************************
Page	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which	1	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman?
1		[1]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
[1]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
[1] [2] [3]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed	[1] [2] [3]	
[1] [2] [3] [4]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant Kaiser, and we are confident that we can build	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman MR. SHAH: Well, as Murray Schmidt said, the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant Kaiser, and we are confident that we can build this project within the engineering report budget	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman MR. SHAH: Well, as Murray Schmidt said, the scheme on the right-hand side, it will blend a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant Kaiser, and we are confident that we can build this project within the engineering report budget that was delivered.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman MR. SHAH: Well, as Murray Schmidt said, the scheme on the right-hand side, it will blend a little bit with the terminal expansion that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's — it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant Kaiser, and we are confident that we can build this project within the engineering report budget that was delivered. If there any questions, I will be glad to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman MR. SHAH: Well, as Murray Schmidt said, the scheme on the right-hand side, it will blend a little bit with the terminal expansion that you're doing. The color scheme, the metal, the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant Kaiser, and we are confident that we can build this project within the engineering report budget that was delivered. If there any questions, I will be glad to answer any specific questions on any scope of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman MR. SHAH: Well, as Murray Schmidt said, the scheme on the right-hand side, it will blend a little bit with the terminal expansion that you're doing. The color scheme, the metal, the arch trusses on the vault will match with your
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	The tower, as designed by AJT, and which there was an engineering report that was dealed out, we believe that we can build this structure within the scope, within that report. And it's all precast structure that's going to be long-lasting, along with the tower cab design is also long-lasting. We're building something that's going to serve you for a long time. The material selection is such that it will meet your requirement as well as the operation of the tower. That's dealt with by AJT working with the airport staff is such that would meet your long-term requirements. It's it has built in future expansion in the tower. As far as the cost of the project is concerned, there was an engineering report that was shared with airport staff and your consultant Kaiser, and we are confident that we can build this project within the engineering report budget that was delivered. If there any questions, I will be glad to answer any specific questions on any scope of the work, along with my project manager, Jason	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: You want you want a selection today of one of these two options; is that right? MR. SHAH: That is a correct statement. Out of these two schemes, which scheme that you prefer is would like to have, with the understanding or maybe a combination of. If you like something in one scheme that you like to have in the other scheme, vice versa, and then it's our task to take that to the next level to develop what we call a guaranteed maximum price, once we develop the detailed documents for pricing and estimating and bidding. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? MR. TAYLOR: Somebody jump in. MR. WATTS: Well, Mr. Chairman MR. SHAH: Well, as Murray Schmidt said, the scheme on the right-hand side, it will blend a little bit with the terminal expansion that you're doing. The color scheme, the metal, the arch trusses on the vault will match with your hangar. So, there is some similarity with the

		1	
Page 61		Page 62	
[1]	Mediterranean/Spanish style, a lot of buildings	[1]	where the one on the right side with the blue
[2]	in old St. Augustine. So, there have to be maybe	[2]	would match the terminal. And so, I guess I
[3]	pros and cons. That's why we're here to get your	[3]	don't know; it's just it's a tough decision to
[4]	opinion and approval.	[4]	make there.
[5]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman?	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We had we had an
[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Watts?	[6]	extended meeting about the aesthetics of this
[7]	MR. WATTS: I guess I'm not really	[7]	tower, and I think the comment I made was we
[8]	expressing my views here, but I know the last	[8]	didn't want a, for lack of a better word, a red
[9]	one of the meetings we had here not long ago, I	[9]	tower in a blue city or vice versa, one of the
[10]	think we had all agreed that the new terminal	[10]	two, and we wanted to stick with the aesthetics
[11]	looked like something in Cleveland or St. Louis,	[11]	that it would be it would look like something
[12]	I believe it was. And the motif for the for	[12]	that belonged here.
[13]	the Mediterranean or the Spanish style is really,	[13]	Any comment let me go to public for a
[14]	that that is gorgeous.	[14]	minute. Any public comment on our tower?
[15]	And I know I guess it came up in one of	[15]	MR. HARVEY: Yes. My name is Mel Harvey,
[16]	our meetings, and I know we kind of joked about	[16]	417 Indian Bend Road. Since this thing's going
[17]	it, is throw some stucco on it and put a red tile	[17]	to be in almost our front yard for I don't know
[18]	roof on it and it'd give it a Spanish look.	[18]	how long, I like the one on the left.
[19]	But I that, the Spanish style, to me, is	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
[20]	just I mean, especially the height of the	[20]	MR. WATTS: I agree, Mr. Harvey.
[21]	control tower and being seen from all over St.	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
[22]	Augustine, I think that would just it would	[22]	MR. ROSE: I'd like to make a motion that
[23]	lend itself to the to the environment or the	[23]	we we authorize our team to go ahead with the
[24]	general environment of the airport.	[24]	Spanish architecture that's depicted on the left
[25]	But then again, on the other side, I can see	[25]	in this photograph.
1		11	
Page 63		Page 64	
_	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Do I have a second to that	I -	just a few minutes looking at that structure, you
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Do I have a second to that motion?	[1]	just a few minutes looking at that structure, you would be able to come up with a combination of
[1] [2]		I -	
[1] [2] [3]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second.	[1] [2] [3]	would be able to come up with a combination of
[1] [2] [3] [4]	motion?	[1] [2] [3] [4]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	motion? MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view or the other, the intracoastal view, you never see the terminal; you will see this tower.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it. I'm really not too fond of us trying to be modern
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view or the other, the intracoastal view, you never see the terminal; you will see this tower. I think we have a perfect example of the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it. I'm really not too fond of us trying to be modern with a Quonset hut. And I'm glad we have some
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view or the other, the intracoastal view, you never see the terminal; you will see this tower. I think we have a perfect example of the blending of the concrete and the terra cotta in	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it. I'm really not too fond of us trying to be modern with a Quonset hut. And I'm glad we have some separation. I really feel much stronger about the one on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view or the other, the intracoastal view, you never see the terminal; you will see this tower. I think we have a perfect example of the blending of the concrete and the terra cotta in the old Ponce de Leon Hotel. That was a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it. I'm really not too fond of us trying to be modern with a Quonset hut. And I'm glad we have some separation. I really feel much stronger about the one on the right. There are a couple of comments I'd
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view or the other, the intracoastal view, you never see the terminal; you will see this tower. I think we have a perfect example of the blending of the concrete and the terra cotta in the old Ponce de Leon Hotel. That was a continuous pour concrete structure with terra	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it. I'm really not too fond of us trying to be modern with a Quonset hut. And I'm glad we have some separation. I really feel much stronger about the one on the right. There are a couple of comments I'd like on the left. There are a couple of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. TAYLOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. MR. LASSITER: I have I have a little discussion. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Discussion? Go, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I don't want this railroad to get train to get on down the tracks too fast here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead, Mr. Lassiter. MR. LASSITER: I think we have a direction that we can give you guys; at least in my opinion, we do. The separation between the tower and the terminal is pretty expansive. The other thing is, is that if you're looking from one view or the other, the intracoastal view, you never see the terminal; you will see this tower. I think we have a perfect example of the blending of the concrete and the terra cotta in the old Ponce de Leon Hotel. That was a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	would be able to come up with a combination of the terra cotta and the natural concrete color, which is very acceptable aesthetically I think between the grays and the terra-cotta colors. But in my opinion, without the checkerboard on the bottom, I don't think you'll find that any place in St. Augustine. I think you can come up with something that definitely on the left, as Mr. Harvey pointed out, would be aesthetically much more pleasing than the blue thing over here on — on the right. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I'd like to say in the same vein, the Quonset hut that you called a bow, that's 60 years old. That's all through the South Pacific. The pilots will recognize it. I'm really not too fond of us trying to be modern with a Quonset hut. And I'm glad we have some separation. I really feel much stronger about the one on the right. There are a couple of comments I'd

Page 65		Page 66	
[1]	in most cases, our logo needs to tell someone	[1]	or matching what is nostalgic as a lighthouse.
[2]	it's an airport. In this case, I'd like to see	[2]	So, I strongly recommend working with the one on
[3]	our logo modified so that the emphasis is St.	[3]	the left with a few few other considerations
[4]	Augustine. The guy's going to know it's an	[4]	added to it.
[5]	airport by the time he gets here. So, that's	[5]	MR. SHAH: Thank you.
[6]	I'd like to authorize shifting our logo around a	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any other comment,
[7]	little bit for this particular adaptation.	[7]	gentlemen? We have a motion on the floor and a
[8]	The other is you mentioned clay and	[8]	second.
[9]	concrete. I really don't believe you can see the	[9]	MR. LASSITER: Call the question.
[10]	difference between clay and concrete at that	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Question, All in favor
[11]	distance, and I think it would be a substantial	[11]	of of the aesthetics that's on the left side,
[12]	difference in cost. That clay tile I mean,	[12]	signify by aye.
[13]	concrete tile now is very good.	[13]	MR. ROSE: Aye.
[14]	The other, I agree with Charlie; I think if	[14]	MR. TAYLOR: Aye,
[15]	you'll go down and look at the new Flagler	[15]	MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[16]	library along with the Lewis House and the	[16]	MR. WATTS: Aye.
[17]	building diagonally across from the library,	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.
[18]	those two buildings are a hundred years apart,	[18]	And opposed?
[19]	yet they look very close together. And one thing	[19]	(No opposition.)
[20]	that they did was they used a little bit of brick	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's the one we want.
[21]	trim in with the concrete. And you might take a	[21]	MR. SHAH: Thank you very much.
[22]	look at that and consider that.	[22]	MR. TAYLOR: Can we put them in charge of
[23]	The other thing is we have now today I think	[23]	the terminal?
[24]	a tremendous nostalgia for lighthouses, which	[24]	MR. SHAH: Where do you want us to sign?
[25]	have been supplanted by GPS, but this comes near	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, the terminal is
Page 67		Page 68	
Page 67	senarate	Page 68	before moving forward
[1]	separate. MR WIIFLINER: Just just for the record	[1]	before moving forward. They somewhat have the same kind of language.
[1] [2]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record,	[1] [2]	They somewhat have the same kind of language
[1] [2] [3]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the	[1] [2] [3]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing
[1] [2] [3] [4]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't	[1] [2] [3] [4]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is is there for governmental and those types
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport Authority and located immediately north of Gun	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual basis. And in the event their funding was
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport Authority and located immediately north of Gun Club Road on U.S. 1. It's property that was acquired prior to my tenure here as a part of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual basis. And in the event their funding was dramatically cut from the State to the point where they could no longer occupy the facility
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport Authority and located immediately north of Gun Club Road on U.S. 1. It's property that was acquired prior to my tenure here as a part of some overall efforts the Authority made years	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual basis. And in the event their funding was dramatically cut from the State to the point
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport Authority and located immediately north of Gun Club Road on U.S. 1. It's property that was acquired prior to my tenure here as a part of some overall efforts the Authority made years ago.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual basis. And in the event their funding was dramatically cut from the State to the point where they could no longer occupy the facility under the terms of the lease financially, that it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport Authority and located immediately north of Gun Club Road on U.S. 1. It's property that was acquired prior to my tenure here as a part of some overall efforts the Authority made years	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing — leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is — is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a — while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual basis. And in the event their funding was dramatically cut from the State to the point where they could no longer occupy the facility under the terms of the lease financially, that it would need to be disposed of in some form or
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: Just just for the record, that's the more traditional design, since the minutes will reflect left and right, but it won't make any sense after this point. The others, we'll work toward bringing the contract back from this point at the January meeting then. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: To clarify the record, it is the traditional design of the motif nearer nearest to St. Augustine. Mr. Wuellner? 5.B PROPOSED LEASE - YOUTH CRISIS CENTER MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Chairman, we received a actually, we've had a number of conversations with and then received a letter pertaining to the location of a Youth Crisis Center on property currently owned by the Airport Authority and located immediately north of Gun Club Road on U.S. 1. It's property that was acquired prior to my tenure here as a part of some overall efforts the Authority made years ago. They expressed interest in leasing this on a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	They somewhat have the same kind of language requirements we do when leasing leasing anything from office equipment to motor vehicles to property, in that they're subject to an appropriation on an annual basis, and you can't commit those funding obligations, you know, in years or commit future boards to those funding obligations. As such, the specter's always out there that the funds won't be there to meet a lease agreement, in which case a bailout requirement is is there for governmental and those types agencies. And the Youth Crisis Center is subject to that kind of a scenario, also. So, a while a long-term lease could be executed, it would have that proviso on an annual basis. And in the event their funding was dramatically cut from the State to the point where they could no longer occupy the facility under the terms of the lease financially, that it would need to be disposed of in some form or fashion. Which brings me to the second part of

Page 6	59	Page 70	
[1]	are buildings that we build and lease or	[1]	by default of the previous lessee.
[2]	and/or somebody else builds and lease and revert	[2]	So, those are the issues kind of on the
[3]	back to the Airport Authority at the expiration	[3]	table. Otherwise, it's envisioned to be market
[4]	of the lease terms. They are considered	[4]	value. We did not run out and have it appraised
[5]	leasehold improvements and as such belong to the	[5]	for purposes of establishing that value. So, in
[6]	Airport Authority.	[6]	the event an appraisal came back and established
[7]	Clearly, this organization believes or, you	[7]	a value that was way way out of their budget
[8]	know, at least their approach to it is that that	[8]	limitations, I'm sure that they would not want to
[9]	asset is theirs at the end of the lease or at any	[9]	move forward with a lease agreement at that
[10]	point during the lease term, and they had asked	[10]	point.
[11]	the Airport Authority if they are interested in	[11]	But I couldn't see expending the funds on a
[12]	leasing doing this lease at all that gives	[12]	market value appraisal until we knew it was
[13]	some consideration to a buy-back schedule for	[13]	something that the Airport Authority was even
[14]	that building.	[14]	interested in entertaining as a use for that
[15]	I'm not sure I got a direct answer as to	[15]	property. So, with that being said, that's kind
[16]	whether the building itself would be financed or	[16]	of where it is.
[17]	the like, because you'd factor in that mix a	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?
[18]	consent to mortgage agreement or or something	[18]	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Davis, I've got two or
[19]	else, which would be pretty clear that it would	[19]	three things that concern me a little bit. This
[20]	remain with with the bank or the mortgage	[20]	address represents Beach Boulevard, Jacksonville.
[21]	company, the building itself. But that could be	[21]	Are we taking care of our local?
[22]	structured such that the use remain approved by	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: I'm sorry?
[23]	the Airport Authority so that you didn't end up	[23]	MR. TAYLOR: This return or this copy
[24]	with something that ultimately was not compatible	[24]	address is Jacksonville. Are we taking care of
[25]	with the airport, being a tenant of the airport	[25]	St. Johns County people, or is this someone else
		L L	
Page 7		Page 72	
Page 71		Page 72	wall isolated from from anything around it
[1]	bringing	[1]	well isolated from from anything around it.
[1] [2]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that	[1] [2]	It's not in the middle of a residential
[1] [2] [3]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're	[1] [2] [3]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the
[1] [2] [3] [4]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents,	[1] [2] [3] [4]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's — there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie — the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the airport, in its fiduciary role, buying back	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's — there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie — the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into. And it also would be identified on the appraisal
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	bringing MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the airport, in its fiduciary role, buying back buildings. I think that should normally be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into. And it also would be identified on the appraisal report as to what the actual current use is. It
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the airport, in its fiduciary role, buying back buildings. I think that should normally be accomplished by a right of assignment with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into. And it also would be identified on the appraisal report as to what the actual current use is. It is vacant.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the airport, in its fiduciary role, buying back buildings. I think that should normally be accomplished by a right of assignment with approval which will not be unreasonably withheld,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's — there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie — the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into. And it also would be identified on the appraisal report as to what the actual current use is. It is vacant. MR. TAYLOR: Let me respond to that, I hear
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the airport, in its fiduciary role, buying back buildings. I think that should normally be accomplished by a right of assignment with approval which will not be unreasonably withheld, instead of a buy-back.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into. And it also would be identified on the appraisal report as to what the actual current use is. It is vacant. MR. TAYLOR: Let me respond to that. I hear your question about meeting the County land-use
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. WUELLNER: It's my understanding that the concept has been much more regional. They're already taking care of some St. Johns residents, but there's a need further south of immediate Duval County to better address this area. MR. TAYLOR: Okay. My next question comes to, we have enough difficulty currently trying to keep our neighbors happy, even though we've got a stated long-range plan. I know certain functions have to occur somewhere, but I'm a little nervous about simply announcing to our neighbors a use that some of them might not be comfortable with. I'd like to put that issue on the table. MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, we MR. TAYLOR: Third item, and then I'll be through, I think, is that I I don't see the airport, in its fiduciary role, buying back buildings. I think that should normally be accomplished by a right of assignment with approval which will not be unreasonably withheld, instead of a buy-back. MR. WUELLNER: I concur, and that's why you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	It's not in the middle of a residential neighborhood, as you might glean from the picture. It's there are some commercial uses to the immediate north of it, including the dog-training place, and I'm not sure what all else is up there anymore. There's nothing to the south of it to Gun Club Road. Behind it are some lots platted, but nothing has been currently developed there all the way to, what is it, Old Dixie the Old Dixie Highway road. As far as confirmation of is it consistent with the land development regs or rules, I don't have an answer for you. We didn't intend to permit it for them, and obviously, that's something they're going to need to look into. And it also would be identified on the appraisal report as to what the actual current use is. It is vacant. MR. TAYLOR: Let me respond to that. I hear your question about meeting the County land-use approval, but I'm speaking a level beyond that

•••••		Tale post Traditionary Acceptant 19100		CCCIIIDCI 10, 2000
	Page 73		Page 74	
	[1]	neighborhood, character of the neighborhood?	[1]	MR. LASSITER: Well, this this is pretty
	[2]	MR. TAYLOR: our responsibility,	[2]	much the linchpin of this deal, is we either do
	[3]	MR. WUELLNER: Right.	[3]	that or forget it. So, if we can't do that.
	[4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[4]	I've I've got two other questions here,
	[5]	MR. LASSITER: I have a I have a little	[5]	but that one out of the blocks would pretty much
	[6]	trouble. I understand about the philosophy of	[6]	blow this out of the water, if that's the case.
	[7]	binding boards in the future, but aren't we doing	[7]	And if they insist that that's what it is, I
	[8]	this to the next board if they come in and say	[8]	I, in good conscience, could not say sure, we'll
	[9]	our funding's cut, you've got to get it? Haven't	[9]	build we'll let you build this building and
	[10]	we in essence dumped on a future board a	[10]	all and five years from now if the state suddenly
	[11]	potential large liability?	[11]	decides they're in a cash crunch and they're
	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: I think, in effect, you could	[12]	cutting all these programs, which has been known
	[13]	argue that.	[13]	to happen in the past.
ı	[14]	MR. LASSITER: Cindy, is that not not how	[14]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, And I'm not sure that
	[15]	you perceive this in our fiduciary relationships	[15]	this would make or break the deal, that
	[16]	to following up on not binding future boards?	[16]	provision. It was something that was brought up
	[17]	MS. BARTIN: From what the description that	[17]	in the course of conversation by them as
	[18]	I heard Ed go through, the scenario, I think	[18]	something that they had asked us to consider.
İ	[19]	that I think what you're saying could be a	[19]	You know, it certainly would be appropriate
	[20]	result. I mean, if if they lose their	[20]	to come back and say, you know, we have the same
	[21]	funding, then it would be the Airport's	[21]	problem; we can't commit funds beyond the current
	[22]	responsibility to buy back whatever improvement	[22]	year; it's something that could be certainly
	[23]	has been made. And I don't I mean, I'm not	[23]	agreed to be brought back to the board at that
	[24]	sure how much advance notice you're going to have	[24]	time for consideration, but no guarantee that
	[25]	on that,	[25]	the you know, the Airport Authority would ever
ļ			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
١	Page 75		Page 76	
	Page 75	buy it or would ever be interested in buying it.	Page 76 [1]	anything related to the construction being an
	-	buy it or would ever be interested in buying it. But it would be a future board's determination,	-	anything related to the construction being an Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is,
	[1]		[1]	
	[1] [2]	But it would be a future board's determination,	[1] [2]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is,
	[1] [2] [3]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished.	[1] [2] [3]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is:	[1] [2] [3] [4]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose?
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that — that this land was
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that — that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or
HTERRESIAN .	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that — that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably —
THE PARTY OF THE P	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation.
THE REPORT OF THE PERSON OF TH	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that — that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably —
THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the
The second secon	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it
THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease. MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Okay. And then they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it was before your term time, certainly before
THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease. MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Okay. And then they would come in and have a land lease and then	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that — that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably — MR. ROSE: And I guess — I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it was before your term — time, certainly before mine.
THE REPORT OF THE PERSON OF TH	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease. MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Okay. And then they would come in and have a land lease and then construct the building.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it was before your term time, certainly before
THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease. MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Okay. And then they would come in and have a land lease and then construct the building. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Yeah, we have no	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it was before your term time, certainly before mine. MR. WUELLNER: Well MR. ROSE: But it seems to me that that
HER STATE OF THE S	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease, MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Okay. And then they would come in and have a land lease and then construct the building. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Yeah, we have no building involvement. In fact, I didn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it was before your term time, certainly before mine. MR. WUELLNER: Well MR. ROSE: But it seems to me that that this is so close to our to our airport and to
HER STATE OF THE S	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	But it would be a future board's determination, not a scale or some formula preestablished. MR. LASSITER: Okay. My next question is: Are there wetlands located on this land? MR. WUELLNER: The far back corner, would be the southeast corner of the property, shares a common was an old barrow pit based on some drawings I saw. MR. LASSITER: And this may be too microdetailing this thing, but as a lease lessee, it would it not be our our requirements to take care of any impact on those? MR. WUELLNER: I think it would be a matter MR. LASSITER: Or is that something negotiated? MR. WUELLNER: of how we structure it in the lease. MR. LASSITER: Yeah. Okay. And then they would come in and have a land lease and then construct the building. MR. WUELLNER: Correct. Yeah, we have no	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	Airport Authority issue. Basically, what it is, if they want to take it from there and make it into something, it would be at their cost. MR. LASSITER: Okay. That's fine. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: I've listened with interest to what my fellow members have said, but one thing concerns me is that that this land was purchased with the idea that it was needed at some point in the future for airport activity or businesses that work through or with or are involved in air transportation. MR. WUELLNER: That's probably MR. ROSE: And I guess I guess the concern I have, it was purchased with funds that were intended to promote this airport. And I don't know what those funds were, and you say it was before your term time, certainly before mine. MR. WUELLNER: Well MR. ROSE: But it seems to me that that

Pag	ge 77	Page 78	:
[1]	additional runways and different, you know,	[1]	assuring long-term compatibility with the
[2]	things that we might want to do. We've talked	[2]	airport, much like the industrial park would have
[3]	about acquiring some land here. And I just	[3]	been, too, to keep someone from acquiring that
[4]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[4]	property and erecting something in the approach
[5]		[5]	area that would have been in with you know,
[6]		[6]	a problem for the airport.
[7]		[7]	And I'm not trying to support it either way;
[8]		[8]	I'm just trying to clarify that it's not
[9]		[9]	necessarily aviation.
[10]		[10]	MR. TAYLOR: Let me respond to or query Ed
[11]		[11]	concerning that. I always I very much, like
[12]		[12]	Bill Rose, I felt an industrial park, even though
[13]	•	[13]	the nature of the business might not use the word
[14]		[14]	"airport," did support the economy relating to an
		[15]	
[15]			airport.
[16]		[16]	But, also, I had the impression that one of
[17]		[17]	the things that led to trying to acquire this
[18]		[18]	land directly across the road from there was that
[19]		[19]	they didn't really want people living there near
[20]		[20]	an airport. And now we're about to create a
[21]		[21]	gathering of people in a single building very
[22]		[22]	close to our approach. I just I'm
[23]		[23]	MR. ROSE: That's right.
[24]		[24]	MR, TAYLOR: uncomfortable.
[25]	along U.S. 1 there. It was probably a case of	[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Those are all good points.
Page	re 70	Page 80	
	c 79 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with	Page 80	a mortgage
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with	[1]	a mortgage CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I
[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here.	[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I
[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at
[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the problem.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with aviation-specific developments, where somebody	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the county, I can see it now, coming up in and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with aviation-specific developments, where somebody else has made the improvement. I mean, it's a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the county, I can see it now, coming up in and we're buying aviation property or they bought
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with aviation-specific developments, where somebody else has made the improvement. I mean, it's a pretty rare occurrence. I think it's pretty	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the county, I can see it now, coming up in and we're buying aviation property or they bought property for aviation purposes or industrial park
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with aviation-specific developments, where somebody else has made the improvement. I mean, it's a pretty rare occurrence. I think it's pretty well-established that these types of things are	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the county, I can see it now, coming up in and we're buying aviation property or they bought property for aviation purposes or industrial park purposes and now we're using it for something
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with — MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: — Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our — any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with aviation-specific developments, where somebody else has made the improvement. I mean, it's a pretty rare occurrence. I think it's pretty well-established that these types of things are considered leasehold improvements subject to some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the county, I can see it now, coming up in and we're buying aviation property or they bought property for aviation purposes or industrial park purposes and now we're using it for something else, and we are going into a stipulation of if
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to go with — MR. WUELLNER: That's why it's here. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: — Mr. Rose. I know what he's saying on the aviation property and the property that we have acquired, the property that we are trying to acquire. My problem goes a little bit further on the lease agreement of them leasing the land. And having dealt with government and fundings before, if they come up with that, no matter how we structure it, even if we structure it that we're not going to buy it back, we've got a white elephant sitting on our hands that we may or may not get someone to occupy. We may or may not be able to get someone to go in and take it. Buying it back, we never had anything like that in our — any of our leases. That's not the problem. MR. WUELLNER: I've seen it done with aviation-specific developments, where somebody else has made the improvement. I mean, it's a pretty rare occurrence. I think it's pretty well-established that these types of things are considered leasehold improvements subject to some	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I guess I MR. WUELLNER: to which you consented at the beginning on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I have to be the conscience of this board for as long as I can, and I'm going to try to be a conscience this time. I understand that we have to have facilities like this and they have to be there. The criteria that we have all come up with would be possible buy-back or it being aviation property that was purchased for industrial parks, we're going to take some heat, but you're going to have to be able to stand up and take that heat, because the first thing they're going to say is the airport is not for youth, which is not true. But we have to think about the overall picture of the airport and the county. And the county, I can see it now, coming up in and we're buying aviation property or they bought property for aviation purposes or industrial park purposes and now we're using it for something

Page	81	Page 82	
[1]	lot there. I'd have to think long before I would	[1]	house, so to speak, on airport property and move
[2]	even consider that, but it's going to be up to	[2]	people by it. And you're wanting to take my
[3]	the complete board how you want to handle this.	[3]	house and move me out. That doesn't make sense.
[4]	MR. WATTS: Mr. Chairman, the thing is, I	[4]	I think the best thing is to tell them to go
[5]	know you had mentioned in your comments that, you	[5]	somewhere else. Thank you.
[6]	know, as far as your conscience goes, but the	[6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
[7]	last paragraph in Mr. Joel's (phonetic) letter	[7]	Yes, ma'am.
[8]	here is that they're negotiating with another	[8]	MRS. HARVEY: Exactly who are youth in
[9]	party regarding another parcel of land here in	[9]	crisis? Are they children who are disturbed, who
[10]	St. Johns County. And everything that the board	[10]	are suicidal? The thing of it is, you're taking
[11]	has said, I the board members have said, I	[11]	youth in crisis who have emotional problems.
[12]	agree with 100 percent.	[12]	You're putting them by a four-lane highway, a
[13]	And so, I think the best thing to me, my	[13]	railroad track, an airport, and a river. Now,
[14]	suggestion is to let them further negotiate with	[14]	the next thing you want to do is just give them a
[15]	the other piece of the parcel of land.	[15]	rope, and they'll have everything they need to
[16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Is there any public	[16]	end their crisis. I think it's a very poor
[17]	comment? Mr. Harvey?	[17]	location.
[18]	MR. HARVEY: I'm Mei Harvey. I've been	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, ma'am.
[19]	around this airport for about 37 years. When	[19]	Mr. Ciriello?
[20]	they first started buying the property, it was	[20]	MR. CIRIELLO: When I first got this thing
[21]	for a buffer zone for residential to get away	[21]	and read it over, only a couple of things come to
[22]	from the airport, which is okay. You buy a piece	[22]	mind. And then sitting here listening, you get
[23]	of property; you don't build a house on it. You	[23]	educated. You guys all had very good points, and
[24]	keep it for the airport.	[24]	so have the Harveys.
[25]	Now you're wanting to let someone build a	[25]	My biggest concern when I first read this
Page 8	33	Page 84	
Page 8	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport	Page 84	thing out of one side of your mouth and something
			thing out of one side of your mouth and something else out of the other. And my question would
[1]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport	[1]	•
[1] [2] [3] [4]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care	[1] [2]	else out of the other. And my question would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that,	[1] [2] [3]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this
[1] [2] [3] [4]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that, But the problem that I had was where they	[1] [2] [3] [4]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years. I don't know if they're allowed to go and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement. There are no anticipated expenditures	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement. There are no anticipated expenditures envisioned. That's also a nice statement. But	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years. I don't know if they're allowed to go and lease it to somebody else until the 20 years is up or they if they forfeit it or you get to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement. There are no anticipated expenditures envisioned. That's also a nice statement. But then, on the other hand, these people want a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years. I don't know if they're allowed to go and lease it to somebody else until the 20 years is up or they if they forfeit it or you get to buy I don't I don't know that, to tell you
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement. There are no anticipated expenditures envisioned. That's also a nice statement. But then, on the other hand, these people want a guarantee that if they go belly-up, you'll buy	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years. I don't know if they're allowed to go and lease it to somebody else until the 20 years is up or they if they forfeit it or you get to buy I don't I don't know that, to tell you the truth.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement. There are no anticipated expenditures envisioned. That's also a nice statement. But then, on the other hand, these people want a guarantee that if they go belly-up, you'll buy them out so they don't lose any money, and then you're going to be using our taxpayer's money to bail them out.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years. I don't know if they're allowed to go and lease it to somebody else until the 20 years is up or they if they forfeit it or you get to buy I don't I don't know that, to tell you the truth. But hearing what the Harveys said and some
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	thing was not with the crisis thing and airport related and all of that. I didn't much care about that. But the problem that I had was where they want, oh, maybe not a guarantee, but almost a guarantee that if something happened to their funding, which one of you guys said that happens a lot with the state or governments, that they would be bought out. And that kind of rubbed me, because down here on your budget impact, it says the lease would provide additional lease-related income to the Authority. That's nice. We're trying to get this airport off the taxpayer rolls. I always say it will never happen, but that's a nice statement. There are no anticipated expenditures envisioned. That's also a nice statement. But then, on the other hand, these people want a guarantee that if they go belly-up, you'll buy them out so they don't lose any money, and then you're going to be using our taxpayer's money to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	else out of the other. And my question would have been to you guys that if you went with this plan, that the only provision, not with the buyout, would be at the end of 20 years, it belongs to the airport. Now, I'd and like Mr. Wuellner said, people who build on airport property, and usually they pay for the land, the lease of the land, a small price, and whatever they build at the end of 20 years becomes the property of the airport. Now, I'm sure that when Grumman built the North 40, they built it, they're leasing the land. I think at the end of 20 years you guys get that building, and it's worth millions of dollars, although I don't know what would happen if they went belly-up inside that 20 years. I don't know if they're allowed to go and lease it to somebody else until the 20 years is up or they if they forfeit it or you get to buy I don't I don't know that, to tell you the truth.

,			*	
	Page 85		Page 86	
İ	[1]	thought I would when I came here, except for the	[1]	I've seen different youth crisis centers.
J	[2]	buyout part.	[2]	But my question and my concern is you're
	[3]	But I don't know one of you made a	[3]	right there on the other side of Gun Club Road.
	[4]	comment about the availability of buying the land	[4]	You're right outside the fence of the airport.
ı	[5]	for future airport use and everything. The way	[5]	You've got hangars over in there with aircraft
	[6]	that land is situated and the way the airport is,	[6]	that sometime are in the hangars, sometime are
1	[7]	I can't see where it would benefit the airport	[7]	out. I just don't want the liability of one of
	[8]	one bit.	[8]	them coming over there and tearing up an
	[9]	You can't extend a runway there because it's	[9]	airplane.
- 1	[10]	not in line. Since Gun Club Road is between the	[10]	I cannot influence it. My suggestion or my
ı	[11]	airport and that piece of property, you can't put	[11]	feeling is that we need to back away from it, but
- 1	[12]	a couple of hangars over there unless you have	[12]	I will entertain a motion to either accept or
ı	[13]	some way for the airplanes to taxi across Gun	[13]	reject Staff recommendations on this lease
Į	[14]	Club Road.	[14]	
- 1	[15]			agreement.
- 1		So, I don't see where, on that light, that	[15]	MR, LASSITER: Did they make a
- 1	[16]	it's very valuable to the airport as far as	[16]	recommendation?
- 1	[17]	helping the airport physically, you know. So	[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.
	[18]	but I I just I'm backing off from my	[18]	MR. LASSITER: Oh, okay. I see.
	[19]	original idea. I I think it's a poor thing to	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Motion, gentlemen.
- 1	[20]	accept,	[20]	MR. ROSE: You want a motion?
ı	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.	[21]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.
	[22]	One comment, gentlemen. I've dealt with youth,	[22]	MR. ROSE: Did you make a motion?
- 1	[23]	and I have to agree with Ms. Harvey. That was	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, I didn't make it. I
- 1	[24]	my that was in my mind for my next question.	[24]	can't make it.
	[25]	I don't know what type of youth crisis it is.	[25]	MR. ROSE: Oh. Well, I'll make a motion, if
r	D 07		-	
	Page 87		Page 88	
	[1]	nobody else has.	[1]	I'm James Pearce. I was formerly with Kaiser.
	[1] [2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay.	[1] [2]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech.
	[1] [2] [3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead,	[1] [2] [3]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be
	[1] [2] [3] [4]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move	[1] [2] [3] [4]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the
,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth	[1] [2] [3] [4]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead, MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year
- Park	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road.
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the Grumman North 40 area, currently swings around
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the Grumman North 40 area, currently swings around and comes out up in this area right here
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the Grumman North 40 area, currently swings around and comes out up in this area right here (indicating). It kind of follows this — this
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the Grumman North 40 area, currently swings around and comes out up in this area right here (indicating). It kind of follows this this route. We would straighten that out, improve
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [22]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have rejected the proposal. Mr. Wuellner?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the Grumman North 40 area, currently swings around and comes out up in this area right here (indicating). It kind of follows this this route. We would straighten that out, improve MR. ROSE: Is that Gun Club Road that you're
	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. MR. LASSITER: Go right ahead. MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we reject the proposed lease for the Youth Crisis Center. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. And a second? MR. LASSITER: I'll second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter. All in favor of rejecting the proposed lease agreement with the Youth Crisis Center, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, gentlemen, you have rejected the proposal. Mr. Wuellner? MR. WUELLNER: Mr. Pearce.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	We have changed our name. We're now Earthtech. And from what I understand, we're going to be changing our name again, but hopefully not in the near future. Supposed to have something with Tyco in it. We're a subsidiary of Tyco International. It's about a \$22-billion-a-year company. So, with that said, I would like to present a little rendering of what we're planning to do on the north end of the field. Basically, it's currently identified as your future FBO site. It's right up beside Ring Power and Luhrs in that area, the treed area between the last two hangars up there and Gun Club Road. Basically, what we would be doing is the Hawkeye View Lane, which goes back into the Grumman North 40 area, currently swings around and comes out up in this area right here (indicating). It kind of follows this this route. We would straighten that out, improve MR. ROSE: Is that Gun Club Road that you're pointing to right now?

	****	Airport Authority Regular Mee	ung - De	ecember 18, 2000
	Page 89		Page 90	
	[1]	MR. ROSE: Yeah.	[1]	24,000 square feet. Once we get over 24,000
	[2]	MR. PEARCE: It goes on into the Gun Club	[2]	square feet, we start getting into fire
	[3]	Road,	[3]	suppression systems and that kind of thing. An
	[4]	MR. ROSE: Right.	[4]	A triple F system is very expensive, and we
	[5]	MR. PEARCE: We would have to improve Gun	[5]	wanted to stay away from that.
l	[6]	Club Road from here back up to where it	[6]	So, we've come up with basically three pods
	[7]	intersects. I'm not sure what	[7]	in that area. We've got about two acres of paved
	[8]	MR. TAYLOR: Then you've got it upside down,	[8]	apron area in front of these hangars. And we
	[9]	according to this. I'm having enough trouble	[9]	have ample parking for whatever use happens to
١	[10]	already.	[10]	come into this area.
	[11]	MR. PEARCE: I can turn it I can turn it	[11]	And it can be built in phases. We can build
	[12]	the other way. Does that help?	[12]	these two first, build a portion of the ramp;
	[13]	MR. LASSITER: Yeah, there you go.	[13]	build these two next, another portion of the
	[14]	MR. PEARCE: This is Gun Club Road	[14]	ramp; build these two with the remaining portion
	[15]	(indicating). We would improve Gun Club Road	[15]	of the ramp.
١	[16]	from here down to where the new entrance where	[16]	MR. ROSE: Looks good.
	[17]	Hawkeye View would be.	[17]	MR. PEARCE: Any questions?
	[18]	We've basically already built taxiway A to	[18]	MR. LASSITER: I guess, James, I have a
	[19]	this point as I don't think the current	[19]	quick question. Is that is that the maximum
	[20]	photograph shows it, but under the taxiway A	[20]	that we can square footage we can get out of
ĺ	[21]	widening and improvement project, this has been	[21]	that space is in that configuration? You haven't
	[22]	built into this area.	[22]	looked at any others?
	[23]	We're proposing six, 100 x 120	[23]	MR. PEARCE: We've looked at quite a few.
١	[24]	corporate-type hangars, and what we've done is	[24]	We've looked at combinations of 50 x 50 with 140
	[25]	we've clustered them so that they don't exceed	[25]	x 160s, those kinds of odd shapes and sizes. And
ŀ	Page 91		Page 92	
	[1]	this seems to be the most logical, easiest to	[1]	MR. PEARCE: Yes, sir.
- 1	[2]	phase and build. We can get more square footage	[2]	MR. LASSITER: To improve the
ı	[3]	up there if you want to go to the expense of an A	[3]	MR. PEARCE: Yes.
- 1	[4]	triple F system in in the hangar.	[4]	MR. LASSITER: And I
- 1	[5]	MR. LASSITER: Not necessarily going to that	[5]	MR. PEARCE: Right now, we have oops.
- 1	[6]	direction. But just the configuration, it will	[6]	The reason that we ended up with where we're at,
- 1	[7]	still allow your taxiways or access to the	[7]	the building restriction line right now for your
- 1	[8]	taxiways and pump your square footage up, not in	[8]	main runway, since you've gone up to a
ļ	[9]	a single building, but maybe another another	[9]	category 4?
-	[10]	unit. What, to maximize	[10]	MR. WUELLNER: Design Group 4.
İ	[11]	MR. PEARCE: Yes. If you go if you go to	[11]	MR. PEARCE: design Group 4 aircraft,
	[12]	a fire suppression system, yes, sir, you can	[12]	your building restriction line is 750 feet off
	[13]	increase your	[13]	the center line of the runway, which puts it
ļ	[14]	MR. LASSITER: No, that that's not my	[14]	right along the face of these two buildings. So
	[15]	question. I don't want to get to a bigger	[15]	this part of the area here is nonusable from that
١	[16]	building. I want another one or two buildings	[16]	standpoint. You can't really build a building in
	[17]	squeezed in there and still be able to rent it.	[17]	here.
-	[18]	MR. PEARCE: No, sir.	[18]	You can put, you know, parking apron in
	[19]	MR. LASSITER: As you	[19]	here. You can put a dry retention pond.
	[20]	MR. PEARCE: I don't believe we can at this	[20]	Hopefully, we can come up with a way to do that.
	[21]	point.	[21]	Since it's such a big area, we can grade it out
	[22]	MR. LASSITER: As you know, we're running	[22]	and make it real flat, and we should be able to
- 1	[23]	out of space to rent, and the more we can squeeze	[23]	make that work. And that's what we had planned
		out of what we get, the more income to the	[24]	to do in this area. Other than that, this
	[25]	airport at the same time.	[25]	this area from here down is unusable. So, as you
				I

		<u> </u>	
Page		Page 94	
[1]	can see, we've maximized the use.	[1]	clustering.
[2]	We do get into about a half-acre wetland	[2]	MR. LASSITER: Okay.
[3]	that's been identified right here (indicating)	[3]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment?
[4]	that was identified many years ago, and it's	[4]	(No comments.)
[5]	still there. We would have to we would have	[5]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Gentlemen, any other
[6]	to mitigate that. We've already talked to the	[6]	comment up here?
[7]	Water Management District on that.	[7]	(No comments.)
[8]	MR. LASSITER: Would you be able to work a	[8]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed?
[9]	3-2-2 or something of that nature and work your	[9]	MR. WUELLNER: Sir?
[10]	service roads if you're going to have to mitigate	[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: I don't have a copy of
[11]	anyway? Instead of a 2-2-2, have an	[11]	that.
[12]	additional and see where your service roads	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: The drawing?
[13]	goes into the top two?	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: So, what is it that you
[14]	MR. PEARCE: Yeah. We can we can change	[14]	propose for the board?
[15]	the shape and size of these, but you basically	[15]	MR. WUELLNER: Basically, endorsing the
[16]	end up with three pods at about 24,000 square	[16]	concept so it will move through. It's laid out.
[17]	feet.	[17]	If you accept that layout, we'll just
[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, the limitation is the	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: This is
[19]	total square footage.	[19]	MR. WUELLNER: These are projects
[20]	MR. LASSITER: Oh, not the building?	[20]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: This is just a report on
[21]	MR. WUELLNER: Not the building size. Not	[21]	the layout?
[22]	the buildings themselves.	[22]	MR. WUELLNER: Yes.
[23]	MR. LASSITER: Okay. That answered my	[23]	MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion?
[24]	question. Thank you.	[24]	MR. WUELLNER: We tasked them, you know, I
[25]	MR. WUELLNER: Get into a what they call	[25]	don't know, two months ago, with coming up with
			-
_			
Page	95	Page 96	
Page [1]	these.	Page 96	MR. WUELLNER: Now, we've saved the
		I -	MR. WUELLNER: Now, we've saved the difficult item for last. You just thought it was
[1]	these.	[1]	·
[1]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to	[1] [2]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was
[1] [2] [3]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed?	[1] [2] [3]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal
[1] [2] [3] [4]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in	[1] [2] [3] [4]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I — I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and the 100 x 100 hangar.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and the 100 x 100 hangar. The second phase would be the four smaller
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	difficult item for last. You just thought it was easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and the 100 x 100 hangar. The second phase would be the four smaller corporate hangars that were envisioned in the same area. But as of yet, and even from the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and the 100 x 100 hangar. The second phase would be the four smaller corporate hangars that were envisioned in the same area. But as of yet, and even from the beginning, they were not the funding was not
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	these. MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and the 100 x 100 hangar. The second phase would be the four smaller corporate hangars that were envisioned in the same area. But as of yet, and even from the beginning, they were not the funding was not identified for that, and it was envisioned that,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. LASSITER: Do you need a motion to proceed? MR. WUELLNER: I think just concurring in that layout so that nobody's surprised later on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask Mr. Pearce whether it has any blue stripes or cotton loops on it? MR. PEARCE: Not at this time. MR. LASSITER: I I make a motion that we accept the proposal submitted. MR. ROSE: Second. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. All in favor of accepting the proposal layout by Kaiser, signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	easy or hard up to now. The terminal expansion project. This project was bid, and the bids were opened last week, and as you can tell I think I've made sure everybody got a copy here at the meeting, but you ended up with about ten bidders on the project, which is certainly a welcome response to this project. Unfortunately, in terms of how the project was envisioned for award, all of them exceed the available funds at this moment. However, some are closer than others. We've identified, with the cooperation of Florida DOT, probably the additional funding to build the first phase. The first phase included the entirety of the infrastructure site-related work, the office, and the 100 x 100 hangar. The second phase would be the four smaller corporate hangars that were envisioned in the same area. But as of yet, and even from the beginning, they were not the funding was not

<u> </u>	Airport Authority Regular Me		
Page 97		Page 98	
[1]	In order to just take advantage of the	[1]	first phase bids, which would be for RIC-LON and
[2]	competitive nature, we thought we'd put them out	[2]	Global Construction, the disparity between the
[3]	there as an alternate to the bid process so that	[3]	actual low bid for Phase 1 and the low bid based
[4]	in the event we got a great price and that	[4]	on the total leaves about \$200 I wrote it down
[5]	funding became available during the construction	[5]	here \$231,000 on the table, which is a
[6]	time, it could just be awarded versus going	[6]	significant amount of money for the exact same
[7]	through the entire motion of rebidding the	[7]	project work just by the difference in how the
[8]	project. So, that's that's how we got there.	[8]	projects were bid.
[9]	Somewhere during the process, the bid	[9]	If you look at how Global approached it,
[10]	documents don't reflect that we only intended to	[10]	they had a fairly a relatively high front end
[11]	award Phase 1, and as such, the language carried	[11]	Phase 1 with a very disproportionate Phase 2.
[12]	into the bid based award on the total project,	[12]	Fairly inexpensive if you look at run the line
[13]	which included both phases. So, we have a	[13]	across. I mean, it's some \$3- or \$400,000 below,
[14]	situation here where the lowest bidder for the	[14]	and that's how it ultimately ends up being the
[15]	first phase, the part we have money for, is not	[15]	low bid. There'd be no dispute as to who was the
[16]	the overall low bidder for both phases. So, now	[16]	low bidder in the event that the project was
[17]	we have to sort this out.	[17]	totally funded.
[18]	This is what I was hoping to have	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Okay. If that language
[19]	discussions with our attorney types over, but we	[19]	and you haven't talked to attorneys. If that
[20]	did not quite connect on it between bid opening	[20]	language is in there that way for total instead
[21]	Thursday and this afternoon. So, we don't have a	[21]	of phase, what legal standings are we on with
[22]	direct answer as to whether the bid documents,	[22]	this now?
[23]	while they're fairly vague in some respects and	[23]	
[24]		[24]	MR. WUELLNER: Well, that yeah, that's a
[25]	allow for a I would say a significant amount of board latitude, the difference between the	[25]	part of it. The other thing that's entered into
ردع]	or board faithfule, the difference between the	[سا	it, is through discussions with Global and our
Page 99		Page 100	MANAGEMENT AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
Page 99	engineer, they indicated Global indicated a	Page 100	comfortable getting there, fine. I I don't
_	engineer, they indicated Global indicated a willingness to negotiate the price from that	_	comfortable getting there, fine. I I don't see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all
[1]		[1]	
[1] [2]	willingness to negotiate the price from that	[1] [2]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all
[1] [2] [3] [4]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in	[1] [2] [3]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way
[1] [2] [3]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I — I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's — that's not going	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I — I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's — that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another — perhaps a protest of the actual bid.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder for the Phase 1 project. If that proves not to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder for the Phase 1 project. If that proves not to be an acceptable alternative, then my	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: What's going to happen to Phase
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I — I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's — that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another — perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to — would be one of two — take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder for the Phase 1 project. If that proves not to be an acceptable alternative, then my recommendation would be to rebid Phase 1.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: What's going to happen to Phase
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder for the Phase 1 project. If that proves not to be an acceptable alternative, then my recommendation would be to rebid Phase 1. There's just too much money, in my opinion,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: What's going to happen to Phase 2? MR. WUELLNER: Phase 2 is awaiting DOT
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder for the Phase 1 project. If that proves not to be an acceptable alternative, then my recommendation would be to rebid Phase 1. There's just too much money, in my opinion, sitting on the table here to just award it to the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: What's going to happen to Phase 2? MR. WUELLNER: Phase 2 is awaiting DOT funding, and I have no —
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	willingness to negotiate the price from that point down, which immediately had me squirming in my seat, as it didn't appear to be consistent with the whole concept of bidding. I I'm not comfortable with that. I think ultimately that will be what the attorneys tell us, too, that negotiating the base bid to be something more proportionate with Global is probably not an option that's that's not going to, as the term has become, disengender the other bidders and cause another perhaps a protest of the actual bid. My suggestions in this would be to would be one of two take one of two tacks here. One is to exercise your discretion pending a review by our attorneys and award to the lowest bidder for the Phase 1 project. If that proves not to be an acceptable alternative, then my recommendation would be to rebid Phase 1. There's just too much money, in my opinion, sitting on the table here to just award it to the other firm. And, I again, if they came back	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	see them offering that opinion. I'm not at all comfortable with it right now. They have a way of getting me comfortable with things like this if they research it and just determine that to be possible. So, those are the two alternatives I would throw out there as perhaps explore awarding to, what is it, RIC-LON, based on the lowest Phase 1, if that meets with the attorney's review and their muster of our bid procedures and the documents themselves, and award based on that. In the event that does not appear to be a possibility, then we will repackage it, if that's acceptable, and we'll just open bids at a — at a future date, as soon as possible, actually. MR. ROSE: Ed? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: What's going to happen to Phase 2? MR. WUELLNER: Phase 2 is awaiting DOT funding, and I have no — MR. ROSE: Well, as I say, we go to RIC-LON

		The second secon	
Page 1	101	Page 10	02
[1]	MR. ROSE: or you could go forward and	[1]	deleted. As such, it defaulted to the total
[2]	ask Global to do Phase 2	[2]	bid
[3]	MR. WUELLNER: No, we definitely can't do	[3]	MS. BARTIN: Correct. Which it implied that
[4]	that. My feeling would be you simply don't award	[4]	it would be based on the lowest bid for both
[5]	Phase 2, and ultimately that's going to be rebid	[5]	phases.
[6]	either way.	[6]	MR. WUELLNER: Even though it was very clear
[7]	MR. ROSE: All right. So, what you're	[7]	during the documents that we did not intend
[8]	saying then, we'll we'll award Phase 1 and	[8]	initially to award Phase 2, the language implied
[9]	rebid Phase 2 sometime.	[9]	it was based on total bid. That's what I mean,
[10]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah. I mean, I don't see	[10]	it gets wiggly.
[11]	any other way to get there.	[11]	MS. BARTIN: Is there any reason that
[12]	MR. ROSE: Well	[12]	there's a rush to do this today?
[13]	MR. WUELLNER: I told her I was going to	[13]	MR. WUELLNER: Other than it's taken three
[14]	dump on her at the beginning of the meeting.	[14]	times as long to get where we are.
[15]	MS. BARTIN: I I just want to make sure I	[15]	MR. WEAVER: I can list a number of reasons.
[16]	understand what happened here. The request for	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Many of them sitting on the
[17]	proposals went out, and apparently, you intended	[17]	ramp right now,
[18]	only to get bids for Phase 1, because that's the	[18]	MR. WEAVER: Losing money, for one.
[19]	only phase you had the money to pay for. But the	[19]	MS. BARTIN: In the event that you have a
[20]	people that submitted the bids submitted for	[20]	bid protest, there will be a lot of delays. You
[21]	whole project; is that correct?	[21]	know, I know it looks like a long time to sort of
[22]	MR. WUELLNER: No, we we accepted bids	[22]	get all your ducks in a row and make sure
[23]	for both phases. Where it broke down was the	[23]	everything is fair and equitable the way it
[24]	language that specifically stated that the award	[24]	should be, but if something were to happen and
[25]	would be made on the lowest Phase 1 bid price was	[25]	there was a protest, you would be looking at
[2]	would be made on the lowest Phase I bid plice was	[23]	there was a protest, you would be looking at
- 1	00	D 10	
Page 10	03	Page 10	/4
[1]	significant additional delays on that.	[1]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as
I -			
[1]	significant additional delays on that.	[1]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as
[1] [2]	significant additional delays on that, CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.	[1] [2]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a
[1] [2] [3]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our	[1] [2] [3]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street,
[1] [2] [3] [4]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts,	[1] [2] [3] [4]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable giving you advice, not seeing the documents.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved, MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote on another issue, but hold a special meeting on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable giving you advice, not seeing the documents. MR. ROSE: I understand.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote on another issue, but hold a special meeting on the 2nd of January before our organization
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable giving you advice, not seeing the documents. MR. ROSE: I understand. MR. LASSITER: Cindy, what are you looking	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote on another issue, but hold a special meeting on the 2nd of January before our organization meeting so that these people who have been
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable giving you advice, not seeing the documents. MR. ROSE: I understand. MR. LASSITER: Cindy, what are you looking for, a month to review or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote on another issue, but hold a special meeting on the 2nd of January before our organization meeting so that these people who have been dealing with it can continue to deal with it?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable giving you advice, not seeing the documents. MR. ROSE: I understand. MR. LASSITER: Cindy, what are you looking for, a month to review or MS. BARTIN: No, I don't think a month	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote on another issue, but hold a special meeting on the 2nd of January before our organization meeting so that these people who have been dealing with it can continue to deal with it? MS. BARTIN: My question would be: Would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	significant additional delays on that. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: You know, I want to tell you, our firm deals with DOT all the time on contracts, and it's not unusual for them to say, hey, you know, this is too much. How about let's just take the first half or the second half or this part and just do that MS. BARTIN: Well, I mean MR. ROSE: and say either yes, we can do it, or no, we can't. Everybody goes on their way. MS. BARTIN: I mean, I think we'd be happy to take a look at what the request said and what how the bids came in. Without even seeing the documents and how they were worded, it's kind of hard to I'm just uncomfortable giving you advice, not seeing the documents. MR. ROSE: I understand. MR. LASSITER: Cindy, what are you looking for, a month to review or MS. BARTIN: No, I don't think a month review. I don't know when I mean, timewise	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	going, then, you know, we want to do that as quickly as possible. And I don't think there's a whole lot to getting it back on the street, unless I missed something in terms of putting it back out. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Let me ask a couple of questions. A protest would only come from someone else involved. MS. BARTIN: A bidder. MR. TAYLOR: A bidder. Is it possible to try to clarify in a follow-up letter what our intent was and get a sign-off, and then say that the second phase will be bid later? And that would preclude a protest up front. And then possibly, not that I want to vote on another issue, but hold a special meeting on the 2nd of January before our organization meeting so that these people who have been dealing with it can continue to deal with it? MS. BARTIN: My question would be: Would the people that submitted the bids, would that

-		1	
Page 10	05	Page 1	06
[1]	opposed to the lowest for the entire project,	[1]	for a whole new set of bids,
[2]	would that have affected their proposal? And if	[2]	MR. ROSE: We'd like to enter into a
[3]	it would have, then there may be, you know, an	[3]	contract for the lowest Phase 1 price.
[4]	argument that they would they would have a	[4]	MS. BARTIN: Without any commitment on Phase
[5]	right to adjust it or, you know, think of or	[5]	2.
[6]	at least propose it in that light.	[6]	MR. ROSE: With no commitment on Phase 2.
[7]	MR. TAYLOR: See, at this point, everyone	[7]	MS. BARTIN: Well, if we could take a look
[8]	sees what everybody else has bid, so you've got a	[8]	at the documents, maybe there is something. You
[9]	different situation there.	[9]	know, before we
[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And they'll get out and	[10]	MR. LASSITER: Can we make a motion that, in
[11]	the protest of the language that was in there,	[11]	essence, says that upon legal review, if it's
[12]	the inference was that it was a whole the	[12]	deemed that we're able to award on the lowest
[13]	whole thing, they have got a leg to stand on in	[13]	Phase 1 bid price, then to allow Ed to continue
[14]	order to do that. I don't know if it's better to	[14]	that after your legal scrutiny, something along
[15]	go back out and do it, redo the whole bid or not.	[15]	those lines? Would that be appropriate?
[16]	MR. TAYLOR: But can you? Can we have it	[16]	MR. WUELLNER: Sure. And in the event it's
[17]	back on?	[17]	not, we'd rebid the project.
[18]	MR. WUELLNER: Oh, sure. You can reject all	[18]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: All right. Is that your
[19]	bids.	[19]	motion, Mr. Lassiter?
[20]	MR. TAYLOR: Maybe that's what we ought to	[20]	MR. LASSITER: I'll make that motion,
[21]	do,	[21]	whatever I said,
[22]	MS. BARTIN: And we can take a look at it to	[22]	MR. WATTS: The motion, what you said.
[23]	see if there is some flexibility on this for	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Do I have a second?
[24]	you-all to either go back to them or in some way	[24]	MR. WATTS: Second.
[25]	resolve this without going back on the streets	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts.
1.		1	
Page 10	7	Page 10	08
Page 10	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion?	Page 10	8 there's simply no way to advertise it and get
_		l -	
[1]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion?	[1] [2]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get
[1] [2]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question.	[1] [2] [3]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the
[1] [2] [3]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor,	[1] [2]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear what they're saying about time, that the chairman	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't know if I added that in. I would I would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear what they're saying about time, that the chairman would be prepared to call a special meeting if	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't know if I added that in. I would I would amend my motion to include, if all else fails,
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear what they're saying about time, that the chairman would be prepared to call a special meeting if need be, with time being of the essence if we're	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't know if I added that in. I would I would amend my motion to include, if all else fails, then go out for a rebid.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear what they're saying about time, that the chairman would be prepared to call a special meeting if need be, with time being of the essence if we're not able to proceed.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't know if I added that in. I would I would amend my motion to include, if all else fails, then go out for a rebid. MR. ROSE: Good.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear what they're saying about time, that the chairman would be prepared to call a special meeting if need be, with time being of the essence if we're not able to proceed. MR. WUELLNER: Well, the only practical time	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't know if I added that in. I would I would amend my motion to include, if all else fails, then go out for a rebid. MR. ROSE: Good. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	MR. ROSE: Who made that motion? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor, question. MR. TAYLOR: I like the motion on the floor, but let me go to a little bit of discussion. If that works, we're there. If it if it doesn't work, is our only alternative to go back and rebid the entire project? MS. BARTIN: I think that should be part of our analysis; what are your options here? You know, with respect to the documents and what you've actually put out on the streets, what options do you have? Can you do you have to rebid? Can you somehow take the first-phase lowest bidder, or is there something else you can do to MR. TAYLOR: Then what I'd like I don't think it needs to be in a motion, but if this motion passes, I'd like it understood, I hear what they're saying about time, that the chairman would be prepared to call a special meeting if need be, with time being of the essence if we're not able to proceed.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	there's simply no way to advertise it and get bids back in and to you again. MR. TAYLOR: So, the bid I mean, the motion then not only includes awarding it to them, but if not but if you're going to come back with alternatives, we have to look at them, don't we? MR. WUELLNER: I think she's already identified the alternatives, and it's really the crux of Mr. Lassiter's motion. You know, those are your alternatives. The only other alternative that was available was negotiating with the with the low bidder as a as a total. I don't think that's going to flow. MR. TAYLOR: So, the motion is to grant it if possible; if not, to rebid. MR. WUELLNER: Exactly. MR. LASSITER: Upon legal review. I don't know if I added that in. I would I would amend my motion to include, if all else fails, then go out for a rebid. MR. ROSE: Good.

F		7	
Page 10	9	Page 110	
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Go ahead,	[1]	out or awarding to the second bidder at this
[2]	MR. RONNING: I have to say something.	[2]	stage. If you plan on awarding to the first
[3]	MR. WUELLNER: I can't imagine you wouldn't.	[3]	bidder and going outside the context, we have
[4]	MR. RONNING: We feel that the obviously,	[4]	no there's no doubt that we will protest that
[5]	that we were the appropriate low bidder on the	[5]	type of an award.
[6]	project. There was an amendment that was issued	[6]	We believe we've done it the right way. We
[7]	on the project which specifically states that the	[7]	believe financially it is prudent for the board
[8]	job would be awarded on a total base bid of the	[8]	to award it based on what was said in the
[9]	project, Phase 1 and Phase 2. It absolutely	[9]	documents, and we would certainly urge you to'
[10]	would affect our bid, had we known that Phase 1	[10]	reconsider taking a motion until you can talk to
[11]	only would have been awarded on this project,	[11]	counsel.
[12]	It also specifically stated that the award	[12]	MR. TAYLOR: Very clear.
[13]	of Phase 2 would be made within approximately a	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Gentlemen?
[14]	nine-month period, not that no funding was	[14]	MR, TAYLOR: We understood that,
[15]	available for Phase 2. Had funding not been	[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We still have a motion on
[16]	available for Phase 2 and Phase 1 only, our bid	[16]	the floor and a second on it,
[17]	absolutely would have been different.	[17]	MR. TAYLOR: How about somebody having some
[18]	The issue is that a fair public bid was	[18]	discussion?
[19]	entered. Ten bids were received by the board.	[19]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That's correct.
[20]	We were over 5 percent lower than the lowest	[20]	MR. TAYLOR: What do you say next?
[21]	total bid on the project, saving this board well	[21]	MR. ROSE: This is one of the issues that
[22]	over \$150,000.	[22]	Cindy needs to consider.
[23]	At the end of the day, two years down the	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Cindy, she needs to
[24]	road, the board's going to spend more money by	[24]	consider.
[25]	not only waiting, but by resoliciting and going	[25]	MR. LASSITER: I think that in my motion the
		I	
		 	
Page 111		Page 112	
Page 111	address was what the gentleman's concern was,	Page 112	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable
_		_	
[1]	address was what the gentleman's concern was,	[1]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable
[1] [2]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are.	[1] [2]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete.
[1] [2] [3]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and	[1] [2] [3]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this
[1] [2] [3] [4]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the	[1] [2] [3] [4]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project."
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe — I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe — I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of values, and let's call this this bid item a	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe — I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another. Our desire is to build the job, the entire
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of values, and let's call this this bid item a schedule of values, because that's what it is.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe — I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another. Our desire is to build the job, the entire job for you. I have to provide a bond to do
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of values, and let's call this this bid item a schedule of values, because that's what it is. You specifically identify scopes of work to be	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another. Our desire is to build the job, the entire job for you. I have to provide a bond to do that. We're very comfortable with our bid. I'm
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of values, and let's call this this bid item a schedule of values, because that's what it is. You specifically identify scopes of work to be completed on the entire project.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe — I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another. Our desire is to build the job, the entire job for you. I have to provide a bond to do that. We're very comfortable with our bid. I'm very happy to move money from one bid to another
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of values, and let's call this this bid item a schedule of values, because that's what it is. You specifically identify scopes of work to be completed on the entire project. We often in projects and we only do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe — I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another. Our desire is to build the job, the entire job for you. I have to provide a bond to do that. We're very comfortable with our bid. I'm very happy to move money from one bid to another as long as it doesn't impact our bottom line of
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	address was what the gentleman's concern was, which is to legally review what our options are. I don't think that this board can go out and award a contract which is based heavily on the availability of funds from the state. I you know, a fiduciary relationship to the county is that we do it knowingly exercise our best judgment, and that would not be my best judgment. MR. RONNING: Can I add another issue? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. RONNING: When we were contacted concerning the issue and talking to James Pearce, if you look at the bid tabulation, the nine or ten items that are on there, I want to explain to the board exactly why there is discrepancy in our second bid than there is in anybody else's. We don't have an issue on a schedule of values, and let's call this this bid item a schedule of values, because that's what it is. You specifically identify scopes of work to be completed on the entire project. We often in projects and we only do government contracting work, so we deal with this	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	much money in concrete. I'm not comfortable paying you that much up front on your concrete. Move some of your money to the back end of this project." I'm not willing to negotiate my price on Phase 1 and reduce my total contract value. I'm willing to reapportion funds from Phase 1 to Phase 2 if it makes the board feel more comfortable, getting me very close in what I believe I brought a tabulation of what I believe will get us very close to the low bidder's number. But at the end of the day, I was the low bidder on the project based on what was put in the documents. And I am willing to sit down and discuss and negotiate with the board to move money from one phase to another. Our desire is to build the job, the entire job for you. I have to provide a bond to do that. We're very comfortable with our bid. I'm very happy to move money from one bid to another as long as it doesn't impact our bottom line of fees and profit. That's what I'm here for. At

		etnig - December 16, 2000
Page 1	13	Page 114
[1]	Specifically, we allowed under this bid,	[1] MR. ROSE: I move the question.
[2]	because there were so many bid items we're a	[2] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Question, All in favor of
[3]	Sanford company. We send a person up here. And	[3] Mr. Lassiter's motion, signify by aye.
[4]	at the end of the day by 3 o'clock, within that	[4] MR. ROSE: Aye.
[5]	last 40 minutes, we receive a significant amount	[5] MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
[6]	of bids into our office. And I'm talking in the	[6] MR. LASSITER: Aye.
[7]	neighborhood of a hundred bids will come in.	[7] MR. WATTS: Aye.
[8]	People wait that long. We have to compile all	[8] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye.
[9]	those numbers, write it in three times on three	[9] And opposed?
[10]	separate tab forms, and write in nine numbers and	[10] (No opposition.)
[11]	then spell them all out.	[11] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: By your vote, you have
[12]	In order to help the guy that's sitting up	[12] accepted the motion. Ms. Bartin, we need to know
[13]	in the field not make a mistake doing that, we	[13] where we stand.
[14]	leave one bid item open, and any adjustments at	[14] MS. BARTIN: Okay.
[15]	the end of the day are put into that one bid	[15] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Wuellner?
[16]	item.	[16] 5.E EASTSIDE CORPORATE AREA DEVELOPMENT
[17]	That bid item happened to be in Phase 1,	[17] MR. WUELLNER: Now, one other item I
[18]	because it was the bulk of the project. We had	[18] promised I'd bring up to you. A part of the
[19]	significant increases in our electrical scopes at	[19] eastside corporate area development that we've
[20]	the end of the day, which added significant money	[20] awarded a contract for, part of the design on,
[21]	to our bid. That is exactly what transpired in	[21] involved Regency Aviation extending their hangar
[22]	our bid at the end of the day.	[22] dramatically on the back end. It does not look
[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, sir. Gentlemen?	[23] like Florida DOT at this time is in a position to
[24]	We still have a motion on the floor to dispense	[24] guarantee the funding, at least through July and
[25]	with.	[25] maybe longer, funding for the actual construction
1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Page 11	5	Page 116
Page 11:	5 clement of that project.	Page 116 [1] And when you're talking half the money of this
		<u> </u>
[1]	element of that project.	And when you're talking half the money of this
[1] [2]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know,	And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a
[1] [2] [3]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter.
[1] [2] [3] [4]	clement of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT.	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	clement of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is,	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	clement of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it,	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me.	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them from them.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them from them. [17] Because and especially when they talk about
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on the project; but, beyond that, you still don't	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them from them. [17] Because and especially when they talk about [18] interest. But if we did that say we did, and
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	element of that project. As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on the project; but, beyond that, you still don't have and you will have no basis that I see right	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them from them. [17] Because and especially when they talk about [18] interest. But if we did that say we did, and [19] they got halfway through before that DOT bid
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on the project; but, beyond that, you still don't have and you will have no basis that I see right now of remaining eligible under the terms of your	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them from them. [17] Because and especially when they talk about [18] interest. But if we did that say we did, and [19] they got halfway through before that DOT bid [20] comes in, we may be ineligible or eligible for
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on the project; but, beyond that, you still don't have and you will have no basis that I see right now of remaining eligible under the terms of your grant with Florida DOT for the reimbursement,	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them from them. [17] Because and especially when they talk about [18] interest. But if we did that say we did, and [19] they got halfway through before that DOT bid [20] comes in, we may be ineligible or eligible for [21] less, and we are stuck with a bill of paying back
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on the project; but, beyond that, you still don't have and you will have no basis that I see right now of remaining eligible under the terms of your grant with Florida DOT for the reimbursement, which means there's a very high probability that anything done between now and ultimate grant	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant — a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it — bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But — [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them — from them. [17] Because — and especially when they talk about [18] interest. But if we did that — say we did, and [19] they got halfway through before that DOT bid [20] comes in, we may be ineligible or eligible for [21] less, and we are stuck with a bill of paying back [22] a million dollars — no. Thank you. I just [23] thought I was right. Gentlemen, any discussion?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	As such, we notified Regency that, you know, we could proceed through design but no guarantee in construction time yet until which time as we see the money from Florida DOT. They thought about it over 24 hours and called me back and said, "Well, is there a way that we could front-end the money?" That is, Regency front end the money in the so that the project didn't get delayed in constructing it, with the Airport Authority paying back the money at the end with interest. I said, "Well, that's certainly out of my purview to make that decision out of pocket." But there are two things that concern me. One is, obviously, you enter into a whole different contract relationship with Regency on the project; but, beyond that, you still don't have and you will have no basis that I see right now of remaining eligible under the terms of your grant with Florida DOT for the reimbursement, which means there's a very high probability that	[1] And when you're talking half the money of this [2] project, that could be a significant — a [3] significant matter. [4] But, as I said, I told them that I would [5] certainly make it — bring it to your attention [6] as an alternative and get your feelings and [7] direction on that and get back to them as soon as [8] possible, because they need to make decisions [9] relative to expanded space in the short term. [10] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Ed, correct me if I'm [11] wrong; maybe I look back at the way bids are [12] worked. First of all, let me say I am totally [13] against up-front money to anyone like that. [14] But — [15] MR. WUELLNER: It's from them in this case. [16] CHAIRMAN DAVIS: From them — from them. [17] Because — and especially when they talk about [18] interest. But if we did that — say we did, and [19] they got halfway through before that DOT bid [20] comes in, we may be ineligible or eligible for [21] less, and we are stuck with a bill of paying back [22] a million dollars — no. Thank you. I just [23] thought I was right. Gentlemen, any discussion?

			T	
ĺ	Page 117		Page 118	
l	[1]	MR. WUELLNER: Yeah, they've got the same	[1]	them over a deal that gives somebody a little
- 1	[2]	issues we do. The dates on the agreements versus	[2]	extra space on the back deal.
	[3]	the contracts let, as you well know	[3]	I think in this case, this is where the
H	4]	MR. ROSE: Yeah.	[4]	private side of the private public has to go out
- [[5]	MR. WUELLNER: need to be in the correct	[5]	and work their own problems out and wait for us
1	[6]	chronological order plus have their concurrence	[6]	or do what they have to do.
	7]	in all those steps,	[7]	MR. WUELLNER: Especially in light of what
1	[8]	MR. ROSE: Yeah, they don't like	[8]	we could borrow the money for ourselves, which
[9]	MR. WUELLNER: If it were an FAA project,	[9]	I'm sure would not be an acceptable return on
	[10]	you know, we could do that. Retroactively, that	[10]	their money.
1	11]	stuff would remain eligible, but DOT does not	[11]	MR. LASSITER: Exactly.
1	12]	work that way.	[12]	MR. WUELLNER: Even if it were
1	13]	MR. ROSE: Yeah. They don't like to do	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Any public comment?
][14]	that.	[14]	(No public comment.)
10	15]	MR. WUELLNER: It's in order.	[15]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Then gentlemen, I have
1	16]	MR. ROSE: They have done it in cases, but	[16]	Ed, do you need then I'll make this official
] [17]	they're not happy with it.	[17]	so in case they come back. I would entertain a
1	18]	MR. LASSITER: I think that what we find	[18]	motion that we reject or accept their proposal of
1	19]	ourself in is if we were in the private sector,	[19]	the up-front money from anyone.
0	20]	we could do this.	[20]	MR. ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I I move that we
1	21]	MR. WUELLNER: Absolutely.	[21]	not accept Regency's proposal for the up-front
[22]	MR. LASSITER: We're in the public sector.	[22]	construction costs.
[23]	The the best deal going for us is working with	[23]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And a second?
[24]	FDOT and working on their schedule and keeping	[24]	MR. LASSITER: Second.
[25]	them happy. I don't want to go out and tweak	[25]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Lassiter.
_	n e		***************************************	
I	Page 119		Page 120	
			_	
1	_	All in favor of rejecting Regency's proposal,	[1]	MR. LASSITER: We're limited to Phase 1, is
[2]	All in favor of rejecting Regency's proposal, signify by aye.	[1] [2]	MR. LASSITER: We're limited to Phase 1, is what we're looking at.
[2] 3]		[1] [2] [3]	·
	2] 3] 4]	signify by aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4]	what we're looking at.
	2] 3] 4] 5]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All
	2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it.
	2] 3] 4] 5] 6]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen?
	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item?
	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all?
	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at
[] [] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.)	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all?
]]]]] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] [0] [1]	signify by aye. MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) 11]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be.
[] [] [] [] [] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) [1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd.
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor?
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the
11	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0] (1] (1] (2] (3] (4] (5] (6] (7) (8] (9)	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) [1] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and say we reviewed the documents and think you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I think Ed and Pat just
[[[[[]]]]]]] [[]]] [[]]] [[]] [[]] []] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[[]] [[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [[[]] [2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 20]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and say we reviewed the documents and think you should accept? But that puts us in a problem	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I think Ed and Pat just MR. WUELLNER: There's 99 percent of it
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] 10] 11] 12] 13] 14] 15] 16] 17] 18] 19] 20]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and say we reviewed the documents and think you	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I think Ed and Pat just MR. WUELLNER: There's 99 percent of it right over there (indicating).
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [9] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and say we reviewed the documents and think you should accept? But that puts us in a problem with accepting a contract on something we have not yet funded. Is that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I think Ed and Pat just MR. WUELLNER: There's 99 percent of it right over there (indicating). CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose.
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) [1] [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [9] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and say we reviewed the documents and think you should accept? But that puts us in a problem with accepting a contract on something we have not yet funded. Is that MR. LASSITER: Exactly.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I think Ed and Pat just MR. WUELLNER: There's 99 percent of it right over there (indicating). CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose. Mr. Taylor?
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[2] 3] 4] 5] 6] 7] 8] 9] (0) [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [9] [20] [21] [22] [23]	MR. ROSE: Aye. MR. TAYLOR: Aye. MR. LASSITER: Aye. MR. WATTS: Aye. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Aye. And opposed? (No opposition.) CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You have rejected it. MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Taylor. MR. TAYLOR: I need a clarification, if I may, on an issue that we already voted on. We concerning the expansion contract award, did we ask the attorney to see if they could bid on Phase 1, and if not, did we give them any other alternatives except rebid? Isn't it possible they might come back and say we reviewed the documents and think you should accept? But that puts us in a problem with accepting a contract on something we have not yet funded. Is that	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	what we're looking at. MR. TAYLOR: Because of the funding. All right. I got it. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else, gentlemen? Very well. Was that your last item? MR. WUELLNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Rose, any reports at all? 6 AUTHORITY MEMBERS MR. ROSE: I have one question. Are our two lame ducks going to be here on the 2nd? MR. TAYLOR: Have to be. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Yes. MR. ROSE: Then I have no comment now. I'll say goodbye to you on the 2nd. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thanks a lot. Mr. Taylor? MR. ROSE: Let me I do want to thank the staff, though, for that fine financial report. I think Ed and Pat just MR. WUELLNER: There's 99 percent of it right over there (indicating). CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Rose.

Page 12	1	Page 122	
[1]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Mr. Lassiter?	[1]	staff for getting this thing done out the right
[2]	MR. LASSITER: I'd like to reiterate what	[2]	way.
[3]	Bill said. It's difficult to get the kind of	[3]	Are there any public comments on any item?
[4]	audit results out of here with as much as y'all	[4]	Mr. Ciriello.
[5]	do. So, congratulations. Y'all did a job well	[5]	7 PUBLIC COMMENT
[6]	done.	[6]	MR. CIRIELLO: First of all, I want to kind
[7]	I also am not going to be in town for this	[7]	of make a joke. Mr. Davis, during the campaign,
[8]	January 2nd meeting. I wish I could, but I've	[8]	I can remember you telling the people about how
[9]	got an obligation already outstanding.	[9]	great everything runs here at the board and how
[10]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: We'll miss you, Mr. Watts?	[10]	all the meetings are now about an hour long,
[11]	MR. WATTS: I would also like to reiterate	[11]	instead of four hours like they used to be. What
[12]	what the other two board members said concerning	[12]	happened?
[13]	the audit. I thought it was excellent, that	[13]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: The last one.
[14]	y'all did an outstanding job, Ed, your group, and	[14]	MR. CIRIELLO: Yeah. My comment is that
[15]	I'd like to take this time to wish all of you a	[15]	I've been in contact with AOPA on occasions,
[16]	very merry Christmas and happy New Year.	[16]	trying to get them to do some of their services
[17]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Watts. My	[17]	here at our airport to try to not that we need
[18]	comment is this: Pat, Ed, you know where we came	[18]	to be put on the map; this airport's already
[19]	from. You know where we came from four years ago	[19]	pretty famous because of Aero Sport and what,
[20]	with this audit business, and it is refreshing to	[20]	But the last thing I talked to them about
[21]	me to see that we turned it around,	[21]	was Mr. Boyer from AOPA, the president, having
[22]	It's nice to receive a report that really	[22]	these town meetings for pilots, and he's had many
[23]	has no comments to it, because we know that means	[23]	in Florida, and they're always like in Daytona
[24]	that we're doing something right. So, I thank	[24]	and Jacksonville and whatnot. And I I wanted
[25]	you, Pat and Ed, for what you've done, and the	[25]	one right here in St. Augustine. You know, I
		1	
Page 123		Page 124	
Page 123	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona.	Page 124	had here, not here in St. Augustine, but in
-		_	had here, not here in St. Augustine, but in Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and
[1]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona.	[1]	
[1] [2]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine.	[1] [2]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and
[1] [2] [3]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't	[1] [2] [3]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone
[1] [2] [3] [4]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or	[1] [2] [3] [4]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization."	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So,	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. CIRIELLO: I still haven't given up on	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going to be a special board meeting?
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. CIRIELLO: I still haven't given up on that expo that they put on.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going to be a special board meeting? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's probably sooner.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. CIRIELLO: I still haven't given up on that expo that they put on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello.	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going to be a special board meeting? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's probably sooner. MS. BARTIN: It was my idea that it would be
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. CIRIELLO: I still haven't given up on that expo that they put on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Bryan?	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going to be a special board meeting? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's probably sooner. MS. BARTIN: It was my idea that it would be sooner. As soon as we could get the documents
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. CIRIELLO: I still haven't given up on that expo that they put on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Bryan? MR. COOPER: I would like to make one little	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going to be a special board meeting? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's probably sooner. MS. BARTIN: It was my idea that it would be sooner. As soon as we could get the documents and review them and see where we are.
[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	wouldn't go to one in Jacksonville or Daytona. But if it was here in St. Augustine. So, I got an e-mail letter back, and I don't know if I should give it to you, the chairman, or let you pass it to Ed or if you even want to do anything, but the last statement says, "We would be pleased to consider any invitation from Mr. Boyer to speak at an event in St. Augustine hosted by a local aviation organization." For example — in other words, because I asked them, they're not going to do it. So, could I pass this to you or Mr. Ed and maybe the board or maybe you could get the Pilots Association or somebody to see if we could coerce these guys into coming down here and putting us on the AOPA map? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Sure. MR. CIRIELLO: I still haven't given up on that expo that they put on. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Ciriello. Bryan? MR. COOPER: I would like to make one little comment about Phil Boyer and his pilot town	[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	Jacksonville and Daytona, both times flew in and stayed at this airport. And I overheard a phone conversation back to Washington, D.C., instructed the staff that anytime he had a town meeting within 50, 60 miles of St. Augustine, to book him at the Casa Monica. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Very good. Any other comment? James? MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification MR. WUELLNER: Get up. Get up. CHAIRMAN DAVIS: You know where to go. MR. WUELLNER: Not getting paid by the hour. MR. PEARCE: In way of clarification on the award for the terminal expansion, this opinion from the attorneys coming back at the next board meeting meaning January the 22nd, or is it going to be a special board meeting? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: It's probably sooner. MS. BARTIN: It was my idea that it would be sooner. As soon as we could get the documents and review them and see where we are. MR. PEARCE: Okay. And then there would be

Page 125		Page	: 126
1] 2	nd.	[1]	REPORTER'S COURT CERTIFICATE
2]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: If that's necessary, then	[2]	
-	hey could notify me and I'll notify the others.	[3]	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4]	MR. PEARCE: I was just unclear on that.	[4]	COUNTY OF ST. JOHNS)
-	Fhank you.	[5]	
6]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anything else? Anyone	[6]	I, JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR, certify that I
-	lse?	[7]	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
8]	(No further comments.)	[8]	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
9]	CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Our organizational meeting	[9]	record of my stenographic notes.
-	rill be held Tuesday, January 2nd, 2001, at 4	[10]	
-	.m. Our next regular board meeting will be	[11]	Dated this 8th day of January, 2001
-	Monday, January 22nd at 4 p.m.	[12]	Clause M Hooden
3]	For Mr. Ciriello's benefit, yes, we do we	[13]	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR
_	re running, what, an hour and 15 minutes longer	[14]	JANET M. BEASON, RPR-CP, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No.: CC 705710 Expires: April 30, 2002
_	han we normally do, but it takes that for all of	[15]	Expires: April 30, 2002
_	he items that we had to cover, including the	[16]	V
_	harter. Thork you for idining up and staying with up	[17]	
8] 9] u	Thank you for joining us and staying with us ntil this time. Ladies and gentlemen, this	[18]	
-	•	[19]	
.oj n .1]	eeting's adjourned. (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[20]	
2]	(whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)	[21] [22]	
3]		[23]	
4]		[24]	
5]		[25]	
- 1		[]	

```
25 56/19
                                                                                                                        acquisitions 77/11
                            $
                                                             2nd 24/23, 104/19, 120/12, 120/16, 121/8, 125/1,
                                                                                                                        acres 90/7
                                                             125/10
                                                                                                                        ACTION 2/10, 49/21
 $1,059,842 12/7
                                                                                                                        actions 43/8
$1.4 8/8, 9/2
$1.7 6/18, 8/7
                                                                                                                        activities 9/18, 45/9
                                                                                        3
                                                                                                                        activity 76/12
$1.8 6/17
$1.9 8/7, 12/10
$144,000 9/17
                                                                                                                        adaptation 65/7
                                                             3 2/3, 2/4, 5/23, 7/1, 113/4
                                                                                                                        add 10/1, 34/21, 111/9
                                                             3-2 43/13
                                                             3-2-2 93/9
                                                                                                                        added 7/9, 8/9, 66/4, 108/19, 113/20
$150,000 109/22
                                                             30 4/20
$19 6/25
                                                                                                                        Adding 32/14
                                                                                                                       additions 26/4
address 27/23, 46/12, 48/2, 50/21, 51/21, 70/20,
$2.5 10/16
                                                             32084 1/18, 1/23
$2.6 9/3
                                                             35 56/6
                                                                                                                        70/24, 71/6, 111/1
$200 98/4
                                                             37 81/19
$200,000 7/16
                                                             38 2/9
                                                                                                                        adds 5/21
                                                                                                                        adjacent 52/19
                                                             3rd 24/23
 $22 7/1
                                                                                                                       adjourned 125/20, 125/21
ADJOURNMENT 2/20
$22-billion-a-year 88/7
$22.3 10/19
$231,000 98/5
                                                                                                                        adjust 105/5
$3 98/13
                                                                                                                        adjustments 113/14
                                                             4 2/5, 2/6, 7/14, 92/9, 92/10, 92/11, 125/10, 125/12
$300,000 7/18, 7/19, 11/25
                                                                                                                        adults 32/21
                                                            4.B 16/8
4.C 16/16
                                                                                                                        advance 73/24
$31 6/13
                                                                                                                       advantage 97/1
advertise 108/1
$34
                                                             4.E.2 38/3
$4 9/4
                                                             40 84/13, 88/18, 113/5
                                                                                                                       advice 103/18
Aero 2/7, 122/19
$4.5 8/10
                                                             417 62/16
$400 6/4
                                                             4796 1/3
                                                                                                                       aesthetically 64/4, 64/10
aesthetics 62/6, 62/10, 66/11
$400,000 98/13
                                                             49 2/11
$41 6/12
                                                             4:02 1/6
                                                                                                                       affect 109/10 affected 105/2
$429 8/2
$429,000 8/1
                                                                                                                        afternoon 3/2, 97/21
$45 6/12
                                                                                       5
                                                                                                                        age 49/13
$544,000 8/2
                                                                                                                       agencies 68/14
agenda 3/9, 43/20
                                                            5 2/10, 9/15, 19/21, 109/20
$60,000 7/23
                                                            5.A 49/22
$600,000 9/20
                                                            5.B 67/12
                                                                                                                        agendas 43/6
$7.5 6/19
                                                            5.C 87/24
                                                                                                                       agree 31/20, 31/21, 34/11, 62/20, 65/14, 81/12,
                                                            5.D 95/25
                                                                                                                       85/23
$800,000 6/5
                                                            5.E 114/16
                                                                                                                       agreed 13/7, 61/10, 74/23
                                                            50 90/24, 124/6
                                                                                                                       agreement 68/12, 69/18, 70/9, 79/8, 79/25, 86/14,
                            &
                                                                                                                       agreements 117/2
& 1/17
                                                                                       б
                                                                                                                       aids 51/24
                                                                                                                       Air 2/11, 49/22, 50/1, 50/25, 51/2, 55/12, 55/14,
                                                            6 2/14, 10/13, 120/10
                                                                                                                       76/14
                            *
                                                            6,000 56/14
                                                                                                                       aircraft 86/5, 92/11
                                                            60 64/16, 124/6
67 2/11
* 1/7, 1/12, 1/15, 1/21
                                                                                                                       airplane 86/9
                                                                                                                       airplanes 85/13
                                                            6:17 1/6
                                                                                                                       AIRPORT 1/1, 1/18, 1/20, 2/8, 3/4, 5/6, 7/21, 8/3, 8/16, 8/21, 10/18, 11/2, 13/20, 14/13, 15/17,
                                                            6th 20/2
                            1
                                                                                                                       17/12, 18/20, 28/9, 28/14, 28/15, 38/17, 38/20, 38/24, 52/18, 53/8, 58/20, 58/24, 59/12, 59/17,
1 1/3, 2/8, 5/10, 67/19, 77/25, 97/11, 98/3, 98/11,
                                                                                       7
99/18, 99/20, 100/9, 101/8, 101/18, 101/25, 104/25, 106/3, 106/13, 109/9, 109/10, 109/16, 112/6, 112/7,
                                                                                                                       61/24, 65/2, 65/5, 67/17, 68/25, 69/3, 69/6, 69/11,
                                                            7 2/18, 7/1, 122/5
                                                                                                                       69/23, 69/25, 70/13, 71/18, 74/25, 76/2, 76/12, 76/18, 76/24, 77/16, 77/21, 78/2, 78/6, 78/14, 78/15,
113/17, 119/17, 120/1
                                                            750 92/12
10 56/19
                                                            7th 38/24
                                                                                                                       78/20, 80/16, 80/19, 81/19, 81/22, 81/24, 82/1,
100 81/12, 89/23, 96/18
                                                                                                                       82/13, 83/1, 83/14, 84/6, 84/8, 84/11, 85/5, 85/6,
114 2/13
                                                                                                                       85/7, 85/11, 85/16, 85/17, 86/4, 91/25, 115/11,
120 2/15, 2/16, 2/17, 89/23
                                                                                       8
                                                                                                                        122/17, 124/3
121 2/15, 2/18
                                                              2/19, 10/14
                                                                                                                       Airport's 16/21, 73/21, 122/18
125 2/19, 2/20
                                                            825-0570 1/23
                                                                                                                        AJT 49/24, 49/25, 50/11, 50/21, 51/1, 58/18, 59/1,
125-mile-an-hour 56/1
                                                            87 2/12
13 11/12
140 90/24
                                                                                                                       59/11
                                                            8th 126/11
                                                                                                                       allow 23/10, 91/7, 97/24, 106/13
15 125/14
                                                                                                                       allowed 84/18, 113/1
                                                                                                                       alternate 52/25, 97/3
1510 1/22
                                                                                       9
                                                                                                                       alternative 99/19, 107/6, 108/12, 116/6
16 2/7, 2/8, 12/8
                                                                                                                       alternatives 50/2, 100/7, 108/6, 108/9, 108/11,
160s 90/25
                                                            9 2/20
                                                            904 1/23
                                                                                                                       119/18
170 1/17
                                                            95 2/12
                                                                                                                       amend 30/7, 38/20, 108/20
18 1/5
189 16/23
18th 3/5
                                                                120/21
                                                                                                                       amended 17/15, 17/18, 17/19, 38/24
                                                            99/2000 4/13
                                                                                                                       amendment 16/23, 17/20, 37/16, 109/6
19 12/24
1963 17/14, 18/3
                                                                                                                       amendments 17/3
                                                                                                                       America 57/17
                                                                                       A
1971 37/4
1997 16/22
                                                                                                                       amount 6/11, 6/13, 97/24, 98/6, 113/5
                                                                                                                       amounts 10/9, 10/12
                                                            A.A.E 1/19
                                                                                                                       ample 90/9
1999/2000 2/5
                                                            A133 13/14
                                                                                                                       analysis 107/9
1st 36/23, 39/15
                                                            ABSENT 1/13
                                                                                                                       announcing 71/12
                                                            accept 3/13, 14/19, 28/22, 36/15, 85/20, 86/12,
                                                                                                                       annual 68/6, 68/17
                                                            94/17, 95/11, 118/18, 118/21, 119/21, 119/25
                                                                                                                       answer 20/11, 20/20, 25/25, 39/1, 39/19, 42/11,
                                                            acceptable 64/4, 99/19, 100/15, 118/9
ACCEPTANCE 2/4, 4/6, 4/8
                                                                                                                       42/23, 43/16, 59/22, 69/15, 72/15, 97/22
2 2/3, 2/9, 5/23, 98/11, 100/20, 100/21, 100/24,
                                                                                                                       answered 93/23
101/2, 101/5, 101/9, 102/8, 106/5, 106/6, 109/9,
                                                            accepted 5/15, 5/16, 5/20, 15/13, 37/14, 38/1,
                                                                                                                       answers 43/21
109/13, 109/15, 109/16, 112/8
2-2-2 93/11
                                                            95/24, 101/22, 114/12
                                                                                                                       anticipate 75/25
                                                            accepting 15/3, 37/14, 95/14, 119/22
                                                                                                                       anticipated 83/17
20 13/11, 84/5, 84/11, 84/14, 84/17, 84/19
2000 1/5, 3/5, 3/14, 4/20
                                                            access 91/7
                                                                                                                       antiquated 18/4
                                                            accomplished 71/20
                                                                                                                       AOPA 122/15, 122/21, 123/16
                                                            accountant 14/20
2001 125/10, 126/11
                                                                                                                       applicable 12/18
                                                            accounting 5/16, 5/18, 7/11, 8/17, 9/24
20th 3/14, 3/21
                                                                                                                       applied 46/3, 54/25, 55/2, 55/11
appoint 34/5, 41/7, 41/8
                                                            acquire 77/22, 78/17, 79/6
22nd 124/17, 125/12
                                                            acquired 67/20, 79/5
24 115/6
                                                                                                                       appointments 35/17
24,000 90/1, 93/16
                                                            acquiring 77/3, 78/3
```

bailout 68/12

appraisal 70/6, 70/12, 72/18 appraised 70/4 approach 69/8, 78/4, 78/22 approached 98/9 appropriate 42/17, 53/5, 74/19, 106/15, 109/5 appropriately 41/4 appropriation 68/6 APPROVAL 2/3, 3/7, 3/9, 53/12, 61/4, 71/21, 72/23 approve 19/24, 20/25, 44/5 approved 4/5, 22/12, 69/22 approving 3/20 apron 90/8, 92/18 arch 60/22 architect 51/9, 51/18 architectural 51/15, 53/17, 54/19, 57/10 architecture 62/24 Area 2/12, 2/13, 71/6, 78/5, 87/24, 88/14, 88/18, 88/19, 89/22, 90/7, 90/8, 90/10, 92/15, 92/21, 92/24, 92/25, 96/21, 114/16, 114/19 argue 23/22, 73/13 argument 41/18, 49/8, 105/4 ASCE7 55/25 aspect 30/16 assertion 13/3, 13/4, 13/5, 13/8 asset 69/9 assets 6/1, 7/21, 8/23 assignment 71/20 assistance 12/5, 12/10 Assistant 1/20 assisting 51/9 Association 16/13, 123/14 assure 77/15 assuring 78/1 attempt 17/5, 27/22 attention 15/15, 21/25, 116/5 Attorney 1/18, 2/8, 20/14, 38/14, 46/17, 46/18, 46/19, 97/19, 119/16 attorney's 100/10 attorneys 36/16, 98/19, 99/7, 99/17, 124/16 Audit 2/5, 4/12, 4/19, 5/2, 5/18, 13/14, 13/22, 14/1, 14/13, 14/19, 121/4, 121/13, 121/20 auditing 5/15, 5/19, 11/24, 12/4, 15/20 auditor 10/23 auditor's 5/10, 15/3 AUGUSTINE 1/1, 1/4, 1/17, 1/22, 1/23, 61/2, 61/22, 64/8, 65/4, 67/10, 122/25, 123/2, 123/8, 124/1, 124/6 Augustine-St 3/3, 18/20 AUTHORITY 1/1, 1/18, 2/8, 2/14, 3/4, 5/6, 8/17, 8/21, 10/19, 11/2, 12/17, 18/21, 21/4, 28/9, 28/15, 35/16, 38/18, 38/21, 38/25, 39/10, 45/12, 67/18, 67/21, 69/3, 69/6, 69/11, 69/23, 70/13, 74/25, 76/2, 77/21, 83/13, 115/11, 120/10 Authority's 28/14 authorize 62/23, 65/6 authorized 126/7 automatically 25/17, 26/9, 26/15, 29/9, 32/19, availability 85/4, 111/5 available 96/12, 96/25, 97/5, 108/12, 109/15, 109/16 aviation 77/24, 78/9, 79/4, 80/11, 80/21, 80/22, 114/21, 123/9 aviation-related 77/17 aviation-specific 79/20 awaiting 100/21 Award 2/12, 95/25, 96/11, 97/11, 97/12, 99/17, 99/22, 100/12, 101/4, 101/8, 101/24, 102/8, 106/12, 107/24, 109/12, 110/5, 110/8, 111/4, 119/16, 124/15 awarded 97/6, 104/25, 109/8, 109/11, 114/20 awarding 100/8, 108/4, 110/1, 110/2 awarding 100/8, 108/4, 110/1, 110/2 awards 11/22, 13/25 aye 3/21, 3/22, 3/23, 3/24, 3/25, 4/1, 15/4, 15/5, 15/6, 15/7, 15/8, 15/9, 37/17, 37/18, 37/19, 37/20, 37/21, 37/22, 66/12, 66/13, 66/14, 66/15, 66/16, 66/17, 87/13, 87/14, 87/15, 87/16, 87/17, 87/18, 95/15, 95/16, 95/17, 95/18, 95/19, 95/20, 114/3, 114/4, 114/5, 114/6, 114/7, 114/8, 119/2, 119/3, 119/4, 119/5, 119/6, 119/7

В

background 50/24 backing 85/18 backs 44/7 bad 25/19, 26/2 bail 83/23 Bailey 1/17

balance 5/23, 8/12, 8/20 balcony 55/11 ball 52/19 bank 69/20 bar 58/9 barrel 53/3 barrow 75/8 BARTIN 1/17, 2/8 Base 51/2, 56/19, 99/8, 109/8 based 5/12, 38/16, 40/15, 43/12, 75/8, 97/12, 98/3, 100/9, 100/12, 102/4, 102/9, 104/25, 110/8, 111/4, 112/14 basis 41/15, 67/24, 68/6, 68/18, 115/19 Beach 70/20 BEASON 126/6 belly-up 83/20, 84/17 Bend 62/16 benefit 27/12, 85/7, 125/13 bid 96/4, 97/3, 97/9, 97/12, 97/20, 97/22, 98/3, 98/8, 98/15, 99/8, 99/13, 100/11, 101/25, 102/2, 102/4, 102/9, 102/20, 104/15, 105/8, 105/15, 106/13, 108/3, 109/8, 109/10, 109/16, 109/18, 109/21, 111/13, 111/16, 111/18, 112/20, 112/21, 113/1, 113/2, 113/14, 113/15, 113/17, 113/21, 113/22, 116/19, 119/17 bidder 97/14, 97/16, 98/16, 99/17, 104/11, 104/12, 107/14, 108/13, 109/5, 110/1, 110/3, 112/14 bidder's 112/12 bidders 96/8, 99/12 bidding 60/14, 99/5 bids 96/5, 98/1, 100/15, 101/18, 101/20, 101/22, 103/15, 104/23, 105/19, 106/1, 108/2, 109/19, 113/6, 113/7, 116/11 big 92/21 bigger 91/15 biggest 82/25 BILL 1/10, 2/15, 19/10, 19/17, 19/18, 19/22, 21/8, 78/12, 116/21, 121/3 billing 42/18 bills 21/22 binding 73/7, 73/16 bit 5/21, 6/21, 53/16, 53/19, 60/20, 65/7, 65/20, 70/19, 79/7, 85/8, 107/4 blend 52/8, 60/19 blending 63/21 blocks 74/5 blow 27/10, 74/6 blue 52/10, 52/24, 62/1, 62/9, 64/11, 95/8 Blvd 1/22 BOARD 1/8, 1/13, 2/19, 5/18, 8/18, 16/18, 25/3, 26/24, 27/3, 27/7, 28/15, 29/6, 29/22, 32/9, 32/11, 32/13, 32/17, 32/21, 33/17, 34/1, 34/3, 34/12, 34/17, 34/18, 34/19, 34/23, 36/21, 38/9, 40/3, 40/18, 42/1, 44/21, 45/10, 46/8, 46/15, 46/25, 47/13, 48/1, 51/6, 51/25, 54/19, 73/8, 73/10, 74/23, 80/6, 81/3, 81/10, 81/11, 94/14, 97/25, 109/19, 109/21, 110/7, 111/3, 111/15, 112/8, 112/16, 121/12, 122/9, 123/13, 124/16, 124/18, 125/11 board's 30/6, 32/5, 75/2, 109/24 boards 68/8, 73/7, 73/16 bond 23/11, 112/19 bonding 19/1, 20/18, 23/13 bonds 20/16 book 124/6 books 11/2 borrow 118/8 Bosanko 38/6 bottom 12/15, 52/23, 56/4, 64/7, 112/22 hottom-line 11/14 bought 80/21, 83/9 Boulevard 70/20 bound 24/4 bow 64/15 bowstring 58/8 Bover 123/23 braces 52/12 break 74/15 Brian 50/9, 50/23, 50/24 Brian's brick 63/24, 65/20 brief 4/21 bring 23/13, 53/16, 57/9, 114/18, 116/5 bringing 10/17, 53/10, 67/6, 71/1 brings 68/23 broke 101/23 broken 32/3 brought 23/5, 26/18, 47/8, 74/16, 74/23, 112/10 BRYAN 1/20, 123/21

BRYANT 1/14, 2/7 budget 59/19, 70/7, 83/11 buffer 81/21 build 27/8, 53/8, 59/3, 59/18, 69/1, 74/9, 81/23, 81/25, 84/8, 84/10, 90/11, 90/12, 90/13, 90/14, 91/2, 92/16, 96/15, 112/18 building 52/9, 52/13, 52/18, 52/19, 54/4, 55/24, 59/7, 65/17, 69/14, 69/16, 69/21, 74/9, 75/22, 75/24, 78/21, 84/15, 91/9, 91/16, 92/7, 92/12, 92/16, 93/20, buildings 61/1, 65/18, 68/25, 69/1, 71/19, 91/16, 92/14, 93/22 builds 69/2 built 57/5, 59/13, 84/12, 84/13, 89/18, 89/22, 90/11 bulk 113/18 buried 56/5 business 3/8, 4/7, 16/4, 77/17, 78/13, 121/20 businesses 76/13 butts 26/15 buy 73/22, 75/1, 79/12, 81/22, 83/20, 84/21 buy-back 69/13, 71/22, 80/11 buying 71/18, 75/1, 79/16, 80/21, 81/20, 85/4 buyout 84/5, 85/2 bypassed 26/12 Byron 51/10, 51/18, 52/4, 58/15

C

57/3, 59/6 call 3/5, 24/18, 42/22, 45/17, 55/25, 56/10, 60/12, 66/9, 93/25, 107/20, 111/18, 124/24 came 6/14, 13/21, 20/15, 51/5, 61/15, 70/6, 85/1, 99/23, 103/15, 121/18, 121/19 campaign 122/7 candidate 39/9, 48/10 capital 6/25, 7/10, 9/9, 10/15, 10/17 care 21/25, 70/21, 70/24, 71/4, 75/13, 83/2 CARL 1/9, 2/15 carried 97/11 Casa 124/7 case 25/12, 29/6, 38/6, 38/16, 39/21, 65/2, 68/12, 74/6, 77/25, 116/15, 118/3, 118/17 cases 65/1, 77/12, 117/16 cash 6/2, 6/3, 7/22, 9/15, 9/16, 9/18, 9/19, 74/11 cast 57/4 categories 40/12 category 92/9 Center 2/11, 23/18, 67/12, 67/17, 68/14, 87/6, 87/12, 92/13 centers 86/1 CERTIFICATE 126/1 certified 39/8, 48/9 certify 42/8, 126/6 chair 33/12, 33/16, 33/25 chair's 34/6 Chairman 1/9, 3/2, 3/8, 3/12, 3/16, 3/19, 4/1, 4/4, 4/7, 4/15, 14/11, 14/15, 14/18, 14/24, 15/2, 15/9, 15/12, 15/25, 16/10, 16/12, 16/15, 16/17, 20/21, 20/23, 22/19, 23/2, 24/7, 25/12, 25/15, 27/20, 27/24, 28/18, 28/21, 28/25, 29/3, 29/6, 29/10, 29/16, 29/17, 29/18, 30/1, 30/9, 30/20, 31/3, 31/9, 31/19, 32/13, 32/22, 33/18, 33/23, 35/1, 35/3, 35/9, 35/11, 35/24, 36/3, 36/5, 36/12, 37/5, 37/10, 37/13, 37/22, 37/25, 39/22, 39/25, 41/10, 43/2, 44/12, 44/15, 45/15, 45/20, 46/8, 47/18, 48/6, 48/18, 49/16, 49/20, 50/3, 50/7, 59/25, 60/2, 60/15, 61/6, 62/5, 62/19, 62/21, 63/1, 63/4, 63/7, 63/12, 64/13, 66/6, 66/10, 66/17, 66/20, 66/25, 67/8, 70/17, 73/4, 76/7, 79/1, 79/3, 80/2, 80/5, 81/16, 82/6, 82/18, 85/21, 86/17 86/19, 86/21, 86/23, 87/2, 87/7, 87/10, 87/18, 87/21, 94/3, 94/5, 94/8, 94/10, 94/13, 94/18, 94/20, 95/6, 95/13, 95/20, 95/23, 98/18, 100/18, 103/2, 104/7, 105/10, 106/18, 106/23, 106/25, 107/2, 107/19, 108/23, 109/1, 110/13, 110/15, 110/19, 110/23, 111/10, 113/23, 114/2, 114/8, 114/11, 114/15, 116/10, 116/16, 118/13, 118/15, 118/23, 118/25, 119/7, 119/10, 119/12, 120/5, 120/8, 120/14, 120/17, 120/23, 121/1, 121/10, 121/17, 122/13, 123/4, 123/17, 123/20, 124/8, 124/12, 124/19, 125/2, 125/6, 125/9 Challenge 2/9, 38/3, 38/7, 41/17 challenging 40/16 chance 15/16 change 6/24, 7/11, 8/13, 8/22, 9/1, 9/7, 9/24, 12/2, 17/25, 18/22, 30/6, 31/15, 93/14 changed 18/4, 18/19, 18/21, 21/12, 30/7, 88/2 changes 15/19, 18/15, 19/1, 21/1, 21/5, 21/6,

21/17, 22/3, 22/6, 24/17, 29/20

changing 30/9, 34/12, 34/19, 88/4

complied 12/17, 13/5

component 57/4

compliance 5/5, 11/13, 12/12, 12/21, 13/4, 13/9

Chapter 16/23 character 73/1 charge 42/9, 66/22 CHARLES 1/10 Charlie 49/1, 65/14 chart 17/12 Charter 2/8, 2/9, 16/21, 17/12, 17/14, 17/20, 17/22, 17/23, 17/25, 18/5, 18/7, 18/13, 18/23, 19/18, 19/21, 22/20, 23/10, 23/17, 24/14, 25/7, 25/8, 25/23, 26/13, 26/19, 26/21, 27/6, 27/17, 34/11, 35/20, 36/18, 37/15, 38/2, 38/3, 38/8, 40/2, 40/19, 40/25, 41/5, 41/8, 48/8, 48/14, 125/17 charters 16/25 cheap 55/20 checkerboard 64/6 chief 51/2 children 82/9 choice 58/22 choose 32/22, 32/23, 32/24 chose 46/21 Christmas 121/16 chronological 117/6 chronology 38/10 CINDY 1/17, 20/24, 23/4, 24/11, 43/3, 44/17, 45/1, 45/23, 48/5, 73/14, 103/20, 110/22, 110/23 Circuit 39/12 circular 13/14 Ciriello 24/9 city 40/5, 40/13, 40/23, 58/21, 62/9 cladding 57/10 clarification 119/13, 124/10, 124/14 clarify 23/23, 67/8, 78/8, 104/13 clarifying 45/14 clay 53/4, 53/5, 65/8, 65/10, 65/12 clean 5/2, 5/11, 12/23, 14/7, 18/1, 18/5 cleanup 18/18 clear 31/5, 31/7, 31/11, 31/17, 35/25, 69/19, 102/6, 110/12 Clearly 69/7 Cleveland 61/11 close 65/19, 76/24, 78/22, 112/9, 112/11 closer 96/13 Club 67/19, 72/9, 85/10, 85/14, 86/3, 88/15, 88/22, 88/24, 89/2, 89/6, 89/14, 89/15 clustered 89/25 clustering 94/1 CMU 58/5 code 55/24 codes 56/1 Codification 2/8, 17/25, 19/20, 22/20, 28/2, 38/1 codify 16/21, 16/25, 34/2 coerce 123/14 color 52/11, 52/15, 52/17, 53/21, 54/19, 54/23, 60/21, 64/3 colors 53/16, 64/5 combination 60/8, 64/2 combinations 90/24 comfortable 20/16, 22/17, 35/6, 46/2, 71/13, 99/6, 100/1, 100/3, 100/4, 112/1, 112/9, 112/20 COMMENT 2/18, 14/12, 22/22, 22/24, 24/8, 28/19, 28/20, 29/8, 33/20, 39/22, 44/15, 49/16, 59/25, 62/7, 62/13, 62/14, 66/6, 77/10, 81/17, 85/4, 85/22, 94/3, 94/6, 118/13, 118/14, 120/15, 120/25, 121/18, 122/5, 122/14, 123/23, 124/9 comments 3/10, 3/11, 14/16, 34/25, 49/18, 64/22, 64/24, 81/5, 94/4, 94/7, 121/23, 122/3, 125/8 commercial 72/5 Commission 31/25 Commissioner 2/7 Commissioner/Airport 1/14 commissioners 24/25, 25/11, 26/8, 26/11 commit 68/7, 68/8, 74/21 commitment 106/4, 106/6 committee 27/1 common 75/8 Company 50/15, 50/16, 58/17, 69/21, 88/8, 113/3 compare 18/12, 31/24 compared 6/18 compares 18/13 comparison 18/12 compatibility 77/15, 78/1 compatible 69/24 competitive 97/2 compile 113/8 Complaint 38/12, 38/20, 38/24, 38/25, 40/14 complete 81/3 completed 51/5, 111/21 complex 23/19

composed 7/1 comprised 51/20 concept 71/3, 94/16, 99/5 concepts 51/15, 51/19 concern 30/13, 70/19, 76/17, 82/25, 86/2, 111/1, 115/15 concerned 32/7, 32/18, 59/16 concerns 76/10 concrete 53/4, 53/20, 53/24, 56/15, 56/16, 63/21, 63/23, 64/3, 65/9, 65/10, 65/13, 65/21, 112/1, 112/2 concur 71/23 concurrence 117/6 concurring 95/4 condition 5/7, 11/5, 11/10, 14/9 conditioners 55/13, 55/14 conditions 13/17 confident 59/18 configuration 90/21, 91/6 confirmation 72/13 conflicting 16/5 congratulated 11/4 congratulations 121/5 connect 97/20 cons 61/3 conscience 74/8, 80/5, 80/7, 81/6 consent 69/18 consented 80/3 consideration 69/13, 74/24 considerations 51/21, 66/3 consistency 71/25 consistent 72/13, 99/4 construct 75/22 constructing 115/10 Construction 49/25, 50/20, 51/4, 55/6, 58/6, 58/7, 58/10, 76/1, 97/5, 98/2, 108/25, 114/25, 115/4, 118/22 construed 45/5 consultant 59/17 contact 122/15 contacted 34/7, 111/11 context 110/3 continue 104/21, 106/13 continuous 63/23 Contract 2/12, 10/8, 42/19, 67/6, 95/25, 106/3, 111/4, 112/6, 114/20, 115/17, 119/15, 119/22 contracting 111/23 contractor 50/18, 57/13 contracts 44/5, 103/4, 117/3 contrary 39/11, 48/12 contrasting 52/16 contributed 6/25, 7/10, 10/15, 10/17 contribution 9/9 contributions 8/19 Control 2/11, 11/13, 11/18, 12/20, 13/18, 49/22, 50/1, 52/9, 61/21, 77/14 controller 50/25 controls 5/5 controverted 43/23 conversation 74/17, 124/4 conversations 67/15 COOPER 1/20 cooperation 96/14 copy 38/1, 51/25, 70/23, 94/10, 96/7 core 56/21 corner 75/6, 75/7 Corporate 2/13, 96/20, 114/16, 114/19 corporate-type 89/24 Correct 21/3, 21/20, 22/8, 22/14, 30/12, 37/3, 37/5, 45/2, 45/23, 60/5, 64/25, 75/23, 101/21, 102/3, 110/19, 116/10, 117/5 cost 51/21, 57/18, 59/15, 65/12, 76/4 costing 58/6 costs 57/16, 118/22 cotta 63/21, 63/24, 64/3 cotton 95/8 counsel 110/11 counted 44/24 counterclaim 42/16 countersuit 42/4 COUNTY 1/1, 1/14, 2/7, 3/4, 18/20, 25/11, 31/25, 40/5, 40/13, 40/22, 46/9, 46/12, 70/25, 71/6, 72/22, 80/19, 80/20, 81/10, 111/6, 126/4 couple 7/11, 9/11, 24/19, 26/11, 51/13, 64/22, 64/23, 82/21, 85/12, 104/8, 123/25 course 12/1, 23/8, 53/20, 54/1, 74/17 Court 1/22, 39/12, 126/1

cover 54/15, 125/16
create 78/20
creates 35/24, 55/11
creating 8/4
Crisis 2/11, 67/12, 67/16, 68/14, 82/9, 82/11, 82/16, 83/1, 85/25, 86/1, 87/6, 87/12
criteria 31/25, 80/10
critical 43/21
CRR 126/6
crunch 74/11
crux 108/10
cumbersome 17/2
currently 67/17, 71/8, 72/10, 88/12, 88/18
curved 52/20, 52/21
cut 68/19, 73/9
cut-and-pasted-together 17/21
cutting 74/12

D

D.C. 124/4 Dame 20/13 date 17/6, 17/16, 30/5, 35/22, 36/17, 36/20, 39/17, 45/11, 47/21, 47/22, 100/16 Dated 126/11 dates 35/15, 117/2 DAVIS 1/9, 2/15, 3/2, 3/8, 3/12, 3/16, 3/19, 4/1, 4/4, 4/7, 4/15, 14/11, 14/15, 14/18, 14/24, 15/2, 4/4, 4/1, 4/15, 14/11, 14/15, 14/18, 14/24, 15/2, 15/9, 15/12, 15/25, 16/10, 16/12, 16/15, 20/21, 20/23, 22/19, 23/2, 24/7, 27/20, 27/24, 28/18, 28/21, 28/25, 29/3, 29/18, 30/1, 30/9, 30/20, 31/3, 31/9, 31/19, 33/23, 35/1, 35/3, 35/9, 35/11, 35/24, 36/3, 36/5, 36/12, 37/5, 37/10, 37/13, 37/22, 37/25, 39/22, 39/25, 41/10, 43/2, 44/12, 44/15, 45/15, 45/20, 47/18, 48/6, 48/18, 49/16, 49/20, 50/3, 50/7, 59/25, 60/7, 60/15, 61/5, 62/5, 62/15, 6 60/2, 60/15, 61/6, 62/5, 62/19, 62/21, 63/1, 63/4, 63/7, 63/12, 64/13, 66/6, 66/10, 66/17, 66/20, 66/25, 67/8, 70/17, 73/4, 76/7, 79/1, 79/3, 80/2, 80/5, 81/16, 82/6, 82/18, 85/21, 86/17, 86/19, 86/21, 86/23, 87/2, 87/7, 87/10, 87/18, 87/21, 94/3, 94/5, 94/8, 94/10, 94/13, 94/18, 94/20, 95/6, 95/13, 95/20, 95/23, 98/18, 100/18, 103/2, 104/7, 105/10, 106/18, 106/23, 106/25, 107/2, 108/23, 109/1, 110/13, 110/15, 110/19, 110/23, 111/10, 113/23, 114/2, 114/8, 114/11, 114/15, 116/10, 116/16, 118/13, 118/15, 118/23, 118/25, 119/7, 119/10, 119/12, 120/5, 120/8, 120/14, 120/17, 120/23, 121/1, 121/10, 121/17, 122/13, 123/17, 123/20, 124/8, 124/12, 124/19, 125/2, 125/6, 125/9 day 20/1, 32/5, 47/23, 109/23, 112/13, 112/24, 113/4, 113/15, 113/20, 113/22, 126/11 days 58/25 Daytona 122/23, 123/1, 124/2 de 1/22, 63/22 deadline 42/13 deal 74/2, 74/15, 104/21, 111/23, 117/23, 118/1, 118/2 dealed 59/2 dealing 39/21, 104/21 deals 103/4 dealt 59/11, 79/9, 85/22 debt 6/19 December 1/5, 3/5, 38/23 decide 24/1, 33/11, 33/17, 34/1, 51/16 decides 33/6, 74/11 decision 25/9, 39/11, 45/7, 45/11, 45/24, 46/5, 47/12, 47/19, 62/3, 115/13 decisions 25/4, 47/2, 47/7, 116/8 default 70/1 defaulted 102/1 Defendant 38/14, 38/15 deferred 6/22 define 28/13 delayed 115/10 delays 102/20, 103/1 delegation 19/16, 19/23, 20/5, 21/2, 21/11, 22/7, 28/3, 30/8 deleted 29/23, 102/1 delivered 59/20 DENNIS 1/11 depicted 62/24 depreciation 6/13, 7/20 described 30/15 describes 19/20 description 73/17 design 50/10, 50/11, 50/24, 51/3, 59/6, 67/3, 67/9, 92/10, 92/11, 114/20, 115/3 design/build 50/10, 50/14, 50/16 designed 55/24, 59/1

desire 112/18 detail 4/23, 10/1, 10/6, 10/8, 10/10, 10/13, 15/16 detailed 23/24, 60/13 details 7/4, 20/12 determination 75/2 determine 42/14, 49/5, 100/5 determined 13/21, 28/15, 115/24 developed 72/11 Development 2/12, 2/13, 72/14, 75/25, 77/6, 77/24, 87/24, 114/16, 114/19 developments 79/20 diagonally 65/17 difference 65/10, 65/12, 97/25, 98/7 difficult 58/12, 96/2, 121/3 difficulty 71/8 direct 9/9, 69/15, 97/22 direction 63/13, 91/6, 116/7 directions 17/9, 17/10 Director 1/19, 1/20 dirt 56/7 disappears 35/7 disclose 11/15 disclosed 10/10 discrepancy 111/15 discretion 99/16 discuss 4/13, 10/7, 27/3, 112/16 discussion 10/2, 63/6, 63/7, 107/4, 110/18, 116/23 discussions 97/19, 98/25 disengender 99/11 Dismiss 38/16 disparity 98/2 dispense 113/24 disposed 68/22 disproportionate 98/11 dispute 98/15 disqualified 43/8, 44/7, 44/23 disrespectful 25/2 distance 65/11 District 93/7 districts 16/24 disturbed 82/9 divisive 34/22 Dixie 72/12 documents 60/13, 97/10, 97/22, 100/12, 102/7, 103/16, 103/18, 106/8, 107/10, 110/9, 112/15, 119/20, 124/21 doesn't 24/11, 26/9, 27/10, 28/13, 82/3, 107/5, 112/22 dog-training 72/7 dollars 6/21, 84/16, 116/22 door 54/7, 54/8 DOT 96/14, 100/21, 103/4, 114/23, 115/5, 115/21, 116/19, 116/24, 117/11 double 6/4 doubt 110/4 Doug 19/13, 22/11 downtown 53/1, 53/2, 53/11 draft 17/23, 18/7, 18/8, 19/10, 19/17, 20/25, 36/15, 37/15, 38/1 drafting 42/11 dramatically 68/19, 114/22 drastic 18/1 drawing 94/12 drawings 75/9 drives 57/18 drop 56/21 dry 92/19 duck 25/3, 31/10, 31/21, 49/2 ducks 102/22, 120/12 dump 101/14 dumped 73/10 duplicative 12/25 during 22/4, 23/16, 69/10, 97/5, 97/9, 102/7, 122/7 duty 49/9 Duval 71/6

E

e-mail 123/3
earnings 8/10, 8/11
Earthtech 88/2
easier 15/22, 19/7
easiest 91/1
Eastside 2/13, 114/16, 114/19
easy 96/3
economy 78/14
Ed 16/1, 29/19, 42/23, 73/18, 78/10, 94/8, 100/17, 106/13, 116/10, 116/24, 118/16, 120/20, 121/14,

121/18, 121/25, 123/5 educated 82/23 EDWARD 1/19 effect 73/12 effective 47/21 efforts 67/21 elect 25/11 elected 24/21, 29/17, 33/3, 34/13, 34/15, 36/21 election 20/9, 24/18, 25/1, 28/7, 37/8, 38/22, 39/8, 41/13, 41/15 elections 46/16, 48/11 electrical 113/19 element 115/1 elements 11/14 elephant 79/13 elevations 53/13 eligible 115/20, 116/20, 117/11 eliminated 19/2 embeds 57/8 emotional 82/11 emphasis 65/3 employee 38/9, 40/4, 40/13, 40/16 employees 40/23 empty 80/25 emulate 54/3 end 20/6, 28/2, 69/9, 69/23, 82/16, 84/5, 84/10, 84/14, 88/11, 93/16, 98/10, 109/23, 112/3, 112/13, 112/24, 113/4, 113/15, 113/20, 113/22, 114/22, 115/9, 115/12 ended 4/20, 92/6, 96/7 endorsing 94/15 ends 30/5, 98/14 engineer 51/11, 51/18, 99/1 Engineering 49/24, 50/12, 59/2, 59/16, 59/19 engineers 49/25 enter 106/2, 115/16 entered 98/24, 109/19 entertain 3/12, 14/18, 28/22, 86/12, 118/17 entertaining 70/14 entities 27/2, 27/12 entrance 54/9, 54/14, 89/16 environment 61/23, 61/24 envision 56/17 envisioned 23/16, 23/17, 70/3, 83/18, 96/11, 96/20, 96/23 equation 68/24 equipment 6/10, 68/4 equitable 102/23 equity 6/24, 7/7, 8/20 erect 56/22 erecting 78/4
Esquire 1/17
essence 73/10, 106/11, 107/21 established 35/16, 70/6 establishing 70/5 estimating 60/14 Ethis 55/1 evening 87/25 event 20/15, 68/18, 70/6, 97/4, 98/16, 100/13, 102/19, 106/16, 123/8 eventuality 6/8 Evetts 51/10 examination 13/3 exceed 89/25, 96/11 excess 11/25 excited 58/19 Excuse 50/3 executed 68/17 Executive 1/19 exercise 99/16, 111/7 existing 18/13 exists 30/10 exit 54/11 expanded 116/9 Expansion 2/12, 59/14, 60/20, 77/13, 95/25, 96/4, 119/15, 124/15 expansive 63/16 expect 20/5, 22/3, 77/24 expending 70/11 expenditures 11/22, 83/17 expense 91/3 expenses 7/17 expensive 90/4 expertise 84/24 expiration 69/3

expressed 67/23 expressing 61/8 extend 44/4, 85/9 extended 62/6 extending 114/21

FAA 7/3, 12/19, 117/9 fabricator 56/24 face 92/14 facilities 23/6, 80/8 facility 28/12, 68/20 fact 5/6, 12/25, 13/7, 32/14, 40/15, 43/7, 75/24, fact-finding 23/9 factor 69/17 fails 108/20 failure 38/16 fair 102/23, 109/18 fake 55/8 famous 122/19 fashion 68/23 fast 63/10 favor 3/20, 15/3, 37/14, 40/1, 66/10, 87/11, 95/14, 114/2, 119/1 favoring 58/7 FBO 88/12 FDOT 117/24 February 39/15 federal 7/2, 9/7, 10/16, 10/18, 11/22, 11/25, 12/7, 12/13, 12/14, 12/22, 13/19, 13/22, 13/25 feelings 116/6 fees 112/23 feet 56/3, 56/6, 56/7, 56/19, 56/23, 90/1, 90/2, 92/12, 93/17 fellow 76/9 fence 86/4 fiduciary 71/18, 73/15, 111/6 field 88/11, 113/13 fielding 20/17 file 21/8, 42/4 filed 19/25, 38/12, 38/15, 39/2, 41/21, 42/12, 42/13 financed 69/16 financed 69/16
FINANCIAL 2/4, 2/5, 4/6, 4/8, 4/12, 5/7, 5/14, 7/5, 8/14, 9/6, 9/21, 10/3, 10/5, 11/18, 12/5, 12/9, 13/16, 13/24, 14/6, 27/13, 120/19
financially 68/21, 110/7
find 5/23, 12/8, 42/21, 47/12, 64/7, 117/18
findings 10/21, 13/11, 13/23, 14/1
finds 53/12 finds 53/12 fine 76/5, 100/1, 120/19 finish 54/2, 54/25, 55/2 finished 55/16 fire 90/2, 91/12 firm 20/14, 99/23, 103/4 firms 49/23 first-phase 107/13 Fiscal 2/5, 4/12, 4/20 fit 21/5, 72/25 five 10/9, 10/10, 34/3, 74/10 fix 32/3 fixed 7/21 FL 1/18, 1/23 Flagler 65/15 flashing 54/3 flat 57/22, 57/24, 92/22 flavor 34/18 flew 124/2 flexibility 105/23 flights 58/23 floated 76/25 floor 22/4, 56/21, 57/4, 66/7, 107/3, 110/16, 113/24 floors 55/14, 55/15, 56/2, 57/6 Florida 1/4, 10/24, 17/1, 17/4, 17/17, 19/4, 19/8, 38/6, 38/13, 38/15, 96/14, 114/23, 115/5, 115/21, 122/23, 126/3 flow 8/20, 34/9, 108/14 flows 9/15 follow 9/21, 29/8, 96/24 follow-up 104/13 followed 52/4 follows 88/20 fond 64/18 foot 57/24 footage 90/20, 91/2, 91/8, 93/19 Force 51/1, 51/2 forfeit 84/20 forgot 39/13, 58/4

expire 37/1

expired 30/17

explore 100/8

expo 123/19

form 7/19, 18/8, 22/11, 68/22 formal 35/15 format 22/14 forms 113/10 formula 75/3 found 5/3, 5/4, 11/1, 14/9, 17/3 foundation 56/5 four 15/18, 30/3, 34/13, 44/20, 44/24, 56/20, 96/19, 121/19, 122/11 four-lane 82/12 four-year 30/4, 34/14 frame 30/6 fraudulent 47/14 free 20/19 front 19/19, 54/6, 54/8, 62/17, 90/8, 98/10, 104/16, 112/2, 115/9 front-end 115/8 functions 71/10 fund 6/24 funded 43/22, 98/17, 119/23 funding 12/7, 13/19, 44/5, 67/24, 68/7, 68/8, 68/18, 73/21, 80/25, 83/7, 96/15, 96/22, 97/5, 100/22, 109/14, 109/15, 114/24, 114/25, 120/3 funding's 73/9 fundings 79/9 funds 68/11, 70/11, 74/21, 76/17, 76/19, 96/12, 111/5, 112/7 future 59/14, 68/8, 73/7, 73/10, 73/16, 75/2, 76/12, 85/5, 88/5, 88/12, 100/16

G

gathering 78/21 gaven 46/9 Gay 1/17 General's 38/14 gentleman's 111/1 gentlemen 3/3, 3/10, 4/18, 14/15, 15/12, 24/7, 28/21, 33/21, 37/14, 39/22, 44/13, 49/17, 59/25, 60/15, 66/7, 85/22, 86/19, 87/21, 94/5, 110/13, 113/23, 116/23, 118/15, 120/5, 125/19 George 39/4, 39/20, 42/14 glad 15/14, 59/21, 64/19 glean 72/3 Global 98/2, 98/9, 98/25, 99/1, 99/9, 101/2, 108/25 glue 56/22 glued 56/9 goodies 54/14 gorgeous 61/14 Government 5/17, 5/19, 8/17, 10/18, 79/9, 111/23 governmental 68/13 governments 83/8 Governor 41/7, 46/17 GPS 65/25 grade 92/21 grant 7/3, 12/14, 12/19, 13/20, 13/22, 108/15, 115/21, 115/23 grants 6/6, 7/2, 7/4, 7/8, 10/11, 10/16, 11/25, 12/9 grays 64/5 grooves 55/3 gross 6/11 ground 53/24 Group 92/10, 92/11, 121/14 grout 56/13, 56/20 grouted 56/12 Grumman 16/12, 84/12, 88/18 guarantee 74/24, 83/5, 83/6, 83/20, 114/24, 115/3 guaranteed 60/12 guess 24/12, 47/25, 61/7, 61/15, 62/2, 76/16, 77/23, 80/2, 90/18 Gun 67/18, 72/9, 85/10, 85/13, 86/3, 88/15, 88/22, 88/24, 89/2, 89/5, 89/14, 89/15 gut 100/25 guy 113/12 guy's 65/4 guys 25/22, 26/4, 63/14, 82/23, 83/7, 84/3, 84/14, 123/15

H

half 56/6, 103/7, 116/1 half-acre 93/2 Halyburton's 49/11 hand 83/19 handle 81/3 handling 39/4, 50/2 hands 79/13 hangar 52/21, 60/23, 91/4, 96/18, 114/21 hangars 85/12, 86/5, 86/6, 88/14, 89/24, 90/8,

96/20 happy 20/10, 26/19, 27/16, 39/18, 71/9, 103/13, 112/21, 117/17, 117/25, 121/16 hard 96/3, 103/17 Harvey 62/15, 81/18 Harveys 82/24, 84/23 Haskell 49/24, 50/15, 50/18, 58/17 Hawkeye 88/17, 89/17 head 47/9 heads 27/15 hearings 20/4, 28/1 heat 80/13, 80/15 heavily 111/4 height 61/20 held 1/3, 45/7, 125/10 help 20/19, 51/24, 89/12, 113/12 helpful 14/8 helping 85/17 Heuler 50/19, 59/24 high 56/15, 56/19, 98/10, 115/22 high-strength 56/13 highlights 4/23, 5/9 Highway 72/12, 82/12 hip 58/9, 58/14 hold 28/24, 40/4, 104/18 holds 40/8 hollow 56/21 hope 26/6, 53/11 hoping 97/18 hosted 123/9 Hotel 63/22 hour 122/10, 124/13, 125/14 hours 115/6, 122/11 House 65/16, 81/23, 82/1, 82/3 hundred 56/3, 65/18, 113/7 hung 22/15 hustling 57/2 hut 64/15, 64/19 hypothetical 43/11

1

i.e 36/20

idea 25/19, 27/16, 52/17, 76/11, 85/19, 124/20 ideas 26/2 identified 13/18, 72/18, 88/12, 93/3, 93/4, 96/13, 96/23, 108/9 identify 111/20 ignore 46/21 illegal 47/4 imagine 56/24, 109/3 imitation 55/11 immediate 71/5, 72/6 impact 8/23, 9/13, 75/13, 83/11, 112/22 impending 9/24 implementation 9/12 implied 102/3, 102/8 impression 78/16 improve 88/21, 89/5, 89/15, 92/2 improved 6/2 improvement 73/22, 79/21, 89/21 improvements 69/5, 79/24 in-place 57/4 inch 57/24 inclusion 36/16 inclusions 37/15 income 7/13, 8/8, 9/2, 9/4, 83/12, 91/24 incorporated 19/22 incorporates 19/18 increase 6/11, 7/6, 9/19, 91/13 increased 7/18, 7/22, 7/25, 9/3 increases 7/17, 113/19 Indian 62/16 indicate 39/5 indicated 99/1 indicates 12/6, 12/11 indicating 88/20, 89/15, 93/3, 120/22 indispensable 38/17 industrial 78/2, 78/12, 80/12, 80/22 ineligible 115/25, 116/20 inexpensive 98/12 inference 105/12 inferred 32/8, 33/14 influence 53/10, 86/10 information 10/4, 16/2, 26/19, 46/18, 48/3 informed 16/6 infrastructure 75/25, 96/17 input 24/16, 27/13 instructed 124/4

insulation 54/25 intent 19/20, 104/14 interest 8/5, 67/23, 76/8, 115/12, 116/18 intermodal 23/18, 28/11 internal 11/13, 11/18, 12/20, 13/17 International 88/7 intersects 89/7 intracoastal 63/18 introduce 20/13 invalid 40/9, 40/10 invalidated 43/9 invalidates 45/4 investigating 46/15 invitation 123/7 involvement 75/24 isolated 72/1 issue 14/2, 32/20, 42/19, 71/14, 76/2, 104/18, 109/18, 111/9, 111/12, 111/17, 111/24, 119/14 issued 109/6 issues 67/25, 70/2, 110/21, 117/2 item 3/8, 4/7, 16/4, 71/16, 96/2, 111/18, 113/14, 113/16, 113/17, 114/17, 120/6, 122/3 ITEMS 2/10, 10/6, 10/20, 10/25, 49/21, 111/14, 113/2, 125/16

.]

Jacksonville 20/14, 58/24, 70/20, 70/24, 122/24, 123/1, 124/2 JAMES 1/9, 88/1, 90/18, 111/12, 124/9 JANET 126/6 January 20/6, 24/22, 25/2, 28/3, 29/25, 30/19, 31/2, 31/23, 35/22, 37/4, 39/7, 43/20, 67/7, 104/19, 107/25, 121/8, 124/17, 125/10, 125/12, 126/11 Jason 50/19, 59/23 JIM 1/14 job 15/22, 22/17, 25/17, 25/18, 109/8, 112/18, 112/19, 121/5, 121/14 Joe 24/9 JOHNS 1/1, 3/4, 18/20, 70/25, 71/4, 81/10, 126/4 join 38/16, 38/20 joining 125/18 joints 55/6 joist 58/9 joke 122/7 joked 61/16 Jones 1/17 JOSEPH 1/9 JPA 12/9 Judge 39/16, 40/1, 41/2, 41/3, 45/3, 45/24, 47/20 Judgment 39/3, 39/15, 41/20, 111/8 July 47/24, 114/24 jump 60/16

K

Kaiser 59/18, 88/1, 95/15 knockout 55/15 knockouts 55/13 knowingly 111/7 known 32/14, 74/12, 109/10

L

labor 57/16 lack 62/8 ladies 3/2, 125/19 Lady 4/17, 24/18 laid 56/18, 94/16 Lally 50/9 lame 25/3, 31/10, 31/21, 49/2, 120/12 land 72/14, 75/5, 75/21, 76/10, 77/3, 77/11, 77/14, 78/18, 79/8, 81/9, 81/15, 84/9, 84/14, 85/4, 85/6 land-use 72/22 Lane 88/17 language 18/4, 18/14, 19/2, 19/6, 20/18, 24/16, 26/7, 28/12, 29/23, 30/2, 30/14, 31/22, 35/7, 35/10, 35/18, 36/6, 37/16, 68/2, 97/11, 98/18, 98/20, 101/24, 102/8, 105/11 large 73/11 larger 77/20 LASSITER 1/10, 2/16 later 7/5, 8/13, 95/5, 104/15 latitude 97/25 law 10/23, 17/17, 22/1 laws 16/24, 17/3, 17/4, 19/4, 19/8 laying 22/17 Layout 2/12, 87/24, 94/17, 94/21, 95/5, 95/14,

lead 50/17 Lease 2/11, 7/16, 67/12, 68/11, 68/16, 68/21, 69/1, 69/2, 69/4, 69/9, 69/10, 69/12, 70/9, 75/11, 75/19, 75/21, 79/8, 83/11, 84/9, 84/19, 86/13, 87/5, 87/11 lease-related 83/12 leasehold 69/5, 79/24 leases 79/17 leasing 10/8, 67/23, 68/3, 69/12, 79/8, 84/13 leave 113/14 leaves 98/4 led 78/17 left 54/6, 54/9, 62/18, 62/24, 64/9, 64/23, 66/3, 66/11, 67/4 left-hand 60/25 leg 105/13 legal 44/21, 44/24, 45/4, 45/5, 46/7, 46/13, 98/21, 106/11, 106/14, 108/18 legally 45/12, 111/2 legislation 21/21 legislature 16/22, 17/9, 21/19 lend 61/23 lengthy 9/12 Leon 1/22, 63/22 lessee 70/1, 75/12 letter 67/15, 81/7, 104/13, 123/3 level 60/11, 72/23 Lewis 65/16 liabilities 6/16, 6/17 liability 73/11, 86/7 Liaison 1/14 library 65/16, 65/17 light 85/15, 105/6, 118/7 lighthouse 66/1 lighthouses 65/24 limbo 41/1 limitation 93/18 limitations 70/8 limited 120/1 limits 49/14 linchpin 74/2 line 12/15, 55/4, 85/10, 92/7, 92/12, 92/13, 98/12, 112/22 lines 55/3, 55/5, 106/15 list 102/15 listened 76/8 listening 82/22 listing 12/8 literally 56/9 little 5/21, 32/15, 43/20, 52/12, 53/14, 53/15, 54/15, 60/20, 63/5, 65/7, 65/20, 70/19, 71/11, 73/5, 79/7, 88/10, 107/4, 118/1, 123/22 live 25/5, 58/22 living 78/19 loading 56/2 local 19/12, 19/16, 19/23, 20/5, 21/10, 21/22, 22/7, 28/3, 30/8, 70/21, 123/9 located 67/18, 75/5 location 52/7, 67/16, 82/17 logical 91/1 logo 52/14, 52/17, 54/5, 64/25, 65/1, 65/3, 65/6 long-lasting 59/6, 59/7 long-range 71/10 long-term 6/19, 59/13, 67/24, 68/16, 77/15, 78/1 loops 95/8 lose 73/20, 83/21 Losing 102/18 loss 7/24, 7/25, 8/4 Louis 61/11 low 97/16, 98/3, 98/15, 98/16, 108/13, 109/5, 112/11, 112/13 lower 109/20 lowest 97/14, 99/17, 100/9, 101/25, 102/4, 104/25, 105/1, 106/3, 106/12, 107/14, 109/20 Luhrs 88/13

M

main 54/9, 92/8
Maintenance 7/22
major 43/22
majority 7/19, 34/13
Malaga 1/17
Management 93/7
management's 13/3
manager 50/17, 50/20, 50/23, 59/23
managing 34/17
Mandarin 58/22
manner 20/8, 31/18

map 56/5, 56/11, 122/18, 123/16 March 20/2 margin 17/16 market 70/3, 70/12 match 53/23, 60/22, 62/2 matching 66/1 material 11/20, 12/17, 54/23, 55/1, 55/4, 59/9 materials 53/16, 54/21 matter 33/18, 47/17, 71/25, 75/15, 79/10, 116/3 matters 10/21, 11/17, 12/20 mature 10/9 maximize 91/10 maximized 93/1 maximum 60/12, 90/19 meaning 124/17 Mediterranean 61/13 Mediterranean/Spanish 61/1 meet 19/3, 19/4, 59/10, 59/12, 68/11 Meeting 1/2, 2/3, 2/19, 3/4, 3/6, 3/7, 3/9, 3/14, 3/21, 4/5, 16/5, 20/5, 24/13, 25/13, 30/15, 30/17, 31/1, 31/2, 31/23, 34/8, 34/9, 45/18, 62/6, 67/7, 72/22, 96/7, 101/14, 104/18, 104/20, 107/20, 107/25, 121/8, 124/5, 124/17, 124/18, 124/24, 125/9, 125/11, meeting's 125/20 meetings 24/15, 31/13, 61/9, 61/16, 122/10, 122/22, 123/24, 123/25 meets 100/10 Mel 62/15, 81/18 MEMBER 2/14, 33/11, 38/9, 45/4, 45/5, 45/12, MEMBERS 1/8, 1/13, 29/22, 32/21, 33/16, 34/3, 36/21, 40/3, 44/21, 44/24, 45/17, 45/18, 45/21, 45/22, 47/11, 76/9, 81/11, 120/10, 121/12 membership 33/6 mention 39/14 mentioned 8/13, 8/15, 29/4, 65/8, 81/5 merry 121/16 met 19/13, 34/9 metal 53/4, 54/3, 58/9, 60/21 microdetailing 75/11 middle 72/2 miles 124/6 million 6/12, 6/14, 6/18, 6/20, 6/21, 6/25, 7/1, 8/8, 8/9, 8/11, 9/2, 9/3, 9/4, 10/17, 10/19, 12/10, millions 84/15 mind 24/20, 51/12, 82/22, 85/24 minority 34/15 minute 62/14 MINUTES 2/3, 3/7, 3/10, 3/14, 3/20, 4/5, 64/1, 67/4, 113/5, 125/14 miss 121/10 missed 104/4 misses 25/13 missing 45/17, 45/21 mistake 113/13 mitigate 93/6, 93/10 mix 69/17 modal 26/20, 27/6 modern 55/24, 64/18 modified 65/3 moment 96/12 Monday 1/5, 29/25, 30/19, 35/14, 37/2, 125/12 money 6/5, 6/7, 9/7, 12/22, 26/24, 27/4, 27/8, 83/21, 83/22, 96/24, 97/15, 98/6, 99/21, 101/19, 102/18, 109/24, 112/1, 112/3, 112/17, 112/21, 113/20, 115/5, 115/8, 115/9, 115/11, 116/1, 116/13, 118/8, 118/10, 118/19 Monica 124/7 monies 7/9 month 4/11, 26/23, 103/21, 103/22 monthly 4/11 months 31/16, 94/25 mortgage 69/18, 69/20, 80/1 motif 61/12, 67/9 motion 3/13, 14/19, 14/21, 14/22, 28/22, 35/4, 36/4, 36/10, 36/14, 37/9, 38/15, 38/19, 39/2, 39/13, 39/15, 41/19, 62/22, 63/2, 66/7, 86/12, 86/19, 86/20, 86/22, 86/25, 94/23, 95/2, 95/10, 97/7, 106/10, 106/19, 106/20, 106/22, 107/1, 107/3, 107/17, 107/18, 108/4, 108/10, 108/15, 108/20, 110/10, 110/15, 110/25, 113/24, 114/3, 114/12, 118/18 motions 43/6 motor 68/4 mouth 84/1 move 24/1, 26/9, 29/9, 29/12, 33/12, 49/21, 70/9, 82/1, 82/3, 87/4, 94/16, 112/3, 112/16, 112/21, 114/1, 118/20

moved 46/8 movement 34/1 moves 25/17, 26/14 moving 20/9, 68/1 Mr. Boyer 122/21, 123/8 Mr. Bryant 16/5 Mr. Chair 76/6 Mr. Chairman 3/15, 4/10, 29/1, 29/16, 31/8, 35/2, 36/2, 50/9, 60/1, 60/17, 61/5, 67/13, 81/4, 87/4, 104/6, 108/24, 118/20, 119/11 Mr. Charles 2/16 Mr. Ciriello 22/24, 24/8, 24/9, 27/20, 27/25, 28/17, 29/4, 29/11, 29/14, 34/4, 44/16, 44/17, 45/19, 46/6, 82/19, 82/20, 85/21, 122/4, 122/6, 122/14, 123/18, 123/20 Mr. Ciriello's 125/13 MR. COOPER 123/22 Mr. Davis 4/17, 47/1, 70/18, 122/7 Mr. Dennis 2/17 Mr. Ed 123/12 MR. EVETTS 55/18, 57/23, 58/3, 58/13 Mr. George 16/13 MR. HARVEY 62/15, 62/19, 62/20, 64/10, 81/17, 81/18, 82/6 Mr. James 2/15 Mr. Jim 2/7 Mr. Joe 2/16 Mr. Joel's 81/7 MR. LALLY 50/8, 52/3, 53/15, 54/8, 54/12, 55/17, 58/15 MR. LASSITER 3/15, 3/16, 3/24, 15/5, 22/25, 23/3, 23/8, 24/3, 24/6, 24/9, 26/18, 31/6, 33/22, 33/23, 33/24, 35/1, 37/20, 42/25, 43/2, 43/3, 43/19, 33/23, 33/24, 35/1, 5//20, 42/23, 43/2, 43/3, 43/3, 43/1, 44/1, 44/11, 44/18, 48/4, 48/6, 48/7, 48/13, 48/17, 57/21, 58/11, 63/5, 63/8, 63/9, 63/12, 63/13, 66/9, 66/15, 73/4, 73/5, 73/14, 74/1, 75/4, 75/10, 75/16, 75/20, 76/5, 86/15, 86/18, 87/3, 87/9, 87/10, 87/16, 89/13, 90/18, 91/5, 91/14, 91/19, 91/22, 92/2, 92/4, 93/8, 93/20, 93/23, 94/2, 94/23, 95/2, 95/10, 103/20, 103/18 75/18, 75/10, 75/20, 75/25, 74/25, 75/2, 7 Mr. Leslie 16/10 Mr. McClure 24/13, 25/14, 26/1 Mr. Monk 4/13, 4/16, 4/17, 14/11, 14/12, 14/16, 15/13, 15/19 Mr. Pearce 87/23, 87/25, 88/24, 89/2, 89/5, 89/11, 89/14, 90/17, 90/23, 91/11, 91/18, 91/20, 92/1, 92/3, 92/5, 92/11, 93/14, 95/7, 95/9, 124/10, 124/14, 124/23, 125/4 Mr. Peter 20/13 Mr. Richard 2/7 MR. RONNING 108/24, 109/2, 109/4, 111/9, MR. ROSE 3/22, 4/9, 4/10, 4/15, 14/21, 14/23, 14/25, 15/7, 20/22, 20/23, 20/24, 21/4, 21/9, 21/15, 21/17, 21/23, 22/2, 22/6, 22/9, 22/16, 26/3, 29/1, 29/4, 29/12, 29/15, 29/18, 33/13, 37/18, 39/24, 39/25, 40/1, 40/12, 40/19, 40/22, 41/1, 41/9, 52/1, 52/2, 60/1, 60/2, 60/3, 62/21, 62/22, 66/13, 76/6, 76/7, 76/8, 76/16, 76/23, 77/8, 78/23, 79/3, 86/20, 86/22, 86/25, 87/4, 87/7, 87/14, 88/22, 89/1, 89/4, 90/16, 95/12, 95/13, 95/16, 100/17, 100/18, 100/19 100/23, 101/1, 101/7, 101/12, 103/3, 103/10, 103/19, 106/2, 106/6, 107/1, 108/22, 110/21, 114/1, 114/4, 116/24, 117/4, 117/8, 117/13, 117/16, 118/20, 119/3, 120/8, 120/11, 120/15, 120/18, 120/23 MR. SCHMIDT 52/6, 53/18, 54/9, 54/13, 58/1 MR. SHAH 58/16, 60/5, 60/18, 66/5, 66/21, 66/24 MR. TAYLOR 3/23, 14/22, 15/1, 15/2, 15/8, 31/8, 31/9, 31/10, 31/19, 35/2, 35/3, 35/4, 36/2, 36/3, 36/4, 36/9, 36/13, 36/14, 36/22, 37/3, 37/6, 37/9, 37/10, 37/19, 41/10, 41/11, 41/17, 41/22, 41/24, 42/1, 42/3, 42/18, 42/23, 48/18, 48/19, 48/22, 60/16, 63/3, 63/4, 64/13, 64/14, 66/14, 66/22, 70/17, 70/18, 70/23, 71/7, 71/16, 72/21, 73/2, 78/10, 78/24, 87/15, 89/8, 95/6, 95/7, 95/17, 104/6, 104/7, 104/8, 104/12, 105/7, 105/16, 105/20, 107/2, 107/3, 107/16, 108/3, 108/15, 110/12, 110/14, 110/17, 110/20, 114/5, 119/4, 119/11, 119/12, 119/13, 119/25, 120/3, 120/13, 120/17, 120/24, 120/25 MR. WATTS 3/18, 3/19, 3/25, 15/6, 37/12, 37/13, 37/21, 60/17, 61/5, 61/6, 61/7, 62/20, 66/16, 81/4, 87/17, 95/19, 106/22, 106/24, 106/25, 114/7, 119/6, 121/10, 121/11, 121/17 Mr. Weaver 16/7, 16/8, 16/9, 102/15, 102/18 Mr. William 2/15 MR. WUELLNER 28/24, 30/13, 30/22, 30/24,

obligation 121/9

obligations 68/7, 68/9

31/4, 31/7, 35/5, 35/10, 35/12, 35/25, 42/24, 45/13, 78/15, 78/15, 33/3, 53/3, 50/6, 67/2, 67/11, 67/13, 70/22, 71/2, 71/15, 71/23, 72/25, 73/3, 73/12, 74/14, 75/6, 75/14, 75/18, 75/23, 76/15, 76/22, 77/7, 77/10, 78/25, 79/2, 79/19, 80/3, 84/7, 87/22, 87/23, 92/10, 70125, 7712, 17129, 8013, 8417, 87122, 87123, 92 93/18, 93/21, 93/25, 94/9, 94/12, 94/15, 94/19, 94/22, 94/24, 95/4, 95/24, 96/1, 98/23, 100/21, 100/25, 101/3, 101/10, 101/13, 101/22, 102/6, 102/13, 102/16, 103/24, 105/18, 106/16, 107/23, 108/8, 108/17, 109/3, 114/15, 114/17, 116/15, 117/1, 117/5, 117/9, 117/15, 117/21, 118/7, 118/12, 120/7, 120/21, 124/11, 124/13, 124/25 Mrs. Bosanko 40/2, 44/20, 47/11 Mrs. Halyburton 48/23 MRS. HÄRVEY 82/8 Ms. Bartin 16/15, 16/16, 16/17, 20/21, 21/3, 21/6, 21/10, 21/16, 21/20, 21/24, 22/3, 22/8, 22/10, 23/7, 23/15, 24/4, 27/21, 27/22, 27/25, 28/18, 28/23, 29/19, 30/2, 30/12, 30/23, 33/20, 36/6, 36/8, 36/11, 36/19, 36/24, 37/4, 37/7, 38/2, 38/4, 40/8, 40/14, 40/21, 40/24, 41/3, 41/16, 41/18, 41/23, 41/25, 42/2, 42/10, 42/21, 43/10, 43/24, 44/2, 44/9, 45/2, 46/1, 48/9, 48/15, 48/21, 49/3, 49/19, 49/20, 73/17, 101/15, 102/3, 102/11, 102/19, 103/9, 103/13, 103/22, 104/11, 104/22, 105/22, 106/4, 106/7, 107/8, 114/12, 114/14, 124/20 Ms. Bosanko 39/9, 41/5, 43/5 Ms. Cindy 2/8 Ms. Harvey 85/23 multimodal 23/5 Murray 51/8, 51/17, 52/3, 53/15, 60/18 muster 100/11

N

N-a-n-d-u 58/17 name 18/19, 58/16, 62/15, 88/2, 88/4 names 35/19 naming 38/13, 38/24 Nandu 50/14, 50/17, 51/20, 58/16 natural 64/3 nature 57/20, 78/13, 93/9, 97/2 nearer 67/9 nearest 67/10 necessary 34/2, 125/2 need 31/15, 67/25, 68/22, 71/5, 72/17, 82/15, 86/11, 94/23, 95/2, 107/21, 114/12, 116/8, 117/5, 118/16, 119/13, 122/17 needed 76/11 needs 34/6, 35/11, 35/12, 65/1, 107/17, 110/22, 110/23 negotiate 81/14, 99/2, 99/24, 112/5, 112/16 negotiated 75/17 negotiating 81/8, 99/8, 108/12 neighborhood 72/3, 73/1, 113/7 neighbors 71/9, 71/12 nervous 71/11 net 6/12, 8/8, 9/2, 9/4, 48/25 new 18/13, 24/21, 25/4, 29/22, 36/21, 51/4, 52/9, 52/13, 53/8, 53/9, 56/1, 56/11, 61/10, 65/15, 89/16, 106/1, 121/16 newspaper 28/5 nice 12/23, 14/5, 15/20, 22/16, 49/1, 83/13, 83/15, 83/18, 121/22 nicer 13/10 nine 111/13, 113/10 nine-month 109/14 noncompliance 11/16, 13/18 nonoperating 8/6, 9/18 nonpolitical 34/20 nonusable 92/15 normal 22/1, 42/19 normally 55/1, 71/19, 125/15 North 1/3, 57/16, 67/18, 72/6, 84/13, 88/11, 88/18 Northeast 2/12, 87/24 nostalgia 65/24 nostalgic 66/1 note 9/22, 10/13, 10/14 notes 8/14, 9/21, 10/1, 126/9 notice 10/25, 28/4, 55/21, 73/24 notified 115/2 notify 125/3 November 3/14, 3/21 null 45/25 nullified 45/10 number 11/9, 43/12, 67/14, 102/15, 112/12 numbers 5/25, 10/2, 113/9, 113/10

occasions 46/24, 122/15 occupy 68/20, 79/14 occurrence 79/22 odd 90/25 offering 100/2 office 19/13, 22/11, 24/22, 38/14, 68/4, 96/17, 113/6 officer 32/15 officers 32/11 official 118/16 offsite 57/15 old 35/10, 35/19, 53/2, 61/2, 63/22, 64/16, 72/11, 72/12, 75/8 OMB 13/14 on-site 56/25 open 100/15, 113/14 opened 96/5 opening 97/20 operating 7/15, 7/24, 7/25 operation 59/10 operations 8/4, 9/17, 10/8, 11/19, 12/21 opinion 5/10, 5/11, 12/16, 13/16, 30/25, 34/23, 39/7, 61/4, 63/15, 64/6, 99/21, 100/2, 124/15 opportunity 4/22, 42/4 opposed 4/2, 15/10, 29/15, 37/23, 46/4, 66/18, 87/19, 95/21, 105/1, 114/9, 119/8 opposition 4/3, 15/11, 37/24, 66/19, 87/20, 95/22, 114/10, 119/9 option 99/10 options 60/4, 107/9, 107/12, 111/2 order 3/6, 11/3, 97/1, 105/14, 113/12, 117/6, ORGANIZATION 2/19, 33/9, 36/17, 69/7, 104/19, 123/9 organizational 30/15, 31/1, 31/22, 36/20, 125/9 organizations 33/2 original 17/14, 35/16, 85/19 originally 30/21 outcome 38/22 outstanding 11/10, 121/9, 121/14 overheard 124/3 overkill 32/16 overtaken 42/7 owned 67/17 owner 111/25 P

P.A 1/17 p.m 1/6, 125/11, 125/12 Pacific 64/17 package 4/11, 17/11, 18/11 packet 50/4 paid 124/13 paint 53/21, 53/22 panels 53/20, 53/24, 56/8, 56/11, 56/13, 56/18 paragraph 9/23, 81/7 parcel 77/21, 81/9, 81/15 parcels 77/20 park 78/2, 78/12, 80/22 parking 90/9, 92/18 parks 80/12 parliamentary 33/25 part 49/1, 50/22, 52/24, 55/5, 67/20, 68/23, 77/5, 77/20, 85/2, 92/15, 97/15, 98/24, 103/8, 107/8, 114/18, 114/20 participation 28/14, 45/9 parts 52/5 party 38/17, 81/9 pass 51/23, 123/5, 123/12 passed 16/22, 17/14, 43/6 passes 107/18 Pat 16/1, 120/20, 121/18, 121/25 Patrick 51/2 paved 90/7 pay 6/6, 84/9, 101/19 paying 112/2, 115/11, 116/21 Pearce 88/1, 111/12 pending 38/21, 99/16 Penny 49/10 perceive 73/15 performed 5/13, 12/3 period 9/12, 25/1, 34/14, 109/14 permit 72/16 perspective 9/5

Peter 20/19 Petersen 50/23 phase 23/9, 91/2, 96/15, 96/16, 96/19, 97/11, 97/15, 98/1, 98/3, 98/11, 98/21, 99/18, 99/20, 100/9, 100/19, 100/21, 100/24, 101/2, 101/5, 101/8, 101/9, 101/18, 101/19, 101/25, 102/8, 104/15, 104/25, 106/3, 106/4, 106/6, 106/13, 109/9, 109/10, 109/13, 109/15, 109/16, 112/6, 112/7, 112/8, 112/17, 113/17, 119/17, 120/1 phases 90/11, 97/13, 97/16, 101/23, 102/5 Phil 123/23 philosophy 73/6 phone 24/18, 124/3 phonetic 51/8, 55/2, 81/7 photograph 62/25, 89/20 physically 85/17 pick 51/16, 52/10, 53/1 picked 9/8, 18/23, 52/20 picking 53/8 picture 58/13, 72/4, 80/19 piece 81/15, 81/22, 85/11 pilot 123/23 Pilots 16/13, 64/17, 122/22, 123/13 pit 75/8 place 17/5, 21/18, 42/17, 56/20, 57/12, 64/8, 72/7, 77/14, 77/16 plan 71/10, 84/4, 110/2 planned 92/23 planning 88/10 plant 56/8 platted 72/10 pleadings 41/21, 41/22 pleasure 4/18, 15/23, 24/1, 30/6 plus 117/6 pocket 115/14 pods 90/6, 93/16 point 7/12, 18/6, 18/19, 18/25, 19/6, 23/5, 29/19, 34/4, 45/10, 48/11, 48/16, 49/4, 67/5, 67/7, 67/25, 68/19, 69/10, 70/10, 76/12, 89/19, 91/21, 99/3, 99/25, 105/7, 107/24, 115/24 pointed 64/10 pointing 88/23 points 78/25, 82/23 politics 26/16 Ponce 1/22, 63/22 pond 92/19 poor 82/16, 85/19 portion 50/10, 50/11, 52/22, 90/12, 90/13, 90/14 position 6/2, 42/8, 48/15, 49/6, 114/23 positions 49/14 possibility 29/5, 100/14 possible 16/3, 57/15, 57/16, 80/11, 100/6, 100/16, 104/2, 104/12, 108/16, 116/8, 119/19 potential 73/11 pounds 56/3 pour 63/23 power 23/21, 51/2, 88/13 precast 53/20, 53/24, 54/24, 55/6, 56/8, 57/15, 58/5, 58/7, 59/5 preclude 104/16 precludes 28/8, 38/8 predates 77/18 preestablished 75/3 prefer 60/7 prepared 39/1, 107/20 preparing 39/3 presentation 50/1, 50/22, 51/10, 52/14 presented 36/15, 43/6 president 33/4, 33/5, 33/7, 122/21 press 46/11 pretty 5/7, 9/6, 18/17, 31/5, 56/15, 57/19, 63/16, 69/19, 71/25, 74/1, 74/5, 79/22, 122/19 price 60/13, 84/10, 97/4, 99/2, 99/24, 101/25, 106/3, 106/13, 112/5 pricing 60/14 primary 39/20 prime 50/18 principle 9/24 principles 5/17 private 117/19, 118/4 probability 115/22 problem 45/16, 74/21, 78/6, 79/7, 79/18, 83/4, 119/21 problems 5/3, 5/4, 11/1, 11/8, 13/8, 82/11, 118/5 procedure 19/15, 22/14 procedures 11/24, 12/4, 100/11 proceed 95/3, 107/22, 115/3 proceedings 126/8 process 16/20, 19/11, 19/14, 22/5, 77/19, 97/3,

97/9 produced 9/18 profit 112/23, 112/24 programs 74/12 progressing 20/7 progression 34/16 prohibited 40/17 prohibits 40/22 project 43/22, 50/17, 50/23, 51/9, 57/18, 58/20, 59/15, 59/19, 59/23, 89/21, 96/4, 96/8, 96/9, 96/10, 97/8, 97/12, 98/7, 98/16, 99/18, 101/21, 105/1, 106/17, 107/7, 109/6, 109/7, 109/9, 109/11, 109/21, 111/21, 112/4, 112/14, 112/25, 113/18, 115/1, 115/10, 115/18, 116/2, 117/9 projects 50/16, 94/19, 98/8, 111/22 promised 114/18 promote 76/18 promulgated 5/17 property 6/10, 8/5, 67/17, 67/19, 68/5, 70/15, 71/25, 75/7, 78/4, 79/4, 79/5, 80/12, 80/21, 80/22, 81/20, 81/23, 82/1, 84/8, 84/11, 85/11 proportionate 99/9 proposal 26/22, 36/15, 84/24, 87/22, 95/11, 95/14, 105/2, 118/18, 118/21, 119/1 proposals 76/25, 101/17 propose 94/14, 105/6 Proposed 2/11, 17/23, 40/25, 67/12, 87/5, 87/11 proposing 89/23 pros 61/3 protest 99/12, 102/20, 102/25, 104/9, 104/16, 105/11, 110/4 proves 99/18 provide 83/12, 112/19 provides 10/4 provision 17/18, 18/21, 19/2, 29/16, 38/8, 40/2, 40/8, 40/11, 41/4, 45/4, 74/16, 84/4 proviso 68/17 prudent 110/7 PSI 56/14 PUBLIC 2/18, 14/12, 20/4, 22/22, 24/15, 28/1, 28/6, 44/15, 62/13, 62/14, 81/16, 94/3, 109/18, 117/22, 118/4, 118/13, 118/14, 122/3, 122/5 publicly 15/25 published 28/5 pump 91/8 purchased 76/11, 76/17, 80/12 purpose 17/24 purposes 70/5, 80/22, 80/23 purview 115/13 put 6/7, 16/25, 17/5, 17/11, 17/24, 19/7, 22/10, 23/20, 25/7, 26/7, 27/17, 30/4, 35/11, 36/9, 37/16, 57/11, 61/17, 64/25, 66/22, 71/14, 85/11, 92/18, 92/19, 97/2, 103/24, 107/11, 112/14, 113/15, 122/18, 123/19 puts 92/13, 119/21 putting 23/10, 26/24, 27/3, 51/24, 82/12, 104/4, 123/15

0

qualified 41/14, 42/7, 47/24, 49/6, 49/10 qualify 48/24 qualifying 42/9 quality 56/15 quarter 57/24 query 78/10 question 14/12, 20/22, 23/1, 23/4, 23/25, 26/17, 29/2, 39/6, 39/24, 42/25, 44/17, 48/4, 66/9, 66/10, 71/7, 72/22, 75/4, 84/2, 85/24, 86/2, 90/19, 91/15, 93/24, 104/22, 107/2, 114/1, 114/2, 120/11 questions 14/14, 14/16, 14/17, 20/10, 20/16, 20/17, 20/18, 24/12, 24/19, 27/23, 39/18, 39/21, 39/23, 44/12, 44/14, 50/21, 57/20, 59/21, 59/22, 74/4, 90/17, 104/9 quick 14/6, 48/4, 90/19 Quonset 64/15, 64/19 quorum 44/19, 44/25, 45/19, 45/20, 47/6

R

radio 46/14 railroad 63/9, 82/13 raised 29/19 ramp 90/12, 90/14, 90/15, 102/17 ran 41/13, 47/15 rare 77/12, 79/22 read 22/18, 26/18, 36/25, 40/25, 82/21, 82/25 reapportion 112/7 reason 23/20, 29/7, 77/22, 92/6, 102/11

reasons 102/15 rebar 56/10, 56/12 rebid 99/20, 101/5, 101/9, 106/17, 107/7, 107/13, 108/16, 108/21, 119/18 rebidding 97/7 receive 5/12, 7/8, 12/6, 113/5, 121/22 received 13/20, 67/13, 67/15, 109/19 recommend 31/18, 53/5, 66/2 recommendation 86/16, 99/20 recommendations 86/13 recommended 36/17 reconsider 110/10 record 67/2, 67/8, 126/9 records 11/2, 14/9, 15/22 red 61/17, 62/8 redo 105/15 reduce 6/20, 112/6 reestablishing 35/23 reestablishment 35/21 reference 35/15, 35/18 reflect 67/4, 97/10 reflects 17/13 refreshing 121/20 refundable 6/6, 10/11 Regency 114/21, 115/2, 115/9, 115/17 Regency's 118/21, 119/1 regional 71/3 regs 72/14 Regular 1/2, 31/2, 107/25, 125/11 reimbursed 115/25 reimbursement 115/21 reject 3/13, 14/19, 86/13, 87/5, 105/18, 118/18 rejected 87/22, 119/10 rejecting 87/11, 119/1 related 5/4, 7/12, 10/2, 12/21, 13/24, 38/7, 44/18, 76/1, 83/2 relationship 111/6, 115/17 relationships 73/15 relative 116/9 remain 32/6, 69/20, 69/22, 117/11 remaining 90/14, 115/20 remains 30/2 remember 32/9, 45/3, 122/8 removed 30/24, 46/20, 47/22 rendering 52/10, 88/10 rent 6/22, 91/17, 91/23 repackage 100/14 repayment 10/12 replacement 41/8 Report 2/9, 4/8, 4/22, 5/2, 5/9, 10/22, 11/12, 11/14, 11/21, 12/2, 12/12, 12/24, 13/2, 14/2, 14/7, 14/2, 14/2, 14/2, 14/2, 14/7, 14/2, 14/ 15/3, 15/13, 15/14, 16/3, 22/20, 22/21, 28/22, 38/3, 38/4, 59/2, 59/4, 59/16, 59/19, 72/19, 94/20, 120/19, 121/22, 126/7 reportable 13/17 reported 11/16, 11/23, 13/24 REPORTER'S 126/1 Reporters 1/22 reporting 9/14, 11/18 REPORTS 2/4, 2/6, 2/14, 4/6, 4/11, 16/4, 16/6, 16/18, 120/8 representation 54/5 Representative 20/1, 38/23 represents 70/20 request 101/16, 103/14 requested 22/23 require 8/18 required 10/22, 11/16, 11/22, 11/23, 12/4, 12/15, 12/25, 13/1, 13/4, 13/6, 13/12, 14/1, 23/14 requirement 7/22, 59/10, 68/12 requirements 12/13, 12/18, 13/6, 13/22, 13/23, 59/13, 68/3, 75/13 requiring 16/23 reratify 44/2 rerun 31/17 research 43/17, 100/5 researching 46/3 residential 72/2, 81/21 residents 71/4 resolution 109/25 resolution 115/24 resolve 105/25 resolved 14/3 respect 29/21, 107/10 respects 12/17, 19/5, 97/23 respond 72/21, 78/10

responsible 27/18, 34/7, 48/24 restricted 6/3 restriction 92/7, 92/12 result 73/20 results 4/19, 4/24, 10/20, 11/15, 121/4 retained 8/10, 8/11, 40/24 retention 92/19 retroactively 46/3, 117/10 return 70/23, 118/9 returned 6/8 revenue 6/22, 7/15, 7/17, 8/24, 9/8 revenues 8/7, 8/21 review 4/22, 10/6, 18/9, 19/17, 19/24, 51/3, 99/16, 100/10, 103/21, 103/23, 106/11, 108/18, 111/2, reviewed 119/20 revision 35/7 RIC-LON 98/1, 100/9, 100/23 right-hand 60/19, 60/24 Ring 88/13 risk 27/9 river 82/13 RMR 126/6 road 9/14, 44/22, 62/16, 67/19, 72/9, 72/12, 78/18, 85/10, 85/14, 86/3, 88/15, 88/22, 88/24, 89/3, 89/6, 89/14, 89/15, 109/24 roads 93/10, 93/12 Rogers 1/17 role 71/18 rolls 83/14 **Ronning 108/25** roof 52/20, 52/21, 53/2, 53/3, 57/21, 58/8, 58/9, 58/14, 61/18 roofs 57/22 rope 82/15 RÔSE 1/10, 2/15, 78/12 route 88/21 row 102/22 RPR-CP 126/6 rubbed 83/10 rules 40/1, 41/3, 72/14 run 34/19, 41/14, 70/4, 98/12 rundown 14/6 running 49/7, 91/22, 125/14 running's 49/5 runs 122/9 runway 85/9, 92/8, 92/13 runways 77/1 rush 102/12

S S-h-a-h 58/17 safety 48/25 sand 41/11 sandblasted 53/19 Sanford 113/3 sat 46/21 saved 96/1 saving 109/21 saw 21/11, 75/9 scale 75/3 scenario 68/15, 73/18 schedule 7/5, 12/5, 69/13, 111/17, 111/19, 117/24 scheduled 10/12, 39/14 schedules 11/21, 13/10 scheme 52/8, 53/7, 56/17, 60/6, 60/9, 60/10, 60/19, 60/21, 60/24, 60/25 schemes 51/15, 51/22, 55/22, 60/6 Schmidt 51/9, 60/18 scope 28/13, 59/4, 59/22 scopes 111/20, 113/19 Scott 108/24, 111/25 scrutiny 106/14 seat 41/6, 41/7, 41/13, 46/4, 46/10, 48/7, 48/8, 48/14, 99/4 seated 24/24, 29/22, 29/24, 30/23, 39/10, 43/5, 44/20, 45/12, 47/23 seats 34/21, 55/20 second 3/17, 3/18, 4/7, 6/15, 8/15, 9/22, 9/23 14/21, 15/1, 17/23, 18/8, 28/24, 30/10, 36/5, 37/11, 37/12, 38/4, 63/1, 63/3, 66/8, 68/23, 87/8, 87/9, 95/12, 96/19, 103/7, 104/15, 106/23, 106/24, 110/1, 110/16, 111/16, 118/23, 118/24 secretary/treasurer 25/16, 32/10, 32/12, 32/25, 33/14 section 19/21, 53/2 sections 19/19

responsibility 31/13, 49/5, 49/9, 49/11, 73/2,

response 96/9

sector 117/19, 117/22 seek 23/11 selected 50/11 selecting 58/19 selection 59/9, 60/3 send 30/8, 113/3 sense 67/5, 82/3 sent 46/18 sentence 35/13, 35/22 separate 32/1, 67/1, 113/10 separately 11/23, 13/1 separation 63/15, 64/20 September 4/20 serve 29/7, 30/3, 37/1, 59/8 served 33/19, 38/23 serves 31/16 service 93/10, 93/12 services 122/16 session 20/2 set 6/5, 34/16, 36/25, 56/12, 56/20, 57/6, 57/12, 106/1 seven 27/1 shadow 55/4, 55/12 Shah 50/14, 51/20, 58/16 shaky 41/11 shape 93/15 shaped 11/9 shapes 90/25 shared 59/17 shares 75/7 sheathing 58/10 sheathings 57/10 sheet 5/24, 8/12, 8/20 shifting 65/6 short 50/22, 116/9 show 8/8, 52/17, 53/13, 55/12 shows 9/16, 10/15, 89/20 sick 25/12 side 60/19, 60/24, 60/25, 61/25, 62/1, 66/11, 71/24, 83/25, 84/1, 86/3, 118/4 sign 66/24 sign-off 104/14 signed 47/8 signify 3/21, 15/4, 37/17, 66/12, 87/12, 95/15, 114/3, 119/2 silver 52/12 similarity 60/23 simple 57/19 simplified 53/14 single 13/13, 78/21, 91/9 sit 111/24, 112/15 site 77/6, 88/12 site-related 96/17 sitting 31/10, 38/9, 40/17, 79/13, 82/22, 99/22, 102/16, 113/12 situated 85/6 situation 41/12, 46/6, 46/15, 46/21, 97/14, 105/9 six 89/23 size 93/15, 93/21 sizes 90/25 sketches 51/23 skin 54/3 sleeve 56/10 small 34/3, 84/10 smaller 96/19 sneak 26/5 so-move 3/15 solid 54/19 sore 77/8 sort 17/20, 38/10, 42/16, 43/15, 97/17, 102/21 sound 5/7, 27/5, 83/25 south 27/14, 64/17, 71/5, 72/9 southeast 75/7 space 90/21, 91/23, 116/9, 118/2 Spanish 61/13, 61/18, 61/19, 62/24 special-type 21/22 specializes 50/16 specter's 68/10 spell 113/11 spend 63/25, 109/24 sphere 23/13 spinoff 55/25 splice 56/10 Sport 2/7, 122/19 square 56/3, 56/6, 90/1, 90/2, 90/20, 91/2, 91/8, 93/16, 93/19 squeeze 91/23 squeezed 91/17 squirming 99/3

staff 11/4, 14/8, 15/23, 16/1, 16/2, 17/8, 59/12, 59/17, 71/24, 86/13, 120/19, 122/1, 124/5 stage 110/2 stairs 57/5 stairway 54/11 stand 80/14, 105/13, 114/13 standard 5/15, 58/9 Standards 5/18, 5/20, 5/21, 8/18 standings 98/21 standpoint 92/16 stands 40/20 start 43/22, 53/7, 90/2 started 81/20 Starting 56/4 state 6/6, 6/9, 7/2, 7/4, 10/16, 10/18, 10/22, 10/23, 12/9, 13/19, 13/25, 26/25, 38/6, 38/8, 38/13, 38/15, 40/5, 40/6, 40/13, 40/15, 40/22, 68/19, 74/10, 83/8, 111/5, 126/3 statement 7/13, 9/15, 45/3, 60/5, 83/16, 83/18, 123/6 statements 5/14, 7/6, 8/14, 9/6, 9/21, 9/22, 10/3, 10/5, 13/16, 13/24, 14/7 states 29/24, 50/25, 109/7 station 46/14 Status 2/9, 38/3, 38/5, 39/17 Statutes 17/1, 19/5 stay 38/21, 52/6, 90/5 stayed 124/3 staying 125/18 steel 54/1, 57/3, 57/7 stenographic 126/9 stenographically 126/7 step 32/12 steps 33/5, 117/7 stick 62/10 sticking 56/11, 77/8 stipulation 80/24 stone 34/16 straighten 88/21 strategy 77/5 Street 1/17, 103/25, 104/3 streets 105/25, 107/11 stricken 40/6 strike-throughs 18/15 stripes 95/8 strong 26/7 stronger 64/21 structural 51/11, 51/18, 52/5, 57/3, 57/7, 57/20 structurally 55/18, 55/23 structure 57/19, 59/3, 59/5, 63/23, 64/1, 75/18, structured 69/22 stucco 61/17 stuck 116/21 study 26/25 stuff 57/14, 117/11 style 61/1, 61/13, 61/19 subject 68/5, 68/14, 79/24 submitted 95/11, 101/20, 104/23 subsidiary 88/6 successful 39/9, 48/10 suggestion 81/14, 86/10 suggestions 99/14 suicidal 82/10 Suite 1/22 summarized 4/25 summarizes 13/15 summary 13/11, 39/2, 39/15, 41/20 sums 57/19 superfluous 19/3 supervised 51/3 Supervisor 39/8, 48/10 supplanted 65/25 supplied 16/3 support 78/7, 78/14 suppression 90/3, 91/12 surcharge 56/7 suspect 21/11 swing 43/14 swings 88/18 system 90/4, 91/4, 91/12 systems 55/19, 90/3

Т

tab 17/23, 18/7, 18/8, 18/12, 113/10 table 70/3, 71/14, 98/5, 99/22 tabulation 111/13, 112/10 tacks 99/15

talk 6/14, 9/23, 42/14, 52/5, 53/15, 58/4, 110/10, 116/17 talked 53/19, 77/2, 93/6, 98/19, 116/24, 122/20 talking 24/13, 55/22, 111/12, 113/6, 116/1 talks 10/14 Tanner 46/19 task 60/11 tasked 94/24 taxes 8/6 taxi 85/13 taxiway 89/18, 89/20 taxiways 91/7, 91/8 taxpayer 27/10, 83/14 taxpayer's 83/22 TAYLOR 1/9, 2/16 team 50/10, 50/14, 50/18, 58/19, 62/23 tearing 86/8 ten 56/23, 96/8, 109/19, 111/14 tenant 69/25 tenure 67/20 term 30/4, 46/22, 69/10, 76/20, 99/11, 116/9 terminal 53/9, 60/20, 61/10, 62/2, 63/16, 63/19, 66/23, 66/25, 96/3, 124/15 Terminal/FBO 2/12, 95/25 terms 37/1, 68/21, 69/4, 96/10, 104/4, 115/20 terra 63/21, 63/23, 63/24, 64/3 terra-cotta 64/5 test 11/15, 13/7 testing 12/15 Thank 3/16, 3/19, 4/15, 4/17, 14/11, 15/2, 15/24, 15/25, 16/1, 16/17, 20/21, 27/19, 27/20, 28/17, 28/18, 29/18, 31/19, 35/1, 37/10, 37/13, 41/9, 44/11, 49/20, 50/8, 52/2, 55/17, 58/18, 62/19, 63/4, 66/5, 66/21, 82/5, 82/6, 82/18, 85/21, 87/7, 87/10, 93/24, 95/13, 106/25, 110/13, 113/23, 116/22, 118/25, 120/7, 120/18, 120/23, 121/17, 121/24, 123/20, 125/5, 125/18 Thanks 58/15, 120/17 theirs 69/9 theme 53/1, 53/8, 53/9 thev've 117/1 thick 56/6 third 18/12, 28/11, 71/16 three 15/18, 31/14, 40/12, 45/18, 45/19, 45/21, 45/22, 46/25, 47/16, 50/20, 55/8, 70/19, 90/6, 93/16, 102/13, 113/9 three-quarter 31/14 throw 61/17, 100/8 throwed 47/9, 47/15 thumb 77/8 Thursday 97/21 ties 8/11 tile 53/3, 61/17, 65/12, 65/13 till 25/1 time 7/8, 11/11, 13/13, 18/10, 18/19, 23/16, 30/5, 34/18, 42/5, 42/17, 59/8, 65/5, 74/24, 76/20, 80/7, 91/25, 95/9, 97/6, 102/21, 103/4, 107/19, 107/21, 107/23, 114/23, 115/4, 121/15, 125/19 timely 20/7 times 17/15, 102/14, 113/9, 124/2 timewise 103/23 timing 43/4 token 25/10 top 53/25, 56/7, 57/7, 57/8, 57/21, 93/13 totaling 9/17, 12/10 touch 4/23, 5/8 touched 24/10 tough 62/3 Tower 2/11, 49/22, 50/1, 51/4, 53/23, 57/1, 57/5, 57/7, 57/8, 57/22, 58/1, 59/1, 59/6, 59/11, 59/14, 61/21, 62/7, 62/9, 62/14, 63/15, 63/19 Towers 1/17 town 34/6, 121/7, 122/22, 123/23, 123/25, 124/5 track 17/2, 82/13 tracks 63/10 traditional 67/3, 67/9 Traditionally 68/25 Traffic 2/11, 49/22, 50/1, 50/25 train 63/10 transcript 126/8 transpired 113/21 transportation 23/6, 23/18, 26/20, 27/7, 28/12, Traynor 39/16 treatment 53/17 treed 88/14 trim 63/24, 65/21 triple 90/4, 91/4

trouble 73/6, 89/9

true 42/18, 63/24, 80/17, 126/8
trusses 60/22
truth 84/22
Tuesday 29/25, 30/11, 30/18, 35/14, 36/22, 37/2, 125/10
turmoil 33/8, 35/24
turn 89/11
turned 15/15, 121/21
tweak 117/25
two 8/16, 11/13, 16/18, 31/13, 31/16, 32/10, 34/12, 34/13, 40/16, 45/17, 45/21, 47/1, 47/6, 47/11, 51/14, 51/19, 55/19, 55/22, 56/5, 56/7, 60/4, 60/6, 62/10, 65/18, 70/18, 74/4, 88/14, 90/7, 90/12, 90/13, 90/14, 91/16, 92/14, 93/13, 94/25, 99/15, 100/7, 109/23, 115/14, 120/11, 121/12
two-month 25/1
Tyco 88/6
type 85/25, 110/5, 111/24
types 40/17, 68/13, 79/23, 97/19

U

U.S 1/3, 67/19, 77/25
unclear 125/4
uncomfortable 78/24, 103/17
unconstitutional 40/9
underlining 18/15
underlying 77/14
unfinished 55/15
unit 91/10
United 50/25
unlike 26/8
unqualified 5/11, 13/16, 42/6
unusable 92/25
up-front 116/13, 118/19, 118/21
update 18/2
urge 110/9
users 10/4

V

vacant 72/20 vacate 41/6 vacated vague 30/25, 97/23 valid 40/10 validity 35/20 valuable 85/16 value 6/12, 70/4, 70/5, 70/7, 70/12, 112/6 values 111/18, 111/19 vault 57/22, 58/2, 58/4, 58/5, 60/22 vehicles 68/4 vein 64/15 versa 60/10, 62/9 version 17/21, 52/8, 54/17, 55/10 vice 60/10, 62/9 vice-chair 28/7, 33/14, 33/24 vice-chairman 25/12, 25/13, 25/20, 26/6, 26/9, 26/14, 29/5, 29/9, 32/15, 32/18, 32/23 vice-president 33/3 view 63/17, 63/18, 88/17, 89/17 views 61/8 violation 48/13 visual 51/24 voice 52/7 vote 4/4, 15/12, 20/25, 37/25, 43/13, 43/14, 44/23, 87/21, 95/23, 104/17, 114/11 voted 119/14 votes 43/7, 44/22 voting 43/13, 43/15, 43/22

W

wagon 32/3
wait 47/25, 113/8, 118/5
waiting 109/25
walts 53/23
Washington 124/4
water 74/6, 93/7
WATTS 1/11, 2/17
weaknesses 11/20
Weaver 2/7
week 57/1, 96/5
Welcome 3/3, 96/9
welded 57/9
well-established 79/23
wetland 93/2
wetlands 75/5
wheel's 32/3

wherever 57/15
white 52/13, 52/22, 79/12
widening 89/21
wiggly 102/10
Wiles 20/1
Wiles' 19/13, 22/11
willing 112/5, 112/7, 112/15
willingness 99/2
wind 44/6, 56/1
windows 52/23, 55/7, 57/12
wisely 6/7
wish 121/8, 121/15
withheld 71/21
wonder 77/4
wondered 24/23, 25/24
word 62/8, 78/13
worded 103/16
words 123/10
work 4/25, 5/5, 5/12, 5/22, 15/23, 27/11, 31/23, 59/23, 67/6, 76/13, 92/23, 93/8, 93/9, 96/17, 98/7, 107/6, 111/20, 111/23, 117/12, 118/5
worked 32/2, 32/4, 116/12
working 17/7, 59/11, 66/2, 117/23, 117/24
works 48/25, 107/5
worth 84/15
wound 54/4
write 113/9, 113/10
written 30/21, 31/11, 37/15
wrong 45/23, 116/11
wrote 98/4
WUELLNER 1/19

X

X 2/1, 89/23, 90/24, 90/25, 96/18

Y

yard 62/17
Year 2/5, 4/12, 4/20, 5/25, 6/4, 6/17, 6/18, 8/1, 8/2, 8/9, 9/1, 9/20, 11/5, 11/6, 12/1, 12/2, 14/1, 14/4, 16/21, 17/7, 20/3, 29/11, 33/16, 34/14, 34/24, 37/6, 37/7, 51/7, 74/22, 121/16
year's 8/1
years 7/11, 8/16, 9/11, 10/10, 11/7, 11/9, 15/18, 30/3, 31/14, 32/4, 33/18, 34/12, 34/13, 40/25, 64/16, 65/18, 67/21, 68/8, 74/10, 81/19, 84/5, 84/11, 84/19, 93/4, 109/23, 121/19
Youth 2/11, 67/12, 67/16, 68/14, 80/16, 82/8, 82/11, 85/22, 85/25, 86/1, 87/5, 87/12

Z

zone 81/21